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## PREFACE

To
Dr. Adolf Deissmann
of Berlin
who has done so much
to make the words of the
New Testament glow with life

It has now been forty years since Dr. Marvin R. Vincent wrote his most useful series of volumes entitled Word Studies in the New Testament. They are still helpful for those for whom they were designed, but a great deal of water has run under the mill in these years. More scientific methods of philology are now in use. No longer are Greek tenses and prepositions explained in terms of conjectural English translations or interchanged according to the whim of the interpreter. Comparative grammar has thrown a flood of light on the real meaning of New Testament forms and idioms. New Testament writers are no longer explained as using one construction "for" another. New light has come also from the papyri discoveries in Egypt. Unusual Greek words from the standpoint of the literary critic or classical scholar are here found in everyday use in letters and business and public documents. The New Testament Greek is now known to be not a new or peculiar dialect of the Greek language, but the very lingo of the time. The vernacular Koin $\hat{A} \boxtimes$, the spoken language of the day, appears in the New Testament as in these scraps of Oxyrhynchus and Fayum papyri. There are specimens of the literary KoinÂ邓 in the papyri as also in the writings of Luke, the Epistles of Paul, the Epistle to the Hebrews. A new Greek-English lexicon of the New Testament will come in due time which will take note of the many startling discoveries from the Greek papyri and inscriptions first brought to notice in their bearing on the New Testament by Dr. Adolf Deissmann, then of Heidelberg, now of Berlin. His Bible Studies (Translation by Alexander Grieve, 1901) and his Light from the Ancient East (Revised Edition translated by L.R.M. Strachan, 1927) are accessible to students unfamiliar with the German originals.

There is no doubt of the need of a new series of volumes today in the light of the new knowledge. Many ministers have urged me to undertake such a task and finally I have agreed to do it at the solicitation of my publishers. The readers of these volumes (six are planned) are expected to be primarily those who know no Greek or comparatively little and yet who are anxious to get fresh help from the study of words and phrases in the New Testament, men who do not have access to the technical books required, like Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary of the New Testament. The critical student will appreciate the more delicate distinctions in words. But it is a sad fact that many ministers, laymen, and women, who took courses in Greek at college, university, or seminary, have allowed the cares of the world
and the deceitfulness of riches to choke off the Greek that they once knew. Some, strangely enough, have done it even in the supposed interest of the very gospel whose vivid messages they have thus allowed to grow dim and faint. If some of these vast numbers can have their interest in the Greek New Testament revived, these volumes will be worth while. Some may be incited, as many have been by my volume, The Minister and His Greek New Testament, to begin the study of the Greek New Testament under the guidance of a book like Davis's Beginner's Grammar of the Greek New Testament. Others who are without a turn for Greek or without any opportunity to start the study will be able to follow the drift of the remarks and be able to use it all to profit in sermons, in Sunday school lessons, or for private edification.

The words of the Canterbury Version will be used, sometimes with my own rendering added, and the transliterated Greek put in parenthesis. Thus one who knows no Greek can read straight ahead and get the point simply by skipping the Greek words which are of great value to those who do know some Greek. The text of Westcott and Hort will be used though not slavishly. Those who know Greek are expected to keep the Greek text open as they read or study these volumes. The publishers insisted on the transliteration to cut down the cost of printing.

The six volumes will follow this order; Volume I, The Gospel according to Matthew and Mark; Vol. II, The Gospel according to Luke; Vol. III, The Acts of the Apostles; Vol. IV, The Pauline Epistles; Vol. V, The Gospel according to John and the Epistle to the Hebrews; Vol. VI, the general Epistles and the Revelation of John. For purely exegetical and expository development a more chronological order would be required. These volumes do not claim to be formal commentary. Nowhere is the whole text discussed, but everywhere those words are selected for discussion which seem to be richest for the needs of the reader in the light of present-day knowledge. A great deal of the personal equation is thus inevitable. My own remarks will be now lexical, now grammatical, now archaeological, now exegetical, now illustrative, anything that the mood of the moment may move me to write that may throw light here and there on the New Testament words and idioms. Another writer might feel disposed to enlarge upon items not touched upon here. But that is to be expected even in the more formal commentaries, useful as they are. To some extent it is true of lexicons. No one man knows everything, even in his chosen specialty, or has the wisdom to pick out what every reader wishes explained. But even diamonds in the rough are diamonds. It is for the reader to polish them as he will. He can turn the light this way and that. There is a certain amount of repetition at some points, part of it on purpose to save time and to emphasize the point.

I have called these volumes Word Pictures for the obvious reason that language was originally purely pictographic. Children love to read by pictures either where it is all picture or where pictures are interspersed with simple words. The Rosetta Stone is a famous illus-
tration. The Egyptian hieroglyphics come at the top of the stone, followed by the Demotic Egyptian language with the Greek translation at the bottom. By means of this stone the secret of the hieroglyphs or pictographs was unravelled. Chinese characters are also pictographic. The pictures were first for ideas, then for words, then for syllables, then for letters. Today in Alaska there are Indians who still use pictures alone for communicating their ideas. "Most words have been originally metaphors, and metaphors are continually falling into the rank of words" (Professor Campbell). Rather is it not true that words are metaphors, sometimes with the pictured flower still blooming, sometimes with the blossom blurred? Words have never gotten wholly away from the picture stage. These old Greek words in the New Testament are rich with meaning. They speak to us out of the past and with lively images to those who have eyes to see. It is impossible to translate all of one language into another. Much can be carried over, but not all. Delicate shades of meaning defy the translator. But some of the very words of Jesus we have still as he said: "The words that I have spoken unto you are spirit and are life" (Joh 6:63 ). We must never forget that in dealing with the words of Jesus we are dealing with things that have life and breath. That is true of all the New Testament, the most wonderful of all books of all time. One can feel the very throb of the heart of Almighty God in the New Testament if the eyes of his own heart have been enlightened by the Holy Spirit. May the Spirit of God take of the things of Christ and make them ours as we muse over the words of life that speak to us out of the New Covenant that we call the New Testament.
A.T. ROBERTSON.

LOUISVILLE, KY.

## THE GOSPEL ACCORDING TO MATTHEW BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

The passing years do not make it any plainer who actually wrote our Greek Matthew. Papias records, as quoted by Eusebius, that Matthew wrote the Logia of Jesus in Hebrew (Aramaic). Is our present Matthew a translation of the Aramaic Logia along with Mark and other sources as most modern scholars think? If so, was the writer the Apostle Matthew or some other disciple? There is at present no way to reach a clear decision in the light of the known facts. There is no real reason why the Apostle Matthew could not have written both the Aramaic Logia and our Greek Matthew, unless one is unwilling to believe that he would make use of Mark's work on a par with his own. But Mark's book rests primarily on the preaching of Simon Peter. Scholfield has recently (1927) published An Old Hebrew Text of St. Matthew's Gospel. We know quite too little of the origin of the Synoptic Gospels to say dogmatically that the Apostle Matthew was not in any real sense the author.

If the book is genuine, as I believe, the date becomes a matter of interest. Here again there is nothing absolutely decisive save that it is later than the Gospel according to Mark which it apparently uses. If Mark is given an early date, between A.D. 50 to 60 , then Matthew's book may be between 60 and 70 , though many would place it between 70 and 80 . It is not certain whether Luke wrote after Matthew or not, though that is quite possible. There is no definite use of Matthew by Luke that has been shown. One guess is as good as another and each decides by his own predilections. My own guess is that A.D. 60 is as good as any.

In the Gospel itself we find Matthew the publican (Mt 9:9; 10:3) though Mark (Mr 2:14 ) and Luke (Lu 5:27) call him Levi the publican. Evidently therefore he had two names like John Mark. It is significant that Jesus called this man from so disreputable a business to follow him. He was apparently not a disciple of John the Baptist. He was specially chosen by Jesus to be one of the Twelve Apostles, a business man called into the ministry as was true of the fishermen James and John, Andrew and Simon. In the lists of the Apostles he comes either seventh or eighth. There is nothing definite told about him in the Gospels apart from the circle of the Twelve after the feast which he gave to his fellow publicans in honor of Jesus.

Matthew was in the habit of keeping accounts and it is quite possible that he took notes of the sayings of Jesus as he heard them. At any rate he gives much attention to the teachings of Jesus as, for instance, the Sermon on the Mount in chapters Mt 5-7, the parables in Mt 13, the denunciation of the Pharisees in Mt 23, the great eschatological discourse in Mt 24; Mt 25 . As a publican in Galilee he was not a narrow Jew and so we do not expect a book prejudiced in favor of the Jews and against the Gentiles. He does seem to show that Jesus is the Messiah of Jewish expectation and hope and so makes frequent quotations from the Old

Testament by way of confirmation and illustration. There is no narrow nationalism in Matthew. Jesus is both the Messiah of the Jews and the Saviour of the world.

There are ten parables in Matthew not in the other Gospels: The Tares, the Hid Treasure, the Net, the Pearl of Great Price, the Unmerciful Servant, the Labourers in the Vineyard, the Two Sons, the Marriage of the King's Son, the Ten Virgins, the Talents. The only miracles in Matthew alone are the Two Blind Men, the Coin in the Mouth of the Fish. But Matthew gives the narrative of the Birth of Jesus from the standpoint of Joseph while Luke tells that wonderful story from the standpoint of Mary. There are details of the Death and Resurrection given by Matthew alone.

The book follows the same general chronological plan as that in Mark, but with various groups like the miracles in Mt 8; Mt 9 , the parables in Mt 13 .

The style is free from Hebraisms and has few individual peculiarities. The author is fond of the phrase the kingdom of heaven and pictures Jesus as the Son of man, but also as the Son of God. He sometimes abbreviates Mark's statements and sometimes expands them to be more precise.

Plummer shows the broad general plan of both Mark and Matthew to be the same as follows:

Introduction to the Gospel: Mr 1:1-13; Mt 3:1-4:11 .
Ministry in Galilee: Mr 1:14-6:13; Mt 4:12-13:58 .
Ministry in the Neighborhood: Mr 6:14-9:50; Mt 14:1-18:35 .
Journey through Perea to Jerusalem: Mr 10:1-52; Mt 19:1-20:34 .
Last week in Jerusalem: Mr 11:1-16:8; Mt 21:1-28:8 .
The Gospel of Matthew comes first in the New Testament, though it is not so in all the Greek manuscripts. Because of its position it is the book most widely read in the New Testament and has exerted the greatest influence on the world. The book deserves this influence though it is later in date than Mark, not so beautiful as Luke, nor so profound as John. Yet it is a wonderful book and gives a just and adequate portraiture of the life and teachings of Jesus Christ as Lord and Saviour. The author probably wrote primarily to persuade Jews that Jesus is the fulfilment of their Messianic hopes as pictured in the Old Testament. It is thus a proper introduction to the New Testament story in comparison with the Old Testament prophecy.

THE TITLE
The Textus Receptus has "The Holy Gospel according to Matthew" ( $\tau \mathbf{~ к а \tau \alpha ~ M a \tau \theta a ı o v ~}$ aүıov Eva $\gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota}$ ov), though the Elzevirs omit "holy," not agreeing here with Stephanus, Griesbach, and Scholz. Only minuscules (cursive Greek manuscripts) and all late have the adjective. Other minuscules and nine uncials including W (the Washington Codex of the fifth century), C of the fifth century (the palimpsest manuscript) and Delta of the ninth together with most Latin manuscripts have simply "Gospel according to Matthew" (Eva $\gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı$ ıv

ката Mat $\theta a \iota o v)$. But Aleph and B the two oldest and best Greek uncials of the fourth century have only "According to Matthew" (Kata Ma日Өaıov) (note double th) and the Greek uncial D of the fifth or sixth century follows Aleph and B as do some of the earliest Old Latin manuscripts and the Curetonian Syriac. It is clear, therefore, that the earliest form of the title was simply "According to Matthew." It may be doubted if Matthew (or the author, if not Matthew) had any title at all. The use of "according to" makes it plain that the meaning is not "the Gospel of Matthew," but the Gospel as given by Matthew, $\sigma \varepsilon \chi \cup v \delta \nu \mu$ Mac $\theta a \varepsilon v \mu$, to distinguish the report by Matthew from that by Mark, by Luke, by John. Least of all is there any authority in the manuscripts for saying "Saint Matthew," a Roman Catholic practice observed by some Protestants.

The word Gospel (Evap $\gamma \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\lambda} \iota o v$ ) comes to mean good news in Greek, though originally a reward for good tidings as in Homer's Odyssey XIV. 152 and in 2Ki 4:10. In the New Testament it is the good news of salvation through Christ. The English word Gospel probably comes from the Anglo-Saxon Godspell, story or narrative of God, the life of Christ. It was early confused with the Anglo-Saxon godspell, good story, which seems like a translation of the Greek $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\imath}$ ov. But primarily the English word means the God story as seen in Christ which is the best news that the world has ever had. One thinks at once of the use of "word" ( $\Lambda$ oүos) in Joh 1:1,Joh 1:14. So then it is, according to the Greek, not the Good News of Matthew, but the Good News of God, brought to us in Christ the Word, the Son of God, the Image of the Father, the Message of the Father. We are to study this story first as presented by Matthew. The message is God's and it is as fresh to us today in Matthew's record as when he first wrote it.

## Matthew 1

Matt 1：1
The Book（ $\beta, \beta \lambda_{o c}$ ）．There is no article in the Greek，but the following genitives make it definite．It is our word Bible that is here used，the Book as Sir Walter Scott called it as he lay dying．The usual word for book is a diminutive form（ $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota ⿱ ⺌ 兀$ ），a little book or roll such as we have in Lu 4：17，＂The roll of the prophet Isaiah．＂The pieces of papyrus（ $\pi \alpha \pi \nu \rho o \varsigma)$, our paper，were pasted together to make a roll of varying lengths according to one＇s needs． Matthew，of course，is not applying the word book to the Old Testament，probably not to his own book，but to＂the genealogical table of Jesus Christ＂（ $\beta \iota \beta \lambda$ оऽ $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ Xpıбтov）， ＂the birth roll of Jesus Christ＂Moffatt translates it．We have no means of knowing where the writer obtained the data for this genealogy．It differs radically from that in Lu 3：23－38． One can only give his own theory of the difference．Apparently in Matthew we have the ac－ tual genealogy of Joseph which would be the legal pedigree of Jesus according to Jewish custom．In Luke we apparently have the actual genealogy of Mary which would be the real line of Jesus which Luke naturally gives as he is writing for the Gentiles．

Jesus Christ ．Both words are used．The first is the name（I $\eta$ oovৎ）given by the angel to Mary（Mt 1：21）which describes the mission of the child．The second was originally a verbal adjective（ $\chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau о \varsigma)$ meaning anointed from the verb to anoint（ $\chi \rho \stackrel{\omega}{ }$ ）．It was used often in the Septuagint as an adjective like＂the anointed priest＂（1Ki 2：10）and then as a substantive to translate the Hebrew word＂Messiah＂（Meббıa¢）．So Andrew said to Simon：＂We have found the Messiah，which is，being interpreted，Christ＂（Joh 1：41）．In the Gospels it is sometimes＂the Anointed One，＂＂the Messiah，＂but finally just a proper name as here，Jesus Christ．Paul in his later Epistles usually has it＂Christ Jesus．＂

The Son of David，the son of Abraham（viov $\Delta \alpha v \varepsilon เ \delta$ viov A $\beta \rho \alpha \alpha \mu$ ）．Matthew proposes to show that Jesus Christ is on the human side the son of David，as the Messiah was to be， and the son of Abraham，not merely a real Jew and the heir of the promises，but the promise made to Abraham．So Matthew begins his line with Abraham while Luke traces his line back to Adam．The Hebrew and Aramaic often used the word son $(\beta \eta \nu)$ for the quality or char－ acter，but here the idea is descent．Christians are called sons of God because Christ has be－ stowed this dignity upon us（Ro $8: 14 ; 9: 26 ; \mathrm{Ga} 3: 26 ; 4: 5-7$ ）．Verse 1 is the description of the list in verses 2－17．The names are given in three groups，Abraham to David（ 2－6），David to Babylon Removal（ 6－11），Jechoniah to Jesus（ 12－16）．The removal to Babylon（ $\mu$ гтонкєбเаऽ $B \alpha \beta \nu \lambda \omega v o \varsigma)$ occurs at the end of verse 11 ，the beginning of verse 12 ，and twice in the resume in verse 17．This great event is used to mark off the two last divisions from each other．It is a good illustration of the genitive as the case of genus or kind．The Babylon removal could mean either to Babylon or from Babylon or，indeed，the removal of Babylon．But the readers would know the facts from the Old Testament，the removal of the Jews to Babylon．Then
verse 17 makes a summary of the three lists, fourteen in each by counting David twice and omitting several, a sort of mnemonic device that is common enough. Matthew does not mean to say that there were only fourteen in actual genealogy. The names of the women (Thamar, Rahab, Ruth, Bathsheba the wife of Uriah) are likewise not counted. But it is a most interesting list.

Matt 1:2
Begat ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v v \eta \sigma \varepsilon v)$. This word comes, like some of the early chapters of Genesis, with regularity through verse 16 , until the birth of Jesus is reached when there is a sudden change. The word itself does not always mean immediate parentage, but merely direct descent. In verse 16 we have "Joseph the husband of Mary, from whom was begotten Jesus who is called
 article occurs here each time with the object of "begat," but not with the subject of the verb to distinguish sharply the proper names. In the case of David the King ( 1:6) and Joseph the husband of Mary ( $1: 16$ ) the article is repeated. The mention of the brethren of Judah ( $1: 2$ ) and of both Phares and Zara (1:3) may show that Matthew was not copying a family pedigree but making his own table. All the Greek manuscripts give verse 16 as above save the Ferrar Group of minuscules which are supported by the Sinaitic Syriac Version. Because of this fact Von Soden, whose text Moffatt translates, deliberately prints his text "Jacob begat Jesus" (I $\omega \sigma \eta \varphi \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \not \varepsilon \varepsilon v \nu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ I $\eta \sigma o u v$ ). But the Sinaitic Syriac gives the Virgin Birth of Jesus in Mt $1: 18-25$. Hence it is clear that "begat" here in $1: 16$ must merely mean line of descent or the text has been tampered with in order to get rid of the Virgin Birth idea, but it was left untouched in 1:18-25. I have a full discussion of the problem in chapter XIV of Studies in the Text of the New Testament. The evidence as it now stands does not justify changing the text of the Greek uncials to suit the Sinaitic Syriac. The Virgin Birth of Jesus remains in 1:16. The spelling of these Hebrew names in English is usually according to the Hebrew form, not the Greek. In the Greek itself the Hebrew spelling is often observed in violation of the Greek rules for the ending of words with no consonants save $n, r, s$. But the list is not spelled consistently in the Greek, now like the Hebrew as in Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, now like the Greek as in Judah, Solomon, Hezekiah, though the Hebrew style prevails.

Matt 1:18
The birth of Jesus Christ ( $\tau$ [ov [I $\eta \sigma o v]$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o v ~ \eta \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \iota$ ). In the Greek Jesus Christ comes before birth as the important matter after 1:16. It is not certain whether "Jesus" is here a part of the text as it is absent in the old Syriac and the Old Latin while the Washington Codex has only "Christ." The Vatican Codex has "Christ Jesus." But it is plain that the story of the birth of Jesus Christ is to be told briefly as follows, "on this wise" (out $\omega \varsigma$ ), the usual Greek idiom. The oldest and best manuscripts have the same word genealogy ( $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma เ \varsigma)$ used in 1:1, not the word for birth (begotten) as in 1:16 ( $\gamma \varepsilon \nu v \eta \sigma \iota)$ ). "It is in fact the word Genesis. The evangelist is about to describe, not the genesis of the heaven and the earth, but the
genesis of Him who made the heaven and the earth, and who will yet make a new heaven and a new earth" (Morison).

Betrothed to Joseph (Mv$\overline{\mathrm{L}} \tau \varepsilon \cup \theta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \eta \varsigma \tau \omega \mathrm{I} \omega \sigma \eta \varphi$ ). Matthew proceeds to explain his statement in 1:16 which implied that Joseph, though the legal father of Jesus in the royal line, was not the actual father of Mary's Son. Betrothal with the Jews was a serious matter, not lightly entered into and not lightly broken. The man who betrothed a maiden was legally husband (Ge 29:21; De 22:23f. ) and "an informal cancelling of betrothal was impossible" (McNeile). Though they did not live together as husband and wife till actual marriage, breach of faithfulness on the part of the betrothed was treated as adultery and punished with death. The New Testament in Braid Scots actually has "mairry't till Joseph" for "betrothed to Joseph." Matthew uses the genitive absolute construction here, a very common Greek idiom.

Of the Holy Ghost ( $\varepsilon \kappa \pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ \alpha \gamma เ \sim)$ ). The discovery that Mary was pregnant was inevitable and it is plain that she had not told Joseph. She "was found with child" (evpe日q $\varepsilon v \gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \chi 0 \cup \sigma \alpha)$. This way of putting it, the usual Greek idiom, plainly shows that it was the discovery that shocked Joseph. He did not as yet know what Matthew plainly asserts that the Holy Ghost, not Joseph and not any man, was responsible for the pregnancy of Mary. The problem of the Virgin Birth of Jesus has been a disturbing fact to some through all the ages and is today to those who do not believe in the pre-existence of Christ, the Son of God, before his Incarnation on earth. This is the primal fact about the Birth of Christ. The Incarnation of Christ is clearly stated by Paul (2Co 8:9; Php 2:5-11 ; and involved in Col 1:15-19) and by John (Joh 1:14; 17:5). If one frankly admits the actual pre-existence of Christ and the real Incarnation, he has taken the longest and most difficult step in the matter of the supernatural Birth of Christ. That being true, no merely human birth without the supernatural element can possibly explain the facts. Incarnation is far more than the Indwelling of God by the Holy Spirit in the human heart. To admit real incarnation and also full human birth, both father and mother, creates a greater difficulty than to admit the Virgin Birth of Jesus begotten by the Holy Spirit, as Matthew here says, and born of the Virgin Mary. It is true that only Matthew and Luke tell the story of the supernatural birth of Jesus, though Joh 1:14 seems to refer to it. Mark has nothing whatever concerning the birth and childhood of Jesus and so cannot be used as a witness on the subject. Both Matthew and Luke present the birth of Jesus as not according to ordinary human birth. Jesus had no human father. There is such a thing in nature as parthenogenesis in the lower orders of life. But that scientific fact has no bearing here. We see here God sending his Son into the world to be the world's Saviour and he gave him a human mother, but not a human father so that Jesus Christ is both Son of God and Son of Man, the God Man. Matthew tells the story of the birth of Jesus from the standpoint of Joseph as Luke gives it from the standpoint of Mary. The two narratives harmonize with each other. One credits these most wonderful of all birth narratives according as he believes in the love and power of Almighty God to do what he
wills. There is no miracle with God who has all power and all knowledge. The laws of nature are simply the expression of God's will, but he has not revealed all his will in the laws that we discover. God is Spirit. He is Person. He holds in his own power all life. Joh 3:16 is called the Little Gospel because it puts briefly the love of God for men in sending his own Son to live and die for us.

Matt 1:19
A Righteous Man ( $\delta ı \kappa \alpha \iota \zeta$ ). Or just, not benignant or merciful. The same adjective is used of Zacharias and Elizabeth (Lu 1:6 ) and Simeon (Lu 2:25). "An upright man," the Braid Scots has it. He had the Jewish conscientiousness for the observance of the law which would have been death by stoning (De 22:23 ). Though Joseph was upright, he would not do that. "As a good Jew he would have shown his zeal if he had branded her with public disgrace" (McNeile).

And yet not willing ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega v$ ). So we must understand $\kappa \alpha$ here, "and yet." Matthew makes a distinction here between "willing" ( $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega v$ ) and "wishing" ( $\varepsilon \beta \circ \cup \lambda \eta \theta \eta)$, that between purpose $(\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega)$ and desire ( $\beta$ ov $\lambda о \mu \alpha$ ) a distinction not always drawn, though present here. It was not his purpose to "make her a public example" ( $\delta \varepsilon \iota \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \alpha)$, from the root ( $\delta \varepsilon ı \kappa \nu \cup \mu$ to show), a rare word ( $\mathrm{Col} 2: 15$ ). The Latin Vulgate has it traducere, the Old Latin divulgare, Wycliff pupplische (publish), Tyndale defame, Moffatt disgrace, Braid Scots "Be i the mooth o' the public." The substantive ( $\delta \varepsilon \tau \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma)$ occurs on the Rosetta Stone in the sense of "verification." There are a few instances of the verb in the papyri though the meaning is not clear (Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary). The compound form appears ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \varepsilon ı \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \omega)$ in Heb 6:6 and there are earlier instances of this compound than of the uncompounded, curiously enough. But new examples of the simple verb, like the substantive, may yet be found. The papyri examples mean to furnish a sample (P Tebt. 5.75), to make trial of (P Ryl. I. 28.32). The substantive means exposure in (P Ryl. I. 28.70). At any rate it is clear that Joseph "was minded to put her away privily." He could give her a bill of divorcement ( $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda v \sigma \alpha$ ), the $\gamma \eta \tau$ laid down in the Mishna, without a public trial. He had to give her the writ ( $\gamma \eta \tau$ ) and pay the fine (De 24:1). So he proposed to do this privately ( $\lambda \alpha \theta \rho \alpha$ ) to avoid all the scandal possible. One is obliged to respect and sympathize with the motives of Joseph for he evidently loved Mary and was appalled to find her untrue to him as he supposed. It is impossible to think of Joseph as the actual father of Jesus according to the narrative of Matthew without saying that Matthew has tried by legend to cover up the illegitimate birth of Jesus. The Talmud openly charges this sin against Mary. Joseph had "a short but tragic struggle between his legal conscience and his love" (McNeile).

Matt 1:20
An angel of the Lord appeared unto him in a dream ( $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda о \varsigma ~ к и \rho ь о ~ к \alpha \tau ' ~ о \nu \alpha \rho ~ \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \nu \eta$ $\alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). This expression ( $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda о \varsigma \kappa \cup \rho \circ \circ$ ) is without the article in the New Testament except when, as in 1:24, there is reference to the angel previously mentioned. Sometimes in the Old

Testament Jehovah Himself is represented by this phrase. Surely Joseph needed God's help if ever man did. If Jesus was really God's Son, Joseph was entitled to know this supreme fact that he might be just to both Mary and her Child. It was in a dream, but the message was distinct and decisive for Joseph. He is called "Son of David" as had been shown by Matthew in Mt 1:16. Mary is called his "wife" ( $\tau \eta \nu \gamma u v a i k a ~ \sigma o v)$ ). He is told "not to become afraid" (ingressive first aorist passive subjunctive in prohibition, ( $\mu \eta \varphi \circ \beta \eta \theta \eta \iota)$ ), "to take to his side" ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \iota v$, ingressive aorist active infinitive) her whom he had planned ( $\varepsilon v \theta \nu \mu \eta \theta \varepsilon v \tau o \varsigma$, genitive absolute again, from $\varepsilon v$ and $\theta v \mu \circ \varsigma$ ) to send away with a writ of divorce. He had pondered and had planned as best he knew, but now God had called a halt and he had to decide whether he was willing to shelter Mary by marrying her and, if necessary, take upon himself whatever stigma might attach to her. Joseph was told that the child was begotten of the Holy Spirit and thus that Mary was innocent of any sin. But who would believe it now if he told it of her? Mary knew the truth and had not told him because she could not expect him to believe it.

Matt 1:21
Thou shalt call his name Jesus (Ka入єбєєৎ to ovoua autov I $\eta \sigma o v v$ ). The rabbis named six whose names were given before birth: "Isaac, Ishmael, Moses, Solomon, Josiah, and the name of the Messiah, whom may the Holy One, blessed be His name, bring in our day." The angel puts it up to Joseph as the putative father to name the child. "Jesus is the same as Joshua, a contraction of Jehoshuah (Nu 13:16; 1Ch 7:27), signifying in Hebrew, 'Jehovah is helper,' or 'Help of Jehovah'" (Broadus). So Jesus is the Greek form of Joshua (Heb 4:8). He is another Joshua to lead the true people of God into the Promised Land. The name itself was common enough as Josephus shows. Jehovah is Salvation as seen in Joshua for the Hebrews and in Jesus for all believers. "The meaning of the name, therefore, finds expression in the title Saviour applied to our Lord (Lu 1:47; 2:11; Joh 4:42 )" (Vincent). He will save ( $\sigma \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ) his people from their sins and so be their Saviour ( $\Sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$ ). He will be prophet, priest, and king, but "Saviour" sums it all up in one word. The explanation is carried out in the promise, "for he is the one who (avtoc) will save ( $\sigma \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ with a play on the name Jesus) his people from their sins." Paul will later explain that by the covenant people, the children of promise, God means the spiritual Israel, all who believe whether Jews or Gentiles. This wonderful word touches the very heart of the mission and message of the Messiah. Jesus himself will show that the kingdom of heaven includes all those and only those who have the reign of God in their hearts and lives.

From their sins ( $\alpha \pi 0 \tau \omega v \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \omega v \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Both sins of omission and of commission. The substantive ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha$ ) is from the verb ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v \varepsilon เ v$ ) and means missing the mark as with an arrow. How often the best of us fall short and fail to score. Jesus will save us away from ( $\alpha \pi 0$ ) as well as out of $(\varepsilon \xi)$ our sins. They will be cast into oblivion and he will cover them up out of sight.

Matt 1:22
That it may be fulfilled (iva $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \eta$ ). Alford says that "it is impossible to interpret iva in any other sense than in order that." That was the old notion, but modern grammarians recognize the non-final use of this particle in the Koine and even the consecutive like the Latin $u t$. Some even argue for a causal use. If the context called for result, one need not hesitate to say so as in Mr 11:28; Joh 9:36; 1Jo 1:9; Re 9:20; 13:13. See discussion in my Grammar of the Greek New Testament in the Light of Historical Research, pp. 997-9. All the same it is purpose here, God's purpose, Matthew reports the angel as saying, spoken "by (vло, immediate agent) the Lord through ( $\delta \iota \alpha$, intermediate agent) the prophet."
"All this has happened" ( $\tau о \cup \tau о ~ \delta \varepsilon ~ o \lambda o v ~ \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \varepsilon v$, present perfect indicative), stands on record as historical fact. But the Virgin Birth of Jesus is not due to this interpretation of Isa 7:14. It is not necessary to maintain (Broadus) that Isaiah himself saw anything more in his prophecy than that a woman then a virgin, would bear a son and that in the course of a few years Ahaz would be delivered from the king of Syria and Israel by the coming of the Assyrians. This historical illustration finds its richest fulfilment in the birth of Jesus from Mary. "Words of themselves are empty. They are useful only as vessels to convey things from mind to mind" (Morison). The Hebrew word for young woman is translated by virgin ( $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \varepsilon v o c$ ), but it is not necessary to conclude that Isaiah himself contemplated the supernatural birth of Jesus. We do not have to say that the idea of the Virgin Birth of Jesus came from Jewish sources. Certainly it did not come from the pagan myths so foreign to this environment, atmosphere and spirit. It is far simpler to admit the supernatural fact than try to explain the invention of the idea as a myth to justify the deification of Jesus. The birth, life, and death of Jesus throw a flood of light on the Old Testament narrative and prophecies for the early Christians. In Matthew and John in particular we often see "that the events of Christ's life were divinely ordered for the express purpose of fulfilling the Old Testament" (McNeile). See Mt 2:15,23; 4:14-17; 8:17; 12:17-21; 13:25; 21:4f.; Joh 12:38f.; 13:18; 19:24,28,36f

Matt 1:23
They shall call ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \sigma v \sigma \iota v)$. Men, people, will call his name Immanuel, God with us. "The interest of the evangelist, as of all New Testament writers, in prophecy, was purely religious" (Bruce). But surely the language of Isaiah has had marvellous illustration in the Incarnation of Christ. This is Matthew's explanation of the meaning of Immanuel, a descriptive appellation of Jesus Christ and more than a mere motto designation. God's help, Jesus=the Help of God, is thus seen. One day Jesus will say to Philip: "He that has seen me has seen the Father" (Joh 14:9).

Matt 1:24
Took unto him his wife ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon v \tau \eta v \gamma \cup v a ı \kappa \alpha \alpha v \tau o v$ ). The angel had told him not to be afraid to "take to his side" Mary his wife ( 1:20). So when he awoke from his sleep he
promptly obeyed the angel and "took his wife home" (Moffatt). One can only imagine the relief and joy of Mary when Joseph nobly rose to his high duty toward her. I have tried to sketch Mary's problems in Mary the Mother of Jesus: Her Problems and Her Glory.

Matt 1:25
And knew her not ( $\kappa \alpha$ оик $\varepsilon \gamma เ v \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha \cup \tau \eta v$ ). Note the imperfect tense, continuous or linear action. Joseph lived in continence with Mary till the birth of Jesus. Matthew does not say that Mary bore no other children than Jesus. "Her firstborn" is not genuine here, but is a part of the text in Lu 2:7. The perpetual virginity of Mary is not taught here. Jesus had brothers and sisters and the natural meaning is that they were younger children of Joseph and Mary and not children of Joseph by a previous marriage. So Joseph "called his name Jesus" as the angel had directed and the child was born in wedlock. Joseph showed that he was an upright man in a most difficult situation.

## Matthew 2

Matt 2:1
Now when Jesus was born ( $\tau 0 v \delta \varepsilon$ I $\eta \sigma o v \gamma \varepsilon v v \eta \theta \varepsilon v \tau o \varsigma)$. The fact of the birth of Jesus is stated by the genitive absolute construction (first aorist passive participle of the same verb $\gamma^{\varepsilon} v v a \omega$ used twice already of the birth of Jesus, 1:16,20, and used in the genealogy, 1:2-16). Matthew does not propose to give biographic details of the supernatural birth of Jesus, wonderful as it was and disbelieved as it is by some today who actually deny that Jesus was born at all or ever lived, men who talk of the Jesus Myth, the Christ Myth, etc. "The main purpose is to show the reception given by the world to the new-born Messianic King. Homage from afar, hostility at home; foreshadowing the fortunes of the new faith: reception by the Gentiles, rejection by the Jews" (Bruce).

In Bethlehem of Judea ( $\varepsilon v \mathrm{~B} \eta \theta \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu \tau \eta \varsigma$ Iov $\delta \alpha ı \alpha \varsigma$ ). There was a Bethlehem in Galilee seven miles northwest of Nazareth (Josephus, Antiquities XIX. 15). This Bethlehem (house of bread, the name means) of Judah was the scene of Ruth's life with Boaz (Ru 1:1f.; Mt. 1:5 ) and the home of David, descendant of Ruth and ancestor of Jesus (Mt. 1:5 ). David was born here and anointed king by Samuel (1Sa 17:12 ). The town came to be called the city of David (Lu 2:11). Jesus, who was born in this House of Bread called himself the Bread of Life (Joh 6:35), the true Manna from heaven. Matthew assumes the knowledge of the details of the birth of Jesus in Bethlehem which are given in Lu 2:1-7 or did not consider them germane to his purpose. Joseph and Mary went to Bethlehem from Nazareth because it was the original family home for both of them. The first enrolment by the Emperor Augustus as the papyri show was by families (кат' oוкıav). Possibly Joseph had delayed the journey for some reason till now it approached the time for the birth of the child.
 date for the birth of Christ given by Matthew. Luke gives a more precise date in his Gospel (Lu 2:1-3), the time of the first enrolment by Augustus and while Cyrenius was ruler of Syria. More will be said of Luke's date when we come to his Gospel. We know from Matthew that Jesus was born while Herod was king, the Herod sometimes called Herod the Great. Josephus makes it plain that Herod died B.C. 4. He was first Governor of Galilee, but had been king of Judaea since B.C. 40 (by Antony and Octavius). I call him "Herod the Great Pervert" in Some Minor Characters in the New Testament. He was great in sin and in cruelty and had won the favour of the Emperor. The story in Josephus is a tragedy. It is not made plain by Matthew how long before the death of Herod Jesus was born. Our traditional date A.D. 1, is certainly wrong as Matthew shows. It seems plain that the birth of Jesus cannot be put later than B.C. 5. The data supplied by Luke probably call for B.C. 6 or 7.

Wise men from the east ( $\mu \alpha \gamma o \alpha \pi o ~ a v \alpha \tau o \lambda \omega v$ ). The etymology of $M \alpha \gamma$ is quite uncertain. It may come from the same Indo-European root as (megas) magnus, though some find
it of Babylonian origin. Herodotus speaks of a tribe of Magi among the Medians. Among the Persians there was a priestly caste of Magi like the Chaldeans in Babylon (Da 1:4 ). Daniel was head of such an order (Da 2:48). It is the same word as our "magician" and it sometimes carried that idea as in the case of Simon Magus (Ac 8:9,11) and of Elymas Barjesus (Ac 13:6,8 ). But here in Matthew the idea seems to be rather that of astrologers. Babylon was the home of astrology, but we only know that the men were from the east whether Arabia, Babylon, Persia, or elsewhere. The notion that they were kings arose from an interpretation of Is 60:3; Re 21:24. The idea that they were three in number is due to the mention of three kinds of gifts (gold, frankincense, myrrh), but that is no proof at all. Legend has added to the story that the names were Caspar, Balthasar, and Melchior as in Ben Hur and also that they represent Shem, Ham, and Japhet. A casket in the Cologne Cathedral actually is supposed to contain the skulls of these three Magi. The word for east ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \alpha v \alpha \tau o \lambda \omega \nu$ ) means "from the risings" of the sun.

Matt 2:2
For we saw his star in the east ( $\varepsilon \iota \delta \circ \mu \varepsilon v \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha v \tau 0 v \tau 0 v \alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \alpha v \alpha \tau o \lambda \eta$ ). This does not mean that they saw the star which was in the east. That would make them go east to follow it instead of west from the east. The words "in the east" are probably to be taken with "we saw" i.e. we were in the east when we saw it, or still more probably "we saw his star at its rising" or "when it rose" as Moffatt puts it. The singular form here ( $\tau \eta \alpha v \alpha \tau o \lambda \eta$ ) does sometimes mean "east" (Re 21:13), though the plural is more common as in Mt 2:1. In Lu 1:78 the singular means dawn as the verb (aveтєı入عv) does in Mt 4:16 (Septuagint). The Magi ask where is the one born king of the Jews. They claim that they had seen his star, either a miracle or a combination of bright stars or a comet. These men may have been Jewish proselytes and may have known of the Messianic hope, for even Vergil had caught a vision of it. The whole world was on tiptoe of expectancy for something. Moulton (Journal of Theological Studies, 1902, p. 524) "refers to the Magian belief that a star could be the fravashi, the counterpart or angel (cf. Mt 18:10) of a great man" (McNeile). They came to worship the newly born king of the Jews. Seneca (Epistle 58) tells of Magians who came to Athens with sacrifices to Plato after his death. They had their own way of concluding that the star which they had seen pointed to the birth of this Messianic king. Cicero ( $D e$ Divin. i. 47) "refers to the constellation from which, on the birthnight of Alexander, Magians foretold that the destroyer of Asia was born" (McNeile). Alford is positive that no miracle is intended by the report of the Magi or by Matthew in his narrative. But one must be allowed to say that the birth of Jesus, if really God's only Son who has become Incarnate, is the greatest of all miracles. Even the methods of astrologers need not disturb those who are sure of this fact.

Matt 2:3

He was troubled, and all Jerusalem with him ( $\varepsilon \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \theta \eta \kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha$ I $\varepsilon \rho о \sigma о \lambda \nu \mu \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ avtov). Those familiar with the story of Herod the Great in Josephus can well understand the meaning of these words. Herod in his rage over his family rivalries and jealousies put to death the two sons of Mariamne (Aristobulus and Alexander), Mariamne herself, and Antipater, another son and once his heir, besides the brother and mother of Mariamne (Aristobulus, Alexandra) and her grandfather John Hyrcanus. He had made will after will and was now in a fatal illness and fury over the question of the Magi. He showed his excitement and the whole city was upset because the people knew only too well what he could do when in a rage over the disturbance of his plans. "The foreigner and usurper feared a rival, and the tyrant feared the rival would be welcome" (Bruce). Herod was a hated Idumaean.

Matt 2:4
He inquired of them where the Christ should be born ( $\varepsilon \pi v v \theta \alpha v \varepsilon \tau о ~ \pi \alpha \rho ' ~ \alpha u \tau \omega v \pi о v$ o Xpıoтos $\gamma \varepsilon v v a \tau \alpha$ ). The prophetic present ( $\gamma \varepsilon v v a \tau \alpha$ ) is given, the very words of Herod retained by Matthew's report. The imperfect tense (epunthaneto) suggests that Herod inquired repeatedly, probably of one and another of the leaders gathered together, both Sadducees (chief priests) and Pharisees (scribes). McNeile doubts, like Holtzmann, if Herod actually called together all the Sanhedrin and probably "he could easily ask the question of a single scribe," because he had begun his reign with a massacre of the Sanhedrin (Josephus, Ant. XIV. ix. 4). But that was thirty years ago and Herod was desperately in earnest to learn what the Jews really expected about the coming of "the Messiah." Still Herod probably got together not the Sanhedrin since "elders" are not mentioned, but leaders among the chief priests and scribes, not a formal meeting but a free assembly for conference. He had evidently heard of this expected king and he would swallow plenty of pride to be able to compass the defeat of these hopes.

Matt 2:5
And they said unto him (o $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon ו \pi \alpha \nu \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). Whether the ecclesiastics had to search their scriptures or not, they give the answer that is in accord with the common Jewish opinion that the Messiah was to come from Bethlehem and of the seed of David (Joh 7:42 ). So they quote Mic 5:2, "a free paraphrase" Alford calls it, for it is not precisely like the Hebrew text or like the Septuagint. It may have come from a collection of testimonia with which J. Rendel Harris has made the world familiar. He had consulted the experts and now he has their answer. Bethlehem of Judah is the place. The use of the perfect passive indicative ( $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha)$ is the common form in quoting scripture. It stands written.

Shall be shepherd ( $\pi о \mu \alpha v \varepsilon$ ). The Authorized Version had "shall rule," but "shepherd" is correct. "Homer calls kings 'the shepherds of the people"' (Vincent). In Heb 13:20 Jesus is called "the great shepherd of the sheep." Jesus calls himself "the good shepherd" (Joh 10:11 ). Peter calls Christ "the chief shepherd" (1Pe 2:25). "The Lamb which is in the midst of the
throne shall be their shepherd" (Re 7:17). Jesus told Peter to "shepherd" the lambs (Joh 21:16 ). Our word pastor means shepherd.

Matt 2:7
Then Herod privily called the wise men ( $\tau о \tau \varepsilon ~ Н \eta \rho \omega ı \delta \eta \varsigma \lambda \alpha \theta \rho \alpha$ ка $\lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau о и \varsigma ~ \mu \alpha \gamma о ч \varsigma) . ~$ He had manifestly not told members of the Sanhedrin why he was concerned about the Messiah. So he conceals his motives to the Magi. And yet he "learned of them carefully" ( $\varepsilon \kappa \rho \beta \omega \sigma \varepsilon v)$ ) "learned exactly" or "accurately." He was anxious to see if the Jewish prophecy of the birthplace of the Messiah agreed with the indications of the star to the Magi. He kept
 is not "the time when the star appeared," but the age of the star's appearance.

Matt 2:8
Sent them to Bethlehem and said ( $\pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \alpha \varsigma ~ a \cup \tau о ט \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ B \eta ~ \theta \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu ~ \varepsilon ı \pi \varepsilon v) . ~ S i m u l t a n e o u s ~$ aorist participle, "sending said." They were to "search out accurately" ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon \alpha \kappa \rho \curlywedge \beta \omega$ ) concerning the child. Then "bring me word, that I also may come and worship him." The deceit of Herod seemed plausible enough and might have succeeded but for God's intervention to protect His Son from the jealous rage of Herod.

Matt 2:9
Went before them ( $\pi \rho \circ \eta \gamma^{\varepsilon} v$ autous). Imperfect tense, kept on in front of them, not as a guide to the town since they now knew that, but to the place where the child was, the inn according to Lu 2:7. Justin Martyr says that it was in a cave. The stall where the cattle and donkeys stayed may have been beneath the inn in the side of the hill.

Matt 2:10
They rejoiced with exceeding great joy ( $\varepsilon \chi \alpha \rho \eta \sigma \alpha v \chi \alpha \rho \alpha v \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta v \sigma \varphi \circ \delta \rho \alpha$ ). Second aorist passive indicative with cognate accusative. Their joy was due to the success of the search.

Matt 2:11
Opening their treasures ( $\alpha v o ı \xi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \tau o u c ~ Ө \eta \sigma \alpha \cup \rho o u \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau \omega v)$. Here "treasures" means "caskets" from the verb $(\tau i \theta \eta \mu)$, receptacle for valuables. In the ancient writers it meant "treasury" as in 1Macc. 3:29. So a "storehouse" as in Mt 13:52. Then it means the things laid up in store, treasure in heaven (Mt 6:20), in Christ (Col 2:3 ). In their "caskets" the Magi had gold, frankincense, and myrrh, all found at that time in Arabia, though gold was found in Babylon and elsewhere.

Matt 2:12
Warned in a dream ( $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ ovap). The verb means to transact business ( $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ from $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha$, and that from $\chi \rho \alpha o \mu \alpha$, to use. Then to consult, to deliberate, to make answer as of magistrates or an oracle, to instruct, to admonish. In the Septuagint and the New Testament it occurs with the idea of being warned by God and also in the papyri (Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 122). Wycliff puts it here: "An answer taken in sleep."

Matt 2:15
Until the death of Herod ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \tau \eta \varsigma H \eta \rho \omega t \delta o v$ ). The Magi had been warned in a dream not to report to Herod and now Joseph was warned in a dream to take Mary and the child along ( $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \iota \nu \tau 0 v \alpha \pi o \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha$ gives a vivid picture of the purpose of Herod in these three verbs). In Egypt Joseph was to keep Mary and Jesus till the death of Herod the monster. Matthew quotes Ho 11:1 to show that this was in fulfilment of God's purpose to call his Son out of Egypt. He may have quoted again from a collection of testimonia rather than from the Septuagint. There is a Jewish tradition in the Talmud that Jesus "brought with him magic arts out of Egypt in an incision on his body" (Shabb. 104b). "This attempt to ascribe the Lord's miracles to Satanic agency seems to be independent of Matthew, and may have been known to him, so that one object of his account may have been to combat it" (McNeile).

Matt 2:16
Slew all the male children that were in Bethlehem ( $\alpha v \varepsilon ı \lambda \varepsilon v \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau o v \varsigma \pi \alpha ı \delta \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau o v \varsigma, ~$ $\varepsilon \nu B \eta \theta \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu$ ). The flight of Joseph was justified, for Herod was violently enraged ( $\varepsilon \theta v \mu \omega \theta \eta$ $\lambda(\alpha v)$ that he had been mocked by the Magi, deluded in fact ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \pi \alpha \downarrow \Theta \eta)$. Vulgate illusus esset. Herod did not know, of course, how old the child was, but he took no chances and included all the little boys ( $\tau 0 \vee \varsigma \pi \alpha \iota \delta \alpha$, masculine article) in Bethlehem two years old and under, perhaps fifteen or twenty. It is no surprise that Josephus makes no note of this small item in Herod's chamber of horrors. It was another fulfilment of the prophecy in Jer 31:15. The quotation ( $2: 18$ ) seems to be from the Septuagint. It was originally written of the Babylonian captivity but it has a striking illustration in this case also. Macrobius (Sat. II. iv. II) notes that Augustus said that it was better to be Herod's sow (vৎ) than his son (vioc), for the sow had a better chance of life.

Matt 2:20
For they are dead ( $\tau \varepsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa \alpha \sigma \iota)$ ). Only Herod had sought to kill the young child, but it is a general statement of a particular fact as is common with people who say: "They say." The idiom may be suggested by Ex 4:19 : "For all are dead that sought thy life."

Matt 2:22
Warned in a dream ( $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ оv $\alpha \rho$ ). He was already afraid to go to Judea because Archelaus was reigning (ruling, not technically king, $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ ). In a fret at last before his death Herod had changed his will again and put Archelaus, the worst of his living sons, in the place of Antipas. So Joseph went to Galilee. Matthew has had nothing about the previous dwelling of Joseph and Mary in Nazareth. We learn that from Luke who tells nothing of the flight into Egypt. The two narratives supplement one another and are in no sense contradictory.

Matt 2:23

Should be called a Nazarene ( $\mathrm{N} \alpha \zeta \omega \rho \alpha \iota \varsigma \kappa \lambda \eta \eta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Matthew says "that it might be fulfilled which was spoken by the prophets" ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \omega v$ ). It is the plural and no single prophecy exists which says that the Messiah was to be called a Nazarene. It may be that this term of contempt (Joh 1:46; 7:52) is what is meant, and that several prophecies are to be combined like Ps. 22:6,8; 69:11,19; Isa 53:2,3,4. The name Nazareth means a shoot or branch, but it is by no means certain that Matthew has this in mind. It is best to confess that we do not know. See Broadus on Matthew for the various theories. But, despised as Nazareth was at that time, Jesus has exalted its fame. The lowly Nazarene he was at first, but it is our glory to be the followers of the Nazarene. Bruce says that "in this case, therefore, we certainly know that the historic fact suggested the prophetic reference, instead of the prophecy creating the history." The parallels drawn by Matthew between the history of Israel and the birth and infancy of Jesus are not mere fancy. History repeats itself and writers of history find frequent parallels. Surely Matthew is not beyond the bounds of reason or of fact in illustrating in his own way the birth and infancy of Jesus by the Providence of God in the history of Israel.

## Matthew 3

Matt 3:1
And in those days cometh John the Baptist ( $\varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha ı \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma ı v \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \nu \eta \varsigma$ о $\mathrm{B} \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Here the synoptic narrative begins with the baptism of John (Mt. 3:1; Mr 1:2; Lu 3:1) as given by Peter in Ac 1:22, "from the baptism of John, unto the day that he was received up from us" (cf. also Ac 10:37-43, Peter's summary to Cornelius very much like the outline of Mark's Gospel). Matthew does not indicate the date when John appeared as Luke does in ch. 3 (the fifteenth year of Tiberius's reign). It was some thirty years after the birth of John, precisely how long after the return of Joseph and Mary to Nazareth we do not know. Moffatt translates the verb ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \iota v \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ) "came on the scene," but it is the historical present and calls for a vivid imagination on the part of the reader. There he is as he comes forward, makes his appearance. His name John means "Gift of Jehovah" (cf. German Gotthold) and is a shortened form of Johanan. He is described as "the Baptist," "the Baptizer" for that is the rite that distinguishes him. The Jews probably had proselyte baptism as I. Abrahams shows (Studies in Pharisaism and the Gospels, p. 37). But this rite was meant for the Gentiles who accepted Judaism. John is treating the Jews as Gentiles in demanding baptism at their hands on the basis of repentance.

Preaching in the wilderness of Judea (K $\quad \rho \cup \sigma \sigma \omega v \varepsilon v \tau \eta \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \omega \tau \eta \varsigma$ Iov $\delta a 1 a \varsigma$ ). It was the rough region in the hills toward the Jordan and the Dead Sea. There were some people scattered over the barren cliffs. Here John came in close touch with the rocks, the trees, the goats, the sheep, and the shepherds, the snakes that slipped before the burning grass over the rocks. He was the Baptizer, but he was also the Preacher, heralding his message out in the barren hills at first where few people were, but soon his startling message drew crowds from far and near. Some preachers start with crowds and drive them away.

## Matt 3:2

Repent ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ ). Broadus used to say that this is the worst translation in the New Testament. The trouble is that the English word "repent" means "to be sorry again" from the Latin repoenitet (impersonal). John did not call on the people to be sorry, but to change (think afterwards) their mental attitudes ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon ı \tau \varepsilon$ ) and conduct. The Vulgate has it "do penance" and Wycliff has followed that. The Old Syriac has it better: "Turn ye." The French (Geneva) has it "Amendez vous." This is John's great word (Bruce) and it has been hopelessly mistranslated. The tragedy of it is that we have no one English word that reproduces exactly the meaning and atmosphere of the Greek word. The Greek has a word meaning to be sorry ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda o \mu \alpha$ ) which is exactly our English word repent and it is used of Judas (Mt 27:3). John was a new prophet with the call of the old prophets: "Turn ye" (Joe 2:12; Isa. 55:7; Eze 33:11,15 ).

For the kingdom of heaven is at hand ( $\eta \gamma \gamma \iota \kappa \varepsilon \nu \gamma \alpha \rho \eta$ B $\alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ ov $\rho \alpha \nu \omega \nu$ ). Note the position of the verb and the present perfect tense. It was a startling word that John thundered over the hills and it re-echoed throughout the land. The Old Testament prophets had said that it would come some day in God's own time. John proclaims as the herald of the new day that it has come, has drawn near. How near he does not say, but he evidently means very near, so near that one could see the signs and the proof. The words "the kingdom of heaven" he does not explain. The other Gospels use "the kingdom of God" as Matthew does a few times, but he has "the kingdom of heaven" over thirty times. He means "the reign of God," not the political or ecclesiastical organization which the Pharisees expected. His words would be understood differently by different groups as is always true of popular preachers. The current Jewish apocalypses had numerous eschatological ideas connected with the kingdom of heaven. It is not clear what sympathy John had with these eschatological features. He employs vivid language at times, but we do not have to confine John's intellectual and theological horizon to that of the rabbis of his day. He has been an original student of the Old Testament in his wilderness environment without any necessary contact with the Essenes who dwelt there. His voice is a new one that strikes terror to the perfunctory theologians of the temple and of the synagogue. It is the fashion of some critics to deny to John any conception of the spiritual content of his words, a wholly gratuitous criticism.

For this is he that was spoken of by Isaiah the prophet (ov Eбaıov tov $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau 0 v$ ). This is Matthew's way of interpreting the mission and message of the Baptist. He quotes Isa 40:3 where "the prophet refers to the return of Israel from the exile, accompanied by their God" (McNeile). He applies it to the work of John as "a voice crying in the wilderness" for the people to make ready the way of the Lord who is now near. He was only a voice, but what a voice he was. He can be heard yet across the centuries.

Matt 3:4
Now John himself ( $\alpha \cup \tau \circ \varsigma \delta \varepsilon$ o I $\omega \alpha \nu \eta \varsigma$ ). Matthew thus introduces the man himself and draws a vivid sketch of his dress (note $\varepsilon \iota \chi \varepsilon \nu$, imperfect tense), his habit, and his food. Would such an uncouth figure be welcome today in any pulpit in our cities? In the wilderness it did not matter. It was probably a matter of necessity with him, not an affectation, though it was the garb of the original Elijah (2Ki 1:8), rough sackcloth woven from the hair of camels. Plummer holds that "John consciously took Elijah as a model."

Matt 3:6
And they were baptized ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \zeta о \nu \tau 0)$. It is the imperfect tense to show the repetition of the act as the crowds from Judea and the surrounding country kept going out to him ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi о \rho \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau о$ ), imperfect again, a regular stream of folks going forth. Moffatt takes it as causative middle, "got baptized," which is possible. "The movement of course was gradual. It began on a small scale and steadily grew till it reached colossal proportions" (Bruce). It is a pity that baptism is now such a matter of controversy. Let Plummer, the great Church of

England commentator on Matthew, speak here of John's baptising these people who came in throngs: "It is his office to bind them to a new life, symbolized by immersion in water." That is correct, symbolized, not caused or obtained. The word "river" is in the correct text, "river Jordan." They came "confessing their sins" ( $\varepsilon \xi_{0} \mu \boldsymbol{\jmath}$ оүои $\mu \varepsilon v o$ ), probably each one confessing just before he was baptized, "making open confession" (Weymouth). Note $\varepsilon \xi$. It was a never to be forgotten scene here in the Jordan. John was calling a nation to a new life. They came from all over Judea and even from the other side of El Ghor (the Jordan Gorge), Perea. Mark adds that finally all Jerusalem came.

Matt 3:7
The Pharisees and Sadducees ( $\tau \omega \nu$ Фарıбаı $\omega v$ ка $\Sigma a \delta \delta o v \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \nu$ ). These two rival parties do not often unite in common action, but do again in Mt 16:1. "Here a strong attraction, there a strong repulsion, made them for the moment forget their differences" (McNeile). John saw these rival ecclesiastics "coming for baptism" ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \tau \tau \beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha)$. Alford speaks of "the Pharisees representing hypocritical superstition; the Sadducees carnal unbelief." One cannot properly understand the theological atmosphere of Palestine at this time without an adequate knowledge of both Pharisees and Sadducees. The books are numerous besides articles in the Bible dictionaries. I have pictured the Pharisees in my first (1916) Stone Lectures, The Pharisees and Jesus. John clearly grasped the significance of this movement on the part of the Pharisees and Sadducees who had followed the crowds to the Jordan. He had welcomed the multitudes, but right in the presence of the crowds he exposes the hypocrisy of the ecclesiastics.

Ye offspring of vipers ( $\gamma \varepsilon v v \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \varepsilon \chi เ \delta v \omega v)$. Jesus (Mt 12:34; 23:33) will use the same language to the Pharisees. Broods of snakes were often seen by John in the rocks and when a fire broke out they would scurry ( $\varphi \cup \gamma \varepsilon เ v$ ) to their holes for safety. "The coming wrath" was not just for Gentiles as the Jews supposed, but for all who were not prepared for the kingdom of heaven ( 1 Th 1:10). No doubt the Pharisees and Sadducees winced under the sting of this powerful indictment.

Matt 3:8
Fruit worthy of repentance (Kарлоv $\alpha \xi ו \circ \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. John demands proof from these men of the new life before he administers baptism to them. "The fruit is not the change of heart, but the acts which result from it" (McNeile). It was a bold deed for John thus to challenge as unworthy the very ones who posed as lights and leaders of the Jewish people. "Any one can do ( $\pi$ oı $\sigma \sigma \alpha \varepsilon, \varsigma \iota \delta \varepsilon$ Ge 1:11) acts externally good but only a good man can grow a crop of right acts and habits" (Bruce).

Matt 3:9
And think not to say within yourselves ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \eta \delta o \xi \eta \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon เ v \varepsilon v \varepsilon a v \tau o เ \varsigma)$ ). John touched the tender spot, their ecclesiastical pride. They felt that the "merits of the fathers," especially
of Abraham, were enough for all Israelites. At once John made clear that, reformer as he was, a breach existed between him and the religious leaders of the time.

Of these stones ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \vee \lambda_{\imath} \theta \omega \nu \tau o v \tau \omega v$ ). "Pointing, as he spoke to the pebbles on the beach of the Jordan" (Vincent).

Matt 3:10
Is the axe laid ( $\eta \alpha \xi \iota v \eta \kappa \varepsilon \iota \alpha)$ ). This verb $\kappa \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ is used as the perfect passive of $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$. But the idea really is, "the axe lies at ( $\pi \rho \rho \varsigma$, before) the root of the trees." It is there ready for business. The prophetic present occurs also with "is hewn down" and "cast."

Matt 3:11
Mightier than I (เб $\chi \cup \rho о \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma \mu \circ v$ ). Ablative after the comparative adjective. His baptism is water baptism, but the Coming One "will baptize in the Holy Spirit and fire." "Life in the coming age is in the sphere of the Spirit. Spirit and fire are coupled with one preposition as a double baptism" (McNeile). Broadus takes "fire" in the sense of separation like the use of the fan. As the humblest of servants John felt unworthy to take off the sandals of the Coming One. About $\beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \zeta \omega$ see on Mt 8:17 .

Matt 3:12
Will burn up with unquenchable fire ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha v \sigma \varepsilon ~ \pi v \rho ~ \alpha \sigma \beta \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega$ ). Note perfective use of kata. The threshing floor, the fan, the wheat, the garner, the chaff ( $\alpha \chi$ voov, chaff, straw, stubble), the fire furnish a life-like picture. The "fire" here is probably judgment by and at the coming of the Messiah just as in verse 11. The Messiah "will thoroughly cleanse" ( $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho ı \varepsilon$, Attic future of $-\iota \zeta \omega$ and note $\delta \iota \alpha-$ ). He will sweep from side to side to make it clean.

Matt 3:13
Then cometh Jesus ( $\tau о \tau \varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ o I I $\sigma o u \varsigma)$. The same historical present used in 3:1. He comes all the way from Galilee to Jordan "to be baptized by him" ( $\tau$ vo $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta v a$ ито avtov). The genitive articular infinitive of purpose, a very common idiom. The fame of John had reached Nazareth and the hour has come for which Jesus has waited.

Matt 3:14
Would have hindered ( $\delta \Sigma \varepsilon \kappa \omega \lambda \nu \varepsilon v$ ). Rather "tried to prevent" as Moffatt has it. It is the conative imperfect. The two men of destiny are face to face for the first time apparently. The Coming One stands before John and he recognizes him before the promised sign is given.

Matt 3:15
To fulfil all righteousness ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \alpha \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha v \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma v v \eta v$ ). The explanation of Jesus satisfies John and he baptizes the Messiah though he has no sins to confess. It was proper ( $\pi \rho \varepsilon \pi \sigma v)$ to do so else the Messiah would seem to hold aloof from the Forerunner. Thus the ministries of the two are linked together.

Matt 3:16

The Spirit of God descending as a dove ( $\pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha$ Өعov катаßaıvov $\omega \sigma \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha v$ ). It is not certain whether Matthew means that the Spirit of God took the form of a dove or came upon Jesus as a dove comes down. Either makes sense, but Luke (Lu 3:22) has it "in bodily form as a dove" and that is probably the idea here. The dove in Christian art has been considered the symbol of the Holy Spirit.

Matt 3:17
A voice out of the heavens ( $\varphi \omega v \eta \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu$ oupav$\omega v$ ). This was the voice of the Father to the Son whom he identifies as His Son, "my beloved Son." Thus each person of the Trinity is represented (Father, Son, Holy Spirit) at this formal entrance of Jesus upon his Messianic ministry. John heard the voice, of course, and saw the dove. It was a momentous occasion for John and for Jesus and for the whole world. The words are similar to Ps 2:7 and the voice at the Transfiguration (Mt 17:5). The good pleasure of the Father is expressed by the timeless aorist ( $\varepsilon \cup \delta$ ок $\eta \sigma \alpha$ ).

## Matthew 4

Matt 4:1
To be tempted of the devil ( $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \sigma \theta \eta v a v \pi o ~ \tau o v \delta ı \beta \beta \lambda o v)$. Matthew locates the
 the same general region where John was preaching. It is not surprising that Jesus was tempted by the devil immediately after his baptism which signified the formal entrance upon the Messianic work. That is a common experience with ministers who step out into the open for Christ. The difficulty here is that Matthew says that "Jesus was led up into the wilderness by the Spirit to be tempted by the devil." Mark (Mr 1:12) puts it more strongly that the Spirit "drives" $(\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon)$ Christ into the wilderness. It was a strong impulsion by the Holy Spirit that led Jesus into the wilderness to think through the full significance of the great step that he had now taken. That step opened the door for the devil and involved inevitable conflict with the slanderer ( $\tau 0 v \delta i \alpha \beta o \lambda o v$ ). Judas has this term applied to him (Joh 6:70) as it is to men (2Ti 3:3; Tit 2:3) and women (she devils, 1Ti 3:11) who do the work of the arch slanderer. There are those today who do not believe that a personal devil exists, but they do not offer an adequate explanation of the existence and presence of sin in the world. Certainly Jesus did not discount or deny the reality of the devil's presence. The word "tempt" here $(\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \zeta \omega)$ and in 4:3 means originally to test, to try. That is its usual meaning in the ancient Greek and in the Septuagint. Bad sense of $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \zeta \omega$ in $4: 7$ as in De 6:16. Here it comes to mean, as often in the New Testament, to solicit to sin. The evil sense comes from its use for an evil purpose.

Matt 4:2
Had fasted ( $v \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma a \varsigma)$. No perfunctory ceremonial fast, but of communion with the Father in complete abstention from food as in the case of Moses during forty days and forty nights (Ex 34:28). "The period of the fast, as in the case of Moses was spent in a spiritual ecstasy, during which the wants of the natural body were suspended" (Alford). "He afterward hungered" and so at the close of the period of forty days.

Matt 4:3
If thou art the Son of God ( $\varepsilon$ vios $\varepsilon$ रov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). More exactly, "If thou art Son of God," for there is no article with "Son." The devil is alluding to the words of the Father to Jesus at the baptism: "This is my Son the Beloved." He challenges this address by a condition of the first class which assumes the condition to be true and deftly calls on Jesus to exercise his power as Son of God to appease his hunger and thus prove to himself and all that he really is what the Father called him.

Become bread ( $\alpha \rho \tau 0 \gamma \varepsilon \nu \omega v \tau \alpha$ ). Literally, "that these stones (round smooth stones which possibly the devil pointed to or even picked up and held) become loaves" (each stone
a loaf). It was all so simple, obvious, easy. It would satisfy the hunger of Christ and was quite within his power.

It is written $(\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha)$. Perfect passive indicative, stands written and is still in force. Each time Jesus quotes Deuteronomy to repel the subtle temptation of the devil. Here it is De 8:3 from the Septuagint. Bread is a mere detail (Bruce) in man's dependence upon God.

## Matt 4:5

Then the devil taketh him ( $\tau о \tau \varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \varepsilon \alpha v \tau о v$ o $\delta ı \alpha \beta о \lambda о \varsigma)$. Matthew is very fond of this temporal adverb ( $\tau 0 \tau \varepsilon$ ). See already $2: 7 ; 3: 13 ; 4: 1,5$. Note historic present with vivid picturesqueness. Luke puts this temptation third, the geographical order. But was the person of Christ allowed to be at the disposal of the devil during these temptations? Alford so holds.

On the pinnacle of the temple ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau о \pi \tau \varepsilon \rho \cup \gamma\llcorner\sim \tau o v \iota \varepsilon \rho \circ$ ). Literally "wing:" the English word "pinnacle" is from the Latin pinnaculum, a diminutive of pinna (wing). "The temple" ( $\tau 0 v i \varepsilon \rho \circ$ ) here includes the whole temple area, not just the sanctuary (o vooc), the Holy Place and Most Holy Place. It is not clear what place is meant by "wing." It may refer to Herod's royal portico which overhung the Kedron Valley and looked down some four hundred and fifty feet, a dizzy height (Josephus, Ant. XV. xi. 5). This was on the south of the temple court. Hegesippus says that James the Lord's brother was later placed on the wing of the temple and thrown down therefrom.

Matt 4:6
Cast thyself down ( $\beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v \kappa \alpha \tau \omega$ ). The appeal to hurl himself down into the abyss below would intensify the nervous dread that most people feel at such a height. The devil urged presumptuous reliance on God and quotes Scripture to support his view (Ps 91:11f. ). So the devil quotes the Word of God, misinterprets it, omits a clause, and tries to trip the Son of God by the Word of God. It was a skilful thrust and would also be accepted by the populace as proof that Jesus was the Messiah if they should see him sailing down as if from heaven. This would be a sign from heaven in accord with popular Messianic expectation. The promise of the angels the devil thought would reassure Jesus. They would be a spiritual parachute for Christ.

Matt 4:7
Thou shall not tempt (оик єклєєюабєıৎ). Jesus quotes Deuteronomy again (De 6:16) and shows that the devil has wholly misapplied God's promise of protection.

Matt 4:8
And showeth him ( $\kappa \alpha \delta \varepsilon \iota \kappa \nu v \sigma \iota \nu \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). This wonderful panorama had to be partially mental and imaginative, since the devil caused to pass in review "all the kingdoms of the world and the glory of them." But this fact does not prove that all phases of the temptations were subjective without any objective presence of the devil. Both could be true. Here again we have the vivid historical present ( $\delta \varepsilon ו \kappa v v \sigma \iota v)$. The devil now has Christ upon a very high
mountain whether the traditional Quarantania or not. It was from Nebo's summit that Moses caught the vision of the land of Canaan (De 34:1-3 ). Luke (Lu 4:5) says that the whole panorama was "in a moment of time" and clearly psychological and instantaneous.

## Matt 4:9

All these things will I give thee ( $\tau \alpha v \tau \alpha \sigma o \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \delta \omega \sigma \omega$ ). The devil claims the rule of the world, not merely of Palestine or of the Roman Empire. "The kingdoms of the cosmos" ( $4: 8$ ) were under his sway. This word for world brings out the orderly arrangement of the universe while $\eta$ оькоט $\mu \varepsilon \nu \eta$ presents the inhabited earth. Jesus does not deny the grip of the devil on the world of men, but the condition ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ and aorist subjunctive, second class undetermined with likelihood of determination), was spurned by Jesus. As Matthew has it Jesus is plainly to "fall down and worship me" ( $\pi \varepsilon \sigma \omega \nu \pi \rho о к \nu \nu \eta \sigma \eta \iota \varsigma \mu$ ), while Luke ( $\mathrm{Lu} 4: 7$ ) puts it, "worship before me" ( $\varepsilon \nu \omega \pi \iota \circ v \varepsilon \mu \circ v)$, a less offensive demand, but one that really involved worship of the devil. The ambition of Jesus is thus appealed to at the price of recognition of the devil's primacy in the world. It was compromise that involved surrender of the Son of God to the world ruler of this darkness. "The temptation was threefold: to gain a temporal, not a spiritual, dominion; to gain it at once; and to gain it by an act of homage to the ruler of this world, which would make the self-constituted Messiah the vice-regent of the devil and not of God" (McNeile).

Matt 4:10
Get thee hence, Satan (Нv $\quad \alpha \gamma \varepsilon, \Sigma \alpha \tau \alpha v \alpha$ ). The words "behind me" (о $\pi \tau \omega \mu \nu v$ ) belong to Mt 16:23 , not here. "Begone" Christ says to Satan. This temptation is the limit of diabolical suggestion and argues for the logical order in Matthew. "Satan" means the adversary and Christ so terms the devil here. The third time Jesus quotes Deuteronomy, this time De 6:13 , and repels the infamous suggestion by Scripture quotation. The words "him alone thou shalt serve" need be recalled today. Jesus will warn men against trying to serve God and mammon (Mt 6:24). The devil as the lord of the evil world constantly tries to win men to the service of the world and God. This is his chief camouflage for destroying a preacher's power for God. The word here in Mt 4:10 for serve is $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ from $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho ı \varsigma$ a hired servant, one who works for hire, then render worship.

Matt 4:11
Then the devil leaveth him ( $\tau о \tau \varepsilon \alpha \varphi ı \eta \sigma \iota \sim \alpha \cup \tau \circ \nu$ о $\delta ı \alpha \beta о \lambda о \varsigma)$. Note the use of "then" ( $\tau \circ \tau \varepsilon$ ) again and the historical present. The movement is swift. "And behold" ( $\kappa \alpha$ เ $\delta о v$ ) as so often in Matthew carries on the life-like picture. " Angels came (aorist tense $\pi \rho o \sigma \eta \lambda \theta$ ov punctiliar action)
and were ministering ( $\delta \downarrow\rceil$ кovouv, picturesque imperfect, linear action)
unto him ." The victory was won in spite of the fast of forty days and the repeated onsets of the devil who had tried every avenue of approach. The angels could cheer him in the inevitable nervous and spiritual reaction from the strain of conflict, and probably also with
food as in the case of Elijah (1Ki 19:6f. ). The issues at stake were of vast import as the champions of light and darkness grappled for the mastery of men. Lu 4:13 adds, that the devil left Jesus only "until a good opportunity" (ах $\rho$ каı $\rho \circ$ ).

Matt 4:12
Now when he heard ( $\alpha \kappa о v \sigma \alpha \varsigma \delta \varepsilon$ ). The reason for Christ's return to Galilee is given here to be that John had been delivered up into prison. The Synoptic Gospels skip from the temptation of Jesus to the Galilean ministry, a whole year. But for Joh 1:19-3:36 we should know nothing of the "year of obscurity" (Stalker). John supplies items to help fill in the picture. Christ's work in Galilee began after the close of the active ministry of the Baptist who lingered on in prison for a year or more.

Matt 4:13
Dwelt in Capernaum (Катшıкŋ $\sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon ı \varsigma К \alpha \varphi \alpha \rho \nu \alpha о \cup \mu)$. He went first to Nazareth, his old home, but was rejected there (Lu 4:16-31). In Capernaum (probably the modern $\mathrm{T} \varepsilon \lambda \lambda$ $\mathrm{H} \nu \mu$ ) Jesus was in a large town, one of the centres of Galilean political and commercial life, a fishing mart, where many Gentiles came. Here the message of the kingdom would have a better chance than in Jerusalem with its ecclesiastical prejudices or in Nazareth with its local jealousies. So Jesus "made his home" (катผเкךбєv) here.

Matt 4:16
Saw a great light ( $\varphi \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \nu \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha$ ). Matthew quotes Isa 9:1f., and applies the words about the deliverer from Assyria to the Messiah. "The same district lay in spiritual darkness and death and the new era dawned when Christ went thither" (McNeile). Light sprang up from those who were sitting in the region and shadow of death ( $\varepsilon v \chi о \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \sigma \kappa ı \alpha$ Өavaтov). Death is personified.

Matt 4:17
Began Jesus to preach ( $\eta \rho \xi \alpha \tau о$ o In $\sigma o v \varsigma \kappa \eta \rho v \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \iota v$ ). In Galilee. He had been preaching for over a year already elsewhere. His message carries on the words of the Baptist about "repentance" and the "kingdom of heaven" (Mt 3:2) being at hand. The same word for "preaching" (кŋ $\rho \cup \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \iota v)$ from к $\rho \rho \vartheta \xi$, herald, is used of Jesus as of John. Both proclaimed the good news of the kingdom. Jesus is more usually described as the Teacher, (o $\delta t \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda o \varsigma)$ who taught ( $\varepsilon \delta เ \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v)$ the people. He was both herald and teacher as every preacher should be.

## Matt 4:18

Casting a net into the sea ( $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma \alpha \mu \varphi \iota \beta \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \rho \circ \nu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha v)$. The word here for net is a casting-net (compare $\alpha \mu \varphi\langle\beta \lambda \lambda \omega$ in $\operatorname{Mr} 1: 16$, casting on both sides). The net was thrown over the shoulder and spread into a circle $(\alpha \mu \varphi)$. In 4:20 and 4:21 another word occurs for nets ( $\delta \iota \kappa \tau \cup \alpha)$, a word used for nets of any kind. The large drag-( $\sigma \alpha \gamma \eta \nu \eta)$ appears in Mt 13:47.

Matt 4:19

Fishers of men ( $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon ı \varsigma \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega v$ ). Andrew and Simon were fishers by trade. They had already become disciples of Jesus (Joh 1:35-42 ), but now they are called upon to leave their business and to follow Jesus in his travels and work. These two brothers promptly ( $\varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ) accepted the call and challenge of Jesus.

Matt 4:21
Mending their nets (катартıॅочтац та $\delta ı \kappa \tau v \alpha \alpha \cup \tau \omega \nu$ ). These two brothers, James and John, were getting their nets ready for use. The verb ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \tau \iota \zeta \omega)$ means to adjust, to articulate, to mend if needed (Lu 6:40; Ro 9:22; Ga 6:1). So they promptly left their boat and father and followed Jesus. They had also already become disciples of Jesus. Now there are four who follow him steadily.

Matt 4:23
Went about in all Galilee ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \eta \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon v$ o $\lambda \eta \tau \eta \Gamma \alpha \lambda \wedge \lambda \alpha ı \alpha)$. Literally Jesus "was going around (imperfect) in all Galilee." This is the first of the three tours of Galilee made by Jesus. This time he took the four fishermen whom he had just called to personal service. The second time he took the twelve. On the third he sent the twelve on ahead by twos and followed after them. He was teaching and preaching the gospel of the kingdom in the synagogues chiefly and on the roads and in the streets where Gentiles could hear.

Healing all manner of diseases and all manner of sickness ( $\theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon v \omega v \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha v$ vooov $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \lambda \alpha \kappa ı \alpha)$. The occasional sickness is called $\mu \alpha \lambda \alpha \kappa ı \alpha v$, the chronic or serious disease vooov.

Matt 4:24
The report of him went forth into all Syria ( $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v \eta \alpha \kappa о \eta \alpha \tau \tau 0 \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma$ o $\lambda \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu$ $\Sigma \psi \rho ı \alpha v$ ). Rumour ( $\alpha к о \eta$ ) carries things almost like the wireless or radio. The Gentiles all over Syria to the north heard of what was going on in Galilee. The result was inevitable. Jesus had a moving hospital of patients from all over Galilee and Syria. " Those that were sick " ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma \kappa \alpha \kappa \omega \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \chi о v \tau \alpha \varsigma)$, literally "those who had it bad," cases that the doctors could not cure.
 "Held together" or "compressed" is the idea of the participle. The same word is used by Jesus in Lu 12:50 and by Paul in Php 1:23 and of the crowd pressing on Jesus (Lu 8:45). They brought these difficult and chronic cases (present tense of the participle here) to Jesus. Instead of "divers" say "various" (локкıдац) like fever, leprosy, blindness. The adjective means literally many colored or variegated like flowers, paintings, jaundice, etc. Some had "torments"
 for testing gold because pure gold rubbed on it left a peculiar mark. Then it was used for examination by torture. Sickness was often regarded as "torture." These diseases are further described "in a descending scale of violence" (McNeile) as "demoniacs, lunatics, and paralytics" as Moffatt puts it, "demoniacs, epileptics, paralytics" as Weymouth has it,

lunatics or "moon-struck" because the epileptic seizures supposedly followed the phases of the moon (Bruce) as shown also in Mt 17:15, paralytics (our very word). Our word "lunatic" is from the Latin luna (moon) and carries the same picture as the Greek $\sigma \varepsilon \lambda \eta \nu i \alpha \zeta_{o \mu \alpha}$ from $\sigma \varepsilon \lambda \eta \nu \eta$ (moon). These diseases are called "torments."

Matt 4:25
Great multitudes (ox $\lambda \frac{\pi}{} \pi \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \mathrm{o}$ ). Note the plural, not just one crowd, but crowds and crowds. And from all parts of Palestine including Decapolis, the region of the Ten Greek Cities east of the Jordan. No political campaign was equal to this outpouring of the people to hear Jesus and to be healed by Jesus.

## Matthew 5

Matt 5:1
He went up into the mountain ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \beta \eta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ to opoc). Not "a" mountain as the Authorized Version has it. The Greek article is poorly handled in most English versions. We do not know what mountain it was. It was the one there where Jesus and the crowds were. "Delitzsch calls the Mount of Beatitudes the Sinai of the New Testament" (Vincent). He apparently went up to get in closer contact with the disciples, "seeing the multitudes." Luke (Lu 6:12) says that he went out into the mountain to pray, Mark (Mr 3:13) that he went up and called the twelve. All three purposes are true. Luke adds that after a whole night in prayer and after the choice of the twelve Jesus came down to a level place on the mountain and spoke to the multitudes from Judea to Phoenicia. The crowds are great in both Matthew and in Luke and include disciples and the other crowds. There is no real difficulty in considering the Sermon on the Mount in Matthew and the Sermon on the Plain in Luke as one and the same. See full discussion in my Harmony of the Gospels.

Matt 5:2
Taught them ( $\varepsilon \delta \delta \delta a \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Inchoative imperfect, began to teach. He sat down on the mountain side as the Jewish rabbis did instead of standing. It was a most impressive scene as Jesus opened his mouth wide and spoke loud enough for the great throng to hear him. The newly chosen twelve apostles were there, "a great number of disciples and a great number of the people" (Lu 6:17).

Matt 5:3
Blessed ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho ь$ ). The English word "blessed" is more exactly represented by the Greek verbal $\varepsilon \cup \lambda о ү \eta \tau 0$ as in Lu 1:68 of God by Zacharias, or the perfect passive participle $\varepsilon \cup \lambda o ү \eta \mu \varepsilon v o s$ as in Lu 1:42 of Mary by Elizabeth and in Mt 21:9. Both forms come from $\varepsilon \cup \lambda о ү \varepsilon \omega$, to speak well of ( $\varepsilon v, \lambda о ү о \varsigma$ ). The Greek word here ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho ı$ ) is an adjective that means "happy" which in English etymology goes back to hap, chance, good-luck as seen in our words haply, hapless, happily, happiness. "Blessedness is, of course, an infinitely higher and better thing than mere happiness" (Weymouth). English has thus ennobled "blessed" to a higher rank than "happy." But "happy" is what Jesus said and the Braid Scots New Testament dares to say "Happy" each time here as does the Improved Edition of the American Bible Union Version. The Greek word is as old as Homer and Pindar and was used of the Greek gods and also of men, but largely of outward prosperity. Then it is applied to the dead who died in the Lord as in Re 14:13. Already in the Old Testament the Septuagint uses it of moral quality. "Shaking itself loose from all thoughts of outward good, it becomes the express symbol of a happiness identified with pure character. Behind it lies the clear cognition of $\sin$ as the fountain-head of all misery, and of holiness as the final and effectual cure for every woe. For knowledge as the basis of virtue, and therefore of happiness, it substitutes
faith and love" (Vincent). Jesus takes this word "happy" and puts it in this rich environment. "This is one of the words which have been transformed and ennobled by New Testament use; by association, as in the Beatitudes, with unusual conditions, accounted by the world miserable, or with rare and difficult" (Bruce). It is a pity that we have not kept the word "happy" to the high and holy plane where Jesus placed it. "If you know these things, happy
 seen and yet have believed" (Joh 20:29). And Paul applies this adjective to God, "according to the gospel of the glory of the happy ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \circ$ ) God" (1Ti 1:11 . Cf. also Tit 2:13 ). The term "Beatitudes" (Latin beatus) comes close to the meaning of Christ here by $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho ı$. It will repay one to make a careful study of all the "beatitudes" in the New Testament where this word is employed. It occurs nine times here (3-11), though the beatitudes in verses 10 and 11 are very much alike. The copula is not expressed in either of these nine beatitudes. In each case a reason is given for the beatitude, "for" (ot), that shows the spiritual quality involved. Some of the phrases employed by Jesus here occur in the Psalms, some even in the Talmud (itself later than the New Testament, though of separate origin). That is of small moment. "The originality of Jesus lies in putting the due value on these thoughts, collecting them, and making them as prominent as the Ten Commandments. No greater service can be rendered to mankind than to rescue from obscurity neglected moral commonplaces " (Bruce). Jesus repeated his sayings many times as all great teachers and preachers do, but this sermon has unity, progress, and consummation. It does not contain all that Jesus taught by any means, but it stands out as the greatest single sermon of all time, in its penetration, pungency, and power.

The poor in spirit (o $\pi \tau \omega \chi \circ \tau \omega \pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau$ ). Luke has only "the poor," but he means the same by it as this form in Matthew, "the pious in Israel, for the most part poor, whom the worldly rich despised and persecuted" (McNeile). The word used here ( $\pi \tau \omega \chi 0$ ) is applied to the beggar Lazarus in Lu 16:20,22 and suggests spiritual destitution (from $\pi \tau \omega \sigma \sigma \omega$ to crouch, to cower). The other word $\pi \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma$ is from $\pi \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha$, to work for one's daily bread and so means one who works for his living. The word $\pi \tau \omega \chi \circ \varsigma$ is more frequent in the New Testament and implies deeper poverty than $\pi \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma$. "The kingdom of heaven" here means the reign of God in the heart and life. This is the summит bonum and is what matters most.

Matt 5:4
They that mourn (o $\pi \varepsilon v \theta$ ouvt\&¢). This is another paradox. This verb "is most frequent in the LXX for mourning for the dead, and for the sorrows and sins of others" (McNeile). "There can be no comfort where there is no grief" (Bruce). Sorrow should make us look for the heart and hand of God and so find the comfort latent in the grief.

Matt 5:5
The meek (o $\pi \rho \alpha \varepsilon ı \varsigma) . ~ W y c l i f f ~ h a s ~ i t ~ " B l e s s e d ~ b e ~ m i l d ~ m e n . " ~ T h e ~ a n c i e n t s ~ u s e d ~ t h e ~ w o r d ~$ for outward conduct and towards men. They did not rank it as a virtue anyhow. It was a
mild equanimity that was sometimes negative and sometimes positively kind. But Jesus lifted the word to a nobility never attained before. In fact, the Beatitudes assume a new heart, for the natural man does not find in happiness the qualities mentioned here by Christ. The English word "meek" has largely lost the fine blend of spiritual poise and strength meant by the Master. He calls himself "meek and lowly in heart" (Mt 11:29) and Moses is also called meek. It is the gentleness of strength, not mere effeminacy. By "the earth" ( $\tau \eta \nu \eta \eta$ ) Jesus seems to mean the Land of Promise (Ps 37:11) though Bruce thinks that it is the whole earth. Can it be the solid earth as opposed to the sea or the air?

Matt 5:6
They that hunger and thirst after righteousness (о $\pi \varepsilon เ \nu \omega v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ к \alpha ~ \delta ı \psi \omega v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \eta \nu$ $\delta ı \kappa \alpha \circ \sigma v v \eta \nu)$. Here Jesus turns one of the elemental human instincts to spiritual use. There is in all men hunger for food, for love, for God. It is passionate hunger and thirst for goodness, for holiness. The word for "filled" ( $\chi$ ортаб $\dagger \eta \sigma 0 \nu \tau \alpha$ ) means to feed or to fatten cattle from the word for fodder or grass like Mr 6:39 "green grass" ( $\chi$ ортоऽ $\chi \lambda \omega \rho \circ \varsigma)$.

Matt 5:7
Obtain mercy ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \eta \theta \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha)$ "Sal win pitie theirsels" (Braid Scots). "A self-acting law of the moral world" (Bruce).

Matt 5:8
Shall see God ( $\operatorname{\tau ov} \theta$ عov oчovid). Without holiness no man will see the Lord in heaven (Heb 12:14 ). The Beatific Vision is only possible here on earth to those with pure hearts. No other can see the King now. Sin befogs and beclouds the heart so that one cannot see God. Purity has here its widest sense and includes everything.

## Matt 5:9

The peacemakers (о вєр up o' strife" (Braid Scots). It is hard enough to keep the peace. It is still more difficult to bring peace where it is not. "The perfect peacemaker is the Son of God (Eph 2:14f.)" (McNeile). Thus we shall be like our Elder Brother.

Matt 5:10
That have been persecuted for righteousness' sake (о $\delta \varepsilon \delta \omega\rceil \mu \varepsilon v o ~ \varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon v ~ \delta ı к \alpha ı \sigma \nu v \eta \varsigma) . ~$ Posing as persecuted is a favourite stunt. The kingdom of heaven belongs only to those who suffer for the sake of goodness, not who are guilty of wrong.

Matt 5:11
Falsely, for my sake ( $\psi \varepsilon v \delta o \mu \varepsilon v o$ evek $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \mu \circ v$ ). Codex Bezae changes the order of these last Beatitudes, but that is immaterial. What does matter is that the bad things said of Christ's followers shall be untrue and that they are slandered for Christ's sake. Both things must be true before one can wear a martyr's crown and receive the great reward ( $\mu \sigma \theta \circ \varsigma)$ in heaven. No prize awaits one there who deserves all the evil said of him and done to him here.

Matt 5:13

Lost its savour ( $\mu \omega \rho \alpha v \theta \eta$ ). The verb is from $\mu \omega \rho \circ \varsigma$ (dull, sluggish, stupid, foolish) and means to play the fool, to become foolish, of salt become tasteless, insipid (Mr 9:50). It is common in Syria and Palestine to see salt scattered in piles on the ground because it has lost its flavour, "hae tint its tang" (Braid Scots), the most worthless thing imaginable. Jesus may have used here a current proverb.

Matt 5:15
Under the bushel (v $\pi \frac{\tau}{} \tau \boldsymbol{v} \mu \mathrm{o} \delta \iota v$ ). Not a bushel. "The figure is taken from lowly cottage life. There was a projecting stone in the wall on which the lamp was set. The house consisted of a single room, so that the tiny light sufficed for all" (Bruce). It was not put under the bushel (the only one in the room) save to put it out or to hide it. The bushel was an earthenware grain measure. " The stand " ( $\tau \eta \nu \lambda \nu \chi \nu \iota \alpha \nu$ ), not "candlestick." It is "lamp-stand" in each of the twelve examples in the Bible. There was the one lamp-stand for the single room.

Matt 5:16
Even so $(o v \tau \omega \varsigma)$. The adverb points backward to the lamp-stand. Thus men are to let their light shine, not to glorify themselves, but "your Father in heaven." Light shines to see others by, not to call attention to itself.

Matt 5:17
I came not to destroy, but to fulfil (ovк $\eta \lambda \theta$ ov $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda v \sigma \alpha \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \alpha$ ). The verb "destroy" means to "loosen down" as of a house or tent (2Co 5:1). Fulfil is to fill full. This Jesus did to the ceremonial law which pointed to him and the moral law he kept. "He came to fill the law, to reveal the full depth of meaning that it was intended to hold" (McNeile).

Matt 5:18
One jot or one tittle ( $\iota \omega \tau \alpha \varepsilon \nu \eta \mu \iota \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \alpha$ ). "Not an iota, not a comma" (Moffatt), "not the smallest letter, not a particle" (Weymouth). The iota is the smallest Greek vowel, which Matthew here uses to represent the Hebrew yod (jot), the smallest Hebrew letter. "Tittle" is from the Latin titulus which came to mean the stroke above an abbreviated word, then any small mark. It is not certain here whether кєрєа means a little horn, the mere point which distinguishes some Hebrew letters from others or the "hook" letter Vav. Sometimes yod and $v a v$ were hardly distinguishable. "In Vay. R. 19 the guilt of altering one of them is pronounced so great that if it were done the world would be destroyed" (McNeile).

Matt 5:19
 teacher must apply the doctrine to himself before he is qualified to teach others. The scribes and Pharisees were men who "say and do not" (Mt 23:3), who preach but do not perform. This is Christ's test of greatness.

Matt 5:20
Shall exceed ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho\llcorner\sigma \sigma \varepsilon v \sigma \eta \pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma v$ ). Overflow like a river out of its banks and then Jesus adds "more" followed by an unexpressed ablative ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \delta \iota \kappa \propto \circ \sigma \cup \nu \eta \varsigma)$, brachylogy. A daring
statement on Christ's part that they had to be better than the rabbis. They must excel the scribes, the small number of regular teachers (5:21-48), and the Pharisees in the Pharisaic life ( $6: 1-18$ ) who were the separated ones, the orthodox pietists.

Matt 5:22
But I say unto you ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega v \mu v)$. Jesus thus assumes a tone of superiority over the Mosaic regulations and proves it in each of the six examples. He goes further than the Law into the very heart. " Raca " (Рака) and " Thou fool " ( $\mathrm{M} \omega \rho \varepsilon$ ). The first is probably an Aramaic word meaning "Empty," a frequent word for contempt. The second word is Greek (dull, stupid) and is a fair equivalent of "raca." It is urged by some that $\mu \omega \rho \varepsilon$ is a Hebrew word, but Field (Otium Norvicense) objects to that idea. "Raca expresses contempt for a man's head=you stupid! More expresses contempt for his heart and character=you scoundrel" (Bruce). " The hell of fire " ( $\tau \eta \nu \gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \nu a \nu \tau 0 v \pi \nu \rho \circ \varsigma)$, "the Gehenna of fire," the genitive case ( $\tau 0 \cup \pi \cup \rho \circ \varsigma$ ) as the genus case describing Gehenna as marked by fire. Gehenna is the Valley of Hinnom where the fire burned continually. Here idolatrous Jews once offered their children to Molech (2Ki 23:10). Jesus finds one cause of murder to be abusive language. Gehenna "should be carefully distinguished from Hades ( $\alpha, \delta \eta \varsigma$ ) which is never used for the place of punishment, but for the place of departed spirits, without reference to their moral condition" (Vincent). The place of torment is in Hades (Lu 16:23 ), but so is heaven.

Matt 5:24
First be reconciled $(\pi \rho \omega \tau o v \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \eta \theta)$. Second aorist passive imperative. Get reconciled (ingressive aorist, take the initiative). Only example of this compound in the New Testament where usually ката入入 $\alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ occurs. Deissmann (Light from the Ancient East, p. 187, New Ed.) gives a papyrus example second century A.D. A prodigal son, Longinus, writes to his mother Nilus: "I beseech thee, mother, be reconciled ( $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \gamma \eta \tau$ ) with me." The boy is a poor speller, but with a broken heart he uses the identical form that Jesus does. "The verb denotes mutual concession after mutual hostility, an idea absent from $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega^{\prime \prime}$ (Lightfoot). This because of $\delta \mathrm{ta}$ (two, between two).

Matt 5:25
Agree with ( $\llcorner\sigma \theta \varepsilon v v o \omega v$ ). A present periphrastic active imperative. The verb is from عuvoos (friendly, kindly disposed). "Mak up wi' yere enemy" (Braid Scots). Compromise is better than prison where no principle is involved, but only personal interest. It is so easy to see principle where pride is involved.

The officer ( $\tau \omega v \pi \eta \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta$ ). This word means "under rower" on the ship with several ranks of rowers, the bottom rower (v $\tau \boldsymbol{o}$ under and $\eta \rho \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \omega$, to row), the galley-slave, then any servant, the attendant in the synagogue ( $\mathrm{Lu} 4: 20$ ). Luke so describes John Mark in his relation to Barnabas and Saul (Ac 13:5 ). Then it is applied to the "ministers of the word" (Lu 1:2).

Matt 5:26

The last farthing ( $\tau 0 v \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau 0 v$ кoठ $\rho \alpha v \tau \eta v$ ). A Latin word, quadrans, $1 / 4$ of an as_ (aбoapıov) or two mites (Mr 12:42), a vivid picture of inevitable punishment for debt. This is emphasized by the strong double negative ov $\mu \eta$ with the aorist subjunctive.

Matt 5:27
Thou shalt not commit adultery (ov $\mu$ огхعטбєı¢). These quotations (verses 21,27,33 ) from the Decalogue (Ex 20 and De 5 ) are from the Septuagint and use ov and the future indicative (volitive future, common Greek idiom). In 5:43 the positive form, volitive future, occurs ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. In 5:41 the third person ( $(0 \tau \omega)$ singular second aorist active imperative is used. In 5:38 no verb occurs.

Matt 5:28
In his heart ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha$ av $\tau \circ$ ). Not just the centre of the blood circulation though it means that. Not just the emotional part of man's nature, but here the inner man including the intellect, the affections, the will. This word is exceedingly common in the New Testament and repays careful study always. It is from a root that means to quiver or palpitate. Jesus locates adultery in the eye and heart before the outward act. Wunsche (Beitrage) quotes two pertinent rabbinical sayings as translated by Bruce: "The eye and the heart are the two brokers of sin." "Passions lodge only in him who sees." Hence the peril of lewd pictures and plays to the pure.

Matt 5:29
Causeth thee to stumble ( $\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda_{\iota}(\varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon)$. This is far better than the Authorized Version "Offend thee." Braid Scots has it rightly "ensnare ye." It is not the notion of giving offence or provoking, but of setting a trap or snare for one. The substantive ( $\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda o v$, from $\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \rho o v)$ means the stick in the trap that springs and closes the trap when the animal touches it. Pluck out the eye when it is a snare, cut off the hand, even the right hand. These vivid pictures are not to be taken literally, but powerfully plead for self-mastery. Bengel says: Non oculum, sed scandalizentem oculum. It is not mutilating of the body that Christ enjoins, but control of the body against sin. The man who plays with fire will get burnt. Modern surgery finely illustrates the teaching of Jesus. The tonsils, the teeth, the appendix, to go no further, if left diseased, will destroy the whole body. Cut them out in time and the life will be saved. Vincent notes that "the words scandal and slander are both derived from $\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta a \lambda o v$. And Wyc. renders, 'if thy right eye slander thee.'" Certainly slander is a scandal and a stumbling-block, a trap, and a snare.

Matt 5:31
A writing of divorcement ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \circ v$ ), "a divorce certificate" (Moffatt), "a written notice of divorce" (Weymouth). The Greek is an abbreviation of $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota \rho$ a $\quad$ обтабьov (Ma
 commercial transactions as "a bond of release" (see Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary,
etc.) The written notice ( $\beta \iota \beta \lambda_{\iota} \circ$ ) was a protection to the wife against an angry whim of the husband who might send her away with no paper to show for it.

Matt 5:32
Saving for the cause of fornication ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon к \tau о \varsigma ~ \lambda о ү о и ~ \pi о \rho v \varepsilon ı \varsigma) . ~ A n ~ u n u s u a l ~ p h r a s e ~$ that perhaps means "except for a matter of unchastity." "Except on the ground of unchastity" (Weymouth), "except unfaithfulness" (Goodspeed), and is equivalent to $\mu \eta \varepsilon \pi \pi о \rho v \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ in Mt 19:9. McNeile denies that Jesus made this exception because Mark and Luke do not give it. He claims that the early Christians made the exception to meet a pressing need, but one fails to see the force of this charge against Matthew's report of the words of Jesus. It looks like criticism to meet modern needs.

Matt 5:34
Swear not at all ( $\mu \eta \mathrm{o} \mu \circ \sigma \alpha \mathrm{o} \lambda \omega \varsigma$ ). More exactly "not to swear at all" (indirect command, and aorist infinitive). Certainly Jesus does not prohibit oaths in a court of justice for he himself answered Caiaphas on oath. Paul made solemn appeals to God (1Th 5:27; 1Co 15:31 ). Jesus prohibits all forms of profanity. The Jews were past-masters in the art of splitting hairs about allowable and forbidden oaths or forms of profanity just as modern Christians employ a great variety of vernacular "cuss-words" and excuse themselves because they do not use the more flagrant forms.

## Matt 5:38

An eye for an eye, and a tooth for a tooth ( $o \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \sigma \nu \alpha v \tau ~ o \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \sigma v \kappa \alpha ~ o \delta o v \tau \alpha \alpha \nu \tau$ oסovtoc). Note $\alpha v \tau$ with the notion of exchange or substitution. The quotation is from Ex 21:24; De 19:21; Le 24:20. Like divorce this jus talionis is a restriction upon unrestrained vengeance. "It limited revenge by fixing an exact compensation for an injury" (McNeile). A money payment is allowed in the Mishna. The law of retaliation exists in Arabia today.

Matt 5:39
Resist not him that is evil ( $\mu \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \iota \sigma \tau \eta \nu \alpha \tau \omega \pi о \nu \eta \rho \omega$ ). Here again it is the infinitive (second aorist active) in indirect command. But is it "the evil man" or the "evil deed"? The dative case is the same form for masculine and neuter. Weymouth puts it "not to resist a (the) wicked man," Moffatt "not to resist an injury," Goodspeed "not to resist injury." The examples will go with either view. Jesus protested when smitten on the cheek (Joh 18:22). And Jesus denounced the Pharisees (Mt 23 ) and fought the devil always. The language of Jesus is bold and picturesque and is not to be pressed too literally. Paradoxes startle and make us think. We are expected to fill in the other side of the picture. One thing certainly is meant by Jesus and that is that personal revenge is taken out of our hands, and that applies to "lynch-law." Aggressive or offensive war by nations is also condemned, but not necessarily defensive war or defence against robbery and murder. Professional pacifism may be mere cowardice.

Matt 5:40
 shirt or undergarment and would be demanded at law. A robber would seize first the outer garment or cloke (one coat). If one loses the undergarment at law, the outer one goes also (the more valuable one).

Matt 5:41
Shall compel thee ( $\alpha \gamma \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \cup \sigma \varepsilon$ ). The Vulgate has angariaverit. The word is of Persian origin and means public couriers or mounted messengers ( $\alpha \gamma \gamma \alpha \rho o$ ) who were stationed by the King of Persia at fixed localities, with horses ready for use, to send royal messages from one to another. So if a man is passing such a post-station, an official may rush out and compel him to go back to another station to do an errand for the king. This was called impressment into service. This very thing was done to Simon of Cyrene who was thus compelled to carry the cross of Christ (Mt 27:32, $\eta \gamma \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha v)$.

Matt 5:42
Turn not thou away ( $\mu \eta$ а $\pi о \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \eta ı$ ). Second aorist passive subjunctive in prohibition. "This is one of the clearest instances of the necessity of accepting the spirit and not the letter of the Lord's commands (see vv. $32,34,38$ ). Not only does indiscriminate almsgiving do little but injury to society, but the words must embrace far more than almsgiving" (McNeile). Recall again that Jesus is a popular teacher and expects men to understand his paradoxes. In the organized charities of modern life we are in danger of letting the milk of human kindness dry up.

Matt 5:43
And hate thine enemy ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \sigma \eta \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. This phrase is not in Le 19:18, but is a rabbinical inference which Jesus repudiates bluntly. The Talmud says nothing of love to enemies. Paul in Ro 12:20 quotes $\operatorname{Pr}$ 25:22 to prove that we ought to treat our enemies kindly. Jesus taught us to pray for our enemies and did it himself even when he hung upon the cross. Our word "neighbour" is "nigh-bor," one who is nigh or near like the Greek word $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ orv here. But proximity often means strife and not love. Those who have adjoining farms or homes may be positively hostile in spirit. The Jews came to look on members of the same tribe as neighbours as even Jews everywhere. But they hated the Samaritans who were half Jews and lived between Judea and Galilee. Jesus taught men how to act as neighbours by the parable of the Good Samaritan (Lu 10:29ff. ).

Matt 5:48
Perfect ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota o$ ). The word comes from $\tau \varepsilon \lambda o c$, end, goal, limit. Here it is the goal set before us, the absolute standard of our Heavenly Father. The word is used also for relative perfection as of adults compared with children.

## Matthew 6

Matt 6:1
Take heed ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon)$. The Greek idiom includes "mind" (vouv) which is often expressed in ancient Greek and once in the Septuagint (Job 7:17). In the New Testament the substantive vouc is understood. It means to "hold the mind on a matter," take pains, take heed. "Righteousness" ( $\delta$ ıкаıoбuvŋ $v$ ) is the correct text in this verse. Three specimens of the Pharisaic "righteousness" are given (alms, prayer, fasting).

To be seen $(\theta \varepsilon \alpha \theta \eta \nu \alpha)$. First aorist passive infinitive of purpose. Our word theatrical is this very word, spectacular performance.

With your Father ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \omega \pi \alpha \tau \rho \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Literally "beside your Father," standing by his side, as he looks at it.

Matt 6:2
Sound not a trumpet ( $\mu \eta \sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \eta \iota \varsigma)$. Is this literal or metaphorical? No actual instance of such conduct has been found in the Jewish writings. McNeile suggests that it may refer to the blowing of trumpets in the streets on the occasion of public fasts. Vincent suggests the thirteen trumpet-shaped chests of the temple treasury to receive contributions (Lu 21:2 ). But at Winona Lake one summer a missionary from India named Levering stated to me that he had seen Hindu priests do precisely this very thing to get a crowd to see their beneficences. So it looks as if the rabbis could do it also. Certainly it was in keeping with their love of praise. And Jesus expressly says that "the hypocrites" (о vтокрıта) do this very thing. This is an old word for actor, interpreter, one who personates another, from vлокрıvона to answer in reply like the Attic aлокрıvo $\mu \alpha$. Then to pretend, to feign, to dissemble, to act the hypocrite, to wear a mask. This is the hardest word that Jesus has for any class of people and he employs it for these pious pretenders who pose as perfect.

They have received their reward ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \chi \circ v \sigma \iota \nu$ tov $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \circ \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). This verb is common in the papyri for receiving a receipt, "they have their receipt in full," all the reward that they will get, this public notoriety. "They can sign the receipt of their reward" (Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 229). So Light from the Ancient East, pp. 110f. Arox $\eta$ means "receipt." So also in 6:5.

Matt 6:4
In secret ( $\tau \omega \kappa \rho v \pi \tau \omega$ ). The Textus Receptus added the words $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \varphi a v \varepsilon \rho \omega$ (openly) here and in 6:6, but they are not genuine. Jesus does not promise a public reward for private piety.

Matt 6:5
In the synagogues and in the corners of the streets ( $\varepsilon v \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma ~ \sigma v v \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \alpha \iota \varsigma \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha \longleftarrow \varsigma$ $\gamma \omega \nu$ Laıs $\tau \omega v \pi \lambda \alpha \tau \varepsilon \epsilon \omega v$ ). These were the usual places of prayer (synagogues) and the street corners where crowds stopped for business or talk. If the hour of prayer overtook a Pharisee
here, he would strike his attitude of prayer like a modern Moslem that men might see that he was pious.

Matt 6:6
Into thy closet ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon เ ๐ v) . ~ T h e ~ w o r d ~ i s ~ a ~ l a t e ~ s y n c o p a t e d ~ f o r m ~ o f ~ \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon є ю o v ~ f r o m ~$ $\tau \alpha \mu \alpha \varsigma$ (steward) and the root $\tau \alpha \mu$ - from $\tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \omega$, to cut. So it is a store-house, a separate apartment, one's private chamber, closet, or "den" where he can withdraw from the world and shut the world out and commune with God.

Matt 6:7
Use not vain repetitions ( $\mu \eta \beta \alpha \tau \tau \alpha \lambda o \gamma \eta \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Used of stammerers who repeat the words, then mere babbling or chattering, empty repetition. The etymology is uncertain, but it is probably onomatopoetic like "babble." The worshippers of Baal on Mount Carmel ( 1 Ki 8:26) and of Diana in the amphitheatre at Ephesus who yelled for two hours (Ac 19:34) are examples. The Mohammedans may also be cited who seem to think that they "will be heard for their much speaking" ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \pi o \lambda \nu \lambda o \gamma ı$ ). Vincent adds "and the Romanists with their paternosters and avast." The Syriac Sinaitic has it: "Do not be saying idle things." Certainly Jesus does not mean to condemn all repetition in prayer since he himself prayed three times in Gethsemane "saying the same words again" (Mt 26:44). "As the Gentiles do," says Jesus. "The Pagans thought that by endless repetitions and many words they would inform their gods as to their needs and weary them ('fatigare deos') into granting their requests" (Bruce).

## Matt 6:9

After this manner therefore pray ye (ovt $\omega \varsigma$ ouv $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon u \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \nu \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. "You" expressed in contrast with "the Gentiles." It should be called "The Model Prayer" rather than "The Lord's Prayer." "Thus" pray as he gives them a model. He himself did not use it as a liturgy (cf. Joh 17 ). There is no evidence that Jesus meant it for liturgical use by others. In Lu 11:2-4 practically the same prayer though briefer is given at a later time by Jesus to the apostles in response to a request that he teach them how to pray. McNeile argues that the form in Luke is the original to which Matthew has made additions: "The tendency of liturgical formulas is towards enrichment rather than abbreviation." But there is no evidence whatever that Jesus designed it as a set formula. There is no real harm in a liturgical formula if one likes it, but no one sticks to just one formula in prayer. There is good and not harm in children learning and saying this noble prayer. Some people are disturbed over the words "Our Father" and say that no one has a right to call God Father who has not been "born again." But that is to say that an unconverted sinner cannot pray until he is converted, an absurd contradiction. God is the Father of all men in one sense; the recognition of Him as the Father in the full sense is the first step in coming back to him in regeneration and conversion.

Hallowed be thy name ( $\alpha \gamma \boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \theta \eta \tau \omega$ тo ovo $\alpha \alpha$ $\sigma o v$ ). In the Greek the verb comes first as in the petitions in verse 10 . They are all aorist imperatives, punctiliar action expressing urgency.

Matt 6:11
Our daily bread ( $\tau \circ \vee$ aptov $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ tov $\varepsilon \pi \iota \circ \sigma \sigma \circ v$ ). This adjective "daily" ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \circ \sigma \sigma \iota v$ ) coming after "Give us this day" ( $\delta o \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \nu \nu \quad \sigma \mu \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ) has given expositors a great deal of trouble. The effort has been made to derive it from $\varepsilon \pi$ and $\omega v$ (ovo $\alpha$ ). It clearly comes from $\varepsilon \pi$ and $\iota \omega \nu(\varepsilon \pi$ and $\varepsilon \mu)$ like $\tau \eta \varepsilon \pi \iota o v \sigma \eta$ ("on the coming day," "the next day," Ac 16:12 ). But the adjective $\varepsilon \pi \iota o v \sigma \iota o \varsigma$ is rare and Origen said it was made by the Evangelists Matthew and Luke to reproduce the idea of an Aramaic original. Moulton and Milligan, Vocabulary say: "The papyri have as yet shed no clear light upon this difficult word (Mt 6:11; Lu 11:3), which was in all probability a new coinage by the author of the Greek Q to render his Aramaic Original" (this in 1919). Deissmann claims that only about fifty purely New Testament or "Christian" words can be admitted out of the more than 5,000 used. "But when a word is not recognizable at sight as a Jewish or Christian new formation, we must consider it as an ordinary Greek word until the contrary is proved. Erıovotoc has all the appearance of a word that originated in trade and traffic of the everyday life of the people (cf. my hints in Neutestamentliche Studien Georg Heinrici dargebracht, Leipzig, 1914, pp. 118f.). The opinion here expressed has been confirmed by A. Debrunner's discovery (Theol. Lit. Ztg. 1925, Col. 119) of $\varepsilon \pi \ldots 0 \sigma \omega \circ$ in an ancient housekeeping book" (Light from the Ancient East, New ed. 1927, p. 78 and note 1). So then it is not a word coined by the Evangelist or by Q to express an Aramaic original. The word occurs also in three late MSS. after 2Macc. 1:8, тouৎ $\varepsilon \pi$ пıvбıovs after tov $\alpha \rho \tau$ тovc. The meaning, in view of the kindred participle ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \circ \cup \sigma \eta$ ) in Ac 16:12, seems to be "for the coming day," a daily prayer for the needs of the next day as every housekeeper understands like the housekeeping book discovered by Debrunner.

Matt 6:12
Our debts ( $\tau \alpha$ о $\varphi \varepsilon ı \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Luke (Lu 11:4) has "sins" ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau ı \alpha \varsigma)$. In the ancient Greek очєi入 $\eta \mu \alpha$ is common for actual legal debts as in Ro 4:4 , but here it is used of moral and spiritual debts to God. "Trespasses" is a mistranslation made common by the Church of England Prayer Book. It is correct in verse 14 in Christ's argument about prayer, but it is not in the Model Prayer itself. See Mt 18:28,30 for sin pictured again by Christ "as debt and the sinner as a debtor" (Vincent). We are thus described as having wronged God. The word очєi $\lambda \eta$ for moral obligation was once supposed to be peculiar to the New Testament. But it is common in that sense in the papyri (Deismann, Bible Studies, p. 221; Light from the Ancient East, New ed., p. 331). We ask forgiveness "in proportion as" ( $\omega \varsigma$ ) we also have forgiven those in debt to us, a most solemn reflection. A $\uparrow \uparrow \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ is one of the three $k$ aorists ( $\varepsilon \theta \eta \kappa \alpha, \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha, \eta \kappa \alpha)$. It means to send away, to dismiss, to wipe off.

Matt 6:13

And bring us not into temptation ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \eta \varepsilon є \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \eta \iota \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho a \sigma \mu о v)$ ) "Bring" or "lead" bothers many people. It seems to present God as an active agent in subjecting us to temptation, a thing specifically denied in Jas 1:13. The word here translated "temptation" ( $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho a \sigma \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ) means originally "trial" or "test" as in Jas 1:2 and Vincent so takes it here. Braid Scots has it: "And lat us no be siftit." But God does test or sift us, though he does not tempt us to evil. No one understood temptation so well as Jesus for the devil tempted him by every avenue of approach to all kinds of $\sin$, but without success. In the Garden of Gethsemane Jesus will say to Peter, James, and John: "Pray that ye enter not into temptation" (Lu 22:40 ). That is the idea here. Here we have a "Permissive imperative" as grammarians term it. The idea is then: "Do not allow us to be led into temptation." There is a way out (1Co 10:13), but it is a terrible risk.

From the evil one ( $\alpha \pi \sigma$ tov $\pi$ ovnpov). The ablative case in the Greek obscures the gender. We have no way of knowing whether it is o $\pi \mathbf{~}$ (the evil thing). And if it is masculine and so o $\pi$ ovnpos, it can either refer to the devil as the Evil One par excellence or the evil man whoever he may be who seeks to do us ill. The word $\pi 0 v \eta \rho o \varsigma$ has a curious history coming from $\pi 0 v o \varsigma$ (toil) and $\pi 0 v \varepsilon \omega$ (to work). It reflects the idea either that work is bad or that this particular work is bad and so the bad idea drives out the good in work or toil, an example of human depravity surely.

The Doxology is placed in the margin of the Revised Version. It is wanting in the oldest and best Greek manuscripts. The earliest forms vary very much, some shorter, some longer than the one in the Authorized Version. The use of a doxology arose when this prayer began to be used as a liturgy to be recited or to be chanted in public worship. It was not an original part of the Model Prayer as given by Jesus.

Matt 6:14
Trespasses ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha)$. This is no part of the Model Prayer. The word "trespass" is literally "falling to one side," a lapse or deviation from truth or uprightness. The ancients sometimes used it of intentional falling or attack upon one's enemy, but "slip" or "fault" (Ga 6:1) is the common New Testament idea. Параßабıৎ (Ro 5:14) is a positive violation, a transgression, conscious stepping aside or across.

Matt 6:16
Of a sad countenance ( $\sigma \kappa \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi$ ). Only here and $\mathrm{Lu} 24: 17$ in the N.T. It is a compound of $\sigma \kappa \cup \theta \rho \circ \varsigma$ (sullen) and oпऽ (countenance). These actors or hypocrites "put on a gloomy look" (Goodspeed) and, if necessary, even "disfigure their faces" ( $\alpha \varphi \alpha \nu \iota \zeta$ оvoıv $\tau \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \omega \pi \alpha$ $\alpha v \tau \omega v)$, that they may look like they are fasting. It is this pretence of piety that Jesus so sharply ridicules. There is a play on the Greek words $\alpha \varphi \alpha v \iota$ ovo (disfigure) and $\varphi \alpha v \omega \sigma \iota v$ (figure). They conceal their real looks that they may seem to be fasting, conscious and pretentious hypocrisy.

Matt 6:18

In secret ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega$ к $\rho \cup \varphi a 1 \omega$ ). Here as in 6:4,6 the Textus Receptus adds $\varepsilon v \tau \omega$ $\varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \omega$ (openly), but it is not genuine. The word кричaıoс is here alone in the New Testament, but occurs four times in the Septuagint.

Matt 6:19
Lay not up for yourselves treasures ( $\mu \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v \rho \iota \zeta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \nu \mu \nu \nu \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \cup \rho o v \varsigma)$ ). Do not have this habit ( $\mu \eta$ and the present imperative). See on Mt 2:11 for the word "treasure." Here there is a play on the word, "treasure not for yourselves treasures." Same play in verse 20 with the cognate accusative. In both verses $v \mu \nu v$ is dative of personal interest and is not reflexive, but the ordinary personal pronoun. Wycliff has it: "Do not treasure to you treasures."

Break through ( $\delta$ ıopvoбovovv). Literally "dig through." Easy to do through the mud walls or sun-dried bricks. Today they can pierce steel safes that are no longer safe even if a foot thick. The Greeks called a burglar a "mud-digger" (тохооихос).

Matt 6:20
Rust ( $\beta \rho \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma)$. Something that "eats" ( $\beta \iota \beta \rho \omega \sigma \kappa \omega)$ or "gnaws" or "corrodes."
Matt 6:22
Single ( $\alpha \pi \lambda$ ous). Used of a marriage contract when the husband is to repay the dowry "pure and simple" ( $\tau \eta v \varphi \varepsilon \rho v \eta \nu \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \nu$ ), if she is set free; but in case he does not do so promptly, he is to add interest also (Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary, etc.). There are various other instances of such usage. Here and in Lu 11:34 the eye is called "single" in a moral sense. The word means "without folds" like a piece of cloth unfolded, simplex in Latin. Bruce considers this parable of the eye difficult. "The figure and the ethical meaning seem to be mixed up, moral attributes ascribed to the physical eye which with them still gives light to the body. This confusion may be due to the fact that the eye, besides being the organ of vision, is the seat of expression, revealing inward dispositions." The "evil" eye ( $\pi$ о $\eta \rho \rho \circ \varsigma$ ) may be diseased and is used of stinginess in the LXX and so a $\pi \lambda$ ouc may refer to liberality as Hatch argues (Essays in Biblical Greek, p. 80). The passage may be elliptical with something to be supplied. If our eyes are healthy we see clearly and with a single focus (without astigmatism). If the eyes are diseased (bad, evil), they may even be cross-eyed or cock-eyed. We see double and confuse our vision. We keep one eye on the hoarded treasures of earth and roll the other proudly up to heaven. Seeing double is double-mindedness as is shown in verse 24 .

Matt 6:24
No man can serve two masters (ou but failure awaits them all. Men even try "to be slaves to God and mammon" ( $\Theta \varepsilon \omega$ סou入zuevv $\kappa \alpha \mu \alpha \mu \omega v \alpha)$. Mammon is a Chaldee, Syriac, and Punic word like Plutus for the money-god (or devil). The slave of mammon will obey mammon while pretending to obey God. The United States has had a terrible revelation of the power of the money-god in public life in the Sinclair-Fall-Teapot-Air-Dome-Oil case. When the guide is blind and leads the blind,
both fall into the ditch. The man who cannot tell road from ditch sees falsely as Ruskin shows in Modern Painters. He will hold to one ( $\varepsilon v o c \alpha v \theta \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. The word means to line up face to face ( $\alpha v \tau$ ) with one man and so against the other.

## Matt 6:25

Be not anxious for your life ( $\mu \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \nu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau \eta \psi \nu \chi \eta \nu \mu \omega v$ ). This is as good a translation as the Authorized Version was poor; "Take no thought for your life." The old English word "thought" meant anxiety or worry as Shakespeare says:
"The native hue of resolution Is sicklied o'er with the pale cast of thought."
Vincent quotes Bacon (Henry VII): "Harris, an alderman of London, was put in trouble and died with thought and anguish." But words change with time and now this passage is actually quoted (Lightfoot) "as an objection to the moral teaching of the Sermon on the Mount, on the ground that it encouraged, nay, commanded, a reckless neglect of the future." We have narrowed the word to mere planning without any notion of anxiety which is in the Greek word. The verb $\mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \nu \alpha \omega$ is from $\mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma, \mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, because care or anxiety distracts and divides. It occurs in Christ's rebuke to Martha for her excessive solicitude about something to eat (Lu 10:41). The notion of proper care and forethought appears in 1Co 7:32; 12:25; Php 2:20. It is here the present imperative with the negative, a command not to have the habit of petulant worry about food and clothing, a source of anxiety to many housewives, a word for women especially as the command not to worship mammon may be called a word for men. The command can mean that they must stop such worry if already indulging in it. In verse 31 Jesus repeats the prohibition with the ingressive aorist subjunctive: "Do not become anxious," "Do not grow anxious." Here the direct question with the deliberative subjunctive occurs with each verb ( $\varphi \alpha \gamma \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu, \pi \iota \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu, \pi \varepsilon \rho ı \beta \alpha \lambda \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). This deliberative subjunctive of the direct question is retained in the indirect question employed in verse 25 . A different verb for clothing occurs, both in the indirect middle ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho 1 \beta \alpha \lambda \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta a$, fling round ourselves in $31, \varepsilon v \delta v \sigma \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$, put on yourselves in 25).

For your life ( $\tau \eta \psi v \chi \eta$ ). "Here $\psi v \times \eta$ stands for the life principle common to man and beast, which is embodied in the $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ : the former needs food, the latter clothing" (McNeile). $\Psi \cup \chi \eta$ in the Synoptic Gospels occurs in three senses (McNeile): either the life principle in the body as here and which man may kill (Mr 3:4) or the seat of the thoughts and emotions
 something higher that makes up the real self (Mt 10:28; 16:26). In Mt 16:25 (Lu 9:25) $\psi v \chi \eta$ appears in two senses paradoxical use, saving life and losing it.

Matt 6:27
Unto his stature ( $\left.\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \nu \eta \lambda_{ı} \kappa \iota \alpha \nu \alpha v \tau o v\right)$. The word $\eta \lambda_{\iota} \kappa \iota \alpha \nu$ is used either of height (stature) or length of life (age). Either makes good sense here, though probably "stature" suits the context best. Certainly anxiety will not help either kind of growth, but rather hinder
by auto-intoxication if nothing more. This is no plea for idleness, for even the birds are diligent and the flowers grow.

Matt 6:28
The lilies of the field ( $\tau \alpha$ крıva $\tau o v ~ \alpha \gamma \rho o v$ ). The word may include other wild flowers besides lilies, blossoms like anemones, poppies, gladioli, irises (McNeile).

Matt 6:29
Was not arrayed (oude $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tau 0$ ). Middle voice and so "did not clothe himself," "did not put around himself."

Matt 6:30
 heightens the comparison.

Matt 6:33
First his kingdom ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \nu \tau \eta \nu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ ). This in answer to those who see in the Sermon on the Mount only ethical comments. Jesus in the Beatitudes drew the picture of the man with the new heart. Here he places the Kingdom of God and his righteousness before temporal blessings (food and clothing).

Matt 6:34
For the morrow ( $\varepsilon ı \tau \varepsilon v$ aupiov). The last resort of the anxious soul when all other fears are allayed. The ghost of tomorrow stalks out with all its hobgoblins of doubt and distrust.

## Matthew 7

Matt 7:1
Judge not ( $\mu \eta \kappa \rho \nu v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). The habit of censoriousness, sharp, unjust criticism. Our word critic is from this very word. It means to separate, distinguish, discriminate. That is necessary, but pre-judice (prejudgment) is unfair, captious criticism.

Matt 7:3
The mote ( $\tau \boldsymbol{\kappa}$ кар甲оऽ). Not dust, but a piece of dried wood or chaff, splinter (Weymouth, Moffatt), speck (Goodspeed), a very small particle that may irritate.

The beam ( $\tau \eta \vee \delta o \kappa o v$ ). A log on which planks in the house rest (so papyri), joist, rafter, plank (Moffatt), pole sticking out grotesquely. Probably a current proverb quoted by Jesus like our people in glass houses throwing stones. Tholuck quotes an Arabic proverb: "How seest thou the splinter in thy brother's eye, and seest not the cross-beam in thine eye?"

## Matt 7:5

Shalt thou see clearly ( $\delta ı \alpha \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon ı$ ). Only here and Lu 6:42 and Mr 8:25 in the New Testament. Look through, penetrate in contrast to $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon ⿺ \varsigma$, to gaze at, in verse 3 . Get the log out of your eye and you will see clearly how to help the brother get the splinter out ( $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı \nu)$ of his eye.

Matt 7:6
 holy" refers, to ear-rings or to amulets, but that would not appeal to dogs. Trench (Sermon on the Mount, p . 136) says that the reference is to meat offered in sacrifice that must not be flung to dogs: "It is not that the dogs would not eat it, for it would be welcome to them; but that it would be a profanation to give it to them, thus to make it a skubalon, Ex 22:31 ." The yelping dogs would jump at it. Dogs are kin to wolves and infest the streets of oriental cities.

Your pearls before the swine ( $\tau о \cup \varsigma \mu \alpha \rho \gamma \alpha \rho \iota \tau \alpha \varsigma \nu \mu \nu \varepsilon \mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \tau \omega v \chi о \iota \omega \nu)$ ). The word pearl we have in the name Margarita (Margaret). Pearls look a bit like peas or acorns and would deceive the hogs until they discovered the deception. The wild boars haunt the Jordan Valley still and are not far removed from bears as they trample with their feet and rend with their tusks those who have angered them.

Matt 7:9
Loaf--stone (aptov-- $\lambda_{1} \theta$ ov). Some stones look like loaves of bread. So the devil suggested that Jesus make loaves out of stones (Mt 4:3).

Matt 7:10
Fish--serpent ( $\downarrow \not \subset \cup v v--o \varphi \imath v$ ). Fish, common article of food, and water-snakes could easily be substituted. Anacoluthon in this sentence in the Greek.

Matt 7:11
How much more ( $\pi \sigma \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$ ). Jesus is fond of the a fortiori argument.

Matt 7:12
That men should do unto you ( $\iota v \alpha \pi o t \omega \sigma \iota v \nu \mu \imath v$ o $\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$ ). Luke ( $\mathrm{Lu} 6: 31$ ) puts the Golden Rule parallel with Mt 5:42. The negative form is in Tobit 4:15. It was used by Hillel, Philo, Isocrates, Confucius. "The Golden Rule is the distilled essence of that 'fulfilment' ( $5: 17$ ) which is taught in the sermon" (McNeile). Jesus puts it in positive form.

Matt 7:13
By the narrow gate ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \nu \eta \varsigma \pi \nu \lambda \eta \varsigma)$. The Authorized Version "at the strait gate" misled those who did not distinguish between "strait" and "straight." The figure of the Two Ways had a wide circulation in Jewish and Christian writings (cf. De 30:19; Jer 21:8; Ps 1 ). See the Didache i-vi; Barnabas xviii-xx. "The narrow gate" is repeated in verse 14 and
straitened the way ( $\tau \varepsilon \theta \lambda \mu \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \eta$ o $о \varsigma$ ) added. The way is "compressed," narrowed as in a defile between high rocks, a tight place like $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \nu 0 \chi \omega \rho \prime \alpha$ in Ro 8:35. "The way that leads to life involves straits and afflictions" (McNeile). Vincent quotes the Pinax or Tablet of Cebes, a contemporary of Socrates: "Seest thou not, then, a little door, and a way before the door, which is not much crowded, but very few travel it? This is the way that leadeth unto true culture." "The broad way" ( $\varepsilon \cup \rho \cup \chi \omega \rho \circ \varsigma)$ is in every city, town, village, with the glaring white lights that lure to destruction.

Matt 7:15
False prophets ( $\tau \omega \nu \psi \varepsilon v \delta o \pi \rho o \varphi \eta \tau \omega \nu$ ). There were false prophets in the time of the Old Testament prophets. Jesus will predict "false Messiahs and false prophets" (Mt 24:24) who will lead many astray. They came in due time posing as angels of light like Satan, Judaizers (2Co 11:13f. .) and Gnostics (1Jo 4:1; 1Ti 4:1 ). Already false prophets were on hand when Jesus spoke on this occasion (cf. Ac 13:6; $2 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 1$ ). In outward appearance they look like sheep in the sheep's clothing which they wear, but within they are "ravening wolves" ( $\lambda \cup \kappa о \alpha \rho \pi \alpha ү \varepsilon \varsigma), ~ g r e e d y ~ f o r ~ p o w e r, ~ g a i n, ~ s e l f . ~ I t ~ i s ~ a ~ t r a g e d y ~ t h a t ~ s u c h ~ m e n ~ a n d ~ w o m e n ~ r e-~$ appear through the ages and always find victims. Wolves are more dangerous than dogs and hogs.

Matt 7:16
By their fruits ye shall know them ( $\alpha \pi 0 \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \rho \pi \omega \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \pi \tau \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). From their fruits you will recognize them." The verb "know " ( $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega)$ has $\varepsilon \pi$ added, fully know. The illustrations from the trees and vines have many parallels in ancient writers.

Matt 7:20
See on Mt 7:16.
Matt 7:21
Not--but (ov--a $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ). Sharp contrast between the mere talker and the doer of God's will. Matt 7:22
Did we not prophesy in thy name? (ov $\tau \omega \sigma \omega$ ovo $\alpha \alpha \tau \varepsilon \pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v ;$ ). The use of $o v$ in the question expects the affirmative answer. They claim to have prophesied (preached)
in Christ's name and to have done many miracles. But Jesus will tear off the sheepskin and lay bare the ravening wolf. "I never knew you" (оиסєтотє $\varepsilon \gamma v \omega \nu \nu \mu \propto \varsigma)$. "I was never acquainted with you" (experimental knowledge). Success, as the world counts it, is not a criterion of one's knowledge of Christ and relation to him. "I will profess unto them" (o $\mu$ о $о \boldsymbol{\gamma} \eta \sigma \omega$ autoıs), the very word used of profession of Christ before men (Mt 10:32). This word Jesus will use for public and open announcement of their doom.

Matt 7:24
And doeth them (ка логє $\alpha \cup \tau 0 \cup \varsigma)$. That is the point in the parable of the wise builder, "who digged and went deep, and laid a foundation upon the rock" (Lu 6:48).

Matt 7:25
Was founded ( $\tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota} \omega \tau \sigma$ ). Past perfect indicative passive state of completion in the past. It had been built upon the rock and it stood. No augment.

Matt 7:26
And doeth them not ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \eta \pi о \omega \nu$ avtou¢). The foolish builder put his house on the sands that could not hold in the storm. One is reminded of the words of Jesus at the beginning of the Sermon in 5:19 about the one "who does and teaches." Hearing sermons is a dangerous business if one does not put them into practice.

Matt 7:28
The multitudes were astonished ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma o v \tau$ o o o $\lambda \lambda$ ). They listened spell-bound to the end and were left amazed. Note the imperfect tense, a buzz of astonishment. The verb means literally "were struck out of themselves."

Matt 7:29
And not as their scribes ( $\kappa \alpha$ ov $\omega \varsigma$ о $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). They had heard many sermons before from the regular rabbis in the synagogues. We have specimens of these discourses preserved in the Mishna and Gemara, the Jewish Talmud when both were completed, the driest, dullest collection of disjounted comments upon every conceivable problem in the history of mankind. The scribes quoted the rabbis before them and were afraid to express an idea without bolstering it up by some predecessor. Jesus spoke with the authority of truth, the reality and freshness of the morning light, and the power of God's Spirit. This sermon which made such a profound impression ended with the tragedy of the fall of the house on the sand like the crash of a giant oak in the forest. There was no smoothing over the outcome.

Matt 8:2
If thou wilt ( $\varepsilon a v \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \iota \varsigma$ ). The leper knew that Jesus had the power to heal him. His doubt was about his willingness. "Men more easily believe in miraculous power than in miraculous love" (Bruce). This is a condition of the third class (undetermined, but with prospect of being determined), a hopeful doubt at any rate. Jesus accepted his challenge by "I will." The command to "tell no one" was to suppress excitement and prevent hostility.

Matt 8:5

Unto him ( $\alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). Dative in spite of the genitive absolute $\varepsilon ו \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta$ ovtoc $\alpha 0 \tau 0 v$ as in verse 1, a not infrequent Greek idiom, especially in the koine.

Matt 8:6
Grievously tormented ( $\delta \varepsilon ı v \omega \varsigma \beta \alpha \sigma \alpha v \iota \zeta \rho \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Participle present passive from root $\beta a \sigma \alpha v o \varsigma$ (see on Mt 4:24). The boy ( $\pi \alpha \iota \varsigma$ ), slave ( $\delta o u \lambda o c$, Lu 7:2 ), was a bedridden ( $\beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \tau \alpha$, perfect passive indicative of $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega)$ paralytic.

Matt 8:7
I will come and heal him ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega v \theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon v \sigma \omega$ avtov). Future indicative, not deliberative subjunctive in question (McNeile). The word here for heal ( $\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon v \sigma \omega$ ) means first to serve, give medical attention, then cure, restore to health. The centurion uses the more
 like a physician, says that Jesus healed (ıato) those in need of treatment ( $\theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ \propto \varsigma)$, but the distinction is not always observed. In Ac 28:8 Luke uses ıaбato of the miraculous healings in Malta by Paul while he employs $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon v o v \tau$ (Ac 28:9) apparently of the practice of Luke the physician (so W. M. Ramsay). Matthew represents the centurion himself as speaking to Jesus while Luke has it that two committees from the centurion brought the messages, apparently a more detailed narrative. What one does through others he does himself as Pilate "scourged Jesus" (had him scourged).

Matt 8:9
For I also am a man under authority ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \gamma \omega \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi о \varsigma v \pi о \varepsilon \xi о v \sigma \iota \alpha$ ). "Also" is in the text, though the $\kappa \alpha$ here may mean "even," even I in my subordinate position have soldiers under me. As a military man he had learned obedience to his superiors and so expected obedience to his commands, instant obedience (aorist imperatives and aoristic present indicatives). Hence his faith in Christ's power over the illness of the boy even without coming. Jesus had only to speak with a word ( $8: 8$ ), say the word, and it would be done.

Matt 8:10
So great faith ( $\tau 0 \sigma \alpha v \tau \eta \nu \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota v)$. In a Roman centurion and greater than in any of the Jews. In like manner Jesus marvelled at the great faith of the Canaanitish woman (Mt 15:28 ).

Matt 8:11
Sit down ( $\alpha v \alpha \kappa \lambda 1 \theta \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha$ ). Recline at table on couches as Jews and Romans did. Hence Leonardo da Vinci's famous picture of the Last Supper is an anachronism with all seated at table in modern style.

Matt 8:12
The sons of the kingdom (o vio $\tau \uparrow \varsigma \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha \varsigma)$. A favourite Hebrew idiom like "son of hell" (Mt 23:15), "sons of this age" (Lu 16:8). The Jews felt that they had a natural right to the privileges of the kingdom because of descent from Abraham (Mt 3:9). But mere natural birth did not bring spiritual sonship as the Baptist had taught before Jesus did.

Into the outer darkness ( $\varepsilon เ \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \sigma к о \tau о \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \varepsilon \xi ~(\omega \tau \varepsilon \rho о v) . ~ C o m p a r a t i v e ~ a d j e c t i v e ~ l i k e ~ o u r ~$ "further out," the darkness outside the limits of the lighted palace, one of the figures for hell or punishment (Mt 23:13; 25:30). The repeated article makes it bolder and more impressive, "the darkness the outside," there where the wailing and gnashing of teeth is heard in the thick blackness of night.

Matt 8:14
Lying sick of a fever ( $\beta\langle\beta \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon v \eta \nu$ ка $\pi v \rho \varepsilon \sigma \sigma o v \sigma \alpha v$ ). Two participles, bedridden (perfect passive of $\beta a \lambda \lambda \omega$ ) and burning with fever (present active). How long the fever had had her we have no means of knowing, possibly a sudden and severe attack ( Mr 1:30) , as they tell Jesus about her on reaching the house of Peter. We are not told what kind of fever it was. Fever itself was considered a disease. "Fever" is from German feuer (fire) like the Greek $\pi v \rho$.

Matt 8:15
Touched her hand ( $\eta \psi a \tau 0$ т $\eta \varsigma \chi \varepsilon ו \rho o \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma)$. In loving sympathy as the Great Physician and like any good doctor today.

Ministered ( $\delta ı \eta \kappa o v \varepsilon$ ). "Began to minister" (conative imperfect) at once to Jesus at table in gratitude and love.

Matt 8:16
When even was come ( $o \psi \operatorname{la} \varsigma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma$ ). Genitive absolute. A beautiful sunset scene at the close of the Sabbath day (Mr 1:21). Then the crowds came as Jesus stood in the door of Peter's house (Mr 1:33; Mt 8:14) as all the city gathered there with the sick, "all those who had it bad" (see on Mt 4:24) and he healed them "with a word" ( $\lambda \mathrm{o} \gamma \omega$ ). It was a never to be forgotten memory for those who saw it.

Matt 8:17
Himself took our infirmities and bare our diseases ( $\alpha \cup \tau о \varsigma \tau \alpha \varsigma \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha$ таৎ vooovc $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v)$. A quotation from Isa 53:4. It is not clear in what sense Matthew applies the words in Isaiah whether in the precise sense of the Hebrew or in an independent manner. Moffatt translates it: "He took away our sicknesses, and bore the burden of our diseases." Goodspeed puts it: "He took our sickness and carried away our diseases." Deissmann (Bible Studies, pp. 102f.) thinks that Matthew has made a free interpretation of the Hebrew, has discarded the translation of the Septuagint, and has transposed the two Hebrew verbs so that Matthew means: "He took upon himself our pains, and bore our diseases." Plummer holds that "It is impossible, and also unnecessary, to understand what the Evangelist understood by 'took ' $(\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon v)$ and 'bare' ( $\varepsilon \beta a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v)$. It at least must mean that Christ removed their sufferings from the sufferers. He can hardly have meant that the diseases were transferred to Christ." Baбтa$\zeta \omega$ occurs freely in the papyri with the sense of lift, carry, endure, carry away (the commonest meaning, Moulton and Milligan, Vocabulary), pilfer. In Mt 3:11 we have the common vernacular use to take off sandals. The Attic Greek did not use it in the sense of carrying off. "This passage is the cornerstone of the faith-cure theory, which
claims that the atonement of Christ includes provision for bodily no less than for spiritual healing, and therefore insists on translating 'took away'" (Vincent). We have seen that the word $\beta a \sigma \tau \alpha \zeta \omega$ will possibly allow that meaning, but I agree with McNeile: "The passage, as Mt. employs $i t$, has no bearing on the doctrine of the atonement." But Jesus does show his sympathy with us. "Christ's sympathy with the sufferers was so intense that he really felt their weaknesses and pains." In our burdens Jesus steps under the load with us and helps us to carry on.

Matt 8:19
A scribe ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \varsigma)$. One ( $\varepsilon \varsigma)=" \mathrm{a}$," indefinite article. Already a disciple as shown by "another of the disciples" ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma ~ \tau \omega \nu \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \omega v$ ) in 8:21. He calls Jesus "Teacher" ( $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ ), but he seems to be a "bumptious" brother full of self-confidence and selfcomplacency. "Even one of that most unimpressionable class, in spirit and tendency utterly opposed to the ways of Jesus" (Bruce). Yet Jesus deals gently with him.

Matt 8:20
Holes ( $\varphi \omega \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \cup \varsigma)$. A lurking hole, burrow.
Nests (катабк $\nu \omega \omega \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. "Roosts, i.e. leafy, $\sigma \kappa \eta v \alpha$ for settling at night (tabernacula, habitacula), not nests" (McNeile). In the Septuagint it is used of God tabernacling in the Sanctuary. The verb (катабкпvow) is there used of birds (Ps 103:12).

The Son of man ( $\theta \mathrm{o}$ vioc $\operatorname{\tau ov} \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ ). This remarkable expression, applied to himself by Jesus so often, appears here for the first time. There is a considerable modern literature devoted to it. "It means much for the Speaker, who has chosen it deliberately, in connection with private reflections, at whose nature we can only guess, by study of the many occasions on which the name is used" (Bruce). Often it means the Representative Man. It may sometimes stand for the Aramaic barnasha, the man, but in most instances that idea will not suit. Jesus uses it as a concealed Messianic title. It is possible that this scribe would not understand the phrase at all. Bruce thinks that here Jesus means "the unprivileged Man," worse off than the foxes and the birds. Jesus spoke Greek as well as Aramaic. It is inconceivable that the Gospels should never call Jesus "the Son of man" and always credit it to him as his own words if he did not so term himself, about eighty times in all, thirty-three in Matthew. Jesus in his early ministry, except at the very start in Joh 4 , abstains from calling himself Messiah. This term suited his purpose exactly to get the people used to his special claim as Messiah when he is ready to make it openly.

Matt 8:21
And bury my father ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \psi \alpha$ tov $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \mu \circ v$ ). The first man was an enthusiast. This one is overcautious. It is by no means certain that the father was dead. Tobit urged his son Tobias to be sure to bury him: "Son, when I am dead, bury me" (Tobit 4:3). The probability is that this disciple means that, after his father is dead and buried, he will then be free to follow Jesus. "At the present day, an Oriental, with his father sitting by his side, has been
known to say respecting his future projects: 'But I must first bury my father!'" (Plummer). Jesus wanted first things first. But even if his father was not actually dead, service to Christ comes first.

Matt 8:22
Leave the dead to bury their own dead ( $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \varsigma \tau$ тоис vєкроис $\theta \alpha \psi \alpha$ тоиऽ $\varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau \omega \nu$ vєкроис). The spiritually dead are always on hand to bury the physically dead, if one's real duty is with Jesus. Chrysostom says that, while it is a good deed to bury the dead, it is a better one to preach Christ.

Matt 8:24
But he was asleep ( $\alpha v \tau о \varsigma ~ \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect, was sleeping. Picturesque scene. The Sea of Galilee is 680 feet below the Mediterranean Sea. These sudden squalls come down from the summit of Hermon with terrific force ( $\sigma \varepsilon 1 \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \varsigma$ ) like an earthquake. Mark (Mr 4:37) and Luke (Lu 8:23) term it a whirlwind ( $\lambda a 1 \lambda \alpha \pi \varsigma)$ in furious gusts.

Matt 8:25
Save, Lord; we perish (Kvpıع, $\sigma \omega \sigma \sigma v, \alpha \pi o \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). More exactly, "Lord, save us at once (aorist), we are perishing (present linear)."

Matt 8:27
Even the winds and the sea obey him ( $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ о $\alpha v \eta \mu$ к ка $\eta \forall \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \alpha \nu \tau \omega$ v $\pi \alpha \kappa о v o v \sigma \tau v$ ). A nature miracle. Even a sudden drop in the wind would not at once calm the sea. "J. Weiss explains that by 'an astonishing coincidence' the storm happened to lull at the moment that Jesus spoke!" (McNeile). Some minds are easily satisfied by their own stupidities.

Matt 8:28
The country of the Gadarenes ( $\tau \varepsilon v \chi \omega \rho \alpha \nu \tau \omega v \Gamma \alpha \delta \alpha \rho \eta \nu \omega v$ ). This is the correct text in Matthew while in Mr 5:1 and Lu 8:26 it is "the country of the Gerasenes." Dr. Thomson discovered by the lake the ruins of Khersa (Gerasa). This village is in the district of the city of Gadara some miles southeastward so that it can be called after Gerasa or Gadara. So Matthew speaks of "two demoniacs" while Mark and Luke mention only one, the leading one. " The tombs " ( $\tau \omega \nu \mu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \omega \omega v$ ) were chambers cut into the mountain side common enough in Palestine then and now. On the eastern side of the lake the precipitous cliffs are of limestone formation and full of caves. It is one of the proofs that one is a maniac that he haunts the tombs. People shunned the region as dangerous because of the madmen.

Matt 8:29
Thou Son of God (vı tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). The recognition of Jesus by the demons is surprising. The whole subject of demonology is difficult. Some hold that it is merely the ancient way of describing disease. But that does not explain the situation here. Jesus is represented as treating the demons as real existences separate from the human personality. Missionaries in China today claim that they have seen demons cast out. The devil knew Jesus clearly and it is not strange that Jesus was recognized by the devil's agents. They know that there is
nothing in common between them and the Son of God ( $\eta \mu \nu \tau \kappa \alpha \sigma 0$, ethical dative) and they
 Testament for demons, but in 8:31 we have o daupoves (the only example in the N.T.). $\Delta \alpha \mu \mu v \iota v$ is a diminutive of $\delta \alpha \mu \omega v$. In Homer $\delta a \mu \omega v$ is used synonymously with $\theta \varepsilon o$, and $\theta \varepsilon \alpha$. Hesiod employed $\delta \alpha \mu \mu \omega v$ of men of the golden age as tutelary deities. Homer has the adjective $\delta a \mu$ ovios usually in an evil sense. Empedocles considered the demons both bad and good. They were thus used to relieve the gods and goddesses of much rascality. Grote (History of Greece) notes that the Christians were thus by pagan usage justified in calling idolatry the worship of demons. See 1Co 10:20f.; 1Ti 4:1; Re 9:20; 16:13f. In the Gospels demons are the same as unclean spirits ( $\mathrm{Mr} 5: 12,15 ; 3: 22,30 ; \mathrm{Lu} 4: 33$ ). The demons are disturbers (Vincent) of the whole life of man (Mr 5:2f.; 7:25; Mt 12:45; Lu 13:11,16 ).

Matt 8:32
Rushed down the steep ( $\omega \rho \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ката $\tau$ о $\kappa \rho \eta \mu \nu \circ v$ ). Down from the cliff (ablative case) into the sea. Constative aorist tense. The influence of mind on matter is now understood better than formerly, but we have the mastery of the mind of the Master on the minds of the maniacs, the power of Christ over the demons, over the herd of hogs. Difficulties in plenty exist for those who see only folk-lore and legend, but plain enough if we take Jesus to be really Lord and Saviour. The incidental destruction of the hogs need not trouble us when we are so familiar with nature's tragedies which we cannot comprehend.

Matt 8:34
That he would depart ( $0 \pi \omega \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \eta$ ). The whole city was excited over the destruction of the hogs and begged Jesus to leave, forgetful of the healing of the demoniacs in their concern over the loss of property. They cared more for hogs than for human souls, as often happens today.

## Matthew 9

Matt 9:1
His own city ( $\tau \eta v \iota \delta \iota \alpha \nu \pi o \lambda ı v)$. Capernaum (Mr 2:1; Mt 4:13).
Matt 9:2
They brought ( $\pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ). Imperfect, "were bringing," graphic picture made very vivid by the details in Mr 2:1-4 and Lu 5:17. " Lying on a bed " (stretched on a couch), perfect passive participle, a little bed or couch ( $\kappa \lambda \iota \imath \iota \delta \iota \nu)$ in Lu 5:19, "a pallet" (краßатоৎ) in Mr 2:4,9,11.

Thy sins are forgiven ( $\alpha \varphi \iota \varepsilon \vee \tau \alpha$ ). Present passive indicative (aoristic present). Luke (Lu 5:21) has $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \omega v \tau \alpha$, Doric and Ionic perfect passive indicative for the Attic $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \iota \nu \tau \alpha$, one of the dialectical forms appearing in the Koine.

Matt 9:3
This man blasphemeth (ov os $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon)$. See the sneer in "this fellow." "The prophet always is a scandalous, irreverent blasphemer from the conventional point of view" (Bruce).

Matt 9:6
That ye may know ( $七 \sim \alpha \varepsilon เ \delta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Jesus accepts the challenge in the thoughts of the scribes and performs the miracle of healing the paralytic, who so far only had his sins forgiven, to prove his Messianic power on earth to forgive sins even as God does. The word $\varepsilon \xi$ ovoıa may mean either power or authority. He had both as a matter of fact. Note same word in 9:8.

Then saith he to the sick of the palsy ( $\tau 0 \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \omega \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \nu \tau \iota \kappa \omega$ ). These words of course, were not spoken by Jesus. Curiously enough Matthew interjects them right in the midst of the sayings of Jesus in reply to the scorn of the scribes. Still more remarkable is the fact that Mark (Mr 2:10) has precisely the same words in the same place save that Matthew has added $\tau 0 \tau \varepsilon$, of which he is fond, to what Mark already had. Mark, as we know, largely reports Peter's words and sees with Peter's eyes. Luke has the same idea in the same place without the vivid historical present $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ ( $\varepsilon \tau \pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \nu \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega t)$ with the participle in place of the adjective. This is one of the many proofs that both Matthew and Luke made use of Mark's Gospel each in his own way.

Take up thy bed (a $\alpha \rho v \sigma o v \tau \eta v \kappa \lambda \iota v \eta v$ ). Pack up at once (aorist active imperative) the rolled-up pallet.

Matt 9:9
At the place of toll ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega v i o v)$. The tax-office or custom-house of Capernaum placed here to collect taxes from the boats going across the lake outside of Herod's territory or from people going from Damascus to the coast, a regular caravan route. " Called Matthew " (MaӨӨaıov $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma o \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ) and in 10:3 Matthew the publican is named as one of the Twelve Apostles. Mark (Mr 2:14) and Luke (Lu 5:27) call this man Levi. He had two names as was common, Matthew Levi. The publicans ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega v \alpha$ ) get their name in English from the Latin
publicanus (a man who did public duty), not a very accurate designation. They were detested because they practised graft. Even Gabinius the proconsul of Syria was accused by Cicero of relieving Syrians and Jews of legitimate taxes for graft. He ordered some of the tax-officers removed. Already Jesus had spoken of the publican ( $5: 46$ ) in a way that shows the public disfavour in which they were held.

Matt 9:10
Publicans and sinners ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega v \alpha \kappa \alpha \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda o$ ). Often coupled together in common scorn and in contrast with the righteous ( $\delta$ เкaıo in 9:13). It was a strange medley at Levi's feast (Jesus and the four fisher disciples, Nathanael and Philip; Matthew Levi and his former companions, publicans and sinners; Pharisees with their scribes or students as on-lookers; disciples of John the Baptist who were fasting at the very time that Jesus was feasting and with such a group). The Pharisees criticize sharply "your teacher" for such a social breach of "reclining" together with publicans at Levi's feast.

Matt 9:12
But they that are sick ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ o как $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). Probably a current proverb about the physician. As a physician of body and soul Jesus was bound to come in close touch with the social outcasts.

Matt 9:13
But go ye and learn ( $\pi$ ор $\varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \mu \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). With biting sarcasm Jesus bids these preachers to learn the meaning of Ho 6:6. It is repeated in Mt 12:7 . Ingressive aorist imperative ( $\mu \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ).

Matt 9:14
The disciples of John (o $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha$ I $\omega \alpha v o v$ ). One is surprised to find disciples of the Baptist in the role of critics of Christ along with the Pharisees. But John was languishing in prison and they perhaps were blaming Jesus for doing nothing about it. At any rate John would not have gone to Levi's feast on one of the Jewish fast-days. "The strict asceticism of the Baptist ( $11: 18$ ) and of the Pharisaic rabbis (Lu 18:12) was imitated by their disciples" (McNeile).

Matt 9:15
The sons of the bride-chamber ( $o$ vio $\tau 0 v \nu v \mu \varphi \omega v o \varsigma$ ). It is a late Hebrew idiom for the wedding guests, "the friends of the bridegroom and all the sons of the bride-chamber" (Tos. Berak. ii. 10). Cf. Joh 2:29 .

Matt 9:16
Undressed cloth ( $\rho \alpha \kappa о и \varsigma ~ a \gamma v \alpha \varphi o v)$ ). An unfulled, raw piece of woollen cloth that will shrink when wet and tear a bigger hole than ever.

A worse rent ( $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \circ v \sigma \chi \iota \rho \mu)$. Our word "schism." The "patch " ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha$, filling up) thus does more harm than good.

Matt 9:17

Old wineskins (aбкou¢ $\pi \alpha \lambda$ aıovৎ). Not glass " bottles " but wineskins used as bottles as is true in Palestine yet, goatskins with the rough part inside. "Our word bottle originally carried the true meaning, being a bottle of leather. In Spanish bota means a leather bottle, a boot, and a butt. In Spain wine is still brought to market in pig-skins " (Vincent). The new wine will ferment and crack the dried-up old skins.

The wine is spilled ( $\varepsilon \kappa \chi \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ ), poured out.
Matt 9:18
Is even now dead ( $\alpha \rho \tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \cup \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Aorist tense with $\alpha \rho \tau$ and so better, "just now died," "just dead" (Moffatt). Mark (Mr 5:23 ) has it "at the point of death," Luke (Lu 8:42) "lay a dying." It is not always easy even for physicians to tell when actual death has come. Jesus in 9:24 pointedly said, "The damsel is not dead, but sleepeth," meaning that she did not die to stay dead.

Matt 9:20
The border of his garment ( $\tau 0 v ~ к \rho а \sigma \pi \varepsilon \delta o v ~ \tau o v ~ ц \mu \alpha \tau ь v) . ~ T h e ~ h e m ~ o r ~ f r i n g e ~ o f ~ a ~ g a r-~$ ment, a tassel or tuft hanging from the edge of the outer garment according to Nu 15:38 . It was made of twisted wool. Jesus wore the dress of other people with these fringes at the four corners of the outer garment. The Jews actually counted the words Jehovah One from the numbers of the twisted white threads, a refinement that Jesus had no concern for. This poor woman had an element of superstition in her faith as many people have, but Jesus honours her faith and cures her.

## Matt 9:23

The flute-players ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma \alpha \cup \lambda \eta \tau \alpha \varsigma$ ). The girl was just dead, but already a crowd "making a tumult" ( $\theta$ op $\vee \beta$ ov $\mu \varepsilon v o v)$ with wild wailing and screaming had gathered in the outer court, "brought together by various motives, sympathy, money, desire to share in the meat and drink going at such a time" (Bruce). Besides the several flute-players (voluntary or hired) there were probably "some hired mourning women (Jer 9:17) praeficae, whose duty it was to sing naenia in praise of the dead" (Bruce). These when put out by Jesus, "laughed him to scorn" (катєүع $\lambda \omega v$ ), in a sort of loud and repeated (imperfect) guffaw of scorn. Jesus overcame all this repellent environment.

Matt 9:27
As Jesus passed by ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma o v \tau \operatorname{I\eta \sigma ov).~Associative~instrumental~case~with~} \eta \kappa о \lambda o u \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$. It was the supreme opportunity of these two blind men. Note two demoniacs in Mt 8:28 and two blind men in Mt 20:30. See the same word $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \omega v$ used of Jesus in 9:9.

Matt 9:29
Touched their eyes ( $\eta \psi \alpha \tau o \tau \omega \nu \circ \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \omega \nu$ ). The men had faith (9:28) and Jesus rewards their faith and yet he touched their eyes as he sometimes did with kindly sympathy.

Matt 9:30

Were opened ( $\eta v \varepsilon \omega \tau \chi \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Triple augment ( $\mathrm{on} \mathrm{ol}=\omega \mathrm{t}, \varepsilon$ and then on preposition $\alpha v$ $=\eta v$ ).

Strictly charged them ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \beta \rho \mu \mu \eta \theta \eta$ avtoıs). A difficult word, compound of $\varepsilon v$ and $\beta \rho \mu \alpha о \mu \alpha$ (to be moved with anger). It is used of horses snorting (Aeschylus, Theb. 461), of men fretting or being angry ( $\mathrm{Da} 11: 30$ ). Allen notes that it occurs twice in Mark (Mr 1:43; 14:5 ) when Matthew omits it. It is found only here in Matthew. John has it twice in a different sense (Joh 11:33 with $\varepsilon v \varepsilon a v \tau \omega)$. Here and in $\mathrm{Mr} 1: 32$ it has the notion of commanding sternly, a sense unknown to ancient writers. Most manuscripts have the middle $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \beta \rho \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \tau 0$, but Aleph and B have the passive $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \beta \rho \rho \mu \eta \theta \eta$ which Westcott and Hort accept, but without the passive sense (cf. $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho i \theta \eta$ ). "The word describes rather a rush of deep feeling which in the synoptic passages showed itself in a vehement injunctive and in Joh 11:33 in look and manner" (McNeile). Bruce translates Euthymius Zigabenus on Mr 1:32 : "Looked severely, contracting His eyebrows, and shaking His head at them as they are wont to do who wish to make sure that secrets will be kept." "See to it, let no one know it" (opate, $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon ı \varsigma$ $\gamma \iota \omega \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \omega)$. Note elliptical change of persons and number in the two imperatives.

Matt 9:32
A dumb man ( $\kappa \omega \varphi \circ \vee$ ). Literally blunted in tongue as here and so dumb, in ear as in Mt 11:5 and so deaf. Homer used it of a blunted dart (Iliad xi. 390). Others applied it to mental dulness.

Matt 9:34
By the prince of the devils ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \alpha \rho \chi \circ \nu \tau \tau \omega v \delta a \mu \mu \nu \omega \omega v$ ). Demons, not devils. The codex Bezae omits this verse, but it is probably genuine. The Pharisees are becoming desperate and, unable to deny the reality of the miracles, they seek to discredit them by trying to connect Jesus with the devil himself, the prince of the demons. They will renew this charge later (Mt 12:24) when Jesus will refute it with biting sarcasm.

Matt 9:35
And Jesus went about ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho ı \eta \gamma \varepsilon v$ o I $\eta \sigma o v \varsigma$ ). Imperfect tense descriptive of this third tour of all Galilee.

Matt 9:36
Were distressed and scattered ( $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \nu \lambda \mu \varepsilon v o ~ \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \rho \mu \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Periphrastic past perfect indicative passive. A sad and pitiful state the crowds were in. Rent or mangled as if by wild beasts. $\Sigma \kappa \nu \lambda \lambda \omega$ occurs in the papyri in sense of plunder, concern, vexation. "Used here of the common people, it describes their religious condition. They were harassed, importuned, bewildered by those who should have taught them; hindered from entering into the kingdom of heaven ( $23: 13$ ), laden with the burdens which the Pharisees laid upon them ( $23: 3$ ). Eрı $\mu \mu \varepsilon$ vo denotes men cast down and prostrate on the ground, whether from drunkenness, Polyb. v. 48.2, or from mortal wounds" (Allen): This perfect passive participle from $\rho \iota \pi \tau \omega$,
to throw down. The masses were in a state of mental dejection. No wonder that Jesus was moved with compassion ( $\varepsilon \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \nu \stackrel{\sigma}{ })$ ).

Matt 9:38
That he send forth labourers ( $0 \pi \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \eta \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \tau \alpha \varsigma$ ). Jesus turns from the figure of the shepherdless sheep to the harvest field ripe and ready for the reapers. The verb $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ really means to drive out, to push out, to draw out with violence or without. Prayer is the remedy offered by Jesus in this crisis for a larger ministerial supply. How seldom do we hear prayers for more preachers. Sometimes God literally has to push or force a man into the ministry who resists his known duty.

## Matthew 10

Matt 10:1
His twelve disciples ( $\tau$ оия $\delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau о v)$. First mention of the group of "learners" by Matthew and assumed as already in existence (note the article) as they were (Mr 3:14). They were chosen before the Sermon on the Mount was delivered, but Matthew did not mention it in connection with that sermon.

Gave them authority ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ avtoı $\varepsilon \xi$ оvбıav). "Power" (Moffatt, Goodspeed). One may be surprised that here only the healing work is mentioned, though Luke (Lu 9:2) has it "to preach the kingdom of God, and to heal the sick." And Matthew says (Mt 10:7), "And as ye go, preach." Hence it is not fair to say that Matthew knows only the charge to heal the sick, important as that is. The physical distress was great, but the spiritual even greater. Power is more likely the idea of $\varepsilon \xi$ 话ı here. This healing ministry attracted attention and did a vast deal of good. Today we have hospitals and skilled physicians and nurses, but we should not deny the power of God to bless all these agencies and to cure disease as he wills. Jesus is still the master of soul and body. But intelligent faith does not justify us in abstaining from the help of the physician who must not be confounded with the quack and the charlatan.

## Matt 10:2

The names of the twelve apostles ( $\tau \omega v \delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \alpha \pi о \sigma \tau о \lambda \omega v \tau \alpha$ оvонат $)$. This is the official name (missionaries) used here by Matthew for the first time. The names are given here, but Matthew does not say that they were chosen at this time. Mark (Mr 3:13-19) and Luke (Lu 6:12-16 ) state that Jesus "chose" them, "appointed" them after a night of prayer in the mountain and came down with them and then delivered the Sermon (Lu 6:17). Simon heads the list ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \varsigma)$ in all four lists including Ac 1:13f. He came to be first and foremost at the great Pentecost (Ac 2 and Ac 3 ). The apostles disputed a number of times as to which was greatest. Judas Iscariot comes last each time save that he is absent in Acts, being already dead. Matthew calls him the betrayer (o $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \iota \delta o v \varsigma)$. Iscariot is usually explained as "man of Kerioth" down near Edom (Jos 15:25 ). Philip comes fifth and James the son of Alphaeus the ninth. Bartholomew is the name for Nathanael. Thaddaeus is Judas the brother of James. Simon Zelotes is also called Simon the Canaanean (Zealous, Hebrew word). This is apparently their first preaching and healing tour without Jesus. He sends them forth by twos (Mr 6:7 ). Matthew names them in pairs, probably as they were sent out.

Matt 10:5
 "sent forth" ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon 1 \lambda \varepsilon v)$ is the same root as "apostles." The same word reappears in 10:16.

Way of the Gentiles (o $\delta o v \varepsilon \theta v \omega v$ ). Objective genitive, way leading to the Gentiles. This prohibition against going among the Gentiles and the Samaritans was for this special tour.

They were to give the Jews the first opportunity and not to prejudice the cause at this stage. Later Jesus will order them to go and disciple all the Gentiles (Mt 28:19).

Matt 10:6
The lost sheep ( $\tau \alpha \pi \rho \circ \beta \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha \pi о \lambda \omega \lambda о \tau \alpha$ ). The sheep, the lost ones. Mentioned here first by Matthew. Jesus uses it not in blame, but in pity (Bruce). Bengel notes that Jesus says "lost" more frequently than "led astray." "If the Jewish nation could be brought to repentance the new age would dawn" (McNeile).

Matt 10:7
As ye go, preach ( $\pi$ орعvo $\mu \varepsilon v o$ к $\rho \cup \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present participle and present imperative. They were itinerant preachers on a "preaching tour," heralds (кприкєऽ) proclaiming good news. The summary message is the same as that of the Baptist ( 3:2) that first startled the country, "the kingdom of heaven has drawn nigh." He echoed it up and down the Jordan Valley. They are to shake Galilee with it as Jesus had done ( $4: 17$ ). That same amazing message is needed today. But "the apprentice apostles" (Bruce) could tell not a little about the King of the Kingdom who was with them.

Matt 10:9
Get you no gold ( $\mu \eta \kappa \tau \eta \sigma \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). It is not, "Do not possess" or "own," but "do not acquire" or "procure" for yourselves, indirect middle aorist subjunctive. Gold, silver, brass (copper) in a descending scale (nor even bronze).

In your purses ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha \varsigma \zeta \omega v \alpha \varsigma \nu \mu \omega v)$. In your girdles or belts used for carrying money.
Matt 10:10
No wallet ( $\mu \eta \pi \eta \rho \alpha v$ ). Better than "scrip." It can be either a travelling or bread bag. Deissmann (Light from the Ancient East, pp. 108f.) shows that it can mean the beggar's collecting bag as in an inscription on a monument at Kefr Hanar in Syria: "While Christianity was still young the beggar priest was making his rounds in the land of Syria on behalf of the national goddess." Deissmann also quotes a pun in the Didaskalia=Const. Apost. 3, 6 about some itinerant widows who said that they were not so much $\chi \eta \rho \alpha$ (spouseless) as $\pi \eta \rho \alpha$ (pouchless). He cites also Shakespeare, Troilus and Cressida III. iii. 145: "Time hath, my lord, a wallet at his back, wherein he puts alms for oblivion."

For the labourer is worthy of his food ( $\alpha \xi \nLeftarrow \varsigma \gamma \alpha \rho$ o $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \tau \rho \circ \varphi \eta \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \circ v$ ). The sermon is worth the dinner, in other words. Luke in the charge to the seventy ( $\mathrm{Lu} 10: 7$ ) has the same words with $\mu \boldsymbol{\sigma} \theta$ ov (reward) instead of $\tau \rho \circ \varphi \eta \varsigma$ (food). In 1Ti 5:18 Paul quotes Luke's form as scripture ( $\eta \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta$ ) or as a well-known saying if confined to the first quotation. The word for workman here ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \tau \eta$ ) is that used by Jesus in the prayer for labourers (Mt 9:38 ). The well-known Didach $\boxtimes$ or Teaching of the Twelve (xiii) shows that in the second century there was still a felt need for care on the subject of receiving pay for preaching. The travelling sophists added also to the embarrassment of the situation. The wisdom of these
restrictions was justified in Galilee at this time. Mark (Mr 6:6-13 ) and Luke (Lu 9:1-6 ) vary slightly from Matthew in some of the details of the instructions of Jesus.

Matt 10:13
If the house be worthy ( $\varepsilon \alpha \vee \eta \eta$ оıкı $\left.\alpha \xi_{ı}\right)$. Third class condition. What makes a house worthy? "It would naturally be readiness to receive the preachers and their message" (McNeile). Hospitality is one of the noblest graces and preachers receive their share of it. The apostles are not to be burdensome as guests.

Matt 10:14
Shake off the dust ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \iota v \alpha \xi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau 0 v \kappa o v i \rho \rho \tau o v)$. Shake out, a rather violent gesture of disfavour. The Jews had violent prejudices against the smallest particles of Gentile dust, not as a purveyor of disease of which they did not know, but because it was regarded as the putrescence of death. If the apostles were mistreated by a host or hostess, they were to be treated as if they were Gentiles (cf. Mt 18:17; Ac 18:6 ). Here again we have a restriction that was for this special tour with its peculiar perils.

Matt 10:15
More tolerable ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \kappa \tau о \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ). The papyri use this adjective of a convalescent. People in their vernacular today speak of feeling "tolerable." The Galileans were having more privileges than Sodom and Gomorrah had.

Matt 10:16
As sheep in the midst of wolves ( $\omega \varsigma \pi \rho \circ \beta \alpha \tau \alpha \varepsilon \nu \mu \varepsilon \sigma \omega \lambda \nu \kappa \omega \nu)$. The presence of wolves on every hand was a fact then and now. Some of these very sheep ( $10: 6$ ) at the end will turn out to be wolves and cry for Christ's crucifixion. The situation called for consummate wisdom and courage. The serpent was the emblem of wisdom or shrewdness, intellectual keenness (Ge 3:1; Ps 58:5 ), the dove of simplicity (Ho 7:11). It was a proverb, this combination, but one difficult of realization. Either without the other is bad (rascality or gullibility). The first clause with $\alpha \rho v a \varsigma$ for $\pi \rho o \beta \alpha \tau \alpha$ is in $\mathrm{Lu} 10: 3$ and apparently is in a Fragment of a Lost Gospel edited by Grenfell and Hunt. The combination of wariness and innocence is necessary for the protection of the sheep and the discomfiture of the wolves. For "harmless" (aкع $\alpha \boldsymbol{\iota} \circ$ ) Moffatt and Goodspeed have "guileless," Weymouth "innocent." The word means "unmixed" ( a privative and кєраขvvر), "unadulterated," "simple," "unalloyed."

Matt 10:17
Beware of men ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \alpha \pi o \tau \omega \nu \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega v$ ). Ablative case with $\alpha \pi o$. Hold your mind (vovv understood) away from. The article with $\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu$ points back to $\lambda \nu \kappa \omega \nu$ (wolves) in 10:16.

To councils ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \sigma \cup v \varepsilon \delta \rho ı \alpha)$. The local courts of justice in every Jewish town. The word is an old one from Herodotus on for any deliberative body $(\chi \circ v \chi \iota \lambda เ v \mu)$. The same word is used for the Sanhedrin in Jerusalem.

In their synagogues ( $\varepsilon v \tau 0 \iota \varsigma \sigma v v \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \alpha \iota \varsigma \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Here not merely as the place of assembly for worship, but as an assembly of justice exercising discipline as when the man born blind was cast out of the synagogue (Joh 9:35 ). They were now after the exile in every town of any size where Jews were.

Matt 10:19
Be not anxious ( $\mu \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \nu \eta \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Ingressive aorist subjunctive in prohibition. "Do not become anxious" (Mt 6:31 ). "Self-defence before Jewish kings and heathen governors would be a terrible ordeal for humble Galileans. The injunction applied to cases when preparation of a speech would be impossible" (McNeile). "It might well alarm the bravest of these simple fishermen to be told that they would have to answer for their doings on Christ's behalf before Jewish councils and heathen courts" (Plummer). Christ is not talking about preparation of sermons. " In that hour " ( $\varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ \nu \eta \tau \eta \omega \rho \alpha)$, if not before. The Spirit of your Father will speak to you and through you ( $10: 20$ ). Here is no posing as martyr or courting a martyr's crown, but real heroism with full loyalty to Christ.

Matt 10:22
Ye shall be hated $(\varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \mu \sigma \sigma 0 \mu \mu \varepsilon v o)$. Periphrastic future passive, linear action. It will go on through the ages.

For my name's sake ( $\delta 1 \alpha$ to ovo $\mu \alpha \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ). In the O.T. as in the Targums and the Talmud "the name" as here stands for the person (Mt 19:29; Ac 5:41; 9:16; 15:26). "He that endureth to the end" (o v $\pi о \mu \varepsilon เ v a \varsigma \varepsilon เ \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda о \varsigma)$. Effective aorist participle with future indicative.

Matt 10:23
Till the Son of man be come ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ o vios $\tau 0 v a v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v)$. Moffatt puts it "before the Son of man arrives" as if Jesus referred to this special tour of Galilee. Jesus could overtake them. Possibly so, but it is by no means clear. Some refer it to the Transfiguration, others to the coming of the Holy Spirit at Pentecost, others to the Second Coming. Some hold that Matthew has put the saying in the wrong context. Others bluntly say that Jesus was mistaken, a very serious charge to make in his instructions to these preachers. The use of $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ with aorist subjunctive for a future event is a good Greek idiom.

Matt 10:25
Beelzebub ( $\beta \varepsilon \varepsilon \zeta \varepsilon \beta$ ou $\lambda$ according to $B, \beta \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \zeta \varepsilon \beta o u \lambda$ by most Greek MSS., $\beta \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \zeta \varepsilon \beta o u \beta$ by many non-Greek MSS.). The etymology of the word is also unknown, whether "lord of a dwelling" with a pun on "the master of the house" (оккоб $\varepsilon \sigma \pi о \tau \eta v$ ) or "lord of flies" or "lord of dung" or "lord of idolatrous sacrifices." It is evidently a term of reproach. "An opprobrious epithet; exact form of the word and meaning of the name have given more trouble to commentators than it is all worth" (Bruce). See Mt 12:24 .

Matt 10:26
Fear them not therefore ( $\mu \eta$ ouv $\varphi o \beta \eta \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ av $о ⿱ 宀$ ). Repeated in verses 28 and 31 ( $\mu \eta$ $\varphi о \beta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ present middle imperative here in contrast with aorist passive subjunctive in the
preceding prohibitions). Note also the accusative case with the aorist passive subjunctive, transitive though passive. See same construction in Lu 12:5 . In Mt 10:28 the construction is with $\alpha \pi 0$ and the ablative, a translation Hebraism as in Lu 12:4 (Robertson, Grammar of the Greek N.T. in the Light of Historical Research, p. 577).

Matt 10:28
Destroy both soul and body in hell ( $\kappa \alpha \psi v \chi \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \alpha \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \varepsilon \nu \gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon v \nu \eta$ ). Note "soul" here of the eternal spirit, not just life in the body. "Destroy" here is not annihilation, but eternal punishment in Gehenna (the real hell) for which see on 5:22. Bruce thinks that the devil as the tempter is here meant, not God as the judge, but surely he is wrong. There is no more needed lesson today than the fear of God.

Matt 10:29
Two sparrows ( $\delta v o \sigma \tau \rho o v \theta ı \alpha)$. Diminutive of $\sigma \tau \rho o v \theta$ oc and means any small bird, sparrows in particular. They are sold today in the markets of Jerusalem and Jaffa. "For a farthing" ( $\alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \circ v)$ is genitive of price. Only here and Lu 12:6 in the N.T. Diminutive form of the Roman as, slightly more than half an English penny.

Without your Father ( $\alpha v \varepsilon v$ tov $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma \nu \mu \omega v$ ). There is comfort in this thought for us all. Our father who knows about the sparrows knows and cares about us.

Matt 10:31
Than many sparrows ( $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \lambda \omega v \sigma \tau \rho \circ \cup \theta \omega \omega v$ ). Ablative case of comparison with $\delta 1 \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ (our differ).

Matt 10:32
Shall confess me (o 0 o $\lambda \boldsymbol{\gamma} \eta \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon v \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o}$ ). An Aramaic idiom, not Hebrew, see also Lu 12:8 . So also here, "him will I also confess" (o $о \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \eta \sigma \omega$ к'a $\alpha \omega \varepsilon \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega$ ). Literally this Aramaic idiom reproduced in the Greek means "confess in me," indicating a sense of unity with Christ and of Christ with the man who takes the open stand for him.

Matt 10:33
Shall deny me ( $\alpha \rho \vee \eta \sigma \eta \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon$ ). Aorist subjunctive here with ootıc, though future indicative $о \mu о \lambda о ү \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ above. Note accusative here (case of extension), saying "no" to Christ, complete breach. This is a solemn law, not a mere social breach, this cleavage by Christ of the man who repudiates him, public and final.

Matt 10:34
I came not to send peace, but a sword (ovк $\eta \lambda \theta$ ov $\beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota v \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \nu \eta \nu, \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \alpha \chi \alpha \iota \rho \alpha v$ ). A bold and dramatic climax. The aorist infinitive means a sudden hurling of the sword where peace was expected. Christ does bring peace, not as the world gives, but it is not the force of compromise with evil, but of conquest over wrong, over Satan, the triumph of the cross. Meanwhile there will be inevitably division in families, in communities, in states. It is no namby-pamby sentimentalism that Christ preaches, no peace at any price. The Cross is

Christ's answer to the devil's offer of compromise in world dominion. For Christ the kingdom of God is virile righteousness, not mere emotionalism.

Matt 10:35
Set at variance ( $\delta>\chi \propto \sigma \alpha)$. Literally divide in two, $\delta 1 \chi \alpha$. Jesus uses Mic 7:1-6 to describe the rottenness of the age as Micah had done. Family ties and social ties cannot stand in the way of loyalty to Christ and righteous living.

The daughter-in-law ( $v v \mu \varphi \eta v$ ). Literally bride, the young wife who is possibly living with the mother-in-law. It is a tragedy to see a father or mother step between the child and Christ.

Matt 10:38
Doth not take his cross (ov $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \varepsilon ~ \tau o v ~ \sigma \tau \alpha u \rho o v ~ \alpha u \tau o v) . ~ T h e ~ f i r s t ~ m e n t i o n ~ o f ~ c r o s s ~$ in Matthew. Criminals were crucified in Jerusalem. It was the custom for the condemned person to carry his own cross as Jesus did till Simon of Cyrene was impressed for that purpose. The Jews had become familiar with crucifixion since the days of Antiochus Epiphanes and one of the Maccabean rulers (Alexander Jannaeus) had crucified 800 Pharisees. It is not certain whether Jesus was thinking of his own coming crucifixion when he used this figure, though possible, perhaps probable. The disciples would hardly think of that outcome unless some of them had remarkable insight.

Matt 10:39
Shall lose it ( $\alpha \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \eta v$ ). This paradox appears in four forms according to Allen (I) Mt 10:39 (2) Mr 8:35; Mt 16:25; Lu 9:24 (3) Lu 17:33 (4) Joh 12:25. The Wisdom of Sirach (Hebrew text) in 51:26 has: "He that giveth his life findeth her (wisdom)." It is one of the profound sayings of Christ that he repeated many times. Plato (Gorgias 512) has language somewhat similar though not so sharply put. The article and aorist participles here (o $\varepsilon v \rho \omega v$, о $\alpha \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$ are timeless in themselves just like o $\delta \varepsilon \chi о \mu \varepsilon$ voc in verses 40 and 41.

Matt 10:41
In the name of a prophet ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ о v о \mu \alpha \pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau о v)$. "Because he is a prophet" (Moffatt). In an Oxyrhynchus Papyrus 37 (A.D. 49) we find ovouat $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho o v$ in virtue of being freeborn. "He that receiveth a prophet from no ulterior motive, but simply qua prophet (ut prophetam, Jer.) would receive a reward in the coming age equal to that of his guest" (McNeile). The use of $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma$ here is to be noted. In reality $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma$ is simply $\varepsilon v$ with the same meaning. It is not proper to say that $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma$ has always to be translated "into." Besides these examples of $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ o v o \mu \alpha$ in verses 41 and 43 see Mt 12:41 $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ к \eta \rho ט ү \mu \alpha ~ I ~ \omega v a ~(s e e ~ R o b e r t s o n ' s ~$ Grammar, p. 593).

Unto one of these little ones ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \tau \omega v \mu \kappa \rho \omega \nu \tau 0 v \tau \omega v$ ). Simple believers who are neither apostles, prophets, or particularly righteous, just "learners," "in the name of a disciple" (عıৎ ovo $\mu \alpha \mu \theta \eta \tau \tau v)$. Alford thinks that some children were present (cf. Mt 18:2-6 ).

## Matthew 11

Matt 11:1
He departed thence to teach and preach ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \beta \eta \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \iota \theta \varepsilon \nu \tau о v \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \iota \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \eta \rho \nu \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \iota \nu)$. In five instances $(7: 28 ; 11: 1 ; 13: 53 ; 19: 1 ; 26: 1)$ after great discourses by Jesus "the transition to what follows is made with the formula, 'And it came to pass when Jesus had ended"' (McNeile). This is a wrong chapter division, for $11: 1$ belongs with the preceding section. " Commanding" ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$, complementary participle with $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ ), means giving orders in detail ( $\delta, \alpha-$ ) for each of them. Note both "teach and preach" as in $4: 23$. Where did Jesus go? Did he follow behind the twelve as he did with the seventy "whither he himself was about to come" (Lu 10:1)? Bruce holds with Chrysostom that Jesus avoided the places where they were, giving them room and time to do their work. But, if Jesus himself went to the chief cities of Galilee on this tour, he would be compelled to touch many of the same points. Jesus would naturally follow behind at some distance. At the end of the tour the apostles come together in Capernaum and tell Jesus all that they had done and that they had taught (Mr 6:30 ). Matthew follows the general outline of Mark, but the events are not grouped in chronological order here.

Matt 11:2
John heard in the prison (o $\delta \varepsilon \mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \nu \eta \varsigma \alpha \kappa о \nu \sigma \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota \omega$ ). Probably (Lu 7:18 ) the raising of the son of the widow of Nain. The word for prison here is the place where one was kept bound (Ac 5:21,23; 16:26 ). See Mt 4:12. It was in Machaerus east of the Dead Sea which at this time belonged to the rule of Herod Antipas (Jos. Ant. XVIII. v.2). John's disciples had access to him. So he sent word by ( $\delta$ ıa, not $\delta v o$ as in Lu 7:19 ) them to Jesus.

Matt 11:3
He that cometh (o e $\rho \chi о \mu \varepsilon \nu о \varsigma)$. This phrase refers to the Messiah (Mr 11:9; Lu 13:35; 19:38; Heb 10:37; Ps 118:26; Da 7:13 ). Some rabbis applied the phrase to some forerunner of the kingdom (McNeile). Was there to be "another" ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ) after Jesus? John had been in prison "long enough to develop a prison mood" (Bruce). It was once clear enough to him, but his environment was depressing and Jesus had done nothing to get him out of Machaerus (see chapter IX in my John the Loyal). John longed for reassurance.

Matt 11:4
The things which ye do hear and see ( $\alpha$ aкоט $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). This symbolical message was for John to interpret, not for them.

Matt 11:5
And the dead are raised up ( $\kappa \alpha \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho о \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho о \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Like that of the son of the widow of Nain. Did he raise the dead also on this occasion? "Tell John your story over again and remind him of these prophetic texts, Isa $35: 5 ; 61: 1$ " (Bruce). The items were convincing
enough and clearer than mere eschatological symbolism. "The poor" in particular have the gospel, a climax.

Matt 11:6
Whosoever shall find none occasion of stumbling in me (os $\alpha v \mu \eta \sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda ı \sigma \theta \eta \varepsilon v$ $\varepsilon \mu o)$. Indefinite relative clause with first aorist passive subjunctive. This beatitude is a rebuke to John for his doubt even though in prison. Doubt is not a proof of superior intellect, scholarship, or piety. John was in the fog and that is the time not to make serious decisions. "In some way even the Baptist had found some occasion of stumbling in Jesus" (Plummer).

Matt 11:7
As these went their way ( $\tau o v \tau \omega v \pi \circ \rho \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega v$ ). Present participle genitive absolute. The eulogy of Jesus was spoken as the two disciples of John were going away. Is it a matter of regret that they did not hear this wondrous praise of John that they might cheer him with it? "It may almost be called the funeral oration of the Baptist, for not long afterwards Herodias compassed his death" (Plummer).

A reed shaken by the wind ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \mu \rho \nu v \pi о ~ \alpha \nu \varepsilon \mu о v \sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v о \nu)$. Latin calamus. Used of the reeds that grew in plenty in the Jordan Valley where John preached, of a staff made of a reed (Mt 27:29) , as a measuring rod (Re 11:1), of a writer's pen (3Jo 1:13). The reeds by the Jordan bent with the wind, but not so John.

Matt 11:9
And much more than a prophet ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma о \tau \varepsilon \rho о \nu \pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau о v$ ). Ablative of comparison after $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma o \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ itself comparative though meaning exceeding (surrounded by, overflowing). John had all the great qualities of the true prophet: "Vigorous moral conviction, integrity, strength of will, fearless zeal for truth and righteousness" (Bruce). And then he was the Forerunner of the Messiah (Mal 3:1).

## Matt 11:11

He that is but little (о $\mu$ ккооєгос). The Authorized Version here has it better, "he that is least." The article with the comparative is a growing idiom in the vernacular Koine for the superlative as in the modern Greek it is the only idiom for the superlative (Robertson, Grammar of the Greek N.T., p. 668). The papyri and inscriptions show the same construction. The paradox of Jesus has puzzled many. He surely means that John is greater ( $\mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta \omega v$ ) than all others in character, but that the least in the kingdom of heaven surpasses him in privilege. John is the end of one age, "until John" ( $11: 14$ ), and the beginning of the new era. All those that come after John stand upon his shoulders. John is the mountain peak between the old and the new.

Matt 11:12
Suffereth violence ( $\beta \backslash \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). This verb occurs only here and in Lu 16:16 in the N.T. It seems to be middle in Luke and Deissmann (Bible Studies, p. 258) quotes an inscription "where $\beta \iota \alpha \zeta$ о $\mu \alpha$ is without doubt reflexive and absolute" as in $\mathrm{Lu} 16: 16$. But there are numer-
ous papyri examples where it is passive (Moulton and Milligan, Vocabulary, etc.) so that "there seems little that promises decisive help for the difficult Logion of Mt 11:12; Lu 16:16 ." So then in Mt 11:12 the form can be either middle or passive and either makes sense, though a different sense. The passive idea is that the kingdom is forced, is stormed, is taken by men of violence like "men of violence take it by force" ( $\beta \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \alpha \rho \pi \alpha \zeta_{o v \sigma ı v} \alpha \cup \tau \eta v$ ) or seize it like a conquered city. The middle voice may mean "experiences violence" or "forces its way" like a rushing mighty wind (so Zahn holds). These difficult words of Jesus mean that the preaching of John "had led to a violent and impetuous thronging to gather round Jesus and his disciples" (Hort, Judaistic Christianity, p. 26).

Matt 11:14
This is Elijah (avtoc eđ兀ıv Eleıac). Jesus here endorses John as the promise of Malachi. The people understood Mal 4:1 to mean the return of Elijah in person. This John denied as to himself (Joh 1:21). But Jesus affirms that John is the Elijah of promise who has come already (Mt 17:12 ). He emphasizes the point: "He that hath ears to hear, let him hear."

Matt 11:17
 parable of the children playing in the market place is given also in Lu 7:31f. Had Jesus as a child in Nazareth not played games with the children? He had certainly watched them often since. The interest of Christ in children was keen. He has really created the modern child's world out of the indifference of the past. They would not play wedding or funeral in a peevish fret. These metaphors in the Gospels are vivid to those with eyes to see. The $\alpha \gamma o \rho \alpha$ was originally the assembly, then the forum or public square where the people gathered for trade or for talk as in Athens (Ac 17:17) and in many modern towns. So the Roman Forum. The oriental bazaars today are held in streets rather than public squares. Even today with all the automobiles children play in the streets. In English the word "cheap" (Cheapside) meant only barter and price, not cheap in our sense. The word for mourn ( $\varepsilon \kappa \circ \psi \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ) means to beat the heart, direct middle, after the fashion of eastern funeral lamentations.

Matt 11:19
Wisdom is justified by her works ( $\varepsilon \delta \iota \alpha \alpha \omega \theta \eta \quad \alpha \pi 0 \tau \omega v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega v \alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma)$. A timeless aorist passive (Robertson, Grammar, p. 836f.). The word "justified" means "set right" Luke (Lu 7:35 ) has "by all her children" as some MSS. have here to make Matthew like Luke. These words are difficult, but understandable. God's wisdom has planned the different conduct of both John and Jesus. He does not wish all to be just alike in everything. "This generation" (verse 16) is childish, not childlike, and full of whimsical inconsistencies in their faultfinding. They exaggerate in each case. John did not have a demon and Jesus was not a glutton or a winebibber. "And, worse than either, for $\varphi \iota \lambda o s$ is used in a sinister sense and implies that Jesus was the comrade of the worst characters, and like them in conduct. A malicious nick-
name at first, it is now a name of honour: the sinner's lover" (Bruce). Cf. Lu 15:2 . The plan of God is justified by results.

Matt 11:20
Most of his mighty works ( $\alpha \pi \lambda \varepsilon ı \sigma \tau \alpha \delta \nu v \alpha \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau o v)$. Literally, "His very many mighty works" if elative as usual in the papyri (Moulton, Prolegomena, p. 79; Robertson, Grammar, p. 670). But the usual superlative makes sense here as the Canterbury translation has it. This word $\delta u v a \mu c ̧$ for miracle presents the notion of power like our dynamite. The word $\tau \varepsilon \rho a \varsigma$ is wonder, portent, miraculum (miracle) as in Ac 2:19. It occurs only in the plural and always with $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \alpha$. The word $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \circ v$ means sign (Mt 12:38) and is very common in John's Gospel as well as the word $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v$ (work) as in Joh 5:36. Other words used are $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta_{0} \xi \circ v$, our word paradox, strange (Lu 5:26), $\varepsilon v \delta o \xi o v$, glorious (Lu 13:17), $\theta a \nu \mu \alpha \sigma ı v$, wonderful (Mt 21:15 ).

Matt 11:21
Chorazin (Xopaไgıv). Mentioned only here and in Lu 10:13. Proof of "the meagreness of our knowledge of Judaism in the time of Christ" (Plummer) and of the many things not told in our Gospels (Joh 21:25 ). We know something of Bethsaida and more about Capernaum as places of privilege. But ( $\pi \lambda \eta \nu$, howbeit) neither of these cities repented, changed their conduct. Note condition of the second class, determined as unfulfilled in verses 21 and 23.

Matt 11:25
At that season Jesus answered and said ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v \omega \tau \omega$ каı $\omega$ алокрı $\varepsilon \varepsilon ı \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon v$ ). Spoke to his Father in audible voice. The time and place we do not know. But here we catch a glimpse of Jesus in one of his moods of worship. "It is usual to call this golden utterance a prayer, but it is at once prayer, praise, and self-communing in a devout spirit" (Bruce). Critics are disturbed because this passage from the Logia of Jesus or Q of Synoptic criticism (Mt 11:25-30; Lu 10:21-24) is so manifestly Johannine in spirit and very language, "the Father" (o $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ ), "the son" (o vioc), whereas the Fourth Gospel was not written till the close of the first century and the Logia was written before the Synoptic Gospels. The only satisfying explanation lies in the fact that Jesus did have this strain of teaching that is preserved in John's Gospel. Here he is in precisely the same mood of elevated communion with the Father that we have reflected in John 14 to 17. Even Harnack is disposed to accept this Logion as a genuine saying of Jesus. The word "thank" (oнолоүоv $\alpha$ ) is better rendered "praise" (Moffatt). Jesus praises the Father "not that the бoبo were ignorant, but that the $\nu \eta \pi \ldots$ knew" (McNeile).

Matt 11:26
Wellpleasing in thy sight ( $\varepsilon v \delta о к ⿺ \alpha ~ \varepsilon \mu \pi \rho о \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \sigma o v)$. "For such has been thy gracious will" (Weymouth).

Matt 11:27

All things have been delivered unto me of my Father ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \mu о \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta o \theta \eta \nu \pi o ~ \tau o v$ $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma \mu \circ v$ ). This sublime claim is not to be whittled down or away by explanations. It is the timeless aorist like $\varepsilon \delta 0 \theta \eta$ in $28: 18$ and "points back to a moment in eternity, and implies the pre-existence of the Messiah" (Plummer). The Messianic consciousness of Christ is here as clear as a bell. It is a moment of high fellowship. Note $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon$ twice for "fully know." Note also $\beta$ ou $\lambda \eta \tau \alpha=$ wills, is willing. The Son retains the power and the will to reveal the Father to men.

Matt 11:28
Come unto me ( $\delta \varepsilon \cup \tau \varepsilon \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \mu \varepsilon$ ). Verses 28 to 30 are not in Luke and are among the special treasures of Matthew's Gospel. No sublimer words exist than this call of Jesus to the toiling and the burdened ( $\pi \varepsilon \varphi о \rho \tau \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o$, perfect passive participle, state of weariness) to come to him. He towers above all men as he challenges us. "I will refresh you" ( $\kappa$ ' $\alpha \gamma$ o $\alpha v \alpha \pi \alpha v \sigma \omega v \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. Far more than mere rest, rejuvenation. The English slang expression "rest up" is close to the idea of the Greek compound $\alpha v \alpha-\pi \alpha v \omega$. It is causative active voice.

Matt 11:29
Take my yoke upon you and learn of me ( $\alpha \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ тоv $\zeta \cup \gamma о \nu \mu о \nu \varepsilon \varphi \varphi^{\prime} \nu \mu \propto \kappa \alpha \mu \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ $\left.\alpha \pi^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu o v\right)$. The rabbis used yoke for school as many pupils find it now a yoke. The English word "school" is Greek for leisure ( $\sigma \chi 0 \lambda \eta$ ). But Jesus offers refreshment ( $\alpha v a \pi \alpha v \sigma t v$ ) in his school and promises to make the burden light, for he is a meek and humble teacher. Humility was not a virtue among the ancients. It was ranked with servility. Jesus has made a virtue of this vice. He has glorified this attitude so that Paul urges it (Php 2:3), "in lowliness of mind each counting other better than himself." In portions of Europe today people place yokes on the shoulders to make the burden easier to carry. Jesus promises that we shall find the yoke kindly and the burden lightened by his help. "Easy" is a poor translation of $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o \varsigma$. Moffatt puts it "kindly." That is the meaning in the Septuagint for persons. We have no adjective that quite carries the notion of kind and good. The yoke of Christ is useful, good, and kindly. Cf. So 1:10 .

## Matthew 12

Matt 12:1
On the sabbath day through the cornfields ( $\tau о \iota \varsigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \delta \iota \tau \omega v \sigma \pi о \rho ı \mu \nu v$ ). This paragraph begins exactly like 11:25 "at that season" ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v \omega \tau \omega \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \omega$ ), a general statement with no clear idea of time. So also 14:1. The word kalpos means a definite and particular time, but we cannot fix it. The word "cornfields" does not mean our maize or Indian corn, but simply fields of grain (wheat or even barley).

Matt 12:2
Thy disciples do ( $\mathrm{o} \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha$ $\sigma o v \pi o เ o v \sigma ı v$ ). These critics are now watching a chance and they jump at this violation of their Pharisaic rules for Sabbath observance. The disciples were plucking the heads of wheat which to the Pharisees was reaping and were rubbing them in their hands ( Lu 6:1) which was threshing.

Matt 12:3
What David did ( $\tau \varepsilon \pi \sigma \not \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \Delta \alpha v \varepsilon ו \delta$ ). From the necessity of hunger. The first defence made by Christ appeals to the conduct of David (2Sa 21:6 ). David and those with him did "what was not lawful" (o ouk ع $\xi \circ \vee \eta v$ ) precisely the charge made against the disciples (o ouk $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ in verse 2 ).

Matt 12:6
One greater than the temple ( $\tau \circ \cup \iota \varepsilon \rho \circ \cup \mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta \circ$ ). Ablative of comparison, $\tau \circ \cup \iota \varepsilon \rho \circ \cup$. The Textus Receptus has $\mu \varepsilon \zeta \zeta \omega v$, but the neuter is correct. Literally, "something greater than the temple." What is that? It may still be Christ, or it may be: "The work and His disciples were of more account than the temple" (Plummer). "If the temple was not subservient to Sabbath rules, how much less the Messiah!" (Allen).

Matt 12:7
The guiltless (touc avaitiouc). So in verse 5. Common in ancient Greek. No real ground against, it means $\alpha v+$ altıoc. Jesus quotes Ho 6:6 here as he did in Mt 9:13. A pertinent prophecy that had escaped the notice of the sticklers for ceremonial literalness and the letter of the law.

Matt 12:9
Lord of the Sabbath (кvpıos tov $\sigma \alpha \beta \beta a \tau o v$ ). This claim that he as the Son of Man is master of the Sabbath and so above the Pharisaic regulations angered them extremely. By the phrase "the Son of man" here Jesus involves the claim of Messiahship, but as the Representative Man he affirms his solidarity with mankind, "standing for the human interest" (Bruce) on this subject.

Matt 12:10

Is it lawful? ( $\varepsilon \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). The use of $\varepsilon$ in direct questions is really elliptical and seems an imitation of the Hebrew (Robertson, Grammar, p. 916). See also Mt 19:3. It is not translated in English.

Matt 12:12
How much then is a man ( $\pi \sigma \sigma \omega$ ovv $\delta \iota \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma)$. Another of Christ's pregnant questions that goes to the roots of things, an a fortiori argument. "By how much does a human being differ from a sheep? That is the question which Christian civilization has not even yet adequately answered" (Bruce). The poor pettifogging Pharisees are left in the pit.

Matt 12:13
Stretch forth thy hand ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ \nu o v ~ \sigma o v ~ \tau \eta \nu \chi \varepsilon ı \rho \alpha$ ). Probably the arm was not withered, though that is not certain. But he did the impossible. "He stretched it forth," straight, I hope, towards the Pharisees who were watching Jesus (Mr 3:2).

Matt 12:14
Took counsel against him ( $\sigma \cup \mu \beta$ оv $\lambda \iota v \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o v \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v$ ). An imitation of the Latin concilium capere and found in papyri of the second century A.D. (Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 238.) This incident marks a crisis in the hatred of the Pharisees toward Jesus. They bolted out of the synagogue and actually conspired with their hated rivals, the Herodians, how to put Jesus to death (Mr 3:6; Mt 12:14; Lu 6:11 ). By "destroy" ( $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \omega \sigma \iota$ ) they meant "kill."

Matt 12:15
Perceiving ( $\gamma \vee 0 \cup \varsigma$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\gamma \iota \omega \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. Jesus read their very thoughts. They were now plain to any one who saw their angry countenances.

## Matt 12:17

That it might be fulfilled ( $\imath \propto \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \eta$ ). The final use of $\imath v \alpha$ and the sub-final just before (verse 16). The passage quoted is Isa 42:1-4 "a very free reproduction of the Hebrew with occasional side glances at the Septuagint" (Bruce), possibly from an Aramaic collection of Testimonia (McNeile). Matthew applies the prophecy about Cyrus to Christ.

Matt 12:18
My beloved (o aүa $\quad$ п $\tau \circ \varsigma \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ). This phrase reminds one of Mt 3:17 (the Father's words at Christ's baptism).

Matt 12:20
A bruised reed ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu о \nu \sigma \nu \nu \tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \iota \mu \mu \varepsilon \nu \nu \nu$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\sigma v \nu \tau \rho \mu \omega$. A crushed reed he will not break. The curious augment in $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \alpha \xi \varepsilon$ (future active indicative) is to be noted. The copyists kept the augment where it did not belong in this verb (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1212) even in Plato. "Smoking flax" ( $\lambda \iota v o v \tau \nu \varphi o \mu \varepsilon \nu o v$ ). The wick of a lamp, smoking and flickering and going out. Only here in N.T. Flax in Ex 9:31. Vivid images that picture Jesus in the same strain as his own great words in Mt 11:28-30 .

Matt 12:23

Is this the Son of David? ( $\mu \eta \tau$ ovtoc $\varepsilon \sigma \tau i v$ o vios $\Delta \alpha u \varepsilon \iota$ ?). The form of the question expects the answer "no," but they put it so because of the Pharisaic hostility towards Jesus. The multitudes "were amazed" or "stood out of themselves" ( $\varepsilon \xi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \tau 0)$, imperfect tense, vividly portraying the situation. They were almost beside themselves with excitement.

Matt 12:24
The Pharisees (o $\delta \varepsilon$ Фapıoaıo). Already (Mt 9:32-34) we have had in Matthew the charge that Jesus is in league with the prince of demons, though the incident may be later than this one. See on 10:25 about "Beelzebub." The Pharisees feel that the excited condition of the crowds and the manifest disposition to believe that Jesus is the Messiah (the Son of David) demand strenuous action on their part. They cannot deny the fact of the miracles for the blind and dumb men both saw and spoke ( 12:22). So in desperation they suggest that Jesus works by the power of Beelzebub the prince of the demons.

Matt 12:25
Knowing their thoughts ( $\varepsilon \iota \delta \omega \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \tau \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon v \theta v \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \alpha v \tau \omega v)$. What they were revolving in their minds. They now find out what a powerful opponent Jesus is. By parables, by a series of conditions (first class), by sarcasm, by rhetorical question, by merciless logic, he lays bare their hollow insincerity and the futility of their arguments. Satan does not cast out Satan. Note timeless aorist passive $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \theta \eta$ in $26, \varepsilon \varphi \theta a \sigma \varepsilon v$ in 28 (simple sense of arriving as in Php 3:16 from $\varphi \theta \alpha v \omega$ ). Christ is engaged in deathless conflict with Satan the strong man ( 29). "Goods" (бк\&uף) means house-gear, house furniture, or equipment as in Lu 17:36 and Ac 27:17, the tackling of the ship.

Matt 12:30
He that is not with me ( $o \mu \eta \omega v \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \circ v$ ). With these solemn words Jesus draws the line of cleavage between himself and his enemies then and now. Jesus still has his enemies who hate him and all noble words and deeds because they sting what conscience they have into fury. But we may have our choice. We either gather with ( $\sigma v v \alpha \gamma \omega v$ ) Christ or scatter ( $\sigma \kappa о \rho \pi \iota \zeta \varepsilon)$ to the four winds. Christ is the magnet of the ages. He draws or drives away. "Satan is the arch-waster, Christ the collector, Saviour" (Bruce).

Matt 12:31
But the blasphemy against the Spirit ( $\eta \delta \varepsilon$ tov $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \mu \alpha$ ). Objective
 it plainer. What is the blasphemy against the Holy Spirit? These Pharisees had already committed it. They had attributed the works of the Holy Spirit by whose power Jesus wrought his miracles ( $12: 28$ ) to the devil. That sin was without excuse and would not be forgiven in their age or in the coming one (12:32). People often ask if they can commit the unpardonable sin. Probably some do who ridicule the manifest work of God's Spirit in men's lives and attribute the Spirit's work to the devil.

Matt 12:34

Ye offspring of vipers ( $\gamma \varepsilon \nu \nu \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \varepsilon \chi \iota \delta \omega \nu)$ ). These same terrible words the Baptist had used to the Pharisees and Sadducees who came to his baptism (Mt 3:7). But these Pharisees had deliberately made their choice and had taken Satan's side. The charge against Jesus of being in league with Satan reveals the evil heart within. The heart "spurts out" $(\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon)$ good or evil according to the supply (treasure, $\theta \eta \sigma \alpha v \rho o v)$ within. Verse 33 is like Mt 7:17-19. Jesus often repeated his crisp pungent sayings as every teacher does.

Matt 12:36
Every idle word ( $\pi \alpha v \rho \eta \mu \alpha \alpha \rho \gamma o v)$. An ineffective, useless word ( $\alpha$ privative and $\varepsilon \rho \gamma o v$ ). A word that does no good and so is pernicious like pernicious anaemia. It is a solemn thought. Jesus who knows our very thoughts ( $12: 25$ ) insists that our words reveal our thoughts and form a just basis for the interpretation of character ( $12: 37$ ). Here we have judgment by words as in 25:31-46 where Jesus presents judgment by deeds. Both are real tests of actual character. Homer spoke of "winged words" ( $\pi \tau \varepsilon \rho o \varepsilon v \tau \alpha \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \alpha$ ). And by the radio our words can be heard all round the earth. Who knows where they stop?

Matt 12:38
A sign from thee ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma o v \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon ו \sigma v$ ). One wonders at the audacity of scribes and Pharisees who accused Jesus of being in league with Satan and thus casting out demons who can turn round and blandly ask for a "sign from thee." As if the other miracles were not signs! "The demand was impudent, hypocritical, insulting" (Bruce).

Matt 12:39
An evil and adulterous generation ( $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha \pi о \nu \eta \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \mu \circ \iota \chi \alpha \lambda \iota \varsigma)$. They had broken the marriage tie which bound them to Jehovah (Plummer). See Ps 73:27; Isa 57:3ff.; 62:5; Eze 23:27; Jas 4:4; Re 2:20. What is "the sign of Jonah?"

Matt 12:40
The whale ( $\tau 0 \cup \kappa \eta \tau о \cup \varsigma)$. Sea-monster, huge fish. In Jon 2:1 the LXX has $\kappa \eta \tau \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \omega$. "Three days and three nights" may simply mean three days in popular speech. Jesus rose "on the third day" (Mt 16:21), not "on the fourth day." It is just a fuller form for "after three days" (Mr 8:31; 10:34 ).

Matt 12:41
In the judgment ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa \rho เ \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Except here and in the next verse Matthew has "day of judgment" ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \kappa \rho \iota \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$ as in $10: 15 ; 11: 22,24 ; 12: 36$. Luke (Lu 10:14) has $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa \rho \iota \sigma \varepsilon$.

They repented at the preaching of Jonah ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon v o \eta \sigma o v \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \kappa \eta \rho v \gamma \mu \alpha I \omega v \alpha$ ). Note this use of $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ just like $\varepsilon v$. Note also $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota \nu$ (neuter), not $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota \omega \nu$ (masc.). See the same idiom in 12:6 and 12:48. Jesus is something greater than the temple, than Jonah, than Solomon. "You will continue to disbelieve in spite of all I can say or do, and at last you will put me to death. But I will rise again, a sign for your confusion, if not for your conversion" (Bruce).

Matt 12:44

Into my house ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o v$ oıkov $\mu \circ v$ ). So the demon describes the man in whom he had dwelt. "The demon is ironically represented as implying that he left his victim voluntarily, as a man leaves his house to go for a walk" (McNeile). "Worse than the first" is a proverb.

Matt 12:46
His mother and his brothers ( $\eta \mu \eta \tau \eta \rho \kappa \alpha$ о $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi о \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Brothers of Jesus, younger sons of Joseph and Mary. The charge of the Pharisees that Jesus was in league with Satan was not believed by the disciples of Jesus, but some of his friends did think that he was beside himself (Mr 3:21) because of the excitement and strain. It was natural for Mary to want to take him home for rest and refreshment. So the mother and brothers are pictured standing outside the house (or the crowd). They send a messenger to Jesus.

Matt 12:47
Aleph, B, L, Old Syriac, omit this verse as do Westcott and Hort. It is genuine in Mr 3:32; Lu 8:20 . It was probably copied into Matthew from Mark or Luke.

Matt 12:49
Behold my mother and my brothers ( $\llcorner\delta o v \eta \mu \eta \tau \eta \rho \mu \circ v \kappa \alpha$ о $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi о \mu \circ v$ ). A dramatic wave of the hand towards his disciples (learners) accompanied these words. Jesus loved his mother and brothers, but they were not to interfere in his Messianic work. The real spiritual family of Jesus included all who follow him. But it was hard for Mary to go back to Nazareth and leave Jesus with the excited throng so great that he was not even stopping to eat (Mr 3:20).

## Matthew 13

Matt 13:1
On that day ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \iota \nu \eta$ ). So this group of parables is placed by Matthew on the same day as the blasphemous accusation and the visit of the mother of Jesus. It is called "the Busy Day," not because it was the only one, but simply that so much is told of this day that it serves as a specimen of many others filled to the full with stress and strain.

Sat by the seaside ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \tau о \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta \nu \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu)$. The accusative case need give no difficulty. Jesus came out of the stuffy house and took his seat ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \forall \eta \tau$, imperfect) along the shore with the crowds stretched up and down, a picturesque scene.

Matt 13:2
And all the multitude stood on the beach ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \varsigma о$ о $\chi \lambda \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \tau \circ \nu \alpha \iota \gamma \iota \alpha \lambda o \nu \iota \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon$ ). Past perfect tense of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ with imperfect sense, had taken a stand and so stood. Note accusative also with $\varepsilon \pi$ upon the beach where the waves break one after the other ( $\alpha \prime \gamma \iota \lambda \alpha \rho$ is from $\alpha \lambda \varsigma$, sea, and $\alpha \gamma \nu v \mu$, to break, or from $\alpha \iota \sigma \sigma \omega$, to rush). Jesus had to get into a boat and sit down in that because of the crush of the crowd.

Matt 13:3
Many things in parables ( $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha \varepsilon v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta 0 \lambda \alpha \iota)$ ). It was not the first time that Jesus had used parables, but the first time that he had spoken so many and some of such length. He will use a great many in the future as in Luke 12 to 18 and Matt. 24 and 25. The parables already mentioned in Matthew include the salt and the light (5:13-16), the birds and the lilies ( $6: 26-30$ ), the splinter and the beam in the eye ( $7: 3-5$ ), the two gates ( $7: 13 \mathrm{f}$. ), the wolves in sheep's clothing ( $7: 15$ ), the good and bad trees (7:17-19), the wise and foolish builders ( 7:24-27), the garment and the wineskins (9:16f. ), the children in the market places (11:16f. ). It is not certain how many he spoke on this occasion. Matthew mentions eight in this chapter (the Sower, the Tares, the Mustard Seed, the Leaven, the Hid Treasure, the Pearl of Great Price, the Net, the Householder). Mark adds the Parable of the Lamp (Mr 4:21; Lu 8:16) , the Parable of the Seed Growing of Itself (Mr 4:26-29) , making ten of which we know. But both Mark (Mr 4:33 ) and Matthew ( 13:34) imply that there were many others. "Without a parable spake he nothing unto them" (Mt 13:34), on this occasion, we may suppose. The word parable ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta o \lambda \eta$ from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to place alongside for measurement or comparison like a yardstick) is an objective illustration for spiritual or moral truth. The word is employed in a variety of ways (a) as for sententious sayings or proverbs (Mt 15:15; Mr 3:23; Lu 4:23; 5:36-39; 6:39), for a figure or type (Heb. 9:9; 11:19); (b) a comparison in the form of a narrative, the common use in the Synoptic Gospels like the Sower; (c) "A narrative illustration not involving a comparison" (Broadus), like the Rich Fool, the Good Samaritan, etc. "The oriental genius for picturesque speech found expression in a multitude of such utterances" (McNeile). There are parables in the Old Testament, in the Talmud, in sermons in
all ages. But no one has spoken such parables as these of Jesus. They hold the mirror up to nature and, as all illustrations should do, throw light on the truth presented. The fable puts things as they are not in nature, Aesop's Fables, for instance. The parable may not be actual fact, but it could be so. It is harmony with the nature of the case. The allegory ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \gamma \quad \rho i \alpha)$ is a speaking parable that is self-explanatory all along like Bunyan's Pilgrim's Progress. All allegories are parables, but not all parables are allegories. The Prodigal Son is an allegory, as is the story of the Vine and Branches (Joh 15 ). John does not use the word parable, but only $\pi \alpha \rho о \not \mu \alpha$, a saying by the way (Joh 10:6; 16:25,29). As a rule the parables of Jesus illustrate one main point and the details are more or less incidental, though sometimes Jesus himself explains these. When he does not do so, we should be slow to interpret the minor details. Much heresy has come from fantastic interpretations of the parables. In the case of the Parable of the Sower ( $13: 3-8$ ) we have also the careful exposition of the story by Jesus (18-23) as well as the reason for the use of parables on this occasion by Jesus (9-17).

Behold, the sower went forth ( $(\delta o v \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ o $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega v$ ). Matthew is very fond of this exclamation $\delta \delta o v$. It is "the sower," not "a sower." Jesus expects one to see the man as he stepped forth to begin scattering with his hand. The parables of Jesus are vivid word pictures. To understand them one must see them, with the eyes of Jesus if he can. Christ drew his parables from familiar objects.

Matt 13:4
As he sowed ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \sigma \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \varepsilon เ v$ av $\tau \circ v$ ). Literally, "in the sowing as to him," a neat Greek idiom unlike our English temporal conjunction. Locative case with the articular present infinitive.

By the wayside ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta v o \delta o v$ ). People will make paths along the edge of a ploughed field or even across it where the seed lies upon the beaten track.

Devoured (катє甲аүعv). "Ate down." We say, "ate up." Second aorist active indicative of катєб $\theta \omega \omega$ (defective verb).

Matt 13:5
The rocky places ( $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \tau \rho \omega \delta \eta$ ). In that limestone country ledges of rock often jut out with thin layers of soil upon the layers of rock.

Straightway they sprang up ( $\varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \alpha v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon 1 \lambda \varepsilon v$ ). "Shot up at once" (Moffatt). Double compound ( $\varepsilon \xi$, out of the ground, $\alpha v \alpha$, up). Ingressive aorist of $\varepsilon \xi \alpha v a \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$.

Matt 13:6
The sun was risen ( $\eta \lambda_{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{ov}$ avateı $\left.\lambda \alpha v \tau \circ \varsigma\right)$. Genitive absolute. "The sun having sprung up" also, same verb except the absence of $\varepsilon \xi$ ( $\alpha v \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega, \varepsilon \xi \alpha v \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega)$.

Matt 13:7
The thorns grew up ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \beta \eta \sigma \alpha v \alpha \alpha \kappa \alpha v \theta \alpha$ ). Not "sprang up" as in verse 5 , for a different verb occurs meaning "came up" out of the ground, the seeds of the thorns being already in the soil, "upon the thorns" ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \varsigma \alpha \kappa \alpha v \theta a \varsigma)$ rather than "among the thorns." But the thorns
got a quick start as weeds somehow do and "choked them" ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \pi v i \xi \alpha v \alpha v \tau \alpha$, effective aorist of $\alpha \pi \sigma \pi \nu \imath \omega$ ), "choked them off" literally. Luke (Lu 8:33) uses it of the hogs in the water. Who has not seen vegetables and flowers and corn made yellow by thorns and weeds till they sicken and die?

Matt 13:8
Yielded fruit ( $\varepsilon \delta t \delta o v ~ \kappa \alpha \rho \pi \sigma v$ ). Change to imperfect tense of $\delta t \delta \omega \mu$, to give, for it was continuous fruit-bearing.

Some a hundredfold (o $\mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau o v$ ). Variety, but fruit. This is the only kind that is worth while. The hundredfold is not an exaggeration (cf. Ge 26:12). Such instances are given by Wetstein for Greece, Italy, and Africa. Herodotus (i. 93) says that in Babylonia grain yielded two hundredfold and even to three hundredfold. This, of course, was due to irrigation as in the Nile Valley.

Matt 13:9
He that hath ears let him hear (o $\varepsilon \chi \omega \nu \omega \tau \alpha \alpha \kappa o v \varepsilon \tau \omega$ ), So also in 11:15 and 13:43. It is comforting to teachers and preachers to observe that even Jesus had to exhort people to listen and to understand his sayings, especially his parables. They will bear the closest thought and are often enigmatical.

## Matt 13:10

Why speakest thou unto them in parables? ( $\delta ı \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \beta о \lambda \alpha ı \varsigma \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau о \iota)$. Already the disciples are puzzled over the meaning of this parable and the reason for giving them to the people. So they "came up" closer to Jesus and asked him. Jesus was used to questions and surpassed all teachers in his replies.

## Matt 13:11

To know the mysteries ( $\gamma \nu \omega v \alpha \tau \alpha \mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha$ ). Second aorist active infinitive of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. The word $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ v$ is from $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma$, one initiated, and that from $\mu \nu \varepsilon \omega(\mu \nu \omega)$, to close or shut (Latin, mutus). The mystery-religions of the east had all sorts of secrets and signs as secret societies do today. But those initiated knew them. So the disciples have been initiated into the secrets of the kingdom of heaven. Paul will use it freely of the mystery once hidden, but now revealed, now made known in Christ (Ro 16:25; 1Co 2:7, etc.). In Php 4:12 Paul says: "I have learned the secret or been initiated" $(\mu \varepsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu \alpha)$. So Jesus here explains that his parables are open to the disciples, but shut to the Pharisees with their hostile minds. In the Gospels $\mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ v$ is used only here and in the parallel passages ( $\mathrm{Mr} 4: 11 ; \mathrm{Lu} 8: 10$ ).

Matt 13:13
Because seeing (o $\tau \beta \varepsilon \pi \sigma \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). In the parallel passages in $\mathrm{Mr} 4: 12$ and $\mathrm{Lu} 8: 10$ we find $\iota v a$ with the subjunctive. This does not necessarily mean that in Mark and Luke $\imath v \alpha=0 \tau$ with the causal sense, though a few rare instances of such usage may be found in late Greek. For a discussion of the problem see my chapter on "The Causal Use of Hina" in Studies in Early Christianity (1928) edited by Prof. S.J. Case. Here in Matthew we have first "an adaptation
of Isa 6:9f. which is quoted in full in v. 14f. " (McNeile). Thus Matthew presents "a striking paradox, 'though they see, they do not (really) see'" (McNeile). Cf. Joh 9:41. The idiom here in Matthew gives no trouble save in comparison with Mark and Luke which will be discussed in due turn. The form $\sigma v v_{\text {lovolv is an omega verb form ( } \sigma v v i \omega \text { ) rather than the } \mu \text { verb }}$ ( $\sigma \cup v ı \eta \mu$ ) as is common in the Koine.

Matt 13:14
Is fulfilled ( $\alpha v \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \rho o v \tau \alpha$ ). Aoristic present passive indicative. Here Jesus points out the fulfilment and not with Matthew's usual formula (iva or o $\pi \omega \varsigma \pi \lambda \omega \rho \eta \theta \eta$ to $\rho \eta \theta \varepsilon v$ (see $1: 22)$. The verb avar $\lambda \eta \rho o \omega$ occurs nowhere else in the Gospels, but occurs in the Pauline Epistles. It means to fill up like a cup, to fill another's place (1Co 14:16), to fill up what is lacking (Php 2:30). Here it means that the prophecy of Isaiah is fully satisfied in the conduct of the Pharisees and Jesus himself points it out. Note two ways of reproducing the Hebrew idiom (infinitive absolute), one by aкоך the other by $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi о v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Note also the strong negative ov $\mu \eta$ with aorist subjunctive.

Matt 13:15
Is waxed gross $(\varepsilon \pi \alpha \chi \cup v \theta \eta)$. Aorist passive tense. From $\pi \alpha \chi \cup \varsigma$, thick, fat, stout. Made callous or dull -- even fatty degeneration of the heart.
 (or hear) heavily with their ears." The hard of hearing are usually sensitive.

Their eyes they have closed ( $\tau o v \varsigma ~ o \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu о v \varsigma ~ \alpha u \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \mu \mu \nu \sigma \alpha v$ ). The epic and vernacular verb $\kappa \alpha \mu \mu \nu \omega$ is from ката $\mu \nu \omega$ (to shut down). We say shut up of the mouth, but the eyes really shut down. The Hebrew verb in Isa 6:10 means to smear over. The eyes can be smeared with wax or cataract and thus closed. "Sealing up the eyes was an oriental punishment" (Vincent). See Isa 29:10; 44:18 .

Lest ( $\mu \eta \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). This negative purpose as a judgment is left in the quotation from Isaiah. It is a solemn thought for all who read or hear the word of God.

And I should heal them ( $\kappa \alpha \_\sigma \sigma о \mu \alpha$ avtouc). Here the LXX changes to the future indicative rather than the aorist subjunctive as before.

Matt 13:16
Blessed are your eyes ( $\nu \mu \omega \nu \delta \varepsilon \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \circ$ о о $\varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu$ ). A beatitude for the disciples in contrast with the Pharisees. Note position of "Happy" here also as in the Beatitudes in Mt 5.

Matt 13:18
Hear then ye the parable ( $\nu \mu \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ o v v ~ \alpha \kappa о v \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon ~ \tau \eta \nu ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta о \lambda \eta \nu$ ). Jesus has given in 13:13 one reason for his use of parables, the condemnation which the Pharisees have brought on themselves by their spiritual dulness: "Therefore I speak to them in parables" ( $\delta$ ı $\alpha$ тov $о$ $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \omega \lambda \alpha \iota \varsigma \alpha v \tau o \iota \varsigma \lambda \alpha \lambda \omega)$. He can go on preaching the mysteries of the kingdom without their comprehending what he is saying, but he is anxious that the disciples really get personal
knowledge ( $\gamma v \omega v a$, verse 11) of these same mysteries. So he explains in detail what he means to teach by the Parable of the Sower. He appeals to them (note position of $v \mu \varepsilon \iota)$ to listen as he explains.

Matt 13:19
When anyone heareth ( $\pi \alpha v \tau о \varsigma \alpha \kappa о v o v \tau о \varsigma) . ~ G e n i t i v e ~ a b s o l u t e ~ a n d ~ p r e s e n t ~ p a r t i c i p l e, ~$ "while everyone is listening and not comprehending" ( $\mu \eta$ бטvıะvтoc), "not putting together" or "not grasping." Perhaps at that very moment Jesus observed a puzzled look on some faces.

Cometh the evil one and snatcheth away ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ о то $\eta \rho о \varsigma ~ к \alpha ~ \alpha \rho \pi \alpha \zeta \varepsilon) . ~ T h e ~ b i r d s ~$ pick up the seeds while the sower sows. The devil is busy with his job of snatching or seizing like a bandit or rogue the word of the kingdom before it has time even to sprout. How quickly after the sermon the impression is gone. "This is he" (ovtoc $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. Matthew, like Mark, speaks of the people who hear the words as the seed itself. That creates some confusion in this condensed form of what Jesus actually said, but the real point is clear.

The seed sown in his heart ( $\tau 0 \varepsilon \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \mu \varepsilon v o v \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa \alpha \rho \delta i \alpha \alpha \cup \tau o v$, perfect passive participle of $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$, to sow) and "the man sown by the wayside" (o $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta v$ oסov $\sigma \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \iota$, aorist passive participle, along the wayside) are identified. The seed in the heart is not of itself responsible, but the man who lets the devil snatch it away.

Matt 13:21
Yet hath he not root in himself (ouk $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \rho \zeta \zeta \alpha v \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). Cf. Col 2:7 and Eph 3:18 $\varepsilon \rho \rho!\zeta \omega \mu \varepsilon \mu$. Stability like a tree. Here the man has a mushroom growth and "endureth for a while" ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \alpha \iota \rho o \varsigma)$, temporary, quick to sprout, quick to stumble ( $\left.\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda_{\iota} \zeta \varepsilon \tau \alpha\right)$. What a picture of some converts in our modern revivals. They drop away overnight because they did not have the root of the matter in them. This man does not last or hold out.

Tribulation ( $\theta \lambda \iota \psi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). From $\theta \lambda \wedge \beta \omega$, to press, to oppress, to squeeze (cf. 7:14). The English word is from the Latin tribulum, the roller used by the Romans for pressing wheat. Cf. our "steam roller" Trench (Synonyms of the N.T., pp. 202-4): "When, according to the ancient law of England, those who wilfully refused to plead, had heavy weights placed on their breasts, and were pressed and crushed to death, this was literally $\theta \lambda \iota \psi \iota \varsigma . "$ The iron cage was $\sigma \tau \varepsilon v o \chi \omega \rho \mid \alpha$.

Matt 13:22
Choke the word ( $\sigma u v \pi v 1 \gamma \varepsilon$ tov $\lambda o \gamma o v$ ). We had $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \pi v ı \xi \alpha v$ (choked off) in 13:7. Here it is $\sigma u v \pi v i \gamma \varepsilon$ (choke together), historical present and singular with both subjects lumped together. "Lust for money and care go together and between them spoil many an earnest religious nature" (Bruce), "thorns" indeed. The thorns flourish and the character sickens and dies, choked to death for lack of spiritual food, air, sunshine.

Matt 13:23
Verily beareth fruit ( $\delta \eta \kappa \alpha \rho \pi о \varphi о \rho \varepsilon$ ). Who in reality ( $\delta \eta$ ) does bear fruit (cf. Mt 7:16-20 ). The fruit reveals the character of the tree and the value of the straw for wheat. Some grain
must come else it is only chaff, straw, worthless. The first three classes have no fruit and so show that they are unfruitful soil, unsaved souls and lives. There is variety in those who do bear fruit, but they have some fruit. The lesson of the parable as explained by Jesus is precisely this, the variety in the results of the seed sown according to the soil on which it falls. Every teacher and preacher knows how true this is. It is the teacher's task as the sower to sow the right seed, the word of the kingdom. The soil determines the outcome. There are critics today who scout this interpretation of the parable by Jesus as too allegorical with too much detail and probably not that really given by Jesus since modern scholars are not agreed on the main point of the parable. But the average Christian sees the point all right. This parable was not meant to explain all the problems of human life.

Matt 13:24
Set he before them ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). So again in 13:31. He placed another parable beside ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ) the one already given and explained. The same verb ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \varepsilon ı v \alpha$ ) occurs in Lu 9:16

Is likened $(\omega \mu \circ \omega \omega \theta \eta)$. Timeless aorist passive and a common way of introducing these parables of the kingdom where a comparison is drawn (18:23; 22:2; $25: 1$ ). The case of $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega$ is associative instrumental.

Matt 13:25
While men slept ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \iota v \tau 0 v \varsigma \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \cup \varsigma)$. Same use of the articular present infinitive with $\varepsilon v$ and the accusative as in 13:4.

Sowed tares also ( $\left.\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \pi \varepsilon ı \rho \varepsilon v \tau \alpha \zeta_{\zeta} \zeta \alpha v ı\right)$. Literally "sowed upon," "resowed" (Moffatt).
 wheat) over ( $\varepsilon \pi$ ) the wheat, "in the midst of the wheat." This bearded darnel, lolium temulentum, is common in Palestine and resembles wheat except that the grains are black. In its earlier stages it is indistinguishable from the wheat stalks so that it has to remain till near the harvest. Modern farmers are gaining more skill in weeding it out.

Matt 13:26
Then appeared also ( $\tau о \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \nu \eta \kappa \alpha$ ). The darnel became plain ( $\varepsilon \varphi \alpha \nu \eta$, second aorist passive, effective aorist of $\varphi$ aıv $\omega$ to show) by harvest.

Matt 13:29
Ye root up the wheat with them ( $\varepsilon \kappa \rho ı \zeta \omega \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon \alpha \mu \alpha ~ \alpha u \tau о \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ \sigma \iota \tau о v) . ~ L i t e r a l l y, ~ " r o o t ~$ out." Easy to do with the roots of wheat and darnel intermingled in the field. So $\sigma u \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \sigma \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ is not "gather up," but "gather together," here and verses 28 and 30. Note other compound verbs here, "grow together" ( $\sigma v v \alpha v \xi \alpha v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ), "burn up" (катакаvба, burn down or completely), "bring together" ( $\sigma \cup v a \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon)$.

Matt 13:30
My barn ( $\tau \eta \nu \alpha \pi \sigma \theta \eta \kappa \eta \nu \mu \circ v$ ). See already 3:12; 6:26. Granary, storehouse, place for putting things away.

Matt 13:31
Is like (o $\quad$ ota $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). Adjective for comparison with associative instrumental as in 13:13,44,45,47,52 .

Grain of mustard seed (кокк $\sigma \iota \nu \alpha \pi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Single grain in contrast with the collective $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha$ ( 17:20).

Took and sowed ( $\lambda \alpha \beta \omega \nu \varepsilon \sigma \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \varepsilon v$ ). Vernacular phrasing like Hebrew and all conversational style. In Koine.

Matt 13:32
A tree ( $\delta \varepsilon v \delta \rho o v)$. "Not in nature, but in size" (Bruce). "An excusable exaggeration in popular discourse."

Matt 13:33
Is like unto leaven ( $\circ \mu \circ \iota \alpha \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \zeta \nu \mu \eta$ ). In its pervasive power. Curiously enough some people deny that Jesus here likens the expanding power of the Kingdom of heaven to leaven, because, they say, leaven is the symbol of corruption. But the language of Jesus is not to be explained away by such exegetical jugglery. The devil is called like a lion by Peter ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 5: 8$ ) and Jesus in Revelation is called the Lion of the Tribe of Judah (Re 5:5 ). The leaven permeates all the "wheaten meal" ( $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon v \rho o v$ ) till the whole is leavened. There is nothing in the "three measures," merely a common amount to bake. Dr. T.R. Glover in his Jesus of History suggests that Jesus used to notice his mother using that amount of wheat flour in baking bread. To find the Trinity here is, of course, quite beside the mark. The word for leaven, $\zeta \nu \mu \eta$, is from $\zeta \varepsilon \omega$, to boil, to seethe, and so pervasive fermentation.

Matt 13:35
I will utter $(\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon v \xi \circ \mu \alpha)$. To cast forth like a river, to gurgle, to disgorge, the passion of a prophet. From Ps 19:2; 78:2. The Psalmist claims to be able to utter "things hidden from the foundation of the world" and Matthew applies this language to the words of Jesus. Certain it is that the life and teaching of Jesus throw a flood of light on the purposes of God long kept hidden (кєкрv $\mu \mu \varepsilon v \alpha)$.

Matt 13:36
Explain unto us ( $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \alpha \varphi \eta \sigma o v \eta \mu v)$. Also in 18:31. "Make thoroughly clear right now" (aorist tense of urgency). The disciples waited till Jesus left the crowds and got into the house to ask help on this parable. Jesus had opened up the Parable of the Sower and now they pick out this one, passing by the mustard seed and the leaven.

Matt 13:38
The field is the world (о $\delta \varepsilon \alpha \gamma \rho о \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ о коб $о \varsigma)$. The article with both "field" and "world" in Greek means that subject and predicate are coextensive and so interchangeable. It is extremely important to understand that both the good seed and the darnel (tares) are sown in the world, not in the Kingdom, not in the church. The separation comes at the
consummation of the age ( $\sigma v v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ı \alpha ~ \alpha ı \omega v o \varsigma, 39$ ), the harvest time. They all grow together in the field (the world).

Matt 13:41
Out of his kingdom ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \beta \alpha \sigma \lambda \lambda \varepsilon ı \alpha \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau о v$ ). Out from the midst of the kingdom, because in every city the good and the bad are scattered and mixed together. Cf. $\varepsilon \kappa \mu \varepsilon \sigma о v$ $\tau \omega v \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \omega \omega v$ in 13:49 "from the midst of the righteous." What this means is that, just as the wheat and the darnel are mixed together in the field till the separation at harvest, so the evil are mixed with the good in the world (the field). Jesus does not mean to say that these "stumbling-blocks" ( $\tau \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda \alpha)$ are actually in the Kingdom of heaven and really members of the Kingdom. They are simply mixed in the field with the wheat and God leaves them in the world till the separation comes. Their destiny is "the furnace of fire" ( $\tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \mu \nu v \nu \nu$ tov $\pi \cup \rho о \varsigma)$.

Matt 13:43
Shine forth ( $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \alpha \mu \psi o v \sigma เ v)$. Shine out as the sun comes from behind a cloud (Vincent) and drive away the darkness after the separation has come (cf. Da 12:3).

Matt 13:44
And hid (ка єкричعv). Not necessarily bad morality. "He may have hid it to prevent it being stolen, or to prevent himself from being anticipated in buying a field" (Plummer). But if it was a piece of sharp practice, that is not the point of the parable. That is, the enormous wealth of the Kingdom for which any sacrifice, all that one has, is not too great a price to pay.

Matt 13:46
He went and sold ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega v \pi \varepsilon \pi \rho \alpha \kappa \varepsilon v)$. Rather eagerly and vividly told thus, "He has gone off and sold." The present perfect indicative, the dramatic perfect of vivid picture. Then he bought it. Present perfect, imperfect, aorist tenses together for lively action. E $\mu \pi \sigma \rho \omega$ is a merchant, one who goes in and out, travels like a drummer.

Matt 13:47
A net ( $\sigma \alpha \gamma \eta v \eta$ ). Drag-net. Latin, sagena, English, seine. The ends were stretched out and drawn together. Only example of the word in the N.T. Just as the field is the world, so the drag-net catches all the fish that are in the sea. The separation comes afterwards. Vincent pertinently quotes Homer's Odyssey (xxii. 384-389) where the slain suitors in the halls of Ulysses are likened to fishes on the shore caught by nets with myriad meshes.

Matt 13:48
Vessels ( $\alpha \gamma \gamma \eta$ ). Here only in the N.T. In Mt 25:4 we have $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon$. $\alpha$.
Matt 13:52
Made a disciple to the kingdom of heaven ( $\mu \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma \tau \eta \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha \tau \nu \nu$ ou $\alpha \nu \nu \omega v$ ). First aorist passive participle. The verb is transitive in 28:19. Here a scribe is made a learner to the kingdom. "The mere scribe, Rabbinical in spirit, produces only the old and stale. The
disciple of the kingdom like the Master, is always fresh-minded, yet knows how to value all old spiritual treasures of Holy Writ, or Christian tradition" (Bruce). So he uses things fresh ( $\kappa \alpha \iota v \alpha)$ and ancient ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha$ ). "He hurls forth" ( $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$ ) both sorts.

Matt 13:54
 known, the leading, or even for a time the only carpenter in Nazareth till Jesus took the place of Joseph as the carpenter. What the people of Nazareth could not comprehend was how one with the origin and environment of Jesus here in Nazareth could possess the wisdom which he appeared to have in his teaching ( $\varepsilon \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v)$. That has often puzzled people how a boy whom they knew could become the man he apparently is after leaving them. They knew Joseph, Mary, the brothers (four of them named) and sisters (names not given). Jesus passed here as the son of Joseph and these were younger brothers and sisters (half brothers and sisters technically).

Matt 13:57
And they were offended in him ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda_{\iota} \zeta$ оvтo $\varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). Graphic imperfect passive. Literally, "They stumbled at him," "They were repelled by him" (Moffatt), "They turned against him" (Weymouth). It was unpardonable for Jesus not to be commonplace like themselves.

Not without honour (ovk عбтiv atц䒑оऽ). This is a proverb found in Jewish, Greek, and Roman writers. Seen also in the Logia of Jesus (Oxyr. Papyri i. 3).

Matt 13:58
Mighty works ( $\delta v v a \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma) . ~ P o w e r s . ~ T h e ~ " d i s b e l i e f " ~(\alpha \pi ı \sigma \tau ı \alpha v) ~ o f ~ t h e ~ t o w n s p e o p l e ~ b l o c k e d ~$ the will and the power of Jesus to work cures.

## Matthew 14

Matt 14:1
Herod the tetrarch (H $\eta \rho \omega \iota \delta \eta \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma)$. Herod Antipas ruler of Galilee and Perea, one-fourth of the dominion of Herod the Great.

The report concerning Jesus ( $\tau \eta \nu$ акоиๆ $\nu$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). See on 4:24. Cognate accusative, heard the hearing (rumour), objective genitive. It is rather surprising that he had not heard of Jesus before.

Matt 14:2
His servants ( $\tau$ oıs naıఠıv avtou). Literally "boys," but here the courtiers, not the menials of the palace.

Work in him ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma o v \sigma เ v)$. Cf. our "energize." "The powers of the invisible world, vast and vague in the king's imagination" (Bruce). John wrought no miracles, but one redivivus might be under the control of the unseen powers. So Herod argued. A guilty conscience quickened his fears. Possibly he could see again the head of John on a charger. "The King has the Baptist on the brain" (Bruce). Cf. Josephus (War, I. xxx. 7) for the story that the ghosts of Alexander and Aristobulus haunted the palace of Herod the Great. There were many conjectures about Jesus as a result of this tour of Galilee and Herod Antipas feared this one.

Matt 14:3
For the sake of Herodias ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ H $\rho \omega \omega \iota \delta \alpha \delta \alpha$ ). The death of John had taken place some time before. The Greek aorists here ( $\varepsilon \delta \eta \sigma \varepsilon v, a \pi \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \tau \tau)$ are not used for past perfects. The Greek aorist simply narrates the event without drawing distinctions in past time. This Herodias was the unlawful wife of Herod Antipas. She was herself a descendant of Herod the Great and had married Herod Philip of Rome, not Philip the Tetrarch. She had divorced him in order to marry Herod Antipas after he had divorced his wife, the daughter of Aretas King of Arabia. It was a nasty mess equal to any of our modern divorces. Her first husband was still alive and marriage with a sister-in-law was forbidden to Jews (Le 18:16). Because of her Herod Antipas had put John in the prison at Machaerus. The bare fact has been mentioned in Mt 4:12 without the name of the place. See 11:2 also for the discouragement of John $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \omega \tau \eta \rho \omega \omega$ (place of bondage), here $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta$ (the guard-house). Josephus (Ant. xviii. 5.2) tells us that Machaerus is the name of the prison. On a high hill an impregnable fortress had been built. Tristram (Land of Moab) says that there are now remains of "two dungeons, one of them deep and its sides scarcely broken in" with "small holes still visible in the masonry where staples of wood and iron had once been fixed. One of these must surely have been the prison-house of John the Baptist." "On this high ridge Herod the Great built an extensive and beautiful palace" (Broadus). "The windows com-
manded a wide and grand prospect, including the Dead Sea, the course of the Jordan, and Jerusalem" (Edersheim, Life and Times of Jesus).

Matt 14:4
For John said unto him ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \gamma \alpha \rho \mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \nu \eta \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). Possibly the Pharisees may have put Herod up to inveigling John to Machaerus on one of his visits there to express an opinion concerning his marriage to Herodias (Broadus) and the imperfect tense ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$ ) probably means that John said it repeatedly. It was a blunt and brave thing that John said. It cost him his head, but it is better to have a head like John's and lose it than to have an ordinary head and keep it. Herod Antipas was a politician and curbed his resentment toward John by his fear of the people who still held (exðov, imperfect tense) him as a prophet.

Matt 14:6
 (cf. Mr 6:21) without the genitive absolute. The earlier Greeks used the word $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \iota \alpha$ for funeral commemorations (birthdays of the dead), $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \theta \lambda \_\alpha$ being the word for birthday celebrations of living persons. But that distinction has disappeared in the papyri. The word $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \iota \alpha$ in the papyri (Fayum Towns, 114-20, 115-8, 119-30) is always a birthday feast as here in Matthew and Mark. Philo used both words of birthday feasts. Persius, a Roman satirist (Sat. V. 180-183), describes a banquet on Herod's Day.

Danced in the midst ( $\omega \rho \chi \eta \sigma \alpha \tau 0 \varepsilon v \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon \sigma \omega$ ). This was Salome, daughter of Herodias by her first marriage. The root of the verb means some kind of rapid motion. "Leaped in the middle," Wycliff puts it. It was a shameful exhibition of lewd dancing prearranged by Herodias to compass her purpose for John's death. Salome had stooped to the level of an $\alpha \lambda \mu \varepsilon$, or common dancer.

Matt 14:7
Promised with an oath ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ оркоv $\omega \mu$ о $\lambda о \gamma \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Literally, "confessed with an oath." For this verb in the sense of promise, see Ac 7:17. Note middle voice of aıt $\quad$ б $\eta \tau \alpha$ (ask for herself). Cf. Es 5:3; 7:2 .

Matt 14:8
Put forward ( $\pi \rho \circ \beta \iota \beta \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon ו \sigma \alpha$ ). See Ac 19:33 for a similar verb ( $\pi \rho \circ \beta \alpha \lambda o v \tau \omega v$ ), "pushing forward." Here (Acts) the Textus Receptus uses $\pi \rho o \beta \iota \beta \alpha \zeta \omega$. "It should require a good deal of 'educating' to bring a young girl to make such a grim request" (Bruce).

Here $(\omega \delta \varepsilon)$. On the spot. Here and now.
In a charger ( $\varepsilon \pi \pi \imath v a \kappa)$. Dish, plate, platter. Why the obsolete "charger"?
Matt 14:9
Grieved ( $\lambda v \pi \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. Not to hurt, for in verse 5 we read that he wanted $(\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega v)$ to put him to death ( $\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ v \alpha$ ). Herod, however, shrank from so dastardly a deed as this public display of brutality and bloodthirstiness. Men who do wrong always have some flimsy excuses for their sins. A man here orders a judicial murder of the most revolting type "for the sake
of his oath" ( $\delta$ ı $\alpha$ tovৎ оркоис). "More like profane swearing than deliberate utterance once for all of a solemn oath" (Bruce). He was probably maudlin with wine and befuddled by the presence of the guests.

Matt 14:10
Beheaded John ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda_{\iota \sigma \varepsilon v} \mathrm{I} \omega \alpha v \eta v$ ). That is, he had John beheaded, a causative active tense of a late verb $\alpha \pi о \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \iota \zeta \omega$. Took his head off.

Matt 14:11
She brought it to her mother ( $\eta v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho \alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma)$. A gruesome picture as Herodias with fiendish delight witnesses the triumph of her implacable hatred of John for daring to reprove her for her marriage with Herod Antipas. A woman scorned is a veritable demon, a literal she-devil when she wills to be. Kipling's "female of the species" again. Legends actually picture Salome as in love with John, sensual lust, of which there is no proof.

Matt 14:12
And they went and told Jesus ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \lambda \theta$ о $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \alpha \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon 1 \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \omega$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). As was meet after they had given his body decent burial. It was a shock to the Master who alone knew how great John really was. The fate of John was a prophecy of what was before Jesus. According to Mt 14:13 the news of the fate of John led to the withdrawal of Jesus to the desert privately, an additional motive besides the need for rest after the strain of the recent tour.

Matt 14:13
In a boat $(\varepsilon \nu \pi \lambda o t \omega)$ "on foot" $(\pi \varepsilon \zeta \eta$, some MSS. $\pi \varepsilon \zeta \omega)$. Contrast between the lake and the land route.

Matt 14:14
Their sick ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma ~ \alpha \rho \rho \omega \sigma \tau o v \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \omega v$ ). "Without strength" ( $\rho \omega v v \nu \mu$ and $\alpha$ privative). Eб $\pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \nu \stackrel{\sigma}{ } \| \eta$ is a deponent passive. The verb gives the oriental idea of the bowels ( $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi v \alpha$ ) as the seat of compassion.

Matt 14:15
When even was come (o $\psi / a \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute. Not sunset about 6 P.M. as in 8:16 and as in 14:23, but the first of the two "evenings" beginning at 3 P.M.

The place is desert ( $\varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ о тотоৎ). Not a desolate region, simply lonely, comparatively uninhabited with no large towns near. There were "villages" ( $\kappa \omega \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ) where the people could buy food, but they would need time to go to them. Probably this is the idea of the disciples when they add:

The time is already past ( $\eta \omega \rho \alpha \eta \delta \eta \pi \alpha \rho \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ). They must hurry.
Matt 14:16
Give ye them to eat ( $\delta$ отє avтоıऽ $v \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \varphi a \gamma \varepsilon \iota)$ ). The emphasis is on $v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ in contrast (note position) with their "send away" ( $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda v \sigma o v$ ). It is the urgent aorist of instant action ( $\delta$ ote). It was an astounding command. The disciples were to learn that "no situation appears to Him desperate, no crisis unmanageable" (Bruce).

## Matt 14:17

And they say unto him (o $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma o v \sigma \iota \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega$ ). The disciples, like us today, are quick with reasons for their inability to perform the task imposed by Jesus.

Matt 14:18
And he said (o $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon v$ ). Here is the contrast between the helpless doubt of the disciples and the confident courage of Jesus. He used "the five loaves and two fishes" which they had mentioned as a reason for doing nothing. "Bring them hither unto me." They had overlooked the power of Jesus in this emergency.

Matt 14:19
To sit down on the grass ( $\alpha v \alpha \kappa \lambda \wedge \eta \eta \nu \alpha \varepsilon \pi$ $\tau 0 v \chi \circ \rho \tau \circ v)$. "Recline," of course, the word means, first aorist passive infinitive. A beautiful picture in the afternoon sun on the grass on the mountain side that sloped westward. The orderly arrangement (Mark) made it easy to count them and to feed them. Jesus stood where all could see him "break" ( $\kappa \lambda \alpha \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$ the thin Jewish cakes of bread and give to the disciples and they to the multitudes. This is a nature miracle that some men find it hard to believe, but it is recorded by all four Gospels and the only one told by all four. It was impossible for the crowds to misunderstand and to be deceived. If Jesus is in reality Lord of the universe as John tells us (Joh 1:1-18) and Paul holds ( Col 1:15-20 ), why should we balk at this miracle? He who created the universe surely has power to go on creating what he wills to do.

Matt 14:20
Were filled ( $\varepsilon \chi о \rho \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ ). Effective aorist passive indicative of $\chi о \rho \tau \alpha \zeta \omega$. See Mt 5:6 . From the substantive $\chi \circ \rho \tau o \varsigma$ grass. Cattle were filled with grass and people usually with other food. They all were satisfied.

Broken pieces ( $\tau \omega v \kappa \lambda \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). Not the scraps upon the ground, but the pieces broken by Jesus and still in the "twelve baskets" ( $\delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ кочıvovৎ) and not eaten. Each of the twelve had a basketful left over ( $\tau$ o $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v o v$ ). One hopes that the boy (Joh 6:9) who had the five loaves and two fishes to start with got one of the basketsful, if not all of them. Each of the Gospels uses the same word here for baskets (кочıоо), a wicker-basket, called "coffins" by Wycliff. Juvenal (Sat. iii. 14) says that the grove of Numa near the Capenian gate of Rome was "let out to Jews whose furniture is a basket (cophinus) and some hay" (for a bed). In the feeding of the Four Thousand (Matthew and Mark) the word $\sigma \varphi \cup \rho ı$ is used which was a sort of hamper or large provisions basket.

Matt 14:21
Beside women and children ( $\chi \omega \rho \iota \varsigma \gamma \nu \nu \alpha \iota \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \omega \nu$ ). Perhaps on this occasion there were not so many as usual because of the rush of the crowd around the head of the lake. Matthew adds this item and does not mean that the women and children were not fed, but simply that "the eaters" (o $\varepsilon \sigma \theta$ tovteऽ) included five thousand men ( $\alpha v \delta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$ ) besides the women and children.

## Matt 14:22

Constrained ( $\eta v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Literally, "compelled" or "forced." See this word also in Lu 14:23. The explanation for this strong word in Mr 6:45 and Mt 14:22 is given in Joh 6:15 . It is the excited purpose of the crowd to take Jesus by force and to make him national king. This would be political revolution and would defeat all the plans of Jesus about his kingdom. Things have reached a climax. The disciples were evidently swept off their feet by the mob psychology for they still shared the Pharisaic hope of a political kingdom. With the disciples out of the way Jesus could handle the crowd more easily,
till he should send the multitudes away ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ov $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda v \sigma \eta \tau \tau v \varsigma$ o $\chi \lambda \frac{1}{}$ ). The use of the aorist subjunctive with $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ or $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ov is a neat and common Greek idiom where the purpose is not yet realized. So in 18:30; 26:36 . "While" sometimes renders it well. The subjunctive is retained after a past tense instead of the change to the optative of the ancient Attic. The optative is very rare anyhow, but Luke uses it with $\pi \rho \iota v \eta$ in Ac 25:16.

Matt 14:23
Into the mountain (عıऽ то ороৎ). After the dismissal of the crowd Jesus went up alone into the mountain on the eastern side of the lake to pray as he often did go to the mountains to pray. If ever he needed the Father's sympathy, it was now. The masses were wild with enthusiasm and the disciples wholly misunderstood him. The Father alone could offer help now.

Matt 14:24
Distressed ( $\beta a \sigma \alpha v \iota \zeta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon v o v$ ). Like a man with demons ( 8:29). One can see, as Jesus did (Mr 6:48), the boat bobbing up and down in the choppy sea.

Matt 14:25
Walking upon the sea ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \nu \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu$ ). Another nature miracle. Some scholars actually explain it all away by urging that Jesus was only walking along the beach and not on the water, an impossible theory unless Matthew's account is legendary. Matthew uses the accusative (extension) with $\varepsilon \pi$ in verse 25 and the genitive (specifying case) in 26.

Matt 14:26
They were troubled $(\varepsilon \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. Much stronger than that. They were literally "terrified" as they saw Jesus walking on the sea.

An apparition ( $\varphi \alpha v \tau \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha)$, or "ghost," or "spectre" from $\varphi \alpha v \tau \alpha \zeta \omega$ and that from $\varphi \alpha \imath v \omega$. They cried out "from fear" ( $\alpha$ то $\operatorname{\tau ov} \varphi \circ$ ооv) as any one would have done. "A little touch of sailor superstition" (Bruce).

Matt 14:28
Upon the waters ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha v \delta \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). The impulsiveness of Peter appears as usual. Matthew alone gives this Peter episode.

Matt 14:30

Seeing the wind $(\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega \nu \tau o v \alpha \nu \varepsilon \mu o v)$. Cf. Ex 20:18 and Re 1:12 "to see the voice" ( $\tau \eta \nu$ $\varphi \omega v \eta \nu)$. "It is one thing to see a storm from the deck of a stout ship, another to see it in the midst of the waves" (Bruce). Peter was actually beginning to $\operatorname{sink}$ ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi о \nu \tau \iota \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ) to plunge down into the sea, "although a fisherman and a good swimmer" (Bengel). It was a dramatic moment that wrung from Peter the cry: "Lord, save me" (Kv $\rho \varepsilon, \sigma \omega \sigma o \nu \mu \varepsilon$ ), and do it quickly the aorist means. He could walk on the water till he saw the wind whirl the water round him.

## Matt 14:31

Didst thou doubt? ( $\varepsilon \delta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \sigma$ ?). Only here and 28:17 in the N.T. From $\delta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \zeta \omega$ and that from $\delta \iota \varsigma$ (twice). Pulled two ways. Peter's trust in the power of Christ gave way to his dread of the wind and waves. Jesus had to take hold of Peter ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \tau \sigma$, middle voice) and pull him up while still walking on the water.

Matt 14:32
Ceased ( $\varepsilon \kappa о \pi \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v)$. From котоऽ, toil. The wind grew weary or tired, exhausted itself in the presence of its Master (cf. Mr 4:39). Not a mere coincidence that the wind ceased now.

Matt 14:33
Worshipped him ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \kappa v \nu \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha v \tau \omega)$. And Jesus accepted it. They were growing in appreciation of the person and power of Christ from the attitude in $8: 27$. They will soon be ready for the confession of $16: 16$. Already they can say: "Truly God's Son thou art." The absence of the article here allows it to mean a Son of God as in 27:54 (the centurion). But they probably mean "the Son of God" as Jesus was claiming to them to be.

Matt 14:34
Gennesaret ( $\Gamma \varepsilon \nu \nu \eta \sigma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \tau)$. A rich plain four miles long and two broad. The first visit of Jesus apparently with the usual excitement at the cures. People were eager to touch the hem of Christ's mantle like the woman in 9:20. Jesus honoured their superstitious faith and "as many as touched were made whole" (oбo $\eta \psi \alpha v \tau o \delta ı \varepsilon \sigma \omega \theta \varepsilon \sigma \alpha v)$, completely ( $\delta \iota-$ ) healed.

## Matthew 15

Matt 15:1
From Jerusalem ( $\alpha \pi \sigma$ Iع $\rho о \sigma о \lambda \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Jerusalem is the headquarters of the conspiracy against Jesus with the Pharisees as the leaders in it. Already we have seen the Herodians combining with the Pharisees in the purpose to put Jesus to death (Mr 3:6; Mt 12:14; Lu 6:11). Soon Jesus will warn the disciples against the Sadducees also (Mt 16:6). Unusual order here, "Pharisees and scribes." "The guardians of tradition in the capital have their evil eye on Jesus and co-operate with the provincial rigorists" (Bruce), if the Pharisees were not all from Jerusalem.

Matt 15:2
The tradition of the elders ( $\tau \eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o \sigma \iota \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega v$ ). This was the oral law, handed down by the elders of the past in ex cathedra fashion and later codified in the Mishna. Handwashing before meals is not a requirement of the Old Testament. It is, we know, a good thing for sanitary reasons, but the rabbis made it a mark of righteousness for others at any rate. This item was magnified at great length in the oral teaching. The washing (vintov $\tau \alpha$, middle voice, note) of the hands called for minute regulations. It was commanded to wash the hands before meals, it was one's duty to do it after eating. The more rigorous did it between the courses. The hands must be immersed. Then the water itself must be "clean" and the cups or pots used must be ceremonially "clean." Vessels were kept full of clean water ready for use (Joh 2:6-8). So it went on ad infinitum. Thus a real issue is raised between Jesus and the rabbis. It was far more than a point of etiquette or of hygienics. The rabbis held it to be a mortal sin. The incident may have happened in a Pharisee's house.

Matt 15:3
Ye also (ка v $\mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Jesus admits that the disciples had transgressed the rabbinical traditions. Jesus treats it as a matter of no great importance in itself save as they had put the tradition of the elders in the place of the commandment of God. When the two clashed, as was often the case, the rabbis transgress the commandment of God "because of your tradition" ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o \sigma \iota v \nu \mu \omega v$ ). The accusative with $\delta \iota \alpha$ means that, not "by means of." Tradition is not good or bad in itself. It is merely what is handed on from one to another. Custom tended to make these traditions binding like law. The Talmud is a monument of their struggle with tradition. There could be no compromise on this subject and Jesus accepts the issue. He stands for real righteousness and spiritual freedom, not for bondage to mere ceremonialism and tradition. The rabbis placed tradition (the oral law) above the law of God.

Matt 15:5
But ye say (v $\boldsymbol{\text { (ıц }} \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). In sharp contrast to the command of God. Jesus had quoted the fifth commandment (Ex 20:12,16) with the penalty "die the death" ( $\theta a v a \tau \omega \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha \tau \omega)$, "go on to his end by death," in imitation of the Hebrew idiom. They dodged this command
of God about the penalty for dishonouring one's father or mother by the use "Corban" ( $\kappa o \rho \beta \alpha v$ ) as Mark calls it (Mr 7:11). All one had to do to evade one's duty to father or mother was to say "Corban" or "Gift" ( $\Delta \omega \rho o v$ ) with the idea of using the money for God. By an angry oath of refusal to help one's parents, the oath or vow was binding. By this magic word one set himself free (ov $\mu \eta \tau \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon$, he shall not honour) from obedience to the fifth commandment. Sometimes unfilial sons paid graft to the rabbinical legalists for such dodges. Were some of these very faultfinders guilty?

## Matt 15:6

Ye have made void the word of God ( $\varepsilon \kappa \cup \rho \omega \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau о \nu \lambda o \gamma o v \tau o v \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). It was a stinging indictment that laid bare the hollow pretence of their quibbles about handwashing. Kvpos means force or authority, aкирос is without authority, null and void. It is a late verb, акирош but in the LXX, Gal 3:17 ; and in the papyri Adjective, verb, and substantive occur in legal phraseology like cancelling a will, etc. The moral force of God's law is annulled by their hairsplitting technicalities and immoral conduct.

Matt 15:7
Well did Isaiah prophesy of you ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v \pi \varepsilon \rho \nu \mu \omega \nu$ Eбaıa¢). There is sarcasm in this pointed application of Isaiah's words (Isa 29:13) to these rabbis. He "beautifully pictured" them. The portrait was to the very life, "teaching as their doctrines the commandments of men." They were indeed far from God if they imagined that God would be pleased with such gifts at the expense of duty to one's parents.

Matt 15:11
 is used in two senses, either what is "common" to all and general like the Koine Greek, or what is unclean and "common" either ceremonially or in reality. The ceremonial "commonness" disturbed Peter on the housetop in Joppa (Ac 10:14 ). See also Ac 21:28; Heb 9:13 . One who is thus religiously common or unclean is cut off from doing his religious acts. "Defilement" was a grave issue with the rabbinical ceremonialists. Jesus appeals to the crowd here:

Hear and understand (акоvєโє ка бטvเะtє). He has a profound distinction to draw. Moral uncleanness is what makes a man common, defiles him. That is what is to be dreaded, not to be glossed over. "This goes beyond the tradition of the elders and virtually abrogates the Levitical distinctions between clean and unclean" (Bruce). One can see the pettifogging pretenders shrivel up under these withering words.

## Matt 15:12

Were offended ( $\varepsilon \sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda_{\iota} \sigma \eta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive. "Were caused to stumble," "have taken offence" (Moffatt), "have turned against you" (Weymouth), "were shocked" (Goodspeed), "War ill-pleased" (Braid Scots). They took umbrage at the public rebuke and at such a scorpion sting in it all. It cut to the quick because it was true. It showed in the
glowering countenances of the Pharisees so plainly that the disciples were uneasy. See on 5:29.

Matt 15:14
They are blind guides ( $\tau \cup \varphi \lambda o$ عוбוv o $\delta \eta \gamma \circ$ ). Graphic picture. Once in Cincinnati a blind man introduced me to his blind friend. He said that he was showing him the city. Jesus is not afraid of the Pharisees. Let them alone to do their worst. Blind leaders and blind victims will land in the ditch. A proverbial expression in the O.T.

Matt 15:15
Declare unto us the parable ( $\varphi \rho \alpha \sigma o v \nu \mu \nu \nu \tau \eta v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta 0 \lambda \eta \nu)$. Explain the parable (pithy saying) in verse 11, not in verse 14. As a matter of fact, the disciples had been upset by Christ's powerful exposure of the "Corban" duplicity and the words about "defilement" in verse 11.

Matt 15:16
 is an adverbial accusative (classic aı $\chi \mu \eta$, point (of a weapon) $=\alpha \kappa \mu \eta \nu \chi \rho \circ v o v$ at this point of time, just now=et. It occurs in papyri and inscriptions, though condemned by the old grammarians. "In spite of all my teaching, are ye also like the Pharisees without spiritual insight and grasp?" One must never forget that the disciples lived in a Pharisaic environment. Their religious world-outlook was Pharisaic. They were lacking in spiritual intelligence or sense, "totally ignorant" (Moffatt).

Matt 15:17
Perceive ye not? (ov vositq). Christ expects us to make use of our vous, intellect, not for pride, but for insight. The mind does not work infallibly, but we should use it for its God-given purpose. Intellectual laziness or flabbiness is no credit to a devout soul.

Matt 15:18
Out of the mouth ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ tov $\sigma \tau о \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma)$. Spoken words come out of the heart and so are a true index of character. By "heart" (карঠıaৎ) Jesus means not just the emotional nature, but the entire man, the inward life of "evil thoughts" ( $\delta \iota \lambda \lambda о \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \circ \pi о v \eta \rho o$ ) that issue in words and deeds. "These defile the man," not "eating with unwashed hands." The captious quibblings of the Pharisees, for instance, had come out of evil hearts.

Matt 15:22
A Canaanitish woman ( $\gamma v v \eta$ Xavavaıa). The Phoenicians were descended from the Canaanites, the original inhabitants of Palestine. They were of Semitic race, therefore, though pagan.

Have pity on me ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \eta \sigma o v \mu \varepsilon$ ). She made her daughter's case her own, "badly demonized."

Matt 15:23

For she crieth after us (o $\kappa \rho \alpha \zeta \varepsilon$ o $\pi\llcorner\sigma \theta \varepsilon v \eta \mu \omega v$ ). The disciples greatly disliked this form of public attention, a strange woman crying after them. They disliked a sensation. Did they wish the woman sent away with her daughter healed or unhealed?

Matt 15:24
I was not sent (ouk $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \eta \nu$ ). Second aorist passive indicative of $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$. Jesus takes a new turn with this woman in Phoenicia. He makes a test case of her request. In a way she represented the problem of the Gentile world. He calls the Jews "the lost sheep of the house of Israel" in spite of the conduct of the Pharisees.

Matt 15:27
Even the dogs ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ кvvapıa). She took no offence at the implication of being a Gentile dog. The rather she with quick wit took Christ's very word for little dogs ( $\kappa v v a \rho ı \alpha$ ) and deftly turned it to her own advantage, for the little dogs eat of the crumbs ( $\psi \tau \chi \omega v$, little morsels, diminutive again) that fall from the table of their masters ( $\kappa v \rho \iota \omega v$ ), the children.

Matt 15:28
As thou wilt ( $\omega \varsigma \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \varsigma)$. Her great faith and her keen rejoinder won her case.
Matt 15:29
And sat there ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \tau$ то $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon$ ). "Was sitting there" on the mountain side near the sea of Galilee, possibly to rest and to enjoy the view or more likely to teach.

Matt 15:30
 very strong word, flung them down, "not carelessly, but in haste, because so many were coming on the same errand" (Vincent). It was a great day for "they glorified the God of Israel."

Matt 15:32
Three days ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma)$. A parenthetic nominative (Robertson, Grammar, p. 460).
What to eat ( $\tau \varphi \alpha \gamma \omega \sigma \tau v$ ). Indirect question with the deliberative subjunctive retained. In the feeding of the five thousand Jesus took compassion on the people and healed their sick ( $14: 14$ ). Here the hunger of the multitude moves him to compassion ( $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi v \zeta \rho \mu \alpha$, in both instances). So he is unwilling (ov $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$ ) to send them away hungry.

Faint ( $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \cup \theta \omega \sigma \tau v)$. Unloosed, ( $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \nu \omega)$ exhausted.
Matt 15:33
And the disciples say to him ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \gamma \sigma \sigma \tau v \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ o $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha$ ). It seems strange that they should so soon have forgotten the feeding of the five thousand (Mt 14:13-21), but they did. Soon Jesus will remind them of both these demonstrations of his power (16:9,10). They forgot both of them, not just one. Some scholars scout the idea of two miracles so similar as the feeding of the five thousand and the four thousand, though both are narrated in detail by both Mark and Matthew and both are later mentioned by Jesus. Jesus repeated his sayings and wrought multitudes of healings. There is no reason in itself why Jesus should not on
occasion repeat a nature miracle like this elsewhere. He is in the region of Decapolis, not in the country of Philip (Tpaxovitıc).

Matt 15:34
A few small fishes ( $0 \lambda \wedge \gamma \alpha \downarrow \chi \theta \cup \delta \iota \alpha$, diminutive again).
Matt 15:35
On the ground ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta v \gamma \eta \nu$ ). No mention of "grass" as in 14:19 for this time, midsummer, the grass would be parched and gone.

Matt 15:36
Gave thanks ( $\varepsilon u \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \varsigma)$ ) In 14:19 the word used for "grace" or "blessing" is عu入oүךб\&v. Vincent notes that the Jewish custom was for the head of the house to say the blessing only if he shared the meal unless the guests were his own household. But we need not think of Jesus as bound by the peccadilloes of Jewish customs.

Matt 15:39
The borders of Magadan ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \tau \alpha$ opıa Maү $\alpha \delta \alpha v$ ). On the eastern side of the Sea of Galilee and so in Galilee again. Mark terms it Dalmanutha (Mr 8:10). Perhaps after all the same place as Magdala, as most manuscripts have it.

## Matthew 16

Matt 16:1
The Pharisees and Sadducees (о Фарıбаı ка $\Sigma \alpha \delta \delta$ оикаıо). The first time that we have this combination of the two parties who disliked each other exceedingly. Hate makes strange bedfellows. They hated Jesus more than they did each other. Their hostility has not decreased during the absence of Jesus, but rather increased.

Tempting him ( $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \zeta о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Their motive was bad.
A sign from heaven ( $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \circ \circ$ єк tov oupavou). The scribes and Pharisees had already asked for a sign ( 12:38). Now this new combination adds "from heaven." What did they have in mind? They may not have had any definite idea to embarrass Jesus. The Jewish apocalypses did speak of spectacular displays of power by the Son of Man (the Messiah). The devil had suggested that Jesus let the people see him drop down from the pinnacle of the temple and the people expected the Messiah to come from an unknown source (Joh 7:27 ) who would do great signs (Joh 7:31 ). Chrysostom (Hom. liii.) suggests stopping the course of the sun, bridling the moon, a clap of thunder.

Matt 16:2
Fair weather ( $\varepsilon v \delta i \alpha)$. An old poetic word from $\varepsilon v$ and $Z \varepsilon v \varsigma$ as the ruler of the air and giver of fair weather. So men today say "when the sky is red at sunset." It occurs on the Rosetta Stone and in a fourth century A.D. Oxyr. papyrus for "calm weather" that made it impossible to sail the boat. Aleph and B and some other MSS. omit verses 2 and 3. W omits part of verse 2. These verses are similar to Lu 12:54-56. McNeile rejects them here. Westcott and Hort place in brackets. Jesus often repeated his sayings. Zahn suggests that Papias added these words to Matthew.

Matt 16:3
Lowring ( $\sigma \tau v \gamma v \alpha \zeta \omega v)$. A sky covered with clouds. Used also of a gloomy countenance as of the rich young ruler in Mr 10:22. Nowhere else in the New Testament. This very sign of a rainy day we use today. The word for "foul weather" ( $\chi \varepsilon \mu \omega v$ ) is the common one for winter and a storm.

The signs of the times ( $\tau \alpha \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon ı \alpha \tau \omega v \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \omega v$ ). How little the Pharisees and Sadducees understood the situation. Soon Jerusalem would be destroyed and the Jewish state overturned. It is not always easy to discern ( $\delta$ ıккрıveıv, discriminate) the signs of our own time. Men are numerous with patent keys to it all. But we ought not to be blind when others are gullible.

Matt 16:4
Same words in 12:39 except tov $\pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau 0 v$, a real doublet.
Matt 16:5
Came ( $\varepsilon \lambda$ Өovteऽ). Probably= "went" as in Lu 15:20 ( $\llcorner\rho \varepsilon$, not $\varsigma \varepsilon v \iota \rho \varepsilon$ ). So in Mr 8:13 $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$.

Forgot ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \theta$ ovto). Perhaps in the hurry to leave Galilee, probably in the same boat by which they came across from Decapolis.

Matt 16:7
 to understand the parabolic warning against "the leaven of the Pharisees and Sadducees" (verse 6) after the collision of Christ just before with both parties in Magadan. They kept it up, imperfect tense. It is "loaves" (aptous) rather than "bread."

Matt 16:8
Jesus asks four pungent questions about the intellectual dulness, refers to the feeding of the five thousand and uses the word корıvovs ( $14: 20$ ) for it and $\sigma \varphi$ טpi $\delta$ ac for the four thousand ( $15: 37$ ), and repeats his warning ( 16:11). Every teacher understands this strain upon the patience of this Teacher of teachers.

Matt 16:12
Then understood they ( $\tau \circ \tau \varepsilon \sigma \cup v \eta \kappa \alpha v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\sigma \cup v ı \eta \mu$, to grasp, to comprehend. They saw the point after this elaborate rebuke and explanation that by "leaven" Jesus meant "teaching."

Matt 16:13
Caesarea Philippi (Kaıбapıaऽ $\tau \eta \varsigma Ф \iota \lambda \iota \pi \pi \sigma v)$. Up on a spur of Mt. Hermon under the rule of Herod Philip.

He asked ( $\eta \rho \omega \tau \alpha$ ). Began to question, inchoative imperfect tense. He was giving them a test or examination. The first was for the opinion of men about the Son of Man.

Matt 16:14
And they said (o $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \pi \alpha v$ ). They were ready to respond for they knew that popular opinion was divided on that point (14:1f. ). They give four different opinions. It is always a risky thing for a pastor to ask for people's opinions of him. But Jesus was not much concerned by their answers to this question. He knew by now that the Pharisees and Sadducees were bitterly hostile to him. The masses were only superficially following him and they looked for a political Messiah and had vague ideas about him. How much did the disciples understand and how far have they come in their development of faith? Are they still loyal?

Matt 16:15
But who say ye that I am? (v $\mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \tau เ v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon เ v \alpha ı$ ?). This is what matters and what Jesus wanted to hear. Note emphatic position of
$\mathbf{h} \boxtimes$ meis , "But you, who say ye that I am?"
Matt 16:16
Peter is the spokesman now: "Thou art the Christ, the Son of the living God" ( $\Sigma v \varepsilon$ o Xpıбтоц o vios tov $\theta$ rov tov $\zeta \omega v \tau o \varsigma)$. It was a noble confession, but not a new claim by Jesus. Peter had made it before (Joh 6:69) when the multitude deserted Jesus in Capernaum. Since the early ministry (John 4) Jesus had avoided the word Messiah because of its political
meaning to the people. But now Peter plainly calls Jesus the Anointed One, the Messiah, the Son of the God the living one (note the four Greek articles). This great confession of Peter means that he and the other disciples believe in Jesus as the Messiah and are still true to him in spite of the defection of the Galilean populace (John 6).

## Matt 16:17

Blessed art thou ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \iota \varsigma \varepsilon$ ). A beatitude for Peter. Jesus accepts the confession as true. Thereby Jesus on this solemn occasion solemnly claims to be the Messiah, the Son of the living God, his deity in other words. The disciples express positive conviction in the Messiahship or Christhood of Jesus as opposed to the divided opinions of the populace. "The terms in which Jesus speaks of Peter are characteristic--warm, generous, unstinted. The style is not that of an ecclesiastical editor laying the foundation for church power, and prelatic pretentions, but of a noble-minded Master eulogizing in impassioned terms a loyal disciple" (Bruce). The Father had helped Peter get this spiritual insight into the Master's Person and Work.

Matt 16:18
And I also say unto thee ( $\kappa$ ' $\alpha \gamma \omega \delta \varepsilon \sigma o \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ). "The emphasis is not on 'Thou art Peter' over against 'Thou art the Christ,' but on Kaү $\omega$ : 'The Father hath revealed to thee one truth, and I also tell you another" (McNeile). Jesus calls Peter here by the name that he had said he would have (Joh 1:42). Peter (Пعг $\rho \circ \varsigma)$ is simply the Greek word for Cephas (Aramaic). Then it was prophecy, now it is fact. In verse 17 Jesus addresses him as "Simon Bar-Jonah," his full patronymic (Aramaic) name. But Jesus has a purpose now in using his nickname "Peter" which he had himself given him. Jesus makes a remarkable play on Peter's name, a pun in fact, that has caused volumes of controversy and endless theological strife.

On this rock $(\varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha v \tau \eta \tau \eta \pi \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha)$ Jesus says, a ledge or cliff of rock like that in 7:24 on which the wise man built his house. Пعт $о$ os is usually a smaller detachment of the massive ledge. But too much must not be made of this point since Jesus probably spoke Aramaic to Peter which draws no such distinction $(\mathbb{K} \eta \varphi \alpha)$. What did Jesus mean by this word-play?

I will build my church (оєкобо $\mu \eta \sigma \omega \mu \circ v \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \nu$ ). It is the figure of a building and he uses the word $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \nu$ which occurs in the New Testament usually of a local organization, but sometimes in a more general sense. What is the sense here in which Jesus uses it? The word originally meant "assembly" (Ac 19:39) , but it came to be applied to an "unassembled assembly" as in Ac 8:3 for the Christians persecuted by Saul from house to house. "And the name for the new Israel, $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$, in His mouth is not an anachronism. It is an old familiar name for the congregation of Israel found in Deut. (De 18:26;23:2) and Psalms (Ps 22:36 ), both books well known to Jesus" (Bruce). It is interesting to observe that in Ps 89 most of the important words employed by Jesus on this occasion occur in the LXX


with the word $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ it will be helpful to turn to 1 Pe 2:5. Peter, the very one to whom Jesus is here speaking, writing to the Christians in the five Roman provinces in Asia ( 1 Pe
 to resist the impression that Peter recalls the words of Jesus to him on this memorable occasion. Further on (1Pe 2:9) he speaks of them as an elect race, a royal priesthood, a holy nation, showing beyond controversy that Peter's use of building a spiritual house is general, not local. This is undoubtedly the picture in the mind of Christ here in 16:18. It is a great spiritual house, Christ's Israel, not the Jewish nation, which he describes. What is the rock on which Christ will build his vast temple? Not on Peter alone or mainly or primarily. Peter by his confession was furnished with the illustration for the rock on which His church will rest. It is the same kind of faith that Peter has just confessed. The perpetuity of this church general is guaranteed.

The gates of Hades ( $\pi \cup \lambda \alpha \alpha \iota \delta o v$ )
shall not prevail against it (ov катіб $\quad$ vбovoıv aviņ). Each word here creates difficulty. Hades is technically the unseen world, the Hebrew Sheol, the land of the departed, that is death. Paul uses $\theta$ avate in 1Co 15:55 in quoting Ho $13: 14$ for $\alpha \iota \delta \eta$. It is not common in the papyri, but it is common on tombstones in Asia Minor, "doubtless a survival of its use in the old Greek religion" (Moulton and Milligan, Vocabulary). The ancient pagans divided Hades ( $\alpha$ privative and $\delta \delta \varepsilon ı v$, to see, abode of the unseen) into Elysium and Tartarus as the Jews put both Abraham's bosom and Gehenna in Sheol or Hades (cf. Lu 16:25). Christ was in Hades (Ac 2:27,31 ), not in Gehenna. We have here the figure of two buildings, the Church of Christ on the Rock, the House of Death (Hades). "In the Old Testament the 'gates of Hades' (Sheol) never bears any other meaning (Isa 38:10 ; Wisd. 16:3; 3Macc. 5:51) than death," McNeile claims. See also Ps 9:13; 107:18; Job 38:17 ( $\pi \cup \lambda \alpha$ Өavatov $\pi \cup \lambda \omega \rho o ~ \alpha ı \delta o v) . ~$ It is not the picture of Hades attacking Christ's church, but of death's possible victory over the church. "The єкк $\lambda \eta \sigma$ 就 is built upon the Messiahship of her master, and death, the gates of Hades, will not prevail against her by keeping Him imprisoned. It was a mysterious truth, which He will soon tell them in plain words (verse 21); it is echoed in Ac 2:24,31 " (McNeile). Christ's church will prevail and survive because He will burst the gates of Hades and come forth conqueror. He will ever live and be the guarantor of the perpetuity of His people or church. The verb $\kappa \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \chi \cup \omega$ (literally have strength against, $\iota \sigma \chi \nu \omega$ from $\sigma \chi \chi \varsigma$ and $\kappa \alpha \tau-$ ) occurs also in Lu 21:36; 23:23. It appears in the ancient Greek, the LXX, and in the papyri with the accusative and is used in the modern Greek with the sense of gaining the mastery over. The wealth of imagery in Mt 16:18 makes it difficult to decide each detail, but the main point is clear. The єкк $\eta \boldsymbol{\jmath}$ а which consists of those confessing Christ as Peter has just done will not cease. The gates of Hades or bars of Sheol will not close down on it. Christ will rise and will keep his church alive. Sublime Porte used to be the title of Turkish power in Constantinople.

Matt 16:19

The Keys of the kingdom ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \alpha \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon ı \alpha \varsigma)$. Here again we have the figure of a building with keys to open from the outside. The question is raised at once if Jesus does not here mean the same thing by "kingdom" that he did by "church" in verse 18. In Re 1:18; 3:7 Christ the Risen Lord has "the keys of death and of Hades." He has also "the keys of the kingdom of heaven" which he here hands over to Peter as "gatekeeper" or "steward" (oıкоvоноя) provided we do not understand it as a special and peculiar prerogative belonging to Peter. The same power here given to Peter belongs to every disciple of Jesus in all the ages. Advocates of papal supremacy insist on the primacy of Peter here and the power of Peter to pass on this supposed sovereignty to others. But this is all quite beside the mark. We shall soon see the disciples actually disputing again (Mt 18:1) as to which of them is the greatest in the kingdom of heaven as they will again (20:21) and even on the night before Christ's death. Clearly neither Peter nor the rest understood Jesus to say here that Peter was to have supreme authority. What is added shows that Peter held the keys precisely as every preacher and teacher does. To "bind" ( $\delta \eta \sigma \eta \iota \varsigma)$ in rabbinical language is to forbid, to "loose" ( $\lambda \cup \sigma \eta \iota \varsigma)$ is to permit. Peter would be like a rabbi who passes on many points. Rabbis of the school of Hillel "loosed" many things that the school of Schammai "bound." The teaching of Jesus is the standard for Peter and for all preachers of Christ. Note the future perfect indicative ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o v, \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \nu \mu \varepsilon v o v)$, a state of completion. All this assumes, of course, that Peter's use of the keys will be in accord with the teaching and mind of Christ. The binding and loosing is repeated by Jesus to all the disciples ( $18: 18$ ). Later after the Resurrection Christ will use this same language to all the disciples (Joh 20:23 ), showing that it was not a special prerogative of Peter. He is simply first among equals, primus inter pares, because on this occasion he was spokesman for the faith of all. It is a violent leap in logic to claim power to forgive sins, to pronounce absolution, by reason of the technical rabbinical language that Jesus employed about binding and loosing. Every preacher uses the keys of the kingdom when he proclaims the terms of salvation in Christ. The proclamation of these terms when accepted by faith in Christ has the sanction and approval of God the Father. The more personal we make these great words the nearer we come to the mind of Christ. The more ecclesiastical we make them the further we drift away from him.

Matt 16:20
That they should tell no man ( $\imath v \alpha \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \varepsilon ı \pi \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). Why? For the very reason that he had himself avoided this claim in public. He was the Messiah (o Xpıбтos), but the people would inevitably take it in a political sense. Jesus was plainly profoundly moved by Peter's great confession on behalf of the disciples. He was grateful and confident of the final outcome. But he foresaw peril to all. Peter had confessed him as the Messiah and on this rock of faith thus confessed he would build his church or kingdom. They will all have and use the keys to this greatest of all buildings, but for the present they must be silent.

Matt 16:21

From that time began ( $\alpha \pi о$ тотє $\eta \rho \xi \alpha \tau 0$ ). It was a suitable time for the disclosure of the greatest secret of his death. It is now just a little over six months before the cross. They must know it now to be ready then. The great confession of Peter made this seem an appropriate time. He will repeat the warnings (17:22f. with mention of betrayal; 20:17-19 with the cross) which he now "began." So the necessity ( $\delta \varepsilon$, must) of his suffering death at the hands of the Jerusalem ecclesiastics who have dogged his steps in Galilee is now plainly stated. Jesus added his resurrection "on the third day" ( $\tau \eta \tau \rho \iota \tau \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ), not "on the fourth day," please observe. Dimly the shocked disciples grasped something of what Jesus said.

Matt 16:22
 aside and apart, "as if by a right of his own. He acted with greater familiarity after the token of acknowledgment had been given. Jesus, however, reduces him to his level" (Bengel). "Peter here appears in a new character; a minute ago speaking under inspiration from heaven, now under inspiration from the opposite quarter" (Bruce). Syriac Sinaitic for Mr 8:32 has it "as though pitying him." But this exclamation and remonstrance of Peter was soon interrupted by Jesus.

God have mercy on thee ( $\lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$. Supply $\varepsilon \eta \eta$ or $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega$ o $\theta \varepsilon$ ) $)$.
This shall never be ( $o v \mu \eta \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma 0$ тov $\tau$ ). Strongest kind of negation, as if Peter would not let it happen. Peter had perfect assurance.

Matt 16:23
But he turned (o $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Second aorist passive participle, quick ingressive action, away from Peter in revulsion, and toward the other disciples (Mr 8:33 has $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ and เ $\delta \omega v$ тоия $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha \varsigma$ аитои).

Get thee behind me, Satan (Нип $\gamma \varepsilon$ о $п \iota \sigma \omega \mu о v, \Sigma \alpha \tau \alpha v \alpha$ ). Just before Peter played the part of a rock in the noble confession and was given a place of leadership. Now he is playing the part of Satan and is ordered to the rear. Peter was tempting Jesus not to go on to the cross as Satan had done in the wilderness. "None are more formidable instruments of temptation than well-meaning friends, who care more for our comfort than for our character" (Bruce). "In Peter the banished Satan had once more returned" (Plummer).

A stumbling-block unto me ( $\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda o v \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu \circ v$ ). Objective genitive. Peter was acting as Satan's catspaw, in ignorance, surely, but none the less really. He had set a trap for Christ that would undo all his mission to earth. "Thou art not, as before, a noble block, lying in its right position as a massive foundation stone. On the contrary, thou art like a stone quite out of its proper place, and lying right across the road in which I must go--lying as a stone of stumbling" (Morison).

Thou mindest not (ov $\varphi \rho 0$ veıৎ). "Your outlook is not God's, but man's" (Moffatt). You do not think God's thoughts. Clearly the consciousness of the coming cross is not a new idea with Jesus. We do not know when he first foresaw this outcome any more than we
know when first the Messianic consciousness appeared in Jesus. He had the glimmerings of it as a boy of twelve, when he spoke of "My Father's house." He knows now that he must die on the cross.

Matt 16:24
Take up his cross ( $\alpha \rho \alpha \tau \omega$ tov $\sigma \tau \alpha u \rho o v$ av $\alpha o v$ ). Pick up at once, aorist tense. This same saying in 10:38, which see. But pertinent here also in explanation of Christ's rebuke to Peter. Christ's own cross faces him. Peter had dared to pull Christ away from his destiny. He would do better to face squarely his own cross and to bear it after Jesus. The disciples would be familiar with cross-bearing as a figure of speech by reason of the crucifixion of criminals in Jerusalem.

Follow ( $\alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda o u \theta \varepsilon \iota \tau \omega$ ). Present tense. Keep on following.
Matt 16:25
Save his life ( $\tau \eta \nu \psi \cup \chi \eta \vee$ avtov $\sigma \omega \sigma \alpha$ ). Paradoxical play on word "life" or "soul," using it in two senses. So about "saving" and "losing" ( $\alpha \pi \mathbf{\lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon ) . ~}$

Matt 16:26
Gain ( $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \eta \sigma \eta)$ and
profit ( $\zeta \eta \mu \omega \theta \eta$ ). Both aorist subjunctives (one active, the other passive) and so punctiliar action, condition of third class, undetermined, but with prospect of determination. Just a supposed case. The verb for "forfeit" occurs in the sense of being fined or mulcted of money. So the papyri and inscriptions.

Exchange ( $\alpha \nu \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha$ ). As an exchange, accusative in apposition with $\tau$. The soul has no market price, though the devil thinks so. "A man must give, surrender, his life, and nothing less to God; no $\alpha v \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha$ is possible" (McNeile). This word $\alpha v \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha$ occurs twice in the Wisdom of Sirach: "There is no exchange for a faithful friend" (6:15); "There is no exchange for a well-instructed soul" (26:14).

Matt 16:28
Some of them that stand here ( $\tau \tau v \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \omega v$ o $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \tau \omega v$ ). A crux interpretum in reality. Does Jesus refer to the Transfiguration, the Resurrection of Jesus, the great Day of Pentecost, the Destruction of Jerusalem, the Second Coming and Judgment? We do not know, only that Jesus was certain of his final victory which would be typified and symbolized in various ways. The apocalyptic eschatological symbolism employed by Jesus here does not dominate his teaching. He used it at times to picture the triumph of the kingdom, not to set forth the full teaching about it. The kingdom of God was already in the hearts of men. There would be climaxes and consummations.

## Matthew 17

Matt 17:1
After six days ( $\mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime} \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \xi$ ). This could be on the sixth day, but as Luke (Lu 9:28) puts it "about eight days" one naturally thinks of a week as the probable time, though it is not important.

Taketh with him ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \varepsilon$ ). Literally,
takes along. Note historical present. These three disciples form an inner group who have shown more understanding of Jesus. So at Gethsemane.

Apart ( $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \iota \delta \iota \alpha v$ ) means "by themselves" ( alone, $\mu$ ovovc, Mark has it) up ( $\alpha v \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$ ) into a high mountain, probably Mount Hermon again, though we do not really know. "The Mount of Transfiguration does not concern geography" (Holtzmann).

Matt 17:2
He was transfigured before them ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \mu \circ \rho \varphi \omega \theta \eta \varepsilon \mu \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \omega v)$. The word is the same as the metamorphoses (cf. Ovid) of pagan mythology. Luke does not use it. The idea is change ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha-)$ of form $(\mu \circ \rho \varphi \eta)$. It really presents the essence of a thing as separate from the $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha$ (fashion), the outward accident. So in Ro 12:2 Paul uses both verbs, $\sigma v \nu \sigma \chi \varepsilon \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ (be not fashioned) and $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu о \rho \varphi о \nu \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ (be ye transformed in your inner life). So in 1Co 7:31 $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha$ is used for the fashion of the world while in $\mathrm{Mr} 16: 12 \mu \circ \rho \varphi \eta$ is used of the form of Jesus after his resurrection. The false apostles are described by $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma о \mu \alpha$ in 2Co 11:13-15. In Php 2:6 we have $\varepsilon v \mu о \rho \varphi \eta$ used of the Preincarnate state of Christ and $\mu о \rho \varphi \eta \nu \delta o u \lambda o v$ of the Incarnate state (Php 2:7), while $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \omega \varsigma$ $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma$ emphasizes his being found "in fashion as a man." But it will not do in Mt 17:2 to use the English transliteration $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu о \rho \varphi \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma$ because of its pagan associations. So the Latin transfigured (Vulgate transfiguratus est) is better. "The deeper force of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu о \rho \varphi о v \sigma \theta \alpha$ is seen in 2Co 3:18 (with reference to the shining on Moses' face), Ro 12:2" (McNeile). The word occurs in a second-century papyrus of the pagan gods who are invisible. Matthew guards against the pagan idea by adding and explaining about the face of Christ "as the sun" and his garments "as the light."

## Matt 17:3

There appeared $(\omega \varphi \theta \eta)$. Singular aorist passive verb with Moses (to be understood also with Elijah), but the participle $\sigma v \nu \lambda a \lambda o u v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ is plural agreeing with both. "Sufficient objectivity is guaranteed by the vision being enjoyed by all three" (Bruce). The Jewish apocalypses reveal popular expectations that Moses and Elijah would reappear. Both had mystery connected with their deaths. One represented law, the other prophecy, while Jesus represented the gospel (grace). They spoke of his decease (Lu 9:31), the cross, the theme uppermost in the mind of Christ and which the disciples did not comprehend. Jesus needed comfort and he gets it from fellowship with Moses and Elijah.

## Matt 17:4

And Peter answered ( $\alpha \pi о \kappa \rho \iota \theta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon$ о Пع $\varnothing \rho \circ \varsigma$ ). "Peter to the front again, but not greatly to his credit" (Bruce). It is not clear what Peter means by his saying: "It is good for us to be here" ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda о \nu \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma \omega \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \nu \alpha)$. Luke (Lu 9:33 ) adds "not knowing what he said," as they "were heavy with sleep." So it is not well to take Peter too seriously on this occasion. At any rate he makes a definite proposal.

I will make $(\pi \alpha ı \eta \sigma \omega)$. Future indicative though aorist subjunctive has same form.
Tabernacles (бкףvaৎ), booths. The Feast of Tabernacles was not far away. Peter may have meant that they should just stay up here on the mountain and not go to Jerusalem for the feast.

Matt 17:5
Overshadowed ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \iota \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). They were up in cloud-land that swept round and over them. See this verb used of Mary (Lu 1:35) and of Peter's shadow (Ac 5:15).

This is (ovtoc $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. At the baptism (Mt 3:17) these words were addressed to Jesus. Here the voice out of the bright cloud speaks to them about Jesus.

Hear ye him (aкоиعтє avtov). Even when he speaks about his death. A sharp rebuke to Peter for his consolation to Jesus about his death.

Matt 17:7
And touched them ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \psi \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Tenderness in their time of fear.
Matt 17:8
Lifting up their eyes ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \tau о v \varsigma о \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu о \nu \varsigma \alpha v \tau \omega \nu)$. After the reassuring touch of Jesus and his words of cheer.

Jesus only (I $\eta$ oovv $\mu \mathrm{ovov}$ ). Moses and Elijah were gone in the bright cloud.
Matt 17:9
Until ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \mathrm{ov}$ ). This conjunction is common with the subjunctive for a future event as his Resurrection ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \rho \theta \eta$ ) was. Again (Mr 9:10) they were puzzled over his meaning. Jesus evidently hopes that this vision of Moses and Elijah and his own glory might stand them in good stead at his death.

Matt 17:10
Elijah must first come (E $\lambda \varepsilon ı \alpha v \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon ı v \pi \rho \omega \tau o v$ ). So this piece of theology concerned them more than anything else. They had just seen Elijah, but Jesus the Messiah had come before Elijah. The scribes used Mal 4:5. Jesus had also spoken again of his death (resurrection). So they are puzzled.

Matt 17:12
Elijah is come already (E $\lambda \varepsilon \iota a \varsigma \eta \delta \eta \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Thus Jesus identifies John the Baptist with the promise in Malachi, though not the real Elijah in person which John denied (Joh 1:21 ).

They knew him not（ovk eпıүvตбav autov）．Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \downarrow \iota \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ ，to recognize．Just as they do not know Jesus now（Joh 1：26）．They killed John as they will Jesus the Son of Man．

Matt 17：13
Then understood（ $\tau о \tau \varepsilon \sigma \cup v \eta \kappa \alpha v$ ）．One of the three $k$ aorists．It was plain enough even for them．John was Elijah in spirit and had prepared the way for the Messiah．

Matt 17：15
Epileptic（ $\sigma \varepsilon \lambda \eta v i \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$ ．Literally，＂moonstruck，＂＂lunatic．＂The symptoms of epilepsy were supposed to be aggravated by the changes of the moon（cf．4：24）．

He has it bad（ $\kappa \alpha \kappa \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon$ ）as often in the Synoptic Gospels．
Matt 17：17
Perverse（ $\delta \iota \varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon v \eta)$ ．Distorted，twisted in two，corrupt．Perfect passive participle of $\delta \iota \propto \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$ ．

Matt 17：20
Little faith（o入ıүomı⿱宀兀ıv）．A good translation．It was less than＂a grain of mustard seed＂（коккоv $\sigma v a \pi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$ ．See 13：31 for this phrase．They had no miracle faith．Bruce holds ＂this mountain＂to be the Mount of Transfiguration to which Jesus pointed．Probably so． But it is a parable．Our trouble is always with＂this mountain＂which confronts our path． Note the form $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha$（ $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ and $\beta \eta \theta$ ）．

Matt 17：23
And they were exceeding sorry（ $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \lambda \nu \pi \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v \sigma \varphi \rho \delta \rho \alpha$ ）．So they at last understood that he was talking about his death and resurrection．

Matt 17：24
They that received the half－shekel（o $\tau \alpha \delta \iota \delta \rho \alpha \not \mu \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ．This temple tax amounted to an Attic drachma or the Jewish half－shekel，about one－third of a dollar．Every Jewish man twenty years of age and over was expected to pay it for the maintenance of the temple．But it was not a compulsory tax like that collected by the publicans for the govern－ ment．＂The tax was like a voluntary church－rate；no one could be compelled to pay＂（Plum－ mer）．The same Greek word occurs in two Egyptian papyri of the first century A．D．for the receipt for the tax for the temple of Suchus（Milligan and Moulton＇s Vocabulary）．This tax for the Jerusalem temple was due in the month Adar（our March）and it was now nearly six months overdue．But Jesus and the Twelve had been out of Galilee most of this time．Hence the question of the tax－collectors．The payment had to be made in the Jewish coin，half－ shekel．Hence the money－changers did a thriving business in charging a small premium for the Jewish coin，amounting to some forty－five thousand dollars a year，it is estimated．It is significant that they approached Peter rather than Jesus，perhaps not wishing to embarrass ＂Your Teacher，＂＂a roundabout hint that the tax was overdue＂（Bruce）．Evidently Jesus had been in the habit of paying it（Peter＇s）．

## Matt 17:25

Jesus spake first to him ( $\pi \rho \circ \varepsilon \varphi \theta \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau o v$ o $\operatorname{I\eta } \sigma o v \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega v$ ). Here only in the N.T. One example in a papyrus B.C. 161 (Moulton and Milligan, Vocabulary). The old idiomatic use of $\varphi \theta \alpha v \omega$ with the participle survives in this example of $\pi \rho o \varphi \theta \alpha v \omega$ in Mt 17:25, meaning to anticipate, to get before one in doing a thing. The Koine uses the infinitive thus with $\varphi \theta a \nu \omega$ which has come to mean simply to arrive. Here the anticipation is made plain by the use of $\pi \rho 0-$. See Robertson's Grammar, p. 1120. The "prevent" of the Authorized Version was the original idea of praevenire, to go before, to anticipate. Peter felt obliged to take the matter up with Jesus. But the Master had observed what was going on and spoke to Peter first.

Toll or tribute ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \eta \eta \kappa \eta v \sigma o v$ ). Customs or wares collected by the publicans (like $\varphi о \rho о \varsigma$, Ro 13:7) and also the capitation tax on persons, indirect and direct taxation. K $\eta v \sigma \circ \varsigma$ is the Latin census, a registration for the purpose of the appraisement of property like $\eta$ $\alpha \pi о \gamma \rho a \varphi \eta$ in Lu 2:2; Ac 5:37. By this parable Jesus as the Son of God claims exemption from the temple tax as the temple of his Father just as royal families do not pay taxes, but get tribute from the foreigners or aliens, subjects in reality.

Matt 17:26
The sons (o vio). Christ, of course, and the disciples also in contrast with the Jews. Thus a reply to Peter's prompt "Yes." Logically ( $\alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \varepsilon$ ) free from the temple tax, but practically not as he proceeds to show.

Matt 17:27
Lest we cause them to stumble (ı $\nu \alpha \mu \eta \sigma \kappa \alpha \nu \delta \alpha \lambda ı \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha v \tau o v \varsigma)$. He does not wish to create the impression that he and the disciples despise the temple and its worship. Aorist tense (punctiliar single act) here, though some MSS. have present subjunctive (linear). "A hook" ( $\alpha \gamma \kappa \iota \sigma \tau \rho \circ v$ ). The only example in the N.T. of fishing with a hook. From an unused verb $\alpha \gamma \kappa ı \zeta \omega$, to angle, and that from $\alpha \gamma \kappa о \varsigma$, a curve (so also $\alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \eta$ the inner curve of the arm, Lu 2:38 ).

First cometh up ( $\tau 0 v \alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha v \tau \alpha \pi \rho \omega \tau 0 v \tau \nexists \theta v)$. More correctly, "the first fish that cometh up."

A shekel ( $\sigma \tau \alpha \tau \eta \rho \alpha)$. Greek stater $=$ four drachmae, enough for two persons to pay the tax.

For me and thee ( $\alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \mu \circ v \kappa \alpha \sigma o v$ ). Common use of $\alpha \nu \tau$ in commercial transactions, "in exchange for." Here we have a miracle of foreknowledge. Such instances have happened. Some try to get rid of the miracle by calling it a proverb or by saying that Jesus only meant for Peter to sell the fish and thus get the money, a species of nervous anxiety to relieve Christ and the Gospel of Matthew from the miraculous. "All the attempts have been in vain which were made by the older Rationalism to put a non-miraculous meaning into these words"
(B. Weiss). It is not stated that Peter actually caught such a fish though that is the natural implication. Why provision is thus only made for Peter along with Jesus we do not know.

## Matthew 18

Matt 18:1
Who then is greatest ( $\tau \iota \varsigma \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta \omega \nu \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). The $\alpha \rho \alpha$ seems to point back to the taxcollection incident when Jesus had claimed exemption for them all as "sons" of the Father. But it was not a new dispute, for jealousy had been growing in their hearts. The wonderful words of Jesus to Peter on Mount Hermon (Mt 16:17-19) had evidently made Peter feel a fresh sense of leadership on the basis of which he had dared even to rebuke Jesus for speaking of his death ( 16:22). And then Peter was one of the three (James and John also) taken with the Master up on the Mount of Transfiguration. Peter on that occasion had spoken up promptly. And just now the tax-had singled out Peter as the one who seemed to represent the group. Mark (Mr 9:33) represents Jesus as asking them about their dispute on the way into the house, perhaps just after their question in Mt 18:1. Jesus had noticed the wrangling. It will break out again and again (Mt 20:20-28; Lu 22:24 ). Plainly the primacy of Peter was not yet admitted by the others. The use of the comparative $\mu \varepsilon ı \zeta \omega v$ (so o $\mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta \omega \nu$ in verse 4) rather than the superlative $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \circ$, is quite in accord with the Koine idiom where the comparative is displacing the superlative (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 667ff.). But it is a sad discovery to find the disciples chiefly concerned about their own places (offices) in the political kingdom which they were expecting.

Matt 18:2
Called to him ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma)$. Indirect middle voice aorist participle. It may even be Peter's "little child" ( $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \nu$ ) as it was probably in Peter's house (Mr 9:33 ).

Set him ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v)$. Transitive first aorist active indicative, not intransitive second aorist, $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta$.

In the midst of them ( $\varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \sigma \omega \alpha v \tau \omega \nu)$. Luke adds (Lu 9:47) "by his side" ( $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). Both are true.

Matt 18:3
Except ye turn and become ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \mu \eta \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon)$. Third-class condition, undetermined but with prospect of determination. $\Sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon$ is second aorist passive subjunctive and $\gamma \varepsilon v \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ second aorist middle subjunctive. They were headed in the wrong direction with their selfish ambition. "His tone at this time is markedly severe, as much as when He denounces the Pharisaism in the bud He had to deal with" (Bruce). The strong double negative ov $\mu \eta \varepsilon เ \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ means that they will otherwise not get into the kingdom of heaven at all, let alone have big places in it.

Matt 18:4
This little child ( $\tau 0 \pi \alpha \iota \delta \circ v \tau o v \tau o)$. This saying about humbling oneself Jesus repeated a number of times as for instance in Mt 23:12. Probably Jesus pointed to the child by his side. The ninth-century story that the child was Ignatius is worthless. It is not that the child
humbled himself, but that the child is humble from the nature of the case in relation to older persons. That is true, however "bumptious" the child himself may be. Bruce observes that to humble oneself is "the most difficult thing in the world for saint as for sinner."

Matt 18:5
In my name ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \omega$ ovo $\mu \alpha \tau \mu v$ ). For "one such little child" ( $\alpha v \psi \beta \varepsilon \lambda ı \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \rho$ ıv X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau$ ) Luke (Lu 9:48) has "this little child" as a representative or symbol. "On the basis or ground of my name," "for my sake." Very much like $\varepsilon ו \varsigma ~ o v o \mu \alpha$ in 10:41 which does not differ greatly from $\varepsilon v$ ovouat (Ac 10:48).

Matt 18:6
These little ones ( $\tau \omega \vee \mu \kappa \rho \omega \nu \tau 0 \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ). In the same sense as "one such little one" above. The child is the type of believers.

A great millstone ( $\mu \nu \lambda$ oc oviкoৎ), literally, "a millstone turned by an ass." The upper millstone was turned by an ass (ovoc). There were no examples of the adjective oviкos (turned by an ass) outside the N.T. until the papyri revealed several for loads requiring an ass to carry them, stones requiring an ass to move them, etc. Deissmann (Light from the Ancient East, p. 81) notes it also in papyri examples about the sale of an ass and tax for an ass's burden of goods.

The depth of the sea ( $\tau \omega \pi \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \tau \eta \varsigma \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta \varsigma)$. "The sea of the sea." Пॄ $\lambda \alpha \gamma \circ \varsigma$ probably from $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma 0$, to beat, and so the beating, splashing waves of the sea. "Far out into the open sea, a vivid substitute for $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu "$ (McNeile).

## Matt 18:7

Through whom ( $\delta \imath^{\prime}$ ov). Jesus recognizes the inevitableness of stumbling-blocks, traps, hindrances, the world being as it is, but he does not absolve the man who sets the trap (cf. Lu 17:1).

Matt 18:8
In verses 8 and 9 we have one of the dualities or doublets in Matthew ( 5:29-30). Jesus repeated his pungent sayings many times. Instead of $\varepsilon ા \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon v v a v$ ( $5: 29$ ) we have $\varepsilon$ וֹ to $\pi \cup \rho$ $\tau 0 \alpha \omega v$ ov and at the end of verse 9 tov $\pi \nu \rho o s$ is added to $\tau \eta \nu \gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon v v a v$. This is the first use in Matthew of aı $\omega v$ voc. We have it again in 19:16,29 with $\zeta$ oך, in 25:41 with $\pi v \rho$, in 25:46 with ко入aбıv and $\zeta \circ \eta v$. The word means ageless, without beginning or end as of God (Ro 16:26), without beginning as in Ro 16:25, without end as here and often. The effort to make it mean "acoviav" fire will make it mean "acoviav" life also. If the punishment is limited, $i p s o$ facto the life is shortened. In verse 9 also $\mu$ ovo $\varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \sigma v$ occurs. It is an Ionic compound in Herodotus that is condemned by the Atticists, but it is revived in the vernacular Koine. Literally one-eyed. Here only and Mr 9:47 in the New Testament.

Matt 18:10
Despise ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varphi \rho \circ \vee \eta \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon)$. Literally, "think down on," with the assumption of superiority.

Their angels (o a $\gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). The Jews believed that each nation had a guardian angel (Da 10:13,20f.; 12:1). The seven churches in Revelation (Re 1:20) have angels, each of them, whatsoever the meaning is. Does Jesus mean to teach here that each little child or child of faith had a special angel who appears in God's presence, "see the face of my Father" ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \sigma v \sigma \iota \nu \tau$ т $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \nu$ тov $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma \mu \circ v$ ) in special intimacy? Or does he simply mean that the angels do take an interest in the welfare of God's people (Heb $1: 14$ )? There is comfort to us in that thought. Certainly Jesus means that the Father takes special care of his "little ones" who believe in Him. There are angels in God's presence (Lu 1:19).

Matt 18:12
Leave the ninety and nine $(\alpha \varphi \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \nu \eta \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \varepsilon \nu \nu \varepsilon \alpha \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha$ ор $\kappa \alpha \pi о \rho \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ тo $\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \omega \mu \varepsilon v o v ?$ ). This is the text of Westcott and Hort after BL, etc. This text means: "Will he not leave the ninety and nine upon the mountains and going does he not seek (change to present tense) the wandering one?" On the high pastures where the sheep graze at will one has wandered afield. See this parable later in Lu 15:4-7. Our word "planet" is from $\pi \lambda \alpha v \alpha o \mu \alpha$, wandering (moving) stars they were called as opposed to fixed stars. But now we know that no stars are fixed. They are all moving and rapidly.

Matt 18:14
The will of your Father $(\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \varepsilon \mu \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \varepsilon v)$. Observe that Westcott and Hort read $\mu \mathrm{v}$ here rather than $\nu \mu \omega \nu$ after B Sahidic Coptic. Either makes good sense, though "your" carries on the picture of God's care for "each one of these little ones" ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu \mu \kappa \rho \omega \nu \tau o v \tau \omega \nu$ ) among God's children. The use of $\varepsilon \mu \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \varepsilon v$ with $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ is a Hebraism like $\varepsilon \mu \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \varepsilon v$ oov in 11:25 with $\varepsilon \cup \delta$ окıa, "before the face" of God.

Matt 18:15
If thy brother sin against thee ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \sigma \eta \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \circ \varsigma \sigma o v)$. Literally, commit a sin (ingressive aorist subjunctive of $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v \omega$ ). Aleph B Sahidic do not have "against thee" (દાऽ $\sigma \varepsilon)$.

Shew him his fault ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \xi$ ov). Such private reproof is hard to do, but it is the way of Christ.

Thou hast gained ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. Aorist active indicative of $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \alpha \iota \nu \omega$ in conclusion of a third-class condition, a sort of timeless aorist, a blessed achievement already made.

Matt 18:16
Take with thee ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sigma o v)$. Take alone ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ) with ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ) thee.
Matt 18:17
Refuse to hear ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa о \cup \sigma \eta)$. Like Isa 65:12. Many papyri examples for ignoring, disregarding, hearing without heeding, hearing aside ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$ ), hearing amiss, overhearing ( Mr 5:36 ).

The church ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ ). The local body, not the general as in Mt 16:18 which see for discussion. The problem here is whether Jesus has in mind an actual body of believers
already in existence or is speaking prophetically of the local churches that would be organized later (as in Acts). There are some who think that the Twelve Apostles constituted a local $\varepsilon к \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \omega \alpha$, a sort of moving church of preachers. That could only be true in essence as they were a band of ministers and not located in any one place. Bruce holds that they were "the nucleus" of a local church at any rate.

Matt 18:18
Shall be bound in heaven ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \varepsilon v$ ovpav $\omega$ ). Future passive periphrastic perfect indicative as in "shall be loosed" ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \nu \mu \varepsilon v \alpha)$. In 16:19 this same unusual form occurs. The binding and the loosing is there addressed to Peter, but it is here repeated for the church or for the disciples as the case may be.

Matt 18:19
Shall agree ( $\sigma \nu \mu \varphi \omega v \eta \sigma \omega \sigma v \nu)$. Our word "symphony" is this very root. It is no longer looked at as a concord of voices, a chorus in harmony, though that would be very appropriate in a church meeting rather than the rasping discord sometimes heard even between two brethren or sisters.

Of my Father ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ тоv $\pi \alpha \tau \rho о \varsigma \mu о v$ ). From the side of, "by my Father."
Matt 18:20
There am I ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu)$. This blessed promise implies that those gathered together are really disciples with the spirit of Christ as well as "in his name" ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \varepsilon \mu о v ~ о v o \mu \alpha) . ~ O n e ~ o f ~$ the Oxyrhynchus Sayings of Our Lord is: "Wherever there are (two) they are not without God, and wherever there is one alone I say I am with him." Also this: "Raise the stone and there thou shalt find me, cleave the wood and there am I." See Mal 3:16 .

Matt 18:21
Until seven times? ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \kappa ı \sigma$ ?) Peter thought that he was generous as the Jewish rule was three times (Am 1:6). His question goes back to verse 15. "Against me" is genuine here. "The man who asks such a question does not really know what forgiveness means" (Plummer).

Matt 18:22
Until seventy times seven ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \beta \delta$ оипкоขтакı $\varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha)$ ) It is not clear whether this idiom means seventy-seven or as the Revised Version has it ( 490 times). If $\varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \kappa \iota \varsigma$ were written it would clearly be 490 times. The same ambiguity is seen in Ge $4: 24$, the LXX text by omitting ка. In the Test. of the Twelve Patriarchs, Benj. vii. 4, it is used in the sense of seventy times seven. But it really makes little difference because Jesus clearly means unlimited forgiveness in either case. "The unlimited revenge of primitive man has given place to the unlimited forgiveness of Christians" (McNeile).

Matt 18:23
Make a reckoning ( $\sigma v v a \rho \alpha$ $\lambda o \gamma o v$ ). Seen also in 25:19. Perhaps a Latinism, rationes conferre. First aorist active infinitive of $\sigma v v a \iota \rho \omega$, to cast up accounts, to settle, to compare
accounts with. Not in ancient Greek writers, but in two papyri of the second century A.D. in the very sense here and the substantive appears in an ostracon from Nubia of the early third century (Deissmann, Light from the Ancient East, p. 117).

Matt 18:24
Ten thousand talents ( $\mu \nu \rho I \omega v \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). A talent was 6,000 denarii or about a thousand dollars or 240 pounds. Ten thousand times this is about ten or twelve million dollars, an enormous sum for that period. We live today in the age of national debts of billions of dollars or even of pounds sterling. The imperial taxes of Judea, Idumea, and Samaria for one year were only 600 talents while Galilee and Perea paid 200 (Josephus, Ant. xi. 4). But oriental kings were free in the use of money and in making debts like the native kings of India today.

## Matt 18:25

Had not wherewith to pay ( $\mu \eta$ घ $\chi$ оvтoৎ autov $\alpha \pi o \delta o u v \alpha$ ). There is no "wherewith" in the Greek. This idiom is seen in Lu 7:42; 14:14; Heb 6:13. Genitive absolute though autov in the same clause as often in the N.T.

To be sold ( $\pi \rho \alpha \theta \eta v \alpha$ ). First aorist passive infinitive of $\pi \iota \pi \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega$. This was according to the law (Ex 22:3; Le 25:39,47 ). Wife and children were treated as property in those primitive times.

Matt 18:27
The debt ( $\tau \mathrm{o} \delta \alpha v \mathrm{v} \boldsymbol{v}$ ). The loan. Common in the papyri for a loan. The interest had increased the debt enormously. "This heavy oriental usury is of the scenery of the parable" (McNeile).

Matt 18:28
A hundred pence ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau о v \delta \eta v \alpha \rho ı \alpha)$. A denarius was worth about eight and a half pence. The hundred denarii here were equal to some "fifty shillings" (Bruce), "about 4 pounds" (McNeile), "twenty pounds" (Moffatt), "twenty dollars" (Goodspeed), "100 shillings" (Weymouth). These are various efforts to represent in modern language the small amount of this debt compared with the big one.

Took him by the throat ( $\varepsilon \pi v / \gamma \varepsilon v)$. "Held him by the throat" (Allen). It is imperfect, probably inchoative, "began to choke or throttle him." The Roman law allowed this indignity. Vincent quotes Livy (iv. 53) who tells how the necks were twisted (collum torsisset) and how Cicero (Pro Cluentio, xxi.) says: "Lead him to the judgment seat with twisted neck (collo obtorto)."

What thou owest ( $\varepsilon \tau$ o¢عı $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Literally, "if thou owest anything," however little. He did not even know how much it was, only that he owed him something. "The 'if' is simply the expression of a pitiless logic" (Meyer).

Matt 18:30
And he would not (o $\delta \varepsilon$ ovk $\eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \nu$ ). Imperfect tense of persistent refusal.

Till he should pay $(\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha \pi \sigma \delta \omega)$. This futuristic aorist subjunctive is the rule with $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ for a future goal. He was to stay in prison till he should pay. "He acts on the instinct of a base nature, and also doubtless in accordance with long habits of harsh tyrannical behaviour towards men in his power" (Bruce). On imprisonment for debt among the Greeks and Romans see Deissmann, Light from the Ancient East, pp. 270,330.

Matt 18:31
Told ( $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \varphi \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Made wholly clear to their own lord. That is the usual result in the long run. There is a limit to what people will put up with.

Matt 18:33
Shouldst thou not? (ovk $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon$ ?) "Was it not necessary?" The king fits the cap on this wicked slave that he put on the poor debtor.

Matt 18:34
The tormentors ( $\tau \circ \iota \varsigma \beta \alpha \sigma \alpha v ı \sigma \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma) . ~ N o t ~ t o ~ p r i s o n ~ s i m p l y, ~ b u t ~ t o ~ t e r r i b l e ~ p u n i s h m e n t . ~$ The papyri give various instances of the verb $\beta \alpha \sigma \alpha v \iota \zeta \omega$, to torture, used of slaves and others. "Livy (ii. 23) pictures an old centurion complaining that he was taken by his creditor, not into servitude, but to a workhouse and torture, and showing his back scarred with fresh wounds" (Vincent).

Till he should pay all ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma[o v] \alpha \pi o \delta \omega \pi \alpha v$ ). Just as in verse 30 , his very words. But this is not purgatorial, but punitive, for he could never pay back that vast debt.

Matt 18:35
From your hearts ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \rho \delta t \omega \nu \nu \mu \omega \nu$ ). No sham or lip pardon, and as often as needed. This is Christ's full reply to Peter's question in 18:21. This parable of the unmerciful servant is surely needed today.

## Matthew 19

Matt 19:1
He departed ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon v$ ). Literally, to lift up, change something to another place. Transitive in the LXX and in a Cilician rock inscription. Intransitive in 13:53 and here, the only N.T. instances. Absence of o七 or ка after ка $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \tau о$, one of the clear Hebraisms in the N.T. (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 1042f.). This verse is a sort of formula in Matthew at the close of important groups of $\lambda \mathrm{o} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { t }}$ a as in 7:28; 11:1; 13:53.

The borders of Judea beyond Jordan ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha$ орıа $\tau \eta \varsigma$ Iov $\alpha a \alpha \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \rho a v \tau о v$ Io $\rho \delta \alpha v o v$ ). This is a curious expression. It apparently means that Jesus left Galilee to go to Judea by way of Perea as the Galileans often did to avoid Samaria. Luke (Lu 17:11) expressly says that he passed through Samaria and Galilee when he left Ephraim in Northern Judea (Joh 11:54 ). He was not afraid to pass through the edge of Galilee and down the Jordan Valley in Perea on this last journey to Jerusalem. McNeile is needlessly opposed to the trans-Jordanic or Perean aspect of this phase of Christ's work.

Matt 19:3
Pharisees tempting him (Фарıбаıо $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \zeta о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \nu \tau о v)$. They "could not ask a question of Jesus without sinister motives" (Bruce). See 4:1 for the word ( $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho a \zeta \omega)$.

For every cause ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha v \alpha \iota \tau \iota \alpha v$ ). This clause is an allusion to the dispute between the two theological schools over the meaning of De 24:1. The school of Shammai took the strict and unpopular view of divorce for unchastity alone while the school of Hillel took the liberal and popular view of easy divorce for any passing whim if the husband saw a prettier woman (modern enough surely) or burnt his biscuits for breakfast. It was a pretty dilemma and meant to do Jesus harm with the people. There is no real trouble about the use of ката here in the sense of $\pi \rho \circ \pi \tau \varepsilon \rho$ or because of (Robertson, Grammar, p. 509).

Matt 19:5
Shall cleave ( $\kappa о \lambda \lambda \eta \forall \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. First future passive, "shall be glued to," the verb means.
The twain shall become one flesh ( $\varepsilon \sigma о v \tau \alpha$ о $\delta v o \varepsilon ı \varsigma \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha \mu \iota \nu$ ). This use of $\varepsilon ı \varsigma$ after $\varepsilon \mu$ is an imitation of the Hebrew, though a few examples occur in the older Greek and in the papyri. The frequency of it is due to the Hebrew and here the LXX is a direct translation of the Hebrew idiom.

Matt 19:6
What therefore God hath joined together (o ouv o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma ~ \sigma u v \varepsilon \zeta \varepsilon v \xi \varepsilon v)$. Note "what," not "whom." The marriage relation God has made. "The creation of sex, and the high doctrine as to the cohesion it produces between man and woman, laid down in Gen., interdict separation" (Bruce). The word for "joined together" means "yoked together," a common verb for marriage in ancient Greek. It is the timeless aorist indicative ( $\sigma v \nu \varepsilon \zeta \varepsilon v \xi \varepsilon v)$, true always.

Bill ( $\beta \iota \beta \lambda_{\iota} \circ v$ ). A little $\beta \iota \beta \lambda_{o}$ (see on $1: 1$ ), a scroll or document (papyrus or parchment). This was some protection to the divorced wife and a restriction on laxity.

Matt 19:8
For your hardness of heart ( $\pi \rho \rho \varsigma \tau \eta v \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha v \nu \mu \omega v$ ). The word is apparently one of the few Biblical words (LXX and the N.T.). It is a heart dried up ( $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \varsigma$ ), hard and tough.

But from the beginning it hath not been so ( $\alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma \delta \varepsilon$ ouk $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \varepsilon v$ ovt $\alpha \varsigma$ ). The present perfect active of $\gamma \iota v o \mu \alpha$ to emphasize the permanence of the divine ideal. "The original ordinance has never been abrogated nor superseded, but continues in force" (Vincent). "How small the Pharisaic disputants must have felt in presence of such holy teaching, which soars above the partisan view of controversialists into the serene region of ideal, universal, eternal truth" (Bruce).

Matt 19:9
 Westcott and Hort which also adds "maketh her an adulteress" ( $\pi \circ \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \mu \circ \chi \varepsilon \cup \theta \eta \nu \alpha$ ) and also these words: "and he that marrieth her when she is put away committeth adultery" ( $\kappa \alpha$ o $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \nu \mu \varepsilon v \eta \nu \gamma \alpha \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma \mu о \chi \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). There seems to be a certain amount of assimilation in various manuscripts between this verse and the words in 5:32. But, whatever reading is accepted here, even the short one in Westcott and Hort ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \pi \pi \circ \rho v \varepsilon ⿺ \alpha$, not for fornication), it is plain that Matthew represents Jesus in both places as allowing divorce for fornication
 Here, as in 5:31f. , a group of scholars deny the genuineness of the exception given by Matthew alone. McNeile holds that "the addition of the saving clause is, in fact, opposed to the spirit of the whole context, and must have been made at a time when the practice of divorce for adultery had already grown up." That in my opinion is gratuitous criticism which is unwilling to accept Matthew's report because it disagrees with one's views on the subject of divorce. He adds: "It cannot be supposed that Matthew wished to represent Jesus as siding with the school of Shammai." Why not, if Shammai on this point agreed with Jesus? Those who deny Matthew's report are those who are opposed to remarriage at all. Jesus by implication, as in 5:31, does allow remarriage of the innocent party, but not of the guilty one. Certainly Jesus has lifted the whole subject of marriage and divorce to a new level, far beyond the petty contentions of the schools of Hillel and Shammai.

Matt 19:10
The disciples say unto him ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma o v \sigma \iota v \alpha v \tau \omega$ o $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha$ ). "Christ's doctrine on marriage not only separated Him toто $\chi \alpha \varepsilon \lambda$ o from Pharisaic opinions of all shades, but was too high even for the Twelve" (Bruce).

The case ( $\eta \alpha \iota \tau \iota \alpha)$. The word may refer to the use in verse 3 "for every cause." It may have a vague idea here $=\rho \varepsilon \varsigma$, condition. But the point clearly is that "it is not expedient to
marry" (ov $\sigma \nu \mu \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \mu \eta \sigma \alpha$ ) if such a strict view is held. If the bond is so tight a man had best not commit matrimony. It is a bit unusual to have $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ oc and $\gamma v v \eta$ contrasted rather than $\alpha v \eta \rho$ and $\gamma u v \eta$.

Matt 19:11
But they to whom it is given ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oıs $\delta \varepsilon \delta o \tau \alpha$ ). A neat Greek idiom, dative case of relation and perfect passive indicative. The same idea is repeated at the close of verse 12. It is a voluntary renunciation of marriage for the sake of the kingdom of heaven. "Jesus recognizes the severity of the demand as going beyond the capacity of all but a select number." It was a direct appeal to the spiritual intelligence of the disciples not to misconceive his meaning as certainly the monastic orders have done.

Matt 19:13
Rebuked them ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \tau \mu\rceil \sigma \varepsilon$ avtoıc). No doubt people did often crowd around Jesus for a touch of his hand and his blessing. The disciples probably felt that they were doing Jesus a kindness. How little they understood children and Jesus. It is a tragedy to make children feel that they are in the way at home and at church. These men were the twelve apostles and yet had no vision of Christ's love for little children. The new child world of today is due directly to Jesus.

Matt 19:14
Suffer ( $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). "Leave them alone." Second aorist active imperative.
Forbid them not ( $\mu \eta \kappa \omega \lambda \nu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). "Stop hindering them." The idiom of $\mu \eta$ with the present imperative means just that.

Of such ( $\tau \omega v$ totov $\tau \omega v$ ). The childlike as in 18:3f.
Matt 19:16
What good thing ( $\tau$ a $\gamma \alpha \theta$ ov). Mark (Mr 10:17) has the adjective "good" with "Teacher."
May have ( $\sigma \chi \omega$ ). Ingressive aorist subjunctive, "may get," "may acquire."
Matt 19:17
Concerning that which is good ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ тov $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta o v$ ). He had asked Jesus in verse 16 "what good thing" he should do. He evidently had a light idea of the meaning of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ oc. "This was only a teacher's way of leading on a pupil" (Bruce). So Jesus explains that "One there is who is good," one alone who is really good in the absolute sense.

Matt 19:20
What lack I yet? ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau v \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega$ ?) Here is a psychological paradox. He claims to have kept all these commandments and yet he was not satisfied. He had an uneasy conscience and Jesus called him to something that he did not have. He thought of goodness as quantitative (a series of acts) and not qualitative (of the nature of God). Did his question reveal proud complacency or pathetic despair? A bit of both most likely.

Matt 19:21

If thou wouldest be perfect ( $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ı \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \rho \varsigma \varepsilon เ v \alpha$ ). Condition of the first class, determined as fulfilled. Jesus assumes that the young man really desires to be perfect (a big adjective that, perfect as God is the goal, 5:48).

That thou hast ( $\sigma o v \tau \alpha \cup \pi \alpha \rho \chi 0 v \tau \alpha$ ). "Thy belongings." The Greek neuter plural participle used like our English word "belongings." It was a huge demand, for he was rich.

Matt 19:22
Went away sorrowful ( $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v \lambda \lambda \tau \pi o \cup \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. "Went away grieved." He felt that Jesus had asked too much of him. He worshipped money more than God when put to the test. Does Jesus demand this same test of every one? Not unless he is in the grip of money. Different persons are in the power of different sins. One sin is enough to keep one away from Christ.

Matt 19:23
It is hard ( $\delta v \sigma \kappa о \lambda \omega \varsigma)$. With difficulty. Adverb from $\delta v \sigma \kappa о \lambda o \varsigma$, hard to find food, fastidious, faultfinding, then difficult.

Matt 19:24
It is easier for a camel to go through a needle's eye ( $\varepsilon ย \kappa о \pi \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v ~ к \alpha \mu \eta \lambda о v \delta \iota \alpha$ $\tau \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma \rho \alpha \varphi \iota \delta о \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \iota v)$. Jesus, of course, means by this comparison, whether an eastern proverb or not, to express the impossible. The efforts to explain it away are jejune like a ship's cable, кадı入ov or papı̧ as a narrow gorge or gate of entrance for camels which recognized stooping, etc. All these are hopeless, for Jesus pointedly calls the thing "impossible" (verse 26). The Jews in the Babylonian Talmud did have a proverb that a man even in his dreams did not see an elephant pass through the eye of a needle (Vincent). The Koran speaks of the wicked finding the gates of heaven shut "till a camel shall pass through the eye of a needle." But the Koran may have got this figure from the New Testament. The word for an ordinary needle is $\rho \alpha \varphi ı$, but, Luke (Lu 18:25) employs $\beta \varepsilon \lambda$ ov $\eta$, the medical term for the surgical needle not elsewhere in the N.T.

Matt 19:25
Were astonished ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma o v \tau 0)$. Imperfect descriptive of their blank amazement. They were literally "struck out."

Matt 19:26
Looking on them ( $\varepsilon \mu \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \alpha \varsigma)$. Jesus saw their amazement.
Matt 19:27
What then shall we have? ( $\tau \alpha \rho \alpha \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \eta \mu v$ ?) A pathetic question of hopeless lack of comprehension.

Matt 19:28
In the regeneration ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \pi \alpha \lambda เ v \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \iota \alpha$ ). The new birth of the world is to be fulfilled when Jesus sits on his throne of glory. This word was used by the Stoics and the Pythagoreans. It is common also in the mystery religions (Angus, Mystery Religions and Christianity, pp.

95ff.). It is in the papyri also. We must put no fantastic ideas into the mouth of Jesus. But he did look for the final consummation of his kingdom. What is meant by the disciples also sitting on twelve thrones is not clear.

Matt 19:29
 manifold. Eternal life is the real reward.

Matt 19:30
The last first and the first last (о єб $\chi \alpha \tau о ~ \pi \rho \omega \tau о ~ к \alpha ~ о ~ \pi \rho \omega \tau о ~ \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau о$ ). This paradoxical enigma is probably in the nature of a rebuke to Peter and refers to ranks in the kingdom. There are many other possible applications. The following parable illustrates it.

## Matthew 20

Matt 20:1
For $(\gamma \alpha \rho)$. The parable of the house illustrates the aphorism in 19:30.
A man that is a householder $(\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega$ otко $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \pi о \tau \eta)$. Just like $\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon$ ( 18:23). Not necessary to translate $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega$, just "a householder."

Early in the morning $(\alpha \mu \alpha \pi \rho \omega)$. A classic idiom. Ha $\alpha \alpha$ as an "improper" preposition is common in the papyri. $\Pi \rho \omega$ is just an adverb in the locative. At the same time with early dawn, break of day, country fashion for starting to work.

To hire ( $\mu \tau \sigma \omega \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha)$. The middle voice aorist tense, to hire for oneself.
Matt 20:2
For a penny a day ( $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \eta v a \rho ı v \tau \eta \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu$ ). See on 18:28. "Penny" is not adequate, "shilling" Moffatt has it. The $\varepsilon \kappa$ with the ablative represents the agreement ( $\sigma v \vee \varphi \omega \vee \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ ) with the workmen $(\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \tau \omega v)$. "The day" the Greek has it, an accusative of extent of time.

## Matt 20:3

Standing in the marketplace idle ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \tau \alpha \varsigma \alpha \gamma о \rho \alpha \alpha \rho \gamma o v \varsigma)$. The market place was the place where men and masters met for bargaining. At Hamadan in Persia, Morier in Second Journey through Persia, as cited by Trench in his Parables, says: "We observed every morning, before the sun rose, that a numerous band of peasants were collected, with spades in their hands, waiting to be hired for the day to work in the surrounding fields."

Matt 20:4
 just proportionate wage. Indefinite relative with subjunctive $\varepsilon \alpha v=\alpha v$.

Matt 20:6
All the day idle ( $o \lambda \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu \alpha \rho \gamma o$ ). Extent of time (accusative) again. A $\rho \gamma o$ is $a$ privative and $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ \nu$, work, no work. The problem of the unemployed.

Matt 20:10
Every man a penny ( $\alpha \nu \alpha \delta \eta v a \rho ı \nu \kappa \alpha \alpha v \tau o$ ). Literally, "themselves also a denarius apiece" (distributive use of $\alpha v \alpha$ ). Bruce asks if this householder was a humorist when he began to pay off the last first and paid each one a denarius according to agreement. False hopes had been raised in those who came first who got only what they had agreed to receive.

Matt 20:11
They murmured ( $\varepsilon \gamma \sigma \gamma \gamma \cup \zeta o v$ ). Onomatopoetic word, the meaning suiting the sound. Our words murmur and grumble are similar. Probably here inchoative imperfect, began to grumble. It occurs in old Ionic and in the papyri.

Matt 20:12
Equal unto us (ıбovs avtovৎ $\eta \mu \nu \nu$ ). Associative instrumental case $\eta \mu \nu \nu$ after ıбovৎ. It was a regular protest against the supposed injustice of the householder.

The burden of the day and the scorching wind ( $\tau о \beta \alpha \rho о \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma ~ к \alpha ~ \tau о \nu ~ к \alpha \nu \sigma \omega v \alpha$ ). These last "did" work for one hour. Apparently they worked as hard as any while at it. A whole day's work on the part of these sweat-stained men who had stood also the sirocco, the hot, dry, dust-laden east wind that blasted the grain in Pharaoh's dream (Ge 41:6), that withered Jonah's gourd (Jon 4:8), that blighted the vine in Ezekiel's parable (Eze 17:10). They seemed to have a good case.

Matt 20:13
To one of them ( $\varepsilon v \alpha u \tau \omega v$ ). Evidently the spokesman of the group. "Friend" ( $\varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon)$. Comrade. So a kindly reply to this man in place of an address to the whole gang. Ge 31:40; Job 27:21; Ho 13:15. The word survives in modern Greek.

Matt 20:14
Take up ( $\alpha \rho o v$ ). First aorist active imperative of alpw. Pick up, as if he had saucily refused to take it from the table or had contemptuously thrown the denarius on the ground. If the first had been paid first and sent away, there would probably have been no murmuring, but "the murmuring is needed to bring out the lesson" (Plummer). The $\delta \eta v a \rho i v c$ was the common wage of a day labourer at that time.

What I will (o $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega)$. This is the point of the parable, the will of the householder.
With mine own ( $\varepsilon v$ toı $\varepsilon \mu \circ \iota \varsigma$ ). In the sphere of my own affairs. There is in the Koine an extension of the instrumental use of $\varepsilon v$.

Matt 20:15
Is thine eye evil? (o o $\varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \circ \varsigma$ бov $\pi 0 v \eta \rho \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v ?$ ) See on 6:22-24 about the evil eye and the good eye. The complainer had a grudging eye while the householder has a liberal or generous eye. See Ro 5:7 for a distinction between $\delta ı \kappa \alpha \iota \rho$ and $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ os.

Matt 20:16
The last first and the first last (o ع $\sigma \chi \alpha \tau о \pi \rho \omega \tau о ~ к \alpha$ о $\pi \rho \omega \tau о \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau о$ ). The adjectives change places as compared with 19:30. The point is the same, though this order suits the parable better. After all one's work does not rest wholly on the amount of time spent on it. "Even so hath Rabbi Bun bar Chija in twenty-eight years wrought more than many studious scholars in a hundred years" (Jer. Berak. ii. 5c).

Matt 20:17
Apart ( $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \delta \delta \alpha v$ ). This is the prediction in Matthew of the cross (16:21; 17:22; 20:17 ). "Aside by themselves" (Moffatt). The verb is $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon v$. Jesus is having his inward struggle (Mr 10:32) and makes one more effort to get the Twelve to understand him.

Matt 20:19
And to crucify ( $\kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \cup \rho \omega \sigma \alpha$ ). The very word now. The details fall on deaf ears, even the point of the resurrection on the third day.

Matt 20:20

Then ( $\tau 0 \tau \varepsilon$ ). Surely an inopportune time for such a request just after the pointed prediction of Christ's crucifixion. Perhaps their minds had been preoccupied with the words of Jesus ( 19:28) about their sitting on twelve thrones taking them in a literal sense. The mother of James and John, probably Salome, possibly a sister of the Master's mother (Joh 19:25), apparently prompted her two sons because of the family relationship and now speaks for them.

Asking a certain thing (aıtov $\sigma \alpha$ ). "Asking something," "plotting perhaps when their Master was predicting" (Bruce). The "something" put forward as a small matter was simply the choice of the two chief thrones promised by Jesus (19:28).

Matt 20:22
 indirect middle voice, "ask for yourselves," "a selfish request."

We are able ( $\delta v v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha)$. Amazing proof of their ignorance and self-confidence. Ambition had blinded their eyes. They had not caught the martyr spirit.

Matt 20:23
Ye shall drink ( $\pi เ \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Future middle from $\pi \iota v \omega$. Christ's cup was martyrdom. James was the first of the Twelve to meet the martyr's death (Ac 12:2) and John the last if reports are true about him. How little they knew what they were saying.

Matt 20:24
Moved with indignation ( $\eta \gamma \alpha v \alpha \kappa \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). A strong word for angry resentment. In the papyri. The ten felt that James and John had taken advantage of their relation to Jesus.

Matt 20:25
 him.

Matt 20:26
Would become great ( $o \varsigma \alpha v \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta a$ ). Jesus does not condemn the desire to become great. It is a laudable ambition. There are "great ones" ( $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda 0)$ among Christians as among pagans, but they do not "lord it over" one another (катакvрıєvovбเv), a LXX word and very expressive, or "play the tyrant" ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \xi \circ 0 \sigma 1 \alpha \zeta о 0 \sigma เ v)$, another suggestive word.

Your minister ( $\nu \mu \omega v \delta_{\iota \alpha \kappa o v o c) . ~ T h i s ~ w o r d ~ m a y ~ c o m e ~ f r o m ~}^{\delta ı \alpha}$ and кovic (dust), to raise a dust by one's hurry, and so to minister. It is a general word for servant and is used in a variety of ways including the technical sense of our "deacon" in Php. 1:1. But it more frequently is applied to ministers of the Gospel (1Co 3:5). The way to be "first" ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \circ \varsigma)$, says Jesus, is to be your "servant" ( $\delta o u \lambda o \varsigma)$, "bond-servant" (verse 27). This is a complete reversal of popular opinion then and now.

Matt 20:28
A ransom for many ( $\lambda \nu \tau \rho o v a v \tau \pi \rho \lambda \lambda \omega v$ ). The Son of man is the outstanding illustration of this principle of self-abnegation in direct contrast to the self-seeking of James and

John. The word translated "ransom" is the one commonly employed in the papyri as the price paid for a slave who is then set free by the one who bought him, the purchase money for manumitting slaves. See examples in Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary and Deissmann's Light from the Ancient East, pp. 328f. There is the notion of exchange also in the use of avt. Jesus gave his own life as the price of freedom for the slaves of sin. There are those who refuse to admit that Jesus held this notion of a substitutionary death because the word in the N.T. occurs only here and the corresponding passage in Mr 10:45. But that is an easy way to get rid of passages that contradict one's theological opinions. Jesus here rises to the full consciousness of the significance of his death for men.

Matt 20:29
From Jericho ( $\alpha \pi \mathrm{I}$ I $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon ı \chi \omega$ ). So Mr 10:46. But Luke (Lu 18:35) places the incident as they were drawing near to Jericho ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ I $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \not \chi \omega$ ). It is probable that Mark and Matthew refer to the old Jericho, the ruins of which have been discovered, while Luke alludes to the new Roman Jericho. The two blind men were apparently between the two towns. Mark (Mr 10:46 ) and Luke (Lu 18:35 ) mention only one blind man, Bartimaeus (Mark). In Kentucky there are two towns about a half mile apart both called Pleasureville (one Old Pleasureville, the other New Pleasureville).

Matt 20:30
That Jesus was passing by (ot I $\eta \sigma o u ¢ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \varepsilon$ ). These men "were sitting by the wayside" ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v o ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ~ \tau \varepsilon \nu ~ o \delta o v) ~ a t ~ t h e i r ~ r e g u l a r ~ s t a n d . ~ T h e y ~ h e a r d ~ t h e ~ c r o w d ~ y e l l i n g ~ t h a t ~ J e s u s ~$ of Nazareth was passing by ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \varepsilon$, present indicative of direct discourse retained in the indirect). It was their one opportunity, now or never. They had heard of what he had done for other blind men. They hail him as "the son of David" (the Messiah). It is just one of many such incidents when Jesus stood still and opened their eyes, so many that even the multitude was impatient with the cries of these poor men that their eyes be opened ( $\alpha v o r \gamma \omega \sigma \iota v$, second aorist passive subjunctive).

Matt 20:34
Touched their eyes ( $\eta \psi \alpha \tau 0 \tau \omega v$ o $\mu \mu \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). A synonym for $o \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \omega v$ in $\operatorname{Mr}$ 8:23 and here alone in the N.T. In the LXX and a common poetic word (Euripides) and occurs in the
 The verb $\alpha \pi \tau о \mu \alpha$ is very common in the Synoptic Gospels. The touch of Christ's hand would sooth the eyes as they were healed.

## Matthew 21

Matt 21:1
Unto Bethphage ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \operatorname{B\varepsilon } \theta \varphi \propto \gamma \eta$ ). An indeclinable Aramaic name here only in O.T. or N.T. (Mr 11:1; Lu 19:29 ). It means "house of unripe young figs." It apparently lay on the eastern slope of Olivet or at the foot of the mountain, a little further from Jerusalem than Bethany. Both Mark and Luke speak of Christ's coming "unto Bethphage and Bethany" as if Bethphage was reached first. It is apparently larger than Bethany.

Unto the Mount of Olives ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ о \rho о \varsigma ~ \tau \omega v E \lambda \alpha ı \omega v$ ). Matthew has thus three instances of $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ with Jerusalem, Mount of Olives. Mark and Luke use $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ with Mount of Olives, the Mount of Olive trees ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \iota \omega v$ from $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha\lrcorner \alpha$, olive tree), the mountain covered with olive trees.

Matt 21:2
Into the village that is over against you ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \tau \tau \eta \kappa \omega \mu \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \nu \alpha \nu \tau \nu \mu \omega \nu$ ). Another use of $\varepsilon ા c$. If it means "into" as translated, it could be Bethany right across the valley and this is probably the idea.

And a colt with her ( $\left.\kappa \alpha \pi \omega \lambda o v \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \eta \zeta\right)$. The young of any animal. Here to come with the mother and the more readily so.

Matt 21:3
The Lord (о кирья). It is not clear how the word would be understood here by those who heard the message though it is plain that Jesus applies it to himself. The word is from $\kappa \cup \rho о \varsigma$, power or authority. In the LXX it is common in a variety of uses which appear in the N.T. as master of the slave (Mt 10:24), of the harvest (9:38), of the vineyard (20:8), of the emperor (Ac 13:27), of God (Mt 11:20; 11:25) , and often of Jesus as the Messiah (Ac 10:36 ). Note Mt 8:25. This is the only time in Matthew where the words о кvрıऽ, are applied to Jesus except the doubtful passage in 28:6. A similar usage is shown by Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary and Deissmann's Light from the Ancient East. Particularly in Egypt it was applied to "the Lord Serapis" and Ptolemy and Cleopatra are called "the lords, the most great gods" (о кирıо $\theta \varepsilon о \mu \varepsilon \gamma \iota \sigma \tau о$ ). Even Herod the Great and Herod Agrippa I are addressed as "Lord King." In the west the Roman emperors are not so termed till the time of Domitian. But the Christians boldly claimed the word for Christ as Jesus is here represented as using it with reference to himself. It seems as if already the disciples were calling Jesus "Lord" and that he accepted the appellative and used it as here.

Matt 21:4
By the prophet ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ $\tau 0 v \pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau о v$ ). The first line is from Isa $62: 11$, the rest from Zec 9:9. John (Joh 12:14f. ) makes it clear that Jesus did not quote the passage himself. In Matthew it is not so plain, but probably it is his own comment about the incident. It is not Christ's intention to fulfil the prophecy, simply that his conduct did fulfil it.

Matt 21:5

The daughter of Zion ( $\tau \eta \theta v \gamma \alpha \tau \rho \Sigma \mathrm{l} \omega v$ ). Jerusalem as in Isa 22:4 (daughter of my people). So Babylon (Isa 47:1), daughter of Tyre for Tyre (Ps 45:12 ).

Riding ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa \omega \varsigma)$. Perfect active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \alpha \iota \nu \omega$, "having gone upon."
And upon a colt the foal of an ass ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi \pi \omega \lambda \circ v v i o v v \pi \zeta \zeta v \gamma \iota v)$. These words give trouble if $\kappa \alpha$ is here taken to mean "and." Fritzsche argues that Jesus rode alternately upon each animal, a possible, but needless interpretation. In the Hebrew it means by common Hebrew parallelism "upon an ass, even upon a colt." That is obviously the meaning here in Matthew. The use of $v \pi о \zeta \cup \gamma \circ 0 v$ (a beast of burden, under a yoke) for ass is common in the LXX and in the papyri (Deissmann, Bible Studies p. 161).

Matt 21:7
And he sat thereon ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \pi \alpha \nu \omega \alpha v \tau \omega \nu$ ), Mark (Mr 11:7) and Luke (Lu 19:35 ) show that Jesus rode the colt. Matthew does not contradict that, referring to the garments ( $\tau \alpha \mu \alpha \tau \iota \alpha$ ) put on the colt by "them" ( $\alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). not to the two asses. The construction is somewhat loose, but intelligible. The garments thrown on the animals were the outer garments (ı $\mu \alpha \tau \iota \alpha)$, Jesus "took his seat" ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta เ \sigma \varepsilon v$, ingressive aorist active) upon the garments.

Matt 21:8
The most part of the multitude (o $\pi \lambda \varepsilon เ \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma$ ox $\lambda \circ \varsigma$ ). See 11:20 for this same idiom, article with superlative, a true superlative (Robertson, Grammar, p. 670).

In the way $(\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \circ \delta \omega)$. This the most of the crowd did. The disciples put their garments on the asses. Note change of tenses (constative aorist $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \omega \sigma \alpha v$, descriptive imperfects $\varepsilon \kappa о \pi \tau о \nu \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \omega \nu \nu v o v$ showing the growing enthusiasm of the crowd). When the colt had passed over their garments, they would pick the garments up and spread them again before.

Matt 21:9
That went before him and that followed (о $\pi \rho о \alpha \gamma о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha v \tau о \nu \kappa \alpha$ о $\alpha \kappa о \lambda о \cup \theta о v \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Note the two groups with two articles and the present tense (linear action) and the imperfect $\varepsilon \kappa \rho \alpha \zeta o v$ "were crying" as they went.

Hosanna to the Son of David (Ho $H \alpha v \nu \alpha \tau \omega v i \omega \Delta \alpha v \varepsilon i \delta$ ). They were now proclaiming Jesus as the Messiah and he let them do it. "Hosanna" means "Save, we pray thee." They repeat words from the Hallel (Ps 148:1) and one recalls the song of the angelic host when Jesus was born (Lu 2:14). "Hosanna in the highest" (heaven) as well as here on earth.

Matt 21:10
Was stirred $(\varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \eta)$. Shaken as by an earthquake. "Even Jerusalem frozen with religious formalism and socially undemonstrative, was stirred with popular enthusiasm as by a mighty wind or by an earthquake" (Bruce).

Matt 21:12
Cast out ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v$ ). Drove out, assumed authority over "the temple of God" (probably correct text with $\operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \varepsilon o v$, though only example of the phrase). John (Joh 2:14 ) has a similar incident at the beginning of the ministry of Jesus. It is not impossible that he should repeat
it at the close after three years with the same abuses in existence again. It is amazing how short a time the work of reformers lasts. The traffic went on in the court of the Gentiles and to a certain extent was necessary. Here the tables of
the money-changers ( $\tau \omega \nu \kappa о \lambda \lambda \nu \beta \iota \sigma \tau \omega v$, from ко $\lambda \lambda \nu \beta$ оऽ, a small coin) were overturned. See on 17:24 for the need of the change for the temple tax. The doves were the poor man's offering.

Matt 21:13
A den of robbers ( $\sigma \pi \eta \lambda \alpha \iota \circ v \lambda \eta \iota \tau \omega v$ ). By charging exorbitant prices.
Matt 21:15
The children ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma \pi \alpha \iota \delta a \varsigma$ ). Masculine and probably boys who had caught the enthusiasm of the crowd.

Matt 21:16
Hearest thou (aкоияı). In a rage at the desecration of the temple by the shouts of the boys they try to shame Jesus, as responsible for it.

Thou hast perfected ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \rho \tau \iota \sigma \omega)$. The quotation is from Ps 8:3 (LXX text). See 4:21 where the same verb is used for mending nets. Here it is the timeless aorist middle indicative with the perfective use of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha-$. It was a stinging rebuke.

Matt 21:17
To Bethany ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ B \eta \forall a v ı a v$ ). House of depression or misery, the Hebrew means. But the home of Martha and Mary and Lazarus there was a house of solace and comfort to Jesus during this week of destiny. He
lodged there ( $\eta \cup \lambda \iota \sigma \theta \eta \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon$ ) whether at the Bethany home or out in the open air. It was a time of crisis for all.

Matt 21:18
He hungered ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon เ v a \sigma \varepsilon v)$. Ingressive aorist indicative, became hungry, felt hungry (Moffatt). Possibly Jesus spent the night out of doors and so had no breakfast.

Matt 21:19
A fig tree ( $\sigma \cup \kappa \eta \nu \mu \mu \nu)$ ). "A single fig tree" (Margin of Rev. Version). But $\varepsilon \not \subset \varsigma$ was often used $=\tau$ tı or like our indefinite article. See Mt 8:10; 26:69. The Greek has strictly no indefinite article as the Latin has no definite article.

Let there be no fruit from thee henceforward for ever ( $о v \mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau ~ \sigma о v ~ к а \rho \pi о \varsigma ~ \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \tau \alpha ~$ $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ a \iota \omega v \alpha)$. Strictly speaking this is a prediction, not a prohibition or wish as in Mr 11:14 (optative $\varphi$ aүo). "On you no fruit shall ever grow again" (Weymouth). The double negative ov $\mu \eta$ with the aorist subjunctive (or future indicative) is the strongest kind of negative prediction. It sometimes amounts to a prohibition like ov and the future indicative (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 926f.). The early figs start in spring before the leaves and develop after the leaves. The main fig crop was early autumn ( Mr 11:14). There should have been figs on the tree with the crop of leaves. It was a vivid object lesson. Matthew does not distin-
guish between the two mornings as Mark does (Mr 11:13,20), but says "immediately" ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha)$ twice ( $21: 19,20$ ). This word is really $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ тo $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha$ like our "on the spot" (Thayer). It occurs in the papyri in monetary transactions for immediate cash payment.

Matt 21:21
Doubt not ( $\mu \eta \delta \iota \alpha \kappa \rho 1 \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive, second-class condition. To be divided in mind, to waver, to doubt, the opposite of "faith" ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \imath v$ ), trust, confidence.

What is done to the fig tree ( $\tau о \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \sigma ט \kappa \eta \varsigma) . ~ T h e ~ G r e e k ~ m e a n s ~ " t h e ~ m a t t e r ~ o f ~ t h e ~ f i g ~$ tree," as if a slight matter in comparison with
this mountain ( $\tau \omega$ ope $\tau 0 \cup \tau \omega$ ). Removing a mountain is a bigger task than blighting a fig tree. "The cursing of the fig-tree has always been regarded as of symbolic import, the tree being in Christ's mind an emblem of the Jewish people, with a great show of religion and no fruit of real godliness. This hypothesis is very credible" (Bruce). Plummer follows Zahn in referring it to the Holy City. Certainly "this mountain" is a parable and one already reported in Mt 17:20 (cf. sycamine tree in Lk 17:6 ). Cf. Zec 17:4.

Matt 21:22
Believing ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. This is the point of the parable of the mountain, "faith in the efficacy of prayer" (Plummer).

Matt 21:24
One question ( ( opov $\varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Literally "one word" or "a word." The answer to Christ's word will give the answer to their query. The only human ecclesiastical authority that Jesus had came from John.

Matt 21:25
The baptism of John ( $\tau \mathrm{\beta} \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$ to $\mathrm{I} \omega \alpha v o v$ ). This represents his relation to Jesus who was baptized by him. At once the ecclesiastical leaders find themselves in a dilemma created by their challenge of Christ.

They reasoned with themselves ( $\delta เ \varepsilon \lambda о \gamma \zeta \zeta$ оvto). Picturesque imperfect tense describing their hopeless quandary.

Matt 21:29
I will not (ov $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$ ). So many old manuscripts, though the Vatican manuscript (B) has the order of the two sons reversed. Logically the "I, sir" ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega, \kappa v \rho เ \varepsilon)$ suits better for the second son (verse 30) with a reference to the blunt refusal of the first. So also the manuscripts differ in verse 31 between the first ( $\boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \rho \omega \tau о \varsigma$ ) and the last (o vбт $\varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$ or $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau о \varsigma)$. But the one who actually did the will of the father is the one who
repented and went ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \alpha \pi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ). This word really means "repent," to be sorry afterwards, and must be sharply distinguished from the word $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon \omega$ used 34 times in the N.T. as in Mt 3:2 and $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o l \alpha$ used 24 times as in Mt 3:8. The verb $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \mu \alpha$ occurs in the N.T. only five times (Mt 21:29,32; 27:3; 2Co 7:8; Heb 7:21 from Ps 109:4). Paul distinguishes sharply between mere sorrow and the act "repentance" which he calls $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o t a v$
(2Co 7:9). In the case of Judas (Mt 27:3) it was mere remorse. Here the boy got sorry for his stubborn refusal to obey his father and went and obeyed. Godly sorrow leads to repentance ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \iota \alpha v)$, but mere sorrow is not repentance.

Matt 21:31
Go before you ( $\pi \rho \circ \alpha \gamma o v \sigma i v)$ ). "In front of you" (Weymouth). The publicans and harlots march ahead of the ecclesiastics into the kingdom of heaven. It is a powerful indictment of the complacency of the Jewish theological leaders.

Matt 21:32
In the way of righteousness ( $\varepsilon v$ o $\delta \omega \delta$ เкaıo $\sigma v \eta \varsigma$ ). In the path of righteousness. Compare the two ways in Mt 7:13,14 and "the way of God" ( $22: 16$ ).

Matt 21:33
A hedge ( $\varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \circ v$ ). Or fence as a protection against wild beasts.
Digged a winepress ( $\omega \rho \cup \xi \varepsilon \vee \lambda \eta v o v$ ). Out of the solid rock to hold the grapes and wine as they were crushed. Such wine-vats are to be seen today in Palestine.

Built a tower ( $\omega \kappa \kappa \circ \delta o \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \pi v \rho \gamma \circ v$ ). This for the vinedressers and watchmen ( 2 Ch 26:10 ). Utmost care was thus taken. Note "a booth in a vineyard" (Isa 1:8). See also Isa
 given. The lease allowed three forms, money-rent, a proportion of the crop, or a definite amount of the produce whether it was a good or bad year. Probably the last form is that contemplated here.

Matt 21:34
His servants ( $\tau$ ouc $\delta o u \lambda$ ouc $\alpha \cup \tau o v)$. These slaves are distinguished from
the husbandmen ( $\gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma \circ$, workers of the soil) or workers of the vineyard who had leased it from the householder before he went away. The conduct of the husbandmen towards the householder's slaves portrays the behaviour of the Jewish people and the religious leaders in particular towards the prophets and now towards Christ. The treatment of God's prophets by the Jews pointedly illustrates this parable.

Matt 21:35
They will reverence my son ( $\varepsilon v \tau \rho \alpha \pi \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha$ $\tau \circ v v o v \mu o v$ ). Second future passive from $\varepsilon v \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega$, to turn at, but used transitively here as though active or middle. It is the picture of turning with respect when one worthy of it appears.

Matt 21:38
Take his inheritance ( $\sigma \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \nu \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v o \mu \mu \nu \alpha \nu \tau o v$ ). Ingressive aorist active subjunctive (hortatory, volitive) of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$. Let us get his inheritance.

Matt 21:41
He will miserably destroy those miserable men (какоия какшৎ атодєбє аuтои¢). The paronomasia or assonance is very clear. A common idiom in literary Greek. "He will put the wretches to a wretched death" (Weymouth).

Which (otivec). Who, which very ones of a different character.
Matt 21:42
The stone which ( $\left.\lambda_{1} \theta \mathrm{ov} o v\right)$. Inverse attraction of the antecedent into the case of the relative.

The builders rejected ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \delta о к \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha v$ о оккобо $о и \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. From Ps 118:22. A most telling quotation. These experts in building God's temple had rejected the corner-stone chosen by God for his own house. But God has the last word and sets aside the building experts and puts his Son as the Head of the corner. It was a withering indictment.

Matt 21:43
Shall be taken away from you ( $\alpha \rho \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \alpha \varphi^{\prime} v \mu \omega v$ ). Future passive indicative of $\alpha, \rho \omega$. It was the death-knell of the Jewish nation with their hopes of political and religious world leadership.

Matt 21:44
Shall be broken to pieces ( $\sigma v v \theta \lambda \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Some ancient manuscripts do not have this verse. But it graphically pictures the fate of the man who rejects Christ. The verb means to shatter. We are familiar with an automobile that dashes against a stone wall, a tree, or a train and the ruin that follows.

Will scatter him as dust $\left(\lambda_{ı} \kappa \eta \sigma \varepsilon\right)$. The verb was used of winnowing out the chaff and then of grinding to powder. This is the fate of him on whom this Rejected Stone falls.

Matt 21:45
Perceived ( $\varepsilon \gamma v \omega \sigma \alpha v)$. Ingressive second aorist active of $\gamma ı v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. There was no mistaking the meaning of these parables. The dullest could see the point.

Matt 21:46
Took him ( $\varepsilon \chi \chi \circ v$ ). Descriptive imperfect of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, to hold. This fear of the people was all that stayed the hands of the rabbis on this occasion. Murderous rage was in their hearts towards Jesus. People do not always grasp the application of sermons to themselves.

## Matthew 22

Matt 22:1
Again in parables ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota v \varepsilon v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta 0 \lambda \alpha ı \varsigma)$. Matthew has already given two on this occasion (The Two Sons, The Wicked Husbandmen). He alone gives this Parable of the Marriage Feast of the King's Son. It is somewhat similar to that of The Supper in Lu 14:16-23 given on another occasion. Hence some scholars consider this merely Matthew's version of the Lucan parable in the wrong place because of Matthew's habit of grouping the sayings of Jesus. But that is a gratuitous indictment of Matthew's report which definitely locates the parable here by $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu$. Some regard it as not spoken by Jesus at all, but an effort on the part of the writer to cover the sin and fate of the Jews, the calling of the Gentiles, and God's demand for righteousness. But here again it is like Jesus and suits the present occasion.

Matt 22:2
A marriage feast ( $\gamma \alpha \mu \circ v \varsigma)$. The plural, as here ( $2,3,4,9$ ), is very common in the papyri for the wedding festivities (the several acts of feasting) which lasted for days, seven in Jud 14:17. The very phrase here, $\gamma \alpha \mu \circ \cup \varsigma ~ \pi o เ \varepsilon เ v, ~ o c c u r s ~ i n ~ t h e ~ D o r i c ~ o f ~ T h e r a ~ a b o u t ~ B . C . ~ 200 . ~$. The singular $\gamma \alpha \mu \circ \varsigma$ is common in the papyri for the wedding contract, but Field (Notes, p. 16) sees no difference between the singular here in $22: 8$ and the plural (see also Ge 29:22; Es 9:22 ; Macc. 10:58).

Matt 22:3
To call them that were bidden ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha$ тov¢ $\kappa \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma)$. "Perhaps an unconscious play on the words, lost in both A.V. and Rev.,
to call the called " (Vincent). It was a Jewish custom to invite a second time the already invited (Es 5:8; $6: 14$ ). The prophets of old had given God's invitation to the Jewish people. Now the Baptist and Jesus had given the second invitation that the feast was ready.

And they would not come ( $\kappa \alpha$ оик $\eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda$ ov $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon v$ ). This negative imperfect characterizes the stubborn refusal of the Jewish leaders to accept Jesus as God's Son (Joh 1:11). This is "The Hebrew Tragedy" (Conder).

Matt 22:4
My dinner ( $\tau \mathrm{o}$ apıбтov $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ). It is breakfast, not dinner. In Lu 14:12 both apıбтov (breakfast) and $\delta \varepsilon \iota \pi v o v$ (dinner) are used. This noon or midday meal, like the French breakfast at noon, was sometimes called $\delta \varepsilon ı \pi v o v \mu \varepsilon \sigma \eta \mu \beta \rho ı v o v$ (midday dinner or luncheon). The regular dinner ( $\delta \varepsilon ו \pi v o v$ ) came in the evening. The confusion arose from applying apıбтov to the early morning meal and then to the noon meal (some not eating an earlier meal). In Joh $21: 12,15$ apıotaw is used of the early morning meal, "Break your fast" ( $\alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). When apıбтоv was applied to luncheon, like the Latin prandium, акратıб $\alpha$ a was the term for the early breakfast.

My fatlings ( $\tau \alpha \sigma \iota \tau \iota \tau \tau \alpha$ ). Verbal from $\sigma \iota \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, to feed with wheat or other grain, to fatten. Fed-up or fatted animals.

Matt 22:5
Made light of it ( $\alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \zeta)$. Literally, neglecting, not caring for. They may even have ridiculed the invitation, but the verb does not say so. However, to neglect an invitation to a wedding feast is a gross discourtesy.

One to his own farm ( $\circ \varsigma \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ ı \delta ı o v ~ \alpha \gamma \rho \circ v$ ) or field,
another to his merchandise ( $o \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \mu \pi$ орıav av $\tau \circ v$ ) only example in the N.T., from $\varepsilon \mu \pi о \rho о \varsigma$, merchant, one who travels for traffic ( $\varepsilon \mu \pi о \rho \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha$ ), a drummer.

Matt 22:7
Armies ( $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Bands of soldiers, not grand armies.
Matt 22:9
The partings of the highways ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \delta เ \varepsilon \xi_{0} \delta o v \varsigma \tau \omega \nu$ o $\delta \omega \nu$ ). Vulgate, exitus viarum. $\Delta \mathrm{to} \delta \mathrm{o}$ are cross-streets, while $\delta_{\iota \varepsilon} \xi_{o} \delta o$ (double compound) seem to be main streets leading out of the city where also side-streets may branch off, "by-ways."

Matt 22:10
The wedding ( $\mathrm{o} \gamma \alpha \mu \mathrm{\rho})$. But Westcott and Hort rightly read here o $v \nu \mu \varphi \omega v$, marriage dining hall. The same word in 9:15 means the bridechamber.

Matt 22:12
Not having a wedding-garment ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \chi \omega v \varepsilon v \delta \nu \mu \alpha \gamma \alpha \mu \circ v$ ). $M \eta$ is in the Koine the usual negative with participles unless special emphasis on the negative is desired as in ouk $\varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \delta \nu \mu \varepsilon v o v$. There is a subtle distinction between $\mu \eta$ and ov like our subjective and objective notions. Some hold that the wedding-garment here is a portion of a lost parable separate from that of the Wedding Feast, but there is no evidence for that idea. Wunsche does report a parable by a rabbi of a king who set no time for his feast and the guests arrived, some properly dressed waiting at the door; others in their working clothes did not wait, but went off to work and, when the summons suddenly came, they had no time to dress properly and were made to stand and watch while the others partook of the feast.

Matt 22:13
Was speechless $(\varepsilon \psi(\mu \omega \theta \eta)$. Was muzzled, dumb from confusion and embarrassment. It is used of the ox ( $1 \mathrm{Ti} 5: 18$ ).

The outer darkness (то бкотоৎ то $\varepsilon \xi \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v)$. See Mt 8:12. All the blacker from the standpoint of the brilliantly lighted banquet hall.

There shall be ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha$ ). Out there in the outer darkness.
Matt 22:14
 crisp saying of Christ occurs in various connections. He evidently repeated many of his
sayings many times as every teacher does. There is a distinction between the called ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau 0$ ) and the chosen ( $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau о$ ) called out from the called.

Matt 22:15
Went ( $\pi о \rho \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. So-called deponent passive and redundant use of the verb as in 9:13: "Go and learn."

Took counsel ( $\sigma \cup \mu \beta$ ov $\lambda \iota \circ v \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o v$ ). Like the Latin consilium capere as in 12:14.
Ensnare in his talk ( $\pi \alpha \gamma \iota \delta \varepsilon v \sigma \omega \sigma \iota v \varepsilon \nu \lambda o \gamma \omega$ ). From $\pi \alpha \nLeftarrow \iota$, a snare or trap. Here only in the N.T. In the LXX (1Ki 28:9; Ec 9:12; Test. of Twelve Patriarchs, Joseph 7:1). Vivid picture of the effort to trip Jesus in his speech like a bird or wild beast.

Matt 22:16
Their disciples ( $\tau 0 v \varsigma \mu \alpha \forall \eta \tau \alpha \varsigma \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Students, pupils, of the Pharisees as in Mr 2:18 . There were two Pharisaic theological seminaries in Jerusalem (Hillel, Shammai).

The Herodians ( $\tau \omega \nu \mathrm{H} \varepsilon \rho \omega t \delta \iota \nu \omega v$ ). Not members of Herod's family or Herod's soldiers, but partisans or followers of Herod. The form in -ıavoc is a Latin termination like that in Xpıotıavoc (Ac 11:26 ). Mentioned also in Mr 3:6 combining with the Pharisees against Jesus.

The person of men $(\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \omega \pi \sigma v \alpha v \theta \omega \pi \omega \nu)$. Literally, face of men. Paying regard to appearance is the sin of partiality condemned by James (Jas 2:1,9) when $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \lambda \eta \mu \psi \iota \alpha$, $\pi \rho o \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau \varepsilon \iota \nu$ are used, in imitation of the Hebrew idiom. This suave flattery to Jesus implied "that Jesus was a reckless simpleton" (Bruce).

Matt 22:19
 or head-money, tributum capitis, for which silver denaria were struck, with the figure of Caesar and a superscription, e.g. "Tiberiou Kaisaros" (McNeile). No $\mu \sigma \mu \alpha$ is the Latin $n u-$ misma and occurs here only in the N.T., is common in the old Greek, from vo $\mu \zeta \omega$ sanctioned by law or custom.

Matt 22:20
This image and superscription ( $\eta \varepsilon \iota \kappa \omega \nu \alpha v \tau \eta \kappa \alpha \eta \varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta$ ). Probably a Roman coin because of the image (picture) on it. The earlier Herods avoided this practice because of Jewish prejudice, but the Tetrarch Philip introduced it on Jewish coins and he was followed by Herod Agrippa I. This coin was pretty certainly stamped in Rome with the image and name of Tiberius Caesar on it.

Matt 22:21
Render ( $\alpha \pi$ o $\delta \mathrm{o} \tau \varepsilon$ ). "Give back" to Caesar what is already Caesar's.
Matt 22:24
Shall marry ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \gamma \alpha \mu \beta \rho \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon)$. The Sadducees were "aiming at amusement rather than deadly mischief" (Bruce). It was probably an old conundrum that they had used to the discomfiture of the Pharisees. This passage is quoted from De 25:5,6. The word appears here only in the N.T. and elsewhere only in the LXX. It is used of any connected by marriage as
in Ge 34:9; 1Sa 18:22. But in Ge $38: 8$ and De $25: 5$ it is used specifically of one marrying his brother's widow.

Matt 22:33
They were astonished ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma o v \tau 0)$. Descriptive imperfect passive showing the continued amazement of the crowds. They were struck out (literally).

Matt 22:34
 Sadducees. The Pharisees could not restrain their glee though they were joining with the Sadducees in trying to entrap Jesus.

Gathered themselves together ( $\sigma v \nu \eta \chi \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v \varepsilon \pi \tau o \alpha v \tau o$ ). First aorist passive, were gathered together. E $\pi$ тo av $\tau$ o explains more fully $\sigma v v$-. See also Ac 2:47 . "Mustered their forces" (Moffatt).

Matt 22:36
The great commandment in the law ( $\varepsilon v \tau o \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu o \mu \omega$ ). The positive adjective is sometimes as high in rank as the superlative. See $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \varsigma$ in Mt 5:19 in contrast with $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \chi เ \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma$. The superlative $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \sigma$ occurs in the N.T. only in 2Pe 1:4. Possibly this scribe wishes to know which commandment stood first (Mr 12:28) with Jesus. "The scribes declared that there were 248 affirmative precepts, as many as the members of the human body; and 365 negative precepts, as many as the days in the year, the total being 613, the number of letters in the Decalogue" (Vincent). But Jesus cuts through such pettifogging hair-splitting to the heart of the problem.

Matt 22:42
The Christ ( $\tau 0 v \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 v$ ). The Messiah, of course, not Christ as a proper name of Jesus. Jesus here assumes that Ps 110 refers to the Messiah. By his pungent question about the Messiah as David's son and Lord he really touches the problem of his Person (his Deity and his Humanity). Probably the Pharisees had never faced that problem before. They were unable to answer.

Matt 23:2
Sit on Moses' seat ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma M \omega v \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \delta \rho \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \alpha v)$. The gnomic or timeless aorist tense, $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \alpha v$, not the aorist "for" the perfect. The "seat of Moses" is a brief form for the chair of the professor whose function it is to interpret Moses. "The heirs of Moses' authority by an unbroken tradition can deliver ex cathedra pronouncements on his teaching" (McNeile).

Matt 23:3
For they say and do not ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \sim \sigma \iota \nu \kappa \alpha$ ov $\pi \circ \circ \circ v \sigma \iota)$. "As teachers they have their place, but beware of following their example" (Bruce). So Jesus said: "Do not ye after their works " ( $\mu \eta \pi$ тоוєıєع). Do not practice their practices. They are only preachers. Jesus does not here
disapprove any of their teachings as he does elsewhere. The point made here is that they are only teachers (or preachers) and do not practice what they teach as God sees it.

Matt 23:4
With their finger ( $\tau \omega \delta \alpha \kappa \tau \cup \lambda \omega \alpha \cup \tau \omega v$ ). A picturesque proverb. They are taskmasters, not burden-bearers, not sympathetic helpers.

Matt 23:5
To be seen of men ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau$ то $\theta \varepsilon \alpha \theta \eta v \alpha$ тогৎ $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi о \iota \varsigma$ ). See 6:1 where this same idiom occurs. Ostentation regulates the conduct of the rabbis.

Phylacteries ( $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha$ ). An adjective from $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \tau \eta \rho, \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ (to guard). So a fortified place, station for garrison, then a safeguard, protecting charm or amulet. The rabbis wore $\tau \varepsilon \varphi \iota \lambda \lambda \iota v$ or prayer-fillets, small leather cases with four strips of parchment on which were written the words of Ex 13:1-10,11-16; De 6:4-9; 11:13-21. They took literally the words about "a sign unto thy hand," "a memorial between thine eyes," and "frontlets." "That for the head was to consist of a box with four compartments, each containing a slip of parchment inscribed with one of the four passages. Each of these strips was to be tied up with a wellwashed hair from a calf's tail; lest, if tied with wool or thread, any fungoid growth should ever pollute them. The phylactery of the arm was to contain a single slip, with the same four passages written in four columns of seven lines each. The black leather straps by which they were fastened were wound seven times round the arm and three times round the hand. They were reverenced by the rabbis as highly as the scriptures, and, like them, might be rescued from the flames on a sabbath. They profanely imagined that God wore the tephillin" (Vincent). It is small wonder that Jesus ridiculed such minute concern for pretentious externalism and literalism. These tephillin "are still worn at the present day on the forehead and left arm by Jews at the daily Morning Prayer" (McNeile) . "The size of the phylacteries indexed the measure of zeal, and the wearing of large ones was apt to take the place of obedience" (Bruce). Hence they made them "broad." The superstitious would wear them as mere charms to ward off evil.

Enlarge the borders ( $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \nu v o v \sigma \iota \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha \sigma \pi \varepsilon \delta \alpha)$. In 9:20 we see that Jesus, like the Jews generally, wore a tassel or tuft, hem or border, a fringe on the outer garment according to Nu 15:38. Here again the Jewish rabbi had minute rules about the number of the fringes and the knots (see on 9:20). They made a virtue of the size of the fringes also. "Such things were useful as reminders; they were fatal when they were regarded as charms" (Plummer).

Matt 23:6
The chief place at feasts ( $\tau \eta \nu \pi \rho \omega \tau о \kappa \lambda \iota \sigma \iota \alpha \vee \varepsilon v \tau 0 เ \varsigma ~ \delta \varepsilon ı \pi v o \iota \varsigma)$. Literally, the first reclining place on the divan at the meal. The Persians, Greeks, Romans, Jews differed in their customs, but all cared for the post of honour at formal functions as is true of us today. Hostesses often solve the point by putting the name of each guest at the table. At the last passover meal the
apostles had an ugly snarl over this very point of precedence (Lu 22:24; Joh 13:2-11), just two days after this exposure of the Pharisees in the presence of the apostles.

The chief seats in the synagogues ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \pi \rho \omega \tau о \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \delta \rho ı \varsigma \varsigma \nu \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma \sigma \cup \nu \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. "An insatiable hunger for prominence" (Bruce). These chief seats (Zuchermandel) were on the platform looking to the audience and with the back to the chest in which were kept the rolls of scripture. The Essenes had a different arrangement. People today pay high prices for front seats at the theatre, but at church prefer the rear seats out of a curious mock-humility. In the time of Jesus the hypocrites boldly sat up in front. Now, if they come to church at all, they take the rear seats.

Matt 23:7
Salutations ( $\alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \mu \sigma v \varsigma)$. The ordinary courtiers were coveted because in public. They had an itch for notice. There are occasionally today ministers who resent it if they are not called upon to take part in the services at church. They feel that their ministerial dignity has not been recognized.

Matt 23:8
But be not ye called Rabbi (v $v \varepsilon ı \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \mu \eta \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon \mathrm{P} \alpha \beta \beta \varepsilon$ ). An apparent aside to the disciples. Note the emphatic position of $v \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon$. Some even regard verses 8-10 as a later addition and not part of this address to the Pharisees, but the apostles were present. Euthymius Zigabenus says: "Do not seek to be called (ingressive aorist subjunctive), if others call you this it will not be your fault." This is not far from the Master's meaning. Rabbi means "my great one," "my Master," apparently a comparatively new title in Christ's time.

Matt 23:9
Call no man your father ( $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \mu \eta \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Jesus meant the full sense of this noble word for our heavenly Father. "Abba was not commonly a mode of address to a living person, but a title of honour for Rabbis and great men of the past" (McNeile). In Gethsemane Jesus said: "Abba, Father" (Mr 14:36). Certainly the ascription of "Father" to pope and priest seems out of harmony with what Jesus here says. He should not be understood to be condemning the title to one's real earthly father. Jesus often leaves the exceptions to be supplied.

Matt 23:10
Masters ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \gamma \eta \tau \alpha$ ). This word occurs here only in the N.T. It is found in the papyri for teacher (Latin, doctor). It is the modern Greek word for professor. "While $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda o s$ represents P $\alpha \beta$, $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \gamma \eta \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ stands for the more honourable P $\alpha \beta \beta \alpha v,-\beta \omega v$ " (McNeile). Dalman (Words of Jesus, p. 340) suggests that the same Aramaic word may be translated by either $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda$ ос or ка $\theta \eta \gamma \eta \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$.

The Christ (o Xpıбтоৎ). The use of these words here by Jesus like "Jesus Christ" in his Prayer (Joh 17:3) is held by some to show that they were added by the evangelist to what Jesus actually said, since the Master would not have so described himself. But he commended

Peter for calling him "the Christ the Son of the living God" (Mt 16:16f. ). We must not empty the consciousness of Jesus too much.

Matt 23:12
Exalt himself ( $\nu \psi \omega \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha u \tau o v)$. Somewhat like 18:4; 20:26 . Given by Luke in other contexts (14:11; 18:14 ). Characteristic of Christ.

Matt 23:13
Hypocrites (vтокрıта). This terrible word of Jesus appears first from him in the Sermon on the Mount (Mt 6:2,5,16; 7:5 ), then in 15:7 and 22:18. Here it appears "with terrific iteration" (Bruce) save in the third of the seven woes ( $23: 13,15,23,25,27,29$ ). The verb in the active (v $\tau \circ \kappa \rho \iota v \omega)$ meant to separate slowly or slightly subject to gradual inquiry. Then the middle was to make answer, to take up a part on the stage, to act a part. It was an easy step to mean to feign, to pretend, to wear a masque, to act the hypocrite, to play a part. This hardest word from the lips of Jesus falls on those who were the religious leaders of the Jews (Scribes and Pharisees), who had justified this thunderbolt of wrath by their conduct toward Jesus and their treatment of things high and holy. The _Textus Receptus has eight woes, adding verse 14 which the Revised Version places in the margin (called verse 13 by Westcott and Hort and rejected on the authority of Aleph B D as a manifest gloss from Mr 12:40 and Lu 20:47). The MSS. that insert it put it either before 13 or after 13. Plummer cites these seven woes as another example of Matthew's fondness for the number seven, more fancy than fact for Matthew's Gospel is not the Apocalypse of John. These are all illustrations of Pharisaic saying and not doing (Allen).

Ye shut the kingdom of heaven ( $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \tau \eta \nu \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ov $\alpha \nu \omega \nu$ ). In Lu 11:52 the lawyers are accused of keeping the door to the house of knowledge locked and with flinging away the keys so as to keep themselves and the people in ignorance. These custodians of the kingdom by their teaching obscured the way to life. It is a tragedy to think how preachers and teachers of the kingdom of God may block the door for those who try to enter in (tous $\varepsilon І \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o u \varsigma$, conative present middle participle).

Against ( $\varepsilon \mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \varepsilon v)$. Literally, before. These door-keepers of the kingdom slam it shut in men's faces and they themselves are on the outside where they will remain. They hide the key to keep others from going in.

Matt 23:15
Twofold more a son of hell than yourselves (vov $\gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon v v \eta \varsigma \delta i \pi \lambda о \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v \nu \mu \omega v$ ). It is a convert to Pharisaism rather than Judaism that is meant by "one proselyte" ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \eta \lambda \nu \tau o v$ ), from $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi о \mu \alpha$, newcomers, aliens. There were two kinds of proselytes: of the gate (not actual Jews, but God-fearers and well-wishers of Judaism, like Cornelius), of righteousness who received circumcision and became actual Jews. But a very small per cent of the latter became Pharisees. There was a Hellenistic Jewish literature (Philo, Sibylline Oracles, etc.) designed to attract Gentiles to Judaism. But the Pharisaic missionary zeal (compass,
$\pi \varepsilon \rho ı a \gamma \eta \tau \varepsilon$, go around) was a comparative failure. And success was even worse, Jesus says with pitiless plainness. The "son of Gehenna" means one fitted for and so destined for Ge henna. "The more converted the more perverted" (H.J. Holtzmann). The Pharisees claimed to be in a special sense sons of the kingdom (Mt 8:12). They were more partisan than pious. $\Delta t \pi \lambda$ ouc (twofold, double) is common in the papyri. The comparative here used, as if from $\delta \iota \pi \lambda o \varsigma$, appears also in Appian. Note the ablative of comparison h $\|$ mon. It was a withering thrust.

Matt 23:16
Ye blind guides ( $\circ \delta \eta \gamma \circ \tau \cup \varphi \lambda o$ ). Note omission of "Scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites" with this third woe. In 15:14 Jesus had already called the Pharisees "blind guides" (leaders). They split hairs about oaths, as Jesus had explained in 5:33-37, between the temple and the gold of the temple.

He is a debtor ( $\circ \varphi \varepsilon \wedge \varepsilon \varepsilon$ ). He owes his oath, is bound by his oath. A.V., is guilty , is old English, obsolete sense of guilt as fine or payment.
Matt 23:17
Ye fools ( $\mu \omega \rho 0$ ). In 5:22 Jesus had warned against calling a man $\mu \omega \rho o \varsigma$ in a rage, but here he so terms the blind Pharisees for their stupidity, description of the class. "It shows that not the word but the spirit in which it is uttered is what matters" (McNeile).

Matt 23:23
Ye tithe ( $\alpha \pi о \delta \varepsilon к \alpha \tau о ⿱ \tau \varepsilon)$. The tithe had to be paid upon "all the increase of thy seed" (De 14:22; Le 27:30 ). The English word tithe is tenth. These small aromatic herbs, mint ( $\tau \mathrm{o}$ $\eta \delta v o \sigma \mu o v$, sweet-smelling), anise or dill ( $\alpha v \eta \theta$ ov), cummin ( $\kappa \nu \mu \nu v o v$, with aromatic seeds), show the Pharisaic scrupulous conscientiousness, all marketable commodities. "The Talmud tells of the ass of a certain Rabbi which had been so well trained as to refuse corn of which the tithes had not been taken" (Vincent).

These ye ought ( $\tau \alpha u \tau \alpha \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon$ ). Jesus does not condemn tithing. What he does condemn is doing it to the neglect of the
weightier matters ( $\tau \alpha \beta \alpha \rho \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). The Pharisees were externalists; cf. Lu 11:39-44 .
Matt 23:24
 "straining at" in swallowing so crudely suggested by the misprint in the A.V.

Swallow the camel ( $\tau \eta v \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \mu \eta \lambda$ оv кататıvovтє¢). Gulping or drinking down the camel. An oriental hyperbole like that in 19:24. See also 5:29,30; 17:20; 21:21 . Both insects and camels were ceremonially unclean (Le 11:4,20,23,42). "He that kills a flea on the Sabbath is as guilty as if he killed a camel" (Jer. Shabb. 107).

Matt 23:25
From extortion and excess ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \rho \pi \alpha \gamma \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. A much more serious accusation. These punctilious observers of the external ceremonies did not hesitate at robbery ( $\alpha \rho \pi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \varsigma$ )
and graft ( $\alpha \kappa \rho \alpha \sigma เ \alpha \varsigma)$, lack of control. A modern picture of wickedness in high places both civil and ecclesiastical where the moral elements in life are ruthlessly trodden under foot. Of course, the idea is for both the outside єктоц and the inside ( $\varepsilon \vee \tau \circ \varsigma)$ of the cup and the platter (fine side dish). But the inside is the more important. Note the change to singular in verse 26 as if Jesus in a friendlier tone pleads with a Pharisee to mend his ways.

## Matt 23:27

Whited sepulchre ( $\tau \alpha \varphi o \iota \varsigma ~ \kappa \varepsilon \kappa о \nu ı \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \iota \varsigma) . ~ T h e ~ p e r f e c t ~ p a s s i v e ~ p a r t i c i p l e ~ i s ~ f r o m ~ к о v ı a \omega ~$ and that from кovia, dust or lime. Whitened with powdered lime dust, the sepulchres of the poor in the fields or the roadside. Not the rock-hewn tombs of the well-to-do. These were whitewashed a month before the passover that travellers might see them and so avoid being defiled by touching them ( Nu 19:16). In Ac 23:3 Paul called the high priest a whited wall. When Jesus spoke the sepulchres had been freshly whitewashed. We today speak of whitewashing moral evil.

Matt 23:29
The tombs of the prophets ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma \tau \alpha \varphi o u \varsigma \tau \omega v \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \omega v$ ). Cf. Lu 11:48-52. They were bearing witness against themselves (عaviols, verse 31) to "the murder-taint in your blood" (Allen). "These men who professed to be so distressed at the murdering of the Prophets, were themselves compassing the death of Him who was far greater than any Prophet" (Plummer). There are four monuments called Tombs of the Prophets (Zechariah, Absalom, Jehoshaphat, St. James) at the base of the Mount of Olives. Some of these may have been going up at the very time that Jesus spoke. In this seventh and last woe Jesus addresses the Jewish nation and not merely the Pharisees.

Matt 23:32
Fill ye up ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). The keenest irony in this command has been softened in some MSS. to the future indicative ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). "Fill up the measure of your fathers; crown their misdeeds by killing the prophet God has sent to you. Do at last what has long been in your hearts. The hour is come" (Bruce).

Matt 23:33
Ye serpents, ye offspring of vipers ( $\circ ¢ \varepsilon ı \varsigma \quad{ }^{\varepsilon} \vee \nu \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \varepsilon \chi \iota \delta v \omega v$ ). These blistering words come as a climax and remind one of the Baptist ( $3: 17$ ) and of the time when the Pharisees accused Jesus of being in league with Beelzebub ( 12:34). They cut to the bone like whipcords.

How shall ye escape ( $\pi \omega \varsigma \varphi v \gamma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Deliberate subjunctive. There is a curse in the Talmud somewhat like this: "Woe to the house of Annas! Woe to their serpent-like hissings."

Matt 23:35
Zachariah son of Barachiah (Zaxapıov vıov Bapaxıov). Broadus gives well the various alternatives in understanding and explaining the presence of "son of Barachiah" here which is not in Lu 11:51. The usual explanation is that the reference is to Zachariah the son of Je-
hoiada the priest who was slain in the court of the temple (2Ch 24:20ff. ). How the words, "son of Barachiah," got into Matthew we do not know. A half-dozen possibilities can be suggested. In the case of Abel a reckoning for the shedding of his blood was foretold ( Ge 4:10) and the same thing was true of the slaying of Zachariah (2Ch 24:22).

## Matt 23:37

How often would I have gathered ( $\tau о \sigma \alpha \kappa ı \varsigma ~ \eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma v v \alpha \gamma \varepsilon ı v)$. More exactly, how often did I long to gather to myself (double compound infinitive). The same verb ( $\varepsilon \pi\llcorner\sigma u v a \gamma \varepsilon$ ) is used of the hen with the compound preposition vлокат $\omega$. Everyone has seen the hen quickly get together the chicks under her wings in the time of danger. These words naturally suggest previous visits to Jerusalem made plain by John's Gospel.

## Matthew 24

Matt 24:1
Went out from the temple ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega v$ a $\pi 0$ tov $\varepsilon \rho \rho \circ$ ). All the discourses since Mt 21:23 have been in the temple courts (ıfpov, the sacred enclosure). But now Jesus leaves it for good after the powerful denunciation of the scribes and Pharisees in chapter 23. His public teaching is over. It was a tragic moment. As he was going out ( $\varepsilon \pi \sigma \rho \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon \tau 0$, descriptive imperfect) the disciples, as if to relieve the thought of the Master came to him ( $\pi \rho o \sigma \eta \lambda \theta o v$ ) to show ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \xi \alpha$, ingressive aorist infinitive) the buildings of the temple ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma$ оккобонас то⿱ ı $£ \rho 0$ ). They were familiar to Jesus and the disciples, but beautiful like a snow mountain (Josephus, Wars V,5,6), the monument that Herod the Great had begun and that was not yet complete (Joh 2:20). Great stones were there of polished marble.

Matt 24:2
One stone upon another ( $\left.\lambda_{1} \theta \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \lambda_{1} \theta o v\right)$. Stone upon stone. A startling prediction showing that the gloomy current of the thoughts of Jesus were not changed by their words of admiration for the temple.

Matt 24:3
As he sat ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. Genitive absolute. Picture of Jesus sitting on the Mount of Olives looking down on Jerusalem and the temple which he had just left. After the climb up the mountain four of the disciples (Peter, James, John, Andrew) come to Jesus with the problem raised by his solemn words. They ask these questions about the destruction of Jerusalem and the temple, his own second coming ( $\pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma i \alpha$, presence, common in the papyri for the visit of the emperor), and the end of the world. Did they think that they were all to take place simultaneously? There is no way to answer. At any rate Jesus treats all three in this great eschatological discourse, the most difficult problem in the Synoptic Gospels. Many theories are advanced that impugn the knowledge of Jesus or of the writers or of both. It is sufficient for our purpose to think of Jesus as using the destruction of the temple and of Jerusalem which did happen in that generation in A.D. 70, as also a symbol of his own second coming and of the end of the world ( $\sigma v \nu \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \propto \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ \alpha \iota \omega v o \varsigma$ ) or consummation of the age. In a painting the artist by skilful perspective may give on the same surface the inside of a room, the fields outside the window, and the sky far beyond. Certainly in this discourse Jesus blends in apocalyptic language the background of his death on the cross, the coming destruction of Jerusalem, his own second coming and the end of the world. He now touches one, now the other. It is not easy for us to separate clearly the various items. It is enough if we get the picture as a whole as it is here drawn with its lessons of warning to be ready for his coming and the end. The destruction of Jerusalem came as he foretold. There are some who would date the Synoptic Gospels after A.D. 70 in order to avoid the predictive element involved in the earlier date. But that is to limit the fore-knowledge of Jesus to a merely human
basis. The word $\pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma \iota \alpha$ occurs in this chapter alone $(3,27,37,39)$ in the Gospels, but often in the Epistles, either of presence as opposed to absence (Php 2:12) or the second coming of Christ (2Th 2:1).

Matt 24:4
Lead you astray ( $v \mu \alpha \varsigma \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \eta \sigma \eta$ ). This warning runs all through the discourse. It is amazing how successful deceivers have been through the ages with their eschatological programs. The word in the passive appears in 18:12 when the one sheep wanders astray. Here it is the active voice with the causative sense to lead astray. Our word planet comes from this root.

Matt 24:5
In my name $(\varepsilon \pi \tau \omega$ ovo $\mu \alpha \tau \mu o v)$. They will arrogate to themselves false claims of Messiahship in (on the basis of) the name of Christ himself. Josephus (Wars VI, 54) gives there false Christs as one of the reasons for the explosion against Rome that led to the city's destruction. Each new hero was welcomed by the masses including Barcochba. "I am the Messiah," each would say. Forty odd years ago two men in Illinois claimed to be Messiah, each with followers (Schlatter, Schweinfurth). In more recent years Mrs. Annie Besant has introduced a theosophical Messiah and Mrs. Eddy made claims about herself on a par with those of Jesus.

Matt 24:6
See that ye be not troubled (o $0 \alpha \tau \varepsilon \mu \eta \theta \rho о \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Asyndeton here with these two imperatives as Mr 8:15 o $0 \alpha \tau \varepsilon \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ (Robertson, Grammar, p. 949). Look out for the wars and rumours of wars, but do not be scared out of your wits by them. $\Theta \rho o \varepsilon \omega$ means to cry aloud, to scream, and in the passive to be terrified by an outcry. Paul uses this very verb ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \theta \rho \circ \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \alpha$ ) in 2Th 2:2 as a warning against excitement over false reports that he had predicted the immediate second coming of Christ.
 these words of Jesus and proceed to set dates for the immediate end. That happened during the Great War and it has happened since.

Matt 24:8
The beginning of travail ( $\alpha \rho \chi \eta o \delta t \nu \omega \nu$ ). The word means birth-pangs and the Jews used the very phrase for the sufferings of the Messiah which were to come before the coming of the Messiah (Book of Jubilees, 23:18; Apoc. of Baruch 27-29). But the word occurs with no idea of birth as the pains of death (Ps 18:5; Ac 2:24). These woes, says Jesus, are not a proof of the end, but of the beginning.

Matt 24:9
Ye shall be hated $(\varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \mu \sigma \sigma o v \mu \varepsilon v o)$. Periphrastic future passive to emphasize the continuous process of the linear action. For tribulation ( $\theta \lambda \iota \psi \iota \nu$ see 13:21), a word common
in the Acts, Epistles, and Apocalypse for the oppression (pressure) that the Christians received.

For my name's sake ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ тo ovo $\mu \alpha \mu \circ v$ ). The most glorious name in the world today, but soon to be a byword of shame (Ac 5:41). The disciples would count it an honour to be dishonoured for the Name's sake.

Matt 24:11
False prophets ( $\psi \varepsilon \cup \delta о \pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Jesus had warned against them in the Sermon on the Mount ( 7:15). They are still coming.

Matt 24:12
Shall wax cold ( $\psi v \gamma \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Second future passive indicative from $\psi v \chi \omega$. To breathe cool by blowing, to grow cold, "spiritual energy blighted or chilled by a malign or poisonous wind" (Vincent).

The love of many ( $\eta \alpha \gamma a \pi \eta \tau \omega v \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \omega v$ ). Love of the brotherhood gives way to mutual hatred and suspicion.

Matt 24:14
Shall be preached (кعрихӨ $\eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Heralded in all the inhabited world. $E v$ o $\lambda \eta \tau \eta$ oккоu$\mu \varepsilon v \eta$ supply $\gamma \eta$. It is not here said that all will be saved nor must this language be given too literal and detailed an application to every individual.

## Matt 24:15

The abomination of desolation ( $\tau$ о $\beta \delta \varepsilon \lambda \nu \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \mu \omega \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). An allusion to Da 9:27; 11:31; 12:11. Antiochus Epiphanes erected an altar to Zeus on the altar of Jehovah (1Macc. 1:54,59; 6:7; 2Macc. 6:1-5). The desolation in the mind of Jesus is apparently the Roman army ( $\mathrm{Lu} 21: 20$ ) in the temple, an application of the words of Daniel to this dread event. The verb $\beta \delta \varepsilon \lambda \nu \sigma \sigma o \mu \alpha$ is to feel nausea because of stench, to abhor, to detest. Idolatry was a stench to God (Lu 16:15; Re 17:4). Josephus tells us that the Romans burned the temple and offered sacrifices to their ensigns placed by the eastern gate when they proclaimed Titus as Emperor.

Let him that readeth understand (o $\alpha v \alpha \gamma เ v o \sigma \kappa \omega v$ vosit $\omega$ ). This parenthesis occurs also in Mr 13:14. It is not to be supposed that Jesus used these words. They were inserted by Mark as he wrote his book and he was followed by Matthew.

## Matt 24:16

Flee unto the mountains ( $\varphi \varepsilon \cup \gamma \varepsilon \tau \omega \sigma \alpha \nu \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau \alpha$ о $\bar{\eta}$ ). The mountains east of the Jordan. Eusebius (H.E. iii,5,3) says that the Christians actually fled to Pella at the foot of the mountains about seventeen miles south of the Sea of Galilee. They remembered the warning of Jesus and fled for safety.

Matt 24:17
On the housetop ( $\varepsilon \pi$ tov $\delta \omega \mu \alpha \tau \sigma \varsigma)$. They could escape from roof to roof and so escape, "the road of the roofs," as the rabbis called it. There was need for haste.

## Matt 24:18

In the field $(\varepsilon v \tau \omega \alpha \gamma \rho \omega)$. The peasant worked in his time and left his mantle at home then as now.

Matt 24:20
In winter nor on a sabbath $(\chi \varepsilon \mu \omega \nu \circ \varsigma$, genitive of time, $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau \omega$, locative of time). In winter because of the rough weather. On a sabbath because some would hesitate to make such a journey on the sabbath. Josephus in his Wars gives the best illustration of the horrors foretold by Jesus in verse 21.

Matt 24:22
Had been shortened ( $\varepsilon \kappa о \lambda о \beta \omega \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). From ко $\lambda о \beta$ оऽ, lopped, mutilated, as the hands, the feet. It is a second-class condition, determined as unfulfilled. It is a prophetic figure, the future regarded as past.

For the elect's sake ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ тоvৎ $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau о \nu \varsigma)$. See Mt 22:14 for another use of this phrase by Jesus and also 24:31. The siege was shortened by various historical events like the stopping of the strengthening of the walls by Herod Agrippa by orders from the Emperor, the sudden arrival of Titus, the neglect of the Jews to prepare for a long siege. "Titus himself confessed that God was against the Jews, since otherwise neither his armies nor his engines would have availed against their defences" (Vincent).

## Matt 24:23

Lo, here is the Christ, or here ( $\delta \delta o v \omega \delta \varepsilon$ o X $\operatorname{X\rho } \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma \eta \omega \delta \varepsilon$ ). The false prophets (24:11) create the trouble and now false Christs ( $\psi \varepsilon v \delta o-X \rho \iota \sigma \tau о$, verse 24 ) offer a way out of these troubles. The deluded victims raise the cries of "Lo, here," when these false Messiahs arise with their panaceas for public ills (political, religious, moral, and spiritual).

Matt 24:24
Great signs and wonders ( $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \alpha \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \tau \alpha)$. Two of the three words so often used in the N.T. about the works ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$ ) of Jesus, the other being $\delta v v a \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~(p o w e r s) . ~ T h e y ~$ often occur together of the same work (Joh 4:48; Ac 2:22; 4:30; 2Co 12:12; Heb 2:4 ). Tع $\quad$ as is a wonder or prodigy, $\delta v v a \mu \iota$, a mighty work or power, $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota o v$, a sign of God's purpose. Miracle ( $\mu \iota \rho \nless \nu \lambda \nu \mu)$ presents only the notion of wonder or portent. The same deed can be looked at from these different angles. But the point to note here is that mere "signs and wonders" do not of themselves prove the power of God. These charlatans will be so skilful that they will,
if possible ( $\varepsilon \delta v v a \tau o v$ ), lead astray the very elect. The implication is that it is not possible. People become excited and are misled and are unable to judge of results. Often it is post hoc, sed non propter hoc. Patent-medicine men make full use of the credulity of people along this line as do spiritualistic mediums. Sleight-of-hand men can deceive the unwary.

Matt 24:26
In the wilderness $(\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \omega)$. Like Simon son of Gioras (Josephus, War, IV,9,5,\&7).

In the inner chambers ( $\varepsilon v$ тoıৎ $\tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon ı \iota \varsigma)$. Like John of Giscala (Josephus, War, V,6,1). False Messiahs act the role of the Great Unseen and Unknown.

Matt 24:27
As seen ( $\varphi \alpha, v \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Visible in contrast to the invisibility of the false Messiahs. Cf. Re 1:7. Like a flash of lightning.

Matt 24:28
Carcase $(\pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha)$. As in $14: 12$, the corpse. Originally a fallen body from $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, to fall, like Latin cadaver from cado, to fall. The proverb here as in Lu 17:37, is like that in Job 39:30; $\operatorname{Pr} 30: 17$.

Eagles (aعto). Perhaps the griffon vulture, larger than the eagle, which (Aristotle) was often seen in the wake of an army and followed Napoleon's retreat from Russia.

Matt 24:29
Immediately $(\varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. This word, common in Mark's Gospel as $\varepsilon v \theta v \varsigma$, gives trouble if one stresses the time element. The problem is how much time intervenes between "the tribulation of those days" and the vivid symbolism of verse 29. The use of $\varepsilon v \tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon$ in $\operatorname{Re} 1: 1$ should make one pause before he decides. Here we have a prophetic panorama like that with foreshortened perspective. The apocalyptic pictures in verse 29 also call for sobriety of judgment. One may compare Joel's prophecy as interpreted by Peter in Ac 21:16-22 . Literalism is not appropriate in this apocalyptic eschatology.

Matt 24:30
The sign of the Son of Man in heaven ( $\tau 0 \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \circ v \tau o v$ vıov $\tau 0 v a v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v \varepsilon v$ ov $\rho \alpha v \omega$ ). Many theories have been suggested like the cross in the sky, etc. Bruce sees a reference to Da 7:13 "one like the Son of man" and holds that Christ himself is the sign in question (the genitive of apposition). This is certainly possible. It is confirmed by the rest of the verse: "They shall see the Son of man coming." See Mt 16:27; 26:64. The Jews had repeatedly asked for such a sign (Broadus) as in Mt 12:38; 16:1; Joh 2:18 .

Matt 24:31
With a great sound of a trumpet ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \gamma \circ \varsigma \varphi \omega \nu \eta \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \varsigma)$. Some MSS. omit ( $\varphi \omega \vee \eta \varsigma$ ) "sound." The trumpet was the signal employed to call the hosts of Israel to march as to war and is common in prophetic imagery (Isa 27:13). Cf. the seventh angel ( $\operatorname{Re}$ 11:15 ). Clearly "the coming of the son of man is not to be identified with the judgment of Jerusalem but rather forms its preternatural background" (Bruce).

Matt 24:32
Putteth forth its leaves ( $\tau \alpha \varphi \cup \lambda \lambda \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \varphi \cup \eta$ ). Present active subjunctive according to Westcott and Hort. If accented $\varepsilon \kappa \varphi \cup \eta$ (last syllable), it is second aorist passive subjunctive (Erasmus).

Matt 24:34

This generation ( $\eta \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha \alpha \cup \tau \eta$ ). The problem is whether Jesus is here referring to the destruction of Jerusalem or to the second coming and end of the world. If to the destruction of Jerusalem, there was a literal fulfilment. In the Old Testament a generation was reckoned as forty years. This is the natural way to take verse 34 as of 33 (Bruce), "all things" meaning the same in both verses.

Matt 24:36
Not even the Son (ov $\varepsilon$ o vioc). Probably genuine, though absent in some ancient MSS. The idea is really involved in the words "but the Father only" ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta$ о $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho \mu$ оvoc). It is equally clear that in this verse Jesus has in mind the time of his second coming. He had plainly stated in verse 34 that those events (destruction of Jerusalem) would take place in that generation. He now as pointedly states that no one but the Father knows the day or the hour when these things (the second coming and the end of the world) will come to pass. One may, of course, accuse Jesus of hopeless confusion or extend his confession of ignorance of the date of the second coming to the whole chain of events. So McNeile: "It is impossible to escape the conclusion that Jesus as Man, expected the End, within the lifetime of his contemporaries." And that after his explicit denial that he knew anything of the kind! It is just as easy to attribute ignorance to modern scholars with their various theories as to Jesus who admits his ignorance of the date, but not of the character of the coming.

Matt 24:37
The days of Noah ( $\alpha \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ tov $N \omega \varepsilon$ ). Jesus had used this same imagery before to the Pharisees (Lu 17:26-30). In Noah's day there was plenty of warning, but utter unpreparedness. Most people are either indifferent about the second coming or have fanciful schemes or programs about it. Few are really eager and expectant and leave to God the time and the plans.

Matt 24:38
Were eating ( $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \rho \omega \gamma 0 \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Periphrastic imperfect. The verb means to chew raw vegetables or fruits like nuts or almonds.

Matt 24:41
At the mill $(\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \mu \nu \lambda \omega)$. So Westcott and Hort and not $\mu \nu \lambda \omega \nu$ (millhouse) Textus Receptus. The millstone and then hand-mill which was turned by two women ( $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta$ ovo $\alpha$ ) as in Ex 11:5. This verb is a late form for $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$. There was a handle near the edge of the upper stone.

Matt 24:42
Watch therefore ( $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma \omega \rho \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ ouv). A late present imperative from the second perfect $\varepsilon \gamma \rho \eta \gamma \quad \rho \alpha$ from $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$. Keep awake, be on the watch "therefore" because of the uncertainty of the time of the second coming. Jesus gives a half dozen parables to enforce the point of this exhortation (the Porter, the Master of the House, the Faithful Servant and the Evil Ser-
vants, the Ten Virgins, the Talents, the Sheep and the Goats). Matthew does not give the Parable of the Porter (Mr 13:35-37).

Matt 24:43
In what watch ( $\pi$ оь $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta$ ). As in 14:25 (four watches of the night).
Broken through ( $\delta$ ro $\rho v \chi \theta \eta v \alpha$ ). Digged through the tile roof or under the floor (dirt in the poorer houses).

Matt 24:44
That ye think not ( $\eta$ ov $\delta$ окعı $\tau \varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha$ ). It is useless to set the day and hour for Christ's coming. It is folly to neglect it. This figure of the thief will be used also by Paul concerning the unexpectedness of Christ's second coming (1Th 5:2 ). See also Mt 24:50 for the unexpectedness of the coming with punishment for the evil servant.

Matt 24:48
My lord tarrieth ( $\chi \rho \circ \vee \iota \zeta \varepsilon \mu \circ v$ o кирıос). That is the temptation and to give way to indulge in fleshly appetites or to pride of superior intellect. Within a generation scoffers will be asking where is the promise of the coming of Christ (2Pe 3:4). They will forget that God's clock is not like our clock and that a day with the Lord may be a thousand years or a thousand years as one day ( $2 \mathrm{Pe} 3: 8$ ).

## Matthew 25

Matt 25:1
Ten virgins ( $\delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \theta \varepsilon v o \iota \varsigma)$. No special point in the number ten. The scene is apparently centered round the house of the bride to which the bridegroom is coming for the wedding festivities. But Plummer places the scene near the house of the bridegroom who has gone to bring the bride home. It is not pertinent to the point of the parable to settle it.

Lamps ( $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \alpha \delta a \varsigma)$. Probably torches with a wooden staff and a dish on top in which was placed a piece of rope or cloth dipped in oil or pitch. But sometimes $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \alpha \varsigma$ has the meaning of oil lamp ( $\lambda \cup \chi \vee \circ \varsigma)$ as in Ac 20:8. That may be the meaning here (Rutherford, New Phrynichus).

Matt 25:3
Took no oil with them (ovk $\left.\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o v \mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime} \varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \iota v\right)$ ). Probably none at all, not realizing their lack of oil till they lit the torches on the arrival of the bridegroom and his party.

Matt 25:4
In their vessels ( $\varepsilon v \tau$ тоı $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon เ о \iota \varsigma)$. Here alone in the N.T., through $\alpha \gamma \gamma \eta$ in 13:48. Extra supply in these receptacles besides the oil in the dish on top of the staff.

Matt 25:5
They all slumbered and slept ( $\varepsilon v v \sigma \tau \alpha \xi \alpha v \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon v \delta o v)$. They dropped off to sleep, nodded (ingressive aorist) and then went on sleeping (imperfect, linear action), a vivid picture drawn by the difference in the two tenses. Many a preacher has seen this happen while he is preaching.

Matt 25:6
There is a cry ( $\kappa \rho \alpha v \gamma \eta \gamma \varepsilon \gamma о \nu \varepsilon v)$. A cry has come. Dramatic use of the present perfect (second perfect active) indicative, not the perfect for the aorist. It is not $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$, but $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma o v \varepsilon \nu$ which emphasizes the sudden outcry which has rent the air. The very memory of it is preserved by this tense with all the bustle and confusion, the rushing to the oil-venders.

Come ye forth to meet him ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \alpha \pi \alpha v \tau \eta \sigma \iota)$. Or, Go out for meeting him, dependent on whether the cry comes from outside the house or inside the house where they were sleeping because of the delay. It was a ceremonial salutation neatly expressed by the Greek phrase.

Matt 25:7
Trimmed ( $\varepsilon \kappa о \sigma \mu \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Put in order, made ready. The wicks were trimmed, the lights being out while they slept, fresh oil put in the dish, and lit again. A marriage ceremony in India is described by Ward (View of the Hindoos) in Trench's Parables: "After waiting two or three hours, at length near midnight it was announced, as in the very words of Scripture, 'Behold the bridegroom cometh; go ye out to meet him.'"

Matt 25:8
Are going out ( $\sigma \beta \varepsilon v v v_{\tau \tau \alpha}$ ). Present middle indicative of linear action, not punctiliar or aoristic. When the five foolish virgins lit their lamps, they discovered the lack of oil. The sputtering, flickering, smoking wicks were a sad revelation. "And perhaps we are to understand that there is something in the coincidence of the lamps going out just as the Bridegroom arrived. Mere outward religion is found to have no illuminating power" (Plummer).

Matt 25:9
Peradventure there will not be enough for us and you ( $\mu \eta \pi о \tau \varepsilon$ оv $\mu \eta$ р $\rho \kappa \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon ~ \eta \mu \nu v \kappa \alpha$ $v \mu \nu v)$. There is an elliptical construction here that is not easy of explanation. Some MSS. Aleph A L Z have ovk instead of ov $\mu \eta$. But even so $\mu \eta \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ has to be explained either by supplying an imperative like $\gamma \iota v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega$ or by a verb of fearing like $\varphi \circ \beta \circ \nu \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ (this most likely). Either ouk or ov $\mu \eta$ would be proper with the futuristic subjunctive $\alpha \rho \kappa \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon$ (Moulton, Prolegomena, p. 192; Robertson, Grammar, pp. 1161,1174). "We are afraid that there is no possibility of there being enough for us both." This is a denial of oil by the wise virgins because there was not enough for both. "It was necessary to show that the foolish virgins could not have the consequences of their folly averted at the last moment" (Plummer). It is a courteous reply, but it is decisive. The compound Greek negatives are very expressive, $\mu \eta \pi о \tau \varepsilon-$-ov $\mu \eta$.

Matt 25:10
And while they went away ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v \omega v \delta \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Present middle participle, genitive absolute, while they were going away, descriptive linear action. Picture of their inevitable folly.

Was shut ( $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon เ \sigma \theta \eta)$. Effective aorist passive indicative, shut to stay shut.
Matt 25:11
Afterward (vбтє $\rho \circ v$ ). And find the door shut in their faces.
Lord, Lord, open to us (Kvpıє, Kvpıє, avoı\}ov $\eta \mu \nu \nu$ ). They appeal to the bridegroom who is now master whether he is at the bride's house or his own.

Matt 25:12
I know you not (ovk ot $\delta \alpha$ v $\mu \propto \varsigma$ ). Hence there was no reason for special or unusual favours to be granted them. They must abide the consequences of their own negligence.

Matt 25:13
Watch therefore ( $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma$ орєוtع ouv). This is the refrain with all the parables. Lack of foresight is inexcusable. Ignorance of the time of the second coming is not an excuse for neglect, but a reason for readiness. Every preacher goes up against this trait in human nature, putting off till another time what should be done today.

Matt 25:14
Going into another country ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \delta \eta \mu \omega v$ ). About to go away from one's people ( $\delta \eta \mu \sigma \varsigma)$, on the point of going abroad. This word in ancient use in this sense. There is an ellipse here that has to be supplied,

## It is as when or

The kingdom of heaven is as when. This Parable of the Talents is quite similar to the Parable of the Pounds in Lu 19:11-28, but they are not variations of the same story. Some scholars credit Jesus with very little versatility.

His goods ( $\tau \alpha \cup \pi \alpha \rho \chi 0 v \tau \alpha \alpha v \tau 0 v)$. His belongings, neuter participle used as a substantive.
Matt 25:15
To one $(\omega \mu \varepsilon v, \omega \delta \varepsilon, \omega \delta \varepsilon)$. Demonstrative os, not the relative. Neat Greek idiom.
According to his several ability ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta v \iota \delta \iota \alpha \nu \delta v \nu \alpha \mu v)$ ). According to his own ability. Each had all that he was capable of handling. The use that one makes of his opportunities is the measure of his capacity for more. One talent represented a considerable amount of money at that time when a $\delta \varepsilon v a \rho \iota u s$ was a day's wage. See on 18:24 for the value of a talent.

Matt 25:16
Straightway $(\varepsilon \cup \theta \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Beginning of verse 16 , not the end of verse 15 . The business temper of this slave is shown by his promptness.

With them ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \cup \tau o ぃ)$. Instrumental use of $\varepsilon v$. He worked ( $\eta \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma \alpha \tau o$ ), did business, traded with them. "The virgins wait, the servants work" (Vincent).

Made ( $\varepsilon \pi о \iota \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). But Westcott and Hort read $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu$, gained, as in verse 17. K $\varepsilon \rho \delta o \varsigma$ means interest. This gain was a hundred per cent.

Matt 25:19
Maketh a reckoning ( $\sigma v \sim \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon$ $\lambda o \gamma o v$ ). As in 18:23. Deissmann (Light from the Ancient East, p. 117) gives two papyri quotations with this very business idiom and one Nubian ostracon with it. The ancient Greek writers do not show it.

Matt 25:21
 feast on the master's return. So in verse 23 .

Matt 25:24
That had received the one talent (o тo $\tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v \tau \sigma \nu \varepsilon \iota \lambda \eta \varphi \omega \varsigma)$. Note the perfect active participle to emphasize the fact that he still had it. In verse 20 we have o-- $\lambda \alpha \beta \omega \nu$ (aorist active participle).

I knew thee $(\varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega v \sigma \varepsilon)$. Second aorist active indicative. Experimental knowledge ( $\gamma \iota \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ ) and proleptical use of $\sigma \varepsilon$.

A hard man ( $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \varsigma)$. Harsh, stern, rough man, worse than $\alpha v \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ \varsigma$ in Lu 19:21, grasping and ungenerous.

Where thou didst not scatter ( $\mathrm{o} \theta \varepsilon v$ ov $\delta \iota \varepsilon \sigma \kappa о \rho \pi \iota \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ ). But this scattering was the chaff from which wheat was winnowed, not the scattering of seed.

Matt 25:26

Thou wicked and slothful servant ( $\pi о v \eta \rho \varepsilon \delta о \cup \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ окv $\rho \varepsilon \varepsilon$ ). From $\pi о v o \varsigma$ (work, annoyance, disturbance, evil) and oкve $\omega$ (to be slow, "poky," slothful). Westcott and Hort make a question out of this reply to the end of verse 26. It is sarcasm.

Matt 25:27
Thou oughtest therefore ( $\varepsilon \delta \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \sigma v v$ ). His very words of excuse convict him. It was a necessity $(\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon)$ that he did not see.

The bankers (тоıৎ $\tau \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon$ (દıтаıऽ). The benchers, money-changers, brokers, who exchanged money for a fee and who paid interest on money. Word common in late Greek.

I should have received back ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \varepsilon \kappa о \mu \tau \sigma \alpha \mu \eta \nu \alpha v$ ). Conclusion of a condition of the second class (determined as unfulfilled). The condition is not expressed, but it is implied. "If you had done that."

With interest ( $\sigma \cup v \tau о \kappa \omega$ ). Not with "usury" in the sense of extortion or oppression. Usury only means "use" in itself. The word is from $\tau ו \kappa \tau \omega$, to bring forth. Compound interest at six per cent doubles the principal every twenty years. It is amazing how rapidly that piles up if one carries it on for centuries and millenniums. "In the early Roman Empire legal interest was eight per cent, but in usurious transactions it was lent at twelve, twenty-four, and even forty-eight" (Vincent). Such practices exist today in our cities. The Mosaic law did not allow interest in dealings between Hebrews, but only with strangers (De 23:19,20; Ps 15:5 ).

Matt 25:30
 itable, injurious. Doing nothing is doing harm.

Matt 25:32
All the nations (panta ta ethn区). Not just Gentiles, but Jews also. Christians and nonChristians. This program for the general judgment has been challenged by some scholars who regard it as a composition by the evangelist to exalt Christ. But why should not Christ say this if he is the Son of Man and the Son of God and realized it? A "reduced" Christ has trouble with all the Gospels, not merely with the Fourth Gospel, and no less with Q and Mark than with Matthew and Luke. This is a majestic picture with which to close the series of parables about readiness for the second coming. Here is the program when he does come. "I am aware that doubt is thrown on this passage by some critics. But the doubt is most wanton. Where is the second brain that could have invented anything so original and so sublime as vv. 35-40,42-45 ?" (Sanday, Life of Christ in Recent Research, p. 128).

As the shepherd separates ( $\omega \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho$ о $\pi о \not \mu \eta \nu \alpha \varphi о \rho \iota(\varepsilon)$. A common figure in Palestine. The sheep are usually white and the goats black. There are kids ( $\varepsilon \rho \iota \varphi \omega v, \varepsilon \rho \iota \varphi \iota \alpha)$ which have grazed together. The goats devastate a field of all herbage. "Indeed they have extirpated many species of trees which once covered the hills" (Tristram, Natural History of the Bible,
pp. 89f.). The shepherd stands at the gate and taps the sheep to go to the right and the goats to the left.

Matt 25:34
From the foundation of the world ( $\alpha \pi о ~ к \alpha \tau \alpha \beta о \lambda \eta \varsigma ~ к о \sigma \mu о v$ ). The eternal purpose of the Father for his elect in all the nations. The Son of Man in verse 31 is the King here seated on the throne in judgment.

Matt 25:36
Clothed me ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist middle indicative, cast something around me.

Visited me ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \psi \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). Looked after, came to see. Our "visit" is from Latin viso, video. Cf. our English "go to see."

Matt 25:40
Ye did it unto me ( $\varepsilon \mu$ о $\varepsilon \pi о п \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon)$. Dative of personal interest. Christ identifies himself with the needy and the suffering. This conduct is proof of possession of love for Christ and likeness to him.

Matt 25:42
No meat (ouk $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \mu о \varphi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon ı v$ ). You did not give me anything to eat. The repetition of the negative ov in 42 and 43 is like the falling of clods on the coffin or the tomb. It is curious the surprise here shown both by the sheep and the goats. Some sheep will think that they are goats and some goats will think that they are sheep.

Matt 25:46
Eternal punishment (ко入абıv al $\omega v \iota \circ$ ). The word ко $\lambda \alpha \sigma \iota v$ comes from ко $\lambda \alpha \zeta \omega$, to mutilate or prune. Hence those who cling to the larger hope use this phrase to mean agelong pruning that ultimately leads to salvation of the goats, as disciplinary rather than penal. There is such a distinction as Aristotle pointed out between $\mu \omega \rho ı \alpha$ (vengeance) and кo $\lambda \alpha \sigma \iota s$. But the same adjective $\alpha \omega \omega v$ oc is used with кo入 $\alpha \sigma ı v$ and $\zeta \omega \eta \nu$. If by etymology we limit the scope of co $\alpha \sigma \sigma \tau$, we may likewise have only age-long $\zeta \omega \eta \nu$. There is not the slightest indication in the words of Jesus here that the punishment is not coeval with the life. We can leave all this to the King himself who is the Judge. The difficulty to one's mind about conditional chastisement is to think how a life of $\sin$ in hell can be changed into a life of love and obedience. The word $\alpha \iota \omega v$ loc (from $\alpha \omega \omega v$, age, $\alpha \varepsilon \varsigma \nu \mu, \alpha \varepsilon$ ) means either without beginning or without end or both. It comes as near to the idea of eternal as the Greek can put it in one word. It is a difficult idea to put into language. Sometimes we have "ages of ages" (au $\omega v \varepsilon$, $\tau \omega v \alpha \omega \nu \omega v)$.

Matt 26:2
Cometh ( $\gamma / v \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Futuristic use of the present middle indicative. This was probably our Tuesday evening (beginning of Jewish Wednesday). The passover began on our Thursday evening (beginning of Jewish Friday).

After two days ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \delta v o \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho a \varsigma$ ) is just the familiar popular mode of speech. The passover came technically on the second day from this time.

Is delivered up ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \iota \delta o \tau \alpha$ ). Another instance of the futuristic present passive indicative. The same form occurs in verse 24 . Thus Jesus sets a definite date for the coming crucifixion which he has been predicting for six months.

Matt 26:3
Then were gathered together the chief priests and elders of the people (Тотв
 two groups indicate (cf. 21:23).

Unto the court ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \alpha \cup \lambda \eta v$ ). The atrium or court around which the palace buildings were built. Here in this open court this informal meeting was held. Caiaphas was high priest A.D. 18 to 36. His father-in-law Annas had been high priest A.D. 6 to 15 and was still called high priest by many.

Matt 26:4
They took counsel together ( $\sigma 0 v \varepsilon \beta$ ou $\lambda \varepsilon \cup \sigma \alpha v \tau 0$ ). Aorist middle indicative, indicating their puzzled state of mind. They have had no trouble in finding Jesus (Joh 11:57). Their problem now is how to
take Jesus by subtilty and kill him (ıva тov I I $\sigma o v v ~ \delta о \lambda \omega ~ к р а \tau \eta \sigma о \sigma ı v ~ к а ~ \alpha \pi о к \tau \varepsilon เ v \omega \sigma เ v) . ~$ The Triumphal Entry and the Tuesday debate in the temple revealed the powerful following that Jesus had among the crowds from Galilee.

Matt 26:5
A tumult ( $\theta \mathrm{o} \rho \cup \beta$ os). They feared the uprising in behalf of Jesus and were arguing that the matter must be postponed till after the feast was over when the crowds had scattered. Then they could catch him "by craft" $(\delta \mathrm{o} \lambda \omega)$ as they would trap a wild beast.

Matt 26:6
In the house of Simon the leper ( $\varepsilon v$ oıкıa $\Sigma \iota \mu \omega v \circ \varsigma$ тov $\lambda \varepsilon \pi \rho \circ v$ ). Evidently a man who had been healed of his leprosy by Jesus who gave the feast in honour of Jesus. All sorts of fantastic theories have arisen about it. Some even identify this Simon with the one in Lu 7:36ff. , but Simon was a very common name and the details are very different. Some hold that it was Martha's house because she served (Joh 12:2) and that Simon was either the father or husband of Martha, but Martha loved to serve and that proves nothing. Some identify Mary of Bethany with the sinful woman in Lu 7 and even with Mary Magdalene, both gratuitous and groundless propositions. For the proof that Mary of Bethany, Mary Magdalene, and the sinful woman of Lu 7 are all distinct see my Some Minor Characters in the New Testament. John (Joh 12:1 ) apparently locates the feast six days before the passover, while Mark (Mr 14:3) and Matthew (26:6) seem to place it on the Tuesday evening (Jewish Wednesday) just two days before the passover meal. It is possible that John anticipates the date and notes the feast at Bethany at this time because he does not refer to Bethany again.

If not, the order of Mark must be followed. According to the order of Mark and Matthew, this feast took place at the very time that the Sanhedrin was plotting about the death of Jesus (Mr 14:1f. ).

Matt 26:7
An alabaster cruse of exceeding precious ointment ( $\alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \rho o v \mu \nu \rho o v \beta \alpha \rho v \tau \iota \mu \nu v$ ). The flask was of alabaster, a carbonate of lime or sulphate of lime, white or yellow stone, named alabaster from the town in Egypt where it was chiefly found. It was used for a phial employed for precious ointments in ancient writers, inscriptions and papyri just as we speak of a glass for the vessel made of glass. It had a cylindrical form at the top, as a rule, like a closed rosebud (Pliny). Matthew does not say what the ointment ( $\mu \nu \rho \circ v$ ) was, only saying that it was "exceeding precious" ( $\beta \alpha \rho v \tau \mu \circ v$ ), of weighty value, selling at a great price. Here only in the N.T. "An alabaster of nard ( $\mu \nu \rho o v$ ) was a present for a king" (Bruce). It was one of five presents sent by Cambyses to the King of Ethiopia (Herodotus, iii. 20).

She poured it upon his head ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \tau \eta \varsigma \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \varsigma \alpha v \tau o v)$. So Mark (Mr 14:3), while John (Joh 12:3 ) says that she "anointed the feet of Jesus." Why not both? The verb $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \varepsilon v$ is literally to pour down. It is the first aorist active indicative, unusual form.

Matt 26:8
This waste $(\eta \alpha \pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha \alpha \nu \tau \eta)$. Dead loss $(\alpha \pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha)$ they considered it, nothing but sentimental aroma. It was a cruel shock to Mary of Bethany to hear this comment. Matthew does not tell as John does (Joh 12:4) that it was Judas who made the point which the rest endorsed. Mark explains that they mentioned "three hundred pence," while Matthew ( $26: 9$ ) only says "for much" ( $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \mathrm{ov}$ ).

Matt 26:10
Why trouble ye the woman? ( $\tau$ колоиৎ $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \tau \eta ~ \gamma \cup v \alpha \iota \kappa \iota$ ?) A phrase not common in Greek writers, though two examples occur in the papyri for giving trouble. Колос is from $\kappa о \pi \tau \omega$, to beat, smite, cut. It is a beating, trouble, and often work, toil. Jesus champions Mary's act with this striking phrase. It is so hard for some people to allow others liberty for their own personalities to express themselves. It is easy to raise small objections to what we do not like and do not understand.

A good work upon me ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ \vee \kappa \alpha \lambda \circ \vee \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). A beautiful deed upon Jesus himself.
Matt 26:12
To prepare me for burial ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau о \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha \varphi \iota \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon$ ). Mary alone had understood what Jesus had repeatedly said about his approaching death. The disciples were so wrapped up in their own notions of a political kingdom that they failed utterly to sympathize with Jesus as he faced the cross. But Mary with the woman's fine intuitions did begin to understand and this was her way of expressing her high emotions and loyalty. The word here is the same used in Joh 19:40 about what Joseph of Arimathea and Nicodemus did for the body of Jesus before burial with the addition of $\pi \rho o \varsigma$ to showing the purpose of Mary (the infinitive of
purpose). Mary was vindicated by Jesus and her noble deed has become a "memorial of her" ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \mu \nu \eta \mu \circ \sigma \cup \mu \circ v \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma)$ as well as of Jesus.

Matt 26:15
What are ye willing to give me? ( $\tau \in \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \mu o \delta o u v \alpha ı$ ?) This "brings out the chaffering aspect of the transaction" (Vincent). "Mary and Judas extreme opposites: she freely spending in love, he willing to sell his Master for money" (Bruce). And her act of love provoked Judas to his despicable deed, this rebuke of Jesus added to all the rest.

And I will deliver him unto you ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \omega \nu \mu \nu \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \omega \sigma \omega \alpha \nu \tau o v)$. The use of $\kappa \alpha$ with a co-ordinate clause is a colloquialism (common in the Koine as in the Hebrew use of wav. "A colloquialism or a Hebraism, the traitor mean in style as in spirit" (Bruce). The use of $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ seems to mean "I though one of his disciples will hand him over to you if you give me enough."

They weighed unto him (o $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v \alpha v \tau o$ ). They placed the money in the balances or scales. "Coined money was in use, but the shekels may have been weighed out in antique fashion by men careful to do an iniquitous thing in the most orthodox way" (Bruce). It is not known whether the Sanhedrin had offered a reward for the arrest of Jesus or not.

Thirty pieces of silver ( $\tau \rho ı \alpha \kappa о \tau \tau \alpha$ apүv $1 \alpha$ ). A reference to Zec 11:12. If a man's ox gored a servant, he had to pay this amount (Ex 21:32). Some manuscripts have $\sigma \tau \alpha \tau \eta \rho a \varsigma$, (staters). These thirty silver shekels were equal to $120 \delta \varepsilon v a \rho$, less than five English pounds, less than twenty-five dollars, the current price of a slave. There was no doubt contempt for Jesus in the minds of both the Sanhedrin and Judas in this bargain.

Matt 26:16
Sought opportunity ( $\varepsilon \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \cup \kappa \alpha \rho ı \alpha v)$. A good chance. Note imperfect tense. Judas went at his business and stuck to it.

Matt 26:17
To eat the passover ( $\varphi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon ⿺ 辶 ~$ to $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \alpha$ ). There were two feasts rolled into one, the passover feast and the feast of unleavened bread. Either name was employed. Here the passover meal is meant, though in Joh 18:28 it is probable that the passover feast is referred to as the passover meal (the last supper) had already been observed. There is a famous controversy on the apparent disagreement between the Synoptic Gospels and the Fourth Gospel on the date of this last passover meal. My view is that the five passages in John (Joh 13:1f.,27; 18:28; 19:14,31) rightly interpreted agree with the Synoptic Gospels (Mt 26:17,20; Mr 14:12,17; Lu 22:7,14 ) that Jesus ate the passover meal at the regular time about 6 P.M. beginning of 15 Nisan. The passover lamb was slain on the afternoon of 14 Nisan and the meal eaten at sunset the beginning of 15 Nisan. According to this view Jesus ate the passover meal at the regular time and died on the cross the afternoon of 15 Nisan . See my Harmony of the Gospels for Students of the Life of Christ, pp.279-284. The question of the disciples here assumes that
they are to observe the regular passover meal. Note the deliberative subjunctive ( $\varepsilon \tau о \mu \alpha \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v)$ after $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ı \varsigma$ with ıva. For the asyndeton see Robertson, Grammar, p. 935.

Matt 26:18
To such a man ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \delta \varepsilon เ v \alpha)$. The only instance in the N.T. of this old Attic idiom. The papyri show it for "Mr. X" and the modern Greek keeps it. Jesus may have indicated the man's name. Mark (Mr 14:13) and Luke (Lu 22:10) describe him as a man bearing a pitcher of water. It may have been the home of Mary the mother of John Mark.

I keep the passover at thy house ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \sigma \varepsilon \pi о \omega \omega \tau 0 \pi \alpha \sigma \chi \alpha$ ). Futuristic present indicative. The use of $\pi \rho o \varsigma \sigma \varepsilon$ for "at thy house" is neat Greek of the classic period. Evidently there was no surprise in this home at the command of Jesus. It was a gracious privilege to serve him thus.

Matt 26:20
He was sitting at meat (avek\&ıтo). He was reclining, lying back on the left side on the couch with the right hand free. Jesus and the Twelve all reclined. The paschal lamb had to be eaten up entirely (Ex 12:4,43).

Matt 26:21
One of you ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \xi \nu \mu \omega v$ ). This was a bolt from the blue for all except Judas and he was startled to know that Jesus understood his treacherous bargain.

Matt 26:22
Is it I, Lord? ( $\mu \eta \tau \varepsilon \gamma \omega \varepsilon \mu \mu$, Kvpı₹;). The negative expects the answer No and was natural for all save Judas. But he had to bluff it out by the same form of question (verse 25). The answer of Jesus,

Thou hast said ( $\sigma v \varepsilon ו \pi \alpha \varsigma)$, means Yes.
Matt 26:23
He that dipped (o $\varepsilon \mu \beta \alpha \psi \alpha \varsigma)$. They all dipped their hands, having no knives, forks, or spoons. The aorist participle with the article simply means that the betrayer is the one who dips his hand in the dish ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \tau \rho \nu \beta \lambda \iota \omega$ ) or platter with the broth of nuts and raisins and figs into which the bread was dipped before eating. It is plain that Judas was not recognized by the rest as indicated by what Jesus has said. This language means that one of those who had eaten bread with him had violated the rights of hospitality by betraying him. The Arabs today are punctilious on this point. Eating one's bread ties your hands and compels friendship. But Judas knew full well as is shown in verse 25 though the rest apparently did not grasp it.

Matt 26:24
Good were it for that man ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda о \nu \eta \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega)$. Conclusion of second-class condition even though $\alpha v$ is not expressed. It is not needed with verbs of obligation and necessity. There are some today who seek to palliate the crime of Judas. But Jesus here pronounces
his terrible doom. And Judas heard it and went on with his hellish bargain with the Sanhedrin. Apparently Judas went out at this stage (Joh 13:31).

Matt 26:26
And blessed and brake it ( $\varepsilon v \lambda о \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Special "Grace" in the middle of the passover meal, "as they were eating," for the institution of the Supper. Jesus broke one of the passover wafers or cakes that each might have a piece, not as a symbol of the breaking of his body as the Textus Receptus has it in 1Co 11:24. The correct text there has only to $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \nu \mu \omega v$ without $\kappa \lambda \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu 0 \nu$. As a matter of fact the body of Jesus was not "broken" (Joh 19:33 ) as John expressly states.

This is my body ( $\tau o v \tau o \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v ~ \tau o ~ \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \mu \circ v$ ). The bread as a symbol represents the body of Jesus offered for us, "a beautifully simple, pathetic, and poetic symbol of his death" (Bruce). But some have made it "run into fetish worship" (Bruce). Jesus, of course, does not mean that the bread actually becomes his body and is to be worshipped. The purpose of the memorial is to remind us of his death for our sins.

Matt 26:28
The Covenant ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta \varsigma$ ). The adjective кaıv $\bar{\varsigma}$ in Textus Receptus is not genuine. The covenant is an agreement or contract between two ( $\delta \iota \alpha, \delta v o, \theta \eta \kappa \varepsilon$, from $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$ ). It is used also for will (Latin, testamentum) which becomes operative at death (Heb 9:15-17 ). Hence our New Testament. Either covenant or will makes sense here. Covenant is the idea in Heb 7:22; 8:8 and often. In the Hebrew to make a covenant was to cut up the sacrifice and so ratify the agreement (Ge 15:9-18). Lightfoot argues that the word $\delta \iota a \eta \uparrow \varepsilon$ means covenant in the N.T. except in Heb 9:15-17. Jesus here uses the solemn words of Ex 24:8 "the blood of the covenant" at Sinai. "My blood of the covenant" is in contrast with that. This is the New Covenant of Jer 31; Heb 8.

Which is shed for many ( $\tau 0 \pi \varepsilon \rho \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \varepsilon \kappa \chi \nu v \nu o \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). A prophetic present passive participle. The act is symbolized by the ordinance. Cf. the purpose of Christ expressed in $20: 28$. There $\alpha v \tau$ and here $\pi \varepsilon \rho$.

Unto remission of sins ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \iota v \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \omega v$ ). This clause is in Matthew alone but it is not to be restricted for that reason. It is the truth. This passage answers all the modern sentimentalism that finds in the teaching of Jesus only pious ethical remarks or eschatological dreamings. He had the definite conception of his death on the cross as the basis of forgiveness of $\sin$. The purpose of the shedding of his blood of the New Covenant was precisely to remove (forgive) sins.

Matt 26:29
When I drink it new with you (o $\tau \alpha v \alpha v \tau o \pi \iota \nu \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime} v \mu \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \mu \rho \nu$ ). This language rather implies that Jesus himself partook of the bread and the wine, though it is not distinctly stated. In the Messianic banquet it is not necessary to suppose that Jesus means the language literally, "the fruit of the vine." Deissmann (Bible Studies, pp. 109f.) gives an instance of
$\gamma \varepsilon v \eta \mu \alpha$ used of the vine in a papyrus 230 B.C. The language here employed does not make it obligatory to employ wine rather than pure grape juice if one wishes the other.

Matt 26:30
Sang a hymn ( $\nu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. The Hallel, part of Ps 115-118 . But apparently they did not go out at once to the Garden of Gethsemane. Jesus tarried with them in the Upper Room for the wonderful discourse and prayer in Joh 14-17. They may have gone out to the street after Joh 14:31. It was no longer considered obligatory to remain in the house after the passover meal till morning as at the start (Ex 12:22). Jesus went out to Gethsemane, the garden of the agony, outside of Jerusalem, toward the Mount of Olives.

Matt 26:33
I will never be offended ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ov $\delta \varepsilon \pi о \tau \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma о \mu \alpha)$. "Made to stumble," not "offended." Volitive future passive indicative. Peter ignored the prophecy of the resurrection of Jesus and the promised meeting in Galilee (32). The quotation from Zec 13:7 made no impression on him. He was intent on showing that he was superior to "all" the rest. Judas had turned traitor and all were weak, Peter in particular, little as he knew it. So Jesus has to make it plainer by pointing out "this night" as the time ( 34).

Before the cock crows ( $\pi \rho \iota \nu \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau о \rho \alpha ~ \varphi \omega v \eta \sigma \alpha)$ ). No article in the Greek, "before a cock crow." Mark (Mr 14:30) says that Peter will deny Jesus thrice before the cock crows twice. When one cock crows in the morning, others generally follow. The three denials lasted over an hour. Some scholars hold that chickens were not allowed in Jerusalem by the Jews, but the Romans would have them.

Matt 26:35
Even if I must die with thee ( $\kappa \boxtimes v \delta \varepsilon \eta \mu \varepsilon \sigma v v \sigma o \alpha \pi o \theta \alpha v \varepsilon เ v)$. Third-class condition. A noble speech and meant well. His boast of loyalty is made still stronger by ov $\mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ $\alpha \pi \alpha \rho v \eta \sigma о \mu \alpha$. The other disciples were undoubtedly embarrassed by Peter's boast and lightheartedly joined in the same profession of fidelity.

Matt 26:36
Gethsemane ( $\Gamma \varepsilon \theta \sigma \eta \mu \alpha v \varepsilon$ ). The word means oil-press in the Hebrew, or olive vat. The place ( $\chi \omega \rho \circ \frac{\nu}{}$ ) was an enclosed plot or estate, "garden," or orchard (кплос). It is called villa in the Vulgate according to Joh 18:1. It was beyond the torrent Kedron at the foot of the Mount of Olives about three-fourths of a mile from the eastern walls of Jerusalem. There are now eight old olive trees still standing in this enclosure. One cannot say that they are the very trees near which Jesus had his Agony, but they are very old. "They will remain so long as their already protracted life is spared, the most venerable of their race on the surface of the earth. Their guarded trunks and scanty foliage will always be regarded as the most affecting of the sacred memorials in or about Jerusalem" (Stanley, Sinai and Palestine).

Here (avtou),
Yonder (єкع). Jesus clearly pointed to the place where he would pray. Literally "there."

## Matt 26:37

He took with him ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \omega v$ ). Taking along, by his side ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$ ), as a mark of special favour and privilege, instead of leaving this inner circle of three (Peter, James, and John) with the other eight. The eight would serve as a sort of outer guard to watch by the gate of the garden for the coming of Judas while the three would be able to share the agony of soul already upon Jesus so as at least to give him some human sympathy which he craved as he sought help from the Father in prayer. These three had been with Jesus on the Mount of Transfiguration and now they are with him in this supreme crisis. The grief of Christ was now severe. The word for
sore troubled ( $\alpha \delta \eta \mu \mathrm{ov} \mathrm{\varepsilon} v$ ) is of doubtful etymology. There is an adjective $\alpha \delta \eta \mu o \varsigma$ equal to $\alpha \pi о \delta \eta \mu$ о meaning "not at home," "away from home," like the German unheimisch, unheimlich. But whatever the etymology, the notion of intense discomfort is plain. The word $\alpha \delta \eta \mu$ oveıv occurs in P.Oxy. II, 298,456 of the first century A.D. where it means "excessively concerned." See Php 2:26 where Paul uses it of Epaphroditus. Moffatt renders it here "agitated." The word occurs sometimes with $\alpha \pi о \rho \varepsilon \omega$ to be at a loss as to which way to go. The Braid Scots has it "sair putten-aboot." Here Matthew has also "to be sorrowful" ( $\lambda$ ขлعוб $\theta a)$, but Mark (Mr 14:33) has the startling phrase
greatly amazed and sore troubled ( $\varepsilon \kappa \theta \alpha \mu \beta \varepsilon เ \sigma \theta a \kappa \alpha \alpha \delta \eta \mu \circ v \varepsilon เ v)$, a "feeling of terrified surprise."

Matt 26:38
Watch with me ( $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma о \rho \varepsilon ı \tau \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \circ v$ ). This late present from the perfect $\varepsilon \gamma \rho \eta \gamma \circ \rho \alpha$ means to keep awake and not go to sleep. The hour was late and the strain had been severe, but Jesus pleaded for a bit of human sympathy as he wrestled with his Father. It did not seem too much to ask. He had put his sorrow in strong language, "even unto death" ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ Өavatov) that ought to have alarmed them.

Matt 26:39
He went forward a little ( $\pi \rho \circ \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega v \mu \kappa \rho o v$ ). As if he could not fight the battle in their immediate presence. He was on his face, not on his knees (McNeile).

This cup (то лотпрьо тоито). The figure can mean only the approaching death. Jesus had used it of his coming death when James and John came to him with their ambitious request, "the cup which I am about to drink" (Mt 20:22). But now the Master is about to taste the bitter dregs in the cup of death for the sin of the world. He was not afraid that he would die before the Cross, though he instinctively shrank from the cup, but instantly surrendered his will to the Father's will and drank it to the full. Evidently Satan tempted Christ now to draw back from the Cross. Here Jesus won the power to go on to Calvary.

Matt 26:40
What (ovtwc). The Greek adverb is not interrogation or exclamatory $\tau$, but only "so" or "thus." There is a tone of sad disappointment at the discovery that they were asleep after
the earnest plea that they keep awake (verse 38). "Did you not thus have strength enough to keep awake one hour?" Every word struck home.

Matt 26:41
Watch and pray ( $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma$ орєıє ка $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon u \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Jesus repeats the command of verse 38 with the addition of prayer and with the warning against the peril of temptation. He himself was feeling the worst of all temptations of his earthly life just then. He did not wish then to enter such temptation ( $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \sigma \mu \circ v$, here in this sense, not mere trial). Thus we are to understand the prayer in Mt 6:13 about leading (being led) into temptation. Their failure was due to weakness of the flesh as is often the case.

Spirit ( $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha)$ here is the moral life ( $เ \nu \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \chi \tau$, wı $\lambda \lambda$, $\varepsilon \mu \circ \tau \iota \circ v \varsigma$ ) as opposed to the flesh (cf. Isa 31:3; Ro 7:25 ).

Except I drink it ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \mu \eta$ av $\tau 0 \pi \omega)$. Condition of the third class undetermined, but with likelihood of determination, whereas
if this cannot pass away ( $\varepsilon$ ou $\delta u v a \tau \alpha$ тov $\tau 0 \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon ı v$ ) is first-class condition, determined as fulfilled, assumed to be true. This delicate distinction accurately presents the real attitude of Jesus towards this subtle temptation.

Matt 26:43
For their eyes were heavy ( $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha v \tau \omega \nu$ o $o \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu o \beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \rho \eta \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Past perfect passive indicative periphrastic. Their eyes had been weighted down with sleep and still were as they had been on the Mount of Transfiguration (Lu 9:32 ).

Matt 26:45
Sleep on now and take your rest ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ~ \lambda о \iota \pi о \nu ~ к \alpha ~ \alpha v \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). This makes it "mournful irony" (Plummer) or reproachful concession: "Ye may sleep and rest indefinitely so far as I am concerned; I need no longer your watchful interest" (Bruce). It may be a sad query as Goodspeed: "Are you still sleeping and taking your rest?" So Moffatt. This use of dormov for now or henceforth is common in the papyri.

The hour is at hand ( $\eta \gamma \gamma \iota \kappa \varepsilon \vee \eta \omega \rho \alpha$ ). Time for action has now come. They have missed their chance for sympathy with Jesus. He has now won the victory without their aid. "The Master's time of weakness is past; He is prepared to face the worst" (Bruce).

Is betrayed ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \iota \delta o \tau \alpha$ ). Futuristic present or inchoative present, the first act in the betrayal is at hand. Jesus had foreseen his "hour" for long and now he faces it bravely.

## Matt 26:46

He is at hand ( $\eta \gamma \gamma \not \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). The same verb and tense used of the hour above, present perfect active of $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \zeta \zeta \omega$, to draw near, the very form used by John the Baptist of the coming of the kingdom of heaven (Mt 3:2). Whether Jesus heard the approach of the betrayer with the crowd around him or saw the lights or just felt the proximity of the traitor before he was there (J. Weiss), we do not know and it matters little. The scene is pictured as it happened with lifelike power.

Matt 26:47
While he yet spake ( $\varepsilon \tau$ autov $\lambda \alpha \lambda$ ouv $\tau o c$ ). It was an electric moment as Jesus faced Judas with his horde of helpers as if he turned to meet an army.

Let us go ( $\alpha \gamma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ), Jesus had said. And here he is. The eight at the gate seemed to have given no notice. Judas is described here as "one of the twelve" ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau \omega \nu \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ) in all three Synoptic Gospels (Mr 14:43; Mt 26:47; Lu 22:47). The very horror of the thing is thus emphasized, that one of the chosen twelve apostles should do this dastardly deed.

A great multitude (oх $\lambda$ оৎ $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \cup \varsigma$ ). The chief priests and Pharisees had furnished Judas a band of soldiers from the garrison in Antonia (Joh 18:3) and the temple police (Lu 22:52 ) with swords (knives) and staves (clubs) with a hired rabble who had lanterns also (Joh 18:3 ) in spite of the full moon. Judas was taking no chances of failure for he well knew the strange power of Jesus.

Matt 26:48
Gave them a sign ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ avtoıc $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Probably just before he reached the place, though Mark (Mr 14:44) has "had given" ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon$ ) which certainly means before arrival at Gethsemane. At any rate Judas had given the leaders to understand that he would kiss ( $\varphi\rangle \lambda \eta \sigma \omega$ ) Jesus in order to identify him for certain. The kiss was a common mode of greeting and Judas chose that sign and actually "kissed him fervently" ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varphi \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$, verse 49), though the compound verb sometimes in the papyri has lost its intensive force. Bruce thinks that Judas was prompted by the inconsistent motives of smouldering love and cowardice. At any rate this revolting ostentatious kiss is "the most terrible instance of the عкоvбıа $\varphi \downarrow \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ $\varepsilon \chi \theta \rho \circ 0$ ( $\operatorname{Pr} 27: 6$ )," the profuse kisses of an enemy (McNeile). This same compound verb occurs in Lu 7:38 of the sinful woman, in Lu 15:20 of the Father's embrace of the Prodigal Son, and in Ac 20:37 of the Ephesian elders and Paul.

Matt 26:50
Do that for which thou art come ( $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime}$ o $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon$ ). Moffatt and Goodspeed take it: "Do your errand." There has been a deal of trouble over this phrase. Deissmann (Light from the Ancient East, pp. 125 to 131) has proven conclusively that it is a question, $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime}$ o in late Greek having the interrogative sense of $\varepsilon \pi \tau$ (Robertson, Grammar, p. 725). The use of $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime}$ o for "why here" occurs on a Syrian tablet of the first century A.D. 50 that it "was current coin in the language of the people" (Deissmann). Most of the early translations (Old Latin, Old Syriac) took it as a question. So the Vulgate has ad quid venisti. In this instance the Authorized Version is correct against the Revised. Jesus exposes the pretence of Judas and shows that he does not believe in his paraded affection (Bruce).

Matt 26:51
One of them that were with Jesus ( $\varepsilon \iota \tau \omega \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ I I $\sigma \sigma v$ ). Like the other Synoptics Matthew conceals the name of Peter, probably for prudential reasons as he was still living before A.D. 68. John writing at the end of the century mentions Peter's name (Joh 18:10).

The sword or knife was one of the two that the disciples had (Lu 22:38). Bruce suggests that it was a large knife used in connexion with the paschal feast. Evidently Peter aimed to cut off the man's head, not his ear ( $\omega \tau$ tov is diminutive in form, but not in sense, as often in the Koine). He may have been the leader of the band. His name, Malchus, is also given by John (Joh 18:10) because Peter was then dead and in no danger.

## Matt 26:52

Put up again thy sword ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \sigma v \tau \eta \nu \mu \alpha \chi \alpha \iota \rho \alpha v \sigma o v)$. Turn back thy sword into its place. It was a stern rebuke for Peter who had misunderstood the teaching of Jesus in Lu 22:38 as well as in Mt 5:39 (cf. Joh 18:36). The reason given by Jesus has had innumerable illustrations in human history. The sword calls for the sword. Offensive war is here given flat condemnation. The Paris Pact of 1928 (the Kellogg Treaty) is certainly in harmony with the mind of Christ. The will to peace is the first step towards peace, the outlawing of war. Our American cities are often ruled by gangsters who kill each other off.

Matt 26:53
Even now ( $\alpha \rho \tau$ ). Just now, at this very moment.
Legions ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega \mathrm{v} \alpha \varsigma)$. A Latin word. Roman soldiers in large numbers were in Palestine later in A.D. 66, but they were in Caesarea and in the tower of Antonia in Jerusalem. A full Roman legion had 6,100 foot and 726 horse in the time of Augustus. But Jesus sees more than twelve legions at his command (one for each apostle) and shows his undaunted courage in this crisis. One should recall the story of Elisha at Dothan (2Ki 6:17).

Matt 26:54
Must be ( $\delta \varepsilon$ ). Jesus sees clearly his destiny now that he has won the victory in Gethsemane.

Matt 26:55
As against a robber ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \iota \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ ). As a robber, not as a thief, but a robber hiding from justice. He will be crucified between two robbers and on the very cross planned for their leader, Barabbas. They have come with no warrant for any crime, but with an armed force to seize Jesus as if a highway robber. Jesus reminds them that he used to sit (imperfect, $\varepsilon к \alpha \theta \varepsilon \zeta о \mu \eta v)$ in the temple and teach. But he sees God's purpose in it all for the prophets had foretold his "cup." The desertion of Jesus by the disciples followed this rebuke of the effort of Peter. Jesus had surrendered. So they fled.

Matt 26:58
To see the end (ı $\delta \varepsilon \iota v$ тo $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \circ \varsigma)$. Peter rallied from the panic and followed afar off ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \circ \theta \varepsilon v$ ), "more courageous than the rest and yet not courageous enough" (Bruce). John the Beloved Disciple went on into the room where Jesus was. The rest remained outside, but Peter "sat with the officers" to see and hear and hoping to escape notice.

Matt 26:59

Sought false witness against Jesus ( $\varepsilon\lceil\eta \tau 0 v v \psi \varepsilon v \delta o \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho ı \alpha v)$. Imperfect tense, kept on seeking. Judges have no right to be prosecutors and least of all to seek after false witness and even to offer bribes to get it.

Matt 26:60
They found it not ( $\kappa \alpha$ ov $\begin{gathered}\text { cupov). They found false witnesses in plenty, but not the }\end{gathered}$ false witness that would stand any sort of test.

Matt 26:61
 he had said (Joh 2:19 ) referred to the temple of his body which they were to destroy (and did) and which he would raise again in three days as he did. It was a pitiful perversion of what Jesus had said and even so the two witnesses disagreed in their misrepresentation (Mr 14:59).

Matt 26:63
Held his peace ( $\varepsilon \sigma \omega \pi \tau)$. Kept silent, imperfect tense. Jesus refused to answer the bluster of Caiaphas.
 put Jesus on oath in order to make him incriminate himself, a thing unlawful in Jewish jurisprudence. He had failed to secure any accusation against Jesus that would stand at all. But Jesus did not refuse to answer under solemn oath, clearly showing that he was not thinking of oaths in courts of justice when he prohibited profanity. The charge that Caiaphas makes is that Jesus claims to be the Messiah, the Son of God. To refuse to answer would be tantamount to a denial. So Jesus answered knowing full well the use that would be made of his confession and claim.

Matt 26:64
Thou hast said ( $\sigma v \varepsilon ו \pi \alpha \varsigma)$. This is a Greek affirmative reply. Mark (Mr 14:62) has it plainly, "I am" ( $\varepsilon \mu)$. But this is not all that Jesus said to Caiaphas. He claims that the day will come when Jesus will be the Judge and Caiaphas the culprit using the prophetic language in Da 7:13 and Ps 109:1. It was all that Caiaphas wanted.

Matt 26:65
He hath spoken blasphemy ( $\varepsilon \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v)$. There was no need of witnesses now, for Jesus had incriminated himself by claiming under oath to be the Messiah, the Son of God. Now it would not be blasphemy for the real Messiah to make such a claim, but it was intolerable to admit that Jesus could be the Messiah of Jewish hope. At the beginning of Christ's ministry he occasionally used the word Messiah of himself, but he soon ceased, for it was plain that it would create trouble. The people would take it in the sense of a political revolutionist who would throw off the Roman yoke. If he declined that role, the Pharisees would have none of him for that was the kind of a Messiah that they desired. But the hour has now come. At the Triumphal Entry Jesus let the Galilean crowds hail him as Messiah, knowing
what the effect would be. Now the hour has struck. He has made his claim and has defied the High Priest.

Matt 26:66
He is worthy of death ( $\varepsilon v o \chi o c \theta a v a \tau o v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). Held in the bonds of death $(\varepsilon v, \varepsilon \chi \omega)$ as actually guilty with the genitive ( $\theta$ avatov). The dative expresses liability as in Mt 5:21 ( $\tau \eta$ $\kappa \rho ı \sigma \varepsilon$ ) and as $\varepsilon ı \varsigma$ and the accusative (Mt 5:22). They took the vote though it was at night and they no longer had the power of death since the Romans took it away from them. Death was the penalty of blasphemy (Le 24:15). But they enjoyed taking it as their answer to his unanswerable speeches in the temple that dreadful Tuesday a few days before. It was unanimous save that Joseph of Arimathea and Nicodemus did not agree. They were probably absent and not even invited as being under suspicion for being secret disciples of Christ.

Matt 26:68
 controlled glee and abandon like a lot of hoodlums these doctors of divinity insulted Jesus. They actually spat in his face, buffeted him on the neck ( $\varepsilon к о \lambda \alpha \varphi เ \sigma \alpha v, ~ f r o m ~ к о \lambda \alpha \varphi о \varsigma ~ t h e ~$ fist), and struck him in the face with the palms of their hands ( $\varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \alpha v$, from $\rho a \pi \iota \varsigma$, a rod), all personal indignities after the legal injustice already done. They thus gave vent to their spite and hatred.

## Matt 26:69

Thou also ( $\kappa \alpha \sigma v$ ). Peter had gone within ( $\varepsilon \sigma \omega$ ) the palace ( $26: 58$ ), but was sitting
without $(\varepsilon \xi \omega)$ the hall where the trial was going on in the open central court with the servants or officers (vin $\rho \varepsilon \tau \omega v$, under rowers, literally, 26:58) of the Sanhedrin. But he could possibly see through the open door above what was going on inside. It is not plain at what stage of the Jewish trial the denials of Peter took place nor the precise order in which they came as the Gospels give them variously. This maid ( $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \sigma \kappa \eta$, slave girl) stepped up to Peter as he was sitting in the court and pointedly said: "Thou also wast with Jesus the Galilean." Peter was warming himself by the fire and the light shone in his face. She probably had noticed Peter come in with John the Beloved Disciple who went on up into the hall of trial. Or she may have seen Peter with Jesus on the streets of Jerusalem.

Matt 26:70
I know not what thou sayest (оטк oı $\delta \alpha \tau \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ). It was an affectation of extreme ignorance (Bruce) that deceived no one. It was an easy and ancient dodge and easy subterfuge. Dalman (Words of Jesus, 80f.) suggests that Peter used the Galilean Aramaean word for know instead of the Judean Aramaean word which betrayed at once his Galilean residence.

Matt 26:71
Into the porch ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ \pi u \lambda \omega v \alpha$ ). But Peter was not safe out here, for another maid recognized him and spoke of him as "this fellow" (ovtoc) with a gesture to those out there.

Matt 26:72

With an oath ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ о окои). This time Peter added an oath, probably a former habit so common to the Jews at that time, and denied acquaintance with Jesus. He even refers to Jesus as "the man" ( $\tau 0 v \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ ), an expression that could convey contempt, "the fellow."

Matt 26:73
They that stood by (o عбт $\omega \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. The talk about Peter continued. Luke (Lu 22:59) states that the little while was about an hour. The bystanders came up to Peter and bluntly assert that he was "of a truth" ( $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \omega \varsigma$ ) one of the followers of Jesus for his speech betrayed him. Even the Revised Version retains "bewrayeth," quaint old English for "betrayeth." The Greek has it simply "makes thee evident" ( $\delta \eta \lambda o v \sigma \varepsilon$ roı $\varepsilon$ ). His dialect ( $\lambda \alpha \lambda ı \alpha)$ clearly revealed that he was a Galilean. The Galileans had difficulty with the gutterals and Peter's second denial had exposed him to the tormenting raillery of the loungers who continued to nag him.

Matt 26:74
Then began he to curse and to swear ( $\tau о \tau \varepsilon \eta \rho \xi \alpha \tau о ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha \tau \iota(\varepsilon ı v ~ \kappa \alpha ~ о \mu v v \varepsilon ı v)$. He repeated his denial with the addition of profanity to prove that he was telling the truth instead of the lie that they all knew. His repeated denials gave him away still more, for he could not pronounce the Judean gutterals. He called down on himself (катаӨع $\mu \alpha \tau \iota(\varepsilon ו v)$ imprecations in his desperate irritation and loss of self-control at his exposure.

The cock crew ( $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v \varepsilon \varphi \omega v \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). No article in the Greek, just "a cock crew" at that juncture, "straightway" ( $\varepsilon v \theta v \varsigma)$. But it startled Peter.

Matt 26:75
Peter remembered ( $\varepsilon \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \eta$ о Пєтроৎ). A small thing, but magna circumstantia (Bengel). In a flash of lightning rapidity he recalled the words of Jesus a few hours before (Mt 26:34) which he had then scouted with the proud boast that "even if I must die with thee, yet will I not deny thee" ( $26: 35$ ). And now this triple denial was a fact. There is no extenuation for the base denials of Peter. He had incurred the dread penalty involved in the words of Jesus in Mt 10:33 of denial by Jesus before the Father in heaven. But Peter's revulsion of feeling was as sudden as his sin.

He went out and wept bitterly ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega v \varepsilon \xi \omega \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \alpha v \sigma \varepsilon v \pi \iota \kappa \rho \omega \varsigma)$. Luke adds that the Lord turned and looked upon Peter (Lu 22:61). That look brought Peter back to his senses. He could not stay where he now was with the revilers of Jesus. He did not feel worthy or able to go openly into the hall where Jesus was. So outside he went with a broken heart. The constative aorist here does not emphasize as Mark's imperfect does (Mr 14:72, $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \alpha \_\varepsilon v$ ) the continued weeping that was now Peter's only consolation. The tears were bitter, all the more so by reason of that look of understanding pity that Jesus gave him. One of the tragedies of the Cross is the bleeding heart of Peter. Judas was a total wreck and Peter was a near derelict. Satan had sifted them all as wheat, but Jesus had prayed specially for Peter (Lu 22:31f. ). Will Satan show Peter to be all chaff as Judas was?

## Matthew 27

Matt 27:1
Now when morning was come ( $\pi \rho \omega t a \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \nu \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute. After dawn came the Sanhedrin held a formal meeting to condemn Jesus and so ratify the illegal trial during the night ( Mr 15:1; Lu 22:66-71 ). Luke gives the details of this second ratification consultation. The phrase used,
took counsel ( $\sigma \cup \mu \beta o v \lambda \iota o v \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o v$ ) is a Latin idiom (consilium ceperunt) for $\sigma u v \varepsilon \beta о u \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha v \tau 0$.

Matt 27:2
Delivered him up to Pilate the governor ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha \nu \Pi \varepsilon \iota \lambda \alpha \tau \omega \tau \omega \eta \gamma \varepsilon \mu \circ v$ ). What they had done was all a form and a farce. Pilate had the power of death, but they had greatly enjoyed the condemnation and the buffeting of Jesus now in their power bound as a condemned criminal. He was no longer the master of assemblies in the temple, able to make the Sanhedrin cower before him. He had been bound in the garden and was bound before Annas (Joh $18: 12,24$ ), but may have been unbound before Caiaphas.

Matt 27:3
Repented himself ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Probably Judas saw Jesus led away to Pilate and thus knew that the condemnation had taken place. This verb (first aorist passive participle of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda o \mu \alpha)$ really means to be sorry afterwards like the English word repent from the Latin repoenitet, to have pain again or afterwards. See the same verb $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma$ in Mt 21:30 of the boy who became sorry and changed to obedience. The word does not have an evil sense in itself. Paul uses it of his sorrow for his sharp letter to the Corinthians, a sorrow that ceased when good came of the letter (2Co 7:8). But mere sorrow avails nothing unless it leads to change of mind and life ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \nu o \iota \alpha)$, the sorrow according to God (2Co 7:9 ). This sorrow Peter had when he wept bitterly. It led Peter back to Christ. But Judas had only remorse that led to suicide.

Matt 27:4
See thou to it $(\sigma v o \psi \eta)$. Judas made a belated confession of his sin in betraying innocent blood to the Sanhedrin, but not to God, nor to Jesus. The Sanhedrin ignore the innocent or righteous blood ( $\alpha \iota \mu \alpha \beta \omega \iota \circ$ or $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota ⿱ v$ ) and tell Judas to look after his own guilt himself. They ignore also their own guilt in the matter. The use of $\sigma v o \psi \eta$ as a volitive future, an equivalent of the imperative, is commoner in Latin (tu videris) than in Greek, though the Koine shows it also. The sentiment is that of Cain (Grotius, Bruce).

Matt 27:5
Hanged himself ( $\alpha \pi \eta \gamma \xi \alpha \tau 0$ ). Direct middle. His act was sudden after he hurled the money into the sanctuary ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau 0 v \nu \alpha o v$ ), the sacred enclosure where the priests were. The
motives of Judas in the betrayal were mixed as is usually the case with criminals. The money cut a small figure with him save as an expression of contempt as the current price of a slave. Matt 27:6
Into the treasury ( $\varepsilon$ ء̧ tov кор $\beta$ avav). Josephus (War II. 9,4) uses this very word for the sacred treasury. Korban is Aramaic for gift ( $\delta \omega \rho o v$ ) as is plain in Mr 7:11. The price of blood (blood-money) was pollution to the treasury (De 23:18f. ). So they took the money out and used it for a secular purpose. The rabbis knew how to split hairs about Korban (Mr 7:1-23; Mt 15:1-20 ), but they balk at this blood-money.

Matt 27:7
The potter's field ( $\tau 0 v ~ a \gamma \rho \circ \cup \tau$ тov $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \alpha \mu \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Grotius suggests that it was a small field where potter's clay was obtained, like a brickyard (Broadus). Otherwise we do not know why the name exists. In Ac 1:18 we have another account of the death of Judas by bursting open (possibly falling after hanging himself) after he obtained the field by the wages of
 the money was still that of Judas though he was dead and so he really "acquired" the field by his blood-money.

Matt 27:8
The field of blood ( $\alpha$ рооя $\alpha \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma$ ). This name was attached to it because it was the price of blood and that is not inconsistent with Ac 1:18f. Today potter's field carries the idea here started of burial place for strangers who have no where else to lie ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha \varphi \eta \nu \tau$ тoı $\xi \varepsilon v o \iota s)$, probably at first Jews from elsewhere dying in Jerusalem. In Ac 1:19 it is called

## Aceldama or

place of blood ( $\chi \omega \rho \ldots \sim$ aц $\mu \tau \sigma \varsigma)$ for the reason that Judas' blood was shed there, here because it was purchased by blood money. Both reasons could be true.

Matt 27:9
By Jeremiah the prophet ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ I $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \mu \circ$ ) $)$. This quotation comes mainly from Zec 11:13 though not in exact language. In Jer 18:18 the prophet tells of a visit to a potter's house and in Jer 32:6ff. of the purchase of a field. It is in Zechariah that the thirty pieces of silver are mentioned. Many theories are offered for the combination of Zechariah and Jeremiah and attributing it all to Jeremiah as in Mr 1:2f. the quotation from Isaiah and Malachi is referred wholly to Isaiah as the more prominent of the two. Broadus and McNeile give a full discussion of the various theories from a mere mechanical slip to the one just given above. Matthew
 house of the Lord" in Zec 11:13. That makes it more parallel with the language of Mt 27:7

## Matt 27:11

Now Jesus stood before the governor (o $\delta \varepsilon$ I $\eta \sigma o v \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \eta ~ \varepsilon \mu \pi \rho о \sigma \theta \varepsilon v ~ \tau o v ~ \eta \gamma \varepsilon \mu о v o \varsigma) . ~$ Here is one of the dramatic episodes of history. Jesus stood face to face with the Roman
governor. The verb $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \eta$, not $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta$ (second aorist active), is first aorist passive and can mean "was placed" there, but he stood, not sat. The term $\eta \gamma \varepsilon \mu \omega \nu$ (from $\eta \gamma \varepsilon \circ \mu \alpha$, to lead) was technically a legatus Caesaris, an officer of the Emperor, more exactly procurator, ruler under the Emperor of a less important province than propraetor (as over Syria). The senatorial provinces like Achaia were governed by proconsuls. Pilate represented Roman law.

Art thou the King of the Jews? ( $\Sigma v \varepsilon$ о $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon v \varsigma \tau \omega v$ Iov $\alpha \alpha \iota \omega v$;). This is what really mattered. Matthew does not give the charges made by the Sanhedrin (Lu 23:2) nor the private interview with Pilate (Joh 18:28-32 ). He could not ignore the accusation that Jesus claimed to be King of the Jews. Else he could be himself accused to Caesar for disloyalty. Rivals and pretenders were common all over the empire. So here was one more. By his answer ( thou sayest ) Jesus confesses that he is. So Pilate has a problem on his hands. What sort of a king does this one claim to be?

Thou ( $\sigma v$ ) the King of the Jews?
Matt 27:14
And he gave him no answer, not even to one word ( $\kappa \alpha$ оvк $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho \iota \eta \eta \cup \tau \omega \pi \rho \circ \varsigma о \cup \delta \varepsilon$ $\varepsilon v \rho \eta \mu \alpha)$. Jesus refused to answer the charges of the Jews (verse 12). Now he continued silent under the direct question of Pilate. The Greek is very precise besides the double negative. "He did not reply to him up to not even one word." This silent dignity amazed Pilate and yet he was strangely impressed.

Matt 27:17
Barabbas or Jesus which is called Christ? (Bapaßßav $\eta$ Inoovv $\tau 0 v \lambda \varepsilon \gamma о \mu \varepsilon v o v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \%$;). Pilate was catching at straws or seeking any loophole to escape condemning a harmless lunatic or exponent of a superstitious cult such as he deemed Jesus to be, certainly in no political sense a rival of Caesar. The Jews interpreted "Christ" for Pilate to be a claim to be King of the Jews in opposition to Caesar, "a most unprincipled proceeding" (Bruce). So he bethought him of the time-honoured custom at the passover of releasing to the people "a prisoner whom they wished" ( $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ v$ ov $\eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda o v$ ). No parallel case has been found, but Josephus mentions the custom (Ant. xx. 9,3). Barabbas was for some reason a popular hero, a notable ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \eta \mu \circ v$ ), if not notorious, prisoner, leader of an insurrection or revolution ( Mr 15:7 ) probably against Rome, and so guilty of the very crime that they tried to fasten on Jesus who only claimed to be king in the spiritual sense of the spiritual kingdom. So Pilate unwittingly pitted against each other two prisoners who represented the antagonistic forces of all time. It is an elliptical structure in the question, "whom do you wish that I release?" ( $\tau \imath v \alpha \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \alpha \pi \sigma \lambda v \sigma \omega ;$ ), either two questions in one (asyndeton) or the ellipse of $v \alpha a$ before $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda v \sigma \omega$. See the same idiom in verse 21. But Pilate's question tested the Jews as well as himself. It tests all men today. Some manuscripts add the name Jesus to Barabbas and that makes it all the sharper. Jesus Barabbas or Jesus Christ?

Matt 27:18

For envy ( $\delta \iota \alpha \varphi \theta$ ovov). Pilate was dense about many things, but he knew that the Jewish leaders were jealous of the power of Jesus with the people. He may have heard of the events of the Triumphal Entry and the Temple Teaching. The envy, of course, came primarily from the leaders.

Matt 27:19
His wife ( $\eta \gamma \cup v \eta$ avtov). Poor Pilate was getting more entangled every moment as he hesitated to set Jesus free whom he knew to be free of any crime against Caesar. Just at the moment when he was trying to enlist the people in behalf of Jesus against the schemes of the Jewish leaders, his wife sent a message about her dream concerning Jesus. She calls Jesus "that righteous man" ( $\tau \omega \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \omega \omega$ єкєıv $\omega$ ) and her psychical sufferings increased Pilate's superstitious fears. Tradition names her Procla and even calls her a Christian which is not probable. But it was enough to unnerve the weak Pilate as he sat on the judgment-seat ( $\varepsilon \pi$ tov $\beta \eta \mu \alpha \tau$ то ) up over the pavement.

Matt 27:20
Persuaded ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon เ \sigma \alpha v)$. The chief priests (Sadducees) and elders (Pharisees) saw the peril of the situation and took no chances. While Pilate wavered in pressing the question, they used all their arts to get the people to "ask for themselves" (aırך $\sigma \omega v \tau \alpha$, indirect middle ingressive aorist subjunctive) and to choose Barabbas and not Jesus.

Matt 27:22
What then shall I do unto Jesus which is called Christ? ( $\tau$ ouv $\pi 0 \not \eta \sigma \omega$ I $\eta \sigma o u v$ тov $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma o \mu \varepsilon v o v$ X $\rho ı \sigma \tau o v ;$ ). They had asked for Barabbas under the tutelage of the Sanhedrin, but Pilate pressed home the problem of Jesus with the dim hope that they might ask for Jesus also. But they had learned their lesson. Some of the very people who shouted "Hosannah" on the Sunday morning of the Triumphal Entry now shout

Let him be crucified ( $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \omega \theta \eta \tau \omega$ ). The tide has now turned against Jesus, the hero of Sunday, now the condemned criminal of Friday. Such is popular favour. But all the while Pilate is shirking his own fearful responsibility and trying to hide his own weakness and injustice behind popular clamour and prejudice.

Matt 27:23
Why, what evil hath he done? ( $\tau$ ү $\alpha \rho$ какоv $\varepsilon \pi о \succsim \eta \sigma \varepsilon$;). This was a feeble protest by a flickering conscience. Pilate descended to that level of arguing with the mob now inflamed with passion for the blood of Jesus, a veritable lynching fiasco. But this exhibition of weakness made the mob fear refusal by Pilate to proceed. So they "kept crying exceedingly" ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \omega \varsigma$ $\varepsilon \kappa \rho \alpha \zeta o v$, imperfect tense of repeated action and vehemently) their demand for the crucifixion of Jesus. It was like a gladiatorial show with all thumbs turned down.

Matt 27:24
Washed his hands ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon v \iota \psi a \tau 0 \tau \alpha \varsigma \chi \varepsilon ı \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. As a last resort since the hubbub ( $\theta \circ \rho \cup \beta \circ \varsigma$ ) increased because of his vacillation. The verb $\alpha \pi \sigma v ı \pi \tau \omega$ means to wash off and the middle
voice means that he washed off his hands for himself as a common symbol of cleanliness and added his pious claim with a slap at them.

## I am innocent of the blood of this righteous man (or

 this blood ); as some manuscripts have it, $v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ o \psi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$.) The Jews used this symbol (De 21:6; Ps 26:6; 73:13 ). Plummer doubts if Pilate said these words with a direct reference to his wife's message ( $26: 19$ ), but I fail to see the ground for that scepticism. The so-called Gospel of Peter says that Pilate washed his hands because the Jews refused to do so.

Matt 27:25
His blood be upon us and upon our children ( $\tau \circ \alpha \mu \alpha \alpha v \tau о v \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \tau \varepsilon \kappa \nu \alpha \eta \mu \omega v$ ). These solemn words do show a consciousness that the Jewish people recognized their guilt and were even proud of it. But Pilate could not wash away his own guilt that easily. The water did not wash away the blood of Jesus from his hands any more than Lady Macbeth could wash away the blood-stains from her lily-white hands. One legend tells that in storms on Mt. Pilatus in Switzerland his ghost comes out and still washes his hands in the stormclouds. There was guilt enough for Judas, for Caiaphas and for all the Sanhedrin both Sadducees and Pharisees, for the Jewish people as a whole ( $\pi \alpha \varsigma$ о $\lambda \alpha \sigma \varsigma$ ), and for Pilate. At bottom the sins of all of us nailed Jesus to the Cross. This language is no excuse for race hatred today, but it helps explain the sensitiveness between Jew and Christians on this subject. And Jews today approach the subject of the Cross with a certain amount of prejudice.

## Matt 27:26

Scourged ( $\varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. The Latin verb flagellare. Pilate apparently lost interest in Jesus when he discovered that he had no friends in the crowd. The religious leaders had been eager to get Jesus condemned before many of the Galilean crowd friendly to Jesus came into the city. They had apparently succeeded. The scourging before the crucifixion was a brutal Roman custom. The scourging was part of the capital punishment. Deissmann (Light from the Ancient East, p. 269) quotes a Florentine papyrus of the year 85 A.D. wherein G. Septimius Vegetus, governor of Egypt, says of a certain Phibion: "Thou hadst been worthy of scourging ... but I will give thee to the people."

## Matt 27:27

Into the palace ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ тo $\pi \rho \alpha \iota \tau \omega \rho \iota v$ ). In Rome the praetorium was the camp of the praetorian (from praetor) guard of soldiers (Php 1:13), but in the provinces it was the palace in which the governor resided as in Ac 23:35 in Caesarea. So here in Jerusalem Pilate ordered Jesus and all the band or cohort (o $\lambda \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu \sigma \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \nu$ ) of soldiers to be led into the palace in front of which the judgment-seat had been placed. The Latin spira was anything rolled into a circle like a twisted ball of thread. These Latin words are natural here in the atmosphere of the court and the military environment. The soldiers were gathered together for the sport
of seeing the scourging. These heathen soldiers would also enjoy showing their contempt for the Jews as well as for the condemned man.

Matt 27:28
A scarlet robe ( $\chi \lambda \alpha \mu \nu \delta \alpha$ коккıv $\eta$ ). A kind of short cloak worn by soldiers, military officers, magistrates, kings, emperors (2Macc. 12:35; Josephus, Ant. V. 1,10), a soldier's sagum or scarf. Carr (Cambridge Gk. Test.) suggests that it may have been a worn-out scarf of Pilate's. The scarlet colour (коккı $\nu \nu$ ) was a dye derived from the female insect ( $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \mu \varepsilon \varsigma$ ) which gathered on the $\iota \lambda \varepsilon \xi$ ХоХХ $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ found in Palestine. These dried clusters of insects look like berries and form the famous dye. The word occurs in Plutarch, Epictetus, Herodas, and late papyri besides the Septuagint and New Testament. Mark (Mr 15:17) has "purple" ( $\pi о \rho \varphi \cup \rho \alpha v$ ). There are various shades of purple and scarlet and it is not easy to distinguish these colours or tints. The manuscripts vary here between "stripped" ( $\varepsilon \kappa \delta v \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$ and "clothed" ( $\varepsilon v \delta v \sigma a v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. He had been stripped for the scourging. If "clothed" is correct, the soldiers added the scarlet (purple) mantle. Herodotus (iii. 139) relates that Darius richly rewarded a Samian exile for a rare scarlet robe which he obtained from him. This scarlet mantle on Jesus was mock imitation of the royal purple.

Matt 27:29
A crown of thorns ( $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha v o v \varepsilon \xi \alpha \kappa \alpha v \theta \omega v$ ). They wove a crown out of thorns which would grow even in the palace grounds. It is immaterial whether they were young and tender thorn bushes, as probable in the spring, or hard bushes with sharp prongs. The soldiers would not care, for they were after ridicule and mockery even if it caused pain. It was more like a victor's garland ( $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha v o v$ ) than a royal diadem ( $\delta i \alpha \delta \eta \mu \alpha)$, but it served the purpose. So with the reed ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \circ v$ ), a stalk of common cane grass which served as sceptre. The soldiers were familiar with the Ave Caesar and copy it in their mockery of Jesus:

Hail, King of the Jews ( $\chi \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon$, Baбı $\lambda \varepsilon v \tau \omega v$ Iov $\delta a \iota \omega v$ ). The soldiers added the insults used by the Sanhedrin (Mt 26:67), spitting on him and smiting him with the reed. Probably Jesus had been unbound already. At any rate the garments of mockery were removed before the via dolorosa to the cross (verse 31).

Matt 27:32
Compelled ( $\eta \gamma \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \cup \sigma \alpha v$ ). This word of Persian origin was used in Mt 5:41, which see. There are numerous papyri examples of Ptolemaic date and it survives in modern Greek vernacular. So the soldiers treat Simon of Cyrene (a town of Libya) as a Persian courier ( $\alpha \gamma \gamma \alpha \rho \circ \varsigma$ ) and impress him into service, probably because Jesus was showing signs of physical weakness in bearing his own Cross as the victims had to do, and not as a mere jest on Simon. "Gethsemane, betrayal, the ordeal of the past sleepless night, scourging, have made the flesh weak" (Bruce). Yes, and the burden of $\sin$ of the world that was breaking his heart.

His cross ( $\tau 0 v \sigma \tau \alpha \cup \rho o v a v \tau o v)$. Jesus had used the term cross about himself ( 16:24). It was a familiar enough picture under Roman rule. Jesus had long foreseen and foretold this horrible form of death for himself (Mt 20:19; 23:24; 26:2). He had heard the cry of the mob to Pilate that he be crucified (27:22) and Pilate's surrender (27:26) and he was on the way to the Cross (27:31). There were various kinds of crosses and we do not know precisely the shape of the Cross on which Jesus was crucified, though probably the one usually presented is correct. Usually the victim was nailed (hands and feet) to the cross before it was raised and it was not very high. The crucifixion was done by the soldiers ( $27: 35$ ) in charge and two robbers were crucified on each side of Jesus, three crosses standing in a row (27:38).

Matt 27:33
Golgotha (Го $\lambda \gamma_{0} \theta \alpha$ ). Chaldaic or Aramaic Gulgatha, Hebrew Gulgoleth, place of a skull-shaped mount, not place of skulls. Latin Vulgate Calvariae locus, hence our Calvary. Tyndale misunderstood it as a place of dead men's skulls. Calvary or Golgotha is not the traditional place of the Holy Sepulchre in Jerusalem, but a place outside of the city, probably what is now called Gordon's Calvary, a hill north of the city wall which from the Mount of Olives looks like a skull, the rock-hewn tombs resembling eyes in one of which Jesus may have been buried.

Matt 27:34
Wine mingled with gall (ovov $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \chi о \lambda \eta \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \mu \tau \gamma \mu \varepsilon v o v)$ ). Late MSS. read
vinegar ( $\mathrm{o} \xi_{\mathrm{oc}}$ ) instead of wine and Mark (Mr 15:23) has myrrh instead of gall. The myrrh gave the sour wine a better flavour and like the bitter gall had a narcotic and stupefying effect. Both elements may have been in the drink which Jesus tasted and refused to drink. Women provided the drink to deaden the sense of pain and the soldiers may have added the gall to make it disagreeable. Jesus desired to drink to the full the cup from his Father's hand (Joh 18:11).

Matt 27:36
Watched him there ( $\varepsilon \tau \eta \rho o u v$ avtov $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect tense descriptive of the task to prevent the possibility of rescue or removal of the body. These rough Roman soldiers casting lots over the garments of Christ give a picture of comedy at the foot of the Cross, the tragedy of the ages.

Matt 27:37
His accusation ( $\tau \eta v$ aı $\tau \iota \alpha v$ avtov). The title ( $\tau \iota \tau \lambda o \varsigma$, Joh 19:19) or placard of the crime (the inscription, $\varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \downarrow \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta$ ) which was carried before the victim or hung around his neck as he walked to execution was now placed above ( $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \omega$ ) the head of Jesus on the projecting piece ( $\chi \rho \cup \xi ~ \mu \mu \nu \rho \cup \varsigma)$. This inscription gave the name and home,

Jesus of Nazareth, and the charge on which he was convicted,
the King of the Jews and the identification,

This is . The four reports all give the charge and vary in the others. The inscription in full was: This is Jesus of Nazareth the King of the Jews. The three languages are mentioned only by John (Joh 19:20 ), Latin for law, Hebrew (Aramaic) for the Jews, Greek for everybody. The accusation (charge, cause, aitia) correctly told the facts of the condemnation.

Matt 27:38
Robbers ( $\lambda \eta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ ). Not thieves ( $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha$ ) as in Authorized Version. See Mt 26:55. These two robbers were probably members of the band of Barabbas on whose cross Jesus now hung.

Matt 27:39
Wagging their heads ( $\kappa เ v o u v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha \cup \tau \omega v)$ ). Probably in mock commiseration. "Jews again appear on the scene, with a malice like that shewn in the trial before the Sanhedrin" (McNeile). "To us it may seem incredible that even his worst enemies could be guilty of anything so brutal as to hurl taunts at one suffering the agonies of crucifixion" (Bruce). These passers-by ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta \rho o u \mu \varepsilon v o)$ look on Jesus as one now down and out. They jeer at the fallen foe.

Matt 27:40
If thou art the Son of God ( $\varepsilon v i o \varsigma \varepsilon$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v)$. More exactly, "If thou art a son of God," the very language of the devil to Jesus (Mt 4:3) in the early temptations, now hurled at Jesus under the devil's prompting as he hung upon the Cross. There is allusion, of course, to the claim of Jesus under oath before the Sanhedrin "the Son of God" (o voç tov $\theta$ rov) and a repetition of the misrepresentation of his words about the temple of his body. It is a pitiful picture of human depravity and failure in the presence of Christ dying for sinners.

Matt 27:41
The chief priests mocking (o $\alpha \rho \chi เ \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon ı \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \pi \alpha!\zeta о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. The Sanhedrin in fact, for "the scribes and elders" are included. The word for mocking ( $\varepsilon \mu \pi \alpha \iota \zeta$ оv $\tau \varepsilon \sigma, \varepsilon \nu$, and $\pi \alpha \iota \zeta \omega$, from
 reverend seniors had already given vent to their glee at the condemnation of Jesus by themselves (Mt 26:67f. ).

Matt 27:42
He saved others; himself he cannot save ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda o u c \varepsilon \sigma \omega \sigma \varepsilon v ;$ عautov ov $\delta u v a \tau \alpha \sigma \omega \sigma \alpha$ ). The sarcasm is true, though they do not know its full significance. If he had saved himself now, he could not have saved any one. The paradox is precisely the philosophy of life proclaimed by Jesus himself (Mt 10:39).

Let him now come down ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \tau \omega v v v$ ). Now that he is a condemned criminal nailed to the Cross with the claim of being "the King of Israel" (the Jews) over his head. Their spiteful assertion that they would then believe upon Jesus ( $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime}$ avtov) is plainly untrue. They would have shifted their ground and invented some other excuse. When Jesus wrought his greatest miracles, they wanted "a sign from heaven." These "pious scoffers" (Bruce) are like
many today who make factitious and arbitrary demands of Christ whose character and power and deity are plain to all whose eyes are not blinded by the god of this world. Christ will not give new proofs to the blind in heart.

Matt 27:43
Let him deliver him now ( $\rho v \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \omega v v v$ ). They add the word "now" to Ps 21; 22:8. That is the point of the sneer at Christ's claim to be God's son thrown in his teeth again and at the willingness and power of God to help his "son." The verb $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$ here may mean
love as in the Septuagint (Ps 18:20; 41:12 ) or "cares for" (Moffatt), "gin he cares ocht for him" (Braid Scots).

Matt 27:44
The robbers also ( $\kappa \alpha$ о $\lambda \eta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ ). Probably "even the robbers" (Weymouth) who felt a momentary superiority to Jesus thus maligned by all. So the inchoative imperfect $\omega v \varepsilon \iota \delta \iota \zeta o v$ means "began to reproach him."

Matt 27:45
From the sixth hour ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \omega \rho a \varsigma$ ). Curiously enough McNeile takes this to mean the trial before Pilate (Joh 18:14 ). But clearly John uses Roman time, writing at the close of the century when Jewish time was no longer in vogue. It was six o'clock in the morning Roman time when the trial occurred before Pilate. The crucifixion began at the third hour (Mr 15:25 ) Jewish time or nine A.M. The darkness began at noon, the sixth hour Jewish time and lasted till 3 P.M. Roman time, the ninth hour Jewish time (Mr 15:33; Mt 27:45; Lu 23:44 ). The dense darkness for three hours could not be an eclipse of the sun and Luke (Lu 23:45 ) does not so say, only "the sun's light failing." Darkness sometimes precedes earthquakes and one came at this time or dense masses of clouds may have obscured the sun's light. One need not be disturbed if nature showed its sympathy with the tragedy of the dying of the Creator on the Cross (Ro 8:22 ), groaning and travailing until now.

Matt 27:46
My God, My God, why hast thou forsaken me? ( $\Theta \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu o v, \forall \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu \nu v, ~ \imath v \alpha \tau \mu \varepsilon$ $\varepsilon ү \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda \iota \tau \varepsilon \sigma ;)$. Matthew first transliterates the Aramaic, according to the Vatican manuscript (B), the words used by Jesus: Eloi, eloi, lema sabachthanei; Some of the MSS. give the transliteration of these words from Ps 22:1 in the Hebrew (Eli, Eli, lama Zaphthanei). This is the only one of the seven sayings of Christ on the Cross given by Mark and Matthew. The other six occur in Luke and John. This is the only sentence of any length in Aramaic preserved in Matthew, though he has Aramaic words like amen, corban, mammon, pascha, raca, Satan, Golgotha. The so-called Gospel of Peter preserves this saying in a Docetic (Cerinthian) form: "My power, my power, thou hast forsaken me!" The Cerinthian Gnostics held that the aeon Christ came on the man Jesus at his baptism and left him here on the Cross so that only the man Jesus died. Nothing from Jesus so well illustrates the depth of his suffering of soul as he felt himself regarded as sin though sinless (2Co 5:21). Joh 3:16 comes to our relief
here as we see the Son of God bearing the sin of the world. This cry of desolation comes at the close of the three hours of darkness.

Matt 27:48
Gave him to drink ( $\varepsilon \pi \sigma \tau \iota \zeta \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect of conative action,
offered him a drink of vinegar on the sponge on a reed. Others interrupted this kindly man, but Jesus did taste this mild stimulant (Joh 19:30) for he thirsted (Joh 19:28).

Matt 27:49
 a pious sound as they misunderstood the words of Jesus in his outcry of soul anguish. We have here one of the rare instances ( $\sigma \omega \sigma \omega v$ ) of the future participle to express purpose in the N.T. though a common Greek idiom. Some ancient MSS. add here what is genuine in Joh 19:34, but what makes complete wreck of the context for in verse 50 Jesus cried with a loud voice and was not yet dead in verse 49. It was a crass mechanical copying by some scribe from Joh 19:34. See full discussion in my Introduction to the Textual Criticism of the N.T.

Matt 27:50
Yielded up his spirit ( $\alpha \varphi \eta \kappa \varepsilon \nu$ тo $\pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha$ ). The loud cry may have been Ps 31:5 as given in Lu 23:46 : "Father, into thy hands I commend my spirit." John (Joh 19:30) gives

It is finished ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha)$, though which was actually last is not clear. Jesus did not die from slow exhaustion, but with a loud cry.

He breathed out ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi v \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v$, Mr 15:37),
sent back his spirit (Mt 27:50),
gave up his spirit ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon \nu$ то $\pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha$, Joh 19:30). "He gave up his life because he willed it, when he willed it, and as he willed it" (Augustine). Stroud (Physical Cause of the Death of Christ) considers the loud cry one of the proofs that Jesus died of a ruptured heart as a result of bearing the sin of the world.

Matt 27:51
Was rent ( $\varepsilon \sigma \chi ı \sigma \theta)$ ). Both Mark ( $\operatorname{Mr} 15: 38$ ) and Luke (Lu 23:45) mention also this fact. Matthew connects it with the earthquake, "the earth did quake" ( $\eta \quad \gamma \eta \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \iota \theta \eta$ ). Josephus (War VI. 299) tells of a quaking in the temple before the destruction and the Talmud tells of a quaking forty years before the destruction of the temple. Allen suggests that "a cleavage in the masonry of the porch, which rent the outer veil and left the Holy Place open to view, would account for the language of the Gospels, of Josephus, and of the Talmud." This veil was a most elaborately woven fabric of seventy-two twisted plaits of twenty-four threads each and the veil was sixty feet long and thirty wide. The rending of the veil signified the removal of the separation between God and the people (Gould).

Matt 27:52

The tombs were opened ( $\tau \alpha \mu v \eta \mu \varepsilon ı \alpha \alpha v \varepsilon \omega 1 \chi \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative (double augment). The splitting of the rocks by the earthquake and the opening of tombs can be due to the earthquake. But the raising of the bodies of the dead after the resurrection of Jesus which appeared to many in the holy city puzzles many today who admit the actual bodily resurrection of Jesus. Some would brand all these portents as legends since they appear in Matthew alone. Others would say that "after his resurrection" should read "after their resurrection," but that would make it conflict with Paul's description of Christ as the first fruits of them that sleep (1Co 15:20). Some say that Jesus released these spirits after his descent into Hades. So it goes. We come back to miracles connected with the birth of Jesus, God's Son coming into the world. If we grant the possibility of such manifestations of God's power, there is little to disturb one here in the story of the death of God's Son.

Matt 27:54
Truly this was the Son of God ( $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \omega \varsigma \theta$ sov vios $\eta v$ ovtoc). There is no article with God or Son in the Greek so that it means "God's Son," either "the Son of God" or "a Son of God." There is no way to tell. Evidently the centurion (£катоvтархоৎ here, ruler of a hundred, Latin word kenturion in Mr 15:39) was deeply moved by the portents which he had witnessed. He had heard the several flings at Jesus for claiming to be the Son of God and may even have heard of his claim before the Sanhedrin and Pilate. How much he meant by his words we do not know, but probably he meant more than merely "a righteous man" (Lu 23:47). Petronius is the name given this centurion by tradition. If he was won now to trust in Christ, he came as a pagan and, like the robber who believed, was saved as Jesus hung upon the Cross. All who are ever saved in truth are saved because of the death of Jesus on the Cross. So the Cross began to do its work at once.

Matt 27:55
Many women ( $\gamma$ vvaike¢ $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ). We have come to expect the women from Galilee to be faithful, last at the Cross and first at the tomb. Luke (Lu 23:49) says that "all his acquaintance" ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ o $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau 0 ~ \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ) stood at a distance and saw the end. One may hope that the apostles were in that sad group. But certainly many women were there. The Mother of Jesus had been taken away from the side of the Cross by the Beloved Disciple to his own home (Joh 19:27). Matthew names three of the group by name. Mary Magdalene is mentioned as a well-known person though not previously named in Matthew's Gospel. Certainly she is not the sinful woman of Lu 7 nor Mary of Bethany. There is another Mary, the mother of James and Joseph (Joses) not otherwise known to us. And then there is the mother of the sons of Zebedee (James and John), usually identified with Salome (Mr 15:40). These noble and faithful women were "beholding from afar" ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \mu \alpha \kappa \rho \circ \theta \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \omega \rho о v \sigma \alpha$ ). These three women may have drawn nearer to the Cross for Mary the Mother of Jesus stood beside the Cross ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \omega \sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \omega$ ) with Mary of Clopas and Mary Magdalene (Joh 19:25) before she left. They had once ministered unto Jesus ( $\delta$ ıккоvovба $\alpha \nu \tau \omega$ ) and now he is dead. Matthew
does not try to picture the anguish of heart of these noble women nor does he say as Luke (Lu 23:48) does that "they returned smiting their breasts." He drops the curtain on that saddest of all tragedies as the loyal band stood and looked at the dead Christ on Golgotha. What hope did life now hold for them?

Matt 27:57
And when even was come ( $\quad \psi \iota \alpha \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma$ ). It was the Preparation ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \cup \eta$ ), the day before the sabbath ( $\mathrm{Mr} 15: 42$; Lu 23:54; Joh 31:42). Парабкعuך is the name in modern Greek today for Friday. The Jews were anxious that these bodies should be taken down before the sabbath began at 6 P.M. The request of Joseph of Arimathea for the body of Jesus was a relief to Pilate and to the Jews also. We know little about this member of the Sanhedrin save his name Joseph, his town Arimathea, that he was rich, a secret disciple, and had not agreed to the death of Jesus. Probably he now wished that he had made an open profession. But he has courage now when others are cowardly and asked for the personal privilege ( $\eta \iota \tau \eta \sigma \pi \tau$, middle voice, asked for himself) of placing the body of Jesus in his new tomb. Some today identify this tomb with one of the rock tombs now visible under Gordon's Calvary. It was a mournful privilege and dignity that came to Joseph and Nicodemus (Joh 19:39-41) as they wrapped the body of Jesus in clean linen cloth and with proper spices placed it in this fresh ( $\kappa \alpha \iota v \omega$ ) tomb in which no body had yet been placed. It was cut in the rock ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \tau \circ \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ) for his own body, but now it was for Jesus. But now (verse 60 ) he rolled a great stone to the door of the tomb and departed. That was for safety. But two women had watched the sad and lonely ceremony, Mary Magdalene and the other Mary (mother of James and Joseph). They were sitting opposite and looking in silence.

Matt 27:63
Sir, we remember ( $\kappa \nu \rho ı \varepsilon, \varepsilon \mu \nu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v$ ). This was the next day, on our Saturday, the Jewish Sabbath, the day after the Preparation (Mt 27:62 ). Ingressive aorist indicative, we have just recalled. It is objected that the Jewish rulers would know nothing of such a prediction, but in Mt 12:40 he expressly made it to them. Meyer scouts as unhistorical legend the whole story that Christ definitely foretold his resurrection on the third day. But that is to make legendary much of the Gospels and to limit Jesus to a mere man. The problem remains why the disciples forgot and the Jewish leaders remembered. But that is probably due on the one hand to the overwhelming grief of the disciples coupled with the blighting of all their hopes of a political Messiah in Jesus, and on the other hand to the keen nervous fear of the leaders who dreaded the power of Jesus though dead. They wanted to make sure of their victory and prevent any possible revival of this pernicious heresy.

That deceiver ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o \varsigma$ o $\pi \lambda \alpha v o \varsigma)$ they call him, a vagabond wanderer ( $\pi \lambda \alpha v o \varsigma$ ) with a slur in the use of
that (عкعivoc), a picturesque sidelight on their intense hatred of and fear of Jesus.
Matt 27:64

The last error ( $\eta \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau \eta \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \eta$ ). The last delusion, imposture (Weymouth), fraud (Moffatt). Latin error is used in both senses, from errare, to go astray. The first fraud was belief in the Messiahship of Jesus, the second belief in his resurrection.

Matt 27:65
Make it as sure as you can ( $\alpha \sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda_{\perp \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ o ı \delta \alpha \tau \varepsilon) . ~ " M a k e ~ i t ~ s e c u r e ~ f o r ~ y o u r s e l v e s ~}^{\text {. }}$ (ingressive aorist middle) as you know how."

Have a guard ( $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ коvбт $\omega \delta \iota \alpha v$ ), present imperative, a guard of Roman soldiers, not mere temple police. The Latin term koustodia occurs in an Oxyrhynchus papyrus of A.D. 22. "The curt permission to the Jews whom he despised is suitable in the mouth of the Roman official" (McNeile).

Matt 27:66
Sealing the stone, the guard being with them ( $\sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \vdash \sigma \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma$ тov $\lambda_{1} \theta \circ v \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma$ кovot $\omega \delta$ Iac). Probably by a cord stretched across the stone and sealed at each end as in Da 6:17. The sealing was done in the presence of the Roman guard who were left in charge to protect this stamp of Roman authority and power. They did their best to prevent theft and the resurrection (Bruce), but they overreached themselves and provided additional witness to the fact of the empty tomb and the resurrection of Jesus (Plummer).

## Matthew 28

Matt 28:1
Now late on the sabbath as it began to dawn toward the first day of the week (o $\psi \varepsilon$ $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau \omega v, \tau \eta \varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi \omega \sigma \kappa о v \sigma \eta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \mu \iota \alpha \nu \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau \omega \nu)$. This careful chronological statement according to Jewish days clearly means that before the sabbath was over, that is before six P.M., this visit by the women was made "to see the sepulchre" ( $\theta \varepsilon \sigma \rho \eta \sigma \alpha$ тov $\tau \alpha \varphi o v$ ). They had seen the place of burial on Friday afternoon (Mr 15:47; Mt 27:61; Lu 23:55 ). They had rested on the sabbath after preparing spices and ointments for the body of Jesus (Lu 23:56 ), a sabbath of unutterable sorrow and woe. They will buy other spices after sundown when the new day has dawned and the sabbath is over (Mr 16:1). Both Matthew here and Luke (Lu 23:54) use dawn $(\varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi \omega \sigma \kappa \omega)$ for the dawning of the twenty-four hour-day at sunset, not of the dawning of the twelve-hour day at sunrise. The Aramaic used the verb for dawn in both senses. The so-called Gospel of Peter has $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ in the same sense as Matthew and Luke as does a late papyrus. Apparently the Jewish sense of "dawn" is here expressed by this Greek verb. Allen thinks that Matthew misunderstands Mark at this point, but clearly Mark is speaking of sunrise and Matthew of sunset. Why allow only one visit for the anxious women?

## Matt 28:2

There was a great earthquake ( $\sigma \varepsilon \iota \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau о \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \varsigma)$. Clearly not the earthquake of 27:51. The precise time of this earthquake is not given. It was before sunrise on the first day of the week when the women made the next visit. Matthew alone relates the coming of the angel of the Lord who rolled away the stone and was sitting upon it ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \cup \lambda ı \sigma \varepsilon \tau o v \lambda_{ı} \theta$ ov $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \tau о \varepsilon \pi \alpha v \omega \alpha v \tau о v)$. If one is querulous about these supernatural phenomena, he should reflect that the Resurrection of Jesus is one of the great supernatural events of all time. Cornelius $\boxtimes$ Lapide dares to say: "The earth, which trembled with sorrow at the Death of Christ as it were leaped for joy at His Resurrection." The Angel of the Lord announced the Incarnation of the Son of God and also His Resurrection from the grave. There are apparent inconsistencies in the various narratives of the Resurrection and the appearances of the Risen Christ. We do not know enough of the details to be able to reconcile them. But the very variations strengthen the independent witness to the essential fact that Jesus rose from the grave. Let each writer give his own account in his own way. The stone was rolled away not to let the Lord out, but to let the women in to prove the fact of the empty tomb (McNeile).

Matt 28:3
Appearance $(\varepsilon ו \delta \varepsilon \alpha)$. Here only in the N.T. Compare $\mu о \rho \varphi \eta$ and $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha$.
Matt 28:4

The watchers did quake ( $\varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \propto \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ o $\tau \eta \rho o u v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. And no wonder that they became as dead men and fled before the women came.

Matt 28:5
Unto the women ( $\tau \alpha ı \varsigma ~ \gamma v v a ı \xi ı v$ ). According to John, Mary Magdalene had left to go and tell Peter and John of the supposed grave robbery (Joh 20:1f. ). But the other women remained and had the interview with the angel (or men, Luke) about the empty tomb and the Risen Christ.

Jesus the Crucified (I $\eta \sigma o u v$ tov $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \cup \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Perfect passive participle, state of completion. This he will always be. So Paul will preach as essential to his gospel "and this one crucified" (ка тoutov $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \cup \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon v o v, ~ 1 C o ~ 2: 2 ~) . ~$

Matt 28:6
Risen from the dead ( $\eta \gamma \varepsilon \rho \theta \eta \alpha \pi \sigma \tau \omega \nu \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega v$ ).
Jesus the Risen. This is the heart of the testimony of the angel to the women. It is what Paul wishes Timothy never to forget (2Ti 2:8), "Jesus Christ risen from the dead" (Inoouv Xpıбтоv $\varepsilon \gamma \eta \gamma \varepsilon \rho \mu \varepsilon v o v \varepsilon \kappa \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega v$ ). They were afraid and dazzled by the glory of the scene, but the angel said, "Come, see the place where the Lord lay" ( $\delta \varepsilon \cup \tau \varepsilon ~ t \delta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ~ \tau о \nu ~ \tau о т о v ~ о п о и ~$ єкєıто о Kирıоৎ). Some MSS. do not have o Kvpıo¢, but he is the subject of $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon ı \tau$. His body was not there. It will not do to say that Jesus arose in spirit and appeared alive though his body remained in the tomb. The empty tomb is the first great fact confronting the women and later the men. Various theories were offered then as now. But none of them satisfy the evidence and explain the survival of faith and hope in the disciples that do not rest upon the fact of the Risen Christ whose body was no longer in the tomb.

Matt 28:7
He goeth before you into Galilee ( $\pi \rho \circ \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v \mu a \varsigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \Gamma \alpha \lambda_{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha v$ ). Jesus did appear to the disciples in Galilee on two notable occasions (by the beloved lake, Joh 21 , and on the mountain, Mt 28:16-20 ). Probably before the women were permitted to tell this story in full to the disciples who scouted as idle talk (Joh 24:11 ) their first accounts, Jesus appeared to various disciples in Jerusalem on this first great Sunday. Jesus did not say that he would not see any of them in Jerusalem. He merely made a definite appointment in Galilee which he kept.

Matt 28:8
With fear and great joy ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ чоßоv ка $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \zeta$ ). A touch of life was this as the excited women ran quickly ( $\tau \alpha \chi \cup \varepsilon \delta \rho \alpha \mu \circ v$ ) as they had been told "to bring his disciples
 possible to have. Mark calls it fear and ecstasy. Anything seemed possible now. Mark even says that at first they told no one anything for they were afraid (Mr 16:9) , the tragic close of the text of Mark in Aleph and B, our two oldest manuscripts. But these mingled emotions of ecstasy and dread need cause no surprise when all things are considered.

Matt 28:9
Jesus met them (I $\eta \sigma o v \varsigma ~ v \pi \eta \nu \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \alpha \cup \tau \alpha ı \varsigma) . ~ C a m e ~ s u d d e n l y ~ f a c e ~ t o ~ f a c e ~(~ \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \omega, ~ v \pi o) ~$ with them as they brooded over the message of the angel and the fact of the empty tomb (associative instrumental, avtaıc). Cf. 8:34; 24:1-6 . Probably the lost portion of Mark's Gospel contained the story of this meeting with Jesus which changed their fears into joy and peace. His greeting was the ordinary "Hail" ( $\chi$ aı $\rho \tau \varepsilon$ ). They fell at his feet and held them in reverence while they worshipped him. Jesus allowed this act of worship though he forbade eager handling of his body by Mary Magdalene (Joh 20:17 ). It was a great moment of faith and cheer.

Matt 28:10
Fear not ( $\mu \eta \varphi \circ \beta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). They were still afraid for joy and embarrassment. Jesus calms their excitement by the repetition of the charge from the angel for the disciples to meet him in Galilee. There is no special mention of Peter ("and Peter") as in Mr 16:7, but we may be sure that the special message to Peter was delivered.

Matt 28:11
Told unto the chief priests ( $\alpha \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha \nu$ тoıc $\alpha \rho \chi ı \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \cup \sigma เ v$ ). These Roman soldiers had been placed at the disposal of the Sanhedrin. They were probably afraid also to report to Pilate and tell him what had happened. They apparently told a truthful account as far as they understood it. But were the Sanhedrin convinced of the resurrection of Jesus?

Matt 28:12
They gave large money ( $\alpha \rho \gamma \cup \rho ı \alpha$ เкаvа $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha \nu$ ). The use of the plural for pieces of silver ( $\alpha \rho \gamma \cup \rho ı \alpha)$ is common. The papyri have many instances of tкava for considerable (from $\kappa \kappa \alpha \omega \omega$, to reach to, attain to). These pious Sanhedrists knew full well the power of bribes. They make a contract with the Roman soldiers to tell a lie about the resurrection of Jesus as they paid Judas money to betray him. They show not the slightest tendency to be convinced by the facts though one had risen from the dead.

Matt 28:13
Stole him away while we slept ( $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \psi \alpha v ~ a v \tau o v \eta \mu \omega v \kappa о \mu \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute. An Irish bull on the face of it. If they were asleep they would not know anything about it.

Matt 28:14
We will persuade him, and rid you of care ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \pi \varepsilon เ \sigma о \mu \varepsilon v ~ к а ~ v \mu а \varsigma ~ а \mu \varepsilon \rho ц \mu \nu о \nu \varsigma ~$ $\pi o 亢 \eta \sigma o \mu \varepsilon v)$. They would try money also on Pilate and assume all responsibility. Hence the soldiers have no anxiety ( $\alpha \mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \nu 0 \nu \varsigma$, alpha privative and $\mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \nu \alpha \omega$, to be anxious). They lived up to their bargain and this lie lives on through the ages. Justin (Dial. 108) accuses the Jews of spreading the charge. Bengel: Quam laboriosum bellum mendacii contra veritatem.

It was spread about ( $\delta \Sigma \varepsilon \varphi \eta \mu / \sigma \theta \eta$ ) diligently by the Jews to excuse their disbelief in the Messiahship of Jesus.

Matt 28:17

But some doubted (o $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha v$ ). From $\delta \iota \varsigma$ (in two, divided in mind). Cf. Mt 14:31 . The reference is not to the eleven who were all now convinced after some doubt, but to the others present. Paul states that over five hundred were present, most of whom were still alive when he wrote (1Co 15:6). It is natural that some should hesitate to believe so great a thing at the first appearance of Jesus to them. Their very doubt makes it easier for us to believe. This was the mountain where Jesus had promised to meet them. This fact explains the large number present. Time and place were arranged beforehand. It was the climax of the various appearances and in Galilee where were so many believers. They worshipped ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \kappa v v \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ) Jesus as the women had done (28:9). He is now their Risen Lord and Saviour.

Matt 28:18
All authority ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \varepsilon \xi 0 v \sigma ı \alpha)$. Jesus came close to them ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega v$ ) and made this astounding claim. He spoke as one already in heaven with a world-wide outlook and with the resources of heaven at his command. His authority or power in his earthly life had been great (7:29; 11:27; 21:23f. ). Now it is boundless and includes earth and heaven.

Hath been given $(\varepsilon \delta 0 \theta \eta)$ is a timeless aorist (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 836f.). It is the sublimist of all spectacles to see the Risen Christ without money or army or state charging this band of five hundred men and women with world conquest and bringing them to believe it possible and to undertake it with serious passion and power. Pentecost is still to come, but dynamic faith rules on this mountain in Galilee.

Matt 28:19
All the nations ( $\pi \alpha \vee \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \varepsilon \theta v \eta$ ). Not just the Jews scattered among the Gentiles, but the Gentiles themselves in every land. And not by making Jews of them, though this point is not made plain here. It will take time for the disciples to grow into this Magna Charta of the missionary propaganda. But here is the world program of the Risen Christ and it should not be forgotten by those who seek to foreshorten it all by saying that Jesus expected his second coming to be very soon, even within the lifetime of those who heard. He did promise to come, but he has never named the date. Meanwhile we are to be ready for his coming at any time and to look for it joyfully. But we are to leave that to the Father and push on the campaign for world conquest. This program includes making disciples or learners ( $\mu \alpha \forall \eta \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon)$ such as they were themselves. That means evangelism in the fullest sense and not merely revival meetings. Baptism in ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma$, not into) the name of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit, in the name of the Trinity. Objection is raised to this language in the mouth of Jesus as too theological and as not a genuine part of the Gospel of Matthew for the same reason. See Mt 11:27, where Jesus speaks of the Father and the Son as here. But it is all to no purpose. There is a chapter devoted to this subject in my The Christ of the Logia in which the genuineness of these words is proven. The name of Jesus is the essential part of it as is shown in the Acts. Trine immersion is not taught as the Greek Church holds and
practices, baptism in the name of the Father, then of the Son, then of the Holy Spirit. The use of name ( $\mathbf{o v o \mu \alpha}$ ) here is a common one in the Septuagint and the papyri for power or authority. For the use of $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma$ with ovo $\mu \alpha$ in the sense here employed, not meaning into, see Mt 10:41f. (cf. also 12:41).

Matt 28:20
Teaching them ( $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha v \tau o u \varsigma)$. Christians have been slow to realize the full value of what we now call religious education. The work of teaching belongs to the home, to the church (sermon, Sunday school, young people's work, prayer-meeting, study classes, mission classes), to the school (not mixing of church and state, but moral instruction if not the reading of the Bible), good books which should be in every home, reading of the Bible itself. Some react too far and actually put education in the place of conversion or regeneration. That is to miss the mark. But teaching is part, a weighty part, of the work of Christians.

I am with you ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \nu \mu \omega v$ ). This is the amazing and blessed promise. He is to be with the disciples when he is gone, with all the disciples, with all knowledge, with all power, with them all the days (all sorts of days, weakness, sorrows, joy, power), till the consummation of the age ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \sigma u v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon I \alpha \varsigma$ tov al $\omega v$ oৎ). That goal is in the future and unknown to the disciples. This blessed hope is not designed as a sedative to an inactive mind and complacent conscience, but an incentive to the fullest endeavor to press on to the farthest limits of the world that all the nations may know Christ and the power of his Risen Life. So Matthew's Gospel closes in a blaze of glory. Christ is conqueror in prospect and in fact. Christian history from that eventful experience on the Mountain in Galilee has been the fulfilment of that promise in as far as we allow God's power to work in us for the winning of the world to Christ, the Risen, all powerful Redeemer, who is with his people all the time. Jesus employs the prophetic present here $(\varepsilon \mu, \mathrm{I} \mathrm{am})$. He is with us all the days till he comes in glory.

## THE GOSPEL ACCORDING TO MARK BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

One of the clearest results of modern critical study of the Gospels is the early date of Mark's Gospel. Precisely how early is not definitely known, but there are leading scholars who hold that A.D. 50 is quite probable. My own views are given in detail in my Studies in Mark's Gospel. Zahn still argues that the Gospel according to Matthew is earlier than that according to Mark, but the arguments are against him. The framework of Mark's Gospel lies behind both Matthew and Luke and nearly all of it is used by one or the other. One may satisfy himself on this point by careful use of a Harmony of the Gospels in Greek or English. Whether Mark made use of Q (Logia of Jesus) or not is not yet shown, though it is possible. But Mark and Q constitute the two oldest known sources of our Matthew and Luke. We have much of Q preserved in the Non-Markan portions of both Matthew and Luke, though the document itself has disappeared. But Mark's work has remained in spite of its exhaustive use by Matthew and Luke, all except the disputed close. For this preservation we are all grateful. Streeter (The Four Gospels) has emphasized the local use of texts in preserving portions of the New Testament. If Mark wrote in Rome, as is quite possible, his book was looked upon as the Roman Gospel and had a powerful environment in which to take root. It has distinctive merits of its own that helped to keep it in use. It is mainly narrative and the style is direct and simple with many vivid touches, like the historical present of an eyewitness. The early writers all agree that Mark was the interpreter for Simon Peter with whom he was at one time, according to Peter's own statement, either in Babylon or Rome (1Pe 5:13 ).

This Gospel is the briefest of the four, but is fullest of striking details that apparently came from Peter's discourses which Mark heard, such as green grass, flower beds (Mr 6:38 ), two thousand hogs (Mr 5:13 ), looking round about (Mr 3:5,34 ). Peter usually spoke in Aramaic and Mark has more Aramaic phrases than the others, like Boanerges ( $\mathrm{Mr} 3: 17$ ), Talitha cumi (Mr 5:41 ), Korban (Mr 7:11), Ephphatha (Mr 7:34 ), Abba (Mr 14:36 ). The Greek is distinctly vernacular KoinÂ like one-eyed ( $\mu \circ \nu \circ \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \circ \nu, \mathrm{Mr} 9: 47$ ) as one would expect from both Peter and Mark. There are also more Latin phrases and idioms like centurio (Mr 15:39), quadrans (Mr 12:42 ), flagellare (Mr 15:15 ), speculator (Mr 6:27), census (Mr 12:14 ), sextarius (Mr 7:4 ), praetorium (Mr 15:6), than in the other Gospels, so much so that C. H. Turner raises the question whether Mark wrote first in Latin, or at any rate in Rome. There are some who hold that Mark wrote first in Aramaic, but the facts are sufficiently accounted for by the fact of Peter's preaching and the activity in Rome. Some even think that he wrote the Gospel in Rome while with Peter who suggested and read the manuscript. B.W. Bacon holds that this Gospel has a distinct Pauline flavour and may have had several recensions. The Ur-Marcus theory does not have strong support now. Mark was
once a co-worker with Barnabas and Paul, but deserted them at Perga. Paul held this against Mark and refused to take him on the second mission tour. Barnabas took Mark, his cousin, with him and then he appeared with Simon Peter with whom he did his greatest work. When Mark had made good with Barnabas and Peter, Paul rejoiced and commends him heartily to the Colossians (Col 4:10) In the end Paul will ask Timothy to pick up Mark and bring him along with him to Paul in Rome, for he has found him useful for ministry, this very young man who made such a mistake that Paul would have no more of him. This tribute to Mark by Paul throws credit upon both of them as is shown in my Making Good in the Ministry. The character of the Gospel of Mark is determined largely by the scope of Peter's preaching as we see it in Ac 10:36-42, covering the period in outline from John the Baptist to the Resurrection of Jesus. There is nothing about the birth of the Baptist or of Jesus. This peculiarity of Mark's Gospel cannot be used against the narratives of the Virgin Birth of Jesus in Matthew and Luke, since Mark tells nothing whatever about his birth at all.

The closing passage in the Textus Receptus, Mr 16:9-20, is not found in the oldest Greek Manuscripts, Aleph and B, and is probably not genuine. A discussion of the evidence will appear at the proper place. Swete points out that Mark deals with two great themes, the Ministry in Galilee (Chs. 1 to 9) and the Last Week in Jerusalem (11 to 16) with a brief sketch of the period of withdrawal from Galilee (ch. 10). The first fourteen verses are introductory as Mr 16:9-20 is an appendix. The Gospel of Mark pictures Christ in action. There is a minimum of discourse and a maximum of deed. And yet the same essential pictures of Christ appear here as in the Logia, in Matthew, in Luke, in John, in Paul, in Peter, in Hebrews as is shown in my The Christ of the Logia. The cry of the critics to get back to the Synoptics and away from Paul and John has ceased since it is plain that the Jesus of Mark is the same as the Christ of Paul. There is a different shading in the pictures, but the same picture, Son of God and Son of Man, Lord of life and death, worker of miracles and Saviour from sin. This Gospel is the one for children to read first and is the one that we should use to lay the foundation for our picture of Christ. In my Harmony of the Gospels I have placed Mark first in the framework since Matthew, Luke, and John all follow in broad outline his plan with additions and supplemental material. Mark's Gospel throbs with life and bristles with vivid details. We see with Peter's eyes and catch almost the very look and gesture of Jesus as he moved among men in his work of healing men's bodies and saving men's souls.

## Mark 1

Mark 1:1
The beginning ( $\alpha \rho \chi \eta$ ). There is no article in the Greek. It is possible that the phrase served as a heading or title for the paragraph about the ministry of the Baptist or as the superscription for the whole Gospel (Bruce) placed either by Mark or a scribe. And then the Gospel of Jesus Christ means the Message about Jesus Christ (objective genitive). The word Gospel here ( $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı v$ ) comes close to meaning the record itself as told by Mark. Swete notes that each writer has a different starting point ( $\alpha \rho \chi \eta$ ). Mark, as the earliest form of the evangelic tradition, begins with the work of the Baptist, Matthew with the ancestry and birth of the Messiah, Luke with the birth of the Baptist, John with the Preincarnate Logos, Paul with the foundation of each of the churches (Php 4:15).

The Son of God (Hviov $\theta$ cov). Aleph 28, 255 omit these words, but B, D, L, have them and the great mass of the manuscripts have viov $\tau o v \theta \varepsilon o v$. If this is a heading added to what Mark wrote, the heading may have existed early in two forms, one with, one without "Son of God." If Mark wrote the words, there is no reason to doubt the genuineness since he uses the phrase elsewhere.

Mark 1:2
In Isaiah, the prophet $(\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \operatorname{E\sigma \alpha ı\alpha } \tau \omega \pi \rho o \varphi \eta \tau \eta)$. The quotation comes from Mal 3:1 and Isa 40:3. The Western and Neutral classes read Isaiah, the Alexandrian and Syrian, "the prophets," an evident correction because part of it is from Malachi. But Isaiah is mentioned as the chief of the prophets. It was common to combine quotations from the prophets in testimonia and catenae (chains of quotations). This is Mark's only prophetic quotation on his own account (Bruce).

Mark 1:3
The voice of one crying ( $\varphi \circ \vee \eta \beta \circ \omega v \tau \circ \varsigma)$. God is coming to his people to deliver them from their captivity in Babylon. So the prophet cries like a voice in the wilderness to make ready for the coming of God. When the committee from the Sanhedrin came to ask John who he was, he used this very language of Isaiah (Joh 1:23). He was only a voice, but we can still hear the echo of that voice through the corridor of the centuries.

Paths straight ( $\varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha \varsigma \tau \alpha \varsigma \tau \rho ß$ оиৎ). Automobile highways today well illustrate the wonderful Persian roads for the couriers of the king and then for the king himself. The Roman Empire was knit together by roads, some of which survive today. John had a high and holy mission as the forerunner of the Messiah.

Mark 1:4
John came ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \operatorname{I} \omega \alpha \nu \eta \varsigma)$. His coming was an epoch ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \sigma$ ), not a mere event $(\eta \nu)$. His coming was in accordance with the prophetic picture ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \varsigma, 1: 2)$. Note the same
verb about John in Joh 1:6. The coming of John the Baptizer was the real beginning of the spoken message about Christ. He is described as
the baptizing one ( $o a \pi \tau \iota \zeta \omega \nu$ ) in the wilderness ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \omega$ ). The baptizing took place in the River Jordan (Mr 1:5,9) which was included in the general term the wilderness or the deserted region of Judea.

Preached the baptism of repentance ( $\kappa \eta \rho v \sigma \sigma \omega \nu \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \nu o \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. Heralded a repentance kind of baptism (genitive case, genus case), a baptism marked by repentance. See on Mt 3:2 for discussion of repent, an exceedingly poor rendering of John's great word $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o l a c$. He called upon the Jews to change their minds and to turn from their sins,
 confessions produced a profound impression as they would now.

Unto remission of sins ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \iota \nu \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \omega v$ ). This is a difficult phrase to translate accurately. Certainly John did not mean that the baptism was the means of obtaining the forgiveness of their sins or necessary to the remission of sins. The trouble lies in the use of $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ which sometimes is used when purpose is expressed, but sometimes when there is no such idea as in Mt 10:41 and Mt 12:41. Probably "with reference to" is as good a translation here as is possible. The baptism was on the basis of the repentance and confession of sin and, as Paul later explained (Ro 6:4), was a picture of the death to sin and resurrection to new life in Christ. This symbol was already in use by the Jews for proselytes who became Jews. John is treating the Jewish nation as pagans who need to repent, to confess their sins, and to come back to the kingdom of God. The baptism in the Jordan was the objective challenge to the people.

Mark 1:5
Then went out unto him ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi о \rho \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \circ \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha v \tau \sigma v$ ). Imperfect indicative describing the steady stream of people who kept coming to the baptism ( $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \zeta o v \tau o$, imperfect passive indicative, a wonderful sight).

In the river Jordan ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega$ Io $\rho \delta \alpha \nu \eta \pi \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \omega$ ). In the Jordan river, literally.
Mark 1:6
Clothed with camel's hair ( $\varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \delta v \mu \varepsilon \nu о \varsigma \tau \rho \succ \chi \varsigma \kappa \alpha \mu \eta \lambda o v)$. Matthew (Mt 3:4) has it a garment $(\varepsilon \nu \delta \nu \mu \alpha)$ of camel's hair. Mark has it in the accusative plural the object of the perfect passive participle retained according to a common Greek idiom. It was, of course, not camel's skin, but rough cloth woven of camel's hair. For the locusts and wild honey, see on Mt 3:4 . Dried locusts are considered palatable and the wild honey, or "mountain honey" as some versions give it ( $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma \rho \iota o v$ ), was bountiful in the clefts of the rocks. Some Bedouins make their living yet by gathering this wild honey out of the rocks.

Mark 1:7

Mightier than I (o i $\sigma \chi \cup \rho o \tau \varepsilon \rho o \varsigma ~ \mu o v)$ ). In each of the Synoptics. Gould calls it a skeptical depreciation of himself by John. But it was sincere on John's part and he gives a reason for it.

The Latchet ( $\tau \circ v \iota \alpha v \tau \alpha$ ). The thong of the sandal which held it together. When the guest comes into the house, performed by a slave before one enters the bath. Mark alone gives this touch.

Mark 1:8
With water (vסat). So Luke (Lu 3:16 ) the locative case,
in water. Matthew (Mt 3:11) has $\varepsilon v$ (in), both with (in) water and the Holy Spirit. The water baptism by John was a symbol of the spiritual baptism by Jesus.

Mark 1:9
In the Jordan ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \operatorname{\tau ov} \operatorname{Iop} \delta \alpha v \eta \nu)$. So in verse $10, \varepsilon \kappa$ тov v $\delta \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma$, out of the water, after the baptism into the Jordan. Mark is as fond of "straightway" ( $\varepsilon \cup \theta \cup \varsigma)$ as Matthew is of "then" (тотะ).

Rent asunder ( $\sigma \times 1$ Цодعvovৎ). Split like a garment, present passive participle. Jesus saw the heavens parting as he came up out of the water, a more vivid picture than the "opened" in Mt 3:16 and Lu 3:21. Evidently the Baptist saw all this and the Holy Spirit coming down upon Jesus as a dove because he later mentions it (Joh 1:32). The Cerinthian Gnostics took the dove to mean the heavenly aeon Christ that here descended upon the man Jesus and remained with him till the Cross when it left him, a sort of forecast of the modern distinction between the Jesus of history and the theological Christ.

Mark 1:11
Thou art ( $\sigma v \varepsilon$ ). So Lu 3:22. Mt 3:17 has
this is (outoc $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$ which see. So both Mark and Luke have "in thee," while Matthew has "in whom."

Mark 1:12
Driveth him forth (avtov $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Vivid word, bolder than Matthew's "was led up" ( $\alpha v \eta \chi \theta \eta$ ) and Luke's "was led" ( $\eta \gamma \varepsilon \tau 0$ ). It is the same word employed in the driving out of demons (Mr 1:34,39). Mark has here "straightway" where Matthew has "then" (see on verse 9). The forty days in the wilderness were under the direct guidance of the Holy Spirit. The entire earthly life of Jesus was bound up with the Holy Spirit from his birth to his death and resurrection.

Mark 1:13
With the wild beasts ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \omega v \theta \eta \rho \iota \omega v$ ). Mark does not give the narrative of the three temptations in Matthew and Luke (apparently from the Logia and originally, of course, from Jesus himself). But Mark adds this little touch about the wild beasts in the wilderness. It was the haunt at night of the wolf, the boar, the hyena, the jackal, the leopard. It was lonely and depressing in its isolation and even dangerous. Swete notes that in Ps 90:13 the promise
of victory over the wild beasts comes immediately after that of angelic guardianship cited by Satan in Mt 4:6. The angels did come and minister ( $\delta ı \eta$ кovouv), imperfect tense, kept it up till he was cheered and strengthened. Dr. Tristram observes that some Abyssinian Christians are in the habit of coming to the Quarantania during Lent and fasting forty days on the summit amid the ruins of its ancient cells and chapels where they suppose Jesus was tempted. But we are all tempted of the devil in the city even worse than in the desert.

Mark 1:14
 narrative of the active ministry of Jesus and he is followed by Matthew and Luke. Mark undoubtedly follows the preaching of Peter. But for the Fourth Gospel we should not know of the year of work in various parts of the land (Perea, Galilee, Judea, Samaria) preceding the Galilean ministry. John supplements the Synoptic Gospels at this point as often. The arrest of John had much to do with the departure of Jesus from Judea to Galilee (Joh 4:1-4 ).
 genitive, the gospel that comes from God. Swete observes that repentance ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o l \alpha)$ is the keynote in the message of the Baptist as gospel ( $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota o v$ ) is with Jesus. But Jesus took the same line as John and proclaimed both repentance and the arrival of the kingdom of God. Mark adds to Matthew's report the words "the time is fulfilled" ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \tau \alpha$ o кaı $\rho \circ \varsigma)$. It is a significant fact that John looks backward to the promise of the coming of the Messiah and signalizes the fulfilment as near at hand (perfect passive indicative). It is like Paul's fulness of time ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ tov $\chi \rho \circ v o v$ ) in Ga 4:4 and fulness of the times ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ тov кaı $\rho \omega v$ ) in Eph 1:10 when he employs the word кaı $\rho \circ \varsigma$, opportunity or crisis as here in Mark rather than the more general term र povoc. Mark adds here also: "and believe in the gospel" (ка $\pi ા \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda เ \omega)$. Both repent and believe in the gospel. Usually faith in Jesus (or God) is expected as in John 14:1. But this crisis called for faith in the message of Jesus that the Messiah had come. He did not use here the term Messiah, for it had come to have political connotations that made its use at present unwise. But the kingdom of God had arrived with the presence of the King. It does make a difference what one believes. Belief or disbelief in the message of Jesus made a sharp cleavage in those who heard him. "Faith in the message was the first step; a creed of some kind lies at the basis of confidence in the Person of Christ, and the occurrence of the phrase $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \cup \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon v \tau \omega \varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \omega$ in the oldest record of the teaching of our Lord is a valuable witness to this fact" (Swete).

Mark 1:16
And passing along by the Sea of Galilee ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \omega \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta \nu \quad \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \eta$ Гa入ı入aıa¢). Mark uses $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ (along, beside) twice and makes the picture realistic. He catches this glimpse of Christ in action. Casting a
net ( $\alpha \mu \varphi \stackrel{\beta}{ }$ 人 $\lambda$ ov $\tau \alpha \varsigma$ ). Literally casting on both sides, now on one side, now on the other. Matthew (Mt 4:18) has a different phrase which see. There are two papyri examples of the verb $\alpha \mu \varphi \iota \beta \lambda \lambda \lambda \omega$, one verb absolutely for fishing as here, the other with the accusative. It is fishing with a net, making a cast, a haul. These four disciples were fishermen ( $\alpha \lambda_{\imath \varepsilon ı \varsigma) ~}^{\text {) }}$ and were

```
partners ( \(\mu \varepsilon \tau о \chi 0\) ) as Luke states (Lu 5:7).
```

Mark 1:17
Become ( $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Mark has this word not in Matthew. It would be a slow and long process, but Jesus could and would do it. He would undertake to make fishers of men out of fishermen. Preachers are made out of laymen who are willing to leave their business for service for Christ.

Mark 1:19
A little further (o 0 ı $\gamma 0 v$ ). A Marcan detail.
Mending their nets (катарлıऍоvтаৎ $\tau \alpha \delta ı \kappa \tau v \alpha$ ). See on Mt 4:21. Getting ready that they might succeed better at the next haul.

Mark 1:20
With the hired servants ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \omega \nu \mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \tau \omega \nu$ ). One hired for wages ( $\mu \iota \sigma \theta o \varsigma$ ), a very old Greek word. Zebedee and his two sons evidently had an extensive business in co-operation with Andrew and Simon (Lu 5:7,10 ). Mark alone has this detail of the hired servants left with Zebedee. They left the boat and their father (Mt 4:22) with the hired servants. The business would go on while they left all (Lu 5:11) and became permanent followers of Jesus. Many a young man has faced precisely this problem when he entered the ministry. Could he leave father and mother, brothers and sisters, while he went forth to college and seminary to become a fisher of men? Not the least of the sacrifices made in the education of young preachers is that made by the home folks who have additional burdens to bear because the young preacher is no longer a bread-winner at home. Most young preachers joyfully carry on such burdens after entering the ministry.

Mark 1:21
And taught ( $\varepsilon \delta \mathrm{t} \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Inchoative imperfect, began to teach as soon as he entered the synagogue in Capernaum on the sabbath. The synagogue in Capernaum afforded the best opening for the teaching of Jesus. He had now made Capernaum (Tell Hum) his headquarters after the rejection in Nazareth as explained in $\mathrm{Lu} 4: 16-31$ and $\mathrm{Mt} 4: 13$-16 . The ruins of this synagogue have been discovered and there is even talk of restoring the building since the stones are in a good state of preservation. Jesus both taught ( $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega$ ) and preached ( $\kappa \eta \rho v \sigma \sigma \omega)$ in the Jewish synagogues as opportunity was offered by the chief or leader of the synagogue ( $\alpha \rho \chi \iota \sigma v \nu \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \sigma \varsigma)$. The service consisted of prayer, praise, reading of scripture, and exposition by any rabbi or other competent person. Often Paul was invited to speak at such meetings. In Lu 4:20 Jesus gave back the roll of Isaiah to the attendant or
beadle ( $\tau \omega$ v $\pi \eta \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta$ ) whose business it was to bring out the precious manuscript and return it to its place. Jesus was a preacher of over a year when he began to teach in the Capernaum synagogue. His reputation had preceded him (Lu 4:14).

Mark 1:22
They were astonished ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma o v \tau 0)$. Pictorial imperfect as in Lu 4:32 describing the amazement of the audience, "meaning strictly to strike a person out of his senses by some strong feeling, such as fear, wonder, or even joy" (Gould).

And not as their scribes ( $\kappa \alpha$ ou $\omega \varsigma$ o $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Lu 4:32 has only "with authority" ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \xi \circ v \sigma ı \alpha)$. Mark has it "as having authority" ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \chi \omega \nu \varepsilon \xi$ ouđıav). He struck a note not found by the rabbi. They quoted other rabbis and felt their function to be expounders of the traditions which they made a millstone around the necks of the people. By so doing they set aside the word and will of God by their traditions and petty legalism (Mr 7:9,13). They were casuists and made false interpretations to prove their punctilious points of external etiquette to the utter neglect of the spiritual reality. The people noticed at once that here was a personality who got his power (authority) direct from God, not from the current scribes. "Mark omits much, and is in many ways a meagre Gospel, but it makes a distinctive contribution to the evangelic history in showing by a few realistic touches (this one of them) the remarkable personality of Jesus" (Bruce). See on Mt 7:29 for the like impression made by the Sermon on the Mount where the same language occurs. The chief controversy in Christ's life was with these scribes, the professional teachers of the oral law and mainly Pharisees. At once the people see that Jesus stands apart from the old group. He made a sensation in the best sense of that word. There was a buzz of excitement at the new teacher that was increased by the miracle that followed the sermon.

Mark 1:23
With an unclean spirit ( $\varepsilon v \pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \tau \omega)$. This use of $\varepsilon v$ "with" is common in the Septuagint like the Hebrew be, but it occurs also in the papyri. It is the same idiom as "in Christ," "in the Lord" so common with Paul. In English we speak of our being in love, in drink, in his cups, etc. The unclean spirit was in the man and the man in the unclean spirit, a man in the power of the unclean spirit. Luke has "having," the usual construction. See on Mt 22:43. Unclean spirit is used as synonymous with
demon ( $\delta a \mu \mu \mathrm{v} \iota \boldsymbol{v}$ ). It is the idea of estrangement from God (Zec 13:2 ). The whole subject of demonology is difficult, but no more so than the problem of the devil. Jesus distinguishes between the man and the unclean spirit. Usually physical or mental disease accompanied the possession by demons. One wonders today if the degenerates and confirmed criminals so common now are not under the power of demons. The only cure for confirmed criminals seems to be conversion (a new heart).

Mark 1:24

What have we to do with thee? ( $\tau \eta \mu \nu \kappa \alpha \sigma o$ ?) The same idiom in Mt 8:29. Ethical dative. Nothing in common between the demon and Jesus. Note "we." The man speaks for the demon and himself, double personality. The recognition of Jesus by the demons may surprise us since the rabbis (the ecclesiastics) failed to do so. They call Jesus "The Holy One of God" (o a $\gamma$ los tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Hence the demon feared that Jesus was come to destroy him and the man in his power. In Mt 8:29 the demon calls Jesus "Son of God." Later the disciples will call Jesus "The Holy One of God" (Joh 6:69). The demon cried out aloud (aveкра $\xi \varepsilon v$, late first aorist form, $\alpha v \varepsilon \kappa \rho \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v$, common second aorist) so that all heard the strange testimony to Jesus. The man says "I know" (oi $\delta \alpha$ ), correct text, some manuscripts "we know" (ot $\delta \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ), including the demon.

Mark 1:25
Hold thy peace $(\varphi \mu \omega \theta \eta \tau)$. First aorist passive imperative of $\varphi \mu$ о . "Be quiet," Moffatt translates it. But it is a more vigorous word, "Be muzzled" like an ox. So literally in De 25:4, 1Co 9:9; 1Ti 5:18. It is common in Josephus, Lucian, and the LXX. See Mt 22:12,34. Gould renders it "Shut up." "Shut your mouth" would be too colloquial. Vincent suggests "gagged," but that is more the idea of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau о \mu \alpha \zeta \varepsilon ı v$ in Tit 1:11, to stop the mouth.

Mark 1:26
Tearing him ( $\sigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \xi \alpha v \alpha u \tau o v$ ). Margin,
convulsing him like a spasm. Medical writers use the word for the rotating of the stomach. Lu 4:35 adds "when the demon had thrown him down in the midst." Mark mentions the "loud voice" ( $\varphi$ ov $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta$ ), a screech, in fact. It was a moment of intense excitement.

Mark 1:27
They questioned among themselves ( $\sigma \cup v \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon เ v ~ a \cup \tau o v \varsigma) . ~ B y ~ l o o k ~ a n d ~ w o r d . ~$
A new teaching ( $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \chi \eta$ кaıv $\eta$ ). One surprise had followed another this day. The teaching was fresh ( $\kappa \alpha \iota v \eta$ ), original as the dew of the morning on the blossoms just blown. That was a novelty in that synagogue where only staid and stilted rabbinical rules had been heretofore droned out. This new teaching charmed the people, but soon will be rated as heresy by the rabbis. And it was with authority ( $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \xi \circ v \sigma \iota \alpha v$ ). It is not certain whether the phrase is to be taken with "new teaching," "It's new teaching with authority behind it," as Moffatt has it, or with the verb; "with authority commandeth even the unclean spirits" (ка тоıя $\pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu ~ \tau о \iota \varsigma ~ а к а Ө \alpha \rho \tau о \iota \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon)$. The position is equivocal and may be due to the fact that "Mark gives the incoherent and excited remarks of the crowd in this natural form" (Swete). But the most astonishing thing of all is that the demons "obey him" (vлакоvovaıv $\alpha \cup \tau \omega)$. The people were accustomed to the use of magical formulae by the Jewish exorcists (Mt 12:27; Ac 19:13), but here was something utterly different. Simon Magus could not understand how Simon Peter could do his miracles without some secret trick and even offered to buy it (Ac 8:19).

Mark 1:28

The report of him ( $\eta$ aкоך avtov). Vulgate, rumor. See Mt 14:1; 24:6. They had no telephones, telegraphs, newspapers or radio, but news has a marvellous way of spreading by word of mouth. The fame of this new teacher went out "everywhere" ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \chi o v$ ) throughout all Galilee.

Mark 1:29
The house of Simon and Andrew ( $\tau \eta \nu$ оккı $\alpha \nu \Sigma \mu \omega \nu о \varsigma \kappa \alpha A v \delta \rho \varepsilon о v$ ). Peter was married and both he and Andrew lived together in "Peter's house" (Mt 8:14) with Peter's wife and mother-in-law. Peter was evidently married before he began to follow Jesus. Later his wife accompanied him on his apostolic journeys (1Co 9:5). This incident followed immediately after the service in the synagogue on the sabbath. All the Synoptics give it. Mark heard Peter tell it as it occurred in his own house where Jesus made his home while in Capernaum. Each Gospel gives touches of its own to the story. Mark has "lay sick of a fever " (катєкєıто $\pi \nu \rho \varepsilon \sigma \sigma 0 v \sigma \alpha)$, lay prostrate burning with fever. Matthew puts it "stretched out ( $\beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon v \eta v$ ) with a fever." Luke has it "holden with a great fever" ( $\eta \nu \sigma \cup v \varepsilon \chi о \mu \varepsilon v \eta \pi \nu \rho \varepsilon \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \omega$ ), a technical medical phrase. They all mention the instant recovery and ministry without any convalescence. Mark and Matthew speak of the touch of Jesus on her hand and Luke speaks of Jesus standing over her like a doctor. It was a tender scene.

Mark 1:32
When the sun did set (oтє $\varepsilon \delta v \sigma \varepsilon v$ o $\eta \lambda$ ıo). This picturesque detail Mark has besides "at even" (o千tac $\gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma$, genitive absolute, evening having come). Matthew has "when even was come," Luke "when the sun was setting." The sabbath ended at sunset and so the people were now at liberty to bring their sick to Jesus. The news about the casting out of the demon and the healing of Peter's mother-in-law had spread all over Capernaum. They brought them in a steady stream (imperfect tense, $\varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ). Luke (Lu 4:40) adds that Jesus laid his hand on every one of them as they passed by in grateful procession.

Mark 1:33
At the door ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau \eta v \theta \nu \rho \alpha v$ ). At the door of Peter's house. The whole city was gathered together there ( $\boxtimes$ n episun $\boxtimes$ gmen $\boxtimes$, past perfect passive periphrastic indicative, double compound $\varepsilon \pi$ and $\sigma v v$ ). Mark alone mentions this vivid detail. He is seeing with Peter's eyes again. Peter no doubt watched the beautiful scene with pride and gratitude as Jesus stood in the door and healed the great crowds in the glory of that sunset. He loved to tell it afterwards.
 variegated. All sorts of sick folk came and were healed.

Mark 1:34
Devils ( $\delta \alpha \mu \mathrm{\mu} \boldsymbol{v} \alpha \alpha$ ). Demons it should be translated always.
Suffered not (ouk $\eta \varphi \iota \varepsilon$ ). Would not allow, imperfect tense of continued refusal. The

$\varepsilon ı v a)$ is genuine or not, that is the meaning and is a direct reference to $1: 24$ when in the synagogue the demon recognized and addressed Jesus as the Holy One of God. Testimony from such a source was not calculated to help the cause of Christ with the people. He had told the other demon to be silent. See on Mt 8:29 for discussion of the word demon.

Mark 1:35
In the morning, a great while before day ( $\pi \rho \omega \varepsilon v v v \chi \alpha$ 入ıav). Luke has only "when it was day" ( $\gamma \varepsilon v \circ \mu \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho a \varsigma)$. The word $\pi \rho \omega$ in Mark means the last watch of the night from three to six A.M. Evvo $\alpha$ 人 $1 a v$ means in the early part of the watch while it was still a bit dark (cf. Mr 16:2 $\lambda \iota \alpha v \pi \rho \omega)$.

Rose up and went out ( $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Out of the house and out of the city, off ( $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$, even if not genuine, possibly a conflate reading from 6:32,46). "Flight from the unexpected reality into which His ideal conception of His calling had brought Him" (H.J. Holtzmann). Gould notes that Jesus seems to retreat before his sudden popularity, to prayer with the Father "that he might not be ensnared by this popularity, or in any way induced to accept the ways of ease instead of duty." But Jesus also had a plan for a preaching tour of Galilee and "He felt He could not begin too soon. He left in the night, fearing opposition from the people" (Bruce). Surely many a popular preacher can understand this mood of Jesus when in the night he slips away to a solitary place for prayer. Jesus knew what it was to spend a whole night in prayer. He knew the blessing of prayer and the power of prayer.

And there prayed ( $\kappa$ 'акع $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta \cup \chi \varepsilon \tau о$ ). Imperfect tense picturing Jesus as praying through the early morning hours.

Mark 1:36
Followed after him ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \delta \iota \omega \xi \varepsilon v$ avtov). Hunted him out (Moffatt). Perfective use of the preposition кata (down to the finish). The verb $\delta \iota \omega \kappa \omega$ is used for the hunt or chase, pursuit. Vulgate has persecutus est. The personal story of Peter comes in here. "Simon's intention at least was good; the Master seemed to be losing precious opportunities and must be brought back" (Swete). Peter and those with him kept up the search till they found him. The message that they brought would surely bring Jesus back to Peter's house.

Mark 1:38
Into the next towns ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \chi о \mu \varepsilon v a \varsigma ~ \kappa \omega \mu о \pi о \lambda \varepsilon ı \varsigma) . ~ I t ~ w a s ~ a ~ s u r p r i s i n g ~ d e c i s i o n ~ f o r ~$ Jesus to leave the eager, excited throngs in Capernaum for the country town or village cities without walls or much importance. Only instance of the word in the N.T. Late Greek word. The use of exouevac for next is a classic use meaning clinging to, next to a thing. So in Lu 13:33; Ac 13:44; 20:15; Heb 6:9 . "D" here has $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \cup \varsigma$ (near).

Mark 1:39
Throughout all Galilee (Eıc o $\left.\lambda \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu \Gamma \alpha \lambda_{\imath} \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha v\right)$. The first tour of Galilee by Jesus. We are told little about this great preaching tour.

Mark 1:40

Kneeling down to him ( $\kappa \alpha \not \gamma \sigma v \pi \varepsilon \tau \omega v$ ). Picturesque detail omitted by some MSS. Lu 5:12 has "fell on his face."

Mark 1:41
Being moved with compassion ( $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \nu \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma$ ). Only in Mark. First aorist passive participle.

Mark 1:43
Strictly charged ( $\varepsilon \mu \beta \rho \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma)$. Only in Mark. Lu 5:14 has $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \iota \lambda \varepsilon \nu$ (commanded). Mark's word occurs also in 14:5 and in Mt 9:30 and Joh 11:38. See on Mt 9:30 . It is a strong word for the snorting of a horse and expresses powerful emotion as Jesus stood here face to face with leprosy, itself a symbol of sin and all its train of evils. The command to report to the priests was in accord with the Mosaic regulations and the prohibition against talking about it was to allay excitement and to avoid needless opposition to Christ.

Mark 1:44
For a testimony unto them ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \mu \rho \tau \nu \rho ı \nu \alpha v \tau о \iota \varsigma)$. Without the formal testimony of the priests the people would not receive the leper as officially clean.

Mark 1:45
Began to publish it much ( $\eta \rho \xi \alpha \tau о$ кп $\rho \cup \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \iota \nu \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ). Lu 5:15 puts it, "so much the more" ( $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$ ). One of the best ways to spread a thing is to tell people not to tell. It was certainly so in this case. Soon Jesus had to avoid cities and betake himself to desert places to avoid the crowds and even then people kept coming to Jesus ( $\eta \rho \chi o v \tau o$, imperfect tense). Some preachers are not so disturbed by the onrush of crowds.

## Mark 2

Mark 2:1
Again into Capernaum after some days ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ К \alpha \varphi \alpha \rho v \alpha o v \mu \delta \iota^{\prime} \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \omega v$ ). After the first tour of Galilee when Jesus is back in the city which is now the headquarters for the work in Galilee. The phrase $\delta \iota^{\prime} \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \omega \nu$ means days coming in between ( $\delta \iota \alpha, \delta v o$, two) the departure and return.

In the house ( $\varepsilon \nu$ оוк $\omega$ ). More exactly,
at home, in the home of Peter, now the home of Jesus. Another picture directly from Peter's discourse. Some of the manuscripts have here $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ оєкоv, illustrating the practical identity in meaning of $\varepsilon v$ and $\varepsilon ા \varsigma$ (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 591-6).

It was noised $(\eta \kappa о v \sigma \theta \eta)$. It was heard (first aorist, passive indicative from $\alpha \kappa 0 v \omega$, to hear). People spread the rumour, "He is at home, he is indoors."

Mark 2:2
So that there was no longer room for them, no, not even about the door ( $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon \mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau$ $\chi \omega \rho \varepsilon \iota v \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau \eta v \theta v \rho \alpha v)$. Another graphic Markan detail seen through Peter's eyes. The double compound negative in the Greek intensifies the negative. This house door apparently opened into the street, not into a court as in the larger houses. The house was packed inside and there was a jam outside.

And he spake the word unto them ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \alpha v \tau о \varsigma \tau<\nu \lambda о \gamma \sigma v$ ). And he was speaking the word unto them, Mark's favourite descriptive imperfect tense ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Note this word $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ about the preaching of Jesus (originally just sounds like the chatter of birds, the prattling of children, but here of the most serious kind of speech. As contrasted with $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ (to say) it is rather an onomatopoetic word with some emphasis on the sound and manner of speaking. The word is com- mon in the vernacular papyri examples of social inter-course.

Mark 2:3
And they come ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ v \tau \alpha$ ). Fine illustration of Mark's vivid dramatic historical present preserved by Luke Lu 5:18, but not by Mt 9:2 (imperfect).

Borne by four ( $\alpha \iota \rho \circ \mu \varepsilon v \circ v$ v $\pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \omega v$ ). Another picturesque Markan detail not in the others.

Mark 2:4
Come nigh ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \gamma \gamma \iota \sigma \alpha)$. But Westcott and Hort read $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha$, to bring to, after Aleph, B, L, 33, 63 (cf. Joh 5:18 ).

They uncovered the roof ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \sigma \tau \varepsilon \gamma \eta \nu$ ). They unroofed the roof (note paronomasia in the Greek and cognate accusative). The only instance of this verb in the N.T. A rare word in late Greek, no papyrus example given in Moulton and Milligan Vocabulary. They climbed up a stairway on the outside or ladder to the flat tile roof and dug out or broke up ( $\varepsilon \xi_{\circ} \rho \nu \xi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ) the tiles (the roof). There were thus tiles ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \omega \nu \kappa \varepsilon \rho \alpha \mu \omega \nu$,

Lu 5：19）of laths and plaster and even slabs of stone stuck in for strength that had to be dug out．It is not clear where Jesus was（oпоv $\eta v$ ），either downstairs，（Holtzmann）or upstairs （Lightfoot），or in the quadrangle（atrium or compluvium，if the house had one）．＂A compos－ ition of mortar，tar，ashes and sand is spread upon the roofs，and rolled hard，and grass grows in the crevices．On the houses of the poor in the country the grass grows more freely， and goats may be seen on the roofs cropping it＂（Vincent）．

They let down the bed（ $\chi \alpha \lambda \omega \sigma$ $\tau \sigma v \rho \alpha \beta \alpha \tau \tau \sigma v$ ），historical present again，aorist tense in Lu 5：19（каӨ $к \kappa \alpha v)$ ．The verb means to lower from a higher place as from a boat．Probably the four men had a rope fastened to each corner of the pallet or poor man＇s bed（кра $\beta a \tau \tau ⿱ ⺌ 兀$ ， Latin grabatus．So one of Mark＇s Latin words）．Matthew（Mt 9：2 ）has $\kappa \lambda \iota \imath \eta$ ，general term for bed．Luke has $\kappa \lambda \iota v \iota \delta \iota o v$（little bed or couch）．Mark＇s word is common in the papyri and is spelled also кра $\beta \beta$ атос，sometimes краßато¢，while W ，Codex Washingtonius，has it кра $\beta \beta$ атог．

Mark 2：5
Their faith（ $\tau \eta \nu \pi\llcorner\sigma \tau \iota v \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ）．The faith of the four men and of the man himself．There is no reason for excluding his faith．They all had confidence in the power and willingness of Jesus to heal this desperate case．

Are forgiven（ $\alpha \varphi \vDash \nu \tau \alpha$ ，aoristic present passive，cf．punctiliar action，Robertson＇s Grammar，pp．864ff．）．So Mt 9：3，but Lu 5：20 has the Doric perfect passive $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \omega v \tau \alpha$ ．The astonishing thing both to the paralytic and to the four friends is that Jesus forgave his sins instead of healing him．The sins had probably caused the paralysis．

Mark 2：6
Sitting there，and reasoning in their hearts（ $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \kappa \alpha Ө \eta \mu \varepsilon v o ~ к \alpha ~ \delta ı \alpha \lambda о ү \iota \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v o ~ \varepsilon v \tau \alpha \iota$, $\kappa \alpha \rho \delta ı a ı \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ）．Another of Mark＇s pictures through Peter＇s eyes．These scribes（and Pharisees， Lu 5：21 ）were there to cause trouble，to pick flaws in the teaching and conduct of Jesus．His popularity and power had aroused their jealousy．There is no evidence that they spoke aloud the murmur in their hearts，＂within themselves＂（Mt 9：3 ）．It was not ne－ cessary，for their looks gave them away and Jesus knew their thoughts（Mt 9：4）and perceived their reasoning（Lu 5：22）．

Instantly Jesus recognized it in his own spirit（ $\varepsilon v \theta v \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \downarrow \gamma v o v \varsigma$ o I $\eta \sigma o v \varsigma ~ \tau \omega \pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau$ autov， $\mathrm{Mr} 2: 8$ ）．The Master at once recognizes the hostile atmosphere in the house．The
 feeling did．

Mark 2：7
He blasphemeth（ $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon$ ）．This is the unspoken charge in their hearts which Jesus read like an open book．The correct text here has this verb．They justify the charge with the conviction that God alone has the power（ $\delta u v a \tau \alpha)$ to forgive sins．The word $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon \omega$ means injurious speech or slander．It was，they held，blasphemy for Jesus to assume this divine
prerogative. Their logic was correct. The only flaw in it was the possibility that Jesus held a peculiar relation to God which justified his claim. So the two forces clash here as now on the deity of Christ Jesus. Knowing full well that he had exercised the prerogative of God in forgiving the man's sins he proceeds to justify his claim by healing the man.

Mark 2:10
That ye may know ( $(v \alpha \varepsilon \iota \delta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). The scribes could have said either of the alternatives in verse 9 with equal futility. Jesus could say either with equal effectiveness. In fact Jesus chose the harder first, the forgiveness which they could not see. So he now performs the miracle of healing which all could see, that all could know that (the Son of Man, Christ's favourite designation of himself, a claim to be the Messiah in terms that could not be easily attacked) he really had the authority and power ( (₹<ovoıav) to forgive sins. He has the right and power here on earth to forgive sins, here and now without waiting for the day of judgment.

He saith to the sick of the palsy $(\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon)$. This remarkable parenthesis in the middle of the sentence occurs also in Mt 9:6 and Lu 5:24, proof that both Matthew and Luke followed Mark's narrative. It is inconceivable that all three writers should independently have injected the same parenthesis at the same place.

Mark 2:12
Before them all ( $\varepsilon \mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega v)$. Lu 5:25 follows Mark in this detail. He picked up (арая) his pallet and walked and went home as Jesus had commanded him to do (Mr 2:11). It was an amazing proceeding and made it unnecessary for Jesus to refute the scribes further on this occasion. The amazement ( $\varepsilon \xi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$, our ecstasy, as Lu 5:26 has it), was too general and great for words. The people could only say: "We never saw it on this fashion" (Hout $\omega \varsigma$ ou $\delta \varepsilon \pi о \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \delta a \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Jesus had acted with the power of God and claimed equality with God and had made good his claim. They all marvelled at the
paradoxes $(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o \xi \alpha, \operatorname{Lu} 5: 26)$ of that day. For it all they glorified God.
Mark 2:13
By the seaside ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta v \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha v$ ). A pretty picture of Jesus walking by the sea and a walk that Jesus loved (Mr 1:16; Mt 4:18). Probably Jesus went out from the crowd in Peter's house as soon as he could. It was a joy to get a whiff of fresh air by the sea. But it was not long till all the crowd began to come to Jesus ( $\eta \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \circ$, imperfect) and Jesus was teaching them ( $\varepsilon \delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v$, imperfect). It was the old story over again, but Jesus did not run away.

Mark 2:14
And as he passed by ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \omega v$ ). Present participle active, was passing by. Jesus was constantly on the alert for opportunities to do good. An unlikely specimen was Levi (Matthew), son of Alpheus, sitting at the toll-gate ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega v$ vov) on the Great West Road from Damascus to the Mediterranean. He was a publican ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega v \eta \varsigma$ ) who collected toll for Herod Antipas. The Jews hated or despised these publicans and classed them with sinners
( $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda 0$ ). The challenge of Jesus was sudden and sharp, but Levi (Matthew) was ready to respond at once. He had heard of Jesus and quickly decided. Great decisions are often made on a moment's notice. Levi is a fine object lesson for business men who put off service to Christ to carry on their business.

Mark 2:16
The scribes of the Pharisees (о $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \omega \nu$ Фарıбаı $\tau v$ ). This is the correct text. Cf. "their scribes" in Lu 5:30. Matthew gave a great reception ( $\delta$ o $\chi \eta v, \operatorname{Lu} 5: 29$ ) in his house (Mr 2:15). These publicans and sinners not simply accepted Levi's invitation, but they imitated his example "and were following Jesus" ( $\kappa \alpha \kappa \kappa \lambda o v \theta o v \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega$ ). It was a motly crew from the standpoint of these young theologues, scribes of the Pharisees, who were on hand, being invited to pick flaws if they could. It was probably in the long hall of the house where the scribes stood and ridiculed Jesus and the disciples, unless they stood outside, feeling too pious to go into the house of a publican. It was an offence for a Jew to eat with Gentiles as even many of the early Jewish Christians felt (Ac 11:3) and publicans and sinners were regarded like Gentiles (1Co 5:11).

Mark 2:17
The righteous ( $\delta$ ıкaıouc). Jesus for the sake of argument accepts the claim of the Pharisees to be righteous, though, as a matter of fact, they fell very far short of it. Elsewhere (Mt 23 ) Jesus shows that the Pharisees were extortionate and devoured widows' houses and wore a cloak of pride and hypocritical respectability. The words "unto repentance" (عıৎ $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o l a v)$ are not genuine in Mark, but are in Lu 5:32. Jesus called men to new spiritual life and away from sin and so to repentance. But this claim stopped their mouths against what Jesus was doing. The well or the strong (เซұvovte¢) are not those who need the physician in an epidemic.

Mark 2:18
 $\nu \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. The periphrastic imperfect, so common in Mark's vivid description. Probably Levi's feast happened on one of the weekly fast-days (second and fifth days of the week for the stricter Jews). So there was a clash of standpoints. The disciples of John sided with the Pharisees in the Jewish ceremonial ritualistic observances. John was still a prisoner in Machaerus. John was more of an ascetic than Jesus (Mt 18f.; Lu 7:33-35 ), but neither one pleased all the popular critics. These learners ( $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha$ ) or disciples of John had missed the spirit of their leader when they here lined up with the Pharisees against Jesus. But there was no real congeniality between the formalism of the Pharisees and the asceticism of John the Baptist. The Pharisees hated John who had denounced them as broods of vipers. Here the disciples of John and the disciples of the Pharisees (o $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha$ I $\omega \alpha \nu$ vo ка о $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ Фарıбаı $\omega v$ ) join in criticizing Jesus and his disciples. Later we shall see Pharisees, Sadducees, and Herodians, who bitterly detested each other, making com- mon cause against Jesus

Christ. So today we find various hostile groups combining against our Lord and Saviour. See on Mt 9:14-17 for comments. Matthew has here followed Mark closely.

Mark 2:19
The sons of the bridechamber (o vio $\tau 0 v \nu \nu \mu \varphi \omega v o \varsigma)$. Not merely the groomsmen, but the guests also, the $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha v \psi \mu \varphi \varsigma$ ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha v v \mu \varphi o$ of the old Greek). Jesus here adopts the Baptist's own metaphor (Joh 3:29), changing the friend of the bridegroom (o $\varphi\rangle \lambda о \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ v \nu \mu \varphi ь o v) ~ t o ~$ sons of the bridechamber. Jesus identifies himself with the bridegroom of the O.T. (Ho 2:21 ), God in his covenant relation with Israel (Swete). Mourning does not suit the wedding feast. Mark, Matthew, and Luke all give the three parables (bridegroom, unfulled cloth, new wineskins) illustrating and defending the conduct of Jesus in feasting with Levi on a Jewish fast-day. Lu 5:36 calls these parables. Jesus here seems iconoclastic to the ecclesiastics and revolutionary in emphasis on the spiritual instead of the ritualistic and ceremonial.

Mark 2:21
Seweth on ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \rho a \pi \tau \varepsilon$ ). Here only in the N.T. or elsewhere, though the uncompounded verb $\rho a \pi \tau \omega$ (to sew) is common enough,
sews upon: in Mt 9:16 and Lu 5:37 use $\varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$, put upon or clap upon.
Mark 2:22
But new wine into fresh wineskins ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ oıvov veov $\varepsilon เ \varsigma \alpha \sigma \kappa о ч \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha ı v o v \varsigma) . ~ W e s t c o t t ~$ and Hort bracket this clause as a Western non-interpolation though omitted only in D and some old Latin MSS. It is genuine in Lu 5:38 and may be so here.

Mark 2:23
Through the cornfields ( $\delta 1 \alpha \tau \omega v \sigma \pi \sigma \rho \mu \omega v$ ). See on Mt 12:1 . So Matt. and Lu 6:1 . But Mark uses $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi о \rho \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta a$, to go along beside, unless $\delta ı \alpha \pi$ о $\varepsilon \cup \varepsilon \sigma \theta a(B C D)$ is accepted. Perhaps now on the edge, now within the grain. Mark uses also odov noוعוv, to
make a way like the Latin iter facere, as if through the standing grain,
plucking the ears ( $\tau \lambda \lambda \lambda$ ovieऽ tous $\sigma \tau \alpha \chi \cup \alpha \varsigma)$. Work of preparing food the rabbis called it. The margin of the Revised Version has it correctly: They began to make their way plucking the ears of corn (grain, wheat or barley, we should say). See on Mt 12:1-8 for discussion of this passage, parallel also in Lu 6:15.

Mark 2:26
The house of God ( $\tau 0 v$ ookov $\operatorname{\tau ov} \theta$ عov). The tent or tabernacle at Nob, not the temple in Jerusalem built by Solomon.

When Abiathar was high priest ( $\varepsilon \pi \mathrm{A} \beta \iota \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \alpha \rho \chi เ \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Neat Greek idiom, in the time of Abiathar as high priest. There was confusion in the Massoretic text and in the LXX about the difference between Ahimelech (Abimelech) and Abiathar (2Sa 8:17), Ahimelech's son and successor (1Sa 21:2; 22:20 ). Apparently Ahimelech, not Abiathar was high priest at this time. It is possible that both father and son bore both names ( $1 \mathrm{Sa} 22: 20$; 2Sa 8:17; 1Ch 18:16 ), Abiathar mentioned though both involved. E $\pi$ may so mean in the passage
about Abiathar. Or we may leave it unexplained. They had the most elaborate rules for the preparation of the shewbread ( $\tau$ ouc a $\rho \tau$ тои $\tau \eta \varsigma \pi \rho о \theta \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$ ) the loaves of presentation, the loaves of the face or presence of God. It was renewed on the commencement of the sabbath and the old bread deposited on the golden table in the porch of the Sanctuary. This old bread was eaten by the priests as they came and went. This is what David ate.

Mark 2:27
For man ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ тov $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ ). Mark alone has this profound saying which subordinates the sabbath to man's real welfare (mankind, observe, generic article with $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$, class from class). Man was not made for the sabbath as the rabbis seemed to think with all their petty rules about eating an egg laid on the sabbath or looking in the glass, et cetera. See 2Macc. 5:19 and Mechilta on Ex 31:13 : "The sabbath is delivered unto you and ye are not delivered unto the sabbath." Christianity has had to fight this same battle about institutionalism. The church itself is for man, not man for the church.

Mark 2:28
Even of the sabbath ( $\kappa \alpha$ tov $\sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau o v$ ). Mark, Matthew (Mt 12:8), and Luke (Lu 6:5 ) all give this as a climax in the five reasons given by Christ on the occasion for the conduct of the disciples, but Mark has the little word "even" ( $\kappa \alpha$ ) not in the others, showing that Jesus knew that he was making a great claim as the Son of Man, the Representative Man, the Messiah looked at from his human interest, to lordship (кирıоя) even of the sabbath. He was not the slave of the sabbath, but the master of it. "Even of the sabbath, so invaluable in your eyes. Lord, not to abolish, but to interpret and keep in its own place, and give it a new name" (Bruce).

## Mark 3

Mark 3:1
Had his hand withered ( $\varepsilon \xi \eta \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \nu \varepsilon \chi \omega \nu \tau \eta \nu \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha$ ). He had his (the in the Greek, common idiom with article as possessive) hand (right hand, Lu 6:6) in a withered state, perfect passive participle (adjective $\xi \eta \rho a v$ in Matthew and Luke), showing that it was not congenital, but the result of injury by accident or disease. Bengel: Non ex utero, sed morbo aut vulnere.

Mark 3:2
They watched ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho o v v$ ). Imperfect tense, were watching on the side (or sly). Luke uses the middle voice, $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho o u v \tau \sigma$, to accent their personal interest in the proceedings. It was the sabbath day and in the synagogue and they were there ready to catch him in the act if he should dare to violate their rules as he had done in the wheat fields on the previous sabbath. Probably the same Pharisees are present now as then.

That they might accuse him (ıva катпүорŋб $\omega \sigma \iota \nu \alpha v \tau 0 v$ ). So Mt 12:10. Luke has it "that they might find how to accuse him" (ıva $\varepsilon v \rho \omega \sigma \iota v$ кат $\eta \gamma o \rho \varepsilon ı v ~ a v \tau o v) . ~ T h e y ~ w e r e ~ d e-~$ termined to accuse him. The sabbath controversy offered the best opening. So here they are ready for business.

## Mark 3:3

Stand forth ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \mu \varepsilon \sigma o v)$. Step into the middle of the room where all can see. It was a bold defiance of the Christ's spying enemies. Wycliff rightly puts it:

They aspieden him. They played the spy on Jesus. One can see the commotion among the long-bearded hypocrites at this daring act of Jesus.

Mark 3:4
But they held their peace (o $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \omega \omega \pi \omega v$ ). Imperfect tense. In sullen silence and helplessness before the merciless questions of Jesus as the poor man stood there before them all. Jesus by his pitiless alternatives between doing good ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha$ опоь $\varepsilon \omega$, late Greek word in LXX and N.T.) and doing evil (каколоє $\varepsilon \omega$, ancient Greek word), to this man, for instance,
to save a life or to kill ( $\psi v \chi \eta \nu \sigma \omega \sigma \alpha \eta \alpha \pi o \kappa \tau \varepsilon \iota \nu \alpha$ ), as in this case. It was a terrible exposure.

Mark 3:5
When he had looked round on them with anger ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \alpha v \tau o v \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ o $\rho \gamma \eta \varsigma$ ). Mark has a good deal to say about the looks of Jesus with this word (3:5,34; 5:37; 9:8; 10:23; 11:11) as here. So Luke only once, Lu 6:10. The eyes of Jesus swept the room all round and each rabbinical hypocrite felt the cut of that condemnatory glance. This indignant anger was not inconsistent with the love and pity of Jesus. Murder was in their hearts and Jesus knew it. Anger against wrong as wrong is a sign of moral health (Gould).

Being grieved at the hardness of their hearts ( $\sigma v \nu \lambda v \pi о \nu \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \pi \omega \rho \omega \sigma \varepsilon \tau \eta \varsigma$ $\kappa \alpha \rho \delta ı \alpha \varsigma \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Mark alone gives this point. The anger was tempered by grief (Swete). Jesus is the Man of Sorrows and this present participle brings out the continuous state of grief whereas the momentary angry look is expressed by the aorist participle above. Their own heart or attitude was in a state of moral ossification ( $\pi \omega \rho \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma)$ like hardened hands or feet. $\Pi \omega \rho o \varsigma$ was used of a kind of marble and then of the callus on fractured bones. "They were hardened by previous conceptions against this new truth" (Gould). See also on Mt 12:9-14

Mark 3:6
And straightway with the Herodians took council ( $\varepsilon v \theta v \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \omega \nu H \eta \rho \omega t \delta \iota \alpha \nu \omega v$ ). The Pharisees could stand no more. So out they stalked at once in a rage of madness (Lu 6:11 ) and outside of the synagogue took counsel ( $\sigma \nu \mu \beta$ ov $\lambda \iota o v \varepsilon \pi o ı \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ) or gave counsel ( $\sigma \cup \mu \beta o v \lambda ı v \varepsilon \delta \iota \delta o v \nu$, as some MSS. have it, imperfect tense, offered counsel as their solution of the problem) with their bitter enemies, the Herodians, on the sabbath day still "how they might destroy him" (o $\pi \omega \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \circ v a \pi o \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \omega \sigma \iota v$ ), a striking illustration of the alternatives of Jesus a few moments before, "to save life or to kill." This is the first mention of the Herodians or adherents of Herod Antipas and the Herod family rather than the Romans. The Pharisees would welcome the help of their rivals to destroy Jesus. In the presence of Jesus they unite their forces as in Mr 8:15; 12:13; Mt 22:16.

Mark 3:7
Withdrew to the sea ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \chi \omega \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta v \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha v$ ). Evidently Jesus knew of the plot to kill him, "perceiving it" (Mt 12:15 ). "He and His would be safer by the open beach" (Swete). He has the disciples with him. Vincent notes that on eleven occasions Mark mentions the withdrawals of Jesus to escape his enemies, for prayer, for rest, for private conference with his disciples ( $1: 12 ; 3: 7 ; 6: 31,46 ; 7: 24,31 ; 9: 2 ; 10: 1 ; 14: 34$ ). But, as often, a great multitude ( $\pi 0 \lambda v \pi \lambda \eta \theta \circ \varsigma$ ) from Galilee followed him.

Mark 3:8
Hearing what great things he did ( $\alpha \kappa 0 v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ o \sigma \alpha \pi o \iota \varepsilon$ ). Masculine plural present participle, though $\pi \lambda \eta \theta$ os is neuter singular (construction according to sense in both number and gender). This crowd by the sea came from Galilee, Judea, Jerusalem, Idumea, beyond Jordan (Decapolis and Perea), Tyre and Sidon, Phoenicia, North, South, East, and Northwest, even from Idumea (mentioned here alone in the N.T.) won by John Hyrcanus to Palestine. "In our Lord's time Idumea was practically a part of Judea with a Jewish circumcised population" (George Adam Smith). Many of these were probably Gentiles (Phoenicia and Decapolis) and may have known only the Greek language. The fame of Jesus had spread through all the regions round about. There was a jam as the crowds came to Jesus by the Sea of Galilee.

Mark 3:9

That a little boat should wait on him ( $\imath \alpha \alpha \pi \lambda o \iota \rho ı v \geqslant \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \rho \tau \varepsilon \rho \eta \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). The boat was to keep close (note present tense subjunctive of $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \rho \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \omega$ ) to the shore in constant readiness and move as Jesus did. Whether he needed it or not is not told, but it was there at hand.

Lest they should throng him ( $\imath \alpha \mu \eta \theta \lambda ı \beta \omega \sigma \iota v \alpha \tau \tau \circ v$ ). Press or crush him. Jesus stayed with the crowds for they needed him. Present subjunctive again.

Mark 3:10
Pressed upon him ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \pi \iota \pi \tau \varepsilon เ \nu \alpha v \tau \omega)$. Were falling upon him to such an extent that it was dangerous. They were not hostile, but simply intensely eager, each to have his own case attended to by Jesus.

That they might touch him ( $\iota v \alpha \alpha v \tau o v ~ a \psi \omega v \tau \alpha$ ). If only that much. They hoped for a cure by contact with Christ. Aorist subjunctive. It was a really pathetic scene and a tremendous strain on Jesus.

As many as had plagues ( $о \sigma$ к $\varepsilon \not \chi \circ \nu \mu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \alpha \varsigma$ ). Strokes or scourges, terms used by us today as a paralytic stroke, the influenza scourge. Our word plague is from $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \eta$ (Latin plaga), from $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma v \nu \mu$, to strike a blow. Common in ancient Greek in this sense. See Mr 5:29,34; Lu 7:21 for the same use of $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \varepsilon$, and also 2Macc. 9:11.

Mark 3:11
Whensoever they beheld him (o o $\alpha v \alpha v \tau o v \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \circ v v$ ). Imperfect indicative with o $\alpha \alpha v$ of repeated action. They kept falling down before him ( $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \pi \iota \pi \tau \circ v$ ) and crying, ( $\varepsilon \kappa \rho \alpha \zeta \circ \nu$ ) and he kept charging or rebuking $(\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \iota \mu \alpha)$ them, all imperfects. The unclean spirits (demons) recognize Jesus as the Son of God, as before. Jesus charged them not to make him known as he had also done before. He did not wish this testimony. It was a most exciting ordeal and is given only by Mark. Note non-final use of ıva.

Mark 3:13
 (Lu 6:12 ), "to pray" Luke adds. Historical present so common in Mark's vivid narrative. Neither Gospel gives the name of the mountain, assuming it as well known, probably not far from the lake.

Whom he himself would (ovৎ $\eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau o \varsigma)$. Emphatic use of $\alpha v \tau \circ \varsigma$ (himself) at end of sentence. Whether by personal imitation or through the disciples Jesus invites or calls to himself ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı \tau \alpha$, historical middle present indicative) a select number out of the vast crowds by the sea, those whom he really wished to be with him.

They went off to him ( $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \theta o v \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha v \tau o v)$. Luke states that Jesus "continued all night in prayer, to God." It was a crisis in the ministry of Christ. This select group up in the hills probably respected the long agony of Jesus though they did not comprehend his motive. They formed a sort of spiritual body-guard around the Master during his night vigil in the mountain.

## Mark 3:14

He appointed twelve ( $\varepsilon \pi \sigma \sqcap \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ). This was a second selection out of those invited to the hills and after the night of prayer and after day came ( $\mathrm{Lu} 6: 13$ ). Why he chose twelve we are not told, probably because there were twelve tribes in Israel. It was a good round number at any rate. They were to be princes in the new Israel (cf. Mt 19:28; Lu 22:30; Re 21:14,15 ). Luke (Lu 6:13-16) also gives the list of the twelve at this point while Matthew (Mt 10:1-4 ) postpones giving the names till they are sent out in Galilee. There is a fourth list in Ac 1:13. See discussion of the names of the apostles on Mt 10:1-4 and pp. 271-3 of my Harmony of the Gospels for Students of the Life of Christ. The three groups of four begin alike (Simon, Philip, James). There are some difficulties.

Whom he also named apostles (ovc ка a ообто入ovs $\omega v$ vo $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Margin of Revised Version, the text of Westcott and Hort after Aleph, B, C, etc. Genuine in Lu 6:13 and probably so here. The meaning is that Jesus himself gave the name apostle or missionary ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, to send) to this group of twelve. The word is applied in the New Testament to others besides as delegates or messengers of churches (2Co 8:23; Php 2:25), and messenger (Joh 13:16). It is applied also to Paul on a par with the twelve (Ga 1:1,11f. , etc.) and also to Barnabas (Ac 14:14 ), and perhaps also to Timothy and Silas (1Ti 2:6f. ). Two purposes of Jesus are mentioned by Mark in the choice of these twelve,
that they might be with him (ıva $\omega \sigma \imath v \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ avtov),
and that he might send them forth ( $\kappa \alpha$ iva $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta$ $\alpha \cup \tau o v \varsigma)$. They were not ready to be sent forth till they had been with Jesus for some time. This is one of the chief tasks of Christ to train this group of men. See Bruce's The Training of the Twelve. The very word $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau 0 \lambda o \varsigma$ is from $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$. There were two purposes in sending them forth expressed by two infinitives, one to preach ( $\kappa \eta \rho v \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \iota v$, from к $\eta \rho \cup \xi$, herald), the other to have power to cast out demons ( $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon ı v ~ \varepsilon \xi o v \sigma ı \alpha \nu ~ \varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon ı \nu ~ \tau \alpha ~ \delta \alpha ı \mu о v ı \alpha)$ ). This double ministry of preaching and healing was to mark their work. The two things are, however, different, and one does not necessarily involve the other.

Mark 3:16
Simon he surnamed Peter ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon Ө \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ оvoц $\alpha \tau \omega \Sigma \mu \omega v$ Пєт $\rho o v$ ). The Greek idiom seems awkward, but it is not. Peter is in apposition with name or ovoua (accusative). This surname Jesus gave in addition ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \theta \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ) to Simon (dative case). Here then is a direct reference to what is told in Joh 1:42 when Jesus met Simon for the first time. Mark here reflects Peter's own words. Luke (Lu 6:14) simply says "Whom he also surnamed Peter." See Mt 16:18 for the full explanation of the name Peter, a Rock, Cephas.

Mark 3:17
Boanerges, which is Sons of thunder (Boavnpүعя o eбтıv voo $\beta \rho o v \tau \eta \varsigma)$. This Hebrew nickname is given only by Mark and the reason for it is not clear. It may refer to the fiery
temperament revealed in Lu 9:34 when James and John wanted to call down fire on the Samaritan villages that were unfriendly to them. The word literally means
sons of tumult, sons of thunder in Syriac. No other epithets are given by Mark save descriptions to distinguish as Simon the Cananaean (or Zealot) and Judas Iscariot, who also betrayed him (verse 19). Andrew, (from $\alpha \vee \eta \rho$, a man) and Philip (Philippos, fond of horses) are both Greek names. Bartholomew, son of Tolmai, is the Nathanael of John's Gospel (Joh 21:2 ). He probably had both names. Matthew is a Hebrew name meaning gift of God (MaӨӨaıo؟). Thomas is Hebrew and means Twin (Didymus, Joh 11:16 ). There are two uses of the name of James (Ia $\alpha \omega \beta$ os, Jacob). Thaddeus is another name for Lebbaeus.

Mark 3:19
He cometh into a house ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ o \iota \kappa о v)$. Historical present again and no article with noun. He comes home from the mountain, probably the house of Simon as in 1:29. Mark passes by the Sermon on the Mount given by Matthew and Luke on the mountain (plateau on the mountain in Luke). We have to allow a reasonable interval for Mark's narrative. Mark's Gospel is full of action and does not undertake to tell all that Jesus did and said.

Mark 3:20
So that they could not so much as eat bread ( $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon \mu \eta \delta \nu v \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \alpha v \tau o v \varsigma \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \alpha \rho \tau о v$ $\varphi a \gamma \varepsilon \iota v)$. Note infinitive with $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$. Apparently Jesus and the disciples indoors with the great crowd in the house and at the door as in 1:32; 2:2 to which Mark refers by "again." The jam was so great that they could not rest, could not eat, and apparently Jesus could not even teach. The crowd reassembled at once on Christ's return from the mountain.

Mark 3:21
His friends (o $\left.\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v\right)$. The phrase means literally "those from the side of him (Jesus)." It could mean another circle of disciples who had just arrived and who knew of the crowds and strain of the Galilean ministry who now come at this special juncture. But the idiom most likely means the kinspeople or family of Jesus as is common in the LXX. The fact that in verse 31 "his mother and his brothers" are expressly mentioned would indicate that they are "the friends" alluded to in verse 21 . It is a mournful spectacle to think of the mother and brothers saying,

He is beside himself ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta)$. Second aorist active indicative intransitive. The same charge was brought against Paul (Ac 26:24; 2Co 5:13 ). We say that one is out of his head. Certainly Mary did not believe that Jesus was in the power of Beelzebub as the rabbis said already. The scribes from Jerusalem are trying to discount the power and prestige of Jesus ( 3:22). See on Mt 9:32-34; 10:25; 12:24 for Beelzebub and Beelzebul. Mary probably felt that Jesus was overwrought and wished to take him home out of the excitement and strain that he might get rest and proper food. See my The Mother of Jesus: Her Problems and Her Glory. The brothers did not as yet believe the pretensions and claims of Jesus (Joh 7:5 ). Herod Antipas will later consider Jesus as John the Baptist redivivus, the scribes treat him as under
demonic possession, even the family and friends fear a disordered mind as a result of overstrain. It was a crucial moment for Jesus. His family or friends came to take him home, to lay hold of him ( $\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \alpha$ ), forcibly if need be.

Mark 3:23
In parables ( $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta 0 \lambda \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. In crisp pungent thrusts that exposed the inconsistencies of the scribes and Pharisees. See on Mt 13 for discussion of the word
parable ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta 0 \lambda \eta$, placing beside for comparison). These short parabolic quips concern Satan's casting out ( $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$, the very word used of casting out demons) Satan (rhetorical question), a kingdom divided ( $\mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \theta \eta$, for a mere portion) against itself, a house divided ( $\mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \theta \eta$ ) against itself, two conditions of the third class undetermined, but with prospect of determination.

Mark 3:27
Spoil ( $\delta 1 \alpha \rho \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha)$. Plunder, compound verb, thoroughly ransack. Picture of Satan plundering the demons, the very tools (бкะレף) by which he carried on his business. A reductio ad absurdum. Jesus is the conqueror of Satan, not in league with him.

Mark 3:29
 penalty occurs here with $\varepsilon$ voxoc. In saying that Jesus had an unclean spirit (verse 30) they had attributed to the devil the work of the Holy Spirit. This is the unpardonable sin and it can be committed today by men who call the work of Christ the work of the devil, Nietzsche may be cited as an instance in point. Those who hope for a second probation hereafter may ponder carefully how a soul that eternally sins in such an environment can ever repent. That is eternal punishment. The text here is $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma(\sin )$, not $\kappa \rho ı \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ (judgment), as the Textus Receptus has it.

Mark 3:31
Standing without ( $\varepsilon \xi \omega \sigma \tau \eta \kappa о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). A late present from the perfect $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \alpha$. Pathetic picture of the mother and brothers standing on the outside of the house thinking that Jesus inside is beside himself and wanting to take him home. They were crowded out.

They sent unto him, calling him ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon 1 \lambda \alpha v \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau o v ~ \kappa \alpha \lambda о u v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \alpha \cup \tau о v)$. They were unwilling to disclose their errand to take him home (Swete) and so get the crowd to pass word unto Jesus on the inside, "calling him" through others. Some of the MSS. add "sisters" to mother and brothers as seeking Jesus.

Mark 3:32
Was sitting about him ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \tau о \pi \varepsilon \rho$ av $\tau о v$ ). They sat in a circle (кик $\lambda \omega$ ) around Jesus with the disciples forming a sort of inner circle.

Mark 3:34
Looking round on them ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho 1 \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Another of Mark's life-like touches. Jesus calls those who do the will of God his mother, brothers, and sisters. This does not prove
that the sisters were actually there. The brothers were hostile and that gives point to the tragic words of Jesus. One's heart goes out to Mary who has to go back home without even seeing her wondrous Son. What did it all mean to her at this hour?

## Mark 4

Mark 4:1
Sat in the sea ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \sigma \theta \alpha \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta)$. In the boat, of course, which was in the sea. He first sat by the beach (Mt 13:1) and then a very great multitude (o $\gamma \lambda \circ \varsigma \pi \lambda \varepsilon ו \sigma \tau o \varsigma)$ made him enter a boat in which he sat and taught. It was a common experience now to teach the crowds on the beach ( $2: 1,13 ; 3: 7-9$ ).

There is gathered ( $\sigma v v \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Graphic pictorial present again. See the crowds pressing Jesus into the sea.

## Mark 4:2

He taught them ( $\varepsilon \delta เ \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau o v \varsigma)$. Imperfect tense describing it as going on.
In parables ( $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta$ o $\lambda \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. As in 3:23, only here more extended parables. See on Mt 13 for discussion concerning Christ's use of parables. Eight are given there, one (the Lamp both in Mr 4:21 and Lu 8:16 (both Sower and the Lamp in Luke), one alone in Mr 4:26-29 (seed growing of itself) not in Matthew or Luke, ten on this occasion. Only four are mentioned in Mr 4:1-34 (The Sower, the Lamp, the Seed Growing of Itself, the Mustard Seed). But Mark adds ( $4: 34$ ) "without a parable spake he not unto them," clearly meaning that Jesus spoke many others on this occasion and Matt. after mentioning eight (Mt 13:34) makes the same statement. Manifestly, therefore, Jesus spoke many parables on this day and all theories of exegesis or dispensations on the basis of the number of these kingdom parables are quite beside the mark. In beginning Jesus said:

Hearken (Aкоטعтع). It is significant that even Jesus had to ask people to listen when he spoke. See also verse 9.

Mark 4:7
Choked ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \pi v \iota \xi \alpha v$ ). Пvı $\gamma \omega$ means to strangle, throttle. Mark has the compounded form with $\sigma v^{-}$, squeezed together. Mt 13:7 has $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \pi \nu \iota \xi \alpha \nu$,
choked off .
Yielded no fruit ( $\kappa \alpha \rho \pi о v$ оик $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha v$ ). In Mark alone. Barren in results.
Mark 4:8
Growing up and increasing ( $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha \iota v o v \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \alpha v \xi \alpha v o \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). In Mark alone. A vivid detail enlarging on the continued growth implied in the imperfect "yielded fruit" ( $\varepsilon \delta$ t $\delta o v$ $\kappa \alpha \rho \pi о v)$. It kept on yielding as it grew. Fruit is what matters.

Mark 4:10
When he was alone (отє єүعvєто ката $\mu$ оva¢). Only in Mark. Vivid recollection of Peter. Mark has also "they that were about him with the twelve" (o $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ av $\tau \boldsymbol{\nu} \sigma v \nu$ тoוs $\delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha)$, Matthew and Luke simply "the disciples." They did not want the multitude to see that they did not understand the teaching of Jesus.

Mark 4:11
 $\tau \eta \varsigma \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \iota a \varsigma$ тov $\theta \varepsilon$ ov). See on Mt 13:11 for word $\mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho ı v$. Here (Mr 4:11; Mt 13:11; Lu 8:10) alone in the Gospels, but in Paul 21 times and in the Revelation 4 times. It is frequent in Daniel and O.T. Apocrypha. Matthew and Luke use it here in the plural. Matthew and Luke add the word
to know ( $\gamma \nu \omega v \alpha$ ), but Mark's presentation covers a wider range than growing knowledge, the permanent possession of the mystery even before they understand it. The secret is no longer hidden from the initiated. Discipleship means initiation into the secret of God's kingdom and it will come gradually to these men.

But unto them that are without ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \iota v o \iota \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \tau о \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \omega$ ). Peculiar to Mark, those outside our circle, the uninitiated, the hostile group like the scribes and Pharisees, who were charging Jesus with being in league with Beelzebub. Lu 8:10 has "to the rest" ( тоıऽ 入оıлоıৎ), Mt 13:11 simply "to them" (عкعוvoוৎ). Without the key the parables are hard to understand, for parables veil the truth of the kingdom being stated in terms of another realm. Without a spiritual truth and insight they are unintelligible and are often today perverted. The parables are thus a condemnation on the wilfully blind and hostile, while a guide and blessing to the enlightened.

That (ıva). Mark has the construction of the Hebrew "lest" of Isa 6:9f. with the subjunctive and so Lu 8:10, while Mt 13:13 uses causal o $\tau$ with the indicative following the LXX. See on Mt 13:13 for the so-called causal use of ıva. Gould on $\operatorname{Mr} 4: 12$ has an intelligent discussion of the differences between Matthew and Mark and Luke. He argues that Mark here probably "preserves the original form of Jesus' saying." God ironically commands Isaiah to harden the hearts of the people. If the notion of purpose is preserved in the use of $t v \alpha$ in Mark and Luke, there is probably some irony also in the sad words of Jesus. If ova is given the causative use of $o \tau$ in Matthew, the difficulty disappears. What is certain is that the use of parables on this occasion was a penalty for judicial blindness on those who will not see.

## Mark 4:12

Lest haply they should turn again, and it should be forgiven them ( $\mu \eta \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \omega \sigma \iota \nu \kappa \alpha \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \theta \eta$ $\alpha \cup \tau \circ \iota)$. Luke does not have these difficult words that seem in Isaiah to have an ironical turn, though Mt 13:15 does retain them even after using o $\tau$ for the first part of the quotation. There is no way to make $\mu \eta \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ in $\operatorname{Mr} 4: 12$ and $\operatorname{Mt} 13: 15$ have a causal sense. It is the purpose of condemnation for wilful blindness and rejection such as suits the Pharisees after their blasphemous accusation against Jesus. Bengel says: iam ante non videbant, nunc accedit iudicium divinum. Jesus is pronouncing their doom in the language of Isaiah. It sounds like the dirge of the damned.

Mark 4:13
Know ye not this parable? (ovк oı $\delta \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau \eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta о \lambda \eta \nu \tau \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \nu$;). They had asked Jesus his reasons for using parables. This question implies surprise at their dulness though initiated
into the secret of God's Kingdom. Incapacity to comprehend this parable of the sower raises doubt about all the others on this day and at all times.

Mark 4:14
The sower soweth the word (o $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega \nu \tau o v \lambda o \gamma o v \sigma \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \varepsilon$ ). Not put thus clearly and simply in Mt 13:19 or Lu 8:11.

Mark 4:15
Where the word is sown ( $о \pi \circ v \sigma \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ o $\lambda о \gamma \circ \varsigma$ ). Explanatory detail only in Mark.
Satan ( $\Sigma a \tau \alpha v a \varsigma)$ where Mt 13:19 has
the evil one ( $о \pi о v \eta \rho \circ \varsigma)$ and Lu 8:12 the devil (о $\delta \iota \beta$ о $\quad$ оя).
Sown in them ( $\varepsilon \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \mu \varepsilon \nu 0 v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau о \cup \varsigma)$. Within them, not just among them, "in his heart" (Matt.).

Mark 4:19
The lusts of other things ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \alpha \lambda_{o \imath \pi \alpha} \varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \cup \mu \iota \alpha$ ). All the passions or longings, sensual, worldly, "pleasures of this life" ( $\eta \delta o v \omega v$ тov $\beta$ ıov) as Luke has it (Lu 8:14), the world of sense drowning the world of spirit. The word $\varepsilon \pi \iota \theta v \mu \iota \alpha$ is not evil in itself. One can yearn (this word) for what is high and holy (Lu 22:15; Php 1:23).

Mark 4:20
Bear fruit ( $\kappa \alpha \rho \pi о \varphi о \rho о v \sigma ı v)$. Same word in Mt 13:23 and Lu 8:15. Mark gives the order from thirty, sixty, to a hundred, while Mt 13:23 has it reversed.

Mark 4:21
Not to be put on the stand? (ov $\quad \downarrow \alpha \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta v \lambda v \chi \nu \iota \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \theta \eta \iota$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive of $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$ with $\tau v a$ (purpose). The lamp in the one-room house was a familiar object along with the bushel, the bed, the lampstand. Note article with each. M $\eta \tau$ in the Greek expects the answer no. It is a curious instance of early textual corruption that both Aleph and $B$, the two oldest and best documents, have v $\pi о \tau \eta \nu \lambda \nu \chi \nu \operatorname{lo} \nu$ (under the lampstand) instead of $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \nu \lambda \nu \chi \nu \iota \alpha v$, making shipwreck of the sense. Westcott and Hort actually put it in the margin but that is sheer slavery to Aleph and B. Some of the crisp sayings were repeated by Jesus on other occasions as shown in Matthew and Luke. To put the lamp under the bushel ( $\mu \mathrm{o} \delta \iota \circ v$ ) would put it out besides giving no light. So as to the bed or table-couch ( $\kappa \lambda \iota \nu \eta \nu$ ) if it was raised above the floor and liable to be set on fire.

Mark 4:22
Save that it should be manifested $(\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta \imath v \alpha \varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta)$. Note $\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta$ and $\imath v a . \mathrm{Lu}$ 8:17 has it
that shall not be made manifest (o ov $\varphi a v \varepsilon \rho o v \gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Here in Mark it is stated that the temporary concealment is for final manifestation and a means to that end. Those who are charged with the secret at this time are given the set responsibility of proclaiming it on the housetops after Ascension (Swete). The hidden (к $\rho v \pi \tau \tau v$ ) and the
secret ( $\alpha \pi о \kappa \rho \cup \varphi о v$ ) are to be revealed in due time.

Mark 4:23
Repeats verse 9 with conditional form instead of a relative clause. Perhaps some inattention was noted.

Mark 4:24
What ye hear ( $\tau$ aкоvє $\tau \varepsilon$ ). Lu 8:18 has it "how ye hear" ( $\pi \omega \varsigma \alpha \kappa о \cup \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ) . Both are important. Some things should not be heard at all for they besmirch the mind and heart. What is worth hearing should be heard rightly and heeded.

With what measure $(\varepsilon \nu \omega \mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \omega)$. See already in the Sermon on the Mount (Mt 7:2; Lu 6:38).

Mark 4:25
Even that which he hath ( $\kappa \alpha$ о $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon$ ). Lu 8:18 has
even that which he thinketh that he hath or seemeth to have ( $\kappa \alpha$ о бокє $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon เ v$ ). It is possible that $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon$ here has the notion of acquiring. The man who does not acquire soon loses what he thinks that he has. This is one of the paradoxes of Jesus that repay thought and practice.

Mark 4:26
As if a man should cast $(\omega \varsigma \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma \beta \alpha \lambda \eta)$. Note $\omega \varsigma$ with the aorist subjunctive without $\alpha v$. It is a supposable case and so the subjunctive and the aorist tense because a single instance. Blass considers this idiom "quite impossible," but it is the true text here and makes good sense (Robertson, Grammar, p. 968). The more common idiom would have been $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \alpha \nu$ (or $\alpha v$ ).

Mark 4:27
Should sleep and rise ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon v \delta \eta \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \tau \alpha)$. Present subjunctive for continued action. So also
spring up and grow ( $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \mu \eta \kappa v \nu \eta \tau \alpha$ ) two late verbs. The process of growth goes on all night and all day ( $v v \kappa \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha ~ \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu$, accusative of time).

He knoweth not how ( $\omega \varsigma$ оטк oı $\delta \varepsilon \nu \alpha \cup \tau \circ \varsigma$ ). Note position of $\omega \varsigma$ (beginning) and $\alpha \cup \tau \circ \varsigma$ (end) of clause:

How knows not he. The mystery of growth still puzzles farmers and scientists of today with all our modern knowledge. But nature's secret processes do not fail to operate because we are ignorant. This secret and mysterious growth of the kingdom in the heart and life is the point of this beautiful parable given only by Mark. "When man has done his part, the actual process of growth is beyond his reach or comprehension" (Swete).

Mark 4:28
Of herself ( $\alpha v \tau o \mu \alpha \tau \eta$ ). Automatically, we say. The secret of growth is in the seed, not in the soil nor in the weather nor in the cultivating. These all help, but the seed spontaneously works according to its own nature. The word $\alpha v \tau \circ \mu \alpha \tau \eta$ is from $\alpha v \tau o \varsigma$ (self) and $\mu \varepsilon \mu \alpha \alpha$ desire eagerly from obsolete $\mu \alpha \omega$. Common word in all Greek history. Only one other example in
N.T., in Ac 12:10 when the city gate opens to Peter of its own accord. "The mind is adapted to the truth, as the eye to the light" (Gould). So we sow the seed, God's kingdom truth, and the soil (the soul) is ready for the seed. The Holy Spirit works on the heart and uses the seed sown and makes it germinate and grow, "first the blade, then the ear, then the full corn in the ear" ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau 0 v \chi \circ \rho \tau o v, \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \tau \alpha \chi \nu \nu, \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon v \pi \lambda \eta \rho \eta \sigma \iota \tau \circ v \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \sigma \tau \alpha \chi v$ ). This is the law and order of nature and also of grace in the kingdom of God. Hence it is worth while to preach and teach. "This single fact creates the confidence shown by Jesus in the ultimate establishment of his kingdom in spite of the obstacles which obstruct its progress" (Gould).

Mark 4:29
Is ripe ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o$, second aorist subjunctive with o $\tau \alpha v$ ). Whenever the fruit yields itself or permits.

Putteth forth $(\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon)$. Sends forth the sickle. The word for apostle comes from this verb. See Joh $4: 38$ : "I sent you forth to reap" ( $\varepsilon \gamma 0 \alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha$ v $\alpha \varsigma \quad \theta \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta \varepsilon \imath v)$. Sickle ( $\delta \rho \varepsilon \pi \alpha v o v$ ) here by metonymy stands for the reapers who use it when the harvest stands ready for it ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon \nu$, stands by the side, present perfect indicative).

## Mark 4:30

How shall we liken? ( $\Pi \omega \varsigma$ o $\mu \circ \iota \omega \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ?) Deliberative first aorist subjunctive. This question alone in Mark. So with the other question:

In what parable shall we set it forth? ( $\varepsilon v \tau i v \alpha v \tau \eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \circ \lambda \eta \theta \omega \mu \varepsilon v ;$ ). Deliberative second aorist subjunctive. The graphic question draws the interest of the hearers (we) by fine tact. Lu 13:18f. retains the double question which Mt 13:31f. does not have, though he has it in a very different context, probably an illustration of Christ's favourite sayings often repeated to different audiences as is true of all teachers and preachers.

Mark 4:31
When it is sown (o $\tau \alpha v \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \eta$ ). Second aorist passive subjunctive of $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$. Alone in Mark and repeated in verse 32.

Less than all the seeds ( $\mu \kappa \rho о \tau \varepsilon \rho о \nu \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ ). Comparative adjective with the ablative case after it. Hyperbole, of course, but clearly meaning that from a very small seed a large plant grows, the gradual pervasive expansive power of the kingdom of God.

Mark 4:32
Groweth up ( $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha ı v \varepsilon$ ). Mt 13:32 When it is grown (o $\alpha \alpha v \alpha v \xi \eta \theta \eta$ ).
Under the shadow thereof (v $\tau о \tau \eta \nu \sigma \kappa \iota \alpha v \alpha v \tau \sigma v)$. A different picture from Matthew's
in the branches thereof ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau о \iota \varsigma \kappa \lambda \alpha \delta o \iota \varsigma \alpha \tau \tau \nu)$. But both use катабкŋvoıv, to tent or camp down, make nests in the branches in the shade or hop on the ground under the shade just like a covey of birds. In Mt 8:20 the birds have nests (катабкך $\nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. The use of the mustard seed for smallness seems to have been proverbial and Jesus employs it elsewhere (Mt 17:20; Lu 17:6 ).

Mark 4:33
As they were able to hear it ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \eta \delta v v a v \tau о \alpha \kappa о \varepsilon \varepsilon \downarrow$ ). Only in Mark. Imperfect indicative. See Joh 16:12 for ov $\delta v v a \sigma \theta \varepsilon \beta a \sigma \tau \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \iota v$, not able to bear. Jesus used parables now largely, but there was a limit even to the use of them to these men. He gave them the mystery of the kingdom in this veiled parabolic form which was the only feasible form at this stage. But even so they did not understand what they heard.

Mark 4:34
 $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \lambda v \varepsilon v \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha)$. To his own (ıסıoıৎ) disciples in private, in distinction from the mass of the people Jesus was in the habit (imperfect tense, $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \lambda \nu \varepsilon v$ ) of
disclosing, revealing, all things ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ) in plain language without the parabolic form used before the crowds. This verb $\varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \nu \omega$ occurs in the N.T. only here and in Ac 19:39 where the town-clerk of Ephesus says of the troubles by the mob: "It shall be settled in the regular assembly" ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \varepsilon v \nu 0 \mu \omega \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \nu \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. First future passive indicative from $\varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda v \omega$. The word means to give additional $(\varepsilon \pi)$ loosening $(\lambda v \omega)$, so to explain, to make plainer, clearer, even to the point of revelation. This last is the idea of the substantive in $2 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 20$ where even the Revised Version has it: "No prophecy of scripture is of private interpretation" ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon \iota \alpha \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta \varsigma \iota \delta \iota \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \nu \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ov $\gamma \iota v \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Here the use of $\gamma \iota v \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ (comes) with the ablative case ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda v \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$ and the explanation given in verse $2 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 21$ shows plainly that disclosure or revelation to the prophet is what is meant, not interpretation of what the prophet said. The prophetic impulse and message came from God through the Holy Spirit. In private the further disclosures of Jesus amounted to fresh revelations concerning the mysteries of the kingdom of God.

Mark 4:35
When even was come ( $о \psi \iota \propto \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \varsigma$ ). Genitive absolute. It had been a busy day. The blasphemous accusation, the visit of the mother and brothers and possibly sisters, to take him home, leaving the crowded house for the sea, the first parables by the sea, then more in the house, and now out of the house and over the sea.

Let us go over unto the other side ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon ı \varsigma \tau о \pi \varepsilon \rho a v)$. Hortatory (volitive) subjunctive, second aorist active tense. They were on the western side and a row over to the eastern shore in the evening would be a delightful change and refreshing to the weary Christ. It was the only way to escape the crowds.

Mark 4:36
Even as he was ( $\omega \varsigma \eta v$ ). Vulgate, ita ut erat. Bengel says: sine apparatu. That is, they take Jesus along ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v o v \sigma ı v$ ) without previous preparation.

Other boats ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \lambda o t \alpha)$. This detail also is given only by Mark. Some people had got into boats to get close to Jesus. There was a crowd even on the lake.

Mark 4:37

There ariseth a great storm of wind ( $\gamma \iota v \varepsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha ı \lambda \alpha \pi \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \alpha \nu \varepsilon \mu o v)$. Mark's vivid historical present again. Mt 8:24 has $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau o ~(a r o s e) ~ a n d ~ L u ~ 8: 23 ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \beta \eta ~(c a m e ~ d o w n) . ~ L u k e ~$ has also $\lambda \alpha ı \lambda \alpha \pi \varsigma$, but Matthew $\sigma \varepsilon \iota \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma$ (tempest), a violent upheaval like an earthquake. $\Lambda a ı \lambda a \pi \varsigma$ is an old word for these cyclonic gusts or storms. Luke's "came down" shows that the storm fell suddenly from Mount Hermon down into the Jordan Valley and smote the Sea of Galilee violently at its depth of 682 feet below the Mediterranean Sea. The hot air at this depth draws the storm down with sudden power. These sudden storms continue to this day on the Sea of Galilee. The word occurs in the LXX of the whirlwind out of which God answered Job (Job 38:1) and in Jon 1:4.

The waves beat into the boat ( $\tau \alpha \kappa \nu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ то $\pi \lambda о \iota \nu$ ). Imperfect tense (were beating) vividly picturing the rolling over the sides of the boat "so that the boat was covered with the waves" (Mt 8:24). Mark has it: "insomuch that the boat was now filling" ( $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon \eta \delta \eta \gamma \varepsilon \mu \iota \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ то $\pi \lambda$ oıov). Graphic description of the plight of the disciples.

Mark 4:38
Asleep on the cushion ( $\varepsilon \pi$ то $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \alpha ı v ~ \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon v \delta \omega \nu$ ). Mark also mentions the cushion or bolster and the stern of the boat $(\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \pi \rho v \mu \nu \eta)$. Mt 8:24 notes that Jesus was sleeping ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon v)$, Luke that
he fell asleep ( $\alpha \varphi v \pi \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$, ingressive aorist indicative). He was worn out from the toil of this day.

They awake him ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho o v \sigma \iota v \alpha v \tau o v$ ). So Mark's graphic present. Matthew and Luke both have "awoke him." Mark has also what the others do not: "Carest thou not?" (ov $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$ $\sigma o l ;)$. It was a rebuke to Jesus for sleeping in such a storm. We are perishing ( $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$, linear present middle). Precisely this same form also in Mt 8:25 and Lu 8:24.

Mark 4:39
Rebuked the wind $(\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \tau \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \alpha \nu \varepsilon \mu \omega)$ as in Mt 8:26 and Lu 8:24. He spoke to the sea also. All three Gospels speak of the sudden calm ( $\gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \nu \eta)$ and the rebuke to the disciples for this lack of faith.

Mark 4:40
Why are ye fearful? (T $\delta \varepsilon ı \lambda o \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon ;$ ). They had the Lord of the wind and the waves with them in the boat. He was still Master even if asleep in the storm.
 was really Lord of nature. They had accepted his Messiaship, but all the conclusions from it they had not yet drawn. How like us in our troubles they were!

Mark 4:41
They feared exceedingly ( $\varepsilon \varphi \rho \beta \eta \forall \eta \sigma \alpha v \varphi о \beta o v \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha v$ ). Cognate accusative with the first aorist passive indicative. They feared a great fear. Mt 8:27 and Lu 8:22 mention that "they marvelled." But there was fear in it also.

Who then is this? (Tıऽ a $\alpha$ оитоৎ $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v ;$ ). No wonder that they feared if this One could command the wind and the waves at will as well as demons and drive out all diseases and speak such mysteries in parables. They were growing in their apprehension and comprehension of Jesus Christ. They had much yet to learn. There is much yet for us today to learn or seek to grow in the knowledge of our Lord Jesus Christ. This incident opened the eyes and minds of the disciples to the majesty of Jesus.

## Mark 5

Mark 5:1
The Gerasenes ( $\tau \omega \nu \Gamma \varepsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \eta \nu \omega \nu$ ). Like Lu 8:26 while Mt 8:28 has "the Gadarenes." The ruins of the village Khersa (Gerasa) probably point to this site which is in the district of Gadara some six miles southeastward, not to the city of Gerasa some thirty miles away.

Mark 5:2
Out of the boat ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ रov $\pi \lambda o \iota o v$ ). Straightway ( $\varepsilon v \theta \cup \varsigma$ ) Mark says, using the genitive absolute $(\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \theta$ ov $\tau o \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau o v)$ and then repeating $\alpha v \tau \omega$ associative instrumental after $\alpha \pi \eta v \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. The demoniac greeted Jesus at once. Mark and Lu 9:27 mention only one man while Matthew notes two demoniacs, perhaps one more violent than the other. Each of the Gospels has a different phrase. Mark has "a man with an unclean spirit" ( $\varepsilon v \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu a \tau$
 demons" ( $\tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \chi \omega v \delta \alpha \iota \mu \circ \nu \iota \alpha)$. Mark has many touches about this miracle not retained in Matthew and Luke. See on Mt 8:28 .

Mark 5:3
No man could any more bind him, no, not with a chain (ov $\delta \varepsilon \alpha \lambda \sigma \varepsilon$ ov $\delta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \delta v v a \tau o$ $\alpha v \tau o v \delta \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). Instrumental case $\alpha \lambda v \sigma \varepsilon$, a handcuff ( $\alpha$ privative and $\lambda v \omega$, to loosen). But this demoniac snapped a handcuff as if a string.

Mark 5:4
Often bound ( $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa ı \varsigma ~ \delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Perfect passive infinitive, state of completion. With fetters ( $\pi \varepsilon \delta \alpha \iota$, from $\pi \varepsilon \zeta$, foot, instep) and chains, bound hand and foot, but all to no purpose. The English plural of foot is feet (Anglo-Saxon fot, fet) and fetter is feeter.

Rent asunder ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Drawn ( $\sigma \pi \alpha \omega$ ) in two ( $\delta ı \alpha-$ same root as $\delta v o$, two). Perfect passive infinitive.

Broken in pieces ( $\sigma \nu v \tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \iota \varphi \theta \alpha$.) Perfect passive infinitive again, from $\sigma \nu \nu \tau \rho \iota \beta \omega$, to rub together. Rubbed together, crushed together. Perhaps the neighbours who told the story could point to broken fragments of chains and fetters. The fetters may have been cords, or even wooden stocks and not chains.

No man had strength to tame him (ov $\varepsilon \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ เ \sigma \chi v \varepsilon v ~ \alpha v \tau o v \delta \alpha \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha$ ). Imperfect tense. He roamed at will like a lion in the jungle.

Mark 5:5
He was crying out, and cutting himself with stones ( $\eta \nu \kappa \rho \alpha \zeta \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa о \pi \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \alpha v \tau о \nu$ $\lambda_{1}$ Өoıs). Further vivid details by Mark. Night and day his loud scream or screech could be heard like other demoniacs (cf. 1:26;3:11; 9:26). The verb for cutting himself occurs here only in the N.T., though an old verb. It means to cut down (perfective use of ката-). We say cut $u$, gash, hack to pieces. Perhaps he was scarred all over with such gashes during his
moments of wild frenzy night and day in the tombs and on the mountains. Periphrastic imperfect active with $\eta \nu$ and the participles.

## Mark 5:6

Ran and worshipped ( $\varepsilon \delta \rho \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \kappa v \nu \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu)$. "At first perhaps with hostile intentions. The onrush of the naked yelling maniac must have tried the newly recovered confidence of the Twelve. We can imagine their surprise when, on approaching, he threw himself on his knees" (Swete).

## Mark 5:7

I adjure thee by God (оркıЦ $\omega$ б $\varepsilon$ тov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). The demoniac puts Jesus on oath (two accusatives) after the startled outcry just like the one in 1:24, which see. He calls Jesus here


Torment me not ( $\mu \eta \mu \varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \alpha v \iota \sigma \eta \iota$ ). Prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and the ingressive aorist subjunctive. The word means to test metals and then to test one by torture (cf. our "third degree"). Same word in all three Gospels.

Mark 5:8
For he said $(\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \gamma \alpha \rho)$. For he had been saying (progressive imperfect). Jesus had already repeatedly ordered the demon to come out of the man whereat the demon made his outcry to Jesus and protested. Mt 8:29 had "before the time" ( $\pi \rho \circ$ каı $\rho о v$ ) and $8: 31$ shows that the demons did not want to go back to the abyss ( $\tau \eta v \alpha \beta v \sigma \sigma o v$ ) right now. That was their real home, but they did not wish to return to the place of torment just now.

Mark 5:9
My name is Legion ( $\Lambda \varepsilon \gamma \iota \omega \nu$ ovo $\alpha \alpha \mu$ ). So Lu 8:30, but not Matthew. Latin word (legio). A full Roman legion had 6,826 men. See on Mt 26:53. This may not have been a full legion, for Mr 5:13 notes that the number of hogs was "about two thousand." Of course, a stickler for words might say that each hog had several demons.

Mark 5:13
And he gave them leave ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau о \iota \varsigma)$. These words present the crucial difficulty for interpreters as to why Jesus allowed the demons to enter the hogs and destroy them instead of sending them back to the abyss. Certainly it was better for hogs to perish than men, but this loss of property raises a difficulty of its own akin to the problem of tornadoes and earthquakes. The question of one man containing so many demons is difficult also, but not much more so than how one demon can dwell in a man and make his home there. One is reminded of the man out of whom a demon was cast, but the demon came back with seven other demons and took possession. Gould thinks that this man with a legion of demons merely makes a historical exaggeration. "I feel as if I were possessed by a thousand devils." That is too easy an explanation. See on Mt 8:32 for "rushed down the steep."

They were choked ( $\varepsilon \pi \nu \iota \gamma \circ v \tau 0$ ). Imperfect tense picturing graphically the disappearance of pig after pig in the sea. Lu 8:33 has $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \gamma \nu \tau \gamma \eta$,
choked off , constative second aorist passive indicative, treated as a whole, Mt 8:32 merely has "perished" ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon$ Өavov; died).

Mark 5:14
 in the city as the excited men ran they told the tale of the destruction of the hogs. They came to see ( $\eta \lambda$ Өov $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \imath)$. All the city came out (Matthew), they went out to see (Luke).

Mark 5:15
They come to Jesus ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi o v \tau \alpha \pi \rho o \varsigma ~ \tau o v$ In $\sigma o v v$ ). Vivid present. To Jesus as the cause of it all, "to meet Jesus" ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ v \pi \alpha v \tau \eta \sigma \iota v$ Iף $\sigma o v$, Mt 8:34 ).

And behold ( $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho o v \sigma \iota v$ ). Present tense again.
And they were afraid ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \varphi o \beta \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). They became afraid. Mark drops back to the ingressive aorist tense (passive voice). They had all been afraid of the man, but there he was "sitting clothed and in his right mind," ( $\kappa \alpha \eta \mu \varepsilon v o v \mu \alpha \tau \iota \mu \varepsilon v \circ v \kappa \alpha \sigma \omega \varphi \rho о v o v \nu \tau \alpha$. Note the participles). "At the feet of Jesus," Luke adds (Lu 8:35 ). For a long time he had worn no clothes (Lu 8:17). Here was the healing of the wild man and the destruction of the hogs all by this same Jesus.

Mark 5:17
To depart from their borders ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \iota \nu$ a $\alpha 0 \tau \omega v$ o $\rho \iota \omega \nu$ ). Once before the people of Nazareth had driven Jesus out of the city ( $\mathrm{Lu} 4: 16-31$ ). Soon they will do it again on his return there (Mr 6:1-6; Mt 13:54-58). Here in Decapolis pagan influence was strong and the owners of the hogs cared more for the loss of their property than for the healing of the wild demoniac. In the clash between business and spiritual welfare business came first with them as often today. All three Gospels tell of the request for Jesus to leave. They feared the power of Jesus and wanted no further interference with their business affairs.

Mark 5:18
As he was entering ( $\varepsilon \mu \beta \alpha \iota v o v \tau o \varsigma \alpha v \tau o v)$. The man began to beseech him ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ ) before it was too late.

Mark 5:19
 own folks" rather than "thy friends." Certainly no people needed the message about Christ more than these people who were begging Jesus to leave. Jesus had greatly blessed this man and so gave him the hardest task of all, to go home and witness there for Christ. In Galilee Jesus had several times forbidden the healed to tell what he had done for them because of the undue excitement and misunderstanding. But here it was different. There was no danger of too much enthusiasm for Christ in this environment.

Mark 5:20
He went his way $(a \pi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v)$. He went off and did as Jesus told him. He heralded (кŋрvббєıv) or published the story till all over Decapolis men marvelled ( $\varepsilon \theta \alpha \cup \mu \alpha \zeta \circ v$ ) at
what Jesus did, kept on marvelling (imperfect tense). The man had a greater opportunity for Christ right in his home land than anywhere else. They all knew this once wild demoniac who now was a new man in Christ Jesus. Thousands of like cases of conversion under Christ's power have happened in rescue missions in our cities.

Mark 5:23
My little daughter ( $\tau 0 \theta v \gamma \alpha \tau \rho \circ v \mu \circ v$ ). Diminutive of $\theta v \gamma \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ (Mt 9:18 ). "This little endearing touch in the use of the diminutive is peculiar to Mark" (Vincent). "Is at the point of death" ( $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon)$. Has it in the last stages. Mt 9:18 has: "has just died" ( $\alpha \rho \tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v$ ), Luke "she lay a dying" ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \theta v \eta \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v$, imperfect, she was dying). It was a tragic moment for Jairus.

I pray thee, not in the Greek. This ellipsis before iva not uncommon, a sort of imperative use of tva and the subjunctive in the Koine (Robertson, Grammar, p. 943).

Mark 5:24
He went with him $(\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v)$. Aorist tense. Went off with him promptly, but a great multitude followed him ( $\eta \kappa 0 \lambda o v \theta \varepsilon$ ), was following, kept following (imperfect tense).

They thronged him ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \theta \lambda_{ı} \beta o v a v \tau o v$ ). Imperfect tense again. Only example of (here and in verse 31) this compound verb in the N.T., common in old Greek. Were pressing Jesus so that he could hardly move because of the jam, or even to breathe ( $\sigma v \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \nu \imath \gamma \nu$, Lu 8:42 ).

## Mark 5:26

Had suffered many things of many physicians ( $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \alpha \theta о v \sigma \alpha v \pi \sigma \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau \alpha \tau \rho \omega \nu$ ). A pathetic picture of a woman with a chronic case who had tried doctor after doctor.

Had spent all that she had ( $\delta \alpha \pi \alpha v \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Having spent the all from herself, all her resources. For the idiom with $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ see $\mathrm{Lu} 10: 7$; Php 4:18. The tragedy of it was that she "was nothing bettered, but rather grew worse" ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \nu \omega \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ $\mu a \lambda \lambda o v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ то $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho o v \varepsilon \lambda(O) \quad \sigma \alpha)$. Her money was gone, her disease was gaining on her, her one chance came now with Jesus. Matthew says nothing about her experience with the doctors and Lu 8:43 merely says that she "had spent all her living upon physicians and could not be healed of any," a plain chronic case. Luke the physician neatly takes care of the physicians. But they were not to blame. She had a disease that they did not know how to cure. Vincent quotes a prescription for an issue of blood as given in the Talmud which gives one a most grateful feeling that he is not under the care of doctors of that nature. The only parallel today is Chinese medicine of the old sort before modern medical schools came.

Mark 5:28
If I touch but his garments (E $\left.\alpha \nu \alpha \psi \omega \mu \alpha \kappa^{\prime} \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \iota \mu \alpha \tau \iota \omega \nu \alpha \nu \tau o v\right)$. She was timid and shy from her disease and did not wish to attract attention. So she crept up in the crowd and touched the hem or border of his garment ( $\kappa \rho \alpha \sigma \pi \delta \delta \nu$ ) according to Mt 9:20 and Lu 8:44

Mark 5:29
She felt in her body $(\varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \tau \omega \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau)$. She knew, the verb means. She said to herself,
I am healed $(\iota \alpha \mu \alpha)$. Ia $\alpha \alpha$ retains the perfect passive in the indirect discourse. It was a vivid moment of joy for her. The plague ( $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \circ \varsigma)$ or scourge was a whip used in flagellations as on Paul to find out his guilt (Ac 22:24, cf. Heb 11:26). It is an old word that was used for afflictions regarded as a scourge from God. See already on Mr 3:10 .

Mark 5:30
Perceiving in himself ( $\varepsilon \pi \imath \gamma v o v \varsigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). She thought, perhaps, that the touch of Christ's garment would cure her without his knowing it, a foolish fancy, no doubt, but one due to her excessive timidity. Jesus felt in his own consciousness. The Greek idiom more exactly means: "Jesus perceiving in himself the power from him go out" ( $\tau \eta \vee \varepsilon \xi \alpha v \tau o v \delta v v \alpha \mu \iota v$ $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda(\theta o v \sigma \alpha v)$. The aorist participle here is punctiliar simply and timeless and can be illustrated by Lu 10:18: "I was beholding Satan fall" ( $\left.\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \omega \rho o v \nu \tau o v \sum \alpha \tau \alpha v \alpha \nu \pi \varepsilon \sigma o v \tau \alpha\right)$, where $\pi \varepsilon \sigma o v \tau \alpha$ does not mean fallen ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \tau \omega$ ко $\tau \alpha$ ) as in $\operatorname{Re} 9: 1$ nor falling ( $\pi \iota \pi \tau 0 v \tau \alpha$ ) but simply the constative aorist
fall (Robertson, Grammar, p. 684). So here Jesus means to say: "I felt in myself the power from me go." Scholars argue whether in this instance Jesus healed the woman by conscious will or by unconscious response to her appeal. Some even argue that the actual healing took place after Jesus became aware of the woman's reaching for help by touching his garment. What we do know is that Jesus was conscious of the going out of power from himself. Lu 8:46 uses $\varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \nu$ (personal knowledge), but Mark has $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \nu o v \varsigma$ (personal and additional, clear knowledge). One may remark that no real good can be done without the outgoing of power. That is true of mother, preacher, teacher, doctor.

Who touched my garments? (Tı̧ $\mu \circ v \eta \psi \alpha \tau о \tau \omega \nu ~ \mu \alpha \tau \iota \omega \nu ;$ ). More exactly,
Who touched me on my clothes; The Greek verb uses two genitives, of the person and the thing. It was a dramatic moment for Jesus and for the timid woman. Later it was a common practice for the crowds to touch the hem of Christ's garments and be healed (Mr 6:56 ). But here Jesus chose to single out this case for examination. There was no magic in the garments of Jesus. Perhaps there was superstition in the woman's mind, but Jesus honoured her darkened faith as in the case of Peter's shadow and Paul's handkerchief.

Mark 5:31
Thronging thee $\left(\sigma v v \theta \lambda_{ı} \beta o v \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon\right)$. See verse 24 . The disciples were amazed at the sensitiveness of Jesus to the touch of the crowd. They little understood the drain on Jesus from all this healing that pulled at his heart-strings and exhausted his nervous energy even though the Son of God. He had the utmost human sympathy.

Mark 5:32
And he looked round about ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \varepsilon \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \frac{}{}$ ). Imperfect middle indicative. He kept looking around to find out. The answer of Jesus to the protest of the disciples was this
scrutinizing gaze (see already 3:5,34). Jesus knew the difference between touch and touch (Bruce).

Mark 5:33
Fearing and trembling, knowing ( $\varphi \circ \beta \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon \mu \circ v \sigma \alpha, \varepsilon ı \delta \nu \iota \alpha$ ). These participles vividly portray this woman who had tried to hide in the crowd. She had heard Christ's question and felt his gaze. She had to come and confess, for something "has happened" ( $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \varepsilon v$, second perfect active indicative, still true) to her.

Fell down before him ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v \alpha \nu \tau \omega$ ). That was the only proper attitude now.
All the truth ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha v$ ). Secrecy was no longer possible. She told "the pitiful tale of chronic misery" (Bruce).

Mark 5:34
Go in peace (Нижаүع єıৎ $\varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \nu \eta v$ ). She found sympathy, healing, and pardon for her sins, apparently. Peace here may have more the idea of the Hebrew shalom, health of body
 whole and well.

Mark 5:35
While he yet spake (E $\operatorname{av\tau }$ av $\lambda \alpha \lambda$ ouv $\tau \circ \varsigma$ ). Genitive absolute. Another vivid touch in Mark and Lu 8:49. The phrase is in Ge 29:9. Nowhere does Mark preserve better the lifelike traits of an eyewitness like Peter than in these incidents in chapter 5. The arrival of the messengers from Jairus was opportune for the woman just healed of the issue of blood ( $\varepsilon v$ vбг $\alpha \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma)$ for it diverted attention from her. Now the ruler's daughter has died ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \theta \alpha v \varepsilon$ ).

Why troublest thou the master any further? (T $\varepsilon \tau \sigma \kappa \nu \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ \delta ı \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda o v ;$ ). It was all over, so they felt. Jesus had raised from the dead the son of the widow of Nain (Lu 7:11-17 ), but people in general did not expect him to raise the dead. The word $\sigma \kappa \nu \lambda \lambda \omega$, from $\sigma \kappa \nu \lambda$ ov (skin, pelt, spoils), means to skin, to flay, in Aeschylus. Then it comes to mean to vex, annoy, distress as in Mt 9:36, which see. The middle is common in the papyri for bother, worry, as in Lu 7:6. There was no further use in troubling the Teacher about the girl.

Mark 5:36
Not heeding (ларакоибац). This is the sense in Mt 18:17 and uniformly so in the LXX. But here the other sense of hearing aside, overhearing what was not spoken directly to him, probably exists also. "Jesus might overhear what was said and disregard its import" (Bruce). Certainly he ignored the conclusion of the messengers. The present participle $\lambda \alpha \lambda o u \mu \varepsilon v o v$ suits best the idea of overhearing. Both Mark and Lu 8:50 have "Fear not, only believe" ( $\mu \eta$ بoßov, $\mu$ ovov $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon$ ). This to the ruler of the synagogue ( $\tau \omega \alpha \rho \chi \iota \sigma v \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \omega$ ) who had remained and to whom the messenger had spoken.

Mark 5:37
Save Peter, and James, and John ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta$ Пє $\rho \rho \frac{v}{} \kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha \kappa \omega \beta o v \kappa \alpha \mathrm{I} \omega \alpha v \eta v$ ). Probably the house was too small for the other disciples to come in with the family. The first instance of
this inner circle of three seen again on the Mount of Transfiguration and in the Garden of Gethsemane. The one article in the Greek treats the group as a unit.

Mark 5:38
Wailing greatly ( $\alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda \alpha \zeta$ оvт $\alpha \varsigma \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ). An onomatopoetic word from Pindar down. The soldiers on entering battle cried $A \lambda \alpha \lambda \alpha$. Used of clanging cymbals (1Co 13:1). Like o $\lambda \mathrm{o} \lambda v \zeta \omega$ in Jas 5:1. It is used here of the monotonous wail of the hired mourners.

Mark 5:39
Make a tumult ( $\theta \circ \rho \cup \beta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon)$. Middle voice. Jesus had dismissed one crowd (verse 37), but finds the house occupied by the hired mourners making bedlam ( $\theta$ o $\rho \cup \beta$ oc) as if that showed grief with their ostentatious noise. Mt 9:23 spoke of flute-players ( $\alpha v \lambda \eta \tau \alpha \varsigma$ ) and the hubbub of the excited throng ( $\operatorname{lo\rho } \boldsymbol{\beta} \beta$ ou $\mu \varepsilon v o v$. Cf. Mr 14:2; Ac 20:1,21,34 ). Mark, Matthew, and Luke all quote Jesus as saying that "the child is not dead, but sleepeth." Jesus undoubtedly meant that she was not dead to stay dead, though some hold that the child was not really dead. It is a beautiful word (she is
sleeping, $\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon$ ) that Jesus uses of death.
Mark 5:40
And they laughed him to scorn ( $\kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \omega v$ ). "They jeered at him" (Weymouth). Note imperfect tense. They kept it up. And note also кат- (perfective use). Exactly the same words in Mt 9:24 and Lu 8:53. The loud laughter was ill suited to the solemn occasion. But Jesus on his part ( $\alpha \cup \tau \circ \varsigma \delta \varepsilon$ ) took charge of the situation.

Taketh the father of the child and her mother and them that were with him
 $(\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \omega v)$ the rest by a stern assertion of authority as if he were master of the house, Jesus takes along with him these five and enters the chamber of death "where the child was" (oлov $\eta \nu$ тo $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota v$ ). He had to use pressure to make the hired mourners leave. The presence of some people will ruin the atmosphere for spiritual work.

Mark 5:41
Talitha cumi. These precious Aramaic words, spoken by Jesus to the child, Peter heard and remembered so that Mark gives them to us. Mark interprets the simple words into Greek for those who did not know Aramaic ( $\tau$ ко $о \alpha \sigma \iota \nu, \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho \varepsilon$ ), that is,

Damsel, arise. Mark uses the diminutive ко $\alpha \sigma \iota \omega v$, a little girl, from ко $\eta$, girl. Braid Scots has it: "Lassie, wauken." Lu 8:5-9 has it Hך $\pi \alpha \iota \sigma, \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho \varepsilon$,

Maiden, arise. All three Gospels mention the fact that Jesus took her by the hand, a touch of life (кратךбаৎ $\tau \eta \varsigma \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho о \varsigma)$, giving confidence and help.

Mark 5:42
Rose up, and walked ( $\alpha \nu \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Aorist tense (single act) followed by the imperfect ( the walking went on ).

For she was twelve years old ( $\eta v \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \tau \omega \nu \delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ). The age mentioned by Mark alone and here as explanation that she was old enough to walk.

Amazed ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). We have had this word before in Mt 12:23 and Mr 2:12, which see. Here the word is repeated in the substantive in the associative instrumental case ( $\varepsilon \kappa \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon$ $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta$ ), with a great ecstasy, especially on the part of the parents (Lu 8:56), and no wonder.

Mark 5:43
That no one should know this ( $\imath v a \mu \delta \varepsilon ı \varsigma \gamma v o \tau 0 v \tau 0$ ). Second aorist active subjunctive, $\gamma v o$. But would they keep still about it? There was the girl besides. Both Mark and Luke note that Jesus ordered that food be given to the child
given her to eat , ( $\delta 0 \theta \eta \nu \alpha$ avin $\varphi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon เ v)$, a natural care of the Great Physician. Two infinitives here (first aorist passive and second aorist active). "She could walk and eat; not only alive, but well" (Bruce).

## Mark 6

Mark 6:1
Into his own country ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau \eta v \pi \alpha \tau \rho ı \delta \alpha \alpha v \tau o v)$. So Mt 13:54. There is no real reason for identifying this visit to Nazareth with that recorded in Lu 4:26-31 at the beginning of the Galilean Ministry. He was rejected both times, but it is not incongruous that Jesus should give Nazareth a second chance. It was only natural for Jesus to visit his mother, brothers, and sisters again. Neither Mark nor Matthew mention Nazareth here by name, but it is plain that by $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \iota \delta \alpha$ the region of Nazareth is meant. He had not lived in Bethlehem since his birth.

Mark 6:2
Began to teach ( $\eta \rho \xi \alpha \tau 0 \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon เ v$ ). As was now his custom in the synagogue on the sabbath. The ruler of the synagogue ( $\alpha \rho \chi ı \sigma v a \gamma \omega \gamma \circ \varsigma$, see Mt 5:22) would ask some one to speak whensoever he wished. The reputation of Jesus all over Galilee opened the door for him. Jesus may have gone to Nazareth for rest, but could not resist this opportunity for service.

Whence hath this man these things? (По日ev $\tau 0 \cup \tau \omega$ тavta;). Laconic and curt, Whence these things to this fellow? With a sting and a fling in their words as the sequel shows. They continued to be amazed ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma o v \tau 0$, imperfect tense passive). They challenge both the apparent
wisdom ( $\sigma \circ \varphi \not \propto$ ) with which he spoke and
the mighty works or powers ( $\alpha \delta \nu v \alpha \mu \varepsilon ı$ )
such as those ( $\tau 01 \alpha \cup \tau \alpha)$
coming to pass ( $\gamma$ ıvo $\mu \varepsilon v a$, present middle participle, repeatedly wrought)
by his hands ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \omega v \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega v$ ). They felt that there was some hocus-pocus about it somehow and somewhere. They do not deny the wisdom of his words, nor the wonder of his works, but the townsmen knew Jesus and they had never suspected that he possessed such gifts and graces.

## Mark 6:3

Is not this the carpenter? (OvX ovтоৎ $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ o $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v$;). Mt 13:55 calls him "the carpenter's son" (o tov тeктоvos vioc). He was both. Evidently since Joseph's death he had carried on the business and was "the carpenter" of Nazareth. The word $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v$ comes from $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ \nu, \tau І \kappa \tau \omega$, to beget, create, like $\tau \varepsilon \chi \vee \eta$ (craft, art). It is a very old word, from Homer down. It was originally applied to the worker in wood or builder with wood like our carpenter. Then it was used of any artisan or craftsman in metal, or in stone as well as in wood and even of sculpture. It is certain that Jesus worked in wood. Justin Martyr speaks of ploughs, yokes, et cetera, made by Jesus. He may also have worked in stone and may even have helped build some of the stone synagogues in Galilee like that in Capernaum. But in Nazareth the
people knew him, his family (no mention of Joseph), and his trade and discounted all that they now saw with their own eyes and heard with their own ears. This word carpenter "throws the only flash which falls on the continuous tenor of the first thirty years from infancy to manhood, of the life of Christ" (Farrar). That is an exaggeration for we have Lu 2:41-50 and "as his custom was" (Lu 4:16), to go no further. But we are grateful for Mark's realistic use of $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu$ here.

And they were offended in him ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda ı \zeta о v \tau о ~ \varepsilon v ~ \alpha \cup \tau \omega)$ ). So exactly Mt 13:56, were made to stumble in him, trapped like game by the $\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda$ ov because they could not explain him, having been so recently one of them. "The Nazarenes found their stumbling block in the person or circumstances of Jesus. He became-- $\pi \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda$ ov (1Pe 2:7,8; Ro 9:33 ) to those who disbelieved" (Swete). Both Mark and Mt 13:57, which see, preserve the retort of Jesus with the quotation of the current proverb about a prophet's lack of honour in his own country. Joh 4:44 quoted it from Jesus on his return to Galilee long before this. It is to be noted that Jesus here makes a definite claim to being a prophet ( $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \eta \varsigma$, forspeaker for God), a seer. He was much more than this as he had already claimed to be Messiah (Joh 4:26; Lu 4:21), the Son of man with power of God (Mr 1:10; Mt 9:6; Lu 5:24 ), the Son of God (Joh 5:22). They stumble at Jesus today as the townspeople of Nazareth did.

In his own house ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta$ oוкıa $\alpha v \tau 0 v$ ). Also in Mt 13:57. This was the saddest part of it all, that his own brothers in his own home disbelieved his Messianic claims (Joh 7:5 ). This puzzle was the greatest of all.

Mark 6:6
And he marvelled because of their unbelief ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon Ө \alpha \cup \mu \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v \delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \nu \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \alpha \nu \alpha \tau \tau v)$. Aorist tense, but Westcott and Hort put the imperfect in the margin. Jesus had divine knowledge and accurate insight into the human heart, but he had human limitations in certain things that are not clear to us. He marvelled at the faith of the Roman centurion where one would not expect faith (Mt 8:10; Lu 7:9). Here he marvels at the lack of faith where he had a right to expect it, not merely among the Jews, but in his own home town, among his kinspeople, even in his own home. One may excuse Mary, the mother of Jesus, from this unbelief, puzzled, as she probably was, by his recent conduct (Mr 3:21,31). There is no proof that she ever lost faith in her wonderful Son.

He went round about the villages teaching ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \eta \gamma \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma \kappa \omega \mu \alpha \varsigma \kappa \nu \kappa \lambda \omega \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega v$ ). A good illustration of the frequent poor verse division. An entirely new paragraph begins with these words, the third tour of Galilee. They should certainly be placed with verse 7. The Revised Version would be justified if it had done nothing else than give us paragraphs according to the sense and connection. "Jesus resumes the role of a wandering preacher in Galilee" (Bruce). Imperfect tense, $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \eta \gamma \varepsilon v$.

Mark 6:7

By two and two ( $\delta v o \delta v o$ ). This repetition of the numeral instead of the use of ava $\delta v o$ or кata $\delta v o$ is usually called a Hebraism. The Hebrew does have this idiom, but it appears in Aeschylus and Sophocles, in the vernacular Koine (Oxyrhynchus Papyri No. 121), in Byzantine Greek, and in modern Greek (Deissmann, Light from the Ancient East, pp. 122f.). Mark preserves the vernacular Koine better than the other Gospels and this detail suits his vivid style. The six pairs of apostles could thus cover Galilee in six different directions. Mark notes that he "began to send them forth" ( $\eta \rho \xi \alpha \tau 0$ avtous $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon เ v)$. Aorist tense and present infinitive. This may refer simply to this particular occasion in Mark's picturesque way. But the imperfect tense $\varepsilon \delta i \delta o v$ means he kept on giving them all through the tour, a continuous power (authority) over unclean spirits singled out by Mark as representing "all manner of diseases and all manner of sickness" (Mt 10:1), "to cure diseases" ( $1 \alpha \sigma \theta$, Lu 9:1 ), healing power. They were to preach and to heal ( $\mathrm{Lu} 9: 1$; Mt 10:7). Mark does not mention preaching as a definite part of the commission to the twelve on this their first preaching tour, but he does state that they did preach ( 6:12). They were to be missioners or missionaries ( $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon เ v$ ) in harmony with their office ( $\alpha \pi$ обто入o).

## Mark 6:8

Save a staff only ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta \rho \alpha \beta \delta o v \mu o v o v$ ). Every traveller and pilgrim carried his staff. Bruce thinks that Mark has here preserved the meaning of Jesus more clearly than Mt 10:10 (nor staff) and Lu 9:3 (neither staff). This discrepancy has given trouble to commentators. Grotius suggests no second staff for Matthew and Luke. Swete considers that Matthew and Luke report "an early exaggeration of the sternness of the command." "Without even a staff is the ne plus ultra of austere simplicity, and self-denial. Men who carry out the spirit of these precepts will not labour in vain" (Bruce).

Mark 6:9
Shod with sandals (vto $\delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o v c ~ \sigma a v \delta \alpha \lambda ı \alpha)$. Perfect passive participle in the accusative case as if with the infinitive $\pi$ о $\rho \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta a$ or $\pi \circ \rho \varepsilon \cup \theta \eta v a$, (to go). Note the aorist infinitive middle, $\varepsilon v \delta v \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a$ (text of Westcott and Hort), but $\varepsilon v \delta v \sigma \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ (aorist middle subjunctive) in the margin. Change from indirect to direct discourse common enough, not necessarily due to "disjointed notes on which the Evangelist depended" (Swete). Mt 10:10 has "nor shoes" ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon$ v $\pi \mathbf{\pi} \delta \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ), possibly preserving the distinction between "shoes" and "sandals" (worn by women in Greece and by men in the east, especially in travelling). But here again extra shoes may be the prohibition. See on Mt 10:10 for this.

Two coats ( $\delta v o \chi ı \tau \omega v a \varsigma)$. Two was a sign of comparative wealth (Swete). The mention of "two" here in all three Gospels probably helps us to understand that the same thing applies to shoes and staff. "In general, these directions are against luxury in equipment, and also against their providing themselves with what they could procure from the hospitality of others" (Gould).

Mark 6:10

There abide ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). So also Mt 10:11; Lu 9:4. Only Matthew has city or village ( 10:11), but he mentions house in verse 12 . They were to avoid a restless and dissatisfied manner and to take pains in choosing a home. It is not a prohibition against accepting invitations.

Mark 6:11
 testimony against them" ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \iota o v \varepsilon \pi$ avtouc). The dative $\alpha \cup \tau o \iota \varsigma$ in Mark is the dative of disadvantage and really carries the same idea as $\varepsilon \pi$ in Luke. The dramatic figure of
shaking out ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \iota v a \xi \alpha \tau \varepsilon$, effective aorist imperative, Mark and Matthew),
shaking off ( $\alpha \pi о \tau \imath v a \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$, present imperative, Luke).
Mark 6:12
Preached that men should repent ( $\varepsilon \kappa \eta \rho \nu \xi \alpha v ~ \imath v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \omega \sigma v$ ). Constative aorist ( $\varepsilon \kappa \eta \rho \cup \xi \alpha v$ ), summary description. This was the message of the Baptist (Mt 3:2) and of Jesus (Mr 1:15).

Mark 6:13
They cast out many demons and they anointed with oil ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \circ \vee \kappa \alpha \eta \lambda \varepsilon \iota \varphi \circ v \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \iota \omega)$. Imperfect tenses, continued repetition. Alone in Mark. This is the only example in the N.T. of $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \varphi \omega \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \iota \omega$ used in connection with healing save in Jas 5:14. In both cases it is possible that the use of oil (olive oil) as a medicine is the basis of the practice. See Lu 10:34 for pouring oil and wine upon the wounds. It was the best medicine of the ancients and was used internally and externally. It was employed often after bathing. The papyri give a number of examples of it. The only problem is whether $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon ⿺ \varphi \omega \omega$ in Mark and James is used wholly in a ritualistic and ceremonial sense or partly as medicine and partly as a symbol of divine healing. The very word $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \varphi \omega$ can be translated rub or anoint without any ceremony. "Traces of a ritual use of the unction of the sick appear first among Gnostic practices of the second century" (Swete). We have today, as in the first century, God and medicine. God through nature does the real healing when we use medicine and the doctor.

Mark 6:14
Heard ( $\eta$ коvoॄv). This tour of Galilee by the disciples in pairs wakened all Galilee, for the name of Jesus thus became known ( $\varphi$ avepov) or known till even Herod heard of it in the palace. "A palace is late in hearing spiritual news" (Bengel).

Therefore do these powers work in him ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ тоито $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma о и \sigma \iota v ~ a ~ \delta u v a \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \varepsilon v ~ a u \tau \omega$ ). "A snatch of Herod's theology and philosophy" (Morison). John wrought no miracles (Joh 10:41), but if he had risen from the dead perhaps he could. So Herod may have argued. "Herod's superstition and his guilty conscience raised this ghost to plague him" (Gould). Our word energy is this same Greek word here used (eveppougiv). It means at work. Miraculous powers were at work in Jesus whatever the explanation. This all agreed, but they
differed widely as to his personality, whether Elijah or another of the prophets or John the Baptist. Herod was at first much perplexed ( $\delta ı \eta \pi \circ \rho \varepsilon$, Lu 9:7 and Mr 6:20).

Mark 6:16
John, whom I beheaded (ov $\varepsilon \gamma 0 \alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \alpha \mathrm{I} \omega \alpha v \eta \nu$ ). His fears got the best of him and so Herod settled down on this nightmare. He could still see that charger containing John's head coming towards him in his dreams. The late verb $\alpha \pi о к \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda_{\iota} \zeta \omega$ means to cut off the head. Herod had ordered it done and recognizes his guilt.

Mark 6:17
For Herod himself (Avtos $\gamma \alpha \rho$ o $H \eta \rho \omega \iota \delta \eta \varsigma$ ). Mark now proceeds to give the narrative of the death of John the Baptist some while before these nervous fears of Herod. But this post eventum narrative is very little out of the chronological order. The news of John's death at Machaerus may even have come at the close of the Galilean tour. "The tidings of the murder of the Baptist seem to have brought the recent circuit to an end" (Swete). The disciples of John "went and told Jesus. Now when Jesus heard it, he withdrew from thence in a boat" (Mt 14:12f. ). See on Mt 14:3-12 for the discussion about Herod Antipas and John and Herodias.

Mark 6:18
Thy brother's wife ( $\tau \eta \nu \gamma u v a i k \alpha ~ \tau o v ~ a \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o v)$. While the brother was alive (Le 18:16; 20:21 ). After a brother's death it was often a duty to marry his widow.

Mark 6:19
 disadvantage. Literally,
had it in for him. This is modern slang, but is in exact accord with this piece of vernacular Koine. No object of $\varepsilon \not \chi \varepsilon v$ is expressed, though op$\gamma \eta v$ or $\chi 0 \lambda$ o $v$ may be implied. The tense is imperfect and aptly described the feelings of Herodias towards this upstart prophet of the wilderness who had dared to denounce her private relations with Herod Antipas. Gould suggests that she "kept her eye on him" or kept up her hostility towards him. She never let up, but bided her time which, she felt sure, would come. See the same idiom in Ge 49:23. She
desired to kill him ( $\eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v$ avtov $\alpha \pi$ окт $\varepsilon เ v \alpha$ ). Imperfect again.
And she could not ( $\kappa \alpha$ оик $\eta \delta \cup v a \tau о$ ). Ka here has an adversative sense, but she could not. That is, not yet. "The power was wanting, not the will" (Swete).

Mark 6:20
Feared John ( $\varepsilon \varphi \circ \beta \varepsilon \iota \tau 0$ тov I $\omega \alpha v \eta \nu$ ). Imperfect tense, continual state of fear. He feared John and also Herodias. Between the two Herod vacillated. He knew him to be righteous and holy ( (ঠкаıov ка аүเьv) and so innocent of any wrong. So he
kept him safe ( $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect tense again. Late Greek verb. From the plots and schemes of Herodias. She was another Jezebel towards John and with Herod.

Much perplexed ( $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \eta \pi \sigma \rho \varepsilon$ ). This the correct text not $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \varepsilon \pi о \varepsilon$, did many things. Imperfect tense again.

He heard him gladly ( $\eta \delta \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \eta \kappa о v \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect tense again. This is the way that Herod really felt when he could slip away from the meshes of Herodias. These interviews with the Baptist down in the prison at Machaerus during his occasional visits there braced "his jaded mind as with a whiff of fresh air" (Swete). But then he saw Herodias again and he was at his wits' end ( $\eta \pi о \rho \varepsilon$, lose one's way, a privative and $\pi о \rho \circ \varsigma$, way), for he knew that he had to live with Herodias with whom he was hopelessly entangled.

Mark 6:21
When a convenient day was come ( $\gamma \varepsilon v о \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon$ викаıроv). Genitive absolute. A day well appointed $\varepsilon v$, well, кaı $\rho o \varsigma$, time) for the purpose, the day for which she had long waited. She had her plans all laid to spring a trap for her husband Herod Antipas and to make him do her will with the Baptist. Herod was not to know that he was the mere catspaw of Herodias till it was all over. See on Mt 14:6 for discussion of Herod's birthday ( $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \iota o \iota$, locative case or associative instrumental of time).

Made a supper ( $\delta \varepsilon ı \pi v o v \varepsilon \pi o \sqcap \eta \sigma \varepsilon v)$. Banquet.
To his lords (тoı $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma เ v \alpha \cup \tau о v)$. From $\mu \varepsilon \gamma เ \sigma \tau \alpha v$ (that from $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \varsigma$, great), common in the LXX and later Greek. Cf. Re 6:15; 18:23. In the papyri. The grandees, magnates, nobles, the chief men of civil life.

The high captains ( $\tau$ oıs $\chi$ ı $\lambda$ ı $\alpha \rho \chi$ oıऽ). Military tribunes, commanders of a thousand men.

The chief men of Galilee ( $\tau$ oı $\varsigma \rho \omega \tau$ тoı $\tau \eta \varsigma \Gamma \alpha \lambda ı \lambda \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. The first men of social importance and prominence. A notable gathering that included these three groups at the banquet on Herod's birthday.

Mark 6:22
The daughter of Herodias herself ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \theta v \gamma \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma H \eta \rho \omega \iota \delta i \alpha \delta o \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute again. Some ancient manuscripts read avtov (his, referring to Herod Antipas. So Westcott and Hort) instead of autnc (herself). In that case the daughter of Herodias would also have the name Herodias as well as Salome, the name commonly given her. That is quite possible in itself. It was toward the close of the banquet, when all had partaken freely of the wine,
 midst (Matthew). "Such dancing was an almost unprecedented thing for women of rank, or even respectability. It was mimetic and licentious, and performed by professionals" (Gould). Herodias stooped thus low to degrade her own daughter like a common etalpa in order to carry out her set purpose against John.

She pleased Herod and them that sat at meat ( $\eta \rho \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$ Н $\eta \rho \omega \iota \delta \eta$ ка то» $\sigma u v a v a \kappa \varepsilon ı \mu \varepsilon v o \iota s)$. The maudlin group lounging on the divans were thrilled by the licentious dance of the half-naked princess.

Whatsoever thou wilt (o eav $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta ı$ ) The drunken Tetrarch had been caught in the net of Herodias. It was a public promise.

Mark 6:23
And he sware unto her ( $\kappa \alpha \omega \mu \circ \sigma \varepsilon v \alpha \nu \tau \eta$ ). The girl was of marriageable age though called кopaбıv (cf. Es 2:9). Salome was afterward married to Philip the Tetrarch. The swaggering oath to the half of the kingdom reminds one of Es 5:3f. , the same oath made to Esther by Ahasuerus.

Mark 6:24
What shall I ask? (T ait $\eta \sigma \omega \mu \alpha 1$ ). The fact that she went and spoke to her mother proves that she had not been told beforehand what to ask. Mt 14:8 does not necessarily mean that, but he simply condenses the account. The girl's question implies by the middle voice that she is thinking of something for herself. She was no doubt unprepared for her mother's ghastly reply.

Mark 6:25
Straightway with haste ( $\varepsilon v \theta v \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \pi \sigma v \delta \eta \varsigma)$. Before the king's rash mood passed and while he was still under the spell of the dancing princess. Herodias knew her game well. See on Mt 14:8f.

Mark 6:26
He would not reject her (ovk $\eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \alpha v \tau \eta v$ ). He was caught once again between his conscience and his environment. Like many since his day the environment stifled his conscience.

Mark 6:27
A soldier of his guard ( $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \kappa о \cup \lambda \alpha \tau о \rho \alpha)$. Latin word speculator. A spy, scout, lookout, and often executioner. It was used of the bodyguard of the Roman emperor and so for one of Herod's spies. He was used to do errands of this sort and it was soon done. It was a gruesome job, but he soon brought John's head to the damsel, apparently in the presence of all, and she took it to her mother. This miserable Tetrarch, the slave of Herodias, was now the slave of his fears. He is haunted by the ghost of John and shudders at the reports of the work of Jesus.

Mark 6:29
His corpse ( $\tau$ o $\pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha \alpha \cup \tau \sigma v)$. See on Mt 24:28. It was a mournful time for the disciples of John. "They went and told Jesus" (Mt 14:12 ). What else could they do?

Mark 6:30
And the apostles gather themselves together unto Jesus ( $\kappa \alpha$ бטvаүогта о атобтоло $\pi \rho o s$ tov I $\eta \sigma o u v$ ). Vivid historical present.

All things whatsoever they had done and whatsoever they had taught ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha$ oбa $\varepsilon \pi о \not ŋ \sigma \alpha v \kappa \alpha$ об $\alpha \varepsilon \delta \iota \delta \alpha \xi \alpha v)$. Not past perfect in the Greek, just the aorist indicative, constative
aorist that summed it all up, the story of this their first tour without Jesus. And Jesus listened to it all ( $\mathrm{Lu} 9: 10$ ). He was deeply concerned in the outcome.

Mark 6:31
Come ye yourselves apart into a desert place and rest awhile ( $\Delta \varepsilon \cup \tau \varepsilon ~ \cup \mu \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \alpha \cup \tau о ~ к а \tau ' ~$
 and excited and needed refreshment (avãavรбӨ\&, middle voice, refresh yourselves, "rest up" literally). This is one of the needed lessons for all preachers and teachers, occasional change and refreshment. Even Jesus felt the need of it.

They had no leisure so much as to eat (ou $\varepsilon \varphi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon ı v$ घukalpouv). Imperfect tense again. Crowds were coming and going. Change was a necessity.

Mark 6:32
And they went away in a boat ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \pi \eta \lambda \theta o v \varepsilon v \tau \omega \pi \lambda o \omega \omega$ ). They accepted with alacrity and off they went.

Mark 6:33
Outwent them ( $\pi \rho \circ \eta \lambda \theta$ ov autouc). The crowds were not to be outdone. They recognized $(\varepsilon \gamma v \omega \sigma \alpha v)$ Jesus and the disciples and ran around the head of the lake on foot $(\pi \varepsilon \zeta \eta)$ and got there ahead of Jesus and were waiting for Him when the boat came.

## Mark 6:34

They were as sheep not having a shepherd ( $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu \omega \varsigma \pi \rho \circ \beta \alpha \tau \alpha \mu \eta \varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau \alpha \pi о \mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha)$. Matthew has these words in another context (Mt 9:26), but Mark alone has them here. M $\eta$ is the usual negative for the participle in the Koine. These excited and exciting people (Bruce) greatly needed teaching. Mt 14:14 mentions healing as does Lu 9:11 (both preaching and healing). But a vigorous crowd of runners would not have many sick. The people had plenty of official leaders but these rabbis were for spiritual matters blind leaders of the blind. Jesus had come over for rest, but his heart was touched by the pathos of this situation. So "he
 the verb of teaching and the present tense of the infinitive. He kept it up.

Mark 6:35
When the day was now far spent ( $\eta \delta \eta \omega \rho \alpha \varsigma \pi о \lambda \lambda \eta \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon v \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute. $\mathrm{H} \omega \rho \alpha$ used here for day-time (so Mt 14:15) as in Polybius and late Greek.

Much day-time already gone. Lu 9:12 has it began to
incline ( $\kappa \lambda_{\imath v \varepsilon ı}$ ) or wear away. It was after 3 P.M., the first evening. Note second evening or sunset in Mr 6:47; Mt 14:23; Joh 6:16. The turn of the afternoon had come and sunset was approaching. The idiom is repeated at the close of the verse. See on Mt 14:15.

Mark 6:36
Into the country and villages round about ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma ~ \tau о ч \varsigma ~ к и к \lambda \omega ~ а ү \rho о и с ~ к а ~ к \omega \mu а \varsigma) . ~ T h e ~$ fields (aүpouc) were the scattered farms (Latin, villae). The villages ( $\kappa \omega \mu \alpha \varsigma)$ may have in-
cluded Bethsaida Julias not far away (Lu 9:10). The other Bethsaida was on the Western side of the lake (Mr 6:45).

Somewhat to eat ( $\tau \varphi \alpha \gamma \omega \sigma \tau v$ ). Literally,
what to eat,
what they were to eat. Deliberative subjunctive retained in the indirect question.
Mark 6:38
Go and see (vđaүعтє $1 \delta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). John says that Jesus asked Philip to find out what food they had (Joh 6:5f. ) probably after the disciples had suggested that Jesus send the crowd away as night was coming on (Mr 6:35f. ). On this protest to his command that they feed the crowds (Mr 6:37; Mt 14:16; Lu 9:13 ) Jesus said "Go see" how many loaves you can get hold of. Then Andrew reports the fact of the lad with five barley loaves and two fishes (Joh 6:8f. ). They had suggested before that two hundred pennyworth ( $\delta \eta v \alpha \rho \iota \omega v \delta \iota \alpha \kappa \sigma \sigma \omega v$. See on Mt 18:28) was wholly inadequate and even that (some thirty-five dollars) was probably all that or even more than they had with them. John's Gospel alone tells of the lad with his lunch which his mother had given him.

Mark 6:39
By companies ( $\sigma \cup \mu \pi \sigma \sigma \iota \alpha \sigma \nu \mu \pi \sigma \sigma \iota)$ ). Distribution expressed by repetition as in Mr 6:7 ( $\delta v o \delta v o$ ) instead of using ava or ката. Literally our word symposium and originally a drinking party, Latin convivium, then the party of guests of any kind without the notion of drinking. So in Plutarch and the LXX (especially I Macca.).

Upon the green grass ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \chi \lambda \omega \rho \omega \chi \circ \rho \tau \omega)$. Another Markan touch. It was passover time (Joh 6:4) and the afternoon sun shone upon the orderly groups upon the green spring grass. See on Mt 14:15. They may have been seated like companies at tables, open at one end.

## Mark 6:40

They sat down in ranks ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \alpha v \pi \rho \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha \pi \rho \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha)$. They half-way reclined ( $\alpha v a \kappa \lambda 1 \theta \eta v \alpha$,
 arranged in groups by hundreds and by fifties and they looked like garden beds with their many-coloured clothes which even men wore in the Orient. Then again Mark repeats the word, $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha \pi \rho \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha$, in the nominative absolute as in verse 39 instead of using $\alpha v \alpha$ or кат $\alpha$ with the accusative for the idea of distribution. Garden beds, garden beds. Peter saw and he never forgot the picture and so Mark caught it. There was colour as well as order in the grouping. There were orderly walks between the rows on rows of men reclining on the green grass. The grass is not green in Palestine much of the year, mainly at the passover time. So here the Synoptic Gospels have an indication of more than a one-year ministry of Jesus (Gould). It is still one year before the last passover when Jesus was crucified.

## Mark 6:41

Brake the loaves; and he gave to the disciples ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \pi о \tau \omega \nu \imath \chi \theta \nu \omega v$ ). Apparently the fishes were in excess of the twelve baskets full of broken pieces of bread. See on Mt 14:20 for discussion of коүıvos and $\sigma \varphi \cup \rho \iota \varsigma$, the two kinds of baskets.

Mark 6:44
Men ( $\alpha v \delta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). Men as different from women as in Mt 14:21. This remarkable miracle is recorded by all Four Gospels, a nature miracle that only God can work. No talk about accelerating natural processes will explain this miracle. And three eyewitnesses report it: the Logia of Matthew, the eyes of Peter in Mark, the witness of John the Beloved Disciple (Gould). The evidence is overwhelming.

Mark 6:45
To Bethsaida ( $\pi \rho \rho \varsigma \mathrm{B} \eta \theta \sigma \alpha\llcorner\delta \alpha v$ ). This is Bethsaida on the Western side, not Bethsaida Julias on the Eastern side where they had just been (Lu 9:10).

While he himself sendeth the multitude away ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ аutoৎ $\alpha \pi \circ \lambda v \varepsilon$ тov ox $\lambda \circ$ ). Mt $14: 22$ has it "till he should send away" ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ov $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda v \sigma \eta$ ) with the aorist subjunctive of purpose. Mark with the present indicative $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \nu \varepsilon$ pictures Jesus as personally engaged in persuading the crowds to go away now. Joh 6:41f. explains this activity of Jesus. The crowds had become so excited that they were in the mood to start a revolution against the Roman government and proclaim Jesus king. He had already forced in reality the disciples to leave in a boat
to go before him ( $\pi \rho \circ \alpha \gamma \varepsilon เ v$ ) in order to get them out of this atmosphere of overwrought excitement with a political twist to the whole conception of the Messianic Kingdom. They were in grave danger of being swept off their feet and falling heedlessly into the Pharisaic conception and so defeating the whole teaching and training of Jesus with them. See on Mt 14:22,23. To this pass things had come one year before the Crucifixion. He had done his best to help and bless the crowds and lost his chance to rest. No one really understood Jesus, not the crowds, not the disciples. Jesus needed the Father to stay and steady him. The devil had come again to tempt him with world dominion in league with the Pharisees, the populace, and the devil in the background.

Mark 6:47
When even was come (oчıas $\gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon \vee \eta \varsigma)$. The second or late evening, six P.M. at this season, or sunset on.

He alone on the land ( $\kappa \alpha$ av $\tau \circ \varsigma \mu \circ v o \varsigma ~ \eta \pi \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. Another Markan touch. Jesus had come down out of the mountain where he had prayed to the Father. He is by the sea again in the late twilight. Apparently Jesus remained quite a while, some hours, on the beach. "It was now dark and Jesus had not yet come to them" (Joh 6:17 ).

Mark 6:48
Seeing them distressed in rowing (ı $\delta \omega v$ avtovৎ $\beta \alpha \sigma \alpha v ı \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma \varepsilon v \tau \omega \varepsilon \lambda \alpha v v \varepsilon เ v)$. See also Mt 8:29 for the word $\beta a \sigma \alpha v \iota \zeta \omega$, to torture, torment (Mt 4:24) with a touch-stone, then
to distress as here. Papyri have $\delta \iota \alpha \beta a \sigma \alpha v \omega v$ used on slaves like our third degree for criminals. Eגauveıv is literally to drive as of ships or chariots. They drove the boat with oars. Common in Xenophon for marching.

About the fourth watch of the night ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \rho \tau \eta \nu \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \nu v \kappa \tau о \varsigma)$. That is, between three and six A.M. The wind was
contrary to them (evavitoc autoı), that is in their faces and rowing was difficult, "a great wind" (Joh 6:18) , and as a result the disciples had made little progress. They should have been over long before this.

And he would have passed by them ( $\kappa \alpha \eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon เ v ~ a u t o u ¢)$. Only in Mark. He wished to pass by them, praeterire eos (Vulgate). Imperfect tense $\eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v$.

They thought ( $\varepsilon \delta \circ \xi \alpha v$ ). A natural conclusion.
And cried out ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \kappa \rho \alpha \xi \alpha v$ ).
Cried up, literally, a shriek of terror, or scream.
Mark 6:50
It is I $(\varepsilon \gamma o \varepsilon \mu)$ ). These were the astounding words of cheer. They did not recognize Jesus in the darkness. They had never seen him or any one walk on the water. His voice reassured them.

Mark 6:51
They were sore amazed in themselves ( $\lambda_{\iota} \alpha v \varepsilon v$ عav Imperfect tense picturing vividly the excited disciples. Mark does not give the incident of Peter's walking on the water and beginning to sink. Perhaps Peter was not fond of telling that story.

Mark 6:52
For they understood not ( $o v \gamma \alpha \rho \sigma v v \eta \kappa \alpha v$ ). Explanation of their excessive amazement, viz., their failure to grasp the full significance of the miracle of the loaves and fishes, a nature miracle. Here was another, Jesus walking on the water. Their reasoning process (kap $\delta \iota \alpha$ in the general sense for all the inner man)
was hardened ( $\eta \nu \pi \varepsilon \pi \omega \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta$ ). See on 3:5 about $\pi \omega \rho \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma$. Today some men have such intellectual hardness or denseness that they cannot believe that God can or would work miracles, least of all nature miracles.

Mark 6:53
And moored to the shore ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \omega \rho \mu \iota \sigma \eta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Only here in the New Testament, though an old Greek verb and occurring in the papyri. Hop os is roadstead or anchorage. They cast anchor or lashed the boat to a post on shore. It was at the plain of Gennesaret several miles south of Bethsaida owing to the night wind.

Mark 6:54
Knew him ( $\varepsilon \pi \imath \gamma v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau o v)$. Recognizing Jesus, knowing fully $(\varepsilon \pi)$ as nearly all did by now. Second aorist active participle.

Mark 6:55
Ran about ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \varepsilon \delta \rho \alpha \mu \circ v$ ). Vivid constative aorist picturing the excited pursuit of Jesus as the news spread that he was in Gennesaret.

On their beds ( $\varepsilon \pi$ тогя краßаттоь). Pallets like that of the man let down through the roof (Mr 2:4).

Where they heard he was (отои $\eta \kappa о v о \nu$ от $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. Imperfect tense of $\alpha \kappa о \omega \omega$ (repetition), present indicative $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ retained in indirect discourse.

Mark 6:56
Wheresoever he entered (отоv $\alpha v \varepsilon$ єбєторєиєто). The imperfect indicative with $\alpha v$ used to make a general indefinite statement with the relative adverb. See the same construction at the close of the verse, oбo $\alpha v \eta \psi \alpha v \tau 0$ avtov (aorist indicative and $\alpha v$ in a relative clause),
as many as touched him. One must enlarge the details here to get an idea of the richness of the healing ministry of Jesus. We are now near the close of the Galilean ministry with its many healing mercies and excitement is at the highest pitch (Bruce).

Mark 7:2
 instrumental case. Originally kotvos meant what was common to everybody like the Koine Greek. But in later Greek it came also to mean as here what is vulgar or profane. So Peter in Ac 10:14 "common and unclean." The next step was the ceremonially unclean. The emissaries of the Pharisees and the scribes from Jerusalem had seen "some of the disciples" eat without washing their hands, how many we are not told. Swete suggests that in going through the plain the disciples were seen eating some of the bread preserved in the twelve baskets the afternoon before across the lake. There was no particular opportunity to wash the hands, a very proper thing to do before eating for sanitary reasons. But the objection raised is on ceremonial, not sanitary, grounds.

Mark 7:3
Diligently ( $\pi v \gamma \mu \eta$ ). Instrumental case,
with the fist, up to the elbow, rubbing one hand and arm with the other hand clenched. Aleph had $\pi \nu \kappa v a$ probably because of the difficulty about $\pi v \gamma \mu \eta$ (kin to Latin pugnus). Schultess considers it a dry wash or rubbing of the hands without water as a ritualistic concession. The middle voice $v i \psi \omega v \tau \alpha$ means their own hands. This verb is often used for parts of the body while $\lambda o v \omega$ is used of the whole body (Joh 13:10). On the tradition of the elders see on Mt 15:2 .

Mark 7:4
From the marketplace ( $\alpha \pi^{\prime}$ a $\gamma о \rho a \varsigma$ ). Ceremonial defilement was inevitable in the mixing with men in public. This $\alpha \gamma 0 \rho \alpha$ from $\alpha \gamma \varepsilon ו \rho \omega$ to collect or gather, was a public forum
in every town where the people gathered like the courthouse square in American towns. The disciples were already ceremonially defiled.

Wash themselves ( $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \omega v \tau \alpha)$. First aorist middle subjunctive of $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, dip or immerse. Westcott and Hort put pavtıб $\omega v \tau \alpha$ in the text translated "sprinkle themselves" in the margin of the Revised Version, because Aleph, B, and some of the best cursives have it. Gould terms pavtı $\sigma \omega v \tau \alpha$ "a manifest emendation," to get rid of the difficulty of dipping or bathing the whole body. Meyer says: "The statement proceeds by way of climax: before eating they wash the hands always. When they come from market they take a bath before eating." This is not the place to enter into any controversy about the meaning of $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, to dip, $\operatorname{pav\tau i} \zeta \omega$, to sprinkle, and $\varepsilon \chi \chi \varepsilon \omega$, to pour, all used in the New Testament. The words have their distinctive meanings here as elsewhere. Some scribes felt a difficulty about the use of $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \omega v \tau \alpha$ here. The Western and Syrian classes of manuscripts add "and couches" ( $\kappa \alpha$ $\kappa \lambda \iota \nu \omega v$ ) at the end of the sentence. Swete considers the immersions of beds ( $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \circ v$, $\kappa \lambda \iota v \omega v)$ "an incongruous combination." But Gould says: "Edersheim shows that the Jewish ordinance required immersions, $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu o v c$, of these vessels." We must let the Jewish scrupulosity stand for itself, though "and couches" is not supported by Aleph, B LD Bohairic, probably not genuine.

Mark 7:6
Well ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma)$. Appositely here, but ironical sarcasm in verse 9 . Note here "you hypocrites" ( $\nu \mu \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu v \pi о \kappa \rho ı \tau \omega v$ ).

Mark 7:8
Ye leave the commandment of God ( $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \nu \tau o \lambda \eta \nu$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Note the sharp contrast between the command of God and the traditions of men. Jesus here drives a keen wedge into the Pharisaic contention. They had covered up the Word of God with their oral teaching. Jesus here shows that they care more for the oral teaching of the scribes and elders than for the written law of God. The Talmud gives abundant and specific confirmation of the truthfulness of this indictment.

Mark 7:9
Full well do ye reject the commandment of God that ye may keep your traditions ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma \alpha \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon เ \tau \varepsilon \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \nu \tau 0 \lambda \eta \nu$ тov $\theta \varepsilon o v ~ เ v \alpha \tau \eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o \sigma เ \nu \nu \mu \omega \nu \tau \eta \rho \eta \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon)$. One can almost see the scribes withering under this terrible arraignment. It was biting sarcasm that cut to the bone. The evident irony should prevent literal interpretation as commendation of the Pharisaic pervasion of God's word. See my The Pharisees and Jesus for illustrations of the way that they placed this oral tradition above the written law. See on Mt 15:7.

Mark 7:11
Corban (кор $\beta \alpha v$ o $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \delta \omega \rho о \nu)$. See on Mt 15:5. Mark preserves the Hebrew word for a gift or offering to God (Ex 21:17; Le 20:9) , indeclinable here, meaning
gift ( $\delta \omega \rho o v$ ), but declinable кор $\beta$ аvac in Mt 27:6, meaning sacred treasury. The rabbis ( but ye say , $v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ) actually allowed the mere saying of this word by an unfaithful son to prevent the use of needed money for the support of father or mother. It was a home thrust to these pettifogging sticklers for ceremonial punctilios. They not only justified such a son's trickery, but held that he was prohibited from using it for father or mother, but he might use it for himself.

Mark 7:13
 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta о \sigma \varepsilon \nu \mu \omega v$ ). See on Mt 15:6 for the word $\alpha \kappa \nu \rho о u v \tau \varepsilon$, , invalidating, a stronger word than $\alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon เ v$, to set aside, in verse 9 . See both used in $\mathrm{Ga} 3: 15,17$. Setting aside does invalidate.

Mark 7:14
And he called to him the multitude again ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v о \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha \lambda ı \nu ~ \tau о v ~ о \chi \lambda о v) . ~$ Aorist middle participle, calling to himself. The rabbis had attacked the disciples about not washing their hands before eating. Jesus now turned the tables on them completely and laid bare their hollow pretentious hypocrisy to the people.

Hear me all of you and understand ( $\alpha \kappa о \cup \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon \mu \circ \cup \pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \kappa \alpha ~ \sigma \cup v เ \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon)$. A most pointed appeal to the people to see into and see through the chicanery of these ecclesiastics. See on Mt 15:11 for discussion.

Mark 7:17
When he was entered into the house from the multitude (oтє $\varepsilon เ \sigma \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon ı \varsigma$ оюкоv $\alpha \pi о$ tov ox $\lambda$ ov). This detail in Mark alone, probably in Peter's house in Capernaum. To the crowd Jesus spoke the parable of corban, but the disciples want it interpreted (cf. 4:10ff.,33ff. ). Mt 15:15 represents Peter as the spokesman as was usually the case.

Mark 7:18
 15:16. You also as well as the multitude. It was a discouraging moment for the great Teacher if his own chosen pupils (disciples) were still under the spell of the Pharisaic theological outlook. It was a riddle to them. "They had been trained in Judaism, in which the distinction between clean and unclean is ingrained, and could not understand a statement abrogating this" (Gould). They had noticed that the Pharisees stumbled at the parable of Jesus (Mt 15:12 ). They were stumbling themselves and did not know how to answer the Pharisees. Jesus charges the disciples with intellectual dulness and spiritual stupidity.

Mark 7:19
Making all meats clean ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \iota \zeta \omega v \tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \rho \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha)$. This anacoluthon can be understood by repeating
he says ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ ) from verse 18 . The masculine participle agrees with Jesus, the speaker. The words do not come from Jesus, but are added by Mark. Peter reports this item to Mark, probably with a vivid recollection of his own experience on the housetop in Joppa when in
the vision Peter declined three times the Lord's invitation to kill and eat unclean animals (Ac 10:14-16). It was a riddle to Peter as late as that day. "Christ asserts that Levitical uncleanness, such as eating with unwashed hands, is of small importance compared with moral uncleanness" (Vincent). The two chief words in both incidents, here and in Acts, are
defile (когош) and
cleanse (каӨapıॅ(). "What God cleansed do not thou treat as defiled" (Ac 10:15 ). It was a revolutionary declaration by Jesus and Peter was slow to understand it even after the coming of the Holy Spirit at Pentecost. Jesus was amply justified in his astonished question:

Perceive ye not? (ou vositc;). They were making little use of their intelligence in trying to comprehend the efforts of Jesus to give them a new and true spiritual insight.

Mark 7:21
Evil thoughts (о $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda о ү \iota \sigma \mu$ о како). These come out of the heart ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma ~ к а \rho \delta ı а \varsigma), ~$ the inner man, and lead to the dreadful list here given like the crimes of a modern police court:
fornications (ropveıa, usually of the unmarried),
adulteries ( $\mu$ ог $\chi$ a $\alpha$, of the married),
thefts ( $\kappa \lambda о \pi \alpha$, stealings),
covetings ( $\pi \lambda \varepsilon o v \varepsilon \xi \not a$, craze for more and more),
murders ( $\varphi 0$ ovo, growing out of the others often),
 like German Knabe, and then criminal),
deceit ( $\delta 0 \lambda 0 \varsigma$, lure or snare with bait),
lasciviousness ( $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \gamma \varepsilon \iota \alpha$, unrestrained sex instinct),
evil eye ( $о \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu$ о $\pi о v \eta \rho \circ \varsigma)$ or eye that works evil and that haunts one with its gloating stare,
railing ( $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \iota \alpha$, blasphemy, hurtful speech),
pride (vлعр $\varphi \alpha v i a$, holding oneself above others, stuck up),
foolishness ( $\alpha \varphi \rho \circ \sigma u v \eta$, lack of sense), a fitting close to it all.
Mark 7:24
Into the borders of Tyre and Sidon ( $\varepsilon ı \tau \tau \alpha$ opı $\operatorname{Tv}$ рои ка $\Sigma \iota \delta \omega v o \varsigma)$. The departure from Capernaum was a withdrawal from Galilee, the second of the four withdrawals from Galilee. The first had been to the region of Bethsaida Julias in the territory of Herod Philip. This is into distinctly heathen land. It was not merely the edge of Phoenicia, but into the parts of Tyre and Sidon (Mt 15:21 ). There was too much excitement among the people, too much bitterness among the Pharisees, too much suspicion on the part of Herod Antipas, too much dulness on the part of the disciples for Jesus to remain in Galilee.

And he could not be hid (ка оик $\eta \delta \cup v a \sigma \theta \eta \lambda \alpha \theta \varepsilon ı$ ). Jesus wanted to be alone in the house after all the strain in Galilee. He craved a little privacy and rest. This was his purpose in going into Phoenicia. Note the adversative sense of $\kappa \alpha$ here= "but."

Mark 7:25
Whose little daughter ( $\eta \varsigma$ тo $\theta \cup \gamma a \tau \rho ı v$ av $\tau \varsigma)$. Diminutive with tender touch. Note "whose" and "her" like vernacular today.

Having heard of him ( $\alpha \kappa о \cup \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \cup \tau o v$ ). Even in this heathen territory the fame of Jesus was known. When the Sermon on the Mount was preached people were there from "the sea coast of Tyre and Sidon" (Lu 6:17).

Mark 7:26
A Greek, a Syro-Phoenician by race ( $\mathrm{H} \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \sigma, \Sigma v \rho \circ \varphi о \iota \nu \iota \kappa \iota \sigma \sigma \alpha \tau \omega \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ ). "A Greek in religion, a Syrian in tongue, a Phoenician in race" (Bruce), from Euthymius Zigabenus. She was not a Phoenician of Carthage.

She besought ( $\eta \rho \omega \tau \alpha$ ). Imperfect tense. She kept at it. This verb, as in late Greek, is here used for a request, not a mere question. Abundant examples in the papyri in this sense.

Mark 7:27
Let the children first be filled ( $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \varsigma \pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma v \chi о \rho \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \eta v \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \iota \delta \alpha$ ). The Jews had the first claim. See the command of Jesus in the third tour of Galilee to avoid the Gentiles and the Samaritans (Mt 10:5). Paul was the Apostle to the Gentiles, but he gave the Jew the first opportunity (Ro 2:9f. ). See on Mt 15:24f.

Mark 7:28
Even the dogs under the table ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa v v a \rho ı \alpha$ v $о к \alpha \tau \omega \tau \eta \varsigma \tau \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon\lceil\eta \varsigma)$. A delightful picture. Even the little dogs (кuvapıa) under the table
eat of the children's crumbs ( $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \iota o v \sigma \iota v a \pi o ~ \tau \omega \nu \psi \iota \chi \iota \omega \nu \tau \omega v \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \omega v$ ). Little dogs, little scraps of bread ( $\psi \iota \chi \circ \vee$, diminutive of $\psi \not \subset \circ \varsigma$, morsel), little children ( $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha$, diminutive of $\pi \alpha ı \varsigma)$. Probably the little children purposely dropped a few little crumbs for the little dogs. These household dogs, pets of and loved by the children. Braid Scots has it: "Yet the wee dowgs aneath the table eat o' the moole o' the bairns." "A unique combination of faith and wit" (Gould). Instead of resenting Christ's words about giving the children's bread to the dogs (Gentiles) in verse 27, she instantly turned it to the advantage of her plea for her little daughter.

Mark 7:29
For this saying ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ tov $\frac{1}{} v$ tov $\lambda o \gamma o v$ ). She had faith, great faith as Mt 15:28 shows, but it was her quick and bright repartee that pleased Jesus. He had missed his rest, but it was worth it to answer a call like this.

Mark 7:30
 children's table. The perfect active participle expresses the state of completion. The demon was gone for good and all.

Mark 7:31
Through the midst of the borders of Decapolis ( $\alpha v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \sigma \circ v \tau \omega v$ ор $\omega v \Delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Jesus left Phoenicia, but did not go back into Galilee. He rather went east and came down east of the Sea of Galilee into the region of the Greek cities of Decapolis. He thus kept out of the territory of Herod Antipas. He had been in this region when he healed the Gadarene demoniac and was asked to leave.

Mark 7:32
And they bring unto him ( $\kappa \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho о v \sigma เ v \alpha v \tau \omega)$. Another of Mark's dramatic presents. This incident only in Mark.

Mark 7:33
Took him aside ( $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda \alpha \beta$ ouvvoc $\alpha v \tau 0 v$ ). The secrecy here observed was partly to avoid excitement and partly to get the attention of the deaf and dumb demoniac. He could not hear what Jesus said. So Jesus put his fingers into his ears, spat, and touched his tongue. There was, of course, no virtue in the spittle and it is not clear why Jesus used it. Saliva was by some regarded as remedial and was used by exorcists in their incantations. Whether this was a concession to the man's denseness one does not know. But it all showed the poor man that Jesus healed him in his own way.

Mark 7:34
Ephphatha ( $\delta \operatorname{Lavol} \chi \theta A ̂ \eta \tau$, be opened). Another one of Mark's Aramaic words preserved and transliterated and then translated into Greek. "Be thou unbarred" (Braid Scots). Jesus sighed ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \alpha \xi \varepsilon v)$ as he looked up into heaven and spoke the word $\varepsilon \varphi \varphi \alpha \theta \alpha$. Somehow he felt a nervous strain in this complex case (deaf, dumb, demoniac) that we may not quite comprehend.

## Mark 7:35

He spake plain ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \mathrm{o} \rho \theta \omega \varsigma)$. He began to speak correctly. Inchoative imperfect tense.
Mark 7:36
So much the more a great deal they published it (avто $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v \pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma о \tau \varepsilon \rho o v$ єкприббоv). Imperfect tense, continued action. Double comparative as occurs elsewhere
 Grammar, pp. 663f. Human nature is a peculiar thing. The command not to tell provoked these people to tell just as the leper had done (Mr 1:44f.). The more Jesus commanded (orov avtoıs $\delta เ \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \tau 0)$ them not to tell the more they told. It was a continuous performance. Prohibitions always affect some people that way, especially superficial and light-headed folks. But we have to have prohibitions or anarchy.

[^0]He hath done all things well ( $К \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \pi о п \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). The present perfect active shows the settled convictions of these people about Jesus. Their great amazement (v $\pi \varepsilon \rho \pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma 0 v \tau 0$ ), imperfect passive and compound adverb, thus found expression in a vociferous championship of Jesus in this pagan land.

## Mark 8

Mark 8:1
Had nothing to eat ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \chi o v \tau \omega \nu \tau \varphi \alpha \gamma \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). Genitive absolute and plural because ox $\lambda$ ov a collective substantive. Not having what to eat (deliberative subjunctive retained in indirect question). The repetition of a nature miracle of feeding four thousand in Decapolis disturbs some modern critics who cannot imagine how Jesus could or would perform another miracle elsewhere so similar to the feeding of the five thousand up near Bethsaida Julias. But both Mark and Matthew give both miracles, distinguish the words for baskets (кочıvoб, $\sigma \varphi \cup \rho ı \varsigma$ ), and both make Jesus later refer to both incidents and use these two words with the same distinction (Mr 8:19f.; Mt 16:9f. ). Surely it is easier to conceive that Jesus wrought two such miracles than to hold that Mark and Matthew have made such a jumble of the whole business.

Mark 8:2
Now three days ( $\eta \delta \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. This text preserves a curious parenthetic nominative of time (Robertson, Grammar, p. 460). See on Mt 15:32 .

Mark 8:3
Are come from far ( $\alpha \pi о \mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \sigma \iota)$. This item alone in Mark.
Mark 8:4
Here $(\omega \delta \varepsilon)$. Of all places, in this desert region in the mountains. The disciples feel as helpless as when the five thousand were fed. They do not rise to faith in the unlimited power of Jesus after all that they have seen.

Mark 8:6
Brake and gave ( $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \delta \delta \delta o v)$. Constative aorist followed by imperfect. The giving kept on.

To set before them (ıva $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \iota \theta \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). Present subjunctive describing the continuous process.

Mark 8:7
A few small fishes ( $\iota \nexists \cup v \delta \iota \alpha$ o $\quad \lambda \downarrow \alpha$ ). Mark mentions them last as if they were served after the food, but not so Mt 15:34f .

Mark 8:8
Broken pieces that remained over ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \lambda \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). Overplus, abundance, remains of broken pieces not used, not just scraps or crumbs.

Mark 8:10
Into the parts of Dalmanutha ( $\varepsilon เ \varsigma \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \rho \eta \Delta \alpha \lambda \mu \alpha v o v \theta \alpha)$. Mt 15:39 calls it "the borders of Magadan." Both names are unknown elsewhere, but apparently the same region of Galilee on the western side of the lake not far from Tiberias. Mark here uses "parts" $(\mu \varepsilon \rho \eta)$ in the same sense as "borders" (opıa) in 7:24 just as Matthew reverses it with "parts" in Mt 15:21
and "borders" here in Mt 15:39. Mark has here "with his disciples" ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \omega \nu \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \omega \nu$ autov) only implied in Mt 15:39 .

Mark 8:11
And the Pharisees came forth ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta$ ov о Фарıбаıо). At once they met Jesus and opened a controversy. Mt 16:1 adds "and Sadducees," the first time these two parties appear together against Jesus. See discussion on Mt 16:1. The Pharisees and Herodians had already joined hands against Jesus in the sabbath controversy (Mr 3:6 ). They
began to question with him ( $\eta \rho \xi \alpha v \tau 0 ~ \sigma \cup v \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon เ \nu \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). Dispute, not mere inquiry, associative instrumental case of auto. They began at once and kept it up (present infinitive).

Mark 8:12
He sighed deeply in his spirit ( $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \alpha \xi \alpha \varsigma \tau \omega \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau$ ). The only instance of this compound in the N.T. though in the LXX. The uncompounded form occurs in Mr 7:34 and it is common enough. The preposition $\alpha v \alpha-$ intensifies the meaning of the verb (perfective use). "The sigh seemed to come, as we say, from the bottom of his heart, the Lord's human spirit was stirred to its depths" (Swete). Jesus resented the settled prejudice of the Pharisees (and now Sadducees also) against him and his work.

There shall no sign be given unto this generation ( $\varepsilon \delta 0 \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha \tau \alpha v \tau \eta ~ \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota v)$ ). Mt 16:4 has simply ov $\delta 0 \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$, plain negative with the future passive indicative. Mark has $\varepsilon$ instead of ov, which is technically a conditional clause with the conclusion unexpressed (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1024), really aposiopesis in imitation of the Hebrew use of $\mu$. This is the only instance in the N.T. except in quotations from the LXX (Heb 3:11; 4:3,5). It is very common in the LXX. The rabbis were splitting hairs over the miracles of Jesus as having a possible natural explanation (as some critics do today) even if by the power of Beelzebub, and those not of the sky (from heaven) which would be manifested from God. So they put up this fantastic test to Jesus which he deeply resents. Mt 16:4 adds "but the sign of Jonah" mentioned already by Jesus on a previous occasion (Mt 12:39-41 ) at more length and to be mentioned again (Lu 11:32). But the mention of the sign of Jonah was "an absolute refusal of signs in their sense" (Bruce). And when he did rise from the dead on the third day, the Sanhedrin refused to be convinced (see Acts 3 to 5).

Mark 8:14
Bread (aptovc).
Loaves, plural.
More than one loaf ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta \quad \imath \alpha \alpha \rho \tau o v)$. Except one loaf. Detail only in Mark. Practically for thirteen men when hungry.

Mark 8:15
Take heed, beware of the leaven of the Pharisees, and the leaven of Herod (Норатє, $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \alpha \pi о \tau \eta \varsigma \zeta \nu \mu \eta \varsigma \tau \omega \nu \Phi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \alpha \iota \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \zeta \zeta \nu \mu \eta \varsigma$ H $\eta \rho \omega \iota \delta o v)$. Present imperatives. Note $\alpha \pi \%$ and the ablative case. $\mathrm{Zv} \mu \eta$ is from $\zeta \nu \mu \circ \omega$ and occurs already in Mt 13:33 in a good
sense. For the bad sense see 1Co 5:6. He repeatedly charged ( $\delta เ \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \tau о$, imperfect indicative), showing that the warning was needed. The disciples came out of a Pharisaic atmosphere and they had just met it again at Dalmanutha. It was insidious. Note the combination of Herod here with the Pharisees. This is after the agitation of Herod because of the death of the Baptist and the ministry of Jesus (Mr 6:14-29; Mt 14:1-12; Lu 9:7-9 ). Jesus definitely warns the disciples against "the leaven of Herod" (bad politics) and the leaven of the Pharisees and Sadducees (bad theology and also bad politics).

Mark 8:16
They reasoned one with another ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \lambda о ү \iota \zeta \circ \tau \tau 0 \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o v \varsigma)$, implying discussion. Imperfect tense, kept it up. Mt 16:7 has $\varepsilon v \varepsilon a v \tau o \iota \varsigma$, in themselves or among themselves.

Mark 8:17
Mark here (vv. 17-20) gives six keen questions of Jesus while Mt 16:8-11 gives as four that really include the six of Mark running some together. The questions reveal the disappointment of Jesus at the intellectual dulness of his pupils. The questions concern the intellect (vocıte, from vouo, $\sigma u v i \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$, comprehend), the heart in a
hardened state $(\pi \varepsilon \pi \hat{A} \omega \rho \hat{A} \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \hat{A} \eta \nu$, perfect passive predicate participle as in $\operatorname{Mr}$ 6:52 , which see), the eyes, the ears, the memory of both the feeding of the five thousand and the four thousand here sharply distinguished even to the two kinds of baskets (кочוvovo, $\sigma \varphi v \rho i \delta \omega v)$. The disciples did recall the number of baskets left over in each instance, twelve and seven. Jesus "administers a sharp rebuke for their preoccupation with mere temporalities, as if there were nothing higher to be thought of than bread" (Bruce). "For the time the Twelve are way-side hearers, with hearts like a beaten path, into which the higher truths cannot sink so as to germinate" (Bruce).

Mark 8:18
See on 17.
Mark 8:19
See on 17.
Mark 8:20
See on 17.
Mark 8:21
Do ye not yet understand? (ov $\pi \omega \sigma \cup v \iota \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ;$ ). After all this rebuke and explanation. The greatest of all teachers had the greatest of all classes, but he struck a snag here. Mt 16:12 gives the result: "Then they understood how that he bade them not beware of the loaves of bread, but of the teaching of the Pharisees and Sadducees." They had once said that they understood the parables of Jesus ( $\mathrm{Mt} 13: 51$ ). But that was a long time ago. The teacher must have patience if his pupils are to understand.

Mark 8:22

Unto Bethsaida ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma, B \eta \sigma \alpha \iota \delta \alpha v$ ). On the Eastern side not far from the place of the feeding of the five thousand, Bethsaida Julias. Note dramatic presents
they come ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \vee \tau \alpha$ ),
they bring ( $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \circ \cup \sigma \iota v)$. This incident in Mark alone (verses 22-26).
Mark 8:23
Brought him out of the village ( $\varepsilon \xi \eta \nu \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \varepsilon \nu \alpha \nu \tau 0 v \varepsilon \xi \omega \tau \eta \zeta \kappa \omega \mu \eta \varsigma)$. It had been a village, but Philip had enlarged it and made it a town or city ( $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda_{\iota \varsigma}$ ), though still called a village (verses 23,26). As in the case of the deaf and dumb demoniac given also alone by Mark (Mr 7:31-37 ), so here Jesus observes the utmost secrecy in performing the miracle for reasons not given by Mark. It was the season of retirement and Jesus is making the fourth withdrawal from Galilee. That fact may explain it. The various touches here are of interest also. Jesus led him out by the hand, put spittle on his eyes (using the poetical and Koine papyri word o $\mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ instead of the usual $о \pi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu о \nu \varsigma)$, and laid his hands upon him, perhaps all this to help the man's faith.

Mark 8:24
I see men, for I behold them as trees walking ( $\mathrm{B} \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega \tau \tau \varsigma \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \cup$ о $\tau \omega \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \nu \delta \rho a$ op $\omega \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau 0 \cup v \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. A vivid description of dawning sight. His vision was incomplete though he could tell that they were men because they were walking. This is the single case of a gradual cure in the healings wrought by Jesus. The reason for this method in this case is not given.

Mark 8:25
He looked steadfastly ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon \nu)$. He saw thoroughly now, effective aorist ( $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon \nu$ ), he was completely restored ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta$, second aorist, double compound and double augment), and kept on seeing ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon v$, imperfect, continued action) all things clearly or at a distance $(\tau \eta \lambda \alpha v \gamma \omega \varsigma$, common Greek word from $\tau \eta \lambda \varepsilon$, afar, and $\alpha v \gamma \eta$, radiance, farshining). Some manuscripts (margin in Westcott and Hort) read $\delta \eta \lambda \alpha v \gamma \omega \varsigma$, from $\delta \eta \lambda o \varsigma$, plain, and $\alpha v \gamma \eta$, radiance.

Mark 8:26
To his home (عıৎ o九кov avtov). A joyful homecoming that. He was not allowed to enter the village and create excitement before Jesus moved on to Caesarea Philippi.

Mark 8:27
Into the villages of Caesarea Philippi ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \propto \varsigma \omega \mu \propto \varsigma$ Kaı $\sigma \alpha \rho \iota \alpha \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \Phi_{\iota} \lambda \iota \pi \pi \sigma v$ ). Parts ( $\mu \varepsilon \rho \eta$ ) Mt 16:13 has, the Caesarea of Philippi in contrast to the one down on the Mediterranean Sea. Mark means the villages belonging to the district around Caesarea Philippi. This region is on a spur of Mount Hermon in Iturea ruled by Herod Philip so that Jesus is safe from annoyance by Herod Antipas or the Pharisees and Sadducees. Up here on this mountain slope Jesus will have his best opportunity to give the disciples special teaching
concerning the crucifixion just a little over six months ahead. So Jesus asked ( $\varepsilon \pi \eta \rho \omega \tau \alpha$, descriptive imperfect)

Who do men say that I am? (Tiva $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma o v \sigma ı v$ o $\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varepsilon ı \nu \alpha ;$ ). Mt 16:13 has "the Son of Man" in place of "I" here in Mark and in Lu 9:18. He often described himself as "the Son of Man." Certainly here the phrase could not mean merely "a man." They knew the various popular opinions about Jesus of which Herod Antipas had heard (Mr 3:21,31). It was time that the disciples reveal how much they had been influenced by their environment as well as by the direct instruction of Jesus.

Mark 8:28
And they told him (o $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \pi \alpha v$ ). They knew only too well. See on Mt 16:14,28 for discussion.

Mark 8:29
Thou art the Christ ( $\Sigma v \varepsilon$ o X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma)$. Mark does not give "the Son of the living God" (Mt 16:16) or "of God" (Lu 9:20). The full confession is the form in Matthew. Luke's language means practically the same, while Mark's is the briefest. But the form in Mark really means the full idea. Mark omits all praise of Peter, probably because Peter had done so in his story of the incident. For criticism of the view that Matthew's narrative is due to ecclesiastical development and effort to justify ecclesiastical prerogatives, see discussion on Mt 16:16,18 . The disciples had confessed him as Messiah before. Thus Joh 1:41; 4:29; 6:69; Mt 14:33 . But Jesus had ceased to use the word Messiah to avoid political complications and a revolutionary movement (Joh 6:14f. ). But did the disciples still believe in Jesus as Messiah after all the defections and oppositions seen by them? It was a serious test to which Jesus now put them.

Mark 8:30
Of him ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \cup \tau o v$ ). As being the Messiah, that he was the Christ (Mt 16:20). Not yet, for the time was not yet ripe. When that comes, the triumphal entry into Jerusalem, the very stones will cry out, if men will not (Lu 19:40).

Mark 8:31
He began to teach them ( $\eta \rho \xi \alpha \tau о \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon เ \nu \alpha \cup \tau о \cup \varsigma)$. Mark is fond of this idiom, but it is not a mere rhetorical device. Mt 16:21 expressly says "from that time." They had to be told soon about the approaching death of Jesus. The confession of faith in Jesus indicated that it was a good time to begin. Death at the hands of the Sanhedrin (elders, chief priests, and scribes) in which Pharisees and Sadducees had about equal strength. The resurrection on the third day is mentioned, but it made no impression on their minds. This rainbow on the cloud was not seen.

After three days ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. Mt 16:21 has "the third day" ( $\tau \eta \tau \rho \iota \tau \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha)$ in the locative case of point of time (so also $\mathrm{Lu} 9: 22$ ). There are some people who stickle for a strict interpretation of "after three days" which would be "on the fourth day," not "on the
third day." Evidently Mark's phrase here has the same sense as that in Matthew and Luke else they are hopelessly contradictory. In popular language "after three days" can and often does mean "on the third day," but the fourth day is impossible.

Mark 8:32
Spake the saying openly ( $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ тov $\lambda o \gamma o v \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ ). He held back nothing, told it all ( $\pi \alpha v$, all, $\rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$, from $\varepsilon \iota \pi o v$, say), without reserve, to all of them. Imperfect tense $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ shows that Jesus did it repeatedly. Mark alone gives this item. Mark does not give the great eulogy of Peter in Mt 16:17,19 after his confession (Mr 8:29; Mt 16:16; Lu 9:20), but he does tell the stinging rebuke given Peter by Jesus on this occasion. See discussion on Mt 16:21,26

Mark 8:33
He turning about and seeing his disciples ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \nu \tau \tau \cup \varsigma \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau о v)$. Peter had called Jesus off to himself ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$, but Jesus quickly wheeled round on Peter ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$, only $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ in Matthew). In doing that the other disciples were in plain view also (this touch only in Mark). Hence Jesus rebukes Peter in the full presence of the whole group. Peter no doubt felt that it was his duty as a leader of the Twelve to remonstrate with the Master for this pessimistic utterance (Swete). It is even possible that the others shared Peter's views and were watching the effect of his daring rebuke of Jesus. It was more than mere officiousness on the part of Peter. He had not risen above the level of ordinary men and deserves the name of Satan whose role he was now acting. It was withering, but it was needed. The temptation of the devil on the mountain was here offered by Peter. It was Satan over again. See on Mt 16:23.

## Mark 8:34

And he called unto him the multitude with his disciples ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma к а \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v о \varsigma ~ \tau о v ~$
 here near Caesarea Philippi in heathen territory. In the presence of this crowd Jesus explains his philosophy of life and death which is in direct contrast with that offered by Peter and evidently shared by the disciples and the people. So Jesus gives this profound view of life and death to them all.

Deny himself ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \rho v \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \omega$ عavtov). Say no to himself, a difficult thing to do. Note reflexive along with the middle voice. Ingressive first aorist imperative. See on Mt 16:24 about taking up the Cross. The shadow of Christ's Cross was already on him (Mr 8:31) and one faces everyone.

Mark 8:35
And the gospel's sake ( $\kappa \alpha$ tov $\varepsilon v \alpha \gamma \gamma^{\varepsilon} \lambda_{\iota}$ оv). In Mark alone. See on Mt 16:25f. for this paradox. Two senses of "life" and "save." For the last "save" ( $\sigma \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ) Mt 16:25 has "find" ( $\varepsilon \cup \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon)$. See on Mt 16:26 for "gain," "profit," and "exchange."

Mark 8:38

For whosoever shall be ashamed of me and my words (os $\gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \alpha v \varepsilon \pi \alpha เ \sigma \chi \cup v \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ tous $\varepsilon \mu$ оия $\lambda$ оүоия). More exactly,
whosoever is ashamed (first aorist passive subjunctive with indefinite relative and $\varepsilon \alpha v$ $=\alpha v$. See Robertson, Grammar, pp. 957-9. It is not a statement about the future conduct of one, but about his present attitude toward Jesus. The conduct of men toward Christ now determines Christ's conduct then ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha ı \sigma \chi \cup v \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$, first future passive indicative). This passive verb is transitive and uses the accusative ( $\mu \varepsilon$, av $\tau \sigma v$ ).

In this adulterous and sinful generation ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha \tau \alpha v \tau \eta \tau \eta \mu \circ \not \chi \alpha \lambda เ \delta \kappa \alpha \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda \omega)$. Only in Mark.

When he cometh (o $o \tau \alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ ). Aorist active subjunctive with reference to the future second coming of Christ with the glory of the Father with his holy angels (cf. Mt 16:27). This is a clear prediction of the final eschatological coming of Christ. This verse could not be separated from Mr 9:1 as the chapter division does. These two verses in Mr 8:38; 9:1 form one paragraph and should go together.

## Mark 9

Mark 9:1
Till they see the kingdom of God come with power ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha \nu \iota \delta \omega \sigma \iota \nu \tau \eta \nu \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu \tau 0 v$ $\theta \varepsilon o v \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda v \theta v \iota a v \varepsilon v \delta v v a \mu \varepsilon)$. In 8:38 Jesus clearly is speaking of the second coming. To what is he referring in 9:1? One is reminded of $\mathrm{Mr} 13: 32$; Mt 24:36 where Jesus expressly denies that anyone save the Father himself (not even the Son) knows the day or the hour. Does he contradict that here? It may be observed that Luke has only "see the kingdom of God," while Matthew has "see the Son of man coming" ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v$, present participle, a process). Mark has "see the kingdom of God come" ( $\varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda v \theta v ı a \nu$, perfect active participle, already come) and adds "with power." Certainly the second coming did not take place while some of those standing there still lived. Did Jesus mean that? The very next incident in the Synoptic Gospels is the Transfiguration on Mount Hermon. Does not Jesus have that in mind here? The language will apply also to the coming of the Holy Spirit on the great Day of Pentecost. Some see in it a reference to the destruction of the temple. It is at least open to question whether the Master is speaking of the same event in Mr 8:38; 9:1.

Mark 9:2
By themselves ( $\mu \mathrm{ovov} \mathrm{\varsigma)}. \mathrm{Alone} .\mathrm{This} \mathrm{word} \mathrm{only} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{Mark} .\mathrm{See} \mathrm{on} \mathrm{Mt} \mathrm{17:1-8} \mathrm{for} \mathrm{discussion}$ of the Transfiguration. Lu 9:28 adds "to pray" as the motive of Jesus in taking Peter, James, and John into the high mountain.

Mark 9:3
Glistering, exceeding white ( $\left.\sigma \tau \iota \lambda \beta o v \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \lambda_{\iota} \alpha v\right)$. Old words, all of them. Mt 17:2 has
white as the light ( $\lambda \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \omega \varsigma$ то $\varphi \omega \varsigma)$, Lu 9:29 "white and dazzling" ( $\lambda \varepsilon \cup \kappa \circ \varsigma$ $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \pi \tau \omega v)$ like lightning.

So as no fuller on earth can whiten them (oı $\alpha \vee \alpha \varphi \varepsilon v \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma$ ov $\delta v v \alpha \tau \alpha$ ou $\tau \omega \varsigma$ $\lambda \varepsilon v \kappa a v a) . \Gamma v \alpha \varphi \omega$ is an old word to card wool. Note ovt $\omega \varsigma$, so, so white. Some manuscripts in Matthew add $\omega \varsigma \chi \iota \omega \nu$, as snow. Probably the snow-capped summit of Hermon was visible on this very night. See on Mt 17:2 for "transfigured."

Mark 9:4
Elijah with Moses (E $\lambda \varepsilon \iota a \varsigma ~ \sigma v v ~ M \omega v \sigma \varepsilon)$. Matthew and Luke have "Moses and Elijah." Both, as a matter of fact were prophets and both dealt with law. Both had mysterious deaths. The other order in Mr 9:5.

Mark 9:6
For he wist not what to answer (ov $\gamma \alpha \rho \eta \iota \delta \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi o \kappa \rho \iota \theta \eta$ ). Deliberative subjunctive retained in indirect question. But why did Peter say anything? Luke says that he spoke, "not knowing what he said," as an excuse for the inappropriateness of his remarks. Perhaps Peter felt embarrassed at having been asleep (Lu 9:32) and the feast of tabernacles or booths
( $\sigma \kappa \eta \vee \alpha$ ) was near. See on Mt 17:4. Peter and the others apparently had not heard the talk of Moses and Elijah with Jesus about his decease ( $\varepsilon \xi_{0} \delta o v$, exodus, departure) and little knew the special comfort that Jesus had found in this understanding of the great approaching tragedy concerning which Peter had shown absolute stupidity (Mr 8:32f. ) so recently. See on Mt 17:5 about the overshadowing and the voice.

Mark 9:8
Suddenly looking round about ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \pi \iota v \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho ı \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o)$. Mt 17:8 has it "lifting up their eyes." Mark is more graphic. The sudden glance around on the mountain side when the cloud with Moses and Elijah was gone.

Jesus only with themselves ( $\mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime} \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \mu \eta$ I $\eta \sigma o v \nu \mu o v o v$ ). Mark shows their surprise at the situation. They were sore afraid (Mt 17:6) before Jesus touched them.

Mark 9:9
Save when $(\varepsilon \mu \eta$ o $\tau \alpha v)$. Matthew has "until" ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \circ\rangle)$.
Should have risen ( $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \eta$ ). Second aorist active subjunctive. More exactly, "should rise" (punctiliar aorist and futuristic, not with any idea of perfect tense). Lu 9:36 merely says that they told no man any of these things. It was a high and holy secret experience that the chosen three had had for their future good and for the good of all.

Mark 9:10
They kept the saying ( $\tau 0 v \lambda о \gamma o v \varepsilon \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ) to themselves as Jesus had directed, but questioning among themselves ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v \varsigma \sigma \cup \nu \zeta \eta \tau 0 \cup v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Now they notice his allusion to rising from the dead which had escaped them before ( $\mathrm{Mr} \mathrm{8:31}$ ).

Mark 9:12
Restoreth all things ( $\alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \tau \alpha v \varepsilon \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ). This late double compound verb, usual form $\alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \theta ı \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ in the papyri, is Christ's description of the Baptist as the promised Elijah and Forerunner of the Messiah. See on Mt 17:10-13. The disciples had not till now understood that the Baptist fulfilled the prophecy in Mal 3:5f. They had just seen Elijah on the mountain, but Jesus as Messiah preceded this coming of Elijah. But Jesus patiently enlightens his dull pupils as they argue about the exegesis of the scribes.

Mark 9:14
 alone gives this item. He is much fuller on this incident (9:14-29) than either Matthew (Mt 17:14-20 ) or Luke (Lu 9:37-43 ). It was just like the professional scribes to take keen interest in the failure of the nine disciples to cure this poor boy. They gleefully nagged and quizzed them. Jesus and the three find them at it when they arrive in the plain.

Mark 9:15
Were greatly amazed $(\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \theta \alpha \mu \beta \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. First aorist passive ingressive aorist with perfective compound $\varepsilon \xi$-. The sudden and opportune appearance of Jesus in the midst of the dispute when no one was looking for him turned all eyes to him. He would not fail, however
the disciples might do so. The people were awed for the moment and then running began to welcome him ( $\pi \rho о \tau \rho \varepsilon \chi о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \eta \sigma \pi \alpha \zeta о \nu \tau 0)$. Present participle and imperfect middle indicative.

Mark 9:16
What question ye with them? (T $\sigma \cup v\lceil\eta \tau \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon \pi \rho o \varsigma ~ a v \tau o v \sigma ;$ ). Jesus had noticed the embarrassment of the nine and at once takes hold of the situation.

Mark 9:17
I brought unto thee my son ( $\eta v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha$ тov viov $\mu \circ v \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \sigma \varepsilon$ ). The father stepped out and gave the explanation of the excited dispute in direct and simple pathos.

Mark 9:18
Wheresoever it taketh him (отоv вav autоv кат $\alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \eta$ ). Seizes him down. Our word catalepsy is this same word. The word is used by Galen and Hippocrates for fits. The word is very common in the papyri in various senses as in the older Greek. Each of the verbs here in Mark is a graphic picture.

Dashes down ( $\rho \eta \sigma \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Also $\rho \eta \gamma v v \mu \mathrm{t}, \mu$ form. Convulses, rends, tears asunder. Old and common word.

Foameth $(\alpha \varphi \rho!(\varepsilon)$. Here only in the N.T. Poetic and late word.
Grindeth $(\tau \rho!(\varepsilon)$. Another hapax legomenon in the N.T. Old word for making a shrill cry or squeak.

Pineth away ( $\xi \eta \rho \alpha \iota v \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Old word for drying or withering as of grass in Jas 1:11.
 handle this case. See Mt 17:16; Lu 9:40 ( $\kappa \alpha$ ouk $\eta \delta u v \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$, first aorist passive). It was a tragedy.

Mark 9:19
Bring him unto me ( $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ avtov $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \mu \varepsilon$ ). The disciples had failed and their unbelief had led to this fiasco. Even the disciples were like and part of the
faithless (ađıбтoৎ, unbelieving) generation in which they lived. The word
faithless does not here mean treacherous as it does with us. But Jesus is not afraid to undertake this case. We can always come to Jesus when others fail us.

Mark 9:20
Tare him grievously ( $\sigma u v \varepsilon \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \xi \varepsilon v \alpha u \tau o v) . ~ L u ~ 9: 42 ~ h a s ~ b o t h ~ \varepsilon \rho \rho \eta \xi \varepsilon v ~(d a s h e d ~ d o w n, ~$ like $\mathrm{Mr} 9: 18, \rho \eta \sigma \sigma \varepsilon$ ) and $\sigma u v \varepsilon \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \xi \varepsilon v$ (convulsed). This compound with $\sigma u v$ - (together with), strengthens the force of the verb as in $\sigma v v \pi v \imath \gamma \omega$ (Mr 4:7) and $\sigma \cup v \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$ ( 6:20). The only other instance of this compound verb known is in Maximus Tyrius (second century B.C.).

Wallowed (عки入ıєто). Imperfect passive, was rolled. A pitiful sight. Late form of the old $\kappa \nu \lambda \iota \nu \delta \omega$.

Mark 9:22

But if thou canst ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ ' $\varepsilon \tau \delta u v \eta$ ). Jesus had asked (verse 21) the history of the case like a modern physician. The father gave it and added further pathetic details about the fire and the water. The failure of the disciples had not wholly destroyed his faith in the power of Jesus, though the conditional form (first class, assuming it to be true) does suggest doubt whether the boy can be cured at all. It was a chronic and desperate case of epilepsy with the demon possession added.

Help us ( $\beta$ os $\theta \eta \sigma o v \varepsilon \mu \nu v$ ). Ingressive aorist imperative. Do it now. With touching tenderness he makes the boy's case his own as the Syrophoenician woman had said, "Have mercy on me" (Mt 15:21 ). The leper had said: "If thou wilt" (Mr 1:40). This father says: "If thou canst."

Mark 9:23
If thou canst ( $\tau 0 \varepsilon \delta u v \eta$ ). The Greek has a neat idiom not preserved in the English translation. The article takes up the very words of the man and puts the clause in the accusative case of general reference. "As to the 'if thou canst,' all things can ( $\delta v v a \tau \alpha)$ to the one who believes." The word for "possible" is $\delta u v a \tau \alpha$, the same root as $\delta u v \eta$ (canst). This quick turn challenges the father's faith. On this use of the Greek article see Robertson, Grammar, p. 766.

Mark 9:24
Cried out (кра $\xi \propto \varsigma)$. Loud outcry and at once ( $\varepsilon v \theta \iota \varsigma)$. The later manuscripts have "with tears" ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \delta \alpha \kappa \rho v \omega v$ ), not in the older documents.

I believe; help my unbelief ( $\Pi \iota \tau \tau v \omega: ~ \beta \circ \eta \theta \varepsilon \tau \eta \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \alpha)$. An exact description of his mental and spiritual state. He still had faith, but craved more. Note present imperative here (continuous help) $\beta \circ \eta \theta \varepsilon$, while aorist imperative (instant help) $\beta$ o $\eta \theta \eta \sigma o v$, verse 22 . The word comes from $\beta$ oŋ, a cry and $\theta \varepsilon \omega$, to run, to run at a cry for help, a vivid picture of this father's plight.

Mark 9:25
A multitude came running together ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \cup v \tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon$ o $\chi \lambda \circ \varsigma$ ). A double compound here alone in the N.T. and not in the old Greek writers. Eлıт $\rho \chi \chi \omega$ occurs in the papyri, but not $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \cup v \tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$. The double compound vividly describes the rapid gathering of the crowd to Jesus and the epileptic boy to see the outcome.

Come out of him ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon \xi$ avtov). Jesus addresses the demon as a separate being from the boy as he often does. This makes it difficult to believe that Jesus was merely indulging popular belief in a superstition. He evidently regards the demon as the cause in this case of the boy's misfortune.

Mark 9:26
Having torn much ( $\sigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \xi \alpha \varsigma)$. The uncompounded verb used in verse 20.
Became as one dead ( $\left.\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \frac{\omega \sigma \varepsilon}{} v \varepsilon \kappa \rho \circ \varsigma\right)$. As if dead from the violence of the spasm. The demon did him all possible harm in leaving him.

Mark 9:28
Privately, saying ( $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \iota \delta \iota \alpha \nu \quad o \tau$ ). Indoors the nine disciples seek an explanation for their colossal failure. They had cast out demons and wrought cures before. The Revisers are here puzzled over Mark's use of ot as an interrogative particle meaning
why where Mt 17:19 has $\delta \iota \alpha \tau$. Some of the manuscripts have $\delta \iota \alpha \tau$ here in Mr 9:28 as all do in Mt 17:19. See also Mr 2:16 and 9:11. It is probable that in these examples ot really means
why. See Robertson, Grammar, p. 730. The use of os as interrogative "is by no means rare in the late Greek" (Deissmann, Light from the Ancient East, p. 126).

Mark 9:29
Save by prayer ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta \varepsilon v \pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \eta$ ). The addition of "and of fasting" does not appear in the two best Greek manuscripts (Aleph and B). It is clearly a late addition to help explain the failure. But it is needless and also untrue. Prayer is what the nine had failed to use. They were powerless because they were prayerless. Their self-complacency spelled defeat. Mt 17:20 has "because of your little faith" (o $\lambda \iota \gamma o \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \alpha \nu$ ). That is true also. They had too much faith in themselves, too little in Christ. "They had trusted to the semi-magical power with which they thought themselves invested" (Swete). "Spirits of such malignity were quick to discern the lack of moral power and would yield to no other" (ibid.).

Mark 9:30
He would not that any man should know it (ovк $\eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \nu \iota v \alpha \tau ı \varsigma \gamma \nu 0$ ). Imperfect tense followed by ingressive aorist subjunctive ( $\gamma \nu 0=\gamma \nu \omega$, the usual form). He was not willing that any one should learn it. Back in Galilee Jesus was, but he was avoiding public work there now (cf. 7:24). He was no longer the hero of Galilee. He had left Caesarea Philippi for Galilee.

## Mark 9:31

For he taught ( $\varepsilon \delta_{t} \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v \gamma \alpha \rho$ ). Imperfect tense, and the reason given for secrecy. He was renewing again definitely the prediction of his death in Jerusalem some six months ahead as he had done before ( Mr 8:31; Mt 16:21; Lu 9:22). Now as then Jesus foretells his resurrection "after three days" ("the third day," Mt 17:23 ).

Mark 9:32
But they understood not the saying (o $\delta \varepsilon \eta \gamma$ voouv to $\rho \eta \mu \alpha$ ). An old word. Chiefly in Paul's Epistles in the N.T. Imperfect tense. They continued not to understand. They were agnostics on the subject of the death and resurrection even after the Transfiguration experience. As they came down from the mountain they were puzzled again over the Master's allusion to his resurrection (Mr 9:10). Mt 17:23 notes that "they were exceeding sorry" to hear Jesus talk this way again, but Mark adds that they "were afraid to ask him" ( $\varepsilon \varphi \rho \beta$ $\alpha v \tau o v \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \eta \sigma \alpha)$. Continued to be afraid (imperfect tense), perhaps with a bitter memory of the term "Satan" hurled at Peter when he protested the other time when Jesus spoke of
his death (Mr 8:33; Mt 16:23). Lu 9:45 explains that "it was concealed from them," probably partly by their own preconceived ideas and prejudices.

Mark 9:33
In the house ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta$ оккıa). Probably Peter's house in Capernaum which was the home of Jesus when in the city.

What were ye reasoning in the way? ( $\mathrm{T} \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta$ o $\delta \omega \delta \iota \varepsilon \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \zeta \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon ;$ ). Imperfect tense. They had been disputing (verse 34), not about the coming death of the Master, but about the relative rank of each of them in the political kingdom which they were expecting him to establish. Jesus had suspected the truth about them and they had apparently kept it up in the house. See on Mt 18:1 where the disciples are represented as bringing the dispute to Jesus while here Jesus asks them about it. Probably they asked Jesus first and then he pushed the matter further and deeper to see if this had not been the occasion of the somewhat heated discussion on the way in.

Mark 9:34
But they held their peace (Ho $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \omega \omega \pi \omega v$ ). Imperfect tense. Put thus to them, they felt ashamed that the Master had discovered their jealous rivalry. It was not a mere abstract query, as they put it to Jesus, but it was a canker in their hearts.

Mark 9:35
He sat down and called the twelve ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \varphi \omega v \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \tau$ тou $\delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ). Deliberate action of Jesus to handle this delicate situation. Jesus gives them the rule of greatness: "If any man
 saying of Christ, like many others, he repeated at other times (Mr 10:43f.; Mt 23:8ff.; Lu 22:24f. ). Mt 18:2 says that he called a little child, one there in the house, perhaps Peter's child. Lu 9:47 notes that he "set him by his side." Then Jesus
taking him in his arms ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \nless \kappa \lambda \iota \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$, aorist middle participle, late Greek word from $\alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \eta$ as in Lu 2:28) spoke again to the disciples.

Mark 9:37
One of such little children ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega v \tau o o v \tau \omega v \pi \alpha \iota \delta \omega v$ ). Mt 18:5 has "one such little child" and Lu 9:48 "this little child." It was an object lesson to the arrogant conceit of the twelve apostles contending for primacy. They did not learn this lesson for they will again wrangle over primacy (Mr 10:33-45; Mt 20:20-28) and they will be unable to comprehend easily what the attitude of Jesus was toward children (Mr 10:13-16; Mt 19:13-15; Lu 8:15-17 ). The child was used as a rebuke to the apostles.

## Mark 9:38

Because he followed not us (от ouк $\eta \kappa о \lambda o u \theta \varepsilon \eta \mu \nu \nu$ ). Note vivid imperfect tense again. John evidently thought to change the subject from the constraint and embarrassment caused by their dispute. So he told about a case of extra zeal on his part expecting praise from Jesus. Perhaps what Jesus had just said in verse 37 raised a doubt in John's mind as to the propriety
of his excessive narrowness. One needs to know the difference between loyalty to Jesus and stickling over one's own narrow prejudices.

Mark 9:39
Forbid him not ( $\mu \eta \kappa \omega \lambda v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Stop hindering him ( $\mu \eta$ and the present-imperative) as John had been doing.

Mark 9:40
He that is not against us is with us (oৎ ovк $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \eta \mu \omega \nu v \pi \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \omega \nu \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). This profound saying throws a flood of light in every direction. The complement of this logion is that in Mt 12:30 : "He that is not with me is against me." Both are needed. Some people imagine that they are really for Christ who refuse to take a stand in the open with him and for him.

Mark 9:41
Because ye are Christ's (o X X $\quad \sigma \tau \sigma v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). Predicate genitive, belong to Christ. See Ro 8:9; 1Co 1:12; 2Co 10:7. That is the bond of universal brotherhood of the redeemed. It breaks over the lines of nation, race, class, sex, everything. No service is too small, even a cup of cold water, if done for Christ's sake. See on Mt 18:6f. for discussion on stumblingblocks for these little ones that believe on Jesus (Mr 9:42) , a loving term of all believers, not just children.

Mark 9:43
Into hell, into the unquenchable fire ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon v \nu \alpha \nu, \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о \pi \nu \rho \tau о \alpha \sigma \beta \varepsilon \sigma \tau \sigma v$ ). Not Hades, but Gehenna. $A \sigma \beta \varepsilon \sigma \tau \sigma v$ is alpha privative and $\sigma \beta \varepsilon \sigma \tau o c$ from $\sigma \beta \varepsilon v \nu v \mu$ to quench. It occurs often in Homer. Our word asbestos is this very word. Mt 18:8 has "into the eternal fire." The Valley of Hinnom had been desecrated by the sacrifice of children to Moloch so that as an accursed place it was used for the city garbage where worms gnawed and fires burned. It is thus a vivid picture of eternal punishment.

Mark 9:44
The oldest and best manuscripts do not give these two verses. They came in from the Western and Syrian (Byzantine) classes. They are a mere repetition of verse 48. Hence we lose the numbering 44 and 46 in our verses which are not genuine.

Mark 9:46
See on 44
Mark 9:47
With one eye ( $\mu \circ v \circ \varphi \theta a \lambda \mu \circ v$ ). Literally one-eyed. See also Mt 18:9. Vernacular Koine and condemned by the Atticists. See Mt 18:8f. Mark has here "kingdom of God" where Mt 18:9 has "life."

Mark 9:48
Their worm (o $\sigma \kappa \omega \lambda \eta \xi \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). "The worm, i.e. that preys upon the inhabitants of this dread realm" (Gould). Two bold figures of Gehenna combined (the gnawing worm, the
burning flame). No figures of Gehenna can equal the dread reality which is here described. See Isa 66:24.

Mark 9:50
Have salt in yourselves ( $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o \iota \varsigma ~ \alpha \lambda \alpha$ ). Jesus had once called them the salt of the earth (Mt 5:13) and had warned them against losing the saltness of the salt. If it is $\alpha v a \lambda o v$, nothing can
season ( $\alpha \rho \tau v \omega$ ) it and it is of no use to season anything else. It is like an exploded shell, a burnt-out crater, a spent force. This is a warning for all Christians.

## Mark 10

Mark 10:1
Into the border of Judea and beyond Jordan ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \tau \alpha$ орıа $\tau \eta \varsigma$ Iovסaıaৎ ка $\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha v$ тои Iop $\delta a v o v)$. See on Mt 19:1 for discussion of this curious expression. Matthew adds "from Galilee" and Lu 17:11 says that Jesus "was passing through the midst of Samaria and Galilee" after leaving Ephraim (Joh 11:54 ). A great deal has intervened between the events at the close of Mark 9 and those in the beginning of Mark 10. For these events see Mt 18; Joh 7-11; Lu 9:57-18:14 (one-third of Luke's Gospel comes in here). It was a little over six months to the end at the close of Mark 9. It is just a few weeks now in Mark 10. Jesus has begun his last journey to Jerusalem going north through Samaria, Galilee, across the Jordan into Perea, and back into Judea near Jericho to go up with the passover pilgrims from Galilee.

Multitudes (ox $\lambda \mathrm{o}$ ). Caravans and caravans journeying to Jerusalem. Many of them are followers of Jesus from Galilee or at least kindly disposed towards him. They go together ( $\sigma \cup v \pi o \rho \varepsilon v o v \tau \alpha)$ with Jesus. Note dramatic historical present.

As he was wont ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \omega \omega \theta \varepsilon$ ). Second past perfect used like an imperfect from $\varepsilon i \omega \theta a$, second perfect active. Jesus
was teaching ( $\varepsilon \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v$, imperfect, no longer present tense) this moving caravan.

## Mark 10:2

Tempting him ( $\pi \varepsilon เ \rho a \zeta о v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. As soon as Jesus appears in Galilee the Pharisees attack him again (cf. 7:5; 8:11). Gould thinks that this is a test, not a temptation. The word means either (see on Mt 4:1), but their motive was evil. They had once involved the Baptist with Herod Antipas and Herodias on this subject. They may have some such hopes about Jesus, or their purpose may have been to see if Jesus will be stricter than Moses taught. They knew that he had already spoken in Galilee on the subject (Mt 5:31f. ).

Mark 10:3
What did Moses command you? (T v $\mu \nu v \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon เ \lambda \alpha \tau o ~ M \omega v \sigma \eta \sigma$;). Jesus at once brought up the issue concerning the teaching of Moses (De 24:1). But Jesus goes back beyond this concession here allowed by Moses to the ideal state commanded in Ge 1:27.

Mark 10:4
To write a bill of divorcement and to put her away ( $\beta \iota \beta \lambda_{\imath \rho v} \alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota v \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha \kappa \alpha$ $\alpha \pi о \lambda \nu \sigma \alpha)$. The word for "bill" ( $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota \rho v$ ) is a diminutive and means "little book," like the Latin libellus, from which comes our word libel (Vincent). Wycliff has it here "a libel of forsaking." This same point the Pharisees raise in Mt 19:7, showing probably that they held to the liberal view of Hillel, easy divorce for almost any cause. That was the popular view as now. See on Mt 19:7 for this and for discussion of "for your hardness of heart" ( $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \kappa \alpha \rho \delta ı \alpha$ ). Jesus expounds the purpose of marriage (Ge 2:24) and takes the stricter view of divorce, that of the school of Shammai. See on Mt 19:1-12 for discussion. Mr 10:10
notes that the disciples asked Jesus about this problem "in the house" after they had gone away from the crowd.

Mark 10:11
Mark does not give the exception stated in Mt 19:9 "except for fornication" which see for discussion, though the point is really involved in what Mark does record. Mere formal divorce does not annul actual marriage consummated by the physical union. Breaking that bond does annul it.

Mark 10:12
If she herself shall put away her husband and marry another ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \alpha v \tau \eta ~ \alpha \pi o \lambda v \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha$ $\tau 0 v a v \delta \rho \alpha \alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \alpha \mu \eta \sigma \eta)$. Condition of the third class (undetermined, but with prospect of determination). Greek and Roman law allowed the divorce of the husband by the wife though not provided for in Jewish law. But the thing was sometimes done as in the case of Herodias and her husband before she married Herod Antipas. So also Salome, Herod's sister, divorced her husband. Both Bruce and Gould think that Mark added this item to the words of Jesus for the benefit of the Gentile environment of this Roman Gospel. But surely Jesus knew that the thing was done in the Roman world and hence prohibited marrying such a "grass widow."

## Mark 10:13

They brought ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ). Imperfect active tense, implying repetition. So also Lu 18:15, though Mt 19:13 has the constative aorist passive ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \nu \varepsilon \chi \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). "This incident follows with singular fitness after the Lord's assertion of the sanctity of married life" (Swete). These children ( $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha$, Mark and Matthew; $\beta \rho \varepsilon \varphi \eta$ in Luke) were of various ages. They were brought to Jesus for his blessing and prayers (Matthew). The mothers had reverence for Jesus and wanted him to touch $(\alpha \psi \eta \tau \alpha)$ them. There was, of course, no question of baptism or salvation involved, but a most natural thing to do.

Mark 10:14
He was moved with indignation ( $\eta \gamma \alpha v \alpha \kappa \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). In Mark alone. The word is ingressive aorist, became indignant, and is a strong word of deep emotion (from $\alpha \gamma \alpha v$ and $\alpha \chi \theta o \mu \alpha$, to feel pain). Already in Mt 21:15; 26:8. Old and common word.

Suffer the little children to come unto me ( $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha \varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \mu \varepsilon$ ). Mark has the infinitive $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ (come) not in Matthew, but in Luke. Surely it ought to be a joy to parents to bring their children to Jesus, certainly to allow them to come, but to hinder their coming is a crime. There are parents who will have to give answer to God for keeping their children away from Jesus.

Mark 10:15
As a little child ( $\omega \varsigma \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota v$ ). How does a little child receive the kingdom of God? The little child learns to obey its parents simply and uncomplainingly. There are some new psychologists who argue against teaching obedience to children. The results have not been
inspiring. Jesus here presents the little child with trusting and simple and loving obedience as the model for adults in coming into the kingdom. Jesus does not here say that children are in the kingdom of God because they are children.

## Mark 10:16

He took them in his arms ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda_{\iota \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o c) . ~ A ~ d i s t i n c t ~ r e b u k e ~ t o ~ t h e ~ p r o t e s t ~ o f ~ t h e ~}^{\text {en }}$ over-particular disciples. This word already in Mr 9:36. In Lu 2:28 we have the full idiom, to receive into the arms ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda a \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha)$. So with tender fondling Jesus repeatedly blessed (катєט入оүє, imperfect), laying his hands upon each of them ( $\tau \uparrow \theta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$, present participle). It was a great moment for each mother and child.

Mark 10:17
Ran $(\pi \rho o \sigma \delta \rho \alpha \mu \omega v)$. Jesus had left the house ( $10: 10$ ) and was proceeding with the caravan on the way ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \circ \delta o v$ ) when this ruler eagerly ran and kneeled ( $\gamma \circ v \cup \pi \varepsilon \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$ and was asking ( $\varepsilon \pi \eta \rho \omega \tau \alpha$, imperfect) Jesus about his problem. Both these details alone in Mark.

Mark 10:18
Why callest thou me good? (T $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ ov;). So Lu 18:19. Mt 19:17 has it: "Why asketh thou concerning that which is good? "The young ruler was probably sincere and not using mere fulsome compliment, but Jesus challenges him to define his attitude towards him as was proper. Did he mean "good" ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ oc) in the absolute sense as applied to God? The language is not a disclaiming of deity on the part of Jesus.

That I may inherit (ıva к $\lambda \eta \rho \circ \sim o \mu \eta \sigma \omega$ ). Mt 19:16 has ( $\sigma \chi \omega$ ), that I may "get."
Mark 10:20
All these ( $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha)$. Literally,
these all (of them).
Mark 10:21
Looking upon him loved him ( $\varepsilon \mu \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \alpha \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \omega \eta \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Mark alone mentions this glance of affection, ingressive aorist participle and verb. Jesus fell in love with this charming youth.

One thing thou lackest (H $\varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon)$. Lu 18:22 has it: "One thing thou lackest yet" (E $\tau \varepsilon \vee \sigma$ $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon$ ). Possibly two translations of the same Aramaic phrase. Mt 19:20 represents the youth as asking "What lack I yet?" (T $\varepsilon \tau$ voтepw;). The answer of Jesus meets that inquiry after more than mere outward obedience to laws and regulations. The verb vбtep $\omega$ is from the adjective votepoc (behind) and means to be too late, to come short, to fail of, to lack. It is used either with the accusative, as here, or with the ablative as in 2Co 11:5, or the dative as in Textus Receptus here, $\sigma$ o.

Mark 10:22
But his countenance fell (o $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \tau v \gamma v a \sigma a \varsigma)$. In the LXX and Polybius once and in Mt 16:3 (passage bracketed by Westcott and Hort). The verb is from $\sigma \tau \nu \gamma v o \varsigma$, sombre, gloomy, like a lowering cloud. See on Mt 19:22 for discussion of "sorrowful" ( $\lambda$ илоицвvo¢).

## Mark 10:23

Looked round about ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu o \varsigma)$. Another picture of the looks of Jesus and in Mark alone as in 3:5,34. "To see what impression the incident had made on the Twelve" (Bruce). "When the man was gone the Lord's eye swept round the circle of the Twelve, as he drew for them the lesson of the incident" (Swete).

How hardly (Пیৎ $\delta v \sigma \kappa о \lambda \omega \varsigma)$. So Lu 18:24. Mt 19:23 has it: "With difficulty ( $\delta v \sigma \kappa о \lambda \omega \varsigma)$ shall a rich man." See on Matthew for this word.

Mark 10:24
Were amazed ( $\varepsilon \theta \alpha \mu \beta$ ouv $\tau 0$ ). Imperfect passive. A look of blank astonishment was on their faces at this statement of Jesus. They in common with other Jews regarded wealth as a token of God's special favour.

Children ( $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \nu \alpha$ ). Here alone to the Twelve and this tender note is due to their growing perplexity.

For them that trust in riches ( $\tau о \cup \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \pi о \iota \theta о \tau \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \tau о \iota \varsigma \rho \eta \mu \alpha \sigma \iota v$ ). These words do not occur in Aleph B Delta Memphitic and one Old Latin manuscript. Westcott and Hort omit them from their text as an evident addition to explain the difficult words of Jesus.

Mark 10:25
Needle's eye ( $\tau \rho \cup \mu \alpha \lambda ı \alpha \varsigma \rho a \varphi ı \delta o \varsigma)$. See on Mt 19:24 for discussion. Luke uses the surgical needle, $\beta \varepsilon \lambda$ ovŋヶ. Matthew has the word $\rho a \varphi ı \varsigma$ like Mark from $\rho a \pi \tau \omega$, to sew, and it appears in the papyri. Both Matthew and Luke employ $\tau \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau o \varsigma$ for eye, a perforation or hole from $\tau \iota \tau \rho \alpha \omega$, to bore. Mark's word $\tau \rho v \mu \alpha \lambda \iota a \varsigma$ is from $\tau \rho v \omega$, to wear away, to perforate. In the LXX and Plutarch.

Mark 10:26
Then who (ка тıৎ). Mt 19:25 has Tıৎ ouv. Evidently $\kappa \alpha$ has here an inferential sense like ouv.

Mark 10:27
Looking on them ( $\varepsilon \mu \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau o \iota \varsigma) . ~ S o ~ i n ~ M t ~ 19: 26 . ~ T h e i r ~ a m a z e m e n t ~ i n c r e a s e d ~(~$ 26).

But not with God ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). Locative case with $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ (beside). The impossible by the side of men ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \iota)$ becomes possible by the side of God. That is the whole point and brushes to one side all petty theories of a gate called needle's eye, etc.

Mark 10:28
Peter began to say ( $\eta \rho \xi \alpha \tau о \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \nu$ о $П \varepsilon \tau \rho \circ \varsigma)$. It was hard for Peter to hold in till now. Mt 19:27 says that "Peter answered" as if the remark was addressed to him in particular. At any rate Peter reminds Jesus of what they had left to follow him, four of them that day by the sea (Mr 1:20; Mt 4:22; Lu 5:11). It was to claim obedience to this high ideal on their part in contrast with the conduct of the rich young ruler.

Mark 10:30

With persecutions ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \delta \mathrm{t} \omega \gamma \mu \omega v$ ). This extra touch is in Mark alone. There is a reminiscence of some of "the apocalyptic of the familiar descriptions of the blessings of the Messianic kingdom. But Jesus uses such language from the religious idiom of this time only to idealize it" (Gould). The apostles were soon to see the realization of this foreshadowing of persecution. Vincent notes that Jesus omits "a hundred wives" in this list, showing that Julian the Apostate's sneer on that score was without foundation.

Mark 10:31
See on Mt 19:30 for the use of the paradox about
first and
last, probably a rebuke here to Peter's boast.
Mark 10:32
And they were amazed ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \theta \alpha \mu \beta$ оиv $о$ ). Imperfect tense describing the feelings of the disciples as Jesus was walking on in front of them ( $\eta \nu \pi \rho o \alpha \gamma \omega \nu \alpha v \tau o v \varsigma$, periphrastic imperfect active), an unusual circumstance in itself that seemed to bode no good as they went on through Perea towards Jerusalem. In fact,
they that followed were afraid (о $\delta \varepsilon \alpha \kappa о \lambda о \cup \theta$ оиvт $\varepsilon \varsigma \varphi о \beta о \cup \nu \tau о$ ) as they looked at Jesus walking ahead in solitude. The idiom (o $\delta \varepsilon$ ) may not mean that all the disciples were afraid, but only some of them. "The Lord walked in advance of the Twelve with a solemnity and a determination which foreboded danger" (Swete). Cf. Lu 9:5. They began to fear coming disaster as they neared Jerusalem. They read correctly the face of Jesus.

And he took again the twelve ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \omega \nu \tau о \nu \varsigma \delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha)$. Matthew has "apart" from the crowds and that is what Mark also means. Note $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \omega v$, taking to his side.

And began to tell them the things that were to happen to him ( $\eta \rho \xi \alpha \tau \circ \alpha \cup \tau \circ ๙ \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon เ v$ $\tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o v \tau \alpha \alpha v \tau \omega \sigma v \mu \beta \alpha \iota v \varepsilon \iota \nu)$. He had done it before three times already (Mr 8:31; 9:13; 9:31 ). So Jesus tries once more. They had failed utterly heretofore. How is it now? Luke adds ( 18:34): "They understood none of these things." But Mark and Matthew show how the minds of two of the disciples were wholly occupied with plans of their own selfish ambition while Jesus was giving details of his approaching death and resurrection.

Mark 10:35
There come near unto him James and John ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \pi о \rho \varepsilon v o v \tau \alpha \operatorname{I} \alpha \omega \beta \circ \varsigma \kappa \alpha \mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \nu \eta \varsigma)$. Dramatic present tense. Matthew has $\tau 0 \tau \varepsilon$, then, showing that the request of the two brothers with their mother (Mt 20:20) comes immediately after the talk about Christ's death.

We would $(\theta \varepsilon \lambda o \mu \varepsilon v)$. We wish, we want, bluntly told.
She came worshipping ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa v \nu о v \sigma \alpha)$ Matthew says. The mother spoke for the sons. But they try to commit Jesus to their desires before they tell what they are, just like spoiled children.

Mark 10:37

In thy glory $(\varepsilon v \tau \eta \delta o \xi \eta)$. Mt 20:21 has "in thy kingdom." See on Mt 20:20 for the literal interpretation of Mt 19:28. They are looking for a grand Jewish world empire with apocalyptic features in the eschatological culmination of the Messiah's kingdom. That dream brushed aside all the talk of Jesus about his death and resurrection as mere pessimism.

Mark 10:38
Or be baptized with the baptism that I am baptized with ( $\eta$ тo $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$ o $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \zeta о \mu \alpha \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta v \alpha)$. Cognate accusative with both passive verbs. Mt 20:22 has only the cup, but Mark has both the cup and the baptism, both referring to death. Jesus in the Garden of Gethsemane will refer to his death again as "the cup" (Mr 14:36; Mt 26:39; Lu 22:42 ). He had already used baptism as a figure for his death (Lu 12:50). Paul will use it several times (1Co 15:29; Ro 6:3-6; Col 2:12 ).

Mark 10:39
See on Mt 20:23-28 for discussion on these memorable verses ( 39-45) identical in both Matthew and Mark. In particular in verse 45 note the language of Jesus concerning his death as "a ransom for many" ( $\lambda \nu \tau \rho \circ v \alpha \nu \tau \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega v$ ), words of the Master that were not understood by the apostles when spoken by Jesus and which have been preserved for us by Peter through Mark. Some today seek to empty these words of all real meaning as if Jesus could not have or hold such a conception concerning his death for sinners.

Mark 10:40
See on 39
Mark 10:41
See on 39
Mark 10:42
See on 39
Mark 10:43
See on 39
Mark 10:44
See on 39
Mark 10:45
See on 39
Mark 10:46
From Jericho ( $\alpha \pi$ o I $\varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon ı \chi \omega$ ). See on Mt 20:29 for discussion of this phrase and Luke's (Lu 18:35) "nigh unto Jericho" and the two Jerichos, the old and the new Roman (Luke). The new Jericho was "about five miles W. of the Jordan and fifteen E. of Jerusalem, near the mouth of the Wady Kelt, and more than a mile south of the site of the ancient town" (Swete).

Great multitude (ox ${ }^{\text {ov }}$ เкаvov). Considerable, more than sufficient. Often in Luke and the papyri in this sense. See Mt 3:11 for the other sense of fit for וкаvoc.

Bartimaeus (Baptıaøı¢). Aramaic name like Bartholomew, $\beta \alpha \rho$ meaning son like Hebrew ben. So Mark explains the name meaning "the son of Timaeus" (o vıos Tıцаıо). Mark alone gives his name while Mt 20:30 mentions two which see for discussion.

Blind beggar ( $\tau \cup \varphi \lambda$ оৎ $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha \iota \tau \eta \varsigma)$, "begging" ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \tau \omega v$ ) Luke has it (Lu 18:35). All three Gospels picture him as
sitting by the roadside ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \tau 0 \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta v$ o $\delta o v$ ). It was a common sight. Bartimaeus had his regular place. Vincent quotes Thomson concerning Ramleh: "I once walked the streets counting all that were either blind or had defective eyes, and it amounted to about one-half the male population. The women I could not count, for they are rigidly veiled" (The Land and the Book). The dust, the glare of the sun, the unsanitary habits of the people spread contagious eye-diseases.

Mark 10:48
Rebuked him ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \tau \mu \omega \nu \alpha \cup \tau \omega)$. Imperfect tense. Kept rebuking repeatedly. So Lu 18:39 . Aorist tense in Mt 20:31 .

Should hold his peace ( $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \eta \eta$ ). Ingressive aorist subjunctive, become silent.
The more a great deal ( $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$ ). So Lu 18:39. Only $\mu \varepsilon 亡 \zeta o v$ in Mt 20:31 .
Mark 10:49
Stood still (бтaৎ). Second aorist active ingressive participle. So Mt 20:32 . Lu 18:40 has $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon \iota$, , aorist passive participle.

He calleth thee ( $\varphi \omega v \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon$ ). That was joyful news to Bartimaeus. Vivid dramatic presents here in Mark.

Mark 10:50
Casting away his garment ( $\alpha \pi \circ \beta \alpha \lambda \omega \nu \tau 0$ ц $\mu \alpha \tau \circ v \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Second aorist active participle. Outer robe in his haste.

Sprang up ( $\alpha \vee \alpha \pi \eta \delta \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. Leaping up, vivid details again in Mark.
Mark 10:51
That I should do ( $\pi$ oוף $\sigma \omega$ ). Neat Greek idiom with aorist subjunctive without iva after $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ı \varsigma$. For this asyndeton (or parataxis) see Robertson, Grammar, p. 430.

Rabboni (Paßßouve). The Aramaic word translated Lord (Kurie) in Mt 20:33 and Lu 18:41. This very form occurs again in Joh 20:16.

That I may receive my sight ( $\iota v \alpha \alpha v \alpha \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \omega$ ). To recover sight ( $\alpha v \alpha-$ ), see again. Apparently he had once been able to see. Here $\iota v a$ is used though $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$ is not (cf. 10:35). The Messiah was expected to give sight to the blind (Isa 61:1; Lu 4:18; 7:22 ).

Mark 10:52
Followed ( $\eta \kappa 0 \lambda o u \theta \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect tense picturing joyful Bartimaeus as he followed the caravan of Jesus into the new Jericho.

Made thee whole ( $\sigma \varepsilon \sigma \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative. The word commonly means save and that may be the idea here.

## Mark 11

Mark 11:1
Unto Bethphage and Bethany ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \mathrm{B} \eta \theta \varphi \alpha \gamma \eta \kappa \alpha \mathrm{B} \eta \theta a v ı \alpha v$ ). Both together as in Lu 19:29 , though Mt 21:1 mentions only Bethphage. See discussion in Matthew for this and the Mount of Olives.

Mark 11:2
As ye enter ( $\varepsilon \iota \sigma \pi о \rho \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). So also Lu 19:30. Present middle participle.
Colt ( $\pi \omega \lambda$ ov). So Lu 19:30 . Mt 21:2 speaks of the ass (ovov) also.
Whereon no one ever yet sat ( $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime}$ ov ov ovıı $\left.\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \varepsilon v\right)$. So Lu 19:30 .
Mark 11:3
The Lord (o Kupioc). So Matt. and Luke. See on Mt 21:3 for discussion of this word applied to Jesus by himself.

He will send him back ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Present indicative in futuristic sense. Mt 21:3 has the future $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$.

Mark 11:4
A colt tied at the door without in the open street ( $\pi \omega \lambda$ ov $\delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o v \pi \rho o \varsigma ~ \theta u \rho a v \varepsilon \xi \omega$ $\varepsilon \pi$ tov $\alpha \mu \varphi \circ \delta o v)$. A carefully drawn picture. The colt was outside the house in the street, but fastened (bound, perfect passive participle) to the door. "The better class of houses were built about an open court, from which a passage way under the house led to the street outside. It was at this outside opening to the street that the colt was tied" (Gould). The word $\alpha \mu \varphi o \delta o s$ (from $\alpha \mu \varphi \omega$, both, and oठo, road) is difficult. It apparently means road around a thing, a crooked street as most of them were (cf. Straight Street in Ac 9:11). It occurs only here in the N.T. besides D in Ac 19:28. It is very common in the papyri for vicus or "quarter."

And they loose him (ка גvovoiv avtov). Dramatic present tense. Perhaps Peter was one of those sent this time as he was later (Lu 22:8). If so, that explains Mark's vivid details here.

Mark 11:5
Certain of those that stood there ( $\tau \iota v \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \omega v \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \circ \tau \omega v$ ). Perfect active participle, genitive plural. Bystanders. Lu 19:33 terms them "the owners thereof" (o кupıo autou). The lords or masters of the colt. They make a natural protest.

## Mark 11:7

 ical present. The owners acquiesced as Jesus had predicted. Evidently friends of Jesus.

Mark 11:8
Branches ( $\sigma \tau 1 \beta \alpha \delta \alpha \varsigma)$. A litter of leaves and rushes from the fields. Textus Receptus spells this word $\sigma \tau o ß \beta \alpha \delta \alpha \varsigma$. Mt 21:8 has $\kappa \lambda \alpha \delta o u \varsigma$, from $\kappa \lambda \alpha \omega$, to break, branches broken or cut from trees. Joh 12:13 uses the branches of the palm trees ( $\tau \alpha \beta \alpha \iota \alpha \tau \omega v \varphi$ огıाк $\omega v$ ), "the
feathery fronds forming the tufted crown of the tree" (Vincent). That is to say, some of the crowd did one of these things, some another. See on Mt 21:4-9 for discussion of other details. The deliberate conduct of Jesus on this occasion could have but one meaning. It was the public proclamation of himself as the Messiah, now at last for his "hour" has come. The excited crowds in front (o $\pi \rho \circ \alpha \gamma 0 v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$ and behind (o $\alpha \kappa 0 \lambda o u \theta$ ouvtec) fully realize the significance of it all. Hence their unrestrained enthusiasm. They expect Jesus, of course, now to set up his rule in opposition to that of Caesar, to drive Rome out of Palestine, to conquer the world for the Jews.

Mark 11:11
When he had looked round about upon all things ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \curlywedge \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha)$. Another Markan detail in this aorist middle participle. Mark does not give what Lu 19:39-55 has nor what Mt 21:10-17 does. But it is all implied in this swift glance at the temple before he went out to Bethany with the Twelve,
it being now eventide ( $\circ \psi \varepsilon \eta \delta \eta$ ovaŋऽ $\tau \eta \varsigma \omega \rho \alpha \varsigma$ ). Genitive absolute, the hour being already late. What a day it had been! What did the apostles think now?

Mark 11:12
On the morrow ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \pi \alpha u \rho i o v$ ). Mt 21:18 has "early" $(\pi \rho \omega)$, often of the fourth watch before six A.M. This was Monday morning. The Triumphal Entry had taken place on our Sunday, the first day of the week.

Mark 11:13
If haply he might find anything thereon ( $\varepsilon \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \eta$ ). This use of $\varepsilon$ and the future indicative for purpose (to see if, a sort of indirect question) as in Ac 8:22; 17:27. Jesus was hungry as if he had had no food on the night before after the excitement and strain of the Triumphal Entry. The early figs in Palestine do not get ripe before May or June, the later crop in August. It was not the season of figs, Mark notes. But this precocious tree in a sheltered spot had put out leaves as a sign of fruit. It had promise without performance.

Mark 11:14
No man eat fruit from thee henceforward forever (Мףкєт єıऽ тov aı $\omega v \alpha \varepsilon \kappa ~ \sigma о v ~ \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon ı \varsigma$, $\kappa \alpha \rho \pi о v \varphi \alpha ү о)$. The verb $\varphi \alpha \gamma о$ is in the second aorist active optative. It is a wish for the future that in its negative form constitutes a curse upon the tree. Mt 21:19 has the aorist subjunctive with double negative ov $\mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \tau \alpha$, a very strong negative prediction that amounts to a prohibition. See on Matthew. Jesus probably spoke in the Aramaic on this occasion.

And his disciples heard it ( $\kappa \alpha$ $\eta \kappa о v о v$ о $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha \alpha \nu \tau о v)$. Imperfect tense, "were listening to it," and evidently in amazement, for, after all, it was not the fault of the poor fig tree that it had put out leaves. One often sees peach blossoms nipped by the frost when they are too precocious in the changeable weather. But Jesus offered no explanation at this time.

Mark 11:15

Began to cast out（ $\eta \rho \xi \alpha \tau \tau=\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon เ v)$ ．Mark is fond of＂began．＂See on Mt 21：12f．for discussion of this second cleansing of the temple in its bearing on that in Joh 2：14f．

Money－changers（ко入入৩ßьбт $\omega v$ ）．This same late word in Mt 21：12 which see for discus－ sion．It occurs in papyri．

## Mark 11：16

Through the temple（ $\delta \iota \alpha$ тov ıء $\rho \circ$ ）．The temple authorities had prohibited using the outer court of the temple through the Precinct as a sort of short cut or by－path from the city to the Mount of Olives．But the rule was neglected and all sorts of irreverent conduct was going on that stirred the spirit of Jesus．This item is given only in Mark．Note the use of tva after $\eta \varphi \iota \varepsilon$（imperfect tense）instead of the infinitive（the usual construction）．

Mark 11：17
For all the nations（ $\pi \alpha \sigma \iota v$ тоı $\varepsilon \theta v \varepsilon \sigma เ v$ ）．Mark alone has this phrase from Isa 56：7；Jer 7：11．The people as well as the temple authorities were guilty of graft，extortion，and desec－ ration of the house of prayer．Jesus assumes and exercises Messianic authority and dares to smite this political and financial abuse．Some people deny the right of the preacher to de－ nounce such abuses in business and politics even when they invade the realm of morals and religion．But Jesus did not hesitate．

Mark 11：18
Sought how they might destroy him（ $\varepsilon \zeta \eta \tau 0 \cup v \pi \omega \varsigma \alpha v \tau o v ~ a \pi o \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \omega \sigma เ v$ ）．Imperfect in－ dicative，a continuous attitude and endeavour．Note deliberative subjunctive with $\pi \omega \varsigma$ re－ tained in indirect question．Here both Sadducees（chief priests）and Pharisees（scribes） combine in their resentment against the claims of Jesus and in the determination to kill him．Long ago the Pharisees and the Herodians had plotted for his death（Mr 3：6 ）．Now in Jerusalem the climax has come right in the temple．

For they feared him（ $\varepsilon \varphi \rho \beta$ ouv $\tau \boldsymbol{\gamma} \gamma \rho$ ）．Imperfect middle indicative．Hence in wrath they planned his death and yet they had to be cautious．The Triumphal Entry had shown his power with the people．And now right in the temple itself＂all the multitude was astonished at his teaching＂（ $\pi \alpha \varsigma$ о о $\chi \lambda о \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \sigma \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \delta \iota \delta a \chi \eta$ avtov）．Imperfect passive．The people looked on Jesus as a hero，as the Messiah．This verse aptly describes the crisis that has now come between Christ and the Sanhedrin．

Mark 11：19
Every evening（oтav o $\bar{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau 0$ ）．Literally，
whenever evening came on or more exactly
whenever it became late．The use of o七 $\alpha v$（от $\alpha v$ ）with the aorist indicative is like отоv $\alpha v$ with the imperfect indicative（ $\varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \pi о \rho \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon \tau о)$ and oбo $\alpha \nu$ with the aorist indicative （ $\eta \psi \alpha v \tau 0$ ）in Mr 6：56．The use of $\alpha v$ makes the clause more indefinite and general，as here， unless it renders it more definite，a curious result，but true．Lu 21：37 has the accusative of
extent of time, "the days," "the nights." The imperfect tense he (or they) would go (६乡єторєиєто, є乡єторєиovto) out of the city suggests "whenever" as the meaning here.

Mark 11:20
As they passed by in the morning ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi о \rho \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v o \pi \rho \omega$ ). Literally, passing by in the morning. The next morning. They went back by the lower road up the Mount of Olives and came down each morning by the steep and more direct way. Hence they saw it. Mt 21:20 does not separate the two mornings as Mark does.

From the roots ( $\varepsilon \kappa \rho \iota \zeta \omega \nu)$. Mark alone gives this detail with $\varepsilon \xi \eta \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon v \eta \nu$ perfect passive predicate participle from $\xi \eta \rho \alpha ı v \omega$.

Mark 11:21
Peter calling to remembrance ( $\alpha v \alpha \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma$ о Пєт $\rho \circ \varsigma$ ). First aorist participle, being reminded. Only in Mark and due to Peter's story. For his quick memory see also 14:72.

Which thou cursedst ( $\eta \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \rho \alpha \sigma \omega)$. First aorist middle indicative second person singular from катараона. It almost sounds as if Peter blamed Jesus for what he had done to the fig tree.

## Mark 11:22

Have faith in God ( $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota v ~ \theta \varepsilon o v)$. Objective genitive $\theta \varepsilon o v$ as in Gal 2:26; Ro 3:22,26 . That was the lesson for the disciples from the curse on the fig tree so promptly fulfilled. See this point explained by Jesus in Mt 21:21 which see for "this mountain" also.

Mark 11:23
Shall not doubt in his heart ( $\mu \eta \delta \iota \alpha \kappa \rho 1 \theta \eta \varepsilon v \tau \eta \kappa \alpha \rho \delta ı \alpha$ avtov). First aorist passive subjunctive with os $\alpha v$. The verb means a divided judgment ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ from $\delta v o$, two, and $\kappa \rho \imath v \omega$, to judge). Wavering doubt. Not a single act of doubt ( $\delta ı \alpha \kappa \rho(\theta \eta)$, but continued faith ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \eta)$.

Cometh to pass ( $\gamma\llcorner v \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Futuristic present middle indicative.
Mark 11:24
Believe that ye have received them ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ot $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). That is the test of faith, the kind that sees the fulfilment before it happens. E $\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ is second aorist active indicative, antecedent in time to $\pi \ldots \tau \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$, unless it be considered the timeless aorist when it is simultaneous with it. For this aorist of immediate consequence see Joh 15:6.

Mark 11:25
Whensoever ye stand (o $\boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha v \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Late form of present indicative $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \omega$, from perfect stem $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \alpha$. In LXX. Note use of otav as in 11:19. Jesus does not mean by the use of "stand" here to teach that this is the only proper attitude in prayer.

That your Father also may forgive you (ıv к $\kappa$ о $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho \alpha \varphi \eta \nu \mu \imath v$ ). Evidently God's willingness to forgive is limited by our willingness to forgive others. This is a solemn thought for all who pray. Recall the words of Jesus in Mt 6:12,14f.

Mark 11:26
This verse is omitted by Westcott and Hort. The Revised Version puts it in a footnote.

## Mark 11:27

The chief priests, and the scribes, and the elders (о архıєряıৎ ка о $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ к \alpha ~ о ~$ $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho \sigma)$. Note the article with each separate group as in Lu 20:1 and Mt 21:23. These three classes were in the Sanhedrin. Clearly a large committee of the Sanhedrin including both Sadducees and Pharisees here confront Jesus in a formal attack upon his authority for cleansing the temple and teaching in it.

Mark 11:28
By what authority ( $\varepsilon v \pi o \neq \varepsilon \xi \circ v \sigma ı \alpha)$. This question in all three Gospels was a perfectly legitimate one. See on Mt 21:23-27 for discussion. Note present subjunctive here (ıva tav $\alpha$ $\pi$ roııৎ), that you keep on doing these things.

Mark 11:30
Answer me ( $\alpha \pi о к \rho ө \eta \eta \varepsilon \mu \rho$ ). This sharp demand for a reply is only in Mark. See also verse 29. Jesus has a right to take this turn because of John's direct relation to himself. It was not a dodge, but a home thrust that cleared the air and defined their attitude both to John and Jesus. They rejected John as they now reject Jesus.

Mark 11:31
If we say ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \varepsilon ו \pi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Third-class condition with aorist active subjunctive. The alternatives are sharply presented in their secret conclave. They see the two horns of the dilemma clearly and poignantly. They know only too well what Jesus will say in reply. They wish to break Christ's power with the multitude, but a false step now will turn the laugh on them. They see it.

Mark 11:32
But should we say ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \varepsilon ו \pi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Deliberative subjunctive with aorist active subjunctive again. It is possible to supply $\varepsilon \alpha v$ from verse 31 and treat it as a condition as there. So Mt 21:26 and Lu 20:6 . But in Mark the structure continues rugged after "from men" with anacoluthon or even aposiopesis--"they feared the people" Mark adds. Matthew has it: "We fear the multitude." Luke puts it: "all the people will stone us." All three Gospels state the popular view of John as a prophet. Mark's "verily" is ovt $\omega$ c really, actually. They feared John though dead as much as Herod Antipas did. His martyrdom had deepened his power over the people and disrespect towards his memory now might raise a storm (Swete).

Mark 11:33
We know not (ovk oi $\delta a \mu \varepsilon v$ ). It was for the purpose of getting out of the trap into which they had fallen by challenging the authority of Jesus. Their self-imposed ignorance, refusal to take a stand about the Baptist who was the Forerunner of Christ, absolved Jesus from a categorical reply. But he has no notion of letting them off at this point.

## Mark 12

Mark 12:1
He began to speak unto them in parables ( $\eta \rho \xi \alpha \tau о$ autoı $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta о \lambda \alpha \iota \varsigma ~ \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı v)$. Mark's common idiom again. He does not mean that this was the beginning of Christ's use of parables (see 4:2), but simply that his teaching on this occasion took the parabolic turn. "The circumstances called forth the parabolic mood, that of one whose heart is chilled, and whose spirit is saddened by a sense of loneliness, and who, retiring within himself, by a process of reflection, frames for his thoughts forms which half conceal, half reveal them" (Bruce). Mark does not give the Parable of the Two Sons (Mt 21:28-32) nor that of the Marriage Feast of the King's Son (Mt 22:1-14 ). He gives here the Parable of the Wicked Husbandmen. Also in Mt 21:33-46 and Lu 20:9-19. See discussion in Matthew. Mt 21:33 calls the man "a householder" (окобголотпऽ).

A pit for the winepress (v $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \eta v i o v$ ). Only here in the N.T. Common in the LXX and in late Greek. Matthew had $\lambda \eta$ vov, winepress. This is the vessel or trough under the winepress on the hillside to catch the juice when the grapes were trodden. The Romans called it lacus (lake) and Wycliff dalf (lake), like delved. See on Matthew for details just alike.

Husbandmen ( $\gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma o \iota \varphi$ ). Workers in the ground, tillers of the soil ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ \nu, \gamma \eta$ ).
Mark 12:2
At the season ( $\tau \omega \kappa \alpha \mu \omega)$. For fruits as in the end of the sentence.
A servant ( $\delta o u \lambda o v$ ). Bondslave. Matthew has plural.
That he might receive ( $\quad v \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \eta$ ). Purpose clause with second aorist subjunctive. Matthew has infinitive $\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon เ v$, purpose also.

Wounded in the head ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \iota \omega \sigma \alpha v$ ). An old verb ( $\kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \omega$ ), to bring under heads ( $\kappa \varepsilon \varphi a \lambda \eta$ ), to summarize. Then to hit on the head. Only here in the N.T.

Mark 12:5
Beating some and killing some (ou¢ $\mu \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \rho \circ \nu \tau \varepsilon \sigma$, ou¢ $\delta \varepsilon \alpha \pi о к \tau \varepsilon \nu \nu v \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. This distributive use of the demonstrative appears also in Mt 21:35 in the singular (ov $\mu \varepsilon v$, ov $\delta \varepsilon$, ov $\delta \varepsilon$ ). Originally $\delta \varepsilon \rho \omega$ in Homer meant to skin, flay, then to smite, to beat. A is a $\mu$ form of the verb ( $\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon v v \nu \mu$ ) and means to kill off.

Mark 12:6
 has in mind the language of the Father to him at his baptism (Mr 1:11; Mt 3:17; Lu 3:22).

Last ( $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau o v)$. Only in Mark. See on Mt 21:37 for discussion of "reverence."
Mark 12:7
Among themselves ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ вavtous). This phrase alone in Mark. Lu 20:14 has "with one another" ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$ ou¢), reciprocal instead of reflexive, pronoun.

Mark 12:8

Killed him and cast him forth ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ v a v ~ \alpha u \tau о v, ~ \kappa \alpha ~ \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda о v \alpha \cup \tau о v)$. Matthew and Luke reverse the order, cast forth and killed.

Mark 12:10
This scripture ( $\tau \eta v \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta \nu \tau \alpha \nu \tau \eta v)$. This passage of scripture (Lu 4:21; Joh 19:37; Ac 1:16 ). It is a quotation from Ps 118:22f. See on Mt 21:42 for discussion.

Mark 12:11
This (autq). Feminine in LXX may refer to
kephal $\boxtimes$ (head) or may be due to the Hebrew original
zoth (this thing) which would be neuter tovto in a Greek original, a translation Hebraism.

Mark 12:12
Against them ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ avtovৎ). So Luke. It was a straight shot, this parable of the Rejected Stone (12:10f. ) and the longer one of the Wicked Husbandmen. There was no mistaking the application, for he had specifically explained the application (Mt 21:43-45). The Sanhedrin were so angry that they actually started or sought to seize him, but fear of the populace now more enthusiastic for Jesus than ever held them back. They went off in disgust, but they had to listen to the Parable of the King's Son before going (Mt 22:1-14 ).

Mark 12:13
 subjunctive. The verb is late from $\alpha \gamma \rho \alpha$ (a hunt or catching). It appears in the LXX and papyri. Here alone in the N.T. Lu 20:20 has the same idea, "that they may take hold of his speech" ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \alpha \beta \omega v \tau \alpha \alpha \cup \tau o v \lambda o \gamma o v$ ) while Mt 22:15 uses $\pi \alpha \gamma \iota \delta \varepsilon v \sigma \omega \sigma \sigma v$ (to snare or trap). See discussion in Matthew. We have seen the scribes and Pharisees trying to do this very thing before (Lu 11:33f. ). Mark and Matthew note here the combination of Pharisees and Herodians as Mark did in 3:6. Matthew speaks of "disciples" or pupils of the Pharisees while Luke calls them "spies" ( $\varepsilon v к \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau о ч \varsigma)$.

Mark 12:14
Shall we give or shall we not give? ( $\delta \omega \mu \varepsilon v \eta \mu \eta \delta \omega \mu \varepsilon v$;). Mark alone repeats the question in this sharp form. The deliberative subjunctive, aorist tense active voice. For the discussion of the palaver and flattery of this group of theological students see on Mt 22:16-22 .

Mark 12:15
 wickedness" ( $\gamma$ vouc $\tau \eta v$ по $\quad \eta \rho \iota a v \alpha \cup \tau \omega v$ ) while Lu 20:23 says, "perceived their craftiness" (катаvoŋбац $\alpha v \tau \omega \nu \tau \eta v \pi \alpha v o v \rho \gamma \iota \alpha v)$. Each of these words throws a flash-light on the spirit and attitude of these young men. They were sly, shrewd, slick, but they did not deceive Jesus with their pious palaver. See on Matthew for further details.

Mark 12:17

Marvelled greatly at him ( $\left.\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \theta \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \zeta o v \varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \omega\right)$. Imperfect tense with perfective use of the preposition $\varepsilon \xi$. Both Matthew and Luke use the ingressive aorist. Luke adds that they "held their peace" ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ ) while Matthew notes that they "went their way" ( $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \theta \alpha v$ ), went off or away.

Mark 12:18
 The Pharisees and Herodians had had their turn after the formal committee of the Sanhedrin had been so completely routed. It was inevitable that they should feel called upon to show their intellectual superiority to these raw Pharisaic and Herodian theologians. See on Mt 22:23-33 for discussion of details. It was a good time to air their disbelief in the resurrection at the expense of the Pharisees and to score against Jesus where the Sanhedrin and then the Pharisees and Herodians had failed so ignominiously.

Mark 12:19
Moses wrote (M $\mathrm{M} \omega \mathrm{v}^{2} \uparrow \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \varepsilon v$ ). So Lu 20:28 (Ge 38:8; De. 25:5f. ). Matthew has "said" ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon v)$.

Mark 12:20
Took a wife ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon v \gamma \cup v a i k \alpha$ ). So Lu 20:29. Matthew has "married" ( $\gamma \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ).
Mark 12:22
Last of all ( $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau o v \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega v)$. Adverbial use of $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau o v$.
Mark 12:23
To wife ( $\gamma$ vvaıк $)$ ). Predicate accusative in apposition with "her" ( $\alpha \cup \tau \eta v$ ). So Luke, but Matthew merely has "had her" ( $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \circ v \alpha v \tau \eta v$ ), constative aorist indicative active.

Mark 12:24
Is it not for this cause that ye err? ( $O v \delta \iota \alpha$ тоvто $\pi \lambda \alpha v a \sigma \theta \varepsilon ;$ ). Mark puts it as a question with ov expecting the affirmative answer. Matthew puts it as a positive assertion: "Ye are." $\Pi \lambda \alpha v \alpha o \mu \alpha$ is to wander astray (cf. our word planet, wandering stars, $\alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \varsigma \pi \lambda \alpha v \eta \tau \alpha$, Jude 1:13 ) like the Latin errare (our error, err).

That ye know not the scriptures ( $\mu \eta$ عוסотєऽ tac $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \propto \varsigma$ ). The Sadducees posed as men of superior intelligence and knowledge in opposition to the traditionalists among the Pharisees with their oral law. And yet on this very point they were ignorant of the Scriptures. How much error today is due to this same ignorance among the educated!

Nor the power of God ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \tau \eta \nu \delta \nu v a \mu \nu \nu$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). The two kinds of ignorance generally go together (cf. 1Co 15:34).

Mark 12:25
When they shall rise from the dead (o $\tau \alpha v \varepsilon \kappa ~ v \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega v ~ a v a \sigma \tau \omega \sigma เ v)$ ). Second aorist active subjunctive with otav (ote plus av). Mt 22:30 has it "in the resurrection," Lu 20:35 "to attain to the resurrection." The Pharisees regarded the future resurrection body as performing marriage functions, as Mohammedans do today. The Pharisees were in error on this point.

The Sadducees made this one of their objections to belief in the resurrection body, revealing thus their own ignorance of the true resurrection body and the future life where marriage functions do not exist.

As angels in heaven ( $\omega \varsigma$ a $\gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda$ o $\varepsilon v \tau \omega$ ovpav $\omega$ ). So Mt 22:30. Lu 20:36 has "equal unto the angels" (เбaүүع入o). "Their equality with angels consists in their deliverance from mortality and its consequences" (Swete). The angels are directly created, not procreated.

Mark 12:26
In the place concerning the Bush ( $\varepsilon \pi$ tov $\beta a \tau o v$ ). This technical use of $\varepsilon \pi$ is good Greek, in the matter of, in the passage about, the Bush. Batos is masculine here, feminine in $\operatorname{Lu}$ 20:37. The reference is to Ex 3:3-6 (in the book of Moses, $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \beta \iota \beta \lambda \omega$ ).

Mark 12:27
Ye do greatly err ( $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \mathrm{v} \pi \lambda \alpha v a \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Only in Mark. Solemn, severe, impressive, but kindly close (Bruce).

Mark 12:28
Heard them questioning together ( $\alpha \kappa о \nu \sigma \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau \omega v ~ \sigma v \vee\lceil\eta \tau о \nu \nu \tau \omega v$ ). The victory of Christ over the Sadducees pleased the Pharisees who now had come back with mixed emotions over the new turn of things (Mt 22:34). Lu 20:39 represents one of the scribes as commending Jesus for his skilful reply to the Sadducees. Mark here puts this scribe in a favourable light, "knowing that he had answered them well" ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \varsigma$ от ка $\lambda \omega \varsigma ~ a \pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho ı \eta \eta$ аuтоьऽ). "Them" here means the Sadducees. But Mt 22:35 says that this lawyer (vонкос) was "tempting" ( $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \zeta \omega v)$ by his question. "A few, among whom was the scribe, were constrained to admire, even if they were willing to criticize, the Rabbi who though not himself a Pharisee, surpassed the Pharisees as a champion of the truth." That is a just picture of this lawyer.

The first of all ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \eta \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). First in rank and importance. Mt 22:36 has "great" ( $\mu \varepsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta$ ). See discussion there. Probably Jesus spoke in Aramaic. "First" and "great" in Greek do not differ essentially here. Mark quotes De 6:4f. as it stands in the LXX and also Le 19:18 . Mt 22:40 adds the summary: "On these two commandments hangeth ( $\kappa \rho \varepsilon \mu \alpha \tau \alpha)$ the whole law and the prophets."

Mark 12:32
And the scribe said ( $\varepsilon i \pi \varepsilon v \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ o $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \cup \varsigma)$. Mark alone gives the reply of the scribe to Jesus which is a mere repetition of what Jesus had said about the first and the second commandments with the additional allusion to 1Sa 15:22 about love as superior to whole burnt offerings.

Well ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma)$. Not to be taken with "saidst" ( $\varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon \varsigma)$ as the Revised Version has it following Wycliff. Probably ка $\lambda \omega \varsigma$ (well) is exclamatory. "Fine, Teacher. Of a truth ( $\left.\varepsilon \pi^{\prime} a \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma\right)$ didst thou say."

Mark 12:34

Discreetly (vovve $\chi \omega \varsigma$ ). From vous (intellect) and $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, to have. Using the mind to good effect is what the adverb means. He had his wits about him, as we say. Here only in the N.T. In Aristotle and Polybius. Nouve $\chi o v \tau \omega \varsigma$ would be the more regular form, adverb from a participle.

Not far (ov $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha v$ ). Adverb, not adjective, feminine accusative, a long way (oסov understood). The critical attitude of the lawyer had melted before the reply of Jesus into genuine enthusiasm that showed him to be near the kingdom of God.

No man after that (ov $\delta \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ о \cup \kappa \varepsilon \tau)$. Double negative. The debate was closed ( $\varepsilon \tau о \lambda \mu \alpha$, imperfect tense, dared). Jesus was complete victor on every side.

Mark 12:35
How say the scribes ( $\Pi \omega \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \sigma v \sigma \iota \nu$ o $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. The opponents of Jesus are silenced, but he answers them and goes on teaching ( $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \omega v$ ) in the temple as before the attacks began that morning ( 11:27). They no longer dare to question Jesus, but he has one to put to them "while the Pharisees were gathered together" (Mt 22:41). The question is not a conundrum or scriptural puzzle (Gould), but "He contents himself with pointing out a difficulty, in the solution of which lay the key to the whole problem of His person and work" (Swete). The scribes all taught that the Messiah was to be the son of David (Joh 7:41). The people in the Triumphal Entry had acclaimed Jesus as the son of David (Mt 21:9). But the rabbis had overlooked the fact that David in Ps 110:1 called the Messiah his Lord also. The deity and the humanity of the Messiah are both involved in the problem. Mt 22:45 observes that "no one was able to answer him a word."

Mark 12:36
The footstool (vполоסıov). Westcott and Hort read vпокатш (under) after Aleph B D L.

## Mark 12:37

The common people heard him gladly (o $\pi \circ \lambda \cup \varsigma ~ о \chi \lambda о \varsigma ~ \eta \kappa о v \varepsilon \nu ~ a v \tau о v \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Literally, the much multitude (the huge crowd) was listening (imperfect tense) to him gladly. Mark alone has this item. The Sanhedrin had begun the formal attack that morning to destroy the influence of Jesus with the crowds whose hero he now was since the Triumphal Entry. It had been a colossal failure. The crowds were drawn closer to him than before.

Mark 12:38
Beware of the scribes ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \alpha \pi 0 \tau \omega \nu \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega \nu$ ). Jesus now turns to the multitudes and to his disciples (Mt 23:1) and warns them against the scribes and the Pharisees while they are still there to hear his denunciation. The scribes were the professional teachers of the current Judaism and were nearly all Pharisees. Mark (Mr 14:38-40) gives a mere summary sketch of this bold and terrific indictment as preserved in Mt 23 in words that fairly blister today. Lu 20:45-47 follows Mark closely. See Mt 8:15 for this same use of $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \alpha \pi 0$ with the ablative. It is usually called a translation-Hebraism, a usage not found with $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$ in
the older Greek. But the papyri give it, a vivid vernacular idiom. "Beware of the Jews" ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$ $\sigma \alpha \tau 0 v a \pi 0 ~ \tau \omega v$ Iov $\alpha a 1 \omega v$, Berl. G. U. 1079. A.D. 41). See Robertson, Grammar, p. 577. The pride of the pompous scribes is itemized by Mark:

To walk in long robes ( $\sigma \tau 0 \lambda \alpha ı$ ),
stoles , the dress of dignitaries like kings and priests.
Salutations in the marketplaces ( $\alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \mu о \cup \varsigma \varepsilon v \tau \alpha ı \varsigma ~ \alpha \gamma о \rho \alpha ı \varsigma)$, where the people could see their dignity recognized.

Mark 12:39
First seats in the synagogues ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau о \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \delta \rho ı \alpha \varsigma)$. As a mark of special piety, seats up in front while now the hypocrites present in church prefer the rear seats.

Chief places at feasts ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau о \kappa \lambda \iota \sigma \iota \Omega \varsigma \varepsilon \nu$ тoıৎ $\delta \varepsilon \iota \pi v o \iota \varsigma)$. Recognizing proper rank and station. Even the disciples fall victims to this desire for precedence at table (Lu 22:24).

Mark 12:40
 nominative. Terrible pictures of civil wrong by graft grabbing the homes of helpless widows. They inveigled widows into giving their homes to the temple and took it for themselves.

For a pretence make long prayers ( $\pi \rho о \varphi \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \mu \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon v \chi о \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Прочабє instrumental case of the same word ( $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \mu)$ from which prophet comes, but here pretext, pretence of extra piety while robbing the widows and pushing themselves to the fore. Some derive it from $\pi \rho о \varphi \alpha \iota v \omega$, to show forth.

Greater ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma о \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ). More abundant condemnation. Some comfort in that at any rate.

Mark 12:41
 is over. The Pharisees, Sadducees, Herodians, scribes, have all slunk away in terror ere the closing words. Mark draws this immortal picture of the weary Christ sitting by the treasury (compound word in the LXX from $\gamma \alpha \zeta \alpha$, Persian word for treasure, and $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta$, guard, so safe for gifts to be deposited).

Beheld ( $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect tense. He was watching
how the multitude cast money ( $\pi \omega \varsigma$ o ox $\lambda$ o $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$ ) into the treasury. The rich were casting in ( $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$, imperfect tense) as he watched.

Mark 12:42
One poor widow ( $\mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \chi \eta \rho \alpha \pi \tau \omega \chi \eta$ ). Luke has $\pi \varepsilon \nu \iota \chi \rho \alpha$, a poetical late form of $\pi \varepsilon \nu \eta \varsigma$. In the N.T. the $\pi \tau \omega \chi$ os is the pauper rather than the mere peasant, the extreme opposite of the rich ( $\pi \lambda$ 人ovaıo). The money given by most was copper ( $\chi \alpha \lambda \kappa \circ v$ ).

Two mites ( $\delta$ vo $\lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha$ ). $\Lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \sigma \rho$ means peeled or stripped and so very thin. Two $\lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha$ were about two-fifths of a cent.

Farthing (кобраvтєऽ, Latin quadrans, a quarter of an as).

Mark 12:43
Called unto him ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$ ). Indirect middle voice. The disciples themselves had slipped away from him while the terrific denunciation of the scribes and Pharisees had gone on, puzzled at this turn of affairs.

More than all ( $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota \circ v \pi \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Ablative of comparison ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). It may mean, more than all the rich put together.

All that she had ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha$ o $\sigma \alpha \varepsilon \ell \chi \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect tense.
Cast in ( $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v$ ). Aorist tense, in sharp contrast.
All her living (odov tov $\beta$ oov autņ). Her
livelihood ( $\beta \iota \rho$ ), not her life ( $\zeta \omega \eta$ ). It is a tragedy to see a stingy saint pose as giving the widow's mite when he could give thousands instead of pennies.

## Mark 13

Mark 13:1
Master, behold, what manner of stones and what manner of buildings ( $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$, เ $\delta \varepsilon$ лотало $\lambda_{\imath} \theta$ о ка лотала оько $\left.о \boldsymbol{\rho} \alpha\right) . \mathrm{Mt} \mathrm{24:1} \mathrm{and} \mathrm{Lu} 21: 5$ tell of the fact of the comment, but Mark alone gives the precise words. Perhaps Peter himself (Swete) was the one who sought thus by a pleasant platitude to divert the Teacher's attention from the serious topics of recent hours in the temple. It was not a new observation, but the merest commonplace might serve at this crisis. Josephus (Ant. xv. II, 3) speaks of the great size of these stones and the beauty of the buildings. Some of these stones at the southeastern and southwestern angles survive today and measure from twenty to forty feet long and weigh a hundred tons. Jesus had, of course, often observed them.

Mark 13:2
These great buildings ( $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha \varsigma \tau \alpha \varsigma$ оєкобо $\mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). Jesus fully recognizes their greatness and beauty. The more remarkable will be their complete demolition ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda v \theta \eta$ ),
loosened down. Only the foundation stones remain.

## Mark 13:3

Over against the temple ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon v a v \tau ~ \tau o v ~ เ \varepsilon \rho о v) . ~ I n ~ f u l l ~ v i e w ~ o f ~ t h e ~ t e m p l e ~ a b o u t ~ w h i c h ~$ they had been speaking.

Privately ( $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \iota \delta \iota \alpha \nu$ ). Peter and James and John and Andrew (named only in Mark) had evidently been discussing the strange comment of Jesus as they were coming out of the temple. In their bewilderment they ask Jesus a bit to one side, though probably all the rest drew up as Jesus began to speak this great eschatological discourse.

Mark 13:4
Tell us, when shall these things be? (Eıлоv $\eta \mu \imath v \pi о \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha!$;). The Revised Version punctuates it as a direct question, but Westcott and Hort as an indirect inquiry. They asked about the
when ( $\pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ) and the
what sign ( $\tau$ $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon ו o v$ ). Mt 24:3 includes "the sign of thy coming and the end of the world," showing that these tragic events are brought before Jesus by the disciples. See discussion of the interpretation of this discourse on Mt 24:3. This chapter in Mark is often called "The Little Apocalypse" with the notion that a Jewish apocalypse has been here adapted by Mark and attributed to Jesus. Many of the theories attribute grave error to Jesus or to the Gospels on this subject. The view adopted in the discussion in Matthew is the one suggested here, that Jesus blended in one picture his death, the destruction of Jerusalem within that generation, the second coming and end of the world typified by the destruction of the city. The lines between these topics are not sharply drawn in the report and it is not possible for us to separate the topics clearly. This great discourse is the longest preserved in Mark and
may be due to Peter. Mark may have given it in order "to forewarn and forearm" (Bruce) the readers against the coming catastrophe of the destruction of Jerusalem. Both Matthew (Mt 24 ) and Luke (Lu 21:5-36) follow the general line of Mark 13 though Mt 24:43-25:46 presents new material (parables).

Mark 13:5
Take need that no man lead you astray (B $\lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \mu \eta \tau \iota \varsigma \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \eta \sigma \eta$ ). Same words in Mt 24:4. Lu 21:8 has it "that ye be not led astray" ( $\mu \eta \pi \lambda \alpha v \eta \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). This word $\pi \lambda \alpha v \alpha \omega$ (our planet) is a bold one. This warning runs through the whole discussion. It is pertinent today after so many centuries. About the false Christs then and now see on Mt 24:5. It is amazing the success that these charlatans have through the ages in winning the empty-pated to their hare-brained views. Only this morning as I am writing a prominent English psychologist has challenged the world to a radio communication with Mars asserting that he has made frequent trips to Mars and communicated with its alleged inhabitants. And the daily papers put his ebullitions on the front page. For discussion of the details in verses 6-8 see on Mt 24:5-8. All through the ages in spite of the words of Jesus men have sought to apply the picture here drawn to the particular calamity in their time.

## Mark 13:7

Must needs come to pass ( $\delta \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Already there were outbreaks against the Jews in Alexandria, at Seleucia with the slaughter of more than fifty thousand, at Jamnia, and elsewhere. Caligula, Claudius, Nero will threaten war before it finally comes with the destruction of the city and temple by Titus in A.D. 70. Vincent notes that between this prophecy by Jesus in A.D. 30 (or 29) and the destruction of Jerusalem there was an earthquake in Crete (A.D. 46 or 47), at Rome (A.D. 51), at Apamaia in Phrygia (A.D. 60), at Campania (A.D. 63). He notes also four famines during the reign of Claudius A.D. 41-54. One of them was in Judea in A.D. 44 and is alluded to in Ac 11:28. Tacitus (Annals xvi. 10-13) describes the hurricanes and storms in Campania in A.D. 65.

Mark 13:9
But take heed to yourselves (B入єлєtє $\delta \varepsilon \cup \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau 0 v \varsigma)$. Only in Mark, but dominant note of warning all through the discourse. Note $\nu \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ here, very emphatic.

Councils (ouve $\delta \rho ı \alpha$ ). Same word as the Sanhedrin in Jerusalem. These local councils ( $\sigma u v, \varepsilon \delta \rho \alpha$, sitting together) were modelled after that in Jerusalem.

Shall ye be beaten ( $\delta a \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Second future passive indicative second person plural. The word $\delta \varepsilon \rho \omega$ means to flay or skin and here has been softened into
beat like our $\tan$ or skin in the vernacular. Aristophanes has it in this colloquial sense as have the papyri in the Koine. Before governors and kings ( $\varepsilon \pi \eta \gamma \varepsilon \mu \circ v \omega v \kappa \alpha \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \omega v$ ). Gentile rulers as well as before Jewish councils.

Shall stand ( $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon)$. First aorist passive indicative second person plural of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$.
Mark 13:10

Must first be preached ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma v \delta \varepsilon \kappa \eta \rho v \chi \forall \eta v \alpha$ ). This only in Mark. It is interesting to note that Paul in Col 1:6,23 claims that the gospel has spread all over the world. All this was before the destruction of Jerusalem.

Mark 13:11
Be not anxious beforehand what ye shall speak ( $\mu \eta \pi \rho о \mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \nu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Negative with present imperative to make a general prohibition or habit. Jesus is not here referring to preaching, but to defences made before these councils and governors. A typical example is seen in the courage and skill of Peter and John before the Sanhedrin in Acts. The $\operatorname{verb} \mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \mu \nu \alpha \omega$ is from $\mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta \omega(\mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \varsigma)$, to be drawn in opposite directions, to be distracted. See on Mt 6:25. They are not to be stricken with fright beforehand, but to face fearlessly those in high places who are seeking to overthrow the preaching of the gospel. There is no excuse here for the lazy preacher who fails to prepare his sermon out of the mistaken reliance upon the Holy Spirit. They will need and will receive the special help of the Holy Spirit (cf. Joh 14-16 ).

Mark 13:13
But he that endureth to the end (o $\delta \varepsilon v \pi о \mu \varepsilon ı v a \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda о \varsigma)$. Note this aorist participle with the future verb. The idea here is true to the etymology of the word, remaining under (v $\tau \circ \mu \varepsilon v \omega$ ) until the end. The divisions in families Jesus had predicted before (Lu 12:52f.; 14:25f. ).

Be saved ( $\sigma \omega \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Here Jesus means final salvation (effective aorist future passive), not initial salvation.

Mark 13:14
Standing where he ought not ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta к о \tau \alpha$ олоv ov $\delta \varepsilon$ ). Mt 24:15 has "standing in the holy place" ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma \varepsilon v$ то $\tau о \alpha \gamma \omega)$, neuter and agreeing with $\beta \delta \varepsilon \lambda \nu \gamma \mu \alpha$ (abomination), the very phrase applied in 1Macc. 1:54 to the altar to Zeus erected by Antiochus Epiphanes where the altar to Jehovah was. Mark personifies the abomination as personal (masculine), while Lu 21:20 defines it by reference to the armies (of Rome, as it turned out). So the words of Daniel find a second fulfilment, Rome taking the place of Syria (Swete). See on Mt 24:15 for this phrase and the parenthesis inserted in the words of Jesus ("Let him that readeth understand"). See also on Mt 24:16-25 for discussion of details in Mr 13:14-22 .

Mark 13:16
In the field ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o v a \gamma \rho o v$ ). Here Mt 24:18 has $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \alpha \gamma \rho \omega$, showing identical use of $\varepsilon ı \varsigma$ with accusative and $\varepsilon v$ with the locative.

Mark 13:19
Which God created ( $\eta v \varepsilon \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \varepsilon v$ o $\theta \varepsilon \circ \varsigma)$. Note this amplification to the quotation from Da 12:1.

Mark 13:20

Whom he chose (ouc $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \tau 0)$. Indirect aorist middle indicative. In Mark alone. Explains the sovereign choice of God in the end by and for himself.

Mark 13:22
That they may lead astray ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau 0 \alpha \pi \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha v a เ v$ ). With a view to leading off ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ and the infinitive). Mt 24:24 has $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon \alpha \pi о \pi \lambda \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$, so as to lead off.

## Mark 13:23

But take ye heed (Нv emphatic position of you ( $v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Credulity ranks no higher than scepticism. God gave us our wits for self-protection. Christ has warned us beforehand.

Mark 13:24
The sun shall be darkened ( $\mathrm{o} \varepsilon \lambda \iota \varsigma \sigma \kappa о \tau \iota \sigma \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Future passive indicative. These figures come from the prophets (Isa 13:9f.; Eze 32:7f.; Joe 2:1f.,10f.; Am 8:9; Zep 1:14-16; Zec 12:12 ). One should not forget that prophetic imagery was not always meant to be taken literally, especially apocalyptic symbols. Peter in Ac 2:15-21 applies the prophecy of Joel about the sun and moon to the events on the day of Pentecost. See on Mt 24:29-31 for details of verses 24-27.

Mark 13:25
The stars shall be falling (o $\alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma o v \tau \alpha \pi \iota \pi \tau 0 v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Periphrastic future indicative, $\varepsilon \sigma o v \tau \alpha$, future middle indicative and $\pi \iota \pi \tau 0 \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$, present active participle.

Mark 13:27
Shall gather together his elect ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma v v \alpha \xi \varepsilon \tau o v \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau о \cup \varsigma \alpha v \tau o v)$. This is the purpose of God through the ages.

From the uttermost part of the earth to the uttermost part of heaven ( $\alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha \kappa \rho o v \gamma \eta \varsigma$ $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ акроv ov $\alpha$ аvov). The Greek is very brief, "from the tip of earth to the tip of heaven." This precise phrase occurs nowhere else.

Mark 13:28
Coming to pass $(\gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \varepsilon v \alpha)$. Present middle participle, linear action. See on Mt 24:32-36 for details of verses 28-32 (the Parable of the Fig Tree).

Mark 13:32
Not even the Son (ov $\delta \varepsilon$ o vioc). There is no doubt as to the genuineness of these words here such as exists in Mt 24:36. This disclaimer of knowledge naturally interpreted applies to the second coming, not to the destruction of Jerusalem which had been definitely limited to that generation as it happened in A.D. 70.

Mark 13:34
Commanded also the porter to watch ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \omega \theta \nu \rho \omega \rho \omega \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \iota \lambda \alpha \tau \circ \iota \alpha \gamma \rho \eta \gamma \circ \rho \eta$ ). The porter or door-keeper ( $\theta v \rho \omega \rho \circ \varsigma$ ), as well as all the rest, to keep a watch (present subjunctive, $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma o \rho \eta)$. This Parable of the Porter is only in Mark. Our ignorance of the time of the

Master's return is an argument not for indifference nor for fanaticism, but for alertness and eager readiness for his coming.

Mark 13:35
The four watches of the night are named here: evening ( $о \psi \varepsilon$ ), midnight ( $\mu \varepsilon \sigma о v \cup \kappa \tau \circ \circ$ ), cock-crowing ( $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau о \rho о \varphi \omega v / a \varsigma)$, morning ( $\pi \rho \omega)$.

Mark 13:37
Watch ( $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma$ о $\rho \varepsilon \iota \tau$ ). Be on the watch. Present imperative of a verb made on the second perfect, $\varepsilon \gamma \rho \eta \gamma \circ \rho a$, to be awake. Stay awake till the Lord comes.

## Mark 14

Mark 14:1
After two days ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \delta v o \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. This was Tuesday evening as we count time (beginning of the Jewish Wednesday). In Mt 26:2 Jesus is reported as naming this same date which would put it our Thursday evening, beginning of the Jewish Friday. The Gospel of John mentions five items that superficially considered seem to contradict this definite date in Mark and Matthew, but which are really in harmony with them. See discussion on Mt 26:17 and my

Harmony of the Gospels , pp. 279 to 284. Mark calls it here the feast of "the passover and the unleavened bread," both names covering the eight days. Sometimes "passover" is applied to only the first day, sometimes to the whole period. No sharp distinction in usage was observed.

Sought ( $\varepsilon \zeta \eta$ qouv). Imperfect tense. They were still at it, though prevented so far.
Mark 14:2
Not during the feast ( $\mathrm{M} \eta \varepsilon v \tau \eta \varepsilon o \rho \tau \eta$ ). They had first planned to kill him at the feast (Joh 11:57), but the Triumphal Entry and great Tuesday debate (this very morning) in the temple had made them decide to wait till after the feast was over. It was plain that Jesus had too large and powerful a following. See on Mt 26:47.

Mark 14:3
 meaning reclining (leaning down or up or back) and in the genitive absolute. See on Mt 26:6 in proof that this is a different incident from that recorded in Lu 7:36-50. See on Mt 26:6-13 for discussion of details.
 in Joh 12:3. The adjective is common enough in the older Greek and appears in the papyri also in the sense of genuine, unadulterated, and that is probably the idea here. The word spikenard is from the Vulgate nardi spicati, probably from the Old Latin nardi pistici.

Brake ( $\sigma \cup v \tau \rho \prime \psi o v \sigma \alpha$ ). Only in Mark. She probably broke the narrow neck of the vase holding the ointment.

Mark 14:5
Above three hundred pence ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha v \omega \delta \eta v \alpha \rho \iota \omega v \tau \rho ı \alpha \kappa о \sigma \omega \omega v$ ). Matthew has "for much" while Joh 12:5 has "for three hundred pence." The use of "far above" may be a detail from Peter's memory of Judas' objection whose name in this connection is preserved in Joh 12:4

And they murmured against her ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon v \varepsilon \beta \rho \mu \mu \omega v \tau o \alpha v \tau \eta$ ). Imperfect tense of this striking word used of the snorting of horses and seen already in Mr 1:43; 11:38. It occurs
in the LXX in the sense of anger as here (Da 11:30). Judas made the complaint against Mary of Bethany, but all the apostles joined in the chorus of criticism of the wasteful extravagance.

## Mark 14:8

She hath done what she could (o $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \varepsilon v \varepsilon \pi o \not \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). This alone in Mark. Two aorists. Literally, "what she had she did." Mary could not comprehend the Lord's death, but she at least showed her sympathy with him and some understanding of the coming tragedy, a thing that not one of her critics had done.

She hath anointed my body aforehand for the burying ( $\pi \rho о \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \nu \mu \nu \rho \iota \sigma \alpha$ то $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ $\mu \circ v$ عıऽ $\tau 0 v \varepsilon v \tau \alpha \varphi \iota(\alpha \sigma \mu \circ v)$. Literally, "she took beforehand to anoint my body for the burial." She anticipated the event. This is Christ's justification of her noble deed. Mt 26:12 also speaks of the burial preparation by Mary, using the verb $\varepsilon v \tau \alpha \varphi \iota \alpha \sigma \alpha$.

Mark 14:9
For a memorial of her ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma ~ \mu \nu \eta \mu$ oovvov avtnc). So in Mt 26:13. There are many mausoleums that crumble to decay. But this monument to Jesus fills the whole world still with its fragrance. What a hint there is here for those who wish to leave permanent memorials.

Mark 14:10
He that was one of the twelve ( $о \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \omega v \delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha)$. Note the article here, "the one of the twelve," Matthew has only $\varepsilon \iota$, "one." Some have held that Mark here calls Judas the primate among the twelve. Rather he means to call attention to the idea that he was the one of the twelve who did this deed.

Mark 14:11
And they, when they heard it, were glad (o $\delta \varepsilon$ aкоvбаvtєৎ $\varepsilon \chi \alpha \rho \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). No doubt the rabbis looked on the treachery of Judas as a veritable dispensation of Providence amply justifying their plots against Jesus.

Conveniently ( $\varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \varsigma)$. This was the whole point of the offer of Judas. He claimed that he knew enough of the habits of Jesus to enable them to catch him "in the absence of the multitude" (Lu 22:6 ) without waiting for the passover to be over, when the crowds would leave. For discussion of the motives of Judas, see on Mt 26:15. Mark merely notes the promise of "money" while Matthew mentions "thirty pieces of silver" (Zec 11:12) , the price of a slave.

Mark 14:12
When they sacrificed the passover (oтє to $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \alpha \varepsilon \theta v o v$ ). Imperfect indicative, customary practice. The paschal lamb (note $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \alpha$ ) was slain at 6 P.M., beginning of the fifteenth of the month (Ex 12:6), but the preparations were made beforehand on the fourteenth (Thursday). See on Mt 26:17 for discussion of "eat the passover."

## Mark 14:13

Two of his disciples ( $\delta v o \tau \omega v \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \omega v$ av $\tau o v$ ). Lu 22:8 names them, Peter and John.

Bearing a pitcher of water ( $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \alpha \mu \circ v v \delta \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \zeta \omega v)$. This item also in Luke, but not in Matthew.

Mark 14:14
The goodman of the house ( $\tau \omega$ o七кo$\delta \varepsilon \sigma \pi \sigma \tau \eta$ ). A non-classical word, but in late papyri. It means master ( $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \pi \sigma \tau$ ) of the house, householder. The usual Greek has two separate words, oוкоv $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \pi о \tau \eta \varsigma$ (master of the house).

My guest-chamber ( $\tau о ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \nu \mu \alpha \mu о v$ ). In LXX, papyri, and modern Greek for lodgingplace (inn, as in Lu 2:7 or guest-chamber as here). It was used for $\kappa \alpha v$ or $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha \varsigma \alpha v \sigma \varepsilon \rho \alpha$.

I shall eat $(\varphi \alpha \gamma \omega)$. Futuristic aorist subjunctive with o $\pi о v$.
Mark 14:15
And he ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \cup \tau о \varsigma) . ~ E m p h a t i c, ~ a n d ~ h e ~ h i m s e l f . ~$
A large upper room ( $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \alpha \iota o v \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha$ ). Anything above ground $(\gamma \eta)$, and particularly upstairs as here. Here and in Lu 22:12. Example in Xenophon. Jesus wishes to observe this last feast with his disciples alone, not with others as was often done. Evidently this friend of Jesus was a man who would understand.

Furnished ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \nu \nu v \mu$, state of readiness. "Strewed with carpets, and with couches properly spread" (Vincent).

Mark 14:17
He cometh ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Dramatic historical present. It is assumed here that Jesus is observing the passover meal at the regular time and hour, at 6 P.M. at the beginning of the fifteenth (evening of our Thursday, beginning of Jewish Friday). Mark and Matthew note the time as evening and state it as the regular passover meal.

Mark 14:18
As they sat ( $\alpha v \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v \omega \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Reclined, of course. It is a pity that these verbs are not translated properly in English. Even Leonardo da Vinci in his immortal painting of the Last Supper has Jesus and his apostles sitting, not reclining. Probably he took an artist's license for effect.

Even he that eateth with me (o $\left.\varepsilon \sigma \theta \iota \omega \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu o v\right)$. See Ps 4:9. To this day the Arabs will not violate hospitality by mistreating one who breaks bread with them in the tent.

Mark 14:20
One of the twelve ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \omega \nu \delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ). It is as bad as that. The sign that Jesus gave,
the one dipping in the dish with me (o $\varepsilon \mu \beta \alpha \pi \tau о \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \circ v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau о \tau \rho v \beta \lambda \iota o v$ ), escaped the notice of all. Jesus gave the sop to Judas who understood perfectly that Jesus knew his purpose. See on Mt 26:21-24 for further details.

Mark 14:23
A cup ( $\pi 0 \tau \eta \rho \iota o v$ ). Probably the ordinary wine of the country mixed with two-thirds water, though the word for wine (oıvoৎ) is not used here in the Gospels, but "the fruit of the vine" ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \gamma \varepsilon \vee \eta \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda о v)$. See Mt 26:26-29 for discussion of important details.

Mark and Matthew give substantially the same account of the institution of the Supper by Jesus, while Lu 22:17-20 agrees closely with 1Co 11:23-26 where Paul claims to have obtained his account by direct revelation from the Lord Jesus.

Mark 14:26
Sung a hymn ( $\nu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. See Mt 26:30 for discussion.

## Mark 14:29

Yet will not I ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ouk $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ). Mark records here Peter's boast of loyalty even though all desert him. All the Gospels tell it. See discussion on Mt 26:33 .

Mark 14:30
Twice ( $\delta \iota \varsigma)$. This detail only in Mark. One crowing is always the signal for more. The Fayum papyrus agrees with Mark in having $\delta$ ıc. The cock-crowing marks the third watch of the night ( Mr 13:35).

## Mark 14:31

Exceeding vehemently ( $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \omega \varsigma)$. This strong compounded adverb only in Mark and probably preserves Peter's own statement of the remark. About the boast of Peter see on Mt 26:35 .

Mark 14:32
Which was named (ov to ovo $\alpha$ ). Literally, "whose name was." On Gethsemane see on Mt 26:36 .

While I pray ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \pi \rho 0 \sigma \varepsilon v \xi \omega \mu \alpha)$. Aorist subjunctive with $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ really with purpose involved, a common idiom. Matthew adds "go yonder" ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega v \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon$ ).

Mark 14:33
Greatly amazed and sore troubled ( $\varepsilon \kappa Ө \alpha \mu \beta \varepsilon เ \sigma \theta \alpha$ ка $\alpha \delta \eta \mu о v \varepsilon เ v)$ ). Mt 26:37 has "sorrowful and sore troubled." See on Matt. about $\alpha \delta \eta \mu \circ v \varepsilon เ v$. Mark alone uses $\varepsilon \xi \theta \alpha \mu \beta \varepsilon เ \sigma \theta \alpha$ (here and in 9:15). There is a papyrus example given by Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary. The verb $\theta \alpha \mu \beta \varepsilon \omega$ occurs in Mr 10:32 for the amazement of the disciples at the look of Jesus as he went toward Jerusalem. Now Jesus himself feels amazement as he directly faces the struggle in the Garden of Gethsemane. He wins the victory over himself in Gethsemane and then he can endure the loss, despising the shame. For the moment he is rather amazed and homesick for heaven. "Long as He had foreseen the Passion, when it came clearly into view its terror exceeded His anticipations" (Swete). "He learned from what he suffered," (Heb 5:8) and this new experience enriched the human soul of Jesus.

Mark 14:35
Fell on the ground ( $\varepsilon \pi ル \pi \tau \varepsilon v \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. Descriptive imperfect. See him falling. Matthew has the aorist $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$.

Prayed ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta \cup \chi \varepsilon \tau 0)$. Imperfect, prayed repeatedly or inchoative, began to pray. Either makes good sense.

The hour ( $\eta \omega \rho \alpha)$. Jesus had long looked forward to this "hour" and had often mentioned it (Joh 7:30; 8:20; 12:23,27; 13:1). See again in Mr 14:41. Now he dreads it, surely a human trait that all can understand.

Mark 14:36
Abba, Father (A $\beta \beta \alpha$ o $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ ). Both Aramaic and Greek and the article with each. This is not a case of translation, but the use of both terms as is $\mathrm{Ga} 4: 6$, a probable memory of Paul's childhood prayers. About "the cup" see on Mt 26:39. It is not possible to take the language of Jesus as fear that he might die before he came to the Cross. He was heard (Heb 5:7f. ) and helped to submit to the Father's will as he does instantly.

Not what I will (ov $\tau \varepsilon \gamma \omega \theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$ ). Matthew has "as" ( $\omega \varsigma$ ). We see the humanity of Jesus in its fulness both in the Temptations and in Gethsemane, but without sin each time. And this was the severest of all the temptations, to draw back from the Cross. The victory over self brought surrender to the Father's will.

Mark 14:37
Simon, sleepest thou? ( $\Sigma \mu \omega \nu, \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon ı \sigma ;)$. The old name, not the new name, Peter. Already his boasted loyalty was failing in the hour of crisis. Jesus fully knows the weakness of human flesh (see on Mt 26:41).

Mark 14:40
Very heavy ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \rho v v o \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Perfective use of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha-$ with the participle. Matthew has the simple verb. Mark's word is only here in the N.T. and is rare in Greek writers. Mark has the vivid present passive participle, while Matthew has the perfect passive $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \rho \eta \mu \varepsilon v o$.

And they wist not what to answer him (ка оик $\eta \iota \delta \varepsilon ı \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \pi о \kappa \rho ı \theta \omega \sigma \iota v \alpha \cup \tau \omega)$. Deliberative subjunctive retained in the indirect question. Alone in Mark and reminds one of the like embarrassment of these same three disciples on the Mount of Transfiguration (Mr 9:6 ). On both occasions weakness of the flesh prevented their real sympathy with Jesus in his highest and deepest experiences. "Both their shame and their drowsiness would make them dumb" (Gould).

Mark 14:41
It is enough ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon$ ). Alone in Mark. This impersonal use is rare and has puzzled expositors no little. The papyri (Deissmann's Light from the Ancient East and Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary) furnish many examples of it as a receipt for payment in full. See also Mt 6:2ff.; Lu 6:24; Php 4:18 for the notion of paying in full. It is used here by Jesus in an ironical sense, probably meaning that there was no need of further reproof of the disciples for their failure to watch with him. "This is no time for a lengthened exposure of the faults of friends; the enemy is at the gate" (Swete). See further on Mt 26:45 for the approach of Judas.

Mark 14:43

And the scribes ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \omega \nu \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega v$ ). Mark adds this item while Joh 18:3 mentions "Pharisees." It was evidently a committee of the Sanhedrin for Judas had made his bargain with the Sanhedrin (Mr 14:1; Mt 26:3; Lu 22:2 ). See discussion of the betrayal and arrest on Mt 26:47-56 for details.

Mark 14:44
Token ( $\sigma v \sigma \sigma \eta \mu o v$ ). A common word in the ancient Greek for a concerted signal according to agreement. It is here only in the New Testament. Mt 26:48 has $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota o v$, sign. The signal was the kiss by Judas, a contemptible desecration of a friendly salutation.

And lead him away safely ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \pi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \alpha \sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma)$. Only in Mark. Judas wished no slip to occur. Mark and Matthew do not tell of the falling back upon the ground when Jesus challenged the crowd with Judas. It is given by John alone (Joh 18:4-9 ).

Mark 14:47
A certain one ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \iota \varsigma)$. Mark does not tell that it was Peter. Only Joh 18:10 does that after Peter's death. He really tried to kill the man, Malchus by name, as John again tells (Joh 18:10 ). Mark does not give the rebuke to Peter by Jesus in Mt 26:52f. .

Mark 14:48
Against a robber ( $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \iota \sigma \tau \eta v)$. Highway robbers like Barabbas were common and were often regarded as heroes. Jesus will be crucified between two robbers in the very place that Barabbas would have occupied.

Mark 14:51
A certain young man (veavıซкoऽ $\tau \iota \varsigma)$. This incident alone in Mark. It is usually supposed that Mark himself, son of Mary (Ac 12:12) in whose house they probably had observed the passover meal, had followed Jesus and the apostles to the Garden. It is a lifelike touch quite in keeping with such a situation. Here after the arrest he was following with Jesus ( $\sigma \cup \nu \eta \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau \omega$, imperfect tense). Note the vivid dramatic present крatovoıv (they seize him).

Mark 14:52
Linen cloth ( $\sigma \iota \delta \delta o v \alpha$ ). An old Greek word of unknown origin. It was fine linen cloth used often for wrapping the dead (Mt 27:59; Mr 15:46; Lu 23:53). In this instance it could have been a fine sheet or even a shirt.

Mark 14:54
Peter had followed him afar off (Но Пєт $\rho о \varsigma \alpha \pi о \mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta \varepsilon v \eta \kappa о \lambda о v \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). Here Mark uses the constative aorist ( $\eta \kappa 0 \lambda o v \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ) where Mt 26:58, and Lu 22:54 have the picturesque imperfect ( $\eta \kappa \circ \lambda o u \theta \varepsilon$ ), was following. Possibly Mark did not care to dwell on the picture of Peter furtively following at a distance, not bold enough to take an open stand with Christ as the Beloved Disciple did, and yet unable to remain away with the other disciples.

Was sitting with ( $\eta \nu \sigma \cup v \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Periphrastic imperfect middle, picturing Peter making himself at home with the officers (v $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \tau \omega v$ ), under rowers, literally, then servants of any kind. Joh 18:25 describes Peter as standing ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \varsigma)$. Probably he did now one, now the other, in his restless weary mood.

Warming himself in the light ( $\theta \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha \downarrow$ vo $\mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ \pi \rho \omega \varsigma \tau \tau \varphi \omega \varsigma)$. Direct middle. Fire has light as well as heat and it shone in Peter's face. He was not hidden as much as he supposed he was.

Mark 14:56
Their witness agreed not together (ı $\sigma \alpha \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho ı \alpha ~ o v \kappa \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Literally, the testimonies were not equal. They did not correspond with each other on essential points.

Many were bearing false witness ( $\varepsilon \psi \varepsilon v \delta o \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho o u v$, imperfect, repeated action)
against him. No two witnesses bore joint testimony to justify a capital sentence according to the law (De 19:15 ). Note imperfects in these verses (55-57) to indicate repeated failures.

## Mark 14:57

Bare false witness ( $\varepsilon \psi \varepsilon v \delta o \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho o u v)$ ). In desperation some attempted once more (conative imperfect).

Mark 14:58
Made with hands ( $\chi \varepsilon ь \rho о \pi о п \eta \tau о v) . ~ I n ~ M a r k ~ a l o n e . ~ A n ~ o l d ~ G r e e k ~ w o r d . ~ T h e ~ n e g a t i v e ~$ form ax\&ıротопŋ $\tau$ ov here occurs elsewhere only in 2Co 5:1; Col 2:11. In Heb 9:11 the negative $o v$ is used with the positive form. It is possible that a real $\lambda$ oprov of Jesus underlies the perversion of it here. Mark and Matthew do not quote the witnesses precisely alike. Perhaps they quoted Jesus differently and therein is shown part of the disagreement, for Mark adds verse 59 (not in Matthew). "And not even so did their witness agree together," repeating the point of verse 57. Swete observes that Jesus, as a matter of fact, did do what he is quoted as saying in Mark: "He said what the event has proved to be true; His death destroyed the old order, and His resurrection created the new." But these witnesses did not mean that by what they said. The only saying of Jesus at all like this preserved to us is that in Joh 2:19, when he referred not to the temple in Jerusalem, but to the temple of his body, though no one understood it at the time.

Mark 14:60
Stood up in the midst ( $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon ı \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \sigma o v$ ). Second aorist active participle. For greater solemnity he arose to make up by bluster the lack of evidence. The high priest stepped out into the midst as if to attack Jesus by vehement questions. See on Mt 26:59-68 for details here.

Mark 14:61

And answered nothing (ка оик алєкрıvaтo ov $\delta \varepsilon v$ ). Mark adds the negative statement to the positive "kept silent" ( $\varepsilon \sigma \omega \omega \pi \alpha)$, imperfect, also in Matthew. Mark does not give the solemn oath in Matthew under which Jesus had to answer. See on Matthew.

Mark 14:62
I am ( $\varepsilon \gamma \delta \varepsilon \mu)$. Matthew has it, "Thou hast said," which is the equivalent of the affirmative. But Mark's statement is definite beyond controversy. See on Mt 26:64-68 for the claims of Jesus and the conduct of Caiaphas.

Mark 14:64
They all (o $\delta \varepsilon \pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). This would mean that Joseph of Arimathea was not present since he did not consent to the death of Jesus ( $\mathrm{Lu} 23: 51$ ). Nicodemus was apparently absent also, probably not invited because of previous sympathy with Jesus (Joh 7:50 ). But all who were present voted for the death of Jesus.

Mark 14:65
Cover his face ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \pi \tau \varepsilon เ v ~ a v \tau o v ~ \tau o ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \omega \pi о v)$ ). Put a veil around his face. Not in
 All three Gospels give the jeering demand of the Sanhedrin: "Prophesy" ( $\pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \sigma v$ ), meaning, as Matthew and Luke add, thereby telling who struck him while he was blindfolded. Mark adds "the officers" (same as in verse 54) of the Sanhedrin, Roman lictors or sergeants-at-who had arrested Jesus in Gethsemane and who still held Jesus (o бטvexovtes autov, Lu 22:63 ). Mt 26:67 alludes to their treatment of Jesus without clearly indicating who they were.

With blows of their hands ( $\rho \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \sigma \iota v$ ). The verb $\rho \alpha \pi \iota \zeta \omega$ in Mt 26:67 originally meant to smite with a rod. In late writers it comes to mean to slap the face with the palm of the hands. The same thing is true of the substantive $\rho a \pi \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$ used here. A papyrus of the sixth century A.D. uses it in the sense of a scar on the face as the result of a blow. It is in the instrumental case here. "They caught him with blows," Swete suggests for the unusual $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o v$ in this sense. "With rods" is, of course, possible as the lictors carried rods. At any rate it was a gross indignity.

Mark 14:66
Beneath in the court ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \omega \varepsilon v \tau \eta \alpha \cup \lambda \eta$ ). This implies that Jesus was upstairs when the Sanhedrin met. Mt 22:69 has it
without in the court ( $\varepsilon \xi \omega \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \alpha \cup \lambda \eta$ ). Both are true. The open court was outside of the rooms and also below.

## Mark 14:67

Warminghimself ( $\theta \varepsilon \rho \mu a ı v o \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. Mark mentions this fact about Peter twice ( $14: 54,67$ ) as does John (Joh 18:18,25 ). He was twice beside the fire. It is quite difficult to relate clearly the three denials as told in the Four Gospels. Each time several may have joined in, both maids and men.

The Nazarene ( $\operatorname{tov} \mathrm{Na} \zeta \alpha \rho \eta v o v$ ). In Mt 26:69 it is "the Galilean." A number were probably speaking, one saying one thing, another another.

Mark 14:68
I neither know nor understand (oviє oı $\delta \alpha$ ov $\varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \tau \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \alpha$ ). This denial is fuller in Mark, briefest in John.

What thou sayest ( $\sigma \cup \tau \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Can be understood as a direct question. Note position of
thou ( $\sigma v$ ), proleptical.
Into the porch ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ \pi \rho o a u \lambda ı o v$ ). Only here in the New Testament. Plato uses it of a prelude on a flute. It occurs also in the plural for preparations the day before the wedding. Here it means the vestibule to the court. Mt 26:71 has $\pi v \lambda \omega v a$, a common word for gate or front porch.

And the cock crew ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega \nu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Omitted by Aleph B L Sinaitic Syriac. It is genuine in verse 72 where "the second time" ( $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \varepsilon \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ 0$ ) occurs also. It is possible that because of verse 72 it crept into verse 68 . Mark alone alludes to the cock crowing twice, originally ( $\mathrm{Mr} 14: 30$ ), and twice in verse 72 , besides verse 68 which is hardly genuine.

Mark 14:69
To them that stood by ( $\tau \circ \iota \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \sigma เ v$ ). This talk about Peter was overheard by him. "This fellow (outoc) is one of them." So in verse 70 the talk is directly to Peter as in Mt 26:73 , but in $\mathrm{Lu} 22: 59$ it is about him. Soon the bystanders (o $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ) will join in the accusation to Peter (verse 70; Mt 26:73) , with the specially pungent question in Joh 18:26 which was the climax. See on Mt 26:69-75 for discussion of similar details.

## Mark 14:71

Curse ( $\alpha v \alpha \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \varepsilon เ v)$. Our word anathema ( $\alpha v \alpha, \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha$, an offering, then something devoted or a curse). Finally the two meanings were distinguished by avaOn $\mu \alpha$ for offering and $\alpha v a \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha$ for curse. Deissmann has found examples at Megara of $\alpha v a \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha$ in the sense of curse. Hence the distinction observed in the N.T. was already in the Koine. Mt 26:74 has $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \varepsilon \iota v$, which is a $\alpha \pi \alpha \xi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v$ in the N.T., though common in the LXX. This word has the notion of calling down curses on one's self if the thing is not true.

Mark 14:72
Called to mind ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \mu v \eta \sigma \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative. Mt 26:75 has the uncompounded verb $\varepsilon \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \eta$ while Lu 22:61 has another compound $v \pi \varepsilon \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \eta$, was reminded.

When he thought thereon ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \omega v$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$. It is used absolutely here, though there is a reference to to $\rho \eta \mu \alpha$ above, the word of Jesus, and the idiom involves tov vouv so that the meaning is to put the mind upon something. In Lu 15:12 there is another absolute use with a different sense. Moulton (Prolegomena, p. 131) quotes a Ptolemaic papyrus Tb P 50 where $\varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \omega v$ probably means "set to," put his mind on.

Wept ( $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \alpha \iota \varepsilon v$ ). Inchoative imperfect, began to weep. Mt 26:75 has the ingressive aorist $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \alpha v \sigma \varepsilon v$, burst into tears.

## Mark 15

Mark 15:1
In the morning $(\pi \rho \omega)$. The ratification meeting after day. See on Mt 26:1-5 for details.
Held a consultation ( $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \circ \cup \lambda \iota \circ v \pi o \imath \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. So text of Westcott and Hort (Vulgate consilium facientes), though they give eтонабаvтєऽ in the margin. The late and rare word $\sigma \nu \mu \beta o u \lambda \iota o v$ is like the Latin consilium. If eтощабаvteऽ is the correct text, the idea would be rather to prepare a concerted plan of action (Gould). But their action was illegal on the night before and they felt the need of this ratification after dawn which is described in Lu 22:66-71, who does not give the illegal night trial.
 brought before Annas who sent him on bound to Caiaphas (Joh 18:24) and now he is bound again as he is sent to Pilate (Mr 15:1; Mt 27:2). It is implied that he was unbound while before Annas and then before Caiaphas and the Sanhedrin.

Mark 15:2
Art thou the King of the Jews? ( $\Sigma v \varepsilon$ o $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \cup \varsigma \tau \omega v$ Iov $\delta \alpha \iota \omega v$;). This is the only one of the charges made by the Sanhedrin to Pilate (Lu 23:2) that he notices. He does not believe this one to be true, but he has to pay attention to it or be liable to charges himself of passing over a man accused of rivalry and revolution against Caesar. Joh 18:28-32 gives the interview with Jesus that convinces Pilate that he is a harmless religious fanatic. See on Mt 26:11 .

Thou sayest ( $\sigma \cup \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ). An affirmation, though in Joh 18:34-37 there is a second and fuller interview between Pilate and Jesus. "Here, as in the trial before the Sanhedrin, this is the one question that Jesus answers. It is the only question on which his own testimony is important and necessary" (Gould). The Jews were out on the pavement or sidewalk outside the palace while Pilate came out to them from above on the balcony (Joh 18:28f. ) and had his interviews with Jesus on the inside, calling Jesus thither (Joh 18:33).

Mark 15:3
Accused him of many things ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \quad \rho o u v$ av $о \boldsymbol{\tau} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ). Imperfect tense, repeated accusations besides those already made. They let loose their venom against Jesus. One of the common verbs for speaking against in court ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ and $\alpha \gamma о \rho \varepsilon v \omega$ ). It is used with the genitive of the person and the accusative of the thing.

Mark 15:5
Marvelled ( $\theta \alpha 0 \mu \alpha \zeta \varepsilon ı v)$. Pilate was sure of the innocence of Jesus and saw through their envy ( $\mathrm{Mr} 15: 10$ ), but he was hoping that Jesus would answer these charges to relieve him of the burden. He marvelled also at the self-control of Jesus.

## Mark 15:6

Used to release ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \nu \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect tense of customary action where Mt 27:15 has the verb $\varepsilon \epsilon \omega \theta \varepsilon$ (was accustomed to).

They asked of him ( $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \iota \tau o u v \tau o)$. Imperfect middle, expressing their habit also.
Mark 15:7
Bound with them that had made insurrection ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \omega v \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \omega \alpha \sigma \tau \omega v \delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. A desperate criminal, leader in the insurrection, sedition ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon$ ), or revolution against Rome, the very thing that the Jews up at Bethsaida Julias had wanted Jesus to lead (Joh 6:15 ). Barabbas was the leader of these rioters and was bound with them.

Had committed murder ( $\varphi$ оvov $\pi \varepsilon \pi о ו \eta \kappa \varepsilon เ \sigma \alpha v)$ ). Past perfect indicative without augment. Murder usually goes with such rioters and the priests and people actually chose a murderer in preference to Jesus.

Mark 15:8
As he was wont to do unto them ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi о \iota \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau о \iota \varsigma)$. Imperfect of customary action again and dative case.

Mark 15:9
The King of the Jews ( $\tau 0 v \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \tau \omega v$ Iov $\delta \alpha \omega \omega v$ ). That phrase from this charge sharpened the contrast between Jesus and Barabbas which is bluntly put in Mt 27:17 "Barabbas or Jesus which is called Christ." See discussion there.

Mark 15:10
He perceived ( $\varepsilon \gamma เ \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v)$. Imperfect tense descriptive of Pilate's growing apprehension from their conduct which increased his intuitive impression at the start. It was gradually dawning on him. Both Mark and Matthew give "envy" ( $\varphi \theta$ ovov) as the primary motive of the Sanhedrin. Pilate probably had heard of the popularity of Jesus by reason of the triumphal entry and the temple teaching.

Had delivered ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon I \sigma \alpha v)$. Past perfect indicative without augment where Mt 27:18 has the first aorist (kappa aorist) indicative $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha \nu$, not preserving the distinction made by Mark. The aorist is never used "as" a past perfect.

Mark 15:11
Stirred up (aveб\&iбav).
Shook up like an earthquake ( $\sigma \varepsilon 1 \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma)$. Mt 27:20 has a weaker word, "persuaded" ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon เ \sigma \alpha v)$. Effective aorist indicative. The priests and scribes had amazing success. If one wonders why the crowd was fickle, he may recall that this was not yet the same people who followed him in triumphal entry and in the temple. That was the plan of Judas to get the thing over before those Galilean sympathizers waked up. "It was a case of regulars against an irregular, of priests against prophet" (Gould). "But Barabbas, as described by Mark, represented a popular passion, which was stronger than any sympathy they might have for so unworldly a character as Jesus--the passion for political liberty" (Bruce). "What unprincipled characters they were! They accuse Jesus to Pilate of political ambition, and they recommend Barabbas to the people for the same reason" (Bruce). The Sanhedrin would say to the people
that Jesus had already abdicated his kingly claims while to Pilate they went on accusing him of treason to Caesar.

Rather ( $m \boxtimes l l o n$ ). Rather than Jesus. It was a gambler's choice.
Mark 15:12
Whom ye call the King of the Jews (ov $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ tov $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ Iov $\alpha a \omega \omega v$ ). Pilate rubs it in on the Jews (cf. verse 9). The "then" (ovv) means since you have chosen Barabbas instead of Jesus.

Mark 15:13
Crucify him ( $\Sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \omega \sigma o v \alpha \cup \tau o v)$. Lu 23:21 repeats the verb. Mt 27:22 has it, "Let him be crucified." There was a chorus and a hubbub of confused voices all demanding crucifixion for Christ. Some of the voices beyond a doubt had joined in the hallelujahs to the Son of David in the triumphal entry. See on Mt 27:23 for discussion of Mr 15:14.

Mark 15:15
To content the multitude ( $\tau \omega$ ох $\lambda \omega$ тo וкаvov $\pi о ו \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). A Latin idiom (satisfacere alicui), to do what is sufficient to remove one's ground of complaint. This same phrase occurs in Polybius, Appian, Diogenes Laertes, and in late papyri. Pilate was afraid of this crowd now completely under the control of the Sanhedrin. He knew what they would tell Caesar about him. See on Mt 27:26 for discussion of the scourging.

Mark 15:16
The Praetorium ( $\pi \rho \alpha \iota \tau \omega \rho \iota o v)$. In Mt 27:27 this same word is translated "palace." That is its meaning here also, the palace in which the Roman provincial governor resided. In Php 1:13 it means the Praetorian Guard in Rome. Mark mentions here "the court" ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \cup \lambda \eta \varsigma$ ) inside of the palace into which the people passed from the street through the vestibule. See further on Matthew about the "band."

Mark 15:17
Purple ( $\pi о \rho \varphi \cup \rho \alpha v) . ~ M t ~ 27: 28 ~ h a s ~ " s c a r l e t ~ r o b e " ~ w h i c h ~ s e e ~ f o r ~ d i s c u s s i o n ~ a s ~ w e l l ~ a s ~ f o r ~$ the crown of thorns.

Mark 15:19
Worshipped him ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \kappa v v o v v)$. In mockery. Imperfect tense as are $\varepsilon \tau v \pi \tau \circ v$ (smote) and $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \pi \tau v o v$ (did spit upon). Repeated indignities.

Mark 15:20
They lead him out ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \gamma$ оибıv avtov). Vivid historical present after imperfects in verse 19.

## Mark 15:21

They compel ( $\alpha \gamma \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon v o v \sigma ı v$ ). Dramatic present indicative again where Mt 27:32 has the aorist. For this Persian word see on Mt 5:41; 27:32 .

Coming out of the country ( $\left.\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v a \pi^{\prime} \alpha \gamma \rho o v\right)$. Hence Simon met the procession. Mark adds that he was "the father of Alexander and Rufus." Paul mentions a Rufus in Ro

16:13, but it was a common name and proves nothing. See on Mt 27:32 for discussion of cross-bearing by criminals. Luke adds "after Jesus" (o $\pi\llcorner\sigma \varepsilon v \tau$ tov I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). But Jesus bore his own cross till he was relieved of it, and he walked in front of his own cross for the rest of the way.

Mark 15:22
They bring him ( $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \circ$ ouøıv autov). Historical present again. See on Mt 27:33f. for discussion of Golgotha.

Mark 15:23
They offered him ( $\varepsilon \delta \delta \delta o u v \alpha \nu \tau \omega)$. Imperfect tense where Matthew has the aorist $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha v$.
Mingled with myrrh ( $\varepsilon \sigma \mu v \rho v \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. Perfect passive participle. The verb means flavoured with myrrh, myrrhed wine. It is not inconsistent with Mt 27:34 "mingled with gall," which see.

But he received it not (o $\sigma \delta$ ouk $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon v$ ). Note the demonstrative o $\varsigma$ with $\delta \varepsilon$. Matthew has it that Jesus was not willing to take. Mark's statement is that he refused it.

Mark 15:24
What each should take ( $\tau \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha \rho \eta$ ). Only in Mark. Note double interrogative, Who What? The verb $\alpha \rho \eta$ is first aorist active deliberative subjunctive retained in the indirect question. The details in Mr 15:24-32 are followed closely by Mt 27:35-44. See there for discussion of details.

## Mark 15:25

The third hour ( $\omega \rho \alpha \tau \rho \iota \tau)$ ). This is Jewish time and would be nine A.M. The trial before Pilate was the sixth hour Roman time (Joh 19:14), six A.M.

Mark 15:26
The superscription ( $\eta \varepsilon \pi \downarrow \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta$ ). The writing upon the top of the cross (our word epigraph). Lu 23:38 has this same word, but Mt 27:37 has "accusation" (aıtıav). See Matthew for discussion. Joh 19:19 has "title" ( $\tau \iota \tau \lambda 0 v$ ).

Mark 15:32
Now come down ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \tau \omega v v v$ ). Now that he is nailed to the cross.
That we may see and believe ( $\imath \alpha \alpha \_\omega \mu \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Aorist subjunctive of purpose with iva. They use almost the very language of Jesus in their ridicule, words that they had heard him use in his appeals to men to see and believe.

Reproached him ( $\omega v \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta_{1} \zeta$ ov autov). Imperfect tense. They did it several times. Mark and Matthew both fail to give the story of the robber who turned to Christ on the Cross as told in Lu 23:39-43.

Mark 15:33
The sixth hour ( $\omega \rho \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma$ ). That is, noon (Jewish time), as the third hour was nine A.M. (Mr 15:25 ). See on Mt 27:45 for discussion. Given also by Lu 23:44. Mark gives the Aramaic transliteration as does B in Mt 27:45, which see for discussion.

Forsaken ( $\varepsilon \nmid \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda ı \pi \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Some MSS. give $\omega v \varepsilon I \delta I \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ (reproached). We are not able to enter into the fulness of the desolation felt by Jesus at this moment as the Father regarded him as $\sin$ (2Co 5:21). This desolation was the deepest suffering. He did not cease to be the Son of God. That would be impossible.

Mark 15:35
He calleth Elijah (E $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu \varphi \omega \nu \varepsilon$ ). They misunderstood the $E \lambda \omega$ or $E \lambda \varepsilon$ (my God) for Elijah.

Mark 15:36
To take him down ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota v \alpha v \tau o v)$. Mt 27:49 has "to save him" ( $\sigma \omega \sigma \omega v$ ), which see for discussion.

Mark 15:37
Gave up the ghost $(\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi v \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v)$. Literally, breathed out. See "yielded up his spirit" in Mt 27:50 for discussion for details. Mark uses this word $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi \nu \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ again in verse 39 .

Mark 15:39
The centurion (o кعv $\tau \nu \rho \omega \omega v$ ). A Latin word (centurio) used also in verse 44 and here only in the N.T.

Which stood by over against him (o $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \iota \alpha \varsigma \alpha v \tau 0 v)$. This description alone in Mark, picturing the centurion "watching Jesus" (Mt 27:54).

So (ovtwc). With the darkness and the earthquake. See on Mt 27:54 for discussion of "the Son of God," more probably "a Son of God."

Mark 15:40
And Salome ( $\kappa \alpha \Sigma \alpha \lambda \omega \mu \eta$ ). Apparently the "mother of the sons of Zebedee" (Mt 27:56 ). Only in Mark.

Mark 15:41
Followed him and ministered unto him ( $\eta \kappa о \lambda о v \theta$ оиv ка $\delta ı \eta \kappa о v o u v \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). Two imperfects describing the long Galilean ministry of these three women and many other women in Galilee ( $\mathrm{Lu} 8: 1-3$ ) who came up with him ( $\alpha \sigma v v \alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha \sigma \alpha \alpha v \tau \omega$ ) to Jerusalem. This summary description in Mark is paralleled in Mt 27:55f. and Lu 23:49. These faithful women were last at the Cross as they stood afar and saw the dreadful end to all their hopes.

Mark 15:42
The preparation ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \cup \eta)$. Mark explains the term as meaning "the day before the sabbath" ( $\pi \rho o \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau o v$ ), that is our Friday, which began at sunset. See discussion on Mt 27:57. The Jews had already taken steps to get the bodies removed (Joh 19:31).

## Mark 15:43

A councillor of honourable estate $(\varepsilon v \sigma \chi \eta \mu \omega \nu \beta o v \lambda \varepsilon v \tau \eta \varsigma)$. A senator or member of the Sanhedrin of high standing, rich (Mt 27:57).

Looking for the Kingdom of God ( $\eta \nu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v \circ \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon ı \alpha \nu \tau o v \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Periphrastic imperfect. Also Lu 23:51. The very verb used by Luke of Simeon and Anna (Lu

2:25,38 ). Mt 27:57 calls him "Jesus' disciple" while Joh 19:38 adds "secretly for fear of the Jews." He had evidently taken no public stand for Jesus before now.

Boldly ( $\tau 0 \lambda \mu \eta \sigma a \varsigma)$. Aorist (ingressive) active participle, becoming bold. It is the glory of Joseph and Nicodemus, secret disciples of Jesus, that they took a bold stand when the rest were in terror and dismay. That is love psychology, paradoxical as it may seem.

Mark 15:44
If he were already dead ( $\varepsilon \eta \delta \eta \tau \varepsilon \theta v \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative with $\varepsilon$ after a verb of wondering, a classical idiom, a kind of indirect question just as we say "I wonder if." Usually death by crucifixion was lingering. This item is only in Mark.

Whether he had been any while dead ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \alpha \pi \varepsilon \theta a v \varepsilon v)$. B D read $\eta \delta \eta$ (already) again here instead of $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha$ (a long time). Mark does not tell the request of the Jews to Pilate that the legs of the three might be broken (Joh 19:31-37 ). Pilate wanted to make sure that Jesus was actually dead by official report.

Mark 15:45
Granted the corpse ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \tau 0$ to $\pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha$ ). This official information was necessary before the burial. As a matter of fact Pilate was probably glad to turn the body over to Joseph else the body would go to the potter's field. This is the only instance when $\pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha$ (cadaver, corpse) is applied to the body ( $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ ) of Jesus, the term used in Mt 27:59; Lu 23:53; Joh 19:40 ).

## Mark 15:46

Wound ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon ı \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). This word is only here in the N.T. As $\varepsilon v \tau u \lambda เ \sigma \sigma \omega$ is only in Mt 27:59; Lu 23:53; Joh 20:7. Both verbs occur in the papyri, Plutarch, etc. They both mean to wrap, wind, roll in. The body of Jesus was wound in the linen cloth bought by Joseph and the hundred pounds of spices brought by Nicodemus (Joh 19:39) for burying were placed in the folds of the linen and the linen was bound around the body by strips of cloth (Joh 19:40). The time was short before the sabbath began and these two reverently laid the body of the Master in Joseph's new tomb, hewn out of a rock. The perfect passive participle ( $\lambda \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \tau о \mu \eta \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ) is from $\lambda \alpha \tau о \mu \circ \varsigma$, a stonecutter ( $\lambda \omega \varsigma$, stone, $\tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \omega$, to cut). For further details see on Mt 27:57-60. Lu 23:53 and Joh 19:41 also tell of the new tomb of Joseph. Some modern scholars think that this very tomb has been identified in Gordon's Calvary north of the city.

Against the door ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta v \theta v \rho a v$ ). Matthew has the dative $\tau \eta \theta v \rho \alpha$ without $\varepsilon \pi$ and adds the adjective "great" ( $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha v$ ).

Mark 15:47
Beheld ( $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \omega \rho o u v)$. Imperfect tense picturing the two Marys "sitting over against the sepulchre" (Mt 27:61) and watching in silence as the shadows fell upon all their hopes and dreams. Apparently these two remained after the other women who had been beholding from afar the melancholy end (Mr 15:40) had left and "were watching the actions of Joseph
and Nicodemus" (Swete). Probably also they saw the body of Jesus carried and hence they knew where it was laid and saw that it remained there ( $\tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$, perfect passive indicative, state of completion). "It is evident that they constituted themselves a party of observation" (Gould).

## Mark 16

Mark 16:1
 sabbath having come in between, and now over. For this sense of the verb (common from Demosthenes on) see Ac 25:13; 27:9. It was therefore after sunset.

Bought spices ( $\eta \gamma o \rho \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha \rho \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). As Nicodemus did on the day of the burial (Joh 19:40 ). Gould denies that the Jews were familiar with the embalming process of Egypt, but at any rate it was to be a reverential anointing (iva $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tau \psi \omega \sigma \tau v$ ) of the body of Jesus with spices. They could buy them after sundown. Salome in the group again as in Mr 15:40. See on Mt 28:1 for discussion of "late on the sabbath day" and the visit of the women to the tomb before sundown. They had returned from the tomb after the watching late Friday afternoon and had prepared spices ( $\mathrm{Lu} 23: 56$ ). Now they secured a fresh supply.

Mark 16:2
When the sun was risen ( $\alpha v \alpha \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma$ tov $\eta \lambda \iota \circ v$ ). Genitive absolute, aorist participle, though some manuscripts read $\alpha v a t \varepsilon \lambda \lambda$ ovtoc, present participle. Lu 24:1 has it "at early dawn" (o $\rho \theta \rho o u ~ \beta \alpha \theta \varepsilon o \varsigma)$ and Joh 20:1 "while it was yet dark." It was some two miles from Bethany to the tomb. Mark himself gives both notes of time, "very early" ( $\lambda_{\iota} \alpha v \pi \rho \omega$ ), "when the sun was risen." Probably they started while it was still dark and the sun was coming up when they arrived at the tomb. All three mention that it was on the first day of the week, our Sunday morning when the women arrive. The body of Jesus was buried late on Friday before the sabbath (our Saturday) which began at sunset. This is made clear as a bell by Lu 23:54 "and the sabbath drew on." The women rested on the sabbath (Luke 23:56 ). This visit of the women was in the early morning of our Sunday, the first day of the week. Some people are greatly disturbed over the fact that Jesus did not remain in the grave full seventy-two hours. But he repeatedly said that he would rise on the third day and that is precisely what happened. He was buried on Friday afternoon. He was risen on Sunday morning. If he had really remained in the tomb full three days and then had risen after that, it would have been on the fourth day, not on the third day. The occasional phrase "after three days" is merely a vernacular idiom common in all languages and not meant to be exact and precise like "on the third day." We can readily understand "after three days" in the sense of "on the third day." It is impossible to understand "on the third day" to be "on the fourth day." See my Harmony of the Gospels, pp. 289-91.

Mark 16:3
Who shall roll us away the stone? (Тıৎ $\alpha \pi о к \nu \lambda_{\llcorner\sigma \varepsilon ~} \eta \mu \nu \tau$ тоv $\lambda_{\imath} \theta o v ;$ ). Alone in Mark. The opposite of $\pi \rho о \sigma к \nu \lambda \iota \omega$ in 15:46. In verse 4 rolled back (avєкєки入ıбта, perfect passive indicative) occurs also. Both verbs occur in Koine writers and in the papyri. Clearly the
women have no hope of the resurrection of Jesus for they were raising the problem ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \mathrm{v}$, imperfect) as they walked along.

Mark 16:4
Looking up they see ( $\alpha v \alpha \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \alpha \sigma \alpha \theta \varepsilon \omega \rho o v \sigma \tau v)$. With downcast eyes and heavy hearts (Bruce) they had been walking up the hill. Mark has his frequent vivid dramatic present "behold." Their problem is solved for the stone lies rolled back before their very eyes. Lu 24:2 has the usual aorist "found."

For ( $\gamma \alpha \rho$ ). Mark explains by the size of the stone this sudden and surprising sight right before their eyes.

Mark 16:5
Entering into the tomb ( $\varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \lambda$ Өovoa $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ \mu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon เ o v)$. Told also by Lu 24:3, though not by Matthew.

A young man (veaviokov). An angel in Mt 28:5, two men in Lu 24 . These and like variations in details show the independence of the narrative and strengthen the evidence for the general fact of the resurrection. The angel sat upon the stone (Mt 28:2), probably at first. Mark here speaks of the young man
sitting on the right side ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v o v \varepsilon v$ тоıৎ $\delta \varepsilon \xi$ ૬oı) inside the tomb. Luke has the two men standing by them on the inside (Luke 24:4). Possibly different aspects and stages of the incident.

Arrayed in a white robe ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho(\beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon v o v \sigma \tau o \lambda \eta \nu \lambda \varepsilon \cup \kappa \eta \nu)$. Perfect passive participle with the accusative case of the thing retained (verb of clothing). Lu 24:4 has "in dazzling apparel."

They were amazed $(\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \theta \alpha \mu \beta \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. They were utterly ( $\varepsilon \xi$ in composition) amazed. Lu 24:5 has it "affrighted." Mt 28:3f. tells more of the raiment white as snow which made the watchers quake and become as dead men. But this was before the arrival of the women. Mark, like Matthew and Luke, does not mention the sudden departure of Mary Magdalene to tell Peter and John of the grave robbery as she supposed (Joh 20:1-10).

Mark 16:6
Be not amazed ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \kappa \theta \alpha \mu \beta \varepsilon \iota \theta \varepsilon$ ). The angel noted their amazement (verse 5) and urges the cessation of it using this very word.

The Nazarene ( $\tau 0 v$ Na $\alpha^{\prime} \rho \eta \sim o v$ ). Only in Mark, to identify "Jesus" to the women.
The crucified one ( $\tau 0 v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \cup \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). This also in Mt 28:5. This description of his shame has become his crown of glory, for Paul (Gal 6:14 ), and for all who look to the Crucified and Risen Christ as Saviour and Lord. He is risen ( $\eta \gamma \varepsilon \rho \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative, the simple fact. In 1Co 15:4 Paul uses the perfect passive indicative $\varepsilon \gamma \eta \gamma \varepsilon \rho \tau \alpha$ to emphasize the permanent state that Jesus remains risen.

Behold the place (เסع о тотоৎ). Here $\delta \delta \varepsilon$ is used as an interjection with no effect on the case (nominative). In Mt 28:6 $1 \delta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ is the verb with the accusative. See Robertson, Grammar, p. 302.

## Mark 16:7

And Peter ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \omega \Pi \varepsilon \tau \rho \omega$ ). Only in Mark, showing that Peter remembered gratefully this special message from the Risen Christ. Later in the day Jesus will appear also to Peter, an event that changed doubt to certainty with the apostles ( Lu 24:34; 1Co 15:5). See on Mt 28:7 for discussion of promised meeting in Galilee.

Mark 16:8
Had come upon them ( $\varepsilon ı \chi \varepsilon v \alpha \cup \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Imperfect tense, more exactly,
held them, was holding them fast .
Trembling and astonishment ( $\tau \rho о \mu о \varsigma ~ к \alpha ~ \varepsilon к \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma, ~ t r e m b l i n g ~ a n d ~ e c s t a s y), ~ M a r k ~ h a s ~$ it, while Mt 28:8 has "with fear and great joy" which see for discussion. Clearly and naturally their emotions were mixed.

They said nothing to any one (ou $\delta \varepsilon v$ ou $\delta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \alpha v$ ). This excitement was too great for ordinary conversation. Mt 28:8 notes that they "ran to bring his disciples word." Hushed to silence their feet had wings as they flew on.

For they were afraid ( $\varepsilon \varphi \rho \beta$ ouvto $\gamma \alpha \rho$ ). Imperfect tense. The continued fear explains their continued silence. At this point Aleph and B, the two oldest and best Greek manuscripts of the New Testament, stop with this verse. Three Armenian MSS. also end here. Some documents (cursive 274 and Old Latin k) have a shorter ending than the usual long one. The great mass of the documents have the long ending seen in the English versions. Some have both the long and the short endings, like L, Psi, 0112, 099, 579, two Bohairic MSS; the Harklean Syriac (long one in the text, short one in the Greek margin). One Armenian MS. (at Edschmiadzin) gives the long ending and attributes it to Ariston (possibly the Aristion of Papias). W (the Washington Codex) has an additional verse in the long ending. So the facts are very complicated, but argue strongly against the genuineness of verses 9-20 of Mark 16. There is little in these verses not in Mt 28 . It is difficult to believe that Mark ended his Gospel with verse 8 unless he was interrupted. A leaf or column may have been torn off at the end of the papyrus roll. The loss of the ending was treated in various ways. Some documents left it alone. Some added one ending, some another, some added both. A full discussion of the facts is found in the last chapter of my Studies in Mark's Gospel and also in my Introduction to the Textual Criticism of the New Testament, pp. 214-16.

Mark 16:9
When he had risen early on the first day of the week ( $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \pi \rho \omega \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta \quad \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau \sigma v$ ). It is probable that this note of time goes with "risen" (avactac), though it makes good sense with "appeared" ( $\varepsilon \varphi \alpha v \eta)$. Jesus is not mentioned by name here, though he is clearly the one
meant. Mark uses $\mu \alpha \alpha$ in verse 2, but $\pi \rho \omega \tau \eta$ in 14:12 and the plural $\sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau \omega v$ in verse 2, though the singular here.

First ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma v$ ). Definite statement that Jesus
appeared ( $\varepsilon \varphi \alpha \vee \eta$ ) to Mary Magdalene first of all. The verb $\varepsilon \varphi \alpha \vee \eta$ (second aorist passive of $\varphi \alpha \iota v \omega$ ) is here alone of the Risen Christ (cf. E $\lambda \varepsilon เ \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \vee \eta, \mathrm{Lu} 9: 8$ ), the usual verb being $\omega \varphi \theta \eta$ (Lu 24:34; 1Co 15:5ff. ).

From whom ( $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \eta \varsigma$ ). Only instance of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ with the casting out of demons, $\varepsilon \kappa$ being usual ( $1: 25,26 ; 5: 8 ; 7: 26,29 ; 9: 25$ ). Ек $\beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \kappa \varepsilon$ is past perfect indicative without augment. This description of Mary Magdalene is like that in Lu 8:2 and seems strange in Mark at this point, described as a new character here, though mentioned by Mark three times just before (15:40,47; 16:1). The appearance to Mary Magdalene is given in full by Joh 20:11-18 .

Mark 16:10
She ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ \nu \eta)$. Only instance of this pronoun (=ı $\lambda \lambda \alpha$ ) absolutely in Mark, though a good Greek idiom. (See Joh 19:35.) See also verses 11,20 .

Went ( $\pi \circ \rho \varepsilon \cup \theta \varepsilon เ \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist passive participle. Common word for going, but in Mark so far only in 9:30 in the uncompounded form. Here also in verses 12,15.

Them that had been with him (тоı $\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ avtov $\left.\gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v o ı \varsigma\right)$. This phrase for the disciples occurs here alone in Mark and the other Gospels if the disciples ( $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha$ ) are meant. All these items suggest another hand than Mark for this closing portion.

As they mourned and wept ( $\pi \varepsilon v \theta$ ovoıv $\kappa \alpha \kappa \lambda \alpha \iota v \sigma \iota)$ ). Present active participles in dative plural agreeing with toıs ... $\gamma$ ₹vousvoıs and describing the pathos of the disciples in their utter bereavement and woe.

Mark 16:11
Disbelieved ( $\eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). This verb is common in the ancient Greek, but rare in the N.T. and here again verse 16 and nowhere else in Mark. The usual N.T. word is $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega$. Lu 24:11 uses this verb ( $\eta$ mıбтouv) of the disbelief of the report of Mary Magdalene and the other women. The verb $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \alpha \theta \eta$ (from $\theta \varepsilon \alpha \omega \mu \alpha$ ) occurs only here and in verse 14 in Mark.

Mark 16:12
After these things ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha)$. Only here in Mark. Luke tells us that it was on the same day (Lu 24:13).

In another form ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \mu о \rho \varphi \eta$ ). It was not a $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu о \rho \varphi \omega \sigma ı \varsigma$ or transfiguration like that described in 9:2. Luke explains that their eyes were holden so that they could not recognize Jesus (Lu 24:16). This matchless story appears in full in Lu 24:13-32 .

Mark 16:13
Neither believed they them (ou $\varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o \iota \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \pi เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha v)$. The men fared no better than the women. But Luke's report of the two on the way to Emmaus is to the effect that they met a hearty welcome by them in Jerusalem (Lu 24:33-35). This shows the independence of the two narratives on this point. There was probably an element who still discredited all
the resurrection stories as was true on the mountain in Galilee later when "some doubted" (Mt 28:17).

Mark 16:14
To the eleven themselves (avтоьৎ тоья $\varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ). Both terms, eleven and twelve (Joh 20:24), occur after the death of Judas. There were others present on this first Sunday evening according to Lu 24:33 .

Afterward (vбт\& $\rho \circ v$ ) is here alone in Mark, though common in Matthew.
Upbraided ( $\omega v \varepsilon \iota \delta \iota \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). They were guilty of unbelief ( $\alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \alpha v$ ) and hardness of heart ( $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho о к \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha v$ ). Doubt is not necessarily a mark of intellectual superiority. One must steer between credulity and doubt. That problem is a vital one today in all educated circles. Some of the highest men of science today are devout believers in the Risen Christ. Luke explains how the disciples were upset by the sudden appearance of Christ and were unable to believe the evidence of their own senses (Lu 24:38-43).

Mark 16:15
To the whole creation ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \eta \tau \eta \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \varepsilon$ ). This commission in Mark is probably another report of the missionary Magna Charta in Mt 28:16-20 spoken on the mountain in Galilee. One commission has already been given by Christ (Joh 20:21-23). The third appears in Lu 24:44-49; Ac 1:3-8 .

## Mark 16:16

And is baptized ( $\kappa \alpha \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. The omission of
baptized with "disbelieveth" would seem to show that Jesus does not make baptism essential to salvation. Condemnation rests on disbelief, not on baptism. So salvation rests on belief. Baptism is merely the picture of the new life not the means of securing it. So serious a sacramental doctrine would need stronger support anyhow than this disputed portion of Mark.

Mark 16:17
They shall speak with new tongues ( $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \alpha \iota \varsigma ~ \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma o v \sigma \iota v[\kappa \alpha ı \alpha a \sigma])$. Westcott and Hort put kaivalc (new) in the margin. Casting out demons we have seen in the ministry of Jesus. Speaking with tongues comes in the apostolic era (Ac 2:3f.; 10:46; 19:6; 1Co 12:28; 14 ).

## Mark 16:18

 10:19 and Paul was unharmed by the serpent in Malta (Ac 28:3f. ).

If they drink any deadly thing ( $\left.\kappa^{\prime} \alpha v \theta \alpha v a \sigma \mu \circ \rho \tau \pi \tau \omega \sigma v\right)$ ). This is the only N.T. instance
 considers these verses in Mark "a great lapse from the high level of Matthew's version of the farewell words of Jesus" and holds that "taking up venomous serpents and drinking deadly poison seem to introduce us into the twilight of apocryphal story." The great doubt concern-
ing the genuineness of these verses (fairly conclusive proof against them in my opinion) renders it unwise to take these verses as the foundation for doctrine or practice unless supported by other and genuine portions of the N.T.

Mark 16:19
Was received up into heaven ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \pi \theta \eta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ тov oupavov). First aorist passive indicative. Luke gives the fact of the Ascension twice in Gospel (Lu 24:50f. ) and Ac 1:9-11 . The Ascension in Mark took place after Jesus spoke to the disciples, not in Galilee ( 16:15-18), nor on the first or second Sunday evening in Jerusalem. We should not know when it took place nor where but for Luke who locates it on Olivet ( $\mathrm{Lu} 24: 50$ ) at the close of the forty days (Ac 1:3) and so after the return from Galilee (Mt 28:16).

Sat down at the right hand of God ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta เ \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa ~ \delta \varepsilon \xi \iota \omega v \tau o v \theta \varepsilon \sigma v)$. Swete notes that the author "passes beyond the field of history into that of theology," an early and most cherished belief (Ac 7:55f.; Ro 8:34; Eph 1:20; Col 3:1; Heb 1:3; 8:1; 10:12; 12:2; 1Pe 3:22; Re 3:21).

Mark 16:20

 all in Paul's Epistles. Пavtaरov once in Luke. Westcott and Hort give the alternative ending found in L: "And they announced briefly to Peter and those around him all the things enjoined. And after these things Jesus himself also sent forth through them from the east even unto the west the holy and incorruptible proclamation of the eternal salvation."

## THE GOSPEL OF LUKE <br> BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

There is not room here for a full discussion of all the interesting problems raised by Luke as the author of the Gospel and Acts. One can find them ably handled in the Introduction to Plummer's volume on Luke's Gospel in the International and Critical Commentary, in the Introduction to Ragg's volume on Luke's Gospel in the Westminster Commentaries, in the Introduction to Easton's Gospel According to St. Luke, Hayes' Synoptic Gospels and the Book of Acts, Ramsay's Luke the Physician, Harnack's Date of the Acts and the Synoptic Gospels, Foakes-Jackson and Kirsopp Lake's Beginnings of Christianity, Carpenter's Christianity According to St. Luke, Cadbury's The Making of Luke-Acts, McLachlan's St. Luke: The Man and His Work, Robertson's Luke the Historian in the Light of Research, to go no further. It is a fascinating subject that appeals to scholars of all shades of opinion.

THE SAME AUTHOR FOR GOSPEL AND ACTS
The author of Acts refers to the Gospel specifically as "the first treatise," $\tau 0 v \pi \rho \hat{A} \omega \tau \tau v$入opov, (Ac 1:1) and both are addressed to Theophilus (Lu 1:3; Ac 1:1). He speaks of himself in both books as "me" (кало, Lu 1:3) and

I made ( $\varepsilon \pi \sigma$ Â $\eta \sigma \alpha \mu A ̂ \eta v$, Ac 1:1). He refers to himself with others as "we" and "us" as in Ac 16:10, the "we" sections of Acts. The unity of Acts is here assumed until the authorship of Acts is discussed in Volume III. The same style appears in Gospel and Acts, so that the presumption is strongly in support of the author's statement. It is quite possible that the formal Introduction to the Gospel ( Lu 1:1-4) was intended to apply to the Acts also which has only an introductory clause. Plummer argues that to suppose that the author of Acts imitated the Gospel purposely is to suppose a literary miracle. Even Cadbury, who is not convinced of the Lucan authorship, says: "In my study of Luke and Acts, their unity is a fundamental and illuminating axiom." He adds: "They are not merely two independent writings from the same pen; they are a single continuous work. Acts is neither an appendix nor an afterthought. It is probably an integral part of the author's original plan and purpose."

THE AUTHOR OF ACTS A COMPANION OF PAUL
The proof of this position belongs to the treatment of Acts, but a word is needed here. The use of "we" and "us" in Ac 16:10 and from Ac 20:6 to the end of chapter Ac 28 shows it beyond controversy if the same man wrote the "we" sections and the rest of the Acts. This proof Harnack has produced with painstaking detail in his Date of the Acts and the Synoptic Gospels and in his volume The Acts of the Apostles and in his Luke the Physician.

THIS COMPANION OF PAUL A PHYSICIAN
The argument for this position lies in the use of medical terms throughout the Gospel and the Acts. Hobart in his Medical Language of St. Luke proves that the author of both Gospel and Acts shows a fondness for medical terms best explained by the fact that he was
a physician. Like most enthusiasts he overdid it and some of his proof does not stand the actual test of sifting. Harnack and Hawkins in his Horae Synopticae have picked out the most pertinent items which will stand. Cadbury in his Style and Literary Method of Luke denies that Luke uses Greek medical words more frequently in proportion than Josephus, Philo, Plutarch, or Lucian. It is to miss the point about Luke merely to count words. It is mainly the interest in medical things shown in Luke and Acts. The proof that Luke is the author of the books does not turn on this fact. It is merely confirmatory. Paul calls Luke "the beloved physician" (o เaт $\rho \circ \varsigma$ o $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi$ Â $\eta \tau \circ \varsigma, \operatorname{Col} 4: 14$ ), "my beloved physician." Together they worked in the Island of Malta (Ac 28:8-10) where many were healed and Luke shared with Paul in the appreciation of the natives who "came and were healed ( $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon v o v \tau o)$ who also honoured us with many honours." The implication there is that Paul wrought miracles of healing (เવбato), while Luke practised his medical art also. Other notes of the physician's interest will be indicated in the discussion of details like his omitting Mark's apparent discredit of physicians ( $\mathrm{Mr} 5: 26$ ) by a milder and more general statement of a chronic case (Lu 8:43).

## THIS COMPANION AND AUTHOR LUKE

All the Greek manuscripts credit the Gospel to Luke in the title. We should know that Luke wrote these two books if there was no evidence from early writers. Irenaeus definitely ascribes the Gospel to Luke as does Clement of Alexandria, Tertullian, the Muratorian Fragment. Plummer holds that the authorship of the four great Epistles of Paul (I and II Corinthians, Galatians, Romans) which even Baur accepted, is scarcely more certain than the Lukan authorship of the Gospel. Even Renan says: "There is no very strong reason for supposing that Luke was not the author of the Gospel which bears his name."

## A SKETCH OF LUKE

His name is not a common one, and is probably a shortened form of $\Lambda v \kappa \kappa o s$ and $\Lambda u \kappa \alpha v o c$. Some of the manuscripts of the Gospel actually have as the title Kata $\Lambda v \kappa \alpha v o v$. Dean Plumptre suggests that the Latin poet Lucanus was named after Luke who probably was the family physician when he was born. That is conjecture as well as the notion of Hayes that, since the brothers Gallio and Seneca were uncles of Lucanus they were influenced by Luke to be friendly toward Paul both in Corinth and in Rome. It is probable that Luke was a Greek, certainly a Gentile, possibly a freedman. So this man who wrote more than onefourth of the New Testament was not a Jew. It is not certain whether his home was in Antioch or in Philippi. It is also uncertain whether he was already converted when Paul met him at Troas. The Codex Bezae has a "we" passage after Ac 11:27 which, if genuine, would bring Luke in contact with Paul before Troas. Hayes thinks that he was a slave boy in the family of Theophilus at Antioch, several conjectures in one. We do not know that Theophilus lived at Antioch. It may have been Rome. But, whether one of Paul's converts or not, he was a loyal friend to Paul. If he lived at Antioch, he could have studied medicine there and the
great medical temple of Aesculapius was at Aegae, not far away. As a Greek physician, Luke was a university man and in touch with the science of his day. Greek medicine is the beginning of the science of medicine as it is known today. Tradition calls him a painter, but of that we know nothing. Certainly he was a humanist and a man of culture and broad sympathies and personal charm. He was the first genuine scientist who faced the problem of Christ and of Christianity. It must be said of him that he wrote his books with open mind and not as a credulous enthusiast.

THE DATE OF THE GOSPEL
There are two outstanding facts to mark off the date of this Gospel by Luke. It was later than the Gospel of Mark since Luke makes abundant use of it. It was before the Acts of the Apostles since he definitely refers to it in Ac 1:1. Unfortunately the precise date of both termini is uncertain. There are still some scholars who hold that the author of the Acts shows knowledge of the Antiquities of Josephus and so is after A.D. 85, a mistaken position, in my opinion, but a point to be discussed when Acts is reached. Still others more plausibly hold that the Acts was written after the destruction of Jerusalem and that the Gospel of Luke has a definite allusion to that event (Lu 21:20f. ), which is interpreted as a prophecy post eventum instead of a prediction by Christ a generation beforehand. Many who accept this view hold to authorship of both Acts and Gospel by Luke. I have long held the view, now so ably defended by Harnack, that the Acts of the Apostles closes as it does for the simple and obvious reason that Paul was still a prisoner in Rome. Whether Luke meant the Acts to be used in the trial in Rome, which may or may not have come to pass, is not the point. Some argue that Luke contemplated a third book which would cover the events of the trial and Paul's later career. There is no proof of that view. The outstanding fact is that the book closes with Paul already a prisoner for two years in Rome. If the Acts was written about A.D. 63, as I believe to be the case, then obviously the Gospel comes earlier. How much before we do not know. It so happens that Paul was a prisoner a little over two years in Caesarea. That period gave Luke abundant opportunity for the kind of research of which he speaks in Lu 1:1-4. In Palestine he could have access to persons familiar with the earthly life and teachings of Jesus and to whatever documents were already produced concerning such matters. Luke may have produced the Gospel towards the close of the stay of Paul in Caesarea or during the early part of the first Roman imprisonment, somewhere between A.D. 59 and 62. The other testimony concerns the date of Mark's Gospel which has already been discussed in volume I. There is no real difficulty in the way of the early date of Mark's Gospel. All the facts that are known admit, even argue for a date by A.D. 60. If Mark wrote his Gospel in Rome, as is possible, it would certainly be before A.D. 64, the date of the burning of Rome by Nero. There are scholars, however, who argue for a much earlier date for his gospel, even as early as A.D. 50. The various aspects of the Synoptic problem are ably discussed by Hawkins in his Horae Synopticae, by Sanday and others in Oxford Studies in the Synoptic

Problem, by Streeter in his The Four Gospels, by Hayes in his The Synoptic Gospels and the Book of Acts, by Harnack in his Date of the Acts and the Synoptic Gospels, by Stanton in his The Gospels as Historical Documents, and by many others. My own views are given at length in my Studies in Mark's Gospel and in Luke the Historian in the Light of Research.

THE SOURCES OF THE GOSPEL
In his Preface or Prologue ( Lu 1:1-4) the author tells us that he had two kinds of sources, oral and written, and that they were many, how many we have no way of telling. It is now generally accepted that we know two of his written sources, Mark's Gospel and Q or the Logia of Jesus (written by Matthew, Papias says). Mark is still preserved and it is not difficult for any one by the use of a harmony of the Gospels to note how Luke made use of Mark, incorporating what he chose, adapting it in various ways, not using what did not suit his purposes. The other source we only know in the non-Markan portions of Matthew and Luke, that is the material common to both, but not in Mark. This also can be noted by any one in a harmony. Only it is probable that this source was more extensive than just the portions used by both Matthew and Luke. It is probable that both Matthew and Luke each used portions of the Logia not used by the other. But there is a large portion of Luke's Gospel which is different from Mark and Matthew. Some scholars call this source L. There is little doubt that Luke had another document for the material peculiar to him, but it is also probable that he had several others. He spoke of "many." This applies especially to chapters 9 to 21. But Luke expressly says that he had received help from "eye-witnesses and ministers of the word," in oral form this means. It is, then, probable that Luke made numerous notes of such data and used them along with the written sources at his command. This remark applies particularly to chapters 1 and 2 which have a very distinct Semitic (Aramaic) colouring due to the sources used. It is possible, of course, that Mary the mother of Jesus may have written a statement concerning these important matters or that Luke may have had converse with her or with one of her circle. Ramsay, in his volume, Was Christ Born at Bethlehem? shows the likelihood of Luke's contact with Mary or her circle during these two years at Caesarea. Luke handles the data acquired with care and skill as he claims in his Prologue and as the result shows. The outcome is what Renan called the most beautiful book in the world.

THE CHARACTER OF THE BOOK
Literary charm is here beyond dispute. It is a book that only a man with genuine culture and literary genius could write. It has all the simple grace of Mark and Matthew plus an indefinable quality not in these wonderful books. There is a delicate finish of detail and proportion of parts that give the balance and poise that come only from full knowledge of the subject, the chief element in a good style according to Dr. James Stalker. This scientific physician, this man of the schools, this converted Gentile, this devoted friend of Paul, comes to the study of the life of Christ with a trained intellect, with an historian's method of research, with a physician's care in diagnosis and discrimination, with a charm of style all his own,
with reverence for and loyalty to Jesus Christ as Lord and Saviour. One could not afford to give up either of the Four Gospels. They each supplement the other in a wonderful way. John's Gospel is the greatest book in all the world, reaching the highest heights of all. But if we had only Luke's Gospel, we should have an adequate portrait of Jesus Christ as Son of God and Son of Man. If Mark's is the Gospel for the Romans and Matthew's for the Jews, the Gospel of Luke is for the Gentile world. He shows the sympathy of Jesus for the poor and the outcast. Luke understands women and children and so is the universal Gospel of mankind in all phases and conditions. It is often called the Gospel of womanhood, of infancy, of prayer, of praise. We have in Luke the first Christian hymns. With Luke we catch some glimpses of the child Jesus for which we are grateful. Luke was a friend and follower of Paul, and verbal parallels with Paul's Epistles do occur, but there is no Pauline propaganda in the Gospel as Moffatt clearly shows (Intr. to Lit. of the N.T., p. 281). The Prologue is in literary Koine and deserves comparison with those in any Greek and Latin writers. His style is versatile and is often coloured by his source. He was a great reader of the Septuagint as is shown by occasional Hebraisms evidently due to reading that translation Greek. He has graciousness and a sense of humour as McLachlan and Ragg show. Every really great man has a saving sense of humour as Jesus himself had. Ramsay dares to call Luke, as shown by the Gospel and Acts, the greatest of all historians not even excepting Thucydides. Ramsay has done much to restore Luke to his rightful place in the estimation of modern scholars. Some German critics used to cite Lu 2:1-7 as a passage containing more historical blunders than any similar passage in any historian. The story of how papyri and inscriptions have fully justified Luke in every statement here made is carefully worked out by Ramsay in his various books, especially in The Bearing of Recent Discovery on the Trustworthiness of the New Testament. The main feature of this proof appears also in my Luke the Historian in the Light of Research. So many items, where Luke once stood alone, have been confirmed by recent discoveries that the burden of proof now rests on those who challenge Luke in those cases where he still stands alone.

## Luke 1

Luke 1:1
Forasmuch as ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \| \delta \eta \pi \varepsilon \rho)$. Here alone in the N.T., though common in literary Attic. Appears in the papyri. A triple compound $(\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon=$ since, $\delta \eta=$ admittedly true, $\pi \varepsilon \rho=$ intensive particle to emphasize importance).

Many ( $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda 0$ ). How many no one knows, but certainly more than two or three. We know that Luke used the Logia of Jesus written by Matthew in Aramaic (Papias) and Mark's Gospel. Undoubtedly he had other written sources.

Have taken in hand ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon เ \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ ). A literal translation of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \chi \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \omega($ from $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho$, hand and $\varepsilon \pi$, upon). Both Hippocrates and Galen use this word in their introduction to their medical works. Here only in the N.T., though a common literary word. Common in the papyri for undertaking with no idea of failure or blame. Luke does not mean to cast reflection on those who preceded him. The apocryphal gospels were all much later and are not in his mind. Luke had secured fuller information and planned a book on a larger scale and did surpass them with the result that they all perished save Mark's Gospel and what Matthew and Luke possess of the Logia of Jesus. There was still room for Luke's book. That motive influences every author and thus progress is made.

To draw up, a narrative ( $\alpha v \alpha \tau \alpha \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \delta ı \eta \gamma \eta \sigma ı v)$. Ingressive aorist middle infinitive. This verb $\alpha v a \tau \alpha \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$ has been found only in Plutarch's Moral. 968 CD about an elephant "rehearsing" by moonlight certain tricks it had been taught (Moulton and Milligan, Vocabulary). That was from memory going regularly through the thing again. But the idea in the word is plain enough. The word is composed of $\tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, a common verb for arranging things in proper order and $\alpha v \alpha$, again. Luke means to say that those before him had made attempts to rehearse in orderly fashion various matters about Christ. "The expression points to a connected series of narratives in some order ( $\tau \alpha \xi \varsigma \varsigma$ ), topical or chronological rather than to isolated narratives" (Bruce). "They had produced something more than mere notes or anecdotes" (Plummer). $\Delta ı \eta \gamma \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$ means leading or carrying a thing through, not a mere incident. Galen applies this word some seventy-five times to the writing of Hippocrates.

Which have been fulfilled ( $\tau \omega \nu \pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \varphi \rho \rho \eta \mu \varepsilon v \omega v$ ). Perfect passive participle from $\pi \lambda \eta \rho о \varphi о \rho \varepsilon \omega$ and that from $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \eta \varsigma$ (full) and $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$ (to bring). Hence to bring or make full. The verb is rare outside of the LXX and the N.T. Papyri examples occur for finishing off a legal matter or a financial matter in full. Deissmann (Light from the Ancient East, pp. 86f.) gives examples from the papyri and inscriptions for completing a task or being convinced or satisfied in mind. The same ambiguity occurs here. When used of persons in the N.T. the meaning is to be convinced, or fully persuaded (Ro 4:21; 14:5; Heb 6:11; 10:22). When used of things it has the notion of completing or finishing (2Ti 4:5,17). Luke is here speaking of "matters" ( $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \omega v)$. Luke may refer to the matters connected with Christ's life which
have been brought to a close among us or accomplished. Bruce argues plausibly that he means fulness of knowledge "concerning the things which have become widely known among us Christians." In Col 2:2 we have "fulness of understanding" ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \varphi о \rho \iota \alpha \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma$ $\sigma u v \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. In modern Greek the verb means to inform. The careful language of Luke here really pays a tribute to those who had preceded him in their narratives concerning Christ.

Luke 1:2
Even as ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma$ ). This particle was condemned by the Atticists though occurring occasionally from Aristotle on. It is in the papyri. Luke asserts that the previous narratives had their sound basis.

Delivered unto us ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \omega \sigma \alpha \nu \eta \mu i v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \Delta \delta \omega \mu$. Luke received this tradition along with those who are mentioned above (the many). That is he was not one of the "eyewitnesses." He was a secondary, not a primary, witness of the events. Tradition has come to have a meaning of unreliability with us, but that is not the idea here. Luke means to say that the handing down was dependable, not mere wives' fables. Those who drew up the narratives had as sources of knowledge those who handed down the data. Here we have both written and oral sources. Luke had access to both kinds.

Which from the beginning were eyewitnesses and ministers of the word ( $\alpha a \pi^{\prime} \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma$
 The word for
eyewitnesses ( $\alpha \cup \tau 0 \pi \tau \alpha$ ) is an old Greek word and appears in the papyri also. It means seeing with one's own eyes. It occurs here only in the N.T. We have the very word in the medical term autopsy. Greek medical writers often had the word. It is a different word from $\varepsilon \pi о \pi \tau \alpha$ (eyewitness) in 2Pe 1:16, a word used of those who beheld heavenly mysteries. The word for "ministers" (v $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$, under rowers or servants we have had already in Mt 5:25; 26:58; Mr 14:54,65, which see. We shall see it again in $\mathrm{Lu} 4: 20$ of the attendant in the synagogue. In the sense of a preacher of the gospel as here, it occurs also in Ac 26:16. Here "the word" means the gospel message, as in Ac 6:4; 8:4 , etc.

From the beginning apparently refers to the beginning of the ministry of Jesus as was true of the apostles (Ac 1:22) and of the early apostolic preaching (Ac 10:37-43). The Gospel of Mark follows this plan. The Gospel of Luke goes behind this in chapters 1 and 2 as does Matthew in chapters 1 and 2. But Luke is not here referring to himself. The matters about the childhood of Jesus Christ would not form part of the traditional preaching for obvious reasons.

Luke 1:3
It seemed good to me also ( $\varepsilon \delta$ о $\xi \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \mu о$ ). A natural conclusion and justification of Luke's decision to write his narrative. They had ample reason to draw up their narratives. Luke has more reason to do so because of his fuller knowledge and wider scope.

Having traced the course of all things ( $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \kappa о \lambda о v \theta \eta \kappa о \tau \pi \alpha \sigma v$ ). The perfect active participle of a common verb of the ancient Greek. Literally it means to follow along a thing in mind, to trace carefully. Both meanings occur abundantly in the ancient Greek. Cadbury (Appendix C to Beginnings of Christianity, Vol. II, pp. 489ff.) objects to the translation "having traced" here as implying research which the word does not here mean. Milligan (Vocabulary) is somewhat impressed by this argument. See my discussion of the point in Chapter XVI of Studies in the Text of the N.T. (The Implications in Luke's Preface) where the point is made that Luke here claims fulness of knowledge before he began to write his book. He had the traditions of the eyewitnesses and ministers of the word and the narratives previously drawn up. Whether he was a personal contemporary with any or all of these events we do not know and it is not particularly pertinent. He had mentally followed along by the side of these events. Galen used this verb for the investigation of symptoms. Luke got himself ready to write before he began by full and accurate knowledge of the subject. Aк $1 \beta \omega \varsigma$ (accurately) means going into minute details, from $\alpha \kappa \rho \circ v$, the topmost point. And he did it
from the first ( $\alpha v \omega \theta \varepsilon v$ ). He seems to refer to the matters in Chapters 1:5-2:52, the Gospel of the Infancy.

In order ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \xi \eta \varsigma)$. Chronological order in the main following Mark's general outline. But in 9:51-18:10 the order is often topical. He has made careful investigation and his work deserves serious consideration.

Most excellent Theophilus (кратьбтє $\Theta \varepsilon о \varphi \iota \lambda \varepsilon$ ). The name means god-lover or godbeloved. He may have been a believer already. He was probably a Gentile. Ramsay holds that "most excellent" was a title like "Your Excellency" and shows that he held office, perhaps a Knight. So of Felix (Ac 23:26) and Festus (Ac 26:25). The adjective does not occur in the dedication in Ac 1:1.

Luke 1:4
Mightest know ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma v \omega \iota \varsigma)$. Second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. Full knowledge ( $\varepsilon \pi-$ ), in addition to what he already has.

The certainty ( $\tau \eta v \alpha \sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ ). Make no slip ( $\sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to totter or fall, and $\alpha$ privative). Luke promises a reliable narrative. "Theophilus shall know that the faith which he has embraced has an impregnable historical foundation" (Plummer).

The things $(\lambda o \gamma \omega v)$. Literally "words," the details of the words in the instruction.
Wast instructed ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \chi \eta \theta \eta \varsigma)$. First aorist passive indicative. Not in O.T. and rare in ancient Greek. Occurs in the papyri. The word $\eta \chi \varepsilon \omega$ is our word echo (cf. 1Th 1:8 for $\varepsilon \xi \eta \chi \eta \tau \alpha$, has sounded forth). Karn $\chi \varepsilon \omega$ is to sound down, to din, to instruct, to give oral instruction. Cf. 1Co 14:9; Ac 21:21,24; 18:25; Gal 6:6. Those men doing the teaching were called catechists and those receiving it were called catechumens. Whether Theophilus was still a catechumen is not known. This Preface by Luke is in splendid literary Koine and is not surpassed by
those in any Greek writer (Herodotus, Thucydides, Polybius). It is entirely possible that Luke was familiar with this habit of Greek historians to write prefaces since he was a man of culture.

## Luke 1:5

There was ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau 0)$. Not the usual $\varepsilon v$ for "was," but there arose or came into notice. With this verse the literary Koine of verses 1 to 4 disappears. To the end of chapter 2 we have the most Hebraistic (Aramaic) passage in Luke's writings, due evidently to the use of documents or notes of oral tradition. Plummer notes a series of such documents ending with 1:80, 2:40, 2:52. If the mother of Jesus was still alive, Luke could have seen her. She may have written in Aramaic an account of these great events. Natural reserve would keep her from telling too much and from too early publicity. Luke, as a physician, would take special interest in her birth report. The supernatural aspects disturb only those who do not admit the real Incarnation of Jesus Christ and who are unable to believe that God is superior to nature and that the coming of the Son of God to earth justifies such miraculous manifestations of divine power. Luke tells his story from the standpoint of Mary as Matthew gives his from the standpoint of Joseph. The two supplement each other. We have here the earliest documentary evidence of the origins of Christianity that has come down to us (Plummer).
 the events before the death of Herod the Great (as he was called later), appointed King of Judea by the Roman Senate B.C. 40 at the suggestion of Octavius and Antony. He died B.C. 4.

Of the course of Abijah ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho ı \alpha \varsigma A \beta \iota \alpha)$. Not in old Greek, but in LXX and modern Greek. Papyri have a verb derived from it, $\varepsilon \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \omega$. Daily service (Ne 13:30; 1Ch 25:8) and then a course of priests who were on duty for a week ( $1 \mathrm{Ch} 23: 6 ; 28: 13$ ). There were 24 such courses and that of Abijah was the eighth (1Ch 24:10; 2Ch 8:14). Only four of these courses (Jedaiah, Immer, Pashur, Harim) returned from Babylon, but these four were divided into twenty-four with the old names. Each of these courses did duty for eight days, sabbath to sabbath, twice a year. On sabbaths the whole course did duty. At the feast of tabernacles all twenty-four courses were present.

Of the daughters of Aaron ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v \theta v \gamma \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega v$ A $\alpha \rho \omega v$ ). "To be a priest and married to a priest's daughter was a double distinction" (Plummer). Like a preacher married to a preacher's daughter.

Luke 1:6
Righteous before God ( $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota$ evavtıov tov $\theta$ zou). Old Testament conception and idiom. Cf. 2:25 about Simeon. Expanded in Old Testament language. Picture of "noblest product of Old Testament education" (Ragg) is Zacharias and Elisabeth, Mary and Joseph, Simeon and Anna who were "privileged to see with clear eyes the dawn of the New Testament revelation."

Luke 1:7
Because that ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \mathrm{o} \tau$ ). Good Attic word, according to what. Only in Luke and Acts in the N.T. In the papyri.

Well stricken in years ( $\pi \rho о \beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa о \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon v \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \iota \varsigma \alpha \tau \tau \omega v)$. Wycliff has it right: "Had gone far in their days." Perfect active participle. See also verse 18.

Luke 1:8
While he executed the priest's office ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho a \tau \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota v$ av $\tau \circ v$ ). A favourite idiom in Luke, $\varepsilon v$ with the articular infinitive and the accusative of general reference where the genitive absolute could have been used or a temporal conjunction and finite verb. It is proper Greek, but occurs often in the LXX, which Luke read, particularly in imitation of the Hebrew infinitive construct. The word $\varepsilon \varepsilon \rho a \tau \varepsilon v \omega$ does not appear in the ancient Greek, but in the LXX and this one example in Luke. It is on the Rosetta Stone and the early inscriptions so that the word was simply applied by the LXX translators from current usage.

Luke 1:9
His lot was ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \chi \varepsilon$ ). Literally,
he obtained the lot. Second aorist active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \alpha \nu \omega$, to obtain by lot, a very old verb from Homer on. It is used either with the genitive as here, or the accusative as in Ac 1:17; 2Pe 1:1. Papyri show examples with the accusative. It was only once in a lifetime that a priest obtained the lot of going $(\varepsilon \iota \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega \nu$, here nominative aorist active participle agreeing with the subject of $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \chi \varepsilon$ ) into the sanctuary ( $\tau 0 v$ vaov, not $\tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \varepsilon \rho \circ v$, the outer courts) and burning incense on the golden altar. "It was the great moment of Zacharias's life, and his heart was no doubt alert for the supernatural" (Ragg). The fortunate lot was "a white stone" to which Re 2:17 may refer.

Burn incense ( $\tau 0 v \theta v \mu \iota \sigma \alpha$ ). Here only in the N.T. Occurs on inscriptions. Hobart finds it used by medical writers for fumigating herbs. "Ascending the steps to the Holy Place, the priests spread the coals on the golden altar, and arranged the incense, and the chief operating priest was then left alone within the Holy Place to await the signal of the president to burn the incense. It was probably at this time that the angel appeared to Zacharias" (Vincent).

Luke 1:10
Were praying without ( $\eta \nu \pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \nu \varepsilon \xi \omega$ ). Periphrastic imperfect indicative picturing the posture of the people while the clouds of incense rose on the inside of the sanctuary.

Luke 1:11
Appeared $(\omega \varphi \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative. It is the form used by Paul of the resurrection appearances of Jesus (1Co 15:5-8 ). There is no use in trying to explain away the reality of the angel. We must choose between admitting an objective appearance and a myth (Plummer).

## Luke 1:13

Is heard ( $\varepsilon ו \sigma \eta \kappa o v \sigma \theta \eta)$ ). First aorist passive indicative. A sort of timeless aorist, "was heard" when made, and so "is heard" now. Probably the prayer was for a son in spite of the great age of Elisabeth, though the Messianic redemption is possible also.

John (I $\omega a v \eta v$ ). The word means that God is gracious. The mention of the name should have helped Zacharias to believe. The message of the angel (verses 13-17) takes on a metrical form when turned into Hebrew (Ragg) and it is a prose poem in Greek and English like 1:30-33,35-37,42-45,46-55,68-70; 2:10-12,14,29-32,34-35. Certainly Luke has preserved the earliest Christian hymns in their oldest sources. He is the first critic of the sources of the Gospels and a scholarly one.

Luke 1:14
Gladness ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda ı \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma)$. Only in the LXX and N.T. so far as known. A word for extreme exultation.

Rejoice ( $\chi \alpha \rho \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha$ ). Second future passive indicative. The coming of a prophet will indeed be an occasion for rejoicing.

Luke 1:15
Strong drink ( $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). A Hebrew word transliterated into Greek, an intoxicating drink. Here only in the N.T. John was to be a personal "dry" or Nazarite (Nu 6:3).

Shall not drink (ov $\mu \eta \pi \imath \eta$ ). Strong prohibition, double negative and second aorist subjunctive.

The Holy Ghost ( $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu a \tau o \varsigma ~ a \gamma เ o v)$. The Holy Spirit in contrast to the physical excitement of strong drink (Plummer). Luke uses this phrase 53 times, 12 in the Gospel, Mark and John 4 each, Matthew 5 times.

Even from his mother's womb ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \kappa \kappa o \iota \lambda \iota \varsigma \varsigma \eta \tau \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha v \tau o v$ ). A manifest Hebraism. Cf. verse 41.

Luke 1:17
Before his face ( $\varepsilon v \omega \pi \iota \circ v$ avtov). Not in the ancient Greek, but common in the papyri as in LXX and N.T. It is a vernacular Koine word, adverb used as preposition from adjective $\varepsilon v \omega \pi \iota \circ \varsigma$, and that from o $\varepsilon v \omega \pi \omega v$ (the one who is in sight).

Autou here seems to be "the Lord their God" in verse 16 since the Messiah has not yet been mentioned, though he was to be actually the Forerunner of the Messiah.

In the spirit and power of Elijah ( $\varepsilon v \pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu a \tau \kappa \alpha \delta \nu v a \mu \varepsilon E \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha)$. See Isa 40:1-11; Mal 3:1-5 . John will deny that he is actually Elijah in person, as they expected (Joh 1:21), but Jesus will call him Elijah in spirit (Mr 9:12; Mt 17:12).

Hearts of fathers ( $\kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha \varsigma \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega v)$ ). Paternal love had died out. This is one of the first results of conversion, the revival of love in the home.

Wisdom ( $\varphi \rho \circ \vee \eta \sigma \varepsilon)$. Not $\sigma \circ \varphi \iota a$, but a word for practical intelligence.

Prepared (катєбк\&vaбuغvov). Perfect passive participle, state of readiness for Christ. This John did. This is a marvellous forecast of the character and career of John the Baptist, one that should have caught the faith of Zacharias.

Luke 1:18
Whereby ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau)$. According to what. It was too good to be true and Zacharias demanded proof and gives the reason (for, $\gamma \alpha \rho$ ) for his doubt. He had prayed for this blessing and was now sceptical like the disciples in the house of Mary about the return of Peter (Ac 12:14f. ).

Luke 1:19
Gabriel ( $\Gamma \alpha \beta \rho ı \eta \lambda$ ). The Man of God ( $\mathrm{Da} 8: 6 ; 9: 21$ ). The other angel whose name is given in Scripture is Michael ( Da 10:13,21; Jude 1:9; Re 12:7). The description of himself is a rebuke to the doubt of Zacharias.

Luke 1:20
Thou shalt be silent ( $\varepsilon \sigma \eta \sigma \omega \omega \omega \omega v$ ). Volitive future periphrastic.
Not able to speak ( $\mu \eta \delta u v \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o s \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). Negative repetition of the same statement. His dumbness will continue "until" ( $\alpha \chi \rho \eta \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma$ ) the events come to pass "because" ( $\alpha v \theta^{\prime}$ $\omega v$ ). The words were to become reality in due season (kaı $\rho \circ v$, not $\chi \rho \circ$ оос, time).

Luke 1:21
Were waiting ( $\eta v \pi \rho о \sigma \delta o \kappa \omega v$ ). Periphrastic imperfect again. An old Greek verb for expecting. Appears in papyri and inscriptions. It denotes mental direction whether hope or fear.

They marvelled ( $\varepsilon \theta \alpha v \mu \alpha \zeta o v)$. Imperfect tense, were wondering. The Talmud says that the priest remained only a brief time in the sanctuary.

While he tarried ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \chi \rho \circ \vee \iota \zeta \varepsilon \iota v$ ). See verse 8 for the same idiom.
Luke 1:22
Perceived ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \gamma v \omega \sigma \alpha v)$. Second aorist indicative. Clearly knew because he was not able to pronounce the benediction from the steps ( Nu 6:24-26).

Continued making signs ( $\eta v \delta \iota \alpha v \varepsilon v \omega v$ ). Periphrastic imperfect again. He nodded and beckoned back and forth ( $\delta$ ia, between). Further proof of a vision that caused his dumbness.

Luke 1:23
Ministration ( $\lambda_{\varepsilon ı \tau о \cup \rho \gamma \mid a \varsigma) . ~ O u r ~ w o r d ~ l i t u r g y . ~ A ~ c o m m o n ~ w o r d ~ i n ~ a n c i e n t ~ G r e e k ~ f o r ~}^{\text {for }}$ public service, work for the people ( $\lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v$ ). It is common in the papyri for the service of the Egyptian priesthood as we see it in the LXX of Hebrew priests (see also Heb 8:6; 9:21; 2Co 9:12; Php 2:17,30 ).

Luke 1:24
Conceived ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon v$ ). Luke uses this word eleven times and it occurs only five other times in the N.T. It is a very old and common Greek word. He alone in the N.T. has it for conceiving offspring ( $1: 24,31,36 ; 2: 21$ ) though Jas $1: 15$ uses it of lust producing sin. Hobart
(Medical Language of Luke, p. 91) observes that Luke has almost as many words for pregnancy and barrenness as Hippocrates ( $\varepsilon v \gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon เ v, 21: 23 ; \varepsilon \gamma \kappa v \circ \varsigma, 2: 5 ; \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha, 1: 7$; атєкvоৎ, 20:28).

Hid ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon \kappa \rho \cup \beta \varepsilon v$ ). Only here in the N.T., but in late Koine writers. Usually considered second aorist active indicative from $\pi \varepsilon \rho \ldots \kappa \rho \cup \pi \tau \omega$, though it may be the imperfect indicative of a late form $\pi \varepsilon \rho \kappa \kappa \rho \cup \beta \omega$. If it is aorist it is the constative aorist. The preposition $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ makes it mean completely (on all sides) hid.

Luke 1:25
My reproach (ovet $\delta o \varsigma \mu o v$ ). Keenly felt by a Jewish wife because the husband wanted an heir and because of the hope of the Messiah, and because of the mother's longing for a child.

Luke 1:26
Was sent $(\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \eta)$. Second aorist passive indicative of $\alpha \pi 0 \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ from which apostle comes. The angel Gabriel is God's messenger to Mary as to Zacharias ( $1: 19$ ).

Luke 1:27
Betrothed ( $\varepsilon \mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon \nu \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \nu)$. Perfect passive participle. Betrothal usually lasted a year and unfaithfulness on the part of the bride was punished with death (De 23:24f. ).

Luke 1:28
Highly favoured ( $\kappa \varepsilon \chi \alpha \rho \iota \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \tau o \omega$ and means endowed with grace ( $\chi$ 人pıऽ), enriched with grace as in Eph 1:6, non ut mater gratiae, sed ut filia gratiae (Bengel). The Vulgate gratiae plena "is right, if it means 'full of grace which thou hast received'; wrong, if it means 'full of grace which thou hast to bestow"' (Plummer). The oldest MSS. do not have "Blessed art thou among women" here, but in verse 42.

Luke 1:29
Cast in her mind ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \lambda o \gamma \downharpoonright \zeta \varepsilon \tau 0)$. Imperfect indicative. Note aorist $\delta \iota \varepsilon \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \theta \eta$. Common verb for reckoning up different reasons. She was both upset and puzzled.

Luke 1:30
Favour ( $\chi \alpha \rho \stackrel{\nu}{ }$ ). Grace. Same root as $\chi \alpha \iota \rho \omega$ (rejoice) and $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \tau o \omega$ in verse 28. To find favour is a common O.T. phrase. Xapıs is a very ancient and common word with a variety of applied meanings. They all come from the notion of sweetness, charm, loveliness, joy, delight, like words of grace, Lu 4:22, growing grace, Eph 4:29, with grace, Col 4:6 . The notion of kindness is in it also, especially of God towards men as here. It is a favourite word for Christianity, the Gospel of the grace of God (Ac 20:24) in contrast with law or works (Joh 1:16). Gratitude is expressed also (Lu 6:32 ), especially to God (Ro 6:17).

With God ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). Beside God.
Luke 1:31
Conceive in thy womb ( $\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda \eta \mu \psi \eta \varepsilon \nu \gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \rho$ ). Adding $\varepsilon v \gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \rho$ to the verb of 1:24. Same idiom in Isa 7:14 of Immanuel.

Jesus (I $\eta \sigma o v v$ ). As to Joseph in Mt 1:21, but without the explanation of the meaning. See on Matthew.

Luke 1:32
The Son of the Most High (vios Huчıoтov). There is no article in the Greek, but the use of Most High in verse 35 clearly of God as here. In Lu 6:35 we find "sons of the Most High" (vio Huчıбтov) so that we cannot insist on deity here, though that is possible. The language of 2Sa 7:14; Isa 9:7 is combined here.

Luke 1:33
Shall be no end (ovk $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda o c)$. Luke reports the perpetuity of this Davidic kingdom over the house of Jacob with no Pauline interpretation of the spiritual Israel though that was the true meaning as Luke knew. Joseph was of the house of David (Lu 1:27) and Mary also apparently (Lu 2:5).

Luke 1:35
Shall overshadow thee ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \iota \alpha \sigma \varepsilon)$. A figure of a cloud coming upon her. Common in ancient Greek in the sense of obscuring and with accusative as of Peter's shadow in Ac 5:15 . But we have seen it used of the shining bright cloud at the Transfiguration of Jesus (Mt 17:5; Mr 9:7; Lu 9:34 ). Here it is like the Shekinah glory which suggests it (Ex 40:38) where the cloud of glory represents the presence and power of God.

Holy, the Son of God (Haүıov vios $\theta$ عov). Here again the absence of the article makes it possible for it to mean "Son of God." See Mt 5:9. But this title, like the Son of Man (Ho vos tov $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ тov) was a recognized designation of the Messiah. Jesus did not often call himself Son of God (Mt 27:43 ), but it is assumed in his frequent use of the Father, the Son (Mt 11:27; Lu 10:21; Joh 5:19ff. ). It is the title used by the Father at the baptism (Lu 3:22) and on the Mount of Transfiguration ( $\mathrm{Lu} 9: 35$ ). The wonder of Mary would increase at these words. The Miraculous Conception or Virgin Birth of Jesus is thus plainly set forth in Luke as in Matthew. The fact that Luke was a physician gives added interest to his report.

Luke 1:36
Kinswoman ( $\sigma u \gamma \gamma \varepsilon v ı$ ). Not necessarily cousin, but simply relative.
Luke 1:37
No word (ouk $\rho \eta \mu \alpha$ ). P $\eta \mu \alpha$ brings out the single item rather than the whole content ( $\lambda$ оүос). So in verse 38.

Luke 1:39
Arose ( $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha$ ). Luke is very fond of this word, sixty times against twenty-two in the rest of the N.T.

Into the hill country ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma ~ \tau \eta \nu$ opıv$\eta \nu$ ). Luke uses this adjective twice in this context (here and 1:65) instead of to opoc, the mountains. It is an old word and is in the LXX, but nowhere else in the N.T. The name of the city where Zacharias lived is not given unless Judah here means Juttah (Jos 15:55 ). Hebron was the chief city of this part of Judea.

Luke 1:40
Saluted ( $\eta \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \tau 0$ ). Her first glance at Elisabeth showed the truth of the angel's message. The two mothers had a bond of sympathy.

Luke 1:41
Leaped ( $\varepsilon \sigma \kappa \iota \rho \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). A common enough incident with unborn children (Ge 25:22), but Elisabeth was filled with the Holy Spirit to understand what had happened to Mary.

Luke 1:42
With a loud cry ( $\kappa \rho \alpha \cup \gamma \eta \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta$ ). A moment of ecstatic excitement.
Blessed art thou ( $\varepsilon v \lambda o \gamma \eta \mu \varepsilon v \eta$ ). Perfect passive participle. A Hebraistic equivalent for the superlative.

Luke 1:43
The mother of my Lord ( $\eta \mu \eta \tau \eta \rho$ tov Kvpıo $\mu \circ v$ ). See Ps 110:1. Only by the help of the Holy Spirit could Elisabeth know that Mary was to be the mother of the Messiah.

Luke 1:45
For (ot). It is not certain whether ot here is "that" or "because." It makes good sense either way. See also 7:16. This is the first beatitude in the New Testament and it is similar to the last one in the Gospels spoken to Thomas to discourage his doubt (Joh 20:29). Elisabeth wishes Mary to have full faith in the prophecy of the angel. This song of Elisabeth is as real poetry as is that of Mary ( $1: 47-55$ ) and Zacharias ( $1: 68-70$ ). All three spoke under the power of the Holy Spirit. These are the first New Testament hymns and they are very beautiful. Plummer notes four strophes in Mary's Magnificat ( 46-48,49,50, 51-53,54,55 ). Every idea here occurs in the Old Testament, showing that Mary's mind was full of the spiritual message of God's word.

Luke 1:46
Doth magnify ( $\mu \varepsilon \gamma a \lambda \nu v \varepsilon$ ). Latin, magnificat. Harnack argues that this is also the song of Elisabeth because a few Latin MSS. have it so, but Mary is correct. She draws her material from the O.T. and sings in the noblest strain.

Luke 1:47
Hath rejoiced ( $\eta \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v)$. This is aorist active indicative. Greek tenses do not correspond to those in English. The verb $\alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \alpha \omega$ is a Hellenistic word from the old Greek $\alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$. It means to exult. See the substantive $\alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma$ in $\operatorname{Lu} 1: 14,44$. Mary is not excited like Elisabeth, but breathes a spirit of composed rapture.

My spirit ( $\tau$ o $\pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \mu \circ v$ ). One need not press unduly the difference between "soul" ( $\psi v \times \eta$ ) in verse 46 and "spirit" here. Bruce calls them synonyms in parallel clauses. Vincent argues that the soul is the principle of individuality while the spirit is the point of contact between God and man. It is doubtful, however, if the trichotomous theory of man (body, soul, and spirit) is to be insisted on. It is certain that we have an inner spiritual nature for
which various words are used in Mr 12:30. Even the distinction between intellect, emotions, and will is challenged by some psychologists.

God my Saviour ( $\tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega \tau \omega$ бo $\tau \eta \rho \mu o v$ ). Article with each substantive. God is called Saviour in the O.T. (De 32:15, Ps 24:5; 95:1 ).

Luke 1:48
The low estate ( $\tau \eta \nu \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ \nu \omega \sigma เ \nu$ ). The bride of a carpenter and yet to be the mother of the Messiah. Literal sense here as in 1:52.

Shall call me blessed ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho เ o v \sigma ı v \mu \varepsilon$ ). So-called Attic future of an old verb, to felicitate. Elisabeth had already given her a beatitude ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho ı \alpha, 1: 45$ ). Another occurs in 11:27. But this is a very different thing from the worship of Mary (Mariolatry) by Roman Catholics. See my The Mother of Jesus: Her Problems and Her Glory.

Luke 1:50
Fear ( $\varphi$ о ßov $\mu \varepsilon$ voıs). Dative of the present middle participle. Here it is reverential fear as in Ac 10:2; Col 3:22. The bad sense of dread appears in Mt 21:46; Mr 6:20; Lu 12:4 .

Luke 1:51
Showed strength ( $\varepsilon \pi о п \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ кратоৎ). "Made might" (Wycliff). A Hebrew conception as in Ps 118:15. Plummer notes six aorist indicatives in this sentence ( 51-63), neither corresponding to our English idiom, which translates here by "hath" each time.

Imagination ( $\delta \iota \alpha v o \iota \alpha$ ). Intellectual insight, moral understanding.
Luke 1:52
Princes ( $\delta v v a \sigma \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Our word dynasty is from this word. It comes from $\delta u v a \mu \alpha$, to be able.

Luke 1:54
Hath holpen ( $\alpha v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \tau 0$ ). Second aorist middle indicative. A very common verb. It means to lay hold of with a view to help or succour.

Servant ( $\pi \alpha \iota \delta o \varsigma)$. Here it means "servant," not "son" or "child," its usual meaning.
Luke 1:58
Had magnified ( $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \gamma a \lambda u v \varepsilon v)$. Aorist active indicative. Same verb as in verse 46.
Rejoiced with her ( $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \chi \alpha 1 \rho o v \alpha \cup \tau \eta$ ). Imperfect tense and pictures the continual joy of the neighbours, accented also by $\sigma 0 v$ - (cf. Php 2:18) in its mutual aspect.

Luke 1:59
Would have called ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda о \cup v)$. Conative imperfect, tried to call.
Luke 1:62
Made signs ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon v \varepsilon v o v$ ). Imperfect tense, repeated action as usual when making signs. In 1:22 the verb used of Zacharias is $\delta \iota a v \varepsilon v \omega v$.

What he would have him called ( $\left.\tau \frac{\tau}{} \alpha v \theta \varepsilon \lambda o ~ к \alpha \lambda \varepsilon เ \sigma \theta \alpha \alpha \cup \tau o\right)$. Note article $\tau 0$ with the indirect question, accusative of general reference. The optative with $\alpha v$ is here because it was used in the direct question (cf. Ac 17:18), and is simply retained in the indirect.

What would he wish him to be called? (if he could speak ), a conclusion of the fourthclass condition.

Luke 1:63
 tablet, probably covered with wax. Sometimes it was a little table, like Shakespeare's "the table of my memory" (Hamlet, i.5). It was used also of a physician's note-book.

Wrote, saying ( $\varepsilon \gamma \rho a \psi \varepsilon v \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega v$ ). Hebrew way of speaking (2Ki 10:6 ).
Luke 1:64
Immediately ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha$ ). Nineteen times in the N.T., seventeen in Luke.
Opened ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \omega 1 \chi \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative with double augment. The verb suits "mouth," but not "tongue" ( $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \alpha$ ). It is thus a zeugma with tongue. Loosed or some such verb to be supplied.

Luke 1:65
Fear ( $\varphi о \beta \circ \varsigma)$. Not terror, but religious awe because of contact with the supernatural as in the case of Zacharias ( $1: 12$ ). Were noised abroad ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau 0$ ). Imperfect passive. Occurs in Polybius. In the N.T. only here and Lu 6:11. It was continuous talk back and forth between ( $\delta(\alpha)$ the people.

Luke 1:66
What then ( $\tau \alpha \rho \alpha$ ). With all these supernatural happenings they predicted the marvellous career of this child. Note T,
what, not Tis,
who. Cf. Ac 12:18 .
They laid them up ( $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon v \tau 0$, second aorist middle indicative) as Mary did ( $2: 19$ ).
The hand of the Lord ( $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho$ Kvpıov). Luke's explanation in addition to the supernatural events. The expression occurs only in Luke's writing (Ac 11:21; 13:11).

Luke 1:67
Prophesied ( $\varepsilon \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v)$. Under the guidance of the Holy Spirit. This Benedictus (Ev入oүптоя,

Blessed ) of Zacharias (68-79) may be what is referred to in verse 64 "he began to speak blessing God" ( $\varepsilon v \lambda o \gamma \omega v$ ). Nearly every phrase here is found in the O.T. (Psalms and Prophets). He, like Mary, was full of the Holy Spirit and had caught the Messianic message in its highest meaning.

Luke 1:68
Hath visited ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \psi \alpha \tau 0)$. An old Greek word with a Hebraic colouring to look into with a view to help. The papyri have plenty of examples of the verb in the sense of inspecting, examining.

Redemption $(\lambda \nu \tau \rho \omega \sigma v)$ here originally referred to political redemption, but with a moral and spiritual basis (verses 75,77 ).

Luke 1:69
Horn of salvation (кєраৎ $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho ı \alpha \varsigma)$. A common metaphor in the O.T. (1Sa 2:10; 2Sa 23:3 , etc.). It represents strength like the horns of bulls. Cf. Ps. 132:17.

Luke 1:70
Since the world began ( $\left.\alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha \iota \omega v o \varsigma\right)$. Better "from of old" (Weymouth, American Revision).

Luke 1:73
The oath which he sware (оркоv ov $\omega \mu \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Antecedent attracted to case of the relative. The oath appears in Ge 22:16-18. The oppression of the Gentiles seems to be in the mind of Zacharias. It is not certain how clearly he grasped the idea of the spiritual Israel as Paul saw it in Galatians and Romans.

Luke 1:74
Delivered ( $\rho v \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist passive participle of an old verb, $\rho v o \mu \alpha$. The accusative case appears, where the dative could have been used to agree with $\eta \mu \nu v$, because of the

to serve (from
latros, for hire). But Plato uses the word of service for God so that the bad sense does not always exist.

Luke 1:75
In holiness and righteousness ( $\varepsilon v$ обюот $\eta \tau \alpha \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \circ \sigma v \vee \eta$ ). Not a usual combination (Eph 4:24; Tit 1:8; 1Th 2:10). The Godward and the manward aspects of conduct (Bruce). Hoбıo¢, the eternal principles of right, $\delta$ เкaıos, the rule of conduct before men.

Luke 1:76
Yea and thou ( $\kappa \alpha \sigma v \delta \varepsilon$ ). Direct address to the child with forecast of his life (cf. 1:13-17).
Prophet $(\pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \eta \varsigma)$. The word here directly applied to the child. Jesus will later call John a prophet and more than a prophet.

The Lord (Kupıou). Jehovah as in 1:16.
Luke 1:77
Knowledge of salvation ( $\gamma v \omega \sigma \iota v \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha$ ). "This is the aim and end of the work of the Forerunner" (Plummer).

Luke 1:78
Tender mercy ( $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \vee \alpha \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon o u \varsigma)$. Bowels of mercy literally (1Pe 3:8; Jas 3:11). Revised margin has it, hearts of mercy.

The dayspring from on high (avato $\eta \varepsilon \xi$ vץouc). Literally, rising from on high, like the rising sun or stars (Isa 60:19). The word is used also of a sprouting plant or branch (Jer 23:5; Zec 6:12 ), but that does not suit here.

Shall visit ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$, correct text, cf. 1:68.
Luke 1:79

To shine upon ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi \alpha \nu \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi a \iota v \omega$ (liquid verb). An old verb to give light, to shine upon, like the sun or stars. See also Ac 27:20; Tit 2:11; 3:4 .

The shadow of death ( $\sigma \kappa \iota \alpha$ Өavatov). See Ps 107:10, where darkness and shadow of death are combined as here. Cf. also Isa 9:1. See on Mt 4:16. To guide ( $\tau 0 v \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \theta v v a$ ). Genitive of the articular infinitive of purpose. The light will enable them in the dark to see how to walk in a straight path that leads to "the way of peace." We are still on that road, but so many stumble for lack of light, men and nations.

Luke 1:80
Grew ( $\eta \cup \xi \propto \vee \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active, was growing.
Waxed strong ( $\varepsilon \kappa \rho a \tau \alpha \iota \nu \tau o)$. Imperfect again. The child kept growing in strength of body and spirit.

His shewing ( $\alpha v \alpha \delta \varepsilon ı \xi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau o v)$. Here alone in the N.T. It occurs in Plutarch and Polybius. The verb appears in a sacrificial sense. The boy, as he grew, may have gone up to the passover and may have seen the boy Jesus (Lu 2:42-52), but he would not know that he was to be the Messiah. So these two boys of destiny grew on with the years, the one in the desert hills near Hebron after Zacharias and Elisabeth died, the other, the young Carpenter up in Nazareth, each waiting for "his shewing unto Israel."

## Luke 2

Luke 2:1
Decree from Caesar Augustus ( $\delta о \gamma \mu \alpha$ тара Kaıбароৎ Avүouбтov). Old and common word from $\delta$ ок $\varepsilon \omega$, to think, form an opinion. No such decree was given by Greek or Roman historians and it was for long assumed by many scholars that Luke was in error. But papyri and inscriptions have confirmed Luke on every point in these crucial verses 2:1-7. See W.M. Ramsay's books (Was Christ Born at Bethelehem? Luke the Physician. The Bearing of Recent Discovery on the Trustworthiness of the N.T.).

The World ( $\tau \eta v$ окко $\mu \varepsilon v \eta \nu$ ). Literally,
the inhabited (land, $\gamma \eta v$ ). Inhabited by the Greeks, then by the Romans, then the whole world (Roman world, the world ruled by Rome). So Ac 11:28; 17:6.

Should be enrolled ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). It was a census, not a taxing, though taxing generally followed and was based on the census. This word is very old and common. It means to write or copy off for the public records, to register.

Luke 2:2
The first enrolment ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta$ ). A definite allusion by Luke to a series of censuses instituted by Augustus, the second of which is mentioned by him in Ac 5:37. This second one is described by Josephus and it was supposed by some that Luke confused the two. But Ramsay has shown that a periodical fourteen-year census in Egypt is given in dated papyri back to A.D. 20. The one in Ac 5:37 would then be A.D. 6. This is in the time of Augustus. The first would then be B.C. 8 in Egypt. If it was delayed a couple of years in Palestine by Herod the Great for obvious reasons, that would make the birth of Christ about B.C. 6 which agrees with the other known data

When Quirinius (Kvp $\quad$ viov). Genitive absolute. Here again Luke has been attacked on the ground that Quirinius was only governor of Syria once and that was A.D. 6 as shown by Josephus (Ant. XVIII. I.I). But Ramsay has proven by inscriptions that Quirinius was twice in Syria and that Luke is correct here also. See summary of the facts in my Luke the Historian in the Light of Research, pp. 118-29.

Luke 2:3
 the heading enrolment by household ( $\alpha \pi о ү \rho а \varphi \eta ~ к а т ' ~ о к к ı \alpha v) . ~ H e r e ~ a g a i n ~ L u k e ~ i s ~ v i n d i c a t e d . ~$ Each man went to the town where his family register was kept.

## Luke 2:5

To enrol himself with Mary ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \sigma \cup \nu$ Mapıa $)$. Direct middle. "With Mary" is naturally taken with the infinitive as here. If so, that means that Mary's family register was in Bethlehem also and that she also belonged to the house of David. It is possible to connect "with Mary" far back with "went up" ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \beta \eta$ ) in verse 4, but it is unnatural to do so.

There is no real reason for doubting that Mary herself was a descendant of David and that is the obvious way to understand Luke's genealogy of Jesus in Lu 3:23-38). The Syriac Sinaitic expressly says that both Joseph and Mary were of the house and city of David.

Betrothed ( $\varepsilon \mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon \nu \mu \varepsilon v \eta \nu)$. Same verb as in 1:27, but here it really means "married" or "espoused" as Mt 1:24f. shows. Otherwise she could not have travelled with Joseph.

Great with child ( $\varepsilon \nu \kappa \nu \omega)$ ). Only here in N.T. Common Greek word.
Luke 2:6
That she should be delivered ( $\tau 0 \cup \tau \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ \nu \alpha \cup \tau \eta v$ ).
For the bearing the child as to her. A neat use of the articular infinitive, second aorist active, with the accusative of general reference. From $\tau ו \kappa \tau \omega$, common verb.

Luke 2:7
Her firstborn ( $\tau 0 v \pi \rho \omega \tau$ тотокоv). The expression naturally means that she afterwards had other children and we read of brothers and sisters of Jesus. There is not a particle of evidence for the notion that Mary refused to bear other children because she was the mother of the Messiah.

Wrapped in swaddling clothes ( $\varepsilon \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \gamma \alpha v \omega \sigma \varepsilon v)$. From $\sigma \pi \alpha \rho \gamma \alpha v o v$, a swathing band. Only here and verse 12 in the N.T., but in Euripides, Aristotle, Hippocrates, Plutarch. Frequent in medical works.

In a manger ( $\varepsilon v \varphi a \tau v \eta$ ). In a crib in a stall whether in a cave (Justin Martyr) or connected with the inn we do not know. The cattle may have been out on the hills or the donkeys used in travelling may have been feeding in this stall or another near.

In the inn ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \nu \mu \alpha \tau$ ). A lodging-house or khan, poor enough at best, but there was not even room in this public place because of the crowds for the census. See the word also in Lu 22:11; Mr 14:14 with the sense of guest-room (cf. $1 \mathrm{Ki} 1: 13$ ). It is the Hellenistic equivalent for кат $\gamma \omega \gamma \varepsilon \circ \circ$ and appears also in one papyrus. See Ex 4:24. There would sometimes be an inner court, a range or arches, an open gallery round the four sides. On one side of the square, outside the wall, would be stables for the asses and camels, buffaloes and goats. Each man had to carry his own food and bedding.

## Luke 2:8

Abiding in the field ( $\alpha \gamma \rho \alpha \cup \lambda$ ouvtє¢). From $\alpha \gamma \rho \circ \varsigma$, field and $\alpha \cup \lambda \eta$, court. The shepherds were making the field their court. Plutarch and Strabo use the word.

Keeping watch ( $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma о v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha \varsigma)$. Cognate accusative. They were bivouacking by night and it was plainly mild weather. In these very pastures David had fought the lion and the bear to protect the sheep (1Sa 17:34f. ). The plural here probably means that they watched by turns. The flock may have been meant for the temple sacrifices. There is no way to tell.

Luke 2:9

Stood by them ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta$ avtoıc). Ingressive aorist active indicative. Stepped by their side. The same word in Ac 12:7 of the angel there. Paul uses it in the sense of standing by in Ac 22:20. It is a common old Greek word, $\varepsilon \varphi เ \sigma \tau \eta \mu$.

Were sore afraid ( $\varepsilon \varphi \rho \beta \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v \varphi \circ \beta o v \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha v)$. First aorist passive indicative with cognate accusative (the passive sense gone), they feared a great fear.

Luke 2:10
I bring you good tidings of great joy ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota} \zeta \rho \mu \alpha \nu \mu \nu \nu \chi \alpha \rho \alpha v \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \nu$ ). Wycliff, "I evangelize to you a great joy." The active verb $\varepsilon \cup \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{1} \zeta \omega$ occurs only in late Greek writers, LXX, a few papyri examples, and the N.T. The middle (deponent) appears from Aristophanes on. Luke and Paul employ both substantive $\varepsilon \Delta \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota}$ ov and verb $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \zeta \omega$ very frequently. It is to Paul's influence that we owe their frequency and popularity in the language of Christendom (George Milligan, The Epistles to the Thessalonians, p. 143). The other Gospels do not have the verb save Mt 11:5 and that in a quotation (Isa 61:1).

## Luke 2:11

Is $\beta$ opv ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \chi \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative from $\tau \iota \kappa \tau \omega$. Was born.
Saviour ( $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$ ). This great word is common in Luke and Paul and seldom elsewhere in the N.T. (Bruce). The people under Rome's rule came to call the emperor "Saviour" and Christians took the word and used it of Christ. See inscriptions (Deissmann, Light from the Ancient East, p. 344).

Christ the Lord (Xpıfтos Kupıos). This combination occurs nowhere else in the N.T. and it is not clear what it really means. Luke is very fond of Kupıos ( Lord ) where the other Gospels have Jesus. It may mean "Christ the Lord," "Anointed Lord," "Messiah, Lord," "The Messiah, the Lord," "An Anointed One, a Lord," or "Lord Messiah." It occurs once in the LXX (La 4:20) and is in Ps. of Sol. 17:36. Ragg suggests that our phrase "the Lord Jesus Christ" is really involved in "A Saviour (Jesus) which is Christ the Lord." See on Mt 1:1 for Christ and Mt 21:3 for Lord.

Luke 2:13
Host ( $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau 1 a \varsigma$ ). A military term for a band of soldiers common in the ancient Greek. Bengel says: "Here the army announces peace."

Praising ( $\alpha \downarrow v o u v \tau \omega v$ ). Construction according to sense (plural, though $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \alpha$, is singular).

Luke 2:14
Among men in whom he is well pleased ( $\varepsilon v a v \theta \rho \omega \pi о \iota \varsigma \varepsilon v \delta о к ı \alpha \varsigma)$. The Textus Receptus (Authorized Version also has $\varepsilon v \delta o \kappa ı \alpha$, but the genitive $\varepsilon v \delta o \kappa ı \alpha \varsigma ~ i s ~ u n d o u b t e d l y ~ c o r r e c t, ~$ supported by the oldest and best uncials. (Aleph, A B D W). C has a lacuna here. Plummer justly notes how in this angelic hymn Glory and Peace correspond, in the highest and on earth, to God and among men of goodwill. It would be possible to connect "on earth" with "the highest" and also to have a triple division. There has been much objection raised to the
genitive عuסокıaৎ, the correct text. But it makes perfectly good sense and better sense. As a matter of fact real peace on earth exists only among those who are the subjects of God's goodwill, who are characterized by goodwill toward God and man. This word عuסoкıa we have already had in Mt 11:26. It does not occur in the ancient Greek. The word is confined to Jewish and Christian writings, though the papyri furnish instances of عuסокךбıc. Wycliff has it "to men of goodwill."

Luke 2:15
Said to one another ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda 0 u v \pi \rho o \varsigma ~ \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o v \varsigma)$. Imperfect tense, inchoative, "began to speak," each to the other. It suggests also repetition, they kept saying,

Now ( $\delta \eta$ ). A particle of urgency.
This thing ( $\tau о ~ \rho \eta \mu \alpha$ тоvто). A Hebraistic and vernacular use of $\rho \eta \mu \alpha$ (something said) as something done. See on Lu 1:65. The ancient Greek used $\lambda o \gamma o$ o in this same way.

Luke 2:16
With haste ( $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \cup \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Aorist active participle of simultaneous action.
Found ( $\alpha v \varepsilon v \rho \alpha v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of a common Greek verb $\alpha v \varepsilon v \rho ı \sigma \kappa \omega$, but only in Luke in the N.T. The compound ava suggests a search before finding.

Luke 2:17
 common from Aeschylus on, from the root of $\gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ (to know). It is both transitive and intransitive in the N.T.

Luke 2:19
Kept ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active. She kept on keeping together ( $\sigma v v-$ ) all these things. They were meat and drink to her. She was not astonished, but filled with holy awe. The verb occurs from Aristotle on. She could not forget. But did not Mary keep also a Baby Book? And may not Luke have seen it?

Pondering ( $\sigma v \vee \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda o v \sigma \alpha$ ). An old Greek word. Placing together for comparison. Mary would go over each detail in the words of Gabriel and of the shepherds and compare the sayings with the facts so far developed and brood over it all with a mother's high hopes and joy.

Luke 2:21
His name was called Jesus ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \eta$ то ovoна $\alpha v \tau о v$ I $\eta \sigma о \cup \varsigma)$. The ка is left untranslated or has the sense of "then" in the apodosis. The naming was a part of the ceremony of circumcision as is shown also in the case of John the Baptist (Lu 1:59-66).

Luke 2:22
The days of their purification ( $\alpha \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ тov $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \mu \circ v \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). The old manuscripts have "their" ( $\alpha v \tau \omega v$ ) instead of "her" ( $\alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma)$ of the later documents. But it is not clear whether "their" refers to Mary and Joseph as is true of "they brought" or to Mary and the
child. The mother was Levitically unclean for forty days after the birth of a son (Le 12:1-8 ).

To present him to the Lord ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \omega K v \rho \omega)$. Every first-born son was thus redeemed by the sacrifice (Ex 13:2-12) as a memorial of the sparing of the Israelitish families (Nu 18:15f. ). The cost was about two dollars and a half in our money.

Luke 2:23
In the law of the Lord ( $\varepsilon v v o \mu \omega$ Kvpıov). No articles, but definite by preposition and genitive. Vincent notes that "law" occurs in this chapter five times. Paul (Gal 4:4) will urge that Jesus "was made under the law" as Luke here explains. The law did not require that the child be brought to Jerusalem. The purification concerned the mother, the presentation the son.

Luke 2:24
A pair of turtledoves, or two young pigeons (Z $\varepsilon v \gamma \circ \varsigma \tau \rho \cup \gamma o v \omega \nu \eta \delta v o$ vo $\tau \sigma o v \varsigma$ $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega v)$. The offspring of the poor, costing about sixteen cents, while a lamb would cost nearly two dollars. The "young of pigeons" is the literal meaning.

Luke 2:25
Devout ( $\varepsilon v \lambda \alpha \beta \eta \varsigma$ ). Used only by Luke (Ac 2:5; 8:2; 22:12 ) in the N.T. Common in ancient Greek from Plato on. It means taking hold well or carefully ( $\varepsilon v$ and $\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \imath v$ ) and so reverently, circumspectly.

Looking for the consolation of Israel ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \nu \nu$ тov I $\sigma \rho \alpha \varepsilon \lambda$ ). Old Greek verb to admit to one's presence ( $\operatorname{Lu}$ 15:2 ) and then to expect as here and of Anna in verse 38.

Parakl\|sin here means the Messianic hope (Isa 11:10; 40:1), calling to one's side for cheer.

Upon him ( $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime}$ avtov). This is the explanation of his lively Messianic hope. It was due to the Holy Spirit. Simeon and Anna are representatives of real piety in this time of spiritual dearth and deadness.

Luke 2:26
It had been revealed unto him ( $\eta \nu \alpha v \tau \omega \kappa \varepsilon \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau ı \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Periphrastic past perfect passive indicative. Common Greek verb. First to transact business from $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha$ and that from $\chi \rho \alpha о \mu \alpha$, to use, make use of; then to do business with public officials, to give advice (judges, rulers, kings), then to get the advice of the Delphic and other oracles (Diodorus, Plutarch). The LXX and Josephus use it of God's commands. A Fayum papyrus of 257 B.C. has the substantive $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \sigma \mu \circ$, for a divine response (cf. Ro 11:4). See Deissmann, Light From the Ancient East, p. 153.

Before ( $\pi \rho ı \vee \eta$ ). Classic Greek idiom after a negative to have subjunctive as here (only example in the N.T.) or the optative after past tense as in Ac 25:16 (subjunctive changed to
optative in indirect discourse). Elsewhere in the N.T. the infinitive follows $\pi \rho \iota v$ as in Mt 1:18.

Luke 2:27
 I $\eta \sigma o u v$ ). A neat Greek and Hebrew idiom difficult to render into English, very common in the LXX;

In the bringing the Child Jesus as to the parents. The articular infinitive and two accusatives (one the object, the other accusative of general reference).

After the custom of the law ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ то $\varepsilon ө \ell \sigma \mu \varepsilon v \circ v$ тov vouov). Here the perfect passive participle $\varepsilon \nmid \theta \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o v$, neuter singular from $\varepsilon \theta_{\iota} \zeta \omega$ (common Greek verb, to accustom) is used as a virtual substantive like to $\varepsilon \theta$ os in $1: 8$. Luke alone in the N.T. uses either word save $\varepsilon \theta$ os in Joh 19:40, though $\varepsilon เ \omega \theta$ a from $\varepsilon \theta \omega$, occurs also in Mt 27:15; Mr 10:1 .

Luke 2:28
Then he ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \cup \tau о \varsigma) . ~ K \alpha$ as in 2:21. Avтoऽ, emphatic subject, he after the parents.
Arms ( $\alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha \varsigma$ ). Old Greek word, here only in the N.T. It means the curve or inner angle of the arm.

Luke 2:29
Now lettest thou ( $v v v a \pi 0 \lambda \nu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Present active indicative,
Thou art letting. The Nunc Dimittis, adoration and praise. It is full of rapture and vivid intensity (Plummer) like the best of the Psalms. The verb $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda v \omega$ was common for the manumission of slaves and Simeon here calls himself "thy slave ( $\delta o u \lambda o v ~ \sigma o v), ~ L o r d ~(~(\Delta \varepsilon \sigma \pi о \tau \alpha, ~$ our despot)." See 2Pe 2:1.

Luke 2:31
Of all the peoples ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \omega v \tau \omega v \lambda \alpha \omega v$ ). Not merely Jews. Another illustration of the universality of Luke's Gospel seen already in 1:70 in the hymn of Zacharias. The second strophe of the song according to Plummer showing what the Messiah will be to the world after having shown what the Messiah is to Simeon.

Luke 2:32
Revelation to the Gentiles ( $\alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \psi \iota v \varepsilon \theta v \omega v$ ). Objective genitive. The Messiah is to be light ( $\varphi \omega \varsigma$ ) for the Gentiles in darkness ( 1:70) and glory ( $\delta 0 \xi \alpha$ ) for Israel (cf. Ro 9:1-5; Isa 49:6 ). The word $\varepsilon \theta v o s$ originally meant just a crowd or company, then a race or nation, then the nations other than Israel (the people, o $\lambda$ oos) or the people of God. The word Gentile is Latin from gens, a tribe or nation. But the world-wide mission of the Messiah comes out clearly in these early chapters in Luke.

Luke 2:33
His father and his mother (o $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ av $\tau 0 \cup \kappa \alpha ~ \eta \mu \eta \tau \eta \rho$ ). Luke had already used "parents" in 2:27. He by no means intends to deny the Virgin Birth of Jesus so plainly stated in 1:34-38.

He merely employs here the language of ordinary custom. The late MSS. wrongly read "and Joseph" instead of "his father."

Were marvelling ( $\eta \vee \theta \alpha \nu \mu \zeta \rho \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. The masculine gender includes the feminine when both are referred to. But $\eta v$ is singular, not $\eta \sigma \alpha v$, the normal imperfect plural in this periphrastic imperfect. This is due to the wide space between copula and participle. The copula $\eta \nu$ agrees in number with o $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ while the participle coming last agrees with both o $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho$ $\kappa \alpha \eta \mu \eta \tau \eta \rho$ (cf. Mt 17:3; 22:40). If one wonders why they marvelled at Simeon's words after what they had heard from Gabriel, Elisabeth, and the Shepherds, he should bear in mind that every parent is astonished and pleased at the fine things others see in the child. It is a mark of unusual insight for others to see so much that is obvious to the parent. Simeon's prophecy had gone beyond the angel's outline and it was surprising that he should know anything about the child's destiny.

Luke 2:34
Is set for the falling and the rising up of many in Israel (Кદıт $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \pi \tau \omega \sigma เ v \kappa \alpha \alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ $\pi о \lambda \lambda \omega v \varepsilon v \tau \omega \operatorname{I\sigma } \rho a \eta \lambda)$. Present indicative of the old defective verb appearing only in present and imperfect in the N.T. Sometimes it is used as the passive of $\tau i \theta \eta \mu$ as here. The falling of some and the rising up of others is what is meant. He will be a stumbling-block to some (Isa 8:14; Mt 21:42,44; Ro 9:33; 1Pe 2:16f.) who love darkness rather than light (Joh 3:19), he will be the cause of rising for others (Ro 6:4,9; Eph 2:6 ). "Judas despairs, Peter repents: one robber blasphemes, the other confesses" (Plummer). Jesus is the magnet of the ages. He draws some, he repels others. This is true of all epoch-making men to some extent.

Spoken against ( $\alpha v \tau i \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Present passive participle, continuous action. It is going on today. Nietzsche regarded Jesus Christ as the curse of the race because he spared the weak.

Luke 2:35
A sword ( $\rho о \mu \varphi \alpha ı \alpha$ ). A large sword, properly a long Thracian javelin. It occurs in the LXX of Goliath's sword (1Sa 17:51 ). How little Mary understood the meaning of Simeon's words that seemed so out of place in the midst of the glorious things already spoken, a sharp thorn in their roses, a veritable bitter-sweet. But one day Mary will stand by the Cross of Christ with this Thracian javelin clean through her soul, $\sigma \tau \alpha \beta a \tau$ Mate $\Delta \mathrm{o}$ оо 0 oбa (Joh 19:25 ). It is only a parenthesis here, and a passing cloud perhaps passed over Mary's heart already puzzled with rapture and ecstasy.

May be revealed ( $\alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \varphi \theta \omega \sigma \tau v$ ). Unveiled. First aorist passive subjunctive after $o \pi \omega \varsigma \alpha v$ and expresses God's purpose in the mission of the Messiah. He is to test men's thoughts ( $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda o \gamma เ \sigma \mu \circ)$ and purposes. They will be compelled to take a stand for Christ or against him. That is true today.

Luke 2:36

One Anna a prophetess (Havva $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \iota \varsigma)$. The word $\pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \iota \varsigma$ occurs in the N.T. only here and Re 2:20. In old Greek writers it means a woman who interprets oracles. The long parenthesis into verse 37 tells of her great age. Montefiore makes it 106 as she was 15 when married, married 7 years, a widow 84 .

Luke 2:37
 leaving. The Spirit kept her in the temple as he led Simon to the temple (Plummer). The case of "the temple" ( $\tau 0 \cup$ וєpov) is ablative.

Night and day ( $v \cup \kappa \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha v$ ). Accusative of duration of time, all night and all day. She never missed a service in the temple.

Luke 2:38
Coming up ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha)$. Second aorist active participle. The word often has the notion of coming suddenly or bursting in as of Martha in Lu 10:40. But here it probably means coming up and standing by and so hearing Simeon's wonderful words so that her words form a kind of footnote to his.

Gave thanks ( $\alpha v \theta \omega \mu \circ \lambda$ o $\gamma \varepsilon \iota \tau o$ ). Imperfect middle of a verb ( $\alpha v \theta$ o $\mu \mathrm{o} \lambda \mathrm{\lambda} \gamma \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \omega$ ) in common use in Greek writers and in the LXX though here alone in the N.T. It had the idea of a mutual agreement or of saying something before one ( $\alpha v \tau$ ). Anna was evidently deeply moved and repeated her thanksgiving and kept speaking ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$, imperfect again) "to all them that were looking for ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \iota$, as in 1:35 of Simeon) the redemption of Jerusalem ( $\lambda \nu \tau \rho \omega \sigma \iota \operatorname{I\varepsilon \rho ov\sigma \alpha \lambda \eta \mu \text {)."Therewasevidentlyagroupofsuchspiritsthatgatheredinthe}}$ temple either men around her and Simeon or whom she met from time to time. There was thus a nucleus of old saints in Jerusalem prepared for the coming of the Messiah when he at last appears as the Messiah in Jerusalem (John 2 and 3). These probably all passed away. But they had a happy hour of hope and joy. The late MSS. have "in Jerusalem" but "of Jerusalem" is correct. What they meant by the "redemption of Jerusalem" is not clear, whether political or spiritual or both. Simeon was looking for the consolation of Israel ( $2: 25$ ) and Zacharias ( 1:68) sang of redemption for Israel (Isa 40:2 ).

Luke 2:39
To their own city Nazareth ( $\varepsilon เ \varsigma \pi o \lambda \iota v \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega v N \alpha \zeta \alpha \rho \varepsilon \tau)$. See on Mt 2:23 about Nazareth. Luke tells nothing of the flight to Egypt and the reason for the return to Nazareth instead of Bethlehem, the place of the birth of Jesus as told in Mt 2:13-23. But then neither Gospel gives all the details of this period. Luke has also nothing about the visit of the wise men (Mt 2:1-12) as Matthew tells nothing of the shepherds and of Simeon and Anna (Lu 2:8-28). The two Gospels supplement each other.

Luke 2:40
The child grew ( $\eta \cup \xi \alpha v \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect indicative of a very ancient verb ( $\alpha v \xi \alpha v \omega$ ). This child grew and waxed strong (eкратаıоито, imperfect middle), a hearty vigorous little boy
( $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota o v)$. Both verbs Luke used in 1:80 of the growth of John the Baptist as a child. Then he used also $\pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau$, in spirit. Here in addition to the bodily development Luke has "filled with wisdom" ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o v \mu \varepsilon v o v \sigma o \varphi เ \alpha)$. Present passive participle, showing that the process of filling with wisdom kept pace with the bodily growth. If it were only always true with others! We need not be troubled over this growth in wisdom on the part of Jesus any more than over his bodily growth. "The intellectual, moral, and spiritual growth of the Child, like the physical, was real. His was a perfect humanity developing perfectly, unimpeded by hereditary or acquired defects. It was the first instance of such a growth in history. For the first time a human infant was realizing the ideal of humanity" (Plummer).

The grace of God ( $\chi \alpha \rho ı \varsigma \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). In full measure.
Luke 2:41
Every year (кат' عтос). This idiom only here in the N.T., a common Greek construction. Every male was originally expected to appear at the passover, pentecost, and tabernacles (Ex 23:14-17; 34:23; De 16:16 ). But the Dispersion rendered that impossible. But pious Palestinian Jews made a point of going at least to the passover. Mary went with Joseph as a pious habit, though not required by law to go.

Luke 2:42
Twelve years old ( $\varepsilon \tau \omega \nu \delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha)$. Predicate genitive. Luke does not say that Jesus had not been to Jerusalem before, but at twelve a Jewish boy became a "son of the law" and began to observe the ordinances, putting on the phylacteries as a reminder.

They went up ( $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha \iota v o v \tau \omega v \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute with present active participle, a loose construction here, for the incident narrated took place after they had gone up, not while they were gong up. "On their usual going up" (Plummer).

Luke 2:43
When they had fulfilled the days ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \epsilon \omega \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \omega v \tau \alpha \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute again, but aorist participle (effective aorist). "The days" may mean the full seven days (Ex 12:15f.; Le 23:6-8; De 16:3 ), or the two chief days after which many pilgrims left for home.

As they were returning ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega$ v $\tau о \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon ו \nu \alpha \nu \tau 0 v \varsigma)$. The articular infinitive with $\varepsilon \nu$, a construction that Luke often uses (1:21; 2:27).

The boy, Jesus (Inoous o тaıc). More exactly, "Jesus the boy." In verse 40 it was "the child " ( $\tau \mathrm{o} \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \circ$ ), here it is "the boy" (o тaıৎ, no longer the diminutive form). It was not disobedience on the part of "the boy" that made him remain behind, but intense interest in the services of the temple; "involuntary preoccupation" (Bruce) held him fast.

Luke 2:44
In the company ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \sigma \cup v o \delta i \alpha)$. The caravan going together on the road or way ( $\sigma \cup v$, odoc), a journey in company, then by metonymy the company itself. A common Greek word (Plutarch, Strabo, etc.). The women usually went ahead and the men followed. Joseph
may have thought Jesus was with Mary and Mary that he was with Joseph. "The Nazareth caravan was so long that it took a whole day to look through it" (Plummer).

They sought for him ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \zeta \eta \tau 0 u v$ avtov). Imperfect active. Common Greek verb. Note force of $\alpha v \alpha$. They searched up and down, back and forth, a thorough search and prolonged, but in vain.

Luke 2:45
Seeking for him ( $\alpha v \alpha\lceil\eta \tau$ тouvteऽ $\alpha \cup \tau \circ v$ ). Present participle of the same verb. This was all that was worth while now, finding the lost boy.

Luke 2:46
After three days ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. One day out, one day back, and on the third day finding him.

In the temple ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega)$. Probably on the terrace where members of the Sanhedrin gave public instruction on sabbaths and feast-days, so probably while the feast was still going on. The rabbis probably sat on benches in a circle. The listeners on the ground, among whom was Jesus the boy in a rapture of interest.

Both hearing them and asking them questions ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \kappa о \cup о \nu \tau \alpha \alpha \cup \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \omega \nu \tau \alpha$ avtous). Paul sat at the feet of Gamaliel (Ac 22:3). Picture this eager boy alive with interest. It was his one opportunity in a theological school outside of the synagogue to hear the great rabbis expound the problems of life. This was the most unusual of all children, to be sure, in intellectual grasp and power. But it is a mistake to think that children of twelve do not think profoundly concerning the issues of life. What father or mother has ever been able to answer a child's questions?

Luke 2:47
Were amazed ( $\varepsilon \xi \sigma \tau \alpha v \tau 0)$. Imperfect indicative middle, descriptive of their continued and repeated astonishment. Common verb $\varepsilon \xi$ ৷бт $\mu \mu$ meaning that they stood out of themselves as if their eyes were bulging out. The boy had a holy thirst for knowledge (Plummer), and he used a boy's way of learning.

At his understanding ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \sigma u v \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Based on ( $\varepsilon \pi$ ), the grasp and comprehension from $\sigma u v i \eta \mu$, comparing and combining things. Cf. Mr 12:33.

His answers ( $\tau \alpha \iota \varsigma ~ \alpha \pi о к \rho \iota \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \iota v ~ \alpha u \tau o v) . ~ I t ~ i s ~ n o t ~ d i f f i c u l t ~ t o ~ a s k ~ h a r d ~ q u e s t i o n s, ~ b u t ~ t h i s ~$ boy had astounding answers to their questions, revealing his amazing intellectual and spiritual growth.

Luke 2:48
They were astonished ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. Second aorist passive indicative of an old Greek word ( $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma \omega)$, to strike out, drive out by a blow. Joseph and Mary "were struck out" by what they saw and heard. Even they had not fully realized the power in this wonderful boy. Parents often fail to perceive the wealth of nature in their children.

Luke 2:49

Son ( $\tau \varepsilon \kappa v o v)$. Child, literally. It was natural for Mary to be the first to speak.
Why (T). The mother's reproach of the boy is followed by a confession of negligence on her part and of Joseph ( sorrowing , oठuv $\omega \mu \varepsilon v o$ ).

Thy father (o $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho$ бov). No contradiction in this. Alford says: "Up to this time Joseph had been so called by the holy child himself, but from this time never."

Sought ( $\varepsilon \zeta \eta \tau 0 \cup \mu \varepsilon v)$. Imperfect tense describing the long drawn out search for three days.

How is it that (T or). The first words of Jesus preserved to us. This crisp Greek idiom without copula expresses the boy's amazement that his parents should not know that there was only one possible place in Jerusalem for him.

I must be ( $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon ו v \propto \mu \varepsilon$ ). Messianic consciousness of the necessity laid on him. Jesus often uses $\delta \varepsilon$ (must) about his work. Of all the golden dreams of any boy of twelve here is the greatest.

In my Father's house ( $\varepsilon v$ tois $\operatorname{\tau ov} \pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma \mu \circ v$ ). Not "about my Father's business," but "in my Father's house" (cf. Ge 41:51 ). Common Greek idiom. And note "my," not "our." When the boy first became conscious of his peculiar relation to the Father in heaven we do not know. But he has it now at twelve and it will grow within him through the years ahead in Nazareth.

Luke 2:50
They understood not (ov $\sigma v v \eta \kappa \alpha v$ ). First aorist active indicative (one of the k aorists). Even Mary with all her previous preparation and brooding was not equal to the dawning of the Messianic consciousness in her boy. "My Father is God," Jesus had virtually said, "and I must be in His house." Bruce observes that a new era has come when Jesus calls God "Father," not $\Delta \varepsilon \sigma \pi$ otec. "Even we do not yet fully understand" (Bruce) what Jesus the boy here said.

Luke 2:51
He was subject unto them ( $\eta \vee \cup \pi о \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ a v \tau o เ \varsigma) . ~ P e r i p h r a s t i c ~ i m p e r f e c t ~ p a s s i v e . ~$ He continued subject unto them, this wondrous boy who really knew more than parents and rabbis, this gentle, obedient, affectionate boy. The next eighteen years at Nazareth (Lu 3:23 ) he remained growing into manhood and becoming the carpenter of Nazareth (Mr 6:3) in succession to Joseph (Mt 13:55) who is mentioned here for the last time. Who can tell the wistful days when Jesus waited at Nazareth for the Father to call him to his Messianic task?

Kept ( $\delta เ \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active. Ancient Greek word ( $\delta ı a \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$ ), but only here and Ac 15:29 in the N.T. though in Ge 37:11. She kept thoroughly $(\delta \Delta \alpha)$ all these recent sayings (or things, $\rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). In 2:19 $\sigma u v \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon$ is the word used of Mary after the shepherds left. These she kept pondering and comparing all the things. Surely she has a full heart now. Could she foresee how destiny would take Jesus out beyond her mother's reach?

Luke 2:52
Advanced in wisdom and stature ( $\pi \rho о \varepsilon к о \pi \tau \varepsilon v \tau \eta \sigma о \varphi \iota \alpha \kappa \alpha \eta \lambda \iota \kappa \iota \alpha$ ). Imperfect active, he kept cutting his way forward as through a forest or jungle as pioneers did. He kept growing in stature ( $\eta \lambda_{\iota}$ เı $\alpha$ may mean age, as in 12:25, but stature here) and in wisdom (more than mere knowledge). His physical, intellectual, moral, spiritual development was perfect. "At each stage he was perfect for that stage" (Plummer).

In favour ( $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \tau$ ). Or grace. This is ideal manhood to have the favour of God and men.

## Luke 3

Luke 3:1
Now in the fifteenth year ( $\varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \omega$ ). Tiberius Caesar was ruler in the provinces two years before Augustus Caesar died. Luke makes a six-fold attempt here to indicate the time when John the Baptist began his ministry. John revived the function of the prophet ( $\mathrm{E} \chi \chi \varepsilon \mathrm{Ho}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{p} .2$ ) and it was a momentous event after centuries of prophetic silence. Luke begins with the Roman Emperor, then mentions Pontius Pilate Procurator of Judea, Herod Antipas Tetrarch of Galilee (and Perea), Philip, Tetrarch of Iturea and Trachonitis, Lysanias, Tetrarch of Abilene (all with the genitive absolute construction) and concludes with the high-priesthood of Annas and Caiaphas (son-in-law and successor of Annas). The ancients did not have our modern system of chronology, the names of rulers as here being the common way. Objection has been made to the mention of Lysanias here because Josephus (Ant. XXVII. I) tells of a Lysanias who was King of Abila up to B.C. 36 as the one referred to by Luke with the wrong date. But an inscription has been found on the site of Abilene with mention of "Lysanias the tetrarch" and at the time to which Luke refers (see my Luke the Historian in the Light of Research, pp. 167f.). So Luke is vindicated again by the rocks.

## Luke 3:2

The Word of God came unto John ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau о \rho \eta \mu \alpha \theta \varepsilon o v \varepsilon \pi I \omega \alpha \nu \eta \nu$ ). The great epoch marked by $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau$ rather than $\eta \nu$. P $\eta \mu \alpha \theta \varepsilon o v$ is some particular utterance of God (Plummer), common in LXX, here alone in the N.T. Then John is introduced as the son of Zacharias according to Chapter 1. Matthew describes him as the Baptist, Mark as the Baptizer. No other Gospel mentions Zacharias. Mark begins his Gospel here, but Matthew and Luke have two Infancy Chapters before. Luke alone tells of the coming of the word to John. All three Synoptics locate him "in the wilderness" ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \omega)$ as here, Mr 1:4; Mt 3:1 (adding "of Judea").

Luke 3:3
All the region round about Jordan ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha v \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \chi \omega \rho o v \tau 0 v$ Io $\delta \alpha \alpha v o v$ ). The wilderness was John's abode ( $1: 80$ ) so that he began preaching where he was. It was the plain (Ge 13:10f. ) or valley of the Jordan, El Ghor, as far north as Succoth (2Ch 4:17). Sometimes he was on the eastern bank of the Jordan (Joh 10:40 ), though usually on the west side. His baptizing kept him near the river.

The baptism of repentance unto remission of sins ( $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \iota \alpha \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \iota v$ $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \omega v)$. The same phrase as in Mr 1:4, which see for discussion of these important words. The word remission ( $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma$ ) "occurs in Luke more frequently than in all the other New Testament writers combined" (Vincent). In medical writers it is used for the relaxing of disease.

Luke 3:4
As it is written ( $\omega \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha$ ). The regular formula for quotation, perfect passive indicative of $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$.

Isaiah the prophet (Eбalov tov $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \sigma v)$. The same phrase in Mr 1:2 (correct text) and Mt 3:3. Mark, as we have seen, adds a quotation from Mal 3:1 and Luke gives verses 4 and 5 of Isa. 40 not in Matthew or Mark (Lu 3:5,6 ). See Mt 3:3; Mr 1:3 for discussion of Luke 4:4.

Luke 3:5
Valley $(\varphi \alpha \rho a \gamma \xi)$. Here only in the N.T., though in the LXX and ancient Greek. It is a ravine or valley hedged in by precipices.

Shall be filled ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Future passive indicative of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$. In 1845 when the Sultan visited Brusa the inhabitants were called out to clear the roads of rocks and to fill up the hollows. Oriental monarchs often did this very thing. A royal courier would go ahead to issue the call. So the Messiah sends his herald (John) before him to prepare the way for him. Isaiah described the preparation for the Lord's triumphal march and John used it with great force.

Hill (ßovvoc). Called a Cyrenaic word by Herodotus, but later Greek writers use it as does the LXX.

Brought low ( $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \iota v \omega \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Future passive indicative of $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \iota \nu 0 \omega$. Literal meaning here of a verb common in the metaphorical sense.

Crooked ( $\left.\sigma \kappa о \lambda_{\imath} \alpha\right)$. Common word, curved, opposite of o $\rho \theta$ os or $\varepsilon v \theta v \varsigma$, straight.
Luke 3:6
All flesh $(\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha \rho \xi)$. Used in the N.T. of the human race alone, though in the LXX brutes are included.

The salvation of God ( $\tau 0 \sigma o \tau \eta \rho \iota v \tau \tau v \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). The saving act of God. This phrase aptly describes Luke's Gospel which has in mind the message of Christ for all men. It is the universal Gospel.

## Luke 3:7

To the multitude that went out ( $\tau о \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \pi о \rho \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v o \iota \varsigma ~ o \chi \lambda о \iota \varsigma) . ~ P l u r a l, ~$
Multitudes. The present participle also notes the repetition of the crowds as does $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$ (imperfect), he used to say. Mt 3:7-10 singles out the message of John to the Pharisees and Sadducees, which see for discussion of details. Luke gives a summary of his preaching to the crowds with special replies to these inquiries: the multitudes, 10,11 , the publicans 12,13 , the soldiers 14 .

To be baptized of him ( $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta v \alpha v \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v$ ). This is the purpose of their coming. Mt 3:7 has simply "to his baptism." John's metaphors are from the wilderness (vipers, fruits, axe, slave boy loosing sandals, fire, fan, thrashing-floor, garner, chaff, stones).

Who warned you? ( $\tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon ı \xi \varepsilon v ~ v \mu \nu v ;$ ). The verb is like our "suggest" by proof to eye, ear, or brain (Lu 6:47; 12:5; Ac 9:16; 20:35; Mt 3:7 ). Nowhere else in the N.T. though common ancient word ( $v \pi 0 \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \kappa v v \mu$, show under, point out, give a tip or private hint).

Luke 3:10
Asked ( $\varepsilon \pi \eta \rho \omega \tau \omega v$ ). Imperfect tense, repeatedly asked.
What then must we do? ( $\tau$ ouv $\pi o \imath \eta \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$;). Deliberative aorist subjunctive. More exactly,

What then are we to do,
What then shall we do? Same construction in verses 12,14. The ouv refers to the severe things already said by John (Lu 3:7-9).

Luke 3:11
Coats ( $\chi ı \tau \omega v \alpha)$ ). The inner and less necessary undergarment. The outer indispensable unatıov is not mentioned. Note the specific and different message to each class. John puts his finger on the weaknesses of the people right before him.

Luke 3:12
Also publicans ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega v \alpha$ ). We have had the word already in Matthew (Mt 5:46; 9:10; 11:19; 18:17; 21:31f. ) and Mark (Mr 11:15f. ). It is sometimes coupled with harlots and other sinners, the outcasts of society. The word is made up from $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \rho \varsigma$, tax, and $\omega v \varepsilon \circ \mu \alpha$, to buy, and is an old one. The renter or collector of taxes was not popular anywhere, but least of all when a Jew collected taxes for the Romans and did it by terrible graft and extortions.

Extort ( $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). The verb means only to do or practice, but early the tax-collectors learned how to "do" the public as regular "blood-suckers." Lucian links them with crows and sycophants.

Luke 3:14
Soldiers also (ка $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \varepsilon v о \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Men on service, militantes rather than milites (Plummer). So Paul in 2Ti 2:4. An old word like $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \omega \omega \tau \eta \varsigma$, soldier. Some of these soldiers acted as police to help the publicans. But they were often rough and cruel.

Do violence to no man ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \alpha$ $\delta ı \alpha \sigma \varepsilon ı \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Here only in the N.T., but in the LXX and common in ancient Greek. It means to shake (seismic disturbance, earthquake) thoroughly ( $\delta(\alpha)$ and so thoroughly to terrify, to extort money or property by intimidating (3Macc. 7:21). The Latin employs concutere, so. It was a process of blackmail to which Socrates refers (Xenophon, Memorabilia, ii. 9,1). This was a constant temptation to soldiers. Might does not make right with Jesus.

Neither exact anything wrongfully ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \sigma \cup \kappa о \varphi a \nu \tau \eta \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). In Athens those whose business it was to inform against any one whom they might find exporting figs out of Attica were called fig-showers or sycophants ( $\sigma \cup к о \varphi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha)$. From $\sigma \cup \kappa о \nu$, fig, and $\varphi \alpha \iota v \omega$, show. Some modern scholars reject this explanation since no actual examples of the word meaning merely a fig-shower have been found. But without this view it is all conjectural. From the
time of Aristophanes on it was used for any malignant informer or calumniator. These soldiers were tempted to obtain money by informing against the rich, blackmail again. So the word comes to mean to accuse falsely. The sycophants came to be a regular class of informers or slanderers in Athens. Socrates is quoted by Xenophon as actually advising Crito to employ one in self-defence, like the modern way of using one gunman against another. Demosthenes pictures a sycophant as one who "glides about the market like a scorpion, with his venomous sting all ready, spying out whom he may surprise with misfortune and ruin and from whom he can most easily extort money, by threatening him with an action dangerous in its consequences" (quoted by Vincent). The word occurs only in Luke in the N.T., here and in Lu 19:8 in the confession of Zaccheus. It occurs in the LXX and often in the old Greek.

Be content with your wages ( $\alpha \rho \kappa \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon$ тoı $о \psi \omega v$ voı $\nu \mu \omega v$ ). Discontent with wages was a complaint of mercenary soldiers. This word for wages was originally anything cooked (o $\%$ ov, cooked food), and bought (from $\omega v \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$, to buy). Hence, "rations," "pay," wages. O $\psi \alpha \rho \circ \rho$, diminutive of o $\%$ ov, was anything eaten with bread like broiled fish. So o $\psi \omega v \iota o v$ comes to mean whatever is bought to be eaten with bread and then a soldier's pay or allowance (Polybius, and other late Greek writers) as in 1Co 9:7. Paul uses the singular of a preacher's pay (2Co 11:8) and the plural of the wages of $\sin$ (Ro 6:23) $=$ death (death is the diet of $\sin$ ).

Luke 3:15
Were in expectation ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta o \kappa \omega \nu \tau \circ \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute of this striking verb already seen in 1:21.

Reasoned ( $\delta 1 \alpha \lambda o \gamma \iota \zeta_{o \mu \varepsilon v \omega v) \text { ). Genitive absolute again. John's preaching about the }}$ Messiah and the kingdom of God stirred the people deeply and set them to wondering.

Whether haply he were the Christ ( $\mu \eta \pi о \tau \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau о \varsigma \varepsilon \emptyset\rceil$ о X $\rho \circ \tau \tau \varsigma)$. Optative $\varepsilon \eta \eta$ in indirect question changed from the indicative in the direct (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1031). John wrought no miracles and was not in David's line and yet he moved people so mightily that they began to suspect that he himself (avtoc) was the Messiah. The Sanhedrin will one day send a formal committee to ask him this direct question (Joh 1:19).

Luke 3:16
He that is mightier than I (o ו $\sigma \chi \cup \rho o \tau \varepsilon \rho о \varsigma ~ \mu о v)$. Like Mr 1:7, "the one mightier than I." Ablative case ( $\mu \mathrm{\rho} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ) of comparison. John would not turn aside for the flattery of the crowd. He was able to take his own measure in comparison with the Messiah and was loyal to him (see my John the Loyal). Compare Lu 3:16 with Mr 1:7f. and Mt 3:11f. for discussion of details. Luke has "fire" here after "baptize with the Holy Ghost" as Mt 3:11, which see. This bold Messianic picture in the Synoptic Gospels shows that John saw the Messiah's coming as a judgment upon the world like fire and the fan of the thrashing-floor, and with unquenchable fire for the chaff ( Lu 3:17; Mt 3:12). But he had the spiritual conception also, the baptism
in the Holy Spirit which will characterize the Messiah's Mission and so will far transcend the water baptism which marked the ministry of John.

Luke 3:18
Many other exhortations ( $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ouv $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). Literally, many and different things did John $\varepsilon \varsigma a v \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{l} \zeta_{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{l} \zeta \varepsilon \tau 0$, to the people. Luke has given a bare sample of the wonderful messages of the Baptist. Few as his words preserved are they give a definite and powerful conception of his preaching.

Luke 3:19
Reproved ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o c)$. Present passive participle of $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi \omega$, an old verb meaning in Homer to treat with contempt, then to convict (Mt 18:15), to expose (Eph 5:11), to reprove as here. The substantive $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi \circ \rho$ means proof (Heb 11:1) and $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \mathrm{\mu}$, censure (2Ti 3:16 ). Josephus (Ant. XVIII. V.4) shows how repulsive this marriage was to Jewish feeling.

Evil things ( $\pi \circ v \eta \rho \omega v$ ). Incorporated into the relative sentence. The word is from $\pi$ rovor, $\pi o v \varepsilon \omega$, toil, work, and gives the active side of evil, possibly with the notion of work itself as evil or at least an annoyance. The "evil eye" (o $\varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \circ \varsigma \pi о v \eta \rho o \varsigma$ in $\operatorname{Mr} 7: 22$ ) was a "mischief working eye" (Vincent). In Mt 6:23 it is a diseased eye. So Satan is "the evil one" (Mt 5:37; 6:13 , etc.). It is a very common adjective in the N.T. as in the older Greek.

Had done ( $\varepsilon \pi o \not \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Aorist active indicative, not past perfect, merely a summary constative aorist,
he did.
Luke 3:20
Added ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \theta \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative (kappa aorist). Common verb ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi(\theta \eta \mu$ ) in all Greek. In N.T. chiefly in Luke and Acts. Hippocrates used it of applying wet sponges to the head and Galen of applying a decoction of acorns. There is no evidence that Luke has a medical turn to the word here. The absence of the conjunction ot (that) before the next verb каєєк $\lambda \varepsilon ⿺ \sigma \varepsilon v$ (shut up) is asyndeton. This verb literally means
shut down , possibly with a reference to closing down the door of the dungeon, though it makes sense as a perfective use of the preposition, like our "shut up" without a strict regard to the idea of "down." It is an old and common verb, though here and Ac 26:10 only in the N.T. See Mt 14:3 for further statement about the prison.

Luke 3:21
When all the people were baptised ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \beta \alpha \pi \tau เ \sigma \theta \eta v \alpha \alpha \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha$ тov $\lambda \alpha o v$ ). The use of the articular aorist infinitive here with $\varepsilon v$ bothers some grammarians and commentators. There is no element of time in the aorist infinitive. It is simply punctiliar action, literally "in the being baptized as to all the people." Luke does not say that all the people were baptized before Jesus came or were baptized at the same time. It is merely a general statement that Jesus was baptized in connexion with or at the time of the baptizing of the people as a whole.

Jesus also having been baptized ( $\kappa \alpha \operatorname{I\eta \sigma ov~} \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \tau \circ \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute construction, first aorist passive participle. In Luke's sentence the baptism of Jesus is merely introductory to the descent of the Holy Spirit and the voice of the Father. For the narrative of the baptism see Mr 1:9; Mt 3:13-16.

And praying ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon v \chi о \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. Alone in Luke who so often mentions the praying of Jesus. Present participle and so naturally meaning that the heaven was opened while Jesus was praying though not necessarily in answer to his prayer.

The heaven was opened ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \omega \iota \chi \theta \eta v a$ тov ov $\rho \alpha v o v$ ). First aorist passive infinitive with double augment, whereas the infinitive is not supposed to have any augment. The regular form would be $\alpha v o r \chi \theta \eta v a$ as in D (Codex Bezae). So the augment appears in the future indicative $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \alpha \xi \varepsilon$ (Mt 12:20) and the second aorist passive subjunctive кат $\varepsilon \alpha \gamma \omega \sigma \iota v$ (Joh 19:31 ). Such unusual forms appear in the Koine. This infinitive here with the accusative of general reference is the subject of $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau 0$ (it came to pass). Mt 3:16 uses the same verb, but Mr 1:10 has $\sigma \chi 1 \zeta$ оцвvov¢, rent asunder.

Luke 3:22
Descended ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \eta \nu \alpha$ ). Same construction as the preceding infinitive.
The Holy Ghost ( $\tau 0 \pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha$ to $\alpha \gamma เ \circ v$ ). The Holy Spirit. Mr 1:10 has merely the Spirit ( $\tau 0 \pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha$ ) while Mt 3:16 has the Spirit of God ( $\pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \theta \varepsilon o v$ ).

In a bodily form ( $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \kappa \omega \omega \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta$ ). Alone in Luke who has also "as a dove" ( $\omega \varsigma$ $\pi \varepsilon \rho \prime \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha v)$ like Matthew and Mark. This probably means that the Baptist saw the vision that looked like a dove. Nothing is gained by denying the fact or possibility of the vision that looked like a dove. God manifests his power as he will. The symbolism of the dove for the Holy Spirit is intelligible. We are not to understand that this was the beginning of the Incarnation of Christ as the Cerinthian Gnostics held. But this fresh influx of the Holy Spirit may have deepened the Messianic consciousness of Jesus and certainly revealed him to the Baptist as God's Son.

And a voice came out of heaven ( $\kappa \alpha \varphi \omega v \eta \vee \varepsilon \xi$ ov $\rho \alpha v o v ~ \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Same construction of infinitive with accusative of general reference. The voice of the Father to the Son is given here as in Mr 1:11, which see, and Mt 3:17 for discussion of the variation there. The Trinity here manifest themselves at the baptism of Jesus which constitutes the formal entrance of Jesus upon his Messianic ministry. He enters upon it with the Father's blessing and approval and with the power of the Holy Spirit upon him. The deity of Christ here appears in plain form in the Synoptic Gospels. The consciousness of Christ is as clear on this point here as in the Gospel of John where the Baptist describes him after his baptism as the Son of God (Joh 1:34).

Luke 3:23
Jesus Himself (avtoc Inoouc). Emphatic intensive pronoun calling attention to the personality of Jesus at this juncture. When he entered upon his Messianic work.

When he began to teach ( $\alpha \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. The words "to teach" are not in the Greek text. The Authorized Version "began to be about thirty years of age," is an impossible translation. The Revised Version rightly supplies "to teach" ( $\delta \delta \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon เ v$ ) after the present participle $\alpha \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$. Either the infinitive or the participle can follow $\alpha \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, usually the infinitive in the Koine. It is not necessary to supply anything (Ac 1:22).

Was about thirty years of age ( $\eta \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \omega \nu \tau \rho \iota \alpha \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Tyndale has it right "Jesus was about thirty yere of age when he beganne." Luke does not commit himself definitely to precisely thirty years as the age of Christ. The Levites entered upon full service at that age, but that proves nothing about Jesus. God's prophets enter upon their task when the word of God comes to them. Jesus may have been a few months under or over thirty or a year or two less or more.

Being Son (as was supposed) of Joseph, the son of Heli ( $\omega v$ voo $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon v \circ \mu \iota \zeta \varepsilon \tau \circ \mathrm{I} \omega \sigma \eta \varphi$ $\tau \operatorname{~\tau } \mathrm{H} \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$ ). For the discussion of the genealogy of Jesus see on Mt 1:1-17. The two genealogies differ very widely and many theories have been proposed about them. At once one notices that Luke begins with Jesus and goes back to Adam, the Son of God, while Matthew begins with Abraham and comes to "Joseph the husband of Mary of whom was born Jesus who is called Christ" (Mt 1:16 ). Matthew employs the word "begot" each time, while Luke has the article tov repeating voov (Son) except before Joseph. They agree in the mention of Joseph, but Matthew says that "Jacob begat Joseph" while Luke calls "Joseph the son of Heli." There are other differences, but this one makes one pause. Joseph, of course, did not have two fathers. If we understand Luke to be giving the real genealogy of Jesus through Mary, the matter is simple enough. The two genealogies differ from Joseph to David except in the cases of Zorobabel and Salathiel. Luke evidently means to suggest something unusual in his genealogy by the use of the phrase "as was supposed" ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon$ voul!£тo). His own narrative in Lu 1:26-38 has shown that Joseph was not the actual father of Jesus. Plummer objects that, if Luke is giving the genealogy of Jesus through Mary, vooc must be used in two senses here (son as was supposed of Joseph, and grandson through Mary of Heli). But that is not an unheard of thing. In neither list does Matthew or Luke give a complete genealogy. Just as Matthew uses "begat" for descent, so does Luke employ "son" in the same way for descendant. It was natural for Matthew, writing for Jews, to give the legal genealogy through Joseph, though he took pains to show in Mt 1:16,18-25 that Joseph was not the actual father of Jesus. It was equally natural for Luke, a Greek himself and writing for the whole world, to give the actual genealogy of Jesus through Mary. It is in harmony with Pauline universality (Plummer) that Luke carries the genealogy back to Adam and does not stop with Abraham. It is not clear why Luke adds "the Son of God" after Adam ( 3:38). Certainly he does not mean that Jesus is the Son of God only in the sense that Adam is. Possibly he wishes to dispose of the heathen myths about the origin of man and to show that God is the Creator of the whole
human race, Father of all men in that sense. No mere animal origin of man is in harmony with this conception.

## Luke 4

Luke 4:1
Full of the Holy Spirit ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \eta \varsigma \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma \alpha \gamma \circ v$ ). An evident allusion to the descent of the Holy Spirit on Jesus at his baptism (Lu 3:21f. ). The distinctness of the Persons in the Trinity is shown there, but with evident unity. One recalls also Luke's account of the overshadowing of Mary by the Holy Spirit ( $1: 35$ ). Mt 4:1 says that "Jesus was led of the Spirit" while Mr 1:12 states that "the Spirit driveth him forth" which see for discussion. "Jesus had been endowed with supernatural power; and He was tempted to make use of it in furthering his own interests without regard to the Father's will" (Plummer).

Was led by the Spirit ( $\eta \gamma \varepsilon \tau о ~ \varepsilon v ~ \tau o ~ \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau$ ). Imperfect passive, continuously led. Ev may be the instrumental use as often, for Mt 4:1 has here vio of direct agency. But Matthew has the aorist passive $\alpha v \eta \chi \theta \eta$ which may be ingressive as he has $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta v \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \circ v$ (into the wilderness) while Luke has $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \omega$ (in the wilderness). At any rate Luke affirms that Jesus was now continuously under the guidance of the Holy Spirit. Hence in this same sentence he mentions the Spirit twice.

During the forty days ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \rho \alpha \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Accusative of duration of time, to be connected with "led" not with "tempted." He was led in the Spirit during these forty days (cf. De 8:2 , forty years). The words are amphibolous also in Mr 1:13. Mt 4:2 seems to imply that the three recorded temptations came at the close of the fasting for forty days. That can be true and yet what Luke states be true also. These three may be merely specimens and so "representative of the struggle which continued throughout the whole period" (Plummer).

Luke 4:2
Being tempted ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \rho \alpha \zeta о \mu \varepsilon \nu о \varsigma)$. Present passive participle and naturally parallel with the imperfect passive $\eta \gamma \varepsilon \tau 0$ (was led) in verse 1 . This is another instance of poor verse division which should have come at the end of the sentence. See on Mt 4:1; Mr 1:13 for the words "tempt" and "devil." The devil challenged the Son of man though also the Son of God. It was a contest between Jesus, full of the Holy Spirit, and the slanderer of men. The devil had won with Adam and Eve. He has hopes of triumph over Jesus. The story of this conflict is given only in Mt 4:1-11; Lu 4:1-13. There is a mere mention of it in $\mathrm{Mr} 1: 12 \mathrm{f}$. So then here is a specimen of the Logia of Jesus $(\mathrm{Q})$, a non-Markan portion of Matthew and Luke, the earliest document about Christ. The narrative could come ultimately only from Christ himself. It is noteworthy that it bears all the marks of the high conception of Jesus as the Son of God found in the Gospel of John and in Paul and Hebrews, the rest of the New Testament in fact, for Mark, Matthew, Luke, Acts, Peter, and Jude follow in this same strain. The point is that modern criticism has revealed the Messianic consciousness of Jesus as God's Son at his Baptism and in his Temptations at the very beginning of his ministry and in the oldest known documents about Christ (The Logia, Mark's Gospel).

He did eat nothing (ouk $\varepsilon \varphi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v$ ov $\delta \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist (constative) active indicative of the defective verb $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega \omega$. Mark does not give the fast. Mt 4:2 has the aorist active participle $\nu \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma a \varsigma$ which usually means a religious fast for purposes of devotion. That idea is not excluded by Luke's words. The entrance of Jesus upon his Messianic ministry was a fit time for this solemn and intense consecration. This mental and spiritual strain would naturally take away the appetite and there was probably nothing at hand to eat. The weakness from the absence of food gave the devil his special opportunity to tempt Jesus which he promptly seized.

When they were completed ( $\sigma v \nu \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon ו \sigma \omega v \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute with the first aorist passive participle feminine plural because $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \rho \omega v$ (days) is feminine. According to Luke the hunger ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon เ v a \sigma \varepsilon v$, became hungry, ingressive aorist active indicative) came at the close of the forty days as in Mt 4:2 .

Luke 4:3
The Son of God (vios tov $\theta \varepsilon o v)$. No article as in Mt 4:3. So refers to the relationship as Son of God rather than to the office of Messiah. Manifest reference to the words of the Father in Lu 3:22. Condition of the first class as in Matthew. The devil assumes that Jesus is Son of God.

This stone ( $\tau \omega \lambda_{1} \theta \omega$ tov $\tau \omega$ ). Perhaps pointing to a particular round stone that looked in shape and size like a loaf of bread. Stanley (Sinai and Palestine, p. 154) on Mt. Carmel found crystallizations of stones called "Elijah's melons." The hunger of Jesus opened the way for the diabolic suggestion designed to inspire doubt in Jesus toward his Father. Matthew has "these stones."

Bread (aptoc). Better "loaf." For discussion of this first temptation see on Mt 4:3f. Jesus felt the force of each of the temptations without yielding at all to the sin involved. See discussion on Matthew also for reality of the devil and the objective and subjective elements in the temptations. Jesus quotes De 8:3 in reply to the devil.

Luke 4:5

In a moment of time ( $\varepsilon v \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \mu \eta \chi \rho o v o v)$. Only in Luke and the word $\sigma \tau \tau \gamma \mu \eta$ nowhere else in the N.T. (from $\sigma \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, to prick, or puncture), a point or dot. In Demosthenes, Aristotle, Plutarch. Like our "second" of time or tick of the clock. This panorama of all the kingdoms of the world and the glory of them in a moment of time was mental, a great feat of the imagination (a mental satanic "movie" performance), but this fact in no way discredits the idea of the actual visible appearance of Satan also. This second temptation in Luke is the third in Matthew's order. Luke's order is geographical (wilderness, mountain, Jerusalem). Matthew's is climacteric (hunger, nervous dread, ambition). There is a climax in Luke's order also (sense, man, God). There is no way to tell the actual order.

Luke 4:6

All this authority ( $\tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \xi \circ 0 \sigma \iota \alpha v \tau \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \alpha \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu$ ). Mt 4:9 has "all these things." Luke's report is more specific.

And the glory of them ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta v \delta o \xi \alpha v \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Mt 4:8 has this in the statement of what the devil did, not what he said.

For it hath been delivered unto me (o $\tau \varepsilon \mu$ о $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \varepsilon \delta o \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative. Satan here claims possession of world power and Jesus does not deny it. It may be due to man's sin and by God's permission. Jesus calls Satan the ruler of this world (Joh 12:31; 14:30; 16:11).

To whomsoever I will (o $\alpha v \theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$ ). Present subjunctive with $\alpha v$ in an indefinite relative sentence. This audacious claim, if allowed, makes one wonder whether some of the world rulers are not, consciously or unconsciously, agents of the devil. In several American cities there has been proven a definite compact between the police and the underworld of crime. But the tone of Satan here is one of superiority to Jesus in world power. He offers him a share in it on one condition.

## Luke 4:7

Wilt worship before me ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \nu v \eta \sigma \eta ı \varsigma \varepsilon v \omega \pi \kappa \circ v \varepsilon \mu \circ v$ ). Mt 4:9 has it more bluntly "worship me." That is what it really comes to, though in Luke the matter is more delicately put. It is a condition of the third class ( $\varepsilon a v$ and the subjunctive). Luke has it "thou therefore if" ( $\sigma \sim$ ouv $\varepsilon \alpha v$ ), in a very emphatic and subtle way. It is the ingressive aorist ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \nu v \eta \sigma \eta \upharpoonright)$, just bow the knee once up here in my presence. The temptation was for Jesus to admit Satan's authority by this act of prostration (fall down and worship), a recognition of authority rather than of personal merit.

It shall all be thine ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma 0 v \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha)$. Satan offers to turn over all the keys of world power to Jesus. It was a tremendous grand-stand play, but Jesus saw at once that in that case he would be the agent of Satan in the rule of the world by bargain and graft instead of the Son of God by nature and world ruler by conquest over Satan. The heart of Satan's program is here laid bare. Jesus here rejected the Jewish idea of the Messiah as an earthly ruler merely. "He rejects Satan as an ally, and thereby has him as an implacable enemy" (Plummer.)

Luke 4:8
Thou shalt worship ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \cup v \eta \sigma \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. Satan used this verb to Jesus who turns it against him by the quotation from De 6:13. Jesus clearly perceived that one could not worship both Satan and God. He had to choose whom he would serve. Luke does not give the words, "Get thee hence, Satan" (Mt 4:10), for he has another temptation to narrate.

Luke 4:9
Led him ( $\eta \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v$ ). Aorist active indicative of $\alpha \gamma \omega$. Mt 4:5 has $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \varepsilon$ (dramatic present).

The wing of the temple ( $\tau 0 \pi \tau \varepsilon \rho \cup \gamma\llcorner\circ \sim$ tov $\varepsilon \rho \rho \circ u$ ). See on Mt 4:5. It is not easy to determine precisely what it was.

From hence ( $\varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon v)$. This Luke adds to the words in Matthew, which see.
To guard thee ( $\tau 0 v \delta 1 \alpha \varphi u \lambda \alpha \xi \alpha \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Not in Mt 4:6 quoted by Satan from Ps 91:11,12. Satan does not misquote this Psalm, but he misapplies it and makes it mean presumptuous reliance on God. This compound verb is very old, but occurs here alone in the N.T. and that from the LXX. Luke repeats ot (recitative ot after $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha$, is written) after this part of the quotation.

Luke 4:12
It is said ( $\varepsilon \rho \eta \tau \alpha)$. Perfect passive indicative, stands said, a favourite way of quoting Scripture in the N.T. In Mt 4:7 we have the usual "it is written" ( $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha$ ). Here Jesus quotes De 6:16. Each time he uses Deuteronomy against the devil. The LXX is quoted. It is the volitive future indicative with ovк, a common prohibition. Jesus points out to the devil that testing God is not trusting God (Plummer).

Luke 4:13
Every temptation ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \mu \circ v$ ). These three kinds exhaust the avenues of approach (the appetites, the nerves, the ambitions). Satan tried them all. They formed a cycle (Vincent). Hence "he was in all points tempted like as we are" (Heb 4:15). "The enemy tried all his weapons, and was at all points defeated" (Plummer). Probably all during the forty days the devil tempted him, but three are representatives of all.

For a season ( $\alpha \chi \rho$ каı $\rho \circ v$ ). Until a good opportunity should return, the language means. We are thus to infer that the devil returned to his attack from time to time. In the Garden of Gethsemane he tempted Jesus more severely than here. He was here trying to thwart the purpose of Jesus to go on with his Messianic plans, to trip him at the start. In Gethsemane the devil tried to make Jesus draw back from the culmination of the Cross with all its agony and horror. The devil attacked Jesus by the aid of Peter (Mr 8:33), through the Pharisees (Joh 8:40ff. ), besides Gethsemane (Lu 22:42,53 ).

Luke 4:14
Returned (vđ\& $\quad \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon v$ ). Luke does not fill in the gap between the temptations in the wilderness of Judea and the Galilean Ministry. He follows the outline of Mark. It is John's Gospel alone that tells of the year of obscurity (Stalker) in various parts of the Holy Land.

In the power of the Spirit ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \delta \nu v a \mu \varepsilon$ tov $\pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau o \varsigma)$. Luke in these two verses $(14,15)$ gives a description of the Galilean Ministry with three marked characteristics (Plummer): the power of the spirit, rapid spread of Christ's fame, use of the Jewish synagogues. Luke often notes the power of the Holy Spirit in the work of Christ. Our word dynamite is this same word $\delta v v a \mu c ̧$ (power).

A fame ( $\varphi \eta \mu \eta$ ). An old Greek word found in the N.T. only here and Mt 9:26. It is from $\varphi \eta \mu$, to say. Talk ran rapidly in every direction. It assumes the previous ministry as told by John.

Luke 4:15

And he taught (ка аuтоৎ $\varepsilon \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v)$. Luke is fond of this mode of transition so that it is not certain that he means to emphasize "he himself" as distinct from the rumour about him. It is the imperfect tense, descriptive of the habit of Jesus. The synagogues were an open door to Jesus before the hostility of the Pharisees was aroused.

Being glorified ( $\delta 0 \xi \alpha \zeta o \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Present passive participle, durative action like the imperfect $\varepsilon \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v$. General admiration of Jesus everywhere. He was the wonder teacher of his time. Even the rabbis had not yet learned how to ridicule and oppose Jesus.

Luke 4:16
Where he had been brought up (ov $\eta \nu \tau \varepsilon \theta \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Past perfect passive periphrastic indicative, a state of completion in past time, from $\tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, a common Greek verb. This visit is before that recorded in Mr 6:1-6; Mt 13:54-58 which was just before the third tour of Galilee. Here Jesus comes back after a year of public ministry elsewhere and with a wide reputation (Lu 4:15 ). Luke may have in mind 2:51, but for some time now Nazareth had not been his home and that fact may be implied by the past perfect tense.

As his custom was ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ to $\varepsilon \epsilon \omega \theta$ oৎ $\alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). Second perfect active neuter singular participle of an old $\varepsilon \theta \omega$ (Homer), to be accustomed. Literally according to what was customary to him ( $\alpha \cup \tau \omega$, dative case). This is one of the flashlights on the early life of Jesus. He had the habit of going to public worship in the synagogue as a boy, a habit that he kept up when a grown man. If the child does not form the habit of going to church, the man is almost certain not to have it. We have already had in Matthew and Mark frequent instances of the word synagogue which played such a large part in Jewish life after the restoration from Babylon.

Stood up ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta$ ). Second aorist active indicative and intransitive. Very common verb. It was the custom for the reader to stand except when the Book of Esther was read at the feast of Purim when he might sit. It is not here stated that Jesus had been in the habit of standing up to read here or elsewhere. It was his habit to go to the synagogue for worship. Since he entered upon his Messianic work his habit was to teach in the synagogues ( $\operatorname{Lu}$ 4:15 ). This was apparently the first time that he had done so in Nazareth. He may have been asked to read as Paul was in Antioch in Pisidia (Ac 13:15 ). The ruler of the synagogue for that day may have invited Jesus to read and speak because of his now great reputation as a teacher. Jesus could have stood up voluntarily and appropriately because of his interest in his home town.

To read ( $\alpha v \alpha \gamma v \omega v \alpha$ ). Second aorist active infinitive of $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, to recognize again the written characters and so to read and then to read aloud. It appears first in Pindar in the sense of read and always so in the N.T. This public reading aloud with occasional comments may explain the parenthesis in Mt 24:15 (Let him that readeth understand).

Luke 4:17

Was delivered ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \delta 0 \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, to give over to, a common verb. At the proper stage of the service "the attendant" or "minister" (vin $\rho \varepsilon \tau \eta \varsigma$, under rower) or "beadle" took out a roll of the law from the ark, unwrapped it, and gave it to some one to read. On sabbath days some seven persons were asked to read small portions of the law. This was the first lesson or Parashah. This was followed by a reading from the prophets and a discourse, the second lesson or Haphtarah. This last is what Jesus did.

The book of the prophet Isaiah ( $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota v$ tov $\pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau$ tov Eбaıov). Literally, "a roll of the prophet Isaiah." Apparently Isaiah was handed to Jesus without his asking for it. But certainly Jesus cared more for the prophets than for the ceremonial law. It was a congenial service that he was asked to perform. Jesus used Deuteronomy in his temptations and now Isaiah for this sermon. The Syriac Sinaitic manuscript has it that Jesus stood up after the attendant handed him the roll.

Opened ( $\alpha v o ı \xi \alpha \varsigma)$. Really it was
unrolled ( $\alpha v a \pi \tau \cup \xi \alpha \varsigma$ ) as Aleph D have it. But the more general term $\alpha v o ı \xi \alpha \varsigma$ (from avor $\gamma \omega$, common verb) is probably genuine. Avantvoo $\omega$ does not occur in the N.T. outside of this passage if genuine.

Found the place ( $\varepsilon v \rho \varepsilon v$ тov тотоv). Second aorist active indicative. He continued to unroll (rolling up the other side) till he found the passage desired. It may have been a fixed lesson for the day or it may have been his own choosing. At any rate it was a marvellously appropriate passage (Isa 61:1,2 with one clause omitted and some words from Isa 58:6 ). It is a free quotation from the Septuagint.

Where it was written (ov $\eta v \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Periphrastic pluperfect passive again as in 4:16.

Luke 4:18
Anointed me ( $\varepsilon \chi \rho \iota \sigma \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active indicative of the verb $\chi \rho \omega \omega$ from which
Christ (Xpıбтoc) is derived, the Anointed One. Isaiah is picturing the Jubilee year and the release of captives and the return from the Babylonian exile with the hope of the Messiah through it all. Jesus here applies this Messianic language to himself. "The Spirit of the Lord is upon me" as was shown at the baptism (Lu 3:21) where he was also "anointed" for his mission by the Father's voice (3:22).

To the poor ( $\pi \tau \omega \chi$ oıs). Jesus singles this out also as one of the items to tell John the Baptist in prison (Lu 7:22). Our word Gospel is a translation of the Greek Evaүүع 1 ıov, and it is for the poor.

He hath sent me ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \kappa \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon$ ). Change of tense to perfect active indicative. He is now on that mission here. Jesus is God's Apostle to men (Joh 17:3, Whom thou didst send).

Proclaim ( $\kappa \eta \rho \cup \xi \alpha$ ). As a herald like Noah (2Pe 2:5).

To the captives ( $\alpha \not \chi \mu \alpha \lambda \omega \tau o \iota \varsigma)$. Prisoners of war will be released ( $\alpha ı \chi \mu \eta$, a spear point, and $\alpha \lambda \omega \tau \sigma \varsigma$, from $\alpha \lambda_{\iota \sigma \kappa \sigma \mu \alpha}$, to be captured). Captured by the spear point. Common word, but here only in the N.T.

Set at liberty ( $\alpha \pi 0 \sigma \tau \varepsilon 1 \lambda \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive of $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$. Same verb as $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda_{\kappa \varepsilon \nu}$, above. Brought in here from Isa 58:6. Plummer suggests that Luke inserts it here from memory. But Jesus could easily have turned back the roll and read it so.

Them that are bruised ( $\tau \varepsilon \theta \rho \alpha \cup \sigma \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma v \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle of $\theta \rho \alpha v \omega$, an old verb, but here only in the N.T. It means to break in pieces broken in heart and often in body as well. One loves to think that Jesus felt it to be his mission to mend broken hearts like pieces of broken earthenware, real rescue-mission work. Jesus mends them and sets them free from their limitations.

Luke 4:19
 ministry is to be only one year in length as Clement of Alexandria and Origen argued. That is to turn figures into fact. The Messianic age has come, Jesus means to say. On the first day of the year of Jubilee the priests with sound of trumpet proclaimed the blessings of that year (Le 25:8-17 ). This great passage justly pictures Christ's conception of his mission and message.

Luke 4:20
He closed the book ( $\pi \tau v \xi \alpha \varsigma \tau$ тo $\beta \iota \beta \lambda_{\iota} \nu v$ ). Aorist active participle of $\pi \tau v \sigma \sigma \omega$. Rolled up the roll and gave it back to the attendant who had given it to him and who put it away again in its case.

Sat down ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Took his seat there as a sign that he was going to speak instead of going back to his former seat. This was the usual Jewish attitude for public speaking and teaching (Lu 5:3; Mt 5:1; Mr 4:1; Ac 16:13 ).

Were fastened on him ( $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \iota \zeta \quad \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). Periphrastic imperfect active and so a vivid description. Literally, the eyes of all in the synagogue were gazing fixedly upon him. The verb $\alpha \tau \varepsilon v \zeta \zeta \omega$ occurs in Aristotle and the Septuagint. It is from the adjective $\alpha \tau \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma$ and that from $\tau \varepsilon เ \nu \omega$, to stretch, and copulative or intensive $\alpha$, not $\alpha$ privative. The word occurs in the N.T. here and in 22:56, ten times in Acts, and in 2Co 3:7,13. Paul uses it of the steady eager gaze of the people at Moses when he came down from the mountain when he had been communing with God. There was something in the look of Jesus here that held the people spellbound for the moment, apart from the great reputation with which he came to them. In small measure every effective speaker knows what it is to meet the eager expectations of an audience.

Luke 4:21
And he began to say ( $\eta \rho \xi \alpha$ тo $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon เ v$ ). Aorist ingressive active indicative and present infinitive. He began speaking. The moment of hushed expectancy was passed. These may
or may not be the first words uttered here by Jesus. Often the first sentence is the crucial one in winning an audience. Certainly this is an arresting opening sentence.

Hath been fulfilled ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative,
stands fulfilled . "Today this scripture (Isa 61:1,2 , just read) stands fulfilled in your ears." It was a most amazing statement and the people of Nazareth were quick to see the Messianic claim involved. Jesus could only mean that the real year of Jubilee had come, that the Messianic prophecy of Isaiah had come true today, and that in him they saw the Messiah of prophecy. There are critics today who deny that Jesus claimed to be the Messiah. To be able to do that, they must reject the Gospel of John and all such passages as this one. And it is no apocalyptic eschatological Messiah whom Jesus here sets forth, but the one who forgives $\sin$ and binds up the broken-hearted. The words were too good to be true and to be spoken here at Nazareth by one of their own townsmen!

Luke 4:22
Bare him witness ( $\varepsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho o v v)$. Imperfect active, perhaps inchoative. They all began to bear witness that the rumours were not exaggerations ( $4: 14$ ) as they had supposed, but had foundation in fact if this discourse or its start was a fair sample of his teaching. The verb $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \omega$ is a very old and common one. It is frequent in Acts, Paul's Epistles, and the Johannine books. The substantive $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho$ is seen in our English $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \psi \rho$, one who witnesses even by his death to his faith in Christ.

And wondered ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \theta \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \zeta o v$ ). Imperfect active also, perhaps inchoative also. They began to marvel as he proceeded with his address. This verb is an old one and common in the Gospels for the attitude of the people towards Jesus.

At the words of grace ( $\varepsilon \pi$ тоıৎ $\lambda$ оүоья $\tau \eta \varsigma ~ \chi \alpha \rho \iota \tau о \varsigma) . ~ S e e ~ o n ~ L u ~ 1: 30 ; ~ 2: 52 ~ f o r ~ t h i s ~ w o n-~$ derful word $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \varsigma$ so full of meaning and so often in the N.T. The genitive case (case of genus or kind) here means that the words that came out of the mouth of Jesus in a steady stream (present tense, єклорвvouєvoı¢) were marked by fascination and charm. They were "winning words" as the context makes plain, though they were also "gracious" in the Pauline sense of "grace." There is no necessary antithesis in the ideas of graceful and gracious in these words of Jesus.
 way to bewilderment as they began to explain to themselves the situation. The use of ouX intensive form of ouk in a question expects the answer "yes." Jesus passed in Nazareth as the son of Joseph as Luke presents him in 3:23. He does not stop here to correct this misconception because the truth has been already amply presented in 1:28-38; 2:49. This popular conception of Jesus as the son of Joseph appears also in Joh 1:45. The puzzle of the people was due to their previous knowledge of Jesus as the carpenter (Mr 6:3 ; the carpenter's son, Mt 13:55 ). For him now to appear as the Messiah in Nazareth where he had lived and laboured as the carpenter was a phenomenon impossible to credit on sober reflection. So the
mood of wonder and praise quickly turned with whispers and nods and even scowls to doubt and hostility, a rapid and radical transformation of emotion in the audience.

Luke 4:23
Doubtless ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \varsigma)$. Adverb. Literally, at any rate, certainly, assuredly. Cf. Ac 21:22; 28:4.

This parable ( $\tau \eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta 0 \lambda \eta \nu \tau \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu$ ). See discussion on Mt 13 . Here the word has a special application to a crisp proverb which involves a comparison. The word physician is the point of comparison. Luke the physician alone gives this saying of Jesus. The proverb means that the physician was expected to take his own medicine and to heal himself. The word $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta 0 \lambda \eta$ in the N.T. is confined to the Synoptic Gospels except Heb 9:9; 11:19. This use for a proverb occurs also in Lu 5:36; 6:39. This proverb in various forms appears not only among the Jews, but in Euripides and Aeschylus among the Greeks, and in Cicero's Letters. Hobart quotes the same idea from Galen, and the Chinese used to demand it of their physicians. The point of the parable seems to be that the people were expecting him to make good his claim to the Messiahship by doing here in Nazareth what they had heard of his doing in Capernaum and elsewhere. "Establish your claims by direct evidence" (Easton). This same appeal (Vincent) was addressed to Christ on the Cross (Mt 27:40,42 ). There is a tone of sarcasm towards Jesus in both cases.

Heard done ( $\eta \kappa о v \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). The use of this second aorist middle participle $\gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ after $\eta \kappa о v \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ is a neat Greek idiom. It is punctiliar action in indirect discourse after this verb of sensation or emotion (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 1040-42, 1122-24).

Do also here ( $\pi$ oin $\sigma 0 v \kappa \alpha \omega \delta \varepsilon$ ). Ingressive aorist active imperative. Do it here in thy own country and town and do it now. Jesus applies the proverb to himself as an interpretation of their real attitude towards himself.

Luke 4:24
And he said ( $\varepsilon เ \pi \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon$ ). Also in 1:13. The interjection of these words here by Luke may indicate a break in his address, though there is no other indication of an interval here. Perhaps they only serve to introduce solemnly the new proverb like the words

Verily I say unto you ( $\alpha \mu \eta \nu \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega \nu \mu \nu)$. This proverb about the prophet having no honour in his own country Jesus had already applied to himself according to Joh 4:44. Both Mr 6:4 and Mt 13:57 give it in a slightly altered form on the last visit of Jesus to Nazareth. The devil had tempted Jesus to make a display of his power to the people by letting them see him floating down from the pinnacle of the temple (Lu 4:9-11).

Luke 4:25
Three years and six months ( $\varepsilon \tau \eta \tau \rho \iota \alpha \kappa \alpha \mu \eta \nu \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \xi)$. Accusative of duration of time without $\varepsilon \pi$ (doubtful). The same period is given in Jas $5: 17$, the popular Jewish way of speaking. In 1Ki 18:1 the rain is said to have come in the third year. But the famine probably lasted still longer.

## Luke 4:26

Unto Zarephath ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \Sigma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha$ ). The modern village Surafend on the coast road between Tyre and Sidon.

Unto a woman that was a widow ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \gamma \nu v a \iota \alpha \chi \eta \rho \alpha \nu$ ). Literally, unto a woman a widow (like our vernacular widow woman). This is an illustration of the proverb from the life of Elijah (1Ki 17:8,9 ). This woman was in the land of Sidon or Phoenicia, a heathen, where Jesus himself will go later.

Luke 4:27
In the time of Elisha the prophet ( $\varepsilon \pi E \lambda ı \sigma a \iota o v \tau o v \pi \rho o \varphi \eta \tau o v)$. This use of $\varepsilon \pi$ with the genitive for "in the time of" is a good Greek idiom. The second illustration of the proverb is from the time of Elisha and is another heathen,

Naaman the Syrian ( $\mathrm{N} \alpha \mu \mu \nu$ o $\Sigma \psi \rho \circ \varsigma$ ). He was the lone leper that was cleansed by Elisha (2Ki 5:1,14).

## Luke 4:28

They were all filled with wrath $(\varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v \pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \theta \nu \mu \circ v)$. First aorist passive indicative of the common verb $\pi \mu \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu$ followed by the genitive case. The people of Nazareth at once caught on and saw the point of these two Old Testament illustrations of how God in two cases blessed the heathen instead of the Jewish people. The implication was evident. Nazareth was no better than Capernaum if as good. He was under no special obligation to do unusual things in Nazareth because he had been reared there. Town pride was insulted and it at once exploded in a burst of rage.

Luke 4:29
They rose up and cast him forth ( $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda o v$ ). Second aorist ingressive active participle and second aorist effective active indicative. A movement towards lynching Jesus.
 any jutting prominence. Only here in the N.T. Hippocrates speaks of the eyebrow hanging over.

Was built ( $\omega$ เкобо $\mu \eta \tau$ ). Past perfect indicative, stood built.
That they might throw him down headlong ( $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \rho \eta \mu \nu \sigma \alpha \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Neat Greek idiom with $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ for intended result, "so as to cast him down the precipice." The infinitive alone can convey the same meaning (Mt 2:2; 20:28; Lu 2:23). K $\rho \eta \mu \nu$ с is an overhanging bank or precipice from $\kappa \rho \varepsilon \mu \alpha v v \nu \mu$, to hang. Ka $\alpha \alpha$ is down. The verb occurs in Xenophon, Demosthenes, LXX, Josephus. Here only in the N.T. At the southwest corner of the town of Nazareth such a cliff today exists overhanging the Maronite convent. Murder was in the hearts of the people. By pushing him over they hoped to escape technical guilt.

Luke 4:30
He went his way ( $\varepsilon \pi о \rho \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \circ$ ). Imperfect tense, he was going on his way.

Luke 4:31
Came down ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Mr 1:21 has the historical present,
 Galilean ministry, since Nazareth has rejected Jesus. Lu 4:31-37 is parallel with $\mathrm{Mr} 1: 21-28$ which he manifestly uses. It is the first of Christ's miracles which they give.

Was teaching them ( $\eta \nu \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega \nu \alpha v \tau 0 \nu \varsigma)$. Periphrastic imperfect. Mark has $\varepsilon \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \nu$ first and then $\varepsilon v \delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \omega \nu$. "Them" here means the people present in the synagogue on the sabbath, construction according to sense as in Mr 1:22.

Luke 4:32
Rest of the sentence as in Mark, which see, except that Luke omits "and not as their scribes" and uses o $\tau \eta v$ instead of $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \chi \omega \nu$.

Luke 4:33
Which had ( $\varepsilon \chi \omega \nu$ ). Mark has $\varepsilon \nu$.
A spirit of an unclean demon ( $\pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \delta \alpha\lrcorner \rho о \nu \iota v \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \rho \tau о v)$. Mark has "unclean spirit." Luke's phrase here is unique in this combination. Plummer notes that Matthew has $\delta \alpha ı \mu o v i o v$ ten times and akaӨaptov twice as an epithet of $\pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha$; Mark has $\delta \alpha \mu \rho v \iota v$ thirteen times and $\alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \tau о v$ eleven times as an epithet of $\pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha$. Luke's Gospel uses $\delta \alpha \iota \mu$ ovov twenty-two times and $\alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \tau o v$ as an epithet, once of $\delta \alpha \mu$ оvıov as here and once of $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$. In Mark the man is in $(\varepsilon v)$ the power of the unclean spirit, while here the man "has" a spirit of an unclean demon.

With a loud voice ( $\varphi \omega \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta)$. Not in Mark. Really a scream caused by the sudden contact of the demon with Jesus.

Luke 4:34
Ah! (Ea). An interjection frequent in the Attic poets, but rare in prose. Apparently second person singular imperative of $\varepsilon \alpha \omega$, to permit. It is expressive of wonder, fear, indignation. Here it amounts to a diabolical screech. For the rest of the verse see discussion on Mr 1:24 and Mt 8:29. The muzzle ( $\varphi \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\rho})$ occurs literally in $1 \operatorname{Co} 9: 9,1 \mathrm{Ti} 5: 18$, and metaphorically here and Mr 1:25; 4:39; Mt 22:12.

Luke 4:35
Had thrown him down in the midst ( $\rho \iota \psi \alpha \nu \alpha \nu \tau 0 v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau 0 \mu \varepsilon \sigma o v)$. First aorist (effective) participle of $\rho \iota \pi \tau \omega$, an old verb with violent meaning, to fling, throw, hurl off or down.

Having done him no hurt ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \beta \lambda \alpha \psi \alpha v \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Luke as a physician carefully notes this important detail not in Mark. $B \lambda \alpha \pi \tau \omega$, to injure, or hurt, occurs in the N.T. only here and in Mr 16:18, though a very common verb in the old Greek.

Luke 4:36
Amazement came ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau о$ $\theta \alpha \mu \beta$ оऽ). Mark has $\varepsilon \theta \alpha \mu \beta \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$.
They spake together one with another ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda o u v \pi \rho o \varsigma \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o v \varsigma$ ). Imperfect indicative active and the reciprocal pronoun. Mark has simply the infinitive $\sigma v \nu \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon เ \nu$ (question).

For (ot). We have here an ambiguous ot as in 1:45, which can be either the relative "that" or the casual ot "because" or "for," as the Revised Version has it. Either makes good sense. Luke adds here $\delta u v a \mu \varepsilon$ (with power) to Mark's "authority" ( $\varepsilon \xi o v \sigma \iota \alpha v)$ ).

And they come out ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ v \tau \alpha$ ). So Luke where Mark has "and they obey him" (ка итакоиоибเv аut $\omega$ ).

Luke 4:37
Went forth a rumour ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi о \rho \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau о ~ \eta \chi \circ \varsigma)$. Imperfect middle, kept on going forth. Our very word $\varepsilon \chi \circ$ in this word. Late Greek form for $\eta \chi \omega$ in the old Greek. Used for the roar of the waves on the shore. So in Lu 21:25. Vivid picture of the resounding influence of this day's work in the synagogue, in Capernaum.

Luke 4:38
He rose up ( $\alpha$ vaбtac). Second aorist active participle of $\alpha v i \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, a common verb. B. Weiss adds here "from the teacher's seat." Either from his seat or merely leaving the synagogue. This incident of the healing of Peter's mother-in-law is given in Mr 1:29-34 and Mt 8:14-17, which see for details.

Into the house of Simon ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau \nu$ оккıа $\Sigma \mu \omega v$ оৎ). "Peter's house" (Mt 8:14). "The house of Simon and Andrew" (Mr 1:29). Paul's reference to Peter's wife (1Co 9:5) is pertinent. They lived together in Capernaum. This house came also to be the Capernaum home of Jesus.

Simon's wife's mother ( $\pi \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ тov $\Sigma 1 \mu \omega v o \varsigma)$. The word $\pi \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ for mother-in-law is old and well established in usage. Besides the parallel passages (Mr 1:30; Mt 8:14; Lu 4:38 ) it occurs in the N.T. only in Lu 12:53. The corresponding word $\pi \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho o \varsigma$, father-in-law, occurs in Joh 18:13 alone in the N.T.

Was holden with a great fever ( $\eta \nu \sigma \nu v \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v \eta \pi \nu \rho \varepsilon \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \omega$ ). Periphrastic imperfect passive, the analytical tense accenting the continuous fever, perhaps chronic and certainly severe. Luke employs this verb nine times and only three others in the N.T. (Mt 4:24 passive with diseases here; 2Co 5:14 active; Php 1:23 passive). In Ac 28:8 the passive "with dysentery" is like the construction here and is a common one in Greek medical writers as in Greek literature generally. Luke uses the passive with "fear," Lu 8:37, the active for holding the hands over the ears (Ac 7:57) and for pressing one or holding together ( $\mathrm{Lu} 8: 45 ; 19: 43 ; 22: 63$ ), the direct middle for holding oneself to preaching (Ac 18:5). It is followed here by the instrumental case. Hobart (Medical Language of Luke, p. 3) quotes Galen as dividing fevers into "great" ( $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda 0$ ) and "small" ( $\sigma \mu \kappa \rho o)$.

Luke 4:39
He stood over her ( $\varepsilon \pi\llcorner\sigma \tau \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \alpha v \omega \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Second aorist active participle. Only in Luke. Surely we are not to take Luke to mean that Jesus here took the exorcist's position and was rebuking a malignant personality. The attitude of Jesus is precisely that of any kindly sym-
pathetic physician. Mr 1:31; Mt 8:15 mention the touch of her hand rather than the tender look over her head.

Rebuked ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \mu \eta\rceil \sigma v$ ). Only in Luke. Jesus bade the fever leave her as he spoke to the wind and the waves and Luke uses this same verb ( 8:24).

Rose up and ministered ( $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \delta ı \eta \kappa o v \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist active participle as in verse 38, but inchoative imperfect tense $\delta ı \eta \kappa о v \varepsilon$, from $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa о v \varepsilon \omega$ (note augment of compound verb). She rose up immediately, though a long high fever usually leaves one very weak. The cure was instantaneous and complete. She began to minister at once and kept it up.

Luke 4:40
When the sun was setting ( $\delta$ vvov toc $\tau$ tov $\eta \lambda^{\prime} \circ 0$ ). Genitive absolute and present participle $(\delta v v \omega$, late form of $\delta v \omega)$ picturing the sunset scene. Even Mr 1:32 has here the aorist indicative $\varepsilon \delta u \sigma \varepsilon v$ (punctiliar active). It was not only cooler, but it was the end of the sabbath when it was not regarded as work (Vincent) to carry a sick person (Joh 5:10). And also by now the news of the cure of the demoniac of Peter's mother-in-law had spread all over the town.

Had ( $\varepsilon<\chi \circ v$ ). Imperfect tense including all the chronic cases.
With divers diseases (voooıs поккı入aı). Instrumental case. For "divers" say "many coloured" or "variegated." See on Mt 4:24; Mr 1:34.

Brought ( $\eta \gamma \alpha \gamma \circ v$ ). Constative summary second aorist active indicative like Mt 8:16, $\pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha v$, where Mr 1:32 has the imperfect $\varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \rho \circ v$, brought one after another.

He laid his hands on every one of them and healed them (o $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \omega \alpha \cup \tau \omega v \tau \alpha \varsigma$ $\chi \varepsilon ı \rho a \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \iota \theta \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon v$ autous). Note the present active participle $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \bullet \theta \varepsilon \varsigma$ and the imperfect active $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon v \varepsilon v$, picturing the healing one by one with the tender touch upon each one. Luke alone gives this graphic detail which was more than a mere ceremonial laying on of hands. Clearly the cures of Jesus reached the physical, mental, and spiritual planes of human nature. He is Lord of life and acted here as Master of each case as it came.

Luke 4:41
Came out ( $\varepsilon \xi A ̂ \eta \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau 0$, singular, or $\varepsilon \xi A ̂ \eta \rho \chi \circ \nu \tau 0$, plural). Imperfect tense, repetition, from one after another.

Thou art the Son of God ( $\Sigma v \varepsilon$ o vioc $\operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). More definite statement of the deity of Jesus than the witness of the demoniac in the synagogue ( $\operatorname{Lu} 4: 34 ; \mathrm{Mr} 1: 24$ ), like the words of the Father ( $\mathrm{Lu} 3: 22$ ) and more so than the condition of the devil ( $\mathrm{Lu} 4: 3,9$ ). In the Canterbury Revision "devils" should always be "demons" ( $\delta a \mu \mathrm{\mu} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\alpha})$ as here.

Suffered them not to speak (ovk $\varepsilon \iota \alpha \alpha \cup \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı v$ ). Imperfect third singular active of $\varepsilon \alpha \omega$, very old and common verb with syllabic augment $\varepsilon$. The tense accents the continued refusal of Jesus to receive testimony to his person and work from demons. Cf. Mt 8:4 to the lepers.

Because they knew (oт $\eta \iota \delta \varepsilon เ \sigma \alpha v)$. Causal, not declarative, oт. Past perfect of the second perfect oı $\delta$ a.

That he was the Christ ( $\tau 0 v \mathrm{X} \rho \circ \tau \tau 0 v a v \tau o v \varepsilon ı v a$ ). Infinitive in indirect assertion with the accusative of general reference. Tov Xpıбтov =
the Anointed, the Messiah.
Luke 4:42
When it was day ( $\gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho a \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute with aorist middle participle. Mr 1:35 notes it was "a great while before day" (which see for discussion) when Jesus rose up to go after a restless night. No doubt, because of the excitement of the previous sabbath in Capernaum. He went out to pray (Mr 1:35).

Sought after him ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon\lceil\eta \tau$ touv avtov). Imperfect active indicative. The multitudes kept at it until "they came unto him" ( $\eta \lambda \theta \circ \vee \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha v \tau o v$, aorist active indicative). They accomplished their purpose, $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau 0 v$, right up to him.

Would have stayed him (катعıхоv autov). Better,
They tried to hinder him. The conative imperfect active of $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \chi \omega$, an old and common verb. It means either to hold fast (Lu 8:15), to take, get possession of (Lu 14:9) or to hold back, to retain, to restrain (Phm 1:13; Ro 1:18; 7:6; 2Th 2:6; Lu 4:42 ). In this passage it is followed by the ablative case.

That he should not go from them ( $\tau 0 v \mu \eta \pi о \rho \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha u \tau \omega v$ ). Literally, "from going away from them." The use of $\mu \eta$ (not) after кatel $\chi$ ov is the neat Greek idiom of the redundant negative after a verb of hindering like the French ne (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1171).

Luke 4:43
I must ( $\mu \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon$ ). Jesus felt the urge to go with the work of evangelism "to the other cities also," to all, not to a favoured few.

For therefore was I sent (o $\varepsilon \varepsilon \pi$ тov $\alpha=\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \eta \nu$ ). "A phrase of Johannine ring" (Ragg). Second aorist passive indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$. Christ is the great Apostle of God to men.

Luke 4:44
Was preaching ( $\eta \nu \kappa \eta \rho v \sigma \sigma \omega v$ ). Periphrastic imperfect active, describing his first tour of Galilee in accord with the purpose just stated. One must fill in details, though Mr 1:39 and Mt 8:23-25 tell of the mass of work done on this campaign.

## Luke 5

Luke 5:1
Pressed upon him ( $\varepsilon \pi / \kappa \varepsilon เ \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Luke in this paragraph (5:1-11; Mr 1:16-20; Mt 4:18-22 ) does not follow the chronology of Mark as he usually does. It seems reasonably clear that the renewed call of the four fishermen came before the first tour of Galilee in Lu 4:42-44 . It is here assumed that Luke is describing in his own way the incident given in Mark and Matthew above. Luke singles out Simon in a graphic way. This verb $\varepsilon \pi!\kappa \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \alpha$ is an old one and means to $\lambda \iota \varepsilon$ v $\pi \mathbf{~} \mathrm{v}$, rest upon as of a stone on the tomb (Joh 11:38) or of fish on the burning coals (Joh 21:9). So it is used of a tempest (Ac 27:20) and of the urgent demands for Christ's crucifixion (Lu 23:23). Here it vividly pictures the eager crowds around Jesus. Ev $\tau \omega \varepsilon \pi \kappa \kappa \varepsilon \sigma \theta a$ is a favourite idiom with Luke as we have already seen, $\varepsilon v$ with the articular infinitive in the locative case.

That ( $\kappa \alpha$ ). Ka does not technically mean the declarative conjunction "that," but it is a fair rendering of the somewhat awkward idiom of Luke to a certain extent imitating the Hebrew use of wav.

Was standing ( $\eta \nu \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \varsigma)$. Periphrastic second past perfect of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ which here is equal to a practical imperfect.
 verb of rest used to be called the pregnant use, came and was standing. But that is no longer necessary, for the accusative as the case of extension is the oldest of the cases and in later Greek regains many of the earlier uses of the other cases employed for more precise distinctions. See the same idiom in verse 2 . We need not here stress the notion of extension. "With characteristic accuracy Luke never calls it a sea, while the others never call it a lake" (Plummer).

Luke 5:2
Two boats ( $\pi \lambda$ ool $\delta v o$ ). Some MSS. have $\pi \lambda o \ldots \rho ı a$, little boats, but $\pi \lambda$ oıa was used of boats of various sizes, even of ships like $v \eta \varepsilon \varsigma$.

The fishermen (o $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$ ). It is an old Homeric word that has come back to common use in the Koine. It means "sea-folk" from $\alpha \lambda \varsigma$, sea.

Were washing ( $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \nu v o v)$. Imperfect active, though some MSS. have aorist $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \nu v a v$. Vincent comments on Luke's use of five verbs for washing: this one for cleaning, $\alpha \pi о \mu \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ for wiping the dust from one's feet ( $10: 11$ ), $\varepsilon \kappa \mu \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ of the sinful woman wiping Christ's feet with her hair (7:38,44), a $\pi$ o $\lambda o v \omega$ of washing away sins (symbolically, of course) as in Ac 22:16, and $\lambda$ ouv of washing the body of Dorcas (Ac 9:37) and the stripes of the prisoners (Ac 16:33). On "nets" see on Mt 4:20; Mr 1:18.

Luke 5:3

To put out a little ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha v a \gamma a \gamma \varepsilon ı v ~ o \lambda ı \gamma o v)$. Second aorist infinitive of the double compound verb $\varepsilon \pi-\alpha v-\alpha \gamma \omega$, found in Xenophon and late Greek writers generally. Only twice in the N.T. In Mt 21:18 in the sense of leading back or returning and here in the sense of leading a ship up upon the sea, to put out to sea, a nautical term.

Taught ( $\varepsilon \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v)$ ). Imperfect active, picturing Jesus teaching from the boat in which he was seated and so safe from the jam of the crowd. "Christ uses Peter's boat as a pulpit whence to throw the net of the Gospel over His hearers" (Plummer).

Luke 5:4
Had left speaking ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \cup \sigma \alpha \tau \tau \lambda \alpha \lambda \omega v$ ). He ceased speaking (aorist middle indicative and present active participle, regular Greek idiom).

Put out into the deep ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha v \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon เ \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \beta \alpha \theta$ oc). The same double compound verb as in verse 3 , only here second aorist active imperative second person singular.

Let down ( $\chi \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Peter was master of the craft and so he was addressed first. First aorist active imperative second person plural. Here the whole crew are addressed. The verb is the regular nautical term for lowering cargo or boats (Ac 27:17,30). But it was used for lowering anything from a higher place (Mr 2:4; Ac 9:25; 2Co 11:33). For a catch ( $\varepsilon ı ~ \alpha \gamma \rho \alpha v$ ). This purpose was the startling thing that stirred up Simon.

Luke 5:5
Master ( $\varepsilon$ пı। $\tau \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Used only by Luke in the N.T. and always in addresses to Christ ( $8: 24,45 ; 9: 33,49 ; 17: 13$ ). Common in the older writers for superintendent or overseer (one standing over another). This word recognizes Christ's authority.

We toiled (котıабаขтєऽ). This verb is from котоৎ (шорк, тоь入) and occurs from Aristophanes on. It used to be said that the notion of weariness in toil appears only in the LXX and the N.T. But Deissmann (Light from the Ancient East, pp. 312f.) cites examples from inscriptions on tombstones quite in harmony with the use in the N.T. Peter's protest calls attention also to the whole night of fruitless toil.

But at thy word ( $\varepsilon \pi \delta \varepsilon \tau \omega \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \sigma o v$ ). On the base of $\varepsilon \pi$. Acquiescence to show his obedience to Christ as "Master," but with no confidence whatsoever in the wisdom of this particular command. Besides, fishing in this lake was Peter's business and he really claimed superior knowledge on this occasion to that of Jesus.

Luke 5:6
They inclosed ( $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon I \sigma \alpha v)$ ). Effective aorist active indicative with perfective compound ouv.

They shut together. Were breaking ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \rho \eta \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau о$ ). Imperfect passive singular ( $\delta_{ı \kappa \tau \cup \alpha}$ being neuter plural). This is the late form of the old verb $\delta \Delta \alpha \rho \eta \gamma v v \mu$. The nets were actually tearing in two ( $\delta 1 \alpha-)$ and so they would lose all the fish.

Luke 5:7

They beckoned ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha v$ ). Possibly they were too far away for a call to be understood. Simon alone had been ordered to put out into the deep. So they used signs.

Unto their partners ( $\tau \circ \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \chi \circ \iota$ ). This word $\mu \varepsilon \tau \circ \chi \circ \varsigma$, from $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \chi \omega$, to have with, means participation with one in common blessings (Heb 3:1,14; 6:4; 12:8). While кot $\nu \omega \nu$, (verse 10 here of James and John also) has the notion of personal fellowship, partnership. Both terms are here employed of the two pairs of brothers who have a business company under Simon's lead.

Help them ( $\sigma u \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Second aorist middle infinitive. Take hold together with and so to help. Paul uses it in Php 4:3. It is an old word that was sometimes employed for seizing a prisoner ( $\mathrm{Lu} 22: 54$ ) and for conception (con-capio) by a woman (Lu 1:24).

So that they began to $\operatorname{sink}\left(\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon \beta v \theta_{\imath} \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \alpha v \tau \alpha\right)$. Consecutive use of $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ and the infinitive (present tense, inchoative use, beginning to sink). An old verb from $\beta v \theta$ oc. In the N.T. only here and 1Ti 6:9.

Luke 5:8
Fell down at Jesus' knees ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \nu \tau o \iota \varsigma \gamma 0 v a \sigma \iota \nu$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). Just like Peter, from extreme self-confidence and pride (verse 5) to abject humilation. But his impulse here was right and sincere. His confession was true. He was a sinful man.

Luke 5:9
For he was amazed $(\theta \alpha \mu \beta \circ \varsigma \gamma \alpha \rho \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \chi \varepsilon v)$. Literally,
For a wonder held him round. Aorist active indicative. It held Peter fast and all the rest.

Luke 5:10
Thou shalt catch men $(\varepsilon \sigma \eta \zeta \omega \gamma \rho \omega v)$. Periphrastic future indicative, emphasizing the linear idea. The old verb $\mathrm{Z} \omega \gamma \rho \varepsilon \omega$ means to catch alive, not to kill. So then Peter is to be a catcher of men, not of fish, and to catch them alive and for life, not dead and for death. The great Pentecost will one day prove that Christ's prophecy will come true. Much must happen before that great day. But Jesus foresees the possibilities in Simon and he joyfully undertakes the task of making a fisher of men out of this poor fisher of fish.

Luke 5:11
They left all, and followed him ( $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \eta \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Then and there. They had already become his disciples. Now they leave their business for active service of Christ. The conduct of this group of business men should make other business men to pause and see if Jesus is calling them to do likewise.

Luke 5:12
Behold ( $\kappa \alpha เ \delta o v$ ). Quite a Hebraistic idiom, this use of $\kappa \alpha$ after $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau o$ (almost like $o \tau)$ with $\mathrm{i} \delta \mathrm{ov}$ (interjection) and no verb.

Full of leprosy ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \eta \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \pi \rho a \varsigma)$. Mr 1:40 and Mt 8:2 have simply "a leper" which see. Evidently a bad case full of sores and far advanced as Luke the physician notes. The law (Le 13:12f. ) curiously treated advanced cases as less unclean than the earlier stages.

Fell on his face ( $\pi \varepsilon \sigma \omega v \varepsilon \pi \pi \pi \rho \sigma \omega \pi \sigma v$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, common verb. Mr 1:40 has "kneeling" ( $\gamma$ оvu $\varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \omega v$ ) and Mt 8:40 "worshipped" ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \kappa \nu v \varepsilon$ ). All three attitudes were possible one after the other. All three Synoptics quote the identical language of the leper and the identical answer of Jesus. His condition of the third class turned on the "will" ( $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \stackrel{)}{ }$ of Jesus who at once asserts his will $(\theta \eta \lambda \omega)$ and cleanses him. All three likewise mention the touch ( $\eta \psi \alpha \tau 0$, verse 13) of Christ's hand on the unclean leper and the instantaneous cure.

Luke 5:14
To tell no man ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon เ v$ ). This is an indirect command after the verb "charged" ( $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ו \lambda \varepsilon v$ ). But Luke changes (constructio variata) to the direct quotation, a common idiom in Greek and often in Luke (Ac 1:4f. ). Here in the direct form he follows Mr 1:43; Mt 8:4. See discussion there about the direction to go to the priest to receive a certificate showing his cleansing, like our release from quarantine (Le 13:39; 14:2-32).
 "according to sense," as we say, for it has no antecedent in the context, just to people in general. But this identical phrase with absence of direct reference occurs in Mark and Matthew, pretty good proof of the use of one by the other. Both Mt 8:4; Lu 5:14 follow Mr 1:44

## Luke 5:15

So much the more ( $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$ ). Mr 1:45 has only "much" ( $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha$, many), but Mark tells more about the effect of this disobedience.

Went abroad ( $\delta ı \eta \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau 0)$. Imperfect tense. The fame of Jesus kept going.
Came together ( $\sigma \cup v \eta \rho \chi$ оvтo). Imperfect tense again. The more the report spread, the more the crowds came.

Luke 5:16
But he withdrew himself in the deserts and prayed (av $\alpha \circ \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \eta v \cup \pi о \chi \omega \rho \omega v \varepsilon v \tau \alpha ル$, $\varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \circ \varsigma \kappa \kappa \pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon v \chi о \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Periphrastic imperfects. Literally, "But he himself was with drawing in the desert places and praying." The more the crowds came as a result of the leper's story, the more Jesus turned away from them to the desert regions and prayed with the Father. It is a picture of Jesus drawn with vivid power. The wild enthusiasm of the crowds was running ahead of their comprehension of Christ and his mission and message. $Н \nu \pi о \chi \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$ (perhaps with the notion of slipping away secretly, vлo-) is a very common Greek verb, but in the N.T. occurs in Luke alone. Elsewhere in the N.T. avax $\omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$ (to go back) appears.

Luke 5:17

That ( $\kappa \alpha$ ). Use of $\kappa \alpha=\mathrm{o} \tau$ (that) like the Hebrew wav, though found in Greek also.
He (avтоৎ). Luke sometimes has avтоц in the nominative as unemphatic "he" as here, not "he himself."

Was teaching ( $\eta v \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega \nu$ ). Periphrastic imperfect again like our English idiom.
Were sitting by ( $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Periphrastic imperfect again. There is no "by" in the Greek.

Doctors of the law ( $\nu \circ \mu o \delta ı \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda o$ ). A compound word formed after analogy of ı $\rho \circ \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda о \varsigma$, but not found outside of the N.T. and ecclesiastical writers, one of the very few words apparently N.T. in usage. It appears here and Ac 5:34; 1Ti 1:7. It is not likely that Luke and Paul made the word, but they simply used the term already in current use to describe teachers and interpreters of the law. Our word "doctor" is Latin for "teacher." These "teachers of the law" are called elsewhere in the Gospels "scribes" ( $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon ı$ ) as in Matthew and Mark (see on Mt 5:20; 23:34 ) and Lu 5:21; 19:47; 21:1; 22:2 . Luke also employs vo $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ кко (one skilled in the law, vo $\mu \circ$ ¢) as in 10:25. One thinks of our LL.D. (Doctors of Civil and Canon Law), for both were combined in Jewish law. They were usually Pharisees (mentioned here for the first time in Luke) for which see on Mt 3:7,20. Luke will often speak of the Pharisees hereafter. Not all the "Pharisees" were "teachers of the law" so that both terms often occur together as in verse 21 where Luke has separate articles (о $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota \kappa \alpha$ о Фа $\rho ı \sigma \alpha ı$ ), distinguishing between them, though one article may occur as in Mt 5:20 or no article as here in verse 17. Luke alone mentions the presence here of these Pharisees and doctors of the law "which were come" (o $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta$ o $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma$, periphrastic past perfect active,
had come ).
Out of every village of Galilee and Judea and Jerusalem ( $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \varsigma \kappa \omega \mu \eta_{\varsigma} \tau \eta \varsigma \Gamma \alpha \lambda_{ı} \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha \varsigma$ $\kappa \alpha$ Iov $\alpha ı a \varsigma \kappa \alpha \operatorname{I\varepsilon \rho ои\sigma \alpha \lambda \eta \mu ).~Edersheim~(Jewish~Social~Life)~observes~that~the~Jews~distin-~}$ guished Jerusalem as a separate district in Judea. Plummer considers it hyperbole in Luke to use "every village." But one must recall that Jesus had already made one tour of Galilee which stirred the Pharisees and rabbis to active opposition. Judea had already been aroused and Jerusalem was the headquarters of the definite campaign now organized against Jesus. One must bear in mind that Joh 4:1-4 shows that Jesus had already left Jerusalem and Judea because of the jealousy of the Pharisees. They are here on purpose to find fault and to make charges against Jesus. One must not forget that there were many kinds of Pharisees and that not all of them were as bad as these legalistic and punctilious hypocrites who deserved the indictment and exposure of Christ in Mt 23 . Paul himself is a specimen of the finer type of Pharisee which, however, developed into the persecuting fanatic till Jesus changed his whole life.
 So the best texts. It is neat Greek, but awkward English: "Then was the power of the Lord for the healing as to him (Jesus)." Here Kupıov refers to Jehovah.

Dunamis (dynamite) is one of the common words for "miracles" ( $\delta v v a \mu \varepsilon ı$ ). What Luke means is that Jesus had the power of the Lord God to heal with. He does not mean that this power was intermittent. He simply calls attention to its presence with Jesus on this occasion.

Luke 5:18
That was palsied (oऽ $\eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \nu \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma)$. Periphrastic past perfect passive where Mr 2:3; Mt 9:2 have $\pi \alpha \rho a \lambda \nu \tau \kappa \kappa v$ (our paralytic). Luke's phrase is the technical medical term (Hippocrates, Galen, etc.) rather than Mark's vernacular word (Ramsay, Luke the Physician, pp. 57f.).

They sought ( $\varepsilon \zeta \eta$ touv). Conative imperfect.
Luke 5:19
By what way they might bring him in ( $\pi \circ \iota \propto \varsigma \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \omega \sigma \iota \nu \alpha \nu \tau \circ v$ ). Deliberative subjunctive of the direct question retained in the indirect.

The housetop ( $\tau 0 \delta \omega \mu \alpha$ ). Very old word. The flat roof of Jewish houses was usually reached by outside stairway. Cf. Ac 10:9 where Peter went for meditation.

Through the tiles ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \omega \nu \kappa \varepsilon \rho \alpha \mu \omega v$ ). Common and old word for the tile roof. Mr 2:4 speaks of digging a hole in this tile roof.

Let him down ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \alpha \nu \alpha v \tau o v$ ). First aorist (k aorist) effective active of $\kappa \alpha \theta$ ı $\eta \mu$, common verb. Mr 2:4 has historical present $\chi \alpha \lambda \omega \sigma$, the verb used by Jesus to Peter and in Peter's reply (Lu 5:4f.).

With his couch ( $\sigma v \nu \tau \omega \kappa \lambda \iota v \delta \iota \omega$ ). Also in verse 24. Diminutive of $\kappa \lambda \iota \nu \eta$ (verse 18) occurring in Plutarch and Koine writers. Mr 2:4 has краßa兀兀оv (pallet). It doubtless was a pallet on which the paralytic lay.

Into the midst before Jesus ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau о \mu \varepsilon \sigma o v \varepsilon \mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \tau o v$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). The four friends had succeeded, probably each holding a rope to a corner of the pallet. It was a moment of triumph over difficulties and surprise to all in the house (Peter's apparently, Mr 2:1).

Luke 5:20
Their faith ( $\tau \eta \nu \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). In all three Gospels.
Man $(\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \varepsilon)$. Mark and Matthew have "child" or "Son" ( $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \nu \circ v$ ). Are forgiven $(\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \omega v \tau \alpha)$. This Doric form of the perfect passive indicative is for the Attic $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon เ v \tau \alpha$. It appears also in $\operatorname{Lu} 5: 23 ; 7: 47,48$; Joh 20:23; 1Jo 2:12. Mr 2:6; Mt 9:2 have the present passive $\alpha \varphi \_\varepsilon v \tau \alpha$. Possibly this man's malady was due to his sin as is sometimes true (Joh 5:14). The man had faith along with that of the four, but he was still a paralytic when Jesus forgave his sins.

Luke 5:21
But God alone ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta \mu \circ v \circ \varsigma$ o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma)$. Mark has $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ (one) instead of $\mu$ ovoৎ (alone).
Luke 5:22

Perceiving ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma v o v \varsigma)$. Same form (second aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \imath \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, common verb for knowing fully) in Mr 2:8 .

Reason ye ( $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda о ү \iota \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ) as in Mr 2:8. Mt 9:4 has $\varepsilon v \theta \cup \mu \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon$.
Luke 5:24
He saith unto him that was palsied ( $\varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon v \tau \omega \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \nu \mu \varepsilon v \omega$ ). This same parenthesis right in the midst of the words of Jesus is in Mr 2:11; Mt 9:6, conclusive proof of interrelation between these documents. The words of Jesus are quoted practically alike in all three Gospels, the same purpose also $\tau v \alpha \varepsilon \iota \delta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ (second perfect active subjunctive).

Luke 5:25
Whereon he lay ( $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime}$ о катєкєıтo). Imperfect, upon which he had been lying down. Luke uses this phrase instead of repeating $\kappa \lambda \iota v ı \delta ı o v$ (verse 24).

Glorifying God ( $\delta o \xi \alpha \zeta \omega \nu \tau 0 \nu \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). As one can well imagine.
Luke 5:26
Amazement ( $\varepsilon \kappa \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma ı \varsigma)$. Something out of its place, as the mind. Here the people were almost beside themselves as we say with the same idiom. See on Mr 5:42. So they kept glorifying God (imperfect tense, $\varepsilon \delta 0 \xi a \zeta o v)$ and at the same time "were filled with fear" ( $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \varphi o \beta o v$, aorist passive).

Strange things ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta 0 \xi \alpha$ ). Our very word paradox, contrary to ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ) received opinion ( $\delta \circ \xi \alpha$ ). Plato, Xenophon, and Polybius use it. Here alone in the N.T.

Luke 5:27
A publican named Levi ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega v \varepsilon v$ ovo $\mu \alpha \tau$ $\Lambda \varepsilon v \varepsilon เ v$ ). Mr 2:13 has also "The son of Alphaeus" while Mt 9:9 calls him "Matthew." He had, of course, both names. All three use the same words ( $\varepsilon \pi$ тo $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega v$ vov) for the place of toll. See discussion of
publican ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega v \eta \varsigma$ ) on Mt 9:9. All three Gospels give the command of Jesus,
Follow me ( $\alpha к \nearrow о \cup \theta \varepsilon)$.
Luke 5:28
He forsook all ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda ı \pi \omega \nu \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha)$. This detail in Luke alone. He left his profitable business for the service of Christ.

Followed him ( $\eta \kappa 0 \lambda o v \theta \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). Imperfect active, perhaps inchoative. He began at once to follow him and he kept it up. Both Mr 2:14; Mt 9:9 have the aorist ( $\eta \kappa о \lambda o u \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ), perhaps ingressive.

Luke 5:29
A great feast ( $\delta o \chi \eta \nu \mu \varepsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta v$ ). Here and in $L u$ 14:13 only in the N.T. The word $\delta o \chi \eta$, from $\delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, means reception. Occurs in Plutarch and LXX. Levi made Jesus a big reception.

Publicans and others ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega v \omega v \kappa \alpha \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega v$ ). Luke declines here to use "sinners" like Mr 2:15 and Mt 9:10 though he does so in verse 30 and in 15:1. None but social outcasts would eat with publicans at such a feast or barbecue, for it was a very large affair.

Were sitting at meat with them ( $\left.\eta \sigma \alpha \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \varepsilon\right\lrcorner \mu \varepsilon \nu 0$ ). Literally, were reclining with them (Jesus and the disciples). It was a motley crew that Levi had brought together, but he showed courage as well as loyalty to Jesus.

Luke 5:30
The Pharisees and their scribes (о Фарıбаı ка о $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau \omega v)$. Note article with each substantive and the order, not "scribes and Pharisees," but "the Pharisees and the scribes of them" (the Pharisees). Some manuscripts omit "their," but Mr 2:16 (the scribes of the Pharisees) shows that it is correct here. Some of the scribes were Sadducees. It is only the Pharisees who find fault here.

Murmured ( $\varepsilon \gamma \circ \gamma \gamma \cup \zeta o v)$. Imperfect active. Picturesque onomatopoetic word that sounds like its meaning. A late word used of the cooing of doves. It is like the buzzing of bees, like $\tau o v \theta o \rho \rho v \zeta \omega$ of literary Greek. They were not invited to this feast and would not have come if they had been. But, not being invited, they hang on the outside and criticize the disciples of Jesus for being there. The crowd was so large that the feast may have been served out in the open court at Levi's house, a sort of reclining garden party.

The publicans and sinners ( $\tau \omega \nu \tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega \nu \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda \omega \nu)$. Here Luke is quoting the criticism of the critics. Note one article making one group of all of them.

Luke 5:31
They that are whole (o vүıaıvoviç). Old Greek word for good health from $v \gamma \eta \varsigma$, sound in body. So also in $\mathrm{Lu} 7: 10 ; 15: 27 ; 3 \mathrm{Jo} \mathrm{1:2}$. This is the usual word for good health used by Greek medical writers. Mr 2:17; Mt 9:12 have o וб $\chi$ vovtєৎ (those who have strength).

Luke 5:32
To repentance ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \iota \alpha v$ ). Alone in Luke not genuine in Mr 2:17; Mt 9:12. Only sinners would need a call to repentance, a change of mind and life. For the moment Jesus accepts the Pharisaic division between "righteous" and "sinners" to score them and to answer their criticism. At the other times he will show that they only pretend to be "righteous" and are "hypocrites" in reality. But Jesus has here blazed the path for all soul-winners. The selfsatisfied are the hard ones to win and they often resent efforts to win them to Christ.

Luke 5:33
Often ( $\pi v \kappa v \alpha$ ). Only in Luke. Common word for thick, compact, often.
And make supplications ( $\kappa \alpha \delta \varepsilon \eta \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \pi о \iota \circ v \tau \tau \alpha$ ). Only in Luke.
But thine ( $o \delta \varepsilon \sigma o$ ). Sharp contrast between the conduct of the disciples of Jesus and those of John and the Pharisees who here appear together as critics of Christ and his disciples (Mr 2:18; Mt 9:14 ), though Luke does not bring that out sharply. It is probable that Levi had his reception for Jesus on one of the Jewish fast days and, if so, this would give special edge to their criticism.

Luke 5:34
Can ye ( $\mu \eta \delta \nu v a \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). So Luke, adding
make, $\pi о ו \eta \sigma \alpha$, where Mark and Matthew have $\mu \eta \delta \nu v \alpha v \tau \alpha$. All three have $\mu \eta$ and expect the answer no.

Luke 5:35
 and Mt 9:15 only "then."

Luke 5:36
Also a parable ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta о \lambda \eta v$ ). There are three parables here in the answer of Jesus (the bridegroom, the patch on the garment, the wineskin). They are not called parables save here, but they are parables and Luke's language means that.

Rendeth ( $\sigma \chi$ เбac). This in Luke alone. Common verb. Used of splitting rocks (Mt 27:51 ). Our word schism comes from it.

Putteth it ( $\varepsilon \pi / \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$ ). So Mt 9:16 when Mr 2:21 has $\varepsilon \pi \iota \rho a \pi \tau \varepsilon$ (sews on). The word for "piece" or "patch" ( $\varepsilon \pi \mu \beta \lambda \eta \mu \alpha)$ in all the three Gospels is from the verb $\varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to clap on, and is in Plutarch, Arrian, LXX, though the verb is as old as Homer. See on Matthew and Mark for distinction between кaıvos (fresh), veoc (new), and $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \ldots \rho$ (old).

He will rend the new ( $\kappa \alpha$ тo кaıvov $\sigma \chi$ เб). Future active indicative. So the best MSS.
Will not agree ( $o v \sigma \nu \mu \varphi \omega v \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Future active indicative. So the best manuscripts again.
With the old ( $\tau \omega \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \omega \omega)$. Associative instrumental case. Instead of this phrase in Luke, Mr 2:21; Mt 9:16 have "a worse rent" ( $\chi \varepsilon เ \rho o v ~ \sigma \chi เ \sigma \mu \alpha)$.

## Luke 5:38

Must be put ( $\beta \lambda \eta \tau \varepsilon \circ v$ ). This verbal adjective in - $\tau \varepsilon \circ \varsigma$ rather than - $\tau 0 \varsigma$ appears here alone in the N.T. though it is common enough in Attic Greek. It is a survival of the literary style. This is the impersonal use and is transitive in sense here and governs the accusative "new wine" (otvov veov), though the agent is not expressed (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1097).

Luke 5:39
The old is good (Ho $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \ldots \rho \varsigma \rho \eta \sigma \tau о \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. So the best MSS. rather that $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau о \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$, comparative (better). Westcott and Hort wrongly bracket the whole verse, though occurring in Aleph, B C L and most of the old documents. It is absent in D and some of the old Latin MSS. It is the philosophy of the obscurantist, that is here pictured by Christ. "The prejudiced person will not even try the new, or admit that it has any merits. He knows that the old is pleasant, and suits him; and that is enough; he is not going to change" (Plummer). This is Christ's picture of the reactionary Pharisees.

## Luke 6

Luke 6:1
On a sabbath $(\varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau \omega)$. This is the second sabbath on which Jesus is noted by Luke. The first was Lu 4:31-41. There was another in Joh 5:1-47. There is Western and Syrian (Byzantine) evidence for a very curious reading here which calls this sabbath "secondfirst" ( $\delta \varepsilon \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho о \pi \rho \omega \tau \omega$ ). It is undoubtedly spurious, though Westcott and Hort print it in the margin. A possible explanation is that a scribe wrote "first" ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \omega$ ) on the margin because of the sabbath miracle in Lu 6:6-11. Then another scribe recalled $\mathrm{Lu} 4: 31$ where a sabbath is mentioned and wrote "second" ( $\delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega)$ also on the margin. Finally a third scribe combined the two in the word $\delta \varepsilon u \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \pi \rho \omega \tau \omega$ that is not found elsewhere. If it were genuine, we should not know what it means.

Plucked ( $\varepsilon \tau i \lambda \lambda o v$ ). Imperfect active. They were plucking as they went on through ( $\delta$ iáoргยєб $\theta \alpha$ ). Whether wheat or barley, we do not know, not our "corn" (maize).

Did eat ( $\eta \sigma \theta \iota o v$ ). Imperfect again. See on Mt 12:1f.; Mr 2:23f. for the separate acts in supposed violence of the sabbath laws.

Rubbing them in their hands ( $\psi \omega \chi$ оvтєऽ тaıc $\chi \varepsilon \rho \sigma \tau v$ ). Only in Luke and only here in the N.T. This was one of the chief offences. "According to Rabbinical notions, it was reaping, threshing, winnowing, and preparing food all at once" (Plummer). These Pharisees were straining out gnats and swallowing camels! This verb $\psi \omega \chi \omega$ is a late one for $\psi \alpha \omega$, to rub.

Luke 6:3
Not even this (oude touto). This small point only in Luke.
What (o). Literally,
which . Mr 2:25; Mt 12:3 have $\tau$ (what).
Luke 6:4
Did take $(\lambda \alpha \beta \omega v)$. Second aorist active participle of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$. Not in Mark and Matthew. See Mt 12:1-8; Mr 2:23-28 for discussion of details about the shewbread and the five arguments in defence of his conduct on the sabbath (example of David, work of the priests on the sabbath, prophecy of Ho 6:6, purpose of the sabbath for man, the Son of Man lord of the sabbath). It was an overwhelming and crushing reply to these pettifogging ceremonialists to which they could not reply, but which increased their anger. Codex D transfers verse 5 to after verse 10 and puts here the following: "On the same day beholding one working on the sabbath he said to him: Man, if you know what you are doing, happy are you; but if you do not know, cursed are you and a transgressor of the law."

Luke 6:6
On another sabbath ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau \omega$ ). This was a second ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho o v$, as it often means), but not necessarily the next, sabbath. This incident is given by all three synoptics (Mr 3:1-6;

Mt 12:9-14; Lu 6:6-11 ). See Matt. and Mark for details. Only Luke notes that it was on a sabbath. Was this because Luke as a physician had to meet this problem in his own practise?

Right hand ( $\eta \delta \varepsilon \xi \iota \alpha$ ). This alone in Luke, the physician's eye for particulars.
Luke 6:7
The scribes and the Pharisees (о $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ к \alpha ~ о ~ Ф а \rho ı \sigma \alpha ı) . ~ O n l y ~ L u k e ~ h e r e ~ t h o u g h ~$ Pharisees named in Mt 12:14 and Pharisees and Herodians in Mr 3:6 .

Watched him ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho o u v \tau 0 ~ a v \tau o v$ ). Imperfect middle, were watching for themselves on the side $(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha)$. Mr 3:2 has the imperfect active $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho o u v$. Common verb, but the proposition $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ gave an extra touch, watching either assiduously like the physician at the bedside or insidiously with evil intent as here.

Would heal ( $\theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon)$. But the present active indicative ( $(\varepsilon \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ ) may be the correct text here. So Westcott and Hort.
 aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon v \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \omega$ and the infinitive with it means to find out how to do a thing. They were determined to make a case against Jesus. They felt sure that their presence would prevent any spurious work on the part of Jesus.

Luke 6:8
 Imperfect in sense, second past perfect in form $\eta \iota \delta \varepsilon$ from ot $\delta \alpha$. Jesus, in contrast to these spies (Plummer), read their intellectual processes like an open book.

His hand withered ( $\xi \eta \rho \alpha v \tau \eta v \chi \varepsilon \vdash \rho \alpha$ ). Predicate position of the adjective. So in Mr 3:3

Stand forth $(\sigma \tau \eta \theta)$. Luke alone has this verb, second aorist active imperative. Mr 3:3 has

Arise into the midst ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ тo $\mu \varepsilon \sigma o v$ ). Luke has
Arise and step forth into the midst ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau 0 \mu \varepsilon \sigma \circ v$ ). Christ worked right out in the open where all could see. It was a moment of excitement when the man stepped forth ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta)$ there before them all.

Luke 6:9
I ask you ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \omega \nu \mu a \varsigma)$. They had questions in their hearts about Jesus. He now asks in addition ( $\left.\varepsilon \pi \pi^{\prime}\right)$ an open question that brings the whole issue into the open.

A life ( $\psi \cup \times \eta v$ ). So the Revised Version. The rabbis had a rule: Periculum vitae pellit sabbatum. But it had to be a Jew whose life was in peril on the sabbath. The words of Jesus cut to the quick.

Or to destroy it ( $\eta \alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha$ ). On this very day these Pharisees were plotting to destroy Jesus (verse 7).

Luke 6:10

He looked round about on them all ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \wedge \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. First aorist middle participle as in Mr 3:5, the middle voice giving a personal touch to it all. Mark adds "with anger" which Luke here does not put in. All three Gospels have the identical command:

Stretch forth thy hand ( $\varepsilon \xi \tau \varepsilon เ v o v \tau \eta v \chi \varepsilon \rho \rho \alpha \sigma o v)$. First aorist active imperative.
Stretch out, clean out, full length. All three Gospels also have the first aorist passive indicative $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \eta$ with the double augment of the double compound verb $\alpha \pi о к \alpha \theta_{\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu}$. As in Greek writers, so here the double compound means complete restoration to the former state.

Luke 6:11
They were filled with madness ( $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ avolac) First aorist passive (effective) with genitive: In 5:26 we saw the people filled with fear. Here is rage that is kin to insanity, for avolac is lack of sense ( $\alpha$ privative and vouৎ, mind). An old word, but only here and 2 Ti 3:9 in the N.T.

Communed ( $\delta เ \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda o v v$ ), imperfect active, picturing their excited counsellings with one another. Mr 3:6 notes that they bolted out of the synagogue and outside plotted even with the Herodians how to destroy Jesus, strange co-conspirators these against the common enemy.

What they might do to Jesus ( $\tau$ av $\pi \sigma \not \square \sigma \alpha \iota \varepsilon$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). Luke puts it in a less damaging way than $\mathrm{Mr} 3: 6 ; \mathrm{Mt}$ 12:14. This aorist optative with $\alpha v$ is the deliberative question like that in Ac 17:18 retained in the indirect form here. Perhaps Luke means, not that they were undecided about killing Jesus, but only as to the best way of doing it. Already nearly two years before the end we see the set determination to destroy Jesus. We see it here in Galilee. We have already seen it at the feast in Jerusalem (Joh 5:18) where "the Jews sought the more to kill him." John and the Synoptics are in perfect agreement as to the Pharisaic attitude toward Jesus.

Luke 6:12
 Note $\varepsilon \xi$ - where Mr 3:13 has
goeth up (avaßaive). Luke alone has "to pray" as he so often notes the habit of prayer in Jesus.

He continued all night ( $\eta v \delta \iota \alpha v u \kappa \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon v \omega v)$ ). Periphrastic imperfect active. Here alone in the N.T., but common in the LXX and in late Greek writers. Medical writers used it of whole night vigils.

In prayer to God ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \eta$ रov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Objective genitive $\tau 0 v \theta \varepsilon o v$. This phrase occurs nowhere else. Пробгvхๆ does not mean "place of prayer" or synagogue as in Ac 16:13 , but the actual prayer of Jesus to the Father all night long. He needed the Father's guidance now in the choice of the Apostles in the morning.

Luke 6:13

When it was day (o $\boldsymbol{\tau} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \frac{}{} \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). When day came, after the long night of prayer.
He chose from them twelve ( $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha \nu \tau \omega v \delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ). The same root ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma$ ) was used for picking out, selecting and then for saying. There was a large group of "disciples" or "learners" whom he "called" to him ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \varphi \omega v \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ), and from among whom he chose (of himself, and for himself, indirect middle voice ( $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. It was a crisis in the work of Christ. Jesus assumed full responsibility even for the choice of Judas who was not forced upon Jesus by the rest of the Twelve. "You did not choose me, but I chose you," (Joh 15:16 ) where Jesus uses $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \mu \eta v$ as here by Luke.
 the twelve chosen disciples this appellation. Aleph and B have these same words in Mr 3:14 besides the support of a few of the best cursives, the Bohairic Coptic Version and the Greek margin of the Harclean Syriac. Westcott and Hort print them in their text in Mr 3:14, but it remains doubtful whether they were not brought into Mark from $\mathrm{Lu} 6: 13$ where they are undoubtedly genuine. See Mt 10:2 where the connection with sending them out by twos in the third tour of Galilee. The word is derived from $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, to send (Latin, mitto) and apostle is missionary, one sent. Jesus applies the term to himself ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha$, Joh 17:3) as does Heb 3:1 . The word is applied to others, like Barnabas, besides these twelve including the Apostle Paul who is on a par with them in rank and authority, and even to mere messengers of the churches (2Co 8:23). But these twelve apostles stand apart from all others in that they were all chosen at once by Jesus himself "that they might be with him" (Mr 3:14), to be trained by Jesus himself and to interpret him and his message to the world. In the nature of the case they could have no successors as they had to be personal witnesses to the life and resurrection of Jesus (Ac 1:22). The selection of Matthias to succeed Judas cannot be called a mistake, but it automatically ceased. For discussion of the names and groups in the list see discussion on Mt 10:1-4; Mr 3:14-19.

Luke 6:16
Which was the traitor ( $\circ \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau 0 ~ \pi \rho o \delta o \tau \eta \varsigma$ ). Who became traitor, more exactly, $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau 0$, not $\eta \nu$. He gave no signs of treachery when chosen.

Luke 6:17
He came down with them ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \alpha \cup \tau \omega v$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \iota v \omega$, common verb. This was the night of prayer up in the mountain (Mr 31:3; Lu 6:12 ) and the choice of the Twelve next morning. The going up into the mountain of Mt 5:1 may simply be a summary statement with no mention of what Luke has explained or may be a reference to the elevation, where he "sat down" (Mt 5:1 ), above the plain or "level place" ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \pi \pi o v \pi \varepsilon \delta \iota v o v)$ on the mountain side where Jesus "stood" or "stopped" ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta$ ). It may be a level place towards the foot of the mountain. He stopped his descent at this level place and then found a slight elevation on the mountain side and began to speak. There is not the slightest reason for making Matthew locate this sermon on the mountain and Luke
in the valley as if the places, audiences, and topics were different. For the unity of the sermon see discussion on Mt 5:1f. The reports in Matthew and Luke begin alike, cover the same general ground and end alike. The report in Matthew is longer chiefly because in Chapter 5, he gives the argument showing the contrast between Christ's conception of righteousness and that of the Jewish rabbis. Undoubtedly, Jesus repeated many of the crisp sayings here at other times as in Luke 12, but it is quite gratuitous to argue that Matthew and Luke have made up this sermon out of isolated sayings of Christ at various times. Both Matthew and Luke give too much that is local of place and audience for that idea. Mt 5:1 speaks of "the multitudes" and "his disciples." Lu 6:17 notes "a great multitude of his disciples, and a great number of the people from all Judea and Jerusalem, and the sea coast of Tyre and Sidon." They agree in the presence of disciples and crowds besides the disciples from whom the twelve apostles were chosen. It is important to note how already people were coming from "the sea coast of Tyre and Sidon" "to hear him and to be healed (ı $\alpha \theta \eta \alpha$, first aorist passive of $1 \alpha \circ \mu \alpha)$ of their diseases."

Luke 6:18
With unclean spirits ( $\alpha \pi о \pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \tau \omega v$ ). In an amphibolous position for it can be construed with "troubled," (present passive participle $\varepsilon v o \chi \lambda o u \mu \varepsilon v o$ ) or with "were healed" (imperfect passive, $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon v o v \tau o)$. The healings were repeated as often as they came. Note here both verbs, ı $\propto \circ \mu \alpha$ and $\theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon v \omega$, used of the miraculous cures of Jesus. $\Theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon v \omega$ is the verb more commonly employed of regular professional cures, but no such distinction is made here.

Luke 6:19
 surging, eager crowd pressing up to Jesus. Probably some of them felt that there was a sort of virtue or magic in touching his garments like the poor woman in Lu 8:43f. (Mr 5:23; Mt 9:21).

For power came forth from him ( $о \tau \delta v v \alpha \mu \iota \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \alpha \cup \tau о v \varepsilon \xi \eta \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau о$ ). Imperfect middle, power was coming out from him. This is the reason for the continual approach to Jesus.
And healed them all (ка tato $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Imperfect middle again. Was healing all, kept on healing all. The preacher today who is not a vehicle of power from Christ to men may well question why that is true. Undoubtedly the failure to get a blessing is one reason why many people stop going to church. One may turn to Paul's tremendous words in Php 4:13 : "I have strength for all things in him who keeps on pouring power into me" ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \_\sigma \chi \nu \omega$ $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \varepsilon v \delta v v \alpha \mu \circ u v \tau \mu \varepsilon$ ). It was at a time of surpassing dynamic spiritual energy when Jesus delivered this greatest of all sermons so far as they are reported to us. The very air was electric with spiritual power. There are such times as all preachers know.

Luke 6:20
 participle from $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \rho \omega$. Note also Luke's favourite use of $\kappa \alpha$ avtoc in beginning a paragraph. Vivid detail alone in Luke. Jesus looked the vast audience full in the face. Mt 5:2 mentions that "he opened his mouth and taught them" (began to teach them, inchoative imperfect, $\varepsilon \delta \delta \delta a \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v)$. He spoke out so that the great crowd could hear. Some preachers do not open their mouths and do not look up at the people, but down at the manuscript and drawl along while the people lose interest and even go to sleep or slip out.

Ye poor ( $\mathrm{o} \pi \tau \omega \chi 0$ ).
The poor , but "yours" (v address in all the four beatitudes and four woes given by him. It is useless to speculate why Luke gives only four of the eight beatitudes in Matthew or why Matthew does not give the four woes in Luke. One can only say that neither professes to give a complete report of the sermon. There is no evidence to show that either saw the report of the other. They may have used a common source like Q (the Logia of Jesus) or they may have had separate sources. Luke's first beatitude corresponds with Matthew's first, but he does not have "in spirit" after "poor." Does Luke represent Jesus as saying that poverty itself is a blessing? It can be made so. Or does Luke represent Jesus as meaning what is in Matthew, poverty of spirit?

The kingdom of God ( $\eta \beta \alpha \sigma \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Mt 5:3 has "the kingdom of heaven" which occurs alone in Matthew though he also has the one here in Luke with no practical difference. The rabbis usually said "the kingdom of heaven." They used it of the political Messianic kingdom when Judaism of the Pharisaic sort would triumph over the world. The idea of Jesus is in the sharpest contrast to that conception here and always. See on Mt 3:2 for discussion of the meaning of the word "kingdom." It is the favourite word of Jesus for the rule of God in the heart here and now. It is both present and future and will reach a glorious consummation. Some of the sayings of Christ have apocalyptic and eschatological figures, but the heart of the matter is here in the spiritual reality of the reign of God in the hearts of those who serve him. The kingdom parables expand and enlarge upon various phases of this inward life and growth.

Luke 6:21
Now (vov). Luke adds this adverb here and in the next sentence after "weep." This sharpens the contrast between present sufferings and the future blessings.

Filled ( $\chi$ ор $\tau \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Future passive indicative. The same verb in Mt 5:6. Originally it was used for giving fodder ( $\chi$ ортоৎ) to animals, but here it is spiritual fodder or food except in $\operatorname{Lu}$ 15:16; 16:21 . Luke here omits "and thirst after righteousness."

Weep (к入aıovtєऽ). Audible weeping. Where Mt 5:4 has "mourn" ( $\pi \varepsilon v \theta$ ouvtєऽ).
Shall laugh ( $\gamma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Here Mt 5:4 has "shall be comforted." Luke's words are terse.
Luke 6:22

When they shall separate you (oтаv a $\rho \rho \circ \sigma \omega \sigma เ v \nu \mu \alpha)$ ). First aorist active subjunctive, from $\alpha \varphi \rho \rho!\zeta \omega$, common verb for marking off a boundary. So either in good sense or bad sense as here. The reference is to excommunication from the congregation as well as from social intercourse.

Cast out your name as evil ( $\varepsilon \xi \beta \alpha \lambda \omega \sigma \iota v$ to ovo $\mu \alpha \nu \mu \omega \nu \omega \varsigma \pi o v \eta \rho o v)$. Second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, common verb. The verb is used in Aristophanes, Sophocles, and Plato of hissing an actor off the stage. The name of Christian or disciple or Nazarene came to be a byword of contempt as shown in the Acts. It was even unlawful in the Neronian persecution when Christianity was not a religio licita.

For the Son of man's sake ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa \alpha ~ \tau o v ~ v o v ~ \tau o v ~ a v \theta \rho \omega \pi о и) . ~ J e s u s ~ f o r e t o l d ~ w h a t ~ w i l l ~$ befall those who are loyal to him. The Acts of the Apostles is a commentary on this prophecy. This is Christ's common designation of himself, never of others save by Stephen (Ac 7:56) and in the Apocalypse (Re 1:13;14:14). But both Son of God and Son of man apply to him (Joh 1:50,52; Mt 26:63f. ). Christ was a real man though the Son of God. He is also the representative man and has authority over all men.

Luke 6:23
Leap for joy ( $\sigma \kappa \iota \rho \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Old verb and in LXX, but only in Luke in the N.T. (here and 1:41,44 ). It answers to Matthew's (Mt 5:12 ) "be exceeding glad."

Did ( $\varepsilon$ rooovv). Imperfect active, the habit of "their fathers" (peculiar to both here). Mt 5:12 has "persecuted." Thus they will receive a prophet's reward (Mt 1:41).

Luke 6:24
But woe unto you that are rich ( $\Pi \lambda \eta \nu$ ova $v \mu \nu \nu$ toıs $\pi \lambda$ ovoıoıऽ). Sharp contrast ( $\pi \lambda \eta \nu$ ). As a matter of fact the rich Pharisees and Sadducees were the chief opposers of Christ as of the early disciples later (Jas 5:1-6 ).

Ye have received ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon)$. Receipt in full $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \chi \omega$ means as the papyri show.
Consolation ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \tau v$ ). From $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to call to one's side, to encourage, to help, to cheer.

Luke 6:25
Now (vvv). Here twice as in verse 21 in contrast with future punishment. The joys and sorrows in these two verses are turned round, measure for measure reversed. The Rich Man and Lazarus (Lu 16:19-31) illustrate these contrasts in the present and the future.

Luke 6:26
In the same manner did their fathers ( $\tau \alpha \alpha \cup \tau \alpha \varepsilon \pi о \circ о v \nu$ о $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \omega v$ ). Literally, their fathers did the same things to the false prophets. That is they spoke well ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma$ ), finely of false prophets. Praise is sweet to the preacher but all sorts of preachers get it.

Of you ( $\nu \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. Accusative case after words of speaking according to regular Greek idiom, to speak one fair, to speak well of one.

Luke 6:27

But I say unto you that hear ( $A \lambda \lambda \alpha v \mu เ \nu \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ тoıৎ $\alpha \kappa о v o v \sigma เ v)$. There is a contrast in this use of $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ like that in Mt 5:44. This is the only one of the many examples given by Mt 5 of the sharp antithesis between what the rabbis taught and what Jesus said. Perhaps that contrast is referred to by Luke. If necessary, $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ could be coordinating or paratactic conjunction as in 2Co 7:11 rather than adversative as apparently here. See Mt 5:43f. Love of enemies is in the O.T., but Jesus ennobles the word, $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$, and uses it of love for one's enemies.

Luke 6:28
That despitefully use you ( $\tau \omega v \varepsilon \pi \eta \rho \varepsilon \alpha \zeta о v \tau \omega \nu v \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). This old verb occurs here only in the N.T. and in $1 \mathrm{Pe} 3: 16$, not being genuine in Mt 5:44.

Luke 6:29
On the cheek $(\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \nu \sigma \iota \alpha \gamma \circ v \alpha)$. Mt 5:39 has "right." Old word meaning jaw or jawbone, but in the N.T. only here and Mt 5:39, which see for discussion. It seems an act of violence rather than contempt. Sticklers for extreme literalism find trouble with the conduct of Jesus in Joh 18:22f. where Jesus, on receiving a slap in the face, protested against it.

Thy cloke ( $\tau \circ$ ц $\mu \alpha \tau \circ \nu$ ),
thy coat ( $\tau o v \chi \iota \tau \omega \nu \alpha$ ). Here the upper and more valuable garment ( $\mu \mu \alpha \iota \circ v$ ) is first taken, the under and less valuable $\chi \iota \tau \omega \nu$ last. In Mt 5:40 the process (apparently a legal one) is reversed.

Withhold not ( $\mu \eta \kappa \omega \lambda \nu \sigma \eta \stackrel{)}{ }$ ). Aorist subjunctive in prohibition against committing an act. Do not hinder him in his robbing. It is usually useless anyhow with modern armed bandits.

Luke 6:30
Ask them not again ( $\mu \eta \alpha \pi \alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon$ ). Here the present active imperative in a prohibition, do not have the habit of asking back. This common verb only here in the N.T., for aıtovoıv is the correct text in Lu 12:20. The literary flavour of Luke's Koine style is seen in his frequent use of words common in the literary Greek, but appearing nowhere else in the N.T.

Luke 6:31
As ye would ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). In Mt 7:12 the Golden Rule begins: $\Pi \alpha v \tau \alpha$ o $\sigma \alpha \varepsilon \alpha \nu \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \tau \varepsilon$. Luke has "likewise" (о $\mu \circ \omega \varsigma)$ where Matthew has ovt $\omega \varsigma$. See on Matthew for discussion of the saying.

Luke 6:32
What thank have ye? ( $\pi \circ \iota \alpha v \mu \imath \chi \chi \rho \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v ;)$. What grace or gratitude is there to you? Mt 5:46 has $\mu$ o大日ov (reward).

Luke 6:33
Do good ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ о $\pi о \imath \eta \tau$ ). Third-class condition, $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and present subjunctive. This verb not in old Greek, but in LXX.

Even sinners ( $\kappa \alpha$ о $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda о$ ). Even the sinners, the article distinguishing the class. Mt 5:46 has "even the publicans" and 5:47 "even the Gentiles." That completes the list of the outcasts for "sinners" includes "harlots" and all the rest.

Luke 6:34
If ye lend ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \delta \alpha v ı \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Third-class condition, first aorist active subjunctive from $\delta a v ı \zeta \omega$ (old form $\delta a v \varepsilon \iota \zeta \omega$ ) to lend for interest in a business transaction (here in active to lend and Mt 5:42 middle to borrow and nowhere else in N.T.), whereas кıх $\rho \eta \mu$ (only Lu 11:5 in N.T.) means to loan as a friendly act.

To receive again as much ( $\imath \alpha \alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \alpha \beta \omega \sigma \iota \nu \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \alpha$ ). Second aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$, old verb, to get back in full like $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \chi \omega$ in 6:24. Literally here, "that they may get back the equal" (principal and interest, apparently). It could mean "equivalent services." No parallel in Matthew.

Luke 6:35
But $(\pi \lambda \eta \nu)$. Plain adversative like $\pi \lambda \eta \nu$ in verse 24. Never despairing ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v$ $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \circ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma) . \mathrm{M} \eta \delta \varepsilon v$ is read by A B L Bohairic and is the reading of Westcott and Hort. The reading $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \alpha$ is translated "despairing of no man." The Authorized Version has it "hoping for nothing again," a meaning for $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \omega$ with no parallel elsewhere. Field (Otium Nor. iii. 40) insists that all the same the context demands this meaning because of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \varepsilon \iota v$ in verse 34 , but the correct reading there is $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \varepsilon \iota v$, not $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \varepsilon \iota v$. Here Field's argument falls to the ground. The word occurs in Polybius, Diodorus, LXX with the sense of despairing and that is the meaning here. D and Old Latin documents have nihil desperantes, but the Vulgate has nihil inde sperantes (hoping for nothing thence) and this false rendering has wrought great havoc in Europe. "On the strength of it Popes and councils have repeatedly condemned the taking of any interest whatever for loans. As loans could not be had without interest, and Christians were forbidden to take it, money lending passed into the hands of the Jews, and added greatly to the unnatural detestation in which Jews were held" (Plummer). By "never despairing" or "giving up nothing in despair" Jesus means that we are not to despair about getting the money back. We are to help the apparently hopeless cases. Medical writers use the word for desperate or hopeless cases.

Sons of the Most High (vo Hv here all real children or sons of God (Lu 20:36 ) are so termed. See also 1:35,76 for the use of "the Highest" of God. He means the same thing that we see in Mt 5:45,48 by "your Father."

Toward the unthankful and evil ( $\varepsilon \pi$ тоиৎ ахарıбтоия ка лоvпроия). God the Father is kind towards the unkind and wicked. Note the one article with both adjectives.

Luke 6:36
Even as your Father ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma$ о $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho v \mu \omega \nu$ ). In Mt 5:48 we have $\omega \varsigma$ о $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho v \mu \omega v$. In both the perfection of the Father is placed as the goal before his children. In neither case is it said that they have reached it.

## Luke 6:37

And judge not ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \eta \kappa \rho \iota \nu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon)$. $M \eta$ and the present active imperative, forbidding the habit of criticism. The common verb $\kappa \rho \iota v \omega$, to separate, we have in our English words critic, criticism, criticize, discriminate. Jesus does not mean that we are not to form opinions, but not to form them rashly, unfairly, like our prejudice.

Ye shall not be judged (ov $\mu \eta \kappa \rho(\theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive with double negative ou $\mu \eta$, strong negative.

Condemn not ( $\mu \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). To give judgment ( $\delta \iota \kappa \eta, \delta_{\iota} \xi \alpha \zeta \omega$ ) against ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ ) one. $\mathrm{M} \eta$ and present imperative. Either cease doing or do not have the habit of doing it. Old verb.

Ye shall not be condemned ( $o v \mu \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta ı \kappa \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist passive indicative again with the double negative. Censoriousness is a bad habit.

Release ( $\alpha \pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \nu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Positive command the opposite of the censoriousness condemned.

## Luke 6:38

Pressed down ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \iota \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. Perfect passive participle from $\pi \iota \varepsilon \zeta \omega$, old verb, but here alone in the N.T., though the Doric form $\pi \iota \varsigma \zeta \omega$, to seize, occurs several times (Joh 7:30,32,44 ).

Shaken together ( $\sigma \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Perfect passive participle again from common verb $\sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v \omega$.

Running over (v $\tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \kappa \chi \nu v v o \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. Present middle participle of this double compound verb not found elsewhere save in A Q in Joe 2:24. Xvv $\omega$ is a late form of $\chi \varepsilon \omega$. There is asyndeton here, no conjunction connecting these participles. The present here is in contrast to the two preceding perfects. The participles form an epexegesis or explanation of the "good measure" ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \circ v \kappa \alpha \lambda o v$ ). Into your bosom ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau о v \kappa о \lambda \pi \sigma v \nu \mu \omega v$ ). The fold of the wide upper garment bound by the girdle made a pocket in common use (Ex 4:6; $\operatorname{Pr} 6: 27 ; \operatorname{Ps} 79: 12$; Isa 65:6f.; Jer 32:18 ). So Isa 65:7 :

I will measure their former work unto their bosom. Shall be measured to you again ( $\alpha v \tau \iota \mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \forall \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Future passive indicative of the verb here only in the N.T. save late MSS. in Mt 7:2 . Even here some MSS. have $\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \eta \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$. The $\alpha \nu \tau$ has the common meaning of in turn or back, measured back to you in requital.

Luke 6:39
Also a parable ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta о \lambda \eta \nu)$. Plummer thinks that the second half of the sermon begins here as indicated by Luke's insertion of "And he spake ( $\varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon \nu \delta \varepsilon$ ) at this point. Luke has the word parable some fifteen times both for crisp proverbs and for the longer narrative comparisons. This is the only use of the term parable concerning the metaphors in the Sermon on the Mount. But in both Matthew and Luke's report of the discourse there are some sixteen possible applications of the word. Two come right together: The blind leading the blind, the mote and the beam. Matthew gives the parabolic proverb of the blind leading the
blind later (Mt 15:14). Jesus repeated these sayings on various occasions as every teacher does his characteristic ideas. So Luke 6:40; Mt 10:24, Lu 6:45; Mt 12:34f. Can (Mit סuvata). The use of $\mu \eta \tau$ in the question shows that a negative answer is expected.

Guide (o $\delta \eta \gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon v)$. Common verb from o $\delta \eta \gamma o \varsigma$ (guide) and this from o ooc (way) and $\eta \gamma \varepsilon o \mu a$, to lead or guide.

Shall they not both fall? (ovX ацчотєро $\varepsilon \mu \pi \varepsilon \sigma о и \nu \tau \alpha!;$ ). Ovх, a sharpened negative from ouk, in a question expecting the answer Yes. Future middle indicative of the common verb $\varepsilon \mu \pi \iota \tau \tau \omega$.

Into a pit ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \beta o \theta v v o v$ ). Late word for older $\beta$ o $\theta \rho \circ \varsigma$.
Luke 6:40
The disciple is not above his master (ovk $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \eta \varsigma v \pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda o v$ ). Literally, a learner (or pupil) is not above the teacher. Precisely so in Mt 10:24 where "slave" is added with "lord." But here Luke adds: "But everyone when he is perfected shall be as his master" (катпртıбиєvoৎ $\delta \varepsilon \pi \alpha \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \omega \varsigma ~ о ~ \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma к а \lambda о \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau о и) . ~ T h e ~ s t a t e ~ o f ~ c o m p l e t i o n, ~$
 broken things or nets (Mt 4:21) or men (Ga 6:1). So it is a long process to get the pupil patched up to the plane of his teacher.

Luke 6:41
Mote (карчоऽ) and
beam ( $\delta$ oкоv). See on Mt 7:3-5 for discussion of these words in this parabolic proverb kin to several of ours today.

Luke 6:42
Canst thou say ( $\delta u v a \sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon เ v)$. Here Mt 7:4 has
wilt thou say ( $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \boxed{\varsigma}$ ).
Beholdest not (ov $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega v$ ). Mt 7:4 has "lo" (iסov).
Thou hypocrite (vтокрıта). Contrast to the studied politeness of "brother" ( $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \varepsilon$ ) above. Powerful picture of blind self-complacence and incompetence, the keyword to argument here.

Luke 6:44
Is known ( $\gamma เ \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). The fruit of each tree reveals its actual character. It is the final test. This sentence is not in Mt 7:17-20, but the same idea is in the repeated saying (Mt 7:16,20 ): "By their fruits ye shall know them," where the verb
epignosesthe means full knowledge. The question in Mt 7:16 is put here in positive declarative form. The verb is in the plural for "men" or "people," $\sigma u \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \gamma o v \sigma \tau v$. See on Mt 7:16.

Bramble bush ( $\beta a \tau o v$ ). Old word, quoted from the LXX in Mr 12:26; Lu 20:37 (from Ex 3:6 ) about the burning bush that Moses saw, and by Stephen (Ac 7:30,35) referring to
the same incident. Nowhere else in the N.T. "Galen has a chapter on its medicinal uses, and the medical writings abound in prescriptions of which it is an ingredient" (Vincent).

Gather ( $\tau \rho v \gamma \omega \sigma เ v)$. A verb common in Greek writers for gathering ripe fruit. In the N.T. only here and Re 14:18f.

Grapes ( $\sigma \tau \alpha \varphi \nu \lambda \eta \nu$ ). Cluster of grapes.
Luke 6:45
Bringeth forth ( $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$ ). In a similar saying repeated later. Mt 12:34f. has the verb $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$ (throws out, casts out), a bolder figure. "When men are natural, heart and mouth act in concert. But otherwise the mouth sometimes professes what the heart does not feel" (Plummer).

Luke 6:46
And do not ( $\kappa \alpha$ ov $\pi o \iota \varepsilon เ \tau \varepsilon$ ). This is the point about every sermon that counts. The two parables that follow illustrate this point.

Luke 6:47
Hears and does ( $\alpha \kappa \sigma \omega \omega v \kappa \alpha \pi \sigma \omega v$ ). Present active participles. So in Mt 7:24. (Present indicative.)

I will show you ( $v \pi о \delta \varepsilon ı \xi \omega \nu \mu \nu)$ ). Only in Luke, not Matthew.
Luke 6:48
Digged and went deep ( $\varepsilon \sigma \kappa \alpha \psi \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \beta \alpha \theta v v \varepsilon v)$ ). Two first aorist indicatives. Not a hendiadys for dug deep. $\Sigma \kappa \alpha \pi \tau \omega$, to dig, is as old as Homer, as is $\beta \alpha \theta \cup v \omega$, to make deep.

And laid a foundation ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \theta \eta \kappa \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \iota v$ ). That is the whole point. This wise builder struck the rock before he laid the foundation.

When a flood arose ( $\pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu \nu \rho \eta \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute. Late word for flood, $\pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu \nu \rho a$, only here in the N.T., though in Job 40:18 .

Brake against ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \rho \eta \xi \varepsilon v)$. First aorist active indicative from $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \rho \eta \gamma v v \mu$ and in late writers $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \rho \eta \sigma \sigma \omega$, to break against. Only here in the N.T. Mt 7:25 has $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \alpha v$, from $\pi \rho o \sigma \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, to fall against.

Could not shake it (ouk $\sigma \chi \cup \sigma \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \alpha v \tau \eta v$ ). Did not have strength enough to shake it.

Because it had been well builded ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ то к $\alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma$ окко $\delta о \mu \eta \sigma \theta \alpha \alpha \nu \tau \eta v$ ). Perfect passive articular infinitive after $\delta \iota \alpha$ and with accusative of general reference.

Luke 6:49
He that heareth and doeth not (o $\delta \varepsilon \alpha \kappa о v \sigma \alpha \varsigma ~ к \alpha \mu \eta \pi о п \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$ ). Aorist active participle with article. Particular case singled out (punctiliar, aorist).

Like a man ( $\circ \mu \circ \circ \varsigma \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \alpha v \rho \omega \pi \omega)$. Associative instrumental case after ouoos as in verse 47.

Upon the earth ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta v \gamma \eta \nu)$. Mt 7:26 has "upon the sand" ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \nu \alpha \mu \mu \circ v$ ), more precise and worse than mere earth. But not on the rock.

Without a foundation ( $\chi \omega \rho \iota \varsigma \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \iota o v)$. The foundation on the rock after deep digging as in verse 48 .

It fell in ( $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist active of $\sigma \cup v \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, to fall together, to collapse. An old verb from Homer on, but only here in the N.T.

The ruin ( $\tau \mathrm{o} \rho \eta \gamma \mu \alpha$ ). The crash like a giant oak in the forest resounded far and wide. An old word for a rent or fracture as in medicine for laceration of a wound. Only here in the N.T.

## Luke 7

Luke 7:1
After ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon เ \delta \eta, \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \alpha v \delta \delta \eta$ ). This conjunction was written $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \delta \eta$ in Homer and is simple $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$ with the intensive $\delta \eta$ added and even $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \delta \eta \pi \varepsilon \rho$ once in N.T. (Lu 1:1). This is the only instance of the temporal use of $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon เ \delta \eta$ in the N.T. The causal sense occurs only in Luke and Paul, for $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$ is the correct text in Mt 21:46 .

Had ended ( $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \varepsilon v)$. First aorist active indicative. There is here a reference to the conclusion of the Sermon on the Mount, but with nothing concerning the impression produced by the discourse such as is seen in Mt 7:28. This verse really belongs as the conclusion of Chapter 6, not as the beginning of Chapter 7 .

In the ears of the people ( $\varepsilon เ \varsigma ~ \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ а к о \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau о и ~ \lambda \alpha о v) . ~ А к о \eta ~ f r o m ~ а к о и \omega, ~ t o ~ h e a r, ~ i s ~ u s e d ~$ of the sense of hearing (1Co 12:17), the ear with which one hears (Mr 7:35; Heb 5:11) , the thing heard or the report (Rom 10:16) or oral instruction (Ga 3:2,5). Both Mt 8:5-13; Lu 7:1-10 locate the healing of the centurion's servant in Capernaum where Jesus was after the Sermon on the Mount.

Luke 7:2
Centurion's servant (HeкатоvтарХоv тivoc $\delta o u \lambda o c$ ). Slave of a certain centurion (Latin word $\chi$ £vtvpio, commander of a century or hundred). Mr 15:39,44 has the Latin word in Greek letters, кعv $\tau \rho \rho \omega v$. The centurion commanded a company which varied from fifty to a hundred. Each cohort had six centuries. Each legion had ten cohorts or bands (Ac 10:1 ). The centurions mentioned in the N.T. all seem to be fine men as Polybius states that the best men in the army had this position. See also Lu 23:47. The Greek has two forms of the word, both from $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau о v$, hundred, and $\alpha \rho \chi \omega$, to rule, and they appear to be used interchangeably. So we have єкатоvтархоৎ; here, the form is -архоऽ, and єкатоvтархŋऽ, the form is $\alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma$ in verse 6 . The manuscripts differ about it in almost every instance. The $-\alpha \rho \chi \circ \varsigma$ form is accepted by Westcott and Hort only in the nominative save the genitive singular here in Lu 7:2 and the accusative singular in Ac 22:25. See like variation between them in Mt 8:5,8 (- $\alpha \rho \chi \circ \varsigma)$ and Mt 8:13 ( $\alpha \rho \chi \eta$ ). So also - $\alpha \rho \chi$ оv (Ac 22:25) and - $\alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma$ (Ac 22:26).

Dear to him ( $\alpha \cup \tau \omega \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \tau \mu \circ \varsigma$ ). Held in honour, prized, precious, dear (Lu 14:8; 1Pe 2:4; Php 2:29 ), common Greek word. Even though a slave he was dear to him.

Was sick ( $\kappa \alpha \kappa \omega \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \chi \omega v$ ). Having it bad. Common idiom. See already Mt 4:24; 8:16; Mr 2:17; Lu 5:31 , etc. Mt 8:6 notes that the slave was a paralytic.

And at the point of death ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon u \tau \alpha เ v$ ). Imperfect active of $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ (note double augment $\eta$ ) which is used either with the present infinitive as here, the aorist ( $\operatorname{Re} 3: 16$ ), or even the future because of the future idea in $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ (Ac 11:28; 24:15). He was about to die.

Luke 7:3

Sent unto him elders of the Jews ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \nu \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha v \tau o v \pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta o v \tau \varepsilon \rho o v \varsigma \tau \omega \nu$ Iov $\alpha, \omega \nu)$. Mt 8:5 says "the centurion came unto him." For discussion of this famous case of apparent discrepancy see discussion on Matthew. One possible solution is that Luke tells the story as it happened with the details, whereas Matthew simply presents a summary statement without the details. What one does through another he does himself.

Asking him ( $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \omega \nu \alpha v \tau o v)$. Present active participle, masculine singular nominative, of the verb $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \omega$ common for asking a question as in the old Greek (Lu 22:68). But more frequently in the N.T. the verb has the idea of making a request as here. This is not a Hebraism or an Aramaism, but is a common meaning of the verb in the papyri (Deissmann, Light from the Ancient East, p. 168). It is to be noted here that Luke represents the centurion himself as "asking" through the elders of the Jews (leading citizens). In Mt 8:6 the verb is $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \nu$ (beseeching).

That he would come and save ( $0 \pi \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega \nu \delta \iota \alpha \sigma \omega \sigma \eta$ ). Hıva is the more common final or sub-final (as here) conjunction, but o $\pi \omega \varsigma$ still occurs. $\Delta \iota \alpha \sigma \omega \sigma \eta$ is effective aorist active subjunctive, to bring safe through as in a storm (Ac 28:1,4 ). Common word.

Luke 7:4
Besought ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda o v v$ ). Imperfect active, began and kept on beseeching. This is the same verb used by Matthew in Mt 8:5 of the centurion himself.

Earnestly ( $\sigma \pi о \cup \delta a \iota \omega \varsigma)$. From $\sigma \pi 0 v \delta \eta$ haste. So eagerly, earnestly, zealously, for time was short.

That thou shouldst do this for him ( $\omega \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \xi \eta \tau 0 v \tau 0$ ). Second future middle singular of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$. Old and common verb, furnish on thy part. $\mathrm{H} \omega$ is relative in dative case almost with notion of contemplated result (Robertson, Grammar, p. 961).

Luke 7:5
For ( $\gamma \alpha \rho$ ). This clause gives the reason why the elders of the Jews consider him "worthy" ( $\alpha \xi \iota \rho$, drawing down the scale, $\alpha \xi \iota \varsigma, \alpha \gamma 0$ ). He was hardly a proselyte, but was a Roman who had shown his love for the Jews.

Himself (avtoc). All by himself and at his own expense.
Us $(\eta \mu v)$. Dative case, for us. It is held by some archaeologists that the black basalt ruins in Tell Hum are the remains of the very synagogue ( $\tau \eta \nu \sigma v v \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \eta \nu$ ). Literally,
the synagogue, the one which we have, the one for us.
Luke 7:6
Went with them ( $\varepsilon \pi о \rho \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau о ~ \sigma u v \alpha v \tau o \iota \varsigma)$. Imperfect indicative middle. He started to go along with them.

Now ( $\eta \delta \eta$ ). Already like Latin jam. In 1Co $4: 8$ vvv $\eta \delta \eta$ like jam nunc.
Sent friends ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \varepsilon \nu \varphi \iota \lambda o v \varsigma)$. This second embassy also, wanting in Matthew's narrative. He "puts the message of both into the mouth of the centurion himself" (Plummer). Note
saying $(\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega v)$, present active singular participle, followed by direct quotation from the centurion himself.

Trouble not thyself ( $\mathrm{M} \eta \sigma \kappa \nu \lambda \lambda o v$ ). Present middle (direct use) imperative of $\sigma \kappa \nu \lambda \lambda \omega$, old verb originally meaning to skin, to mangle, and then in later Greek to vex, trouble, annoy. Frequent in the papyri in this latter sense.
 as in Mt 8:8, which see for discussion, from $\tau \kappa \omega$, $\iota \kappa \alpha \nu \omega$, to fit, to reach, be adequate for. Hıva in both places as common in late Greek. See Mt 8:8 also for "roof" ( $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \gamma \eta \nu$, covering).

Luke 7:7
Wherefore neither thought I myself worthy to come unto thee ( $\delta$ o ov $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu \alpha v \tau o v$ $\eta \xi \iota \omega \sigma \alpha \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \iota v)$. Not in Matthew because he represents the centurion as coming to Jesus.

Speak the word $(\varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon \lambda o \gamma \omega)$. As in Mt 8:8. Second aorist active imperative with instrumental case, speak with a word.

My servant shall be healed ( $\iota \alpha \eta \tau \omega$ o $\pi \alpha \iota \varsigma \mu \circ v$ ). Imperative first aorist passive, let be healed. Пaıc literally means "boy," an affectionate term for the "slave," סou入oc (verse 2), who was "dear" to him.

Luke 7:8
"Set" ( $\tau \alpha \sigma \sigma o \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma)$. Genuine here, though doubtful in Mt 8:9 where see discussion of this vivid and characteristic speech of the centurion.

## Luke 7:9

Turned ( $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon ı)$ ). Second aorist passive participle of $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, to turn. Common verb. A vivid touch not in Matthew's account. In both Matthew and Luke Jesus marvels at the great faith of this Roman centurion beyond that among the Jews. As a military man he had learned how to receive orders and to execute them and hence to expect obedience to his commands, He recognized Jesus as Master over disease with power to compel obedience.

Luke 7:10
Whole (vүıaıvov $\alpha$ ). Sound, well. See Lu 5:31.
Luke 7:11
Soon afterwards ( $\varepsilon v$ тo $\varepsilon \xi \eta \varsigma$ ). According to this reading supply $\chi \rho o v \omega$, time. Other MSS. read $\tau \eta \varepsilon \xi \eta \varsigma$ (supply $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho a$, day). Hє $\eta \eta \varsigma$ occurs in Luke and Acts in the N.T. though old adverb of time.

That (Ho $\tau$ ). Not in the Greek, the two verbs $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau o$ and $\varepsilon \pi o \rho \varepsilon v \theta \eta$ having no connective (asyndeton).

Went with him ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \pi \sigma \rho \varepsilon v o v \tau o ~ a v \tau \omega$ ). Imperfect middle picturing the procession of disciples and the crowd with Jesus. Nain is not mentioned elsewhere in the N.T. There is today a hamlet about two miles west of Endor on the north slope of Little Hermon. There
is a burying-place still in use. Robinson and Stanley think that the very road on which the crowd with Jesus met the funeral procession can be identified.

## Luke 7:12

Behold ( $\kappa \alpha \iota \delta o v$ ). The $\kappa \alpha$ introduces the apodosis of the temporal sentence and has to be left out in translations. It is a common idiom in Luke, ка ı $\delta$ ov.

There was carried out ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \kappa о \mu \iota \zeta \tau \tau)$. Imperfect passive indicative. Common verb in late Greek for carrying out a body for burial, though here only in the N.T. ( $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa о \mu \zeta \zeta \omega)$. Rock tombs outside of the village exist there today.

One that was dead ( $\tau \varepsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa \omega \varsigma)$. Perfect active participle of $\theta \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$, to die.
The only son of his mother ( $\mu \circ \nu \circ \gamma \varepsilon \vee \eta \varsigma v i o \varsigma \tau \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Only begotten son to his mother (dative case). The compound adjective $\mu \circ v o \gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \varsigma$ ( $\mu \circ v o \varsigma$ and $\gamma \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma$ ) is common in the old Greek and occurs in the N.T. about Jesus (Joh 3:16,18). The "death of a widow's only son was the greatest misfortune conceivable" (Easton).

And she was a widow ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha v \tau \eta \eta \nu \chi \eta \rho \alpha)$. This word $\chi \eta \rho \alpha$ gives the finishing touch to the pathos of the situation. The word is from $\chi \eta \rho \circ \varsigma$, bereft. The mourning of a widow for an only son is the extremity of grief (Plummer).

Much people (оүлоऽ เкаขоऽ). Considerable crowd as often with this adjective tкаvоৎ. Some were hired mourners, but the size of the crowd showed the real sympathy of the town for her.

Luke 7:13
The Lord saw her ( $\llcorner\omega \nu \alpha v \tau \eta \nu$ о кирıоऽ). The Lord of Life confronts death (Plummer) and Luke may use Kvpıos here purposely.

Had compassion ( $\varepsilon \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \theta \eta$ ). First aorist (ingressive) passive indicative of $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi v i \zeta o \mu \alpha$. Often love and pity are mentioned as the motives for Christ's miracles (Mt $14: 14 ; 15: 32$, etc.). It is confined to the Synoptics in the N.T. and about Christ save in the parables by Christ.

Weep not ( $\mu \eta \kappa \lambda \alpha \iota \varepsilon$ ). Present imperative in a prohibition. Cease weeping.
Luke 7:14
Touched the bier ( $\eta \psi a \tau o$ tov $\sigma o \rho o v$ ). An urn for the bones or ashes of the dead in Homer, then the coffin (Ge 5:26) , then the funeral couch or bier as here. Only here in the N.T. Jesus touched the bier to make the bearers stop, which they did ( stood still , $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ), second aorist active indicative of $ו \sigma \tau \eta \mu$.

Luke 7:15
Sat up ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative. The verb in the N.T. only here and Ac 9:40. Medical writers often used it of the sick sitting up in bed (Hobart, Med. Lang. of St. Luke, p. 11). It is objected that the symmetry of these cases (daughter of Jairus raised from the death-bed, this widow's son raised from the bier, Lazarus raised from the tomb) is suspicious, but no one Gospel gives all three (Plummer).

Gave him to his mother ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ autov $\tau \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho \alpha v \tau o v)$. Tender way of putting it. "For he had already ceased to belong to his mother" (Bengel). So in Lu 9:42 .

Luke 7:16
Fear seized all ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \varphi о \beta$ оц $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Aorist active indicative. At once.
They glorified God ( $\varepsilon \delta \circ \xi \alpha \zeta \circ v$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Imperfect active, inchoative, began and increased.

Luke 7:17
This report (o $\lambda о$ үos ovtoc). That God had raised up a great prophet who had shown his call by raising the dead.

Luke 7:18
And the disciples of John told him ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \iota \lambda \alpha \nu \mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \nu \eta$ о $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha \alpha \cup \tau \circ v$ ). Literally, and his disciples announced to John. Such news (verse 17) was bound to come to the ears of the Baptist languishing in the dungeon of Machaerus ( $\mathrm{Lu} 3: 20$ ). Lu 7:18-35 runs parallel with Mt 11:2-19, a specimen of Q , the non-Marcan portion of Matthew and Luke.

Luke 7:19
Calling unto him ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. First aorist middle (indirect) participle.
Two ( $\delta v o$ тıvac). Certain two. Not in Mt 11:2.
Saying ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega v$ ). John saying by the two messengers. The message is given precisely alike in Mt 11:3, which see. In both we have $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho o v$ for "another," either a second or a different kind. In verse 20 Westcott and Hort read $\alpha \lambda \lambda o v$ in the text, $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ in the margin. Пробठокш $\mu \varepsilon v$, may be present indicative or present subjunctive (deliberative), the same contract form ( $\alpha 0=\omega, \alpha \omega \omega$ ).

Luke 7:21
In that hour he cured ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon ı v \eta \tau \eta$ opa $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). This item is not in Matthew. Jesus gave the two disciples of John an example of the direct method. They had heard. Then they saw for themselves.

Diseases (vo $\sigma \omega v$ ),
plagues ( $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau \imath \gamma \omega v$ ),
evil spirits ( $\pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau \omega v \pi о \nu \eta \rho \omega v$ ), all kinds of bodily ills, and he singles out the
blind ( $\tau \cup \varphi \lambda$ оıऽ) to whom in particular he bestowed sight ( $\varepsilon \chi \alpha \rho \iota \zeta \alpha \tau 0 \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \imath v$ ), gave as a free gift (from $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \varsigma$, grace) seeing ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon เ v$ ).

Luke 7:22
What things ye have seen and heard ( $\alpha \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \not \eta \kappa о v \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). In Mt 11:4, present tense "which ye do hear and see." Rest of verse 22,23 as in Mt 11:4-6, which see for details. Luke mentions no raisings from the dead in verse 21 , but the language is mainly general, while here it is specific. $\Sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda ı \zeta o \mu \alpha$ used here has the double notion of to trip up and to entrap and in the N.T. always means causing to sin.

Luke 7:24

When the messengers of John were departed ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta o v \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \omega \nu \mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \nu o v$ ). Genitive absolute of aorist active participle. Mt 11:7 has the present middle participle $\pi о \rho \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega v$, suggesting that Jesus began his eulogy of John as soon as the messengers (angels, Luke calls them) were on their way. The vivid questions about the people's interest in John are precisely alike in both Matthew and Luke.

Luke 7:25
Gorgeously apparelled ( $\varepsilon \nu \iota \mu \tau \iota \sigma \mu \omega \varepsilon \nu \delta o \xi \omega$ ). In splendid clothing. Here alone in this sense in the N.T.

And live delicately $(\tau \rho \cup \varphi \eta)$. From $\theta \rho v \pi \tau \omega$ to break down, to enervate, an old word for luxurious living. See the verb $\tau \rho \cup \varphi a \omega$ in Jas 5:5 .

In kings' courts ( $\varepsilon \nu$ тоıৎ $\beta$ абл $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \circ \varsigma)$. Only here in the N.T. Mt 11:8 has it "in kings' houses." Verses 26,27 are precisely alike in Mt 11:9,10, which see for discussion.

Luke 7:26
A prophet? $(\pi \rho o \varphi \eta \tau \eta v ;)$. A real prophet will always get a hearing if he has a message from God. He is a for-speaker, forth-teller ( $\pi \rho o-\varphi \eta \tau \eta \varsigma)$. He may or may not be a fore-teller. The main thing is for the prophet to have a message from God which he is willing to tell at whatever cost to himself. The word of God came to John in the wilderness of Judea (Lu 3:2 ). That made him a prophet. There is a prophetic element in every real preacher of the Gospel. Real prophets become leaders and moulders of men.

Luke 7:28
There is none (ov $\delta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. No one exists, this means. Mt 11:11 has ovк $\varepsilon \gamma \eta \gamma \varepsilon \rho \tau \alpha$ (hath not arisen). See Matthew for discussion of "but little" and "greater."

Luke 7:29
Justified God ( $\varepsilon \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \sigma \alpha v \tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \varepsilon o v)$. They considered God just or righteous in making these demands of them. Even the publicans did. They submitted to the baptism of John ( $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \tau$ то $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$ 兀ov I $\omega \alpha v o v$. First aorist passive participle with the cognate accusative retained in the passive. Some writers consider verses 29,30 a comment of Luke in the midst of the eulogy of John by Jesus. This would be a remarkable thing for so long a comment to be interjected. It is perfectly proper as the saying of Jesus.

Luke 7:30
Rejected for themselves ( $\eta \theta \varepsilon \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \varepsilon ı \varsigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v \varsigma)$. The first aorist active of $\alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega$ first seen in LXX and Polybius. Occurs in the papyri. These legalistic interpreters of the law refused to admit the need of confession of sin on their part and so set aside the baptism of John. They annulled God's purposes of grace so far as they applied to them.

Being not baptized by him ( $\mu \eta \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma v \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v$ ). First aorist passive participle. $\mathrm{M} \eta$ is the usual negative of the participle in the Koine.

Luke 7:31

And to what are they like? ( $\kappa \alpha$ тıv عוซเv ouoto;). This second question is not in Mt 11:16. It sharpens the point. The case of tiv is associative instrumental after opoor. See discussion of details in Matthew.

Luke 7:32
And ye did not weep ( $\kappa \alpha$ оик $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \alpha v \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Here Mt 1:17 has "and ye did not mourn (or beat your breast, ovк $\varepsilon \kappa о \psi \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). They all did it at funerals. These children would not play wedding or funeral.

Luke 7:33
John the Baptist is come ( $\varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda u \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Second perfect active indicative where Mt 11:18 has $\eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ second aorist active indicative. So as to verse 34 . Luke alone has "bread" and "wine." Otherwise these verses like Mt 11:18,19, which see for discussion of details. There are actually critics today who say that Jesus was called the friend of sinners and even of harlots because he loved them and their ways and so deserved the slur cast upon him by his enemies. If men can say that today we need not wonder that the Pharisees and lawyers said it then to justify their own rejection of Jesus.

## Luke 7:35

Of all her children ( $\alpha \pi 0 \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \varepsilon \kappa v \omega \nu \alpha \nu \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Here Mt 11:19 has "by her works" ( $\alpha \pi 0 \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega \nu \alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Aleph has $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega \nu$ here. The use of "children" personifies wisdom as in $\operatorname{Pr} 8 ; 9$.

Luke 7:36
That he would eat with him (iva $\varphi \alpha \gamma \eta \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Second aorist active subjunctive. The use of tva after $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \omega$ (see also Lu 16:27) is on the border between the pure object clause and the indirect question (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1046) and the pure final clause. Luke has two other instances of Pharisees who invited Jesus to meals (11:37; 14:1) and he alone gives them. This is the Gospel of Hospitality (Ragg). Jesus would dine with a Pharisee or with a publican ( $\mathrm{Lu} 5: 29$; $\mathrm{Mr} 2: 15 ; \mathrm{Mt} \mathrm{9:10)} \mathrm{and} \mathrm{even} \mathrm{invited} \mathrm{himself} \mathrm{to} \mathrm{be} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{guest} \mathrm{of}$ Zaccheus (Lu 9:5). This Pharisee was not as hostile as the leaders in Jerusalem. It is not necessary to think this Pharisee had any sinister motive in his invitation though he was not overly friendly (Plummer).

Luke 7:37
A woman which was in the city, a sinner ( $\gamma \cup v \eta \eta \tau \tau \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda o \varsigma)$. Probably in Capernaum. The use of $\eta \tau \iota \varsigma$ means "Who was of such a character as to be" (cf. 8:3) and so more than merely the relative $\eta$, who, that is, "who was a sinner in the city," a woman of the town, in other words, and known to be such. Ha $\alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ oc, from $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v \omega$, to sin, means devoted to sin and uses the same form for feminine and masculine. It is false and unjust to Mary Magdalene, introduced as a new character in Lu 8:2, to identify this woman with her. Luke would have no motive in concealing her name here and the life of a courtesan would be incompatible with the sevenfold possession of demons. Still worse is it to identify
this courtesan not only with Mary Magdalene, but also with Mary of Bethany simply because it is a Simon who gives there a feast to Jesus when Mary of Bethany does a beautiful deed somewhat like this one here (Mr 14:3-9; Mt 26:6-13; Joh 12:2-8 ). Certainly Luke knew full well the real character of Mary of Bethany ( $10: 38-42$ ) so beautifully pictured by him. But a falsehood, once started, seems to have more lives than the cat's proverbial nine. The very name Magdalene has come to mean a repentant courtesan. But we can at least refuse to countenance such a slander on Mary Magdalene and on Mary of Bethany. This sinful woman had undoubtedly repented and changed her life and wished to show her gratitude to Jesus who had rescued her. Her bad reputation as a harlot clung to her and made her an unwelcome visitor in the Pharisee's house.

When she knew ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma v o v \sigma \alpha)$. Second aorist active participle from $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, to know fully, to recognize. She came in by a curious custom of the time that allowed strangers to enter a house uninvited at a feast, especially beggars seeking a gift. This woman was an intruder whereas Mary of Bethany was an invited guest. "Many came in and took their places on the side seats, uninvited and yet unchallenged. They spoke to those at table on business or the news of the day, and our host spoke freely to them" (Trench in his Parables, describing a dinner at a Consul's house at Damietta).

He was sitting at meat (катак\&เта). Literally, he is reclining (present tense retained in indirect discourse in Greek).

An alabaster cruse of ointment ( $\alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \rho o v \mu \nu \rho o v$ ). See on Mt 26:7 for discussion of $\alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \rho o v$ and $\mu \nu \rho o v$.

Luke 7:38
Standing behind at his feet ( $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha$ опı $\sigma \omega \pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ тоиц $\pi о \delta \alpha \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau 0 v$ ). Second aorist active participle from $\mid \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ and intransitive, first aorist $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha$ being transitive. The guest removed his sandals before the meal and he reclined on the left side with the feet outward. She was standing beside ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ) his feet
weeping ( $\kappa \lambda \alpha \circ \circ \sigma \alpha$ ). She was drawn irresistibly by gratitude to Jesus and is overcome with emotion before she can use the ointment; her tears (тоья $\delta \alpha \kappa \rho \cup \sigma \iota \nu$, instrumental case of $\delta \alpha \kappa \rho v)$ take the place of the ointment.

Wiped them with the hair of her head ( $\tau \alpha ı \varsigma ~ \theta \rho ı \xi ı v \tau \eta \varsigma \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \varsigma \alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \mu \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v)$. Inchoative imperfect of an old verb $\varepsilon \kappa \mu \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, to rub out or off, began to wipe off, an act of impulse evidently and of embarrassment. "Among the Jews it was a shameful thing for a woman to let down her hair in public; but she makes this sacrifice" (Plummer). So Mary of Bethany wiped the feet of Jesus with her hair (Joh 12:3) with a similar sacrifice out of her great love for Jesus. This fact is relied on by some to prove that Mary of Bethany had been a woman of bad character, surely an utter failure to recognize Mary's motive and act.

Kissed ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varphi \downarrow \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varphi \mid \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to kiss repeatedly (force of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ ), and accented by the tense of continued action here. The word in the N.T. occurs here, of
the prodigal's father ( $15: 20$ ), of the kiss of Judas (Mr 14:45; Mt 26:49 ), of the Ephesian elders (Ac 20:37). " Kissing the feet was a common mark of deep reverence, especially to leading rabbis" (Plummer).

Anointed them with the ointment ( $\eta \lambda \varepsilon \iota \varphi \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \mu \nu \rho \omega$ ). Imperfect active again of $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \varphi \omega$, a very common verb. X $\rho \iota \omega$ has a more religious sense. The anointing came after the burst of emotional excitement.

Luke 7:39
This man (ovtoc). Contemptuous, this fellow.
If he were a (the) prophet ( $\varepsilon \eta v[0] \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Condition of the second class, determined as unfulfilled. The Pharisee assumes that Jesus is not a prophet (or the prophet, reading of $B$, that he claims to be). A Greek condition puts the thing from the standpoint of the speaker or writer. It does not deal with the actual facts, but only with the statement about the facts.

Would have perceived ( $\varepsilon \not \tau \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha v$ ). Wrong translation, would now perceive or know (which he assumes that Jesus does not do). The protasis is false and the conclusion also. He is wrong in both. The conclusion (apodosis), like the condition, deals here with the present situation and so both use the imperfect indicative ( $\alpha v$ in the conclusion, a mere device for making it plain that it is not a condition of the first class).

Who and what manner of woman ( $\tau \iota \varsigma \kappa \alpha \pi о \tau \alpha \pi \eta \eta \gamma \cup \nu \eta$ ). She was notorious in person and character.

Luke 7:40
Answering ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \kappa \rho \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. First aorist passive participle, redundant use with $\varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon v$. Jesus answers the thoughts and doubts of Simon and so shows that he knows all about the woman also. Godet notes a tone of Socratic irony here.

Luke 7:41
A certain lender ( $\delta a v \iota \sigma \tau \eta \tau \iota v$ ). A lender of money with interest. Here alone in the N.T. though a common word.

Debtors ( $\chi \rho \varepsilon о \varphi \backslash \lambda \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). From $\chi \rho \varepsilon \omega$ (debt, obligation) and $о \varphi \varepsilon \iota \lambda \omega$, to owe. Only here and 16:5 in the N.T., though common in late Greek writers.

Owed $(\omega \varphi \varepsilon \iota \lambda \varepsilon v)$. Imperfect active and so unpaid. Five hundred $\delta \eta v \alpha \rho ı \alpha$ and fifty like two hundred and fifty dollars and twenty-five dollars.

Luke 7:42
Will love him most ( $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota o v a \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v)$. Strictly, comparative
more , $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota \circ v$, not superlative $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$, but most suits the English idiom best, even between two. Superlative forms are vanishing before the comparative in the Koine. This is the point of the parable, the attitude of the two debtors toward the lender who forgave both of them (Plummer).

Luke 7:43

I suppose $(v \pi о \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega)$. Old verb, originally to take up from under, to bear away as on high, to take up in speech ( Lu 10:30) , to take up in mind or to assume as here and Ac 2:15. Here with an air of supercilious indifference (Plummer).

The most ( $\tau 0 \pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma v$ ). The more.
Rightly ( $o \rho \theta \omega \varsigma$ ). Correctly. Socrates was fond of $\pi \alpha v v$ o $\rho \theta \omega \varsigma$. The end of the argument.

## Luke 7:44

Turning ( $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Second aorist passive participle.
Seest thou ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. For the first time Jesus looks at the woman and he asks the Pharisee to look at her. She was behind Jesus. Jesus was an invited guest. The Pharisee had neglected some points of customary hospitality. The contrasts here made have the rhythm of Hebrew poetry. In each contrast the first word is the point of defect in Simon:
water (44),
kiss (45),
oil (46).
Luke 7:45
Hath not ceased to kiss (ov $\delta \iota \varepsilon \lambda \iota \pi \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varphi ı \lambda o v \sigma \alpha$ ). Supplementary participle.
Luke 7:46
With ointment $(\mu v \rho \omega)$. Instrumental case. She used the costly ointment even for the feet of Jesus.

Luke 7:47
Are forgiven ( $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \omega v \tau \alpha$ ). Doric perfect passive form. See Lu 5:21,23.
For she loved much (o $\eta \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \pi o \lambda v$ ). Illustration or proof, not reason for the forgiveness. Her sins had been already forgiven and remained forgiven.

But to whom little is forgiven, the same loveth little ( $\mathrm{H} \omega \delta \varepsilon$ o $\lambda \iota \gamma o v \alpha \varphi \iota \tau \tau \alpha$ o $\lambda_{\imath} \gamma \circ v$ $\alpha \gamma a \pi \alpha)$. This explanation proves that the meaning of o $\tau$ preceding is proof, not cause.

Luke 7:48
Are forgiven $(\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \omega v \tau \alpha)$. As in verse 47. Remain forgiven, Jesus means, in spite of the slur of the Pharisee.

Luke 7:49
Who even forgiveth sins (oৎ ка $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \varsigma \alpha \varphi ı \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ). Present indicative active of same verb, $\alpha \varphi ı \eta \mu$. Once before the Pharisees considered Jesus guilty of blasphemy in claiming the power to forgive sins ( $\mathrm{Lu} 5: 21$ ). Jesus read their inmost thoughts as he always does.

## Luke 8

Luke 8:1
Soon afterwards ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \xi \eta \varsigma)$. In 7:11 we have $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \varepsilon \xi \eta \varsigma$. This word means one after the other, successively, but that gives no definite data as to the time, only that this incident in 8:1-3 follows that in 7:36-50. Both in Luke alone.

That ( $\kappa \alpha$ ). One of Luke's idioms with $\kappa \alpha$ e $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \frac{1}{2}$ like Hebrew wav. Went about ( $\delta \omega \omega \delta \varepsilon v \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active of $\delta \iota o \delta \varepsilon v \omega$, to make one's way through ( $\delta \iota \alpha$, o $\delta$ oc), common in late Greek writers. In the N.T. here only and Ac 17:1 .

Through cities and villages ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi о \lambda ı v ~ \kappa \alpha ~ \kappa \omega \mu \eta v$ ). Distributive use of ката (up and down). The clause is amphibolous and goes equally well with $\delta \omega \omega \delta \varepsilon v \varepsilon v$ or with $\kappa \eta \rho v \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$
 this time the Twelve with him.

Luke 8:2
Which had been healed ( $\alpha \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \nu \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Periphrastic past perfect passive, suggesting that the healing had taken place some time before this tour. These women all had personal grounds of gratitude to Jesus.

From whom seven devils (demons) had gone out ( $\alpha \varphi^{\prime} \eta \varsigma \delta \alpha \mu \rho \nu i \alpha \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta \varepsilon$ ). Past perfect active third singular for the $\delta a \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{v i \alpha}$ are neuter plural. This first mention of Mary Magdalene describes her special cause of gratitude. This fact is stated also in Mr 16:9 in the disputed close of the Gospel. The presence of seven demons in one person indicates special malignity ( Mr 5:9). See Mt 17:45 for the parable of the demon who came back with seven other demons worse than the first. It is not known where Magdala was, whence Mary came.

Luke 8:3
Joanna ( $\mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \vee \alpha$ ). Her husband $\mathrm{X} \zeta \zeta \alpha$, steward ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \rho \circ \pi \circ v$ ) of Herod, is held by some to be the nobleman ( $\beta$ aбıлıкос) of Joh 4:46-53 who believed and all his house. At any rate Christ had a follower from the household of Herod Antipas who had such curiosity to see and hear him. One may recall also Manaen (Ac 13:1), Herod's foster brother. Joanna is mentioned again with Mary Magdalene in Lu 24:10 .

Who ministered unto them (aıtıveऽ $\delta ı \eta \kappa o v o u v ~ a u \tau o \iota \varsigma) . ~ I m p e r f e c t ~ a c t i v e ~ o f ~ \delta ı \alpha к о v \varepsilon \omega, ~$ common verb, but note augment as if from $\delta \iota \alpha$ and $\alpha \kappa о \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega$, but from $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa о$ ос, and that from $\delta \iota \alpha$ and кovic (dust). The very fact that Jesus now had twelve men going with him called for help from others and the women of means responded to the demand.

Of their substance ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v \nu \pi \alpha \rho \chi \circ v \tau \omega v \alpha v \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. From the things belonging to them. This is the first woman's missionary society for the support of missionaries of the Gospel. They had difficulties in their way, but they overcame these, so great was their gratitude and zeal.

Luke 8:4
By a parable ( $\delta ı \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \circ \lambda \eta \varsigma)$. Mr 4:2 says "in parables" as does Mt 13:3. This is the beginning of the first great group of parables as given in $\operatorname{Mr} 4: 1-34$ and Mt 13:1-53. There are ten of these parables in Mark and Matthew and only two in Lu 8:4-18 (The Sower and the Lamp, 8:16) though Luke also has the expression "in parables" ( 8:10). See Mt 13 and Mr 4 for discussion of the word parable and the details of the Parable of the Sower. Luke does not locate the place, but he mentions the great crowds on hand, while both Mark and Matthew name the seaside as the place where Jesus was at the start of the series of parables.

Luke 8:5
His seed ( $\tau 0 v \sigma \pi \sigma \rho o v a v \tau o v)$. Peculiar to Luke.
Was trodden under foot ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \pi \alpha \tau \eta \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$. Peculiar to Luke here.

Of the heavens ( $\tau 0 v$ oupavov). Added in Luke.
Luke 8:6
Upon the rock ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \nu \pi \varepsilon \tau \rho a \nu$ ). Mr 4:5 "the rocky ground" ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau$ тo $\pi \varepsilon \tau \rho \omega \delta \varepsilon \varsigma)$, Mt 13:5 "the rocky places.

As soon as it grew ( $\varphi \cup \varepsilon \nu$ ). Second aorist passive participle of $\varphi v \omega$, an old verb to spring up like a sprout.

Withered away $(\varepsilon \xi \eta \rho \alpha v \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\zeta \eta \rho a \iota v \omega$, old verb, to dry up.

Moisture (ıк $\mu \alpha \alpha$ ). Here only in the N.T., though common word.
Luke 8:7
Amidst the thorns ( $\varepsilon \nu \mu \varepsilon \sigma \omega \tau \omega \nu \alpha \kappa \alpha \nu \theta \omega \nu$ ). Mr 4:7 has $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ (among) and Mt 13:7 has $\varepsilon \pi$ "upon."

Grew with it ( $\sigma \cup v \varphi \cup \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha$ ). Same participle as $\varphi \cup \varepsilon v$ above with $\sigma \cup v$ - (together).
Choked ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \pi \nu \imath \xi \nu$ ). From $\alpha \pi o \pi \nu \iota \gamma \omega$, to choke off as in Mt 13:7. In Mr 4:7 the verb is $\sigma u v \varepsilon \pi v i \xi a v$ (choked together).

Luke 8:8
A hundredfold ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau о v \pi \lambda \alpha \sigma \iota \circ v a)$. Luke omits the thirty and sixty of Mr 4:8; Mt 13:8

He cried $(\varepsilon \varphi \omega v \varepsilon)$. Imperfect active, and in a loud voice, the verb means. The warning about hearing with the ears occurs also in $\mathrm{Mr} 4: 9$; Mt 13:9.

Luke 8:9
Asked ( $\varepsilon \pi \eta \rho \omega \tau \omega v$ ). Imperfect of $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \omega$ ( $\varepsilon \pi$ and $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \omega$ ) where Mr 4:10 has $\eta \rho \omega \tau \omega \nu$ (uncompounded imperfect), both the tense and the use of $\varepsilon \pi$ indicate eager and repeated questions on the part of the disciples, perhaps dimly perceiving a possible reflection on their own growth.

What this parable might be ( $\tau\llcorner\varsigma \alpha v \tau \eta \varepsilon ı \eta \eta \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta o \lambda \eta$ ). A mistranslation, What this parable was (or meant). The optative $\varepsilon$ I $\eta$ is merely due to indirect discourse, changing the indicative $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ (is) of the direct question to the optative $\varepsilon ı \eta$ of the indirect, a change entirely with the writer or speaker and without any change of meaning (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 1043f.).

Luke 8:10
The mysteries ( $\tau \alpha \mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho ı \alpha$ ). See for this word on Mt 13:11; Mr 4:11. Part of the mystery here explained is how so many people who have the opportunity to enter the kingdom fail to do so because of manifest unfitness.

That (ıva). Here Mr 4:11 also has ıva while Mt 13:13 has ot (because). On the so-called causal use of tva as here equal to ot see discussion on $\mathrm{Mt} 13: 13$; $\mathrm{Mr} 4: 11$. Plummer sensibly argues that there is truth both in the causal ot of Matthew and the final $v \boldsymbol{v}$ of Mark and Matthew. "But the principle that he who hath shall receive more, while he who hath not shall be deprived of what he seemeth to have, explains both the $t v \alpha$ and the $o \tau$. Jesus speaks in parables because the multitudes see without seeing and hear without hearing. But He also speaks in parable
in order that they may see without seeing and hear without hearing." Only for "hearing" Luke has "understand" $\sigma \nu v \iota \omega \sigma \iota v$, present subjunctive from a late omega form $\sigma \nu \nu \iota \omega$ instead of the $-\mu$ verb $\sigma v \nu ı \eta \mu$.

Luke 8:11
Is this $(\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \delta \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \eta)$. Means this. Jesus now proceeds to interpret his own parable.
 subject and predicate as here means that they are interchangeable and can be turned round: The word of God is the seed. The phrase "the word of God" does not appear in Matthew and only once in Mark (Mr 7:13 ) and John (Joh 10:35 ), but four times in Luke (5:1; 8:11,21; 11:28) and twelve times in Acts. In Mr 4:14 we have only "the word." In Mr 3:31 we have "the will of God," and in Mt 12:46 "the will of my Father" where Lu 8:21 has "the word of God." This seems to show that Luke has the subjective genitive here and means the word that comes from God.

Luke 8:12
Those by the wayside (o $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta \nu$ oסov). As in $\operatorname{Mr} 4: 15$; Mt 13:19 so here the people who hear the word $=$ the seed are discussed by metonymy.

The devil (o $\delta ı \beta$ o $10 \varsigma$ ). The slanderer. Here $\mathrm{Mr} 4: 15$ has Satan.
From their heart ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Here Mark has "in them." It is the devil's business to snatch up the seed from the heart before it sprouts and takes root. Every preacher knows how successful the devil is with his auditors. Mt 13:19 has it "sown in the heart."

That they may not believe and be saved ( $\iota \alpha \alpha \mu \eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \sigma \omega \theta \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). Peculiar to Luke. Negative purpose with aorist active participle and first aorist (ingressive) passive subjunctive. Many reasons are offered today for the failure of preachers to win souls. Here is the main one, the activity of the devil during and after the preaching of the sermon. No wonder then that the sower must have good seed and sow wisely, for even then he can only win partial success.

Luke 8:13
Which for a while believe ( $о \pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ кaı $\rho \sigma \nu \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o v \sigma \iota \nu$ ). Ostensibly they are sincere and have made a real start in the life of faith.
 stop coming to church, drop out of sight. It is positively amazing the number of new church members who "stumble" as Mr 4:17 has it ( $\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda_{ı} \zeta о v \tau \alpha$ ), do not like the pastor, take offence at something said or done by somebody, object to the appeals for money, feel slighted. The "season of trial" becomes a "season of temptation" ( $\varepsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \omega \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \sigma \mu \circ v)$ for these superficial, emotional people who have to be periodically rounded up if kept within the fold.

Luke 8:14
They are choked ( $\sigma v v \pi \nu \tau \gamma 0 v \tau \alpha$ ). Present passive indicative of this powerfully vivid compound verb $\sigma v v \pi v \iota \gamma \omega$ used in $\mathrm{Mr} 4: 19$; Mt 13:22, only there these worldly weeds choke the word while here the victims themselves are choked. Both are true. Diphtheria will choke and strangle the victim. Who has not seen the promise of fair flower and fruit choked into yellow withered stalk without fruit "as they go on their way" ( $\pi \mathbf{\sigma} \rho \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v o$ ).

Bring no fruit to perfection (ov $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varphi \circ \rho o v \sigma \iota v)$. Compound verb common in the late writers ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda o \sigma, \varphi \circ \rho \varepsilon \omega)$. To bring to completion. Used of fruits, animals, pregnant women. Only here in the N.T.

Luke 8:15
In an honest and good heart ( $\varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \rho \delta ı \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \eta \kappa \alpha \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \eta$ ). Peculiar to Luke. In verse 8 the land $(\gamma \eta \nu)$ is called $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \eta \nu$ (really good, generous) and in verse 15 we have $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa \alpha \lambda \eta$ $\gamma \eta$ ( in the beautiful or noble land ). So Luke uses both adjectives of the heart. The Greeks used ка入ос к' $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ os of the high-minded gentleman. It is probable that Luke knew this idiom. It occurs here alone in the N.T. It is not easy to translate. We have such phrases as "good and true," "sound and good," "right and good," no one of which quite suits the Greek. Certainly Luke adds new moral qualities not in the Hellenic phrase. The English word "honest" here is like the Latin honestus (fair, noble). The words are to be connected with "hold fast" (кatєұоvoıv), "hold it down" so that the devil does not snatch it away, having depth of soil so that it does not shrivel up under the sun, and is not choked by weeds and thorns. It bears fruit ( $\kappa \alpha \rho \pi о ч о \rho о \nu \sigma \iota v$, an old expressive verb, ка $л о \varsigma$ and $\varphi о \rho \varepsilon \omega$ ). That is the proof of spiritual life.

In patience ( $\varepsilon v$ vпоноvŋ). There is no other way for real fruit to come. Mushrooms spring up overnight, but they are usually poisonous. The best fruits require time, cultivation, patience.

Luke 8:16
When he hath lighted a lamp ( $\lambda \nu \chi v o v a \psi \alpha \varsigma)$. It is a portable lamp ( $\lambda \nu \chi v o v$ ) that one lights ( $\alpha \psi \alpha \varsigma$ aorist active participle of $\alpha \pi \tau \omega$, to kindle, fasten to, light).

With a vessel ( $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon v \varepsilon$, instrumental case of $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon \cup \circ \varsigma)$. Here Mr 4:21 has the more definite figure "under the bushel" as has Mt 5:15 .

Under the bed (vтокат $\omega \kappa \lambda \iota \nu \eta \varsigma)$. Here Mr 4:21 has the regular v $\pi о \tau \eta \nu \kappa \lambda \iota \nu \eta v$ instead of the late compound vпокат $\omega$. Ragg notes that Matthew distributes the sayings of Jesus given here by Lu 8:16-18; Mr 4:21-25 concerning the parable of the lamp and gives them in three separate places (Mt 5:15; 10:26; 13:12). That is true, but it does not follow that Mark and Luke have bunched together separate sayings or that Matthew has scattered sayings delivered only on one occasion. One of the slowest lessons for some critics to learn is that Jesus repeated favourite sayings on different occasions and in different groupings just as every popular preacher and teacher does today. See on Mr 4:21 for further discussion of the lamp and stand.

May see the light (B $\lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega \sigma \iota v$ to $\varphi \omega \varsigma)$. In Mt 5:16 Jesus has it "may see your good works." The purpose of light is to let one see something else, not the light. Note present subjunctive ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega \sigma \tau v$ ), linear action "Jesus had kindled a light within them. They must not hide it, but must see that it spreads to others" (Plummer). The parable of the lamp throws light on the parable of the sower.

Luke 8:17
That shall not be known ( $o$ ov $\mu \eta \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \theta \eta$ ). Peculiar to Luke. First aorist passive subjunctive of $\gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ with the strong double negative ov $\mu \eta$. See on Mr 4:22 for discussion of криттоv and алокричоv.

Luke 8:18
How ye hear ( $\pi \omega \varsigma$ akovete). The manner of hearing. Mr 4:24 has "what ye hear" ( $\tau$ aкоиعтє), the matter that is heard. Both are supremely important. Some things should not be heard at all. Some that are heard should be forgotten. Others should be treasured and practised.

For whosoever hath (Hoc $\alpha v \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \chi \eta$ ). Present active subjunctive of the common verb $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ which may mean "keep on having" or "acquiring." See on Mr 4:25 for discussion.

Thinketh he hath ( $\delta$ окє $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon เ v$ ), or
seems to acquire or to hold . Losses in business illustrate this saying as when we see their riches take wings and fly away. So it is with hearing and heeding. Self-deception is a common complaint.

Luke 8:19

His mother and brethren ( $\eta \mu \eta \tau \eta \rho$ ка о $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi о$ av $\tau о v$ ). Mr 3:31-35; Mt 12:46-50 place the visit of the mother and brothers of Jesus before the parable of the sower. Usually Luke follows Mark's order, but he does not do so here. At first the brothers of Jesus (younger sons of Joseph and Mary, I take the words to mean, there being sisters also) were not unfriendly to the work of Jesus as seen in Joh 2:12 when they with the mother of Jesus are with him and the small group (half dozen) disciples in Capernaum after the wedding in Cana. But as Jesus went on with his work and was rejected at Nazareth (Lu 4:16-31), there developed an evident disbelief in his claims on the part of the brothers who ridiculed him six months before the end (Joh 7:5). At this stage they have apparently come with Mary to take Jesus home out of the excitement of the crowds, perhaps thinking that he is beside himself ( $\mathrm{Mr} 3: 21$ ). They hardly believed the charge of the rabbis that Jesus was in league with Beelzebub. Certainly the mother of Jesus could give no credence to that slander. But she herself was deeply concerned and wanted to help him if possible. See discussion of the problem in my little book The Mother of Jesus and also on Mr 3:31 and Mt 12:46.

Come to him ( $\sigma \cup v \tau \cup \chi \varepsilon เ v$ ). Second aorist active infinitive of $\sigma \cup v \tau v \gamma \chi \propto \nu \omega$, an old verb, though here alone in the N.T., meaning to meet with, to fall in with as if accidentally, here with associative instrumental case $\alpha v \tau \omega$.

Luke 8:20
Was told ( $\alpha \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \eta$ ). Second aorist passive indicative of $\alpha \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, to bring word or tidings. Common verb. See on Mr 3:32 and Mt 12:47 for details.

Luke 8:21
 пootouvte¢). The absence of the article with "mother" and "brothers" probably means, as Plummer argues, "Mother to me and brothers to me are those who \&c." No one is a child of God because of human parentage (Joh 1:13 ). "Family ties are at best temporal; spiritual ties are eternal" (Plummer). Note the use of "hear and do" together here as in Mt 7:24; Lu 6:47 at the close of the Sermon on the Mount. The parable of the sower is almost like a footnote to that sermon. Later Jesus will make "doing" a test of friendship for him (Joh 15:14 ).

Luke 8:22
And they launched forth ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha v \eta \chi \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \omega$, an old verb, to lead up, to put out to sea (looked at as going up from the land). This nautical sense of the verb occurs only in Luke in the N.T. and especially in the Acts (Ac 13:13; 16:11; 18:21; 20:3,13; 21:I,2; 27:2,4,12,21; 28:10f. ).

Luke 8:23
He fell asleep ( $\alpha \varphi \cup \pi v \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist (ingressive) active indicative of $\alpha \varphi \cup \pi v o \omega$, to put to sleep, to fall off to sleep, a late verb for which the older Greek used $\kappa \alpha \theta v \pi v o \omega$. Origin-
ally $\alpha \varphi u \pi v o \omega$ meant to waken from sleep, then to fall off to sleep (possibly a medical use). This is the only passage which speaks of the sleep of Jesus. Here only in the N.T.

Came down ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \beta \eta$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha\llcorner v \omega$, common verb. It was literally true. These wind storms ( $\lambda \alpha i \lambda \alpha \pi \varsigma$. So also Mr 4:37) rushed from Hermon down through the Jordan gorge upon the Sea of Galilee and shook it like a tempest (Mt 8:24). Mark's (Mr 4:37) vivid use of the dramatic present $\gamma$ ıveta (ariseth) is not so precise as Luke's "came down." See on Mt 8:24. These sudden squalls were dangerous on this small lake.

They were filling ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho o u v \tau 0$ ). Imperfect passive. It was the boat that was being filled (Mr 4:37) and it is here applied to the navigators as sailors sometimes spoke. An old verb, but in the N.T. used only by Luke (8:23; 9:51; Ac 2:1).

Were in jeopardy ( $\varepsilon \kappa เ v \delta u v \varepsilon v o v)$ ). Imperfect active, vivid description. Old verb, but in the N.T. only here, Ac 19:27; 1Co 15:30 .

Luke 8:24
Master, Master (E $\pi\llcorner\sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha, \varepsilon \pi\llcorner\sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha)$. See on Lu 5:5 for discussion. Mr 4:38 has
Teacher ( $\Delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ ), Mt 8:25 has
Lord (Kvpı\&). The repetition here shows the uneasiness of the disciples.
We perish ( $\alpha \pi о \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). So in Mr 4:38; Mt 8:25 . Linear present middle indicative, we are perishing.

The raging of the water ( $\tau \omega \kappa \lambda \nu \delta o v \tau o v v \delta a \tau o \varsigma$ ). $\mathrm{K} \lambda \nu \delta \omega v$, common Greek word, is a boisterous surge, a violent agitation. Here only in the N.T. save Jas 1:6 . Kv $\mu$ ( $\mathrm{Mr} 4: 37$ ) is the regular swell or wave. A
calm ( $\gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \nu \eta$ ). Only in the parallels in the N.T., though common word. Here Mr 4:39; Mt 8:26 add
great ( $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta$ ).
That (ot). This use of ot as explanatory of the demonstrative pronoun ovtoc occurs in the parallels Mr 4:36; Mt 8:27 and also in Lu 4:36. It is almost result.

He commandeth ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon)$. Peculiar to Luke.
Luke 8:26
They arrived (катє $\pi \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, common verb, but here only in the N.T. Literally,
they sailed down from the sea to the land, the opposite of
launched forth ( $\alpha v \eta \chi \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ) of verse 22. So we today use like nautical terms, to bear up, to bear down.

The Gerasenes ( $\tau 0 v \Gamma \varepsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \eta \nu \omega v$ ). This is the correct text here as in $\mathrm{Mr} 5: 1$ while Gadarenes is correct in Mt 8:28. See there for explanation of this famous discrepancy, now cleared up by Thomson's discovery of Khersa ( $\Gamma \varepsilon \rho \sigma \alpha$ ) on the steep eastern bank and in the vicinity of Gadara.
 form is $\alpha v \tau \iota \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha v$ (Polybius, etc.). Some MSS. here have $\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha v$ like Mr 5:1; Mt 8:28 .

Luke 8:27
And for a long time ( $\kappa \alpha \chi \rho \circ v \omega$ เк $\alpha v \omega$ ). The use of the associative instrumental case in expressions of time is a very old Greek idiom that still appears in the papyri (Robertson, Grammar, p. 527).

He had worn no clothes (ouk eve $\delta v \sigma \alpha \tau o ~ \mu \mu \tau \iota o v$ ). First aorist middle indicative, constative aorist, viewing the "long time" as a point. Not pluperfect as English has it and not for the pluperfect, simply "and for a long time he did not put on himself (indirect middle) any clothing." The physician would naturally note this item. Common verb $\varepsilon v \delta \nu \omega$ or $\varepsilon v \delta u v \omega$. This item in Luke alone, though implied by Mr 5:15 "clothed" ( $\mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o v)$.

And abode not in any house ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon v$ oוкıa ovк $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active. Peculiar to Luke, though implied by the mention of tombs in all three (Mr 5:3; Mt 8:28; Lu 8:27).

Luke 8:28
Fell down ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist active of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, to fall forward, towards, prostrate before one as here. Common verb. Mr 5:6 has $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \kappa v \nu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ (worshipped).

The Most High God ( $\tau 0 v \theta \varepsilon o v$ tov $v \psi \iota \sigma \tau o v)$. Uncertain whether tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ genuine or not. But "the Most High" clearly means God as already seen (Lu 1:32,35,36; 6:35). The phrase is common among heathen ( Nu 24:16; Mic 6:6; Isa 14:14). The demoniac may have been a Gentile, but it is the demon here speaking. See on Mr 2:7; Mt 8:29 for the Greek idiom ( $\tau$ $\varepsilon \mu$ ка бо). "What have I to do with thee?" See there also for "Torment me not."

Luke 8:29
For he commanded ( $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v \gamma \alpha \rho$ ). Imperfect active, correct text, for he was commanding.
 Robertson, Grammar, p. 537, for the plural here).

It had seized ( $\sigma \cup \vee \eta \rho \pi \alpha \kappa \varepsilon)$. Past perfect active of $\sigma \cup v \alpha \rho \pi \alpha \zeta \omega$, to lay hold by force. An old verb, but only in Luke in the N.T. (Lu 8:29; Ac 6:12; 19:29; 27:15 ).

Was kept under guard ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon \tau 0)$. Imperfect passive of $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon v \omega$ to put in chains, from $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma$, bond, and that from $\delta \varepsilon \omega$ to bind. Old, but rather rare verb. Only here and Ac 22:4 in this sense. In Mt 23:4 it means to bind together. Some MSS. read $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon \omega$ in $\operatorname{Lu}$ 8:29

Breaking the bands asunder ( $\delta \iota \alpha \rho \eta \sigma \sigma \omega \nu \tau \alpha \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \alpha$ ). Old verb, the preposition $\delta \iota \alpha$ (in two) intensifying the meaning of the simple verb $\rho \eta \sigma \sigma \omega$ or $\rho \eta \gamma v v \mu$, to rend.

Was driven ( $\eta \lambda \alpha u v \varepsilon \tau 0$ ). Imperfect passive of $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha u v \omega$, to drive, to row, to march (Xenophon). Only five times in the N.T. Here alone in Luke and peculiar to Luke in this incident.

Luke 8:30

Legion $(\Lambda \varepsilon \gamma \iota \omega v)$. See on Mr 5:9.
Luke 8:31
Into the abyss ( $\varepsilon เ \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \alpha \beta v \sigma \sigma o v)$. Rare old word common in LXX from a privative and $\beta a \theta u s$ (deep). So bottomless place (supply $\chi \omega \rho \alpha$ ). The deep sea in Ge 1:2; 7:11. The common receptacle of the dead in Ro 10:7 and especially the abode of demons as here and $\operatorname{Re}$ 9:1-11; 11:7; 17:8; 20:1,3 .

Luke 8:32
A herd of many swine ( $\alpha \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \eta \chi \circ \rho \omega \nu \iota \kappa \alpha \nu \omega \nu$ ). Word
herd ( $\alpha \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \eta$ ) old as Homer, but in N.T. only here and parallels (Mr 5:11; Mt 8:30). Luke shows his fondness for adjective ıкаvos here again (see verse 27) where Mark has $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta$ and Matthew $\pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$.

Luke 8:33
Rushed down the steep ( $\omega \rho \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ тov к $\kappa \eta \mu \nu \circ v$ ). Ablative with $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ as in Mr 5:13; Mt 8:32 and the same vivid verb in each account, to hurl impetuously, to rush.

Were choked ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \pi \nu t \gamma \eta$ ). Second aorist (constative) passive indicative third singular (collective singular) where $\mathrm{Mr} 5: 13$ has the picturesque imperfect $\varepsilon \pi \nu \imath \gamma 0 v \tau 0$.

Luke 8:34
 neat Greek idiom to $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \circ \vee \circ \varsigma$, articular second perfect active participle of $\gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \alpha$. Repeated in verse 35 and in Mr 5:14. Note numerous participles here in verse 35 as in Mr 5:15.

Luke 8:36
He that was possessed with devils (demons) (only two words in Greek, o $\delta \alpha ı \mu \circ v ı \sigma \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma$, the demonized).

Was made whole $(\varepsilon \sigma \omega \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$ to save from $\sigma \omega \varsigma$ (safe and sound). This is additional information to the news carried to them in verse 34 .

Luke 8:37
Were holden with great fear ( $\varphi \rho \beta \omega \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \omega \sigma v \nu \varepsilon \iota \chi \circ v \tau o$ ). Imperfect passive of $\sigma v \nu \varepsilon \chi \omega$ with the instrumental case of $\varphi$ oßос. See a similar use of this vigorous verb in Lu 12:50 of Jesus and in Php 1:23 of Paul.

Luke 8:38
From whom the devils (demons) were gone out ( $\alpha \varphi^{\prime}$ ov $\left.\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda v \theta \varepsilon \tau \alpha \delta \alpha \not \mu o v i \alpha\right)$ ). Past perfect active of $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, state of completion in the past.

Prayed him ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \tau \circ \alpha v \tau o v)$. Imperfect middle, kept on begging.
Luke 8:39
Throughout the whole city ( $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ o $\lambda \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu \pi o \lambda ı v$ ). Mr 5:20 has it "in Decapolis." He had a great story to tell and he told it with power. The rescue missions in our cities can match this incident with cases of great sinners who have made witnesses for Christ.

Luke 8:40

Welcomed ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \xi \alpha \tau 0)$. Peculiar to Luke. To receive with pleasure, from $\alpha \pi о \delta \varepsilon \chi о \mu \alpha$, a common verb.

For they were all waiting for him ( $\eta \sigma \alpha \vee \gamma \alpha \rho \pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \pi \rho о \sigma \delta о \kappa \omega \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau 0 v$ ). Periphrastic imperfect active of
prosdokao, an old verb for eager expectancy, a vivid picture of the attitude of the people towards Jesus. Driven from Decapolis, he is welcomed in Capernaum.

Luke 8:41
Was ( $v \pi \eta \rho \chi \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect of $v \pi \alpha \rho \chi \omega$ in sense of $\eta v$ as in modern Greek. Common in Luke, and Acts, but not in other Gospels.

Luke 8:42
An only daughter ( $\theta \cup \gamma a \tau \eta \rho \mu \circ v o \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma)$. The same adjective used of the widow's son ( $7: 12$ ) and the epileptic boy ( $9: 38$ ) and of Jesus (Joh 1:18; $3: 16$ ).

She lay a dying ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \theta v \eta \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active, she was dying. Mt 9:18 has it that she has just died.

Thronged ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \pi v \imath \gamma o v$ ). Imperfect active of $\sigma v \mu \pi v \imath \gamma \omega$, to press together, the verb used of the thorns choking the growing grain ( Lu 8:14 ). It was a jam.

Luke 8:43
 First aorist active participle of an old verb $\pi \rho \circ \sigma a v a \lambda \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$, only here in the N.T. But Westcott and Hort reject this clause because it is not in B D Syriac Sinaitic. Whether genuine or not, the other clause in Mr 5:26 certainly is not in Luke: "had suffered many things of many physicians." Probably both are not genuine in Luke who takes care of the physicians by the simple statement that it was a chronic case:
could not be healed of any ( $о$ к $\iota \sigma \chi \cup \sigma \varepsilon v a \pi$ ' ou $\delta \varepsilon v o c ~ \theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon v \theta \eta v \alpha$ ). He omitted also what Mark has: "and was nothing bettered but rather grew worse."

Luke 8:44
 overgarment. Of the four corners two were in front and two behind. See on Mt 9:20 .

Stanched ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta)$. Second aorist active indicative,
stopped at once (effective aorist).
Luke 8:45
Press thee and crush thee ( $\sigma u v \varepsilon \chi о v \sigma i v ~ \sigma \varepsilon ~ к \alpha ~ \alpha \pi о \theta \lambda ı \beta o v \sigma ı v)$. Hold thee together, hold thee in ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \chi \omega$, see verse 37).

Crush thee $(\alpha \pi \sigma \theta \lambda \iota \beta \omega)$ here only in the N.T., a verb used of pressing out grapes in Diodorus and Josephus. Mr 5:31 has $\sigma v v \theta \lambda \perp \beta \omega$, to press together.

Luke 8:46
For I perceived that power had gone forth from me ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \gamma v \omega \nu \delta u v a \mu \nu$ $\left.\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda v \theta v i \alpha v a \pi^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \circ v\right)$. Ep $\gamma \omega v$ is second aorist active indicative of $\gamma ı v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, knowledge by
personal experience as here. It is followed by the second perfect active participle $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta v i \alpha v$ in indirect discourse (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 1040-42). Jesus felt the sensation of power already gone. Who does not know what this sense of "goneness" or exhaustion of nervous energy means?

Luke 8:47
Trembling ( $\tau \rho \varepsilon \mu \circ v \sigma \alpha$ ). Vivid touch of the feeling of this sensitive woman who now had to tell everybody of her cure, "in the presence of all the people" ( $\varepsilon v \omega \pi \iota o v \pi \alpha v \tau o s ~ t o v ~$ $\lambda \alpha o v)$. She faced the widest publicity for her secret cure.

Luke 8:49
From the ruler of the synagogue's house ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ tov $\alpha \rho \chi \iota \sigma v a \gamma \omega \gamma \circ v$ ). The word "house" is not in the Greek here as in Mr 5:35 where $\alpha \pi 0$ is used rather than $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$, as here. But the ruler himself had come to Jesus ( Lu 8:41) and this is the real idea. Trouble not ( $\mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau$ $\sigma \kappa \nu \lambda \lambda \varepsilon)$. See on Lu 7:6 for this verb and also Mr 5:35; Mt 9:36 .

Luke 8:50
And she shall be made whole ( $\kappa \alpha \sigma \omega \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). This promise in addition to the words in Mr 5:36. See there for discussion of details.

Luke 8:53
Knowing that she was dead ( $\varepsilon \delta \delta о \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ о \tau ~ \alpha \pi \varepsilon Ө \alpha v \varepsilon v)$. That she died ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \theta a v \varepsilon v$ ), second aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \theta v \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$.

Luke 8:54
Called ( $\varepsilon \varphi \omega v \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Certainly not to wake up the dead, but to make it plain to all that she rose in response to his elevated tone of voice. Some think that the remark of Jesus in verse 52 ( $\mathrm{Mr} 5: 39$; Mt 9:24) proves that she was not really dead, but only in a trance. It matters little. The touch of Christ's hand and the power of his voice restored her to life.

Maiden ( $\eta$ raıs) rather than Mark's (Mr 5:41) to кopaбov (vernacular Koine).
Luke 8:55
Her spirit returned ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon v$ to $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \alpha 0 \tau \eta \varsigma)$. The life came back to her at once.
Be given her to eat ( $\alpha \cup \tau \eta \delta o \theta \eta v \alpha$ $\varphi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon เ v$ ). The first infinitive $\delta 0 \theta \eta v \alpha$ is an indirect command. The second $\varphi a \gamma \varepsilon เ v$ (second aorist active of $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega \omega$ ) is epexegetic purpose.

## Luke 9

Luke 9:1
He called the twelve together ( $\sigma \sim v к \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ \tau о и \varsigma ~ \delta \omega \delta \varepsilon к \alpha)$ ). Mr 6:7; Mt 10:1 have $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega \mu \alpha$, to call to him. Both the indirect middle voice.

Luke 9:2
He sent them forth ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \mid \lambda \varepsilon v$ autou¢). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$.
To preach the kingdom of God and to heal the sick (кпрvббєıv $\tau \eta \nu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \iota \nu$ тov $\theta \varepsilon o v ~ \kappa \alpha \iota \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha)$. Present indicative for the continuous functions during this campaign. This double office of herald ( $\kappa \eta \rho v \sigma \sigma \varepsilon เ v$ ) and healer ( $\llcorner\propto \sigma \theta \alpha)$ is stated directly in Mt 10:7-8. Note the verb $\alpha \propto \mu \alpha$ for healing here, though $\theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon v \varepsilon ı v$ in verse 1 , apparently used interchangeably.

Luke 9:3
Neither staff ( $\mu \eta \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \beta \delta o v$ ). For the apparent contradiction between these words (Mt 10:10) and Mr 6:8 see discussion there. For $\pi \eta \rho a v$ (wallet) see also on Mr 6:8 (Mt 10:10) for this and other details here.

Luke 9:5
As many as receive you not ( $\sigma \sigma 0 \alpha v \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \chi \omega v \tau \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). Indefinite relative plural with $\alpha v$ and present middle subjunctive and the negative $\mu \eta$. Here Mt 10:14 has the singular (whosoever) and Mr 6:11 has "whatsoever place."

For a testimony against them ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho ı o v ~ \varepsilon \pi '$ avtouc). Note use of $\varepsilon \pi$ ' autouc where Mr 6:11 has simply the dative autoıs (disadvantage), really the same idea.

Luke 9:6
Went ( $\delta i \eta \rho \chi$ оvтo). Imperfect middle, continuous and repeated action made plainer also by three present participles ( $\left.\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \iota, \varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota} \zeta \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \iota, \theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma\right)$, describing the wide extent of the work through all the villages ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \kappa \omega \mu \alpha \varsigma$, distributive use of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha)$ everywhere ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi \circ 0$ ) in Galilee.

Luke 9:7
All that was done ( $\tau \alpha \gamma(v o \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha)$. Present middle participle, "all that was coming to pass."

He was much perplexed ( $\delta ı \eta \pi о \rho \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active of $\delta ı \alpha \pi o \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to be thoroughly at
 only in Luke's writings in the N.T.

Because it was said ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ тo $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Neat Greek idiom, the articular passive infinitive after $\delta ı a$. Three reports came to the ears of Herod as Luke has it, each introduced by o $\tau$ (that) in indirect discourse: "By some" (v $\tau \circ \tau \tau \nu \omega v$ ), "by some" (v $\tau \circ \tau \tau \nu \omega \nu \delta \varepsilon$ ), "by others" ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \delta \varepsilon$, vпо not here expressed, but carried over). The verbs in the indirect discourse
here (verses 7,8) are all three aorists ( $\eta \gamma \varepsilon \rho \theta \eta$ first passive; $\varepsilon \varphi a \nu \eta$ second passive; $\alpha v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta$ second active), not past perfects as the English has them.

Luke 9:9
He sought ( $\varepsilon \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active. He keep on seeking to see Jesus. The rumours disturbed Herod because he was sure that he had put him to death ("John I beheaded").

Luke 9:10
Declared ( $\delta ı \eta \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau o$ ). First aorist middle of $\delta ı \eta \gamma \varepsilon \circ \mu \alpha$, to carry a narrative through to the end. Jesus listened to it all.

They had done ( $\varepsilon \pi \sigma \nmid \sigma \alpha v$ ). Aorist active indicative, they did.
He took them ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \omega v$ avtov¢). Second aorist active participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$. Very common verb.

Bethsaida ( $\mathrm{B} \eta \theta \sigma \alpha \iota \delta \alpha$ ). Peculiar to Luke. Bethsaida Julias is the territory of Philip, for it is on the other side of the Sea of Galilee (Joh 6:1).

Luke 9:11
Spake ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active, he continued speaking.
He healed (เato). Imperfect middle, he continued healing.
Luke 9:12
To wear away ( $\kappa \lambda \iota \nu \varepsilon เ v)$. Old verb usually transitive, to bend or bow down. Many compounds as in English decline, incline, recline, clinic ( $\kappa \lambda \iota v \eta$, bed), etc. Luke alone in the N.T. uses it intransitively as here. The sun was turning down towards setting.

Lodge ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \nu \sigma \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). First aorist active subjunctive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \nu \omega$, a common verb, to dissolve, destroy, overthrow, and then of travellers to break a journey, to lodge ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \nu \mu \alpha$, inn, Lu 2:7 ). Only here and 19:7 in the N.T. in this sense.

Get victuals ( $\varepsilon v \rho \omega \sigma \iota v \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \iota \tau \iota \sigma \mu \circ v$ ). Ingressive aorist active of $\varepsilon v \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$, very common verb.

Victuals ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \iota \tau \iota \sigma \mu \circ v$, from $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \iota \tau \zeta \rho \mu \alpha$, to provision oneself, $\sigma \iota \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, from $\sigma \iota \tau 0 v$, wheat) only here in the N.T., though common in ancient Greek, especially for provisions for a journey (snack). See on Mr 6:32-44; Mt 14:13-21 for discussion of details.

Luke 9:13
Except we should go and buy food ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta \tau \pi \circ \rho \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \alpha \gamma \circ \rho \alpha \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \beta \rho \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha)$. This is a condition of the third class with the aorist subjunctive ( $\alpha \gamma 0 \rho \alpha \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ), where the conjunction is usually $\varepsilon \alpha v$ (with negative $\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta$ ), but not always or necessarily so especially in the Koine. So in 1Co 14:5 $\varepsilon \mu \eta \delta$ เєр $\mu \eta \nu \varepsilon \cup \eta$ and in Php 3:12 $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \omega$. "Unless" is better here than "except."

Food ( $\beta \rho \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ), means eaten pieces from $\beta \iota \beta \rho \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, to eat, somewhat like our "edibles" or vernacular "eats."

Luke 9:14


#### Abstract

About ( $\omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Luke as Mt 14:21 adds this word to the definite statement of Mr 6:44 that there were 5,000 men, a hundred companies of fifty each.

Sit down (катак $\lambda_{\imath v a \tau \varepsilon) . ~ F i r s t ~ a o r i s t ~ a c t i v e ~ i m p e r a t i v e . ~ R e c l i n e, ~ l i e ~ d o w n . ~ O n l y ~ i n ~ L u k e ~}^{\text {Le }}$ in the N.T. See also verse 15.


In companies ( $\kappa \lambda \iota \sigma$ Iac). Cognate accusative after
kataklinate. Only here in the N.T. A row of persons reclining at meals (table company).
About fifty each ( $\omega \sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \alpha \pi \varepsilon v \tau \eta \kappa o v \tau \alpha$ ). Distributive use of $\alpha v \alpha$ and approximate number again ( $\omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ).

Luke 9:16
The five ... the two ( $\tau 0 v \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon$... $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma \delta v o$ ). Pointing back to verse 13 , fine example of the Greek article.

And gave ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \delta \iota \delta o v$ ). Imperfect active of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, kept on giving. This picturesque imperfect is preceded by the aorist $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$ (brake), a single act. This latter verb in the N.T. only here and the parallel in Mr 6:41, though common enough in ancient Greek. We say "break off" where here the Greek has "break down" (or thoroughly), perfective use of kata.

Luke 9:17
Twelve baskets ( $\kappa о \varphi เ v o ~ \delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha)$ ). For discussion of кочоvo and $\sigma \varphi \cup \rho ı \delta \varepsilon \varsigma$ as well as of $\kappa \lambda \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ (broken pieces) see on Mr 6:43; Mt 14:20 .

Luke 9:18
As he was praying ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \varepsilon เ v \alpha$ avtov $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \cup \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Common Lukan idiom of $\varepsilon v$ with the articular infinitive for a temporal clause, only here Luke has the periphrastic infinitive ( $\varepsilon$ viva $\pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon u \chi o \mu \varepsilon v o v)$ as also in 11:1. This item about Christ's praying alone in Luke.

Alone (ката $\mu \circ$ 人аৎ). In the N.T. only here and $\mathrm{Mr} 4: 10$. Perhaps $\chi \omega \rho \alpha \varsigma$ (places) is to be supplied with $\mu$ ovac (lonely places).

Were with him ( $\sigma v v \eta \sigma \alpha v \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). This seems like a contradiction unless "alone" is to be taken with $\sigma u v \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Westcott and Hort put $\sigma u v \eta \nu \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$ in the margin. This would mean that as Jesus was praying alone, the disciples fell in with him. At any rate he was praying apart from them.

Luke 9:19
That I am ( $\mu \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Accusative and infinitive in indirect assertion, a common Greek idiom. Mt 16:13 for "I" has "the Son of man" as identical in the consciousness of Christ. The various opinions of men about Jesus here run parallel to the rumours heard by Herod (verses 8,9 ).

Luke 9:20
But who say ye? (Hv $\mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \tau v \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$;). Note the emphatic proleptical position of $\nu \mu \varepsilon \iota$ : "But ye who do ye say? This is really what mattered now with Jesus.

The Christ of God (Tov $\chi$ pıбтov tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). The accusative though the infinitive is not expressed. The Anointed of God, the Messiah of God. See on 2:26 for "the Anointed of the

Lord." See on Mt 16:17 for discussion of Peter's testimony in full. Mr 6:29 has simply "the Christ." It is clear from the previous narrative that this is not a new discovery from Simon Peter, but simply the settled conviction of the disciples after all the defections of the Galilean masses and the hostility of the Jerusalem ecclesiastics. The disciples still believed in Jesus as the Messiah of Jewish hope and prophecy. It will become plain that they do not grasp the spiritual conception of the Messiah and his kingdom that Jesus taught, but they are clear that he is the Messiah however faulty their view of the Messiah may be. There was comfort in this for Jesus. They were loyal to him.

Luke 9:21
To tell this to no man ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon เ \nu \tau 0 \nu \tau 0$ ). Indirect command with the negative infinitive after
commanded ( $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ا \lambda \varepsilon v$ ). It had been necessary for Jesus to cease using the word
Messiah (X by the disciples would lead to revolution as was plain after the feeding of the five thousand (Joh 6:15).

## Luke 9:22

Rejected ( $\alpha \pi о \delta о к \mu \alpha \sigma \theta \eta v \alpha$ ). First aorist passive infinitive of $\alpha \pi о \delta о к \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$, to reject after trial.

The third day ( $\tau \eta \tau \rho \iota \tau \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha)$. Locative case of time as in Mt 16:21. Here in the parallel passage Mr 8:31 has "after three days" ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho a \varsigma)$ in precisely the same sense. That is to say, "after three days" is just a free way of saying "on the third day" and cannot mean "on the fourth day" if taken too literally. For discussion of this plain prediction of the death of Christ with various details see discussion on Mt 16:21; Mr 8:31. It was a melancholy outlook that depressed the disciples as Mark and Matthew show in the protest of Peter and his rebuke.

Luke 9:23
He said unto all ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. This is like Luke (cf. verse 43). Jesus wanted all (the multitude with his disciples, as Mr 8:34 has it) to understand the lesson of self-sacrifice. They could not yet understand the full meaning of Christ's words as applied to his approaching death of which he had been speaking. But certainly the shadow of the cross is already across the path of Jesus as he is here speaking. For details (soul, life, forfeit, gain, profit, lose, world) see discussion on Mt 16:24-26; Mr 8:34-37. The word for lose ( $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon$, from $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$, a very common verb) is used in the sense of destroy, kill, lose, as here. Note the mercantile terms in this passage (gain, lose, fine or forfeit, exchange).

Daily ( $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha v$ ). Peculiar to Luke in this incident. Take up the cross (his own cross) daily (aorist tense, $\alpha \rho \alpha \tau \omega$ ), but keep on following me ( $\alpha \kappa 0 \lambda o u \theta \varepsilon ı \tau \omega$, present tense). The cross was a familiar figure in Palestine. It was rising before Jesus as his destiny. Each man has his own cross to meet and bear.

Luke 9:26
Whosoever shall be ashamed ( $o \varsigma \alpha v \varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \chi v v \theta \eta$ ). Rather,
Whosoever is ashamed as in Mr 8:38. The first aorist passive subjunctive in an indefinite relative clause with $\alpha \nu$. The passive verb is transitive here also. This verb is from $\varepsilon \pi$ and $\alpha \iota \sigma \chi \cup \vee \eta$, shame (in the eyes of men). Jesus endured the shame of the cross (Heb 12:2 ). The man at the feast who had to take a lower seat did it with shame (Lu 14:9). Paul is not ashamed of the Gospel (Ro 1:16). Onesiphorus was not ashamed of Paul (2Ti 1:16 ).

In his own glory ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \delta o \xi \eta$ avtov). This item added to what is in Mr 8:38; Mt 16:27

Luke 9:27
Till they see ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha \nu \iota \omega \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ). Second aorist active subjunctive with $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ and $\alpha \nu$ referring to the future, an idiomatic construction. So in $\mathrm{Mr} 9: 1 ; \mathrm{Mt} \mathrm{16:28}$. In all three passages "shall not taste of death" (ov $\mu \eta \gamma \varepsilon v \sigma \omega v \tau \alpha \theta \alpha v \alpha \tau o v$, double negative with aorist middle subjunctive) occurs also. Rabbinical writings use this figure. Like a physician Christ tasted death that we may see how to die. Jesus referred to the cross as "this cup" (Mr 14:36; Mt 26:39; Lu 22:42 ). Mark speaks of the kingdom of God as "come" ( $\varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta v \iota \alpha \nu$, second perfect active participle). Matthew as "coming" ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ) referring to the Son of man, while Luke has neither form. See Matthew and Mark for discussion of the theories of interpretation of this difficult passage. The Transfiguration follows in a week and may be the first fulfilment in the mind of Jesus. It may also symbolically point to the second coming.

Luke 9:28
About eight days $(\omega \sigma \varepsilon \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ок $\tau \omega)$. A nominativus pendens without connexion or construction. Mr 9:2 (Mt 17:1) has "after six days" which agrees with the general statement.

Into the mountain ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ то ороৎ). Probably Mount Hermon because we know that Jesus was near Caesarea Philippi when Peter made the confession (Mr 8:27; Mt 16:13 ). Hermon is still the glory of Palestine from whose heights one can view the whole of the land. It was a fit place for the Transfiguration.

To pray ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon v \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha)$. Peculiar to Luke who so often mentions Christ's habit of prayer (cf. 3:21). See also verse 29 "as he was praying" ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta a$, one of Luke's favourite idioms).

His countenance was altered ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau о \tau о \varepsilon เ \delta \circ \varsigma \tau о v \pi \rho о \sigma \omega \pi о v$ av $\tau о v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ). Literally, "the appearance of his face became different." Mt 17:2 says that "his face did shine as the sun." Luke does not use the word "transfigured" ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \mu \circ \rho \varphi \omega \theta \eta$ ) in Mr 9:2; Mt 17:2 . He may have avoided this word because of the pagan associations with this word as Ovid's Мєтанорфобєя.

And his raiment became white and dazzling (ка о $ц \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \mu \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau о v ~ \lambda \varepsilon v \kappa о \varsigma ~$ $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \pi \tau \omega v)$. Literally,

And his raiment white radiant . There is no and between "white" and "dazzling." The participle $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \pi \tau \omega v$ is from the compound verb meaning to flash ( $\alpha \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \pi \tau \omega$ ) out or forth ( $\varepsilon \xi)$. The simple verb is common for lightning flashes and bolts, but the compound in the LXX and here alone in the N.T. See Mr 9:3 "exceeding white" and Mt 17:2 "white as the light."

Luke 9:31
There talked with him ( $\sigma u v \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda o u v a v \tau \omega$ ). Imperfect active, were talking with him.
Who appeared in glory ( $00 \varphi \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon v \delta o \xi \eta$ ). First aorist passive participle of opa $\omega$. This item peculiar to Luke. Compare verse 26.

Spake of his decease ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \xi \circ \delta o v$ ). Imperfect active, were talking about his $\varepsilon \xi$ oठuc (departure from earth to heaven) very much like our English word "decease" (Latin decessus, a going away). The glorious light graphically revealed Moses and Elijah talking with Jesus about the very subject concerning which Peter had dared to rebuke Jesus for mentioning (Mr 8:32; Mt 16:22). This very word $\varepsilon \xi$ goduc (way out) in the sense of death occurs in $2 \mathrm{Pe} \mathrm{1:15} \mathrm{and} \mathrm{is} \mathrm{followed} \mathrm{by} \mathrm{a} \mathrm{brief} \mathrm{description} \mathrm{of} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{Transfiguration} \mathrm{glory}$. words for death ( $\theta$ ava兀oৎ) in the N.T. are $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \sigma \iota$, , going out as departure (Heb 13:7), $\alpha \varphi \iota \xi \iota$, departing (Ac 20:29), ava入uøı, loosening anchor (2Ti 4:6) and $\alpha v \alpha \lambda \nu \sigma \alpha$ (Php 1:23).

To accomplish ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o u v$ ). To fulfil. Moses had led the Exodus from Egypt. Jesus will accomplish the exodus of God's people into the Promised Land on high. See on Mark and Matthew for discussion of significance of the appearance of Moses and Elijah as representatives of law and prophecy and with a peculiar death. The purpose of the Transfiguration was to strengthen the heart of Jesus as he was praying long about his approaching death and to give these chosen three disciples a glimpse of his glory for the hour of darkness coming. No one on earth understood the heart of Jesus and so Moses and Elijah came. The poor disciples utterly failed to grasp the significance of it all.

Luke 9:32
Were heavy with sleep ( $\eta \sigma \alpha v \beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \rho \eta \mu \varepsilon v o v \pi v \omega$ ). Periphrastic past perfect of $\beta \alpha \rho \varepsilon \omega$, a late form for the ancient $\beta \alpha \rho u v \omega$ (not in N.T. save Textus Receptus in Lu 21:34). This form, rare and only in passive (present, aorist, perfect) in the N.T., is like $\beta \alpha \rho v v \omega$, from $\beta \alpha \rho v \varsigma$, and that from $\beta \alpha \rho o \varsigma$, weight, burden (Ga 6:2). Hv $\tau v \omega$ is in the instrumental case. They had apparently climbed the mountain in the early part of the night and were now overcome with sleep as Jesus prolonged his prayer. Luke alone tells of their sleep. The same word is used of the eyes of these three disciples in the Garden of Gethsemane (Mt 26:43) and of the hearts of many ( Lu 21:34).

But when they were fully awake ( $\delta \iota \alpha \gamma \rho \eta \gamma \circ \rho \eta \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \delta \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active participle of this late (Herodian) and rare compound verb (here alone in the N.T.), $\delta \iota \alpha \gamma \rho \eta \gamma \quad \rho \varepsilon \omega$ (Luke is fond of compounds with $\delta(\alpha)$ ). The simple verb $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma \circ \rho \varepsilon \omega$ (from the second perfect active $\varepsilon \gamma \rho \eta \gamma o \rho \alpha)$ is also late, but common in the LXX and the N.T. The effect of $\delta \iota \alpha$ can be either
to remain awake in spite of desire to sleep (margin of Revised Version) or to become thoroughly awake (ingressive aorist tense also) as Revised Version has it. This is most likely correct. The Syriac Sinaitic has it "When they awoke." Certainly they had been through a strain.

His glory ( $\tau \eta \nu \delta o \xi a v \alpha v \tau o v)$. See also verse 26 in the words of Jesus.
Luke 9:33
As they were departing from him ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \delta \iota \alpha \chi \omega \rho \iota \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \alpha v \tau o v \varsigma \alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Peculiar to Luke and another instance of Luke's common idiom of $\varepsilon v$ with the articular infinitive in a temporal clause. This common verb occurs here only in the N.T. The present middle voice means to separate oneself fully (direct middle). This departing of Moses and Elijah apparently accompanied Peter's remark as given in all three Gospels. See for details on Mark and Matthew.

Master (Eлıбтата) here,
Rabbi (Mr 9:5),
Lord (Kvpıع, Mt 17:4).
Let us make ( $\pi$ oוף $\sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$, first aorist active subjunctive) as in $\mathrm{Mr} 9: 5$, but Mt 17:4 has "I will make" ( $\pi$ оוך $\sigma \omega$ ). It was near the time of the feast of the tabernacles. So Peter proposes that they celebrate it up here instead of going to Jerusalem for it as they did a bit later (Joh 7 ).

Not knowing what he said ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \delta \omega \varsigma$ o $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ ). Literally,
not understanding what he was saying ( $\mu \eta$, regular negative with participle and $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$, present indicative retained in relative clause in indirect discourse). Luke puts it more bluntly than Mark (Peter's account), "For he wist not what to answer; for they became sore afraid" (Mr 9:6 ). Peter acted according to his impulsive nature and spoke up even though he did not know what to say or even what he was saying when he spoke. He was only half awake as Luke explains and he was sore afraid as Mark (Peter) explains. He had bewilderment enough beyond a doubt, but it was Peter who spoke, not James and John.

Luke 9:34
Overshadowed them ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \kappa เ a \zeta \varepsilon v$ avtouc). Imperfect active (aorist in Mt 17:5) as present participle in Mr 9:7, inchoative, the shadow began to come upon them. On Hermon as on many high mountains a cloud will swiftly cover the cap. I have seen this very thing at Blue Ridge, North Carolina. This same verb is used of the Holy Spirit upon Mary (Lu 1:35 ). Nowhere else in the N.T., though an old verb ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota, \sigma \kappa \iota \Omega \zeta \omega$, from $\sigma \kappa \iota \alpha$, shadow).

As they entered into the cloud ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \varepsilon เ \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon ı \nu$ av $\tau 0 \nu \varsigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \nu \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \eta \nu)$. Luke's idiom of $\varepsilon v$ with the articular infinitive again (aorist active this time, on the entering in as to them). All six "entered into" the cloud, but only Peter, James, and John "became afraid" ( $\varepsilon \varphi \circ \beta \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$, ingressive first aorist passive).

Luke 9:35

If eкยıvous be accepted here instead of autous, the three disciples would be outside of the cloud.

Out of the cloud ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \nu \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \eta \varsigma$ ). This voice was the voice of the Father like that at the baptism of Jesus (Lu 3:22; Mr 1:11; Mt 3:17) and like that near the end (Joh 12:28-30) when the people thought it was a clap of thunder or an angel.

My son, my chosen (Ho vıoৎ $\mu \circ$, o єк $\lambda \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. So the best documents (Aleph B L Syriac Sinaitic). The others make it "My Beloved" as in Mr 9:7; Mt 17:5. These disciples are commanded to hear Jesus, God's Son, even when he predicts his death, a pointed rebuke to Simon Peter as to all.

Luke 9:36
When the voice came ( $\varepsilon v$ to $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \tau \eta \nu \varphi \omega v \eta \nu$ ). Another example of Luke's idiom, this time with the second aorist middle infinitive. Literally, "on the coming as to the voice" (accusative of general reference). It does not mean that it was "after" the voice was past that Jesus was found alone, but simultaneously with it (ingressive aorist tense).

Alone ( $\mu$ оvoৎ). Same adjective in Mr 9:8; Mt 17:8 translated "only." Should be rendered "alone" there also.

They held their peace ( $\varepsilon \sigma \iota \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \nu)$. Ingressive aorist active of common verb $\sigma \gamma \gamma \omega$, became silent. In Mr 9:9; Mt 17:9, Jesus commanded them not to tell till His Resurrection from the dead. Luke notes that they in awe obeyed that command and it turns out that they finally forgot the lesson of this night's great experience. By and by they will be able to tell them, but not "in those days."

Which they had seen ( $\omega v \varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha v$ ). Attraction of the relative $\alpha$ into the case of the unexpressed antecedent tov $\omega \omega v$. Perfect active indicative $\varepsilon \omega$ paка $\nu$ with Koine (papyri) form for the ancient $\varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \sigma \iota v$ changed by analogy to the first aorist ending in $-\alpha v$ instead of aбı.

Luke 9:37
On the next day ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \xi \eta \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). Alone in Luke. It shows that the Transfiguration took place on the preceding night.

They were come down ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda \theta$ ov $\tau \omega \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ). Genitive absolute of second aorist active participle of катерхо $\mu$, a common enough verb, but in the N.T. only in Luke's writings save Jas 3:15.

Met him ( $\sigma u v \eta \nu \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). First aorist active of $\sigma u v \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \omega$, common compound verb, to meet with, only in Luke's writings in the N.T. save Heb 7:1. With associative instrumental case $\alpha u \tau \omega$.

Luke 9:38
Master ( $\Delta \Delta \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Teacher as in Mr 9:17.
Lord (кирєє, Mt 17:15).

To look upon ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \alpha)$. Aorist active infinitive of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega(\varepsilon \pi$, upon, $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$, look), common verb, but in the N.T. only here and Jas 2:3 except Lu 1:48 in quotation from LXX. This compound verb is common in medical writers for examining carefully the patient.

Mine only child ( $\mu$ оvoүعvŋऽ $\mu$ ). Only in Luke as already about an only child in 7:12; 8:42.

Luke 9:39
Suddenly ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \varphi \vee \eta \varsigma$ ). Old adverb, but in the N.T. only in Luke's writings save Mr 13:36 . Used by medical writers of sudden attacks of disease like epilepsy.

It teareth him that he foameth ( $\sigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \alpha \varphi \rho \circ v$ ). Literally, "It tears him with (accompanied with, $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$ foam" (old word, $\alpha \varphi \rho o \varsigma$, only here in the N.T.). From $\sigma \pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \sigma \omega$, to convulse, a common verb, but in the N.T. only here and Mr 1:26; 9:26 (and $\sigma u v \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega, \mathrm{Mr} 9: 20$ ). See Mr 9:17; Mt 17:15; Lu 9:39 for variations in the symptoms in each Gospel. The use of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \alpha \varphi \rho o v$ is a medical item.

Hardly ( $\mu \mathrm{o} \lambda ı \varsigma$ ). Late word used in place of $\mu$ оүヶ, the old Greek term (in some MSS. here) and alone in Luke's writings in the N.T. save 1Pe 4:18; Ro 5:7 .

Bruising him sorely ( $\sigma \cup v \tau \rho(\beta$ ov avtov). Common verb for rubbing together, crushing together like chains (Mr 5:4) or as a vase (Mr 14:3). See on Matthew and Mark for discussion of details here.

Luke 9:41
How long shall I be with you and bear with you? ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \pi о \tau \varepsilon ~ \varepsilon \sigma о \mu \alpha ~ \pi \rho о \varsigma ~ v \mu \alpha \varsigma ~ к \alpha ~$ $\alpha v \varepsilon \xi \circ \mu \alpha \nu \mu \omega v$;). Here the two questions of Mr 9:19 (only one in Mt 17:17) are combined in one sentence.

Bear with ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \xi_{o \mu} \alpha$, direct middle future) is, hold myself from you (ablative case $v \mu \omega v$ ).
Faithless ( $\alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \sigma \varsigma$ ) is disbelieving and perverse ( $\delta ı \varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon v \eta$, perfect passive participle of $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega)$, is twisted, turned, or torn in two.

Luke 9:42
As he was yet a coming ( $\varepsilon \tau \pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v \alpha u \tau o u$ ). Genitive absolute. While he was yet coming (the boy, that is, not Jesus). Note quaint English "a coming" retained in the Revised Version.

Dashed him ( $\varepsilon \rho \rho \eta \xi \varepsilon v \alpha \cup \tau o v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\rho \eta \gamma v \cup \mu$ or $\rho \eta \sigma \sigma \omega$, to rend or convulse, a common verb, used sometimes of boxers giving knockout blows.

Tare grievously ( $\sigma u v \varepsilon \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \xi \varepsilon v$ ). Rare word as only here and $\mathrm{Mr} 9: 20$ in the N.T., which see.

Gave him back to his father ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ av $\alpha \circ v \tau \omega \pi \alpha \tau \rho \alpha v \tau o v)$. Tender touch alone in Luke as in 7:15.

They were all astonished ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma o v \tau 0 ~ \delta \varepsilon \pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Imperfect passive of the common verb $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma \omega$ or $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \nu v \mu$, to strike out, a picturesque description of the amazement of all at the easy victory of Jesus where the nine disciples had failed.

At the majesty of God $(\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma \tau \tau \tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \varepsilon o v)$. A late word from the adjective $\mu \varepsilon \gamma a \lambda \varepsilon \iota \circ \varsigma$ and that from $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \varsigma$ (great). In the N.T. only here and Ac 19:27 of Artemis and in $2 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 16$ of the Transfiguration. It came to be used by the emperors like our word "Majesty."

Which he did (oıs $\varepsilon \pi \circ \iota \varepsilon$ ). This is one of the numerous poor verse divisions. This sentence has nothing to do with the first part of the verse. The imperfect active $\varepsilon \pi \sigma, \varepsilon$ covers a good deal not told by Luke (see Mr 9:30; Mt 17:22 ). Note the attraction of the relative
hois into the case of
$\mathbf{p} \boxtimes \mathbf{s i n}$, its antecedent.
Luke 9:44
Sink into your ears $(\Theta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha \omega \tau \alpha \nu \mu \omega v)$. Second aorist imperative middle of $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, common verb. "Do you (note emphatic position) yourselves (whatever others do) put into your ears." No word like "sink" here. The same prediction here as in Mr 9:31; Mt 17:22 about the Son of man only without mention of death and resurrection as there, which see for discussion.

Luke 9:45
It was concealed from them ( $\eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \mu \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \nu \alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ). Periphrastic past perfect of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda v \pi \tau \omega$, a common verb, but only here in the N.T., to cover up, to hide from. This item only in Luke.

That they should not perceive it ( $\imath v \alpha \mu \eta \alpha \iota \sigma \theta \omega v \tau \alpha \alpha v \tau o$ ). Second aorist middle subjunctive of the common verb aı $\sigma$ O $\alpha \circ \mu \alpha$ used with $ו v \alpha \mu \eta$, negative purpose. This explanation at least relieves the disciples to some extent of full responsibility for their ignorance about the death of Jesus as Mr 9:32 observes, as does Luke here that they were afraid to ask him. Plummer says, "They were not allowed to understand the saying then, in order that they might remember it afterwards, and see that Jesus had met His sufferings with full knowledge and free will." Perhaps also, if they had fully understood, they might have lacked courage to hold on to the end. But it is a hard problem.

Luke 9:46
 in Mr 9:33 about this incident. In Luke this dispute follows immediately after the words of Jesus about his death. They were afraid to ask Jesus about that subject, but Mt 18:1 states that they came to Jesus to settle it.

Which of them should be greatest ( $\tau 0 \tau \iota \varsigma \alpha \nu \varepsilon ı \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta \omega \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ). Note the article with the indirect question, the clause being in the accusative of general reference. The optative with $\alpha v$ is here because it was so in the direct question (potential optative with $\alpha v$ retained in the indirect). But Luke makes it plain that it was not an abstract problem about greatness in the kingdom of heaven as they put it to Jesus (Mt 18:1), but a personal problem in their own group. Rivalries and jealousies had already come and now sharp words. By and by

James and John will be bold enough to ask for the first places for themselves in this political kingdom which they expect ( Mr 10:35; Mt 20:20 ). It is a sad spectacle.

Luke 9:47
Took a little child ( $\varepsilon \pi i \lambda \alpha \beta$ o $\mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota v$ ). Second aorist middle participle of the common verb $\varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$. Strictly, Taking a little child to himself (indirect middle). Mr 9:36 has merely the active $\lambda \alpha \beta \omega v$ of the simple verb $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$. Set him by his side ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ avto $\left.\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau \omega\right)$. "In his arms" Mr 9:36 has it, "in the midst of them" Mt 18:3 says. All three attitudes following one another (the disciples probably in a circle around Jesus anyhow) and now the little child (Peter's child?) was slipped down by the side of Jesus as he gave the disciples an object lesson in humility which they sorely needed.

Luke 9:48
 head of the child. Mt 18:5 has "one such little child." The honoured disciple, Jesus holds, is the one who welcomes little children "in my name" ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \omega$ ovo $\mu \alpha \tau \mu \circ$ ), upon the basis of my name and my authority. It was a home-thrust against the selfish ambition of the Twelve. Ministry to children is a mark of greatness. Have preachers ever yet learned how to win children to Christ? They are allowed to slip away from home, from Sunday school, from church, from Christ.

For he that is least among you all (o $\gamma \alpha \rho \mu \kappa \rho о \tau \varepsilon \rho о \varsigma \varepsilon v \pi \alpha \sigma \iota v ~ v \mu ı v ~ v \pi \alpha \rho \chi \omega v$ ). Note the use of $v \pi \alpha \rho \chi \omega$ as in $8: 41 ; 23: 50$. The comparative $\mu \kappa \rho о \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$ is in accord with the Koine idiom where the superlative is vanishing (nearly gone in modern Greek). But
great $(\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \varsigma)$ is positive and very strong. This saying peculiar to Luke here.
Luke 9:49
And John answered ( $\alpha \pi о к \rho ı \theta \varepsilon \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \nu \eta \varsigma$ ). As if John wanted to change the subject after the embarrassment of the rebuke for their dispute concerning greatness (Lu 9:46-48 ).

Master ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha)$. Only in Luke in the N.T. as already four times ( $5: 5 ; 8: 24,45 ; 9: 33$ ).
We forbade him ( $\varepsilon \kappa \omega \lambda \nu$ vo $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$ avtov). Conative imperfect as in Mr 9:38, We tried to hinder him.

Because he followeth not with us (от очк $\alpha \kappa о \lambda o u \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \theta \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Present tense preserved for vividness where Mark has imperfect
$\boxtimes k$ kolouthei . Note also here "with us" ( $\mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime} \eta \mu \omega v$ ) where Mark has associative instrumental $\eta \mu \nu$. It is a pitiful specimen of partisan narrowness and pride even in the Beloved Disciple, one of the Sons of Thunder. The man was doing the Master's work in the Master's name and with the Master's power, but did not run with the group of the Twelve.

Luke 9:50
"Against you is for you" ( $\left.\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} v \mu \omega v \nu \pi \varepsilon \rho \nu \mu \omega v\right)$. Mr 9:40 has "against us is for us" ( $\eta \mu \omega \nu \ldots \eta \mu \omega v$ ). The Koine Greek $\eta$ and $v$ were often pronounced alike and it was easy to
interchange them. So many MSS. here read just as in Mark. The point is precisely the same as it is a proverbial saying. See a similar saying in Lu 11:23: "He that is not with me is against me." The prohibition here as in Mr 9:39 is general: "Stop hindering him" ( $\mu \eta \kappa \omega \lambda \nu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon, \mu \eta$ and the present imperative, not $\mu \eta$ and the aorist subjunctive). The lesson of toleration in methods of work for Christ is needed today.

Luke 9:51
When the days were well-nigh come ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \sigma \nu \mu \pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ v \sigma \theta \alpha \tau \alpha \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. Luke's common idiom $\varepsilon v$ with the articular infinitive, "in the being fulfilled as to the days." This common compound occurs in the N.T. only here and Lu 8:23; Ac 2:1. The language here makes it plain that Jesus was fully conscious of the time of his death as near as already stated (Lu 9:22,27,31).

That he should be received up ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha v \alpha \lambda \eta \mu \psi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ a u \tau o v$ ). Literally, "of his taking up." It is an old word (from Hippocrates on), but here alone in the N.T. It is derived from $\alpha v a \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$ (the verb used of the Ascension, Ac 1:2,11,22; 1Ti 3:16) and refers here to the Ascension of Jesus after His Resurrection. Not only in John's Gospel (Joh 17:5 ) does Jesus reveal a yearning for a return to the Father, but it is in the mind of Christ here as evidently at the Transfiguration (9:31) and later in Lu 12:49f.

He steadfastly set his face ( $\alpha \cup \tau \circ \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \omega \pi о v ~ \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \sigma \varepsilon v) . ~ N o t e ~ e m p h a t i c ~ \alpha u \tau о \varsigma, ~$
he himself, with fixedness of purpose in the face of difficulty and danger. This look on Christ's face as he went to his doom is noted later in Mr 10:32. It is a Hebraistic idiom (nine times in Ezekiel), this use of face here, but the verb (effective aorist active) is an old one from $\sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \zeta \omega$ (from $\sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \gamma \xi$, a support), to set fast, to fix.
 Luke three times mentions Christ making his way to Jerusalem (9:51; 13:22; 17:11) and John mentions three journeys to Jerusalem during the later ministry (Joh 7:10; 11:17; 12:1 ). It is natural to take these journeys to be the same in each of these Gospels. Luke does not make definite location of each incident and John merely supplements here and there. But in a broad general way they seem to correspond.

Luke 9:52
Sent messengers ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon 1 \lambda \varepsilon v$ a $\gamma \gamma \varepsilon$ خouc). As a precaution since he was going to Jerusalem through Samaria. The Samaritans did not object when people went north from Jerusalem through their country. He was repudiating Mount Gerizim by going by it to Jerusalem. This was an unusual precaution by Jesus and we do not know who the messengers (angels ) were.

To make ready for him ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \tau о \not \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha \alpha \nu \tau \omega$ ). $\mathrm{H} \omega \varsigma$ is correct here, not $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$. The only examples of the final use of $\omega \varsigma$ with the infinitive in the N.T. are this one and Heb 7:9 (absolute use). In Acts 20:24 Westcott and Hort read $\omega \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \epsilon \omega \sigma \omega$ and put $\omega \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \epsilon \omega \sigma \alpha$ in the margin (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1091).

Luke 9:53
And they did not receive him ( $\kappa \alpha$ ouк $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \xi \alpha \nu \tau 0 ~ \alpha \cup \tau o v$ ). Adversative use of $\kappa \alpha=$ But.
 Iع $\rho \circ v \sigma \alpha \lambda \eta \mu$ ). Periphrastic imperfect middle. It was reason enough to the churlish Samaritans.

Luke 9:54
Saw this (ıסovtє¢). Second aorist active participle of opaw. Saw the messengers returning.
We bid ( $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ו \pi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Deliberative subjunctive $\varepsilon ו \pi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ after $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ without ıva, probably two questions, Dost thou wish? Shall we bid? Perhaps the recent appearance of Elijah on the Mount of Transfiguration reminded James and John of the incident in 2 Ki 1:10-12 . Some MSS. add here "as Elijah did." The language of the LXX is quoted by James and John, these fiery Sons of Thunder. Note the two aorist active infinitives ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \eta v a \iota$, $\alpha v a \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha$, the first ingressive, the second effective).

Luke 9:55
But he turned ( $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \delta \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist passive participle of $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, common verb, to turn round. Dramatic act. Some ancient MSS. have here:
 sounds like Christ and may be a genuine saying though not a part of Luke's Gospel. A smaller number of MSS. add also:

For the Son of Man came not to destroy men's lives, but to save them (Ho $\gamma \alpha \rho$ vios тov $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ оv оик $\eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v \psi v \chi \alpha \varsigma \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega v \alpha \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \omega \sigma \alpha$ ), a saying reminding us of Mt 5:17; Lu 19:10. Certain it is that here Jesus rebuked the bitterness of James and John toward Samaritans as he had already chided John for his narrowness towards a fellowworker in the kingdom.

Luke 9:57
A certain man ( $\tau \iota \varsigma)$. Mt 8:19 calls him "a scribe." Lu 9:57-60; Mt 8:19-22 , but not in Mark and so from Q or the Logia.

Wherever you go (отоv $\varepsilon \alpha v ~ a \pi \varepsilon \rho \chi \eta$ ) is the present middle subjunctive with the indefinite relative adverb $\varepsilon \alpha v$, common Greek idiom. See on Matthew for "holes," "nests," "Son of man." The idiom "where to lay his head" ( $\pi \circ \nu \tau \eta v \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \nu \kappa \lambda \iota \nu \eta$ ) is the same in both, the deliberative subjunctive retained in the indirect question. "Jesus knows the measure of the scribe's enthusiasm" (Plummer). The wandering life of Jesus explains this statement.

Luke 9:59
And he said unto another ( $\varepsilon เ \pi \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v)$. Mt 8:21 omits Christ's "Follow me" ( $\alpha \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o}$ ) and makes this man a volunteer instead of responding to the appeal of Jesus. There is no real opposition, of course. In Matthew's account the man is apologetic as in Luke. Plummer calls him "one of the casual disciples" of whom there are always too many. The scribes knew how to give plausible reasons for not being active disciples.

First ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma v$ ). One of the problems of life is the relation of duties to each other, which comes first. The burial of one's father was a sacred duty (Ge 25:9), but, as in the case of Tobit $4: 3$, this scribe's father probably was still alive. What the scribe apparently meant was that he could not leave his father while still alive to follow Jesus around over the country.

Luke 9:60
Leave the dead to bury their own dead ( $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \varsigma \tau$ тоиऽ vєкроия $\forall \alpha \psi \alpha$ тоvৎ $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho о \nu \varsigma)$. This paradox occurs so in Mt 8:22. The explanation is that the spiritually dead can bury the literally dead. For such a quick change in the use of the same words see Joh 5:21-29 (spiritual resurrection from sin in Joh 5:21-27, bodily resurrection from the grave, Joh 5:28,29) and Joh 11:25f. The harshness of this proverb to the scribe probably is due to the fact that he was manifestly using his aged father as an excuse for not giving Christ active service.

But go thou and publish abroad the kingdom of God ( $\sigma v \delta \varepsilon \alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega \nu \delta \iota \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \tau \eta \nu$ $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ тov $\theta \varepsilon o v)$. The scribe's duty is put sharply ( $B v \tau \delta o \theta o v, \sigma v \delta \varepsilon$ ). Christ called him to preach, and he was using pious phrases about his father as a pretext. Many a preacher has had to face a similar delicate problem of duty to father, mother, brothers, sisters and the call to preach. This was a clear case. Jesus will help any man called to preach to see his duty. Certainly Jesus does not advocate renunciation of family duties on the part of preachers.

Luke 9:61
And another also said ( $\varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma)$. A volunteer like the first. This third case is given by Luke alone, though the incident may also come from the same Logia as the other two. Hetєpos does not here mean one of a "different" sort as is sometimes true of this pronoun, but merely another like $\alpha \lambda \lambda o \varsigma$ (Robertson, Grammar, p. 749).

But first ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma v \delta \varepsilon$ ). He also had something that was to come "first."
To bid farewell to them that are at my house ( $\alpha \pi о \tau \alpha \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$ тоьऽ $\varepsilon ⿺ 𠃊 ~ \tau о v$ оєкоv $\mu \circ v$ ). In itself that was a good thing to do. This first aorist middle infinitive is from $\alpha \pi \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, an old verb, to detach, to separate, to assign as a detachment of soldiers. In the N.T. it only appears in the middle voice with the meaning common in late writers to bid adieu, to separate oneself from others. It is used in Ac 18:18 of Paul taking leave of the believers in Corinth. See also Mr 6:46; 2Co 2:13. It is thus a formal function and this man meant to go home and set things in order there and then in due time to come and follow Jesus.

Luke 9:62
Having put his hand to the plough ( $\left.\varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \omega \nu \tau \eta \nu \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \alpha \rho o \tau \rho o v\right)$. Second aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, an old and common verb, to place upon. Note repetition of preposition $\varepsilon \pi$ before $\alpha \rho o \tau \rho o v$ (plough). This agricultural proverb is as old as Hesiod. Pliny observes that the ploughman who does not bend attentively to his work goes crooked. It has always been the ambition of the ploughman to run a straight furrow. The Palestine fellah had good success at it.

And looking back ( $\kappa \alpha \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha$ о $\pi \iota \sigma \omega$ ). Looking to the things behind. To do that is fatal as any ploughman knows. The call to turn back is often urgent.

Fit ( $\varepsilon \cup \theta \varepsilon \tau \cos )$. From $\varepsilon v$ and $\tau ו \theta \eta \mu=$ well-placed, suited for, adapted to. "The first case is that of inconsiderate impulse, the second that of conflicting duties, the third that of a divided mind" (Bruce).

## Luke 10

Luke 10：1
Appointed（ $\alpha v \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon ı \xi \varepsilon v$ ）．First aorist active indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \delta \varepsilon ı \kappa v \nu \mu$ ，an old verb，not only common，but in LXX．In the N．T．only here and Ac 1：24．Cf．avaסعı $\xi ⿺ 𠃊 ⺊$ in Lu 1：80 ．To show forth，display，proclaim，appoint．

Seventy others（ $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho о \cup \varsigma \varepsilon \beta \delta о \mu \eta \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha)$ ．The＂also＂（ $\kappa \alpha$ ）and the＂others＂point back to the mission of the Twelve in Galilee（ 9：1－6）．Some critics think that Luke has confused this report of a mission in Judea with that in Galilee，but needlessly so．What earthly objection can there be to two similar missions？B D Syr．Cur．and Syr．Sin．have＂seventy－two．＂The seventy elders were counted both ways and the Sanhedrin likewise and the nations of the earth．It is an evenly balanced point．

Two and two（ $\alpha v \alpha \delta v o$ ）．For companionship as with the Twelve though Mr 6：7 has it $\delta v o$（vernacular idiom）．B K have here $\alpha v \alpha \delta v o$ ，a combination of the idiom in Mr 6：7 and that here．
 present infinitive and note autoc．Jesus was to follow after and investigate the work done． This was only a temporary appointment and no names are given，but they could cover a deal of territory．

Luke 10：2
Harvest（ $\theta \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \mu \mathrm{c})$ ．Late word for the older $\theta \varepsilon \rho o \varsigma$ ，summer，harvest．The language in this verse is verbatim what we have in Mt 9：37，38 to the Twelve．Why not？The need is the same and prayer is the answer in each case．Prayer for preachers is Christ＇s method for in－ creasing the supply．

Luke 10：3
As lambs（ $\omega \varsigma \alpha \rho v a \varsigma)$ ．Here again the same language as that in Mt 10：16 except that there＂sheep＂（ $\pi \rho \circ \beta \alpha \tau \alpha)$ appears instead of＂lambs．＂Pathetic picture of the risks of mission－ aries for Christ．They take their life in their hands．

Luke 10：4
Purse（ $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha v \tau \iota o v$ ）．Old word for money－bag，sometimes a javelin as if from $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ ． Only in Luke in the N．T．（10：4；12：33；22：35ff．）．See Lu 9：3；Mr 6：7f．；Mt 10：9f．for the other similar items．

Salute no man on the way（ $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \alpha$ ка $\alpha \alpha \tau \eta v$ o $\delta$ оv $\alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ）．First aorist（ingressive） middle subjunctive with $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v a$ ．The peril of such wayside salutations was palaver and delay．The King＇s business required haste．Elisha＇s servant was not to tarry for salutations or salaams（ $2 \mathrm{Ki} \mathrm{4:29}$ ）．These oriental greetings were tedious，complicated，and often meddlesome if others were present or engaged in a bargain．

Luke 10：5

First say ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ ov $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Say first. The adverb $\pi \rho \omega \tau 0 v$ can be construed with "enter" ( $\varepsilon \| \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ), but probably with $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ is right. The word spoken is the usual oriental salutation.

Luke 10:6
A son of peace (voc $\varepsilon เ \rho \eta \nu \eta \varsigma)$. A Hebraism, though some examples occur in the vernacular Koine papyri. It means one inclined to peace, describing the head of the household.

Shall rest (£ $\pi \alpha v a \pi \alpha \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Second future passive of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha v a \pi \alpha v \omega$, a late double compound $(\varepsilon \pi l, \alpha v a)$ of the common verb $\pi \alpha v \omega$.

It shall turn to you again ( $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} v \mu \alpha \varsigma \alpha v \alpha \kappa \alpha \mu \psi \varepsilon$ ). Common verb $\alpha v \alpha \kappa \alpha \mu \pi \tau \omega$, to bend back, return. The peace in that case will bend back with blessing upon the one who spoke it.

## Luke 10:7

In that same house ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \cup \tau \eta \tau \eta$ oוкı). Literally, in the house itself, not "in the same house" ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \alpha \cup \tau \eta$ oוкıa), a different construction. A free rendering of the common Lukan idiom is, "in that very house."

Eating ( $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \mathrm{ov} \mathrm{\tau} \mathrm{\varepsilon} \mathrm{\varsigma)} .\mathrm{An} \mathrm{old} \mathrm{poetic} \mathrm{verb} \varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega$ for $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega \omega$ that survives in late Greek.
Such things as they give ( $\tau \alpha \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). "The things from them."
 10:10 we have $\tau \uparrow \varsigma \tau \rho о \varphi \eta \varsigma$ avtou (his food). 1Ti 5:18 has this saying quoted as scripture. That is not impossible if Luke wrote by A.D. 62. Paul there however may quote only De 25:4 as scripture and get this quotation either from Lu 10:7 or from a proverbial saying of Jesus. It is certainly not a real objection against the Pauline authorship of First Timothy.

Go not from house to house ( $\mu \eta \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha ı v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \xi$ оוкıаৎ єıৎ оוкıav). As a habit, $\mu \eta$ and the present imperative, and so avoid waste of time with such rounds of invitations as would come.

Luke 10:8
Such things as are set before you ( $\tau \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \iota \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \nu \mu \nu v$ ). The things placed before you from time to time (present passive participle, repetition). Every preacher needs this lesson of common politeness. These directions may seem perfunctory and even commonplace, but every teacher of young preachers knows how necessary they are. Hence they were given both to the Twelve and to the Seventy.

Luke 10:9
Is come nigh unto you ( $\eta \gamma \gamma \nless \varepsilon v \varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} v \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \zeta \zeta \omega$ as in Mt 3:2 of the Baptist and Mr 1:15 of Jesus. Note $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} v \mu a \varsigma$ here.

Luke 10:10
Into the streets thereof ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau \alpha \varsigma \pi \lambda \alpha \tau \varepsilon เ a \varsigma \alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Out of the inhospitable houses into the broad open streets.

Luke 10:11

Even the dust ( $\kappa \alpha$ тov коvioptov). Old word from коvı, dust, and opvu $\mu$, to stir up. We have seen it already in Mt 10:14; $\mathrm{Lu} 9: 5$. Dust is a plague in the east. Shake off even that.

Cleaveth ( $\kappa о \lambda \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha$ ). First aorist passive participle of ко $\lambda \lambda \alpha \omega$, to cling as dust and mud do to shoes. Hence the orientals took off the sandals on entering a house.

We wipe off ( $\alpha \pi о \mu \alpha \sigma \sigma о \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha)$. Middle voice of an old verb $\alpha \pi о \mu \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, to rub off with the hands. Nowhere else in the N.T. But $\varepsilon \kappa \mu \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, occurs in Lu 7:38,44 .

Against you (H $\nu \mu \mathrm{L} v$ ). Fine example of the dative of disadvantage (the case of personal interest, the dative).

Luke 10:12
More tolerable (aveктотвpov). Comparative of the verbal adjective aveктос from avexoua. An old adjective, but only the comparative in the N.T. and in this phrase (Mt 10:15; 11:22,24; Lu 10:12,14 ).

Luke 10:13
Would have repented ( $\alpha v \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon v o \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Conclusion (apodosis) of second-class condition, determined as unfulfilled.

Long ago ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha$ ). Implies a considerable ministry in these cities of which we are not told. Chorazin not mentioned save here and Mt 11:21. Perhaps Kapaऍe near Tell Hum (Capernaum).

Sitting in sackcloth and ashes ( $\varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \kappa \kappa \omega \kappa \alpha ~ \sigma \pi о \delta о ~ \kappa \alpha Ө \eta \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Pictorial and graphic. The баккоৎ (sackcloth) was dark coarse cloth made of goat's hair and worn by penitents, mourners, suppliants. It is a Hebrew word, sag. The rough cloth was used for sacks or bags. To cover oneself with ashes was a mode of punishment as well as of voluntary humiliation.

Luke 10:15
Shalt thou be exalted? ( $\mu \eta \nu \psi \omega \theta \eta \sigma \eta \upharpoonright$ ). M $\eta$ expects the answer No. The verb is future passive indicative second singular of $v \psi o \omega$, to lift up, a late verb from $v \psi o \varsigma$, height. It is used by Jesus of the Cross (Joh 12:32).

Unto Hades ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ Haı $\delta o v$ ). See on Mt 16:18 for this word which is here in contrast to Heaven as in Isa 14:13-15. Hades is not Gehenna. "The desolation of the whole neighbourhood, and the difficulty of identifying even the site of these flourishing towns, is part of the fulfilment of this prophecy" (Plummer). Ragg notes the omission of Nazareth from this list of cities of neglected privilege and opportunity. "Is it the tender memories of boyhood that keep from His lips the name of the arch-rejector ( $4: 28$ sqq.) Nazareth?"

## Luke 10:16

Rejecteth him that sent me ( $\alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ тov $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha \tau \tau \alpha \mu$ ). These solemn words form a fit close for this discourse to the Seventy. The fate of Chorazin, Bethsaida, Capernaum will befall those who set aside ( $\alpha$ privative and $\theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega$, from $\tau \tau \theta \eta \mu$ ) the mission and message of these messengers of Christ. See this verb used in 7:30 of the attitude of the scribes and

Pharisees toward John and Jesus．It is this thought that makes it so grave a responsibility to be co－with Christ，high privilege as it is（Joh 9：4）．

Luke 10：17
Returned with joy（ $\cup \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha v \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \chi \alpha \rho \alpha \varsigma)$ ．They had profited by the directions of Jesus．Joy overflows their faces and their words．

Even the demons（ $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta \alpha \mu \nu v \Delta \alpha$ ）．This was a real test．The Twelve had been expressly endowed with this power when they were sent out（Lu 9：1），but the Seventy were only told to heal the sick（ 10：9）．It was better than they expected．The Gospel worked wonders and they were happy．The demons were merely one sign of the conflict between Christ and Satan． Every preacher has to grapple with demons in his work．

Are subject（u $\left.\frac{1}{2} \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha\right)$ ．Present passive indicative（repetition）．
Luke 10：18
I beheld Satan fallen（ $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \circ 0 v \tau$ tov $\sum \alpha \tau \alpha v \alpha v \pi \varepsilon \sigma o v \tau \alpha$ ）．Imperfect active（I was behold－ ing）and second aorist（constative）active participle of $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$（not
fallen，$\pi \varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa о \tau \alpha$ ，perfect active participle，nor
falling ，$\pi \iota \pi \tau 0 v \tau \alpha$ ，present active participle，but
fall ，$\pi \varepsilon \sigma \circ v \tau \alpha)$ ．As a flash of lightning out of heaven，quick and startling，so the victory of the Seventy over the demons，the agents of Satan，forecast his downfall and Jesus in vision pictured it as a flash of lightning．

Luke 10：19
And over all the power of the enemy（ $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \eta v \delta \nu v \alpha \mu \nu \nu \tau o v \varepsilon \chi \theta \rho o v)$ ．This is the heart of＂the authority＂（ $\tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \xi o v \sigma \omega \alpha v$ ）here given by Jesus which is far beyond their ex－ pectations．The victory over demons was one phase of it．The power to tread upon serpents is repeated in Mr 16：18（the Appendix）and exemplified in Paul＇s case in Malta（Ac 28：3－5 ）．But protection from physical harm is not the main point in this struggle with Satan＂the enemy＂（Mt 13：25；Ro 16：20；1Pe 5：8）．

Nothing shall in any wise hurt you（ov $\delta \varepsilon v \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma o v \mu \eta \alpha \delta ı \kappa \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ ）．Text has future active indicative，while some MSS．read $\alpha \delta \iota \kappa \eta \sigma \eta$ ，aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \delta \iota \kappa \varepsilon \omega$ ，common verb from $\alpha \delta_{⿺ 𠃊 八}$ ¢（ $\alpha$ privative and $\delta_{\iota \kappa о \varsigma), ~ t o ~ s u f f e r ~ w r o n g, ~ t o ~ d o ~ w r o n g . ~ T h e ~ t r i p l e ~ n e g a t i v e ~}^{\text {en }}$ here is very strong．Certainly Jesus does not mean this promise to create presumption or foolhardiness for he repelled the enemy＇s suggestion on the pinnacle of the temple．

Luke 10：20
Are written（ $\varepsilon v \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha)$ ．Perfect passive indicative，state of completion，stand written， enrolled or engraved，from $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$ ，common verb．＂As citizens possessing the full privileges of the commonwealth＂（Plummer）．

Luke 10：21

In that same hour ( $\varepsilon v a v \tau \eta \tau \eta \omega \rho \alpha$ ). Literally, "at the hour itself," almost a demonstrative use of avtoc (Robertson, Grammar, p. 686) and in Luke alone in the N.T. (2:38; 10:21; 12:12; 20:19 ). Mt 11:25 uses the demonstrative here, "at that time" ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v \omega \tau \omega \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \omega)$.

Rejoiced in the Holy Spirit ( $\eta \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda ı \alpha \sigma \alpha \tau 0 ~ \tau \omega \pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau \tau \omega \alpha \gamma \omega)$ ). First aorist middle of the late verb $\alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \alpha \omega$ for $\alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to exult. Always in the middle in the N.T. save Lu 1:47 in Mary's Magnificat. This holy joy of Jesus was directly due to the Holy Spirit. It is joy in the work of his followers, their victories over Satan, and is akin to the joy felt by Jesus in Joh 4:32-38 when the vision of the harvest of the world stirred his heart. The rest of this verse is precisely like Mt 11:25f. , a peculiarly Johannine passage in Matthew and Luke, but not in Mark, and so from Q (the Logia of Jesus). It has disturbed critics who are unwilling to admit the Johannine style and type of teaching as genuine, but here it is. See on Matthew for discussion. "That God had proved his independence of the human intellect is a matter for thankfulness. Intellectual gifts, so far from being necessary, are often a hindrance" (Plummer).

Luke 10:22
Knoweth who the Son is ( $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ o vıo̧). Knows by experience, $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon$. Here Mt 11:27 has $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon$ (fully knows) and simply tov viov (the Son) instead of the "who" ( $\tau \iota \varsigma)$ clause. So also in "who the Father is" ( $\tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ o $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho$ ). But the same use and contrast of "the Father," "the Son." in both Matthew and Luke, "an aerolite from the Johannean heaven" (Hase). No sane criticism can get rid of this Johannine bit in these Gospels written long before the Fourth Gospel was composed. We are dealing here with the oldest known document about Christ (the Logia) and the picture is that drawn in the Fourth Gospel (see my The Christ of the Logia). It is idle to try to whittle away by fantastic exegesis the high claims made by Jesus in this passage. It is an ecstatic prayer in the presence of the Seventy under the rapture of the Holy Spirit on terms of perfect equality and understanding between the Father and the Son in the tone of the priestly prayer in Joh 17 . We are justified in saying that this prayer of supreme Fellowship with the Father in contemplation of final victory over Satan gives us a glimpse of the prayers with the Father when the Son spent whole nights on the mountain alone with the Father. Here is the Messianic consciousness in complete control and with perfect confidence in the outcome. Here as in Mt 11:27 by the use of
willeth to reveal him ( $\beta 00 \lambda \eta \tau \alpha \alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \psi \alpha)$. The Son claims the power to reveal the Father "to whomsoever he wills" ( $\omega \alpha v \beta$ ou $\eta \tau \tau \alpha$, indefinite relative and present subjunctive of $\beta$ ou $\lambda o \mu \alpha$, to will, not the future indicative). This is divine sovereignty most assuredly. Human free agency is also true, but it is full divine sovereignty in salvation that is here claimed along with possession ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta o \theta \eta$, timeless aorist passive indicative) of all power from the Father. Let that supreme claim stand.

Luke 10:23

Turning to the disciples ( $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau 0 \cup \varsigma \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Second aorist passive of $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$ as in 9:55. The prayer was a soliloquy though uttered in the presence of the Seventy on their return. Now Jesus turned and spoke "privately" or to the disciples (the Twelve, apparently), whether on this same occasion or a bit later.

Blessed ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho ь)$ ) A beatitude, the same adjective as in Mt 5:3-11. A beatitude of privilege very much like that in Mt 5:13-16. Jesus often repeated his sayings.

Luke 10:24
 contrast with the prophets and kings of former days.

Luke 10:25
And tempted him ( $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \varepsilon\llcorner\rho \alpha \zeta \omega \vee \alpha \cup \tau \circ v$ ). Present active participle, conative idea, trying to tempt him. There is no "and" in the Greek. He "stood up (aveб亢ך, ingressive second aorist active) trying to tempt him." Пєıра弓 $\omega$ is a late form of $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \omega$ and $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \zeta \omega$ apparently only in the LXX, and N.T. (quoted by Jesus from De 6:16 in Mt 4:7; Lu 4:12 against Satan). Here and 1Co 10:9. The spirit of this lawyer was evil. He wanted to entrap Jesus if possible.

What shall I do to inherit eternal life? (T лоוך $\sigma \alpha \varsigma ~ \zeta \omega \eta \nu ~ \alpha ו \omega v ı v ~ к \lambda \eta \rho о v о \mu \eta \sigma \omega ;$ ). Literally, "By doing what shall I inherit eternal life?" Note the emphasis on "doing" (лопŋбаৎ). The form of his question shows a wrong idea as to how to get it.

Eternal life ( $\zeta \omega \eta \nu \alpha \omega \nu v o v$ ) is endless life as in John's Gospel (Joh 16:9; 18:18,30) and in Mt 25:46, which see.

Luke 10:26
How readest thou? ( $\pi \omega \varsigma ~ \alpha \nu \alpha \gamma ı \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \iota \sigma ;$ ). As a lawyer it was his business to know the facts in the law and the proper interpretation of the law. See on Lu 7:30 about vонккоя (lawyer). The rabbis had a formula, "What readest thou?"

Luke 10:27
And he answering (o $\delta \varepsilon \alpha \pi о \kappa \rho \mid \theta \varepsilon เ \varsigma)$. First aorist participle, no longer passive in idea. The lawyer's answer is first from the Shema (De 6:3; 11:13) which was written on the phylacteries. The second part is from Le 19:18 and shows that the lawyer knew the law. At a later time Jesus himself in the temple gives a like summary of the law to a lawyer ( Mr 12:28-34; Mt 22:34-40 ) who wanted to catch Jesus by his question. There is no difficulty in the two incidents. God is to be loved with all of man's four powers (heart, soul, strength, mind) here as in Mr 12:30 .

Luke 10:28
Thou hast answered right ( $\mathrm{o} \theta \omega \varsigma \varsigma \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \rho ı \theta \eta \varsigma$ ). First aorist passive indicative second singular with the adverb op $\theta \omega c$. The answer was correct so far as the words went. In Mr 12:34 Jesus commends the scribe for agreeing to his interpretation of the first and the second commandments. That scribe was "not far from the kingdom of God," but this lawyer was "tempting" Jesus.

Do this and thou shalt live (тоито тоєє ка $\lceil\eta \sigma \eta)$. Present imperative (keep on doing this forever) and the future indicative middle as a natural result. There was only one trouble with the lawyer's answer. No one ever did or ever can "do" what the law lays down towards God and man always. To slip once is to fail. So Jesus put the problem squarely up to the lawyer who wanted to know
by doing what. Of course, if he kept the law
perfectly always, he would inherit eternal life.
Luke 10:29
Desiring to justify himself ( $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega v \delta$ ıкаı $\omega \sigma \alpha$ عavтov). The lawyer saw at once that he had convicted himself of asking a question that he already knew. In his embarrassment he asks another question to show that he did have some point at first:

And who is my neighbour? ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v ~ \mu о v ~ \pi \lambda \eta \sigma ı v ;) . ~ T h e ~ J e w s ~ s p l i t ~ h a i r s ~ o v e r ~ t h i s ~$ question and excluded from "neighbour" Gentiles and especially Samaritans. So here was his loop-hole. A neighbour is a nigh dweller to one, but the Jews made racial exceptions as many, alas, do today. The word $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota o v$ here is an adverb (neuter of the adjective $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \circ \rho \varsigma)$ meaning o $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \rho \omega \nu$ (the one who is near), but $\omega v$ was usually not expressed and the adverb is here used as if a substantive.

Luke 10:30
Made answer ( $v \pi о \lambda \alpha \beta \omega v$ ). Second aorist active participle of $v \pi о \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$ (see 7:43), to take up literally, and then in thought and speech, old verb, but in this sense of interrupting in talk only in the N.T.

Was going down ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \beta a \iota v \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active describing the journey.
Fell among robbers ( $\lambda \eta \iota \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma ~ \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v)$ ). Second aorist ingressive active indicative of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, old verb with associative instrumental case, to fall among and to be encompassed by ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho$, around), to be surrounded by robbers. A common experience to this day on the road to Jericho. The Romans placed a fort on this "red and bloody way." These were bandits, not petty thieves.

Stripped ( $\varepsilon \kappa \delta v \sigma a v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Of his clothing as well as of his money, the meanest sort of robbers.

Beat him ( $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \imath \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Second aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau i \theta \eta \mu$, a common verb. Literally, "placing strokes or blows" ( $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \alpha \varsigma$, plagues) upon him. See Lu 12:48; Ac 16:23; Re 15:1,6,8 for "plagues."

Half-dead ( $\eta \mu \theta \alpha \nu \eta$ ). Late word from $\eta \mu$, half, and $\theta \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$, to die. Only here in the N.T. Vivid picture of the robbery.

Luke 10:31
By chance (ката $\sigma \cup ү к \cup \rho ı \alpha v)$ ). Here only in the N.T., meaning rather, "by way of coincidence." It is a rare word elsewhere and in late writers like Hippocrates. It is from the verb $\sigma \cup \gamma к \cup \rho \varepsilon \omega$, though $\sigma \cup \gamma \kappa v \rho \eta \sigma ı$ is more common.

Was going down ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \beta \alpha \iota v \varepsilon)$ ). Imperfect active as in verse 30. Passed by on the other side ( $\alpha v \tau \iota \pi \alpha \rho \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\alpha v \tau \iota \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, a late double compound here (verses 31,32 ) only in the N.T., but in the papyri and late writers. It is the ingressive aorist ( $\eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ), came alongside ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ), and then he stepped over to the opposite side ( $\alpha v \tau$ ) of the road to avoid ceremonial contamination with a stranger. A vivid and powerful picture of the vice of Jewish ceremonial cleanliness at the cost of moral principle and duty. The Levite in verse 32 behaved precisely as the priest had done and for the same reason.

Luke 10:33
A certain Samaritan ( $\Sigma a \mu \alpha \rho \varepsilon \iota \tau \eta \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma)$. Of all men in the world to do a neighbourly act!

As he journeyed (o $\delta \varepsilon v \omega v$ ). Making his way.
Came where he was ( $\eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ кat' avtov). Literally, "came down upon him." He did not sidestep or dodge him, but had compassion on him.

Luke 10:34
Bound up his wounds ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \delta \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \tau \alpha \tau \rho \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha)$. First aorist active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, but here only in the N.T. The verb means "bound down." We say "bind up." Medical detail that interested Luke. The word for "wounds" ( $\tau \rho \alpha v \mu \alpha \tau \alpha)$ here only in the N.T.

Pouring on them oil and wine ( $\varepsilon \pi \downarrow \chi \varepsilon \omega v \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \ldots v \kappa \alpha$ oovov). Old verb again, but here only in the N.T. Oil and wine were household remedies even for wounds (soothing oil, antiseptic alcohol). Hippocrates prescribed for ulcers: "Bind with soft wool, and sprinkle with wine and oil."

Set him ( $\varepsilon \pi \imath \beta \curlywedge \beta \alpha \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. An old verb $\varepsilon \pi \imath \beta \iota \beta \alpha \zeta \omega(\varepsilon \pi, \beta \iota \beta \alpha \zeta \omega)$, to cause to mount. In the N.T. only here and Ac 19:35; 23:24, common in LXX.

Beast ( $\kappa \tau \eta v o \varsigma)$. Old word from $\kappa \tau \alpha o \mu \alpha$, to acquire, and so property ( $\kappa \tau \eta \mu \alpha$ ) especially cattle or any beast of burden.

An inn ( $\pi \alpha \nu \delta o \chi \varepsilon เ \circ v$ ). The old Attic form was $\pi \alpha v \delta o \kappa \varepsilon เ \rho v$ (from $\pi \alpha \nu$, all, and $\delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to receive). A public place for receiving all comers and a more pretentious caravanserai than a ката $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{\mu}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ like that in Lu 2:7. Here only in the N.T. There are ruins of two inns about halfway between Bethany and Jericho.

Luke 10:35
On the morrow ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta v$ avpıov). Towards the morrow as in Ac 4:5 . (Cf. also Ac 3:1). Syriac Sinaitic has it "at dawn of the day." An unusual use of $\varepsilon \pi$.

Took out ( $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \omega v$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$. It could mean, "fling out," but probably only means "drew out." Common verb.

Two pence ( $\delta v o \delta \eta \eta v \rho ı \alpha)$. About thirty-five cents, but worth more in purchasing power.
To the host ( $\tau \omega \pi \alpha v \delta o \chi \varepsilon$ ). The innkeeper. Here only in the N.T.

Whatsoever thou spendest more (o av $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \alpha \pi \alpha v \eta \sigma \eta เ \varsigma)$. Indefinite relative clause with $\alpha v$ and the aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta a \pi \alpha v \alpha \omega$, to spend besides ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ ), a late verb for the common $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha v a \lambda_{\iota} \sigma \kappa \omega$ and here only in the N.T.

I will repay ( $\varepsilon \gamma \mathrm{o} \alpha \pi o \delta \omega \sigma \omega)$. Emphatic. What he had paid was merely by way of pledge. He was a man of his word and known to the innkeeper as reliable.

When I come back again ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \varepsilon \pi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \mu \varepsilon$ ). Luke's favourite idiom of $\varepsilon v$ and the articular infinitive with accusative of general reference. Double compound verb $\varepsilon \pi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$.

Luke 10:36
Proved neighbour to him that fell ( $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \circ \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \varepsilon v \alpha$ tov $\varepsilon \mu \pi \varepsilon \sigma \circ v \tau o \varsigma)$. Second perfect infinitive of $\gamma เ v o \mu \alpha$ and second aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \mu \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$. Objective genitive, became neighbour to the one, etc. Jesus has changed the lawyer's standpoint and has put it up to him to decide which of "these three" ( $\tau 0 v \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \rho I \omega v$, priest, Levite, Samaritan) acted like a neighbour to the wounded man.

Luke 10:37
On him ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ avtou). With him, more exactly. The lawyer saw the point and gave the correct answer, but he gulped at the word "Samaritan" and refused to say that.

Do thou ( $\sigma v \pi o เ \varepsilon$ ). Emphasis on "thou." Would this Jewish lawyer act the neighbour to a Samaritan? This parable of the Good Samaritan has built the world's hospitals and, if understood and practised, will remove race prejudice, national hatred and war, class jealousy.

## Luke 10:38

Now as they went on their way ( $\eta \nu \delta \varepsilon \tau \omega \pi$ тор $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \alpha 0 \tau \circ \cup \varsigma)$. Luke's favourite temporal clause again as in verse 35 .
 of vло $\delta \varepsilon \chi о \mu \alpha$, an old verb to welcome as a guest (in the N.T. only here and Lu 19:6; Ac 17:7; Jas 2:25 ). Martha is clearly the mistress of the home and is probably the elder sister. There is no evidence that she was the wife of Simon the leper (Joh 12:1f. ). It is curious that in an old cemetery at Bethany the names of Martha, Eleazar, and Simon have been found.

Luke 10:39
Which also sat ( $\eta$ ка $\tau \alpha \rho \alpha к \alpha Ө \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon ı \sigma \alpha)$. First aorist passive participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \zeta о \mu \alpha$, an old verb, but only here in the N.T. It means to sit beside ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ) and $\pi \rho o \varsigma$ means right in front of the feet of Jesus. It is not clear what the point is in $\kappa \alpha$ here. It may mean that Martha loved to sit here also as well as Mary.

Heard ( $\eta$ коuعv). Imperfect active. She took her seat by the feet of Jesus and went on listening to his talk.

Luke 10:40
Was cumbered ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \sigma \pi \alpha \tau 0$ ). Imperfect passive of $\pi \varepsilon \rho ⿺ \sigma \pi \alpha \omega$, an old verb with vivid metaphor, to draw around. One has sometimes seen women whose faces are literally drawn round with anxiety, with a permanent twist, distracted in mind and in looks.

She came up to him $(\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha)$. Second aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \varphi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, an old verb to place upon, but in the N.T. only in the middle voice or the intransitive tenses of the active (perfect and second aorist as here). It is the ingressive aorist here and really means. stepping up to or bursting in or upon Jesus. It is an explosive act as is the speech of Martha.

Dost thou not care (ov $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma o$ ). This was a reproach to Jesus for monopolizing Mary to Martha's hurt.

Did leave me ( $\mu \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active, she kept on leaving me.
Bid her ( $\varepsilon \iota \pi \circ \nu \alpha v \tau \eta)$. Late form instead of $\varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon$, second aorist active imperative, common in the papyri. Martha feels that Jesus is the key to Mary's help.

That she help me (ıva $\mu \circ \sigma v v a v \tau i \lambda \alpha \beta \eta \tau \alpha)$. Sub-final use of $v \alpha \alpha$ with second aorist middle subjunctive of $\sigma v v a v \tau ı \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu o \mu \alpha$, a double compound verb ( $\sigma v \nu$, with, $\alpha \nu \tau$, at her end of the line, and $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu o \mu \alpha$, middle voice of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$, to take hold), a late compound appearing in the LXX, Diodorus and Josephus. Deissmann (Light from the Ancient East, p. 87) finds it in many widely scattered inscriptions "throughout the whole extent of the Hellenistic world of the Mediterranean." It appears only twice in the N.T. (here and Ro 8:26 ). It is a beautiful word, to take hold oneself (middle voice) at his end of the task ( $\alpha v \tau$ ) together with ( $\sigma \cup v$ ) one.

Luke 10:41
Art anxious ( $\mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \mu \nu \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. An old verb for worry and anxiety from $\mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta \omega$ ( $\mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \varsigma$, part) to be divided, distracted. Jesus had warned against this in the Sermon on the Mount (Mt 6:25,28,31,34. See also Lu 12:11,22,26 ).

And troubled ( $\kappa \alpha \theta о \rho v \beta \alpha \zeta \eta)$. From $\theta$ о $\rho v \beta \alpha \zeta о \mu \alpha$, a verb found nowhere else so far. Many MSS. here have the usual form $\tau v \rho \beta \alpha \zeta \eta$, from $\tau v \rho \beta \alpha \zeta \omega$. Apparently from $\theta$ o $\rho \cup \beta$ о , a common enough word for tumult. Martha had both inward anxiety and outward agitation.

But one thing is needful ( $\varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \chi \rho \varepsilon \iota \alpha)$. This is the reading of A C and may be correct. A few manuscripts have: "There is need of few things." Aleph B L (and Westcott and Hort) have: "There is need of few things or one," which seems like a conflate reading though the readings are all old. See Robertson, Introduction to Textual Criticism of the N.T., p. 190. Jesus seems to say to Martha that only one dish was really necessary for the meal instead of the "many" about which she was so anxious.

Luke 10:42
The good portion ( $\tau \eta v a \gamma \alpha \theta \eta \nu \mu \varepsilon \rho เ \delta \alpha$ ). The best dish on the table, fellowship with Jesus. This is the spiritual application of the metaphor of the dishes on the table. Salvation is not "the good portion" for Martha had that also.

From her ( $\alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Ablative case after $\alpha \varphi \alpha \iota \eta \forall \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ (future passive indicative). Jesus pointedly takes Mary's side against Martha's fussiness.

## Luke 11

Luke 11:1
As he was praying in a certain place ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \varepsilon เ v a \alpha v \tau o v \varepsilon v \tau о \pi \omega \tau \tau \nu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \cup \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Characteristically Lukan idiom: $\varepsilon v$ with articular periphrastic infinitive ( $\varepsilon ı \sim \alpha \pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon v \chi o \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ) with accusative of general reference (avtov).

That . Not in the Greek, asyndeton ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau 0 ~ \varepsilon ı \pi \varepsilon v$ ).
When he ceased ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \alpha v \sigma \alpha \tau o$ ). Supply $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ (praying), complementary or supplementary participle.

Teach us ( $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \xi$ ov $\eta \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). Jesus had taught them by precept (Mt 6:7-15) and example (Lu 9:29). Somehow the example of Jesus on this occasion stirred them to fresh interest in the subject and to revival of interest in John's teachings ( $\mathrm{Lu} 5: 33$ ). So Jesus gave them the substance of the Model Prayer in Matthew, but in shorter form. Some of the MSS. have one or all of the phrases in Matthew, but the oldest documents have it in the simplest form. See on Mt 6:7-15 for discussion of these details (Father, hallowed, kingdom, daily bread, forgiveness, bringing us into temptation). In Mt 6:11 "give" is $\delta$ oc (second aorist active imperative second singular, a single act) while here Lu 11:3 "give" is $\delta \iota \delta o v$ (present active imperative, both from $\delta \delta \delta \omega \mu$ ) and means, "keep on giving." So in Lu 11:4 we have "For we ourselves also forgive" (ка $\gamma \alpha \rho \alpha 0 \tau о \alpha \varphi เ \rho \mu \varepsilon v$ ), present active indicative of the late $\omega$ verb $\alpha \varphi \iota \omega$ while Mt 6:12 has "as we also forgave" ( $\omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \eta \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma \alpha \varphi \eta \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ), first aorist ( $\kappa$ aorist) active of $\alpha \varphi \iota \eta \mu$. So also where Mt 6:12 has "debts" ( $\tau \alpha$ оч $\varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha)$ Lu 11:4 has "sins" ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \varsigma) . ~ B u t ~$ the spirit of each prayer is the same. There is no evidence that Jesus meant either form to
 $\mu \eta$ in prohibition, ingressive aorist). "Bring us not" is a better translation than "lead us not." There is no such thing as God enticing one to $\sin$ (Jas 1:13). Jesus urges us to pray not to be tempted as in Lu 22:40 in Gethsemane.

Luke 11:5
At midnight ( $\mu \varepsilon \sigma о v \cup \kappa \tau เ o v$ ). Genitive of time.
And say to him ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon ו \pi \eta \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). This is the deliberative subjunctive, but it is preceded by two future indicatives that are deliberative also ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \iota, \pi о \rho \varepsilon \cup \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$.

Lend me ( $\chi \rho \eta \sigma o v \mu o$ ). First aorist active imperative second singular. Lend me
now . From кıх $\uparrow \eta \mu$, an old verb, to lend as a matter of friendly interest as opposed to $\delta a v \varepsilon \iota \zeta \omega$, to lend on interest as a business. Only here in the N.T.

Luke 11:6
To set before him (o $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \eta \sigma \omega \alpha v \tau \omega$ ).
Which I shall place beside him . Future active of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau 1 \theta \eta \mu$. See 9:16 for this same verb.

Luke 11:7

And he (каквıvоৎ). Emphatic.
Shall say ( $\varepsilon ו \pi \eta)$. Still the aorist active deliberative subjunctive as in verse 5 (the same long and somewhat involved sentence).

Trouble me not ( $\mu \eta \mu$ о котоис $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon$ ). M $\eta$ and the present imperative active. Literally, "Stop furnishing troubles to me." On this use of котоия $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$ see also Mt 26:10; Mr 14:6; Ga 6:17 and the singular котог, Lu 18:5.

The door is now shut ( $\eta \delta \eta \eta \theta$ upa $\kappa \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative, shut to stay shut. Oriental locks are not easy to unlock. From $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \iota \omega$, common verb.

In bed ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \nu$ кoıт $\eta \nu$ ). Note use of $\varepsilon \iota \zeta$ in sense of $\varepsilon v$. Often a whole family would sleep in the same room.

I cannot (ov $\delta v v \alpha \mu \alpha$ ). That is, I am not willing.
Luke 11:8
Though ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ). K $\alpha \varepsilon$ would be "Even if," a different idea.
Because he is his friend ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ тo $\varepsilon ı v \alpha$ pi $\lambda$ ov $\alpha v \tau o v$ ). $\Delta \iota \alpha$ and the accusative articular infinitive with accusative of general reference, a causal clause="because of the being a friend of his."

Yet because of his importunity ( $\delta ı \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \tau \eta \sim \alpha v a \iota \delta ı \alpha v \alpha v \tau o v)$. From $\alpha v a \_\delta \eta \varsigma$, shameless, and that from $\alpha$ privative and $\alpha \iota \delta \omega \varsigma$, shame, shamelessness, impudence. An old word, but here alone in the N.T. Examples in the papyri. The use of $\gamma \varepsilon$ here, one of the intensive particles, is to be noted. It sharpens the contrast to "though" by "yet." As examples of importunate prayer Vincent notes Abraham in behalf of Sodom (Ge 18:23-33) and the SyroPhoenician woman in behalf of her daughter (Mt 15:22-28).

Luke 11:9
Shall be opened ( $\alpha v o r \gamma \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Second future passive third singular of $\alpha v o t \gamma v v \mu$ and the later $\alpha v o l \gamma \omega$.

Luke 11:11
Of which of you that is a father ( $\tau \iota v \alpha \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \xi \nu \mu \omega v \tau o v \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). There is a decided anacoluthon here. The MSS. differ a great deal. The text of Westcott and Hort makes tov $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ (the father) in apposition with $\tau i v \alpha$ (of whom) and in the accusative the object of aıt $\eta \sigma \varepsilon$ (shall ask) which has also another accusative (both person and thing) "a loaf." So far so good. But the rest of the sentence is,
will ye give him a stone? ( $\mu \eta \lambda_{1} \theta o v \varepsilon \pi \iota \delta \omega \sigma \varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \omega t$;). M $\eta$ shows that the answer No is expected, but the trouble is that the interrogative $\tau i v \alpha$ in the first clause is in the accusative the object of aıt $\eta \sigma \varepsilon$ while here the same man (he) is the subject of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \delta \omega \sigma \varepsilon$. It is a very awkward piece of Greek and yet it is intelligible. Some of the old MSS. do not have the part about "loaf" and "stone," but only the two remaining parts about "fish" and "serpent," "egg" and "scorpion." The same difficult construction is carried over into these questions also.

Luke 11:13

Know how to give（ot $\delta a t \varepsilon \delta \iota \delta o v a)$ ．See on Mt 7：11 for this same saying．Only here Jesus adds the Holy Spirit（ $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \alpha \gamma ו \rho v$ ）as the great gift（the summum bonum）that the Father is ready to bestow．Jesus is fond of＂how much more＂（ $\pi 0 \sigma \omega \mu \lambda \lambda \lambda o v$ ，by how much more， instrumental case）．

Luke 11：14
 and $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ as often in Luke（no ot or $\kappa \alpha$ ）．

## Luke 11：15

Dumb（ $\kappa \omega \varphi \rho v$ ）．See on Mt 9：32．
By Beelzebub（ $\varepsilon v$ B $\varepsilon \varepsilon 〔 \varepsilon \beta o u \lambda$ ）．Blasphemous accusation here in Judea as in Galilee（Mr 3：22；Mt 12：24，27）．See on Matthew for discussion of the form of this name and the various items in the sin against the Holy Spirit involved in the charge．It was useless to deny the fact of the miracles．So they were explained as wrought by Satan himself，a most absurd explan－ ation．

Luke 11：16
Tempting him（ $\pi \varepsilon\llcorner\rho \alpha \zeta о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ．These＂others＂（ $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ$ ）apparently realized the futility of the charge of being in league with Beelzebub．Hence they put up to Jesus the demand for＂a sign from heaven＂just as had been done in Galilee（Mt 12：38）．By＂sign＂（ $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota o v$ ）they meant a great spectacular display of heavenly power such as they expected the Messiah to give and such as the devil suggested to Jesus on the pinnacle of the temple．

Sought（ $\varepsilon$ 〔ך $\dagger$ touv）．Imperfect active，kept on seeking．
Luke 11：17
But he（avtoc $\delta \varepsilon$ ）．In contrast with them．
Knowing their thoughts（ $\varepsilon \delta \omega \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \omega \nu \tau \alpha \delta \iota \alpha v o \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ）．From $\delta \iota \alpha v o \varepsilon \omega$ ，to think through or distinguish．This substantive is common in Plato，but occurs nowhere else in the N．T．It means intent，purpose．Jesus knew that they were trying to tempt him．

And a house divided against a house falleth（ка оюкос $\varepsilon \pi$ оюкоv $\pi \iota \pi \tau \varepsilon$ ）．It is not certain that $\delta ı \alpha \mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha$（divided）is to be repeated here as in Mt 12：25；Mr 3：25．It may mean，
and house falls upon house ，＂one tumbling house knocking down its neighbour，a graphic picture of what happens when a kingdom is divided against itself＂（Bruce）．

Luke 11：18
Because ye say（ $\circ \tau \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ）．Jesus here repeats in indirect discourse（accusative and in－ finitive）the charge made against him in verse 15．The condition is of the first class，determ－ ined as fulfilled．

Luke 11：19
And if I by Beelzebub（ $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \gamma \omega \varepsilon v$ B $\varepsilon \varepsilon 〔 \varepsilon \beta o v \lambda$ ）．Also a condition of the first class，de－ termined as fulfilled．A Greek condition deals only with the statement，not with the actual facts．For sake of argument，Jesus here assumes that he casts out demons by Beelzebub．The
conclusion is a reductio ad absurdum. The Jewish exorcists practiced incantations against demons (Ac 19:13).

Luke 11:20
By the finger of God ( $\varepsilon v \delta \alpha \kappa \tau \nu \lambda \omega \theta \varepsilon \sigma v$ ). In distinction from the Jewish exorcists. Mt 12:28 has "by the Spirit of God."

Then is come ( $\alpha \rho \alpha \varepsilon \varphi \theta \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). $\Phi \theta \alpha v \omega$ in late Greek comes to mean simply to come, not to come before. The aorist indicative tense here is timeless. Note $\alpha \rho \alpha$ (accordingly) in the conclusion ( $\alpha \pi$ обобıৎ).

Luke 11:21
Fully armed ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \pi \lambda \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle of $\kappa \alpha \theta$ o $\pi \lambda \iota \zeta \omega$, an old verb, but here only in the N.T. Note perfective use of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ in composition with o $\pi \lambda \iota \zeta \omega$, to arm (from o $\pi \lambda \alpha$, arms). Note indefinite temporal clause ( $o \tau \alpha \nu$ and present subjunctive $\varphi v \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta$ ).

His own court ( $\tau \eta v$ عav $\frac{1}{}$ ov $\alpha v \lambda \eta v$ ). His own homestead. Mr 3:27; Mt 12:29 has "house" (oıкı $\alpha v$ ). $A v \lambda \eta$ is used in the N.T. in various senses (the court in front of the house, the court around which the house is built, then the house as a whole).

His goods ( $\tau \alpha v \pi \alpha \rho \chi o v \tau \alpha \alpha v \tau 0 v)$. "His belongings." Neuter plural present active participle of $v \pi \alpha \rho \chi \omega$ used as substantive with genitive.

Luke 11:22
But when ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \nu \delta \varepsilon$ ). Note o $\tau \alpha v$ in verse 21.
Stronger than he (ı $\sigma \cup \rho \circ \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \circ v$ ). Comparative of $ו \sigma \chi \cup \rho \circ \varsigma$ followed by the ablative.
Come upon him and overcome him ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega \nu \nu \iota \kappa \eta \sigma \eta \alpha v \tau o v)$. Second aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ and first aorist active subjunctive of $v \iota \kappa \alpha \omega$. Aorist tense here because a single onset while in verse 22 the guarding ( $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta$, present active subjunctive) is continuous.

His whole armour ( $\tau \eta \nu \pi \alpha \nu o \pi \lambda \iota a \nu \alpha v \tau o v$ ). An old and common word for all the soldier's outfit (shield, sword, lance, helmet, greaves, breastplate). Tyndale renders it "his harness." In the N.T. only here and Eph 6:11,13 where the items are given.

Wherein he trusted ( $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} \eta \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \pi \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Second past perfect active of $\pi \varepsilon \iota \theta \omega$, to persuade. The second perfect $\pi \varepsilon \pi o \iota \theta \alpha$ is intransitive, to trust. Old and common verb. He trusted his weapons which had been so efficacious.

His spoils ( $\tau \alpha \sigma \kappa \cup \lambda \alpha \alpha v \tau o v)$. It is not clear to what this figure refers. Strong as Satan is Jesus is stronger and wins victories over him as he was doing then. In Col 2:15 Christ is pictured as triumphing openly over the powers of evil by the Cross.

Luke 11:23
He that is not with me (o $\mu \eta \omega \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ). This verse is just like Mt 12:30.
Luke 11:24
And finding none ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \eta \varepsilon v \rho \iota \sigma \kappa o v$ ). Here Mt 12:43 has $\kappa \alpha$ ov $\chi \varepsilon \cup \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \varepsilon$ (present active indicative instead of present active participle). Lu 11:24-26 is almost verbatim like Mt

12:43-45, which see. Instead of just "taketh" ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a v \varepsilon$ ) in verse 26, Matthew has "taketh with himself" ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime}$ عavтou). And Luke omits: "Even so shall it be also unto this evil generation" of Mt 12:45.

Than the first ( $\tau \omega v \pi \rho \omega \tau \omega v$ ). Ablative case after the comparative $\chi \varepsilon \rho \rho o v a$. The seven demons brought back remind one of the seven that afflicted Mary Magdalene (Lu 8:2).

Luke 11:27
As he said these things ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon I v$ avtov). Luke's common idiom, $\varepsilon v$ with articular infinitive. Verses 27,28 are peculiar to Luke. His Gospel in a special sense is the Gospel of Woman. This woman "speaks well, but womanly" (Bengel). Her beatitude ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho ı \alpha)$ reminds us of Elisabeth's words (Lu 1:42, $\varepsilon \cup \lambda \neq \gamma \eta \mu \varepsilon v \eta$ ). She is fulfilling Mary's own prophecy in 1:48 ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \stackrel{v}{ } \sigma \iota \nu \mu \varepsilon$, shall call me happy).

Luke 11:28
But he said ( $\alpha \cup \tau 0 \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon I \pi \varepsilon v$ ). Jesus in contrast turns attention to others and gives them a beatitude ( $\mu \alpha к \alpha \rho ь) . ~ " T h e ~ o r i g i n a l i t y ~ o f ~ C h r i s t ' s ~ r e p l y ~ g u a r a n t e e s ~ i t s ~ h i s t o r i c a l ~ c h a r a c t e r . ~$ Such a comment is beyond the reach of an inventor" (Plummer).

## Luke 11:29

Were gathering together unto him ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \theta \rho \circ \iota \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute present middle participle of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \theta \rho o \iota \zeta \omega$, a rare verb, Plutarch and here only in the N.T., from $\varepsilon \pi$ and $\alpha \theta \rho o \iota \zeta \omega$ (a common enough verb). It means to throng together ( $\alpha \theta \rho o o \varsigma$, in throngs). Vivid picture of the crowds around Jesus.

But the sign of Jonah ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta$ тo $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \nu \mathrm{I} \omega v \alpha$ ). Luke does not give here the burial and resurrection of Jesus of which Jonah's experience in the big fish was a type (Mt 12:39ff. ), but that is really implied (Plummer argues) by the use here of "shall be given" ( $\delta$ o $\eta \quad \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$ and "shall be" ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha)$, for the resurrection of Jesus is still future. The preaching of Jesus ought to have been sign enough as in the case of Jonah, but the resurrection will be given. Luke's report is much briefer and omits what is in Mt 12:41 .

Luke 11:31
With the men of this generation ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \omega \nu \alpha v \delta \rho \omega \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha \varsigma \tau \alpha \nu \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Here Mt 12:42 has simply "with this generation," which see.

Luke 11:32
 12:41. Luke inserts the words about the Queen of the South (31) in between the discussion
 after the comparative $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota \circ$ (more,
something more ).
Luke 11:33
In a cellar ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma \kappa \rho \cup \pi \tau \eta \nu$ ). A crypt (same word) or hidden place from $\kappa \rho \cup \pi \tau \omega$, to hide. Late and rare word and here only in the N.T. These other words (lamp, $\lambda u \chi$ vov, bushel,
$\mu \mathrm{o}$ ıov，stand，$\lambda \mathrm{u} \chi$ viav）have all been discussed previously（Mt 5：15 ）．Lu 11：33 is like Mt 6：22f．，which see for details．

Luke 11：35
Whether not（ $\mu \eta$ ）．This use of $\mu \eta$ in an indirect question is good Greek（Robertson， Grammar，p．1045）．It is a pitiful situation if the very light is darkness．This happens when the eye of the soul is too diseased to see the light of Christ．

Luke 11：36
With its bright shining（ $\tau \eta \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \pi \eta$ ）．Instrumental case，as if by a flash of lightning the light is revealed in him．See on 10：18．

Luke 11：37
Now as he spake（ $\varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \tau \omega \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha$ ）．Luke＇s common idiom，$\varepsilon v$ with the articular infin－ itive（aorist active infinitive）but it does not mean＂after he had spoken＂as Plummer argues， but simply＂in the speaking，＂no time in the aorist infinitive．See 3：21 for similar use of aorist infinitive with $\varepsilon v$ ．

Asketh（ $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha$ ）．Present active indicative，dramatic present．Request，not question．
To dine（o $\pi \omega \varsigma \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \eta$ ）．Note $o \pi \omega \varsigma$ rather than the common $v \alpha \alpha$ ．Aorist active sub－ junctive rather than present，for a single meal．The verb is from apıotov（breakfast）．See distinction between apıб⿱亠䒑ov and $\delta \varepsilon ı \pi v o v$（dinner or supper）in Lu 14：12．It is the morning meal（breakfast or lunch）after the return from morning prayers in the synagogue（Mt 22：4 ），not the very early meal called $\alpha к \rho \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \mu$ ．The verb is，however，used for the early meal on the seashore in Joh 21：12，15 ．

With him（ $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ）．By his side．
Sat down to meat（ $\alpha v \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$ ）．Second aorist active indicative of $\alpha v a \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$ ，old verb， to recline，to fall back on the sofa or lounge．No word here for＂to meat．＂

Luke 11：38
That he had not first washed before dinner（oт ov $\pi \rho \omega \tau \tau v \varepsilon \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta \pi \rho \circ$ тov $\alpha \rho \iota \tau \tau \circ v$ ）． The verb is first aorist passive indicative of $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ ，to dip or to immerse．Here it is applied to the hands．It was the Jewish custom to dip the hands in water before eating and often between courses for ceremonial purification．In Galilee the Pharisees and scribes had sharply criticized the disciples for eating with unwashed hands（Mr 7：1－23；Mt 15：1－20）when Jesus had defended their liberty and had opposed making a necessity of such a custom（tradition） in opposition to the command of God．Apparently Jesus on this occasion had himself reclined at the breakfast（not dinner）without this ceremonial dipping of the hands in water．The Greek has＂first before＂（ $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \nu \pi \rho o$ ），a tautology not preserved in the translation．

Luke 11：39
The Lord（о кирьос）．The Lord Jesus plainly and in the narrative portion of Luke．
Now（vvv）．Probably refers to him．You Pharisees do now what was formerly done．

The platter ( $\tau$ ои $\pi$ เvaкоऽ). The dish. Old word, rendered "the charger" in Mt 14:8 . Another word for "platter" ( $\pi \alpha \rho \circ \psi ı \varsigma)$ in Mt 23:25 means "side-dish."

But your inward part ( $\tau 0 \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \omega \theta \varepsilon v v \mu \omega v$ ). The part within you (Pharisees). They keep the external regulations, but their hearts are full of plunder ( $\alpha \rho \pi \alpha ү \eta \varsigma$, from $\alpha \rho \pi \alpha \zeta \omega$, to seize) and wickedness ( $\pi$ огทрıа¢, from $\pi$ огท $\rho \circ \varsigma$, evil man). See Mt 23:25 for a like indictment of the Pharisees for care for the outside of the cup but neglect of what is on the inside. Both inside and outside should be clean, but the inside first.

Luke 11:40
Howbeit ( $\pi \lambda \eta \nu$ ). See Lu 6:24. Instead of devoting so much attention to the outside.
Those things which are within ( $\tau \alpha \varepsilon v o v \tau \alpha$ ). Articular neuter plural participle from $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \mu$, to be in, common verb. This precise phrase only here in the N.T. though in the papyri, and it is not clear what it means. Probably, give as alms the things within the dishes, that is have inward righteousness with a brotherly spirit and the outward becomes "clean" ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \alpha$ ). Properly understood, this is not irony and is not Ebionism, but good Christianity (Plummer).

Luke 11:42
Tithe ( $\alpha \pi о \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau о v \tau \varepsilon)$. Late verb for the more common $\delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \omega$. So in Mt 23:23. Take a tenth off ( $\alpha \pi 0-$ ). Rue ( $\pi \eta \gamma \alpha v o v$ ). Botanical term in late writers from $\pi \eta \gamma v v \mu$, to make fast because of its thick leaves. Here Mt 23:23 has "anise."

Every herb ( $\pi \alpha v \lambda \alpha \chi \alpha v o v$ ). General term as in Mr 4:32. Matthew has "cummin."
Pass by ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Present middle indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, common verb, to go by or beside. Mt 23:23 has "ye have left undone" ( $\alpha \varphi \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Luke here has "love" ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \nu$ ), not in Matthew.

Ought ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon$ ). As in Matthew. Imperfect of a present obligation, not lived up to just like our "ought" (ow $\delta$, not paid). Парєıva, as in Matthew, the second aorist active infinitive of $\alpha \varphi ı \eta \mu$. to leave off. Common verb. Luke does not have the remark about straining out the gnat and swallowing the camel (Mt 23:34). It is plain that the terrible exposure of the scribes and Pharisees in Mt 23 in the temple was simply the culmination of previous conflicts such as this one.

Luke 11:43
The chief seats in the synagogues ( $\tau \eta v \pi \rho \omega \tau$ ок $\alpha \theta \varepsilon \delta \rho ı \alpha v \varepsilon v \tau \alpha ı \varsigma \sigma v v \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. Singular here, plural in Mt 23:6. This semi-circular bench faced the congregation. Mt 23:6 has also the chief place at feasts given by Luke also in that discourse ( $20: 46$ ) as well as in 14:7, a marked characteristic of the Pharisees.

Luke 11:44
The tombs which appear not ( $\tau \alpha \mu \nu \eta \nu \varepsilon ı \alpha \tau \alpha \delta \eta \lambda \alpha$ ). These hidden graves would give ceremonial defilement for seven days ( $\mathrm{Nu} 19: 16$ ). Hence they were usually whitewashed as a warning. So in Mt 23:27 the Pharisees are called "whited sepulchres." Men do not know
how rotten they are. The word $\alpha \delta \eta \lambda o \varsigma$ ( $\alpha$ privative and $\delta \eta \lambda o \varsigma$, apparent or plain) occurs in the N.T. only here and 1Co $14: 8$, though an old and common word.

Here men walking around ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau o u v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$ walk over the tombs without knowing it. These three woes cut to the quick and evidently made the Pharisees wince.

## Luke 11:45

Thou reproachest us also ( $\kappa \alpha \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma \nu \beta \rho \iota(\varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. Because the lawyers (scribes) were usually Pharisees. The verb $v \beta \rho \iota \zeta \omega$ is an old one and common for outrageous treatment, a positive insult (so Lu 18:32; Mt 22:6; Ac 14;5; 1Th 2:2 ). So Jesus proceeds to give the lawyers three woes as he had done to the Pharisees.

Luke 11:46
Grievous to be borne ( $\delta v \sigma \beta a \sigma \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \alpha$ ). A late word in LXX and Plutarch ( $\delta v \varsigma$ and $\beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \zeta \omega)$. Here alone in text of Westcott and Hort who reject it in Mt 23:4 where we have "heavy burdens" ( $\varphi$ о $\tau \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \rho \varepsilon \alpha)$ ). In Gal 6:2 we have $\beta$ ap $\eta$ with a distinction drawn. Here we have $\varphi$ ортıЦєтє (here only in the N.T. and Mt 11:28) for "lade," $\varphi$ ортıа as cognate accusative and then $\varphi$ ортıos (dative after ou $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \psi \alpha \cup \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$, touch not). It is a fierce indictment of scribes (lawyers) for their pettifogging interpretations of the written law in their oral teaching (later written down as Mishna and then as Gemarah), a terrible load which these lawyers did not pretend to carry themselves, not even "with one of their fingers" to "touch" ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \psi \alpha v \omega$, old verb but only here in the N.T.), touch with the view to remove. Mt 23:4 has $\kappa ı \nu \eta \sigma \alpha$, to move. A physician would understand the meaning of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi \alpha \nu \omega$ for feeling gently a sore spot or the pulse.

Luke 11:48
Consent ( $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \cup \delta$ oкعıtє). Double compound ( $\sigma \cup v, \varepsilon v, \delta о \kappa \varepsilon \omega$ ), to think well along with others, to give full approval. A late verb, several times in the N.T., in Ac 8:1 of Saul's consenting to and agreeing to Stephen's death. It is a somewhat subtle, but just, argument made here. Outwardly the lawyers build tombs for the prophets whom their fathers (forefathers) killed as if they disapproved what their fathers did. But in reality they neglect and oppose what the prophets teach just as their fathers did. So they are "witnesses" ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \varepsilon \varsigma)$ against themselves (Mt 23:31).

Luke 11:49
The wisdom of God ( $\eta$ борıa tov $\theta$ zov). In Mt 23:34 Jesus uses "I send" ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ $\alpha \pi \operatorname{\sigma } \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega)$ without this phrase "the wisdom of God." There is no book to which it can refer. Jesus is the wisdom of God as Paul shows (1Co 1:30), but it is hardly likely that he so describes himself here. Probably he means that God in his wisdom said, but even so "Jesus here speaks with confident knowledge of the Divine counsels" (Plummer). See Lu 10:22; 15:7,10 . Here the future tense occurs, "I will send" ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega$ ).

Some of them ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \cup \tau \omega v$ ). No "some" ( $\tau \imath v \alpha \varsigma)$ in the Greek, but understood. They will act as their fathers did. They will kill and persecute.

Luke 11:50
That ... may be required ( $\llcorner v \alpha \ldots \varepsilon \kappa \zeta \eta \tau \eta \theta \eta$ ). Divinely ordered sequence, first aorist passive subjunctive of $\varepsilon \kappa\lceil\eta \tau \varepsilon \omega$, a late and rare verb outside of LXX and N.T., requiring as a debt the blood of the prophets.

Which was shed (то $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \varepsilon \chi \cup \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\varepsilon \kappa \chi \varepsilon \omega$ and $\varepsilon \kappa \chi \cup v v \omega$ (an Aeolic form appearing in the margin of Westcott and Hort here, $\varepsilon \kappa \chi \cup v v o \mu \varepsilon v o v$, present passive participle). If the present passive is accepted, it means the blood which is perpetually shed from time to time.

From the foundation of the world ( $\alpha \pi$ к кат $\alpha \beta$ о $\eta \varsigma ~ к о \sigma \mu о v) . ~ S e e ~ a l s o ~ M t ~ 25: 34 ; ~ J o h ~$ 17:24; Eph 1:4 , etc. It is a bold metaphor for the purpose of God.

Luke 11:51
From the blood of Abel to the blood of Zachariah ( $\alpha \pi о ~ \alpha \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ A \beta \varepsilon \lambda ~ \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ а \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma, ~$ Zaxapıov). The blood of Abel is the first shed in the Old Testament (Ge 4:10), that of Zacharias the last in the O.T. canon which ended with Chronicles (2Ch 24:22). Chronologically the murder of Uriah by Jehoiakim was later (Jer 26:23 ), but this climax is from Genesis to II Chronicles (the last book in the canon). See on Mt 23:35 for discussion of Zachariah as "the son of Barachiah" rather than "the son of Jehoiada."

Between the altar and the sanctuary ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi \cup$ тov Өvбıaбтпрıо ка тоט оюкоv). Literally, between the altar and the house (Mt 23:35 has temple, vaou).

Luke 11:52
Ye took away the key of knowledge ( $\eta \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau \eta \nu \kappa \lambda \varepsilon เ \delta \alpha \sim \eta \varsigma \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). First aorist active indicative of aı $\rho \omega$, common verb. But this is a flat charge of obscurantism on the part of these scribes (lawyers), the teachers (rabbis) of the people. They themselves (avto) refused to go into the house of knowledge (beautiful figure) and learn. They then locked the door and hid the key to the house of knowledge and hindered ( $\varepsilon \kappa \omega \lambda \nu \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$, effective aorist active) those who were trying to enter ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma \varepsilon เ \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma$, present participle, conative action). It is the most pitiful picture imaginable of blind ecclesiastics trying to keep others as blind as they were, blind leaders of the blind, both falling into the pit.

Luke 11:53
From thence ( $\kappa$ ' $\alpha \kappa \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon v)$. Out of the Pharisee's house. What became of the breakfast we are not told, but the rage of both Pharisees and lawyers knew no bounds.

To press upon him ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon เ v)$. An old Greek verb to hold in, to be enraged at, to have it in for one. It is the same verb used of the relentless hatred of Herodias for John the Baptist (Mr 6:19).

To provoke him to speak ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau o \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \varepsilon \downarrow v)$. From $\alpha \pi o$ and $\sigma \tau o \mu \alpha$ (mouth). Plato uses it of repeating to a pupil for him to recite from memory, then to recite by heart (Plutarch). Here (alone in the N.T.) the verb means to ply with questions, to entice to answers, to catechize.

Of many things ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota o v \omega v)$ ) "Concerning more (comparative) things." They were stung to the quick by these woes which laid bare their hollow hypocrisy.

Luke 11:54
Laying wait for him ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \delta \rho \varepsilon v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau o v)$. An old verb from $\varepsilon v$ and $\varepsilon \delta \rho \alpha$, a seat, so to lie in ambush for one. Here only and Ac 23:21 in the N.T. Vivid picture of the anger of these rabbis who were treating Jesus as if he were a beast of prey.

To catch something out of his mouth ( $\theta \eta \rho \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha$ то $\varepsilon \kappa$ тоv $\sigma \tau о \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ \alpha \cup \tau о v)$. An old Greek verb, though here only in the N.T., from $\theta \eta \rho \alpha$ (cf. Ro 11:9), to ensnare, to catch in hunting, to hunt. These graphic words from the chase show the rage of the rabbis toward Jesus. Luke gives more details here than in 20:45-47; Mt 23:1-7 , but there is no reason at all why Jesus should not have had this conflict at the Pharisee's breakfast before that in the temple in the great Tuesday debate.

## Luke 12

Luke 12:1
In the meantime ( $\varepsilon v$ olc). It is a classic idiom to start a sentence or even a paragraph as here with a relative, "in which things or circumstances," without any expressed antecedent other than the incidents in 11:53f. In 12:3 Luke actually begins the sentence with two relatives $\alpha v \theta^{\prime} \omega v$ oo $\alpha$ (wherefore whatsoever).

Many thousands ( $\mu \nu \rho \iota \alpha \delta \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute with $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma v v \alpha \chi \theta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \omega v$ (first aorist passive participle feminine plural because of $\mu \nu \rho \iota \alpha \delta \omega v)$, a double compound late verb, $\varepsilon \pi เ \sigma v v a \gamma \omega$, to gather together unto. The word "myriads" is probably hyperbolical as in Ac 21:20, but in the sense of ten thousand, as in Ac 19:19, it means a very large crowd apparently drawn together by the violent attacks of the rabbis against Jesus.

Insomuch that they trode one upon another ( $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon เ v ~ a \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o u \varsigma)$. The imagination must complete the picture of this jam.

Unto his disciples first of all ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau о \cup \varsigma \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \circ v \pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma v$ ). This long discourse in Lu 12 is really a series of separate talks to various groups in the vast crowds around Jesus. This particular talk goes through verse 12 .

Beware of ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau о \iota \varsigma \alpha \pi 0$ ). Put your mind (vouv understood) for yourselves (dative) and avoid ( $\alpha \pi 0$ with the ablative).

The leaven of the Pharisees which is hypocrisy ( $\tau \eta \zeta \zeta \nu \mu \eta \varsigma \eta \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ v vохрıбє $\tau \omega \nu$ Фарıбaı $\omega v$ ). In Mr 8:15 Jesus had coupled the lesson of the Pharisees with that of Herod, in Mt 16:6 with that of the Sadducees also. He had long ago called the Pharisees hypocrites (Mt 6:2,5,16 ). The occasion was ripe here for this crisp saying. In Mt 13:33 leaven does not have an evil sense as here, which see. See Mt 23:13 for hypocrites. Hypocrisy was the leading Pharisaic vice (Bruce) and was a mark of sanctity to hide an evil heart.

Luke 12:2
Covered up ( $\sigma \cup \gamma \kappa \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \mu \mu \varepsilon v o v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). Periphrastic perfect passive indicative of $\sigma \cup \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \pi \tau \omega$, an old verb, but here only in the N.T., to cover up on all sides and so completely. Verses 2-9 here are parallel with Mt 10:26-33 spoken to the Twelve on their tour of Galilee, illustrating again how often Jesus repeated his sayings unless we prefer to say that he never did so and that the Gospels have hopelessly jumbled them as to time and place. See the passage in Matthew for discussion of details.

Luke 12:3
In the inner chambers ( $\varepsilon v \tau o \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon ı o \iota)$ ). Old form $\tau \alpha \mu ı \varepsilon ו \circ v$, a store chamber (Lu 12:24 ), secret room (Mt 6:6; Lu 12:3).

Luke 12:4
Unto you my friends ( $\nu \mu \nu \nu$ тoı $\varphi \iota \lambda$ oı $)$. As opposed to the Pharisees and lawyers in 11:43,46,53.

Be not afraid of ( $\mu \eta \varphi \circ \beta \eta \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon \alpha \pi \sigma$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive with $\mu \eta$, ingressive aorist, do not become afraid of, with $\alpha \pi$ and the ablative like the Hebrew min and the English "be afraid of," a translation Hebraism as in Mt 10:28 (Moulton, Prolegomena, p. 102).

Have no more that they can do ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \chi \circ \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma o \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \nu \tau \pi \sigma \neq \sigma \alpha$ ). Luke often uses the infinitive thus with $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, a classic idiom ( $7: 40,42 ; 12: 4,50 ; 14: 14 ;$ Ac $4: 14$, etc.).

Luke 12:5
Whom ye shall fear ( $\tau \iota v \alpha \varphi \circ \beta \eta \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive deliberative retained in the indirect question. Tiva is the accusative, the direct object of this transitive passive verb (note $\alpha \pi$ o in verse 4).

Fear him who ( $\varphi \circ \beta \eta \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon \tau o v)$. First aorist passive imperative, differing from the preceding form only in the accent and governing the accusative also.

After he hath killed ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ тo $\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ v \alpha$ ). Preposition $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ with the articular infinitive. Literally, "After the killing" (first aorist active infinitive of the common verb $\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ v \omega$, to kill.

Into hell ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta v \gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon v v a v)$ ). See on Mt 5:22. Gehenna is a transliteration of Ge-Hinnom, Valley of Hinnon where the children were thrown on to the red-hot arms of Molech. Josiah (2Ki 23:10) abolished these abominations and then it was a place for all kinds of refuse which burned ceaselessly and became a symbol of punishment in the other world.

This one fear ( $\tau$ ov $\tau o v ~ \varphi o \beta \eta \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). As above.
Luke 12:6
Is forgotten ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \varepsilon \pi i \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. Periphrastic perfect passive indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \alpha v \theta a v o \mu a$, common verb to forget. See Mt 10:29 for a different construction.

Luke 12:7
Numbered ( $\eta \rho 1 \theta \mu \eta \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative. Periphrastic form in Mt 10:30 which see for details about sparrows, etc.

Luke 12:8
Everyone who shall confess me ( $\pi \alpha \varsigma$ oऽ $\alpha v$ о $о$ о $о о \gamma \eta \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o}$ ). Just like Mt 10:32 except the use of $\alpha v$ here which adds nothing. The Hebraistic use of $\varepsilon v$ after ouо $\lambda о \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \omega$ both here and in Matthew is admitted by even Moulton (Prolegomena, p. 104).

The Son of man (o vioc tov $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ tov). Here Mt 10:32 has $\kappa^{\prime} \alpha \gamma \omega$ (I also) as the equivalent.

Luke 12:9
Shall be denied ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \rho v \eta \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). First future passive of the compound verb $\alpha \pi \alpha \rho v \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$. Here Mt 10:33 has apvŋбoبa simply. Instead of "in the presence of the angels of God" ( $\varepsilon \mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \tau \omega v$ a $\gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \omega \nu$ тov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ) Mt 10:33 has "before my Father who is in heaven."

Luke 12:10

But unto him that blasphemeth against the Holy Spirit ( $\tau \omega \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau o \alpha \gamma \iota v \pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha$ $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau)$. This unpardonable sin is given by Mr 3:28f.; Mt 12:31f. immediately after the charge that Jesus was in league with Beelzebub. Luke here separates it from the same charge made in Judea ( $11: 15-20$ ). As frequently said, there is no sound reason for saying that Jesus only spoke his memorable sayings once. Luke apparently finds a different environment here. Note the use of $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ here in the sense of "against."

Luke 12:11
Be not anxious ( $\mu \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \nu \eta \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active subjunctive with $\mu \eta$ in prohibition. Do not become anxious. See a similar command to the Twelve on their Galilean tour (Mt 10:19f. ) and in the great discourse on the Mount of Olives at the end (Mr 13:11; Lu 21:14f. ), given twice by Luke as we see.

How or what ye shall answer ( $\pi \omega \varsigma \eta \tau \alpha \pi \sigma \lambda o \gamma \eta \sigma \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Indirect question and retaining the deliberative subjunctive $\alpha \pi о \lambda о \gamma \eta \sigma \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ and also $\varepsilon ו \pi \eta \tau \varepsilon$ (say).

Luke 12:12
What ye ought to say ( $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon \iota \nu$ ). Literally, what things it is necessary ( $\delta \varepsilon$ ) to say. This is no excuse for neglect in pulpit preparation. It is simply a word for courage in a crisis to play the man for Christ and to trust the issue with God without fear.

Luke 12:13
Bid my brother ( $\varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon \tau \omega \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \omega \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ). This volunteer from the crowd draws attention to the multitude (verses 13-21). He does not ask for arbitration and there is no evidence that his brother was willing for that. He wants a decision by Jesus against his brother. The law (De 21:17) was two-thirds to the elder, one-third to the younger.

Luke 12:14
A judge or a divider ( $\kappa \rho \iota \tau \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ ). Jesus repudiates the position of judge or arbiter in this family fuss. The language reminds one of Ex 2:14. Jesus is rendering unto Caesar the things of Caesar (Lu 20:25) and shows that his kingdom is not of this world (Joh 18:36 ). The word for divider or arbiter ( $\mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma)$ is a late word from $\mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta \rho \mu \alpha$ (verse 13) and occurs here only in the N.T.

Luke 12:15
From all covetousness ( $\alpha \pi 0 \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \varsigma \pi \lambda \varepsilon о v \varepsilon \xi, \alpha \varsigma)$. Ablative case. From every kind of greedy desire for more ( $\pi \lambda \varepsilon o v$, more, $\varepsilon \xi ı a$, from $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, to have) an old word which we have robbed of its sinful aspects and refined to mean business thrift.

In the abundance of the things which he possesseth ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \nu \tau \iota \nu \kappa \tau \omega \nu$ $v \pi \alpha \rho \chi o v \tau \omega \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega)$. A rather awkward Lukan idiom: "In the abounding (articular infinitive) to one out of the things belonging (articular participle) to him."

Luke 12:16
A parable unto them ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta о \lambda \eta \nu \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha v \tau o v \varsigma)$. The multitude of verses 13,15 . A short and pungent parable suggested by the covetousness of the man of verse 13.

Brought forth plentifully ( $\varepsilon \cup \varphi \rho \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Late word from $\varepsilon \cup \varphi \rho \rho o \varsigma$ (bearing well), in medical writers and Josephus, here only in the N.T.

Luke 12:17
Reasoned within himself ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \lambda о ү \check{\iota} \tau \sigma \varepsilon \nu \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). Imperfect middle, picturing his continued cogitations over his perplexity.

Where to bestow ( $\pi \circ \vee \sigma \cup v \alpha \xi \omega$ ). Future indicative deliberative, where I shall gather together.

My fruits (тоия картоис $\mu \mathbf{\mu}$ ). So it is with the rich fool: my fruits, my barns, my corn, my goods, just like Nabal whose very name means fool (1Sa $25: 11$ ), whether a direct reference to him or not.

Luke 12:18
I will pull down ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$ ). Future active of $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \omega$, an old verb, the usual future being каӨaı $\eta \sigma \omega$. This second form from the second aorist $\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \iota \lambda$ ov (from obsolete $\varepsilon \lambda \omega$ ) like $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$ in Re 22:19.

My barns ( $\mu$ ои тац $\alpha \pi о \theta \eta \kappa \alpha \varsigma$ ). From $\alpha \pi о \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, to lay by, to treasure. So a granary or storehouse, an old word, six times in the N.T. (Mt 3:12; 6:26; 13:30; Lu 3:17; 12:18,24 ).

All my corn ( $\pi \alpha \vee \tau \alpha$ tov $\sigma \iota \tau o v$ ). Better grain (wheat, barley), not maize or Indian corn.
My goods ( $\tau \alpha \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \alpha \mu o v$ ). Like the English, my good things. So the English speak of goods (freight) train.

## Luke 12:19

Laid up for many years ( $\kappa \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \tau \eta \pi o \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ). Not in D and some other Latin MSS. The man's apostrophe to his "soul" ( $\psi \cup \chi \eta$ ) is thoroughly Epicurean, for his soul feeds on his goods. The asyndeton here (take thine ease, eat, drink, be merry) shows his eagerness. Note difference in tenses (avaravov, keep on resting, $\varphi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon$, eat at once, $\pi \iota \varepsilon$, drink thy fill, عuppaivov, keep on being merry), first and last presents, the other two aorists.

Luke 12:20
Thou foolish one ( $\alpha \varphi \rho \omega v$ ). Fool, for lack of sense ( $\alpha$ privative and $\varphi \rho \eta \nu$, sense) as in 11:40; 2Co 11:19. Old word, used by Socrates in Xenophon. Nominative form as vocative.

Is thy soul required of thee ( $\tau \eta \vee \psi v \chi \eta v \sigma o v$ aıtovoiv a $\pi \sigma \sigma o v$ ). Plural active present, not passive: "They are demanding thy soul from thee." The impersonal plural (aitousin) is common enough (Lu 6:38; 12:11; 16:9; 23:31). The rabbis used "they" to avoid saying "God."

Luke 12:21
Not rich toward God ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \nu \pi \lambda o u \tau \omega v$ ). The only wealth that matters and that lasts. Cf. 16:9; Mt 6:19f. Some MSS. do not have this verse. Westcott and Hort bracket it.

Luke 12:22
Unto his disciples ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ тоис $\mu \alpha \forall \eta \tau \alpha \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau о v$ ). So Jesus turns from the crowd to the disciples (verses 22-40, when Peter interrupts the discourse). From here to the end of the chapter Luke gives material that appears in Matthew, but not in one connection as here. In

Matthew part of it is in the charge to the Twelve on their tour in Galilee, part in the eschatological discourse on the Mount of Olives. None of it is in Mark. Hence Q or the Logia seems to be the source of it. The question recurs again whether Jesus repeated on other occasions what is given here or whether Luke has here put together separate discourses as Matthew is held by many to have done in the Sermon on the Mount. We have no way of deciding these points. We can only say again that Jesus would naturally repeat his favourite sayings like other popular preachers and teachers. So Lu 12:22-31 corresponds to Mt 6:25-33 , which see for detailed discussion. The parable of the rich fool was spoken to the crowd, but this exhortation to freedom from care (22-31) is to the disciples. So the language in Lu 12:22 is precisely that in Mt 6:25. See there for $\mu \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \nu \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ (stop being anxious) and the deliberative subjunctive retained in the indirect question ( $\varphi \alpha \gamma \eta \tau \varepsilon, \varepsilon v \delta v \sigma \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon)$. So verse 23 here is the same in Mt 6:25 except that there it is a question with oux expecting the affirmative answer, whereas here it is given as a reason ( $\gamma \alpha \rho$, for) for the preceding command.

Luke 12:24
The ravens (тоия коракаৎ). Nowhere else in the N.T. The name includes the whole crow group of birds (rooks and jackdaws). Like the vultures they are scavengers. Mt 6:26 has simply "the birds" ( $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon เ v \alpha)$.

Storechamber (tameion). Not in Mt 6:26. Means secret chamber in Lu 12:3.
Of how much more ( $\pi$ o $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$ ). Mt 6:26 has question, ov $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$.
Luke 12:25
A cubit ( $\pi \eta \chi \cup v$ ). Mt 6:27 has $\pi \eta \chi \cup v \varepsilon v \alpha$ (one cubit, though $\varepsilon v \alpha$ is sometimes merely the indefinite article.

Stature ( $\eta \lambda_{ı \kappa ı \alpha v) ~ a s ~ i n ~ M a t t h e w, ~ w h i c h ~ s e e . ~}^{\text {sen }}$
Luke 12:26
Not able to do even that which is least (ou $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \chi$ Iбтov $\delta v v a \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Negative ou $\delta \varepsilon$ in the condition of the first class. Elative superlative, very small. This verse not in Matthew and omitted in D. Verse 27 as in Mt 6:28, save that the verbs for toil and spin are plural in Matthew and singular here (neuter plural subject, $\tau \alpha \kappa \rho \stackrel{v}{ }$ ).

Luke 12:28
 (Mt 6:30). See Matthew for discussion of details. Matthew has "the grass of the field" instead of "the grass in the field" as here.

Luke 12:29
Seek not ye ( $\nu \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \mu \eta \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ ). Note emphatic position of "ye" ( $\nu \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Stop seeking ( $\mu \eta$ and present imperative active). Mt 6:31 has: "Do not become anxious" ( $\mu \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \nu \eta \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ), $\mu \eta$ and ingressive subjunctive occur as direct questions (What are we to eat? What are we to drink? What are we to put on?) whereas here they are in the indirect form as in verse 22 save that the problem of clothing is not here mentioned:

Neither be ye of doubtful mind ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \eta \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega \rho \iota \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon)$. M $\eta$ and present passive imperative (stop being anxious) of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega \rho \zeta \zeta \omega$. An old verb from $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega \rho o \varsigma$ in midair, high (our meteor), to lift up on high, then to lift oneself up with hopes (false sometimes), to be buoyed up, to be tossed like a ship at sea, to be anxious, to be in doubt as in late writers (Polybius, Josephus). This last meaning is probably true here. In the LXX and Philo, but here only in the N.T.

Luke 12:31
See Mt 6:33 for this verse. Luke does not have "first" nor "his righteousness" nor "all."
Luke 12:32
Little flock ( $\tau \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \kappa \rho о \nu \pi о \mu \nu \imath \circ v$ ). Vocative with the article as used in Hebrew and often in the Koine and so in the N.T. See both $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho$ and o $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ in the vocative in Lu 10:21. See Robertson, Grammar, pp. 465f. Поццvov (flock) is a contraction from $\pi о \not \mu \varepsilon v o v$ from $\pi о \mu \eta \nu$ (shepherd) instead of the usual rou $\mu \nu \eta$ (flock). So it is not a diminutive and $\mu \kappa \kappa \rho o v$ is not superfluous, though it is pathetic.

For it is your Father's good pleasure (o $\varepsilon v \delta o \kappa \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ o $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho v \mu \omega v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \cup \delta$ oк $\varepsilon \omega$. Timeless aorist as in Lu 3:22. This verse has no parallel in Matthew.

Luke 12:33
Sell that ye have ( $\Pi \omega \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha v \pi \alpha \rho \chi o v \tau \alpha \nu \mu \omega v)$. Not in Matthew. Did Jesus mean this literally and always? Luke has been charged with Ebionism, but Jesus does not condemn property as inherently sinful. "The attempt to keep the letter of the rule here given (Ac 2:44,45 ) had disastrous effects on the church of Jerusalem, which speedily became a church of paupers, constantly in need of alms (Ro 15:25,26; 1Co 16:3; 2Co 8:4; 9:1)" (Plummer).

Purses which wax not old ( $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha v \tau \iota \alpha \mu \eta \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \ldots v \mu \varepsilon v \alpha)$. So already $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha v \tau \circ v$ in Lu 10:4 . Late verb $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \circ \omega$ from $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \circ \varsigma$, old, to make old, declare old as in Heb 8:13, is passive to become old as here and Heb 1:11.

That faileth not ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon ı \pi \tau o v$ ). Verbal from a privative and $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon ı \pi \omega$, to fail. Late word in Diodorus and Plutarch. Only here in the N.T. or LXX, but in papyri. "I prefer to believe that even Luke sees in the words not a mechanical rule, but a law for the spirit" (Bruce).

Draweth near ( $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma\llcorner\zeta \varepsilon)$. Instead of Mt 6:19 "dig through and steal."
Destroyeth ( $\delta(\alpha \varphi \theta \varepsilon ı \rho \varepsilon$ ). Instead of "doth consume" in Mt 6:19.
Luke 12:34
Will be ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha)$. Last word in the sentence in Luke. Otherwise like Mt 6:21. See 1Co 7:32-34 for similar principle.

Luke 12:35
Be girded about ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \sigma \alpha v \pi \varepsilon \rho \mid \varepsilon \zeta \omega \sigma \mu \varepsilon v \alpha)$. Periphrastic perfect passive imperative third plural of the verb $\pi \varepsilon \rho!\zeta \omega v \nu \cup \mu$ or $\pi \varepsilon \rho!\zeta \omega v v \nu \omega$ (later form), an old verb, to gird around, to fasten the garments with a girdle. The long garments of the orientals made speed difficult. It was important to use the girdle before starting. Cf. 17:8; Ac 12:8 .

Burning ( $\kappa \alpha \iota \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Periphrastic present middle imperative, already burning and continuously burning. The same point of the Parable of the Ten Virgins (Mt 25:1-13) is found here in condensed form. This verse introduces the parable of the waiting servants (Lu 12:35-40).

Luke 12:36
When he shall return from the marriage feast ( $\pi о \tau \varepsilon \alpha v \alpha \lambda \nu \sigma \eta \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v \gamma \alpha \mu \omega v$ ). The interrogative conjunction $\pi о \tau \varepsilon$ and the deliberative aorist subjunctive retained in the indirect question. The verb $\alpha v a \lambda \nu \omega$, very common Greek verb, but only twice in the N.T. (here and Php 1:23 ). The figure is breaking up a camp or loosening the mooring of a ship, to depart. Perhaps here the figure is from the standpoint of the wedding feast (plural as used of a single wedding feast in Lu 14:8), departing from there. See on Mt 22:2 .

When he cometh and knocketh ( $\varepsilon \lambda \theta$ оvтоц ка кроибаvтоц). Genitive absolute of the aorist active participle without $\alpha \cup \tau \circ v$ and in spite of avto (dative) being used after $\alpha v o \iota \xi \omega \sigma \iota$ (first aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha v o t \gamma \omega$ ).

Luke 12:37
He shall gird himself ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta \omega \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Direct future middle. Jesus did this (Joh 13:4), not out of gratitude, but to give the apostles an object lesson in humility. See the usual course in Lu 17:7-10 with also the direct middle (verse 8) of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \omega v \vee v \omega$.

Luke 12:38
And if ( $\kappa^{\prime} \alpha v=\kappa \alpha+\varepsilon \alpha v$ ). Repeated. $E \lambda \theta \eta$ and $\varepsilon v \rho \eta$, both second aorist subjunctive with $\varepsilon \alpha v$, condition of the third class, undetermined, but with prospect of being determined.

Blessed ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho ı$ ). Beatitude here as in verse 37.
Luke 12:39
The thief (o $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma)$. The change here almost makes a new parable to illustrate the other, the parable of the housebreaking (verses 39,40 ) to illustrate the parable of the waiting servants ( $35-38$ ). This same language appears in Mt 24:43f. "The Master returning from a wedding is replaced by a thief whose study it is to come to the house he means to plunder at an unexpected time" (Bruce). The parallel in Mt 24:43-51 with Lu 12:39-46 does not have the interruption by Peter.

He would have watched ( $\varepsilon \gamma \rho \eta \gamma o \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \alpha v$ ). Apodosis of second-class condition, determined as unfulfilled, made plain by use of $\alpha v$ with aorist indicative which is not repeated with ovk $\alpha \varphi \eta \kappa \varepsilon \nu$ (first aorist active indicative of $\alpha \varphi ı \eta \mu, \kappa$ aorist), though it is sometimes repeated (Mt 24:43).

Luke 12:40
Be ye ( $\gamma \iota v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Present middle imperative, keep on becoming.
Cometh ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Futuristic present indicative. See Mt 24:43-51 for details in the comparison with Luke.

Luke 12:41

Peter said (Еıлєv $\delta \varepsilon$ о Пєтроৎ). This whole paragraph from verse 22-40 had been addressed directly to the disciples. Hence it is not surprising to find Peter putting in a question. This incident confirms also the impression that Luke is giving actual historical data in the environment of these discourses. He is certain that the Twelve are meant, but he desires to know if others are included, for he had spoken to the multitude in verses 13-21. Recall Mr 13:37. This interruption is somewhat like that on the Mount of Transfiguration (Lu 9:33) and is characteristic of Peter. Was it the magnificent promise in verse 37 that stirred Peter's impulsiveness? It is certainly more than a literary device of Luke. Peter's question draws out a parabolic reply by Jesus ( $42-48$ ).

Luke 12:42
Who then ( $\tau \iota \varsigma \rho \alpha$ ). Jesus introduces this parable of the wise steward ( $42-48$ ) by a rhetorical question that answers itself. Peter is this wise steward, each of the Twelve is, anyone is who acts thus.
 the wise one. A steward is house manager (oוкоб, $\nu \varepsilon \mu \omega$, to manage). Each man is a steward in his own responsibilities.

Household ( $\theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \iota \propto \varsigma)$. Literally, service from $\theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon v \omega$. medical service as in Lu 9:11 , by metonymy household (a body of those domestics who serve).

Their portion of food ( $\tau 0 ~ \sigma \iota \tau о \mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \iota o v$ ). Late word from $\sigma \iota \tau о \mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \varepsilon \omega$ ( $\mathrm{Ge} 47: 12$ ) for the Attic $\operatorname{cov}$ oıtov $\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to measure the food, the rations. Here only in the N.T. or anywhere else till Deissmann (Bible Studies, p. 158) found it in an Egyptian papyrus and then an inscription in Lycia (Light from the Ancient East, p. 104).

Luke 12:44
Over all ( $\varepsilon \pi \pi \alpha \sigma \iota v$ ). See Mt 24-47 for $\varepsilon \pi$ with locative in this sense. Usually with genitive as in verse 42 and sometimes with accusative as in verse 14.

Luke 12:45
Shall say ( $\varepsilon ו \pi \eta)$. Second aorist subjunctive, with $\varepsilon \alpha v$, condition of the third class, undetermined, but with prospect of being determined.

Delayeth ( $\chi \rho \circ v ı(\varepsilon)$ ). From $\chi \rho \circ$ ооৎ, time, spends time, lingers.
Shall begin ( $\alpha \rho \xi \eta \tau \alpha$ ). First aorist middle subjunctive with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and the same condition as $\varepsilon ו \pi \eta$, above.

The menservants ( tovৎ $\pi \alpha \| \delta \alpha \varsigma$ )
and the maidservants ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varsigma \pi \alpha ı \delta ı \sigma \kappa \alpha \varsigma) . ~ П \alpha ı \delta ı \sigma к \eta ~ i s ~ a ~ d i m i n u t i v e ~ o f ~ \pi \alpha ı \varsigma ~ f o r ~ a ~ y o u n g ~$ female slave and occurs in the papyri, orginally just a damsel. Here taıs can mean slave also though strictly just a boy.

Luke 12:46

Shall cut him asunder ( $\delta \chi \chi о \tau о \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ ). An old and somewhat rare word from $\delta \chi \chi о \tau о \mu о \varsigma$ and that from $\delta ı \chi \propto$ and $\tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \omega$, to cut, to cut in two. Used literally here. In the N.T. only here and Mt 24:51 .

With the unfaithful ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \omega v \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \omega v$ ). Not here "the unbelieving" though that is a common meaning of $\alpha \pi \neg \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma$ ( $\alpha$ privative and $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma$, from $\pi \varepsilon ө \theta \omega$ ), but the unreliable, the untrustworthy. Here Mt 24:51 has "with the hypocrites," the same point. The parallel with Mt 24:43-51 ends here. Mt 24:51 adds the saying about the wailing and the gnashing of teeth. Clearly there Luke places the parable of the wise steward in this context while Matthew has it in the great eschatological discourse. Once again we must either think that Jesus repeated the parable or that one of the writers has misplaced it. Luke alone preserves what he gives in verses 47,48 .

Luke 12:47
Which knew (o $\gamma$ vouc). Articular participle (second aorist active, punctiliar and timeless). The one who knows. So as to $\mu \eta$ єтонабац $\eta$ поı $\eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ (does not make ready or do).

Shall be beaten with many stripes ( $\delta \alpha \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \varsigma)$. Second future passive of $\delta \varepsilon \rho \omega$, to skin, to beat, to flay (see on Mt 21:35; Mr 12:3,5 ). The passive voice retains here the accusative $\pi \mathbf{\pi} \lambda \lambda \alpha \varsigma$ (supply $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \alpha \varsigma$, present in Lu 10:30). The same explanation applies to o $\lambda \iota$ رүas in verse 48.

Luke 12:48
To whomsoever much is given ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \delta \varepsilon \omega \varepsilon \delta o \theta \eta \pi o \lambda v$ ). Here is inverse attraction from o to $\pi \alpha v \tau$ (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 767f.). Note $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$ avtov (from him) without any regard to $\pi \alpha \nu \tau$.

They commit ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon v \tau 0$ ). Second aorist middle indicative, timeless or gnomic aorist. Note the impersonal plural after the passive voice just before.

Luke 12:49
I came to cast fire ( $\Pi \cup \rho \eta \lambda \theta o v \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı v)$. Suddenly Jesus lets the volcano in his own heart burst forth. The fire was already burning. "Christ came to set the world on fire, and the conflagration had already begun" (Plummer). The very passion in Christ's heart would set his friends on fire and his foes in opposition as we have just seen (Lu 11:53f. ). It is like the saying of Jesus that he came to bring not peace, but a sword, to bring cleavage among men (Mt 10:34-36 ).

And what will I, if it is already kindled? ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega \varepsilon \eta \delta \eta \alpha \vee \eta \varphi \theta \eta$;). It is not clear what this passage means. Probably $\tau$ is be taken in the sense of "how" ( $\pi \omega \varsigma)$. How I wish. Then $\varepsilon$ can be taken as equal to o $\tau$. How I wish that it were already kindled. A $v \eta \varphi \theta \eta$ is first aorist passive of $\alpha v \alpha \pi \tau \omega$, to set fire to, to kindle, to make blaze. Probably Luke means the conflagration to come by his death on the Cross for he changes the figure and refers to that more plainly.

Luke 12:50

I have a baptism ( $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \chi \omega)$. Once again Jesus will call his baptism the baptism of blood and will challenge James and John to it (Mr 10:32f.; Mt 20:22f. ). So here. "Having used the metaphor of fire, Christ now uses the metaphor of water. The one sets forth the result of his coming as it affects the world, the other as it affects himself. The world is lit up with flames and Christ is bathed in blood" (Plummer).

And how I am straitened ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \omega \varsigma ~ \sigma \cup v \varepsilon \chi о \mu \alpha$ ). See this same vivid verb $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ in Lu 8:37; Ac 18:5; Php 1:23 where Paul uses it of his desire for death just as Jesus does here. The urge of the Cross is upon Jesus at the moment of these words. We catch a glimpse of the tremendous passion in his soul that drove him on.

Till it be accomplished ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ o o $\sigma \tau \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ with $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ otov (until which time), the common construction for the future with this conjunction.

Luke 12:51
But rather division ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \eta \delta \delta \alpha \mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \mu \circ v$ ). Peace at any price is not the purpose of Christ. It is a pity for family jars to come, but loyalty to Christ counts more than all else. These ringing words ( Lu 12:51-53) occur in Mt 10:34-36 in the address to the Twelve for the Galilean tour. See discussion of details there. These family feuds are inevitable where only part cleave to Christ. In Matthew we have кata with the genitive whereas in Luke it is $\varepsilon \pi$ with the dative (and accusative once).

Luke 12:54
To the multitudes also (ка тоı oх $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\circ} \varsigma$ ). After the strong and stirring words just before with flash and force Jesus turns finally in this series of discourses to the multitudes again as in verse 15 . There are similar sayings to these verses $54-59$ in Mt 16:1f; $5: 25 \mathrm{f}$. There is a good deal of difference in phraseology whether that is due to difference of source or different use of the same source (Q or Logia) we do not know. Not all the old MSS. give Mt 16:2,3 . In Matthew the Pharisees and Sadducees were asking for a sign from heaven as they often did. These signs of the weather, "a shower" (о $\beta$ роос, Lu 12:54) due to clouds in the west, "a hot wave" ( $\kappa \alpha v \sigma \omega v$, verse 55) due to a south wind (votov) blowing, "fair weather" ( $\varepsilon v \delta \iota \alpha$, Mt 16:2 ) when the sky is red, are appealed to today. They have a more or less general application due to atmospheric and climatic conditions.

Luke 12:56
 chemists. No wonder that Jesus here calls them "hypocrites" because of their blindness when looking at and hearing him. So it is today with those who are willfully blind to the steps of God among men. This ignorance of the signs of the times is colossal.

Luke 12:57

Even of yourselves ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \varphi^{\prime} \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Without the presence and teaching of Jesus they had light enough to tell what is right ( $\tau 0$ סוкaıov) and so without excuse as Paul argued in Ro 1-3.

Luke 12:58
Give diligence to be quit of him ( $\delta o \varsigma \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha v \alpha \pi \eta \lambda \lambda \alpha \chi \theta \alpha \alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Second aorist active imperative $\delta o \varsigma$ from $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$. $А \pi \eta \lambda \lambda \alpha \chi \theta \alpha$, perfect passive infinitive of $\alpha \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ an old verb common, but only twice in the N.T. (here and Ac 19:12). Used here in a legal sense and the tense emphasizes a state of completion, to be rid of him for good.

Hale thee (катабטノך). Drag down forcibly, old verb, only here in the N.T.
To the officer ( $\tau \omega \pi \rho \alpha \kappa \tau о \rho$ ). The doer, the proctor, the exactor of fines, the executor of punishment. Old word, only here in the N.T.

Luke 12:59
Till thou have paid ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha \pi o \delta \omega \iota \varsigma)$. Second aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \pi o \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, to pay back in full.

The last mite ( $\tau 0 \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau o v \lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau o v$ ). From $\lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$, to peel off the bark. Very small brass coin, one-eighth of an ounce. In the N.T. only here and Lu 21:2; Mr 12:42 (the poor widow's mite) which see.

## Luke 13

Luke 13:1
At that very season ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \cup \tau \omega \tau \omega \kappa \alpha \rho \omega$ ). Luke's frequent idiom, "at the season itself." Apparently in close connexion with the preceding discourses. Probably "were present" ( $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \sigma \alpha v$, imperfect of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu$ ) means "came," "stepped to his side," as often (Mt 26:50; Ac 12:20; Joh 11:28). These people had a piece of news for Jesus.

Whose blood Pilate had mingled with their sacrifices ( $\omega \nu$ то $\alpha \mu \alpha$ Пعı入атоৎ $\varepsilon \mu \xi \varepsilon \nu$ $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \omega v \theta v \sigma \omega \omega v \alpha v \tau \omega v)$. The verb $\varepsilon \mu \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon v$ is first aorist active (not past perfect) of $\mu \gamma \gamma v \nu \mu$, a common verb. The incident is recorded nowhere else, but is in entire harmony with Pilate's record for outrages. These Galileans at a feast in Jerusalem may have been involved in some insurrection against the Roman government, the leaders of whom Pilate had slain right in the temple courts where the sacrifices were going on. Jesus comments on the incident, but not as the reporters had expected. Instead of denunciation of Pilate he turned it into a parable for their own conduct in the uncertainty of life.

Luke 13:2
Sinners above all ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ о $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Пара means "beside," placed beside all the Galileans, and so beyond or above (with the accusative).

Have suffered ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \sigma v \theta \alpha \sigma เ v$ ). Second perfect active indicative third plural from $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \omega$, common verb, to experience, suffer. The tense notes that it is "an irrevocable fact" (Bruce).

Luke 13:3
Except ye repent ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present active subjunctive of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon \omega$, to change mind and conduct, linear action, keep on changing. Condition of third class, undetermined, but with prospect of determination.

Ye shall perish ( $\alpha \pi о \lambda \varepsilon ו \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Future middle indicative of $\alpha \pi о \lambda \lambda v \mu$ and intransitive. Common verb.

Luke 13:4
The tower in Siloam (o $\pi \nu \rho \gamma \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \Sigma i \lambda \omega \alpha \mu$ ). Few sites have been more clearly located than this. Jesus mentions this accident (only in Luke) of his own accord to illustrate still further the responsibility of his hearers. Jesus makes use of public events in both these incidents to teach spiritual lessons. He gives the "moral" to the massacre of the Galilean pilgrims and the "moral" of the catastrophe at Siloam.

Offenders ( $o \varphi \varepsilon \backslash \lambda \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Literally,
debtors, not sinners as in verse 2 and as the Authorized Version renders here. See 7:41; 11:4; Mt 6:12; 18:24-34.

Luke 13:5
Except ye repent ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \eta \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active subjunctive, immediate repentance in contrast to continued repentance, $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \eta \tau \varepsilon$ in verse 3 , though Westcott and

Hort put $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \eta \tau \varepsilon$ in the margin here. The interpretation of accidents is a difficult matter, but the moral pointed out by Jesus is obvious.

Luke 13:6
Planted ( $\pi \varepsilon \varphi \cup \tau \varepsilon \nu \mu \varepsilon v \eta \nu)$. Perfect passive participle of $\varphi \cup \tau \varepsilon v \omega$, to plant, an old verb, from $\varphi \cup \tau o v$, a plant, and that from $\varphi \nu \omega$, to grow. But this participle with $\varepsilon \not \chi \varepsilon v$ (imperfect active of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ ) does not make a periphrastic past perfect like our English "had planted." It means rather, he had a fig tree, one already planted in his vineyard.

Luke 13:7
The vinedresser ( $\tau \circ v \alpha \mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda o v \rho \gamma \circ v$ ). Old word, but here only in the N.T., from $\alpha \mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda о$, vine, and $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ$, work.

These three years I come ( $\tau \rho \prime \alpha \varepsilon \tau \eta \alpha \varphi^{\prime}$ ov $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ ). Literally, "three years since (from which time) I come." These three years, of course, have nothing to do with the three years of Christ's public ministry. The three years are counted from the time when the fig tree would normally be expected to bear, not from the time of planting. The Jewish nation is meant by this parable of the barren fig tree. In the withering of the barren fig tree later at Jerusalem we see parable changed to object lesson or fact (Mr 11:12-14; Mt 21:18f. ).

Cut it down (єккочоv). "Cut it out," the Greek has it, out of the vineyard, perfective use of $\varepsilon \kappa$ with the effective aorist active imperative of кол $\tau \omega$, where we prefer "down."

Why? (ıva $\tau$ ). Ellipsis here of $\gamma \varepsilon v \eta \tau \alpha$ of which $\tau$ is subject (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 739,916).

Also ( $\kappa \alpha$ ). Besides bearing no fruit.
Doth cumber the ground ( $\tau \eta \nu \gamma \eta \nu$ ката $\rho \gamma \varepsilon$ ). Makes the ground completely idle, of no use (ката, $\alpha \rho \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, from $\alpha \rho \gamma \circ \varsigma$, a privative and $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ$, work). Late verb, here only in the N.T. except in Paul's Epistles.

Luke 13:8
Till I shall dig ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ otov $\sigma \kappa \alpha \psi \omega$ ). First aorist active subjunctive like $\beta \alpha \lambda \omega$ (second aorist active subjunctive of $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ ), both common verbs.

Dung it ( $\beta \alpha \lambda \omega$ кол $\rho \iota$ ). Cast dung around it, manure it. Ко $\rho \rho \iota \alpha$, late word, here alone in the N.T.

Luke 13:9
And if it bear fruit thenceforth ( $\kappa$ ' $\alpha v \mu \varepsilon v \pi о п \sigma \eta ~ к \alpha \rho \pi о v ~ \varepsilon ء \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ ~ \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda о v) . ~ A p o s i o p e s i s, ~$ sudden breaking off for effect (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1203). See it also in Mr 11:32; Ac 23:9. Trench (Parables) tells a story like this of intercession for the fig tree for one year more which is widely current among the Arabs today who say that it will certainly bear fruit this time.

Luke 13:10
He was teaching ( $\eta v \delta_{\iota} \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega v$ ). Periphrastic imperfect active.
Luke 13:11

A spirit of infirmity ( $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon เ \alpha \varsigma)$. A spirit that caused the weakness ( $\alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon ı \alpha$, lack of strength) like a spirit of bondage (Ro 8:15), genitive case.

She was bowed together ( $\eta \nu \sigma \cup v \kappa v \pi \tau \sigma v \sigma \alpha)$. Periphrastic imperfect active of $\sigma v \nu \kappa v \pi \tau \omega$, old verb, here only in the N.T., to bend together, medical word for curvature of the spine.

And could in no wise lift herself up ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \eta \delta \nu v \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \eta ~ \alpha v \alpha \kappa \nu \psi \alpha \varepsilon є \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varsigma) . ~$ Negative form of the previous statement. Avaкич $\alpha$, first aorist active infinitive of $\alpha v \alpha к и \pi \tau \omega$ ( $\alpha v \alpha, \kappa v \pi \tau \omega$, same verb above compounded with $\sigma v v$ ). Unable to bend herself up or back at all ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ \pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varsigma, ~ w h o l l y ~ a s ~ i n ~ H e b ~ 7: 25 ~ o n l y ~ o t h e r ~ p a s s a g e ~ i n ~ t h e ~ N . T . ~ w h e r e ~ i t ~ o c c u r s) . ~$ The poor old woman had to come in all bent over.

Luke 13:12
He called her ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \varphi \omega v \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). To come to him ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma)$ ).
Thou art loosed ( $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \nu \sigma \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \nu \omega$, common verb, loosed to stay free. Only N.T. example of use about disease.

Luke 13:13
He laid his hands upon her ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \theta \eta \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \eta \tau \alpha \varsigma \chi \varepsilon \mid \rho a \varsigma)$. First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$. As the Great Physician with gentle kindness.

She was made straight $(\alpha v \omega \rho \theta \omega \theta \eta)$. First aorist (effective) passive indicative of $\alpha v o \rho \theta o \omega$, old verb, but only three times in the N.T. (Lu 13:13; Heb 12:12; Ac 15:16), to make straight again. Here it has the literal sense of making straight the old woman's crooked back.

She glorified God ( $\varepsilon \delta \circ \xi \alpha \zeta \varepsilon v \tau o v \theta \varepsilon o v)$. Imperfect active. Began it (inchoative) and kept it up.

Luke 13:14
Answered ( $\alpha \pi о к \rho ı \theta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. First aorist passive participle of $\alpha \pi о к \rho ı v o \mu \alpha$. No one had spoken to him, but he felt his importance as the ruler of the synagogue and was indignant ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha v \alpha \kappa \tau \omega v$, from $\alpha \gamma \alpha v$ and $\alpha \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to feel much pain). His words have a ludicrous sound as if all the people had to do to get their crooked backs straightened out was to come round to his synagogue during the week. He forgot that this poor old woman had been coming for eighteen years with no result. He was angry with Jesus, but he spoke to the multitude ( $\tau \omega$ ox $\lambda \omega$ ).

Ought ( $\delta \varepsilon$ ). Really, must, necessary, a direct hit at Jesus who had "worked" on the sabbath in healing this old woman.

And not ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \eta$ ). Instead of $\kappa \alpha$ ov, because in the imperative clause.
Luke 13:15
The Lord answered him ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho 1 \theta \eta \delta \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ o Kvpıoc). Note use of "the Lord" of Jesus again in Luke's narrative. Jesus answered the ruler of the synagogue who had spoken to the crowd, but about Jesus. It was a crushing and overwhelming reply.

Hypocrites (vлокрıка). This pretentious faultfinder and all who agree with him.

Each of you ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma \nu \mu \omega v)$. An argumentum ad hominen. These very critics of Jesus cared too much for an ox or an ass to leave it all the sabbath without water.

Stall ( $\varphi a \tau \vee \eta \varsigma)$. Old word, in the N.T. only here and Lu 2:7,12,16 the manger where the infant Jesus was placed.

To watering ( $\pi 0 \tau \iota \zeta \varepsilon$ ). Old verb, causative, to give to drink.
Luke 13:16
Daughter of Abraham ( $\theta v \gamma \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha A \beta \rho \alpha \alpha \mu$ ). Triple argument, human being and not an ox or ass, woman, daughter of Abraham (Jewess), besides being old and ill.

Ought not (ovk $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active. Of necessity. Jesus simply had to heal her even if on the sabbath.

Whom S区tan bound ( $\eta v \varepsilon \delta \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ o $\Sigma a \tau \alpha v a \varsigma)$. Definite statement that her disease was due to Satan.

Luke 13:17
Were put to shame ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \iota \chi \nu \nu o v \tau \tau)$. Imperfect passive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \prime \sigma \chi \nu \nu \omega$, old verb, to make ashamed, make one feel ashamed. Passive here, to blush with shame at their predicament.

Rejoiced ( $\varepsilon \chi \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active. Sharp contrast in the emotions of the two groups. Were done ( $\gamma \iota v \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \iota)$ ) Present middle participle, were continually being done.
Luke 13:18
He said therefore ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v o u v$ ). It is not clear to what to refer "therefore," whether to the case of the woman in verse 11 , the enthusiasm of the crowd in verse 17 , or to something not recorded by Luke.

Luke 13:19
A grain of mustard seed ( $\kappa о \kappa \kappa \omega \sigma ı \sim \pi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Either the sinapis nigra or the salvadora persica, both of which have small seeds and grow to twelve feet at times. The Jews had a proverb: "Small as a mustard seed." Given by Mr 4:30-32; Mt 13:31f. in the first great group of parables, but just the sort to be repeated.

Cast into his own garden ( $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \kappa \eta \pi \sigma v \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v)$. Different from "earth" (Mark) or "field" (Matthew.)" Кплоৎ, old word for garden, only here in the N.T. and Joh 19:1,26; 19:41 .

Became a tree ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \delta \varepsilon v \delta \rho o v)$. Common Hebraism, very frequent in LXX, only in Luke in the N.T., but does appear in Koine though rare in papyri; this use of eıৎ after words like ginomai. It is a translation Hebraism in Luke.

Lodged ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon \nu)$. Mark and Matthew have катабкๆvoıv infinitive of the same verb, to make tent (or nest).

Luke 13:20
Whereunto shall I liken? (Tı $\boldsymbol{o} \mu \circ t \omega \sigma \omega$;). This question alone in Luke here as in verse 18. But the parable is precisely like that in Mt 13:33, which see for details.

Luke 13:22
Journeying on unto Jerusalem ( $\pi о \rho \varepsilon ı \alpha \nu \pi о ю \nu \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ I \varepsilon \rho о \sigma о \lambda \nu \mu \alpha)$. Making his way to Jerusalem. Note tenses here of continued action, and distributive use of кata with cities and villages. This is the second of the journeys to Jerusalem in this later ministry corresponding to that in Joh 11.

Luke 13:23
Are they few that be saved? ( $\varepsilon$ o $\lambda \iota \gamma$ о o $\sigma \omega \zeta$ онعvot;). Note use of $\varepsilon$ as an interrogative which can be explained as ellipsis or as $\varepsilon \iota=\eta$ (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1024). This was an academic theological problem with the rabbis, the number of the elect.

Luke 13:24
Strive ( $\alpha \gamma \omega v 1 \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Jesus makes short shrift of the question. He includes others (present middle plural of $\alpha \gamma \omega v \iota \zeta \rho \alpha$, common verb, our agonize). Originally it was to contend for a prize in the games. The kindred word $\alpha \gamma \omega v / \alpha$ occurs of Christ's struggle in Gethsemane ( $\operatorname{Lu}$ 22:44). The narrow gate appears also in Mt 7:13, only there it is an outside gate ( $\pi \cup \lambda \eta \varsigma$ ) while here it is the entrance to the house, "the narrow door" ( $\theta$ vpac).

Luke 13:25
When once ( $\alpha \varphi^{\prime}$ ov $\alpha v$ ). Possibly to be connected without break with the preceding verse (so Westcott and Hort), though Bruce argues for two parables here, the former (verse 24) about being in earnest, while this one (verses $25-30$ ) about not being too late. The two points are here undoubtedly. It is an awkward construction, $\alpha \varphi^{\prime}$ ov = $\alpha \pi 0$ tov $\tau 0 v$ o $\tau \varepsilon$ with $\alpha v$ and the aorist subjunctive ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \rho \theta \eta$ and $\alpha \pi о \kappa \lambda \varepsilon ו \sigma \eta)$. See Robertson, Grammar, p. 978.

Hath shut to ( $\alpha \pi о \kappa \lambda \varepsilon i \sigma \eta$ ), first aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \pi о \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \omega \omega$, old verb, but only here in the N.T. Note effective aorist tense and perfective use of $\alpha \pi 0$, slammed the door fast.

And ye begin ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \rho \xi \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). First aorist middle subjunctive of $\alpha \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ with $\alpha \varphi^{\prime}$ ov $\alpha v$ like $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \rho \theta \eta$ and $\alpha \pi о \kappa \lambda \varepsilon ı \sigma \eta$.

To stand ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha v \alpha)$. Second perfect active infinitive of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, intransitive tense
and to knock ( $\kappa \alpha$ кроиєıv). Present active infinitive, to keep on knocking.
Open to us (avoıkov $\eta \mu \nu v$ ). First aorist active imperative, at once and urgent.
He shall say ( $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$ ). Future active of $\varepsilon$ \& $\pi$ ov (defective verb). This is probably the apodosis of the $\alpha \varphi^{\prime}$ ov clause.

Luke 13:26
Shall ye begin ( $\alpha \rho \xi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Future middle, though Westcott and Hort put $\alpha \rho \xi \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ (aorist middle subjunctive of $\alpha \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ ) and in that case a continuation of the $\alpha \varphi^{\prime}$ ov construction. It is a difficult passage and the copyists had trouble with it.

In thy presence ( $\varepsilon v \omega \pi \tau \circ v \sigma o v)$. As guests or hosts or neighbours some claim, or the master of the house. It is grotesque to claim credit because Christ taught in their streets, but they are hard run for excuses and claims.

Luke 13:27

I know not whence ye are (ovk oı $\delta \alpha \pi 0 \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). This blunt statement cuts the matter short and sweeps away the flimsy cobwebs. Acquaintance with Christ in the flesh does not open the door. Jesus quotes Ps 8:9 as in Mt 7:23, there as in the LXX, here with $\pi a v \tau \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha \delta \kappa \kappa 1 \alpha \varsigma$, there with o $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta_{\circ} \mu \varepsilon v o \tau \eta \nu \alpha v o \mu \ldots v$. But $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon$ (second aorist active imperative) here, and there $\alpha \pi о \chi \omega \rho \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ (present active imperative).

Luke 13:28
There ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon$ ). Out there, outside the house whence they are driven.
When ye shall see (otav o $\sigma \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). First aorist middle subjunctive (of a late aorist $\omega \psi \alpha \mu \eta v$ ) of o $\rho \alpha \omega$, though $o \psi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ (future middle) in margin of Westcott and Hort, unless we admit here a "future" subjunctive like Byzantine Greek (after Latin).

And yourselves cast forth without (v $\mu \alpha \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda о \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \omega)$. Present passive participle, continuous action, "you being cast out" with the door shut. See on Mt 8:11f. for this same picture.

Luke 13:29
Shall sit down (аvaк $\left.\lambda_{1} \theta \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha\right)$. Future passive indicative third plural. Recline, of course, is the figure of this heavenly banquet. Jesus does not mean that these will be saved in different ways, but only that many will come from all the four quarters of the earth.

Luke 13:30
Last ( $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau \circ$ ). This saying was repeated many times (Mt 19:30; Mr 10:31; Mt 20:16 ).
Luke 13:31
In that very hour ( $\varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \eta \tau \eta \omega \rho \alpha$ ). Luke's favourite notation of time.
Pharisees (Фapıбaıo). Here we see the Pharisees in a new role, warning Jesus against the machinations of Herod, when they are plotting themselves.

Luke 13:32
That fox ( $\tau \eta \alpha \lambda \omega \pi \varepsilon \kappa$ т $\alpha \cup \tau \eta$ ). This epithet for the cunning and cowardice of Herod shows clearly that Jesus understood the real attitude and character of the man who had put John the Baptist to death and evidently wanted to get Jesus into his power in spite of his superstitious fears that he might be John the Baptist redivivus. The message of Jesus means that he is independent of the plots and schemes of both Herod and the Pharisees. The preacher is often put in a tight place by politicians who are quite willing to see him shorn of all real power.

Cures (ıaఠعıऽ). Old word, but in the N.T. only here and Ac 4:22,30 .
I am perfected ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ו \circ \cup \mu \alpha)$. Present passive indicative of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota o \omega$, old verb from $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \circ$, to bring to perfection, frequent in the N.T. Used in Heb 2:10 of the Father's purpose in the humanity of Christ. Perfect humanity is a process and Jesus was passing through that, without sin, but not without temptation and suffering. It is the prophetic present with the sense of the future.

Luke 13:33

The day following ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v \eta$ ). See Ac 20:15. The same as the third day in verse 32 . A proverb.

It cannot be (ouk $\varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). It is not accepted, it is inadmissible. A severely ironical indictment of Jerusalem. The shadow of the Cross reaches Perea where Jesus now is as he starts toward Jerusalem.

Luke 13:34
O Jerusalem, Jerusalem (I $\varepsilon \rho \circ \cup \sigma \alpha \lambda \eta \mu$, I $\varepsilon \rho \circ \cup \sigma \alpha \lambda \eta \mu$ ). In Mt 23:37f. Jesus utters a similar lament over Jerusalem. The connection suits both there and here, but Plummer considers it "rather a violent hypothesis" to suppose that Jesus spoke these words twice. It is possible, of course, though not like Luke's usual method, that he put the words here because of the mention of Jerusalem. In itself it is not easy to see why Jesus could not have made the lament both here and in Jerusalem. The language of the apostrophe is almost identical in both places (Lu 13:34f.; Mt 23:37-39). For details see on Matthew. In Luke we have $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma u v a \xi \alpha$ (late first aorist active infinitive) and in Matthew $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma v v a \gamma a \gamma \varepsilon \iota v$ (second aorist active infinitive), both from $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma v v a \gamma \omega$, a double compound of late Greek (Polybius). Both have "How often would I" (побакıৎ $\eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha)$. How often did I wish. Clearly showing that Jesus made repeated visits to Jerusalem as we know otherwise only from John's Gospel.

Even as (ov $\tau \rho \circ \pi o v$ ). Accusative of general reference and in Mt 23:37 also. Incorporation of antecedent into the relative clause.

Brood (vooбıav) is in Luke while Matthew has
chickens (vooбıa), both late forms for the older veoofia. The adjective
desolate ( $\varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \circ \varsigma)$ is wanting in Lu 13:35 and is doubtful in Mt 23:39.

## Luke 14

Luke 14:1
When he went ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon ı v$ aviov). Luke's favourite temporal clause = "on the going as to him."

That ( $\kappa \alpha$ ). Another common Lukan idiom, $\kappa \alpha \iota=o \tau$ after $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \tau$, like Hebrew wav.
They (avto). Emphatic.
Were watching ( $\eta \sigma \alpha v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta \rho o v \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Periphrastic imperfect middle. Note force of avto, middle voice, and $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$. They were themselves watching on the side (on the sly), watching insidiously, with evil intent as in Mr 3:2 (active).

Luke 14:2
Which had the dropsy ( $v \delta \rho \omega \pi \kappa \kappa \varsigma)$. Late and medical word from $v \delta \omega \rho$ (water), one who has internal water ( $v \delta \rho \omega \pi \varsigma)$. Here only in the N.T. and only example of the disease healed by Jesus and recorded.

Luke 14:3
Answering ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \kappa \rho \mid \theta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. First aorist passive participle without the passive meaning. Jesus answered the thoughts of those mentioned in verse 1. Here "lawyers and Pharisees" are treated as one class with one article ( $\tau$ ouc) whereas in 7:30 they are treated as two classes with separate articles.

Or not ( $\eta \mathrm{ov}$ ). The dilemma forestalled any question by them.
They held their peace ( $\eta \sigma v \chi \alpha \sigma \alpha v$ ). Ingressive aorist active of old verb $\eta \sigma v \chi \alpha \zeta \omega$. They became silent, more so than before.

Luke 14:4
Tookhim ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \alpha \beta o \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Second aorist middle participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, an old verb, only in the middle in the N.T. It is not redundant use, "took and healed," but "took hold of him and healed him." Only instance in the N.T. of its use in a case of healing.

Let him go ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda u \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Probably, dismissed from the company to get him away from these critics.

Luke 14:5
An ass or an ox (ovoc $\eta$ ßouc). But Westcott and Hort voç $\eta$ ßouc ( a son or an ox ). The manuscripts are much divided between vos (son) and ovoc (ass) which in the abbreviated uncials looked much alike (TC, OC) and were much alike. The sentence in the Greek reads literally thus: Whose ox or ass of you shall fall ( $\pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$, future middle of $\pi \iota \pi \tau 0$ ) into a well and he (the man) will not straightway draw him up (avaøtaб\&, future active of $\alpha v a \sigma \pi \alpha \omega)$ on the sabbath day? The very form of the question is a powerful argument and puts the lawyers and the Pharisees hopelessly on the defensive.

Luke 14:6

Could not answer again (ovк וбұטбаv avталокріӨŋva). Did not have strength to answer back or in turn ( $\alpha \vee \tau t-$ ) as in Ro 9:20. They could not take up the argument and were helpless. They hated to admit that they cared more for an ox or ass or even a son than for this poor dropsical man.

Luke 14:7
A parable for those which were bidden ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau$ тоvя $\kappa \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \circ \lambda \eta \nu$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to call, to invite. This parable is for the guests who were there and who had been watching Jesus.

When he marked ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \chi \omega v)$. Present active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \chi \omega$ with $\tau 0 v$ vouv understood, holding the mind upon them, old verb and common.

They chose out ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \tau 0$ ). Imperfect middle, were picking out for themselves.
The chief seats ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \pi \rho \omega \tau о \kappa \lambda \iota \sigma \iota \varsigma)$ ). The first reclining places at the table. Jesus condemned the Pharisees later for this very thing (Mt 23:6; Mr 12:39; Lu 20:46). On a couch holding three the middle place was the chief one. At banquets today the name of the guests are usually placed at the plates. The place next to the host on the right was then, as now, the post of honour.

Luke 14:8
Sit not down ( $\mu \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \lambda \iota \theta \eta \iota)$. First aorist (ingressive) passive subjunctive of катак $\lambda \iota \omega \omega$, to recline. Old verb, but peculiar to Luke in the N.T. (7:36; 9:14; 14:8; $24: 30$ ).

Be bidden ( $\eta \kappa \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Periphrastic perfect passive subjunctive of $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ after $\mu \eta$ тотє.

Luke 14:9
And say ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$ ). Changes to future indicative with $\mu \eta \pi о \tau \varepsilon$ as in 12:58.
Shalt begin with shame ( $\left.\alpha \rho \xi \eta \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \alpha \_\sigma \chi \cup v \eta \varsigma\right)$. The moment of embarrassment.
To take the lowest place ( $\tau \circ \vee \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau о \nu \tau о \pi о \nu ~ к а \tau \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon เ v) . ~ T o ~ h o l d ~ d o w n ~ t h e ~ l o w e s t ~ p l a c e, ~$ all the intermediate ones being taken.

Luke 14:10
Sit down ( $\alpha v \alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist active imperative of $\alpha v \alpha \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, to fall up or back, to lie back or down. Late Greek word for $\alpha v a \kappa \lambda ı v \omega$ (cf. катак $\lambda \iota v \omega$ in verse 8 ).

He that hath bidden thee (o $\kappa \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \kappa \omega \varsigma \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Perfect active participle as in verse 12 ( $\tau \omega$ $\kappa \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \kappa о \tau)$ with which compare o ка入єбас in verse 9 (first aorist active participle).

He may say ( $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$ ). The future indicative with iva does occur in the Koine (papyri) and so in the N.T. (Robertson, Grammar, p. 984).

Go up higher ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha v \alpha \beta \eta \theta$ ). Second aorist active imperative second singular of $\pi \rho o \sigma \alpha v \alpha \beta a \iota v \omega$, an old double compound verb, but here only in the N.T. Probably, "Come up higher," because the call comes from the host and because of $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$.

Luke 14:11

Shall be humbled ( $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ v \omega \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. First future passive. One of the repeated sayings of Jesus (18:14; Mt 23:12 ).

Luke 14:12
A dinner or a supper ( $\alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau o v \eta \delta \varepsilon ı \pi v o v$ ). More exactly, a breakfast or a dinner with distinction between them as already shown. This is a parable for the host as one had just been given for the guests, though Luke does not term this a parable.

Call not ( $\mu \eta \varphi \omega \nu \varepsilon$ ). M $\eta$ and the present imperative active, prohibiting the habit of inviting only friends. It is the exclusive invitation of such guests that Jesus condemns. There is a striking parallel to this in Plato's Phaedrus 233.

Recompense ( $\alpha v \tau \alpha \pi о \delta о \mu \alpha)$. In the form of a return invitation. Like $\alpha v \tau$ in "bid thee again" ( $\alpha \nu \tau \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \omega \sigma เ v)$.

Luke 14:13
When thou makest a feast (oтаv $\delta \circ \chi \eta v \tau о ו \eta เ \varsigma) . ~ H o \tau \alpha v$ and the present subjunctive in an indefinite temporal clause. $\Delta \mathrm{ox} \eta$ means reception as in Lu 5:29, late word, only in these two passages in the N.T. Note absence of article with these adjectives in the Greek (poor people, maimed folks, lame people, blind people).

Luke 14:14
To recompense thee ( $\alpha v \tau \alpha \pi \sigma \delta o u v \alpha \sigma o$ ). Second aorist active infinitive of this old and common double compound verb, to give back in return. The reward will come at the resurrection if not before and thou shalt be happy.

Luke 14:15
Blessed ( $\mu \alpha к а р ь о \varsigma) . ~ H a p p y, ~ s a m e ~ w o r d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ B e a t i t u d e s ~ o f ~ J e s u s ~(M t ~ 5: 3 f f . ~) . ~ T h i s ~ p i o u s ~$ platitude whether due to ignorance or hypocrisy was called forth by Christ's words about the resurrection. It was a common figure among the rabbis, the use of a banquet for the bliss of heaven. This man may mean that this is a prerogative of the Pharisees. He assumed complacently that he will be among the number of the blest. Jesus himself uses this same figure of the spiritual banquet for heavenly bliss (Lu 22:29).

Shall eat ( $\varphi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Future middle from $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \iota \omega$, defective verb, from stem of the aorist ( $\varepsilon \propto \alpha \gamma \circ v$ ) like $\varepsilon \delta o \mu \alpha$ of the old Greek.

Luke 14:16
Made ( $\varepsilon$ тоוє). Imperfect active, was on the point of making (inchoative).
Great supper ( $\delta \varepsilon ו \pi v o v)$. Or dinner, a formal feast. Jesus takes up the conventional remark of the guest and by this parable shows that such an attitude was no guarantee of godliness (Bruce). This parable of the marriage of the King's son (Lu 14:15-24) has many points of likeness to the parable of the wedding garment (Mt 22:1-14) and as many differences also. The occasions are very different, that in Matthew grows out of the attempt to arrest Jesus while this one is due to the pious comment of a guest at the feast and the wording is also quite different. Hence we conclude that they are distinct parables.

And he bade many ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$ по $\lambda \lambda$ оис). Aorist active, a distinct and definite act following the imperfect $\varepsilon \pi \sigma \varepsilon$.

Luke 14:17
His servant ( $\tau 0 v$ סou入ov avtou). His bondservant. Vocator or Summoner (Es 5:8; 6:14 ). This second summons was the custom then as now with wealthy Arabs. Tristram (Eastern Customs, p. 82) says: "To refuse the second summons would be an insult, which is equivalent among the Arab tribes to a declaration of war."

Luke 14:18
With one consent ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha$ ). Some feminine substantive like $\gamma v \omega \mu \eta \varsigma$ or $\psi v \chi \eta \varsigma$ has to be supplied. This precise idiom occurs nowhere else. It looked like a conspiracy for each one in his turn did the same thing.

To make excuse ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta)$ ). This common Greek verb is used in various ways, to ask something from one ( $\mathrm{Mr} 15: 6$ ), to deprecate or ask to avert (Heb 12:19), to refuse or decline (Ac 25:11), to shun or to avoid (2Ti 2:23), to beg pardon or to make excuses for not doing or to beg (Lu 14:18ff. ). All these ideas are variations of aıtع $\omega$, to ask in the middle voice with $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ in composition.

The first (o $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ тс). In order of time. There are three of the "many" ("all"), whose excuses are given, each more flimsy than the other.

I must needs ( $\varepsilon \chi \omega \alpha v \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta v$ ). I have necessity. The land would still be there, a strange "necessity."

Have me excused ( $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \eta \iota \tau \eta \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. An unusual idiom somewhat like the English perfect with the auxiliary "have" and the modern Greek idiom with $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, but certainly not here a Greek periphrasis for $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \iota \tau \eta \sigma o$. This perfect passive participle is predicate and agrees with $\mu$. See a like idiom in Mr 3:1; Lu 12:19 (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 902f.). The Latin had a similar idiom, habe me excusatum. Same language in verse 19.

Luke 14:19
To prove them ( $\delta о к ц \alpha \sigma \alpha \alpha \cup \tau \alpha)$. He could have tested them before buying. The oxen would not run away or be stolen.

Luke 14:20
I cannot come (ov $\delta u v a \mu \alpha \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon ı v$ ). Less polite than the others but a more plausible pretence if he wanted to make it so. The law excused a newly married man from war (De 24:5), "but not from social courtesy" (Ragg). The new wife would probably have been glad to go with him to the feast if asked. But see 1Co 7:33. There is here as often a sharp difference between the excuses offered and the reasons behind them.

Luke 14:21
Being angry (opүıӨधءı). First aorist (ingressive) passive, becoming angry.
Quickly ( $\tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). The dinner is ready and no time is to be lost. The invitation goes still to those in the city.

Streets and lanes ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \pi \lambda \alpha \tau \varepsilon ו \propto \varsigma \kappa \alpha \rho \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. Broadways and runways (broad streets and narrow lanes).

Maimed (avar\&ıouc). So Westcott and Hort for the old word avarn itacism ( $\varepsilon \iota=\eta$ in pronunciation). The word is compounded of $\alpha v \alpha$ and $\pi \eta \rho o \varsigma$, lame all the way up.

Luke 14:22
And yet there is room ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \tau \tau о \pi \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ). The Master had invited "many" (verse 16) who had all declined. The servant knew the Master wished the places to be filled.

Luke 14:23
The highways and hedges ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma$ обov¢ $\kappa \alpha ~ \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \circ u \varsigma$ ). The public roads outside the city of Judaism just as the streets and lanes were inside the city. The heathen are to be invited this time.

Hedges is fenced in places from $\varphi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, to fence in (Ro 3:19).
Compel ( $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \sigma o v$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \zeta \omega$, from $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta$ (verse 18). By persuasion of course. There is no thought of compulsory salvation. "Not to use force, but to constrain them against the reluctance which such poor creatures would feel at accepting the invitation of a great lord" (Vincent). As examples of such "constraint" in this verb see Mt 14:22; Ac 26:11; Ga 6:12 .

That my house may be filled ( $\imath v \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \mu \sigma \theta \eta \mu$ оv o oוкос). First aorist passive subjunctive of $\gamma \varepsilon \mu \iota \zeta \omega$, to fill full, old verb from $\gamma \varepsilon \mu \omega$, to be full. Effective aorist. Subjunctive with $\tau v \alpha$ in final clause. The Gentiles are to take the place that the Jews might have had (Ro 11:25). Bengel says: Nec natura nec gratia patitur vacuum.

## Luke 14:24

My supper ( $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ tov $\delta \varepsilon ı \pi v o v$ ). Here it is still the Master of the feast who is summing up his reasons for his conduct. We do not have to say that Jesus shuts the door now in the face of the Jews who may turn to him.

Luke 14:25
And he turned ( $\kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Second aorist passive participle of $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, common verb. It is a dramatic act on the part of Jesus, a deliberate effort to check the wild and unthinking enthusiasm of the crowds who followed just to be following. Note "many multitudes"


Luke 14:26
Hateth not (ov $\mu(\sigma \varepsilon)$. An old and very strong verb $\mu \tau \sigma \varepsilon \omega$, to hate, detest. The orientals use strong language where cooler spirits would speak of preference or indifference. But even so Jesus does not here mean that one must hate his father or mother of necessity or as such, for Mt 15:4 proves the opposite. It is only where the element of choice comes in (cf. Mt 6:24 ) as it sometimes does, when father or mother opposes Christ. Then one must not hesitate. The language here is more sharply put than in Mt 10:37. The ov here coalesces with the
verb $\mu \iota \sigma \varepsilon$ in this conditional clause of the first class determined as fulfilled. It is the language of exaggerated contrast, it is true, but it must not be watered down till the point is gone. In mentioning "and wife" Jesus has really made a comment on the excuse given in verse 20 (I married a wife and so I am not able to come).

And his own life also ( $\varepsilon \tau \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \nu \psi v \chi \eta v \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v)$. Note $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$, both--and. "The $\tau \varepsilon$ (B L) binds all the particulars into one bundle of renuncianda" (Bruce). Note this same triple group of conjunctions ( $\varepsilon \tau \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ) in Ac 21:28, "And moreover also," "even going as far as his own life." Martyrdom should be an ever-present possibility to the Christian, not to be courted, but not to be shunned. Love for Christ takes precedence "over even the elemental instinct of self-preservation" (Ragg).

Luke 14:27
His own cross ( $\tau 0 v$ otaupov qautov). This familiar figure we have had already ( Lu 9:23; Mr 8:34; Mt 10:38; 16:24). Each follower has a cross which he must bear as Jesus did his. Baбтaऍ $\omega$ is used of cross bearing in the N.T. only here (figuratively) and Joh 19:17 literally of Jesus. Crucifixion was common enough in Palestine since the days of Antiochus Epiphanes and Alexander Jannaeus.

Luke 14:28
Build a tower ( $\pi \cup \rho \gamma \circ v$ оוко $\delta о \mu \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). A common metaphor, either a tower in the city wall like that by the Pool of Siloam (Lu 13:4) or a watchtower in a vineyard (Mt 21:33) or a tower-shaped building for refuge or ornament as here. This parable of the rash builder has the lesson of counting the cost.

Sit down (кaӨıбаऽ). Attitude of deliberation.
First ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau 0 v$ ). First things first. So in verse 31.
Count ( $\psi \eta \varphi \downharpoonright(\varepsilon)$. Common verb in late writers, but only here and Re 13:18 in the N.T. The verb is from $\psi \eta \varphi \rho \varsigma$, a stone, which was used in voting and so counting. Calculate is from the Latin calculus, a pebble. To vote was to cast a pebble ( $\tau \bullet \eta \eta \mu \psi \eta \varphi \circ v$ ). Luke has Paul using "deposit a pebble" for casting his vote (Ac 26:10).

The cost ( $\tau \eta v \delta a \pi \alpha v \eta v$ ). Old and common word, but here only in the N.T. from $\delta \alpha \pi \tau \omega$, to tear, consume, devour. Expense is something which eats up one's resources.

Whether he hath wherewith to complete it ( $\varepsilon \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \tau \iota \sigma \mu \circ v$ ). If he has anything for completion of it. A $\pi \alpha \rho \tau \iota \sigma \mu \circ v$ is a rare and late word (in the papyri and only here in the N.T.). It is from $\alpha \pi \alpha \rho \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, to finish off ( $\alpha \pi$ - and $\alpha \rho \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ like our articulate), to make even or square. Cf. $\varepsilon \xi \eta \rho \tau \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o c$ in 2Ti 3:17.

Luke 14:29
Lest haply (iva $\mu \eta \pi \circ \tau \varepsilon$ ). Double final particles (positive and negative with addition of $\pi о \tau \varepsilon)$. Used here with aorist middle subjunctive in $\alpha \rho \xi \omega \nu \tau \alpha$ (begin).

to finish ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha)$. First aorist active infinitive. Note perfective use of $\varepsilon \kappa$, to finish out to the end. Two genitive absolutes, first, second aorist active participle $\theta \varepsilon v \tau o \varsigma ;$ second, present active participle toұvovtoc.

To mock him ( $\alpha v \tau \omega \varepsilon \mu \pi \alpha \iota \zeta \varepsilon ı v$ ). An old verb, $\varepsilon \mu-\pi \alpha ı \zeta \omega$, to play like a child ( $\pi \alpha ı \varsigma$ ), at or with, to mock, scoff at, to trifle with like Latin illudere.

Luke 14:30
This man (ovtoc o $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ оऽ). This fellow, contemptuous or sarcastic use of ovtoc.
Luke 14:31
To encounter ( $\sigma v v \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı v$ ). Second aorist active infinitive of $\sigma \sim v \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, old and common verb, to throw or bring together, to dispute, to clash in war as here.

Another king ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon$ ), to grapple with another king in war or for war ( $\varepsilon \varsigma$ $\pi о \lambda \varepsilon \mu \circ v)$. Associative instrumental case.

Take counsel ( $\beta$ ou $\lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Future middle indicative of old and common verb $\beta$ ou $\lambda \varepsilon v \omega$, from $\beta$ ou $\lambda \eta$, will, counsel. The middle means to take counsel with oneself, to deliberate, to ponder.

With ten thousand ( $\varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \chi_{\lambda} \lambda_{\iota} \alpha \sigma \iota v$ ). Literally, in ten thousand. See this so-called instrumental use of $\varepsilon v$ in Jude 1:14. Equipped in or with ten thousand. See Lu 1:17. Note $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \varepsilon$ عוкоб $\chi \backslash \lambda ı \alpha \delta \omega \nu$ just below (midst of twenty thousand).

To meet ( $\cup \pi \alpha \nu \tau \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). Common verb (like $\alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \omega$ ) from $\alpha \nu \tau \alpha \omega$ ( $\alpha \nu \tau \alpha$, end, face to face, from which $\alpha v \tau$ ) with preposition $v \pi \frac{(o r}{\alpha} \alpha \pi 0$ ), to go to meet. Here it has a military meaning.

Luke 14:32
Or else ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \mu \eta \gamma \varepsilon$ ). Same idiom in 5:36. Luke is fond of this formula.
An ambassage ( $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha v$ ). Old and common word for the office of ambassador, composed of old men ( $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$ like Japanese Elder Statesmen who are supposed to possess wisdom. In the N.T. only here and Lu 19:14 .

Asketh conditions of peace ( $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \eta \nu)$. The use of $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \omega$ in this sense of beg or petition is common in the papyri and Koine generally. The original use of asking a question survives also. The text is uncertain concerning $\pi \rho o \varsigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \rho \eta \nu \eta \nu$ which means with $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \omega$, to ask negotiations for peace. In B we have $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ instead of $\pi \rho o \varsigma$ like verse 28. Most MSS. have $\tau \alpha$ before $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ or $\varepsilon \iota$, , but not in Aleph and B. It is possible that the $\tau \alpha$ was omitted because of preceding $\tau \alpha$ (o $о$ огот $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \cup \tau o v$ ), but the sense is the same. See Ro 14:19 $\tau \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \nu \eta \varsigma$, the things of peace, which concern or look towards peace, the preliminaries of peace.

Luke 14:33
Renounceth not (ovк $\alpha \pi о \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Old Greek word to set apart as in a military camp, then in the middle voice to separate oneself from, say good-bye to ( $\mathrm{Lu} 9: 61$ ), to renounce, forsake, as here.
 property, "all his own belongings" (neuter plural participle used as substantive) as named in verse 26 . This verse gives the principle in the two parables of the rash builder and of the rash king. The minor details do not matter. The spirit of self-sacrifice is the point.

Luke 14:35
Dunghill (колрıаv). Later word in the Koine vernacular. Here only in the N.T., though in the LXX.

Men cast it out ( $\varepsilon \xi \omega \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda$ ovoıv $\alpha \cup \tau 0)$. Impersonal plural. This saying about salt is another of Christ's repeated sayings (Mt 5:13; Mr 9:50). Another repeated saying is the one here about having ears to hear ( $\operatorname{Lu} 8: 8 ; 14: 35$, $\mathrm{Mt} \mathrm{11:15;} \mathrm{13:43)}$.

## Luke 15

Luke 15:1
All the publicans and sinners ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ о $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega v \alpha$ ка о $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda о$ ). The two articles separate the two classes (all the publicans and the sinners). They are sometimes grouped together (5:30; Mt 9:11 ), but not here. The publicans are put on the same level with the outcasts or sinners. So in verse 2 the repeated article separates Pharisees and scribes as not quite one. The use of "all" here may be hyperbole for very many or the reference may be to these two classes in the particular place where Jesus was from time to time.

Were drawing near unto him ( $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha \cup \tau \omega \varepsilon \gamma \gamma \iota \bar{\zeta} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Periphrastic imperfect of $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \zeta \omega \omega$, from $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \cup \varsigma$ (near), late verb.

For to hear ( $\alpha \kappa 0 v \varepsilon เ v$ ). Just the present active infinitive of purpose.
Luke 15:2
Both ... and ( $\tau \varepsilon \ldots \kappa \alpha$ ). United in the complaint.
Murmured ( $\delta เ \varepsilon \gamma \circ \gamma \gamma \cup \zeta \circ v$ ). Imperfect active of $\delta \iota \alpha \gamma \circ \gamma \gamma \cup \zeta \omega$, late Greek compound in the LXX and Byzantine writers. In the N.T. only here and Lu 19:7. The force of $\delta$ ta here is probably between or among themselves. It spread (imperfect tense) whenever these two classes came in contact with Jesus. As the publicans and the sinners were drawing near to Jesus just in that proportion the Pharisees and the scribes increased their murmurings. The social breach is here an open yawning chasm.

This man (ovtoc). A contemptuous sneer in the use of the pronoun. They spoke out openly and probably pointed at Jesus.

Receiveth ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Present middle indicative of the common verb $\pi \rho o \sigma \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. In 12:36 we had it for expecting, here it is to give access to oneself, to welcome like $v \pi \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \xi \alpha \tau 0$ of Martha's welcome to Jesus ( Lu 10:38). The charge here is that this is the habit of Jesus. He shows no sense of social superiority to these outcasts (like the Hindu "untouchables" in India).
 after $\sigma v v$ - in composition. This is an old charge ( $\mathrm{Lu} 5: 30$ ) and a much more serious breach from the standpoint of the Pharisees. The implication is that Jesus prefers these outcasts to the respectable classes (the Pharisees and the scribes) because he is like them in character and tastes, even with the harlots. There was a sting in the charge that he was the "friend" ( $\varphi \backslash \lambda \varsigma \varsigma$ ) of publicans and sinners (Lu 7:34).

Luke 15:3
This parable ( $\tau \eta v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta 0 \lambda \eta v \tau \alpha v \tau \eta v$ ). The Parable of the Lost Sheep ( 15:3-7). This is Christ's way of answering the cavilling of these chronic complainers. Jesus gave this same parable for another purpose in another connection (Mt 18:12-14). The figure of the Good Shepherd appears also in Joh 10:1-18. "No simile has taken more hold upon the mind of

Christendom" (Plummer). Jesus champions the lost and accepts the challenge and justifies his conduct by these superb stories. "The three Episodes form a climax: The Pasture--the House--the Home; the Herdsman--the Housewife--the Father; the Sheep--the Treasure-the Beloved Son" (Ragg).

## Luke 15:4

In the wilderness $(\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \omega)$. Their usual pasturage, not a place of danger or peril. It is the owner of the hundred sheep who cares so much for the one that is lost. He knows each one of the sheep and loves each one.

Go after that which is lost ( $\pi \circ \rho \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \alpha \varepsilon \pi$ то $\alpha \pi \circ \lambda \omega \lambda$ оऽ). The one lost sheep ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \omega \lambda o \varsigma$, second perfect active participle of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$, to destroy, but intransitive, to be lost). There is nothing more helpless than a lost sheep except a lost sinner. The sheep went off by its own ignorance and folly. The use of $\varepsilon \pi$ for the goal occurs also in Mt 22:9; Ac 8:26; 9:11 .

Until he find it ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \cup \rho \eta$ auto). Second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \cup \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$, common verb, with $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$, common Greek idiom. He keeps on going ( $\pi$ орєvє $\frac{1}{\alpha}$, linear present middle indicative) until success comes (effective aorist, $\varepsilon \cup \rho \eta$ ).

Luke 15:5
On his shoulders ( $\varepsilon \pi$ tovৎ $\omega \mu$ оиц autov). He does it himself in exuberant affection and of necessity as the poor lost sheep is helpless. Note the plural shoulders showing that the sheep was just back of the shepherd's neck and drawn around by both hands. The word for shoulder ( $\omega \mu \circ \varsigma$ ) is old and common, but in the N.T. only here and Mt 23:4 .

Rejoicing ( $\chi \propto \iota \rho \omega v$ ). "There is no upbraiding of the wandering sheep, nor murmuring at the trouble" (Plummer).

Luke 15:6
Rejoice with me ( $\sigma v \vee \chi \alpha \rho \eta \tau \varepsilon \mu 0$ ). Second aorist passive of $\sigma \cup v \chi a \iota \rho \omega$, an old and common verb for mutual joy as in Php 2:17f. Joy demands fellowship. Same form in verse 9. So the shepherd
calls together ( $\sigma \cup v \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$, note $\sigma \cup v$ again) both his friends and his neighbours. This picture of the Good Shepherd has captured the eye of many artists through the ages.

Luke 15:7
Over one sinner that repenteth ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon v \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda \omega \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o o v v \tau)$. The word sinner points to verse 1 . Repenting is what these sinners were doing, these lost sheep brought to the fold. The joy in heaven is in contrast with the grumbling Pharisees and scribes.

More than over ( $\eta \varepsilon \pi$ ). There is no comparative in the Greek. It is only implied by a common idiom like our "rather than."
 to say that the Pharisees and the scribes do not need repentance or are perfect. He for the sake of argument accepts their claims about themselves and by their own words condemns them for their criticism of his efforts to save the lost sheep. It is the same point that he made
against them when they criticized Jesus and the disciples for being at Levi's feast (Lu 5:31f. ). They posed as "righteous." Very well, then. That shuts their mouths on the point of Christ's saving the publicans and sinners.

Luke 15:8
Ten pieces of silver ( $\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ). The only instance in the N.T. of this old word for a coin of 65.5 grains about the value of the common $\delta \eta v a \rho i v ¢$ (about eighteen cents), a quarter of a Jewish shekel. The double drachma ( $\delta \mathrm{t} \delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ) occurs in the N.T. only in Mt 17:24. The root is from $\delta \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma o \mu \alpha$, to grasp with the hand (1Co 3:19), and so a handful of coin. Ten drachmas would be equal to nearly two dollars, but in purchasing power much more.

Sweep ( $\sigma \alpha \rho o$ ). A late colloquial verb $\sigma \alpha \rho o \omega$ for the earlier $\sigma \alpha \iota \rho \omega$, to clear by sweeping. Three times in the N.T. (Lu 11:25; 15:8; Mt 12:44). The house was probably with out windows (only the door for light and hence the lamp lit) and probably also a dirt floor. Hence Bengel says: non sine pulvere. This parable is peculiar to Luke.

Luke 15:9
Her friends and neighbours ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \varphi ı \lambda \alpha \varsigma \kappa \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \tau о v \alpha \varsigma)$. Note single article and female friends (feminine article and $\varphi \backslash \lambda \propto \varsigma$ ). H $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ov $\varepsilon \cup \rho \eta$ here as in verse 4 , only ov added after $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ (until which time) as often.

Which I lost ( $\eta v \alpha \pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha)$. First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$. She lost the coin (note article). The shepherd did not lose the one sheep.

Luke 15:10
There is joy ( $\gamma \downarrow \nu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \chi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ). More exactly, joy arises. Futuristic present of $\gamma \iota v o \mu \alpha$ (cf. $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha$ in verse 7).

In the presence of the angels of God ( $\varepsilon v \omega \pi \iota \circ \nu \tau \omega v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \omega \nu \tau o v \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). That is to say, the joy of God himself. The angels are in a sense the neighbours of God.

Luke 15:11
Had ( $\varepsilon \chi \chi \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active. Note $\varepsilon \chi \omega v$ (verse 4), $\varepsilon \chi \circ u \sigma \alpha$ (verse 8), and now $\varepsilon \not \chi \varepsilon v$. The self-sacrificing care is that of the owner in each case. Here (verses 11-32) we have the most famous of all the parables of Jesus, the Prodigal Son, which is in Luke alone. We have had the Lost Sheep, the Lost Coin, and now the Lost Son. Bruce notes that in the moral sphere there must be self-recovery to give ethical value to the rescue of the son who wandered away. That comes out beautifully in this allegory.

Luke 15:12
The portion ( $\tau \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \varepsilon \rho \varsigma)$ ). The Jewish law alloted one-half as much to the younger son as to the elder, that is to say one-third of the estate (De 21:17) at the death of the father. The father did not have to abdicate in favour of the sons, but "this very human parable here depicts the impatience of home restraints and the optimistic ambition of youth" (Ragg).

And he divided (o $\delta \varepsilon \delta \iota \varepsilon i \lambda \varepsilon v$ ). The second aorist active indicative of $\delta ı a \iota \rho \varepsilon \omega$, an old and common verb to part in two, cut asunder, divide, but in the N.T. only here and 1Co 12:11. The elder son got his share also of the "substance" or property or estate ( $\tau \eta \varsigma$ ovaiac), "the living" ( $\tau$ ov $\beta$ ıov) as in Mr 12:44, not "life" as in Lu 8:14.

Luke 15:13
Not many days after ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ ov $\left.\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma\right)$. Literally, after not many days. Luke is fond of this idiom (7:6; Ac 1:5).

Took his journey ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \delta \eta \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi o \delta \eta \mu \varepsilon \omega$ (from $\alpha \pi о \delta \eta \mu \circ \varsigma$, away from home). Common verb. In the N.T. here and Mt 21:33; 25:14; Mr 12:1; Lu 20:9. He burned all his bridges behind him, gathering together all that he had.

Wasted ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \sigma \kappa о \rho \pi \iota \sigma \varepsilon v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\delta \iota a \sigma \kappa о \rho \pi \iota \zeta \omega$, a somewhat rare verb, the very opposite of "gathered together" ( $\sigma v v a \gamma o \gamma \omega v$ ). More exactly he scattered his property. It is the word used of winnowing grain (Mt 25:24).

With riotous living ( $\zeta \omega \nu \alpha \sigma \omega \tau \omega \varsigma)$. Living dissolutely or profligately. The late adverb $\alpha \sigma \omega \tau \omega \varsigma$ (only here in the N.T.) from the common adjective $\alpha \sigma \omega \tau \sigma \varsigma$ ( $\alpha$ privative and $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$ ), one that cannot be saved, one who does not save, a spendthrift, an abandoned man, a profligate, a prodigal. He went the limit of sinful excesses. It makes sense taken actively or passively (prodigus or perditus), active probably here.

Luke 15:14
 in a bad sense as in Jas 4:3. See on $\delta a \pi \alpha v \eta$ Lu 14:28 .

He (avtoc). Emphasis.
 We use "fall behind" (Vincent) of one in straitened circumstances. Plummer notes the coincidences of Providence. The very land was in a famine when the boy had spent all.

Luke 15:15
Joined himself ( $\varepsilon \kappa о \lambda \lambda \eta \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive of ко $\lambda \lambda \alpha \omega$, an old verb to glue together, to cleave to. In the N.T. only the passive occurs. He was glued to, was joined to. It is not necessary to take this passive in the middle reflexive sense.

The citizens ( $\tau \omega \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \omega v$ ). Curiously enough this common word citizen ( $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \eta \varsigma$ from $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \varsigma$, city) is found in the N.T. only in Luke's writings (15:15; 19:14; Ac 21:39) except in He 8:11 where it is quoted from Jer 38:34.

To feed swine (ßобкعוv रoוןov¢). A most degrading occupation for anyone and for a Jew an unspeakable degradation.

Luke 15:16
He would fain have been filled ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \theta \nu \mu \varepsilon \chi о \rho \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \eta v \alpha$ ). Literally, he was desiring (longing) to be filled. Imperfect indicative and first aorist passive infinitive. Xop $\tau \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \alpha$ is
from $\chi о \rho \tau \alpha \zeta \omega$ and that from $\chi$ ортоৎ (grass), and so to feed with grass or with anything. Westcott and Hort put $\gamma \varepsilon \mu \iota \sigma \alpha \tau \eta \nu$ коı $\lambda \iota \alpha v$ avtov in the margin (the Textus Receptus).

With the husks ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v \kappa \varepsilon \rho \alpha \tau \iota \omega v$ ). The word occurs here alone in the N.T. and is a diminutive of кєрас (horn) and so means little horn. It is used in various senses, but here refers to the pods of the carob tree or locust tree still common in Palestine and around the Mediterannean, so called from the shape of the pods like little horns, Bockshornbaum in German or goat's-horn tree. The gelatinous substance inside has a sweetish taste and is used for feeding swine and even for food by the lower classes. It is sometimes called Saint John's Bread from the notion that the Baptist ate it in the wilderness.

No man gave unto him (ov anyone to allow him even the food of the hogs.

Luke 15:17
But when he came to himself ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma ~ \varepsilon a v \tau о v ~ \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega v$ ). As if he had been far from himself as he was from home. As a matter of fact he had been away, out of his head, and now began to see things as they really were. Plato is quoted by Ackerman (Christian Element in Plato) as thinking of redemption as coming to oneself.

Hired servants ( $\mu \circ \sigma$ เo). A late word from $\mu \iota \theta \circ \varsigma$ (hire). In the N.T. only in this chapter. The use of "many" here suggests a wealthy and luxurious home.

Have bread enough and to spare ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v o v \tau \alpha \alpha \rho \tau \omega v$ ). Old verb from $\pi \varepsilon \rho \circ \sigma \sigma \circ \varsigma$ and that from $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ (around). Present passive here, "are surrounded by loaves" like a flood.

I perish ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \delta \varepsilon \lambda \iota \mu \omega \omega \delta \varepsilon \alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \alpha)$. Every word here counts: While I on the other hand am here perishing with hunger. It is the linear present middle of $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$. Note $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ expressed and $\delta \varepsilon$ of contrast.

Luke 15:18
I will arise and go ( $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \pi \rho о \rho \varepsilon \cup \sigma о \mu \alpha)$. This determination is the act of the will after he comes to himself and sees his real condition.

I did $\sin (\eta \mu \alpha \rho \tau o v)$. That is the hard word to say and he will say it first. The word means to miss the mark. I shot my bolt and I missed my aim (compare the high-handed demand in verse 12).

Luke 15:19
No longer worthy (оикะт $\alpha \xi_{ı}$ ). Confession of the facts. He sees his own pitiful plight and is humble.

As one ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). The hired servants in his father's house are high above him now.
Luke 15:20
To his father ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ रov $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ عavtov). Literally, to his own father. He acted at once on his decision.
 odov understood: While he was yet holding off a distant way. This shows that the father
had been looking for him to come back and was even looking at this very moment as he came in sight.

Ran $(\delta \rho \alpha \mu \omega v)$. Second aorist active participle of the defective verb $\tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$. The eager look and longing of the father.

Kissed ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varphi i \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Note perfective use of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ kissed him much, kissed him again and again. The verb occurs so in the older Greek.

Luke 15:21
The son made his speech of confession as planned, but it is not certain that he was able to finish as a number of early manuscripts do not have "Make me as one of the hired servants," though Aleph B D do have them. It is probable that the father interrupted him at this point before he could finish.

Luke 15:22
The best robe ( $\sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta \nu)$. $\Sigma \tau o \lambda \eta$ is an old word for a fine stately garment that comes down to the feet (from $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda$ o, to prepare, equip), the kind worn by kings ( Mr 16:5; Lu 22:46 ). Literally, "a robe the first." But not the first that you find, but the first in rank and value, the finest in the house. This in contrast with his shabby clothes.

A ring ( $\delta a \kappa \tau \cup \lambda ı v$ ). Common in classical writers and the LXX, but here only in the


Shoes (v $\boldsymbol{\tau} \delta \delta \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha)$. Sandals, "bound under." Both sandals and ring are marks of the freeman as slaves were barefooted.

Luke 15:23
The fatted calf ( $\tau 0 v \mu \circ \sigma \chi \circ v$ tov oıtevtov). The calf the fatted one. $\Sigma$ itevtov is the verbal adjective of $\sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon v \omega$, to feed with wheat ( $\sigma \iota \tau \circ \varsigma$ ). The calf was kept fat for festive occasions, possibly in the hope of the son's return.

Kill ( $\theta v \sigma a t \varepsilon$ ). Not as a sacrifice, but for the feast.
Make merry ( $\varepsilon \nu \varphi \rho \alpha v \theta \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive (volitive). From $\varepsilon \cup \varphi \rho a ı v \omega$, an old verb from $\varepsilon v$ (well) and $\varphi \rho \eta \nu$ (mind).

Luke 15:24
And is alive ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha v \varepsilon\lceil\eta \sigma \varepsilon v)$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \zeta \alpha \omega$, to live again. Literally, he was dead and he came back to life.

He was lost ( $\eta v \alpha \pi o \lambda \omega \lambda \omega \varsigma$, periphrastic past perfect active of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$ and intransitive, in a lost state) and he was found ( $\varepsilon v \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta$ ). He was found, we have to say, but this aorist passive is really timeless, he is found after long waiting (effective aorist) The artists have vied with each other in picturing various items connected with this wonderful parable.

Luke 15:25
As he came and drew nigh ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \eta \gamma \gamma \iota \sigma v \nu$ ). More exactly, "As, coming, he drew nigh," for $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ is present middle participle and $\eta \gamma \gamma เ \sigma \varepsilon v$ is aorist active indicative.

Music ( $\sigma \nu \mu \varphi \omega v / \alpha \varsigma)$. Our word "symphony." An old Greek word from $\sigma \cup \mu \varphi \omega v o \varsigma$ ( $\sigma \cup v$, together, and $\varphi \omega \vee \eta$, voice or sound),
harmony, concord, by a band of musicians. Here alone in the N.T.
And dancing ( $\kappa \alpha \chi \circ \rho \omega v$ ). An old word again, but here alone in the N.T. Origin uncertain, possibly from opxoc by metathesis (opxรо $\mu$, to dance). A circular dance on the green. Luke 15:26
Servants ( $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \omega v$ ). Not $\delta o u \lambda o$ (bondslaves) as in verse 22. The Greeks often used $\pi \alpha \iota \varsigma$ for servant like the Latin puer. It could be either a hired servant ( $\mu \iota \sigma$ เoc, verse 17) or slave ( $\delta o u \lambda o \varsigma)$.

He inquired ( $\varepsilon \pi v v \theta a v \varepsilon \tau 0)$. Imperfect middle, inquired repeatedly and eagerly.
What these things might be ( $\tau \alpha \vee \varepsilon ŋ \eta \tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha$ ). Not "poor" Greek as Easton holds, but simply the form of the direct question retained in the indirect. See the direct form as the apodosis of a condition of the fourth class in Ac 17:18. In Ac 10:17 we have the construction with $\alpha v \varepsilon \eta \eta$ of the direct retained in the indirect question. So also in Lu 1:62: See Robertson, Grammar, p. 1044.

Luke 15:27
Is come ( $\eta \kappa \varepsilon$ ). Present indicative active, but a stem with perfect sense, old verb $\eta \kappa \omega$ retaining this use after perfect tenses came into use (Robertson, Grammar, p. 893).

Hath killed ( $\varepsilon \forall v \sigma \varepsilon v)$. Aorist active indicative and literally means,
did kill . Difficult to handle in English for our tenses do not correspond with the Greek.
Hath received ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist active indicative with similar difficulty of translation. Note $\alpha \pi \sigma$ in compositions, like re-in "receive," hath gotten him back ( $\alpha \pi-$ ).

Safe and sound ( $v \gamma \iota \omega v o v \tau \alpha$ ). Present active participle of $v \gamma \omega^{\circ} \nu \omega$ from $v \gamma ı \varsigma$, to be in good health. In spite of all that he has gone through and in spite of the father's fears.

Luke 15:28
But he was angry ( $\omega \rho \gamma\llcorner\sigma \theta \eta$ ). First aorist (ingressive) passive indicative. But he became angry, he flew into a rage (o $\rho \gamma \eta$ ). This was the explosion as the result of long resentment towards the wayward brother and suspicion of the father's partiality for the erring son.

Would not go in (ouk $\eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \varepsilon เ \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon เ v$ ). Imperfect tense (was not willing, refused) and aorist active (ingressive) infinitive.

Entreated ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect tense, he kept on beseeching him.
Luke 15:29
Do I serve thee ( $\delta o u \lambda \varepsilon v \omega \sigma o$ ). Progressive present tense of this old verb from $\delta o u \lambda o s$ (slave) which the elder son uses to picture his virtual slavery in staying at home and perhaps with longings to follow the younger son (Robertson, Grammar, p. 879).

Transgressed ( $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \lambda \theta o v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to pass by. Not even once (aorist) in contrast with so many years of service (linear present).

A kid ( $\varepsilon \rho!\varphi \rho v$ ). Some MSS. have $\varepsilon \rho!\varphi \vdash \circ$, diminutive, a little kid. So margin of Westcott and Hort. B has it also in Mt 25:32, the only other N.T. passage where the word occurs.

That I might make merry ( $\imath v \alpha \varepsilon \cup \varphi \rho \alpha v \theta \omega$ ). Final clause, first aorist passive subjunctive of the same verb used in verses 23,25 .

Luke 15:30
This thy son (o vos oov outoc). Contempt and sarcasm. He does not say: "This my brother."

Came ( $\eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon \nu$ ). He does not even say, came back or came home.
Devoured ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varphi \alpha \gamma \omega v$ ). We say, "eaten up," but the Greek has, "eaten down" (perfective use of ката-). Suggested by the feasting going on.

With harlots ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi о \rho v \omega v$ ). This may be true (verse 13), but the elder son did not know it to be true. He may reflect what he would have done in like case.

Luke 15:31
Son (T\&кvov). Child.
Thou ( $\sigma v$ ). Expressed and in emphatic position in the sentence. He had not appreciated his privileges at home with his father.

Luke 15:32
It was meet $(\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon)$. Imperfect tense. It expressed a necessity in the father's heart and in the joy of the return that justifies the feasting. Ev $\rho \rho a v \theta \eta \nu \alpha$ is used again (first aorist passive infinitive) and $\chi \alpha \rho \eta \nu \alpha$ (second aorist passive infinitive) is more than mere hilarity, deepseated joy. The father repeats to the elder son the language of his heart used in verse 24 to his servants. A real father could do no less. One can well imagine how completely the Pharisees and scribes (verse 2) were put to silence by these three marvellous parables. The third does it with a graphic picture of their own attitude in the case of the surly elder brother. Luke was called a painter by the ancients. Certainly he has produced a graphic pen picture here of God's love for the lost that justifies forever the coming of Christ to the world to seek and to save the lost. It glorifies also soul-saving on the part of his followers who are willing to go with Jesus after the lost in city and country, in every land and of every race.

## Luke 16

Luke 16:1
Unto the disciples ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ тov $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha \varsigma$ ). The three preceding parables in chapter 15 exposed the special faults of the Pharisees, "their hard exclusiveness, self-righteousness, and contempt for others" (Plummer). This parable is given by Luke alone. The ка (also) is not translated in the Revised Version. It seems to mean that at this same time, after speaking to the Pharisees (chapter 15), Jesus proceeds to speak a parable to the disciples ( 16:1-13), the parable of the Unjust Steward. It is a hard parable to explain, but Jesus opens the door by the key in verse 9 .

Which had a steward (os $\eta \not \subset \varepsilon v$ oוкоvo $\mu \circ v$ ). Imperfect active, continued to have. Steward is house-manager or overseer of an estate as already seen in Lu 12:42.

Was accused ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \theta \eta$ ). First aorist indicative passive, of $\delta \iota \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, an old verb, but here only in the N.T. It means to throw across or back and forth, rocks or words and so to
 (slanderer) is this same root and it is used even of women, she-devils ( $1 \mathrm{Ti} 3: 11$ ).

That he was wasting ( $\omega \varsigma \delta \iota \alpha \sigma \kappa 0 \rho \pi \iota \zeta \omega v$ ). For the verb see on 15:13. The use of $\omega \varsigma$ with the participle is a fine Greek idiom for giving the alleged ground of a charge against one.

His goods ( $\tau \alpha$ v $\pi \alpha \rho \chi \circ v \tau \alpha \alpha \cup \tau 0 v)$. "His belongings," a Lukan idiom.
Luke 16:2
What is this that I hear? ( $\tau$ тоито $\alpha \kappa о \omega \omega$;). There are several ways of understanding this terse Greek idiom. The Revised Version (above) takes $\tau$ to be equal to $\tau \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ tov $\frac{0}{}$ $\alpha \kappa о v \omega$; That is a possible use of the predicate touto. Another way is to take $\tau$ to be exclamatory, which is less likely. Still another view is that $\tau$ is " Why": "Why do I hear this about thee?" See Ac 14:15 where that is the idiom employed.

Render ( $\alpha \pi \mathrm{o} \delta \mathrm{o})$ ). Second aorist active imperative of $\alpha \pi \mathrm{o} \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, Give back (and at once).
The account ( $\tau 0 v \lambda o \gamma o v$ ). The reckoning or report. Common use of $\lambda$ oүoc.
Stewardship (oккоvонац). Same root as oוкоvоноऽ (steward). This demand does not necessarily mean dismissal if investigation proved him innocent of the charges. But the reason given implies that he is to be dismissed:

Thou canst no longer ( $o v \gamma \alpha \rho \delta u v \eta$ ).
Luke 16:3
Within himself ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha u \tau \omega)$. As soon as he had time to think the thing over carefully. He knew that he was guilty of embezzlement of the Master's funds.

Taketh away ( $\alpha \varphi \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ ). Present (linear) middle indicative of $\alpha \varphi \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb to take away. Here the middle present means, He is taking away for himself.
 ashamed to begin to beg. The participle, $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \tau \omega v$ aı $\sigma \chi \nu v o \mu \alpha$ would mean, ashamed while begging, ashamed of begging while doing it.

Luke 16:4
I am resolved $(\varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega v)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\gamma ı \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. A difficult tense to reproduce in English. I knew, I know, I have known, all miss it a bit. It is a burst of daylight to the puzzled, darkened man: I've got it, I see into it now, a sudden solution.

What to do ( $\tau \pi \circ \neg \eta \sigma \omega)$. Either deliberative first aorist active subjunctive or deliberative future active indicative.

When I am put out (o $\tau \alpha \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \omega$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive of $\mu \varepsilon \theta$ เб $\tau \eta \mu$, ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha, \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ ), old verb, to transpose, transfer, remove. He is expecting to be put out.

They may receive me ( $\delta \varepsilon \xi \omega \nu \tau \alpha$ ). First aorist middle subjunctive of $\delta \varepsilon \chi o \mu \alpha$, common verb. Subjunctive with final particle iva. He wishes to put the debtors under obligation to himself.

Debtors ( $\tau \omega v \chi \rho \varepsilon о \varphi i \lambda \varepsilon \tau \omega v$ ). A late word. In the N.T. only here and Lu 7:41 from $\chi \rho \varepsilon o \varsigma$,


Luke 16:6
Measures ( $\beta$ atovc). Transliterated word for Hebrew bath, between eight and nine gallons. Here alone in the N.T. Not the same word as $\beta$ atoc ( bush ) in Lu 6:44 .

Thy bond ( $\sigma o v \tau \alpha \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Thy writings, thy contracts, thy note.
Quickly ( $\tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. It was a secret arrangement and speed was essential.
Luke 16:7
Measures (короия). Another Hebrew word for dry measure. The Hebrew cor was about ten bushels. Data are not clear about the Hebrew measures whether liquid ( bath ) or dry ( cor ).

Luke 16:8
His lord commended ( $\varepsilon \pi \eta \imath v \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$ o кирюoৎ). The steward's lord praised him though he himself had been wronged again (see verse 1 "wasting his goods").

The unrighteous steward ( $\tau$ о оккоvо $\mu \circ \vee \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \delta ı к ⿺ 辶 \varsigma$ ). Literally, the steward of unrighteousness. The genitive is the case of genus, species, the steward distinguished by unrighteousness as his characteristic. See "the mammon of unrighteousness" in verse 9. See "the forgetful hearer" in Jas 1:25. It is a vernacular idiom common to Hebrew, Aramaic, and the Koine.

Wisely $(\varphi \rho \circ v \mu \omega \varsigma)$. An old adverb, though here alone in the N.T. But the adjective $\varphi \rho o v \mu \mathrm{o}$ from which it comes occurs a dozen times as in Mt 10:16. It is from $\varphi \rho o v \varepsilon \omega$ and that from $\varphi \rho \eta \nu$, the mind (1Co 14:20), the discerning intellect. Perhaps "shrewdly" or "discreetly" is better here than "wisely." The lord does not absolve the steward from guilt and he was apparently dismissed from his service. His shrewdness consisted in finding a place
to go by his shrewdness. He remained the steward of unrighteousness even though his shrewdness was commended.

For (o $\tau$ ). Probably by this second o Jesus means to say that he cites this example of shrewdness because it illustrates the point. "This is the moral of the whole parable. Men of the world in their dealings with men like themselves are more prudent than the children of light in their intercourse with one another" (Plummer). We all know how stupid Christians can be in their co-operative work in the kingdom of God, to go no further.

Wiser than ( $\varphi \rho о \nu \mu \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho о$ v $\tau \varepsilon \rho$ ). Shrewder beyond, a common Greek idiom.
Luke 16:9
By the mammon of unrighteousness ( $\varepsilon \kappa \operatorname{\tau ov} \mu \alpha \mu \omega v \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \delta \iota \kappa เ \alpha \varsigma$ ). By the use of what is so often evil (money). In Mt 6:24 mammon is set over against God as in Lu 16:13 below. Jesus knows the evil power in money, but servants of God have to use it for the kingdom of God. They should use it discreetly and it is proper to make friends by the use of it.

When it shall fail (o $\tau \alpha v \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \iota \pi \eta$ ). Second aorist active subjunctive with o $o \tau \alpha v$, future time. The mammon is sure to fail.

That they may receive you into the eternal tabernacles ( $\downarrow v \alpha \delta \varepsilon \xi \omega v \tau \alpha$ v $\mu \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \_\varsigma \tau \alpha$, at $\omega v$ vovৎ $\sigma \kappa \eta v a \varsigma)$. This is the purpose of Christ in giving the advice about their making friends by the use of money. The purpose is that those who have been blessed and helped by the money may give a welcome to their benefactors when they reach heaven. There is no thought here of purchasing an entrance into heaven by the use of money. That idea is wholly foreign to the context. These friends will give a hearty welcome when one gives him mammon here. The wise way to lay up treasure in heaven is to use one's money for God here on earth. That will give a cash account there of joyful welcome, not of purchased entrance.

Luke 16:10
Faithful in a very little ( $\pi เ \sigma \tau o \varsigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \chi เ \sigma \tau \omega)$. Elative superlative. One of the profoundest sayings of Christ. We see it in business life. The man who can be trusted in a very small thing will be promoted to large responsibilities. That is the way men climb to the top. Men who embezzle in large sums began with small sums. Verses 10-13 here explain the point of the preceding parables.

Luke 16:11
Faithful in the unrighteous mammon ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \alpha \delta \iota \kappa \omega \mu \alpha \mu \omega v \alpha$ ). In the use of what is considered "unrighteous" as it so often is. Condition of the first class, "if ye did not prove to be" ( $\varepsilon$ оик $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Failure here forfeits confidence in "the true riches" ( $\tau \mathrm{a} \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \iota v o v$ ). There is no sadder story than to see a preacher go down by the wrong use of money, caught in this snare of the devil.

Luke 16:12
That which is your own ( $\tau 0 \mathrm{v} \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \mathrm{v}$ ). But Westcott and Hort read to $\eta \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ (our own) because of B L Origen. The difference is due to itacism in the pronunciation of $v$-and
$\eta$ alike (long ). But the point in the passage calls for "yours" as correct. Earthly wealth is ours as a loan, a trust, withdrawn at any moment. It belongs to another $(\varepsilon v \tau \omega \alpha \lambda \lambda o \tau \rho \omega \omega)$. If you did not prove faithful in this, who will give you what is really yours forever? Compare "rich toward God" (Lu 12:21 ).

Luke 16:13
Servant (oıкะтŋऽ). Household (огкоऽ) servant. This is the only addition to Mt 6:24 where otherwise the language is precisely the same, which see. Either Matthew or Luke has put the $\lambda o y$ ov in the wrong place or Jesus spoke it twice. It suits perfectly each context. There is no real reason for objecting to repetition of favourite sayings by Jesus.

Luke 16:14
Who were lovers of money ( $\varphi \backslash \lambda \alpha \rho \gamma \cup \rho \circ$ v $\pi \alpha \rho \chi \circ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Literally, being lovers of money. $\Phi เ \lambda \alpha \rho \gamma \rho_{\rho o}$ is an old word, but in the N.T. only here and 2Ti 3:2 . It is from $\varphi \backslash \lambda o s$ and арүирос.

Heard ( $\eta$ коvov). Imperfect active, were listening (all the while Jesus was talking to the disciples (verses 1-13).

And they scoffed at him ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \mu \nu \kappa \tau \eta \rho \iota \zeta$ ov). Imperfect active again of $\varepsilon \kappa \mu \nu \kappa \tau \eta \rho \iota \zeta \omega$. LXX where late writers use simple verb. In the N.T. only here and Lu 23:35. It means to turn out or up the nose at one, to sneer, to scoff. The Romans had a phrase, naso adunco suspendere, to hang on the hooked nose (the subject of ridicule). These money-loving Pharisees were quick to see that the words of Jesus about the wise use of money applied to them. They had stood without comment the three parables aimed directly at them (the lost sheep, the lost coin, the lost son). But now they do not remain quiet while they hear the fourth parable spoken to the disciples. No words were apparently spoken, but their eyes, noses, faces were eloquent with a fine disdain.

Luke 16:15
That justify yourselves (o סıкaıovvteऽ عavtov¢). They were past-masters at that and were doing it now by upturned noses.

An abomination in the sight of God ( $\beta \delta \varepsilon \lambda \nu \gamma \mu \alpha \varepsilon v \omega \pi \iota \circ v$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v)$. See on Mt 24:15; Mr 13:14 for this LXX word for a detestable thing as when Antiochus Epiphanes set up an altar to Zeus in place of that to Jehovah. There is withering scorn in the use of this phrase by Jesus to these pious pretenders.

Luke 16:16
Entereth violently into it ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \nu \tau \tau \nu \beta\langle\alpha \zeta \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). A corresponding saying occurs in Mt 11:12 in a very different context. In both the verb $\beta ı \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \tau \alpha$, occurs also, but nowhere else in the N.T. It is present middle here and can be middle or passive in Matthew, which see. It is rare in late prose. Deissmann (Bible Studies, p. 258) cites an inscription where $\beta \iota \alpha \zeta_{o \mu \alpha}$ is reflexive middle and used absolutely. Here the meaning clearly is that everyone forces his
way into the kingdom of God, a plea for moral enthusiasm and spiritual passion and energy that some today affect to despise.

Luke 16:17
One tittle ( $\mu$ iav кع $\rho \varepsilon \alpha v$ ). See on Mt 5:18 .
Luke 16:18
Committeth adultery ( $\mu$ oxzeve). Another repeated saying of Christ (Mt 5:32; Mr 10:11f.; Mt 19:9f. ). Adultery remains adultery, divorce or no divorce, remarriage or no marriage.

Luke 16:19
He was clothed ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \delta \iota \delta v \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \sigma$ ). Imperfect middle of $\varepsilon v \delta \iota \delta v \sigma \kappa \omega$, a late intensive form of $\varepsilon v \delta v \omega$. He clothed himself in or with. It was his habit.

Purple ( $\pi о \rho \varphi \cup \rho a v)$. This purple dye was obtained from the purple fish, a species of mussel or $\mu \nu \rho \varepsilon \xi$ ( $1 \mathrm{Macc} .4: 23$ ). It was very costly and was used for the upper garment by the wealthy and princes (royal purple). They had three shades of purple (deep violet, deep scarlet or crimson, deep blue). See also Mr 15:17,20; Re 18:12 .

Fine linen ( $\beta \cup \sigma \sigma \circ v$ ).
Byssus or Egyptian flax (India and Achaia also). It is a yellowed flax from which fine linen was made for undergarments. It was used for wrapping mummies. "Some of the Egyptian linen was so fine that it was called woven air" (Vincent). Here only in the N.T. for the adjective $\beta$ voбrvos occurs in Re 18:12; 19:8,14 .

Faring sumptuously ( $\varepsilon \cup \varphi \rho \alpha \downarrow \nu \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \omega \varsigma)$.
Making merry brilliantly . The verb $\varepsilon \cup \varphi \rho a ı v o \mu \alpha$ we have already had in 12:19; $15: 23,25,32$. $\Lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \omega \varsigma$ is an old adverb from $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho o \varsigma$, brilliant, shining, splendid, magnificent. It occurs here only in the N.T. This parable apparently was meant for the Pharisees (verse 14) who were lovers of money. It shows the wrong use of money and opportunity.

Luke 16:20
Beggar ( $\pi \tau \omega \chi \circ \varsigma$ ). Original meaning of this old word. See on Mt 5:3. The name Lazarus
 with a pestilential disease.

Was laid ( $\varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \tau 0$ ). Past perfect passive of the common verb $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$. He had been flung there and was still there, "as if contemptuous roughness is implied" (Plummer).

At his gate ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau 0 v \pi \nu \lambda \omega v \alpha \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Right in front of the large portico or gateway, not necessarily a part of the grand house, porch in Mt 26:71 .

Full of sores ( $\varepsilon \iota \lambda \kappa \omega \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle of $\varepsilon \lambda \kappa \sigma \omega$, to make sore, to ulcerate, from $\varepsilon \lambda \kappa о \varsigma$, ulcer (Latin ulcus). See use of $\varepsilon \lambda \kappa о \varsigma$ in verse 21. Common in Hippocrates and other medical writers. Here only in the N.T.

Luke 16:21
With the crumbs that fell ( $\alpha \pi 0 \tau \omega v \pi \iota \pi \tau o v \tau \omega v$ ). From the things that fell from time to time. The language reminds one of Lu 15:16 (the prodigal son) and the Syro-Phoenician
woman (Mr 7:28). Only it does not follow that this beggar did not get the scraps from the rich man's table. Probably he did, though nothing more. Even the wild street dogs would get them also.

Yea, even the dogs ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ка о киขєৎ). For $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ка see also 12:7; 24:22. A $\lambda \lambda \alpha$ can mean "yea," though it often means "but." Here it depends on how one construes Luke's meaning. If he means that he was dependent on casual scraps and it was so bad that even the wild dogs moreover were his companions in misery, the climax came that he was able to drive away the dogs. The other view is that his hunger was unsatisfied, but even the dogs increased his misery.

Licked his sores ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \chi \circ v \tau \alpha \varepsilon \lambda \kappa \eta \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Imperfect active of $\varepsilon \pi i \lambda \varepsilon \iota \chi \omega$, a late vernacular Koine verb, to lick over the surface. It is not clear whether the licking of the sores by the dogs added to the misery of Lazarus or gave a measure of comfort, as he lay in his helpless condition. "Furrer speaks of witnessing dogs and lepers waiting together for the refuse" (Bruce). It was a scramble between the dogs and Lazarus.

Luke 16:22
Was borne $(\alpha \pi \varepsilon v \varepsilon \chi \theta \eta v \alpha)$. First aorist passive infinitive from $\alpha \pi \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, a common compound defective verb. The accusative case of general reference ( $\alpha v \tau \sigma v$ ) is common with the infinitive in such clauses after $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \sigma$, like indirect discourse. It is his soul, of course, that was so borne by the angels, not his body.

Into Abraham's bosom ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau o v$ о $\lambda \pi \sigma \nu \mathrm{A} \beta \rho \alpha \alpha \mu$ ). To be in Abraham's bosom is to the Jew to be in Paradise. In Joh 1:18 the Logos is in the bosom of the Father. Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob are in heaven and welcome those who come (Mt 8:11; 4Macc. 14:17). The beloved disciple reclined on the bosom of Jesus at the last passover (Joh 13:23) and this fact indicates special favour. So the welcome to Lazarus was unusual.

Was buried ( $\varepsilon \tau \alpha \varphi \eta$ ). Second aorist (effective) passive of the common verb $\theta a \pi \tau \omega$. Apparently in contrast with the angelic visitation to the beggar.

Luke 16:23
In Hades ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega$ Haı $\delta \eta$ ). See on Mt 16:18 for discussion of this word. Lazarus was in Hades also for both Paradise (Abraham's bosom) and Gehenna are in the unseen world beyond the grave.

In torments ( $\varepsilon \nu \beta \alpha \sigma \alpha v o \iota s)$. The touchstone by which gold and other metals were tested, then the rack for torturing people. Old word, but in the N.T. only here, Lu 16:28; Mt 4:24 .

Sees ( $o \rho \alpha$ ). Dramatic present indicative. The Jews believed that Gehenna and Paradise were close together. This detail in the parable does not demand that we believe it. The picture calls for it.

From afar ( $\alpha \pi о \mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Pleonastic use of $\alpha \pi о$ as $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta \varepsilon v$ means
from afar .
Luke 16:24

That he may dip (ıva $\beta \alpha \psi \eta$ ). First aorist active subjunctive of $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \omega$, common verb, to dip.

In water (vסatoc). Genitive, the specifying case, water and not something else.
Cool ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \psi \nu \xi \eta)$. First aorist active subjunctive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \psi v \chi \omega$, a late Greek compound, to cool off, to make cool. Only here in the N.T. but common in medical books. Note perfective use of kata- (down). A small service that will be welcome.

For I am in anguish (o o o $v v \omega \omega \mu$ ). The active has a causative sense to cause intense pain, the middle to torment oneself ( $\operatorname{Lu}$ 2:48; Ac 20:38) , the passive to be translated as here. Common verb, but no other examples in the N.T.

Luke 16:25
Receivedst ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). Second aorist indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, old verb to get back what is promised and in full. See also $\mathrm{Lu} 6: 34 ; 18: 30 ; 23: 41$.

Evil things ( $\tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha$ ). Not "his," but "the evil things" that came upon him.
Thou art in anguish (o $\delta u v a \sigma \alpha$ ). Like каuұ $\alpha \sigma \alpha$ in Ro 2:17. They contracted - $\alpha \varepsilon \sigma \alpha$ without the loss of $\varsigma$. Common in the Koine.

Luke 16:26
Beside all this ( $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha \sigma$ тov $\tau o \iota)$.
In all these things (or regions).
Gulf ( $\chi \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha$ ). An old word from $\chi \alpha \iota \nu \omega$, to yawn, our chasm, a gaping opening. Only here in the N.T.

Is fixed ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \rho ı \kappa \tau \alpha)$. Perfect passive indicative of $\sigma \tau \eta \rho!\zeta \omega$, old verb (see on Lu 9:51). Permanent chasm.

May not be able ( $\mu \eta \delta v v \omega v \tau \alpha$ ). Present middle subjunctive of $\delta v v a \mu \alpha$. The chasm is there on purpose ( that not, $o \pi \omega \varsigma \mu \eta$ ) to prevent communication.

Luke 16:27
That you send him (iva $\pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \eta \iota \varsigma \alpha v \tau o v)$. As if he had not had a fair warning and opportunity. The Roman Catholics probably justify prayer to saints from this petition from the Rich Man to Abraham, but both are in Hades (the other world). It is to be observed besides, that Abraham makes no effort to communicate with the five brothers. But heavenly recognition is clearly assumed. Dante has a famous description of his visit to the damned (Purg. iii, 114).

Luke 16:28
That he may testify ( $\mathrm{o} \pi \omega \varsigma \delta ı \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \eta \tau \alpha$ ). An old verb for solemn and thorough ( $\delta ı \alpha-$ ) witness. The Rich Man labours under the delusion that his five brothers will believe the testimony of Lazarus as a man from the dead.

Luke 16:29

Let them hear them ( $\alpha \kappa о \cup \sigma \alpha \tau \omega \sigma \alpha v \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Even the heathen have the evidence of nature to show the existence of God as Paul argues in Romans so that they are without excuse (Ro 1:20f.).

Luke 16:30
They will repent ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \eta \sigma o v \sigma \iota v$ ). The Rich Man had failed to do this and he now sees that it is the one thing lacking. It is not wealth, not poverty, not alms, not influence, but repentance that is needed. He had thought repentance was for others, not for all.

Luke 16:31
Neither will they be persuaded (ov $\delta^{\prime} \pi \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha$ ). First future passive of $\pi \varepsilon 1 \theta \omega$. Gressmann calls attention to the fact that Jesus is saying this in the conclusion of the parable. It is a sharp discouragement against efforts today to communicate with the dead. "Saul was not led to repentance when he saw Samuel at Endor nor were the Pharisees when they saw Lazarus come forth from the tomb. The Pharisees tried to put Lazarus to death and to explain away the resurrection of Jesus" (Plummer). Alford comments on the curious fact that Lazarus was the name of the one who did rise from the dead but whose return from the dead "was the immediate exciting cause of their (Pharisees) crowning act of unbelief."

## Luke 17

Luke 17:1
It is impossible ( $\alpha v \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \kappa \tau o v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). See ouk $\varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ in 13:33. Alpha privative ( $\alpha v-$-) and $\varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \kappa \tau о \varsigma$, verbal adjective, from $\varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. The word occurs only in late Greek and only here in the N.T. The meaning is inadmissible, unallowable.

But that occasions of stumbling should come ( $\tau 0 v \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \eta \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon ı v$ ). This genitive articular infinitive is not easy to explain. In Ac 10:25 there is another example where the genitive articular infinitive seems to be used as a nominative (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1040). The loose Hebrew infinitive construction may have a bearing here, but one may recall that the original infinitives were either locatives $(-\varepsilon v)$ or datives $(-\alpha)$. T $\alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \nu \delta \alpha \lambda \alpha$ is simply the accusative of general reference. Literally, the not coming as to occasions of stumbling. For $\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda$ ov (a trap) see on Mt 5:29; 16:23. It is here only in Luke. The positive form of this saying appears in Mt 18:7, which see.

Luke 17:2
It were well for him ( $\lambda v \sigma i \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega)$. An old word, but only here in the N.T., from $\lambda v \sigma \iota \tau \lambda \lambda \eta \varsigma$ and this from $\lambda v \omega$, to pay, and $\tau \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda \eta$, the taxes. So it pays the taxes, it returns expenses, it is profitable. Literally here, "It is profitable for him" (dative case, $\alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). Matthew has $\sigma \nu \mu \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$ (it is advantageous, bears together for).

If a millstone were hanged ( $\left.\varepsilon \lambda_{\lambda} \theta_{0} \varsigma \mu \nu \lambda_{\iota \kappa}<\varsigma \pi \varepsilon \rho เ \kappa \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha\right)$. Literally, "if a millstone is hanged." Present passive indicative from $\pi \varepsilon \rho \not \kappa \varepsilon \mu \alpha$ (to lie or be placed around). It is used as a perfect passive of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau 1 \theta \eta \mu$. So it is a first-class condition, determined as fulfilled, not second-class as the English translations imply. Mu $\lambda_{ı}$ кос is simply a stone ( $\lambda_{\imath} \theta \circ \varsigma$ ), belonging to a mill. Here only in the text of Westcott and Hort, not in Mr 9:42 which is like Mt 18:6 $\mu \nu \lambda o s$ ovıкos where the upper millstone is turned by an ass, which see.

Were thrown ( $\varepsilon \rho \rho \iota \pi \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative from $\rho \iota \pi \tau \omega$, old verb. Literally, is thrown or has been thrown or cast or hurled. Mark has $\beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \tau \alpha$ and Matthew кат $\alpha \pi \sigma v \tau 1 \sigma \theta \eta$, which see, all three verbs vivid and expressive. Rather than $(\eta)$. The comparative is not here expressed before $\eta$ as one would expect. It is implied in $\lambda v \sigma i \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$. See the same idiom in Lu 15:7.

Luke 17:3
If thy brother $\sin (\varepsilon \alpha v \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta)$. Second aorist (ingressive) subjunctive in condition of third class.

Luke 17:4
Seven times in a day ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \kappa \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. Seven times within the day. On another occasion Peter's question (Mt 18:21) brought Christ's answer "seventy times seven" (verse 22), which see. Seven times during the day would be hard enough for the same offender.

Luke 17:5

Increase ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Second aorist active imperative of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau 1 \theta \eta \mu$, to add to. Bruce thinks that this sounds much like the stereotyped petition in church prayers. A little reflection will show that they should answer the prayer themselves.

Luke 17:6
If ye have ( $\varepsilon \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon)$. Condition of the first class, assumed to be true.
Ye would say ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \alpha v$ ). Imperfect active with $\alpha v$ and so a conclusion (apodosis) of the second class, determined as unfulfilled, a mixed condition therefore.

Sycamine tree ( $\sigma \cup \kappa \alpha \mu \nu \omega)$. At the present time both the black mulberry (sycamine) and the white mulberry (sycamore) exist in Palestine. Luke alone in the N.T. uses either word, the sycamine here, the sycamore in 19:4. The distinction is not observed in the LXX, but it is observed in the late Greek medical writers for both trees have medicinal properties. Hence it may be assumed that Luke, as a physician, makes the distinction. Both trees differ from the English sycamore. In Mt 17:20 we have "mountain" in place of "sycamine tree."

Be thou rooted up ( $\varepsilon \kappa \rho \iota \zeta \omega \theta \eta \tau)$. First aorist passive imperative as is $\varphi \cup \tau \varepsilon \cup \theta \eta \tau$.
Would have obeyed (v $\quad \eta \kappa \sigma \cup \sigma \varepsilon v \alpha v$ ). First aorist active indicative with $\alpha \nu$, apodosis of a second-class condition (note aorist tense here, imperfect $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ).

Luke 17:7
Sit down to meat (avađع $\sigma \varepsilon$ ). Recline (for the meal). Literally, fall up (or back).
Luke 17:8
And will not rather say ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ovk $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$ ).
But will not say? Оvк in a question expects the affirmative answer.
Gird thyself ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \downharpoonright \zeta \omega \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Direct middle first aorist participle of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \downharpoonright \zeta \omega v \nu v \mu$, to gird around.

Till I have eaten and drunken ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varphi \alpha \gamma \omega \kappa \alpha \pi \omega \omega$ ). More exactly, till I eat and drink. The second aorist subjunctives are not future perfects in any sense, simply punctiliar action, effective aorist.

Thou shalt eat and drink ( $\varphi \propto \gamma \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \kappa \alpha \pi \not \approx \sigma \alpha$ ). Future middle indicative second person singular, the uncontracted forms $-\varepsilon \sigma \alpha$ as often in the Koine. These futures are from the aorist stems $\varepsilon \varphi a \nless \circ$ and $\varepsilon \pi \iota \circ v$ without sigma.

Luke 17:9
Does he thank? ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \chi^{\varepsilon} \chi \alpha \rho ı v ;$ ). M $\eta$ expects the negative answer. E $\chi \omega \chi \alpha \rho ı \nu$, to have gratitude toward one, is an old Greek idiom (1Ti 1:12; 2Ti 1:3; Heb 12:28).

Luke 17:10
Unprofitable (aүpعıo). The Syriac Sinaitic omits "unprofitable." The word is common in Greek literature, but in the N.T. only here and Mt 25:30 where it means "useless" ( $\alpha$ privative and $\chi \rho \varepsilon$ гос from $\chi \rho \alpha o \mu \alpha$, to use). The slave who only does what he is commanded by his master to do has gained no merit or credit. "In point of fact it is not commands, but
demands we have to deal with, arising out of special emergencies" (Bruce). The slavish spirit gains no promotion in business life or in the kingdom of God.

Luke 17:11
Through the midst of Samaria and Galilee ( $\delta ı \alpha \mu \varepsilon \sigma о v ~ \Sigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho ı \alpha \varsigma ~ к \alpha ~ Г \alpha \lambda ı \lambda \alpha ı \alpha \varsigma) . ~ T h i s ~$ is the only instance in the N.T. of $\delta \iota a$ with the accusative in the local sense of "through." Xenophon and Plato use $\delta \iota \alpha \mu \varepsilon \sigma o v$ (genitive). Jesus was going from Ephraim (Joh 11:54) north through the midst of Samaria and Galilee so as to cross over the Jordan near Bethshean and join the Galilean caravan down through Perea to Jerusalem. The Samaritans did not object to people going north away from Jerusalem, but did not like to see them going south towards the city (Lu 9:51-56).

Luke 17:12
Which stood afar off (o $\alpha v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v \pi o \rho \rho \omega \theta \varepsilon v$ ). The margin of Westcott and Hort reads simply $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a v$. The compound read by B means "rose up," but they stood at a distance (Le 13:45f. ). The first healing of a leper ( 5:12-16) like this is given by Luke only.

Luke 17:13
Lifted up ( $\eta \rho \alpha v$ ). First aorist active of the liquid verb aı $\rho \omega$.
Luke 17:14
As they went ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega v \pi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon เ v a v \tau o v ¢)$. Favourite Lukan idiom of $\varepsilon v$ with articular infinitive as in 17:11 and often.

Luke 17:16
And he was a Samaritan ( $\left.\kappa \alpha \alpha \cup \tau о \varsigma ~ \eta \nu \sum a \mu \alpha \rho \varepsilon ı \tau \eta \varsigma\right)$. This touch colours the whole incident. The one man who felt grateful enough to come back and thank Jesus for the blessing was a despised Samaritan. The autoc has point here.

Luke 17:18
 a $\lambda \lambda$ oүعvŋऽ occurs in the LXX, Josephus, and inscriptions. Deissmann (Light from the Ancient East, p. 80) gives the inscription from the limestone block from the Temple of Israel in Jerusalem which uses this very word which may have been read by Jesus:

Let no foreigner enter within the screen and enclosure surrounding the sanctuary


Luke 17:20
With observation ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Late Greek word from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to watch closely. Only here in the N.T. Medical writers use it of watching the symptoms of disease. It is used also of close astronomical observations. But close watching of external phenomena will not reveal the signs of the kingdom of God.

Luke 17:21
Within you ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau o \varsigma v \mu \omega v$ ). This is the obvious, and, as I think, the necessary meaning

"among" do not bear that out when investigated. Field (Ot. Norv.) "contends that there is no clear instance of $\varepsilon v \tau o s$ in the sense of among" (Bruce), and rightly so. What Jesus says to the Pharisees is that they, as others, are to look for the kingdom of God within themselves, not in outward displays and supernatural manifestations. It is not a localized display "Here" or "There." It is in this sense that in Lu 11:20 Jesus spoke of the kingdom of God as "come upon you" ( $\left.\varepsilon \varphi \theta \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} v \mu \alpha \varsigma\right)$, speaking to Pharisees. The only other instance of $\varepsilon v \tau \sigma \varsigma$ in the N.T. (Mt 23:26 ) necessarily means "within" ("the inside of the cup"). There is, beside, the use of evtoc meaning "within" in the Oxyrhynchus Papyrus saying of Jesus of the Third Century (Deissmann, Light from the Ancient East, p. 426) which is interesting: "The kingdom of heaven is within you" ( $\varepsilon v \tau о \varsigma ~ v \mu \omega v$ as here in Lu 17:21).

Luke 17:23
Go not away nor follow after them ( $\mu \eta \alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \delta \omega \omega \xi \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Westcott and Hort bracket $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon$. Note aorist subjunctive with $\mu \eta$ in prohibition, ingressive aorist. Do not rush after those who set times and places for the second advent. The Messiah was already present in the first advent (verse 21) though the Pharisees did not know it.

Luke 17:24
Lighteneth ( $\alpha \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \pi \tau o v \sigma \alpha$ ). An old and common verb, though only here and 24:4 in the N.T. The second coming will be sudden and universally visible. There are still some poor souls who are waiting in Jerusalem under the delusion that Jesus will come there and nowhere else.

Luke 17:25
But first ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \operatorname{\tau } \delta \delta \varepsilon$ ). The second coming will be only after the Cross.
Luke 17:27
They ate, they drank, they married, they were given in marriage ( $\eta \sigma \theta \iota \circ v, \varepsilon \pi \imath v o v$, $\varepsilon \gamma \alpha \mu \circ \nu \nu, \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \mu \iota \zeta$ оvтo). Imperfects all of them vividly picturing the life of the time of Noah. But the other tenses are aorists (Noah entered $\varepsilon เ \sigma \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$, the flood came $\eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$, destroyed $\alpha \pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v)$.

Luke 17:28
Note the same sharp contrast between the imperfects here (ate $\eta \sigma \theta$ เov,
drank emivov,
bought $\eta$ үора弓оv,
sold $\varepsilon \pi \omega \lambda$ ouv,
planted $\varepsilon \varphi u \tau \varepsilon \cup o v$,
builded $\omega$ וкoסo $\mu \circ v v$ ) and the aorists in verse 29 ( went out $\varepsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$,
rained $\varepsilon \beta \rho \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon v$,
destroyed $\alpha \pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v)$.
Luke 17:30
Is revealed ( $\alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \lambda \cup \pi \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Prophetic and futuristic present passive indicative.

## Luke 17:31

Let him not go down ( $\mu \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \tau \omega$ ). Second aorist active imperative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \iota \nu \omega$ with $\mu \eta$ in a prohibition in the third person singular. The usual idiom here would be $\mu \eta$ and the aorist subjunctive. See Mr 13:15f.; Mt 24:17f. when these words occur in the great eschatological discussion concerning flight before the destruction of Jerusalem. Here the application is "absolute indifference to all worldly interests as the attitude of readiness for the Son of Man" (Plummer).

Luke 17:32
Remember Lot's wife ( $\mu \nu \eta \mu \circ v \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \cup v a \iota \kappa ๐ \varsigma \omega \tau$ ). Here only in the N.T. A pertinent illustration to warn against looking back with yearning after what has been left behind (Ge 19:26).

Luke 17:33
Shall preserve it ( $\zeta \omega 0 \gamma 0 v \eta \sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \eta v$ ). Or save it alive. Here only in the N.T. except 1 Ti 6:13; Ac 7:19. It is a late word and common in medical writers, to bring forth alive ( $\zeta \omega 0 \sigma$, $\gamma \varepsilon \nu \omega)$ and here to keep alive.

Luke 17:34
In that night ( $\tau \alpha v \tau \eta \tau \eta \nu v \kappa \tau)$. More vivid still, "on this night," when Christ comes.
Luke 17:35
Shall be grinding ( $\varepsilon \sigma o v \tau \alpha \alpha \lambda \eta \theta o v \sigma \alpha)$. Periphrastic future active indicative of $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \omega$, an old verb only in the N.T. here and Mt 24:41 .

Together ( $\varepsilon \pi$ тo $\alpha v \tau 0$ ). In the same place, near together as in Ac 2:1.
Luke 17:37
The eagles ( o aعтo). Or the vultures attracted by the carcass. This proverb is quoted also in Mt 24:28. See Job 39:27-30; Heb 1:8; Ho 8:1. Double compound ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota-\sigma v v-$ ) in $\varepsilon \pi \iota-$ $\sigma v v-\alpha \chi \theta \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha$ completes the picture.

## Luke 18

Luke 18:1
To the end that ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ to $\delta \varepsilon เ v$ ).
With a view to the being necessary, $\pi \rho o \varsigma$ and the articular infinitive. The impersonal verb $\delta \varepsilon$ here is in the infinitive and has another infinitive loosely connected with it $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon u \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta a$, to pray.

Not to faint ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \varepsilon เ v$ ). Literally, not to give in to evil ( $\varepsilon v$, как $\varepsilon \omega$, from какос, bad or evil), to turn coward, lose heart, behave badly. A late verb used several times in the N.T. (2Co 4:1,16, etc.).

Luke 18:2
Regarded not ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \nu \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Present middle participle of $\varepsilon \nu \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega$, old verb, to turn one on himself, to shame one, to reverence one. This was a "hard-boiled" judge who knew no one as his superior. See on Mt 21:37.

Luke 18:3
Came oft ( $\eta \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau 0$ ). Imperfect tense denotes repetitions, no adverb for "oft" in the Greek.
Avenge me of ( $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \kappa \kappa \eta \sigma o v \mu \varepsilon \alpha \pi о$ ). A late verb for doing justice, protecting one from another (note both $\varepsilon \kappa$ and $\alpha \pi \mathrm{o}$, here). Deissmann (Light from the Ancient East, pp. 420ff.) quotes a $\sigma \tau \eta \lambda \eta$ of the second century B.C. with a prayer for vengeance for a Jewish girl that had been murdered which has this very verb $\varepsilon \kappa \delta ı \kappa \varepsilon \omega$.

Luke 18:4
He would not (ouk $\eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect tense of continued refusal.
Though ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ). Concerning sentence, not $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon$ (even if).
Luke 18:5
Yet ( $\gamma \varepsilon$ ). Delicate intensive particle of deep feeling as here.
Because this widow troubleth me ( $\delta ı \alpha$ то $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon เ v \mu о$ копо $\nu \tau \eta \nu \chi \eta \rho \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \nu \tau \eta v$ ). Literally, because of the furnishing me trouble as to this widow (accusative of general reference with the articular infinitive).

Lest she wear me out ( $\llcorner v \alpha \mu \eta \nu \pi \omega \pi \tau \alpha \zeta \eta \mu \varepsilon$ ). Some take it that the judge is actually afraid that the widow may come and assault him, literally beat him under the eye. That idea would be best expressed here by the aorist tense.

## Luke 18:6

The unrighteous judge (о крıтпऽ $\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \delta ı \kappa ı \alpha)$ ). The judge of unrighteousness (marked by unrighteousness), as in 16:8 we have "the steward of unrighteousness," the same idiom.

Luke 18:7
And he is longsuffering ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta \nu \mu \varepsilon$ ). This present active indicative comes in awkwardly after the aorist subjunctive $\pi o \neq \sigma \eta$ after ov $\mu \eta$, but this part of the question is positive. Probably ка here means "and yet" as so often (Joh 9:30; 16:32, etc.). God delays taking
vengeance on behalf of his people, not through indifference, but through patient forbearance.
Luke 18:8
Howbeit ( $\pi \lambda \eta \nu$ ). It is not clear whether this sentence is also a question or a positive statement. There is no way to decide. Either will make sense though not quite the same sense. The use of $\alpha \rho \alpha$ before $\varepsilon v \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ seems to indicate a question expecting a negative answer as in Ac 8:30; Ro 14:19. But here apa comes in the middle of the sentence instead of near the beginning, an unusual position for either inferential $\alpha \rho \alpha$ or interrogative $\alpha \rho \alpha$. On the whole the interrogative $\alpha \rho \alpha$ is probably correct, meaning to question if the Son will find a persistence of faith like that of the widow.

Luke 18:9
 ou $\delta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \omega$, from ou $\theta \varepsilon v$ (ou $\delta \varepsilon v$ ), to consider or treat as nothing. In LXX and chiefly in Luke and Paul in the N.T.

Luke 18:10
Stood ( $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon ı)$ ). First aorist passive participle of $\iota \tau \tau \eta \mu$. Struck an attitude ostentatiously where he could be seen. Standing was the common Jewish posture in prayer (Mt 6:5; Mr 11:25).

Prayed thus (тavта $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta \cup \chi \varepsilon \tau 0)$. Imperfect middle, was praying these things (given following).

With himself ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau 0 v)$. A soliloquy with his own soul, a complacent recital of his own virtues for his own self-satisfaction, not fellowship with God, though he addresses God.

I thank thee ( $\varepsilon v \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega \sigma 0$ ). But his gratitude to God is for his own virtues, not for God's mercies to him. One of the rabbis offers a prayer like this of gratitude that he was in a class by himself because he was a Jew and not a Gentile, because he was a Pharisee and not of the am-haaretz or common people, because he was a man and not a woman.

Extortioners ( $\alpha \rho \pi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \varsigma)$. An old word, $\alpha \rho \pi \alpha \xi$ from same root as $\alpha \rho \pi \alpha \zeta \omega$, to plunder. An adjective of only one gender, used of robbers and plunderers, grafters, like the publicans (Lu 3:13 ), whether wolves (Mt 7:15 ) or men (1Co 5:19f. ). The Pharisee cites the crimes of which he is not guilty.

Or even ( $\eta \kappa \alpha$ ). As the climax of iniquity (Bruce), he points to "this publican." Zaccheus will admit robbery (Lu 19:8).

God (o $\theta$ roc). Nominative form with the article as common with the vocative use of $\theta$ zoc (so verse 13; Joh 20:28).

Luke 18:12
Twice in the week ( $\delta \iota \varsigma$ tov $\sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau \sigma 0$ ). One fast a year was required by the law (Le 16:29; Nu 29:7 ). The Pharisees added others, twice a week between passover and pentecost, and between tabernacles and dedication of the temple.

I get ( $\kappa \tau \omega \mu \alpha$ ). Present middle indicative, not perfect middle $\kappa \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \mu \alpha$ (I possess). He gave a tithe of his income, not of his property.

Luke 18:13
Standing afar off ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \circ \theta \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \varsigma)$. Second perfect active participle of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, intransitive like $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma$ above. But no ostentation as with the Pharisee in verse 11. At a distance from the Pharisee, not from the sanctuary.

Would not lift (ouk $\eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v$ ov $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ). Negatives (double) imperfect of
thelo , was not willing even to lift up, refused to lift ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \alpha$, first aorist active infinitive of the liquid compound verb, $\varepsilon \pi-\alpha \imath \rho \omega)$. Smote ( $\varepsilon \tau v \pi \tau \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active of $\tau v \pi \tau \omega$, old verb, kept on smiting or beating. Worshippers usually lifted up their closed eyes to God.

Be merciful ( $\lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \tau)$. First aorist passive imperative of $\lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \kappa \circ \mu \alpha$, an old verb, found also in LXX and inscriptions ( $\varepsilon \xi \backslash \lambda \alpha \sigma \kappa о \mu \alpha$, Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 224).

A sinner ( $\tau \omega \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda \omega)$. The sinner, not a sinner. It is curious how modern scholars ignore this Greek article. The main point in the contrast lies in this article. The Pharisee thought of others as sinners. The publican thinks of himself alone as the sinner, not of others at all.

Luke 18:14
This man (outoc). This despised publican referred to contemptuously in verse 11 as "this" (ovtoc) publican.

Rather than the other ( $\left.\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o v\right)$ ). In comparison with (placed beside) that one. A neat Greek idiom after the perfect passive participle $\delta \varepsilon \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$.

For (ot). This moral maxim Christ had already used in 14:11. Plummer pertinently asks: "Why is it assumed that Jesus did not repeat his sayings?"

Luke 18:15
They brought ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ). Imperfect active, they were bringing. So Mr 10:13 .
Their babes ( $\tau \alpha \beta \rho \varepsilon \varphi \eta$ ). Old word for
infants . Here Mr 10:13; Mt 19:13 have $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha$ (little children). Note "also" ( $\kappa \alpha$ ) in Luke, not in Mark and Matthew.

That he should touch them (iva $\alpha v \tau \omega v \alpha \pi \tau \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Present middle subjunctive (linear action, repeatedly touch or one after the other), where Mr 10:13 has aorist middle subjunctive ( $\alpha \psi \eta \tau \alpha$ ).

Rebuked $(\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \mid \mu \omega v)$. Imperfect indicative active. Either inchoative began to rebuke, or continued, kept on rebuking. Matthew and Mark have the aorist $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \tau \mu \eta \sigma \alpha v$.

Luke 18:16
Called ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \tau о)$. Indirect middle aorist indicative, called the children with their parents to himself and then rebuked the disciples for their rebuke of the parents. The language of Jesus is precisely that of Mr 10:14 which see, and nearly that of Mt 19:14 which see also. The plea of Jesus that children be allowed to come to him is one that many parents
need to heed. It is a tragedy to think of parents "forbidding" their children or of preachers doing the same or of both being stumbling-blocks to children.

Luke 18:17
As a little child ( $\omega \varsigma \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota v$ ). Jesus makes the child the model for those who seek entrance into the kingdom of God, not the adult the model for the child. He does not say that the child is already in the kingdom without coming to him. Jesus has made the child's world by understanding the child and opening the door for him.

## Luke 18:18

Ruler ( $\alpha \rho \chi \omega v$ ). Not in Mr 10:17; Mt 19:16.
What shall I do to inherit? (T лопп $\sigma \varsigma ~ к \lambda \eta \rho о v о \mu \eta \sigma \omega ;$ ). "By doing what shall I inherit?" Aorist active participle and future active indicative. Precisely the same question is asked by the lawyer in Lu 10:25. This young man probably thought that by some one act he could obtain eternal life. He was ready to make a large expenditure for it.

Good ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ ov). See on Mr 10:17; Mt 19:16 for discussion of this adjective for absolute goodness. Plummer observes that no Jewish rabbi was called "good" in direct address. The question of Jesus will show whether it was merely fulsome flattery on the part of the young man or whether he really put Jesus on a par with God. He must at any rate define his attitude towards Christ.

Luke 18:22
One thing thou lackest yet ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon v \sigma o \lambda \varepsilon ı \pi \varepsilon$ ). Literally, one thing still fails thee or is wanting to thee. An old verb with the dative of personal interest. Mr 10:21 has here votepe $\sigma \varepsilon$, which see. It was an amazing compliment for one who was aiming at perfection (Mt 19:21 ). The youth evidently had great charm and was sincere in his claims.

Distribute ( $\delta \iota \alpha \delta \varsigma)$ ). Second aorist active imperative of $\delta \iota \alpha \delta \iota \omega \mu$ (give to various ones, $\delta ı \alpha-$ ). Here Mark and Matthew simply have $\delta$ o $\varsigma$ (give). The rest the same in all three Gospels.

Luke 18:23
Became ( $\varepsilon \not \varepsilon \varepsilon v \eta \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\gamma$ ıvo $\mu \alpha$. Like his countenance fell (бтvүvaбac), in Mr 10:22.

Exceedingly sorrowful ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \wedge \lambda \cup \pi \circ \varsigma)$. Old adjective ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota, \lambda \cup \pi \eta$ ) with perfective use of $\pi \varepsilon \rho$.

Very rich ( $\pi \lambda$ дovoıo $\sigma \varphi o \delta \rho \alpha$ ). Rich exceedingly. Today, a multimillionaire.
Luke 18:24
Shall they enter ( $\varepsilon$ Iotopsvov $\tau \alpha$ ). Present middle indicative, futuristic present.
Luke 18:25
Through a needle's eye ( $\delta ı \alpha \tau \eta \eta \mu \tau \sigma \varsigma \beta \varepsilon \lambda$ ov $\bar{\varsigma}$ ). Both words are old. T $\rho \eta \mu \alpha$ means a perforation or hole or eye and in the N.T. only here and Mt 19:24 . Be $\lambda$ ov $\eta$ means originally the point of a spear and then a surgeon's needle. Here only in the N.T. Mr 10:25; Mt 19:24
have $\rho a \varphi \iota \delta$ oc for needle. This is probably a current proverb for the impossible. The Talmud twice speaks of an elephant passing through the eye of a needle as being impossible.

Luke 18:26
Then who ( $\kappa \alpha$ тıৎ). Literally,
and who. The ka calls attention to what has just been said. Wealth was assumed to be mark of divine favour, not a hindrance to salvation.

Luke 18:27
The impossible with men possible with God ( $\tau \alpha \alpha \delta v v a \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi о \iota \varsigma ~ \delta u v a \tau \alpha$ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). Paradoxical, but true. Take your stand "beside" ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha)$ God and the impossible becomes possible. Clearly then Jesus meant the humanly impossible by the parabolic proverb about the camel going through the needle's eye. God can break the grip of gold on a man's life, but even Jesus failed with this young ruler.

Luke 18:28
Our own ( $\tau \alpha \_\delta \iota \alpha$ ). Our own things (home, business, etc.). Right here is where so many fail. Peter speaks here not in a spirit of boastfulness, but rather with his reactions from their consternation at what has happened and at the words of Jesus (Plummer).

Luke 18:30
Shall not receive (ov $\mu \eta \lambda \alpha \beta \eta$ ). Very strong double negative with aorist active subjunctive of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$.

Manifold more ( $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \lambda \alpha \sigma \circ \sigma \alpha)$. Late Greek word, here alone in the N.T. save Mt 19:29 where Westcott and Hort have it though many MSS. there read єкатоvт入абıova (a hundredfold) as in Mr 10:30 .

Luke 18:31
Took unto him ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \omega v$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$. Taking along with himself. So Mr 10:32 . Mt 20:17 adds $\kappa$ at' $\delta \operatorname{\delta av}$ (apart). Jesus is making a special point of explaining his death to the Twelve.

We go up ( $\alpha v \alpha \beta a i v o \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Present active indicative, we are going up.
Unto the Son of man ( $\tau \omega v \omega \omega$ tov $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ ). Dative case of personal interest. The position is amphibolous and the construction makes sense either with "shall be accomplished" ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ) or "that are written" ( $\tau \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ ), probably the former. Compare these minute details of the prophecy here (verses 32f. ) with the words in Mr 10:33f.; Mt 20:18f. , which see.

Luke 18:33
The third day ( $\tau \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \tau \eta \tau \rho \iota \tau \eta$ ). The day the third. In Mt 20:19 it is "the third day" while in Mr 10:34 "after three days" occurs in the same sense, which see.

Luke 18:34
And they perceived not ( $\kappa \alpha$ ovk $\varepsilon \gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa o v$ ). Imperfect active. They kept on not perceiving. Twice already Luke has said this in the same sentence.

They understood none of these things (ov $\delta \varepsilon \tau \tau 0 \tau \tau \omega v \sigma \cup v \eta \kappa \alpha v$ ). First aorist active indicative, a summary statement.

This saying was hid from them ( $\eta \nu \tau$ то $\rho \eta \mu \alpha$ тоvто кєкрv $\mu \mu \varepsilon v o \nu \alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Past perfect passive indicative (periphrastic), state of completion. It was a puzzling experience. No wonder that Luke tries three times to explain the continued failure of the apostles to understand Jesus. The words of Christ about his death ran counter to all their hopes and beliefs.

Luke 18:35
Unto Jericho ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \chi \chi \omega$ ). See on Mt 20:29; Mr 10:46, for discussion of the two Jerichos in Mark and Matt. (the old and the new as here).

Begging ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \tau \omega v$ ). Asking for something. He probably was by the wayside between the old Jericho and the new Roman Jericho. Mark gives his name Bartimaeus ( 10:46). Mt 20:30 mentions two.

Luke 18:36
Inquired (عпиv $\begin{aligned} & \text { aveto). Imperfect middle. Repeatedly inquired as he heard the tramp }\end{aligned}$


What this meant (T $\varepsilon$ घ $\eta$ тоито). Literally, What it was. Without $\alpha v$ the optative is due to indirect discourse, changed from $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \tau v$. With $\alpha v$ (margin of Westcott and Hort) the potential optative of the direct discourse is simply retained.

Luke 18:37
Passeth by ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Present middle indicative retained in indirect discourse as $\pi \alpha \rho a \gamma \varepsilon$ is in Mt 20:30. No reason for differences of English tenses in the two passages (was passing by, passeth by).

Luke 18:38
He cried ( $\varepsilon \beta \circ \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Old verb, $\beta$ oa $\omega$, to shout, as in 9:38.
Son of David (vı $\Delta a v \varepsilon i \delta$ ). Shows that he recognizes Jesus as the Messiah.
Luke 18:39
That he should hold his peace (iva $\sigma \gamma \gamma \eta \sigma \eta$ ). Ingressive aorist subjunctive. That he should become silent; as with $1 v \alpha \sigma \omega \pi \pi \eta \sigma \eta$ in Mr 10:48.

The more a great deal ( $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \lambda \omega \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$ ). By much more as in Mr 10:48 .
Luke 18:40
Stood ( $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. First aorist passive where Mr 10:49; Mt 20:32 have $\sigma \tau \alpha \varsigma$ (second aorist active) translated "stood still." One is as "still" as the other. The first is that Jesus " stopped."

Be brought ( $\alpha \chi \theta \eta v \alpha$ ). First aorist infinitive in indirect command.
Luke 18:41
What wilt thou that I should do unto thee? (T $\sigma о$ $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \pi о ı \eta \sigma \omega ;$ ). Same idiom in Mr 10:51; Mt 20:32 which see, the use of $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$ without iva with aorist subjunctive (or future
indicative). See same references also for $\mathrm{tv} \alpha \alpha v \alpha \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \omega$ "that I may see again" without verb before $\tau v \alpha$. Three uses of $\alpha v \alpha \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$ here (verses $41,42,43$ ).

Luke 18:43
Followed ( $\eta \kappa \circ \lambda o u \theta \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active as in Mr 10:52. Either inchoative he began to follow, or descriptive, he was following.

## Luke 19

Luke 19:1
Was passing through ( $\delta$ ıпрхєто). Imperfect middle. Now Jesus was inside the Roman Jericho with the procession.

Luke 19:2
Chief publican $(\alpha \rho \chi ı \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \omega \vee \eta \varsigma)$. The word occurs nowhere else apparently but the meaning is clear from the other words with $\alpha \rho \chi \iota$ like $\alpha \rho \chi \iota \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon \varphi$ (chief priest) $\alpha \rho \chi \iota \pi о \iota \mu \nu$ (chief shepherd). Jericho was an important trading point for balsam and other things and so Zacchaeus was the head of the tax collections in this region, a sort of commissioner of taxes who probably had other publicans serving under him.

Luke 19:3
He sought ( $\varepsilon \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon)$. Imperfect active. He was seeking, conative idea.
Jesus who he was (I $\eta \sigma o u v \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). Prolepsis, to see who Jesus was. He had heard so much about him. He wanted to see which one of the crowd was Jesus.

For the crowd (aio tov o $\chi \lambda$ ov). He was short and the crowd was thick and close.
Stature ( $\left.\tau \eta \eta \lambda_{\iota \kappa ı}\right)$. No doubt of that meaning here and possibly so in 2:52. Elsewhere "age" except Lu 12:25; Mt 6:27 where it is probably "stature" also.

Luke 19:4
 $\pi \rho о \tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$ (defective verb). "Before" occurs twice ( $\pi \rho \circ$ - and $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \varepsilon \mu \pi \rho о \sigma \theta \varepsilon v$ ).

Into a sycamore tree ( $\varepsilon \pi \sigma \cup \kappa о \mu о \rho \varepsilon \alpha v)$. From $\sigma v \kappa \sigma v$, fig, and $\mu о \rho o v$, mulberry. The fig-mulberry and quite a different tree from the sycamine tree in 17:6, which see. It bore a poor fruit which poor people ate (Am 7:14). It was a wide open tree with low branches so that Zacchaeus could easily climb into it.

That way ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \iota \sim \eta \varsigma)$. Feminine for oठoc (way) is understood. Genitive case with $\delta$ in composition ( $\delta เ \varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha)$ or as an adverbial use.

Luke 19:5
Make haste and come down ( $\sigma \pi \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \eta \theta$ ). Simultaneous aorist active participle ( $\sigma \pi \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$ with the second aorist active imperative. "Come down in a hurry."

Luke 19:6
He made haste and came down ( $\sigma \pi \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \beta \eta$ ). Luke repeats the very words of Jesus with the same idiom.

Received him joyfully (v $\tau \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \xi \alpha \tau \circ \alpha v \tau o v \chi \alpha \iota \rho \omega v$ ). The very verb used of Martha's welcome to Jesus ( $10: 38$ ). "Joyfully" is the present active participle, "rejoicing" ( $\chi \alpha \iota \rho \nu$ ).

Luke 19:7
 $\delta \iota \alpha-\gamma \circ \gamma \gamma \cup \zeta \omega$. In Lu 5:30 we have the simple $\gamma \circ \gamma \gamma \cup \zeta \omega$, a late word like the cooing doves or the hum of bees. This compound with $\delta \iota \alpha$ - is still rarer, but more expressive.

To lodge ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \nu \sigma \alpha$ ). Jesus was the hero of this crowd from Galilee on their way to the passover. But here he had shocked their sensibilities and those of the people of Jericho by inviting himself to be the guest of this chief publican and notorious sinner who had robbed nearly everybody in the city by exorbitant taxes.

Luke 19:8
Stood ( $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Apparently Jesus and Zacchaeus had come to the house of Zacchaeus and were about to enter when the murmur became such a roar that Zacchaeus turned round and faced the crowd.

If I have wrongfully exacted aught of any man ( $\varepsilon \tau \tau v o \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \sigma \cup \kappa \circ \varphi \alpha v \tau \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). A most significant admission and confession. It is a condition of the first class ( $\varepsilon$ and the aorist active indicative) that assumes it to be true. His own conscience was at work. He may have heard audible murmurs from the crowd. For the verb $\sigma \cup \kappa о \varphi a v \tau \varepsilon เ v$, see discussion on 3:14, the only two instances in the N.T. He had extorted money wrongfully as they all knew.

I return fourfold ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \delta i \delta \omega \mu \tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \pi \lambda o u v$ ). I offer to do it here and now on this spot. This was the Mosaic law (Ex 22:1; Nu 5:6f. ). Restitution is good proof of a change of heart. D. L. Moody used to preach it with great power. Without this the offer of Zacchaeus to give half his goods to the poor would be less effective. "It is an odd coincidence, nothing more, that the fig-mulberry (sycamore) should occur in connexion with the fig-shewer (sycophant)."

Luke 19:10
The lost ( $\tau \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha \pi о} \lambda \omega \lambda$ ) $)$. The neuter as a collective whole, second perfect active participle of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$, to destroy. See Lu 15 for the idea of the lost.

Luke 19:11
He added and spake ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$ with $\varepsilon ו \pi \varepsilon v$. It is a Hebrew idiom seen also in $\mathrm{Lu} 20: 1 \mathrm{f}$. he added to send ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \tau 0 \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \alpha$ ) and in Ac 12:3 "he added to seize" ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \tau \sim \sigma u \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon เ v)$. This undoubted Hebraism occurs in the N.T. in Luke only, probably due to the influence of the LXX on Luke the Greek Christian.

To appear ( $\alpha v \alpha \varphi \alpha ı v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Present passive infinitive of an old verb to be made manifest, to be shown up. In the N.T. only here and Ac 21:3 .

Luke 19:12
To take to himself a kingdom ( $\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon ı v \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau \omega \beta \beta \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ ). Second aorist active infinitive of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$ with the dative reflexive $\varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega$ where the middle voice could have been used. Apparently this parable has the historical basis of Archelaus who actually went from Jerusalem to Rome on this very errand to get a kingdom in Palestine and to come back to it. This happened while Jesus was a boy in Nazareth and it was a matter of common knowledge.

## Luke 19:13

Trade ye herewith till I come ( $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \omega \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ ). First aorist middle imperative of $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha$, an old verb from $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha$, business. Here only in the N.T. Westcott and Hort in their text read $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$, first aorist middle infinitive ( $-\alpha$ and $-\varepsilon$ were pronounced alike). The infinitive makes it indirect discourse, the imperative direct.

While I am coming is what $\varepsilon v \omega \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ really means.
Luke 19:14
His citizens (o $\pi о \lambda ı \tau \alpha \alpha \tau 0 v$ ). That actually happened with Archelaus.
Luke 19:15
When he was come back again ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \varepsilon \pi \alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \iota v \alpha \nu \tau o v$ ). "On the coming back again as to him." Luke's favourite idiom of the articular infinitive after $\varepsilon v$ and with the accusative of general reference.

Had given ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon$ ). Past perfect active indicative without augment of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$.
That he might know (ı $\downarrow \alpha \gamma \vee$ ). Second aorist active subjunctive of $\gamma เ v o \sigma \kappa \omega$. The optative would be $\gamma$ voin.

Luke 19:16
Hath made ( $\pi \rho o \sigma \eta \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma \alpha \tau o$ ). Only here in the N.T. Note $\pi \rho o \sigma-$ in addition, besides, more.

Luke 19:17
Have thou authority ( $\iota \theta \varepsilon \xi$ ovoıav $\varepsilon \chi \omega v$ ). Periphrastic present active imperative. Keep on having authority.

Luke 19:19
Be thou also over ( $\kappa \alpha \sigma v \varepsilon \pi \alpha v o \gamma ı v o v$ ). Present middle imperative. Keep on becoming over. There is no real reason for identifying this parable of the pounds with the parable of the talents in Mt 25 . The versatility of Jesus needs to be remembered by those who seek to flatten out everything.

Luke 19:20
I kept ( $\varepsilon \tau \chi \circ v$ ). Imperfect active of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$. I kept on keeping.
Laid up ( $\alpha \pi о \kappa \varepsilon \iota \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \nu$ ). Present passive participle agreeing with $\eta \nu$ (which), used often as perfect passive of $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$ as here, laid away or off ( $\alpha \pi 0$ ). It is not the periphrastic construction, but two separate verbs, each with its own force.

In a napkin ( $\varepsilon v \sigma o v \delta \alpha \rho \iota \omega$ ). A Latin word sudarium from sudor (sweat) transliterated into Greek, a sweatcloth handkerchief or napkin. Found in papyrus marriage contracts as part of the dowry (second and third centuries A.D., Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 223). Used also for swathing the head of the dead (Joh 11:44; 20:7).

Luke 19:21
I feared $(\varepsilon \varphi o \beta o v \mu \eta \nu)$. Imperfect middle, I continued to fear.

Austere ( $\alpha v \sigma \tau \eta \rho o \varsigma)$. Old Greek word from $\alpha v \omega$, to dry up. Reproduced in Latin austeros and English austere. It means rough to the taste, stringent. Here only in the N.T. Compare $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \varsigma($ hard ) in Mt 25:24 . "Harsh in flavour, then in disposition" (Bruce).

Thou layedst not down (ovк $\varepsilon Ө \eta \kappa \alpha \varsigma)$ ). Probably a proverb for a grasping profiteer.
Luke 19:22
 to know. Either it must be taken as a question as Westcott and Hort do or be understood as sarcasm as the Revised Version has it. The words of the wicked ( $\pi о v \eta \rho \circ \varsigma)$ slave are turned to his own condemnation.

Luke 19:23
Then wherefore ( $\kappa \alpha \delta \iota \alpha \tau$ ). Note this inferential use of $k \alpha u-$ in that case.
Into the bank ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \zeta \alpha v)$. Literally,
upon a table. This old word $\tau \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \zeta \alpha$, from $\tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \zeta \alpha$ ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha$, four, $\pi \circ \cup \varsigma$, foot). It means then any table (Mr 7:28) , food on the table (Ac 16:34), feast or banquet (Ro 11:9), table of the money-changers (Joh 2:15; Mr 11:15; Mt 21:12 ), or bank as here. Our word bank is from Old English bench.

With interest ( $\sigma \cup \vee \tau о \kappa \omega$ ). Not usury, but proper and legal interest. Old word from $\tau ו \kappa \tau \omega$, to bring forth. In the N.T. only here and Mt 25:27.

Should have required it ( $\alpha v \alpha \cup \tau 0 \varepsilon \pi \rho \alpha \xi \alpha$ ). Conclusion of second-class condition the condition or apodosis being implied in the participle "coming" ( $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega v$ ), and the previous question. On this technical use of $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ ( $\varepsilon \pi \rho \alpha \xi \alpha$ ) see Lu 3:13.

Luke 19:25
And they said unto him ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon ו \pi \alpha v \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). Probably the eager audience who had been listening to this wonderful parable interrupted Jesus at this point because of this sudden turn when the one pound is given to the man who has ten pounds. If so, it shows plainly how keenly they followed the story which Jesus was giving because of their excitement about the kingdom (Lu 19:11).

Luke 19:26
That hath not ( $\tau 0 \cup \mu \eta \varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau 0 \varsigma)$. The present tense of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ here, that keeps on not having, probably approaches the idea of acquiring or getting, the one who keeps on not acquiring. This is the law of nature and of grace.

Luke 19:27
Reign ( $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive, ingressive aorist, come to rule.
Slay ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \varphi \alpha \xi \alpha \tau \varepsilon)$. First aorist active imperative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \varphi \alpha \zeta \omega$, to slaughter, an old verb, but only here in the N.T.

Luke 19:28
Went on before ( $\varepsilon \pi$ орвveto $\varepsilon \mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect middle. Jesus left the parable to do its work and slowly went on his way up the hill to Jerusalem.

Luke 19:29
Unto Bethphage and Bethany ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \bar{B} \theta \varphi \alpha \gamma \eta \kappa \alpha B \eta \theta \alpha \nu \iota \alpha)$. Both indeclinable forms of the Hebrew or Aramaic names. In Mr 11:1 "Bethany" is inflected regularly, which see.

Of Olives (E $\lambda \omega \iota \omega v$ ). As in Mr 11:1; Mt 21:1, though some editors take it to be, not the genitive plural of $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha ı \alpha$ (olive tree), but the name of the place Olivet. In the Greek it is just a matter of accent (circumflex or acute) Olivet is correct in Ac 1:12. See on Mt 21:1ff.; Mr 11:1ff. for details.

Luke 19:30
Whereon no man ever yet sat ( $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime}$ ov ou holds that this fact indicated to the disciples a royal progress into the city of a piece with the Virgin Birth of Jesus and the burial in a new tomb.

## Luke 19:32

As he had said unto them ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \alpha \cup \tau о \iota)$. Luke alone notes this item.
Luke 19:33
As they were loosing ( $\lambda \nu 0 v \tau \omega \nu \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute.
The owners thereof (о кирı avтоv). The same word кирıя used of the Lord Jesus in verse 31 (and 34) and which these "owners" would understand. See on Mt 21:3; Mr 11:3 for кטрıo̧ used by Jesus about himself with the expectation that these disciples would recognize him by that title as they did. The word in common use for the Roman emperor and in the LXX to translate the Hebrew Elohim (God).

Luke 19:35
Set Jesus thereon ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \beta$, $\beta a \sigma \alpha v$ tov In $\sigma o v v$ ). First aorist active. Old verb, to cause to mount, causative verb from $\beta a \iota v \omega$, to go. In the N.T. only here and Lu 10:34; Ac 23:24 .

Luke 19:36
They spread ( $v \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \omega \nu v v o v$ ). Imperfect active describing the continued spreading as they went on. Нv $\quad 0 \sigma \tau \rho \omega v \nu v \omega$ is a late form of the old verb $v \pi о \sigma \tau о \rho \varepsilon v v v \mu$. Here only in the N.T.

Luke 19:37
At the descent ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Epexegetic of "drawing nigh." They were going by the southern slope of the Mount of Olives. As they turned down to the city, the grand view stirred the crowd to rapturous enthusiasm. This was the first sight of the city on this route which is soon obscured in the descent. The second view bursts out again (verse 41). It was a shout of triumph from the multitude with their long pent-up enthusiasm (verse 11), restrained no longer by the parable of the pounds.

For all the mighty works which they had seen ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \pi \alpha \sigma \omega v \varepsilon \iota \delta o v \delta v v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \omega v$ ). Neat Greek idiom, incorporation of the antecedent ( $\delta v v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \omega v$ ) into the relative clause and attraction of the case of the relative from the accusative $\alpha \varsigma$ to the genitive $\omega v$. And note "all." The climax had come, Lazarus, Bartimaeus, and the rest.

Luke 19:38
The king cometh (o $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \sigma$, o $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon v \varsigma)$. The Messianic hopes of the people were now all ablaze with expectation of immediate realization. A year ago in Galilee he had frustrated their plans for a revolutionary movement "to take him by force to make him king" (Joh 6:15 ). The phrase "the coming king" like "the coming prophet" (Joh 6:14; De 18:15 ) expressed the hope of the long-looked-for Messiah. They are singing from the Hallel in their joy that Jesus at last is making public proclamation of his Messiahship.

Peace in heaven, and glory in the highest ( $\varepsilon v$ ov $\alpha \nu \omega \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \nu \eta \kappa \alpha \delta o \xi \alpha \varepsilon \nu v \psi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma)$. This language reminds one strongly of the song of the angels at the birth of Jesus (Lu 2:14 ). Mr 11:10; Mt 21:9 have "Hosannah in the highest."

Luke 19:39
Some of the Pharisees ( $\tau \iota v \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \omega \nu \Phi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \alpha \omega v$ ). Luke seems to imply by "from the multitude" ( $\alpha \pi \sigma$ тov o $\lambda \lambda$ ov) that these Pharisees were in the procession, perhaps half-hearted followers of the mob. But Joh 12:19 speaks of Pharisees who stood off from the procession and blamed each other for their failure and the triumph of Jesus. These may represent the bolder spirits of their same group who dared to demand of Jesus that he rebuke his disciples.

Luke 19:40
If these shall hold their peace ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ ov $\sigma \sigma \omega \omega \pi \eta \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu$ ). A condition of the first class, determined as fulfilled. The use of $\varepsilon \alpha v$ rather than $\varepsilon$ cuts no figure in the case (see Ac $8: 31$; 1Th 3:8; $1 \mathrm{Jo} 5: 15$ ). The kind of condition is determined by the mode which is here indicative. The future tense by its very nature does approximate the aorist subjunctive, but after all it is the indicative.

The stones will cry out (о $\lambda_{ı} \theta_{0} \kappa \rho \alpha \xi o v \sigma \iota v$ ). A proverb for the impossible happening.
Luke 19:41
Wept ( $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \alpha \cup \sigma \varepsilon v)$. Ingressive aorist active indicative, burst into tears. Probably audible weeping.

Luke 19:42
If thou hadst known $(\varepsilon \varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \varsigma)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. Secondclass condition, determined as unfulfilled.

Even thou ( $\kappa \alpha \sigma v$ ). Emphatic position of the subject.
But now ( $v v v \delta \varepsilon$ ). Aposiopesis. The conclusion is not expressed and the sudden breaking off and change of structure is most impressive.

They are hid $(\varepsilon \kappa \rho v \beta \eta)$. Second aorist passive indicative of $\kappa \rho v \pi \tau \omega$, common verb, to hide.

Luke 19:43
Shall cast up a bank ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \mu \beta \alpha \lambda$ оvбıv $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha)$. Future active indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \mu \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, a double compound ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha, \varepsilon \nu, \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ ) of long usage, finally in a military sense of line of
battle or in camp. Here alone in the N.T. So also the word $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha(\chi \alpha \rho \alpha \xi)$ for bank, stake, palisade, rampart, is here alone in the N.T., though common enough in the old Greek.

Compass thee round ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı к \cup \kappa \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma v \sigma \iota v \sigma \varepsilon)$. Future active indicative. Another common compound to make a circle (кvклоৎ) around ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ ), though here only in the N.T.

Keep thee in ( $\sigma u v \varepsilon \xi o v \sigma ı v \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Shall hold thee together on every side ( $\pi \alpha v \tau 0 \theta \varepsilon v$ ). See about $\sigma v v \varepsilon \omega$ on 4:38.

Luke 19:44
Shall dash to the ground ( $\varepsilon \delta \alpha \varphi \stackrel{v}{ }$ to the ground, a rare verb from $\varepsilon \delta \alpha \varphi \circ \varsigma$, bottom, base, ground (Ac 22:7), here alone in the N.T.

Because ( $\alpha v \theta^{\prime} \omega v$ ). "In return for which things."
Thou knewest not (ovk $\varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \varsigma$ ). Applying the very words of the lament in the condition in verse 42 . This vivid prophecy of the destruction of Jerusalem is used by those who deny predictive prophecy even for Jesus as proof that Luke wrote the Gospel after the destruction of Jerusalem. But it is no proof at all to those who concede to Jesus adequate knowledge of his mission and claims.

Luke 19:45
Began to cast out ( $\eta \rho \xi \alpha \tau 0 \varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \imath v$ ). So Mr 11:15 whereas Mt 21:12 has simply "he cast out." See Mark and Matthew for discussion of this second cleansing of the temple at the close of the public ministry in relation to the one at the beginning in Joh 2:14-22. There is nothing gained by accusing John or the Synoptics of a gross chronological blunder. There was abundant time in these three years for all the abuses to be revived.

Luke 19:47
He was teaching ( $\eta \nu \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega \nu$ ). Periphrastic imperfect.
Daily ( $\tau$ ко $\kappa \theta^{\prime} \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha v$ ). Note the accusative neuter article, "as to the according to the day," very awkward English surely, but perfectly good Greek. The same idiom occurs in 11:3.

Sought ( $\varepsilon\lceil\eta \tau \operatorname{lov}$ ). Imperfect active, conative imperfect, were seeking, trying to seek.
The principal men of the people ( $о \pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma$ тov $\lambda \alpha o v$ ). The first men of the people. The position after the verb and apart from the chief priests and the scribes calls special attention to them. Some of these "first men" were chief priests or scribes, but not all of them. The lights and leaders of Jerusalem were bent on the destruction ( $\alpha \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \sigma \alpha$ ) of Jesus. The raising of Lazarus from the dead brought them together for this action (Joh 11:47-53; 12:9-11 ).

Luke 19:48
They could not find (oux $\eta \cup \rho i \sigma \kappa o v$ ). Imperfect active. They kept on not finding.
What they might do ( $\tau 0 \tau \pi o \not \tau \sigma \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). First aorist active deliberative subjunctive in a direct question retained in the indirect. Note the article to (neuter accusative) with the question.

Hung upon him ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \kappa \rho \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \tau о$ avтov). Imperfect middle of $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \rho \varepsilon \mu \alpha \mu \alpha$, an old verb ( $\mu$ form) to hang from, here only in the N.T. The form is an o $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha$ form from $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \rho \varepsilon \mu о \mu \alpha$, a constant tendency to the $o \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha$ form in the Koine. It pictures the whole nation (save the leaders in verse 47) hanging upon the words of Jesus as if in suspense in mid-air, rapt attention that angered these same leaders. Tyndale renders it "stuck by him."

## Luke 20

Luke 20:1
On one of the days ( $\varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \omega v$ ). Luke's favourite way of indicating time. It was the last day of the temple teaching (Tuesday). Lu 20:1-19 is to be compared with Mr 11:27-12:12; Mt 21:23-46.

There came upon him ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Second aorist active indicative, ingressive aorist of $\varepsilon \varphi เ \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, old and common verb, stood up against him, with the notion of sudden appearance. These leaders (cf. 19:47) had determined to attack Jesus on this morning, both Sadducees (chief priests) and Pharisees (scribes), a formal delegation from the Sanhedrin.

Luke 20:2
Tell us ( $\varepsilon ו \pi o v \eta \mu \nu v$ ). Luke adds these words to what Mark and Matthew have. Second aorist active imperative for the old form $\varepsilon ו \pi \varepsilon$ and with ending -ov of the first aorist active. Westcott and Hort punctuate the rest of the sentence as an indirect question after $\varepsilon ו \pi o v$, but the Revised Version puts a semicolon after "us" and retains the direct question. The Greek manuscripts have no punctuation.

Luke 20:3
Question (lojov). Literally, word. So in Mr 11:29; Mt 21:24.
Luke 20:5
They reasoned with themselves ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \lambda о \gamma \iota \sigma \alpha \nu \tau 0$ ). First aorist middle of $\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda о \gamma \iota \zeta \rho \mu \alpha$, to bring together accounts, an old word, only here in the N.T. Mark and Matthew have $\delta ı \varepsilon \lambda o \gamma \iota \zeta_{0}$ то (imperfect middle of $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda o \gamma \iota \zeta о \mu \alpha$, a kindred verb, to reckon between one another, confer). This form ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \lambda o \gamma \iota \zeta$ оvтo) in verse 14 below.

If we shall say ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \varepsilon ו \pi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Third-class condition with second aorist active subjunctive. Suppose we say! So in verse 6.

Luke 20:6
Will stone us (ката入ı $\theta \alpha \sigma \varepsilon)$. Late verb and here only in the N.T. Literally, will throw stones down on us, stone us down, overwhelm us with stones.

They be persuaded ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \neg \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. Periphrastic perfect passive indicative of $\pi \varepsilon ו \theta \omega$, to persuade, a settled state of persuasion, "is persuaded" (no reason for use of "be" here).

That John was a prophet ( $\mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \vee \eta \nu \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon เ v \alpha$ ). Accusative and infinitive in indirect assertion.

Luke 20:7
That they knew not ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Accusative and infinitive in indirect assertion again with the negative $\mu \eta$ rather than $o v$.

Luke 20:9
Vineyard ( $\alpha \mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda \omega v \alpha$ ). Late word from $\alpha \mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma$ (vine), place of vines. So in Mr 12:1; Mt 21:33.

Let it out ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \tau \sigma$ ). Second aorist middle of $\varepsilon \kappa \delta เ \delta \omega \mu$, but with variable vowel $\varepsilon$ in place of o of the stem $\delta$ o ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \delta o \tau o)$. Same form in Mark and Matthew.

For a long time ( $\chi$ роvovৎ ıкаvovৎ). Accusative of extent of time, considerable times or periods of time. Not in Mark and Matthew, though all three have $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \delta \eta \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ (went off from home). See on Lu 7:6 for เкаvos.

Luke 20:10
At the season ( $\kappa \alpha \iota \rho \omega$ ). The definite season for the fruit like o к $\alpha \iota \rho \circ \varsigma \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \rho \pi \omega \nu$ (Mt 21:34 ). That they should give (ıva $\delta \omega \sigma o v \sigma \iota v$ ). Future indicative with $v v a$ for purpose like the aorist subjunctive, though not so frequent.

Luke 20:11
He sent yet another ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \tau \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \alpha$ ). Literally,
he added to send another. A clear Hebraism repeated in verse 12 and also in 19:11.
Luke 20:12
They wounded ( $\tau \rho \alpha v \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\tau \rho \alpha v \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \omega$. An old verb, from $\tau \rho \alpha v \mu \alpha$, a wound, but in the N.T. only here and Ac 19:16.

Luke 20:13
What shall I do? (T $\pi$ oı $\eta \omega \omega$;). Deliberative future indicative or aorist subjunctive (same form). This detail only in Luke. Note the variations in all three Gospels. All three have "will reverence" ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \rho \alpha \pi \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha)$ for which see Matthew and Mark.

It may be $(\iota \omega \varsigma)$. Perhaps, from $\iota \sigma o \varsigma$, equal. Old adverb, but only here in the N.T.
Luke 20:14
That the inheritance may be ours ( $\imath v \alpha \eta \mu \omega \nu \gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \tau \alpha \eta \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \nu \circ \mu \iota \alpha$ ). That the inheritance may become ( $\gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \tau \alpha$, second aorist middle subjunctive of $\gamma \iota \nu o \mu \alpha$ ). Here Mt 21:39 has $\sigma \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ "let us get, ingressive aorist active subjunctive." Cf. $\varepsilon \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$, present subjunctive of the same verb $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ in Ro $5: 1$; Mr 12:7 has "and it will be ours" $(\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha)$.

Luke 20:16
God forbid ( $\mu \eta \gamma \varepsilon$ voito). Optative of wish about the future with $\mu \eta$. Literally,
may it not happen. No word "God" in the Greek. This was the pious protest of the defeated members of the Sanhedrin who began to see the turn of the parable against themselves.

Luke 20:17
He looked upon them ( $\varepsilon \mu \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau o ו \varsigma)$. Not in Mark and Matthew. First aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \mu \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$, to look on. It was a piercing glance. The scripture quoted is from Ps 118:22 and is in Mr 11:10; Mt 21:42, which see for the inverted attraction of the case $\lambda ı \theta \mathrm{ov}$ (stone) to that of the relative ov (which).

Luke 20:18
Shall be broken to pieces ( $\sigma v v \theta \lambda \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Future passive indicative of $\sigma v v \theta \lambda \alpha \omega$, a rather late compound, only here in the N.T. unless Mt 21:44 is genuine. It means to shatter.

Will scatter him as dust $\left(\lambda_{\iota} \kappa \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon\right)$. From $\lambda_{\iota \kappa} \mu \alpha \omega$, an old verb to winnow and then to grind to powder. Only here in the N.T. unless in Mt 21:44 is genuine, which see.

Luke 20:19
To lay hands on him ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı v \varepsilon \pi^{\prime}$ avtov $\left.\tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho a \varsigma\right) . ~ S e c o n d ~ a o r i s t ~ a c t i v e ~ i n f i n i t i v e ~$ of $\varepsilon \pi \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, an old verb and either transitively as here or intransitively as in Mr 4:37. Vivid picture here where Mr 12:12; Mt 21:46 has "to seize" (кратпба).

In that very hour ( $\varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \eta \tau \eta \omega \rho \alpha$ ). Luke's favourite idiom, in the hour itself. Not in Mark or Matthew and shows that the Sanhedrin were angry enough to force the climax then.

And they feared ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \varphi о \beta \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Adversative use of $\kappa \alpha=$ but they feared. Hence they refrained.

For they perceived ( $\varepsilon \gamma v \omega \sigma \alpha v \gamma \alpha \rho)$. The reason for their rage. Second aorist active indicative of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$.

Against them ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ avtouc). As in Mr 12:12. The cap fitted them and they saw it.
Luke 20:20
They watched him ( $\pi \alpha \rho a \tau \eta \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$, a common Greek verb to watch on the side or insidiously or with evil intent as in Lu 6:7 ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho o u v \tau 0)$ of the scribes and Pharisees. See on Mr 3:2 . There is no "him" in the Greek. They were watching their chance.

Spies ( $\varepsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau о \cup \varsigma)$. An old verbal adjective from $\varepsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \theta ı \eta \mu$, to send down in or secretly. It means liers in wait who are suborned to spy out, one who is hired to trap one by crafty words. Only here in the N.T.

Feigned themselves (vлокрıvouzvovc eavtouc). Hypocritically professing to be "righteous" ( $\delta$ ıкaıouc). "They posed as scrupulous persons with a difficulty of conscience" (Plummer).

That they might take hold of his speech ( $\imath v \alpha \varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \alpha \beta \omega v \tau \alpha \alpha \cup \tau o v \lambda o \gamma o v$ ). Second aorist middle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, an old verb for seizing hold with the hands and uses as here the genitive case. These spies are for the purpose of ( $1 v \alpha$ ) catching hold of the talk of Jesus if they can get a grip anywhere. This is their direct purpose and the ultimate purpose or result is also stated, "so as to deliver him up" ( $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o u v \alpha \alpha v \tau o v)$. Second aorist active infinitive of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta t \delta \omega \mu$, to hand over, to give from one's side to another. The trap is all set now and ready to be sprung by these "spies."

Of the governor ( $\tau \circ v \eta \gamma \varepsilon \mu \circ v o c$ ). The Sanhedrin knew that Pilate would have to condemn Jesus if he were put to death. So then all their plans focus on this point as the goal. Luke alone mentions this item here.

Luke 20:21
Rightly (op $\theta \omega \varsigma$ ). Matthew (Mt 22:16) notes that these "spies" were "disciples" (students) of the Pharisees and Mark (Mr 12:13) adds that the Herodians are also involved in the plot.

These bright theologues are full of palaver and flattery and openly endorse the teaching of Jesus as part of their scheme.

Acceptest not the person of any (ov $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \omega \pi \sigma$ ). Dost not take the face (or personal appearance) as the test. It is a Hebraism from which the word $\pi \rho о \sigma \omega \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \mu \psi ı \alpha$ (Jas 2:1) comes. Originally it meant to lift the face, to lift the countenance, to regard the face, to accept the face value. See Mr 12:13-17; Mt 22:15-22 for discussion of details here. They both have $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon ı$, here.

Luke 20:22
Tribute ( $\varphi$ opov). Old word for the annual tax on land, houses, etc. Mark and Matthew have $\kappa \eta v \sigma o v$, which see for this Latin word in Greek letters. The picture on the coin may have been that of Tiberius.

Luke 20:23
Perceived (катаvoпба¢). From катаvoء $\omega$, to put the mind down on. Mark has $\varepsilon เ \delta \omega \varsigma$, "knowing," and Matthew $\gamma$ vouৎ, coming to know or grasping (second aorist active participle of $\gamma ı \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega)$.

Craftiness ( $\pi \alpha v o v \rho \gamma \iota \alpha v$ ). Old word for doing any deed. Matthew has "wickedness" ( $\pi \mathbf{\prime} \downarrow \eta \rho ı \alpha v$ ) and Mark "hypocrisy" (ขтокрıбь). Unscrupulous they certainly were. They would stoop to any trick and go the limit.

Luke 20:26
They were not able (ouk ı $\sigma \chi \cup \sigma a v$ ). They did not have strength. An old verb $\iota \sigma \chi \cup \omega$ from เ $\sigma \chi \cup \varsigma$ (strength). They failed "to take hold (cf. verse 20) of the saying before the people." These "crack" students had made an ignominious failure and were not able to make a case for the surrender of Jesus to Pilate. He had slipped through their net with the utmost ease.

Held their peace ( $\varepsilon \sigma \iota \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Ingressive aorist active of $\sigma \iota \gamma \alpha \omega$. They became silent as they went back with the "dry grins."

Luke 20:27
There is no resurrection ( $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \mu \eta \varepsilon \iota v \alpha$ ). Accusative and infinitive with negative $\mu \eta$ in indirect assertion. The Sadducees rally after the complete discomfiture of the Pharisees and Herodians. They had a stock conundrum with which they had often gotten a laugh on the Pharisees. So they volunteer to try it on Jesus. For discussion of details here see on Mt 22:23-33; Mr 12:18-27. Only a few striking items remain for Luke.

## Luke 20:33

Had her ( $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \circ v$ ). Constative second aorist indicative of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ including all seven seriatim. So Mt 22:28; Mr 12:33 To wife ( $\quad$ vvaık $)$ ). As wife, accusative in apposition with "her."

Luke 20:36
 Only here in the N.T. Mark and Matthew have "as angels" ( $\omega \varsigma \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda 0$ ). Angels do not marry, there is no marriage in heaven.

Sons of God, being sons of the resurrection (vio $\theta \varepsilon o v ~ \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \alpha v a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ v i o ~ o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma) . ~$ This Hebraistic phrase, "sons of the resurrection" defines "sons of God" and is a direct answer to the Sadducees.

Luke 20:37
Even Moses ( $\kappa \alpha \mathrm{M} \omega v \sigma \eta \varsigma$ ). Moses was used by the Sadducees to support their denial of the resurrection. This passage (Ex 3:6) Jesus skilfully uses as a proof of the resurrection. See discussion on Mt 22:32; Mr 12:26f .

Luke 20:39
Certain of the scribes ( $\tau \iota v \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \omega v \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega v)$. Pharisees who greatly enjoyed this use by Jesus of a portion of the Pentateuch against the position of the Sadducees. So they praise the reply of Jesus, hostile though they are to him.

Luke 20:40
They durst not any more (ouк\&t $\varepsilon \tau \circ \lambda \mu \omega \nu$ ov $\delta \varepsilon v$ ). Double negative and imperfect active of to $\lambda \mu \alpha \omega$. The courage of Pharisees, Sadducees, Herodians vanished.

Luke 20:41
How say they? ( $\Pi \omega \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \gamma o v \sigma \tau v$;). The Pharisees had rallied in glee and one of their number, a lawyer, had made a feeble contribution to the controversy which resulted in his agreement with Jesus and in praise from Jesus (Mr 12:28-34; Mt 27:34-40). Luke does not give this incident which makes it plain that by "they say" ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \mathrm{\sigma} \sigma \mathrm{v})$ Jesus refers to the Pharisees (rabbis, lawyers), carrying on the discussion and turning the tables on them while the Pharisees are still gathered together (Mt 22:41). The construction with $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma o v \sigma w$ is the usual infinitive and the accusative in indirect discourse. By "the Christ" ( $\tau 0 v$ Xpıotov) "the Messiah" is meant.

Luke 20:42
For David himself ( $\alpha \cup \tau o \varsigma ~ \gamma \alpha \rho \Delta \alpha v \varepsilon \iota \delta$ ). This language of Jesus clearly means that he treats David as the author of Ps 110 . The inspiration of this Psalm is expressly stated in Mr 12:36; Mt 22:43 (which see) and the Messianic character of the Psalm in all three Synoptics who all quote the LXX practically alike. Modern criticism that denies the Davidic authorship of this Psalm has to say either that Jesus was ignorant of the fact about it or that he declined to disturb the current acceptation of the Davidic authorship. Certainly modern scholars are not agreed on the authorship of Ps 110 . Meanwhile one can certainly be excused for accepting the natural implication of the words of Jesus here, "David himself."

In the book of the Psalms ( $\varepsilon v \beta 1 \beta \lambda \omega \Psi \alpha \lambda \mu \omega v)$. Compare 3:4 "in the book of the words of Isaiah the prophet."

Luke 20:44
David therefore ( $\Delta \alpha v \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta o u v$ ). Without $\varepsilon$ as in Mt 22:45. On the basis of this definite piece of exegesis (ouv, therefore) Jesus presses the problem ( $\pi \omega \varsigma$, how) for an explanation.

The deity and the humanity of the Messiah in Ps 110 are thus set forth, the very problems that disturbed the rabbis then and that upset many critics today.

Luke 20:45
In the hearing of all the people (aкоvovтоৎ $\pi \alpha v \tau о \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ \lambda \alpha o v) . ~ G e n i t i v e ~ a b s o l u t e, ~$ "while all the people were listening" (present active participle). That is the time to speak. The details in this verse and verse 47 are precisely those given in Mr 12:38f. , which see for discussion of details. Mt 23:1-39 has a very full and rich description of this last phase of the debate in the temple where Jesus drew a full-length portrait of the hypocrisy of the Pharisees and scribes in their presence. It was a solemn climax to this last public appearance of Christ in the temple when Jesus poured out the vials of his indignation as he had done before (Mt 16:2; Lu 11:37-54; 12-1 ).

## Luke 21

Luke 21:1
And he looked up ( $\mathrm{A} v \alpha \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi a \varsigma \delta \varepsilon$ ). He had taken his seat, after the debate was over and the Sanhedrin had slunk away in sheer defeat, "over against the treasury" (Mr 12:41). The word for "treasury" ( $\gamma \alpha \zeta о \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \iota v$ ) is a compound of $\gamma \alpha \zeta \alpha$ (Persian word for royal treasury) and $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta$ guard or protection. It is common in the LXX, but in the N.T. only here and Mr 12:41,43; Joh 8:20 . Jesus was watching (Mr 12:41) the rich put in their gifts as a slight diversion from the intense strain of the hours before.

Luke 21:2
Poor ( $\pi \varepsilon v i \chi \rho a v$ ). A rare word from $\pi \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma$ ( $\pi \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha$, to work for one's living). Latin penuria and Greek $\pi \varepsilon เ v a \omega$, to be hungry are kin to it. Here only in the N.T. Mr 12:42 has $\pi \tau \omega \chi \eta$, a more common word from $\pi \tau \omega \sigma \sigma \omega$, to be frightened, to strike and hide from fear, to be in beggary. And Luke uses this adjective also of her in verse 3 .

Luke 21:3
More than they all ( $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota \circ v \pi \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Ablative case after the comparative $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota o v$.
Luke 21:4
All these did cast ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ outo $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda o v$ ). Constative second aorist active indicative covering the whole crowd except the widow.

Living ( $\beta \stackrel{\circ}{ }$ ). Livelihood as in Mr 12:44, not $\zeta \omega \eta \nu$, principle of life.
Luke 21:5
As some spake ( $\tau \iota v \omega v \lambda \varepsilon \gamma o v \tau \omega v)$. Genitive absolute. The disciples we know from Mr 13:1; Mt 24:1 .

How (o $\tau$ ). Literally, "that."
It was adorned (кєкобнךта). Perfect passive indicative, state of completion, stands adorned, tense retained in indirect discourse, though English has to change it. Koб $\mu \varepsilon \omega$, old and common verb for orderly arrangement and adorning.
 Some of these stones in the substructure were enormous. "The columns of the cloister or portico were monoliths of marble over forty feet high" (Plummer). Cf. Josephus, War, V.5. The word $\alpha v \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha$ (here only in the N.T.) is not to be confused with $\alpha v \alpha \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha$ from the same verb $\alpha v \alpha \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, but which came to mean a curse (Ga 1:8; Ac 23:14 ). So $\alpha v \alpha \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha$ came to mean devoted in a bad sense, $\alpha v a \theta \eta \mu \alpha$ in a good sense. "Thus knave, lad, becomes a rascal; villain, a farmer, becomes a scoundrel; cunning, skilful, becomes crafty" (Vincent). These offerings in the temple were very numerous and costly (2Macc. 3:2-7) like the golden vine of Herod with branches as tall as a man (Josephus, Ant. XV. ii.3).

Luke 21:6
As for these things ( $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha)$. Accusative of general reference.

One stone upon another ( $\left.\lambda_{1} \theta \mathrm{o} \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \lambda_{1} \theta \omega\right)$. Stone upon stone (locative). Here both Mr 13:2; Mt 24:2 have $\varepsilon \pi \lambda_{1} \theta$ ov (accusative). Instead of ouk $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ (future passive) they both have ov $\mu \eta \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \theta \eta$ (double negative with aorist passive subjunctive). It was a shock to the disciples to hear this after the triumphal entry.

Luke 21:8
That ye be not led astray ( $\mu \eta \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \eta \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive with $\mu \eta$ (lest). This verb $\pi \lambda a v a \omega$ occurs here only in Luke though often in the rest of the N.T. (as Mt 24:4,5,11,24, which see). Our word planet is from this word.

The time is at hand (o кaıюoৎ $\eta \gamma \gamma \not \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Just as John the Baptist did of the kingdom (Mt 3:2 ) and Jesus also (Mr 1:15).

Go ye not after them ( $\mu \eta \pi о \rho \varepsilon \cup \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ о $\pi \iota \sigma \omega \alpha \cup \tau \omega v$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive with $\mu \eta$. A needed warning today with all the false cries in the religious world.

Luke 21:9
Be not terrified ( $\mu \eta \pi \tau o \eta \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive with $\mu \eta$ from $\pi \tau 0 \varepsilon \omega$ an old verb to terrify, from $\pi \tau 0 \alpha$, terror. In the N.T. only here and Lu 24:37.

First ( $\Pi \rho \omega \tau 0 v$ ). It is so easy to forget this and to insist that the end is "immediately" in spite of Christ's explicit denial here. See Mt 24:4-42; Mr 13:1-37 for discussion of details for $\operatorname{Lu}$ 21:8-36 , the great eschatological discourse of Jesus

Luke 21:11
Famines and pestilences ( $\lambda_{\circ} \boldsymbol{\mu}$ о ка $\lambda_{\iota} \mu \mathrm{o}$ ). Play on the two words pronounced just alike in the Koine (itacism).

And terrors $(\varphi \circ \beta \eta \theta \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon)$. The use of $\tau \varepsilon \ldots \tau \varepsilon$ in this verse groups the two kinds of woes. This rare word $\varphi o \beta \eta \theta \rho \alpha$ is only here in the N.T. It is from $\varphi o \beta \varepsilon \omega$, to frighten, and occurs only in the plural as here.

Luke 21:12
But before all these things ( $\pi \rho o \delta \varepsilon \tau o v \tau \omega v \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). In $\mathrm{Mr} 13: 8 ; \mathrm{Mt} \mathrm{24:8}$ these things are termed "the beginning of travail." That may be the idea here. Plummer insists that priority of time is the point, not magnitude.

Bringing you ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \gamma o \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma)$. Present passive participle from $\alpha \pi \alpha \gamma \omega$, an old verb to lead off or away. But here the participle is in the accusative plural, not the nominative like $\pi \alpha \rho a \delta i \delta o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ (present active participle, delivering you up), agreeing with $\nu \mu a \varsigma$ not expressed the object of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \iota \delta o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$, "you being brought before or led off." "A technical term in Athenian legal language" (Bruce).

Luke 21:13
It shall turn unto you ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \beta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \nu \mu v)$ ). Future middle of $\alpha \pi o \beta \alpha ı v \omega$. It will come off, turn out for you (dative of advantage).

For a testimony ( $\varepsilon \iota \mu \mu \rho \tau \cup \rho\llcorner\circ v$ ). To their loyalty to Christ. Besides, "the blood of the martyrs is the seed of the church."

## Luke 21:14

Not to meditate beforehand ( $\mu \eta \pi \rho о \mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota v$ ). The classical word for conning a speech beforehand. Mr 13:11 has $\pi \rho о \mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \nu \alpha \omega$, a later word which shows previous anxiety rather than previous preparation.

How to answer ( $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda o \gamma \eta \theta \eta v \alpha$ ). First aorist passive infinitive. It is the preparation for the speech of defence (apology) that Jesus here forbids, not the preparation of a sermon.

Luke 21:15
Your adversaries (o $\alpha v \tau \iota \kappa \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o ~ v \mu \nu v$ ). Those who stand against, line up face to face with (note avti-).

To withstand or to gainsay ( $\alpha v \tau \iota \sigma \tau \eta \nu \alpha \eta$ $\alpha \vee \tau \varepsilon \llbracket \pi \varepsilon เ v$ ). Two second aorist active infinitives with $\alpha \vee \tau \iota-$ in composition again. But these "antis" will go down before the power of Christ.

Luke 21:16
Shall they cause to be put to death ( $\theta \alpha v a \tau \omega \sigma \sigma v \sigma \tau v$ ). Future active of $\theta \alpha v a \tau o \omega$, to put to death or to make to die (causative). Either makes sense here. Old and common verb.

Luke 21:17
Not a hair of your head shall perish ( $\theta \rho \iota \xi \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \varsigma \nu \mu \omega v$ ov $\mu \eta \alpha \pi \alpha \lambda \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Only in Luke. Second aorist middle subjunctive of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$ with ov $\mu \eta$ (double negative). Jesus has just said that some they will put to death. Hence it is spiritual safety here promised such as Paul claimed about death in Php 1:21.

Luke 21:19
Ye shall win ( $\kappa \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Future middle of $\kappa \tau \alpha \circ \mu \alpha$, to acquire. They will win their souls even if death does come.

Luke 21:20
Compassed with armies ( $\kappa \cup \kappa \lambda о \nu \mu \varepsilon v \eta \nu \nu \pi о ~ \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau о \pi \varepsilon \delta \omega v$ ). Present passive participle of кик $\lambda о \omega$, to circle, encircle, from кик ${ }^{\prime}$ о , circle. Old verb, but only four times in N.T. The point of this warning is the present tense, being encircled. It will be too late after the city is surrounded. It is objected by some that Jesus, not to say Luke, could not have spoken (or written) these words before the Roman armies came. One may ask why not, if such a thing as predictive prophecy can exist and especially in the case of the Lord Jesus. The word $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau 0 \pi \varepsilon \delta \omega v$ ( $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau o \varsigma$, army, $\pi \varepsilon \delta o v$, plain) is a military camp and then an army in camp. Old word, but only here in the N.T.

Then know ( $\tau \circ \tau \varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \tau \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist active imperative of $\gamma เ \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. Christians did flee from Jerusalem to Pella before it was too late as directed in Lu 21:21; Mr 13:14f.; Mt 24:16f.

Luke 21:22
That may be fulfilled ( $\tau 0 v \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \eta v \alpha$ ). Articular infinitive passive to express purpose with accusative of general reference. The O.T. has many such warnings (Ho 9:7; De 28:49-57 , etc.).

## Luke 21:24

Edge of the sword ( $\sigma \tau о \mu \alpha \tau \mu \alpha \chi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \varsigma$ ). Instrumental case of $\sigma \tau о \mu \alpha \tau$ which means "mouth" literally (Ge 34:26). This verse like the close of verse 22 is only in Luke. Josephus (War, VI. 9.3) states that 1,100,000 Jews perished in the destruction of Jerusalem and 97,000 were taken captive. Surely this is an exaggeration and yet the number must have been large.

Shall be led captive ( $\alpha \downarrow \chi \mu \lambda \omega \tau \tau \sigma \theta \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha)$. Future passive of $\alpha ı \chi \mu \alpha \lambda \omega \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ from $\alpha ı \chi \mu \eta$, spear and $\alpha \lambda \omega \tau$ то $(\alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \kappa о \mu \alpha)$. Here alone in the literal sense in the N.T.

Shall be trodden under foot ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \tau o u \mu \varepsilon v \eta)$. Future passive periphrastic of $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$, to tread, old verb.

Until the times of the Gentiles be fulfilled ( $\alpha \chi \rho$ ov $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \omega \sigma \tau v$ каı $\rho \circ \varepsilon \theta v \omega v$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive with $\alpha \chi \rho$ ov like $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ov. What this means is not clear except that Paul in Ro 11:25 shows that the punishment of the Jews has a limit. The same idiom appears there also with $\alpha \chi \rho$ ov and the aorist subjunctive.

Luke 21:25
Distress ( $\sigma 0 v o \chi \eta$ ). From $\sigma v v \varepsilon \chi \omega$. In the N.T. only here and 2Co 2:4. Anguish.
In perplexity ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \pi \sigma \rho ı \alpha)$. State of one who is $\alpha \pi о \rho o \varsigma$, who has lost his way ( $\alpha$ privative and $\pi о \rho о \varsigma)$. Here only in the N.T. though an old and common word.

For the roaring of the sea ( $\eta$ Øouc $\theta a \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta \varsigma)$ ) Our word echo (Latin echo) is this word $\eta \chi \circ \varsigma$, a reverberating sound. Sense of rumour in Lu 4:37.

Billows ( $\sigma \alpha \lambda o v$ ). Old word $\sigma \alpha \lambda o \varsigma$ for the swell of the sea. Here only in the N.T.
Luke 21:26
Men fainting ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \psi v \chi \circ v \tau \omega v \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute of $\alpha \pi \sigma \psi v \chi \omega$, to expire, to breathe off or out. Old word. Here only in N.T.

Expectation ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta$ окіаऽ). Old word from $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta$ ока $\omega$, to look for or towards. In the N.T. only here and Ac 12:11 .

The world ( $\tau \eta$ oккоu $\mu \varepsilon v \eta$ ). Dative case, "the inhabited" (earth, $\gamma \eta$ ).
Luke 21:27
And then shall they see ( $\kappa \alpha$ тот $\frac{\mathrm{o}}{\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{o v \tau \alpha}) \text { ). As much as to say that it will be not till then. }}$ Clearly the promise of the second coming of the Son of man in glory here ( Mr 13:26f.; Mt 24:30f. ) is pictured as not one certain of immediate realization. The time element is left purposely vague.

Luke 21:28
Look up ( $\alpha$ vaки $\alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\alpha v \alpha \kappa \cup \pi \tau \omega$, to raise up. Here of the soul as in Joh 8:7,10, but in Lu 13:11 of the body. These the only N.T. examples of this common verb.

Redemption ( $\alpha \pi о \lambda \nu \tau \rho \omega \sigma \iota)$ ). Act of redeeming from $\alpha \pi о \lambda \nu \tau \rho o \omega$. The final act at the second coming of Christ, a glorious hope.

Luke 21:29

The fig tree, and all the trees ( $\tau \eta \nu \sigma v \kappa \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \delta \varepsilon \nu \delta \rho \alpha)$. This parable of the figtree (Mr 13:28-32; Mt 24:32-35 ) Luke applies to "all the trees." It is true about all of them, but the fig tree was very common in Palestine.

Luke 21:30
Shoot forth ( $\pi \rho o \beta \alpha \lambda \omega \sigma v)$. Second aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \rho o \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, common verb, but in the N.T. only here and Ac 19:33 .

Summer ( $\theta \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma)$. Not harvest, but summer. Old word, but in the N.T. only here $(\mathrm{Mr}$ 13:28; Mt 24:32 ).

Luke 21:31
Coming to pass $(\gamma \iota \nu o \mu \varepsilon v \alpha)$. Present middle participle of $\gamma \iota v o \mu \alpha$ and so descriptive of the process.

Nigh ( $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \cup \varsigma)$. The consummation of the kingdom is here meant, not the beginning.
Luke 21:32
This generation ( $\eta \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha \alpha v \tau \eta$ ). Naturally people then living.
Shall not pass away ( $o v \mu \eta \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ ). Second aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. Strongest possible negative with ov $\mu \eta$.

Till all things be accomplished ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha \nu \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Second aorist middle subjunctive of $\gamma เ v o \mu \alpha$ with $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$, common idiom. The words give a great deal of trouble to critics. Some apply them to the whole discourse including the destruction of the temple and Jerusalem, the second coming and the end of the world. Some of these argue that Jesus was simply mistaken in his eschatology, some that he has not been properly reported in the Gospels. Others apply them only to the destruction of Jerusalem which did take place in A.D. 70 before that generation passed away. It must be said for this view that it is not easy in this great eschatological discourse to tell clearly when Jesus is discussing the destruction of Jerusalem and when the second coming. Plummer offers this solution: "The reference, therefore, is to the destruction of Jerusalem regarded as the type of the end of the world."

Luke 21:33
My words shall not pass away ( $о \lambda o \gamma o \mu o v o v \mu \eta \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma o v \tau \alpha$ ). Future middle indicative with ov $\mu \eta$, a bit stronger statement than the subjunctive. It is noteworthy that Jesus utters these words just after the difficult prediction in verse 32 .

Luke 21:34
Lest haply your hearts be overcharged ( $\mu \eta \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon \beta \alpha \rho \eta \theta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ a $\kappa \alpha \rho \delta ı \alpha \nu \mu \omega v$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive of $\beta a \rho \varepsilon \omega$, an old verb to weigh down, depress, with $\mu \eta \pi 0 \tau \varepsilon$.

With surfeiting ( $\varepsilon \nu \kappa \rho \varepsilon \pi \alpha \lambda \eta$ ). A rather late word, common in medical writers for the nausea that follows a debauch. Latin crapula, the giddiness caused by too much wine. Here only in the N.T.

Drunkenness $(\mu \varepsilon \theta \eta)$. From $\mu \varepsilon \theta v$ (wine). Old word but in the N.T. only here and Ro 13:13; Ga 5:21 .

Cares of this life ( $\mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \nu \alpha \iota \varsigma \beta \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. Anxieties of life. The adjective $\beta \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa о \varsigma$ is late and in the N.T. only here and 1Co 6:3f .

Come on you ( $\varepsilon \pi / \sigma \tau \eta$ ). Second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \varphi \vdash \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, ingressive aorist. Construed also with $\mu \eta \pi$ тот $\varepsilon$.

Suddenly ( $\varepsilon \varphi v \iota \delta \iota o \varsigma)$. Adjective in predicate agreeing with $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ (day).
As a snare ( $\omega \varsigma \pi \alpha \gamma \varsigma)$. Old word from $\pi \eta \gamma \nu v \mu$, to make fast a net or trap. Paul uses it several times of the devil's snares for preachers ( $1 \mathrm{Ti} 3: 7$; 2Ti 2:26).

Luke 21:36
But watch ye ( $\alpha \gamma \rho \cup \pi v \varepsilon i \tau \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon$ ). A $\gamma \rho \cup \pi v \varepsilon \omega$ is a late verb to be sleepless ( $\alpha$ privative and $v \pi v o c$, sleep). Keep awake and be ready is the pith of Christ's warning.

That ye may prevail to escape ( $\imath \propto \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \chi \cup \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \kappa \varphi \cup \gamma \varepsilon เ v$ ). First aorist active subjunctive with $t v \alpha$ of purpose. The verb $k \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \chi v \omega$ means to have strength against (cf. Mt 16:18) . Common in later writers. Eкцuүعוv is second aorist active infinitive, to escape out.

To stand before the Son of man ( $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \eta v \alpha \varepsilon \mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \tau$ रov viov $\tau 0 v \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ ). That is the goal. There will be no dread of the Son then if one is ready. $\Sigma \tau \alpha \theta \eta \nu \alpha$ is first aorist passive infinitive of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$.

Luke 21:37
Every day ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma$ ). During the days, accusative of extent of time.
Every night ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma ~ v v \kappa \tau \alpha \varsigma) . ~ " D u r i n g ~ t h e ~ n i g h t s, " ~ a c c u s a t i v e ~ o f ~ e x t e n t ~ o f ~ t i m e . ~$
Lodged ( $\eta \cup \lambda_{ı} \zeta \varepsilon \tau \sigma$ ). Imperfect middle, was lodging, $\alpha \cup \lambda ı \zeta \circ \mu \alpha$ from $\alpha \cup \lambda \eta$ (court).
Luke 21:38
Came early ( $\omega \rho \theta \rho \iota \zeta \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active of o $\rho \theta \rho \iota \zeta \omega$ from o $\rho \theta \rho \circ \varsigma$, late form for $o \rho \theta \rho \varepsilon \nu \omega$, to rise early. Only here in the N.T.

## Luke 22

Luke 22:1
The Passover ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \alpha$ ) Both names (unleavened bread and passover) are used here as in Mr 14:1. Strictly speaking the passover was Nisan 14 and the unleavened bread 15-21. This is the only place in the N.T. where the expression "the feast of unleavened bread" (common in LXX, Ex. 23:15 , etc.) occurs, for Mr 14:1 has just "the unleavened bread." Mt 26:17 uses unleavened bread and passover interchangeably.

Drew nigh ( $\eta \gamma \gamma \zeta(\varepsilon v)$. Imperfect active. Mr 14:1; Mt 26:2 mention "after two days" definitely.

Luke 22:2
Sought ( $\varepsilon\lceil\eta \tau 0 v v$ ). Imperfect active of $\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \omega$, were seeking, conative imperfect.
How they might put him to death ( $\tau 0 \pi \omega \varsigma \alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \omega \sigma \tau v \alpha \tau \sigma v$ ). Second aorist active deliberative subjunctive (retained in indirect question) of avalpع $\omega$, to take up, to make away with, to slay. Common in Old Greek. Luke uses it so here and in 23:32 and eighteen times in the Acts, a favourite word with him. Note the accusative neuter singular article to with the whole clause, "as to the how, etc."

For they feared ( $\varepsilon \varphi \circ \beta$ ouvto $\gamma \alpha \rho$ ). Imperfect middle describing the delay of the "how." The triumphal entry and the temple speeches of Jesus had revealed his tremendous power with the people, especially the crowds from Galilee at the feast. They were afraid to go on with their plan to kill him at the feast.

Luke 22:3
Satan entered into Judas ( $\varepsilon ા \sigma\rceil \lambda \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma$ Iov $\delta \alpha v$ ). Ingressive aorist active indicative. Satan was now renewing his attack on Jesus suspended temporarily ( $\operatorname{Lu} 4: 13$ ) "until a good chance." He had come back by the use of Simon Peter (Mr 8:33; Mt 16:23). The conflict went on and Jesus won ultimate victory ( Lu 10:18) . Now Satan uses Judas and has success with him for Judas allowed him to come again and again (Joh 13:27). Judas evidently opened the door to his heart and let Satan in. Then Satan took charge and he became a devil as Jesus said (Joh 6:70). This surrender to Satan in no way relieves Judas of his moral responsibility.

Luke 22:4
Went away ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega v)$. Second aorist active participle of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. He went off under the impulse of Satan and after the indignation over the rebuke of Jesus at the feast in Simon's house (Joh 12:4-6 ).

Captains ( $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma o \iota s)$. Leaders of the temple guards (Ac 4:1), the full title, "captains of the temple," occurs in verse 52.

How he might deliver him unto them ( $\tau 0 \pi \omega \varsigma ~ \alpha u \tau o \iota \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \omega \alpha \cup \tau o v$ ). The same construction as in verse 2, the article to with the indirect question and deliberative subjunctive second aorist active ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \omega)$.

Luke 22:5
Were glad ( $\varepsilon \chi \alpha \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \nu)$. Second aorist passive indicative of $\chi \alpha \iota \rho \omega$ as in Mr 14:11. Ingressive aorist, a natural exultation that one of the Twelve had offered to do this thing.

Covenanted ( $\sigma u v \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon v \tau \sigma$ ). Second aorist indicative middle of $\sigma v \nu \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$. An old verb to put together and in the middle with one another. In the N.T. outside of Joh 9:22 only in Luke (here and Ac 23:20; 24:9). Luke only mentions "money" ( $\alpha \rho \gamma \mathrm{\rho} \rho \stackrel{\mathrm{ov}}{ }$ ), but not "thirty pieces" (Mt 26:15 ).

Luke 22:6
Consented ( $\varepsilon \xi \omega \mu \circ \lambda o \gamma \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Old verb, but the ancients usually used the simple form for promise or consent rather than the compound. This is the only instance of this sense in the N.T. It is from o $o \mu \mathrm{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \gamma \circ \varsigma$ (o $\mu \circ \varsigma$, same, and $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, to say), to say the same thing with another and so agree.

Opportunity ( $\varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \rho \iota \alpha v$ ). From $\varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \circ \varsigma ~(\varepsilon v, ~ \kappa \alpha \iota \rho о \varsigma), ~ a ~ g o o d ~ c h a n c e . ~ O l d ~ w o r d, ~ b u t ~$ in the N.T. only here and parallel passage Mt 26:16.

In the absence of the multitude ( $\alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho$ o $\chi \lambda o v$ ). A $\tau \varepsilon \rho$ is an old preposition, common in the poets, but rare in prose. Also in verse 35. It means "without," "apart from," like $\chi \omega \rho ı \varsigma$. The point of Judas was just this. He would get Jesus into the hands of the Sanhedrin during the feast in spite of the crowd. It was necessary to avoid tumult (Mt 26:5) because of the popularity of Jesus.

Luke 22:7
The day of unleavened bread came ( $\eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon \nu \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \tau \omega \nu \alpha \zeta \nu \mu \omega \nu$ ). The day itself came, not simply was drawing nigh (verse 1).

Must be sacrificed $(\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \theta v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha)$. This was Nisan 14 which began at sunset. Luke is a Gentile and this fact must be borne in mind. The lamb must be slain by the head of the family (Ex 12:6). The controversy about the day when Christ ate the last passover meal has already been discussed (Mt 26:17; Mr 14:12). The Synoptics clearly present this as a fact. Jesus was then crucified on Friday at the passover or Thursday (our time) at the regular hour 6 P.M. (beginning of Friday). The five passages in John (13:1f.; 13:27; 18:28; 19:14; 19:31 ) rightly interpreted teach the same thing as shown in my Harmony of the Gospels for Students of the Life of Christ (pp.279-284).

Luke 22:8
 the disciples take the initiative. The word passover in this context is used either of the meal, the feast day, the whole period (including the unleavened bread). "Eat the passover" can refer to the meal as here or to the whole period of celebration (Joh 18:28).

Luke 22:9
Where wilt thou that we make ready? (Поv $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \tau о \iota \mu \alpha \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v ;$ ). Deliberative first aorist active subjunctive without $\mathrm{v} \alpha$ after $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ı \varsigma$, perhaps originally two separate questions.

Luke 22:10
When you are entered ( $\varepsilon เ \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta o v \tau \omega \nu v \mu \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute.
Meet you ( $\sigma v v \alpha \nu \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \mu v$ ). An old verb $\sigma v v a v \tau \alpha \omega$ (from $\sigma v v$, with, and $\alpha v \tau \alpha \omega$, to face, $\alpha v \tau$ ) with associative instrumental ( $v \mu \nu v)$. See on $\mathrm{Mr} 14: 13$ about the "man bearing a pitcher of water."

Luke 22:11
Goodman of the house (оккобعблотп). Master of the house as in Mr 14:14; Mt 10:25 . A late word for the earlier $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \pi о \tau \eta \varsigma$ oוкоט.

I shall eat $(\varphi \propto \gamma \omega)$. Second aorist futuristic (or deliberative) subjunctive as in Mr 14:14

Luke 22:12
And he (к'акєıขоৎ). Ka and єкєıขоৎ (храбıৎ) where Mr 14:15 has ка avтоৎ. Literally, And that one. See on Mark for rest of the verse.

Luke 22:13
He had said ( $\varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \kappa \varepsilon$ ). Past perfect active indicative of $\varepsilon \iota \pi \frac{\nu}{}$ where Mr 14:16 has $\varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon \nu$ (second aorist).

Luke 22:14
Sat down ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Reclined, fell back (or up). Second aorist active of $\alpha v \alpha \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$.
Luke 22:15
With desire I have desired ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \theta v \mu \wedge \alpha \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \theta v \mu \eta \sigma \alpha)$. A Hebraism common in the LXX. Associative instrumental case of substantive and first aorist active indicative of same like a cognate accusative. Peculiar to Luke is all this verse. See this idiom in Joh 3:29; Ac 4:17.

Before I suffer ( $\pi \rho \circ \tau \tau v \mu \varepsilon \pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon เ v$ ). Preposition $\pi \rho o$ with articular infinitive and accusative of general reference, "before the suffering as to me." Пaرعıv is second aorist active infinitive of $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \omega$.

Luke 22:16
Until it be fulfilled ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ o o ov $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$ with $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ (otov), the usual construction about the future. It seems like a Messianic banquet that Jesus has in mind (cf. 14:15).

Luke 22:17
He received a cup ( $\delta \varepsilon \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \pi о \tau \eta \rho \iota v$ ). This cup is a diminutive of $\pi \sigma \tau \eta \rho$. It seems that this is still one of the four cups passed during the passover meal, though which one is uncertain. It is apparently just before the formal introduction of the Lord's Supper, though he gave thanks here also ( $\varepsilon v \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. It is from this verb $\varepsilon v \chi \alpha \rho ı \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega$ (see also verse 19) that our word Eucharist comes. It is a common verb for giving thanks and was used also for "saying grace" as we call it.

Luke 22:18

The fruit of the vine ( $\tau 0 v \gamma \varepsilon \vee \eta \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda$ оv). So Mr 14:25; Mt 26:29 and not otvos though it was wine undoubtedly. But the language allows anything that is "the fruit of the vine."

Come $(\varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta)$. Second aorist active subjunctive with $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ as in verse 16 . Here it is the consummation of the kingdom that Jesus has in mind, for the kingdom had already come.

Luke 22:19
Which is given for you ( $\tau 0 v \pi \varepsilon \rho \cup \mu \omega v \delta \iota \delta o \mu \varepsilon v o v)$ ). Some MSS. omit these verses though probably genuine. The correct text in 1Co 11:24 has "which is for you," not "which is broken for you." It is curious to find the word "broken" here preserved and justified so often, even by Easton in his commentary on Luke, p. 320.

In remembrance of me ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta v \varepsilon \mu \eta \nu \alpha v \alpha \mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau v)$. Objective use of the possessive pronoun $\varepsilon \mu \eta \nu$, not the subjective.

This do (тоито тоєєєє). Present active indicative, repetition, keep on doing this.
Luke 22:20
After the supper ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ тo $\delta \varepsilon ו \pi v \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). Preposition $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ and the accusative articular infinitive. The textual situation here is confusing, chiefly because of the two cups (verses 17,20 ). Some of the documents omit the latter part of verse 19 and all of verse 20. It is possible, of course, that this part crept into the text of Luke from 1Co 11:24f. But, if this part is omitted, Luke would then have the order reversed, the cup before the bread. So there are difficulties whichever turn one takes here with Luke's text whether one cup or two cups.

The New Covenant ( $\varepsilon$ каıv $\eta \delta_{i \alpha} \theta \eta \kappa \eta$ ). See on Mt 26:28; Mr 14:24 for "covenant." Westcott and Hort reject "new" there, but accept it here and in 1Co 11:25. See on Lu 5:38 for difference between $\kappa \alpha \iota v \eta$ and $v \varepsilon \alpha$. "The ratification of a covenant was commonly associated with the shedding of blood; and what was written in blood was believed to be indelible" (Plummer).

Poured out ( $\varepsilon \kappa \chi \cup v v o \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. Same word in Mr 14:24; Mt 26:28 translated "shed." Late form present passive participle of $\varepsilon \kappa \chi \cup v v \omega$ of $\varepsilon \kappa \chi \varepsilon \omega$, to pour out.

Luke 22:21
That betrayeth ( $\tau 0 v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \delta \delta o v \tau o \varsigma)$. Present active participle, actually engaged in doing it. The hand of Judas was resting on the table at the moment. It should be noted that Luke narrates the institution of the Lord's Supper before the exposure of Judas as the traitor while Mark and Matthew reverse this order.

Luke 22:22
 to limit or define, mark off the border, our "horizon." But this fact does not absolve Judas of his guilt as the "woe" here makes plain.

Luke 22:23

Which of them it was ( $\tau 0 \tau \varsigma \varsigma \rho \alpha \varepsilon \eta \eta \xi \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Note the article $\tau 0$ with the indirect question as in verses 2,4 . The optative $\varepsilon \eta \eta$ here is changed from the present active indicative $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$, though it was not always done, for see $\delta$ окє in verse 24 where the present indicative is retained. They all had their hands on the table. Whose hand was it?

Luke 22:24
Contention ( $\varphi \backslash \lambda$ оvєıкıa). An old word from $\varphi ı \lambda о v \varepsilon ı \kappa о$, fond of strife, eagerness to contend. Only here in the N.T.

Greatest ( $\mu \varepsilon 亡 \zeta \omega v$ ). Common use of the comparative as superlative.
Luke 22:25
Have lordship over (кvpıєvovбıv). From кирıю̧. Common verb, to lord it over.
Benefactors ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). From $\varepsilon v$ and $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v$. Doer of good. Old word. Here only in the N.T. Latin Benefactor is exact equivalent.

Luke 22:26
Become ( $\gamma\llcorner v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega$ ). Present middle imperative of $\gamma ı v o \mu \alpha$. Act so. True greatness is in service, not in rank.

Luke 22:27
But I ( $\mathrm{E} \gamma \omega \delta \varepsilon$ ). Jesus dares to cite his own conduct, though their leader, to prove his point and to put a stop to their jealous contention for the chief place at this very feast, a wrangling that kept up till Jesus had to arise and give them the object lesson of humility by washing their feet (Joh 13:1-20).

Luke 22:28
In my temptations ( $\varepsilon \nu$ тoı $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho a \sigma \mu \circ \iota \varsigma \mu \circ v$ ). Probably "trials" is better here as in Jas 1:2 though temptations clearly in Jas 1:13f. . This is the tragedy of the situation when Jesus is facing the Cross with the traitor at the table and the rest chiefly concerned about their own primacy and dignity.

Luke 22:29
And I appoint unto you ( $\kappa^{\prime} \alpha \gamma \omega \delta \iota \alpha \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu \alpha \nu \mu \nu v$ ). They had on the whole been loyal and so Jesus passes on to them ( $\delta ı \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha$ verb from which $\delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta$ comes).

Luke 22:30
And ye shall sit ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). But Westcott and Hort read in the text $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ (present middle subjunctive with $\mathrm{tv} \alpha$ ). The picture seems to be that given in Mt 19:28 when Jesus replied to Peter's inquiry. It is not clear how literally this imagery is to be taken. But there is the promise of honour for the loyal among these in the end.

Luke 22:31
Asked to have you ( $\varepsilon \xi \eta \iota \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \tau 0)$. First aorist indirect middle indicative of $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon \omega$, an old verb to beg something of one and (middle) for oneself. Only here in the N.T. The verb is used either in the good or the bad sense, but it does not mean here "obtained by asking" as margin in Revised Version has it.

That he might sift you ( $\tau 0 v \sigma \iota v \iota \sigma \alpha$ ). Genitive articular infinitive of purpose. First aorist active infinitive of $\sigma \iota \nu \iota \zeta \omega$, to shake a sieve, to sift, from $\sigma \iota v \iota v$, a winnowing fan. Later word. Here only in the N.T.

Luke 22:32
That thy faith fail not ( $\iota \alpha \alpha \mu \eta \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \iota \pi \eta \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma \mu \circ v$ ). Second aorist active subjunctive of purpose with $\iota v \alpha$ after $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \eta \theta \eta \nu$ ( I prayed ) of $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi \omega$, old verb. Our word eclipse is this word. Evidently Jesus could not keep Satan from attacking Peter. He had already captured Judas. Did he not repeatedly attack Jesus? But he could and did pray for Peter's faith and his praying won in the end, though Peter stumbled and fell.

And do thou ( $\kappa \alpha \sigma v$ ). The words single out Peter sharply.
Once thou hast turned again ( $\pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, common verb to turn to, to return. But the use of this word implied that Peter would fall though he would come back and "strengthen thy brethren."

Luke 22:33
To prison and to death ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \iota ৎ \theta a v a \tau o v$ ). Evidently Peter was not flattered by the need of Christ's earnest prayers for his welfare and loyalty. Hence this loud boast.

Luke 22:34
Until thou shalt thrice deny that thou knowest me ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \tau \rho \iota \mu \varepsilon \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \nu \eta \sigma \eta \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \nu \alpha)$. "Thrice" is in all four Gospels here for they all give this warning to Peter (Mr 14:30; Mt 26:34; Lu 22:34; Joh 18:38 ). Peter will even deny knowing Jesus ( $\varepsilon \iota \varepsilon v \alpha)$.

Luke 22:35
Without purse ( $\alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha v \tau \iota o v)$. Money bag or purse. Old word, but in the N.T. only in Luke (10:4; 12:33; 22:35ff. ).

Wallet ( $\pi \eta \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. See on Mt 10:10 .
Lacked ye anything ( $\mu \eta \tau \imath \nu \circ \varsigma v \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon ;$ ). Answer No expected (ov $\theta \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ below). Ablative case after vo兀 $\rho \varepsilon \omega$.

Luke 22:36
Buy a sword ( $\alpha \gamma \circ \rho \alpha \sigma \alpha \tau \omega \mu \alpha \chi \alpha \iota \rho \alpha v$ ). This is for defence clearly. The reference is to the special mission in Galilee (Lu 9:1-6; Mr 6:6-13; $\mathrm{Mt} 9: 35-11: 1$ ). They are to expect persecution and bitter hostility (Joh 15:18-21). Jesus does not mean that his disciples are to repel force by force, but that they are to be ready to defend his cause against attack. Changed conditions bring changed needs. This language can be misunderstood as it was then.

Luke 22:38
Lord, behold, here are two swords (кvрı $\delta о v \mu \alpha \chi \propto ı \rho \alpha \omega \delta \varepsilon \delta v o$ ). They took his words literally. And before this very night is over Peter will use one of these very swords to try to cut off the head of Malchus only to be sternly rebuked by Jesus (Mr 14:47; Mt 26:51f.; Lu 22:50f.; Joh 18:10f. ). Then Jesus will say: "For all that take the sword shall perish with the sword" (Mt 26:52 ). Clearly Jesus did not mean his language even about the sword to be
pressed too literally. So he said: "It is enough" (Hıкavov $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. It is with sad irony and sorrow that Jesus thus dismisses the subject. They were in no humour now to understand the various sides of this complicated problem. Every preacher and teacher understands this mood, not of impatience, but of closing the subject for the present.

Luke 22:39
As his custom was ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau о \varepsilon \theta$ оৎ). According to the custom (of him). It was because Judas knew the habit of Jesus of going to Gethsemane at night that he undertook to betray him without waiting for the crowd to go home after the feast.

Luke 22:40
At the place ( $\varepsilon \pi$ тov толоv). The place of secret prayer which was dear to Jesus.
Pray that ye enter not into temptation ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \mu \eta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \iota v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho a \sigma \mu \circ v$ ). "Keep on praying not to enter (ingressive aorist infinitive, not even once) into temptation." It is real "temptation" here, not just "trial." Jesus knew the power of temptation and the need of prayer. These words throw a light on the meaning of his language in Mt 6:13. Jesus repeats this warning in verse 46 .

Luke 22:41
About a stone's throw ( $\omega \sigma \varepsilon \lambda_{\imath} \theta$ ov $\beta o \lambda \eta v$ ). Accusative of extent of space. Luke does not tell of leaving eight disciples by the entrance to Gethsemane nor about taking Peter, James, and John further in with him.

Kneeled down ( $\theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma \tau \alpha$ үоva $\tau \alpha$ ). Second aorist active participle from $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$. Mr 14:35 says "fell on the ground" and Mt 26:39 "fell on his face." All could be true at different moments.

Prayed ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta \cup \chi \varepsilon \tau о)$. Imperfect middle, was praying, kept on praying.
Luke 22:42
If thou be willing $(\varepsilon \beta o v \lambda \varepsilon)$. This condition is in the first petition at the start.
Be done $(\gamma \iota v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega)$. Present middle imperative, keep on being done, the Father's will.
Luke 22:43
An angel ( $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma)$. The angels visited Jesus at the close of the three temptations at the beginning of his ministry ( $\mathrm{Mt} 4: 11$ ). Here the angel comes during the conflict.

Luke 22:44
In an agony ( $\varepsilon \vee \alpha \gamma \omega v / \alpha$ ). It was conflict, contest from $\alpha \gamma \omega v$. An old word, but only here in the N.T. Satan pressed Jesus harder than ever before.

As it were great drops of blood ( $\omega \sigma \varepsilon \theta \rho \circ \mu \beta$ o $\alpha \mu \alpha \tau \sigma \varsigma)$. Thick, clotted blood. An old word $(\theta \rho o \mu \beta o)$ common in medical works, but here only in the N.T. This passage (verses 43,44 ) is absent from some ancient documents. Aristotle speaks of a bloody sweat as does Theophrastus.

Luke 22:45
 turnings of Jesus to the trusted three for human sympathy.

Luke 22:46
Why sleep ye? (T $\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$;). This reproach Luke gives, but not the almost bitter details in Mr 14:37-42; Mt 26:40-46 ).

Luke 22:47
Went before them ( $\pi \rho \circ \eta \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau 0$ ). Imperfect middle. Judas was leading the band for he knew the place well (Joh 18:2).

Luke 22:48
With a kiss ( $\varphi, \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau)$. Instrumental case. Jesus challenges the act of Judas openly and calls it betrayal, but it did not stop him.

Luke 22:49
What would follow ( $\tau 0 \varepsilon \sigma o \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Article and the future middle participle of $\varepsilon \not \mu$, to be.

Shall we smite with a sword? ( $\left.\varepsilon \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \xi_{o \mu} \mu \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \chi \alpha \rho \eta \imath ;\right)$. Note $\varepsilon$ in a direct question like the Hebrew. Luke alone gives this question. Instrumental use of $\varepsilon v$. They had the two swords already mentioned ( 22:38).

Luke 22:50
His right ear ( $\tau 0$ ou¢ $\alpha \cup \tau 0 v$ to $\delta \varepsilon \xi \iota v$ ). Mark 14:47; Mt 26:51 do not mention "right," but Luke the Physician does. Joh 18:10 follows Luke in this item and also adds the names of Peter and of Malchus since probably both were dead by that time and Peter would not be involved in trouble.

Luke 22:51
Suffer us thus far ( $\varepsilon \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ रov $\frac{1}{}$ ). Present active imperative of $\varepsilon \alpha \omega$, to allow. But the meaning is not clear. If addressed to Peter and the other disciples it means that they are to suffer this much of violence against Jesus. This is probably the idea. If it is addressed to the crowd, it means that they are to excuse Peter for his rash act.

He touched his ear and healed him ( $\alpha \psi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ o \tau เ o v ~ ı ~ a \sigma \alpha \tau о ~ \alpha v \tau o v) . ~ W h e t h e r ~ J e s u s ~$ picked up the piece of the ear and put it back is not said. He could have healed the wound without that. This miracle of surgery is given alone by Luke.

Luke 22:52
As against a robber? ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \iota \sigma \tau \eta v ;)$. They were treating Jesus as if he were a bandit like Barabbas.

Luke 22:53
But this is your hour ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \eta \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v v \mu \omega \nu \eta \omega \rho \alpha$ ). So Jesus surrenders. The moral value of his atoning sacrifice on the Cross consists in the voluntariness of his death. He makes it clear that they have taken undue advantage of him in this hour of secret prayer
and had failed to seize him in public in the temple. But "the power of darkness" ( $\eta$ £ $\xi \circ 0 \sigma \iota \alpha$ tov бкотouc), had its turn. A better day will come. The might, authority of darkness.

Luke 22:54
Into the high priest's house ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau \eta \nu$ оккı $\alpha v$ тоv $\alpha \rho \chi เ \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Luke alone mentions "the house." Though it is implied in Mr 14:53; Mt 26:57 .

Followed ( $\eta \kappa 0 \lambda o u \theta \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect, was following, as Mt 26:58; Joh 18:15. Curiously Mr 14:54 has the aorist.

Luke 22:55
When they had kindled a fire ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \alpha \psi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi \nu \rho$ ). Genitive absolute, first aorist active participle of $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ a \pi \tau \omega$, an old verb, but here only in the N.T. Kindle around, make a good fire that blazes all over. It was April and cool at night. The servants made the fire.

And had sat down together ( $\kappa \alpha \quad \sigma \nu v \kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \omega v)$ ). Genitive absolute again. Note $\sigma v v-$ (together), all had taken seats around the fire.

Peter sat in the midst of them ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha Ө \eta \tau$ о о Пєт $о \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \sigma о \varsigma \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Imperfect tense, he was sitting, and note $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \circ \varsigma$, nominative predicate adjective with the genitive, like Joh 1:26 , good Greek idiom.

Luke 22:56
In the light ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau о \varphi \omega \varsigma$ ). Facing ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ ) the light, for the fire gave light as well as heat. Mr 14:65 has "warming himself in the light," John (Joh 18:18,25 ) "warming himself."

Looking steadfastly ( $\alpha \tau \varepsilon v / \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha$ ). Favourite word in Luke ( 4:20, etc.) for gazing steadily at one.

This man also ( $\kappa \alpha$ ovtoc). As if pointing to Peter and talking about him. The other Gospels (Mr 14:67; Mt 26:69; Joh 18:25 ) make a direct address to Peter. Both could be true, as she turned to Peter.

Luke 22:57
I know him not (ovk ot $\delta \alpha$ avtov). Just as Jesus had predicted that he would do (Lu 22:34).

Luke 22:58
After a little while another ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \rho \alpha \chi v$ eтє $\rho \circ \varsigma$ ). Mt 26:71 makes it after Peter had gone out into the porch and mentions a maid as speaking as does Mr 14:69, while here the "other" ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma)$ is a man (masculine gender). It is almost impossible to co-ordinate the three denials in the four accounts unless we conceive of several joining in when one led off. This time Peter's denial is very blunt, "I am not."

Luke 22:59
After the space of about one hour ( $\delta ı \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \eta \varsigma \omega \sigma \varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \varsigma \mu \mu \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute with second aorist active participle feminine singular of $\delta u \sigma \tau \eta \mu$. This classical verb in the N.T. is used only by Luke (22:59; 24:51; Ac 27:28). It means standing in two or apart, about an hour intervening.

Confidently affirmed ( $\delta u \sigma \chi \cup \rho \iota \zeta \varepsilon \tau 0)$. Imperfect middle, he kept affirming strongly. An old verb ( $\delta \iota \alpha, \stackrel{\sigma \chi \cup \rho \iota \zeta}{\zeta} \mu \alpha$ ), to make oneself strong, to make emphatic declaration. In the N.T. only here and Ac 12:15 .

For he is a Galilean ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \alpha \rho$ Г $\quad \lambda \iota \lambda \alpha \iota \varsigma \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \nu)$ ). Mt 26:73 makes it plain that it was his speech that gave him away, which see.

Luke 22:60
I know not what thou sayest (ouk oı $\delta \alpha$ o $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon ı$ ). Each denial tangles Peter more and more.

While he yet spake ( $\varepsilon \tau \lambda \alpha \lambda$ ouvtos $\alpha \cup \tau o u$ ). Genitive absolute. Peter could hear the crowing all right.

Luke 22:61
The Lord turned ( $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ о кирıюऽ). Second aorist passive participle of $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, coming verb. Graphic picture drawn by Luke alone.

Looked upon Peter ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \Pi \varepsilon \tau \rho \omega$ ). Ingressive aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \nu \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$, an old and vivid verb, to glance at.

Remembered ( $v \pi \varepsilon \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $v \pi о \mu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$, common verb to remind one of something (vло giving a suggestion or hint). The cock crowing and the look brought swiftly back to Peter's mind the prophecy of Jesus and his sad denials. The mystery is how he had forgotten that warning.

Luke 22:62
And he went out and wept bitterly ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega v \varepsilon \xi \omega \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \alpha v \sigma \varepsilon v \pi \kappa \kappa \rho \omega \varsigma)$. A few old Latin documents omit this verse which is genuine in Mt 26:75. It may be an insertion here from there, but the evidence for the rejection is too slight. It is the ingressive aorist ( $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \alpha v \sigma \varepsilon v$ ), he burst into tears. "Bitter" is a common expression for tears in all languages and in all hearts.

Luke 22:63
That held (o $\sigma v v \varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau \varepsilon$ ). See on 8:45; 19:43 for this verb $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \chi \omega$. Here alone in the N.T. for holding a prisoner (holding together). The servants or soldiers, not the Sanhedrin.

Mocked (everaıఢov). Imperfect active, were mocking, inchoative, began to mock, to play like boys.

And beat him ( $\delta \varepsilon \rho o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\delta \varepsilon \rho \omega$, to flay, tan, or hide. Literally, "beating."

Luke 22:64
Blindfolded ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho\llcorner\kappa \alpha \lambda \cup \psi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda \cup \pi \tau \omega$, old verb, to put a veil around. In the N.T. only here and Mr 14:65. See Mr 14:65; Mt 26:67f. for further discussion.

Luke 22:65
Many other things ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ). These are just samples.

Luke 22:66
As soon as it was day ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \tau \tau \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). Mr 15:1 (Mt 27:1) has "morning."
The assembly of the people ( $\tau 0 \pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v \tau o v \lambda \alpha o v$ ). The technical word for "the eldership" (from $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$, an old man or elder) or group of the elders composing the Sanhedrin. The word occurs in the LXX for the Sanhedrin. In the N.T. occurs only here and Ac 22:5 of the Sanhedrin. In 1Ti 4:14 Paul uses it of the elders in a church (or churches). The Sanhedrin was composed of the elders and scribes and chief priests (Mr 15:1) and all three groups are at this meeting. Luke's language (both chief priests and scribes, $\tau \varepsilon \ldots \kappa \alpha$ ) seems to apply the word $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ to the whole Sanhedrin. Sadducees (chief priests) and Pharisees (scribes) were nearly equally represented.

Into their council ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ тo $\sigma v v \varepsilon \delta \rho \iota o v \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). The place of the gathering is not given, but Jesus was led into the council chamber.

Luke 22:67
If thou art the Christ (E $\sigma v \varepsilon$ o Xpıбтoৎ). The Messiah, they mean. The condition is the first class, assuming it to be true.

If I tell you (Eav $v \mu \imath v \varepsilon \iota \pi \omega)$. Condition of the third class, undetermined, but with likelihood of being determined. This is the second appearance of Jesus before the Sanhedrin merely mentioned by $\mathrm{Mr} 15: 1$; Mt 27:1 who give in detail the first appearance and trial. Luke merely gives this so-called ratification meeting after daybreak to give the appearance of legality to their vote of condemnation already taken (Mr 14:64; Mt 26:66).

Ye will not believe ( $o v \mu \eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Double negative with the aorist subjunctive, strongest possible negative. So as to verse 68.

Luke 22:69
The Son of man (o vioc $\tau 0 v a v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ ). Jesus really answers their demand about "the Messiah" by asserting that he is "the Son of man" and they so understand him. He makes claims of equality with God also which they take up.

Luke 22:70
Art thou the Son of God? ( $\Sigma v$ ovv $\varepsilon$ o vios $\tau 0 v \theta \varepsilon o v ;$ ). Note how these three epithets are used as practical equivalents. They ask about "the Messiah." Jesus affirms that he is the Son of Man and will sit at the right hand of the power of God. They take this to be a claim to be the Son of God (both humanity and deity). Jesus accepts the challenge and admits that he claims to be all three (Messiah, the Son of man, the Son of God).

Ye say (Hu $\mathrm{H} \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Just a Greek idiom for "Yes" (compare "I am" in Mr 14:62 with "Thou has said" in Mt 26:64 ).

Luke 22:71
For we ourselves have heard ( $\alpha v \tau о \gamma \alpha \rho \eta \kappa о v \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). They were right if Jesus is not what he claimed to be. They were eternally wrong for he is the Christ, the Son of man, the Son of God. They made their choice and must face Christ as Judge.

## Luke 23

Luke 23:1
The whole company ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \nu$ to $\pi \lambda \eta \theta$ oc). All but Nicodemus and Joseph of Arimathea who were probably not invited to this meeting.

Luke 23:2
Began to accuse ( $\eta \rho \xi \alpha v \tau 0 ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \gamma o \rho \varepsilon เ v)$. They went at it and kept it up. Luke mentions three, but neither of them includes their real reason nor do they mention their own condemnation of Jesus. They had indulged their hatred in doing it, but they no longer have the power of life and death. Hence they say nothing to Pilate of that.

We found ( $\varepsilon v \rho a \mu \varepsilon v)$. Second aorist active indicative with first aorist vowel $\alpha$. Probably they mean that they had caught Jesus in the act of doing these things (in flagrante delicto) rather than discovery by formal trial.

Perverting our nation ( $\delta 1 \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi o v \tau \alpha$ тo $\varepsilon \theta v o \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Present active participle of $\delta ı \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, old verb to turn this way and that, distort, disturb. In the N.T. only here and Ac 13:10. The Sanhedrin imply that the great popularity of Jesus was seditious.

Forbidding to give tribute to Caesar , ( $\kappa \omega \lambda \nu о \nu \tau \alpha$ 甲ороиц каıбар $\delta \iota \delta o v \alpha$ ). Note object infinitive $\delta \iota \delta o v a$ after the participle $\kappa \omega \lambda \nu o v \tau \alpha$. Literally, hindering giving tribute to Caesar. This was a flat untruth. Their bright young students had tried desperately to get Jesus to say this very thing, but they had failed utterly ( Lu 20:25).
 the indirect discourse here after the participle $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma 0 v \tau \alpha$ with the accusative (avtov where autov could have been used), and the infinitive. This charge is true, but not in the sense meant by them. Jesus did claim to be the Christ and the king of the kingdom of God. But the Sanhedrin wanted Pilate to think that he set himself up as a rival to Caesar. Pilate would understand little from the word "Christ," but "King" was a different matter. He was compelled to take notice of this charge else he himself would be accused to Caesar of winking at such a claim by Jesus.

Luke 23:3
Thou sayest ( $\sigma \nu \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. A real affirmative as in 22:70. The Gospels all give Pilate's question about Jesus asking of the Jews in precisely the same words (Mr 15:2; Mt 27:11; Lu 23:3; Joh 18:33 ).

Luke 23:4
The multitude ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma$ ox $\lambda$ ouc). The first mention of them. It is now after daybreak. The procession of the Sanhedrin would draw a crowd (Plummer) and some may have come to ask for the release of a prisoner ( $\mathrm{Mr} 15: 8$ ). There was need of haste if the condemnation went through before friends of Jesus came.

I find no fault (ouסgv $\varepsilon v \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$ aıtiov). In the N.T. Luke alone uses this old adjective aıtioc ( $\operatorname{Lu}$ 23:4,14,22; Ac 19:40) except Heb. 5:9. It means one who is the author, the cause of or responsible for anything. Luke does not give the explanation of this sudden decision of Pilate that Jesus is innocent. Evidently he held a careful examination before he delivered his judgment on the case. That conversation is given in Joh 18:33-38. Pilate took Jesus inside the palace from the upper gallery (Joh 18:33) and then came out and rendered his decision to the Sanhedrin (Joh 18:38) who would not go into the palace of Pilate (Joh 18:28).

Luke 23:5
But they were the more urgent (o $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \chi \nu o v$ ). Imperfect active of $\varepsilon \pi / \sigma \chi \nu \omega$, to give added $(\varepsilon \pi)$ strength ( $\llcorner\sigma \chi \omega \omega)$. And they kept insisting. Evidently Pilate had taken the thing too lightly.

He stirred up the people (avaб\&є tov $\lambda \alpha o v$ ). This compound is rare, though old (Thucydides), to shake up (back and forth). This is a more vigorous repetition of the first charge (verse 2, "perverting our nation").

Beginning from Galilee ( $\left.\alpha \rho \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \alpha \pi о ~ \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \Gamma \alpha \lambda_{\iota} \lambda \alpha ı \alpha \varsigma\right)$. These very words occur in the address of Peter to the group in the house of Cornelius (Ac 10:37). The idiomatic use of $\alpha \rho \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o c$ appears also in Ac 1:22. Galilee (Grote) was the mother of seditious men (see Josephus).

Luke 23:6
A Galilean (Гa $1 \lambda \alpha \ldots \circ \varsigma)$. If so, here was a way out for Herod without going back on his own decision.

Luke 23:7
When he knew ( $\varepsilon \pi \imath \gamma v o u \varsigma)$. Second aorist active participle from $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, having gained full ( $\varepsilon \pi$, added knowledge).

Of Herod's jurisdiction ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \circ 0 \sigma \iota \propto \varsigma ~ H \eta \rho \omega \iota \delta o v$ ). Herod was naturally jealous of any encroachment by Pilate, the Roman Procurator of Judea. So here was a chance to respect the prerogative ( $\varepsilon \xi \circ 0 \sigma 1 \alpha)$ of Herod and get rid of this troublesome case also.

Sent him up ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega$. This common verb is used of sending back as in verse 11 or of sending up to a higher court as of Paul to Caesar (Ac 25:21).

Who himself also was (ovta ка $\alpha \cup \tau о v$ ). Being also himself in Jerusalem. Present active participle of $\varepsilon \mu \mu$.

Luke 23:8
Was exceeding glad ( $\varepsilon \chi \alpha \rho \eta \lambda \iota \alpha v)$. Second aorist passive indicative of $\chi \alpha \iota \rho \omega$, ingressive aorist, became glad.

Of a long time ( $\varepsilon \xi$ เкаv $\omega v \chi \rho \circ v \omega v$ ). For this idiom see 8:27; 20:9; Ac 8:11).
He hoped ( $\eta \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active. He was still hoping. He had long ago gotten over his fright that Jesus was John the Baptist come to life again ( 9:7-9).

Done ( $\gamma / v o \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Present middle participle. He wanted to see a miracle happening like a stunt of a sleight-of-hand performer.

Luke 23:9
He questioned ( $\varepsilon \pi \eta \rho \omega \tau \alpha$ ). Imperfect active, kept on questioning.
In many words ( $\varepsilon v$ गоүоьц ıкаvoıৎ). Same use of ıкаvoc as in verse 8.
Luke 23:10
Stood (เซтŋкદוбav). Second perfect active intransitive of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ with sense of imperfect. They stood by while Herod quizzed Jesus and when he refused to answer, they broke loose with their accusations like a pack of hounds with full voice ( $\varepsilon v \tau o v \omega \varsigma$, adverb from adjective $\varepsilon \cup \tau o v o \varsigma$, from $\varepsilon v$, well, and $\tau \varepsilon เ v \omega$, to stretch, well tuned). Old word, but in the N.T. only here and Ac 18:28.

Luke 23:11
Set him at nought ( $\varepsilon \xi \circ \cup \theta \varepsilon v \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle from $\varepsilon \xi \sigma 0 \in \varepsilon v \varepsilon \omega$, to count as nothing, to treat with utter contempt, as zero.

Arraying him in gorgeous apparel ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \omega v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \eta \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \alpha v)$. Second aorist active participle of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \curlywedge \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to fling around one. $\Lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \alpha v$ is brilliant, shining as in Jas 2:2, so different from the modest dress of the Master. This was part of the shame.

Luke 23:12
For before they were at enmity between themselves ( $\pi \rho \circ \cup \pi \eta \rho \chi \circ \vee \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \chi \theta \rho \alpha$ ov $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \varepsilon a v \tau o v \varsigma)$. A periphrastic imperfect of the double compound $\pi \rho \circ 0 \pi \varepsilon \rho \chi \omega$, an old verb, to exist ( $\cup \pi \alpha \rho \chi \omega$ ) previously ( $\pi \rho \circ-$ ), here alone in the N.T., with ovtec (participle of $\varepsilon \mu \mu$ ) added.

Luke 23:13
Called together ( $\sigma v v \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. First aorist middle participle (to himself). Pilate included "the people" in the hope that Jesus might have some friends among them.

Luke 23:14
As one that perverteth the people ( $\omega \varsigma \alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \rho \nu \tau \alpha$ тov $\lambda \alpha o v$ ). Pilate here condenses the three charges in verse 2 into one (Plummer). He uses a more common compound of $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$ here, $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, to turn away from, to seduce, to mislead, whereas $\delta \alpha \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$ in verse 2 has more the notion of disturbing (turning this way and that). Note the use of $\omega \varsigma$ with the particle, the alleged reason. Pilate understands the charge against Jesus to be that he is a revolutionary agitator and a dangerous rival to Caesar, treason in plain words.

Having examined him before you ( $\varepsilon \nu \omega \pi \iota \rho \nu \nu \mu \omega \nu \alpha v \alpha \kappa \rho ı v a \varsigma)$. Right before your eyes I have given him a careful examination ( $\alpha v \alpha$ ) up and down, $\kappa \rho \iota v \omega$, to judge, sift. Old and common verb in the general sense and in the forensic sense as here and which Luke alone has in the N.T. (Lu 23:14; 4:9; 12:19; 28:18; Ac 24:8) except 1Co 9:3.

Whereof $(\omega v)$. Attraction of the relative $\alpha$ to the case (genitive) of the unexpressed antecedent tov $\omega v$.

Luke 23:15
No nor yet ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov $\delta \varepsilon$ ). But not even.
Hath been done by him ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \pi \varepsilon \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \varepsilon v o v \alpha v \tau \omega)$. Periphrastic perfect passive indicative of $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, common verb, to do. The case of $\alpha \nu \tau \omega$ can be regarded as either the dative or the instrumental (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 534,542).

Luke 23:16
Chastise ( $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v \omega$, to train a child ( $\pi \alpha \iota \varsigma$ ), and then, as a part of the training, punishment. Our English word chasten is from the Latin castus, pure, chaste, and means to purify (cf. Heb 12:6f. ). Perhaps Pilate may have split a hair over the word as Wycliff puts it: "I shall deliver him amended." But, if Jesus was innocent, Pilate had no doubt to "chastise" him to satisfy a mob. Verse 17 is omitted by Westcott and Hort as from Mr 15:6; Mt 27:15 .

Luke 23:18
All together $(\pi \alpha v \pi \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon)$. An adverb from the adjective $\pi \alpha v \pi \lambda \eta \theta \eta \varsigma$, all together. Used by Dio Cassius. Only here in the N.T.

Away ( $\alpha\llcorner\rho \varepsilon$ ). Present active imperative, Take him on away and keep him away as in Ac 21:36; 22:22, of Paul. But
release $(\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \cup \sigma o v)$ is first aorist active imperative, do it now and at once.
Luke 23:19
Insurrection ( $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota v$ ). An old word for sedition, standing off, the very charge made against Jesus (and untrue). If Jesus had raised insurrection against Caesar, these accusers would have rallied to his standard.

And for murder ( $\kappa \alpha \varphi \circ \vee \circ v$ ). They cared nought for this. In fact, the murderer was counted a hero like bandits and gangsters today with some sentimentalists.

Was cast ( $\eta \vee \beta \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. Periphrastic aorist passive indicative of $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, a quite unusual form.

Luke 23:21
But they shouted (o $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \varphi \omega v o v v$ ). Imperfect active of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi \omega v \varepsilon \omega$, to call to. Old verb and a verb pertinent here. They kept on yelling.

Crucify, crucify ( $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho o v, \sigma \tau \alpha \cup \rho o v)$. Present active imperative. Go on with the crucifixion. $\operatorname{Mr}$ 15:13 has $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \omega \sigma o v$ (first aorist active imperative), do it now and be done with it. No doubt some shouted one form, some another.

Luke 23:22
Why, what evil? (Т $\gamma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha \kappa о v ;$ ). Note this use of $\gamma \alpha \rho$ (explanatory and argumentative combined).

Luke 23:23
But they were instant (o $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ \nu \tau 0$ ). Imperfect middle of $\varepsilon \pi \kappa \kappa \varepsilon \mu \mu \alpha$, an old verb for the rush and swirl of a tempest.

With loud voices ( $\varphi \omega$ vaıs $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha ı \varsigma)$. Instrumental case. Poor Pilate was overwhelmed by this tornado.

Prevailed (katıб $\quad$ vov). Imperfect active of $\kappa \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \chi v \omega$ (see Mt 16:18; Lu 21:36 ). The tempest Pilate had invited ( 23:13).

Luke 23:24
Gave sentence ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho / v \varepsilon v)$. Pronounced the final sentence. The usual verb for the final decision. Only here in the N.T.

Luke 23:25
Whom they asked for (ov $\mathfrak{\eta}$ וтоиvтo). Imperfect middle, for whom they had been asking for themselves. Luke repeats that Barabbas was in prison "for insurrection and murder."

To their will ( $\tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). This is mob law by the judge who surrenders his own power and justice to the clamour of the crowd.

Luke 23:26
They laid hold ( $\varepsilon \pi i \lambda \alpha \beta o \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Second aorist middle participle of the common verb $\varepsilon \pi i \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$. The soldiers had no scruples about taking hold of any one of themselves (middle voice). Mr 15:21; Lu 27:32 use the technical word for this process $\alpha \gamma \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon v \omega$, which see for discussion and also about Cyrene.

Laid on him ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \theta \eta \kappa \alpha v$ ). K first aorist of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$.
To bear it ( $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon เ v$ ). Present infinitive, to go on bearing.
Luke 23:27
Followed ( $\eta \kappa 0 \lambda \mathrm{ov} \theta \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active, was following. Verses 27-32 are peculiar to Luke.

Bewailed ( $\varepsilon \kappa о \pi \tau о v \tau о)$. Imperfect middle of $\kappa о \pi \tau \omega$, to cut, smite, old and common verb. Direct middle, they were smiting themselves on the breast. "In the Gospels there is no instance of a woman being hostile to Christ" (Plummer). Luke's Gospel is appropriately called the Gospel of Womanhood (1:39-56; 2:36-38; 7:11-15, 37-50; 8:1-3; 10:38-42; 11:27; 13:11-16 ).

Lamented ( $\varepsilon \theta \rho \eta v o v v)$. Imperfect active of $\theta \rho \eta v \varepsilon \omega$, old verb from $\theta \rho \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$, to cry aloud, lament.

Luke 23:28
Turning ( $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. Luke is fond of this second aorist passive participle of $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$ ( $7: 9,44,55 ; 10: 23$ ). If he had been still carrying the Cross, he could not have made this dramatic gesture.

Weep not ( $\mu \eta \kappa \lambda \alpha \_\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present active imperative with $\mu \eta$, Stop weeping.
Luke 23:29
Blessed ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho(\alpha)$. A beatitude to the barren, the opposite of the hopes of Jewish mothers. Childless women are commiserated (1:25,36).

To the hills (тoıs ßouvoı¢). A Cyrenaic word. In the N.T. only here and 3:5. Quotation from Ho 10:8.

Luke 23:31
In the green tree $(\varepsilon v v \gamma \rho \omega \xi v \lambda \omega)$. Green wood is hard to burn and so is used for the innocent.

In the dry ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \xi \eta \rho \omega$ ). Dry wood kindles easily and is a symbol for the guilty. This common proverb has various applications. Here the point is that if they can put Jesus to death, being who he is, what will happen to Jerusalem when its day of judgment comes?

What shall be done ( $\tau \gamma^{\varepsilon v \eta \tau \alpha) \text {. Deliberative subjunctive. }}$
Luke 23:32
Were led ( $\boxtimes$ gonto). Imperfect passive of $\alpha \gamma \hat{A} \omega$, were being led.
Malefactors (какоирүо). Evil (какоv), doers (work, $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ$ ). Old word, but in the N.T. only in this passage ( $32,33,39$ ) and 2Ti 2:9. Luke does not call them "robbers" like Mr 15:27; Mt 27:38,44.

To be put to death ( $\alpha v \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta v \alpha$ ). First aorist passive infinitive of $\alpha v a \iota \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to take up, to take away, to kill.

Luke 23:33
The skull ( $\tau \mathrm{o}$ к $\rho \alpha \mathrm{v} เ \mathrm{ov}$ ). Probably because it looked like a skull. See on Mt 27:33; Mr 15:22.

There they crucified him ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon ~ \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha u \rho \omega \sigma \alpha v$ ). There between the two robbers and on the very cross on which Barabbas, the leader of the robber band, was to have been crucified.

One ( $o v \mu \varepsilon v$ ),
the other ( $o v \delta \varepsilon$ ). Common idiom of contrast with this old demonstrative oc and $\mu \varepsilon v$ and $\delta \varepsilon$.

Luke 23:34
Father forgive them (Пaтєр, $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \circ \iota \varsigma)$. Second aorist active imperative of $\alpha \varphi \iota \eta \mu$, with dative case. Some of the oldest and best documents do not contain this verse, and yet, while it is not certain that it is a part of Luke's Gospel, it is certain that Jesus spoke these words, for they are utterly unlike any one else. Jesus evidently is praying for the Roman soldiers, who were only obeying, but not for the Sanhedrin.

Cast lots ( $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda$ ov $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$. See Mr 15:24; Mt 27:35. Joh 19:23f. shows how the lot was cast for the seamless garment, the four soldiers dividing the other garments.

Luke 23:35
The people stood beholding (เซтךкع). Past perfect active of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, intransitive and like imperfect. A graphic picture of the dazed multitude, some of whom may have been in the Triumphal Entry on Sunday morning.

Scoffed ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \mu \nu \kappa \tau \eta \rho \iota(\zeta v)$ ). Imperfect active, perhaps inchoative, began to turn up (out, $\varepsilon \xi)$ at the dying Christ. The language comes from Ps 22:7 .

The Christ of God (o Xpıбтos tov $\theta$ rov). He had claimed to be just this (22:67,70 ). The sarcastic sneer (he saved others; let him save others, for himself he cannot save) is in Mr 15:31; Mt 27:42. Luke alone gives the contemptuous use of ovtoc (this fellow) and the fling in "the elect" (o єк $\lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau о \varsigma) . ~ T h e s e ~ r u l e r s ~ w e r e ~ h a v i n g ~ t h e i r ~ d a y ~ a t ~ l a s t . ~$

Luke 23:36
Mocked ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \pi a \iota \xi a v$ ). Even the soldiers yielded to the spell and acted like boys in their jeers. Aorist tense here and different verb also from that used of the rulers. They were not so bitter and persistent.

Luke 23:37
If $(\varepsilon)$. Condition of the first class as is text in verse 35 used by the rulers. The soldiers pick out "the king of the Jews" as the point of their sneer, the point on which Jesus was condemned. But both soldiers and rulers fail to understand that Jesus could not save himself if he was to save others.

Luke 23:38
A superscription ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta$ ). Mr 15:26 has "the superscription of his accusation" Mt 27:37 , "his accusation," Joh 19:19 "a title." But they all refer to the charge written at the top on the cross giving, as was the custom, the accusation on which the criminal was condemned, with his name and residence. Put all the reports together and we have: This is Jesus of Nazareth the King of the Jews. This full title appeared in Latin for law, in Aramaic for the Jews, in Greek for everybody (Joh 19:20).

Luke 23:39
Railed ( $\varepsilon \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active, implying that he kept it up. His question formally calls for an affirmative answer (oux), but the ridicule is in his own answer: "Save thyself and us." It was on a level with an effort to break prison. Luke alone gives this incident ( 39-43), though Mr 15:32; Mt 27:44 allude to it.

Luke 23:40
Rebuking ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \mu \omega v$ ). From what Mark and Matthew say both robbers sneered at Jesus at first, but this one came to himself and turned on his fellow robber in a rage.

Dost thou not even fear God? ( $O v \delta \varepsilon \varphi o \beta \eta$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$;). Ov $\delta \varepsilon$ here goes with the verb. $\Phi \circ \beta \eta$ (second person singular present indicative middle of $\varphi \circ \beta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \alpha$. Both of you will soon appear before God. Jesus has nothing to answer for and you have added this to your other sins.

Luke 23:41
Nothing amiss (ovסev ato $\frac{\pi}{}$ ). Nothing out of place ( $\alpha$ privative, тотоऽ, place). Old word, three times in the N.T. (Lu 23:44; Ac 28:6; 2Th 3:2 ). This can only mean that this
robber accepts the claims of Jesus to be true．He is dying for claiming to be Messiah，as he is．

Luke 23：42
In thy kingdom（ $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \beta \alpha \sigma \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \iota \nu \sigma o v$ ，text of Westcott and Hort or $\varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \propto$ $\sigma o v$, margin）．Probably no difference in sense is to be found，for $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma$ and $\varepsilon v$ are essentially the same preposition．He refers to the Messianic rule of Jesus and begs that Jesus will remem－ ber him．It is not clear whether he hopes for immediate blessing or only at the judgment．

Luke 23：43
Today shalt thou be with me in Paradise（ $\Sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \circ v \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \circ v \varepsilon \sigma \eta \varepsilon v \tau \omega \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \omega$ ）． However crude may have been the robber＇s Messianic ideas Jesus clears the path for him． He promises him immediate and conscious fellowship after death with Christ in Paradise which is a Persian word and is used here not for any supposed intermediate state；but the very bliss of heaven itself．This Persian word was used for an enclosed park or pleasure ground（so Xenophon）．The word occurs in two other passages in the N．T．（2Co 12：4；Re 2：7），in both of which the reference is plainly to heaven．Some Jews did use the word for the abode of the pious dead till the resurrection，interpreting＂Abraham＇s bosom＂（Lu 16：22f． ）in this sense also．But the evidence for such an intermediate state is too weak to warrant belief in it．

Luke 23：45
 participle of $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi \omega$ ，an old verb，to leave out，omit，pass by，to fail，to die．The word was used also of the eclipse of the sun or moon．But this was impossible at this time because the moon was full at the passover．Hence many documents change this correct text to＂the sun was darkened＂（ $\varepsilon \sigma \kappa \circ \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta \circ \eta \lambda \iota \varsigma)$ to obviate the difficulty about the technical eclipse．But the sun can be darkened in other ways．In a London fog at noon the street lights are often turned on．The Revised Version translates it correctly，＂the sun＇s light failing．＂Leave the darkness unexplained．

In the midst（ $\mu$ عбо⿱亠乂口）．In the middle．Mr 15：38；Mt 27：51 have＂in two＂（ $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \delta v o$ ）．
Luke 23：46
Father（Патєр）．Jesus dies with the words of Ps 31：5 on his lips．
Gave up the ghost（ $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi v \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v)$ ．First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \pi v \varepsilon \omega$ ，to breathe out， to expire，old word，but in the N．T．only here and Mr 15：37，39．There is no special reason for retaining＂ghost＂in the English as both Mt 27：50（yielded up his spirit，$\alpha \varphi \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ то $\pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha$ ） and Joh 19：30（gave up his spirit，$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon \nu$ тo $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ ）use $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ which is the root of $\varepsilon \kappa \pi v \varepsilon \omega$ ，the verb in Mark and Luke．

Luke 23：47
Glorified（ $\varepsilon \delta 0 \xi_{\alpha} \zeta \varepsilon v$ ）．Imperfect active．Began to glorify（inchoative）or kept on glorify－ ing．

Luke 23:48
Certainly (ov $\tau \omega \varsigma$ ). Really, old adverb from the participle ov from $\varepsilon \varepsilon \mu$, to be. Used also in 24:34 of the resurrection of Jesus.

A righteous man ( $\delta$ เкаıоৎ). Mr 15:39 (Mt 27:54) which see, represents the centurion as saying $\theta$ cou vioc (God's Son) which may mean to him little more than "righteous man."

That came together ( $\sigma v \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \nu o \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Double compound ( $\sigma v \nu$, together, $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$, along), that came along together.

To this sight $(\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \nu \theta \varepsilon \omega \rho ı \alpha \nu \tau \alpha v \tau \eta \nu)$. This spectacle $(\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho ı \alpha \nu$ from $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$, verse 35).

Returned (v $v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \circ v$ ). Imperfect active of $v \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$. See them slowly wending their way back to the city from this Tragedy of the Ages which they had witnessed in awe.

Luke 23:49
Stood afar off ( $\iota \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha v \alpha \pi о \mu \alpha \kappa \rho \circ \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Same verb as in verse 35. Melancholy picture of the inner circle of the acquaintances of Jesus and the faithful band of women from Galilee.

Seeing these things (o $\rho \omega \sigma \alpha \tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha$ ). And helpless either to prevent them or to understand them. They could only stand and look with blinded eyes.

Luke 23:51
He had not consented to their counsel and deed (ov ǒ ovк $\eta v \sigma u v \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma$ $\tau \eta \beta o v \lambda \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \pi \rho \alpha \xi \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega v)$. This parenthesis is given by Luke alone and explains that,
 It is fairly certain that both Joseph and Nicodemus were suspected of sympathy with Jesus and so were not invited to the trial of Jesus.

Was looking for ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau 0$ ). Imperfect middle. Mr 15:43 has the periphrastic imperfect ( $\eta \nu \pi \rho o \sigma \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma)$.

Luke 23:52
Asked for ( $\eta \iota \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \tau 0$ ). First aorist middle (indirect) indicative as in Mr 15:43; Mt 27:58 . The middle voice shows that Joseph of Arimathea asked the body of Jesus as a personal favour.

Luke 23:53
Took it down ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega v$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \omega$ as in Mr 15:46.
Wrapped ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \cup \lambda_{\imath} \xi \varepsilon v$ ), as in Mt 27:59 where Mr 15:46 has $\varepsilon v \varepsilon ı \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ (wound), which see. Joh 19:40 has "bound" ( $\varepsilon \delta \eta \sigma a v$ ). See Matt. and Mark also for the linen cloth ( $\sigma \iota v \delta o v$ ).

Hewn in stone $(\lambda \alpha \xi \varepsilon v \tau \omega)$. From $\lambda \alpha \xi \varepsilon v \omega$ ( $\lambda \alpha \varsigma$, a stone, $\xi \varepsilon \omega$, to polish). In the LXX and here only in the N.T. Nowhere else so far as known. See the usual Greek verb $\lambda \alpha \tau o \mu \varepsilon \omega$ in Mr 15:46; Mt 27:60 .

Where never man had yet lain (ov ovк $\varepsilon v$ оט $\delta \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ о ט \pi \omega ~ \kappa \varepsilon ı \mu \varepsilon \nu о \varsigma) . ~ T r i p l e ~ n e g a t i v e ~ a n d ~$ periphrastic past perfect passive in sense ( $\kappa \varepsilon \not \mu \alpha)$, though periphrastic imperfect passive in
form. Same item in Joh 19:40 who uses $\eta \nu \tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ (periphrastic past perfect passive in form).

Luke 23:54
The day of the Preparation ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \cup \eta \varsigma)$. The technical Jewish phrase for the day before the sabbath for which see discussion on Mt 27:62 .

Drew on ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \varphi \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v)$. Imperfect active, began to dawn or give light. However, it was sundown, not sunrise when the Jewish sabbath (twenty-four-hour day) began. The confusion is to us, not to the Jews or the readers of the Greek New Testament. Luke is not speaking of the twelve-hour day which began with sunrise, but the twenty-four-hour day which began with sunset.

Luke 23:55
Had come with him ( $\eta \sigma \alpha v \sigma v v \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta v i \alpha)$. Periphrastic past perfect active of $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$.
Followed after ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa о \lambda о v \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha$ ). Aorist active participle of катако入ou $\theta \varepsilon \omega$, an old verb, but in the N.T. only here and Ac 16:17. It is possible that they followed after Joseph and Nicodemus so that they "beheld the tomb," ( $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu$ то тo $\mu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota o v)$, and also "how his body was laid" ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \theta \eta$ тo $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \alpha \cup \tau 0 v)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$. They may in fact, have witnessed the silent burial from a distance. The Syriac Sinaitic and the Syriac Curetonian give it thus: "and the women, who came with Him from Galilee went to the sepulchre in their footsteps, and saw the body when they had brought it in there." At any rate the women saw "that" and "how" the body of Jesus was laid in this new tomb of Joseph in the rocks.

Luke 23:56
On the sabbath they rested ( $\tau 0 \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau o v \eta \sigma v \chi \alpha \sigma \alpha v$ ). They returned and prepared spices before the sabbath began. Then they rested all during the sabbath (accusative of extent of time, to $\sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau o v)$.

## Luke 24

Luke 24:1
At early dawn (o $\rho \theta \rho o v \beta \alpha \theta \varepsilon o \varsigma)$. Genitive of time. Literally, at deep dawn. The adjective $\beta \alpha \theta v c$ (deep) was often used of time. This very idiom occurs in Aristophanes, Plato, et cetera. Joh 20:1 adds "while it was yet dark." That is, when they started, for the sun was risen when they arrived (Mr 16:2).

Which they had prepared ( $\alpha$ $\eta$ тон $\mu \sigma \sigma \alpha$ ). Mr 16:1 notes that they bought other spices after the sabbath was over besides those which they already had (Lu 23:56).

Luke 24:2
Rolled away ( $\alpha \pi о к \varepsilon к \nu \lambda \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. Perfect passive participle of $\alpha \pi о к \nu \lambda \iota \omega$, late verb and in the N.T. only in this context (Mr 16:3; Mt 28:2) while Joh 20:1 has $\eta \rho \mu \varepsilon v_{0} v$ (taken away).

Luke 24:3
Of the Lord Jesus ( $\tau 0 \cup$ кupıov I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). The Western family of documents does not have these words and Westcott and Hort bracket them as Western non-interpolations. There are numerous instances of this shorter Western text in this chapter. For a discussion of the subject see my Introduction to the Textual Criticism of the New Testament, pp. 225-237. This precise combination (the Lord Jesus) is common in the Acts, but nowhere else in the Gospels.

Luke 24:4
While they were perplexed thereabout ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \alpha \pi о \rho \varepsilon เ \sigma \theta \alpha \alpha \cup \tau \alpha \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \rho$ тоט $\tau 0 v$ ). Luke's common Hebraistic idiom, $\varepsilon v$ with the articular infinitive (present passive $\alpha \pi \circ \rho \varepsilon เ \sigma \theta \alpha$ from $\alpha \pi о \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to lose one's way) and the accusative of general reference.

Two men ( $\alpha v \delta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma \delta v o$ ). Men, not women. Mr 16:5 speaks of a young man ( $v \varepsilon \alpha v \iota \sigma \kappa o v$ ) while Mt 28:5 has "an angel." We need not try to reconcile these varying accounts which agree in the main thing. The angel looked like a man and some remembered two. In verse 23 Cleopas and his companion call them "angels."

Stood by ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \varphi / \sigma \tau \eta \mu$. This common verb usually means to step up suddenly, to burst upon one.

In dazzling apparel ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \eta \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \pi \tau o v \sigma \eta)$ ) This is the correct text. This common simplex verb occurs only twice in the N.T., here and Lu 17:24 (the Transfiguration). It has the same root as $\alpha \sigma \tau \rho a \pi \eta$ (lightning). The "men" had the garments of "angels."

Luke 24:5
As they were affrighted ( $\varepsilon \mu \varphi \rho \beta \omega v \gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega v \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute with second aorist middle of $\gamma ı v o \mu \alpha$, to become. Hence,
when they became affrighted. They had utterly forgotten the prediction of Jesus that he would rise on the third day.

Luke 24:6

He is not here, but is risen (ovк $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \omega \delta \varepsilon, \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \eta \gamma \varepsilon \rho \theta \eta$ ). Another Western non-interpolation according to Westcott and Hort. The words are genuine at any rate in Mr 16:6; Mt 28:7.

The third day rise again ( $\tau \eta \tau \rho \iota \tau \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \eta v \alpha$ ). See 9:22; 18:32,33 where Jesus plainly foretold this fact. And yet they had forgotten it, for it ran counter to all their ideas and hopes.

Luke 24:9
From the tomb (ato $\tau 0 v \mu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota o v)$. Some documents omit these words. This word for tomb is like our "memorial" from $\mu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$, to remind.

Told ( $\alpha \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \iota \lambda \alpha v$ ). It was a wonderful proclamation. Luke does not separate the story of Mary Magdalene from that of the other women as John does (Joh 20:2-18).

Luke 24:11
As idle talk ( $\omega \varsigma \lambda \eta \rho \circ \varsigma$ ). Old word for nonsense, only here in the N.T. Medical writers used it for the wild talk of those in delirium or hysteria.

Disbelieved ( $\boxtimes$ pistoun). Imperfect active of $\alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega$, old verb from $\alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma$, without confidence or faith in. They kept on distrusting the story of the women.

Luke 24:12
This entire verse is a Western non-interpolation. This incident is given in complete form in Joh 18:2-10 and most of the words in this verse are there also. It is of a piece with many items in this chapter about which it is not easy to reach a final conclusion.

Stooping and looking in ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa v \psi \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa v \pi \tau \omega$, to stoop besides and peer into. Old verb used also in Joh 20:5,11; Jas 1:25; 1Pe 1:12 .

By themselves ( $\mu \mathrm{o} \nu \alpha$ ). Without the body.
To his home ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau o v)$. Literally, "to himself."
Luke 24:13
Were going ( $\eta \sigma \alpha v \pi о \rho \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Periphrastic imperfect middle of $\pi о \rho \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha$.
Sixty stadia ( $\sigma \tau \alpha \delta$ ıovৎ $\varepsilon \xi \eta \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$ ). About seven miles.
Luke 24:14
They communed ( $\omega \mu \mathrm{i} \lambda \mathrm{ov} \nu$ ). Imperfect active of o $\mu \mathrm{I} \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, old and common verb (from o $\mu \mathrm{\lambda} \lambda \mathrm{o}$, in company with). In the N.T. only here (and verse 15) and Ac 20:11; 24:26 . Our word homiletics is derived from this word for preaching was at first largely conversational in style and not declamatory.

Luke 24:15
While they communed and questioned together ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega$ o $\mu \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \alpha v \tau o v \varsigma \kappa \alpha \sigma v \nu \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \imath \nu$ ). Same idiom as in verse 14 , which see. Note $\sigma v \nu \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon เ v$; each questioned the other.

Jesus himself ( $\alpha \cup \tau \circ \varsigma$ Iף $\sigma o v \varsigma$ ). In actual person.
Went with them ( $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \pi о \rho \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau о ~ \alpha \cup \tau о \iota) . ~ I m p e r f e c t ~ m i d d l e, ~ w a s ~ g o i n g ~ a l o n g ~ w i t h ~ t h e m . ~$
Luke 24:16

Were holden that they should not know him (єкратоиvто тоט $\mu \eta \varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \nu \omega v \alpha \alpha \cup \tau о v)$. Imperfect passive of $\kappa \rho a \tau \varepsilon \omega$, continued being held, with the ablative case of the articular infinitive, "from recognizing him," from knowing him fully ( $\varepsilon \pi t-\gamma v \omega v a$, ingressive aorist of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \downarrow \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa 0)$. The $\mu \eta$ is a redundant negative after the negative idea in $\varepsilon \kappa \rho \alpha \tau о \nu \nu \tau 0$.

Luke 24:17
That you have with another (ovc $\alpha v \tau \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o v \varsigma)$ ). Av $\tau 1-\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ is an old verb and means to throw in turn, back and forth like a ball, from one to another, a beautiful picture of conversation as a game of words. Only here in the N.T.

They stood still ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. First aorist passive of $ו \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, intransitive. They stopped.
Looking sad ( $\sigma \kappa \cup \theta \rho \omega \pi$ ). This is the correct text. It is an old adjective from $\sigma \kappa \nu \theta \rho \circ \varsigma$, gloomy and o $\quad \varsigma$, countenance. Only here in the N.T.

Luke 24:18
Dost thou alone sojourn? ( $\sigma \nu \mu о v o \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha \rho о ו к \varepsilon \iota \sigma ;) . ~ M o v o \varsigma ~ i s ~ p r e d i c a t e ~ a d j e c t i v e . ~ " H a s t ~$ thou been dwelling alone (all by thyself)?"

And not know? ( $\kappa \alpha$ ovk $\varepsilon \gamma v \omega \sigma$;). Second aorist active indicative and difficult to put into English as the aorist often is. The verb лароккє $\omega$ means to dwell beside one, then as a stranger like тарокко (Eph 2:19). In Jerusalem everybody was talking about Jesus.

Luke 24:21
But we hoped ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \eta \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \circ \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active, we were hoping. Note emphasis in $\eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ (we).

Redeem ( $\lambda v \tau \rho o v \sigma \theta \alpha)$. From the bondage of Rome, no doubt.
Yea and beside all this ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \nu \nu \pi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ tov $\tau o \iota)$. Particles pile up to express their emotions.

Yea ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ here affirmative, as in verse 22, not adversative) at least ( $\gamma \varepsilon$ ) also ( $\kappa \alpha$ ) together with all these things ( $\sigma \cup v \pi \alpha \sigma \iota v \tau 0 \cup \tau o เ \varsigma) . ~ L i k e ~ P e l i o n ~ o n ~ O s s a ~ w i t h ~ t h e m ~ i n ~ t h e i r ~ p e r p l e x i t y . ~$

Now the third day ( $\tau \rho \iota \tau \eta \nu \tau \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho a v a \gamma \varepsilon$ ). A difficult idiom for the English. "One is keeping this a third day." And he is still dead and we are still without hope.

Luke 24:22
Amazed us ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active (transitive) indicative with accusative $\eta \mu a \varsigma$ of $\varepsilon \xi l \sigma \tau \eta \mu$. The second aorist active is intransitive.

Early (op $\rho \rho \stackrel{v \alpha}{ }$ ). A poetic and late form for o $\rho \theta \rho \stackrel{c}{ }$. In the N.T. only here and Re 24:22 . Predicate adjective agreeing with the women.

Luke 24:23
Had seen ( $\varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha)$. Perfect active infinitive in indirect assertion after $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ 0 \sigma \alpha$. Same construction for $\zeta \eta ı \nu$ after $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma o v \sigma \iota v$. But all this was too indirect and uncertain (women and angels) for Cleopas and his companion.

Luke 24:25

Foolish men (avoŋтo). Literally without sense (vouc), not understanding. Common word.

Slow of heart ( $\beta \rho \alpha \delta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \kappa \alpha \rho \delta ı \alpha)$. Slow in heart (locative case). Old word for one dull, slow to comprehend or to act.

All that ( $\pi \alpha \sigma v$ olc). Relative attracted from the accusative $\alpha$ to the case of the antecedent maбıv (dative). They could only understand part of the prophecies, not all.

Luke 24:26
Behooved it not? (oux $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon i ;$ ). Was it not necessary? The very things about the death of Jesus that disturbed them so were the strongest proof that he was the Messiah of the Old Testament.

Luke 24:27
Interpreted ( $\delta i \eta \rho \mu \eta v \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v)$. First aorist active (constative aorist) indicative of $\delta ı \varepsilon \rho \mu \eta \nu \varepsilon v \omega$ (Margin has the imperfect $\delta ı \eta \rho \mu \eta \nu \varepsilon v \varepsilon v$ ), intensive compound ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ ) of $\varepsilon \rho \mu \eta \nu \varepsilon v \omega$, the old verb to interpret from $\varepsilon \rho \mu \eta \nu \varepsilon \cup \varsigma$, interpreter, and that from Нгр $\mu \eta$, the messenger of the gods as the people of Lystra took Paul to be (Ac 14:12). But what wonderful exegesis the two disciples were now hearing!

Concerning himself ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau o v$ ). Jesus found himself in the Old Testament, a thing that some modern scholars do not seem able to do.

Luke 24:28
Made as though ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \pi о$ п $\eta \sigma \alpha \tau о$ ). First aorist active middle (Some MSS. have
 Only here in the N.T. Of course he would have gone on if the disciples had not urged him to stay.

Luke 24:29
Constrained ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \beta ı \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \tau о$ ). Strong verb $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \iota \alpha \zeta \rho \alpha$, to compel by use of force (Polybius and LXX). In the N.T. only here and Ac 16:15. It was here compulsion of courteous words.
 setting.

Luke 24:30
When he had sat down ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega$ катак $\lambda_{1} \theta \eta v \alpha \alpha \nu \tau o v$ ). Luke's common idiom as in verses 4,15 . Note first aorist passive infinitive (on the reclining as to him).

Gave ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \delta \iota \delta o v)$. Imperfect, inchoative idea, began to give to them, in contrast with the preceding aorist (punctiliar) participles.

Luke 24:31
Were opened ( $\delta \iota \eta v o \circ \chi \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Ingressive first aorist passive indicative of $\delta ı \alpha v o r \gamma \omega$.
Knew ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \gamma v \omega \sigma \alpha v$ ). Effective first aorist active indicative fully recognized him. Same word in verse 16.

Vanished (apavtoc $\varepsilon \nless \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau 0)$. Became invisible or unmanifested. A $\alpha$ 人vtoc from a privative and $\varphi$ aıvo $\mu$, to appear. Old word, only here in the N.T.

Luke 24:32
Was not our heart burning? (Ovх $\eta \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha \mu \omega \nu$ каıо $\kappa \varepsilon \eta \eta \eta$;). Periphrastic imperfect middle.

Spake ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active, was speaking. This common verb $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ is onomatopoetic, to utter a sound, $\lambda \alpha-\lambda \alpha$ and was used of birds, children chattering, and then for conversation, for preaching, for any public speech.

Opened ( $\delta ı \eta v o r \gamma \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active indicative of the same verb used of the eyes in verse 31 .

Luke 24:33
That very hour ( $\alpha v \tau \eta \tau \eta \omega \rho \alpha$ ). Locative case and common Lukan idiom, at the hour itself. They could not wait.

Gathered ( $\eta \theta$ рoı $\sigma \mu \varepsilon v o u \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle of $\alpha \theta \rho o \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb from $\alpha \theta \rho o o \varsigma$ (copulative $\alpha$ and $\theta$ pooc, crowd). Only here in the N.T.

Luke 24:34
Saying ( $\lambda \varepsilon$ ₹ $0 v \tau \alpha \varsigma$ ). Accusative present active participle agreeing with "the eleven and those with them" in verse 33.

Indeed (ov $\tau \omega \varsigma)$. Really, because "he has appeared to Simon" ( $\omega \pi \theta \eta \Sigma \mu \mu \omega v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of opa $\omega$. This is the crucial evidence that turned the scales with the disciples and explains "indeed." Paul also mentions it (1Co 15:5).

Luke 24:35
Rehearsed ( $\varepsilon \xi \eta \gamma$ ouvto). Imperfect middle indicative of $\varepsilon \xi \eta \gamma \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$, verb to lead out, to rehearse. Our word exegesis comes from this verb. Their story was now confirmatory, not revolutionary. The women were right then after all.

Of them (autoıc). To them, dative case. They did not recognize Jesus in his exegesis, but did in the breaking of bread. One is reminded of that saying in the Logia of Jesus: "Raise the stone and there thou shalt find me, cleave the wood and there am I."

Luke 24:36
He himself stood (avtoc $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta$ ). He himself stepped and stood. Some documents do not have "Peace be unto you."

Luke 24:37
Terrified ( $\pi \tau 0 \eta \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist passive participle of $\pi \tau o \varepsilon \omega$, old verb and in the N.T. only here and Lu 21:9 which see.
 terms of fear are strong.

Supposed ( $\varepsilon \delta$ oкovv). Imperfect active of $\delta$ oк $\varepsilon \omega$, kept on thinking so.
Luke 24:38

Why are ye troubled? ( $\tau \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \varepsilon v o \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon ;$ ). Periphrastic perfect passive indicative of $\tau \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, old verb, to agitate, to stir up, to get excited.

Luke 24:39
Myself (autoc). Jesus is patient with his proof. They were convinced before he came into the room, but that psychological shock had unnerved them all.

Handle ( $\psi \eta \lambda \alpha \varphi \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon)$. This very word is used in 1Jo 1:1 as proof of the actual human body of Jesus. It is an old verb for touching with the hand.

Flesh and bones ( $\sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha ~ к \alpha ~ о \sigma \tau \varepsilon \alpha) . ~ A t ~ l e a s t ~ t h i s ~ p r o v e s ~ t h a t ~ h e ~ i s ~ n o t ~ j u s t ~ a ~ g h o s t ~ a n d ~$ that Jesus had a real human body against the Docetic Gnostics who denied it. But clearly we are not to understand that our resurrection bodies will have "flesh and bones." Jesus was in a transition state and had not yet been glorified. The mystery remains unsolved, but it was proof to the disciples of the identity of the Risen Christ with Jesus of Nazareth.

Luke 24:40
Another Western non-interpolation according to Westcott and Hort. It is genuine in Joh 20:20 .

Luke 24:41
Disbelieved for joy ( $\alpha \pi\llcorner\sigma \tau 0 v \nu \tau \omega v \alpha \nu \tau \omega v \alpha \pi 0 \tau \eta \varsigma \chi \propto \rho \alpha \varsigma$ ). Genitive absolute and a quite understandable attitude. They were slowly reconvinced, but it was after all too good to be true.

Anything to eat $(\beta \rho \omega \sigma \mu \sigma v)$. Only here in the N.T., though an old word from $\beta \iota \beta \rho \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, to eat.

Luke 24:42
A piece of broiled fish ( $\imath \chi \theta v o \varsigma ~ o \pi \tau о v \mu \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma)$. O $\pi \tau \circ \varsigma$ is a verbal from $\circ \pi \tau \alpha \omega$, to cook, to roast, to broil. Common word, but only here in the N.T. The best old documents omit "and a honeycomb" (ка ало $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \iota о$ к крюои).

Luke 24:44
While I was yet with you ( $\varepsilon \tau \omega \nu \sigma \nu v \nu \mu \nu v$ ). Literally,
Being yet with you. The participle $\omega v$ takes the time of the principal verb.
Luke 24:45
Opened he their mind ( $\delta ı \eta v o!\xi \varepsilon v \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ tov vouv). The same verb as that in verses 31,32 about the eyes and the Scriptures. Jesus had all these years been trying to open their minds that they might understand the Scriptures about the Messiah and now at last he makes one more effort in the light of the Cross and the Resurrection. They can now see better the will and way of God, but they will still need the power of the Holy Spirit before they will fully know the mind of Christ.

Luke 24:46
It is written $(\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha)$. Perfect passive indicative of $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$, to write, the usual phrase for quoting Scripture. Jesus now finds in the Old Testament his suffering, his resurrection,
and the preaching of repentance and forgiveness of sins to all nations. Note the infinitives $\pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon ı v, \alpha v a \sigma \tau \eta v a ı, \kappa \eta \rho u \chi \theta \eta v \alpha$.

Luke 24:47
Beginning ( $\alpha \rho \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Aorist middle participle of $\alpha \rho \chi \omega$, but the nominative plural with no syntactical connection (an anacoluthon).

Luke 24:49
Until ye be clothed ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ov $\varepsilon v \delta v \sigma \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). First aorist middle subjunctive of $\varepsilon v \delta v \omega$ or $\varepsilon v \delta u v \omega$. It is an old verb for putting on a garment. It is here the indirect middle, put on yourselves power from on high as a garment. They are to wait till this experience comes to them. This is "the promise of the Father." It is an old metaphor in Homer, Aristophanes, Plutarch, and Paul uses it often.

Luke 24:50
Over against Bethany ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \pi \rho \circ \varsigma B \eta \theta \alpha v ı \alpha v$ ). That is on Olivet. On this blessed spot near where he had delivered the great Eschatological Discourse he could see Bethany and Jerusalem.

Luke 24:51
He parted from them ( $\delta เ \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Second aorist active (intransitive) indicative of $\delta u \sigma \tau \eta \mu$. He stood apart $(\delta \iota \alpha)$ and he was gone. Some manuscripts do not have the words "and was carried into heaven." But we know that Jesus was taken up into heaven on a cloud (Ac 1:9).

Luke 24:52
Worshipped him ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \cup v \eta \sigma a v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau o v)$. Here again we have one of Westcott and Hort's Western non-interpolations that may be genuine or not.

With great joy ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \chi \alpha \rho \alpha \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \varsigma)$. Now that the Ascension has come they are no longer in despair. Joy becomes the note of victory as it is today. No other note can win victories for Christ. The bells rang in heaven to greet the return of Jesus there, but he set the carillon of joy to ringing on earth in human hearts in all lands and for all time.

## THE FOURTH GOSPEL BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

## GREATEST OF BOOKS

The test of time has given the palm to the Fourth Gospel over all the books of the world. If Luke's Gospel is the most beautiful, John's Gospel is supreme in its height and depth and reach of thought. The picture of Christ here given is the one that has captured the mind and heart of mankind. It is not possible for a believer in Jesus Christ as the Son of God to be indifferent to modern critical views concerning the authorship and historical value of this Holy of Holies of the New Testament. Here we find The Heart of Christ (E. H. Sears), especially in chapters Joh 14-17. If Jesus did not do or say these things, it is small consolation to be told that the book at least has symbolic and artistic value for the believer. The language of the Fourth Gospel has the clarity of a spring, but we are not able to sound the bottom of the depths. Lucidity and profundity challenge and charm us as we linger over it.

THE BELOVED DISCIPLE
The book claims to be written by "the disciple whom Jesus loved" (Joh 21:20) who is pointedly identified by a group of believers (apparently in Ephesus) as the writer: "This is the disciple which beareth witness of these things, and wrote these things: and we know that his witness is true" (Joh 21:24). This is the first criticism of the Fourth Gospel of which we have any record, made at the time when the book was first sent forth, made in a postscript to the epilogue or appendix. Possibly the book closed first with Joh 20:31, but chapter 21 is in precisely the same style and was probably added before publication by the author. The natural and obvious meaning of the language in Joh 21:24 is that the Beloved Disciple wrote the whole book. He is apparently still alive when this testimony to his authorship is given. There are scholars who interpret it to mean that the Beloved Disciple is responsible for the facts in the book and not the actual writer, but that is a manifest straining of the language. There is in this verse no provision made for a redactor as distinct from the witness as is plausibly set forth by Dr. A. E. Garvie in The Beloved Disciple (1922).

## A PERSONAL WITNESS

It is manifest all through the book that the writer is the witness who is making the contribution of his personal knowledge of the Lord Jesus Christ during his earthly ministry. In Joh 1:14 he plainly says that "the Word became flesh, and dwelt among us and we beheld his glory" ( $\varepsilon$ ह $\alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha \tau \eta v \delta o \xi \alpha v \alpha v \tau o v)$. He here associates others with him in this witness to the glory of the Word, but in Joh 21:25 he employs the singular "I suppose" (o $\mu \alpha$ ) in sharp dis- tinction from the plural "we know" (ot $\delta \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ) just before. The writer is present in nearly all the scenes described. The word witness ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \varepsilon \omega, \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho ı \alpha)$ so common in this Gospel (Joh 1:7,8,19; 3:11,26,33; 5:31; 12:17; 21:24 , etc.) illustrates well this point of view. In the Gospel of Luke we have the work of one who was not a personal witness of

Christ (Lu 1:1-4). In the Gospel of Matthew we possess either the whole work of a personal follower and apostle or at least the Logia of Matthew according to Papias preserved in it. In Mark's Gospel we have as the basis the preaching of Simon Peter as preserved by his interpreter John Mark. John's Gospel claims to be the personal witness of "the disciple whom Jesus loved" and as such deserves and has received exceptional esteem. One may note all through the book evidences of an eye-witness in the vivid details.

## WITH A HOME IN JERUSALEM

It is not only that the writer was a Jew who knew accurately places and events in Palestine, once denied though now universally admitted. The Beloved Disciple took the mother of Jesus "to his own home" ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha$, Joh 19:27) from the Cross when Jesus commended his mother to his care. But this Beloved Disciple had access to the palace of the high priest (Joh 18:15f. ). Delff (Das vierte Evangelium wiederhergestellt, 1890) argues that this fact shows that the Beloved Disciple was not one of the twelve apostles, one of a priestly family of wealth in Jerusalem. He does seem to have had special information concerning what took place in the Sanhedrin (Joh 7:45-52; 11:47-53; 12:10ff. ). But at once we are confronted with the difficulty of supposing one outside of the circle of the twelve on even more intimate terms with Jesus than the twelve themselves and who was even present at the last passover meal and reclined on the bosom of Jesus (Joh 13:23). Nor is this all, for he was one of the seven disciples by the Sea of Galilee (Joh 21:1ff. ) when Peter speaks to Jesus about the "Beloved Disciple" (Joh 21:20).

## ONLY ONE JOHN OF EPHESUS

It is true that an ambiguous statement of Papias (circa A.D. 120) is contained in Eusebius where the phrase "the Elder John " (о $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma \mathrm{I} \omega a v v \eta \varsigma)$ occurs. The most natural way to understand Papias is that he is referring to the Apostle John by this phrase as he describes the teachings of the apostles by "the words of the elders" just before. This interpretation of the allusion of Papias has been rendered almost certain by the work of Dom John Chapman, John the Presbyter and the Fourth Gospel (1911). Not before Eusebius is the error found of two Johns in Ephesus, one the apostle, the other the so-called Presbyter. "Papias is no witness for the admission of two Johns of Asia Minor. Irenaeus, too, in any case, knows of but one John of Asia Minor. And this John was an eye-witness of our Lord's Life" (Bousset, Die Offenbarumg des Joh., p. 38, translation of Nolloth, The Fourth Evangelist, p. 63, note). Let this be admitted and much becomes clear.

## NO EARLY MARTYRDOM FOR THE APOSTLE JOHN

In 1862 a fragment of the Chronicle of Georgius Hamartolus, a Byzantine monk of the ninth century, was published. It is the Codex Coislinianus, Paris, 305, which differs from the other manuscripts of this author in saying that John according to Papias was slain by the Jews (v $v o$ Iov $\delta a t \omega v a v \eta \iota \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta$ ) while the other manuscripts say that John rested in peace ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \eta \nu \eta ~ \alpha v \varepsilon \pi \alpha v \sigma \alpha \tau o)$. The passage also quotes Eusebius to the effect that John received

Asia as his sphere of work and lived and died in Ephesus. This same George the Sinner misquotes Origen about the death of John for Origen really says that the Roman king condemned him to the Isle of Patmos, not to death. Another fragment of Philip of Side, apparently used by Georgius, makes the same erroneous reference to Papias. It is therefore a worthless legend growing out of the martyrdom promised James and John by Jesus (Mr 10:39; Mt 20:23 ) and realized by James first of all (Ac 12:1f. ). John drank the cup in the exile to Patmos. The correction to Peter in Joh 21:20-23 would have no meaning if the Apostle John had already been put to death.

## THE AUTHOR THE APOSTLE JOHN

Loisy (Le Quatr. Evangile, p. 132) says that if one takes literally what is given in the body of the Gospel of the Beloved Disciple he is bound to be one of the twelve. Loisy does not take it "literally." But why not? Are we to assume that the author of this greatest of books is playing a part or using a deliberate artifice to deceive? It may be asked why John does not use his own name instead of a nom de plume. Reference can be made to the Gospels of Matthew, Mark, and Luke, no one of which gives the author's name. One can see a reason for the turn here given since the book consists so largely of personal experiences of the author with Christ. He thus avoids the too frequent use of the personal pronoun and preserves the element of witness which marks the whole book. One by one the other twelve apostles disappear if we test their claims for the authorship. In the list of seven in chapter Joh 21 it is easy to drop the names of Simon Peter, Thomas, and Nathanael. There are left two unnamed disciples and the sons of Zebedee (here alone mentioned, not even named, in the book). John in this Gospel always means the Baptist. Why does the author so uniformly slight the sons of Zebedee if not one of them himself? In the Acts Luke does not mention his own name nor that of Titus his brother, though so many other friends of Paul are named. If the Beloved Disciple is John the Apostle, the silence about James and himself is easily understood. James is ruled out because of his early death (Ac 12:1). The evidence in the Gospel points directly to the Apostle John as the author.

EARLY AND CLEAR WITNESS TO THE APOSTLE JOHN
Ignatius (ad Philad. vii. 1) about A.D. 110 says of the Spirit that "he knows whence he comes and whither he is going," a clear allusion to Joh 3:8. Polycarp (ad Phil. S 7) quotes 1Jo 4:2,3. Eusebius states that Papias quoted First John. Irenaeus is quoted by Eusebius (H.E. V, 20) as saying that he used as a boy to hear Polycarp tell "of his intercourse with John and the others who had seen the Lord." Irenaeus accepted all our Four Gospels. Tatian made his Diatessaron out of the Four Gospels alone. Theophilus of Antioch (Ad Autol. ii. 22) calls John the author of the Fourth Gospel. This was about A.D. 180. The Muratorian Canon near the close of the second century names John as the author of the Fourth Gospel. Till after the time of Origen no opposition to the Johannine authorship appears outside of Marcion and the Alogi. No other New Testament book has stronger external evidence.

## THE USE OF THE SYNOPTIC GOSPELS

As the latest of the Gospels and by the oldest living apostle, it is only natural that there should be an infrequent use of the Synoptic Gospels. Outside of the events of Passion Week and the Resurrection period the Fourth Gospel touches the Synoptic narrative in only one incident, that of the Feeding of the Five Thousand and the walking on the water. The author supplements the Synoptic record in various ways. He mentions two passovers not given by the other Gospels (Joh 2:23; 6:4) and another (Joh 5:1) may be implied. Otherwise we could not know certainly that the ministry of Jesus was more than a year in length. He adds greatly to our knowledge of the first year of our Lord's public ministry ("the year of obscurity," Stalker) without which we should know little of this beginning (Joh 1:19-4:45 ). The Synoptics give mainly the Galilean and Perean and Judean ministry, but John adds a considerable Jerusalem ministry which is really demanded by allusions in the Synoptics. The Prologue (Joh 1:1-18) relates the Incarnation to God's eternal purpose as in Col 1:14-20 and Heb 1:1-3 and employs the language of the intellectuals of the time ( $\Lambda \circ \gamma \circ$ о -- Word) to interpret Christ as the Incarnate Son of God.

## A DIFFERENT STYLE OF TEACHING

So different is it in fact that some men bluntly assert that Jesus could not have spoken in the same fashion as presented in the Synoptics and in the Fourth Gospel. Such critics need to recall the Socrates of Xenophon's Memorabilia and of Plato's Dialogues. There is a difference beyond a doubt, but there is also some difference in the reports in the Synoptics. Jesus for the most part spoke in Aramaic, sometimes in Greek, as to the great crowds from around Palestine (the Sermon on the Mount, for instance). There is the Logia of Jesus (Q of criticism) preserved in the non-Markan portions of Matthew and Luke besides Mark, and the rest of Matthew and Luke. Certain natural individualities are preserved. The difference is greater in the Fourth Gospel, because John writes in the ripeness of age and in the richness of his long experience. He gives his reminiscences mellowed by long reflection and yet with rare dramatic power. The simplicity of the language leads many to think that they understand this Gospel when they fail to see the graphic pictures as in chapters Joh 7-11. The book fairly throbs with life. There is, no doubt, a Johannine style here, but curiously enough there exists in the Logia (Q) a genuine Johannine passage written long before the Fourth Gospel (Mt 11:25-30; Lu 10:21-24 ). The use of "the Father" and "the Son" is thoroughly Johannine. It is clear that Jesus used the Johannine type of teaching also. Perhaps critics do not make enough allowance for the versatility and variety in Jesus.

THE SAME STYLE IN THE DISCOURSES
It is further objected that there is no difference in style between the discourses of Jesus in John's Gospel and his own narrative style. There is an element of truth in this criticism. There are passages where it is not easy to tell where discourse ends and narrative begins. See, for instance, Joh 3:16-21 . Does the discourse of Jesus end with verse 15,16 , or 21 ? So
in Joh 12:44-50 . Does John give here a resume of Christ's teaching or a separate discourse? It is true also that John preserves in a vivid way the conversational style of Christ as in chapters $4,6,7,8,9$. In the Synoptic Gospels this element is not so striking, but we do not have to say that John has done as Shakespeare did with his characters. Each Gospel to a certain extent has the colouring of the author in reporting the words of Jesus. An element of this is inevitable unless men are mere automata, phonographs, or radios. But each Gospel preserves an accurate and vivid picture of Christ. We need all four pictures including that of John's Gospel for the whole view of Christ.

HISTORICAL VALUE OF THE FOURTH GOSPEL
It is just here that the chief attack is made on the Fourth Gospel even by some who admit the Johannine authorship. It is now assumed by some that the Fourth Gospel is not on a par with the Synoptics in historical reliability and some harmonies omit it entirely or place it separately at the close, though certainly Tatian used it with the Synoptics in his Diatessaron, the first harmony of the Gospels. Some even follow Schmiedel in seeing only a symbolic or parabolic character in the miracles in the Fourth Gospel, particularly in the narrative of the raising of Lazarus in chapter Joh 11 which occurs here alone. But John makes this miracle play quite an important part in the culmination of events at the end. Clearly the author professes to be giving actual data largely out of his own experience and knowledge. It is objected by some that the Fourth Gospel gives an unnatural picture of Christ with Messianic claims at the very start. But the Synoptics give that same claim at the baptism and temptation, not to mention Luke's account of the Boy Jesus in the temple. The picture of the Jews as hostile to Jesus is said to be overdrawn in the Fourth Gospel. The answer to that appears in the Sermon on the Mount, the Sabbath miracles, the efforts of the Pharisees and lawyers to catch Jesus in his talk, the final denunciation in Mt 23 , all in the Synoptics. The opposition to Jesus grew steadily as he revealed himself more clearly. Some of the difficulties raised are gratuitous as in the early cleansing of the temple as if it could not have happened twice, confounding the draught of fishes in chapter Joh 21 with that in Lu 5 , making Mary of Bethany at the feast of a Simon in chapter Joh 12 the same as the sinful woman at the feast of another Simon in Lu 7 , making John's Gospel locate the last passover meal a day ahead instead of at the regular time as the Synoptics have it. Rightly interpreted these difficulties disappear. In simple truth, if one takes the Fourth Gospel at its face value, the personal recollections of the aged John phrased in his own way to supplement the narratives in the Synoptics, there is little left to give serious trouble. The Jerusalem ministry with the feasts is a case in point. The narrative of the call of the first disciples in chapter Joh 1 is another. The author followed Simon in bringing also his own brother James to Jesus. John was present in the appearance of Christ before Annas, and Pilate. He was at the Cross when no other apostles were there. He took the mother of Jesus to his home and then returned to the Cross. He saw the piercing of the side of Jesus. He knew and saw the deed of Joseph of Arimathea
and Nicodemus. E. H. Askwith has a most helpful discussion of this whole problem in The Historical Value of the Fourth Gospel (1910).

## LIKE THE JOHANNINE EPISTLES

Critics of all classes agree that, whoever was the author of the Fourth Gospel, the same man wrote the First Epistle of John. There is the same inimitable style, the same vocabulary, the same theological outlook. Undoubtedly the same author wrote also Second and Third John, for, brief as they are, they exhibit the same characteristics. In Second and Third John the author describes himself as "the Elder" (o $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma)$, which fact has led some to argue for the mythical "Presbyter John" as the author in place of the Apostle John and so of First John and the Fourth Gospel. It is argued that the Apostle John would have termed himself "the Apostle John" after the fashion of Paul. But the example of the Apostle Peter disposes of that argument, for in addressing the elders (1Pe 5:1) he calls himself "your fellow-elder" (o $\sigma v v \pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma)$. In the Epistles John opposes Gnosticism both of the Docetic type which denied the actual humanity of Jesus as in 1Jo 1:1-4 and the Cerinthian type which denied the identity of the man Jesus and the aeon Christ which came on Jesus at his baptism and left him at his death on the Cross as in 1Jo 2:22. One of the many stories told about John is his abhorrence of Cerinthus when found in the same public bath with him. As Westcott shows, the Epistles of John prove his actual humanity while assuming his deity, whereas the Fourth Gospel proves his deity while assuming his humanity.

BUT DIFFERENT FROM THE APOCALYPSE
It should be said at once that the Johannine authorship of the Fourth Gospel does not depend on that of the Apocalypse. In fact, some men hold to the Johannine authorship of the Apocalypse who deny that of the Gospel while some hold directly the opposite view. Some deny the Johannine authorship of both Gospel and Apocalypse, while the majority hold to the Johannine authorship of Gospel, Epistles, and Apocalypse as was the general rule till after the time of Origen. The author of the Apocalypse claims to be John (Re 1:4,9; 22:8 ), though what John he does not say. Denial of the existence of a "Presbyter John" naturally leads one to think of the Apostle John. Origen says that John, the brother of James, was banished to the Isle of Patmos where he saw the Apocalypse. There is undoubted radical difference in language between the Apocalypse and the other Johannine books which will receive discussion when the Apocalypse is reached. Westcott explained these differences as due to the early date of the Apocalypse in the reign of Vespasian before John had become master of the Greek language. Even J. H. Moulton (Prolegomena, p. 9, note 4) says bluntly: "If its date was 95 A.D., the author cannot have written the fourth Gospel only a short time after." Or before, he would say. But the date of the Apocalypse seems definitely to belong to the reign of Domitian. So one ventures to call attention to the statement in Ac $4: 13$ where Peter and John are described as $\alpha \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau о$ ка $\iota \delta \iota \omega \tau \alpha$ (unlettered and private or unschooled men). It is curious also that it is precisely in 2Peter and the Apocalypse that we have so many
grammatical solecisms and peculiarities. We know that the Fourth Gospel was reviewed by a group of John's friends in Ephesus, while he was apparently alone in the Isle of Patmos. The excitement of the visions would naturally increase the uncouth vernacular of the Apocalypse so much like that in the Greek papyri as seen in Milligan's Greek Papyri, for instance. This being true, one is able, in spite of Moulton's dictum, to hold to the Johannine authorship of both Gospel and Apocalypse and not far apart in date.

THE UNITY OF THE GOSPEL
This has been attacked in various ways in spite of the identity of style throughout. There are clearly three parts in the Gospel: the Prologue, Joh 1:1-18, the Body of the Book, Joh 1:19-20:31, the Epilogue, Joh 21 . But there is no evidence that the Prologue was added by another hand, even though the use of Logos (Word) for Christ does not occur thereafter. This high conception of Christ dominates the whole book. Some argue that the Epilogue was added by some one else than John, but here again there is no proof and no real reason for the supposition. It is possible, as already stated, that John stopped at Joh 20:31 and then added Joh 21 before sending the book forth after his friends added Joh 21:24 as their endorsement of the volume. Some scholars claim that they detect various displacements in the arrangement of the material, but such subjective criticism is never convincing. There are undoubtedly long gaps in the narrative as between chapters 5 and 6, but John is not giving a continuous narrative, but only a supplementary account assuming knowledge of the Synoptics. It is held that editorial comments by redactors can be detected here and there. Perhaps, and perhaps not. The unity of this great book stands even if that be true.

## ORIGINAL LANGUAGE OF THE BOOK

The late Dr. C. F. Burney of Oxford wrote a volume called, The Aramaic Origin of the Fourth Gospel (1922) in which he tried to prove that the Fourth Gospel is really the first in time and was originally written in Aramaic. The theory excited some interest, but did not convince either Aramaic or Greek scholars to an appreciable extent. Some of the examples cited are plausible and some quite fanciful. This theory cannot be appealed to in any serious interpretation of the Fourth Gospel. The author was beyond doubt a Jew, but he wrote in the Koine Greek of his time that is comparatively free from crude Semiticisms, perhaps due in part to the help of the friends in Ephesus.

THE PURPOSE OF THE BOOK
He tells us himself in Joh 20:30f. He has made a selection of the many signs wrought by Jesus for an obvious purpose: "But these are written, that ye may believe that Jesus is the Christ, the Son of God; and that believing ye may have life in his name." This is the high and noble purpose plainly stated by the author. The book is thus confessedly apologetic and this fact ruins it with the critics who demand a dull and dry chronicle of events without plan or purpose in a book of history. Such a book would not be read and would be of little value if written. Each of the Synoptics is written with a purpose and every history or biography
worth reading is written with a purpose. It is one thing to have a purpose in writing, but quite another to suppress or distort facts in order to create the impression that one wishes. This John did not do. He has given us his deliberate, mature, tested view of Jesus Christ as shown to him while alive and as proven since his resurrection. He writes to win others to like faith in Christ.

## JOHN'S PORTRAIT OF CHRIST

No one questions that the Fourth Gospel asserts the deity of Christ. It is in the Prologue at the very start: "And the Word was God" (Joh $1: 1$ ) and in the correct text of Joh $1: 18$, "God only begotten" ( $\theta \varepsilon \sigma \varsigma \mu \circ v o \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma)$. It occurs repeatedly in the book as in the witness of the Baptist: "This is the Son of God" (Joh 1:34). It is in the charge of the Pharisees (Joh 5:18 ) and the claim of Christ himself (Joh 5:20-23; $6: 48 ; 8: 12,58 ; 11: 25 ; 14: 9 ; 17: 5$ ) with the full and frank conviction of the author in Joh 20:31. He has made good his purpose. He has proven that Jesus of Nazareth is the Son of God. With some critics this purpose has vitiated the entire book. The effort has been made to show that Paul, Peter, the Epistle to the Hebrews, the Synoptics give a lower view of Christ without the term $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma$ applied to him. In particular it was once argued that Q, the Logia of Jesus, used by Matthew and Luke (the non-Markan portions in both Matthew and Luke), gives a reduced picture of Jesus as on a lower plane than God, the Arian or Ritschlian view at any rate as answering for God to us though not God in actual nature. But in the Logia of Jesus we find the same essential picture of Jesus Christ as the Son of God and the Son of Man as I have shown in my The Christ of the Logia (1924). The only way to get rid of the deity of Christ in the New Testament is to throw overboard all the books in it as legendary or reflections of late theological development away from the original picture. The very earliest picture drawn of Christ that has been preserved to us, that in the Logia of Jesus (drawn W. M. Ramsay believes before Christ's crucifixion), is in essential agreement with the fully drawn portrait in the Fourth Gospel. Each picture in the Four Gospels adds touches of its own, but the features are the same, those of the GodMan Jesus Christ, the Saviour of the world. The brilliant blind preacher of Edinburgh, George Matheson, sees this clearly (Studies in the Portrait of the Messiah, 1900; St. John's Portrait of Christ, 1910).

## A BRIEF BIBLIOGRAPHY OF RECENT

LITERATURE (SINCE 1880)

ABBOT, EZRA, On the Authorship of the Fourth Gospel (1880). ABBOT, PEABODY, and LIGHTFOOT, The Fourth Gospel (1891). ABBOTT, E.A., Johannine Vocabulary (1935). ,Johannine Grammar (1906). APPEL, Die Echtheit des Johannesevangeliums (1915). ASKWITH, E.H., The Historical Value of the Fourth Gospel (1910). BACON, B.W., The Fourth Gospel in Research and Debate (1910). BALDENSPERGER, W., Der Prolog des vierten Evangeliums (1898). BARTH, K., The Gospel of John and the Synoptic Gospels (1907). BAUER,
W., Das Johannes-Evangelium. 2 Aufl. (1925). BELZER, Das Evangelium des heiligen Johannes (1905). BERNARD, J. H., Gospel according to St. John (2 vols., 1929), in Int. Crit. Comm. BERT, Das Evangelium des Johannes (1922). BLASS, F., Evangelium secundum Johannem (1902). BROOKE, A. E., The Historical Value of the Fourth Gospel (Cambridge Biblical Essays, pp. 289 to 328. 1909). BURCH, VACHER, The Structure and Message of St. John's Gospel (1928). BURNEY, C. F., The Aramaic Origin of the Fourth Gospel (1922). CALMES, L'Evangile selon S. Jean (1904). CANDLER, W. A., Practical Studies in the Gospel of John (3 vols,, 191215). CARPENTER, J. ESTLIN, The Johannine Writings (1927). CHAPMAN, DOM JOHN, John the Presbyter and the Fourth Gospel (1911). CHARNWOOD, LORD, According to St. John (1925). CLEMEN, C., Die Entstehung des Johannesevangeliums (1912). D'ALMA, La Controverse du quatrieme evangile (1908). ,Philo et le quotrieme evangile (1911). DAUSCH' Das Johannesevangelium (1909). DELFF, H., Das vierte Evangelium wiederhergestellt (1890). ,Neue Beitrage zur Kritik und Erklarung des vierten Evangeliums (1890). DODS, M., Expositor's Bible (2 vols., 1891). ,Expositor's Greek Testament (1897). DRUMMOND, JAMES, An Inquiry into the Character and Author- ship of the Fourth Gospel (1904). EVANS, H. H., St. John the Author of the Fourth Gospel (1888). EWALD, P., Das Hauptproblem der Evangelienfrage und der Weg zu seiner Losung (1890). FOUARD, S., Jean et la hn de l'age apostolique (1904). GARDNER, P., The Ephesian Gospel (1915). GARVIE, A. E., The Beloved Disciple (1922). GOBEL, Die Reden des Herrn nach Johannes (2 vols., 1906, 1910). GODET, F., Comm. on the Gospel of St. John (Tr., 2 vols., 1886--90). GOGUEL, M., Les sources du recit Johannique de la Passion (1910). ,Le quatrieme evangile (1924). GORDON, S. D., Quiet Talks on St. John's Gospel. GORE, C., Exposition of the Gospel of John (1920). GREEN, A. V., The Ephesian Canonical Writings (1910). GREGORY, C. R., Wellhausen und Johannes (1910). GRILL, J., Untersuchungen uber die Entstehung des vierten Evangeliums (1902). GUMBEL, Das Johannesevangelium Eine Erganzung des Lukas ev. (1911). HARRIS, J. RENDEL, The Origin of the Prologue to St. John's Gospel (1917). HAYES, D. A., John and His Writings (1917). HOERNLE, E. S., The Record of the Loved Disciple etc. (1913). HOLLAND, H. S., The Philosophy of Faith and the Fourth Gospel (1919). ,The Fourth Gospel (1923). HOLTZMANN, H. J., Evangelium, Briefe, und Offenbarung des Johannes. 3 Aufl. (1908). HOLTZMANN, Hand-Comm. 3 Aufl. von Bauer (1908). HOVEY, A. H., In American Comm. (1885). HOWARD, W. F., The Fourth Gospel in Recent Criticism and Interpretation (1931). IVERACH, JAMES, Gospel of John (Int. Stand. Bible Encycl.). JACKSON, H. L., The Fourth Gospel and Some Recent German Criticism (1906). ,The Problem of the Fourth Gospel (1918). JOHNSTON, J. S., The Philosophy of the Fourth Gospel (1909). KEISKER, The Inner Witness of the Fourth Gospel (1922). KREYENBUHL, Neue Losung der Johanneischen Frage (1905). LARFIELD, Die beide Johannes von Ephesus (1914). LEATHES, STANLEY, The Witness of St. John to Christ. LEPIN, L'origine du quatrieme evangile (1907; 1927). ,La valeur historique du quatrieme euangile (1910). LEWIS, F. G., The Irenaeus Testimony to
the Fourth Gospel (1908). LEWIS, F. G., Disarrangements in the Fourth Gospel (1910). LIGHTFOOT, J. B., Biblical Essays (pages 1-198; I-III, 1893). LLOYD, J. P. D., The Son of Thunder (1932). LOISY, A., Le quatrieme evangile (1903). LOWRIE, The Doctrine of John (1899). LYMAN, MARY ELY, The Fourth Gospel and the Life of Today (1931). MANSON, W., The Incarnate Glory (1923). MAURICE, F. D., The Gospel of St. John (1906). McGREGoR, G. H., The Moffatt Commentary (1930). MONTGOMERY, J. A., The Origin of the Gospel According to St. John (1923). MOUSE, Johannes und Paulus (1915). MUIRHEAD, L. A., The Message of the Fourth Gospel (1925). NOLLOTH, C. F., The Fourth Evangelist (1925). NUNN, H. P. V., The Son of Zebedee and the Fourth Gospel (1927). ORR, JAMES, The Authenticity of St. John's Gospel Deduced from Internal Evidence. OVERBECK, Das Johannesevangelium (1911). PLUMMER, A., Cambridge Greek Testament (1913). REVILLE, J., Le quatrieme evangile (1901). REYNOLDS, H. R., Gospel of John (Hastings, D. B., 1899). RICHMOND, W., The Gospel of the Rejection (1906). ROBERTSON, A. T., The Divinity of Christ in the Gospel of John (1916). ROBINSON, A., The Historical Character of St. John's Gospel (1929). ROBINSON, B. W., The Gospel of John (1925). SANDAY, W., Criticism of the Fourth Gospel (1905). SCHLATTER, Die Sprache und Heimath des vierten Evangelisten (1903). SCHMIEDEL, P. W., The Johannine Writings (1908). SCOTT, E. F., The Fourth Gospel: Its Purpose and Theology (1906). SCOTT, E. F., The Historical and Religious Value of the Fourth Gospel (1903). SCOTT-MONCRIEFF, C. E., St. John, Apostle, Evangelist and Prophet (1909). SELBIE, W. B., Belief and Life: Studies in the Thought of the Fourth Gospel (1916). SMITH, J. R., The Teaching of the Fourth Gospel (1903). SMITH, P. V., The Fourth Gospel: Its Historical Importance (1926). SPEER, R. E., The Greatest Book in the World (1915). SPITTA, F., Das Johannesevangelium als Quelle der Geschichte Jesu (1910). STANGE, Die Eigenart des Johanneischen Produktion (1914). STANTON, V. H., The Fourth Gospel (Part III of Gospels as Hist. Documents, 1921). STEVENS, G. B., The Johannine Theology (1898). STRACHAN, R. H., Gospel of John (Hastings, D C G 1906). ,The Fourth Gospel: Its Significance and Environ- ment (1917). ,The Fourth Evangelist: Dramatist or Historian (1925). TILLMANN, FRITZ, Das Johannesevangelium Uebersetzt und Erklart (1931). VEDDER, H. C., The Johannine Writings and the Johannine Problems (1917). WARSCHAUER, J., The Problem of the Fourth Gospel. WATKINS, W. H., Modern Criticism Considered in its Relation to the Fourth Gospel (1890). WATSON, H. A., The Mysticism of St. John's Gospel (1916). WEARING, The World View of the Fourth Gospel (1918). WEISS, B., Meyer Komm. 9 Aufl. (1902). ,Das Johannesevangelium als einheitliches Werk (1911). WELLHAUSEN, J., Das Evangelium Johannis (1908). WENDT, H. H., The Gospel according to St. John: An Inquiry into its Genesis and Historical Value (1911). ,Die Schichten im vierten Evangelium (1911). WESTCOTT, B. F., The Gospel according to St. John (2 vols., 1908). WHITELAW, The Gospel of John (1888). WINDISCH, H., Johannes und die Synoptiker (1927). WORSLEY,

The Fourth Gospel and the Synoptists (1911). WREDE, W., Charakter und Tendenz del Johannesevangelium (1903). ZAHN, TH., _Dal Evangelium Johannis (1908). 6 Aufl. (1921).

## John 1

John 1:1
In the beginning ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \rho \chi \eta$ ). A $\rho \chi \eta$ is definite, though anarthrous like our at home, in town, and the similar Hebrew be reshith in Ge 1:1. But Westcott notes that here John carries our thoughts beyond the beginning of creation in time to eternity. There is no argument here to prove the existence of God any more than in Genesis. It is simply assumed. Either God exists and is the Creator of the universe as scientists like Eddington and Jeans assume or matter is eternal or it has come out of nothing.

Was ( $\eta v$ ). Three times in this sentence John uses this imperfect of $\varepsilon \mu$ to be which conveys no idea of origin for God or for the Logos, simply continuous existence. Quite a different verb ( $\varepsilon$ ₹ยveтo, became) appears in verse 14 for the beginning of the Incarnation of the Logos. See the distinction sharply drawn in 8:58 "before Abraham came ( $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ) I $\mathrm{am}^{\prime \prime}(\varepsilon \mu$, timeless existence).

The Word (o $\lambda o \gamma o \varsigma) . ~ \Lambda o \gamma o \varsigma ~ i s ~ f r o m ~ \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, old word in Homer to lay by, to collect, to put words side by side, to speak, to express an opinion. $\Lambda$ oүo $\varsigma$ is common for reason as well as speech. Heraclitus used it for the principle which controls the universe. The Stoics employed it for the soul of the world ( $\alpha \nu \mu \alpha \mu \nu v \delta$ ) and Marcus Aurelius used $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa о$,入o $\gamma$ os for the generative principle in nature. The Hebrew memra was used in the Targums for the manifestation of God like the Angel of Jehovah and the Wisdom of God in Pr 8:23 . Dr. J. Rendel Harris thinks that there was a lost wisdom book that combined phrases in Proverbs and in the Wisdom of Solomon which John used for his Prologue (The Origin of the Prologue to St. John, p. 43) which he has undertaken to reproduce. At any rate John's standpoint is that of the Old Testament and not that of the Stoics nor even of Philo who uses the term $\Lambda$ oүoc, but not John's conception of personal pre-existence. The term $\Lambda \frac{\gamma}{} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ о is applied to Christ only in Joh 1:1,14; Re 19:13; 1Jo 1:1 "concerning the Word of life" (an incidental argument for identity of authorship). There is a possible personification of "the Word of God" in Heb 4:12. But the personal pre-existence of Christ is taught by Paul (2Co 8:9; Php 2:6f.; Col 1:17) and in Heb 1:2f. and in Joh 17:5. This term suits John's purpose better than бочıа (wisdom) and is his answer to the Gnostics who either denied the actual humanity of Christ (Docetic Gnostics) or who separated the arov Christ from the man Jesus (Cerinthian Gnostics). The pre-existent Logos "became flesh" ( $\sigma \alpha \rho \xi \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau o$, verse 14) and by this phrase John answered both heresies at once.

With God ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ cov $\theta \varepsilon o v)$ ). Though existing eternally with God the Logos was in perfect fellowship with God. Прos with the accusative presents a plane of equality and intimacy, face to face with each other. In 1Jo 2:1 we have a like use of $\pi \rho o \mathrm{c}$ : "We have a Paraclete with the Father" ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \tau 0 v \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v \pi \rho o \varsigma \tau o v \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). See $\pi \rho о \sigma \omega \pi о v \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \pi \rho o \sigma \omega \pi \sigma v$ (face to face, 1Co 13:12 ), a triple use of $\pi \rho o \varsigma$. There is a papyrus example of $\pi \rho o \varsigma$ in this sense $\tau$
$\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau 0 \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$ ous $\sigma \cup v \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha$, , "the knowledge of our intimacy with one another" (M.\&M., Vocabulary) which answers the claim of Rendel Harris, Origin of Prologue, p. 8) that the use of $\pi \rho o s$ here and in $\mathrm{Mr} 6: 3$ is a mere Aramaism. It is not a classic idiom, but this is Koine, not old Attic. In Joh 17:5 John has tapa oo the more common idiom.

And the Word was God ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon$ кос $\eta \nu$ о $\lambda о \gamma о \varsigma)$. By exact and careful language John denied Sabellianism by not saying o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma ~ \eta v$ o $\lambda о \gamma o \varsigma$. That would mean that all of God was expressed in o $\lambda$ oүoc and the terms would be interchangeable, each having the article. The subject is made plain by the article (o $\lambda о \gamma o \varsigma)$ and the predicate without it ( $\theta$ roc) just as in Joh 4:24 $\pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha$ o $\theta$ roc can only mean "God is spirit," not "spirit is God." So in 1Jo 4:16 o
 scientist would confusedly say. For the article with the predicate see Robertson, Grammar,
 Word." Luther argues that here John disposes of Arianism also because the Logos was eternally God, fellowship of Father and Son, what Origen called the Eternal Generation of the Son (each necessary to the other). Thus in the Trinity we see personal fellowship on an equality.

John 1:2
The same (outoc). "This one," the Logos of verse 1, repeated for clarity, characteristic of John's style. He links together into one phrase two of the ideas already stated separately, "in the beginning he was with God," "afterwards in time he came to be with man" (Marcus Dods). Thus John clearly states of the Logos Pre-existence before Incarnation, Personality, Deity.

John 1:3
All things ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ). The philosophical phrase was $\tau \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ (the all things) as we have it in 1Co 8:6; Ro 11:36; Col 1:16. In verse 10 John uses o кобноя (the orderly universe) for the whole.

Were made (egeneto). Second aorist middle indicative of $\gamma \iota v o \mu \alpha$, the constative aorist covering the creative activity looked at as one event in contrast with the continuous existence of $\eta v$ in verses 1,2 . All things "came into being." Creation is thus presented as a becoming ( $\gamma \imath v o \mu \alpha)$ in contrast with being ( $\varepsilon \mu$ ).

By him ( $\delta \delta^{\prime}$ avtov). By means of him as the intermediate agent in the work of creation. The Logos is John's explanation of the creation of the universe. The author of Hebrews (Heb 1:2) names God's Son as the one "through whom he made the ages." Paul pointedly asserts that "the all things were created in him" (Christ) and "the all things stand created through him and unto him" (Col 1:16). Hence it is not a peculiar doctrine that John here enunciates. In 1Co 8:6, Paul distinguishes between the Father as the primary source ( $\varepsilon \xi$ ov) of the all things and the Son as the intermediate agent as here ( $\delta \mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ ov).

Without him ( $\chi \omega \rho \iota \varsigma \alpha \tau \tau 0 v$ ). Old adverbial preposition with the ablative as in Php 2:14 , "apart from." John adds the negative statement for completion, another note of his style as in Joh 1:20; 1Jo 1:5. Thus John excludes two heresies (Bernard) that matter is eternal and that angels or aeons had a share in creation.

Not anything (ov $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon v$ ). "Not even one thing." Bernard thinks the entire Prologue is a hymn and divides it into strophes. That is by no means certain. It is doubtful also whether the relative clause "that hath been made" (o $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma o v \varepsilon v$ ) is a part of this sentence or begins a new one as Westcott and Hort print it. The verb is second perfect active indicative of $\gamma เ v o \mu \alpha$. Westcott observes that the ancient scholars before Chrysostom all began a new sentence with o $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma o v \varepsilon v$. The early uncials had no punctuation.

John 1:4
In him was life ( $\varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \omega \zeta \omega \eta \eta \nu$ ). That which has come into being (verse 3) in the Logos was life. The power that creates and sustains life in the universe is the Logos. This is what Paul means by the perfect passive verb $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ (stands created) in Col 1:16. This is also the claim of Jesus to Martha (Joh 11:25 ). This is the idea in Heb 1:3 "bearing (upholding) the all things by the word of his power." Once this language might have been termed unscientific, but not so now after the spiritual interpretation of the physical world by Eddington and Jeans. Usually in John $\zeta \omega \eta$ means spiritual life, but here the term is unlimited and includes all life; only it is not $\beta$ ıos (manner of life), but the very principle or essence of life. That is spiritual behind the physical and to this great scientists today agree. It is also personal intelligence and power. Some of the western documents have $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ here instead of $\eta \nu$ to bring out clearly the timelessness of this phrase of the work of the $\Lambda$ оүос.

And the life was the light of men ( $\kappa \alpha \eta \zeta \omega \eta \eta \nu \tau$ о $\varphi \omega \varsigma \tau \omega \nu \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu$ ). Here the article with both $\zeta \omega \eta$ and $\varphi \omega \varsigma$ makes them interchangeable. "The light was the life of men" is also true. That statement is curiously like the view of some physicists who find in electricity (both light and power) the nearest equivalent to life in its ultimate physical form. Later Jesus will call himself the light of the world (Joh 8:12 ). John is fond of these words life and light in Gospel, Epistles, Revelation. He here combines them to picture his conception of the Preincarnate Logos in his relation to the race. He was and is the Life of men ( $\tau \omega \nu \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \nu$, generic use of the article) and the Light of men. John asserts this relation of the Logos to the race of men in particular before the Incarnation.

John 1:5
Shineth ( $\varphi \alpha \vee v \varepsilon$ ). Linear present active indicative of $\varphi \alpha \downarrow v \omega$, old verb from $\varphi \alpha \omega$, to shine ( $\varphi \alpha \circ \sigma, \varphi \omega \varsigma)$. "The light keeps on giving light."

In the darkness ( $\varepsilon \vee \tau \eta \sigma \kappa \circ \tau \iota \alpha)$. Late word for the common $\sigma \kappa о \tau \circ \varsigma$ (kin to $\sigma \kappa \iota \alpha$, shadow). An evident allusion to the darkness brought on by sin. In 2Pe 2:17 we have o ऍочоৎ тov бкотоv (the blackness of darkness). The Logos, the only real moral light, keeps on shining both in the Pre-incarnate state and after the Incarnation. John is fond of бкотıа (бкотоৎ)
for moral darkness from $\sin$ and $\varphi \omega \varsigma(\varphi \omega \tau \iota \zeta \omega, \varphi \alpha ı \nu \omega)$ for the light that is in Christ alone. In 1 Jo 2:8 he proclaims that "the darkness is passing by and the true light is already shining." The Gnostics often employed these words and John takes them and puts them in the proper place.

Apprehended it not ( $\alpha \cup \tau о$ ov кат $\lambda \lambda \beta \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, old verb to lay hold of, to seize. This very phrase occurs in Joh 12:35 ( $\imath v \alpha \mu \eta$ бкоть $v \mu \alpha \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \eta)$ "that darkness overtake you not," the metaphor of night following day and in 1Th 5:4 the same idiom (ıva кат $\alpha \lambda \beta \eta$ ) is used of day overtaking one as a thief. This is the view of Origen and appears also in 2Macc. 8:18. The same word appears in Aleph D in Joh 6:17 катє $\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon$ avтоия $\eta$ бкотıа ("but darkness overtook them," came down on them). Hence, in spite of the Vulgate comprehenderunt, "overtook" or "overcame" seems to be the idea here. The light kept on shining in spite of the darkness that was worse than a London fog as the Old Testament and archaeological discoveries in Egypt, Assyria, Babylonia, Persia, Crete, Asia Minor show.

John 1:6
There came a man ( $\varepsilon \not \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \sigma \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma)$. Definite event in the long darkness, same verb in verse 3 .

Sent ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle of $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, to send.
From God ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). From the side of ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ) God (ablative case $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ).
Whose name (ovo $\alpha \alpha \alpha 0 \tau \omega$ ). "Name to him," nominative parenthetic and dative (Robertson, Grammar, p. 460).

John (I $\omega a v \eta \varsigma$ ). One $v$ in Westcott and Hort. In the giving of the name see Lu 1:59-63 , Hellenized form of Jonathan, Joanan (Gift of God), used always of the Baptist in this Gospel which never mentions the name of John son of Zebedee (the sons of Zebedee once, 21:2).

John 1:7
For witness ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho ı \alpha v$ ). Old word from $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \varepsilon \omega$ (from $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \varsigma)$, both more common in John's writings than the rest of the N.T. This the purpose of the Baptist's ministry.

That he might bear witness (ıva $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \eta \sigma \eta$ ). Final clause with $ı v \alpha$ and aorist active subjunctive of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \varepsilon \omega$ to make clearer $\varepsilon เ \varsigma \mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho ı \alpha v$.

Of the light ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ tov $\varphi \omega \tau \circ \varsigma)$. "Concerning the light." The light was shining and men with blinded eyes were not seeing the light (Joh 1:26 ), blinded by the god of this world still (2Co 4:4). John had his own eyes opened so that he saw and told what he saw. That is the mission of every preacher of Christ. But he must first have his own eyes opened.

That all might believe (ıva $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \omega \sigma เ v)$. Final clause with $t v \alpha$ and first aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$, ingressive aorist "come to believe." This is one of John's great words (about 100 times), "with nine times the frequency with which it is used by the Synoptists" (Bernard). And yet $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$, so common in Paul, John uses only in 1Jo 5:4 and four times in
the Apocalypse where $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$ does not occur at all. Here it is used absolutely as in Joh 1:50 , etc.

Through him ( $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ avtov). As the intermediate agent in winning men to believe in Christ (the Logos) as the Light and the Life of men. This is likewise the purpose of the author of this book ( $21: 31$ ). The preacher is merely the herald to point men to Christ.

John 1:8
He (عкعıvoc). "That one," i.e. John. He was a light (Joh 5:35) as all believers are (Mt 5:14 ), but not "the light" ( $\tau 0 \varphi \varsigma$ ).

But came ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ). No verb in the Greek, to be supplied by repeating $\eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ of verse 7 . See similar ellipses in 9:3; 13:18; 15:25. In Johannine fashion we have the final tva clause of verse 7 repeated.

John 1:9
There was ( $\eta v$ ). Imperfect indicative. Emphatic position at the beginning of the sentence and so probably not periphrastic conjugation with $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v$ (coming) near the end, though that is possible.

The true light ( $\tau 0 \varphi \omega \varsigma$ тo $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta$ เvov). "The light the genuine," not a false light of wreckers of ships, but the dependable light that guides to the harbor of safety. This true light had been on hand all the time in the darkness ( $\eta v$ imperfect, linear action) before John came.

Even the light (not in the Greek). Added in the English to make plain this interpretation.
Lighteth every man ( $\varphi \omega \tau \iota \zeta \varepsilon \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi o v$ ). Old verb (from $\varphi \omega \varsigma$ ) to give light as in $\operatorname{Re} 22: 5 ; \mathrm{Lu} 11: 35 \mathrm{f}$. The Quakers appeal to this phrase for their belief that to every man there is given an inner light that is a sufficient guide, the Quaker's text it is called. But it may only mean that all the real light that men receive comes from Christ, not necessarily that each one receives a special revelation.

Coming ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). This present middle participle of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ can be taken with $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ ov just before (accusative masculine singular), "every man as he comes into the world." It can also be construed with $\varphi \omega \varsigma$ (nominative neuter singular). This idea occurs in Joh 3:19; 11:27; 12:46. In the two last passages the phrase is used of the Messiah which makes it probable here. But even so the light presented in 11:27; 12:46 is that of the Incarnate Messiah, not the Pre-incarnate Logos. Here коб $о$ о rather than $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ occurs in the sense of the orderly universe as often in this Gospel. See Eph 1:4.

John 1:10
He was in the world ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \kappa \circ \sigma \mu \omega \eta \nu$ ). Imperfect tense of continuous existence in the universe before the Incarnation as in verses 1,2 .

Was made by him ( $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ avtov $\varepsilon$ ₹ยveto). "Through him." Same statement here of "the world" (o коб $\mu$ оя) as that made in verse 3 of $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$.

Knew him not (autov ouk $\varepsilon \gamma v \omega$ ). Second aorist active indicative of common verb $\gamma \iota v o \sigma \kappa \omega$, what Gildersleeve called a negative aorist, refused or failed to recognize him, his
world that he had created and that was held together by him (Col 1:16 ). Not only did the world fail to know the Pre-incarnate Logos, but it failed to recognize him when he became Incarnate (Joh 1:26 ). Two examples in this sentence of John's fondness for $\kappa \alpha$ as in verses $1,4,5,14$, the paratactic rather than the hypotactic construction, like the common Hebrew use of wav.

John 1:11
Unto his own ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha$ ). Neuter plural, "unto his own things," the very idiom used in 19:27 when the Beloved Disciple took the mother of Jesus "to his own home." The world was "the own home" of the Logos who had made it. See also 16:32; Ac 21:6.

They that were his own (o o $\delta ⿺ 0$ ). In the narrower sense, "his intimates," "his own family," "his own friends" as in 13:1. Jesus later said that a prophet is not without honour save in his own country (Mr 6:4; Joh 4:44) , and the town of Nazareth where he lived rejected him (Lu 4:28f.; Mt 13:58). Probably here o $1 \delta$ to means the Jewish people, the chosen people to whom Christ was sent first (Mt 15:24), but in a wider sense the whole world is included in o $\boldsymbol{\delta}\llcorner\mathrm{o}$. Conder's The Hebrew Tragedy emphasizes the pathos of the situation that the house of Israel refused to welcome the Messiah when he did come, like a larger and sadder Enoch Arden experience.

Received him not (autov ov $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o v)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, old verb to take to one's side, common verb to welcome, the very verb used by Jesus in 14:3 of the welcome to his Father's house. Cf. кatع $\alpha \beta \beta v$ in verse 5 . Israel slew the Heir (Heb 1:2) when he came, like the wicked husbandmen (Lu 20:14).

John 1:12
As many as received him (oбo $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o v \alpha u \tau o v$ ). Effective aorist active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$ "as many as did receive him," in contrast with o $\iota \delta \iota \circ$ just before, exceptional action on the part of the disciples and other believers.

To them (avtos). Dative case explanatory of the relative clause preceding, an anacoluthon common in John 27 times as against 21 in the Synoptists. This is a common Aramaic idiom and is urged by Burney (Aramaic Origin, etc., p. 64) for his theory of an Aramaic original of the Fourth Gospel.

The right ( $\varepsilon \xi$ ovalav). In 5:27 $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ (first aorist active indicative of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ ) $\varepsilon \xi$ оuđıav means authority but includes power ( $\delta v v a \mu \iota \varsigma)$. Here it is more the notion of privilege or right.

To become ( $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Second aorist middle of $\gamma \iota v o \mu \alpha$, to become what they were not before.

Children of God ( $\tau \varepsilon \kappa v a \operatorname{\theta \varepsilon ov}$ ). In the full spiritual sense, not as mere offspring of God true of all men (Ac 17:28). Paul's phrase vio $\theta$ zov (Gal 3:26) for believers, used also by Jesus of the pure in heart (Mt 5:9 ), does not occur in John's Gospel (but in Re 21:7). It is possible that John prefers $\tau \alpha \tau \varepsilon \kappa v \alpha$ тov $\theta$ हov for the spiritual children of God whether Jew or Gentile
(Joh 11:52 ) because of the community of nature ( $\tau \varepsilon \kappa v o v$ from root $\tau \varepsilon \kappa$-, to beget). But one cannot follow Westcott in insisting on "adoption" as Paul's reason for the use of vio since Jesus uses vio $\theta \varepsilon$ ov in Mt 5:9. Clearly the idea of regeneration is involved here as in Joh 3:3

Even to them that believe ( $\tau o \iota \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o v \sigma ı v)$. No "even" in the Greek, merely explanatory apposition with autoı, dative case of the articular present active participle of $\pi\llcorner\sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \omega$.
 in or on. See also 2:23; 3:38 for $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$ عıৎ to ovoนa avtov. This common use of ovo $\mu \alpha$ for the person is an Aramaism, but it occurs also in the vernacular papyri and عוৎ to ovo $\alpha \alpha$ is particularly common in the payment of debts (Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary). See Ac 1:15 for ovouata for persons.

John 1:13
Which were born (o $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \nu \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\gamma \varepsilon v v \alpha \omega$, to beget, "who were begotten." By spiritual generation (of God, $\varepsilon \kappa \theta \varepsilon o v)$, not by physical ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \mu \alpha \tau \omega v$, plural as common in classics and O.T., though why it is not clear unless blood of both father and mother; єк $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ \sigma \alpha \rho к о \varsigma, ~ f r o m ~ s e x u a l ~ d e s i r e ; ~ \varepsilon к ~ \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ \alpha v \delta \rho o \varsigma, ~ f r o m ~$ the will of the male). But $b$ of the old Latin reads qui natus est and makes it refer to Christ and so expressly teach the Virgin Birth of Jesus. Likewise Irenaeus reads qui natus est as does Tertullian who argues that qui nati sunt (o $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v v \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ) is an invention of the Valentinian Gnostics. Blass (Philology of the Gospels, p. 234) opposes this reading, but all the old Greek uncials read o $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v v \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ and it must be accepted. The Virgin Birth is doubtless implied in verse 14 , but it is not stated in verse 13 .

John 1:14
And the Word became flesh ( $\kappa \alpha$ о $\lambda о \gamma о \varsigma ~ \sigma \alpha \rho \xi \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau о$ ). See verse 3 for this verb and note its use for the historic event of the Incarnation rather than $\eta v$ of verse 1 . Note also the absence of the article with the predicate substantive $\sigma \alpha \rho \xi$, so that it cannot mean "the flesh became the Word." The Pre-existence of the Logos has already been plainly stated and argued. John does not here say that the Logos entered into a man or dwelt in a man or filled a man. One is at liberty to see an allusion to the birth narratives in Mt 1:16-25; Lu 1:28-38, if he wishes, since John clearly had the Synoptics before him and chiefly supplemented them in his narrative. In fact, one is also at liberty to ask what intelligent meaning can one give to John's language here apart from the Virgin Birth? What ordinary mother or father ever speaks of a child "becoming flesh"? For the Incarnation see also 2Co 8:9; Ga 4:4; Ro 1:3; 8:3; Php 2:7f.; 1Ti 3:16; Heb 2:14. "To explain the exact significance of $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau o ~ i n ~ t h i s ~ s e n t e n c e ~$ is beyond the powers of any interpreter" (Bernard). Unless, indeed, as seems plain, John is referring to the Virgin Birth as recorded in Matthew and Luke. "The Logos of philosophy is, John declares, the Jesus of history" (Bernard). Thus John asserts the deity and the real humanity of Christ. He answers the Docetic Gnostics who denied his humanity.

Dwelt among us ( $\varepsilon \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon v \eta \mu \nu)$. First aorist ingressive aorist active indicative of $\sigma \kappa \eta v o \omega$, old verb, to pitch one's tent or tabernacle ( $\sigma \kappa \eta v o \varsigma$ or $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$ ), in N.T. only here and Re 7-15; 12:12; 13:6; 21:3. In Revelation it is used of God tabernacling with men and here of the Logos tabernacling, God's Shekinah glory here among us in the person of his Son.

We beheld his glory ( $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha \tau \eta v \delta o \xi \alpha v \alpha v \tau o v)$. First aorist middle indicative of $\theta \varepsilon \alpha o \mu \alpha$ (from $\theta \varepsilon \alpha$, spectacle). The personal experience of John and of others who did recognize Jesus as the Shekinah glory ( $\delta 0 \xi \alpha$ ) of God as James, the brother of Jesus, so describes him (Jas 2:1 ). John employs $\theta \varepsilon \alpha o \mu \alpha$ again in 1:32 (the Baptist beholding the Spirit coming down as a dove) and 1:38 of the Baptist gazing in rapture at Jesus. So also 4:35; 11:45; 1Jo 1:1f.; 4:12,14 . By this word John insists that in the human Jesus he beheld the Shekinah glory of God who was and is the Logos who existed before with God. By this plural John speaks for himself and all those who saw in Jesus what he did.

As of the only begotten from the Father ( $\omega \varsigma \mu$ оvoүعvouc $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \rho о \varsigma)$. Strictly, "as of an only born from a father," since there is no article with $\mu$ оvopevouc or with $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o \varsigma$. In Joh 3:16; 1Jo 4:9 we have $\operatorname{tov} \mu$ ovoүعv $\begin{aligned} \text { referring to Christ. This is the first use in the Gospel }\end{aligned}$ of $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ of God in relation to the Logos. Movoүعvŋऽ (only born rather than only begotten) here refers to the eternal relationship of the Logos (as in 1:18) rather than to the Incarnation. It distinguishes thus between the Logos and the believers as children ( $\tau \varepsilon \kappa v \alpha$ ) of God. The word is used of human relationships as in $\operatorname{Lu} 7: 12 ; 8: 42$; 9:38. It occurs also in the LXX and Heb 11:17, but elsewhere in N.T. only in John's writings. It is an old word in Greek literature. It is not clear whether the words $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma$ (from the Father) are to be connected with $\mu$ ovoүعvous (cf. 6:46; 7:29, etc.) or with $\delta o \xi \alpha v$ (cf. 5:41,44 ). John clearly means to say that "the manifested glory of the Word was as it were the glory of the Eternal Father shared with His only Son" (Bernard). Cf. 8:54; 14:9; 17:5 .

Full ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \eta \varsigma$ ). Probably indeclinable accusative adjective agreeing with $\delta 0 \xi \alpha v$ (or genitive with $\mu$ ovoүعvous) of which we have papyri examples (Robertson, Grammar, p. 275). As nominative $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \eta \varsigma$ can agree with the subject of $\varepsilon \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$.

Of grace and truth ( $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \tau о \varsigma \kappa \alpha \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha \varsigma)$. Curiously this great word $\chi \alpha \rho ı \varsigma$ (grace), so common with Paul, does not occur in John's Gospel save in 1:14,16,17, though $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha$ (truth) is one of the keywords in the Fourth Gospel and in 1John, occurring 25 times in the Gospel and 20 in the Johannine Epistles, 7 times in the Synoptics and not at all in Revelation (Bernard). In 1:17 these two words picture the Gospel in Christ in contrast with the law of Moses. See Epistles of Paul for origin and use of both words.

John 1:15
Beareth witness ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon$ ). Historical (dramatic) present indicative of this characteristic word in John (cf. 1:17f. ). See 1:32,34 for historical examples of John's witness to Christ. This sentence is a parenthesis in Westcott and Hort's text, though the Revised Version makes
a parenthesis of most of verse 14. The witness of John is adduced in proof of the glory full of grace and truth already claimed for the Incarnate Logos.

Crieth (кєкраүєv). Second perfect active indicative of $\kappa \rho \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb for loud crying, repeated in dramatic form again for emphasis recalling the wonderful Voice in the wilderness which the Beloved Disciple can still hear echoing through the years.

This was (ovtoc $\eta v$ ). Imperfect indicative where John throws the tense back in past time when he looked forward to the coming of the Messiah as in Ac 3:10 where we should prefer "is" (عбтıv). Gildersleeve (Syntax, p. 96) calls this the "imperfect of sudden appreciation of the real state of things."

Of whom I said (ov $\varepsilon ⿺ 𠃊 \pi \sim v$ ). But B C and a corrector of Aleph (Westcott and Hort) have o $\varepsilon ו \pi \omega v$ "the one who said," a parenthetical explanation about the Baptist, not the words of the Baptist about Christ.

After me (o $\quad \tau \sigma \omega \mu \circ$ ). See also 1:27. Later in time John means. He described "the Coming One" (o $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$ before he saw Jesus. The language of John here is precisely that
 say these very words, but he also had the Synoptic Gospels.

Is become ( $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma^{\prime} \nu \varepsilon v$ ). Second perfect active indicative of $\gamma เ v o \mu \alpha$. It is already an actual fact when the Baptist is speaking.

Before me ( $\varepsilon \mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \mu \circ v$ ). In rank and dignity, the Baptist means, o $\sigma \chi \cup \rho о \tau \varepsilon \rho о \varsigma$ $\mu \circ v$ "the one mightier than I" (Mr 1:7) and ıбхupotepos $\mu \circ v$ "mightier than I" (Mt 3:11). In Joh 3:28 $\varepsilon \mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \varepsilon v$ єкєıvov (before him, the Christ) does mean priority in time, but not here. This superior dignity of the Messiah John proudly recognizes always (Joh 3:25-30 ).

For he was before me (o $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ о $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\eta} \eta \nu$ ). Paradox, but clear. He had always been ( $\eta v$ $\mu \pi \varepsilon \rho \varphi \varepsilon \chi \tau)$ before John in his Pre-incarnate state, but "after" John in time of the Incarnation, but always ahead of John in rank immediately on his Incarnation. Пр $\omega$ тos $\mu$ ov (superlative with ablative) occurs here when only two are compared as is common in the vernacular Koine. So the Beloved Disciple came first ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ тос) to the tomb, ahead of Peter ( 20:4). So also $\pi \rho \omega \tau \tau v \nu \mu \omega v$ in 15:18 means "before you" as if it were $\pi \rho o \tau \varepsilon \rho o v \nu \mu \omega v$. Verse 30 repeats these words almost exactly.

John 1:16
For (ot). Correct text (Aleph B C D L) and not $\kappa \alpha$ (and) of the Textus Receptus. Explanatory reason for verse 14.

Of his fulness ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ tov $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha \tau \sigma \varsigma)$. The only instance of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ in John's writings, though five times of Christ in Paul's Epistles (Col 1:19; 2:9; Eph 1:23; 3:19; 4:13 ). See Col 1:19 for discussion of these terms of the Gnostics that Paul employs for all the attributes of God summed up in Christ (Col 2:9) and so used here by John of the Incarnate Logos.

We all ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ) John is facing the same Gnostic depreciation of Christ of which Paul writes in Colossians. So here John appeals to all his own contemporaries as participants with him in the fulness of the Logos.

Received ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \mathrm{o} \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, a wider experience than beholding ( $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$, verse 14) and one that all believers may have.

Grace for grace ( $\chi \alpha \rho \iota v \alpha v \tau \chi \alpha \rho \iota \tau \varsigma)$ ). The point is in $\alpha v \tau$, a preposition disappearing in the Koine and here only in John. It is in the locative case of $\alpha v \tau \alpha$ (end), "at the end," and was used of exchange in sale. See Lu 11:11, avt ıXUvoc opıv, "a serpent for a fish," Heb 12:2 where "joy" and "cross" are balanced against each other. Here the picture is "grace" taking the place of "grace" like the manna fresh each morning, new grace for the new day and the new service.

John 1:17
Was given ( $\varepsilon \delta \circ \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$.
By Moses ( $\delta \iota \alpha \mathrm{M} \omega v \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. "Through Moses" as the intermediate agent of God.
Came ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau о)$. The historical event, the beginning of Christianity.
By Jesus Christ ( $\delta ı \alpha \operatorname{I\eta \sigma ov~X\rho ı\sigma \tau ov).~"Through~Jesus~Christ,"~the~intermediate~agent~}$ of God the Father. Here in plain terms John identifies the Pre-incarnate Logos with Jesus of Nazareth, the Messiah. The full historical name "Jesus Christ" is here for the first time in John. See also 17:3 and four times in 1John and five times in Revelation. Without Christ there would have been no Christianity. John's theology is here pictured by the words "grace and truth" ( $\eta \chi \alpha \rho ı \varsigma \kappa \alpha \eta \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha)$, each with the article and each supplementary to the other. It is grace in contrast with law as Paul sets forth in Galatians and Romans. Paul had made grace "a Christian commonplace" (Bernard) before John wrote. It is truth as opposed to Gnostic and all other heresy as Paul shows in Colossians and Ephesians. The two words aptly describe two aspects of the Logos and John drops the use of $\Lambda$ oүos and $\chi$ apı, but clings to $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ (see 8:32 for the freedom brought by truth), though the ideas in these three words run all through his Gospel.

John 1:18
No man hath seen God at any time ( $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ov $\delta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \varepsilon v ~ \pi \omega \pi о \tau \varepsilon$ ). "God no one has ever seen." Perfect active indicative of opaw. Seen with the human physical eye, John means. God is invisible (Ex 33:20; De 4:12 ). Paul calls God aopatoc (Col 1:15; 1Ti 1:17 ). John repeats the idea in Joh 5:37; 6:46. And yet in 14:7 Jesus claims that the one who sees him has seen the Father as here.

The only begotten Son (o $\mu$ оvoүعvŋऽ vioc). This is the reading of the Textus Receptus and is intelligible after $\omega \varsigma \mu \circ v o \gamma \varepsilon v o u \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \rho o \varsigma$ in verse 14 . But the best old Greek manuscripts (Aleph B C L) read $\mu$ ovoүعvŋ¢ $\theta$ عoc (God only begotten) which is undoubtedly the true text. Probably some scribe changed it to o $\mu$ ovopevnc vios to obviate the blunt statement of the deity of Christ and to make it like 3:16. But there is an inner harmony in
the reading of the old uncials. The Logos is plainly called $\theta$ voc in verse 1 . The Incarnation is stated in verse 14 , where he is also termed $\mu$ оvoүєvŋऽ. He was that before the Incarnation. So he is "God only begotten," "the Eternal Generation of the Son" of Origen's phrase.
 relation of the Son with the Father like $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ in verse 1. In 3:13 there is some evidence for o $\omega v \varepsilon v \tau \omega$ oupav $\omega$ used by Christ of himself while still on earth. The mystic sense here is that the Son is qualified to reveal the Father as Logos (both the Father in Idea and Expression) by reason of the continual fellowship with the Father.

He ( $\varepsilon \kappa ı v o \varsigma)$. Emphatic pronoun referring to the Son.
Hath declared him ( $\varepsilon \xi \eta \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \tau 0)$. First aorist (effective) middle indicative of $\varepsilon \xi \eta \gamma \varepsilon \circ \mu \alpha$, old verb to lead out, to draw out in narrative, to recount. Here only in John, though once in Luke's Gospel ( $24: 35$ ) and four times in Ac (10:8; 15:12,14; 21:19). This word fitly closes the Prologue in which the Logos is pictured in marvellous fashion as the Word of God in human flesh, the Son of God with the Glory of God in him, showing men who God is and what he is.

John 1:19
 already alluded to it (verses 7f., 15 ) and now he proceeds to give it as the most important item to add after the Prologue. Just as the author assumes the birth narratives of Matthew and Luke, so he assumes the Synoptic accounts of the baptism of Jesus by John, but adds various details of great interest and value between the baptism and the Galilean ministry, filling out thus our knowledge of this first year of the Lord's ministry in various parts of Palestine. The story in John proceeds along the same lines as in the Synoptics. There is increasing unfolding of Christ to the disciples with increasing hostility on the part of the Jews till the final consummation in Jerusalem.

When the Jews sent unto him (от $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha v \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \circ v$ o Iov $\alpha a \circ$ ). John, writing in Ephesus near the close of the first century long after the destruction of Jerusalem, constantly uses the phrase "the Jews" as descriptive of the people as distinct from the Gentile world and from the followers of Christ (at first Jews also). Often he uses it of the Jewish leaders and rulers in particular who soon took a hostile attitude toward both John and Jesus. Here it is the Jews from Jerusalem who sent ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha v$, first aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega)$.

Priests and Levites (єррєı¢ ка $\Lambda \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha \varsigma) . ~ S a d d u c e e s ~ t h e s e ~ w e r e . ~ D o w n ~ b e l o w ~ i n ~ v e r s e ~$ 24 the author explains that it was the Pharisees who sent the Sadducees. The Synoptics throw a flood of light on this circumstance, for in Mt 3:7 we are told that the Baptist called the Pharisees and Sadducees "offspring of vipers" (Lu 3:7 ). Popular interest in John grew till people were wondering "in their hearts concerning John whether haply he were the Christ"
(Lu 3:15). So the Sanhedrin finally sent a committee to John to get his own view of himself, but the Pharisees saw to it that Sadducees were sent.

To ask him ( $\iota v \alpha \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \eta \sigma \omega \sigma \tau v \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Final $t v \alpha$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \omega$, old verb to ask a question as here and often in the Koine to ask for something (Joh 14:16) like aıtء $\omega$.

Who art thou? ( $\sigma \cup \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon i ;$ ). Direct question preserved and note proleptic position of $\sigma 0$, "Thou, who art thou?" The committee from the Sanhedrin put the question sharply up to John to define his claims concerning the Messiah.

John 1:20
And he confessed ( $\kappa \alpha \omega \mu \circ \lambda о \gamma \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). The continued paratactic use of $\kappa \alpha$ (and) and the
 same thing), to confess, in the Synoptics (Mt 10:32 ) as here.

And denied not ( $\kappa \alpha$ оик $\eta \rho \vee \eta \sigma \alpha \tau о$ ). Negative statement of same thing in Johannine fashion, first aorist middle indicative of a $\rho v \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$, another Synoptic and Pauline word (Mt 10:33; 2 Ti 2:12 ). He did not contradict or refuse to say who he was.

And he confessed ( $\kappa \alpha \omega \mu о \lambda о \gamma \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Thoroughly Johannine again in the paratactic repetition.

I am not the Christ ( $\mathrm{E} \gamma \omega$ ovк $\varepsilon \mu$ о X Xıбтоऽ). Direct quotation again with recitative o $\tau$ before it like our modern quotation marks. "I am not the Messiah," he means by o Xpıбтos (the Anointed One). Evidently it was not a new question as Luke had already shown (Lu 3:15).

John 1:21
And they asked him ( $\kappa \alpha \eta \rho \omega \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$ av $\quad$ тov). Here the paratactic $\kappa \alpha$ is like the transitional ouv (then).

What then? (T ouv;). Argumentative ouv like Paul's $\tau$ ouv in Ro 6:15. Quid ergo? Art thou Elijah? ( $\Sigma v \mathrm{E} \lambda \iota a \varsigma \varepsilon ;$ ). The next inevitable question since Elijah had been understood to be the forerunner of the Messiah from Mal 4:5. In Mr 9:11f. Jesus will identify John with the Elijah of Malachi's prophecy. Why then does John here flatly deny it? Because the expectation was that Elijah would return in person. This John denies. Jesus only asserts that John was Elijah in spirit. Elijah in person they had just seen on the Mount of Transfiguration.

He saith ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ ). Vivid dramatic present.
I am not (ouk $\varepsilon \mu$ ). Short and blunt denial.
Art thou the prophet? (o $\pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma v$;). "The prophet art thou?" This question followed naturally the previous denials. Moses (De 18:15) had spoken of a prophet like unto himself. Christians interpreted this prophet to be the Messiah (Ac 3:22; 7:37), but the Jews thought him another forerunner of the Messiah (Joh 7:40). It is not clear in Joh 6:15 whether the people identified the expected prophet with the Messiah, though apparently so. Even the Baptist later became puzzled in prison whether Jesus himself was the true

Messiah or just one of the forerunners (Lu 7:19). People wondered about Jesus himself whether he was the Messiah or just one of the looked for prophets (Mr 8:28; Mt 16:14 ).

And he answered ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho ө \eta \eta$ ). First aorist passive (deponent passive, sense of voice


No (Ov). Shortest possible denial.
John 1:22
They said therefore ( $\varepsilon เ \pi \alpha v o v v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of defective verb $\varepsilon \iota \pi \circ v$ with $\alpha$ instead of usual $o$. Note ouv, inferential here as in verse 21 though often merely transitional in John.

Who art thou? (Tıৎ $\varepsilon ;$ ). Same question as at first (verse 19), but briefer.
That we give answer ( $\imath \alpha \alpha \pi о \kappa \rho \iota \sigma \imath \nu \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Final use of $\imath v \alpha$ with second aorist active


To those that sent ( $\tau \circ \iota \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \alpha \sigma \iota v$ ). Dative case plural of the articular participle first aorist active of $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega$.

What sayest thou of thyself? (T $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon ı \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v ;$ ). This time they opened wide the door without giving any hint at all.

John 1:23
He said $(\varepsilon \varphi \eta)$. Common imperfect active (or second aorist active) of $\varphi \eta \mu$, to say, old defective verb.

I am the voice of one crying in the wilderness ( $\mathrm{E} \gamma \omega \varphi \omega \nu \eta \beta \circ \omega \nu \tau \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \omega$ ). For his answer John quotes Isa 40:3. The Synoptics (Mr 1:3; Mt 3:3; Lu 3:4) quote this language from Isaiah as descriptive of John, but do not say that he also applied it to himself. There is no reason to think that he did not do so. John also refers to Isaiah as the author of the words and also of the message, " Make straight the way of the Lord " (EvӨuvatє $\tau \eta v$ oסov $\tau 0 v$ $\kappa \cup \rho ı о v)$. By this language ( $\varepsilon v \theta v v \omega$ in N.T. only here and Jas 3:4, first aorist active imperative here) John identifies himself to the committee as the forerunner of the Messiah. The early writers note the differences between the use of $\Lambda$ oүoৎ (Word) for the Messiah and $\varphi \omega v \eta$ (Voice) for John.

John 1:24
They had been sent ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \mu \varepsilon \nu \sigma \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ ). Periphrastic past perfect passive of $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$.
From the Pharisees ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu \Phi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \alpha \iota \omega v$ ). As the source ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ ) of the committee of Sadducees (verse 19).

John 1:25
Why then baptizest thou? (T ouv $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \zeta \varepsilon є ;$ ). In view of his repeated denials (three here mentioned).

If thou art not ( $\varepsilon \sigma v$ ovk $\varepsilon$ ). Condition of first class. They did not interpret his claim to be "the voice" to be important enough to justify the ordinance of baptism. Abrahams
(Studies in Pharisaism and the Gospels) shows that proselyte baptism was probably practised before John's time, but its use by John was treating the Jews as if they were themselves Gentiles.

John 1:26
In the midst of you standeth ( $\mu \varepsilon \sigma о \varsigma ~ \nu \mu \omega \nu \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon$ ). Adjective as in 19:18, not $\varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \sigma \omega$ $\nu \mu \omega v$. Present active indicative of late verb $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \omega$ from perfect stem $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \alpha$. John had already baptized Jesus and recognized him as the Messiah.

Whom ye know not ( $\circ$ v $\cup \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ o v \kappa ~ o \iota \delta \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). This was the tragedy of the situation ( $1: 11$ ). Apparently this startling declaration excited no further inquiry from the committee.

John 1:27
Coming after me (o $\pi \iota \sigma \omega \mu \circ v \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$ ). No article (o) in Aleph B. John as the forerunner of the Messiah has preceded him in time, but not in rank as he instantly adds.

The latchet of whose shoe I am not worthy to unloose (ov ouk $\varepsilon \mu \alpha \xi_{\imath o \varsigma} v \alpha \alpha \lambda v \sigma \omega$
 latchet (see Mr 1:7 for $\mu \mu \varsigma$ ) of his sandal (see Mt 3:11 for v $\pi$ о $\delta \eta \mu \alpha$, bound under the foot)." Only use of $\alpha \xi$ Łoc with $\iota v \alpha$ in John, though used by Paul in this saying of the Baptist (Ac 13:25), ıкаvос $\tau \alpha$ in Mt 3:8, but ıкаvос $\lambda \nu \sigma \alpha$ (aorist active infinitive instead of $\lambda \nu \sigma \omega$, aorist active subjunctive) in $\mathrm{Mr} 1: 7$ ( $\mathrm{Lu} 3: 16$ ) and $\beta a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha$ in $\mathrm{Mt} \mathrm{3:11} \mathrm{}$.

John 1:28
In Bethany beyond Jordan ( $\varepsilon v B \eta \theta a v i \alpha ~ \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha v \tau o v \operatorname{Io\rho } \delta \alpha v o v)$. Undoubtedly the correct text, not "in Bethabara" as Origen suggested instead of "in Bethany" of all the known Greek manuscripts under the mistaken notion that the only Bethany was that near Jerusalem.

Was baptizing ( $\eta \nu \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \zeta \omega v$ ). Periphrastic imperfect, common idiom in John.
John 1:29
On the morrow ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \pi \alpha u \rho ı o v$ ). Locative case with $\eta \mu \eta \rho \alpha$ (day) understood after the adverb eraupıov. "Second day of this spiritual diary" (Bernard) from verse 19.

Seeth Jesus coming ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$ тov I $\eta \sigma o u v \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Dramatic historical present indicative ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$ ) with vivid present middle participle ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Graphic picture.
 and so nominative $\alpha \mu v o c$. Common idiom in John ( $1: 36 ; 3: 26$, etc.). For "the Lamb of God" see 1Co 5:7 (cf. Joh 19:36) and 1Pe 1:19. The passage in Isa 53:6f. is directly applied to Christ by Philip in Ac 8:32. See also Mt 8:17; 1Pe 2:22f.; Heb 9:28. But the Jews did not look for a suffering Messiah (Joh 12:34) nor did the disciples at first (Mr 9:32; Lu 24:21). But was it not possible for John, the Forerunner of the Messiah, to have a prophetic insight concerning the Messiah as the Paschal Lamb, already in Isa 53 , even if the rabbis did not see it there? Symeon had it dimly (Lu 2:35 ), but John more clearly. So Westcott rightly. Bernard is unwilling to believe that John the Baptist had more insight on this point than
current Judaism. Then why and how did he recognize Jesus as Messiah at all? Certainly the Baptist did not have to be as ignorant as the rabbis.

Which taketh away the sin of the world ( $\frac{\alpha \iota \rho \omega \nu}{} \tau \eta \nu$ a $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \nu \tau 0 v$ коб $\mu \circ v$ ). Note singular $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha v$ not plural $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau ı \alpha \varsigma ~(1 J o ~ 3: 5) ~ w h e r e ~ s a m e ~ v e r b ~ a ı \rho \omega, ~ t o ~ b e a r ~ a w a y, ~ i s ~$ used. The future work of the Lamb of God here described in present tense as in 1Jo 1:7 about the blood of Christ. He is the Lamb of God for the world, not just for Jews.

John 1:30
Of whom (v $\tau \varepsilon \rho \mathrm{ov})$. Not $\pi \varepsilon \rho$, but $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$. "On behalf of whom." John points to Jesus as he speaks: "This is he." There he is. See verse 15 for discussion of these words of John.

John 1:31
And I knew him not ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \omega$ оик $\eta \iota \delta \varepsilon ı v$ avtov). Repeated in verse 33 . Second past perfect of ot $\delta \alpha$ as imperfect. He had predicted the Messiah and described him before he met him and baptized him. See the Synoptics for that story. Whether John knew Jesus personally before the baptism we do not know.

But that he should be made manifest to Israel ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} เ v \alpha \varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta \tau \omega$ I $\sigma \rho \alpha \eta \lambda$ ). Final clause with $\operatorname{vo\alpha }$ and first aorist passive subjunctive of $\varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho o \omega$. The purpose of John's ministry was to manifest to Israel with their spiritual privileges ( $1: 49$ ) the presence of the Messiah. Hence he was baptizing in water those who confessed their sins, he means, as in Mr 1:5. The Synoptic account is presupposed all along here.

John 1:32
Bare witness ( $\varepsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \varepsilon \omega$. Another specimen of John's witness to the Messiah (1:7,15,19,29,35,36).

I have beheld $(\tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \alpha \mu \alpha)$. Perfect middle indicative of $\theta \varepsilon \alpha o \mu \alpha$, the realization of the promise of the sign (verse 33) by which he should recognize the Messiah. As a matter of fact, we know that he so recognized Jesus as Messiah when he came for baptism before the Holy Spirit came (Mt 3:14ff. ). But this sight of the Spirit descending as a dove upon Jesus at his baptism (Mr 1:10; Mt 3:16; Lu 3:22 ) became permanent proof to him. John's allusion assumes the Synoptic record. The Semites regarded the dove as a symbol of the Spirit.

John 1:33
He said ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ı \pi \varepsilon v) . ~ E x p l i c i t ~ a n d ~ e m p h a t i c ~ p r o n o u n ~ a s ~ i n ~ v e r s e ~ 8, ~ r e f e r r i n g ~ t o ~ G o d ~$ as the one who sent John (verse 6).

With the Holy Spirit ( $\varepsilon v \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \omega)$. "In the Holy Spirit." Here again one needs the background of the Synoptics for the contrast between John's baptism in water (Joh 1:26 ) and that of the Messiah in the Holy Spirit (Mr 1:8; Mt 3:11; Lu 3:16 ).

John 1:34
I have seen ( $\varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha)$. Present perfect active of opac). John repeats the statement of verse 32 ( $\tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \alpha \mu \alpha)$.

Have borne witness ( $\mu \varepsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \eta \kappa \alpha)$. Perfect active indicative of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \varepsilon \omega$ for which verb see 32.

This is the Son of God (o vios qov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). The Baptist saw the Spirit come on Jesus at his baptism and undoubtedly heard the Father's voice hail him as "My Beloved Son" (Mr 1:11; Mt 3:17; Lu 3:22 ). Nathanael uses it as a Messianic title (Joh 1:49) as does Martha ( 11:27). The Synoptics use it also of Christ (Mr 3:11; Mt 14:33; Lu 22:70 ). Caiaphas employs it to Christ as a Messianic title (Mt 26:63) and Jesus confessed under oath that he was (verse Mt 26:64 ), thus applying the term to himself as he does in John's Gospel (5:25; 10:36; 11:4 ) and by implication (the Father, the Son) in Mt 11:27 (Lu 10:22). Hence in the Synoptics also Jesus calls himself the Son of God. The phrase means more than just Messiah and expresses the peculiar relation of the Son to the Father (Joh 3:18; 5:25; 17:5; 19:7; 20:31) like that of the Logos with God in 1:1.

John 1:35
Again on the morrow ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \pi \alpha v \rho \iota o v \pi \alpha \lambda \iota v$ ). Third day since verse 19.
Was standing ( $\iota \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon)$. Past perfect of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, intransitive, and used as imperfect in sense. See same form in 7:37.

Two ( $\delta v o$ ). One was Andrew (verse 40), the other the Beloved Disciple (the Apostle John), who records this incident with happy memories.

John 1:36
He looked ( $\varepsilon \mu \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \mu \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$, antecedent action before $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ (says).

As he walked ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau o v \nu \tau)$. Present active participle in dative case after $\varepsilon \mu \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \alpha \varsigma$ and like $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v$ in verse 29 vividly pictures the rapture of John in this vision of Jesus, so far as we know the third and last glimpse of Jesus by John (the baptism, verse 29, and here).

Saith $(\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon)$. Historical present, change from $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon$ before. He repeats part of the tribute in verse 29.

John 1:37
Heard him speak ( $\eta \kappa о \cup \sigma \alpha v$ avtov $\lambda \alpha \lambda о \cup v \tau о \varsigma)$. First active indicative of $\alpha \kappa о \cup \omega$ and present active participle of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ in genitive case agreeing with $\alpha v \tau o v$, object of $\alpha \kappa 0 v \omega$. "Heard him speaking" (kind of indirect discourse). John had disciples ( $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha$, learners, from $\mu \alpha v \theta \alpha \nu \omega$, to learn).

They followed Jesus ( $\eta \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \omega$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). Associative instrumental case after verb (first aorist active indicative, ingressive aorist, of $\alpha \kappa 0 \lambda o v \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). These two disciples of the Baptist (Andrew and John) took him at his word and acted on it. John the Baptist had predicted and portrayed the Messiah, had baptized him, had interpreted him, and now for the second time had identified him.

John 1:38

Turned ( $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Second aorist passive participle of $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, vividly picturing the sudden act of Jesus on hearing their steps behind him.

Beheld ( $\theta \varepsilon \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. First aorist middle participle of $\theta \varepsilon \alpha o \mu \alpha$ (verse 32). Both participles here express antecedent action to $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ (saith).

Following ( $\alpha \kappa о \lambda о \theta$ ovvtac). Present active participle of $\alpha \kappa 0 \lambda o v \theta \varepsilon \omega$ (verse 37). It was Christ's first experience of this kind and the two came from the Baptist to Jesus.

What seek ye? (T $\lceil\uparrow \tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ;$ ). Not "whom" ( $\tau \iota v a 18: 4 ; 20: 15$ ), but "what purpose have you." The first words of Jesus preserved in this Gospel. See Lu 2:49; Mt 3:15 for words spoken before this and Mr 1:15 for Mark's first report in the Galilean ministry.

Rabbi (Paßßع). Aramaic title for "Teacher" which John here translates by $\Delta \mathrm{t} \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ as he is writing late and for general readers. Luke, a Greek Christian, does not use it, but John recalls his first use of this term to Jesus and explains it. Matthew has it only in the greeting of Judas to the Master (Mt 26:25,49) and Mark once by Judas (Mr 14:45) and twice by Peter (Mr 9:5; 11:21). John's Gospel has the disciples at first addressing Jesus by Rabbi while others address him by Kupı (Lord or Sir) as in 4:11,49; 5:7. Peter uses Kupı in 6:68. In the end the disciples usually say $\operatorname{Kupı\varepsilon }(13: 6,25$, etc.), but Mary Magdalene says Pa $\beta \beta$ ouve (20:16).

Being interpreted ( $\mu \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \rho \mu \eta \mu \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Present passive participle of $\mu \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \rho \mu \eta \nu \varepsilon v \omega$, late compound of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ and $\varepsilon \rho \mu \eta \nu \varepsilon v \omega$, to explain (Joh 1:42), old word from Hع $\rho \mu \varepsilon \varsigma$, the god of speech (hermeneutics). John often explains Aramaic words (1:38,41,42; 4:25; 9:7, etc.).

Where abidest thou? (Поv $\mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \sigma ;)$. They wished a place for quiet converse with Jesus. John 1:39
Come and ye shall see ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ о $\boldsymbol{q}^{\prime} \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Polite invitation and definite promise (future middle indicative $\mathrm{o} \psi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ from opa $\omega$, correct text, not imperative $\downarrow \delta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ).

Where he abode ( $\pi \mathrm{o} \nu \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ ). Indirect question preserving the present active indicative after secondary tense ( $\varepsilon \iota \delta \alpha v$, saw) according to regular Greek idiom. Same verb $\mu \varepsilon v \omega$ as in 38.

With him ( $\left.\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \omega\right)$. "By his side," "beside him."
That day ( $\tau \eta v \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho a v \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \imath v \eta v$ ). Accusative of extent of time, all during that day.
About the tenth hour ( $\omega \rho \alpha \omega \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \eta$ ). Roman time and so ten o'clock in the morning. John in Ephesus at the close of the century naturally uses Roman time. See 20:19 "evening on that day," clearly Roman time. Thus also Joh 19:14 (sixth hour, morning) and Mr 15:25 (third hour, nine A.M.) suit. To his latest day John never forgot the hour when first he met Jesus.

John 1:40

Andrew (Av $\rho \rho \varepsilon a \varsigma)$. Explained by John as one of the two disciples of the Baptist and identified as the brother of the famous Simon Peter (cf. also 6:8; 12:22). The more formal call of Andrew and Simon, James and John, comes later (Mr 1:16ff.; Mt 4:18ff.; Lu 3:1-11 ).

That heard John speak ( $\tau \omega v \alpha \kappa о v \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \omega v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mathrm{I} \omega \alpha v o v)$. "That heard from John," a classical idiom ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ with ablative after $\alpha \kappa о v \omega$ ) seen also in $6: 45 ; 7: 51 ; 8: 26,40 ; 15: 15$.

John 1:41
He findeth first ( $\varepsilon \cup \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \varepsilon ~ o v \tau о \varsigma \pi \rho \omega \tau \circ v$ ). "This one finds (vivid dramatic present) first" ( $\pi \rho o \tau \omega v$ ). Прот $\omega \nu$ (adverb supported by Aleph A B fam. 13) means that Andrew sought "his own brother Simon" ( $\left.\tau 0 v a \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o v \tau o v \operatorname{tov} \sum \iota \mu \omega \alpha\right)$ before he did anything else. But Aleph L W read $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ с (nominative adjective) which means that Andrew was the first who went after his brother implying that John also went after his brother James. Some old Latin manuscripts (b, e, r apparently), have $\mu a v \varepsilon$ for Greek $\pi \rho \omega$ (early in the morning). Bernard thinks that this is the true reading as it allows more time for Andrew to bring Simon to Jesus. Probably $\pi \rho \omega \tau 0 v$ is correct, but even so John likely brought also his brother James after Andrew's example.

We have found the Messiah (Hعvр $К \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \tau o v \operatorname{M\varepsilon \sigma \sigma \iota av).~First~aorist~active~indicative~}$ of $\varepsilon \cup \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$. Andrew and John had made the greatest discovery of the ages, far beyond gold or diamond mines. The Baptist had told about him. "We have seen him."

Which is (o $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. Same explanatory neuter relative as in verse 38 , "which word is." This Aramaic title Messiah is preserved in the N.T. only here and $4: 25$, elsewhere translated into X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma$, Anointed One, from $\chi \rho \iota \omega$, to anoint. See on Mt 1:1 for discussion.

John 1:42
Looked upon him $(\varepsilon \mu \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \alpha \varsigma \alpha v \tau \omega)$. See verse 36 for same word and form of John's eager gaze at Jesus. Luke uses this word of Jesus when Peter denied him (Lu 22:61).

He brought him ( $\eta \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Effective second aorist active indicative of $\alpha \gamma 0$ as if Andrew had to overcome some resistance on Simon's part.

Thou shalt be called Cephas ( $\sigma v \kappa \lambda \eta \forall \eta \sigma \eta К \eta \varphi a \varsigma$ ). Apparently before Simon spoke. We do not know whether Jesus had seen Simon before or not, but he at once gives him a nickname that will characterize him some day, though not yet, when he makes the noble confession (Mt 16:17f. ), and Jesus will say, "Thou art Peter." Here the future passive indicative of $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ is only prophecy. The Aramaic X $\eta \varphi \alpha \varsigma$ (rock) is only applied to Simon in John except by Paul (1Co 1:12; Ga $1: 18$, etc.). But the Greek Пع $\tau \rho \circ \varsigma$ is used by all. In the ancient Greek $\pi \varepsilon \tau \rho a$ was used for the massive ledge of rock like Stone Mountain while $\pi \varepsilon \tau \rho \circ \varsigma$ was a detached fragment of the ledge, though itself large. This distinction may exist in Mt 16:17f. , except that Jesus probably used Aramaic which would not have such a distinction.

John 1:43
On the morrow ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \pi \alpha u \rho i o v)$. The fourth of the days from verse 19.

He findeth Philip ( $\varepsilon \cup \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \varepsilon Ф \backslash \lambda \iota \pi \pi \circ v$ ). Vivid dramatic present as in 41 , though $\eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ (was minded, wished) is aorist active indicative. Apparently not an accidental finding, possibly due to the efforts of Andrew and Peter. Both Andrew and Philip have Greek names.

Follow me ( $\alpha \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o}$ ). Present active imperative, a direct challenge to Philip. Often Jesus uses this verb to win disciples (Mr 2:14; Mt 8:22; 9:21; 19:21; Lu 9:59; Joh 21:19 ). Already Jesus had four personal followers (Andrew and Simon, John and James). He has begun his work.

John 1:44
From Bethsaida ( $\alpha \pi \mathrm{B} \mathrm{B} \eta \quad \sigma \alpha \iota \delta \alpha$ ). Same expression in 12:21 with the added words "of Galilee," which locates it in Galilee, not in Iturea. There were two Bethsaidas, one called Bethsaida Julias in Iturea (that in $\mathrm{Lu} 9: 10$ ) or the Eastern Bethsaida, the other the Western Bethsaida in Galilee (Mr 6:45), perhaps somewhere near Capernaum. This is the town of Andrew and Peter and Philip. Hence Philip would be inclined to follow the example of his townsmen.

John 1:45
Philip findeth ( $\varepsilon v \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \varepsilon Ф \downarrow \lambda \iota \pi \pi \circ \varsigma)$. Dramatic present again. Philip carries on the work. One wins one. If that glorious beginning had only kept on! Now it takes a hundred to win one.

Nathaniel ( $\operatorname{cov} \operatorname{Na\theta ava\eta } \lambda$ ). It is a Hebrew name meaning "God has given" like the Greek $\Theta \varepsilon o \delta o \rho \varepsilon$ (Gift of God). He was from Cana of Galilee (Joh 21:2), not far from Bethsaida and so known to Philip. His name does not occur in the Synoptics while Bartholomew (a patronymic, Bar Tholmai) does not appear in John. They are almost certainly two names of the same man. Philip uses $\varepsilon v \rho \eta \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ (verse 41) also to Nathanael and so unites himself with the circle of believers, but instead of Meббıav describes him "of whom (ov accusative with $\varepsilon \gamma \rho a \psi \varepsilon v$ ) Moses in the law (De 18:15) and the prophets (so the whole O.T. as in Lu 24:27,44 ) did write."

Jesus of Nazareth the son of Joseph (I $\eta \sigma o u v$ viov tov $\operatorname{I} \omega \sigma \eta \varphi$ тоv $\alpha \pi о \mathrm{Na} \mathrm{\zeta} \mathrm{\alpha} \mathrm{\rho} \mathrm{\varepsilon} \mathrm{\tau)}$. exactly, "Jesus, son of Joseph, the one from Nazareth." Jesus passed as son (no article in the Greek) of Joseph, though John has just described him as "God-only Begotten" in verse 18, but certainly Philip could not know this. Bernard terms this part "the irony of St. John" for he is sure that his readers will agree with him as to the real deity of Jesus Christ. These details were probably meant to interest Nathanael.

John 1:46
Can any good thing come out of Nazareth? (Ек Naऍарєт $\delta v v a \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \alpha$ Oov $\varepsilon ı v a l ;)$. Literally, "Out of Nazareth can anything good be." There is a tinge of scorn in the question as if Nazareth (note position at beginning of sentence) had a bad name. Town rivalry may account to some extent for it since Cana (home of Nathanael) was near Nazareth. Clearly he had never heard of Jesus. The best thing in all the world came out of Nazareth, but Philip
does not argue the point. A saying had arisen that no prophet comes out of Galilee (Joh 7:52 ), untrue like many such sayings.

Come and see ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi$ ои $\kappa \alpha \downarrow \delta \varepsilon$ ). Present middle imperative (come on) and second active imperative (and see at once). Philip followed the method of Jesus with Andrew and John (verse 39), probably without knowing it. Wise is the one who knows how to deal with the sceptic.

John 1:47
Behold ( $1 \delta \varepsilon$ ). Here an exclamation (see 1:29) as often like $\delta \delta o u$.
An Israelite indeed ( $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \omega \varsigma$ I $\sigma \rho a \eta \lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau \eta \varsigma$ ). "Truly an Israelite," one living up to the covenant name, Israel at its best (Ro 2:29), without the guile ( $\delta 0 \lambda 0 \varsigma$, deceit, bait for fish, from $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \zeta \omega$, to catch with bait) that Jacob once had of which Isaac complained (Ge 27:35 , ठo $\lambda o \varsigma$, here in LXX). The servant of Jehovah was to be without guile (Isa 53:9).

John 1:48
Whence knowest thou me? ( $П о \theta \varepsilon \nu \mu \varepsilon \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \iota \sigma ;)$. Nathanael is astonished at this tribute, at any knowledge about himself by Jesus. He had overheard Christ's comment and longed to know its source.

Before Philip called thee ( $\Pi \rho о$ тov $\sigma \varepsilon \Phi \downarrow \lambda ı \pi \tau \nu \varphi \omega v \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). Idiomatic Greek, $\pi \rho o$ and the ablative case of the articular aorist active infinitive ( $\tau 0 v \varphi \omega v \eta \sigma \alpha$, from $\varphi \omega v \varepsilon \omega$, to call) with $\sigma \varepsilon$ as the object and $\Phi i \lambda \iota \pi \pi \sigma v$, the accusative of general reference, "before the calling thee as to Philip."

When thou wast under the fig tree (огта vпо $\tau \eta v$ бикๆv). "Being under the fig tree," accusative present participle agreeing with $\sigma \varepsilon$. The fig tree was a familiar object in Palestine, probably in leaf at this time, the accusative with vro may suggest that Nathanael had withdrawn there for prayer. Note genitive with $v \pi о к а \tau \omega$ in verse 50 . Jesus saw Nathanael's heart as well as his mere presence there. He saw him in his worship and so knew him.

John 1:49
Thou art the Son of God ( $\sigma v \varepsilon$ o vioc $\operatorname{\tau ov} \theta$ sou). Whether Nathanael had heard the Baptist say this of Jesus ( $1: 34$ ) we do not know, apparently not, but Nathanael was a student of the Old Testament as Philip implied ( 1:45) and was quick to put together his knowledge, the statement of Philip, and the manifest supernatural knowledge of Jesus as just shown. There is no reason for toning down the noble confession of Nathanael in the light of Christ's claim in verse 51. Cf. the confession of Peter in 6:69; Mt 16:16 and Martha's in Joh 11:27 . Nathanael goes further.

Thou art King of Israel (Baбi $1 \varepsilon v \varsigma \varepsilon$ tov I $\sigma \rho a \eta \lambda$ ). To us this seems an anti-climax, but not so to Nathanael for both are Messianic titles in Ps 2 and Jesus is greeted in the Triumphal Entry as the King of Israel (Joh 12:13 ).

John 1:50

Answered and said ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho \iota \forall \eta \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon v$ ). This redundant use of both verbs (cf. 1:26) occurs in the Synoptics also and in the LXX also. It is Aramaic also and vernacular. It is not proof of an Aramaic original as Burney argues (Aramaic Origin, etc., p. 53).

Because (o $\tau$ ). Causal use of o $\tau$ at beginning of the sentence as in 14:19; 15:19; 16:6. The second ot before $\varepsilon \iota \delta o v$ (I saw) is either declarative (that) or merely recitative (either makes sense here).

Thou shalt see greater things than these ( $\mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta \omega \tau o v \tau \omega \nu$ о $\psi \eta$ ). Perhaps volitive future middle indicative of o $\rho \alpha \omega$ (though merely futuristic is possible as with $o \psi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ in 51 ) ablative case of $\tau 0 v \tau \omega \nu$ after the comparative adjective $\mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta \omega$. The wonder of Nathanael no doubt grew as Jesus went on.

John 1:51
Verily, Verily (A $\mu \eta \nu, \alpha \mu \eta \nu$ ). Hebrew word transliterated into Greek and then into English, our "amen." John always repeats it, not singly as in the Synoptics, and only in the words of Jesus, an illustration of Christ's authoritative manner of speaking as shown also by $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega v \mu \nu \nu$ (I say unto you). Note plural $v \mu \iota v$ though $\alpha v \tau \omega$ just before is singular (to him). Jesus addresses thus others besides Nathanael.

The heaven opened ( $\tau 0 v$ ovpavov ave $\omega \iota \gamma \sigma \tau \alpha$ ). Second perfect active participle of $\alpha v o r \gamma \omega$ with double reduplication, standing open. The words remind one of what took place at the baptism of Jesus (Mt 3:16; Lu 3:21), but the immediate reference is to the opened heaven as the symbol of free intercourse between God and man (Isa 64:1) and as it was later illustrated in the death of Stephen (Ac 7:56 ). There is a quotation from Ge 28:12f. , Jacob's vision at Bethel. That was a dream to Jacob, but Christ is himself the bond of fellowship between heaven and earth, between God and man, for Jesus is both "the Son of God" as Nathanael said and "the Son of Man" ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \operatorname{\tau } \boldsymbol{v} v \iota v \tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v)$ as Jesus here calls himself. God and man meet in Christ. He is the true Jacob's Ladder. "I am the Way," Jesus will say. He is more than King of Israel, he is the Son of Man (the race). So quickly has this Gospel brought out in the witness of the Baptist, the faith of the first disciples, the claims of Jesus Christ, the fully developed picture of the Logos who is both God and man, moving among men and winning them to his service. At the close of the ministry Christ will tell Caiaphas that he will see the Son of Man sitting at the right hand of power and coming with the clouds of heaven (Mr 14:62). Here at the start Jesus is conscious of the final culmination and in apocalyptic eschatological language that we do not fully understand he sets forth the dignity and majesty of his Person.

## John 2

John 2:1
The third day ( $\tau \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \tau \eta \tau \rho \iota \tau \eta$ ). "On the day the third" (locative case), from the start to Galilee when Philip was found ( 1:43), seven days since 1:19.

There was a marriage ( $\gamma \alpha \mu \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau о$ ). "A wedding (or marriage festival) took place." See on Mt 22:8.

In Cana of Galilee ( $\varepsilon v$ K $\left.\alpha v \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \Gamma \alpha \lambda_{\iota} \lambda \alpha ı \alpha\right)$ ). This town, the home of Nathanael ( 21:2), is only mentioned again in 4:46 as the home of the nobleman. There was a Cana in CoeleSyria. It is usually located at Kefr Kenna ( $31 / 2$ miles from Nazareth), though Ain Kana and Khirbet Kana are also possible. Bernard thinks that it was probably on Wednesday afternoon the fourth day of the week (usual day for marriage of virgins), when the party of Jesus arrived.

And the mother of Jesus was there ( $\kappa \alpha \eta \nu \eta \mu \eta \tau \eta \rho$ тov I $\eta \sigma \circ v \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon$ ). When they arrived. John does not mention her name, probably because already well known in the Synoptics. Probably Joseph was already dead. Mary may have been kin to the family where the wedding took place, an intimate friend clearly.

John 2:2
Jesus also was bidden ( $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \eta \kappa \alpha$ o I $\eta \sigma o v \varsigma)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, "was also invited" as well as his mother and because of her presence, possibly at her suggestion.

And his disciples ( $\kappa \alpha$ о $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Included in the invitation and probably all of them acquaintances of the family. See on 1:35 for this word applied to John's followers. This group of six already won form the nucleus of the great host of "learners" through the ages who will follow Jesus as Teacher and Lord and Saviour. The term is sometimes restricted to the twelve apostles, but more often has a wider circle in view as in Joh 6:61,66; 20:30 .

John 2:3
When the wine failed (vбזєр $\quad \sigma \alpha v \tau o \varsigma ~ o w v o v)$. Genitive absolute with first aorist active participle of $v \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb from vote $\rho$ os, late or lacking. See same use in Mr 10:21 . A longer Western paraphrase occurs in some manuscripts. It was an embarrassing circumstance, especially to Mary, if partly due to the arrival of the seven guests.

They have no wine (Otvov ouk e $\chi$ ouovv). The statement of the fact was in itself a hint and a request. But why made by the mother of Jesus and why to Jesus? She would not, of course, make it to the host. Mary feels some kind of responsibility and exercises some kind of authority for reasons not known to us. Mary had treasured in her heart the wonders connected with the birth of Jesus (Lu 2:19,51). The ministry of the Baptist had stirred her hopes afresh. Had she not told Jesus all that she knew before he went to the Jordan to be baptized of John? This group of disciples meant to her that Jesus had begun his Messianic work. So she dares propose the miracle to him.

John 2:4
Woman ( $\gamma \cup v \alpha$ ). Vocative case of $\gamma \cup v \eta$, and with no idea of censure as is plain from its use by Jesus in 19:26. But the use of $\gamma v v a$ instead of $\mu \eta \tau \varepsilon \rho$ (Mother) does show her she can no longer exercise maternal authority and not at all in his Messianic work. That is always a difficult lesson for mothers and fathers to learn, when to let go.

What have I to do with thee? (T $\varepsilon \mu \circ \kappa \alpha \sigma o \iota ;)$. There are a number of examples of this ethical dative in the LXX (Jud 11:12; 2Sa 16:10; 1Ki 17:18; 2Ki 3:13; 2Ch 35:21) and in the N.T. (Mr 1:24; 5:7; Mt 8:29; 27:19; Lu 8:28). Some divergence of thought is usually indicated. Literally the phrase means, "What is it to me and to thee?" In this instance F.C. Burkitt (Journal of Theol. Studies, July, 1912) interprets it to mean, "What is it to us?" That is certainly possible and suits the next clause also.

Mine hour is not yet come ( $0 v \pi \omega \eta \kappa \varepsilon \eta \omega \rho \alpha \mu \circ v$ ). This phrase marks a crisis whenever it occurs, especially of his death $(7: 30 ; 8: 20 ; 12: 23 ; 13: 1 ; 17: 1)$. Here apparently it means the hour for public manifestation of the Messiahship, though a narrower sense would be for Christ's intervention about the failure of the wine. The Fourth Gospel is written on the plane of eternity (W. M. Ramsay) and that standpoint exists here in this first sign of the Messiah.

John 2:5
Unto the servants ( $\tau$ oıs $\delta$ ıккоvoıৎ). See on Mt 20:26 for this word (our "deacon," but not that sense here).

Whatsoever he saith unto you, do it (Ho $\operatorname{\alpha } \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \eta v \mu \nu \tau \sigma \circ \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Indefinite relative sentence (o $\tau \alpha v$ and present active subjunctive, general statement) with aorist active imperative of $\pi \sigma \varepsilon \omega \omega$ for instant execution. Mary took comfort in the "not yet" (ov $\pi \omega$ ) and recognized the right of Jesus as Messiah to independence of her, but evidently expected him to carry out her suggestion ultimately as he did. This mother knew her Son.

John 2:6
Waterpots ( $v \delta \rho \iota \alpha$ ). Old word from $v \delta \omega \rho$ (water) and used in papyri for pots or pans for holding money or bread as well as water. These stone ( $\lambda_{\imath} \theta \iota v \alpha$ as in 2Co 3:3) jars full of water were kept handy ( set there , $\kappa \varepsilon \mu \mu \varepsilon \alpha$, present middle participle of $\kappa \varepsilon \mu \mu \alpha$ ) at a feast for ceremonial cleansing of the hands ( $2 \mathrm{Ki} 3: 11$; Mr 7:3 ), "after the Jews' manner of purifying"
 $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho ı \zeta \omega)$ which fact also raised a controversy with disciples of John because of his baptizing (Joh 3:25 ).

Containing ( $\chi \omega \rho \circ v \sigma \alpha$ ). Present active participle feminine plural of $\chi \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb from $\chi \omega \rho \circ \varsigma$, place, space, having space or room for.

Two or three firkins apiece ( $\alpha v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \eta \tau \alpha \varsigma \delta v o \eta \tau \rho \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. The word $\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \eta \tau \eta \varsigma$, from $\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to measure, simply means "measurer," an amphora for measuring liquids (in Demosthenes, Aristotle, Polybius), the Hebrew bath (2Ch 4:5 ), here only in N.T., about $81 / 2$ English gallons. Each vסpıa thus held about 20 gallons. This common distributive use of
$\alpha v \alpha$ occurs here only in this Gospel, but is in Re 4:8. In Joh 4:28 a much smaller vסpıa was used for carrying water.

John 2:7
Fill ( $\gamma \varepsilon \mu / \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Effective first aorist active imperative of $\gamma \varepsilon \mu \iota \zeta \omega$, to fill full.
With water (vסatoc). Genitive case of material.
Up to the brim ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha v \omega$ ). "Up to the top." See $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \omega$ (Mt 27:51) for "down to the bottom." No room left in the waterpots now full of water.

John 2:8
Draw out now (Av$\tau \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon v v v)$. First aorist active imperative of $\alpha v \tau \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, from o $\alpha v \tau \lambda o$, bilge water, or the hold where the bilge water settles (so in Homer). The verb occurs in Joh 4:7,15, for drawing water from the well, and Westcott so interprets it here, but needlessly so, since the servants seem bidden to draw from the large water-jars now full of water. Apparently the water was still water when it came out of the jars (verse 9), but was changed to wine before reaching the guests. The water in the jars remained water.

Unto the ruler of the feast ( $\tau \omega \alpha \rho \chi ı \rho \rho \kappa \lambda \iota \nu \omega)$. Dative case. The $\tau \rho ı \kappa \lambda \iota \nu o s$ was a room
 intendent of the dining-room who arranged the couches and tasted the food, not the toastmaster ( $\sigma \nu \mu \pi о \sigma ı \rho \chi \chi \eta \varsigma)$.

And they bare it (o $\delta \varepsilon \eta \nu \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$. Apparently not knowing at first that they bore wine.

John 2:9
Tasted ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \cup \sigma \alpha \tau 0)$. First aorist middle indicative of $\gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha$. As it was his function to do.

The water now become wine ( $\tau 0 v \delta \omega \rho$ oıvov $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Accusative case, though the genitive also occurs with $\gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha$. Perfect passive participle of $\gamma ו v o \mu \alpha$ and oıvov, predicative accusative. The tablemaster knew nothing of the miracle, "whence it was" ( $\pi \circ \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$, indirect question retaining present indicative). The servants knew the source of the water, but not the power that made the wine.

Calleth the bridegroom ( $\varphi \omega \nu \varepsilon \tau \circ \nu v \nu \mu \varphi \vdash v$ ). As apparently responsible for the supply of the wine ( thou hast kept $\tau \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho \eta \kappa а \varsigma)$. See Mt 9:15 for $v v \mu \varphi \stackrel{\varsigma}{ }$. When men have drunk freely (o o $\alpha v \mu \varepsilon \theta v \sigma \theta \omega \sigma v$ ). Indefinite temporal clause with o $\tau \alpha \nu$ and first aorist passive subjunctive of $\mu \varepsilon \theta v \sigma \kappa \omega$. The verb does not mean that these guests are now drunk, but that this is a common custom to put "the worse" ( $\tau 0 v \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, the less, the inferior) wine last. It is real wine that is meant by orvoc here. Unlike the Baptist Jesus mingled in the social life of the time, was even abused for it (Mt 11:19; Lu 7:34). But this fact does not mean that today Jesus would approve the modern liquor trade with its damnable influences. The law of love expounded by Paul in 1Co 8-10 and in Ro 14,15 teaches modern Christians to be willing gladly to give up what they see causes so many to stumble into sin.

John 2:11
This beginning of his signs did Jesus ( $\tau \alpha v \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \pi o \iota \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu \alpha \rho \chi \eta \nu \tau \omega \nu \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \omega \nu$ o I $\eta \sigma o v \varsigma$ ). Rather, "this Jesus did as a beginning of his signs," for there is no article between $\tau \alpha v \tau \eta v$ and $\alpha \rho \chi \eta \nu$. "We have now passed from the 'witness' of the Baptist to the 'witness' of the works of Jesus" (Bernard). This is John's favourite word "signs" rather than wonders ( $\tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \tau \alpha$ ) or powers ( $\delta v \nu \alpha \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$ for the works ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$ ) of Jesus. $\Sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota o v$ is an old word from $\sigma \eta \mu \alpha ı \nu \omega$, to give a sign ( $12: 33$ ). He selects eight in his Gospel by which to prove the deity of Christ ( $20: 30$ ) of which this is the first.

Manifested his glory ( $\varepsilon \varphi \alpha \nu \varepsilon \rho \omega \sigma \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \nu \delta o \xi \alpha \nu \alpha v \tau o v$ ). First aorist (effective) active indicative of $\varphi a v \varepsilon \rho \circ \omega$, that glory of which John spoke in 1:14.

Believed on him ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \nu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \alpha v \tau o v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$, to believe, to put trust in, so common in John. These six disciples (learners) had already believed in Jesus as the Messiah ( $1: 35-51$ ). Now their faith was greatly strengthened. So it will be all through this Gospel. Jesus will increasingly reveal himself while the disciples will grow in knowledge and trust and the Jews will become increasingly hostile till the culmination.

John 2:12
He went down to Capernaum ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \beta \eta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma К \alpha \varphi \alpha \rho v \alpha о \cup \mu \alpha \nu \tau о \varsigma)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \iota v \omega$. Cana was on higher ground. This brief stay ( not many days, ov $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho a \varsigma)$ in this important city (Tell Hum) on the north shore of Galilee was with Christ's mother, brothers (apparently friendly at first) and the six disciples, all in the fresh glow of the glory manifested at Cana. Surely Mary's heart was full.

John 2:13
The passover of the Jews ( $\tau 0 \pi \alpha \sigma \chi \alpha \tau \omega v$ Iov $\alpha \alpha \iota \omega \nu$ ). The Synoptics do not give "of the Jews," but John is writing after the destruction of the temple and for Gentile readers. John mentions the passovers in Christ's ministry outside of the one when Christ was crucified, this one and one in 6:4. There may be another ( $5: 1$ ), but we do not know. But for John we should not know that Christ's ministry was much over a year in length.

John 2:14
Those that sold ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma \pi \omega \lambda o v v \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Present active articular participle of $\pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to sell. They were in the Court of the Gentiles within the temple precinct ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega$ ), but not in the $v a o s$ or temple proper. The sacrifices required animals (oxen, $\beta \circ \alpha \varsigma$, sheep, $\pi \rho \circ \beta \alpha \tau \alpha$, doves, $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma)$ and "changers of money" (кєр $\mu \alpha \tau \sigma \tau \alpha \varsigma$, from $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, to cut into small pieces, to change money, only here in N.T., late and rare). Probably their very presence in his Father's house angered Jesus. The Synoptics (Mr 11:15-17; Mt 21:12f.; Lu 10:45f. ) record a similar incident the day after the Triumphal Entry. If there was only one, it would seem more natural at the close. But why could it not occur at the beginning also? Here it is an obvious protest by Christ at the beginning of his ministry as in the Synoptics it is an indignant outcry against the desecration. The cessation was only temporary in both instances.

John 2：15
A scourge of cords（ $\varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \iota v \varepsilon \kappa \sigma \chi \circ \iota \nu \iota \omega \nu$ ）．The Latin flagellum．In papyri，here only in N．T．and note Latin $l$ becomes $\rho$ in Koine．$\Sigma \chi o \iota \nu \omega \nu$ is a diminutive of $\sigma \chi o \iota v o \varsigma ~(a ~ r u s h), ~$ old word for rope，in N．T．only here and Ac 27：32 ．

Cast out（ $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \nu)$ ．Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ ．It is not said that Jesus smote the sheep and oxen（note $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ，both and），for a flourish of the scourge would answer．

He poured out（ $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \varepsilon v$ ）．Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \chi \varepsilon \omega$ ，to pour out．
The changers＇money（ $\tau \omega \nu \kappa о \lambda \lambda \nu \beta \iota \sigma \tau \omega \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ）．＂The small pieces of money （ $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ，cut in pieces，change）of the bankers（ $\kappa о \lambda \nu \beta \iota \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma$ from ко $\lambda \lambda \nu \beta \circ \varsigma$ ，clipped，late word see on Mt 21：12 ）．＂Perhaps he took up the boxes and emptied the money．

Overthrew their tables（ $\tau \alpha \varsigma \tau \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \zeta \alpha \varsigma \alpha \nu \varepsilon \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon v$ ）．First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \nu \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega$ ，to turn up，though some MSS．have $\alpha v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon \nu$ from $\alpha \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$ ，also to turn up．

John 2：16
Take these things hence（A $\rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha v \tau \alpha \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon v)$ ．First aorist active imperative of $\alpha \iota \rho \omega$ ． Probably the doves were in baskets or cages and so had to be taken out by the traders．

Make not my Father＇s house a house of merchandise（ $\mu \eta \pi \circ \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \tau \circ v$ оєкоv $\tau \circ v \pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma$ $\mu \circ v$ оוко $v \varepsilon \mu \pi о \rho เ o v)$ ．＂Stop making，＂it means，$\mu \eta$ and the present active imperative．They had made it a market－house（ $\varepsilon \mu \pi \sigma \rho \iota o v$, here only in N．T．，old word from $\varepsilon \mu \pi \sigma \rho \circ \varsigma$ ，merchant， one who goes on a journey for traffic，a drummer）．Note the clear－cut Messianic claim here （My Father as in Lu 2：49）．Jerome says：＂A certain fiery and starry light shone from his eyes and the majesty of Godhead gleamed in His face．＂

John 2：17
Remembered（ $\varepsilon \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu)$ ．First aorist passive indicative of $\mu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$ ，to remind， ＂were reminded．＂Westcott notes the double effect of this act as is true of Christ＇s words and deeds all through John＇s Gospel．The disciples are helped，the traders are angered．

That it is written（ $o \tau \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon v o v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ）．Periphrastic perfect passive indicative of $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$ retained in indirect discourse（assertion）．

The zeal of thine house（о そך入oऽ 兀ov oıкov oov）．Objective genitive．＂The zeal for thy house．＂

Shall eat me up（ $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varphi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon)$ ．Future middle indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \theta \iota \omega$ ，defective verb， to eat down（＂up＂we say），perfective use of кат $\alpha$－．This future $\varphi \alpha \gamma о \mu \alpha$ is from the second aorist $\varepsilon \varphi a \gamma \circ v$ ．It is a quotation from Ps 69：9，frequently quoted in the N．T．

John 2：18
What sign shewest thou unto us？（T $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota v v \delta \varepsilon ı \kappa v v \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \eta \mu v$ ；）．They may have heard of the＂sign＂at Cana or not，but they have rallied a bit on the outside of the temple area and demand proof for his Messianic assumption of authority over the temple worship．These
traders had paid the Sadducees and Pharisees in the Sanhedrin for the concession as traffickers which they enjoyed. They were within their technical rights in this question.

John 2:19
Destroy this temple ( $\lambda v \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ $\tau 0 v v \alpha o v \tau o v \tau o v$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\lambda v \omega$, to loosen or destroy. It is the permissive imperative, not a command to do it. Note also vaoc, not $\varepsilon \rho \rho o v$, the sanctuary, symbol of God's vaoc, in our hearts (1Co 3:16f. ). There is much confusion about this language since Jesus added: "And in three days I will raise it up" ( $\kappa \alpha$ $\varepsilon \nu \tau \rho \iota \sigma \iota \downarrow \eta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \rho \omega \alpha \cup \tau о v)$. Those who heard Jesus, including the disciples till after the resurrection (verse 22), understood the reference to be to Herod's temple. Certainly that is the obvious way to take it. But Jesus often spoke in parables and even in enigmas. He may have spoken of the literal temple as a parable for his own body which of course they would not understand, least of all the resurrection in three days.

John 2:20
Forty and six years was this temple in building (Тєббєракоข $\alpha \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ оєкобо $\mu \eta \eta \eta$ o vaoc ovtoc). "Within forty and six years (associative instrumental case) was built (first aorist passive indicative, constative or summary use of the aorist, of o七ко $\delta \circ \mu \varepsilon \omega$, without augment) this temple." As a matter of fact, it was not yet finished, so distrustful had the Jews been of Herod.

And wilt thou? ( $\kappa \alpha \sigma v$;). An evident sneer in the use of $\sigma v$ (thou, an unknown upstart from Galilee, of the peasant class, not one of the Sanhedrin, not one of the ecclesiastics or even architects).

John 2:21
But he spake of the temple of his body ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ \nu \circ \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \pi \varepsilon \rho \tau 0 v$ vaov $\tau 0 v \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \circ$, avtov). Emphatic he ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \iota v o \varsigma) ~ a n d ~ i m p e r f e c t ~ t e n s e ~(h e ~ h a d ~ b e e n ~ s p e a k i n g) . ~ T h i s ~ i s ~ J o h n ' s ~$ view as he looks back at it, not what he understood when Jesus spoke the words.

John 2:22
When therefore he was raised from the dead (Нотє ouv $\eta \gamma \varepsilon \rho \theta \eta \varepsilon \kappa \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega \nu$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$, to raise up. And not at first then, but only slowly after the disciples themselves were convinced. Then "they believed the Scripture" ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \eta$ $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta$ ). They "believed" again. Dative case $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta$. Probably Ps 16:10 is meant (Ac 2:31; 13:35).

And the word which Jesus had said ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \omega \lambda о \gamma \omega$ ov $\varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon \nu$ ). Dative case $\lambda о \gamma \omega$ also, but $o v$ (relative) is not attracted to the dative. Clearly then John interprets Jesus to have a parabolic reference to his death and resurrection by his language in $2: 19$. There are those who bluntly say that John was mistaken. I prefer to say that these scholars are mistaken. Even Bernard considers it "hardly possible" that John interprets Jesus rightly in 1:21. "Had he meant that, He would have spoken with less ambiguity." But how do we know that Jesus wished to be understood clearly at this time? Certainly no one understood Christ when he
spoke the words. The language of Jesus is recalled and perverted at his trial as "I will destroy" (Mr 14:58), "I can destroy" (Mt 26:61), neither of which he said.

John 2:23
In Jerusalem ( $\varepsilon v$ тоья Iع $\rho о \sigma о \lambda \nu \mu о \iota$ ). The form I $\varepsilon \rho о \sigma о \lambda \nu \mu \alpha$ as in 2:13 always in this Gospel and in Mark, and usually in Matthew, though I $\varepsilon \rho \circ 0 \sigma \alpha \lambda \eta \mu$ only in Revelation, and both forms by Luke and Paul.

During the feast ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \varepsilon o \rho \tau \eta$ ). The feast of unleavened bread followed for seven days right after the passover (one day strictly), though to $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \alpha$ is used either for the passover meal or for the whole eight days.

Believed on his name ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma a v ~ \varepsilon ء \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ o v o \mu \alpha ~ \alpha u \tau o u) . ~ S e e ~ o n ~ 1: 12 ~ f o r ~ t h i s ~ p h r a s e . ~$ Only one has to watch for the real import of $\pi\llcorner\tau \tau v \omega$.

Beholding his signs ( $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \circ \cup v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ av $\tau \circ \cup \tau \alpha ~ \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon ı \alpha$ ). Present active participle (causal use) of $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$.

Which he did ( $\alpha$ ع $\pi 0 เ \varepsilon$ ). "Which he was doing" (imperfect tense). He did his first sign in Cana, but now he was doing many in Jerusalem. Already Jesus had become the cynosure of all eyes in Jerusalem at this first visit in his ministry.

John 2:24
 "But Jesus himself kept on refusing (negative imperfect) to trust himself to them." The double use of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$ here is shown by Ac 8:13 where Simon Magus "believed" ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v$ ) and was baptized, but was unsaved. He merely believed that he wanted what Philip had.

For that he knew all men ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ to avtov $\gamma เ v \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon เ v \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Causal use of $\delta ı \alpha$ and the accusative case of the articular infinitive to $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon เ v$ (because of the knowing) with the object of the infinitive ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma$, all men) and the accusative of general reference (av $\tau \circ v$, as to himself).

John 2:25
And because he needed not ( $\kappa \alpha$ от $\chi \rho \varepsilon ı \alpha v \varepsilon \chi \chi \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active, "and because he did not have need."

That any one should bear witness concerning man (ıva $\tau \varsigma \varsigma \mu \rho \tau \cup \rho \eta \sigma \eta \pi \varepsilon \rho$ тov $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v)$. Non-final use of $\operatorname{v\alpha } \alpha$ with first aorist active subjunctive of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \omega$ and the generic article ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ tov $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ ) concerning mankind as in the next clause also.

For he himself knew (avtos $\gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active, "for he himself kept on knowing" as he did from the start.

What was in man ( $\tau \eta v \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega$ ). Indirect question with $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ of the direct changed to the imperfect $\eta \nu$, a rare idiom in the Koine. This supernatural knowledge of man is a mark of deity. Some men of genius can read men better than others, but not in the sense meant here.

## John 3

John 3:1
Now ( $\delta \varepsilon$ ). So often in John $\delta \varepsilon$ is explanatory and transitional, not adversative. Nicodemus is an instance of Christ's knowledge of men (2:25) and of one to whom he did trust himself unlike those in 2:24. As a Pharisee "he belonged to that party which with all its bigotry contained a salt of true patriotism and could rear such cultured and high-toned men as Gamaliel and Paul" (Marcus Dods).

Named Nicodemus (Nıко $\delta \eta \mu$ ос оvo $\mu$ ). Same construction as in 1:6, "Nicodemus name to him." So Re 6:8 . It is a Greek name and occurs in Josephus (Ant. XIV. iii. 2) as the name of an ambassador from Aristobulus to Pompey. Only in John in N.T. (here, 7:50; 19:39 ). He was a Pharisee, a member of the Sanhedrin, and wealthy. There is no evidence that he was the young ruler of $\mathrm{Lu} 18: 18$ because of $\alpha \rho \chi \omega \nu$ (ruler) here.

John 3:2
The same (outoc). "This one."
By night (vuктos). Genitive of time. That he came at all is remarkable, not because there was any danger as was true at a later period, but because of his own prominence. He wished to avoid comment by other members of the Sanhedrin and others. Jesus had already provoked the opposition of the ecclesiastics by his assumption of Messianic authority over the temple. There is no ground for assigning this incident to a later period, for it suits perfectly here. Jesus was already in the public eye ( $2: 23$ ) and the interest of Nicodemus was real and yet he wished to be cautious.

Rabbi (Paßßع). See on 1:38. Technically Jesus was not an acknowledged Rabbi of the schools, but Nicodemus does recognize him as such and calls him "My Master" just as Andrew and John did ( $1: 38$ ). It was a long step for Nicodemus as a Pharisee to take, for the Pharisees had closely scrutinized the credentials of the Baptist in 1:19-24 (Milligan and Moulton's Comm.).

We know ( $o \delta \delta \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Second perfect indicative first person plural. He seems to speak for others of his class as the blind man does in 9:31. Westcott thinks that Nicodemus has been influenced partly by the report of the commission sent to the Baptist ( 1:19-27).

Thou art a teacher come from God ( $\alpha \pi о$ $\theta \varepsilon o v \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \cup \theta \alpha \varsigma \delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda о \varsigma)$. "Thou hast come from God as a teacher." Second perfect active indicative of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ and predicative nominative $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda o c$. This is the explanation of Nicodemus for coming to Jesus, obscure Galilean peasant as he seemed, evidence that satisfied one of the leaders in Pharisaism.

Can do ( $\delta u v a t \alpha \pi o เ \varepsilon เ v)$. "Can go on doing" (present active infinitive of $\pi \circ เ \varepsilon \omega$ and so linear).

These signs that thou doest ( $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \alpha \alpha \sigma \sigma \pi o เ \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Those mentioned in 2:23 that convinced so many in the crowd and that now appeal to the scholar. Note $\sigma v$ (thou) as
quite out of the ordinary. The scorn of Jesus by the rulers held many back to the end (Joh 12:42 ), but Nicodemus dares to feel his way.

Except God be with him ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta \eta$ o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Condition of the third class, presented as a probability, not as a definite fact. He wanted to know more of the teaching accredited thus by God. Jesus went about doing good because God was with him, Peter says (Ac 10:38).

John 3:3
Except a man be born anew ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta \tau \iota \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon v v \eta \theta \eta \alpha v \omega \theta \varepsilon v)$. Another condition of the third class, undetermined but with prospect of determination. First aorist passive subjunctive of $\gamma \varepsilon v v a \omega$. A $\omega \omega \theta \varepsilon v$. Originally "from above" (Mr 15:38) , then "from heaven" (Joh 3:31), then "from the first" ( $\operatorname{Lu} 1: 3$ ), and then "again" ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \alpha v \omega \theta \varepsilon v, G a 4: 9$ ). Which is the meaning here? The puzzle of Nicodemus shows ( $\delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho o v$, verse 4) that he took it as "again," a second birth from the womb. The Vulgate translates it by renatus fuerit denuo. But the misapprehension of Nicodemus does not prove the meaning of Jesus. In the other passages in John ( $3: 31 ; 19: 11,23$ ) the meaning is "from above" ( $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \cup \pi \varepsilon \rho$ ) and usually so in the Synoptics. It is a second birth, to be sure, regeneration, but a birth from above by the Spirit.

He cannot see the kingdom of God (ou $\delta u v a \tau \alpha ~ i \delta \varepsilon เ v ~ \tau \eta v ~ \beta a \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon ı \alpha \nu ~ \tau o v ~ \theta \varepsilon o v) . ~ T o ~$ participate in it as in Lu 9:27. For this use of $\mathrm{\delta} \delta \iota \nu$ (second aorist active infinitive of opaw) see Joh 8:51; Re 18:7.

John 3:4
Being old ( $\gamma \varepsilon \rho \omega \nu \omega \nu$ ). Nicodemus was probably familiar with the notion of re-birth for proselytes to Judaism for the Gentiles, but not with the idea that a Jew had to be reborn. But "this stupid misunderstanding" (Bernard) of the meaning of Jesus is precisely what John represents Nicodemus as making. How "old" Nicodemus was we do not know, but surely too old to be the young ruler of Lu 18:18 as Bacon holds. The blunder of Nicodemus is emphasized by the second question with the $\mu \eta$ expecting the negative answer. The use of סcutzpov adds to the grotesqueness of his blunder. The learned Pharisee is as jejune in spiritual insight as the veriest tyro. This is not an unheard of phenomenon.

John 3:5
Of water and the Spirit ( $\varepsilon \xi$ v $\delta \alpha \tau о \varsigma \kappa \alpha \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma)$. Nicodemus had failed utterly to grasp the idea of the spiritual birth as essential to entrance into the Kingdom of God. He knew only Jews as members of that kingdom, the political kingdom of Pharisaic hope which was to make all the world Jewish (Pharisaic) under the King Messiah. Why does Jesus add $\varepsilon \xi \cup \delta a \tau o c h e r e$ ? In verse 3 we have "av $\omega \theta \varepsilon v$ " (from above) which is repeated in verse 7 , while in verse 8 we have only $\varepsilon \kappa$ tov $\pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu a \tau o c$ (of the Spirit) in the best manuscripts. Many theories exist. One view makes baptism, referred to by $\varepsilon \xi$ v $\delta \alpha \tau 0 \varsigma$ (coming up out of water), essential to the birth of the Spirit, as the means of obtaining the new birth of the Spirit. If so, why is water mentioned only once in the three demands of Jesus (3,5,7) ? Calvin makes
water and Spirit refer to the one act (the cleansing work of the Spirit). Some insist on the language in verse 6 as meaning the birth of the flesh coming in a sac of water in contrast to the birth of the Spirit. One wonders after all what was the precise purpose of Jesus with Nicodemus, the Pharisaic ceremonialist, who had failed to grasp the idea of spiritual birth which is a commonplace to us. By using water (the symbol before the thing signified) first and adding Spirit, he may have hoped to turn the mind of Nicodemus away from mere physical birth and, by pointing to the baptism of John on confession of sin which the Pharisees had rejected, to turn his attention to the birth from above by the Spirit. That is to say the mention of "water" here may have been for the purpose of helping Nicodemus without laying down a fundamental principle of salvation as being by means of baptism. Bernard holds that the words vঠatoৎ ка (water and) do not belong to the words of Jesus, but "are a gloss, added to bring the saying of Jesus into harmony with the belief and practice of a later generation." Here Jesus uses $\varepsilon \iota \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \iota v$ (enter) instead of $\iota \varepsilon \varepsilon \imath$ (see) of verse 3, but with the same essential idea (participation in the kingdom).

John 3:6
That which is born ( $\tau 0 \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \nu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Perfect passive articular participle. The sharp contrast between flesh $(\sigma \alpha \rho \xi)$ and Spirit ( $\pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha)$, drawn already in $1: 13$, serves to remind Nicodemus of the crudity of his question in $3: 4$ about a second physical birth.

John 3:7
Marvel not ( $\mu \eta \theta \alpha v \mu \alpha \sigma \eta \iota \varsigma)$. "Do not begin to wonder" (ingressive first aorist active subjunctive with $\mu \eta$ ), as clearly Nicodemus had done. In John the word $\theta \alpha v \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$ usually means "unintelligent wonder" (Bernard).

Ye must be born anew ( $\delta \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon \nu \nu \eta \theta \eta \nu \alpha \alpha \nu \omega \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Jesus repeats the point in verse 3 ( $\delta \varepsilon$ and the infinitive instead of $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \mu \eta$ and the subjunctive) with $\alpha v \omega \theta \varepsilon \nu$ (from above) only and not $\varepsilon \xi \cup \delta \alpha \tau o \varsigma$.

John 3:8
The wind ( $\tau 0 \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ ). In Greek $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ means either wind or spirit as spiritus does in Latin (so also in Hebrew and Syriac). Wycliff follows the Latin and keeps spirit here and Marcus Dods argues for it. The word $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu a$ occurs 370 times in the N.T. and never means wind elsewhere except in a quotation from the O.T. (Heb 1:7 from Ps 104:4), though common in the LXX. On the other hand $\pi \nu \varepsilon \omega$ (bloweth, $\pi \nu \varepsilon$ ) occurs five times elsewhere in the N.T. and always of the wind (like Joh 6:18 ). So $\varphi \omega \vee \eta$ can be either sound (as of wind) or voice (as of the Spirit). In simple truth either sense of $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ can be taken here as one wills. Tholuck thinks that the night-wind swept through the narrow street as Jesus spoke. In either case the etymology of $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ is "wind" from $\pi \nu \varepsilon \omega$, to blow. The Spirit is the use of $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu a$ as metaphor. Certainly the conclusion "of the Spirit" is a direct reference to the Holy Spirit who works his own way beyond our comprehension even as men even yet do not know the law of the wind.

John 3:9
How? ( $\Pi \omega \sigma ;$ ) Nicodemus is not helped either by the use of $v \delta \omega \rho$ or $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ to understand $\delta \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \nu \nu \eta \theta \eta v \alpha \alpha \nu \omega \theta \varepsilon v$ (the necessity of the birth from above or regeneration). He falls back into his "stupid misunderstanding." There are none so dull as those who will not see. Preoccupation prevents insight. Literally one must often empty his mind to receive new truth.

John 3:10
The teacher of Israel (o $\delta \iota \delta \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda$ оऽ $\operatorname{\tau ov} \operatorname{I\sigma } \rho a \eta \lambda$ ). The well-known or the authorized (the accepted) teacher of the Israel of God. Note both articles.

And understandest not these things? ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha$ ov $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \iota \sigma ;$ ). After being told by Jesus and after so propitious a start. His Pharisaic theology had made him almost proof against spiritual apprehension. It was outside of his groove (rote, rut, rot, the three terrible r's of mere traditionalism).

John 3:11
We speak that we do know (o oı $\delta \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \lambda \alpha \lambda o v \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Jesus simply claims knowledge of what he has tried to make plain to the famous Rabbi without success. John uses $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ some 60 times, half of them by Jesus, very little distinction existing between the use of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ and $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ in John. Originally $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ referred to the chatter of birds. Note John's frequent use of $\alpha \mu \eta \nu \alpha \mu \eta \nu$ and $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ (double emphasis).

And bear witness of that we have seen ( $\kappa \alpha о \varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho о \nu \mu \varepsilon v$ ). The same use of neuter singular relative o as before. Perfect active indicative of o $\rho \alpha \omega$. He is not a dreamer, guesser, or speculator. He is bearing witness from personal knowledge, strange as this may seem to Nicodemus.

And ye receive not our witness ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \nu \mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \iota \alpha \nu \eta \mu \omega \nu$ ov $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). This is the tragedy of the matter as John has shown $(1: 11,26)$ and as will continue to be true even today. Jesus probably associates here with himself ("we") those who have personal experience of grace and so are qualified as witnesses. Note the plural in 1Jo 1:1f. Bernard thinks that John has here read into the words of Jesus the convictions of a later age, a serious charge to make.

John 3:12
If I told ( $\varepsilon$ عıлоv). Condition of the first class, assumed to be true.
Earthly things ( $\tau \alpha \varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ ). Things upon the earth like $\tau \alpha \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma(\operatorname{Col} 3: 2$ ), not things of an earthly nature or worldly or sinful. The work of the kingdom of God including the new birth which Nicodemus did not understand belongs to $\tau \alpha \varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \varepsilon \iota a$.

If I tell you heavenly things ( $\varepsilon \alpha \vee \varepsilon \iota \pi \omega v \mu \iota \tau \tau \alpha \varepsilon \pi \circ \cup \rho \alpha \nu \iota \alpha)$. Condition of the third class, undetermined. What will Nicodemus do in that case? By $\tau \alpha \varepsilon \pi \sigma \nu \rho \alpha, \alpha$ Jesus means the things that take place in heaven like the deep secrets of the purpose of God in the matter of redemption such as the necessity of the lifting up of Christ as shown in verse 14 . Both Godet and Westcott note that the two types of teaching here pointed out by Jesus (the earthly, the
heavenly) correspond in general to the difference between the Synoptics (the earthly) and the Fourth Gospel (the heavenly), a difference noted here in the Fourth Gospel as shown by Jesus himself. Hence the one should not be pitted against the other. There are specimens of the heavenly in the Synoptics as in Mt 11:25ff.; Lu 10:18f. .

John 3:13
But he that descended out of heaven ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta$ о вк тov ov pavov катаßа¢). The Incarnation of the Pre-existent Son of God who was in heaven before he came down and so knows what he is telling about "the heavenly things." There is no allusion to the Ascension which came later. This high conception of Christ runs all through the Gospel and is often in Christ's own words as here.

Which is in heaven ( $o \omega v \varepsilon v \tau \omega \operatorname{ov} \rho \alpha v \omega$ ). This phrase is added by some manuscripts, not by Aleph B L W 33, and, if genuine, would merely emphasize the timeless existence of God's Son who is in heaven even while on earth. Probably a gloss. But "the Son of man" is genuine. He is the one who has come down out of heaven.

John 3:14
Moses lifted up the serpent ( $\mathrm{M} \omega v \sigma \eta \varsigma v \psi \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$ tov o $\varphi \stackrel{v}{ }$ ). Reference to Nu 21:7ff. where Moses set the brazen serpent upon the standard that those who believed might look and live. Jesus draws a vivid parallel between the act of Moses and the Cross on which he himself (the Son of man) "must" ( $\delta \varepsilon$, one of the heavenly things) "be lifted up" ( $v \psi \omega \theta \eta v a$, first aorist passive infinitive of $v \psi o \omega$, a word not used about the brazen serpent). In John $v \psi o \omega$ always refers to the Cross ( $8: 28 ; 12: 32,34$ ), though to the Ascension in Acts (Ac 2:33; 5:31 ). Jesus is complimenting the standing and intelligence of Nicodemus as "the teacher of Israel" by telling him this great truth and fact that lies at the basis of the work of the kingdom of God (the atoning death of Christ on the Cross).

John 3:15
That whosoever believeth may in him have eternal life (ıva $\pi \alpha \varsigma ~ o ~ \pi เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega v ~ \varepsilon v ~ a v \tau \omega$ $\varepsilon \chi \eta \zeta \omega \eta \nu \alpha \iota \omega v \iota v \nu)$. Final use of $\iota v \alpha$ with present active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, that he may keep on having eternal life (a frequent phrase in John, always in John al $\omega v$ voc occurs with $\zeta \omega \eta$, 16 times in the Gospel, 6 in 1John, ageless or endless life, beginning now and lasting forever). It is more than endless, for it is sharing in the life of God in Christ (5:26; 17:3; 1Jo 5:12). So here $\varepsilon v \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ (in him) is taken with $\varepsilon \chi \eta$ rather than with $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega v$. The interview with Nicodemus apparently closes with verse 15 . In verses $16-21$ we have past tenses constantly as is natural for the reflection of John, but unnatural for Jesus speaking. There are phrases like the Prologue (verse 19; 1:9-11). "Only begotten" does not occur elsewhere in the words of Jesus, but is in 1:14,18; 1Jo 4:9. John often puts in explanatory comments (1:16-18; 12:37-41).

John 3:16

For so (out $\omega \varsigma \gamma \alpha \rho$ ). This use of $\gamma \alpha \rho$ is quite in John's style in introducing his comments (2:25; 4:8; 5:13 , etc.). This "Little Gospel" as it is often called, this "comfortable word" (the Anglican Liturgy), while not a quotation from Jesus is a just and marvellous interpretation of the mission and message of our Lord. In verses 16-21 John recapitulates in summary fashion the teaching of Jesus to Nicodemus.

Loved ( $\eta \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$, the noble word so common in the Gospels for the highest form of love, used here as often in John (14:23; 17:23; 1Jo 3:1; 4:10) of God's love for man (cf. 2Th 2:16; Ro 5:8; Eph 2:4 ). In 21:15 John presents a distinction between $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$ and $\varphi \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \omega$. A $\gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$ is used also for love of men for men ( 13:34), for Jesus ( 8:42), for God (1Jo 4:10).

The world ( $\tau 0 v$ коб $\mu \circ v$ ). The whole cosmos of men, including Gentiles, the whole human race. This universal aspect of God's love appears also in 2Co 5:19; Ro 5:8 .

That he gave ( $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). The usual classical construction with $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ and the indicative (first aorist active) practical result, the only example in the N.T. save that in Ga 2:13. Elsewhere $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ with the infinitive occurs for actual result (Mt 13:32) as well as purpose (Mt 10:1), though even this is rare.

His only begotten Son ( $\tau 0 v$ voov $\operatorname{\tau ov} \mu \circ$ ооүعvŋ). "The Son the only begotten." For this word see on $1: 14,18 ; 3: 18$. The rest of the sentence, the purpose clause with $1 v \alpha-\varepsilon \chi \eta$ precisely reproduces the close of $3: 15$ save that $\varepsilon \varsigma$ autov takes the place of $\varepsilon v$ aut $\omega$ (see 1:12) and goes certainly with $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu$ (not with $\varepsilon \chi \eta$ as $\varepsilon v a v \tau \omega$ in verse 15) and the added clause "should not perish but" ( $\mu \eta \alpha \pi 0 \lambda \eta \tau \alpha \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$, second aorist middle subjunctive, intransitive, of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$, to destroy). The same contrast between "perish" and "eternal life" (for this world and the next) appears also in 10:28. On "perish" see also 17:12.

John 3:17
For God sent not the Son (ov $\gamma \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$ o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ v o v) . ~ E x p l a n a t i o n ~(~ \gamma \alpha \rho) ~ o f ~$ God's sending the Son into the world. First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$. John uses both $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ from which comes $\alpha \pi$ обто入os ( $3: 34 ; 5: 36,38$, etc.) and $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega$ (4:34; $5: 23,24,30$, etc.) for God's sending the Son and $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega$ more frequently, but with no real difference in meaning. All the Gospels use o vios in the absolute sense in contrast with the Father (Mr 13:32; Mt 11:27; Lu 10:22 ).

To judge (ıva кpıvๆ). Final clause with iva and the present (or aorist) active subjunctive of $\kappa \rho / v \omega$. The Messiah does judge the world as Jesus taught (Mt 25:31f.; Joh 5:27), but this was not the primary or the only purpose of his coming. See on Mt 7:1 for $\kappa \rho \iota v \omega$, to pick out, select, approve, condemn, used so often and in so many varying contexts in the N.T.

But that the world should be saved through him ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \iota v a \sigma \omega \theta \eta$ о коб $\mu$ оऽ $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ avtov). First aorist passive subjunctive of $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$, the common verb to save (from $\sigma \omega \varsigma$, safe and sound), from which $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$ (Saviour) comes (the Saviour of the world, 4:42; 1Jo 4:14) and
$\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha$ (salvation, 4:22 here only in John). The verb $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$ is often used for physical health ( $\operatorname{Mr} 5: 28$ ), but here of the spiritual salvation as in 5:34.

John 3:18
Is not judged (ov крıveta). Present passive indicative. Trust in Christ prevents condemnation, for he takes our place and pays the penalty for sin for all who put their case in his hands (Ro 8:32f. ). The believer in Christ as Saviour does not come into judgment (Joh 5:24 ).

Hath been judged already ( $\eta \delta \eta \kappa \varepsilon \kappa \rho \iota \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\kappa \rho \iota v \omega$. Judgment has already been passed on the one who refuses to believe in Christ as the Saviour sent by the Father, the man who is not willing to come to Christ for life ( 5:40).

Because he hath not believed ( $о \tau \mu \eta \pi \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \omega$, has taken a permanent attitude of refusal. Here o $\tau \eta$ states the reason subjectively as the judgment of the Judge in any such case (o $\mu \eta \pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega \nu$ already mentioned) while in 1Jo 5:10 o七 ou $\pi \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \kappa \varepsilon v$ gives the reason objectively ( $o v$ instead of $\mu \eta$ ) conceived as an actual case and no longer hypothetical. See 1:12 for $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ о v o \mu \alpha ~ w i t h ~ \pi ı \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega ~(b e l i e v i n g ~ o n ~ t h e ~$ name) and 1:14 for $\mu$ оvoүعvouc (only begotten) and also 3:16.

John 3:19
And this is the judgment ( $\alpha \cup \tau \eta \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \eta$ крıбıৎ). A thoroughly Johannine phrase for sequence of thought ( $15: 12 ; 17: 3 ; 1$ Jo $1: 5 ; 5: 11,14 ; 3 \mathrm{Jo} 1: 6$ ). It is more precisely the process of judging ( $\kappa \rho \iota-\sigma \iota \varsigma)$ rather than the result ( $\kappa \rho \iota-\mu \alpha$ ) of the judgment. "It is no arbitrary sentence, but the working out of a moral law" (Bernard).

The light is come ( $\tau 0 \varphi \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda v \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Second perfect active indicative of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, a permanent result as already explained in the Prologue concerning the Incarnation (1:4,5,9,11 ). Jesus is the Light of the world.

Loved darkness ( $\eta \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \sigma \alpha v$ to бкотоऽ). Job (Job 24:13) spoke of men rebelling against the light. Here то бкотос, common word for moral and spiritual darkness (1Th 5:5), though $\eta$ бкотіа in Joh 1:5. "Darkness" is common in John as a metaphor for the state of sinners ( $8: 12 ; 12: 35,46 ; 1$ Jo $1: 6 ; 2: 8,9,11$ ). Jesus himself is the only moral and spiritual light of the world ( $8: 12$ ) as he dared claim to his enemies. The pathos of it all is that men fall in love with the darkness of sin and rebel against the light like denizens of the underworld, "for their works were evil ( $\pi о \nu \eta \rho \alpha)$." When the light appears, they scatter to their holes and dens. Поvпроц (from тоvoৎ, toil, $\pi \mathbf{\nu} \varepsilon \omega$, to toil) is used of the deeds of the world by Jesus ( 7:7). In the end the god of this world blinds men's eyes so that they do not see the light (2Co 4:4 ). The fish in the Mammoth Cave have no longer eyes, but only sockets where eyes used to be. The evil one has a powerful grip on the world (1Jo 5:19).

John 3:20

That doeth ill (o $\varphi \alpha u \lambda \alpha \pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega v$ ). The word $\varphi \alpha u \lambda o c$ means first worthless and then wicked (usually so in N.T.) and both senses occur in the papyri. In 5:29 see contrast between $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \alpha \pi$ лоเ $\omega$ (doing good things) and $\varphi \alpha \cup \lambda \alpha \pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ (practising evil things).

Hateth the light ( $\mu \sigma \varepsilon$ тo $\varphi \omega \varsigma$ ). Hence talks against it, ridicules Christ, Christianity, churches, preachers, etc. Does it in talk, magazines, books, in a supercilious tone of sheer ignorance.

Cometh not to the light (ovк $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \rho \circ \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \varphi \omega \varsigma$ ). The light hurts his eyes, reveals his own wickedness, makes him thoroughly uncomfortable. Hence he does not read the Bible, he does not come to church, he does not pray. He goes on in deeper darkness.

Lest his works should be reproved (iva $\mu \eta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi \theta \eta \tau \alpha \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \alpha 0 \tau \circ v$ ). Negative final clause ( $\tau \sim \alpha \mu \eta$ ) with first aorist passive subjunctive of $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi \omega$, old word to correct a fault, to reprove, to convict. See also 8:46; 16:8. To escape this unpleasant process the evil man cuts out Christ.

John 3:21
That doeth the truth (o $\pi o \omega \omega v \tau \eta v \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha v$ ). See 1Jo 1:6 for this striking phrase.
Comes to the light ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ то $\varphi \omega \varsigma$ ). Is drawn by the light, spiritual heliotropes, not driven from it.

That may be made manifest (ıva $\varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta$ ). Final $\imath v a$ with first aorist passive subjunctive of $\varphi$ avepo $\omega$.

They have been wrought in God ( $\varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \omega$ عбтıv $\varepsilon \iota \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Periphrastic perfect passive indicative of $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta \circ \mu \alpha$. He does not claim that they are perfect, only that they have been wrought in the sphere of and in the power of God. Hence he wants the light turned on.

John 3:22
After these things ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \alpha v \tau \alpha$ ). Transition after the interview with Nicodemus. For the phrase see $5: 1 ; 6: 1 ; 7: 1$.

Into the land of Judea ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \nu$ Iov $\alpha a \iota \alpha \nu \gamma \eta \nu$ ). Into the country districts outside of Jerusalem. The only example of this phrase in the N.T., but "the region of Judea" ( $\eta$ Iovסaıa $\chi \omega \rho \alpha)$ in Mr 1:5.

He tarried ( $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \rho \mu \beta \varepsilon v)$. Descriptive imperfect active of $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \rho ß \omega \omega$, old verb to rub between or hard, to spend time (Ac 14:3).

Baptized ( $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \zeta \varepsilon v)$. Imperfect active of $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \zeta \omega$. "He was baptizing." The six disciples were with him and in 4:2 John explains that Jesus did the baptizing through the disciples.

John 3:23
John was also baptizing ( $\eta \nu \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ о $I \omega \alpha v \eta \varsigma \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \zeta \omega v$ ). Periphrastic imperfect picturing the continued activity of the Baptist simultaneous with the growing work of Jesus. There was no real rivalry except in people's minds.

In Aenon near to Salim ( $\varepsilon v$ Aıv $\omega v \varepsilon \gamma \gamma v \varsigma$ tov $\Sigma a \lambda \varepsilon \mu)$ ). It is not clearly known where this place was. Eusebius locates it in the Jordan valley south of Beisan west of the river where are many springs (fountains, eyes). There is a place called Salim east of Shechem in Samaria with a village called 'Aimen, but with no water there. There may have been water there then, of course.

Because there was much water there (oт v $\delta \alpha \tau \alpha \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \eta \nu \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon$ ). "Because many waters were there." Not for drinking, but for baptizing. "Therefore even in summer baptism by immersion could be continued" (Marcus Dods).

And they came, and were baptized (ка та $\rho \varepsilon \gamma \iota v o v \tau о ~ к \alpha \varepsilon \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \zeta о \nu \tau о)$. Imperfects both, one middle and the other passive, graphically picturing the long procession of pilgrims who came to John confessing their sins and receiving baptism at his hands.

John 3:24
For John had not yet been cast into prison (ov $\pi \omega \gamma \alpha \rho \eta \nu \beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \varepsilon ı \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta \nu$ I $\omega \alpha v \eta \varsigma$ ). Periphrastic past perfect indicative of $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ explaining ( $\gamma \alpha \rho$ ) why John was still baptizing, the reason for the imprisonment having been given by Luke (Lu 3:19f. ).

John 3:25
A questioning ( $\lceil\eta \tau \eta \sigma \iota)$ ). Old word from $\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \omega$. See Ac 15:2 for the word where also $\zeta \eta \tau \eta \mu \alpha$ (question) occurs. Z $\eta \tau \eta \sigma \varsigma \varsigma$ (process of inquiry) means a meticulous dispute ( 1 Ti 6:4).

With a Jew ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ Iov $\delta a \iota o v$ ). So correct text, not Iov $\alpha a \iota \omega v$ (Jews). Probably some Jew resented John's baptism of Jesus as implying impurity or that they were like Gentiles (cf. proselyte baptism).

About purifying ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \sigma \mu \circ v)$. See 2:6 for the word. The committee from the Sanhedrin had challenged John's right to baptize ( 1:25). The Jews had various kinds of baptisms or dippings (Heb 6:2), "baptisms of cups and pots and brazen vessels" (Mr 6:4). The disciples of John came to him with the dispute (the first known baptismal controversy, on the meaning of the ceremony) and with a complaint.

John 3:26
Rabbi ( $\mathrm{P} \alpha \beta \beta \varepsilon$ ). Greeting John just like Jesus (1:38; 3:2 ).
Beyond Jordan ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha v$ tov Io $\rho \delta a v o v$ ). Evident reference to John's witness to Jesus told in 1:29-34.

To whom thou hast borne witness ( $\omega \sigma v \mu \varepsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \eta к а \varsigma)$. Note avoidance of calling the name of Jesus. Perfect active indicative of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \varepsilon \omega$ so common in John ( 1:7, etc.). These disciples of John are clearly jealous of Jesus as a rival of John and they distinctly blame John for his endorsement of one who is already eclipsing him in popularity.

The same baptizeth (outoc $\beta a \pi \tau \iota(\varepsilon)$ ) "This one is baptizing." Not personally ( 4:2), as John did, but through his six disciples.

And all men come to him ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \rho \chi о v \tau \alpha \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha v \tau о v$ ). Linear present middle indicative, "are coming." The sight of the growing crowds with Jesus and the dwindling crowds with John stirred John's followers to keenest jealousy. What a life-like picture of ministerial jealousy in all ages.

John 3:27
Except it have been given him from heaven ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \mu \eta \eta \delta \varepsilon \delta o \mu \varepsilon v o v \alpha v \tau \omega \varepsilon \kappa \tau o v o v \rho a v o v$ ). See the same idiom in Joh 6:65 (cf. 19:11). Condition of third class, undetermined with prospect of determination, $\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta$ with the periphrastic perfect passive subjunctive of $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$. The perfect tense is rare in the subjunctive and an exact rendering into English is awkward, "unless it be granted him from heaven." See 1Co $4: 7$ where Paul says the same thing.

John 3:28
I said ( $\varepsilon$ וпоv). As in 1:20,23. He had always put Jesus ahead of him as the Messiah ( 1:15).

Before him ( $\varepsilon \mu \pi \rho о \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o v) . ~ " B e f o r e ~ t h a t ~ o n e " ~(J e s u s) ~ a s ~ h i s ~ f o r e r u n n e r ~ s i m p l y . ~$
I am sent ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \mu$ ). Periphrastic perfect passive indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$.
John 3:29
The bridegroom ( $v v \mu \varphi \iota \varsigma)$. Predicate nominative without article. Both $v v \mu \varphi \eta$ (bride) and $v v \mu \varphi \stackrel{\varsigma}{ }$ are old and common words. Jesus will use this metaphor of himself as the Bridegroom (Mr 2:19) and Paul develops it (2Co 11:2; Eph 5:23-32) and so in Revelation (19:7; 21:2). John is only like the paranymph ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \nu v \mu \varphi \iota \varsigma)$ or "the friend of the bridegroom." His office is to bring groom and bride together. So he stands expectant ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \omega \varsigma$, second perfect active participle of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ ) and listens ( $\alpha \kappa \sigma \omega \omega \nu$, present active participle of $\alpha \kappa 0 v \omega$ ) with joy ( rejoiceth greatly, $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon$, "with joy rejoices") to the music of the bridegroom's voice.

This my joy therefore is fulfilled ( $\alpha v \tau \eta$ ov $\eta \chi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$, stands filled like a cup to the brim with joy.

John 3:30
Must $(\delta \varepsilon)$. It has to be (see $3: 14$ ). He is to go on growing (present active infinitive $\alpha v \xi \alpha v \varepsilon เ v$ ) while I go on decreasing (present passive infinitive $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \tau \tau 0 v \sigma \theta \alpha$, from comparative $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \tau \tau \omega \nu$, less). These are the last words that we have from John till the despondent message from the dungeon in Machaerus whether Jesus is after all the Messiah (Mt 11:2; Lu 7:19 ). He went on to imprisonment, suspense, martyrdom, while Jesus grew in popular favour till he had his via dolorosa. "These last words of St. John are the fulness of religious sacrifice and fitly close his work" (Westcott).

John 3:31
Is above all $(\varepsilon \pi \alpha v \omega \pi \alpha v \tau \omega v)$. Ablative case with the compound preposition $\varepsilon \pi \alpha v \omega$. See the same idea in Ro 9:5. Here we have the comments of Evangelist (John) concerning the last words of John in verse 30 which place Jesus above himself. He is above all men, not
alone above the Baptist. Bernard follows those who treat verses 31-36 as dislocated and put them after verse 21 (the interview with Nicodemus), but they suit better here.

Of the earth ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. John is fond of this use of $\varepsilon \kappa$ for origin and source of character as in 1:46; 1Jo 4:5. Jesus is the one that comes out of heaven (o $\varepsilon \kappa$ тov ov $\rho \alpha v o v \varepsilon \rho \chi о \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma$ ) as he has shown in 1:1-18. Hence he is "above all."

John 3:32
What he hath seen and heard (о $\varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \eta \kappa о \cup \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative followed by aorist active indicative, because, as Westcott shows, the first belongs to the very existence of the Son and the latter to his mission. There is no confusion of tenses here.

No man (oudeıs). There were crowds coming to Jesus, but they do not really accept him as Saviour and Lord (1:11;2:24). It is superficial as time will show. But "no one" is not to be pressed too far, for it is the rhetorical use.

John 3:33
Hath set his seal ( $\varepsilon \sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \sigma \varepsilon \nu)$. First aorist active indicative of $\sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \zeta \omega$ for which verb see Mt 27:66. The metaphor of sealing is a common one for giving attestation as in 6:27. The one who accepts the witness of Jesus attests that Jesus speaks the message of God.

John 3:34
The words of God ( $\tau \alpha \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ $\tau 0 v \theta \varepsilon o v)$. God sent his Son ( $3: 17$ ) and he speaks God's words.

By measure ( $\varepsilon \kappa \mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \circ v$ ). That is God has put no limit to the Spirit's relation to the Son. God has given the Holy Spirit in his fulness to Christ and to no one else in that sense.

John 3:35
Hath given all things into his hand ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \delta \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \chi \varepsilon\llcorner\rho \alpha \nu \tau 0 v)$. John makes the same statement about Jesus in 13:3 (using $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha \varsigma \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho a \varsigma$ instead of $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho$ ). Jesus makes the same claim in 5:19-30; Mt 11:27; 28:18 .

John 3:36
Hath eternal life ( $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \zeta \omega \eta \nu \alpha \iota \omega v \iota o v)$. Has it here and now and for eternity.
That obeyeth not $(o \alpha \pi \varepsilon \bullet \theta \omega v)$. "He that is disobedient to the Son." Jesus is the test of human life as Simeon said he would be (Lu 2:34f. ). This verb does not occur again in John's Gospel.

## John 4

John 4:1
When therefore ( $\mathrm{H} \omega \varsigma \mathrm{ovv}$ ). Reference to 3:22f. the work of the Baptist and the jealousy of his disciples. Ovv is very common in John's Gospel in such transitions.

The Lord (o Kupıo̧). So the best manuscripts (Neutral Alexandrian), though the Western class has o I $\eta \sigma o v \varsigma$. Mark usually has o I $\eta$ oovs and Luke often o Kvpıoc. In the narrative portion of John we have usually o I I $\sigma o v \varsigma$, but o Kvpıo in five passages (4:1; 6:23; 11:2; 20:20; 21:12 ). There is no reason why John should not apply o Kupıos to Jesus in the narrative sections as well as Luke. Bernard argues that these are "explanatory glosses," not in the first draft of the Gospel. But why? When John wrote his Gospel he certainly held Jesus to be Kıpıos (Lord) as Luke did earlier when he wrote both Gospel and Acts This is hypercriticism.

Knew $(\varepsilon \gamma v \omega)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. The Pharisees knew this obvious fact. It was easy for Jesus to know the attitude of the Pharisees about it ( 2:24). Already the Pharisees are suspicious of Jesus.

How that (o $\tau$ ). Declarative ot (indirect assertion).
Was making and baptizing more disciples than John ( $\pi \lambda \varepsilon เ \circ v a \varsigma ~ \mu \alpha Ө \eta \tau \alpha \varsigma \pi о є \varepsilon$ ка $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \zeta \varepsilon \eta \mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \nu \eta \varsigma)$. Present active indicative in both verbs retained in indirect discourse. Recall the tremendous success of John's early ministry ( $\mathrm{Mr} \mathrm{1:5;} \mathrm{Mt} \mathrm{3:5;} \mathrm{Lu} \mathrm{3:7,15)} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{order}$ to see the significance of this statement that Jesus had forged ahead of him in popular favour. Already the Pharisees had turned violently against John who had called them broods of vipers. It is most likely that they drew John out about the marriage of Herod Antipas and got him involved directly with the tetrarch so as to have him cast into prison (Lu 3:19f. ). Josephus (Ant. XVIII. v. 2) gives a public reason for this act of Herod Antipas, the fear that John would "raise a rebellion," probably the public reason for his private vengeance as given by Luke. Apparently John was cast into prison, though recently still free (Joh 3:24), before Jesus left for Galilee. The Pharisees, with John out of the way, turn to Jesus with envy and hate.

John 4:2
Although Jesus himself baptized not, but his disciples (каıтоү₹ I I боия аuтоৎ оик $\left.\varepsilon \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \zeta \varepsilon v \alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \mathrm{o} \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha \alpha \cup \tau 0 v\right)$. Parenthetical explanation that applies also to 3:22. Imperfect tense means that it was not the habit of Jesus. This is the only N.T. instance of kaıtor $\gamma \varepsilon$ (and yet indeed), compound conjunction (каıто in Ac 14:17; Heb 4:3) with intensive particle $\gamma \varepsilon$ added. This is the last mention of baptism under the direction of Jesus till the Great Commission (Mt 28:19). It is possible that Jesus stopped the baptizing because of the excitement and the issue raised about his Messianic claims till after his resurrection when he enjoined it upon his disciples as a rite of public enlistment in his service.

## John 4:3

Left Judea ( $\alpha \varphi \eta \kappa \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta v$ Iov $\alpha a \iota \alpha \nu$ ). Unusual use of $\alpha \varphi \imath \eta \mu$. First (K $\alpha \pi \pi \alpha$ ) aorist active indicative. Originally the word means to send away, to dismiss, to forsake, to forgive, to allow. Jesus uses it in this sense in 16:28. Evidently because Jesus did not wish to bring the coming conflict with the Pharisees to an issue yet. So he mainly avoids Jerusalem and Judea now till the end. Each time hereafter that Jesus appears in Jerusalem and Judea before the last visit there is an open breach with the Pharisees who attack him (Joh 5:1-47; 7:14-10:21; 10:22-42; 11:17-53).

Again into Galilee ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota v \varepsilon ı \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \Gamma \alpha \lambda_{\imath} \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha v$ ). Reference to 2:1-12. The Synoptics tell nothing of this early work in Perea (Joh 1:19-51 ), Galilee, or Judea ( $2: 13-4: 2$ ). John supplements their records purposely.

John 4:4
He must needs pass through Samaria (E $\delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau o v ~ \delta ı \varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \delta i \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \Sigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho ı a \varsigma)$. Imperfect indicative of the impersonal verb $\delta \varepsilon$ with subject infinitive ( $\delta \varepsilon \rho \rho \chi \varepsilon \sigma \alpha$ ) and accusative of general reference ( $\alpha \cup \tau \tau v$ ). Note repetition of $\delta \iota \alpha$. It was only necessary to pass through Samaria in going directly north from Judea to Galilee. In coming south from Galilee travellers usually crossed over the Jordan and came down through Perea to avoid the hostility of the Samaritans towards people who passed through their land to go to Jerusalem. Jesus once met this bitterness on going to the feast of tabernacles ( $\mathrm{Lu} 9: 51-56$ ).

John 4:5
So he cometh ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{ovv}$ ). Vivid present middle indicative and transitional ouv.
Sychar ( $\Sigma v \chi \propto \rho$ ). There is a dispute whether this is just a variation of Shechem as meaning "drunken-town" (Isa 28:1) or "lying-town" (Hab 2:18) or is a separate village near Shechem (Neapolis, Nablous) as the Talmud and Eusebius indicate. Apparently the present village Askar corresponds well with the site. The use of mo入ıv (city) does not mean that it was a large town. Mark and John use it freely for small places.

Parcel of ground ( $\chi \omega \rho \circ \rho v$ ). Old use of this diminutive of $\chi \omega \rho o \varsigma$ or $\chi \omega \rho \alpha$, a piece of ground.

That Jacob gave to his son Joseph (o $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon \nu \operatorname{I} \alpha \kappa \omega \beta \tau \omega \operatorname{I} \omega \sigma \eta \varphi \tau \omega \nu \omega \omega \alpha \tau \tau o v$ ). See Ge 33:19; 48:22. Relative o is not attracted to case of $\chi \omega \rho$ ovo. First aorist active indicative $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$.

John 4:6
Jacob's well ( $\pi \eta \gamma \eta$ тov Iak $\omega \beta$ ). "A spring of Jacob" (here and verse 14), but $\varphi \rho \varepsilon \alpha \rho$ (well, pit, cistern) in verses 11,12 . It is really a cistern 100 feet deep dug by a stranger apparently in a land of abundant springs (Ge 26:19).
 verb means to toil excessively (Lu 5:5). John emphasizes the human emotions of Jesus (1:14; 11:3,33,35,38,41f.; 12:27; 13:21; 19:28 ).

With his journey ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma$ oסoıторıаऽ). As a result ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ ) of the journey. Old compound word from oסоторос (wayfarer), in N.T. only here and 2Co 11:26.

Sat ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \zeta \varepsilon \tau о)$. Imperfect (descriptive) middle of $\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \zeta$ о $\alpha$, "was sitting."
Thus (ov $\tau \omega \varsigma)$. Probably "thus wearied," graphic picture.
By the well ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \pi \eta \gamma \eta$ ). Literally, "upon the curbstone of the well."
Sixth hour ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta$ ). Roman time, about 6 P.M., the usual time for drawing water.
John 4:7
There cometh ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Vivid historical present as in verse 5.
A woman of Samaria ( $\gamma \cup v \eta \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \Sigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho ı \alpha \varsigma)$. The country, not the city which was two hours away.

To draw water ( $\alpha v \tau \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha v \delta \omega \rho$ ). First aorist active infinitive of purpose of $\alpha v \tau \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ for which see $2: 8 \mathrm{f}$. Cf. Rebecca in Ge 24:11,17.

Give me to drink ( $\delta o \varsigma \mu o \pi \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Second aorist active imperative of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ and second aorist active infinitive (object of $\delta o \varsigma$ ) of $\pi \iota \nu \omega$, shortened form of $\pi \iota \varepsilon \iota v$. A polite request.

John 4:8
For $(\gamma \alpha \rho)$. Explanation of the reason for asking her.
Were gone away ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta \varepsilon เ \sigma \alpha v$ ). Past perfect of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to go off. They had already gone before she came. To Sychar $(5,39)$.

To buy food (ıva $\tau \rho о \varphi \alpha \varsigma ~ a \gamma о \rho \alpha \sigma \omega \sigma \iota v)$. Hıva in purpose clause with first aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \gamma о \rho \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb from $\alpha \gamma o \rho \alpha$ (marketplace). See Mt 21:12 . T $\rho o \varphi \eta$ (nourishment) is old word from $\tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, to nourish (Mt 3:4). "Victuals" (plural).

John 4:9
The Samaritan woman ( $\eta \gamma \cup \nu \eta \eta \Sigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \varepsilon ı \tau \iota \varsigma)$. Different idiom from that in 7, "the woman the Samaritan." The Samaritans were a mixture by intermarriage of the Jews left in the land (2Ch 30:6,10; 34:9) with colonists from Babylon and other regions sent by Shalmaneser. They had had a temple of their own on Mt. Gerizim and still worshipped there.

Thou being a Jew ( $\sigma v$ Iov $\delta \alpha \circ \varsigma \omega v$ ). Race antipathy was all the keener because the Samaritans were half Jews.

Drink ( $\pi \varepsilon เ \nu$ ). Same infinitive form as in 7 and the object of aıtعıৎ (askest).
Of me ( $\left.\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu o v\right)$. "From me," ablative case with $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$.
For Jews have no dealings with Samaritans (ov $\gamma \alpha \rho \sigma v v \chi \rho \omega v \tau \alpha$ Iov $\delta \alpha ı \nu \Sigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \varepsilon \iota \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. Explanatory ( $\gamma \alpha \rho$ ) parenthesis of the woman's astonishment. Associative instrumental case with $\sigma v v \chi \rho \omega v \tau \alpha$ (present middle indicative of $\sigma v v \chi \rho \alpha o \mu \alpha$, compound in literary Koine, here only in N.T.). The woman's astonishment is ironical according to Bernard. At any rate the disciples had to buy food in a Samaritan village and they were travelling through Samaria. Perhaps she was surprised that Jesus would drink out of her waterpot. The Western class omit this explanatory parenthesis of the author.

John 4:10
Answered and said ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho \iota \theta \eta \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon v$ ). As often (redundant) in John. The first aorist passive $(\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho ı \theta \eta)$ is deponent, no longer passive in sense.

If thou knewest ( $\varepsilon \eta \iota \delta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Condition of second class, determined as unfulfilled, $\varepsilon$ and past perfect $\eta \iota \delta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ (used as imperfect) in condition and $\alpha v$ and aorist active indicative in conclusion ( $\alpha \nu \eta \iota \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma \kappa \alpha \alpha \nu \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$, note repetition of $\alpha v$, not always done).

The gift of God ( $\tau \eta \nu \delta \omega \rho \varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau$ тov $\theta \varepsilon o v)$. Naturally the gift mentioned in 3:16 (Westcott), the inexpressible gift (2Co 9:15). Some take it to refer to the living water below, but that is another allusion (metaphor) to 3:16. See Eph 4:7 for Paul's use of both $\chi \alpha \rho ı \varsigma ~ a n d ~ \delta \omega \rho \varepsilon \alpha$ (from $\delta \delta \delta \omega \mu$, to give).

Who it is ( $\tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. She only knew that he was a Jew. This Messianic self-consciousness of Jesus is plain in John, but it is early in the Synoptics also.

Living water ( $v \delta \omega \rho \zeta \omega v$ ). Running water like a spring or well supplied by springs. This Jacob's Well was filled by water from rains percolating through, a sort of cistern, good water, but not equal to a real spring which was always preferred (Ge 26:19; Le 14:5; Nu 19:17 ). Jesus, of course, is symbolically referring to himself as the Living Water though he does not say it in plain words as he does about the Living Bread ( 6:51). The phrase "the fountain of life" occurs in $\operatorname{Pr}$ 13:14. Jesus supplies the water of life (Joh 7:39). Cf. Re 7:17; 22:1 .

John 4:11
Sir (Kupıq). So it has to mean here in the mouth of the Samaritan woman, not Lord.
Thou hast nothing to draw with and the well is deep (ov $\tau \varepsilon \alpha \tau \tau \lambda \mu \alpha \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon ı \varsigma \kappa \alpha$ то $\varphi \rho \varepsilon \alpha \rho$ $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \beta \alpha \theta)$. This broken construction of ovte-ка (neither--and) occurs in N.T. elsewhere only in 3Jo 1:10. Av $\lambda \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ (from $\alpha v \tau \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to draw) is a late word for that which is drawn, then (Plutarch) for the act of drawing, and then for the rope as here to draw with. This well ( $\varphi \rho \varepsilon \alpha \rho$ ) is 100 feet deep and Jesus had no rope. The bucket of skin ("with three cross sticks at the mouth to keep it open," Vincent) was kept at the well to be let down by a goat's hair rope.

That living water ( $\tau 0 v \delta \omega \rho$ тo $\zeta \omega v$ ). "The water the living," with the article referring to the language of Jesus in verse 10 . She is still thinking only of literal water.

John 4:12
Art thou ( $M \eta \sigma \cup \varepsilon$ ). Expecting a negative answer.
Greater than our father Jacob ( $\mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta \omega v \varepsilon$ тоט $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \omega v$ I $\alpha \kappa \omega \beta$ ). Ablative case $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma$ after the comparative adjective $\mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta \omega v$ (positive $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \varsigma$ ). The Samaritans claimed descent from Jacob through Joseph (tribes of Ephraim and Manasseh).

Cattle ( $\theta \rho \varepsilon \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha)$. Old word from $\tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, to nourish, nursling, child, flock, cattle. Only here in N.T.

John 4:13
Every one that drinketh ( $\pi \alpha \varsigma$ o $\pi เ v \omega v$ ). Present active articular participle with $\pi \alpha \varsigma$, parallel to the indefinite relative with the second aorist active subjunctive (os av $\pi \iota \eta$ ) in verse 14 . With this difference in the tenses used ( $\pi \imath v \omega v$, keep on drinking, $\pi \imath \eta$, once for all). Note $\varepsilon \kappa$ and the ablative both times, out of the water. Jesus pointed to the well ("this water").

John 4:14
That I shall give him (ov $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \delta \omega \sigma \omega \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). Relative ov attracted to the case (genitive) of the antecedent ( $v \delta \alpha \tau o \varsigma)$. Future active indicative of $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$.

Shall never thirst (ov $\mu \eta \delta \iota \psi \eta \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ a ı o v \alpha$ ). The double negative ov $\mu \eta$ is used with either the future indicative as here or the aorist subjunctive, the strongest possible negative. See both constructions (ov $\mu \eta \pi \varepsilon \iota v a \sigma \eta$ and ov $\mu \varepsilon \delta \iota \psi \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ ) in Joh 6:35. Jesus has not answered the woman's question save by the necessary implication here that he is superior to Jacob.

A well of water springing up unto eternal life ( $\pi \eta \gamma \eta v \delta \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma a \lambda \lambda о \mu \varepsilon v o v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \zeta \omega \eta \nu$ $\alpha \iota \omega v ı v)$. "Spring (or fountain) of water leaping (bubbling up) unto life eternal." Present middle participle of $\alpha \lambda \lambda o \mu \alpha$, old verb, in N.T. only here and Ac 3:8; 14:10. The woman's curiosity is keenly excited about this new kind of water.

John 4:15
Sir (Kvpıع). Not yet "Lord" for her. See verse 11.
This water ( $\tau 0 v \tau$ то $v \delta \omega \rho$ ). This peculiar kind of water. She did not grasp the last phrase "unto life eternal," and speaks half ironically of "this water."

That I thirst not ( $\imath v \alpha \mu \eta \delta \iota \psi \omega)$. Final clause with $\imath v \alpha$, alluding to the words of Jesus, water that will prevent thirst.

Neither come ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \rho \rho \chi \omega \mu \alpha$ ). Carrying on the negative purpose with present middle subjunctive, "nor keep on coming" as she has to do once or twice every day. She is evidently puzzled and yet attracted.

John 4:16
Go, call thy husband (Ни $\quad \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \varphi \omega \nu \eta \sigma o v \sigma o v \tau o v \alpha \nu \delta \rho \alpha$ ). Two imperatives (present active, first aorist active). Had she started to leave after her perplexed reply? Her frequent trips to the well were partly for her husband. We may not have all the conversation preserved, but clearly Jesus by this sudden sharp turn gives the woman a conviction of sin and guilt without which she cannot understand his use of water as a metaphor for eternal life.

## John 4:17

I have no husband (ovk $\varepsilon \chi \omega \alpha \nu \delta \rho \alpha$ ). The Greek $\alpha \nu \eta \rho$ means either "man" or "husband." She had her "man," but he was not a legal "husband." Her language veils her deceit.

Thou saidst well ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Jesus saw through the double sense of her language and read her heart as he only can do, a supernatural gift of which John often speaks (1:48; 2:24f.; 5:20 ).

For thou hast had five husbands ( $\pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha \nu \delta \rho \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \chi \varepsilon \varsigma)$. "For thou didst have five men." Second aorist (constative) active indicative of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$.

Is not thy husband (ovк $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ oov $\alpha \nu \eta \rho$ ). In the full and legal sense of $\alpha \nu \eta \rho$, not a mere "man."
 Note absence of article with $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \varsigma$ (predicate accusative). Perfect active indicative $\varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \kappa \alpha \varsigma$ here, not aorist $\varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon \varsigma ~(v e r s e ~ 17) . ~$

John 4:19
Sir (Kıpıع). So still.
I perceive $(\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \omega)$. "I am beginning to perceive" from what you say, your knowledge of my private life (verse 29). See 2:23 for $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$ which John's Gospel has 23 times, of bodily sight $(20: 6,14)$, of mental contemplation ( $12: 45 ; 14: 17$ ). See both $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$ and o $\pi \tau \sigma \mu \alpha$ in 1:51; 16:16.

That thou art a prophet (o $\pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma v$ ). "That a prophet art thou" (emphasis on "thou"). She felt that this was the explanation of his knowledge of her life and she wanted to change the subject at once to the outstanding theological dispute.

John 4:20
In this mountain $(\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \mathrm{o} \rho \varepsilon \tau o v \tau \omega)$. Jacob's Well is at the foot of Mount Gerizim toward which she pointed. Sanballat erected a temple on this mountain which was destroyed by John Hyrcanus B.C. 129. Abraham (Ge 12:7) and Jacob (Ge 33:20) set up altars at Shechem. On Gerizim were proclaimed the blessings recorded in De 28 . The Samaritan Pentateuch records an altar set up on Gerizim that is on Ebal (over 200 feet higher than Gerizim) in the Hebrew (De 27:4 ). The Samaritans held that Abraham offered up Isaac on Gerizim. The Samaritans kept up this worship on this mountain and a handful do it still.

And ye say ( $\kappa \alpha \cup \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Emphasis on $v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ (ye). Ye Jews.
Ought to worship ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \nu \nu \varepsilon เ \nu \delta \varepsilon$ ). "Must worship," as of necessity ( $\delta \varepsilon$ ). The woman felt that by raising this theological wrangle she would turn the attention of Jesus away from herself and perhaps get some light on the famous controversy. Пробкvve $\omega$ in John is always worship, not just respect.

John 4:21
Believe me ( $\pi เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \varepsilon \mu \circ$ ). Correct text. Present active imperative. Unique phrase in place of the common $\alpha \mu \eta \nu \alpha \mu \eta \nu$ (verily, verily).

The hour cometh ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \omega \rho \alpha$ ). "There is coming an hour." The same idiom occurs also in John 4:34; 5:25,28; 16:2,25,32 .

Neither in this mountain nor in Jerusalem (ovic $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega$ ope $\tau 0 v \tau \omega$ ovte $\varepsilon v$ Iءробо $\lambda \nu \mu о \iota \varsigma)$. The worship of God will be emancipated from bondage to place. Both Jews and Samaritans are wrong as to the "necessity" $(\delta \varepsilon)$. "These ancient rivalries will disappear when the spirituality of true religion is fully realized." Jesus told this sinful woman one of his greatest truths.

John 4:22

That which ye know not (o ovк oı $\delta \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Cf. Ac 17:23. "You know whom to worship, but you do not know him" (Westcott). The Samaritans rejected the prophets and the Psalms and so cut themselves off from the fuller knowledge of God.

We ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. We Jews. Jesus is a Jew as he fully recognizes (Mt 15:24).
That which we know (o ot $\delta \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Neuter singular relative as before. The Jews, as the chosen people, had fuller revelations of God (Ps 147:19f.; Ro 9:3-5 ). But even so the Jews as a whole failed to recognize God in Christ (1:11,26; 7:28).

For salvation is from the Jews ( $о \tau \eta \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho ı \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu$ Iov $\alpha a \iota \omega v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). "The salvation," the Messianic salvation which had long been the hope and guiding star of the chosen people (Lu 1:69,71,77; Ac 13:26,47). It was for the whole world (Joh 3:17), but it comes "out of" $(\varepsilon \kappa)$ the Jews. This tremendous fact should never be forgotten, however unworthy the Jews may have proved of their privilege. The Messiah, God's Son, was a Jew.

John 4:23
And now is ( $\kappa \alpha v v v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). See this same phrase in 5:25. This item could not be added in verse 21 for local worship was not abolished, but spiritual independence of place was called for at once. So contrast 5:25,28; 16:25,32 .
 Пробкขvŋ $\tau \uparrow$ is a late word from $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \cup v \varepsilon \omega$, to bow the knee, to worship, occurs here only in N.T., but is found in one pre-Christian inscription (Deissmann, Light, etc., p. 101) and in one of the 3rd century A.D. (Moulton \& Milligan, Vocabulary).

In spirit and truth ( $\varepsilon v \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \kappa \alpha \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha)$. This is what matters, not where, but how (in reality, in the spirit of man, the highest part of man, and so in truth). All this is according to the Holy Spirit (Ro 8:5) who is the Spirit of truth (Joh 16:13 ). Here Jesus has said the final word on worship, one needed today.

Seeketh $(\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon)$. The Father has revealed himself in the Son who is the truth (Joh 14:6,9 ). It does matter whether we have a true conception of God whom we worship.

To be his worshippers ( $\tau о ч \varsigma \pi \rho о \sigma к \nu \nu о v v \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau о v)$. Rather, "seeks such as those who worship him" (predicate accusative articular participle in apposition with totovtous (such). John pictures the Father as seeking worshippers, a doctrine running all through the Gospel (3:16; 6:44; 15:16; 1 Jo 4:10 ).

John 4:24
God is a Spirit ( $\pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha$ o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma)$. More precisely, "God is Spirit" as "God is Light" (1Jo 1:5 ), "God is Love" (1Jo 4:8). In neither case can we read Spirit is God, Light is God, Love is God. The non-corporeality of God is clearly stated and the personality of God also. All this is put in three words for the first time.

Must $(\delta \varepsilon)$. Here is the real necessity $(\delta \varepsilon)$, not the one used by the woman about the right place of worship (verse 20).

John 4:25

Messiah cometh (Mعббıаऽ $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Hebrew word in N.T. only here and 1:41 and explained by Xpıбтoc in both places. The Samaritans looked for a Messiah, a prophet like Moses (De 18:18). Simon Magus gave himself out in Samaria as some great one and had a large following (Ac 8:9). Pilate quelled an uprising in Samaria over a fanatical Messianic claimant (Josephus, Ant. XVIII. iv. 1).

When he is come (oтav $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o \varsigma) . ~ " W h e n e v e r ~ t h a t ~ o n e ~ c o m e s . " ~ I n d e f i n i t e ~ t e m p o r a l ~$ clause with $o \tau \alpha v(o \tau \varepsilon, \alpha v)$ and the second aorist active subjunctive. Wistfully she turns to this dim hope as a bare possibility about this strange "prophet."

He will declare unto us all things ( $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \eta \mu \nu \nu \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Future active indicative of $\alpha v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, old and common verb to announce fully ( $\alpha v \alpha$, up and down). See also 16:13. Perhaps here is light on the knowledge of her life by Jesus as well as about the way to worship God.

John 4:26
I that speak unto thee am he ( $\mathrm{E} \gamma \omega \varepsilon \iota \mu$ o $\lambda \alpha \lambda \omega \nu \sigma o$ ). "I am he, the one speaking to thee." In plain language Jesus now declares that he is the Messiah as he does to the blind man (Joh 9:37).

John 4:27
Upon this $(\varepsilon \pi \tau o v \tau \omega)$. This idiom only here in N.T. At this juncture. Apparently the woman left at once when the disciples came.

They marvelled ( $\varepsilon \theta \alpha v \mu \alpha \zeta o v)$. Imperfect active describing the astonishment of the disciples as they watched Jesus talking with a woman.

Was speaking $(\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon)$. As in 2:25, so here the tense is changed in indirect discourse from $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ to $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$, an unusual idiom in Greek. However, o $\tau$ here may be "because" and then the imperfect is regular. It is not "with the woman" ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \cup v \alpha \iota \kappa$ ) , but simply "with a woman" ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \nu \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\jmath} \boldsymbol{\jmath})$. There was a rabbinical precept: "Let no one talk with a woman in the street, no, not with his own wife" (Lightfoot, Hor, Hebr. iii. 287). The disciples held Jesus to be a rabbi and felt that he was acting in a way beneath his dignity.

Yet no man said (ov $\delta \varepsilon ı \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \tau o \varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon v)$. John remembers through the years their amazement and also their reverence for Jesus and unwillingness to reflect upon him.

John 4:28
Left her waterpot ( $\alpha \varphi \eta \kappa \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \nu v \delta \rho \iota \alpha \nu$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \varphi \neg \eta \mu$, ingressive aorist, in her excitement and embarrassment. It was too large for speed anyhow (2:6). And says ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ ). Graphic historic present indicative again.

John 4:29
All things that ever I did ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \alpha \varepsilon \pi \circ \not \eta \sigma \alpha$ ).
Ha, not ood (as many as), no "ever" in the Greek. But a guilty conscience (verse 18f. ) led her to exaggerate a bit.

Can this be the Christ? ( $\mu \eta \tau$ оито¢ $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ o X $\rho เ \sigma \tau о \sigma ;$ ). She is already convinced herself (verses 26 f . ), but she puts the question in a hesitant form to avoid arousing opposition. With a woman's intuition she avoided ovk and uses $\mu \eta \tau$. She does not take sides, but piques their curiosity.

John 4:30
They went out ( $\varepsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta \mathrm{ov})$. Second aorist (effective) indicative of $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, at once and in a rush.

And were coming to him ( $\kappa \alpha \eta \rho \chi о v \tau 0 \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha \tau \tau \circ$ ). Imperfect middle, graphically picturing the long procession as they approached Jesus.

John 4:31
 "the next Sabbath" (Ac 13:42 ) and $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi v$ (Lu 8:1 ). M $\mathcal{\tau} \alpha \xi v$ means between.

Prayed him ( $\eta \rho \omega \tau \omega v$ avtov). Imperfect active, "kept beseeching him." For this late (Koine) use of $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \omega$, to beseech, instead of the usual sense to question see also verses 40,47 . Their concern for the comfort of Jesus overcame their surprise about the woman.

## John 4:32

Meat ( $\beta \rho \omega \sigma \tau v$ ). Originally the act of eating (Ro 14:17) from $\beta \iota \beta \rho \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, but soon and commonly as that which is eaten like $\beta \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ once in John (verse 34). So here and 6:27,55 . Cf. vernacular English "good eating," "good eats."

I ... ye ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \ldots \nu \mu \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Emphatic contrast. Spiritual food Jesus had.
John 4:33
Hath any man brought him aught to eat? ( $M \eta \tau \iota \varsigma \eta \nu \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \varepsilon \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega \varphi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \iota \gamma ;$ ). Negative answer expected ( $\mu \eta$ ). "Did any one bring him (something) to eat?" During our absence, they mean. Second aorist active indicative of $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$ ( $\eta \nu \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \varepsilon v$ ) and second aorist active infinitive of $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \iota \omega$ ( $\varphi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon เ v$ ), defective verbs both of them. See 4:7 for like infinitive construction ( $\delta$ оऽ $\pi \varepsilon เ v$ ).

John 4:34
To do the will (ıva $\pi о \imath \eta \sigma \omega$ тo $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ ). Non-final use of $t v \alpha$ and the first aorist active subjunctive as subject or predicate nominative as in $6: 29 ; 15: 8 ; 17: 3$. The Messianic consciousness of Jesus is clear and steady $(5: 30 ; 6: 38)$. He never doubted that the Father sent him.

And to accomplish his work ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \omega \sigma \omega$ av $\tau \circ v \tau о \varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v$ ). Hıva understood with $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \epsilon \omega \sigma \omega$ in like idiom, first aorist active subjunctive of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega \circ \omega$ (from $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega \circ$ ), to bring to an end. See 5:36. In 17:4 (the Intercessory Prayer) he will say that he has done ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega \omega \sigma a \varsigma$ ) this task which the Father gave him to do. On the Cross Jesus will cry Tete $\lambda \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha$ (It is finished). He will carry through the Father's programme (Joh 3:16 ). That is his "food." He had been doing that in winning the woman to God.

John 4:35

Say not ye? ( $O v \chi$ v $\quad$ кєء $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$;). It is not possible to tell whether Jesus is alluding to a rural proverb of which nothing is known about there being four months from seedtime to harvest (a longer time than four months in fact) or whether he means that it was then actually four months to harvest. In the latter sense, since harvest began about the middle of April, it would be December when Jesus spoke.

There are yet four months ( $\varepsilon \tau \tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \mu \eta \nu \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). The use of $\varepsilon \tau$ (yet) and the fact that the space between seedtime and harvest is longer than four months ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha$, Aeolic for $\tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho a$, and $\mu \eta \nu$, month) argue against the proverb idea.

And then cometh the harvest ( $\kappa \alpha$ о $\theta \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. "And the harvest ( $\theta \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma$, from $\theta \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, rare in Greek writers) comes." The possible Iambic verse here is purely accidental as in 5:14.

Lift up your eyes ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ тovৎ $о \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \circ v \varsigma v \mu \omega v$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \rho \omega$. Deliberate looking as in Joh 6:5 where $\theta \varepsilon \alpha o \mu \alpha$ also is used as here.

Fields ( $\chi \omega \rho a \varsigma)$. Cultivated or ploughed ground as in Lu 21:21.
White ( $\lambda \varepsilon \cup \kappa \alpha$ ). Ripened grain like grey hair (Mt 5:36).
Already unto harvest ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \theta \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \mu \circ v \eta \delta \eta$ ). Probably $\eta \delta \eta$ (already) goes with verse 36. The Samaritans could already be seen approaching and they were the field "white for harvest." This is the meaning of Christ's parable. If it is the spring of the year and Christ can point to the ripened grain, the parable is all the plainer, but it is not dependent on this detail. Recall the parable of the sower in Mt 13 .

John 4:36
Already he that reapeth receiveth wages ( $\eta \delta \eta$ o $\theta \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta \omega \nu \mu \iota \sigma \theta$ ov $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \varepsilon$ ). The spiritual harvester can gather his harvest without waiting four months. Jesus is reaping a harvest right now by the conversion of this woman. The labourer is worthy of his hire ( $\mathrm{Lu} 10: 7 ; 2 \mathrm{Ti}$ 2:6 ). John does not use $\mu$ юбӨоৎ (reward) again, but картоৎ ( 15:2-16), "fruit for life eternal" (cf. 4:14).

That he that soweth and he that reapeth may rejoice together ( $\imath v \alpha$ o $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega v$ ouov $\chi \alpha \iota \rho \eta$ ка о $\theta \varepsilon \rho ı \zeta \omega v)$. Final use of $\iota \alpha \alpha$ with present active subjunctive of $\chi \alpha \iota \rho \omega$, to rejoice, in the singular with o $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega \nu$ (the sower) and to be repeated with o $\theta \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta \omega v$ (the reaper). The adverb ouov (together) elsewhere in N.T. only 20:4; 21:2; Ac 2:1 . Usually considerable time passes between the sowing and the reaping as in verse 35. Amos (Am 9:13) spoke of the time when "the ploughman shall overtake the reaper" and that has happened here with the joy of the harvest time (Isa 9:3). Jesus the Sower and the disciples as the reapers are here rejoicing simultaneously.

## John 4:37

For herein ( $\varepsilon v \gamma \alpha \rho \tau o v \tau \omega$ ). In this relation between the sower and the reaper.
The saying (o $\lambda \mathrm{o} \gamma \circ \varsigma$ ). Like $1 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 15 ; 3: 1$, etc. Probably a proverb that is particularly true ( $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta$ ıvoc for which see $1: 9$ ) in the spiritual realm.

One soweth, and another reapeth ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ ос $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ о $\sigma \pi \varepsilon เ \rho \omega v$ ка $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ оऽ о $\theta \varepsilon \rho ı \zeta \omega v$ ). "One is the sower and another the reaper." It is sad when the sower misses the joy of reaping (Job 31:8) and has only the sowing in tears (Ps 126:5f. ). This may be the punishment for $\sin$ (De 28:30; Mic 6:15 ). Sometimes one reaps where he has not sown (De 6:11; Jos 24:13 ). It is the prerogative of the Master to reap (Mt 25:26f. ), but Jesus here lets the disciples share his joy.

John 4:38
I sent $(\varepsilon \gamma \omega \alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \wedge \lambda)$. Emphatic use of $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ and first aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ common in John for to send.
 котı $\alpha \omega$ for which see $4: 6$. So also кєкотıкабьv in next line. The disciples had done no sowing here in Sychar, only Jesus and the woman.

Others ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \mathrm{o}$ : Jesus, the Baptist, the prophets).
And ye ( $\kappa \alpha$ v $\mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ). Emphatic contrast.
Have entered ( $\varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta a \tau \varepsilon)$. Perfect active indicative of $\varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$.
Into their labour ( (ءц тоv копоv $\alpha \cup \tau \omega v$ ). Into the fruit and blessed results of their toil (котос). This is always true as seen in Ac 8:5-7,14f.

John 4:39
Because of the saying of the woman who testified ( $\delta$ $\alpha \alpha$ тоv $\lambda о \gamma о \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \cup v a ı к о$, $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho о и \sigma \eta \varsigma)$. She bore her witness clearly and with discretion. She told enough to bring her neighbours to Christ. They knew her evil life and she frankly confessed Christ's rebuke to her. She had her share in this harvest. How timid and cowardly we often are today in not giving our testimony for Christ to our neighbour.

John 4:40
Two days ( $\delta v o \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma$ ). Accusative of extent of time. They wanted to cultivate the acquaintance of Jesus. So he remained in Sychar in a continuous revival, a most unexpected experience when one recalls the feeling between the Jews and the Samaritans (4:9). The reaping went on gloriously.

John 4:41
Many more ( $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \pi \lambda \varepsilon$ souc). "More by much" (instrumental case $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega$ ) in comparison with just "many" ( $\pi$ о $\lambda \lambda$ o) of verse 39 . Jesus was reaping more rapidly than the woman did. But all were rejoicing that so many "believed" ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma a v$, really believed).

John 4:42
Not because of thy speaking (oukะ $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta v \sigma \eta v \lambda \alpha \lambda \iota a v$ ). "No longer because of thy talk," good and effective as that was. $\Lambda \alpha \lambda \iota \alpha$ (cf. $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ ) is talk, talkativeness, mode of speech, one's vernacular, used by Jesus of his own speech (Joh 8:43).

We have heard ( $\alpha \kappa \eta \kappa о \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\alpha \kappa \sigma v \omega$, their abiding experience.
For ourselves (auto). Just "ourselves."

The Saviour of the world (o $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$ тov коб $\mu \circ$ ). See Mt 1:21 for sosei used of Jesus by the angel Gabriel. John applies the term $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$ to Jesus again in 1Jo 4:14. Jesus had said to the woman that salvation is of the Jews (verse 22). He clearly told the Samaritans during these two days that he was the Messiah as he had done to the woman (verse 26) and explained that to mean Saviour of Samaritans as well as Jews. Sanday thinks that probably John puts this epithet of Saviour in the mouth of the Samaritans, but adds: "At the same time it is possible that such an epithet might be employed by them merely as synonymous with Messiah." But why "merely"? Was it not natural for these Samaritans who took Jesus as their "Saviour," Jew as he was, to enlarge the idea to the whole world? Bernard has this amazing statement on Joh 4:42 : "That in the first century Messiah was given the title sot $\boxtimes \mathrm{r}$ is not proven." The use of "saviour and god" for Ptolemy in the third century B.C. is well known. "The ample materials collected by Magie show that the full title of honour, Saviour of the world, with which St. John adorns the Master, was bestowed with sundry variations in the Greek expression on Julius Caesar, Augustus, Claudius, Vespasian, Titus, Trajan, Hadrian, and other Emperors in inscriptions in the Hellenistic East" (Deissmann, Light, etc., p. 364). Perhaps Bernard means that the Jews did not call Messiah Saviour. But what of it? The Romans so termed their emperors and the New Testament so calls Christ (Lu 2:11; Joh 4:42; Ac 5:31; 3:23; Php 3:20; Eph 5:23; Tit 1:4; 2:13; 3:6; 2Ti 1:10; 2Pe 1:1,11; 2:20; 3:2,18 ). All these are writings of the first century A.D. The Samaritan villagers rise to the conception that he was the Saviour of the world.

John 4:43
After the two days (Мغта таৎ $\delta v o \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho a \varsigma)$. Those in verse 40.
Into Galilee ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \tau \eta \nu\left\lceil\alpha \lambda_{\wedge} \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha v\right)$. As he had started to do (verse 3) before the interruption at Sychar.

John 4:44
For Jesus himself testified (avтoৎ $\gamma \alpha \rho$ I $\eta \sigma о \cup \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). John's explanation of the conduct of Jesus by quoting a proverb often used by Jesus (Mr 6:4; Mt 13:57; Lu 4:24 in reference to Nazareth), but not necessarily used by Jesus on this occasion. A similar proverb has been found in Plutarch, Pliny, Seneca.

A prophet hath no honour in his own country ( $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta เ \delta i \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \rho เ \delta \tau \tau \mu \eta v$ ovk $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon$ ). What is meant by $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \iota \delta$ ? In the Synoptics (Lu 4:24; Mr 6:4; Mt 13:57) the reference is to Nazareth where he was twice rejected. But what has John in mind in quoting it here? He probably knew the quotations in the Synoptics. Does John refer to Judea by "his own country"? If so, the application hardly fits for he had already explained that Jesus was leaving Judea because he was too popular there ( 4:1-3). If he means Galilee, he immediately mentions the cordial welcome accorded Jesus there (verse 45). But even so this is probably John's meaning for he is speaking of the motive of Jesus in going into Galilee where he had not yet laboured and where he apparently had no such fame as in Judea and now in Samaria.

## John 4:45

So when (ote ouv). Transitional use of ouv, sequence, not consequence.
Received him ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \xi \alpha v \tau 0 ~ a v \tau o v)$. First aorist middle of $\delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, "welcomed him." Jesus had evidently anticipated a quiet arrival.

Having seen ( $\varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa о \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Perfect active participle of opa $\omega$. Note $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho$ оuvt $\varepsilon \varsigma$ in 2:23 about this very thing at the feast in Jerusalem. The miracles of Jesus at that first passover made a stir.

For they also went ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha v \tau о ~ \gamma \alpha \rho ~ \eta \lambda \theta o v$ ). The Samaritans did not go and so Jesus was a new figure to them, but the Galileans, as orthodox Jews, did go and so were predisposed in his favour.

John 4:46
Again ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \imath v$ ). A second time.
Unto Cana ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \tau \eta \nu K a v \alpha$ ). Note article, "the Cana of Galilee" already mentioned in 2:1.

Where he made the water wine (о ооv єтопп $\sigma \varepsilon v$ тo $v \delta \omega \rho$ oıvov). That outstanding first miracle would still be remembered in Cana and would indicate that Jesus had some friends there.

Nobleman ( $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \varsigma)$. One connected with the king ( $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon u \varsigma$ ), whether by blood or by office. Probably here it is one of the courtiers of Herod the tetrarch of Galilee, Chuzas ( $\operatorname{Lu} 8: 3$ ), Manaen ( $\operatorname{Ac~13:1}$ ), or some one else. Some of the manuscripts used $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda_{ı} \sigma \kappa \circ$, a petty king, a diminutive of $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon u \varsigma$.

Was sick ( $\eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active of $\alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \omega$ ( $\alpha$ privative and $\sigma \theta \varepsilon v o c$, without strength, Mt 25:36 ), continued sick.

At Capernaum ( $\varepsilon v$ Ka $\alpha \rho \nu \alpha o v \mu$ ). Some miles from Cana near where the Jordan enters the Sea of Galilee.

John 4:47
When he heard ( $\alpha \kappa 0 \cup \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\alpha \kappa 0 v \omega$. The news spread rapidly about Jesus.

Was come ( $\eta \kappa \varepsilon$ ). Present active indicative of $\eta \kappa \omega$, one of the perfective presents, retained in indirect discourse. He had heard the people talk about the miracles in Jerusalem and the first one in Cana.

Went and besought ( $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \eta \rho \omega \tau \alpha$ ). Ingressive aorist indicative (went off at once) and imperfect active ( $\eta \rho \omega \tau \alpha$, began to beg and kept it up).

That he would come down (ıva кат $\alpha \beta \eta$, $\imath \propto \alpha$ and second aorist active subjunctive of кат $\alpha \beta a เ v \omega$, come down at once)
and heal his son ( $\kappa \alpha เ \alpha \sigma \eta \tau \alpha$ av $\frac{1}{}$ रov vov, $t v \alpha$ construction, sub-final use or object clause, with first aorist middle subjunctive of $1 \alpha 0 \mu \alpha$, completely heal).

For he was at the point of death ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \sigma \theta v \eta \sigma \kappa \varepsilon เ v$ ). Reason ( $\gamma \alpha \rho$ ) for the urgency. Imperfect active of $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ with present active infinitive old and common verb for what is about to be and it is used with the infinitive present as here, the aorist infinitive ( Re 13:16), or the future infinitive (Ac 11:28). The idiom is used of the impending death of Jesus (Joh 11:51; 12:33; 18:32).

John 4:48
 aorist active subjunctive of opa $\omega$ ). Jesus is not discounting his "signs and wonders" ( $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ ка $\tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \tau \alpha$, both words together here only in John, though common in N.T. as in Mt 24:24; Mr 13:22; Ac 2:19,22,43; 2Th 2:9; Heb 2:4), though he does seem disappointed that he is in Galilee regarded as a mere miracle worker.

Ye will in no wise believe ( $o v \mu \eta \pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Strong double negative with aorist active subjunctive of $\pi\llcorner\sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$, picturing the stubborn refusal of people to believe in Christ without miracles.

## John 4:49

Sir (Kupız). See 1:38.
Come down ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \eta \theta$ ). Second aorist active imperative, tense and tone of urgency.
 second aorist active infinitive of $\alpha \pi \circ \theta \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$ and accusative of general reference, "before dying as to my child." Bengel notes that he only thought Jesus had power before death as even Martha and Mary felt at first (11:21,32). But the father's heart goes out to Jesus.

## John 4:50

Thy son liveth (o vooc $\sigma 0 v \zeta \eta$ ). "Thy son is living," and will not now die, Jesus means. Words too good and gracious to be true. His son is healed without Jesus even going to Capernaum, "absent treatment" so to speak, but without the cure being absent.

Believed the word ( $\varepsilon \pi\lrcorner \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v \tau \omega \lambda o \gamma \omega$ ). Instantaneous faith (aorist active indicative), trusted the word (dative case $\lambda o \gamma \omega$ ).

Went his way (غпорعטعто). Inchoative imperfect middle, "started on his way," acted on his faith.

John 4:51
As he was now going down ( $\eta \delta \eta$ avтоv катаßаıvovтоৎ). Genitive absolute in-spite of the fact that $\alpha v \tau \omega$ (associative instrumental case with $v \pi \eta v \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$ aorist active indicative of vпаvта $\omega$ ) is near.

That his son lived (от o таıร $\alpha \cup \tau 0 v \zeta \eta$ ). Present active indicative preserved in indirect discourse (cf. the words of Jesus in verse 50). Note $\pi$ aıs here (only example in John), vios in 50 , $\pi$ aı $\delta$ เov (diminutive of tenderness) in 49.

John 4:52
Inquired ( $\varepsilon \pi v \theta \varepsilon \tau \sigma$ ). Second aorist middle indicative of $\pi v v \theta a v o \mu \alpha$.

Began to mend (кон廿отєроv $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist ingressive active indicative of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ (took a turn, got better) and comparative of adverb ко $\mu \psi \omega$ c. Arrian (_Epictetus iii. 10.13) has ко $\mu \psi \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ f r o m ~ a ~ p h y s i c i a n, ~ " T h o u ~ h a s t ~ i t ~ f i n e, " ~ " T h o u ~ a r t ~ d o i n g ~ f i n e l y . " ~ T h e ~ p a p y r i ~$ give several similar examples. $К о \mu \psi \omega \varsigma$ (neat) is from ко $\mu \varepsilon \omega$, to take care of.

At the seventh hour ( $\omega \rho \alpha v \varepsilon \beta \delta o \mu \eta \nu$ ). The accusative case without a preposition as in Re 3:3 , though we have $\pi \varepsilon \rho \omega \rho a v \varepsilon v a \tau \eta \nu$ (about the ninth hour) in Ac 10:3. See the accusative also in Ex 9:18 $\tau \alpha v \tau \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu \omega \rho \alpha v$ avpıov (tomorrow about this hour). The accusative has the notion of extension and can be thus loosely used. It can even mean here "during the seventh hour." In verse 53 the locative is more exact, "at that hour" ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v \eta \tau \eta \omega \rho \alpha$ ). The seventh hour would be (Roman time) seven P.M.

John 4:53
So the father knew ( $\varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega$ ovv o $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\gamma \downarrow \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. Inferential use of ouv.

Himself believed ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma \varepsilon v$ avtoc). Not just the word of Jesus (verse 50), but complete faith in Jesus himself as the Messiah, absolute use of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$ as in 1:7.

And his whole house ( $\kappa \alpha \eta$ окка $\alpha \cup \tau о v$ ). All his family, the first example of a whole family believing in Jesus like the later case of Crispus (Ac 18:8).

John 4:54
The second sign that ( $\delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \sigma$ ). No article, simply predicate accusative, "This again a second sign did Jesus having come out of Judea into Galilee." The first one was also in Cana (2:1ff. ), but many were wrought in Jerusalem also (2:23).

## John 5

John 5:1
After these things ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha)$. John is fond of this vague phrase (3:22; 6:1). He does not mean that this incident follows immediately. He is supplementing the Synoptic Gospels and does not attempt a full story of the work of Jesus. Some scholars needlessly put chapter 5 after chapter 6 because in chapter 6 Jesus is in Galilee as at the end of chapter 4 . But surely it is not incongruous to think of Jesus making a visit to Jerusalem before the events in chapter 6 which undoubtedly come within a year of the end ( 6:4).

A feast of the Jews ( $\varepsilon o \rho \tau \eta \tau \omega v$ Iov $\alpha a \iota \omega v$ ). Some manuscripts have the article $(\eta)$ "the feast" which would naturally mean the passover. As a matter of fact there is no way of telling what feast it was which Jesus here attended. Even if it was not the passover, there may well be another passover not mentioned besides the three named by John (2:13,23; 6:4: 12:1).

Went up $(\alpha v \varepsilon \beta \eta)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha \iota v \omega$. It was up towards Jerusalem from every direction save from Hebron.

John 5:2
There is ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. Bengel argues that this proves a date before the destruction of Jerusalem, but it is probably only John's vivid memory.

By the sheep gate ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \pi \rho \circ \beta a \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ ). Supply $\pi \nu \lambda \eta$ (gate) which occurs with the adjective $\pi \rho о \beta \alpha \tau ⿺ \kappa \eta$ (pertaining to sheep, $\pi \rho о \beta a \tau \alpha$ ) in Ne 3:1,22 .

A pool ( $\kappa о \lambda \nu \mu \beta \eta \theta \rho \alpha$ ). A diving or swimming pool (from ко $\lambda \nu \mu \beta \alpha \omega$, to swim, Ac 27:43 ), old word, only here in N.T.

Which is called ( $\eta \varepsilon \pi \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \mu \varepsilon v \eta$ ). "The surnamed" (present passive participle, only N.T. example except Ac 15:40 first aorist middle participle $\varepsilon \pi i \lambda \varepsilon \xi a \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$ ).

In Hebrew (Eßpaıбт). "In Aramaic" strictly as in 19:13,17,20; 20:16; Re 9:11; 16:16.
Bethesda (Be $\theta \varepsilon \sigma \delta \alpha$, or House of Mercy. So A C Syr cu). Aleph D L 33 have B $\varepsilon Ө \zeta \alpha \theta a$ or House of the Olive, while B W Vulg. Memph. have BeӨoaıסa.

Having five porches ( $\pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \sigma \tau \circ \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \chi \circ v \sigma \alpha)$ ). $\Sigma \tau 0 \alpha$ was a covered colonnade where people can gather from which Stoic comes (Ac 17:18). See Joh 10:23; Ac 3:11. Schick in 1888 found twin pools north of the temple near the fortress of Antonia one of which has five porches. It is not, however, certain that this pool existed before A.D. 70 when the temple was destroyed (Sanday, Sacred Sites of the Gospels, p. 55). Some have identified it with the Pool of Siloam (9:7), though John distinguishes them. There is also the Virgin's Well, called the Gusher, because it periodically bubbles over from a natural spring, a kind of natural siphon. This is south of the temple in the Valley of Kedron and quite possibly the real site.

John 5:3
In these ( $\varepsilon v$ tautaıc). In these five porches.

Lay (катєкยєто). Imperfect middle of катакєца, to lie down, singular number because $\pi \lambda \eta \theta$ oc (multitude) is a collective substantive.

Withered ( $\xi \eta \rho \omega v$ ). Old adjective $\xi \eta \rho o \varsigma$ for dry, wasted as the hand (Mt 12:10). The oldest and best manuscripts omit what the Textus Receptus adds here "waiting for the moving of the water" ( $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v \circ \nu \tau \eta \nu \tau 0 v$ v $\delta \alpha \tau о \varsigma \kappa \kappa \nu \eta \sigma เ \nu)$, a Western and Syrian addition to throw light on the word $\tau \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \theta \eta$ (is troubled) in verse 7.

John 5:4
All of this verse is wanting in the oldest and best manuscripts like Aleph B C D W 33 Old Syriac, Coptic versions, Latin Vulgate. It is undoubtedly added, like the clause in verse 3, to make clearer the statement in verse 7 . Tertullian is the earliest writer to mention it. The Jews explained the healing virtues of the intermittent spring by the ministry of angels. But the periodicity of such angelic visits makes it difficult to believe. It is a relief to many to know that the verse is spurious.

John 5:5
Which had been thirty and eight years ( $\tau \rho ⿺ \alpha \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha$ окт $\varepsilon \tau \tau \eta \chi \omega v$ ). Literally, "having thirty and eight years," "having spent thirty and eight years."

John 5:6
Knew that he had been a long time ( $\gamma$ vovc o兀 $\pi о \lambda \nu v \eta \delta \eta \chi \rho \circ v o v \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon$ ). How Jesus "knew" ( $\gamma v o v \varsigma$, second aorist active participle of $\gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ ) we are not told, whether supernatural knowledge (2:24f. ) or observation or overhearing people's comments. In $\eta \delta \eta \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon$ we have a progressive present active indicative, "he has already been having much time" ( $\chi$ povov, accusative of extent of time).

Wouldest thou be made whole? ( $\Theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ v ү ı \varsigma \varsigma ~ \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta a ı ;)$. "Dost thou wish to become whole?" Predicate nominative $v \gamma \iota \eta \varsigma$ with $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ (second aorist middle infinitive). It was a pertinent and sympathetic question.

John 5:7
When the water is troubled (o $\tau \alpha v \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \theta \eta$ тo $v \delta \omega \rho$ ). Indefinite temporal clause with otav and the first aorist passive subjunctive of tapa $\sigma \sigma \omega$, old verb to agitate (Mt 2:3). The popular belief was that, at each outflow of this intermittent spring, there was healing power in the water for the first one getting in.

To put me into the pool (ıva $\beta \alpha \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon ı \varsigma \tau \eta v \kappa о \lambda \nu \mu \beta \eta \theta \rho \alpha v$ ). Final use of $t v \alpha$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, "that he throw me in" quickly before any one else. For this use of $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ see Mr 7:30; Lu 16:20.

But while I am coming ( $\varepsilon v \omega \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \rho \chi o \mu \alpha)$. Temporal use of the relative, "in which time" ( $\chi \rho \circ v \omega$ or $\kappa \alpha \iota \omega$ understood). $E \gamma \omega$ (I) is emphatic.

John 5:8
 Present active imperative of $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$, a sort of exclamation, like our "Get up." The first active
imperative ( $\alpha \rho \circ v$ of $\alpha \iota \rho \omega$ ) means to pick up the pallet, and then "go on walking" (present


John 5:9
Took up his bed and walked ( $\eta \rho \varepsilon$ тоv краßаттоv avтоט ка лєрєєпатє). The same distinction in tenses in the same verbs preserved, punctiliar action in $\eta \rho \varepsilon$ (first aorist active of $\alpha u \rho \omega$, took it up at once) and linear act (imperfect active of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$, went on walking).

The sabbath on that day ( $\sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau 0 v \varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v \eta \tau \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). The first of the violations of the Sabbath rules of the Jews by Jesus in Jerusalem that led to so much bitterness (cf. 9:14,16 ). This controversy will spread to Galilee on Christ's return there (Mr 2:23-3:6; Mt 12:1-14; Lu 6:1-11).

John 5:10
Unto him that was cured ( $\tau \omega \tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon \nu \mu \varepsilon v \omega$ ). Perfect passive articular participle of $\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon \cup \omega$ (only example in John), "to the healed man." See Mt 8:7.

To take up thy bed ( $\alpha \rho \alpha$ тov кр $\alpha \beta \alpha \tau \tau 0 v$ ). The very words of Jesus (verse 8), only infinitive (first aorist active). Carrying burdens was considered unlawful on the Sabbath (Ex 23:12; Ne 13:19; Jer 17:21 ). Stoning was the rabbinical punishment. The healing of the man was a minor detail.

John 5:11
But he answered (os $\delta \varepsilon \alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho \iota \theta \eta$ ). Demonstrative o (But this one) and deponent use of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho ı \theta \eta$ (first aorist passive indicative of $\alpha \pi о к \rho ı v o \mu \alpha$ with no passive force).

The same (عкะเvoc). "That one," emphatic demonstrative as often in John (1:18,33; 9:37; 10:1, etc.). The man did not know who Jesus was nor even his name. He quotes the very words of Jesus.

Whole (vүıף). Predicate accusative agreeing with $\mu \varepsilon$ (me).
John 5:12
Who is the man? (Tıৎ $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ o $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ об;). Contemptuous expression, "Who is the fellow?" They ask about the command to violate the Sabbath, not about the healing.

John 5:13
He that was healed (o $\alpha \theta \theta \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ). First aorist passive articular participle of tao $\mu \alpha$ (John's usual word).

Who it was ( $\tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). Present tense preserved in indirect question.
Had conveyed himself away ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon v \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa v \varepsilon \omega$, old verb to swim out, to slip out, or from $\varepsilon \kappa v \varepsilon v \omega$, to turn out, to turn the head to one side (to one side with which compare evevevov, they nodded, Lu 1:62 ). Either of these verbs can explain the form here. The aorist tense simply states an antecedent action without being a pastperfect.

A multitude being in the place ( $o \chi \lambda o v$ ov $\tau \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \tau \circ \pi \omega$ ). Genitive absolute and the reason for Christ's departure.

John 5:14
Findeth him (evpıoкع autov). Dramatic present as in 1:45, possibly after search as in 9:35.

Sin no more ( $\mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau ~ \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v \varepsilon$ ). "No longer go on sinning." Present active imperative with $\mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau$, a clear implication that disease was due to personal $\sin$ as is so often the case. Jesus used the same words to the woman taken in adultery in the spurious passage (Joh 8:11 ). He had suffered for 38 years. All sickness is not due to personal $\sin$ ( 9:3), but much is and nature is a hard paymaster. Jesus is here living up to his name (Mt 1:21).

Lest a worse thing befall thee ( $เ v \alpha \mu \eta \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \circ v \sigma o \tau \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Negative final clause with second aorist middle subjunctive of $\gamma ı v o \mu \alpha$. X $\varepsilon \rho \rho \frac{v}{}$ is comparative of какоৎ, bad. Worse than the illness of 38 years, bad as that is. He will now be sinning against knowledge.

John 5:15
Went away and told ( $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon v$ ). Both aorist active indicatives. Instead of giving heed to the warning of Jesus about his own sins he went off and told the Jews that now he knew who the man was who had commanded him to take up his bed on the Sabbath Day, to clear himself with the ecclesiastics and escape a possible stoning.

That it was Jesus (oт I $\eta \sigma 0 \cup \varsigma$ ع $\varepsilon \tau \iota v$ ). Present indicative preserved in indirect discourse. The man was either ungrateful and wilfully betrayed Jesus or he was incompetent and did not know that he was bringing trouble on his benefactor. In either case one has small respect for him.

John 5:16
Persecute ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \kappa \circ v$ ). Inchoative imperfect, "began to persecute" and kept it up. They took this occasion as one excuse ( $\delta$ ia tov to, because of this). They disliked Jesus when here first (2:18) and were suspicious of his popularity ( $4: 1$ ). Now they have cause for an open breach.

Because he did (ot $\varepsilon \pi \frac{1}{} \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active, not just this one act, but he was becoming a regular Sabbath-breaker. The Pharisees will watch his conduct on the Sabbath henceforth (Mr 2:23; 3:2).

John 5:17
Answered ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho เ v a \tau о)$. Regular aorist middle indicative of $\alpha \pi о к \rho เ v о \mu \alpha$, in John here only and verse 19 , elsewhere $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho \dagger \theta \eta$ as in verse 11.

My Father (o $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \mu \circ v$ ). Not "our Father," claim to peculiar relation to the Father.
Worketh even until now ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha \rho \tau \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Linear present middle indicative, "keeps on working until now" without a break on the Sabbath. Philo points out this fact of the continuous activity of God. Justin Martyr, Origen and others note this fact about God. He made the Sabbath for man's blessing, but cannot observe it himself.

And I work ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \omega \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta о \mu \alpha$ ). Jesus puts himself on a par with God's activity and thus justifies his healing on the Sabbath.

John 5:18
Sought the more ( $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v \varepsilon \zeta \eta \tau o v v)$. Imperfect active of $\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \omega$, graphic picture of increased and untiring effort "to kill him" (avtov atoктєıva, first aorist active, to kill him off and be done with him). John repeats this clause "they sought to kill him" in 7:1,19,25; 8:37,40 . Their own blood was up on this Sabbath issue and they bend every energy to put Jesus to death. If this is a passover, this bitter anger, murderous wrath, will go on and grow for two years.

Not only brake the Sabbath (ov $\mu \circ v o v \varepsilon \lambda v \varepsilon \tau о \sigma \alpha \beta \beta a \tau o v$ ). Imperfect active of $\lambda v \omega$. He was now a common and regular Sabbath-breaker. $\Lambda v \omega$ means to loosen, to set at naught. The papyri give examples of $\lambda v \omega$ in this sense like $\lambda v \varepsilon \iota v \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon v \theta \eta$ (to break the period of mourning). This was the first grudge against Jesus, but his defence had made the offence worse and had given them a far graver charge.

But also called God his own Father ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \iota \operatorname{s} \circ v \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau о v \theta \varepsilon \circ v$ ). "His own" ( $\delta$ \&ov) in a sense not true of others. That is precisely what Jesus meant by "My Father." See Ro 8:32 for o $\begin{aligned} & \text { ©ıos vioc, "his own Son." }\end{aligned}$

Making himself equal with God ( $\sigma \circ v \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v \pi o \iota \omega \nu \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). I $\sigma \circ \varsigma$ is an old common adjective (in papyri also) and means
equal. In Php 2:6 Paul calls the Pre-incarnate Christ $\tau \sigma \alpha \theta \varepsilon \omega$, "equal to God" (plural $\iota \sigma \alpha$, attributes of God). Bernard thinks that Jesus would not claim to be tooc $\theta \varepsilon \omega$ because in Joh 14:28 he says: "The Father is greater than I." And yet he says in 14:7 that the one who sees him sees in him the Father. Certainly the Jews understood Jesus to claim equality with the Father in nature and privilege and power as also in 10:33; 19:7 . Besides, if the Jews misunderstood Jesus on this point, it was open and easy for him to deny it and to clear up the misapprehension. This is precisely what he does not do. On the contrary Jesus gives a powerful apologetic in defence of his claim to equality with the Father (verses 19-47).

John 5:19
The Son (o vios). The absolute use of the Son in relation to the Father admitting the charge in verse 18 and defending his equality with the Father.

Can do nothing by himself (ov $\delta v v a \tau \alpha \pi о เ \varepsilon เ v ~ \alpha \varphi ' \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v ~ o v \delta \varepsilon v)$ ). True in a sense of every man, but in a much deeper sense of Christ because of the intimate relation between him and the Father. See this same point in $5: 30 ; 7: 28 ; 8: 28 ; 14: 10$. Jesus had already made it in $5: 17$. Now he repeats and defends it.

But what he seeth the Father doing ( $\alpha \nu \mu \eta \tau \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \eta \tau \sim \nu \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi o เ o v \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Rather, "unless he sees the Father doing something." Negative condition ( $\alpha \nu \mu \eta=\varepsilon \alpha \nu \mu \eta$, if not, unless) of third class with present (habit) subjunctive ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \eta$ ) and present active participle ( $\pi o t o v v \tau \alpha$ ). It is a supreme example of a son copying the spirit and work of a father. In his
work on earth the Son sees continually what the Father is doing. In healing this poor man he was doing what the Father wishes him to do.

For what things soever he doeth, these the Son also doeth in like manner ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha v$
 active subjunctive ( $\pi$ оוף). Note єкعıvoc, emphatic demonstrative, that one, referring to the Father. This sublime claim on the part of Jesus will exasperate his enemies still more.

John 5:20
Loveth ( $\varphi \backslash \lambda \varepsilon$ ). In 3:35 we have $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha$ from $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$, evidently one verb expressing as noble a love as the other. Sometimes a distinction (21:17) is made, but not here, unless $\varphi \iota \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ presents the notion of intimate friendship ( $\varphi \iota \lambda o \varsigma$, friend), fellowship, the affectionate side, while a $\gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$ (Latin diligo) is more the intelligent choice. But John uses both verbs for the mystery of love of the Father for the Son.

Greater works than these ( $\mu \varepsilon 亡 \zeta о v \alpha$ 亩 comparative $\mu \varepsilon \zeta$ ¢ova (from $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \varsigma$, great). John often uses $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$ for the miracles of Christ ( $5: 36 ; 7: 3,21 ; 10: 25,32,38$, etc.). It is the Father who does these works ( $14: 10$ ). There is more to follow. Even the disciples will surpass what Christ is doing in the extent of the work ( 14:12). $\Delta \varepsilon ı \xi \varepsilon$ is future active indicative of $\delta \varepsilon ו \kappa v v \mu$, to show. See also 10:32.
 subjunctive of $\theta \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$. Wonder belongs to childhood and to men of knowledge. Modern science has increased the occasion for wonder. Clement of Alexandria has a saying of Jesus: "He that wonders shall reign, and he that reigns shall rest."

John 5:21
Quickeneth whom he will (ovя $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \zeta \omega о \pi о \iota \varepsilon$ ). Present active indicative of $\zeta \omega о \pi о เ \varepsilon \omega$ (from $\zeta \omega о \pi о ь \varsigma \varsigma$, making alive), common in Paul (1Co 15:45, etc.). As yet, so far as we know, Jesus had not raised the dead, but he claims the power to do it on a par with the power of the Father. The raising of the son of the widow of Nain (Lu 7:11-17) is not far ahead, followed by the message to the Baptist which speaks of this same power (Lu 7:22; Mt 11:5), and the raising of Jairus' daughter (Mt 9:18,22-26 ). Jesus exercises this power on those "whom he wills." Christ has power to quicken both body and soul.

John 5:22
He hath given all judgement unto the Son ( $\tau \eta \nu \kappa \rho \iota \sigma \imath \tau \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha v \delta \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v \tau \omega v i \omega)$. Perfect active indicative of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, state of completion (as in $3: 35 ; 6: 27,29 ; 10: 29$, etc.). See this prerogative claimed for Christ already in 3:17. See the picture of Christ as Judge of men in Mt 25:31-46 .

John 5:23
 and present active subjunctive of $\tau \mu \alpha \omega$ (may keep on honouring the Son).

He that honoureth not the Son (o $\mu \eta \tau \mu \omega \nu \tau o v v ı v)$. Articular present active participle of $\tau \iota \mu \alpha \omega$ with negative $\mu \eta$. Jesus claims here the same right to worship from men that the Father has. Dishonouring Jesus is dishonouring the Father who sent him (8:49; 12:26; 15:23; 1Jo 2:23 ). See also Lu 10:16. There is small comfort here for those who praise Jesus as teacher and yet deny his claims to worship. The Gospel of John carries this high place for Christ throughout, but so do the other Gospels (even Q, the Logia of Jesus) and the rest of the New Testament.

John 5:24
Hath eternal life ( $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \zeta \omega \eta \nu$ aı $\omega v \iota v$ ). Has now this spiritual life which is endless. See 3:36. In verses 24,25 Jesus speaks of spiritual life and spiritual death. In this passage (21-29) Jesus speaks now of physical life and death, now of spiritual, and one must notice carefully the quick transition. In Re 20:14 we have the phrase "the second death" with which language compare Re 20:4-6.

But hath passed out of death into life ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \kappa$ тоv $\theta a v \alpha \tau о v$ عıৎ $\tau \eta v$ $\zeta \omega \eta \nu)$. Perfect active indicative of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \iota v \omega$, to pass from one place or state to another. Out of spiritual death into spiritual life and so no judgement (крıбıৎ).

John 5:25
And now is ( $\kappa \alpha v v \nu \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). See 4:23 for this phrase. Not the future resurrection in verse 28 , but the spiritual resurrection here and now.

The dead (o vєкро). The spiritually dead, dead in trespasses and sins (Eph 2:1,5; 5:14 ).

Shall hear the voice of the Son of God (akovoovoıv $\tau \eta \varsigma \varphi \omega \nu \eta \varsigma$ रov viov $\operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Note three genitives ( $\varphi \omega \nu \eta \varsigma$ after $\alpha \kappa 0 v \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu$, vıov with $\varphi \omega \nu \eta \varsigma, \theta \varepsilon \sigma v$ with vıov). Note three articles (correlation of the article) and that Jesus here calls himself "the Son of God" as in 10:36; 11:4.

Shall live (そŋoovoıv). Future active indicative, shall come to life spiritually.
John 5:26
In himself ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). The Living God possesses life wholly in himself and so he has bestowed this power of life to the Son as already stated in the Prologue of the Logos ( 1:3). For "gave" ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon \nu$, timeless aorist active indicative) see also $3: 35 ; 17: 2,24$. The particles "as" ( $\omega \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho$ ) and "so" (ov $\omega \omega \varsigma)$ mark here the fact, not the degree (Westcott).

John 5:27
Because he is the Son of man ( $\circ \tau v \iota \varsigma \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). Rather, "because he is a son of man" (note absence of articles and so not as the Messiah), because the judge of men must partake of human nature himself (Westcott). Bernard insists that John is here giving his own reflections rather than the words of Jesus and uses vıos $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ in the same sense as o vıos tov av $\theta \rho \omega \pi$ ov (always in the Gospels used by Jesus of himself). But that in my
opinion is a wrong view since we have here ostensibly certainly the words of Jesus himself. So in Re 1:13; 4:14 viov $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ ou means "a son of man."

John 5:28
In the tombs ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau о \varsigma \varphi \mu \eta \eta \varepsilon \iota \circ \varsigma)$. Tapo¢ (grave) presents the notion of burial ( $\theta a \pi \tau \omega$, to bury) as in Mt 23:27, $\mu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon ו o v$ (from $\mu \nu \alpha o \mu \alpha, \mu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$, to remind) is a memorial (sepulchre as a monument). Jesus claims not only the power of life (spiritual) and of judgement, but of power to quicken the actual dead at the Last Day. They will hear his voice and come out (єкторвибоขта, future middle indicative of єкторвиоиа). A general judgement and a general bodily resurrection we have here for both good and bad as in Mt 25:46; Ac 24:15; 2Co 5:10 and as often implied in the words of Jesus (Mt 5:29f.; 10:28; Lu 11:32 ). In Joh 6:39 Jesus asserts that he will raise up the righteous.

John 5:29
Unto the resurrection of life ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \alpha v a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \nu ~ \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma)$. Avaбта⿱ıৎ is an old word (Aeschylus) from $\alpha v i \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, to raise up, to arise. This combination occurs nowhere else in the N.T. nor does "the resurrection of judgement" ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma$ avaбтабıv крıбع $\omega \varsigma$ ), but in Lu 14:14 there is the similar phrase "in the resurrection of the just" ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta$ $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \tau \omega v \delta \kappa \kappa \alpha \omega \nu)$. Only there note both articles. Here without the articles it can mean "to a resurrection of life" and "to a resurrection of judgement," though the result is practically the same. There are two resurrections as to result, one to life, one to judgement. See both in Da 12:2 .

John 5:30
I $(\mathrm{E} \gamma \omega)$. The discourse returns to the first person after using "the Son" since verse 19. Here Jesus repeats in the first person (as in 8:28) the statement made in verse 19 about the Son. In John $\varepsilon \mu a v \tau o v$ is used by Jesus 16 times and not at all by Jesus in the Synoptics. It occurs in the Synoptics only in Mt 8:8; Lu 7:7f.

Righteous ( $\delta ı \alpha \not \approx \alpha)$. As all judgements should be. The reason is plain (ot, because), the guiding principle with the Son being the will of the Father who sent him and made him Judge. Judges often have difficulty in knowing what is law and what is right, but the Son's task as Judge is simple enough, the will of the Father which he knows (verse 20).

John 5:31
If I bear witness of myself (E $\alpha v \varepsilon \gamma \omega \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \omega \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \mu \alpha \nu \tau o v$ ). Condition of third class, undetermined with prospect of determination ( $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and present active subjunctive of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \omega)$. The emphasis is on $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ (I alone with no other witness).

Is not true (ouk $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v a \lambda \eta \theta \eta \varsigma$ ). In law the testimony of a witness is not received in his own case (Jewish, Greek, Roman law). See De 19:15 and the allusion to it by Jesus in Mt 18:16. See also 2Co 13:1; 1Ti 5:19. And yet in 8:12-19 Jesus claims that his witness concerning himself is true because the Father gives confirmation of his message. The Father and the Son are the two witnesses ( 8:17). It is a paradox and yet true. But here Jesus yields to the rabbinical demand for proof outside of himself. He has the witness of another (the Father,

5:32,37 ), the witness of the Baptist ( $5: 33$ ), the witness of the works of Jesus ( $5: 36$ ), the witness of the Scriptures ( $5: 39$ ), the witness of Moses in particular (5:45).

John 5:32
Another ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ oc). The Father, not the Baptist who is mentioned in verse 33. This continual witness of the Father ( $o \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \omega v$, who is bearing witness, and $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon$, present active indicative) is mentioned again in verses $36-38$ as in 8:17.

John 5:33
Ye have sent ( $\cup \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Emphatic use of $v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ (ye) and perfect active indicative of $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, official and permanent fact and so the witness of the Baptist has to be recognized as trustworthy by the Sanhedrin. The reference is to the committee in 1:19-28.

He hath borne witness ( $\mu \varepsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \eta \kappa \varepsilon v)$. Perfect active indicative of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \varepsilon \omega$ showing the permanent and abiding value of John's testimony to Christ as in 1:34; 3:26; 5:37. So also 19:35 of the testimony concerning Christ's death. This was the purpose of the Baptist's mission ( 1:7).

John 5:34
But the witness which I receive ( $\mathrm{E} \gamma \omega \delta \varepsilon$ ov $\tau \eta \nu \mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho ı \alpha \nu \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$ ). "But I do not receive the witness" simply from a man (like John). The $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ (I) in sharp contrast with $v \mu \varepsilon \varsigma$ (ye) of verse 33. Jesus complained of Nicodemus for not accepting his witness ( 3:11). Cf. also 3:32. In 1Jo 5:9 the witness of God is greater than that of men and this Jesus has.

That ye may be saved ( $\iota v \alpha \cup \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \sigma \omega \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Final clause with $\operatorname{v} \alpha$ and first aorist passive subjunctive of $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$. This was the purpose of Christ's coming, that the world might be saved (3:17).

John 5:35
He (عкعاvoc). "That one" (John of 33). Common demonstrative (that one) in John to point out the subject. Used in 1:8 of the Baptist as here. John was now in prison and so Christ uses $\eta v$ (was). His active ministry is over.

The lamp (o $\lambda \cup \chi \vee o c)$. The lamp in the room (Mr 4:21). Old word for lamp or candle as in Mt 5:15. Used of Christ (the Lamb) as the Lamp of the New Jerusalem (Re 21:23). $\Lambda \alpha \mu \pi \alpha \varsigma_{~(M t ~ 25: 1,3, ~ e t c .) ~ i s ~ a ~ t o r c h ~ w h o s e ~ w i c k ~ i s ~ f e d ~ w i t h ~ o i l . ~ T h e ~ B a p t i s t ~ w a s ~ n o t ~ t h e ~ L i g h t ~}^{\text {I }}$ ( $\tau \mathrm{o} \varphi \omega \varsigma, 1: 8$ ), but a lamp shining in the darkness. "When the Light comes, the lamp is no longer needed" (Bernard). "Non Lux iste, sed lucerna." Jesus by his own claim is the Light of the World ( $8: 12 ; 9: 5 ; 12: 46$ ). And yet all believers are in a sense "the light of the world" (Mt 5:14 ) since the world gets the Light of Christ through us.
 candle or lamp). The lamp that is lit and is burning (present passive participle of kail and so is consumed).

And shineth ( $\kappa \alpha \varphi \alpha ı v \omega v$ ). See 1:4 for this verb used of the Logos shining in the darkness. Cf. 1Jo 2:8 . John was giving light as he burned for those in darkness like these Jews.

And ye were willing (upદıৎ $\delta \varepsilon \eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon)$. "But ye became willing." Ingressive aorist active indicative of $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$. Reference again to $1: 19$. Cf. also for the temporary popularity of the Baptist Mr 1:5; Mt 3:5; 11:7; 21:26. The Jews were attracted to John "like moths to a candle" (Bernard).

To rejoice $\left(\alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda_{\iota} \alpha \theta \eta \nu \alpha\right)$. First aorist passive infinitive of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda_{\iota} \alpha o \mu \alpha$, late word for $\alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda o \mu \alpha$ for which see Mt 5:12. "They were attracted by his brightness, not by his warmth" (Bengel). Even so the brightness of John's shining did not really enlighten their minds. "The interest in the Baptist was a frivolous, superficial, and short-lived excitement" (Vincent). It was only "for an hour" ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \omega \rho a v$ ) when they turned against him.

John 5:36
But the witness which I have is greater than that of John ( $\mathrm{E} \gamma \omega \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \chi \omega \tau \eta \nu \mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \iota \alpha \nu$
 is predicate accusative and $I \omega \alpha \nu o v$ is ablative of comparison after $\mu \varepsilon l \zeta \omega$. Good as the witness of John is, Christ has superior testimony.

To accomplish ( $\imath v \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \omega \sigma \omega$ ). Final clause with $\tau v \alpha$ and first aorist active subjunctive of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota o \omega$, the same idiom in 4:34. Jesus felt keenly the task laid on him by the Father (cf. $3: 35)$ and claimed at the end that he had performed it $(17: 4 ; 19: 30)$. Jesus held that the highest form of faith did not require these "works" $(\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha)$ as in $2: 23 ; 10: 38 ; 14: 11$. But these "works" bear the seal of the Father's approval $(5: 20,36 ; 10: 25)$ and to reject their witness is wrong (10:25; 10:37f.; 15:24).

The very works ( $\alpha v \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$ ). "The works themselves," repeating $\tau \alpha \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$ just before for vernacular emphasis.

Hath sent me ( $\mu \varepsilon \alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, the permanence of the mission. Cf. 3:17. The continuance of the witness is emphasized in 5:32; 8:18.

John 5:37
 $\alpha v \tau o \varsigma$. Perfect active indicative of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \varepsilon \omega$, the direct witness of the Father, besides the indirect witness of the works. Jesus is not speaking of the voice of the Father at his baptism (Mr 1:11), the transfiguration (Mr 9:7), nor even at the time of the visit of the Greeks (Joh 12:28 ). This last voice was heard by many who thought it was thunder or an angel. The language of Jesus refers to the witness of the Father in the heart of the believers as is made plain in 1Jo 5:9,10. God's witness does not come by audible "voice" ( $\varphi \omega v \eta \nu$ ) nor visible "form" ( $\varepsilon \delta$ ос). Cf. 1:18; 6:46; 1Jo 4:12 . Акךкоатє is perfect active indicative of $\alpha \kappa 0 v \omega$, to hear, and $\varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ is perfect active indicative of o $\rho \alpha \omega$, to see. It is a permanent state of failure to hear and see God. The experience of Jacob in Peniel (Ge 32:30) was unusual, but Jesus will say that those who have seen him have seen the Father (Joh 14:9), but here he means the Father's "voice" and "form" as distinct from the Son.

John 5:38

And ( $\kappa \alpha$ ). "And yet" as in 1:10 and 5:40 below.
His word abiding in you ( $\tau 0 v \lambda o \gamma o v a v \tau o v \varepsilon v \nu \mu เ \nu \mu \varepsilon \nu o v \tau \alpha$ ). But God's word had come to them through the centuries by the prophets. For the phrase see $10: 35 ; 15: 3 ; 17: 6$; 1Jo 1:10; 2:14.

Him ye believe not ( $\tau 0 v \tau \omega \cup \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ o v ~ \pi ı \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon)$. "This one" ( $\tau 0 v \tau \omega$, dative case with
 word, but they reject both Jesus and God's word (Joh 14:9 ).

John 5:39
Ye search ( $\varepsilon \rho \alpha u v a \tau \varepsilon$ ). Proper spelling as the papyri show rather than $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon u v a \tau \varepsilon$, the old form (from $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon v v a$, search) as in 7:52. The form here can be either present active indicative second person plural or the present active imperative second person plural. Only the context can decide. Either makes sense here, but the reason given "because ye think" (oт v $\mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \delta о к \varepsilon ı \tau \varepsilon, ~$ clearly indicative), supports the indicative rather than the imperative. Besides, Jesus is arguing on the basis of their use of "the Scriptures" ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \alpha \varsigma)$. The plural with the article refers to the well-collection in the Old Testament (Mt 21:42; Lu 24:27 ). Elsewhere in John the singular refers to a particular passage ( $2: 22 ; 7: 38 ; 10: 35$ ).

In them ye have eternal life ( $\varepsilon \nu \alpha \cup \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma \zeta \omega \eta \nu \alpha \iota \omega \nu \iota \nu \varepsilon \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \iota \nu$ ). Indirect assertion after סoкєıєє without "ye" expressed either as nominative (v $\mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ) or accusative (v $\mu \propto \varsigma$ ). Bernard holds that in John $\delta$ oкع $\omega$ always indicates a mistaken opinion (5:45; 11:13,31; 13:29; 16:20; 20:15 ). Certainly the rabbis did make a mechanical use of the letter of Scripture as a means of salvation.

These are they ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v \alpha \varepsilon เ \sigma \iota v \alpha$ ). The true value of the Scriptures is in their witness to Christ (of me, $\pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ). Luke (24:27,45 ) gives this same claim of Jesus, and yet some critics fail to find the Messiah in the Old Testament. But Jesus did.

John 5:40
And ye will not come to me ( $\kappa \alpha$ ov $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon เ \nu \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \mu \varepsilon$ ). "And yet" ( $\kappa \alpha$ ) as often in John. "This is the tragedy of the rejection of Messiah by the Messianic race" (Bernard). See Joh 1:11; Mt 23:37 (ка оuк $\eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$, and ye would not). Men loved darkness rather than light (Joh 3:19).

That ye may have life ( $\llcorner\sim \alpha \zeta \omega \eta \nu \varepsilon \chi \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Life in its simplest form as in 3:36 (cf. 3:16). This is the purpose of John in writing the Fourth Gospel (20:31). There is life only in Christ Jesus.

John 5:41
Glory from men ( $\delta o \xi \alpha v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega v$ ). Mere honour and praise Jesus does not expect from men (verse 34). This is not wounded pride, for ambition is not Christ's motive. He is unlike the Jews (5:44; 12:43; Mt 6:1f. ) and seeks not his own glory, but the glory and fellowship of the Father ( $1: 14 ; 2: 11 ; 7: 18$ ). Paul did not seek glory from men (1Th 2:6).

John 5:42

But I know you ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \varepsilon \gamma v \omega \kappa \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. Perfect active indicative of $\gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, "I have come to know and still know," the knowledge of personal experience (2:24f. ).

The love o' God ( $\tau \eta \nu \alpha \gamma a \pi \eta \nu \tau 0 v$ Өrov). Objective genitive, "the love toward God." See Lu 11:42 for this phrase in the same sense (only other instance in the Gospels, but common in 1John (1Jo 2:5; 3:17; 4:7,9; 5:3) and in 2Th 3:5; 2Co 13:14; Ro 5:5. The sense of God's love for man occurs in 1Jo 3:1; 4:9,10,16; Joh 15:9f. of Christ's love for man. These rabbis did not love God and hence did not love Christ.

John 5:43
In my Father's name ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega$ ovouat $\tau 0 v \pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma \mu \circ v$ ). Seven times Jesus in John speaks of the "Name" of the Father ( $5: 43 ; 10: 25 ; 12: 28 ; 17: 6,11,12,26$ ). See 1:12 for use of ovo $\mu \alpha$ (Lu 1:49).

And ye receive me not ( $\kappa \alpha$ ov $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). "And yet ye do not receive me," as in verse 40, "the Gospel of the Rejection" ( $1: 11 ; 3: 11,32 ; 12: 37$ ) often applied to the Fourth Gospel.

If another come ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \alpha \lambda \lambda o \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ ). Condition of third class ( $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha)$. Note $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ oc, not $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$, like $\alpha \lambda \lambda o v$ In $\sigma o v v$ in 2Co 11:4 . Similar prophecies occur in Mr 13:6,22 (Mt 24:5,24), all general in character like Antichrist in 2Th 2:8-12. There is no occasion for a reference to any individual like Barcochba (about A.D. 134) as Pfleiderer and Schmiedel hold. These Messianic upstarts all come "in their own name" and always find a following.

Him ye will receive ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon ા v o v \lambda \eta \mu \psi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). "That one," whoever he is, as Jesus said. Future active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$. Credulous about the false Messiahs, incredulous about Christ.

John 5:44
How can ye believe? ( $\pi \omega \varsigma \delta \nu v \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \nu \sigma a ı ;)$. Emphasis on "ye" ( $v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$, ye being what ye are. They were not true Jews (Ro 2:29; Es 9:28) who cared for the glory of God, but they prefer the praise of men (Mt 6:1f.; 23:5) like the Pharisees who feared to confess Christ (Joh 12:43).

From the only God ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ tov $\mu \circ v o v ~ \theta \varepsilon o v)$. B and $W$ omit $\theta \varepsilon o v$ which is certainly meant even if not genuine here. See 17:3; Ro 16:27; 1Ti 6:15f.

John 5:45
Think not ( $\mu \eta \delta$ окєıt $)$ ). Prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and the present imperative. See on verse 39 for סok $\varepsilon \omega$ for mistaken opinions in John.

I will accuse you ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ кат $\eta \gamma \circ \rho \eta \sigma \omega \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Emphasis on $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ (I). Future active indicative of катпүорє $\omega$ (ката, against, a $\gamma \circ \rho \varepsilon v \omega$, to speak in the assembly a $\gamma \circ \rho \alpha$, to bring an accusation in court, a public accusation). See Ro 3:9 for $\pi \rho o a \iota \tau \iota \alpha o \mu \alpha$ for making previous charge and Lu 16:1 for $\delta \iota \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, a secret malicious accusation, and Ro 8:33 for $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, for public charge, not necessarily before tribunal.

Even Moses (M $\omega v \sigma \eta \varsigma)$. No "even" in the Greek.

On whom ye have set your hope ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ov $v \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \eta \lambda \pi \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \omega$, state of repose in Moses. Only example of $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \omega$ in John. See 2Co 1:10 for use of $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ with $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \omega$ instead of the usual $\varepsilon \pi$ ( $1 \mathrm{Ti} 4: 10$ ).

John 5:46
Ye would believe me ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ~ a v \varepsilon \mu \circ$ ). Conclusion of condition of second class (determined as unfulfilled) with imperfect indicative in both protasis and apodosis and $\alpha v$ in apodosis. This was a home-thrust, proving that they did not really believe Moses.

For he wrote of me ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \mu о v \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ \nu \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \varepsilon v)$. De 18:18f. is quoted by Peter (Ac 3:22 ) as a prophecy of Christ and also by Stephen in Ac 7:37. See also Joh 3:14 about the brazen serpent and 8:56 about Abraham foreseeing Christ's day. Jesus does here say that Moses wrote concerning him.

John 5:47
His writings ( $\tau 0 \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o v ~ \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \sigma เ v)$. Dative case with $\pi \iota \sigma \tau v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. See Lu 16:31 for a like argument. The authority of Moses was the greatest of all for Jews. There is a contrast also between
writings ( $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \sigma \iota$, from $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$, to write) and
words ( $\rho \eta \mu \alpha \sigma \iota v$, from $\varepsilon \iota \pi \sigma v)$. Г $\rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha$ may mean the mere letter as opposed to spirit (2Co 3:6; Ro 2:27,29; 7:6 ), a debtor's bond (Lu 16:6f. ), letters or learning (Joh 7:15; Ac 26:24 ) like aүран $\alpha \tau$ т for unlearned (Ac 4:13) , merely written characters (Lu 23:38; 2Co 3:7; Ga 6:11), official communications (Ac 28:21), once $\varepsilon \rho \rho \alpha \gamma \rho \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ for the sacred writings ( 2 Ti 3:15) instead of the more usual $\alpha \alpha \gamma \iota \alpha \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \alpha$. Г $\rho \alpha \varphi \eta$ is used also for a single passage ( Mr $12: 10$ ), but $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota$ ı for a book or roll ( $\operatorname{Lu} 4: 17$ ) or $\beta \iota \beta$ os ( $\operatorname{Lu} 20: 42$ ). Jesus clearly states the fact that Moses wrote portions of the Old Testament, what portions he does not say. See also $\operatorname{Lu} 24: 27,44$ for the same idea. There was no answer from the rabbis to this conclusion of Christ. The scribes ( $о \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$ made copies according to the letter ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau 0 \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha$ ).

## John 6

John 6:1
After these things ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ). A common, but indefinite, note of time in John (3:22; $5: 1 ; 6: 1 ; 7: 1$ ). The phrase does not mean immediate sequence of events. As a matter of fact, a whole year may intervene between the events of chapter 5 in Jerusalem and those in chapter 6 in Galilee. There is no sufficient reason for believing that chapter 6 originally preceded chapter 5 . The feeding of the five thousand is the only event before the last visit to Jerusalem recorded in all Four Gospels (Mr 6:30-44; Mt 14:13-21; Lu 9:10-17; Joh 6:1-13). The disciples have returned from the tour of Galilee and report to Jesus. It was the passover time (Joh 6:4 ) just a year before the end.

To the other side of the Sea of Galilee ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \Gamma \alpha \lambda 1 \lambda \alpha \omega \alpha)$ ). The name given in Mark and Matthew. It is called Gennesaret in Lu 5:1 and "Sea of Tiberias" in Joh 21:1. Here "of Tiberias" ( $\tau \eta \varsigma T ı \beta \varepsilon \rho \iota \alpha \delta o \varsigma)$ is added as further description. Herod Antipas A.D. 22 built Tiberias to the west of the Sea of Galilee and made it his capital. See verse 23 for this city. Luke (Lu 9:10) explains that it was the eastern Bethsaida (Julias) to which Jesus took the disciples, not the western Bethsaida of $\mathrm{Mr} 6: 45$ in Galilee.

John 6:2
Followed ( $\eta \kappa 0 \lambda o u \theta \varepsilon$ ). Descriptive imperfect active, picturing the crowd, but without the details of the boat for Christ and the rapid race of the crowd on foot (Mr 6:32f.; Mt 14:13f. ).

They beheld ( $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \rho o u v$ ). Imperfect active of $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$. They had been beholding the signs which Jesus had been doing ( $\varepsilon \pi o \iota \varepsilon$, imperfect again) for a long time ( $2: 23$ ), most of which John has not given (Mr 1:29f.; 2:1;3:1; 6:5). The people were eager to hear Jesus again ( $\operatorname{Lu}$ 9:11) and to get the benefit of his healing power "on them that were sick" ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \nu$ $\alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v o u v \tau \omega v$, the weak or feeble, without strength, a privative and $\sigma \theta \varepsilon v o \varsigma$, strength).

John 6:3
Into the mountain ( $\varepsilon$ ء , to opoc). From the level of the Jordan valley up into the high hill on the eastern side. Mark (Mr 6:46) and Matthew (Mt 14:23) mention that after the miracle Jesus went further up into the mountain to pray.

Sat ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \tau$ ). Imperfect middle of $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha$, was sitting, a picture of repose.
John 6:4
The feast of the Jews ( $\eta \varepsilon \sigma \rho \tau \eta \tau \omega v$ Iov $\alpha a \omega \omega v$ ). Here used of the passover ( $\tau 0 \pi \alpha \sigma \chi \alpha$ ) as in 7:2 of the tabernacles. This is probably the third passover in Christ's ministry ( 2:13 and one unmentioned unless 5:1 be it). In 2:13, here, and 11:55 (the last one) the adverb $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \cup \varsigma$ ( $n e a r$ ) is used. John is fond of notes of time. Jesus failed to go to this passover because of the hostility in Jerusalem (7:1).

John 6:5

Lifting up his eyes ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau o v \varsigma ~ o \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu о \cup \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \rho \omega$. See the same phrase in $4: 35$ where it is also followed by $\theta \varepsilon \alpha o \mu \alpha ; 11: 41 ; 17: 1$; Lu 6:20 . Here it is particularly expressive as Jesus looked down from the mountain on the approaching multitude.

Cometh unto him ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \circ v)$. Present middle indicative, "is coming to him."
 head of the lake.

Whence are we to buy? (ПоӨвv аүораб $\omega \mu \varepsilon v ;$ ). Deliberative subjunctive (aorist active). John passes by the earlier teaching and healing of the Synoptics (Mr 6:34f.; Mt 14:14f.; Lu 9:11f. ) till mid-afternoon. In John also Jesus takes up the matter of feeding the multitude with Philip (from the other Bethsaida, 1:44) whereas in the Synoptics the disciples raise the problem with Jesus. So the disciples raise the problem in the feeding of the four thousand (Mr 8:4; Mt 15:33 ). See Nu 11:13-22 (about Moses) and 2Ki 4:42f. (about Elisha).

Bread (aptouc). "Loaves" (plural) as in Mt 4:3 .
That these may eat (iva $\varphi \alpha \gamma \omega \sigma \imath v$ ovto). Purpose clause with $v \alpha$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega \omega$ (defective verb).

John 6:6
To prove him ( $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \zeta \omega \nu \alpha v \tau o v)$. Present active participle of $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \zeta \omega$, testing him, not here in bad sense of tempting as so often (Mt 4:1).

What he would do ( $\tau \eta \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v \pi o เ \varepsilon เ v)$. Indirect question with change of tense to imperfect. As in 2:25 so here John explains why Jesus put the question to Philip.

John 6:7
Two hundred pennyworth of bread ( $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa о \sigma \iota \omega v \delta \eta v \alpha \rho \iota \omega v$ a $\rho \tau 0$ ). "Loaves of two hundred denarii." The Roman coin originally for ten asses (afterwards sixteen), about 16 2/3 cents. The denarius was the usual pay for a day's labour (Mt 20:2,9,13 ). This item in Mr 6:37, but not in Matthew or Luke.

That every one may take a little ( $เ v \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau о \varsigma ~ \beta \rho \alpha \chi \cup ~ \lambda \alpha \beta \eta$ ). Final clause with $v \alpha a$ and second aorist active subjunctive of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$. This detail in John alone.

John 6:8
One of ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa)$. So in 12:4; 13:23; Mr 13:1 without $\varepsilon \kappa$.
 distinction of Andrew was precisely this that he brought Simon to Christ. Philip and Andrew appear together again in 12:20-22, but in the Synoptics he is distinguished only in Mr 13:3 . In the Muratorian Fragment Andrew received the revelation for John to write the Fourth Gospel.

John 6:9
A lad here ( $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \alpha \rho \circ \rho \omega \delta \varepsilon$ ). Old word, diminutive of $\pi \alpha \iota$, here only in N.T., not genuine in Mt 11:16. How he came to have this small supply we do not know.

Barley (крıӨıvovя). Adjective, here and verse 13 only in N.T., in the papyri, from крı $\theta \eta$, barley (Re 6:6). Considered an inferior sort of bread.

Fishes (o $\psi \alpha \rho ı$ ). Late diminutive of o $\%$ ov, common in papyri and inscriptions for delicacies with bread like fish. In N.T. only here, verse 11; 21:9-13. Synoptics have $\quad \chi$ Ovac.

John 6:10
Sit down (avađ\&б\&ıv). Literally, "fall back," lie down, recline. Second aorist active infinitive of $\alpha v a \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$.
 3:12 ). It was spring (Joh 6:4) and plenty of green grass on the hillside.

The men (o $\alpha v \delta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Word for men as distinct from women, expressly stated in Mt 14:21.

In number ( $\tau 0 v a \rho \bullet \theta \mu \circ v$ ). Adverbial accusative (of general reference).
About (oc). General estimate, though they were arranged in orderly groups by hundreds and fifties, "in ranks" like "garden beds" ( $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha, \mathrm{Mr}$ 6:40 ).

John 6:11
The loaves ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma$ aptouc). Those of verse 9.
Having given thanks ( $\varepsilon v \chi \alpha \rho ı \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. The usual grace before meals (De 8:10). The Synoptics use "blessed" $\varepsilon \cup \lambda$ доү $\quad \sigma \varepsilon v$ (Mr 6:41; Mt 14:19; Lu 9:16 ).

He distributed ( $\delta \iota \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\delta \iota \alpha \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, old verb to give to several ( $\delta \iota \alpha$, between).
 case) of $\alpha v a \kappa \varepsilon \mu \alpha$, old verb to recline like $\alpha v a \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon เ v$ in verse 10.

As much as they would (ooov $\eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda o v$ ). Imperfect active of $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$, "as much as they wished."

John 6:12
And when they were filled ( $\omega \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon v \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist (effective) passive indicative of $\varepsilon \mu \pi \mu \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu$, old verb to fill in, to fill up, to fill completely. They were all satisfied. The Synoptics have $\varepsilon \chi о \rho \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ like Joh 6:26 ( $\varepsilon \chi о \rho \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ).

Gather up ( $\sigma v v a \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist active imperative of $\sigma v v a \gamma \omega$, to gather together.
Broken pieces ( $\kappa \lambda \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). From $\kappa \lambda \alpha \omega$, to break. Not crumbs or scraps on the ground, but pieces broken by Jesus (Mr 6:41) and not consumed.

Be lost ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Second aorist middle subjunctive of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$ with $v \alpha \alpha$ in purpose clause. Only in John. There was to be no wastefulness in Christ's munificence. The Jews had a custom of leaving something for those that served.

John 6:13
Twelve baskets ( $\delta \omega \delta \varepsilon к \alpha$ кочıvov¢). One for each of the apostles. What about the lad? Stout wicker baskets (coffins, Wycliff) in distinction from the soft and frail $\sigma \varphi \cup \rho \iota \delta \varepsilon \varsigma$ used at the feeding of the four thousand (Mr 8:8; Mt 15:37). Here all the Gospels (Mr 6:43; Mt

14:20; $\mathrm{Lu} 9: 17$; Joh 6:13 ) use корıvo. The same distinction between корıvo and $\sigma \varphi \cup \rho ı \delta \varepsilon \varsigma$ is preserved in the allusion to the incidents by Jesus in Mr 8:19,20; Mt 16:9,10 .

Unto them that had eaten ( $\tau 0 \leftarrow \varsigma \beta \varepsilon \beta \rho \omega \kappa \sigma \sigma v)$ ). Articular perfect active participle (dative case) of $\beta \iota \beta \rho \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, old verb to eat, only here in N.T., though often in LXX.

John 6:14
 sign added to those already wrought (verse 2). Cf. 2:23; 3:2 .

They said ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ v$ ). Inchoative imperfect, began to say.
Of a truth ( $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \omega \varsigma$ ). Common adverb (from $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \eta \varsigma$ ) in John ( 7:40).
The prophet that cometh ( $о \pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \eta \varsigma о \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v \circ \varsigma)$. There was a popular expectation about the prophet of De $18: 15$ as being the Messiah (Joh $1: 21 ; 11: 27$ ). The phrase is peculiar to John, but the idea is in Acts ( $3: 22 ; 7: 37$ ). The people are on the tiptoe of expectation and believe that Jesus is the political Messiah of Pharisaic hope.

John 6:15
Perceiving ( $\gamma$ vous). Second aorist active participle of $\gamma เ \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. It was not hard for Christ to read the mind of this excited mob.

They were about ( $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda$ ovavv). Present active indicative of $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$. Probably the leaders were already starting.

Take him by force ( $\alpha \rho \pi \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \varepsilon v)$. Present active infinitive of $\alpha \rho \pi \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb for violent seizing (Mt 11:12; 13:19). There was a movement to start a revolution against Roman rule in Palestine by proclaiming Jesus King and driving away Pilate.

To make him king ( $\imath \alpha \alpha \pi o \imath \eta \omega \sigma \sigma \nu \beta \alpha \sigma \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \alpha$ ). Purpose clause with $t v \alpha$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\pi$ or $\varepsilon \omega$ with $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon \alpha$ as predicate accusative. It was a crisis that called for quick action.

Himself alone (avtoc $\mu$ ovoc). At first he had the disciples with him (verse 3). But he sent them hurriedly by boat to the western side (Mr 6:45f.; Mt 14:22f. ) because clearly the apostles were sympathetic with the revolutionary impulse of the crowd. Then Jesus sent the multitudes away also and went up into the mountain alone. He was alone in every sense, for no one but the Father understood him at this stage, not even his own disciples. He went up to pray (Mr 6:46; Mt 14:23).

John 6:16
 late Greek the adjective is used as a substantive. It is late evening (real evening), not the early evening in mid-afternoon (Mt 14:15). The disciples were in no hurry to start back to Bethsaida in Galilee (Mr 6:45 ), Capernaum in John (Joh 6:17).

John 6:17
Were going ( $\eta \rho \chi$ оvтo). Picturesque imperfect.

It was now dark ( $\sigma \kappa о \tau \iota \alpha \eta \delta \eta \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \gamma o v \varepsilon$ ). Past perfect active of $\gamma \iota v o \mu \alpha$. While they were going, "darkness had already come."

And Jesus had not yet come to them ( $\kappa \alpha$ оик $\varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta \varepsilon \pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ autovৎ o I $\eta \sigma о \cup \varsigma)$ ). Another past perfect active of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ with negative ou $\pi \omega$. Darkness had come, but Jesus had not come, while they were going over the sea. The tenses in these verses are very graphic.

John 6:18
And the sea was rising ( $\eta \tau \varepsilon \theta a \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \alpha \varepsilon \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \tau 0)$. Imperfect (without augment) passive of $\delta เ \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon เ \rho \omega$, late compound to wake up thoroughly, to arouse.

By reason of a great wind that blew ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \mu \circ v \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda o v \pi v \varepsilon o v \tau o \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute with present active participle of $\pi \nu \varepsilon \omega$, to blow, "a great wind blowing."

John 6:19
When therefore they had rowed ( $\varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \alpha \kappa о \tau \varepsilon \varsigma o v v$ ). Perfect active participle of $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha u v \omega$, old verb to march (Xenophon), to drive (Jas 3:4 ), to row (Mr 6:48).

Furlongs ( $\sigma \tau \alpha \delta \iota o u \varsigma)$. Stadia, accusative of extent of space, a little over halfway across, "in the midst of the sea" (Mr 6:47). It was about forty stadia (six miles) across.

They behold ( $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho 0 v \sigma \tau v$ ). Graphic dramatic present active indicative of $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$, vividly preserving the emotions of the disciples.

Walking ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau o u v \tau \alpha)$. Present active participle in the accusative case agreeing with Inoouv.

Drawing nigh unto the boat ( $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \cup \varsigma$ tov $\pi \lambda$ ooov $\gamma \iota v o \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Present middle participle of $\gamma$ ıvo $\mu \alpha$ describing the process. "Coming near the boat." They behold Jesus slipping closer and closer to them on the water.

They were afraid ( $\varepsilon \varphi \circ \beta \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Ingressive aorist passive indicative of $\varphi \circ \beta \varepsilon \circ \mu \alpha$, "they became afraid." Sudden change to the regular historical sequence.

John 6:20
Be not afraid ( $\mu \eta \varphi \rho \beta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and present middle imperative of $\varphi о \beta \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$. So in Mr 6:50 (Mt 14:27). John does not tell that the disciples thought Jesus was an apparition (Mr 6:49; Mt 14:26), nor does he give the account of Peter walking on the water (Mt 14:28-31).

John 6:21
They were willing therefore ( $\eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda o v o u v$ ). Inchoative imperfect, "they began to be willing." This does not contradict Mr 6:51 as Bernard thinks. Both Jesus and Peter climbed into the boat.

Whither they were going ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \emptyset \vee \cup \pi \eta \gamma>v$ ). Progressive imperfect active, "to which land they had been going" (intransitive use of $v \pi \alpha \gamma \omega$, to lead under, to go under or away as in verse 67; 7:33; 12:11; 18:8.

John 6:22

Which stood (o $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \omega \varsigma)$. Perfect active (intransitive) participle of $\sigma \tau \tau \mu$, to put, to stand. Jesus had sent the multitudes away the evening before (Mr 6:45; Mt 14:22 ), but evidently some did not go very far, still lingering in excitement on the eastern side of the lake next morning.

Boat ( $\pi \lambda o \iota \alpha \rho \circ o v$ ). Diminutive of $\pi \lambda$ ooov, little boat (Mr 3:9).
Entered not with (ov $\sigma v v \varepsilon เ \sigma \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist active of the double compound verb $\sigma u v \varepsilon \iota \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, followed by associative instrumental case $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha ı \varsigma$.

Went away alone ( $\mu$ ovo $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \theta o v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to go away or off. Movo is predicate nominative. These people noted these three items.

John 6:23
Howbeit ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ). Verse 23 is really an explanatory parenthesis in this long sentence. Tiberias, capital of Herod Antipas, diagonally across the lake, is only mentioned in John in the N.T. (6:1,23; 21:1 ).

Boats ( $\pi \lambda$ oı $\alpha$ ). Called "little boats" ( $\pi \lambda$ oıopı $\alpha$ ) in verse 24 .
John 6:24
 ation of the complicated statements of verse 22.
 direct discourse. They still did not understand how Jesus had crossed over, but they acted on the basis of the plain fact.

They themselves got into ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \beta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ avto $\varepsilon \iota)$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \mu \beta a \iota v \omega$ followed by $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ (both $\varepsilon v$ and $\varepsilon ı \varsigma$ together as often in N.T.).

Seeking Jesus ( $\zeta \eta \tau 0 \cup v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ I \eta \sigma o v v) . ~ P r e s e n t ~ a c t i v e ~ p a r t i c i p l e ~ o f ~ \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \omega . ~ T h e y ~ h a d ~ a ~$ double motive apart from the curiosity explained in verse 22. They had clearly not given up the impulse of the evening before to make Jesus king ( 6:15) and they had hopes of still another bountiful repast at the hands of Jesus as he said ( 6:26).

John 6:25
When they found him (عupovieৎ avtov). Second aorist active participle of $\varepsilon v \rho ı \sigma \kappa \omega$. Found him after search and in the synagogue as John explains (verse 59) in Capernaum, perhaps that very synagogue built by a centurion ( $\mathrm{Lu} 7: 5$ ).

Rabbi $(\operatorname{Pa} \beta \beta \varepsilon)$. See on 1:38 for this courteous title.
When camest thou hither? ( $\pi о \tau \varepsilon \omega \delta \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \gamma o v a \sigma ;$ ). Second perfect active indicative of $\gamma$ гvoua. "When hast thou come?" We sought you anxiously on the other side of the lake and could not see how you came across (verses 22-24).

John 6:26
Not because ye saw signs (ou ot $\varepsilon เ \delta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon ı \alpha$ ). Second aorist active indicative of the defective verb opaw. They had seen the "signs" wrought by Jesus (verse 2), but this one had led to wild fanaticism (verse 14) and complete failure to grasp the spiritual lessons.

But because ye ate of the loaves ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ o $\tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \nu$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \iota \omega$, defective verb.

Ye were filled $(\varepsilon \chi \circ \rho \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\chi о \rho \tau \alpha \zeta \omega$, from $\chi о \rho \tau о \varsigma$ (grass) as in verse 10 , to eat grass, then to eat anything, to satisfy hunger. They were more concerned with hungry stomachs than with hungry souls. It was a sharp and deserved rebuke.

John 6:27
Work not for ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and present middle imperative of $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta о \mu \alpha$, old verb from $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v$, work.

The meat ( $\tau \eta \nu \beta \rho \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). The act of eating (Ro 14:17) , corrosion (Mt 6:19) , the thing eaten as here (2Co 9:10). See on Joh 4:32.

Which perisheth ( $\tau \eta \nu \alpha \pi o \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \nu$ ). Present middle participle of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$. They were already hungry again.

Unto eternal life ( $\varepsilon \iota \zeta \zeta \omega \eta \nu \alpha \iota \omega \nu \iota \nu)$. Mystical metaphor quite beyond this crowd hungry only for more loaves and fishes. Bernard thinks that John has here put together various sayings of Christ to make one discourse, a gratuitous interpretation.

Will give $(\delta \omega \sigma \varepsilon)$. Future active indicative of $\delta t \delta \omega \mu$. The outcome is still future and will be decided by their attitude towards the Son of man (verse 51).

For him the Father, even God, hath sealed ( $\tau 0 v \tau о \nu \gamma \alpha \rho$ о $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ о $\theta \varepsilon \circ \varsigma)$. Literally, "For this one the Father sealed, God." First aorist active indicative of $\sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \zeta \omega$, to seal. See elsewhere in Joh 3:33 (attestation by man). Sealing by God is rare in N.T. (2Co 1:22; Eph 1:13; 4:30 ). It is not clear to what item, if any single one, John refers when the Father set his seal of approval on the Son. It was done at his baptism when the Holy Spirit came upon him and the Father spoke to him. Cf. 5:37.

John 6:28
What must we do? (T $\pi \circ \iota \omega \mu \varepsilon v$;). Present active deliberative subjunctive of $\pi \sigma เ \varepsilon \omega$, "What are we to do as a habit?" For the aorist subjunctive ( $\pi \sigma \neq \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ) in a like question for a single
 see Joh 11:47 (what are we doing?).

That we may work the works of God ( $\imath v \alpha \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha \tau \alpha \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \tau 0 v \theta \varepsilon o v)$. Final clause with $ı v \alpha$ and the present middle subjunctive, "that we may go on working the works of God." There may have been an element of vague sincerity in this question in spite of their supercilious attitude.

John 6:29
The work of God that ye believe ( $\tau \circ \varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v$ रov $\theta \varepsilon o v ~ \imath v \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). In 1Th 1:3 Paul speaks of "your work of faith" ( $\nu \mu \omega \nu \tau 0 v \varepsilon \rho \gamma 0 v \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. So here Jesus terms belief in him as the work of God. These Jews were thinking of various deeds of the Pharisaic type and rules. Jesus turns their minds to the central fact. "This simple formula contains the
complete solution of the relation of faith and works" (Westcott). Note the present active subjunctive $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \eta \tau \varepsilon$, "that ye may keep on believing."

On him whom he hath sent ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma ~ o v ~ a \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \varepsilon v ~ \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o \varsigma) . ~ T h e ~ p r o n o m i n a l ~ a n t e c e d e n t ~$ ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \tau o u \tau o v o v$ ) is omitted and the preposition $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma$ is retained with the relative ov really the direct object of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \varepsilon v$ (sent). Note $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o \varsigma$ for God (emphatic he).

## John 6:30

For a sign ( $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota o v$ ). Predicate accusative, as a sign, with $\tau$ (what). As if the sign of the day before was without value. Jesus had said that they did not understand his signs (verse 26).

That we may see, and believe thee ( $\imath \alpha \alpha \iota \omega \mu \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Purpose clause with $v^{\prime} \alpha$ and the second aorist (ingressive) active subjunctive of o $\rho \alpha \omega$ and the first aorist (ingressive) active subjunctive of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$, "that we may come to see and come to have faith in thee." It is hard to have patience with this superficial and almost sneering mob.

What workest thou? (T $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta \eta ;$ ). They not simply depreciate the miracle of the day before, but set up a standard for Jesus.

John 6:31
Ate the manna ( $\tau 0 \mu \alpha v v \alpha \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \gamma o v$ ). The rabbis quoted Ps $72: 16$ to prove that the Messiah, when he comes, will outdo Moses with manna from heaven. Jesus was claiming to be the Messiah and able to give bread for eternal life (verse 27). Lightfoot (Biblical Essays, p. 152) says: "The key to the understanding of the whole situation is an acquaintance with the national expectation of the greater Moses." They quote to Jesus Ex 16:15 (of. Nu 11:7; 21:5; De 8:3). Their plea is that Moses gave us bread "from heaven" ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ tov oupavou). Can Jesus equal that deed of Moses?

John 6:32
It was not Moses that gave you (ov $\mathrm{M} \omega v \sigma \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v \nu \mu \mathrm{\nu})$ ). "Not Moses gave you." Blunt and pointed denial (aorist active indicative of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ ) that Moses was the giver of the bread from heaven (the manna). Moses was not superior to Christ on this score.

But my Father ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ o $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho \mu \circ v$ ). Not "our Father," but same claim as in 5:17f. Which caused so much anger in Jerusalem.

Gives ( $\delta \iota \delta \omega \sigma \tau v$ ). Present active indicative, not aorist ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v)$. Continual process.
The true bread out of heaven ( $\tau$ о $\alpha \rho \tau о v \varepsilon \kappa$ тоv oupavov тov $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta เ v o v$ ). "The bread out of heaven" as the manna and more "the genuine bread" of which that was merely a type. On $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta$ tvos see 1:9; 4:23.

John 6:33
The bread of God (o aptos tov $\theta$ rov). All bread is of God (Mt 6:11). The manna came down from heaven ( $\mathrm{Nu} 11: 9$ ) as does this bread (о кат $\alpha \beta a ı \nu \omega v$ ). Refers to the bread (o a $\rho \tau \boldsymbol{\text { c }}$, masculine). Bernard notes that this phrase (coming down) is used seven times in this discourse (33,38,41,42,50,51,58).

Giveth life ( $\zeta \omega \eta \nu \delta \iota \delta o u \varsigma)$. Chrysostom observes that the manna gave nourishment ( $\tau \rho \circ \varphi \eta$ ), but not life ( $\zeta \omega \eta$ ). This is a most astounding statement to the crowd.

John 6:34
Lord (Kvpıs). Used now instead of Rabbi (25) though how much the people meant by it is not clear.

Evermore give us this bread ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau 0 \tau \varepsilon \delta o \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \nu \nu$ тov $\alpha \rho \tau 0 \nu \tau o v \tau o v)$. Second aorist active imperative second singular like $\delta o s$ in $\mathrm{Mt} \mathrm{6:11}$ (urgent petition). What kind of bread do they mean? The Jewish commentaries and Philo speak of the manna as typifying heavenly bread for the soul. Paul in 1Co 10:3 seems to refer to the manna as "spiritual food." Like the woman at the well ( 4:15) they long "always" to have "this bread," a perpetual supply. It is probably to this crowd as the water in $4: 15$ was to the woman.

John 6:35
I am the bread of life ( $\mathrm{E} \gamma \omega \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu$ o $\alpha \rho \tau о \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma)$. This sublime sentence was startling in the extreme to the crowd. Philo does compare the manna to the $\theta \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ о $\lambda$ 入oүos in an allegorical sense, but this language is far removed from Philo's vagueness. In the Synoptics (Mr 14:22; Mt 26:26; Lu 22:19 ) Jesus uses bread (a $\alpha \tau \circ \varsigma)$ as the symbol of his body in the Lord's Supper, but here Jesus offers himself in place of the loaves and fishes which they had come to seek $(24,26)$. He is the bread of life in two senses: it has life in itself, the living bread ( 51), and it gives life to others like the water of life, the tree of life. John often has Jesus saying "I am" ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \varepsilon \mid \mu)$. As also in $6: 41,48,51 ; 8: 12 ; 10: 7,9,11,14 ; 11: 25 ; 14: 6 ; 15: 1,5$.

He that cometh to me (o $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). The first act of the soul in approaching Jesus. See also verse 37.

Shall not hunger ( $o v \mu \eta \pi \varepsilon เ v a \sigma \eta$ ). Strong double negative $o v \mu \varepsilon$ with first aorist (ingressive) active subjunctive, "shall not become hungry."

He that believeth on me ( $о \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \nu \omega v \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \mu \varepsilon$ ). The continuous relation of trust after coming like $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \eta \tau \varepsilon$ (present tense) in verse 29. See both verbs used together also in 7:37f

Shall never thirst (ov $\mu \eta \delta \psi \psi \eta \sigma \varepsilon \pi \omega \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). So the old MSS. the future active indicative instead of the aorist subjunctive as above, an even stronger form of negation with $\pi \omega \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ( $1: 18$ ) added.

John 6:36
That ye have seen me (от $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). It is not certain that $\mu \varepsilon$ is genuine. If not, Jesus may refer to verse 26. If genuine, some other saying is referred to that we do not have. Note ka (also or even).

And yet believe not ( $\kappa \alpha$ ov $\pi\llcorner\sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Use of $\kappa \alpha=$ and yet.
John 6:37
All that ( $\pi \alpha v$ o). Collective use of the neuter singular, classic idiom, seen also in 6:39; 17:2,24; 1Jo 5:4 . Perhaps the notion of unity like $\varepsilon v$ in 17:21 underlies this use of $\pi \alpha v$ o.

Giveth me ( $\delta \iota \omega \sigma \tau \nu \mu 0$ ). For the idea that the disciples are given to the Son see also 6:39,65; 10:29; 17:2,6,9,12,24; 18:9.

I will in no wise cast out ( $o v \mu \eta \varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \omega \varepsilon \xi \omega$ ). Strong double negation as in verse 35 with second aorist active subjunctive of $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$. Definite promise of Jesus to welcome the one who comes.

John 6:38
I am come down ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa \alpha$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \downarrow \nu \omega$. See on 33 for frequent use of this phrase by Jesus. Here a $\pi$ o is correct rather than $\varepsilon \kappa$ with tov oupavou.

Not to do (ovx $\operatorname{vo} \alpha \pi \circ \omega$ ). "Not that I keep on doing" (final clause with $ו v \alpha$ and present active subjunctive of $\pi \mathbf{\pi} เ \varepsilon \omega$ ).

But the will ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ то $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ ). Supply vv $\pi$ тot $\omega$ after $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$, "but that I keep on doing." This is the fulness of joy for Jesus, to do his Father's will (4:34; 5:30).

John 6:39
That of all that which ( $\imath v \alpha \pi \alpha v o$ ). Literally, "That all which" (see verse 37 for $\pi \alpha v o$ ), but there is a sharp anacoluthon with $\pi \alpha v$ left as nominativus pendens.

I should lose nothing ( $\mu \eta \alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \omega \varepsilon \xi \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Construed with $v \alpha$, "that I shall not lose anything of it." А $\tau$ о $\lambda \varepsilon \sigma \omega$, from $\alpha \pi о \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$, can be either future active indicative or first aorist active subjunctive as is true also of $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \omega$ (from $\alpha v \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ ), "I shall raise up."

At the last day ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau \eta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). Locative case without $\varepsilon v$. Only in John, but four times here ( $39,40,44,54$ ) "with the majesty of a solemn refrain." In 7:37 it is the last day of the feast of tabernacles, but in 11:24; 12:48 of the day of judgment as here. Christ is the Agent of the general resurrection in 5:28 as in 1Co 15:22 while here only the resurrection of the righteous is mentioned.

John 6:40
Should have eternal life ( $\varepsilon \chi \eta \zeta \omega \eta \nu \alpha \omega \omega v o v)$. Present active subjunctive with $v \alpha$, "that he may keep on having eternal life" as in $3: 15,36$.

Beholdeth $(\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \omega v)$. With the eye of faith as in 12:45.
And I will raise him up ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \omega$ ). Future active indicative (volitive future, promise) as in 54.

John 6:41
Murmured ( $\varepsilon \gamma \circ \gamma \gamma \cup \zeta o v)$. Imperfect active of the onomatopoetic verb $\gamma \circ \gamma \gamma \cup \zeta \omega$, late verb in LXX (murmuring against Moses), papyri (vernacular), like the cooing of doves or the buzzing of bees. These Galilean Jews are puzzled over what Jesus had said (verses 33,35 ) about his being the bread of God come down from heaven.

John 6:42
How doth he now say? ( $\Pi \omega \varsigma v v \nu \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota ;$ ). They knew Jesus as the son of Joseph and Mary. They cannot comprehend his claim to be from heaven. This lofty claim puzzles sceptics today.

John 6:43
Murmur not ( $\mu \eta \gamma 0 \gamma \gamma \nu \zeta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and the present active imperative, "stop murmuring" (the very word of verse 41 ). There was a rising tide of protest.

John 6:44
Except the Father draw him ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta \varepsilon \lambda \kappa v \sigma \eta \alpha v \tau o v)$. Negative condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta$ and first aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \lambda \kappa \nu \omega$, older form $\varepsilon \lambda \kappa \omega$, to drag like a net (Joh 21:6 ), or sword ( 18:10), or men (Ac 16:19), to draw by moral power ( $12: 32$ ), as in Jer 31:3. $\Sigma v \rho \omega$, the other word to drag (Ac 8:3; 14:19) is not used of Christ's drawing power. The same point is repeated in verse 65 . The approach of the soul to God is initiated by God, the other side of verse 37 . See Ro $8: 7$ for the same doctrine and use of ov $\delta \varepsilon \delta v v a \tau a$ like ouסzıc $\delta u v a \tau \alpha$ here.

John 6:45
Taught of God ( $\delta$ ı $\delta$ aктo $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). A free quotation from Isa $54: 13$ with this phrase in the LXX. There is here the ablative case $\theta \varepsilon o v$ with the passive verbal adjective $\delta$ t $\delta \alpha \kappa \tau o$ (Robertson, Grammar, p. 516). In 1Th 4:9 we have the compound verbal $\theta \varepsilon o \delta \iota \delta \alpha \kappa \tau o$. The same use of $\delta$ ı $\delta$ aktos with the ablative occurs in 1Co 2:13.

And hath learned ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \alpha \theta \omega v$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\mu \alpha v \theta \alpha v \omega$. It is not enough to hear God's voice. He must heed it and learn it and do it. This is a voluntary response. This one inevitably comes to Christ.

John 6:46
This one has seen the Father ( $о \tau \tau \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \nu \tau 0 \nu \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). Perfect active indicative of o $\alpha \omega$. With the eyes no one has seen God ( $1: 18$ ) save the Son who is "from God" in origin ( $1: 1,14 ; 7: 29 ; 16: 27 ; 17: 8$ ). The only way for others to see God is to see Christ ( $14: 9$ ).

## John 6:47

He that believeth (o $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega v$ ). This is the way to see God in Christ.
John 6:48
I am the bread of life $(\varepsilon \gamma \omega \varepsilon \iota \mu$ о $\alpha \rho \tau о \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma)$. Jesus repeats the astounding words of verse 35 after fuller explanation. The believer in Christ has eternal life because he gives himself to him.

John 6:49
And they died ( $\kappa \alpha a \pi \varepsilon \theta a v o v)$. Physical death. The manna did not prevent death. But this new manna will prevent spiritual death.

John 6:50
That a man may eat thereof, and not die ( $\imath \alpha \tau \tau \varsigma \varepsilon \xi$ av $\alpha 0 v \varphi \alpha \gamma \eta \kappa \alpha \mu \eta \alpha \pi \circ \theta \alpha \nu \eta$ ). Purpose clause with $\iota v a$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \iota \omega$ and $\alpha \pi \circ \theta \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$. The wonder and the glory of it all, but quite beyond the insight of this motley crowd.

John 6:51

The living bread (o aptos o $\zeta \omega v$ ). "The bread the living." Repetition of the claim in $35,41,48$, but with a slight change from $\zeta \omega \eta \varsigma$ to $\zeta \omega \nu$ (present active participle of $\zeta \alpha \omega$ ). It is alive and can give life. See 4:10 for living water. In Re 1:17 Jesus calls himself the Living One (o $\zeta \omega v$ ).

For ever ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ a \iota \omega v \alpha)$. Eternally like aı $\omega v \iota \nu$ with $\zeta \omega \eta \nu$ in 47.
I shall give ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \delta \omega \sigma \omega$ ). Emphasis on $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ (I). Superior so to Moses.
Is my flesh ( $\eta \sigma \alpha \rho \xi \mu 0 v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). See on 1:14 for $\sigma \alpha \rho \xi$ the Incarnation. This new idea creates far more difficulty to the hearers who cannot grasp Christ's idea of self-sacrifice.

For the life of the world (v $v \varepsilon \rho \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \tau о v ~ к о \sigma \mu о v ~ \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma)$. Over, in behalf of, v $\tau \varepsilon \rho$ means, and in some connexions instead of as in 11:50. See 1:30 for the Baptist's picture of Christ as the Lamb of God that taketh away the sin of the world. See also 3:17; 4:42; 1Jo 3:16; Mt 20:28; Ga 3:13; 2Co 5:14f.; Ro 5:8 . Jesus has here presented to this Galilean multitude the central fact of his atoning death for the spiritual life of the world.

John 6:52
Strove ( $\varepsilon \mu \alpha \chi o v \tau 0$ ). Imperfect (inchoative) middle of $\mu \alpha \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to fight in armed combat (Ac 7:26), then to wage a war of words as here and $2 \mathrm{Ti} 2: 24$. They were already murmuring (41), now they began bitter strife with one another over the last words of Jesus (43-51), some probably seeing a spiritual meaning in them. There was division of opinion about Jesus in Jerusalem also later (7:12,40; 9:16; 10:19).

How can? ( $\Pi \omega \varsigma \delta u v a \tau \alpha ;$;). The very idiom used by Nicodemus in 3:4,9 . Here scornful disbelief.

This man (ovtoc). Contemptuous use pictured in verse 42.
His flesh to eat ( $\tau \eta \nu \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha$ autov $\varphi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon เ v$ ). As if we were cannibals! Some MSS. do not have avtov, but the meaning is clear. The mystical appropriation of Christ by the believer (Ga 2:20; Eph 3:17) they could not comprehend, though some apparently were against this literal interpretation of "flesh" ( $\sigma \alpha \rho \xi$ ).

John 6:53
Except ye eat ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta \varphi \alpha \gamma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Negative condition of third class with second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \iota \omega$. Jesus repeats the statement in verses 50,51 . Note change of $\mu \circ v$ (my) in verse 51 to tov viov tov $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ rov with same idea.

And drink his blood ( $\kappa \alpha \pi ı \eta \varepsilon$ autov тo $\alpha \not \mu \alpha$ ). Same condition with second aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \imath v \omega$. This addition makes the demand of Jesus seem to these Jews more impossible than before if taken in a baldly literal sense. The only possible meaning is the spiritual appropriation of Jesus Christ by faith (verse 47), for "ye have not life in yourselves" (ouk £ $\chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \zeta \omega \eta \vee \varepsilon \vee$ عautoıc). Life is found only in Christ.

John 6:54
He that eateth (o $\tau \rho \omega \gamma \omega v$ ). Present active participle for continual or habitual eating like $\pi เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ in verse 29 . The verb $\tau \rho \omega \gamma \omega$ is an old one for eating fruit or vegetables and
the feeding of animals. In the N.T. it occurs only in Joh 6:54,56,58; 13:18; Mt 24:38. Elsewhere in the Gospels always $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \iota \omega$ or $\varepsilon \varphi a \gamma o v$ (defective verb with $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \iota \omega$ ). No distinction is made here between $\varepsilon \varphi a \gamma \circ \nu(48,50,52,53,58)$ and $\tau \rho \omega \gamma \omega(54,56,57,58)$. Some men understand Jesus here to be speaking of the Lord's Supper by prophetic forecast or rather they think that John has put into the mouth of Jesus the sacramental conception of Christianity by making participation in the bread and wine the means of securing eternal life. To me that is a violent misinterpretation of the Gospel and an utter misrepresentation of Christ. It is a grossly literal interpretation of the mystical symbolism of the language of Jesus which these Jews also misunderstood. Christ uses bold imagery to picture spiritual appropriation of himself who is to give his life-blood for the life of the world (51). It would have been hopeless confusion for these Jews if Jesus had used the symbolism of the Lord's Supper. It would be real dishonesty for John to use this discourse as a propaganda for sacramentalism. The language of Jesus can only have a spiritual meaning as he unfolds himself as the true manna.

John 6:55
Meat indeed ( $\alpha \lambda \eta \forall \eta \varsigma \beta \rho \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma$ ). So the best MSS., "true food." See on 4:32 for $\beta \rho \omega \sigma \iota$ as equal to $\beta \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ (a thing eaten).

Drink indeed ( $\alpha \lambda \eta \forall \eta \varsigma$ лобıऽ). Correct text, "true drink." For $\pi$ обıৎ see Ro 14:17; Col 2:16 (only N.T. examples).

John 6:56
Abideth in me and I in him ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \mu \circ \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \gamma \omega \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). Added to the phrase in 54 in the place of $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \zeta \omega \eta \nu$ aı $\omega v$ vov (has eternal life). The verb $\mu \varepsilon v \omega$ (to abide) expresses continual mystical fellowship between Christ and the believer as in 15:4-7; 1Jo 2:6,27,28; 3:6,24; 4:12,16 . There is, of course, no reference to the Lord's Supper (Eucharist), but simply to mystical fellowship with Christ.

John 6:57
The living Father (o $\zeta \omega \nu \pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ ). Nowhere else in the N.T., but see 5:26 and "the living God" (Mt 16:16; 2Co 6:16 ). The Father is the source of life and so "I live because of the Father" ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \omega \zeta \omega \delta \iota \alpha$ тov $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha)$.

He that eateth me (o $\tau \rho \omega \gamma \omega \nu \mu \varepsilon$ ). Still bolder putting of the mystical appropriation of Christ (51,53,54,56).

Because of me $\left(\delta \iota^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon\right)$. The same idea appears in 14:19: "Because I live ye shall live also." See 11:25. Jesus Christ is our ground of hope and guarantee of immortality. Life is in Christ. There is no real difficulty in this use of $\delta \iota \alpha$ with the accusative as with $\delta \iota \alpha \tau o v \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ just before. It occurs also in 15:3. As the Father is the fount of life to Christ, so Christ is the fount of life to us. See 1Jo 4:9 where $\delta \iota \alpha$ is used with the genitive ( $\delta \iota^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v$ ) as the intermediate agent, not the ground or reason as here.

John 6:58

This is the bread (ovtoc eбтıv o apтoc). Summary and final explanation of the true manna (from verse 32 on) as being Jesus Christ himself.

John 6:59
In the synagogue ( $\varepsilon v \sigma v v a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta$ ). Definite like our in church, though article absent. Only use of the word in John except 18:20. "Among the ruins at Tell Hum, the probable site of Capernaum, have been found among the remains of a synagogue a block of stone perhaps the lintel, carved with a pot of manna, and with a pattern of vine leaves and clusters of grapes" (Vincent).

John 6:60
A hard saying ( $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \varsigma)$. "This saying is a hard one." Old adjective, rough, harsh, dried hard (from $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, to dry), probably the last saying of Jesus that he was the bread of life come down from heaven and they were to eat him. It is to be hoped that none of the twelve joined the many disciples in this complaint.

Hear it (avtov aкoveıv). Or "hear him," hear with acceptation. For aкоv $\omega$ with the genitive see 10:3,16,27.

John 6:61
Knowing in himself ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \varsigma \varsigma v \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau \omega)$. Second perfect active participle of oı $\delta \alpha$. See 2:25 for this supernatural insight into men's minds.

Murmured ( $\gamma \circ \gamma \gamma \cup \zeta o v \sigma ı v)$. Present active indicative retained in indirect discourse. See 41 for $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \gamma \nu \zeta \omega$.

At this ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ tovtou). "Concerning this word."
Cause to stumble ( $\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda \iota(\varepsilon)$. Common Synoptic verb from $\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda o v$ for which see Mt 5:29. In John again only in 16:1.

John 6:62
What then if ye should behold ( $\varepsilon \alpha v$ ouv $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). No "what" in the Greek. Condition of third class with $\varepsilon a v$ and present active subjunctive, "if ye then behold."

Ascending ( $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha ı v o v \tau \alpha$ ). Present active participle picturing the process.
Where he was before ( $о \pi о v \eta \nu$ to $\pi \rho о \tau \varepsilon \rho o v$ ). Neuter articular adjective as adverb (accusative of general reference, at the former time as in 9:8; Ga 3:13). Clear statement of Christ's pre-existence in his own words as in 3:13; 17:5 (cf. 1:1-18).

John 6:63
That quickeneth ( $\tau$ о $\zeta \omega о \pi о \iota o v v$ ). Articular present active participle of $\zeta \omega о \pi о \varepsilon \omega \omega$ for which see 5:21. For the contrast between $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ (spirit) and $\sigma \alpha \rho \xi$ (flesh) see already 3:6.

The words ( $\tau \alpha \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Those in this discourse (I have just spoken, $\lambda \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \kappa \alpha$ ), for they are the words of God ( $3: 34 ; 8: 47 ; 17: 8$ ). No wonder they "are spirit and are life" ( $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \kappa \alpha \zeta \omega \eta \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. The breath of God and the life of God is in these words of Jesus. Never man spoke like Jesus ( 7:46). There is life in his words today.

John 6:64

That believe not (o ou $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o v \sigma ı v)$. Failure to believe kills the life in the words of Jesus.
Knew from the beginning ( $\eta \mid \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \xi \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma$ ). In the N.T. we have $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma$ only here and 16:4, but $\alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma$ in apparently the same sense as here in 15:27; 1Jo 2:7,24; 3:11 and see Lu 1:2; 1Jo 1:1. From the first Jesus distinguished between real trust in him and mere lip service ( $2: 24 ; 8: 31$ ), two senses of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \omega$.

Were ( $\varepsilon เ \sigma เ v)$. Present active indicative retained in indirect discourse.
And who it was that should betray him ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ о $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \omega \sigma \omega v$ ). Same use of $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ and note article and future active participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \mu$, to hand over, to betray. John does not say here that Jesus knew that Judas would betray him when he chose him as one of the twelve, least of all that he chose him for that purpose. What he does say is that Jesus was not taken by surprise and soon saw signs of treason in Judas. The same verb is used of John's arrest in Mt 4:12. Once Judas is termed traitor ( $\pi \rho 0 \delta o \tau \eta \varsigma)$ in Lu 6:16 . Judas had gifts and was given his opportunity. He did not have to betray Jesus.

John 6:65
Except it be given him of the Father ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta \eta \delta \varepsilon \delta o \mu \varepsilon v o v \alpha v \tau \omega \varepsilon \kappa$ tov $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o \varsigma)$. Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \mu \eta$ and periphrastic perfect passive subjunctive of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$. Precisely the same point as in verse 44 where we have $\varepsilon \lambda \kappa \nu \sigma \eta$ instead of $\eta \delta \varepsilon \delta o \mu \varepsilon v o v$. The impulse to faith comes from God. Jesus does not expect all to believe and seems to imply that Judas did not truly believe.

John 6:66
Upon this ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ тovtov). Same idiom in 19:12. "Out of this saying or circumstance." Jesus drew the line of cleavage between the true and the false believers.

Went back ( $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \theta$ ov $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha$ о $\pi \iota \sigma \omega$ ). Aorist (ingressive) active indicative of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ with $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \tau \alpha$ oпı$\llcorner\omega$, "to the rear" (the behind things) as in 18:6.

Walked no more with him (оикєт $\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ autov $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \pi \alpha \tau o v v$ ). Imperfect active of $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$. The crisis had come. These half-hearted seekers after the loaves and fishes and political power turned abruptly from Jesus, walked out of the synagogue with a deal of bluster and were walking with Jesus no more. Jesus had completely disillusioned these hungry camp-followers who did not care for spiritual manna that consisted in intimate appropriation of the life of Jesus as God's Son.

John 6:67
Would ye also go away? ( $\mathrm{M} \eta \kappa \alpha \cup \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma \quad \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ v $\tau \alpha \gamma \varepsilon เ \nu ;$ ). Jesus puts it with the negative answer $(\mu \eta)$ expected. See $21: 5$ where Jesus also uses $\mu \eta$ in a question. Judas must have shown some sympathy with the disappointed and disappearing crowds. But he kept still. There was possibly restlessness on the part of the other apostles.

John 6:68
Lord, to whom shall we go? (Kv $1 \varepsilon, \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau ı v \alpha \alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \circ \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$;). Peter is the spokesman as usual and his words mean that, if such a thought as desertion crossed their minds when
the crowd left, they dismissed it instantly. They had made their choice. They accepted these very words of Jesus that had caused the defection as "the words of eternal life."

John 6:69
We have believed ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \pi \varepsilon \pi เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup к а \mu \varepsilon v)$. Perfect active indicative of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$, "We have come to believe and still believe" (verse 29).

And know ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ). Same tense of $\gamma เ \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, "We have come to know and still know."
 believe that this is John's report of the same confession given by the Synoptics (Mr 8:27f.; Mt 16:13-20; Lu 9:18f. ), an utterly unjustifiable conclusion. The details are wholly different. Here in the synagogue in Capernaum, there on Mt. Hermon near Caesarea Philippi. What earthly difficulty is there in supposing that Peter could make a noble confession twice? That is to my mind a wooden conception of the apostles in their growing apprehension of Christ.

John 6:70
And one of you is a devil ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \xi v \mu \omega v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \delta \iota \alpha \beta о \lambda o \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). Jesus does not say that Judas was a devil when he chose him, but that he is one now. In 13:2,27 John speaks of the devil entering Judas. How soon the plan to betray Jesus first entered the heart of Judas we do not know ( 12:4). One wonders if the words of Jesus here did not cut Judas to the quick.

John 6:71
Of Simon Iscariot ( $\Sigma \mu \omega \omega$ voc Iøк $\alpha \rho \omega \tau \sigma v$ ). So his father was named Iscariot also, a man of Kerioth (possibly in Judah, Jos 15:25, possibly in Moab, Jer 48:24 ), not in Galilee. Judas was the only one of the twelve not a Galilean. The rest of the verse is like 12:4.

One of the twelve ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \kappa \tau \omega \nu \delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ). The eternal horror of the thing.

## John 7

John 7:1
After these things ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha$ ). John's favourite general note of the order of events. Bernard conceives that the events in 7:1-14 follow 7:15-24 and both follow chapter 5, not chapter 6 , a wholly needless readjustment of the narrative to suit a preconceived theory. John simply supplements the narrative in the Synoptics at points deemed important. He now skips the period of withdrawal from Galilee of about six months (from passover to tabernacles).

Walked ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \varepsilon \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active, a literal picture of the itinerant ministry of Jesus. He has returned to Galilee from the region of Caesarea Philippi. He had been avoiding Galilee as well as Judea for six months.

For he would not walk in Judea (ov $\gamma \alpha \rho \eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \varepsilon v \tau \eta$ Iov $\alpha a 1 \alpha$ ). Imperfect active of $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$ picturing the attitude of refusal to work in Judea after the events in chapter 5 (perhaps a year and a half before).
 been seeking to kill him as shown in 5:18 where the same words occur.

John 7:2
The feast of tabernacles ( $\eta \sigma \kappa \eta v o \pi \eta \gamma \nmid \alpha)$. Only New Testament example of this word ( $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$, tent, $\pi \eta \gamma v v \mu$, to fasten as in Heb 8:2 ). Technical name of this feast (De 16:13; Le 23:34,43 ). It began on the 15th of the month Tisri (end of September) and lasted seven days and finally eight days in post-exilic times ( $\mathrm{Ne} 8: 18$ ). It was one of the chief feasts of the Jews.

John 7:3
His brethren (o $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi$ o autou). "His brothers" (half-brothers actually), who "were not
 Messianic assumptions of Jesus, a natural attitude as one can well see, though at first they were friendly ( $2: 12$ ).

Depart hence ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \eta \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist active imperative of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \iota v \omega$, to pass to another place ( $5: 24 ; 13: 1$ ). It was impertinence on their part.

That thy disciples also may behold (ıv $\kappa \alpha$ о $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha$ боv $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \eta \sigma о v \sigma ı v$ ). Final clause with $\stackrel{v \alpha}{ }$ and the future active indicative of $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$. Jesus had many disciples in Judea at the start (2:23; $4: 1$ ) and had left it because of the jealousy of the Pharisees over his success ( 4:3). The brothers may have heard of the great defection in the synagogue in Capernaum ( 6:66), but the advice is clearly ironical.

Which thou doest ( $\alpha$ roısı). To what works they refer by this language we do not know. But Jesus had been away from Galilee for some months and from Judea for a year and a half. Perhaps the brothers of Jesus may actually have been eager to rush Jesus into the hostile atmosphere of Jerusalem again.

John 7:4
In secret ( $\varepsilon v \kappa \rho v \pi \tau \omega$ ). See Mt 6:4,6 for this phrase.
Openly ( $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ ). "In public" ( $\pi \alpha \nu, \rho \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$, telling it all). See on Mt 8:32 . Common in John ( $7: 13,26 ; 10: 24 ; 16: 25,29 ; 18: 20$; here again contrasted with $\varepsilon v \kappa \rho v \pi \tau \omega)$. It is wise advice in the abstract that a public teacher must allow inspection of his deeds, but the motive is evil. They might get Jesus into trouble. $\mathrm{I} \varphi$ Өov $\delta o \varepsilon \sigma \tau \theta \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \theta \iota \nu \gamma \varsigma(\varepsilon \tau \alpha v \tau \alpha \pi o \iota \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. This condition of the first class assumes the reality of the deeds of Jesus, but the use of the condition at all throws doubt on it all as in Mt 4:3,6 .

Manifest thyself ( $\varphi \alpha \nu \varepsilon \rho \omega \sigma o v \sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \sigma v$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho o \omega$.
To the world $(\tau \omega \kappa \sigma \sigma \mu \omega)$. Not just to "thy disciples," but to the public at large as at the feast of tabernacles. See 8:26; 14:22 for this use of коб $\mu$ оऽ.

John 7:5
For even his brethren did not believe on him (ov $\varepsilon \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho$ o $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o$ av $\sigma 0 v \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o v$ $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ a v \tau o v) . ~ L i t e r a l l y, ~ " F o r ~ n o t ~ e v e n ~ w e r e ~ h i s ~ b r o t h e r s ~ b e l i e v i n g ~ o n ~ h i m . " ~ I m p e r f e c t ~ t e n s e ~ o f ~$ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$ with sad picture of the persistent refusal of the brothers of Jesus to believe in his Messianic assumptions, after the two rejections in Capernaum (Lu 4:16-31; Mr 6:1-6; Mt 13:54-58 ), and also after the blasphemous accusation of being in league with Beelzebub when the mother and brothers came to take Jesus home (Mr 3:31-35; Mt 12:46-50; Lu 8:19-21 ). The brothers here are sarcastic.

## John 7:6

My time is not yet come (о каıроৎ о $\varepsilon \mu \circ \varsigma ~ о v \pi \omega \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). Only use with verse 8 of
 hour" (Bernard). Here каı ооя is the fitting or proper occasion for Christ's manifesting himself publicly to the authorities as Messiah as in verse 8 . At the feast of tabernacles Jesus did make such public claims ( $7: 29,33 ; 8: 12,28,38,42,58$ ). Пa $\rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ is present active indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \mu \mu$, old compound, to be by, to be present. The brothers of Jesus had the regular Jewish obligation to go up to the feast, but the precise day was a matter of indifference to them.

John 7:7
Cannot hate (ov $\delta v v a \tau \alpha \mu \iota \sigma \varepsilon \iota$ ). Because of "the law of moral correspondence" (Westcott), often in John for "inherent impossibility" (Vincent). The brothers of Jesus here belong to the unbelieving world (коб $\mu$ оऽ) which is unable to love Jesus $(15: 18,23,24)$ and which Jesus had already exposed ("testify," $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \omega, 5: 42,45$ ). This unbelieving "world" resented the exposure ( $3: 19$, cf. 18:37).

John 7:8
Go ye up to the feast ( $\nu \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \alpha \nu \alpha \beta \eta \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon o \rho \tau \eta \nu$ ). The emphatic word by position is $v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ (ye) in contrast with $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ (I). Second aorist active imperative of $\alpha \nu \alpha \beta \alpha \iota \omega \omega$, old and common verb for going up to the feast ( $2: 13$ ) or anywhere. Take your own advice ( $7: 3$ ).

I go not up yet $(\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ovt $\omega$ avaßaıv $\omega$ ). So Westcott and Hort after B W L (Neutral) while ov (not) is read by Aleph D, African Latin, Vulgate, Coptic (Western). Some of the early Greek Fathers were puzzled over the reading ouk (I go not up) as contradictory to verse 10 wherein it is stated that Jesus did go up. Almost certainly ouk (not) is correct and is not really contradictory when one notes in verse 10 that the manner of Christ's going up is precisely the opposite of the advice of the brothers in verses 3,4 . "Not yet" (ov $\pi \omega$ ) is genuine before "fulfilled" ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \tau \alpha$, perfect passive indicative of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \sigma \omega$ ). One may think, if he will, that Jesus changed his plans after these words, but that is unnecessary. He simply refused to fall in with his brothers' sneering proposal for a grand Messianic procession with the caravan on the way to the feast. He will do that on the journey to the last passover.

John 7:9
He abode still in Galilee ( $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \iota v \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \Gamma \alpha \lambda \iota \lambda \alpha ı \alpha)$. No "still" $(\varepsilon \tau)$ in the Greek text. The constative aorist active indicative $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \iota v \varepsilon v$ covers a period of some days.

John 7:10
Were gone up ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \beta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha \iota v \omega$, not past perfect though the action is antecedent in fact to the following to $\tau \varepsilon \vee \varepsilon \beta \eta$. The Greek does not always draw the precise distinction between the merely punctiliar (aorist) antecedent action and the past perfect ( $2: 9 ; 4: 45$ ).

He also ( $\tau о \tau \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau \circ \varsigma)$. As well as the brothers.
Not publicly (ov $\varphi a v \varepsilon \rho \omega \varsigma$ ). Against their advice in verse 4, using $\varphi a v \varepsilon \rho \omega \sigma o v$ (the very same word stem).

But as it were in secret ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \kappa \rho v \pi \tau \omega$ ). "Not with the usual caravan of pilgrims" (Bernard). Just the opposite of their advice in verse 4 with the same phrase $\varepsilon v \varphi a v \varepsilon \rho \omega$. Plainly Jesus purposely went contrary to the insincere counsel of his brothers as to the manner of his Messianic manifestation. This secrecy concerned solely the journey to Jerusalem, not his public teaching there after his arrival (7:26,28; 18:20).

John 7:11
The Jews (o Iovסaıo). The hostile leaders in Jerusalem, not the Galilean crowds (7:12) nor the populace in Jerusalem (7:25).

Sought ( $\varepsilon \zeta \eta \tau 0 v v)$. Imperfect active of $\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \omega$, "were seeking," picture of the attitude of the Jewish leaders toward Jesus who had not yet appeared in public at the feast. In fact he had avoided Jerusalem since the collision in chapter 5. The leaders clearly wished to attack him.
 1:8; 9:12 ). Jesus had been at two feasts during his ministry (passover in 2:12ff. ; possibly another passover in 5:1), but he had avoided the preceding passover $(6: 4 ; 7: 1)$. The leaders in Jerusalem had kept in touch with Christ's work in Galilee. They anticipate a crisis in Jerusalem.

## John 7:12

Much murmuring ( $\gamma \circ \gamma \gamma \cup \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma \pi о \lambda \cup \varsigma)$. This Ionic onomatopoetic word is from $\gamma \circ \gamma \gamma \cup \zeta \omega$ for which verb see 6:41,61; 7:32 , for secret displeasure (Ac 6:1) or querulous discontent (Php 2:14).

Among the multitudes ( $\varepsilon v$ toı ox $\lambda o \iota \varsigma$ ). "The multitudes" literally, plural here only in John. These different groups were visitors from Galilee and elsewhere and were divided in their opinion of Jesus as the Galileans had already become ( 6:66).

A good man ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ oc). Pure in motive. See Mr 10:17f.; Ro 5:7 (absolute sense of God). Superior to $\delta$ ikaıoc. Jesus had champions in these scattered groups in the temple courts.

Not so, but he leadeth the multitude astray (ov, $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \lambda \alpha v a ~ \tau o v o \chi \lambda o v$ ). Sharp clash in the crowd. Present active indicative of $\pi \lambda \alpha v a \omega$, to go astray (Mt 18:12f. ), like our "planets," to lead others astray (Mt 24:4,5,11, etc.). In the end the rulers will call Jesus "that deceiver"
 seen (7:31f. ).

John 7:13
Howbeit ( $\mu \varepsilon v \tau 0$ ). See 4:27 for this compound particle ( $\mu \varepsilon v, \tau 0$ ), by way of exception, but yet.

Spake ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, "was speaking," picturing the whispering or secret talk ( no man openly , ou $\varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma i \alpha)$. Best MSS. do not have $\varepsilon v$ here with $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma ı \alpha$ (locative or instrumental case of manner) as in 7:26; $10: 24 ; 11: 54$, but $\varepsilon v$ genuine in $7: 4 ; \mathrm{Col}$ 2:15. This adverbial use of $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma i \alpha$ is common enough ( $\mathrm{Mr} 8: 37$ ).

For fear of the Jews ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau 0 v \varphi o ß \circ v \tau \omega v$ Iov $\delta a \omega \omega v$ ). Objective genitive. The crowds really feared the Jewish leaders and evidently did not wish to involve Jesus or themselves. See the same phrase and attitude on the part of the disciples in 19:38; 20:19.

John 7:14
But when it was now in the midst of the feast ( $\eta \delta \eta \delta \varepsilon \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon о \rho \tau \eta \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \sigma o v \sigma \eta \varsigma)$. Literally, "But feast being already midway." Genitive absolute, present active participle, of $\mu \varepsilon \sigma o \omega$, old verb from $\mu \varepsilon \sigma o \varsigma$, in LXX, here only in N.T. The feast of tabernacles was originally seven days, but a last day (verse 37; Le 23:36 ) was added, making eight in all.

And taught ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active of $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega$, probably inchoative, "began to teach." He went up ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \beta \eta$, effective aorist, arrived). The leaders had asked (verse 11) where Jesus was. There he was now before their very eyes.

John 7:15
Marvelled ( $\varepsilon Ө \alpha \cup \mu \alpha \zeta o v)$. Picturesque imperfect active of $\theta \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$, "were wondering." After all the bluster of the rulers (verse 13) here was Jesus teaching without interruption.

Knoweth letters ( $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ oı $\delta \varepsilon v$ ). Second perfect active indicative used as present. $\Gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, old word from $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$, to write, is originally the letters formed ( $\mathrm{Ga} 6: 11$ ), then a letter or epistle (Ac 28:21), then the sacred Scriptures (Joh 5:47; 2Ti 3:15), then learning
like Latin litterae and English letters (Ac 26:24; Joh 7:15 ). "The marvel was that Jesus showed Himself familiar with the literary methods of the time, which were supposed to be confined to the scholars of the popular teachers" (Westcott).

Having never learned ( $\mu \eta \mu \varepsilon \mu \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \omega \varsigma$ ). Perfect active participle of $\mu \alpha v \theta a v \omega$ with $\mu \eta$, the usual negative (subjective) with the participle. It is not the wisdom of Jesus that disconcerted the Jewish leaders, but his learning (Marcus Dods). And yet Jesus had not attended either of the rabbinical theological schools in Jerusalem (Hillel, Shammai). He was not a rabbi in the technical sense, only a carpenter, and yet he surpassed the professional rabbis in the use of their own methods of debate. It is sometimes true today that unschooled men in various walks of life forge ahead of men of lesser gifts with school training. See the like puzzle of the Sanhedrin concerning Peter and John (Ac 4:13). This is not an argument against education, but it takes more than education to make a real man. Probably this sneer at Jesus came from some of the teachers in the Jerusalem seminaries. "Christ was in the eyes of the Jews a merely self-taught enthusiast" (Westcott).

John 7:16
Mine $(\varepsilon \mu \eta)$. Possessive pronoun, "not mine in origin." Jesus denies that he is self-taught, though not a schoolman.

But his that sent me ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ тоט $\pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \alpha \nu \tau о \varsigma \mu \varepsilon$ ). Genitive case of the articular participle (first aorist active of $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega$ ). His teaching is not self-originated nor is it the product of the schools (see the Talmud in contrast with the New Testament). Jesus often in John uses this idiom of "the one who sent me" of the Father ( $4: 34 ; 5: 23,24,30,37 ; 6: 38-40,44 ; 7: 16,18,28$, etc.). The bold claim is here made by Jesus that his teaching is superior in character and source to that of the rabbis.

John 7:17
If any man willeth to do ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \tau \iota \varsigma \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \pi o เ \varepsilon เ v)$. Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and present active subjunctive $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta$ not used as a mere auxiliary verb for the future "will do," but with full force of $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$, to will, to wish. See the same use of $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$ in 5:40 "and yet ye are not willing to come" ( $\kappa \alpha$ ov $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon เ v$ ).

He shall know ( $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Future middle indicative of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. Experimental knowledge from willingness to do God's will. See this same point by Jesus in 5:46; 18:37. There must be moral harmony between man's purpose and God's will. "If there be no sympathy there can be no understanding" (Westcott). Atheists of all types have no point of contact for approach to the knowledge of Christ. This fact does not prove the non-existence of God, but simply their own isolation. They are out of tune with the Infinite. For those who love God it is also true that obedience to God's will brings richer knowledge of God. Agnostic and atheistic critics are disqualified by Jesus as witnesses to his claims.

Of God ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Out of God as source.
From myself ( $\alpha \pi^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \alpha \nu \tau o v$ ). Instead of from God.

John 7:18
From himself ( $\alpha \varphi^{\prime} \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v$ ). This kind of teacher is self-taught, pushes his own ideas, presses his own claims for position and glory, "blows his own horn" as we say. Jesus is the other type of teacher, seeks the glory of the one who sent him, whose herald and ambassador he is.

The same (outo؟). "This one."
Unrighteousness ( $\alpha \delta_{\iota \kappa ı} \alpha$ ). Old word from $\alpha \delta_{ı \kappa \kappa \varsigma}$ ( $\alpha$ privative and $\left.\delta_{ı \kappa \eta}\right)$. Here in contrast with "true" $(\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \eta \varsigma)$. See 2Th 2:10; 1Co 13:6 for the deceit of unrighteousness in contrast with truth as here.

John 7:19
And yet ( $\kappa \alpha$ ). Clear use of $\kappa \alpha$ in the adversative sense of "and yet" or "but." They marvelled at Christ's "ignorance" and boasted of their own knowledge of the law of Moses. And yet they violated that law by not practising it.

Why seek ye to kill me? (T $\mu \varepsilon \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \iota \varepsilon$ a $\quad$ ток $\tau \varepsilon เ \nu \alpha ı ;$ ). A sudden and startling question as an illustration of their failure to do the law of Moses. Jesus had previously known (5:39,45-47) that the Jews really rejected the teaching of Moses while professing to believe it. On that very occasion they had sought to kill him (5:18), the very language used here. Apparently he had not been to Jerusalem since then. He undoubtedly alludes to their conduct then and charges them with the same purpose now.

John 7:20
The multitude (o oх $\lambda$ oऽ). Outside of Jerusalem (the Galilean crowd as in verses 11f.) and so unfamiliar with the effort to kill Jesus recorded in 5:18. It is important in this chapter to distinguish clearly the several groups like the Jewish leaders ( $7: 13,15,25,26,30,32$, etc.), the multitude from Galilee and elsewhere (10-13,20,31,40,49), the common people of Jerusalem (25), the Roman soldiers (45f. ).
 pilgrims make the same charge against Jesus made long ago by the Pharisees in Jerusalem in explanation of the difference between John and Jesus (Mt 11:18; Lu 7:33 ). It is an easy way to make a fling like that. "He is a monomaniac labouring under a hallucination that people wish to kill him" (Dods).

John 7:21
One work ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v$ ). Direct allusion to the healing of the impotent man when in Jerusalem before (5:1ff. ). He had wrought others before ( $2: 23 ; 4: 45$ ), but this one on the Sabbath caused the rulers to try to kill Jesus (5:18). Some wondered then, others had murder in their hearts. This crowd here is ignorant.

John 7:22
For this cause ( $\delta, \alpha$ tov $\tau$ ). Some would take this phrase with the preceding verb $\theta \alpha v \mu \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ (ye marvel for this cause).

Hath given ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon \nu$ ). Present active indicative of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ (permanent state).
Not that it is of Moses, but of the fathers (ov o $\frac{\tau}{} \varepsilon \kappa \tau 0 v M \omega v \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu$ $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega v)$. A parenthesis to explain that circumcision is older in origin than Moses.

And on the sabbath ye circumcise ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau \omega \pi \varepsilon \rho ı \tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Adversative use of $\kappa \alpha=$ and yet as in 19. That is to say, the Jews keep one law (circumcision) by violating another (on the Sabbath, the charge against him in chapter 5, healing on the Sabbath).

John 7:23
That the law of Moses may not be broken ( $\imath \alpha \alpha \mu \eta \lambda v \theta \eta$ o vo $\mu \circ \varsigma M \omega v \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Purpose clause with negative $\mu \eta$ and first aorist passive subjunctive of $\lambda \omega \omega$. They are punctilious about their Sabbath rules and about circumcision on the eighth day. When they clash, they drop the Sabbath rule and circumcise.

Are ye wroth with me? ( $\varepsilon \mu \circ \chi о \lambda a \tau \varepsilon ;$ ). Old word from $\chi \circ \lambda \eta$ (bile, gall), possibly from $\chi \lambda$ on or $\chi \lambda \omega \rho \circ \varsigma$ (yellowish green). Only here in N.T. So to be mad. With dative. Vivid picture of bitter spleen against Jesus for healing a man on the sabbath when they circumcise on the Sabbath.

A man every whit whole ( $o \lambda o v a v \theta \rho \omega \pi o v v \gamma \eta \eta$ ). Literally, "a whole (o $\alpha o v$ ) man (all the man) sound (v$v \iota \eta$, well)," not just one member of the body mended.

John 7:24
According to appearance ( $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \mathrm{o} \psi(\nu)$. And so, superficially. See 11:44. Also not "righteous" ( $\delta$ เкaı $\alpha v$ ) judgment.

John 7:25
 of the city in contrast to the multitude of pilgrims at the feast. They form a separate group. The word is made from I $\varepsilon \rho o \sigma o \lambda v \mu \alpha$ and occurs in Josephus and IV Maccabees. In N.T. only here and Mr 1:5. These Jerusalem people knew better than the pilgrims the designs of the rulers (Vincent).

Is not this? (ov $\begin{aligned} & \text { ov } о \varsigma ~ \\ & \sigma \tau \tau v ;) \text {. Expecting affirmative answer. Clearly they were not as }\end{aligned}$ familiar with the appearance of Jesus as the Galilean multitude (Dods).

They seek ( $\lceil\eta \tau 0 v \sigma \iota)$. The plural refers to the group of leaders already present (7:15) to whom the Jerusalem crowd probably pointed. They knew of their threats to kill Jesus ( 5:18).

John 7:26
They say nothing unto him (ov $\delta \varepsilon v a v \tau o \lambda \varepsilon \gamma o v \sigma \iota v$ ). But only make sneering comments about him (7:16) in spite of his speaking "openly" ( $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$, for which word see 7:13; 18:20 ) before all. It was sarcasm about the leaders, though an element of surprise on the part of "these shrewd townsmen" (Bernard) may have existed also.

Can it be that the rulers indeed know ( $\mu \eta \pi о \tau \varepsilon \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu \alpha \rho \chi о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Negative answer expected by $\mu \eta \pi о \tau \varepsilon$ and yet there is ridicule of the rulers in the form of the question.

See a like use of $\mu \eta \pi о \tau \varepsilon$ in Lu 3:15, though nowhere else in John. E $\gamma v \omega \sigma \alpha v$ (second aorist ingressive active indicative of $\gamma เ v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ ) may refer to the examination of Jesus by these rulers in 5:19ff. and means, "Did they come to know or find out" (and so hold now)?

That this is the Christ (oт очтоৎ हбтıv o Xpıбтo¢). The Messiah of Jewish hope.
John 7:27
Howbeit ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ). Clearly adversative here.
This man ( $\tau 0 \cup \tau 0 v$ ). Possibly contemptuous use of outos as may be true in 25,26 .
Whence he is ( $\pi \mathrm{o} \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \tau v$ ). The Galilean Jews knew the family of Jesus ( $6: 42$ ), but they knew Jesus only as from Nazareth, not as born in Bethlehem (verse 42).

When the Christ cometh (o X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о \varsigma ~ о \tau \alpha v ~ \varepsilon \rho \chi \eta \tau \alpha) . ~ P r o l e p s i s ~ o f ~ o ~ X \rho ı \sigma \tau o s ~ a n d ~ i n d e f-~$ inite temporal clause with o $\tau \alpha v$ and the present middle subjunctive $\varepsilon \rho \chi \eta \tau \alpha$ rather than the more usual second aorist active $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ as in verse 31 , a trifle more picturesque. This is a piece of popular theology. "Three things come wholly unexpected--Messiah, a godsend, and a scorpion" (Sanhedrin 97a). The rulers knew the birthplace to be Bethlehem (7:42; Mt 2:5f. ), but some even expected the Messiah to drop suddenly from the skies as Satan proposed to Jesus to fall down from the pinnacle of the temple. The Jews generally expected a sudden emergence of the Messiah from concealment with an anointing by Elijah (Apoc. of Bar. XXIX. 3; 2Esdr. 7:28; 13:32; Justin Martyr, Tryph. 110).

John 7:28
And I am not come of myself ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \pi$ ' $\varepsilon \mu \alpha v \tau o v$ ovк $\varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \cup \theta \alpha$ ). K $\alpha$ here="and yet." Jesus repeats the claim of verse 17 and also in $5: 30 ; 8: 28 ; 12: 49 ; 14: 10$.

Whom ye know not ( $\mathrm{o} v \mathrm{v} \mu \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma$ оик oi $\delta \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Jesus passes by a controversy over the piece of popular theology to point out their ignorance of God the Father who sent him. He tersely agrees that they know something of him. Jesus says of these Jews that they know not God as in $8: 19,55$.

John 7:29
I know him ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ oı $\delta \alpha \alpha v \tau o v$ ). In contrast to the ignorance of these people. See the same words in 8:55 and the same claim in 17:25; Mt 11:27; Lu 10:22 (the Johannine aerolite). "These three words contain the unique claim of Jesus, which is pressed all through the chapters of controversy with the Jews" (Bernard). Jesus is the Interpreter of God to men (Joh 1:18).

And he sent me (какєıvo¢ $\mu \varepsilon \alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, the very verb used of Jesus when he sent forth the twelve (Mt 10:5) and used by Jesus again of himself in Joh 17:3 . He is the Father's Apostle to men.

John 7:30
They sought therefore ( $\varepsilon\lceil\eta \tau 0 u v$ ouv). Imperfect active of $\lceil\eta \tau \varepsilon \omega$, inchoative or conative, they began to seek. Either makes sense. The subject is naturally some of the Jerusalemites (Westcott) rather than some of the leaders (Bernard).

To take him ( $\alpha v \tau 0 v \pi \iota \alpha \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive, Doric form from $\pi \iota \alpha \zeta \omega$, from the usual $\pi \iota \varepsilon \zeta \omega$, occasionally so in the papyri, but $\pi \iota a \zeta \omega$ always in N.T. except Lu 6:38 .

And ( $\kappa \alpha$ ). Here = "but."
Laid his hand $(\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \nu \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to cast upon. Old and common idiom for arresting one to make him a prisoner (Mt 26:50). See repetition in verse 44.

His hour ( $\eta \omega \rho \alpha$ avtov). In 13:1 we read that "the hour" had come, but that was "not yet" (ov $\pi \omega$ ). "John is at pains to point out at every point that the persecution and death of Jesus followed a predestined course" (Bernard), as in 2:4; 7:6,8; 8:10; 10:39; 13:1, etc.

Was not yet come (ov $\pi \omega \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda v \theta \varepsilon$ ). Past perfect active of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, as John looks back on the story.

John 7:31
When the Christ shall come (o X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma$ o $\tau \alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ ). Proleptic position of o X $\mathrm{X} \stackrel{\sigma \tau \tau}{ }$ again as in 27 , but $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ with o $\alpha \alpha v$ rather than $\varepsilon \rho \chi \eta \tau \alpha$, calling more attention to the consummation (whenever he does come).

Will he do? ( $\mu \eta \pi$ о $\eta \sigma \varepsilon ;$;). Future active indicative of $\pi o เ \varepsilon \omega$ with $\mu \eta$ (negative answer expected). Jesus had won a large portion of the pilgrims ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \operatorname{\tau ov}$ o $\lambda \lambda \frac{\lambda}{} \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda o$ ) either before this day or during this controversy. The use of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha v$ (ingressive aorist active) looks as if many came to believe at this point. These pilgrims had watched closely the proceedings.

Than those which $(\omega v)$. One must supply the unexpressed antecedent $\tau o v \tau \omega v$ in the ablative case after $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota o v a$ (more). Then the neuter plural accusative relative $\alpha$ (referring to $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ signs) is attracted to the ablative case of the pronominal antecedent $\tau 0 v \tau \omega \nu$ (now dropped out).

Hath done $(\varepsilon \pi o \not \eta \sigma \varepsilon v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\pi o t \varepsilon \omega$, a timeless constative aorist summing up all the miracles of Jesus so far.

John 7:32
The Pharisees (o Фapıбaıo). This group of the Jewish rulers (7:11,15,25f. ) was particularly hostile to Christ, though already the Sadducees had become critical (Mt 16:6) and they join here (o $\alpha \rho \chi \iota \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$, the chief priests being Sadducees) in determining to silence Jesus by bringing him before the Sanhedrin. They had heard the whispered talk about Jesus before he arrived (7:12f. ) and still more now.
 indicative of $\alpha \kappa \sigma \omega \omega$ with the genitive case and the descriptive participle of the vivid onomatopoetic verb $\gamma 0 \gamma \gamma \cup \zeta \omega$ (verse 12) now grown louder like the hum of bees. It was the defence of Jesus by a portion of the crowd (7:31) that irritated the Pharisees. Here the Pharisees take the initiative and enlist the Sadducees in the Sanhedrin (for this combination see 7:45; 11:47,57; Mt 21:45; 27:62, the organized court) to send "officers" (v $\pi \eta \rho \varepsilon \tau \alpha \varsigma)$ "to take him" ( $\iota \alpha \alpha \pi \omega \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu \alpha v \tau o v$, final clause with $\imath v \alpha$ and first aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \iota \alpha \zeta \omega$ for
which verb see verse 30 ). For vппрята¢ (temple police here) see verse 45; 18:3,12,22; 19:6;


John 7:33
Yet a little while ( $\varepsilon \tau$ र $\quad$ оооо $\mu \kappa \kappa \rho \circ v$ ). Accusative of extent of time. It was only six months to the last passover of Christ's ministry and he knew that the end was near.

I go unto him that sent me (v $\tau \alpha \gamma \omega \pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ тov $\pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon)$. See the same words in 16:5. Hи $\alpha \alpha \gamma \omega$, old compound ( $v \pi \sigma, \alpha \gamma \omega$ ), has the notion of withdrawing (literally, go under). See 16:7-10 for three words for going common in John ( $п о \rho \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha, ~ g o ~ f o r ~ a ~ p u r p o s e, ~$ $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to go away, $v \pi \alpha \gamma \omega$, to withdraw personally). Hv $\pi \alpha \gamma \omega$ often in John of going to the Father or God (8:14,21; 13:3,33,36; 14:4,5,28; 15:16; 16:4,7,10,17 ). See 6:21. It was enigmatic language to the hearers.

John 7:34
And shall not find me ( $\kappa \alpha$ oux $\varepsilon v \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). Future active indicative of $\varepsilon v \rho ı \sigma \kappa \omega$. Jesus had said: "Seek and ye shall find" (Mt 7:7), but this will be too late. Now they were seeking (verse 30) to kill Jesus, then they will seek deliverance, but too late.

Where I am (опоv $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \gamma \omega)$. No conflict with verse 33, but the essential eternal spiritual home of Christ "in absolute, eternal being and fellowship with the Father" (Vincent).

Ye cannot come ( $\nu \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ o v ~ \delta u v a \sigma \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon เ v)$. This fellowship was beyond the comprehension of these hostile Jews. See the same idea in 7:36 by the Jews; 8:21 to the Jews and then to the disciples with the addition of "now" ( $\alpha \rho \tau, 13: 33, v v v$ in 13:36).

John 7:35
Among themselves ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ eautouc). These Jewish leaders of verse 32 talk among themselves about what Jesus said in a spirit of contempt (this man or fellow, ovtoc).

That (oт). Almost result like o $\tau$ in Mt 8:27.
Will he go? ( $\mu \eta \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \pi<\rho \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha l ;)$. Negative answer expected in an ironical question, "Is he about to go?"

Unto the Dispersion among the Greeks ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma ~ \tau \eta v \delta ı \alpha \sigma \pi о \rho a v \tau \omega \nu H \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \omega v)$ ). Objective genitive $\tau \omega v$ H $\delta \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \omega \nu$ (of the Greeks) translated here "among," because it is the Dispersion of Jews among the Greeks. $\Delta \iota \alpha \sigma \pi$ o $\alpha$ is from $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$, to scatter apart (Ac 8:1,4 ). It occurs in Plutarch and is common in the LXX, in the N.T. only here, Jas $1: 1 ; 1 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 1$. There were millions of these scattered Jews.

And teach the Greeks (ка $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon เ v ~ \tau о и \varsigma ~ H \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta v a \varsigma) . ~ C o n f e s s i n g ~ h i s ~ f a i l u r e ~ t o ~ t e a c h ~$ the Jews in Palestine, "thus ignorantly anticipating the course Christianity took; what seemed unlikely and impossible to them became actual" (Dods).

John 7:36
What is this word? (Tıs عбтiv o $\lambda$ oүoc ov ovoб;). Puzzled and uneasy over this unintelligible saying. Even Peter is distressed over it later (13:37).

John 7:37

Now on the last day ( $\varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \tau \eta \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). The eighth day which was "an holy convocation," kept as a Sabbath (Le 33:36 ), apparently observed as a memorial of the entrance into Canaan, hence "the great day of the feast" ( $\tau \eta \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon о \rho \tau \eta \varsigma)$.

Stood and cried (ı $\sigma \tau\rceil \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \rho \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Past perfect active of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ used as imperfect and intransitive and first aorist active of $\kappa \rho \alpha \zeta \omega$. Picture Jesus standing (linear) and suddenly crying out (punctiliar).

If any man thirst ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \iota \varsigma \delta \iota \psi \alpha$ ). Third class condition with $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ and present active subjunctive of $\delta \iota \psi \alpha \omega$, "if any one is thirsty." On each of the seven preceding days water was drawn in a golden pitcher from the pool of Siloam and carried in procession to the temple and offered by the priests as the singers chanted Isa 12:3 : "With joy shall ye draw water out of the wells of salvation." "It is uncertain whether the libations were made upon the eighth day. If they were not made, the significant cessation of the striking rite on this one day of the feast would give a still more fitting occasion for the words" (Westcott).

John 7:38
He that believeth on me ( $\circ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega \nu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). Nominative absolute as is not uncommon.
The scripture ( $\eta \gamma \rho a \varphi \eta$ ). No precise passage can be quoted, though similar idea in several (Isa 55:1; 58:11; Zec 13:1; 14:8; Eze 47:1; Joe 3:18 ). Chrysostom confines it to Isa 28:16 by punctuation (only the nominative absolute as the Scripture).

Out of his belly shall flow rivers of living water ( $\pi о \tau \alpha \mu о \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \kappa о \iota \lambda \iota \varsigma$ аитоv $\rho \varepsilon v \sigma 0 v \sigma \iota v \nu \delta \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma \zeta \omega v \tau \sigma \varsigma)$. Some ancient Western writers connect $\pi \iota v \varepsilon \tau \omega$ of verse 37 with o $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega v$ in verse 38 . By this arrangement $\alpha v \tau o v$ (his) with коı $\lambda \iota \alpha \varsigma$ is made to refer to Christ, not to the believer. Burney argues that koı $\lambda \iota \alpha$ is a mistranslation of the Aramaic (fountain, not belly) and that the reference is to Eze 47:1 . C.C. Torrey refers to Zec 14:8 . But the Eastern writers refer avtov (his) to the believer who not only quenches in Christ his own thirst, but becomes a source of new streams for others (Joh 4:14). It is a difficult question and Westcott finally changed his view and held avtov to refer to Christ. Pevoovoıv is future active indicative of $\rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to flow, here only in the N.T.

John 7:39
Which (ov). Genitive by attraction of the relative o (accusative singular object of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \varepsilon \iota v)$ to the case of $\tau 0 v \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu a \tau o \varsigma$ (the Spirit) the antecedent. But it is purely grammatical gender (neuter o because of $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ ) which we do not have in English. Even here one should say "whom," not which, of the Spirit of God.

Were to receive ( $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o v \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a v \varepsilon \tau v)$. Imperfect active of $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ with the present active infinitive $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \varepsilon \iota \nu$, to receive, one of the three constructions with $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ (present, aorist, or future infinitive). Literally, "whom they were about to receive," a clear reference to the great pentecost.

For the Spirit was not yet given (ov $\pi \omega \gamma \alpha \rho \eta \nu \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha)$. No verb for "given" in the Greek. The reference is not to the existence of the Spirit, but to the dispensation of the

Spirit. This same use of $\varepsilon \varepsilon \mu$ like $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \mu \mu$ (to be present) appears in Ac 19:2 of the Spirit's activity. John, writing at the close of the century, inserts this comment and interpretation of the language of Jesus as an allusion to the coming of the Holy Spirit at pentecost (the Promise of the Father).

Because Jesus was not yet glorified (o $\operatorname{I\eta }\rceil \sigma \circ \cup \varsigma \circ \cup \pi \omega \varepsilon \delta \circ \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \eta$ ). Reason for the previous statement, the pentecostal outpouring following the death of Jesus here called "glorified" $(\varepsilon \delta \circ \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \eta$, first aorist passive indicative of $\delta o \xi \alpha \zeta \omega)$, used later of the death of Jesus ( $12: 16$ ), even by Jesus himself (12:23; 13:31 ).

John 7:40
Some of the multitude ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ tov o o $\lambda \mathrm{\lambda ov}$ ). Tıveৎ (some) to be supplied, a common Greek idiom.

Of a truth ( $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \omega \varsigma)$. "Truly." See 1:47.
The prophet ( $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \circ \varphi \eta \tau \eta \varsigma$ ). The one promised to Moses (De 18:15) and long expected. See on John 1:21. Proof of the deep impression made by Jesus.

John 7:41
This is the Christ (ovtoc eбтiv o Xpıбтo¢). These went further and dared to call Jesus the Messiah and not merely the prophet who might not be the Messiah. They said it openly.

What $(\gamma \alpha \rho)$. These denied that Jesus was the Messiah and gave as their reason ( $\gamma \alpha \rho$, for) the fact that he came from Galilee. The use of $\mu \eta$ expects a negative answer.

John 7:42
The scripture ( $\eta \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta$ ). The reference is to Mic 5:2, the very passage quoted by the chief priests and scribes in response to Herod's inquiry (Mt 2:6). This ignorance of the fact that Jesus was actually born in Bethlehem belongs to the Jews, not to John the author of the Gospel.

John 7:43
A division ( $\sigma \chi\llcorner\mu \mu)$. A clear split. See Mt 9:16 for the word from $\sigma \chi\llcorner\omega$, to rend. Used again in Joh 9:16; 10:19.

John 7:44
Would have taken him ( $\eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda$ ov $\pi \iota \alpha \sigma \alpha \alpha \cup \tau o v$ ). Imperfect active of $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$ and first aorist active infinitive of $\pi \iota \alpha \zeta \omega$, "were wishing to seize him." See verse 30 for a like impulse and restraint, there $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v \varepsilon \pi^{\prime}$ avtov, here $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v \varepsilon \pi^{\prime}$ avtov (simple verb, not compound).

John 7:45
Why did ye not bring him? ( $\Delta$ เ $\alpha \tau$ оик $\eta \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \circ v$;). Second aorist active indicative of $\alpha \gamma \omega$. Indignant outburst of the Sanhedrin (both Sadducees and Pharisees) at the failure of the ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma$, note article here referring to verse 32) temple police to arrest Jesus. "Apparently they were sitting in expectation of immediately questioning him" (Dods). They were stunned at this outcome.

John 7:46

Never man so spake (ou $\delta \varepsilon \pi о \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ov $\tau \omega \varsigma \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma)$. Police officers are not usually carried away by public speech. They had fallen under the power of Jesus "as the Galilean peasants had been impressed" (Bernard) in verses 28 f . It was the words of Jesus that had so gripped these officers, not his works ( 15:24). It was most disconcerting to the Sanhedrin.

John 7:47
Are ye also led astray? ( $\mathrm{M} \eta \kappa \alpha \nu \mu \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \pi \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$;). The Pharisees took the lead in this scornful sneer at the officers. The use of $\mu \eta$ formally expects a negative answer as in 4:29, but the Pharisees really believed it. See also 6:67. The verb form is perfect passive indicative of $\pi \lambda \alpha v \alpha \omega$, for which see verse 12 with perhaps an allusion to that phase of opinion.

John 7:48
 autov;). Negative answer sharply expected. First aorist active indicative of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$. "Did any one of the rulers believe on him?" "What right have subordinates to have a mind of their own?" (Dods). These police were employed by the temple authorities (rulers). "Power was slipping through their fingers" (Dods) and that was the secret of their hostility to Jesus.

Or of the Pharisees ( $\eta \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu \Phi \alpha \rho ı \sigma \alpha \omega \omega v$ ). A wider circle and the most orthodox of all.

## John 7:49

This multitude (o ox 10 oc ovtoc). The Pharisees had a scorn for the amhaaretz or "people of the earth" (cf. our "clod-hoppers") as is seen in rabbinic literature. It was some of the ox $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ oc (multitude at the feast especially from Galilee) who had shown sympathy with Jesus (7:12,28f. ).

Which knoweth not the law ( $o \mu \eta \gamma \operatorname{vo\sigma } \kappa \omega v$ ). Present active articular participle of $\gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ with $\mu \eta$ usual negative of the participle in the Koine. "No brutish man is sin-fearing, nor is one of the people of the earth pious" (Aboth, II. 6). See the amazement of the Sanhedrin at Peter and John in Ac 4:13 as "unlettered and private men" ( $\alpha \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau о ~ к \alpha ~ เ \delta เ \omega \tau \alpha)$. No wonder the common people (ox ooc ) heard Jesus gladly (Mr 12:37). The rabbis scouted and scorned them.

Are accursed ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau 0 ~ \varepsilon ו \sigma เ v)$ ). Construction according to sense (plural verb and adjective with collective singular oх $\lambda$ оৎ). Et $\pi$ рато is old verbal adjective from $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \circ \mu \alpha$, to call down curses upon, here only in the N.T.

John 7:50
Nicodemus (Nıкоঠпиоя). Not heard from since chapter 3 when he timidly came to Jesus by night. Now he boldly protests against the injustice of condemning Jesus unheard. He appears once more (and only in John) in 19:39 with Joseph of Arimathea as a secret disciple of Jesus. He is a Pharisee and a member of the Sanhedrin and his present act is courageous.

Saith ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ ). Dramatic present active indicative as in 2:3.

Before ( $\pi \rho \circ \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ). This is genuine, a reference to the visit in chapter 3, but vvктoৎ (by night) is not genuine here.

Being one of them ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma \omega \nu \varepsilon \xi \alpha \cup \tau \omega v$ ). As a member of the Sanhedrin he takes up the challenge in verse 48 . He is both ruler and Pharisee.

John 7:51
Doth our law judge a man? ( $\mu \eta$ о vо $\mu о \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \omega \nu \kappa \rho ı v \varepsilon \tau o v ~ \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$;). Negative answer expected and "the man," not "a man." These exponents of the law (verse 49) were really violating the law of criminal procedure (Ex 23:1; De 1:16 ). Probably Nicodemus knew that his protest was useless, but he could at least show his colours and score the point of justice in Christ's behalf.

Except it first hear from himself ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \mu \eta$ акоvoŋ $\pi \rho \omega \tau \tau v \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Third-class negative condition with $\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta$ and first aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \kappa \sigma v \omega$. That is common justice in all law, to hear a man's side of the case ("from him," $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$ avtou).

And know what he doeth ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \nu \omega \tau \pi о \varepsilon$ ). Continuation of the same condition with second aorist active subjunctive of $\gamma \iota \omega \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ with indirect question and present active indicative ( $\tau$ กoıs). There was no legal answer to the point of Nicodemus.

John 7:52
Art thou also of Galilee? (Мף ка $\sigma v \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \Gamma \alpha \lambda ı \lambda \alpha ı \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon ı)$. Formally negative answer expected by $\mu \eta$, but really they mean to imply that Nicodemus from local feeling or prejudice has lined himself up with this Galilean mob (ox $\lambda$ oc) of sympathizers with Jesus and is like Jesus himself a Galilean. "These aristocrats of Jerusalem had a scornful contempt for the rural Galileans" (Bernard).
 As a matter of fact Jonah, Hosea, Nahum, possibly also Elijah, Elisha, and Amos were from Galilee. It was simply the rage of the Sanhedrin against Jesus regardless of the facts. Westcott suggests that they may have reference to the future, but that is a mere excuse for them.

John 7:53
This verse and through 8:12 (the passage concerning the woman taken in adultery) is certainly not a genuine part of John's Gospel. The oldest and best MSS. (Aleph A B C L W) do not have it. It first appears in Codex Bezae. Some MSS. put it at the close of John's Gospel and some place it in Luke. It is probably a true story for it is like Jesus, but it does not belong to John's Gospel. The Canterbury Version on which we are commenting puts the passage in brackets. Westcott and Hort place it at the end of the Gospel. With this explanation we shall proceed.

They went ( $\varepsilon \pi о \rho \varepsilon \cup \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\pi$ о $\varepsilon \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha$ used as a deponent verb without passive idea. In this context the verb has to refer to the Sanhedrin with a rather pointless contrast to Jesus.

## John 8

John 8:1
But Jesus went (I $\eta \sigma o v \varsigma ~ \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi о \rho \varepsilon v \theta \eta$ ). Same deponent use of $\pi о \rho \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha$ as in $7: 53$ and in contrast to the Sanhedrin's conduct, though it seems "pointless" (Dods). Apparently Jesus was lodging in the home of Mary, Martha, and Lazarus.

John 8:2
Early in the morning (op $\theta \rho o v)$. Genitive of time, o $\rho \theta \rho o c$ meaning daybreak, old word, not in John, though in Lu 24:1; Ac 5:21 . John uses $\pi \rho \omega$ (18:28; 20:1; 21:4).

He came again into the temple ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau о ~ \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ เ \varepsilon \rho о v) . ~ I f ~ t h e ~ p a r a g r a p h ~ i s ~$ genuine, the time is the next day after the eighth and last day of the feast. If not genuine, there is no way of telling the time of this apparently true incident.

And all the people came unto him ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \varsigma$ о $\lambda \alpha о \varsigma ~ \eta \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau о ~ \pi \rho о \varsigma ~ \alpha u \tau о v) . ~ I m p e r f e c t ~$ middle of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ picturing the enthusiasm of the whole ( $\pi \alpha \varsigma$ ) crowd now as opposed to the divisions in chapter 7.

Taught ( $\varepsilon \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active of $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega$. He took his seat ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \alpha \varsigma$, ingressive active participle of $\left.\kappa \alpha \theta_{\iota} \zeta \omega\right)$ as was customary for Jesus and began to teach (inchoative imperfect). So the picture.

John 8:3
The scribes and the Pharisees (о $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon ı к к$ о Фарьбаьо). John does not mention "scribes," though this combination (note two articles) is common enough in the Synoptics (Lu 5:30; 6:7 , etc.).

Bring ( $\alpha \gamma 0 \cup \sigma เ v$ ). Vivid dramatic present active indicative of $\alpha \gamma \omega$. Dods calls this "in itself an unlawful thing to do" since they had a court for the trial of such a case. Their purpose is to entrap Jesus.

Taken in adultery ( $\varepsilon \pi \mu \circ \chi \chi \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ кат $\varepsilon ı \lambda \varepsilon \mu \mu \varepsilon v \eta \nu)$. Perfect passive participle of ката入 $\alpha \mu \beta \alpha \omega$, old compound to seize (Mr 9:18) , to catch, to overtake (Joh 12:35), to overcome (or overtake) in 1:5.

Having let her in the midst ( $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \varepsilon \sigma \omega$ ). First aorist active (transitive) participle of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$. Here all could see her and what Jesus did with such a case. They knew his proneness to forgive sinners.

John 8:4
Hath been taken ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon 1 \lambda \eta \pi \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$ (see verse $3)$, caught and still guilty.

In adultery ( $\mu \circ \not \subset \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta$ ). Present passive participle of $\mu \circ \chi \varepsilon v \omega$, "herself suffering adultery" (Mt 5:32 ). Used of married people. Not in John.

In the very act $\left(\varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau о \varphi \omega \rho \omega\right)$. Old adjective ( $\alpha v \tau о \varphi \omega \rho o \sigma, \alpha \nu \tau \circ \varsigma$, self, and $\varphi \omega \rho$, thief) caught in the act of theft, then extended to any crime in which one is caught. Old idiom, but not elsewhere in the Greek Bible. One example in a Berlin papyrus.

John 8:5
Commanded ( $\varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon เ \lambda a \tau o)$. First aorist middle indicative of $\varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, old verb to enjoin (Mt 4:6 ).

To stone such ( $\left.\tau \alpha \varsigma \tau 0 เ \alpha v \tau \alpha \varsigma \lambda_{\imath} \theta \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \imath \nu\right)$. Present active infinitive of $\lambda_{\imath} \theta \alpha \zeta \omega$ (from $\lambda_{\imath} \theta \circ \varsigma$ ), from Aristotle on. Stoning was specified for the case of a betrothed woman guilty of adultery (De 22:23f. ) and for a priest's daughter if guilty. In other cases just death was commanded (Le 20:10; De 22:22 ). The Talmud prescribes strangulation. This case may have strictly come within the regulation as a betrothed virgin.

What then sayest thou of her? ( $\sigma v$ ovv $\tau \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \sigma ;$ ). "Thou then, what dost thou say?" This was the whole point, to catch Jesus, not to punish the woman.

John 8:6
Tempting him ( $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \zeta о \tau \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \tau \tau o v)$. Evil sense of this present active participle of $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \zeta \omega$, as so often (Mr 8:11; 10:2, etc.).

That they might have whereof to accuse him ( $\imath \alpha \varepsilon \chi \omega \sigma \imath v \alpha \tau \eta \gamma о \rho \varepsilon \imath v$ av $\tau \circ v$ ). Purpose clause with $\tau v \alpha$ and present active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$. This laying of traps for Jesus was a common practice of his enemies (Lu 11:16, etc.). Note present active infinitive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \circ \rho \varepsilon \omega$ (see Mt 12:10 for the verb) to go on accusing (with genitive av $\quad$ (ov). It was now a habit with these rabbis.

Stooped down ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \omega \kappa v \psi \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\kappa v \pi \tau \omega$, old verb to bow the head, to bend forward, in N.T. only here and verse 8 ; Mr 1:7. The use of $\kappa \alpha \tau \omega$ (down) gives a vivid touch to the picture.

With his finger ( $\tau \omega \delta \alpha \kappa \tau \nu \lambda \omega$ ). Instrumental case of $\delta \alpha \kappa \tau \cup \lambda$ os for which see Mt 23:4.
Wrote on the ground ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \gamma \eta \nu$ ). Imperfect active of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$, old compound, here only in N.T., to draw, to delineate, to write down, apparently inchoative, began to write on the sand as every one has done sometimes. The only mention of writing by Jesus and the use of катаүрацш leaves it uncertain whether he was writing words or drawing pictures or making signs. If we only knew what he wrote! Certainly Jesus knew how to write. And yet more books have been written about this one who wrote nothing that is preserved than any other person or subject in human history. There is a tradition that Jesus wrote down the names and sins of these accusers. That is not likely. They were written on their hearts. Jesus alone on this occasion showed embarrassment over this woman's sin.

## John 8:7

When they continued asking ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o v \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \omega v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). Imperfect active indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega$ (waiting in addition or still, $\varepsilon \pi$, old verb) with supplementary active participle of $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \omega$, to question. See same construction in Ac 12:16 The verb $\varepsilon \pi \iota \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega$ does not occur
in John. They saw that Jesus seemed embarrassed, but did not know that it was as much because of "the brazen hardness of the prosecutors" as because of the shame of the deed.

He lifted himself up ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \kappa v \psi \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha v a \kappa \cup \pi \tau \omega$, the opposite of катакข $\tau \tau \omega$, to bend down (verse 8) or of кат $\kappa$ кил $\tau \omega$ (verse 6).

He that is without $\sin (o \alpha v \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \tau o \varsigma)$. Verbal adjective ( $\alpha v$ privative and $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \tau o \varsigma$ from $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v \omega)$, old word, either one who has not sinned as here and De 29:19 or one who cannot sin, not in the N.T.

Among you ( $\nu \mu \omega v$ ). Objective genitive.
First cast ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \tau \varsigma \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tau \omega$ ). The nominative $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ toc means first before others, be the first to cast, not cast before he does something else. See 20:4. The verb is second aorist imperative of $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, old verb to fling or cast. Jesus thus picks out the executioner in the case.

John 8:8
Again he stooped down ( $\pi \alpha \lambda ı \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \cup \psi \alpha \varsigma$ ). First aorist active participle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \cup \pi \tau \omega$, old and rare verb (in Epictetus II, 16.22) instead of $\kappa \alpha \tau \omega \kappa \nu \psi \alpha \varsigma$ in verse 6.

With his finger ( $\tau \omega \delta \alpha \kappa \tau \cup \lambda \omega$ ). Not genuine, only in $D$ and Western class.
Wrote on the ground ( $\varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \gamma \eta \nu$ ). Imperfect active of the simplex $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$, not $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$. The second picture of Jesus writing on the ground.

John 8:9
Went out ( $\varepsilon \xi \eta \rho \chi$ оvтo). Inchoative imperfect. Graphic picture.
One by one ( $\left.\varepsilon ા \varsigma \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \varepsilon ા \varsigma\right)$. Not a Johannine phrase, but in Mr 14:19 where also the second nominative is retained as if $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}(\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha)$ is regarded as a mere adverb and not as a preposition.

Beginning from the eldest ( $\alpha \rho \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o ~ \alpha \pi o ~ \tau \omega v \pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega v$ ). "From the elder (comparative form, common in Koine as superlative) men," as was natural for they had more sins of this sort which they recalled. "They are summoned to judge themselves rather than the woman" (Dods).

Was left alone (кат $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ı \varphi \theta \eta \mu \circ v \circ \varsigma)$. First aorist effective passive indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı \pi \omega$, to leave behind, with predicate nominative $\mu$ ovoc. "Jesus was left behind alone."

And the woman, where she was, in the midst ( $\kappa \alpha \eta \gamma \cup v \eta \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \sigma \omega$ ovø $)$ ). The woman was left behind also "being in the midst" as they had placed her (verse 3 ) before they were conscience stricken and left.

John 8:10
Lifted up himself ( $\alpha v \alpha \kappa \nu \psi \alpha \varsigma$ ). First aorist active participle of $\alpha v \alpha \kappa \cup \pi \tau \omega$ as in verse 7.
Where are they? (Поv $\varepsilon \iota \sigma \imath v$;). Jesus had kept on writing on the ground as the accusers had slipped away one by one.

Did no man condemn thee? (ouסઘıऽ $\sigma \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \kappa \rho \iota v \varepsilon v ;$ ). First aorist active indicative of катакрıv $\omega$, old and common verb to give judgment against (down on) one, but not in John. No one dared to cast a stone at the woman on Christ's terms.

John 8:11

No man, Lord (Ovס\&iб, Kvpı६). "No one, Sir." She makes no excuse for her sin. Does she recognize Jesus as "Lord"?

Neither do I condemn thee (Ovס $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \sigma \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \rho \imath v \omega)$. Jesus does not condone her sin. See $8: 15$ for "I do not judge (condemn) any one." But he does give the poor woman another chance.

Henceforth sin no more ( $\alpha \pi о$ тov $v v \nu \mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau ~ \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v \varepsilon$ ). See also 5:14 where this same language is used to the impotent man. It literally means (prohibition with present active imperative): "Henceforth no longer go on sinning." One can only hope that the woman was really changed in heart and life. Jesus clearly felt that even a wicked woman can be saved.

John 8:12
Again therefore ( $\pi \alpha \lambda ı v o v v$ ). This language fits in better with 7:52 than with 8:11. Just suppose Jesus is in the temple on the following day.

Unto them (autoıc). The Pharisees and crowds in the temple after the feast was past.
I am the light of the world ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \varepsilon \mu$ то $\varphi \omega \varsigma$ тov коб $\mu \circ v$ ). Jesus had called his followers "the light of the world" (Mt 5:14 ), but that was light reflected from him. Already Jesus (the Logos) had been called the true light of men (1:9; 3:19). The Psalmist calls God his Light ( 27:1). So Isa 60:19 . At the feast of tabernacles in the Court of the Women where Jesus was on this day ( 8:20) there were brilliant candelabra and there was the memory of the pillar of cloud by day and of fire by night. But with all this background this supreme and exclusive claim of Jesus (repeated in 9:5) to being the light of the whole world (of Gentiles as well as of Jews) startled the Pharisees and challenged their opposition.

Shall have the light of life ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon$ to $\varphi \omega \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma)$. The light which springs from and issues in life (Westcott). Cf. 6:33,51 about Jesus being the Bread of Life. In this sublime claim we come to a decisive place. It will not do to praise Jesus and deny his deity. Only as the Son of God can we justify and accept this language which otherwise is mere conceit and froth.

John 8:13
Of thyself ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \sigma \varepsilon \alpha u \tau o v$ ). This technical objection was according to the rules of evidence among the rabbis. "No man can give witness for himself" (Mishnah, Ketub. 11. 9). Hence, they say, "not true" (ouk $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \varsigma)$, not pertinent. "They were still in the region of pedantic rules and external tests." In Joh 5:31 Jesus acknowledged this technical need of further witness outside of his own claims (Joh 19-30 ) and proceeded to give it (Joh 32-47) in the testimony of the Baptist, of the Father, of his works, of the Scriptures, and of Moses in particular.

John 8:14
Even if ( $\kappa \alpha v$ ). That is $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \alpha v$, a condition of the third class with the present active subjunctive $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \omega$. Jesus means that his own witness concerning himself is true ( $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \varsigma$ ) even if it contravenes their technical rules of evidence. He can and does tell the truth all by himself concerning himself.

For I know whence I came and whither I go（o o兀 oı $\alpha \pi \circ \theta \varepsilon \nu \eta \lambda \theta o v \kappa \alpha \pi о v v \pi \alpha \gamma \omega)$ ．In this terse sentence with two indirect questions Jesus alludes to his pre－existence with the Father before his Incarnation as in 17：5 and to the return to the Father after the death and resurrection as in 13：3；14：2f．He again puts both ideas together in one crisp clause in 16：28 for the apostles who profess to understand him then．But here these Pharisees are blind to the words of Jesus．＂But ye know not whence I come nor whither I go＂（v $\pi \circ \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha \eta \pi \sigma v v \pi \alpha \gamma \omega$ ）．He had spoken of his heavenly destiny（7：33）．Jesus alone knew his personal consciousness of his coming from，fellowship with，and return to the Father．Stier（Words of the Lord Jesus）argues that one might as well say to the sun，if claiming to be the sun，that it was night，because it bore witness of itself．The answer is the shining of the sun．

John 8：15
After the flesh（ $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta \nu \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha)$ ．According to the standards of the flesh（2Co 5：16）． The Baptist had said：＂There stands one among you whom ye know not＂（Joh 1：26 ）．The Light of the World had come，but they loved darkness rather than light（ 3：19），because the god of this age had blinded their thoughts so that they could not see the illumination of the gospel of the glory of Christ who is the image of God（2Co 4：4）．

John 8：16
Yea and if I judge（ $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \alpha \nu \kappa \rho \imath \nu \omega \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ）．＂And even if I pass judgment．＂Condition of third class again．

True（al邓thin『）．See 1：9 for $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \iota v o \varsigma, ~ g e n u i n e, ~ s o u n d l y ~ b a s e d ~(c f . ~ \delta ı \alpha a ı \alpha ~ i n ~ 5: 30), ~ " s a t-~$ isfying our perfect conception＂（Westcott），not merely true（ $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \varsigma$ ）in the particular facts （verse 14）．

For I am not alone（ $о \tau \mu \circ v o \varsigma$ ovk $\varepsilon \not \mu)$ ．Jesus now takes up the technical criticism in verse 13 after justifying his right to speak concerning himself．

But I and the Father that sent me（ $\alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega \kappa \alpha$ o $\pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \alpha \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ ）．See 16：32 for a like statement about the Father being with Christ．It is not certain that $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ is genuine here（omitted by Aleph D，but in B L W），but the Father is clearly meant as in 7：18，33 ．Jesus gives the Father as the second witness．

John 8：17
Yea and in your law（ $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu \circ \mu \omega \delta \varepsilon \tau \omega \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega$ ）．Same use of $\kappa \alpha l--\delta \varepsilon$ as in verse 16．They claimed possession of the law（ $7: 49$ ）and so Jesus takes this turn in answer to the charge of single witness in verse 13 ．He will use similar language（your law）in 10：34 in an argumentum ad hominem as here in controversy with the Jews．In 15：24 to the apostles Jesus even says＂in their law＂in speaking of the hostile Jews plotting his death．He does not mean in either case to separate himself wholly from the Jews and the law，though in Matthew 5 he does show the superiority of his teaching to that of the law．For the Mosaic regulation about two witnesses see $\operatorname{De} 17: 6 ; 19: 15$ ．This combined witness of two is not true just because
they agree, unless true in fact separately. But if they disagree, the testimony falls to the ground. In this case the Father confirms the witness of the Son as Jesus had already shown ( 5:37).

John 8:18
The Father (o $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ ). Clearly genuine here. So these are the two witnesses that Jesus presents to the Pharisees in defence of his claim to be the Light of the World (verse 12).

John 8:19
Where is thy Father? ( $\pi о v \varepsilon \sigma \tau เ v$ о $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho \sigma o v ;$ ). "The testimony of an unseen and unheard witness would not satisfy them" (Vincent). Bernard understands the Pharisees to see that Jesus claims God the Father as his second witness and so ask "where," not "who" he is. Augustine has it: Patrem Christi carnaliter acceperunt, Christ's human father, as if the Pharisees were "misled perhaps by the Lord's use of $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ (verse 17)" (Dods). Cyril even took it to be a coarse allusion to the birth of Jesus as a bastard according to the Talmud. Perhaps the Pharisees used the question with double entendre, even with all three ideas dancing in their hostile minds.

Ye would know my Father also ( $\kappa \alpha$ тоv $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \mu о v ~ \alpha v ~ \eta ı \delta \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ ). Conclusion of secondclass condition determined as unfulfilled with $\alpha v$ and second perfect active of oi $\delta \alpha$ used as imperfect in both condition and conclusion. See this same point made to Philip in 14:9. In 14:7 Jesus will use $\gamma \iota \omega \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ in the condition and oo $\delta \alpha$ in the conclusion. The ignorance of the Pharisees about Jesus proves it and is due to their ignorance of the Father. See this point more fully stated in 5:36-38 when Jesus had his previous controversy in Jerusalem. In 7:28 Jesus said that they knew his home in Nazareth, but he denied then that they knew the Father who sent him. Jesus will again on this occasion ( 8:55) deny their knowledge of the Father. Later he will deny their knowledge of the Father and of the Son (16:3). The Pharisees are silenced for the moment.

John 8:20
In the treasury ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \gamma \alpha \zeta о \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \iota \omega$ ). See already $\mathrm{Mr} 12: 41 ; \mathrm{Lu} 21: 1$ for this word for the treasure-chambers of the temple. "It abutted on the Court of the Women, and against its walls were placed chests, trumpet-like in form, as receptacles for the offerings of the worshippers" (Bernard). The Persian word gaza (treasure) occurs only once in the N.T. (Ac 8:27) and the compound ( $\varphi$ ) $\alpha \kappa \eta$, guard) only here in John. Jesus hardly taught within a treasure-chamber. It probably means "at the treasury in the temple." This court was probably the most public part of the temple (Vincent).

And ( $\kappa \alpha$ )="and yet" as in $1: 10$, etc.
Because his hour was not yet come (o $o v \pi \omega \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda v \theta \varepsilon \eta \omega \rho \alpha \alpha v \tau o v$ ).
Reason (ot) given why no one seized ( $\varepsilon \pi \omega \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \nu$, cf. $7: 30$ ) him. $E \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta \varepsilon$ is past perfect active of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, "had not yet come." This very use of $\omega \rho \alpha$ appears in $2: 4$ and the very clause in 7:30 which see.

John 8:21
Again ( $\pi \alpha \lambda ı v$ ). Probably $\pi \alpha \lambda ı \nu$ (again) in verse 12 refers to a day after the feast is over since the last day is mentioned in $7: 37$. So then here again we probably move on to another day still beyond that in verse 12 .

And ye shall seek me ( $\kappa \alpha \zeta \eta \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). As in 7:34, "the search of despair" (Bernard), seeking for the Messiah when it is too late, the tragedy of Judaism today ( $1: 11$ ).

And ye shall die in your $\sin (\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \nu \mu \omega \nu \alpha \pi \sigma \theta \alpha \nu \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon)$. Future middle indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \theta \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$ which is the emphatic word here (cf. Eze 3:18; 18:18; Pr 24:9). Note singular $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha(\sin )$ here, but plural $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \iota \varsigma(\operatorname{sins})$ when the phrase is repeated in verse 24 (sin in its essence, sin in its acts).

Ye cannot come ( $v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ov $\delta v v a \sigma \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon เ v)$. Precise language of 7:34 to the Jews and to the apostles in 13:33.

John 8:22
Will he kill himself? ( $\mu \eta \tau \alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon v \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v ;$ ). Negative answer formally expected, but there is a manifest sneer in the query. "The mockery in these words is alike subtle and bitter" (Vincent). It was a different group of Jews in 7:31 who cynically suggested that he was going to work among the Greeks in the Dispersion. Here they infer that Jesus refers to the next world. They suggest the depths of Gehenna for him as the abode of suicides (Josephus, War III. viii. 5). Of course the rabbis could not join Jesus there! Edersheim argues against this view.

John 8:23
Ye are from beneath ( $v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \kappa \kappa \tau \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \omega$ ). This language, peculiar to John, could take up the idea in Josephus that these rabbis came from Gehenna whence they will go as children of the devil ( 8:44), but the use of $\varepsilon \kappa$ тov коб $\mu \circ$ रov $\operatorname{\tau ov}$ (ov ("of this world" in origin) as parallel to what we have here seems to prove that the contrast between $\kappa \alpha \tau \omega$ and $\alpha v \omega$ here is between the earthly (sensual) and the heavenly as in Jas 3:15-17. See also Col 3:1. This is the only use of $\kappa \alpha \tau \omega$ in John (except 8:6). These proud rabbis had their origin in this world of darkness ( 1:9) with all its limitations.

I am from above ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu \alpha \nu \omega \varepsilon \iota \mu)$. The contrast is complete in origin and character, already stated in 3:31, and calculated to intensify their anger.

John 8:24
For except ye believe ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \gamma \alpha \rho \mu \eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Negative condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \mu \eta$ and ingressive aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \nu \omega$, "For unless ye come to believe."

That I am he (o $\tau \varepsilon \omega \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu$ ). Indirect discourse, but with no word in the predicate after the copula $\varepsilon \iota \mu$. Jesus can mean either "that I am from above" (verse 23), "that I am the one sent from the Father or the Messiah" (7:18,28), "that I am the Light of the World" ( $8: 12$ ), "that I am the Deliverer from the bondage of $\sin$ " $(8: 28,31 \mathrm{f} ., 36)$, "that I am" without supplying a predicate in the absolute sense as the Jews (De 32:39) used the language of Jehovah (cf.

Isa $43: 10$ where the very words occur $\operatorname{va} \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon--o \tau \varepsilon \gamma \omega \varepsilon \mu \mu)$. The phrase $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \varepsilon \mu$ occurs three times here $(8: 24,28,58)$ and also in 13:19. Jesus seems to claim absolute divine being as in 8:58.

John 8:25
Who art thou? ( $\Sigma v \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon ;$ ). Proleptic use of $\sigma v$ before $\tau \iota \varsigma$, "Thou, who art thou?" Cf. $1: 19$. He had virtually claimed to be the Messiah and on a par with God as in $5: 15$. They wish to pin him down and to charge him with blasphemy.

Even that which I have also spoken unto you from the beginning ( $\tau \eta \nu \alpha \rho \chi \eta \nu$ от $\kappa \alpha$ $\lambda \alpha \lambda \omega v \mu \nu \nu)$. A difficult sentence. It is not clear whether it is an affirmation or a question. The Latin and Syriac versions treat it as affirmative. Westcott and Hort follow Meyer and take it as interrogative. The Greek fathers take it as an exclamation. It seems clear that the adverbial accusative $\tau \eta \nu \alpha \rho \chi \eta \nu$ cannot mean "from the beginning" like $\alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma$ ( $15: 27$ ) or $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma$ ( 16:4). The LXX has $\tau \eta \nu \alpha \rho \chi \eta \nu$ for "at the beginning" or "at the first" (Ge 43:20 ). There are examples in Greek, chiefly negative, where $\tau \eta \nu \alpha \rho \chi \eta \nu$ means "at all," "essentially," "primarily." Vincent and Bernard so take it here, "Primarily what I am telling you." Jesus avoids the term Messiah with its political connotations. He stands by his high claims already made.

John 8:26
I have many things to speak and to judge concerning you ( $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha \varepsilon \chi \omega \pi \varepsilon \rho v \mu \omega \nu \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tau \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \kappa \rho \iota \varepsilon \varepsilon เ v$ ). Instead of further talk about his own claims (already plain enough) Jesus turns to speak and to judge concerning them and their attitude towards him (cf. verse 16). Whatever they think of Jesus the Father who sent him is true ( $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \eta \varsigma)$. They cannot evade responsibility for the message heard. So Jesus goes on speaking it from the Father.

John 8:27
They perceived not (ovк $\varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. "Preoccupied as they were with thoughts of an earthly deliverer" (Westcott) and prejudiced against recognizing Jesus as the one sent from God.

That he spake to them of the Father (o $\tau \tau 0 v \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \alpha v \tau o \iota \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$ ). Indirect assertion, but with the present indicative $(\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon)$ changed to the imperfect $(\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v)$ as was sometimes done ( $2: 25$ ) after a secondary tense.

John 8:28
When ye have lifted up the Son of man (o o $\left.\alpha v v \psi \omega \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon \tau \frac{\tau}{} v \operatorname{vov} \tau \operatorname{\tau } \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi o v\right)$. Indefinite temporal clause with $o \tau \alpha v(o \tau \varepsilon+\alpha v)$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $v \psi o \omega$, to lift up (Koine verb from v $\quad$ оऽ, height), used several times in John of the Cross of Christ $(3: 14 ; 8: 28 ; 12: 32,34)$. It is unnecessary to render the aorist subjunctive as if a future perfect, simply "whenever ye lift up" (actually lift up, ingressive aorist). In Ac 2:33 the verb is used of the Ascension.

Shall ye know ( $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Future (ingressive aoristic) middle of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. Cognoscetis ex re quod nunc ex verbo non creditis (Bengel). But the knowledge from the facts like the fall of Jerusalem will come too late and will not bring a change of heart. The Holy Spirit will convict them concerning judgment ( 16:8). For

I am $(\varepsilon \gamma \omega \varepsilon \mu)$ see on verse 24 .
As the Father taught me ( $K \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \delta เ \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon$ о $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ ). This claim Jesus repeats (see verse 26) and clearly makes on his arrival at the feast (7:16f. ). This fact marks Jesus off from the rabbis.

John 8:29
Is with me ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \circ v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). The Incarnation brought separation from the Father in one sense, but in essence there is complete harmony and fellowship as he had already said ( 8:16) and will expand in 17:21-26.

He hath not left me alone (ovк $\alpha \varphi \eta \kappa \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \mu \circ v o v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \varphi ı \eta \mu$. "He did not leave me alone." However much the crowds and the disciples misunderstood or left Jesus, the Father always comforted and understood him (Mr 6:46; Mt 14:23; Joh 6:15 ).

That are pleasing to him ( $\tau \alpha \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). This old verbal adjective, from $\alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \omega$, to please, in N.T. only here, Ac 6:2; 12:3; 1Jo 3:32 . The joy of Jesus was in doing the will of the Father who sent him ( 4:34).

John 8:30
Many believed on him ( $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda о$ є $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma \alpha v \varepsilon เ \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau o v)$ ). Ingressive aorist active indicative, came to believe, nominally at any rate, as in 2:23. But the tension was keen and Jesus proceeded to test the faith of these new believers from among the Pharisees.

John 8:31
Which had believed him ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \kappa о \tau \alpha \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). Articular perfect active participle of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$ with dative $\alpha \cup \tau \omega$ (trusted him) rather than $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ avtov (on him) in verse 30. They believed him (cf. 6:30) as to his claims to being the Messiah with their own interpretation ( 6:15), but they did not commit themselves to him and may represent only one element of those in verse 30, but see 2:23 for $\pi เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$ عıs there.

If ye abide in my word ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \nu \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \mu \varepsilon เ \nu \eta \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \lambda o \gamma \omega \tau \omega \varepsilon \mu \omega$ ). Third-class condition with eav and first aorist (constative) active subjunctive.

Are ye truly my disciples ( $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \omega \varsigma \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha \mu \circ v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). Your future loyalty to my teaching will prove the reality of your present profession. So the conclusion of this future condition is put in the present tense. As then, so now. We accept church members on profession of trust in Christ. Continuance in the word (teaching) proves the sincerity or insincerity of the profession. It is the acid test of life.

John 8:32

And ye shall know the truth ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \tau \eta \nu \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu)$. Truth is one of the marks of Christ ( $1: 14$ ) and Jesus will claim to Thomas to be the personification of truth ( $14: 6$ ). But it will be for them knowledge to be learned by doing God's will (7:17). The word is from $\alpha \lambda \eta \forall \eta \varsigma$ ( a privative and $\lambda \eta \theta \omega$, to conceal, unsealed, open). See also verses 40,44,45.

And the truth shall make you free ( $\kappa \alpha \eta \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho \omega \sigma \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. Future active indicative of $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho \circ \omega$, old verb from $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$ (from $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to go where one wishes and so free). One of Paul's great words for freedom from the bondage of the law (Ro 6:18; Ga 5:1 ). The freedom of which Jesus here speaks is freedom from the slavery of sin as Paul in Ro 8:2. See Joh 8:36. This freedom is won alone by Christ ( $8: 36$ ) and we are sanctified in truth ( $17: 19$ ). In 1:17 truth is mentioned with grace as one of the marks of the gospel through Christ. Freedom (intellectual, moral, spiritual) is only attainable when we are set free from darkness, sin, ignorance, superstition and let the Light of the World shine on us and in us.

John 8:33
We be Abraham's seed ( $\Sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha$ A $\beta \rho \alpha \alpha \mu \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon v)$. "We are Abraham's seed," the proudest boast of the Jews, of Sarah the freewoman and not of Hagar the bondwoman (Ga 4:22f. ). Yes, but the Jews came to rely solely on mere physical descent (Mt 3:9) and so God made Gentiles the spiritual children of Abraham by faith (Mt 3:7; Rom. 9:6f. ).

And have never yet been in bondage to any man ( $\kappa \alpha$ ov $\delta \varepsilon \nu \delta \varepsilon \delta o v \lambda \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \pi \omega \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\delta o v \lambda \varepsilon v \omega$, to be slaves. This was a palpable untruth uttered in the heat of controversy. At that very moment the Jews wore the Roman yoke as they had worn that of Assyria, Babylon, Persia, Alexander, the Ptolemies, the Syrian (Seleucid) kings. They had liberty for a while under the Maccabees. "These poor believers soon come to the end of their faith" (Stier). But even so they had completely missed the point in the words of Jesus about freedom by truth.

## John 8:34

Every one that committeth sin is the bondservant of $\sin (\pi \alpha \varsigma$ o $\pi o t \omega \nu \tau \eta \nu \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \nu$ $\delta o v \lambda о \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu[\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \sigma])$. The Western class omits $\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \varsigma(\sin )$, but that is the idea anyhow. Note the use of $\pi o t \omega v$ (present active participle, continuous habit or practice), not $\pi о \imath \eta \sigma \varsigma$ (aorist active participle for single act), precisely as in 1Jo 3:4-8. Note also 3:21 for o $\pi o t \omega \nu \tau \eta \nu \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu$ (the one who practises the truth). Sin, like the worst narcotic, is habit forming. Hence the problem today for criminologists for paroled or pardoned criminals nearly always go back to crime, sink again into sin, the slaves of $\sin$. Xenophon has this notion of the slavery of $\sin$ (Memor. IV. 5. 3). So Paul clearly in Ro 6:17,20 "slaves of sin" ( $\delta o u \lambda o$ $\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \varsigma)$.

John 8:35
The bondservant (o $\delta o v \lambda o \varsigma) . .$.
the son (o vios). There is a change in the metaphor by this contrast between the positions of the son and the slave in the house. The slave has no footing or tenure and may be cast
out at any moment while the son is the heir and has a permanent place. Cf. Ishmael and Isaac (Ge 21:10) and Paul's use of it in Ga 4:30. We do not know that there is any reference here to Hagar and Ishmael. See also Heb 3:5 (Nu 12:7) for a like contrast between Moses as servant $(\theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \omega v)$ in God's house and Christ as Son (vioc) over God's house.

John 8:36
If therefore the son shall make you free ( $\varepsilon \alpha v$ ovv o vos $v \mu \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon u \theta \varepsilon \rho \omega \sigma \eta$ ). Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and first aorist (ingressive) active subjunctive. "If therefore the Son set you free," as he has the power to do.

Ye shall be free indeed ( $\mathrm{ov} \mathrm{\tau} \mathrm{\omega} \mathrm{\varsigma} \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho o \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Old and common adverb from participle ovt $\omega v$, actually, really (cf. Lu 24:34 ). But this spiritual freedom was beyond the concept or wish of these Jews.

John 8:37
 $8: 20$ ). Some of these very professed believers were even now glowering with murderous vengeance.

Hath not free course in you ( $o v \chi \omega \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon v \nu \mu \nu v$ ). Intransitive use of $\chi \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb from $\chi \omega \rho o \varsigma$ (space, place), to have space or room for. They would not abide in Christ's word (verse 31). They had no longer room for his word when once they understood the spiritual aspect of his message. Jerusalem was now just like Galilee once before ( 6:60-66).

John 8:38
With my Father ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \omega \pi \alpha \tau \rho$ ). Locative case of $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ and article used as possessive (common idiom), "by the side of my Father," picture of intimate fellowship like $\pi \rho o s$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ (face to face with God) in 1:1.

From your father ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ tov $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o \varsigma$ ). Ablative case with $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ (from the side of) and same possessive use of tov in each instance, though "the" will really answer both times. But o $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ does not mean the same person. Christ's Father by contrast is not their father.

John 8:39
Our father is Abraham (o $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho \eta \mu \omega \nu \mathrm{A} \beta \rho \alpha \alpha \mu \varepsilon \sigma \tau \tau v$ ). They saw the implication and tried to counter it by repeating their claim in verse 33 which was true so far as physical descent went as Jesus had admitted (verse 37).

If ye were ( $\varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). Strictly, "if ye are" as ye claim, a condition of the first class assumed to be true.

Ye would do ( $\varepsilon \pi о \iota \iota \tau \varepsilon \alpha v$ ). Read by C L N and a corrector of Aleph while W omits $\alpha v$. This makes a mixed condition (protasis of the first class, apodosis of the second. See Robertson, Grammar, p. 1022). But B reads поוєıt like the Sin. Syriac which has to be treated as imperative (so Westcott and Hort).

John 8:40

But now ( $v v \nu \delta \varepsilon$ ). Clear statement that they are not doing "the works of Abraham" in seeking to kill him. See this use of $v v \nu \delta \varepsilon$ after a condition of second class without $\alpha v$ in Joh 16:22,24 .

This did not Abraham ( $\tau о \cup \tau о ~ A \beta \rho \alpha \alpha \mu$ оик $\varepsilon \pi о џ \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Blunt and pointed of their unlikeness to Abraham.

A man that hath told you the truth ( $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \nu$ oऽ $\tau \varepsilon \nu \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha \nu \nu \mu \nu \nu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \kappa \alpha$ ). A $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ (here=person, one) is accusative case in apposition with
me ( $\mu \varepsilon$ ) just before. The perfect active indicative $\lambda \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \kappa \alpha$ from $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ is in the first person singular because the relative oc has the person of $\mu \varepsilon$, an idiom not retained in the English
that hath (that have or who have) though it is retained in the English of 1Co 15:9 "that am" for os $\varepsilon \iota \mu$.

Which I heard from God ( $\eta \nu \eta \kappa о v \sigma \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ тov $\theta \varepsilon \sigma v$ ). Here we have "I" in the English. "God" here is equal to "My Father" in verse 38. The only crime of Jesus is telling the truth directly from God.

John 8:41
Ye do the works of your father ( $v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \pi о \iota \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \tau \circ v \pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma v \mu \omega v$ ). Who is not Abraham and not God as Jesus plainly indicates.

We were not born of fornication ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \pi о \rho v \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \nu \nu \eta \forall \eta \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\gamma \varepsilon v v a \omega$. This they said as a proud boast. Jesus had admitted that they were physical (De 23:2 ) descendants of Abraham (37), but now denies that they are spiritual children of Abraham (like Paul in Ro 9:7 ). Пo 0 veıa is from $\pi$ о $\rho$ vos (harlot) and that from $\pi \varepsilon \rho \vee \eta \mu$, to sell, a woman who sells her body for sexual uses. It is vaguely possible that in this stern denial the Pharisees may have an indirect fling at Jesus as the bastard son of Mary (so Talmud).

We have one Father, even God ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu \tau o v \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). No "even" in the Greek, "One Father we have, God." This in direct reply to the implication of Jesus (verse 38) that God was not their spiritual Father.

John 8:42
Ye would love me ( $\eta \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \alpha \nu \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). Conclusion of second-class condition with distinct implication that their failure to love Jesus is proof that God is not their Father (protasis).

For I came forth from God ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \varepsilon o v \varepsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta o v)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho \chi о \mu \alpha$, definite historical event (the Incarnation). See 4:30 for $\varepsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta o v \varepsilon \kappa$. In 13:3; 16:30 Jesus is said to have come from ( $\alpha \pi 0$ ) God. The distinction is not to be pressed. Note the definite consciousness of pre-existence with God as in 17:5.

And am come ( $\kappa \alpha\rceil \kappa \omega$ ). Present active indicative with perfect sense in the verb stem (state of completion) before rise of the tense and here retained. "I am here," Jesus means.

Of myself ( $\alpha \pi^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \alpha v \tau o v$ ). His coming was not self-initiated nor independent of the Father. "But he ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o \varsigma, ~ e m p h a t i c ~ d e m o n s t r a t i v e ~ p r o n o u n) ~ s e n t ~ m e " ~ a n d ~ h e r e ~ I ~ a m . ~$

## John 8:43

My speech ( $\tau \eta v \lambda \alpha \lambda ı \alpha v \tau \eta v \varepsilon \mu \eta v$ ) and
my word ( $\tau 0 v \lambda o \gamma o v \tau o v \varepsilon \mu \circ v$ ). Perhaps $\lambda a \lambda ı \alpha$, old word from $\lambda \alpha \lambda o \varsigma$ (talk), means here more manner of speech than just story ( 4:42), while $\lambda$ o $\gamma \circ$ c refers rather to the subject matter. They will not listen (ov $\delta v v a \sigma \theta \varepsilon \alpha \kappa о v \varepsilon เ v)$ to the substance of Christ's teaching and hence they are impatient with the way that he talks. How often that is true.

## John 8:44

Ye are of your father the devil (v $v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \kappa \tau о v \pi \alpha \tau \rho о \varsigma \tau о v \delta \iota \alpha \beta о \lambda о v)$. Certainly they can "understand" ( $\gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ in 43) this "talk" $(\lambda \alpha \lambda \iota \alpha v)$ though they will be greatly angered. But they had to hear it (akoveıv in 43). It was like a bombshell in spite of the preliminary preparation.

Your will to do ( $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \pi o t \varepsilon เ v)$. Present active indicative of $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$ and present active infinitive, "Ye wish to go on doing." This same idea Jesus presents in Mt 13:38 (the sons of the evil one, the devil) and 23:15 (twofold more a son of Gehenna than you). See also 1Jo 3:8 for "of the devil" ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ тov $\delta \iota \alpha \beta o \lambda o v)$ for the one who persists in sinning. In Re 12:9 the devil is one who leads all the world astray. The Gnostic view that Jesus means "the father of the devil" is grotesque. Jesus does not, of course, here deny that the Jews, like all men, are children of God the Creator, like Paul's offspring of God for all men in Ac 17:28. What he denies to these Pharisees is that they are spiritual children of God who do his will. They do the lusts and will of the devil. The Baptist had denied this same spiritual fatherhood to the merely physical descendants of Abraham (Mt 3:9). He even called them "broods of vipers" as Jesus did later (Mt 12:34).

A murderer ( $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi о к \tau о \nu о \varsigma)$. Old and rare word (Euripides) from $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma$, man, and $\kappa \tau \varepsilon \iota \nu \omega$, to kill. In N.T. only here and 1Jo 3:15. The Jews were seeking to kill Jesus and so like their father the devil.

Stood not in the truth ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha$ ouk $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Since ouk, not ov, is genuine, the form of the verb is $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \nu$ the imperfect of the late present stem $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \omega$ ( $\mathrm{Mr} 11: 25$ ) from the perfect active $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \alpha$ (intransitive) of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, to place.

No truth in him (ovк $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varepsilon \nu \alpha v \tau \omega)$. Inside him or outside (environment). The devil and truth have no contact.

When he speaketh a lie ( $о \tau \alpha v \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \tau o \psi \varepsilon v \delta o \varsigma$ ). Indefinite temporal clause with o $\tau \alpha v$ and the present active subjunctive of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$. But note the article $\tau 0$ : "Whenever he speaks the lie," as he is sure to do because it is his nature. Hence "he speaks out of his own" ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu$ $\iota \delta \omega \nu \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ ) like a fountain bubbling up (cf. Mt 12:34).

For he is a liar (o $\psi \varepsilon \varepsilon v \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). Old word for the agent in a conscious falsehood ( $\psi \varepsilon \cup \delta$ oc). See 1Jo 1:10; Ro 3:4. Common word in John because of the emphasis on $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha$ (truth).

And the father thereof ( $\kappa \alpha$ о $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ avtov). Either the father of the lie or of the liar, both of which are true as already shown by Jesus.

Autou in the genitive can be either neuter or masculine. Westcott takes it thus, "because he is a liar and his father (the devil) is a liar," making "one," not the devil, the subject of "whenever he speaks," a very doubtful expression.

John 8:45
Because I speak the truth ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \delta \varepsilon$ o $\tau \eta \eta \sim \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ). Proleptic emphatic position of $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$. "Truth is uncongenial to them" (Bernard). See 3:19 for their picture.

John 8:46
Which of you convicteth me of sin? (Tı̧ $\varepsilon \xi \nu \mu \omega v \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \rho$ a $\mu \alpha \rho \iota \tau \alpha \sigma ;$ ). See on 3:20; 16:8 (the work of the Holy Spirit) for $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi \omega$ for charge and proof. The use of $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha$ as in 1:29 means $\sin$ in general, not particular sins. The rhetorical question which receives no answer involves sinlessness (Heb 4:15) without specifically saying so. Bernard suggests that Jesus paused after this pungent question before going on.

Why do ye not believe me? ( $\Delta \downarrow \alpha \tau \nu \mu \varepsilon \varsigma$ ov $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \mu \circ \prime$ ). This question drives home the irrationality of their hostility to Jesus. It was based on prejudice and predilection.

John 8:47
He that is of God (o $\omega v \varepsilon \kappa$ тov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). See this use of $\varepsilon \kappa$ in 3:31f. "Their not listening proved that they were not of God" (Dods). They were of the earth and the devil, not of God.

John 8:48
Thou art a Samaritan and hast a demon ( $\Sigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \varepsilon ı \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma v \kappa \alpha \delta \alpha \mu \rho v i o v \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. On the spur of the moment in their rage and fury they can think of no meaner things to say. They know, of course, that Jesus was not a Samaritan, but he had acted like a Samaritan in challenging their peculiar spiritual privileges (4:9,39). The charge of having a demon was an old one by the Pharisees (Mt 12:24) and it is repeated later (Joh 10:20).

John 8:49
I have not a demon ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ סaupoviov ouk $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ ). This Jesus says calmly, passing by the reference to the Samaritans as beneath notice.

My Father ( $\tau 0 v \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \mu \circ v$ ). As in 2:16. He is not mad in claiming to honour God (cf. 7:18). They were insulting the Father in insulting him (cf. 5:23). On $\alpha \tau \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$ ( $\alpha$ privative and $\tau \mu \mu \omega$, to dishonour) see Lu 20:11 .

John 8:50
But I seek not mine own glory ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \delta \varepsilon$ ov $\zeta \eta \tau \omega \tau \eta v \delta o \xi \alpha v \mu o v)$. As they did not seek the glory of God (5:44; 8:4).

And judgeth ( $\kappa \alpha \kappa \rho \iota \omega v$ ). The Father judges between you and me, though the Son is the Judge of mankind ( $5: 22$ ). "It is only the $\delta o \xi a$ (glory) that comes from God that is worth having" (Bernard).

John 8:51
If a man keep my word ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \tau \iota \varsigma \tau o v \varepsilon \mu \circ v \lambda o \gamma o v \tau \eta \rho \eta \sigma \eta$ ). Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and constative aorist active subjunctive of $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$. Repeated in verse 52 . See verse 43 about hearing the word of Christ. Common phrase in John (8:51,52,55; 14:23,24; 15:20; 17:6; 1Jo 2:5 ). Probably the same idea as keeping the commands of Christ ( 14:21).

He shall never see death ( $\theta a v a \tau o v$ ov $\mu \eta \theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \eta \sigma \eta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau<\nu \alpha \iota v \alpha$ ). Spiritual death, of course. Strong double negative ov $\mu \eta$ with first aorist active subjunctive of $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$. The phrase "see death" is a Hebraism (Ps 89:48) and occurs with $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \imath$ (see) in Lu 2:26; Heb 11:5 . No essential difference meant between o $\rho a \omega$ and $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$. See Joh 14:23 for the blessed fellowship the Father and the Son have with the one who keeps Christ's word.

John 8:52
Now we know ( $\nu v \nu \varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, state of completion, "Now since such talk we have come to certain knowledge that thou hast a demon" (verse 48).

Is dead ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \theta \alpha v \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \theta v \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$. "Abraham died."
And thou sayest ( $\kappa \alpha \sigma v \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Adversative use of $\kappa \alpha$, "and yet." Emphatic position of $\sigma v$ (thou). Same condition quoted as in verse 51.
 negative with subjunctive as in verse 51 , but $\gamma \varepsilon v \sigma \eta \tau \alpha$ (first aorist middle subjunctive of $\gamma \varepsilon v \omega$ with genitive case $\theta a v a \tau o v$ (death). Another Hebraism for dying like $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \eta \sigma \eta$ (see) in verse 51. Used in Heb 2:9 of the death of Jesus and in Synoptics (Mt 16:28; Mr 9:1; Lu 9:27). It occurs in the Talmud, but not in the O.T. The Pharisees thus did not misquote Jesus, though they misunderstood him.

John 8:53
Art thou greater than our father Abraham? ( $\mathrm{M} \eta \sigma v \mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta \omega \nu \varepsilon$ тov $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma \eta \mu \omega \nu$ $A \beta \rho a \alpha \mu ;$ ). Negative answer expected by $\mu \eta$ with ablative case of comparison in $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \sigma \varsigma$ after $\mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta \omega \nu$. The question was designed to put Jesus in a difficult position, for Abraham and the prophets all "died." They do not see that Jesus uses death in a different sense.

Whom makest thou thyself? ( $\tau \imath \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v \pi o \iota \varepsilon \iota \sigma$ ). $\Sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v$ is predicate accusative with $\pi$ тьєء. They suspect that Jesus is guilty of blasphemy as they charged in 5:18 in making himself equal with God. Later they will make it specifically $(10: 33 ; 19: 7)$. They set a trap for Jesus for this purpose.

John 8:54
If I glorify myself ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \varepsilon \gamma \omega \delta o \xi \alpha \sigma \omega \varepsilon \mu \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Third-class condition with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and first aorist active subjunctive (or future active indicative) of $\delta o \xi \alpha \zeta \omega$.

It is my Father that glorifieth me ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ o $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho \mu \circ v$ o $\delta о \xi \alpha \zeta \omega v \mu \varepsilon$ ). The position and accent of $\varepsilon \sigma \tau i v$ mean: "Actually my Father is the one," etc.

Of whom ye say ( $\mathrm{o} v \nu \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). The accusative of the person (ov) with $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ is regular (cf. 10:36).

Your God $(\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma v \mu \omega v)$. So Aleph B D and apparently correct, though A C L W Delta Theta have $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ (our God). The o $\tau$ can be taken as recitative (direct quotation, $\eta \mu \omega v$, our) or declarative (indirect, that, and so $v \mu \omega v$ ). The Jews claimed God as their peculiar national God as they had said in 41 . So Jesus turns this confession and claim against them.

John 8:55
And ye have not known him (ка оик $\varepsilon \gamma v \omega \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ autov). Adversative use again of $\kappa \alpha="$ and yet." Perfect active indicative of $\gamma \iota \omega \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, the verb for experiential knowledge. This was true of the кобноऽ ( $1: 10 ; 17: 25$ ) and of the hostile Jews ( $16: 3$ ). Jesus prays that the world may know ( 17:23) and the handful of disciples had come to know ( $17: 25$ ).

But I know him ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \delta \varepsilon$ oi $\delta \alpha \alpha \cup \tau o v$ ). Equipped by eternal fellowship to reveal the Father ( 1:1-18). This peculiar intimate knowledge Jesus had already claimed ( 7:29). Jesus used ot $\delta \alpha(8: 19 ; 15: 21)$ or $\gamma เ \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega(17: 23,25)$ for the knowledge of the Father. No undue distinction can be drawn here.

And if I should say ( $\kappa a v \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \omega)$. Third-class condition (concession), "even if I say," with ка عav (каv) and second aorist active subjunctive. "Suppose I say."

I shall be like you a liar ( $\varepsilon \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha$ о $\mu о \circ \varsigma \varsigma \nu \nu \nu \psi \varepsilon v \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Apodosis of the condition. Ho $\quad$ oos (like) is followed by the associative-instrumental case $v \mu \imath v$. The word $\psi \varepsilon v \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma($ liar), in spite of the statement that they are the children of the devil, the father of lying ( 8:44), comes with a sudden jolt because it is a direct charge. This word liar is not considered polite today in public speech when hurled at definite individuals. There is a rather free use of the word in 1Jo 2:4,22; 4:20; 5:10. It is not hard to imagine the quick anger of these Pharisees.

## John 8:56

Rejoiced ( $\left.\eta \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda_{1} \alpha \sigma \alpha \tau o\right)$. First aorist middle indicative of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda_{1} \propto \circ \mu \alpha$, a word of Hellenistic coinage from $\alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda o \mu \alpha$, to rejoice.

To see (ıva $\llcorner\delta \eta$ ). Sub-final use of $t v \alpha$ and second aorist active subjunctive of opaw. This joy of Abraham is referred to in Heb 11:13 (saluting, $\alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o$, the promises from afar). There was a Jewish tradition that Abraham saw the whole history of his descendants in the vision of Ge 15:6f. , but that is not necessary here. He did look for and welcome the Messianic time, "my day" ( $\tau \eta v \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho a v \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \mu \eta \nu)$. "He saw it, and was glad" ( $\varepsilon ו \delta \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \chi \alpha \rho \eta$ ). Second aorist active indicative of opa $\omega$ and second aorist passive indicative of $\chi \alpha \iota \rho \omega$. Ye see it and are angry!

John 8:57

Thou art not yet fifty years old ( $\pi \varepsilon v \tau \eta \kappa o v \tau \alpha \varepsilon \tau$ оט $\pi \omega$ عхعıৎ). Literally, "Thou hast not yet fifty years." Not meaning that Jesus was near that age at all. It was the crisis of completed manhood ( $\mathrm{Nu} 4: 3$ ) and a round number. Jesus was about thirty to thirty-three.

And hast thou seen Abraham? (Ка A $\beta \rho \alpha \alpha \mu \varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha к \alpha \sigma$;). So A C D and B W Theta have $\varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \varsigma$, both second person singular of the perfect active indicative of opa $\omega$. But Aleph, Sin-syr., Coptic versions (accepted by Bernard) have ка A $\beta \rho \alpha \alpha \mu \varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \varepsilon ~ \sigma \varepsilon$ ? "Has Abraam seen thee?" Either makes sense here.

John 8:58
Before Abraham was ( $\pi \rho \imath v A \beta \rho \alpha \alpha \mu \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Usual idiom with $\pi \rho \imath v$ in positive sentence with infinitive (second aorist middle of $\gamma เ v o \mu \alpha$ ) and the accusative of general reference, "before coming as to Abraham," "before Abraham came into existence or was born."

I am ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \varepsilon \mu \mu)$. Undoubtedly here Jesus claims eternal existence with the absolute phrase used of God. The contrast between $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta a$ (entrance into existence of Abraham) and $\varepsilon \mu \mu$ (timeless being) is complete. See the same contrast between $\varepsilon v$ in 1:1 and $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau o$ in 1:14. See the contrast also in Ps 90:2 between God ( $\varepsilon$, art) and the mountains ( $\gamma \varepsilon v \eta \theta \eta v \alpha$ ). See the same use of $\varepsilon \mu$ in Joh 6:20; 9:9; 8:24,28; 18:6.

John 8:59
They took up stones therefore ( $\eta \rho \alpha v$ ovv $\left.\lambda_{\wedge} \theta o v \varsigma\right)$. First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \iota \rho \omega$, inferential use of ouv. The time for argument had past.

To cast at him ( $\imath v \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \omega \sigma เ v \varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Final clause with $t v \alpha$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$. Vivid picture of a mob ready to kill Jesus, already beginning to do so.

Hid himself ( $\varepsilon \kappa \rho \cup \beta \eta)$. Second aorist passive indicative of $\kappa \rho \cup \pi \tau \omega$. He was hidden. No Docetic vanishing, but quietly and boldly Jesus went out of the temple. His hour had not yet come. Once again three months later the Pharisees will try to kill him, but he will pass out of their hands ( $10: 39$ ).

## John 9

John 9:1
As he passed by ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \omega v$ ). Present active participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \omega$, old verb to go along, by, or past (Mt 20:30 ). Only example in this Gospel, but in 1Jo 2:8,17. The day was after the stirring scenes in chapter 8, but not at the feast of dedication as Westcott argues. That comes three months later ( $10: 22$ ).

From his birth ( $\varepsilon \kappa \quad \gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Ablative case with $\varepsilon \kappa$ of old word from $\gamma \varepsilon v \omega, \gamma เ \nu \circ \mu \alpha$. Here alone in N.T., but the phrase $\tau \cup \varphi \lambda$ оs $\varepsilon \kappa ~ \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \eta \varsigma$ is common in Greek writers. Probably a well-known character with his stand as a beggar (verse 5).

John 9:2
Who did sin? ( $\tau \iota \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \alpha \rho \tau \varepsilon v ;$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v \omega$. See Ac 3:2; 14:8 for two examples of lameness from birth. Blindness is common in the Orient and Jesus healed many cases (cf. Mr 8:23; 10:46) and mentions this fact as one of the marks of the Messiah in the message to the Baptist (Mt 11:5). This is the only example of congenital blindness healed. It is not clear that the disciples expected Jesus to heal this case. They are puzzled by the Jewish notion that sickness was a penalty for sin. The Book of Job had shown that this was not always the case and Jesus shows it also (Lu 13:1-5). If this man was guilty, it was due to prenatal sin on his part, a curious notion surely. The other alternative charged it upon his parents. That is sometimes true (Ex 20:5 , etc.), but by no means always. The rabbinical casuists loved to split hairs on this problem. Ezekiel (Eze 18:20) says: "The soul that sinneth it shall die" (individual responsibility for sin committed). There is something in heredity, but not everything.

That he should be born blind (iva тטৎ $\wedge$ оc $\gamma \varepsilon v v \eta \theta \eta$ ). Probably consecutive (or sub-final) use of tva with first aorist passive subjunctive of $\gamma \varepsilon v v a \omega$.

John 9:3
But that the works of God should be made manifest in him ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \tau v \alpha \varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta \tau \alpha$ $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$ тov $\theta \varepsilon o v \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). Jesus denies both alternatives, and puts God's purpose ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ 'va with first aorist subjunctive of $\varphi$ avepow) as the true solution. It is sometimes true that disease is the result of personal sin as in the man in 5:14 and parents can hand on the effects of sin to the third and fourth generations, but there are cases free from blame like this. There is comfort for many sufferers in the words of Jesus here.

John 9:4
We must work the works of him that sent me ( $\eta \mu \alpha \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta a$ $\tau \alpha$ $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$ тоט $\pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \alpha v \tau \sigma \varsigma \mu \varepsilon)$. This is undoubtedly the correct text (supported by the Neutral and Western classes) and not $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ (I) and $\mu \varepsilon$ (me) of the Syrian class nor $\eta \mu \alpha \varsigma$ (we) and $\eta \mu \alpha \varsigma$ (us) of the Alexandrian class. Jesus associates us with him in the task committed to him by the Father.

Bernard argues vigorously, but vainly, for $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ me. We are not able to fathom the depth of the necessity ( $\delta \varepsilon$ ) here involved in each life as in this poor blind man and in each of us.

While it is day ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). This clause gives the note of urgency upon us all.
The night cometh ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sim v \xi$ ). "Night is coming on," and rapidly. Night was coming for Jesus ( 7:33) and for each of us. Cf. 11:9; 12:35. Even electric lights do not turn night into day. Hz $\varsigma$ ¢ with the present indicative (21:22f. ) means "while," not until as in 13:38.

John 9:5
When I am in the world (o $\circ \alpha v \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \kappa \sigma \sigma \mu \omega \omega$ ). Indefinite relative clause with o o $\alpha v$ and present active subjunctive $\omega$, "whenever I am in the world." The Latin Vulgate renders here otav by quamdiu so long as or while as if it were $\varepsilon \omega c$. But clearly Jesus here refers to the historic Incarnation ( $17: 11$ ) and to any previous visitations in the time of the patriarchs, prophets, etc. Jesus as God's Son is always the Light of the World (1:4,10; 8:12 ), but here the reference is limited to his manifestation "in the world."

I am the light of the world ( $\varphi \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \mu$ tov коб $\mu \circ v$ ). The absence of the definite article ( $\tau 0 \varphi \omega \varsigma$ in 8:12) is to be noted (Westcott). Literally, "I am light to the world, whenever I am in the world." "The display of the character varies with the occasion" (Westcott).

John 9:6
He spat on the ground ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau v \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \alpha \mu \alpha)$. First aorist active indicative of the old verb $\pi \tau v \omega$ for which see Mr 7:33. X $\alpha \mu \alpha$ is an old adverb either in the dative or locative (sense suits locative), in N.T. only here and Joh 18:6. Jesus was not asked to cure this man. The curative effects of saliva are held in many places. The Jews held saliva efficacious for eyetrouble, but it was forbidden on the Sabbath. "That Jesus supposed some virtue lay in the application of the clay is contradicted by the fact that in other cases of blindness He did not use it" (Dods). Cf. Mr 8:23. Why he here accommodated himself to current belief we do not know unless it was to encourage the man to believe.

He made clay ( $\varepsilon \pi \circ \circ \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \pi \eta \lambda o v$ ). Only use of $\pi \eta \lambda$ oc, old word for clay, in N.T. in this chapter and Ro 9:21. The kneading of the clay and spittle added another offence against the Sabbath rules of the rabbis.

Anointed his eyes with the clay ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \chi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon v$ avtov $\tau \circ v \pi \eta \lambda o v \varepsilon \pi \tau \tau \varsigma \varsigma \rho \theta \beta \lambda \mu \circ \cup \varsigma)$. First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \chi \rho \iota \omega$, old verb, to spread on, anoint, here only and verse 11 in N.T. "He spread the clay upon his eyes." B C read $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon Ө \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ (first aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \bullet \theta \eta \mu$, to put on).

John 9:7
Wash ( $v i \psi \alpha)$. First aorist middle imperative second person singular of $v i \pi \tau \omega$, later form of $v i \zeta \omega$, to wash, especially parts of the body. Certainly bathing the eyes is good for eye trouble, and yet we are not to infer that the cure was due to the use of the clay or to the washing.

In the pool of Siloam ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau \eta v \kappa о \lambda \nu \mu \beta \eta \theta \rho \alpha \nu \tau o v \Sigma i \lambda \omega \alpha \mu$ ). The word $\kappa о \lambda \nu \mu \beta \eta \theta \rho \alpha$ (from ко $\lambda \nu \mu \beta \alpha \omega$, to swim) is a common word for swimming-pool, in N.T. only here and 5:2,7 . The name Siloam is Hebrew (Isa 8:6) and means "sent" ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$, perfect passive participle of $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega)$. It was situated south of the temple area and was apparently connected by a subterranean tunnel with the Virgin's Well (5:2) according to Bernard. The water was conducted artificially to the pool of Siloam.

Washed ( $\varepsilon v ı \alpha a \tau o)$. First aorist direct middle (cf. $v \nu \psi \alpha)$, apparently bathing and not merely washing his eyes.

Came seeing ( $\eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega v$ ). Jesus had healed him. He was tested by the demand to bathe his eyes.

John 9:8
Neighbours ( $\gamma \varepsilon \iota \tau 0 v \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). From $\gamma \eta$ (land), of the same land, old word. See Lu 14:2.
Saw him ( $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \circ 0 v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$, who used to observe him.
Aforetime ( $\tau 0 \pi \rho о \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ). Adverbial accusative, "the former time," formerly.
That he was a beggar (o $\tau \pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha \iota \tau \eta \varsigma \eta v$ ). See 4:19; 12:19 for declarative ot after $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$. But it is entirely possible that o $\tau$ here is "because" (Westcott). Прoбautๆร is a late word for beggar, in N.T. only here and Mr 10:46. It is from $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon \omega$, to ask in addition (see $\pi \rho o \sigma a i t \omega v$ below), a thing that beggars know how to do.

Is not this he that sat and begged? ( $\mathrm{Ov} \mathrm{\chi}$ оитоц عбтıv о каӨпицвос ка $\pi \rho о \sigma \alpha ı \tau \omega v$;). Не had his regular place and was a familiar figure. But now his eyes are wide open.

John 9:9
Nay but he is like him (Ov $1, \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ouoos $\alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ع $\sigma \tau \iota v$ ). Vigorous denial (ovx) and mere similarity suggested. Associative instrumental case avto after ouoos. The crowd is divided.

He said ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon ı v o \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$ ). Emphatic demonstrative (as in 11,12,25,36 ), "That one spake up." He knew.

John 9:10
How then were thine eyes opened? ( $\Pi \omega \varsigma$ ouv $\eta v \varepsilon \omega \mathrm{c} \chi$ Ө $\eta \sigma \alpha v$ бov o o $\varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \circ ;$ ). Natural and logical (ovv) question. First aorist passive indicative (triple augment) of $\alpha v o r \gamma \omega$. These neighbours admit the fact and want the manner ("how") of the cure made clear.

John 9:11
The man that is called Jesus ( $o \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma$ о $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ I $\eta \sigma o v \varsigma)$ ). He does not yet know Jesus as the Messiah the Son of God (9:36).

I received sight ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \alpha$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$, old verb to see again, to recover sight, not strictly true of this man who had never seen. He got back sight that he had never had. Originally the verb means to look up (Mt 14:19).

John 9:12
Where is he? (Пои عбтıv єкعıvoб;). The very question of 7:11.

John 9:13
They bring him ( $\alpha \gamma 0 v \sigma ı v$ avtov). Vivid dramatic present active of $\alpha \gamma \omega$. These neighbours bring him.

To the Pharisees ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ tou¢ Фарıбаıouc). The accepted professional teachers who posed as knowing everything. The scribes were usually Pharisees.

Him that aforetime was blind ( $\tau о v \pi о \tau \varepsilon \tau \cup \varphi \lambda o v)$. Simply, "the once blind man."
John 9:14
Now it was the sabbath ( $\eta v \delta \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau o v)$. Literally, "Now it was a sabbath" (no article). To the Pharisees this fact was a far more important matter than whether or how the thing was done. See Volumes I and II for discussions of the minute Sabbath regulations of the rabbis.

John 9:15
Again ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota v$ ). Besides the questioning of the neighbours (verses 8,9).
Therefore (ouv). Since he has been brought to the Pharisees who must make a show of wisdom.

Also asked him ( $\eta \rho \omega \tau \omega \nu$ av $\quad \mathrm{\nu} \kappa \alpha$ ). Inchoative imperfect active of $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \omega$, "began also to question him."

How he received his sight ( $\pi \omega \varsigma \alpha v \varepsilon \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon v$ ). No denial as yet of the fact, only interest in the "how."

He put ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \theta \eta \kappa \varepsilon v)$. Genuine here, but see verse 6.
And lo see ( $\kappa \alpha \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$ ). That is the overwhelming fact.
John 9:16
Because he keepeth not the sabbath (oт тo $\sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau o v$ ov $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon$ ). This is reason (causal от) enough. He violates our rules about the Sabbath and therefore is a Sabbath-breaker as charged when here before ( $5: 10,16,18$ ). Hence he is not "from God" ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ $\theta$ عov). So some.

How can a man that is a sinner do such signs? ( $\Pi \omega \varsigma \delta v v a \tau \alpha \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ о
 of the Sanhedrin, long ago ( 3:2). It was a conundrum for the Pharisees. No wonder there was "a division" ( $\sigma \chi \iota \mu \alpha$, schism, split, from $\sigma \chi\llcorner\zeta)$ as in 7:43; 10:19.

John 9:17
Unto the blind man again ( $\tau \omega \tau \nu \varphi \lambda \omega \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu$ ). The doctors disagree and they ask the patient whose story they had already heard (verse 15).

In that he opened thine eyes ( $о \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \omega \xi \xi \varepsilon \nu \sigma o v \tau o v \varsigma ~ o \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu o v \varsigma)$. Causal use of o $\tau$ and triple augment in the first aorist active indicative of $\alpha v o t \gamma \omega$. They offer the excuse that the man's experience particularly qualified him to explain the "how," overlooking the fact he had already told his story and also trying to conceal their own hopeless division of opinion.

He is a prophet ( $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. The man will go that far anyhow.
John 9:18

The Jews (o Iovסaıo). Probably the incredulous and hostile section of the Pharisees in verse 16 (cf. 5:10).

Did not believe (ouk emıбтعvoav). The facts told by the man, "that he had been blind and had received his sight" (от $\eta \nu \tau \cup \varphi \lambda о \varsigma \kappa \alpha \alpha v \varepsilon \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon v$ ), conflicted with their theological views of God and the Sabbath. So they refused belief "until they called the parents" ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ otov $\varepsilon \varphi \omega v \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ тou¢ $\gamma \circ v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Usual construction of $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ otov ( $=$ until which time, like $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ alone) with aorist active indicative of $\varphi \omega v \varepsilon \omega$, old verb from $\varphi \omega v \eta$ (voice, sound). They called out loud for his parents to throw light on this grave problem to cover up their own stupidity.

John 9:19
Is this your son who ye say was born blind? how doth he now see? (Hovtoc eбтıv o
 with three questions in one in order to confuse the parents if possible and give the hostile Pharisees a handle.

John 9:20
We know that this is our son, and that he was born blind ( $\mathrm{O} \delta \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ot outoc eбтıv o
 cut the ground from under the disbelief of these Pharisees as to the fact of the cure (verse 18). So these Pharisees made a failure here.

John 9:21
But how he now seeth we know not ( $\pi \omega \varsigma \delta \varepsilon v v v \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$ ovk oь $\delta \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Concerning the third question they profess ignorance both as to the "how" ( $\pi \omega \varsigma$ ) and the "who" ( $\tau \iota)$ ).

Opened ( $\eta v o l \xi \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative with single augment of $\alpha v o l \gamma \omega$, same form as $\eta \nu \varepsilon \omega \iota \xi \varepsilon v$ (triple augment) in verse 17. They were not witnesses of the cure and had the story only from the son as the Pharisees had.

He is of age ( $\eta \lambda \iota \kappa ı a v \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon$ ). "He has maturity of age." He is an adult. A regular classical phrase in Plato, etc. The parents were wholly right and within their rights.

John 9:22
Because they feared the Jews (oт عழоßouvтo touc Iovסaıou¢). Imperfect middle, a continuing fear and not without reason. See already the whispers about Jesus because of fear of the Jews (7:13).

Had agreed already ( $\eta \delta \eta \sigma \cup v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon เ v \tau o$ ). Past perfect middle of $\sigma u v \tau 1 \theta \eta \mu$, to put together, to form a compact (7:32,47-49).

If any man should confess him to be Christ ( $\varepsilon a v \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \alpha u \tau o v ~ о \mu о \lambda о ү \eta \sigma \eta ~ X \rho ı \sigma \tau o v) . ~$ Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and first aorist active subjunctive of opo入o $\gamma \varepsilon \omega$ and predicate accusative Xpıбтov. Jesus had made confession of himself before men the test of discipleship and denial the disproof (Mt 10:32; Lu 12:8). We know that many of the rulers nominally believed on Jesus ( 12:42) and yet "did not confess him because of the Pharisees" ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \delta_{ı} \alpha$ tous Фapıбaıovs oux $\omega \mu$ о入oүouv), for the very reason given here, "that they might not be
put out of the synagogue" ( $\operatorname{v\alpha } \mu \eta \alpha \pi о \sigma v v \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma 0 \gamma \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu \tau \alpha)$. Small wonder then that here the parents cowered a bit.

That he should be put out of the synagogue ( $1 v \alpha \alpha \pi о \sigma v v a \gamma \omega \gamma \circ \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Sub-final
 $\sigma u v a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta)$ is found in N.T. only here and 12:42; 16:2 . A purely Jewish word naturally. There were three kinds of excommunication (for thirty days, for thirty more, indefinitely).

## John 9:23

Therefore ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ тоито). "For this reason." Reason enough for due caution.
John 9:24
A second time ( $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v)$. He had given the Pharisees the facts the first time ( 9:15). It was really the third time (see $\pi \alpha \lambda ı v$ in $9: 17$ ). Now it was like a joke unless the Pharisees meant to imply that his previous story was untrue.

Give glory to God ( $\delta o \varsigma \delta o \xi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). Second aorist active imperative of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ (cf. $\sigma \chi \varepsilon \sigma, \varepsilon \varsigma)$. This phrase does not mean gratitude to God as in Lu 17:18. It is rather an adjuration to speak the truth (Jos 7:19; 1Sa 6:5) as if he had not done it before. Augustine says: "Quid est Da gloriam Deo? Nega quod accepisti." Is a sinner ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ 人 $\varepsilon$ عбтıv). They can no longer deny the fact of the cure since the testimony of the parents (9:19) and now wish the man to admit that he was lying in saying that Jesus healed him. He must accept their ecclesiastical authority as proving that Jesus had nothing to do with the cure since Jesus is a sinner. They wish to decide the fact by logic and authority like all persecutors through the ages. Recall the Pharisaic distinction between $\delta$ ıкаюо (righteous) and $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ ос (sinner).

John 9:25
One thing I know ( $\varepsilon v$ oi $\delta \alpha$ ). This man is keen and quick and refuses to fall into the trap set for him. He passes by their quibbling about Jesus being a "sinner" ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ oc) and clings to the one fact of his own experience.

Whereas I was blind, now I see ( $\tau \cup \varphi \lambda>\rho \omega \nu \alpha \rho \tau \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$ ). Literally, "Being blind I now see." The present active participle $\omega v$ of $\varepsilon \mu$ by implication in contrast with $\alpha \rho \tau$ (just now, at this moment) points to previous and so past time. It must be borne in mind that the man did not at this stage know who Jesus was and so had not yet taken him as Saviour ( 9:36-38).

John 9:26
What did he do to thee? (T \& $\pi о \neq \sigma \varepsilon v \sigma o i ;$ ). Another cross-examination, now admitting that Jesus opened his eyes and wishing again ( $9: 15,17$ ) to know "how."

John 9:27
I told you even now ( $\varepsilon เ \pi o v \nu \mu \nu \nu \eta \delta \eta$ ). In verses $15,17,25$.
Would ye also become his disciples? ( $\mathrm{M} \eta \kappa \alpha$ v $\mu \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ). Negative answer formally expected, but the keenest irony in this gibe. Clearly the healed man knew from the use of "also" ( $\kappa \alpha$ ) that Jesus had some "disciples" ( $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha$, predicate
nominative with the infinitive $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ) and that the Pharisees knew that fact. "Do ye also (like the Galilean mob) wish, etc." See 7:45-52. It cut to the bone.

John 9:28
They reviled him ( $\varepsilon \lambda o t \delta o \rho \eta \sigma \alpha v \alpha v \tau o v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\lambda o \iota \delta o \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb from $\lambda$ oıסopos (reviler, 1 Co 5:11 ), in N.T. only here, Ac 23:4; 1Co 4:12; 1Pe 2:23.

Thou art his disciple ( $\sigma \cup \mu \alpha \eta \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o v)$. Probably a fling in єкะıvov (of that fellow). He had called him a prophet ( $9: 17$ ) and became a joyful follower later ( 9:36-38).

But we are disciples of Moses ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \varsigma \delta \varepsilon$ тov $M \omega v \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha)$. This they said with proud scorn of the healed beggar. All orthodox rabbis so claimed.

John 9:29
We know that God hath spoken unto Moses ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ o \iota \delta \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ oт $M \omega v \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ o $\theta \varepsilon o c)$. Perfect active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, so still on record. See Ex 33:11. For $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ used of God speaking see Heb 1:1. They are proud to be disciples of Moses.

But as for this man, we do not know whence he is ( $\tau 0 \cup \tau 0 v ~ \delta \varepsilon ~ o u \kappa ~ o ו \delta \alpha \mu \varepsilon v ~ \pi o \theta \varepsilon v$ $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. "This fellow" they mean by "toutov" in emphatic position, we do not even know whence he is. Some of the people did ( 7:27), but in the higher sense none of the Jews knew ( 8:14). These Pharisees neither knew nor cared.

John 9:30
Why, herein is the marvel ( $\varepsilon v \tau \tau \nu \tau \omega \gamma \alpha \rho$ to $\theta \alpha v \mu \alpha \sigma \tau o v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \imath v$ ). This use of $\gamma \alpha \rho$ ( $\gamma \varepsilon+$ $\alpha \rho a$, accordingly indeed) to bring out an affirmation from the previous words is common enough. "Why in this very point is the wonder" ( $\theta a \cup \mu \alpha \sigma \tau o v$, old verbal adjective from $\theta a v \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$ as in Mt 21:42). The man is angry now and quick in his insight and reply. You confess your ignorance of whence he is, ye who know everything, "and yet (adversative use of $\kappa \alpha$ again) he opened my eyes" ( $\kappa \alpha \eta v o \iota \xi \varepsilon v \mu$ оv тovৎ $о \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu о \nu \varsigma$ ). That stubborn fact stands.

John 9:31
God does not hear sinners (o $\theta \varepsilon о \varsigma ~ \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda \omega \nu$ ouк $\alpha \kappa о v \varepsilon$ ). Note genitive case with akove. This was the argument of the Pharisees in 9:16. It is frequent in the O.T. (Job 27:9; Ps 66:18; Isa 1:15; $59: 2$, etc.). The conclusion is inevitable from this premise. Jesus is not а $\alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda о$.

If any man be a worshipper of God ( $\varepsilon a v \tau \iota \varsigma \theta \varepsilon o \sigma \varepsilon \beta \eta \varsigma \eta$ ). Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and present active subjunctive $\eta$. $\Theta \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \beta \eta \varsigma(\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma$, God, $\sigma \varepsilon \beta o \mu \alpha$, to worship) is an old compound adjective, here alone in the N.T.

And do his will (ка то $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ $\alpha \cup \tau о \cup \pi о เ \varepsilon)$. Same condition with present active subjunctive of $\pi$ ot $\varepsilon \omega$, "keep on doing his will."

John 9:32

Since the world began ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ tov aı $\omega v \circ \varsigma)$. Literally, "from the age," "from of old." Elsewhere
 in the LXX.

Of a man born blind ( $\tau v \varphi \lambda o v ~ \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\gamma \varepsilon v v \alpha \omega$. This is the chief point and the man will not let it be overlooked, almost rubs it in, in fact. It was congenital blindness.

John 9:33
If this man were not from God ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta \eta \nu$ ovtos $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \varepsilon o v)$. Negative condition of second class with imperfect indicative. Assuming that Jesus is not "from God" ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ Өrov)
 the second-class condition with imperfect indicative (double augment in $\eta \delta v v a \tau o)$ without $\alpha v$ as is usual in conditions of possibility, propriety, obligation (Robertson, Grammar, pp. $920,1014)$. The man has scored with terrific power in his use of Scripture and logic.

John 9:34
Thou wast altogether born in $\sin (\varepsilon v \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota a \iota \varsigma v \varepsilon \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v v \eta \theta \eta \varsigma \rho \lambda o \varsigma)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\gamma \varepsilon v v a \omega$. "In sins thou wast begotten (or born) all of thee." Ho入os is predicate nominative and teaches total depravity in this case beyond controversy, the Pharisees being judges.

And dost thou teach us? ( $\kappa \alpha \sigma \delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \alpha \sigma ;$ ). The audacity of it all. Note emphasis on $\sigma v$ (thou). It was insufferable. He had not only taught the rabbis, but had utterly routed them in argument.

And they cast him out ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda$ ov $\alpha \cup \tau о \nu \varepsilon \xi \omega$ ). Effective second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ intensified by the addition of $\varepsilon \xi \omega$. Probably not yet expulsion from the synagogue ( 9:22) which required a formal meeting of the Sanhedrin, but certainly forcible driving of the gifted upstart from their presence. See 6:37 for another use of $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \varepsilon \xi \omega$ besides 9:35.

John 9:35
Finding him ( $\varepsilon \cup \rho \omega v$ avtov). Second aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \cup \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$, after search because of what he had heard ( $\eta$ коuбะv).

Dost thou believe on the Son of God? ( $\Sigma v \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ v i o v ~ t o v ~ \theta e o v ;) . ~ S o ~ A ~ L ~$ Theta and most versions, but Aleph B D W Syr-sin read tov avӨpんtov (the Son of Man), almost certainly correct. In either case it is a distinct Messianic claim quite beyond the range of this man's limited knowledge, keen as he is.

John 9:36
And who is he, Lord, that I may believe on him? (Ка тıৎ عбтıv, кирı₹;). The initial ка (and) is common (Mr 10:26; $\mathrm{Lu} 10: 29 ; 18: 26$ ). Probably by kupı he means only "Sir." It usually comes at the beginning of the sentence, not at the end as here and verse 38 .

That I may believe on him (เva $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma \omega$ عıৎ $\alpha \cup \tau o v$ ). Ellipsis to be supplied before this final clause. He catches up the words of Jesus in the preceding verse, though he does not yet know who the Son of Man (or Son of God) is, but he trusts Jesus.

John 9:37
Thou hast both seen him ( $\kappa \alpha є \rho \alpha к \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau о v) . ~ P e r f e c t ~ a c t i v e ~ i n d i c a t i v e ~(d o u b l e ~ r e d u-~$ plication) of opaw. Since his eyes were opened.

And he it is that speaketh with thee ( $\kappa \alpha$ о $\lambda \alpha \lambda \omega v \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma v \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \tau)$ ). "And the one speaking with thee is that man." See 19:35 for єкєıvos used of the speaker. In 4:26 Jesus reveals himself in like manner to the Samaritan woman as Messiah while here as the Son of Man (or the Son of God).

John 9:38
 in 36). A short creed, but to the point.

And he worshipped him ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \kappa \cup v \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). Ingressive first aorist active indicative of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \nu v \varepsilon \omega$, old verb to fall down in reverence, to worship. Sometimes of men (Mt 18:26 ). In John (see 4:20) this verb "is always used to express divine worship" (Bernard). It is tragic to hear men today deny that Jesus should be worshipped. He accepted worship from this new convert as he later did from Thomas who called him "God" (Joh 20:28 ). Peter (Ac 10:25f. ) refused worship from Cornelius as Paul and Barnabas did at Lystra (Ac 14:18 ), but Jesus made no protest here.

John 9:39
For judgement ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \rho \mu \mu)$. The Father had sent the Son for this purpose ( $3: 17$ ). This world (кобноя) is not the home of Jesus. The крцца (judgement), a word nowhere else in John, is the result of the крıбıऽ (sifting) from $\kappa \rho \imath v \omega$, to separate. The Father has turned over this process of sifting (крıбı) to the Son (5:22). He is engaged in that very work by this miracle.

They which see not ( $\mathrm{o} \mu \eta \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi$ оvt $\varepsilon \varsigma$ ). The spiritually blind as well as the physically blind (Lu 4:18; Isa 42:18). Purpose clause with $\iota v \alpha$ and present active subjunctive $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega \sigma ı$ (may keep on seeing). This man now sees physically and spiritually.

And that they which see may become blind ( $\kappa \alpha$ о $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \cup \varphi \lambda о \gamma \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu \tau \alpha)$. Another part of God's purpose, seen in Mt 11:25; Lu 10:21, is the curse on those who blaspheme and reject the Son. Note ingressive aorist middle subjunctive of $\gamma$ ıvo $\mu \alpha$ and predicate nominative. Ho $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi о v \tau \varepsilon$, are those who profess to see like these Pharisees, but are really blind. Blind guides they were (Mt 23:16 ). Complacent satisfaction with their dim light.

John 9:40
Are we also blind? (М $\eta \kappa \alpha \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau ט \varphi \lambda о ~ \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon v ;$ ). Negative answer expected ( $\mu \eta$ ) and yet these Pharisees who overheard the words of Jesus to the new convert vaguely suspected
that Jesus was referring to them by the last clause. Up in Galilee Jesus had called the Pharisees blind guides who stumble into the pit (Mt 15:14).

John 9:41
If ye were blind ( $\varepsilon \tau \cup \varphi \lambda o \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Condition of second class with imperfect indicative in the protasis. The old word $\tau \cup \varphi \lambda$ oc is from $\tau \cup \varphi \omega$, to raise a smoke, to blind by smoke (literally and metaphorically). Here, of course, it is moral blindness. If the Pharisees were born morally blind, they would, like idiots, be without responsibility.

Ye would not have sin (очк av غıхદтє $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau i \alpha v$ ). Regular form for conclusion of secondclass condition, $\alpha v$ with imperfect.

But now ye say ( $v o v \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). In contrast to the previous condition. See like contrast in 15:22,24. They arrogantly asserted superior knowledge.

We see ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi о \mu \varepsilon v$ ). The ignorant mob do not ( 7:49). It is sin against light and is hopeless (Mr 3:29; Mt 12:31f. ). "Ye are witnesses against yourselves" ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ عautoヶ, Mt 23:31).

## John 10

John 10:1
Verily, Verily ( $A \mu \eta v, \alpha \mu \eta v$ ). Solemn prelude by repetition as in 1:51. The words do not ever introduce a fresh topic (cf. 8:34,51,58). So in 10:7. The Pharisees had previously assumed (Vincent) they alone were the authoritative guides of the people (9:24,29). So Jesus has a direct word for them. So Jesus begins this allegory in a characteristic way. John does not use the word $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \circ \lambda \eta$, but $\pi \alpha \rho o \not \mu \iota \alpha$ (verse 6), and it really is an allegory of the Good Shepherd and self-explanatory like that of the Prodigal Son in Lu 15. He first tells it in verses 1-5 and then explains and expands it in verses 7-18.

Into the fold of the sheep ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \alpha \nu \lambda \eta \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho \circ \beta \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ ). Originally $\alpha \nu \lambda \eta$ (from $\alpha \omega$, to blow) in Homer's time was just an uncovered space around the house enclosed by a wall, then a roofless enclosure in the country where flocks were herded as here and verse 16. It later came to mean the house itself or palace (Mt 26:3,58, etc.). In the papyri it means the court attached to the house.

Climbeth up ( $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha \iota \omega \omega v$ ). Present active participle of $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha \iota v \omega$, to go up. One who goes up, not by the door, has to climb up over the wall.

Some other way ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \chi 0 \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Rare word for old $\alpha \lambda \lambda o \theta \varepsilon v$, but in 4 Macc. 1:7 and in a papyrus. Only here in N.T.

The same ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o \varsigma) . ~ " T h a t ~ o n e " ~ j u s t ~ d e s c r i b e d . ~$
Is a thief and a robber ( $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \vee \kappa \alpha \lambda \eta \iota \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Both old and common words (from $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \omega$, to steal, $\lambda \eta\llcorner\zeta \circ \mu \alpha$, to plunder). The distinction is preserved in the N.T. as here. Judas was a к $\lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma$ (Joh 12:6 ), Barabbas a robber ( 18:40) like the two robbers (Mt 27:38,44) crucified with Jesus erroneously termed thieves like "the thief on the cross" by most people. See Mr 11:17. Here the man jumping over the wall comes to steal and to do it by violence like a bandit. He is both thief and robber.

John 10:2
The shepherd of the sheep ( $\pi о \mu \eta v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \tau \omega v \pi \rho o \beta \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). No article with $\pi о \mu \eta \nu$, "a shepherd to the sheep." He comes in by the door with the sheep whom he leads. Old word is $\pi о \mu \eta \eta$, root meaning to protect. Jesus applies it to himself in verse 16 and implies it here. It is used of Christ in 1Pe 2:25; Heb 13:20. Paul applies it to ministers in Eph 4:11 . Jesus uses the verb $\pi ⿰ 丿 \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\imath} \omega \omega$, to shepherd, to Peter (Joh 21:16) and Peter uses it to other preachers (1Pe 5:2) and Paul uses it for bishops (elders) in Ac 20:28. Our word pastor is simply Latin for shepherd. Christ is drawing a sharp contrast after the conduct of the Pharisees towards the blind man between himself and them.

John 10:3
To him ( $\tau 0 \cup \tau \omega)$. "To this one," the shepherd, in dative case.

The porter (o $\theta v \rho \omega \rho o \varsigma)$. Old word for doorkeeper ( $\theta \mathrm{v} \rho \alpha$, door, $\omega \rho \alpha$, care, carer for the door). Used for man (Mr 13:34; Joh 10:3 ) or woman (Joh 18:16ff. ), only N.T. examples. The porter has charge of the sheep in the fold at night and opens the door in the morning for the shepherd. It is not certain that Jesus meant this detail to have a special application. The Holy Spirit, of course, does open the door of our hearts for Jesus through various agencies.

Hear his voice ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \varphi \omega v \eta \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau 0 v$ aкоvя). Hear and heed (verse 27). Note genitive case $\varphi \omega v \eta \varsigma$ (accusative in 3:8).

By name ( $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ огона). Several flocks might be herded in the same fold overnight. But the shepherd knows his own ( $\tau \alpha \downarrow \delta 1 \alpha$ ) sheep (verse 27) and calls their names. "It is still common for Eastern shepherds to give particular names to their sheep" (Bernard).

And leadeth them out ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \xi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau \alpha$ ). Old and common verb, present active indicative. The sheep follow readily (verse 27) because they know their own shepherd's voice and his name for each of them and because he has led them out before. They love and trust their shepherd.

John 10:4
When he hath put forth all his own (o $\tau \alpha v \tau \alpha \stackrel{\delta}{1} \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \eta$ ). Indefinite temporal clause with otav and the second aorist (effective) active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$. No need of the futurum exactum idea, simply, "when he leads out all his own sheep." They are all out of the fold. He overlooks none. Ек $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ does mean "thrust out" if a reluctant sheep wishes to linger too long.

He goeth before them ( $\varepsilon \mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \omega v \pi о \rho \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Staff in hand he leads the way in front of the flock and they follow ( $\alpha \kappa$ ко $о \boldsymbol{\theta} \theta \varepsilon$ ) him. What a lesson for pastors who seek to drive the church like cattle and fail. The true pastor leads in love, in words, in deeds.

John 10:5
A stranger ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda o \tau \rho \omega \omega$ ). Literally, "One belonging to another" (from $\alpha \lambda \lambda o \varsigma$, opposed to เ $\delta$ toc). A shepherd of another flock, it may be, not necessarily the thief and robber of verse 1. Note associative instrumental case after ако入ou $Ө$ ๆбоибьv (future active indicative of $\alpha \kappa о \lambda о v \theta \varepsilon \omega$, verse 4). Note the strong double negative ov $\mu \eta$ here with the future indicative, though usually with the aorist subjunctive (Aleph L W have it here). They simply will not follow such a man or woman, these well-trained sheep will not.

But will flee from him ( $\left.\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \cup \xi \circ v \tau \alpha \alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v\right)$. Future middle of $\varphi \varepsilon \cup \gamma \omega$ and ablative case with $\alpha \pi 0$. They will flee as if from a wolf or from the plague. Alas and alas, if only our modern pastors had the sheep (old and young) so trained that they would run away from and not run after the strange voices that call them to false philosophy, false psychology, false ethics, false religion, false life.

John 10:6

This parable ( $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \eta v \tau \eta v \pi \alpha \rho o \mu \mu \alpha v$ ). Old word for proverb from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ (beside) and ounoc, way, a wayside saying or saying by the way. As a proverb in N.T. in 2Pe 2:22 (quotation from $\operatorname{Pr}$ 26:11), as a symbolic or figurative saying in Joh 16:25,29, as an allegory in Joh 10:6 . Nowhere else in the N.T. Curiously enough in the N.T. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta 0 \lambda \eta$ occurs only in the Synoptics outside of Heb 9:9; 11:19. Both are in the LXX. Параßo入ך is used as a proverb (Lu 4:23) just as $\pi \alpha \rho о \not \mu \mathrm{\alpha}$ is in $2 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 22$. Here clearly $\pi \alpha \rho о \mu \mathrm{\mu}$ means an allegory which is one form of the parable. So there you are. Jesus spoke this tapouta to the Pharisees, "but they understood not what things they were which he spake unto them" ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon$ เvo $\delta \varepsilon$ оик $\varepsilon \gamma v \omega \sigma \alpha v$ $\tau \iota v \alpha \eta \nu a \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau o \iota \zeta)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ and note $\eta \nu$ in indirect question as in 2:25 and both the interrogative $\tau$ iva and the relative $\alpha$. "Spake" (imperfect $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon)$ should be "Was speaking or had been speaking."

John 10:7
Therefore again (ouv $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota v$ ). Jesus repeats the allegory with more detail and with more directness of application. Repeating a story is not usually an exhilarating experience.

I am the door of the sheep $(\varepsilon \gamma \omega \varepsilon \mu \eta \theta v \rho \alpha \tau \omega v \pi \rho o \beta \alpha \tau \omega v)$. The door for the sheep by which they enter. "He is the legitimate door of access to the spiritual $\alpha \cup \lambda \eta$, the Fold of the House of Israel, the door by which a true shepherd must enter" (Bernard). He repeats it in verse 9 . This is a new idea, not in the previous story ( $1-5$ ). Moffatt follows the Sahidic in accepting o тонщ $\nu$ here instead of $\eta \theta v \rho a$, clearly whimsical. Jesus simply changes the metaphor to make it plainer. They were doubtless puzzled by the meaning of the door in verse 1 . Once more, this metaphor should help those who insist on the literal meaning of bread as the actual body of Christ in Mr 14:22. Jesus is not a physical "door," but he is the only way of entrance into the Kingdom of God ( 14:6).

John 10:8
Before me ( $\pi \rho \mathrm{\rho} \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{v})$ ). Aleph with the Latin, Syriac, and Sahidic versions omit these words (supported by A B D L W). But with or without $\pi \rho o$ ع $\mu \circ \mathrm{ov}$ Jesus refers to the false Messiahs and self-appointed leaders who made havoc of the flock. These are the thieves and robbers, not the prophets and sincere teachers of old. The reference is to verse 1 . There had been numerous such impostors already (Josephus, Ant. XVIII. i. 6; War II. viii. I) and Jesus will predict many more (Mt 24:23f. ). They keep on coming, these wolves in sheep's clothing (Mt 7:15 ) who grow rich by fooling the credulous sheep. In this case "the sheep did not hear them" (ouк $\eta \kappa о \cup \sigma \alpha v ~ \alpha \cup \tau \omega v \tau \alpha \pi \rho \circ \beta \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). First aorist active indicative with genitive. Fortunate sheep who knew the Shepherd's voice.

John 10:9
The door ( $\eta \theta \cup \rho \alpha$ ). Repeated from verse 7 .
By me if any man enter in ( $\delta \iota^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \circ v \varepsilon a v \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ ). Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon ו \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. Note proleptic and emphatic position of $\delta^{\prime}$ غ $\mu$ ov. One can call this narrow intolerance, if he will, but it is the narrowness of truth. If

Jesus is the Son of God sent to earth for our salvation, he is the only way. He had already said it in $5: 23$. He will say it again more sharply in 14:6. It is unpalatable to the religious dogmatists before him as it is to the liberal dogmatists today. Jesus offers the open door to "any one" ( $\tau \iota \varsigma)$ who is willing ( $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$ ) to do God's will ( $7: 17$ ).

He shall be saved ( $\sigma \omega \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Future passive of $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$, the great word for salvation, from $\sigma \omega \varsigma$, safe and sound. The sheep that comes into the fold through Jesus as the door will be safe from thieves and robbers for one thing. He will have entrance ( $\varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$ and outgo ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$, he will be at home in the daily routine (cf. Ac 1:21) of the sheltered flock.

And shall find pasture ( $\kappa \alpha$ vо $\eta \eta \vee \varepsilon \cup \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Future (linear future) indicative of $\varepsilon \cup \rho ı \sigma \kappa \omega$, old word from $\nu \varepsilon \mu \omega$, to pasture. In N.T. only here and 2Ti 2:17 (in sense of growth). This same phrase occurs in 1Ch 4:40. The shepherd leads the sheep to pasture, but this phrase pictures the joy of the sheep in the pasture provided by the shepherd.

John 10:10
But that he may steal, and kill, and destroy ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta \stackrel{\iota}{ } \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \psi \eta \kappa \alpha \theta v \sigma \eta \kappa \alpha \alpha \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \eta$ ). Literally, "except that" ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta$ ) common without (Mt 12:4) and with verb (Ga 1:7), "if not" (literally), followed here by final ıva and three aorist active subjunctives as sometimes by otav (Mr 9:9) or ot (2Co 12:13). Note the order of the verbs. Stealing is the purpose of the thief, but he will kill and destroy if necessary just like the modern bandit or gangster.

I came that they may have life ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \eta \lambda \theta$ ov $\tau \alpha a \zeta \omega \eta \nu \varepsilon \chi \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ). In sharp contrast ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ) as the good shepherd with the thieves and robbers of verse 1 came Jesus. Note present active subjunctive ( $\varepsilon \chi \omega \sigma v$ ), "that they (people) may keep on having life (eternal, he means)" as he shows in 10:28. He is "the life" ( 14:6).

And may have it abundantly ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma o v \varepsilon \chi \omega \sigma เ v$ ). Repetition of $\varepsilon \chi \omega \sigma \iota v$ (may keep on having) abundance ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma o v$, neuter singular of $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma o \varsigma)$. Xenophon (Anab. VII. vi. 31) uses $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o v \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon เ v$, "to have a surplus," true to the meaning of overflow from $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ (around) seen in Paul's picture of the overplus (vாєрє Abundance of life and all that sustains life, Jesus gives.

John 10:11
 shepherd the good one." Takes up the metaphor of verses 2f. . Vulgate pastor bonus. Philo calls his good shepherd $\alpha \gamma a \theta$ oc, but ka入os calls attention to the beauty in character and service like "good stewards" (1Pe 4:10), "a good minister of Christ Jesus" (1Ti 4:6 ). Often both adjectives appear together in the ancient Greek as once in the New Testament (Lu 8:15 ). "Beauty is as beauty does." That is ка入os.

Layeth down his life for his sheep ( $\tau \eta \nu \psi v \chi \eta \nu$ av $\tau \circ v \tau \theta \eta \sigma \tau v \nu \pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho \circ \beta \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). For illustration see 1Sa 17:35 (David's experience) and Isa 31:4 . Dods quotes Xenophon (Mem. ii. 7, 14) who pictures even the sheep dog as saying to the sheep: "For I am the one
that saves you also so that you are neither stolen by men nor seized by wolves." Hippocrates has $\psi v \chi \eta \nu$ кат $\theta \varepsilon \tau \frac{1}{}$ (he laid down his life, i.e. died). In Jud 12:3 $\varepsilon \theta \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \nu \psi v \chi \eta \nu$ means "I risked my life." The true physician does this for his patient as the shepherd for his sheep. The use of $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ here (over, in behalf of, instead of), but in the papyri $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ is the usual preposition for substitution rather than $\alpha v \tau$. This shepherd gives his life for the sin of the world (1:29; 1Jo 2:2).

John 10:12
He that is a hireling ( $\mathrm{o} \mu \sigma \sigma \omega \tau \sigma \varsigma)$. Old word from $\mu \sigma \sigma$ ow, to hire (Mt 20:1) from $\mu \mathrm{I} \theta$ oc (hire, wages, Lu 10:7 ), in N.T. only in this passage. Literally, "the hireling and not being a shepherd" (о $\mu \sigma \theta \omega \tau$ тоц ка оик $\omega v$ ло $\mu \eta \nu)$. Note ovk with the participle $\omega v$ to emphasize the certainty that he is not a shepherd in contrast with $\mu \eta \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ in verse 1 (conceived case). See same contrast in 1Pe 1:8 between ouk ıоขтє¢ and $\mu \eta$ ор $\omega v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. The hireling here is not necessarily the thief and robber of verses 1,8 . He may conceivably be a nominal shepherd (pastor) of the flock who serves only for the money, a sin against which Peter warned the shepherds of the flock "not for shameful gain" (1Pe 5:2 ).

Whose own (ov $\delta \delta 1 \alpha$ ). Every true shepherd considers the sheep in his care "his own" ( $\delta \delta \iota \alpha$ ) even if he does not actually "own" them. The mere "hireling" does not feel so.

Beholdeth ( $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon$ ). Vivid dramatic present, active indicative of $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$, a graphic picture.

The wolf coming ( $\tau \circ \nu \lambda \cup \kappa o v \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Present middle predicate participle of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$.
Leaveth the sheep, and fleeth ( $\alpha \varphi ı \eta \sigma \iota \nu \tau \alpha \pi \rho \circ \beta \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \cup \gamma \varepsilon$ ). Graphic present actives again of $\alpha \varphi \imath \eta \mu$ and $\varphi \varepsilon \cup \gamma \omega$. The cowardly hireling cares naught for the sheep, but only for his own skin. The wolf was the chief peril to sheep in Palestine. See Mt 10:6 where Jesus says: "Behold I send you forth as sheep in the midst of wolves."

And the wolf snatcheth them and scattereth them (ка о $\lambda \cup к о \varsigma ~ а \rho \pi а \zeta \varepsilon ~ к а ~ б к о р \pi \iota \zeta \varepsilon) . ~$ Vivid parenthesis in the midst of the picture of the conduct of the hireling. Bold verbs these. For the old verb $\alpha \rho \pi \alpha \zeta \omega$ see Joh 6:15; Mt 11:12, and for $\sigma \kappa о \rho \pi ı \zeta \omega$, late word (Plutarch) for the Attic $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon \delta \alpha v v v \mu$, see Mt 12:30. It occurs in the vision of Ezekiel (Eze 34:5) where because of the careless shepherds "the sheep became meat to all the beasts of the field, and were scattered." Jesus uses $\alpha \rho \pi \alpha \zeta \omega$ in 10:29 where no one is able "to snatch" one out of the Father's hand.

John 10:13
Because he is a hireling ( $о \tau \mu \sigma \theta \omega \tau o \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \tau v$ ). And only that, without the shepherd heart that loves the sheep. Reason given for the conduct of the hireling after the parenthesis about the wolf.

And careth not for the sheep ( $\kappa \alpha$ оv $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega \pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \omega v \pi \rho \circ \beta \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). Literally, "and it is no care to him about the sheep." This use of the impersonal $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$ (present active indicative) is quite common, as in Mt 22:16. But God does care (1Pe 5:7).

John 10:14
I am the good-shepherd ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \varepsilon \iota \mu$ о $\pi о \mu \eta \nu$ о ка $\lambda о \varsigma)$. Effective repetition.
And mine own know me ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \imath \omega \sigma \kappa о v \sigma \iota \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \varepsilon \mu \alpha$ ). Jesus as the Good Shepherd knows his sheep by name as he had already said (verse 3) and now repeats. Yes, and they know his voice (verse 4), they have experimental knowledge ( $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ ) of Jesus as their own Shepherd. Here (in this mutually reciprocal knowledge) lies the secret of their love and loyalty.

John 10:15
And I know the Father ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ tov $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). Hence he is qualified to reveal the Father ( $1: 18$ ). The comparison of the mutually reciprocal knowledge between the Father and the Son illustrates what he has just said, though it stands above all else (Mt 11:27; Lu 10:22; Joh 17:21-26 ). We cannot claim such perfect knowledge of the Good Shepherd as exists between the Father and the Son and yet the real sheep do know the Shepherd's voice and do love to follow his leadership here and now in spite of thieves, robbers, wolves, hirelings.

And I lay down my life for the sheep ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \nu \psi v \chi \eta \nu \mu \circ v \tau ו \theta \eta \mu v \pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho o \beta \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). This he had said in verse 11, but he repeats it now for clearness. This he does not just as an example for the sheep and for under-shepherds, but primarily to save the sheep from the wolves, the thieves and robbers.

John 10:16
Other sheep ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \rho o \beta \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Sheep, not goats, but "not of this fold" ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha v \lambda \eta \varsigma$ $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma)$. See verse 1 for $\alpha v \lambda \eta$. Clearly "his flock is not confined to those enclosed in the Jewish fold, whether in Palestine or elsewhere" (Westcott). Christ's horizon takes in all men of all races and times (Joh 11:52; 12:32 ). The world mission of Christ for all nations is no new idea with him (Mt 8:11; Lu 13:28). God loved the world and gave his Son for the race (Jov 3:16),

Them also I must bring (какєıva $\delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Second aorist active infinitive of $\alpha \gamma \omega$ with $\delta \varepsilon$ expressing the moral urgency of Christ's passion for God's people in all lands and ages. Missions in Christ's mind takes in the whole world. This is according to prophecy (Isa 42:6; 49:6; 56:8) for the Messiah is to be a Light also to the Gentiles. It was typified by the brazen serpent (Joh 3:14 ). Christ died for every man. The Pharisees doubtless listened in amazement and even the disciples with slow comprehension.

And they shall hear my voice ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \omega \nu \eta \varsigma \mu \circ v \alpha \kappa о v \sigma о \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Future middle indicative of $\alpha \kappa \sigma \omega \omega$ with the genitive $\varphi \omega \nu \eta \varsigma$. These words read like a transcript from the Acts and the Epistles of Paul (Ro 9-11 in particular). See especially Paul's words in Ac 28:28. Present-day Christianity is here foretold. Only do we really listen to the voice of the Shepherd as we should? Jesus means that the Gentiles will hearken if the Jews turn away from him.

And they shall become one flock, one shepherd ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \sigma о \nu \tau \alpha \mu \alpha \pi о \mu \nu \eta, \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \pi о \mu \eta \nu)$. Future middle indicative of $\gamma เ v o \mu \alpha$, plural, not singular $\gamma \varepsilon v \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ as some MSS. have it. All (Jews and Gentiles) will form one flock under one Shepherd. Note the distinction here by Jesus between $\pi о \mu \nu \eta$ (old word, contraction of $\pi о \iota \mu \varepsilon \eta \eta$ from $\pi о \mu \eta \nu$, shepherd), as in Mt 26:31, and $\alpha \cup \lambda \eta$ (fold) just before. There may be many folds of the one flock. Jerome in his Vulgate confused this distinction, but he is wrong. His use of ovile for both $\alpha u \lambda \eta$ and $\pi o \mu v o v$ has helped Roman Catholic assumptions. Christ's use of "flock" ( $\pi о \mu \nu \eta$ ) here is just another metaphor for kingdom ( $\beta \alpha \sigma \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ ) in Mt 8:11 where the children of the kingdom come from all climes and nations. See also the various metaphors in Eph 2 for this same idea. There is only the one Great Shepherd of the sheep (Heb 13:20), Jesus Christ our Lord.

John 10:17
For this reason ( $\delta$ ıa $\tau 0 v \tau 0$ ). Points to the following ot clause. The Father's love for the Son is drawn out (Joh 3:16) by the voluntary offering of the Son for the sin of the world (Ro 5:8 ). Hence the greater exaltation (Php 2:9). Jesus does for us what any good shepherd does ( $10: 11$ ) as he has already said ( $10: 15$ ). The value of the atoning death of Christ lies in the fact that he is the Son of God, the Son of Man, free of $\sin$, and that he makes the offering voluntarily (Heb 9:14).

That I may take it again ( $\llcorner\alpha \alpha \pi \alpha \iota \nu \lambda \alpha \beta \omega \alpha v \tau \eta v$ ). Purpose clause with $t v \alpha$ and second aorist active subjunctive of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$. He looked beyond his death on the Cross to the resurrection. "The purpose of the Passion was not merely to exhibit his unselfish love; it was in order that He might resume His life, now enriched with quickening power as never before" (Bernard). The Father raised Jesus from the dead (Ac 2:32). There is spontaneity in the surrender to death and in the taking life back again (Dods).

## John 10:18

No one taketh it away from me (ov (first aorist active indicative of $\alpha \iota \rho \omega$, to take away), probably correct (Westcott and Hort). "John is representing Jesus as speaking sub specie aeternitatis" (Bernard). He speaks of his death as already past and the resurrection as already accomplished. Cf. Joh 3:16.

Of myself ( $\left.\alpha \pi^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \alpha v \tau o v\right)$. The voluntariness of the death of Jesus repeated and sharpened. D omits it, probably because of superficial and apparent conflict with 5:19. But there is no inconsistency as is shown by Joh 3:16; Ro 5:8. The Father "gave" the Son who was glad to be given and to give himself.

I have power to lay it down ( $\varepsilon \xi \circ 0 \sigma \iota \alpha v \varepsilon \chi \omega$ Ө $\varepsilon ı v \alpha \alpha v \tau \eta v$ ). E $\xi o u \sigma \iota \alpha$ is not an easy word to translate (right, authority, power, privilege). See 1:12. Restatement of the voluntariness of his death for the sheep.
 aorist active infinitive in both cases ( $\theta \varepsilon ı v \alpha$ from $\tau ו \theta \eta \mu$ and $\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon ı v$ from $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$ ), single acts. Recall 2:19 where Jesus said: "And in three days I will raise it up." He did not mean that
he will raise himself from the dead independently of the Father as the active agent (Ro 8:11 ).

I received from my Father ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \circ v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ тov $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma \mu \circ v)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$. He always follows the Father's command ( $\varepsilon v \tau o \lambda \eta$ ) in all things (12:49f.; 14:31). So now he is doing the Father's will about his death and resurrection.

John 10:19
There arose a division again ( $\sigma \chi\llcorner\rho \mu \alpha \pi \alpha \lambda \iota v \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau o$ ). As in 7:43 in the crowd (also in 7:12,31 ), so now among the hostile Jews (Pharisees) some of whom had previously professed belief in him ( 8:31). The direct reference of $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu$ (again) may be to $9: 16$ when the Pharisees were divided over the problem of the blind man. Division of opinion about Jesus is a common thing in John's Gospel (6:52,60,66; 7:12,25ff.; 8:22; 9:16f.; 10:19,24,41; 11:41ff.; 12:19,29,42; 16:18f. ).

John 10:20
He has a demon and is mad ( $\delta \alpha \not \mu \circ v i o v \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \mu \alpha ı \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. As some had already said (7:20; 8:48 with the addition of "Samaritan"). So long before in Mr 3:21. An easy way of discounting Jesus.

John 10:21
Of one possessed with a demon ( $\delta a \mu \rho \circ v \iota \zeta \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. Genitive of present passive participle of $\delta a \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{v} \zeta \omega$. They had heard demoniacs talk, but not like this.

Can a demon open the eyes of the blind? ( $\mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \rho v i o v \delta u v a \tau \alpha ~ \tau \cup \varphi \lambda о v ~ о \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \circ v \varsigma$ $\alpha v o ı \xi a t ;)$. Negative answer expected. Demons would more likely put out eyes, not open them. It was an unanswerable question.

John 10:22
And it was the feast of the dedication at Jerusalem ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \circ \delta \varepsilon \tau \alpha \varepsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \iota v i \alpha \varepsilon \nu$ тoıs Iعробо $\langle\nu \mu$ оч). But Westcott and Hort read тot $\varepsilon$ (then) instead of $\delta \varepsilon$ (and) on the authority of B L W 33 and some versions. This is probably correct: "At that time came the feast of dedication in Jerusalem." Tot $\varepsilon$ does not mean that the preceding events followed immediately after the incidents in 10:1-21. Bernard brings chapter 9 up to this date (possibly also chapter 8 ) and rearranges chapter 10 in a purely arbitrary way. There is no real reason for this arrangement. Clearly there is a considerable lapse between the events in 10:22-39 and 10:1-21, possibly nearly three months (from just after tabernacles 7:37 to dedication 10:22). The Pharisees greet his return with the same desire to catch him. This feast of dedication, celebrated for eight days about the middle of our December, was instituted by Judas Maccabeus B.C. 164 in commemoration of the cleansing of the temple from the defilements of pagan worship by Antiochus Epiphanes (1Macc. 4:59). The word $\varepsilon v \kappa \alpha ı v a(\varepsilon v, ~ \kappa \alpha ı v o \varsigma, ~ n e w) ~ o c c u r s ~$ here only in the N.T. It was not one of the great feasts and could be observed elsewhere without coming to Jerusalem. Jesus had apparently spent the time between tabernacles and dedication in Judea (Lu 10:1-13:21).

Winter ( $\chi \varepsilon \mu \omega \nu$ ). Old word from $\chi \varepsilon \mu \mu \alpha$ ( $\chi \varepsilon \omega$, to pour, rain, or from $\chi \iota \omega v$, snow). See Mt 24:20 .

John 10:23
Was walking ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon)$. Imperfect active of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$, to walk around, picturesque imperfect.

In Solomon's porch ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \sigma \tau o \alpha$ tov $\Sigma o \lambda o \mu \omega v o c)$. A covered colonnade or portico in which people could walk in all weather. See Ac 3:11; $5: 12$ for this porch. This particular part of Solomon's temple was left uninjured by the Babylonians and survived apparently till the destruction of the temple by Titus A.D. 70 (Josephus, Ant. XX. 9,7). When John wrote, it was, of course, gone.

John 10:24
Came round about him ( $\varepsilon \kappa \cup \kappa \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha v ~ \alpha \cup \tau o v) . ~ A o r i s t ~ a c t i v e ~ i n d i c a t i v e ~ o f ~ к \cup к \lambda о \omega, ~ o l d ~$ verb from кик $\boldsymbol{\jmath}$ (cycle, circle). See Ac 14:20 for the circle of disciples around Paul when stoned. Evidently the hostile Jews cherished the memory of the stinging rebuke given them by Jesus when here last, particularly the allegory of the Good Shepherd ( 10:1-19), in which he drew so sharply their own picture.

How long dost thou hold us in suspense? ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \pi \circ \tau \varepsilon \tau \eta \nu \psi v \chi \eta \nu \eta \mu \omega \nu$ aı $\rho \iota \sigma ;)$. Literally, "Until when dost thou lift up our soul?" But what do they mean by this metaphor? Atp $\omega$ is common enough to lift up the eyes (Joh 11:41), the voice (Lu 17:13) , and in Ps 25:1; 86:4 (Josephus, Ant. III. ii. 3) we have "to lift up the soul." We are left to the context to judge the precise meaning. Clearly the Jews mean to imply doubt and suspense. The next remark makes it clear.

If thou art the Christ ( $\varepsilon \sigma v \varepsilon$ o Xpıotoc). Condition of first class assumed to be true for the sake of argument.

Tell us plainly ( $\varepsilon ı \pi о \nu \eta \mu \nu \nu \pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma ı \alpha)$. Conclusion with $\varepsilon ו \pi o \nu$ rather than the usual $\varepsilon เ \pi \varepsilon$ as if first aorist active imperative like $\lambda v \sigma o v$. The point is in "plainly" ( $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma ı \alpha)$, adverb as in 7:13,26 which see. That is to say "I am the Christ" in so many words. See 11:14; 16:29 for the same use of $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$. The demand seemed fair enough on the surface. They had made it before when here at the feast of tabernacles ( 8:25). Jesus declined to use the word Xpıбтos (Messiah) then as now because of the political bearing of the word in their minds. The populace in Galilee had once tried to make him king in opposition to Pilate (Joh 6:14f. ). When Jesus does confess on oath before Caiaphas that he is the Christ the Son of God (Mr 14:61f.; Mt 26:63f. ), the Sanhedrin instantly vote him guilty of blasphemy and then bring him to Pilate with the charge of claiming to be king as a rival to Caesar. Jesus knew their minds too well to be caught now.

John 10:25

I told you, and you believe not ( $\varepsilon เ \pi \circ v \nu \mu \nu v \kappa \alpha$ ov $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). It was useless to say more. In 7:14-10:18 Jesus had shown that he was the Son of the Father as he had previously claimed ( $5: 17-47$ ), but it was all to no purpose save to increase their rage towards him.

These bear witness of me ( $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \mu \circ v$ ). His works confirm his words as he had shown before ( $5: 36$ ). They believe neither his words nor his works.

## John 10:26

Because ye are not of my sheep ( $о \tau \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v \pi \rho \circ \beta \alpha \tau \omega v \mu \circ v$ ). This had been the point in the allegory of the Good Shepherd. In fact, they were the children of the devil in spirit and conduct ( $8: 43$ ), pious ecclesiastics though they seemed, veritable wolves in sheep's clothing (Mt 7:15).

John 10:27
My sheep ( $\tau \alpha \pi \rho o \beta \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \varepsilon \mu \alpha$ ). In contrast with you they are not in doubt and suspense. They know my voice and follow me. Repetition of the idea in 10:4,14.

John 10:28
And I give unto them eternal life ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \omega \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ avtoьऽ $\zeta \omega \eta \nu \alpha \iota \omega \nu \iota \nu$ ). This is the gift of Jesus now to his sheep as stated in 6:27,40 (cf. 1Jo 2:25; 5:11).

And they shall never perish ( $\kappa \alpha$ ov $\mu \eta \alpha \pi о \lambda \omega \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Emphatic double negative with second aorist middle (intransitive) subjunctive of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$, to destroy. The sheep may feel secure (3:16; 6:39; 17:12; 18:9).
 $\mu \mathrm{ov})$. Jesus had promised this security in Galilee (6:37,39 ). No wolf, no thief, no bandit, no hireling, no demon, not even the devil can pluck the sheep out of my hand. Cf. Col 3:3 (Your life is hid together with Christ in God).

John 10:29
Which (oc). Who. If o (which) is correct, we have to take o $\pi \alpha \pi \eta \rho$ as nominative absolute or independent, "As for my Father."

Is greater than all ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \omega v \mu \varepsilon 亡 \zeta \omega v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. If we read oc. But Aleph B L W read o and A B Theta have $\mu \varepsilon \varepsilon_{\zeta}\left(\frac{v}{l}\right.$. The neuter seems to be correct (Westcott and Hort). But is it? If so, the meaning is: "As for my Father, that which he hath given me is greater than all." But the context calls for os $\ldots \mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta \omega v$ with o $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ as the subject of $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$. The greatness of the Father, not of the flock, is the ground of the safety of the flock. Hence the conclusion that "no one is able to snatch them out of the Father's hand."

John 10:30
One ( $\varepsilon v$ ). Neuter, not masculine ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ). Not one person (cf. $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ in Ga 3:28), but one essence or nature. By the plural $\sigma \nu \mu v \varsigma$ (separate persons) Sabellius is refuted, by $v v \nu \mu$ Arius. So Bengel rightly argues, though Jesus is not referring, of course, to either Sabellius or Arius. The Pharisees had accused Jesus of making himself equal with God as his own special Father (Joh 5:18). Jesus then admitted and proved this claim ( 5:19-30). Now he states it
tersely in this great saying repeated later (17:11, 21 ). Note $\varepsilon v$ used in 1Co $3: 3$ of the oneness in work of the planter and the waterer and in 17:11,23 of the hoped for unity of Christ's disciples. This crisp statement is the climax of Christ's claims concerning the relation between the Father and himself (the Son). They stir the Pharisees to uncontrollable anger.

John 10:31
Took up stones again ( $\left.\varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha v \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \quad \lambda_{1} \theta o v \varsigma\right)$. First aorist active indicative of $\beta a \sigma \tau \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb to pick up, to carry (Joh 12:6) , to bear (Ga 6:5). The $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota v$ refers to Joh 8:59 where $\eta \rho \alpha v$ was used. They wanted to kill him also when he made himself equal to God in 5:18. Perhaps here $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha v$ means "they fetched stones from a distance."
 subjunctive of $\lambda_{\mathrm{l}} \theta_{\alpha} \zeta_{\omega}$, late verb (Aristotle, Polybius) from $\lambda_{1} \theta_{0}$ oc (stone, small, Mt 4:6, or large, Mt 28:2 ), in Joh 10:31-33; 11:8; Ac 5:26; 14:19; 2Co 11:25; Heb 11:37, but not in the Synoptics. It means to pelt with stones, to overwhelm with stones.

John 10:32
From the Father ( $\varepsilon к$ тov $\pi \alpha \tau \rho о \varsigma) . ~ P r o c e e d i n g ~ o u t ~ o f ~ t h e ~ F a t h e r ~ a s ~ i n ~ 6: 65 ; ~ 16: 28 ~(c f . ~$ 7:17; 8:42,47) rather than $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ as in 1:14; 6:46; 7:29; 17:7.

For which of those works ( $\delta \iota \alpha \pi o \circ v \alpha \nu \tau \omega v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v$ ). Literally, "For what kind of work of them" (referring to the "many good works" $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha$ ). Noble and beautiful deeds Jesus had done in Jerusalem like healing the impotent man (chapter 5) and the blind man (chapter 9). Пooov is a qualitative interrogative pronoun pointing to $\kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha$ (good).

Do ye stone me ( $\left.\lambda_{1} \theta \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon\right)$. Conative present active indicative, "are ye trying to stone me." They had the stones in their hands stretched back to fling at him, a threatening attitude.

John 10:33
For a good work we stone thee not ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \kappa \alpha \lambda_{o v} \varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v$ ov $\lambda_{\imath} \theta \alpha \zeta_{o \mu \varepsilon v) \text { ). "Concerning a }}$ good deed we are not stoning thee." Flat denial that the healing of the blind man on the Sabbath had led them to this attempt ( 8:59) in spite of the facts.

But for blasphemy ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \iota \varsigma)$. See Ac $26: 7$ where $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ with the genitive is also used with $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda o u \mu \alpha$ for the charge against Paul. This is the only example in John of the word $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ (cf. Mt 12:31).

And because that thou, being a man, makest thyself God (ка от $\sigma v \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi о \varsigma \omega v$ тoısıc $\sigma \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau o v ~ \theta \varepsilon o v)$. In 5:18 they stated the charge more accurately: "He called God his own Father, making himself equal with God." That is, he made himself the Son of God. This he did beyond a doubt. But was it blasphemy? Only if he was not the Son of God. The penalty for blasphemy was death by stoning (Le 24:16; 1Ki 21:10,13 ).

John 10:34
Is it not written? (ovк عбтıv $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \varepsilon v o v$;). Periphrastic perfect passive indicative of $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$ (as in 2:17) in place of the usual $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha$. "Does it not stand written?"

In your law ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega v o \mu \omega \nu \mu \omega v$ ). From Ps 82:6. The term vouoc (law) applying here to the entire O.T. as in 12:34; 15:25; Ro 3:19; 1Co 14:21. Aleph D Syr-sin. omit $\nu \mu \omega v$, but needlessly. We have it already so from Jesus in 8:17. They posed as the special custodians of the O.T.

I said (o $\tau \varepsilon \omega \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \alpha$ ). Recitative o o before a direct quotation like our quotation marks. Eita is a late second aorist form of indicative with - $\alpha$ instead of -ov.

Ye are gods ( $\theta$ हo $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). Another direct quotation after $\varepsilon I \pi \alpha$ but without ot. The judges of Israel abused their office and God is represented in Ps 82:6 as calling them "gods" ( $\theta$ عo, elohim) because they were God's representatives. See the same use of elohim in Ex 21:6; 22:9,28 . Jesus meets the rabbis on their own ground in a thoroughly Jewish way.

John 10:35
If he called them gods ( $\varepsilon$ єкєıvou¢ $\varepsilon เ \pi \varepsilon v$ $\theta$ عouৎ). Condition of first class, assumed as true. The conclusion (verse 36) is $v \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$; ( Do ye say?). As Jews (and rabbis) they are shut out from charging Jesus with blasphemy because of this usage in the O.T. It is a complete ad hominem argument. To be sure, it is in Ps 82:6 a lower use of the term $\theta$ roc, but Jesus did not call himself "Son of Jahweh," but "voos $\theta$ عov" which can mean only "Son of Elohim." It must not be argued, as some modern men do, that Jesus thus disclaims his own deity. He does nothing of the kind. He is simply stopping the mouths of the rabbis from the charge of blasphemy and he does it effectually. The sentence is quite involved, but can be cleared up.
 points to $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o v \varsigma$, before. These judges had no other claim to the term $\theta \varepsilon o$ (elohim).

And the scripture cannot be broken ( $\kappa \alpha$ ov $\delta v v a \tau \alpha \lambda \nu \theta \eta v \alpha \eta \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta$ ). A parenthesis that drives home the pertinency of the appeal, one that the Pharisees had to accept. $\Lambda v \theta \eta v a$ is first aorist passive infinitive of $\lambda v \omega$, to loosen, to break.

John 10:36
Of him whom the Father sanctified and sent into the world (ov о $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho \eta \gamma \iota \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon$ ка
 be, object of $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ) unexpressed. Every word counts heavily here in contrast with the mere judges of Ps 82:6.

Thou blasphemest (oт $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota)$ ). Recitative o $\tau$ again before direct quotation.
Because I said (o $\tau \varepsilon \pi \sigma$ ). Causal use of ot and regular form $\varepsilon$ عוтov (cf. $\varepsilon ו \pi \alpha$ in verse 34).

I am the Son of God (vios tov $\theta$ عov $\varepsilon \not \mu)$. Direct quotation again after $\varepsilon \iota \pi o v$. This Jesus had implied long before as in 2:16 (my Father) and had said in 5:18-30 (the Father, the Son), in 9:35 in some MSS., and virtually in 10:30. They will make this charge against Jesus before Pilate ( 19:7). Jesus does not use the article here with vioc, perhaps (Westcott) fixing attention
on the character of Son rather than on the person as in Heb 1:2. There is no answer to this question with its arguments.

John 10:37
If I do not $(\varepsilon$ ov $\pi o \omega \omega)$. Condition of first class, assumed as true, with negative ov, not $\varepsilon \mu \eta=$ unless.

Believe me not ( $\mu \eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \mu \circ$ ). Prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and the present active imperative. Either "cease believing me" or "do not have the habit of believing me." Jesus rests his case on his doing the works of "my Father" ( $\tau 0 v \pi \alpha \tau \rho o \varsigma ~ \mu o v$ ), repeating his claims to sonship and deity.

John 10:38
But if I do $(\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \pi o \omega \omega)$. Condition again of the first class, assumed as true, but with the opposite results.

Though ye believe not me ( $\kappa \alpha v \varepsilon \mu \circ \mu \eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Condition now of third class, undetermined (but with prospect), "Even if you keep on (present active subjunctive of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o$ ) not believing me."

Believe the works ( $\tau о \iota \varsigma \rho \gamma \circ \iota \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). These stand irrefutable. The claims, character, words, and works of Jesus challenge the world today as then.

That ye may know and understand (iva $\gamma \nu \omega \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Purpose clause with ${ }^{\imath} \omega \alpha$ and the same verb $\gamma \iota \omega \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ repeated in different tenses (first $\gamma \nu \omega \tau \varepsilon$, the second ingressive aorist active subjunctive, that ye may come to know; then the present active subjunctive, "that ye may keep on knowing"). This is Christ's deepest wish about his enemies who stand with stones in their uplifted hands to fling at him.

That the Father is in me, and I in the Father (o $\varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu \circ$ о $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho \kappa \alpha \gamma \omega \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \pi \alpha \tau \rho$ ). Thus he repeats (verse 30) sharply his real claim to oneness with the Father as his Son, to actual deity. It was a hopeless wish.

John 10:39
They sought again to seize him ( $\varepsilon \zeta \eta \tau 0 \cup v \alpha \cup \tau 0 v \pi \alpha \lambda \iota v \pi \iota \alpha \zeta \alpha)$. Imperfect active, "They kept on seeking to seize (ingressive aorist active infinitive of $\pi \omega \alpha \zeta \omega$ for which see 7:30) as they had tried repeatedly ( $7: 1,30,44 ; 8: 20$ ), but in vain. They gave up the effort to stone him.

Out of their hand ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \chi \varepsilon \rho \rho \varsigma \varsigma \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Overawed, but still angry, the stones fell to the ground, and Jesus walked out.

John 10:40
Again ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota v$ ). Referring to 1:28 (Bethany beyond Jordan). П $\alpha \lambda \iota v$ does not mean that the other visit was a recent one.

At the first ( $\tau 0 \pi \rho \omega \tau o v)$. Adverbial accusative (extent of time). Same idiom in 12:16; 19:39. Here the identical language of $1: 28$ is used with the mere addition of to $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ (отоט $\eta v$ I $\omega$ avŋऽ $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \zeta \omega \nu$, "where John was baptizing").

And there he abode ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect (continued) active of $\mu \varepsilon \nu \omega$, though some MSS. have the constative aorist active $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon เ v \varepsilon v$. Probably from here Jesus carried on the first part of the later Perean Ministry (Lu 13:22-16:10) before the visit to Bethany at the raising of Lazarus (Joh 11:1-44 ).

John 10:41
Many came to him ( $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda 0 \eta \lambda \theta o \nu \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha v \tau o \nu$ ). Jesus was busy here and in a more congenial atmosphere than Jerusalem. John wrought no signs the crowds recall, though Jesus did many here (Mt 19:2). The crowds still bear the impress of John's witness to Christ as "true" $(a \lambda \eta \theta \eta)$. Here was prepared soil for Christ.

John 10:42
Many believed on him there ( $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda o \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha v \varepsilon ı \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau o v \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon$ ). See 1:12; 2:11 for same idiom. Striking witness to the picture of the Messiah drawn by John. When Jesus came they recognized the original. See Joh 1:29-34. What about our sermons about Jesus if he were to walk down the aisle in visible form according to A.J. Gordon's dream?

## John 11

John 11:1
Was sick ( $\eta v \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \omega v$ ). Periphrastic imperfect active of $\alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \omega$, old verb (from $\alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma, ~ a ~ p r i v a t i v e, ~ a n d ~ \sigma \theta \varepsilon v o c$, strength).
 shortened form of Eleazer, only other N.T. use, but in Josephus and rabbinical writings. No connexion between this Lazarus and the one in the parable.
 of Nazareth. This Bethany is about two miles ( 11:18) east of Jerusalem on the south-east slope of Olivet and is now called El Azariyeh, from the name Lazarus. Jesus is still apparently at the other Bethany beyond Jordan ( 10:40). It is doubtful if a distinction is meant here by $\alpha \pi \sigma$ and $\varepsilon \kappa$ between Bethany as the residence and some other village ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \kappa \omega \mu \eta \varsigma$ ) as the birthplace of Lazarus and the sisters.

Of Mary and Martha (Mapıaৎ ка Map $\theta \alpha \varsigma)$. Note Map $\theta \alpha \varsigma$, not Map $\theta \eta \varsigma$ for the genitive. Elsewhere (Joh 11:19; Lu 10:38) Martha comes first as the mistress and hostess. The two sisters are named for further identification of Lazarus. Martha was apparently the elder sister (11:5,19; Lu 10:38f. ). "The identification of Mary with Mary Magdalene is a mere conjecture supported by no direct evidence, and opposed to the general tenor of the Gospels" (Westcott). John 11:2
And it was that Mary which anointed the Lord with ointment, and wiped his feet

 Lazarus was sick" ( $\eta \varsigma$ o $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \circ \varsigma \Lambda \alpha \zeta \alpha \rho о \varsigma \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ ). There is an evident proleptic allusion to the incident described by John in 12:1-8 just after chapter 11. As John looks back from the end of the century it was all behind him, though the anointing ( $\eta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı \psi \alpha \sigma \alpha$, first aorist active articular participle of $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon เ \varphi \omega$, old verb for which see Mr 6:13) took place after the events in chapter 11. The aorist participle is timeless and merely pictures the punctiliar act. The same remark applies to $\varepsilon \kappa \mu \alpha \xi \alpha \sigma \alpha$, old verb $\varepsilon \kappa \mu \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, to wipe off or away (Isa 12:3; 13:5; Lu 7:38,44 ). Note the Aramaic form Mapıa as usual in John, but Mapıac in verse 1. When John wrote, it was as Jesus had foretold (Mt 26:13), for the fame of Mary of Bethany rested on the incident of the anointing of Jesus. The effort to link Mary of Bethany with Mary Magdalene and then both names with the sinful woman of $\operatorname{Lu} 7: 36-50$ is gratuitous and to my mind grotesque and cruel to the memory of both Mary of Bethany and Mary Magdalene. Bernard may be taken as a specimen: "The conclusion is inevitable that John (or his editor) regarded Mary of Bethany as the same person who is described by Luke as a $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ ся." This critical and artistic heresy has already been discussed in Vol. II on Luke's Gospel. Suffice it here to say that Luke introduces Mary Magdalene as an entirely new character in 8:2 and
that the details in Lu 7:36-50; Joh 12:1-8 have only superficial resemblances and serious disagreements. John is not here alluding to Luke's record, but preparing for his own in chapter 12 . What earthly difficulty is there in two different women under wholly different circumstances doing a similar act for utterly different purposes?

John 11:3
Sent saying ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \nu \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ 0 \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ and present active participle. The message was delivered by the messenger.

Thou lovest ( $\varphi \backslash \lambda \varepsilon ı \varsigma) . \Phi \downarrow \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ means to love as a friend (see $\varphi \backslash \lambda o \varsigma$ in verse 11) and so warmly, while $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$ (akin to $\alpha \gamma \alpha \mu \alpha$, to admire, and $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ o $\varsigma$, good) means high regard. Here both terms occur of the love of Jesus for Lazarus ( $\eta \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha$ in verse 5). Both occur of the Father's love for the Son ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha$ in 3:35, $\varphi\rangle \lambda \varepsilon$ in 5:20). Hence the distinction is not always observed.

John 11:4
Heard it (aкоибаৎ). The messenger delivered the message of the sisters. The reply of Jesus is for him and for the apostles.

Is not unto death (ouk عбтiv $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \theta \alpha v a \tau o v)$. Death in the final issue, to remain dead.
 unto death" (final death).

But for the glory of God ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ ' $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \eta \varsigma \delta o \xi \eta \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ \theta \varepsilon o v)$ ). In behalf of God's glory, as the sequel shows. Cf. 9:3 about the man born blind. The death of Lazarus will illustrate God's glory. In some humble sense those who suffer the loss of loved ones are entitled to some comfort from this point made by Jesus about Lazarus. In a supreme way it is true of the death of Christ which he himself calls glorification of himself and God ( 13:31). In 7:39 John had already used $\delta_{0} \xi \alpha \zeta \omega$ of the death of Christ.

That the Son of God may be glorified thereby (ı $\mathfrak{v} \alpha \circ \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \eta$ o vios tov $\theta \varepsilon o v ~ \delta ı ' ~ \alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma) . ~$ Purpose clause with $\iota v \alpha$ and the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\delta o \xi a \zeta \omega$. Here Jesus calls himself "the Son of God." In 8:54 Jesus had said: "It is my Father that glorifieth me." The raising of Lazarus from the tomb will bring glory to the Son of God. See 17:1 for this idea in Christ's prayer. The raising of Lazarus will also bring to an issue his own death and all this involves the glorification of the Father ( $7: 39 ; 12: 16 ; 13: 31 ; 14: 13$ ). The death of Lazarus brings Jesus face to face with his own death.

John 11:5
Now Jesus loved ( $\eta \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \delta \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$ picturing the continued love of Jesus for this noble family where he had his home so often (Lu 10:38-42; Joh 12:1-8). The sisters expected him to come at once and to heal Lazarus.

John 11:6
That he was sick ( $o \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ ). Present active indicative retained in indirect discourse after a secondary tense ( $\eta \kappa о \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v$ ).

Two days ( $\delta v o \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho a \varsigma$ ). Accusative of extent of time.
In the place where he was ( $\varepsilon v \omega \eta \nu \tau 0 \pi \omega$ ). Incorporation of the antecedent $\tau 0 \pi \omega$ into the relative clause, "in which place he was." It was long enough for Lazarus to die and seemed unlike Jesus to the sisters.

John 11:7
Then after this ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ тоито). Eлєıта (only here in John) means thereafter (Lu 16:7) and it is made plainer by the addition of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ тovтo (cf. 2:12; 11:11), meaning after the two days had elapsed.

Let us go into Judea again (A $\gamma \omega \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta v$ Iov $\delta \alpha \iota \alpha v \pi \alpha \lambda \iota v$ ). Volitive (hortative) subjunctive of $\alpha \gamma \omega$ (intransitive use as in verses 11,16). They had but recently escaped the rage of the Jews in Jerusalem ( $10: 39$ ) to this haven in Bethany beyond Jordan ( 10:40).

John 11:8
Were but now seeking to stone thee ( $v o v \varepsilon\left\lceil\eta \tau o u v \sigma \varepsilon \lambda_{1} \theta a \sigma \alpha\right)$. Conative imperfect of $\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \omega$ with reference to the event narrated in 10:39 in these very words.

Goest thou thither again? ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota v$ v $\pi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon ı)$. Present active intransitive use of the compound $v \pi \alpha \gamma \omega$, to withdraw $(6: 21 ; 8: 21)$ from this safe retreat (Vincent). It seemed suicidal madness to go back now.

John 11:9
In the day ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. Genitive of time, within the day, the twelve-hour day in contrast with night. The words of Jesus here illustrate what he had said in 9:4. It is not blind fatalism that Jesus proclaims, but the opposite of cowardice. He has full confidence in the Father s purpose about his "hour" which has not yet come. Jesus has courage to face his enemies again to do the Father's will about Lazarus.

If a man walk in the day ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \iota \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \eta \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). Condition of the third class, a conceived case and it applies to Jesus who walks in the full glare of noonday. See 8:12 for the contrast between walking in the light and in the dark.

He stumbleth not (ov $\pi \rho о \sigma к о \pi \tau \varepsilon$ ). He does not cut (or bump) against this or that obstacle, for he can see. Ko $\pi \tau \omega$ is to cut and pros, against.

John 11:10
But if a man walk in the night ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \delta \varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \eta \varepsilon \vee \tau \eta v \cup \kappa \tau)$. Third condition again. It is spiritual darkness that Jesus here pictures, but the result is the same. See the same figure in 12:35 (1Jo 2:11). The ancients had poor illumination at night as indeed we did before Edison gave us electric lights. Pedestrians actually used to have little lamps fastened on the feet to light the path.

In him ( $\varepsilon \vee \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). Spiritual darkness, the worst of all (cf. Mt 6:23; Joh 8:12 ). Man has the capacity for light, but is not the source of light. "By the application of this principle Christianity is distinguished from Neo-Platonism" (Westcott).

John 11:11

Is fallen asleep (кєкон $\mu \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\kappa о \mu \alpha \omega$, old verb to put to sleep. Common as a metaphor for death like our cemetery.

I go ( $\pi$ оогvo $\mu$ ). Futuristic use of the present tense as in 14:2.
That I may awake him out of sleep ( $\quad v \alpha \varepsilon \xi \cup \pi v i \sigma \omega \alpha \nu \tau o v$ ). Purpose clause with $ı v \alpha$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \xi \nu \pi v \zeta \zeta \omega$, a late compound ( $\varepsilon \xi, v \pi v \circ \varsigma$, sleep) for the older $\alpha \varphi \cup \pi \nu\llcorner\zeta \omega$, here only in the N.T. See Job 14:12 where also it occurs along with кон оона.

John 11:12
He will recover ( $\sigma \omega \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Future passive indicative of $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$ used in its original sense of being or getting well (safe and sound). Conclusion of the condition of the first class ( $\varepsilon$ кєкон $\uparrow \boldsymbol{\tau})$.

John 11:13
Had spoken ( $\varepsilon \iota \emptyset \kappa \varepsilon$ ). Past perfect of $\varepsilon ו \pi o v(\varepsilon \rho \omega)$. The disciples had misunderstood Christ's metaphor for death.

That he spake ( $0 \tau \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ ). Present active indicative retained in indirect discourse after the secondary tense ( $\varepsilon \delta 0 \xi \alpha v$ ).

Of taking rest in sleep ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \eta \varsigma$ коњп $\sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ v \pi о v) . ~ O n l y ~ u s e ~ o f ~ к о њ \mu \eta \sigma \varsigma ~(f r o m ~$ кон $\alpha \omega$ ) in the N.T., but it also was used of death (Sirach 46:19). Hu $\boldsymbol{\pi v o v}$ (in sleep) is objective genitive of $\mathbf{v \pi v o c}$ (sleep, Mt 1:24).

John 11:14
Plainly ( $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ ). Adverb (see on 7:4), without metaphor as in 16:29.
Is dead ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \theta \alpha v \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative, "died."
John 11:15
For your sakes ( $\left.\delta \delta^{\prime} v \mu \alpha \varsigma\right)$. That they may witness his raising from the grave.
That I was not there (oт ovk $\eta \mu \eta \nu \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect middle $\eta \mu \eta \nu$ of the later Greek instead of the common active $\eta v$ in indirect discourse in place of the usual present retained as in verse 13.

To the intent ye may believe ( $เ v a \pi เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Purpose clause with $\stackrel{v \alpha}{ }$ and the ingressive aorist active subjunctive, "that ye may come to believe" (more than you do). See the same use of the ingressive aorist in $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma a v(2: 11)$ where the disciples gained in belief.

Nevertheless let us go to him ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha \gamma \omega \mu \varepsilon v \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau o v)$. Volitive subjunctive, repeating the proposal of verse 7. He is dead, but no matter, yea all the more let us go on to him.

John 11:16
Didymus ( $\Delta \Delta \delta \nu \mu \circ \varsigma)$. The word means twin. Clearly Thomas had a twin brother or sister. Applied two other times to him ( $20: 24 ; 21: 2$ ). The Aramaic word for Thomas means Twin and Didymus is just the Greek equivalent of Thomas. He may even in Greek circles have been called Didymus.

His fellow disciples ( $\tau$ оця $\sigma u v \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha ı \varsigma) . ~ D a t i v e ~ c a s e ~ a n d ~ a r t i c l e ~ u s e ~ l i k e ~ " h i s . " ~ O n l y ~ u s e ~$ of $\sigma \cup v \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ in the N.T., rare word (in Plato).

Us also ( $\kappa \alpha \eta \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. As well as Jesus, since he is bent on going.
That we may die with him ( $เ v \alpha \alpha \pi o \theta \alpha \nu \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Purpose clause with $v \alpha a$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \pi o \theta v \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$. Die with Jesus, Thomas means. Lazarus is already dead and they will kill Jesus (verse 8). Pessimistic courage surely.

John 11:17
Found ( $\varepsilon v \rho \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \cup \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$.
That he had been in the tomb four days already ( $\alpha \cup \tau o v \tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \alpha \varsigma \eta \delta \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \chi o v \tau \alpha$ ). Literally, "him (accusative object of $\varepsilon v \rho \varepsilon v$ ) having already four days in the tomb." See 5:5 for the same idiom ( $\varepsilon \tau \eta \varepsilon \chi \omega v$ ) for expression of time (having 38 years). In Jewish custom burial took place on the day of death (Ac 6:6,10).

John 11:18
About fifteen furlongs off ( $\omega \varsigma \alpha \pi 0 \sigma \tau \alpha \delta \iota \omega \nu \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon$ ). The idiom of $\alpha \pi 0$ with the ablative for distance is like the Latin a millibus passum duobus (Caesar, Bell. Gall. ii. 7), but it ( $\pi \rho 0$ also, Joh 12:1 ) occurs already in the Doric and in the Koine often (Moulton, Proleg., p. 101; Robertson, Grammar, p. 110). See it again in 21:8; Re 14:20 .

John 11:19
Had come $(\varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda v \theta \varepsilon ı \sigma \alpha v)$. Past perfect of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. These Jews were probably not hostile to Jesus. There were seven days of solemn mourning (1Sa 31:13). The presence of so many indicates the prominence of the family.

To Martha and Mary ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \operatorname{Ma\rho } \theta \alpha \nu \kappa \alpha \operatorname{Ma\rho ı} \alpha \mu$ ). Correct text, not the Textus Receptus $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau \alpha \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \rho$ MapӨav ка Mapıац (to the women about Martha and Mary).

To console them (ıva $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \nu \theta \eta \sigma \omega v \tau \alpha)$. Purpose clause with $\tau v \alpha$ and first aorist middle subjunctive of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \nu \theta \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$, old verb ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$, beside, $\mu v \theta$ o $\varsigma$, word), to put in a word beside, to offer consolation. Again in verse 31. See 1Th 2:11; 5:14. See Job 2:13 for these visits of consolation, often deplorable enough, though kindly meant.

John 11:20
That Jesus was coming ( $o \tau \operatorname{I\eta \sigma ov} \varepsilon \rho \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Present middle indicative retained in indirect discourse after the secondary tense $\eta \kappa о v \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ (first aorist active).

Went and met him ( $v \pi \eta v \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). First aorist (ingressive) active indicative of $v \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \omega$, old compound verb, to go to meet (Mt 8:28) with the associative instrumental case $\alpha v \tau \omega$.

But Mary still sat in the house (Mapıa $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega$ oıк $\omega \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \zeta \varepsilon \tau 0)$. Imperfect middle of $\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \zeta$ о $\mu \alpha$, old verb to sit down, graphic picture of Mary, "while Mary was sitting in the house." Both Martha and Mary act true to form here as in Lu 10:38-42 .

John 11:21
Lord, if thou hadst been here, my brother had not died (Kvpıє, $\varepsilon \eta \varsigma \omega \delta \varepsilon$ оvк $\alpha v$ $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \theta a v \varepsilon v$ o $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \circ \varsigma \mu \circ v$ ). Condition of the second class with $\varepsilon$ and the imperfect $\eta \varsigma$ (no aorist of $\varepsilon \mu$, to be) in the condition and av with the second aorist active indicative of
$\alpha \pi 0 \theta v \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$. Mary (verse 32) uses these identical words to Jesus. Clearly they had said so to each other with wistful longing if not with a bit of reproach for his delay. But they used $\eta \varsigma$, not $\eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon \varsigma$ or $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v o v$. But busy, practical Martha comes to the point.

John 11:22
And even now I know ( $\kappa \alpha$ vuv ot $\delta \alpha$ ). Rather just, "Even now I know." A $\lambda \lambda \alpha$ (but) of the Textus Receptus is not genuine.

Whatsoever thou shalt ask of God (o $\sigma \alpha \alpha v \alpha u \tau \eta \sigma \eta$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Indefinite relative (o $\sigma \alpha$, as many things as) with $\alpha v$ and the first aorist middle (indirect middle, thou thyself asking) subjunctive of $\alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon \omega$. Martha uses ait $\varepsilon \omega$ (usual word of prayer of men to God) rather than $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \omega$ (usual word of Jesus praying to the Father), but in 16:23 we have $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \omega$ used of prayer to Jesus and aıt $\varepsilon \omega$ of prayer to God. But the distinction is not to be pressed. "As many things as thou dost ask of God."

God will give ( $\delta \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ oo o $\theta \varepsilon$ oऽ). Repetition of o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma$ for emphasis. Martha still has courageous faith in the power of God through Jesus and Jesus in verse 41 says practically what she has said here.

John 11:23
Thy brother will rise again ( $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ o $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \circ \varsigma \sigma o v$ ). Future middle (intransitive) of $\alpha v ı \sigma \tau \eta \mu$. The words promise Martha what she has asked for, if Jesus means that.

John 11:24
In the resurrection at the last day ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \alpha v a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon v \tau \eta \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha)$. Did Jesus mean only that? She believed it, of course, and such comfort is often offered in case of death, but that idea did not console Martha and is not what she hinted at in verse 22 .

John 11:25
I am the resurrection and the life ( $\mathrm{E} \gamma \omega \varepsilon \mu \eta$ $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma ı \varsigma \kappa \eta \zeta \omega \eta)$. This reply is startling enough. They are not mere doctrines about future events, but present realities in Jesus himself. "The Resurrection is one manifestation of the Life: it is involved in the Life" (Westcott). Note the article with both $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma ~ a n d ~ \zeta \omega \eta$. Jesus had taught the future resurrection often ( $6: 39$ ), but here he means more, even that Lazarus is now alive.

Though he die (каv a $\pi$ о $\theta a v \eta$ ). "Even if he die," condition (concession) of third class with $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \alpha v$ ( $\kappa \alpha v$ ) and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \pi o \theta v \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$ (physical death, he means).

Yet shall he live ( $\zeta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Future middle of $\zeta \alpha \omega$ (spiritual life, of course).
John 11:26
 second aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \pi \circ \theta v \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$ again (but spiritual death, this time), "shall not die for ever" (eternal death).

Believest thou this? ( $\pi\llcorner\sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \tau o v \tau o ;) ~ S u d d e n ~ t e s t ~ o f ~ M a r t h a ' s ~ i n s i g h t ~ a n d ~ f a i t h ~ w i t h ~$ all the subtle turns of thought involved.

## John 11:27

Yea, Lord (Naı, кирıє). Martha probably did not understand all that Jesus said and meant, but she did believe in the future resurrection, in eternal life for believers in Christ, in the power of Christ to raise even the dead here and now. She had heroic faith and makes now her own confession of faith in words that outrank those of Peter in Mt 16:16 because she makes hers with her brother dead now four days and with the hope that Jesus will raise him up now.

I have believed ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \kappa \alpha)$. Perfect active indicative of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \omega$. It is my settled and firm faith. Peter uses this same tense in 6:69.

That thou art the Son of God (o $\sigma v \varepsilon$ o X X $\sigma \tau \tau \varsigma$ o vioc $\tau 0 v \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). The Messiah or the Christ ( $1: 41$ ) was to be also "the Son of God" as the Baptist said he had found Jesus to be ( 1:34), as Peter confessed on Hermon for the apostles (Mt 16:16) , as Jesus claimed to be (Joh 11:41) and confessed on oath before Caiaphas that he was (Mt 26:63f. ), and as John stated that it was his purpose to prove in his Gospel ( $20: 31$ ). But no one said it under more trying circumstances than Martha.
 Greek. This was a popular way of putting the people's expectation (6:14; Mt 11:3). Jesus himself spoke of his coming into the world (9:39; 16:28; 8:37).

John 11:28
Called Mary ( $\varepsilon \varphi \omega \nu \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu \operatorname{M\alpha \rho ı} \alpha \mu)$. First aorist active indicative of $\varphi \omega v \varepsilon \omega$. Out of the house and away from the crowd.

Secretly $(\lambda \alpha \theta \rho \alpha)$. Old adverb from $\lambda \alpha \theta \rho \circ \varsigma(\lambda \alpha v \theta \alpha v \omega)$. To tell her the glad news.

Is here ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). "Is present."
Calleth thee ( $\varphi \omega \vee \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon$ ). This rouses Mary.
John 11:29
And she ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ \nu \eta)$. Emphatic use of the demonstrative $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ \nu \circ \varsigma$ as often in John, "And that one."

Arose quickly $(\eta \gamma \varepsilon \rho \theta \eta)$. First aorist (ingressive) passive of $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$ and intransitive. Naturally so on the sudden impulse of joy.

And went unto him ( $\kappa \alpha \eta \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau о \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \circ v$ ). Imperfect middle, possibly inchoative, started towards him, certainly picturing her as she was going.

John 11:30
Now Jesus was not yet come into the town (ov $0 \omega \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta \varepsilon$ o I $\eta \sigma o v \varsigma \varepsilon ء \varsigma \tau \eta \kappa \omega \mu \eta \nu$ ). Explanatory parenthesis with past perfect as in verse 19. Martha had her interview while he was still coming (verse 20) and left him (went off, $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$, verse 28) to hurry to Mary with the news. Why Jesus tarried still where he had met Martha we do not know. Westcott says, "as though He would meet the sisters away from the crowd of mourners."

## John 11:31

Followed her ( $\eta \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v \alpha \cup \tau \eta$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \kappa о \lambda о \cup \theta \varepsilon \omega$ with associative instrumental case ( $\alpha \cup \tau \eta$ ). This crowd of consolers ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \nu \theta o v \mu \varepsilon v o$ ) meant kindly enough, but did the one wrong thing for Mary wished to see Jesus alone. People with kind notions often so act. The secrecy of Martha (verse 28) was of no avail.

Supposing that she was going unto the tomb ( $\delta о \xi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ о \tau ~ v \pi а ү \varepsilon ~ \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \mu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon ь о v) . ~$ First aorist active participle of $\delta$ окє $\omega$, justifying their conduct by a wrong inference. Note retention of present tense $v \pi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon$ in indirect discourse after the secondary tense $\eta \kappa 0 \lambda o v \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$.

To weep there ( $\mathfrak{v a} \kappa \lambda \alpha v \sigma \eta \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon$ ). Purpose clause with $t v \alpha$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\kappa \lambda \alpha \omega \omega$, old verb to weep. Sometimes to wail or howl in oriental style of grief, but surely not that here. At any rate this supposed purpose of Mary was a real reason for this crowd
not to go with her.
John 11:32
Fell down at his feet ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ autov $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ touc $\pi$ по $\delta a \varsigma$ ). Second aorist active of $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, to fall. Note unusual position of autov. This impulsive act like Mary. She said precisely what Martha had said to Jesus (verse 21). But she said no more, only wept (verse 33).

John 11:33
When Jesus therefore saw her weeping (I $\eta \sigma o u c ~ o u v \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \delta \varepsilon v \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \kappa \lambda \alpha \circ v \sigma \alpha v$ ). Proleptic position of "Jesus," "Jesus therefore when he saw." She was weeping at the feet of Jesus, not at the tomb.
 genuine, that of the Jews was partly perfunctory and professional and probably actual "wailing" as the verb $\kappa \lambda \alpha \omega \omega$ can mean. K $\lambda a \omega \omega$ is joined with $\alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda \alpha \zeta \omega$ in Mr 5:38, with o $\lambda \mathrm{o} \lambda \nu \zeta \omega$ in Jas 5:1, with $\theta$ o $\rho \nu \beta \varepsilon \omega$ in $\mathrm{Mr} 5: 39$, with $\pi \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \omega$ in Mr 16:10. It was an incongruous combination.

He groaned in the spirit ( $\varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \beta \rho \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \tau o ~ \tau \omega \pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau)$. First aorist middle indicative of $\varepsilon \mu \beta \rho \mu \mu \alpha \mu \alpha$, old verb (from $\varepsilon v$, and $\beta \rho \mu \eta$, strength) to snort with anger like a horse. It occurs in the LXX ( Da 11:30) for violent displeasure. The notion of indignation is present in the other examples of the word in the N.T. (Mr 1:43; 14:5; Mt 9:30). So it seems best to see that sense here and in verse 38 . The presence of these Jews, the grief of Mary, Christ's own concern, the problem of the raising of Lazarus--all greatly agitated the spirit of Jesus (locative case $\tau \omega \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau)$. He struggled for self-control.

Was troubled ( $\varepsilon \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \xi \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau o v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\tau \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, old verb to disturb, to agitate, with the reflexive pronoun, "he agitated himself" (not passive voice, not middle). "His sympathy with the weeping sister and the wailing crowd caused this deep emotion" (Dods). Some indignation at the loud wailing would only add to the agitation of Jesus.

## John 11:34

Where have ye laid him? (Поv $\tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon เ \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon ~ \alpha \cup \tau о v ;)$. Perfect active indicative of $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$. A simple question for information. The only other like it in John is in $6: 6$ where it is expressly stated that Jesus knew what he was going to do. So it was here, only he politely asked for direction to the tomb of Lazarus. The people invite him to come and see, the very language used by Philip to Nathanael ( $1: 46$ ). It was a natural and polite reply as they would show Jesus the way, but they had no idea of his purpose.

John 11:35
Jesus wept ( $\varepsilon \delta \alpha \kappa \rho v \sigma \varepsilon v$ o I $\eta \sigma 0 \cup \varsigma)$. Ingressive first aorist active indicative of $\delta \alpha \kappa \rho v \omega$, old verb from $\delta \alpha \kappa \rho v$ or $\delta \alpha \kappa \rho v o v$, a tear (Ac 20:19), only here in N.T. It never means to wail, as $\kappa \lambda \alpha \iota \omega$ sometimes does. "Jesus burst into tears." K $\lambda \omega \iota \omega$ is used of Jesus in Lu 19:41. See Heb 5:7 "with strong crying and tears" ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha v \gamma \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha \delta \alpha \kappa \rho \nu \omega \nu$ ). Apparently this was as Jesus started towards (see verse 38) the tomb. In a sense it was a reaction from the severe strain in verse 33, but chiefly it was the sheer human sympathy of his heart with Martha and Mary touched with the feeling of our common weakness (Heb 4:15). Often all that we can do is to shed tears in grief too deep for words. Jesus understood and understands. This is the shortest verse in the Bible, but no verse carries more meaning in it.

John 11:36
Loved $(\varepsilon \varphi i \lambda \varepsilon)$. As in verse 3 which see. Imperfect active. Even the Jews saw that Jesus loved Lazarus.

## John 11:37

 say $\delta v v a \tau \alpha$ (can, present middle indicative). But clearly the opening of the blind man's eyes (chapter 9) had made a lasting impression on some of these Jews, for it was done three months ago.

Have caused that this man also should not die ( $\pi \circ \imath \sigma \alpha \iota v \alpha \kappa \alpha$ оvтоৎ $\mu \eta \alpha \pi \circ \theta \alpha v \eta$ ). First aorist active infinitive of $\pi o \iota \varepsilon \omega$ with $\tau \nu \alpha$, like the Latin facere ut (sub-final use, Robertson, Grammar, p. 985), with the second aorist active subjunctive $\alpha \pi o \theta \alpha \nu \eta$ and negative $\mu \eta$. These Jews share the view expressed by Martha (verse 21) and Mary (verse 32) that Jesus could have
prevented the death of Lazarus.
John 11:38
Again groaning in himself ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \varepsilon \mu \beta \rho \mu \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \omega$ ). Direct reference to the use of this same word (present middle participle here) in verse 33, only with $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega$ (in himself) rather than $\tau \omega \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau$ (in his spirit), practically the same idea. The speculation concerning his power stirred the depths of his nature again.

Cometh to the tomb ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau о \mu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon เ \circ v$ ). Vivid historical present.
A cave ( $\sigma \pi \eta \lambda \alpha \iota v$ ). Old word (from $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varsigma$, cavern). Cf. Mt 21:13.

Lay against it ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \iota \tau o \varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). Imperfect middle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \kappa \varepsilon \mu \alpha$, old verb to lie upon as in 21:9 and figuratively ( 1 Co 9:16 ). Note repetition of $\varepsilon \pi$ with locative case. The use of a cave for burial was common (Ge 23:19). Either the body was let down through a horizontal opening (hardly so here) or put in a tomb cut in the face of the rock (if so, $\varepsilon \pi$ can mean "against"). The stones were used to keep away wild animals from the bodies.

John 11:39
Take ye away the stone ( $\alpha \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \lambda_{1} \theta o v$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\alpha \rho \rho \omega$. They could do this much without the exercise of Christ's divine power. It was a startling command to them.

By this time he stinketh ( $\eta \delta \eta \mathrm{o} \zeta \varepsilon)$. Present active indicative of old verb, here only in N.T. (cf. Ex 8:14 ). It means to give out an odour, either good or bad.

For he hath been dead four days ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \iota \rho ~ \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. The Greek simply says, "For he is a fourth-day man." It is an old ordinal numeral from тetapтoৎ (fourth). Herodotus (ii. 89) has $\tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \iota \circ \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta a$ of one four days dead as here. The word is only here in the N.T. The same idiom occurs in Ac 28:13 with $\delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \circ$ (second-day men). Lightfoot (Hor. Hebr.) quotes a Jewish tradition (Beresh. Rabba) to the effect that the soul hovers around the tomb for three days hoping to return to the body, but on the fourth day leaves it. But there is no suggestion here that Martha held that notion. Her protest is a natural one in spite of her strong faith in verses 22-27.

John 11:40
Said I not unto thee? (Оvк عıпоv бor;). Jesus pointedly reminds Martha of his promise to raise Lazarus (verses 25f. ).

That if thou believedst (o $\tau \varepsilon \alpha v \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma \eta \vdash)$ ). Indirect discourse with $\varepsilon a v$ and the first aorist active subjunctive (condition of third class) retained after the secondary tense $\varepsilon$ عוтov.
 тоито; (Believest thou this?). He meant to test Martha as to her faith already hinted at (verse 22) on this very point. Jesus had also spoken of increase of faith on the part of the disciples (verse 15).

Thou shouldest see the glory of God ( $o \psi \eta \tau \eta \nu \delta o \xi \alpha \nu$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Future middle indicative of the old defective verb opa $\omega$ retained in the conclusion of this condition in indirect discourse. Jesus means the glory of God as shown in the resurrection of Lazarus as he had already said to the disciples (verse 4) and as he meant Martha to understand (verse 25) and may in fact have said to her (the report of the conversation is clearly abridged). Hence Bernard's difficulty in seeing how Martha could understand the words of Jesus about the resurrection of Lazarus here and now seems fanciful and far-fetched.

John 11:41

So they took away the stone ( $\eta \rho \alpha v$ ouv $\left.\tau 0 v \lambda_{1} \theta o v\right)$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \iota \rho \omega$, but without the explanatory gloss of the Textus Receptus "from the place where the dead was laid" (not genuine).

I thank thee that thou heardest me ( $\varepsilon u \chi \alpha \rho ı \tau \omega$ бо о $\eta$ коибаৎ $\mu \circ$ ). See 6:11 for $\varepsilon v \chi \propto \rho \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega$. Clearly Jesus had prayed to the Father concerning the raising of Lazarus. He has the answer before he acts. "No pomp of incantation, no wrestling in prayer even; but simple words of thanksgiving, as if already Lazarus was restored" (Dods). Jesus well knew the issues involved on this occasion. If he failed, his own claims to be the Son of God (the Messiah), would be hopelessly discredited with all. If he succeeded, the rulers would be so embittered as to compass his own death.

John 11:42
And I knew ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \delta \varepsilon \eta \downarrow \delta \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Past perfect of oı $\delta \alpha$ used as imperfect. This confident knowledge is no new experience with Jesus. It has "always" ( $\pi \alpha \vee \tau 0 \tau \varepsilon$ ) been so.

Which standeth around ( $\tau 0 v \pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \tau \alpha$ ). Second perfect active (intransitive) articular participle of $\pi \varepsilon \rho u \sigma \tau \eta \mu$. It was a picturesque and perilous scene.

That they may believe (iva $\pi เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \omega \sigma เ v$ ). Purpose clause with iva and first ingressive aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \omega$, "that they may come to believe."

That thou didst send me ( $о \tau \sigma v \mu \varepsilon \alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varsigma)$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ and note position of $\sigma v \mu \varepsilon$ side by side. This claim Jesus had long ago made ( $5: 36$ ) and had repeatedly urged (10:25,38). Here was a supreme opportunity and Jesus opens his heart about it.

John 11:43
He cried with a loud voice ( $\varphi \omega v \eta \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \varepsilon \kappa \rho \alpha \cup \gamma \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of краиүа $\zeta \omega$, old and rare word from краuү (Mt 25:6). See Mt 12:19. Occurs again in Joh 18:40; 19:6,12. Only once in the LXX (Ezr 3:13) and with $\varphi \omega \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta$ (either locative or instrumental case makes sense) as here. For this "elevated (great) voice" see also Mt 24:31; Mr 15:34,37; Re 1:10; 21:3. The loud voice was not for the benefit of Lazarus, but for the sake of the crowd standing around that they might see that Lazarus came forth simultaneously with the command of Jesus.

Lazarus, come forth ( $\Lambda \alpha \zeta \alpha \rho \varepsilon, \delta \varepsilon v \rho o \varepsilon \xi \omega)$. "Hither out." No verb, only the two adverbs, $\delta \varepsilon u \rho o$ here alone in John. Lazarus heard and obeyed the summons.

John 11:44
He that was dead came forth ( $\varepsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ o $\tau \varepsilon \theta v \eta \kappa \omega \varsigma)$. Literally, "Came out the dead man," (effective aorist active indicative and perfect active articular participle of $\theta v \eta \sigma \kappa \omega)$. Just as he was and at once.
 of $\delta \varepsilon \omega$ with the accusative loosely retained according to the common Greek idiom (Robertson,

Grammar, p. 486), but literally "as to the feet and hands" (opposite order from the English). Probably the legs were bound separately.

With grave-clothes (кецрıaı̧). Or "with bands." Instrumental case of this late and rare word (in Plutarch, medical papyrus in the form кпрıa, and Pr 7:16 ). Only here in N.T.

His face ( $\eta$ о $\psi \iota \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau 0 v$ ). Old word, but $\pi \rho o \sigma \omega \pi \sigma v$ is usual in N.T. See Re 1:16 for another instance.

Was bound about ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \tau o$ ). Past perfect passive of $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \delta \varepsilon \omega$, old verb to bind around, only here in N.T.

With a napkin ( $\sigma o v \delta \alpha \rho \iota \omega$ ). Instrumental case of $\sigma o v \delta a \rho ı v$ (Latin word sudarium from sudor, sweat). In N.T. here, 20:7; Lu 19:20; Ac 19:12 . Our handkerchief.

Loose him ( $\lambda \nu \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ avtov). First aorist active imperative of $\lambda \nu \omega$. From the various bands.

Let him go ( $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau о v \cup \pi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon เ v)$. Second aorist active imperative of $\alpha \varphi \iota \eta \mu$ and present active infinitive.

John 11:45
Beheld that which he did ( $\theta \varepsilon \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o$ o $\varepsilon \pi о ⿰ \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist middle participle of $\theta \varepsilon \alpha o \mu \alpha$ and first aorist active indicative of $\pi$ поו $\omega$ in the relative (o) clause. They were eyewitnesses of all the details and did not depend on hearsay.

Believed on him ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma a v ~ \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ a u \tau o v) . ~ S u c h ~ a ~ r e s u l t ~ h a d ~ h a p p e n e d ~ b e f o r e ~(7: 31), ~$ and all the more in the presence of this tremendous miracle which held many to Jesus (12:11,17).

John 11:46
Went away to the Pharisees ( $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda$ Өov $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ тouc Фарıбaıovৎ). Second aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. This "some" ( $\tau \iota v \varepsilon \varsigma$ ) did who were deeply impressed and yet who did not have the courage to break away from the rabbis without consulting them. It was a crisis for the Sanhedrin.

John 11:47
Gathered a council ( $\sigma u v \eta \gamma \alpha \gamma \circ v \sigma u v \varepsilon \delta \rho ı v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\sigma v v \alpha \gamma \omega$ and $\sigma u v \varepsilon \delta \rho \circ v$, the regular word for the Sanhedrin (Mt 5:22, etc.), only here in John. Here a sitting or session of the Sanhedrin. Both chief priests (Sadducees) and Pharisees (mentioned no more in John after 7:57 save 12:19,42 ) combine in the call (cf. 7:32). From now on the chief priests (Sadducees) take the lead in the attacks on Jesus, though loyally supported by their opponents (the Pharisees).

And said (ка $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ v$ ). Imperfect active of $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, perhaps inchoative, "began to say."
What do we? (T $\pi о \iota o \nu \mu \varepsilon v ;$ ). Present active (linear) indicative of $\pi$ о $\varepsilon \omega$. Literally, "What are we doing?"

Doeth ( $\pi 01 \varepsilon$ ). Better, "is doing" (present, linear action). He is active and we are idle. There is no mention of the raising of Lazarus as a fact, but it is evidently inoluded in the "many signs."

John 11:48
If we let him thus alone ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \alpha \varphi \omega \mu \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau o v o v \tau \omega \varsigma)$. Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and second aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \pi ı \eta \mu$. "Suppose we leave him thus alone." Suppose also that he keeps on raising the dead right here next door to Jerusalem!

All will believe on him ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma 0 \cup \sigma \iota v \varepsilon เ \varsigma ~ \alpha \cup \tau \circ v)$. Future active of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$. The inevitable conclusion, "all" ( $\pi \alpha \vee \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$, not just "some" ( $\tau \iota v \varepsilon \varsigma)$. as now.

And the Romans will come ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma о \nu \tau \alpha$ о $\mathrm{P} \omega \mu \alpha \circ$ ). Another inevitable result with the future middle of $\varepsilon \rho \chi o \mu \alpha$. Only if the people take Jesus as their political Messiah ( 6:15) as they had once started to do. This is a curious muddle for the rulers knew that Jesus did not claim to be a political Messiah and would not be a rival to Caesar. And yet they use this fear (their own belief about the Messiah) to stir themselves to frenzy as they will use it with Pilate later.

And take away both our place and our nation ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \rho о v \sigma \iota v ~ \eta \mu \omega \nu \kappa \alpha$ то $\tau$ тотоv ка то $\varepsilon \theta v o \varsigma)$. Future active of alp $\omega$, another certain result of their inaction. Note the order here when "place" (job) is put before nation (patriotism), for all the world like modern politicians who make the fate of the country turn on their getting the jobs which they are seeking. In the course of time the Romans will come, not because of the leniency of the Sanhedrin toward Jesus, but because of the uprising against Rome led by the Zealots and they will destroy both temple and city and the Sanhedrin will lose their jobs and the nation will be scattered. Future historians will say that this fate came as punishment on the Jews for their conduct toward Jesus.

John 11:49
Caiaphas (Kaıача¢). Son-in-law of Annas and successor and high priest for 18 years (A.D. 18 to 36).

That year ( $\tau 0 \cup$ عviautov єкعıvou). Genitive of time; his high-priesthood included that year (A.D. 29 or 30). So he took the lead at this meeting.

Ye know nothing at all (ข $\nu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ o u \kappa ~ o ו \delta a \tau \varepsilon ~ o v \delta \varepsilon v)$ ). In this he is correct, for no solution of their problem had been offered.

John 11:50
That it is expedient for you ( $о \tau \sigma \nu \mu \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \nu \mu \nu v$ ). Indirect discourse with present active indicative of $\sigma \nu \mu \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$ used with the tva clause as subject. It means to bear together, to be profitable, with the dative case as here ( $v \mu \nu v$, for you). It is to your interest and that is what they cared most for.

That one man die (ıva $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma \alpha \pi \circ \theta \alpha v \eta)$. Sub-final use of $ı v a$ with second aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \pi \circ \theta v \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$ as subject clause with $\sigma \nu \mu \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$. See 16:7; 18:7 for the same construction.

For the people ( $\cup \pi \varepsilon \rho ~ \tau o v ~ \lambda \alpha o v) . ~ H u \pi \varepsilon \rho ~ s i m p l y ~ m e a n s ~ o v e r, ~ b u t ~ c a n ~ b e ~ i n ~ b e h a l f ~ o f ~ a s ~$ often, and in proper context the resultant idea is "instead of" as the succeeding clause shows and as is clearly so in Ga 3:13 of the death of Christ and naturally so in 2Co 5:14f.; Ro 5:6 . In the papyri $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ is the usual preposition used of one who writes a letter for one unable to write.

And that the whole nation perish not ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \eta$ o $\alpha \circ v \tau 0 \varepsilon \theta v \circ \varsigma \alpha \pi о \lambda \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Continuation of the iva construction with $\mu \eta$ and the second aorist subjunctive of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$. What Caiaphas has in mind is the giving of Jesus to death to keep the nation from perishing at the hands of the Romans. Politicians are often willing to make a sacrifice of the other fellow.

John 11:51
Not of himself ( $\alpha \varphi^{\prime}$ عavtov ouk). Not wholly of himself, John means. There was more in what Caiaphas said than he understood. His language is repeated in 18:14.

Prophesied ( $\varepsilon \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma \varepsilon v)$. Aorist active indicative of $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon \nu \omega$. But certainly unconscious prophecy on his part and purely accidental. Caiaphas meant only what was mean and selfish.

That Jesus should die (oт $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v$ I I $\sigma o v \varsigma ~ a \pi o \theta v \eta \sigma \kappa \varepsilon เ v)$. Imperfect active of $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ in indirect discourse instead of the usual present retained after a secondary tense ( $\varepsilon \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma \varepsilon v$ ) as sometimes occurs (see 2:25).

## John 11:52

But that he might also gather together into one ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ ' $เ v \alpha \sigma v v \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \eta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon v$ ). Purpose clause with $t v \alpha$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\sigma v v a \gamma \omega$. Caiaphas was thinking only of the Jewish people ( $\lambda \alpha o v, \varepsilon \theta v o \varsigma$, verse 50 ). The explanation and interpretation of John here follow the lead of the words of Jesus about the other sheep and the one flock in 10:16.

That are scattered abroad ( $\tau \alpha \delta \iota \varepsilon \sigma \kappa о \rho \pi \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Perfect passive articular participle of $\delta ı \alpha \sigma \kappa о \rho \pi \iota \zeta \omega$, late verb (Polybius, LXX) to scatter apart, to winnow grain from chaff, only here in John. The meaning here is not the Diaspora (Jews scattered over the world), but the potential children of God in all lands and all ages that the death of Christ will gather "into one" ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon v)$. A glorious idea, but far beyond Caiaphas.

John 11:53
So from that day ( $\left.\alpha \pi^{\prime} \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon ı \nu \eta \varsigma ~ o u v ~ \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma\right)$. The raising of Lazarus brought matters to a head so to speak. It was now apparently not more than a month before the end.

They took counsel ( $\varepsilon \beta 0 \cup \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha v \tau o)$. First aorist middle indicative of $\beta o u \lambda \varepsilon v \omega$, old verb to take counsel, in the middle voice for themselves, among themselves. The Sanhedrin took the advice of Caiaphas seriously and plotted the death of Jesus.

That they might put him to death (ıva $\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ v \omega \sigma เ v ~ \alpha v \tau o v) . ~ P u r p o s e ~ c l a u s e ~ w i t h ~ i v a ~$ and first aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ v \omega$. It is an old purpose (5:18; 7:19; 8:44,59; 10:39; $11: 8$ ) now revived with fresh energy due to the raising of Lazarus.

John 11:54
Therefore walked no more openly (ouv ouкยт $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho ı \pi \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$, to walk around. Jesus saw clearly that to do so would bring on the end now instead of his "hour" which was to be at the passover a month ahead.

Into the country near to the wilderness ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \chi \omega \rho a v \varepsilon \gamma \gamma \cup \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \circ v$ ). It was now in Jerusalem as it had become once in Galilee ( 7:1) because of the plots of the hostile Jews. The hill country northeast of Jerusalem was thinly populated.
 than town or village ( $\kappa \omega \mu \eta$ ). The place is not certainly known, not mentioned elsewhere in the N.T. Josephus mentions (War, IV. ix. 9) a small fort near Bethel in the hill country and in 2Ch 13:19 Ephron is named in connexion with Bethel. Up here Jesus would at least be free for the moment from the machinations of the Sanhedrin while he faced the coming catastrophe at the passover. He is not far from the mount of temptation where the devil showed and offered him the kingdoms of the world for the bending of the knee before him. Is it mere fancy to imagine that the devil came to see Jesus again here at this juncture with a reminder of his previous offer and of the present plight of the Son of God with the religious leaders conspiring his death? At any rate Jesus has the fellowship of his disciples this time ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \omega \nu \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \omega v$ ). But what were they thinking?

John 11:55
Was near ( $\eta \vee \varepsilon \gamma \gamma \vee \varsigma)$. See 2:13 for the same phrase. This last passover was the time of destiny for Jesus.
 Purpose clause with $\imath v \alpha$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \gamma v \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb from $\alpha \gamma v o$, (pure), ceremonial purification here, of course. All this took time. These came "from the country" ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \chi \omega \rho a \varsigma)$, from all over Palestine, from all parts of the world, in fact. John shifts the scene to Jerusalem just before the passover with no record of the way that Jesus came to Jerusalem from Ephraim. The Synoptic Gospels tell this last journey up through Samaria into Galilee to join the great caravan that crossed over into Perea and came down on the eastern side of the Jordan opposite Jericho and then marched up the mountain road to Bethany and Bethphage just beside Jerusalem. This story is found in Lu 17:11-19:28; Mr 10:1-52; Mt 19:1-20:34 . John simply assumes the Synoptic narrative and gives the picture of things in and around Jerusalem just before the passover (11:56,57).

John 11:56

They sought therefore for Jesus ( $\varepsilon\lceil\eta \tau 0 u v$ ouv $\tau 0 v$ I $\eta \sigma o v v$ ). Imperfect active of $\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \omega$ and common ouv of which John is so fond. They were seeking Jesus six months before at the feast of tabernacles ( $7: 11$ ), but now they really mean to kill him.

As they stood in the temple ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \iota \varepsilon \rho \omega \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa о \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Perfect active participle (intransitive) of $\operatorname{t\sigma \tau } \eta \mu$, a graphic picture of the various groups of leaders in Jerusalem and from other lands, "the knots of people in the Temple precincts" (Bernard). They had done this at the tabernacles ( $7: 11-13$ ), but now there is new excitement due to the recent raising of Lazarus and to the public order for the arrest of Jesus.

That he will not come to the feast? (o ov $\circ \mu \eta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \eta \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon о \rho \tau \eta \nu ;$ ). The form of the question (indirect discourse after $\delta$ окєıזє) assumes strongly that Jesus will not (ov $\mu \eta$, double negative with second aorist active $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ from $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ ) dare to come this time for the reason given in verse 57.

John 11:57
The chief priests and the Pharisees (о а рхıгрєı ка о Фарıбаıо). The Sanhedrin.
Had given commandment ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \nu \varepsilon v \tau 0 \lambda \alpha \varsigma)$. Past perfect active of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$.
That he should shew it ( $\iota v \alpha \mu \eta v \sigma \eta$ ). Sub-final $\iota v a$ with first aorist active subjunctive of $\mu \eta v v \omega$, old verb to disclose, to report formally (Ac 23:30).

If any man knew ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \tau \iota \varsigma \gamma v \omega)$. Third-class condition with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and second aorist active subjunctive of $\gamma เ v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$.

Where he was ( $\pi$ ov $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). Indirect question with interrogative adverb and present indicative $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ retained like $\gamma \nu \omega$ and $\mu \eta \nu v \sigma \eta$ after the secondary tense $\delta \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha v$.

That they might take him ( $0 \pi \omega \varsigma \pi \iota \alpha \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu \alpha \nu \tau o v$ ). Purpose clause with $0 \pi \omega \varsigma$ instead of $\iota v \alpha$ and first aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \iota \omega \zeta \omega$ so often used before ( 7:44, etc.).

## John 12

John 12:1
Jesus therefore (I $\eta$ бovc ouv). Here ovv is not causal, but simply copulative and transitional, "and so" (Bernard), as often in John ( $1: 22$, etc.).

Six days before the passover ( $\pi \rho \circ \varepsilon \xi \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \omega \nu \tau 0 v \pi \alpha \sigma \chi \alpha$ ). This idiom, transposition of $\pi \rho o$, is like the Latin use of ante, but it occurs in the old Doric, in the inscriptions and the papyri. See Am 1:1 for it also (cf. Moulton, Proleg., pp. 100ff.; Robertson, Grammar, pp. 621f.). If the crucifixion was on Friday, as seems certain from both John and the Synoptics, then six days before would be the Jewish Sabbath preceding or more probably the Friday afternoon before, since Jesus would most likely arrive before the Sabbath. Probably we are to put together in one scene for the atmosphere Joh 11:55-57; Joh 12:1, 9-11 .

Came to Bethany, where Lazarus was, whom Jesus raised from the dead ( $\eta \tau \lambda \varepsilon v \varepsilon ı$
 ceding. There is no reason for thinking this a gloss as Bernard does. It was a place of danger now after that great miracle and the consequent rage of the Sanhedrin ( 12:9-11). The crowd of eager spectators to see both Lazarus and Jesus would only intensify this rage.

John 12:2
So they made him a supper there ( $\varepsilon \pi \sigma \not \square \sigma \alpha v ~ o u v ~ a v \tau \omega ~ \delta \varepsilon ı \pi v o v ~ \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon$ ). Here again ouv is not inferential, but merely transitional. This supper is given by Mark (Mr 14:3-9) and Matthew (Mt 26:6-13 ) just two days (Mr 14:1) before the passover, that is on our Tuesday evening (beginning of Jewish Wednesday), while John mentions ( 12:2-9) it immediately after the arrival of Jesus in Bethany ( 12:1). One must decide which date to follow. Mark and Matthew and Luke follow it with the visit of Judas to the Sanhedrin with an offer to betray Jesus as if exasperated by the rebuke by Jesus at the feast. Bernard considers that John "is here more probably accurate." It all turns on John's purpose in putting it here. This is the last mention of Jesus in Bethany and he may have mentioned it proleptically for that reason as seems to me quite reasonable. Westcott notes that in chapter 12 John closes his record of the public ministry of the Lord relative to the disciples at this feast ( 1-11), to the multitude in the triumphal entry ( 12-19), to the world outside in the visit of the Greeks (20-), and with two summary judgements (). There is no further reason to refer to the feast in the house of another Simon when a sinful woman anointed Jesus (Lu 7:36-50 ). It is no credit to Luke or to John with Mark and Matthew to have them all making a jumble like that. There were two anointings by two absolutely different women for wholly different purposes. See the discussion on Luke for further details.

And Martha served ( $\kappa \alpha \eta$ Map $\theta \alpha \delta ı \eta \kappa о v \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active of $\delta ı \alpha \kappa о v \varepsilon \omega$, picturing Martha true to the account of her in Lu 10:40 ( $\pi о \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \delta$ ıккоviav, $\delta$ ıaкоveıv as here). But this fact does not show that Martha was the wife of this Simon at all. They were friends and
neighbours and Martha was following her bent. It is Mark (Mr 14:3) and Matthew (Mt 26:6 ) who mention the name of the host. It is not Simon the Pharisee (Lu 7:36 ), but Simon the leper (Mr 14:3; Mt 26:6) in whose house they meet. The name is common enough. The Simon in Luke was sharply critical of Jesus; this one is full of gratitude for what Jesus has done for him.

That sat at meat ( $\tau \omega \nu$ avaкє $\mu \varepsilon v \omega v$ ). "That lay back," reclined as they did, articular participle (ablative case after $\varepsilon \kappa$ ) of the common verb $\alpha v a \kappa \varepsilon \mu \alpha$. Perhaps Simon gave the feast partly in honour of Lazarus as well as of Jesus since all were now talking of both (Joh 12:9). It was a gracious occasion. The guests were Jesus, the twelve apostles, and Martha, Mary, and Lazarus.

John 12:3
A pound ( $\lambda_{ı \tau \rho \alpha v) . ~ L a t i n ~ l i b r a, ~ l a t e ~ K o i n e ~(P o l y b i u s, ~ P l u t a r c h) ~ w o r d ~ w i t h ~ w e i g h t ~ o f ~}^{12}$ ounces, in N.T. only here and 19:39. Mark (Mr 14:3) and Matthew (Mt 26:7) have alabaster cruse.

Of ointment of spikenard ( $\mu \nu \rho o v v a \rho \delta o v \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \eta \varsigma)$ ). "Of oil of nard." See already 11:2 for $\mu v \rho o v$ (also Mt 26:7). Nard is the head or spike of an East Indian plant, very fragrant. Occurs also in Mr 14:3. Пıбтıкŋऽ here and in Mr 14:3 probably means genuine ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota к о \varsigma$, from $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o \varsigma$, reliable). Only two instances in the N.T.

Very precious ( $\pi 0 \lambda \nu \tau \mu \mu \sigma v$ ). Old compound adjective ( $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \nu \varsigma$, much, $\tau \mu \eta$ ), in N.T. only here, Mt 13:46; 1Pe 1:7. Mark has пo入uteגous (very costly). Matthew (Mt 26:7) has here $\beta a \rho v \tau \iota \mu o v$ of weighty value (only N.T. instance).

Anointed ( $\eta \lambda \varepsilon \tau \psi \varepsilon \nu$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \varphi \omega$, old word (Mr 16:1).
The feet (тov¢ тоба¢). Mark (Mr 14:3) and Matthew (Mt 26:7) have "his head." Why not both, though neither Gospel mentions both? The Latin MS. fuldensis and the Syriac Sinatic do give both head and feet here.

Wiped ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \mu \alpha \xi \varepsilon v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \mu \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, old verb to wipe off already in 11:2; Lu 7:38,44 .

With her hair ( $\tau \alpha ı \varsigma ~ \theta \rho ı \xi\llcorner v$ autņ). Instrumental plural. It is this item that is relied on largely by those who identify Mary of Bethany with the sinful woman in Luke 7 and with Mary Magdalene. It is no doubt true that it was usually considered immodest for a woman to wear her hair loose. But it is not impossible that Mary of Bethany in her carefully planned love-offering for Jesus on this occasion was only glad to throw such a punctilio to the winds. Such an act on this occasion does not brand her a woman of loose character.

Was filled with the odour of the ointment ( $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \eta \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma$ об $\mu \eta \varsigma$ tov $\mu v \rho \circ v$ ). Effective first aorist passive of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$ and a natural result.

John 12:4
Judas Iscariot (Iovסac o Iбкарı $\omega \tau \eta \varsigma$ ). See o Iбкарı $\omega \tau \eta \varsigma$ in 14:22. See 6:71; 13:1 for like description of Judas save that in 6:71 the father's name is given in the genitive, $\Sigma \mu \omega \omega$ os and
 $\Sigma \mu \omega \omega v o c$. Clearly then both father and son were called "Iscariot" or man of Kerioth in the tribe of Judah (Jos 15:25 ). Judas is the only one of the twelve not a Galilean.

One of his disciples ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau \omega \nu \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \omega \nu$ av $\tau \circ$ ). Likewise in 6:71, only there $\varepsilon \kappa$ is used after $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma$ as some MSS. have here. This is the shameful fact that clung to the name of Judas.

Which should betray him (o $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega v$ avtov $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta o v \alpha$ ). John does not say in 6:71 ( $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \iota \delta o v \alpha \alpha \cup \tau o v)$ or here that Judas "was predestined to betray Jesus" as Bernard suggests. He had his own responsibility for his guilt as Jesus said (Mt 26:24). M $\varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ here simply points to the act as future, not as necessary. Note the contrast between Mary and Judas. "Mary in her devotion unconsciously provides for the honour of the dead. Judas in his selfishness unconsciously brings about the death itself" (Westcott).

John 12:5
Sold ( $\varepsilon \pi \rho \alpha \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\pi \iota \pi \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega$, old verb to sell (Mt 13:46).
For three hundred pence ( $\tau \rho \iota \alpha \kappa \sigma \sigma \omega v \delta \eta \nu \alpha \rho \iota \omega v$ ). Genitive of price. Same item in Mr 14:5, while in Mt 26:9 it is simply "for much" ( $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \lambda \mathrm{\lambda ov}$ ). But all three have "given to the poor" ( $\varepsilon \delta 0 \theta \eta \pi \tau \omega \chi \circ$ ¢ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ with dative case $\pi \tau \omega \chi$ orc (note absence of the article, poor people), real beggars, mendicants (Mt 19:21; Lu 14:13 ). But only John singles out Judas as the one who made the protest against this waste of money while Mark says that "some" had indignation and Matthew has it that "the disciples" had indignation. Clearly Judas was the spokesman for the group who chimed in and agreed with his protest. The amount here spent by Mary (ten guineas) would equal a day labourer's wages for a year (Dods).

John 12:6
Not because he cared for the poor (ov o $\tau \pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \omega \nu \pi \tau \omega \chi \omega \nu \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega$ ). Literally, "not because it was a care to him concerning the poor" (impersonal imperfect of $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$, it was a care). John often makes explanatory comments of this kind as in 2:21f.; 7:22,39.

But because he was a thief ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$ o $\tau \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma \eta \nu$ ). Clearly the disciples did not know then that Judas was a petty thief. That knowledge came later after he took the bribe of thirty pieces of silver for betraying Jesus (Mt 26:15 ), for the disciples did not suspect Judas of treachery (13:28f. ), let alone small peculations. There is no reason for thinking that John is unfair to Judas. "Temptation commonly comes through that for which we are naturally fitted" (Westcott). In this case Judas himself was "the poor beggar" who wanted this money.

And having the bag took away what was put therein (ка то $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma о к о \mu о \nu \varepsilon \chi \omega \nu \tau \alpha$ $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda о \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \zeta \varepsilon v)$. This is the correct text. This compound for the earlier $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma о к о \mu \varepsilon ו \sigma v$ (from $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \alpha$, tongue, and $\kappa о \mu \varepsilon \omega$, to tend) was originally a receptacle for the tongues or mouth-pieces of wind instruments. The shorter form is already in the Doric inscriptions and is common in the papyri for "money-box" as here. It occurs also in Josephus, Plutarch, etc. In N.T. only here and 13:29 in same sense about Judas. $\mathrm{B} \alpha \lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathrm{va}$ is present passive
participle (repeatedly put in) of $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to cast or fling. The imperfect active (custom) of $\beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb to pick up (Joh 10:31), to carry (19:17), but here and 20:15 with the sense to bear away as in Polybius, Josephus, Diogenes Laertes, and often so in the papyri.

John 12:7
Suffer her to keep it against the day of my burying ( $\mathrm{A} \varphi \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \eta \nu, \tau v \alpha \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu$
 first aorist active subjunctive of $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$ ) rather than that of the Textus Receptus (just $\tau \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \nu$, perfect active indicative) is correct. It is supported by Aleph B D L W Theta. The wa can be rendered as above after $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \varsigma$ according to Koine idiom or more probably: "Let her alone: it was that," etc. (supplying "it was"). Either makes good sense. The word $\varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha \varphi \iota \propto \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma$ is a later and rare substantive from the late verb $\varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha \varphi \iota \omega \zeta \omega$, to prepare for burial (Mt 26:12; Joh 19:40), and means preparation for burial. In N.T. only here and Mr 14:8. "Preparation for my burial" is the idea here and in Mark. The idea of Jesus is that Mary had saved this money to use in preparing his body for burial. She is giving him the flowers before the funeral. We can hardly take it that Mary did not use all of the ointment for Mark (Mr 14:3) says that she broke it and yet he adds (Mr 14:8) what John has here. It is a paradox, but Jesus is fond of paradoxes. Mary has kept this precious gift by giving it now beforehand as a preparation for my burial. We really keep what we give to Christ. This is Mary's glory that she had some glimmering comprehension of Christ's death which none of the disciples possessed.

John 12:8
Ye have always ( $\pi \alpha v \tau 0 \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon)$. Jesus does not discredit gifts to the poor at all. But there is relativity in one's duties.

But me ye have not always ( $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon$ ov $\pi \alpha v \tau \circ \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). This is what Mary perceived with her delicate woman's intuition and what the apostles failed to understand though repeatedly and plainly told by Jesus. John does not mention the precious promise of praise for Mary preserved in Mr 14:9; Mt 26:13 , but he does show her keen sympathetic insight and Christ's genuine appreciation of her noble deed. It is curiously $\mu \alpha \lambda-\alpha-\pi \rho о \pi о \varsigma$ surely to put alongside this incident the other incident told long before by Luke (Lu 7:35ff.) of the sinful woman. Let Mary alone in her glorious act of love.

John 12:9
The common people ( o o $\lambda \lambda \mathrm{o} \varsigma \pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \cup \varsigma$ ). This is the right reading with the article o , literally, "the people much or in large numbers." One is reminded of the French idiom. Gildersleeve (Syntax, p. 284) gives a few rare examples of the idiom o $\alpha v \eta \rho \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ oc. Westcott
 in the N.T. rather than $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \mathrm{v}$ с ox $\mathrm{\lambda os}$ (Robertson, Grammar, p. 774). Mark (Mr 12:37 ) has
 misplacement of the article." John's use of ox $\lambda$ os is usually the common crowd as "riff-raff."

That he was (ot $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). Present active indicative retained in indirect discourse after the secondary tense ( $\varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega$, second aorist active indicative of $\gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ ). These "Jews" are not all hostile to Jesus as in 5:10; 6:41, etc., but included some who were friendly (verse 11).

But that they might see Lazarus also ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ 'v $\alpha$ ка тov $\Lambda \alpha \zeta \alpha \rho o v ~ \iota \delta \omega \sigma ı v$ ). Purpose clause with iva and second aorist active subjunctive of opaw. Motive enough to gather a great crowd, to see one raised from the dead (cf. verse 1 for the same phrase, "whom he had raised from the dead"). Some of the very witnesses of the raising of Lazarus will bear witness later (verse 17). It was a tense situation.

John 12:10
The chief priests took counsel ( $\varepsilon$ ßои $\overline{\varepsilon v \sigma \alpha v \tau 0 ~ o ~} \alpha \rho \chi เ \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. First aorist middle indicative of $\beta$ ou $\varepsilon \varepsilon v \omega$, old verb, seen already in 11:53 which see. The whole Sanhedrin ( 7:32) had decided to put Jesus to death and had asked for information concerning him ( 11:57) that might lead to his arrest, but the Sadducees were specially active now to accomplish the death of Lazarus also (ıva with first aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ v \omega$ as in 11:53). Perhaps they argued that, if they should kill both Jesus and Lazarus, then Lazarus would remain dead. The raising of Lazarus has brought matters to a crisis. Incidentally, it may be observed that here we may see the reason why the Synoptics do not tell the story of the raising of Lazarus, if he was still living (cf. the case of Malchus's name in Joh 18:10 ).

John 12:11
Because that (o $\tau$ ). Causal use of ot.
By reason of him ( $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ autov). "Because of him," regular idiom, accusative case with $\delta \iota \alpha$.
Went away ( $v \pi \eta \gamma \circ v$ ). Cf. 6:67 for this verb. Inchoative imperfect active of $v \pi \alpha \gamma \omega$, "began to withdraw" as happened at the time of the raising of Lazarus (11:45f. ) and the secession was still going on.

And believed on Jesus ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o v \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ I \eta \sigma o u v) . ~ I m p e r f e c t ~ a c t i v e ~ o f ~ \pi ı \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega ~(n o t e ~$ aorist in 11:45). There was danger of a mass movement of the people to Jesus.

John 12:12
On the morrow ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \pi \alpha u \rho \circ o v$ ). Locative case. Supply $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ (day) after the adverb etaupiov ("on the tomorrow day"). That is on our Sunday, Palm Sunday.

A great multitude (o o $\chi \lambda$ ос $\pi$ о $\lambda \nu \varsigma$ ). Same idiom rendered "the common people" in verse 9 and should be so translated here.

That had come (o $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega v$ ). Second aorist active participle, masculine singular of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ agreeing with ox $\lambda$ os, "that came."

When they heard ( $\alpha \kappa о \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist active masculine plural participle of $\alpha \kappa о \omega \omega$, construction according to sense (plural, though ox $\lambda$ os singular).

Was coming ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Present middle indicative of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ retained in indirect discourse after a secondary tense. It is a vivid picture. What they heard was: "Jesus is coming into Jerusalem." He is defying the Sanhedrin with all their public advertisement for him.

## John 12:13

Took ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o v)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$.
The branches of the palm-trees ( $\tau \alpha \beta \alpha \iota \alpha \tau \omega \nu \varphi \circ \iota \nu \iota \kappa \omega \nu$ ). Фoıvı is an old word for palm-tree (Re 7:9 for the branches) and in Ac 27:12 the name of a city. Baoov is apparently a word of Egyptian origin, palm branches, here only in N.T., but in the papyri and I Macc. 13:51. Here we have "the palm branches of the palm-trees." The use in 1 Macc. 13:51 (cf. II Macc. 10:7) is in the account of Simon's triumphal entry into Jerusalem. Bernard notes that to carry palms was a mark of triumphant homage to a victor or a king (Re 7:9). Palm-trees grew on the Mount of Olives (Mr 11:8) on the road from Bethany to Jerusalem. The crowds (one in front and one behind, Mr 11:9; Mt 21:9; Joh 2:18) cut the branches as they came (Mt 21:8).

To meet him ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ v \pi \alpha v \tau \eta \sigma เ v \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). Literally,
for a meeting ( $\cup \pi \alpha \nu \tau \eta \sigma \varsigma$, late word from the verb v $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \omega$, Mt 8:28; Joh 11:20,30; 12:18, in the papyri, but only here in the N.T.) with him" ( $\alpha v \tau \omega$, associative instrumental case after $v \pi \alpha v \tau \eta \sigma \iota v$ as after the verb in verse 18). It was a scene of growing excitement.

And cried out ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon к \rho \alpha \cup \gamma \alpha \zeta$ оv). Imperfect active of краvүа $\zeta \omega$, old and rare verb (from краиүๆ) as in Mt 12:19; Joh 19:15 .

Hosannah (H $\omega \sigma \alpha v v \alpha$ ). Transliteration of the Hebrew word meaning "Save now." The LXX renders it by $\Sigma \omega \sigma 0 v \delta \eta$ (Save now).

Blessed is he that cometh in the name of the Lord ( $\varepsilon v \lambda o \gamma \eta \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ o $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \varepsilon v$ ovoبaт кvpıov). Perfect passive participle of $\varepsilon v \lambda o \gamma \varepsilon \omega$. Quotation from Ps 118:25f. , written, some think, for the dedication of the second temple, or, as others think, for the feast of tabernacles after the return (Ezr 3:1f. ). It was sung in the processional recitation then as a welcome to the worshippers. Here the words are addressed to the Messiah as is made plain by the addition of the words, "even the king of Israel" ( $\kappa \alpha$ о $\beta$ абı $\lambda \varepsilon \cup \varsigma$ тov Iбрап $\lambda$ ) as Nathanael called him ( 1:49). Jesus is here hailed by the multitudes as the long-looked for Messiah of Jewish hope and he allows them so to greet him ( Lu 19:38-40) , a thing that he prevented a year before in Galilee (Joh 6:14f. ). It is probable that "in the name of the Lord" should be taken with "blessed" as in De 21:5; 2Sa 6:18; 1Ki 22:16; 2Ki 2:24. The Messiah was recognized by Martha as the Coming One (Joh 11:27) and is so described by the Baptist (Mt 11:3). Mark (Mr 11:10) adds "the kingdom that cometh" while Luke ( 19:38) has "the king that cometh." "It was this public acclamation of Jesus as King of Israel or King of the Jews which was the foundation of the charge made against him before Pilate ( 18:33)" (Bernard).

John 12:14
Found ( $\varepsilon v \rho \omega v$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\varepsilon v \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$. Through the disciples, of course, as in Mr 11:2-6 (Mt 21:2-3,6; Lu 19:30f. ).

A young ass (ovapıov). Late diminutive of ovoc, in Epictetus and the papyri (even the double diminitive, ovapıסıov), only here in the N.T. See discussion of Mt 21:5 where ка has
been wrongly rendered "and" instead of "even." Rightly understood Matthew has Jesus riding only the colt like the rest.

John 12:15
Daughter of Zion ( $\theta v \gamma \alpha \tau \eta \rho \Sigma i \omega v)$. Nominative form (instead of $\theta v \gamma a \tau \varepsilon \rho$ ) but vocative case. The quotation is from Zec 9:9 shortened.

Thy King cometh (o $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \cup \varsigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Prophetic futuristic present. The ass was the animal ridden in peace as the horse was in war (Jud 10:4; 12:14; 2Sa 17:23; 19:26). Zechariah pictures one coming in peace. So the people here regarded Jesus as the Prince of Peace in the triumphal entry.

Sitting on an ass's colt ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \pi \omega \lambda o v$ ovov). Matthew (Mt 21:6f.) does speak of both the ass and the colt having garments put on them, but he does not say that Jesus "sat upon" both animals at once, for $\varepsilon \pi \alpha v \omega$ avt $\omega v$ (upon them) probably refers to the garments, not to the colts. When John wrote (end of the century), Jerusalem had fallen. Jesus will lament over Jerusalem (Lu 19:41ff. ). So "Fear not" ( $\mu \eta$ 甲оßov).

John 12:16
Understood not (ouk $\varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \alpha v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\gamma \mid \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. Another comment by John concerning the failure of the disciples to know what was happening (cf. 2:22; 7:39).

At the first ( $\tau \mathrm{m} \pi \rho \omega \tau 0 v$ ). Adverbial accusative, as in 10:40; 19:39 .
Was glorified ( $\varepsilon \delta_{0} \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\delta 0 \xi \alpha \zeta \omega$, to glorify, used of his death already in 7:39 and by Jesus himself of his death, resurrection, and ascension in 12:23; 13:31.

Then remembered they ( $\tau \circ \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\mu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$. It was easier to understand then and they had the Holy Spirit to help them ( 16:13-15).

Were written of him ( $\eta \nu \varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \alpha \cup \tau \omega \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Periphrastic past perfect passive of $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$ with neuter plural participle agreeing with $\tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ (these things) and singular verb, though the plural $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ could have been used. Note the threefold repetition of tav $\alpha \alpha$ in this verse, "clumsy" Bernard calls it, but making for clarity. The use of $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime}$ avt $\omega$ for "of him" rather than $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ autou is unusual, but occurs in Re 10:11; 22:16.

They had done ( $\varepsilon \pi \sigma \sqcap \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\pi o เ \varepsilon \omega$, simply, "they did."
John 12:17
Bare witness ( $\varepsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \omega$. This crowning triumph of Jesus gave an added sense of importance to the crowds that were actually with Jesus when he called Lazarus out of the tomb and raised him from the dead. For this description of this portion of the crowd see 11:45f.; 12:1,9-11 .

John 12:18

The multitude (o ox $\lambda \mathrm{oc}$ ). The multitude of verse 13, not the crowd just mentioned that had been with Jesus at the raising of Lazarus. There were two crowds (one following Jesus, one meeting Jesus as here).

Went and met him ( $\cup \pi \eta \nu \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \alpha \nu \tau \omega$ ). First aorist active indicative of $v \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \omega$, old compound verb ( $\cup \pi 0, \alpha v \tau \alpha \omega$ ) to go to meet, with associative instrumental case $\alpha v \tau \omega$. Cf. Joh 4:51 .

That he had done this sign ( $\tau о \cup \tau о ~ \alpha \cup \tau о \nu ~ \pi \varepsilon \pi о п \eta к \varepsilon v \alpha ~ \tau о ~ \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota o v) . ~ P e r f e c t ~ a c t i v e ~ i n f i n-~$ itive in indirect discourse after $\eta \kappa о \cup \sigma \alpha \nu$ (first aorist active indicative of $\alpha \kappa о \nu \omega$, to hear) (instead of a o $\tau$ clause) with the accusative of general reference avtov (as to him) and another accusative ( $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon ו o v$, sign) the object of the infinitive. Clearly there was much talk about the raising of Lazarus as the final proof that Jesus in truth is the Messiah of Jewish hope.

John 12:19
The Pharisees therefore laid among themselves (o ouv Фарıбаıо $\varepsilon ı \pi \alpha \nu \pi \rho о \varsigma \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau о \cup \varsigma) . ~$ Graphic picture of the predicament of the Pharisees standing off and watching the enthusiastic crowds sweep by. As people usually do, they blame each other for the defeat of their plots against Jesus and for his final victory, as it seemed.

Behold how ye prevail nothing ( $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ от оuk $\omega \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ ou $\delta \varepsilon v$ ). It was a pathetic confession of failure because the rest of the plotters had bungled the whole thing. "Ye help nothing at all" by your plots and plans.

Lo, the world is gone after him ( $\left(\delta \varepsilon\right.$ о коб $\mu \circ \varsigma$ о $\tau \sigma \omega$ av $\frac{10 v}{} \alpha \pi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Exclamatory use of $\iota \delta \varepsilon$ and timeless aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. The "world" is a bunch of fools, they feel, but see for yourselves. And the Sanhedrin had advertised to "find" Jesus! They can find him now!

John 12:20
 Ac 6:1 ), but Greeks like those in Antioch (Ac 11:20, correct text $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ tovৎ $\mathrm{H} \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \alpha \varsigma)$ to whom Barnabas was sent. These were probably proselytes of the gate or God-fearers like those worshipping Greeks in Thessalonica whom Paul won to Christ (Ac 17:4).

To worship at the feast ( $v \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \nu v \eta \sigma \omega \sigma v \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \eta \varepsilon о \rho \tau \eta$ ). Purpose clause with $ı v \alpha$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \nu v \varepsilon \omega$, old and common verb to kiss the hand in reverence, to bow the knee in reverence and worship. We do not know whence they came, whether from Decapolis, Galilee, or further away. They found the pilgrims and the city ringing with talk about Jesus. They may even have witnessed the triumphal entry.

John 12:21
To Philip which was of Bethsaida of Galilee ( $\left.\Phi \downarrow \lambda \iota \pi \pi \omega \tau \omega \alpha \pi 0 B \eta \theta \sigma \alpha \iota \delta \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \Gamma \alpha \lambda_{\imath} \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha \varsigma\right)$. He had a Greek name and the Greeks may have seen Philip in Galilee where there were many Greeks, probably (Mr 6:45) the Western Bethsaida in Galilee, not Bethsaida Julias on the Eastern side (Lu 9:10).

Asked ( $\eta \rho \omega \tau \omega v$ ). Imperfect active, probably inchoative, "began to ask," in contrast with the aorist tense just before ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \lambda \theta a v$, came to).

Sir (Kvpıs). Most respectfully and courteously.
We would see Jesus ( $\theta \varepsilon \lambda$ о $\quad \mu \varepsilon v$ tov I $\eta \sigma o u v ~ เ \delta \varepsilon ı v)$. "We desire to see Jesus." This is not abrupt like our "we wish" or "we want," but perfectly polite. However, they could easily "see" Jesus, had already done so, no doubt. They wish an interview with Jesus.

John 12:22
Andrew ( $\tau \omega \mathrm{A} v \delta \rho \varepsilon \alpha$ ). Another apostle with a Greek name and associated with Philip again (Joh 6:7f. ), the man who first brought his brother Simon to Jesus ( 1:41). Andrew was clearly a man of wisdom for a crisis. Note the vivid dramatic presents here,
cometh ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ),
telleth ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ ). What was the crisis? These Greeks wish an interview with Jesus. True Jesus had said something about "other sheep" than Jews ( 10:16), but he had not explained. Philip and Andrew wrestle with the problem that will puzzle Peter on the housetop in Joppa (Ac 10:9-18 ), that middle wall of partition between Jew and Gentile that was only broken down by the Cross of Christ (Eph 2:11-22) and that many Christians and Jews still set up between each other. Andrew has no solution for Philip and they bring the problem, but not the Greeks, to Jesus.

John 12:23
The hour is come ( $\varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta \varepsilon v \eta \omega \rho \alpha$ ). The predestined hour, seen from the start ( 2:4), mentioned by John ( $7: 30 ; 8: 20$ ) as not yet come and later as known by Jesus as come ( $13: 1$ ), twice again used by Jesus as already come (in the prayer of Jesus, 17:1; Mr 14:41, just before the betrayal in the Garden). The request from the Greeks for this interview stirs the heart of Jesus to its depths.

That the Son of man should be glorified (ıva $\delta \circ \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \eta$ o vioc tov $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \tau \pi v$ ). Purpose clause with tva (not in the sense of ote, when) and the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\delta о \xi \alpha \zeta \omega$, same sense as in 12:16, 13:31. The Cross must come before Greeks can really come to Jesus with understanding. But this request shows that interest in Jesus now extends beyond the Jewish circles.

John 12:24
Except ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta$ ). Negative condition of third class (undetermined, supposable case) with second aorist active participle $\pi \varepsilon \sigma \omega \nu$ (from $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, to fall) and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \pi 0 \theta v \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$, to die.

A grain of wheat (о коккоц tov бוтоv). Rather, "the grain of wheat."
By itself alone ( $\alpha \cup \tau 0 \varsigma \mu \circ v o \varsigma)$. Both predicate nominatives after $\mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon$. It is not necessary to think (nor likely) that Jesus has in mind the Eleusinian mysteries which became a symbol of the mystery of spring. Paul in 1Co 15:36 uses the same illustration of the resurrection that Jesus does here. Jesus shows here the paradox that life comes through death. Whether
the Greeks heard him or not we do not know. If so, they heard something not in Greek philosophy, the Christian ideal of sacrifice, "and this was foreign to the philosophy of Greece" (Bernard). Jesus had already spoken of himself as the bread of life ( 6:35-65).

But if it die ( $\varepsilon \alpha \sim \delta \varepsilon \alpha \pi \circ \theta a v \eta$ ). Parallel condition of the third class. Grains of wheat have been found in Egyptian tombs three or four thousand years old, but they are now dead. They bore no fruit.

John 12:25
Loseth it ( $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \nu \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \eta v$ ). The second paradox. Present active indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda v \omega$. This great saying was spoken at various times as in Mr 8:35 (Mt 16:25; Lu 9:24) and Mr 10:39 ( Lu 17:33 ). See those passages for discussion of $\psi v \times \eta$ (life or soul). For "he that hateth his life" (o $\mu \sigma \omega \omega \tau \eta \nu \psi v \chi \eta \nu$ avtov) see the sharp contrasts in Luke 14:26-35 where $\mu \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \omega$ is used of father, mother, wife, children, brothers, sisters, as well as one's own life. Clearly $\mu \tau \sigma \varepsilon \omega$ means "hate" when the issue is between Christ and the dearest things of life as happens when the choice is between martyrdom and apostasy. In that case one keeps his soul for eternal life by losing his life ( $\psi \cup \chi \eta$, each time) here. That is the way to "guard" ( $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \xi \varepsilon$ ) life by being true to Christ. This is the second paradox to show Christ's philosophy of life.

John 12:26
If any man serve me ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \varepsilon \mu \circ \tau \iota \varsigma \delta \iota \alpha \kappa о \vee \eta)$. Condition of third class again ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ with present active subjunctive of $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa о v \varepsilon \omega$, keep on serving with dative $\varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o}$ ).

Let him follow me ( $\varepsilon \mu \circ$ ако $о \boldsymbol{\sigma} \theta \varepsilon \iota \tau \omega$ ). "Me (associative instrumental case) let him keep on following" (present active imperative of $\alpha \kappa о \lambda о v \theta \varepsilon \omega)$.

Where ... there ( $о \pi о \cup$... $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon$ ). In presence and spiritual companionship here and hereafter. Cf. 14:3; 17:24; Mt 28:20 .

Shall honour ( $\tau \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Future active of $\tau \mu \mu \alpha \omega$, but it may be the kind of honour that Jesus will get (verse 23).

John 12:27
My soul ( $\eta \psi v \chi \eta \mu \circ v$ ). The soul ( $\psi v \chi \eta$ ) here is synonymous with spirit ( $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha)$ in 13:21.

Is troubled ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \tau \alpha)$. Perfect passive indicative of $\tau \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, used also in 11:33; 13:21 of Jesus. While John proves the deity of Jesus in his Gospel, he assumes throughout his real humanity as here (cf. 4:6). The language is an echo of that in Ps 6:4; 42:7. John does not give the agony in Gethsemane which the Synoptics have (Mr 14:35f.; Mt 26:39; Lu 22:42 ), but it is quite beside the mark to suggest, as Bernard does, that the account here is John's version of the Gethsemane experience. Why do some critics feel called upon to level down to a dead plane every variety of experience in Christ's life?

And what shall I say? ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon ו \pi \omega ;$ ). Deliberative subjunctive which expresses vividly "a genuine, if momentary indecision" (Bernard). The request of the Greeks called up graphically to Jesus the nearness of the Cross.

Father, save me from this hour ( $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho, \sigma \omega \sigma \sigma v \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \omega \rho \alpha \varsigma \tau \alpha \nu \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Jesus began his prayers with "Father" ( 11:41). Dods thinks that this should be a question also. Westcott draws a distinction between $\varepsilon \kappa$ (out of) and $\alpha \pi 0$ (from) to show that Jesus does not pray to draw back from the hour, but only to come safely out of it all and so interprets $\varepsilon \kappa$ in Heb 5:7, but that distinction will not stand, for in Joh 1:44 $\varepsilon \kappa$ and $\alpha \pi 0$ are used in the same sense and in the Synoptics (Mr 14:35f.; Mt 26:39; Lu 52:42 ) we have $\alpha \pi 0$. If it holds here, we lose the point there. Here as in Gethsemane the soul of Jesus instinctively and naturally shrinks from the Cross, but he instantly surrenders to the will of God in both experiences.

But for this cause came I unto this hour ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \delta \iota \alpha$ тоט $\tau \circ \eta \lambda \theta$ ov $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \omega \rho \alpha \nu \tau \alpha v \tau \eta \nu$ ). It was only a moment of human weakness as in Gethsemane that quickly passed. Thus understood the language has its natural meaning.

John 12:28
Father, glorify thy name ( $\left.\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho, \delta_{0} \xi \alpha \sigma o v ~ \sigma o v ~ \tau o ~ o v o \mu \alpha\right)$. First aorist (note of urgency) active imperative of $\delta o \xi \alpha \zeta \omega$ and in the sense of his death already in verses 16,23 and again in 13:31; 17:5. This is the prayer of the $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ (or $\psi v \chi \eta$ ) as opposed to that of the $\sigma \alpha \rho \xi$ (flesh) in verse 27. The "name" (ovo $\mu \alpha)$ of God expresses the character of God (1:12; 5:43; 17:11 ). Cf. Mt 6:9 .

A voice out of heaven ( $\varphi \omega v \eta \varepsilon \kappa$ tov oupavou). This was the Father's answer to the prayer of Jesus for help. See already the Father's voice at the baptism of Jesus (Mr 1:11) and at the transfiguration (Mr 9:7). The rabbis called the audible voice of God bath-qol (the daughter of a voice).

I have both glorified it and will glorify it again ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \delta о \xi \alpha \sigma \alpha \kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \delta о \xi \alpha \sigma \omega$ ). This definite assurance from the Father will nerve the soul of Jesus for the coming ordeal. Cf. 11:40 for $\varepsilon \delta 0 \xi \alpha \sigma \alpha$ and 13:31; 17:5 for $\delta 0 \xi \alpha \sigma \omega$.

John 12:29
That it had thundered ( $\beta \rho \circ v \tau \eta \nu \gamma \varepsilon \gamma o v \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Perfect active infinitive of $\gamma ı \nu o \mu \alpha$ in indirect discourse after $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \nu$ and the accusative of general reference ( $\beta \rho \circ \nu \tau \eta \nu$, thunder, as in Mr 3:17), "that thunder came to pass." So the crowd "standing by" ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \varsigma$, second perfect active participle of $t \sigma \tau \eta \mu)$, but Jesus understood his Father's voice.

An angel hath spoken to him ( $A \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \omega \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$. So, when Jesus spoke to Saul on the way to Damascus, those with Saul heard the voice, but did not understand (Ac 9:7; 22:9).

John 12:30
Not for my sake, but for your sakes (ov $\delta \iota^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon, \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} v \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). These words seem to contradict verses 28,29 . Bernard suggests an interpolation into the words of Jesus. But why not take it to be the figure of exaggerated contrast, "not merely for my sake, but also for yours"?

John 12:31

The judgement (крıбıऽ). No article, "A judgement." The next few days will test this world.

The prince of this world (o $\alpha \rho \chi \omega v$ tov коб $\mu \circ v$ tov $\tau 0 v$ ). This phrase here, descriptive of Satan as in possession of the evil world, occurs again in 14:30; 16:11. In the temptations Satan claims power over the world and offers to share it with Jesus (Mt 4:8-10; Lu 4:5-8). Jesus did not deny Satan's power then, but here proclaims final victory over him.

Shall be cast out ( $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \lambda \eta \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \varepsilon \xi \omega)$. Future passive of $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$. Note $\varepsilon \xi \omega$, clean out. The Book of Revelation also proclaims final victory over Satan.

John 12:32
And I, if I be lifted from the earth ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \omega \alpha v v \psi \omega \theta \omega \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. Note proleptic position of $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ (I). Condition of third class (undetermined with prospect) with $\alpha v$ (= $=\alpha v$ here) with first aorist passive subjunctive of $v \psi o \omega$, the verb used in 3:14 of the brazen serpent and of the Cross of Christ as here and also in $8: 28$. Westcott again presses $\varepsilon \kappa$ instead of $\alpha \pi 0$ to make it refer to the ascension rather than to the Cross, a wrong interpretation surely.

Will draw all men unto myself ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \kappa \nu \sigma \omega \pi \rho о \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \alpha \nu \tau о v$ ). Future active of $\varepsilon \lambda \kappa \nu \omega$, late form of $\varepsilon \lambda \kappa \omega$, to draw, to attract. Jesus had already used this verb of the Father's drawing power ( $6: 44$ ). The magnetism of the Cross is now known of all men, however little they understand the mystery of the Cross. By "all men" ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \varsigma)$ Jesus does not mean every individual man, for some, as Simeon said (Lu 2:34) are repelled by Christ, but this is the way that Greeks (verse 22) can and will come to Christ, by the way of the Cross, the only way to the Father ( 14:6).

John 12:33
Signifying ( $\sigma \eta \mu \alpha \iota v \omega v$ ). Present active participle of $\sigma \varepsilon \mu \alpha \iota v \omega$, old verb to give a sign ( $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon ו \circ v$ ) as in Ac 25:27, and the whole phrase repeated in 18:32 and nearly so in 21:19. The indirect question here and in 18:32 has the imperfect $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v$ with present infinitive rather than the usual present $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$ retained while in 21:19 the future indicative $\delta o \xi \alpha \sigma \varepsilon$ occurs according to rule. The point in $\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \omega$ (qualitative relative in the instrumental case with Өavat $\omega$ ) is the Cross (lifted up) as the kind of death before Christ.

John 12:34
Out of the law ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ tov voноv). That is, "out of the Scriptures" (10:34; 15:25).
The Christ abideth forever (o Xpıбтоৎ $\mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \varepsilon ء \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ a \iota \omega v \alpha$ ). Timeless present active indicative of $\mu \varepsilon v \omega$, to abide, remain. Perhaps from Ps $89: 4 ; 110: 4$; Isa 9:7; Eze 37:25; Da 7:14

How sayest thou? ( $\pi \omega \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \sigma\rangle$ ). In opposition to the law (Scripture).
The Son of man ( $\tau 0 v$ vıov $\tau 0 v ~ \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ ). Accusative case of general reference with the infinitive $v \psi \omega \theta \eta v \alpha$ (first aorist passive of $\nu \psi o \omega$ and taken in the sense of death by the cross as Jesus used it in verse 32). Clearly the crowd understand Jesus to be "the Son of man" and take the phrase to be equivalent to "the Christ." This is the obvious way to understand
the two terms in their reply, and not, as Bernard suggests, that they saw no connexion between "the Christ" (the Messiah) and "the Son of man." The use of "this" (ovtos) in the question that follows is in contrast to verse 32. The Messiah (the Son of man) abides forever and is not to be crucified as you say he "must" ( $\delta \varepsilon$ ) be.

John 12:35
Yet a little while is the light among you ( $\varepsilon \tau \mu \kappa \rho \circ v \chi \rho \circ v o v \tau 0 ~ \varphi \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \nu \mu \nu v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \tau v$ ). X $\rho$ ovov is the accusative of extent of time. Jesus does not argue the point of theology with the crowd who would not understand. He turns to the metaphor used before when he claimed to be the light of the world ( 8:12) and urges that they take advantage of their privilege "while ye have the light" ( $\omega \varsigma$ то $\varphi \omega \varsigma$ exะ $\tau \varepsilon$ ).

That darkness overtake you not (ıva $\mu \eta \sigma \kappa о \tau \iota \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \eta$ ). Purpose (negative) with $t v \alpha \mu \eta$ and second aorist active subjunctive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$. See this verb in 1:5. In 1Th 5:4 this verb occurs with $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ (day) overtaking one like a thief.

Knoweth not whither he goeth (ovк ot $\delta \varepsilon v \pi$ поv vпa $\gamma \varepsilon$ ). See 11:10 for this idea and the same language in 1Jo 2:11. The ancients did not have our electric street lights. The dark streets were a terror to travellers.

John 12:36
Believe in the light ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon เ \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ \varphi \omega \varsigma) . ~ T h a t ~ i s, ~ " b e l i e v e ~ i n ~ m e ~ a s ~ t h e ~ M e s s i a h " ~(8: 12 ; ~$ 9:5).

That ye may become sons of light (ıva vio $\varphi \omega \tau \tau \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Purpose clause with $v \sim \alpha$ and second aorist subject of $\gamma \iota v o \mu \alpha$, to become. They were not "sons of light," a Hebrew idiom (cf. 17:12; Lu 16:8 with the contrast), an idiom used by Paul in 1Th 5:5; Eph 5:8 . It is equivalent to "enlightened men" (Bernard) and Jesus called his disciples the light of the world (Mt 5:14).

Hid himself from them ( $\varepsilon \kappa \rho \cup \beta \eta \alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Second aorist passive indicative of $\kappa \rho \cup \pi \tau \omega$, late form (in LXX) for old eкрvчๆ, "was hidden from them," as in 8:59. This part of verse 36 begins a new paragraph.

John 12:37
 $\varepsilon \mu \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \alpha \nu \tau \omega v)$. Genitive absolute with perfect active participle in concessive sense of $\pi о \varepsilon \omega$.

Yet they believed not on him (ouk عтıбтвvov \&ic autov). No "yet" in the Greek. Negative imperfect active of $\pi 丿 \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \omega$, "they kept on not believing on him," stubborn refusal in face of the light (verse 35).

John 12:38
That might be fulfilled (ıva $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \eta$ ). It is usually assumed that $t v \alpha$ here with the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$ has its full telic force. That is probable as God's design, but it is by no means certain since $\mathrm{v} \alpha$ a is used in the N.T. with the idea of result, just as $u t$
in Latin is either purpose or result, as in Joh 6:7; 9:2; 1Th 5:4; Ga 5:17; Ro 11:11 (Robertson, Grammar, p. 998). Paul in Ro 10:16 quotes Isa 53:1 as John does here but without ıva. See Ro 10:16 for discussion of the quotation. The next verse adds strength to the idea of design.

John 12:39
 seems to have a double reference (to what precedes and to what follows) as in $8: 47$. The negative imperfect (double augment, $\varepsilon \delta v v a v \tau o$ ) of $\delta v v a \mu \alpha$. John is not absolving these Jews from moral responsibility, but only showing that the words of Isaiah "had to be fulfilled, for they were the expression of Divine foreknowledge " (Bernard).

John 12:40
He hath blinded ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau \cup \varphi \lambda \omega \kappa \varepsilon \nu$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\tau \cup \varphi \lambda \sigma \omega$, old causative verb to make blind (from $\tau \cup \varphi \lambda o \varsigma$, blind), in N.T. only here, 2Co 4:4; 1Jo 2:11.

He hardened ( $\varepsilon \pi \omega \rho \omega \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\pi \omega \rho o \omega$, a late causative verb (from $\pi \omega \rho \circ \varsigma$, hard skin), seen already in $\operatorname{Mr}$ 6:52, etc. This quotation is from Isa 6:10 and differs from the LXX.

Lest they should see ( $\imath v \alpha \mu \eta \iota \omega \sigma \iota v)$. Negative purpose clause with $\imath v \alpha \mu \eta$ instead of $\mu \eta \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ (never used by John) of the LXX. Matthew (Mt 13:15) has $\mu \eta \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ and quotes Jesus as using the passage as do Mark (Mr 4:12) and Luke (Lu 8:10). Paul quotes it again (Ac 28:26 ) to the Jews in Rome. In each instance the words of Isaiah are interpreted as forecasting the doom of the Jews for rejecting the Messiah. Matthew (Mt 13:15 ) has $\sigma v v \omega \sigma \iota v$ where John has voך $\sigma \omega \sigma \iota v$ (perceive), and both change from the subjunctive to the future ( $\kappa \alpha$ $\iota \alpha \sigma O \mu \alpha$ ), "And I should heal them." John has here $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ (second aorist passive subjunctive of $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$ ) while Matthew reads $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ (first aorist active of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$ ).

John 12:41
Because he saw his glory (o $\tau \varepsilon \delta \delta \varepsilon \tau \eta \nu \delta o \xi \alpha v \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Correct reading here $o \tau$ (because), not ote (when). Isaiah with spiritual vision saw the glory of the Messiah and spoke ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ) of him, John says, whatever modern critics may think or say. So Jesus said that Abraham saw his day ( 8:56). Cf. Heb 11:13.

John 12:42
Nevertheless even ( $о \omega \omega \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \tau \sigma \kappa \alpha$ ). For the old $о \mu \omega \varsigma$ see 1Co 14:7; Ga 3:15 (only other examples in N.T.), here only with $\mu \varepsilon \nu \tau о$, "but yet," and $\kappa \alpha$, "even." In spite of what has just been said "many ( $\pi \mathbf{\pi} \lambda \lambda 0$ ) even of the rulers" (recall the lonely shyness of Nicodemus in 3:1ff. ). These actually "believed on him" ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \alpha v \tau o v)$ in their convictions, a remarkable statement as to the effect that Christ had in Jerusalem as the Sanhedrin plotted his death. Cf. Nicodemus and Joseph of Arimathea.

But because of the Pharisees ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \delta \iota \alpha$ тоия Фарıб $\alpha \iota \circ \varsigma)$. Like the whispered talk in 7:13 "because of the fear of the Jews." Once the Pharisees sneeringly asked the officers ( 7:48):
"Hath any one of the rulers believed on him?" And now "many of the rulers have believed on him."

They did not confess (ovX $\omega \mu 0 \lambda$ opouv). Negative imperfect in contrast to the punctiliar aorist $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma a v$. "They kept on not confessing." How like the cowardly excuses made today by those under conviction who refuse to step out for Christ.

Lest they should be put out of the synagogue ( $1 v \alpha \mu \eta \alpha \pi о \sigma v v \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \sigma \gamma \varepsilon v \omega v \tau \alpha$ ). Cf. 9:22 where this very word occurs in a purpose clause like this. Only once more in the N.T. ( 16:2), a Jewish word not in profane authors. This ostracism from the synagogue was dreaded by the Jews and made cowards of these "believing elders."

More than ( $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v \eta \pi \varepsilon \rho$ ). They preferred the glory and praise of men more than the glory and praise of God. How a $\quad \rho \circ \pi \rho$, these words are to some suave cowards today.

John 12:44
Cried and said ( $\varepsilon \kappa \rho \alpha \xi \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\kappa \rho \alpha \zeta \omega$, to cry aloud, and second aorist active of defective verb $\varepsilon \rho \omega$, to say. This is probably a summary of what Jesus had already said as in verse 36 John closes the public ministry of Jesus without the Synoptic account of the last day in the temple on our Tuesday (Mr 11:27-12:44; Mt 21:23-23:39; Lu 20:1-21:4).

Not on me, but on him (ov $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon, \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau 0 v$ ). "Not on me only, but also on," another example of exaggerated contrast like that in verse 30 . The idea of Jesus here is a frequent one (believing on Jesus whom the Father has sent) as in 3:17f.; 5:23f.,30,43; 7:16; 8:42; 13:20; 14:1; Mt 10:40; Lu 9:48.

John 12:46
I am come a light ( $\mathrm{E} \gamma \omega \varphi \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta \alpha$ ). As in 3:19; 9:5; 8:12; 12:35. Final clause (negative) also here ( $v \sim \alpha \mu \eta \mu \varepsilon เ v \eta$, first aorist active subjunctive) as in 12:35. Light dispels darkness.

John 12:47
If any one ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \iota \varsigma)$. Third-class condition with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and first aorist active subjunctive ( $\alpha<0 \cup \sigma \eta$ ) of $\alpha \kappa о \nu \omega$ and same form ( $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \xi \eta$ ) of $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ with negative $\mu \eta$.

But to save the world ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \imath v \alpha \sigma \omega \sigma \omega \tau$ тov коб $\mu \circ v$ ). Purpose clause again (cf. $\imath \alpha \alpha \rho \imath v \omega$, just before) with iva and first aorist active of sozo. Exaggerated contrast again, "not so much to judge, but also to save." See 3:17 for same contrast. And yet Jesus does judge the world inevitably (8:15f.; 9:39), but his primary purpose is to save the world ( $3: 16$ ). See close of the Sermon on the Mount for the same insistence on hearing and keeping (obeying) the words of Jesus (Mt 7:24,26) and also Lu 11:28.

John 12:48
Rejecteth ( $\alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \omega v$ ). Present active participle of $\alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega$, late Koine verb (from $\alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau o \varsigma$, a privative, and $\tau(\theta \eta \mu)$, to render null and void, only here in John, but see Mr 6:26; 7:9 .

One that judgeth him ( $\tau 0 v$ кpivovia avtov). Articular present active participle of $\kappa \rho \iota v \omega$. See same idea in 5:45; 9:50 .

The same ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o \varsigma) . ~ " T h a t " ~ v e r y ~ w o r d ~ o f ~ C h r i s t ~ w h i c h ~ o n e ~ r e j e c t s ~ w i l l ~ c o n f r o n t ~ h i m ~$ and accuse him to the Father "at the last day" ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$, this phrase peculiar to John). There is no escaping it. And yet Jesus himself will bear witness for or against the one whose conduct has already revealed his attitude towards the message of God (Mt 10:32; Lu 12:8f. ).

John 12:49
He hath given ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative. Christ has permanent commission.
What I should say and what I should speak ( $\tau \varepsilon \iota \epsilon \omega \kappa \alpha \tau \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \omega$ ). Indirect question retaining the deliberative subjunctive (second aorist active $\varepsilon ו \pi \omega$, first aorist active $\lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \omega$ ). Meyer and Westcott take $\varepsilon ו \pi \omega$ to refer to the content and $\lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \omega$ more to the varying manner of delivery. Possibly so.

John 12:50
Life eternal ( $\zeta \omega \eta \alpha \iota \omega v / o \varsigma)$. See 3:15; Mt 25:46 for this great phrase. In 6:68 Peter says to Jesus, "Thou hast the words of eternal life." Jesus had just said ( 6:63) that his words were spirit and life. The secret lies in the source, "as the Father hath said to me" ( $\varepsilon\llcorner\rho \eta \kappa \varepsilon v)$.

## John 13

John 13:1
Now before the feast of the passover ( $\pi \rho o \delta \varepsilon \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon o \rho \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ \pi \alpha \sigma \chi \alpha$ ). Just before, John means, not twenty-four hours before, that is our Thursday evening (beginning of 15th of Nisan, sunset to sunset Jewish day), since Jesus was crucified on Friday 15th of Nisan. Hence Jesus ate the regular passover meal at the usual time. The whole feast, including the feast of unleavened bread, lasted eight days. For a discussion of the objections to this interpretation of John in connexion with the Synoptic Gospels one may consult my Harmony of the Gospels, pp. 279-84, and David Smith's In the Days of His Flesh, Appendix VIII. The passover feast began on the 15th Nisan at sunset, the passover lamb being slain the afternoon of 14th Nisan. There seems no real doubt that this meal in Joh 13:1-30 is the real passover meal described by the Synoptics also (Mr 14:18-21; Mt 26:21-25; Lu 22:21-23 ), followed by the institution of the Lord's Supper. Thus understood verse 1 here serves as an introduction to the great esoteric teaching of Christ to the apostles (Joh 13:2-17:26), called by Barnas Sears The Heart of Christ. This phrase goes with the principal verb $\eta \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ (loved).

Knowing ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \varsigma)$ ). Second perfect active participle, emphasizing the full consciousness of Christ. He was not stumbling into the dark as he faced "his hour" (autou $\eta \omega \rho \alpha$ ). See 18:4; 19:28 for other examples of the insight and foresight (Bernard) of Jesus concerning his death. See on 12:23 for use before by Jesus.

That he should depart ( $\imath v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \eta$ ). Sub-final use of $t v \alpha$ with second aorist active subjunctive of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \iota v \omega$, old word, to go from one place to another, here ( $5: 24 ; 1$ Jo 3:14 ) to go from this world ( 8:23) back to the Father from whom he had come (14:12,28; 16:10,28; 17:5).

His own which were in the world ( $\tau$ ouc $\iota \delta$ ove $\tau$ tou¢ $\varepsilon v \tau \omega$ коб $\mu \omega$ ). His own disciples ( $17: 6,9,11$ ), those left in the world when he goes to the Father, not the Jews as in 1:11. See Ac 4:23; 1Ti 5:8 for the idiom. John pictures here the outgoing of Christ's very heart's love (chs. Joh 13-17 ) towards these men whom he had chosen and whom he loved "unto the end" ( (ءા̧ $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \circ \varsigma)$ as in Mt 10:22; Lu 18:15, but here as in 1Th 2:16 rather "to the uttermost." The culmination of the crisis ("his hour") naturally drew out the fulness of Christ's love for them as is shown in these great chapters (Joh 13-17).

## John 13:2

During supper ( $\delta \varepsilon ı \pi v o v \gamma ı v o \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Correct text, present middle participle of $\gamma ı v o \mu \alpha$ (not $\gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v o v$, second aorist middle participle, "being ended") genitive absolute. Verse 4 shows plainly that the meal was still going on.

The devil having already put ( $\tau$ оט $\delta i \alpha \beta 0 \lambda o v \eta \delta \eta \beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \kappa о \tau о \varsigma)$. Another genitive absolute without a connective (asyndeton), perfect active participle of $\beta a \lambda \lambda \omega$, to cast, to put. Luke (Lu 22:3 ) says that Satan entered Judas when he offered to betray Jesus. Hence John's
"already" $(\eta \delta \eta)$ is pertinent. John repeats his statement in verse 27. In Joh 6:70 Jesus a year ago had seen that Judas was a devil.

To betray him ( $\imath v \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Cf. Ac 5:3. Purpose clause with $v v a$ and second aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ (form in -o as in Mr 14:10 rather than the usual - $\omega$ in $\operatorname{Lu}$ 22:4). Satan had an open door by now into the heart of Judas.

## John 13:3

Knowing ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \varsigma$ ). Repeated from verse 1, accenting the full consciousness of Jesus.
Had given ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). So Aleph B L W, aorist active instead of $\delta \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ (perfect active) of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$. Cf. 3:31 for a similar statement with $\varepsilon v$ instead of $\varepsilon เ \varsigma$. See Mt 11:27 (Lu 10:22) and 28:18 for like claim by Jesus to complete power.

And that he came forth from God, and goeth unto God (ка от $\alpha \pi о$ $\theta \varepsilon o v \varepsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ка $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \varepsilon \circ v \mathrm{v} \pi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon)$. See plain statement by Jesus on this point in $16: 28$. The use of $\pi \rho o \varsigma$ tov $\theta$ zov recalls the same words in $1: 1$. Jesus is fully conscious of his deity and Messianic dignity when he performs this humble act.

John 13:4
Riseth from supper ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon ו \rho \varepsilon \tau \alpha \varepsilon \kappa ~ \tau o v ~ \delta \varepsilon ı \pi v o v)$. Vivid dramatic present middle indicative of $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \mid \rho \omega$. From the couch on which he was reclining.

Layeth aside ( $\tau \iota \theta \eta \sigma \iota v$ ). Same dramatic present active of $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$.
His garments ( $\tau \alpha \mu \alpha \tau \iota \alpha$ ). The outer robe $\tau \alpha \lambda \lambda_{1} \theta$ ( $\mu \alpha \tau \circ \sigma v$ ) and with only the tunic ( $\chi \tau \omega v$ ) on "as one that serveth" (Lu 22:27). Jesus had already rebuked the apostles for their strife for precedence at the beginning of the meal (Lu 22:24-30).

A towel ( $\lambda \varepsilon v \tau 1 \circ v$ ). Latin word linteum, linen cloth, only in this passage in the N.T.
Girded himself ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \zeta \omega \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\delta \iota \alpha \zeta \omega v v v \omega(-\nu \mu)$, old and rare compound (in Plutarch, LXX, inscriptions, and papyri), to gird all around. In N.T. only in John (13:4,5; 21:7). Did Peter not recall this incident when in 1Pe 5:5 he exhorts all to "gird yourselves with humility" ( $\tau \eta \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon є ข о \varphi \rho о \sigma \cup \nu \eta \nu \varepsilon \gamma \kappa о \mu \beta \omega \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon)$ ?

John 13:5
Poureth ( $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Vivid present again. Literally, "putteth" (as in verse $2, \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ ).
Into the basin ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau 0 v \nu ו \pi \tau \eta \rho \alpha)$. From verb $v i \pi \tau \omega$ (later form of $v \iota \zeta \omega$ in this same verse and below) to wash, found only here and in quotations of this passage. Note the article, "the basin" in the room.

Began to wash ( $\eta \rho \xi \alpha \tau 0 \nu \iota \pi \tau \varepsilon เ v$ ). Back to the aorist again as with $\delta \iota \varepsilon \zeta \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$ (verse 4). Nı $\iota \tau \omega$ was common for washing parts of the body like the hands or the feet.

To wipe ( $\varepsilon \kappa \mu \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon เ v)$ ) "To wipe off" as in 12:3.
With the towel ( $\tau \omega \lambda \varepsilon v \tau \omega \omega$ ). Instrumental case and the article (pointing to $\lambda \varepsilon v \tau \iota \circ v$ in verse 4).

Wherewith ( $\omega$ ). Instrumental case of the relative $o$.

He was girded ( $\eta \vee \delta ı \varepsilon \zeta \omega \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Periphrastic past perfect of $\delta ı \alpha \zeta \omega v v v \omega$ for which verb see verse 4.

John 13:6
So he cometh ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \circ \sim v)$ ). Transitional use of ouv and dramatic present again ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ).
 in position of $\sigma \nu \mu \circ v$ (away from $\pi$ o $\delta a \varsigma$ ), "Dost thou my feet wash?" "Peter, we may suppose, drew his feet up, as he spoke, in his impulsive humility" (Bernard).

John 13:7
I ... thou ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \ldots \sigma v$ ). Jesus repeats the pronouns used by Peter in similar contrast.
Not now (ouk $\alpha \rho \tau$ ). Just now $\alpha \rho \tau$ means ( $9: 19,25$ ). Used again by Jesus (verse 33) and Peter (verse 37).

But thou shalt understand hereafter ( $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \eta \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Future middle of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ (instead of the verb oı $\delta \alpha$ ) to know by experience. "Thou shalt learn after these things," even if slowly.

John 13:8
Thou shalt never wash my feet (ov $\mu \eta \nu \iota \psi \eta \iota \varsigma \mu о v$ tovৎ $\pi 0 \delta \alpha \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ a ı \omega v \alpha) . ~ S t r o n g ~$ double negative ov $\mu \eta$ with first aorist active subjunctive of $v \iota \pi \tau \omega$ with $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ a l \omega v \alpha$ (for ever) added and $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ (my) made emphatic by position. Peter's sudden humility should settle the issue, he felt.

If I wash thee not ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta \nu \tau \psi \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Third-class condition with $\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta$ (negative). Jesus picks up the challenge of Peter whose act amounted to irreverence and want of confidence. "The first condition of discipleship is self-surrender" (Westcott). So "Jesus, waiting with the basin" (Dods), concludes.

Thou hast no part with me (ovк $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon ı \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \circ v$ ). Not simply here at the supper with its fellowship, but in the deeper sense of mystic fellowship as Peter was quick to see. Jesus does not make foot-washing essential to spiritual fellowship, but simply tests Peter's real pride and mock-humility by this symbol of fellowship.

John 13:9
Not my feet only, but also my hands and my head ( $\mu \eta$ tovৎ $\pi о \delta \alpha \varsigma \mu \circ v \mu$ оvov $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ $\kappa \alpha$ таৎ $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho a \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \eta v ~ к \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \eta \nu)$. Nouns in the accusative case object of $v \iota \psi o v$ understood. Peter's characteristic impulsiveness that does not really understand the Master's act. "A moment ago he told his Master He was doing too much: now he tells Him He is doing too little" (Dods).

John 13:10
He that is bathed (o $\lambda \varepsilon \lambda o u \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Perfect passive articular participle of $\lambda o v \omega$, to bathe the whole body (Ac 9:37).

Save to wash his feet ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta$ tovৎ $\pi 0 \delta \alpha \varsigma v ı \psi a \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Aleph and some old Latin MSS. have only $v \iota \psi \sigma \theta a$, but the other words are genuine and are really involved by the use of $v \iota \psi a \sigma \theta a$
(first aorist middle infinitive of $v \imath \pi \tau \omega$, to wash parts of the body) instead of $\lambda o v \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$, to bathe the whole body (just used before). The guest was supposed to bathe ( $\lambda o v \omega$ ) before coming to a feast and so only the feet had to be washed ( $v i \pi \tau \omega$ ) on removing the sandals.

Clean ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \rho о \varsigma)$. Because of the bath. For $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho о \varsigma$ meaning external cleanliness see Mt 23:26; 27:59; but in Joh 15:3 it is used for spiritual purity as here in "ye are clean" ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \rho \circ$ ).

Every whit (o入oc). All of the body because of the bath. For this same predicate use of o入os see 9:34.

But not all ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov $\left.\pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma\right)$. Strongly put exception ( $o v \chi$ ). Plain hint of the treachery of Judas who is reclining at the table after having made the bargain with the Sanhedrin (Mr 14:11). A year ago Jesus knew that Judas was a devil and said to the apostles: "One of you is a devil" $(6: 64,70)$. But it did not hurt them then nor did they suspect each other then or now. It is far-fetched to make Jesus here refer to the cleansing power of his blood or to baptism as some do.

John 13:11
For he knew him that should betray him ( $\eta \iota \delta \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho \tau 0 v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \iota \delta o v \tau \alpha \alpha \nu \tau o v$ ). Past perfect $\eta \iota \delta \varepsilon$ used as imperfect. Jesus had known for a year at least $(6: 64,70)$ and yet he treated Judas with his usual courtesy. The articular present participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \mu$, "the betraying one," for Judas was already engaged in the process. Did Judas wince at this thrust from Jesus?

John 13:12
Sat down again ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v \pi \alpha \lambda \iota v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\alpha v a \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, old compound verb to fall back, to lie down, to recline. Пa $\lambda \iota \nu$ (again) can be taken either with $\alpha v \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \nu$, as here, or with $\varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon \nu$ (he said again).

Know ye what I have done to you? ( $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \tau \pi \varepsilon \pi о \imath\rceil \kappa \alpha \nu \mu \nu$;). "Do ye understand the meaning of my act?" Perfect active indicative of $\pi o t \varepsilon \omega$ with dative case $(v \mu v)$. It was a searching question, particularly to Simon Peter and Judas.

John 13:13
Ye (v $\mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Emphatic.
Call me ( $\varphi \omega v \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon$ ). "Address me." Ф $\omega v \varepsilon \omega$ regular for addressing one with his title ( 1:48).

Master (Ho $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda o \varsigma)$. Nominative form (not in apposition with $\mu \varepsilon$ accusative after $\varphi \omega \vee \varepsilon เ \tau \varepsilon)$, but really vocative in address with the article (called titular nominative sometimes) like Ho Kvpıоя ка о $\theta \varepsilon о \varsigma ~ \mu о v ~ i n ~ 20: 28 . ~ " T e a c h e r . " ~ S e e ~ 11: 28 ~ f o r ~ M a r t h a ' s ~ t i t l e ~ f o r ~ J e s u s ~ t o ~$ Mary.

Lord (Ho Kvpıos). Another and separate title. In 1:38 we have $\Delta \mathrm{t} \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ (vocative form) for the Jewish $\operatorname{Pa} \beta \beta \varepsilon$ and in 9:36,38 Kvpı $\varepsilon$ for the Jewish Mari. It is significant that Jesus approves ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma$, well) the application of both titles to himself as he accepts from Thomas the terms кирıя and $\theta \varepsilon \circ \varsigma$.

For I am ( $\varepsilon \mu \gamma \alpha \rho$ ). Jesus distinctly claims here to be both Teacher and Lord in the full sense, at the very moment when he has rendered this menial, but symbolic, service to them. Here is a hint for those who talk lightly about "the peril of worshipping Jesus!"

John 13:14
If I then ( $\varepsilon$ ouv $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ). Argumentative sense of ouv (therefore). Condition of first class, assumed to be true, with first aorist active indicative of $v ı \pi \tau \omega$, "If I, being what I am, washed your feet" (as I did).

Ye also ought (ка vцદıৎ офءı入єtє). The obligation rests on you a fortiori. Present active indicative of the old verb o $\varphi \varepsilon \searrow \lambda \omega$, to owe a debt ( $\mathrm{Mt} \mathrm{18:30}$ ). The mutual obligation is to do this or any other needed service. The widows who washed the saints' feet in 1Ti 5:10 did it "as an incident-of their hospitable ministrations" (Bernard). Up to 1731 the Lord High Almoner in England washed the feet of poor saints (pedilavium) on Thursday before Easter, a custom that arose in the fourth century, and one still practised by the Pope of Rome.

John 13:15
An example (v $\tau о \delta \varepsilon ı \gamma \mu \alpha$ ). For the old $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \varepsilon ı \gamma \mu \alpha$ (not in N.T.), from $v \pi о \delta \varepsilon ו \kappa v \nu \mu$, to show under the eyes as an illustration or warning (Mt 3:7), common in the papyri for illustration, example, warning, here only in John, but in Jas 5:10; 2Pe 2:6; Heb 4:11; 8:5; 9:26 . Peter uses тטло ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 5: 3$ ) with this incident in mind. In Jude 1:7 $\delta \varepsilon \iota \gamma \mu \alpha$ (without v $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ) occurs in the sense of example.

That ye also should do (ıva ка $v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \pi о ı \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Purpose clause with $v^{\prime} \alpha$ and the present active subjunctive of $\pi$ tot $\omega$ (keep on doing). Doing what? Does Jesus here institute a new church ordinance as some good people today hold? If so, it is curious that there is no record of it in the N.T. Jesus has given the disciples an object lesson in humility to rebuke their jealousy, pride, and strife exhibited at this very meal. The lesson of the "example" applies to all the relations of believers with each other. It is one that is continually needed.

John 13:16
Is not greater (ovk $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \mu \varepsilon \varsigma \zeta \omega v$ ). Comparative adjective of $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \varsigma$ (greater) followed by the ablative case кирıov (contrast between slave, lord) and $\tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \alpha \nu \tau о \varsigma ~(a r t i c u l a r ~$ participle of $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega$, to send, with contrast with apostle, "one sent" ( $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau о \lambda о \varsigma)$ from $\alpha \pi o \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega)$. Jesus here enforces the dignity of service. In Lu 22:27 Jesus argues this point a bit. In Lu 6:40 the contrast is between the pupil and the teacher, though some pupils consider themselves superior to the teacher. In Mt 10:24 Jesus uses both forms of the saying (pupil and slave). He clearly repeated this $\lambda o \gamma$ ov often.

John 13:17
If ye know ( $\varepsilon$ ot $\delta a \tau \varepsilon$ ). Condition of first class assumed as true, $\varepsilon$ and present (ot $\delta \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ used as present) active indicative.

If ye do ( $\varepsilon a v \pi \circ \nmid \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Third-class condition, $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and present active subjunctive, assumed as possible, "if ye keep on doing." Both conditions with the one conclusion coming in between, "happy are ye." Just knowing does not bring happiness nor just occasional doing.

John 13:18
Not of you all (ov $\pi \varepsilon \rho \pi \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). As in verse 11, he here refers to Judas whose treachery is no surprise to Jesus (6:64,70).

Whom I have chosen ( $\tau \iota v a \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \mu \eta \nu)$ ). Indirect question, unless $\tau \iota v a c$ is here used as a relative like ovc. The first aorist middle indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ is the same form used in 6:70. Jesus refers to the choice ( $\operatorname{Lu} 6: 13 \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$, this very word again) of the twelve from among the large group of disciples. $\Theta a \tau \theta \varepsilon \sigma \chi \rho \iota \pi \tau v \rho \varepsilon \mu \gamma \tau \beta \varepsilon \varphi \cup \lambda \varphi ı \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \delta\left(\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu \alpha \eta\right.$ $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \eta)$. See the same clause in 17:12. Purpose clause with $\operatorname{v} \alpha$ and first aorist passive subjunctive of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$. This treachery of Judas was according to the eternal counsels of God (12:4), but none the less Judas is responsible for his guilt. For a like elliptical clause see 9:3; 15:25. The quotation is from the Hebrew of Ps 41:9.

He that eateth (o $\tau \rho \omega \gamma \omega v$ ). Present active participle of old verb to gnaw, to chew, to eat, in N.T. only in John (6:54,56,57,58; 13:18) and Mt 26:38 . LXX has here o $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega \omega v$.

Lifted up his heel against me ( $\varepsilon \pi \eta \rho \varepsilon v \varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \tau \eta \nu \pi \tau \varepsilon \rho v a v$ autov). First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \rho \omega$. Пє $\rho v \alpha$, old word for heel, only here in N.T. The metaphor is that of kicking with the heel or tripping with the heel like a wrestler. It was a gross breach of hospitality to eat bread with any one and then turn against him so. The Arabs hold to it yet.

John 13:19
From henceforth ( $\alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha \rho \tau$ ). "From now on," as in 14:7; Mt 23:39; Re 14:13.
Before it come to pass ( $\pi \rho \circ$ tov $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Про with ablative of the articular second aorist middle infinitive $\gamma$ ıvou (before the coming to pass).

When it is come to pass (o $\tau \alpha v \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Indefinite relative clause with o $\tau \alpha v$ and the second aorist middle subjunctive of $\gamma \iota v o \mu \alpha$, "whenever it does come to pass."

That ye may believe ( $\iota v \alpha \pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon \nu \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Purpose clause with $ו v \alpha$ and present active subjunctive of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \omega$, "that ye may keep on believing." Cf. Isa 48:5 .

That I am he (o $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \varepsilon \mu)$. As Jesus has repeatedly claimed to be the Messiah (8:24,58 , etc.). Cf. also 14:29 ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ here); 16:4.

John 13:20
Whomsoever I send ( $\alpha \nu \tau \imath v \alpha \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \omega$ ). More precisely, "If I send any one" (third-class condition, $\alpha v=\varepsilon \alpha v$ and $\tau \iota v \alpha$, indefinite pronoun accusative case, object of $\pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \omega$, first aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega$, to send). This use of $\varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma$ or $\varepsilon \alpha v \tau \iota \varsigma$ (if any one) is very much like the indefinite relative ootıc and oc $\alpha v$ (or $\varepsilon \alpha v$ ), but the idiom is different. In Mr 8:34f. we have both $\varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$ and oc $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ while in Joh 14:13f. we find o $\alpha \alpha v$ and $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau$ (Robertson, Grammar, p. 956).

John 13:21

He was troubled in the spirit ( $\varepsilon \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \theta \eta$ to $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\tau \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ and the locative case of $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$. See already 11:33; 12:27 for this use of $\tau \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ for the agitation of Christ's spirit. In 14:1,27 it is used of the disciples. Jesus was one with $\operatorname{God}(5: 19)$ and yet he had our real humanity ( $1: 14$ ).

Testified ( $\varepsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \omega$, definite witness as in 4:44; 18:37.

One of you shall betray me ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \xi \nu \mu \omega \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \omega \sigma \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). Future active of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, to betray, the word so often used of Judas. This very language occurs in Mr 14:18; Mt 26:21 and the idea in Lu 22:21. Jesus had said a year ago that "one of you is a devil" (Joh 6:70), but it made no such stir then. Now it was a bolt from the blue sky as Jesus swept his eyes around and looked at the disciples.

John 13:22
Looked one on another ( $\varepsilon \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \sigma \nu \varepsilon ء \varsigma \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$ ovc). Inchoative imperfect of $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$, "began to glance at one another in bewilderment (doubting, алорои $\mu \varepsilon v o$, present passive participle of $\alpha \pi \sigma \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to be at a loss, to lose one's way, $\alpha$ privative and $\pi о \rho o \varsigma$, way). They recalled their strife about precedence and Judas betrayed nothing.

Concerning whom he spake ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \tau v o \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ ). Indirect question retaining present active indicative $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$. See same note in Mr 14:19; Mt 26:22; Lu 22:23 .

John 13:23
Was at the table reclining in Jesus' bosom ( $\eta \nu$ аvaкє $\mu \varepsilon v \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \kappa о \lambda \pi \omega$ tov I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). No word for "table" in the text. Periphrastic imperfect of avak\& $\mu \alpha$, to lie back, to recline. Ko $\lambda \pi$ тos usual word for bosom ( $1: 18$ ).

Whom Jesus loved (ov $\eta \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha$ I $\eta \sigma o v \varsigma$ ). Imperfect active of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$, John's description of himself of which he was proud ( $19: 26 ; 20: 2 ; 21: 7,20$ ), identified in 21:24 as the author of the book and necessarily one of the twelve because of the "explicit" (Bernard) language of Mark (Mr 14:17; Lu 22:14). John son of Zebedee and brother of James. At the table John was on the right of Jesus lying obliquely so that his head lay on the bosom of Jesus. The centre, the place of honour, Jesus occupied. The next place in rank was to the left of Jesus, held by Peter (Westcott) or by Judas (Bernard) which one doubts.

John 13:24
Beckoneth (veve). Old verb to nod, in N.T. only here and Ac 24:10. They were all looking in surprise at each other.

Tell us who it is of whom he speaketh ( $\varepsilon เ \pi \varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ ov $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist active imperative with indirect question ( $\tau \iota \varsigma$ ) and relative clause ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ ov). Peter was cautious, but could not contain his curiosity. John in front of Jesus was in a favourable position to have a whispered word with him.

Breast ( $\sigma \pi \eta \theta$ oc). As in 21:20; Lu 18:13 in place of ко入 $\pi$ ov (verse 23). This is the moment represented in Leonardo da Vinci's "Last Supper," only he shows the figures like the monks for whom he painted it.

John 13:25
He (عкะıvoc). "That one" (John).
Leaning back ( $\alpha v a \pi \varepsilon \sigma \omega v$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\alpha v \alpha \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, to fall back.
As he was (out $\omega \varsigma$ ). "Thus." It was easily done.
John 13:26
He ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o \varsigma)$. Emphatic pronoun again.
For whom I shall dip the sop ( $\omega \varepsilon \gamma \omega \beta \alpha \psi \omega$ to $\psi \omega \mu \circ v$ ). Dative case of the relative ( $\omega$ ) and future active of $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \omega$, to dip ( $\operatorname{Lu} 16: 24$ ). $\Psi \omega \mu \nu \sigma v$ is a diminutive of $\psi \omega \mu \circ \varsigma$, a morsel, a common Koine word (in the papyri often), in N.T. only in this passage. It was and is in the orient a token of intimacy to allow a guest to dip his bread in the common dish (cf. Ru 2:14 ). So Mr 14:20 . Even Judas had asked: "Is it I?" (Mr 14:19; Mt 26:22 ).

Giveth it to Judas ( $\delta t \delta \omega \sigma \iota v$ Iov $\delta \alpha$ ). Unobserved by the others in spite of Christ's express language, because "it was so usual a courtesy" (Bernard), "the last appeal to Judas' better feeling" (Dods). Judas now knew that Jesus knew his plot.

John 13:27
Then entered Satan into him ( $\tau о \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon เ \sigma \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ عıৎ モкยıvov o $\Sigma a \tau \alpha v \alpha \varsigma)$. The only time the word Satan occurs in the Gospel. As he had done before (13:2; Lu 22:3) until Christ considered him a devil ( $6: 70$ ). This is the natural outcome of one who plays with the devil.
 $\pi о เ \varepsilon \omega$. "Do more quickly what thou art doing." Tax\&וov is comparative of $\tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ (Joh 11:31 ) and in N.T. only here, 20:4; Heb 13:19,23. See the eagerness of Jesus for the passion in Lu 12:50.

John 13:28
No one knew (ov $\delta \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. The disciples had not yet perceived the treacherous heart of Judas.

John 13:29
Some thought ( $\tau \imath v \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \delta$ oкouv). Imperfect active of $\delta$ oк $\varepsilon \omega$. Mere inference in their ignorance.

The bag ( $\tau$ о $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma о к о \mu о v$ ). See on 12:6 for this word.
What things we have need of ( $\omega \vee \chi \rho \varepsilon \iota \alpha \vee \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Antecedent ( $\tau \alpha v \tau \alpha$ ) of the relative (ov) not expressed.

For the feast ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon о \rho \tau \eta \nu)$. The feast of unleavened bread beginning after the passover meal and lasting eight days. If this was twenty-four hours ahead of the passover meal, there was no hurry for next day would be in ample time.

Or that he should give something to the poor ( $\eta$ тоぃ $\pi \tau \omega \chi \circ \iota \varsigma \downarrow \alpha \tau \delta \omega$ ). Another alternative in their speculation on the point. Note prolepsis of toı $\pi \tau \omega \chi$ оц (dative case) before $\iota \nu \alpha \omega$ (final clause with $\imath v \alpha$ and second aorist active subjunctive of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ ).

John 13:30
Having received the sop ( $\lambda \alpha \beta \omega v$ to $\psi \omega \mu \circ v$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$. Judas knew what Jesus meant, however ignorant the disciples. So he acted "straightway" ( $\varepsilon \cup \theta \cup \varsigma)$.

And it was night ( $\eta v \delta \varepsilon v v \xi$ ). Darkness falls suddenly in the orient. Out into the terror and the mystery of this dreadful night (symbol of his devilish work) Judas went.

John 13:31
Now ( $\mathrm{v} v \mathrm{v}$ ). Now at last, the crisis has come with a sense of deliverance from the presence of Judas and of surrender to the Father's will (Westcott).

Is glorified $(\varepsilon \delta o \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive of $\delta o \xi \alpha \zeta \omega$, consummation of glory in death both for the Son and the Father. For this verb in this sense see already 7:39; 12:16 and later 17:3. Four times here in verses 31f.

John 13:32
In himself $(\varepsilon v a v \tau \omega)$. Reflexive pronoun. God is the source of the glory ( $17: 5$ ) and is the glory succeeding the Cross (the glory with the Father in heaven).

And straightway ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \cup \theta \cup \varsigma)$. No postponement now. First and quickly the Cross, then the Ascension.

John 13:33
Little children ( $\tau \varepsilon \kappa v / \alpha$ ). Diminutive of $\tau \varepsilon \kappa v \alpha$ and affectionate address as Jesus turns to the effect of his going on these disciples. Only here in this Gospel, but common in I John (1Jo 2:1 , etc.), and nowhere else in N.T.

Yet a little while ( $\varepsilon \tau \mu \kappa \rho \circ v$ ). Accusative of extent of time. See also 7:33; 8:21 (to which Jesus here refers); 16:16-19.

So now I say unto you ( $\kappa \alpha \nu \mu \nu \nu \varepsilon \gamma \omega \alpha \rho \tau$ ). This juncture point ( $\alpha \rho \tau$ ) of time relatively to the past and the future $(9: 25 ; 16: 12,31)$.

John 13:34
New ( $\kappa \alpha ı v \eta v$ ). First, in contrast with the old ( $\alpha \rho \chi \alpha \circ \rho \varsigma, \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \circ \rho$ ), the very adjective used in 1Jo 2:7) of the "commandment" ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \circ \lambda \eta \nu$ ) at once called old ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha u \alpha)$. They had had it a long time, but the practice of it was new. Jesus does not hesitate, like the Father, to give commandments (15:10,12).

That ye love one another (iva $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o v \varsigma)$. Non-final use of tva with present active subjunctive of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$, the object clause being in the accusative case in apposition with $\varepsilon v \tau o \lambda \eta \nu$. Note the present tense (linear action), "keep on loving."

Even as ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma)$. The measure of our love for another is set by Christ's love for us.
John 13:35

By this ( $\varepsilon v \tau 0 \cup \tau \omega$ ). Locative case with $\varepsilon v$, "In this way," viz., "if ye have love" ( $\varepsilon a v a \gamma a \pi \eta v$ $\varepsilon \chi \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ), condition of third class (in apposition with $\varepsilon \nu \tau \tau v \tau \omega$ ) with $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ and present active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ ("keep on having love"). See 17:23 where Jesus prays for mutual love among the disciples "that the world may know" that the Father sent him. Jerome (ad Galat. vi. 10) says that in his extreme old age John repeated often this command of Jesus and justified it: "Because it is the Lord's commandment; and if it be fulfilled it is enough." See also 14:31. Tertullian (Apol. 39) urges it also as proof of being disciples. Hatred of one another per contra, is an argument that we are vot disciples (learners) of Jesus.

John 13:36
Whither goest thou? ( $\pi$ ои v v $\alpha \gamma \varepsilon เ \sigma ;)$. Peter is puzzled just as the Pharisees were twice (7:35; 8:21f.).

John 13:37
"Why can I not follow thee even now?" ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau$ ov $\delta v v \alpha \mu \alpha$ бо $\alpha к о \lambda o u \theta \varepsilon ı v ~ \alpha \rho \tau i ;)$. The use of $\alpha \rho \tau$ (right now, this minute) instead of $v v v$ (at this time, verse 36) illustrates the impatience of Peter.

I will lay down my life for thee ( $\tau \varepsilon \nu \psi v \chi \eta \nu \mu \circ v v \pi \varepsilon \rho \sigma o v \theta \eta \sigma \omega$ ). Future active indicative of $\tau i \theta \eta \mu$. Peter, like the rest, had not yet grasped the idea of the death of Christ, but, like Thomas ( 11:16), he is not afraid of danger. He had heard Christ's words about the good shepherd ( 10:11) and knew that such loyalty was the mark of a good disciple.

John 13:38
Wilt thou lay down? ( $\theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \iota \sigma ;$ ). Jesus picks up Peter's very words and challenges his boasted loyalty. See such repetition in 16:16f.,31; 21:17.

Shall not crow ( $\varphi \omega v \eta \sigma \eta$ ). Aorist active subjunctive of $\varphi \omega v \varepsilon \omega$, to use the voice, used of animals and men. Note strong double negative ov $\mu \eta$. Mark adds $\delta \iota \varsigma$ (twice). John's report is almost identical with that in Lu 22:34 . The other disciples joined in Peter's boast (Mr 14:31; Mt 26:35 ).

Till thou hast denied ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ov $\alpha \rho \vee \eta \sigma \eta$ ). Future middle indicative or aorist middle subjunctive second person singular (form identical) with compound conjunction $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ov (until which time), "till thou deny or deniest" (futurum exactum needless). Peter is silenced for the present. They all "sat astounded and perplexed" (Dods).

## John 14

John 14:1
Let not your heart be troubled ( $\mu \eta \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega v \mu \omega \nu \eta \kappa \alpha \rho \delta i \alpha)$. Not here the physical organ of life ( $\operatorname{Lu} 21: 34$ ), but the seat of spiritual life ( $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha, \psi v \chi \eta$ ), the centre of feeling and faith (Ro 10:10), "the focus of the religious life" (Vincent) as in Mt 22:37 . See these words repeated in 14:27. Jesus knew what it was to have a "troubled" heart (11:33; 13:31) where $\tau \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ is used of him. Plainly the hearts of the disciples were tossed like waves in the wind by the words of Jesus in 13:38.

Ye believe ... believe also ( $\pi เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon . . . ~ \kappa \alpha ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon)$. So translated as present active indicative plural second person and present active imperative of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$. The form is the same. Both may be indicative (ye believe ... and ye believe), both may be imperative (believe ... and believe or believe also), the first may be indicative (ye believe) and the second imperative (believe also), the first may be imperative (keep on believing) and the second indicative (and ye do believe, this less likely). Probably both are imperatives (Mr 11:22), "keep on believing in God and in me."

John 14:2
Mansions ( $\mu \circ v \alpha$ ). Old word from $\mu \varepsilon v \omega$, to abide, abiding places, in N.T. only here and verse 23. There are many resting-places in the Father's house (окка). Christ's picture of heaven here is the most precious one that we possess. It is our heavenly home with the Father and with Jesus.

If it were not so $(\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \mu \eta)$. Ellipsis of the verb (Mr 2:21; Re 2:5,16; Joh 14:11). Here a suppressed condition of the second class (determined as unfulfilled) as the conclusion shows.

I would have told you ( $\varepsilon \iota \pi \circ \vee \alpha v \nu \mu \nu)$ ). Regular construction for this apodosis ( $\alpha v$ and aorist--second active--indicative).

For I go (от порвvoцa). Reason for the consolation given, futuristic present middle indicative, and explanation of his words in 13:33 that puzzled Peter so (13:36f. ).

To prepare a place for you ( $\varepsilon \tau о \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha ~ \tau о \pi о v ~ v \mu \nu v$ ). First aorist active infinitive of purpose of $\varepsilon \tau о \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$, to make ready, old verb from $\varepsilon \tau о \mu \circ \varsigma$. Here only in John, but in Mr 10:40 (Mt 20:23). It was customary to send one forward for such a purpose ( Nu 10:33 ). So Jesus had sent Peter and John to make ready (this very verb) for the passover meal (Mr 14:12; Mt 26:17 ). Jesus is thus our Forerunner ( $\pi \rho \circ \delta \rho о \mu \circ \varsigma$ ) in heaven (Heb 6:20).

John 14:3
If I go ( $\varepsilon \alpha \vee \pi \quad \pi \quad \rho \varepsilon v \theta \omega$ ). Third-class condition ( $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and first aorist passive subjunctive of лорвvo $\mu$ ).

And prepare ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \tau о \mu \alpha \sigma \omega)$. Same condition and first aorist active subjunctive of the


I come again ( $\pi \alpha \lambda ı \vee \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha)$. Futuristic present middle, definite promise of the second coming of Christ.

And will receive you unto myself ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \eta \mu \psi о \mu \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma \pi \rho о \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \alpha \nu \tau о v)$. Future middle of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$. Literally, "And I shall take you along ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$ ) to my own home" (cf. 13:36). This blessed promise is fulfilled in death for all believers who die before the Second Coming. Jesus comes for us then also.

That where I am there ye may be also ( $\downarrow \alpha$ о $о о v \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \gamma \omega \kappa \alpha v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Purpose clause with $t v a$ and present active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \mu$. This the purpose of the departure and the return of Christ. And this is heaven for the believer to be where Jesus is and with him forever.

John 14:4
Ye know the way (ot $\delta a \tau \varepsilon \tau \eta v$ o $\delta o v$ ). Definite allusion to the puzzle of Peter in 13:36f . The path to the Father's house is now plain.

John 14:5
Whither ( $\pi 0 v$ )-- how ( $\pi \omega \varsigma$ ). It is Thomas, not Peter (13:36f. ) who renews the doubt about the destination of Jesus including the path or way thither ( $\tau \eta \nu$ o $\delta o v$ ). Thomas is the spokesman for the materialistic conception then and now.

John 14:6
I am the way, and the truth, and the life ( $\mathrm{E} \gamma \omega \varepsilon \mu \eta$ о оос $\kappa \alpha \eta ~ \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha \kappa \eta \zeta \omega \eta$ ). Either of these statements is profound enough to stagger any one, but here all three together overwhelm Thomas. Jesus had called himself "the life" to Martha ( 11:25) and "the door" to the Pharisees ( $10: 7$ ) and "the light of the world" ( 8:12). He spoke "the way of God in truth" (Mr 12:14). He is the way to God and the only way (verse 6), the personification of truth, the centre of life.

Except by me ( $\left.\varepsilon \mu \eta \delta \iota^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu o v\right)$. There is no use for the Christian to wince at these words of Jesus. If he is really the Incarnate Son of God ( $1: 1,14,18$, they are necessarily true.

John 14:7
If ye had known me ( $\varepsilon \varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \kappa \varepsilon เ \tau \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). Past perfect indicative of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, to know by personal experience, in condition of second class as is made plain by the conclusion ( $\alpha v$ $\eta \iota \delta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon)$ where ot $\delta a$, not $\gamma เ \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ is used. Thomas and the rest had not really come to know Jesus, much as they loved him.

From henceforth ye know him ( $\alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha \rho \tau \gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \alpha u \tau o v$ ). Probably inchoative present active indicative, "ye are beginning to know the Father from now on."

And have seen him ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Perfect active indicative of opac. Because they had seen Jesus who is the Son of God, the Image of God, and like God ( $1: 18$ ). Hence God is like Jesus Christ. It is a bold and daring claim to deity. The only intelligible conception of God is precisely what Jesus here says. God is like Christ.

John 14:8

Show us ( $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \xi \mathcal{\xi} \boldsymbol{v} \eta \mu v)$ ). Philip now speaks up, possibly hoping for a theophany (Ex 33:18f. ), certainly not grasping the idea of Jesus just expressed.

John 14:9
So long time ( $\tau 0 \sigma 0 v \tau 0 v \chi \rho o v o v$ ). Accusative of extent of time.
And dost thou not know me? ( $\kappa \alpha$ оик $\varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \kappa \alpha \varsigma \mu \varepsilon$;). Perfect active indicative of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. Jesus patiently repeats his language to Philip with the crisp statement: "he that hath seen me hath seen the Father" (о $\varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \varepsilon v$ tov $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). Perfect active participle and perfect active indicative of opa $\omega$, state of completion.

Thou ( $\sigma v$ ). Emphatic--After these years together.
John 14:10
Believest thou not? (ov $\pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon ו \sigma ;$ ). Jesus had a right to expect greater faith from these men than from the blind man ( $9: 35$ ) or Martha ( 11:27). His words in 14:1 are clearly needed. This oneness with the Father Jesus had already stated ( $10: 38$ ) as shown by his "words" ( $\rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha)$ and his "works" ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha)$. Cf. 3:34; 5:19; 6:62 .

John 14:11
Believe me ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \mu 0$ ). Repeated appeal (present active imperative of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \omega$ ) as in 14:1 to his disciples and as he had done with the hostile Jews to be influenced by his "works" at any rate ( 10:38).

John 14:12

Greater works than these ( $\mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta$ ova $\tau 0 v \tau \omega v$ ). Comparative adjective neuter plural from $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \varsigma$ with ablative case $\tau 0 v \tau \omega \nu$. Not necessarily greater miracles and not greater spiritual works in quality, but greater in quantity. Cf. Peter at Pentecost and Paul's mission tours. "Because I go" (o $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \pi$ тo $\rho \varepsilon v o \rho v a$ ). Reason for this expansion made possible by the Holy Spirit as Paraclete ( 16:7).

John 14:13
Whatsoever ye shall ask (o $\alpha \alpha \nu \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Indefinite relative clause with o (neuter accusative singular of ootıc), $\alpha v$ and the aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon \omega$. This is an advance thought over verse 12 .

In my name ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega$ ovouat $\mu \circ v$ ). First mention of his "name" as the open sesame to the Father's will. See also 14:26; 15:16; 16:23,24,26 .

That will I do ( $\tau о \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi о} \eta \sigma \omega$ ). The Father answers prayers ( $15: 16 ; 16: 23$ ), but so does the Son (here and verse 14). The purpose (iva clause with first aorist passive subjunctive of $\left.\delta_{0} \xi \alpha \zeta \omega\right)$ is "that the Father may be glorified in the Son." Plead Christ's name in prayer to the Father.

## John 14:14

If ye shall ask me anything in my name ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \| \eta \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon v \tau \omega$ ovo $\mu \alpha \tau \mu \circ v$ ). Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and first aorist active subjunctive of aıt $\varepsilon \omega$. The use of $\mu \varepsilon$ (me)
here is supported by Aleph B 33 Vulgate Syriac Peshitta. Just this phrase does not occur elsewhere in John and seems awkward, but see 16:23. If it is genuine, as seems likely, here is direct prayer to Jesus taught as we see it practiced by Stephen in Ac 7:59; and in Re 22:20

John 14:15
If ye love me ( $\varepsilon \alpha \vee \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). Third-class condition "if ye keep on loving (present active subjunctive, same contract form as indicative) me." Cf. verse 23.

Ye will keep ( $\tau \eta \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Future active of $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$, not aorist imperative $\tau \eta \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ (keep) as some MSS. have. For this phrase see also 8:51; 14:23,24; 14:20; 1Jo 2:5 . Continued love prevents disobedience.

John 14:16
And I will pray the Father ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \omega \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \eta \sigma \omega$ tov $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). E $\rho \omega \tau \alpha \omega$ for prayer, not question (the old use), also in 16:23 (prayer to Jesus in same sense as alt $\tau \omega$ ), 26 (by Jesus as here); 17:9 (by Jesus), "make request of."
 besides Jesus who becomes our Paraclete, Helper, Advocate, with the Father (1Jo 2:1, Cf. Ro 8:26f. ). This old word (Demosthenes), from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, was used for legal assistant, pleader, advocate, one who pleads another's cause (Josephus, Philo, in illiterate papyrus), in N.T. only in John's writings, though the idea of it is in Ro 8:26-34. Cf. Deissmann, Light, etc., p. 336. So the Christian has Christ as his Paraclete with the Father, the Holy Spirit as the Father's Paraclete with us (Joh 14:16,26; 15:26; 16:7; 1Jo 2:1 ).

For ever ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \alpha \iota \omega v \alpha$ ). This the purpose ( $\llcorner v \alpha$ ) in view and thus Jesus is to be with his people here forever (Mt 28:20). See 4:14 for the idiom.

John 14:17
The Spirit of truth ( $\tau 0 \pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon 1 \alpha \varsigma)$. Same phrase in 15:27; 16:13; 1Jo 4:6 , "a most exquisite title" (Bengel). The Holy Spirit is marked by it (genitive case), gives it, defends it (cf. 1:17), in contrast to the spirit of error (1Jo 4:6 ).

Whom (o). Grammatical neuter gender (o) agreeing with $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ (grammatical), but rightly rendered in English by "whom" and note masculine $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v \circ \varsigma ~(v e r s e ~ 26) . ~ H e ~ i s ~ a ~ p e r s o n, ~$ not a mere influence.

Cannot receive (ov $\delta u v a \tau \alpha ~ \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon ı v)$. Left to itself the sinful world is helpless (1Co 2:14; Ro 8:7f. ), almost Paul's very language on this point. The world lacks spiritual insight (ov $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon$ ) and spiritual knowledge (ov $\delta \varepsilon \gamma \imath \omega \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon$ ). It failed to recognize Jesus ( $1: 10$ ) and likewise the Holy Spirit.

Ye know him ( $\cup \mu \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau 0$ ). Emphatic position of $v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ (ye) in contrast with the world ( 15:19), because they have seen Jesus the Revealer of the Father (verse 9).

Abides ( $\mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ ). Timeless present tense.

With you ( $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} v \mu \nu v$ ). "By your side," "at home with you," not merely "with you" ( $\mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime}$ $\nu \mu \omega v$ ) "in the midst of you."

In you ( $\varepsilon v \nu \mu \nu v$ ). In your hearts. So note $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ( 16), $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha, \varepsilon v$.
John 14:18
I will not leave (ovк $\alpha \varphi \eta \sigma \omega$ ). Future active of $\alpha \varphi ı \eta \mu$, to send away, to leave behind.
Desolate (op $\rho$ 人vouc). Old word (ор $\rho$ о, Latin orbus), bereft of parents, and of parents bereft of children. Common in papyri of orphan children. In 13:33 Jesus called the disciples т $\varepsilon \kappa v / \alpha$ (little children), and so naturally the word means "orphans" here, but the meaning may be "helpless" (without the other Paraclete, the Holy Spirit). The only other N.T. example is in Jas 1:27 where it means "fatherless."

I come ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha)$. Futuristic present as in verse 3.
John 14:19
But ye behold me (ข $\downarrow \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). Emphatic position of $v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~(y e) ~ i n ~ c o n t r a s t ~$ to the blind, unseeing world. Cf. 13:33; 16:10,16 .

Because I live, ye shall live also (o $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \zeta \omega \kappa \alpha \nu \mu \varepsilon \varsigma \zeta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). This is our blessed guarantee of immortal, eternal life, the continued living of Jesus. He is the surety of a better covenant (Heb 7:22 ), the Risen Christ Jesus. He had said it before ( 6:57).

John 14:20
In that day ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon ı \nu \eta \tau \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). The New Dispensation of the Holy Spirit, beginning with Christ's Resurrection and the Coming of the Holy Spirit at pentecost.

Shall know ( $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Future middle of $\gamma เ \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. Chapters 1 to 3 of Acts bear eloquent witness to these words.

John 14:21
He it is that loveth me ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ o $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \omega \nu \mu \varepsilon$ ). Emphatic demonstrative pronoun єкะเขоц: "that is the one who loves me."

And will manifest myself unto him ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \mu \varphi \alpha v ı \sigma \omega$ avt $\omega$ ع $\mu \alpha \nu \tau \sigma v$ ). Future active of $\varepsilon \mu \varphi \alpha v \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb from $\varepsilon \mu \varphi \alpha \nu \eta \varsigma$ (Ac 10:40; Ro 10:20). The Unseen and Risen Christ will be a real and spiritual Presence to the obedient and loving believer.

John 14:22
Not Iscariot (oux o Iøкарı $\omega \tau \eta \varsigma$ ). Judas Iscariot had gone ( 13:30), but John is anxious to make it clear that this Judas (common name, two apostles also named James) was not the infamous traitor. He is also called Thaddaeus or Lebbaeus (Mr 3:17; Mt 10:3) and the brother (or son) of James (6:15; Ac 1:13). This is the fourth interruption of the talk of Jesus (by Peter, 13:36; by Thomas, 14:5; by Philip, 14:8; by Judas, 14:22).

And not to the world ( $\kappa \alpha$ ov $\chi \tau \omega \kappa \sigma \sigma \mu \omega$ ). Judas caught at the word $\varepsilon \mu \varphi \alpha \nu \iota \omega \omega$ in verse 21 as perhaps a Messianic theophany visible to all the world as at the judgment (5:27f. ). He seems to suspect a change of plan on the part of Jesus ( $\tau \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \varepsilon v$ o $\tau=$ how has it happened that).

John 14:23
If a man love me ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \iota \varsigma \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \mu \varepsilon)$. Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ and present active subjunctive, "if one keep on loving me." That is key to the spiritual manifestation $(\varepsilon \mu \varphi a v \iota \zeta)$.

We will come $(\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma o \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha)$. Future middle of $\varepsilon \rho \chi o \mu \alpha$ and first person plural (the Father and I), not at the judgment, but here and now.

And make our abode with him ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \circ \nu \eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \omega \pi о \imath \eta \sigma \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). See verse 2 for the word $\mu \circ v \eta$ (dwelling, abiding place). If the Holy Spirit "abides" ( $\mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon$, verse 17) in you, that heart becomes a temple (vas) of the Holy Spirit (1Co 3:16f. ), and so a fit dwelling place for the Father and the Son, a glorious and uplifting reality.

John 14:24
He that loveth me not ( $o \mu \eta \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \omega \nu \mu \varepsilon$ ). Present active articular participle of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$ with negative $\mu \eta$, "the one who keeps on not loving me."

Is not mine, but the Father's (ovк $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \varepsilon \mu о \sigma, \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau о v \pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma)$. Predicative possessive pronoun $\varepsilon \mu \circ \varsigma$ and the predicate genitive of possession $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma$.

John 14:25
Have I spoken $(\lambda \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \kappa \alpha)$. Perfect active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, for permanent keeping ( $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$ verse 23).

While yet abiding with you ( $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} v \mu \tau \nu \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega v$ ). Present active participle, no "yet" ( $\varepsilon \tau$ ) in the Greek, "while remaining beside ( $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$ ) you" before departing for the coming of the other Paraclete.

John 14:26
Whom (o). Grammatical neuter, but "whom" is correct translation. The Father will send the Holy Spirit (14:16; Lu 24:49; Ac 2:33 ), but so will the Son (Joh 15:26; 16:7) as Jesus breathes the Holy Spirit upon the disciples (20:22). There is no contradiction in this relation of the Persons in the Trinity (the Procession of the Holy Spirit). Here the Holy Spirit (full title as in Mr 3:29; Mt 12:32; Lu 12:10 ) is identified with the Paraclete.

He ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ \nu \circ \varsigma)$. Emphatic demonstrative pronoun and masculine like $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \tau о \varsigma$.
Shall teach you all things (v $\mu \alpha \varsigma \delta \iota \alpha \xi \varepsilon \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha)$. The Holy Spirit knows "the deep things of God" (1Co 2:10) and he is our Teacher in the Dispensation of the Holy Spirit of both new truth (verse 25) and old.

Bring to your remembrance (v $\tau о \mu \nu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. Future active indicative of $v \pi о \mu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$, old verb to remind, to recall, here only in this Gospel (cf. 3Jo 1:10; 2Ti 2:14) and with two accusatives (person and thing). After pentecost the disciples will be able better to recall and to understand what Jesus had said (how dull they had been at times) and to be open to new revelations from God (cf. Peter at Joppa and Caesarea).

John 14:27

My peace ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \rho \eta \nu \eta v \tau \eta v \varepsilon \mu \eta \nu)$. This is Christ's bequest to the disciples before he goes, the shalom of the orient for greeting and parting, used by Jesus in his appearances after the resurrection (20:19,21,26 ) as in 2Jo 1:3; 3Jo 1:14 , but here and in 16:33 in the sense of spiritual peace such as only Christ can give and which his Incarnation offers to men (Lu 2:14).

Neither let it be fearful ( $\mu \varepsilon \delta \eta \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda ı a \tau \omega$ ). Added to the prohibition in verse 1, only N.T. example of $\delta \varepsilon \iota \lambda \iota \alpha \omega$ (rare word in Aristotle, in a papyrus of one condemned to death), common in LXX, like palpitating of the heart (from $\delta \varepsilon ı \lambda o \varsigma)$.

John 14:28
I go away, and I come (vлаү $\omega$ ка $\varepsilon \rho \chi о \mu \alpha$ ), both futuristic presents (7:33; 14:3,18).
If ye loved me ( $\varepsilon \eta \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). Second-class condition with the imperfect active of a $\gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$ referring to present time, implying that the disciples are not loving Jesus as they should.

Ye would have rejoiced ( $\varepsilon \chi \alpha \rho \eta \tau \varepsilon \alpha \nu$ ). Second aorist passive indicative of $\chi \propto \mu \rho \omega$ with $\alpha \nu$, conclusion of second-class condition referring to past time, "Ye would already have rejoiced before this" at Christ's going to the Father (verse 12).

Greater than I ( $\mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta \omega \nu \mu \circ v$ ). Ablative case $\mu \circ v$ after the comparative $\mu \varepsilon 亡 \zeta \omega v$ (from positive $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \varsigma)$. The filial relation makes this necessary. Not a distinction in nature or essence (cf. 10:30), but in rank in the Trinity. No Arianism or Unitarianism here. The very explanation here is proof of the deity of the Son (Dods).

John 14:30
The prince of the world ( $o$ tov коб $\mu \circ v \alpha \rho \chi \omega v$ ). Satan as in 12:31 which see.
John 14:31
But that the world may know ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ เv $\alpha \gamma \nu \omega$ o коб $\mu \circ \varsigma$ ). Purpose clause with $v \alpha$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. Elliptical construction (cf. 9:3; 13:18; 15:25 ). "But I surrendered myself to death," etc., before tva.

Arise, let us go hence ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon เ \rho \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon, a \gamma \omega \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \cup \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Imperative present middle of $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon!\rho \omega$ and the volitive (hortatory) subjunctive $\alpha \gamma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ (the word used in 11:7,16) of going to meet death. Apparently the group arose and walked out into the night and the rest of the talk (chs. 15 and 16) and prayer (ch. 17) was in the shadows on the way to Gethsemane.

## John 15

John 15:1
The true vine ( $\eta \alpha \mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda$ oc $\eta \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \iota v \eta$ ). "The vine the genuine." Assuming that the Lord's Supper had just been instituted by Jesus the metaphor of the vine is naturally suggested by "the fruit of the vine" (Mr 14:25; Mt 26:29). A $\mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda$ os in the papyri (Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary) is sometimes used in the sense of ampelon (vineyard), but not so here. Jesus uses various metaphors to illustrate himself and his work (the light, 8:12; the door, $10: 7$; the shepherd, 10:11; the vine, 15:1). The vine was common in Palestine. See Ps $80: 8 \mathrm{f}$. "On the Maccabean coinage Israel was represented by a vine" (Dods). Jesus is the genuine Messianic vine.

The husbandman (o $\gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma \circ \varsigma$ ) as in Mr 12:1; Jas 5:7; 2Ti 2:6 . cf. 1Co 3:9 , $\theta \varepsilon o v \gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma$ юov (God's field).

## John 15:2

Branch ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ ). Old word from $\kappa \lambda \alpha \omega$, to break, common in LXX for offshoots of the vine, in N.T. only here (verses 2-6), elsewhere in N.T. $\kappa \lambda \alpha \delta o s$ (Mr 4:32, etc.), also from $\kappa \lambda \alpha \omega$, both words meaning tender and easily broken parts.

In me ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o}$ ). Two kinds of connexion with Christ as the vine (the merely cosmic which bears no fruit, the spiritual and vital which bears fruit). The fruitless (not bearing fruit, $\mu \eta \varphi \varepsilon \rho о \nu$ картоv) the vine-dresser "takes away" (aı $\rho \varepsilon$ ) or prunes away. Probably (Bernard) Jesus here refers to Judas.

Cleanseth ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \iota \rho \varepsilon$ ). Present active indicative of old verb $\kappa \alpha \theta a \iota \rho \omega$ (clean) as in verse 3 , only use in N.T., common in the inscriptions for ceremonial cleansing, though $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \iota \zeta \omega$ is more frequent (Heb 10:2).

That it may bear more fruit (ıva карлоv $\pi \lambda \varepsilon ı \circ \vee \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho \eta$ ). Purpose clause with $\imath v \alpha$ and present active subjunctive of $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, "that it may keep on bearing more fruit" (more and more). A good test for modern Christians and church members.

John 15:3
Already ye are clean ( $\eta \delta \eta \nu \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho o \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). Potentially cleansed (Westcott) as in 13:10 which see and 17:19.

John 15:4
Abide in me ( $\mu \varepsilon เ v a \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon v \varepsilon \mu \circ$ ). Constative aorist active imperative of $\mu \varepsilon v \omega$. The only way to continue "clean" (pruned) and to bear fruit is to maintain vital spiritual connexion with Christ (the vine). Judas is gone and Satan will sift the rest of them like wheat (Lu 22:31f. ). Blind complacency is a peril to the preacher.

Of itself ( $\alpha \varphi^{\prime}$ عavtov). As source (from itself) and apart from the vine (cf. 17:17).

Except it abide ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \mu \eta \mu \varepsilon v \eta$ ). Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$, negative $\mu \eta$, and present active (keep on abiding) subjunctive of $\mu \varepsilon v \omega$. Same condition and tense in the application, "except ye abide in me."

John 15:5
Ye the branches ( $v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha)$. Jesus repeats and applies the metaphor of verse 1.

Apart from me ( $\chi \omega \rho ı \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \circ v$ ). See Eph 2:12 for $\chi \omega \rho ı \varsigma$ Xpıбтov. There is nothing for a broken off branch to do but wither and die. For the cosmic relation of Christ see Joh 1:3 ( $\chi \omega \rho ı \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau o v)$.

John 15:6
He is cast forth ( $\varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \theta \eta \varepsilon \xi \omega$ ). Timeless or gnomic use of the first aorist passive indicative of $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ as the conclusion of a third-class condition (see also verses 4,7 for the same condition, only constative aorist subjunctive $\mu \varepsilon \iota v \eta \tau \varepsilon$ and $\mu \varepsilon เ v \eta$ in verse 7 ). The apostles are thus vividly warned against presumption. Jesus as the vine will fulfil his part of the relation as long as the branches keep in vital union with him.

As a branch ( $\omega \varsigma$ то $\kappa \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ ).
And is withered ( $\varepsilon \xi \eta \rho \alpha v \theta \eta$ ). Another timeless first aorist passive indicative, this time of $\xi \eta \rho a ı v \omega$, same timeless use in Jas 1:11 of grass, old and common verb. They gather ( $\sigma v v a \gamma o v \sigma ı v$ ). Plural though subject not expressed, the servants of the vine-dresser gather up the broken off branches.

Are burned ( $\kappa \alpha \iota \tau \alpha$ ). Present passive singular of $\kappa \alpha 1 \omega$, to burn, because $\kappa \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ (branches) is neuter plural. See this vivid picture also in Mt 13:41f.,49f.

John 15:7
Ask whatsoever ye will (o $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \tau \varepsilon \alpha \iota \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Indefinite relative with $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ and present active subjunctive of $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$, to wish, to will, and aorist middle imperative of aıt $\omega$, to ask. This astounding command and promise ( $\gamma \varepsilon v \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$, future middle of $\gamma ı v o \mu \alpha$, it will come to pass) is not without conditions and limitations. It involves such intimate union and harmony with Christ that nothing will be asked out of accord with the mind of Christ and so of the Father. Christ's name is mentioned in 15:16; cf. 14:13; 16:23.

John 15:8
Herein $(\varepsilon v \tau o v \tau \omega)$. That is in the vital union and the much fruit bearing. It points here backwards and forwards.

Is glorified $\left(\varepsilon \delta_{0} \xi \sigma \sigma \theta \eta\right)$. Another gnomic or timeless first aorist passive indicative.
Bear ( $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present active subjunctive, "keep on bearing" much fruit.
And so shall ye be ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Rather "become." Future middle indicative of $\gamma \iota v o \mu \alpha$, though B D L read $\gamma \varepsilon v \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ (after iva like $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). "Become" my disciples (learners) in the fullest sense of rich fruit-bearing according to the text in

John 15:9

Abide ( $\mu \varepsilon เ v a \tau \varepsilon$ ). Constative first aorist active imperative of $\mu \varepsilon \nu \omega$, summing up the whole.

In my love ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \tau \eta \varepsilon \mu \eta)$. Subjunctive possessive pronoun, "in the love that I have for you." Our love for Christ is the result of Christ's love for us and is grounded at bottom in the Father's love for the world ( 3:16). John has $\varepsilon \mu \circ \varsigma 37$ times and always in the words of Jesus (Bernard). But he uses $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ also (verse 10).

John 15:10
Ye will abide ( $\mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ ). Future tense of $\mu \varepsilon v \omega$, conclusion of the third-class condition ( $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and first aorist active subjunctive $\tau \eta \rho \eta \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). The correlative of 14:15. Each involves the other (love and keeping the commandments of Jesus).

And abide ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \omega$ ). The high example of Jesus (the Son) in relation to the Father is set before us as the goal.

John 15:11
 the present subjunctive $\eta$ (some MSS. have $\mu \varepsilon \varepsilon \eta \eta$, may remain), Christ's permanent absolute joy in the disciples.

And that your joy be fulfilled ( $\mathrm{K} \alpha \eta \chi \alpha \rho \alpha \nu \mu \omega \nu \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \eta$ ). Same construction with first aorist (effective) passive subjunctive of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$, consummation of the process preceding.

John 15:12
That ye love one another ( $\mathrm{v} \alpha \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o v \varsigma$ ). Non-final use of $t v \alpha$, introducing a subject clause in apposition with $\varepsilon v \tau 0 \lambda \eta$ (commandment) and the present active subjunctive of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$, "that ye keep on loving one another." See 13:34.

John 15:13
Than this ( $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Ablative case after the comparative adjective $\mu \varepsilon ו \zeta o v \alpha$ and feminine agreeing with $\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \varsigma$ (love) understood.

That a man lay down his life ( $\imath v \alpha \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \tau \eta \nu \psi v \chi \eta v$ avtov $\theta \eta$ ). Object clause (non-final use of tva in apposition with the ablative pronoun $\tau \alpha v \tau \eta$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\tau 1 \theta \eta \mu$. For the phrase see $10: 11$ of the good shepherd. Cf. 1Jo 3:16; Ro 5:7f.

For his friends (vл $\varepsilon \rho \tau \omega v \varphi i \lambda \omega \nu \alpha \tau \tau 0)$ ). "In behalf of his friends" and so "in place of his friends." "Self-sacrifice is the high-water mark of love" (Dods). For this use of $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ see Joh 11:50; Ga 3:13; 2Co 5:14f.; Ro 5:7f .

John 15:14
If ye do ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \pi 0 ı \eta \tau \varepsilon)$. Condition of third class with $\varepsilon a v$ and the present active subjunctive, "if ye keep on doing," not just spasmodic obedience. Just a different way of saying what is in verse 10 . Obedience to Christ's commands is a prerequisite to discipleship and fellowship (spiritual friendship with Christ). He repeats it in the Great Commission (Mt 28:20, $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ו \lambda \alpha \mu \eta \nu$, I commanded) with the very word used here ( $\varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o \mu \alpha$, I command).

John 15:15

No longer (ouk\&т). As he had done in 13:16. He was their Rabbi (1:38; 13:13) and Lord ( 13:13). Paul gloried in calling himself Christ's סou入oc (bond-slave).

Servants (סou入ouc). Bond-servants, slaves.
I have called you friends ( $\nu \mu \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon є \eta \kappa \alpha ~ \varphi \iota \lambda o u \varsigma)$. Perfect active indicative, permanent state of new dignity. They will prove worthy of it by continued obedience to Christ as Lord, by being good $\delta$ ou $\lambda \mathrm{o}$. Abraham was called the Friend of God (Jas 2:23). Are we friends of Christ?

John 15:16
But I chose you ( $\left.\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \varepsilon \gamma \omega \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \mu \eta \nu v \mu \alpha \varsigma\right)$. First aorist middle indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$. See this same verb and tense used for the choice of the disciples by Christ ( $6: 70 ; 13: 18 ; 15: 19$ ). Jesus recognizes his own responsibility in the choice after a night of prayer (Lu 6:13). So
 active indicative ( $\kappa$ aorist) of $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$. Note three present active subjunctives with $v \alpha \alpha$ (purpose clause) to emphasize continuance ( $v \pi \alpha \gamma \eta \tau \varepsilon$, keep on going, $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \eta \tau \varepsilon$, keep on bearing fruit, $\mu \varepsilon v \eta$, keep on abiding), not a mere spurt, but permanent growth and fruit-bearing.

He may give ( $\delta \omega$ ). Second aorist active subjunctive of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ with iva (purpose clause). Cf. 14:13 for the same purpose and promise, but with $\pi$ rom $\sigma \omega$ (I shall do). See also 16:23f.,26

John 15:17
That ye may love one another (iva $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o u \varsigma)$. Repetition of 13:34; 15:12. This very night the disciples had been guilty of jealousy and wrangling (Lu 22:24; Joh 13:5,15 ).

John 15:18
If the world hateth you ( $\varepsilon$ о коб $\mu \circ \varsigma \nu \mu \propto \varsigma \mu \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Condition of the first class. As it certainly does.

Ye know ( $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present active second person plural indicative of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ or present active imperative (know), same form.

Hath hated ( $\mu \varepsilon \mu \tau \sigma \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative, "has hated and still hates."
Before it hateth you ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \nu \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Ablative case $\nu \mu \omega v$ after the superlative $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma v$ as with $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ тo $\mu 0$ in $1: 15$.

John 15:19
The world would love its own (o коб $\mu \circ \varsigma \alpha \nu$ to $\delta \delta \iota v \varepsilon \varphi \iota \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Conclusion of secondclass condition (determined as unfulfilled), regular idiom with $\alpha v$ and imperfect indicative in present time.

But because ye are not of the world (от $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \kappa$ тov коб $\mu$ оv оок $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). Definite and specific reason for the world's hatred of real Christians whose very existence is a reproach to the sinful world. Cf. 7:7; 17:14; 1Jo 3:13. Does the world hate us? If not, why not? Has the world become more Christian or Christians more worldly?

John 15:20
Remember ( $\mu \nu \eta \mu$ ovevete). Present active imperative of $\mu \nu \eta \mu$ ovev $\omega$, old verb from $\mu \nu \eta \mu \omega \nu$, in John again in 16:4,21. See 13:16 for this word.

If they persecuted me ( $\varepsilon \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \omega \omega \xi \alpha v)$. Condition of first class. They certainly did persecute (first aorist active of $\delta \omega \omega \omega$, to chase like a wild beast like the Latin persequor, our "persecute") Jesus ( 5:16). They will persecute those like Jesus. Cf. 16:33; Mr 10:30; Lu 21:12; 1Co 4:12; 2Co 4:9; Ga 4:29; 2Ti 3:12 for proof that this prophecy came true. But the alternative is true and is stated by Jesus with a like condition of the first class, "if they kept my word" ( $\varepsilon$ tov $\lambda o \gamma o v ~ \mu o v ~ \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. The world does praise the word of Jesus, but dreads to follow it.

John 15:21
Unto you ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \nu \mu \varsigma)$. Like the dative $v \mu \nu v$ (Textus Receptus) as in the papyri and modern Greek (Robertson, Grammar, p. 594).

For my name's sake ( $\delta 1 \alpha$ тo ovo $\mu \alpha \mu o v$ ). See verse 20 . See this same warning and language in Mt 10:22; Mr 13:13; Mt 24:9; Lu 21:17 ). There is little difference in meaning from عveкยv $\mu \circ \boldsymbol{\sim}$ (Mr 13:9; Lu 21:12). Loyalty to the name of Christ will bring persecution as they will soon know (Ac 5:41; Php 1:29; 1Pe 4:14 ). About the world's ignorance of God see Lu 23:34; Ac 3:17; Joh 16:3.

John 15:22
They had not had $\sin$ ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha v$ оик $\varepsilon \ell \chi \circ \sigma \alpha v$ ). Conclusion of condition of second class without $\alpha v$ because context makes it clear (vov $\delta \varepsilon$ ) without it (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1013). The imperfect active indicative with -o oav instead of -ov (also in verse 24 ) as common in the LXX, and occurs in the papyri and the inscriptions and the Boeotian dialect.

Excuse ( $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \alpha \sigma \imath v$ ). Old word ( 1 Th 2:5) either from $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \alpha \iota v \omega$, to show forth, or $\pi \rho о \varphi \eta \mu$, to speak forth. Mere pretence, in John only here and verse 24.

John 15:23
My Father also ( $\kappa \alpha \operatorname{\tau ov} \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \mu \circ v$ ). Because Christ reveals God (14:9) and to dishonour Christ is to dishonour God ( $5: 23$ ). The coming of Christ has revealed the weight of sin on those who reject him.

John 15:24
They have both seen and hated ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \omega$ ракабıv ка $\mu \varepsilon \mu \iota \sigma \eta \kappa \alpha \sigma \iota v$ ). Perfect active indicative of opa $\omega$ and $\mu$ I $\sigma \omega$, permanent attitude and responsibility. The "world" and the ecclesiastics (Sanhedrin) had united in this attitude of hostility to Christ and in reality to God.

John 15:25
But this cometh to pass ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ). Ellipsis in the Greek (no verb), as in 9:3; 13:18.
In their law ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega$ vo $\mu \omega$ av $\tau \omega v$ ). Cf. 8:17; 10:34 for this standpoint. "Law" (vo $\rho \circ \varsigma$ ) here is for the whole of Scripture as in 12:34. The allusion is to Ps 69:4 (or Ps 35:19). The hatred of the Jews toward Jesus the promised Messiah ( $1: 11$ ) is "part of the mysterious purpose of

God" (Bernard) as shown by $\tau v \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \eta$ (first aorist passive subjunctive of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$, to fulfil).

Without a cause ( $\delta \omega \rho \varepsilon \alpha v$ ). Adverbial accusative of $\delta \omega \rho \varepsilon \alpha$ from $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, gratuitously, then unnecessarily or gratis (in two Koine tablets, Nageli) as here and Ga 2:21 .

John 15:26
When the Comforter is come (oтav $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ о $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \tau о \varsigma)$. Indefinite temporal clause with otav and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, "whenever the Comforter comes."

Whom I will send unto you from the Father ( $o v \varepsilon \gamma \omega \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \omega \nu \mu \nu \tau \alpha \rho \alpha$ tov $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o \varsigma)$. As in 16:7, but in 14:16,26 the Father sends at the request of or in the name of Jesus. Cf. Lu 24:49; Ac 2:33. This is the Procession of the Holy Spirit from the Father and from the Son.

Which (o). Grammatical neuter to agree with $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu a$, and should be rendered "who" like o in 14:26.

Proceedeth from the Father ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ тov $\pi \alpha \tau \rho о \varsigma \varepsilon к \pi о \rho \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$ ) "From beside the Father" as in the preceding clause.

Shall bear witness of me ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \mu \circ v$ ). Future active of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \omega$. This is the mission of the Paraclete ( $16: 14$ ) as it should be ours.

John 15:27
And ye also bear witness ( $\kappa \alpha \nu \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present active indicative or imperative (do ye bear witness), same form of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau 0 \rho \varepsilon \omega$. "Ye also" as well as the Holy Spirit, ye also when filled with and taught by the Holy Spirit the things concerning Jesus. It is here that Christians fail most.

Have been ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon)$. Progressive present of $\varepsilon \mu$, "are with me from the beginning of my ministry as in 14:9. They were chosen to be with Christ (Mr 3:14).

## John 16

John 16:1
That ye should not be made to stumble (ıva $\mu \eta \sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Purpose clause with negative $\mu \eta$ and first aorist passive of $\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda \iota \zeta \omega$, common verb in the Synoptics (Mt 13:21 ) "the $\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda \alpha$ of faith, the stumblingblocks which trip up a disciple" (Bernard), in John only 6:61 and here (cf. 1Jo 2:10).

John 16:2
 will make you outcasts from the synagogues." Predicate accusative of the compound adjective $\alpha \pi о \sigma v v a \gamma \omega \gamma$ ос for which see 9:22; 12:42 .

Yea ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ). Use of $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ as co-ordinating conjunction, not adversative.
That ( $\mathrm{t} \alpha$ ) not in the sense of "when" (ote), but as in 12:23 for God's purpose (Lu 2:34 , $0 \pi \omega \varsigma$ ).

Shall think ( $\delta$ о $\xi \eta$ ). First aorist active subjunctive of $\delta$ окع $\omega$. "So blind will he be" (Bernard).

That he offereth service unto God ( $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon ı \alpha v \pi \rho \circ \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon ⿺ \nu \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). Infinitive (present active) indirect discourse after $\delta 0 \xi \eta$. For the phrase see Heb 6:1ff.; 8:3ff.; 9:7f. . The rabbis so felt when they crucified Jesus and when they persecuted the disciples (Ac 6:13; 7:57f. ). No persecution is more bitter than when done by religious enthusiasts and bigots like the Spanish Inquisition.

John 16:3
Because (oт). Definite reason for the religious hatred is ignorance of God and Christ as in 15:21.

John 16:4
Have I spoken ( $\lambda \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \kappa \alpha$ ). Perfect active indicative as in 15:11; 16:1 . Solemn repetition.
When their hour is come (o $o \alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \eta \omega \rho \alpha \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Indefinite temporal clause, o $o \alpha v$ with the second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, "whenever their hour comes." The time appointed for these things.

Now that (oт). Simply "that" (declarative conjunction in indirect discourse. Forewarned is to be forearmed. Cf. 13:19.

From the beginning ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma)$. As in 6:64 but practically like $\alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma$ in 15:27. While Christ was with them, he was the object of attack ( 15:18).

John 16:5
And none of you asketh me ( $\kappa \alpha$ ov $\delta \varepsilon ı \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \nu \mu \omega \nu \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon$ ). Adversative use of $\kappa \alpha=$ "and yet" as in $1: 10$. Now that they realize that Jesus is going, the thoughts of the disciples turn on themselves and they cease asking the query of Peter ( $13: 36$ ).

John 16:6

Sorrow hath filled ( $\eta \lambda \cup \pi \eta \eta \varepsilon \pi \lambda \lambda \rho \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). This word is not used of Jesus in the Gospels, in John only in this chapter. Perfect active indicative of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$. They do not see their way to go on without Jesus.

John 16:7
It is expedient for you ( $\sigma \nu \mu \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \nu \mu \nu \nu$ ). Present active indicative of $\sigma \nu \mu \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, old verb to bear together. See 11:50 where the phrase is used by Caiaphas "for us," here "for you" (v $\mu \mathrm{v}$ ethical dative).

That I go away ( $\imath v \alpha \varepsilon \gamma \omega \alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega$ ). Subject clause the subject of $\sigma \nu \mu \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$, $v \alpha$ and second aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. The reason ( $\gamma \alpha \rho$ ) for this startling statement follows.

If I go not away ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta \alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega$ ). Third-class condition with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and the negative $\mu \eta$ with $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega$ as before.

Will not come (ov $\mu \eta \varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ ). Strong double negative with second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. The Holy Spirit was, of course, already at work in the hearts of men, but not in the sense of witnessing as Paraclete which could only take place after Jesus had gone back to the Father.

But if I go ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \delta \varepsilon \pi о \rho \varepsilon \cup \theta \omega$ ). Third-class condition again ( $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\pi о \rho \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha)$.

I will send $(\pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \omega)$. First person future as in 15.
John 16:8
And he ( $\kappa \alpha$ عкєıvoৎ). Emphatic demonstrative masculine pronoun.
When he is come ( $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega v$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, "having come" or "coming."

Will convict the world ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \xi \varepsilon$ tov коб $\mu \circ v$ ). Future active of $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi \omega$, old word for confuting, convicting by proof already in 3:29; 8:46. Jesus had been doing this ( $7: 7$ ), but this is pre-eminently the work of the Holy Spirit and the most needed task today for our complacent age.

In respect of $\sin (\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. Concerning the reality of sin as missing the mark and as wronging God and man, and not a mere slip or animal instinct or devoid of moral responsibility or evil. Some scientists and psychologists (Freudians and behaviourists) seem bent on destroying man's sense of sin. Hence crime waves even in youth.

And of righteousness ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \delta \kappa \alpha เ о \sigma \cup v \eta \varsigma) . ~ T h e ~ o p p o s i t e ~ o f ~ " s i n " ~ a n d ~ t o ~ b e ~ y e a r n e d ~$ for after conviction. Cf. Ro 1:19-3:21 about the necessity of the God-kind of righteousness and the Sermon on the Mount for Christ's idea of righteousness.

And of judgment ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \kappa \rho \iota \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). As certain to come as condemnation because of $\sin$ and the lack of righteousness. These are not played out motives in human life, but basal. For this ministry we have the help of the Paraclete. The Paraclete is here spoken of "not as man's advocate with God (1Jo 2:1 ), but as Christ's advocate with the world" (Bernard).

John 16:9

Because they believe not on me (o $\circ$ ov $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o v \sigma เ v \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). Without this conviction by the Paraclete such men actually have a pride of intellectual superiority in refusing to believe on Jesus.

John 16:10
And ye behold me no more ( $\kappa \alpha$ оикєт $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). With the bodily eyes and without the Holy Spirit they are unable to behold Jesus with the spiritual vision ( 14:19). Without Christ they lose the sense of righteousness as is seen in the "new morals" (immorality, loose views of marriage, etc.).

John 16:11
Because the prince of this world hath been judged (от о $\alpha \rho \chi \omega v$ tov коб $\mu$ оv tov tov $\kappa \varepsilon \kappa \rho \iota \tau \alpha)$. Cf. 12:31; 14:31 for the title. Perfect passive indicative of $\kappa \rho \iota v \omega$. He stands condemned. The sinful world is in his grip, but he will be cast out ( 12:31).

John 16:12
But ye cannot bear them now ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov $\delta v v \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \zeta \varepsilon ı v \alpha \rho \tau$ ). The literal sense of $\beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \zeta \omega$, to bear, occurs in 12:6. For the figurative as here see Ac 15:10. The untaught cannot get the full benefit of teaching (1Co 3:1; Heb 5:11-14). The progressive nature of revelation is a necessity.

John 16:13
Howbeit ( $\delta \varepsilon$ ). One of the most delicate and difficult particles to translate, varying from "and" to "but."

When he, the Spirit of truth, is come (oт $\alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ єкะเvoo, тo $\pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ા \alpha \varsigma)$. Indefinite relative clause (otav and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, no futurum exactum), "whenever he comes." Note eкะıvos (masculine demonstrative pronoun, though followed by neuter $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu a$ in apposition. See 15:26 for this phrase about the Holy Spirit. He shall guide you (o $\delta \eta \gamma \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). Future active of old verb o $\delta \eta \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ (from o $\delta \eta \gamma \circ \varsigma$, from oठoৎ, way, $\eta \gamma \varepsilon \circ \mu \alpha$, to lead). See Ps $24: 5$ for "lead me into thy truth" (o $\delta \eta \gamma \eta \sigma o \nu \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau \eta$ $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha v \sigma o v)$. Christ is both the Way and the Truth (14:6) and the Holy Spirit is the Guide who shows the way to the Truth (verse 14). This he does gradually. We are still learning the truth in Christ.

From himself ( $\alpha \varphi^{\prime}$ عautov). In this he is like Christ ( $1: 26 ; 12: 49 ; 14: 10$ ).
He shall declare ( $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Future active of $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, as in 4:25. See it also repeated in verse 14.

The things that are yet to come ( $\tau \alpha \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Neuter plural articular participle of ep $\chi \circ \mu \alpha$, "the coming things." This phrase only here in the N.T. The things already begun concerning the work of the Kingdom (Lu 7:19ff.; 18:30) not a chart of future history. See Lu 7:20; Joh 6:14; 11:27 for o epхo $\mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ (the coming one) used of the Messiah.

John 16:14

He shall glorify me ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \delta o \xi \alpha \sigma \varepsilon$ ). This is the glory of the Holy Spirit, to glorify Jesus Christ.

For he shall take of mine (o $\varepsilon \varepsilon \kappa \tau o v \varepsilon \mu \circ v \lambda \eta \mu \psi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Future middle of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$ and a definite promise of the Spirit's guidance in interpreting Christ. One need only refer to Peter's sermon at pentecost after the coming of the Holy Spirit, to Peter's Epistles, to Paul's Epistles, to Hebrews, to John's Epistles, to see how under the tutelage of the Holy Spirit the disciples grew into the fulness of the knowledge of God in the face of Christ (2Co 6:4 ).

John 16:15
 and will reveal to the disciples what they need to know further concerning him. They had failed so far to understand Christ's words about his death and resurrection. The Holy Spirit as Guide and Teacher will teach them what they can only receive and understand after the resurrection and ascension of Jesus.

John 16:16
A little while ( $\mu$ кк $\rho \circ v$ ). The brief period now till Christ's death as in 7:33; 13:33; 14:19

Again a little while ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \mu \kappa \rho \circ \nu)$. The period between the death and the resurrection of Jesus (from Friday afternoon till Sunday morning).

Ye shall see me $(o \psi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon)$. Future middle of o $\quad$ 拉 $\mu \alpha$, the verb used in 1:51; 16:22 as here of spiritual realities (Bernard), though $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$ is so used in 20:14.

John 16:17
Some of the disciples ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \omega \nu \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Ellipsis of time (some) before $\varepsilon \kappa$ as in 7:40. Jesus seemed to contradict himself, for the disciples took both verbs in the same sense and were still puzzled over the going to the Father of 14:3. But they talk to one another, not to Jesus.

John 16:18
We know not what he saith (ovk oı $\delta \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \tau \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ ). The questions to Jesus cease and the disciples frankly confess to each other their own ignorance.

John 16:19
Jesus perceived ( $\varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega$ In $\sigma o v \varsigma$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$.
That they were desirous to ask him (o $\eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda o v a v \tau o v \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \sim v$ ). Imperfect active tense of $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$ in indirect discourse instead of the retention of the present $\theta \varepsilon \lambda o v \sigma \iota v$ (the usual idiom), just like our English. Their embarrassment was manifest after four inquiries already (Peter, Thomas, Philip, Judas). So Jesus takes the initiative.

John 16:20
Ye shall weep and lament ( $\kappa \lambda \alpha \nu \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \rho \eta \nu \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon)$. Future active of $\kappa \lambda \alpha \iota \omega$ and $\theta \rho \eta \nu \varepsilon \omega$, both old words (for $\kappa \lambda \alpha \iota \omega$ see Joh 11:31, for $\theta \rho \eta \nu \varepsilon \omega$ see Mt 11:17 ), both words used of the loud lamentations so common in the east.

Shall rejoice ( $\chi \alpha \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Second future passive of $\chi \propto \iota \rho \omega$ in violent contrast. Picture the women on the way to the Cross ( $\operatorname{Lu} 23: 27$, єколто⿱宀то ка $\varepsilon \theta \rho \eta$ ) imperfects) and Mary Magdalene by the tomb (Joh 20:11 , к $\lambda$ aıov $\alpha$ ).

Ye shall be sorrowful ( $\lambda \cup \pi \eta \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). First future passive of $\lambda \cup \pi \varepsilon \omega$, word for inward grief. See the change from sorrow to joy in 20:14-16 when "they disbelieved for joy" (Lu 24:41 ). So violent was the reaction on the sudden appearance of Jesus.

John 16:21
A woman ( $\eta \gamma \cup v \eta)$. "The woman," any woman.
When she is in travail (o $\tau \alpha v \tau \iota \kappa \tau \eta$ ). Indefinite temporal clause, "whenever she is about to bear (or give birth)," o $\tau \alpha v$ and present active subjunctive of $\tau \kappa \kappa \tau \omega$, common O.T. image for pain.

Her hour is come ( $\eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v \eta \omega \rho \alpha \alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Second aorist active indicative, timeless aorist, "her hour" for giving birth which she knows is like a living death.

But when she is delivered of the child (o $о \alpha v \delta \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v v \eta \sigma \eta$ тo $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota v)$ ). Indefinite temporal clause with otav and first aorist active subjunctive of $\gamma \varepsilon v v a \omega$. "But whenever she bears the child."

The anguish ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \theta \lambda \iota \psi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Genitive case after $\mu \nu \eta \mu \circ v \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ of $\theta \lambda ı \psi \iota \varsigma$, usual word for tribulation (Mt 13:21).

Is born $(\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v v \eta \theta \eta)$. First aorist (effective) passive indicative of $\gamma \varepsilon v v a \omega$.
John 16:22
And ye therefore now ( $\kappa \alpha \nu \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma) \quad \nu \nu v v v$ ). See 8:38 for like emphasis on
ye (v $\nu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. The "sorrow" ( $\lambda \nu \pi \eta v$ ) is like that of the mother in childbirth (real, but fleeting, with permanent joy following). The metaphor points, of course, to the resurrection of Jesus which did change the grief of the disciples to gladness, once they are convinced that Jesus has risen from the dead.

But I will see you again ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota v \delta \varepsilon$ о $\%$ о $\mu \alpha \nu \mu \varsigma)$ ). Future middle of opa $\omega$, to see. In verses 16,19 Jesus had said "ye shall see me" (очєбӨع $\mu \varepsilon$ ), but here we have one more blessed promise, "I shall see you," showing "that we are the objects of God's regard" (Westcott).

Shall rejoice ( $\chi \alpha \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Second future passive of $\chi \alpha \iota \rho \omega$.
Taketh away ( $\alpha \rho \varepsilon$ ). Present active indicative, futuristic present, but B D have $\alpha \rho \varepsilon$ the future active (shall take away). This joy is a permanent possession.

John 16:23
Ye shall ask me nothing ( $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ оик $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Either in the sense of question (original meaning of $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \omega)$ as in verses 19,30 since he will be gone or in the sense of request or favours (like aıt $\varepsilon \omega$ in this verse) as in 14:16; Ac 3:2. In verse 26 both aıt $\varepsilon \omega$ and $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \omega$ occur in this sense. Either view makes sense here.

If ye shall ask ( $\alpha \nu \tau \alpha u \tau \eta \tau \tau \varepsilon$ ). Third-class condition, $\alpha \nu$ like $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ with first aorist active subjunctive of aıt $\varepsilon \omega$. Note 14:26 for "in my name."

## John 16:24

Hitherto ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha \rho \tau)$. Up till now the disciples had not used Christ's name in prayer to the Father, but after the resurrection of Jesus they are to do so, a distinct plea for parity with the Father and for worship like the Father.

May be fulfilled ( $\eta \pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon v \eta$ ). Periphrastic perfect passive subjunctive of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$ in a purpose clause with $\tau v a$. See 15:11 for some verb (first aorist passive subjunctive with $i v \alpha)$ and 1 Jo 1:4 for same form as here, emphasizing the abiding permanence of the joy.

John 16:25
In proverbs ( $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha \rho о \not \mu \alpha ı \varsigma)$. See on 10:6 for this word.
Shall tell ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \omega$ ). Future active of $\alpha \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, to report, correct text and not $\alpha v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \omega$ (verses 13,14, 15 ), as in 1Jo 1:2f.

Plainly ( $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \omega$ ). See on 7:13 for this word.
John 16:26
I say not (ou $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ). "I speak not." Christ did pray for the disciples before his death (Joh 14:16; 17:9,15,24 ) and he prays also for sinners (Lu 23:34; 1Jo 2:1). Here it is the special love of God for disciples of Jesus (Joh 14:21,23; 17:23; 1Jo 4:19). Note aıt $\varepsilon \omega$ and $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \omega$ used in practically the same sense as in verse 23 .

John 16:27
Loveth ( $\varphi \backslash \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Present active indicative of $\varphi \backslash \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, the word for warm and friendly love, here used of God's love for the disciples, while in 3:16 a $\gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$ occurs of God's love for the world.

Ye have loved me ( $\pi \varepsilon \varphi i \lambda \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon)$. Perfect active indicative of $\varphi \backslash \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, "loved and still love me warmly."

And have believed ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi\llcorner\sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon)$. Perfect active indicative again. Recall the exhortation in 14:1.

John 16:28
I came out from the Father ( $\varepsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta$ ov $\varepsilon \kappa$ tov $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma)$. Definite act (aorist), the Incarnation, with repetition of $\varepsilon \kappa$ (out of), while in verse 27 we have $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ tov $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta o v$ ) with no practical distinction between $\varepsilon \kappa$ and $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ in resultant idea.

Am come ( $\varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta \alpha$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, as in 18:37. The Incarnation is now a permanent fact, once only a blessed hope ( 11:27). His leaving the world and going to the Father does not set aside the fact of the Incarnation. Both $\alpha \varphi \imath \eta \mu$ (Ileave) and порعvo $\mu \alpha$ (I go) are futuristic present indicatives.

John 16:29
No proverb ( $\pi \alpha \rho о \not \mu \mu \alpha v$ ov $\delta \varepsilon \mu \iota \alpha v$ ). No wayside saying, no dark saying. See 10:6; 16:25

John 16:30

Now know we ( $v v v$ ot $\delta a \mu \varepsilon v$ ). They had failed to understand the plain words of Jesus about going to the Father heretofore ( $16: 5$ ), but Jesus read their very thoughts (16:19f. ) and this fact seemed to open their minds to grasp his idea.

Should ask ( $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha$ ). Present active subjunctive with $v \alpha \alpha$ in original sense of asking a question.

By this ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau 0 v \tau \omega)$. In Christ's supernatural insight into their very hearts.
From God ( $\alpha \pi \circ$ $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Compare $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ тov $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma$ (verse 27) and $\varepsilon \kappa$ тov $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma$ (verse 28), $\alpha \pi \sigma, \varepsilon \kappa, \pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ all with the ablative of source or origin.

John 16:31
Do ye now believe? (apt пıбтєuعtє;). For $\alpha \rho \tau$ (just now) see 9:19; 13:33,37. Their belief in Christ was genuine as far as it went, but perils await them of which they are ignorant. They are too self-confident as their despair at Christ's death shows.

John 16:32
Cometh ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Futuristic present middle indicative of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$.
Yea, is come ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda v \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Explanatory use of $\kappa \alpha$ and the perfect active indicative as in 12:23. The long-looked-for hour ( $\omega \rho \alpha$ ) is so close that it has virtually begun. The time for the arrest of Jesus is near. See also 17:1.

Ye shall be scattered ( $\sigma \kappa о \rho \pi \iota \sigma \emptyset \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive of $\sigma \kappa о \rho \pi \iota \zeta \omega$, used in 10:12 of sheep scampering from the wolf. Cf. Mt 12:30; Lu 11:33.

To his own ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha$ ). "To his own home" as in 1:11; 19:27. So Appian VI. 23.
Shall leave ( $\alpha \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist subjunctive of $\alpha \varphi \imath \eta \mu$ with $\imath v \alpha$.
And yet ( $\kappa \alpha$ ). Clear case of $\kappa \alpha$ in adversative sense, not just "and."
John 16:33
That in me ye may have peace ( $\imath v \alpha \varepsilon v \varepsilon \mu \circ \varepsilon \mid \rho \eta \nu \eta v \varepsilon \chi \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, "that ye may keep on having peace in me," even when I am put to death, peace to be found nowhere save in me (14:27).

Be of good cheer ( $\theta a \rho \sigma \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ ). Imperative active from $\theta a \rho \sigma o \varsigma$, courage (Ac 28:15). A word for courage in the face of danger, only here in John, but see Mt 9:2,22; Mr 10:49.

I have overcome the world ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$, vevıкךка $\operatorname{\tau ov}$ коб $\mu \circ v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\nu$ vka $\omega$, to be victorious, to conquer. Always of spiritual victory in the N.T. See 1Jo 5:4f. This majestic proclamation of victory over death may be compared with $\tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha$ ( It is finished ) in Joh 19:30 as Christ died and with Paul's v $\tau \varepsilon \rho v ı \kappa \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ (we are more than conquerors) in Ro 8:37.

## John 17

John 17:1
Lifting up ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \rho \omega$, old and common verb with o $\varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu$ ovs (eyes) as in 4:35; 6:5; 11:41 .

Father (Пate ). Vocative form as in verses 5,11; 11:41, Christ's usual way of beginning his prayers. It is inconceivable that this real Lord's Prayer is the free composition of a disciple put into the mouth of Jesus. It is rather "the tenacious memory of an old man recalling the greatest days of his life" (Bernard), aided by the Holy Spirit promised for this very purpose (Joh 14:26; 16:13f. ). Jesus had the habit of prayer (Mr 1:35; 6:46; Mt 11:25f.; Lu 3:21; 5:16; $6: 12 ; 9: 18,28 ; 11: 22,42 ; 23: 34,46$; Joh $11: 41 ; 12: 27$ ). He prayed here for himself ( $1-5$ ), for the disciples (6-19), for all believers (20-26). The prayer is similar in spirit to the Model Prayer for us in Mt 6:9-13. The hour for his glorification has come as he had already told the disciples (13:31f.; 12:23 ).

Glorify thy Son ( $\delta o \xi \alpha \sigma 0 v \sigma o v ~ \tau o v v o v)$. First aorist active imperative of $\delta o \xi \alpha \zeta \omega$, the only personal petition in this prayer. Jesus had already used this word $\delta_{0} \xi \alpha \zeta \omega$ for his death (13:31f. ). Here it carries us into the very depths of Christ's own consciousness. It is not merely for strength to meet the Cross, but for the power to glorify the Father by his death and resurrection and ascension, "that the Son may glorify thee" (ı $\alpha$ o vıs $\delta о \xi \alpha \sigma \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Purpose clause with $t v a$ and the first aorist active subjunctive.

## John 17:2

Authority over all flesh ( $\varepsilon \xi$ оvoıav $\pi \alpha \sigma \eta \varsigma \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa о \varsigma) . \Sigma \alpha \rho \kappa о \varsigma$ is objective genitive. Stupendous claim impossible for a mere man to make. Made already in Mt 11:27; Lu 10:22 (Q, the Logia of Jesus, our earliest known document about Jesus) and repeated in Mt 28:18 after his resurrection.

That ( $\imath v \alpha$ ). Secondary purpose with $\imath v \alpha \delta \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ (future active indicative) carrying on the idea of $\imath v \alpha \delta o \xi \alpha \sigma \eta$. See 13:34; 17:21 for $\imath v \alpha, \kappa \alpha \theta \omega \sigma, ~ \imath v \alpha$.

Whatsoever ( $\pi \alpha \vee 0$ ). A peculiar classical Greek idiom, the collective use of the singular $\pi \alpha v o$ as in 6:37,39 and o in 17:24 and the nominative absolute (nom. pendens) with avtors (to them), the dative plural explaining the construction. See Robertson, Grammar, p. 653.

John 17:3
Should know ( $\gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). Present active subjunctive with $\iota v a$ (subject clause), "should keep on knowing."

Even Jesus Christ (Iף oovv X $\rho \stackrel{\tau \tau}{ }$ ). See 1:17 for the only other place in John's Gospel where the words occur together. Coming here in the Lord's own prayer about himself they create difficulty, unless, as Westcott suggests, X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 v$ be regarded as a predicate accusative, "Jesus as the Christ" (Messiah). Otherwise the words would seem to be John's parenthetical interpretation of the idea of Jesus. Lucke thinks that the solemnity of this occasion explains

Jesus referring to himself in the third person. The knowledge of "the only true God" is through Jesus Christ ( 14:6-9).

John 17:4
I glorified thee on the earth ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta o \xi \alpha \sigma \alpha \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. Verse 3 is parenthetical and so verse 4 goes on after verse 2 . He had prayed for further glorification.

Having accomplished ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \omega \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota o \omega$, old verb from $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ו \circ \varsigma$ (perfect). Used in $4: 34$ by Jesus with to $\varepsilon \rho \gamma o v$ as here. That was Christ's "food" ( $\beta \rho \omega \mu \alpha)$ and joy. Now as he faces death he has no sense of failure as some modern critics say, but rather fulness of attainment as in 19:30 ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha)$. Christ does not die as a disappointed man, but as the successful messenger, apostle ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon 1 \lambda \alpha \varsigma$, verse 3 ) of the Father to men.

Thou hast given ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha \varsigma)$. Perfect active indicative of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, regarded as a permanent task.

John 17:5
With thine own self ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \omega$ ). "By the side of thyself." Jesus prays for full restoration to the pre-incarnate glory and fellowship (cf. 1:1) enjoyed before the Incarnation (Joh 1:14 ). This is not just ideal pre-existence, but actual and conscious existence at the Father's side ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma 0$, with thee) "which I had" ( $\eta \varepsilon \chi \chi \circ v$, imperfect active of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, I used to have, with attraction of case of $\eta \nu$ to $\eta$ because of $\delta o \xi \eta$ ), "before the world was" ( $\pi \rho o$ tov tov $\kappa 0 \sigma \mu \circ v \varepsilon เ v a$ ), "before the being as to the world" (cf. verse 24). It is small wonder that those who deny or reject the deity of Jesus Christ have trouble with the Johannine authorship of this book and with the genuineness of these words. But even Harnack admits that the words here and in verse 24 are "undoubtedly the reflection of the certainty with which Jesus himself spoke" (What Is Christianity, Engl. Tr., p. 132). But Paul, as clearly as John, believes in the actual pre-existence and deity of Jesus Christ (Php 2:5-11 ).

John 17:6
I manifested ( $\varepsilon \varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \omega \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \circ \omega$ (from $\varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$, manifest). Another word for claiming successful accomplishment of his task as in verse 4 with $\varepsilon \delta \circ \xi \alpha \sigma \alpha$ and in verse 26 with $\varepsilon \gamma v \omega \rho \circ \sigma \alpha$.

Whom (ovc). Accusative case after $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha \varsigma$, not attracted to case of antecedent ( $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ тья). Jesus regards the apostles as the Father's gift to him. Recall the night of prayer before he chose them.

They have kept ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho \eta \kappa \alpha v$ ). Perfect active indicative, late Koine form for the third plural instead of the usual $\tau \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho \eta \kappa \alpha \sigma \iota v$. Jesus claims loyalty and fidelity in these men with the one exception of Judas (verse 12). He does not claim perfection for them, but they have at least held on to the message of the Father in spite of doubt and wavering (6:67-71; Mt 16:15-20 ).

John 17:7

Now they know ( $v v v \varepsilon \gamma v \omega \kappa \alpha v$ ). Perfect active indicative third plural like $\tau \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho \eta \kappa \alpha v$ above. They have come to know, not as fully as they felt ( $16: 30$ ), and yet in a real sense.

John 17:8
The words ( $\tau \alpha \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Plural, each word of God, as in $3: 34$, and of Christ (5:47; 6:63,68 ), while the singular ( $\tau \circ \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda o \gamma o v \sigma o v$ ) in verses 6,14 views God's message as a whole.

Knew ( $\varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ like $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o v$ in contrast with $\varepsilon \gamma v \omega \kappa \alpha v$ (perfect) in verse 7 . They definitely "received and recognized truly" ( $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \omega \varsigma$ ). There was comfort to Christ in this fact.

They believed ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha v$ ). Another aorist parallel with $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o v$ and $\varepsilon \gamma v \omega \sigma \alpha v$. The disciples believed in Christ's mission from the Father (Joh 6:69; Mt 16:16). Note $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon ו \lambda a \varsigma$, here as in verse 3. Christ is God's

Apostle to man (Heb 3:1). This statement, like a solemn refrain ( $\Theta o v \delta \iota \delta \sigma \tau \sigma \varepsilon v \delta \mu \varepsilon$ ), occurs five times in this prayer (verses 8,18,21,23,25).

John 17:9
I pray ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \omega$ ). Request, not question, as in 16:23.
Not for the world (ov $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ tov $\kappa \circ \sigma \mu \circ v$ ). Now at this point in the prayer Christ means. In verse 19 Jesus does pray for the world (for future believers) that it may believe (verse 21). God loves the whole world ( 3:16). Christ died for sinners (Ro 5:8) and prayed for sinners (Lu 23:34) and intercedes for sinners (1Jo 2:1f.; Ro 8:34; Heb 7:25 ).

For those whom ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \omega v$ ). A condensed and common Greek idiom for $\pi \varepsilon \rho \tau 0 \cup \tau \omega v$ ouc with $\tau 0 u \tau \omega v$ (the demonstrative antecedent) omitted and the relative ouc attracted from the accusative ovc (object of $\delta \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha \varsigma$ ) to the case (genitive) of the omitted antecedent.

John 17:10
Are ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). Singular number in the Greek (is), not the plural $\varepsilon เ \sigma \iota v$ (are), emphasizing the unity of the whole as in 16:15. "This no creature can say in reference to God" (Luther).

I am glorified in them ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta o \xi \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha \varepsilon v$ avtoıऽ). "I stand glorified (perfect passive indicative of $\delta$ o $\xi \alpha \zeta \omega$ ) in the disciples" ( $\varepsilon v \alpha v \tau o เ \varsigma)$, in spite of all their shortcomings and failings. There is comfort for us in this.

John 17:11
And these (ка оито or avто, they). Note adversative use of $\kappa \alpha$ (= but these).
I come ( $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \circ \mu \alpha)$. Futuristic present, "I am coming." Cf. 13:3; 14:12; 17:13 . Christ will no longer be visibly present to the world, but he will be with the believers through the Holy Spirit (Mt 28:20).

Holy Father ( $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \nmid \varepsilon$ ). Only here in the N.T., but see 1Jo 2:20; Lu 1:49 for the holiness of God, a thoroughly Jewish conception. See Joh 6:69 where Peter calls Jesus o aүtoc tou $\theta \varepsilon o v$. For the word applied to saints see Ac 9:13. See verse 25 for $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho \delta$ ıкаıє (Righteous Father).

Keep them ( $\tau \eta \rho \eta \sigma o v a v \tau o u \varsigma)$. First aorist (constative) active imperative of $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$, as now specially needing the Father's care with Jesus gone (urgency of the aorist tense in prayer).

Which $(\omega)$. Locative case of the neuter relative singular, attracted from the accusative $o$ to the case of the antecedent ovouat (name).

That they may be one ( $\imath \alpha \omega \sigma \tau v v$ ). Purpose clause with $v \alpha$ and the present active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \mu$ (that they may keep on being). Oneness of will and spirit ( $\varepsilon v$, neuter singular), not one person ( (ع๒, masculine singular) for which Christ does not pray. Each time Jesus uses $\varepsilon v$ (verses 11,21,22) and once, $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma v$, "into one" (verse 23). This is Christ's prayer for all believers, for unity, not for organic union of which we hear so much. The disciples had union, but lacked unity or oneness of spirit as was shown this very evening at the supper ( Lu 22:24; Joh 13:4-15 ). Jesus offers the unity in the Trinity (three persons, but one God) as the model for believers. The witness of the disciples will fail without harmony ( 17:21).

John 17:12
I kept ( $\varepsilon \tau \eta \rho o u v$ ). Imperfect active of $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$, "I continued to keep."
I guarded ( $\varepsilon \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \xi \alpha)$. First aorist (constative) active of $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$. Christ was the sentinel ( $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \xi$, Ac 5:23 ) for them. Is he our sentinel now?

But the son of perdition ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta$ o vioc $\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha \varsigma$ ). The very phrase for antichrist (2Th 2:3). Note play on $\alpha \pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon \tau 0$, perished (second aorist middle indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$ ). It means the son marked by final loss, not annihilation, but meeting one's destiny (Ac 2:25 ). A sad and terrible exception (Mr 14:21).

The scripture ( $\eta \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta$ ). It is not clear whether this is John's own comment or the word of Jesus. Not in 18:9. The Scripture referred to is probably Ps $41: 9$ quoted in 13:18 with the same formula $v a \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \eta$ which see there.

John 17:13
That they may have my joy fulfilled in themselves ( $1 v \alpha \varepsilon \chi \omega \sigma \tau \nu \tau \eta \nu \alpha \rho \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \mu \eta v$ $\pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon v \eta \nu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau o เ c)$. Purpose clause with present active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, "that they may keep on having Christ's joy in their faithfulness realized in themselves." Пع $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \nu$ is the perfect passive participle of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$ in the predicate position. For the use of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$ with $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha$ (joy) see 15:11; 16:24; Php 2:2 .

John 17:14
Not of the world (оик $\varepsilon \kappa$ тоv коб $\mu \circ v$ ). They are "in the world" ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega$ коб $\mu \omega$, verse 13)
 be like the world nor get their spirit, standards, and message "out of the world," else they can do the world no good. These verses (14-19) picture the Master's ideal for believers and go far towards explaining the failure of Christians in winning the world to Christ. Too often the world fails to see the difference or the gain by the change.

John 17:15

Shouldest take ( $\alpha \rho \eta \stackrel{)}{ }$ ). First aorist active subjunctive of alp $\omega$ (liquid verb).
From the evil one ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ tov поvŋрои). Ablative case with $\varepsilon \kappa$, but can mean the evil man, Satan, or the evil deed. See same ambiguity in Mt 6:13. But in 1Jo 5:18 o тovnpos is masculine (the evil one). Cf. Re 3:10 .

John 17:16
Repetition of verse 14 for emphasis.
John 17:17
Sanctify ( $\alpha \gamma \iota \alpha \sigma o v$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\alpha \gamma ı \alpha \zeta \omega$. To consecrate or set apart persons or things to God. See Ex 28:41; 29:1,36; 40:13. See Paul's prayer for the Thessalonians (1Th 5:23 ). This is done in the sphere ( $\varepsilon v$ ) of truth (God's truth), God's Word (not human speculation, but God's message to us).

John 17:18
Sent I them ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon 1 \lambda \alpha$ autouc). The very verb ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ ) used of the original commission of these men (Mr 3:14) and the special commission (Lu 9:2) and the renewal of the commission after the resurrection (Joh 20:21f. , both $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ and $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega$ here).

John 17:19
I sanctify myself ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \alpha \gamma ı \omega \zeta \omega \varepsilon \mu \alpha \cup \tau o v)$. To his holy ministry to which the Father "sanctified" ( $\eta \gamma \iota a \sigma \varepsilon v$ ) him (Joh 10:36 ).

That they themselves also may be sanctified in truth (ıva $\omega \sigma \iota v$ ка $\alpha \nu \tau о ~ \eta \gamma ı \sigma \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o ~ \varepsilon v$ $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha)$. Purpose clause with $\imath v \alpha$ and the periphrastic perfect passive subjunctive of $\alpha \gamma \iota \omega \zeta \omega$ (that they may remain sanctified). The act of Christ helps us, but by no means takes the place of personal consecration on the part of the believer. This high and holy prayer and act of Christ should shame any one who uses the livery of heaven to serve the devil in as does, alas, sometimes happen (2Co 11:13-15).

John 17:20
Through their word ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ $\tau 0 v \lambda o \gamma o v ~ a v \tau \omega v$ ). Through the agency of conversation and preaching, blessed privilege open to all believers thus to win men to Christ, but an agency sadly limited by the lives of those who speak in Christ's name.

John 17:21
That they also may be in us (ıva ка аvтo $\varepsilon v \eta \mu i v \omega \sigma \tau v$ ). Another purpose clause with iva and the present active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \mu$. The only possible way to have unity among believers is for all of them to find unity first with God in Christ.
 and the present active subjunctive of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \nu \omega$, "may keep on believing." Beyond a doubt, strife, wrangling, division are a stumblingblock to the outside world.

John 17:22
And the glory ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \omega \tau \eta v \delta o \xi \alpha v$ ). Literally, "And I the glory," with emphasis on "I." It is the glory of the Incarnate Word (Bernard), cf. 1:14; 2:11, not the glory of the Eternal

Word mentioned in 17:24. Bengel says: Quanta majestas Christianorum! Then verse 22 repeats the unity prayed for in verse 21.

John 17:23
That they may be perfected into one (ıva $\omega \sigma \iota v \tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \omega \mu \varepsilon v o \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon v$ ). Purpose clause again with $v \mathcal{v}$ (nineteen times in this prayer, this the fifteenth) with the periphrastic perfect passive subjunctive of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \circ \omega$ (verse 4), permanent state, with $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \varepsilon \nu$ (into one) as the goal and final result.

That the world may know ( $เ v \alpha \gamma เ v \omega \sigma \kappa \eta$ ). Present active subjunctive of $\gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ with ıva like the present tense of $\pi\llcorner\sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$ in verse 21 , "that the world may keep on knowing" with the same pregnant phrase "that thou me didst send" (oт $\sigma \nu \mu \varepsilon \alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon ו \lambda \alpha \varsigma)$ as in 8,25.
 Christ (Joh 3:16) and illustrated and proven by the way Christians love one another.

John 17:24
I will $(\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega)$. Perfect identity of his will with that of the Father in "this moment of spiritual exaltation" (Bernard), though in Gethsemane Jesus distinguishes between his human will and that of the Father (Mr 14:36).

Where I am (oпоv $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ). That is heaven, to be with Jesus (12:26; 13:36; 14:3; Ro 8:17; 2Ti 2:11f. ).

That they may behold (iva $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). Another purpose clause with iva and the present active subjunctive of $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$, "that they may keep on beholding," the endless joy of seeing Jesus "as he is" (1Jo 3:2) in heaven.
 Eph 1:4; 1Pe 1:20 and six other times we have катаßодך кобноv (Mt 25:34; Lu 11:50; Heb 4:3; 9:26; Re 13:8; 17:8 ). Here we find the same pre-incarnate consciousness of Christ seen in 17:5.

John 17:25
O righteous Father (Патๆр $\delta$ เкаı६). Nominative form with $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ used as vocative (cf. Joh 20:28 ), but vocative form $\delta$ ıкaıя. Then the righteousness of God is appealed to like God's holiness in verse 11.

The world (ка о кобноя). The translations usually slur over the ка as untranslatable in English. Westcott suggests "while" as a sort of correlative. It is quite possible that here ка is almost concessive like "though" and $\delta \varepsilon=y$ et: "though the world did not know thee, yet I knew thee, and these knew thee." See Robertson, Grammar, p. 1182 for $\kappa \alpha l--\delta \varepsilon-$-ка and various other uses of ka in John's Gospel.

John 17:26
And will make it known ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \sigma \omega$ ). Future active of $\gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota(\omega$, the perpetual mission of Christ through the Spirit ( $16: 12,25$; Mt 28:20) as he himself has done heretofore ( $17: 6$ ).

Wherewith ( $\varepsilon v$ ). Cognate accusative relative with $\eta \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ which has also the accusative of the person $\mu \varepsilon$ (me).

## John 18

John 18:1
With ( $\sigma v v$ ). See 12:2 for another example of $\sigma v v$ in John (common in Paul). The usual $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ reappears in verse 2.

Over ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha v$ ). "Beyond," preposition with the ablative as in 6:22,25 .
Brook ( $\chi \varepsilon \mu \alpha \rho \rho o v$ ). Old word, flowing ( $\rho \circ o \sigma, \rho \varepsilon \omega$ ) in winter ( $\chi \varepsilon \mu \alpha$ ), only here in N.T.
Kidron ( $\tau 0 \nu \mathrm{~K} \varepsilon \delta \rho \omega v$ ). Literally, "of the Cedars," "Brook of the Cedars." Only here in N.T. So 2Sa 15:23 . Textus Receptus like Josephus (Ant. VIII, 1, 5) has the singular tov $K \varepsilon \delta \rho \omega v$ (indeclinable). As a matter of fact it was always dry save after a heavy rain.

A garden (кплоц). Old word, in N.T. only here, verse 26; 19:41 (Joseph's); Lu 13:19. John, like Luke, does not give the name Gethsemane (only in Mr 14:32; Mt 26:36 ). The brook of the cedars had many unhallowed associations (1 Ki 2:37; 15:13; 2Ki 23:4ff.; 2Ch 29:16; Jer 31:40).

John 18:2
Resorted thither ( $\sigma u v \eta \chi \theta \eta \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\sigma v v a \gamma \omega$, old verb to gather together. A bit awkward here till you add "with his disciples." Judas knew the place, and the habit of Jesus to come here at night for prayer ( $\mathrm{Lu} 22: 39$ ). Hence his offer to catch Jesus while the feast was going on, catch him at night and alone in his usual place of prayer (the very spirit of the devil).

John 18:3
The band of soldiers ( $\tau \eta v \sigma \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho a v$ ). No word for "of soldiers" in the Greek, but the Latin spira (roll or ball) was used for a military cohort (Polybius 11, 23, 1) as in Mt 27:27; Ac 10:1, etc., here for a small band secured from the Tower of Antonia. The Synoptics do not mention the soldiers, but only the "officers" as here (v $\eta \rho \varepsilon \tau \alpha \varsigma$ for which see Mt 26:58; Mr 14:54,65 ) or temple police from the Sanhedrin.

Cometh ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Dramatic historical present middle indicative.
With lanterns and torches ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \varphi \alpha \nu \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \alpha \delta \omega v$ ). Both old words, $\varphi \alpha \nu \circ \varsigma$ only here in N.T., $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \alpha \varsigma$, an oil lamp (Mt 25:1). It was full moon, but Judas took no chances for it may have been cloudy and there were dark places by the walls and under the olive trees. Meta is accompanied with
and weapons ( $\kappa \alpha$ o $\pi \lambda \omega v$ ). Mark (Mr 14:43) mentions "swords and staves." Probably the temple guard had weapons as well as the soldiers.

John 18:4
Knowing all the things that were coming upon him ( $\varepsilon \delta \delta \omega \varsigma \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \varepsilon \pi^{\prime}$ $\alpha v \tau o v)$. Mentioned already in Joh 13:1. He was not taken by surprise. The surrender and death of Jesus were voluntary acts, though the guilt of Judas and the rest remains.

John 18:5

Was standing ( $\llcorner\tau \tau \kappa \varepsilon$ ). Second past perfect active of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ used as imperfect, a vivid picture of Judas in the very act of betraying Jesus. John does not mention the kiss by Judas as a sign to the soldiers and police. Tatian suggests that it came before verse 4. Then Jesus stepped forth and affirmed that he was the one whom they were seeking.

John 18:6
Fell to the ground ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \alpha v \chi \alpha \mu \alpha)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$ with first aorist ending ( $-\alpha v$ ). This recoil made them stumble. But why did they step back? Was it the former claim of Jesus ( $\mathbf{I ~ a m}, \varepsilon \gamma \omega \varepsilon \mu$ ) to be on an equality with God ( $8: 58 ; 13: 19$ ) or mere embarrassment and confusion or supernatural power exerted by Jesus? B adds I Iqoouৎ which must mean simply: "I am Jesus."

John 18:7
Again ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota v$ ). The repeated question receives the same answer. The soldiers and officers know who it is, but are still overawed.

John 18:8
Let these go their way ( $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ toutous v $\pi \alpha \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \downarrow$ ). Second aorist active imperative of $\alpha \varphi ı \eta \mu$. The verb vđaүءıv means to withdraw ( 11:44). Jesus shows solicitude for the eleven as he had warned them and prayed for them (Lu 22:31f. ). He is trying to help them.

John 18:9
That might be fulfilled (iva $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \eta$ ). The regular formula ( 17:12) for Scripture, here applied to the prophecy of Jesus (17:12) as in verse 32 . John treats the saying of Jesus as on a par with the O.T.

John 18:10
Having a sword ( $\varepsilon \chi \omega v \mu \alpha \chi \alpha \iota \rho \nu$ ). It was unlawful to carry a weapon on a feast-day, but Peter had become alarmed at Christ's words about his peril. They had two swords or knives in the possession of the eleven according to Luke ( 22:38). After the treacherous kiss of Judas (on the hand or the cheek?) the disciples asked: "Lord, shall we smite with the sword?" (Lu 22:49). Apparently before Jesus could answer Peter with his usual impulsiveness jerked out ( $\varepsilon \iota \lambda \kappa \nu \sigma \varepsilon v$, first aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \lambda \kappa \nu \omega$ for which see $6: 44$ ) his sword and cut off the right ear of Malchus (Joh 18:10), a servant of the high priest. Peter missed the man's head as he swerved to his left. Luke also (Lu 22:50) mentions the detail of the right ear, but John alone mentions the man's name and Peter's. There was peril to Peter in his rash act as comes out later (Joh 18:26 ), but he was dead long before John wrote his Gospel as was Lazarus of whom John could also safely write (12:9-11). For $\omega \tau \alpha \rho \circ o v$, diminutive of ouc, see Mr 14:47 (only other N.T. example), another diminutive $\omega \tau$ tov in Mt 26:51 (Mr 14:47; Lu 22:51).

John 18:11
Into the sheath ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \theta \eta \kappa \eta \nu$ ). Old word from $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, to put for box or sheath, only here in N.T. In Mt 26:52 Christ's warning is given.

The cup ( $\tau 0$ по $о \tau \rho \circ \circ$ ). Metaphor for Christ's death, used already in reply to request of James and John (Mr 10:39; Mt 20:22 ) and in the agony in Gethsemane before Judas came (Mr 14:36; Mt 26:39; Lu 22:42), which is not given by John. The case of to tornpov is the suspended nominative for note avto (it) referring to it.

Shall I not drink? (ov $\mu \eta \pi \omega \omega$;). Second aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \imath v \omega$ with the double negative $o v \mu \eta$ in a question expecting the affirmative answer. Abbott takes it as an exclamation and compares 6:37; $\mathrm{Mr} 14: 25$.

John 18:12
The chief captain (o $\chi \backslash \lambda 10 \rho \chi \circ \varsigma)$. They actually had the Roman commander of the cohort along (cf. Ac 21:31), not mentioned before.

Seized ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o v$ ). Second aorist active of $\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, old verb to grasp together, to arrest (technical word) in the Synoptics in this context (Mr 14:48; Mt 26:55), here alone in John.

Bound ( $\varepsilon \delta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\delta \varepsilon \omega$, to bind. As a matter of course, with the hands behind his back, but with no warrant in law and with no charge against him.

To Annas first ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ Avvav $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma v$ ). Ex-high priest and father-in-law ( $\pi \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho o \varsigma$, old word, only here in N.T.) of Caiaphas the actual high priest. Then Jesus was subjected to a preliminary and superfluous inquiry by Annas (given only by John) while the Sanhedrin were gathering before Caiaphas. Bernard curiously thinks that the night trial actually took place here before Annas and only the early morning ratification was before Caiaphas. So he calmly says that "Matthew inserts the name Caiaphas at this point (the night trial) in which he seems to have been mistaken." But why "mistaken"?

That year ( $\tau 0 \cup$ عvıautou عкยוvou). Genitive of time.
John 18:14
He which gave command (o $\sigma \nu \mu \beta$ ou $\lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ ). First aorist active articular participle of $\sigma v \mu \beta o u \lambda \varepsilon v \omega$, old verb (Mt 26:4). The reference is to Joh 11:50 .

It was expedient ( $\sigma \nu \mu \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$ ). Present active indicative retained in indirect assertion after secondary tense ( $\eta \nu$, was). Here we have the second aorist active infinitive $\alpha \pi \circ \theta \alpha v \varepsilon เ v$ as the subject of $\sigma \nu \mu \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$, both good idioms in the Koine.

John 18:15
Followed ( $\eta \kappa \circ \lambda o v \theta \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active of $\alpha \kappa 0 \lambda o u \theta \varepsilon \omega$, "was following," picturesque and vivid tense, with associative instrumental case $\tau \omega$ I $\eta \sigma o v$.

Another disciple ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda o \varsigma \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Correct text without article o (genuine in verse 16). Peter's companion was the Beloved Disciple, the author of the book (Joh 21:24).

Was known unto the high priest ( $\eta v \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau o \varsigma \tau \omega$ a $\chi \chi เ \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$ ). Verbal adjective from $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, to know (Ac 1:19) with dative case. How well known the word does not say, not necessarily a personal friend, well enough known for the portress to admit John. "The account
of what happened to Peter might well seem to be told from the point of view of the servants' hall" (Sanday, Criticism of the Fourth Gospel, p. 101).

Entered in with Jesus ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon เ \sigma \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of the double compound $\sigma v v \varepsilon เ \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, old verb, in N.T. here and 6:22. With associative instrumental case.

Into the court ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma \tau \eta v \alpha v \lambda \eta \nu$ ). It is not clear that this word ever means the palace itself instead of the courtyard (uncovered enclosure) as always in the papyri (very common). Clearly courtyard in Mr 14:66 (Mt 26:69; Lu 22:55 ). Apparently Annas had rooms in the official residence of Caiaphas.

John 18:16
Was standing ( $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon)$. Same form in verse 5 which see. So also $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha v$ in 18. Picture of Peter standing outside by the door.

Unto the high priest ( $\tau 0 v \alpha \rho \chi เ \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Objective genitive here, but dative in verse 15.
Unto her that kept the door ( $\tau \eta \theta \nu \rho \omega \rho \omega$ ). Old word ( $\theta v \rho a$, door, $\omega \rho a$, care), masculine in 10:3, feminine here, door-keeper (male or female).

John 18:17
The maid ( $\eta \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \sigma \kappa \eta$ ). Feminine form of $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \sigma \kappa \sigma$, diminutive of $\pi \alpha \iota \varsigma$. See Mt 26:69 . When "the maid the portress" (apposition).

Art thou also? ( $\mu \eta \kappa \alpha \sigma v \varepsilon \iota ;$ ). Expecting the negative answer, though she really believed he was.

This man's ( $\tau 0 v a v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$ रov $\operatorname{cov}$ ). Contemptuous use of ov $0 \varsigma$ with a gesture toward Jesus. She made it easy for Peter to say no.

John 18:18
A fire of coals ( $\alpha v \theta \rho \alpha \kappa \iota \alpha v)$. Old word, in LXX, only here and 21:9 in N.T. A heap of burning coals ( $\alpha v \Theta \rho \alpha \xi$, coal). Cf. our "anthracite." It was cold ( $\psi v \chi \circ \varsigma \eta v$ ). "There was coldness." The soldiers had apparently returned to their barracks.

Were warming themselves ( $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha \imath v o v \tau o)$. Direct middle imperfect indicative of $\theta \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha ı v \omega$ (from $\theta \varepsilon \rho \mu \circ \varsigma)$. So as to $\theta \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha \imath \nu o \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ about Peter. "Peter, unabashed by his lie, joined himself to the group and stood in the light of the fire" (Dods).

John 18:19
Asked ( $\eta \rho \omega \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \omega$, to question, usual meaning. This was Annas making a preliminary examination of Jesus probably to see on what terms Jesus made disciples whether as a mere rabbi or as Messiah.

John 18:20
Openly ( $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha)$. As already shown (7:4;8:26; 10:24,39; 16:25,29. See 7:4 for same contrast between $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ and $\varepsilon v \kappa \rho v \pi \tau \omega$.

I ever taught $(\varepsilon \gamma \omega \pi \alpha v \tau \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \iota \delta \alpha \xi \alpha)$. Constative aorist active indicative. For the temple teaching see Joh 2:19; 7:14,28; 8:20, 19:23; Mr 14:49 and Joh 6:59 for the synagogue teaching
(often in the Synoptics). Examples of private teaching are Nicodemus (Joh 3) and the woman of Samaria (Joh 4). Jesus ignores the sneer at his disciples, but challenges the inquiry about his teaching as needless.

John 18:21
Ask them that have heard me ( $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \eta \sigma о \nu$ тоиц $\alpha к \eta \kappa о о \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist (tense of urgent and instant action) active imperative of $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \omega$ and the articular perfect active participle accusative masculine plural of $\alpha \kappa о v \omega$, to hear. There were abundant witnesses to be had. Multitudes had heard Jesus in the great debate in the temple on Tuesday of this very week when the Sanhedrin were routed to the joy of the common people who heard Jesus gladly (Mr 12:37). They still know.

John 18:22
When he had said this (таuтa autov $\varepsilon ו \pi о \nu \tau о \varsigma) . ~ G e n i t i v e ~ a b s o l u t e ~ o f ~ s e c o n d ~ a o r i s t ~$ active participle of $\varepsilon$ हाँov, to say.

Standing by ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \omega \varsigma)$. Perfect active (intransitive) participle of $\pi \alpha \rho เ \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ (transitive), to place beside. One of the temple police who felt his importance as protector of Annas.

Struck Jesus with his hand ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v \rho \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \omega$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). Late word $\rho a \pi \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$ is from $\rho a \pi \iota \zeta \omega$, to smite with a rod or with the palm of the hand (Mt 26:67). It occurs only three times in the N.T. (Mr 14:65; Joh 18:22; 19:3 ), in each of which it is uncertain whether the blow is with a rod or with the palm of the hand (probably this, a most insulting act). The papyri throw no real light on it. "He gave Jesus a slap in the face." Cf. 2Co 11:20 .

So (outwऽ). As Jesus had done in verse 21, a dignified protest in fact by Jesus.
John 18:23
If I have spoken evil ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \kappa \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). Condition of first class (assumed to be true), with $\varepsilon$ and aorist active indicative. Jesus had not spoken evilly towards Annas, though he did not here turn the other cheek, one may note. For the sake of argument, Jesus puts it as if he did speak evilly. Then prove it, that is all.

Bear witness of the evil ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \eta \sigma о v \pi \varepsilon \rho ~ \tau о v ~ к а к о v) . ~ F i r s t ~ a o r i s t ~ a c t i v e ~ i m p e r a t i v e ~ o f ~$ $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to testify. This is the conclusion (apodosis). Jesus is clearly entitled to proof of such a charge if there is any.

But if well ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma)$. Supply the same verb $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha$. The same condition, but with a challenging question as the apodosis.

Smitest ( $\delta \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Old verb $\delta \varepsilon \rho \omega$, to flay, to skin, to beat, as in Mt 21:35; Lu 22:63; 2Co 11:20 (of an insulting blow in the face as here).

John 18:24
Therefore sent him ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda v$ ouv $\alpha v \tau o v$ ). First aorist active of $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, not past perfect (had sent). The preliminary examination by Annas was over.

Bound ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o v)$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\delta \varepsilon \omega$, to bind. Jesus was bound on his arrest (verse 12) and apparently unbound during the preliminary examination by Annas.

John 18:25
Was standing and warming himself ( $\eta \nu \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha \iota \nu о \mu \varepsilon \nu \sigma \varsigma)$. Two periphrastic imperfects precisely as in verse 18 , vivid renewal of the picture drawn there. John alone gives the examination of Jesus by Annas (18:19-24) which he places between the first and the second denials by Peter. Each of the Four Gospels gives three denials, but it is not possible to make a clear parallel as probably several people joined in each time. This time there was an hour's interval ( $\mathrm{Lu} 22: 59$ ). The question and answer are almost identical with verse 17 and "put in a form which almost suggested that Peter should say 'No"' (Bernard), a favourite device of the devil in making temptation attractive.

John 18:26
Did not I see thee in the garden with him? (ovк $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \delta o v \varepsilon v \tau \omega \kappa \eta \pi \omega \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ av $\alpha o v ;$ ). This staggering and sudden thrust expects an affirmative answer by the use of ovk, not $\mu \eta$ as in verses 17,25 , but Peter's previous denials with the knowledge that he was observed by a kinsman of Malchus whom he had tried to kill (verse 10) drove him to the third flat denial that he knew Jesus, this time with cursing and swearing (Mr 14:71; Mt 26:73). Peter was in dire peril now of arrest himself for attempt to kill.

Straightway ( $\varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. As in Mt 26:74 while Luke has $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha$ (Lu 22:60 ). Mark (Mr 14:68,72 ) speaks of two crowings as often happens when one cock crows. See Mt 26:34 for $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \rho$ (cock). That was usually the close of the third watch of the night ( $\mathrm{Mr} 13: 35$ ), about 3 A.M. Luke (Lu 22:61) notes that Jesus turned and looked on Peter probably as he passed from the rooms of Annas to the trial before Caiaphas and the Sanhedrin (the ecclesiastical court). See Mrs. Browning's beautiful sonnets on "The Look".

John 18:28
They lead ( $\alpha \gamma 0 v \sigma \iota v$ ). Dramatic historical present of $\alpha \gamma \omega$, plural "they" for the Sanhedrists (Lu 23:1). John gives no details of the trial before the Sanhedrin (only the fact, Joh 18:24,28 ) when Caiaphas presided, either the informal meeting at night (Mr 14:53,55-65; Mt 26:57,59-68; Lu 22:54,63-65 ) or the formal ratification meeting after dawn ( $\mathrm{Mr} 15: 1 ; \mathrm{Mt}$ 27:1; Lu 22:66-71 ), but he gives much new material of the trial before Pilate ( $18: 28-38$ ).

Into the palace ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau о \pi \rho a \iota \tau \omega \rho \iota \circ v$ ). For the history and meaning of this interesting Latin word, praetorium, see on Mt 27:27; Ac 23:35; Php 1:13. Here it is probably the magnificent palace in Jerusalem built by Herod the Great for himself and occupied by the Roman Procurator (governor) when in the city. There was also one in Caesarea (Ac 23:35 ). Herod's palace in Jerusalem was on the Hill of Zion in the western part of the upper city. There is something to be said for the Castle of Antonia, north of the temple area, as the location of Pilate's residence in Jerusalem.

Early $(\pi \rho \omega)$. Technically the fourth watch ( 3 A.M. to 6 A.M.). There were two violations of Jewish legal procedure (holding the trial for a capital case at night, passing condemnation on the same day of the trial). Besides, the Sanhedrin no longer had the power of death. A

Roman court could meet any time after sunrise. John (19:14) says it was "about the sixth hour" when Pilate condemned Jesus.

That they might not be defiled ( $v \alpha \mu \eta \mu \alpha v \theta \omega \sigma \tau v$ ). Purpose clause with $\tau v \alpha \mu \eta$ and first aorist passive subjunctive of $\mu \alpha \Delta v \omega$, to stain, to defile. For Jewish scruples about entering the house of a Gentile see Ac 10:28; 11:3.

But might eat the passover ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \omega \sigma \iota v$ тo $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \alpha$ ). Second aorist active subjunctive of the defective verb $\varepsilon \sigma \theta l \omega$, to eat. This phrase may mean to eat the passover meal as in Mt 27:17 ( $\mathrm{Mr} 14: 12,14 ; \mathrm{Lu} 22: 11,15$ ), but it does not have to mean that. In 2Ch $30: 22$ we read: "And they did eat the festival seven days" when the paschal festival is meant, not the paschal lamb or the paschal supper. There are eight other examples of $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \alpha$ in John's Gospel and in all of them the feast is meant, not the supper. If we follow John's use of the word, it is the feast here, not the meal of Joh 13:2 which was the regular passover meal. This interpretation keeps John in harmony with the Synoptics.

John 18:29
Went out ( $\varepsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \xi \omega)$. Note both $\varepsilon \xi$ and $\varepsilon \xi \omega$ (went out outside), since the Sanhedrin would not come into Pilate's palace. Apparently on a gallery over the pavement in front of the palace (Joh 19:13).

Accusation (катпүорıav). Old word for formal charge, in N.T. only here, 1Ti 5:19; Tit 1:6.
 proper legal inquiry.

John 18:30
If this man were not an evil-doer ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta \eta \nu$ оитоৎ какоv $\pi о \iota \omega v$ ). Condition (negative) of second class (periphrastic imperfect indicative), assumed to be untrue, with the usual apodosis ( $\alpha v$ and aorist indicative, first aorist plural with $\kappa$ ). This is a pious pose of infallibility not in the Synoptics. They then proceeded to make the charges (Lu 23:2) as indeed John implies (18:31,33 ). Some MSS. here read какотоьо (malefactor) as in 1Pe 2:12,14, with which compare Luke's какоирүоৎ (23:32f. ; so also 2Ti 2:9), both meaning evil-doer. Here the periphrastic present participle $\pi о \iota \omega v$ with какоv emphasizes the idea that Jesus was a habitual evil-doer (Abbott). It was an insolent reply to Pilate (Bernard).

John 18:31
Yourselves ( $\nu \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ). Emphatic. Pilate shrewdly turns the case over to the Sanhedrin in reply to their insolence, who have said nothing whatever about their previous trial and condemnation of Jesus. He drew out at once the admission that they wanted the death of Jesus, not a fair trial for him, but Pilate's approval of their purpose to kill him (Joh 7:1,25).

John 18:32
By what manner of death ( $\pi \circ \omega \theta \theta v \alpha \tau \omega$ ). Instrumental case of the qualitative interrogative $\pi$ toos in an indirect question, the very idiom used in Joh 12:32 concerning the Cross
and here treated as prophecy (Scripture) with $v a \sim \pi \lambda \rho \omega \omega \theta \eta$ like the saying of Jesus in verse 9 which see.

John 18:33
Again ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota v$ ). Back into the palace where Pilate was before.
Called $(\varepsilon \varphi \omega \nu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\varphi \omega v \varepsilon \omega$. Jesus was already inside the court (verse 28). Pilate now summoned him to his presence since he saw that he had to handle the case. The charge that Jesus claimed to be a king compelled him to do so (Lu 23:2 ).

Art thou the King of the Jews? ( $\sigma v \varepsilon$ o $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon u \varsigma \tau \omega v$ Iov $\delta \alpha \omega \omega v$;). This was the vital problem and each of the Gospels has the question (Mr 15:2; Mt 27:1; Lu 23:3; Joh 18:33 ), though Luke alone (23:2) gives the specific accusation.

Thou ( $\sigma v$ ). Emphatic. Jesus did claim to be the spiritual king of Israel as Nathanael said (Joh 1:49) and as the ecstatic crowd hailed him on the Triumphal Entry (Joh 12:13 ), but the Sanhedrin wish Pilate to understand this in a civil sense as a rival of Caesar as some of the Jews wanted Jesus to be (Joh 6:15) and as the Pharisees expected the Messiah to be.

John 18:34
Of thyself ( $\alpha \pi 0$ ovautov). Whether a sincere inquiry on Pilate's part or a trap from the Sanhedrin.

John 18:35
Am I a Jew? ( $\mu \eta \tau \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ Iov $\delta a \iota o \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \mu ;$ ). Proud and fine scorn on Pilate's part at the idea that he had a personal interest in the question. Vehement negation implied. Cf. 4:29 for $\mu \eta \tau$ in a question. The gulf between Jew and Gentile yawns wide here.

Nation ( $\varepsilon \theta v o \varsigma$ as in 11:48-52, rather than $\lambda \alpha o \varsigma$, while both in 11:50). For $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha v$ see verse 30 .

What hast thou done? ( $\tau$ عлоוךбаб;). First aorist active indicative of $\pi о \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega$. Blunt and curt question. "What didst thou do?" "What is thy real crime?" John's picture of this private interview between Pilate and Jesus is told with graphic power.

John 18:36
My kingdom ( $\eta \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha ~ \eta \varepsilon \mu \eta$ ). Christ claims to be king to Pilate, but of a peculiar kingdom. For "world" (кобнои) see 17:13-18.

My servants (o v $\quad \eta \rho \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ o $\varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o}$ ). For the word see verse 3 where it means the temple police or guards (literally, under-rowers). In the LXX always (Pr 14:35; Isa 32:5; Da 3:46) officers of a king as here. Christ then had only a small band of despised followers who could not fight against Caesar. Was he alluding also to legions of angels on his side? (Mt 26:56 ).

Would fight ( $\eta \gamma \omega v L \zeta$ ovto $\alpha v$ ). Imperfect middle of $\alpha \gamma \omega v L \zeta o \mu \alpha$ common verb (only here in John, but see 1Co 9:25 ) from $\alpha \gamma \omega v$ (contest) with $\alpha v$, a conclusion of the secondclass condition (assumed as untrue). Christians should never forget the profound truth stated here by Jesus.

That I should not be delivered ( $\imath v \alpha \mu \eta \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o \theta \omega$ ). Negative final clause with $\tau v \alpha \mu \eta$ and first aorist passive subjunctive of $\pi \alpha \rho a \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ (see verses 28,36 ). Jesus expects Pilate to surrender to the Jews.

But now ( $v v \vee \delta \varepsilon)$. In contrast to the condition already stated as in 8:40; 9:41; 15:22,24

John 18:37
Art thou a king then? (очкоиv $\beta a \sigma \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \cup \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma v ;$ ). Compound of ouk and ouv and is clearly ironical expecting an affirmative answer, only here in the N.T., and in LXX only in A text in 2Ki 5:23.

Thou sayest that ( $\sigma v \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ o \tau$ ). In Mt 27:11; Mr 15:2; Lu 23:3, $\sigma v \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ c l e a r l y ~ m e a n s ~$ "yes," as $\sigma v \varepsilon \iota \pi a \varsigma$ (thou saidst) does in Mt 26:64 (= "I am," $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \varepsilon \mu \mu$, in Mr 41:62 ). Hence here ot had best be taken to mean "because": "Yes, because I am a king."

Have I been born $(\varepsilon \gamma \omega \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \nu \eta \mu \alpha)$. Perfect passive indicative of $\gamma \varepsilon \nu v \alpha \omega$. The Incarnation was for this purpose. Note repetition of $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau 0 v \tau o$ (for this purpose), explained by $\mathfrak{v a}$ $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \eta \sigma \omega \tau \eta \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ (that I may bear witness to the truth), $v \alpha \alpha$ with first aorist active subjunctive of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \varepsilon \omega$. Paul (1Ti 6:13) alludes to this good confession when Christ bore witness ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \eta \sigma \alpha v \tau о \varsigma)$ before Pilate. Jesus bore such witness always (Joh 3:11,32; 7:7; $8: 14$; Re $1: 5$ ).

John 18:38
What is truth? ( $\tau \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha ;$ ). This famous sneer of Pilate reveals his own ignorance of truth, as he stood before Incarnate Truth (Joh 14:6 ). Quid est veritas? The answer in Latin is Vir est qui adest as has been succinctly said by the use of the same letters. Pilate turned with indifference from his own great question and rendered his verdict: "I find no crime in him" ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ov $\delta \varepsilon \mu \not \omega v \varepsilon \cup \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega \varepsilon v$ avt $\omega$ aı兀ıav). For this use of aıtıa see Mt 27:37; Mr 15:26 . Pilate therefore should have set Jesus free at once.

John 18:39
A custom ( $\sigma v \vee \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ ). Old word for intimacy, intercourse, from $\sigma v \nu \eta \theta \eta \varsigma(\sigma \cup v, \eta \theta \circ \varsigma)$, in N.T. only here, 1 Co 8:7; 11:16. This custom, alluded to in Mr 15:6; Mt 27:15 , is termed necessity ( $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta$ ) in Lu 23:17 (late MSS., not in older MSS.). All the Gospels use the verb $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \nu \omega$ (release, set free). Then $\imath v \alpha \alpha \pi 0 \lambda v \sigma \omega$ is a subject clause ( $\imath \alpha \alpha$ and first aorist active subjunctive) in apposition with $\sigma v v \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha$.

Will ye therefore that I release? ( $\beta o v \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ouv $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \nu \sigma \omega ;$ ). Without the usual ıva before $\alpha \pi o \lambda \nu \sigma \omega$, asyndeton, as in Mr 10:36, to be explained either as parataxis or two questions (Robertson, Grammar, p. 430) or as mere omission of ıva (ibid., p. 994). There is contempt and irony in Pilate's use of the phrase "the king of the Jews."

John 18:40
Cried out ( $\varepsilon \kappa \rho \alpha v \gamma \alpha \sigma \alpha v)$. First aorist active of $\kappa \rho \alpha v \gamma \alpha \zeta \omega$, old and rare verb from $\kappa \rho \alpha v \gamma \eta$, outcry (Mt 25:6), as in Mt 12:19 .

Not this man ( $\mu \eta$ toviov). Contemptuous use of ov toc. The priests put the crowd up to this choice (Mr 15:11) and Pilate offered the alternative (Mt 27:17, one MS. actually gives Jesus as the name of Barabbas also). The name Bapaßßac in Aramaic simply means son of a father.

A robber ( $\lambda \eta \iota \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Old word from $\lambda \eta\llcorner\zeta \rho \mu$, to plunder, and so a brigand and possibly the leader of the band to which the two robbers belonged who were crucified with Jesus. Luke terms him an insurgent and murderer ( Lu 23:19,25). They chose Barabbas in preference to Jesus and apparently Jesus died on the very cross planned for Barabbas.

## John 19

John 19:1
Took and scourged ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \mu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$ and $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \sigma \omega$ (from $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \xi$, whip). For this redundant use of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$ see also verse 6 . It is the causative use of $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \gamma o \omega$, for Pilate did not actually scourge Jesus. He simply ordered it done, perhaps to see if the mob would be satisfied with this penalty on the alleged pretender to royalty (Lu 23:22 ) whom Pilate had pronounced innocent (Joh 18:38), an illegal act therefore. It was a preliminary to crucifixion, but Jesus was not yet condemned. The Sanhedrin had previously mocked Jesus (Mr 14:65; Mt 26:67f.; Lu 22:63ff. ) as the soldiers will do later (Mr 15:16-19; Mt 27:27-30 ). This later mock coronation (Mark and Matthew) was after the condemnation.

Plaited a crown of thorns ( $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \nu \circ \nu \varepsilon \xi \alpha \kappa \alpha \nu \theta \omega \nu$ ). Old verb $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \omega$, to weave, in the N.T. only here, Mr 15:17; Mt 27:19. Not impossible for the mock coronation to be repeated.

Arrayed him ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda o v \alpha v \tau o v)$. "Placed around him" (second aorist active indicative of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \omega)$.

In a purple garment ( $\mu \alpha \tau \iota \nu \pi о \rho \varphi v \rho о v \nu$ ). Old adjective $\pi о \rho \varphi \nu \rho \varepsilon \sigma$, from $\pi о \rho \varphi v \rho \alpha$, purple cloth (Mr 15:17,20) , dyed in purple, in the N.T. only here and Re 18:16. Jesus had been stripped of his outer garment $\iota \mu \tau \tau \circ v(\mathrm{Mt} \mathrm{27:28)}$ ) and the scarlet cloak of one of the soldiers may have been put on him (Mt 27:28).

John 19:3
They came ( $\eta \rho \chi о v \tau 0$ ). Imperfect middle of repeated action, "they kept coming and saying" ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ v$ ) in derision and mock reverence with Aç ( $\chi \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon$, Hail!) as if to Caesar. Note o $\beta a \sigma \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v \varsigma$ (the king) in address.

They struck him with their hands ( $\varepsilon \delta \iota \delta o \sigma \alpha v \alpha v \tau \omega \rho \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Imperfect of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, repetition, "they kept on giving him slaps with their hands." See on 18:22 for this use of $\rho \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$.

John 19:4
I bring him out to you ( $\alpha \gamma \omega v \mu \nu \alpha v \tau o v \varepsilon \xi \omega$ ). Vividly pictures Pilate leading Jesus out of the palace before the mob in front.

That ye may know ( $\iota v \alpha \gamma \nu \omega \tau \varepsilon$ ). Final clause with $\tau v \alpha$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\gamma \iota \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, "that ye may come to know," by this mockery the sincerity of Pilate's decision that Jesus is innocent (18:38). It is a travesty on justice and dignity, but Pilate is trying by a bit of humour to turn the mob from the grip of the Sanhedrin.

John 19:5

Wearing ( $\varphi \circ \rho \omega v$ ). Present active participle of $\varphi \circ \rho \varepsilon \omega$, an early frequentative of $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, denoting a continual wearing, though not true here (only temporary). Jesus bore the mockery with kingly dignity as part of the shame of the Cross (Heb 12:2).

Behold, the man (Iסov o $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma)$. Ecce Homo! by Pilate. This exclamatory introduction of Jesus in mock coronation robes to the mob was clearly intended to excite pity and to show how absurd the charge of the Sanhedrin was that such a pitiable figure should be guilty of treason. Pilate failed utterly in this effort and did not dream that he was calling attention to the greatest figure of history, the Man of the ages.

John 19:6
Crucify him, crucify him ( $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \omega \sigma o v, \sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \omega \sigma o v$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho o \omega$ for which verb see Mt 29:19, etc. Here the note of urgency (aorist imperative) with no word for "him," as they were led by the chief priests and the temple police till the whole mob takes it up (Mt 27:22).

For I find no crime in him ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \gamma \alpha \rho$ ov $\varepsilon \cup \rho เ \sigma \kappa \omega)$. This is the third time Pilate has rendered his opinion of Christ's innocence ( $18: 38 ; 19: 4$ ). And here he surrenders in a fret to the mob and gives as his reason ( $\gamma \alpha \rho$, for) for his surrender the innocence of Jesus (the strangest judicial decision ever rendered). Perhaps Pilate was only franker than some judges!

John 19:7
 the Sanhedrin give the real ground for their hostility to Jesus, one of long standing for probably three years (Joh 5:18) and the one on which the Sanhedrin voted the condemnation of Jesus (Mr 14:61-64; Mt 27:23-66 ), but even now they do not mention their own decision to Pilate, for they had no legal right to vote Christ's death before Pilate's consent which they now have secured.

John 19:8
He was the more afraid ( $\mu \lambda \lambda \lambda o v \varepsilon \varphi \rho \beta \eta \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\varphi o \beta \varepsilon \circ \mu \alpha$. He was already afraid because of his wife's message (Mt 27:19). The claim of Jesus to deity excited Pilate's superstitious fears.

John 19:9
Whence art thou? ( $\pi 0 \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma v ;$ ). Pilate knew that Jesus was from Galilee (Lu 23:6f. ). He is really alarmed. See a like question by the Jews in 8:25.

Gave him no answer ( $\alpha \pi о к \rho \iota \sigma \imath v ~ о и к \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v ~ \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). See same idiom in 1:22. Алокрıбıৎ (old word from $\alpha \pi о к \rho ı v o \mu \alpha$ ) occurs also in $\operatorname{Lu} 2: 47 ; 20: 26$. The silence of Jesus, like that before Caiaphas (Mr 14:61; Mt 26:63 ) and Herod (Lu 23:9), irritates the dignity of Pilate in spite of his fears.

John 19:10
Unto me ( $\varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o}$ ). Emphatic position for this dative. It amounted to contempt of court with all of Pilate's real "authority" ( $\varepsilon \xi_{o v \sigma \iota \alpha), ~ b e t t e r ~ h e r e ~ t h a n ~ " p o w e r . " ~}^{\text {" }}$

John 19:11
Thou wouldest have (ovk $\varepsilon \not \chi \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). Imperfect active indicative without $\alpha v$, but apodosis of second-class condition as in 15:22,24 .

Except it were given thee $(\varepsilon \mu \eta \eta \nu \delta \varepsilon \delta o \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. Periphrastic past perfect indicative of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ (a permanent possession).

From above ( $\alpha v \omega \theta \varepsilon v$ ). From God (cf. 3:3), the same doctrine of government stated by Paul in Ro 13:1f. Pilate did not get his "authority" from the Sanhedrin, but from Caesar. Jesus makes God the source of all real "authority."

Hath greater sin ( $\mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta$ оva $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \nu \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon$ ). The same idiom in 9:41. Caiaphas has his authority from God also and has used Pilate for his own base end.

John 19:12
Sought ( $\varepsilon \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon)$. Imperfect active, "kept on seeking," "made renewed efforts to release him." He was afraid to act boldly against the will of the Jews.

If thou release this man ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \tau 0 v \tau 0 v \alpha \pi о \lambda v \sigma \eta ı \varsigma)$. Condition of third class, a direct threat to Pilate. He knew all the time that the Sanhedrin might tell Caesar on him.
 an official title, here simply a daring threat to Pilate.

Speaketh against Caesar ( $\alpha \nu \tau \iota \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \omega \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma \alpha \rho$ ). Caesar brooks no rival. Jesus had allowed himself to be acclaimed king of Israel in the Triumphal Entry (Joh 12:13; Mr 11:10; Lu 19:38 ). The Sanhedrin have caught Pilate in their toils.

John 19:13
Sat down on the judgement seat ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \pi \beta \eta \mu \alpha \tau \sigma)$. "Took his seat upon the $\beta \eta \mu \alpha$ " (the raised platform for the judge outside the palace as in Ac 7:5 ). The examination is over and Pilate is now ready for the final stage.

The Pavement ( $\Lambda \iota \theta$ oo $\tau \rho \omega \tau o v)$. Late compound from $\lambda_{\imath} \theta$ oৎ, stone, and the verbal adjective $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \tau$ с form $\sigma \tau \rho \omega v \nu v \mu$, to speak, a mosaic or tesselated pavement, spread with stones, in 2Ch 7:3 , Josephus, Epictetus, papyri. The Chaldean name $\Gamma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \theta \alpha$, an elevation, was apparently given because of the shape.

John 19:14
The Preparation of the passover ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \cup \eta \tau 0 v \pi \alpha \sigma \chi \alpha$ ). That is, Friday of passover week, the preparation day before the Sabbath of passover week (or feast). See also verses 31,42; Mr 15:42; Mt 27:62; Lu 23:54 for this same use of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \cup \eta$ for Friday. It is the name for Friday today in Greece.

About the sixth hour ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta$ ). Roman time, about 6 A.M. (a little after 6 no doubt) when Pilate rendered his final decision. Mark (Mr 15:25) notes that it was the third hour (Jewish time), which is 9 A.M. Roman time, when the crucifixion began. Why should John give Jewish time writing at the close of the first century when Jerusalem and the Jewish state passed away in A.D. 70? He is writing for Greek and Roman readers.

Behold your king (I $\delta \varepsilon$ o $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon v \varsigma \nu \mu \omega v$ ). I $\delta \varepsilon$ is here an exclamation with no effect on the case of $\beta \alpha \sigma \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v$ ¢ just as in 1:29. The sarcasm of Pilate is aimed at the Jews, not at Jesus.

John 19:15
Away with him, away with him ( $\alpha \rho o v, \alpha \rho o v$ ). First aorist active imperative of aı $\rho \omega$. See alp $\boldsymbol{\text { in }} \mathrm{Lu}$ 23:18. This thing has gotten on the nerves of the crowd. Note the repetition. In a second-century papyrus letter (Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary) a nervous mother cries "He upsets me; away with him" (appov avtov). Pilate weakly repeats his sarcasm: " Your king shall I crucify? (Tov $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon \alpha ~ v \mu \omega v \sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \omega \sigma \omega ;$ ).

But Caesar ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma \alpha \rho \alpha$ ). The chief priests ( 0 a $\rho \chi เ \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$ were Sadducees, who had no Messianic hope like that of the Pharisees. So to carry their point against Jesus they renounce the principle of the theocracy that God was their King (1Sa 12:12).

John 19:16
He delivered ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Kappa aorist active of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta เ \delta \omega \mu$, the very verb used of the Sanhedrin when they handed Jesus over to Pilate ( $18: 30,35$ ). Now Pilate hands Jesus back to the Sanhedrin with full consent for his death ( $\mathrm{Lu} 23: 25$ ).

To be crucified (ıva $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \omega \theta \eta$ ). Purpose clause with $ו v \alpha$ and the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\sigma \tau \alpha 0 \rho o \omega$. John does not give the dramatic episode in Mt 27:24f. when Pilate washed his hands and the Jews took Christ's blood on themselves and their children. But it is on Pilate also.

John 19:17
They took ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, they took Jesus from Pilate. Cf. 1:11; 14:3. This is after the shameful scourging between 6 A.M. and 9 A.M. when the soldiers insult Jesus ad libitum (Mr 15:16-19; Mt 27:27-30).

Bearing the cross for himself ( $\beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \zeta \omega v \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ tov $\sigma \tau \alpha \cup \rho o v)$. Cf. Lu 14:27 for this very picture in the words of Jesus. The dative case of the reflexive pronoun avt $\omega$ "for himself" is in strict accord with Roman custom. "A criminal condemned to be crucified was required to carry his own cross" (Bernard). But apparently Jesus under the strain of the night before and the anguish of heart within him gave out so that Simon of Cyrene was impressed to carry it for Jesus (Mr 15:21f.; Mt 27:32f.; Lu 23:26 ). See Mr 15:22f.; Mt 27:33f.; Lu 23:33 for the meaning of "place of a skull" or Calvary and Golgotha in Hebrew (Aramaic). Luke has simply Kpavoov (Skull), a skull-looking place.

John 19:18
They crucified ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha u \rho \omega \sigma \alpha v$ ). The soldiers just as in Ac 22:24f. ; the scourging of Paul was to be done by the soldiers.

And Jesus in the midst ( $\mu \varepsilon \sigma o v \delta \varepsilon$ tov I $\eta \sigma o v v$ ). Predicate adjective $\mu \varepsilon \sigma o v$. A robber ( $\lambda \eta$ ๆб $\dagger \varsigma$, not a thief, $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma$ ) was on each side of Jesus (Mr 15:27; Mt 27:38) like Barabbas (Joh 18:40) and probably members of his band, malefactors (какоирүо) Luke terms them (Lu 23:32).

## John 19:19

Pilate wrote a title also ( $\varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \tau \tau \tau \lambda \circ v$ o Пєı入атоৎ). Only John tells us that Pilate himself wrote it and John alone uses the technical Latin word titlon (several times in inscriptions), for the board with the name of the criminal and the crime in which he is condemned; Mark (Mr 15:26) and Luke (Lu 23:28) use $\varepsilon \pi \gamma \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta$ (superscription). Matthew (Mt 27:37 ) has simply autiav (accusation). The inscription in John is the fullest of the four and has all in any of them save the words "this is" (ovtoc $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ) in Mt 27:37.

John 19:20
Read ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \gamma v \omega \sigma \alpha v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \imath \omega \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. It was meant to be read. Latin was the legal and official language; Aramaic (Hebrew) was for the benefit of the people of Jerusalem; Greek was for everybody who passed by who did not know Aramaic. Many of the Jews mocked as they read the accusation. This item alone in John.

John 19:21
But that he said ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ' о $\varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon เ v o \varsigma \varepsilon เ \pi \varepsilon v$ ). The chief priests were uneasy for fear that the joke in the mock title was on them instead of on Jesus. They were right in their fear.

John 19:22
What I have written I have written ( $о \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \alpha$ ). With emphasis on the permanence of the accusation on the board. Pilate has a sudden spirit of stubbornness in this detail to the surprise of the chief priests. Technically he was correct, for he had condemned Jesus on this charge made by the chief priests.

John 19:23
Four parts ( $\tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \rho \alpha \mu \varepsilon \rho \eta$ ). There were four soldiers, the usual quaternion ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \delta \iota o v$, Ac 12:9) besides the centurion (Mr 15:39; Mt 27:54; Lu 23:47). The clothes ( $\mu$ ) $\tau \tau \alpha$, outer clothes) of the criminal were removed before the crucifixion and belonged to the soldiers. Luke (Lu 23:34) mentions the division of the garments, but not the number four. The four pieces would be the head gear, the sandals, the girdle, the $\tau \alpha \lambda \lambda 1 \theta$ (outer garment with fringes).

The coat was without seam (o $\chi \iota \tau \omega \nu \alpha \rho \alpha \varphi o \varsigma)$. For $\chi \iota \tau \omega \nu$ (the inner garment) see Mt 5:40. Aрачоя is compound of $\alpha$ privative and $\rho \alpha \pi \tau \omega$, to sew together, and so seamless (unsewed together), only here in N.T. It occurs elsewhere in Josephus, Ant. III. 6, 4.

Woven (vৎavtoc). Verbal (old word) from vৎaıv (some MSS. in Lu 12:27), only here in N.T.

John 19:24
Let us not rend it ( $\mu \eta \sigma \chi\llcorner\sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \nu \tau o v)$. M $\eta$ with first aorist active volitive subjunctive of $\sigma \chi\llcorner\omega$, to split. It was too valuable to ruin.

Cast lots $(\lambda \alpha \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v)$. Second aorist active volitive subjunctive of $\lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \alpha v \omega$. The usual meaning is to obtain by lot (Lu 1:9; Ac 1:17). Field (Ot. Norv. 72) holds that no example has been found where it means "cast lots" as here, but Thayer cites Isocrates, p. 144b and Diod. 4, 63. John here quotes with the usual formula Ps 22:18 (LXX verbatim) and finds a
fulfilment here. The enemies of the Lord's Anointed treated him as already dead (Westcott) and so cast lots ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \circ v \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ v$, the common phrase as in Mt 27:35).

John 19:25
 of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, to place, used as imperfect (intransitive) with $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ (beside) and the locative case. Vivid contrast this to the rude gambling of the soldiers. This group of four (or three) women interests us more. Matt. (Mt 27:55f. ) spoke of women beholding from afar and names three (Mary Magdalene, Mary the mother of James the less and of Joses, and the mother of the sons of Zebedee). Mark also (Mr 15:40 ) names three (Mary Magdalene, Mary the mother of James the less and of Joses, and Salome). They have clearly drawn near the Cross by now. John alone mentions the mother of Jesus in the group. It is not clear whether the sister of the mother of Jesus is Salome the mother of the sons of Zebedee or the wife of Clopas. If so, two sisters have the name Mary and James and John are cousins of Jesus. The point cannot be settled with our present knowledge.

John 19:26
His mother ( $\tau \eta \nu \mu \eta \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). Common Greek idiom, the article as possessive.
Standing by ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect active (intransitive) participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, vivid and picturesque scene. The dying Saviour thinks of the comfort of his mother.

Whom he loved (ov $\eta \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha$ ). Imperfect active. Surely John is justified in inserting this phrase here. If John were his cousin, that helps explain why Jesus turns the care of his mother over to him. But the brothers of Jesus are not present and disbelieved his claims. John is the only one of the apostles with courage enough to take his stand with the women by the Cross. There is no disrespect in the use of "Woman" (Гvva) here as there was not in 2:4. This trust is to John, though Salome, John's own mother, was standing there.

John 19:27
Unto his own home ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \tau \alpha \iota \delta ı \alpha)$. See this same idiom and sense in 1:11; 16:32; Ac 21:6 . John had a lodging in Jerusalem, whether a house or not, and the mother of Jesus lived with him there.

John 19:28
Are now finished ( $\eta \delta \eta \tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$. See same form in verse 30 . As in 13:1, where Jesus is fully conscious (knowing, $\varepsilon \delta \omega \varsigma$ ) of the meaning of his atoning death.

Might be accomplished ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \omega \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \omega \omega$ rather than the usual $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \eta$ (verse 24) with $v$. $\alpha$. John sees the thirst of Jesus in Ps 69:21f. Jesus, of course, did not make the outcry in any mechanical way. Thirst is one of the severest agonies of crucifixion. For the "perfecting" of the Messiah by physical suffering see Heb 2:10; 5:7f. .

John 19:29

Was set (عкєıтo). Imperfect middle. John, as eyewitness, had noticed it there.
Of vinegar (o $\xi_{\text {ouc). Not vinegar drugged with myrrh (Mr 15:23) and gall (Mt 27:34) }}^{\text {) }}$ which Jesus had refused just before the crucifixion.

Sponge ( $\sigma \pi \circ \gamma \gamma \circ v$ ). Old word, in N.T. only here, Mr 15:36; Mt 27:48, our "sponge."
They put ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho 1 \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Second aorist active participle of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau i \theta \eta \mu$, to place around.
Upon hyssop ( $v \sigma \sigma \omega \pi \omega$ ).
A reed ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha \omega)$ as Mark and Matthew have it. The reed of the hyssop bush was only three or four feet long.

John 19:30
Had received ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$. Jesus took the vinegar (a stimulant), though he had refused the drugged vinegar. It is finished ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha$ ). Same for as in verse 28. A cry of victory in the hour of defeat like veviкŋка in 16:33. Jesus knew the relation of his death to redemption for us (Mr 10:45; Mt 20:28; 26:28).

Bowed his head ( $\kappa \lambda \iota v a \varsigma \tau \eta v \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta v$ ). First aorist active participle of $\kappa \lambda \iota v \omega$. This vivid detail only in John.

Gave up his spirit ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ to $\pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha$ ). With the quotation of Ps 31:5 according to Lu 23:46, "Father, into thy hands I commend my spirit" (the last of the seven sayings of Jesus on the Cross that are preserved for us). Jesus died with the words of this Psalm upon his lips. The apostle John had come back to the Cross.

John 19:31
The Preparation ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon u \eta$ ). Friday. See verse 14.
Might not remain ( $\mu \eta \mu \varepsilon \imath \eta \eta$ ). Negative final clause with $\imath v \alpha \mu \eta$ and first aorist active (constative) subjunctive of $\mu \varepsilon v \omega$.

A high day ( $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta$ ). A "great" day, since "the sabbath day following synchronized with the first day of unleavened bread which was a 'great' day" (Bernard). A double reason therefore for wanting the bodies removed before sunset when the Sabbath began.

That their legs might be broken (ıv катєа $\omega \omega \sigma เ v a v \tau o v \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \lambda \eta$ ). Purpose clause with $w \alpha$ and the second aorist passive subjunctive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma v v \mu$ with the augment retained in the subjunctive, a "false augment" common in later Greek as in the future in Mt 12:20 with this verb (Robertson, Grammar, p. 365). This crurifragium was done with a heavy mallet and ended the sufferings of the victim.

Legs ( $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon \lambda \eta$ ). Old word, here only in N.T.
Might be taken away ( $\alpha \rho \theta \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive of $\alpha \iota \rho \omega$ with $\imath v \alpha$ also. John 19:32
Which was crucified with him ( $\tau 0 v \sigma u v \sigma \tau \alpha \cup \rho \omega \theta \varepsilon v \tau o \varsigma ~ \alpha u \tau \omega$ ). First aorist passive articular participle of $\sigma v v \sigma \tau \alpha v \rho o \omega$ with associative instrumental case. Cf. Paul's Xpıбт $\omega$ $\sigma u v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \cup \rho \omega \mu \alpha(\mathrm{Ga} 2: 19)$.

John 19:33

[^1]They brake not (ov катєa $\xi a v$ ). The augment is proper here (see 32).
John 19:34
With a spear ( $\lambda_{0} \gamma \chi \eta$ ). Instrumental case of this old word, here only in the N.T.
Pierced his side ( $\alpha \cup \tau o v \tau \eta \nu \pi \lambda \varepsilon u \rho a v \varepsilon \nu v \xi \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\nu v \sigma \sigma \omega$, old word to pierce, here only in N.T., and $\pi \lambda \varepsilon u \rho a v$ (side), another old word, occurs in N.T. only here and Joh 20:20,25,27 .

Blood and water ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \nu \delta \omega \rho$ ). Dr. W. Stroud (Physical Cause of the Death of Christ) argues that this fact proves that the spear pierced the left side of Jesus near the heart and that Jesus had died literally of a broken heart since blood was mixed with water.

John 19:35
He that hath seen (o $\varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \omega \varsigma$ ). Perfect active articular participle of opa $\omega$. John the Apostle was there and saw this fact (still sees it, in fact). This personal witness disproves the theory of the Docetic Gnostics that Jesus did not have a real human body.

He knoweth ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o \varsigma ~ o ı \delta \varepsilon v)$ ). That is John does like 9:37. It is possible that $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o c ~ m a y ~$ be a solemn appeal to God as in 1:33 or Christ as in 1Jo 3:5. Bernard argues that the final editor is distinguishing the Beloved Disciple from himself and is endorsing him. But the example of Josephus (War. III. 7, 16) is against this use of eкعıvoc. John is rather referring to himself as still alive.

## John 19:36

Be broken ( $\sigma u \nu \tau \rho 1 \beta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Second future passive of $\sigma u \nu \tau \rho \iota \beta \omega$, to crush together. A free quotation of Ex 12:46 about the paschal lamb.

John 19:37
They pierced ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon v \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. First aorist active of $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \omega$, late verb, correct translation of the Hebrew of Zec 12:10, but not like the LXX, in N.T. only here and Re 1:7.

John 19:38
But secretly for fear of the Jews ( $\kappa \varepsilon к \rho \nu \mu \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \delta ı \alpha \tau о v \varphi о \beta \circ v \tau \omega v$ Iov $\alpha a \iota \omega v$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\kappa \rho \cup \pi \tau \omega$. An example of the rulers described in 12:41-43 who through cowardice feared to own their faith in Jesus as the Messiah. But it must be put down to the credit of Joseph that he showed courage in this darkest hour when the majority had lost heart.

That he might take away ( $\iota v \alpha \alpha \rho \eta$ ). Final clause with $\tau v \alpha$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of aıp $\omega$. Else the body of Jesus might have gone to the potter's field. Pilate gladly consented.

John 19:39

Nicodemus also ( $\kappa \alpha$ Nıко $\delta \eta \mu о \varsigma)$. The Synoptics tell about Joseph of Arimathea, but only John adds the help that Nicodemus gave him in the burial of Jesus, these two timid disciples, Nicodemus now at last taking an open stand.

At the first ( $\tau \mathrm{o} \pi \rho \omega \tau \mathrm{ov}$ ). Adverbial accusative and reference to 3:1f. .
Mixture ( $\mu \gamma \mu \alpha)$. Late word from $\mu \gamma \nu v \mu$, to mix, only here in the N.T. Many old MSS. have here $\varepsilon \lambda \iota \gamma \mu \alpha$ (roll), from $\varepsilon \lambda_{\iota \sigma \sigma \omega}$ (Heb 1:12), another late word here only in N.T. It was common to use sweet-smelling spices in the burial (2Ch 16:14).

Pound ( $\lambda_{\text {t } \tau \rho a \varsigma)}$ ). Late word for twelve ounces, in N.T. only here and 12:3. Nicodemus was a rich man and probably covered the entire body with the spices.

John 19:40
In linen cloths (o 0 ovioıs). Late diminutive for the old o $\theta$ ov $\eta$, used for ships' sails, in N.T. here and Lu 24:12 . Case here either locative or instrumental.

With the spices ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \omega \nu \alpha \rho \omega \mu \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). Late word $\alpha \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ for spices, from fumes.
To bury ( $\varepsilon v \tau \alpha \varphi \iota \alpha \zeta \varepsilon ı v)$. Late verb, from $\varepsilon v \tau \alpha \varphi \iota \alpha(\varepsilon v, \tau \alpha \varphi \circ \varsigma)$ the burial preparations of all sorts (flowers, perfumes, etc.), in N.T. only here and Mt 26:12 .

John 19:41
A garden (кптоৎ). See 18:1,26.
New (kaıvov). Fresh, unused.
Was never yet laid (ov $\delta \varepsilon \pi \omega \eta \nu \tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Periphrastic past perfect passive of $\tau ı \theta \eta \mu$. It was Joseph's mausoleum, a rock tomb hewn out of the mountain side (Mr 15:56; Mt 27:60; Lu 23:53 ), a custom common with the rich then and now. For royal tombs in gardens see 2Ki 21:18,26; Ne 3:16.

John 19:42
Was nigh at hand ( $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma v \varsigma \eta \nu$ ). This tomb was outside of the city, near a road as the Cross was, and in a garden. The hill looked like a skull and was probably Gordon's Calvary seen from the Mount of Olives today.

## John 20

John 20:1
Now on the first day of the week ( $\tau \eta \delta \varepsilon \mu \alpha \tau \omega v \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). Locative case of time when. Both Mark (Mr 16:2) and Luke (Lu 24:1) have this very idiom of the cardinal $\tau \eta \mu \mathrm{L}$, instead of the usual ordinal $\tau \eta \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta$ (first), an idiom common in the papyri and in the modern Greek (Robertson, Grammar, p. 671). In all three instances also we have the genitive plural $\tau \omega v \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau \omega v$ for "the week" as in Ac 20:7. The singular $\sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau o v$ also occurs for "the week" as in Lu 18:12; Mr 16:9.

Cometh Mary Magdalene (Mapıa $\eta$ Maү $\delta \alpha \lambda \eta \nu \eta \varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Vivid historical present. Mary Magdalene is not to be confounded with Mary of Bethany.

While it was yet dark ( $\sigma \kappa о \tau \iota \varsigma \varsigma \varepsilon \tau$ оиб $\wp \varsigma$ ). Genitive absolute. For $\sigma \kappa о \tau \iota \alpha$ see Joh 6:17; Mt 10:27. Mark (Mr 16:2) says the sun was risen on their actual arrival. She started from the house while still dark.

Taken away ( $\eta \rho \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\alpha \iota \rho \omega$, predicate accusative in apposition with tov $\lambda_{1} \theta \mathrm{o} v$.

John 20:2
Runneth ( $\tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon$ ). Vivid dramatic present indicative of $\tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$. John deals only with Mary Magdalene. She left the tomb at once before the rest and without seeing the angels as told in the Synoptics (Mr 16:2-8; Mt 28:5-8; Lu 24:1-8). Luke (Lu 24:9-12 ) does not distinguish between the separate report of Mary Magdalene and that of the other women.

To Simon Peter ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \Sigma \mu \omega v \alpha \Pi \varepsilon \tau \rho o v$ ). Full name as usual in John and back with John and the other disciples. The association of Peter and the other disciple in Joh 18-21 is like that between Peter and John in Ac 1-5.

Loved ( $\varepsilon \varphi \backslash \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect of $\varphi \backslash \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ for which see 5:20; 11:3 and for distinction from аү $\alpha \pi \alpha \omega$ see 11:5; 13:23; 21:7,15,17.

They have taken away ( $\eta \rho \alpha v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \rho \rho \omega$, indefinite plural.
We know not (ouk oı $\delta a \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Mary associates the other women with her in her ignorance. For $\varepsilon \theta \eta \kappa \alpha \nu$ (have laid) see 19:42. Mary fears a grave robbery. She has no idea of the resurrection of Jesus.

John 20:3
They went ( $\eta \rho \chi$ оvтo). Imperfect middle picturing the scene, "they were going." The two started instantly ( $\varepsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$, aorist active indicative).

John 20:4
They both (o $\delta v o$ ). "The two" (Peter and the other disciple whom Jesus loved).
Ran together ( $\varepsilon \tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \circ v$ ouov). Imperfect active of $\tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$. It was a race in eagerness to reach the tomb of Jesus.

Outran Peter ( $\pi \rho о \varepsilon \delta \rho \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon ו \circ v ~ \tau o v ~ П \varepsilon \tau \rho о v) . ~ S e c o n d ~ a o r i s t ~ a c t i v e ~ i n d i c a t i v e ~ o f ~$ $\pi \rho о \tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$, old verb, in N.T. only here and Lu 19:4, to run on before (ahead). "He ran ahead more swiftly (see Joh 13:27) than Peter" (ablative case after comparative adverb $\tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon \circ \circ$, Koine for older $\theta a \sigma \sigma o v)$.

First ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \varsigma)$. Predicative nominative (not adverb $\pi \rho \circ \tau \sigma v$ ) and superlative used where only two involved. John won the race.

John 20:5
Stooping and looking in ( $л а р а к и \psi \alpha \varsigma) . ~ O r i g i n a l l y ~ t o ~ s t o o p ~ a n d ~ l o o k, ~ b u t ~ i n ~ t h e ~ L X X ~$ (Ge 26:8; Jud 5:28; 1 Ki 6:4 , etc.) and the papyri rather just to peep in and so Field (Ot. Norv.) urges here. See also verse 11; Lu 24:12 (the verse bracketed by Westcott and Hort). For o月ovia (linen cloth) see Joh 19:40 .

Lying ( $\kappa \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha)$ ). Present middle participle of $\kappa \varepsilon \mu \mu \alpha$, predicative accusative. John notices this fact at once. If the body had been removed, these clothes would have gone also. John's timid nature made him pause (yet, $\mu \varepsilon v \tau 0$, however).

John 20:6
Entered and beholdeth ( $\varepsilon เ \sigma \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon$ ). Aorist active and present active indicative. Peter impulsively went on in and beholds ( $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon$, vivid term again, but of careful notice, $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$, not a mere glance $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$ such as John gave in verse 5).

John 20:7
The napkin ( $\tau 0$ oovסapıov). Already in 11:44 which see. This napkin for the head was in a separate place.

Rolled up ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \tau \cup \lambda ı \gamma \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Perfect passive participle, predicate accusative like $\kappa \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o \nu$, from $\varepsilon v \tau \cup \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \omega$, late verb, to wrap in, to roll up, already in Mt 27:59; Lu 23:53. It was arranged in an orderly fashion. There was no haste.

By itself ( $\chi \omega \rho \iota \varsigma)$. Old adverb, "apart," "separately."
John 20:8
Then therefore ( $\tau \circ \tau \varepsilon \mathrm{ovv}$ ). After Peter in time and influenced by the boldness of Peter.
And he saw and believed ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \iota \delta \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Both aorist active indicative (second and first). Peter saw more after he entered than John did in his first glance, but John saw into the meaning of it all better than Peter. Peter had more sight, John more insight. John was the first to believe that Jesus was risen from the tomb even before he saw him. According to Lu 24:12 Peter went away "wondering" still. The Sinaitic Syriac and 69 and 124 wrongly read here "they believed." John was evidently proud to be able to record this great moment when he believed without seeing in contrast to Thomas (20:29). Peter and John did not see the angels.

John 20:9
For ( $\gamma \alpha \rho$ ). Explanatory use of $\gamma \alpha \rho$.

The Scripture ( $\tau \eta \nu \gamma \rho a \varphi \eta \nu$ ). Probably Ps 16:10. Jesus had repeatedly foretold his resurrection, but that was all forgotten in the great sorrow on their hearts. Only the chief priests and Pharisees recalled the words of Jesus (Mt 27:62ff. ).

Must $(\delta \varepsilon)$. For this use of $\delta \varepsilon$ concerning Christ's death and resurrection see $\mathrm{Mr} 8: 31$; Mt 26:54; Lu 9:22; 17:25; 22:37; 24:7,26,44; Joh 3:14; 12:34; Ac 1:16. Jesus had put emphasis on both the fact and the necessity of his resurrection which the disciples slowly perceived. John 20:10
Unto their own home ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha v \tau 0 \cup \varsigma)$. "To themselves." Luke (Lu 24:12) has $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha v \tau \circ v$ about Peter ("to his home"). This use of the reflective pronoun for home (literally, "to themselves"), like the French chez eux, occurs in Josephus (Ant. VII. 4, 6). John had taken the mother of Jesus to his home ( $19: 27$ ) and so he now hurried home to tell her the glorious news as he believed.

John 20:11
Was standing (เбтๆкє). Past perfect of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ as imperfect as in 19:25.
At the tomb ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau \omega \mu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \epsilon \omega$ ). Проৎ (in front of) with locative while $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ (by the side of) with locative in 19:25. Pathetic and common picture of a woman weeping by the tomb. See 11:31.

As she wept ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \alpha \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect, "as she was weeping."
She stooped and looked ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \nu \psi \varepsilon v)$. Aorist active indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa v \pi \tau \omega$ for which see verse 5 . Mary "peeped into" the tomb, but did not enter.

John 20:12
Beholdeth ( $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon$ ). Vivid historical present again as in verses 6,14. Peter and John had not seen the two angels. Westcott suggests an "economy" in such manifestations as the explanations. Better our own ignorance as to the reason why only the women saw them. Angels were commonly believed to be clad in white. See Mr 16:5 (a young man in a white robe), Mt 28:5 (the angel), Lu 24:4 (two men in dazzling apparel). For other angels in John's Gospel see 1:41; 12:29; 20:12.

Had lain (عкعıто). Imperfect in progressive sense, "had been lying," though not there now.

John 20:13
I do not know (ovk ot $\delta \alpha$ ). Singular here, not plural as in verse 2, because clearly Mary is alone here. But the problem is the same. She did not see Peter and John at the tomb.

John 20:14
She turned herself back $(\varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \eta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha о \pi \iota \sigma \omega)$. Second aorist passive indicative of $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$ in an intransitive and almost reflective sense. In the disappearance of the aorist middle before the aorist passive see Robertson, Grammar, p.817. See also $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha$ (second


Standing ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \tau \alpha$ ). Second perfect active (intransitive) of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$. Instinctively Mary felt the presence of some one behind her.

Was ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. Present active indicative retained in indirect discourse after $\eta \iota \delta \varepsilon$ (knew).
John 20:15
Sir (Kupıe). Clearly not "Lord" here, for she thought him to be "the gardener" (o $\kappa \eta \pi о \cup \rho о \varsigma)$, old word ( $\kappa \eta \pi о \sigma$, oupo¢), keeper of the garden, only here in the N.T.

If thou hast borne him hence ( $\varepsilon \sigma v \varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma o \varsigma \alpha v \tau o v)$. Condition of the first class. Note emphasis on $\sigma v$ (thou). A new idea struck Mary as mistaken as the other one. Jesus had repeated the question of the angels, but she did not recognize him.

And I ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \omega$ ). Emphasis and crasis.
John 20:16
Mary (Марıац). Aramaic form in Aleph B W, though Mapıa in 19:25. Clearly the old familiar tone of Jesus was in the pronunciation of her name.

Rabboni (Paßßouve). Aramaic again for $\Delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ (Teacher), "my Teacher." In N.T. only here and Mr 10:51 though practically the same as Paßß. See 11:28 for "the Teacher" (Rabbi). These two simple words tell the great fact that Christ is risen and Mary has seen him. One says little in really great moments.

John 20:17
Touch me not ( $\mu \eta \mu \circ v a \pi \tau o v$ ). Present middle imperative in prohibition with genitive case, meaning "cease clinging to me" rather than "Do not touch me." Jesus allowed the women to take hold of his feet ( $\varepsilon \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ) and worship ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \kappa v v \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ) as we read in Mt 28:9. The prohibition here reminds Mary that the previous personal fellowship by sight, sound, and touch no longer exists and that the final state of glory was not yet begun. Jesus checks Mary's impulsive eagerness.

For I am not yet ascended (ov $\omega \omega \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha v \alpha \beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa \alpha$ ). Perfect active indicative. Jesus is here at all only because he has not yet gone home. He had said ( 16:7) that it was good for them that he should go to the Father when the Holy Spirit will come through whom they will have fellowship with the Father and Christ.

My God ( $\theta$ sou $\mu \mathrm{\mu ov}$ ). Jesus had said "My God" on the Cross (Mr 15:34). Note it also in Re 3:2 . So Paul in Ro 15:6, etc., has "the God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ."

John 20:18
And telleth ( $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o v \sigma \alpha$ ). Present active participle, "announcing."
 always carry in her heart that vision (picture) of the Risen Christ. She tells this fact before she delivers Christ's message to the brethren of Christ.

How that. No word in the Greek, but a conjunction like $\omega \varsigma$ is implied. Ho here is recitative. The disciples (brethren) did not believe Mary's story nor that of the other women
(Lu 24:11; Mr 16:11). Paul does not mention the vision to Mary or the women in 1Co 15:5-7 . But Mary Magdalene was the first one to see the Risen Lord.

John 20:19
When therefore it was evening on that day (ovбףৎ ouv oчıaৎ $\tau \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ \nu \varepsilon$ ). Genitive absolute with $o \psi \iota \alpha$ ( $о \psi \iota \rho$, late), old word with $\omega \rho \alpha$ (hour) understood and here for the time from six to nine ( $6: 16$ ) and the locative case of time with $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ (day). John often uses this note of time ( $1: 39 ; 5: 9 ; 11: 53 ; 14: 20 ; 16: 23,26$ ). The addition of $\tau \eta \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ (see $20: 1$ for this use of $\mu \iota \alpha$ like $\pi \rho \omega \tau \eta$ ) proves that John is using Roman time, not Jewish, for here evening follows day instead of preceding it.

When the doors were shut ( $\tau \omega \nu \theta \nu \rho \omega \nu \kappa \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute again with perfect passive participle of $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon เ \omega$, shut to keep the Jews out. News of the empty tomb had already spread (Mt 28:11). See Joh 7:13 for the phrase "for fear of the Jews"; cf. 12:42.

Stood in the midst ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \varepsilon เ \varsigma \tau o \mu \varepsilon \sigma o v)$. Second aorist (ingressive) active (intransitive) of $ו \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, "stepped into the midst."

Peace be unto you (Et $\rho \eta \eta \nu \mu \tau \nu$ ). The usual oriental salutation as in verses 21,26; Lu 24:36, here with probable reference to Joh 14:27 (Christ's legacy of peace).

John 20:20
Showed ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \iota \xi \varepsilon \nu)$. First aorist active indicative of $\delta \varepsilon ı \kappa \nu v \mu$. This body, not yet glorified, retained the marks of the nails and of the soldier's spear, ample proof of the bodily resurrection against the modern view that only Christ's "spirit" arose and against the Docetic notion that Jesus had no actual human body. Luke (Lu 24:39f. ) adds feet to hands and side.

Were glad ( $\varepsilon \chi \propto \rho \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Second aorist passive indicative of $\chi \alpha \iota \omega$. Jesus had said ( 16:22) that it would be so. Luke adds (Lu 24:41) that they "disbelieved for joy." It was too good to be true, though terror had first seized them when Jesus appeared (Lu 24:37) because of the suddenness of Christ's appearance and their highly wrought state.

John 20:21
Even so send I you ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \omega \pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega v \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. Jesus has often spoken of the Father's sending him using both $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ and $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega$. Here he employs both words in practically the same sense. Jesus still bears the Commission of the Father (perfect active indicative). For this balanced contention (as ... so) see 6:57; 10:15. This is the first of the three commissions given by the Risen Christ (another on the mountain in Galilee (Mt 28:16-20; 1Co 15:6), another on the Mount of Olives (Lu 24:44-51; Ac 1:3-11).

John 20:22
He breathed on them ( $\varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \varphi v \sigma \eta \sigma \varepsilon v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \mu \varphi v \sigma \alpha \omega$, late verb, here only in N.T. though eleven times in the LXX and in the papyri. It was a symbolic art with the same word used in the LXX when God breathed the breath of life upon Adam (Ge 2:7 ). It occurs also in Eze 37:9. See Christ's promise in Joh 16:23 . Jesus gives the disciples a foretaste of the great pentecost.

Receive ye the Holy Ghost ( $\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \alpha \gamma ı v$ ). Second aorist (ingressive) active imperative of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$. Note absence of article here ( $\pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \alpha \gamma เ \circ v$ ) though to $\pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha$ тo a $\alpha$ ıov in 14:26. No real distinction is to be observed, for Holy Spirit is treated as a proper name with or without the article.

John 20:23
Whosesoever sins ye forgive ( $\alpha \nu \tau \imath v \omega \nu \alpha \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau ı \alpha \varsigma)$. "If the sins of any ye forgive" ( $\alpha \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon$, second aorist active subjunctive with $\alpha v$ in the sense of $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ ), a condition of the third class. Precisely so with "retain" ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon$, present active subjunctive of $\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$ ).

They are forgiven ( $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \omega \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\alpha \varphi \not \supsetneq \mu$, Doric perfect for $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon ı \tau \alpha$.

Are retained ( $\kappa \varepsilon \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \eta \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$. The power to forgive sin belongs only to God, but Jesus claimed to have this power and right (Mr 2:5-7 ). What he commits to the disciples and to us is the power and privilege of giving assurance of the forgiveness of sins by God by correctly announcing the terms of forgiveness. There is no proof that he actually transferred to the apostles or their successors the power in and of themselves to forgive sins. In Mt 16:19; 18:18 we have a similar use of the rabbinical metaphor of binding and loosing by proclaiming and teaching. Jesus put into the hands of Peter and of all believers the keys of the Kingdom which we should use to open the door for those who wish to enter. This glorious promise applies to all believers who will tell the story of Christ's love for men.

John 20:24
Didymus ( $\Delta \mathrm{t} \delta u \mu \mathrm{o}$ ). The same expression applied to Thomas in 11:16; 21:2, but nowhere else in N.T. Old word for twin (double), "the pessimist of the apostolic band" (Bernard). The term twelve is still applied to the group, though Judas, the traitor, is dead.

John 20:25
We have seen the Lord ( $\varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ tov кирıоv). The very language in the plural that Mary Magdalene had used ( $20: 18$ ) when no one believed her.

Except I shall see ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \mu \eta \stackrel{\delta}{ }$ ). Negative condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and second aorist active subjunctive and so as to $\beta \alpha \lambda \omega$ (from $\beta a \lambda \lambda \omega$ ) "and put."

The print ( $\tau 0 v \tau \cup \pi \circ v$ ). The mark or stamp made by the nails, here the original idea. Various terms as in Ac 7:44; 1Ti 4:12 . Finally our "type" as in Ro 5:14. Clearly the disciples had told Thomas that they had seen the tutov of the nails in his hands and the spear in his side.

I will not believe ( $o v \mu \eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma \omega$ ). Strong refusal with $o v \mu \eta$ (doubtful negative) and first aorist active subjunctive (or future indicative).

John 20:26
After eight days ( $\mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime} \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma$ ок $\tau \omega$ ). That is the next Sunday evening, on the eighth day in reality just like "after three days" and "on the third day."

Within $(\varepsilon \sigma \omega)$. Apparently in the same room as before.
Cometh ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Vivid dramatic present. The other items precisely as in verse 19 save Thomas was with them.

John 20:27
Then saith he to Thomas ( $\varepsilon ו \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \omega \Theta o \mu \alpha)$. Jesus turns directly to Thomas as if he had come expressly for his sake. He reveals his knowledge of the doubt in the mind of Thomas and mentions the very tests that he had named (25).

Be not faithless ( $\mu \eta \gamma \iota v o v a \pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma)$. Present middle imperative of $\gamma \iota v o \mu \alpha$ in prohibition, "stop becoming disbelieving." The doubt of Thomas in the face of the witness of the others was not a proof of his superior intelligence. Sceptics usually pose as persons of unusual mentality. The medium who won Sir Arthur Conan Doyle to spiritualism has confessed that it was all humbug, but he deceived the gullible novelist. But Thomas had carried his incredulity too far. Note play on $\alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma$ (disbelieving) and $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma$ (believing).

John 20:28
My Lord and my God (Но кирıо $\mu$ оv ка о $\theta \varepsilon о \varsigma ~ \mu о v)$. Not exclamation, but address, the vocative case though the form of the nominative, a very common thing in the Koine. Thomas was wholly convinced and did not hesitate to address the Risen Christ as Lord and God. And Jesus accepts the words and praises Thomas for so doing.

John 20:29
Thou hast believed ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \kappa \alpha \varsigma)$. Perfect active indicative. Probably interrogative, but "it was sight, not touch that convinced Thomas" (Bernard).

And yet ( $\kappa \alpha$ ). Clear use of $\kappa \alpha$ in the adversative sense. Thomas made a noble confession, but he missed the highest form of faith without the evidence of the senses. Peter ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 8$ ) uses language that seems like a reminiscence of the words of Jesus to Thomas which Peter heard.

John 20:30
Many other signs ( $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \alpha)$. Not only those described in the Synoptic Gospels or referred to in general statements, but many alluded to in John's Gospel (2:23; 4:45; 12:37).

Are not written (ovk $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha$ ). Periphrastic perfect passive indicative of $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$, do not stand written, are not described "in this book." John has made a selection of the vast number wrought by Jesus "in the presence of the disciples" ( $\varepsilon \nu \omega \pi \iota \rho \tau \omega \nu \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \omega \nu$ ), common idiom in Luke, not in Mark and Matthew, and by John elsewhere only in 1Jo 3:22 . John's book is written with a purpose which he states.

John 20:31
Are written $(\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha)$. Perfect passive indicative of $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$, "have been written" by John.

That ye may believe ( $\llcorner v a \pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Purpose with $\mathrm{v} \alpha$ and the present active subjunctive of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$, "that you may keep on believing." The book has had precisely this effect of continuous and successive confirmation of faith in Jesus Christ through the ages.
 named Jesus is identical with the Messiah (the Anointed One) as opposed to the Cerinthian separation of the Jesus of history and the Christ (arov) of theology. And the Docetic notion of a phantom body for Jesus with no actual human body is also false. Jesus is the Son of God with all that this high term implies, the Logos of Joh 1:1-18 (the Prologue). "Very God of very God," Incarnate Revealer of God. But there is a further purpose.

And that believing ye may have life in his name ( $\kappa \alpha เ v \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \zeta \omega \eta \nu \varepsilon \chi \eta \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu$ $\tau \omega$ оvoцat $\alpha \cup \tau o v)$. Note present participle $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ (continuing to believe) and the present active subjunctive $\varepsilon \chi \eta \tau \varepsilon$ (keep on having). "Life" ( $\zeta \omega \eta \nu$ ) is eternal life so often mentioned in this Gospel, life to be found only in the name (and power) of Jesus Christ the Son of God. This verse constitutes a fitting close for this wonderful book and John may at first have intended to stop here. But before he published the work he added the Epilogue (Chapter XXI) which is written in the same style and gives a beautiful picture of the Risen Christ with a side-light on John and Peter (restored to fellowship).

## John 21

John 21:1
Manifested himself ( $\varepsilon \varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha u \tau o v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \circ \omega$ with the reflexive pronoun (cf. 7:4; 13:4). For the passive see 1:31; 21:14 . Jesus was only seen during the forty days now and then (Ac 1:3), ten instances being recorded. The word pavepow is often used of Christ on earth (Joh 1:31; 2:11; 1Pe 1:20; 1Jo 1:2), of his works (Joh 3:5), of the second coming (1Jo 2:28), of Christ in glory (Col 3:4; 1Jo 3:2 ).

At ( $\varepsilon \pi$ ). By or upon.
Of Tiberias ( $\tau \eta \varsigma T \iota \beta \varepsilon \rho \iota \alpha \delta$ ¢ $)$. As in 6:1 instead of the usual "Sea of Galilee." Tiberias, the capital city of Galilee, gave this epithet to the Sea of Galilee. This is not the appearance in Galilee prearranged by Jesus (Mr 16:7; Mt 28:7,16).

John 21:2
There were together ( $\eta \sigma \alpha v$ o $\eta \circ v$ ). These seven (Peter, Thomas, Nathanael, the sons of Zebedee, and two others). We know that the sons of Zebedee were James and John (Mt 4:21), mentioned by name nowhere in John's Gospel, apparently because John is the author. We do not know who the "two others of his disciples" were, possibly Andrew and Philip. It seems to me to be crass criticism in spite of Harnack and Bernard to identify the incident here with that in Lu 5:1-11. There are a few points of similarity, but the differences are too great for such identification even with a hypothetical common source.

John 21:3
I go a fishing (v $\operatorname{\tau } \alpha \gamma \omega \alpha \lambda_{\iota \varepsilon v \varepsilon ı v) . ~ T h e ~ p r e s e n t ~ a c t i v e ~ i n f i n i t i v e ~}^{\alpha} \lambda_{\iota \varepsilon v \varepsilon ı v ~ e x p r e s s e s ~ p u r p o s e ~}^{\text {en }}$ as often. It is a late verb from $\alpha \lambda^{\prime} \varepsilon \cup \varsigma$ (fisherman) and occurs in Jer 16:16, in Philo, Plutarch, and one papyrus. Peter's proposal was a natural one. He had been a fisherman by practice and they were probably waiting in Galilee for the appointed meeting with Christ on the mountain. Andrew and Peter, James and John were fishermen also. Peter's proposition met a ready response from all.

They took ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \alpha \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\pi \iota \alpha \zeta \omega$, Doric form for $\pi \iota \varepsilon \zeta \omega$, to catch.

John 21:4
When day was now breaking ( $\pi \rho \omega \iota \alpha \varsigma \eta \delta \eta \gamma \iota \nu \quad \mu \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute and note present middle participle (dawn coming on and still dark). In Mt 27:1 the aorist participle


Was ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. Present indicative retained in indirect assertion.
John 21:5
Children ( $\Pi \downarrow \iota \delta \iota \alpha)$. Diminutive of $\pi \alpha \iota \varsigma$ and used here alone by Jesus in addressing his disciples. It is a colloquial expression like "my boys." The aged Apostle John uses it in 1Jo 2:13,18 .

Have ye aught to eat? ( $\mu \eta \tau \pi \rho о \sigma \varphi a \gamma \circ \sim \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ;$ ). The negative answer is expected by this polite inquiry as in $4: 29$. The rare and late word $\pi \rho o \sigma \varphi \alpha \gamma \iota v$ from the root $\varphi a \gamma(\varepsilon \sigma \theta \iota \omega$, to eat) and $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ (in addition) was used for a relish with bread and then for fish as here. So in the papyri. Nowhere else in the N.T.

John 21:6
The right side ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha \delta \varepsilon \xi \iota \alpha \mu \varepsilon \rho \eta$ ). Jesus knew where the fish were. For "net" ( $\delta \iota \kappa \tau v o v$ ) see Mt 4:20, here alone in John.

Were now not able to draw it (оงкє $\alpha \cup \tau \circ \varepsilon \lambda \kappa \cup \sigma \alpha \iota \sigma \chi \cup \circ \nu$ ). Imperfect active picturing the disciples tugging at the net.

John 21:7
It is the Lord (о кטрıৎ $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. John's quick insight appears again.
Girt his coat about him ( $\tau 0 \nu \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \nu \delta v \tau \eta \nu \delta เ \varepsilon \zeta \omega \sigma \alpha \tau 0$ ). First aorist middle (indirect) indicative with which note $\delta \iota \zeta \zeta \omega \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon a v \tau o v$ in 13:4. Apparently Peter threw on the upper garment or linen blouse ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon v \delta v \tau \eta \nu$ ) worn by fishers over his waistcloth and tucked it under his girdle.

John 21:8
In the little boat ( $\tau \omega \pi \lambda o \iota \alpha \rho \iota \omega$ ). Locative case of $\pi \lambda o \iota \alpha \rho \circ v$ (diminutive) for the larger boat ( $\pi \lambda$ otov, verses 3,6 ) could come no closer to shore. But the words seem interchangeable in $6: 17,19,21,22,24$.

About two hundred cubits off ( $\omega \varsigma \alpha \pi$ о $\pi \eta \chi \omega v \delta \iota \alpha \kappa о \sigma \iota \omega v$ ). For $\pi \eta \chi \nu \varsigma$, cubit, see Mt 6:27 and for $\omega \varsigma$ a $\pi$ o see 11:18.

Dragging ( $\sigma \cup \rho o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\sigma \cup \rho \omega$ for which see Ac 8:3.
John 21:9
Got out ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \beta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). As in Lu 5:2 .
They see ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \sigma \cup \sigma \iota v$ ). Vivid historical present.
A fire of coals ( $\alpha v \theta \rho \alpha \kappa \iota \alpha v)$. See 18:18 for this word. Cf. our "anthracite."
There ( $\kappa \varepsilon \iota \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \nu)$. Lying as placed, present middle participle of $\kappa \varepsilon \iota \mu \alpha$.
Fish (o $\psi \alpha \rho \iota o v$ ). As in 6:9,11, like $\pi \rho о \sigma \varphi \alpha \gamma \iota \sim v$ above.
Laid thereon ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \kappa \varepsilon \iota \mu \varepsilon \nu o v)$. So broiling with bread ready (toast).
John 21:10
Which $(\omega v)$. Ablative case by attraction from $\alpha$ to agree with $o \psi \alpha \rho \iota \omega v$. They had caught the fish by Christ's direction.

John 21:11
Went up ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \beta \eta$ ). Into the little boat or dinghy.
Drew ( $\varepsilon \iota \lambda \kappa v \sigma \varepsilon v)$. Same verb as $\varepsilon \lambda \kappa v \sigma \alpha$ in verse 6 . Peter now did what they had failed to do.

Three $(\tau \rho \iota \omega v)$. The addition "three" to the "hundred and fifty" looks as if they were actually counted these "large" ( $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \omega v)$ fish. It was a great fish story that John recalls vividly.

Was not rent (ouk $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \iota \sigma \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\sigma \chi\llcorner\zeta \omega$, to split (our word "schism").

John 21:12
Break your fast ( $\alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \omega$ from $\alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau o v$, first to breakfast, as here and then later to dine as in Lu 11:37. What a delightful breakfast of fresh broiled fish just caught (verse 10) with the hush of joyful surprise in the presence of the Risen Lord.

Durst ( $\varepsilon \tau \circ \lambda \mu \alpha)$ Imperfect active of $\tau 0 \lambda \mu \alpha \omega$. The restraint of silence continued.
John 21:13
Taketh the bread, and giveth them ( $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \varepsilon$ tov ap тov ка $\delta \iota \delta \omega \sigma ı v$ avtoı). Vivid presents again. Jesus acts as host at this early breakfast, his last meal with these seven faithful followers.

John 21:14
Now the third time ( $\tau 0 \eta \delta \eta \tau \rho \iota \tau \circ v$ ). "To the disciples" (apostles) John says, the two others being told by him ( $20: 19,26$ ) on the two Sunday evenings. There were four other appearances already (to Mary Magdalene, to the group of women, to the two on the way to Emmaus, to Peter).

John 21:15
Lovest thou me more than these? ( $\alpha \not \alpha \pi \alpha ı \varsigma ~ \mu \varepsilon \pi \lambda \varepsilon o v ~ \tau o v \tau \omega v ;$ ). Ablative case of comparison tout $\omega \nu$ (disciples) after $\pi \lambda \varepsilon o v$. Peter had even boasted that he would stand by Christ though all men forsook him (Mr 14:29). We do not know what passed between Jesus and Peter when Jesus first appeared to him (Lu 24:34). But here Christ probes the inmost recesses of Peter's heart to secure the humility necessary for service.

I love thee $(\varphi i \lambda \omega \sigma v)$. Peter makes no claim here to superior love and passes by the "more than these" and does not even use Christ's word $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$ for high and devoted love, but the humbler word $\varphi \backslash \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ for love as a friend. He insists that Christ knows this in spite of his conduct.

Feed my lambs (Boбкє $\tau \alpha \alpha \rho v i \alpha \mu \circ v$ ). For the old word $\beta \circ \sigma \kappa \omega$ (to feed as a herdsman) see Mt 8:33. Present active imperative here. Apvia is a diminutive of $\alpha \rho v o \varsigma$ (lamb).

John 21:16
Lovest thou me? ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha ı \varsigma \mu \varepsilon$;). This time Jesus drops the $\pi \lambda \varepsilon o v$ тovi $\omega v$ and challenges Peter's own statement. Peter repeats the same words in reply.

Tend my sheep ( $\pi о \mu \alpha ı v \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \rho \circ \beta \alpha \tau i \alpha$ ). Present active imperative of $\pi о \mu \alpha \iota v \omega$, old verb from $\pi о \mu \eta \nu$ (shepherd), "shepherd my lambs" ( $\pi \rho \circ \beta \alpha \tau \iota \alpha$, diminutive of $\pi \rho o \beta a \tau o v$, sheep).

John 21:17
Lovest thou me? ( $\varphi \backslash \lambda \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \mu \varepsilon ;$ ). This time Jesus picks up the word $\varphi \backslash \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ used by Peter and challenges that. These two words are often interchanged in the N.T., but here the dis-
tinction is preserved. Peter was cut to the heart $(\varepsilon \lambda \nu \pi \eta \eta \eta$, first aorist passive of $\lambda \cup \pi \varepsilon \omega$, to grieve) because Jesus challenges this very verb, and no doubt the third question vividly reminds him of the three denials in the early morning by the fire. He repeats his love for Jesus with the plea: "Thou knowest all things."

Feed my sheep ( $\beta$ обкє $\tau \alpha \pi \rho \circ \beta \alpha \tau \iota \alpha$ ). Many MSS. both here and in verse 16 read $\pi \rho o \beta \alpha \tau \alpha$ (sheep) instead of $\pi \rho o \beta a \tau \iota \alpha$ (little sheep or lambs).

John 21:18
Thou girdest thyself ( $\varepsilon \zeta \omega v v v \varepsilon \varsigma \sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Imperfect active of customary action of $\zeta \omega v v v \omega$, old verb, in N.T. only here and Ac 12:8. So as to $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \varepsilon \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~(w a l k e d s t) ~ a n d ~ \eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varsigma$ (wouldest), two other imperfects of customary action.

When thou shalt be old (o $\tau \alpha v \gamma \eta \rho \alpha \sigma \eta ı$ ). Indefinite temporal clause with o o $\alpha v$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\gamma \eta \rho a \sigma \kappa \omega$, old verb to grow old, in N.T. only here and Heb 8:13 , "whenever thou growest old."

John 21:19
By what manner of death ( $\pi \circ \omega \theta \theta \alpha \alpha \tau \omega$ ). Undoubtedly John, who is writing long after Peter's death, seems to mean that Peter was to die (and did die) a martyr's death. "Whither thou wouldest not." There is a tradition that Peter met death by crucifixion and asked to be crucified head downwards, but that is not made plain here.

John 21:20
Turning about ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Second aorist passive participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, old verb, here a sudden turning round (ingressive aorist). For the simplex verb $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$ see 20:14,16

Following ( $\alpha \kappa 0 \lambda$ ouӨouvta). Following both Jesus and Peter, perhaps having heard the graphic dialogue above.

John 21:21
And what shall this man do? (ov $о$ os $\delta \varepsilon \tau i$ ). Literally, "But this one ... what?" The abrupt ellipsis is intelligible.

John 21:22
If I will $(\varepsilon \alpha v \theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega)$. Condition of the third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and the present active subjunctive of $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$.

Till I come ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha)$. Literally, "while I am coming" ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ and the present indicative, not $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega$ (second aorist active subjunctive).

What is that to thee? ( $\tau \pi \rho o \varsigma \sigma \varepsilon ;$ ). A sharp rebuke to Peter's keen curiosity.
Follow thou me ( $\sigma \nu \mu$ о ако入ov $\theta \varepsilon$ ). "Do thou me keep on following." That lesson Peter needed.

John 21:23
That that disciple should not die (от о $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \eta \varsigma$ єкєьขо̧ оик $\alpha \pi о \theta v \eta \sigma \kappa \varepsilon$ ) (present active indicative), because Peter or others misunderstood what Jesus meant as John now
carefully explains. He was rebuking Peter's curiosity, not affirming that John would live on till the Master returned. John is anxious to set this matter right.

John 21:24
That is (ovtoc $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. The one just mentioned in verse 20, "the disciple whom Jesus loved."

And wrote these things ( $\kappa \alpha$ о $\gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha \varsigma \tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Here there is a definite statement that the Beloved Disciple wrote this book.

We know (oı $\delta \alpha \mu \varepsilon v)$. The plural here seems intentional as the identification and endorsement of a group of disciples who know the author and wish to vouch for his identity and for the truthfulness of his witness. Probably we see here a verse added by a group of elders in Ephesus where John had long laboured.

John 21:25
If they should be written every one ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \varepsilon v$ ). Condition of the third class with $\varepsilon a v$ and present passive subjunctive of $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$, "If they should be written one by one" (in full detail).

I suppose ( $о \boldsymbol{\rho} \alpha$ ). Note change back to the first person singular by the author.
Would not contain (ov $\delta^{\prime}$ avtov tov коб $\mu$ ov $\chi \omega \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon เ v$ ). Future active infinitive in indirect discourse after o $\mu \mathrm{\alpha}$. This is, of course, natural hyperbole, but graphically pictures for us the vastness of the work and words of Jesus from which the author has made a small selection (20:30f. ) and by which he has produced what is, all things considered, the greatest of all the books produced by man, the eternal gospel from the eagle who soars to the very heavens and gives us a glimpse of the glory of God in the face of Jesus Christ.

## THE ACTS OF THE APOSTLES BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

But for the Acts we should know nothing of the early apostolic period save what is told in the Epistles. There are various apocryphal "Acts," but they are without historical worth. Hence the importance of this book.

LUKE THE AUTHOR
It ought to be possible to assume this as a fact since the work of Ramsay and Harnack on various phases of the problems concerning the Acts. Harnack, in particular, has covered the ground with his accustomed thoroughness and care in his two volumes (The Acts of the Apostles, English Translation by Rev. J. R. Wilkinson, 1909; The Date of the Acts and the Synoptic Gospels, English Translation by Rev. J. R. Wilkinson, 1911). Ramsay's view may be found in Chapter I of St. Paul the Traveller and the Roman Citizen, Chapter XII of Pauline and Other Studies. A good summary of the matter appears in Part V of The Synoptic Gospels and the Book of Acts by Dr. D. A. Hayes, in Robertson's Luke the Historian in the Light of Research, and in the introduction to the various commentaries by Knowling, Rackham, Furneaux, Rendall, Hackett, Meyer-Wendt, Zahn, Blass, Campbell-Morgan, Stokes. In Part I of The Acts of the Apostles, Vol. II of The Beginnings of Christianity, edited by FoakesJackson and Kirsopp Lake both sides are ably presented: The Case for the Tradition by C. W. Emmet, The Case against the Tradition by H. Windisch. The Internal Evidence of Acts is discussed by the Editors, Foakes-Jackson and Lake, with an adverse conclusion against Luke. Henry J. Cadbury surveys The Tradition (the external evidence) and draws a negative conclusion likewise on the ground that the early writers who ascribe Acts to Luke were not critical scholars. A similar position is taken by Cadbury in his more recent volume, The Making of Luke--Acts (1927). But all the same the traditional view that Luke is the author of the Acts holds the field with those who are not prejudiced against it. The view of Baur that Acts is a Tendenz writing for the purpose of healing the breach between Peter and Paul and showing that the two factions came together had great influence for a while. In fact both Ramsay and Harnack at first held it. Ramsay broke away first and he was followed by Harnack. Both were influenced to change their views by the accumulation of evidence to the effect that the author of both the Gospel and Acts is Luke the Physician and Friend of Paul. Part of this evidence has already been given in the Introduction to the Gospel according to Luke.

THE AUTHOR OF THE GOSPEL ALSO
The author of the Acts expressly states that he wrote "the first treatise ( $\tau 0 v \pi \rho \omega \tau 0 v$ $\lambda_{0} \gamma_{o}$ ) concerning all things, O Theophilus, that Jesus began both to do and to teach until which day he gave command through the Holy Spirit to the apostles whom he had chosen and was received up" (Ac 1:1f. ). There is no room for dispute that the reference is directly
to the Gospel according to Luke as we have it now. Like the Gospel the book is dedicated to Theophilus. And, what is even more important, the same style appears in both Gospel and Acts. This fact Harnack has shown with great pains and conclusiveness. There is the same interest in medical matters and even Cadbury, who denies by implication the Lukan authorship, admits identity of authorship for both books.

THE UNITY OF THE ACTS
There are some scholars who are willing to admit the Lukan authorship of the "we" sections when the author uses "we" and "us" as in chapter 16:10-40; 20:6-28:31 . It has been argued that Luke wrote a travel-document or diary for these sections, but that this material was used by the editor or redactor of the whole book. But, unfortunately for that view, the very same style appears in the Acts as a whole and in the Gospel also as Harnack has proven. The man who said "we" and "us" in the "we" sections wrote "I" in 1:1 and refers to the Gospel as his work. The effort to disprove the unity of the Acts has failed. It stands as the work of the same author as a whole and the same author who wrote the Gospel.

SOURCES OF THE ACTS
Beyond a doubt Luke employed a variety of sources for this great history as he did for the Gospel (Lu 1:1-4). In fact, Cadbury argues that this Prologue was meant to apply to the Acts also as Volume II whether he intended to write a third volume or not. Certainly we are entitled to say that Luke used the same historical method for Acts. Some of these sources are easy to see. Luke had his own personal experience for the "we" sections. Then he had the benefit of Paul's own notes or suggestions for all that portion where Paul figures from chapters 8 to 28, since Luke was apparently with Paul in Rome when he finished the Book. This would include Paul's sermons and addresses which Luke gives unless one wishes to say, as some do, that Luke followed the style of Thucydides and composed the kind of addresses that he thought Paul would make. I see no evidence of that for each address differs from the others and suits precisely the occasion when it was delivered. The ancients frequently employed shorthand and Paul may have preserved notes of his addresses. Prof. C. C. Torrey, of Yale University, argues in his Composition and Date of Acts (1916) that Luke used an Aramaic document for the first fifteen chapters of the Acts. There is an Aramaic element in certain portions of these chapters, but nothing like so pronounced as in Luke 1 and 2 after Lu 1:1-4. It cannot be said that Torrey has made out his case for such a single document. Luke may have had several such documents besides access to others familiar with the early days of the work in Jerusalem. There was Simon Peter whom Paul visited for two weeks in Jerusalem (Ga 1:18) besides other points of contact with him in Jerusalem and Antioch (Ac 15 and Ga 2 ). There was also Barnabas who was early Paul's friend (Ac 9:27) and who knew the beginnings as few did (Ac 4:36f. ). Besides many others it is to be observed that Paul with Luke made a special visit to Caesarea where he spent a week with the gifted Philip and his daughters with the gift of prophecy (Ac 21:8f. ). But with all the inevitable variety of
sources for the information needed to cover the wide field of the Book of Acts the same mind has manifestly worked through it and it is the same style all through that appears in the "we" sections where the writer is confessedly a companion of Paul. No other companion of Paul carries this claim for the authorship and no other was a physician and no author has the external evidence from early writers.

THE DATE
There are three views about the date of the Acts. Baur and his Tubingen School held the second century to be the date of this late pamphlet as they termed it after the fashion of the Clementine Homilies. But that view is now practically abandoned save by the few who still strangely oppose the Lukan authorship. Probably the majority of those who accept the Lukan authorship place it in the latter part of the first century for two reasons. One is that the Gospel according to Luke is dated by them after the destruction of Jerusalem because of the prophecy by Jesus of the encompassing of the city by armies. Predictive prophecy that would be and so it is considered a prophecy post eventum. The other reason is the alleged use of the Antiquities of Josephus by Luke. Josephus finished this work A.D. 93 so that, if Luke did use it, he must have written the Acts after that date. Usually this argument is made to show that Luke could not have written it at all, but some hold that he may have lived to an age that would allow it. But it cannot be assumed that Luke used Josephus because of his mention of Theudas and Judas the Galilean. They differ so widely (Ac 5:36f. and Josephus, Ant. XX. v, 1, 2) that Von Dobschutz (Dictionary of the Apostolic Church, art. Josephus) argues that the two accounts are entirely independent of each other. So Luke (Lu 13:1f. ) alludes to a Galilean revolt not mentioned by Josephus and Josephus records three revolts under Pilate not referred to by Luke. A comparison of the accounts of the death of Agrippa I in Ac 12:20-23 and Ant. XIX. viii, 2 redounds to the credit of Luke. The Josephus phase of the argument may be brushed to one side. The third view, held by Harnack and adopted here, is that Luke wrote the Acts while with Paul in Rome and finished the book before Paul's release, that is by A.D. 63. This is the obvious and natural way to take the language of Luke at the close of Acts. Events had gone no farther and so he ends the narrative right there. It is argued against this that Luke contemplated a third volume and for this reason closed with the arrival of Paul in Rome. But the use of $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ tov (first) in Ac 1:1 is a common Koine idiom and does not imply three volumes any more than first and second stories with us means that the house has three. Of course this date for the Acts puts the date of the Gospel further back either in Caesarea ( 57 to 59) or in Rome ( 60 to 62 ). And that means that Mark's Gospel is still earlier since Luke used it for his Gospel and the Logia (Q) earlier still. But all these dates are probable in the light of all the known facts.

## THE HISTORICAL VALUE

It was once a fad with a certain school of critics to decry Luke in the Acts as wholly untrustworthy, not above the legendary stage. But the spade has done well by Luke for inscrip-
tions and papyri have brought remarkable confirmation for scores of points where Luke once stood all alone and was discounted because he stood alone. These will be duly noted in the proper places as they occur. Ramsay has done most in this restoration of the rank of Luke as a credible historian, as shown in particular in his St. Paul the Traveller and the Roman Citizen and in The Bearing of Recent Discovery on the Trustworthiness of the New Testament. In every instance where discoveries have been made they have confirmed the testimony of Luke as concerning politarchs in Thessalonica, proconsul in Cyprus, etc. The result is that the balance of evidence is now in favour of Luke even when he still stands alone or seems to be opposed by Josephus. Luke, as it stands today, is a more credible historian than Josephus. Ramsay dares to call Luke, all things considered, the greatest of all historians, even above Thucydides. An interesting book on this phase of the subject is Chase's The Credibility of the Acts of the Apostles (1902).

THE PURPOSE OF THE ACTS
It is not easy to say in a word precisely the object of Luke in writing this book. It is not the Acts of all the apostles. Outside of Peter and John little is told of any of them after chapter 3. And all the acts of Peter and John are not given for Peter disappears from the narrative after chapter 15 , though he has been the central figure through chapter 11. Paul is not one of the twelve apostles, but Luke follows Paul's career mainly after chapter 8. Stephen and Barnabas come in also. Still (St. Paul on Trial, 1923) argues that Luke meant the book as an apology to be used in Paul's trial at Rome or at any rate to put Paul in the right light with the Jews in Rome. Hence the full account of Paul's series of defences in Jerusalem, Caesarea, Rome. There may be an element of truth in this idea, but it clearly does not cover the whole purpose of Luke. Others hold that Luke had a dramatic plan to get Paul to Rome as the climax of his campaign to win the Roman Empire to Christ. The book is not a history of all early Christianity. Peter and Paul dominate the atmosphere of the book with Paul as the great hero of Luke. But one can easily see that the work is done with consummate skill. The author is a man of culture, of Christian grace, of literary power. The book pulses with life today.

THE TEXT OF THE ACTS
A special problem arises concerning the text of Acts inasmuch as the Codex Bezae (D) with some other Western support presents a great many additions to the Neutral-Alexandrian text of Aleph A B C. Blass has even proposed the idea that Luke himself issued two editions of the book, an attractive hypothesis that is not generally accepted. J. M. Wilson has published The Acts of the Apostles from Codex Bezae. The whole subject is elaborately treated by J. H. Ropes in Vol. III, The Text of Acts in Part I of The Beginnings of Christianity. Besides thorough discussion of all the problems of text involved Ropes gives the text of the Vatican Codex (B) on the left page and that of Codex Bezae (D) on the right, making comparison easy. Blass's ideas appear in his Acta Apostolorum.

## Acts 1

Acts 1:1
The Title is simply Acts ( $\Pi \rho \alpha \xi \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$ in Aleph, Origen, Tertullian, Didymus, Hilary, Eusebius, Epiphanius. The Acts of the Apostles (Праگદıৎ $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau о \lambda \omega v)$ is the reading of B D (Aleph in subscription) Athanasius, Origen, Tertullian, Cyprian, Eusebius, Cyril of Jerusalem, Theodoret, Hilary. The Acts of the Holy Apostles (Праそ६ıऽ $\tau \omega \nu \alpha \gamma \iota \omega \nu \alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \sigma \lambda \omega v$ ) is read by A2 E G H A K Chrysostom. It is possible that the book was given no title at all by Luke, for it is plain that usage varied greatly even in the same writers. The long title as found in the Textus Receptus (Authorized Version) is undoubtedly wrong with the adjective "Holy." The reading of B D, "The Acts of the Apostles," may be accepted as probably correct.

The former treatise ( $\tau 0 v \mu \varepsilon \nu \pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma v$ ). Literally, the first treatise. The use of the superlative is common enough and by no means implies, though it allows, a third volume. This use of $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ co where only two are compared is seen between the Baptist and Jesus (Joh 1:15 ), John and Peter (Joh 20:4 ). The idiom is common in the papyri (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 662, 669). The use of $\mu \varepsilon \nu \sigma o \lambda ı \tau \alpha \rho ı \cup \mu$ here, as Hackett notes, is common in Acts. It is by no means true that $\mu \varepsilon v$ requires a following $\delta \varepsilon$ by contrast. The word is merely a weakened form of $\mu \eta \nu=$ surely, indeed. The reference is to the "first treatise" and merely emphasizes that. The use of $\lambda$ o $\gamma$ oc (word) for treatise or historical narrative is common in ancient Greek as in Herodotus 6 and 9. Plato (Phaedo, p. 61 B) makes a contrast between $\mu v \theta \circ \varsigma$ and $\lambda о \gamma \circ \varsigma$.

I made ( $\varepsilon \pi о ו \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \eta v)$. Aorist middle indicative, the middle being the usual construction for mental acts with $\pi$ ot $\varepsilon \omega$.

O Theophilus ( $\mathrm{O} \Theta \varepsilon \sigma \varphi \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$ ). The interjection O here as is common, though not in Lu 1:3. But the adjective $\kappa \rho a \tau \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ (most excellent) is wanting here. See remarks on Theophilus on Lu 1:3. Hackett thinks that he lived at Rome because of the way Acts ends. He was a man of rank. He may have defrayed the expense of publishing both Luke and Acts. Perhaps by this time Luke may have reached a less ceremonious acquaintance with Theophilus.

Which Jesus began ( $\omega \nu \eta \rho \xi \alpha \tau 0$ I $\eta \sigma o v \varsigma$ ). The relative is attracted from the accusative $\alpha$ to the genitive $\omega \nu$ because of the antecedent $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$ (all). The language of Luke here is not merely pleonastic as Winer held. Jesus "began" "both to do and to teach" (поєєıv тє ка $\delta t \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon เ v)$. Note present infinitives, linear action, still going on, and the use of $\tau \varepsilon--\kappa \alpha$ binds together the life and teachings of Jesus, as if to say that Jesus is still carrying on from heaven the work and teaching of the disciples which he started while on earth before his ascension. The record which Luke now records is really the Acts of Jesus as much as the Acts of the Apostles. Dr. A. T. Pierson called it "The Acts of the Holy Spirit," and that is true also. The Acts, according to Luke, is a continuation of the doings and teachings of Jesus. "The following writings appear intended to give us, and do, in fact, profess to give us, that which Jesus
continued to do and teach after the day in which he was taken up" (Bernard, Progress of Doctrine in the N.T.).

Acts 1:2
Until the day in which ( $\alpha \not \rho \eta \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. Incorporation of the antecedent into the relative clause and the change of case $\eta$ (locative) to $\eta$ (genitive).

Was received up ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \pi \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$. Common verb to lift anything up (Ac 10:16) or person as Paul (Ac 20:13). Several times of the Ascension of Jesus to heaven (Mr 16:19; Ac 1:2,11,22; 1Ti 3:16) with or without "into heaven" (عıৎ tov oupavov). This same verb is used of Elijah's translation to heaven in the LXX (2Ki 2:11 ). The same idea, though not this word, is in Lu 24:51. See Lu 9:51 for $\alpha v a \lambda \eta \mu \psi ı$ of the Ascension.

Had given commandment ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \alpha \varepsilon \varepsilon \mathcal{o})$. First aorist middle participle of $\varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ (from $\varepsilon v$ and $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, to accomplish), usually in the middle, old verb, to enjoin. This special commandment refers directly to what we call the commission given the apostles before Christ ascended on high (Joh 20:21-23; Mt 28:16-20; Mr 16:15-18; 1Co 15:6; Lu 24:44-49 ). He had given commands to them when they were first chosen and when they were sent out on the tour of Galilee, but the immediate reference is as above.

Through the Holy Spirit ( $\delta \iota \alpha \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau o \varsigma ~ \alpha \gamma เ o v$ ). In his human life Jesus was under the guidance of the Holy Spirit. This applies to the choice of the apostles ( $\operatorname{Lu} 6: 13$ ) and to these special commands before the Ascension.

Whom he had chosen (ouc $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \tau 0)$. Aorist middle indicative, not past perfect. The same verb ( $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$ was used by Luke in describing the choice of the twelve by Jesus (Lu 6:13 ). But the aorist does not stand "for" our English pluperfect as Hackett says. That is explaining Greek by English. The Western text here adds: "And ordered to proclaim the gospel."

Acts 1:3
To whom also (oı $\kappa \alpha$ ). He chose them and then also manifested himself to these very same men that they might have personal witness to give.

Shewed himself alive ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha u \tau \circ \nu \zeta \omega \nu \tau \alpha$ ). To the disciples the first Sunday evening (Mr 16:14; Lu 24:36-43; Joh 20:19-25 ), the second Sunday evening (Joh 20:26-29 ), at the Sea of Tiberias (Joh 21:1-23 ), on the mountain in Galilee (Mt 28:16-20; Mr 16:15-18; 1Co 15:6 ), to the disciples in Jerusalem and Olivet (Lu 24:44-53; Mr 16-19f.; Ac 1:1-11 ). Luke uses this verb $\pi \alpha \rho เ \sigma \tau \eta \mu 13$ times in the Acts both transitively and intransitively. It is rendered by various English words (present, furnish, provide, assist, commend). The early disciples including Paul never doubted the fact of the Resurrection, once they were convinced by personal experience. At first some doubted like Thomas (Mr 16:14; Lu 24:41; Joh 20:24f.; Mt 28:17). But after that they never wavered in their testimony to their own experience
with the Risen Christ, "whereof we are witnesses" Peter said (Ac 3:15). They doubted at first, that we may believe, but at last they risked life itself in defence of this firm faith.

After his passion ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ тo $\pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon ı v ~ a v \tau o v)$. Neat Greek idiom, $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ with the articular infinitive (second aorist active of $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \omega$ ) and the accusative of general reference, "after the suffering as to him." For $\pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon ı v$ used absolutely of Christ's suffering see also Ac 17:3; 26:23
 here in the N.T., though an old and common word in ancient Greek and occurring in the Koine (papyri, etc.). The verb $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \mu \alpha \iota \rho \omega$, to prove by sure signs, is from $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \mu \alpha \rho$, a sign. Luke does not hesitate to apply the definite word "proofs" to the evidence for the Resurrection of Christ after full investigation on the part of this scientific historian. Aristotle makes a distinction between $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \mu \eta \rho \circ \frac{}{}$ (proof) and $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon เ \circ \nu$ (sign) as does Galen the medical writer.

Appearing (o $\pi \tau \alpha v o \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma) . ~ P r e s e n t ~ m i d d l e ~ p a r t i c i p l e ~ f r o m ~ l a t e ~ v e r b ~ o \pi \tau \alpha v \omega$, late Koine verb from root o $\tau \tau \omega$ seen in o $\psi o \mu \alpha 1, \omega \varphi \theta \eta \nu$. In LXX, papyri of second century B.C. (Deissmann, Light from the Ancient East, p. 83). Only here in the N.T. For ontaбta for vision see Ac 26:19; Lu 1:22; 24:23.

By the space of forty days ( $\delta \iota^{\prime} \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \omega \nu \tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \rho \alpha \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$ ). At intervals ( $\delta \iota \alpha$, between) during the forty days, ten appearances being known to us. Jesus was not with them continually now in bodily presence. The period of forty days is given here alone. The Ascension was thus ten days before Pentecost when the Holy Spirit came. Moses was in the mount forty days (Ex 24:18) and Jesus fasted forty days (Mt 4:2 ). In the Gospel of Luke 24 this separation of forty days between the Resurrection and the Ascension is not drawn.

The things concerning the Kingdom of God ( $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \eta \varsigma \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \propto \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ \theta \varepsilon o v)$. This phrase appears 33 times in Luke's Gospel, 15 times in Mark, 4 times in Matthew who elsewhere has "the kingdom of heaven," once in John, and 6 times in Acts. No essential distinction is to be drawn between the two for the Jews often used "heaven" rather than "God" to avoid using the Tetragrammaton. But it is noticeable how the word kingdom drops out of Acts. Other words like gospel ( $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota o v$ ) take the place of "kingdom." Jesus was fond of the word "kingdom" and Luke is fond of the idiom "the things concerning" ( $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho$ ). Certainly with Jesus the term "kingdom" applies to the present and the future and covers so much that it is not strange that the disciples with their notions of a political Messianic kingdom (Ac 1:6 ) were slow to comprehend the spiritual nature of the reign of God.

Acts 1:4
Being assembled together with them ( $\sigma \cup v \alpha \lambda_{\iota} \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ ). Present passive participle from $\sigma 0 v a \lambda \iota \zeta \omega$, an old verb in Herodotus, Xenophon, etc., from sun, with, and $\alpha \lambda_{1} \zeta \omega$, from $\alpha \lambda \eta \varsigma$, crowded. The margin of both the Authorized and the Revised Versions has "eating with them" as if from $\sigma 0 \nu$ and $\alpha \lambda \varsigma$ (salt). Salt was the mark of hospitality. There is the verb $\alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \emptyset \eta \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon v$ avt $\omega$ used by Ignatius Ad Magnes. X, "Be ye salted in him." But it is more than
doubtful if that is the idea here though the Vulgate does have convescens illis "eating with them," as if that was the common habit of Jesus during the forty days (Wendt, Feine, etc.). Jesus did on occasion eat with the disciples (Lu 24:41-43; Mr 16:14).

To wait for the promise of the Father ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \mu \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon เ \nu \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \alpha \nu \tau 0 v \pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma)$. Note present active infinitive, to keep on waiting for (around, $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ ). In the Great Commission on the mountain in Galilee this item was not given (Mt 28:16-20 ). It is the subjective genitive, the promise given by the Father (note this Johannine use of the word), that is the Holy Spirit ("the promise of the Holy Spirit," objective genitive).

Which ye heard from me ( $\eta \nu \eta$ коибат $\mu \circ v$ ). Change from indirect discourse (command), infinitives $\chi \omega \rho \iota \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ and $\pi \varepsilon \rho \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota v$ after $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ı \lambda \varepsilon v$ to direct discourse without any $\varepsilon \varphi \eta$ (said he) as the English (Italics). Luke often does this (oratior ariata). Note also the ablative case of $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ (from me). Luke continues in verse 5 with the direct discourse giving the words of Jesus.

Acts 1:5

## Baptized with water ( $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \varepsilon v v \delta \alpha \tau$ )

and with the Holy Ghost ( $\varepsilon v \pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \alpha \gamma \omega)$. The margin has "in the Holy Ghost" (Spirit, it should be). The American Standard Version renders "in" both with "water" and "Holy Spirit" as do Goodspeed (American Translation) and Mrs. Montgomery (Centenary Translation). John's own words (Mt 3:11 ) to which Jesus apparently refers use $\varepsilon v$ (in) both with water and Spirit. There is a so-called instrumental use of $\varepsilon v$ where we in English have to say "with" (Re 13:10 $\varepsilon v \mu \alpha \chi \alpha \iota \rho \eta$, like $\mu \alpha \chi \alpha \iota \rho \eta, A c 12: 2$ ). That is to say $\varepsilon v$ with the locative presents the act as located in a certain instrument like a sword (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 589f.). But the instrumental case is more common without $\varepsilon v$ (the locative and instrumental cases having the same form). So it is often a matter of indifference which idiom is used as in Joh 21:8 we have $\tau \omega \pi \lambda$ oolapı $\omega$ (locative without $\varepsilon v$ ). They came
in (locative case without $\varepsilon v$ ) the boat. So in Joh 1:31 $\varepsilon v \nu \delta \alpha \tau \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \zeta \omega v$ baptizing in water. No distinction therefore can be insisted on here between the construction $v \delta a \tau$ and $\varepsilon v \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau$ (both being in the locative case, one without, one with $\varepsilon v$ ). Note unusual position of the verb $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ (future passive indicative) between $\pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau$ and $\alpha \gamma \omega$. This baptism of the Holy Spirit was predicted by John (Mt 3:11) as the characteristic of the Messiah's work. Now the Messiah himself in his last message before his Ascension proclaims that in a few days the fulfilment of that prophecy will come to pass. The Codex Bezae adds here "which ye are about to receive" and "until the Pentecost" to verse 5.

Not many days hence ( $\mathrm{ov} \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \varsigma \tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma$ ). A neat Greek idiom difficult to render smoothly into English: "Not after many days these." The litotes (not many=few) is common in Luke (Lu 7:6; 15:13; Ac 17:27; 19:11; 20:12; 21:39; 28:14; 28:2 ). The predicate use of tavtac (without article) is to be noted. "These" really means as a starting point, "from these" (Robertson, Grammar, p. 702). It was ten days hence. This idiom occurs several times
in Luke (Lu 24:21; Ac 24:21), as elsewhere (Joh 4:18; 2Pe 3:1). In Lu 2:12 the copula is easily supplied as it exists in Lu 1:36; 2:2 .

Acts 1:6
They therefore ( $o \mu \varepsilon v o v v$ ). Demonstrative use of o with $\mu \varepsilon v o u v$ without any corresponding $\delta \varepsilon$ just as in 1:1 $\mu \varepsilon v$ occurs alone. The combination $\mu \varepsilon v$ ouv is common in Acts ( 27 times). Cf. Lu 3:18. The ouv is resumptive and refers to the introductory verses ( 1:1-5), which served to connect the Acts with the preceding Gospel. The narrative now begins.

Asked ( $\eta \rho \omega \tau \omega v$ ). Imperfect active, repeatedly asked before Jesus answered.
Lord (кирєв). Here not in the sense of "sir" (Mt 21:30), but to Jesus as Lord and Master as often in Acts (19:5,10, etc.) and in prayer to Jesus ( 7:59).

Dost thou restore ( $\varepsilon \alpha \pi \sigma \kappa \alpha \theta$ เб $\alpha v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. The use of $\varepsilon$ in an indirect question is common. We have already seen its use in direct questions (Mt 12:10; Lu 13:23 which see for discussion), possibly in imitation of the Hebrew (frequent in the LXX) or as a partial condition without conclusion. See also Ac 7:1; 19:2; 21:37; 22:25. The form of the verb $\alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \theta$ tб $\alpha v \omega$ is late (also $\alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \theta เ \sigma \tau \alpha \omega$ ) omega form for the old and common $\alpha \pi о к \alpha \theta เ \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, double compound, to restore to its former state. As a matter of fact the Messianic kingdom for which they are asking is a political kingdom that would throw off the hated Roman yoke. It is a futuristic present and they are uneasy that Jesus may yet fail to fulfil their hopes. Surely here is proof that the eleven apostles needed the promise of the Father before they began to spread the message of the Risen Christ. They still yearn for a political kingdom for Israel even after faith and hope have come back. They need the enlightenment of the Holy Spirit (Joh 14-16 ) and the power of the Holy Spirit (Ac 1:4f.).

## Acts 1:7

Times or seasons ( $\chi$ povouc $\eta$ каı $\rho$ ouc). "Periods" and "points" of time sometimes and probably so here, but such a distinction is not always maintained. See Ac 17:26 for kalpous in the same sense as $\chi$ povous for long periods of time. But here some distinction seems to be called for. It is curious how eager people have always been to fix definite dates about the second coming of Christ as the apostles were about the political Messianic kingdom which they were expecting.

Hath set ( $\varepsilon$ عcto). Second aorist middle indicative, emphasizing the sovereignty of the Father in keeping all such matters to himself, a gentle hint to people today about the limits of curiosity. Note also "his own" ( $\delta \delta 1 \alpha$ ) "authority" ( $\varepsilon \xi$ ovoı $\alpha$ ).

Acts 1:8
Power ( $\delta v v a \mu \mathrm{v})$ ). Not the "power" about which they were concerned (political organization and equipments for empire on the order of Rome). Their very question was ample proof of their need of this new "power" ( $\delta v v a \mu \nu v$ ), to enable them (from $\delta v v \alpha \mu \alpha$, to be able), to grapple with the spread of the gospel in the world.
 Genitive absolute and is simultaneous in time with the preceding verb "shall receive" $(\lambda \eta \mu \psi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon)$. The Holy Spirit will give them the "power" as he comes upon them. This is the baptism of the Holy Spirit referred to in verse 5.

My witnesses ( $\mu$ оv $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). Correct text. "Royal words of magnificent and Divine assurance" (Furneaux). Our word martyrs is this word $\mu \alpha \rho \tau 0 \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$. In Lu 24:48 Jesus calls the disciples "witnesses to these things" ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \tau o v \tau \omega \nu$, objective genitive). In Ac 1:22 an apostle has to be a "witness to the Resurrection" of Christ and in 10:39 to the life and work of Jesus. Hence there could be no "apostles" in this sense after the first generation. But here the apostles are called "my witnesses." "His by a direct personal relationship" (Knowling). The expanding sphere of their witness when the Holy Spirit comes upon them is "unto the uttermost part of the earth" ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau 0 v \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. Once they had been commanded to avoid Samaria (Mt 10:5), but now it is included in the world program as already outlined on the mountain in Galilee (Mt 28:19; Mr 16:15). Jesus is on Olivet as he points to Jerusalem, Judea, Samaria, the uttermost (last, $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau o v)$ part of the earth. The program still beckons us on to world conquest for Christ. "The Acts themselves form the best commentary on these words, and the words themselves might be given as the best summary of the Acts" (Page). The events follow this outline (Jerusalem till the end of chapter 7, with the martyrdom of Stephen, the scattering of the saints through Judea and Samaria in chapter 8, the conversion of Saul, chapter 9, the spread of the gospel to Romans in Caesarea by Peter (chapter 10), to Greeks in Antioch (chapter 11), finally Paul's world tours and arrest and arrival in Rome (chapters 11 to 28).

Acts 1:9
As they were looking ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \sigma \nu \tau \omega v \alpha u \tau \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute. The present participle accents the fact that they were looking directly at Jesus.

He was taken up (ep $\boxtimes r t h \boxtimes)$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \rho \omega$, old and common verb meaning to lift up. In $\operatorname{Lu}$ 24:51 we have "he was borne up" ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \tau 0$ ) and in Ac 1:2, 1:11; 1Ti 3:6 "was received up" ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \pi \theta \eta$ ).

Received (v $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $v \pi о \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, literally here "took under him." He seemed to be supported by the cloud. "In glory" Paul adds in 1Ti 3:16

Out of their sight ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ o $\varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \omega v \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). From their eyes ( $\alpha \pi \%$ with ablative case).

Acts 1:10
Were looking steadfastly ( $\alpha \tau \varepsilon v \zeta \zeta o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Periphrastic imperfect active of $\alpha \tau \varepsilon v L \zeta \omega$, a late intensive verb (intensive $\alpha$ and $\tau \varepsilon เ \nu \omega$, to stretch). Common in Acts and also in Lu 4:20; $22: 56$ as well as Ac 10:4, which see.
 saw him slipping away from their eyes as the cloud bore him away.

Stood by them ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \iota \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha v$ avtoı). Past perfect active indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho ı \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ and intransitive (note in B instead of $\varepsilon$ for augment, mere itacism).

Acts 1:11
Who also (о ка). Common use of ка pleonastic to show that the two events were parallel. This is the simplest way from Homer on to narrate two parallel events.

Why? ( $\tau$ ). Jesus had told them of his coming Ascension (Joh 6:62; 20:17) so that they should have been prepared.

This Jesus (ovtoc o Inбov¢). Qui vobis fuit eritque semper Jesus, id est, Salvator (Corn. a Lapide). The personal name assures them that Jesus will always be in heaven a personal friend and divine Saviour (Knowling).

So in like manner ( $о \cup \tau \omega \varsigma$ ov $\tau \rho о \pi \sigma v$ ). Same idea twice. "So in which manner" (incorporation of antecedent and accusative of general reference). The fact of his second coming and the manner of it also described by this emphatic repetition.

Acts 1:12
Olivet (E $\lambda a \iota \omega v o \varsigma) . ~ G e n i t i v e ~ s i n g u l a r . ~ V u l g a t e ~ O l i v e t u m . ~ M a d e ~ l i k e ~ a ~ \mu ~ \pi ~ \varepsilon ~ \lambda \omega v . ~ H e r e ~ o n l y ~$ in the N.T., usually $\tau 0$ opos $\tau \omega v$ E $\lambda \alpha \omega \omega v$ (the Mount of Olives), though some MSS. have Olivet in Lu 19:29; 21:37. Josephus (Ant. VII. 9, 2) has it also and the papyri (Deissmann, Light from the Ancient East, p. 170).

A sabbath day's journey off ( $\Sigma \alpha \beta \beta a \tau o v \varepsilon \chi \omega v$ odov). Luke only says here that Olivet is a Sabbath day's journey from Jerusalem, not that Jesus was precisely that distance when he ascended. In the Gospel Luke ( $24: 50$ ) states that Jesus led them "over against" ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ ) Bethany (about two miles or fifteen furlongs). The top of Olivet is six furlongs or three-of a mile. The Greek idiom here is "having a journey of a Sabbath" after "which is nigh unto Jerusalem" (o عб兀ıv $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \cup \varsigma$ I $\varepsilon \rho \circ 0 \sigma \alpha \lambda \eta \mu$ ), note the periphrastic construction. Why Luke mentions this item for Gentile readers in this form is not known, unless it was in his Jewish source. See Ex 16:29; Nu 35:5; Jos 3:4. But it does not contradict what he says in Lu 24:50, where he does not say that Jesus led them all the way to Bethany.

Acts 1:13
Into the upper chamber ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ ט \pi \varepsilon \rho \omega เ o v) . ~ T h e ~ u p s t a i r s ~ o r ~ u p p e r ~ r o o m ~(v \pi \varepsilon \rho ~ i s ~ u p p e r ~$ or over, the adjective $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \omega$ oc), the room upstairs where the women staid in Homer, then a room up under the flat roof for retirement or prayer (Ac 9:37,39) , sometimes a large third story room suitable for gatherings (Ac 20:9). It is possible, even probable, that this is the "large upper room" ( $\alpha v \omega \gamma \varepsilon \sigma \nu \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha$ ) of $\mathrm{Mr} 14: 15$; Lu 22:12 . The Vulgate has coenaculum for both words. The word is used in the N.T. only in Acts. It was in a private house as in Lu 22:11 and not in the temple as Lu 24:53 might imply, "continually" ( $\delta$ ı $\alpha$ таvтоৎ) these words probably meaning on proper occasions.

They were abiding ( $\eta \sigma \alpha v$ ката $\mu \varepsilon v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Periphrastic imperfect active. Perfective use of ката, to abide permanently. It is possible that this is the house of Mary the mother of John Mark where the disciples later met for prayer (Ac 12:12). Here alone in the N.T., though old compound. Some MSS. here read rapauevovtec. This could mean constant residence, but most likely frequent resort for prayer during these days, some being on hand all the time as they came and went.

Simon the Zealot ( $\Sigma \mu \mu \circ$ o $\mathrm{Z} \mathrm{\eta} \lambda \omega \tau \eta \varsigma$ ). Called Simon the Cananaean (o Xavavaıoc) in Mt 10:4, Mr 3:18, but Zealot in Lu 6:16 as here giving the Greek equivalent of the Aramaic word because Luke has Gentiles in mind. The epithet (member of the party of Zealots) clung to him after he became an apostle and distinguishes him from Simon Peter. See Vol. I on the Gospel of Matthew for discussion of the four lists of the apostles.

Judas the son of James (Jovסac Iak $\omega \beta$ ov). Literally, Judas of James, whether son or brother (cf. Jude 1:1) we do not really know. "Of James" is added to distinguish him from Judas Iscariot (Joh 14:22 ). However we take it, he must be identified with the Thaddaeus (=Lebbaeus) of Mark and Matthew to make the list in the third group identical. No name appears in Acts for that of Judas Iscariot.

Acts 1:14
With one accord ( $\circ \mu \circ \theta v \mu \alpha \delta o v$ ). Old adverb in - $\delta o v$ from adjective o $\mu \circ \theta v \mu o \varsigma$ and that from ouоऽ, same, and $\theta v \mu$ о, mind or spirit, with the same mind or spirit. Common in ancient Greek and papyri. In the N.T. eleven times in Acts and nowhere else save Ro 15:6 . See Mt 18:19.

Continued ( $\eta \sigma \alpha v \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \rho \tau \varepsilon \rho о \cup v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Periphrastic imperfect active of $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \rho \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb from $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ (perfective use) and $\kappa \alpha \rho \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \omega$ from картє $о \varsigma$, strong, steadfast, like the English "carry on." Already in Mr 3:9 which see and several times in Acts and Paul's Epistles. They "stuck to" the praying ( $\tau \eta \pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon u \chi \eta$, note article) for the promise of the Father till the answer came.

With the women ( $\sigma \cup v \gamma \cup v \alpha ı \xi ı v$ ). Associative instrumental case plural of $\gamma \cup v \eta$ after $\sigma \cup v$. As one would expect when praying was the chief work on hand. More women certainly included than in Lu 8:2; Mr 15:40f.; Mt 27:55f.; Lu 23:49; Mr 15:47; Mt 27:61; Lu 23:55f.; Mr 16:1; Mt 28:1; Lu 24:1f.; Joh 20:1, 11-18; Mt 28:9f. There were probably other women also whose testimony was no longer scouted as it had been at first. Codex Bezae adds here "and children."

And Mary the mother of Jesus ( $\kappa \alpha \operatorname{Ma\rho ı\alpha \mu } \tau \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho \tau o v \operatorname{In\sigma ov)}$ ). A delicate touch by Luke that shows Mary with her crown of glory at last. She had come out of the shadow of death with the song in her heart and with the realization of the angel's promise and the prophecy of Simeon. It was a blessed time for Mary.

With his brethren ( $\sigma 0 \vee \tau$ тоı $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi$ оı $\alpha \cup \tau о v$ ). With his brothers, it should be translated. They had once disbelieved in him (Joh 7:5 ). Jesus had appeared to James (1Co 15:7) and
now it is a happy family of believers including the mother and brothers (half-brothers, literally) of Jesus. They continue in prayer for the power from on high.

Acts 1:15
Brethren ( $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \omega v$ ). Codex Bezae has "disciples."
Multitude of persons (ox $\lambda$ oc ovo $\alpha \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). Literally, multitude of names. This Hebraistic use of ovo $\alpha=$ person occurs in the $\operatorname{LXX}(\mathrm{Nu} 1: 2 ; 18: 20 ; 3: 40,43 ; 26: 53)$ and in Re 3:4; 11:13

Together ( $\varepsilon \pi$ то avтo). The word "gathered" is not in the Greek here, but it does occur in Mt 22:34 and that is undoubtedly the idea in Lu 17:35 as in Ac 2:1,44,47; 1Co 11:20; 14:23 . So also here. They were in the same place ( $\tau 0$ avтo).

About a hundred and twenty ( $\omega \varsigma$ єкатоv єıкоб). A crowd for "the upper room." No special significance in the number 120 , just the number there.

Acts 1:16
Brethren ( $\alpha v \delta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o$ ). Literally, men, brethren or brother men. More dignified and respectful than just "brethren." Demosthenes sometimes said Av $\delta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma A \theta \eta v a ı o$. Cf. our "gentlemen and fellow-citizens." Women are included in this address though $\alpha v \delta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$ refers only to men.

It was needful $(\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon)$. Imperfect tense of the impersonal $\delta \varepsilon$ with the infinitive clause (first aorist passive) and the accusative of general reference as a loose subject. Peter here assumes that Jesus is the Messiah and finds scripture illustrative of the treachery of Judas. He applies it to Judas and quotes the two passages in verse 20 (Ps 69:25; 109:8). The Holy Spirit has not yet come upon them, but Peter feels moved to interpret the situation. He feels that his mind is opened by Jesus (Lu 24:45). It is a logical, not a moral, necessity that Peter points out. Peter here claims the Holy Spirit as speaking in the scriptures as he does in 2 Pe 1:21. His description of Judas as "guide" (oס $\eta \gamma \circ v$ ) to those who seized ( $\sigma u \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta o v \sigma เ v$ ) Jesus is that of the base traitor that he was. This very verb occurs in Lu 22:54 of the arrest of Jesus.

Acts 1:17
Was numbered ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \rho i \theta \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ \eta v$ ). Periphrastic past perfect passive indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho 1 \theta \mu \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, but here only in the N.T. (perfective use of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha)$.

Received his portion ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \chi \varepsilon v$ tov $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \alpha v \omega$, old verb, to obtain by lot as in Lu 1:9; Joh 19:24, especially by divine appointment as here and 2Pe 2:1 . K $\lambda \eta$ pos also means lot, an object used in casting lots (Ac 1:26), or what is obtained by lot as here and 8:21, of eternal salvation (Ac 26:18; Col 1:12), of persons chosen by divine appointment ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 5: 3$ ). From this latter usage the Latin cleros, clericus, our clergy, one chosen by divine lot. So Peter says that Judas "obtained by lot the lot of this ministry" ( $\delta$ ıaкоvıaৎ) which he had when he betrayed Jesus. The Master chose him and gave him his opportunity.

Acts 1:18

Now this man (Hovtos $\mu \varepsilon v o v v$ ). Note $\mu \varepsilon v$ ovv again without a corresponding $\delta \varepsilon$ as in $1: 6$. Verses 18,19 are a long parenthesis of Luke by way of explanation of the fate of Judas. In verse 20 Peter resumes and quotes the scripture to which he referred in verse 16.

Obtained ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \tau o)$. First aorist middle indicative of $\kappa \tau \alpha \circ \mu \alpha$, to acquire, only in the middle, to get for oneself. With the covenant money for the betrayal, acquired it indirectly apparently according to Mt 26:14-16; 27:3-8 which see.

Falling headlong ( $\pi \rho \eta \nu \eta \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Attic form usually $\pi \rho a \nu \eta \varsigma$. The word means, not "headlong," but "flat on the face" as opposed to vitios on the back (Hackett). Hackett observes that the place suits admirably the idea that Judas hung himself (Mt 27:5) and, the rope breaking, fell flat on his face and
burst asunder in the midst ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \sigma \circ \varsigma)$. First aorist active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega$ old verb (here only in the N.T.), to clang, to crack, to crash, like a falling tree. Aristophanes uses it of crashing bones. M\&бoc is predicate nominative referring to Judas.

Gushed out $(\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \chi \cup \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \chi \varepsilon \omega$, to pour out.
Acts 1:19
Language ( $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega)$. Not a dialect of the Greek, but a different language, the Aramaic. So also in $2: 6 ; 21: 40 . \Delta ı \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau o \varsigma$ is from $\delta ı \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \mu \alpha$, to converse, to speak between two ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ ).

Akeldama (Накع $\lambda \delta \alpha \mu \chi$ ). This Aramaic word Peter explains as "the field of blood." Two traditions are preserved: one in Mt 27:7 which explains that the priests purchased this potter's field with the money which Judas flung down as the price of the blood of Jesus. The other in Acts describes it as the field of blood because Judas poured out his blood there. Hackett and Knowling argue that both views can be true. "The ill-omened name could be used with a double emphasis" (Hackett).

Acts 1:20
For it is written $(\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha \gamma \alpha \rho)$. Luke here returns to the address of Peter interrupted by verses 18,19 . Perfect passive indicative, the usual idiom in quoting scripture, stands written. Ps 69 is often quoted as Messianic in Matthew and John.

His habitation ( $\eta \varepsilon \pi \alpha \cup \lambda_{ı} \varsigma \cup \tau \tau v$ ). Only here in the N.T., a country house, cottage, cabin.

His office ( $\tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa о \pi \eta \nu$ avtov). Our word bishopric (Authorized Version) is from this word, office of bishop ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \chi о \pi о \varsigma)$. Only that is not the idea here, but over-seership ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota, \sigma \kappa о \pi \varepsilon \omega)$ or office as in $1 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 12$. It means to visit and to inspect, to look over. The ecclesiastical sense comes later (1Ti 3:1).

Acts 1:21
Must ( $\delta \varepsilon$ ). Present necessity corresponding to the old necessity ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon$ ) about Judas (verse 16). This sentence in verses 21,22 begins with $\delta \varepsilon$.

That $(\omega)$. Locative case of the relative attracted to the case of the antecedent.
Went in and went out $(\varepsilon \iota \sigma \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon \nu)$. Constative aorist active.

With us ( $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ).
Over us, the margin has it. But the full phrase would be $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma \kappa \alpha \alpha \varphi ' \eta \mu \omega v$. He came to us and went from us (Knowling).

Acts 1:22
Beginning ( $\alpha \rho \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Aorist middle participle of $\alpha \rho \chi \omega$, agreeing (nominative) with o кupıos I $\eta$ oous (the Lord Jesus). The ministry of Jesus began with the ministry of John. Strictly speaking $\alpha \rho \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ should be the accusative and agree with $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \alpha$ (witness) in verse 22 , but the construction is a bit free. The ministry of Jesus began with the baptism of John and lasted until the Ascension.

A witness with us of his resurrection ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha v a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha v \tau \circ v \sigma \cup v \eta \mu \nu \nu$ ). This Peter considers the essential thing in a successor to Judas. The one chosen should be a personal witness who can speak from his own experience of the ministry, resurrection, and ascension of the Lord Jesus. One can easily see that this qualification will soon put an end to those who bear such personal testimony.

Acts 1:23
They put forward two ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v \delta v o$ ). First aorist active indicative (transitive) of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ (not intransitive second aorist, though same form in the third person plural). Somebody nominated two names, Justus and Matthias.

Acts 1:24
Show us the one whom thou hast chosen ( $\alpha v \alpha \delta \varepsilon ı \xi$ ov ov $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \xi \omega$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\alpha v \alpha \delta \varepsilon ו \kappa v v \mu$, to show up, make plain. First aorist middle indicative second person singular of $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, to pick out, choose, select. In this prayer they assume that God has made a choice. They only wish to know his will. They call God the
heart-searcher or
heart-knower (кар $\delta \circ \gamma v \omega \sigma \tau \alpha$, vocative singular), a late word, here and Ac 15:8 only in the N.T. Modern physicians have delicate apparatus for studying the human heart.

Acts 1:25
Apostleship (arooto入ŋऽ). Jesus had called the twelve apostles. An old word for sending away, then for a release, then the office and dignity of an apostle (Ac 1:25; Ro 1:5; 1Co 9:2; Gal 2:8).
 destiny of Judas worthy of Dante's Inferno. There is no doubt in Peter's mind of the destiny of Judas nor of his own guilt. He made ready his own berth and went to it.

Acts 1:26
He was numbered ( $\sigma \cup v \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \psi \eta \varphi\llcorner\sigma \theta \eta$ ). To the Jews the lot did not suggest gambling, but "the O.T. method of learning the will of Jehovah" (Furneaux). The two nominations made a decision necessary and they appealed to God in this way. This double compound $\sigma \cup v \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \psi \eta \varphi \iota \zeta \omega$ occurs here alone in the N.T. and elsewhere only in Plutarch (Them. 21)
in the middle voice for condemning with others. $\Sigma v \vee \psi \eta \varphi \varphi \zeta \omega$ occurs in the middle voice in Ac 19:19 for counting up money and also in Aristophanes. $\Psi \eta \varphi \downharpoonright \zeta \omega$ with $\delta \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \eta \nu$ occurs in Lu 14:28 for counting the cost and in Re 13:18 for "counting" the number of the beast. The ancients used pebbles ( $\psi \eta \varphi 0$ ) in voting, black for condemning, white ( $\operatorname{Re} 2: 17$ ) in acquitting. Here it is used in much the same sense as $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho i \theta \mu \varepsilon \omega$ in verse 17.

## Acts 2

Acts 2:1
Was now come ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \sigma v v \pi \lambda \eta \rho o v \sigma \theta \alpha)$. Luke's favourite idiom of $\varepsilon v$ with the articular present infinitive passive and the accusative of general reference, "in the being fulfilled completely (perfective use of $\sigma u v^{-}$) as to the day of Pentecost." Common verb, but only in Luke in N.T. In literal sense of filling a boat in Lu 8:23, about days in $\mathrm{Lu} 9: 51$ as here. Whether the disciples expected the coming of the Holy Spirit on this day we do not know. Blass holds that the present tense shows that the day had not yet come. It is a Hebrew idiom (Ex 7:25 ) and Luke may mean that the day of Pentecost was not yet over, was still going on, though Hackett takes it for the interval (fifty days) between Passover and Pentecost. Apparently this day of Pentecost fell on the Jewish Sabbath (our Saturday). It was the feast of first fruits.

All together in one place ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ o \mu o v \varepsilon \pi \tau o \alpha v \tau o$ ). All together in the same place. Note o $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ here (correct text), not o $\quad$ o $\theta \mu \mu \delta \delta \nu$ as in 1:14, and so a bit of tautology.

Acts 2:2
Suddenly $(\alpha \varphi v \omega)$. Old adverb, but in the N.T. only in Acts $(2: 2 ; 16: 26 ; 28: 6)$. Kin to $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \iota \varphi \vee \eta \varsigma(A c ~ 22: 61)$ ).

A sound ( $\eta \chi \circ \varsigma$ ). Our $\varepsilon \chi \circ$. Old word, already in Lu 4:37 for rumour and Lu 21:25 for the roar of the sea. It was not wind, but a roar or reverberation "as of the rushing of a mighty wind" ( $\omega \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \varphi \varepsilon \rho о \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \varsigma \pi \nu \circ \eta \varsigma \beta \iota \alpha ı \propto)$. This is not a strict translation nor is it the genitive absolute. It was "an echoing sound as of a mighty wind borne violently" (or rushing along like the whirr of a tornado). Пvoŋ (wind) is used here (in the N.T. only here and 17:25 though old word) probably because of the use of $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ in verse 4 of the Holy Spirit. In Joh 3:5-8 $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ occurs for both wind and Spirit.

Filled $(\varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \varepsilon v)$. "As a bath is filled with water, that they might be baptized with the Holy Ghost, in fulfilment of Ac 1:5 " (Canon Cook).

They were sitting ( $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Periphrastic imperfect middle of $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha$.
Acts 2:3
Parting asunder ( $\delta ı \alpha \mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v \alpha)$. Present middle (or passive) participle of $\delta ı \alpha \mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb, to cleave asunder, to cut in pieces as a butcher does meat (aorist passive in Lu 11:17f. ). So middle here would mean, parting themselves asunder or distributing themselves. The passive voice would be "being distributed." The middle is probably correct and means that "the fire-like appearance presented itself at first, as it were, in a single body, and then suddenly parted in this direction and that; so that a portion of it rested on each of those present" (Hackett). The idea is not that each tongue was cloven, but each separate tongue looked like fire, not real fire, but looking like ( $\omega \sigma \varepsilon$, as if) fire. The audible sign is followed by a visible one (Knowling). "Fire had always been, with the Jews, the symbol of the Divine
presence (cf. Ex 3:2; De 5:4 ). No symbol could be more fitting to express the Spirit's purifying energy and refining energy" (Furneaux). The Baptist had predicted a baptizing by the Messiah in the Holy Spirit and in fire (Mt 3:11).

It sat ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \varepsilon v)$. Singular verb here, though plural $\omega \pi \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ with tongues ( $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \alpha$ ). A tongue that looked like fire sat upon each one.

Acts 2:4
With other tongues ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \iota \varsigma ~ \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \alpha ı \varsigma)$. Other than their native tongues. Each one began to speak in a language that he had not acquired and yet it was a real language and understood by those from various lands familiar with them. It was not jargon, but intelligible language. Jesus had said that the gospel was to go to all the nations and here the various tongues of earth were spoken. One might conclude that this was the way in which the message was to be carried to the nations, but future developments disprove it. This is a third miracle (the sound, the tongues like fire, the untaught languages). There is no blinking the fact that Luke so pictures them. One need not be surprised if this occasion marks the fulfilment of the Promise of the Father. But one is not to confound these miraculous signs with the Holy Spirit. They are merely proof that he has come to carry on the work of his dispensation. The gift of tongues came also on the house of Cornelius at Caesarea (Ac 10:44-47; 11:15-17), the disciples of John at Ephesus (Ac 19:6 ), the disciples at Corinth (1Co 14:1-33 ). It is possible that the gift appeared also at Samaria (Ac 8:18). But it was not a general or a permanent gift. Paul explains in 1Co 14:22 that "tongues" were a sign to unbelievers and were not to be exercised unless one was present who understood them and could translate them. This restriction disposes at once of the modern so-called tongues which are nothing but jargon and hysteria. It so happened that here on this occasion at Pentecost there were Jews from all parts of the world, so that some one would understand one tongue and some another without an interpreter such as was needed at Corinth. The experience is identical in all four instances and they are not for edification or instruction, but for adoration and wonder and worship.

As the Spirit gave them utterance ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma$ то $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \varepsilon \delta \iota \delta o v ~ a \pi о \varphi \theta \varepsilon \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \alpha 0 \tau о \iota)$ ). This is precisely what Paul claims in 1Co 12:10,28, but all the same without an interpreter the gift was not to be exercised (1Co 14:6-19). Paul had the gift of tongues, but refused to exercise it except as it would be understood. Note the imperfect tense here ( $\varepsilon \delta \iota \delta o v)$. Perhaps they did not all speak at once, but one after another. Ало $\varphi \theta \varepsilon \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ is a late verb (LXX of prophesying, papyri). Lucian uses it of the ring of a vessel when it strikes a reef. It is used of eager, elevated, impassioned utterance. In the N.T. only here, verse 14; 26:25 . A $\tau о \varphi \theta \varepsilon \gamma \mu$ is from this verb.

Acts 2:5
Were dwelling ( $\eta \sigma \alpha v$ катоккоиvтєऽ). Periphrastic imperfect active indicative. Usually катокк $\omega$ means residence in a place ( $4: 16 ; 7: 24 ; 9: 22,32$ ) as in verse 14 (Luke 13:4). Perhaps
some had come to Jerusalem to live while others were here only temporarily, for the same word occurs in verse 9 of those who dwell in Mesopotamia, etc.

Devout ( $\varepsilon v \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. Reverent ( $\varepsilon v$, well, $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, to take). See on Lu 2:25 like Simeon waiting for the consolation of Israel or hoping to die and be buried in the Holy City and also Ac 8:2 .

Acts 2:6
When this sound was heard ( $\gamma \varepsilon \nu \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \varphi \omega \nu \eta \varsigma \tau \alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute with aorist middle participle. Note $\varphi \omega \vee \eta$ this time, not $\eta \chi \circ$ as in verse $1 . \Phi \omega \nu \eta$ originally meant sound as of the wind (Joh 3:8) or an instrument (1Co 14:7,8,10), then voice of men. The meaning seems to be that the excited "other tongues" of verse 4 were so loud that the noise drew the crowd together. The house where the 120 were may have been (Hackett) on one of the avenues leading to the temple.

Were confounded ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \chi v \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\sigma v v \chi \varepsilon \omega$ or $\sigma v \nu \chi \nu \nu \omega$, to pour together precisely like the Latin confundo, to confound. The Vulgate has it mente confusa est. It is an old verb, but in the N.T. only in Acts five times (2:6; 9:22; 19:32; 21:27,31 ).

In his own language ( $\tau \eta \stackrel{\delta \iota \alpha}{ } \delta \iota \lambda \lambda \kappa \tau \omega$ ). Locative case. Each one could understand his own language when he heard that. Every one that came heard somebody speaking in his native tongue.

Acts 2:7
Were amazed ( $\varepsilon \xi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha v \tau o)$. Imperfect middle of $\varepsilon \xi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, to stand out of themselves, wide-open astonishment.

Marvelled ( $\varepsilon \theta \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \zeta o v)$. Imperfect active. The wonder grew and grew.
Galileans ( $\Gamma \alpha \lambda_{\iota} \lambda \iota \iota$ ). There were few followers of Jesus as yet from Jerusalem. The Galileans spoke a rude Aramaic (Mr 14:70) and probably crude Greek vernacular also. They were not strong on language and yet these are the very people who now show such remarkable linguistic powers. These people who have come together are all Jews and therefore know Aramaic and the vernacular Koine, but there were various local tongues "wherein we were born" ( $\varepsilon \nu \eta \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \nu \eta \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v)$. An example is the Lycaonian (Ac 14:11). These Galilean Christians are now heard speaking these various local tongues. The lists in verses 9-11 are not linguistic, but geographical and merely illustrate how widespread the Dispersion ( $\Delta \mathrm{l} \alpha \sigma \pi \sigma \rho \alpha$ ) of the Jews was as represented on this occasion. Jews were everywhere, these "Jews among the nations" (Ac 21:21 ). Page notes four main divisions here: (I) The Eastern or Babylonian, like the Parthians, Medes, Elamites, Mesopotamians. (2) The Syrian like Judea, Cappadocia, Pontus, Asia, Phrygia, Pamphylia. (3) The Egyptian like Egypt, Libya, Cyrene. (4) The Roman.

Jews and proselytes ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \lambda v \tau o$ ). These last from $\pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to come to, to join, Gentile converts to Judaism (circumcision, baptism, sacrifice). This proselyte baptism was
immersion as is shown by I. Abrahams (Studies in Pharisaism and the Gospels, p. 38). Many remained uncircumcised and were called proselytes of the gate.

Acts 2:11
Cretes and Arabians. These two groups "seem to have been added to the list as an afterthought" (Knowling). Crete is an island to itself and Arabia was separate also though near Judea and full of Jews. The point is not that each one of these groups of Jews spoke a different language, but that wherever there was a local tongue they heard men speaking in it.

We do hear them speaking ( $\alpha \kappa о v o \mu \varepsilon \nu \lambda \alpha \lambda o v v \tau \omega \nu \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Genitive case $\alpha v \tau \omega \nu$ with $\alpha \kappa о v \omega$ the participle $\lambda \alpha \lambda o u v \tau \omega \nu$ agreeing with $\alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$, a sort of participial idiom of indirect discourse (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 1040ff.).

The mighty works ( $\tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ ). Old adjective for magnificent. In LXX, but only here (not genuine in Lu 1:49) in the N.T. Cf. 2Pe 1:16 for $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \circ \tau \eta \varsigma ~(m a j e s t y) . ~$

Acts 2:12
Were perplexed ( $\delta \imath \eta \pi о \rho о v \nu \tau \sigma$ ). Imperfect middle of $\delta \iota \alpha \pi \sigma \rho \varepsilon \omega$ ( $\delta \iota \alpha$, a privative, $\pi о \rho \circ \varsigma$ ) to be wholly at a loss. Old verb, but in N.T. only in Luke and Acts. They continued amazed ( $\varepsilon \xi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \tau 0)$ and puzzled.

What meaneth this? (T $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$ touto $\varepsilon เ v \alpha)$. Literally, what does this wish to be?
Acts 2:13
Mocking ( $\delta ı \alpha \chi \lambda \varepsilon v \alpha \zeta о v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Old verb, but only here in the N.T., though the simple verb (without $\delta \iota \alpha$ ) in 17:32. X $\lambda \varepsilon v \eta$ means a joke.

With new wine ( $\gamma \lambda \varepsilon$ vкоия). Sweet wine, but intoxicating. Sweet wine kept a year was very intoxicating. Genitive case here after $\mu \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon v o \varepsilon \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ (periphrastic perfect passive indicative), old verb $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \tau \sigma \omega$, only here in the N.T. Tanked up with new wine, state of fulness.

Acts 2:14
Standing up with the eleven ( $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma \sigma \nu \tau о \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha)$. Took his stand with the eleven including Matthias, who also rose up with them, and spoke as their spokesman, a formal and impressive beginning. The Codex Bezae has "ten apostles." Luke is fond of this pictorial use of $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma$ (first aorist passive participle of $\sigma \tau \eta \mu$ ) as seen nowhere else in the N.T. (Lu 18:11,40; 19:8; Ac 5:20; 17:22; 27:21 ).

Lifted up his voice ( $\varepsilon \pi \eta \rho \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta v \varphi \omega \nu \eta \nu \alpha v \tau o v)$. This phrase only in Luke in the N.T. (Lu 11:29; Ac 2:14; 14:11; 22:22), but is common in the old writers. First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \rho \omega$. The large crowd and the confusion of tongues demanded loud speaking. "This most solemn, earnest, yet sober speech" (Bengel). Codex Bezae adds "first" after "voice." Peter did it to win and hold attention.

Give ear unto my words ( $\varepsilon \nu \omega \tau \iota \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon \tau \alpha \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \mu o v)$. Late verb in LXX and only here in the N.T. First aorist middle from $\varepsilon v \omega \tau \iota \zeta$ о $\alpha$ ( $\varepsilon \nu, o v \varsigma$, ear) to give ear to, receive into the ear. People's ears differ greatly, but in public speech they have to be reached through the
ear. That puts an obligation on the speaker and also on the auditors who should sit where they can hear with the ears which they have, an obligation often overlooked.

Acts 2:15
As ye suppose ( $\omega \varsigma \nu \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ v \pi о \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Note use of $v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ (ye) for decided emphasis.
The third hour ( $\omega \rho \alpha \tau \rho \iota \tau \eta$ ). Three o'clock in the day Jewish time, nine Roman. Drunkenness belongs to the night (1Th 5:7 ). It was a quick, common sense reply, and complete answer to their suspicion.

Acts 2:16
This is that which hath been spoken by the prophet Joel ( $\tau \circ v \tau o \varepsilon \sigma \tau เ \nu \tau o ~ \varepsilon ı \rho \eta \mu \varepsilon v o v$ $\delta \iota \alpha$ тоv $\pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau о v \mathrm{I} \omega \eta \lambda)$. Positive interpretation of the supernatural phenomena in the light of the Messianic prophecy of Joe 2:28-32 . Peter's mind is now opened by the Holy Spirit to understand the Messianic prophecy and the fulfilment right before their eyes. Peter now has spiritual insight and moral courage. The
power ( $\delta v \nu \alpha \mu \iota$ ) of the Holy Spirit has come upon him as he proceeds to give the first interpretation of the life and work of Jesus Christ since his Ascension. It is also the first formal apology for Christianity to a public audience. Peter rises to the height of his powers in this remarkable sermon. Jesus had foretold that he would be a Rock and now he is no longer shale, but a solid force for aggressive Christianity. He follows here in verses 17-21 closely the LXX text of Joel and then applies the passage to the present emergency (22-24).

Acts 2:17
In the last days ( $\varepsilon v \tau \alpha ı \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha ı \varsigma)$. Joel does not have precisely these words, but he defines "those days" as being "the day of the Lord" (cf. Isa 2:2; Mic 4:1 ).

I will pour forth ( $\varepsilon \kappa \chi \varepsilon \omega$ ). Future active indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \chi \varepsilon \omega$. This future like $\varepsilon \delta o \mu \alpha$ and $\pi \iota \rho \alpha \alpha$ is without tense sign, probably like the present in the futuristic sense (Robertson, Grammar, p. 354). Westcott and Hort put a different accent on the future, but the old Greek had no accent. The old Greek had $\varepsilon \kappa \chi \varepsilon v \sigma \omega$. This verb means to pour out.

Of my Spirit ( $\alpha \pi \sigma$ тov $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma$ ). This use of $\alpha \pi \sigma$ (of) is either because of the variety in the manifestations of the Spirit (1Co 12 ) or because the Spirit in his entirety remains with God (Holtzmann, Wendt). But the Hebrew has it: "I will pour out my Spirit" without the partitive idea in the LXX.

And your daughters ( $\kappa \alpha$ a $\theta v \gamma \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \varsigma \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Anna is called a prophetess in Lu 2:36 and the daughters of Philip prophesy (Ac 21:9) and verse 18 (handmaidens). See also 1Co 11:5 ( $\pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau о v \sigma \alpha$ ).

Visions (o $\rho \alpha \sigma \varepsilon ı$ ). Late word for the more common o $\rho \alpha \mu \alpha$, both from o $\rho \alpha \omega$, to see. In Re 4:3 it means appearance, but in $\operatorname{Re} 9: 17$ as here an ecstatic revelation or vision.

Dream dreams ( $\varepsilon v v \pi v i o \iota \varsigma ~ \varepsilon v v \pi \nu \iota \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha)$. Shall dream with (instrumental case) dreams. First future passive of $\varepsilon v v \pi \nu \iota \alpha \zeta \omega$ from $\varepsilon v v \pi \nu \iota o \varsigma(\varepsilon v$ and $v \pi \nu o \varsigma$, in sleep), a common late word. Only here in the N.T. (this from Joel as all these verses 17-21 are) and Jude 1:8 .

Yea and ( $\kappa \alpha \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ). Intensive particle $\gamma \varepsilon$ added to $\kappa \alpha$ (and), an emphatic addition (=Hebrew vegam).

Servants ( $\delta o u \lambda o u c)$,
handmaidens ( $\delta o u \lambda \alpha \varsigma)$. Slaves, actual slaves of men. The humblest classes will receive the Spirit of God (cf. 1Co 1:26-31). But the word "prophesy" here is not in the LXX (or the Hebrew).

Acts 2:19
Wonders ( $\tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \tau \alpha)$. Apparently akin to the verb $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to watch like a wonder in the sky,
miracle ( $\mu \rho \alpha \chi \nu \lambda \nu \mu$ ), marvel, portent. In the New Testament the word occurs only in the plural and only in connection with $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha$ (signs) as here and in verse 43. But
signs ( $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon 1 \alpha$ ) here is not in the LXX. See on Mt 11:20. In verse 22 all three words occur together: powers, wonders, signs ( $\delta v v a \mu \varepsilon \sigma \iota, \tau \varepsilon \rho a \sigma \iota, \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \circ \iota)$.

As above $(\alpha v \omega)$. This word is not in the LXX nor is "beneath" ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \omega$ ), both probably being added to make clearer the contrast between heaven and earth.

Blood and fire and vapour of smoke ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \pi \nu \rho \kappa \alpha \alpha \tau \mu \delta \delta \alpha \kappa \alpha \pi v o v$ ). A chiasm as these words illustrate bloodshed and destruction by fire as signs here on earth.

Acts 2:20
Shall be turned ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Second future passive of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, common verb, but only three times in the N.T. (Ac 2:20 from Joel; Jas 4:9; Ga 1:7). These are the "wonders" or portents of verse 19. It is worth noting that Peter interprets these "portents" as fulfilled on the Day of Pentecost, though no such change of the sun into darkness or of the moon into blood is recorded. Clearly Peter does not interpret the symbolism of Joel in literal terms. This method of Peter may be of some service in the Book of Revelation where so many apocalyptic symbols occur as well as in the great Eschatological Discourse of Jesus in Mt 24,25. In Mt 24:6,29 Jesus had spoken of wars on earth and wonders in heaven.

Before the day of the Lord come, that great and notable day ( $\pi \rho \nu v \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon v \nu \eta \mu \rho \alpha \nu$ кvpıov $\tau \eta \nu \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi \alpha v \eta)$. The use of $\pi \rho \iota \nu$ with the infinitive and the accusative of general reference is a regular Greek idiom. The use of the adjectives with the article is also good Greek, though the article is not here repeated as in 1:25. The Day of the Lord is a definite conception without the article.

Notable ( $\varepsilon \pi\llcorner\varphi a v \eta$ ) is the same root as epiphany ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi a v \varepsilon ı \alpha)$ used of the Second Coming of Christ (2Th 2:8; 1Ti 6:14; 2Ti 4:1; Tit 2:13). It translates here the Hebrew word for "terrible." In the Epistles the Day of the Lord is applied (Knowling) to the Coming of Christ for judgment (1Th 5:2; 1Co 1:8; 2Co 1:14; Php 1:10).

Acts 2:21

Shall call on ( $\varepsilon \pi \kappa \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \eta \tau \alpha$ ). First aorist middle subjunctive of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, common verb, to call to, middle voice for oneself in need. Indefinite relative clause with $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ and so subjunctive, punctiliar idea, in any single case, and so aorist.

Acts 2:22
Hear these words (aкouбate tous doүous $\tau 0 \cup \tau 0 \cup \varsigma)$. Do it now (aorist tense). With unerring aim Peter has found the solution for the phenomena. He has found the key to God's work on this day in his words through Joel.
as ye yourselves know ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau o ~ o ı \delta \alpha \tau \varepsilon)$. Note $\alpha v \tau o$ for emphasis. Peter calls the audience to witness that his statements are true concerning "Jesus the Nazarene." He wrought his miracles by the power of God in the midst of these very people here present.

Acts 2:23
Him ( $\tau 0 v \tau 0 v$ ). "This one," resumptive and emphatic object of "did crucify and slay."
Being delivered up ( $\varepsilon \kappa \delta o \tau o v$ ). Verbal adjective from $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, to give out or over. Old word, but here only in the N.T. Delivered up by Judas, Peter means.

By the determinate counsel and foreknowledge of God ( $\tau \eta \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \beta o v \lambda \eta \kappa \alpha$ $\pi \rho o \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \eta$ тov $\theta \varepsilon o v)$. Instrumental case. Note both purpose ( $\beta o v \lambda \eta$ ) and foreknowledge ( $\pi \rho о \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma)$ of God and "determined" ( $\omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta$, perfect passive participle, state of completion). God had willed the death of Jesus (Joh 3:16) and the death of Judas (Ac 1:16), but that fact did not absolve Judas from his responsibility and guilt (Lu 22:22). He acted as a free moral agent.

By the hand ( $\delta \iota \alpha \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \circ \varsigma)$. Luke is fond of these figures (hand, face, etc.) very much like the Hebrew though the vernacular of all languages uses them.

Lawless men ( $\alpha \nu o \mu \omega \nu$ ). Men without law, who recognize no law for their conduct, like men in high and low stations today who defy the laws of God and man. Old word, very common in the LXX.

Ye did crucify $(\pi \rho o \sigma \pi \eta \xi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\pi \rho o \sigma \pi \eta \gamma \nu \nu \mu$, rare compound word in Dio Cassius and here only in the N.T. One must supply $\tau \omega \sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \omega$ and so it means "fastened to the cross," a graphic picture like Paul's "nailed to the cross" ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha \varsigma \tau \omega \sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \omega$ ) in Col 2:14 .

Did slay ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \downarrow \lambda \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist active indicative with first aorist vowel $\alpha$ instead of o as is common in the Koine. This verb avaı $\rho \varepsilon \omega$, to take up, is often used for kill as in Ac 12:2 . Note Peter's boldness now under the power of the Holy Spirit. He charges the people to their faces with the death of Christ.

Acts 2:24
God raised up (o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma \alpha v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Est hoc summum orationis (Blass). Apparently this is the first public proclamation to others than believers of the fact of the Resurrection of Jesus. "At a time it was still possible to test the statement, to examine witnesses, to expose
fraud, the Apostle openly proclaimed the Resurrection as a fact, needing no evidence, but known to his hearers" (Furneaux).

The pangs of death ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \omega \delta \iota v a \varsigma$ tov $\theta a v a \tau o v)$. Codex Bezae has "Hades" instead of death. The LXX has $\omega \delta i v a \varsigma ~ \theta a v a \tau o v ~ i n ~ P s ~ 18: 4, ~ b u t ~ t h e ~ H e b r e w ~ o r i g i n a l ~ m e a n s ~ " s n a r e s " ~ o r ~ \$ ~$ "traps" or "cords" of death where sheol and death are personified as hunters laying snares for prey. How Peter or Luke came to use the old Greek word $\omega \delta$ ivac (birth pangs) we do not know. Early Christian writers interpreted the Resurrection of Christ as a birth out of death. "Loosing" ( $\lambda$ voac) suits better the notion of "snares" held a prisoner by death, but birth pangs do bring deliverance to the mother also.

Because ( $\kappa \alpha Ө$ от). This old conjunction ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$, от) occurs in the N.T. only in Luke's writings.

That he should be holden (кратєוбӨ $\alpha \cup \tau \circ v$ ). Infinitive present passive with accusative of general reference and subject of $\eta \nu \alpha \delta u v a \tau o v$. The figure goes with "loosed" ( $\lambda \nu \sigma a \varsigma$ ) above.

Acts 2:25
Concerning him ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau o v)$ ). Peter interprets Ps 16:8-11 as written by David and with reference to the Messiah. There is but one speaker in this Psalm and both Peter here and Paul in Ac 13:36 make it the Messiah. David is giving his own experience which is typical of the Messiah (Knowling).

I beheld ( $\pi \rho \circ \circ \rho \omega \mu \eta \nu$ ). Imperfect middle without augment of $\pi \rho \circ o \rho \alpha \omega$, common verb, but only twice in the N.T., to see beforehand (Ac 21:29) or to see right before one as here. This idea of $\pi \rho o-$ is made plainer by "before my face" ( $\varepsilon v \omega \pi \iota o v \mu \circ v$ ).

On my right hand ( $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \varepsilon \xi \epsilon \omega \vee \mu \circ v$ ). The Lord Jehovah like a defender or advocate stands at David's right hand as in trials in court (Ps 109:31).

That ( $\mathrm{v} \alpha$ ) here is almost result.
Moved ( $\sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \omega$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive of $\sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v \omega$, to shake like an earthquake.

Acts 2:26
Was glad ( $\eta \cup \varphi \rho a v \theta \eta)$. First aorist (timeless here like the Hebrew perfect) passive indicative of $\varepsilon v \varphi \rho \alpha ı v \omega$ (cf. Lu 15:32). Timeless also is "rejoiced" ( $\eta \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda ı \alpha \sigma \alpha \tau o$ ).

Shall dwell (катабкך $\omega \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Shall tabernacle, pitch a tent, make one's abode (cf. Mt 13:32 ). See on Mt 8:20 about катабкп $\nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon ı$ (nests)

In hope ( $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \varepsilon \lambda \pi \downarrow \delta$ ). On hope, the hope of the resurrection.
Acts 2:27
In Hades ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ H a ı \delta \eta \nu)$. Hades is the unseen world, Hebrew Sheol, but here it is viewed as death itself "considered as a rapacious destroyer" (Hackett). It does not mean the place of punishment, though both heaven and the place of torment are in Hades (Lu 16:23). "Death and Hades are strictly parallel terms: he who is dead is in Hades" (Page). The use of
$\varepsilon ı \zeta$ here $=\varepsilon v$ is common enough. The Textus Receptus here reads $\varepsilon ı \zeta$ Haıסov (genitive case) like the Attic idiom with $\delta$ o $\mu$ ov (abode) understood. "Hades" in English is not translation, but transliteration. The phrase in the Apostles' Creed, "descended into hell" is from this passage in Acts (Hades, not Gehenna). The English word "hell" is Anglo-Saxon from $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha v$, to hide, and was used in the Authorized Version to translate both Hades as here and Gehenna as in Mt 5:22.

Thy Holy One ( $\tau 0 v$ oбıov $\sigma o v$ ). Peter applies these words to the Messiah.
Corruption ( $\delta \operatorname{lo\varphi } \theta \mathrm{o} \rho \alpha v$ ). The word can mean destruction or putrefaction from $\delta \iota \propto \varphi \theta \varepsilon \rho \omega \omega$, old word, but in N.T. only here and Ac 13:34-37. The Hebrew word in Ps 16 can mean also the pit or the deep.

Acts 2:28
The ways of life (oסouc $\zeta \omega \eta \varsigma)$. Though dead God will show him the ways back to life.
Acts 2:29
I may say ( $\varepsilon \xi \circ v \varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon เ v)$. Supply $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ before $\varepsilon \xi \circ v$, periphrastic present indicative of $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \mu$, to allow, permit. The Authorized Version has "Let me speak," supplying $\varepsilon \sigma \tau o$ present imperative.

Freely ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma i \alpha \varsigma)$. Telling it all ( $\pi \alpha \nu, \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ from $\varepsilon ı \pi \sigma v$, to speak), with fulness, with boldness. Luke is fond of the phrase (as in 4:13). It is a new start for Simon Peter, full of boldness and courage.

The patriarch ( $\tau o v \pi \alpha \tau \rho \iota \alpha \rho \chi \circ v$ ). Transliteration of the word, from $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \iota \alpha$, family, and $\alpha \rho \chi \omega$, to rule, the founder of a family. Late word in LXX. Used of Abraham (Heb 7:4), of the twelve sons of Jacob as founders of the several tribes (Ac 7:8), and here of David as head of the family from whom the Messiah comes.

Was buried ( $\varepsilon \tau \alpha \varphi \eta$ ). Second aorist passive indicative of $\theta a \pi \tau \omega$. His tomb was on Mt. Zion where most of the kings were buried. The tomb was said to have fallen into ruins in the time of the Emperor Hadrian. Josephus (Ant. XVI. 7, 1) attributes most of the misfortunes of Herod's family to the fact that he tried to rifle the tomb of David.

Acts 2:31
Foreseeing ( $\pi \rho o t \delta \omega v$ ). Second aorist active participle. Did it as a prophet.
Of the Christ ( $\tau 0 v$ Xpıotov). Of the Messiah. See under verse 32. This is a definite statement by Peter that David knew that in Ps 16 he was describing the resurrection of the Messiah.

Acts 2:32
 called "the Nazarene" (verse 22) and foretold as the Messiah in Ps 16 and raised from the dead by God in proof that he is the Messiah (2:24,32), "this Jesus whom ye crucified" (verse 36). Other terms used of him in the Acts are the Messiah, verse 31, the one whom God "anointed" (Ac 10:38 ), as in Joh 1:41, Jesus Christ ( 9:34). In 2:36 God made this Jesus

Messiah, in 3:20 the Messiah Jesus, in 17:3 Jesus is the Messiah, in 18:5 the Messiah is Jesus, in 24:24 Christ Jesus.

Whereof (ov). Or "of whom." Either makes sense and both are true. Peter claims the whole 120 as personal witnesses to the fact of the Resurrection of Jesus from the dead and they are all present as Peter calls them to witness on the point. In Galilee over 500 had seen the Risen Christ at one time (1Co 15:6) most of whom were still living when Paul wrote. Thus the direct evidence for the resurrection of Jesus piles up in cumulative force.

## Acts 2:33

By the right hand of God ( $\tau \eta \delta \varepsilon \xi \iota \propto$ тov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). This translation makes it the instrumental case. The margin has it "at" instead of "by," that is the locative case. And it will make sense in the true dative case, "to the right hand of God." These three cases came to have the same form in Greek. Ro 8:24 furnishes another illustration of like ambiguity ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta$ ), saved by hope, in hope, or for hope. Usually it is quite easy to tell the case when the form is identical.

Exalted ( $v \psi \omega \theta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. First aorist passive participle of $v \psi o \omega$, to lift up. Here both the literal and tropical sense occurs. Cf. Joh 12:32 .

The promise of the Holy Spirit ( $\tau \eta v \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı \alpha \nu$ tov $\pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu a \tau \circ \varsigma$ tov $\alpha \gamma เ \circ v$ ). The promise mentioned in 1:4 and now come true, consisting in the Holy Spirit "from the Father" ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ tou $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma)$, sent by the Father and by the Son (Joh 15:26; 16:7). See also Ga 3:14.

He hath poured forth ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \varepsilon v$ ). Aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \chi \varepsilon \omega$ the verb used by Joel and quoted by Peter already in verses 17,18 . Jesus has fulfilled his promise.
 sound like the rushing wind, the tongues like fire on each of them, the different languages spoken by the 120. "The proof was before their eyes in this new energy from heaven" (Furneaux), a culminating demonstration that Jesus was the Messiah.

Acts 2:34
Ascended not (ov-- $\alpha v \varepsilon \beta \eta$ ). It is more emphatic than that: For not David ascended into the heavens. Peter quotes Ps 110:1 as proof. No passage in the O.T. is so constantly quoted as Messianic as this. "St. Peter does not demand belief upon his own assertion, but he again appeals to the Scriptures, and to words which could not have received a fulfilment in the case of David" (Knowling).

Sit thou ( $\kappa \alpha \theta$ ои). Late Koine form for earlier $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \sigma о$, present middle imperative second singular of $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha$.

Acts 2:35
Till I make ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha \nu \theta \omega$ ). Second aorist active subjunctive of $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$ with $\alpha v$ after $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ for the future, a common Greek idiom. This dominion of Christ as Mediator will last till the plan of the kingdom is carried out (1Co 15:23-28). Complete subjugation will come, perhaps
referring to the custom of victorious kings placing their feet upon the necks of their enemies (Jos 10:24).

Therefore assuredly ( $\operatorname{A\sigma } \varphi \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma o v v$ ). Assuredly therefore, without any slip or trip ( $\alpha \sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \zeta$ from $\alpha$ privative and $\sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to trip, to slip. Peter draws a powerfully pungent conclusion by the use of the adverb $\alpha \sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma$ and the inferential conjunction ouv. Peter's closing sentence drives home the point of his sermon: "This very Jesus whom ye crucified (note $\nu \mu \varepsilon \iota$, strongly emphatic
ye ), him God made both Lord and Messiah" (ка кирьоv ка Xрıбтоv), as David foretold in Ps 110 and as the events of this day have confirmed. The critics are disturbed over how Luke could have gotten the substance of this masterful address spoken on the spur of the moment with passion and power. They even say that Luke composed it for Peter and put the words in his mouth. If so, he made a good job of it. But Peter could have written out the notes of the address afterwards. Luke had plenty of chances to get hold of it from Peter or from others.

Acts 2:37
They were pricked in their heart ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon v v \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha v \tau \eta v \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha v)$. Second aorist indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha v v \sigma \sigma \omega$, a rare verb (LXX) to pierce, to sting sharply, to stun, to smite. Homer used it of horses dinting the earth with their hoofs. The substantive катаvv乡ıs occurs in Ro 11:8 . Here only in the N.T. It is followed here by the accusative of the part affected, the heart.

What shall we do? ( $\mathrm{T} \pi о \square \eta \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v)$. Deliberative subjunctive first aorist active. The sermon went home, they felt the sting of Peter's words, compunction ( $\chi о \mu \pi \nu v \gamma 0$ ). Codex Bezae adds: "Show us."

Acts 2:38
Repent ye ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon)$. First aorist (ingressive) active imperative. Change your mind and your life. Turn right about and do it now. You crucified this Jesus. Now crown him in your hearts as Lord and Christ. This first.

And be baptized every one of you ( $\kappa \alpha \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta \tau \omega$ єкабтоц $v \mu \omega v$ ). Rather, "And let each one of you be baptized." Change of number from plural to singular and of person from second to third. This change marks a break in the thought here that the English translation does not preserve. The first thing to do is make a radical and complete change of heart and life. Then let each one be baptized after this change has taken place, and the act of baptism be performed "in the name of Jesus Christ" ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega$ ovoua $\tau \eta \sigma o v$ X $\rho ı \sigma \tau o v$ ). In accordance
 between $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ тo ovoua and $\varepsilon v \tau \omega$ ovo $\mu \alpha \tau$ with $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ since $\varepsilon \iota \zeta$ and $\varepsilon v$ are really the same word in origin. In Ac 10:48 $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega$ ovo $\mu \alpha \tau$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ Xpıбтov occurs, but $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ to ovo $\mu$ in 8:16;
 (Mt 10:41) as a prophet, in the name of a prophet. In the Acts the full name of the Trinity does not occur in baptism as in Mt 28:19, but this does not show that it was not used. The
name of Jesus Christ is the distinctive one in Christian baptism and really involves the Father and the Spirit. See on Mt 28:19 for discussion of this point. "Luke does not give the form of words used in baptism by the Apostles, but merely states the fact that they baptized those who acknowledged Jesus as Messiah or as Lord" (Page).

Unto the remission of your sins ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \iota \nu \tau \omega \nu \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \omega \nu \nu \mu \omega \nu$ ). This phrase is the subject of endless controversy as men look at it from the standpoint of sacramental or of evangelical theology. In themselves the words can express aim or purpose for that use of $\varepsilon$, does exist as in 1Co 2:7 عıऽ $\delta o \xi \alpha \nu \eta \mu \omega \nu$ (for our glory). But then another usage exists which is just as good Greek as the use of eıc for aim or purpose. It is seen in Mt 10:41 in three examples $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ о \nu о \mu \alpha \pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau о v, \delta ı \kappa \alpha \iota о v, \mu \alpha \forall \eta \tau о v$ where it cannot be purpose or aim, but rather the basis or ground, on the basis of the name of prophet, righteous man, disciple, because one is, etc. It is seen again in Mt 12:41 about the preaching of Jonah ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ к \eta \rho v \gamma \mu \alpha \mathrm{I} \omega v \alpha$ ). They repented because of (or at) the preaching of Jonah. The illustrations of both usages are numerous in the N.T. and the Koine generally (Robertson, Grammar, p. 592). One will decide the use here according as he believes that baptism is essential to the remission of sins or not. My view is decidedly against the idea that Peter, Paul, or any one in the New Testament taught baptism as essential to the remission of sins or the means of securing such remission. So I understand Peter to be urging baptism on each of them who had already turned (repented) and for it to be done in the name of Jesus Christ on the basis of the forgiveness of sins which they had already received.

The gift of the Holy Ghost ( $\tau \eta \nu \delta \omega \rho \varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau o v a \gamma \iota o \tau \pi \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \sigma \varsigma)$. The gift consists (Ac 8:17) in the Holy Spirit (genitive of identification).

Acts 2:39
The promise ( $\eta \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı \alpha$ ). The promise made by Jesus ( $1: 4$ ) and foretold by Joel (verse 18).

To you ( $\nu \mu \tau \nu$ ). You Jews. To your descendants, sons and daughters of verse 17.
To all that are afar off ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu ~ \tau о \iota \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \mu \alpha к \rho \alpha \nu$. The horizon widens and includes the Gentiles. Those "afar off" from the Jews were the heathen (Isa 49:1; 57:19; Eph 2:13,17 ). The rabbis so used it.

Shall call ( $\alpha v \pi \rho o \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \eta \tau \alpha$ ). First aorist middle subjunctive with $\alpha v$ in an indefinite relative clause, a perfectly regular construction. The Lord God calls men of every nation anywhere whether Jews or Gentiles. It may be doubted how clearly Peter grasped the significance of these words for he will have trouble over this very matter on the housetop in Joppa and in Caesarea, but he will see before long the full sweep of the great truth that he here proclaims under the impulse of the Holy Spirit. It was a great moment that Peter here reaches.

Acts 2:40
With many other words ( $\left.\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \iota \_\lambda о \gamma o \iota \varsigma \pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma \sigma \iota\right)$. Instrumental case. Not necessarily "different" (عтعроぃ), but "further," showing that Luke does not pretend to give all that Peter
said. This idea is also brought out clearly by $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma \sigma \iota($ ("more," not "many"), more than these given by Luke.

He testified ( $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho a \tau о$ ). First aorist middle of $\delta ı \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho о \mu \alpha$, old verb, to make solemn attestation or call to witness (perfective use of $\delta(\alpha)$ ), while $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \omega$ is to bear witness. Page insists that here it should be translated "protested solemnly" to the Jews as it seems to mean in Lu 16:28; Ac 20:23; 1Ti 5:21; 2Ti 2:14; 4:1 .

And exhorted ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active, kept on exhorting.
Save yourselves ( $\sigma \omega \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist passive of $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$. Literally, Be ye saved.
Crooked ( $\sigma \kappa о \lambda ı \alpha \varsigma)$. Old word, opposite of o $\rho \theta$ o $\varsigma$, straight. Pravus the opposite of rectus, a perversity for turning off from the truth. Cf. Lu 9:41; Php 2:15.

Acts 2:41
They then (Ho $\mu \varepsilon v \mathrm{ovv}$ ). A common phrase in Acts either without antithesis as in 1:6; 5:41; 8:4,25; 9:31; 11:19; 16:5; or with it as here, $8: 25 ; 13: 4 ; 14: 3 ; 17: 17 ; 23: 31 ; 25: 4$. Ouv connects with what precedes as the result of Peter's sermon while $\mu \varepsilon v$ points forward to what is to follow.

Were baptized ( $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. First aorist passive indicative, constative aorist. Note that only those who had already received the word and were converted were baptized.

There were added ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, old verb to add, to join to. Luke means that the 3,000 were added to the 120 already enlisted. It is not stated they were all baptized by Peter or the twelve or all on the same day, though that is the natural implication of the language. The numerous pools in Jerusalem afforded ample opportunity for such wholesale baptizing and Hackett notes that the habit of orientals would place no obstacle in the way of the use of the public reservoirs. Furneaux warns us that all the 3,000 may not have been genuine converts and that many of them were pilgrims at the passover who returned home.

Souls ( $\psi \cup \chi \alpha$ ). Persons as in verse 43.
Acts 2:42
They continued steadfastly ( $\eta \sigma \alpha v \pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho o u v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). Periphrastic active imperfect of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \varepsilon \omega$ as in Ac 1:14 (same participle in verse 46).

Fellowship ( $\kappa o \iota v \omega v i \alpha)$. Old word from koıv $\omega v$ оৎ (partner, sharer in common interest) and this from kotvos what is common to all. This partnership involves participation in, as the blood of Christ (Php 2:1) or co-operation in the work of the gospel (Php 1:5) or contribution for those in need (2Co $8: 4 ; 9: 13$ ). Hence there is wide diversity of opinion concerning the precise meaning of kotv $\omega v$ va in this verse. It may refer to the distribution of funds in verse 44 or to the oneness of spirit in the community of believers or to the Lord's Supper (as in 1Co 10:16) in the sense of communion or to the fellowship in the common meals or $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \varepsilon$ (love-feasts).

The breaking of bread ( $\tau \eta \kappa \lambda \alpha \sigma \varepsilon$ $\tau 0 v \alpha \rho \tau o v$ ). The word $\kappa \lambda \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma$ is an old word, but used only by Luke in the N.T. (Lu 24:35; Ac 2:42), though the verb $\kappa \lambda \alpha \omega$ occurs in other parts of the N.T. as in verse 46. The problem here is whether Luke refers to the ordinary meal as in Lu 24:35 or to the Lord's Supper. The same verb $\kappa \lambda \alpha \omega$ is used of breaking bread at the ordinary meal ( $\mathrm{Lu} 24: 30$ ) or the Lord's Supper ( $\mathrm{Lu} 22: 19$ ). It is generally supposed that the early disciples attached so much significance to the breaking of bread at the ordinary meals, more than our saying grace, that they followed the meal with the Lord's Supper at first, a combination called $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha$ or love-feasts. "There can be no doubt that the Eucharist at this period was preceded uniformly by a common repast, as was the case when the ordinance was instituted" (Hackett). This led to some abuses as in 1Co 11:20. Hence it is possible that what is referred to here is the Lord's Supper following the ordinary meal. "To simply explain $\tau \eta \kappa \lambda \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \tau o v a \rho \tau o v$ as='The Holy Communion' is to pervert the plain meaning of words, and to mar the picture of family life, which the text places before us as the ideal of the early believers" (Page). But in Ac 20:7 they seem to have come together especially for the observance of the Lord's Supper. Perhaps there is no way to settle the point conclusively here.

The prayers ( $\tau \alpha ı \varsigma \pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \alpha \iota)$. Services where they prayed as in $1: 14$, in the temple (Ac 3:1), in their homes (4:23).

Acts 2:43
Came ( $\varepsilon \nmid \boldsymbol{\nu} \varepsilon \tau \circ$ ). Imperfect middle, kept on coming.
Were done ( $\varepsilon \gamma \downarrow \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tau \tau)$. Same tense. Awe kept on coming on all and signs and wonders kept on coming through the apostles. The two things went on $\pi \alpha \rho \pi \alpha \sigma \sigma v$, the more wonders the more fear.

Acts 2:44
Were together ( $\eta \sigma \alpha v \varepsilon \pi \tau о \alpha v \tau 0$ ). Some MSS. $\eta \sigma \alpha v \kappa \alpha$ (were and). But they were together in the same place as in 2:1.

And had ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \downarrow \chi \circ v$ ). Imperfect active, kept on having, a habit in the present emergency.
Common ( $\kappa \circ \imath \nu \alpha$ ). It was not actual communism, but they held all their property ready for use for the common good as it was needed (4:32). This situation appears nowhere else except in Jerusalem and was evidently due to special conditions there which did not survive permanently. Later Paul will take a special collection for the poor saints in Jerusalem.

Acts 2:45
Sold ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \pi \rho a \sigma \kappa \sigma v)$. Imperfect active, a habit or custom from time to time. Old and common verb, $\pi ı \pi \rho a \sigma \kappa \omega$.

Parted ( $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \rho \zeta \zeta \nu)$. Imperfect again of $\delta ı \alpha \mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb for dividing or distributing between ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ ) people.

According as any man had need ( $\kappa \alpha \theta$ о $\tau \alpha \nu \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \chi \rho \varepsilon ı \alpha \nu \varepsilon ı \chi \varepsilon \nu)$. Regular Greek idiom for comparative clause with $\alpha \nu$ and imperfect indicative corresponding precisely with the three preceding imperfects (Robertson, Grammar, p. 967).

Acts 2:46
With one accord in the temple ( $\circ \mu \circ \theta v \mu \alpha \delta o v \varepsilon v \tau \omega \tau \rho \rho \omega$ ). See on $1: 14$ for $o \mu o \theta v \mu \alpha \delta o v$. They were still worshipping in the temple for no breach had yet come between Christians and Jews. Daily they were here and daily breaking bread at home ( $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ oıкоv) which looks like the regular meal.

They did take their food ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v o v \tau \rho \circ \varphi \eta \zeta$ ). Imperfect tense again and clearly referring to the regular meals at home. Does it refer also to the possible $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha$ or to the Lord's Supper afterwards as they had common meals "from house to house" (кат' o七коv)? We know there were local churches in the homes where they had "worship rooms," the church in the house. At any rate it was "with singleness" ( $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \lambda 0 \tau \eta \tau$ ) of heart. The word occurs only here in the N.T., though a late Koine word (papyri). It comes from $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \eta \varsigma$, free from rock ( $\varphi \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \cup \varsigma$ is stony ground), smooth. The old form was $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha$.

Acts 2:47
Having favor $(\varepsilon \chi o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \chi \alpha \rho ı v)$. Cf. Lu 2:52 of the Boy Jesus.
Added ( $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \varepsilon \tau \iota \theta \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active, kept on adding. If the Lord only always "added" those who join our churches. Note verse 41 where same verb is used of the 3,000.

To them ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau 0 \alpha v \tau 0$ ). Literally, "together." Why not leave it so? "To the church" ( $\tau \eta$ $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha)$ is not genuine. Codex Bezae has "in the church."

Those that were being saved ( $\tau 0 v \varsigma \sigma \omega \zeta$ о $\sigma \varepsilon v o v \varsigma$ ). Present passive participle. Probably for repetition like the imperfect $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \tau \iota \theta \varepsilon$. Better translate it "those saved from time to time." It was a continuous revival, day by day. $\Sigma \omega \zeta \omega$ like $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha$ is used for "save" in three senses (beginning, process, conclusion), but here repetition is clearly the point of the present tense.

## Acts 3

Acts 3:1
Were going up ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \beta a \iota v o v$ ). Descriptive imperfect active. They were ascending the terraces to the temple courts.

The ninth ( $\tau \eta v \varepsilon v a \tau \eta v$ ). Our three o'clock in the afternoon, the time of the evening sacrifice. Peter and John like Paul later kept up the Jewish worship, but not as a means of sacramental redemption. There were three hours of prayer (third, sixth, ninth).

Acts 3:2
Was carried ( $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \tau 0)$. Imperfect passive, picturing the process as in verse 1.
Laid daily ( $\varepsilon \tau \iota$ (1ovv $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha v$ ). Imperfect again describing their custom with this man.

Beautiful (H $\omega \rho \alpha \iota \alpha v$ ). This gate is not so called elsewhere. It may have been the Gate of Nicanor on the east side looking towards Kidron described by Josephus (Ant. XV. 11, 3; War V. 5, 3) as composed chiefly of Corinthian brass and very magnificent.

Acts 3:3
Asked ( $\eta \rho \omega \tau \alpha$ ). Began to ask, inchoative imperfect. It was his chance.
Acts 3:4
Fastening his eyes ( $\alpha \tau \varepsilon v ı \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist (ingressive) active participle of $\alpha \tau \varepsilon v ı \zeta \omega$. For this verb see on $\operatorname{Lu} 4: 20$; Ac 1:10. Peter fixed his eyes on the beggar and invited him to look ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi o v$ ) on them.

Acts 3:5
Gave heed unto them ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \not \chi \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau o \iota \varsigma)$. Imperfect active of $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \chi \omega$, to hold to. For the idiom with tov vouv understood see $7: 14 ; 1 \mathrm{Ti} 4: 16$. He held his eyes right on Peter and John with great eagerness "expecting to receive something" ( $\pi \rho o \sigma \delta o \kappa \omega \nu \tau \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \iota v$ ). He took Peter's invitation as a promise of a large gift.

## Acts 3:6

In the name ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega$ ovo $\mu \alpha \tau$ ). The healing power is in that name (Page) and Peter says so. Cf. Lu 9:49; 10:17; Ac 4:7,10; 19:27; 16:18 .

Walk ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon)$. Present imperative, inchoative idea, begin to walk and then go on walking. But the beggar does not budge. He knows that he cannot walk.

Acts 3:7
Took him by the right hand ( $\pi \iota \alpha \sigma \alpha \varsigma \alpha \nu \tau 0 \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \xi \iota \alpha \varsigma \chi \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma)$. Doric form $\pi \iota \alpha \zeta \omega$ for $\pi \iota \zeta \zeta \omega$. Genitive of the part affected. Peter had to pull him up on his feet before he would try to walk.

Acts 3:8
Leaping up ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \lambda \lambda o \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Present middle participle, leaping out repeatedly after Peter pulled him up. Only here in the N.T.

He stood ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta)$. Second aorist active.
Walked ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \varepsilon \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Went on walking, imperfect active. He came into the temple repeating these new exercises (walking, leaping, praising God).

Acts 3:10
They took knowledge of him ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa o v)$. Imperfect active, inchoative, began to perceive.

Were filled $(\varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. Effective first aorist passive.
At that which had happened $(\tau \omega \sigma v \mu \beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa \sigma \tau)$. Perfect active participle of $\sigma v \mu \beta \alpha I v \omega$. Acts 3:11
The Codex Bezae adds "as Peter and John went out."
As he held (кратоиvтоऽ av $\frac{1}{}$ ). Genitive absolute of $\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$, to hold fast, with accusative rather than genitive to get hold of (Ac 27:13). Old and common verb from кратоя (strength, force). Perhaps out of gratitude and partly from fear (Lu 8:38).

In the porch that is called Solomon's ( $\left.\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \sigma \tau o \alpha \tau \eta \kappa \alpha \lambda o v \mu \varepsilon v \eta \Sigma_{0} \delta \lambda \mu \omega v \tau o \varsigma\right)$. The adjective Stoic ( $\sigma \tau$ о七коя) is from this word $\sigma \tau 0 \alpha$ (porch). It was on the east side of the court of the Gentiles (Josephus, Ant. XX. 9, 7) and was so called because it was built on a remnant of the foundations of the ancient temple. Jesus had once taught here (Joh 10:23).

Greatly wondering $(\varepsilon \kappa \theta \alpha \mu \beta$ o). Wondering out of $(\varepsilon \kappa)$ measure, already filled with wonder ( $\theta a \mu \beta$ ovৎ, verse 10). Late adjective. Construction according to sense (plural, though入aos singular) as in 5:16; 6:7; 11:1, etc.

Acts 3:12
Answered ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho \iota v a \tau o)$. First aorist middle indicative. The people looked their amazement and Peter answered that.

Ye men of Israel (Av $\mathcal{\rho} \rho \varepsilon \varsigma \operatorname{I\sigma } \rho \alpha \eta \lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha)$. Covenant name and so conciliatory, the stock of Israel (Php 3:5).

At this man $(\varepsilon \pi \tau o v \tau \omega)$. Probably so, though it could be "at this thing."
Fasten you your eyes ( $\alpha \tau \varepsilon v \iota \zeta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon)$. The very verb used about Peter in verse 4.
On us $(\eta \mu \nu \nu)$. Dative case, emphatic proleptical position before $\tau \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \iota \zeta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$.
On us why do ye fasten your eyes? As though ( $\omega \varsigma$ ). H $\omega \varsigma$ with the participle gives the alleged reason, not always the true one.

Power ( $\delta v v a \mu \varepsilon$ ). Instrumental case, causa effectiva.
Godliness ( $\varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \iota \alpha)$. Causa meritoria.
Had made ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi о \iota \kappa \kappa \sigma \iota v)$. Perfect active participle of $\pi \circ \iota \varepsilon \omega$.
To walk ( $\tau 0 v \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Articular infinitive in the genitive case of result, purpose easily shading off into result (ecbatic infinitive) as here as is true also of $t v a$.

Acts 3:13
His servant Jesus ( $\tau 0 v \pi \alpha ı \delta \alpha$ I $\eta \sigma o v v$ ). This phrase occurs in Isa 42:1; 52:13 about the Messiah except the name "Jesus" which Peter adds, the first part of the quotation is from Ex

3:6; 5:30. The LXX translated the Hebrew ebhedh by $\pi \alpha \iota \varsigma$, the servant of Jehovah being a Messianic designation. But the phrase "servant of God" ( $\pi \alpha \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma v$ ) is applied also to Israel
 is just child (boy or girl), and it was also used of a slave (Mt 8:6,8,13 ). But it is not here vios (son) that Peter uses, but $\pi \alpha \iota \varsigma$. Luke quotes Peter as using it again in this Messianic sense in Ac 3:26; 4:27,30.

Whom ye delivered up (ov $v \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Note emphatic use of $v \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma$ (ye). No $\delta \varepsilon$ to correspond to $\mu \varepsilon v$. First aorist active ( $\kappa$ aorist) plural indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta t \delta \omega \mu$ (usual form $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta o \tau \varepsilon$, second aorist).

When he ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o v)$. Emphatic pronoun, that one, in contrast with "ye" (v $\mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$, genitive absolute with крıvavtoc, here the nearest word (Pilate), the latter.

Acts 3:14
But ye (v $\mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma \delta \varepsilon)$. In contrast with Pilate ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \iota v o v)$.
Murderer ( $\alpha v \delta \rho \alpha$ 甲ove $)$. A man a murderer. In contrast with "the Holy and Righteous One."

To be granted $(\chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \theta \eta \nu \alpha)$. As a favour ( $\chi \alpha \rho ı \varsigma)$. First aorist passive infinitive of $\chi \alpha \rho ı$ о $\alpha$; So also 25:11; 27:24 .

Acts 3:15
But the Prince of life ye killed ( $\tau 0 \nu \delta \varepsilon \alpha \rho \chi \eta \gamma \circ \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \zeta \omega \eta \zeta \alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ \nu \alpha \tau \varepsilon)$. "The magnificent antithesis" (Bengel) Peter here draws between their asking for a murderer and killing the Prince (or Author) of life. Peter pictures Jesus as the source of all life as is done in Joh 1:1-18; Col 1:14-20; Heb 1:2f. A $\rho \chi \eta \circ \varsigma$ ( $\alpha \rho \chi \eta$, beginning, $\alpha \gamma \omega$, to lead) is an adjective "furnishing the first cause or occasion" in Euripides, Plato. Thence substantive, the originator, the leader, the pioneer as of Jesus both Beginner and Finisher (Heb 12:2 ). See also Heb 2:10; Ac 5:31 where it is applied to Jesus as "Prince and Saviour." But God raised him from the dead in contrast to what they had done.

Whereof we are witnesses (ov $\eta \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Of which fact (the resurrection) or of whom as risen, ov having the same form in the genitive singular for masculine or neuter. Peter had boldly claimed that all the 120 have seen the Risen Christ. There is no denial of that claim.

Acts 3:16
By faith in his name ( $\tau \eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \tau o v o v o \mu \alpha \tau o \varsigma \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Instrumental case of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ (Aleph and $B$ do not have $\varepsilon \pi$ ) and objective genitive of ovo $\mu \alpha \tau$.

His name ( $\tau 0$ ovo $\mu \alpha$ av $о v$ ). Repeats the word name to make the point clear. Cf. verse 6 where Peter uses "the name of Jesus Christ of Nazareth" when he healed the man.

Made strong ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Same verb used in verse 7 (and 16:5). Nowhere else in the N.T. Old verb from $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \circ$, , firm, solid.

Through him ( $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ avtov). Through Jesus, the object of faith and the source of it.

Perfect soundness (o $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\text {ок }} \lambda \eta \rho \iota \alpha v$ ). Perfect in all its parts, complete, whole (from o 0 oc, whole, $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \varsigma$, allotment). Late word (Plutarch) once in LXX (Isa 1:6) and here alone in the N.T., but adjective o o $о к \lambda \eta \rho o \varsigma$, old and common (Jas 1:4; 1Th 5:23 ).

Acts 3:17
And now ( $\kappa \alpha v v v$ ). Luke is fond of these particles of transition (7:34; 10:5; 20:25; 22:16 ) and also ка та vuv (4:29; 5:38; 22:32; 27:22), and even $\kappa \alpha$ vuv $\operatorname{\delta ov}$ (13:11; 20:22 ).

I wot (oi $\delta$ ). Old English for "I know."
In ignorance ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha \gamma v o t \alpha v$ ). This use of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ occurs in the Koine. See also Phm 1:14 . One may see Lu 23:34 for the words of the Saviour on the Cross. "They had sinned, but their sin was not of so deep a dye that it could not have been still more heinous" (Hackett). If they had known what they were doing, they would not knowingly have crucified the Messiah (1Co 2:8).

Acts 3:18
Foreshewed ( $\pi \rho \circ \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \mid \lambda \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\pi \rho \circ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, late compound to announce fully beforehand. Only twice in the N.T. in the critical text (Ac 3:18; 7:52).

That his Christ should suffer ( $\pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon ı v$ tov X $\rho ı \sigma \tau o v \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Accusative of general reference with the aorist active infinitive ( $\pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon เ v$ of $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \omega$ ) in indirect discourse (predictive purpose of God). Their crime, though real, was carrying out God's purpose (2:23; Joh 3:16 ). See the same idea in Ac 17:3; 26:23. This "immense paradox" (Page) was a stumbling block to these Jews as it is yet (1Co 1:23). Peter discusses the sufferings of Christ in 1Pe 4:13; 5:1

Acts 3:19
Repent therefore ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon \circ v v$ ). Peter repeats to this new crowd the command made in Ac 2:38 which see. God's purpose and patience call for instant change of attitude on their part. Their guilt does not shut them out if they will turn.

And turn again ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi / \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Definitely turn to God in conduct as well as in mind.
That your sins may be blotted out ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau$ то $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \lambda \iota \varphi \theta \eta \nu \alpha \nu \mu \omega \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \varsigma$ ). Articular infinitive (first aorist passive of $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \varphi \omega$, to wipe out, rub off, erase, smear out, old verb, but in the N.T. only here and Col 2:14) with the accusative of general reference and with $\pi \rho o \varsigma$ and the accusative to express purpose.

That so (o $\pi \omega \varsigma \alpha v$ ). Final particle with $\alpha v$ and the aorist active subjunctive $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega \sigma \sigma$ (come) and not "when" as the Authorized Version has it. Some editors put this clause in verse 20 (Westcott and Hort, for instance).

Seasons of refreshing (каı $\rho о \alpha v \alpha \psi \nu \xi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. The word $\alpha v \alpha \psi v \xi ı \varsigma$ (from $\alpha v \alpha \psi \cup \chi \omega$, to cool again or refresh, $2 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 16$ ) is a late word (LXX) and occurs here alone in the N.T. Surely repentance will bring "seasons of refreshing from the presence of the Lord."

Acts 3:20

And that he may send the Christ who hath been appointed for you, even Jesus ( $\kappa \alpha$
 o $\pi \omega \varsigma \alpha \nu$ as in 15:17 and $\mathrm{Lu} 2: 35$. There is little real difference in idea between $o \pi \omega \varsigma \alpha \nu$ and $t v \alpha a v$. There is a conditional element in all purpose clauses. The reference is naturally to the second coming of Christ as verse 21 shows. Knowling admits "that there is a spiritual presence of the enthroned Jesus which believers enjoy as a foretaste of the visible and glorious Presence of the חapovoıa." Jesus did promise to be with the disciples all the days (Mt 28:20 ), and certainly repentance with accompanying seasons of refreshing help get the world ready for the coming of the King. The word $\pi \rho \circ \kappa \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon$ ov (perfect passive participle of $\pi \rho о \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, from $\pi \rho о \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \circ \varsigma$, at hand, to take into one's hands, to choose) is the correct text here, not $\pi \rho о к \varepsilon \kappa \eta \rho v \gamma \mu \varepsilon v o v$. In the N.T. only here and Ac 22:14; 26:16 . It is not "Jesus Christ" here nor "Christ Jesus," but "the Messiah, Jesus," identifying Jesus with the Messiah. See the Second Epiphany of Jesus foretold also in 1Ti 6:15 and the First Epiphany described in 1 Pe 1:20.

Acts 3:21
Restoration ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Double compound ( $\alpha \pi \sigma, \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$, $\sigma \tau \eta \mu$ ), here only in the N.T., though common in late writers. In papyri and inscriptions for repairs to temples and this phrase occurs in Jewish apocalyptic writings, something like the new heaven and the new earth of Re 21:1. Paul has a mystical allusion also to the agony of nature in Ro 8:20-22. The verb aлок $\alpha \operatorname{\iota } \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ is used by Jesus of the spiritual and moral restoration wrought by the Baptist as Elijah (Mt 17:11; Mr 9:12) and by the disciples to Jesus in Ac 1:6 . Josephus uses the word of the return from captivity and Philo of the restitution of inheritances in the year of jubilee. As a technical medical term it means complete restoration to health. See a like idea in $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota v \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \iota \alpha$ (renewal, new birth) in Mt 19:28; Tit 3:5. This universalism of Peter will be clearer to him after Joppa and Caesarea.

Acts 3:22
Like unto me ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). As me, literally; Moses (De 18:14-18) claims that God raised him up as a prophet and that another and greater one will come, the Messiah. The Jews understood Moses to be a type of Christ (Joh 1:21). God spoke to Moses face to face (Ex 33:11) and he was the greatest of the prophets (De 34:10).

Acts 3:23
That prophet ( $\tau 0 v \pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau 0 v \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o v$ ). Emphasizes the future prophet as on "him" (avtov) before "hearken." They had refused to "hearken" to Moses and now, alas, many had refused to "hearken" to Christ.

Shall be utterly destroyed ( $\varepsilon \xi_{0} \lambda \varepsilon \theta \rho \varepsilon v \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). First future passive of $\varepsilon \xi_{0} \lambda \varepsilon-(0) \theta \rho \varepsilon v \omega$, a late verb, to destroy utterly $(\varepsilon \xi)$, only here in the N.T., common in the LXX.

Acts 3:24

From Samuel ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \Sigma \alpha \mu о v \eta \lambda$ ). Schools of prophets arose in his time, few before him (1Sa 3:1).

Acts 3:25
Ye (Ницєє). Emphatic position.
The covenant which God made ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \delta ı \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta \varsigma \eta \varsigma$ o $\theta \cos \delta ı \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \tau o)$. Literally, "the covenant which God covenanted." $\Delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta$ and $\delta \iota \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \tau o$ (second aorist middle indicative of $\delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \mu$ ) are the same root. See on Mt 26:28. The covenant (agreement between two, $\delta \iota \alpha, \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$ ) was with Abraham (Ge 12:1-3) and repeated at various times (Ge 18:18; 22:18; 26:4, etc.). In Heb 9:15-18 the word is used both for covenant and will. The genitive relative $\eta \varsigma$ attracted to case of the antecedent.

Acts 3:26
Unto you first (Hט川ı $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \nu$ ). The Jews were first in privilege and it was through the Jews that the Messiah was to come for "all the families of the earth."

His servant ( $\tau 0 v \pi \alpha \iota \alpha \alpha \cup \tau 0 v$ ). As in verse 13, the Messiah as God's Servant.
To bless you ( $\varepsilon v \lambda o \gamma o v v \tau \alpha v \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. Present active participle to express purpose, blessing you (Robertson, Grammar, p. 991). In turning away ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \varphi \varepsilon \varepsilon v$ ). Articular infinitive in the locative case, almost preserved in the English.

## Acts 4

Acts 4:1
The captain of the temple (о $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \circ \varsigma \tau о v \iota \rho \circ v$ ). Twenty-four bands of Levites guarded the temple, one guard at a time. They watched the gates. The commander of each band was called captain ( $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \circ \varsigma)$. Josephus names this captain of the temple police next to the high priest (War. VI. 5, 3).

The Sadducees (o $\Sigma a \delta \delta o v \kappa \alpha \circ$ ). Most of the priests were Sadducees now and all the chief priests since John Hyrcanus I deserted the Pharisees (Josephus, Ant. XVII. 10, 6; XVIII. 1,$4 ; \mathrm{XX} .9,1$. The Sadducees were slow to line up with the Pharisees against Jesus, but they now take the lead against Peter and John.

Came upon them ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha v \tau o \iota \varsigma)$. Second aorist active indicative (intransitive). Burst upon them suddenly or stood by them in a hostile attitude here (Lu 20:1; 24:4; Ac 6:12; 17:5; 22:20; 23:11 ).

Acts 4:2
Being sore troubled ( $\delta \iota a \pi o v o v \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present passive participle of old verb $\delta \iota a \pi o v \varepsilon \omega$ (perfective use of $\delta \iota \alpha$ ) to be worked up, indignant. In the N.T. only here and 16:8.

Because ( $\delta_{1 \alpha} \tau 0$ ). The articular infinitive with two accusatives, one the object (the people), the other ("they") of general reference.

In Jesus ( $\varepsilon v$ In $\sigma o v$ ). In the case of Jesus, an actual instance of resurrection which the Sadducees denied (Mt 22:23 ). This same use of $\varepsilon v$ appears in 1Co 4:6 (in us). The Sadducees were also aristocrats and political ecclesiastics who disliked popular disturbances. In particular, they resented the claim about Jesus whom they had helped crucify.

Acts 4:3
In ward ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \rho \eta \sigma \iota)$. Probably in one of the chambers of the temple. In safe keeping (from $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to guard). Old word, in the N.T. only here and Ac 5:18; 1Co 7:19. So in papyri.

Now eventide ( $\varepsilon \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \eta \delta \eta$ ). Hence no trial could take place before the next day, a regulation violated in the case of Jesus.

Acts 4:4
Men ( $\alpha v \delta \rho \omega v$ ). Strictly, men and not women, for $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$, and women. But in $\operatorname{Lu}$ 11:31 $\alpha v \delta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$ seems to include both men and women and that is possible here, though by no means certain, for see Mt 14:21 where the women and children are expressly excepted.

Acts 4:5
Rulers and elders and scribes ( $\tau о \cup \varsigma ~ а \rho \chi о \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ к \alpha ~ \tau о ט \varsigma ~ \pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \varepsilon \rho о и \varsigma ~ к \alpha ~ \tau о и \varsigma ~$ $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon ı)$ ). The three classes composing the Sanhedrin (rulers=chief priests who were Sadducees, the scribes usually Pharisees, the elders not in either class: 24 priests, 24 elders, 22 scribes).

Were gathered together ( $\sigma v v \alpha \chi \theta \eta v \alpha$ ). First aorist passive infinitive of $\sigma v v a \gamma \omega$ with accusative of general reference and the subject of $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau 0$.

Acts 4:6
Annas (Havvac). One of the rulers or chief priests, ex-high priest (A.D. 7-14) and father-in-law of

Caiaphas (Kaıцра¢) who was actual high priest at that time, though the title clung to Annas as here (both so called in Lu 3:2 ), Caiaphas so by Roman law, Annas so in the opinion of the Jews. They with John and Alexander are the leaders among the Sadducees in pressing the case against Peter and John.

Acts 4:7
In the midst $(\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon \sigma \omega)$. The Sanhedrin sat in a semicircle.
They inquired ( $\varepsilon \pi v v \theta a v o v \tau o$ ). Imperfect middle, began to inquire.
Or in what name ( $\eta \varepsilon \vee \pi о \omega \omega$ ovo $\mu \alpha \tau$ ). As if by some magical formula such as exorcists practised (Ac 19:13) as if to catch them by (De 13:1).

Have ye done this ( $\varepsilon \pi о \iota \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ tovto $\nu \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Note emphatic use of $v \mu \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma(y e)$.
Acts $4: 8$
Filled with the Holy Spirit ( $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma \pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma \alpha \gamma ı v)$. For this occasion and so above all fear as in verse 31 and as in 2:4.

Acts 4:9
Concerning a good deed done to an impotent man ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \sigma \iota \alpha \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v o u \varsigma)$. Objective genitive. Note eveppecia (old word, in the N.T. only here and 1Ti 6:2), as a benefactor, not a malefactor. Skilful turn made by Peter.

Is made whole ( $\sigma \varepsilon \sigma \omega \sigma \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$, stands whole.
Acts 4:10
Be it known ( $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau o v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega)$. Imperative present active third singular of $\varepsilon \mu$, to be, and the verbal adjective $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau o v$.

Whom ye crucified ( $\mathrm{o} v \mathrm{v} \mu \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \omega \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Too good a chance to miss, and so Peter boldly charges the Sanhedrin with responsibility for the death of Jesus. Note $v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ (ye) again.

Whom God raised from the dead (ov o $\theta \varepsilon \circ \varsigma \eta \gamma \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa ~ v \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega v$ ). Note repetition of $o v$ (whom). This is God's answer to their act of crucifixion.

In him doth this man stand ( $\varepsilon v \tau о \nu \tau \omega$ ov $\tau \circ \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Rather (note play on ou $\tau \circ \varsigma)$ ), "In this one ( $\mathrm{ov}, \mathrm{ov}$ ) this one stands (present perfect active indicative, intransitive)." In Jesus this man stands before you whole (v$\gamma \boldsymbol{\eta})$ ). It was a centre shot.

Acts 4:11
Of you the builders ( $v \varphi^{\prime} v \mu \omega v \tau \omega v$ oוко $\delta o \mu \omega v$ ). The experts, the architects, had rejected Jesus for their building (Ps 118:22) as Jesus himself had pointed out (Mt 21:42; Lu 21:17). This very Rejected Stone God had made the head of the corner (either the highest corner
stone right under the roof or the corner stone under the building, Isa 28:16 ) as Jesus showed, as Peter here declares and repeats later ( 1 Pe 2:6f. ).

Acts 4:12
Salvation ( $\eta \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \not \alpha$ ). The Messianic salvation as in $5: 31 ; 17: 11$ and as Jesus meant in Joh 4:22. It is amazing to see Peter speaking thus to the Sanhedrin and proclaiming the necessity of salvation ( $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \omega \theta \eta \nu \alpha$ ) in the name of Jesus Christ and in no other. If this was true then, it is true today. There is no second ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \vee$ ) name to go beside that of Jesus in India, China, Japan, or America.

Acts 4:13
The boldness ( $\tau \eta v \pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha v$ ). Telling it all ( $\pi \alpha v, \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ ). See also verses 29,31 . Actually Peter had turned the table on the Sanhedrin and had arraigned them before the bar of God.

Had perceived ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta о \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Second aorist middle participle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, common verb to grasp strongly ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ ), literally or with the mind (especially middle voice), to comprehend. The rulers recalled Peter and John from having seen them often with Jesus, probably during the temple teaching, etc.

They were unlearned ( $\alpha \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau о$ عוбıv). Present indicative retained in indirect discourse. Unlettered men without technical training in the professional rabbinical schools of Hillel or Shammai. Jesus himself was so regarded (Joh 7:15, "not having learned letters").

And ignorant ( $\kappa \alpha \iota \delta \omega \tau \alpha$ ). Old word, only here in the N.T. and 1Co 14:24; 2Co 11:6 . It does not mean "ignorant," but a layman, a man not in office (a private person), a common soldier and not an officer, a man not skilled in the schools, very much like $\alpha \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau$, It is from t $\delta$ oc (one's own) and our "idiosyncracy" is one with an excess of such a trait, while "idiot" (this very word) is one who has nothing but his idiosyncracy. Peter and John were men of ability and of courage, but they did not belong to the set of the rabbis.

They marvelled ( $\varepsilon Ө a v \mu \Omega \zeta o v)$. Imperfect (inchoative) active, began to wonder and kept it up.

Took knowledge of them ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \circ v$ av $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma)$. Imperfect (inchoative) active again, they began to recognize them as men that they had seen with Jesus.

Acts 4:14
They could say nothing against it (ov $\delta \varepsilon v \varepsilon\lfloor\chi o v a v \tau \varepsilon ı \pi \varepsilon เ v$ ). Imperfect again, they kept on having nothing to say against it. The lame man was standing there before their eyes in proof of what Peter had said.

Acts 4:15
They conferred among themselves ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda o v \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o u \varsigma$ ). Imperfect active again. With Peter and John and the lame man outside, they began to compare ( $\sigma v \nu, \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ ) notes and take stock of their predicament.

Acts 4:16

What shall we do? (T $\pi о \Pi \eta \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Deliberative aorist active subjunctive (ingressive and urgent aorist).

Notable miracle ( $\gamma v \omega \sigma \tau \sigma v \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon ו o v$ ). Or sign. It was useless to deny it with the man there.

We cannot deny it (ov $\delta v v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha \alpha \rho v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). That is, it will do no good.
Acts 4:17
That it spread no further ( $\llcorner v \alpha \mu \eta \varepsilon \pi \pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota o v \delta ı \alpha v \varepsilon \mu \eta \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive


Let us threaten them ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon ı \lambda \eta \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ autoıs). Hortatory aorist middle subjunctive of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \iota \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, old verb (note middle voice). In the N.T. only here and 1 Pe 2:23.

That they speak henceforth to no man in this name ( $\mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota v \varepsilon \pi \tau \omega$ оvo $\mu \alpha \tau$ $\tau 0 v \tau \omega \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega v)$. Indirect command with the infinitive and double negative ( $\mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau \iota$, $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v)$. They will not say "Jesus," but make a slur at "this name," contemptuous use of ovtoৎ, though they apparently do mention the name "Jesus" in verse 18.

Acts 4:18
Not to speak at all ( $\kappa \alpha \theta$ о $\lambda o v \mu \eta \varphi \theta \varepsilon \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Same construction as above, infinitive in indirect command with negative $\mu \eta$ (and $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon$ ).

Acts 4:20
For we cannot but speak (ov $\delta \nu v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \forall a ~ \gamma \alpha \rho ~ \eta \mu \varepsilon ı \sigma--\mu \eta ~ \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı v$ ). Both negatives hold here, "For we (note emphatic $\eta \mu \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma$ ) are not able not to speak" (what we saw and heard). This is defiance of the civil and ecclesiastical authorities that was justified, for the temple authorities stepped in between the conscience and God. Peter and John were willing to pay the price of this defiance with their lives. This is the courage of martyrs through all the ages.

Acts 4:21
When they had further threatened them ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \lambda\rceil \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o)$. The "further" is in "pros" (in addition),

Finding nothing how they might punish them ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \varepsilon v \rho \iota \sigma \kappa о v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ то $\pi \omega \varsigma \kappa о \lambda \alpha \sigma \omega v \tau \alpha$ autous). Note the article "to" before $\pi \omega \varsigma$ (how), "the how." Aorist middle deliberative subjunctive ко $\lambda \alpha \sigma \omega \nu \tau \alpha$ in indirect question after $\pi \omega \varsigma$ from ко $\lambda \omega \zeta$, to lop (ко $\lambda \varsigma \varsigma$, lopped), to curb, to prune, to correct, to punish. Old verb, in the N.T. only here and 2Pe 2:9 .

Glorified God ( $\varepsilon \delta 0 \xi \alpha \zeta \circ v$ tov $\theta \varepsilon \circ v$ ). Imperfect active, kept on glorifying God while the Sanhedrin were threatening Peter and John. It was to laugh at the helplessness of the Sanhedrin.

Acts 4:22
Was wrought ( $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \varepsilon$ ). Second past perfect active without augment from $\gamma \boldsymbol{\nu} \circ \mu \alpha$.
Acts 4:23
To their own company ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ tous $\begin{aligned} & \delta \text { ıovc). Their own people as in Joh 1:11; 13:1; Ac }\end{aligned}$ 24:23; 1Ti 5:8; Tit 3:14, not merely the apostles (all the disciples). In spite of Peter's cour-
ageous defiance he and John told the brotherhood all that had been said by the Sanhedrin. They had real apprehension of the outcome.

Acts 4:24
With one accord (o $\mu \circ \theta v \mu \alpha \delta o v$ ). A concert of voices as already seen by the word in 1:14; 2:46 and later in 5:12; 7:57; 15:25 .

O Lord $(\Delta \varepsilon \sigma \pi о \tau \alpha)$. Our word despot. Old word for relation of master to slaves or household servants (1Ti 6:1; 2Ti 2:21; Tit 2:9; 1Pe 2:18). Simeon thus addressed God (Lu 2:29 ). So in 2Pe 2:1; Jude 1:4; Re 6:10. See "slaves" in verse 29.

Acts 4:25
By the mouth of our father David ( $\tau \circ v \pi \alpha \tau \rho о \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \omega v \delta ı \alpha \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma \alpha \gamma ь v \sigma \tau о \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma$ $\Delta a v \varepsilon \iota \delta)$. From Ps 2:1f. here ascribed to David. Baumgarten suggests that the whole company sang the second Psalm and then Peter applied it to this emergency. The Greek MSS. do not have $\delta ı \alpha$ (by) here before $\sigma \tau о \mu \alpha \tau o \varsigma$, but only $\delta ı \alpha$ before $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau o \varsigma \alpha \gamma ı v$ (the Holy Spirit). Hort calls this a "primitive error" perhaps due to an early scribe who omitted this second $\delta ı \alpha$ so close to the first $\delta ı \alpha$ (Robertson, Introduction to the Textual Criticism of the N.T., p. 238). A small list of such primitive errors is there given as suggested by Dr. Hort.

Why (ıva $\tau$ ). This Greek idiom calls for $\gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \tau \alpha$ (second aorist middle subjunctive),
That what may happen .
The Gentiles $(\varepsilon \theta v \eta)$. So always in LXX, while $\lambda \alpha o$ (peoples) can include Jews.
Did rage $(\varepsilon \varphi \rho v \alpha \xi \alpha \nu)$. First aorist active indicative of $\varphi \rho v \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, late word, to neigh like a horse, to prance or stamp the ground, to put on lofty airs. Only here in the N.T. in this quotation from Ps 2:1.

Imagine $(\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tau \alpha \omega$. Old verb from $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tau \eta$ (care), to practise, to caution, as orators and rhetoricians. Only here in the N.T. in this quotation.

Acts 4:26
Set themselves in array ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Literally, stood by.

Acts 4:27
Both Herod and Pontios Pilate ( $Н \eta \rho \omega \iota \delta \eta \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ Поv $\tau \iota \varsigma ~ \Pi \varepsilon \iota \lambda \alpha \tau о \varsigma) . ~ L u k e ~ a l o n e ~(L u ~$ 23:12 ) tells of the reconciliation between Herod and Pilate at the trial of Jesus. So Peter and the rest interpret this prophecy as directly fulfilled in their conduct towards Jesus Christ.

Whom thou didst anoint (ov $\varepsilon \chi \rho \iota \sigma a \varsigma)$. As in verse 26 (cf. Lu 4:18; Isa 61:1). Inaugurated as King Messiah.

Acts 4:28
Foreordained ( $\pi \rho o \omega \rho \iota \sigma \varepsilon v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\pi \rho o o \rho ı \zeta \omega$, "They rise above sight and seem to see the Hand which 'shapes men's ends, rough hew them how they will"' (Furneaux).

Acts 4:29
And now ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha v v v$ ). "And as to (accusative of general reference) the now things (the present situation)." Only in the Acts in the N.T. (5:38; 17:30; 20:32; 27:22 ).

Grant ( $\delta o \varsigma)$. Second aorist active imperative of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, urgency of the aorist, Do it now.
To speak thy word with all boldness ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \varsigma \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \varsigma \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \nu \tau \circ v \lambda o \gamma o v \sigma o v$ ). Literally, "with all boldness to go on speaking (present active infinitive) thy word." Peter and John had defied the Sanhedrin in verse 20, but all the same and all the more they pray for courage in deed to live up to their brave words. A wholesome lesson.

Acts 4:30
While thou stretchest forth thy hand ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \tau \eta \nu \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon \iota \nu \varepsilon \iota \nu \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Luke's favourite idiom, "In the stretching out (articular present active infinitive) the hand as to thee" (accusative of general reference), the second allusion to God's "hand" in this prayer (verse 28).

To heal ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \iota \alpha \sigma \iota v)$. For healing. See verse 22.
And that signs and wonders may be done ( $\kappa \alpha \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma ı \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Either to be taken as in the same construction as $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon \iota v \varepsilon \iota \nu$ with $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega$ as Revised Version has it here or to be treated as subordinate purpose to $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon \iota v \varepsilon \iota v$ (as Knowling, Page, Wendt, Hackett). The latter most likely true. They ask for a visible sign or proof that God has heard this prayer for courage to be faithful even unto death.

Acts 4:31
The place was shaken ( $\varepsilon \sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \eta$ о толос). By an earthquake most likely as in 16:26, but none the less a token of God's presence and power (Ps 114:7; Isa 2:19,21; Heb 12:26f. ).

Were gathered together ( $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu \sigma \nu \nu \eta \gamma \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Periphrastic past perfect passive of $\sigma v \nu \alpha \gamma \omega$.
They spake ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda o v v$ ). Imperfect active indicative, began to speak, after being filled ( $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$, aorist passive indicative) with the Holy Spirit. Luke uses the very words of the prayer in verse 29 to describe their conduct.

Acts 4:32
Of one heart and soul ( $\kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha \kappa \alpha \psi v \chi \eta \mu \iota \alpha$ ). It is not possible to make sharp distinction between heart and soul here (see Mr 12:30), only that there was harmony in thought and affection. But the English translation is curiously unlike the Greek original. "There was one heart and soul (nominative case, not genitive as the English has it) in the multitude ( tov $\pi \lambda \eta \theta$ ovৎ, subjective genitive) of those who believed."

Not one of them (ou $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. More emphatic than ou $\delta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$, "not even one."
Common (koוva). In the use of their property, not in the possession as Luke proceeds to explain. The word коıvos is kin to $\sigma v \nu$ (together with) $=\xi v \nu$ (Epic) and so $\xi v v o \sigma=\kappa о \iota \nu о \varsigma$. See this word already in 2:44. The idea of unclean (Ac 10:15) is a later development from the original notion of common to all.

Acts 4:33

Gave their witness ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \delta \iota \delta o v v \tau o \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \iota v)$ ). Imperfect active of $\alpha \pi o \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, old verb to give back, to pay back a debt (Lu 7:42), but a late omega form instead of the usual $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \delta \delta o \sigma a v$. They kept on giving their witness with power after the answer to their prayer (verse 31).

Of the resurrection ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha v a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). It was on this issue that the Sadducees had arrested them ( 4:1-3).

Acts 4:34
That lacked ( $\varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \eta \varsigma)$. Literally, in need, old adjective, here only in the N.T.
Were (v $v \eta \rho \chi \circ v$ ). Imperfect active of $v \pi \alpha \rho \chi \omega$, to exist.
Sold them and brought ( $\pi \omega \lambda$ ouv $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ). Present active participle and imperfect active indicative. Selling they brought from time to time, as there was occasion by reason of need. Hence the wants were kept supplied.

Laid them ( $\varepsilon \tau 1 \theta$ ouv). Imperfect active again, repetition, of $\tau 1 \theta \eta \mu$, late omega form for the usual $\varepsilon \tau i \theta \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \nu$.

Acts 4:35
Distribution was made ( $\delta_{\iota} \varepsilon \delta \delta \varepsilon \tau 0$ ). Imperfect passive of $\delta_{\iota} \alpha \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, late omega form for $\delta_{1 \varepsilon} \delta_{1} \delta$ oto (the stem vowel o displaced by $\varepsilon$ ). Impersonal use of the verb here.

According as any one had need ( $\kappa \alpha \theta$ o $\tau \nu \tau \tau \varsigma \chi \rho \varepsilon \iota \alpha v \varepsilon \tau \chi \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ with $\kappa \alpha \theta$ ot and $\alpha v$ with the notion of customary repetition in a comparative clause (Robertson, Grammar, p. 967).

Acts 4:36
Barnabas (Bapvaßac). His name was Joseph (correct text, and not Jesus) and he is mentioned as one illustration of those in verse 34 who selling brought the money. The apostles gave him the nickname Barnabas by which later he was known because of this noble deed. This fact argues that all did not actually sell, but were ready to do so if needed. Possibly Joseph had a larger estate than some others also. The meaning of the nickname is given by Luke as "son of consolation or exhortation" (vioc $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Doubtless his gifts as a preacher lay along this same line. Rackham thinks that the apostles gave him this name when he was recognized as a prophet. In Ac 11:23 the very word $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ (exhorted) is used of Barnabas up at Antioch. He is the type of preacher described by Paul in 1Co 14:3. Encouragement is the chief idea in тарак $\lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\mu}$ though exhortation, comfort, consolation are used to render it (Ac 9:31; 13:15; 15:31). See also 16:9; 20:12 . It is not necessary to think that the apostles coined the name Barnabas for Joseph which originally may have come from Bapveßouc (Deissmann, Bible Studies, pp. 308-10), son of Nebo, or even the Hebrew Bar Nebi (son of a prophet). But, whatever the origin, the popular use is given by Luke. He was even called apostle along with Paul (Ac 14:14) in the broad sense of that word.

Acts 4:37

Having a held (v $\tau \alpha \rho \chi \circ v \tau о \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \omega \alpha \gamma \rho \circ v$ ). Genitive absolute with present active participle of $v \pi \alpha \rho \chi \omega$ and dative of possession.

Sold it and brought ( $\pi \omega \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma \eta \nu \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Aorist active participle of $\pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ and second aorist active indicative of $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$ because a single definite instance. So also with $\varepsilon \theta \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ (laid), first aorist active.

## Acts 5

Acts 5:1
Sold ( $\varepsilon \pi \omega \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Aorist active indicative again, for a single case.
Acts 5:2
Kept back ( $\varepsilon \nu 0 \sigma \varphi\llcorner\sigma \alpha \tau o)$. First aorist middle indicative of $\nu \sigma \sigma \varphi \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb from $\nu 0 \sigma \varphi$, afar, apart, and so to set apart, to separate for oneself, but only here, verse 3 ; Tit 2:10 in the N.T.

His wife also being privy to it ( $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \iota \delta \nu \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \cup v \alpha \kappa \kappa \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute with second perfect participle of $\sigma v v o t \delta a$, to know together with one, "his wife also knowing it together with him."

Brought a certain part ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma \tau)$. Aorist active participle of $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, for a definite act. The praise of Joseph was too much for Ananias, but he was not willing to turn over all. He wanted praise for giving all and yet he took care of himself by keeping some. Thus he started the Ananias Club that gave a new meaning to his lovely name (God is gracious).

Acts 5:3
Filled $(\varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \varepsilon v)$. The very verb used of the filling by the Holy Spirit (4:31). Satan the adversary is the father of lies (Joh 8:44). He had entered into Judas (Lu 22:3; Joh 13:27 ) and now he has filled the heart of Ananias with a lie.

To lie to the Holy Spirit ( $\psi \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \tau о \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ тo $\alpha \gamma \iota \circ v$ ). Infinitive (aorist middle) of purpose with accusative of general reference ( $\sigma \varepsilon$ ) and the accusative of the person (object) as often in Greek writers, though here only in the N.T. with this verb. Usual dative of the person in verse 4 ( $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ оı, men, $\tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$, God). The Holy Spirit had been given them to guide them into truth (Joh 15:13).

## Acts 5:4

Whiles it remained ( $\mu \varepsilon \nu \circ v$ ). Present active participle of mend, unsold, Peter means.
After it was sold ( $\pi \rho \alpha \theta \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist passive of $\pi \iota \pi \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega$, to sell.
How is that thou hast conceived (T o七 $\varepsilon$ Өov). Quid est quod. See Lu 2:49. See also Ac 5:9. Second aorist middle indicative second person singular of $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$. The devil filled his heart (verse 3), but all the same Ananias did it too and is wholly responsible.

Acts 5:5
Hearing $(\alpha \kappa о v \omega v)$. Present active participle of $\alpha \kappa о v \omega$, while hearing.
Fell down $(\pi \varepsilon \sigma \omega \nu)$. Second aorist active participle of $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, fell all of a sudden while listening.

Gave up the ghost ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \psi v \xi \varepsilon v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \psi v \chi \omega$, late verb in LXX and Hippocrates, to breathe out, to expire. In the N.T. only here, verse $10 ; 12: 23$. It is needless to blame Peter for the death of Ananias. He had brought the end upon himself. It was the judgment of God. Physically the nervous shock could have caused the collapse.

## Acts 5:6

The young men (o $v \varepsilon \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho o$ ). Literally the younger men (contrast with o $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ$, the elder men). Same as veavioko in verse 10 and so no order in the young church. Perhaps these young men were acting as ushers or actual pallbearers.

Wrapped him round ( $\sigma u v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\sigma v \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, old verb, to draw together, or contract (1Co 7:29) , to roll together, to wrap with bandages, to enshroud as here. Nowhere else in the N.T. Frequent in medical writers. They may have used their own mantles. The time for burial was short in Jerusalem for sanitary reasons and to avoid ceremonial defilement.

Acts 5:7
And it was about the space of three hours after ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \circ \delta \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \omega \rho \omega \nu \tau \rho \omega \omega \nu \delta \Delta \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha)$. Literally "Now there came an interval ( $\delta$ ıaб $\tau \eta \mu$, distance, space between) of about ( $\omega \varsigma$ ) three hours."

When ( $\kappa \alpha$ ). This use of $\kappa \alpha$ after $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau o$ is characteristic of Luke's style in the Gospel.
Not knowing ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta v i \alpha)$. Feminine singular of second perfect active participle of ot $\delta \alpha$. $\mathrm{M} \eta$ usual negative of the participle in the Koine.

Acts 5:8
For so much ( $\tau 0 \sigma 0$ tov). Genitive of price. Perhaps Peter pointed to the pile of money at the feet of the apostles (verse 2). The use of $\varepsilon$ in direct questions appears in Luke (Lu 13:23; $22: 49$ ) as in the LXX like the Hebrew im and in Ac 1:6; 19:2, etc.

Acts 5:9
Ye have agreed together ( $\sigma v \nu \varepsilon \varphi \omega v \eta \theta \eta \nu \mu \nu \nu$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\sigma \nu \mu \varphi \omega \nu \varepsilon \omega$ (to voice together, symphony), impersonal with dative; It was agreed together by you (or for you). "Your souls were allured together respecting this deceit" (Vincent).

To tempt the Spirit of the Lord ( $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \sigma \alpha$ то $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ кvрıо). Like "Thou shalt not tempt the Lord thy God." It was close to the unpardonable sin which was attributing the manifest work of the Holy Spirit to Beelzebub.

The feet (o $\pi \mathbf{\pi} \delta \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Graphic picture by Peter as he heard the steps of the young men at the door.

Acts 5:10
Immediately ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha)$. Hence her death was regarded as supernatural like that of Ananias.

By her husband ( $\pi \rho o \varsigma ~ \tau o v a v \delta \rho \alpha \alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma$ ). Face to face to her husband.
Acts 5:11
Upon the whole church ( $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime}$ o $\lambda \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \nu$ ). Here $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ for the first time in Acts of the believers in Jerusalem. Twice already in the Gospels, once of the whole body of believers or the Kingdom (Mt 16:18) , the other of the local body (Mt 18:17). In Ac 7:38 it is used of the whole congregation of Israel while in 19:32 it is used of a public assembly in

Ephesus. But already in Ac 8:3 it is applied to the church which Saul was persecuting in their homes when not assembled. So here the etymological meaning of "assembly" disappears for "the church" were now the scattered saints hiding in their separate homes. The whole body of believers in Jerusalem and all who heard of the fate of Ananias and Sapphira (beautiful, her name means) were in awe and dread. It was already a dangerous thing to be a follower of Christ unless one was willing to walk straight.

Acts 5:12
Were wrought ( $\varepsilon \gamma \iota v \varepsilon \tau o$ ). Imperfect middle, wrought from time to time.
With one accord ( $o \mu \circ \theta v \mu \alpha \delta o v$ ). As already in $1: 14 ; 2: 46 ; 4: 24$ and later 7:57; 8:6; 12:20; $15: 25 ; 18: 21 ; 19: 29$, old adverb and only in Acts in the N.T. Here "all" is added. In Solomon's Porch again as in 3:11 which see.

Acts 5:13
Durst $(\varepsilon \tau \sigma \lambda \mu \alpha)$. Imperfect active of $\tau 0 \lambda \mu \alpha \omega$, old verb, not to fear or shun through fear, boldly to take a stand. The fate of Ananias and Sapphira continued to hold many in check.

Join ( $\kappa о \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Present middle infinitive of $\kappa о \lambda \lambda \alpha \omega$, old verb to cleave to like glue as in $\operatorname{Lu} 15: 15$ which see. Seven times in Acts $(9: 26 ; 10: 28 ; 17: 34)$. The outsiders (the rest) preferred, many of them, to remain outside for the present, especially the rulers.

Howbeit the people ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}-$-o $\left.\lambda \alpha o \varsigma\right)$. Probably individuals among the people, the populace as distinct from the rulers and hostile outsiders.

Acts 5:14
Were the more added ( $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v \pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon \tau \iota \varepsilon v \tau \tau$ ). Rather ( $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$ ) instead of decrease as one might expect. Imperfect passive indicative of $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$ common $\mu$ verb, kept on being added.

Both of men and women ( $\alpha \nu \delta \rho \omega \nu \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \gamma \nu v \alpha \_\kappa \omega \nu$ ). The distinction between $\alpha \nu \delta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$ and $\gamma$ ขvaıкєऽ and to be considered in connection with $\alpha \nu \delta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$ in $4: 4$ which see.

Acts 5:15
Insomuch that $(\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon)$. With the present infinitive $\varepsilon \kappa \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \iota v$ and $\tau \iota \theta \varepsilon v \alpha$, regular Greek idiom for result.

Into the streets ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \tau \propto \varsigma \pi \lambda \alpha \tau \varepsilon ı \alpha \varsigma)$. Supply oסovৎ (ways), into the broad ways.
On beds and couches ( $\varepsilon \pi \kappa \lambda \iota v \alpha \rho \iota \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha \beta \alpha \tau \tau \omega \nu$ ). Little beds ( $\kappa \lambda \iota \nu \alpha \rho \iota \alpha$ diminutive of $\kappa \lambda \iota \nu \eta$ ) and camp beds or pallets (see on Mr 2:4,9,11).

As Peter came by ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v ~ \Pi \varepsilon \tau \rho o v)$. Genitive absolute with present middle participle.
At the least his shadow might overshadow ( $\kappa \alpha \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \iota \alpha \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \iota \alpha \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Future active indicative with $I v \alpha$ (common with $o \pi \omega \varsigma$ in ancient Greek) and $\kappa \alpha v$ (crasis for $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \alpha v=e v e n$ if), even if only the shadow. The word for shadow ( $\sigma \kappa \iota \alpha$, like our "sky") is repeated in the verb and preserved in our "overshadow." There was, of course, no virtue or power in Peter's shadow. That was faith with superstition, of course, just as similar cases in the Gospels occur (Mt 9:20; Mr 6:56; Joh 9:5) and the use of Paul's handkerchief (Ac 19:12 ). God honours
even superstitious faith if it is real faith in him. Few people are wholly devoid of superstition.
Acts 5:16
Came together ( $\sigma u v \eta \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \sigma$ ). Imperfect middle, kept on coming.
Round about ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \xi)$. Old adverb, strengthened form of $\pi \varepsilon \rho$, only here in the N.T.
Vexed (ox $\lambda o u \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma)$. Present passive participle of ox $\lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to excite a mob (ox $\bar{\circ} \varsigma$ ) against one, to trouble, annoy. Old word, only here in the N.T., though $\varepsilon v o \chi \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ in $\operatorname{Lu}$ 6:18

Were healed every one ( $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon v o v \tau 0 ~ \alpha \pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Imperfect passive, were healed one at a time, repetition.

Acts 5:17
Which is the sect of the Sadducees ( $\eta$ ov $\alpha \alpha$ aı $\rho \varepsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma ~ \tau \omega v ~ \sum \alpha \delta \delta o u \kappa \alpha \iota \omega v$ ). Literally, "the existing sect of the Sadducees" or "the sect which is of the Sadducees," $\eta$ being the article, not the relative. Haıpعбıц means a choosing, from aı $\rho \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha$, to take for oneself, to choose, then an opinion chosen or tenet (possibly 2Pe 2:1), then parties or factions (Gal 5:20; 1Co 11:19 ; possibly 2Pe 2:1 ). It is applied here to the Sadducees; to the Pharisees in Ac 15:5; 26:5; to the Christians in 24:5-14; 28:22 . Already Luke has stated that the Sadducees started the persecution of Peter and John (Ac 4:1f. ). Now it is extended to "the apostles" as a whole since Christianity has spread more rapidly in Jerusalem than before it began.

Acts 5:18
With jealousy ( $\zeta \eta \lambda o v$ ). Genitive case. Old word from zeo, to boil, our zeal. In itself it means only warmth, ardour, zeal, but for a bad cause or from a bad motive, jealousy, envy, rivalry results (Ac 13:45). Common in the epistles.

In public ward ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon \delta \eta \mu \circ \sigma \iota \alpha$ ). As in $4: 3$ only with $\delta \eta \mu \circ \sigma ı \alpha$ (public) added, in the public prison, perhaps not the "common" prison, but any prison is bad enough. In verse 19 it is called "the prison" ( тๆऽ 甲u入акๆऽ), the guardhouse.

Acts 5:20
And stand ( $\kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist passive participle (intransitive, ingressive aorist), take a stand. Bold and pictorial command.

All the words of this life ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma \tau \alpha \nu \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Not just a Hebraism for "all these words of life." Probably "this life" which the Sadducees deny and of which the angel is now speaking, this eternal life. (Joh 6:63,68; 1Co 15:19).

Acts 5:21
About daybreak (v $\tau \boldsymbol{\pi}$ тоv o $\rho \theta \rho o v$ ). From o $\rho v v \mu$, to stir up, to arouse, so the dawn ( Lu 24:1; Joh 8:2 ). Old word, but in the N.T. only these three passages. "Under the dawn" or "about dawn." Sub lucem. The temple doors would be open for early worshippers and traffickers (Joh 2:14).

Taught ( $\varepsilon \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa o v)$. Imperfect active, began to teach.
The council ( $\tau 0 ~ \sigma \cup v \varepsilon \delta \rho ı v)$. The Sanhedrin.

The senate ( $\tau \eta \nu \gamma \varepsilon \rho \circ v \sigma \iota \alpha v$ ). From $\gamma \varepsilon \rho \omega \nu$, an old man, just as the Latin senatus is from senex, old. Like the $\gamma \varepsilon \rho o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ in Homer and the Elder Statesmen in Japan. Apparently the senate of the people were also part of the Sanhedrin and the use of "and" ( $\kappa \alpha$ ) is explanatory and adds this item in particular. Page thinks that this group of elders were not members of the Sanhedrin at all.

To the prison house ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau 0 \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota v$ ), another word for prison ( $\tau \eta \rho \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma \delta \eta \circ \sigma \iota \alpha$ in verse $18, \eta \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta$ in verse 19). See also verses $22,23,25$. This from $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma$, bond, and $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to keep, place where bound men are kept.

Acts 5:22
The officers (o v $\quad \eta \rho \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Under-rowers, literally (Mt 5:25 ). The servants or officers who executed the orders of the Sanhedrin.

Shut ( $\kappa \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. Perfect passive participle of $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \iota \omega$. Shut tight.
Standing at the doors ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \tau \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \nu \theta v \rho \omega v)$. Graphic picture of the sentinels at the prison doors.

Acts 5:24
They were much perplexed ( $\delta i \eta \pi \sigma \rho o v v$ ). Imperfect active of $\delta \iota \alpha \pi o \rho \varepsilon \omega$ old verb by Luke only in the N.T. See already on Ac 2:12. They continued puzzled.

Whereunto this would grow ( $\tau \alpha v \gamma \varepsilon v o u \tau o ~ \tau o v \tau o$ ). More exactly,
As to what this would become. Second aorist middle optative of $\gamma, v o \mu \alpha$ with $\alpha v$, the conclusion of a condition of the fourth class (undetermined with less likelihood of determination), the unexpressed condition being "if the thing should be allowed to go on." The indirect question simply retains the optative with $\alpha v$ (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 1021, 1044). If they had only known how this grain of mustard seed would grow into the greatest tree on earth and how dwarfed the tree of Judaism would be beside it!

Acts 5:26
Brought ( $\eta \gamma \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active of $\alpha \gamma \omega$, was bringing (leading), slowly no doubt, and solemnly.

But without violence (ov $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta$ ı $\alpha \varsigma$ ). Literally, not with violence.
For they feared ( $\varepsilon \varphi \circ \beta$ оuvto $\gamma \alpha \rho$ ). Imperfect middle, still feared, kept on fearing.
Lest they be stoned ( $\mu \eta \lambda_{\imath} \theta a \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). Negative purpose with $\mu \eta$ (like $\imath \alpha \mu \eta$ ), probably with "not with violence," though possible with "they feared." They handled the apostles gently for fear of being stoned themselves by the people. First aorist passive subjunctive of $\lambda_{\imath} \theta a \zeta \omega$ (from $\lambda_{\imath} \theta$ oヶ, stone), old verb to pelt with stones (Ac 14:19; Joh 10:31-33 ).

Acts 5:27
They set them $(\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. First aorist active indicative (transitive) of $ו \sigma \tau \eta \mu$.
Acts 5:28
We straitly charged (Параүүع $\lambda_{ı} \alpha \pi \rho \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \iota \lambda \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ). Like the Hebrew idiom (common in the LXX), though found in Greek, with charging (instrumental case) we charged (cf. same
idiom in $\operatorname{Lu} 22: 15$ ). Somewhat like the cognate accusative. The command referred to occurs in Ac 4:17,18 and the refusal of Peter and John in 4:20.

To bring upon us ( $\left.\varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon เ v \varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma\right)$. Note repetition of $\varepsilon \pi$. Second aorist active infinitive of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \omega$, old verb, but in the N.T. only here and $2 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 1,5$. The Sanhedrin gladly took the blood of Christ on their heads and their children to Pilate (Mt 27:25). Paul tried
 and refusal to call the name of Jesus as in the Talmud later.

Acts 5:29
We must ( $\delta \varepsilon$ ). Moral necessity left them no choice. They stood precisely where Peter and John were when before the Sanhedrin before (Ac 4:20).

Obey ( $\pi \varepsilon \bullet \theta \alpha \rho \chi \varepsilon ı v$ ). Old verb from $\pi \varepsilon \forall \theta o \mu \alpha$ and $\alpha \rho \chi \eta$, to obey a ruler. Only by Luke and Paul in the N.T.

Acts 5:30
Ye slew ( $\delta เ \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \iota \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). First aorist middle indicative of $\delta \iota \alpha \chi \varepsilon ı \rho!\zeta о \mu \alpha$, old verb from $\delta \iota \alpha$ and $\chi \varepsilon \rho($ hand), to take in hand, manage, to lay hands on, manhandle, kill. In the N.T. only here and Ac 26:21 .

Hanging him upon a tree ( $\kappa \rho \varepsilon \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \xi \cup \lambda o v)$. First aorist active participle of $\kappa \rho \varepsilon \mu \alpha v v v \mu$ ( $\kappa \rho \varepsilon \mu \alpha v v v \omega$ seen already in Mt 18:6 and Lu 23:39). Peter refers to De 21:23 as Paul does in $\mathrm{Ga} 3: 13$, the curse pronounced on every one who "hangs upon a tree."

Acts 5:31
Exalt ( $v \psi \omega \sigma \varepsilon v)$ In contrast to their murder of Christ as in 2:23f. Peter repeats his charges with increased boldness.

With his right hand ( $\tau \eta \delta \varepsilon \xi \iota \alpha$ av $\tau 0 v$ ). So instrumental case, or at his right hand (locative case), or even "to his right hand" (dative case) as in 2:33.

Prince and Saviour ( $\alpha \rho \chi \eta \gamma \circ v$ ка $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \alpha$ ). See on 3:15. Clearly "Prince" here.
To give ( $\tau 0 v \delta o u v \alpha$ ). Genitive of articular infinitive (second aorist active of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ ) of purpose.

Acts 5:32
We are witnesses ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \varsigma)$. As in 2:32.
Things ( $\rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). Literally, sayings, but like the Hebrew dabhar for "word" it is here used for "things."

And so is the Holy Ghost ( $\kappa \alpha$ то $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ тo $\alpha \gamma \iota \sim v$ ). The word for "is" ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ) is not in the Greek, but this is plainly the meaning. Peter claims the witness of the Holy Spirit to the raising of Jesus Christ, God's Son, by the Father.

Acts 5:33
Were cut to the heart ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \pi \rho \iota \rho \tau \tau)$. Imperfect passive of $\delta ı \alpha \pi \rho \iota \omega$ old verb ( $\delta \iota \alpha, \pi \rho \iota \omega$ ), to saw in two ( $\delta$ ta $)$, to cut in two (to the heart). Here it is rage that cuts into their hearts,
not conviction of sin as in Ac 2:37. Only here and Ac 7:54 (after Stephen's speech) in the N.T. (cf. Simeon's prophecy in Lu 2:35 ).

Were minded ( $\varepsilon \beta$ ou ${ }^{\prime}$ ovto). Imperfect middle of $\beta$ ou $\lambda o \mu \alpha$. They were plotting and planning to kill ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tau v$, as in Ac 2:23; Lu 23:33 which see) then and there. The point in 4:7 was whether the apostles deserved stoning for curing the cripple by demoniacal power, but here it was disobedience to the command of the Sanhedrin which was not a capital offence. "They were on the point of committing a grave judicial blunder" (Furneaux).

Acts 5:34
Gamaliel ( $\Gamma \alpha \mu \alpha \lambda ı \eta \lambda$ ). The grandson of Hillel, teacher of Paul (Ac 22:3), later president of the Sanhedrin, and the first of the seven rabbis termed "Rabban." It is held by some that he was one of the doctors who heard the Boy Jesus in the temple ( $\operatorname{Lu} 2: 47$ ) and that he was a secret disciple like Joseph of Arimathea and Nicodemus, but there is no evidence of either position. Besides, he appears here as a loyal Pharisee and "a doctor of the law"
 criticizing Jesus, which see. Paul uses it of Judaizing Christians (1Ti 1:7). Like other great rabbis he had a great saying: "Procure thyself a teacher, avoid being in doubt; and do not accustom thyself to give tithes by guess." He was a man of judicial temper and not prone to go off at a tangent, though his brilliant young pupil Saul went to the limit about Stephen without any restraint on the part of Gamaliel so far as the record goes. Gamaliel champions the cause of the apostles as a Pharisee to score a point against the Sadducees. He acts as a theological opportunist, not as a disciple of Christ. He felt that a temporizing policy was best. There are difficulties in this speech of Gamaliel and it is not clear how Luke obtained the data for the address. It is, of course, possible that Saul was present and made notes of it for Luke afterwards.

Had in honour of all the people ( $\tau \iota \mu \circ \varsigma \pi \alpha \nu \tau \tau \omega \lambda \alpha \omega$ ). Ethical dative. Tı $\mu \circ \varsigma$ from $\tau \iota \mu \eta$, old word meaning precious, dear.

The men ( $\tau$ ov¢ $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ тоия). Correct text as in verse 35 , not "the apostles" as Textus Receptus.

Acts 5:35
Take heed ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau o \iota s)$. Hold your mind (vouv, unexpressed) for or on yourselves (dative case), the usual idiom.

Acts 5:36
Theudas ( $\Theta \varepsilon v \delta \alpha \varsigma)$. Luke represents Gamaliel here about A.D. 35 as speaking of a man who led a revolt before that of Judas the Galilean in connection with the enrolment under Quirinius (Cyrenius) in A.D. 6. But Josephus (Ant. XX. 5, 1) tells of a Theudas who led a similar insurrection in the reign of Claudius about A.D. 44 or 45. Josephus (Ant. XVIII. 1, 6; XX. 5, 2; War ii. 8, 1 and 17, 8) also describes Judas the Galilean or Gaulonite and places him about A.D. 6. It is not certain that Josephus and Luke (Gamaliel) refer to the same

Theudas as the name is an abbreviation of Theodosus, a common name. "Josephus gives an account of four men named Simon who followed each other within forty years, and of three named Judas within ten years, who were all instigators of rebellion" (Hackett). If the same Theudas is meant, then either Josephus or Luke (Gamaliel) has the wrong historical order. In that case one will credit Luke or Josephus according to his estimate of the two as reliable historians.

To be somebody ( $\varepsilon \wedge v \alpha \tau \imath v \alpha$ ). Indirect assertion with the infinitive and the accusative of general reference ( $\varepsilon a v \tau o v)$ and tiva, predicate accusative. Tiva could be "anybody" or "somebody" according to context, clearly "somebody" of importance here.

Joined themselves ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \kappa \lambda ı \eta \eta$ ). Correct text and not $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \kappa о \lambda \lambda \eta \theta \eta$ (Textus Receptus). First aorist passive indicative of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \lambda \iota \nu \omega$, old verb to lean towards, to incline towards. Here only in the N.T.

Was slain ( $\alpha v \eta\llcorner\rho \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive of $\alpha v a \iota \rho \varepsilon \omega$ (cf. verse 33).
Obeyed ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon ו \theta$ ovto). Imperfect middle, kept on obeying.
Were dispersed ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \lambda \nu \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ ). First aorist passive indicative (effective aorist) of $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \nu \omega$, old verb to dissolve, to go to pieces. Here only in the N.T.

Acts 5:37
Of the enrolment ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \pi о \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta \varsigma$ ). Described by Josephus (Ant. XV. 1, 1). The same word used by Luke of the first enrolment started by Augustus B.C. 8 to 6 (Lu 2:2 ). See the discussion on Lu 2:2. This is the second enrolment in the fourteen year cycle carried on for centuries as shown by numerous dated papyri. Ramsay (The Bearing of Recent Discovery on the Trustworthiness of the N.T.) has produced proof from inscriptions that Quirinius was twice in Syria as Luke reports (Robertson, Luke the Historian in the Light of Research).

Drew away ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Causative sense of the first aorist active indicative of $\alpha \varphi / \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, made people ( $\lambda \alpha 0 v$, no need of "some of the") to revolt (apostatize) with him.

He also (какєıvoৎ, crasis for ка єкєıvo¢). That one, also.
Were scattered abroad ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \rho \pi \_\sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist (effective) passive indicative of $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \kappa о \rho \pi \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb to disperse. Used of sheep (Mr 14:27), of property (Lu 15:13). Aorist here after imperfect ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$ Өоvто) as in verse 36.

Acts 5:38
Refrain from ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon \alpha \pi o$ ). Second aorist (ingressive) active imperative of $\alpha \varphi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ of verse 37. Do ye stand off from these men. "Hands off" was the policy of Gamaliel.

For if--be (o $\tau \varepsilon \alpha v--\eta$ ). Ho $\tau$ gives the reason for the advice. Gamaliel presents two alternatives in terms of two conditional clauses. The first one is stated as a condition of the third class, $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ with the present subjunctive $\eta$, undetermined with prospect of determination. Assuming that it is from men, "it will be overthrown" (ката入vӨŋб\&та, first future passive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \nu \omega$, to loosen down like a falling house) as was true of the following of Theudas and Judas the Galilean.

## Acts 5:39

But if it is of God ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \kappa \theta \varepsilon o v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). The second alternative is a condition of the first class, determined as fulfilled, $\varepsilon$ with the present indicative. By the use of this idiom Gamaliel does put the case more strongly in favor of the apostles than against them. This condition assumes that the thing is so without affirming it to be true. On the basis of this alternative Gamaliel warns the Sanhedrin that they cannot "overthrow" ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \nu \sigma \alpha$ ) these men for they in that case must "overthrow" God,
lest haply ye be found ( $\mu \eta \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon--v \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$, negative purpose with first aorist passive subjunctive)
even to be fighting against God ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon о \mu \alpha \chi о$, late adjective from $\theta \varepsilon \circ \varsigma$ and $\mu \alpha \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, in LXX and here only in the N.T.).

Acts 5:40
To him they agreed $(\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha v \tau \omega)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\pi \varepsilon \iota \theta \omega$, to persuade, the passive to be persuaded by, to listen to, to obey. Gamaliel's shrewd advice scored as against the Sadducaic contention (verse 17).

Not to speak $(\mu \eta \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota v)$. The Sanhedrin repeated the prohibition of $4: 18$ which the apostles had steadily refused to obey. The Sanhedrin stood by their guns, but refused to shoot. It was a "draw" with Gamaliel as tactical victor over the Sadducees. Clearly now the disciples were set free because only the Sadducees had become enraged while the Pharisees held aloof.

Acts 5:41
They therefore (o $\mu \varepsilon v o v v$ ). No answering $\delta \varepsilon$.
They were counted worthy to suffer dishonour for the Name ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \xi \iota \omega \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ $\tau 0 v$ ovo $\mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ \alpha \tau \mu \alpha \sigma \theta \eta v \alpha)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \xi \iota \omega$, old verb to count worthy. Three times in N.T. (Lu 20:35; Ac 5:41; 2Th 1:5 ). First aorist passive infinitive of $\alpha \tau \iota \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb to make one dishonoured ( $\alpha \tau \iota \mu \circ \varsigma)$. Forms here an oxymoron (o $\zeta v \varsigma$, sharp, $\mu о \rho о \varsigma$, foolish) pointedly foolish saying "which is witty or impressive through sheer contradiction or paradox as laborious idleness, sublime indifference" (Vincent). The apostles felt honoured by dishonour. Note the same use of "the Name" as in Jas 2:7; 3Jo 1:7. With the Jews this absolute use of "the Name" meant Jehovah. The Christians now apply it to Jesus.

Acts 5:42
Every day ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha v \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha v$ ). Accusative of extent of time, all through every day.
In the temple and at home ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \iota \rho \omega \kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ оєкоv). This was a distinct triumph to go back to the temple where they had been arrested (verse 25) and at home or from house to house, as it probably means (cf. 2:46). It was a great day for the disciples in Jerusalem.

They ceased not (ovк $\varepsilon \pi \alpha v o v \tau o$ ). Imperfect middle. They kept it up.
Jesus as the Christ ( $\tau 0 v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o v$ I $\eta \sigma o v v$ ). Jesus is the direct object of the participles $\delta_{ı} \delta a \sigma \kappa о v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ (teaching) and $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v o$ (preaching or evangelizing) while "the Christ"
 apostolic preaching as these opening chapters of Acts show, that Jesus of Nazareth is the Messiah of promise. Gamaliel had opened the prison doors for them and they took full advantage of the opportunity that now was theirs.

## Acts 6

Acts 6:1
When the number of the disciples was multiplying ( $\pi \lambda \eta \theta v v o v \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute of $\pi \lambda \eta \theta u v \omega$, old verb from $\pi \lambda \eta \theta$ oc, fulness, to increase. The new freedom from the intercession of Gamaliel was bearing rich fruit.

A murmuring of the Grecian Jews ( $\gamma \circ \gamma \gamma \nu \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma \tau \omega v \mathrm{H} \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \nu I \sigma \tau \omega v$ ). Late onomatopoetic word (LXX) from the late verb $\gamma \circ \gamma \gamma \cup \zeta \omega$, to mutter, to murmur. The substantive occurs also in Joh 7:12; Php 2:14; 1Pe 4:9. It is the secret grumblings that buzz away till they are heard. These "Grecian Jews" or Hellenists are members of the church in Jerusalem who are Jews from outside of Palestine like Barnabas from Cyprus. These Hellenists had points of contact with the Gentile world without having gone over to the habits of the Gentiles, the Jews of the Western Dispersion. They spoke Greek.

Against the Hebrews ( $\pi \rho \circ$ os tov $E ß \rho a \iota o v \varsigma)$. The Jewish Christians from Jerusalem and Palestine. The Aramaean Jews of the Eastern Dispersion are usually classed with the Hebrew (speaking Aramaic) as distinct from the Grecian Jews or Hellenists.

Were neglected ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \omega \rho 0 \cup v \tau 0)$. Imperfect passive of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to examine things placed beside ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ) each other, to look beyond ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ also), to overlook, to neglect. Here only in the N.T. These widows may receive daily ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \nu \eta$, late adjective from $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha v$, only here in the N.T.) help from the common fund provided for all who need it (Ac 4:32-37). The temple funds for widows were probably not available for those who have now become Christians. Though they were all Christians here concerned, yet the same line of cleavage existed as among the other Jews (Hebrew or Aramaean Jews and Hellenists). It is not here said that the murmuring arose among the widows, but because of them. Women and money occasion the first serious disturbance in the church life. There was evident sensitiveness that called for wisdom.

Acts 6:2
The multitude ( $\tau 0 \pi \lambda \eta \theta$ oऽ). The whole church, not just the 120 .
Fit ( $\alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \sigma v$ ). Pleasing, verbal adjective from $\alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \omega$, to please, old word, but in the N.T. only here and Ac 12:3; Joh 8:29; 1Jo 3:22 . Non placet.

Should forsake ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı \psi \alpha \tau \tau \varsigma)$. Late first aorist active participle for usual second aorist ката入ıлоv $\alpha \alpha$ from ката入 $\varepsilon \iota \pi \omega$, to leave behind.
 ( $\delta ı \alpha$ and kovıऽ, dust), to raise a dust in a hurry, to serve, to minister either at table (Joh 12:20 ), or other service (Joh 12:25f. ), to serve as deacon (1Ti 3:10,13). "Tables" here hardly means money-tables as in Joh 2:15, but rather the tables used in the common daily distribution of the food (possibly including the love-feasts, Ac 2:43-47). This word is the same root as

frequently used in the N.T. of ministers (preachers) than of deacons, but it is quite possible, even probable, that the office of deacon as separate from bishop or elder grew out of this incident in Ac 6:1-7. Furneaux is clear that these "seven" are not to be identified with the later "deacons" but why he does not make clear.

Acts 6:3
Of good report ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho о \cup \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma$ ). Present passive participle of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to bear witness to. Men with a good reputation as well as with spiritual gifts (the Holy Spirit and wisdom).

We may appoint ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma о \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Future active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, we shall appoint. The action of the apostles follows the choice by the church, but it is promised as a certainty, not as a possibility. The Textus Receptus has a first aorist active subjunctive here (катабт $\quad \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ).

Acts 6:4
But we ( $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon ા \varsigma \delta \varepsilon$ ). In contrast to the work given the seven.
 in verse 1 , but here about preaching as the special ministry with which the apostles were concerned. For "continue steadfastly" ( $\pi \rho о \sigma к а \rho \tau \varepsilon \rho \eta \sigma о \mu \varepsilon v$ ) see on 2:42.

Acts 6:5
Pleased ( $\eta \rho \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Aorist active indicative of $\alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \omega$ like Latin placuit when a vote was taken. The use of $\varepsilon v \omega \pi \iota \circ v$ before "the whole multitude" is like the LXX.

They chose ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \nu \tau 0)$. First aorist middle indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, to pick out for oneself. Each one of the seven has a Greek name and was undoubtedly a Hellenist, not an Aramaean Jew. Consummate wisdom is here displayed for the murmuring had come from the Hellenists, seven of whom were chosen to take proper care of the widows of Hellenists. This trouble was settled to stay settled so far as we know. Nothing is here told of any of the seven except Stephen who is "a man full of faith and the Holy Spirit" and Nicolas "a proselyte of Antioch" (who was not then born a Jew, but had come to the Jews from the Greek world).

Acts 6:6
They laid their hands on them ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon Ө \eta \kappa \alpha \nu \alpha v \tau о \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha \varsigma \chi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \theta \eta \mu$. Probably by the apostles who ratified the choice (verse 3). The laying on of hands "was a symbol of the impartation of the gifts and graces which they needed to qualify them for the office. It was of the nature of a prayer that God would bestow the necessary gifts, rather than a pledge that they were actually conferred" (Hackett).

Acts 6:7
Increased ( $\eta \cup \xi \alpha v \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active, kept on growing all the more because the apostles were now relieved from the daily ministration of the food.

Multiplied ( $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \theta$ vveto). Imperfect passive. The two imperfects kept pace with each other.

Of the priests ( $\tau \omega v{ }_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \rho \omega v$ ). Who were usually Sadducees. It was a sad day for Annas and Caiaphas and all the sect of the Sadducees ( $5: 17$ ).

Were obedient to (vлףкоvov). Imperfect active of vлакоv $\omega$, repetition, one after another.

The faith ( $\tau \eta \pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). Here meaning the gospel, the faith system as in Ro 1:5; Ga 1:23; Jude 1:3 , etc. Here the word means more than individual trust in Christ.

Acts 6:8
Wrought ( $\varepsilon \pi 0 เ \varepsilon)$. Imperfect active, repeatedly wrought. Evidently a man like Stephen would not confine his "ministry" to "serving tables." He was called in verse 5 "full of faith and the Holy Spirit." Here he is termed "full of grace (so the best MSS., not faith) and power." The four words give a picture of remarkable attractiveness. The grace of God gave him the power and so "he kept on doing great wonders and signs among the people." He was a sudden whirlwind of power in the very realm of Peter and John and the rest.

Acts 6:9
The synagogue of the Libertines ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \sigma \nu v \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \eta \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \varsigma \Lambda \perp \beta \varepsilon \rho \tau \iota v \omega v$ ). The Libertines (Latin libertinus, a freedman or the son of a freedman) were Jews, once slaves of Rome (perhaps descendants of the Jews taken to Rome as captives by Pompey), now set free and settled in Jerusalem and numerous enough to have a synagogue of their own. Schuerer calls a Talmudic myth the statement that there were 480 synagogues in Jerusalem. There were many, no doubt, but how many no one knows. These places of worship and study were in all the cities of the later times where there were Jews enough to maintain one. Apparently Luke here speaks of five such synagogues in Jerusalem (that of the Libertines, of the Cyrenians, of the Alexandrians, of Cilicia, and of Asia). There probably were enough Hellenists in Jerusalem to have five such synagogues. But the language of Luke is not clear on this point. He may make only two groups instead of five since he uses the article $\tau \omega v$ twice
 Aбıa¢). He also changes from the genitive plural to $\alpha \pi$ o before Cilicia and Asia. But, leaving the number of the synagogues unsettled whether five or two, it is certain that in each one where Stephen appeared as a Hellenist preaching Jesus as the Messiah he met opposition. Certain of them "arose" ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ) "stood up" after they had stood all that they could from Stephen, "disputing with Stephen" ( $\sigma v \vee \zeta \eta \tau 0 \nu v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \omega \Sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha v \omega)$. Present active participle of $\sigma 0 \vee \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \omega$, to question together as the two on the way to Emmaus did (Lu 24:15). Such interruptions were common with Jews. They give a skilled speaker great opportunity for reply if he is quick in repartee. Evidently Stephen was fully equipped for the emergency. One of their synagogues had men from Cilicia in it, making it practically certain that young Saul of Tarsus, the brilliant student of Gamaliel, was present and tried his wits with Stephen. His ignominious defeat may be one explanation of his zest in the stoning of Stephen (Ac 8:1).

Acts 6:10

They were not able to withstand (ovk $\sigma \chi \chi v o v \alpha v \tau ı \sigma \tau \eta v \alpha$ ). Imperfect active of $\sigma \chi \chi \omega$, to have strength, and ingressive second aorist active (intransitive) infinitive of $\alpha \nu \theta \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$. They continued unable (without strength enough) to take a stand against. Stephen knocked them down, Saul included, as fast as they got up. Stephen was like a battery charged and in action.

The wisdom and spirit ( $\tau \eta \sigma о \varphi ı \alpha \kappa \alpha \pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha$ ). Dative case. They stood up against Stephen's wisdom and the Holy Spirit "by whom he spoke" ( $\omega \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Instrumental case and the relative agrees with "Spirit." He kept on speaking so ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$, imperfect active). It was a desperate situation.

Acts 6:11
Then they suborned men ( $\tau \circ \tau \varepsilon v \pi \varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda o v \alpha v \delta \rho a \varsigma)$. Second aorist active indicative of $v \pi \circ \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, old verb, but here only in the N.T., to put under like a carpet, to bring men under one's control by suggestion or by money. One recalls the plight of Caiaphas in the trial of Jesus when he sought false witnesses. Subornaverunt. They put these men forward in an underhand way for fraud.
 The punishment for blasphemy was stoning to death. See Mt 12:31 for discussion of the word $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \alpha, \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon \omega, \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \circ \varsigma$, all in the N.T. from $\beta \lambda \alpha \pi \tau \omega$, to harm, and $\varphi \eta \mu \eta$, speech, harmful speech, or $\beta \lambda \alpha \xi$, stupid, and $\varphi \eta \mu \eta$. But the charge against Stephen was untrue. Please note that Moses is here placed before God and practically on a par with God in the matter of blasphemy. The purpose of this charge is to stir the prejudices of the people in the matter of Jewish rights and privileges. It is the Pharisees who are conducting this attack on Stephen while the Sadducees had led them against Peter and John. The position of Stephen is critical in the extreme for the Sadducees will not help him as Gamaliel did the apostles.

Acts 6:12
They stirred up the people ( $\sigma 0 v \varepsilon \kappa เ v \eta \sigma \alpha v$ tov $\lambda \alpha o v$ ). They shook the people together like an earthquake. First aorist active indicative of $\sigma v v \kappa ı v \varepsilon \omega$, to throw into commotion. Old verb, but here only in the N.T. The elders and the scribes (Pharisees) are reached, but no word about the Sadducees. This is the first record of the hostility of the masses against the disciples (Vincent).

Came upon him ( $\varepsilon \pi เ \sigma \tau \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma) . ~ S e c o n d ~ a o r i s t ~(i n g r e s s i v e) ~ a c t i v e ~ p a r t i c i p l e ~ o f ~ \varepsilon \varphi ı \sigma \tau \eta \mu . ~$ Rushed at him.

Seized ( $\sigma \cup v \eta \rho \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha v)$. Effective aorist active of $\sigma \cup v \alpha \rho \pi \alpha \zeta \omega$ as if they caught him after pursuit.

Acts 6:13
False witnesses ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \alpha \varsigma ~ \psi \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. Just as Caiaphas did with Jesus.
Ceaseth not (ov $\pi \alpha \cup \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Wild charge just like a false witness that Stephen talks in the synagogues against the law and the holy temple.

## Acts 6:14

 evidently wrong. Curiously like the charge brought against Jesus before Caiaphas that he would destroy the temple and build it again in three days. Undoubtedly Stephen had said something about Christianity before as meant for others besides Jews. He had caught the spirit of Jesus about worship as shown to the woman at Sychar in Joh 4 that God is spirit and to be worshipped by men anywhere and everywhere without having to come to the temple in Jerusalem. It was inflammable material surely and it was easy to misrepresent and hard to clear up.

This Jesus of Nazareth (I $\eta$ бovc o Na̧ $\omega$ рaıoc outoc). With contempt.
Acts 6:15
As if the face of an angel ( $\omega \sigma \varepsilon \pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \pi \sigma$ a $\gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o v)$. Even his enemies saw that, wicked as they were. See Ex 34:30 for the face of Moses when he came down from Sinai (2Co 3:7). Page quotes Tennyson: "God's glory smote him on the face." Where were Peter and John at this crisis? Apparently Stephen stands alone before the Sanhedrin as Jesus did. But he was not alone for he saw Jesus standing at the right hand of God (Ac 7:56). There was little that Peter and John could have done if they had been present. Gamaliel did not interpose this time for the Pharisees were behind the charges against Stephen, false though they were as Gamaliel could have found out.

## Acts 7

Acts 7:1
Are these things so? ( $\varepsilon \tau \alpha v \tau \alpha$ out $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon$ ). On this use of $\varepsilon$ in a direct question see on 1:6. Literally "Do these things hold thus?" A formal question by the high priest like our "Do you plead guilty, or not guilty?" (Furneaux). The abrupt question of the high priest would serve to break the evident spell of the angelic look on Stephen's face. Two charges had been made against Stephen (1) speaking against the holy temple, (2) changing the customs which Moses had delivered. Stephen could not give a yes or no answer to these two charges. There was an element of truth in each of them and a large amount of error all mixed together. So he undertakes to explain his real position by the historical method, that is to say, by a rapid survey of God's dealing with the people of Israel and the Gentiles. It is the same method adopted by Paul in Pisidian Antioch (Ac 13:16ff. ) after he had become the successor of Stephen in his interpretation of the universal mission of Christianity. If one is disposed to say that Luke made up this speech to suit Stephen's predicament, he has to explain how the style is less Lukan than the narrative portions of Acts with knowledge of Jewish traditions that a Greek would not be likely to know. Precisely how Luke obtained the data for the speech we do not know, but Saul heard it and Philip, one of the seven, almost certainly. Both could have given Luke help about it. It is even possible that some one took notes of this important address. We are to remember also that the speech was interrupted at the end and may not include all that Stephen meant to say. But enough is given to give us a good idea of how Stephen met the first charge "by showing that the worship of God is not confined to Jerusalem or the Jewish temple" (Page). Then he answers the second charge by proving that God had many dealings with their fathers before Moses came and that Moses foretold the coming of the Messiah who is now known to be Jesus. It is at this point (verse 51) that Stephen becomes passionate and so powerful that the wolves in the Sanhedrin lose all selfcontrol. It is a great and masterful exposition of the worldwide mission of the gospel of Christ in full harmony with the Great Commission of Christ. The apostles had been so busy answering the Sadducees concerning the Resurrection of Christ and maintaining their freedom to teach and preach that they had not pushed the world-wide propaganda of the gospel as Jesus had commanded after they had received the Promise of the Father. But Stephen had proclaimed the same message of Christ and was now facing the same fate. Peter's mind had been enlightened by the Holy Spirit so that he could rightly interpret Joel and David in the light of Pentecost. "So Stephen read the history of the Old Testament with new eyes in the light of the life and death of Jesus" (Furneaux).

Acts 7:2
Brethren and fathers ( $\alpha \nu \delta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi о к \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \varsigma)$. The spectators (brethren) and members of the Sanhedrin (fathers) as Paul in Ac 22:1 .

Hearken ( $\alpha \kappa 0 v \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist (ingressive) active imperative, Give me your attention now.

The God of glory (Ho $\theta \varepsilon$ оऽ $\tau \eta \varsigma \delta o \xi \eta \varsigma)$. The God characterized by glory (genitive case, genus or kind) as seen in the Shekinah, the visible radiance of God. Jesus is also called "the Glory"=the Shekinah in Jas 2:1 . Cf. Ex 25:22; 40:34; Le 9:6; Heb 9:5. By these words Stephen refutes the charge of blasphemy against God in Ac 6:11.

Appeared $(\omega \varphi \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative of o $\rho \alpha \omega$. See on $\operatorname{Lu} 23: 43$. Before there was temple or tabernacle and away over in Mesopotamia (Ur of the Chaldees, Ge 11:31), even before ( $\pi \rho \iota \nu \eta$ with the infinitive) he dwelt in Haran (X $\alpha \rho \rho \alpha \nu$, or Carrae not far from Edessa, where Crassus met death after his defeat by the Parthians B.C. 53).

Acts 7:3
Which I shall shew thee ( $\eta \nu \alpha \nu \sigma o \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \xi \omega$ ). Indefinite relative clause with $\alpha v$ and the aorist active subjunctive (same form in first person singular as the future active indicative). Abraham followed on as God led him.

Acts 7:4
When his father was dead ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ тo $\alpha \pi о \theta a v \varepsilon \iota v \alpha \cup \tau o v)$. Meт $\alpha$ with the accusative of the articular infinitive and the accusative of general reference ( $\alpha v \tau o v$ ), regular Greek idiom. In Ge 11:32 it is stated that Terah died at Haran at the age of 205. There are various explanations of the discrepancy, but no one that seems certain. It is possible (Hackett, Felten) that Abraham is mentioned first in Ge 11:26 because he became the most prominent and was really younger than Haran his brother who died before the first migration who was really sixty years older than Abraham. According to this view Terah was 130 years old at the birth of Abraham, leaving Abraham 75 at the death of Terah (205).

Wherein ye now dwell ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \eta \nu v \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma \nu v \nu$ катоוк $\downarrow \iota \tau)$. Note $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ in the sense of $\varepsilon \nu$ as often. Note also emphatic use of $v \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma$ (ye) and now ( $\nu v \nu$ ).

Acts 7:5
Not so much as to set his foot on (ov $\delta \varepsilon \beta \eta \mu \alpha \pi o \delta o \varsigma)$. From De 2:5. Old word from $\beta \alpha ı v \omega$, to go, to step. "Stepping of a foot," only instance of this original meaning in the N.T. From this it comes to mean a platform reached by steps, official seat of a judge (Mt 27:19). The field purchased by Abraham (Ge 23:9-17) was not a gift from God.

Promised ( $\varepsilon \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ו \lambda \alpha \tau o$ ). First aorist middle indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, common verb. See Ge 12:7; 17:8; 48:4 for this promise. So God appeared again to Abraham in a strange land.

In possession ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \varepsilon \sigma \iota v$ ). Late word, in LXX, and in N.T. only here and verse 45. From $\kappa a \tau \chi \omega$, to hold back, then to hold fast (or down), to possess. It was fulfilled in the descendants of Abraham.

When as yet he had no child (оик оvтоৎ $\alpha \cup \tau \omega \tau \varepsilon \kappa v o v)$. Genitive absolute with negative ouk rather than $\mu \eta$ to emphasize actual absence of a child. He had only the promise of God about the land and the child.

Acts 7:6
On this wise (out $\omega \varsigma$ ). A free quotation from Ge 15:13.
Should sojourn ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \rho о к о v)$. Shall be a sojourner, Пароькоऽ ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$, beside, оккос, home), one dwelling near one's home, but not of it, so a stranger, foreigner, old word, often in LXX, temporary residence without full rights of citizenship (7:29; 13:17) , and descriptive of Christians (Eph 2:19; 1Pe 1:17; 2:11).

In a strange land ( $\varepsilon v \gamma \eta \alpha \lambda \lambda o \tau \rho ı \alpha)$. In a land not one's own, that belongs to another, alien as in Mt 17:25f. , which see.

Four hundred years ( $\varepsilon \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \kappa о \sigma i \alpha)$. Accusative of duration of time. As in Ge 15:13 , but a round number as in Ex 12:40 the time is 430 years. But in Ga 3:17 Paul, following the LXX in Ex 12:40, takes the 430 years to cover the period in Canaan and the stay in Egypt, cutting the sojourn in Egypt to about half. Josephus gives it both ways. Hackett suggests two solutions, one that there were two ways of reckoning the period among the Jews with no way of settling it, the other that by the 430 years in Egypt the writers meant to include Canaan also as merely the preliminary to the period in Egypt.

Acts 7:7
Will I judge ( $\kappa \rho \iota v \omega \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ). Future (accent on $\omega$ ) active indicative of $\kappa \rho \iota \omega \omega$ and $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ (I) expressed is emphatic.

In this place ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \tau 0 \pi \omega \tau 0 v \tau \omega)$. Quoted from Ex 3:12 and referring to Sinai or Horeb, but Stephen applies it to the Promised Land.

Acts 7:8
The covenant of circumcision ( $\delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta \nu \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau \circ \mu \eta \varsigma)$. A covenant marked by (genitive) circumcision (no article) of which circumcision is the sign (Ro 4:11) as set forth in Ge 17:9-14. In the ancient Greek $\delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta$ was usually will (Latin, testamentum) and $\sigma \cup v \theta \eta \kappa \eta$ was used for covenant ( $\sigma u v$, together, rather than $\delta ı a$, between). But the LXX and the N.T. use $\delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta$ for covenant (will in Heb 9:15f. ) as Lightfoot on Ga 3:16 says: "The LXX translation and New Testament writers probably preferred $\delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta$ as better expressing the
free grace of God than $\sigma v v \theta \eta \kappa \eta$."
And so ( $\kappa \alpha$ out $\omega \varsigma$ ). After the covenant was made and as a sign and seal of it.
Acts 7:9
Moved with jealousy ( $\zeta \eta \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\zeta \eta \lambda o \omega$, old verb from $\zeta \eta \lambda$ oৎ ( $\operatorname{Ac} 5: 17$ ), to burn or boil with zeal, and then with envy as here ( 17:5, etc.) and Ge 37:11.

Acts 7:10

Delivered him out ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha \tau 0 \alpha \tau \tau 0 \nu \varepsilon \kappa$ ). First aorist middle indicative of $\varepsilon \xi \alpha\llcorner\rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb to take out, snatch out. Note repetition of $\varepsilon \kappa$.

Pharaoh King of Egypt ( $\Phi \alpha \rho \alpha \omega \beta \alpha \sigma \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ Aı $\gamma \cup \pi \tau \sigma v$ ). Pharaoh is not a name, but a title, the Egyptian per $\mathbb{Z}$ meaning great house.

Acts 7:11
Found no sustenance (ov $\eta$ טpıбкоv $\chi$ о $\rho \tau \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Imperfect active, kept on not finding.

Chortasmata is from
chortazo , originally to feed with grass ( $\chi$ ортоৎ) or herbs. Old word, but only here in the N.T. and includes food for both men and animals. In Ge 24:25,32 it is fodder for the cattle, a first necessity for owners of herds of cattle.

Acts 7:12
That there was corn (ov $\tau \alpha \sigma \iota \tau \alpha)$. Participle (present active of $\varepsilon \mu$ ) in indirect discourse, after aкоибац, "heard of corn being in Egypt." $\sum_{\imath \tau \iota}$ is diminutive of бıtoc and means grain (wheat, barley, not our maize or Indian corn), old word also for provisions, victuals, here only in the N.T.

The first time ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \tau v$ ). While Jacob himself remained in Canaan before he went down to Egypt and died there (verse 15f. ).

Acts 7:13
At the second time $(\varepsilon v \tau \omega \delta \varepsilon u \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega)$. This expression only here in the N.T. This second visit is recorded in Ge 45:1f. .

Became manifest ( $\varphi a v \varepsilon \rho o v \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau 0)$. In Ge 41:12 the fact that Joseph was a Hebrew had been incidentally mentioned to Pharaoh, but now it was made clear to him.

Acts 7:14
Three-score and fifteen souls ( $\varepsilon v \psi v \chi \alpha \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \beta \delta о \mu \eta \kappa о v \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon$ ). Stephen follows the LXX which counts some grandchildren of Joseph and so makes it 75 whereas Ge 46:26 has 66 and then the next verse makes it 70 including Jacob and Joseph with his two sons. The use of $\varepsilon v$ means "consisting in."

Acts 7:16
They were carried over unto Shechem ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \Sigma u \chi \varepsilon \mu$ ). First aorist passive of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, only here in the N.T. in this sense of changing places. Jacob was buried in the cave of Machpelah (Ge 50:13 ). The O.T. does not say where the sons of Jacob were buried save that Joseph was buried in Shechem (Jos 24:32). Possibly only "our fathers" without Jacob is the subject of "were carried."

Which Abraham bought ( $\omega \omega v \eta \sigma \alpha \tau o ~ A \beta \rho \alpha \alpha \mu$ ). Hackett is sure that our present text is wrong. Hort notes some sixty "primitive errors" in the critical text of the N.T. It is possible that this is also one. If "Jacob" is substituted for "Abraham," the matter is cleared up. "It is quite as likely, judging a priori, that the word producing the error escaped from some early
copyist as that so glaring an error was committed by Stephen" (Hackett). At any rate Abraham bought a burying-place, the cave of Machpelah, from Ephron the Hittite at Hebron (Ge 23:16 ), while Jacob bought a field from the sons of Hamor at Shechem (Ge 33:19; Jos 24:32 ). Abraham had built an altar at Shechem when he entered Canaan (Ge 12:6f. ). It is possible, of course, that Abraham also bought the ground on which the altar stood.

In Shechem ( $\varepsilon \nu \Sigma v \chi \varepsilon \mu)$. This is the reading of Aleph B C instead of the Textus Receptus тov $\Sigma v \chi \varepsilon \mu$ which makes it "Hamar the father of Sichem." "In Shechem" is the true reading.

Acts 7:17
Drew nigh ( $\eta \gamma \gamma \zeta \zeta \varepsilon \nu$ ). Imperfect active, was drawing nigh.
Acts 7:18
Another king ( $\beta a \sigma 1 \lambda \varepsilon v \varsigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma)$. A different kind of king also, probably a king of the new dynasty after the shepherd kings had been expelled from Egypt.

Who knew not Joseph (oৎ ouk $\eta \iota \delta \varepsilon$ tov $\mathrm{I} \omega \sigma \eta \varphi$ ). Second past perfect of oi $\delta \alpha$ used like an imperfect. Joseph's history and services meant nothing to the new king. "The previous dynasty had been that of the Hyksos: the new king was Ahmes who drove out the Hyksos" (Knobel).

Acts 7:19
 compound (ката and $\sigma о \varphi \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb, to make wise, to become wise, then to play the sophist), perfective use of кata. In the LXX, but here only in the N.T. To use fraud, craft, deceit.

That they should cast out their babes ( $\tau \circ v \pi о \varepsilon \varepsilon เ v \tau \alpha \beta \rho \varepsilon \varphi \eta \eta \kappa \theta \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Tov $\pi о \varepsilon \varepsilon เ v$ (genitive of the articular present infinitive) can be either design or result. The Revised Version here takes it as purpose while the Authorized as result. In either case Pharaoh required the Israelites to expose their children to death, a possible practice done voluntarily in heathen China and by heathen in so-called Christian lands. But the Israelites fought against such an iniquity. The word $\varepsilon \kappa \theta \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ (exposed, cast out) is a verbal adjective from $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$. It is an old word, but here only in the N.T. and not in the LXX.

To the end they might not live ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \mu \eta \zeta \omega о ү о v \varepsilon ı \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Purpose with $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma$ and the articular infinitive (present middle). This compound verb is from $\zeta \omega 0 \gamma 0 v o \varsigma ~(f r o m ~ \zeta \omega 0 \varsigma$, alive, and $\gamma \varepsilon \nu \omega$, to bear) and is used by late writers and the LXX. It is three times in the N.T. (here, Lu 17:33; 1 Ti 6:13 ) in the sense to preserve alive.

## Acts 7:20

Exceeding fair ( $\alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon 1 \circ \varsigma \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). Ethical dative, fair to God (as God looked at him). A $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \circ \rho$ is from $\alpha \sigma \tau v$, city, and so means "of the city," with city manners and polish. Old word, only twice in the N.T. (here and Heb 11:23) and both times about Moses and taken from Ex 2:2.

He was nourished ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \eta$ ). Second aorist passive indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$. He was brought up at home for three months in defiance of the new Pharaoh.

Acts 7:21
When he was cast out ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon v \tau \circ \varsigma \alpha \tau \tau o v)$. Genitive absolute with first aorist passive participle of $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$.

Took up ( $\alpha v \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha \tau o$ ). Second aorist middle indicative (with first aorist vowel $\alpha$ instead of $\varepsilon$ as often in the Koine) of avaı $\varepsilon \omega$, common in the N.T. in the sense of take up and make away with, to kill as in verse 28 , but here only in the N.T. in the original sense of taking up from the ground and with the middle voice (for oneself). Quoted here from Ex 2:5. The word was used of old for picking up exposed children as here. Vincent quotes Aristophanes (Clouds, 531): "I exposed (the child), and some other women, having taken it, adopted (aveı入عтo) it." Vulgate has sustulit. "Adopted" is the idea here. "After the birth of a child the father took it up to his bosom, if he meant to rear it; otherwise it was doomed to perish" (Hackett).

Nourished him for her own son ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \theta \rho \varepsilon \psi a \tau o$ avtov $\varepsilon \alpha v \tau \eta \varepsilon$ ยı vıov). Literally, "she nursed him up for herself ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \tau \eta$ besides middle voice) as a son." This use of $\varepsilon ו \varsigma=$ as occurs in the old Greek, but is very common in the LXX as a translation of the Hebrew le. The tradition is that she designed Moses for the throne as the Pharaoh had no son (Josephus, Ant. ii. 9, 7).

Acts 7:22
Was instructed $(\varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v \omega$, to train a child ( $\pi \alpha ı \varsigma$ ), the usual idea in ancient Greek as here. The notion of chastisement (Heb 12:6) is also in the old Greek and especially in the LXX and the N.T. Here with instrumental case ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \eta \sigma о \varphi \iota \alpha$ ) or the locative. The accusative would usually be retained after this verb. The priestly caste in Egypt was noted for their knowledge of science, astronomy, medicine, and mathematics. This reputation was proverbial (1Ki 4:30). Modern discoveries have thrown much light on the ancient civilization of Egypt. Moses, like Paul, was a man of the schools.

Mighty in his words and works ( $\delta v v a \tau о \varsigma \varepsilon v \lambda о \gamma о \iota \kappa \kappa \alpha \rho \gamma о \iota \varsigma \alpha v \tau о v$ ). The same phrase used of Jesus in Lu 24:19. The adjective $\delta v v a \tau o \varsigma$ is employed of Apollos as an interpreter of the Scriptures (Ac 18:24). Moses did not have the rhetorical skill or eloquence of Aaron (Ex 4:10), but his words like his deeds carried weight and power.

## Acts 7:23

When he was well-nigh forty years old ( $\mathrm{H} \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho о v \tau o ~ \alpha v \tau \omega \tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \varepsilon \tau \eta \varsigma$ $\chi \rho o v o \varsigma)$. A rather awkward Greek idiom for the English: "When a forty year old time (same idiom in Ac 13:18 and only twice in the N.T.) was being fulfilled ( $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho o v \tau o$, imperfect passive) for him (dative case)." The life of Moses is divided into three periods of forty years each (in Egypt 40 years, in Midian 40, governed Israel 40, 120 when he died, De 34:7 ).

It came into his heart ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \beta \eta \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha v \alpha v \tau o v)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha \iota v \omega$, common verb. Came up as if from the lower deeps of his nature. This Hebrew image occurs in Jer 3:16; Isa 65:17; 1Co 2:9.

To visit ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \psi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). First aorist middle infinitive of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \pi \tau \circ \mu \alpha$, old verb to go to see for oneself, with his own eyes, to help if possible. Used of God visiting his people (Lu 7:16 ). Our "visit" is from Latin video, to see, visito, to go to see. During the Welsh mining troubles the Prince of Wales made a sympathetic visit to see for himself the actual condition of the coal miners. Moses desired to know first hand how his kinsmen were faring.

Acts 7:24
Suffer wrong ( $\alpha \delta \iota \kappa о \cup \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Present passive participle of $\alpha \delta \iota \kappa \eta$. By blows (Ex 2:11 ).

Avenged ( $\varepsilon \pi о \not \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa \delta \iota \kappa \eta \sigma \iota v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\pi \sigma \iota \varepsilon \omega$. This idiom occurs in Lu 18:7 with $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \iota \kappa \eta \sigma \iota v$ (this from $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \iota \kappa \varepsilon \omega$ and that from $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \iota \kappa о \varsigma$ without right or law $\delta ı \kappa \eta$ and then exacting law of right out of $\varepsilon \kappa$ one, exacting vengeance).

Him that was oppressed ( $\tau \omega \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \sigma \nu o v \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega)$. Present passive articular participle in the dative case of ката兀оveo, to tire down with toil, to treat roughly, common in late Greek, in the N.T. only here and $2 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 7$ (sore distressed). The man was on the point of being overcome.

Smiting $(\pi \alpha \tau \alpha \xi \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\pi \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, in the old Greek the beat of the heart, only in the LXX and N.T. to smite a deadly blow as here like $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma \omega$.

Acts 7:25
He supposed ( $\varepsilon v o \mu i \zeta \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active of $v o \mu \iota \zeta \omega$. He was supposing, Stephen explains, when he smote the Egyptian.

That his brethren understood ( $\sigma v \vee \varepsilon v \alpha$ тovৎ $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o v \varsigma)$. Present active infinitive of $\sigma v v i \eta \mu$, to send (put) together, to grasp, to comprehend, in indirect discourse with the accusative of general reference.

By his hand was giving them deliverance ( $\delta \iota \alpha \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha v \tau \circ v \delta \iota \delta \omega \sigma \iota v \sigma o \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha v \alpha v \tau \circ \iota)$. Picturesque use of "hand" as in 2:23, present active indicative of $\delta \iota \omega \mu$ retained in indirect discourse after imperfect $\varepsilon v o \mu \iota \zeta \varepsilon v$. But they understood not (o $\delta \varepsilon$ ov $\sigma v \nu \eta \kappa \alpha v$ ). Page notes "the rhetorical power of these words" from Stephen. $\Sigma v \nu \eta \kappa \alpha \nu$ (first aorist indicative, $\kappa$ aorist) refers to $\sigma u v i \varepsilon v a$ just before.

Acts 7:26
The day following ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \pi \iota o v \sigma \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). Locative case, "on the following day" (from $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \mu$, to come upon, to approach, present active participle $\varepsilon \pi \iota \omega v-o v \sigma \alpha,-o v)$. Common phrase in old Greek both with $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ (day) as here and without as 16:11. Only in Acts in the N.T.

Appeared $(\omega \varphi \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative of o $\rho a \omega$ not with idea that only a vision but rather that it was sudden or unexpected.

As they strove ( $\mu \alpha \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o i \varsigma)$. Present middle participle of $\mu \alpha \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, actually fighting.
Would have set them at one again ( $\sigma \sim v \eta \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v$ autovৎ $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \mid \rho \eta \nu \varepsilon v$ ). Better, he tried to reconcile them (or change them into peace). It is the conative imperfect active as in Mt 3:14 of $\sigma v v a \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, only here in the N.T. though common in the old Greek. Vulgate has reconciliabat. The usual word in the N.T. for reconcile is кат $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$.

Do ye wrong one to another ( $\alpha \delta$ бкєıt $\alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$ ouc). The same word used in verse 24 of the wrong done one of the Hebrews by the Egyptian, but here both are "brethren."

Acts 7:27
Thrust him away ( $\alpha \pi \omega \sigma \alpha \tau 0$ avtov). First aorist middle indicative (Koine for Attic $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \omega \sigma \alpha \tau 0)$ of $\alpha \pi \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$, to push away from oneself in middle voice as here, common in old Greek. Again in verse 39; 13:46; Ro 11:1; 1Ti 1:19. It is always the man who is doing the wrong who is hard to reconcile.

Acts 7:28
Wouldest thou kill me? ( $\mu \eta \alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ v \mu \varepsilon \sigma v \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \varsigma)$. Expecting the answer no, but a thrust direct at Moses, Do you wish to kill me (note $\mu \varepsilon \sigma v$ right together,
me thou ). See Ex 2:14 quoted by Stephen.
Acts 7:29
Sojourner (ларонкос). Temporary dweller (cf. Abraham in verse 6) in Midian though for forty years.

Acts 7:30
Sentence begins with genitive absolute again.
In a flame of fire in a bush ( $\varepsilon v \varphi \lambda о \gamma \pi v \rho o s \beta \alpha \tau o v)$. Horeb in Ex 3:1; but Sinai and Horeb were "probably peaks of one mountain range" (Page), Horeb "the mountain of the dried-up ground," Sinai "the mountain of the thorns." Literally, "in the flame of fire of a bush" (two genitives, $\pi \cup \rho o \varsigma$ and $\beta a \tau o v$ dependent on $\varphi \lambda 0 \gamma$, flame). Descriptive genitives as in 9:15; 2Th 1:8. Batoc (bush) is the wild acacia (mimosa nilotica). In Ex 3:20 it is Jehovah who speaks. Hence "angel" here with Stephen is understood to be the Angel of the Presence, the Eternal Logos of the Father, the Angel of Jehovah.

Acts 7:31
The sight ( $\tau$ о о $\rho \mu \alpha$ ). Used of visions in the N.T. as in Mt 17:9.
As he drew near ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi о \mu \varepsilon v o v ~ \alpha v \tau о v)$. Genitive absolute with present middle participle of $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi о \mu \alpha$.

A voice of the Lord ( $\varphi \omega \vee \eta \kappa \nu \rho \circ \rho v$ ). Here the angel of Jehovah of verse 30 is termed Jehovah himself. Jesus makes powerful use of these words in his reply to the Sadducees in defence of the doctrine of the resurrection and the future life (Mr 12:26; Mt 22:32; Lu 20:37f. ) that God here describes himself as the God of the living.

Trembled ( $\varepsilon \vee \tau \rho о \mu о \varsigma ~ \gamma \varepsilon v о \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$ ). Literally, becoming tremulous or terrified. The adjective $\varepsilon v \tau \rho о \mu \circ \varsigma$ ( $\varepsilon v, \tau \rho о \mu \circ \varsigma$ from $\tau \rho \varepsilon \mu \omega$, to tremble, to quake) occurs in Plutarch and the LXX. In the N.T. only here and Ac 16:29.

Durst not (ovк $\varepsilon \tau о \lambda \mu \alpha$ ). Imperfect active, was not daring, negative conative imperfect. Acts 7:33
Holy ground ( $\gamma \eta \alpha \gamma \nsim$ ). The priests were barefooted when they ministered in the temple. Moslems enter their mosques barefooted today. Cf. Jos 5:15 .

Sandal (vтобף $\mu \alpha$, bound under) is here "a distributive singular" (Hackett). Even the ground near the bush was "holy," a fine example for Stephen's argument.

Acts 7:34
I have surely seen ( $\llcorner\delta \omega v \varepsilon \delta \delta o v$ ). Imitation of the Hebrew infinitive absolute, (Ex 3:7) "Seeing I saw" (cf. Heb 6:14).

The affliction ( $\tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \omega \sigma v)$ ). From како $\omega$, to treat evilly (from какос, evil). Old word, here only in the N.T. and from Ex 3:7.

Groaning ( $\sigma \tau \varepsilon v \alpha \gamma \mu \circ v$ ). Old word from $\sigma \tau \varepsilon v \alpha \zeta \omega$, to sigh, to groan. In the N.T. only here and Ro 8:26. Root $\sigma \tau \varepsilon v$ in our word stentorian.

I am come down ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \beta \eta \nu)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha ı v \omega$, I came down.
To deliver ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha)$. Second aorist middle infinitive of $\varepsilon \xi a \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega$, to take out for myself.
I will send ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ ). First aorist active subjunctive (hortatory of $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, "Let me send").

Acts 7:35
This Moses (Toutov tov M $\omega v \sigma \eta \nu$ ). Rhetorical repetition follows this description of Moses (five times, anaphora, besides the use here, six cases of outo c here about Moses: verse 35 twice, $36,37,38,40$ ). Clearly Stephen means to draw a parallel between Moses and Jesus. They in Egypt
denied ( $\eta \rho \vee \eta \sigma \alpha v \tau 0$ ) Moses as now you the Jews denied ( $\eta \rho \vee \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon$, 3:13) Jesus. Those in Egypt scouted Moses as "ruler and judge" (verses 27,35, a $\propto \chi$ о $\tau \alpha$ ка $\delta$ เкаб $\tau \eta$ ) and God "hath sent" ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \kappa \varepsilon v$, perfect active indicative, state of completion) Moses "both a ruler and a deliverer" ( $\alpha \rho \chi$ оvта ка $\lambda \nu \tau \rho \omega \tau \eta \nu)$ as Jesus was to be (Lu 1:68; 2:38; Heb 9:12; Tit 2:14 ). "Ransomer" or "Redeemer" ( $\lambda \nu \tau \rho \omega \tau \eta \varsigma)$ is not found elsewhere, $\lambda \nu \tau \rho o v$ (ransom), $\lambda \nu \tau \rho \circ \omega$, to ransom, and $\lambda \cup \tau \rho \omega \sigma \iota$, , ransoming or redemption, are found often. In Ac 5:31 Christ is termed "Prince and Saviour."

With the hand ( $\sigma \vee \vee \chi \varepsilon \rho$ ). So the correct text. The Pharisees had accused Stephen of blaspheming "against Moses and God" ( 6:11). Stephen here answers that slander by showing how Moses led the people out of Egypt in co-operation ( $\sigma v v$ ) with the hand of the Angel of Jehovah.

Acts 7:37

Like unto me $(\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon)$. This same passage Peter quoted to the crowd in Solomon's Porch (Ac 3:22 ). Stephen undoubtedly means to argue that Moses was predicting the Messiah as a prophet like himself who is no other than Jesus so that these Pharisees are in reality opposing Moses. It was a neat turn.

## Acts 7:38

In the church in the wilderness $(\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \omega)$. Better rendered "congregation" here as in Heb 2:12 (Ps 22:22) , the people of Israel gathered at Mt. Sinai, the whole nation. Moses is here represented as receiving the law from an angel as in Heb 2:2; Ga 3:19 (De 33:2, LXX) and so was a mediator ( $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \iota \tau \eta$ ) or middle man between the angel and the people whereas Jesus is the Mediator of a better covenant (Heb 8:6). But Exodus does not speak of an angel.

Living oracles ( $\lambda \circ \gamma \iota \alpha \zeta \omega v \tau \alpha)$. A $\lambda o \gamma เ \circ v$ is a little word (diminutive of $\lambda o \gamma \circ \varsigma$ ). Common in the old Greek, LXX, Philo, in ecclesiastical writers for sayings of Christ, Papias (for instance) saying that Matthew wrote in Hebrew (Aramaic) "Logia of Jesus." Oxyrhynchus papyri fragments called "Logia of Jesus" are of much interest though only fragments. The Greeks used it of the "oracles" or brief sayings from Delphi. In the N.T. the word occurs only four times (Ac 7:38; Ro 3:2; Heb 5:12; 1Pe 4:11). Here the participle $\zeta \omega v \tau \alpha$, living, is the same used by Peter ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 4 \mathrm{f}$.$) , stone (\lambda \iota \theta \circ \varsigma)$ of Christ and Christians. The words from God to Moses are still "living" today. In 1Pe 4:11 the word is applied to one who speaks $\lambda o \gamma \iota \alpha \theta \varepsilon o v$ (oracles of God). In Ro 3:2 Paul refers to the substance of the law and of prophecy. In Heb 5:12 the writer means the substance of the Christian religious teaching.

## Acts 7:39

To whom ( $\omega$ ). That is Moses, this Moses.
Would not be (ovk $\eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha v \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha)$. Aorist active, negative aorist, were unwilling to become ( $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ) obedient.

Thrust him from them ( $\alpha \pi \omega \sigma \alpha v \tau 0$ ). Indirect middle of the very verb used of the man (verse 27) who "thrust" Moses away from him.

Turned back ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Second aorist passive indicative of $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, to turn. They yearned after the fleshpots of Egypt and even the gods of Egypt. It is easy now to see why Stephen has patiently led his hearers through this story. He is getting ready for the homethrust.

Acts 7:40
Gods which shall go before us ( $\theta \varepsilon \sigma \nu \varsigma$ o $\pi \rho о \pi о \rho \varepsilon v \sigma o v \tau \alpha \eta \mu \omega \nu$ ). Ex 32:1. As guides and protectors, perhaps with some allusion to the pillar of fire and of cloud that had gone before them (Ex 13:21). The future indicative here with o (relative) expresses purpose.

Ye wot not (ovk oı $\delta \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). We do not know. How quickly they had forgotten both God and Moses while Moses was absent in the mount with God.

Become of him ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau 0 ~ \alpha \cup \tau \omega)$. Happened to him. "This" (ovtoc) here is a contemptuous allusion to Moses by the people.

Acts 7:41
They made a calf ( $\varepsilon \mu о \sigma \chi о \pi о џ \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\mu о \sigma \chi о \pi о \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega$, here only in the N.T. and unknown elsewhere. The LXX (Ex 32:3 ) has $\varepsilon \pi \sigma ⿰ \eta \sigma \varepsilon \mu \circ \sigma \chi \circ v$ from which phrase the word is evidently made. Aaron made the calf, but so did the people (Ex 32:35).

The idol ( $\tau \omega \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \omega \lambda \omega$ ). Stephen calls it by the right name. The people said it was their way of worshipping Jehovah! So the Egyptians worshipped the bull Apis at Memphis as the symbol of Osiris (the sun). They had another sacred bull Mnevis at Leontopolis. Et $\delta \omega \lambda$ ov (from $\varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \circ \varsigma$, form or figure) is the image or likeness of anything. The heathen worship the god through the image or idol.

Rejoiced (evppatvovto). Imperfect, middle, kept on rejoicing (Ex 32:6,18) or making merry.

Acts 7:42
Gave them up ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$. This same form occurs three times like clods on a coffin in a grave in Ro 1:24,26,28 where Paul speaks of God giving the heathen up to their lusts.

To serve the host of heaven ( $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon v \varepsilon ı v ~ \tau \eta ~ \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau ı \alpha ~ \tau o v ~ o u \rho \alpha v o v) . ~ T h e ~ v e r b ~ \lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon v \omega ~$ is used of the worship of God (Mt 4:10) as well as of idols as here (from $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho o v$, hire, $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \iota$, hireling, then to serve). But the worship of the host of heaven (De 17:3; 2Ki 17:16; 21:3; 2Ch 33:3,5; Jer 8:2; 19:13 ) is Sabaism or worship of the host ( $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \alpha$ ) of heaven (sun, moon, and stars) instead of the Lord of hosts. This star-worship greatly injured the Jews.

In the book of the prophets ( $\varepsilon \nu \beta 1 \beta \lambda \omega \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \omega v)$. That is the twelve minor prophets which the Jews counted as one book (cf. Ac 13:40). This quotation is from Am 5:25-27. The greater prophets were Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel.

Slain beasts ( $\sigma \varphi \alpha \not \downarrow \alpha$ ). Here only in the N.T. (from Am 5:25) $\sigma \varphi \alpha \gamma \eta$, slaughter, $\sigma \varphi \alpha \zeta \omega$, to slay.

## Acts 7:43

The tabernacle of Moloch ( $\tau \eta \nu \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta \nu$ qov Mo入ox). Or tent of Moloch which they took up after each halt instead of the tabernacle of Jehovah. Moloch was the god of the Amorites to whom children were offered as live sacrifices, an ox-headed image with arms outstretched in which children were placed and hollow underneath so that fire could burn underneath.

The star of the god Rephan ( $\tau 0 \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \circ v$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v \operatorname{Po} \mu \varphi \alpha$ ). Spelled also Romphan and Remphan. Supposed to be Coptic for the star Saturn to which the Egyptians, Arabs, and Phoenicians gave worship. But some scholars take the Hebrew Kiyyoon to mean statues and
not a proper name at all, "statues of your gods" carried in procession, making "figures" (типоия) with both "tabernacle" and "star" which they carried in procession.

I will carry ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau о \kappa \kappa เ \omega)$. Attic future of $\mu \varepsilon \tau о \kappa \kappa เ \sigma \omega$ from $\mu \varepsilon \tau о к \kappa \iota \zeta \omega$.
Beyond Babylon ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v a \operatorname{Ba\beta v\lambda \omega vo\varsigma ).~The~Hebrew~and~the~LXX~have~"beyond~}$ Damascus." An adverbial preposition ( $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v \alpha$ with $\mu \varepsilon \rho \eta$ understood) used in the old Greek and the LXX with the ablative case and meaning "beyond." Here only in the N.T. in quotation from Am 5:27.

Acts 7:44
The tabernacle of the testimony ( $\eta \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$ tov $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \imath o v$ ). Probably suggested by the mention of "the tabernacle of Moloch" (verse 43). See on Mt 17:4 for discussion of $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$ (from $\sigma \kappa \iota \alpha$, shadow, root $\sigma \kappa \alpha$, to cover). This first sanctuary was not the temple, but the tent in the wilderness. "Stephen passes on from the conduct of the Israelites to his other argument that God is not necessarily worshipped in a particular spot" (Page).

According to the figure (ката тоv титоv). According to the type or pattern. Тилос is from $\tau \cup \pi \tau \omega$, to strike, to smite, and is the print of the blow (Joh 20:25), then the figure formed by a blow or impression like our type, a model or example. Quoted from Ex 25:40 . Common word in the old Greek.

That he had seen (ov $\varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \varepsilon$ ). Past perfect active of opa $\omega$, to see (double reduplication).
Acts 7:45
Which ( $\eta v$ ). Agreeing with $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta \nu$, not with $\tau \cup \pi \sigma v$.
In their turn ( $\delta \iota \alpha \delta \varepsilon \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). First aorist middle participle of $\delta \iota \alpha \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to receive through another, to receive in sucession or in turn. Late Greek, only here in N.T. Deissmann (Bible Studies, p. 115) argues from a second century B.C. papyrus that $\delta \iota \alpha \delta o \chi o \varsigma$ means rather deputy or court official than successor.

With Joshua ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{I} \eta \sigma \circ 0$ ). With Jesus, the Greek form of Joshua (contracted from Jehoshua, Mt 1:21 ), as in Heb 4:8.

When they entered on the possession of the nations ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \tau \omega v \varepsilon \theta v \omega v$ ). Literally "in (or at the time of) the possession of the nations." See on 7:5 for the only other N.T. instance of катабхहбıs.

Which ( $\omega v$ ). The nations, genitive by attraction to case of $\varepsilon \theta v \omega v$.
Thrust out ( $\varepsilon \xi \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \xi \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$, to push out, common verb, here, only in N.T. save some MSS. in Ac 27:39 .

Acts 7:46
Asked ( $\eta$ ı $\tau \eta \sigma \alpha \tau 0$ ). Aorist middle (indirect) indicative, asked for himself (as a favour to himself). Cf. 2Sa 7:2f .

A habitation ( $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \omega \mu \alpha$ ). Like Ps 132:5, but it was a house that David proposed to build (2Sa 7:2 ), not a tent ( $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$ ) which already existed. $\Sigma \kappa \eta v \omega \mu \alpha$ here means a more permanent abode (orкov, house, in verse 47), though from the same root as $\sigma \kappa \eta v \eta$.

Acts 7:48
Howbeit ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ '). By contrast with what Solomon did and David planned. Note emphatic position of "not" ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov $)$, "But not does the Most High dwell." The presence of the Most High is not confined in any building, even one so splendid as Solomon's Temple as Solomon himself foresaw and acknowledged in his prayer (1Ki 8:27; 2Ch 6:18).

In houses made with hands ( $\varepsilon \nu \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho о \pi о \iota \eta \tau о \iota \varsigma)$. No word here for "houses" or "temples" in correct text (vaots temples in Textus Receptus). Literally, "In things made with hands" ( $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho$, hand, $\pi \circ \not \tau \tau \circ \varsigma$, verbal adjective of $\pi \circ \iota \varepsilon \omega$ ). It occurs in Mr 14:58 of the temple and of the sanctuary of Moab (Isa 16:12 ). It occurs also in Ac 7:24; Heb 9:11,24; Eph 2:11 . Common in the old Greek.

The prophet (o $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Isa 66:1. Isaiah taught plainly that heaven is God's throne.
Acts 7:49
What manner of house (Поьov oוкоv). What sort of a house? This interrogative is sometimes scornful as in 4:7; Lu 6:32ff. (Page). So Stephen shows by Isaiah that Solomon was right that the temple was not meant to "confine" God's presence and that Jesus had rightly shown that God is a spirit and can be worshipped anywhere by any individual of any race or land. It is a tremendous argument for the universality and spirituality of Christianity free from the shackles of Jewish racial and national limitations, but its very strength only angered the Sanhedrin to desperation.

Acts 7:51
Stiffnecked ( $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho о \tau \rho a \chi \eta \lambda о$ ). From $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho о \varsigma$ (hard) and $\tau \rho \alpha \not \eta \lambda о \varsigma$, neck, both old words, but this compound only in the LXX and here alone in the N.T. Critics assume that Stephen was interrupted at this point because of the sharp tone of the speech. That may be true, but the natural climax is sufficient explanation.

Uncircumcised in heart ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau \mu \tau \tau$ ка $\delta \delta \alpha \iota \varsigma$ ). Late adjective common in LXX and here only in the N.T. Verbal of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \omega$, to cut around and a privative. Both of these epithets are applied to the Jews in the O.T. (Ex 32:9; 33:3,5; 34:9; Le 26:41; De 9:6; Jer 6:10 ). Kapסıaıs is locative plural like $\omega \sigma \iota v$ (ears), but some MSS. have genitive singular карঠıas, (objective genitive). No epithet could have been more galling to these Pharisees than to be turned "uncircumcised in heart" (Ro 2:29). They had only the physical circumcision which was useless.

Ye always (v $u \varepsilon เ \varsigma \alpha \varepsilon$ ). Emphatic position of humeis and "always" looks backward over the history of their forefathers which Stephen had reviewed.

Resist ( $\alpha v \tau \iota \pi \iota \tau \tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Old word to fall against, to rush against. Only here in the N.T., but used in the O.T. which is here quoted ( $\mathrm{Nu} 27: 14$ ). Their fathers had made "external worship a substitute for spiritual obedience" (Furneaux). Stephen has shown how God had revealed himself gradually, the revelation sloping upward to Christ Jesus. "And as he saw his countrymen repeating the old mistake--clinging to the present and the material, while

God was calling them to higher spiritual levels--and still, as ever, resisting the Holy Spirit, treating the Messiah as the patriarchs had treated Joseph, and the Hebrews Moses--the pity of it overwhelmed him, and his mingled grief and indignation broke out in words of fire, such as burned of old on the lips of the prophets" (Furneaux). Stephen, the accused, is now the accuser, and the situation becomes intolerable to the Sanhedrin.

Acts 7:52
Which of the prophets ( $\tau \imath v \alpha \tau \omega v \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \omega v$ ). Jesus (Lu 11:47; Mt 23:29-37) had charged them with this very thing. Cf. 2Ch 36:16.

Which shewed before ( $\pi \rho о к а \tau \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. The very prophets who foretold the coming of the Messiah their fathers killed.

The coming ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Not in ancient Greek or LXX and only here in the N.T. (in a few late writers).

Betrayers ( $\pi \rho \circ \delta o \tau \alpha$ ). Just like Judas Iscariot. He hurled this old biting word at them. In the N.T. only here and Lu 6:16; 2Ti 3:4. It cut like a knife. It is blunter than Peter in Ac 3:13.

Murderers ( $\varphi$ oveıऽ). The climax with this sharp word used of Barabbas ( 3:14).
Acts 7:53
Ye who (oıtivec). The very ones who, quippe qui, often in Acts when the persons are enlarged upon (8:15; 9:35; 10:41,47).

As it was ordained by angels ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \alpha \varsigma \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \omega v$ ). About angels see on 7:38. $\Delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \eta$ (from $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, to arrange, appoint) occurs in late Greek, LXX, inscriptions, papyri, Deissmann, Light from the Ancient East, pp. 89ff., and in N.T. only here and Ro 13:2 . At (or as) the appointment of angels (cf. Mt 10:41; 12:41 for this use of $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$ ).

And kept it not ( $\kappa \alpha$ ouк $\varepsilon \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \xi \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Like a whipcracker these words cut to the quick. They gloried in possessing the law and openly violated it (Ro 2:23).

Acts 7:54
When they heard ( $\alpha \kappa о v о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ). Present active participle of $\alpha \kappa о v \omega$, while hearing.
 and form (imperfect passive of $\delta \Delta \pi \rho \omega \omega$ ) is used of the effect of Peter's speech on the Sadducees. Here Stephen had sent a saw through the hearts of the Pharisees that rasped them to the bone.

They gnashed on him with their teeth ( $\varepsilon \beta \rho \cup \chi o v$ tovc oסovtac $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Imperfect (inchoative) active of $\beta \rho v \chi \omega$ (Attic $\beta \rho v \kappa \omega$ ), to bite with loud noise, to grind or gnash the teeth. Literally, They began to gnash their teeth at ( $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime}$ ) him (just like a pack of hungry, snarling wolves). Stephen knew that it meant death for him.

Acts 7:55
And Jesus standing ( $\kappa \alpha \operatorname{I} \eta \sigma o v v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \tau \alpha$ ). Full of the Holy Spirit, gazing steadfastly into heaven, he saw God's glory and Jesus "standing" as if he had risen to cheer the brave Stephen.

Elsewhere (save verse 56 also) he is pictured as sitting at the right hand of God (the Session of Christ) as in Mt 26:64; Mr 16:19; Ac 2:34; Eph 1:20; Col 3:1; Heb 1:3 .

Acts 7:56
Opened ( $\delta ı \eta v o \iota \gamma \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma)$. Perfect passive predicate participle of $\delta ı \alpha \nu o \imath \gamma v \nu \mu$ (cf. Mt 3:16; Lu 3:21).

The son of man ( $\tau 0 v v i o v \tau o v a v \theta \rho \omega \pi o v$ ). Elsewhere in the N.T. in Christ's own words. Here Stephen may refer to the words of Jesus as preserved in Mt 26:64 .

Acts 7:57
Stopped their ears ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \sigma \chi o v \tau \alpha \omega \tau \alpha \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Second aorist active of $\sigma v v \varepsilon \chi \omega$, to hold together. They held their ears together with their hands and affected to believe Stephen guilty of blasphemy (cf. Mt 26:65).

Rushed upon him with one accord ( $\omega \rho \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \nu o \mu \circ \theta \nu \mu \alpha \delta o v \varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Ingressive aorist active indicative of o $\rho \mu \alpha \omega$, to rush impetuously as the hogs did down the cliff when the demons entered them (Lu 8:33 ). No vote was taken by the Sanhedrin. No scruple was raised about not having the right to put him to death (Joh 8:31 ). It may have taken place after Pilate's recall and before his successor came or Pilate, if there, just connived at such an incident that did not concern Rome. At any rate it was mob violence like modern lynching that took the law into the hands of the Sanhedrin without further formalities.

Out of the city ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \sigma \lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. To keep from defiling the place with blood. But they sought to kill Paul as soon as they got him out of the temple area (Ac 21:30f. ).

Stoned $\left(\varepsilon \lambda_{\imath} \theta o \beta o \lambda o v v\right)$. Imperfect active indicative of $\lambda_{\imath} \theta o \beta o \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, began to stone, from $\lambda_{\imath} \theta \circ \beta \circ \lambda_{\circ} \varsigma\left(\lambda_{\imath} \theta \circ \varsigma\right.$, stone, $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to throw), late Greek verb, several times in the N.T. as Lu 13:34. Stoning was the Jewish punishment for blasphemy (Le 24:14-16).

The witnesses (o $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \varepsilon \varsigma)$. The false testifiers against Stephen suborned by the Pharisees (Ac 6:11,13 ). These witnesses had the privilege of casting the first stones (De 13:10; 17:7) against the first witness for Christ with death (martyr in our modern sense of the word).

At the feet of a young man named Saul ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau о \cup \varsigma \pi о \delta \alpha \varsigma v \varepsilon \alpha \nu เ о v \kappa \alpha \lambda о v \mu \varepsilon v o v \sum \alpha v \lambda o v$ ). Beside ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ) the feet. Our first introduction to the man who became the greatest of all followers of Jesus Christ. Evidently he was not one of the "witnesses" against Stephen, for he was throwing no stones at him. But evidently he was already a leader in the group of Pharisees. We know from later hints from Saul (Paul) himself that he had been a pupil of Gamaliel (Ac 22:3 ). Gamaliel, as the Pharisaic leader in the Sanhedrin, was probably on hand to hear the accusations against Stephen by the Pharisees. But, if so, he does not raise his voice against this mob violence. Saul does not seem to be aware that he is going contrary to the views of his master, though pupils often go further than their teachers.

Acts 7:59

They stoned ( $\varepsilon \lambda_{1} \theta_{\mathrm{o}} \beta \beta_{o} \lambda_{o v v) . ~ S a m e ~ v e r b ~ a n d ~ t e n s e ~ r e p e a t e d, ~ t h e y ~ k e p t ~ o n ~ s t o n i n g, ~ t h e y ~}^{\text {, }}$ kept it up as he was calling upon the Lord Jesus and making direct prayer to him as "Lord Jesus" (Kupıє I $\eta \sigma o u$ ).

Receive my spirit ( $\delta \varepsilon \xi \alpha$ тo $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \mu \circ v$ ). Aorist middle imperative, urgency, receive it now. Many have followed Stephen into death with these words upon their dying lips. See, 9:14,21; 22:16.

Acts 7:60
Kneeled down ( $\theta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha$ үova $\tau \alpha$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, placing the knees (on the ground). This idiom is not in the old Greek for kneeling, but Luke has it five times (Lu 22:41; Ac 7:60; 9:40; 22:36; 21:5) and Mark once ( $15: 19$ ). Jesus was standing at the right hand of God and Stephen knelt before him in worship and called on him in prayer.

Lay not this sin to their charge ( $\mu \eta \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \eta \iota \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau о \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \nu$ ). First aorist (ingressive) active subjunctive with $\mu \eta$, regular Greek idiom, Place not to them or against them (dative avtors) this sin. The very spirit of Jesus towards his enemies as he died upon the Cross (Lu 23:34).

He fell asleep ( $\varepsilon \kappa о \mu \eta \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of коњ $\mu \omega$, to put to sleep. Old verb and the metaphor of sleep for death is common in all languages, but it is peculiarly appropriate here as Jesus used it of Lazarus. See also Ac 13:36; 1Co 15:18, etc. Our word
 picture word of rest and calmness which stands in dramatic contrast to the rage and violence of the scene."

## Acts 8

Acts 8:1
Was consenting ( $\eta v \sigma v \nu \varepsilon v \delta o \kappa \omega v$ ). Periphrastic imperfect of $\sigma v v \varepsilon v \delta o \kappa \varepsilon \omega$, a late double compound ( $\sigma \nu v, \varepsilon v, \delta о \kappa \varepsilon \omega$ ) that well describes Saul's pleasure in the death ( $\alpha v \alpha \iota \rho \sigma \iota \varsigma$, taking off, only here in the N.T., though old word) of Stephen. For the verb see on Lu 23:32. Paul himself will later confess that he felt so (Ac 22:20), coolly applauding the murder of Stephen, a heinous $\sin$ (Ro 1:32). It is a gruesome picture. Chapter 7 should have ended here.

On that day ( $\varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \iota \nu \eta \tau \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). On that definite day, that same day as in 2:41.
A great persecution ( $\delta \omega \omega \gamma \mu \circ \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \varsigma)$. It was at first persecution from the Sadducees, but this attack on Stephen was from the Pharisees so that both parties are now united in a general persecution that deserves the adjective "great." See on Mt 13:21 for the old word $\delta \iota \omega \gamma \mu$ os from $\delta \iota \omega \kappa \omega$, to chase, hunt, pursue, persecute.

Were all scattered abroad ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \delta เ \varepsilon \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Second aorist passive indicative of $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$, to scatter like grain, to disperse, old word, in the N.T. only in Ac $8: 1,4 ; 11: 19$.

Except the apostles $(\pi \lambda \eta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ a $\pi \sigma \sigma \tau o \lambda \omega \nu$ ). Preposition $\pi \lambda \eta \nu$ (adverb from $\pi \lambda \varepsilon o \nu$, more) with the ablative often in Luke. It remains a bit of a puzzle why the Pharisees spared the apostles. Was it due to the advice of Gamaliel in Ac 5:34-40? Or was it the courage of the apostles? Or was it a combination of both with the popularity of the apostles in addition?

Acts 8:2
Devout ( $\varepsilon v \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. Only four times in the N.T. (Lu 2:25; Ac 2:5; 8:2; 22:12 ). Possibly some non-Christian Jews helped. The burial took place before the Christians were chiefly scattered.

Buried ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \kappa о \mu \iota \sigma \alpha v$ ). Aorist active indicative of $\sigma v v \kappa о \mu \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb to bring together, to collect, to join with others in carrying, to bury (the whole funeral arrangements). Only here in the N.T.

Lamentation (колєтоv). Late word from колто $\mu \alpha$, to beat the breast, in LXX, Plutarch, etc., only here in the N.T.

Acts 8:3
Laid waste ( $\varepsilon \lambda \nu \mu a \imath v \varepsilon \tau o$ ). Imperfect middle of $\lambda \nu \mu a ı v o \mu \alpha$, old verb (from $\lambda \nu \mu \eta$, injury), to dishonour, defile, devastate, ruin. Only here in the N.T. Like the laying waste of a vineyard by a wild boar (Ps 79:13 ). Picturesque description of the havoc carried on by Saul now the leader in the persecution. He is victor over Stephen now who had probably worsted him in debate in the Cilician synagogue in Jerusalem.

Into every house (ката 兀оטৎ оєкоиৎ). But Luke terms it "the church" ( $\tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha v)$. Plainly not just an "assembly," but an organized body that was still "the church" when scattered in their own homes, "an unassembled assembly" according to the etymology. Words do not remain by the etymology, but travel on with usage.

Haling ( $\sigma v \rho \omega v$ ). Literally, dragging forcibly (=hauling). Present active participle of $\sigma v \rho \omega$, old verb.

Men and women ( $\alpha v \delta \rho a \varsigma \kappa \alpha \gamma \cup v a i k \alpha \varsigma)$. A new feature of the persecution that includes the women. They met it bravely as through all the ages since (cf. 9:2; 22:4). This fact will be a bitter memory for Paul always.

Committed ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \iota \delta o v)$. Imperfect active of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, old verb, kept on handing them over to prison.

Acts 8:4
They therefore ( $o \mu \varepsilon v o v v$ ). Demonstrative $o$ as often ( $1: 6$, etc.) though it will make sense as the article with the participle $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. The general statement is made here by $\mu \varepsilon v$ and a particular instance ( $\delta \varepsilon$ ) follows in verse 5 . The inferential particle (ouv) points back to verse 3, the persecution by young Saul and the Pharisees. Jesus had commanded the disciples not to depart from Jerusalem till they received the Promise of the Father ( $1: 4$ ), but they had remained long after that and were not carrying the gospel to the other peoples ( $1: 8)$. Now they were pushed out by Saul and began as a result to carry out the Great Commission for world conquest, that is those "scattered abroad" ( $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$, second aorist passive participle of $\delta \iota a \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \omega)$. This verb means disperse, to sow in separate or scattered places ( $\delta(\alpha)$ and so to drive people hither and thither. Old and very common verb, especially in the LXX, but in the N.T. only in Ac 8:1,4; 11:19 .

Went about ( $\delta i \eta \lambda \theta \mathrm{ov}$ ). Constative second aorist active of $\delta \iota \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to go through (from place to place, $\delta \mathbf{1} \alpha$ ). Old and common verb, frequent for missionary journeys in the Acts (5:40; 8:40; 9:32; 11:19; 13:6).

Preaching the word ( $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{1} \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v o$ тov $\lambda o \gamma o v$ ). Evangelizing or gospelizing the word (the truth about Christ). In 11:19 Luke explains more fully the extent of the labours of these new preachers of the gospel. They were emergency preachers, not ordained clergymen, but men stirred to activity by the zeal of Saul against them. The blood of the martyrs (Stephen) was already becoming the seed of the church. "The violent dispersion of these earnest disciples resulted in a rapid diffusion of the gospel" (Alvah Hovey).

Acts 8:5
Philip (Фı入ı兀тоৎ). The deacon ( 6:5) and evangelist (21:8), not the apostle of the same name (Mr 3:18).

To the city of Samaria ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \Sigma a \mu \alpha \rho ı \alpha \varsigma)$. Genitive of apposition. Samaria is the name of the city here. This is the first instance cited of the expansion noted in verse 4. Jesus had an early and fruitful ministry in Samaria (Joh 4 ), though the twelve were forbidden to go into a Samaritan city during the third tour of Galilee (Mt 10:5), a temporary prohibition withdrawn before Jesus ascended on high (Ac 1:8).

Proclaimed ( $\varepsilon \kappa \eta \rho v \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active, began to preach and kept on at it. Note $\varepsilon v a \gamma ү \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota} \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v o$ in verse 4 of missionaries of good news (Page) while $\varepsilon \kappa \eta \rho \cup \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v$ here presents
the preacher as a herald. He is also a teacher ( $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda o \varsigma)$ like Jesus. Luke probably obtained valuable information from Philip and his daughters about these early days when in his home in Caesarea (Ac 21:8).

Acts 8:6
Gave heed ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon i \chi o v$ ). Imperfect active as in verses 10,11 , there with dative of the person ( $\alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ), here with the dative of the thing ( $\tau$ оı $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \iota s)$. There is an ellipse of vouv (mind). They kept on giving heed or holding the mind on the things said by Philip, spell-bound, in a word.

When they heard ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega$ aкousiv avtov¢). Favourite Lukan idiom, $\varepsilon v$ and the locative case of the articlar infinitive with the accusative of general reference "in the hearing as to them."

Which he did ( $\alpha$ ع $\pi \sigma$ ) $)$. Imperfect active again, which he kept on doing from time to time. Philip wrought real miracles which upset the schemes of Simon Magus.

Acts 8:7
For many ( $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o \gamma \alpha \rho$ ). So the correct text of the best MSS., but there is an anacoluthon as this nominative has no verb with it. It was "the unclean spirits" that "came out" ( $\varepsilon \xi \eta \rho \chi \circ v \tau 0$, imperfect middle). The margin of the Revised Version has it "came forth," as if they came out of a house, a rather strained translation. The loud outcry is like the demons cast out by Jesus (Mr 3:11; Lu 4:41).

Palsied ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \nu \mu \varepsilon v o$, perfect passive participle). Luke's usual word, loosened at the side, with no power over the muscles. Furneaux notes that "the servant was reaping where the Master had sown. Samaria was the mission field white for the harvest (Joh 4:35 )." The Samaritans who had been bewitched by Simon are now carried away by Philip.

Acts 8:9
Simon ( $\Sigma \mu \omega v$ ). One of the common names (Josephus, Ant. XX. 7, 2) and a number of messianic pretenders had this name. A large number of traditions in the second and third centuries gathered round this man and Baur actually proposed that the Simon of the Clementine Homilies is really the apostle Paul though Paul triumphed over the powers of magic repeatedly (Ac 13:6-12; 19:11-19) , "a perfect absurdity" (Spitta, Apostelgeschichte, p. 149). One of the legends is that this Simon Magus of Acts is the father of heresy and went to Rome and was worshipped as a god (so Justin Martyr). But a stone found in the Tiber A.D. 1574 has an inscription to Semoni Sanco Deo Fidio Sacrum which is (Page) clearly to Hercules, Sancus being a Sabine name for Hercules. This Simon in Samaria is simply one of the many magicians of the time before the later gnosticism had gained a foothold. "In his person Christianity was for the first time confronted with superstition and religious imposture, of which the ancient world was at this period full" (Furneaux).

Which beforetime used sorcery ( $\pi \rho \circ v \pi \eta \rho \chi \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v \omega v$ ). An ancient idiom (periphrastic), the present active participle $\mu \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v \omega v$ with the imperfect active verb from $\pi \rho \sigma \cup \pi \alpha \rho \chi \omega$,
the idiom only here and Lu 23:12 in the N.T. Literally "Simon was existing previously practising magic." This old verb $\mu \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v \omega$ is from $\mu \alpha \gamma \sigma \varsigma$ (a $\mu \alpha \gamma \nu \varsigma$, seer, prophet, false prophet, sorcerer) and occurs here alone in the N.T.

Amazed (existanon). Present active participle of the verb $\varepsilon \xi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha v \omega$, later form of $\varepsilon \xi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, to throw out of position, displace, upset, astonish, chiefly in the Gospels in the N.T. Same construction as $\mu \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v \omega v$.

Some great one ( $\tau \iota v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha v$ ). Predicate accusative of general reference (infinitive in indirect discourse). It is amazing how gullible people are in the presence of a manifest impostor like Simon. The Magi were the priestly order in the Median and Persian empires and were supposed to have been founded by Zoroaster. The word $\mu \alpha \gamma$ (magi) has a good sense in Mt 2:1, but here and in Ac 13:6 it has the bad sense like our "magic."

Acts 8:10
That power of God which is called Great ( $\eta \Delta v \vee \alpha \mu \iota \varsigma \tau o v \theta \varepsilon o v \eta \kappa \alpha \lambda o v \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta$ M $\eta \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta$ ). Apparently here already the oriental doctrine of emanations or aeons so rampant in the second century. This "power" was considered a spark of God himself and Jerome (in Mt 24 ) quotes Simon (Page) as saying: Ego sum sermo Dei, ... ego omnipotens, ego omnia Dei. Simon claimed to impersonate God.

Acts 8:11
Because that of long time he had amazed them with his sorceries ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ то 七к $\alpha \nu \omega \chi \rho \circ \nu \omega$ $\tau \alpha ı \varsigma ~ \mu \alpha ү \iota \alpha ı \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha \alpha \cup \tau о v \varsigma) . ~ C a u s a l ~ u s e ~ o f ~ \delta ı \alpha ~ w i t h ~ t h e ~ a c c u s a t i v e ~ a r t i c u l a r ~ i n f i n i t i v e ~$ (perfect active Koine form and transitive, $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha)$. Same verb as in verse 9 participle $\varepsilon \xi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \omega \nu$ and in verse 13 imperfect passive $\varepsilon \xi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \tau 0$ (cf. also 2:7 already). X $\rho o v \omega$ is associative instrumental and $\mu \alpha \gamma$ ııı instrumental case.

Acts 8:12
They were baptized ( $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \zeta o v \tau o)$. Imperfect passive (repetition, from time to time), while
believed ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha v$ ) is constative aorist antecedent to the baptism. Note dative case of Philip with $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha v$. Note the gospel of Philip "concerning the kingdom of God, and the name of Jesus Christ."

Acts 8:13
And Simon also himself believed (Но $\delta \varepsilon \sum \mu \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \alpha v \tau о \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Note the same verb in the aorist tense $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \nu$. What did he believe? Evidently that Jesus was this "power of God" not himself (Simon). He saw that the miracles wrought by Philip in the name of Christ were genuine while he knew that his own were frauds. He wanted this power that Philip had to add to his own pretensions. "He was probably half victim of self-delusion, half conscious impostor" (Furneaux). He was determined to get this new "power," but had no sense of personal need of Jesus as Saviour for his sins. So he submitted to baptism ( $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma$, first aorist passive participle of $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \zeta \omega)$, clear proof that baptism does not convey salvation.

He continued with Philip ( $\eta \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \rho \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega \nu \tau \omega \Phi \wedge \lambda \iota \pi \pi \omega$ ). Periphrastic imperfect of the verb $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \kappa \alpha \rho \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \omega$ (see on $2: 46$ ). He stuck to Philip (dative case) to find out the secret of his power.

Beholding $(\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \omega v)$. Watching the signs and miracles (powers, $\delta v v \alpha \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma$ that threw his "power" in the shade) as they were wrought ( $\gamma$ เvo $\mu \varepsilon v a c$, present middle participle of $\gamma เ v o \mu \alpha)$. The more he watched the more the wonder grew ( $\varepsilon \xi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \tau o)$. He had "amazed" (verse 9) the people by his tricks and he was himself more "amazed" than they by Philip's deeds.

Acts 8:14
That Samaria had received (o $\delta \delta \delta \varepsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \eta \Sigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho ı \alpha$ ). The district here, not the city as in verse 5. Perfect middle indicative of $\delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ retained in indirect discourse. It was a major event for the apostles for now the gospel was going into Samaria as Jesus had predicted ( $1: 8)$. Though the Samaritans were nominally Jews, they were not held so by the people. The sending of Peter and John was no reflection on Philip, but was an appropriate mission since "many Christian Jews would be scandalized by the admission of Samaritans" (Furneaux). If Peter and John sanctioned it, the situation would be improved. John had once wanted to call down fire from heaven on a Samaritan village (Lu 9:54).

## Acts 8:15

That they might receive ( $0 \pi \omega \varsigma \lambda \alpha \beta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ). Second aorist active subjunctive of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$, final clause with o $\pi \omega \varsigma$. Did they wish the Samaritan Pentecost to prove beyond a doubt that the Samaritans were really converted when they believed? They had been baptized on the assumption that the Holy Spirit had given them new hearts. The coming of the Holy Spirit with obvious signs (cf. 10:44-48) as in Jerusalem would make it plain.

Acts 8:16
He was fallen ( $\eta v \varepsilon \pi \iota \pi \varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa \circ \varsigma$ ). Periphrastic past perfect active of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, old verb. The participle is neuter here because of the grammatical gender of $\pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha$, but the translation should be "he" (natural gender), not "it." We should not use "it" for the Holy Spirit.

Only they had been baptized ( $\mu$ оvov $\delta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o v \pi \eta \rho \chi о v$ ). Periphrastic past perfect passive of $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ with $v \pi \alpha \rho \chi \omega$ (see verse $9 \pi \rho o v \pi \eta \rho \chi \circ v$ ), instead of $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu$.

Into the name ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~$ то оvo $\mu \alpha$ ). Better, in the name (see on $2: 38$ ).
Acts 8:17
Laid they their hands ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \iota \theta \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. Imperfect active, repetition. The laying on of hands did not occur at the great Pentecost $(2: 4,33)$ nor in $4: 31 ; 10: 44$ nor is it mentioned in 1Co 12; 14 . It is mentioned in Ac 6:7 about the deacons and in 13:3 when Barnabas and Saul left Antioch. And in Saul's case it was Ananias who laid his hands on him (9:17). Hence it cannot be concluded that the Holy Spirit was received only by the laying on of the hands of the apostles or by the hands of anyone. The so-called practice of "confirmation" appeals to this passage, but inconclusively.

They received ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v o v)$ ). Imperfect active, repetition as before and $\pi \alpha \rho \pi \alpha \sigma \sigma v$ with the laying on of the hands.

Acts 8:18
When Simon saw ( $I \delta \omega v \delta \varepsilon$ o $\Sigma \mu \mu \nu v$ ). This participle (second aorist active of opa $\omega$ ) shows plainly that those who received the gift of the Holy Spirit spoke with tongues. Simon now saw power transferred to others. Hence he was determined to get this new power.

He offered them money ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta \nu \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \varepsilon v \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\pi \rho о \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$. He took Peter to be like himself, a mountebank performer who would sell his tricks for enough money. Trafficking in things sacred like ecclesiastical preferments in England is called "Simony" because of this offer of Simon.

Acts 8:19
Me also (кано). This is the whole point with this charlatan. He wants the power to pass on "this power." His notion of "The Holy Spirit" was on this low level. He regarded spiritual functions as a marketable commodity. Money "can buy diamonds, but not wisdom, or sympathy, or faith, or holiness" (Furneaux).

Acts 8:20
Perish with thee ( $\sigma u v \sigma 0 \varepsilon \nmid \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ ). Literally, Be with thee for destruction. Optative for a future wish. The use of $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ with the accusative in the predicate is especially common in the LXX. The wish reveals Peter's indignation at the base offer of Simon. Peter was no grafter to accept money for spiritual power. He spurned the temptation. The natural meaning of Peter's language is that Simon was on the road to destruction. It is a warning and almost a curse on him, though verse 22 shows that there was still room for repentance.

To obtain ( $\kappa \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha)$. To acquire. Usual meaning of the present tense (infinitive middle) of $\kappa \tau \alpha o \mu \alpha$.

Acts 8:21
Lot ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \varsigma)$. Same idea as "part" ( $\mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \varsigma)$, only as a figure.
Matter ( $\lambda o \gamma o$ ). Literally, word or subject (as in Lu 1:4; Ac 15:6 ), the power of communicating the Holy Spirit. This use of $\lambda$ opoc is in the ancient Greek.

Straight ( $\varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon i \alpha)$. Quotation from Ps 78:37. Originally a mathematically straight line as in Ac 9:11, then moral rectitude as here.

Acts 8:22
Wickedness (какıая). Only here in Luke's writings, though old word and in LXX (cf. 1Pe 2:1,16 ).

If perhaps $(\varepsilon \alpha \rho \alpha)$. Si forte. This idiom, though with the future indicative and so a condition of the first class (determined as fulfilled), yet minimizes the chance of forgiveness as in Mr 11:13. Peter may have thought that his sin was close to the unpardonable sin (Mt 12:31 ), but he does not close the door of hope.

The thought ( $\eta \varepsilon \pi เ v o i \alpha)$. Old Greek word from $\varepsilon \pi เ v o \varepsilon \omega$, to think upon, and so purpose. Only here in the N.T.

Acts 8:23
That thou art ( $\sigma \varepsilon$ ov $\tau \alpha$ ). Participle in indirect discourse after o $\rho \omega$ (I see).
In the gall of bitterness ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma\rceil \bigcirc \lambda \eta \nu \pi \iota \kappa \rho ı a \varsigma)$. Old word from $\chi \circ \lambda \alpha \varsigma$ either from $\chi \varepsilon \omega$, to pour, or $\chi \lambda$ on, yellowish green, bile or gall. In the N.T. only in Mt 27:34 and here. In LXX in sense of wormwood as well as bile. See De 29:18; 32:32; La 3:15; Job 16:14 . "Gall and bitterness" in De 29:18. Here the gall is described by the genitive đıкрıas as consisting in "bitterness." In Heb 12:15 "a root of bitterness," a bitter root. This word пıкрıa in the N.T. only here and Heb 12:15; Ro 3:14; Eph 4:31. The "bond of iniquity" ( $\sigma v \vee \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu о v ~ \alpha \delta ı \kappa ı \alpha) ~ i s ~ f r o m ~$ Isa 58:6 . Paul uses this word of peace (Eph 4:3), of love ( $\mathrm{Col} 3: 14$ ), of the body (Col 2:19 ). Peter describes Simon's offer as poison and a chain.

Acts 8:24
Pray ye for me ( $\Delta \varepsilon \eta \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \mu \circ v$ ). Emphasis on $v \mu \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma$ (you). First aorist passive imperative. Simon is thoroughly frightened by Peter's words, but shows no sign of personal repentance or change of heart. He wants to escape the penalty for his sin and hopes that Peter can avert it. Peter had clearly diagnosed his case. He was an unconverted man in spite of his profession of faith and baptism. There is no evidence that he ever changed his life at all.

Which ( $\omega v$ ). Genitive by attraction of the accusative relative $\alpha$ to case of the unexpressed antecedent $\tau 0 \cup \tau \omega \nu$ (of those things), a common Greek idiom.

Acts 8:25
They therefore (o $\mu \varepsilon v o v v$ ). Demonstrative o with $\mu \varepsilon v$ (no following $\delta \varepsilon$ ) and the inferential ouv (therefore) as often in Acts ( 1:6, etc.).

Returned (viع $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \circ \vee$ ). Imperfect active picturing the joyful journey of preaching ( $\varepsilon \cup \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\curlywedge} \zeta_{\text {(ovto, imperfect middle) to the Samaritan villages. Peter and John now carried }}$ on the work of Philip to the Samaritans. This issue was closed.

Acts 8:26
Toward the South ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \sigma \eta \mu \beta \rho \iota \alpha v$ ). Old word from $\mu \varepsilon \sigma o \varsigma$ and $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$, midday or noon as in Ac 22:16 , the only other example in the N.T. That may be the idea here also, though "towards the South" gets support from the use of кata $\lambda_{1} \beta \alpha$ in Ac 27:12 .

The same is desert (avtך $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \circ \varsigma$ ). Probably a parenthetical remark by Luke to give an idea of the way. One of the ways actually goes through a desert. Gaza itself was a strong city that resisted Alexander the Great five months. It was destroyed by the Romans after war broke out with the Jews.

Acts 8:27
A eunuch of great authority ( $\varepsilon u v o u \chi o \varsigma ~ \delta u v a \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Eunuchs were often employed by

in Lu 1:52 and of God in 1Ti 6:15. Eunuchs were not allowed to be Jews in the full sense (De 23:1), but only proselytes of the gate. But Christianity is spreading to Samaritans and to eunuchs.

Candace (Kavסакпऽ). Not a personal name, but like Pharaoh and Ptolemy, the title of the queens of Ethiopia. This eunuch apparently brought the gospel to Ethiopia.

Treasure ( $\gamma \alpha \zeta \eta \varsigma)$. Persian word, common in late Greek and Latin for the royal treasure, here only in the N.T.

For to worship ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa v \nu \eta \sigma \omega v$ ). Future active participle expressing purpose, a common idiom in the ancient Greek, but rare in the N.T. (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1128).

Acts 8:28
Was reading ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v)$ ). Imperfect active descriptive, not periphrastic like the two preceding verbs (was returning and sitting). He was reading aloud as Philip "heard him reading" ( $\eta$ коибєv autov avaүเv $\omega \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau о \varsigma)$, a common practice among orientals. He had probably purchased this roll of Isaiah in Jerusalem and was reading the LXX Greek text. See imperfect again in verse 32 .

Acts 8:29
Join thyself (кодл $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau)$. See this vivid word (be glued to, first aorist passive imperative) already in 5:13; Lu 10:11; 15:15. Philip probably jumped on the running board on the side of the chariot.

Acts 8:30
Understandest thou what thou readest? (A $\alpha \gamma \varepsilon \gamma เ \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \alpha \alpha v \alpha ı \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon ו \sigma ?)$ The interrogative particle $\alpha \rho \alpha$ and the intensive particle $\gamma \varepsilon$ indicate doubt on Philip's part. The play ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha v o \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha)$ upon the words in the Greek is very neat:

Do you know what you know again (read)? The verb for read ( $\alpha v \alpha \gamma เ v \omega \sigma \kappa \circ$ ) means to know the letters again, recognize, read. The famous comment of Julian about the Christian writings is often quoted: A $\nu \varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega v, \varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega v, \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \gamma v \omega \nu$ (I read, I understood, I condemned). The keen retort was: Av $\nu \gamma \nu \omega \sigma, \alpha \lambda \lambda$ 'оик $\varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \sigma, \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \sigma$, оик $\alpha \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \varsigma$ (You read, but did not understand; for if you had understood, you would not have condemned).

Acts 8:31
How can I, except some one shall guide me? ( $\Pi \omega \varsigma \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha v \delta \nu v a \mu \eta \nu \varepsilon \alpha \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma ~ o \delta \eta \gamma \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ $\mu \varepsilon$ ?). This is a mixed condition, the conclusion coming first belongs to the fourth class (undetermined with less likelihood of being determined) with $\alpha v$ and the optative, but the condition ( $\varepsilon a v$, instead of the usual $\varepsilon$, and the future indicative) is of the first class (determined or fulfilled. Robertson, Grammar, p. 1022), a common enough phenomenon in the Koine. The eunuch felt the need of some one to guide ( $0 \delta \eta \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ from o $\delta \eta \gamma \circ \varsigma$, guide, and that from oठoc, way, and $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \circ \mu \alpha$, to lead).

Acts 8:32

The place ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \rho \stackrel{\chi}{ } \boldsymbol{\pi})$ ). See the verb $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon$ so used in 1 Pe 2:6. The word is used either of the section as in Codex A before the beginning of Mark or the contents of a passage. He was here reading one particular passage (Isa 53:7f. ). The quotation is from the LXX which has some variations from the Hebrew.

Acts 8:33
Was taken away ( $\eta \rho \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\alpha \iota \rho \omega$, to take away. It is not clear what the meaning is here either in the Hebrew or the LXX. Knowling suggests that the idea is that justice was withheld, done away with, in his death, as it certainly was in the death of Christ.

Acts 8:34
Of whom ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \operatorname{\tau } v o \varsigma$ ). Concerning whom, a pertinent inquiry surely and one that troubles many critics today.

Acts 8:35
Beginning from this scripture ( $\alpha \rho \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ \alpha \pi о ~ \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta \varsigma \tau \alpha \nu \tau \eta \varsigma)$. As a text. Philip needed no better opening than this Messianic passage in Isaiah.

Preached unto him Jesus ( $\varepsilon \cup \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota} \sigma \alpha \tau 0 ~ \alpha v \tau \omega$ tov I I $\sigma o v v$ ). Philip had no doubt about the Messianic meaning and he knew that Jesus was the Messiah. There are scholars who do not find Jesus in the Old Testament at all, but Jesus himself did (Lu 24:27) as Philip does here. Scientific study of the Old Testament (historical research) misses its mark if it fails to find Christ the Center of all history. The knowledge of the individual prophet is not always clear, but after events throw a backward light that illumines it all (1Pe 1:11f.; 2Pe 1:19-21).

Acts 8:36
What doth hinder me to be baptized? (T $\kappa \omega \lambda \nu \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta v \alpha!?)$. Evidently Philip had said something about baptism following faith and conversion. Verse 37 is not a genuine part of Acts, a western addition. Later baptismal liturgies had it.

Acts 8:39
Out of the water ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ tov vסatoc). Not from the edge of the water, but up out of the water as in Mr 1:10.

Caught away ( $\eta \rho \pi \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Suddenly and miraculously, for $\alpha \rho \pi \alpha \zeta \omega$, like the Latin rapio, means to carry off. Cf. 2Co 12:2; 1Th 4:17.

Went on his way (عтореveto). Kept on going, imperfect active.
Acts 8:40
He preached the gospel ( $\varepsilon v \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{1}$ (£ $\varepsilon$ (o). Imperfect middle describing the evangelistic tour of Philip "till he came to Caesarea" ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ тov $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon เ v ~ \alpha \nu \tau o v$, genitive articular infinitive with the preposition $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ and the accusative of general reference) where he made his home and headquarters thereafter (Ac 21:28) and was known as the Evangelist.

## Acts 9

Acts 9:1
Yet $(\varepsilon \tau)$. As if some time elapsed between the death of Stephen as is naturally implied by the progressive persecution described in $8: 3$. The zeal of Saul the persecutor increased with success.

Breathing threatening and slaughter ( $\varepsilon v \pi \nu \varepsilon \omega \nu \alpha \pi \varepsilon ı \lambda \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha \varphi o v o v)$. Present active participle of old and common verb. Not "breathing out," but "breathing in" (inhaling) as in Aeschylus and Plato or "breathing on" (from Homer on). The partitive genitive of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \iota \lambda \eta \varsigma$ and yovov means that threatening and slaughter had come to be the very breath that Saul breathed, like a warhorse who sniffed the smell of battle. He breathed on the remaining disciples the murder that he had already breathed in from the death of the others. He exhaled what he inhaled. Jacob had said that "Benjamin shall ravin as a wolf" (Ge 49:27). This greatest son of Benjamin was fulfilling this prophecy (Furneaux). The taste of blood in the death of Stephen was pleasing to young Saul (8:1) and now he revelled in the slaughter of the saints both men and women. In 26:11 Luke quotes Paul as saying that he was "exceedingly mad against them."

Acts 9:2
Asked ( $\eta \iota \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \tau 0)$. First aorist middle indicative, the indirect middle, asked for himself (as a favour to himself). Felten notes that "Saul as a Pharisee makes request of a Sadducee" (the high priest) either Caiaphas if before A.D. 35, but if in 36 Jonathan, son of Caiaphas or if in 37 Theophilus, another son of Caiaphas.

Letters ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \lambda a \varsigma)$. Julius Ceasar and Augustus had granted the high priest and Sanhedrin jurisdiction over Jews in foreign cities, but this central ecclesiastical authority was not always recognized in every local community outside of Judea. Paul says that he received his authority to go to Damascus from the priests (Acts 26:10) and "the estate of the elders" (22:5), that is the Sanhedrin.

To Damascus (عıऽ $\Delta \alpha \mu \alpha \sigma \kappa о v$ ). As if no disciples of importance (outside the apostles in Jerusalem) were left in Judea. Damascus at this time may have been under the rule of Aretas of Arabia (tributary to Rome) as it certainly was a couple of years later when Saul escaped in a basket (2Co 11:32). This old city is the most enduring in the history of the world (Knowling). It is some 150 miles Northeast from Jerusalem and watered by the river Abana from Anti-Lebanon. Here the Jews were strong in numbers (10,000 butchered by Nero later) and here some disciples had found refuge from Saul's persecution in Judea and still worshipped in the synagogues. Paul's language in Ac $26: 11$ seems to mean that Damascus is merely one of other "foreign cities" to which he carried the persecution.

If he found $(\varepsilon \alpha v \varepsilon v \rho \eta)$. Third class condition with aorist subjunctive retained after secondary tense (asked).

The Way ( $\tau \eta \varsigma$ o $\delta o u$ ). A common method in the Acts for describing Christianity as the Way of life, absolutely as also in $19: 9,23 ; 22: 4 ; 24: 14,22$ or the way of salvation (16:17) or the way of the Lord ( 18:25). It is a Jewish definition of life as in Isa 40:3 "the way of the Lord," Ps 1:6 "the way of the righteous," "the way of the wicked." Jesus called himself "the way" (Joh 14:6), the only way to the Father. The so-called Epistle of Barnabas presents the Two Ways. The North American Indians call Christianity the Jesus Road.

That he might bring them bound (o $\pi \omega \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma ~ \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \eta)$. Final clause with o $\pi \omega \varsigma$ (less common than $i v \alpha$ ) and aorist (effective) subjunctive ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \eta$, reduplicated aorist of $\alpha \gamma \omega$, common verb) and perfect passive participle ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma)$ of $\delta \varepsilon \omega$, in a state of sheer helplessness like his other victims both men and women. Three times (8:3; 9:2; 22:4) this fact of persecuting women is mentioned as a special blot in Paul's cruelty (the third time by Paul himself) and one of the items in his being chief of sinners (1Ti 1:15).

Acts 9:3
As he journeyed ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \pi \sigma \rho \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Luke's common idiom for a temporal clause (in the journeying), $\varepsilon v$ with the locative articular middle infinitive.

Drew nigh ( $\varepsilon \nmid \downarrow \zeta(\varepsilon \imath v)$. Present active infinitive, was drawing nigh.
Shone round about him (avtov $\pi \varepsilon \rho \emptyset \eta \sigma \tau \rho a \psi \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist (ingressive) active indicative of $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ a \sigma \tau \rho a \pi \tau \omega$, late compound verb common in LXX and Byzantine writers, here and 22:6 alone in the N.T. "A light from heaven suddenly flashed around him." It was like a flash of lightning. Paul uses the same verb in 22:5, but in 26:13 he employs $\pi \varepsilon \rho i \lambda \alpha \mu \psi \alpha v$ (shining around). There are numerous variations in the historical narrative of Saul's conversion in 9:3-18 and Luke's report of Paul's two addresses, one on the steps of the Tower of Antonia facing the murderous mob ( 22:6-16), the other before Festus and Agrippa ( 26:12-20). A great deal of capital has been made of these variations to the discredit of Luke as a writer as if he should have made Paul's two speeches conform at every point with his own narrative. This objection has no weight except for those who hold that Luke composed Paul's speeches freely as some Greek writers used to do. But, if Luke had notes of Paul's speeches or help from Paul himself, he naturally preserved the form of the two addresses without trying to make them agree with each other in all details or with his own narrative in chapter 9. Luke evidently attached great importance to the story of Saul's conversion as the turning point not simply in the career of the man, but an epoch in the history of apostolic Christianity. In broad outline and in all essentials the three accounts agree and testify to the truthfulness of the account of the conversion of Saul. It is impossible to overestimate the worth to the student of Christianity of this event from every angle because we have in Paul's Epistles his own emphasis on the actual appearance of Jesus to him as the fact that changed his whole life ( $1 \mathrm{Co} 15: 8$; Ga 1:16f. ). The variations that appear in the three accounts do not mar the story, when rightly understood, as we shall see. Here, for instance, Luke simply mentions "a light from heaven," while in 22:6 Paul calls it "a great (ıкavov) light" "about noon" and in

26:13 "above the brightness of the sun," as it would have to be "at midday" with the sun shining.

Acts 9:4
He fell upon the earth $(\pi \varepsilon \sigma \omega v \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \nu \gamma \eta v)$. Second aorist active participle. So in 22:7 Paul says: "I fell unto the ground" ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau 0 \varepsilon \delta \alpha \varphi \bigcirc \varsigma)$ using an old word rather than the common $\gamma \eta \nu$. In 26:14 Paul states that "we were all fallen to the earth" ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma o \nu \tau \omega \nu$ $\eta \mu \omega \nu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \nu \gamma \eta \nu$, genitive absolute construction). But here in verse 7 "the men that journeyed with him stood speechless" (เбтๆкєเбav $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \circ$ ). But surely the points of time are different. In 26:14 Paul refers to the first appearance of the vision when all fell to the earth. Here in verse 7 Luke refers to what occurred after the vision when both Saul and the men had risen from the ground.

Saul, Saul ( $\Sigma \alpha o v \lambda, \Sigma \alpha o v \lambda$ ). The Hebrew form occurs also in 22:7; $26: 14$ where it is expressly stated that the voice was in the Hebrew (Aramaic) tongue as also in 9:17 (Ananias). Deissmann (Bible Studies, p. 316) terms this use of $\sum \alpha o v \lambda$ "the historian's sense of liturgical rhythm." For the repetition of names by Jesus note Lu 10:41 (Martha, Martha), Lu 22:31 (Simon, Simon).

Me ( $\mu \varepsilon$ ). In persecuting the disciples, Saul was persecuting Jesus, as the words of Jesus in verse 5 made plain. Christ had already spoken of the mystic union between himself and his followers (Mt 10:40; 25:40,45; Joh 15:1-5 ). The proverb (Pindar) that Jesus quotes to Saul about kicking against the goad is genuine in 26:14, but not here.

## Acts 9:5

Lord (кирьє). It is open to question if кирıє should not here be translated "Sir" as in 16:30 and in Mt 21:29,30; Joh 5:7; 12:21; 20:15 ; and should be so in Joh 9:36 . It is hardly likely that at this stage Saul recognized Jesus as Lord, though he does so greet him in 22:10 "What shall I do, Lord?" Saul may have recognized the vision as from God as Cornelius says "Lord" in 10:4. Saul surrendered instantly as Thomas did (Joh 20:28) and as little Samuel (1Sa 3:9 ). This surrender of the will to Christ was the conversion of Saul. He saw a real Person, the Risen Christ, to whom he surrendered his life. On this point he never wavered for a moment to the end.

Acts 9:6
The best MSS. do not have "trembling and astonished," and "What wilt thou have me to do, Lord?" The Textus Receptus put these words in here without the authority of a Greek codex. See 22:10 above for the genuine text.

It shall be told thee $(\lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Future passive indicative of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$. It is hardly likely that Luke records all that Jesus said to Saul, but more was to come on his arrival in Damascus. Saul had received all that he could bear just now (Joh 16:12).

What (oт). Rare in Koine use of this indefinite neuter relative in an indirect question, the only example in the N.T. (Robertson, Grammar, p. 731). Human agents like Ananias can finish what Jesus by supernatural manifestation has here begun in Saul.

Acts 9:7
That journeyed with him (o ovvoסعvovte¢ $\alpha v \tau \omega$ ). Not in the older Greek, but in the Koine, with the associative instrumental.

Speechless ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon o$ ). Mute. Only here in N.T., though old word.
Hearing the voice, but beholding no man ( $\alpha \kappa о v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \mu \varepsilon v \tau \eta \varsigma \varphi \omega v \eta \sigma, \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \alpha \delta \varepsilon$ $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho 0 u v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Two present active participles in contrast ( $\mu \varepsilon v, \delta \varepsilon$ ). In 22:9 Paul says that the men "beheld the light" ( $\tau \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \nu \varphi \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \alpha \sigma \alpha v \tau 0)$, but evidently did not discern the person. Paul also says there, "but they heard not the voice of him that spake to me" ( $\tau \eta \nu \delta \varepsilon \varphi \omega \eta \nu$ оик $\eta \kappa о v \sigma \alpha \nu$ тои $\lambda \alpha \lambda$ оuvтос $\mu \circ$ ). Instead of this being a flat contradiction of what Luke says in $9: 7$ it is natural to take it as being likewise (as with the "light" and "no one") a distinction between the "sound" (original sense of $\varphi \omega v \eta$ as in Joh 3:8) and the separate words spoken. It so happens that $\alpha \kappa \sigma \omega \omega$ is used either with the accusative (the extent of the hearing) or the genitive (the specifying). It is possible that such a distinction here coincides with the two senses of $\varphi \omega v \eta$. They heard the sound ( 9:7), but did not understand the words (22:9). However, this distinction in case with $\alpha \kappa о v \omega$, though possible and even probable here, is by no means a necessary one for in Joh 3:8 where $\varphi \omega \nu \eta \nu$ undoubtedly means "sound" the accusative occurs as Luke uses $\eta$ кouбع $\varphi \omega \nu \eta \nu$ about Saul in Ac 9:4. Besides in 22:7 Paul uses $\eta \kappa о \cup \sigma \alpha \propto \omega \nu \eta \varsigma$ about himself, but $\eta \kappa о \nu \sigma \alpha \varphi \omega \nu \eta \nu$ about himself in 76:14, interchangeably.

Acts 9:8
He saw nothing (ov $\delta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active indicative, was seeing nothing. "The glory of that light" ( $22: 11$ ) when he saw Jesus had blinded his eyes now wide open ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \omega \iota \gamma \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu$, perfect passive participle of $\alpha v o t \gamma \omega$ with double reduplication). The blindness was proof that something had happened to him and that it was no hallucination that he had seen the Risen Christ. Saul arose after the others were on their feet.

They led him by the hand ( $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho a \gamma \omega \gamma о \cup \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. From $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho a \gamma \omega \gamma \circ \varsigma$ ( $\chi \varepsilon \rho$, hand and $\alpha \gamma \omega$, to lead). Only here in the N.T., but in LXX and late writers though not in the old Greek. It was a pathetic picture to see the masterful Saul, victorious persecutor and conqueror of the disciples, now helpless as a child.

Acts 9:9
Not seeing ( $\mu \eta \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega v$ ). The usual negative $\mu \eta$ of the participle. It was a crisis for Saul, this sudden blindness for three days ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$, accusative of extent of time). Later ( Ga 4:15) Paul has an affection of the eyes which may have been caused by this experience on the road to Damascus or at least his eyes may have been predisposed by it to weakness in the glare of the Syrian sun in the land where today so much eye trouble exists. He neither
ate nor drank anything, for his appetite had gone as often happens in a crisis of the soul. These must have been days of terrible stress and strain.

Acts 9:10
Ananias (Havaviac). Name common enough (cf. 5:1 for another Ananias) and means "Jehovah is gracious." Nomen et omen (Knowling). This Ananias had the respect of both Jews and Christians in Damascus (22:12).

In a vision $(\varepsilon v o \rho \alpha \mu \alpha \tau)$. Zeller and others scout the idea of the historicity of this vision as supernatural. Even Furneaux holds that "it is a characteristic of the Jewish Christian sources to point out the Providential ordering of events by the literary device of a vision," as "in the early chapters of Matthew's and Luke's Gospels." He is content with this "beautiful expression of the belief" with no interest in the actual facts. But that is plain illusion, not to say delusion, and makes both Paul and Luke deceived by the story of Ananias (9:10-18; $22: 12-16,26$ ). One MS. of the old Latin Version does omit the vision to Ananias and that is basis enough for those who deny the supernatural aspects of Christianity.

Acts 9:11
To the street $(\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta v \rho v \mu \eta v)$. See on Lu 14:21. A run way (from $\rho \varepsilon \omega$, to run) between the houses. So were the narrow lanes or alleys called streets and finally in later Greek the word is applied to streets even when broad.

Straight $(\varepsilon \cup \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha v)$. Most of the city lanes were crooked like the streets of Boston (old cow-paths, people say), but this one still runs "in a direct line from the eastern to the western gate of the city" (Vincent). Since the ancients usually rebuilt on the same sites, it is probable that the line of the street of that name today is the same, though the actual level has been much raised. Hence the identification of the house of Ananias and the house of Judas are very precarious.

Acts 9:12
Coming in and laying ( $\varepsilon \iota \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta$ ov $\alpha \alpha \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Second aorist (ingressive) active participles picturing the punctiliar act as a sort of indirect discourse after verbs of sensation (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 1040-2). Some ancient documents do not have "in a vision" here.

Receive his sight ( $\alpha v \alpha \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active subjunctive with o $\pi \omega \varsigma$ (purpose). See again as in 9:17.

Acts 9:13
How much evil (оба кака). How many evil things. Saul's reputation (26:10) as a persecutor had preceded him.

To thy saints ( $\tau$ oıs $\alpha$ үוoıৎ). Dative of disadvantage. "Used here for the first time as a name for the Christians" (Knowling), but it came to be the common and normal (Hackett) term for followers of Christ ( $9: 32,41 ; 26: 10 ; 1$ Co $1: 2$, etc.). This common word is from to $\alpha \gamma \circ \varsigma$, religious awe or reverence and is applied to God's name (Lu 1:49), God's temple (Mt 24:15 ), God's people as set apart for God (Lu 1:70; 2:23; Ro 1:7, etc.). Ananias in his ignor-
ance saw in Saul only the man with an evil reputation while Jesus saw in Saul the man transformed by grace to be a messenger of mercy.

Acts 9:14
Hath authority ( $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \varepsilon \xi$ ovoıav). Probably Ananias had received letters from the Christians left in Jerusalem warning him of the coming of Saul. The protest of Ananias to Jesus against any dealing with Saul is a fine illustration of our own narrow ignorance in our rebellious moods against the will of God.

Acts 9:15
A chosen vessel ( $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon \cup \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \lambda о \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. A vessel of choice or selection. The genitive of quality is common in the Hebrew, as in the vernacular Koine. Jesus chose Saul before Saul chose Jesus. He felt of himself that he was an earthen vessel (2Co 4:7) unworthy of so great a treasure. It was a great message that Ananias had to bear to Saul. He told it in his own way (9:17; 22:14f. ) and in 26:16f. Paul blends the message of Jesus to Ananias with that to him as one.

Before the Gentiles ( $\varepsilon v \omega \pi \iota \nu \tau \omega v \varepsilon \theta \nu \omega v$ ). This was the chief element in the call of Saul. He was to be an apostle to the Gentiles (Eph 3:6-12).

Acts 9:16
I will shew (v爪оঠعı $\xi \omega$ ). Beforehand as a warning as in Lu 3:7 and from time to time.
He must suffer ( $\delta \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v \pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon ı v$ ). Constative aorist active infinitive ( $\pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon \iota v$, from $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \omega)$ covering the whole career of Saul. Suffering is one element in the call that Saul receives. He will learn "how many things" (ooa) are included in this list by degrees and by experience. A glance at 2Co 10-12 will show one the fulfilment of this prophecy. But it was the "gift" of Christ to Paul to go on suffering ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \varepsilon \iota \nu$, present infinitive, Php 1:39).

Acts 9:17
Laying his hands on him ( $\left.\varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma \varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau 0 \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \varsigma\right)$. As in the vision Saul saw (verse 12).

Brother Saul ( $\Sigma \alpha o v \lambda \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \varepsilon$ ). All suspicion has vanished and Ananias takes Saul to his heart as a brother in Christ. It was a gracious word to Saul now under suspicion on both sides.
 to Jesus.

Who appeared ( $\circ$ o $\varphi \theta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ). First aorist passive participle of opac, was seen as in 26:16 and with the dative also ( $\sigma o$ ).

Thou camest ( $\eta \rho \chi \circ v$ ). Imperfect indicative middle, "thou wert coming."
Be filled with the Holy Spirit ( $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma \alpha \nLeftarrow \circ v$ ). This enduement of special power he will need as an apostle (Hackett) and as promised by Jesus (1:8; Ga 2:7 ).

Acts 9:18

Fell off ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \nu$ ). Second aorist active indicative (note--an ending like first aorist) of $\alpha \pi \sigma \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, old verb, but here alone in the N.T.

As if it were scales ( $\omega \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \pi \iota \delta \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). Chiefly late word (LXX) from $\lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$, to peel, and only here in the N.T. See Tobit 11:13, "The white film peeled from his eyes" ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \theta \eta$ ). Luke does not say that actual "scales" fell from the eyes of Saul, but that it felt that way to him as his sight returned, "as if" $(\omega \varsigma)$. Medical writers use the word $\lambda \varepsilon \pi \iota \varsigma$ for pieces of the skin that fall off (Hobart, Medical Language of St. Luke, p. 39). Luke may have heard Paul tell of this vivid experience.

Was baptized $(\varepsilon \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative. Apparently by Ananias ( $22: 16$ ) as a symbol of the new life in Christ already begun, possibly in the pool in the house of Judas as today water is plentiful in Damascus or in Abana or Pharpar (Furneaux), better than all the waters of Israel according to Naaman (2Ki 5:12).

Acts 9:19
Was strengthened $(\varepsilon \nu \iota \sigma \chi \cup \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\varepsilon \nu เ \sigma \chi \cup \omega$, to receive strength ( $ا \sigma \chi \cup \varsigma)$, comparatively late verb and here only in the N.T. save Lu 22:43 where it is doubtful. Poor verse division. This clause belongs in sense to verse 18.

Some days ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho a \varsigma \tau \iota \downarrow \propto)$. An indefinite period, probably not long, the early period in Damascus before Saul left for Arabia (Ga 1:13-24 ).

Acts 9:20
He proclaimed Jesus ( $\varepsilon \kappa \eta \rho v \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v \tau o v$ I $\eta \sigma o v v$ ). Imperfect indicative, inchoative, began to preach. Jesus, not Christ, is the correct text here. He did this first preaching in the Jewish synagogues, a habit of his life when possible, and following the example of Jesus.

That he is the Son of God (o ov ovoc $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ o vioc $\tau 0 v \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). This is Paul's platform as a Christian preacher, one that he always occupied to the very end. It was a complete reversal of his previous position. Jesus had turned him completely around. It is the conclusion that Saul now drew from the vision of the Risen Christ and the message through Ananias. By "the Son of God" Saul means the Messiah of promise and hope, the Messianic sense of the Baptist (Joh 1:34) and of Nathanael (Joh 1:49) for Saul is now proclaiming his faith in Jesus in the very synagogues where he had meant to arrest those who professed their faith in him. Peter laid emphasis on the Resurrection of Jesus as a glorious fact and proclaimed Jesus as Lord and Christ. Paul boldly calls Jesus the Son of God with full acknowledgment of his deity from the very start. Thomas had come to this place slowly (Joh 20:28). Saul begins with this truth and never leaves it. With this faith he can shake the world. There is no power in any other preaching.

Acts 9:21
Were amazed ( $\varepsilon \xi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha v \tau o$ ). Imperfect middle indicative of $\varepsilon \xi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$. They continued to stand out of themselves in astonishment at this violent reversal in Saul the persecutor.

Made havock ( $\pi$ o $\rho \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ ). First aorist active participle of $\pi \rho \rho \theta \varepsilon \omega$, to lay waste, an old verb, but only here and Ga 1:13,23 by Paul, an interesting coincidence. It is the old proverb about Saul among the prophets (1Sa 10:12) revived with a new meaning (Furneaux).

Had come ( $\varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \cup \theta \varepsilon$ ). Past perfect indicative active.
Might bring ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \eta$ ). Second aorist (effective) active subjunctive of $\alpha \gamma \omega$ with $\mathfrak{\imath} \alpha$ (purpose).

Bound ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle of $\delta \varepsilon \omega$. Interesting tenses.
Acts 9:22
Increased the more ( $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v \varepsilon v \varepsilon \delta u v a \mu o v \tau o)$. Imperfect passive indicative of $\varepsilon v \delta v v a \mu o \omega$, to receive power (late verb), progressive increase in strength as opposition grew. Saul's recantation stirred controversy and Saul grew in power. See also Paul in Php 4:13; 1Ti 1:12; 2Ti 2:1; 4:17; Ro 4:20. Christ, the dynamo of spiritual energy, was now pouring power (Ac 1:8) into Paul who is already filled with the Holy Spirit (Ac 9:17).

Confounded ( $\sigma 0 v \varepsilon \chi \cup v \nu \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active indicative of $\sigma 0 v \chi \cup v v \omega$ (late form of $\sigma ט v \chi \varepsilon \omega$, to pour together, commingle, make confusion. The more Saul preached, the more the Jews were confused.

Proving ( $\sigma v \nu \beta \iota \beta \alpha \zeta \omega v$ ). Present active participle of $\sigma v \nu \beta \iota \beta \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb to make go together, to coalesce, to knit together. It is the very word that Luke will use in 16:10 of the conclusion reached at Troas concerning the vision of Paul. Here Saul took the various items in the life of Jesus of Nazareth and found in them the proof that he was in reality "the Messiah" (o Xpıбтos). This method of argument Paul continued to use with the Jews (Ac 17:3 ). It was irresistible argument and spread consternation among the Jews. It was the most powerful piece of artillery in the Jewish camp that was suddenly turned round upon them. It is probable that at this juncture Saul went into Arabia for several years (Ga 1:12-24). Luke makes no mention of this important event, but he leaves ample room for it at this point.

Acts 9:23
When many days were fulfilled ( $\mathrm{H} \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \cup v \tau 0 \quad \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ เк $\alpha v \alpha$ ). Imperfect passive indicative of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$, old and common verb, were in process of being fulfilled. How "many" (considerable, ıкаva, common word for a long period) Luke does not say nor does he say that Saul spent all of this period in Damascus, as we know from Ga 1:16-18 was not the case. Paul there states definitely that he went away from Damascus to Arabia and returned there before going back to Jerusalem and that the whole period was about "three years" which need not mean three full years, but at least portions of three. Most of the three years was probably spent in Arabia because of the two explosions in Damascus (before his departure and on his return) and because he was unknown in Jerusalem as a Christian on his arrival there. It cannot be argued from the frequent lacunae in the Acts that Luke tells all that was true or that he knew. He had his own methods and aims as every historian has. We are at perfect liberty to supplement the narrative in the Acts with items from Paul's Epistles. So
we must assume the return of Saul from Arabia at this juncture, between verses 22,23, when Saul resumed his preaching in the Jewish synagogues with renewed energy and grasp after the period of mature reflection and readjustment in Arabia.

Took counsel together ( $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \beta$ ou $\varepsilon$ عvoavto). First aorist (effective) middle indicative of $\sigma u v \beta o u \lambda \varepsilon v \omega$, old and common verb for counselling ( $\beta o v \lambda \varepsilon v \omega$ ) together ( $\sigma v v$ ). Things had reached a climax. It was worse than before he left for Arabia. Paul was now seeing the fulfilment of the prophecy of Jesus about him (9:16).

To kill him ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ v ~ a v \tau o v)$. Second aorist (effective) active infinitive of $\alpha v a \iota \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to take up, to make away with, to kill ( $\mathrm{Lu} 23: 32$; $\mathrm{Ac} 12: 1$, etc.). The infinitive expresses purpose here as is done in verse 24 by o $\pi \omega \varsigma$ and the aorist active subjunctive of the same verb ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \omega \sigma \tau v$ ). Saul now knew what Stephen had suffered at his hands as his own life was in peril in the Jewish quarter of Damascus. It was a picture of his old self. He may even have been scourged here (2Co 11:24).

Acts 9:24
Plot $(\varepsilon \pi / \beta o u \lambda \eta)$. Old word for a plan ( $\beta o u \lambda \eta$ ) against $(\varepsilon \pi)$ one. In the N.T. only in Acts (9:24; 20:3,19; 23:30).

They watched ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho o u v \tau 0$ ). Imperfect middle indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$, common verb in late Greek for watching beside ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ) or insidiously or on the sly as in $\mathrm{Lu} 6: 7$, they kept on watching by day and night to kill him. In 2Co 11:32 Paul says that the Ethnarch of Aretas "kept guard" ( $\varepsilon \varphi \rho \circ 0 \rho \varepsilon$, imperfect active of $\varphi \rho \circ \cup \rho \varepsilon \omega$ ) to seize him. Probably the Jews obtained the consent of the Ethnarch and had him appoint some of them as guards or watchers at the gate of the city.

Acts 9:25
 $\delta \iota \alpha \theta u \rho ı \delta o c$ (through a window) which opened into the house on the inside of the wall as is true today in Damascus as Hackett saw there. See Jos 2:15f. (cf. 1Sa 19:12) for the way that Rahab let out the spies "by a cord through the window."

Lowering him ( $\alpha \cup \tau 0 v \chi \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\chi \alpha \lambda \alpha \omega$, old and common verb in a nautical sense (Ac 27:17,30) as well as otherwise as here. Same verb used by Paul of this experience (2Co 11:33).

In a basket ( $\varepsilon v \sigma \varphi \cup \rho \iota \delta$ ). The word used when the four thousand were fed (Mr 8:8; Mt 15:37 ). A large basket plaited of reeds and distinguished in Mr 8:19f. (Mt 16:9f. ) from the smaller корıvoc. Paul uses $\sigma \alpha \rho \gamma \alpha v \eta$, a basket made of ropes. This escape by night by the help of the men whom he had come to destroy was a shameful memory to Paul (2Co 11:33 ). Wendt thinks that the coincidences in language here prove that Luke had read II Corinthians. That, of course, is quite possible.

Acts 9:26
He assayed ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \mid \rho \alpha \zeta \varepsilon v)$ ). Imperfect active of conative action.

To join himself ( $\kappa о \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Present middle (direct) infinitive of conative action again. Same word кo入入aw in Lu 15:15; Ac 10:28. See on Mt 19:5 for discussion.

Were all afraid of him ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \varphi \circ \beta o u v \tau 0 \alpha v \tau o v$ ). They were fearing him. Imperfect middle picturing the state of mind of the disciples who had vivid recollections of his conduct when last here. What memories Saul had on this return journey to Jerusalem after three years. He had left a conquering hero of Pharisaism. He returns distrusted by the disciples and regarded by the Pharisees as a renegade and a turncoat. He made no effort to get in touch with the Sanhedrin who had sent him to Damascus. He had escaped the plots of the Jews in Damascus only to find himself the object of suspicion by the disciples in Jerusalem who had no proof of his sincerity in his alleged conversion.

Not believing ( $\mu \eta \pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. They had probably heard of his conversion, but they frankly disbelieved the reports and regarded him as a hypocrite or a spy in a new role to ruin them.

Was ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. The present tense is here retained in indirect discourse according to the common Greek idiom.

Acts 9:27
Took him ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \alpha \beta o \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Second aorist middle (indirect) participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, common verb to lay hold of. Barnabas saw the situation and took Saul to himself and listened to his story and believed it. It is to the credit of Barnabas that he had the insight and the courage to stand by Saul at the crucial moment in his life when the evidence seemed to be against him. It is a pleasing hypothesis that this influential disciple from Cyprus had gone to the University of Tarsus where he met Saul. If so, he would know more of him than those who only knew his record as a persecutor of Christians. That fact Barnabas knew also, but he was convinced that Jesus had changed the heart of Saul and he used his great influence (Ac 4:36; 11:22) to win the favour of the apostles, Peter in particular (Ga 1:19) and James the half-brother of Jesus. The other apostles were probably out of the city as Paul says that he did not see them.

To the apostles ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau$ тouc $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau 0 \lambda o v \varsigma)$ ). Both Barnabas and James are termed apostles in the general sense, though not belonging to the twelve, as Paul did not, though himself later a real apostle. So Barnabas introduced Saul to Peter and vouched for his story, declared it fully ( $\delta ı \eta \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \tau 0$, in detail) including Saul's vision of Jesus ( $\varepsilon \iota \delta \varepsilon v$ tov кирıov) as the vital thing and Christ's message to Saul ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v a v \tau \omega)$ and Saul's bold preaching ( $\eta \pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \sigma \sigma \alpha \tau$, first aorist middle indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \omega \omega$ from $\pi \alpha v--\rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ telling it all as in Ac 2:29). Peter was convinced and Saul was his guest for two weeks (Ga 1:18) with delightful fellowship (เбто $\eta \sigma \alpha$ ). He had really come to Jerusalem mainly "to visit" (to see) Peter, but not to receive a commission from him. He had that from the Lord (Ga 1:1f. ). Both Peter and James could tell Saul of their special experiences with the Risen Christ. Furneaux thinks that Peter was himself staying at the home of Mary the mother of John

Mark (Ac 12:12) who was a cousin of Barnabas ( $\operatorname{Col} 4: 10$ ). This is quite possible. At any rate Saul is now taken into the inner circle of the disciples in Jerusalem.

Acts 9:28
Going in and going out ( $\varepsilon є \sigma \pi о \rho \varepsilon ч \mu \varepsilon v о \varsigma ~ к а ~ \varepsilon к \pi о \rho \varepsilon v о \mu \varepsilon ข о \varsigma) . ~ B a r n a b a s ~ a n d ~ P e t e r ~ a n d ~$ James opened all the doors for Saul and the fear of the disciples vanished.

## Acts 9:29

Preaching boldly ( $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \tau \propto\lceil\mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. For a while. Evidently Saul did not extend his preaching outside of Jerusalem ( $\mathrm{Ga} 1: 22$ ) and in the city preached mainly in the synagogues of the Hellenists ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ тouc $\mathrm{H} \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v ⿺ \sigma \tau \alpha \varsigma)$ as Stephen had done (Ac 8:9). As a Cilician Jew he knew how to speak to the Hellenists.

Disputed ( $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active of $\sigma \cup v\lceil\eta \tau \varepsilon \omega$, the very verb used in 6:9 of the disputes with Stephen in these very synagogues in one of which (Cilicia) Saul had probably joined issue with Stephen to his own discomfort. It was intolerable to these Hellenistic Jews now to hear Saul taking the place of Stephen and using the very arguments that Stephen had employed.

But they went about to kill him (Ho $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \rho \rho o v \nu ~ a v \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ı \nu ~ \alpha u \tau o v) . ~ D e m o n s t r a t i v e ~ o ~$ with $\delta \varepsilon$ and the conative imperfect of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \chi \varepsilon เ \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to put the hand to, to try, an old verb used in the N.T. only three times ( $\operatorname{Lu} 1: 1 ; \operatorname{Ac} 9: 29 ; 19: 3$ ). They offer to Saul the same conclusive answer that he gave to Stephen, death. Paul tells how the Lord Jesus appeared to him at this juncture in a vision in the temple (Ac 22:17-21) with the distinct command to leave Jerusalem and how Paul protested that he was willing to meet the fate of Stephen in whose death he had a shameful part. That is to Saul's credit, but the Lord did not want Saul to be put to death yet. His crown of martyrdom will come later.

Acts 9:30
Knew it ( $\varepsilon \pi เ \gamma v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Second aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, to know fully. The disciples saw it clearly, so they
conducted ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \alpha \gamma \circ v$, effective second aorist active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \omega$ ).
Sent forth ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \backslash \lambda \alpha v$ ). Double compound ( $\varepsilon \xi$, out, $\alpha \pi 0$, away or off). Sent him out and off
to Tarsus ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ Tapoov). Silence is preserved by Luke. But it takes little imagination to picture the scene at home when this brilliant young rabbi, the pride of Gamaliel, returns home a preacher of the despised Jesus of Nazareth whose disciples he had so relentlessly persecuted. What will father, mother, sister think of him now?

Acts 9:31
So the church ( $\mathrm{H} \eta \mu \varepsilon v$ ouv єкк $\lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ ). The singular $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ is undoubtedly the true reading here (all the great documents have it so). By this time there were churches scattered over Judea, Galilee, and Samaria (Ga 1:22), but Luke either regards the disciples in Palestine as still members of the one great church in Jerusalem (instance already the work of Philip
in Samaria and soon of Peter in Joppa and Caesarea) or he employs the term $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ in a geographical or collective sense covering all of Palestine. The strictly local sense we have seen already in 8:1,3 (and Mt 18:17) and the general spiritual sense in Mt 16:18. But in Ac 8:3 it is plain that the term is applied to the organization of Jerusalem Christians even when scattered in their homes. The use of $\mu \varepsilon v$ ouv (so) is Luke's common way of gathering up the connection. The obvious meaning is that the persecution ceased because the persecutor had been converted. The wolf no longer ravined the sheep. It is true also that the effort of Caligula A.D. 39 to set up his image in the temple in Jerusalem for the Jews to worship greatly excited the Jews and gave them troubles of their own (Josephus, Ant. XVIII. 8, 2-9).

Had peace ( $\varepsilon ı \chi \varepsilon v \varepsilon ı \rho \eta \nu \eta v)$ ). Imperfect active. Kept on having peace, enjoying peace, because the persecution had ceased. Many of the disciples came back to Jerusalem and the apostles began to make preaching tours out from the city. This idiom ( $\varepsilon \chi \omega \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \nu \eta \nu$ ) occurs again in Ro 5:1 ( $\varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \nu \eta v \varepsilon \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$, present active subjunctive) where it has been grievously misunderstood. There it is an exhortation to keep on enjoying the peace with God already made, not to make peace with God which would be $\varepsilon เ \rho \eta \nu \eta \nu \sigma \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$ (ingressive aorist subjunctive).

Edified (oккоסoبоинвvŋ). Present passive participle, linear action also. One result of the enjoyment of peace after the persecution was the continued edification (Latin word aedificatio for building up a house), a favourite figure with Paul (1Co 14; Eph 3 ) and scattered throughout the N.T., old Greek verb. In 1Pe 2:5 Peter speaks of "the spiritual house" throughout the five Roman provinces being "built up" (cf. Mt 16:18) .

In the comfort of the Holy Spirit ( $\tau \eta \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau о v ~ a \gamma ю v \pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma)$. Either locative ( in ) or instrumental case ( by ). The Holy Spirit had been promised by Jesus as "another Paraclete" and now this is shown to be true. The only instance in Acts of the use of тарак $\eta$ ๆ๘я with the Holy Spirit. The word, of course, means calling to one's side ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha к \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ ) either for advice or for consolation.

Was multiplied ( $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \theta$ vveтo). Imperfect middle passive. The multiplication of the disciples kept pace with the peace, the edification, the walking in the fear of the Lord, the comfort of the Holy Spirit. The blood of the martyrs was already becoming the seed of the church. Stephen had not borne his witness in vain.

Acts 9:32
Lydda ( $\Lambda v \delta \delta \alpha$ ). In O.T. Lod (1Ch 8:12) and near Joppa. Later Diospolis.
Acts 9:33
Aenias (Aıveav). Old Greek name and so probably a Hellenistic Jew. He was apparently a disciple already (the saint, verse 32). Luke the physician notes that he had been bed ridden for eight years. See on 5:15 for "bed" (краßаттои) and 8:7; Lu 5:18 for "paralyzed" ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \nu \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$, perfect passive participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \nu \omega$ with $\eta \nu$, periphrastic past perfect passive).

Acts 9:34
Healeth ( $1 \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Aoristic present middle indicative, heals here and now.
Make thy bed ( $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \sigma \sigma \nu \sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). First aorist (ingressive) active imperative of $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \nu \nu \nu \mu$ $(-v \omega)$. Old word with "bed" ( $\kappa \rho \alpha \beta a \tau \tau о v)$ understood as the object. Literally, spread thy bed for thyself (dative case), what others for eight years have done for thee.

Acts 9:35
Sharon ( $\Sigma \alpha \rho \omega v \alpha)$. The Plain of Sharon, not a town. Thirty miles long from Joppa to Caesarea.

Acts 9:36
At Joppa (Ev Io $\pi \pi \eta$ ). The modern Jaffa, the port of Jerusalem (2Ch 2:16).
Disciple ( $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \rho \iota \alpha$ ). Feminine form of $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \eta \varsigma$, a learner from $\mu \alpha \nu \theta \alpha v \omega$, to learn, a late word and only here in the N.T.

Tabitha $(T \alpha \beta \varepsilon \iota \theta \alpha)$. Aramaic form of the Hebrew Tsebi and, like the Greek word
Dorcas ( $\Delta$ оркаৎ), means Gazelle, "the creature with the beautiful look" (or eyes), from $\delta \varepsilon \rho \kappa о \mu \alpha$. The gazelle was a favourite type for beauty in the orient (Song of Solomon 2:9,17; 4:5; 7:3 ). She may have had both the Aramaic and the Greek name, Tabitha Dorcas like John Mark. There is nothing said about a husband and so she was probably unmarried. She is the second woman mentioned by name after Pentecost (Sapphira the other). She did her beautiful deeds by herself. She did not have a Dorcas society.

Did ( $\varepsilon \pi \sigma ו \varepsilon)$. Imperfect active, her habit.
Acts 9:37
In an upper chamber $(\varepsilon v v \pi \varepsilon \rho \omega t \omega)$. See on $1: 13$. Also in verse 39 . In that house. This service was rendered by the women, though Luke has $\lambda$ ovoavtec (masculine plural aorist active participle of $\lambda o v \omega$ ), a general way of saying "they washed." The interment was not hurried as in Jerusalem (Ananias and Sapphira) and the upper room is where the body was usually placed.

Acts 9:38
Delay not ( $\mu \eta$ окv $\boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \eta \iota$ ). Ingressive aorist active subjunctive in prohibition. Direct discourse and not indirect as late MSS. have (aorist active infinitive, oк $\eta \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). Possibly the two messengers started before Dorcas was quite dead, though we do not know. Peter had recently healed Aeneas and the disciples may have had faith enough to believe that he could raise the dead by the power of Christ. W. M. Ramsay doubts if Dorcas was really dead, but why see legends in these supernatural events?

Acts 9:39
Stood by him ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). Second aorist active indicative, intransitive, of $\pi \alpha \rho ı \sigma \tau \eta \mu)$. Vivid picture of this group of widows as they stood around Peter, weeping ( $\kappa \lambda \alpha \iota v \sigma \alpha$ ) and showing ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \delta \varepsilon \iota \kappa \nu v \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$, present middle as belonging to themselves, pointing with pride to) the very inner garments ( $\chi \iota \tau \omega \nu \alpha \varsigma)$ and outer garments ( $\mu \alpha \tau \iota \alpha$ ), like
the Latin tunica and toga, which she made from time to time ( $\varepsilon \pi о \varepsilon$, imperfect active, repeated action). It was a heart-breaking scene.

Acts 9:40
Put them all forth ( $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \omega \nu \varepsilon \xi \omega \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Second aorist (effective) active participle of $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, a rather strong word, perhaps with some difficulty. Cf. Mr 5:40 which incident Peter may have recalled. The words are not genuine in Lu 8:54. Peter's praying alone reminds one of Elijah ( $1 \mathrm{Ki} 17: 20$ ) and the widow's son and Elisha for the Shunammite's son $(2 \mathrm{Ki}$ 4:33).

Tabitha, arise (Taßعı $\theta \alpha, \alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \theta)$. With sublime faith like T $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı \theta \alpha$ коv of Jesus in Mr 5:41.

She sat up ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Effective aorist active indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \iota \zeta \omega$. Often in medical writers, only here in the N.T. and Lu 7:15 where Westcott and Hort have in the margin the uncompounded form $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \nLeftarrow \iota \varepsilon v$. Vivid picture.

Acts 9:41
Raised her up ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \alpha u \tau \eta v$ ). First aorist active indicative, transitive, of $\alpha v / \sigma \tau \eta \mu$.
Presented ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative, transitive of $\pi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ (cf. intransitive second aorist in verse 39 above). It was a joyful time for Peter, the widows, all the saints, and for Dorcas.

Acts 9:43
 time, number, size. It might be "ten days, ten months, or ten years" (Page).

With one Simon a tanner ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \iota \nu \Sigma \mu \omega v \beta v \rho \sigma \varepsilon$ ). The use of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ is usual for staying with one (by his side). "The more scrupulous Jews regarded such an occupation as unclean, and avoided those who pursued it. The conduct of Peter here shows that he did not carry his prejudices to that extent" (Hackett). One of the rabbis said: "It is impossible for the world to do without tanners; but woe to him who is a tanner." A Jewess could sue for divorce if she discovered that her husband was a tanner. And yet Peter will have scruples on the housetop in the tanner's house about eating food considered unclean. "The lodging with the tanner was a step on the road to eating with a Gentile" (Furneaux).

## Acts 10

Acts 10:1
Cornelius (Kopvŋ $\lambda_{\iota o \varsigma}$ ). The great Cornelian family of Rome may have had a freedman or descendant who is
centurion ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau о v-\tau \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma$, leader of a hundred, Latin centurio). See on Mt 8:5. These Roman centurions always appear in a favourable light in the N.T. (Mt 8:5; Lu 7:2; 23:47; Ac 10:1;22:25; $27: 3$ ). Furneaux notes the contrasts between Joppa, the oldest town in Palestine, and Caesarea, built by Herod; the Galilean fisherman lodging with a tanner and the Roman officer in the seat of governmental authority.

Of the band called the Italian ( $\varepsilon \kappa \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \rho \eta \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha \lambda о \cup \mu \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma$ I $\tau \alpha \lambda \iota \kappa \eta \varsigma$ ). A legion had ten cohorts or "bands" and sixty centuries. The word $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \varsigma$ (note genitive in - $\varepsilon \varsigma$ like the Ionic instead of -ac) is here equal to the Latin cohors. In the provinces were stationed cohorts of Italic citizens (volunteers) as an inscription at Carnuntum on the Danube (Ramsay) has shown (epitaph of an officer in the second Italic cohort). Once more Luke has been vindicated. The soldiers could, of course, be Roman citizens who lived in Caesarea. But the Italian cohorts were sent to any part of the empire as needed. The procurator at Caesarea would need a cohort whose loyalty he could trust, for the Jews were restless.

Acts 10:2
Devout ( $\varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \beta \eta \zeta$ ). Old word from $\varepsilon v$ (well) and $\sigma \varepsilon \beta o \mu \alpha$ (to worship, to reverence), but rare in the N.T. (Ac 10:2,7; 2Pe 2:1 ). It might refer to a worshipful pagan (Ac 17:23, $\sigma \varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, objects of worship), but connected with "one that feared God" ( $\varphi \circ \beta о \nu \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$, tov $\theta$ sov) Luke describes "a God-fearing proselyte" as in 10:22,35. This is his usual term for the Gentile seekers after God ( $13: 16,26 ; 17: 4,17$, etc.), who had come into the worship of the synagogue without circumcision, and were not strictly proselytes, though some call such men "proselytes of the gate" (cf. Ac 13:43 ); but clearly Cornelius and his family were still regarded as outside the pale of Judaism ( $10: 28,34 ; 11: 1,8 ; 15: 7$ ). They had seats in the synagogue, but were not Jews.

Gave much alms ( $\pi \sigma t \omega v \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu \circ \sigma v v a \varsigma ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \varsigma)$. Doing many alms (the very phrase in Mt 6:2 ), a characteristic mark of Jewish piety and from a Gentile to the Jewish people.

Prayed ( $\delta \varepsilon o \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Begging of God. Almsgiving and prayer were two of the cardinal points with the Jews (Jesus adds fasting in his picture of the Pharisee in Mt 6:1-18).

Acts 10:3
Coming in ( $\varepsilon ા \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \mathrm{ov} \tau \alpha)$. Ingressive second aorist active participle, not present. So punctiliar, "saw come," not "saw coming." So also "say" or "speak," not "saying." Luke repeats the account of this vision to Cornelius twice $(10: 30 ; 11: 13)$ and also the story of the vision to Peter (10:1-16,28; 11:5).

Acts 10:4

Lord ( $\kappa \cup \rho เ \varepsilon$ ). Cornelius recognizes the angel of God (verse 3) as God's messenger.
Are gone up ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \beta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Timeless second aorist active indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha \iota v \omega$. Gone up like the smoke of incense in sacrifices.

For a memorial ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \mu \nu \eta \mu \circ \sigma \nu \nu o v$ ). Old word from $\mu \nu \eta \mu \omega \nu$. The only other instance in the N.T. is by Jesus about the act of Mary of Bethany (Mt 26:13; Mr 14:9). His prayers and his alms proved his sincerity and won the ear of God.

Acts 10:5
Fetch ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \alpha$ ). First aorist middle (indirect, for one's self) imperative of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega$, usual voice in ancient Greek with this verb in sense of sending another for one's own sake. Only in Acts in the N.T. See also 10:22.

Acts 10:6
 as a guest. So to entertain a guest as here or to surprise by strange acts (Ac 17:20; 1Pe 4:4).

Whose $(\omega)$. To whom, dative of possession.
By the seaside ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu$ ). Along by the sea. Note accusative case. Outside the city walls because a tanner and to secure water for his trade. Some tanneries are by the seashore at Jaffa today.

Acts 10:8
Rehearsed ( $\varepsilon \xi \eta \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma)$. See on $\operatorname{Lu} 24: 35$. All the details about the vision. The soldier was "devout" like Cornelius and would protect the two household servants (oוкદ $\omega \omega v$ ).

## Acts 10:9

On the morrow ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \pi \alpha u \rho \circ o v$ ). Locative case of article with the compound adverb ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ day being understood), the second day after leaving Caesarea, 28 miles from Joppa. The third day (the next morrow, verse 23) they start back home and the fourth day (on the morrow again, verse 24) they reach Caesarea.

As they $(\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \iota \nu \omega v)$. The party of three from Caesarea. Genitive absolute with present participle o $\delta \circ \iota \pi о \rho o v \nu \tau \omega \nu$ (journeying) and $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \iota \zeta \circ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ (drew nigh).

The housetop ( $\tau 0 \delta \omega \mu \alpha$ ). Old word and in Gospels (Lu 3:19, etc.), but only here in Acts. From $\delta \varepsilon \mu \omega$, to build, and so any part of the building (hall, dining room, and then roof). The roof was nearly flat with walls around and so was a good place for meditation and prayer and naps.

Acts 10:10
Hungry ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi \varepsilon เ v \circ \varsigma)$ Only instance of the word known, a $a \pi \alpha \xi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v$. Probably "very hungry" ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma=$ besides, in addition).

Desired ( $\eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active. Was longing to eat. It was about twelve o'clock noon and Peter may even have smelt the savory dishes, "while they made ready" ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha \zeta o v \tau \omega v$ ). "The natural and the supernatural border closely on one another, with no definable limits" (Furneaux).

He fell into a trance ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \frac{\varepsilon \pi}{} \pi^{\prime}$ avtov $\left.\varepsilon \kappa \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota\right)$ ). More exactly, "An ecstasy came upon him," in which trance he passed out of himself ( $\varepsilon \kappa \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma ı$, from $\varepsilon \xi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ ) and from which one came to himself ( $12: 11$ ). Cf. also 11:5; 22:17. It is thus different from a vision ( $о \rho a \mu \alpha$ ) as in verse 3.

Acts 10:11
Beholdeth $(\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon)$. Vivid historical present and change from past time.
Opened ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \omega \uparrow \gamma \mu \varepsilon v o v$, perfect passive participle with double reduplication, state of completion).

Descending ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha ı v o v)$ ). Present active participle describing the process.
Sheet (oӨov $\nu \nu$ ). Old word for linen cloth and only here in the N.T. Accusative case in apposition with $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon v o c$ (vessel).

Let down ( $\mathrm{Ka} \theta \ell \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Present passive participle of $\mathrm{Ka} \theta ı \eta \mu$. Old verb, but in the N.T. only here and $\mathrm{Lu} 5: 19$; Ac 9:25 . Linear action here picturing the process, "being let down."

By four corners ( $\tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \sigma \iota v$ a $\varnothing \propto \wedge \varsigma)$. Instrumental case of $\alpha \rho \chi \eta$, beginning. We say "end" or extremity for this use of the word. The picture is the sheet held up by four cords to which the sheet is fastened. Isa 11:12 had said that Israel would be gathered from the four corners of the earth. Knowling follows Hobart in taking the four corners of the sheet to be a medical phrase for bandage (the end of a bandage).

Acts 10:12
Were (v $\tau \eta \rho \chi \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect of $v \pi \alpha \rho \chi \omega$ in sense of $\eta v$, to exist, be. Fish are not mentioned, perhaps because the sheet had no water, though they were clean and unclean also (Le 11:9; De 14:9).

All manner of ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha)$. Literally, all, but clearly all varieties, not all individuals. Both clean and unclean animals are in the sheet.

Acts 10:14
Not so, Lord (M $\eta \delta \alpha \mu \omega \sigma, \kappa v \rho เ \varepsilon$ ). The negative $\mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \omega \varsigma$ calls for the optative $\varepsilon$ ו (may it not be) or the imperative $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega$ (let it be). It is not ov $\delta \alpha \mu \omega \varsigma$, a blunt refusal (I shall not do it). And yet it is more than a mild protest as Page and Furneaux argue. It is a polite refusal with a reason given. Peter recognizes the invitation to slay ( $\theta v \sigma o v$ ) the unclean animals as from the Lord ( $\kappa \cup \rho ı \varepsilon$ ) but declines it three times.

For I have never eaten anything (о оиסє ative, I never did anything like this and I shall not do it now. The use of $\pi \alpha \nu$ (everything) with oudetote (never) is like the Hebrew (lo--kol) though a like idiom appears in the vernacular Koine (Robertson, Grammar, p. 752).
 gether with) originally meant common to several (Latin communis) as in Ac 2:44; 4:32; Tit 1:4; Jude 1:3. The use seen here (also Mr 7:2,5; Ro 14:14; Heb 10:29; Re 21:27; Ac 10:28; 11:8), like Latin vulgaris is unknown in ancient Greek. Here the idea is made plain by the
addition of $\alpha \kappa \alpha \theta$ aptov (unclean), ceremonially unclean, of course. We have the same double use in our word "common." See on Mr 7:18f. where Mark adds the remarkable participle $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \iota \zeta \omega \nu$ (making all meats clean), evidently from Peter who recalls this vision. Peter had been reared from childhood to make the distinction between clean and unclean food and this new proposal even from the Lord runs against all his previous training. He did not see that some of God's plans for the Jews could be temporary. This symbol of the sheet was to show Peter ultimately that Gentiles could be saved without becoming Jews. At this moment he is in spiritual and intellectual turmoil.

Acts 10:15
Make not thou common ( $\sigma \nu \mu \eta$ коьvou). Note emphatic position of $\sigma v$ (thou). Do thou
 active imperative kotvov means precisely this. Peter had just called "common" what God had invited him to slay and eat.

Acts 10:16
Thrice ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \rho \iota \varsigma)$. For three times. Peter remained unconvinced even by the prohibition of God. Here is a striking illustration of obstinacy on the part of one who acknowledges the voice of God to him when the command of the Lord crosses one's preferences and prejudices. There are abundant examples today of precisely this thing. In a real sense Peter was maintaining a pose of piety beyond the will of the Lord. Peter was defiling what God had cleansed.

Was received up ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \varphi \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, to take up. The word used of the Ascension ( $1: 22$ ).

Acts 10:17
Was much perplexed in himself ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon a v \tau \omega \delta i \eta \pi \circ \rho \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active of $\delta \iota \alpha \pi \circ \rho \varepsilon \omega$, intensive compound ( $\delta \iota \alpha$, thoroughly, and $\alpha$ privative and $\pi$ о $\rho \circ \varsigma$, way), to be completely at a loss to know what road to take. Old verb, but in N.T. only in Luke and Acts. Page notes that Luke is singularly fond of verbs compounded with $\delta$ oa. See on Lu 9:7 and Ac 2:12 . When out of the ecstasy he was more puzzled than ever.

Might be ( $\alpha v \varepsilon ı \eta$ ). Optative with $\alpha v$ in indirect question simply retained from the direct (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 1021, 1044). See Ac 17:18, for the direct and Lu 1:62 for the indirect ( $\alpha \vee \theta \varepsilon \lambda o$ both times). It is the conclusion of a fourth class condition.

Having made inquiry ( $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\delta ı \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \omega$, another compound of $\delta$ ta, to ask one after another, to ask through, old verb, but only here in the N.T. It took diligent inquiry to find the obscure house of Simon the tanner.

Stood before the gate ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v \varepsilon \pi \tau \circ v \pi \nu \lambda \omega v \alpha)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \varphi \vdash \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, intransitive. Note repetition of $\varepsilon \pi$. The messengers stopped right at the folding gates of the passage ( $\pi v \lambda \omega v \alpha$ ) which led from the street to the inner court or house.

Acts 10:18
Called ( $\varphi \omega v \eta \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. In a loud voice that those inside the house might hear.

Asked ( $\varepsilon \pi v v \theta \alpha v o v \tau o$ ). Imperfect middle of $\pi v v \theta a v o \mu \alpha$, old verb to make inquiry especially with an indirect question as here. Kept on inquiring. Westcott and Hort follow B C here and read $\varepsilon \pi v \theta o v \tau o$ (second aorist middle, effective aorist). Either makes sense, though the imperfect is more picturesque.

Were lodging ( $\xi \varepsilon v \iota \zeta \tau \tau \alpha$ ). Present middle indicative retained in indirect question. See on verse 6 for the verb.

Acts 10:19
Thought ( $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \theta \cup \mu \circ \nu \mu \varepsilon \nu o v)$. Genitive absolute of present middle participle of $\delta ı v \theta v \mu \varepsilon \sigma \mu \alpha$, a double compound ( $\delta ı \alpha$ and $\varepsilon v$ - with $\theta v \mu \circ \varsigma$ ) and another $\alpha \pi \alpha \xi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma о \mu \varepsilon v o v$ save in ecclesiastical writers, though $\varepsilon v \theta v \mu \varepsilon \circ \mu \alpha$ is common enough and Textus Receptus so reads here. Peter was revolving in his mind, through and through, in and out, to find the meaning of the strange vision.

Acts 10:20
But $(\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha)$. So usually, though it is open to question whether $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ is adversative here and not rather, "Now then."

Get thee down ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \eta \theta$ ). Second aorist active imperative, at once.
Go ( $\pi 0 \rho \varepsilon v o v)$. Present middle imperative, go on.
Nothing doubting ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \delta \iota \alpha \rho \imath v o \mu \varepsilon v \circ \varsigma)$. Another compound of $\delta \iota \alpha$, old and common verb for a divided mind ( $\delta$ sa like $\delta v o$, two). Note usual negative of the present middle participle, the subjective $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v$. The notion of wavering (Jas 1:6) is common with this verb in the middle voice. In Ac 11:12 the aorist active ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \nu \delta \iota \alpha \kappa \iota \nu \alpha v \tau \alpha)$ is used perhaps with the idea of conduct towards others rather than his own internal doubt as here (Page).

For I (o $\tau \varepsilon \omega$ ). The Holy Spirit assumes responsibility for the messengers from Cornelius and thus connects their mission with the vision which was still troubling Peter. Peter had heard his name called by the man (verse 19).

Acts 10:21
Cause ( $\alpha \iota \tau \iota \alpha)$. Or reason. Common in this sense. See on Mt 19:3.
Acts 10:22
Righteous ( $\delta$ ıкаıоৎ). In the Jewish sense as in Lu 1:6; 2:25.
Well reported of ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho o v \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Present passive participle as in 6:3. Cf. the other centurion in Lu 7:4.

Nation ( $\varepsilon \theta v o v \varsigma)$. Not $\lambda \alpha o v$, for the speakers are Gentiles.
Was warned $(\varepsilon \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \eta \eta)$. First aorist passive of $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, old word for doing business, then consulting an oracle, and here of being divinely (word God not expressed) warned as in Mt 2:12,22; Lu 2:26; Heb 11:7. Then to be called or receive a name from one's business as in Ac 11:26; Ro 7:3 .

Acts 10:23
Lodged them ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon v / \sigma \varepsilon v)$. Active voice here rather than passive as in 10:6.

Accompanied him ( $\sigma v v \eta \lambda \theta \alpha v \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). Associative instrumental case after verb. The wisdom of having these half dozen Jewish Christians from Joppa with Peter in the house of Cornelius in Caesarea becomes manifest in Jerusalem (11:12).

Acts 10:24
Was waiting ( $\eta v \pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta o \kappa \omega v$ ). Periphrastic imperfect active, in eager expectation and hope, directing the mind ( $\delta$ ока $\omega$ ) towards ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ ) anything. Old and common verb.

Near ( $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \iota o u \varsigma)$. Only instance in the N.T. of this sense of $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \iota o$ from $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta$, necessity, what one cannot do without, necessary (1Co 12:22), duty (Ac 13:46 ), or blood relations as here. The ancient Greek writers combined these two words ( $\sigma \cup \gamma \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota$, kinsmen, avaүкаıov¢, necessary friends) as here. It was a homogeneous group of Gentiles close to Cornelius and predisposed to hear Peter favourably.

Acts 10:25
That Peter entered ( $\tau 0 v \varepsilon เ \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon เ v \tau$ тov $\Pi \varepsilon \tau \rho \circ v$ ). This is a difficult construction, for the subject of $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau$ (it happened) has to be the articular genitive infinitive tov $\varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon เ v$ with the accusative of general reference tov $\Pi \varepsilon \tau \rho \circ v$. Most commentators consider it inexplicable. It is probably an extension of the ordinary articular infinitive under the influence of the Hebrew infinitive construct without regard to the case, regarding it as a fixed case form and so using it as nominative. Precisely this construction of tov and the infinitive as the subject of a verb occurs in the LXX (2Ch 6:7, etc.). See Robertson, Grammar, pp. 1067f. for full discussion of this obvious Hebraism. Somewhat similar examples appear in Ac 20:3; 27:1 . But the Codex Bezae avoids this awkward idiom by the genitive absolute ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \gamma \gamma \zeta \zeta$ оvtos тov Пєт $\rho \circ \boldsymbol{\text { ) }}$ ) and some additional details (one of the servants ran forward and announced that he was come).

Worshipped him ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \kappa v v \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). "Cornelius was not an idolator and would not have honoured Peter as a god" (Furneaux). The word probably means here reverence like old English usage (Wycliff) and not actual worship, though Peter took it that way (verse 26). Jesus accepted such worship (Mt 8:2; Lu 5:8 by Peter).

Acts 10:27
As he talked with him ( $\sigma v v o \mu \lambda \lambda \omega v \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). Present active participle of $\sigma v v o \mu \lambda \lambda \omega$, rare compound and here alone in the N.T., with associative instrumental case. The uncompounded verb is common enough though in the N.T. only in Lu 24:14 which see and Ac 20:11; 24:26.

Findeth ( $\varepsilon \cup \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \varepsilon)$. Vivid historical present indicative active.
Come together ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \cup \theta$ otac). Second perfect active participle of $\sigma v v \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. It was an expectant group of Gentiles eager for Peter's interpretation of the vision of Cornelius.

Acts 10:28
How that it is an unlawful thing ( $\omega \varsigma \alpha \theta \varepsilon \mu \tau \tau 0 v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \tau v$ ). The conjunction $\omega \varsigma$ is sometimes

law custom) and $\alpha$ privative. In the N.T. only here and $1 \mathrm{Pe} 4: 3$ (Peter both times). But there is no O.T. regulation forbidding such social contact with Gentiles, though the rabbis had added it and had made it binding by custom. There is nothing more binding on the average person than social custom. On coming from the market an orthodox Jew was expected to immerse to avoid defilement (Edersheim, Jewish Social Life, pp. 26-28; Taylor's Sayings of the Jewish Fathers, pp. 15, 26, 137, second edition). See also Ac 11:3; Ga 2:12 . It is that middle wall of partition between Jew and Gentile (Eph 2:14 ) which Jesus broke down.

One of another nation ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \circ \varphi \cup \lambda \omega$ ). Dative case of an old adjective, but only here in the N.T. ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ oc, another, $\varphi$ U $\lambda o v$, race). Both Juvenal (Sat. XIV. 104, 105) and Tacitus (History, V. 5) speak of the Jewish exclusiveness and separation from Gentiles.

And yet unto (кацо). Dative of the emphatic pronoun (note position of prominence) with $\kappa \alpha$ ( $\chi \rho \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma) ~ m e a n i n g ~ h e r e ~ " a n d ~ y e t " ~ o r ~ a d v e r s a t i v e ~ " b u t " ~ a s ~ o f t e n ~ w i t h ~ к \alpha ~ w h i c h ~ i s ~ b y ~$ no means always merely the connective "and" (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 1182f.). Now Peter takes back both the adjectives used in his protest to the Lord (verse 14) "common and unclean." It is a long journey that Peter has made. He here refers to "no one" ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \alpha$ ), not to "things," but that is great progress.

Acts 10:29
Without gainsaying ( $\alpha v \alpha v \tau \iota \rho \rho \eta \tau \omega \varsigma)$. A privative with compound adverb from $\alpha v \tau$ (back, in return, against) and verbal $\rho \eta \tau \circ \varsigma$ (from $\varepsilon \rho \rho \eta \theta \eta \nu$, to speak). Late and rare and here only in the N.T., but the adjective in 19:36. Without answering back. That is true after the Holy Spirit expressly told Peter to go with the messengers of Cornelius ( 10:19-23). Peter's objections were made to the Lord in the vision which he did not understand. But that vision prepared him for this great step which he had now taken. He had stepped over the line of Jewish custom.

With what intent ( $\tau \iota \nu \lambda o \gamma \omega$ ). More exactly, "for what reason" as in Plato, Gorgias 512 C.

Acts 10:30
Four days ago ( $\alpha \pi о ~ \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \rho \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. From the fourth day, reckoning backwards from this day.

I was keeping the ninth hour of prayer ( $\eta \mu \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon v a \tau \eta \nu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \cup \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Periphrastic middle imperfect and accusative of extension of time (all the ninth hour).

Acts 10:31
Is heard ( $\varepsilon \iota \sigma \eta \kappa о v \sigma \theta \eta)$. Sort of timeless first aorist passive indicative as is "are had in remembrance" ( $\varepsilon \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$. See verse 4 "are gone up for a memorial").

Acts 10:32
In the house of Simon ( $\varepsilon v$ оккı $\Sigma \mu \mu \omega v o \varsigma)$. See 9:43 for $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \Sigma \mu \omega \nu$ with same idea.
Acts 10:33

And thou hast well done that thou art come ( $\sigma v \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi о ı \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \nu о \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma)$. "And thou didst well in coming." A regular formula for expressing thanks as in Php 4:14; 3Jo 1:6; $2 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 19$. The participle completes the idea of $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma \pi o t \varepsilon \omega$ neatly. Cornelius commends Peter for his courage in breaking away from Jewish custom and takes no offence at the implied superiority of the Jews over the Gentiles. Cornelius and his circle of kinsmen and close friends are prepared soil for a new era in the history of Christianity. The Samaritans were now nominal Jews and the Ethiopian eunuch was a single case, but here Peter the chief apostle, not Philip the preaching deacon (evangelist), was involved. It was a crisis. Cornelius reveals an open mind for the message of God through Peter.

Commanded thee ( $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \sigma o$ ). Perfect passive participle with the dative case ( $\sigma 0$ ). Cornelius is a military man and he employs a military term ( $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, old word to command). He is ready for orders from the Lord.

Acts 10:34
Opened his mouth ( $\alpha v o ו \xi a \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \sigma \tau о \mu \alpha)$. Solemn formula for beginning his address (8:35; 18:14; Mt 5:2; 13:35 ). But also good elocution for the speaker.

I perceive ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu о \mu \alpha)$. Aoristic present middle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$, to take hold of, the middle noting mental action, to lay hold with the mind (Ac 4:13; 10:34; 25:25; Eph 3:18 ). It had been a difficult thing for Peter to grasp, but now "of a truth" ( $\left.\varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha \varsigma\right)$ the light has cleared away the fogs. It was not until Peter had crossed the threshold of the house of Cornelius in the new environment and standpoint that he sees this new and great truth.

Respecter of persons ( $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau \eta \zeta)$. This compound occurs only here and in Chrysostom. It is composed of $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \omega \pi \sigma v$ face or person ( $\pi \rho o \varsigma$ and $o \pi \varsigma$, before the eye or face) and $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$. The abstract form $\pi \rho о \sigma \omega \pi$ о $\lambda \eta \mu \psi \iota \alpha$ occurs in Jas 2:1 (also Ro 2:11; Eph 6:9; Col 3:25 ) and the verb $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \lambda \varepsilon \mu \pi \tau \varepsilon \omega$ in Jas 2:9. The separate phrase ( $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \varepsilon \iota v$ $\pi \rho o \sigma \omega \pi \sigma v$ ) occurs in $\operatorname{Lu} 20: 21$; Ga 2:6. The phrase was already in the LXX (De 10:17; 2Ch 19:7; Ps 82:6 ). Luke has simply combined the two words into one compound one. The idea is to pay regard to one's looks or circumstances rather than to his intrinsic character. The Jews had come to feel that they were the favourites of God and actually sons of the kingdom of heaven because they were descendants of Abraham. John the Baptist rebuked them for this fallacy.

Acts 10:35
Acceptable to him ( $\delta \varepsilon \kappa \tau о \varsigma \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). Verbal adjective from $\delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. Acceptabilis. That is to say, a Gentile would not have to become a Jew in order to become a Christian. Evidently Peter had not before perceived this fact. On the great Day of Pentecost when he spoke of the promise "to all those afar off" (2:39) Peter understood that they must first become Jews and then Christians. The new idea that now makes a revolution in Peter's outlook is precisely this that Christ can and will save Gentiles like this Cornelius group without their becoming Jews at all.

## Acts 10:36

The word which he sent ( $\tau 0 v \lambda o \gamma o v o v a \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon i \lambda \varepsilon v$ ). Many ancient MSS. (so Westcott and Hort) read merely $\tau o v \lambda o \gamma o v a \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \varepsilon v$ (he sent the word). This reading avoids the anacoluthon and inverse attraction of $\lambda o \gamma o v$ to the case of the relative $o v$ (which).

Preaching good tidings of peace through Jesus Christ ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı \zeta о \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \eta \nu \delta \iota \alpha$ İбov Xpıбтov). Gospelizing peace through Jesus Christ. There is no other way to have real peace between individuals and God, between races and nations, than by Jesus Christ. Almost this very language occurs in Eph 2:17 where Paul states that Jesus on the cross "preached (gospelized) peace to you who are afar off and peace to you who are near." Peter here sees what Paul will see later with great clearness.

He is Lord of all (ov throws in as the reason for his new truth. Jesus Christ is Lord of all, both Jews and Gentiles.

Acts 10:37
Ye know ( $v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ o ı \delta \alpha \tau \varepsilon)$. Peter reminds his Gentile audience that the main facts concerning Jesus and the gospel were known to them. Note emphatic expression of $v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ (you).

Beginning ( $\alpha \rho \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu o \varsigma)$. The Textus Receptus has $\alpha \rho \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o v$ (accusative), but the nominative is given by Aleph A B C D E H and is certainly correct. But it makes a decided anacoluthon. The accusative would agree with $\rho \eta \mu \alpha$ used in the sense of message or story as told by the disciples. The nominative does not agree with anything in the sentence. The same phrase occurs in Lu 23:5. Here is this aorist middle participle almost used like an adverb. See a similar loose use of $\alpha \rho \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu o \varsigma$ in the same sense by Peter in Ac 1:22. The baptism of John is given as the terminus a quo. The story began with a skip to Galilee after the baptism just like the Gospel of Mark. This first message of Peter to the Gentiles ( $10: 37-44$ ) corresponds in broad outline with Mark's Gospel. Mark heard Peter preach many times and evidently planned his Gospel (the Roman Gospel) on this same model. There is in it nothing about the birth and childhood of Jesus nor about the intervening ministry supplied by John's Gospel for the period (a year) between the baptism and the Galilean Ministry. Peter here presents an objective statement of the life, death, and resurrection of Jesus with proof from the Scriptures that he is the Messiah. It is a skilful presentation.

Acts 10:38
Jesus of Nazareth (I $\eta \sigma o v v \tau o v a \pi o N \alpha \zeta \alpha \rho \varepsilon \theta$ ). Jesus the one from Nazareth, the article before the city identifying him clearly. The accusative case is here by $\pi \rho o \lambda \varepsilon \psi \iota \varsigma$, Jesus being expressed for emphasis before the verb "anointed" and the pronoun repeated pleonastically after it. "Jesus transfers the mind from the gospel-history to the personal subject of it" (Hackett).

God anointed him ( $\varepsilon \chi \rho \iota \sigma \varepsilon v, \alpha v \tau o v$, o $\theta \varepsilon \sigma \varsigma)$. First aorist active of the verb $\chi \rho \iota \omega$, to anoint, from which the verbal X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau$ ¢ is formed (Ac 2:36 ). The precise event referred to
by Peter could be the Incarnation (Lu 1:35f. ), the Baptism (Lu 3:22), the Ministry at Nazareth ( $\operatorname{Lu} 4: 14$ ). Why not to the life and work of Jesus as a whole?

Went about doing good ( $\delta ı \eta \lambda \varepsilon v \varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \tau \omega v$ ). Beautiful description of Jesus. Summary (constative) aorist active of $\delta \varepsilon \rho \rho \varepsilon \mu \alpha$, to go through ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ ) or from place to place. The present active participle $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \tau \omega v$ is from the old verb $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega$ ( $\varepsilon v$, well, $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v$, work) and occurs only here in the N.T. The substantive $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \tau \eta \varsigma$ (benefactor) was often applied to kings like Ptolemy Euergetes and that is the sense in Lu 22:25 the only N.T. example. But the term applies to Jesus far more than to Ptolemy or any earthly king (Cornelius a Lapide).

And healing ( $\kappa \alpha \iota \omega \mu \nu \circ \varsigma)$. And in particular healing. Luke does not exclude other diseases (cf. Lu 13:11,16 ), but he lays special emphasis on demoniacal possession (cf. Mr 1:23).

That were oppressed ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta v v \alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma)$. Present passive articular participle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta v v a \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$. A late verb in LXX and papyri. In the N.T. only here and Jas 2:6 (best MSS.). One of the compounds of ката made transitive. The reality of the devil (the slanderer, $\delta \iota \alpha \beta \circ \lambda o \varsigma)$ is recognized by Peter.

For God was with him (o o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma ~ \eta \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ avtov). Surely this reason does not reveal "a low Christology" as some charge. Peter had used the same language in Ac 7:9 and earlier in Lu 1:28,66 as Nicodemus does in Joh 3:2 .

Acts 10:39
And we are witnesses ( $\kappa \alpha \eta \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma \mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Compare "ye yourselves know" (verse 37). Peter thus appeals to what the audience know and to what the disciples know. He made the same claim about personal witnesses of the Resurrection of Jesus at Pentecost (2:32). Here Peter affirms full knowledge of the work of Jesus in Judea (for whole country including Galilee and Perea) and Jerusalem (given mainly in John's Gospel). In the Greek $\omega v$ (which) is attracted into the genitive case to agree with the antecedent $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$ (all), a common enough idiom.

Whom also they slew ( $о v \kappa \alpha \alpha v \varepsilon \iota \lambda \alpha v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \omega$ with $\alpha$ as often in Acts (2:23; 5:30). But note $\kappa \alpha$ (also) in the old MSS., not in the Textus Receptus. They "also" slew him, went that far, "this crowning atrocity" (Vincent), кa could here be "even."

Hanging him on a tree ( $\kappa \rho \varepsilon \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \xi \nu \lambda o v)$. This same expression used by Peter in 5:30 which see for discussion.

Acts 10:40
Gave him to be made manifest ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau o v \varepsilon \mu \varphi \alpha v \eta \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha)$. Peculiar phrase, here only in the N.T. and in Ro 10:20 (quoted from Isa 65:1). E $\mu \varphi \alpha \vee \eta$, predicate accusative after infinitive $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ agreeing with $\alpha v \tau o v$ object of $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$.

Acts 10:41

Chosen before ( $\pi \rho о к \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon!\rho о \tau о v \eta \mu \varepsilon v o r$ ). Perfect passive participle dative plural from $\pi \rho \circ \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho о \tau о v \varepsilon \omega$, to choose or designate by hand ( $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho о \tau о \nu \varepsilon \omega, \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho$, hand, and $\tau \varepsilon เ v \omega$, to stretch, as in Ac 14:23; 2Co 8:19), beforehand ( $\pi \rho 0$ ), a double compound as old as Plato, but here alone in the N.T. Peter is evidently stating the thing as it happened and not trying to make a convincing story by saying that both friends and foes saw him after his resurrection. It is the "historian's candour" (Paley) in Luke here that adds to the credibility of the narrative. The sceptical Jews would not have believed and Jesus was kept from open contact with the world of $\sin$ after his Passion.
 $\alpha v \tau \omega$ ). The "who" (oıtıv६¢) is first person agreeing with "us" ( $\eta \mu \nu \nu$ ). Second aorist active indicative of the common verbs $\sigma \nu v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega \omega$ and $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \nu \nu \omega$. Av $\omega \omega$ is associative instrumental case. There are difficulties to us in understanding how Jesus could eat and drink after the resurrection as told here and in Lu 24:41-3, but at any rate Peter makes it clear that it was no hallucination or ghost, but Jesus himself whom they saw after he rose from the dead, "after the rising as to him" ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ тo $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \eta v \alpha \alpha 0 \tau \sigma \nu, \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ with the accusative articular infinitive second aorist active and the accusative autov of general reference). Furneaux dares to think that the disciples misunderstood Jesus about eating after the resurrection. But that is to deny the testimony merely because we cannot explain the transition state of the body of Jesus.

Acts 10:42
He charged ( $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon i \lambda \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative as in 1:4. There Jesus is the subject and so probably here, though Page insists that o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma$ (God) is here because of verse 40.

To testify ( $\delta ı \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho a \sigma \theta \alpha)$. First aorist middle infinitive. See on 2:40.
Ordained ( $\omega \rho \stackrel{\sigma \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma) . ~ P e r f e c t ~ p a s s i v e ~ p a r t i c i p l e ~ o f ~ o \rho ı \zeta \omega, ~ o l d ~ v e r b, ~ t o ~ m a r k ~ o u t, ~ t o ~}{\text { on }}$ limit, to make a horizon.

Judge (kрıтŋऽ). The same point made by Peter in 1Pe 4:5. He does not use the word "Messiah" to these Gentiles though he did say "anointed" ( $\varepsilon \chi \rho \iota \sigma \varepsilon$ ) in verse 38. Peter's claim for Jesus is that he is the Judge of Jew and Gentile (living and dead).

Acts 10:43
Every one that believeth ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \operatorname{\tau ov} \pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o v \tau \alpha$ ). This accusative active participle of general reference with the infinitive in indirect discourse is the usual idiom. Only $\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \iota v$ (second aorist active infinitive of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$ ) is not indirect statement so much as indirect command or arrangement. The prophets bear witness to Jesus Christ to this effect. It is God's plan and no race distinctions are drawn. Peter had already said the same thing at Pentecost ( 2:38), but now he sees himself that Gentiles do not have to become Jews, but have only to believe in Jesus as Messiah and Judge as foretold by the prophets. It was glorious news to Cornelius and his group.

Through his name ( $\delta ı \alpha$ tov ovouatos avtov), not as a title or magic formula (Ac 18:13 ), but the power of Christ himself represented by his name.

Acts 10:44
While Peter yet spake ( $\varepsilon \tau \lambda \alpha \lambda o v v \tau \circ \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ П \varepsilon \tau \rho o v)$. Genitive absolute of present participle, still going on.

The Holy Ghost fell ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v \tau$ тo $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ тo $\alpha \gamma \iota \nu$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, old verb to fall upon, to recline, to come upon. Used of the Holy Spirit in 8:16; 10:44; 11:15. It appears that Peter was interrupted in his sermon by this remarkable event. The Jews had received the Holy Spirit ( $2: 4$ ), the Samaritans ( $8: 17$ ), and now Gentiles. But on this occasion it was before baptism, as was apparently true in Paul's case (9:17f. ). In $8: 16$; 19:5 the hands of the apostles were also placed after baptism on those who received the Holy Spirit. Here it was unexpected by Peter and by Cornelius and was indubitable proof of the conversion of these Gentiles who had accepted Peter's message and had believed on Jesus Christ as Saviour.

Acts 10:45
They of the circumcision which believed (о єк $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau о \mu \eta \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau)$ ). The believing ones of the circumcision, more exactly.

Were amazed ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Second aorist active indicative, intransitive, of $\varepsilon \xi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$. They stood out of themselves.

On the Gentiles also ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \varepsilon \theta \nu \eta$ ). Or, even upon the Gentiles.
Was poured out ( $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \varepsilon \chi \cup \tau \alpha)$. Present perfect passive retained in indirect discourse of $\varepsilon \kappa \chi \varepsilon \omega$ or $\varepsilon \kappa \chi \cup v \omega$, old verb, used metaphorically of the Holy Spirit also in 2:17 (from Joe 2:28f. ), Ac 2:33.

Acts 10:46
They heard ( $\eta$ кovov). Imperfect active, were hearing, kept on hearing.
Speak ( $\lambda \alpha \lambda o u v \tau \omega v$ ). Present active participle, speaking, for they kept it up.
With tongues ( $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. Instrumental case as in 2:4,11 which see. The fuller statement there makes it clear that here it was new and strange tongues also as in 19:6; 1Co 14:4-19. This sudden manifestation of the Holy Spirit's power on uncircumcised Gentiles was probably necessary to convince Peter and the six brethren of the circumcision that God had opened the door wide to Gentiles. It was proof that a Gentile Pentecost had come and Peter used it effectively in his defence in Jerusalem (Ac 11:15).

Acts 10:47
Can any man forbid the water? (Мף $М \tau \frac{v \delta \omega \rho}{} \delta v v \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \omega \lambda v \sigma \alpha \tau \tau \sigma$ ?). The negative $\mu \eta \tau$ expects the answer No. The evidence was indisputable that these Gentiles were converted and so were entitled to be baptized. See the similar idiom in Lu 6:39. Note the article with "water." Here the baptism of the Holy Spirit had preceded the baptism of water (Ac 1:5; 11:16 ). "The greater had been bestowed; could the lesser be withheld?" (Knowling).

That these should not be baptized ( $\tau$ о $\mu \eta \beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta v \alpha$ тоитои¢). Ablative case of the articular first aorist passive infinitive of $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ with the redundant negative after the verb of hindering ( $\kappa \omega \lambda \cup \sigma \alpha$ ) and the accusative of general reference ( $\tau$ outouc). The redundant negative after the verb of hindering is not necessary though often used in ancient Greek and in the Koine (papyri). Without it see Mt 19:14; Ac 8:36 and with it see Lu 4:42; 24:16; Ac 14:18 . Cf. Robertson, Grammar, pp. 1061, 1094, 1171. The triple negatives here are a bit confusing to the modern mind ( $\mu \eta \tau$ in the question, $\kappa \omega \lambda \nu \sigma \alpha$, to hinder or to cut off, $\mu \eta$ with $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta \alpha)$. Literally, Can any one cut off the water from the being baptized as to these? Meyer: "The water is in this animated language conceived as the element offering itself for the baptism."

As well as we ( $\omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \eta \mu \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ). The argument was conclusive. God had spoken. Note the query of the eunuch to Philip (Ac 8:36 ).

Acts 10:48
Commanded ( $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi \varepsilon v)$. First aorist active indicative. Peter himself abstained from baptizing on this occasion (cf. Paul in 1Co 1:14 ). Evidently it was done by the six Jewish brethren.

Them to be baptized (autovc $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta v \alpha$ ). Accusative of general reference with the first aorist passive infinitive.

In the name of Jesus Christ ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega$ ovo $\mu \alpha \tau$ In $\sigma o v$ Xpıбтov). The essential name in Christian baptism as in 2:38; 19:5. But these passages give the authority for the act, not the formula that was employed (Alvah Hovey in Hackett's Commentary. See also chapter on the Baptismal Formula in my The Christ of the Logia). "Golden days" (aupe $\delta \iota \varepsilon \varsigma$, Bengel) were these for the whole group.

## Acts 11

Acts 11:1
In Judea (ката $\tau \eta v$ Iov $\delta \alpha \iota \nu \nu$ ). Throughout Judea (probably all Palestine), distributive use of kata. The news from Casearea spread like wildfire among the Jewish Christians. The case of the Samaritans was different, for they were half Jews, though disliked. But here were real Romans even if with Jewish affinities.

Had received ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \xi \alpha v \tau 0)$. First aorist middle indicative. The English idiom requires "had" received, the Greek has simply "received."

Acts 11:2
They that were of the circumcision (o عк $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau о \mu \eta \varsigma)$. Literally, those of circumcision (on the side of circumcision, of the circumcision party). The phrase in 10:46 is confined to the six brethren with Peter in Caesarea (11:12). That can hardly be the meaning here for it would mean that they were the ones who brought the charge against Peter though Hort takes this view. All the disciples in Jerusalem were Jews so that it can hardly mean the whole body. In Ga 2:12 the phrase has the narrower sense of the Judaizing or Pharisaic wing of the disciples (Ac 15:5) who made circumcision necessary for all Gentile converts. Probably here by anticipation Luke so describes the beginning of that great controversy. The objectors probably did not know of Peter's vision at Joppa, but only of the revolutionary conduct of Peter in Caesarea. These extremists who spoke probably had abundant sympathy in their protest. The apostles are mentioned in verse 1 , but are not referred to in verse 2 . Apparently they are in contrast with the circumcision party in the church.

Contended ( $\delta \iota \kappa \rho \imath \nu o v \tau o)$. Imperfect middle of the common verb $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa \rho \imath \nu \omega$, to
separate. Here to separate oneself apart ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ ), to take sides against, to make a cleavage ( $\delta \iota a$, two, in two) as in Jude 1:9. So Peter is at once put on the defensive as the contention went on. It is plain that Peter was not regarded as any kind of pope or overlord.

## Acts 11:3

Thou wentest in $(\varepsilon เ \sigma \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Direct form, but Westcott and Hort have it $\varepsilon \iota \sigma \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon \nu$ (he
 is more vivid.

Men uncircumcised ( $\alpha v \delta \rho \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha \kappa \rho о \beta v \sigma \tau \iota \alpha v \varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. "Men having uncircumcision." It is a contemptuous expression. They did not object to Peter's preaching to the Gentiles, but to his going into the house of Cornelius and eating with them, violating his supposed obligations as a Jew (Hackett). It was the same complaint in principle that the Pharisees had made against Jesus when he ate with publicans and sinners (Lu 15:12). The Jews had not merely the Mosaic regulations about clean and unclean food, but also the fact that at a Gentile table some of the meat may have been an idol sacrifice. And Peter himself had sim-
ilar scruples when the vision came to him at Joppa and when he entered the house of Cornelius in Caesarea 10:28). Peter had been led beyond the circumcision party.

Acts 11:4
Began ( $\alpha \rho \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu o \varsigma)$. Not pleonastic here, but graphically showing how Peter began at the beginning and gave the full story of God's dealings with him in Joppa and Caesarea.

Expounded ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \tau \iota \theta \varepsilon \tau o)$. Imperfect middle of $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, to set forth, old verb, but in the N.T. only in Acts $(7: 21 ; 11: 4 ; 18: 26 ; 28: 23)$, a deliberate and detailed narrative "in order" ( $\kappa a \theta \varepsilon \xi \eta \varsigma)$. Old word for in succession. In the N.T. only in $\operatorname{Lu} 1: 2 ; 8: 1 ;$ Ac 3:24; 11:14; 18:23 . Luke evidently considered this defence of Peter important and he preserves the marks of authenticity. It came originally from Peter himself (verses 5,6,15,16 ). "The case of Cornelius was a test case of primary importance" (Page), "the first great difficulty of the early Church." Part of the story Luke gives three times (10:3-6,30-32; 11:13f. ). See the discussion chapter 10 for details given here.

## Acts 11:5

Let down ( $\kappa \alpha \theta ı \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta v$ ). Here agreeing with the "sheet" (o $\theta o v \eta v$, feminine), not with "vessel" (бкعvoৎ, neuter) as in 10:11.

Even unto me ( $\alpha \chi \rho \varepsilon \mu \circ v$ ). Vivid detail added here by Peter.
Acts 11:6
When I had fastened my eyes ( $\alpha \tau \varepsilon v / \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ ). This personal touch Peter adds from his own experience. See on $\operatorname{Lu} 4: 20$; Ac 3:4,12 for this striking verb $\alpha \tau \varepsilon v \iota \zeta \omega$, to stretch the eyes towards, first aorist active participle here.

I considered ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \vee \circ \varepsilon \omega)$. Imperfect active of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha v \varepsilon \sigma \omega$ to put the mind down on, to ponder, I was pondering.

And saw ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \iota \delta o v$ ). Second aorist active indicative, saw in a flash.
Acts 11:7
A voice saying ( $\varphi \omega \vee \eta \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \gamma о \cup \sigma \eta \varsigma)$. Genitive case after $\eta \kappa о \cup \sigma \alpha$ (cf. 9:7 and accusative 9:4 which see for discussion). Participle $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma 0 v \sigma \eta \varsigma$ (present active of $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ) agreeing with $\varphi \omega \vee \eta \varsigma$, a kind of indirect discourse use of the participle.

Acts 11:8
Came into my mouth ( $\varepsilon เ \sigma \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \sigma \tau о \mu \alpha \mu о v)$. Instead of $\varepsilon \varphi a \gamma \circ \nu$ (I ate) in 10:14. Different phrase for the same idea.

Acts 11:10
Was drawn up ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \theta \eta$ ). Instead of $\alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \pi \theta \eta$ (was taken up) in 10:16. First aorist passive indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \omega$, old verb, but in N.T. only in Lu 14:5 and here.

Acts 11:12
Making no distinction ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \delta \iota \alpha \kappa \rho \imath v \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ). So Westcott and Hort (first aorist active
 like 10:20. The difference in voice shows the distinction in meaning.

We entered into the man's house ( $\varepsilon เ \sigma \eta \lambda \theta$ о $\mu \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о \nu$ oוкоv tov $\alpha v \delta \rho \circ \varsigma)$ ). Peter confesses it, but shows that the other six went in also. He avoids mention of Cornelius's name and office.

Acts 11:13
Standing and saying ( $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \varepsilon ו \pi \sigma v \tau \alpha)$. More precisely, "stand and say" (punctiliar act, first aorist passive and second aorist active participles).

Fetch Simon ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \alpha \Sigma \mu \omega v \alpha$ ). First aorist middle imperative. Third time mentioned ( $10: 5,22 ; 11: 13$ ). Perhaps Peter is anxious to make it plain that he did not go of his own initiative into the house of Cornelius. He went under God's direct orders.

Acts 11:14
Whereby thou shalt be saved, thou and all thy house ( $\varepsilon v$ оц $\sigma \omega \theta \eta \sigma \eta \sigma \cup$ ка $\pi \alpha \varsigma$ о окко $\sigma o v)$. Future passive indicative of $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$, to save. Clearly Cornelius was unsaved in spite of his interest in Jewish worship. Clearly also the household of Cornelius would likewise be won to Christ by the words of Simon Peter. This is household conversion before the household baptism (10:48; 11:17).

Acts 11:15
As I began to speak ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \alpha \rho \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı v$ ). Ev with the locative of the articular aorist infinitive $\alpha \rho \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$ (punctiliar action simply) and the accusative of general reference. The second infinitive $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon เ v$ (to speak) is dependent on $\alpha \rho \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$, "In the beginning to speak as to me."

Even as on us at the beginning ( $\omega \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \alpha \rho \chi \eta$ ). Peter recalls vividly the events at Pentecost, the speaking with tongues and all. It is noteworthy that Peter does not here repeat his sermon. "He rests his defence, not on what he said, but on what God did" (Furneaux).

Acts 11:16
I remembered $(\varepsilon \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \eta v)$. First aorist passive indicative of the common verb $\mu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$, to remind. Peter recalls the very words of Jesus as reported in Ac 1:5. Peter now understands this saying of Jesus as he had not done before. That is a common experience with us all as new experiences of grace open richer veins in God's truth (Joh 12:16). Peter clearly sees that the water baptism is merely the symbol or picture of the spiritual baptism in the heart.

Acts 11:17
The like gift ( $\tau \eta \nu \iota \sigma \eta \nu \delta \omega \rho \varepsilon \alpha v$ ). The equal gift, equal in quality, rank, or measure. Common word.

When we believed ( $\pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \sigma \iota v)$. First aorist active participle of $\pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$ in the dative case. It agrees both with $\eta \mu \nu \nu$ (unto us) and with avtorc (unto them), "having believed on the Lord Jesus Christ." Both classes (Gentiles and Jews) trusted in Christ, and both received the Holy Spirit.

Who was I ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \tau \iota \varsigma \eta \mu \eta v)$. Note order, "I, who was I." " That I could withstand God " ( $\delta \cup v a \tau o \varsigma \kappa \omega \lambda \nu \sigma \alpha$ тov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Literally, "able to withstand or hinder God." It is a rhetorical question, really two questions. Who was I ? Was I able to hinder God? Peter's statement of the facts made an unanswerable defence. And yet Peter ( $\mathrm{Ga} 2: 11$ ) will later in Antioch play the coward before emissaries from Jerusalem on this very point of eating with Gentile Christians.

Acts 11:18
Held their peace ( $\eta \sigma v \chi \alpha \sigma \alpha v$ ). Ingressive aorist active indicative of $\eta \sigma v \chi \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb to be quiet, to keep quiet. The wrangling (verse 2) ceased. The critics even "glorified God" ( $\varepsilon \delta o \xi \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu$, ingressive aorist again).

Then to the Gentiles also (A $\rho \alpha \kappa \alpha$ тоぃ $\varepsilon \theta v \varepsilon \sigma \iota v$ ). Е $\rho \gamma$ о as in Lu 11:20,48 and like $\alpha \rho \alpha$ ovv in Ro 5:18. In ancient Greek inferential apa cannot come at the beginning of a clause as here. It was reluctant acquiescence in the undoubted fact that God had "granted repentance unto life" to these Gentiles in Caesarea, but the circumcision party undoubtedly looked on it as an exceptional case and not to be regarded as a precedent to follow with other Gentiles. Peter will see in this incident (Ac 15:8) the same principle for which Paul contends at the Jerusalem Conference. Furneaux suggests that this conduct of Peter in Caesarea, though grudgingly acquiesced in after his skilful defence, decreased his influence in Jerusalem where he had been leader and helped open the way for the leadership of James the Lord's brother.

Acts 11:19
They therefore that were scattered abroad ( $о \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ovv $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). Precisely the same words used in 8:4 about those scattered by Saul (which see) and a direct reference to it is made by the next words, "upon the tribulation that arose about Stephen" (a $\alpha \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \eta$ $\theta \lambda \iota \psi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \Sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \nu \omega)$. As a result of ( $\alpha \pi 0$ ), in the case of ( $\varepsilon \pi$ ) Stephen. From that event Luke followed Saul through his conversion and back to Jerusalem and to Tarsus. Then he showed the activity of Peter outside of Jerusalem as a result of the cessation of the persecution from the conversion of Saul with the Gentile Pentecost in Caesarea and the outcome in Jerusalem. Now Luke starts over again from the same persecution by Saul and runs a new line of events up to Antioch parallel to the other, probably partly following.

Except to Jews only ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta \mu$ ovov Iov $\alpha$ aıoı). Clearly these disciples did not know anything about the events in Caesarea and at first their flight preceded that time. But it was a wonderful episode, the eager and loyal preaching of the fleeing disciples. The culmination in Antioch was probably after the report of Peter about Caesarea. This Antioch by the Orontes was founded 300 B.C. by Seleucus Nicator and was one of five cities so named by the Seleucides. It became the metropolis of Syria though the Arabs held Damascus first. Antioch ranked next to Rome and Alexandria in size, wealth, power, and vice. There were many Jews in the cosmopolitan population of half a million. It was destined to supplant Jerusalem as the centre of Christian activity.

Acts 11:20
Spake ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda o u v$ ). Inchoative imperfect active, began to speak. For them it was an experiment.

Unto the Greeks also ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \rho о \varsigma ~ \tau о \nu \varsigma ~ Н \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \alpha \varsigma) . ~ T h i s ~ i s ~ u n d o u b t e d l y ~ t h e ~ c o r r e c t ~ r e a d i n g ~$ in spite of Hellenists (H $\varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \varsigma)$ or Grecian Jews in B E H L P. H $\varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta v a \varsigma$ is read by A and D and a corrector of Aleph. The presence of "also" or "even" ( $\kappa \alpha$ ) in Aleph A B makes no sense unless "Greeks" is correct. Hellenists or Grecian Jews as Christians were common enough as is seen in Ac $2 ; 6$. Saul also had preached to the Hellenists in Jerusalem (9:29). Hellenists were merely one kind of Jews in contrast with those who spoke Aramaic (Ac 6 ). It is true that the case of Cornelius was first in importance, but it is not clear that it was before the work in Antioch. Probably the report of the work among the Greeks in Antioch reached Jerusalem after Peter's defence in 11:1-18. That explains the calm tone about it and also why Barnabas and not Peter was sent to investigate. Peter and John (Ac 8 ) had condoned Philip's work in Samaria and Peter was the agent in the work among the Romans in Caesarea. His position was now well-known and his services discounted for this new crisis. These Greeks in Antioch were apparently in part pure heathen and not "God-fearers" like Cornelius. A man of wisdom was called for. These preachers were themselves Hellenists (verse 19) and open to the lessons from their environment without a vision such as Peter had at Joppa. "It was a departure of startling boldness" (Furneaux) by laymen outside of the circle of official leaders.

Acts 11:21
The hand of the Lord was with them ( $\eta v \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \kappa v \rho \iota v \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). This O.T. phrase (Ex 9:3; Isa 59:1) is used by Luke (Lu 1:66; Ac 4:28,30; 13:11). It was proof of God's approval of their course in preaching the Lord Jesus to Greeks.

Turned unto the Lord ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon v \varepsilon \pi \tau 0 \nu \kappa v \rho ı \nu)$. First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, common verb to turn. The usual expression for Gentiles turning to the true God (14:15; 15:3,19; 26:18,20; 1Th 1:9 ). Here "Lord" refers to "the Lord Jesus" as in verse 20, though "the hand of the Lord" is the hand of Jehovah, clearly showing that the early disciples put Jesus on a par with Jehovah. His deity was not a late development read back into the early history.

Acts 11:22
Came to the ears $(\eta \kappa о v \sigma \theta \eta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha \omega \tau \alpha)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\alpha \kappa 0 v \omega$, was heard in the ears.

Of the church which was in Jerusalem ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \nu$ I $\varepsilon \rho \circ \cup \sigma \alpha \lambda \eta \mu$ ). Not yet was the term "church" applied to the group of disciples in Antioch as it is in 11:26; 13:1.

They sent forth ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \iota \lambda \alpha v)$. First aorist active indicative of the double compound verb $\varepsilon \xi-\alpha \pi 0-\sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, to send out and away. The choice of Barnabas was eminently wise. He already had a position of leadership in Jerusalem because of his generosity (4:36f. ) and his
championship of Saul after his conversion (9:27). He was originally from Cyprus and probably had personal friends among some of the leaders in this new movement. He was to investigate the work of the travelling preachers (verse 19) all the way to Antioch ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ Avтıохвıळ؟).

Acts 11:23
The grace of God, was glad ( $\tau \eta \nu \chi \alpha \rho \nu \nu \tau \eta \nu$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v \varepsilon \chi \alpha \rho \eta$ ). Note repetition of the article, "the grace that of God." The verb (second aorist passive indicative of $\chi \alpha \iota \rho \omega$ ) has the same root as $\chi$ apıc. See the same suavis paronomasia in Lu 1:28 . "Grace brings gladness" (Page). "A smaller man would have raised difficulties as to circumcision or baptism" (Furneaux).

He exhorted ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active, picturing the continuous encouragement from Barnabas.

With purpose of heart ( $\tau \eta \pi \rho \circ \theta \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \tau \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha \varsigma$ ). Placing before (from $\pi \rho o-\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$ ), old word for set plan as in Ac 27:13; Ro 8:28. The glow of the first enthusiasm might pass as often happens after a revival. Barnabas had a special gift ( $4: 36$ ) for work like this.

Cleave unto the Lord ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon เ v[\varepsilon \nu] \tau \omega \kappa \nu \rho I \omega$ ). Dative case (locative if $\varepsilon v$ is genuine) of кирıos (here Jesus again) after $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon เ v ~ t o ~ k e e p ~ o n ~ r e m a i n i n g ~ l o y a l ~ t o ~(p r e s e n t ~ a c t i v e ~$ infinitive). Persistence was needed in such a pagan city.

Acts 11:24
For (ot). Because. This is the explanation of the conduct of Barnabas. The facts were opposed to the natural prejudices of a Jew like Barnabas, but he rose above such racial narrowness. He was a really good man ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ os). See Ro 5:7 for distinction between $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ os and $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \varsigma$, righteous, where $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ oc ranks higher than $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \rho$. Besides, Barnabas was full of the Holy Spirit (like Peter) and of faith and so willing to follow the leading of God's Spirit and take some risks. This is a noble tribute paid by Luke. One wonders if Barnabas was still living when he wrote this. Certainly he was not prejudiced against Barnabas though he will follow the fortunes of Paul after the separation ( $15: 36 ; 41$ ).

Was added unto the Lord ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \theta \eta \tau \omega \kappa \nu \rho \iota \omega$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau i \theta \eta \mu$, common verb to add to. These people were added to the Lord Jesus before they were added to the church. If that were always true, what a difference it would make in our churches.

Acts 11:25
To seek for Saul ( $\alpha v \alpha \zeta \eta \tau \eta \sigma \alpha$ $\Sigma \alpha u \lambda o v$ ). First aorist (effective) active infinitive of purpose. $A v a \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \omega$ is a common verb since Plato, but in the N.T. only here and Lu 2:44,45, to seek up and down ( $\alpha v \alpha$ ), back and forth, to hunt up, to make a thorough search till success comes. It is plain from Ga 1:21 that Saul had not been idle in Cilicia. Tarsus was not very far from Antioch. Barnabas probably knew that Saul was a vessel of choice (Ac 9:15 ) by Christ for the work among the Gentiles. He knew, of course, of Saul's work with the Hellenists in Jer-
usalem (9:29) and echoes of his work in Cilicia and Syria had probably come to him. So to Tarsus he goes when he saw the need for help. "He had none of the littleness which cannot bear the presence of a possible rival" (Furneaux). Barnabas knew his own limitations and knew where the man of destiny for this crisis was, the man who already had the seal of God upon him. The hour and the man met when Barnabas brought Saul to Antioch. The door was open and the man was ready, far more ready than when Jesus called him on the road to Damascus. The years in Cilicia and Syria were not wasted for they had not been idle. If we only knew the facts, it is probable that Saul also had been preaching to Hellenes as well as to Hellenists. Jesus had definitely called him to work among the Gentiles (9:15). In his own way he had come to the same place that Peter reached in Caesarea and that Barnabas now holds in Antioch. God always has a man prepared for a great emergency in the kingdom. The call of Barnabas was simply the repetition of the call of Christ. So Saul came.

Acts 11:26
Even for a whole year ( $\kappa \alpha$ eviavtov o入ov). Accusative of extent of time, probably the year A.D. 44, the year preceding the visit to Jerusalem (11:30), the year of the famine. The preceding years with Tarsus as headquarters covered A.D. 37 (39) to 44.

They were gathered together with the church ( $\sigma v \nu \alpha \chi \theta \eta \nu \alpha \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ ). First aorist passive infinitive of $\sigma v v a \gamma \omega$, old verb, probably here to meet together as in Mt 28:12 . In Ac 14:27 the verb is used of gathering together the church, but here $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ excludes that idea. Barnabas met together "in the church" (note first use of the word for the disciples at Antioch). This peculiar phrase accents the leadership and co-operation of Barnabas and Saul in teaching ( $\delta เ \delta \alpha \xi \alpha$, first aorist active infinitive) much people. Both infinitives are in the nominative case, the subject of $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau 0$ (it came to pass).

And that the disciples were called Christians first in Antioch ( $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon \pi \rho \omega \tau \omega \varsigma$
 subject of $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \frac{1}{c}$ and is added as a separate item by the use of $\tau \varepsilon$ rather than $\kappa \alpha$. For the word itself in the sense of divine command see on Mt 2:12,22; Lu 2:26; Ac 10:22. Here and in Ro 7:3 it means to be called or named (assuming a name from one's business, $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha$, from $\chi \rho \alpha о \mu \alpha$, to use or to do business). Polybius uses it in this sense as here. Tovৎ $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha \varsigma$ (the disciples) is in the accusative of general reference with the infinitive. Xpıotıavous (Christians) is simply predicate accusative. This word is made after the pattern of Hعpoסıavvs (Mt 22:16, H $\varepsilon \rho \omega \iota \delta \iota \alpha \nu o$, followers of Herod), Xacoa $\rho \iota \alpha \nu v$, , a follower of Caesar (Deissmann, Light from the Ancient East, p. 377, gives papyri examples of the genitive Kaıo 1 рос meaning also "belonging to Caesar" like the common adjective Xaعбapıavvৎ). It is made thus like a Latin adjective, though it is a Greek word, and it refers to the Hebrew belief in a Messiah (Page). The name was evidently given to the followers of Christ by the Gentiles to distinguish them from the Jews since they were Greeks, not Grecian Jews. The Jews would not call them Christians because of their own use of X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau$,
or Nazarenes. The followers of Christ called themselves disciples (learners), believers, brethren, saints, those of the Way. The three uses of Christian in the N.T. are from the heathen standpoint (here), Ac 26:28 (a term of contempt in the mouth of Agrippa), and 1 Pe 4:16 (persecution from the Roman government). It is a clear distinction from both Jews and Gentiles and it is not strange that it came into use first here in Antioch when the large Greek church gave occasion for it. Later Ignatius was bishop in Antioch and was given to the lions in Rome, and John Chrysostom preached here his wonderful sermons.

Acts 11:27
Prophets ( $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Christian prophets these were (cf. 13:1) who came from Jerusalem (the headquarters, 8:15). Judas and Silas are called prophets (14:4; 15:32). They were not just fore-tellers, but forth-tellers. The prophet had inspiration and was superior to the speaker with tongues (1Co 14:3). John was a prophet (Lu 7:26). We need prophets in the ministry today.

Acts 11:28
Signified ( $\varepsilon \sigma \eta \mu a ı v \varepsilon v)$. Imperfect active in Westcott and Hort, but aorist active $\varepsilon \sigma \eta \mu a v \varepsilon v$ in the margin. The verb is an old one from $\sigma \eta \mu \alpha$ ( $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota o v$ ) a sign (cf. the symbolic sign in 21:11). Here Agabus (also in 21:10) does predict a famine through the Holy Spirit.

Should be ( $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon เ v \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha)$. M $\varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ occurs either with the present infinitive ( 16:27), the aorist infinitive ( 12:6), or the future as here and 24:15; 27:10 .

Over all the world ( $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime}$ o $\lambda \eta \nu \tau \eta v$ oкко $\mu \mu \varepsilon v \eta \nu$ ). Over all the inhabited earth ( $\gamma \eta \nu$, understood). Probably a common hyperbole for the Roman empire as in Lu 2:1 . Josephus (Ant. VIII. 13, 4) appears to restrict it to Palestine.

In the days of Claudius ( $\varepsilon \pi$ K $\lambda \alpha u \delta \iota v$ ). He was Roman Emperor A.D. 41-44. The Roman writers (Suetonius, Dio Cassius, Tacitus) all tell of dearths (assiduae sterilitates) during the brief reign of Claudius who was preceded by Caligula and followed by Nero.

Acts 11:29
Every man according to his ability ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \cup \pi о \rho \varepsilon є \tau о \tau \iota)$ ). Imperfect middle of $\varepsilon \cup \pi о \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to be well off (from عuпороৎ), old verb, but here alone in the N.T., "as any one was well off." The sentence is a bit tangled in the Greek from Luke's rush of ideas. Literally, "Of the disciples, as any one was able (or well off), they determined ( $\omega \rho / \sigma \alpha v$, marked off the horizon) each of them to send relief ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \delta \iota \propto \kappa o v ı \alpha v$, for ministry) to the brethren who dwelt in Judaea." The worst of the famine came A.D. 45. The warning by Agabus stirred the brethren in Antioch to send the collection on ahead.

Acts 11:30
Sending ( $\alpha \pi \circ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\alpha \pi \circ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, coincident action with $\varepsilon \pi о \neq \eta \sigma \alpha$ (did).

To the elders ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ тous $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho о и \varsigma)$. The first use of that term for the Christian preachers. In 20:17,28 "elders" and "bishops" are used interchangeably as in Tit 1:5,7 . The
term probably arose gradually and holds a position in the church similar to the same term in the synagogue. The apostles were apparently absent from Jerusalem at this time and they were no longer concerned with serving tables. In 21:18 Paul presented the later collection also to the elders. Since Peter and James (till his death) were in Jerusalem during the persecution in chapter 12 it is probable that the visit of Barnabas and Saul to Jerusalem came really after that persecution for Peter left Jerusalem (12:17). The elders here mentioned may include the preachers in Judea also outside of Jerusalem ( 26:20).

## Acts 12

Acts 12:1
About that time ( $\left.\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \iota \nu o v ~ \tau o v ~ \kappa \alpha ı \rho о \nu\right) . ~ S a m e ~ p h r a s e ~ i n ~ R o ~ 9: 9 . ~ T h a t ~ i s, ~ t h e ~ e a r l y ~$ part of A.D. 44 since that is the date of Herod's death. As already suggested, Barnabas and Saul came down from Antioch to Jerusalem after the persecution by Herod at the end of 44 or the beginning of 45 .

Herod the king ( $\mathrm{H} \eta \rho \omega \iota \delta \eta \varsigma$ o $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \cup \varsigma$ ). Accurate title at this particular time. Herod Agrippa I, grandson of Herod the Great, was King of Palestine A.D. 42 to 44; only for these three years was a Herod king over Palestine since the death of Herod the Great and never afterwards. Archelaus never actually became king though he had the popular title at first (Mt 2:22).

Put forth his hands ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho a \varsigma)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \beta a \lambda \lambda \omega$, old verb, to cast upon or against. The same idiom with $\tau \alpha \varsigma \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho a \varsigma$ (the hands, common Greek idiom with article rather than possessive pronoun) in 4:3; 5:18 .

To afflict ( $\kappa \alpha \kappa \omega \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive of $\kappa \alpha \kappa \sigma \omega$, old word to do harm or evil to (како؟), already in 7:6,19. Outside of Acts in the N.T. only 1Pe 5:13. Infinitive of purpose. Probably the first who were afflicted were scourged or imprisoned, not put to death. It had been eight years or more since the persecution over the death of Stephen ceased with the conversion of Saul. But the disciples were not popular in Jerusalem with either Sadducees or Pharisees. The overtures to the Gentiles in Caesarea and Antioch may have stirred up the Pharisees afresh (cf. 6:14). Herod Agrippa I was an Idumean through his grandfather Herod the Great and a grandson of Mariamne the Maccabean princess. He was a favourite of Caligula the Roman Emperor and was anxious to placate his Jewish subjects while retaining the favour of the Romans. So he built theatres and held games for the Romans and Greeks and slew the Christians to please the Jews. Josephus (Ant. XIX. 7, 3) calls him a pleasant vain man scrupulously observing Jewish rites. Here we have for the first time political power (after Pilate) used against the disciples.

Acts 12:2
James the brother of John (I $\alpha \kappa \omega \beta \circ \nu \tau \circ \nu \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \circ \vee \mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \nu \circ v$ ). He had been called by Jesus a son of thunder along with his brother John. Jesus had predicted a bloody death for both of them (Mr 10:38ff.; Mt 20:23). James is the first of the apostles to die and John probably the last. He is not James the Lord's brother (Ga 1:19). We do not know why Luke tells so little about the death of James and so much about the death of Stephen nor do we know why Herod selected him as a victim. Eusebius (H.E. ii. 9) quotes Clement of Alexandria as saying that a Jew made accusations against James and was converted and beheaded at the same time with him.

Killed with the sword ( $\alpha v \varepsilon 1 \lambda \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \chi \alpha \rho \rho \eta$ ). The verb is a favourite one with Luke (Ac 2:33; 5:33,36; 7:28; 9:23-29; 10:39, etc.). Instrumental case and Ionic form of $\mu \alpha \chi \alpha$ । $\rho \alpha$. The Jews considered beheading a shameful death as in the case of the Baptist (Mt 14:10).

Acts 12:3
That it pleased the Jews (oт apeotov eбтıv toı Iovסaıoı). Indirect assertion with the present tense $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ retained. Apعбтov is the verbal adjective from $\alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \omega$ followed by the dative as in Joh 8:29.

Proceeded to seize ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \tau \frac{\sigma}{} \sigma \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \tau v$ ). A patent Hebraism in Lu 20:11f. already, and nowhere else in the N.T. It occurs in the LXX (Ge 4:2; 8:12; 18:29, etc.). Second aorist middle indicative of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau i \theta \eta \mu$ and the second aorist active infinitive of $\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$. Literally, he added to seize, he seized Peter in addition to James.

The days of unleavened bread ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \tau \omega v \alpha \zeta \nu \mu \omega v$ ). By this parenthesis Luke locates the time of the year when Peter was arrested, the passover. It was a fine occasion for Agrippa to increase his favour among the crowds of Jews there by extra zeal against the Christians. It is possible that Luke obtained his information about this incident from John Mark for at his Mother's house the disciples gathered (12:12).

Acts 12:4
When he had taken him ( $\pi \downarrow \alpha \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. See on 3:7 for same form.
He put him in prison ( $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \tau о ~ \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta \nu$ ). Second aorist middle indicative of $\tau \imath \theta \eta \mu$, common verb. This is the third imprisonment of Peter (4:3; 5:18).

To four quaternions of soldiers ( $\tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \sigma \iota \nu \tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \delta \iota \iota \varsigma \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \omega \tau \omega v$ ). Four soldiers in each quaternion ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau \rho a \delta \iota o v$ from $\tau \varepsilon \tau \rho a \varsigma$, four), two on the inside with the prisoner (chained to him) and two on the outside, in shifts of six hours each, sixteen soldiers in all, the usual Roman custom. Probably Agrippa had heard of Peter's previous escape ( $5: 19$ ) and so took no chances for connivance of the jailors.

After the passover ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ to $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \alpha$ ). The passover feast of eight days. "The stricter Jews regarded it as a profanation to put a person to death during a religious festival" (Hackett). So Agrippa is more scrupulous than the Sanhedrin was about Jesus.

To bring him forth ( $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon ו v ~ \alpha u \tau o v$ ). Second aorist active infinitive of $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \omega$, to lead up, old verb, used literally here. Peter was in the inner prison or lower ward and so would be led up to the judgment seat where Herod Agrippa would sit (cf. Joh 19:13).

To the people ( $\tau \omega \lambda \alpha \omega$ ). Ethical dative, in the presence of and for the pleasure of the Jewish people.

Acts 12:5
Therefore ( $\mu \varepsilon v \mathrm{ovv}$ ). Because of the preceding situation.
Was kept ( $\varepsilon \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \iota \tau o)$. Imperfect passive, continuously guarded, waiting for the feast to be over.

But prayer was made earnestly ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \eta \delta \varepsilon \eta \nu \varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon v \omega \varsigma \gamma เ v o \mu \varepsilon v \eta$ ). Probably $\delta \varepsilon$ here is not adversative (but), merely parallel (and) as Page argues. It was a crisis for the Jerusalem church. James had been slain and Peter was to be the next victim. Hence "earnestly" (late adverb from $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon \nu \eta \varsigma$, strained, from $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ \nu \omega$, to stretch. In the N.T. only here, Lu 22:44; 1 Pe 1:22) prayer was
going up ( $\gamma \iota v o \mu \varepsilon v \eta$, present middle participle, periphrastic imperfect with $\eta v$ ). It looked like a desperate case for Peter. Hence the disciples prayed the more earnestly.

Acts 12:6
Was about to bring him forth (Â $\eta \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v \pi \rho о \sigma \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon ı v$ or $\pi \rho \circ \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon เ v$ ). The MSS. vary, but not $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon^{2}$ of verse 4.

The same night ( $\tau \eta \nu \cup \kappa \tau \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v \eta)$. Locative case,
on that (very) night .
Was sleeping ( $\eta \nu \kappa о \mu \omega \mu \varepsilon v \circ \varsigma)$. Periphrastic middle imperfect.
Bound with two chains ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ a \lambda v \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \iota v \delta v \sigma \tau v$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\delta \varepsilon \omega$, to bind, followed by instrumental case. One chain was fastened to each soldier (one on each side of Peter).

Kept ( $\varepsilon \tau \eta \rho o u v$ ). Imperfect active, were keeping. Two guards outside before the door and two inside, according to Roman rule. Did Peter recall the prophecy of Jesus that he should be put to death in his old age (Joh 21:18) ? Jesus had not said, as Furneaux does, that he would die by crucifixion.

Acts 12:7
Stood by him ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta)$. Ingressive second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \varphi\llcorner\tau \tau \eta$, intransitive. This very form occurs in Lu 2:9 of the sudden appearance of the angel of the Lord to the shepherds. Page notes that this second aorist of $\varepsilon \varphi เ \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ occurs seven times in the Gospel of Luke, eight times in the Acts, and nowhere else in the N.T. Note also the same form $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta$ (departed from, from $\alpha \varphi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, stood off from) of the disappearance of the angel in verse 10 .

In the cell ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega$ oוк $\eta \mu \alpha \tau$ ). Literally, a dwelling place or habitation (from oкк $\omega \omega$, to dwell, oккос, house), but here not the prison as a whole as in Thucydides, but the room in the prison (cell) where Peter was chained to the two guards. Old word, but only here in the N.T.

He smote Peter on the side ( $\pi \alpha \tau \alpha \xi \alpha \varsigma \tau \eta v \pi \lambda \varepsilon v \rho \alpha \nu$ tov Пعт $\quad \circ$ ). More exactly, "smote the side of Peter." Strongly enough to wake Peter up who was sound asleep and yet not rouse the two guards. It was probably between 3 A.M. and 6 A.M., hours when changes in the guards were made.

Rise up ( $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \alpha$ ). Short form (Koine) of $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \eta \theta$, second aorist active imperative of avıбтๆu, intransitive. So also Ac 9:11 (Westcott and Hort text); Eph 5:14 .

Fell off ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \alpha v)$. Second aorist active with $\alpha$ ending like first aorist of $\varepsilon \xi \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, old verb. This miracle was necessary if Peter was to escape without rousing the two guards.

Acts 12:8
Gird thyself ( $\zeta \omega \sigma \alpha$ ). Direct middle first aorist (ingressive) imperative (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 806f.) from $\zeta \omega v v v \mu(\zeta \omega v v v \omega)$. Old verb, but in the N.T. only here and Joh 21:18 (twice to Peter) where the active voice and the reflexive pronoun occur in the first example. The girdle was worn round the $\chi \iota \tau \omega \nu$ or undergarment.

Bind on (v $\tau \circ \delta \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). Indirect middle (by yourself or for yourself) first aorist imperative of vлоס $\varepsilon \omega$, to bind under, old verb, only three times in the N.T. (Mr 6:9; Ac 12:8; Eph 6:15 (middle)).

Sandals ( $\sigma \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda ı \alpha)$. Persian word common from Herodotus on, a sole made of wood or leather covering the bottom of the foot and bound on with thongs. In the N.T. only here and Mr 6:9. In the LXX used indiscriminately with vтоסף $\mu$.

Cast about thee ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \curlywedge \beta \alpha \lambda o v)$. Second aorist middle (indirect) imperative of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, old and common verb to throw around, especially clothing around the body as here. The ц $\mu \alpha \tau \iota o v$ (outer garment) was put over the $\chi \iota \tau \omega v$. It was not a hurried flight.

Follow me ( $\alpha \kappa \lambda о \cup \theta \varepsilon \mu$ o). Present (linear) active imperative, keep on following me (associative instrumental case).

Acts 12:9
Wist not (ouk $\eta \downarrow \delta \varepsilon$ ). Past perfect of ot $\delta \alpha$ used as imperfect, did not know.
Followed ( $\eta \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active, kept on following as the angel had directed (verse 8). That it was true (o $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \tau v$ ). Indirect assertion and so present tense retained. Note "true" ( $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \varsigma)$ in the sense of reality or actuality.

Thought he saw a vision ( $\varepsilon \delta о к \varepsilon$ ораца $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon เ v$ ). Imperfect active, kept on thinking, puzzled as he was. $\mathrm{B} \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon เ v$ is the infinitive in indirect assertion without the pronoun (he) expressed which could be either nominative in apposition with the subject as in Ro 1:22 or accusative of general reference as in Ac 5:36; 8:9 (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 1036-40). Peter had had a vision in Joppa ( $10: 10$ ) which Luke describes as an "ecstasy," but here is objective fact, at least Luke thought so and makes that distinction. Peter will soon know whether he is still in the cell or not as we find out that a dream is only a dream when we wake up.

Acts 12:10
When they were past ( $\delta เ \varepsilon \lambda \theta$ ovtعऽ). Second aorist active participle of $\delta \iota \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, transitive with $\delta \iota \alpha$ in composition.

The first and the second ward ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \eta \nu \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha v$ ). It is not clear to what this language refers. Some take it to mean single soldiers, using $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta v$ in the sense of a guard (one before the door, one at the iron gate). But it seems hardly likely that the two soldiers with whom Peter had been stationed are meant. Probably the "first ward" means
the two soldiers of the quaternion stationed by the door and the second ward some other soldiers, not part of the sixteen, further on in the prison by the iron gate. However understood, the difficulties of escape are made plain.

Unto the iron gate that leadeth into the city ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \nu \pi \nu \lambda \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu \sigma \iota \delta \eta \rho \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \varphi \varepsilon \rho o u \sigma a v$ $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \nu$ ). Note the triple use of the article (the gate the iron one the one leading into the city). For this resumptive use of the article see Robertson, Grammar, pp. 762, 764. This iron gate may have opened from a court out into the street and effectually barred escape.

Opened to them ( $\eta v o r \gamma \eta \alpha \cup \tau o r \varsigma)$. Second aorist passive indicative of $\alpha v o r \gamma \omega$, the usual later form though $\eta$ vorx $\theta \eta$ (first aorist passive) occurs also, was opened.

Of its own accord ( $\alpha \cup \tau о \mu \alpha \tau \eta$ ). Old compound adjective ( $\alpha v \tau o \varsigma$, self, obsolete $\mu \alpha \omega$, to desire eagerly, feminine form though masculine avto $\mu \alpha \tau \circ$ also used as feminine). In the N.T. only here and Mr 4:28. It was a strange experience for Peter. The Codex Bezae adds here "went down the seven steps" ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \beta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau 0 \cup \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \theta \mu$ оч¢), an interesting detail that adds to the picture.

One street ( $\rho \nu \mu \eta \nu \mu \mu \nu$ ). The angel saw Peter through one of the narrow streets and then left him. We have no means of knowing precisely the location of the prison in the city. On "departed" ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta$ ) see on verse 7.

Acts 12:11
Was come to himself ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega \gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Second aorist middle participle of $\gamma ı v o \mu \alpha$ with $\varepsilon v$ and the locative case, "becoming at himself." In Lu 15:17 we have $\varepsilon ા \varsigma ~ \varepsilon a v \tau o v ~ \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega v$ (coming to himself, as if he had been on a trip away from himself).

Now I know of a truth ( $v \nu_{\nu}$ oi $\delta \alpha \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \omega \varsigma$ ). There was no further confusion of mind that it was an ecstasy as in 10:10. But he was in peril for the soldiers would soon learn of his escape, when the change of guards came at 6 A.M.

Delivered me ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \tau \circ \mu \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist middle indicative of $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \omega$. The Lord rescued me of himself by his angel.

Expectation ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta$ окıа¢). Old word from $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta о \kappa \alpha \omega$, to look for. In the N.T. only here and Lu 21:26. James had been put to death and the Jewish people were eagerly waiting for the execution of Peter like hungry wolves.

Acts 12:12
When he had considered ( $\sigma u v \imath \delta \omega v$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\sigma u v \varepsilon I \delta o v$ (for the defective verb $\sigma v v o \rho \alpha \omega$ ), to see together, to grasp as a whole, old verb, but in the N.T. only here and 14:6, save the perfect indicative $\sigma u v o r \delta a$ ( 1 Co $4: 4$ ) and participle (Ac 5:2 ). It is the word from which $\sigma u v \varepsilon ו \delta \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma ~(c o n s c i e n c e) ~ c o m e s ~(R o ~ 2: 15) . ~ P e t e r ' s ~ m i n d ~ w o r k e d ~$ rapidly and he decided what to do. He took in his situation clearly.

To the house of Mary ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \nu$ oוкıav $\tau \eta \varsigma$ Mapıa¢). Another Mary (the others were Mary the mother of Jesus, Mary of Bethany, Mary Magdalene, Mary wife of Cleopas, Mary the mother of James and Joses). She may have been a widow and was possessed of some
means since her house was large enough to hold the large group of disciples there. Barnabas, cousin of John Mark her son (Col 4:10) , was also a man of property or had been (Ac 4:36f. ). It is probable that the disciples had been in the habit of meeting in her house, a fact known to Peter and he was evidently fond of John Mark whom he afterwards calls "my son" ( 1 Pe 5:13 ) and whom he had met here. The upper room of Ac 1:13 may have been in Mary's house and Mark may have been the man bearing a pitcher of water ( Lu 22:10) and the young man who fled in the Garden of Gethsemane (Mr 14:51f. ). There was a gate and portress here as in the house of the highpriest (Joh 18:16). Peter knew where to go and even at this early hour hoped to find some of the disciples. Mary is one of the many mothers who have become famous by reason of their sons, though she was undoubtedly a woman of high character herself.

Were gathered together and were praying ( $\eta \sigma \alpha v \sigma v v \eta \theta \rho о ь \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o ~ к \alpha ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon v \chi о \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Note difference in the tenses, one periphrastic past perfect passive ( $\sigma u v \alpha \theta \rho o l \zeta \omega$ old verb, in the N.T. here only and 19:25 and the uncompounded $\theta \rho o \iota \zeta \omega$ in $\mathrm{Lu} 24: 33$ ) and the periphrastic imperfect. The praying apparently had been going on all night and a large number (many, tkavo) of the disciples were there. One recalls the time when they had gathered to pray ( $4: 31$ ) after Peter had told the disciples of the threats of the Sanhedrin (4:23). God had rescued Peter then. Would he let him be put to death now as James had been?

Acts 12:13
When he knocked at the door of the gate (кроибаvтоৎ autov $\tau \eta \nu \quad \theta \rho \alpha \nu \tau о v \pi \nu \lambda \omega v \circ \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute with aorist active participle of $\kappa \rho o v \omega$, common verb to knock or knock at. So from the outside ( $\operatorname{Lu} 13: 25$ ). Пu $\lambda \omega v$ here is the gateway or passageway from the door ( $\theta \cup \rho \alpha$ ) that leads to the house. In verse 14 it is still the passageway without the use of $\theta v \rho a$ (door, so for both door and passageway).

To answer (vтакоиба). To listen under before opening. First aorist active infinitive of vтакои $\omega$, common verb to obey, to hearken.

A maid ( $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \sigma \kappa \eta$ ). Portress as in Joh 18:17. A diminutive of $\pi \alpha \iota \varsigma$, a female slave (so on an ostracon of second century A.D., Deissmann, Light from the Ancient East, p. 200).

Rhoda. A rose. Women can have such beautiful names like Dorcas (Gazelle), Euodia (Sweet Aroma), Syntyche (Good Luck). Mark or Peter could tell Luke her name.

Acts 12:14
When she knew ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma v o v \sigma \alpha)$. Second aorist (ingressive) active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, to know fully or in addition $(\varepsilon \pi)$, to recognize. She knew Peter and his voice from his frequent visits there.

For joy ( $\alpha \pi 0$ т $\eta \varsigma \chi \alpha \rho a \varsigma$ ). From her joy (ablative case), life-like picture of the maid who left Peter standing outside with the door to the passageway unopened. Note the aorist tenses for quick action (ouk $\eta v o \iota \xi \varepsilon v$ ), $\varepsilon เ \sigma \delta \rho \alpha \mu \circ v \sigma \alpha$ (from $\varepsilon \iota \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$, defective verb, only here in the N.T.), a $\pi \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \iota \lambda \varepsilon v$.

Stood ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha v \alpha)$. Second perfect active infinitive of $\iota \tau \tau \eta \mu$, intransitive, in indirect assertion with tov Пєт $\rho \circ v$ (Peter) accusative of general reference. The slave girl acted as if she were a member of the family (Furneaux), but she left Peter in peril.

Acts 12:15
Thou art mad ( $\mu \alpha ı \nu \eta$ ). Present middle indicative second person singular. Old verb, only in the middle voice. Festus used the same word to Paul ( $26: 24$ ). The maid was undoubtedly excited, but it was a curious rebuff from those who had been praying all night for Peter's release. In their defence it may be said that Stephen and James had been put to death and many others by Saul's persecution.

She confidently affirmed ( $\delta u \sigma \chi \cup \rho \iota \zeta \varepsilon \tau о$ ). Imperfect middle of $\delta u \sigma \chi \cup \rho \iota \zeta о \mu \alpha$, an old word of vigorous and confident assertion, originally to lean upon. Only here in the N.T. The girl stuck to her statement.

It is his angel (Ho $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda$ oc eбтıv autov). This was the second alternative of the disciples. It was a popular Jewish belief that each man had a guardian angel. Luke takes no position about it. No scripture teaches it.

Acts 12:16
Continued knocking ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon v \kappa \rho o v \omega v$ ). Imperfect active and present participle. Now all heard the knocking.

When they had opened ( $\alpha v o \xi \xi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\alpha v o \gamma \omega$ or $-v v \mu$. The whole group rushed out to the courtyard this time to make sure.

They were amazed ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. The frequent second aorist active (intransitive) indicative of $\varepsilon \xi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$.

Acts 12:17
There were probably loud exclamations of astonishment and joy.
Beckoning with the hand ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon เ \sigma \alpha \varsigma \tau \eta \chi \varepsilon เ \rho)$. First aorist active participle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon ו \omega$, old verb to signal or shake down with the hand (instrumental case $\chi \varepsilon \rho \rho$ ). In the N.T. only in Ac 12:17; 13:16; 19:33; 21:40. The speaker indicates by a downward movement of the hand his desire for silence (to hold their peace, $\sigma \not \gamma \alpha \iota v$, present active infinitive, to keep silent). Peter was anxious for every precaution and he wanted their instant attention.

Declared ( $\delta ı \eta \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \tau o$ ). First aorist middle of $\delta ı \eta \gamma \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$, old verb to carry through a narrative, give a full story. See also Ac 9:27 of Barnabas in his defence of Saul. Peter told them the wonderful story.
 (first aorist active imperative). Evidently "James and the brethren" were not at this meeting, probably meeting elsewhere. There was no place where all the thousands of disciples in Jerusalem could meet. This gathering in the house of Mary may have been of women only or a meeting of the Hellenists. It is plain that this James the Lord's brother, is now the leading presbyter or elder in Jerusalem though there were a number (11:30; 21:18). Paul even terms
him apostle (Gal 1:19), though certainly not one of the twelve. The twelve apostles probably were engaged elsewhere in mission work save James now dead (Ac 12:2) and Peter. The leadership of James is here recognized by Peter and is due, partly to the absence of the twelve, but mainly to his own force of character. He will preside over the Jerusalem Conference (Ac 15:13).

To another place ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \rho \circ \vee \tau$ топоv). Probably Luke did not know the place and certainly it was prudent for Peter to conceal it from Herod Agrippa. Probably Peter left the city. He is back in Jerusalem at the Conference a few years later (Ac 15:7) and after the death of Herod Agrippa. Whether Peter went to Rome during these years we do not know. He was recognized later as the apostle to the circumcision (Gal 2:7; 1Pe 1:1) and apparently was in Rome with John Mark when he wrote the First Epistle (1Pe 5:13), unless it is the real Babylon. But, even if Peter went to Rome during this early period, there is no evidence that he founded the church there. If he had done so, in the light of 2Co 10:16 it would be strange that Paul had not mentioned it in writing to Rome, for he was anxious not to build on another man's foundation (Ro 15:20). Paul felt sure that he himself had a work to do in Rome. Unfortunately Luke has not followed the ministry of Peter after this period as he does Paul (appearing again only in chapter Ac 15 ). If Peter really left Jerusalem at this time instead of hiding in the city, he probably did some mission work as Paul says that he did (1Co 9:5).

Acts 12:18
As soon as it was day ( $\Gamma \varepsilon v \circ \mu \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute, day having come.
No small stir ( $\tau \alpha \rho \alpha \chi о \varsigma$ оик о $\lambda \iota \gamma \circ \varsigma)$. Litotes (очк о入ı $\gamma \circ \varsigma$ ), occurs eight times in the Acts as in 15:2, and nowhere else in the N.T. Tapaxos (stir) is an old word from $\tau \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, to agitate. In the N.T only here and 19:23. Probably all sixteen soldiers were agitated over this remarkable escape. They were responsible for the prisoner with their lives (cf. Ac 16:27; 27:42 ). Furneaux suggests that Manaen, the king's foster-brother and a Christian ( 13:1), was the "angel" who rescued Peter from the prison. That is not the way that Peter looked at it.

What was become of Peter ( $\tau \alpha \rho \alpha$ o Пعт $\rho \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau о$ ). An indirect question with the aorist indicative retained. Apa adds a syllogism (therefore) to the problem as in Lu 1:66 . The use of the neuter $\tau(\operatorname{as}$ in Ac 13:25) is different from $\tau \iota$, though nominative like $\Pi \varepsilon \tau \rho \circ \varsigma$, literally, "what then Peter had become," "what had happened to Peter" (in one idiom). See the same idiom in Joh 21:21 (ovtos $\delta \varepsilon \tau$ ).

But this one what (verb $\gamma \varepsilon v \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ not used).
Acts 12:19
He examined (avaкрıvac). First aorist active participle of $\alpha v \alpha \kappa \rho \iota v \omega$, old verb to sift up and down, to question thoroughly, in a forensic sense (Lu 23:14; Ac 4:9; 12:19; $28: 18$ ).

That they should be put to death ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \chi \theta \eta v \alpha$ ). First aorist passive infinitive (indirect command) of $\alpha \pi \alpha \gamma \omega$, old verb to lead away, especially to execution as in Mt 27:31. Here it
is used absolutely. This was the ordinary Roman routine and not a proof of special cruelty on the part of Herod Agrippa.

Tarried ( $\delta เ \varepsilon \tau \rho \_\beta \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active. Herod Agrippa made his home in Jerusalem, but he went to Caesarea to the public games in honour of Emperor Claudius.

Acts 12:20
Was highly displeased ( $\eta v \theta \nu \mu о \mu \alpha \chi \omega v$ ). Periphrastic imperfect active of $\theta \nu \mu о \mu \alpha \chi \varepsilon \omega$, late compound of $\theta v \mu \circ \varsigma$ (passionate heat) and $\mu \alpha \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to fight. Only here in the N.T., to fight desperately, to have a hot quarrel. Whether it was open war with the Phoenicians or just violent hostility we do not know, save that Phoenicia belonged to Syria and Herod Agrippa had no authority there. The quarrel may have been over commercial matters.

They came with one accord ( $o \mu \circ \theta v \mu \alpha \delta o v \pi \alpha \rho \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). The representatives of Tyre and Sidon. See on 1:14 for o $\mu \circ \theta v \mu \alpha \delta o v$. Tyre was a colony of Sidon and had become one of the chief commercial cities of the world by reason of the Phoenician ships.

The king's chamberlain ( $\tau \circ \vee \varepsilon \pi$ tov коוт $\omega$ vos $\tau$ тov $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon \circ \varsigma$ ). The one over the bedchamber (коוt $\omega v \circ \varsigma$, late word from koıtๆ, bed, here only in the N.T.).

Made their friend ( $\pi \varepsilon \mid \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\pi \varepsilon \otimes \theta \omega$, to persuade. Having persuaded (probably with bribes as in Mt 28:14).

They asked for peace ( $\eta \iota \tau 0 \cup v \tau 0 \varepsilon เ \rho \eta \nu \eta \nu$ ). Imperfect middle of $\alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon \omega$, kept on asking for peace.

Because their country was fed ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau 0 \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \alpha v \tau \omega v \tau \eta v \chi \circ \rho \alpha v$ ). Causal sentence with $\delta \iota \alpha$ and the articular infinitive (present passive of $\tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, to nourish or feed) and the accusative of general reference, "because of the being fed as to their country." Tyre and Sidon as large commercial cities on the coast received large supplies of grain and fruits from Palestine. Herod had cut off the supplies and that brought the two cities to action.

Acts 12:21
Upon a set day ( $\tau \alpha \kappa \tau \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). Locative case and the verbal adjective of $\tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, to arrange, appoint, old word, here only in the N.T. Josephus (Ant. XVII. 6, 8; XIX. 8, 2) gives a full account of the occasion and the death of Herod Agrippa. It was the second day of the festival in honour of the Emperor Claudius, possibly his birthday rather than the Quinquennalia. The two accounts of Luke and Josephus supplement each other with no contradiction. Josephus does not mention the name of Blastus.

Arrayed himself in royal apparel ( $\varepsilon v \delta \nu \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \sigma \theta \eta \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda_{ı \kappa \eta} v$ ). First aorist middle (indirect) participle of $\varepsilon v \delta u v \omega$ or $\varepsilon v \delta \nu \omega$, common verb to put on. Literally, having put royal apparel on himself (a robe of silver tissue, Josephus says). The rays of the sun shone on this brilliant apparel and the vast crowd in the open amphitheatre became excited as Herod began to speak.

Made an oration ( $\varepsilon \delta \eta \mu \eta \gamma \circ \rho \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active of $\delta \eta \mu \eta \gamma \circ \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb from $\delta \eta \mu \eta \gamma \circ \rho \circ \varsigma$ (haranguer of the people), and that from $\delta \eta \mu \circ \varsigma$ (people) and $\alpha \gamma \circ \rho \varepsilon v \omega$, to harangue or address the people. Only here in the N.T. He kept it up.

Acts 12:22
Shouted ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \varphi \omega \nu \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active, kept on shouting, calling out to him. Old verb, but only four times in the N.T. and all by Luke. The heathen crowd ( $\delta \eta \mu \circ \varsigma$ ) repeated their flattering adulation to gain Herod's favour.

The voice of a god $(\theta \varepsilon o v \varphi \omega v \eta)$. In the pagan sense of emperor worship, not as the Supreme Being. But it was pleasing to Herod Agrippa's vanity.

Acts 12:23
Smote him ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \xi \varepsilon v \alpha u \tau o v$ ). Effective aorist active indicative of $\pi \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, old verb, used already in verse 7 of gentle smiting of the angel of the Lord, here of a severe stroke of affliction. Like Nebuchadnezzar (Da 4:30) pride went before a fall. He was struck down in the very zenith of his glory.

Because ( $\alpha v \theta^{\prime} \omega v$ ). Av with the genitive of the relative pronoun, "in return for which things." He accepted the impious flattery (Hackett) instead of giving God the glory. He was a nominal Jew.

He was eaten of worms ( $\gamma \varepsilon v \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \sigma \kappa \omega \lambda \eta \kappa \circ \beta \rho \omega \tau \sigma \varsigma)$. Ingressive aorist middle participle, "becoming worm-eaten." The compound verbal adjective ( $\sigma \kappa \omega \lambda \eta \xi$, worm, $\beta \rho \omega \tau$ т, , eaten, from $\beta \iota \beta \rho \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ ) is a late word (II Macc. 9:9) of the death of Antiochus Epiphanes, used also of a tree (Theophrastus), here only in the N.T. The word $\sigma \kappa \omega \lambda \eta \xi$ was used of intestinal worms and Herodotus (IV. 205) describes Pheretima, Queen of Cyrene, as having swarms of worms which ate her flesh while still alive. Josephus (Ant. XIX. 8, 2) says that Herod Agrippa lingered for five days and says that the rotting of his flesh produced worms, an item in harmony with the narrative in Luke. Josephus gives further details, one a superstitious sight of an owl sitting on one of the ropes of the awning of the theatre while the people flattered him, an omen of his death to him. Luke puts it simply that God smote him.

Gave up the ghost ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \psi v \xi \varepsilon v)$. Effective aorist active of $\varepsilon \kappa \psi v \chi \omega$, to breathe out, late verb, medical term in Hippocrates, in the N.T. only in Ac 5:5,10; 12:23. Herod was carried out of the theatre a dying man and lingered only five days.

Acts 12:24
Grew and multiplied ( $\eta \cup \xi \alpha v \varepsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \theta \nu v \varepsilon \tau 0)$. Imperfect active and passive. Cf. 6:1. The reaction from the death of James and the imprisonment of Peter.

Acts 12:25
From Jerusalem ( $\varepsilon \xi$ I $\varepsilon \rho o v \sigma \alpha \lambda \eta \mu)$. Probably correct text, though D has $\alpha \pi \sigma$. Westcott and Hort follow Aleph B in reading $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ (to) Jerusalem, an impossible reading contradicted by 11:29f.; 13:1. The ministration ( $\delta$ takoviav) referred to is that in 11:29f. which may have taken place, in point of time, after the death of Herod.

Taking with them ( $\sigma v v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Taking along ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ) with ( $\sigma v v$ ) them, John Mark from Jerusalem ( $12: 12$ ) to Antioch ( $13: 1$ ). The aorist participle does not express subsequent action as Rackham here argues (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 861-863).

## Acts 13

Acts 13:1
In the church that was there ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta \nu$ ov $\sigma \alpha \nu \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \nu$ ). Possibly distributed throughout the church (note "in the church" 11:26). Now a strong organization there. Luke here begins the second part of Acts with Antioch as the centre of operations, no longer Jerusalem. Paul is now the central figure instead of Peter. Jerusalem had hesitated too long to carry out the command of Jesus to take the gospel to the whole world. That glory will now belong to Antioch.

Prophets and teachers ( $\pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \delta \iota \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda о$ ). All prophets were teachers, but not all teachers were prophets who were for-speakers of God, sometimes fore-speakers like Agabus in 11:28. The double use of $\tau \varepsilon$ here makes three prophets (Barnabas, Symeon, Lucius) and two teachers (Manaen and Saul). Barnabas heads the list ( $11: 22$ ) and Saul comes last. Symeon Niger may be the Simon of Cyrene who carried the Saviour's cross. Lucius of Cyrene was probably one of the original evangelists (11:20). The name is one of the forms of Luke, but it is certainly not Luke the Physician. Manaen shows how the gospel was reaching some of the higher classes (home of Herod Antipas).

Foster-brother ( $\sigma \cup \vee \tau \rho о \varphi о \varsigma)$. Old word for nourished with or brought up with one collactaneus (Vulgate). These are clearly the outstanding men in the great Greek church in Antioch.

Acts 13:2
As they ministered to the Lord ( $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau \circ \cup \rho \gamma \circ v \nu \tau \omega \nu \alpha v \tau \omega \nu \tau o \kappa \nu \rho \iota \omega$ ). Genitive absolute of $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau o v \rho \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, used of the Attic orators who served the state at their own cost $\lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ or $\lambda \alpha o \varsigma$, people, and $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v$, work or service). Common in the LXX of the priests who served in the tabernacle (Ex 28:31,39) like $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau o v \rho \gamma \iota a$ (Lu 1:23) which see. So in Heb 10:11. In Ro 15:27 of aiding others in poverty. Here of worship (prayer, exhortation, fasting). The word liturgy grows out of this use.

And fasted ( $\kappa \alpha \nu \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o v \tau \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute also. Christian Jews were keeping up the Jewish fast (Lu 18:12). Note fasting also in the choice of elders for the Mission Churches (Ac 14:23 ). Fasting was not obligatory on the Christians, but they were facing a great emergency in giving the gospel to the Gentile world.

Separate me ( $\alpha \varphi$ о mark off boundaries or horizon, used by Paul of his call (Ro 1:1; Ga 1:15 ). The Greek has $\delta \eta$, a shortened form of $\eta \delta \eta$ and like Latin $j a m$ and German doch, now therefore. It ought to be preserved in the translation. Cf. Lu 2:15; Ac 15:36; 1Co 6:20. Mo is the ethical dative. As in verse 1 Barnabas is named before Saul. Both had been called to ministry long ago, but now this call is to the special campaign among the Gentiles. Both had been active and useful in such work.

Whereunto (o). Here $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ has to be repeated from $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau o \varepsilon \rho \gamma o v$ just before, "for which" as Jesus sent the twelve and the seventy in pairs, so here. Paul nearly always had one or more companions.

Acts 13:3
When they had fasted ( $\nu \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Either finishing the same fast in verse 2 or another one (Hackett), but clearly a voluntary fast.

Laid their hands upon them ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \alpha \varsigma \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho a \varsigma \alpha v \tau o \iota \varsigma)$. Second aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$. Not ordination to the ministry, but a solemn consecration to the great missionary task to which the Holy Spirit had called them. Whether the whole church took part in this ceremony is not clear, though in 15:40 "the brethren" did commend Paul and Silas. Perhaps some of them here acted for the whole church, all of whom approved the enterprise. But Paul makes it plain in Php 4:15 that the church in Antioch did not make financial contribution to the campaign, but only goodwill. But that was more than the church at Jerusalem would have done as a whole since Peter had been arraigned there for his activities in Caesarea (Ac 11:1-18). Clearly Barnabas and Saul had to finance the tour themselves. It was Philippi that first gave money to Paul's campaigns. There were still heathen enough in Antioch, but the church approved the going of Barnabas and Saul, their very best.

Acts 13:4
So they ( $\alpha v \tau o \mu \varepsilon v o v v$ ). They themselves indeed therefore. No contrast is necessary, though there is a slight one in verses 5,6. Luke again refers to the Holy Spirit as the source of their authority for this campaign rather than the church at Antioch.

Sent forth ( $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \varepsilon \mu \varphi \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Old verb from $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega$ and first aorist passive participle, but in the N.T. only here and Ac 17:10.

Sailed ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha v)$. Effective aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi 0 \pi \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, old verb to sail away, depart from. In the N.T. only here and $14: 26 ; 20: 15 ; 27: 1$. Barnabas was from Cyprus where there were many Jews.

Acts 13:5
Proclaimed ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o v$ ). Imperfect active of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, inchoative, began to proclaim. This was Paul's rule of procedure, "to the Jew first" (Ro 1:16; Ac 13:46; 17:2; 18:4,19; 19:8).

They had also ( $\varepsilon \iota \chi \circ v \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ). Imperfect active, descriptive.
 Probably here minister ( $\chi \alpha \zeta \zeta \alpha \nu$ ) or assistant in the synagogue as in Lu 4:20. Cf. Mt 5:25 . It is not clear what John Mark did, though he was evidently selected by Barnabas as his cousin. He may have helped in the baptizing. There were probably others also in the company (verse 13). The "also" may mean that Mark did some preaching. Barnabas was probably the leader in the work in these Jewish synagogues.

Acts 13:6

Unto Paphos ( $\alpha \chi \rho$ Пачоv). The new Paphos at the other end of the island, reached by a fine Roman road, some eight miles north of the old Paphos famous for the worship of Venus.

A certain sorcerer, a false prophet, a Jew ( $\alpha v \delta \rho \alpha \tau \iota \alpha \mu \alpha \gamma \circ v \psi \varepsilon v \delta о \pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \eta v$ Iov $\alpha \alpha \iota v$ ). Literally, "a certain man" ( $\alpha \nu \delta \rho \alpha \tau \iota \nu \alpha$ ) with various descriptive epithets. The word $\mu \alpha \gamma o v$ does not necessarily mean "sorcerer," but only a $\mu \alpha \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$ (Mt $2: 1,7,10$ which see). The bad sense occurs in Ac 8:9,11 (Simon Magus) and is made plain here by "false prophet." In verse 8 here Barjesus (Son of Jesus) is called "Elymas the sorcerer (or Magian)," probably his professional title, as Luke interprets the Arabic or Aramaic word Elymas. These Jewish mountebanks were numerous and had great influence with the uneducated. In Ac 19:13 the seven sons of Sceva, Jewish exorcists, tried to imitate Paul. If one is surprised that a man like Sergius Paulus should fall under the influence of this fraud, he should recall what Juvenal says of the Emperor Tiberius "sitting on the rock of Capri with his flock of Chaldaeans around him."

Acts 13:7
With the proconsul Sergius Paulus ( $\sigma v v \tau \omega \alpha v \theta v \pi \alpha \tau \omega \sum \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega \omega$ Пav $\lambda \omega$ ). Luke used to be sharply criticized for applying this term to Sergius Paulus on the ground that Cyprus was a province under the appointment of the emperor with the title of propraetor and not under the control of the senate with the title of proconsul. That was true B.C. 30, but five years later it was changed to proconsul by Augustus and put under the control of the Senate. Two inscriptions have been found with the date A.D. 51 and 52 with the names of proconsuls of Cyprus and one is in the Cesnola Collection, an inscription found at Soli with the name of Paulus as Proconsul, undoubtedly this very man, though no date occurs.

A man of understanding ( $\alpha \nu \delta \rho \sigma v v \varepsilon \tau \omega$ ). All the more amazing that he should be a victim of Barjesus. He had given up idolatry at any rate and was eager to hear Barnabas and Saul.

Acts 13:8
Withstood them ( $\alpha \nu \theta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \tau o ~ \alpha v \tau o \iota \varsigma)$. Imperfect middle of $\alpha \nu \theta \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, to stand against (face to face). Dative case (avtoıs). He persisted in his opposition and was unwilling to lose his great prize. There may have been a public discussion between Elymas and Saul.

To turn aside $(\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha)$. First aorist active infinitive of $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, old verb to turn or twist in two, to distort, to pervert (cf. Mt 17:17; Lu 23:2 ).

Acts 13:9
But Saul, who is also called Paul ( $\Sigma \alpha \cup \lambda$ оऽ $\delta \varepsilon$, о к $\propto \propto \cup \lambda о \varsigma)$. By this remarkably brief phrase Luke presents this epoch in the life of Saul Paul. The "also" ( $\kappa \alpha$ ) does not mean that the name Paul was given now for the first time, rather than he had always had it. As a Jew and a Roman citizen, he undoubtedly had both names all the time (cf. John Mark, Symeon Niger, Barsabbas Justus). Jerome held that the name of Sergius Paulus was adopted by Saul
because of his conversion at this time, but this is a wholly unlikely explanation, "an element of vulgarity impossible to St. Paul " (Farrar). Augustine thought that the meaning of the Latin paulus (little) would incline Saul to adopt, "but as a proper name the word rather suggested the glories of the Aemilian family, and even to us recalls the name of another Paulus, who was 'lavish of his noble life'" (Page). Among the Jews the name Saul was naturally used up to this point, but from now on Luke employs Paul save when there is a reference to his previous life (Ac 22:7; 26:14). His real career is work among the Gentiles and Paul is the name used by them. There is a striking similarity in sound between the Hebrew Saul and the Roman Paul. Paul was proud of his tribe of Benjamin and so of King Saul (Php 3:5 ).

Filled with the Holy Spirit ( $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma \pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma \alpha \gamma \vdash v)$. First aorist (ingressive) passive participle of $\pi \mu \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu$ with the genitive case. A special influx of power to meet this emergency. Here was a cultured heathen, typical of the best in Roman life, who called forth all the powers of Paul plus the special help of the Holy Spirit to expose the wickedness of Elymas Barjesus. If one wonders why the Holy Spirit filled Paul for this emergency rather than Barnabas, when Barnabas was named first in 13:2, he can recall the sovereignty of the Holy Spirit in his choice of agents (1Co 12:4-11) and also the special call of Paul by Christ (Ac 9:15; 26:17f. ).

Fastened his eyes ( $\alpha \tau \varepsilon v$ เбa¢). As already in $\operatorname{Lu} 4: 20 ; 22: 56$; Ac 3:4,12; 6:15; $10: 4$.
Acts 13:10
Of all guile ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \sigma \varsigma \delta o \lambda o v)$. From $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$, to catch with bait, old word, already seen in Mt 26:4; Mr 7:22; 14:1 . Paul denounces Elymas as a trickster.

All villainy ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \eta \varsigma \rho \alpha \iota \delta เ o v \rho \gamma ı \varsigma)$ ). Late compound from $\rho \alpha \iota \delta \iota o v \rho \gamma \circ \varsigma$ ( $\rho \alpha \iota \delta \iota \rho$, easy, facile, $\varepsilon \rho \gamma o v$, deed, one who does a thing adroitly and with ease). So levity in Xenophon and unscrupulousness in Polybius, Plutarch, and the papyri. Only here in the N.T., though the kindred word $\rho a \iota \delta \iota o v \rho \gamma \eta \mu \alpha$ occurs in Ac 18:14. With deadly accuracy Paul pictured this slick rascal.

Thou son of the devil (vi\& $\delta i \alpha \beta o \lambda o v)$. Damning phrase like that used by Jesus of the Pharisees in Joh 8:44, a slanderer like the $\delta$ ı $\alpha$ O $\lambda$ oc. This use of son (vioc) for characteristic occurs in Ac 3:25; 4:36, a common Hebrew idiom, and may be used purposely by Paul in contrast with the name Barjesus (son of Jesus) that Elymas bore ( 13:6).

Enemy of all righteousness $(\varepsilon \chi \theta \rho \varepsilon \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \varsigma \varsigma$ เкаıoбuvŋऽ). Personal enemy to all justice, sums up all the rest. Note triple use of "all" ( $\pi \alpha v \tau о \sigma, \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \sigma, \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \varsigma)$, total depravity in every sense.

Wilt thou not cease? (ov $\pi \alpha v \sigma \eta)$ ). An impatient rhetorical question, almost volitive in force (Robertson, Grammar, p. 874). Note ov, not $\mu \eta$,

To pervert ( $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega v$ ). Present active participle describing the actual work of Elymas as a perverter or distorter (see verse 8 ). More exactly, Wilt thou not cease perverting?
 the straight ones as opposed to the crooked ways of men (Isa 40:4; 42:16; Lu 3:5). The task of John the Baptist as of all prophets and preachers is to make crooked paths straight and to get men to walk in them. This false prophet was making even the Lord's straight ways crooked. Elymas has many successors.

Acts 13:11
Upon thee ( $\varepsilon \pi \sigma \varepsilon$ ). The use of $\varepsilon \pi$ with the accusative is rich and varied, the precise shade of meaning depending on the content. The "hand of the Lord" might be kindly (Ac 11:21) or hostile (Heb 10:31), but when God's hand touches one's life (Job 19:21) it may be in judgment as here with Elymas. He has not humbled himself under the mighty hand of God (1Pe 5:6).

Not seeing ( $\mu \eta \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega v)$. Repeating with negative participle the negative idea in "blind" ( $\tau \cup \varphi \lambda$ ос). "It was a judicial infliction; blindness for blindness, darkness without for wilful darkness within" (Furneaux). He was an example of the blind leading the blind that was to cease and Sergius Paulus was to be led into the light. The blindness was to be "for a season" ( $\alpha \times \rho$ кaıpov, $\mathrm{Lu} 4: 13$ ), if it should please God to restore his sight. Paul apparently recalls his own blindness as he entered Damascus.

A mist ( $\alpha \chi \lambda \nu \varsigma$ ). Especially a dimness of the eyes, old poetic word and late prose, in LXX, only here in N.T. Galen uses it of the opacity of the eye caused by a wound.

He went about seeking some one to lead him by the hand ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \alpha \gamma \omega \nu \varepsilon \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \omega \gamma \circ \cup \varsigma)$. A rather free rendering. Literally, "going about ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \alpha \gamma \omega v$, present active participle of $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \alpha \gamma \omega)$ he was seeking ( $\varepsilon \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon$, imperfect active of $\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \omega$ ) guides ( $\chi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma 0 \nu \varsigma$, from $\chi \varepsilon \rho \rho$, hand, and $\alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \circ \varsigma$, guide, from $\alpha \gamma \omega$, one who leads by the hand)." The very verb $\chi \varepsilon \downharpoonright a \gamma \omega \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, to lead by the hand, Luke uses of Paul in 9:8, as he entered Damascus.

Acts 13:12
Believed ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Ingressive aorist active indicative. Renan considers it impossible that a Roman proconsul could be converted by a miracle. But it was the teaching about the Lord ( $\tau 0 \cup$ кирıov, objective genitive) by which he was astonished ( $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$, present passive participle of $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma \omega$, see on Mt 7:28) or struck out as well as by the miracle. The blindness came "immediately" ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \alpha)$ upon the judgment pronounced by Paul. It is possible that Sergius Paulus was converted to Christ without openly identifying himself with the Christians as his baptism is not mentioned as in the case of Cornelius. But, even if he was baptized, he need not have been deposed from his proconsulship as Furneaux and Rackham argue because his office called for "official patronage of idolatrous worship." But that could have been merely perfunctory as it probably was already. He had been a disciple of the Jewish magician, Elymas Barjesus, without losing his position. Imperial persecution against Christianity had not yet begun. Furneaux even suggests that the conversion of a proconsul to Christianity at this stage would have called for mention by the Roman and

Greek historians. There is the name Sergia Paullina in a Christian cemetery in Rome which shows that one of his family was a Christian later. One will believe what he wills about Sergius Paulus, but I do not see that Luke leaves him in the category of Simon Magus who "believed" ( 8:13) for revenue only.

Acts 13:13
Paul and his company (o $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ Пav入ov). Neat Greek idiom as in Plato, Cratylus 440 C о $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ Н $\rho \propto \alpha \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau o v$. On this idiom see Gildersleeve, Syntax, p. 264. It means a man and his followers, "those around Paul." Now Paul ranks first always in Acts save in 14:2; 15:12,25 for special reasons. Heretofore Saul (Paul) held a secondary position (9:27; 11:30; 13:1f. ). "In nothing is the greatness of Barnabas more manifest than in his recognition of the superiority of Paul and acceptance of a secondary position for himself' (Furneaux).

Set sail ( $\alpha v \alpha \chi \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist passive participle of $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \omega$. Thirteen times in the Acts and Lu 8:22 which see. They sailed up to sea and came down ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \omega$, $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta a ı v \omega$ ) to land. So it looks.

Departed from them ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \chi \omega \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma \alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). First aorist active participle of $\alpha \pi 0 \chi \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb to withdraw, go away from. In the N.T. only here and Mt 7:23; Lu 9:39 . He is called John there as in verse 5 and Mark in 15:39, though John Mark in 12:12,25 . This may be accidental or on purpose (Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 317). Luke is silent on John's reasons for leaving Paul and Barnabas. He was the cousin of Barnabas and may not have relished the change in leadership. There may have been change in plans also now that Paul is in command. Barnabas had chosen Cyprus and Paul has led them to Perga in Pamphylia and means to go on into the highlands to Antioch in Pisidia. There were perils of many sorts around them and ahead (2Co 11:26), perils to which John Mark was unwilling to be exposed. Paul will specifically charge him at Antioch with desertion of his post (Ac 15:39 ). It is possible, as Ramsay suggests, that the mosquitoes at Perga gave John malaria. If so, they bit Paul and Barnabas also. He may not have liked Paul's aggressive attitude towards the heathen. At any rate he went home to Jerusalem instead of to Antioch, zu seiner Mutter (Holtzmann). It was a serious breach in the work, but Paul and Barnabas stuck to the work.

## Acts 13:14

Passing through ( $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon$ ( $\mathrm{oovt} \mathrm{\varepsilon} \mathrm{\varsigma)}$ ). It is not clear why Paul and Barnabas left Perga so soon nor why they went to Antioch in Pisidia. Ramsay suggests malaria that spurred them on to the hills after the desertion of John Mark. They preached at Perga on the return ( 14:25) and apparently hurried away now. Farrar thinks that the hot weather had driven the population to the hills. At any rate it is not difficult to imagine the perils of this climb over the rough mountain way from Perga to Pisidian Antioch to which Paul apparently refers in 2Co 11:26

Sat down ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ เб $\alpha v$ ). Ingressive aorist active indicative, took their seats as visiting Jews, possibly in the seats of the rabbis (J. Lightfoot). Whether they expected to be called on or
not, they were given the opportunity as prominent visitors. The Pisidian Antioch was really in Phrygia, but towards Pisidia to distinguish it from Antioch on the Maeander (Ramsay, Church in the Roman Empire, p. 25). It was a colony like Philippi and so a free city. If Paul is referring to South Galatia and not North Galatia in Ga $4: 13$ when he says that his preaching in Galatia at first was due to illness, then it was probably here at Pisidian Antioch. What it was we have no means of knowing, though it was a temptation in his flesh to them so severe that they were willing to pluck out their eyes for him (Ga 4:14f. ). Opthalmia, malaria, epilepsy have all been suggested as this stake in the flesh (2Co 12:7). But Paul was able to preach with power whatever his actual physical condition was.

Acts 13:15
After the reading of the law and the prophets ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \eta \nu \alpha v \alpha \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu \tau o v \nu o \mu \circ v \kappa \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ $\pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \omega v)$. The law was first read in the synagogues till B.C. 163 when Antiochus Epiphones prohibited it. Then the reading of the prophets was substituted for it. The Maccabees restored both. There was a reading from the law and one from the prophets in Hebrew which was interpreted into the Aramaic or the Greek Koine for the people. The reading was followed by the sermon as when Jesus was invited to read and to preach in Nazareth (Lu 4:16f. ). For the service in the synagogue see Schuerer, History of the Jewish People, Div. II, Vol. II, pp. 79ff. It was the duty of the rulers of the synagogue ( $\alpha \rho \chi \iota \sigma v \nu \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma 0$ ) to select the readers and the speakers for the service ( $\mathrm{Mr} 5: 22,35-38$; $\mathrm{Lu} 8: 49 ; 13: 14$; Ac 13:15; 18:8,17 ). Any rabbi or distinguished stranger could be called on to speak.

If ye have any word of exhortation for the people ( $\varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \varepsilon \nu v \mu \nu \lambda о \gamma \circ \varsigma$ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau \sigma \nu \lambda \alpha o v)$. Literally, if there is among you any word of exhortation for the people. It is a condition of the first class and assumed to be true, a polite invitation. On "exhortation" ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma)$ see 9:31. It may be a technical phrase used in the synagogue (Heb 13:22; 1Ti 4:13 ).

Acts 13:16
 4:20) , but the Greek and Roman was to stand (Ac 17:22). It is possible as Lewin (Life of St. Paul, Vol. 1, p. 141) suggests that here Paul stepped upon the platform and then took his seat as he began to speak or he may have followed the Greek and Roman custom. Paul is the leader now and the more gifted speaker (Ac 14:12), so that he responds to the courteous invitation of the rulers.

Beckoning (катабєıбаৎ). First aorist active participle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \iota \omega$, old verb to shake down, a dramatic gesture for quiet and order like Peter in 12:17 and Paul on the steps of the tower of Antonia ( 21:40).

And ye that fear God ( $\kappa \alpha$ о $\varphi о \beta о \cup \mu \varepsilon v o \tau о v \theta \varepsilon о v$ ). Evidently large numbers of these Gentiles like Cornelius in Caesarea were present. They offered Paul a great opportunity for reaching the purely pagan Gentiles. This (verses 16-41) is the first full report of a sermon
of Paul's that Luke has preserved for us. He is now a practised preacher of the gospel that he began proclaiming at Damascus, that Jesus of Nazareth is the Messiah of promise and the Saviour of the whole world both Jew and Gentile if they will only believe on him and be saved. It is possible that Paul here based his sermon on the passages of the law and the prophets that had just been read. He uses two words from the LXX, one in verse 19 from De 1:31 عтрочочор $\sigma \varepsilon v$ (as a nursing-father bare he them), the reading of many old MSS. and the one preferred by the American Committee, the other in verse 17 from Isa 1:2 $v \psi \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$ (exalted). At any rate it is clear that Paul spoke in Greek so that all could understand his sermon. He may have written out notes of this sermon afterwards for Luke. The keynotes of Paul's theology as found in his Epistles appear in this sermon. It is interesting to observe the steady growth of Paul's Christology as he faced the great problems of his day. Here we see Paul's gospel for the Jews and the God-fearers (Gentiles friendly to the Jews).

Acts 13:17
Chose ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \tau 0)$. First aorist middle (indirect), selected for himself. Israel was the chosen people.

Exalted ( $v \psi \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). From $v \psi o \omega$, late verb from $v \psi o \varsigma$ so often used of Christ.
When they sojourned ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \pi \alpha \rho o \kappa \kappa ı \alpha$ ). In the sojourn. Late word from $\pi \alpha \rho о к \kappa \varsigma$ (sojourner, dweller, Ac 7:6 ) common in LXX. In N.T. only here and 1Pe 1:17.

With a high arm ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \rho \alpha \chi เ o v o \varsigma ~ v \psi \eta \lambda o v)$. Vivid picture from the LXX (Ex 6:1,6; De 5:15; Ps 136:12).

Acts 13:18
Suffered he their manners ( $\varepsilon \tau \rho о \pi о \varphi о \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\tau \rho о \pi о \varphi о \rho \varepsilon \omega$, late word from тоотоऽ, manner, and $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, reading of Aleph B D and accepted by Westcott and Hort. But A C Sahidic Bohairic read $\varepsilon \tau \rho о \varphi о \varphi о \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ from $\tau \rho о \varphi о \varphi о \rho \varepsilon \omega$ ( $\tau \rho \circ \varphi \circ \varsigma$, a nurse, and $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$,) late word (II Macc. 7:27), probably correct word here and De 1:31.

Acts 13:19
When he had destroyed ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega v$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to tear down, old verb.

He gave them for an inheritance ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v o \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of the double compound verb кат $\alpha-\kappa \lambda \eta \rho о-v o \mu \varepsilon \omega$, late verb in LXX (Nu 34:18; De 3:28; Jos 14:1) and only here in the N.T., to distribute by lot, to distribute as an inheritance. This is the correct reading and not $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \delta о \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ from катак $\lambda \rho \circ \delta о \tau \varepsilon \omega$ of the Textus Receptus. These two verbs were confused in the MSS. of the LXX as well as here.

For about four hundred and fifty years ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \sigma \iota \nu \tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \kappa о \sigma ю ю \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha ~ \pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Associative instrumental case with an expression of time as in 8:11; Lu 8:29 (Robertson, Grammar, p. 527). The oldest MSS. (Aleph A B C Vg Sah Boh) place these figures before "after these things" and so in verse 19. This is the true reading and is in agreement with the
notation in 1Ki 6:1. The difficulty found in the Textus Receptus (King James Version) thus disappears with the true text. The four hundred and fifty years runs therefore from the birth of Isaac to the actual conquest of Canaan and does not cover the period of the Judges. See on Ac 7:6.

Acts 13:20
And after these things ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ). That is, the time of the Judges then began. Cf. Jud 2:16.

Until Samuel the prophet ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \Sigma \alpha \mu о \cup \eta \lambda \pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau o v$ ). The terminus ad quem. He was the last of the judges and the first of the prophets who selected the first king (Saul) under God's guidance. Note the absence of the Greek article with $\pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau 00$.

Acts 13:21
They asked ( $\eta \iota \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v \tau 0$ ). First aorist indirect middle indicative, they asked for themselves. They were tired of a theocracy. Cf. 1Sa 8:5; 10:1. Paul mentions with pride that Benjamin was the tribe of Saul (his name also), but he does not allude to Saul's $\sin$ (Furneaux).

For the space of forty years ( $\varepsilon \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \rho \alpha \kappa \circ \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Accusative of extent of time. Not in the O.T., but in Josephus, Ant. VI. 14, 9.

Acts 13:22
When he had removed him ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma \alpha v \tau o v$ ). First aorist active participle of $\mu \varepsilon \theta \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, old verb to transfer, to transpose (note force of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). This verb occurs in Lu 16:4 by the unjust steward about his removal from office. Cf. 1Sa 15:16.

To be ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. As or for, Greek idiom like the Hebrew $l e$, common in the LXX.
A man after my heart ( $\alpha v \delta \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta v \kappa \alpha \rho \delta ı \alpha v \mu \circ v$ ). The words quoted by Paul as a direct saying of God are a combination of Ps 89:20, 21; 1Sa 13:14 (the word of the Lord to Samuel about David). Knowling thinks that this free and rather loose quotation of the substance argues for the genuineness of the report of Paul's sermon. Hackett observes that the commendation of David is not absolute, but, as compared with the disobedient Saul, he was a man who did God's will in spite of the gross sin of which he repented (Ps 51 ). Note "wills" ( $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha)$, plural, of God.

Acts 13:23
Of this man's seed ( $\tau 0 \cup \tau о v ~ a \pi о ~ \tau о v ~ \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma) . ~ E m p h a t i c ~ p o s i t i o n ~ o f ~ t o v \tau o u . ~ O f ~ t h i s ~$ one from the (his) seed.

According to promise ( $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı \alpha v$ ). This phrase in $\mathrm{Ga} 3: 29 ; 2 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 1$. See the promise in 2Sa 7:2; Ps 132:11; Isa 11:1,10; Jer 23:5f.; Zec 3:8 . In Zec 3:8 the verb $\alpha \gamma \omega$ is used of the sending of the Messiah as here.

A Saviour Jesus ( $\Sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \alpha$ I $\eta \sigma o v v$ ). Jesus is in apposition with Saviour (accusative case) and comes at the end of the sentence in contrast with "this man" (David) at the beginning. Paul goes no further than David because he suggests to him Jesus, descendant in the flesh
from David. By "Israel" here Paul means the Jewish people, though he will later enlarge this promise to include the spiritual Israel both Gentile and Jew (Ro 9:6f. ).

Acts 13:24
When John had first preached ( $\pi \rho о к \eta \rho \nu \xi \alpha \nu \tau о \varsigma ~ I \omega \alpha v o v)$. Literally, John heralding beforehand, as a herald before the king ( $\operatorname{Lu}$ 3:3). Genitive absolute of first aorist active participle of $\pi \rho о к \eta \rho v \sigma \sigma \omega$, old verb to herald beforehand, here alone in the N.T., though Textus Receptus has it also in Ac 3:20 .

Before his coming ( $\pi \rho \circ \pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi$ ои $\tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon$ घ $\sigma o \delta o v \alpha u \tau o v$ ). Literally, before the face of his entering in (here act of entrance as 1Th 1:9, not the gate as in Heb 10:19). See Mal 3:1 quoted in Mt 11:10 ( Lu 7:27) for this Hebrew phrase and also Lu 1:76.

The baptism of repentance ( $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o l a \varsigma)$. Baptism marked by, characterized by (genitive case, case of kind or species) repentance (change of mind and life). The very phrase used of John's preaching in Mr 1:4; Lu 3:3. It is clear therefore that Paul understood John's ministry and message as did Peter (Ac 2:38; 10:37).

Acts 13:25
As John was fulfilling his course ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho o v \mathrm{I} \omega a v \eta \varsigma \tau 0 v \delta \rho o \mu o v$ ). Imperfect active of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$, describing his vivid ministry without defining the precise period when John asked the question. Paul uses this word $\delta \rho o \mu o \varsigma$ (course) of his own race (Ac 20:24; 2Ti 4:7 ).
 line),
what not
who , character, not identity. It is indirect discourse (the infinitive $\varepsilon$ sva and the accusative of general reference).

Huponoeo (ขло, voع $\omega$ ) is to think secretly, to suspect, to conjecture.
I am not he (ouk $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ). These precise words are not given in the Gospels, but the idea is the same as the disclaimers by the Baptist in Joh 1:19-27 (cf. also Mt 3:11; Mr 1:7; Lu 3:16). Paul had a true grasp of the message of the Baptist. He uses the very form $\lambda v \sigma \alpha$ (first aorist active infinitive of $\lambda \nu \omega$ ) found in $\mathrm{Mr} 1: 7 ; \mathrm{Lu} 3: 16$ and the word for shoes ( $v \pi о \delta \eta \mu \alpha$, singular) in all three. His quotation is remarkably true to the words in the Synoptic Gospels. How did Paul get hold of the words of the Baptist so clearly?

Acts 13:26
To us ( $\eta \mu \nu v$ ). Both Jews and Gentiles, both classes in Paul's audience, dative of advantage.
Is sent forth ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \eta)$. Second aorist passive indicative of the double compound verb $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, common verb to send out ( $\varepsilon \xi$ ) and forth ( $\alpha \pi 0$ ). It is a climacteric or culminative aorist tense. It has come to us in one day, this glorious promise.

The word of this salvation (o $\lambda о \gamma \circ \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho ⿺ a \varsigma \tau \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma$ ). The message of Jesus as Saviour (verse 23), long ago promised and now come to us as Saviour.

Acts 13:27
Because they knew him not ( $\tau 0 v \tau 0 v a \gamma v o \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle (causal) of aүvocc, old verb, not to know. Peter gives "ignorance" ( $\alpha \gamma v o t \alpha$ ) as the excuse of the Jews in the death of Christ ( $3: 17$ ) and Paul does the same about his conduct before his conversion (1Ti 1:13). This ignorance mitigated the degree of their guilt, but it did not remove it, for it was willing ignorance and prejudice.

The voices of the prophets which are read ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \varphi \omega v a \varsigma \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \omega \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma$ $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa о \mu \varepsilon v a \varsigma)$. Object also of $\alpha \gamma v о \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$, though it could be the object of $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \alpha v$ (fulfilled) if $\kappa \alpha$ is taken as "also". The "voices" were heard as they were read aloud each Sabbath in the synagogue. In their ignorant condemnation they fulfilled the prophecies about the suffering Messiah.

Acts 13:28
Though they found no cause of death ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \mu ı \alpha v \alpha ı \tau \iota \alpha v \theta a v \alpha \tau o v \varepsilon v \rho o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Second aorist active with usual negative of the participle. As a matter of fact the Sanhedrin did charge Jesus with blasphemy, but could not prove it (Mt 26:65; 27:24; Lu 23:22 ). At this time no Gospel had probably been written, but Paul knew that Jesus was innocent. He uses this same idiom about his own innocence (Ac 28:18).

That he should be slain ( $\alpha v \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta v \alpha \alpha v \tau o v$ ). First aorist passive infinitive, the accusative case, the direct object of $\eta \iota \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau 0$ (first aorist middle indicative, asked as a favour to themselves).

Acts 13:29
From the tree ( $\alpha \pi \sigma$ $\tau 0 v \xi_{v \lambda o v) . ~ N o t ~ h e r e ~ s t r i c t l y ~ a ~ t r e e, ~ b u t ~ w o o d ~ a s ~ a l r e a d y ~ i n ~ 5: 30 ; ~}^{\text {; }}$ 10:29 and later in Ga 3:13. Strictly speaking, it was Joseph of Arimathea and Nicodemus who took the body of Jesus down from the cross, though the Jews had asked Pilate to have the bones of Jesus broken that his body should not remain on the cross during the Sabbath (Joh 19:31 ). Paul does not distinguish the details here.

Laid $(\varepsilon \theta \eta \kappa \alpha \nu)$. First (kappa) aorist active indicative third plural of $\tau ו \theta \eta \mu$ in place of $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \sigma \alpha v$ the usual second aorist active plural form.

Tomb ( $\mu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \sigma)$. Memorial, common in the Gospels.
Acts 13:30
But God raised him from the dead (o $\delta \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon o \varsigma \eta \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \kappa \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega v$ ). This crucial fact Paul puts sharply as he always did.

Acts 13:31
Was seen for many days ( $\omega \varphi \theta \eta \varepsilon \pi \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma \pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota o u \varsigma)$. The common verb (first aorist passive indicative of o $\rho a \omega$, to see) for the appearance of the Risen Christ, the one used by Paul of his own vision of Christ (1Co 15:8), which is not reported by Luke here. For more days (than a few), the language means, forty in all ( $1: 3$ ).

Of them that came up with him ( $\tau o \iota \varsigma \sigma v v a v \alpha \beta \alpha \sigma ı v \alpha \tau \tau \omega$ ). Dative (after $\omega \varphi \theta \eta$ ) articular participle (second aorist active of $\sigma u v \alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha ı v \omega$ ) with associative instrumental case ( $\alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ), the very men who knew him best and who could not be easily deceived about the reality of his resurrection. But this fact rules Paul out on this point, for he had not fellowshipped with Jesus from Galilee to Jerusalem.

Who are now his witnesses (oוтiveৎ vov $\varepsilon เ \sigma \iota v \mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \alpha \cup \tau о \nu)$. The very point that Peter used to clinch his argument with such powerful effect (2:32; $3: 15$ ).

Acts 13:32
We bring you good tidings of the promise ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma \nu \mu \propto \varsigma v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota} \zeta_{\circ} \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota} \alpha v$ ). Two accusatives here (person and thing), old Greek did not use accusative of the person with this verb as in 16:10; Lu 3:18. Note "we you" together. Here the heart of Paul's message on this occasion.

Acts 13:33
Hath fulfilled ( $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \kappa \varepsilon v)$. Hath filled out ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ ).
Unto our children ( $\tau o \iota \varsigma ~ \tau \varepsilon \kappa v o \iota \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \omega v$ ). The MSS. vary greatly here about $\eta \mu \omega v$ (our), some have $\alpha v \tau \omega v$, some $\alpha v \tau \omega v \eta \mu \nu v$. Westcott and Hort consider these readings "a primitive error" for $\eta \mu \nu \nu$ (to us) taken with $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ I I $\sigma o u v$ (having for us raised up Jesus). This raising up (from aviб亢ŋ $\mu$, set up) as in 3:22; 7:37 refers not to resurrection (verse 34), but to the sending of Jesus (two raisings up).

In the second psalm ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \psi \alpha \lambda \mu \omega \tau \omega \delta \varepsilon u \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega$ ). Ps 2:7.D has $\pi \rho \omega \tau \omega$ because the first psalm was often counted as merely introductory.

Acts 13:34
Now no more to return to corruption ( $\mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o v \tau \alpha \sim \pi о \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon เ v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \delta \iota \alpha \varphi \theta$ opav). No longer about to return as Lazarus did. Jesus did not die again and so is the first fruits of the resurrection (1Co 15:23; Ro 6:9).

He hath spoken ( $\varepsilon \mid \rho \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Present perfect active indicative, common way of referring to the permanent utterances of God which are on record in the Scriptures.

The holy and sure blessings of David ( $\tau \alpha$ o $\sigma \alpha \Delta \alpha \cup \varepsilon \iota \delta \tau \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ ). See 2Sa 7:13 . Literally, "the holy things of David the trustworthy things." He explains "the holy things" at once.

Acts 13:35
Because ( $\delta \iota \tau \tau$ ). Compound conjunction ( $\delta \iota \alpha$, o $\tau)$ like our "because that." The reason for the previous statement about "the holy things."

Thou wilt not give thy holy one to see corruption (ov $\delta \omega \sigma \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ o \sigma \iota o v ~ \sigma o v ~ ı \delta \varepsilon ı v ~$ $\delta \operatorname{co\varphi } \theta \mathrm{o} \alpha \mathrm{av})$. Quotation from Ps 16:10 to show that Jesus did not see corruption in his body, a flat contradiction for those who deny the bodily resurrection of Jesus.

Acts 13:36
His own generation ( $\llcorner\delta \iota \alpha \not \subset v \varepsilon \alpha)$. Either locative case, "in his own generation" or dative object of $v \pi \eta \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ (served).

The counsel of God ( $\tau \eta$ रov $\theta \varepsilon o v \beta o v \lambda \eta$ ). So here, either the dative, the object of $v \pi \eta \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta \sigma a \varsigma$ if $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha$ is locative, or the instrumental case "by the counsel of God" which again may be construed either with v $\quad \pi \eta \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta \sigma a \varsigma$ (having served) or after $\varepsilon \kappa о \iota \mu \forall \eta$ (fell on sleep). Either of the three ways is grammatical and makes good sense. Ko $\mu \alpha o \mu \alpha$ for death we have already had (Ac 7:60 ). So Jesus (Joh 11:11) and Paul (1Co 15:6,51).

Was laid ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \theta \eta$ ). Was added unto (first aorist passive indicative of $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$ ). See the verb in 2:47; 5:14. This figure for death probably arose from the custom of burying families together (Ge 15:15; Jud 2:10).

Acts 13:38
Through this man ( $\delta$ ia $\tau 0 v \tau 0 v$ ). This very man whom the Jews had crucified and whom God had raised from the dead. Remission of sins ( $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \omega v$ ) is proclaimed ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ) to you. This is the keynote of Paul's message as it had been that of Peter at Pentecost (2:38; 5:31; 10:43). Cf. 26:18. This glorious message Paul now presses home in his exhortation.

Acts 13:39
And by him every one that believeth is justified from all things, from which ye could not be justified by the law of Moses ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \pi о \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \omega \nu$ оטк $\eta \delta \nu \nu \eta \forall \eta \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \nu \circ \mu \omega \mathrm{M} \omega v \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \theta \eta \nu \alpha \varepsilon \nu \tau о \nu \tau \omega \pi \alpha \varsigma$ о $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega v \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \nu \tau \alpha)$. This is a characteristic Greek sentence with the principal clause at the end and Pauline to the core. A literal rendering as to the order would be: "And from all the things from (ato not repeated in the Greek, but understood, the ablative case being repeated) which ye were not able to be justified in this one every one who believes is justified." The climax is at the close and gives us the heart of Paul's teaching about Christ. "We have here the germ of all that is most characteristic in Paul's later teaching. It is the argument of the Epistle to Galatians and Romans in a sentence" (Furneaux). The failure of the Mosaic law to bring the kind of righteousness that God demands is stated. This is made possible in and by ( $\varepsilon v$ ) Christ alone. Paul's favourite words occur here, $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$, believe, with which $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$, faith, is allied, $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega$, to set right with God on the basis of faith. In Ro 6:7 Paul uses $\alpha \pi о$ also after $\delta \iota \kappa \propto \iota \omega$. These are key words ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega$ and $\delta \iota \kappa \iota \circ \omega$ ) in Paul's theology and call for prolonged and careful study if one is to grasp the Pauline teaching. $\Delta \iota \alpha \iota \omega \omega$ primarily means to make righteous, to declare righteous like $\alpha \xi \iota \omega$, to deem worthy ( $\alpha \xi \iota \varsigma)$. But in the end Paul holds that real righteousness will come (Ro 6-8) to those whom God treats as righteous (Ro 3-5 ) though both Gentile and Jew fall short without Christ (Ro 1-3 ). This is the doctrine of grace that will prove a stumbling block to the Jews with their ceremonial works and foolishness to the Greeks with their abstract philosophical ethics (1Co 1:23-25). It is a new and strange doctrine to the people of Antioch.

Acts 13:40

Beware therefore ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \mathrm{ovv}$ ). The warning is pertinent. Perhaps Paul noticed anger on the faces of some of the rabbis.

Lest there come upon you ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ ). Second aorist active subjunctive with the negative final conjunction $\mu \eta$.

In the prophets ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau$ тoı $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. The quotation is from the LXX text of Hab 1:5. The plural here refers to the prophetic collection (Lu 24:44; Ac 24:14). "The Jews of Habakkuk's day had refused to believe in the impending invasion by the Chaldeans, and yet it had come" (Furneaux).

Acts 13:41
Ye despisers (o ката甲 $о \boldsymbol{\eta \eta \tau \alpha ) \text { . Not in the Hebrew, but in the LXX. It is pertinent for }}$ Paul's purpose.

Perish ( $\alpha \varphi \alpha v / \sigma \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Or vanish away. First aorist passive imperative. Added by the LXX to the Hebrew.

If one declare it unto you ( $\varepsilon \alpha \vee \tau ו \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \delta ı \eta \gamma \eta \tau \alpha \nu \mu \nu v)$. Condition of third class with present middle subjunctive, if one keep on outlining (double compound, $\varepsilon \kappa-\delta \iota-\eta \gamma \varepsilon \circ \mu \alpha$ ) it unto you. Paul has hurled a thunderbolt at the close.

Acts 13:42
And as they went out ( $\mathrm{E} \xi \circ \rho \tau \omega v \delta \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute with present active participle of $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \mu$, to go out, old verb, in the N.T. only in Ac 12:42; 17:15; 20:7; 27:43 . As they (Paul and Barnabas) were going out with all the excitement and hubbub created by the sermon.

They besought ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda o u v$ ). Imperfect active, inchoative, began to beseech. The Textus Receptus inserts wrongly $\tau \alpha \varepsilon \theta v \eta$ (the Gentiles) as if the Jews were opposed to Paul from the first as some doubtless were. But both Jews and Gentiles asked for the repetition of the sermon ( $\lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \eta \nu \alpha$, first aorist passive infinitive object of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda o u v$ with accusative of general reference).

The next Sabbath ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi v ~ \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau o v) . ~ L a t e ~ u s e ~(J o s e p h u s, ~ P l u t a r c h, ~ e t c) ~ o f$. $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi v$ ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ and $\xi \nu v=\sigma \nu v$ ) in sense of after or next instead of between (sense of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ prevailing). Note use of eıc for "on" or "by."

Acts 13:43
When the synagogue broke up ( $\lambda \nu \theta \varepsilon ı \sigma \eta \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \sigma \cup v a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute of first aorist passive participle of $\lambda v \omega$. Apparently Paul and Barnabas had gone out before the synagogue was formally dismissed.

Of the devout proselytes ( $\tau \omega v \sigma \varepsilon \beta \circ \mu \varepsilon v \omega v \pi \rho o \sigma \eta \lambda \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Of the worshipping proselytes described in verses 16,25 as "those who fear God" (cf. 16:14) employed usually of the uncircumcised Gentiles who yet attended the synagogue worship, but the word $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \lambda \nu \tau 0$ ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma$, $\eta \lambda \nu \tau o \varsigma$ verbal from $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, a new-comer) means usually those who had become circumcised (proselytes of righteousness). Yet the rabbis used it also of proselytes of the gate who had
not yet become circumcised, probably the idea here. In the N.T. the word occurs only in Mt 23:15; Ac 2:10; 6:5; 13:43. Many (both Jews and proselytes) followed ( $\eta \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$, ingressive aorist active indicative of $\alpha \kappa 0 \lambda o v \theta \varepsilon \omega)$ Paul and Barnabas to hear more without waiting till the next Sabbath. So we are to picture Paul and Barnabas speaking ( $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \lambda \alpha \lambda o u v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$, late compound, in N.T. only here and 28:20) to eager groups.

Urged ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \theta \circ v$ ). Imperfect active of $\pi \varepsilon \iota \theta \omega$, either descriptive (were persuading) or conative (were trying to persuade). Paul had great powers of persuasion (18:4; 19:8,26; 26:28; 28:23; 2Co 5:11; Ga 1:10). These Jews "were beginning to understand for the first time the true meaning of their national history" (Furneaux), "the grace of God" to them.

Acts 13:44
The next Sabbath ( $\tau \omega \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau \omega$ ). Locative case, on the coming ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega$, present middle participle of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha)$ Sabbath. So the best MSS., though some have $\varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega$ (present middle participle of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ in sense of near, bordering, following as in Lu 13:33; Ac 29:15).

Almost ( $\sigma \chi \varepsilon \delta o v$ ). Old word, but in N.T. only here, Ac 19:26; Heb 9:22 .
Was gathered together ( $\sigma v \nu \eta \chi \theta \eta$ ). First aorist (effective) passive indicative of $\sigma v v \alpha \gamma \omega$, old and common verb. The "whole city" could hardly all gather in the synagogue. Perhaps Paul spoke in the synagogue and Barnabas to the overflow outside (see verse 46). It was an eager and earnest gathering "to hear (aкоvба, first aorist active infinitive of purpose) the word of God" and a great opportunity for Paul and Barnabas. The Codex Bezae has it "to hear Paul." It was the new preacher (Paul) that drew the big crowd. It was a crowd such as will later hang on the words of John Wesley and George Whitfield when they preach Jesus Christ.

Acts 13:45
The Jews (o Iovסaıo). Certainly not the proselytes of verse 43. Probably many of the Jews that were then favourably disposed to Paul's message had reacted against him under the influence of the rabbis during the week and evidently on this Sabbath very many Gentiles ("almost the whole city," "the multitudes" $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma$ oх $\lambda$ ovৎ) had gathered, to the disgust of the stricter Jews. Nothing is specifically stated here about the rabbis, but they were beyond doubt the instigators of, and the ringleaders in, the opposition as in Thessalonica ( 17:5). No such crowds (oरोous) came to the synagogue when they were the speakers.

With jealousy ( $\zeta \eta \lambda o v$ ). Genitive case of $\zeta \eta \lambda o \varsigma(f r o m ~ \zeta \varepsilon \omega$, to boil) after $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ (effective first aorist passive indicative of $\pi \mu \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu$ ). Envy and jealousy arise between people of the same calling (doctors towards doctors, lawyers towards lawyers, preachers towards preachers). So these rabbis boiled with jealousy when they saw the crowds gathered to hear Paul and Barnabas.

Contradicted ( $\alpha v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ v$ ). Imperfect active of $\alpha v \tau \iota \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, old verb to speak against, to say a word in opposition to ( $\alpha v \tau$, face to face). It was interruption of the service and open
opposition in the public meeting. Paul and Barnabas were guests by courtesy and, of course, could not proceed further, when denied that privilege.

Blasphemed ( $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \circ v \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Blaspheming. So the correct text without the addition
 injurious and harmful things. Doubtless these rabbis indulged in unkind personalities and made it plain that Paul and Barnabas were going beyond the limitations of pure Judaism in their contacts with Gentiles.

Acts 13:46
Spake out boldly ( $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). First aorist middle participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \zeta_{\circ} \mu \alpha$, to use freedom in speaking, to assume boldness. Both Paul and Barnabas accepted the challenge of the rabbis. They would leave their synagogue, but not without a word of explanation.

It was necessary to you first ( $\mathrm{H} \nu \mu \nu \eta \nu \alpha v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \circ v \pi \rho \omega \tau о v$ ). They had done their duty and had followed the command of Jesus ( 1:8). They use the very language of Peter in 3:26 (vuiv $\pi \rho \omega \tau \tau v)$ "to you first." This position Paul as the apostle to the Gentiles will always hold, the Jew first in privilege and penalty (Ro 1:16; 2:9,10).

Ye thrust it from you ( $\alpha \pi \omega \theta \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \theta \varepsilon \alpha \tau \tau 0$ ). Present middle (indirect, from yourselves) indicative of $\alpha \pi \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$, to push from. Vigorous verb seen already in Ac 7:27,39 which see.
 the common verb $\kappa \rho \iota v \omega$, to judge or decide with the reflexive pronoun expressed. Literally, Do not judge yourselves worthy. By their action and their words they had taken a violent and definite stand.

Lo, we turn to the Gentiles (ı $\delta o v \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi о \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha \varepsilon \theta v \eta)$. It is a crisis (ı $\delta o v$, lo): "Lo, we turn ourselves to the Gentiles." Probably also aoristic present, we now turn (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 864-70). $\Sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \rho \mu \varepsilon \theta a$ is probably the direct middle (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 806-08) though the aorist passive eбт $\rho \alpha \varphi \eta \nu$ is so used also ( $7: 39$ ). It is a dramatic moment as Paul and Barnabas turn from the Jews to the Gentiles, a prophecy of the future history of Christianity. In Ro 9-11 Paul will discuss at length the rejection of Christ by the Jews and the calling of the Gentiles to be the real (the spiritual) Israel.

Acts 13:47
For so hath the Lord commanded us (ov $\omega \varsigma \varsigma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \tau \alpha ~ \eta \mu \nu v$ o кขpıoc). Perfect middle indicative of $\varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, poetic (Pindar) and late verb to enjoin ( $1: 2$ ). The command of the Lord Paul finds in Isa 49:6 quoted by Simeon also (Lu 2:32). The conviction of Paul's mind was now made clear by the fact of the rejection by the Jews. He could now see more clearly the words of the prophet about the Gentiles: The Messiah is declared by God in Isaiah to be "a light to the Gentiles" ( $\varepsilon \theta v \omega v$, objective genitive), "a light for revelation to the Gentiles" ( $\varphi \omega \varsigma \varepsilon$ घऽ $\alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \psi \iota v \varepsilon \theta v \omega v$, Lu 2:32 ). So Paul is carrying out the will of God in
turning to the Gentiles. He will still appeal to the Jews elsewhere as they allow him to do so, but not here.

That thou shouldest be ( $\tau 0 v \varepsilon เ v a \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Genitive articular infinitive of purpose with the accusative of general reference. This is all according to God's fixed purpose ( $\tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \iota \kappa \alpha$, perfect active indicative of $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu)$.

Unto the uttermost part of the earth ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau \circ v \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. Unto the last portion (genitive neuter, not feminine) of the earth. It is a long time from Paul to now, not to say from Isaiah to now, and not yet has the gospel been carried to half of the people of earth. God's people are slow in carrying out God's plans for salvation.

Acts 13:48
As the Gentiles heard this they were glad (aкоvov $\alpha \alpha \tau \alpha \varepsilon \forall \eta \varepsilon \chi \alpha \iota \rho \nu \nu$ ). Present active participle of $\alpha \kappa о v \omega$ and imperfect active of $\chi \alpha \iota \omega$, linear action descriptive of the joy of the Gentiles.

Glorified the word of God ( $\varepsilon \delta \circ \xi \alpha \zeta o v \tau o v \lambda o \gamma o v \tau o v \theta \varepsilon o v)$. Imperfect active again. The joy of the Gentiles increased the fury of the Jews. "The synagogue became a scene of excitement which must have been something like the original speaking with tongues" (Rackham). The joy of the Gentiles was to see how they could receive the higher blessing of Judaism without circumcision and other repellent features of Jewish ceremonialism. It was the gospel of grace and liberty from legalism that Paul had proclaimed. Whether Ga 4:13 describes this incident or not (the South Galatian theory), it illustrates it when Gentiles received Paul as if he were Christ Jesus himself. It was triumph with the Gentiles, but defeat with the Jews.

As many as were ordained to eternal life (oбo $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \varepsilon \nu o \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \zeta \omega \eta \nu \alpha \iota \omega \nu \iota \nu)$ ). Periphrastic past perfect passive indicative of $\tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, a military term to place in orderly arrangement. The word "ordain" is not the best translation here. "Appointed," as Hackett shows, is better. The Jews here had voluntarily rejected the word of God. On the other side were those Gentiles who gladly accepted what the Jews had rejected, not all the Gentiles. Why these Gentiles here ranged themselves on God's side as opposed to the Jews Luke does not tell us. This verse does not solve the vexed problem of divine sovereignty and human free agency. There is no evidence that Luke had in mind an absolutum decretum of personal salvation. Paul had shown that God's plan extended to and included Gentiles. Certainly the Spirit of God does move upon the human heart to which some respond, as here, while others push him away.

Believed ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha v$ ). Summary or constative first aorist active indicative of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$. The subject of this verb is the relative clause. By no manner of legerdemain can it be made to mean "those who believe were appointed." It was saving faith that was exercised only by those who were appointed unto eternal life, who were ranged on the side of eternal life, who
were thus revealed as the subjects of God's grace by the stand that they took on this day for the Lord. It was a great day for the kingdom of God.

Acts 13:49
Was spread abroad ( $\delta เ \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \tau 0$ ). Imperfect passive of $\delta ı \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, to carry in different directions $(\delta \Delta \alpha)$. By the recent converts as well as by Paul and Barnabas. This would seem to indicate a stay of some months with active work among the Gentiles that bore rich fruit.

Throughout all the region ( $\delta \delta^{\prime}$ o $\lambda \eta \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \chi \omega \rho a \varsigma$ ). Antioch in Pisidia as a Roman colony would be the natural centre of a Roman Regio, an important element in Roman imperial administration. There were probably other Regiones in South Galatia (Ramsay, St. Paul the Traveller and Roman Citizen, pp. 102-12).

Acts 13:50
Urged on ( $\pi \alpha \rho \omega \tau \rho v v a v$ ). First aorist (effective) active of $\pi \alpha \rho-o \tau \rho v v \omega$, old verb, but here alone in the N.T., to incite, to stir up. The Jews were apparently not numerous in this city as they had only one synagogue, but they had influence with people of prominence, like
 female proselytes of high station, a late use of an old word used about Joseph of Arimathea (Mr 15:43). The rabbis went after these Gentile women who had embraced Judaism (cf. Ac 17:4 in Thessalonica) as Paul had made an appeal to them. The prominence of women in public life here at Antioch is quite in accord with what we know of conditions in the cities of Asia Minor. "Thus women were appointed under the empire as magistrates, as presidents of the games, and even the Jews elected a woman as Archisynagogos, at least in one instance at Smyrna" (Knowling). In Damascus Josephus (War II. 20, 21) says that a majority of the married women were proselytes. Strabo (VIII. 2) and Juvenal (VI. 542) speak of the addiction of women to the Jewish religion.

The chief men of the city ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma \pi \rho \omega \tau 0 \cup \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Probably city officials (the Duumviri, the Praetors, the First Ten in the Greek Cities of the east) or other "foremost" men, not officials. The rabbis were shrewd enough to reach these men (not proselytes) through the women who were proselytes of distinction.

Stirred up a persecution ( $\varepsilon \pi \eta \gamma \varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu \delta i \omega \gamma \mu \circ v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \mid \rho \omega$, old verb, but in the N.T. only here and 14:2. Paul seems to allude to this persecution in 2 Ti 3:11 "persecutions, sufferings, what things befell me at Antioch, at Iconium, at Lystra, what persecutions I endured." Here Paul had perils from his own countrymen and perils from the Gentiles after the perils of rivers and perils of robbers on the way from Perga (2Co 11:26 ). He was thrice beaten with rods ( $\tau \rho ı \varsigma \varepsilon \rho \alpha \beta \delta \iota \sigma \theta \eta \nu, 2 \mathrm{Co} 11: 25$ ) by Roman lictors in some Roman colony. If that was here, then Paul and Barnabas were publicly scourged by the lictors before they left. Probably the Jews succeeded in making the Roman officials look on Paul and Barnabas as disturbers of the public peace. So "they cast them out of their borders" ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda$ ov avtovc $\alpha \pi о \tau \omega v$ opı $\omega v \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, forcible
expulsion plainly as public nuisances. Just a few days before they were the heroes of the city and now!

Acts 13:51
But they shook off the dust of their feet against them (Но $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \kappa \tau \iota v a \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o$ тov кovıo $\tau о \nu \tau \omega v \pi \circ \delta \omega v \varepsilon \pi^{\prime}$ avtovৎ). First aorist middle (indirect) participle of $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \iota v a \sigma \sigma \omega$, to shake out or off. Homer uses it for knocking out teeth. In the papyri. The middle aorist participle occurs again in 18:6 and the active imperative with the dust of the feet in Mr 6:11 (Lu 10:11 has $\alpha \pi о \mu \alpha \sigma \sigma о \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha)$ ) and Mt 10:14 (command of Jesus). It is a dramatic gesture that forbids further intercourse. "As a protest against the injustice which cast them out. The sandal was taken off and the dust shaken out as a symbolic token that the very soil of the country was defiling" (Furneaux).

Unto Iconium (عıৎ Ikovıov). About 45 miles southeast from Antioch in Pisidia, at the foot of the Taurus mountains. At various times it was reckoned also in Pisidia or Phrygia as well as Lycaonia, Phrygian in population and distinguished by Luke (Ac 14:6) from Lystra and Derbe, cities of Lycaonia. As compared with Antioch (a Roman colony) it was a native Phrygian town. When the province of Galatia was divided, Iconium became the capital of Lycaonia and eclipsed Antioch in Pisidia. Strictly speaking at this time Lystra and Derbe were cities of Lycaonia-Galatica while Iconium was in Phrygia-Galatica (all three in the Roman Province of Galatia). It was at the meeting place of several Roman roads and on the highway from east to west. It is still a large town Konieh with 30,000 population.

Acts 13:52
And the disciples (o $\tau \varepsilon$ or o $\delta \varepsilon \mu \alpha \theta A \hat{A} \eta \tau \alpha$ ). The Gentile Christians in Antioch in Pisidia. Persecution had precisely the opposite effect to the intention of the Jews for they "were filled with joy and the Holy Spirit" ( $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho o u v \tau о \quad \chi \alpha \rho a \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha ~ \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu a \tau o \varsigma ~ \alpha \gamma ı v) . ~ I m p e r f e c t ~ p a s s i v e, ~$ they kept on being filled. It had been so before (Ac 4:31; 8:4; 9:31; 12:24). The blood of the martyrs is still the seed of the church.

## Acts 14

Acts 14:1
They entered together ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ тo $\alpha v \tau о ~ \varepsilon ı \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Like $\varepsilon \pi \tau 0 \alpha v \tau o$ in 3:1. The infinitive $\varepsilon เ \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon เ v$ is the subject of $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \tau$.

So spake that $(\lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha$ ov $\omega \varsigma \varsigma \omega \sigma \varepsilon)$. Infinitive again parallel to $\varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon เ v$. With the result that, actual result here stated with $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ and the aorist infinitive $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha$ (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 999f.) rather than $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ and the indicative like Joh 3:16. It was a tremendous first meeting.

Acts 14:2
That were disobedient ( $0 \alpha \pi \varepsilon \ell \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist active articular participle, not the present $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \bullet \theta$ ouvtec as the Textus Receptus has it. But the meaning is probably the Jews that disbelieved, rather than that disobeyed. Strictly $a \pi \varepsilon เ \theta \varepsilon \omega$ does mean to disobey and $\alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega$ to disbelieve, but that distinction is not observed in Joh 3:36 nor in Ac 19:9; 28:24 . The word $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \iota \theta \varepsilon \omega$ means to be $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \iota \theta \eta \varsigma$, to be unwilling to be persuaded or to withhold belief and then also to withhold obedience. The two meanings run into one another. To disbelieve the word of God is to disobey God.

Made them evil affected ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \kappa \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \kappa \sigma \omega$, old verb from какоц, to do evil to, to ill-treat, then in later Greek as here to embitter, to exasperate as in Ps 105:32 and in Josephus. In this sense only here in the N.T. Evidently Paul preached the same message as in Antioch for it won both Jews and Gentiles, and displeased the rabbis. Codex Bezae adds here that "the chiefs of the synagogue and the rulers" brought persecution upon Paul and Barnabas just as was argued about Antioch. Outside the synagogue the Jews would poison the minds of the Gentiles against Paul and Barnabas. "The story of Thecla suggests a means, and perhaps the apostles were brought before the magistrates on some charge of interference with family life. The magistrates however must have seen at once that there was no legal case against them; and by a sentence of acquittal or in some other way the Lord gave peace" (Rackham). As we have it, the story of Paul and Thecla undoubtedly has apocryphal features, though Thecla may very well be an historical character here at Iconium where the story is located. Certainly the picture of Paul herein drawn cannot be considered authentic though a true tradition may underlie it: "bald, bowlegged, strongly built, small in stature, with large eyes and meeting eyebrows and longish nose; full of grace; sometimes looking like a man, sometimes having the face of an angel."

Acts 14:3
Long time therefore ( $\kappa \alpha \sim \nu \nu \nu \mu \varepsilon v$ ovv $\chi \rho \circ v o v$ ). Accusative of duration of time (possibly six months) and note $\mu \varepsilon \nu$ ouv. There is an antithesis in $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \iota \sigma \theta \eta \delta \varepsilon$ (verse 4) and in verse 5 ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \frac{\delta}{} \delta \varepsilon$ ). After the persecution and vindication there was a season of great opportunity which Paul and Barnabas used to the full, "speaking boldly" ( $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \kappa \zeta_{о} \mu \varepsilon v o$ as in 13:46 at

Antioch in Pisidia, "in the Lord" ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa v \rho \omega)$, upon the basis of the Lord Jesus as in 4:17f . And the Lord Jesus "bore witness to the word of his grace" as he always does, "granting signs and wonders to be done by their hands" ( $\delta \iota \delta$ о七т $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon ı \alpha ~ к а ~ \tau \varepsilon \rho а \tau \alpha ~ \gamma ı \nu \varepsilon \sigma \theta a ~ \delta ı \alpha ~ \tau \omega \nu ~$ $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega v \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Present participle ( $\delta \iota \delta o v \tau$ ) and present infinitive ( $\gamma \iota v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ) repetition of both signs and wonders (note both words) just as had happened with Peter and John and the other apostles (2:43; 4:29f.; 5:12 ; cf. Heb 2:4 ). The time of peace could not last forever with such a work of grace as this. A second explosion of persecution was bound to come and some of the MSS. actually have $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho o v$ (a second time).

Acts 14:4
 aorist passive indicative of $\sigma \chi\llcorner\zeta \omega$, old verb to split, to make a schism or factions as Sadducees and Pharisees ( 23:7). This division was within the Gentile populace. Part held (o $\mu \varepsilon v \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ), literally "some were with the Jews" ( $\sigma 0 \vee$ toı $\operatorname{Iov} \delta a ı o \iota)$ ), part with the apostles (o $\delta \varepsilon \sigma 0 \vee$ тoı a $\pi \mathbf{o \sigma \tau о \lambda о \iota \varsigma ) . ~ C o m m o n ~ d e m o n s t r a t i v e ~ o f ~ c o n t r a s t ~ ( o ~} \mu \varepsilon v$, o $\delta \varepsilon$, Robertson, Grammar, p. 694). The Jewish leaders made some impression on the Gentiles as at Antioch in Pisidia and later at Thessalonica (17:4f. ). This is the first time in the Acts that Paul and Barnabas are termed "apostles" (see also verse 14). Elsewhere in the Acts the word is restricted to the twelve. Certainly Luke does not here employ it in that technical sense. To have followed Jesus in his ministry and to have seen the Risen Christ was essential to the technical use (1:22f. ). Whether Barnabas had seen the Risen Christ we do not know, but certainly Paul had (1Co 9:1f.; 15:8). Paul claimed to be an apostle on a par with the twelve (Ga 1:1,16-18 ). The word originally means simply one sent (Joh 13:16) like messengers of the churches with the collection (2Co 8:23 ). The Jews used it of those sent from Jerusalem to collect the temple tribute. Paul applies the word to James the Lord's brother (Ga 1:19) , to Epaphroditus (Php 2:25) as the messenger of the church in Philippi, to Silvanus and Timothy (1Th 2:6; Ac 18:5 ), apparently to Apollos (1Co 4:9), and to Andronicus and Junias (Ro 16:6f. ). He even calls the Judaizers "false apostles" (2Co 11:13 ).

Acts 14:5
An onset (op $\mu \eta$ ). A rush or impulse as in Jas 3:4. Old word, but only twice in the N.T. (here and James). It probably denotes not an actual attack so much as the open start, the co-operation of both Jews and Gentiles (the disaffected portion), "with their rulers" (ovv тoıc $\alpha \rho \chi$ оuбIv $\alpha v \tau \omega v$ ), that is the rulers of the Jewish synagogue ( 13:27). The city officials would hardly join in a mob like this, though Hackett and Rackham think that the city magistrates were also involved as in Antioch in Pisidia ( 13:50).
 to insult insolently. See on Mt 22:6; Lu 18:32 .

To stone $\left(\lambda_{1} \theta_{\mathrm{o}} \beta_{\mathrm{o}} \lambda_{\eta} \sigma \alpha\right)$. First aorist active infinitive of $\lambda_{1} \theta_{\mathrm{o}} \beta \mathrm{o} \lambda_{\varepsilon \omega} \omega$, late verb from $\lambda_{1} \theta \circ \beta$ o $\lambda_{0 \varsigma}\left(\lambda_{1} \theta\right.$ oৎ, stone, $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to throw) to pelt with stones, the verb used of the stoning
of Stephen ( 7:58). See on Mt 21:35. The plan to stone them shows that the Jews were in the lead and followed by the Gentile rabble. "Legal proceedings having failed the only resource left for the Jews was illegal violence" (Rackham).

Acts 14:6
They became aware of it ( $\sigma 0 v ı \delta o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ). Second aorist (ingressive) active participle of ovvopaw ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \iota \delta o v$ ), old word to see together, to become conscious of as already in 12:12. In the N.T. only by Luke and Paul.

Fled (катє甲иүоv). Second aorist (effective) active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \cup \gamma \omega$, old verb, but in the N.T. only here and Heb 6:18. Paul and Barnabas had no idea of remaining to be stoned (lynched) by this mob. It is a wise preacher who always knows when to stand his ground and when to leave for the glory of God. Paul and Barnabas were following the directions of the Lord Jesus given to the twelve on their special tour of Galilee (Mt 10:23 ). Lystra and Derbe, cities of Lycaonia (still part of the Province of Galatia, though in another Regio), not far from the base of the Black Mountain. Professor Sterrett has apparently identified Lystra by an inscription about six hours ( 18 miles) south-southwest from Iconium near the village Khatyn Serai and Derbe probably near the village Losta or Zosta though its location is really not known. Lystra had been made a colony in B.C. 6 and Derbe was the frontier city of the Roman empire in the southeast. These are the only cities mentioned, but they were of importance and show that Paul kept to his plan of going to centres of influence. The new imperial road from Antioch and Iconium reached these cities.

The region round about ( $\tau \eta \nu \pi \varepsilon \rho \downarrow \chi \omega \rho \circ \nu$ ) was "a high table land, ill-watered, bleak, but suited for sheep pasture" (Page).

Acts 14:7
And there they preached the gospel ( $\kappa \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \varepsilon v \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{l} \zeta_{\circ} \mu \varepsilon v o \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Periphrastic imperfect middle. We are to think of extensive evangelistic work perhaps with the assistance of disciples from Antioch and Iconium since Paul and Barnabas could not speak Lycaonian. Kaкe is crasis for ка єкє.

Acts 14:8
At Lystra ( $\varepsilon v$ ^voт $\rho o \iota \varsigma)$. Neuter plural as in 16:2; $2 \mathrm{Ti} 3: 11$ while feminine singular in 14:6,21; 16:1. There was apparently no synagogue in Lystra and so not many Jews. Paul and Barnabas had to do open-air preaching and probably had difficulty in being understood by the natives though both Greek and Latin inscriptions were discovered here by Professor Sterrett in 1885. The incident narrated here (verses 8-18) shows how they got a real hearing among these rude heathen.

There sat ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \tau 0$ ). Imperfect middle of $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha$. Was sitting. This case is very much like that in 3:1-11, healed by Peter. Possibly outside the gate (verse 13) or some public place.

Impotent in his feet ( $\alpha \delta v v a \tau \circ \varsigma \tau \circ \varsigma \pi \pi \sigma \sigma v$ ). Old verbal, but only here in the N.T. in this sense except figuratively in Ro 15:1. Elsewhere it means "impossible" (Mt 19:26 ). Locative case. Common in medical writers in the sense of "impotent." So Tobit 2:10; 5:9.

Had walked ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \pi \pi \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). So best MSS., first aorist active indicative "walked," not $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \_\pi \varepsilon \pi \alpha \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon$, "had walked" (past perfect active).

Acts 14:9
The same (ovtoৎ). Just "this one."
Heard ( $\eta \kappa 0 v \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active, was listening to Paul speaking ( $\lambda \alpha \lambda o v v \tau o \varsigma$ ). Either at the gate or in the market place ( $17: 17$ ) Paul was preaching to such as would listen or could understand his Greek (Koine). Ramsay (St. Paul the Traveller, pp. 114, 116) thinks that the cripple was a proselyte. At any rate he may have heard of the miracles wrought at Iconium (verse 3) and Paul may have spoken of the work of healing wrought by Jesus. This man was "no mendicant pretender," for his history was known from his birth.

Fastening his eyes upon him ( $\alpha \tau \varepsilon v \iota \sigma \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). Just as in 13:9 of Paul and 1:10 which see. Paul saw a new hope in the man's eyes and face.

He had faith ( $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota v)$. Present active indicative retained in indirect discourse.
To be made whole ( $\tau \circ v \sigma \omega \theta \eta v \alpha$ ). Genitive of articular first aorist passive infinitive (purpose and result combined) of $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$, to make sound and also to save. Here clearly to make whole or well as in Lu 7:50 (cf. Ac 3:16; 4:10).

Acts 14:10
Upright (o 0 Oos). Predicate adjective. In this sense Galen and Hippocrates frequently use o $\rho \theta$ os (erect, straight). Paul spoke in a loud ( $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta$ ) voice so that all could hear and know.

He leaped up and walked ( $\eta \lambda \alpha \tau о \kappa \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Rather, He leaped up with a single bound and began to walk. The second aorist middle indicative (with first aorist vowel $\alpha$ ) of $\alpha \lambda \lambda o \mu \alpha$ (late verb, in papyri) and inchoative imperfect active of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$, common verb to walk around. This graphic picture is concealed by the usual English rendering. It is possible that Luke obtained the vivid report of this incident from Timothy who may have witnessed it and who was probably converted during Paul's stay here ( $16: 3$ ). His father was a prominent Greek and his mother Eunice, possibly a widow, may have lived here with her mother Lois ( $2 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 5$ ).

Acts 14:11
Lifted up their voice $(\varepsilon \pi \eta \rho \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \varphi \omega \nu \eta \nu \alpha v \tau \omega \nu)$. First aorist active of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \rho \omega$. In their excitement they elevated their voices.

In the speech of Lycaonia ( $\Lambda v \kappa \alpha o v i \sigma \tau)$. Adverb from verb $\lambda v \kappa \alpha o v i \zeta \omega$, to use the language of Lycaonia found here alone, but formed regularly like E $\beta \rho \alpha \iota \sigma \tau$ (Joh 5:2 ), H $\lambda \lambda \lambda \eta v \iota \sigma \tau$ (Ac 21:37), P $\omega \mu \alpha \iota \sigma \tau$ (Joh 19:20). Paul was speaking in Greek, of course, but the excitement of the crowd over the miracle made them cry out in their native tongue which Paul and

Barnabas did not understand. Hence it was not till preparations for offering sacrifice to them had begun that Paul understood the new role in which he and Barnabas were held.

In the likeness of men ( $\circ \mu \circ \iota \omega \theta \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ a v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \iota)$. First aorist passive participle of o $\mu \circ \iota \omega$, to liken, with the associative instrumental case. In this primitive state the people hold to the old Graeco-Roman mythology. The story of Baucis and Philemon tells how Jupiter (Zeus) and Mercury (Hermes) visited in human form the neighbouring region of Phrygia (Ovid, Meta. VIII. 626). Jupiter (Zeus) had a temple in Lystra.

## Acts 14:12

They called ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda o v v$ ). Inchoative imperfect began to call.
Barnabas, Jupiter ( $\tau 0 v B \alpha \rho v \alpha \beta \alpha \nu \Delta t \alpha$ ). Because Barnabas was the older and the more imposing in appearance. Paul admits that he was not impressive in looks (2Co 10:10).

And Paul, Mercury ( $\tau 0 v \delta \varepsilon \Pi \alpha v \lambda o v ~ H \varepsilon \rho \mu \eta v$ ). Mercury (Hع $\rho \mu \eta$ ) was the messenger of the gods, and the spokesman of Zeus. Hع $\rho \mu\rceil$, was of beautiful appearance and eloquent in speech, the inventor of speech in legend. Our word hermeneutics or science of interpretation comes from this word (Heb 7:2; Joh 1:38).

Because he was the chief speaker ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon เ \delta \eta$ avtoৎ $\eta \nu$ о $\eta \gamma \circ \cup \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma \tau о v \lambda o \gamma o v)$. Paul was clearly "the leader of the talk." So it seemed a clear case to the natives. If preachers always knew what people really think of them! Whether Paul was alluding to his experience in Lystra or not in Ga $4: 14$, certainly they did receive him as an angel of God, as if "Mercury" in reality.

Acts 14:13
Whose temple was before the city ( $\tau \circ v$ ov $\tau \circ \varsigma \pi \rho \circ \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). The god (Zeus) is identified with his temple. He had a statue and temple there.

Oxen and garlands ( $\tau \alpha \cup \rho о \cup \varsigma \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Probably garlands to put on the oxen before they were slain. It was common to sacrifice bullocks to Jupiter and Mercury.

Would have done sacrifice ( $\eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \theta v \varepsilon \imath v$ ). Imperfect indicative, wanted to offer sacrifice. He was planning to do it, and his purpose now became plain to Paul and Barnabas.

Acts 14:14
Having heard ( $\alpha<0 v \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Such elaborate preparation "with the multitudes" ( $\sigma v v$ toıs ox $\lambda_{\text {ots) spread rumours and some who spoke Greek told Paul and Barnabas. It is possible }}$ that the priest of Jupiter may have sent a formal request that the visiting "gods" might come out to the statue by the temple gates to make it a grand occasion. They rent their garments ( $\delta \iota \alpha \rho \rho \eta \xi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle from $\delta \iota \alpha \rho \rho \eta \gamma \nu \nu \mu$, old verb to rend in two. Like the high priest in Mt 26:65 as if an act of sacrilege was about to be committed. It was strange conduct for the supposed gods!

Sprang forth $(\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi \eta \delta \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. First aorist (ingressive) active indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \eta \delta \alpha \omega$ (note $\varepsilon \kappa$ ), old verb, here only in the N.T. It was all a sign of grief and horror with loud outcries (краऍоขтєц).

Acts 14:15
Sirs ( $\alpha \vee \delta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Literally, Men. Abrupt, but courteous.
We also are men of like passions with you ( $\kappa \alpha \eta \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ о \mu о ь т ~ \pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon v v \mu ı \nu ~ \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi о$ ). Old adjective from ouotos (like) and $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \omega$, to experience. In the N.T. only here and Jas 5:17. It means "of like nature" more exactly and affected by like sensations, not "gods" at all. Their conduct was more serious than the obeisance of Cornelius to Peter (10:25f. ). H $\nu \mu v$ is associative instrumental case.

And bring you good tidings ( $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \zeta о \mu \varepsilon \nu o)$. No "and" in the Greek, just the present middle participle, "gospelizing you." They are not gods, but evangelists. Here we have Paul's message to a pagan audience without the Jewish environment and he makes the same line of argument seen in Ac 17:21-32; Ro 1:18-23. At Antioch in Pisidia we saw Paul's line of approach to Jews and proselytes (Ac 13:16-41).

That ye should turn from these vain things ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \tau 0 v \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \omega \nu \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \iota \nu$ ). He boldly calls the worship of Jupiter and Mercury and all idols "vain" or empty things, pointing to the statues and the temple.

Unto the living God ( $\varepsilon \pi \theta \varepsilon o v \zeta \omega v \tau \alpha)$. They must go the whole way. Our God is a live God, not a dead statue. Paul is fond of this phrase (2Co 6:16; Ro 9:26 ).

Who made (oৎ $\varepsilon \pi \sigma \neq \sigma \varepsilon v)$. The one God is alive and is the Creator of the Universe just as Paul will argue in Athens (Ac 17:24 ). Paul here quotes Ps 146:6 and has Ge 1:1 in mind. See also 1Th 1:9 where a new allegiance is also claimed as here.

Acts 14:16
In the generations gone by ( $\varepsilon v \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \omega \iota \chi \eta \mu \varepsilon v a ı \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. Perfect middle participle from $\pi \alpha \rho о г \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to go by, old verb, here alone in the N.T.

Suffered ( $\varepsilon \iota \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Constative aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \alpha \omega$ (note syllabic augment). Paul here touches God in history as he did just before in creation. God's hand is on the history of all the nations (Gentile and Jew), only with the Gentiles he withdrew the restraints of his grace in large measure (Ac 17:30; Ro 1:24,26,28 ), judgment enough for their sins.

To walk in their ways ( $\pi \circ \rho \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma ~ o \delta o ı \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Present middle infinitive, to go on walking, with locative case without $\varepsilon \nu$. This philosophy of history does not mean that God was ignorant or unconcerned. He was biding his time in patience.

Acts 14:17
And yet (кaito). Old Greek compound particle ( $\kappa \alpha \tau 0$ ). In the N.T. twice only, once with finite verb as here, once with the participle (Heb 4:3).

Without witness ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho o v$ ). Old adjective ( $\alpha$ privative and $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \varsigma$, witness), only here in the N.T.

Left ( $\alpha \varphi \eta \kappa \varepsilon \nu$ ). First aorist active ( $\kappa$ aorist indicative of $\alpha \varphi \not \eta \mu$ ).
In that he did good $(\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta o v \rho \gamma \omega v)$. Present active causal participle of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta o v \rho \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, late and rare verb (also $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta o \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \omega 1 \mathrm{Ti} 6: 18$ ), reading of the oldest MSS. here for
$\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ отоו $\varepsilon \omega$, to do good. Note two other causal participles here parallel with $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta o v \rho \gamma \omega v$, viz., $\delta \iota \delta o u c$ ("giving you") present active of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{t}, \varepsilon \mu \pi \iota \pi \lambda \omega \nu$ ("filling") present active of $\varepsilon \mu \pi \mu \mu \pi \lambda \omega$ (late form of $\varepsilon \mu \pi \mu \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu$ ). This witness to God (his doing good, giving rains and fruitful seasons, filling your hearts with food and gladness) they could receive without the help of the Old Testament revelation (Ro 1:20). Zeus was regarded as the god of rain (Jupiter Pluvius) and Paul claims the rain and the fruitful (карточороиб, картоৎ, and $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, fruit bearing, old word, here alone in N.T.) seasons as coming from God. Lycaonia was often dry and it would be an appropriate item. "Mercury, as the God of merchandise, was also the dispenser of food" (Vincent). Paul does not talk about laws of nature as if they governed themselves, but he sees the living God "behind the drama of the physical world" (Furneaux). These simple country people could grasp his ideas as he claims everything for the one true God.

Gladness ( $\varepsilon v \varphi \rho \circ \sigma v \nu \eta \zeta)$. Old word from $\varepsilon v \varphi \rho \omega v(\varepsilon v$ and $\varphi \rho \eta \nu)$, good cheer. In the N.T. only Ac 2:28 and here. Cheerfulness should be our normal attitude when we consider God's goodness. Paul does not here mention Christ because he had the single definite purpose to dissuade them from worshipping Barnabas and himself.

Acts 14:18
Scarce ( $\mu \mathrm{o} \lambda \iota \varsigma$ ). Adverb in same sense as old $\mu \circ \gamma \iota$, from $\mu \circ \lambda о \varsigma$, toil.
Restrained (катєпаvбаబ). Effective first aorist active indicative of кататаv $\omega$, old verb in causative sense to make abstain from.

From doing sacrifice unto them ( $\tau 0 \cup \mu \eta$ Өveıv avtoıc). Ablative case of the articular
 Grammar, pp. 1094, 1171). It had been a harrowing and well-nigh a horrible ordeal, but finally Paul had won. If only nobody else had interposed!

Acts 14:19
 $\kappa \alpha$ Ikovıov Iov $\delta \alpha \circ$ ). Came to or upon them, $\varepsilon \pi \eta \lambda \theta \alpha v$, second aorist (ingressive) indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. Whether news of the miracle had reached those cities we do not know. These may have been travelling grain merchants. At any rate there was an interval in which Paul and Barnabas won some disciples (verse 22). There would be a natural reaction, even revulsion, in the minds of many who had come so near to worshipping Paul and Barnabas. The pendulum swings easily from one extreme to the other. The hostile Jews from Antioch and Iconium may even have followed Paul and Barnabas along the fine Roman road on purpose to keep them on the run. They had driven them out of Antioch and out of Iconium and now appear at Lystra at an opportune moment for their work.

Having persuaded the multitudes ( $\pi \varepsilon เ \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \tau o v \varsigma ~ o x \lambda o v \varsigma) . ~ F i r s t ~ a o r i s t ~(e f f e c t i v e) ~$ active participle of $\pi \varepsilon ө \omega \omega$. They had complete success with many and struck at the psychological moment.

They stoned Paul（ $\lambda_{1} \theta \alpha \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ tov Пav $\lambda^{2} v$ ）．First aorist active participle of $\lambda_{1} \theta \alpha \zeta \omega$ ， late verb from $\lambda_{\mathrm{l}} \theta_{\text {os }}$ for throwing stones（used by Paul referring to this one incident when alone he was stoned，2Co 11：25 ）．The wounds inflicted may have left some of the scars （ $\sigma \tau \iota \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha)$ mentioned in Ga 6：17．They stoned Paul as the chief speaker（Mercury）and passed by Barnabas（Jupiter）．It was a Jewish mode of punishment as against Stephen and these Jews knew that Paul was the man that they had to deal with．Hackett notes that the Jews with two exceptions incited the persecutions which Paul endured．The exceptions were in Philippi（ 16：16－40）and Ephesus（19：23－41）．

Dragged him out of the city（ $\varepsilon \sigma \cup \rho \circ v \varepsilon \xi \omega \tau \eta \varsigma \pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$ ．They hurled Stephen outside of the city before stoning him（7：58）．It was a hurried and irregular proceeding，but they were dragging（imperfect active of $\sigma v \rho \omega$ ，old verb）Paul out now．

Supposing that he were dead（vоцı $о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ a \cup \tau о v ~ \tau \varepsilon Ө v \eta \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha)$ ）．Present active participle with infinitive（second perfect active of $\theta \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega)$ in indirect discourse with accusative of general reference．The Jews are jubilant this time with memories of Paul＇s escape at Antioch and Iconium．The pagan mob feel that they have settled accounts for their narrow escape from worshipping two Jewish renegade preachers．It was a good day＇s work for them all． Luke does not say that Paul was actually dead．

Acts 14：20
Stood round about him（ $\kappa \cup \kappa \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$ avtov）．Genitive absolute with first aorist
 encircle．The would－be murderers left and a group of disciples gathered round to see if Paul was dead or alive and，if dead，to bury him．In that group Timothy may very well have been along with Eunice and Barnabas．Timothy，a lad of about fifteen，would not soon forget that solemn scene（2Ti 3：11 ）．But Paul suddenly（apparently a miraculous recovery）rose up （ava⿱宀八弓¢）and entered the city to the surprise and joy of the disciples who were willing to brave persecution with Paul．
 wise to continue in Lystra so that they set out on the next day（ $\tau \eta \varepsilon \pi \alpha u \rho \circ \circ$ ，ten times in Acts），shaken and bruised as Paul was．Derbe was some forty miles distant，near the pass to the Cilician Gates．

Acts 14：21
When they had preached the gospel to that city（ $\varepsilon \cup \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o ~ \tau \eta \nu ~ \pi о \lambda ı \nu \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon ı \eta \eta)$ ）． Having evangelized（first aorist middle participle）that city，a smaller city and apparently with no trouble from the Jews．

Had made many disciples（ $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ וкаvouc）．First aorist active participle of $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon v \omega$ from $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \eta \varsigma$ ，a learner or disciple．Late verb in Plutarch，to be a disciple（Mt 27：57 like Joh 19：38）and then to disciple（old English，Spenser），to make a disciple as in

Mt 28:19 and here. Paul and Barnabas were literally here obeying the command of Jesus in discipling people in this heathen city.

They returned to Lystra and to Iconium, and to Antioch (v $\tau \varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi a v \varepsilon ء \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \Lambda \nu \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \nu$
 quickest way to return to Antioch in Syria would have been by the Cilician Gates or by the pass over Mt. Taurus by which Paul and Silas will come to Derbe in the second tour (Ac 15:41-16:1 ), but difficult to travel in winter. But it was necessary to revisit the churches in Lystra, Iconium, Antioch in Pisidia and to see that they were able to withstand persecution. Paul was a Roman citizen though he had not made use of this privilege as yet for his own protection. Against mob violence it would count for little, but he did not hesitate. Paul had been stoned in Lystra, threatened in Iconium, expelled in Antioch. He shows his wisdom in conserving his work.

Acts 14:22
Confirming ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \zeta$ ovtec). Late verb (in LXX), in N.T. only in Ac 14:22; 15:32,41, to make more firm, to give additional $(\varepsilon \pi)$ strength. Each time in Acts the word is used concerning these churches.

To continue in the faith ( $\varepsilon \mu \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon เ \nu \tau \eta \pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). To remain in with locative, old verb. It is possible that $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota c$ here has the notion of creed as Paul uses it later ( $\operatorname{Col} 1: 23$ with $\varepsilon \pi \iota \mu \varepsilon v \omega$; 1Ti 5:8). It seems to be here more than trust or belief. These recent converts from heathenism were ill-informed, were persecuted, had broken family and social ties, greatly needed encouragement if they were to hold out.

We must ( $\delta \varepsilon \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. It does not follow from this use of "we" that Luke was present, since it is a general proposition applying to all Christians at all times (2Ti 3:12). Luke, of course, approved this principle. Knowling asks why Timothy may not have told Luke about Paul's work. It all sounds like quotation of Paul's very language. Note the change of construction here after $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda$ оuvт $\varsigma$ (infinitive of indirect command, $\varepsilon \mu \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon เ v$, but oт $\delta \varepsilon$, indirect assertion). They needed the right understanding of persecution as we all do. Paul frankly warned these new converts in this heathen environment of the many tribulations through which they must enter the Kingdom of God (the culmination at last) as he did at Ephesus (Ac 20:20) and as Jesus had done (Joh 16:33). These saints were already converted.

Acts 14:23
And when they had appointed for them elders in every church ( $\chi \varepsilon \rho \rho \tau о v \eta \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \delta \varepsilon$ аитоья кат' єкк $\lambda \eta \sigma \iota v \pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho о и \varsigma)$. They needed also some form of organization, though already churches. Note distributive use of ката with єкк入ךбıаv (2:46; 5:42; Tit 1:5 ).
 old verb that originally meant to vote by show of the hands, finally to appoint with the approval of an assembly that chooses as in 2Co 8:19, and then to appoint without regard to choice as in Josephus (Ant. XIII. 2, 2) of the appointment of Jonathan as high priest by Al-
exander. So in Ac 10:41 the compound $\pi \rho \circ \chi \varepsilon \rho \rho \frac{\tau}{}$. God. But the seven (deacons) were first selected by the Jerusalem church and then appointed ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma о \mu \varepsilon v)$ by the apostles. That is probably the plan contemplated by Paul in his directions to Titus (Tit 1:5) about the choice of elders. It is most likely that this plan was the one pursued by Paul and Barnabas with these churches. They selected the elders in each instance and Paul and Barnabas "ordained" them as we say, though the word $\chi \varepsilon ו \rho о \tau о v \varepsilon \omega$ does not mean that. "Elders" were mentioned first in 11:30. Later Paul will give the requirements expected in these "elders" or "bishops" (Php 1:1 ) as in 1Ti 3:1-7; Tit 1:5-9. It is fairly certain that these elders were chosen to correspond in a general way with the elders in the Jewish synagogue after which the local church was largely copied as to organization and worship. Paul, like Jesus, constantly worshipped and spoke in the synagogues. Already it is plain, as at Antioch in Syria ( 11:26), that the Christians can no longer count on the use of the Jewish synagogue. They must have an organization of their own. The use of the plural here implies what was true at Philippi (Php 1:1) and Ephesus (Ac 20:17,28) that each church (one in each city) "had its college of elders" (Hackett) as in Jerusalem ( 21:18). Elder
 office. "Those who are called elders in speaking of Jewish communities are called bishops in speaking of Gentile communities" (Hackett). Hovey rightly holds against Hackett that teaching was a normal function of these elders, pastors or bishops as they were variously called (1Ti 3:2; Tit 1:9; 1Co 12:28,30; Eph 4:11).

Had prayed with fasting ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \cup \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sim \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon \epsilon \omega v$ ). It was a serious matter, this formal setting apart of these "elders" in the churches. So it was done in a public meeting with prayer and fasting as when Paul and Barnabas were sent forth from Antioch in Syria ( $13: 3$ ) on this mission tour.

They commended them to the Lord ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon v \tau o$ autovc $\tau \omega \kappa \nu \rho i \omega$ ). Second aorist middle indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$. Old and solemn word, to entrust, to deposit as in a bank (1Ti 1:18; 2Ti 2:2 ). Cf. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta$ in 1Ti 6:20; 2Ti 1:12,14 . It was all that they could now do, to commit them to the Lord Jesus. Jesus used this word on the cross (Lu 22:32).

On whom they had believed ( $\varepsilon \varsigma ~$ о $\boldsymbol{\pi \varepsilon \pi เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup к \varepsilon เ \sigma a v ) . ~ P a s t ~ p e r f e c t ~ i n d i c a t i v e ~ ( w i t h o u t ~}$ augment) of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$. They had "trusted" in Jesus (2Ti 1:12) and Paul now "entrusts" them to him with confidence. It was a solemn and serious occasion in each instance as it always is to set apart men for the ministry. These men may not have been ideal men for this service, but they were the only ones available and they were chosen from the actual membership in each instance, men who knew local conditions and problems.

Acts 14:24
When they had spoken the word in Perga ( $\lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \nu ~ П \varepsilon \rho \gamma \eta \tau$ тov $\lambda o \gamma o v$ ). Now they stopped and preached in Perga which they had apparently not done before (see 13:13f. ). After leaving Antioch they passed on through Pisidia, as if Antioch was not strictly in

Pisidia (see on 13:14) and into Pamphylia. They crossed from Perga to Attaleia, the port of Perga, sixteen miles down the Cestus, and capital of Pamphylia, to find a ship for Antioch in Syria. It is now called Adala and for long was the chief harbour of the south coast of Asia Minor. We do not know why they did not revisit Cyprus, perhaps because no permanent Gentile churches were founded there.

Acts 14:26
They sailed away to Antioch ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha v \varepsilon เ \varsigma ~ A v \tau \iota \circ \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha v)$. Effective aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \pi \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to sail off. They had been gone some eighteen months.

They had been committed ( $\eta \sigma \alpha v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \varepsilon \delta o \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Periphrastic past perfect passive of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, old and common verb. High and serious thoughts filled the hearts of these first returned missionaries as they neared home. The grace of God had been with them. They had fulfilled $(\varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \alpha v)$ the work to which they had been set apart by the Holy Spirit with the prayers of the Antioch church. They now had a wondrous story to tell.

Acts 14:27
Gathered the church together ( $\sigma v \vee \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma 0 \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \tau \eta v \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha v$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\sigma v v a \gamma \omega$. It "was the first missionary meeting in history" (Furneaux). It was not hard to get the church together when the news spread that Paul and Barnabas had returned. "The suitability of the Gospel to become the religion of the world had not before been put to the test" (Furneaux). Doubtless many "wise-acres" had predicted failure as they did for William Carey and for Adoniram Judson and Luther Rice.

Rehearsed ( $\alpha v \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o v$ ). Imperfect active. It was a long story for they had many things to tell of God's dealings "with them" ( $\left.\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \alpha \nu \tau \omega v\right)$ for God had been "with them" all the while as Jesus had said he would be (Mt 28:20 , $\mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime} \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Paul could recount some of the details given later in 2Co 11 .

And how ( $\kappa \alpha \circ \tau$ ). Or "and that" in particular, as the upshot of it all.
He had opened a door of faith unto the Gentiles ( $\eta v o \iota \xi \varepsilon v \tau o \iota \varepsilon \varepsilon \theta v \varepsilon \sigma \iota v \theta \cup \rho a v \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Three times in Paul's Epistles (1Co 16:9; 2Co 2:12; Col 4:3 ) he employed the metaphor of "door," perhaps a reminiscence of the very language of Paul here. This work in Galatia gained a large place in Paul's heart (Ga 4:14f. ). The Gentiles now, it was plain, could enter the kingdom of God (verse 22) through the door of faith, not by law or by circumcision or by heathen philosophy or mythology.

Acts 14:28
 $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \rho 1 \beta \omega$, old verb to rub hard, to consume, with accusative of extent of time. It was a happy time of fellowship. The experiment entered upon by the church of Antioch was now a pronounced success. It was at the direct command of the Holy Spirit, but they had prayed for the absent missionaries and rejoiced at their signal success. There is no sign of jealousy on the part of Barnabas when Paul returns as the chief hero of the expedition. A new corner
has been turned in the history of Christianity. There is a new centre of Christian activity. What will Jerusalem think of the new developments at Antioch? Paul and Barnabas made no report to Jerusalem.

## Acts 15

Acts 15:1
And certain men came down from Judea ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \iota \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda \theta о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \tau о \tau \eta \varsigma$ Iovסaıaৎ). Evidently the party of the circumcision in the church in Jerusalem (11:2) had heard of the spread of the gospel among the Gentiles in Cyprus, Pamphylia, and South Galatia (Phrygia, Pisidia, Lycaonia). Possibly John Mark after his desertion at Perga (13:13) told of this as one of his reasons for coming home. At any rate echoes of the jubilation in Antioch in Syria would be certain to reach Jerusalem. The Judaizers in Jerusalem, who insisted that all the Gentile Christians must become Jews also, had acquiesced in the case of Cornelius and his group ( $11: 1-18$ ) after plain proof by Peter that it was the Lord's doing. But they had not agreed to a formal campaign to turn the exception into the rule and to make Christianity mainly Gentile with a few Jews instead of mainly Jewish with a few Gentiles. Since Paul and Barnabas did not come up to Jerusalem, the leaders among the Judaizers decided to go down to Antioch and attack Paul and Barnabas there. They had volunteered to go without church action in Jerusalem for their activity is disclaimed by the conference (Ac 15:24). In Ga 2:4 Paul with some heat describes these Judaizers as "false brethren, secretly introduced who sneaked in to spy out our liberty." It is reasonably certain that this visit to Jerusalem described in Ga 2:1-10 is the same one as the Jerusalem Conference in Acts 15:5-29 in spite of the effort of Ramsay to identify it with that in 11:29f. Paul in Galatians is not giving a list of his visits to Jerusalem. He is showing his independence of the twelve apostles and his equality with them. He did not see them in 11:29f. , but only "the elders." In Ac 15 Luke gives the outward narrative of events, in Ga 2:1-10 Paul shows us the private interview with the apostles when they agreed on their line of conduct toward the Judaizers. In Ga 2:2 by the use of "them" (avtoıs) Paul seems to refer to the first public meeting in Acts before the private interview that came in between verses 15:5-6. If we recall the difficulty that Peter had on the subject of preaching the gospel to the heathen (10:1-11:18), we can the better understand the attitude of the Judaizers. They were men of sincere convictions without a doubt, but they were obscurantists and unable and unwilling to receive new light from the Lord on a matter that involved their racial and social prejudices. They recalled that Jesus himself had been circumcised and that he had said to the Syro-woman that he had come only save to the lost sheep of the house of Israel (Mt 15:24ff. ). They argued that Christ had not repealed circumcision. So one of the great religious controversies of all time was begun, that between spiritual religion and ritualistic or ceremonial religion. It is with us yet with baptism taking the place of circumcision. These self-appointed champions of circumcision for Gentile Christians were deeply in earnest.

Taught the brethren ( $\varepsilon \delta \delta_{1} \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa v$ тоvৎ $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi$ оטৎ). Inchoative imperfect active, began to teach and kept it up. Their attitude was one of supercilious superiority. They probably
resented the conduct of Barnabas, who, when sent by the Church in Jerusalem to investigate the conversion of the Greeks in Antioch (11:20-26), did not return and report till a strong church had been established there with the help of Saul and only then with a big collection to confuse the issue. Paul and Barnabas were on hand, but the Judaizers persisted in their efforts to force their views on the church in Antioch. It was a crisis.

Except ye be circumcised after the custom of Moses, ye cannot be saved ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \mu \varepsilon$ $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \tau \mu \eta \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon \tau \omega \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \mathrm{M} \omega \nu \sigma \varepsilon \omega \sigma$, ov $\delta v \nu \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon \sigma \omega \theta \eta \nu \alpha)$. There was the dictum of the Judaizers to the Gentiles. Paul and Barnabas had been circumcised. This is probably the precise language employed, for they spoke in Greek to these Greeks. It is a condition of the third class (undetermined, but with prospect of being determined, $\varepsilon \alpha v$ plus the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \omega)$. There was thus hope held out for them, but only on condition that they be circumcised. The issue was sharply drawn. The associative instrumental case ( $\tau \omega \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon$ ) is customary. "Saved" ( $\sigma \omega \theta \eta v \alpha$ ) here is the Messianic salvation. This doctrine denied the efficacy of the work of Christ.

Acts 15:2
When Paul and Barnabas had no small dissension and questioning with them
 absolute of second aorist middle participle of $\gamma \iota v o \mu \alpha$, genitive singular agreeing with first substantive $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$. Literally, "No little (litotes for much) strife and questioning coming to Paul and Barnabas (dative case) with them " ( $\pi \rho o \varsigma \alpha \nu \tau 0 v \varsigma$, face to face with them). Paul and Barnabas were not willing to see this Gentile church brow-beaten and treated as heretics by these self-appointed regulators of Christian orthodoxy from Jerusalem. The work had developed under the leadership of Paul and Barnabas and they accepted full responsibility for it and stoutly resisted these Judaizers to the point of sedition (riot, outbreak in Lu 23:25; Ac 19:40) as in 23:7. There is no evidence that the Judaizers had any supporters in the Antioch church so that they failed utterly to make any impression. Probably these Judaizers compelled Paul to think through afresh his whole gospel of grace and so they did Paul and the world a real service. If the Jews like Paul had to believe, it was plain that there was no virtue in circumcision (Ga 2:15-21 ). It is not true that the early Christians had no disagreements. They had selfish avarice with Ananias and Sapphira, murmuring over the gifts to the widows, simony in the case of Simon Magus, violent objection to work in Caesarea, and now open strife over a great doctrine (grace vs. legalism).

The brethren appointed $(\varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi \alpha \nu)$. "The brethren" can be supplied from verse 1 and means the church in Antioch. The church clearly saw that the way to remove this deadlock between the Judaizers and Paul and Barnabas was to consult the church in Jerusalem to which the Judaizers belonged. Paul and Barnabas had won in Antioch. If they can win in Jerusalem, that will settle the matter. The Judaizers will be answered in their own church for which they are presuming to speak. The verb $\varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi \alpha \nu$ ( $\tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, to arrange) suggests a
formal appointment by the church in regular assembly. Paul (Ga 2:2) says that he went up by revelation (кат' алока入טчıv), but surely that is not contradictory to the action of the church.

Certain others of them ( $\tau$ ivac $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ douc). Certainly Titus (Ga 2:1,3), a Greek and probably a brother of Luke who is not mentioned in Acts. Rackham thinks that Luke was in the number.
 both (cf. "the apostles and the brethren" in 11:1). "Elders" now ( $11: 30$ ) in full force. The apostles have evidently returned now to the city after the death of Herod Agrippa I stopped the persecution.

Acts 15:3
They therefore ( $\mathrm{o} \mu \varepsilon v \mathrm{ovv}$ ). Luke's favourite method of resumptive narrative as we have seen ( 11:19, etc.), demonstrative o with $\mu \varepsilon \nu$ (indeed) and ouv (therefore).

Being brought on their way by the church ( $\pi \rho о \pi \varepsilon \mu \varphi \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ v \pi о ~ \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \omega \propto \varsigma) . ~ F i r s t ~$ aorist passive participle of $\pi \rho \circ \pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega$, old verb, to send forward under escort as a mark of honour as in 20:38; 21:5; 3Jo 1:6. They were given a grand send-off by the church in Antioch.

Passed through ( $\delta ı \eta \rho \chi$ оvтo). Imperfect middle describing the triumphal procession through both ( $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ) Phoenicia and Samaria.

The conversion ( $\tau \eta v \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \circ \varphi \eta \nu$ ). The turning.
They caused great joy ( $\varepsilon \pi \sigma o o v \nu \chi \alpha \rho \alpha v \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \nu$ ). Imperfect active. They were raising a constant paean of praise as they proceeded toward Jerusalem. Probably the Judaizers had gone on or kept still.

Acts 15:4
Were received ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \chi \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, old verb, to receive, to welcome. Here it was a public reception for Paul and Barnabas provided by the whole church including the apostles and elders, at which an opportunity was given to hear the story of Paul and Barnabas about God's dealings with them among the Gentiles. This first public meeting is referred to by Paul in Ga 2:2 "I set before them (autoıs) the gospel, etc."

Acts 15:5
But there rose up ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v \delta \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist active indicative (intransitive). Note both $\varepsilon \xi$ and $\alpha v$. These men rose up out of the crowd at a critical moment. They were believers in Christ ( $є \varepsilon \pi เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup к о \tau \varepsilon \varsigma, ~ h a v i n g ~ b e l i e v e d), ~ b u t ~ w e r e ~ s t i l l ~ m e m b e r s ~ o f ~ " t h e ~ s e c t ~ o f ~ t h e ~ P h a r-~$ isees" ( $\tau \eta \varsigma$ aı $\rho \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \tau \omega v$ Фapıбaı $\omega v$ ). Evidently they still held to the Pharisaic narrowness shown in the attack on Peter (11:2f. ). Note the dogmatism of their "must" ( $\delta \varepsilon$ ) after the opposition of Paul and Barnabas to their "except" ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \mu \varepsilon$ ) at Antioch ( 15:1). They are unconvinced and expected to carry the elders with them. Codex Bezae says that they had appealed to the elders ( $15: 2,5$ ). At any rate they have made the issue in open meeting at the
height of the jubilation. It is plain from verse 6 that this meeting was adjourned, for another gathering came together then. It is here that the private conference of which Paul speaks in Ga 2:1-10 took place. It was Paul's chance to see the leaders in Jerusalem (Peter, James, and John) and he won them over to his view of Gentile liberty from the Mosaic law so that the next public conference (Ac 15:6-29) ratified heartily the views of Paul, Barnabas, Peter, James, and John. It was a diplomatic triumph of the first order and saved Christianity from the bondage of Jewish ceremonial sacramentalism. So far as we know this is the only time that Paul and John met face to face, the great spirits in Christian history after Jesus our Lord. It is a bit curious to see men saying today that Paul surrendered about Titus and had him circumcised for the sake of peace, the very opposite of what he says in Galatians, "to whom I yielded, no not for an hour." Titus as a Greek was a red flag to the Judaizers and to the compromisers, but Paul stood his ground.

Acts 15:6
Were gathered together ( $\sigma u v \eta \chi \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist (effective) passive indicative. The church is not named here as in verse 4, but we know from verses 12-22 that the whole church came together this time also along with the apostles and elders.

Of this matter ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ tov $\lambda$ oүou tovtov). Same idiom in 8:21; 19:38. They realized the importance of the issue.

Acts 15:7
When there had been much questioning ( $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \eta \varsigma \zeta \eta \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma$ ). Genitive absolute with second aorist middle participle of $\gamma เ v o \mu \alpha$. Evidently the Judaizers were given full opportunity to air all their grievances and objections. They were allowed plenty of time and there was no effort to shut off debate or to rush anything through the meeting.

Peter rose up ( $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ П \varepsilon \tau \rho о \varsigma) . ~ T h e ~ w o n d e r ~ w a s ~ t h a t ~ h e ~ h a d ~ w a i t e d ~ s o ~ l o n g . ~ P r o b a b l y ~$ Paul asked him to do so. He was the usual spokesman for the apostles and his activities in Jerusalem were well-known. In particular his experience at Caesarea (Ac 10 ) had caused trouble here in Jerusalem from this very same party of the circumcism (Ac 11:1-18). It was fitting that Peter should speak. This is the last time that Peter appears in the Acts.

A good while ago ( $\alpha \varphi^{\prime} \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \omega v \alpha \rho \chi \alpha \omega \nu v$ ). From ancient days. The adjective $\alpha \rho \chi \alpha \iota \rho$, is from $\alpha \rho \chi \eta$, beginning, and its actual age is a matter of relativity. So Mnason (Ac 21:16) is termed "an ancient disciple." It was probably a dozen years since God "made choice" ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \alpha \tau)$ ) to speak by Peter's mouth to Cornelius and the other Gentiles in Caesarea. His point is that what Paul and Barnabas have reported is nothing new. The Judaizers made objection then as they are doing now.

Acts 15:8
Which knoweth the heart ( $\kappa \alpha \rho \delta ı \rho \nu \omega \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Late word from кар $\delta \iota \alpha$ (heart) and $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma$ (known, $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ ). In the N.T. only here and 1:24 which see.

Giving them the Holy Spirit ( $\delta o v \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha ~ \tau о ~ a \gamma ı o v) . ~ A n d ~ b e f o r e ~ t h e i r ~ b a p t i s m . ~$ This was the Lord's doing. They had accepted (11:18) this witness of God then and it was true now of these other Gentile converts.

Acts 15:9
He made no distinction between us and them (ov $\theta \varepsilon v \delta \iota \varepsilon \kappa \rho \iota v \varepsilon \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi v \eta \mu \omega \nu \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ $\alpha v \tau \omega \nu$ ). He distinguished nothing (first aorist active ind.) between (both $\delta \iota \alpha$ and $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi v$ ) both ( $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ) us and them. In the matter of faith and conversion God treated us Jews as heathen and the heathen as Jews.

Cleansing their hearts by faith ( $\tau \eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \alpha \varsigma \tau \alpha \varsigma \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha \varsigma \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Not by works nor by ceremonies. Peter here has a thoroughly Pauline and Johannine idea of salvation for all both Jew and Greek. Cf. 10:15.

Acts 15:10
Why tempt ye God? ( $\tau \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \zeta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \tau 0 \nu \theta \varepsilon o v ;$ ). By implying that God had made a mistake this time, though right about Cornelius. It is a home-thrust. They were refusing to follow the guidance of God like the Israelites at Massah and Meribah (Ex 17:7; De 6:16; 1Co 10:9 ).

That ye should put ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \varepsilon \iota v \alpha)$. Second aorist active infinitive of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, epexegetic, explaining the tempting.

A yoke upon the neck ( $\zeta \gamma \gamma \sigma v \pi \tau \sigma \nu \tau \rho a \chi \eta \lambda o v$ ). Familiar image of oxen with yokes upon the necks. Paul's very image for the yoke of bondage of the Mosaic law in Ga 5:1. It had probably been used in the private interview. Cf. the words of Jesus about the Pharisees (Mt 23:4) and how easy and light his own yoke is (Mt 11:30).

Were able to bear (เ $\sigma \chi \nu \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha$ ). Neither our fathers nor we had strength $(\iota \chi \cup \omega)$ to carry this yoke which the Judaizers wish to put on the necks of the Gentiles. Peter speaks as the spiritual emancipator. He had been slow to see the meaning of God's dealings with him at Joppa and Caesarea, but he has seen clearly by now. He takes his stand boldly with Paul and Barnabas for Gentile freedom.

Acts 15:11
That we shall be saved $(\sigma \omega \theta \eta v \alpha)$. First aorist passive infinitive in indirect discourse after $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon \nu$. More exactly, "We believe that we are saved through the grace of the Lord Jesus in like manner as they also." This thoroughly Pauline note shows that whatever hopes the Judaizers had about Peter were false. His doctrine of grace is as clear as a bell. He has lifted his voice against salvation by ceremony and ritualism. It was a great deliverance.

Acts 15:12
Kept silence $(\varepsilon \sigma \iota \gamma \eta \sigma \varepsilon v)$. Ingressive first aorist active of $\sigma \iota \gamma \alpha \omega$, old verb, to hold one's peace. All the multitude became silent after Peter's speech and because of it.

Hearkened ( $\eta \kappa \circ v o v$ ). Imperfect active of $\alpha \kappa \circ v \omega$, descriptive of the rapt attention, were listening.

Unto Barnabas and Paul (Bapvaßака Паv入оv). Note placing Barnabas before Paul as in verse 25 , possibly because in Jerusalem Barnabas was still better known than Paul.

Rehearsing ( $\varepsilon \xi \eta \gamma \circ \cup \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega v$ ). Present middle participle of $\varepsilon \xi \eta \gamma \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$, old verb, to go through or lead out a narrative of events as in Lu 24:35; Ac 10:8 which see. Three times (14:27; 15:4,12 ) Paul is described as telling the facts about their mission work, facts more eloquent than argument (Page). One of the crying needs in the churches is fuller knowledge of the facts of mission work and progress with enough detail to give life and interest. The signs and wonders which God had wrought among the Gentiles set the seal of approval on the work done through $(\delta \iota \alpha)$ Barnabas and Paul. This had been Peter's argument about Cornelius ( $11: 17$ ). This same verb ( $\varepsilon \xi \eta \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \tau 0)$ is used by James in verse 14 referring to Peter's speech.

Acts 15:13
After they had held their peace ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau 0 \sigma \iota \eta \sigma \alpha \alpha v \tau o v \varsigma)$. Literally, "after the becoming silent (ingressive aorist active of the articular infinitive) as to them (Barnabas and Paul, accusative of general reference)."

James answered ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho \iota \eta \mathrm{I} \alpha \kappa \omega \beta$ оऽ). First aorist passive (deponent) indicative. It was expected that James, as President of the Conference, would speak last. But he wisely waited to give every one an opportunity to speak. The challenge of the Judaizers called for an opinion from James. Furneaux thinks that he may have been elected one of the twelve to take the place of James the brother of John since Paul (Ga 1:19) calls him apostle. More likely he was asked to preside because of his great gifts and character as chief of the elders.

Acts 15:14
Hearken unto me (aкоvбатє $\mu \circ$ ). Usual appeal for attention. James was termed James the Just and was considered a representative of the Hebraic as opposed to the Hellenistic wing of the Jewish Christians (Ac 6:1). The Judaizers had doubtless counted on him as a champion of their view and did later wrongfully make use of his name against Peter at Antioch (Ga 2:12). There was instant attention when James began to speak.

Symeon $(\Sigma v \mu \varepsilon \omega v)$. The Aramaic form of Simon as in $2 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 1$. This little touch would show his affinities with the Jewish Christians (not the Judaizers). This Aramaic form is used also in $\operatorname{Lu} 2: 25,34$ of the old prophet in the temple. Possibly both forms (Symeon, Aramaic, and Simon, Greek) were current in Jerusalem.

How ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma)$. Strictly, "according as," here like os in indirect discourse somewhat like the epexegetic or explanatory use in 3Jo 1:3.

First ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma v$ ). Told by Peter in verse 7. James notes, as Peter did, that this experience of Barnabas and Paul is not the beginning of work among the Gentiles.

Did visit ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \psi \alpha \tau o)$. First aorist middle indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \pi \tau \circ \mu \alpha$, old verb to look upon, to look after, provide for. This same verb occurs in Jas 1:27 and is one of various points of similarity between this speech of James in Acts and the Epistle of James as shown
by Mayor in his Commentary on James. Somehow Luke may have obtained notes of these various addresses.

To take from the Gentiles a people for his name ( $\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \tau v \xi \varepsilon \theta \nu \omega v \lambda \alpha o v \tau \omega$ ovo $\mu \alpha \tau$ $\alpha \cup \tau o v)$. Bengel calls this egregium paradoxon, a chosen people ( $\lambda \alpha o v$ ) out of the Gentiles $(\varepsilon \theta v \omega v)$. This is what is really involved in what took place at Caesarea at the hands of Peter and the campaign of Barnabas and Paul from Antioch. But such a claim of God's purpose called for proof from Scripture to convince Jews and this is precisely what James undertakes to give. This new Israel from among the Gentiles is one of Paul's great doctrines as set forth in Ga 3; Ro 9-11. Note the use of God's "name" here for "the Israel of God" (Ga 6:16 ).

Acts 15:15
To this agree ( $\tau 0 v \tau \omega \sigma \nu \mu \varphi \omega v o v \sigma \iota v$ ). Associative instrumental case ( $\tau 0 v \tau \omega$ ) after $\sigma \nu \mu \varphi \omega v o v \sigma \iota \nu$ (voice together with, symphony with, harmonize with), from $\sigma \nu \mu \varphi \omega v \varepsilon \omega$, old verb seen already in Mt 18:19; Lu 5:36; Ac 5:9 which see. James cites only Am 9:11,12 from the LXX as an example of "the words of the prophets" (o $\lambda о \gamma \sigma \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \omega \nu$ ) to which he refers on this point. The somewhat free quotation runs here through verses 16-18 of Ac 15 and is exceedingly pertinent. The Jewish rabbis often failed to understand the prophets as Jesus showed. The passage in Amos refers primarily to the restoration of the Davidic empire, but also the Messiah's Kingdom (the throne of David his father," Lu 1:32 ).

Acts 15:16
I will build again ( $\alpha \nu \circ \kappa \kappa \delta о \mu \eta \sigma \omega$ ). Here LXX has $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \omega$. Compound ( $\alpha v \alpha$, up or again) of o七кобо $\mu \varepsilon \omega$, the verb used by Jesus in Mt 16:18 of the general church or kingdom as here which see.

The tabernacle of David ( $\tau \eta \nu \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta \nu \Delta \alpha v \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta$ ), a poetical figure of the throne of David (2Sa 7:12 ) now "the fallen tent" ( $\tau \eta \nu \pi \varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa v \iota \alpha \nu$ ), perfect active participle of $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, state of completion.

The ruins thereof ( $\tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \alpha \nu \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Literally, "the ruined portions of it." Perfect passive participle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, to turn down. It is a desolate picture of the fallen, torn down tent of David.

I will let it up $(\alpha \vee o \rho \theta \omega \sigma \omega)$. Old verb from $\alpha v o \rho \theta o \omega(\alpha v \alpha$, o $\rho \theta o \varsigma)$, to set upright. See on Lu 3:13 of the old woman whose crooked back was set straight.

## Acts 15:17

That the residue of men may seek after the Lord (о $\tau \omega \varsigma \alpha \nu \varepsilon \kappa \zeta \eta \tau \eta \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ о ката入оьло $\tau \omega v \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega v \tau 0 \nu \kappa v \rho \iota o v)$. The use of o $\pi \omega \varsigma$ with the subjunctive (effective aorist active) to express purpose is common enough and note $\alpha v$ for an additional tone of uncertainty. On the rarity of $\alpha \nu$ with o $\pi \omega \varsigma$ in the Koine see Robertson, Grammar, p. 986. Here the Gentiles are referred to. The Hebrew text is quite different, "that they may possess the remnant of Edom." Certainly the LXX suits best the point that James is making. But the closing words of this verse point definitely to the Gentiles both in the Hebrew and the LXX, "all the Gentiles"
( $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \varepsilon \theta v \eta$ ). Another item of similarity between this speech and the Epistle of James is in the phrase "my name is called" ( $\varepsilon \pi\llcorner\kappa \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \tau \alpha$ тo ovo $\mu \alpha \mu \circ v$ ) and Jas 2:7. The purpose of God, though future, is expressed by this perfect passive indicative $\varepsilon \pi \kappa \kappa \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \tau \alpha$ from $\varepsilon \pi \iota-$ $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to call on. It is a Jewish way of speaking of those who worship God.

Acts 15:18
From the beginning of the world ( $\left.\alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha \omega \omega v o \varsigma\right)$. Or, "from of old." James adds these words, perhaps with a reminiscence of Isa $45: 21$. His point is that this purpose of God, as set forth in Amos, is an old one. God has an Israel outside of and beyond the Jewish race, whom he will make his true "Israel" and so there is no occasion for surprise in the story of God's dealings with the Gentiles as told by Barnabas and Paul. God's eternal purpose of grace includes all who call upon his name in every land and people (Isa 2:1; Mic 4:1). This larger and richer purpose and plan of God was one of the mysteries which Paul will unfold in the future (Ro 16:25; Eph 3:9). James sees it clearly now. God is making it known ( $\pi$ ( $\omega \omega \nu$ тavta $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau \alpha$ ), if they will only be willing to see and understand. It was a great deliverance that James had made and it exerted a profound influence on the assembly.

Acts 15:19
Wherefore ( $\delta ⿺ 0$ ). "Because of which," this plain purpose of God as shown by Amos and Isaiah.

My judgment is ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \kappa \rho \iota v \omega)$. Note expression of $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$.
I give my judgment . (E $\gamma \mathrm{o} \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\chi} v \sigma \varepsilon \circ$ ). James sums up the case as President of the Conference in a masterly fashion and with that consummate wisdom for which he is noted. It amounts to a resolution for the adoption by the assembly as happened (verse 33).

That we trouble not ( $\mu \eta \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon v o \chi \lambda \varepsilon ı v$ ). Present active infinitive with $\mu \eta$ in an indirect command (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1046) of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon v o \chi \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, a common late verb, occurring here alone in the N.T. This double compound ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha, \varepsilon v$ ) is from the old compound $\varepsilon v o \chi \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ ( $\varepsilon v$ and ox $\lambda$ o, , crowd, annoyance) seen in $\mathrm{Lu} 6: 18 ; \mathrm{Heb} 12: 15$, and means to cause trouble beside ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ) one or in a matter. This is the general point of James which he explains further concerning "those who are turning from the Gentiles unto God," the very kind of people referred to in Amos.

Acts 15:20
But that we write unto them ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \varepsilon \pi \prime \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \alpha \cup \tau o เ \varsigma)$. By way of contrast ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, old verb to send to one (message, letter, etc.). Our word $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \lambda \varepsilon(\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta$ as in verse 30 ) comes from this verb. In the N.T. only here, He 13:22, and possibly Ac 21:25.

That they abstain from ( $\tau 0 v \alpha \pi \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). The genitive of the articular infinitive of purpose, present middle (direct) of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \chi \omega$, old verb, to hold oneself back from. The best old MSS. do not have a $\alpha 0$, but the ablative is clear enough in what follows. James agrees with Peter in his support of Paul and Barnabas in their contention for Gentile freedom from the

Mosaic ceremonial law. The restrictions named by James affect the moral code that applies to all (idolatry, fornication, murder). Idolatry, fornication and murder were the outstanding sins of paganism then and now (Re 22:15). Harnack argues ably against the genuineness of the word $\pi v$ ıктov (strangled) which is absent from D Irenaeus, Tertullian, Cyprian. It is a nice point, though the best MSS. have it in accord with Le 17:10-16. The problem is whether the words were added because "blood" was understood as not "murder," but a reference to the Mosaic regulation or whether it was omitted to remove the ceremonial aspect and make it all moral and ethical. The Western text omits the word also in verse 29. But with the word retained here and in verse 29 the solution of James is not a compromise, though there is a wise concession to Jewish feeling.

Pollutions of idols ( $\alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \gamma \eta \mu \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). From $\alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ only in the LXX and this substantive nowhere else. The word refers to idolatrous practices (pollutions) and things sacrificed to idols ( $\varepsilon \delta \delta \omega \lambda u \theta \omega v$ ) in verse 29 , not to sacrificial meat sold in the market (1Co 10:27), a matter not referred to here. Cf. Le 17:1-9. All the four items in the position of James (accepting $\pi v$ וктои) are mentioned in Le 17,18 .

Acts 15:21
For Moses (M $M \omega \sigma \sigma \eta \zeta \gamma \alpha \rho$ ). A reason why these four necessary things (verse 28) are named. In every city are synagogues where rabbis proclaim (кпрvббоvта¢) these matters. Hence the Gentile Christians would be giving constant offence to neglect them. The only point where modern Christian sentiment would object would be about "things strangled" and "blood" in the sense of any blood left in the animals, though most Christians probably agree with the feeling of James in objecting to blood in the food. If "blood" is taken to be "murder," that difficulty vanishes. Moses will suffer no loss for these Gentile Christians are not adherents of Judaism.

Acts 15:22
Then it seemed good (To $\tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \xi \xi v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\delta$ ok $\varepsilon \omega$. A regular idiom at the beginning of decrees. This Eirenicon of James commended itself to the whole assembly. Apparently a vote was taken which was unanimous, the Judaizers probably not voting. The apostles and the elders ( $\tau$ оья $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau о \lambda о \iota \varsigma ~ к а ~ т о ı \varsigma ~ \pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \varepsilon \rho о \iota, ~ a r t i c l e ~ w i t h ~ e a c h, ~$ dative case) probably all vocally expressed their position.

With the whole church ( $\sigma \cup v 0 \lambda \varepsilon \tau \eta \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha)$. Probably by acclamation. It was a great victory. But James was a practical leader and he did not stop with speeches and a vote.

To choose men out of their company ( $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \zeta \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o u \varsigma \alpha v \delta \rho \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \alpha \cup \tau \omega v$ ). Accusative case, though dative just before (тoı a $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ обто入oı, etc.), of first aorist middle participle of $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, to select. This loose case agreement appears also in $\gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ in verse 23 and in MSS. in verse 25. It is a common thing in all Greek writers (Paul, for instance), especially in the papyri and in the Apocalypse of John.
 unless he is a brother of Joseph Barsabbas of 1:23, an early follower of Jesus. The other, Silas, is probably a shortened form of Silvanus ( $\Sigma$ idovavoc, 1 Pe 5:12 ), the companion of Paul in his second mission tour (Ac 15:32,41; 16:25 ).

Chief men ( $\eta \gamma o v \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma)$. Leaders, leading men (participle from $\eta \gamma \varepsilon \circ \mu \alpha$, to lead).
Acts 15:23
And they wrote ( $\gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$ and the nominative as if a principal verb $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \alpha v$ had been used instead of $\pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \alpha$, the first aorist active infinitive (anacoluthon). This committee of four (Judas, Silas, Barnabas, Paul) carried the letter which embodied the decision of the Conference. This letter is the writing out of the judgment of James and apparently written by him as the President.

The apostles and the elders, brethren (о $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau о \lambda о ~ к \alpha ~ о ~ \pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \varepsilon \rho о ь, ~ \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi о) . ~ S o ~ t h e ~$ oldest and best MSS. without $\kappa \alpha$ (and) before "brethren." This punctuation is probably correct and not "elder brethren." The inquiry had been sent to the apostles and elders (verse 2) though the whole church joined in the welcome (verse 4) and in the decision (verse 22). The apostles and elders send the epistle, but call themselves "brothers to brothers," Fratres Fratibus Salutem. "The brothers" ( $\tau \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \varepsilon \lambda \varphi$ оья) addressed (dative case) are of the Gentiles $(\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \theta v \omega v)$ and those in Antioch, Syria, and Cilicia, because they were immediately involved. But the decision of this Conference was meant for Gentile Christians everywhere ( 16:4).

Greeting (Xaıpsıv). The customary formula in the beginning of letters, the absolute infinitive (usually $\chi \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon เ v$ ) with the nominative absolute also as in Jas 1:1; Ac 23:26 and innumerable papyri (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 1902f.).

Acts 15:24
Certain which went from us ( $\tau \tau v \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \hat{A} \eta \mu \hat{A} \omega v$, Aleph B omit $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \theta$ ov $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ). A direct blow at the Judaizers, put in delicate language (we heard Â$\eta \kappa о v \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ) as if only at Antioch ( $15: 1$ ), and not also in Jerusalem in open meeting ( $15: 5$ ).

Have troubled you with words ( $\varepsilon \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \xi \alpha \nu \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma \lambda о \gamma o \iota \varsigma)$. What a picture of turmoil in the church in Antioch, words, words, words. Aorist tense of the common verb tapa $\sigma \sigma \omega$, to


Subverting your souls ( $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v a \zeta о v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \alpha \varsigma \psi v \chi \alpha \varsigma \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Present active participle of avaбкะva $\zeta \omega$, old verb ( $\alpha v \alpha$ and $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon v o \varsigma$, baggage) to pack up baggage, to plunder, to ravage. Powerful picture of the havoc wrought by the Judaizers among the simple-minded Greek Christians in Antioch.

To whom we gave no commandment (oı̧ $o v \delta เ \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). First aorist middle indicative of $\delta \operatorname{ta\sigma } \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, old verb to draw asunder, to distinguish, to set forth distinctly, to command. This is a flat disclaimer of the whole conduct of the Judaizers in Antioch and in Jerusalem, a complete repudiation of their effort to impose the Mosaic ceremonial law upon the Gentile Christians.

Acts 15:25
It seemed good unto us $(\varepsilon \delta o \xi \varepsilon v \eta \mu \nu v)$. See statement by Luke in verse 22, and now this definite decision is in the epistle itself. It is repeated in verse 28.

Having come to one accord ( $\gamma \varepsilon \nu \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \iota s, o \mu o \theta \nu \mu \alpha \delta o v$ ). On this adverb, common in Acts, see on $1: 14$. But $\gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v o ı s$ clearly means that the final unity was the result of the Conference (private and public talks). The Judaizers are here brushed to one side as the defeated disturbers that they really were who had lacked the courage to vote against the majority.

To choose out men and send them ( $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \iota \varsigma ~ \alpha v \delta \rho \alpha \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \alpha$ A B L, though Aleph C D read $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o v s$ as in verse 22). Precisely the same idiom as in verse 22, "having chosen out to send."
 The verbal adjective $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \tau$ oıs (common in the N.T.) definitely sets the seal of warm approval on Barnabas and Paul. Paul (Ga 2:9) confirms this by his statement concerning the right hand of fellowship given.

Acts 15:26
Have hazarded their lives ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha \varsigma \psi v \chi \alpha \varsigma \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Perfect active participle dative plural of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \delta \omega \mu$, old word, to hand over to another, and with $\psi v \chi \alpha \varsigma$, to hand over to another their lives. The sufferings of Paul and Barnabas in Pisidia and Lycaonia were plainly well-known just as the story of Judson in Burmah is today. On the use of "name" here see on 3:6.

Acts 15:27
Who themselves also shall tell you the same things by word of mouth (ка $\alpha v \tau о ⿱ \varsigma 兀 \delta \alpha$ $\left.\lambda o \gamma o v a \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \frac{v \tau \tau \alpha}{} \tau \alpha \alpha v \tau \alpha\right)$. Literally, "they themselves also by speech announcing the same things." The present participle, as here, sometimes is used like the future to express purpose as in 3:26 $\varepsilon \cup \lambda o \gamma o u v \tau \alpha$ after $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v$ and so here $\alpha \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o v \tau \alpha \varsigma$ after $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$ (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1128). Judas and Silas are specifically endorsed (perfect active indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ ) as bearers of the epistle who will also verbally confirm the contents of the letter.

Acts 15:28
To the Holy Spirit and to us ( $\tau \omega \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \tau \omega \alpha \gamma \iota \omega \kappa \alpha \eta \mu \nu \nu)$. Dative case after $\varepsilon \delta o \xi \varepsilon v$ (third example, verses $22,25,28$ ). Definite claim that the church in this action had the guidance of the Holy Spirit. That fact was plain to the church from what had taken place in Caesarea and in this campaign of Paul and Barnabas (verse 8). Jesus had promised that the Holy Spirit would guide them into all truth (Joh 16:13 ). Even so the church deliberated carefully before deciding. What a blessing it would be if this were always true! But even so the Judaizers are only silenced for the present, not convinced and only waiting for a better day to start over again.

No greater burden ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \pi \lambda \varepsilon o v \beta \alpha \rho o \varsigma)$. The restrictions named did constitute some burden (cf. Mt 20:12 ), for the old word $\beta$ apos means weight or heaviness. Morality itself is a restraint upon one's impulses as is all law a prohibition against license.

Acts 15:29
Than these necessary things ( $\pi \lambda \eta \nu \tau 0 v \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \pi \alpha v \alpha \gamma \kappa \varepsilon \varsigma)$. This old adverb (from $\varepsilon \pi$ and $\alpha v a \gamma \kappa \eta$ ) means on compulsion, of necessity. Here only in the N.T. For discussion of these items see on verses 20,21. In comparison with the freedom won this "burden" is light and not to be regarded as a compromise in spite of the arguments of Lightfoot and Ramsay. It was such a concession as any converted Gentile would be glad to make even if "things strangled" be included. This "necessity" was not a matter of salvation but only for fellowship between Jews and Gentiles. The Judaizers made the law of Moses essential to salvation ( 15:16).

It shall be well with you ( $\varepsilon v \pi \rho \alpha \xi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Ye shall fare well. A classical idiom used here effectively. The peace and concord in the fellowship of Jews and Gentiles will justify any slight concession on the part of the Gentiles. This letter is not laid down as a law, but it is the judgment of the Jerusalem Christians for the guidance of the Gentiles ( $16: 4$ ) and it had a fine effect at once ( $15: 30-35$ ). Trouble did come later from the Judaizers who were really hostile to the agreement in Jerusalem, but that opposition in no way discredits the worth of the work of this Conference. No sane agreement will silence perpetual and professional disturbers like these Judaizers who will seek to unsettle Paul's work in Antioch, in Corinth, in Galatia, in Jerusalem, in Rome.

Fare ye well (E $\rho \rho \omega \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Valete. Perfect passive imperative of $\rho \omega v \nu v \mu$, to make strong. Common at the close of letters. Be made strong, keep well, fare well. Here alone in the N.T. though some MSS. have it in 23:30.

Acts 15:30
So they (o $\mu \varepsilon v$ ouv). As in verse 3 .
When they were dismissed ( $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda \nu \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist passive participle of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \nu \omega$, common verb to loosen, to dismiss. Possibly (Hackett) religious services were held as in verse 33 (cf. 13:3) and perhaps an escort for part of the way as in verse 3.

The multitude ( $\tau \mathrm{o} \pi \lambda \eta \theta$ oc). Public meeting of the church as in verses 1-3. Deissmann (Bible Studies, p. 232) gives illustrations from the inscriptions of the use of $\pi \lambda \eta \theta$ oc for official, political, and religious gatherings. The committee formally "delivered" ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha v$ ) the epistle to the church authorities.

Acts 15:31
When they had read it ( $\alpha v \alpha \gamma v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Second aorist active participle of $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. Public reading, of course, to the church.

They rejoiced ( $\varepsilon \chi \propto \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ ). Second aorist (ingressive) passive indicative of $\chi \alpha!\rho \omega$. They burst into exultant joy showing clearly that they did not consider it a weak compromise, but a glorious victory of Gentile liberty.

For the consolation ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ ). The encouragement, the cheer in the letter. See $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha v$ in verse 32. Consolation and exhortation run into one another in this word.

Acts 15:32
Being themselves also prophets ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \cup \tau о ~ \pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \alpha ~ о v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. As well as Paul and Barnabas and like Agabus ( 11:27-30), for-speakers for Christ who justify the commendation in the letter (verse 27) "with many words" ( $\delta$ ı $\lambda$ 入oүov $\pi \mathbf{\pi} \lambda \lambda \mathrm{ov}$ ), "with much talk," and no doubt with kindly words concerning the part played at the Conference by Paul and Barnabas.

Confirmed ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \xi \alpha v)$. See on 14:22. It was a glorious time with no Judaizers to disturb their fellowship as in 1-3.

Acts 15:33
Some time ( $\chi$ роvov). Accusative after $\pi$ 位 $\eta \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$, "having done time." How long we do not know.

Acts 15:34
But it seemed good unto Silas to abide there ( $\varepsilon \delta o \xi \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \Sigma i \lambda \alpha \varepsilon \pi \pi \mu \varepsilon ı v \alpha \alpha \nu \tau o v)$. This verse is not in the Revised Version or in the text of Westcott and Hort, being absent from Aleph A B Vulgate, etc. It is clearly an addition to help explain the fact that Silas is back in Antioch in verse 40. But the "some days" of verse 36 afforded abundant time for him to return from Jerusalem. He and Judas went first to Jerusalem to make a report of their mission.

Acts 15:35
Tarried ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \tau \rho \iota \beta o v$ ). Imperfect active of $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \rho \iota \beta \omega$, old verb to pass time, seen already in 12:19; 14:3,28.

With many others also ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega v \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \omega v$ ). A time of general revival and naturally so after the victory at Jerusalem. It is at this point that it is probable that the sad incident took place told by Paul in Ga 2:11-21. Peter came up to see how things were going in Antioch after Paul's victory in Jerusalem. At first Peter mingled freely with the Greek Christians without the compunctions shown at Caesarea and for which he had to answer in Jerusalem (Ac 11:1-18). Rumours of Peter's conduct reached Jerusalem and the Judaizers saw a chance to reopen the controversy on the line of social customs, a matter not passed on at the Jerusalem Conference. These Judaizers threaten Peter with a new trial and he surrenders and is followed by Barnabas and all the Jewish brethren in Antioch to the dismay of Paul who boldly rebuked Peter and Barnabas and won them back to his view. It was a crisis. Some would even date the Epistle to the Galatians at this time also, an unlikely hypothesis.

Acts 15:36

Let us return now and visit the brethren ( $\varepsilon \pi\llcorner\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi\lrcorner \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \psi \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ touc $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o u \varsigma)$. Paul takes the initiative as the leader, all the more so if the rebuke to Peter and Barnabas in Ga 2:11-21 had already taken place. Paul is anxious, like a true missionary, to go back to the fields where he has planted the gospel. He uses the hortatory subjunctive ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \psi \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ) for the proposal (see on 15:14 for this verb). Note the repeated $\varepsilon \pi$ ( $\varepsilon \pi ⿺-$ $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ and $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \psi \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). There is special point in the use of $\delta \eta$ (shortened form of $\eta \delta \eta$ ), now at this juncture of affairs (cf. 13:2).

How they fare ( $\pi \omega \varsigma$ exovoiv). Indirect question, "how they have it." The precariousness of the life of new converts in pagan lands is shown in all of Paul's Epistles (Furneaux). So he wanted to go city by city ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ по $\lambda \iota v ~ \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha v) . ~$

Acts 15:37
Was minded to take with them ( $\varepsilon \beta \frac{u \lambda \varepsilon \tau \%}{} \sigma v v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon เ v$ ). Imperfect middle
 and stuck to it (imperfect tense). $\Sigma v v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon เ v$ is second aorist active infinitive of the double compound $\sigma v v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, old verb to take along together with, used already about John Mark in 12:25 and by Paul in Ga 2:1 about Titus. Nowhere else in the N.T. Barnabas used the ingressive aorist in his suggestion.

Acts 15:38
 toutov). The Greek is far more effective than this English rendering. It is the imperfect active of $\alpha \xi_{\iota} \omega$, old verb to think meet or right and the present active infinitive of the same verb ( $\sigma v \pi \alpha \alpha \rho \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$ ) with negative used with this infinitive. Literally, "But Paul kept on deeming it wise not to be taking along with them this one." Barnabas looked on it as a simple punctiliar proposal (aorist infinitive), but Paul felt a lively realization of the problem of having a quitter on his hands (present infinitive). Each was insistent in his position (two imperfects). Paul had a definite reason for his view describing John Mark as "him who withdrew from them from Pamphylia" ( $\tau$ оv $\left.\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \alpha v \tau \alpha \alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha \nu \tau \omega v \alpha \pi о ~ П \alpha \mu \varphi \nu \lambda ı \alpha \varsigma\right) . ~ S e c o n d ~$ aorist active articular participle of $\alpha \varphi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, intransitive use, "the one who stood off from, apostatized from" (our very word "apostasy"). And also as the one who "went not with them
 task that Paul and Barnabas did, but he flinched and flickered and quit. Paul declined to repeat the experiment with Mark.

Acts 15:39
A sharp contention ( $\pi \alpha \rho \circ \xi v \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma)$. Our very word paroxysm in English. Old word though only twice in the N.T. (here and Heb 10:24), from $\pi \alpha \rho \circ \xi v v \omega$, to sharpen ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$, $\circ \xi v c)$ as of a blade and of the spirit (Ac 17:16; 1Co 13:5). This "son of consolation" loses his temper in a dispute over his cousin and Paul uses sharp words towards his benefactor and friend. It is often so that the little irritations of life give occasion to violent explosions. If the
incident in Ga 2:11-21 had already taken place, there was a sore place already that could be easily rubbed. And if Mark also joined with Peter and Barnabas on that occasion, Paul had fresh ground for irritation about him. But there is no way to settle differences about men and we can only agree to disagree as Paul and Barnabas did.

So that they parted asunder from one another ( $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon \alpha \pi \sigma \chi \omega \rho \circ \sigma \theta \eta v \alpha a \cup \tau o v, ~ a \pi$ ' $\alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \omega v)$. Actual result here stated by $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ and the first aorist passive infinitive of $\alpha \pi \sigma \chi \omega \rho!\zeta \omega$, old verb to sever, to separate, here only and Re 6:4 in the N.T. The accusative of general reference (autouc) is normal. For construction with $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ see Robertson, Grammar, pp. 999f.

And Barnabas took Mark with him and sailed away to Cyprus ( $\tau \circ v \tau \varepsilon$ B $\alpha \rho v \alpha \beta \alpha$
 $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ connected by $\tau \varepsilon$. The same participle is used here minus $\sigma \cup v, \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta o \nu \tau \alpha$ (second aorist active). Barnabas and Mark sailed out ( $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha$ from $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ ) from the harbour of Antioch. This is the last glimpse that Luke gives us of Barnabas, one of the noblest figures in the New Testament. Paul has a kindly reference to him in 1Co 9:6. No one can rightly blame Barnabas for giving his cousin John Mark a second chance nor Paul for fearing to risk him again. One's judgment may go with Paul, but one's heart goes with Barnabas. And Mark made good with Barnabas, with Peter (1Pe 5:13) and finally with Paul (Col 4:10; 2Ti 4:11). See my little book on John Mark (Making Good in the Ministry). Paul and Barnabas parted in anger and both in sorrow. Paul owed more to Barnabas than to any other man. Barnabas was leaving the greatest spirit of the time and of all times.

Acts 15:40
Chose ( $\varepsilon \pi \wedge \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. First aorist middle (indirect) participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, choosing for himself, as the successor of Barnabas, not of Mark who had no place in Paul's plans at this time.

Commended ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o \theta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. First aorist passive of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, the same verb employed about Paul and Barnabas ( $14: 26$ ) on their return from the first tour. It is clear now that the sympathy of the church at Antioch is with Paul rather than with Barnabas in the cleavage that has come. The church probably recalled how in the pinch Barnabas flickered and went to the side of Peter and that it was Paul who for the moment stood Paulus contra mundum for Gentile liberty in Christ against the threat of the Judaizers from Jerusalem. Silas had influence in the church in Jerusalem (verse 22) and was apparently a Roman citizen (16:37) also. He is the Silas or Silvanus of the epistles (1Th 1:1; 2Th 1:1; 2Co 1:19; 1Pe 5:12). It is remarkable that Peter mentions both Mark and Silas as with him (1Pe 5:12f.) at the same time.

Acts 15:41
Went through ( $\delta ı \eta \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau 0)$. Imperfect middle. So Paul went forth on his second mission tour with heart-aches and high hopes mingled together.

Syria and Cilicia ( $\tau \eta \nu \sum v \rho ı \alpha \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \nu K ı \lambda \iota \kappa \iota \alpha v$ ). He took the opposite course from the first tour, leaving Cyprus to Barnabas and Mark. Probably Paul had established these churches while in Tarsus after leaving Jerusalem (Ac 9:30; Ga 1:21). Paul would go "by the Gulf of Issus through the Syrian Gates, a narrow road between steep rocks and the sea, and then inland, probably past Tarsus and over Mt. Taurus by the Cilician gates" (Page). This second tour will occupy Luke's story in Acts through 18:22.

## Acts 16

Acts 16:1
And he came also to Derbe and Lystra ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \nu \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \varepsilon ı \varsigma \Delta \varepsilon \rho \beta \eta v \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \Lambda v \sigma \tau \rho \alpha v)$. First aorist active of katav $\tau \alpha \omega$, late verb to come down to, to arrive at. He struck Derbe first of the places in the first tour which was the last city reached then.

Timothy (T $\mu \mathrm{\mu} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varsigma)$. Apparently a native of Lystra ("there," $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon$ ), his Hebrew mother named Eunice and grandmother Lois (2Ti 1:5) and his Greek father's name not known. He may have been a proselyte, but not necessarily so as Timothy was taught the Scriptures by his mother and grandmother (2Ti 3:15), and, if a proselyte, he would have had Timothy circumcised. It is idle to ask if Paul came on purpose to get Timothy to take Mark's place. Probably Timothy was about eighteen years of age, a convert of Paul's former visit a few years before ( $1 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 2$ ) and still young twelve years later (1Ti 4:12). Paul loved him devotedly (1Ti 1:3; 5:23; 2Ti 3:15; Php 2:19f. ). It is a glorious discovery to find a real young preacher for Christ's work.

Acts 16:2
Was well reported of ( $\varepsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon i \tau o$ ). Imperfect passive. It was a continuous witness that was borne the young disciple both in his home town of Lystra and in Derbe. Already he had so borne himself that his gifts and graces for the ministry were recognized. It is a wise precaution that the approval of the local church is necessary for the licensing and the ordaining of a preacher. If God has called a man for the work signs of it will be manifest to others.

Acts 16:3
Him would Paul have to go forth with him ( $\tau 0 \cup \tau o v ~ \eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ o Пau入os $\sigma u v$ aut $\omega$ $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon ı v)$. This one (note emphatic position) Paul wanted (first aorist active indicative of $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$ with temporal augment as if from $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$ the old form). Here was a gifted young man who was both Jew and Greek.

He took and circumcised him ( $\lambda \alpha \beta \omega v \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v a v \tau o v$ ). Any one could perform this rite. Paul had stoutly resisted circumcision in the case of Titus, a pure Greek (Ga 2:3,5), because the whole principle of Gentile liberty was at stake. But Timothy was both Jew and Greek and would continually give offence to the Jews with no advantage to the cause of Gentile freedom. So here for the sake of expediency, "because of the Jews" ( $\delta$ ı $\alpha$ tovs Iovסaıov¢), Paul voluntarily removed this stumbling-block to the ministry of Timothy. Otherwise Timothy could not have been allowed to preach $\ln$ the synagogues. Idem non est semper idem. But Timothy's case was not the case of Titus. Here it was a question of efficient service, not an essential of salvation. Hovey notes that Timothy was circumcised because of Jewish unbelievers, not because of Jewish believers.

Was a Greek (H $\Sigma \lambda \lambda \eta \nu v \pi \eta \rho \rho \chi \varepsilon v)$. Imperfect active in indirect assertion where ordinarily the present $v \pi \alpha \rho \chi \varepsilon$ would be retained, possibly indicating that his father was no longer living.

Acts 16:4
They delivered them ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \iota \delta o \sigma \alpha v$ avtoıऽ). Imperfect active, kept on delivering to them in city after city. This is a proof of Paul's loyalty to the Jerusalem compact (Knowling). The circumcision of Timothy would indicate also that the points involved were under discussion and that Paul felt no inconsistency in what he did.

The decrees ( $\tau \alpha \delta о \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Old word from $\delta$ ок $\omega$, to give an opinion. It is used of public decrees of rulers (Lu 2:1; Ac 17:7), of the requirements of the Mosaic law (Col 2:14 ), and here of the regulations or conclusions of the Jerusalem Conference. Silas was with Paul and his presence gave added dignity to the passing out of the decrees, a charter of Gentile freedom, since he was one of the committee from Jerusalem to Antioch (15:22,27,32 ).

Which had been ordained ( $\tau \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \kappa \rho\lrcorner \mu \varepsilon v \alpha)$. Perfect passive articular participle of $\kappa \rho ı v \omega$, to judge, emphasizing the permanence of the conclusions reached by the apostles and elders in Jerusalem.

For to keep ( $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon เ v)$. This present active infinitive likewise accents that it is a charter of liberty for continual living, not a temporary compromise.

Acts 16:5
Were strengthened ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon o u v \tau 0$ ). Imperfect passive of $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \sigma \omega$, old verb to make firm and solid like the muscles (Ac 3:7,16 ), these three the only examples in the N.T.

Increased ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \rho \circ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v o v)$. Imperfect active of the old and common verb $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v \omega$ from $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \circ \varsigma$ (overplus). The blessing of God was on the work of Paul, Silas, and Timothy in the form of a continuous revival.

Acts 16:6
The region of Phrygia and Galatia ( $\tau \eta \nu \Phi \rho v \gamma \iota \alpha v \kappa \alpha\lceil\alpha \lambda \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \eta \nu \chi \omega \rho \alpha \nu$ ). This is probably the correct text with one article and apparently describes one "Region" or District in The Province of Galatia which was also Phrygian (the old-ethnographic name with which compare the use of Lycaonia in 14:6). Strictly speaking Derbe and Lystra, though in the Province of Galatia, were not Phrygian, and so Luke would here be not resumptive of the record in verses 1-5; but a reference to the country around Iconium and Antioch in Pisidia in North Galatia is not included. This verse is hotly disputed at every point by the advocates of the North Galatian theory as represented by Chase and the South Galatian theory by Ramsay. Whatever is true in regard to the language of Luke here and in 18:23, it is still possible for Paul in Ga 1:2 to use the term Galatia of the whole province of that name which could, in fact, apply to either South or North Galatia or to both. He could, of course, use it also in the ethnographic sense of the real Gauls or Celts who dwelt in North Galatia. Certainly the first tour of Paul and Barnabas was in the Province of Galatia though touching only the Regions
of Pisidia, Phrygia, and Lycaonia, which province included besides the Gauls to the north. In this second tour Lycaonia has been already touched (Derbe and Lystra) and now Phrygia. The question arises why Luke here and in 18:23 adds the term "of Galatia" (Га入а兀ıкпv) though not in 13:14 (Pisidian Antioch) nor in 14:6 (cities of Lycaonia). Does Luke mean to use "of Galatia" in the same ethnographic sense as "of Phrygia" or does he here add the province (Galatia) to the name of the Region (Phrygia)? In itself either view is possible and it really matters very little except that the question is raised whether Paul went into the North Galatian Region on this occasion or later (18:23). He could have done so and the Epistle be addressed to the churches of South Galatia, North Galatia, or the province as a whole. But the Greek participle $\kappa \omega \lambda \nu \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ("having been forbidden") plays a part in the argument that cannot be overlooked whether Luke means to say that Paul went north or not. This aorist passive participle of $\kappa \omega \lambda \nu \omega$, to hinder, can only express simultaneous or antecedent action, not subsequent action as Ramsay argues. No example of the so-called subsequent use of the aorist participle has ever been found in Greek as all Greek grammarians agree (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 860-63, 1112-14). The only natural meaning of $\kappa \omega \lambda \nu \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon$, is that Paul with Silas and Timothy "passed through the region of Phrygia and Galatia" because they were hindered by the Holy Spirit from speaking the word in Asia (the Province of Asia of which Ephesus was the chief city and west of Derbe and Lystra). This construction implies that the country called "the region of Phrygia and Galatia" is not in the direct line west toward Ephesus. What follows in verse 7 throws further light on the point.

Acts 16:7
Over against Mysia ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta \nu$ Mvбıav). This was an ill-defined region rather north and west of Phrygia. The Romans finally absorbed most of it in the Province of Asia.
 imperfect of $\pi \varepsilon เ \rho \alpha \zeta \omega$ and ingressive aorist passive infinitive of $\pi о \rho \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha$. Now Bithynia is northeast of Mysia and north of Galatia (province). Clearly Luke means to say that Paul had, when hindered by the Holy Spirit from going west into Asia, gone north so as to come in front of Bithynia. This journey would take him directly through Phrygia and the North Galatian country (the real Gauls or Celts). This is, to my mind, the strongest argument for the North Galatian view in these verses 6,7 . The grammar and the topography bring Paul right up to Bithynia (north of the old Galatia). It is verses 6,7 that make me pause before accepting the plausible arguments of Ramsay for the South Galatian theory. In itself the problem is nothing like so important or so determinative as he makes it. But shall we smash Luke's grammar to pieces to bolster up a theory of criticism?
 The same Spirit who in verse 6 had forbidden going into Asia now closed the door into Bithynia. This expression occurs nowhere else, but we have the spirit of Christ (Ro 8:9) and
the Spirit of Jesus Christ (Php 1:19). Eı $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$ is first aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \alpha \omega$, old verb to allow.

## Acts 16:8

Passing by Mysia ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \theta o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \eta \nu$ Mvoıav). Literally, passing alongside or skirting Mysia, neglecting it without preaching there. Strictly they passed through part of it to reach Troas.

To Troas ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ T \rho o \iota \alpha \delta \alpha$ ). This city, named Alexandria Troas after Alexander the Great, was the seaport of Mysia, though a Roman colony and not counted as part of either Asia or Bithynia. New Ilium, on the site of the old Troy, was four miles farther north. It was the place to take ship for Philippi. Twice again Paul will be here (2Co 2:12; Ac 20:6 ).

Acts 16:9
A vision (o $\rho \alpha \mu \alpha)$. Old word, eleven times in Acts, once in Mt 17:9. Twice Paul had been hindered by the Holy Spirit from going where he wanted to go. Most men would have gone back home with such rebuffs, but not so Paul. Now the call is positive and not negative, to go "far hence to the Gentiles" ( $22: 21$ ). He had little dreamed of such a call when he left Antioch. Paul's frequent visions always came at real crises in his life.

A man of Macedonia ( $\alpha \nu \eta \rho$ M $\alpha \kappa \varepsilon \delta \omega v$ ). Ramsay follows Renan in the view that this was Luke with whom Paul had conversed about conditions in Macedonia. Verse 10 makes it plain that Luke was now in the party, but when he joined them we do not know. Some hold that Luke lived at Antioch in Syria and came on with Paul and Silas, others that he joined them later in Galatia, others that he appeared now either as Paul's physician or new convert. Ramsay thinks that Philippi was his home at this time. But, whatever is true about Luke, the narrative must not be robbed of its supernatural aspect (10:10; 22:17).

Was standing $(\eta \nu \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \varsigma)$. Second perfect active participle of $\tau \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, intransitive, periphrastic imperfect. Vivid picture.

Help us $(\beta \circ \eta \theta \eta \sigma o v \eta \mu i v)$. Ingressive first aorist active imperative of $\beta \circ \eta \theta \varepsilon \omega(\beta \circ \eta, \theta \varepsilon \omega)$, to run at a cry, to help. The man uses the plural for all including himself. It was the cry of Europe for Christ.

Acts 16:10
We sought ( $\varepsilon \zeta \eta \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). This sudden use of the plural, dropped in 17:1 when Paul leaves Philippi, and resumed in 20:5 when Paul rejoins Luke in Philippi, argues conclusively that Luke, the author, is in the party ("we" portions of Acts) and shows in a writer of such literary skill as Luke that he is not copying a document in a blundering sort of way. Paul told his vision to the party and they were all ready to respond to the call.

Concluding ( $\sigma v \vee \beta \curlywedge \beta \alpha \zeta o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. A very striking word, present active participle of $\sigma v v \beta ı \beta a \zeta \omega$, old verb to make go together, to coalesce or knit together, to make this and that agree and so to conclude. Already in 9:22 of Paul's preaching. This word here gives a good illustration of the proper use of the reason in connection with revelation, to decide whether
it is a revelation from God, to find out what it means for us, and to see that we obey the revelation when understood. God had called them to preach to the Macedonians. They had to go.

Acts 16:11
Setting sail ( $\alpha v \alpha \chi \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Same word in 13:13 which see.
We made a straight course ( $\varepsilon v \theta v \delta \rho o \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v)$. First aorist active indicative of compound verb $\varepsilon v \theta v \delta \rho о \mu \varepsilon \omega$ (in Philo) from adjective $\varepsilon v \theta v \delta \rho o \mu \circ \varsigma$ (in Strabo), running a straight course ( $\varepsilon v \theta v \sigma, \delta \rho o \mu \circ \varsigma)$. In the N.T. only here and 21:1. It is a nautical term for sailing before the wind. Luke has a true feeling for the sea.

To Samothrace ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \Sigma \Omega \mu$ ораıкŋv). A small island in the Aegean about halfway between Troas and Neapolis.

The day following ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \pi \ldots \circ \sigma \sigma \eta$ ). Locative case of time with $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ (day) to be supplied (7:26;20:15; $21: 18 ; 23: 11$ ). With adverse winds it took five days to make the run of 125 miles ( 20:6).

To Neapolis ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon a v$ Mo $\lambda ı v$ ). To New Town (Newton, Naples, Neapolis). The port of Philippi ten miles distant, Thracian, but reckoned as Macedonian after Vespasian.

Acts 16:12
To Philippi ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ Ф ı \wedge л \pi \sigma \cup \varsigma)$. The plural like $A \theta \eta v a$ (Athens) is probably due to separate sections of the city united (Winer-Moulton, Grammar, p. 220). The city (ancient name Krenides or Wells) was renamed after himself by Philip, the father of Alexander the Great. It was situated about a mile east of the small stream Gangites which flows into the river Strymon some thirty miles away. In this valley the Battle of Philippi was fought B.C. 42 between the Second Triumvirate (Octavius, Antonius, Lepidus) and Brutus and Cassius. In memory of the victory Octavius made it a colony ( $\kappa 0 \lambda \omega \nu / \alpha$ ) with all the privileges of Roman citizenship, such as freedom from scourging, freedom from arrest save in extreme cases, and the right of appeal to the emperor. This Latin word occurs here alone in the N.T. Octavius planted here a colony of Roman veterans with farms attached, a military outpost and a miniature of Rome itself. The language was Latin. Here Paul is face to face with the Roman power and empire in a new sense. He was a new Alexander, come from Asia to conquer Europe for Christ, a new Caesar to build the Kingdom of Christ on the work of Alexander and Caesar. One need not think that Paul was conscious of all that was involved in destiny for the world. Philippi was on the Egnatian Way, one of the great Roman roads, that ran from here to Dyrrachium on the shores of the Adriatic, a road that linked the east with the west.

The first of the district ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \eta \tau \eta \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \rho เ \delta o \varsigma)$. Philippi was not the first city of Macedonia nor does Luke say so. That honour belonged to Thessalonica and even Amphipolis was larger than Philippi. It is not clear whether by $\mu \varepsilon \rho ı \varsigma$ Luke means a formal division of the province, though the Koine has examples of this geographical sense (papyri). There is no
article with $\pi \rho \omega \tau \eta$ and Luke may not mean to stress unduly the position of Philippi in comparison with Amphipolis. But it was certainly a leading city of this district of Macedonia.

We were tarrying ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon v \delta \iota \alpha \tau \rho(\beta) \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Periphrastic imperfect active.
Acts 16:13
By a river side ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi о \tau \alpha \mu \circ v$ ). The little river Gangites (or Gargites) was one mile west of the town. Philippi as a military outpost had few Jews. There was evidently no synagogue inside the city, but "without the gates" $(\varepsilon \xi \omega \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \nu \lambda \eta \varsigma)$ they had noticed an enclosure "where we supposed" (ov $\varepsilon v o \mu \iota \zeta \rho \mu \varepsilon v$, correct text, imperfect active), probably as they came into the city, "was a place of prayer" ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \chi \cup \chi \eta \nu \varepsilon ו v \alpha$ ). Infinitive with accusative of general reference in indirect discourse. Пробєuर $\eta$ is common in the LXX and the N.T. for the act of prayer as in Ac 2:42 then for a place of prayer either a synagogue (III Macc. 7:20) or more often an open air enclosure near the sea or a river where there was water for ceremonial ablutions. The word occurs also in heathen writers for a place of prayer (Schurer, Jewish People, Div. II, Vol. II, p. 69, Engl. Tr.). Deissmann (Bible Studies, p. 222) quotes an Egyptian inscription of the third century B.C. with this sense of the word and one from Panticapaeum on the Black Sea of the first century A.D. (Light from the Ancient East, p. 102). Juvenal (III. 296) has a sneering reference to the Jewish $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon u \chi$. Josephus (Ant. XIV. 10, 23) quotes a decree of Halicarnassus which allowed the Jews "to make their prayers ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \alpha \varsigma)$ on the seashore according to the custom of their fathers." There was a synagogue in Thessalonica, but apparently none in Amphipolis and Apollonia (Ac 17:1). The rule of the rabbis required ten men to constitute a synagogue, but here were gathered only a group of women at the hour of prayer. In pioneer days in this country it was a common thing to preach under bush arbours in the open air. John Wesley and George Whitfield were great open air preachers. Paul did not have an inspiring beginning for his work in Europe, but he took hold where he could. The conjecture was correct. It was a place of prayer, but only a bunch of women had come together ( $\tau \alpha ı \varsigma ~ \sigma u v \varepsilon \lambda \theta$ ovoaıs $\gamma \cup v a ı \xi \imath v$ ), excuse enough for not preaching to some preachers, but not to Paul and his party. The "man of Macedonia" turned out to be a group of women (Furneaux). Macedonian inscriptions show greater freedom for women in Macedonia than elsewhere at this time and confirm Luke's story of the activities of women in Philippi, Thessalonica, Berea.

We sat down and spake (каӨเซаvтєऽ $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda o u \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Having taken our seats (aorist active participle of $\kappa \alpha \theta \iota \zeta \omega)$ we began to speak or preach (inchoative imperfect of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, often used for preaching). Sitting was the Jewish attitude for public speaking. It was not mere conversation, but more likely conversational preaching of an historical and expository character. Luke's use of the first person plural implies that each of the four (Paul, Silas, Timothy, Luke) preached in turn, with Paul as chief speaker.

Acts 16:14

Lydia ( $\Lambda v \delta \delta \alpha$ ). Her birthplace was Thyatira in Lydia. She may have been named after the land, though Lydia is a common female name (see Horace). Lydia was itself a Macedonian colony (Strabo, XIII. 4). Thyatira (note plural form like Philippi and one of the seven churches of Asia here Re 2:18) was famous for its purple dyes as old as Homer (Iliad, IV. 141) and had a guild of dyers (o $\beta \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$ as inscriptions show.

A seller of purple ( $\pi о \rho \varphi \cup \rho о \pi \omega \lambda \iota \varsigma)$. A female seller of purple fabrics ( $\pi о \rho \varphi \cup \rho \alpha, \pi \omega \lambda \iota \varsigma)$. Late word, masculine form in an inscription. There was a great demand for this fabric as it was used on the official toga at Rome and in Roman colonies. We still use the term "royal purple." See on Lu 16:19. Evidently Lydia was a woman of some means to carry on such an important enterprise from her native city. She may have been a freed-woman, since racial names were often borne by slaves.

One that worshipped God ( $\sigma \varepsilon \beta \circ \mu \varepsilon v \eta$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). A God-fearer or proselyte of the gate. There was a Jewish settlement in Thyatira which was especially interested in the dyeing industry. She probably became a proselyte there. Whether this was true of the other women we do not know. They may have been Jewesses or proselytes like Lydia, probably all of them employees of hers in her business. When Paul writes to the Philippians he does not mention Lydia who may have died meanwhile and who certainly was not Paul's wife. She was wealthy and probably a widow.

Heard us ( $\eta$ коvev). Imperfect active of $\alpha \kappa \circ \omega \omega$, was listening, really listening and she kept it up, listening to each of these new and strange preachers.

Opened ( $\delta ı \eta \nu o \iota \xi \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\delta \iota a v o \imath \gamma \omega$, old word, double compound ( $\delta \iota \alpha, \alpha v \alpha, o \not \gamma \omega)$ to open up wide or completely like a folding door (both sides, $\delta \iota \alpha$, two). Only the Lord could do that. Jesus had opened (the same verb) the mind of the disciples to understand the Scriptures (Lu 24:45).

To give heed ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon เ v$ ). To hold the mind ( $\tau 0 v$ vouv understood), present active infinitive. She kept her mind centred on the things spoken by Paul whose words gripped her attention. She rightly perceived that Paul was the foremost one of the group. He had personal magnetism and power of intellect that the Spirit of God used to win the heart of this remarkable woman to Christ. It was worth coming to Philippi to win this fine personality to the Kingdom of God. She will be the chief spirit in this church that will give Paul more joy and co-operation than any of his churches. It is not stated that she was converted on the first Sabbath, though this may have been the case. "One solitary convert, a woman, and she already a seeker after God, and a native of that very Asia where they had been forbidden to preach" (Furneaux). But a new era had dawned for Europe and for women in the conversion of Lydia.

Acts 16:15

And when she was baptized ( $\omega \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \zeta \omega$. The river Gangites was handy for the ordinance and she had now been converted and was ready to make this public declaration of her faith in Jesus Christ.

And her household (ка о оккоц autпऽ). Who constituted her "household"? The term oкко $\varsigma$, originally means the building as below, "into my house" and then it includes the inmates of a house. There is nothing here to show whether Lydia's "household" went beyond "the women" employed by her who like her had heard the preaching of Paul and had believed. "Possibly Euodia and Syntyche and the other women, Php 4:2,3 , may have been included in the family of Lydia, who may have employed many slaves and freed women in her trade" (Knowling). "This statement cannot be claimed as any argument for infant baptism, since the Greek word may mean her servants or her work-people" (Furneaux). In the household baptisms (Cornelius, Lydia, the jailor, Crispus) one sees "infants" or not according to his predilections or preferences.

If ye have judged me ( $\varepsilon$ кєкрıкат $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). Condition of the first class, assumed to be true ( $\varepsilon$ and the indicative, here perfect active of $\kappa \rho / v \omega$ ). She had confessed her faith and submitted to baptism as proof that she was "faithful to the Lord" ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \nu \tau \omega \kappa \nu \rho เ \omega)$, believing on the Lord. "If she was fit for that, surely she was fit to be their hostess" (Furneaux). And Paul and his party had clearly no comfortable place to stay while in Philippi. The ancient hotels or inns were abominable. Evidently Paul demurred for there were four of them and he did not wish to sacrifice his independence or be a burden even to a woman of wealth.

And she constrained us ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \beta ı \alpha \sigma \alpha \tau о ~ \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma) . ~ E f f e c t i v e ~ f i r s t ~ a o r i s t ~ m i d d l e ~ o f ~$ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta ı \alpha \zeta_{o \mu \alpha}$, late word, in the N.T. only here and Lu 24:29. Some moral force ( $\beta \mathrm{L} \alpha$ ) or hospitable persuasion was required (cf. 1Sa 28:23 ), but Lydia had her way as women usually do. So he accepted Lydia's hospitality in Philippi, though he worked for his own living in Thessalonica (2Th 3:8) and elsewhere (2Co 11:9). So far only women have been won to Christ in Philippi. The use of "us" shows that Luke was not a householder in Philippi.

Acts 16:16
A spirit of divination ( $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \pi \nu \theta \omega v \alpha$ ). So the correct text with accusative (apparition, a spirit, a python), not the genitive ( $\pi v \theta \omega v o \varsigma)$. Hesychius defines it as $\delta a \iota \mu o v o v \mu \alpha v ı \sigma о$ (a spirit of divination). The etymology of the word is unknown. Bengel suggests $\pi v \theta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ from $\pi v v \theta a v o \mu \alpha$, to inquire. Python was the name given to the serpent that kept guard at
 termed Pythia. Certainly Luke does not mean to credit Apollo with a real existence (1Co 8:4 ). But Plutarch (A.D. $50-100$ ) says that the term $\pi v \theta \omega v \varepsilon \varsigma$ was applied to ventriloquists $(\varepsilon \gamma \gamma a \sigma \tau \rho \mu \nu \theta o)$. In the LXX those with familiar spirits are called by this word ventriloquists (Le 19:31; 20:6,27 , including the witch of Endor 1Sa 28:7 ). It is possible that this slave girl had this gift of prophecy "by soothsaying" ( $\mu \alpha v \tau \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v \eta$ ). Present middle participle of $\mu \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha$, old heathen word (in contrast with $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon \cup \omega$ ) for acting the seer ( $\mu \alpha \nu \tau \iota \varsigma)$ and
this kin to $\mu$ aıvo $\mu$ a, to be mad, like the howling dervishes of later times. This is the so-called instrumental use of the circumstantial participles.

Brought ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \downarrow \chi \varepsilon v)$. Imperfect active of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$, a steady source of income.
Much gain ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha v \pi o \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ ). Work, business, from $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta \rho \mu \alpha$, to work.
Her masters ( $\tau$ оцऽ кирเoı̧ $\alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Dative case. Joint owners of this poor slave girl who were exploiting her calamity, whatever it was, for selfish gain, just as men and women today exploit girls and women in the "white slave" trade. As a fortune-teller she was a valuable asset for all the credulous dupes of the community. Simon Magus in Samaria and Elymas Barjesus in Cyprus had won power and wealth as soothsayers.

Acts 16:17
 the Supreme Being. It looks like supernatural testimony like that borne by the demoniacs to Jesus as "son of the Most High God" (Lu 8:28 . Cf; also Mr 1:24; 3:11; Mt 8:29; Lu 4:41, etc.). She may have heard Paul preach about Jesus as the way of salvation.

The way of salvation (o $\delta o v \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho ı \alpha \varsigma)$. A way of salvation, strictly speaking (no article). There were many "ways of salvation" offered to men then as now.

Acts 16:18
She did ( $\varepsilon \pi \sigma t \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active, kept it up for many days. The strange conduct gave Paul and the rest an unpleasant prominence in the community.

Being sore troubled ( $\delta \iota \alpha \pi 0 \vee \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. First aorist passive of $\delta \iota \alpha \pi o v \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to work laboriously, then in passive to be "worked up," displeased, worn out. In the N.T. only here and 4:2 which see (there of the Sadducees about Peter's preaching). Paul was grieved, annoyed, indignant. He wanted no testimony from a source like this any more than he did the homage of the people of Lystra ( 14:14).

That very hour ( $\alpha \cup \tau \eta \tau \eta \omega \rho \alpha$ ). Locative case of time and familiar Lukan idiom in his Gospel, "at the hour itself." The cure was instantaneous. Paul, like Jesus, distinguished between the demon and the individual.

Acts 16:19
Was gone $(\varepsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v)$. Was gone out of the slave girl, second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. "The two most important social revolutions worked by Christianity have been the elevation of woman and the abolition of slavery" (Furneaux). Both are illustrated here (Lydia and this slave girl). "The most sensitive part of 'civilized' man is the pocket" (Ramsay).

Laid hold on ( $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta o \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Second aorist middle participle of $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$ as in 9:27; 17:19, but here with hostile intent.

Dragged ( $\varepsilon \iota \lambda \kappa v \sigma \alpha v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \lambda \kappa \nu \omega$, late form of the old verb $\varepsilon \lambda \kappa \omega$ (also in Jas 2:6 ) to draw as a sword, and then to drag one forcibly as here and 21:30. It is also used of spiritual drawing as by Jesus in Joh 12:32 . Here it is by violence.

Into the marketplace ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau \eta \sim \gamma \circ \rho \alpha v$ ). Into the Roman forum near which would be the courts of law as in our courthouse square, as in 17:17. Marketing went on also ( Mr 7:4 ), when the crowds collect ( $\operatorname{Mr} 6: 56$ ), from $\alpha \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$, to collect or gather.

Unto the rulers ( $\varepsilon \pi$ тovৎ $\alpha \rho \chi$ оvтаৎ). General Greek term for "the magistrates."
Acts 16:20
Unto the magistrates ( $\tau$ оıৎ $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \circ \iota \varsigma)$. Greek term ( $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau o \sigma, \alpha \gamma \omega$ ) for leader of an army or general. But in civic life a governor. The technical name for the magistrates in a Roman colony was duumviri or duumvirs, answering to consuls in Rome. $\Sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma$ here is the Greek rendering of the Latin praetores (praetors), a term which they preferred out of pride to the term duumviri. Since they represented consuls, the praetors or duumvirs were accompanied by lictors bearing rods (verse 35 ).

These men (ovto o av $\theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$ ). Contemptuous use.
Being Jews (Iovסaıo vтapðоvtec). The people of Philippi, unlike those in Antioch ( 11:26), did not recognize any distinction between Jews and Christians. These four men were Jews. This appeal to race prejudice would be especially pertinent then because of the recent decree of Claudius expelling Jews from Rome (18:2). It was about A.D. 49 or 50 that Paul is in Philippi. The hatred of the Jews by the Romans is known otherwise (Cicero, Pro Flacco, XXVIII; Juvenal, XIV. 96-106).

Do exceedingly trouble ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \sigma v \sigma \iota v)$. Late compound (effective use of $\varepsilon \kappa$ in composition) and only here in the N.T.

Acts 16:21
Customs which it is not lawful for us to receive, or to observe, being Romans ( $\varepsilon \theta \eta$ $\alpha$ оик $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \eta \mu \nu \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ои $\delta \varepsilon$ тоוєı $\mathrm{P} \omega \mu \alpha \iota \circ \iota$ ovбıv). Note the sharp contrast between "being Jews" in verse 20 and "being Romans" here. This pose of patriotism is all sound and fury. It is love of money that moves these "masters" far more than zeal for Rome. As Roman citizens in a colony they make full use of all their rights of protest. Judaism was a religio licita in the Roman empire, only they were not allowed to make proselytes of the Romans themselves. No Roman magistrate would pass on abstract theological questions ( $18: 15$ ), but only if a breach of the peace was made ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \sigma v \sigma \iota \nu ~ \eta \mu \omega \nu \tau \eta \nu \pi \sigma \lambda \iota \nu$ ) or the formation of secret sects and organizations. Evidently both of these last points are involved by the charges of "unlawful customs" by the masters who are silent about their real ground of grievance against Paul and Silas. E $\theta$ oc (kin to $\eta \theta$ oc, 1 Co $15: 33$ ) is from $\varepsilon \theta \omega$, to be accustomed or used to a thing. The Romans granted toleration to conquered nations to follow their religious customs provided they did not try to win the Romans. But the Jews had made great headway to favour (the God-fearers) with increasing hatred also. Emperor worship had in store grave peril for both Jews and Christians. The Romans will care more for this than for the old gods and goddesses. It will combine patriotism and piety.

Acts 16:22

Rose up together ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta)$. Second aorist (ingressive) active of the double compound $\sigma 0 v \varepsilon \varphi / \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, intransitive, old verb, but only here in the N.T. (cf. катєпєбтๆ $\bar{\sigma} v$ in 18:12). There was no actual attack of the mob as Paul and Silas were in the hands of the officers, but a sudden and violent uprising of the people, the appeal to race and national prejudice having raised a ferment.

Rent their garments off them ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \rho \eta \xi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \alpha \not \mu \alpha \tau \iota \alpha$ ). First aorist active participle of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \rho \eta \gamma v v \mu$, old verb, to break off all around, to strip or rend all round. Here only in the N.T. The duumvirs probably gave orders for Paul and Silas to be stripped of their outer garments ( $\mu \alpha \tau \iota \alpha$ ), though not actually doing it with their own hands, least of all not stripping off their own garments in horror as Ramsay thinks. That would call for the middle voice. In II Macc. 4:38 the active voice is used as here of stripping off the garments of others. Paul in 1Th 2:2 refers to the shameful treatment received in Philippi, "insulted" (vßpıo日vvtac). As a Roman citizen this was unlawful, but the duumvirs looked on Paul and Silas as vagabond and seditious Jews and "acted with the highhandedness characteristic of the fussy provincial authorities" (Knowling).

Commanded ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v o v)$ ). Imperfect active, repeatedly ordered. The usual formula of command was: "Go, lictors; strip off their garments; let them be scourged."

To beat them with rods ( $\rho \alpha \beta \delta \iota \zeta \varepsilon \iota)$ ). Present active infinitive of $\rho \alpha \beta \delta \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb, but in the N.T.=virgis caedere only here and 2Co 11:25 where Paul alludes to this incident and two others not given by Luke ( $\tau \rho \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \rho \alpha \beta \delta \iota \sigma \theta \eta v)$. He came near getting another in Jerusalem (Ac 22:25 ). Why did not Paul say here that he was a Roman citizen as he does later (verse 37) and in Jerusalem (22:26f. )? It might have done no good in this hubbub and no opportunity was allowed for defence of any kind.

Acts 16:23
When they had laid ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Second aorist (constative) active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, to place upon.

Many stripes ( $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \lambda \alpha \varsigma \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \alpha \varsigma)$. The Jewish law was forty stripes save one (2Co 11:24 ). The Roman custom depended on the caprice of the judge and was a terrible ordeal. It was the custom to inflict the stripes on the naked body (back) as Livy 2.5 says: "Missique lictores ad sumendum supplicium, nudatos virgis caedunt." On $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma$ ¢ (from $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma \omega$, to strike a blow) see on Lu 10:30; 12:47f.

The jailor ( $\tau \omega \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa$ ). Late word ( $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ \sigma, \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \xi$, keeper of bonds), in the N.T. only here (verses 23,27,36). The LXX has the word $\alpha \rho \chi เ \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \xi$ (Ge 39:21-23 ). Chrysostom calls this jailor Stephanus, he was of Achaia (1Co 16:15).

To keep safely ( $\alpha \sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon เ v)$. Present active infinitive, to keep on keeping safely, perhaps "as dangerous political prisoners" (Rackham). He had some rank and was not a mere turnkey.

Acts 16:24

Into the inner prison ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau \nu \varepsilon \sigma \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta \nu$ ). The comparative form from the adverb $\varepsilon \sigma \omega$ (within), Ionic and old Attic for $\varepsilon เ \sigma \omega$. In the LXX, but in the N.T. only here and Heb 6:19. The Roman public prisons had a vestibule and outer prison and behind this the inner prison, a veritable dungeon with no light or air save what came through the door when open. One has only to picture modern cells in our jails, the dungeons in feudal castles, London prisons before the time of Howard, to appreciate the horrors of an inner prison cell in a Roman provincial town of the first century A.D.

Made their feet fast ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma ~ \pi о \delta \alpha \varsigma ~ \eta \sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda เ \sigma \alpha \tau о ~ \alpha u \tau \omega v)$ ). First aorist (effective) middle of $\alpha \sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda_{\iota} \zeta \omega$, from $\alpha \sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \zeta$ (safe), common verb in late Greek, in the N.T. only here and Mt 24:64f. . The inner prison was safe enough without this refinement of cruelty.

In the stocks ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ \xi \cup \lambda o v) . ~ \Xi u \lambda o v$, from $\xi v \omega$, to scrape or plane, is used for a piece of wood whether a cross or gibbet (Ac 5:30; 10:39; 13:29; $\mathrm{Ga} 3: 13 ; 1 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 24$ ) or a log or timber with five holes (four for the wrists and ankles and one for the neck) or two for the feet as here, $\xi \cup \lambda о \pi \varepsilon \delta \eta$, Latin vervus, to shackle the feet stretched apart (Job 33:11 ). This torment was practiced in Sparta, Athens, Rome, and Adonirom Judson suffered it in Burmah. $\Xi u$ uov is also used in the N.T. for stick or staff (Mt 26:47) and even a tree (Lu 23:31). Tertullian said of Christians in the stocks: Nihil crus sentit in vervo, quum animus in caelo est (Nothing the limb feels in the stocks when the mind is in heaven).

Acts 16:25
About midnight ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \sigma о v \cup \kappa \tau \iota \circ v)$. Middle of the night, old adjective seen already in $\mathrm{Mr} 13: 35$; Lu 11:5 which see.

Were praying and singing ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon u \chi o \mu \varepsilon v o ~ v \mu v o v v$ ). Present middle participle and imperfect active indicative: Praying they were singing (simultaneously, blending together petition and praise). H $\nu \mu \nu \varepsilon \omega$ is an old verb from $v \mu \nu o \varsigma$ (cf. Isa 12:4; Da 3:23 ). Paul and Silas probably used portions of the Psalms (cf. Lu 1:39f.,67f.; 2:28f. ) with occasional original outbursts of praise.

Were listening to them ( $\varepsilon \pi \eta \kappa \rho \circ \omega v \tau \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Imperfect middle of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \kappa \rho о \alpha о \mu \alpha$. Rare verb to listen with pleasure as to a recitation or music (Page). It was a new experience for the prisoners and wondrously attractive entertainment to them.

Acts 16:26
Earthquake ( $\sigma \varepsilon \iota \sigma \mu \varsigma)$. Old word from $\sigma \varepsilon \iota \omega$, to shake. Luke regarded it as an answer to prayer as in 4:31. He and Timothy were not in prison.

So that the foundations of the prison house were shaken ( $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \eta v \alpha \tau \alpha$ $\theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \iota \alpha$ tov $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \omega \tau \eta \rho \circ v)$. Regular construction of the first aorist passive infinitive and the accusative of general reference with $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ for actual result just like the indicative. This old word for prison house already in Mt 11:2; Ac 5:21,23 which see. $\Theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \iota \alpha$ is neuter plural of the adjective $\theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota o}$, from $\theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha$ (thing laid down from $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$ ). So already in $\operatorname{Lu}$ 6:48; 14:29. If the prison
was excavated from rocks in the hillside, as was often the case, the earthquake would easily have slipped the bars of the doors loose and the chains would have fallen out of the walls.

Were opened ( $\eta v \varepsilon \omega \iota \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\alpha v o t \gamma \omega$ (or $-\nu v \mu$ ) with triple augment $(\eta, \varepsilon, \omega)$, while there is no augment in $\alpha \nu \varepsilon \theta \eta$ (first aorist passive indicative of $\alpha v ı \eta \mu$, were loosed), old verb, but in the N.T. only here and 27:40; Eph 6:9; Heb 13:5 .

Acts 16:27
Being roused out of sleep ( $\varepsilon \xi \cup \pi \nu \circ \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma)$. Becoming $\varepsilon \xi v \pi \nu \circ \varsigma$ (rare word, only here in N.T., in LXX and Josephus). An earthquake like that would wake up any one.

Open ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \omega 1 \gamma \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle with double reduplication in predicate position, standing open.

Drew his sword ( $\sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu o \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \mu \alpha \chi \alpha \iota \rho \nu)$. First aorist middle participle of $\sigma \pi \alpha \omega$, to draw, as in Mr 14:47, drawing his own sword himself. Our word spasm from this old word.

Was about ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active of $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ with both syllabic and temporal augment and followed here by present infinitive. He was on the point of committing suicide as Brutus had done near here. Stoicism had made suicide popular as the escape from trouble like the Japanese harikari.

Had escaped ( $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon v \gamma \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Second perfect active infinitive of $\varepsilon \kappa \varphi \varepsilon v \gamma \omega$, old verb with perfective force of $\varepsilon \kappa$, to flee out, to get clean away. This infinitive and accusative of general reference is due to indirect discourse after vo $\mu \iota \zeta \omega v$. Probably the prisoners were so panic stricken by the earthquake that they did not rally to the possibility of escape before the jailor awoke. He was responsible for the prisoners with his life (12:19; 27:42).

Acts 16:28
Do thyself no harm ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \pi \rho \alpha \xi \eta ı \varsigma \sigma \varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \omega \kappa \alpha \kappa \sigma v$ ). The usual construction ( $\mu \eta$ and the aorist subjunctive) for a prohibition not to
begin to do a thing. The older Greek would probably have used $\pi$ oı $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\eta} ı$ here. The later Greek does not always preserve the old distinction between $\pi \sigma เ \varepsilon \omega$, to do a thing, and $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, to practice, though $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ keeps it in Php 4:9 and $\pi o เ \varepsilon \omega$ is rightly used in Lu 3:10-14. As a matter of fact $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ does not occur in Matthew or in Mark, only twice in John, six times in Luke's Gospel, thirteen in Acts, and elsewhere by Paul.

Sprang in $(\varepsilon เ \sigma \varepsilon \pi \eta \delta \eta \sigma \varepsilon v)$. First aorist active of $\varepsilon \iota \sigma \pi \eta \delta \alpha \omega$, old verb, but here only in the N.T. Cf. $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \eta \delta \alpha \omega$ in 14:14. The jailor was at the outer door and he wanted lights to see what was inside in the inner prison.

Acts 16:29
Trembling for fear ( $\varepsilon v \tau \rho о \mu \circ \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon v \circ \mu \varepsilon v \circ \varsigma)$. "Becoming terrified." The adjective $\varepsilon v \tau \rho \circ \mu \circ \varsigma$ (in terror) occurs in N.T. only here and 7:32; Heb 12:21 .

Fell down ( $\pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, old verb. An act of worship as Cornelius before Peter (10:25), when $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \kappa v \nu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ is used.

## Acts 16:30

Brought them out ( $\pi \rho \sigma \gamma \alpha \gamma \omega \nu \alpha v \tau o v \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \omega$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\pi \rho \circ \alpha \gamma \omega$, to lead forward. He left the other prisoners inside, feeling that he had to deal with these men whom he had evidently heard preach or had heard of their message as servants of the Most High God as the slave girl called them. There may have been superstition behind his fear, but there was evident sincerity.

Acts 16:31
To be saved ( $\imath \propto \alpha \omega \theta \omega$ ). Final clause with $\iota v \alpha$ and first aorist passive subjunctive. What did he mean by "saved"? Certainly more than escape from peril about the prisoners or because of the earthquake, though these had their influences on him. Cf. way of salvation in verse 17.

Believe on the Lord Jesus (Пıбєєvoov $\varepsilon \pi$ тov ки $\llcorner\circ v$ I $\eta \sigma o v v$ ). This is what Peter told Cornelius ( $10: 43$ ). This is the heart of the matter for both the jailor and his house.

Acts 16:32
They spake the word of God ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha v$ tov $\lambda o \gamma o v$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v)$. So Paul and Silas gave fuller exposition of the way of life to the jailor "with all that were in his house." It was a remarkable service with keenest attention and interest, the jailor with his warden, slaves, and family.

Acts 16:33
Washed their stripes ( $\varepsilon \lambda o v \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ a $\pi 0 \tau \omega \nu \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \omega \nu$ ). Deissmann (Bible Studies, p. 227) cites an inscription of Pergamum with this very construction of a $\pi$ o and the ablative, to wash off, though it is an old verb. This first aorist active indicative of $\lambda o v \omega$, to bathe, succinctly shows what the jailor did to remove the stains left by the rods of the lictors (verse 22). Nı $\pi \tau \omega$ was used for washing parts of the body.

And was baptized, he and all his, immediately ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta \alpha \nu \tau о \varsigma \kappa \alpha$ о $\alpha \cup \tau о v$ $\alpha \pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha)$. The verb is in the singular agreeing with $\alpha v \tau \circ \varsigma$, but it is to be supplied with o avtov, and it was done at once.

Acts 16:34
He brought them up ( $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \omega v$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \omega$. It looks as if his house was above the prison. The baptism apparently took place in the pool or tank in which he bathed Paul and Silas (De Wette) or the rectangular basin (impluvium) in the court for receiving the rain or even in a swimming pool or bath ( $\kappa \sigma \lambda \nu \mu \beta \eta \theta \rho \alpha)$ found within the walls of the prison (Kuinoel). Meyer: "Perhaps the water was in the court of the house; and the baptism was that of immersion, which formed an essential part of the symbolism of the act."

Set meat ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta \kappa \varepsilon \nu \tau \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \zeta \alpha v)$. Set a "table" before them with food on it. They had probably had no food for a day.

With all his house ( $\pi \alpha v o ו \kappa \varepsilon$ ). Adverb, once in Plato, though usually $\pi \alpha v o \ldots k ı$. In LXX, but here alone in the N.T. It is in an amphibolous position and can be taken either with "rejoiced" ( $\eta \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda ı \alpha \sigma \alpha \tau 0)$ or "having believed" ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \nu \kappa \omega \varsigma$, perfect active participle, permanent belief), coming between them. The whole household (family, warden, slaves) heard the word of God, believed in the Lord Jesus, made confession, were baptized, and rejoiced. Furneaux considers the haste in baptism here "precipitate" as in the baptism of the eunuch. But why delay?

Acts 16:35
The serjeants ( $\tau$ ous $\rho \alpha \beta \delta o u \chi o u \varsigma)$. Fasces-bearers, regular Greek word ( $\rho \alpha \beta \delta o \sigma, \varepsilon \chi \omega$ ) for Latin lictores though Cicero says that they should carry baculi, not fasces. Was this message because of the earthquake, the influence of Lydia, or a belated sense of justice on the part of the magistrates (praetors)? Perhaps a bit of all three may be true. The Codex Bezae expressly says that the magistrates "assembled together in the market place and recollecting the earthquake that had happened they were afraid."

Acts 16:36
Now therefore ( $v v \vee o v v$ ). Note both particles (time and inference). It was a simple matter to the jailor and he was full of glee over this happy outcome.

## Acts 16:37

Unto them ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ avtous). The lictors by the jailor. The reply of Paul is a marvel of brevity and energy, almost every word has a separate indictment showing the utter illegality of the whole proceeding.

They have beaten us ( $\delta \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). First aorist active participle of $\delta \varepsilon \rho \omega$, old verb to flay, to skin, to smite. The Lex Valeria B.C. 509 and the Lex Poscia B.C. 248 made it a crime to inflict blows on a Roman citizen. Cicero says, "To fetter a Roman citizen was a crime, to scourge him a scandal, to slay him--parricide." Claudius had "deprived the city of Rhodes of its freedom for having crucified some citizen of Rome" (Rackham).

Publicly ( $\delta \eta \mu \circ \sigma \iota \alpha$ ). This added insult to injury. Common adverb (o $\delta \omega$ ) supplied with adjective, associative instrumental case, opposed to ı $\delta$ ı or кат' oเкои¢, Ac 20:20 )

Uncondemned (акатакрıтоис). This same verbal adjective from ката-крıv $\omega$ with $\alpha$ privative is used by Paul in 22:25 and nowhere else in the N.T. Rare in late Greek like акатаүvшбтоऽ, but in late Koine (papyri, inscriptions). The meaning is clearly "without being tried." Paul and Silas were not given a chance to make a defence. They were sentenced unheard ( $25: 16$ ). Even slaves in Roman law had a right to be heard.

Men that are Romans ( $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ оия Pouaıov¢ vт $\alpha \rho \chi о v \tau \alpha \varsigma$ ). The praetors did not know, of course, that Paul and Silas were Roman citizens any more than Lysias knew it in Ac 22:27 . Paul's claim is not challenged in either instance. It was a capital offence to make a false claim to Roman citizenship.

Have cast us into prison ( $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \alpha \nu \varepsilon ı \varsigma \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta \nu)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, old verb, with first aorist ending as often in the Koine ( $-\alpha v$, not $-o v$ ). This was the climax, treating them as criminals.

And now privily ( $\kappa \alpha v v \nu \lambda \alpha \theta \rho \alpha$ ). Paul balances their recent conduct with the former.
Nay verily, but (ov $\gamma \alpha \rho, \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ). No indeed! It is the use of $\gamma \alpha \rho$ so common in answers $(\gamma \varepsilon+\alpha \rho \alpha)$ as in Mt 27:23. A $\lambda \lambda \alpha$ gives the sharp alternative.

Themselves (avto). As a public acknowledgment that they had wronged and mistreated Paul and Silas. Let them come themselves and lead us out ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \tau \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$, third person plural second aorist active imperative of $\varepsilon \xi a \gamma \omega)$. It was a bitter pill to the proud praetors.

Acts 16:39
They feared $(\varepsilon \varphi \rho \beta \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. This is the explanation. They became frightened for their own lives when they saw what they had done to Roman citizens.

They asked ( $\eta \rho \omega \tau \omega v$ ). Imperfect active of $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \omega$. They kept on begging them to leave for fear of further trouble. The colonists in Philippi would turn against the praetors if they learned the facts, proud as they were of being citizens. This verb in the Koine is often used as here to make a request and not just to ask a question.

Acts 16:40
Into the house of Lydia ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \Lambda \nu \delta \iota \alpha \nu$ ). No word in the Greek for "house," but it means the house of Lydia. Note "the brethren" here, not merely Luke and Timothy, but other brethren now converted besides those in the house of the jailor. The four missionaries were guests of Lydia (verse 15) and probably the church now met in her home.

They departed $(\varepsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta \alpha v)$. Paul and Silas, but not Luke and Timothy. Note "they" here, not "we." Note also the - $\alpha v$ ending instead of -ov as above. The movements of Timothy are not perfectly clear till he reappears at Beroea (17:15). It seems unlikely that he came to Thessalonica with Paul and Silas since only Paul and Silas obtained security there (17:9) and were sent on to Beroea ( $17: 10$ ). Probably Timothy was sent to Thessalonica from Philippi with gifts of which Paul spoke later (Php 4:15f. ). Then he followed Paul and Silas to Beroea.

## Acts 17

Acts 17:1
When they had passed through ( $\delta$ ıo $\delta \varepsilon v \sigma a v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\delta ı o \delta \varepsilon v \omega$, common verb in the Koine (Polybius, Plutarch, LXX, etc.), but in the N.T. only here and Lu 8:1. It means literally to make one's way (odoc) through ( $\delta(\alpha)$. They took the Egnatian Way, one of the great Roman roads from Byzantium to Dyrrachium (over 500 miles long) on the Adriatic Sea, opposite Brundisium and so an extension of the Appian Way.

Amphipolis ( $\tau \eta \nu \mathrm{A} \mu \varphi \iota \pi \circ \lambda \imath \nu$ ). So called because the Strymon flowed almost around $(\alpha \mu \varphi)$ it, the metropolis of Macedonia Prima, a free city, about 32 miles from Philippi, about three miles from the sea. Paul and Silas may have spent only a night here or longer.

Apollonia ( $\tau \eta v$ A $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega v / \alpha v$ ). Not the famous Apollonia in Illyria, but 32 miles from Amphipolis on the Egnatian Way. So here again a night was spent if no more. Why Paul hurried through these two large cities, if he did, we do not know. There are many gaps in Luke's narrative that we have no way of filling up. There may have been no synagogues for one thing.

To Thessalonica ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \Theta \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda o v ı \kappa \eta v)$. There was a synagogue here in this great commercial city, still an important city called Saloniki, of 70,000 population. It was originally called Therma, at the head of the Thermaic Gulf. Cassander renamed it Thessalonica after his wife, the sister of Alexander the Great. It was the capital of the second of the four divisions of Macedonia and finally the capital of the whole province. It shared with Corinth and Ephesus the commerce of the Aegean. One synagogue shows that even in this commercial city the Jews were not very numerous. As a political centre it ranked with Antioch in Syria and Caesarea in Palestine. It was a strategic centre for the spread of the gospel as Paul later said for it sounded (echoed) forth from Thessalonica throughout Macedonia and Achaia (1Th 1:8).

Acts 17:2
As his custom was (ката то $\varepsilon \epsilon \omega \theta$ оৎ $\tau \omega$ Паט $\lambda \omega$ ). The same construction in Lu 4:16 about Jesus in Nazareth ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ тo $\varepsilon \epsilon \omega \theta$ oৎ $\alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ) with the second perfect active participle neuter singular from $\varepsilon \theta \omega$. Paul's habit was to go to the Jewish synagogue to use the Jews and the God-fearers as a springboard for his work among the Gentiles.

For three Sabbaths ( $\varepsilon \pi \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \rho ı \alpha)$. Probably the reference is to the first three Sabbaths when Paul had a free hand in the synagogue as at first in Antioch in Pisidia. Luke does not say that Paul was in Thessalonica only three weeks. He may have spoken there also during the week, though the Sabbath was the great day. Paul makes it plain, as Furneaux shows, that he was in Thessalonica a much longer period than three weeks. The rest of the time he spoke, of course, outside of the synagogue. Paul implies an extended stay by his language in 1Th 1:8. The church consisted mainly of Gentile converts (2Th 3:4,7,8) and
seems to have been well organized (1Th 5:12). He received help while there several times from Philippi (Php 4:16) and even so worked night and day to support himself (1Th 2:9). His preaching was misunderstood there in spite of careful instruction concerning the second coming of Christ (1Th 4:13-5:5; 2Th 2:1-12 ).

Reasoned ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \tau 0$ ). First aorist middle indicative of $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \mu \alpha$, old verb in the active to select, distinguish, then to revolve in the mind, to converse (interchange of ideas), then to teach in the Socratic ("dialectic") method of question and answer (cf. $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \%$ in verse 17), then simply to discourse, but always with the idea of intellectual stimulus. With these Jews and God-fearers Paul appealed to the Scriptures as text and basis ( $\alpha \pi 0$ ) of his ideas.

Acts 17:3
Opening and alleging ( $\delta \iota \alpha v o \imath \gamma \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \iota \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma)$. Opening the Scriptures, Luke means, as made plain by the mission and message of Jesus, the same word ( $\delta \operatorname{lovot} \gamma \omega$ ) used by him of the interpretation of the Scriptures by Jesus (Lu 24:32) and of the opening of the mind of the disciples also by Jesus ( $\mathrm{Lu} 24: 45$ ) and of the opening of Lydia's heart by the Lord ( $16: 14$ ). One cannot refrain from saying that such exposition of the Scriptures as Jesus and Paul gave would lead to more opening of mind and heart. Paul was not only "expounding" the Scriptures, he was also "propounding" (the old meaning of "allege") his doctrine or setting forth alongside the Scriptures ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha-\tau \iota \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$, quoting the Scripture to prove his contention which was made in much conflict (1Th 2:2), probably in the midst of heated discussion by the opposing rabbis who were anything but convinced by Paul's powerful arguments, for the Cross was a stumbling-block to the Jews (1Co 1:23).

That it behoved the Christ to suffer (o $\tau \tau 0 v \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \tau \sigma v \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon \imath v$ ). The second aorist active infinitive is the subject of $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon$ with $\tau 0 \nu \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 v$, the accusative of general reference. This is Paul's major premise in his argument from the Scriptures about the Messiah, the necessity of his sufferings according to the Scriptures, the very argument made by the Risen Jesus to the two on the way to Emmaus (Lu 24:25-27). The fifty-third chapter of Isaiah was a passage in point that the rabbis had overlooked. Peter made the same point in Ac 3:18 and Paul again in Ac 26:23. The minor premise is the resurrection of Jesus from the dead.

To rise again from the dead ( $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \eta v \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega v)$. This second aorist active infinitive $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \eta v a$ is also the subject of $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon$. The actual resurrection of Jesus was also a necessity as Paul says he preached to them (1Th 4:14) and argued always from Scripture (1Co 15:3-4 ) and from his own experience (Ac 9:22; 22:7; 26:8,14; 1Co 15:8).

This Jesus is the Christ (ov $о \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ o X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 \sigma$, o Iqбovৎ). More precisely, "This is the Messiah, viz., Jesus whom I am proclaiming unto you." This is the conclusion of Paul's line of argument and it is logical and overwhelming. It is his method everywhere as in Damascus, in Antioch in Pisidia, here, in Corinth. He spoke as an eye-witness.

Acts 17:4

Some of them ( $\tau \vee v \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \cup \tau \omega v$ ). That is of the Jews who were evidently largely afraid of the rabbis. Still "some" were persuaded ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon ו \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$, effective first aorist passive indicative) and "consorted with" ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. This latter verb is also first aorist passive indicative of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \omega$, a common verb in late Greek (Plutarch, Lucian), but only here in the N.T., from $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ and $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \varsigma$, to assign by lot. So then this small group of Jews were given Paul and Silas by God's grace.

And of the devout Greeks a great multitude ( $\tau \omega \nu \tau \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \beta \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu \mathrm{H} \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \omega \nu \pi \lambda \eta \theta$ os $\pi о \lambda v)$. These "God-fearers" among the Gentiles were less under the control of the jealous rabbis and so responded more readily to Paul's appeal. In 1Th 1:9 Paul expressly says that they had "turned to God from idols," proof that this church was mainly Gentile (cf. also 1Th 2:14).

And of the chief women not a few ( $\gamma \cup v a \iota \kappa \omega \nu \tau \varepsilon \tau \omega v \pi \rho \omega \tau \omega v$ ovk o $\lambda เ \gamma \alpha$ ). Literally, "And of women the first not a few." That is, a large number of women of the very first rank in the city, probably devout women also like the men just before and like those in 13:50 in Antioch in Pisidia who along with "the first men of the city" were stirred up against Paul. Here these women were openly friendly to Paul's message, whether proselytes or Gentiles or Jewish wives of Gentiles as Hort holds. It is noteworthy that here, as in Philippi, leading women take a bold stand for Christ. In Macedonia women had more freedom than elsewhere. It is not to be inferred that all those converted belonged to the higher classes, for the industrial element was clearly large (1Th 4:11). In 2Co 8:2 Paul speaks of the deep poverty of the Macedonian churches, but with Philippi mainly in mind. Ramsay thinks that Paul won many of the heathen not affiliated at all with the synagogue. Certain it is that we must allow a considerable interval of time between verses 4,5 to understand what Paul says in his Thessalonian Epistles.

Acts 17:5
Moved with jealousy ( $\zeta \eta \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Both our English words,
zeal and
jealousy, are from the Greek $\zeta \eta \lambda$ oc. In 13:45 the Jews (rabbis) "were filled with jealousy" $(\varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v \zeta \eta \lambda o v)$. That is another way of saying the same thing as here. The success of Paul was entirely too great in both places to please the rabbis. So here is jealousy of Jewish preachers towards Christian preachers. It is always between men or women of the same profession or group. In 1Th 2:3-10 Paul hints at some of the slanders spread against him by these rabbis (deceivers, using words of flattery as men-pleasers, after vain-glory, greed of gain, etc.).

Took unto them ( $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \lambda \alpha \beta \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Second aorist middle (indirect, to themselves) participle of $\pi \rho o \sigma \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, old and common verb.
 or market-place was the natural resort for those with nothing to do (Mt 20:4) like the court-
house square today or various parks in our cities where bench-warmers flock. Plato (Protagoras 347 C ) calls these a $\begin{aligned} & \text { opaıo (common word, but in N.T. only here and 19:38) idlers }\end{aligned}$ or good-for-fellows. They are in every city and such "bums" are ready for any job. The church in Thessalonica caught some of these peripatetic idlers (2Th 3:10f. ) "doing nothing but doing about." So the Jewish preachers gather to themselves a choice collection of these market-loungers or loafers or wharf-rats. The Romans called them subrostrani (hangers round the rostrum or subbasilicari).

Gathering a crowd (ох入олоוךбаvтєৎ). Literally, making or getting ( $\pi о เ \varepsilon \omega$ ) a crowd (ox $\bar{\circ}$ ) , a word not found elsewhere. Probably right in the $\alpha \gamma o \rho \alpha$ itself where the rabbis could tell men their duties and pay them in advance. Instance Hyde Park in London with all the curious gatherings every day, Sunday afternoons in particular.

Set the city on an uproar ( $\varepsilon \theta o \rho \cup \beta o v v$ ). Imperfect active of $\theta$ opv $\beta \varepsilon \omega$, from $\theta$ o $\rho \cup \beta$ o (tumult), old verb, but in the N.T. only here and 20:10; Mt 9:23; Mr 4:39. They kept up the din, this combination of rabbis and rabble.
 active of $\varepsilon \varphi \cdot \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, taking a stand against, rushing at, because he was Paul's host. He may have been a Gentile (Jason the name of an ancient king of Thessaly), but the Jews often used it for Joshua or Jesus (II Macc. 1:7).

They sought ( $\varepsilon \zeta \eta \tau 0 u v$ ). Imperfect active. They burst into the house and searched up and down.

Them (avtouc). Paul and Silas. They were getting ready to have a lynching party.
Acts 17:6
When they found them not ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \cup \rho o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Usual negative $\mu \eta$ with the participle in the Koine, second aorist (effective) active participle, complete failure with all the noise and "bums."

They dragged ( $\varepsilon \sigma \cup \rho \circ v)$. Imperfect active, vivid picture, they were dragging (literally). See already 8:3; 16:19. If they could not find Paul, they could drag Jason his host and some other Christians whom we do not know.

Before the rulers of the city ( $\varepsilon \pi$ тоия $\pi$ то $\iota \tau \alpha \rho \chi \alpha \varsigma$ ). This word does not occur in Greek literature and used to be cited as an example of Luke's blunders. But now it is found in an inscription on an arch in the modern city preserved in the British Museum. It is also found in seventeen inscriptions (five from Thessalonica) where the word or the verb $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \alpha \rho \chi \varepsilon \omega$ occurs. It is a fine illustration of the historical accuracy of Luke in matters of detail. This title for city officers in Thessalonica, a free city, is correct. They were burgomasters or "rulers of the city."

Crying ( $\beta \mathbf{o} \omega \vee \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). Yelling as if the house was on fire like the mob in Jerusalem (21:28).
These that have turned the world upside down ( $о \tau \eta v$ oוкоч $\mu \varepsilon \nu \eta v a v a \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \omega \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). The use of oוкоט $\mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \nu$ (supply $\gamma \varepsilon v$ or $\chi \omega \rho a \nu$, the inhabited earth, present passive participle
of oוкع $\omega$ ) means the Roman Empire, since it is a political charge, a natural hyperbole in their excitement, but the phrase occurs for the Roman Empire in Lu 2:1 . It is possible that news had come to Thessalonica of the expulsion of the Jews from Rome by Claudius. There is truth in the accusation, for Christianity is revolutionary, but on this particular occasion the uproar (verse 5) was created by the rabbis and the hired loafers. The verb avaotatow (here first aorist active participle) does not occur in the ancient writers, but is in LXX and in Ac 17:6; 21:38; Ga 5:12. It occurs also in Harpocration (A.D. 4th cent.) and about 100 B.C. $\varepsilon \xi \alpha v a \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \sigma \omega$ is found in a fragment of papyrus (Tebtunis no. 2) and in a Paris Magical Papyrus 1. 2243f. But in an Egyptian letter of Aug. 4, 41 A.D. (Oxyrhynchus Pap. no. 119, 10) "the bad boy" uses it = "he upsets me" or " he drives me out of my senses" (avaбтato $\mu \varepsilon)$. See Deissmann, Light from the Ancient East, pp. 84f. It is not a "Biblical word" at all, but belongs to the current Koine. It is a vigorous and graphic term.

Acts 17:7
Whom Jason hath received (ovৎ v of $v \pi \frac{\delta}{} \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to entertain, old verb, but in N.T. only in Lu 10:38; 19:6; Ac 17:7; Jas 2:25 . This is Jason's crime and he is the prisoner before the politarchs.

These all (ovto $\pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Jason, the "brethren" of verse 6, Paul and Silas, and all Christians everywhere.

Contrary ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \nu \alpha \nu \tau$ ). Late compound preposition ( $\alpha \pi 0, \varepsilon \nu, \alpha \nu \tau$ ) found in Polybius, LXX, here only in the N.T.

The decrees of Caesar ( $\tau \omega \nu \delta o \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ Kaıб $\alpha \rho \circ \varsigma$ ). This was a charge of treason and was a sure way to get a conviction. Probably the Julian Leges Majestatis are in mind rather than the definite decree of Claudius about the Jews (Ac 18:2).

Saying that there is another king, one Jesus (Baбi入 $\varepsilon \alpha \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \nu \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \iota v \alpha$ I $\eta \sigma o v \nu$ ). Note the very order of the words in the Greek indirect discourse with the accusative and infinitive after $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma 0 \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Baбı $\lambda \varepsilon \alpha \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \nu$ comes first, a different king, another emperor than Caesar. This was the very charge that the smart student of the Pharisees and Herodians had tried to catch Jesus on (Mr 12:14 ). The Sanhedrin made it anyhow against Jesus to Pilate (Lu 23:2) and Pilate had to notice it. "Although the emperors never ventured to assume the title rex at Rome, in the Eastern provinces they were regularly termed basileus" (Page). The Jews here, as before Pilate (Joh 19:15 ), renounce their dearest hope of a Messianic king. It is plain that Paul had preached about Jesus as the Messiah, King of the Kingdom of God over against the Roman Empire, a spiritual kingdom, to be sure, but the Jews here turn his language to his hurt as they did with Jesus. As a matter of fact Paul's preaching about the kingdom and the second coming of Christ was gravely misunderstood by the Christians at Thessalonica after his departure (1Th 4:13-5:4; 2Th 2 ). The Jews were quick to seize upon his language about Jesus Christ to his own injury. Clearly here in Thessalonica Paul had
faced the power of the Roman Empire in a new way and pictured over against it the grandeur of the reign of Christ.

Acts 17:8
They troubled the multitude and the rulers ( $\varepsilon \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \xi \alpha \nu \tau \circ v \circ \chi \lambda о \nu \kappa \alpha \tau о \nu \varsigma \pi о \lambda ı \tau \alpha \rho \chi \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active of $\tau \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, old verb to agitate. The excitement of the multitude "agitated" the politarchs still more. To the people it meant a revolution, to the politarchs a charge of complicity in treason if they let it pass. They had no way to disprove the charge of treason and Paul and Silas were not present.

Acts 17:9
When they had taken security ( $\lambda \alpha \beta$ оv七єৎ то $\kappa \kappa \alpha \nu \circ$ ). A Greek idiom=Latin satis accipere, to receive the sufficient (bond), usually money for the fulfilment of the judgment. Probably the demand was made of Jason that he see to it that Paul and Silas leave the city not to return. In 1Th 2:17f. Paul may refer to this in mentioning his inability to visit these Thessalonians again. The idiom $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \varepsilon \iota v$ to ואavov now is found in two inscriptions of the second century A.D. (O. G. I. S. 484, 50 and 629, 101). In Vol. III Oxyrhynchus Papyri no. 294 A.D. 22 the corresponding phrase $\delta o v v a \varepsilon$ عוкаvov ("to give security") appears.

They let them go ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda v \sigma a v a v \tau o v \varsigma)$. The charge was serious but the proof slim so that the politarchs were glad to be rid of the case.

Acts 17:10
Immediately by night ( $\varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \delta \iota \alpha v \cup \kappa \tau \circ \varsigma)$. Paul's work had not been in vain in Thessalonica (1Th 1:7f.; 2:13,20 ). Paul loved the church here. Two of them, Aristarchus and Secundus, will accompany him to Jerusalem (Ac 20:4) and Aristarchus will go on with him to Rome (27:2). Plainly Paul and Silas had been in hiding in Thessalonica and in real danger. After his departure severe persecution came to the Christians in Thessalonica (1Th 2:14; 3:1-5; $2 \mathrm{Th} 1: 6$ ). It is possible that there was an escort of Gentile converts with Paul and Silas on this night journey to Beroea which was about fifty miles southwest from Thessalonica near Pella in another district of Macedonia (Emathia). There is a modern town there of some 6,000 people.

Went ( $\alpha \pi \eta \iota \sigma \alpha v$ ). Imperfect third plural active of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \mu$, old verb to go away, here alone in the N.T. A literary, almost Atticistic, form instead of $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \theta$ ov.

Into the synagogue of the Jews ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \sigma v v \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \eta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ Iov $\alpha a \omega \nu)$. Paul's usual custom and he lost no time about it. Enough Jews here to have a synagogue.

Acts 17:11
More noble than those ( $\varepsilon v \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho o \tau \omega \nu$ ). Comparative form of $\varepsilon v \gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \varsigma$, old and common adjective, but in N.T. only here and Lu 19:12; 1Co 1:26. Followed by ablative case $\tau \omega \nu$ as often after the comparative.

With all readiness of mind ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \varsigma \pi \rho o \theta \nu \mu \iota \alpha)$. Old word from $\pi \rho o \theta \nu \mu \circ \varsigma(\pi \rho \circ$, $\theta \nu \mu \circ \varsigma)$ and means eagerness, rushing forward. In the N.T. only here and 2Co 8:11-19; 9:2
. In Thessalonica many of the Jews out of pride and prejudice refused to listen. Here the Jews joyfully welcomed the two Jewish visitors.

Examining the Scriptures daily ( $\left.\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha v \alpha v a \kappa \rho ı v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \gamma \rho а \varphi а \varsigma\right) . ~ P a u l ~ e x-~$ pounded the Scriptures daily as in Thessalonica, but the Beroeans, instead of resenting his new interpretation, examined ( $\alpha v \alpha \kappa \rho \iota v \omega$ means to sift up and down, make careful and exact research as in legal processes as in Ac 4:9; 12:19, etc.) the Scriptures for themselves. In Scotland people have the Bible open on the preacher as he expounds the passage, a fine habit worth imitating.

Whether these things were so ( $\varepsilon$ ع $\chi$ о $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha$ out $\omega \varsigma$ ). Literally, "if these things had it thus." The present optative in the indirect question represents an original present indicative as in $\operatorname{Lu}$ 1:29 (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 1043f.). This use of $\varepsilon$ with the optative may be looked at as the condition of the fourth class (undetermined with less likelihood of determination) as in Ac 17:27; 20:16; 24:19; 27:12 (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1021). The Beroeans were eagerly interested in the new message of Paul and Silas but they wanted to see it for themselves. What a noble attitude. Paul's preaching made Bible students of them. The duty of private interpretation is thus made plain (Hovey).

Acts 17:12
Many therefore ( $П о \lambda \lambda o \mu \varepsilon v o v v$ ). As a result of this Bible study.
Also of the Greek women of honourable estate. The word H $\Sigma \lambda \lambda \eta \eta$ vis means Greek woman, but the word $\gamma \nu v \eta$ is added. In particular women of $\operatorname{rank}(\varepsilon v \sigma \chi \eta \mu \circ v \omega v$, from $\varepsilon v$ and $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, graceful figure and the honourable standing) as in 13:50 (Mr 15:43 ). Probably Luke means by implication that the "men" ( $\alpha v \delta \rho \omega v$ ) were also noble Greeks though he does not expressly say so. So then the Jews were more open to the message, the proselytes or Godfearers followed suit, with "not a few" (ouk o $\lambda_{1}$ ץo) real Greeks (both men and women) believing. It was quick and fine work.

Acts 17:13
Was proclaimed (катпүү६ $\lambda \eta$ ). Second aorist passive indicative of ката $\gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, common late verb as in Ac 16:21.

Of Paul (vло Пau入ou). By Paul, of course.
Stirring up and troubling the multitudes ( $\sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \tau$ тои¢ $о \chi \lambda о \cup \varsigma$ ). Shaking the crowds like an earthquake ( $4: 31$ ) and disturbing like a tornado (17:8). Success at Thessalonica gave the rabbis confidence and courage. The attack was sharp and swift. The Jews from Antioch in Pisidia had likewise pursued Paul to Iconium and Lystra. How long Paul had been in Beroea Luke does not say. But a church was established here which gave a good account of itself later and sent a messenger (Ac 20:4) with their part of the collection to Jerusalem. This quiet and noble town was in a whirl of excitement over the attacks of the Jewish emissaries from Thessalonica who probably made the same charge of treason against Paul and Silas.

Acts 17:14
And then immediately ( $\varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \tau \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). They acted swiftly as in Thessalonica.
Sent forth ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \iota \lambda \alpha v$ ). Double compound ( $\varepsilon \xi, \alpha \pi o$, both out and away) common in late Greek. First aorist active indicative ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, liquid verb). Same form in 9:30.

As far as to the sea $(\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \nu \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha v)$. It is not clear whether Paul went all the way to Athens by land or took ship at Dium or Pydna, some sixteen miles away, and sailed to Athens. Some even think that Paul gave the Jews the slip and went all the way by land when they expected him to go by sea. At any rate we know that Paul was grieved to cut short his work in Macedonia, probably not over six months in all, which had been so fruitful in Philippi, Thessalonica, and Beroea. Silas and Timothy (note his presence) remained behind in Beroea and they would keep the work going. Paul no doubt hoped to return soon. Silas and Timothy in Beroea would also serve to screen his flight for the Jews wanted his blood, not theirs. The work in Macedonia spread widely (1Th 1:7f. ).

Acts 17:15
But they that conducted Paul (о $\delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ \Pi a v \lambda o v)$. Articular present active participle of $\kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \omega$ (late form in $\mathrm{A} \mathrm{B} \mathrm{of} \kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ or $\kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \omega$ ), an old verb with varied uses to put down, to constitute, to conduct, etc. This use here is in the LXX (Jos 6:23 ) and old Greek also.

To Athens ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma A \theta \eta \nu \omega v$ ). To make sure of his safe arrival.
That they should come to him with all speed (ıva $\omega \varsigma \tau \alpha \chi \iota \tau \alpha \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega \sigma \iota \nu \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha \nu \tau o v$ ). Note the neat Greek idiom $\omega \varsigma \tau \alpha \chi เ \sigma \tau \alpha$ as quickly as possible (good Attic idiom). The indirect command and purpose ( $\imath \alpha-\varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega \sigma \iota v$, second aorist active subjunctive) is also neat Greek (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1046).

Departed ( $\varepsilon \xi \eta เ \varepsilon \sigma \alpha v$ ). Imperfect active of $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu$, old Greek word, but rare in N.T. All in Acts (13:42; 17:15; 20:7; 27:43)

Acts 17:16
Now while Paul waited for them in Athens (Ev $\delta \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma A \theta \eta v a \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \delta \varepsilon \chi о \mu \varepsilon v o v \alpha v \tau о \cup \varsigma$ tov חav ceive, but only with the sense of looking out for, expecting found here and elsewhere in N.T We know that Timothy did come to Paul in Athens (1Th 3:1,6 ) from Thessalonica and was sent back to them from Athens. If Silas also came to Athens, he was also sent away, possibly to Philippi, for that church was deeply interested in Paul. At any rate both Timothy and Silas came from Macedonia to Corinth with messages and relief for Paul (Ac 18:5;2Co 11:8f. ). Before they came and after they left, Paul felt lonely in Athens (1Th 3:1), the first time on this tour or the first that he has been completely without fellow workers. Athens had been captured by Sulla B.C. 86. After various changes Achaia, of which Corinth is the capital, is a separate province from Macedonia and A.D. 44 was restored by Claudius to the Senate with the Proconsul at Corinth. Paul is probably here about A.D. 50. Politically Athens is no
longer of importance when Paul comes though it is still the university seat of the world with all its rich environment and traditions. Rackham grows eloquent over Paul the Jew of Tarsus being in the city of Pericles and Demosthenes, Socrates and Plato and Aristotle, Sophocles and Euripides. In its Agora Socrates had taught, here was the Academy of Plato, the Lyceum of Aristotle, the Porch of Zeno, the Garden of Epicurus. Here men still talked about philosophy, poetry, politics, religion, anything and everything. It was the art centre of the world. The Parthenon, the most beautiful of temples, crowned the Acropolis. Was Paul insensible to all this cultural environment? It is hard to think so for he was a university man of Tarsus and he makes a number of allusions to Greek writers. Probably it had not been in Paul's original plan to evangelize Athens, difficult as all university seats are, but he cannot be idle though here apparently by chance because driven out of Macedonia.

Was provoked ( $\pi \alpha \rho \omega \xi v v \varepsilon \tau 0)$. Imperfect passive of $\pi \alpha \rho \circ \xi \nu v \omega$, old verb to sharpen, to stimulate, to irritate (from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha, ~ o \xi v \varsigma)$, from $\pi \alpha \rho \circ \xi \nu \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma(A c 15: 39)$ ), common in old Greek, but in N.T. only here and 1Co 13:5. It was a continual challenge to Paul's spirit when he beheld ( $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho o u v \tau o \varsigma$, genitive of present participle agreeing with autov (his), though late MSS. have locative $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho o u v \tau$ agreeing with $\varepsilon v \alpha \nu \tau \omega)$.
 preserved in the English (either the city being full of idols or that the city was full of idols, sort of indirect discourse). Paul, like any stranger was looking at the sights as he walked around. This adjective $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota \delta \omega \lambda o v$ (perfective use of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ and $\varepsilon ו \delta \omega \lambda o v$ is found nowhere else, but it is formed after the analogy of ката $\mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda о \sigma, \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta \varepsilon v \delta \rho \circ v$ ), full of idols. Xenophon (de Republ. Ath.) calls the city o $\lambda \eta \beta$ о $\mu \circ \sigma, ~ o \lambda \eta ~ \theta \nu \mu \alpha \theta \varepsilon o \iota s ~ \kappa \alpha ~ \alpha v \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha$ (all altar, all sacrifice and offering to the gods). These statues were beautiful, but Paul was not deceived by the mere art for art's sake. The idolatry and sensualism of it all glared at him (Ro 1:18-32). Renan ridicules Paul's ignorance in taking these statues for idols, but Paul knew paganism better than Renan. The superstition of this centre of Greek culture was depressing to Paul. One has only to recall how superstitious cults today flourish in the atmosphere of Boston and Los Angeles to understand conditions in Athens. Pausanias says that Athens had more images than all the rest of Greece put together. Pliny states that in the time of Nero Athens had over 30,000 public statues besides countless private ones in the homes. Petronius sneers that it was easier to find a god than a man in Athens. Every gateway or porch had its protecting god. They lined the street from the Piraeus and caught the eye at every place of prominence on wall or in the agora.

Acts 17:17
So he reasoned ( $\delta 1 \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \frac{\mu \varepsilon v}{} \mathrm{ovv}$ ). Accordingly therefore, with his spirit stirred by the proof of idolatry. Imperfect middle of $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, same verb used in verse 2 which see. First he reasoned in the synagogue at the services to the Jews and the God-fearers, then daily in the agora or marketplace (southwest of the Acropolis, between it and the Areopagus and
the Pnyx) to the chance-comers, "them that met him" ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau о \nu \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha v o v \tau \alpha \varsigma) . ~ S i m-$ ultaneously with the synagogue preaching at other hours Paul took his stand like Socrates before him and engaged in conversation with ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma)$ those who happened by. This old verb, $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha \nu \omega$, occurs here alone in the N.T. and accurately pictures the life in the agora. The listeners to Paul in the agora would be more casual than those who stop for street preaching, a Salvation Army meeting, a harangue from a box in Hyde Park. It was a slim chance either in synagogue or in agora, but Paul could not remain still with all the reeking idolatry around him. The boundaries of the agora varied, but there was always the Поєкı $\lambda \eta$ $\Sigma \tau o \alpha$ (the Painted Porch), over against the Acropolis on the west. In this $\Sigma \tau o \alpha$ (Porch) Zeno and other philosophers and rhetoricians held forth from time to time. Paul may have stood near this spot.

## Acts 17:18

And certain also of the Epicurean and Stoic philosophers encountered him ( $\tau เ v \varepsilon \varsigma$ $\delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ Елıкоирı $\omega \nu \kappa \alpha \Sigma \tau \omega \iota \kappa \omega \nu \varphi \iota \lambda о \sigma о \varphi \omega \nu \sigma v \nu \varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda о \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega)$. Imperfect active of $\sigma v v \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, old verb, in the N.T. only by Luke, to bring or put together in one's mind (Lu $2: 19$ ), to meet together (Ac 20:14), to bring together aid ( 18:27), to confer or converse or dispute as here and already $4: 15$ which see. These professional philosophers were always ready for an argument and so they frequented the agora for that purpose. Luke uses one article and so groups the two sects together in their attitude toward Paul, but they were very different in fact. Both sects were eager for argument and both had disdain for Paul, but they were the two rival practical philosophies of the day, succeeding the more abstruse theories of Plato and Aristotle. Socrates had turned men's thought inward ( $\Gamma \nu \omega \theta \Sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v$, Know Thyself) away from the mere study of physics. Plato followed with a profound development of the inner self (metaphysics). Aristotle with his cyclopaedic grasp sought to unify and relate both physics and metaphysics. Both Zeno and Epicurus (340-272 B.C.) took a more practical turn in all this intellectual turmoil and raised the issues of everyday life. Zeno (360-260 B.C.) taught in the $\Sigma$ roa (Porch) and so his teaching was called Stoicism. He advanced many noble ideas that found their chief illustration in the Roman philosophers (Seneca, Epictetus, Marcus Aurelius). He taught self-mastery and hardness with an austerity that ministered to pride or suicide in case of failure, a distinctly selfish and unloving view of life and with a pantheistic philosophy. Epicurus considered practical atheism the true view of the universe and denied a future life and claimed pleasure as the chief thing to be gotten out of life. He did not deny the existence of gods, but regarded them as unconcerned with the life of men. The Stoics called Epicurus an atheist. Lucretius and Horace give the Epicurean view of life in their great poems. This low view of life led to sensualism and does today, for both Stoicism and Epicureanism are widely influential with people now. "Eat and drink for tomorrow we die," they preached. Paul had doubtless become acquainted with both of these philosophies for they were widely prevalent over the world. Here he confronts them in their very home.

He is challenged by past-masters in the art of appealing to the senses, men as skilled in their dialectic as the Pharisaic rabbis with whom Paul had been trained and whose subtleties he had learned how to expose. But, so far as we know, this is a new experience for Paul to have a public dispute with these philosophical experts who had a natural contempt for all Jews and for rabbis in particular, though they found Paul a new type at any rate and so with some interest in him. "In Epicureanism, it was man's sensual nature which arrayed itself against the claims of the gospel; in Stoicism it was his self-righteousness and pride of intellect" (Hackett). Knowling calls the Stoic the Pharisee of philosophy and the Epicurean the Sadducee of philosophy. Socrates in this very agora used to try to interest the passers-by in some desire for better things. That was 450 years before Paul is challenged by these superficial sophistical Epicureans and Stoics. It is doubtful if Paul had ever met a more difficult situation.

What would this babbler say? (T $\alpha \nu \theta \varepsilon \lambda о$ о $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \mu о \lambda о \gamma о \varsigma$ оvтоৎ $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon เ \nu$ ?). The word for "babbler" means "seed-picker" or picker up of seeds ( $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha$, seed, $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, to collect) like a bird in the agora hopping about after chance seeds. Plutarch applies the word to crows that pick up grain in the fields. Demosthenes called Aeschines a $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \mu о \lambda о \gamma o \varsigma$. Eustathius uses it of a man hanging around in the markets picking up scraps of food that fell from the carts and so also of mere rhetoricians and plagiarists who picked up scraps of wisdom from others. Ramsay considers it here a piece of Athenian slang used to describe the picture of Paul seen by these philosophers who use it, for not all of them had it ("some," rivec). Note the use of $\alpha v$ and the present active optative $\theta \varepsilon \lambda o$, conclusion of a fourth-class condition in a rhetorical question (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1021). It means, What would this picker up of seeds wish to say, if he should get off an idea? It is a contemptuous tone of supreme ridicule and doubtless Paul heard this comment. Probably the Epicureans made this sneer that Paul was a charlatan or quack.

Other some (o $\delta \varepsilon$ ). But others, in contrast with the "some" just before. Perhaps the Stoics take this more serious view of Paul.

He seemeth to be a setter forth of strange gods ( $\zeta \nu \omega \nu \nu \delta a \mu \circ \nu t \omega \nu \delta о \kappa \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \nu \varsigma$ $\varepsilon เ v \alpha$ ). This view is put cautiously by $\delta$ ок (seems). Kataүүع $\lambda \varepsilon \cup \varsigma$ does not occur in the old Greek, though in ecclesiastical writers, but Deissmann (Light from the Ancient East, p. 99) gives an example of the word "on a marble stele recording a decree of the Mitylenaens in honour of the Emperor Augustus," where it is the herald of the games. Here alone in the N.T. $\Delta a \mu$ oviov is used in the old Greek sense of deity or divinity whether good or bad, not in the N.T. sense of demons. Both this word and катаүү $\bar{\lambda} \varepsilon \cup \varsigma$ are used from the Athenian standpoint. Eevos is an old word for a guest-friend (Latin hospes) and then host (Ro 16:23 ), then for foreigner or stranger (Mt 25:31; Ac 17:21), new and so strange as here and Heb 13:9; $1 \mathrm{Pe} 4: 12$, and then aliens (Eph 2:12 ). This view of Paul is the first count against Socrates: Socrates does wrong, introducing new deities ( $\alpha \delta \iota \kappa \varepsilon \Sigma \omega \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \eta \sigma$, каıva $\delta \alpha \not \mu \circ v \iota \alpha$ $\varepsilon \iota \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega v$, Xen. Mem. I). On this charge the Athenians voted the hemlock for their greatest
citizen. What will they do to Paul? This Athens was more sceptical and more tolerant than the old Athens. But Roman law did not allow the introduction of a new religion (religio illicita). Paul was walking on thin ice though he was the real master philosopher and these Epicureans and Stoics were quacks. Paul had the only true philosophy of the universe and life with Jesus Christ as the centre (Col 1:12-20 ), the greatest of all philosophers as Ramsay justly terms him. But these men are mocking him.

Because he preached Jesus and the resurrection (o $о \tau \circ \mathrm{I} \eta \sigma o v \nu ~ к \alpha \tau \eta \nu$ avaбтабı $\left.\varepsilon \cup \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota} \zeta \alpha \tau о\right)$. Reason for the view just stated. Imperfect middle indicative of $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota} \zeta \omega$, to "gospelize." Apparently these critics considered avaota⿱\zh7ৎ (Resurrection) another deity on a par with Jesus. The Athenians worshipped all sorts of abstract truths and virtues and they misunderstood Paul on this subject. They will leave him as soon as he mentions the resurrection (verse 32). It is objected that Luke would not use the word in this sense here for his readers would not under stand him. But Luke is describing the misapprehension of this group of philosophers and this interpretation fits in precisely.

Acts 17:19
And they took hold of him ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \alpha \beta$ о $\mu v v_{0} \delta \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Second aorist middle participle of $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \lambda \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$, old verb, but in the N.T. only in the middle, here with the genitive avtov to lay hold of, but with no necessary sense of violence (Ac 9:27; 23:27; Mr 8:23 ), unless the idea is that Paul was to be tried before the Court of Areopagus for the crime of bringing in strange gods. But the day for that had passed in Athens. Even so it is not clear whether " unto the Areopagus ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \tau \vee \mathrm{A} \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \circ \vee \Pi \alpha \gamma \circ v$ ") means the Hill of Mars (west of the Acropolis, north of the agora and reached by a flight of steps in the rock) or the court itself which met elsewhere as well as on the hills, usually in fact in the Stoa Basilica opening on the agora and near to the place where the dispute had gone on. Raphael's cartoon with Paul standing on Mars Hill has made us all familiar with the common view, but it is quite uncertain if it is true. There was not room on the summit for a large gathering. If Paul was brought before the Court of Areopagus (commonly called the Areopagus as here), it was not for trial as a criminal, but simply for examination concerning his new teaching in this university city whether it was strictly legal or not. Paul was really engaged in proselytism to turn the Athenians away from their old gods to Jesus Christ. But "the court of refined and polished Athenians was very different from the rough provincial magistrates of Philippi, and the philosophers who presented Paul to their cognizance very different from the mob of Thessalonians" (Rackham). It was all very polite.

May we know? ( $\Delta v v a \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha \quad \gamma v \omega v \alpha$ ). Can we come to know (ingressive second aorist active infinitive).

This new teaching ( $\eta$ кaıv $\eta u \tau \eta \delta_{\iota} \delta \alpha \chi \eta$ ). On the position of $\alpha v \tau \eta$ see Robertson, Grammar, pp. 700f. The question was prompted by courtesy, sarcasm, or irony. Evidently no definite charge was laid against Paul.

Acts 17:20
For thou bringest certain strange things ( $\xi \varepsilon v ı \zeta о \nu \tau \alpha \gamma \alpha \rho \tau \iota v \alpha \varepsilon \iota \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. The very verb used by Xenophon (Mem. I) about Socrates. $\Xi \varepsilon v i \zeta o v \tau \alpha$ is present active neuter plural participle of $\xi \varepsilon v \iota \zeta \omega$ and from $\xi \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~(v e r s e ~ 18), ~ " t h i n g s ~ s u r p r i s i n g ~ o r ~ s h o c k i n g ~ u s . " ~ " ~$

We would know therefore ( $\beta o v \lambda o \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ouv $\gamma \nu \omega v \alpha$ ). Very polite still, we wish or desire, and repeating $\gamma \nu \omega v a$ (the essential point).

Acts 17:21
Spent their time ( $\eta \cup \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \circ v v$ ). Imperfect active of $\varepsilon \cup \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \omega$. A late word to have opportunity ( $\varepsilon v$, кaı $\rho \circ \varsigma)$ from Polybius on. In the N.T. only here and Mr 6:31. They had time for,.etc. This verse is an explanatory parenthesis by Luke.

Some new thing ( $\tau$ каıvo $\tau \varepsilon \rho \circ$ ). Literally "something newer" or "fresher" than the new, the very latest, the comparative of кaıvos. Demosthenes (Philipp. 1. 43) pictures the Athenians "in the agora inquiring if anything newer is said" ( $\pi v v \theta \alpha v o \mu \varepsilon v o$ ка $\tau \alpha \tau \eta \nu \alpha \gamma о \rho \alpha v$ $\varepsilon \tau \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \alpha v \varepsilon \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v)$. The new soon became stale with these itching and frivolous Athenians.

Acts 17:22
Stood in the midst of the Areopagus ( $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \sigma \omega$ тov A $\rho \varepsilon \iota \frac{}{}$ Пaүov). First aorist passive of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ used of Peter in 2:14. Majestic figure whether on Mars Hill or in the Stoa Basilica before the Areopagus Court. There would be a crowd of spectators and philosophers in either case and Paul seized the opportunity to preach Christ to this strange audience as he did in Caesarea before Herod Agrippa and the crowd of prominent people gathered by Festus for the entertainment. Paul does not speak as a man on trial, but as one trying to get a hearing for the gospel of Christ.

Somewhat superstitious ( $\omega \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \iota \delta \alpha \iota \mu$ v superstitious," the American Standard "very religious." $\Delta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \iota \delta \alpha \mu \omega v$ is a neutral word (from $\delta \varepsilon \iota \delta \omega$, to fear, and $\delta \alpha \iota \mu \nu \nu$, deity). The Greeks used it either in the good sense of pious or religious or the bad sense of superstitious. Thayer suggests that Paul uses it "with kindly ambiguity." Page thinks that Luke uses the word to represent the religious feeling of the Athenians (religiosus) which bordered on superstition. The Vulgate has superstitiosiores. In 25:19 Festus uses the term $\delta \varepsilon \iota \sigma t \delta a \iota \mu$ via for "religion." It seems unlikely that Paul should give this audience a slap in the face at the very start. The way one takes this adjective here colours Paul's whole speech before the Council of Areopagus. The comparative here as in verse 21 means more religions than usual (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 664f.), the object of the comparison not being expressed. The Athenians had a tremendous reputation for their devotion to religion, "full of idols" (verse 16).

Acts 17:23
For $(\gamma \alpha \rho)$. Paul gives an illustration of their religiousness from his own experiences in their city.

The objects of your worship ( $\tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Late word from $\sigma \varepsilon \beta \alpha \zeta \rho \mu \alpha$, to worship. In N T. only here and 2Th 2:4. The use of this word for temples, altars, statues, shows the conciliatory tone in the use of $\delta \varepsilon เ \sigma \iota \alpha \mu \mu$ оveбtepous in verse 22.

An altar ( $\beta \omega \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ). Old word, only here in the N.T. and the only mention of a heathen altar in the N.T

With this inscription ( $\varepsilon v \omega \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau 0)$. On which had been written (stood written), past perfect passive indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$, old and common verb for writing on inscriptions ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota ү \rho \alpha \varphi \eta$, Lu 23:38 ).

To an Unknown God (AГNOETO THEO). Dative case, dedicated to. Pausanias (I. 1, 4) says that in Athens there are "altars to gods unknown" ( $\beta \omega \mu \mathrm{o} \theta \varepsilon \omega v \alpha \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau \omega v$ ). Epimenides in a pestilence advised the sacrifice of a sheep to the befitting god whoever he might be. If an altar was dedicated to the wrong deity, the Athenians feared the anger of the other gods. The only use in the N.T. of $\alpha \gamma v \omega \sigma \tau o \varsigma$, old and common adjective (from $\alpha$ privative and $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau o \varsigma$ verbal of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, to know). Our word agnostic comes from it. Here it has an ambiguous meaning, but Paul uses it though to a stern Christian philosopher it may be the "confession at once of a bastard philosophy and of a bastard religion" (Hort, Hulsean Lectures, p. 64). Paul was quick to use this confession on the part of the Athenians of a higher power than yet known to them. So he gets his theme from this evidence of a deeper religious sense in them and makes a most clever use of it with consummate skill.

In ignorance ( $\alpha \gamma$ voouvtec). Present active participle of $\alpha \gamma v o \varepsilon \omega$, old verb from same root as $\alpha \gamma v \omega \sigma \tau o c$ to which Paul refers by using it.
 18) as they suspected of a God, both old and new, old in that they already worship him, new in that Paul knows who he is. By this master stroke he has brushed to one side any notion of violation of Roman law or suspicion of heresy and claims their endorsement of his new gospel, a shrewd and consummate turn. He has their attention now and proceeds to describe this God left out of their list as the one true and Supreme God. The later MSS. here read ov--toutov (whom--this one) rather than o--tovto (what--this), but the late text is plainly an effort to introduce too soon the personal nature of God which comes out clearly in verse 24.

Acts 17:24
 a god for that like the 30,000 gods of the Athenians, but the one God who made the Universe (кобнос on the old Greek sense of orderly arrangement of the whole universe).

And all things therein ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \varepsilon v \alpha \nu \tau \omega$ ). All the details in the universe were created by this one God. Paul is using the words of Isa 42:5. The Epicureans held that matter was eternal. Paul sets them aside. This one God was not to be confounded with any of their numerous gods save with this "Unknown God."
 owner, absolute possessor of both heaven and earth (Isa $45: 7$ ), not of just parts.
 old adjective $\chi \varepsilon เ \rho о \pi о ı \eta \tau о \varsigma ~(\chi \varepsilon ь \rho, \pi о เ \varepsilon \omega)$ already in Stephen's speech ( 7:48). No doubt Paul pointed to the wonderful Parthenon, supposed to be the home of Athene as Stephen denied that God dwelt alone in the temple in Jerusalem.

Acts 17:25
 $\pi \rho o \sigma \delta \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$, to want besides, old verb, but here only in the N.T. This was strange doctrine for the people thought that the gods needed their offerings for full happiness. This self-sufficiency of God was taught by Philo and Lucretius, but Paul shows that the Epicurean missed it by putting God, if existing at all, outside the universe.

Seeing he himself giveth to all ( $\alpha \cup \tau о \varsigma ~ \delta \iota \delta o v \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha \sigma เ v$ ). This Supreme Personal God is the source of life, breath, and everything. Paul here rises above all Greek philosophers.

Acts 17:26
And he made of one ( $\varepsilon \pi \sigma \circ \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon v o c)$. The word alpatoc (blood) is absent from Aleph A B and is a later explanatory addition. What Paul affirms is the unity of the human race with a common origin and with God as the Creator. This view runs counter to Greek exclusiveness which treated other races as barbarians and to Jewish pride which treated other nations as heathen or pagan (the Jews were $\lambda \alpha o \varsigma$, the Gentiles $\varepsilon \theta v \eta$ ). The cosmopolitanism of Paul here rises above Jew and Greek and claims the one God as the Creator of the one race of men. The Athenians themselves claimed to be avtox $\theta$ ovous (indigenous) and a special creation. Zeno and Seneca did teach a kind of cosmopolitanism (really pantheism) far different from the personal God of Paul. It was Rome, not Greece, that carried out the moral ideas of Zeno. Man is part of the universe (verse 24) and God created ( $\varepsilon \pi \sigma \circ \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ) man as he created ( $\pi$ oוך $\sigma a \varsigma$ ) the all.

For to dwell (катонкıı). Infinitive (present active) of purpose, so as to dwell.
Having determined (opıбaৎ). First aorist active participle of opı $\zeta \omega$, old verb to make a horizon as already in 19:42 which see. Paul here touches God's Providence. God has revealed himself in history as in creation. His hand appears in the history of all men as well as in that of the Chosen People of Israel.

Appointed seasons ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma ~ к \alpha ı \rho о и я) . ~ N o t ~ t h e ~ w e a t h e r ~ a s ~ i n ~ 14: 17, ~ b u t ~ " t h e ~$ times of the Gentiles" ( $\kappa \alpha \iota \rho o \varepsilon \theta v \omega v$ ) of which Jesus spoke (Lu 21:24). The perfect passive participle of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, old verb to enjoin, emphasizes God's control of human history without any denial of human free agency as was involved in the Stoic Fate (Hzı $\rho \mu \rho \mu \varepsilon v \eta$ ).

Bounds (opoӨzбtac). Limits? Same idea in Job 12:23. Nations rise and fall, but it is not blind chance or hard fate. Thus there is an interplay between God's will and man's activities, difficult as it is for us to see with our shortened vision.

Acts 17:27
That they should seek God ( $\mathrm{Z} \eta \tau \varepsilon \imath v$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Infinitive (present active) of purpose again. Seek him, not turn away from him as the nations had done (Ro 1:18-32).

If haply they might feel after him ( $\varepsilon \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \psi \eta \lambda \alpha \varphi \eta \sigma \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu \alpha v \tau o v)$. First aorist active (Aeolic form) optative of $\psi \eta \lambda \alpha \varphi \alpha \omega$, old verb from $\psi \alpha \omega$, to touch. So used by the Risen Jesus in his challenge to the disciples ( $\mathrm{Lu} 24: 39$ ), by the Apostle John of his personal contact with Jesus (1Jo 1:1), of the contact with Mount Sinai (Heb 12:18). Here it pictures the blind groping of the darkened heathen mind after God to "find him" ( $\varepsilon \cup \rho o t \varepsilon v$, second aorist active optative) whom they had lost. One knows what it is in a darkened room to feel along the walls for the door (De 28:29; Job 5:14; 12:25; Isa 59:10 ). Helen Keller, when told of God, said that she knew of him already, groping in the dark after him. The optative here with $\varepsilon$ is due to the condition of the fourth class (undetermined, but with vague hope of being determined) with aim also present (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1021). Note also $\alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \varepsilon$ the inferential particle $\alpha \rho \alpha$ with the delicate intensive particle $\gamma \varepsilon$.

Though he is not far from each one of us ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \varepsilon$ оv $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha \nu \alpha \pi о \varepsilon v o \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau о v \eta \mu \omega v$ $v \pi \alpha \rho \chi о \nu \tau \alpha$ ). More exactly with B L ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \varepsilon$ instead of каıто or каıто $\gamma \varepsilon$ ), "and yet being not far from each one of us," a direct statement rather than a concessive one. The participle $v \pi \alpha \rho \chi 0 v \tau \alpha$ agrees with $\alpha v \tau o v$ and the negative ov rather than the usual $\mu \varepsilon$ with the participle makes an emphatic negative. Note also the intensive particle $\gamma \varepsilon$.

Acts 17:28
For in him ( $\varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \omega \gamma \alpha \rho$ ). Proof of God's nearness, not stoic pantheism, but real immanence in God as God dwells in us. The three verbs ( $\zeta \omega \mu \varepsilon v, \kappa ı \nu o v \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha, \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon v)$ form an ascending scale and reach a climax in God (life, movement, existence). Kıvou $\mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ is either direct middle present indicative (we move ourselves) or passive (we are moved).

As certain even of your own poets ( $\omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \iota v \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} v \mu \alpha \varsigma \pi \circ \iota \eta \tau \omega \nu$ ). "As also some of the poets among you." Aratus of Soli in Cilicia (ab. B.C. 270) has these very words in his Ta Phainomena and Cleanthes, Stoic philosopher (300-220 B.C.) in his Hymn to Zeus has Eк $\sigma 0 v \gamma \alpha \rho \gamma \varepsilon \vee о \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon v$. In 1Co 15:32 Paul quotes from Menander and in Tit 1:12 from Epimenides. J. Rendel Harris claims that he finds allusions in Paul's Epistles to Pindar, Aristophanes, and other Greek writers. There is no reason in the world why Paul should not have acquaintance with Greek literature, though one need not strain a point to prove it. Paul, of course, knew that the words were written of Zeus (Jupiter), not of Jehovah, but he applies the idea in them to his point just made that all men are the offspring of God.

## Acts 17:29

We ought not to think (ovк oчعı入o $\mu \varepsilon v \nu о \mu \zeta \varepsilon \iota v$ ). It is a logical conclusion (ouv, therefore) from the very language of Aratus and Cleanthes.

That the Godhead is like ( $\tau 0 \theta \varepsilon \iota \nu \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ouotov). Infinitive with accusative of general reference in indirect discourse. To $\theta \varepsilon \iota \circ v$ is strictly "the divine" nature like $\theta \varepsilon \iota o \tau \eta \varsigma$ (Ro 1:20
) rather than like $\theta \varepsilon o \tau \eta \varsigma(\operatorname{Col} 2: 9)$. Paul may have used to $\theta \varepsilon \iota o v$ here to get back behind all their notions of various gods to the real nature of God. The Athenians may even have used the term themselves. After ouotoc (like) the associative instrumental case is used as with $\chi \rho v \sigma \omega \mathrm{l}, \alpha \rho \gamma \cup \rho \omega \iota, \lambda_{\imath} \theta \omega$.

Graven by art and device of man ( $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \tau \varepsilon \chi \nu \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha \varepsilon v \theta v \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ ). Apposition with preceding and so $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau$ in associative instrumental case. Literally, graven work or sculpture from $\chi \alpha \rho a \sigma \sigma \omega$, to engrave, old word, but here alone in N.T. outside of Revelation (the mark of the beast). Graven work of art ( $\tau \varepsilon \chi \vee \eta \varsigma)$ or external craft, and of thought or device ( $\varepsilon v \theta v \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$ or internal conception of man.

Acts 17:30
The times of ignorance ( $\tau 00 \varsigma \chi$ povous $\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \gamma v o t a \varsigma$ ). The times before full knowledge of God came in Jesus Christ. Paul uses the very word for their ignorance ( $a \gamma v o o v v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ) employed in verse 23.

Overlooked (v $\tau \varepsilon \rho เ \delta \omega v$ ). Second aorist active participle of v v $\rho \circ \rho \alpha \omega$ or $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \omega$, old verb to see beyond, not to see, to overlook, not "to wink at" of the Authorized Version with the notion of condoning. Here only in the N.T. It occurs in the LXX in the sense of overlooking or neglecting (Ps 18:62; 55:1). But it has here only a negative force. God has all the time objected to the polytheism of the heathen, and now he has made it plain. In Wisdom 11:23 we have these words: "Thou overlookest the sins of men to the end they may repent."

But now ( $\tau \alpha v v v$ ). Accusative of general reference, "as to the now things or situation." All is changed now that Christ has come with the full knowledge of God. See also 27:22.

All everywhere ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi \circ v)$. No exceptions anywhere.
Repent ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon ı v$ ). Present active infinitive of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon \omega$ in indirect command, a permanent command of perpetual force. See on $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon \omega$ Ac 2:38 and the Synoptic Gospels. This word was the message of the Baptist, of Jesus, of Peter, of Paul, this radical change of attitude and life.

Acts 17:31
Inasmuch as ( $\kappa \alpha \theta$ от). According as ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$, от). Old causal conjunction, but in N.T. only used in Luke's writings (Lu 1:7; 19:9; Ac 2:45; 4:35; 17:31).

Hath appointed a day ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon \vee \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha v$ ) First aorist active indicative of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, to place, set. God did set the day in his counsel and he will fulfil it in his own time.

Will judge ( $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \rho ı v \varepsilon เ v)$. Rather, is going to judge, $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ and the present active infinitive of $\kappa \rho \iota v \omega$. Paul here quotes Ps 9:8 where кр $\downarrow v \varepsilon$ occurs.

By the man whom he hath ordained ( $\varepsilon v \alpha v \delta \rho \omega \omega \rho \iota \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Here he adds to the Psalm the place and function of Jesus Christ, a passage in harmony with Christ's own words in Mt $25 . \mathrm{H} \omega$ (whom) is attracted from the accusative, object of $\omega$ pıoॄv (first aorist active indicative of opl$\zeta \omega)$ to the case of the antecedent $\alpha v \delta \rho$. It has been said that Paul left the simple gospel in this address to the council of the Areopagus for philosophy. But did he? He skilfully
caught their attention by reference to an altar to an Unknown God whom he interprets to be the Creator of all things and all men who overrules the whole world and who now commands repentance of all and has revealed his will about a day of reckoning when Jesus Christ will be Judge. He has preached the unity of God, the one and only God, has proclaimed repentance, a judgment day, Jesus as the Judge as shown by his Resurrection, great fundamental doctrines, and doubtless had much more to say when they interrupted his address. There is no room here for such a charge against Paul. He rose to a great occasion and made a masterful exposition of God's place and power in human history.

Whereof he hath given assurance ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \imath v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \chi \omega v)$. Second aorist active participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$, old verb to furnish, used regularly by Demosthenes for bringing forward evidence. Note this old use of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$ as conviction or ground of confidence (Heb 11:1) like a note or title-deed, a conviction resting on solid basis of fact. All the other uses of fiotıc grow out of this one from $\pi \varepsilon \theta \omega$, to persuade.
 active participle of avıбт $\eta$, causal participle, but literally, "having raised him from the dead." This Paul knew to be a fact because he himself had seen the Risen Christ. Paul has here come to the heart of his message and could now throw light on their misapprehension about "Jesus and the Resurrection" (verse 18). Here Paul has given the proof of all his claims in the address that seemed new and strange to them.

Acts 17:32
The resurrection of the dead ( $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota v ~ v \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega v$ ). Rather, "a resurrection of dead men." No article with either word. The Greeks believed that the souls of men lived on, but they had no conception of resurrection of the body. They had listened with respect till Paul spoke of the actual resurrection of Jesus from the dead as a fact, when they did not care to hear more.

Some mocked (o $\mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \chi \lambda \varepsilon v a \zeta o v$ ). Imperfect active of $\chi \lambda \varepsilon v a \zeta \omega$, a common verb (from $\chi \lambda \varepsilon \cup \eta$, jesting, mockery). Only here in the N.T. though late MSS. have it in 2:13 (best MSS. $\delta \operatorname{ta\chi } \lambda \varepsilon v a \zeta(\omega)$. Probably inchoative here, began to mock. In contempt at Paul's statement they declined to listen further to "this babbler" (verse 18) who had now lost what he had gained with this group of hearers (probably the light and flippant Epicureans).

But others (o $\delta \varepsilon$ ). A more polite group like those who had invited him to speak (verse 19). They were unconvinced, but had better manners and so were in favour of an adjournment. This was done, though it is not clear whether it was a serious postponement or a courteous refusal to hear Paul further (probably this). It was a virtual dismissal of the matter. " It is a sad story--the noblest of ancient cities and the noblest man of history--and he never cared to look on it again" (Furneaux).

Acts 17:33

Thus Paul went out from among them (ov $\omega \varsigma$ o Пav No further questions, no effort to arrest him, no further ridicule. He walked out never to return to Athens. Had he failed?

Acts 17:34
Clave unto him and believed ( $\kappa \circ \lambda \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \alpha \cup \tau \omega \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive of this strong word ко $\lambda \lambda \alpha \omega$, to glue to, common in Acts ( $5: 13 ; 8: 29 ; 9: 26 ; 10: 28$ ) No sermon is a failure which leads a group of men ( $\alpha v \delta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$ ) to believe (ingressive aorist of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$ ) in Jesus Christ. Many so-called great or grand sermons reap no such harvest.

Dionysius the Areopagite ( $\Delta$ ıovvoıos o Apعo $\pi \alpha \nmid \tau \eta \varsigma$ ). One of the judges of the Court of the Areopagus. That of itself was no small victory. He was one of this college of twelve judges who had helped to make Athens famous. Eusebius says that he became afterwards bishop of the Church at Athens and died a martyr.

A woman named Damaris ( $\gamma \cup v \eta$ ovo $\mu \alpha \tau \Delta \alpha \mu \alpha \rho ı \varsigma)$. A woman by name Damaris. Not the wife of Dionysius as some have thought, but an aristocratic woman, not necessarily an educated courtezan as Furneaux holds. And there were "others" ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ$ ) with them, a group strong enough to keep the fire burning in Athens. It is common to say that Paul in 1Co 2:1-5 alludes to his failure with philosophy in Athens when he failed to preach Christ crucified and he determined never to make that mistake again. On the other hand Paul determined to stick to the Cross of Christ in spite of the fact that the intellectual pride and superficial culture of Athens had prevented the largest success. As he faced Corinth with its veneer of culture and imitation of philosophy and sudden wealth he would go on with the same gospel of the Cross, the only gospel that Paul knew or preached. And it was a great thing to give the world a sermon like that preached in Athens.

## Acts 18

Acts 18:1
To Corinth ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ KopıvӨov). Mummius had captured and destroyed Corinth B.C. 146. It was restored by Julius Caesar B.C. 46 as a boom town and made a colony. It was now the capital of the province of Achaia and the chief commercial city of Greece with a cosmopolitan population. It was only fifty miles from Athens. The summit of Acrocorinthus was 1,800 feet high and the ports of Cenchreae and Lechaeum and the Isthmus across which ships were hauled gave it command of the trade routes between Asia and Rome. The temple of Aphrodite on the Acrocorinthus had a thousand consecrated prostitutes and the very name to Corinthianize meant immorality. Not the Parthenon with Athene faced Paul in Corinth, but a worse situation. Naturally many Jews were in such a mart of trade. Philippi, Thessalonica, Beroea, Athens, all had brought anxiety to Paul. What could he expect in licentious Corinth?

Acts 18:2
Aquila (Aкv $\lambda \alpha v$ ). Luke calls him a Jew from Pontus, apparently not yet a disciple, though there were Jews from Pontus at the great Pentecost who were converted ( 2:9). Aquila who made the famous A.D. translation of the O.T. was also from Pontus. Paul "found" ( $\varepsilon \cup \rho \omega v$, second aorist active participle of $\varepsilon v \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$ ) though we do not know how. Edersheim says that a Jewish guild always kept together whether in street or synagogue so that by this bond they probably met.

Lately come from Italy ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \varphi \alpha \tau \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta o \tau \alpha \alpha \pi \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma I \tau \alpha \lambda \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. Second perfect participle of $\varepsilon \rho \chi о \mu \alpha$. Koine adverb, here only in the N.T., from adjective $\pi \rho о \sigma \varphi \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma(\pi \rho \circ, \sigma \varphi \alpha \omega$ or $\sigma \varphi \alpha \zeta \omega$, to kill), lately slaughtered and so fresh or recent (Heb 10:20 ).

With his wife Priscilla ( $\kappa \alpha$ Прькı $\lambda \lambda \alpha \nu \gamma \cup v \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \alpha \tau о v$ ). Diminutive of Прьбка (Rо 16:3; 1Co 16:19 ). Prisca is a name in the Acilian family and the Prisci was the name of another noble clan. Aquila may have been a freedman like many Jews in Rome. Her name comes before his in verses 18,26; Ro 16:3; $2 \mathrm{Ti} 4: 9$.

Because Claudius had commanded ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ тo $\delta ı \alpha \varepsilon \tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon v \alpha$ K $\lambda \alpha v \delta ı v$ ). Perfect active articular infinitive of $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, old verb to dispose, arrange, here with accusative of general reference. $\Delta$ ta here is causal sense, "because of the having ordered as to Claudius." This was about A.D. 49, done, Suetonius says (Claudius C. 25), because "the Jews were in a state of constant tumult at the instigation of one Chrestus" (probably among the Jews about Christ so pronounced). At any rate Jews were unpopular in Rome for Tiberius had deported 4,000 to Sardinia. There were 20,000 Jews in Rome. Probably mainly those implicated in the riots actually left.

Acts 18:3

Because he was of the same trade ( $\delta ı \alpha$ то о $о \tau \varepsilon \chi \vee \circ \vee \varepsilon เ v \alpha$ ). Same construction with $\delta_{1 \alpha}$ as above. Ho $\mu о \tau \varepsilon \chi \nu o v$ is an old word (o $о \sigma, \tau \varepsilon \chi \vee \eta$ ), though here alone in N.T. Rabbi Judah says: "He that teacheth not his son a trade, doth the same as if he taught him to be a thief." So it was easy for Paul to find a home with these "tentmakers by trade" (бкпขоוлоьо $\tau \eta \tau \varepsilon \chi \nu \eta)$. Late word from $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$ and $\pi o \iota \varepsilon \omega$, here only in the N.T. They made portable tents of leather or of cloth of goat's hair. So Paul lived in this home with this noble man and his wife, all the more congenial if already Christians which they soon became at any rate. They worked as partners in the common trade. Paul worked for his support elsewhere, already in Thessalonica (1Th 2:9; 2Th 3:8) and later at Ephesus with Aquila and Priscilla (Ac 18:18,26; 20:34; 1Co 16:19). They moved again to Rome (Ro $16: 3$ ) and were evidently a couple of considerable wealth and generosity. It was a blessing to Paul to find himself with these people. So he "abode" ( $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon v$, imperfect active) with them and "they wrought" ( $\cap \rho \gamma \zeta$ оvто, imperfect middle), happy and busy during week days.

Acts 18:4
He reasoned ( $\delta เ \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau о$ ). Imperfect middle, same form as in 17:17 about Paul's work in Athens, here only on the Sabbaths.

Persuaded $(\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \theta \varepsilon v)$. Imperfect active, conative, he tried to persuade both Jews and Greeks (God-fearers who alone would come).

Acts 18:5
Was constrained by the word ( $\sigma u v \varepsilon \iota \varepsilon \tau \circ \tau \omega \lambda o \gamma \omega$ ). This is undoubtedly the correct text and not $\tau \omega \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu a \tau$ of the Textus Receptus, but $\sigma v \nu \varepsilon \iota \chi \varepsilon \tau \sigma$ is in my opinion the direct middle imperfect indicative, not the imperfect passive as the translations have it (Robertson, Grammar, p. 808). Paul held himself together or completely to the preaching instead of just on Sabbaths in the synagogue (verse 4). The coming of Silas and Timothy with the gifts from Macedonia (1Th 3:6; 2Co 11:9; Php 4:15 ) set Paul free from tent-making for a while so that he began to devote himself (inchoative imperfect) with fresh consecration to preaching. See the active in 2Co 5:14. He was now also assisted by Silas and Timothy (2Co 1:19).

Testifying to the Jews that Jesus was the Christ ( $\delta ı \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho о \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma \tau<\varsigma$ Iov tov Xpıбтov I $\eta \sigma o v v$ ). Paul's witness everywhere (9:22; 17:3 ). This verb $\delta \iota \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho o \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ occurs in 2:40 (which see) for Peter's earnest witness. Perhaps daily now in the synagogue he spoke to the Jews who came. Eiva is the infinitive in indirect discourse (assertion) with the accusative of general reference. By qov Xpıбтov Paul means "the Messiah." His witness is to show to the Jews that Jesus of Nazareth is the Messiah.

Acts 18:6
When they opposed themselves ( $\alpha v \tau \iota \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma o \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ). Genitive absolute with present middle (direct middle again) of $\alpha \nu \tau \iota \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, old verb to range in battle array ( $\tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ ) face to face with or against ( $\alpha v \tau$ ). In the N.T. only here and Ro 13:2; Jas 4:6; 1Pe 5:5 . Paul's
fresh activity roused the rabbis as at Antioch in Pisidia and at Thessalonica in concerted opposition and railing（blasphemy）．

He shook out his raiment（ $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \iota \nu \alpha \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma \tau \alpha \iota \mu \alpha \tau \iota \alpha$ ）．First aorist middle of $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \iota \nu \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ ， old verb，in the N．T．only here as in 13：51（middle）and Mr 6：11；Mt 10：15 where active voice occurs of shaking out dust also．Vivid and dramatic picture here like that in $\mathrm{Ne} 5: 13$ ，＂un－ doubtedly a very exasperating gesture＂（Ramsay），but Paul was deeply stirred．

Your blood be upon your own heads（To $\alpha \mu \alpha \nu \mu \omega \nu \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \nu \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \nu \nu \mu \omega \nu$ ）．As in Eze 3：18f．，33：4，8f．；2Sa 1：16．Not as a curse，but＂a solemn disclaimer of responsibility＂by Paul （Page）as in Ac 20：26．The Jews used this very phrase in assuming responsibility for the blood of Jesus（Mt 27：25 ）．Cf．Mt 23：35 ．

I am clean（ $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ）．Pure from your blood．Repeats the claim made in previous sentence．Paul had done his duty．

From henceforth（ $\alpha \pi 0$ tov $v v v$ ）．Turning point reached in Corinth．He will devote himself to the Gentiles，though Jews will be converted there also．Elsewhere as in Ephesus （ 19：1－10）and in Rome（Ac 28：23－28 ）Paul will preach also to Jews．

## Acts 18：7

Titus Justus（Tı七ov Iovotov）．So Aleph E Vulgate，while B has Tı七ıav Iovo兀ov，while most MSS．have only Iovotov．Evidently a Roman citizen and not Titus，brother of Luke， of Ga 2：1．We had Barsabbas Justus（Ac 1：23）and Paul speaks of Jesus Justus（Co 4：11）． The Titii were a famous family of potters in Corinth．This Roman was a God－fearer whose house＂joined hard to the synagogue＂（ $\eta v \sigma v \nu о \mu о \rho о v \sigma \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \nu \nu \alpha \gamma \omega \eta)$ ．Periphrastic imperfect active of $\sigma v \nu o \mu \circ \rho \varepsilon \omega$ ，a late（Byzantine）word，here only in the N．T．，followed by the associ－ ative instrumental case，from $\sigma v v о \mu о \rho о \varsigma ~(~ \sigma v v, ~ о \mu о \rho о \varsigma ~ f r o m ~ o ~ о о \varsigma, ~ j o i n t, ~ a n d ~ о \rho о \varsigma, ~ b o u n d a r y) ~$ having joint boundaries，right next to．Whether Paul chose this location for his work because it was next to the synagogue，we do not know，but it caught the attendants at the synagogue worship．In Ephesus when Paul had to leave the synagogue he went to the school house of Tyrannus（19：9f．）．The lines are being drawn between the Christians and the Jews，drawn by the Jews themselves．

Acts 18：8
Crispus（Kрıбпоৎ）．Though a Jew and ruler of the synagogue（cf．13：15），he had a Latin name．Paul baptized him（1Co 1：14 ）himself，perhaps because of his prominence，apparently letting Silas and Timothy baptize most of the converts（1Co 1：14－17）．Probably he followed Paul to the house of Titus Justus．It looked like ruin for the synagogue．

With all his house（ $\sigma v \nu$ о $\lambda \omega \tau \omega$ oıк $\omega$ av $\tau \sigma v$ ）．Another household conversion，for Crispus＂believed（ $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v)$ in the Lord with all his house．＂

Hearing believed and were baptized（aкоvоvтєৎ $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v о \nu \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \zeta о v \tau о)$ ．Present active participle and imperfect indicatives active and passive，expressing repetition for the ＂many＂others who kept coming to the Lord in Corinth．It was a continual revival after Silas
and Timothy came and a great church was gathered here during the nearly two years that Paul laboured in Corinth (possibly A.D. 51 and 52).

Acts 18:9
Be not afraid, but speak, and hold not thy peace ( $\mathrm{M} \eta \varphi \circ \beta o v, \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \mu \eta$ $\sigma \omega \omega \pi \eta \sigma \eta \iota()$. Literally, "stop being afraid ( $\mu \eta$ with present middle imperative of $\varphi o \beta \varepsilon \omega$ ), but go on speaking (present active imperative of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ ) and do not become silent ( $\mu \eta$ and first aorist active of $\sigma \omega \pi \alpha \omega$, ingressive aorist)." Evidently there were signs of a gathering storm before this vision and message from the Lord Jesus came to Paul one night. Paul knew only too well what Jewish hatred could do as he had learned it at Damascus, Jerusalem, Antioch in Pisidia, Iconium, Lystra, Derbe, Thessalonica, Beroea. He had clearly moments of doubt whether he had not better move on or become silent for a while in Corinth. Every pastor knows what it is to have such moods and moments. In 2Th 3:2 (written at this time) we catch Paul's dejection of spirits. He was like Elijah (1Ki 19:4) and Jeremiah (Jer 15:15ff. ).

Acts 18:10
Because I am with thee ( $\delta \iota \tau \varepsilon \gamma \omega \varepsilon \mu \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sigma o v$ ). Jesus had given this promise to all believers (Mt 28:20) and here he renews it to Paul. This promise changes Paul's whole outlook. Jesus had spoken to Paul before, on the way to Damascus (9:4), in Jerusalem (22:17f. ), in Troas ( 16:9), in great crises of his life. He will hear him again (23:11; 27:23 ). Paul knows the voice of Jesus.

No man shall set on thee to harm thee (ou $\delta \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \pi ı \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha ~ \sigma о ~ \tau о ט ~ \kappa \alpha \kappa \omega \sigma \alpha ~ \sigma \varepsilon)$. Future direct middle indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau 1 \theta \eta \mu$, old and common verb, here in direct middle to lay or throw oneself upon, to attack. Jesus kept that promise in Corinth for Paul. Tov как $\omega \sigma \alpha$ is genitive articular infinitive of purpose of како $\omega$, to do harm to. Paul would now face all the rabbis without fear.

I have much people ( $\lambda \alpha \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \mu о \pi о \lambda \nu \varsigma)$. Dative of personal interest. "There is to me much people," not yet saved, but who will be if Paul holds on. There is the problem for every preacher and pastor, how to win the elect to Christ.

Acts 18:11
A year and six months ( $\varepsilon v i \alpha v \tau o v \kappa \alpha \mu \eta \nu \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \xi)$. Accusative of extent of time. How much time before this incident he had been there we do not know. He was in Corinth probably a couple of years in all. His work extended beyond the city (2Co 11:10) and there was a church in Cenchreae (Ro 16:1).

Acts 18:12
When Gallio was proconsul of Achaia ( $\Gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \omega v o \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \alpha v \theta v \pi \alpha \tau о v$ оvтоৎ $\tau \eta \varsigma A \chi \alpha ı \alpha \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute of present participle ovtoc. Brother of Seneca the Stoic (Nero's tutor) and uncle of Lucan the author of the Фapбa入ıa. His original name was M. Annaeus Novatus till he was adopted by Gallio the rhetorician. The family was Spanish. Gallio was a man of culture and refinement and may have been chosen proconsul of Achaia for this reason.

Statius calls him "dulcis Gallio." Seneca says of him: Nemo enim mortalium uni tam dulcis quam hic omnibus (No one of mortals is so pleasant to one person as he is to all). Luke alone among writers says that he was proconsul, but Seneca speaks of his being in Achaia where he caught fever, a corroboration of Luke. But now a whitish grey limestone inscription from the Hagios Elias quarries near Delphi (a letter of Claudius to Delphi) has been found which
 Achaia after various shifts (first senatorial, then imperial) back and forth with Macedonia, in A.D. 44 Claudius gave back to the Senate with proconsul as the title of the governor. It is amazing how Luke is confirmed whenever a new discovery is made. The discovery of this inscription has thrown light also on the date of Paul's work in Corinth as it says that Gallio came in the 26th acclamation of Claudius as Emperor in A.D. 51, that would definitely fix the time of Paul in Corinth as A.D. 50 and 51 (or 51 and 52). Deissmann has a full and able discussion of the whole matter in Appendix I to his St. Paul.

Rose up (катєпєбт $\eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Second aorist active of $\kappa \alpha \tau-\varepsilon \varphi-\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, intransitive, to take a stand against, a double compound verb found nowhere else. They took a stand ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ) against (ката, down on, $\varepsilon \pi$, upon), they made a dash or rush at Paul as if they would stand it no longer.

Before the judgment seat ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau$ тo $\beta \eta \mu \alpha$ ). See on 12:21. The proconsul was sitting in the basilica in the forum or agora. The Jews had probably heard of his reputation for moderation and sought to make an impression as they had on the praetors of Philippi by their rush ( $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta, 16: 22$ ). The new proconsul was a good chance also ( $25: 2$ ). So for the second time Paul faces a Roman proconsul (Sergius Paulus, 13:7) though under very different circumstances.

Acts 18:13
Contrary to the law ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ тov vouov). They did not accuse Paul of treason as in Thessalonica, perhaps Paul had been more careful in his language here. They bring the same charge here that the owners of the slave-girl brought in Philippi (16:21) Perhaps they fear to go too far with Gallio, for they are dealing with a Roman proconsul, not with the politarchs of Thessalonica. The Jewish religion was a religio licita and they were allowed to make proselytes, but not among Roman citizens. To prove that Paul was acting contrary to Roman law (for Jewish law had no standing with Gallio though the phrase has a double meaning) these Jews had to show that Paul was making converts in ways that violated the Roman regulations on that subject. The accusation as made did not show it nor did they produce any evidence to do it. The verb used $\alpha v a \pi \varepsilon \mid \theta \varepsilon$ means to stir up by persuasion (old verb here only in the N.T.), a thing that he had a right to do.

Acts 18:14

When Paul was about to open his mouth ( $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda$ оv $\tau \circ \varsigma \tau 0 v$ Пav $\frac{1}{}$ ov avo七 $\gamma \varepsilon \iota v \tau о \sigma \tau \circ \mu \alpha$ ). Genitive absolute again. Before Paul could speak, Gallio cut in and ended the whole matter. According to their own statement Paul needed no defence.

Wrong ( $\alpha \delta_{ı \kappa \eta \mu \alpha) . ~ I n j u r i a . ~ O l d ~ w o r d, ~ a ~ w r o n g ~ d o n e ~ o n e . ~ I n ~ N . T . ~ o n l y ~ h e r e, ~ A c ~ 24: 20 ; ~}^{\text {; }}$ Re 18:5. Here it may mean a legal wrong to the state.

Wicked villainy ( $\rho \alpha \iota \delta \iota v \rho \gamma \eta \mu \alpha$ ). A crime, act of a criminal, from $\rho \alpha \iota \delta \iota v \rho \gamma \circ \varsigma$ ( $\rho \alpha ı \delta ı \rho$, easy, $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ \nu$, work), one who does a thing with ease, adroitly, a "slick citizen."

Reason would that I should bear with you ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda о \gamma о \nu \alpha \nu \alpha \nu \varepsilon \sigma \chi \circ \mu \eta \nu \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Literally, "according to reason I should have put up with you (or held myself back from you)." This condition is the second class (determined as unfulfilled) and means that the Jews had no case against Paul in a Roman court. The verb in the conclusion ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \sigma \chi \circ \mu \eta v$ ) is second aorist middle indicative and means with the ablative $v \mu \omega v$ "I should have held myself back (direct middle) from you (ablative). The use of $\alpha v$ makes the form of the condition plain.

Acts 18:15
Questions ( $\eta \tau \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha)$. Plural, contemptuous, "a parcel of questions" (Knowling).
About words ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \lambda o \gamma o v$ ). Word, singular, talk, not deed or fact ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma o v, \varphi \alpha \chi \tau \nu \mu$ ).
And names ( $\kappa \alpha$ оvo $\mu \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). As to whether "Jesus" should also be called "Christ" or "Messiah." The Jews, Gallio knew, split hairs over words and names.

And your own law ( $\kappa \alpha$ vouov $\tau$ ov $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} v \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ) Literally, "And law that according to you." Gallio had not been caught in the trap set for him. What they had said concerned Jewish law, not Roman law at all.

Look to it yourselves ( $0 \psi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \alpha v \tau 0$ ). The volitive future middle indicative of o $\alpha \alpha \omega$ often used (cf. Mt 27:4) where an imperative could be employed (Robertson, Grammar, p. 874). The use of avto (yourselves) turns it all over to them.

I am not minded (ov $\beta o v \lambda o \mu \alpha$ ). I am not willing, I do not wish. An absolute refusal to allow a religious question to be brought before a Roman civil court. This decision of Gallio does not establish Christianity in preference to Judaism. It simply means that the case was plainly that Christianity was a form of Judaism and as such was not opposed to Roman law. This decision opened the door for Paul's preaching all over the Roman Empire. Later Paul himself argues (Ro 9-11) that in fact Christianity is the true, the spiritual Judaism.

Acts 18:16
He drave them ( $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau o v \varsigma)$. First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \nu \nu \omega$, old word, but here alone in the N.T. The Jews were stunned by this sudden blow from the mild proconsul and wanted to linger to argue the case further, but they had to go.

Acts 18:17
They all laid hold on Sosthenes ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \alpha \beta о \mu \varepsilon v o \pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \Sigma \omega \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \eta v$ ). See 16:19; 17:19 for the same form. Here is violent hostile reaction against their leader who had failed so miserably.

Beat him ( $\varepsilon \tau v \pi \tau o v$ ). Inchoative imperfect active, began to beat him, even if they could not beat Paul. Sosthenes succeeded Crispus (verse 8) when he went over to Paul. The beating did Sosthenes good for he too finally is a Christian (1Co 1:1), a co-worker with Paul whom he had sought to persecute.

And Gallio cared for none of these things ( $\kappa \alpha \sigma v \delta \varepsilon \nu \tau o v \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega \Gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \omega \nu \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \nu$ ). Literally, "no one of these things was a care to Gallio." The usually impersonal verb ( $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota$, $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v$, imperfect active) here has the nominative as in $\mathrm{Lu} 10: 40$. These words have been often misunderstood as a description of Gallio's lack of interest in Christianity, a religious indifferentist. But that is quite beside the mark. Gallio looked the other way with a blind eye while Sosthenes got the beating which he richly deserved. That was a small detail for the police court, not for the proconsul's concern. Gallio shows up well in Luke's narrative as a clear headed judge who would not be led astray by Jewish subterfuges and with the courage to dismiss a mob.

Acts 18:18
Having tarried after this yet many days ( $\varepsilon \tau \pi \rho о \sigma \mu \varepsilon \iota v a \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma ~ \iota \kappa \alpha v a \varsigma)$. First aorist (constative) active participle of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega$, old verb, to remain besides ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ as in $1 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 3$ ) and that idea is expressed also in $\varepsilon \tau$ (yet). The accusative is extent of time. On Luke's frequent use of tкavos see $8: 11$. It is not certain that this period of "considerable days" which followed the trial before Gallio is included in the year and six months of verse 11 or is in addition to it which is most likely. Vindicated as Paul was, there was no reason for haste in leaving, though he usually left after such a crisis was passed.

Took his leave ( $\alpha \pi о \tau \alpha \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \circ \varsigma)$. First aorist middle (direct), old verb, to separate oneself, to bid farewell (Vulgate valefacio), as in verse 21; Mr 6:46.

Sailed thence ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active of $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, old and common verb, inchoative imperfect, started to sail. Only Priscilla and Aquila are mentioned as his companions though others may have been in the party.

Having shorn his head ( $\kappa \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \nu$ ). First aorist middle (causative) of $\kappa \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$, old verb to shear (sheep) and the hair as also in 1Co 11:6. The participle is masculine and so cannot refer to Priscilla. Aquila comes next to the participle, but since mention of Priscilla and Aquila is parenthetical and the two other participles ( $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \mu \varepsilon เ v a \sigma$, $\alpha \pi о \tau \alpha \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon \vee \circ \varsigma)$ refer to Paul it seems clear that this one does also.

For he had a vow ( $\varepsilon \iota \chi \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon v \chi \eta \nu)$. Imperfect active showing the continuance of the vow up till this time in Cenchreae, the port of Corinth when it expired. It was not a Nazarite vow which could be absolved only in Jerusalem. It is possible that the hair was only polled or trimmed, cut shorter, not "shaved" ( $\xi v \rho a \omega$ as in $21: 24$ ) for there is a distinction as both verbs are contrasted in 1Co 11:6 ( $\kappa \varepsilon \iota \rho \sigma \theta \alpha \eta \xi v \rho \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha)$. It is not clear what sort of a vow Paul had taken nor why he took it. It may have been a thank offering for the outcome at

Corinth (Hackett). Paul as a Jew kept up his observance of the ceremonial law, but refused to impose it on the Gentiles.

Acts 18:19
Came ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \nu \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. Came down, as usual in speaking of coming to land ( 16:1).
To Ephesus ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \mathrm{E} \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \circ v$ ). This great city on the Cayster, the capital of the Province of Asia, the home of the worship of Diana (Artemis) with a wonderful temple, Paul at last had reached, though forbidden to come on the way out on this tour (16:6). Here Paul will spend three years after his return from Jerusalem.

He left them there (какєıvov̧ катє $\lambda \iota \pi \varepsilon v$ autov). That is, Priscilla and Aquila he left (second aorist active indicative) here (avtov). But Luke mentions the departure by way of anticipation before he actually went away (verse 21).

But he himself (avtoc $\delta \varepsilon$ ). Paul again the leading person in the narrative. On this occasion he may have gone alone into the synagogue.

He reasoned ( $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \tau 0)$. Luke's favourite word for Paul's synagogue discourses (17:2,17; 18:4 which see) as also 19:8,9 .

Acts 18:20
When they asked him ( $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega v \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute of present participle of $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \omega$, old verb to ask a question, common in Koine to make a request as here.

He consented not (ouk $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon v \varepsilon \cup \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota v \varepsilon v \omega$, old verb to express approval by a nod, only here in the N.T.

Acts 18:21
I shall return ( $\alpha \vee \alpha \kappa \alpha \mu \psi \omega)$. Future active indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \kappa \alpha \mu \pi \tau \omega$, old verb to bend back, turn back (Mt 2:2 ).

If God will ( $\operatorname{tov} \theta \varepsilon$ gov $\theta \varepsilon \lambda$ ovtoc). Genitive absolute of present active participle. This expression ( $\varepsilon \alpha v$ with subjunctive) occurs also in 1Co 4:19; 16:7; Jas 4:15. Such phrases were common among Jews, Greeks, and Romans, and are today. It is simply a recognition that we are in God's hands. The Textus Receptus has here a sentence not in the best MSS.: "I must by all means keep this feast that cometh in Jerusalem." This addition by D and other documents may have been due to a desire to give a reason for the language in verse 22 about "going up" to Jerusalem. Whether Paul said it or not, it was in the spring when he made this journey with a company of pilgrims probably going to the feast of Pentecost in Jerusalem. We know that later Paul did try to reach Jerusalem for Pentecost (20:16) and succeeded. As the ship was leaving, Paul had to go, but with the hope of returning soon to Ephesus as he did.

Acts 18:22
He went up and saluted the church ( $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha \varsigma \kappa \alpha ~ \alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon к \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha)$ ). The language could refer to the church in Caesarea where Paul had just landed, except for several things. The going up ( $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha \varsigma$, second aorist active participle of $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha \iota v \omega$ ) is the common
way of speaking of going to Jerusalem which was up from every direction save from Hebron. It was the capital of Palestine as people in England today speaking of going up to London. Besides "he went down to Antioch" ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \beta \eta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma A \nu \tau \iota \chi \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu$, second aorist active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \iota \omega \omega$ ) which language suits better leaving Jerusalem than Caesarea. Moreover, there was no special reason for this trip to Caesarea, but to Jerusalem it was different. Here Paul saluted the church in the fourth of his five visits after his conversion (9:26; $11: 30 ; 15: 4 ; 18: 22$; 21:17 ). The apostles may or may not have been in the city, but Paul had friends in Jerusalem now. Apparently he did not tarry long, but returned to Antioch to make a report of his second mission tour as he had done at the close of the first when he and Barnabas came back ( $14: 26-28$ ). He had started on this tour with Silas and had picked up Timothy and Luke, but came back alone. He had a great story to tell.

Acts 18:23
Having spent some time ( $\pi о \_\sigma \alpha \varsigma ~ \chi \rho o v o v \tau ı v \alpha$ ). Literally, having done some time. How long we do not know, probably not long. There are those who place the visit of Peter here to which Paul alludes in Ga 2:11ff. and which we have located while Paul was here the last time (Ac 15:35 ).

He departed $(\varepsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v)$. Thus simply and alone Paul began the third mission tour without a Barnabas or a Silas.

Went through ( $\delta \varepsilon \rho \chi о \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma)$. Present middle participle, going through.
The region of Galatia and Phrygia ( $\tau \varepsilon \nu \Gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \nu \chi \omega \rho \alpha \nu \kappa \alpha \Phi \rho \psi \gamma \iota \alpha v$ ). See on Ac 16:6 for discussion of this phrase, here in reverse order, passing through the Galatic region and then Phrygia. Does Luke mean Lycaonia (Derbe and Lystra) and Phrygia (Iconium and Pisidian Antioch)? Or does he mean the route west through the old Galatia and the old Phrygia on west into Asia? The same conflict exists here over the South Galatian and the North Galatian theories. Phrygia is apparently distinguished from the Galatic region here. It is apparently A.D. 52 when Paul set out on this tour.

In order $(\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \xi \eta \varsigma)$. In succession as in 11:4, though the names of the cities are not given.

Stablishing ( $\sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \omega \nu$ ). As he did in the second tour ( 15:41, $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \zeta \omega v$, compound of this same verb) which see.

Acts 18:24
Apollos $(A \pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma)$. Genitive $-\omega$ Attic second declension. Probably a contraction of A $\pi$ o $\lambda$ 入ovios as D has it here.

An Alexandrian (A $\lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \vee \delta \rho \varepsilon \cup \varsigma)$. Alexander the Great founded this city B.C. 332 and placed a colony of Jews there which flourished greatly, one-third of the population at this time. There was a great university and library there. The Jewish-Alexandrian philosophy developed here of which Philo was the chief exponent who was still living. Apollos was undoubtedly a man of the schools and a man of parts.

A learned man ( $\alpha \vee \eta \rho \lambda o \gamma \cos$ ). Or eloquent, as the word can mean either a man of words (like one "wordy," verbose) or a man of ideas, since $\lambda$ oүo $\varsigma$ was used either for reason or speech. Apollos was doubtless both learned (mighty in the Scriptures) and eloquent, though eloquence varies greatly in people's ideas.

Mighty in the Scriptures ( $\delta v v a \tau o \varsigma ~ \omega v ~ \varepsilon v ~ \tau a ı \varsigma ~ \gamma \rho a \varphi a ı \varsigma) . ~ B e i n g ~ p o w e r f u l ~(\delta u v a \tau o \varsigma ~$ verbal of $\delta v v a \mu \alpha$ and same root as $\delta v v a \mu ı$, dynamite, dynamo) in the Scriptures (in the knowledge and the use of the Scriptures), as should be true of every preacher. There is no excuse for ignorance of the Scriptures on the part of preachers, the professed interpreters of the word of God. The last lecture made to the New Testament English class in Southern Baptist Theological Seminary by John A. Broadus was on this passage with a plea for his students to be mighty in the Scriptures. In Alexandria Clement of Alexandria and Origen taught in the Christian theological school.

Acts 18:25
Had been instructed in the way of the Lord ( $\eta \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \chi \eta \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ \tau \eta v$ oסov $\tau 0 v \kappa v \rho \circ \circ)$. Periphrastic past perfect passive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \chi \varepsilon \omega$, rare in the old Greek and not in the LXX from кata and $\eta \chi \varepsilon \omega$ ( $\eta \chi \omega$, sound) as in Lu 1:4, to re-sound, to re-echo, to teach by repeated dinning into the ears as the Arabs do now, to teach orally by word of mouth (and ear). Here the accusative of the thing (the word) is retained in the passive like with $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega$, to teach (Robertson, Grammar, p. 485). Being fervent in spirit ( $\zeta \varepsilon \omega \nu \tau \omega \pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau$ ). Boiling (from $\zeta \varepsilon \omega$, to boil, old and common verb, in N.T. only here and Ro 12:11 ) like boiling water or yeast. The Latin verb ferveo means to boil or ferment. Locative case after it.

Taught carefully ( $\varepsilon \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha \kappa \rho \diamond \omega \varsigma$ ). Imperfect active, was teaching or inchoative, began teaching, accurately. He taught accurately what he knew, a fine gift for any preacher.

Only the baptism of John ( $\mu$ ovov to $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$ I $\omega \alpha v o v$ ). It was a
baptism of repentance (marked by repentance) as Paul said (13:24; 19:4), as Peter said ( $2: 38$ ) and as the Gospels tell (Mr 1:4 , etc.). That is to say, Apollos knew only what the Baptist knew when he died, but John had preached the coming of the Messiah, had baptized him, had identified him as the Son of God, had proclaimed the baptism of the Holy Spirit, but had not seen the Cross, the Resurrection of Jesus, nor the great Day of Pentecost.

Acts 18:26
They took him unto them ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta$ ovto). Second aorist middle (indirect) indicative of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, old verb, to their home and heart as companion (cf. the rabbis and the ruffians in 17:5). Probably for dinner after service.

Expounded ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon v \tau o)$. Second aorist (effective) middle indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$ seen already in 11:4, to set forth.

More carefully ( $\alpha \kappa \rho \iota \beta \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ). Comparative adverb of $\alpha \kappa \rho ı \omega c$. More accurately than he already knew. Instead of abusing the young and brilliant preacher for his ignorance they (particularly Priscilla) gave him the fuller story of the life and work of Jesus and of the
apostolic period to fill up the gaps in his knowledge. It is a needed and delicate task, this thing of teaching gifted young ministers. They do not learn it all in schools. More of it comes from contact with men and women rich in grace and in the knowledge of God's ways. He was not rebaptized, but only received fuller information.

Acts 18:27
Encouraged him ( $\pi \rho \circ \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). First aorist middle participle of $\pi \rho \circ \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega$, old verb, to urge forward, to push on, only here in the N.T. Since Apollos wanted ( $\beta$ ou入ougvov autou, genitive absolute) to go into Achaia, the brethren (including others besides Priscilla and Aquila) wrote ( $\varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha v$ ) a letter of introduction to the disciples in Corinth to receive him ( $\alpha \pi о \delta \varepsilon \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \alpha \cup \tau \circ v$ ), a nice letter of recommendation and a sincere one also. But Paul will refer to this very letter later (2Co 3:1) and observe that he himself needed no such letter of commendation. The Codex Bezae adds here that certain Corinthians who had come to Ephesus heard Apollos and begged him to cross over with them to Corinth. This may very well be the way that Apollos was led to go. Preachers often receive calls because visitors from other places hear them. Priscilla and Aquila were well known in Corinth and their approval would carry weight. But they did not urge Apollos to stay longer in Ephesus.

Helped them much ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tau о \pi o \lambda v$ ). Second aorist middle indicative of $\sigma u v \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ used in 17:18 for "dispute," old verb to throw together, in the N.T. always in the active save here in the middle (common in Greek writers) to put together, to help.

Through grace ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \chi \alpha \rho ı \tau \circ \varsigma)$. This makes sense if taken with "believed," as Hackett does (cf. 13:48; 16:14 ) or with "helped" (1Co 3:10; 15:10; 2Co 1:12). Both are true as the references show.

Acts 18:28
Powerfully ( $\varepsilon \cup \tau \circ \vee \omega \varsigma)$. Adverb from $\varepsilon v \tau o v o \varsigma ~(~ \varepsilon v, ~ w e l l, ~ \tau \varepsilon เ v \omega$, to stretch), well-strung, at full stretch.

Confuted ( $\delta ı \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi \varepsilon \tau о$ ). Imperfect middle of the double compound verb $\delta \iota \alpha-\kappa \alpha \tau-$ $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to confute with rivalry in a contest, here alone. The old Greek has $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi \omega$, to convict of falsehood, but not this double compound which means to argue down to a finish. It is the imperfect tense and does not mean that Apollos convinced these rabbis, but he had the last word.

Publicly ( $\delta \eta \mu$ ođi $)$. See 5:18; 16:37. In open meeting where all could see the victory of Apollos.

Shewing ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \delta \varepsilon ı \kappa v \cup \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \delta \varepsilon ı \kappa v \nu \mu$, old verb to set forth so that all see.

By the Scriptures ( $\delta \iota \tau \omega v \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega v$ ). In which Apollos was so "mighty" (verse 24) and the rabbis so weak for they knew the oral law better than the written (Mr 7:8-12).

That Jesus was the Christ ( $\varepsilon เ v a$ tov Xpıotov I $\eta \sigma o v v$ ). Infinitive and the accusative in indirect assertion. Apollos proclaims the same message that Paul did everywhere ( 17:3).

He had not yet met Paul, but he had been instructed by Priscilla and Aquila. He is in Corinth building on the foundation laid so well by Paul (1Co 3:4-17). Luke has here made a brief digression from the story of Paul, but it helps us understand Paul better There are those who think that Apollos wrote Hebrews, a guess that may be correct.

## Acts 19

Acts 19:1
While Apollos was at Corinth ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \tau o v A \pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \varepsilon \iota v \alpha \varepsilon \nu \operatorname{Ko\rho } \nu \theta \omega$ ). Favourite idiom with Luke, $\varepsilon v$ with the locative of the articular infinitive and the accusative of general reference (Lu 1:8; 2:27 , etc.).

Having passed through the upper country ( $\delta \iota \lambda \lambda$ Өov $\alpha \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha \nu \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho \iota \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon \rho \eta$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\delta เ \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, accusative case agreeing with חav $\lambda o v$, accusative of general reference with the infinitive $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon เ \nu$, idiomatic construction with $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \tau$. The word for "upper" ( $\alpha \nu \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho \iota \kappa \alpha$ ) is a late form for $\alpha v \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ (Lu 14:10) and occurs in Hippocrates and Galen. It refers to the highlands (cf. Xenophon's Anabasis) and means that Paul did not travel the usual Roman road west by Colossae and Laodicea in the Lycus Valley, cities that he did not visit (Col 2:1). Instead he took the more direct road through the Cayster Valley to Ephesus. Codex Bezae says here that Paul wanted to go back to Jerusalem, but that the Holy Spirit bade him to go into Asia where he had been forbidden to go in the second tour (16:6). Whether the upper "parts" ( $\mu \varepsilon \rho \eta)$ here points to North Galatia is still a point of dispute among scholars. So he came again to Ephesus as he had promised to do (18:21). The province of Asia included the western part of Asia Minor. The Romans took this country B.C. 130. Finally the name was extended to the whole continent. It was a jewel in the Roman empire along with Africa and was a senatorial province. It was full of great cities like Ephesus, Smyrna, Pergamum, Thyatira, Sardis, Philadelphia, Laodicea (the seven churches of Re $2 ; 3$ ), Colossae, Hierapolis, Apamea, to go no further. Hellenism had full sway here. Ephesus was the capital and chief city and was a richer and larger city than Corinth. It was located at the entrance to the valley of the Maeander to the east. Here was the power of Rome and the splendour of Greek culture and the full tide of oriental superstition and magic. The Temple of Artemis was one of the seven wonders of the world. While in Ephesus some hold that Paul at this time wrote the Epistle to the Galatians after his recent visit there, some that he did it before his recent visit to Jerusalem. But it is still possible that he wrote it from Corinth just before writing to Rome, a point to discuss later.

Certain disciples ( $\tau \iota v \propto \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Who were they? Apollos had already gone to Corinth. They show no connection with Priscilla and Aquila. Luke calls them "disciples" or "learners" ( $\mu \alpha \forall \eta \tau \alpha$ ) because they were evidently sincere though crude and ignorant. There is no reason at all for connecting these uninformed disciples of the Baptist with Apollos. They were floating followers of the Baptist who drifted into Ephesus and whom Paul found. Some of John's disciples clung to him till his death (Joh 3:22-25; Lu 7:19; Mt 14:12 ). Some of them left Palestine without the further knowledge of Jesus that came after his death and some did not even know that, as turned out to be the case with the group in Ephesus.

Acts 19:2

Did ye receive the Holy Spirit when ye believed? ( $\varepsilon \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \alpha \nmid \ldots v ~ \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma a \nu \tau \varepsilon \sigma$ ?). This use of $\Pi$ in a direct question occurs in $1: 6$, is not according to the old Greek idiom, but is common in the LXX and the N.T. as in Lu 13:23 which see (Robertson, Grammar, p. 916). Apparently Paul was suspicious of the looks or conduct of these professed disciples. The first aorist active participle $\pi 丿 \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma a v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ is simultaneous with the second aorist active indicative $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ and refers to the same event.

Nay, we did not so much as hear whether the Holy Spirit was ( $A \lambda \lambda$ ' ov $\varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu a$ aүเov $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v ~ \eta \kappa о v \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v)$. The reply of these ignorant disciples is amazing. They probably refer to the time of their baptism and mean that, when baptized, they did not hear whether ( $\varepsilon$ in indirect question) the Holy Spirit was (عбтıv retained as in Joh 7:39). Plain proof that they knew John's message poorly.

Acts 19:3
Into what ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma \tau)$. More properly,
Unto what or
on what basis (Robertson, Grammar, p. 592). Clearly, Paul felt they had received a poor baptism with no knowledge of the Holy Spirit.

John's baptism ( $\tau \mathrm{I}$ I $\omega \alpha v o v ~ \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$ ). Last mention of John the Baptist in the N.T. They had been dipped in other words, but they had not grasped the significance of the ordinance.

Acts 19:4
With the baptism of repentance ( $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o o \alpha)$ ). Cognate accusative with $\varepsilon \beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \varepsilon v$ and the genitive $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o t a c$ describing the baptism as marked by (case of species or genus), not as conveying, repentance just as in Mr 1:4 and that was the work of the Holy Spirit. But John preached also the baptism of the Holy Spirit which the Messiah was to bring (Mr 1:7f.; Mt 3:11f.; Lu 3:16 ). If they did not know of the Holy Spirit, they had missed the point of John's baptism.

That they should believe on him that should come after him, that is on Jesus ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma$
 prolepsis of $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau о \nu ~ \varepsilon \rho \chi о \mu \varepsilon v o v ~ \mu \varepsilon \tau ' ~ a u \tau o v ~ b e f o r e ~ เ v a ~ \pi ı \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma \omega \sigma เ \nu ~ w i t h ~ w h i c h ~ i t ~ i s ~ c o n s t r u e d . ~$ This is John's identical phrase, "the one coming after me" as seen in Mr 1:7; Mt 3:11; Lu 3:16; Joh 1:15. It is not clear that these "disciples" believed in a Messiah, least of all in Jesus. They were wholly unprepared for the baptism of John. Paul does not mean to say that John's baptism was inadequate, but he simply explains what John really taught and so what his baptism signified.

Acts 19:5
The name of the Lord Jesus ( $\tau 0$ ovoua $\tau 0 v$ кvpıov I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). Apollos was not rebaptized. The twelve apostles were not rebaptized. Jesus received no other baptism than that of John. The point here is simply that these twelve men were grossly ignorant of the meaning of

John's baptism as regards repentance, the Messiahship of Jesus, the Holy Spirit. Hence Paul had them baptized, not so much again, as really baptized this time, in the name or on the authority of the Lord Jesus as he had himself commanded (Mt 28:19) and as was the universal apostolic custom. Proper understanding of "Jesus" involved all the rest including the Trinity (Father, Son, and Holy Spirit). Luke does not give a formula, but simply explains that now these men had a proper object of faith (Jesus) and were now really baptized.

Acts 19:6
When Paul had laid his hands upon them ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \varepsilon v \tau \circ \varsigma \alpha v \tau o \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ П a v \lambda о v ~ \chi \varepsilon ı \rho a \varsigma) . ~$ Genitive absolute of second aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$. This act of laying on of the hands was done in Samaria by Peter and John (8:16) and in Damascus in the case of Paul ( $9: 17$ ) and was followed as here by the descent of the Holy Spirit in supernatural power.

They spake with tongues ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda o v \nu \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. Inchoative imperfect, began to speak with tongues as in Jerusalem at Pentecost and as in Caesarea before the baptism.

Prophesied ( $\varepsilon \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon v o v)$. Inchoative imperfect again, began to prophesy. The speaking with tongues and prophesying was external and indubitable proof that the Holy Spirit had come on these twelve uninformed disciples now fully won to the service of Jesus as Messiah. But this baptism in water did not "convey" the Holy Spirit nor forgiveness of sins. Paul was not a sacramentalist.

Acts 19:8
Spake boldly ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \zeta \varepsilon \tau \sigma)$. Imperfect middle, kept on at it for three months. Cf. same word in 18:26.

Persuading $(\pi \varepsilon \iota \theta \omega v)$. Present active conative participle of $\pi \varepsilon \iota \theta \omega$, trying to persuade ( 28:23). Paul's idea of the Kingdom of God was the church of God which he (Jesus, God's Son) had purchased with his own blood (Ac 20:28, calling Christ God). Nowhere else had Paul apparently been able to speak so long in the synagogue without interruption unless it was so at Corinth. These Jews were already interested (18:30).

Acts 19:9
But when some were hardened ( $\omega \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \tau \iota v \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \cup v o v \tau o$ ). Imperfect passive of $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho v \nu \omega$, causative like hiphil in Hebrew, to make hard ( $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \varsigma$ ) or rough or harsh (Mt 25:24 ). In LXX and Hippocrates and Galen (in medical writings). In N.T. only here and Ro 9:18 and 4 times in Heb 3:8,13,15; 4:7,8 quoting and referring to Ps 95:8 about hardening the heart like a gristle. The inevitable reaction against Paul went on even in Ephesus though slowly.

Disobedient ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon ו \theta o v v$ ). Imperfect again, showing the growing disbelief and disobedience ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon ו \theta \eta \varsigma$ ), both ideas as in 14:2; 17:5, first refusal to believe and then refusal to obey. Both $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho v \nu \omega$ and $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \iota \theta \varepsilon \omega$ occur together, as here, in Ecclus. 30:12.

Speaking evil of the Way (каколоүоиขтєৎ $\tau \eta \nu$ о оо⿱) . Late verb from каколоүоৎ (speaker of evil) for the old $\kappa \alpha \kappa \omega \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$. Already in Mr 7:10; 9:39; Mt 15:4. Now these Jews
are aggressive opponents of Paul and seek to injure his influence with the crowd. Note "the Way" as in 9:2 for Christianity.

He departed from them ( $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \alpha \varsigma \alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\alpha \varphi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, made an "apostasy" (standing off, cleavage) as he did at Corinth ( $18: 7, \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha$, making a change).

Separated the disciples ( $\alpha \varphi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \varepsilon v$ tovৎ $\mu \alpha \forall \eta \tau \alpha \varsigma$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \varphi о \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb to mark limits (horizon) as already in 13:2. Paul himself was a spiritual Pharisee "separated" to Christ (Ro 1:1). The Jews regarded this withdrawal as apostasy, like separating the sheep from the goats (Mt 25:32). Paul now made a separate church as he had done at Thessalonica and Corinth.

In the school of Tyrannus ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \sigma \chi \circ \lambda \eta$ Tupavvou). $\Sigma \chi \circ \lambda \eta$ (our school) is an old word from $\sigma \chi \varepsilon ו v(\varepsilon \chi \omega)$ to hold on, leisure and then in later Greek (Plutarch, etc.) a place where there is leisure as here. Only this example in the N.T. This is the Greek notion of "school," the Jewish being that of "yoke" as in Mt 11:29. The name Tyrannus (our tyrant) is a common one. It is an inscription in the Columbarium of the Empress Livia as that of a physician in the court. Furneaux suggests the possibility that a relative of this physician was lecturing on medicine in Ephesus and so as a friend of Luke, the physician, would be glad to help Paul about a place to preach. It was probably a public building or lecture hall with this name whether hired by Paul or loaned to him. The pagan sophists often spoke in such halls. The Codex Bezae adds "from the fifth hour to the tenth" as the time allotted Paul for his work in this hall, which is quite possible, from just before midday till the close of the afternoon (from before the noon meal till two hours before sunset) each day. Here Paul had great freedom and a great hearing. As the church grows there will be other places of meeting as the church in the house of Aquila and Priscilla (1Co 16:19).

Acts 19:10
For two years ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \eta \delta v o$ ). Note $\varepsilon \pi$ with accusative for extent of time as in verse $8, \varepsilon \pi$ $\mu \eta \nu a \varsigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ and often. But in 20:31 Paul said to the Ephesian elders at Miletus that he laboured with them for the space of "three years." That may be a general expression and there was probably a longer period after the "two years" in the school of Tyrannus besides the six months in the synagogue. Paul may have preached thereafter in the house of Aquila and Priscilla for some months, the "for a while" of verse 22.

So that all they which dwelt in Asia heard ( $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ па $\tau \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau о и \varsigma ~ к а т о н к о и \nu \tau а \varsigma ~ \tau \eta \nu ~ A \sigma ı \alpha v ~$ $\alpha \kappa о v \sigma \alpha)$. Actual result with $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ and the infinitive with accusative of general reference as is common (also verse 11) in the Koine (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 999f.). Paul apparently remained in Ephesus, but the gospel spread all over the province even to the Lycus Valley including the rest of the seven churches of Re $1: 11 ; 2 ; 3$. Demetrius in verse 26 will confirm the tremendous influence of Paul's ministry in Ephesus on Asia. Forty years after this Pliny in his famous letter to Trajan from Bithynia will say of Christianity: "For the contagion of
this superstition has not only spread through cities, but also through villages and country places." It was during these years in Ephesus that Paul was greatly disturbed over the troubles in the Corinthian Church. He apparently wrote a letter to them now lost to us (1Co 5:9), received messages from the household of Chloe, a letter from the church, special messengers, sent Timothy, then Titus, may have made a hurried trip himself, wrote our First Corinthians, was planning to go after the return of Titus to Troas where he was to meet him after Pentecost, when all of a sudden the uproar raised by Demetrius hurried Paul away sooner than he had planned. Meanwhile Apollos had returned from Corinth to Ephesus and refused to go back (1Co 16:12 ). Paul doubtless had helpers like Epaphras and Philemon who carried the message over the province of Asia, Tychicus, and Trophimus of Asia who were with him on the last visit to Jerusalem (verses 22,29; 20:4). Paul's message reached Greeks, not merely Hellenists and God-fearers, but some of the Greeks in the upper circles of life in Ephesus.

Acts 19:11
 chance," "not the ordinary ones," litotes for "the extraordinary." All "miracles" or "powers" ( $\delta v v a \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma) ~ a r e ~ s u p e r n a t u r a l ~ a n d ~ o u t ~ o f ~ t h e ~ o r d i n a r y, ~ b u t ~ h e r e ~ G o d ~ r e g u l a r l y ~ w r o u g h t ~(\varepsilon \pi о ь \varepsilon), ~$ imperfect active) wonders beyond those familiar to the disciples and completely different from the deeds of the Jewish exorcists. This phrase is peculiar to Luke in the N.T. (also 28:2), but it occurs in the classical Greek and in the Koine as in III Macc. 3:7 and in papyri and inscriptions (Deissmann, Bible Studies, p.255). In Samaria Philip wrought miracles to deliver the people from the influence of Simon Magus. Here in Ephesus exorcists and other magicians had built an enormous vogue of a false spiritualism and Paul faces unseen forces of evil. His tremendous success led some people to superstitious practices thinking that there was power in Paul's person.

Acts 19:12
Handkerchiefs ( $\sigma o v \delta \alpha \rho ı \alpha$ ). Latin word for $\sigma v \delta 0 \rho$ (sweat). Used in Lu 19:20; Joh 11:44; 20:7. In two papyri marriage-contracts this word occurs among the toilet articles in the dowry (Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 223).

Aprons ( $\sigma \mu \mu \kappa \imath \theta ı \alpha)$. Latin word also, semicinctilum ( $\sigma \varepsilon \mu \mu, \chi ı \nu \gamma o$ ). Only here in the N.T. Linen aprons used by servants or artisans (Martial XIV. 153). Paul did manual work at Ephesus ( $20: 34$ ) and so wore these aprons.

Departed ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \lambda \sigma \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Present passive infinitive with $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ for actual result as in verse 10. If one wonders how God could honour such superstitious faith, he should remember that there is no power in superstition or in magic, but in God. If God never honoured any faith save that entirely free from superstition, how about Christian people who are troubled over the number 13, over the moon, the rabbit's foot? The poor woman with an issue of blood touched the hem of Christ's garment and was healed (Lu 8:44-46) as others sought
to do (Mt 14:36). God condescends to meet us in our ignorance and weakness where he can reach us. Elisha had a notion that some of the power of Elijah resided in his mantle ( 2 Ki 2:13 ). Some even sought help from Peter's shadow (Ac 5:15).

Acts 19:13
Of the strolling Jews, exorcists ( $\tau \omega v \pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu$ Iov $\delta \alpha \iota \omega \nu \varepsilon \xi \circ \rho \kappa \iota \sigma \tau \omega v$ ). These exorcists travelled around ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ ) from place to place like modern Gypsy fortune-tellers. The Jews were especially addicted to such practices with spells of sorcery connected with the name of Solomon (Josephus, Ant. VIII. 2.5). See also Tobit 8:1-3. Jesus alludes to those in Palestine (Mt 12:27; Lu 11:19). The exorcists were originally those who administered an oath (from $\varepsilon \xi$ оркı $\zeta \omega$, to exact an oath), then to use an oath as a spell or charm. Only instance here in the N.T. These men regarded Paul as one of their own number just as Simon Magus treated Simon Peter. Only here these exorcists paid Paul the compliment of imitation instead of offering money as Magus did.

To name over ( $о$ vo $\mu \alpha \zeta \varepsilon ı v \varepsilon \pi$ ). They heard what Paul said and treated his words as a magic charm or spell to drive the evil spirits out.

I adjure you by Jesus whom Paul preacheth (Норкıॅ $\omega$ v $\mu \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ I n \sigma o u v ~ o v ~ П a v \lambda o \varsigma ~$ кп $\rho v \sigma \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Note two accusatives with the verb of swearing (cf. Mr 5:7) as a causative verb (Robertson, Grammar, p. 483). The papyri furnish numerous instances of opкıॅ $\omega$ in such constructions (Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 281). Note also the article with Jesus, "the Jesus," as if to identify the magic word to the demons with the addition "whom Paul preaches." They thought that success turned on the correct use of the magical formula. The Ephesian mysteries included Christianity, so they supposed.

Acts 19:14
Seven sons of Sceva ( $\Sigma \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha$ vıo). Who this Sceva was we do not know. If a high priest, he was highly connected in Jerusalem (cf. 5:24). Some MSS. have ruler instead of priest. His name may be Latin in origin. $\Sigma \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha$ has Doric form of genitive. But that he had seven sons in this degraded business shows how Judaism had fared poorly in this superstitious city. Did they imagine there was special power in the number seven?

Acts 19:15
 ( $\gamma เ \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ )" and "the (whom you mentioned) Paul I am acquainted with ( $\tau o v ~ \Pi \alpha u \lambda o v$ $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \alpha) . "$ Clear distinction between $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ and $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \alpha$.

But who are ye? (v
Acts 19:16
Leaped on them ( $\varepsilon \varphi a \lambda$ о $\mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \varepsilon \pi^{\prime}$ avtovc). Second aorist (ingressive) middle participle of $\varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \lambda o \mu \alpha$, old verb to spring upon like a panther, here only in the N.T.

Mastered (катакטрıยvбаऽ). First aorist (effective) active participle of катакv $\rho \varepsilon v \omega$, late verb from ката and кирюю¢, to become lord or master of.

Both ( $\alpha \mu \varphi о \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega v$ ). Papyri examples exist where $\alpha \mu \varphi о \tau \varepsilon \rho o$ means "all" or more than "two" (Robertson, Grammar, p. 745). So here $\alpha \mu \varphi о \tau \varepsilon \rho o$ includes all seven. "Both" in old English was used for more than two.

So that ( $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). Another example (verses 10,11 ) of $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ with the infinitive for result.
Naked ( $\gamma \nu \mu \nu 0 \cup \varsigma$ ). Probably with torn garments,
Wounded ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \cup \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle of $\tau \rho \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb to wound, from $\tau \rho \alpha \nu \mu \alpha$ (a wound). In the N.T. only here and Lu 20:12 .

Acts 19:17
Was magnified ( $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \gamma a \lambda \nu v \varepsilon \tau \sigma)$. Imperfect passive. To make great. It was a notable victory over the powers of evil in Ephesus.

Acts 19:18
Came ( $\eta \rho \chi$ оvтo). Imperfect middle, kept coming, one after another. Even some of the believers were secretly under the spell of these false spiritualists just as some Christians today cherish private contacts with so-called occult powers through mediums, seances, of which they are ashamed.

Confessing ( $\varepsilon \xi о \mu о \lambda о \gamma о \cup \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). It was time to make a clean breast of it all, to turn on the light, to unbosom their secret habits.

Declaring their deeds ( $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda$ оvtєऽ $\tau \alpha \varsigma \pi \rho \alpha \xi \varepsilon ı \varsigma \alpha \tau \tau \omega v$ ). Judgment was beginning at the house of God. The dupes (professing believers, alas) of these jugglers or exorcists now had their eyes opened when they saw the utter defeat of the tricksters who had tried to use the name of Jesus without his power. The boomerang was tremendous. The black arts were now laid bare in their real character. Gentile converts had a struggle to shake off their corrupt environment.

Acts 19:19
Not a few of them that practised curious arts (ıкаvо $\tau \omega v \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \pi \rho \alpha \xi \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Considerable number of the performers or exorcists themselves who knew that they were humbugs were led to renounce their evil practices. The word $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$ (curious) is an old word ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota, \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$ ) originally a piddler about trifles, a busybody ( $1 \mathrm{Ti} 5: 13$ ), then impertinent and magical things as here. Only two examples in the N.T. It is a technical term for magic as the papyri and inscriptions show. Deissmann (Bible Studies, p. 323) thinks that these books here burned were just like the Magic Papyri now recovered from Egypt.

Burned them in the sight of all (катєкаюоv $\varepsilon v \omega \pi \iota \rho \tau \pi \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). Imperfect active of катакаı $\omega$. It probably took a good while to do it, burned them completely (up, we say; down, the Greeks say, perfective use of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). These Magical Papyri or slips of parchment with symbols or magical sentences written on them called $\mathrm{E} \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \iota \alpha ~ Г \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ (Ephesian Letters). These Ephesian Letters were worn as amulets or charms.

They brought them together ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Second aorist active participle of $\sigma v \vee \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$. What a glorious conflagration it would be if in every city all the salacious, blas-
phemous, degrading books, pamphlets, magazines, and papers could be piled together and burned.

They counted ( $\sigma \nu v \varepsilon \psi \eta \eta \varphi \iota \alpha v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\sigma \nu v \psi \eta \varphi \zeta \zeta \omega$, to reckon


Fifty thousand pieces of silver ( $\alpha \rho \gamma \cup \rho ı v \mu \nu \rho ı \alpha \delta \alpha \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon$ ). Five ten thousand ( $\mu v \rho ı \alpha \delta \alpha \varsigma$ ) pieces of silver. Ephesus was largely Greek and probably the silver pieces were Greek drachmae or the Latin denarius, probably about ten thousand dollars or two thousand English pounds.

Acts 19:20
Mightily (ката кратос). According to strength. Only here in N.T., common military term in Thucydides. Such proof of a change counted.

Grew and prevailed ( $\eta \cup \xi \alpha v \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha ~ \iota \sigma \chi \cup \varepsilon v)$. Imperfect actives, kept growing and gaining strength. It was a day of triumph for Christ in Ephesus, this city of vast wealth and superstition. Ephesus for centuries will be one of the centres of Christian power. Timothy will come here and John the Apostle and Polycarp and Irenaeus.

Acts 19:21
Purposed in the spirit ( $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \tau \sigma \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau)$. Second aorist middle indicative for mental action and "spirit" expressed also. A new stage in Paul's career begins here, a new division of the Acts.

Passed through ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega v$ ). Word ( $\delta เ \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha)$ used ten times in Acts (cf. 19:1) of missionary journeys (Ramsay).

Macedonia and Achaia ( $\tau \eta \nu$ Maк $\delta \delta o v i \alpha \nu \kappa \alpha$ A $\alpha \ldots \alpha v$ ). This was the way that he actually went, but originally he had planned to go to Achaia (Corinth) and then to Macedonia, as he says in 2Co 1:15f. , but he had now changed that purpose, perhaps because of the bad news from Corinth. Already when he wrote I Corinthians he proposed to go first to Macedonia (1Co 16:5-7 ). He even hoped to spend the winter in Corinth "if the Lord permit" and to remain in Ephesus till Pentecost, neither of which things he did.

I must also see Rome ( $\delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \mathrm{P} \omega \mu \eta \nu \tau \varepsilon \iota v$ ). This section of Acts begins with Rome in the horizon of Paul's plans and the book closes with Paul in Rome (Rackham). Here he feels the necessity of going as in Ro 1:15 he feels himself "debtor" to all including "those in Rome" (Ro 1:16 ). Paul had long desired to go to Rome (Rom 1:10), but had been frequently hindered (Ro 1:13 ), but he has definitely set his face to go to Rome and on to Spain (Ro 15:23-29 ). Paley calls sharp attention to this parallel between Ac 19:21 and Ro 1:10-15; 15:23-29. Rome had a fascination for Paul as the home of Aquila and Priscilla and numerous other friends (Ro 16 ), but chiefly as the capital of the Roman Empire and a necessary goal in Paul's ambition to win it to Jesus Christ. His great work in Asia had stirred afresh in him the desire to do his part for Rome. He wrote to Rome from Corinth not long after this and
in Jerusalem Jesus in vision will confirm the necessity $(\delta \varepsilon)$ that Paul see Rome (Acts 23:11 ).

Acts 19:22
 4:17) and had requested kindly treatment of this young minister in his difficult task of placating the divided church (1Co 16:10-11) that he might return to Paul as he evidently had before Paul leaves Ephesus. He then despatched Titus to Corinth to finish what Timothy had not quite succeeded in doing with instructions to meet him in Troas. Now Timothy and Erastus (cf. Ro 16:23; 2Ti 4:20) go on to Macedonia to prepare the way for Paul who will come on later.

He himself stayed in Asia for a while ( $\alpha v \tau \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \chi \varepsilon v \chi \rho \circ v \circ v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta v$ A $\sigma \iota \alpha v$ ). Literally, He himself had additional time in Asia. Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \chi \omega$, old and common idiom, only here in the N.T. in this sense and the verb only in Luke and Paul. The reason for Paul's delay is given by him in 1Co 16:8f. , the great door wide open in Ephesus. Here again Luke and Paul supplement each other. Pentecost came towards the end of May and May was the month of the festival of Artemis (Diana) when great multitudes would come to Ephesus. But he did not remain till Pentecost as both Luke and Paul make plain.

Acts 19:23
No small stir ( $\tau \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \circ \varsigma$ оик o $\lambda \iota \gamma \circ \varsigma)$. Same phrase in 12:18 and nowhere else in the N.T. Litotes.

Concerning the Way ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \eta \varsigma$ oסov). See this phrase for Christianity in 9:2; 19:9; 24:22 which see, like the "Jesus Way" of the Indians. There had already been opposition and "stir" before this stage (cf. 19:11-20). The fight with wild beasts in 1Co 15:32 (whatever it was) was before that Epistle was written and so before this new uproar. Paul as a Roman citizen could not be thrown to wild beasts, but he so pictured the violent opponents of Christ in Ephesus.

Acts 19:24
Demetrius, a silversmith ( $\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha \rho \gamma \cup \rho о \kappa о \pi о \varsigma)$. The name is common enough and may or may not be the man mentioned in 3Jo 1:12 who was also from the neighbourhood of Ephesus. There is on an inscription at Ephesus near the close of the century a Demetrius called vєолоьоя A $\rho \tau \varepsilon \mu \iota \delta$ оц a temple warden of Artemis (Diana). Zoeckler suggests that Luke misunderstood this word $v \varepsilon о \pi о \iota \circ$ and translated it into $\alpha \rho \gamma \cup \rho о к о \pi о \varsigma$, a beater ( $\kappa о \pi \tau \omega$, to beat) of silver ( $\alpha \rho \gamma \cup \rho o \varsigma$, silver), "which made silver shrines of Artemis" ( $\pi o t \omega v$ vaous ( $\alpha \rho \gamma \cup \rho о \cup \varsigma)$ A $\rho \tau \varepsilon \mu เ \delta о \varsigma)$. It is true that no silver shrines of the temple have been found in Ephesus, but only numerous terra-cotta ones. Ramsay suggests that the silver ones would naturally be melted down. The date is too late anyhow to identify the Demetrius who was vєолоьо , with the Demetrius а $\rho \gamma$ иооколоৎ who made little silver temples of Artemis, though B does not have the word a $\alpha$ pupous. The poor votaries would buy the terra-cotta ones, the
rich the silver shrines (Ramsay, Paul the Traveller, p. 278). These small models of the temple with the statue of Artemis inside would be set up in the houses or even worn as amulets. It is a pity that the Revised Version renders Artemis here. Diana as the Ephesian Artemis is quite distinct from the Greek Artemis, the sister of Apollo, the Diana of the Romans. This temple, built in the 6th century B.C., was burnt by Herostratus Oct. 13 B.C. 356, the night when Alexander the Great was born. It was restored and was considered one of the seven wonders of the world. Artemis was worshipped as the goddess of fertility, like the Lydian Cybele, a figure with many breasts. The great festival in May would offer Demetrius a golden opportunity for the sale of the shrines.

Brought no little business ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \not \chi \varepsilon \tau \frac{0}{}$ окк $\left.\frac{\lambda}{} \downarrow \gamma \eta \nu \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha v\right)$. Imperfect middle, continued to bring (furnish, provide). The middle accents the part that Demetrius played as the leader of the guild of silversmiths, work for himself and for them.

Unto the craftsmen ( $\tau \alpha ı \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \chi \nu \iota \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. The artisans from $\tau \varepsilon \chi \vee \eta$ (craft, art). Trade guilds were common in the ancient world. Demetrius had probably organized this guild and provided the capital for the enterprise.

Acts 19:25
Whom he gathered together (ouc $\sigma v v a \theta \rho o \iota \sigma a \varsigma$ ). First aorist active participle of $\sigma 0 v a \theta \rho o \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb to assemble together ( $\alpha \theta \rho o o \varsigma$, a crowd), in the N.T. only here and Ac 12:12.
 workmen concerning such things," apparently those who made the marble and terra-cotta shrines who would also be affected in the same way. It was a gathering of the associated trades, not for a strike, for employer and employees met together, but in protest against the preaching of Paul.

We have our wealth ( $\eta$ عито $1 \propto \downarrow \mu \nu \nu \varepsilon \sigma \tau i v$ ). The wealth is to us (dative of possession). This old word for wealth occurs here alone in the N.T. It is from $\varepsilon v$ and $\pi 0 \rho \circ \varsigma$, easy to pass through, easy to accomplish, to be well off, wealthy, welfare, weal, well-being, rich. Demetrius appeals to this knowledge and self-interest of the artisans as the basis for their zeal for Artemis, piety for revenue.

Acts 19:26
At Ephesus (E¢гбov). Genitive of place as also with Aбıac (Asia). Cf. Robertson, Grammar, pp. 494f.

This Paul (o Пau入oc ovtoc). Contemptuous use of ovtoc.
Hath turned away ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Changed, transposed. First aorist active indicative, did change. Tribute to Paul's powers as a preacher borne out by Luke's record in 19:10. There may be an element of exaggeration on the part of Demetrius to incite the workmen to action, for the worship of Artemis was their wealth. Paul had cut the nerve of their business.

There had long been a Jewish colony in Ephesus, but their protest against idolatry was as nothing compared with Paul's preaching (Furneaux).

Which are made with hands (o $\delta \omega \alpha \chi \varepsilon \rho \omega \nu \gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Note the present tense, made from time to time. No doubt Paul had put the point sharply as in Athens (Ac 17:29). Isaiah (Isa 44:9-17 ) had pictured graphically the absurdity of worshipping stocks and stones, flatly forbidden by the Old Testament (Ex 20:4; Ps 135:15-18). The people identified their gods with the images of them and Demetrius reflects that point of view. He was jealous of the brand of gods turned out by his factory. The artisans would stand by him on this point. It was a reflection on their work.

Acts 19:27
This our trade ( $\tau 0 \cup \tau о$ то $\mu \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$ ). Part, share, task, job, trade.
Come into disrepute ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \circ \vee \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon เ v$ ). Not in the old writers, but in LXX and Koine. Literally, reputation, exposure, censure, rejection after examination, and so disrepute. Their business of making gods would lose caste as the liquor trade (still called the trade in England) has done in our day. They felt this keenly and so Demetrius names it first. They felt it in their pockets.

Of the great goddess Artemis ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \varsigma \theta \varepsilon \alpha \varsigma$ A $\rho \tau \varepsilon \mu \delta \delta \varsigma)$ ). She was generally known as the Great ( $\eta \mathrm{M} \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta$ ). An inscription found at Ephesus calls her "the greatest god" ( $\eta$ $\mu \varepsilon \gamma เ \sigma \tau \eta \theta \varepsilon \sigma \varsigma)$. The priests were eunuchs and there were virgin priestesses and a lower order of slaves known as temple-sweepers (vع $\omega \kappa 0 \rho o$, verse 35). They had wild orgiastic exercises that were disgraceful with their Corybantic processions and revelries.

Be made of no account ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma) o v \theta \varepsilon v \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \theta \eta \nu \alpha)$. Be reckoned as nothing, first aorist passive infinitive of $\lambda о \gamma \iota \zeta о \mu \alpha$ and $\varepsilon ા \varsigma$.

Should even be deposed of her magnificence ( $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon เ \nu \tau \varepsilon$ ка каӨaıрєь $\theta a$ тŋऽ $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ו \circ \tau \eta \tau о \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Note the present infinitive after $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon เ \nu$, ablative case (so best MSS.) after каӨaıp $\omega$, to take down, to depose, to deprive of. The word $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon เ о \tau \eta \varsigma$ occurs also in Lu 9:43 (the majesty of God) and in 2Pe 1:16 of the transfiguration of Christ. It is already in the LXX and Deissmann (Light from the Ancient East, p. 363) thinks that the word runs parallel with terms used in the emperor-cult.

All Asia and the world $о \lambda \eta(\eta)$ Aбıа ка ( $\eta$ ) оккои $\mu \varepsilon v \eta$. See 11:28 for same use of oккоу $\mu \varepsilon v \eta$. An exaggeration, to be sure, but Pausanias says that no deity was more widely worshipped. Temples of Artemis have been found in Spain and Gaul. Multitudo errantium non efficit veritatem (Bengel). Even today heathenism has more followers than Christianity. To think that all this splendour was being set at naught by one man and a despised Jew at that!

Acts 19:28
They were filled with wrath ( $\gamma \varepsilon v \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \pi \lambda \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon เ \varsigma ~ \theta u \mu \circ v$ ). Having become full of wrath.

Cried out ( $\varepsilon \kappa \rho \alpha \zeta$ 人v). Inchoative imperfect, began to cry out and kept it up continuously. Reiteration was characteristic of the orgiastic exercises. The Codex Bezae adds after $\theta u \mu o v$
 the speech of Demetrius.
 omits $\eta$ (the) and makes it read: "Great Artemis of the Ephesians." This was the usual cry of the votaries in their orgies as the inscriptions show, an ejaculatory outcry or prayer instead of an argument as the other MSS. have it. That is vivid and natural (Ramsay, Church in the Roman Empire, pp. 135ff.). Yet on this occasion the artisans were making an argumentative protest and plea against Paul. An inscription at Dionysopolis has "Great is Apollo."

Acts 19:29
With the confusion ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \sigma v \gamma \chi \cup \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Genitive case after $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \eta$. An old word, but in the N.T. only here, from verb $\sigma v \gamma \chi \varepsilon \omega$, to pour together like a flood (only in Acts in the N.T.). Vivid description of the inevitable riot that followed "the appearance of such a body in the crowded agora of an excitable city" (Rackham) "vociferating the city's watch-word."

They rushed ( $\omega \rho \mu \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Ingressive aorist active indicative of o $\rho \mu \alpha \omega$, old verb for impetuous dashing, a case of mob psychology (mob mind), with one accord ( $\rho \mu \mathrm{o} \theta \mathrm{\theta} \mu \mathrm{\mu} \alpha \mathrm{ov}$ as in Ac 1:14, etc.).

Into the theatre ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ \theta \varepsilon \alpha \tau \rho o v)$. A place for seeing $(\theta \varepsilon \alpha o \mu \alpha)$ spectacles, originally for dramatic representation (Thucydides, Herodotus), then for the spectators, then for the spectacle or show (1Co 4:9). The theatre (amphitheatre) at Ephesus can still be traced in the ruins (Wood, Ephesus) and shows that it was of enormous size capable of seating fiftysix thousand persons (some estimate it only 24,500 ). It was the place for large public gatherings of any sort out of doors like our football and baseball parks. In particular, gladiatorial shows were held in these theatres.

Having seized Gaius and Aristarchus men of Macedonia ( $\sigma v \alpha \rho \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ Г a ı o v ~ к \alpha ~$ Aрıбтархоv Маквסоvac). See 6:12 for this same verb. They wanted some victims for this "gladiatorial" show. These two men were "Paul's companions in travel" ( $\sigma$ (єкк $\delta \eta \mu$ оия Пau入ov), together (бuv) with Paul in being abroad, away from home or people ( $\varepsilon \kappa-\delta \eta \mu \circ v \varsigma$, late word, in the N.T. only here and 2Co 8:19 ). How the mob got hold of Gaius (Ac 20:4) and Aristarchus (20:4; 27:2; Col 4:10; Phm 1:24) we do not know whether by accidental recognition or by search after failure to get Paul. In Ro 16:4 Paul speaks of Priscilla and Aquila as those "who for my life laid down their own necks." Paul lived with them in Ephesus as in Corinth. It is possible that Demetrius led the mob to their house and that they refused to allow Paul to go or to be seized at the risk of their own lives. Paul himself may have been desperately ill at this time as we know was the case once during his stay in Ephesus when he felt the answer of death in himself (2Co 1:9) and when God rescued him. That may mean
that, ill as he was, Paul wanted to go and face the mob in the theatre, knowing that it meant certain death.

Acts 19:30
And when Paul was minded to enter in unto the people ( $\Pi \alpha v \lambda o v \delta \varepsilon \beta o v \lambda o \mu \varepsilon v o v$ $\varepsilon เ \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \iota v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o v \delta \eta \mu \circ v)$. Genitive absolute. Plainly Paul wanted to face the howling mob, whether it was the occasion pictured in 2Co 1:9 or not. "St. Paul was not the man to leave his comrades in the lurch" (Knowling).

Suffered him not (ouk $\varepsilon \iota \omega v \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Imperfect of $\varepsilon \alpha \omega$, common verb to allow, what Gildersleeve called the negative imperfect (Robertson, Grammar, p. 885), denoting resistance to pressure. The more Paul insisted on going the more the disciples refused to agree to it and they won.

Acts 19:31
Certain also of the chief officers of Asia ( $\tau \iota v \varepsilon \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ A $\sigma \iota \alpha \rho \chi \omega v$ ). These "Asiarchs" were ten officers elected by cities in the province who celebrated at their own cost public games and festivals (Page). Each province had such a group of men chosen, as we now know from inscriptions, to supervise the funds connected with the worship of the emperor, to preside at games and festivals even when the temple services were to gods like Artemis. Only rich men could act, but the position was eagerly sought.

Being his friends (ovtєৎ $\alpha v \tau \omega$ $\varphi \lambda \lambda 0$ ). Evidently the Asiarchs had a high opinion of Paul and were unwilling for him to expose his life to a wild mob during the festival of Artemis. They were at least tolerant toward Paul and his preaching. "It was an Asiarch who at Smyrna resisted the cry of the populace to throw Polycarp to the lions" (Furneaux).

Besought him ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda o v v \alpha v \tau о v$ ). Imperfect active, showing that the messengers sent had to insist over Paul's protest. " Not to adventure himself " ( $\mu \eta \delta \delta v v \alpha$ عav $\quad \delta v$ ). It was a hazard, a rash adventure "to give himself" (second aorist active infinitive of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ ). Just this sense of "adventure" with the idiom occurs only here in the N.T., though in Polybius V., 14, 9 . But the phrase itself Paul uses of Jesus who gave himself for our sins (Ga $1: 4 ; 1 \mathrm{Ti}$ 2:6; Tit 2:14 ). It is not the first time that friends had rescued Paul from peril (Ac 9:25,30; 17:10,14 ). The theatre was no place for Paul. It meant certain death.

Acts 19:32
Some therefore cried one thing and some another ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \frac{\mu \varepsilon v}{} o v \nu \alpha \lambda \lambda o \tau \varepsilon \kappa \rho \alpha \zeta o v$ ). This classical use of $\alpha \lambda \lambda o \varsigma ~ \alpha \lambda \lambda o$ (Robertson, Grammar, p. 747) appears also in 2:12; 21:34 . Literally, "others cried another thing." The imperfect shows the repetition (kept on crying) and confusion which is also distinctly stated.

For the assembly was in confusion ( $\eta \nu \gamma \alpha \rho \eta \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \sigma \nu v \kappa \varepsilon \chi \nu \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta$ ). The reason for the previous statement. Periphrastic past perfect passive of $\sigma v \gamma \chi \varepsilon \omega, \sigma v \gamma \chi v v \omega(-v \nu \nu \omega)$, to pour together, to commingle as in verse $29(\sigma v \gamma \chi \cup \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. It was not an "assembly" ( $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$, $\varepsilon \kappa, \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to call out), but a wholly irregular, disorganized mob in a state (perfect tense) of
confusion. There was "a lawful assembly" (verse 39), but this mob was not one. Luke shows his contempt for this mob (Furneaux).

Had come together ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta \varepsilon ı \sigma \alpha v$ ). Past perfect active of $\sigma v v \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. It was an assembly only in one sense. For some reason Demetrius who was responsible for the mob preferred now to keep in the background, though he was known to be the ring-leader of the gathering (verse 38). It was just a mob that shouted because others did.

Acts 19:33
And they brought Alexander out of the crowd ( $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \varepsilon$ tov ox $\lambda 0 v \sigma v v \varepsilon \beta \beta \alpha \sigma \alpha v$ $\mathrm{A} \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha v \delta \rho o v)$. The correct text (Aleph A B) has this verb $\sigma v v \varepsilon \beta \wedge \beta \alpha \sigma \alpha v$ (from $\sigma v \nu \beta \downarrow \beta \alpha \zeta \omega$, to put together) instead of $\pi \rho о \varepsilon \beta \downarrow \beta \alpha \sigma \alpha v($ from $\pi \rho о \beta \curlywedge \beta \alpha \zeta \omega$, to put forward). It is a graphic word, causal of $\beta a \iota v \omega$, to go, and occurs in Ac 16:10; Col 2:19; Eph 4:16. Evidently some of the Jews grew afraid that the mob would turn on the Jews as well as on the Christians. Paul was a Jew and so was Aristarchus, one of the prisoners. The Jews were as strongly opposed to idolatry as were the Christians.

The Jews putting him forward ( $\pi \rho \circ \beta \alpha \lambda o v \tau \omega v \alpha \nu \tau \circ v \tau \omega v$ Iov $\delta \alpha \iota \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute of the second aorist active participle of $\pi \rho \circ \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, old verb to push forward as leaves in the spring (Lu 21:30). In the N.T. only in these two passages. Alexandria had already disgraceful scenes of Jew-baiting and there was real peril now in Ephesus with this wild mob. So Alexander was pushed forward as the champion to defend the Jews to the excited mob. He may be the same Alexander the coppersmith who did Paul much evil (2Ti 4:14), against whom Paul will warn Timothy then in Ephesus. "The Jews were likely to deal in the copper and silver required for the shrines, so he may have had some trade connexion with the craftsmen which would give him influence" (Furneaux).

Beckoned with the hand (катабєוбаৎ $\tau \eta v \chi \varepsilon \mid \rho \alpha$ ). Old verb катабєו $\omega$, to shake down, here the hand, rapidly waving the hand up and down to get a hearing. In the N.T. elsewhere only in Ac 12:17; 13:16; 21:40 where "with the hand" ( $\tau \eta \chi \varepsilon \rho \rho$, instrumental case) is used instead of $\tau \eta \nu \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha$ (the accusative).

Would have made a defence unto the people ( $\eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v a \pi \circ \lambda о \gamma \varepsilon \iota \sigma a \tau \omega \delta \eta \mu \omega$ ). Imperfect active, wanted to make a defence, tried to, started to, but apparently never got out a word. Ато入оүєוбӨa (present middle infinitive, direct middle, to defend oneself), regular word for formal apology, but in N.T. only by Luke and Paul (twice in Gospel, six times in Acts, and in Ro 2:15; 2Co 12:19 ).

Acts 19:34
When they perceived ( $\varepsilon \pi / \gamma v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Recognizing, coming to know fully and clearly ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota-$ ), second aorist (ingressive) active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. The masculine plural is left as nominative absolute or $\pi \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon v \varsigma$ without a verb. The rioters saw at once that Alexander was ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$, present tense retained in indirect assertion) a Jew by his features.

An with one voice cried out ( $\varphi \omega \nu \eta \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \tau \circ \mu \not \mu \varepsilon \kappa \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \kappa \rho \alpha \zeta о \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ). Anacoluthon or construction according to sense. Literally, "one voice arose from all crying." K $\rho \alpha \zeta o \nu \tau \omega \nu$ agrees in case (ablative) with $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$, but Aleph A have к $\rho \alpha \zeta \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. This loose construction is not uncommon (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 436f.). Now at last the crowd became unanimous (one voice) at the sight of a hated Jew about to defend their attacks on the worship of Artemis. The unanimity lasted "about the space of two hours" (o $\sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \omega \rho a \varsigma \delta v o$ ), "as if for two hours." Their creed centred in this prolonged yell: "Great is Artemis of the Ephesians" with which the disturbance started (verse 28).

Acts 19:35
The town-clerk (o $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ). Ephesus was a free city and elected its own officers and the recorder or secretary was the chief magistrate of the city, though the proconsul of the province of Asia resided there. This officer is not a mere secretary of another officer or like the copyists and students of the law among the Jews, but the most influential person in Ephesus who drafted decrees with the aid of the $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \circ$, had charge of the city's money, was the power in control of the assembly, and communicated directly with the proconsul. Inscriptions at Ephesus give frequently this very title for their chief officer and the papyri have it also. The precise function varied in different cities. His name appeared on the coin at Ephesus issued in his year of office.

Had quieted the multitude ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ o \chi \lambda о v)$. First aorist active participle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, to send down, arrange dress (Euripides), lower (Plutarch), restrain (papyrus example), only twice in the N.T. (here and verse 36, be quiet), but in LXX and Josephus. He evidently took the rostrum and his very presence as the city's chief officer had a quieting effect on the billowy turmoil and a semblance of order came. He waited, however, till the hubbub had nearly exhausted itself (two hours) and did not speak till there was a chance to be heard.

Saith ( $\varphi \eta \sigma \iota v$ ). Historical present for vividness.
How that. Merely participle ovoav and accusative $\pi 0 \lambda \iota v$ in indirect discourse, no conjunction at all (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 1040ff.), common idiom after $\gamma \iota \omega \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, to know.

Temple-keeper ( $v \varepsilon \omega \kappa \circ \rho o v$ ). Old word from $v \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ( $v \alpha \sigma \sigma$ ), temple, and ко $\rho \varepsilon \omega$, to sweep. Warden, verger, cleaner of the temple, a sacristan. So in Xenophon and Plato. Inscriptions so describe Ephesus as $\nu \varepsilon \omega \kappa о \rho o v \tau \eta \varsigma A \rho \tau \varepsilon \mu \delta \delta$, as Luke has it here and also applied to the imperial cultus which finally had several such temples in Ephesus. Other cities claimed the same honour of being $v \varepsilon \omega \kappa о \rho о \varsigma$, but it was the peculiar boast of Ephesus because of the great temple of Artemis. A coin of A.D. 65 describes Ephesus as $v \varepsilon \omega \kappa о \rho \circ \varsigma$. There are papyri examples of the term applied to individuals, one to Priene as $\nu \varepsilon \omega \kappa о \rho о \varsigma$ of the temple in Ephesus (Moulton and Milligan, Vocabulary).

And of the image which fell down from Jupiter ( $\kappa \alpha \operatorname{\tau ov} \delta เ о \varepsilon \tau о \cup \varsigma) . ~ S u p p l y ~ a \gamma \alpha \lambda \mu \alpha$ (image), "the from heaven-fallen image." From Zeus ( $\Delta \iota \varsigma$ ) and $\pi \varepsilon \tau \omega$ ( $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega, \pi \iota \pi \varepsilon \tau \omega$ ), to
fall. Zeus (Jupiter) was considered lord of the sky or heaven and that is the idea in $\delta$ to $\pi \varepsilon \tau \circ \cup \varsigma$ here. The legend about a statue fallen from heaven occurs concerning the statue of Artemis at Tauris, Minerva at Athens, etc. Thus the recorder soothed the vanity (Rackham) of the crowd by appeal to the world-wide fame of Ephesus as sacristan of Artemis and of her heaven-fallen image.

Acts 19:36
Cannot be gainsaid ( $\alpha v \alpha \nu \tau \iota \rho \eta \tau \omega \nu$ ovv ov $\tau \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute with ouv (therefore). Undeniable ( $\alpha v, \alpha v \tau \iota, \rho \eta \tau o \varsigma)$, verbal adjective. Occasionally in late Greek (Polybius, etc.), only here in N.T., but adverb $\alpha v a v \tau \iota \rho \eta \tau \omega \varsigma$ in $A c$ 10:29. These legends were accepted as true and appeased the mob.

Ye ought ( $\delta \varepsilon o v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). It is necessary. Periphrastic present indicative instead of $\delta \varepsilon$ like 1Pe 1:6; 1Ti 5:13f.

Be quiet ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \mu \varepsilon \nu 0 \cup \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ (see verse 35).
Rash ( $\pi \rho о \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Old adjective from $\pi \rho o$ and $\pi \varepsilon \tau \omega$, to fall forward, headlong, precipitate. In the N.T. only here and $2 \mathrm{Ti} 3: 4$, though common in the Koine. Better look before you leap.

Acts 19:37
Neither robbers of temples (oviع $1 \varepsilon \rho \circ \sigma \cup \lambda o v \varsigma)$. Common word in Greek writers from ı $\rho \circ v$, temple, and $\sigma v \lambda \alpha \omega$, to rob, be guilty of sacrilege. The word is found also on inscriptions in Ephesus. The Jews were sometimes guilty of this crime (Ro 2:22), since the heathen temples often had vast treasures like banks. The ancients felt as strongly about temple-robbing as westerners used to feel about a horse-thief.

Nor blasphemers of our goddess (ov $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu о \nu \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \theta \varepsilon \sigma \nu \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Nor those who blasphemed our goddess. That is to say, these men (Gaius and Aristarchus) as Christians had so conducted themselves (Col 4:5) that no charge could be placed against them either in act (temple-robbery) or word (blasphemy). They had done a rash thing since these men are innocent. Paul had used tact in Ephesus as in Athens in avoiding illegalities.

Acts 19:38
Have a matter against any one ( $\varepsilon \chi \circ v \sigma \iota v \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau \iota \nu \alpha \lambda o \gamma o v)$. For this use of $\varepsilon \chi \omega \lambda o \gamma o v$ with $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ see Mt 5:32; Col 3:13. The town-clerk names Demetrius and the craftsmen ( $\tau \varepsilon \chi \vee \iota \tau \alpha$ ) as the parties responsible for the riot.

The courts are open ( $\alpha \gamma o \rho a ı o \alpha \gamma o v \tau \alpha$ ). Supply $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ (days), court days are kept, or $\sigma v v o \delta o$, court-meetings are now going on, Vulgate conventus forenses aguntur. Old adjective from $a \gamma o \rho \alpha$ (forum) marketplace where trials were held. Cf. Ac 17:4. There were regular court days whether they were in session then or not.

And there are proconsuls ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha v \theta v \pi \alpha \tau о ~ \varepsilon \iota \sigma \iota)$ ). Asia was a senatorial province and so had proconsuls (general phrase) though only one at a time, "a rhetorical plural" (Lightfoot).

Page quotes from an inscription of the age of Trajan on an aqueduct at Ephesus in which some of Luke's very words occur (vє

Let them accuse one another ( $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau \omega \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o \iota \varsigma)$. Present active imperative of $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega(\varepsilon v, \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega)$, old verb to call in one's case, to bring a charge against, with the dative. Luke uses the verb six times in Acts for judicial proceedings (19:38,40; 23:28,29; 26:2,7). The town-clerk makes a definite appeal to the mob for orderly legal procedure as opposed to mob violence in a matter where money and religious prejudice unite, a striking rebuke to so-called lynch-law proceedings in lands today where Christianity is supposed to prevail.

Acts 19:39
Anything about other matters ( $\tau \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega$ ). Most MSS. here have $\tau \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega v$, but B b Vulgate read $\tau \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega$ as in Plato's $\Phi a \varepsilon \delta o$. Several papyri examples of it also. It is comparative $\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$ of $\pi \varepsilon \rho a$, beyond. Note also $\varepsilon \pi$ in $\varepsilon \pi \iota \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$. Charges of illegal conduct (Page) should be settled in the regular legal way. But, if you wish to go further and pass resolutions about the matter exciting you, "it shall be settled in the regular assembly" ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \varepsilon \nu v o \mu \omega \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma ı \alpha)$. "In the lawful assembly," not by a mob like this. Wood (Ephesus) quotes an inscription there with this very phrase "at every lawful assembly" ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha v$ $\varepsilon v v o \mu \circ v$ єкк $\lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha v)$. The Roman officials alone could give the sanction for calling such a lawful or regular assembly. The verb $\varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda v \omega$ is an old one, but in the N.T. only here and Mr 4:34 (which see) where Jesus privately opened or disclosed the parables to the disciples. The papyri give examples of the verb in financial transactions as well as of the metaphorical sense. The solution will come in the lawful assembly, not in a riot like this. See also 2Pe 1:20 where the substantive $\varepsilon \pi i \lambda v \sigma ı \varsigma ~ o c c u r s ~ f o r ~ d i s c l o s u r e ~ o r ~ r e v e l a t i o n ~(p r o p h e c y) . ~$

Acts 19:40
For indeed we are in danger to be accused concerning this day's riot ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \alpha \rho$ $\kappa เ v \delta u v \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon เ \sigma \theta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \eta \varsigma \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \circ v)$. The text is uncertain. The text of Westcott and Hort means "to be accused of insurrection concerning today's assembly." The peril was real. Kıv $\delta v v \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v$, from $\kappa ı v \delta u v o c$, danger, peril. Old verb, but in the N.T. only here and Lu 8:23; 1Co 15:30 .

There being no cause for it ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \circ \varsigma \alpha \iota \tau \circ 0 v$ v $\pi \alpha \rho \chi \circ v \tau \circ \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute with $\alpha \iota \tau \iota \rho$, common adjective (cf. aıtıa, cause) though in N.T. only here and Heb 5:9; Lu 23:4,14,22 .

And as touching it ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \circ$ ov). "Concerning which." But what? No clear antecedent, only the general idea.

Give an account of this concourse ( $\alpha \pi о \delta o u v \alpha$ $\lambda о \gamma o v \pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \eta \varsigma \sigma v \sigma \tau \rho о \varphi \eta \varsigma \tau \alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Rationem reddere. They will have to explain matters to the proconsul. $\sum v \sigma \tau \rho \circ \varphi \eta$ (from $\sigma v v$, together, $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, to turn) is a late word for a conspiracy (Ac 23:12) and a disorderly riot as here (Polybius). In Ac 28:12 $\sigma 0 \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$ is used of gathering up a bundle of sticks and of men combining in Mt 17:22. Seneca says that there was nothing on which the Romans looked with such jealousy as a tumultuous meeting.

Acts 19:41
Dismissed the assembly ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \nu \sigma \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \nu$ ). The town-clerk thus gave a semblance of law and order to the mob by formally dismissing them, this much to protect them against the charge to which they were liable. This vivid, graphic picture given by Luke has all the earmarks of historical accuracy. Paul does not describe the incidents in his letters, was not in the theatre in fact, but Luke evidently obtained the details from one who was there. Aristarchus, we know, was with Luke in Caesarea and in Rome and could have supplied all the data necessary. Certainly both Gaius and Aristarchus were lively witnesses of these events since their own lives were involved.

## Acts 20

Acts 20:1
After the uproar was ceased ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ тo $\pi \alpha \cup \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$ тov $\theta_{0 \rho \nu} \beta \frac{1}{}$ ). Literally, after the ceasing (accusative of articular aorist middle infinitive of $\pi \alpha v \omega$, to make cease) as to the uproar (accusative of general reference). Noise and riot, already in Mt 26:5; 27:24; Mr 5:38; 14:2 ; and see in Ac 21:34; 24:18. Pictures the whole incident as bustle and confusion.

Took leave ( $\alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. First aorist middle participle of $\alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \zeta \rho \mu \alpha$, old verb from $\alpha$ intensive and $\sigma \pi \alpha \omega$, to draw, to draw to oneself in embrace either in greeting or farewell. Here it is in farewell as in 21:6. Salutation in 21:7,19.
 single act and then process. Luke here condenses what was probably a whole year of Paul's life and work as we gather from II Corinthians, one of Paul's "weighty and powerful" letters as his enemies called them (2Co 10:10). "This epistle more than any other is a revelation of S. Paul's own heart: it is his spiritual autobiography and apologia pro vita sua."

Acts 20:2
Those parts ( $\tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \rho \eta$ єкءıva). We have no way of knowing why Luke did not tell of Paul's stay in Troas (2Co 2:12f.) nor of meeting Titus in Macedonia (2Co 2:13-7:16) nor of Paul's visit to Illyricum (Ro 15:19f. ) to give time for II Corinthians to do its work (2Co 13 ), one of the most stirring experiences in Paul's whole career when he opened his heart to the Corinthians and won final victory in the church by the help of Titus who also helped him round up the great collection in Achaia. He wrote II Corinthians during this period after Titus arrived from Corinth. The unity of II Corinthians is here assumed. Paul probably met Luke again in Macedonia, but all this is passed by except by the general phrase: "had given them much exhortation" ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha к а \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha u \tau о и \varsigma ~ \lambda о \gamma \omega ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda \omega)$. Literally, "having exhorted them (the Macedonian brethren) with much talk" (instrumental case).

Into Greece ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \tau\rceil \nu H \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \alpha \delta \alpha)$. That is, Achaia ( $18: 12 ; 19: 21$ ), and particularly Corinth, whither he had at last come again after repeated attempts, pauses, and delays (2Co 13:1). Now at last the coast was clear and Paul apparently had an open door in Corinth during these three months, so completely had Titus at last done away with the opposition of the Judaizers there.

Acts 20:3
When he had spent three months there ( $\pi о п \sigma \alpha \varsigma \mu \eta v a \varsigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. Literally, "having done three months," the same idiom in Ac 14:33; 18:23; Jas 5:13 . During this period Paul may have written Galatians as Lightfoot argued and certainly did Romans. We do not have to say that Luke was ignorant of Paul's work during this period, only that he did not choose to enlarge upon it.
 Iov $\alpha a \iota \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute, "a plot by the Jews having come against him." E $\pi \iota \beta$ ou $\eta \eta$ is an old word for a plot against one. In the N.T. only in Acts ( $9: 24 ; 20: 3,19 ; 23: 30$ ). Please note that this plot is by the Jews, not the Judaizers whom Paul discusses so vehemently in 2Co 10-13. They had given Paul much anguish of heart as is shown in I Cor. and in 2Co 1-7, but that trouble seems now past. It is Paul's old enemies in Corinth who had cherished all these years their defeat at the hands of Gallio (Ac 18:5-17) who now took advantage of Paul's plans for departure to compass his death if possible.

As he was about to set sail for Syria ( $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{} \tau \tau \alpha v \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \varepsilon ı \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \Sigma v \rho ı \alpha v$ ). The participle $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o v \tau$ agrees in case (dative) with $\alpha v \tau \omega$. For the sense of intending see also verse 13. Ava $\gamma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ (present middle infinitive) is the common word for putting out to sea (going up, they said, from land) as in 13:13.

He determined ( $\left.\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \frac{\gamma}{} \gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta \varsigma\right)$. The best MSS. here read $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta \varsigma$ (predicate ablative of source like $\varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \nu \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$, $2 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 20$, Robertson, Grammar, p. 514), not $\gamma v \omega \mu \eta$ (nominative). "He became of opinion." The Jews had heard of Paul's plan to sail for Syria and intended in the hurly-burly either to kill him at the docks in Cenchreae or to push him overboard from the crowded pilgrim ship bound for the passover. Fortunately Paul learned of their plot and so eluded them by going through Macedonia. The Codex Bezae adds here that "the Spirit bade him return into Macedonia."

Acts 20:4
Accompanied him ( $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon ı \pi \varepsilon \tau о ~ \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). Imperfect of $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \pi о \mu \alpha$, old and common verb, but only here in the N.T. The singular is used agreeing with the first name mentioned $\Sigma \omega \pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma$ and to be supplied with each of the others. Textus Receptus adds here "into Asia" ( $\alpha \chi \rho \tau \eta \varsigma$ Aøtac, as far as Asia), but the best documents (Aleph B Vulg. Sah Boh) do not have it. As a matter of fact, Trophimus went as far as Jerusalem (Ac 21:29) and Aristarchus as far as Rome (27:2; Col 4:10), The phrase could apply only to Sopatros. It is not clear though probable that Luke means to say that these seven brethren, delegates of the various churches (2Co 8:19-23 ) started from Corinth with Paul. Luke notes the fact that they accompanied Paul, but the party may really have been made up at Philippi where Luke himself joined Paul, the rest of the party having gone on to Troas (20:5f. ). These were from Roman provinces that shared in the collection (Galatia, Asia, Macedonia, Achaia). In this list three were from Macedonia, Sopater of Beroea, Aristarchus and Secundus of Thessalonica; two from Galatia, Gaius of Derbe and Timothy of Lystra; two from Asia, Tychicus and Trophimus. It is a bit curious that none are named from Achaia. Had Corinth failed after all (2Co 8; 9 ) to raise its share of the collection after such eager pledging? Rackham suggests that they may have turned their part over directly to Paul. Luke joined Paul in Philippi and could have handled the money from Achaia. It was an important event and Paul took the utmost pains to remove any opportunity for scandal in the handling of the funds.

## Acts 20:5

Were waiting for us in Troas ( $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \nu o v \eta \mu a \varsigma \varepsilon v$ T $\rho o \iota \alpha \delta$ ). Here again we have "us" for the first time since chapter 16 where Paul was with Luke in Philippi. Had Luke remained all this time in Philippi? We do not know, but he is with Paul now till Rome is reached. The seven brethren of verse 4 went on ahead from Philippi to Troas while Paul remained with Luke in Philippi.

Acts 20:6
After the days of unleavened bread ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma \tau \omega \nu \alpha \zeta \nu \mu \omega \nu$ ). Paul was a Jew, though a Christian, and observed the Jewish feasts, though he protested against Gentiles being forced to do it ( $\mathrm{Ga} 4: 10 ; \mathrm{Col} 2: 16$ ). Was Luke a proselyte because he notes the Jewish feasts as here and in Ac 27:9? He may have noted them merely because Paul observed them. But this passover was a year after that in Ephesus when Paul expected to remain there till Pentecost (1Co 16:8). He was hoping now to reach Jerusalem by Pentecost (Ac 20:16) as he did. We do not know the precise year, possibly A.D. 56 or 57.

In five days ( $\alpha \chi \rho \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \omega \nu \pi \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon$ ). Up to five days (cf. Lu 2:37 ). D has $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \tau \alpha \iota o$, "fifth day men," a correct gloss. Cf. $\delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho a ı$, second-day men (Ac 28:13 ). In Ac 16:11 they made the voyage in two days. Probably adverse winds held them back here.

Seven days ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. To atone for the short stay in Troas before (2Co 2:12f. ) when Paul was so restless. Now he preaches a week to them.

Acts 20:7
Upon the first day of the week ( $\varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \mu \iota \alpha \tau \omega \nu \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ ). The cardinal $\mu \mathrm{\mu}$ used here for the ordinal $\pi \rho \omega \tau \eta$ (Mr 16:9) like the Hebrew ehadh as in Mr 16:2; Mt 28:1; Lu 24:1; Joh 20:1 and in harmony with the Koine idiom (Robertson, Grammar, p. 671). Either the singular (Mr 16:9) $\sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau$ ov or the plural $\sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau o v$ as here was used for the week (sabbath to sabbath). For the first time here we have services mentioned on the first day of the week though in 1Co 16:2 it is implied by the collections stored on that day. In Re 1:10 the Lord's day seems to be the day of the week on which Jesus rose from the grave. Worship on the first day of the week instead of the seventh naturally arose in Gentile churches, though Joh 20:26 seems to mean that from the very start the disciples began to meet on the first (or eighth) day. But liberty was allowed as Paul makes plain in Ro 14:5f .

When we were gathered together ( $\sigma v \nu \eta \gamma \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu \eta \mu \omega \nu$ ). Genitive absolute, perfect passive participle of $\sigma \cup v a \gamma \omega$, to gather together, a formal meeting of the disciples. See this verb used for gatherings of disciples in Ac 4:31; 11:26; 14:27; 15:6,30; 19:7,8; 1Co 5:4. In Heb 10:25 the substantive $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma v v a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta \nu$ is used for the regular gatherings which some were already neglecting. It is impossible for a church to flourish without regular meetings even if they have to meet in the catacombs as became necessary in Rome. In Russia today the Soviets are trying to break up conventicles of Baptists. They probably met on our Saturday evening, the beginning of the first day at sunset. So these Christians began the day (Sunday)
with worship. But, since this is a Gentile community, it is quite possible that Luke means our Sunday evening as the time when this meeting occurs, and the language in Joh 20:19 "it being evening on that day the first day of the week" naturally means the evening following the day, not the evening preceding the day.

To break bread ( $\kappa \lambda \alpha \sigma \alpha \alpha \rho \tau \sigma \nu$ ). First aorist active infinitive of purpose of $\kappa \lambda \alpha \omega$. The language naturally bears the same meaning as in 2:42, the Eucharist or the Lord's Supper which usually followed the $A \gamma a \pi \eta$. See 1Co 10:16. The time came, when the $A \gamma \alpha \pi \eta$ was no longer observed, perhaps because of the abuses noted in 1Co 11:20f. . Rackham argues that the absence of the article with bread here and its presence ( $\tau 0 v \alpha \rho \tau o v$ ) in verse 11 shows that the $A \gamma a \pi \eta$ is ] referred to in verse 7 and the Eucharist in verse 11, but not necessarily so because $\tau 0 v$ a $\quad$ тov may merely refer to $\alpha \rho \tau o v$ in verse 7 . At any rate it should be noted that Paul, who conducted this service, was not a member of the church in Troas, but only a visitor.

Discoursed ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau o$ ). Imperfect middle because he kept on at length.
Intending $(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega)$. Being about to, on the point of.
On the morrow ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \pi \alpha \nu \rho ı \sigma$ ). Locative case with $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ understood after the adverb $\varepsilon \pi \alpha v \rho ı 0 v$. If Paul spoke on our Saturday evening, he made the journey on the first day of the week (our Sunday) after sunrise. If he spoke on our Sunday evening, then he left on our Monday morning.

Prolonged his speech (Пaрє of $\pi \alpha \rho a \tau \varepsilon เ \nu \omega$, old verb to stretch beside or lengthwise, to prolong. Vivid picture of Paul's long sermon which went on and on till midnight ( $\mu \varepsilon \chi \rho \mu \varepsilon \sigma o v v \kappa \tau \iota o v$ ). Paul's purpose to leave early next morning seemed to justify the long discourse. Preachers usually have some excuse for the long sermon which is not always clear to the exhausted audience.

Acts 20:8
Many lights ( $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \alpha \delta \varepsilon \varsigma \iota \kappa \alpha v \alpha$ ). It was dark at night since the full moon (passover) was three weeks behind. These lamps were probably filled with oil and had wicks that flickered and smoked. They would not meet in the dark.

In the upper room $(\varepsilon v \tau \omega v \pi \varepsilon \rho \omega t \omega)$. As in 1:13 which see.
Acts 20:9
Sat (каӨєऍонєvoৎ). Sitting (present middle participle describing his posture).
In the window ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma \theta \cup \rho \iota \delta o \varsigma)$. Old word diminutive from $\theta \cup \rho a$, door, a little door. Latticed window (no glass) opened because of the heat from the lamps and the crowd. Our window was once spelt windore (Hudibras), perhaps from the wrong idea that it was derived from wind and door. Eutychus (a common slave name) was sitting on $(\varepsilon \pi)$ the window sill. Ahaziah "fell down through a lattice in his upper chamber" (2Ki 1:2). In the N.T. Oupıs only here and 2Co 11:33 ( $\delta$ ı $\alpha$ $\theta \cup \rho ı \delta o \varsigma$ ) through which Paul was let down through the wall in Damascus.

Borne down with deep sleep ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho о \mu \varepsilon \nu о \varsigma v \pi \nu \omega \beta \alpha \theta \varepsilon$ ). Present passive participle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, to bear down, and followed by instrumental case ( $v \pi \nu \omega$ ). Describes the gradual process of going into deep sleep. Great medical writers use $\beta \alpha \theta v \varsigma$ with $v \pi v o \varsigma$ as we do today (deep sleep). D here has $\beta a \sigma \varepsilon$ (heavy) for $\beta \alpha \theta \varepsilon$ (deep).

As Paul discoursed yet longer ( $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v ~ \tau o v ~ \Pi a v \lambda o v \varepsilon \pi \pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota o v$ ). Genitive absolute of present middle participle of $\delta ı \alpha \lambda \gamma \circ \mu \alpha$ (cf. verse 7). with $\varepsilon \pi \pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota o v$. Eutychus struggled bravely to keep awake, vainly hoping that Paul would finish. But he went on "for more."

Being born down by his sleep ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \chi Ө \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \alpha \pi о ~ \tau o v ~ v \pi v o v) . ~ F i r s t ~ a o r i s t ~(e f f e c t i v e) ~$ passive showing the final result of the process described by кат $\alpha \varphi \rho \circ \mu \varepsilon v \circ \varsigma$, finally overcome as a result of ( $\alpha \pi 0$ ) the (note article $\tau 0 v$ ) sleep (ablative case). These four participles ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \zeta о \mu \varepsilon \nu о \sigma, \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho о \mu \varepsilon \nu о \sigma, \delta ı \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \gamma о \mu \varepsilon v o v, \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \varepsilon \chi \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$ have no connectives, but are distinguished clearly by case and tense. The difference between the present кат $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho о \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ$, and the aorist $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \chi \theta \varepsilon ı$, of the same verb is marked.

Fell down ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \omega$ ). Effective aorist active indicative of $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$ with the adverb $\kappa \alpha \tau \omega$, though кaтa兀ıлt (compound verb) could have been used (Ac 26:14; 28:6 ). Hobart (Medical Language of St. Luke) thinks that Luke shows a physician's interest in the causes of the drowsiness of Eutychus (the heat, the crowd, the smell of the lamps, the late hour, the long discourse). Cf. Lu 22:45.

From the third story ( $\alpha \pi \sigma$ $\tau o v \tau \rho เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \gamma \circ v$ ). From $\tau \rho \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ (three) and $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \gamma \eta$ (roof), adjective $\tau \rho \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \gamma \circ \varsigma$ having three roofs.

Was taken up dead ( $\eta \rho \theta \eta \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \circ \varsigma)$. First aorist passive indicative of a $\rho \omega$. Luke does not say $\omega \varsigma$ (as) or $\omega \sigma \varepsilon$ (Mr 9:26 as if). The people considered him dead and Luke the physician seems to agree with that view.

Acts 20:10
Fell on him ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \nu \alpha v \tau \omega)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$ with dative case as Elijah did (1Ki 17:21) and Elisha (2Ki 4:34).

Embracing ( $\sigma v v \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \lambda \alpha \beta \omega v$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\sigma v v \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$, old verb to embrace completely (take hold together round), but only here in the N.T. In Ezr 5:3

Make ye no ado ( $\mu \eta \theta$ o $\rho v \beta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Stop ( $\mu \eta$ and present middle imperative of $\theta$ o $\rho \cup \beta \varepsilon \omega$ ) making a noise ( $\because \mathrm{o} \rho \cup \beta$ оऽ) as the people did on the death of Jairus's daughter (Mt 9:23 $\theta$ o $\rho v \beta$ ov $\mu \varepsilon v o v$ and $\mathrm{Mr} 5: 38$ $\theta$ o $\rho v \beta$ ov) when Jesus asked $\mathrm{T} \theta$ о $\rho v \beta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ? For his life is in $\operatorname{him}(\eta \gamma \alpha \rho \psi v \chi \eta \alpha v \tau o v \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \omega \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. This language is relied on by Ramsay, Wendt, Zoeckler to show that Eutychus had not really died, but had merely swooned. Paul's language would suit that view, but it suits equally well the idea that he had just been restored to life and so is indecisive. Furneaux urges also the fact that his friends did not bring him back to the meeting till morning (verse 12) as additional evidence that it was a case of swooning
rather than of death. But this again is not conclusive as they would naturally not take him back at once. One will believe here as the facts appeal to him.

Acts 20:11
When he was gone up ( $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha \varsigma)$. Second aorist active participle in sharp contrast to ката $\beta$ аऽ (went down) of verse 10.

Had broken bread ( $\kappa \lambda \alpha \sigma \alpha \varsigma \tau о v \alpha \rho \tau о v)$. Probably the Eucharist to observe which ordinance Paul had come and tarried (verse 7), though some scholars distinguish between what took place in verse 7 and verse 11, needlessly so as was stated on verse 7 .

And eaten ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \circ \varsigma)$. The word is used in 10:10 of eating an ordinary meal and so might apply to the $A \gamma a \pi \eta$, but it suits equally for the Eucharist. The accident had interrupted Paul's sermon so that it was observed now and then Paul resumed his discourse.

And had talked with them a long while ( $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} \iota \kappa \alpha \nu о \nu \tau \varepsilon$ о $\left.\iota \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma\right)$. Luke, as we have seen, is fond of ıкаvoৎ for periods of time, for a considerable space of time, "even till break of day" ( $\alpha \not \rho \alpha v \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. Old word for brightness, radiance like German Auge, English eye, only here in the N.T. Occurs in the papyri and in modern Greek for dawn. This second discourse lasted from midnight till dawn and was probably more informal (as in 10:27) and conversational ( $o \mu \lambda \lambda \tau \sigma \varsigma$, though our word homiletics comes from o $\mu \mathrm{I} \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ ) than the discourse before midnight ( $\delta \iota \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \sigma \mu \alpha$, verses 7,9 ). He had much to say before he left.

So he departed (ov $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Thus Luke sums up the result. Paul left (went forth) only after all the events narrated by the numerous preceding participles had taken place. Effective aorist active indicative $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$. Hov $\tau \omega \varsigma$ here equals $\tau v \mu \delta \varepsilon \mu \nu \mu$, now at length (Ac 27:7 ) as Page shows.

Acts 20:12
They brought the lad alive ( $\eta \gamma \alpha \gamma o v \tau o v \pi \alpha \iota \alpha \zeta \omega \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\alpha \gamma \omega$. Evidently the special friends of the lad who now either brought him back to the room or (Rendall) took him home to his family. Knowling holds that $\zeta \omega v \tau \alpha$ (living) here is pointless unless he had been dead. He had been taken up dead and now they brought him living.

Not a little (ov $\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho t \omega \varsigma)$. Not moderately, that is a great deal. Luke is fond of this use of the figure litotes (use of the negative) instead of the strong positive ( $1: 5$, etc.). D (Codex Bezae) has here instead of $\eta \gamma \alpha \gamma o v$ these words: $\alpha \lambda \pi \alpha \zeta о \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu \delta \varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \eta \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \nu \tau \sigma \nu \nu \varepsilon \alpha \nu \iota \sigma \kappa 0 \nu$ $\zeta \omega v \tau \alpha$ (while they were saying farewell he brought the young man alive). This reading pictures the joyful scene over the lad's restoration as Paul was leaving.

Acts 20:13
To the ship ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau 0 \pi \lambda o \iota o v$ ). Note article. It is possible that Paul's party had chartered a coasting vessel from Philippi or Troas to take them to Patara in Lycia. Hence the boat stopped when and where Paul wished. That is possible, but not certain, for Paul could simply have accommodated himself to the plans of the ship's managers.

To take in Paul ( $\alpha v \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \varepsilon เ v ~ \tau o v ~ \Pi \alpha v \lambda o v) . ~ S o ~ i n ~ v e r s e ~ 14 . ~ S a m e ~ u s e ~ i n ~ 2 T i ~ 4: 11: ~$ "Picking up Mark" (Mapкov ava入 $\alpha \beta \omega v$ ). Assos was a seaport south of Troas in Mysia in the province of Asia.

He had appointed ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma \eta \nu$ ). Past perfect periphrastic middle of $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, old verb to give orders (military in particular).

To go by land ( $\pi \varepsilon \zeta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Present active infinitive of $\pi \varepsilon \zeta \varepsilon v \omega$, old verb to go on foot, not on horse back or in a carriage or by ship. Here only in the N.T. It was about twenty miles over a paved Roman road, much shorter (less than half) than the sea voyage around Cape Lectum. It was a beautiful walk in the spring-time and no doubt Paul enjoyed it whatever his reason was for going thus to Assos while the rest went by sea. Certainly he was entitled to a little time alone, this one day, as Jesus sought the Father in the night watches (Mt 14:23).

Acts 20:14
Met us ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v \eta \mu v$ ). Imperfect active where the aorist ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v$, as C D have it) would seem more natural. It may mean that as soon as ( $\omega \varsigma$ ) Paul "came near or began to meet us" (inchoative imperfect), we picked him up. Luke alone in the N.T. uses $\sigma v v \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ to bring or come together either in a friendly sense as here or as enemies (Lu 14:31).

To Mitylene ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma M ı \tau \cup \lambda \eta \nu \eta \nu)$. The capital of Lesbos about thirty miles from Assos, an easy day's sailing.

Acts 20:15
We came over against Chios ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \nu \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \iota \kappa \rho \cup \varsigma$ Xıov). Luke uses this Koine verb several times $(16: 1 ; 18: 19)$, meaning to come right down in front of and the notion of $\alpha v \tau \alpha$ is made plainer by avtıкрvя, face to face with, common "improper" preposition only here in the N.T. They probably lay off the coast (anchoring) during the night instead of putting into the harbour. The Island of Chios is about eight miles from the mainland.

The next day ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). The third day in reality from Assos (the fourth from Troas), in contrast with $\tau \eta \varepsilon \pi \iota o v \sigma \eta$ just before for Chios.

We touched at Samos ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda о \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \Sigma \alpha \mu o v)$. Second aorist active of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to throw alongside, to cross over, to put in by. So Thucydides III. 32. Only here in the N.T. though in Textus Receptus in Mr 4:30. The word parable ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta o \lambda \eta$ ) is from this verb. The Textus Receptus adds here ка $\mu \varepsilon \iota v a v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon v$ T $\rho о \gamma \nu \lambda \lambda \iota \omega$ (and remaining at Trogyllium), but clearly not genuine. In passing from Chios to Samos they sailed past Ephesus to save time for Pentecost in Jerusalem (verse 16), if in control of the ship, or because the captain allowed Paul to have his way. The island of Samos is still further down the coast below Chios. It is not stated whether a stop was made here or not.

The day after ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta$ ). The day holding itself next to the one before. Note Luke's three terms in this verse ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \pi \iota o v \sigma \eta \iota, \tau \eta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho a \iota, \tau \eta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta)$. This would be the fourth from Assos.

To Miletus ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ Mi $\lambda \eta \tau$ тov). About 28 miles south of Ephesus and now the site is several miles from the sea due to the silt from the Maeander. This city, once the chief city of the Ionian Greeks, was now quite eclipsed by Ephesus.

Acts 20:16
For Paul had determined (кєкрькє $\gamma \alpha \rho$ о Паи入ос). Past perfect active (correct text) of $\kappa \rho ı v \omega$ and not the aorist $\varepsilon \kappa \rho \iota v \varepsilon$. Either Paul controlled the ship or the captain was willing to oblige him.

To sail past Ephesus ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha ~ \tau \eta \nu \mathrm{E} \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \sigma v$ ). First aorist active infinitive of $\pi \alpha \rho a \pi \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, old verb to sail beside, only here in the N.T.

That he might not have ( $o \pi \omega \varsigma \mu \eta \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \tau \alpha \alpha \nu \tau \omega$ ). Final clause (negative) with aorist middle subjunctive of $\gamma \operatorname{lv}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha$ and dative "that it might not happen to him."

To spend time ( $\chi \rho о v o \tau \rho 1 \beta \eta \sigma \alpha)$. First aorist active of the late compound verb $\chi \rho o v o \tau \rho 1 \beta \varepsilon \omega$ ( $\chi \rho o v o c$, time, $\tau \rho 1 \beta \omega$, to spend), only here in the N.T. The verb $\tau \rho 1 \beta \omega$, to rub, to wear out by rubbing, lends itself to the idea of wasting time. It was only a year ago that Paul had left Ephesus in haste after the riot. It was not expedient to go back so soon if he meant to reach Jerusalem by Pentecost. Paul clearly felt (Ro 15 ) that the presentation of this collection at Pentecost to the Jewish Christians would have a wholesome influence as it had done once before (Ac 11:30).

He was hastening ( $\varepsilon \sigma \pi \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active of $\sigma \pi \varepsilon v \delta \omega$, old verb to hasten as in Lu 2:16; 19:56.

If it were possible for him ( $\varepsilon \delta v v a \tau o v \varepsilon \eta \eta \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). Condition of the fourth class (optative mode), if it should be possible for him. The form is a remote possibility. It was only some thirty days till Pentecost.

The day of Pentecost ( $\tau \eta \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa o \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma$ ). Note the accusative case. Paul wanted to be there for the whole day. See Ac 2:1 for this very phrase.

Acts 20:17
Called to him ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \tau o$ ). Aorist middle (indirect) indicative of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, old verb to call from one place to another ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ for "change"), middle to call to oneself, only in Acts in the N.T. (7:14; 10:32; 20:17; 24:25 ). Ephesus was some thirty miles, a stiff day's journey each way. They would be with Paul the third day of the stay in Miletus.

The elders of the church ( $\tau$ ouc $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho о u \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \omega \varsigma)$ ). The very men whom Paul terms "bishops" (£тıбкотоис) in verse 28 just as in Tit 1:5,7 where both terms ( $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho о и \sigma$, тov $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa о \pi о v)$ describe the same office. The term "elder" applied to Christian ministers first appears in Ac 11:30 in Jerusalem and reappears in 15:4,6,22 in connection with the apostles and the church. The "elders" are not "apostles" but are "bishops" (cf. Php 1:1) and with "deacons" constitute the two classes of officers in the early churches. Ignatius shows that in the early second century the office of bishop over the elders had developed, but Lightfoot has shown that it was not so in the first century. Each church, as in

Jerusalem, Philippi, Ephesus, had a number of "elders" ("bishops") in the one great city church. Hackett thinks that other ministers from the neighbourhood also came. It was a noble group of preachers and Paul, the greatest preacher of the ages, makes a remarkable talk to preachers with all the earmarks of Pauline originality (Spitta, Apostelgeschichte, p. 252) as shown by the characteristic Pauline words, phrases, ideas current in all his Epistles including the Pastoral (testify, course, pure, take heed, presbyter, bishop, acquire, apparel). Luke heard this address as he may and probably did hear those in Jerusalem and Caesarea (Ac 21-26 ). Furneaux suggests that Luke probably took shorthand notes of the address since Galen says that his students took down his medical lectures in shorthand: "At any rate, of all the speeches in the Acts this contains most of Paul and least of Luke. ... It reveals Paul as nothing else does. The man who spoke it is no longer a man of eighteen centuries ago: he is of yesterday; of today. He speaks as we speak and feels as we feel; or rather as we fain would speak and feel." We have seen and listened to Paul speak to the Jews in Antioch in Pisidia as Luke pictures the scene, to the uneducated pagans at Lystra, to the cultured Greeks in Athens. We shall hear him plead for his life to the Jewish mob in Jerusalem, to the Roman governor Felix in Caesarea, to the Jewish "King" Herod Agrippa II in Caesarea, and at last to the Jews in Rome. But here Paul unbosoms himself to the ministers of the church in Ephesus where he had spent three years (longer than with any other church) and where he had such varied experiences of prowess and persecution. He opens his heart to these men as he does not to the average crowd even of believers. It is Paul's Apologia pro sua Vita. He will probably not see them again and so the outlook and attitude is similar to the farewell discourse of Jesus to the disciples in the upper room (Joh 13-17). He warns them about future perils as Jesus had done. Paul's words here will repay any preacher's study today. There is the same high conception of the ministry here that Paul had already elaborated in 2Co 2:12-6:10 (see my Glory of the Ministry). It is a fitting time and occasion for Paul to take stock of his ministry at the close of the third mission tour. What wonders had God wrought already.

Acts 20:18
Ye yourselves know ( $\nu \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Pronoun expressed and emphatic. He appeals to their personal knowledge of his life in Ephesus.

From the first day that ( $\left.\alpha \pi 0 \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma \alpha \varphi^{\prime} \eta \varsigma\right)$ ). "From first day from which." He had first "set foot" ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \beta \eta \nu$, second aorist active indicative of old verb $\varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \alpha \iota v \omega$, to step upon or step into) in Ephesus four years ago in the spring of 51 or 52, but had returned from Antioch that autumn. It is now spring of 54 or 55 so that his actual ministry in Ephesus was about two and a half years, roughly three years (verse 31).

After what manner I was with you ( $\pi \omega \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime} \nu \mu \omega v \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \eta v$ ). Literally, "How I came (from Asia and so was) with you." Cf. 1Th 1:5; 2Th 2:1-10 where Paul likewise dares to refer

of time. So far as we know, Paul stuck to Ephesus the whole period. He had devoted himself consecratedly to the task in Ephesus. Each pastor is bishop of his field and has a golden opportunity to work it for Christ. One of the saddest things about the present situation is the restlessness of preachers to go elsewhere instead of devoting themselves wholly to the task where they are. 19.

Serving the Lord ( $\delta o u \lambda \varepsilon v \omega \nu \tau \omega \kappa \nu \rho \omega$ ). It was Paul's glory to be the $\delta o u \lambda$ oc (bondslave) as in Ro 1:1; Php 1:1. Paul alone, save Jesus in Mt 6:24; Lu 16:13, uses $\delta$ ou入عv $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ six times for serving God (Page).

With all lowliness of mind ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \varsigma \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon ı v o \varphi \rho о \sigma v \nu \eta \varsigma)$. Lightfoot notes that heathen writers use this word for a grovelling, abject state of mind, but Paul follows Christ in using it for humility, humble-mindedness that should mark every Christian and in particular the preacher.

With tears ( $\delta \alpha \kappa \rho \nu \omega v)$. Construed with $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$. Paul was a man of the deepest emotion along with his high intellectuality. He mentions his tears again in verse 31, tears of sorrow and of anxiety. He refers to his tears in writing the sharp letter to the church in Corinth (2Co 2:4) and in denouncing the sensual apostates in Php 3:18. Adolphe Monod has a wonderful sermon on the tears of Paul. Consider also the tears of Jesus.

Trials which befell me ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \rho \alpha \sigma \mu \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu \sigma \nu \mu \beta \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \mu 0$ ). Construed also with $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$. Second aorist active participle of $\sigma v \nu \beta \alpha \downarrow v \omega$, to walk with, to go with, to come together, to happen, to befall. Very common in this sense in the old Greek (cf. Ac 3:10).

By the plots of the Jews ( $\varepsilon v \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \pi ß$ ßou入aı $\tau \omega v$ Iov $\alpha a \iota \omega v$ ). Like the plot ( $\varepsilon \pi ı \beta o v \lambda \eta$ ) against him in Corinth ( $20: 3$ ) as well as the earlier trial before Gallio and the attacks in Thessalonica. In Ac 19:9 Luke shows the hostile attitude of the Jews in Ephesus that drove Paul out of the synagogue to the school of Tyrannus. He does not describe in detail these "plots" which may easily be imagined from Paul's own letters and may be even referred to in 1Co 4:10; 15:30ff.; 16:9; 2Co 1:4-10; 7:5; 11:23. In fact, one has only to dwell on the allusions in 2Co 11 to picture what Paul's life was in Ephesus during these three years. Luke gives in Ac 19 the outbreak of Demetrius, but Paul had already fought with "wild-beasts" there.

Acts 20:20
How that I shrank not ( $\omega \varsigma$ ov $\delta \varepsilon v v \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Still indirect discourse (question) after $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ (ye know) with $\omega \varsigma$ like $\pi \omega \varsigma$ in verse 18 . First aorist middle of v $\pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, old verb to draw under or back. It was so used of drawing back or down sails on a ship and, as Paul had so recently been on the sea, that may be the metaphor here. But it is not necessarily so as the direct middle here makes good sense and is frequent, to withdraw oneself, to cower, to shrink, to conceal, to dissemble as in Hab 2:4 (Heb 10:38). Demosthenes so used it to shrink from declaring out of fear for others. This open candour of Paul is supported by his Epistles (1Th 2:4,11; 2Co 4:2; Ga 1:10 ).

From declaring unto you ( $\tau 0 v \mu \eta \alpha v \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha v \mu \nu v$ ). Ablative case of the articular first aorist active infinitive of $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ with the redundant negative after verbs of hindering, etc. (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1094).

Anything that was profitable ( $\tau \omega \nu \sigma \nu \mu \varphi \varepsilon \rho \circ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ). Partitive genitive after $o v \delta \varepsilon v$ of the articular present active participle of $\sigma \cup \mu \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, to bear together, be profitable.

Publicly ( $\delta \eta \mu$ обıa, adverb)
and from house to house (ка кат' оккоия). By (according to) houses. It is worth noting that this greatest of preachers preached from house to house and did not make his visits merely social calls. He was doing kingdom business all the while as in the house of Aquila and Priscilla (1Co 16:19).

Acts 20:21
Testifying ( $\delta ı \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho о \mu \varepsilon \nu о \varsigma)$. As Peter did (Ac 2:40) where Luke uses this same word thoroughly Lucan and Pauline. So again in verses 23,24. Paul here as in Ro 1:16 includes both Jews and Greeks, to the Jew first.

Repentance toward God ( $\tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \theta \varepsilon \circ \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \nu \circ \iota \alpha \nu$ )
and faith toward our Lord Jesus ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota v ~ \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ \kappa v \rho ı о v ~ \eta \mu \omega v ~ I \eta \sigma o v v) . ~ T h e s e ~ t w o ~$ elements run through the Epistle to the Romans which Paul had recently written and sent from Corinth. These two elements appear in all Paul's preaching whether "to Jews or Gentiles, to philosophers at Athens or to peasants at Lystra, he preached repentance toward God and faith toward the Lord Jesus" (Knowling).

Acts 20:22
Bound in the spirit ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ \tau \omega \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau)$. Perfect passive participle of $\delta \varepsilon \omega$, to bind, with the locative case. "Bound in my spirit" he means, as in 19:21, from a high sense of duty. The mention of "the Holy Spirit" specifically in verse 23 seems to be in contrast to his own spirit here. His own spirit was under the control of the Holy Spirit (Ro 8:16) and the sense does not differ greatly.

Not knowing ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \iota \delta \omega \varsigma$ ). Second perfect active participle of ot $\delta \alpha$ with $\mu \eta$.
That shall befall me ( $\tau \alpha \sigma v v \alpha \nu \tau \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha \varepsilon \mu o$ ). Articular future active participle of $\sigma v v a v \tau \alpha \omega$, to meet with (Ac 10:25 ), to befall (with associative instrumental case) and compare with $\sigma v \mu \beta \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$ (befell) in verse 19. One of the rare instances of the future participle in the N.T.

Acts 20:23
Save that $(\pi \lambda \eta \nu o \tau)$. The o $\tau$ clause is really in the ablative case after $\pi \lambda \eta \nu$, here a preposition as in Php 1:18, this idiom $\pi \lambda \eta \nu$ o $\tau$ occasionally in ancient Greek.

In every city ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi о \lambda \iota v$ ). Singular here though plural in кат' oıкоия (verse 20).
Bonds and afflictions ( $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \lambda \iota \psi \varepsilon \iota)$. Both together as in Php 1:17; 2Co 1:8 . Literal bonds and actual pressures.

Abide me ( $\mu \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o v \sigma \tau v$ ). With the accusative as in verse 5 ( $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o v \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma)$ and nowhere else in the N.T.

Acts 20:24
But I hold not my life of any account ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov $\left.\delta \varepsilon v o \varsigma \lambda o \gamma o v \pi o o v \mu \alpha ~ \tau \eta \nu \psi v \chi \eta \nu\right)$. Neat Greek idiom, accusative $\psi v \chi \eta v$ and genitive $\lambda o \gamma o v$ and then Paul adds "dear unto myself" ( $\tau \mu \iota \alpha v \varepsilon \mu \alpha v \tau \omega$ ) in apposition with $\psi v \chi \eta \nu$ (really a combination of two constructions).

So that I may accomplish my course ( $\omega \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \epsilon \omega \sigma \omega \delta \rho o \mu \circ v \mu \circ v$ ). Rather, "In order that" (purpose, not result). Aleph and B read $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega \omega \sigma \omega$ here (first aorist active subjunctive) rather than $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega \omega \sigma \alpha$ (first aorist active infinitive). It is the lone instance in the N.T. of $\omega \varsigma$ as a final particle (Robertson, Grammar, p. 987). Paul in Ac 13:25 in his sermon at Antioch in Pisidia described John as fulfilling his course and in $2 \mathrm{Ti} 4: 7$ he will say: "I have finished my course" ( $\tau \circ v \delta \rho \circ \mu \circ v \tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ). He will run the race to the end.

Which I received from the Lord Jesus ( $\eta v \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \circ v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ tov кvpıov In $\sigma o v$ ). Of that fact he never had a doubt and it was a proud boast (Gal 1:1; Ro 11:13 ).
 gospel consisted in the grace of God. See this word "grace" ( $\chi \propto \rho ı \varsigma$ ) in Romans and his other Epistles.

Acts 20:25
And now, behold ( $\kappa \alpha \mathrm{vov}, \mathrm{i} \delta \mathrm{ov}$ ). Second time and solemn reminder as in verse 22.
I know ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ oı $\delta \alpha$ ). Emphasis on $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ which is expressed.
Ye all ( $\nu \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma \pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. In very emphatic position after the verb $o \psi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ (shall see) and the object (my face). Twice Paul will write from Rome (Php 2:24; Phm 1:22) the hope of coming east again; but that is in the future, and here Paul is expressing his personal conviction and his fears. The Pastoral Epistles show Paul did come to Ephesus again (1Ti 1:3; 3:14; 4:13 ) and Troas (2Ti 4:13) and Miletus (2Ti 4:20). There need be no surprise that Paul's fears turned out otherwise. He had reason enough for them.

Among whom I went about ( $\varepsilon v$ oıs $\delta ı \eta \lambda \theta o v$ ). Apparently Paul here has in mind others beside the ministers. They represented the church in Ephesus and the whole region where Paul laboured.

Acts 20:26
I testify ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho о \mu \alpha)$. Elsewhere in the N.T. only in Paul's Epistles (Ga 5:3; Eph 4:17; 1Th 2:12 ). It means "I call to witness" while $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \omega$ means "I bear witness."

This day ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho o v \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). The today day, the last day with you, our parting day.
I am pure from the blood of all men ( $\kappa \alpha \forall \alpha \rho о \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \alpha \pi о ~ \tau о v ~ \alpha \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu)$ ). Paul was sensitive on this point as in Corinth (Ac 18:6 ). It is much for any preacher to claim and it ought to be true of all. The papyri also give this use of $\alpha \pi 0$ with the ablative rather than the mere ablative after каӨapoc.

Acts 20:27

Paul here repeats the very words and idioms used in verse 20, adding "the whole counsel of God" ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \beta$ ou $\lambda \eta \nu$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). All the counsel of God that concerned Paul's work and nothing inconsistent with the purpose of God of redemption through Christ Jesus (Page).

Acts 20:28
Take heed unto yourselves ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha u \tau o \iota \varsigma)$. The full phrase had $\tau 0 v$ vouv, hold your mind on yourselves (or other object in the dative), as often in old writers and in Job 7:17. But the ancients often used the idiom with vouv understood, but not expressed as here and Ac 5:35; Lu 12:1; 17:3; 21:34; 1Ti 1:4; 3:8; 4:13. Eлe $\chi \varepsilon$ is so used in 1Ti 4:16.

To all the flock $(\pi \alpha \nu \tau \tau \omega \pi о \mu \nu \omega \omega)$. Contracted form of $\pi о \mu \varepsilon v \circ o v=\pi о \mu \nu \eta(\mathrm{Joh} 10: 16$ ) already in Lu 12:32 and also in Ac 20:29; 1Pe 5:2,3. Common in old Greek.

Hath made ( $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \tau \tau)$. Did make, second aorist middle indicative of $\tau \imath \theta \eta \mu$, did appoint. Paul evidently believed that the Holy Spirit calls and appoints ministers.

Bishops ( $\varepsilon$ пıбкотоич). The same men termed elders in verse 17 which see.
To shepherd ( $\pi о \mu \alpha \iota v \varepsilon เ)$ ). Present active infinitive of purpose of $\pi о \mu \alpha \iota v \omega$, old verb to feed or tend the flock ( $\pi о \mu \nu \eta, \pi о \mu \nu \iota v \nu$ ), to act as shepherd ( $\pi о \mu \eta \nu$ ). These ministers are thus in Paul's speech called elders (verse 17), bishops (verse 28), and shepherds (verse 28). Jesus had used this very word to Peter (Joh 21:16, twice $\beta$ обкع, feed, 21:15,17) and Peter will use it in addressing fellow-elders ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 5: 2$ ) with memories, no doubt of the words of Jesus to him. The "elders" were to watch over as "bishops" and "tend and feed as shepherds" the flock. Jesus is termed "the shepherd and bishop of your souls" in 1Pe 2:25 and "the great Shepherd of the sheep" in Heb 13:20. Jesus called himself "the good Shepherd" in Joh 10:11

The church of God ( $\tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \nu$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). The correct text, not "the church of the Lord" or "the church of the Lord and God" (Robertson, Introduction to Textual Criticism of the N.T., p. 189).

He purchased ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \pi о п п \alpha \tau о) . ~ F i r s t ~ a o r i s t ~ m i d d l e ~ o f ~ \pi \varepsilon \rho ı \pi о є \varepsilon \omega, ~ o l d ~ v e r b ~ t o ~ r e s e r v e, ~$ to preserve (for or by oneself, in the middle). In the N.T. only in Luke 17:33; Ac 20:28; 1 Ti 3:13. The substantive $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi=ו \eta \sigma \iota v$ (preservation, possession) occurs in 1Pe 2:9 ("a peculiar people" $=$ a people for a possession) and in Eph 1:14 .
 blood. Whose blood? If tou $\theta$ sov (Aleph B Vulg.) is correct, as it is, then Jesus is here called "God" who shed his own blood for the flock. It will not do to say that Paul did not call Jesus God, for we have Ro 9:5; Col 2:9; Tit 2:13 where he does that very thing, besides Col 1:15-20; Php 2:5-11.

Acts 20:29
After my departing ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \eta v \alpha \varphi \iota \xi \iota \nu \mu \circ v$ ). Not his death, but his departure from them. From $\alpha \varphi \iota \kappa v \varepsilon о \mu \alpha$ and usually meant arrival, but departure in Herodotus IX. 17, 76 as here.

Grievous wolves ( $\lambda$ vко $\beta \alpha \rho \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. Bapeıc is heavy, rapacious, harsh. Jesus had already so described false teachers who would raven the fold (Joh 10:12). Whether Paul had in mind the Judaizers who had given him so much trouble in Antioch, Jerusalem, Galatia, Corinth or the Gnostics the shadow of whose coming he already foresaw is not perfectly clear. But it will not be many years before Epaphras will come to Rome from Colossae with news of the new peril there (Epistle to the Colossians). In writing to Timothy (1Ti 1:20) Paul will warn him against some who have already made shipwreck of their faith. In Re 2:2 John will represent Jesus as describing false apostles in Ephesus.
 Sparing the flock was not the fashion of wolves. Jesus sent the seventy as lambs in the midst of wolves (Lu 10:3). In the Sermon on the Mount Jesus had pictured the false prophets who would come as ravening wolves in sheep's clothing (Mt 7:15 ).

Acts 20:30
From among your own selves $(\varepsilon \xi v \mu \omega v \alpha v \tau \omega v)$. In sheep's clothing just as Jesus had foretold. The outcome fully justified Paul's apprehensions as we see in Colossians, Ephesians, I and II Timothy, Revelation. False philosophy, immorality, asceticism will lead some astray (Col 2:8,18; Eph 4:14; 5:6 ). John will picture "antichrists" who went out from us because they were not of us (1Jo $2: 18 \mathrm{f}$. ). There is a false optimism that is complacently blind as well as a despondent pessimism that gives up the fight.

Perverse things $(\delta เ \varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon v \alpha)$. Perfect passive participle of $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, old verb to turn aside, twist, distort as in Ac 13:8,10 .

To draw away ( $\tau 0 v \alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \pi \alpha \downarrow$ ). Articular genitive present active participle of purpose from $\alpha \pi о \sigma \pi \alpha \omega$, old verb used to draw the sword (Mt 26:51), to separate (Lu 22:41; Ac 21:1 ). The pity of it is that such leaders of dissension can always gain a certain following. Paul's long residence in Ephesus enabled him to judge clearly of conditions there.

Acts 20:31
Wherefore watch ye ( $\delta \iota o \gamma \rho \gamma о \rho \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ ). Paul has concluded his defence of himself and his warning. Now he exhorts on the basis of it ( $\delta ⿺ 0$ ) because of which thing. The very command of Jesus concerning the perils before his return as in Mr 13:35 ( $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma \circ \rho \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ ), the very form (late present imperative from the second perfect $\varepsilon \gamma \rho \eta \gamma \circ \rho \alpha$ of $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \rho \omega \omega$, to arouse). Stay awake.

I ceased not to admonish (ovк $\varepsilon \pi \alpha v \sigma \alpha \mu \eta \nu v o v \theta \varepsilon \tau \omega \nu$ ). Participle describes Paul, I did not cease admonishing, night and day ( $v \cup \kappa \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu$, accusative of extent of time, for three years $\tau \rho \iota \varepsilon \tau \iota \alpha v$, accusative of extent of time also). Nov $\theta \varepsilon \tau \omega v$ is from $v o v \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega$, to put sense into one. So Paul kept it up with tears (verse 19) if so be he could save the Ephesians from the impending perils. Forewarned is to be forearmed. Paul did his duty by them.

Acts 20:32

And now ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha v v v$ ). Same phrase as in verses 22,25 save that $\delta \delta o v$ (behold) is wanting and the article $\tau \alpha$ occurs before $v v \nu$, accusative of general reference. And as to the present things (or situation) as in 4:29.

I commend $(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \iota \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha)$. Present middle indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \iota \eta \eta$, old verb to place beside, middle, to deposit with one, to interest as in $1 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 18 ; 2 \mathrm{Ti} 2: 2$. Paul can now only do this, but he does it hopefully. Cf. 1Pe 4:19 .

The word of his grace ( $\tau \omega \lambda o \gamma \omega \tau \eta \varsigma \chi \alpha \rho \iota \tau o \varsigma \alpha v \tau o v$ ). The instrumentality through preaching and the Holy Spirit employed by God. Cf. Col 4:6; Eph 4:29 .

Which is able to build up ( $\tau \omega \delta v v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega$ oıко $\delta о \mu \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). God works through the word of his grace and so it is able to build up (edify); a favourite Pauline word (1Co 3:10-14; 3:9; 2Co 5:1; Eph 2:20-22; 2Ti 3:15; etc.), and Jas 1:21. The very words "build" and "inheritance among the sanctified" will occur in Eph $1: 11 ; 2: 30 ; 3: 18$ and which some may recall on reading. Cf. Col 1:12. Stephen in Ac 7:5 used the word "inheritance" ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v o \mu ı \alpha v$ ), nowhere else in Acts, but in Eph 1:14,18; 5:5. In Eph 1:18 the very expression occurs "his inheritance among the saints " ( $\tau \eta \nu \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ v \circ \mu \iota \alpha \nu \alpha v \tau \circ v \varepsilon \nu \tau 0 \iota \varsigma ~ \alpha \gamma \iota \circ \varsigma)$.

Acts 20:33
No man's silver or gold or apparel ( $\alpha \rho \gamma \cup \rho \iota o v \eta \chi \rho v \sigma \iota o v \eta \not \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \mu \circ v$ ov $\eta \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Genitive case after $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \theta \cup \mu \eta \sigma \alpha$. One of the slanders against Paul was that he was raising this collection, ostensibly for the poor, really for himself (2Co 12:17f. ). He includes "apparel" because oriental wealth consisted largely in fine apparel (not old worn out clothes). See Ge $24: 53 ; 2 \mathrm{Ki}$ 5:5; Ps 45:13f.; Mt 6:19. Paul did not preach just for money.

Acts 20:34
Ye yourselves (avto). Intensive pronoun. Certainly they knew that the church in Ephesus had not supported Paul while there.

These hands ( $\alpha \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \alpha$ ). Paul was not above manual labour. He pointed to his hands with pride as proof that he toiled at his trade of tent-making as at Thessalonica and Corinth for his own needs ( $\chi \rho \varepsilon \iota \alpha ı$ ) and for those with him (probably Aquila and Priscilla) with whom he lived and probably Timothy because of his often infirmities (1Ti 5:23).

Ministered ( $v \pi \eta \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist active of $v \pi \eta \rho \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega$, to act as under rower, old verb, but in the N.T. only in Ac 13:36; 20:34; 24:23. While in Ephesus Paul wrote to Corinth: "We toil, working with our own hands" (1Co 4:12). "As he held them up, they saw a tongue of truth in every seam that marked them" (Furneaux).

Acts 20:35
I gave you an example (v $\tau \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon ı \xi \alpha)$. First aorist active indicative of $v \pi o \delta \varepsilon ו \kappa v v \mu$, old verb to show under one's eyes, to give object lesson, by deed as well as by word ( Lu 6:47 ). Нитобеı $\gamma \mu \alpha$ means example (Joh 13:15; Jas 5:10 ). So Paul appeals to his example in 1Co 11:1; Php 3:17. Mav $\alpha \alpha$ is accusative plural of general reference (in all things).

So labouring ye ought to help (ov $\omega \varsigma \kappa \kappa \pi \iota \omega \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \iota \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). So, as I did. Necessity ( $\delta \varepsilon$ ). Toiling ( $\kappa о \pi \iota \omega \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma)$ not just for ourselves, but to help ( $\alpha \nu \tau \iota \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ), to take hold yourselves (middle voice) at the other end ( $\alpha \nu \tau)$. This verb common in the old Greek, but in the N.T. only in Lu 1:54; Ac 20:35; 1Ti 6:2. This noble plea to help the weak is the very spirit of Christ (1Th 5:14; 1Co 12:28; Ro 5:6; 14:1). In 1Th 5:14 $\alpha v \tau \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \tau \omega \nu$ $\alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v o u v \tau \omega \nu$ we have Paul's very idea again. Every Community Chest appeal today reechoes Paul's plea.

He himself said (avтoৎ $\varepsilon เ \pi \varepsilon v)$. Not in the Gospels, one of the sayings of Jesus in current use that Paul had received and treasured. Various other Agrapha of Jesus have been preserved in ancient writers and some in recently discovered papyri which may be genuine or not. We are grateful that Paul treasured this one. This Beatitude (on $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \circ v$ see on Mt 5:3-11) is illustrated by the whole life of Jesus with the Cross as the culmination. Aristotle (Eth. IV. I) has a saying somewhat like this, but assigns the feeling of superiority as the reason (Page), an utterly different idea from that here. This quotation raises the question of how much Paul personally knew of the life and sayings of Jesus.

Acts 20:36
He kneeled down ( $\theta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha \gamma 0 v a \tau \alpha \alpha v \tau o v)$. Second aorist active participle of $\tau \iota \eta \eta \mu$, to place. The very idiom used in 7:60 of Stephen. Not in ancient writers and only six times in the N.T. (Mr 15:19; Lu 22:41; Ac 7:60; 9:40; 20:36; $21: 5$ ). Certainly kneeling in prayer is a fitting attitude (cf. Jesus, Lu 22:41 ), though not the only proper one (Mt 6:5 ). Paul apparently prayed aloud ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta \cup \xi \alpha \tau)$ ).

Acts 20:37
They all wept sore (ıкаvоৎ клаvӨ $о \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \tau о \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ). Literally, There came considerable weeping of all (on the part of all, genitive case).

Kissed him ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varphi i \lambda o v v \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Imperfect active of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varphi i \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, intensive with $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ and repetition shown also by the tense: They kept on kissing or kissed repeatedly, probably one after the other falling on his neck. Cf. also Mt 26:49.

Acts 20:38
Sorrowing (o $\delta v \nu \omega \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present middle participle of o $\delta v \nu \alpha \omega$, old verb to cause intense pain, to torment (Lu 16:24), middle to distress oneself (Lu 2:48; Ac 20:38). Nowhere else in N.T.

Which he had spoken $(\omega \varepsilon \iota \eta \kappa \varepsilon)$. Relative attracted to the case of the antecedent $\lambda o \gamma \omega$ (word). Past perfect indicative of $\varepsilon ו \pi \circ v$.

They brought him on his way ( $\pi \rho \circ \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \sigma v \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Imperfect active of $\pi \rho o \pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega$, old verb to send forward, to accompany as in Ac 15:3; 20:38; 21:5; 1Co 16:6,11; 2Co 1:16; Tit 3:13; 3Jo 1:6. Graphic picture of Paul's departure from this group of ministers.

## Acts 21

Acts 21:1
Were parted from them ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \tau \alpha \varsigma \alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). First aorist passive participle of a $\pi$ oбta $\omega$ same verb as in 20:30; Lu 22:41 .

Had set sail ( $\alpha \nu \alpha \chi \theta \eta v \alpha$ ). First aorist passive of $\alpha \nu \alpha \gamma \omega$, the usual verb to put out (up) to sea as in verse $2(\alpha \nu \eta \chi \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v)$.

We came with a straight course ( $\varepsilon v \theta v \delta \rho о \mu \eta \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \eta \lambda \theta о \mu \varepsilon v$ ). The same verb (aorist active participle of $\varepsilon v \theta v \delta \rho o \mu \varepsilon \omega)$ used by Luke in 16:11 of the voyage from Troas to Samothrace and Neapolis, which see.

Unto Cos ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \nu$ Ko). Standing today, about forty nautical miles south from Miletus, island famous as the birthplace of Hippocrates and Apelles with a great medical school. Great trading place with many Jews.

The next day ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \xi \eta \varsigma$ ). Locative case with $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ (day) understood. The adverb $\varepsilon \xi \eta \varsigma$ is from $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ (future $\varepsilon \xi \omega$ ) and means successively or in order. This is another one of Luke's ways of saying "on the next day" (cf. three others in 20:15).

Unto Rhodes ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \nu$ Poסov). Called the island of roses. The sun shone most days and made roses luxuriant. The great colossus which represented the sun, one of the seven wonders of the world, was prostrate at this time. The island was at the entrance to the Aegean Sea and had a great university, especially for rhetoric and oratory. There was great commerce also.

Unto Patara ( $\varepsilon \iota \sqcap \Pi \tau \alpha \rho \alpha)$. A seaport on the Lycian coast on the left bank of the Xanthus. It once had an oracle of Apollo which rivalled that at Delphi. This was the course taken by hundreds of ships every season.

Acts 21:2
Having found a ship ( $\varepsilon \cup \rho o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \pi \lambda o \iota v$ ). Paul had used a small coasting vessel (probably hired) that anchored each night at Cos, Rhodes, Patara. He was still some four hundred miles from Jerusalem. But at Patara Paul caught a large vessel (a merchantman) that could sail across the open sea.

Crossing over unto Phoenicia ( $\delta \iota \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \omega v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ Ф о \iota \nu \iota \kappa \eta \nu$ ). Neuter singular accusative (agreeing with $\pi \lambda o \iota o v$ ) present active participle of $\delta \iota \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \omega$, old verb to go between ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ ) and so across to Tyre.

We went aboard ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Second aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \alpha \imath \nu \omega$.
Acts 21:3
When we had come in sight of Cyprus ( $\alpha v \alpha \varphi \alpha v \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \eta v K v \pi \rho o v$ ). First aorist active participle of $\alpha v \alpha \varphi a \iota v \omega$ (Doric form - $\varphi \alpha v \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ rather than the Attic $-\varphi \eta \nu \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ), old verb to make appear, bring to light, to manifest. Having made Cyprus visible or rise up out of the sea. Nautical terms. In the N.T. only here and Lu 19:11 which see.

On the left hand $(\varepsilon v \omega v v \mu o v)$. Compound feminine adjective like masculine. They sailed south of Cyprus.

We sailed $(\varepsilon \pi \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu)$. Imperfect active of common verb $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, kept on sailing till we came to Syria.

Landed at Tyre ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \lambda \theta$ о $\mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon ı$ Tv $\rho \circ v$ ). Came down to Tyre. Then a free city of Syria in honour of its former greatness (cf. the long siege by Alexander the Great).

There ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Thither, literally. Only one other instance in N.T., 22:5 which may be pertinent $=\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon$ (there).

Was to unlade ( $\eta \nu \alpha \pi о \varphi о \rho \tau \iota \zeta о \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \nu$ ). Periphrastic imperfect middle of $\alpha \pi \sigma \varphi о \rho \tau \iota \zeta \omega$,
 boat was unloading her cargo," a sort of "customary" or "progressive" imperfect (Robertson, Grammar, p. 884).

Burden ( $\gamma o \mu o v$ ). Cargo, old word, from $\gamma \varepsilon \mu \omega$, to be full. Only here and Re 18:11f. in N.T. Probably a grain or fruit ship. It took seven days here to unload and reload.

Acts 21:4
Having found ( $\alpha v \varepsilon v \rho o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Second aorist active participle of $\alpha \nu \varepsilon \cup \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$, to seek for, to find by searching $(\alpha v \alpha)$. There was a church here, but it was a large city and the number of members may not have been large. Probably some of those that fled from Jerusalem who came to Phoenicia (Ac 11:19) started the work here. Paul went also through Phoenicia on the way to the Jerusalem Conference (15:3). As at Troas and Miletus, so here Paul's indefatigible energy shows itself with characteristic zeal.

Through the Spirit ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ tov $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \sigma$ ). The Holy Spirit undoubtedly who had already told Paul that bonds and afflictions awaited him in Jerusalem (20:23).

That he should not set foot in Jerusalem ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \alpha \iota v \varepsilon \iota v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma I \varepsilon \rho \circ \sigma o \lambda \nu \mu \alpha$ ). Indirect command with $\mu \eta$ and the present active infinitive, not to keep on going to Jerusalem (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1046). In spite of this warning Paul felt it his duty as before (20:22) to go on. Evidently Paul interpreted the action of the Holy Spirit as information and warning although the disciples at Tyre gave it the form of a prohibition. Duty called louder than warning to Paul even if both were the calls of God.

Acts 21:5
That we had accomplished the days ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \rho \tau \iota \sigma \alpha \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma \tau \alpha \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active infinitive of $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \rho \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, to furnish perfectly, rare in ancient writers, but fairly frequent in the papyri. Only twice in the N.T., here and $2 \mathrm{Ti} 3: 17$. Finish the exact number of days (seven) of verse 4 . The accusative of general reference $\eta \mu a \varsigma$ is the usual construction and the infinitive clause is the subject of $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \circ$. We departed and went on our journey ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \theta$ ov $\varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma$ $\varepsilon \pi о \rho \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Sharp distinction between the first aorist active participle $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \theta$ ov $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ (from $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho \chi о \mu \alpha$, to go out) and the imperfect middle $\varepsilon \pi о \rho \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ from $\pi о \rho \varepsilon v \omega$ (we were going on).

And they all, with wives and children, brought us on our way ( $\pi \rho о \pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \sigma \nu \tau \omega \nu \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma$ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \sigma \nu \nu \gamma \cup \nu \alpha \xi \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \kappa \nu о \iota \varsigma)$. No "and" in the Greek, simply genitive absolute, "They all with wives and children accompanying us," just as at Miletus (20:28), same verb $\pi \rho \circ \pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega$ which see. The first mention of children in connection with the apostolic churches (Vincent). Vivid picture here as at Miletus, evident touch of an eyewitness.

Till we were out of the city ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \omega \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \sigma \lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Note both adverbial prepositions $(\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \omega)$ clear outside of the city.

Acts 21:6
Beach ( $\alpha \nmid \gamma \iota \lambda 0 v$ ). As in Mt 13:2 which see. This scene is in public as at Miletus, but they did not care.

Bade each other farewell ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o v \varsigma)$. First aorist middle of $\alpha \pi \alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \zeta$ o $\mu \alpha$. Rare compound, here alone in the N.T. Tender scene, but "no bonds of long comradeship, none of the clinging love" (Furneaux) seen at Miletus (Ac 20:37f. ).

Home again ( $\varepsilon เ \varsigma \tau \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha$ ). To their own places as of the Beloved Disciple in Joh 19:27 and of Jesus in Joh 1:11. This idiom in the papyri also.

Acts 21:7
Had finished ( $\delta \iota \alpha v v \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\delta \iota \alpha \nu v \omega$, old verb to accomplish ( $\alpha v v \omega$ ) thoroughly ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ ), only here in the N.T.

From Tyre (a $\alpha$ Tv $\rho o v$ ). Page takes (Hackett also) with $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \nu \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$ (we arrived) rather than with " $\tau 0 v \pi \lambda o v v$ " (the voyage) and with good reason: "And we, having (thereby) finished the voyage, arrived from Tyre at Ptolemais." Ptolemais is the modern Acre, called Accho in Jud 1:31. The harbour is the best on the coast of Palestine and is surrounded by mountains. It is about thirty miles south of Tyre. It was never taken by Israel and was considered a Philistine town and the Greeks counted it a Phoenician city. It was the key to the road down the coast between Syria and Egypt and had successively the rule of the Ptolemies, Syrians, Romans.

Saluted ( $\alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Here greeting as in 21:19 rather than farewell as in 20:1. The stay was short, one day ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu \mu \iota \alpha \nu$, accusative), but "the brethren" Paul and his party found easily. Possibly the scattered brethren (Ac 11:19) founded the church here or Philip may have done it.

Acts 21:8
On the morrow ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \pi \alpha v \rho \circ \circ$ ). Another and the more common way of expressing this idea of "next day" besides the three in 20:15 and the one in 21:1.

Unto Caesarea ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ Kaı $\alpha \rho \iota \alpha v$ ). Apparently by land as the voyage ( $\pi \lambda o v \nu$ ) ended at Ptolemais (verse 7). Caesarea is the political capital of Judea under the Romans where the procurators lived and a city of importance, built by Herod the Great and named in honour of Augustus. It had a magnificent harbour built Most of the inhabitants were Greeks. This is the third time that we have seen Paul in Caesarea, on his journey from Jerusalem to Tarsus
(Ac 9:30), on his return from Antioch at the close of the second mission tour ( 18:22) and now. The best MSS. omit o $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ П $\alpha \cup \lambda$ ov (we that were of Paul's company) a phrase like that in 13:13.
 Second in the list of the seven ( 6:5) after Stephen and that fact mentioned here. By this title he is distinguished from "Philip the apostle," one of the twelve. His evangelistic work followed the death of Stephen (Ac 8 ) in Samaria, Philistia, with his home in Caesarea. The word "evangelizing" ( $\varepsilon \cup \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\imath} \zeta \varepsilon \tau 0$ ) was used of him in 8:40. The earliest of the three N.T. examples of the word "evangelist" (Ac 21:8; Eph 4:11; 2Ti 4:5 ). Apparently a word used to describe one who told the gospel story as Philip did and may have been used of him first of all as John was termed "the baptizer" (o $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \zeta \omega v$, Mr 1:4 ), then "the Baptist" (o $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma, M t$ 3:1 ). It is found on an inscription in one of the Greek islands of uncertain date and was used in ecclesiastical writers of later times on the Four Gospels as we do. As used here the meaning is a travelling missionary who "gospelized" communities. This is probably Paul's idea in 2Ti 4:5. In Eph 4:11 the word seems to describe a special class of ministers just as we have them today. Men have different gifts and Philip had this of evangelizing as Paul was doing who is the chief evangelist. The ideal minister today combines the gifts of evangelist, herald, teacher, shepherd. "We abode with him " ( $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon เ v \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \pi \alpha \rho ' \alpha \nu \tau \omega)$. Constative aorist active indicative. П $\alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \omega($ by his side) is a neat idiom for "at his house." What a joyful time Paul had in conversation with Philip. He could learn from him much of value about the early days of the gospel in Jerusalem. And Luke could, and probably did, take notes from Philip and his daughters about the beginnings of Christian history. It is generally supposed that the "we" sections of Acts represent a travel document by Luke (notes made by him as he journeyed from Troas to Rome). Those who deny the Lukan authorship of the whole book usually admit this. So we may suppose that Luke is already gathering data for future use. If so, these were precious days for him.

Acts 21:9
Virgins which did prophesy ( $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \varepsilon v o \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma \alpha$ ). Not necessarily an "order" of virgins, but Philip had the honour of having in his home four virgin daughters with the gift of prophecy which was not necessarily predicting events, though that was done as by Agabus here. It was more than ordinary preaching (cf. 19:6) and was put by Paul above the other gifts like tongues (1Co 14:1-33 ). The prophecy of Joel (2:28f. ) about their sons and daughters prophesying is quoted by Peter and applied to the events on the day of Pentecost (Ac 2:17 ). Paul in 1Co 11:5 gives directions about praying and prophesying by the women (apparently in public worship) with the head uncovered and sharply requires the head covering, though not forbidding the praying and prophesying. With this must be compared his demand for silence by the women in 1Co 14:34-40; $1 \mathrm{Ti} 2: 8$-15 which it is not easy to reconcile. One wonders if there was not something known to Paul about special conditions
in Corinth and Ephesus that he has not told. There was also Anna the prophetess in the temple (Lu 2:36 ) besides the inspired hymns of Elizabeth (Lu 1:42-45) and of Mary (Lu 1:46-55). At any rate there was no order of women prophets or official ministers. There were Old Testament prophetesses like Miriam, Deborah, Huldah. Today in our Sunday schools the women do most of the actual teaching. The whole problem is difficult and calls for restraint and reverence. One thing is certain and that is that Luke appreciated the services of women for Christ as is shown often in his writings (Lu 8:1-3, for instance) before this incident.

Acts 21:10
As we tarried ( $\varepsilon \pi \mu \mu \varepsilon v o v \tau \omega v \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute. Note $\varepsilon \pi$ (additional) with $\mu \varepsilon v \omega$ as in 12:16.

Many days ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma \pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota o v \varsigma$ ). More days (than we expected), accusative of time.
A certain prophet named Agabus ( $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \eta \varsigma$ оvouat $A \gamma \alpha \beta \circ \varsigma$ ). A prophet like the daughters of Philip, mentioned already in connection with the famine predicted by him (Ac 11:28), but apparently not a man of prominence like Barnabas, and so no allusion to that former prophecy.

Acts 21:11
Coming ( $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \hat{A} \omega v$, second aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ ), taking ( $\alpha \rho \alpha \varsigma$, first aorist active participle of $\alpha \iota \rho \hat{A} \omega$, to take up),
binding ( $\delta \hat{A} \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma$, first aorist active participle of $\delta \varepsilon \hat{A} \omega$, to bind). Vivid use of three successive participles describing the dramatic action of Agabus.

Paul's girdle ( $\tau \eta \nu \zeta \omega v \eta \nu \tau 0 v$ Пav $\lambda o v$ ). Old word from $\zeta \omega v \nu v \mu$, to gird. See on 12:8.
His own feet and hands ( $\varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau о \cup ~ \tau о и \varsigma ~ \pi о \delta а \varsigma ~ к а ~ \tau а \varsigma ~ \chi \varepsilon ı \rho а \varsigma) . ~ B a s i s ~ f o r ~ t h e ~ i n t e r p r e t a t i o n . ~$ Old Testament prophets often employed symbolic deeds (1Ki 22:11; Jas 2:2; Jer 13:1-7; Eze 4:1-6 ). Jesus interpreted the symbolism of Peter's girding himself (Joh 21:18).

So (ovt $\omega \varsigma$ ). As Agabus had bound himself. Agabus was just from Jerusalem and probably knew the feeling there against Paul. At any rate the Holy Spirit revealed it to him as he claims.

Shall deliver ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \omega \sigma o v \sigma v)$ ). Like the words of Jesus about himself (Mt 20:19). He was "delivered" into the hands of the Gentiles and it took five years to get out of those hands.

## Acts 21:12

Both we and they of that place ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ о $\varepsilon \nu \tau о \pi \iota \circ$ ). Usual use of $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ (both--and). Eviotio, old word, only here in N.T.

Not to go up ( $\tau 0 v \mu \eta$ $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha ı v \varepsilon เ v$ ). Probably ablative of the articular present active infinitive with redundant negative $\mu \varepsilon$ after $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda o v \mu \varepsilon v$ (imperfect active, conative). We tried to persuade him from going up. It can be explained as genitive, but not so likely: We tried to persuade him in respect to not going up. Vincent cites the case of Regulus who insisted on returning from Rome to Carthage to certain death and that of Luther on the way
to the Diet of Worms. Spalatin begged Luther not to go on. Luther said: "Though devils be as many in Worms as tiles upon the roofs, yet thither will I go." This dramatic warning of Agabus came on top of that in Tyre (21:4) and Paul's own confession in Miletus (20:23). It is small wonder that Luke and the other messengers together with Philip and his daughters (prophetesses versus prophet?) joined in a chorus of dissuasion to Paul.

Acts 21:13
What are you doing weeping? (T $\pi \circ \iota \varepsilon \iota \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \iota \nu \tau \varepsilon \sigma$ ?) Strong protest as in Mr 11:5.
Breaking my heart ( $\sigma v \nu \theta \rho v \pi \tau о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \mu \circ v \tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha \nu$ ). The verb $\sigma v v \theta \rho v \pi \tau \omega$, to crush together, is late Koine for $\alpha \pi \circ \theta \rho v \pi \tau \omega$, to break off, both vivid and expressive words. So to enervate and unman one, weakening Paul's determination to go on with his duty.

I am ready ( $\mathrm{E} \gamma \omega \varepsilon \tau \circ \iota \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \chi \omega$ ). I hold (myself) in readiness (adverb, $\varepsilon \tau \circ \iota \omega \varsigma$ ). Same idiom in 2Co 12:14.

Not only to be bound (ov $\mu \mathrm{ovov} \delta \varepsilon \theta \eta \nu \alpha$ ). First aorist passive infinitive of $\delta \varepsilon \omega$ and note ov $\mu$ ovov rather than $\mu \eta \mu o v o v$, the usual negative of the infinitive because of the sharp contrast (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1095). Paul's readiness to die, if need be, at Jerusalem is like that of Jesus on the way to Jerusalem the last time. Even before that Luke (9:51) said that "he set his face to go on to Jerusalem." Later the disciples will say to Jesus, "Master, the Jews were but now seeking to stone thee; and goest thou thither?" (Joh 11:8). The stature of Paul rises here to heroic proportions "for the name of the Lord Jesus" (v ve $\frac{\tau}{}$ тov ovo $\mu a \tau \circ$, tov кupıov İбov).

Acts 21:14
When he would not be persuaded ( $\mu \eta \pi \varepsilon \Delta \theta$ o $\mu \varepsilon v o v \alpha v \tau o v)$. Genitive absolute of the present passive participle of $\pi \varepsilon \iota \theta \omega$. Literally, "he not being persuaded." That was all. Paul's will ( $\kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha)$ was not broken, not even bent.

We ceased ( $\eta \sigma v \chi \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Ingressive aorist active indicative of $\eta \sigma v \chi \propto \zeta \omega$, old verb to be quiet, silent.

The will of the Lord be done ( $\tau$ ко кv ative of $\gamma เ v o \mu a$. There is a quaint naivete in this confession by the friends of Paul. Since Paul would not let them have their way, they were willing for the Lord to have his way, acquiescence after failure to have theirs.

Acts 21:15
We took up our baggage ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o)$. First aorist middle participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb to furnish ( $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon v o \sigma, \varepsilon \pi$ ) with things necessary, to pack up, saddle horses here Ramsay holds. Here only in the N.T.

Went up ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \beta \alpha \downarrow v o \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Inchoative imperfect active of $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha \imath v \omega$, we started to go up.
Acts 21:16

Certain of the disciples $(\tau \omega \nu \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \omega v)$. The genitive here occurs with $\tau \iota v \varepsilon \varsigma$ understood as often in the Greek idiom, the partitive genitive used as nominative (Robertson, Grammar, p. 502).

Bringing ( $\alpha \nmid 0 \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Nominative plural participle agreeing with $\tau i v \varepsilon \varsigma$ understood, not with case of $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \omega \nu$.

One Mnason of Cyprus, an early disciple, with whom we should lodge ( $\pi \alpha \rho \omega$ $\xi \varepsilon v \iota \sigma \theta \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \mathrm{M} \nu \alpha \sigma \omega \nu \tau \iota \nu K \nu \pi \rho \iota \omega$ а $\rho \chi \alpha \iota \omega \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \eta)$. A thoroughly idiomatic Greek idiom, incorporation and attraction of the antecedent into the relative clause (Robertson, Grammar, p. 718). Mva $\sigma \omega v$ is really the object of $\alpha \gamma o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ or the accusative with $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ or $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ understood and should be accusative, but it is placed in the clause after the relative and in the same locative case with the relative $\omega$ (due to $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$, beside, with). Then the rest agrees in case with $\mathrm{M} v \alpha \sigma \omega v$. He was originally from Cyprus, but now in Caesarea. The Codex Bezae adds $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \iota v \alpha \kappa \omega \mu \eta \nu$ (to a certain village) and makes it mean that they were to lodge with Mnason at his home there about halfway to Jerusalem. This may be true. The use of the subjunctive $\xi \varepsilon v I \sigma \theta \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$ (first aorist passive of $\xi \varepsilon \nu 亡 \zeta \omega$, to entertain strangers as in Ac 10:6,23,32 already) may be volitive of purpose with the relative (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 955, 989). The use of $\alpha \rho \chi \alpha \iota \omega$ for "early" may refer to the fact that he was one of the original disciples at Pentecost as Peter in 15:7 uses $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \omega v$ a $\rho \chi \alpha \iota \omega v$ (early days) to refer to his experience at Ceasarea in Ac 10. "As the number of the first disciples lessened, the next generation accorded a sort of honour to the survivors" (Furneaux).

Acts 21:17
When we were come ( $\gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu \eta \mu \omega \nu$ ). Genitive absolute again, "we having come."
Received ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \xi \alpha v \tau 0$ ). A $\pi о \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to receive from. This old compound only in Luke in the N.T.

Gladly ( $\alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \varsigma)$. Old adverb $\eta \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \varsigma$ from $\eta \delta o \mu \alpha$, to be pleased. Here only in the N.T. Perhaps this first glad welcome was from Paul's personal friends in Jerusalem.

Acts 21:18
The day following ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \pi \iota o v \sigma \eta$ ). As in 20:15 which see.
Went in ( $\varepsilon \iota \sigma \eta \iota \varepsilon)$. Imperfect active of $\varepsilon \iota \sigma \varepsilon \not \mu$, old classic verb used only four times in the N.T. (Ac 3:3; 21:18,26; Heb 9:6 ), a mark of the literary style rather than the colloquial Koine use of $\varepsilon \iota \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi о \mu \alpha$. Together with us to James ( $\sigma v \nu \eta \mu \nu \nu \pi \rho \circ \varsigma I \alpha \kappa \omega \beta o v$ ). So then Luke is present. The next use of "we" is in 27:1 when they leave Caesarea for Rome, but it is not likely that Luke was away from Paul in Jerusalem and Caesarea. The reports of what was done and said in both places is so full and minute that it seems reasonable that Luke got first hand information here whatever his motive was for so full an account of these legal proceedings to be discussed later. There are many details that read like an eye witness's story (21:30,35,40; 22:2,3; $23: 12$, etc.). It was probably the house of James ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ and $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ so used often).

And all the elders were present ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v o v \tau$ о o $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \varepsilon \rho o$ ). Clearly James is the leading elder and the others are his guests in a formal reception to Paul. It is noticeable that the apostles are not mentioned, though both elders and apostles are named at the Conference in chapter 15. It would seem that the apostles are away on preaching tours. The whole church was not called together probably because of the known prejudice against Paul created by the Judaizers.

Acts 21:19
He rehearsed ( $\varepsilon \xi \eta \gamma \varepsilon \iota \tau o$ ). Imperfect middle of $\varepsilon \xi \eta \gamma \varepsilon \circ \mu \alpha$, old verb to lead out, to draw out in narrative, to recount. So Paul is pictured as taking his time for he had a great story to tell of what had happened since they saw him last.

One by one ( $\left.\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \varepsilon v \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau o v\right)$. According to each one (item) and the adverbial phrase used as an accusative after the verb $\varepsilon \xi \eta \gamma \varepsilon \iota \tau$ as Demosthenes does (1265), though it could be like каӨ' $\varepsilon v a \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau о \varsigma$ in Eph 5:33.

Which $(\omega v)$. Genitive attracted from $\alpha$ (accusative) into the case of the unexpressed antecedent $\tau 0 \cup \tau \omega v$.

God had wrought ( $\varepsilon \pi \circ ו \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma)$. Summary constative aorist active indicative that gathers up all that God did and he takes pains to give God the glory. It is possible that at this formal meeting Paul observed an absence of warmth and enthusiasm in contrast with the welcome accorded by his friends the day before (verse 17). Furneaux thinks that Paul was coldly received on this day in spite of the generous offering brought from the Gentile Christians. "It looks as though his misgiving as to its reception (Ro 15:31) was confirmed. Nor do we hear that the Christians of Jerusalem later put in so much as a word on his behalf with either the Jewish or the Roman authorities, or expressed any sympathy with him during his long imprisonment at Caesarea" (Furneaux). The most that can be said is that the Judaizers referred to by James do not appear actively against him. The collection and the plan proposed by James accomplished that much at any rate. It stopped the mouths of those lions.

## Acts 21:20

Glorified ( $\varepsilon \delta \circ \xi \alpha \zeta o v$ ). Inchoative imperfect, began to glorify God, though without special praise of Paul.

How many thousands ( $\pi \sigma \sigma \alpha \mu \nu \rho ı \alpha \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Old word for ten thousand (Ac 19:19) and then an indefinite number like our "myriads" (this very word) as Lu 12:1; Ac 21:20; Jude $1: 14$; $\operatorname{Re} 5: 11 ; 9: 16$. But it is a surprising statement even with allowable hyperbole, but one may recall Ac 4:4 (number of the men--not women--about five thousand); 5:14 (multitudes both of men and women); 6:7. There were undoubtedly a great many thousands of believers in Jerusalem and all Jewish Christians, some, alas, Judaizers (Ac 11:2; 15:1,5 ). This list may include the Christians from neighbouring towns in Palestine and even some from foreign countries here at the Feast of Pentecost, for it is probable that Paul arrived in time for it as
he had hoped. But we do not have to count the hostile Jews from Asia (verse 27) who were clearly not Christians at all.

All zealous for the law ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \zeta \eta \lambda \omega \tau \alpha$ тov vouov). Zealots (substantive) rather than zealous (adjective) with objective genitive ( $\tau 00$ vouov). The word zealot is from $\zeta \eta \lambda o \omega$, to burn with zeal, to boil. The Greek used $\zeta \eta \lambda \omega \tau \eta \varsigma$ for an imitator or admirer. There was a party of Zealots (developed from the Pharisees), a group of what would be called "hot-heads," who brought on the war with Rome. One of this party, Simon Zelotes (Ac 1:13), was in the number of the twelve apostles. It is important to understand the issues in Jerusalem. It was settled at the Jerusalem Conference (Ac 15; Ga 2 ) that the Mosaic ceremonial law was not to be imposed upon Gentile Christians. Paul won freedom for them, but it was not said that it was wrong for Jewish Christians to go on observing it if they wished. We have seen Paul observing the passover in Philippi (Ac 20:6) and planning to reach Jerusalem for Pentecost ( 20:16). The Judaizers rankled under Paul's victory and power in spreading the gospel among the Gentiles and gave him great trouble in Galatia and Corinth. They were busy against him in Jerusalem also and it was to undo the harm done by them in Jerusalem that Paul gathered the great collection from the Gentile Christians and brought it with him and the delegates from the churches. Clearly then Paul had real ground for his apprehension of trouble in Jerusalem while still in Corinth (Ro 15:25) when he asked for the prayers of the Roman Christians (verses 30-32). The repeated warnings along the way were amply justified.

Acts 21:21
They have been informed concerning thee ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \chi \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v \pi \varepsilon \rho \sigma o v)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \chi \varepsilon \omega$. A word in the ancient Greek, but a few examples survive in the papyri. It means to sound (echo, from $\eta \chi \omega$, our word) down ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ ), to resound, re-echo, to teach orally. Oriental students today (Arabs learning the Koran) often study aloud. In the N.T. only in Lu 1:4 which see; Ac 18:25; 21:21; 1Co 14:19; Ga 6:6; Ro 2:18 . This oral teaching about Paul was done diligently by the Judaizers who had raised trouble against Peter (Ac 11:2) and Paul (15:1,5 ). They had failed in their attacks on Paul's world campaigns. Now they try to undermine him at home. In Paul's long absence from Jerusalem, since 18:22, they have had a free hand, save what opposition James would give, and have had great success in prejudicing the Jerusalem Christians against Paul. So James, in the presence of the other elders and probably at their suggestion, feels called upon to tell Paul the actual situation.

That thou teachest all the Jews which are among the Gentiles to forsake Moses (o

 Jews among (ка兀а) the Gentiles (the Jews of the dispersion as in 2:9) apostasy from Moses." That is the point, the dreadful word $\alpha \pi o \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma ı \alpha v$ (our apostasy), a late form (I Macc. 2:15) for the earlier $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma ~(c f . ~ 2 T h ~ 2: 3 ~ f o r ~ \alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma ı \alpha) . ~ " I n ~ t h e ~ e y e s ~ o f ~ t h e ~ c h u r c h ~ a t ~ J e r u s a l e m ~$ this was a far more serious matter than the previous question at the Conference about the
status of Gentile converts" (Furneaux). Paul had brought that issue to the Jerusalem Conference because of the contention of the Judaizers. But here it is not the Judaizers, but the elders of the church with James as their spokesman on behalf of the church as a whole. They do not believe this false charge, but they wish Paul to set it straight. Paul had made his position clear in his Epistles (I Corinthians, Galatians, Romans) for all who cared to know.

Telling them not to circumcise their children ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega \nu \mu \eta \pi \varepsilon \rho เ \tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \varepsilon เ \nu \alpha \cup \tau \circ \cup \varsigma \tau \alpha \tau \varepsilon \kappa v \alpha$ ). The participle $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega v$ agrees with "thou" (Paul), the subject of $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \varepsilon เ \varsigma$. This is not indirect assertion, but indirect command, hence the negative $\mu \eta$ instead of ov with the infinitive (Robertson, Grammar, p.1046). The point is not that Paul stated what the Jewish Christians in the dispersion do, but that he says that they (autov, accusative of general reference) are not to go on circumcising ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \varepsilon \iota \nu$, present active infinitive) their children. Paul taught the very opposite (1Co 7:18) and had Timothy circumcised (Ac 16:3) because he was half Jew and half Greek. His own practice is stated in 1Co 9:19 ("to the Jews as a Jew").

Neither to walk after the customs ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon$ тоוऽ $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota v \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Locative case with infinitive $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon ı$. The charge was here enlarged to cover it all and to make Paul out an enemy of Jewish life and teachings. That same charge had been made against Stephen when young Saul (Paul) was the leader ( $6: 14$ ): "Will change the customs ( $\varepsilon \theta \eta$ the very word used here) which Moses delivered unto us." It actually seemed that some of the Jews cared more for Moses than for God (Ac 6:11). So much for the charge of the Judaizers.

Acts 21:22
What is it therefore? (T ouv عбтıv?). See this form of question by Paul (1Co 14:15,26 ). What is to be done about it? Clearly James and the elders do not believe these misrepresentations of Paul's teaching, but many do.

They will certainly hear ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \varsigma \alpha \kappa \sigma \sigma \sigma o v \tau \alpha$ ). П $\alpha v \tau \omega \varsigma$ is old adverb, by all means, altogether, wholly, certainly as here and 28:4; $\mathrm{Lu} 4: 23$; 1Co 9:10. This future middle of $\alpha \kappa 0 v \omega$ is the usual form instead of aкоиб $\omega$. There was no way to conceal Paul's arrival nor was it wise to do so. B C and several cursives omit $\delta \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \theta$ oc $\sigma v v \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon ı v$ (The multitude must needs come together).

Acts 21:23
Do therefore this ( $\tau 0 v \tau 0$ ouv $\pi$ oin $\sigma 0 v$ ). The elders had thought out a plan of procedure by which Paul could set the whole matter straight.

We have ( $\varepsilon เ \sigma \iota \nu \eta \mu \nu)$ ) "There are to us" (dative of possession as in 18:10). Apparently members of the Jerusalem church.

Which have a vow on them ( $\varepsilon v \chi \eta \nu \varepsilon \chi o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \varphi^{\prime}-$ - or $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} \varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Apparently a temporary Nazarite vow like that in Nu 6:1-21 and its completion was marked by several offerings in the temple, the shaving of the head ( Nu 6:13-15) . Either Paul or Aquila had such a vow on leaving Cenchreae (Ac 18:18). "It was considered a work of piety to relieve needy Jews from the expenses connected with this vow, as Paul does here" (Page). The reading $\alpha \varphi^{\prime}$ عavt $\omega v$
would mean that they had taken the vow voluntarily or of themselves (Lu 12:57; 2Co 3:5), while $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} \varepsilon \alpha u \tau \omega v$ means that the vow lies on them still.

Acts 21:24
These take ( $\tau 0 \cup \tau 0 v \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \omega v$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$. Taking these alone.

Purify thyself with them ( $\alpha \gamma v ı \sigma \theta \eta \tau \quad \sigma \cup v$ avtoı). First aorist passive imperative of
 1Jo 3:3. It is possible to see the full passive force here, "Be purified." But a number of aorist passives in the Koine supplant the aorist middle forms and preserve the force of the middle (Robertson, Grammar, p. 819). That is possible here. Hence, "Purify thyself" is allowable. The word occurs in Nu 6:1 for taking the Nazarite vow. The point is that Paul takes the vow with them. Note $\alpha \gamma v i \sigma \mu o v$ in verse 26.

Be at charges for them ( $\delta \alpha \pi \alpha v \eta \sigma o v \varepsilon \pi^{\prime}$ avtotc). First aorist active imperative of old verb $\delta a \pi \alpha v \alpha \omega$, to incur expense, expend. Spend (money) upon ( $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime}$ ) them. Ramsay (St. Paul the Traveller, etc., p. 310) argues that Paul had use of considerable money at this period, perhaps from his father's estate. The charges for five men would be considerable. "A poor man would not have been treated with the respect paid him at Caesarea, on the voyage, and at Rome" (Furneaux).

That they may shave their heads ( $\imath v \alpha \xi v \rho \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha ~ \tau \eta \nu \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \nu$ ). Note $\tau \eta \nu \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \nu$,
 middle to shave oneself or (causative) to get oneself shaved. This use of $\mathrm{v} \alpha$ with the future indicative is like the classic o $\pi \omega \varsigma$ with the future indicative and is common in the N.T. as in the Koine (Robertson, Grammar, p. 984).

And all shall know ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \nu \omega \sigma o v \tau \alpha$ ). This future middle indicative of $\gamma \downarrow \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ (cf. aкоибоvта in verse 22) may be independent of tva or dependent on it like $\xi v \rho \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha$, though some MSS. (H L P) have $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \nu$ (second aorist subjunctive, clearly dependent on $i v \alpha)$.

Of which ( $\omega v$ ). Genitive plural of the relative $\alpha$ (accusative) object of the perfect passive verb кат $\rceil \eta \nu \tau \alpha$ (cf. verse $21 \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \chi \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ) attracted into the case of the omitted antecedent $\tau 0 \cup \tau \omega v$. The instruction still in effect.
 to go in a row (from $\sigma \tau 0 \chi \chi \circ$, row, rank, series), to walk in a line or by rule. In the N.T. only here and Ga 5:25; Ro 4:12; Php 3:16. The rule is the law and Paul was not a sidestepper. The idea of the verb is made plain by the participle $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega v \tau 0 v$ vo $\mu \circ v$ (keeping or observing the law).

Acts 21:25
We wrote $(\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon 1 \lambda \alpha \mu \varepsilon v)$. First aorist active of $\varepsilon \pi\llcorner\sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, to send to and so to write like our epistle ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda \eta$ ). Old verb, but in the N.T. only here and Ac 15:20; Heb 13:22 . It
is the very word used by James in this "judgment" at the Conference (Ac 15:20, $\varepsilon \pi \downarrow \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda a)$. B D here read $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon i \lambda \alpha \mu \varepsilon$ from $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, to send away, to give orders. Wendt and Schuerer object to this as a gloss. Rather is it an explanation by James that he does not refer to the Gentile Christians whose freedom from the Mosaic ceremonial law was guaranteed at the Jerusalem Conference. James himself presided at that Conference and offered the resolution that was unanimously adopted. James stands by that agreement and repeats the main items (four: anything sacrificed to idols, blood, anything strangled, fornication, for discussion see Ac 15 ) from which they are to keep themselves (direct middle $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta a$ of $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, indirect command after крıvavte¢ with accusative, avtov¢, of general reference). James has thus again cleared the air about the Gentiles who have believed ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \kappa о \tau \omega v$, perfect active participle genitive plural of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega)$. He asks that Paul will stand by the right of Jewish Christians to keep on observing the Mosaic law. He has put the case squarely and fairly.

Acts 21:26
Took the men ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \omega v \tau 0 v \varsigma \alpha v \delta \rho \varsigma)$. The very phrase used in verse 24 to Paul.
The next day ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v \eta$ ). One of the phrases in 20:15 for the coming day. Locative case of time.

Purifying himself with them ( $\sigma \cup v$ avtoıs $\alpha \gamma v ı \sigma \theta \varepsilon \iota$, first aorist passive participle of $\alpha \gamma v 亡(\omega)$. The precise language again of the recommendation in verse 24 . Paul was conforming to the letter.
 which see. Went on into the temple, descriptive imperfect. Paul joined the four men in their vow of separation.

Declaring ( $\delta(\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega v)$. To the priests what day he would report the fulfilment of the vow. The priests would desire notice of the sacrifice. This verb only used by Luke in N.T. except Ro 11:17 (quotation from the LXX). It is not necessary to assume that the vows of each of the five expired on the same day (Rackham).

Until the offering was offered for every one of them ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ov $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \nu \varepsilon \chi \theta \eta \quad \cup \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon v \circ \varsigma$ $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau о v \alpha \cup \tau \omega \nu \eta \pi \rho о \sigma \varphi о \rho \alpha)$. This use of $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ov (like $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$, alone) with the first aorist passive indicative $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta v \varepsilon \chi \theta \eta$ of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, to offer, contemplates the final result (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 974f.) and is probably the statement of Luke added to Paul's announcement. He probably went into the temple one day for each of the brethren and one for himself. The question arises whether Paul acted wisely or unwisely in agreeing to the suggestion of James. What he did was in perfect harmony with his principle of accommodation in 1Co 9:20 when no principle was involved. It is charged that here on this occasion Paul was unduly influenced by considerations of expediency and was willing for the Jewish Christians to believe him more of a Jew than was true in order to placate the situation in Jerusalem. Furneaux calls it a compromise and a failure. I do not so see it. To say that is to obscure the whole complex
situation. What Paul did was not for the purpose of conciliating his opponents, the Judaizers, who had diligently spread falsehoods about him in Jerusalem as in Corinth. It was solely to break the power of these "false apostles" over the thousands in Jerusalem who have been deluded by Paul's accusers. So far as the evidence goes that thing was accomplished. In the trouble that comes in Jerusalem and Caesarea the Judaizers cut no figure at all. The Jewish Christians do not appear in Paul's behalf, but there was no opportunity for them to do so. The explosion that came on the last day of Paul's appearance in the temple was wholly disconnected from his offerings for the four brethren and himself. It must be remembered that Paul had many kinds of enemies. The attack on him by these Jews from Asia had no connexion whatever with the slanders of the Judaizers about Paul's alleged teachings that Jewish Christians in the dispersion should depart from the Mosaic law. That slander was put to rest forever by his following the advice of James and justifies the wisdom of that advice and Paul's conduct about it.

Acts 21:27
The seven days ( $\alpha \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). For which Paul had taken the vow, though there may be an allusion to the pentecostal week for which Paul had desired to be present ( $20: 16$ ). There is no necessary connexion with the vow in 18:15. In 24:17 Paul makes a general reference to his purpose in coming to Jerusalem to bring alms and offerings ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \varphi \rho \rho a \varsigma$, sacrifices). Paul spent seven days in Troas ( 20:6), Tyre ( 21:4), and had planned for seven here if not more. It was on the last of the seven days when Paul was completing his offerings about the vows on all five that the incident occurred that was to make him a prisoner for five years.

When they saw him in the temple ( $\theta \varepsilon \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o ~ \alpha u \tau o v ~ \varepsilon v \tau \omega ~ \varepsilon \varepsilon \rho \omega)$. First aorist middle participle of $\theta \varepsilon \alpha o \mu \alpha$ (from $\theta \varepsilon \alpha$, a view, cf. theatre) to behold. In the very act of honouring the temple these Jews from Asia raise a hue and cry that he is dishonouring it. Paul was not known by face now to many of the Jerusalem Jews, though once the leader of the persecution after the death of Stephen and the outstanding young Jew of the day. But the Jews in Ephesus knew him only too well, some of whom are here at the pentecostal feast. They had plotted against him in Ephesus to no purpose (Ac 19:23-41; 20:19), but now a new opportunity had come. It is possible that the cry was led by Alexander put forward by the Jews in Ephesus ( 19:33) who may be the same as Alexander the coppersmith who did Paul so much harm (2Ti 4:14 ). Paul was not in the inner sanctuary (o vaoc), but only in the outer courts ( $\tau \mathrm{o}$ เеро⿱) .

Stirred up all the multitude ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon o v ~ \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha ~ \tau o v ~ o \chi \lambda o v)$. Imperfect (kept on) active of $\sigma u v \chi \varepsilon \omega$ or $\sigma v v \chi \nu v \omega(-v v v \omega)$, to pour together, to confuse as in Ac 2:6; 9:22; 19:31,32; 21:31 and here to stir up by the same sort of confusion created by Demetrius in Ephesus where the same word is used twice (19:31,32). The Jews from Ephesus had learned it from Demetrius the silversmith.

Laid hands on him ( $\left.\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \alpha v \varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \tau v \tau \alpha \varsigma \chi \varepsilon เ \rho a \varsigma\right)$. Second aorist (ingressive, with endings of the first aorist, $-\alpha v)$ active indicative of $\varepsilon \pi(\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, old verb to lay upon, to attack (note repetition of $\varepsilon \pi$ ). They attacked and seized Paul before the charge was made.

Acts 21:28
Help ( $\beta \circ \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present active imperative of $\beta$ o $\eta \varepsilon \omega$, to run $(\theta \varepsilon \omega)$ at a cry ( $\beta \circ \eta$ ), as if an outrage had been committed like murder or assault.

All men everywhere ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi \eta$ ). Alliterative. Паvтах $\eta$ is a variation in MSS., often $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \chi o v$, and here only in the N.T. The charges against Paul remind one of those against Stephen (Ac 6:13) in which Paul had participated according to his confession ( 22:20). Like the charges against Stephen and Jesus before him truth and falsehood are mixed. Paul had said that being a Jew would not save a man. He had taught the law of Moses was not binding on Gentiles. He did hold, like Jesus and Stephen, that the temple was not the only place to worship God. But Paul gloried himself in being a Jew, considered the Mosaic law righteous for Jews, and was honouring the temple at this very moment.

And moreover also he brought Greeks also into the temple ( $\varepsilon \tau \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \mathrm{H} \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \alpha \varsigma$

and ( $\tau \varepsilon$ )
still more ( $\varepsilon \tau$ )
also or
even ( $\kappa \alpha$ ). Worse than his teaching ( $\delta \delta \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega v$ ) is his dreadful deed: he actually brought ( $\varepsilon \iota \sigma \eta \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v$, second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \gamma \omega)$. This he had a right to do if they only went into the court of the Gentiles. But these Jews mean to imply that Paul had brought Greeks beyond this court into the court of Israel. An inscription was found by ClermontGanneau in Greek built into the walls of a mosque on the Via Dolorosa that was on the wall dividing the court of Israel from the court of the Gentiles. Death was the penalty to any Gentile who crossed over into the Court of Israel (The Athenaeum, July, 1871).
 active of kovo $\omega$, to make common (see on $10: 14$ ). Note vivid change of tense, the defilement lasts (state of completion). All this is the substance of the call of these shrewd conspirators from Ephesus, Jews (not Jewish Christians, not even Judaizers) who hated him for his work there and who probably "spoke evil of the Way before the multitude" there so that Paul had to separate the disciples from the synagogue and go to the School of Tyrannus (19:9f. ). These enemies of Paul had now raised the cry of "fire" and vanish from the scene completely (24:19). This charge was absolutely false as we shall see, made out of inferences of hate and suspicion.

Acts 21:29
For $(\gamma \alpha \rho)$. Luke adds the reason for the wild charges made against Paul.

They had before seen ( $\eta \sigma \alpha v \pi \rho о \varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa о \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Periphrastic past perfect of $\pi \rho о о \rho \alpha \omega$, old verb to see before, whether time or place. Only twice in the N.T., here and Ac 2:25 quoted from Ps 15:8. Note the double reduplication in $-\varepsilon \omega$ - as in Attic (Robertson, Grammar, p. 364).
 $\alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). The Jews from Asia (Ephesus) knew Trophimus by sight as well as Paul. One day they saw both of them together ( $\sigma v v$ ) in the city. That was a fact. They had just seized Paul in the temple (ispov). That was another fact.

They supposed ( $\varepsilon v o \mu i \zeta o v)$. Imperfect active of vo $\mu \zeta \zeta \omega$, common to think or suppose. Perfectly harmless word, but they did, as so many people do, put their supposed inference on the same basis with the facts. They did not see Trophimus with Paul now in the temple, nor had they ever seen him there. They simply argued that, if Paul was willing to be seen down street with a Greek Christian, he would not hesitate to bring him (therefore, did bring
 both Paul and Trophimus were entitled to death, especially Paul who had brought him in (if he had) and, besides, they now had Paul. This is the way of the mob-mind in all ages. Many an innocent man has been rushed to his death by the fury of a lynching party.

Acts 21:30
All the city was shaken ( $\varepsilon \kappa \iota v \eta \theta \eta \eta \pi \sigma \lambda \iota \rho \circ \lambda \eta$ ). First aorist passive of $\kappa เ v \varepsilon \omega$, common verb for violent motion and emotion. See also $24: 5$ where the word is used by Tertullus of Paul as the stirrer up of riots!

The people ran together ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \circ ~ \sigma u v \delta \rho o \mu \eta ~ \tau o v ~ \lambda \alpha o v$ ). Rather, There came a running together ( $\sigma \nu v-\delta \rho o \mu \eta$ from $\sigma \nu v-\tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$ ) of the people. The cry spread like wildfire over the city and there was a pell-mell scramble or rush to get to the place of the disturbance.

They laid hold on Paul ( $\varepsilon \pi i \lambda \alpha \beta$ ousvo tov Пau入ou). Second aorist middle participle of $\varepsilon \pi i \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v o \mu \alpha$ with the genitive (cf. $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \alpha v$ in verse 27).

Dragged ( $\varepsilon \lambda \lambda \kappa \sigma$ ). Imperfect active of $\varepsilon \lambda \kappa \omega$ (and also $\varepsilon \lambda \kappa \nu \omega$ ), old verb to drag or draw. Imperfect tense vividly pictures the act as going on. They were saving the temple by dragging Paul outside. Curiously enough both $\varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \alpha \beta \circ \mu \varepsilon v o$ and $\varepsilon ו \lambda \kappa \nu \sigma \alpha \nu$ occur in 16:19 about the arrest of Paul and Silas in Philippi.

Straightway the doors were shut ( $\varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v a \theta v \rho \alpha)$. With a bang and at once. First aorist (effective) passive of $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \epsilon \omega$. The doors between the inner court and the court of the Gentiles. But this was only the beginning, the preparation for the real work of the mob. They did not wish to defile the holy place with blood. The doors were shut by the Levites.

Acts 21:31
As they were seeking to kill him ( $\zeta \eta \tau 0 \nu \nu \tau \omega v \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute of $\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \omega$, to seek, without $\alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ (they). This was their real purpose.

Tidings ( $\varphi a \sigma \iota \varsigma)$. From $\varphi \alpha \iota v \omega$, to show. Old word for the work of informers and then the exposure of secret crime. In LXX. Here only in the N.T.

Came up ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \beta \eta$ ). Naturally in the wild uproar. The Roman guard during festivals was kept stationed in the Tower of Antonia at the northwest corner of the temple overlooking the temple and connected by stairs (verse 35).

To the chief captain ( $\tau \omega \chi \backslash \lambda ı \alpha \rho \chi \omega$ ). Commander of a thousand men or cohort (Mr 15:16 ). His name was Claudius Lysias.

Of the band ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \sigma \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta)$ ). Each legion had six tribunes and so each tribune (chiliarch) had a thousand if the cohort had its full quota. See on 10:1; 27:1. The word is the Latin spira (anything rolled up). Note the genitive $\sigma \pi \varepsilon เ \rho \eta \varsigma$ instead of $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \downharpoonright \rho \alpha \varsigma ~(A t t i c)$.

Was in confusion ( $\sigma \cup v \chi \cup v v \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Present passive indicative of $\sigma v \nu \chi \cup v \nu \omega$ (see verse 27, ouvexeov). This is what the conspirators had desired.

Acts 21:32
Forthwith ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Common in the Koine ( $\varepsilon \xi$ aut $\uparrow \varsigma$, supply $\omega \rho \alpha \varsigma$, hour).
He took ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \omega v$ ). See verses 24,26 .
Centurions (eкатоขтарұа؟). See on Lu 7:2 for discussion. Plural shows that Lysias the chiliarch took several hundred soldiers along (a centurion with each hundred).

Ran down ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \delta \rho \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Effective second aorist active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$. From the tower of Antonia, vivid scene.

And they (o $\delta \varepsilon$ ). Demonstrative use of $o$. The Jewish mob who had begun the work of killing Paul (verse 31).

Left off beating Paul ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha v \sigma \alpha v \tau 0 ~ \tau v \pi \tau о v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ П a v \lambda о v) . ~ T h e ~ p a r t i c i p l e ~ w i t h ~ \pi \alpha v o \mu \alpha ~$ describes what they were already doing, the supplementary participle (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1121). They stopped before the job was over because of the sudden onset of the Roman soldiers. Some ten years before in a riot at the passover the Roman guard marched down and in the panic several hundred were trampled to death.

Acts 21:33
Came near ( $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma / \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \zeta(\omega$, to draw near, Koine verb from $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \cup \varsigma$, near, and common in the N.T.

Laid hold on him ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \tau 0 \alpha \nu \tau 0 v)$. See same verb in verse 30.
To be bound ( $\delta \varepsilon \theta \eta \nu \alpha$ ). First aorist passive infinitive of $\delta \varepsilon \omega$ (see verse 11).
With two chains ( $\alpha \lambda \nu \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \delta \nu \sigma$ ). Instrumental case of $\alpha \lambda \nu \sigma \iota \varsigma$, old word from $\alpha$ privative and $\lambda \nu \omega$ (not loosing, i.e. chaining). With two chains as a violent and seditious person, probably leader of a band of assassins (verse 38). See on Mr 5:4 .

Inquired ( $\varepsilon \pi v v \theta a v \varepsilon \tau o)$. Imperfect middle of $\pi v v \theta a v o \mu a$, old and common verb used mainly by Luke in the N.T. Lysias repeated his inquiries.

Who he was ( $\tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon ı \eta$ ). Present active optative of $\varepsilon \not \mu$ changed from $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ (present indicative) in the indirect question, a change not obligatory after a past tense, but often done in the older Greek, rare in the N.T. (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1043f.).

And what he had done ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \pi \varepsilon \pi о \imath \eta \kappa \omega \varsigma$ ). Periphrastic perfect active indicative of $\pi o \iota \varepsilon \omega$ here retained, not changed to the optative as is true of $\varepsilon ı \eta$ from $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ in the same indirect question, illustrating well the freedom about it.

Acts 21:34
Some shouting one thing, some another ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda o \alpha \lambda \lambda o \tau \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \varphi \omega v o v \nu$ ). Same idiom of $\alpha \lambda \lambda_{o} \alpha \lambda \lambda_{o}$ as in 19:32 which see. The imperfect of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi \omega \nu \varepsilon \omega$, to call out to, suits well the idiom. This old verb occurs in the N.T. only in Luke and Acts (already in 12:22).

When he could not know ( $\mu \eta \delta \nu v a \mu \varepsilon v o v a v \tau o v \gamma \nu \omega \nu \alpha$ ). Genitive absolute of present middle participle of $\delta v v \alpha \mu \alpha$ with negative $\mu \eta$ and second aorist active infinitive of $\gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$.

The certainty ( $\tau 0 \alpha \sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). Neuter articular adjective from $\alpha$ privative and $\sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to make totter or fall. Old word, in the N.T. only in Ac 21:34; 22:30; 25:26; Php 3:1; Heb 6:19.

Into the castle ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \mu \beta$ o $\lambda \eta \nu$ ). Koine word from $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \mu \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to cast in by the side of, to assign soldiers a place, to encamp (see on Lu 19:43). So $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \mu \beta o \lambda \eta$ comes to mean an interpolation, then an army drawn up (Heb 11:34), but mainly an encampment (Heb 13:11,13 ), frequent in Polybius and LXX. So here barracks of the Roman soldiers in the tower of Antonia as in verse $37 ; 22: 24 ; 23: 10,16,32$.

Acts 21:35
Upon the stairs $(\varepsilon \pi \tau \operatorname{\tau os} \alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha \theta \mu o v \varsigma)$. From $\alpha v \alpha$, up, and $\beta \alpha \iota v \omega$, to go. Late word, in LXX and Koine writers. In the N.T. only here and verse 40.

So it was ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \beta \eta$ ). Second aorist active of $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \alpha I v \omega$, to happen (see on 20:19) with infinitive clause as subject here as often in the old Greek.

He was borne ( $\beta a \sigma \tau \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \alpha v \tau o v)$. Accusative of general reference with this subject infinitive, present passive of $\beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \zeta \omega$, to take up with the hands, literally as here.

Violence ( $\beta \iota \alpha v$ ). See on Ac 5:26. Bı $\alpha \zeta \omega$, to use force, is from $\beta \iota \alpha$.
Acts 21:36
Followed after ( $\eta \kappa о \lambda о v \theta \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active of $\alpha \kappa о \lambda v \theta \varepsilon \omega$, was following. Cheated of their purpose to lynch Paul, they were determined to have his blood.

Crying out ( $\kappa \rho \alpha \zeta о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Construction according to sense, plural masculine participle agreeing with neuter singular substantive $\pi \lambda \eta \theta$ os (Robertson, Grammar, p. 401).

Away with him (Aıpe av $o v$ ). The very words used by the mob to Pilate when they chose Barabbas in preference to Jesus (Lu 23:18, Aıpe tovtov). He will hear it again from this same crowd (Ac 22:22). It is the present imperative ( $\alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon$ ) as in Lu 23:18, but some may have used the urgent aorist active imperative as also in the case of Jesus Joh 19:15, $\alpha \rho o v, a \rho o v$ with $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \omega \sigma o v$ added). Luke does not say that this mob demanded crucifixion
for Paul. He was learning what it was to share the sufferings of Christ as the sullen roar of the mob's yells rolled on and on in his ears.

Acts 21:37
May I say something unto thee? ( $\mathrm{E} \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \mu \mathrm{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \iota \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \tau \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \sigma \varepsilon$ ?). On this use of $\varepsilon$ in a direct question see on 1:6. The calm self-control of Paul in the presence of this mob is amazing. His courteous request to Lysias was in Greek to the chiliarch's amazement.

Dost thou know Greek? (Hع $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \sigma \tau \quad \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \iota \sigma$ ?). Old Greek adverb in - from $H \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \zeta \omega$, meaning "in Greek." "Do you know it in Greek?" In the N.T. only here and Joh 19:20.

Art thou not then the Egyptian? (Ovк $\alpha \rho \alpha \sigma v \varepsilon$ о Aıүv $\tau \tau \iota \sigma$ ?). Expects the answer Yes and $\alpha \rho \alpha$ argues the matter (therefore). The well-known (o) Egyptian who had given the Romans so much trouble.

Stirred up to sedition ( $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \omega \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ ). First aorist active participle of $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \tau o \omega$, a late verb from $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \alpha \tau o \varsigma$, outcast, and so to unsettle, to stir up, to excite, once known only in LXX and Ac 17:6 (which see); 21:38; Ga 5:12, but now found in several papyri examples with precisely this sense to upset.

Of the Assassins ( $\tau \omega \nu \sigma \iota \kappa \alpha \rho \omega \nu$ ). Latin word sicarius, one who carried a short sword $\sigma ı \chi \alpha$ under his cloak, a cutthroat. Josephus uses this very word for bands of robbers under this Egyptian (War II. 17,6 and 13,5; Ant. XX. 8,10). Josephus says that there were 30,000 who gathered on the Mount of Olives to see the walls of Jerusalem fall down and not merely 4,000 as Lysias does here. But Lysias may refer to the group that were armed thus (banditti) the core of the mob of 30,000 . Lysias at once saw by Paul's knowledge of Greek that he was not the famous Egyptian who led the Assassins and escaped himself when Felix attacked and slew the most of them.

Acts 21:39
I am $(\mathrm{E} \gamma \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \iota \mu)$. In contrast with the wild guess of Lysias Paul uses $\mu \varepsilon \nu$ and $\delta \varepsilon$. He tells briefly who he is:
a Jew (Iovסaıoc) by race,
of Tarsus in Cilicia (Ta $\rho \sigma \varepsilon \cup \varsigma \tau\rceil \varsigma$ Kı $\lambda ı \kappa ı \alpha \varsigma)$ by country, belonging to Tarsus (this adjective Ta $\rho \sigma \varepsilon \cup \varsigma$ only here and Ac 9:11), and proud of it, one of the great cities of the empire with a great university.

A citizen of no mean city (ovк $\alpha \sigma \eta \mu \circ v \pi o \lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \pi \sigma \lambda ı \tau\rceil \varsigma)$. Litotes again, "no mean" ( $\alpha \sigma \eta \mu \circ \varsigma$, old adjective, unmarked, a privative and $\sigma \eta \mu \alpha$, mark, insignificant, here only in the N.T.). This same litotes used by Euripides of Athens (Ion 8). But Paul calls himself a citizen ( $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \eta \varsigma)$ of Tarsus. Note the "effective assonance" (Page) in $\pi \sigma \lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \pi \sigma \lambda \iota \tau \eta \varsigma$. Paul now $(\delta \varepsilon)$ makes his request $(\delta \varepsilon o \mu \alpha)$ of Lysias.

Give me leave ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi o v \mu 0$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega$, old and common verb to turn to, to permit, to allow. It was a strange request and a daring one, to wish to speak to this mob howling for Paul's blood.

## Acts 21:40

When he had given him leave ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha \nu \tau \circ \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau o v)$. Genitive absolute of aorist active participle of the same verb $\varepsilon \pi ı \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega$.

Standing on the stairs ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \nu \alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha \theta \mu \omega v$ ). Second perfect active participle of เซ $\tau \mu$, to place, but intransitive to stand. Dramatic scene. Paul had faced many audiences and crowds, but never one quite like this. Most men would have feared to speak, but not so Paul. He will speak about himself only as it gives him a chance to put Christ before this angry Jewish mob who look on Paul as a renegade Jew, a turncoat, a deserter, who went back on Gamaliel and all the traditions of his people, who not only turned from Judaism to Christianity, but who went after Gentiles and treated Gentiles as if they were on a par with Jews. Paul knows only too well what this mob thinks of him.

Beckoned with the hand ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon เ \sigma \varepsilon \tau \eta \chi \varepsilon เ \rho$ ). He shook down to the multitude with the hand (instrumental case $\chi \varepsilon เ \rho$ ), while Alexander, Luke says ( 19:33), "shook down the hand" (accusative with the same verb, which see). In 26:1 Paul reached out the hand (єктєvvac $\tau \eta \nu \chi \varepsilon \rho \alpha)$.

When there was made a great silence ( $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \lambda \eta \varsigma \sigma \tau \eta \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute again with second aorist middle participle of $\gamma / v o \mu \alpha$, "much silence having come." Paul waited till silence had come.

In the Hebrew language ( $\tau \eta \mathrm{E} \beta \rho \alpha\llcorner\delta \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega$ ). The Aramaean which the people in Jerusalem knew better than the Greek. Paul could use either tongue at will. His enemies had said in Corinth that "his bodily presence was weak and his speech contemptible" (2Co 10:10 ). But surely even they would have to admit that Paul's stature and words reach heroic proportions on this occasion. Self-possessed with majestic poise Paul faces the outraged mob beneath the stairs.

## Acts 22

Acts 22:1
Brethren and fathers ( $\mathrm{A} \nu \delta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi о к \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \varsigma)$ Men, brethren, and fathers. The very language used by Stephen (7:2) when arraigned before the Sanhedrin with Paul then present. Now Paul faces a Jewish mob on the same charges brought against Stephen. These words are those of courtesy and dignity (amoris et honoris nomina, Page). These men were Paul's brother Jews and were (many of them) official representatives of the people (Sanhedrists, priests, rabbis). Paul's purpose is conciliatory, he employs "his ready tact" (Rackham).

The defence which I now make unto you ( $\mu \circ v \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \rho \circ \varsigma v \mu \alpha \varsigma v v \nu \alpha \pi о \lambda о \gamma \iota \varsigma)$. Literally, My defence to you at this time. Nvv is a sharpened form (by -) of $v v v$ (now), just now. The term $\alpha \pi о \lambda о \gamma \iota \alpha$ (apology) is not our use of the word for apologizing for an offence, but the original sense of defence for his conduct, his life. It is an old word from $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda о \gamma \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$, to talk oneself off a charge, to make defence. It occurs also in Ac 25:16 and then also in 1Co 9:3; 2Co 7:11; Php 1:7,16; 2Ti 4:16; 1Pe 3:15. Paul uses it again in Ac 25:16 as here about his defence against the charges made by the Jews from Asia. He is suspected of being a renegade from the Mosaic law and charged with specific acts connected with the alleged profanation of the temple. So Paul speaks in Aramaic and recites the actual facts connected with his change from Judaism to Christianity. The facts make the strongest argument. He first recounts the well-known story of his zeal for Judaism in the persecution of the Christians and shows why the change came. Then he gives a summary of his work among the Gentiles and why he came to Jerusalem this time. He answers the charge of enmity to the people and the law and of desecration of the temple. It is a speech of great skill and force, delivered under remarkable conditions. The one in chapter Ac 26 covers some of the same ground, but for a slightly different purpose as we shall see. For a discussion of the three reports in Acts of Paul's conversion see chapter Ac 9 . Luke has not been careful to make every detail correspond, though there is essential agreement in all three.

Acts 22:2
He spake ( $\pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon \varphi \omega v \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active, was speaking. See aorist active $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \varphi \omega v \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ in 21:40.

They were the more quiet ( $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \chi o v \eta \sigma \nu \chi \iota \alpha \nu$ ). Literally, The more ( $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$ ) they furnished or supplied (second aorist active indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$ ) quietness ( $\eta \sigma \cup \chi \iota \alpha \nu$, old word, in the N.T. only here and 2Th 3:12; 1Ti 2:11ff. ). Precisely this idiom occurs in Plutarch (Cor. 18) and the LXX (Job 34:29). Knowling notes the fondness of Luke for words of silence ( $\sigma \iota \gamma \eta, \sigma \iota \gamma \alpha \omega, \eta \sigma v \chi \alpha \zeta \omega)$ as in Lu 14:4; 15:26; Ac 11:18; 12:17; 15:12; 21:14,40. It is a vivid picture of the sudden hush that swept over the vast mob under the spell of the Aramaic. They would have understood Paul's Koine Greek, but they much preferred the Aramaic. It was a masterstroke.

Acts 22:3
I am a Jew ( $\mathrm{E} \gamma \omega \varepsilon \notin \alpha \nu \eta \rho \operatorname{Iov} \delta \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. Note use of $\mathrm{E} \gamma \omega$ for emphasis. Paul recounts his Jewish advantages or privileges with manifest pride as in Ac 26:4f.; 2Co 11:22; Ga 1:14; Php 3:4-7.

Born ( $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \nu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \nu o \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle of $\gamma \varepsilon \nu \nu \alpha \omega$. See above in 21:39 for the claim of Tarsus as his birth-place. He was a Hellenistic Jew, not an Aramaean Jew (cf. Ac 6:1).

Brought up ( $\alpha v \alpha \tau \varepsilon \theta \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon \nu o \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle again of $\alpha v \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, to nurse up, to nourish up, common old verb, but in the N.T. only here, 7:20ff. , and MSS. in Lu 4:16 . The implication is that Paul was sent to Jerusalem while still young, "from my youth" ( 26:4), how young we do not know, possibly thirteen or fourteen years old. He apparently had not seen Jesus in the flesh (2Co 5:16).

At the feet of Gamaliel ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau о \cup \varsigma \pi о \delta a \varsigma \Gamma \alpha \mu \alpha \lambda ı \eta \lambda$ ). The rabbis usually sat on a raised seat with the pupils in a circle around either on lower seats or on the ground. Paul was thus nourished in Pharisaic Judaism as interpreted by Gamaliel, one of the lights of Judaism. For remarks on Gamaliel see chapter 5:34f. . He was one of the seven Rabbis to whom the Jews gave the highest title P $\alpha \beta \beta \alpha v$ (our Rabbi). P $\alpha \beta \beta$ (my teacher) was next, the lowest being P $\alpha \beta$ (teacher). "As Aquinas among the schoolmen was called Doctor Angelicus, and Bonaventura Doctor Seraphicus, so Gamaliel was called the Beauty of the Law" (Conybeare and Howson).

Instructed ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle again (each participle beginning a clause), this time of $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v \omega$, old verb to train a child ( $\pi \alpha \iota \varsigma$ ) as in 7:22 which see. In this sense also in 1Ti 1:20; Tit 2:12. Then to chastise as in Lu 23:16,22 (which see); 2Ti 2:25; Heb 12:6f.

According to the strict manner ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ~ \alpha к \rho ı \beta \varepsilon ı \alpha v) . ~ O l d ~ w o r d, ~ o n l y ~ h e r e ~ i n ~ N . T . ~ M a t h-~$ ematical accuracy, minute exactness as seen in the adjective in 26:5. See also Ro 10:2; Gal 1:4; Php 3:4-7.

Of our fathers ( $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \omega \iota \circ$ ). Old adjective from $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho$, only here and 24:14 in N.T. Means descending from father to son, especially property and other inherited privileges. Патрıкоऽ (patrician) refers more to personal attributes and affiliations.

Being zealous for God ( $\zeta \eta \lambda \omega \tau \eta \varsigma v \pi \alpha \rho \chi \omega \nu \tau 0 v \theta \varepsilon \sigma v)$. Not adjective, but substantive
zealot (same word used by James of the thousands of Jewish Christians in Jerusalem, 21:20 which see) with objective genitive $\tau o v \theta \varepsilon o v$ (for God). See also verse $14 ; 28: 17 ; 2 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 3$ where he makes a similar claim. So did Peter (Ac 3:13; 5:30) and Stephen (7:32). Paul definitely claims, whatever freedom he demanded for Gentile Christians, to be personally "a zealot for God" "even as ye all are this day" ( $\kappa \alpha \omega \varsigma \pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \nu \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho о \nu)$. In his conciliation he went to the limit and puts himself by the side of the mob in their zeal for the law, mistaken as they were about him. He was generous surely to interpret their fanatical
frenzy as zeal for God. But Paul is sincere as he proceeds to show by appeal to his own conduct.

Acts 22:4
And I (os).
I who , literally.
This Way ( $\tau \alpha v \tau \eta v \tau \eta v$ o oov). The very term used for Christianity by Luke concerning Paul's persecution (9:2), which see. Here it "avoids any irritating name for the Christian body" (Furneaux) by using this Jewish terminology.

Unto the death ( $\alpha \chi \rho$ Өavatov). Unto death, actual death of many as 26:10 shows.
Both men and women ( $\alpha v \delta \rho \alpha \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha ~ \gamma \cup v a i \kappa \alpha \varsigma)$. Paul felt ashamed of this fact and it was undoubtedly in his mind when he pictured his former state as "a blasphemer, and a persecutor, and injurious (1Ti 1:13), the first of sinners" (1Ti 1:15). But it showed the lengths to which Paul went in his zeal for Judaism.

Acts 22:5
Doth bear me witness ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \mu 0$ ). Present active indicative as if still living. Caiaphas was no longer high priest now, for Ananias is at this time ( $23: 2$ ), though he may be still alive.

All the estate of the elders ( $\pi \alpha \nu$ to $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ o v$ ). All the eldership or the Sanhedrin ( $4: 5$ ) of which Paul was probably then a member ( $26: 10$ ). Possibly some of those present were members of the Sanhedrin then (some 20 odd years ago).

From whom ( $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \omega v$ ). The high priest and the Sanhedrin.
Letters unto the brethren ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \varsigma \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau 0 \cup \varsigma \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi 0 \cup \varsigma$ ). Paul still can tactfully call the Jews his "brothers" as he did in Ro 9:3. There is no bitterness in his heart.

Journeyed ( $\varepsilon \pi о \rho \varepsilon v о \mu \eta \nu$ ). Imperfect middle indicative of $\pi о \rho \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha$, and a vivid reality to Paul still as he was going on towards Damascus.

To bring also ( $\alpha \xi \omega \nu \kappa \alpha$ ). Future active participle of $\alpha \gamma \omega$, to express purpose, one of the few N.T. examples of this classic idiom (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1118).

Them which were there ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ \sigma \varepsilon ~ o v \tau \alpha \varsigma) . ~ C o n s t r u c t i o ~ p r a e g n a n s . ~ T h e ~ u s u a l ~ w o r d ~$ would be $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon$ (there), not $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ \sigma \varepsilon$ (thither). Possibly the Christians who had fled to Damascus, and so were there (Robertson, Grammar, p. 548).

In bonds ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ vouc). Perfect passive participle of $\delta \varepsilon \omega$, predicate position, "bound."
For to be punished ( $\mathrm{tv} \alpha \tau \downarrow \mu \omega \rho \eta \theta \omega \sigma เ v$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive of $\tau \mu \mu \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb to avenge, to take vengeance on. In the N.T. only here, and 26:11. Pure final clause with iva. He carried his persecution outside of Palestine just as later he carried the gospel over the Roman empire.

Acts 22:6
And it came to pass ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \frac{1}{} \delta \varepsilon$ ). Rather than the common $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau 0$ and with the infinitive ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota a \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \psi \alpha$ ), one of the three constructions with ка ( $\delta \varepsilon$ ) $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \sigma$ by Luke
(Robertson, Grammar, pp. 1042f.), followed by кa, by finite verb, by subject infinitive as here.

As I made my journey ( $\mu \mathrm{o} \pi о \rho \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v \omega$ ). To me (dative after $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \sigma$, happened to me ) journeying (participle agreeing with $\mu \mathrm{o}$ ). See this same idiom in verse 17. Luke uses $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ to $\delta \varepsilon$ seventeen times in the gospel and twenty-one in the Acts.

Unto Damascus ( $\tau \eta \Delta \alpha \mu \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega$ ). Dative after $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \zeta \zeta_{0} \tau \tau$ (drawing nigh to).
About noon ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \mu \varepsilon \sigma \eta \mu \beta \rho \iota \alpha v$ ). Mid ( $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varsigma)$ day ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ), old word, in the N.T. only here and 8:26 which see where it may mean "toward the south." An item not in ch. 9 .

Shone round about me ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \psi \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active infinitive of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota a \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \pi \tau \omega$, to flash around, in LXX and late Greek, in the N.T. only here and 9:3 which see. Note repetition of $\pi \varepsilon \rho$.

A great light ( $\varphi \omega \varsigma$ ıкаvоv). Luke's favourite word ıкаvov (considerable). Accusative of general reference with the infinitive.

Acts 22:7
I fell ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \alpha)$. Second aorist active indicative with $-\alpha$ rather than $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma o v$, the usual form of $\pi \wedge \pi \tau \omega$.

Unto the ground ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ \varepsilon \delta \alpha \varphi o \varsigma) . ~ O l d ~ w o r d, ~ h e r e ~ a l o n e ~ i n ~ N . T . ~ S o ~ t h e ~ v e r b ~ \varepsilon \delta \alpha \varphi ı \zeta \omega, ~$ is in Lu 19:44 alone in the N.T.

A voice saying ( $\varphi \omega \nu \eta \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \sigma \sigma \eta \varsigma)$. Genitive after $\eta \kappa \sigma \sim \sigma \alpha$, though in 26:14 the accusative is used after $\eta \kappa о \cup \sigma \alpha$, as in 22:14 after aкоиба, either being allowable. See on 9:7 for discussion of the difference in case. Saul's name repeated each time (9:4; 22:7; 26:14 ). Same question also in each report: "Why persecuted thou me?" (T $\mu \varepsilon \delta \iota \omega \kappa \varepsilon \iota \sigma$ ?). These piercing words stuck in Paul's mind.

Acts 22:8
Of Nazareth (o $\mathrm{N} \alpha \zeta \omega \rho \alpha \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ). The Nazarene, not in 9:5; 26:15 and here because Jesus is mentioned now for the first time in the address. The form $\mathrm{Na} \zeta \omega \rho \alpha{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{o}$ as in Mt 2:23 (which see) is used also in 24:5 for the followers of Jesus instead of Naऍap $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ vos as in $\mathrm{Mr} 1: 24$, etc. (which see).

Acts 22:9
But they heard not the voice ( $\tau \eta v \delta \varepsilon \varphi \omega v \eta \nu$ оик $\eta \kappa о v \sigma \alpha v$ ). The accusative here may be used rather than the genitive as in verse 7 to indicate that those with Paul did not understand what they heard ( $9: 7$ ) just as they beheld the light ( $22: 9$ ), but did not see Jesus ( $9: 7$ ). The difference in cases allows this distinction, though it is not always observed as just noticed about 22:14; 26:14. The verb akov $\omega$ is used in the sense of understand (Mr 4:33; 1Co 14:2 ). It is one of the evidences of the genuineness of this report of Paul's speech that Luke did not try to smooth out apparent discrepancies in details between the words of Paul and his own record already in ch. 9. The Textus Receptus adds in this verse: "And they became afraid" (ка є $\mu \varphi$ оßо $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v o v \tau о)$. Clearly not genuine.

Acts 22:10
Into Damascus ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \Delta \alpha \mu \alpha \sigma \kappa \circ \nu)$. In 9:6 simply "into the city" ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \pi \circ \lambda \iota \nu$ ).
Of all things which ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \omega \nu$ ). H $\omega v$, relative plural attracted to genitive of antecedent from accusative $\alpha$, object of $\pi$ oı $\eta \alpha \alpha$ (do).

Are appointed for thee ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \alpha \sigma o$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, to appoint, to order, with dative $\sigma 0$. Compare with o $\sigma \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon$ of 9:6. The words were spoken to Paul, of course, in the Aramaic, Saoul, Saoul.

Acts 22:11
I could not see (ovk $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \sigma v$ ). Imperfect active of $\varepsilon \mu \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$, I was not seeing, same fact stated in 9:8. Here the reason as "for the glory of that light" ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma \delta o \xi \eta \varsigma \tau 0 v \varphi \omega \tau$ єкєıvov).

Being led by the hand ( $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \sigma \nu \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma)$. Present passive participle of $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, the same verb used in 9:8 ( $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho a \gamma \omega \gamma 00 v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$ which see. Late verb, in the N.T. only in these two places. In LXX.

## Acts 22:12

A devout man according to the law ( $\varepsilon v \lambda \alpha \beta \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ $\tau \nu v$ vo $\mu \circ v$ ). See on 2:5; 8:2; $\mathrm{Lu} 2: 25$ for the adjective $\varepsilon v \lambda \alpha \beta \eta \varsigma$. Paul adds "according to the law" to show that he was introduced to Christianity by a devout Jew and no law-breaker (Lewin).

Acts 22:13
I looked up on him ( $\alpha \nu \alpha \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \alpha \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau o v$ ). First aorist active indicative and same word as $\alpha \nu \alpha \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi o v$ (Receive thy sight). Hence here the verb means as the margin of the Revised Version has it: "I received my sight and looked upon him." For "look up" see Joh 9:11.

Acts 22:14
Hath appointed thee ( $\pi \rho \circ \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \iota \sigma \alpha \tau o$ ). First aorist middle indicative of $\pi \rho \circ \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb to put forth into one's hands, to take into one's hands beforehand, to plan, propose, determine. In the N.T. only in Ac 3:20; 22:14; 26:16. Three infinitives after this verb of God's purpose about Paul:
to know ( $\gamma \nu \omega v \alpha$, second aorist active of $\gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ ) his will,
to see ( $1 \delta \varepsilon \iota \nu$, second aorist active of opa $\omega$ ) the Righteous One (cf. 3:14),
to hear ( $\alpha \kappa \sigma \sigma \sigma$, first aorist active of $\alpha \kappa \sigma v \omega$ ) a voice from his mouth.
Acts 22:15
A witness for him ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). As in 1:8.
Of what $(\omega v)$. Attraction of the accusative relative $\alpha$ to the genitive case of the unexpressed antecedent $\tau 0 v \tau \omega \nu$.

Thou hast seen and heard ( $\varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \varsigma$, present perfect active indicative ка $\eta \kappa о v \sigma \alpha \varsigma$, first aorist active indicative). This subtle change of tense is not preserved in the English. Blass properly cites the perfect $\varepsilon \omega$ рака in 1Co 9:1 as proof of Paul's enduring qualification for the apostleship.

Acts 22:16
By baptized ( $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist middle (causative), not passive, Get thyself baptized (Robertson, Grammar, p. 808). Cf. 1Co 10:2 . Submit yourself to baptism. So as to a $\quad$ (o入ov $\sigma \alpha$, Get washed off as in 1Co 6:11. It is possible, as in 2:38, to take these words as teaching baptismal remission or salvation by means of baptism, but to do so is in my opinion a complete subversion of Paul's vivid and picturesque language. As in Ro 6:4-6 where baptism is the picture of death, burial and resurrection, so here baptism pictures the change that had already taken place when Paul surrendered to Jesus on the way (verse 10). Baptism here pictures the washing away of sins by the blood of Christ.

Acts 22:17
When I had returned ( $\mu$ о v $о \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha v \tau$ ),
while I prayed ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v \mu \circ v$ ),
I fell ( $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \mu \varepsilon$ ). Note dative $\mu$ o with $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau$ a as in verse 6, genitive $\mu 0 v$ (genitive absolute with $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v)$, accusative of general reference $\mu \varepsilon$ with $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta a$, and with no effort at uniformity, precisely as in 15:22,23 which see. The participle is especially liable to such examples of anacolutha (Robertson, Grammar, p. 439).

Acts 22:18
Saw him saying (ı $\delta \varepsilon \iota v ~ a v \tau o v \lambda \varepsilon \gamma o v \tau \alpha$ ). The first visit after his conversion when they tried to kill him in Jerusalem (9:29).

Because ( $\delta \iota \tau \tau, \delta \iota \alpha$ and $0 \tau$ ),
for that .
Acts 22:19
Imprisoned and beat ( $\eta \mu \eta \nu \varphi \nu \lambda \alpha \kappa \iota \zeta \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \delta \varepsilon \rho \omega \nu$ ). Periphrastic imperfect active of $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa ı \zeta \omega$ (LXX and late Koine, here alone in the N.T.) and $\delta \varepsilon \rho \omega$ (old verb to skin, to beat as in Mt 21:35 which see).

In every synagogue ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \sigma v v \alpha \gamma о \gamma \alpha \varsigma)$. Up and down ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ ) in the synagogues.
Acts 22:20
Was shed ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \chi \cup v \nu \varepsilon \tau 0)$. Imperfect passive of $\varepsilon \kappa \chi \cup \nu \nu \omega$ (see on Mt 23:35), was being shed.

Witness ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho о \varsigma)$. And "martyr" also as in Re 2:13; 17:6. Transition state for the word here.

I also was standing by ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha v \tau о \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \eta \nu \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \varsigma)$. Periphrastic second past perfect in form, but imperfect (linear) in sense since $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \sigma=\iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma$ (intransitive).

Consenting ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon v \delta o \kappa \omega v$ ). The very word used by Luke in Ac 8:1 about Paul. Koine word for being pleased at the same time with (cf. Lu 11:48). Paul adds here the item of "guarding the clothes of those who were slaying ( $\alpha v \alpha \iota \rho o v \tau \tau \nu$ as in Lu 23:32; Ac 12:2 ) him" (Stephen). Paul recalls the very words of protest used by him to Jesus. He did not like the
idea of running away to save his own life right where he had helped slay Stephen. He is getting on dangerous ground.

Acts 22:21
I will send thee forth far hence unto the Gentiles ( $\mathrm{E} \gamma \omega \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \forall \eta \eta \mu \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha v \varepsilon \xi \alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega$ $\sigma \varepsilon$ ). Future active of the double ( $\varepsilon \xi$, out, $\alpha \pi o$, off or away) compound of $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, common word in the Koine (cf. Lu 24:49). This is a repetition by Jesus of the call given in Damascus through Ananias (9:15). Paul had up till now avoided the word Gentiles, but at last it had to come, "the fatal word" (Farrar).

Acts 22:22
They gave him audience ( $\eta$ коvov). Imperfect active, they kept on listening, at least with respectful attention.

Unto this word ( $a \chi \rho$ $\tau 0 v \tau 0 v$ zov $\lambda o \gamma o v$ ). But "this word" was like a spark in a powder magazine or a torch to an oil tank. The explosion of pent-up indignation broke out instantly worse than at first (21:30).
 the cry with the very words in 21:36, but with "from the earth" for vehemence.

For it is not fit (ov $\gamma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active of $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \omega$, old verb to come down to, to become, to fit. In the N.T. only here and Ro 1:28. The imperfect is a neat Greek idiom for impatience about an obligation: It was not fitting, he ought to have been put to death long ago. The obligation is conceived as not lived up to like our "ought" (past of owe). See Robertson, Grammar, p. 886.

Acts 22:23
As they cried out ( $\kappa \rho \alpha v \gamma \alpha \zeta о \nu \tau \omega \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ). Genitive absolute with present active participle of $\kappa \rho \alpha v \gamma \alpha \zeta \omega$, a rare word in the old Greek from $\kappa \rho \alpha v \gamma \eta$ (a cry). See on Mt 12:19. Two other genitive absolutes here, $\rho \iota \pi \tau o u v \tau \omega \nu$ (throwing off, present active participle, frequent active variation of $\rho \iota \pi \tau \omega$ ) and $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda o v \tau \omega \nu$ (present active participle of $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, flinging). These present participles give a lively picture of the uncontrolled excitement of the mob in their spasm of wild rage.

Acts 22:24
That he be examined by scourging ( $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \xi \iota v \alpha \nu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \alpha \nu \tau \sigma v$ ). The present passive
 in the old Greek (which used $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \zeta \omega$ as in Mt 2:8), first in the LXX, in the N.T. only here and verse 29, but Milligan and Moulton's Vocabulary quotes an Oxyrhynchus papyrus of A.D. 127 which has a prefect using the word directing government clerks to "examine" (av\&тaکદıv) documents and glue them together into volumes ( $\tau 0 \mu 0$ ). The word was evidently in use for such purposes. It was a kind of "third degree" applied to Paul by the use of scourges ( $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \xi \imath v)$, instrumental plural of $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau \imath \xi$, old word for whip, as in Heb 11:36. But this way
of beginning an inquiry by torture (inquisition) was contrary to Roman law (Page): Non esse a tormentis incipiendum, Divus Augustus statuit.

That he might know ( $\iota v \alpha \varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \nu \omega$ ). Final clause with $\iota v \alpha$ and second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ (full knowledge). Lysias was as much in the dark as ever, for Paul's speech had been in Aramaic and this second explosion was a mystery to him like the first.

They so shouted (ov $\sigma \circ \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \varphi \omega v o v v$ ). Imperfect active progressive imperfect had been so shouting.

Acts 22:25
When they had tied him up ( $о \varsigma \pi \rho о \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon เ v \alpha \nu \alpha v \tau o v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\pi \rho о \tau \varepsilon เ \nu \omega$, old verb to stretch forward, only here in the N.T. Literally, "When they stretched him forward."

With the thongs ( $\tau \circ \iota \varsigma ~ \iota \alpha \sigma \iota v)$. If the instrumental case of $\tau \mu \alpha$, old word for strap or thong (for sandals as Mr 1:7, or for binding criminals as here), then Paul was bent forward and tied by the thongs to a post in front to expose his back the better to the scourges. But тoıৎ $\mu \alpha \sigma \iota v$ may be dative case and then it would mean "for the lashes." In either case it is a dreadful scene of terrorizing by the chiliarch.

Unto the centurion that stood by ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau \sigma \nu \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \tau \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \sigma \nu \tau \alpha \rho \chi \circ v$ ). He was simply carrying out the orders of the chiliarch (cf. Mt 27:54 ). Why had not Paul made protest before this?

Is it lawful? ( $\varepsilon \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ?). This use of $\varepsilon$ in indirect questions we have had before ( $1: 6$ ).
A Roman and uncondemned (Роцаıо ка акатакрıтоv). Just as in 16:37 which see. Blass says of Paul's question: Interrogatio subironica est confidentiae plena.

Acts 22:26
What art thou about to do? ( $\mathrm{T} \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \pi o \iota \varepsilon \iota v ?$ ). On the point of doing, sharp warning.
Acts 22:27
Art thou a Roman? ( $\Sigma v$ Po $\mu \alpha \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \iota ?$ ).
Thou (emphatic position) a Roman? It was unbelievable.
Acts 22:28
With a great sum ( $\pi о \lambda \lambda о v \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota v)$. The use of $\kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota o v$ (from $\kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta$, head) for sums of money (principal as distinct from interest) is old and frequent in the papyri. Our word capital is from $\chi \propto \pi v \tau$ (head). The genitive is used here according to rule for price. "The sale of the Roman citizenship was resorted to by the emperors as a means of filling the exchequer, much as James I. made baronets" (Page). Dio Cassius (LX., 17) tells about Messalina the wife of Claudius selling Roman citizenship. Lysias was probably a Greek and so had to buy his citizenship.

But I am a Roman born (E $\gamma \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \nu \nu \eta \mu \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\gamma \varepsilon \nu \nu \alpha \omega$. The word "Roman" not in the Greek. Literally, "But I have been even born one," (i.e. born a Roman citizen). There is calm and simple dignity in this reply and pardonable pride. Being
a citizen of Tarsus (21:39) did not make Paul a Roman citizen. Tarsus was an urbs libera, not a colonia like Philippi. Some one of his ancestors (father, grandfather) obtained it perhaps as a reward for distinguished service. Paul's family was of good social position. "He was educated by the greatest of the Rabbis; he was at an early age entrusted by the Jewish authorities with an important commission; his nephew could gain ready access to the Roman tribune; he was treated as a person of consequence by Felix, Festus, Agrippa, and Julius" (Furneaux).

Acts 22:29
Departed from him ( $\left.\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v \alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v\right)$. Second aorist active indicative (intransitive) of $\alpha \varphi เ \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, stood off from him at once.

Was afraid $(\varepsilon \varphi \rho \beta \eta \theta \eta)$. Ingressive aorist passive indicative of $\varphi o \beta \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$, became afraid. He had reason to be.

That he was a Roman ( $\circ \tau$ Po $\mu \alpha \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). Indirect assertion with tense of $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ retained.
Because he had bound him ( $\circ \tau \alpha v \tau \circ \nu \eta \nu \delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \kappa \omega \varsigma$ ). Causal o $\tau$ here after declarative $o \tau$ just before. Periphrastic past perfect active of $\delta \varepsilon \omega$, to bind.

Acts 22:30
To know the certainty ( $\gamma \nu \omega v \alpha$ то $\alpha \sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Same idiom in 21:34 which see.
Wherefore he was accused ( $\tau о \tau \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \gamma о \rho \varepsilon ı \tau \alpha$ ). Epexegetical after to $\alpha \sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \varsigma$. Note article (accusative case) with the indirect question here as in Lu 22:1,23,24 (which see), a neat idiom in the Greek.

Commanded ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v)$. So the Sanhedrin had to meet, but in the Tower of Antonia, for he brought Paul down ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \gamma \omega \nu$, second aorist active participle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \omega$ ).

Set him $(\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v)$. First aorist active (transitive) indicative of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, not the intransitive second aorist $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta$. Lysias is determined to find out the truth about Paul, more puzzled than ever by the important discovery that he has a Roman citizen on his hands in this strange prisoner.

## Acts 23

Acts 23:1
Looking steadfastly (atevıбaৎ). See on this word 1:10; 3:12; 6:15; 7:55; 13:9 . Paul may have had weak eyes, but probably the earnest gaze was to see if he recognized any faces that were in the body that tried Stephen and to which he apparently once belonged.

I have lived before God ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \sigma \lambda \iota \tau \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). Perfect middle indicative of $\pi \circ \lambda \iota \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega \omega$, old verb to manage affairs of city ( $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \varsigma$ ) or state, to be a citizen, behave as a citizen. In the N.T. only here and Php 1:27. The idea of citizenship was Greek and Roman, not Jewish. "He had lived as God's citizen, as a member of God's commonwealth" (Rackham). God ( $\theta \varepsilon \omega$ ) is the dative of personal interest. As God looked at it and in his relation to God.

In all good conscience unto this day ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \eta \sigma v v \varepsilon \iota \delta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \eta \alpha \not \rho \rho \tau \alpha \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. This claim seems to lack tact, but for brevity's sake Paul sums up a whole speech in it. He may have said much more than Luke here reports along the line of his speech the day before, but Paul did not make this claim without consideration. It appears to contradict his confession as the chief of sinners (1Ti 1:13-16). But that depends on one's interpretation of "good conscience." The word $\sigma u v \varepsilon \iota \delta \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$ is literally "joint-knowledge" in Greek, Latin (conscientia) and English "conscience" from the Latin. It is a late word from $\sigma u v o t \delta a$, to know together, common in O.T., Apocrypha, Philo, Plutarch, New Testament, Stoics, ecclesiastical writers. In itself the word simply means consciousness of one's own thoughts (Heb 10:2), or of one's own self, then consciousness of the distinction between right and wrong (Ro 2:15) with approval or disapproval. But the conscience is not an infallible guide and acts according to the light that it has ( $1 \mathrm{Co} 8: 7,10 ; 1 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 19$ ). The conscience can be contaminated (Heb 10:22 , evil $\pi о \vee \eta \rho a \varsigma)$. All this and more must be borne in mind in trying to understand Paul's description of his motives as a persecutor. Alleviation of his guilt comes thereby, but not removal of guilt as he himself felt ( $1 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 13-16$ ). He means to say to the Sanhedrin that he persecuted Christians as a conscientious (though mistaken) Jew (Pharisee) just as he followed his conscience in turning from Judaism to Christianity. It is a pointed disclaimer against the charge that he is a renegade Jew, an opposer of the law, the people, the temple. Paul addresses the Sanhedrin as an equal and has no "apologies" (in our sense) to make for his career as a whole. The golden thread of consistency runs through, as a good citizen in God's commonwealth. He had the consolation of a good conscience ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 3: 16$ ). The word does not occur in the Gospels and chiefly in Paul's Epistles, but we see it at work in Joh 8:9 (the interpolation 7:53-8:11).

Acts 23:2
Ananias (Havaviac). Not the one in Lu 3:2; Joh 18:13; Ac 4:7, but the son of Nebedaeus, nominated high priest by Herod, King of Chalcis, A.D. 48 and till A.D. 59. He was called to

Rome A.D. 52 to answer "a charge of rapine and cruelty made against him by the Samaritans, but honourably acquitted" (Page). Though high priest, he was a man of bad character.

Them that stood by him ( $\tau 0 \iota \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \sigma \iota v \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). Dative case of second perfect participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, to place, and intransitive. See the same form in verse 4 ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$.

To smite him on the mouth ( $\tau v \pi \tau \varepsilon \iota v$ av $\frac{1}{} v$ to $\sigma \tau o \mu \alpha$ ). See on 12:45; 18:17. Cf. the treatment of Jesus (Joh 18:22 ). Ananias was provoked by Paul's self-assertion while on trial before his judges. "The act was illegal and peculiarly offensive to a Jew at the hands of a Jew" (Knowling). More self-control might have served Paul better. Smiting the mouth or cheek is a peculiarly irritating offence and one not uncommon among the Jews and this fact gives point to the command of Jesus to turn the other check ( $\mathrm{Lu} 6: 29$ where $\tau v \pi \tau \omega$ is also used).

Acts 23:3
Thou whited wall ( $\tau о \iota \chi \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \kappa о \nu \iota \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon$ ). Perfect passive participle of коvıaw (from коvıa, dust or lime). The same word used in Mt 23:27 for "whited sepulchres" ( $\tau \alpha \varphi о$ кєкоvıа $\mu \varepsilon \vee о$ ) which see. It is a picturesque way of calling Ananias a hypocrite, undoubtedly true, but not a particularly tactful thing for a prisoner to say to his judge, not to say Jewish high priest. Besides, Paul had hurled back at him the word $\tau v \pi \tau \varepsilon \iota v$ (smite) in his command, putting it first in the sentence ( $\tau v \pi \tau \varepsilon \iota \nu \sigma \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$ o $\theta \varepsilon \sigma \varsigma)$ in strong emphasis. Clearly Paul felt that he, not Ananias, was living as a good citizen in God's commonwealth.

And sittest thou to judge me? (Ka $\sigma v \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \rho \iota \omega \nu \mu \varepsilon$ ?) Literally, "And thou (being what thou art) art sitting ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta$, second person singular middle of $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha$, late form for $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \sigma \alpha$, the uncontracted form) judging me." Cf. Lu 22:30 . Ka $\sigma v$ at the beginning of a question expresses indignation.

Contrary to the law ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \nu o \mu \omega \nu$ ). Present active participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \nu o \mu \varepsilon \omega$, old verb to act contrary to the law, here alone in the N.T., "acting contrary to the law."

Acts 23:4
Of God ( $\operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). As God's representative in spite of his bad character (De 17:8f. ). Here was a charge of irreverence, to say the least. The office called for respect.

Acts 23:5
I wist not (ovk $\eta \iota \delta \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Second past perfect of oı $\delta \alpha$ used as an imperfect. The Greek naturally means that Paul did not know that it was the high priest who gave the order to smite his mouth. If this view is taken, several things may be said by way of explanation. The high priest may not have had on his official dress as the meeting was called hurriedly by Lysias. Paul had been away so long that he may not have known Ananias on sight. And then Paul may have had poor eyesight or the high priest may not have been sitting in the official seat. Another way of explaining it is to say that Paul was so indignant, even angry, at the command that he spoke without considering who it was that gave the order. The Greek allows this idea also. At any rate Paul at once recognizes the justice of the point made against him. He had been guilty of irreverence against the office of high priest as the passage from Ex

22:18 (LXX) shows and confesses his fault, but the rebuke was deserved. Jesus did not threaten ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 23$ ) when smitten on the cheek (Joh 18:22 ), but he did protest against the act and did not turn the other cheek.

Acts 23:6
But when Paul perceived ( $\gamma$ vouc $\delta \varepsilon$ o Паu入oc). Perceiving (second aorist ingressive of $\gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ ). Paul quickly saw that his cause was ruined before the Sanhedrin by his unwitting attack on the high priest. It was impossible to get a fair hearing. Hence, Vincent says, "Paul, with great tact, seeks to bring the two parties of the council into collision with each other." So Alford argues with the motto "divide and conquer." Farrar condemns Paul and takes 24:21 as a confession of error here, but that is reading into Paul's word about the resurrection more than he says. Page considers Luke's report meagre and unsatisfactory. Rackham thinks that the trial was already started and that Paul repeated part of his speech of the day before when "the Sadducees received his words with ostentatious scepticism and ridicule: this provoked counter-expressions of sympathy and credulity among the Pharisees." But all this is inference. We do not have to adopt the Jesuitical principle that the end justifies the means in order to see shrewdness and hard sense in what Paul said and did. Paul knew, of course, that the Sanhedrin was nearly evenly divided between Pharisees and Sadducees, for he himself had been a Pharisee.

I am a Pharisee, a son of Pharisees ( $\mathrm{E} \gamma \omega$ Фарıбаıоя $\varepsilon \mu \operatorname{vıo}$ Фарıбаı $\omega v$ ). This was strictly true as we know from his Epistles (Php 3:5).

Touching the hope and resurrection of the dead I am called in question ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta \circ \varsigma$ $\kappa \alpha ~ \alpha v a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ v \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega v \kappa \rho เ v o \mu \alpha)$. This was true also and this is the point that Paul mentions in 24:21. His failure to mention again the fact that he was a Pharisee throws no discredit on Luke's report here. The chief point of difference between Pharisees and Sadducees was precisely this matter of the resurrection. And this was Paul's cardinal doctrine as a Christian minister. It was this fact that convinced him that Jesus was the Messiah and was "the very centre of his faith" (Page) and of his preaching. It was not a mere trick for Paul to proclaim this fact here and so divide the Sanhedrin. As a matter of fact, the Pharisees held aloof when the Sadducees persecuted Peter and the other apostles for preaching resurrection in the case of Jesus and even Gamaliel threw cold water on the effort to punish them for it (Ac 5:34-39 ). So then Paul was really recurring to the original cleavage on this point and was able to score a point against the Sadducees as Gamaliel, his great teacher, had done before him. Besides, "Paul and Pharisaism seem to us such opposite ideas that we often forget that to Paul Christianity was the natural development of Judaism" (Page). Paul shows this in Ga 3; Ro 9-11 .

Acts 23:7
When he had so said ( $\tau$ точо autou $\lambda \alpha \lambda$ дouvto¢). Genitive absolute of present participle (Westcott and Hort) rather than aorist (عıпоvтоৎ). While he was saying this.

A dissension ( $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma)$. This old word for standing or station (Heb 9:8) from $1 \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, to place, we have seen already to mean insurrection (Ac 19:40 which see). Here it is strife as in 15:2.

Was divided $(\varepsilon \sigma \chi เ \sigma \theta \eta)$. See on 14:4.
Acts 23:8
There is no resurrection, neither angel, nor spirit ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \iota \nu \alpha \alpha \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \mu \eta \tau \varepsilon \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o v$ $\mu \eta \tau \varepsilon \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha)$. Infinitive with negative $\mu \eta$ in indirect assertion. These points constitute the chief doctrinal differences between the Pharisees and the Sadducees.

Both ( $\alpha \mu \varphi о \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). Here used though three items of belief are mentioned as in 19:16 where the seven sons of Sceva are thus described. This idiom is common enough in papyri and Byzantine Greek (Robertson, Grammar, p. 745).

Acts 23:9
Strove ( $\delta เ \varepsilon \mu \alpha \chi$ оvтo). Imperfect middle of $\delta ı \alpha \mu \alpha \chi о \mu \alpha$, old Attic verb, to fight it out (between, back and forth, fiercely). Here only in the N.T. It was a lively scrap and Luke pictures it as going on. The Pharisees definitely take Paul's side.

And what if a spirit hath spoken to him or an angel? ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \omega \eta$ $a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{o \sigma}$ ?). This is aposiopesis, not uncommon in the N.T., as in Lu 13:9; Joh 6:62 (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1203). See one also in Ex 32:32 .

Acts 23:10
When there arose a great dissension ( $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \eta \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \varsigma \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Present middle participle (genitive absolute). Literally, "dissension becoming much."

Lest Paul should be torn in pieces by them ( $\mu \eta \delta \iota \alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \theta \eta$ о Паv ${ }^{\circ} \varsigma$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive of $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \omega$, to draw in two, to tear in pieces, old verb, in the N.T. only here and Mr 5:4 of tearing chains in two. The subjunctive with $\mu \eta$ is the common construction after a verb of fearing (Robertson, Grammar, p. 995).

The soldiers ( $\tau о \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha)$. The army, the band of soldiers and so in verse 27.
To go down ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha v)$. Second aorist active participle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \iota \omega$, having gone down.

Take him by force $(\alpha \rho \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha)$. To seize. The soldiers were to seize and save Paul from the midst of ( $\varepsilon \kappa \mu \varepsilon \sigma o v$ ) the rabbis or preachers (in their rage to get at each other). Paul was more of a puzzle to Lysias now than ever.

Acts 23:11
The night following ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \pi \iota \circ v \sigma \eta \nu v \kappa \tau$ ). Locative case, on the next (following) night.
The Lord (о кирьос). Jesus. Paul never needed Jesus more than now. On a previous occasion the whole church prayed for Peter's release ( $12: 5$ ), but Paul clearly had no such grip on the church as that, though he had been kindly welcomed (21:18). In every crisis Jesus appears to him (cf. Ac 18:9). It looked dark for Paul till Jesus spoke. Once before in Jerusalem Jesus spoke words of cheer (22:18). Then he was told to leave Jerusalem. Now
he is to have "cheer" or "courage" ( $\theta a \rho \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Jesus used this very word to others (Mt 9:2,22; Mr 10:49 ). It is a brave word.

Thou hast testified ( $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu \rho \tau \tau \nu \rho \omega)$. First aorist middle indicative second person singular of $\delta$ да $\alpha \rho т \cup \rho о \mu \alpha$, strong word (see on 22:18).

Must thou ( $\sigma \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon$ ). That is the needed word and on this Paul leans. His hopes ( 19:21) of going to Rome will not be in vain. He can bide Christ's time now. And Jesus has approved his witness in Jerusalem.

Acts 23:12
Banded together ( $\pi о \square \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \varphi \eta \nu$ ). See on 19:40 (riot), but here conspiracy, secret combination, binding together like twisted cords.

Bound themselves under a curse ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \alpha v \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v \varsigma)$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha v a \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha \tau \imath \zeta \omega$, a late word, said by Cremer and Thayer to be wholly Biblical or ecclesiastical. But Deissmann (Light from the Ancient East, p. 95) quotes several examples of the verb in an Attic cursing tablet from Megara of the first or second century A.D. This proof shows that the word, as well as $\alpha v a \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha$ (substantive) from which the verb is derived, was employed by pagans as well as by Jews. Deissmann suggests that Greek Jews like the seven sons of Sceva may have been the first to coin it. It occurs in the LXX as well as Mr 14:71 (which see and Luke 21:5); Ac 23:12,14,21. They placed themselves under an anathema or curse, devoted themselves to God (cf. Le 27:28f.; 1Co 16:22 ).

Drink ( $\pi \varepsilon \iota v=\pi \iota \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Second aorist active infinitive of $\pi \iota v \omega$. For this shortened form see Robertson, Grammar, p. 343.

Till they had killed ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \bigcirc 0 \alpha \pi 0 \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ \nu \omega \sigma \iota v)$. First aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ \nu \omega$, common verb. No reason to translate "had killed," simply "till they should kill," the aorist merely punctiliar action, the subjunctive retained instead of the optative for vividness as usual in the Koine (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 974-6). Same construction in verse 14. King Saul took an "anathema" that imperilled Jonathan (1Sa 14:24). Perhaps the forty felt that the rabbis could find some way to absolve the curse if they failed. See this verse repeated in verse 21.

Acts 23:13
More than forty ( $\pi \lambda \varepsilon$ हוоиц $\tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \rho \alpha \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Without "than" $(\eta)$ as in verse $21 ; 24: 11$ and often in the ancient Greek.

Conspiracy ( $\sigma v v \omega \mu \circ \sigma \iota \alpha v$ ). Old word from $\sigma v v o \mu v v \mu$, to swear together. Only here in the N.T.

Acts 23:14
 $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \iota \varsigma)$. The Sanhedrin, just as Judas did (Lu 22:4).

With a great curse ( $\alpha v \alpha \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha \tau)$. This use of the same word as the verb repeated in the instrumental case is in imitation of the Hebrew absolute infinitive and common in the LXX,
the very idiom and words of $\operatorname{De} 13: 15 ; 20: 17$, an example of translation Greek, though found in other languages (Robertson, Grammar, p. 531). See on Lu 21:5 for the distinction between $\alpha v a \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha$ and $\alpha v a \theta \eta \mu \alpha$. Jesus had foretold: "Whoso killeth you will think that he doeth God service" (Joh 16:2 ).

Acts 23:15
Ye (v $v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Emphatic.
Signify ( $\varepsilon \mu \varphi \alpha \nu \iota \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon)$. First aorist active imperative of $\varepsilon \mu \varphi a \nu \iota \zeta \omega$. Make plain from $\varepsilon \mu \varphi a v \eta \varsigma$, chiefly in Acts. Repeated in verse 22. The authority is with the chiliarch not with the Sanhedrin, but he had appealed to the Sanhedrin for advice.

As though ye would judge of his case more exactly ( $\omega \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o v \tau \alpha \varsigma \delta ı \alpha \not \omega \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \iota v$ $\alpha \kappa \rho ı \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho о \nu \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha v \tau о v)$. H $\omega \varsigma$ with the participle gives the alleged reason as here. So also in verse 20. $\Delta \mathrm{t} \alpha \gamma \operatorname{vo\sigma \kappa \omega }$, old verb to distinguish accurately, only here in N.T. and 24:22.

Or ever come near ( $\pi \rho \circ$ $\tau 0 v \varepsilon \gamma \gamma \iota \sigma \alpha \alpha v \tau o v$ ). "Before the coming near as to him." Про and the genitive of the articular infinitive of $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \iota \zeta \omega$ with accusative of general reference.

We are ready to slay him ( $\varepsilon \tau о \mu \circ \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \tau o v \alpha \nu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tau \nu \alpha \nu \tau \nu)$. Genitive of purpose of the articular infinitive after the adjective $\varepsilon \tau о \boldsymbol{\mu}$ (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1061). Ave入 $\varepsilon \iota v$, second aorist active of avaı $\rho \varepsilon \omega$.

Acts 23:16
Their lying in wait ( $\tau \eta v \varepsilon v \varepsilon \delta \rho \alpha v$ ). Old word from $\varepsilon v$ (in) and $\varepsilon \delta \rho \alpha$ (seat), ambush. In N.T. only here and 25:3. Accusative object of aкоvбая.

He came ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma)$. Second aorist middle participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \nu o \mu \alpha$. It may mean, "having come upon them" and so discount their plot, a graphic touch. Vincent thinks that some Pharisee, since Paul was a Pharisee and so a member of the "guild," told his nephew of the plot. Perhaps, and perhaps not.

Told Paul ( $\alpha \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ı \lambda \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \Pi \alpha v \lambda \omega$ ). This nephew is not known otherwise. He may be a student here from Tarsus as Paul once was. Anyhow he knows what to do when he catches on to the conspirators. He had enough address to get into the barracks where Paul was. He ran the risk of death if discovered.

Acts 23:17
Called unto him ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma)$. First aorist participle indirect middle, calling to himself. Paul laid his plans as energetically as if Jesus had not promised that he would see Rome (23:11).

Bring ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon$ ). "Take away."
Acts 23:18
Paul the prisoner (o $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma \Pi \alpha v \lambda о \varsigma)$. Bound ( $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma)$ to a soldier, but not with two chains ( $21: 33$ ), and with some freedom to see his friends as later (28:16), in military custody (custodia militaris). This was better than custodia publica (public custody), the common prison, but more confining.

Who hath something to say to thee ( $\varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau \alpha \tau \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma 0)$. Same idiom as in verse 17,19 , but $\lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha$ here instead of $\alpha \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha$.

Acts 23:19
Took him by the hand ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \alpha \beta о \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha v \tau o v)$. Kindly touch in Lysias, ut fiduciam adolescentis confirmaret (Bengel). Note genitive with the second aorist middle (indirect, to himself) of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$ as in $\operatorname{Lu} 8: 54$ with $\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ which see. How old the young man (veaviac) was we do not know, but it is the very word used of Paul in 7:58 when he helped in the killing of Stephen, a young man in the twenties probably. See also 20:9 of Eutychus. He is termed veavioкoऽ in verse 22.

Asked him privately ( $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \iota \delta \iota \alpha v \varepsilon \pi v v \theta a v \varepsilon \tau o$ ). Imperfect middle, began to ask (inchoative).

Acts 23:20
The Jews (o Iovסaıo). As if the whole nation was in the conspiracy and so in verse 12. The conspirators may have belonged to the Zealots, but clearly they represented the state of Jewish feeling toward Paul in Jerusalem.

Have agreed ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon v \tau \sigma$ ). Second aorist middle indicative of $\sigma v \nu \tau \iota \theta \eta$, old verb to join together, to agree. Already this form in Lu 22:5 which see. See also Joh 9:22; Ac 24:9 .

To bring down (о $\pi \omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \alpha \not\lceil\imath \varsigma)$. Very words of the conspirators in verse 15 as if the young man overheard. Second aorist active subjunctive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \omega$ with $o \pi \omega \varsigma$ in final clause, still used, but nothing like so common as iva though again in verse 23 (Robertson, Grammar, p. 985).

As though thou wouldest inquire ( $\omega \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega v \pi \nu v \theta \alpha v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Just as in verse 15 except that here $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ refers to Lysias instead of to the conspirators as in verse 15 . The singular is used by the youth out of deference to the authority of Lysias and so modifies a bit the scheming of the conspirators, not "absurd" as Page holds.

Acts 23:21
Do not therefore yield unto them ( $\Sigma v$ ovv $\mu \eta \pi \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \eta ı \varsigma \cup \tau o \iota \varsigma)$. First aorist passive subjunctive of $\pi \varepsilon \iota \theta \omega$, common verb, here to be persuaded by, to listen to, to obey, to yield to. With negative and rightly. Do not yield to them (dative) at all. On the aorist subjunctive with $\mu \eta$ in prohibitions against committing an act see Robertson, Grammar, pp. 851-4.

For there lie in wait ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \delta \rho \varepsilon v o v \sigma \iota v \gamma \alpha \rho$ ). Present active indicative of $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \delta \rho \varepsilon v \omega$, old verb from $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \delta \rho a$ (verse 16), in the N.T. only here and Lu 11:54 which see.

Till they have slain him ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ov ave $\lambda \omega \sigma \iota \nu \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Same idiom as in verse 12 save that here we have ave $\lambda \omega \sigma \iota v$ (second aorist active subjunctive) instead of $\alpha \pi \sigma \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ \nu \omega \sigma \iota v$ (another word for kill), "till they slay him."

Looking for the promise from thee ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta \varepsilon \chi о \mu \varepsilon v o \tau \eta \nu \alpha \pi \sigma \sigma o v \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \alpha v$ ). This item is all that is needed to put the scheme through, the young man shrewdly adds.

Acts 23:22

Tell no man ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). Indirect command (oratio obliqua) after $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ו \lambda \alpha \varsigma$ (charging) with first aorist active infinitive of $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ (in ancient Greek, but here only in N.T.), but construction changed to direct in rest of the sentence (oratio recta) as in 1:4, "that thou hast signified these things to me" (oт $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha$ $v \varepsilon \varphi \alpha v i \sigma \alpha \varsigma \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). Same verb here as in verse 15. This change is common in the N.T. (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1047).

Acts 23:23
Two ( $\tau$ ivac $\delta v o$ ). "Some two" as in Lu 7:19, indicating (Page) that they were not specially chosen.

Soldiers ( $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \omega \tau \alpha \varsigma)$,
horsemen (ıл
spearmen ( $\delta \varepsilon \xi_{\iota o \lambda}$ aßouc). The three varieties of troops in a Roman army like the cohort of Lysias (Page). The $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \omega \tau \alpha$ were the heavy-armed legionaries, the $\iota \pi \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ belonged to every legion, the $\left.\delta \varepsilon \xi_{七}\right\rangle \alpha \beta$ o were light-armed supplementary troops who carried a lance in the right hand ( $\delta \varepsilon \xi$ เo¢, right, $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, to take). Vulgate, lancearios. At the third hour of the night ( $\alpha \pi о \tau \rho \iota \tau \eta \varsigma \omega \rho a \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma v \cup \kappa \tau о \varsigma)$. About nine in the evening.

Acts 23:24
Provide beasts ( $\kappa \tau \varepsilon \nu \eta \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). Change from direct to indirect discourse just the opposite of that in verse 22.

Beasts ( $\kappa \tau \eta \vee \eta)$. For riding as here or for baggage. See on Lu 10:34. Asses or horses, but not war-horses. Since Paul was chained to a soldier, another animal would be required for baggage. It was also seventy miles and a change of horses might be needed. The extreme precaution of Lysias is explained in some Latin MSS. as due to fear of a night attack with the result that he might be accused to Felix of bribery. Luke also probably accompanied Paul.

To bring safe (ıva $\delta ı \sigma \omega \sigma \omega \sigma ı v)$. Final clause with $\operatorname{vva}$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \omega \zeta \omega$, old verb, to save through ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ ) to a finish. Eight times in the N.T. (Mt 14:36; Lu 7:3; Ac 23:24; 27:43,44; 28:1,4; 1Pe 3:20 ).

Unto Felix the governor ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \Phi \eta \lambda \iota \kappa \alpha$ тоv $\eta \gamma \varepsilon \mu \circ v \alpha$ ). Felix was a brother of Pallas, the notorious favourite of Claudius. Both had been slaves and were now freedmen. Felix was made procurator of Judea by Claudius A.D. 52. He held the position till Festus succeeded him after complaints by the Jews to Nero. He married Drusilla the daughter of Herod Agrippa I with the hope of winning the favour of the Jews. He was one of the most depraved men of his time. Tacitus says of him that "with all cruelty and lust he exercised the power of a king with the spirit of a slave." The term "governor" ( $\eta \gamma \varepsilon \mu \omega v$ ) means "leader" from $\eta \gamma \varepsilon \sigma \mu \alpha$, to lead, and was applied to leaders of all sorts (emperors, kings, procurators). In the N.T. it is used of Pilate (Mt 27:2 ), of Felix, (Ac 23:24,26,33; 24:1 ), of Festus ( 26:30).

Acts 23:25

And he wrote ( $\gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$, agreeing with the subject (Lysias) of $\varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon v$ (said) back in verse 23 (beginning).
 use of tutov (type or form) like exemplum in Latin (Page who quotes Cicero Ad Att. IX. 6. 3) may give merely the purport or substantial contents of the letter. But there is no reason for thinking that it is not a genuine copy since the letter may have been read in open court before Felix, and Luke was probably with Paul. The Roman law required that a subordinate officer like Lysias in reporting a case to his superior should send a written statement of the case and it was termed elogium. A copy of the letter may have been given Paul after his appeal to Caesar. It was probably written in Latin. The letter is a "dexterous mixture of truth and falsehood" (Furneaux) with the stamp of genuineness. It puts things in a favourable light for Lysias and makes no mention of his order to scourge Paul.

Acts 23:26
Most excellent (крatıo $\tau \omega$ ). See on Lu 1:3 to Theophilus though not in Ac 1:1 . It is usual in addressing men of rank as here, like our "Your Excellency" in 24:3 and Paul uses it to Festus in 26:25.

Greeting ( $\chi$ alpsıv). Absolute infinitive with independent or absolute nominative
 Grammar, p. 1092).

Acts 23:27
Was seized ( $\sigma u \lambda \lambda \eta \mu \varphi \theta \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha$ ). First aorist passive participle of $\sigma u \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$.
Rescued him having learned that he was a Roman ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \mu \theta \omega \nu$ от Po $\mu \alpha \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). Wendt, Zoeckler, and Furneaux try to defend this record of two facts by Lysias in the wrong order from being an actual lie as Bengel rightly says. Lysias did rescue Paul and he did learn that he was a Roman, but in this order. He did not first learn that he was a Roman and then rescue him as his letter states. The use of the aorist participle ( $\mu \alpha \theta \omega v$ from $\mu \alpha v \theta \alpha v \omega$ ) after the principal verb $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ (second aorist middle of $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to take out to oneself, to rescue) can be either simultaneous action or antecedent. There is in Greek no such idiom as the aorist participle of subsequent action (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 1112-14). Lysias simply reversed the order of the facts and omitted the order for scourging Paul to put himself in proper light with Felix his superior officer and actually poses as the protector of a fellow Roman citizen.

Acts 23:28
To know ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \gamma \nu \omega v \alpha)$. To know fully, $\varepsilon \pi$, second aorist active infinitive.
They accused him ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda o u v \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). Imperfect active indicative, were accusing him (dative), repeating their charges.

Acts 23:29

Concerning questions of their law ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \zeta \eta \tau \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ тov vo $\mu \circ v \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). The very distinction drawn by Gallio in Corinth (Ac 18:14f. ). On the word see on 15:2.

But to have nothing laid to his charge worthy of death or of bonds ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon$ a $\xi_{\circ}$ v Өavatov $\eta \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \omega v \varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau \alpha \varepsilon v \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ ). Literally, "having no accusation (or crime) worthy of death or of bonds." This phrase here only in the N.T. Eүк $\lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ is old word for accusation or crime from $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ used in verse 28 and in the N.T. only here and 25:16. Lysias thus expresses the opinion that Paul ought to be set free and the lenient treatment that Paul received in Caesarea and Rome (first imprisonment) is probably due to this report of Lysias. Every Roman magistrate before whom Paul appears declares him innocent (Gallio, Lysias, Felix, Festus).

Acts 23:30
When it was shown to me that there would be a plot ( $\mu \eta v v \theta \varepsilon เ \sigma \eta \varsigma \mu о \varepsilon \pi \_\beta$ ou入ŋऽ $\varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Two constructions combined; genitive absolute ( $\mu \eta v v \theta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \iota \beta o v \lambda \eta \varsigma$, first aorist passive participle of $\mu \eta \nu v \omega$ ) and future infinitive ( $\varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ as if $\varepsilon \pi \iota \beta o v \lambda \eta \nu$ accusative of general reference used) in indirect assertion after $\mu \eta \nu v \omega$ (Robertson, Grammar, p. 877).

Charging his accusers also ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon i \lambda \alpha \varsigma \kappa \alpha$ тоוя катпүороьৎ). First aorist active participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ with which compare $\mu \alpha \theta \omega v$ above (verse 27), not subsequent action. Dative case in кат $\eta \gamma$ ороıs.

Before thee ( $\varepsilon \pi \sigma \sigma v$ ). Common idiom for "in the presence of" when before a judge (like Latin $a p u d)$ as in $24: 20,21 ; 25: 26 ; 26: 2$. What happened to the forty conspirators we have no way of knowing. Neither they nor the Jews from Asia are heard of more during the long five years of Paul's imprisonment in Caesarea and Rome.

Acts 23:31
As it was commanded them (ката тo סıaтєтаү $\mu \varepsilon v o v$ avtoı). "According to that which was commanded them," perfect passive articular participle of $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$.

By night ( $\delta$ ıa vuктoc). Through the night, travelling by night forty miles from Jerusalem to Antipatris which was founded by Herod the Great and was on the road from Jerusalem to Caesarea, a hard night's ride.

Acts 23:33
And they (ottiveऽ). Which very ones, the cavalry, the horsemen of verse 31.
Delivered ( $\alpha v \alpha \delta o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Second aorist active participle of $\alpha v \alpha \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, old verb to give up, to hand over, here only in the N.T.

Presented Paul also ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ка $\tau 0 v$ Паv $\lambda \mathrm{ov}$ ). First aorist active (transitive, not second aorist intransitive) indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, common verb to present or place beside. What would Paul's friends in Caesarea (Philip and his daughters) think of the prophecy of Agabus now so quickly come true.

Acts 23:34

When he had read it ( $\alpha v \alpha \gamma v o v c)$. Second aorist active participle of $\alpha v \alpha \gamma เ v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, to know again, to read.

Of what province he was ( $\varepsilon \kappa \pi о \iota \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \chi \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). Tense of $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ (is) retained in indirect question. Пotas is strictly "of what kind of" province, whether senatorial or imperial. Cilicia, like Judea, was under the control of the propraetor of Syria (imperial province). Paul's arrest was in Jerusalem and so under the jurisdiction of Felix unless it was a matter of insurrection when he could appeal to the propraetor of Syria.

Acts 23:35
I will hear thy cause ( $\delta ı \alpha \kappa о v \sigma о \mu \alpha)$ ) "I will hear thee fully" ( $\delta ı \alpha$ ).
When--are come ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v \omega v \tau \alpha$ ). Second aorist middle subjunctive of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma ı v o \mu \alpha$ with temporal conjunction o $\tau \alpha v$, indefinite temporal clause of future time (Robertson, Grammar, p. 972), "whenever thine accusers come."

In Herod's palace ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \pi \rho \alpha \iota \tau \omega \rho \iota \omega$ ). The Latin word $\pi \rho \alpha \varepsilon \tau o \rho ı v \mu$. The word meant the camp of the general, then the palace of the governor as here and Mt 27:27 which see, and then the camp of praetorian soldiers or rather the praetorian guard as in Php 1:13.

## Acts 24

Acts 24:1
And with an Orator, one Tertullus ( $\kappa \alpha \rho \eta \tau о \rho о \varsigma ~ T \varepsilon \rho \tau \nu \lambda \lambda о v ~ \tau ı \nu о \varsigma) . ~ A ~ d e p u t a t i o n ~ o f ~$ elders along with the high priest Ananias, not the whole Sanhedrin, but no hint of the forty conspirators or of the Asian Jews. The Sanhedrin had become divided so that now it is probably Ananias (mortally offended) and the Sadducees who take the lead in the prosecution of Paul. It is not clear whether after five days is from Paul's departure from Jerusalem or his arrival in Caesarea. If he spent nine days in Jerusalem, then the five days would be counted from then (verse 11). The employment of a Roman lawyer (Latin orator) was necessary since the Jews were not familiar with Roman legal procedure and it was the custom in the provinces (Cicero pro Cael. 30). The speech was probably in Latin which Paul may have understood also. P $\eta \tau \omega \rho$ is a common old Greek word meaning a forensic orator or advocate but here only in the N.T. The Latin rhetor was a teacher of rhetoric, a very different thing. Tertullus is a diminutive of Tertius (Ro 16:22).

Informed ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \varphi a v \iota \sigma a v)$. Same verb as in 23:15,22, somewhat like our modern "indictment," certainly accusations "against Paul" (ката тov Пav入ov). They were down on Paul and the hired barrister was prosecuting attorney. For the legal form see Oxyrhynchus Papyri, Vol. II., p. 162, line 19.

Acts 24:2
When he (Paul) was called ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon v \tau \circ \varsigma \alpha v \tau o v)$. Genitive absolute (as so often in Acts) with first aorist passive participle of $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$. Seeing that by thee we enjoy much peace ( $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \eta \varsigma$ $\varepsilon \iota \rho \nu \eta \varsigma \tau v \gamma \chi \propto v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \delta \iota \alpha \sigma o v)$. Literally, obtaining much peace by thee. A regular piece of flattery, captatio benevolentiae, to ingratiate himself into the good graces of the governor. Felix had suppressed a riot, but Tacitus (Ann. XII. 54) declares that Felix secretly encouraged banditti and shared the plunder for which the Jews finally made complaint to Nero who recalled him. But it sounded well to praise Felix for keeping peace in his province, especially as Tertullus was going to accuse Paul of being a disturber of the peace.

And that by thy providence ( $\kappa \alpha \delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \rho о v o l \alpha \varsigma)$. Forethought, old Greek word from $\pi \rho o v o o \varsigma$ ( $\pi \rho$ ovos $\omega$ in $1 \mathrm{Ti} 5: 8$; Ro 12:17; 2Co 8:21 ), in N.T. only here and Ro 13:14. "Providence" is Latin Providentia (foreseeing, provideo). Roman coins often have Providentia Caesaris. Post-Augustan Latin uses it of God (Deus).

Evils are corrected for this nation ( $\delta \iota \rho \theta \omega \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu \gamma \iota \nu \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu \tau \omega \varepsilon \theta \nu \varepsilon \tau o v \tau \omega)$. Genitive absolute again, $\gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu$, present middle participle describing the process of reform going on for this nation (dative case of personal interest). $\Delta \iota \rho \theta \omega \mu \alpha$ (from $\delta \iota o \rho \theta o \omega$, to set right) occurs from Aristotle on of setting right broken limbs (Hippocrates) or reforms in law and life (Polybius, Plutarch). "Reform continually taking place for this nation." Felix the Reform Governor of Judea! It is like a campaign speech, but it doubtless pleased Felix.

Acts 24:3
In all ways and in all places ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi \circ v$ ). Пav $\tau \eta$, old adverb of manner only here in N.T. חav $\tau \alpha \chi 0 v$ also old adverb of place, several times in N.T. But these adverbs most likely go with the preceding clause about "reforms" rather than as here translated with "we accept" ( $\alpha \tau о \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). But "with all gratitude" ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \varsigma \varepsilon v \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \iota \alpha \varsigma)$ does naturally go with $\alpha \pi о \delta \varepsilon \chi о \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$.

## Acts 24:4

That I be not further tedious unto thee ( $\imath v \alpha \mu \eta \varepsilon \pi \pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota o v \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon v \kappa о \pi \tau \omega$ ). Koine verb (Hippocrates, Polybius) to cut in on (or into), to cut off, to impede, to hinder. Our modern telephone and radio illustrate it well. In the N.T. (Ac 24:4; 1Th 2:18; Ga 5:7; Ro 15:22; 1Pe 3:7). "That I may not cut in on or interrupt thee further ( $\varepsilon \pi \pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota o v$ ) in thy reforms." Flattery still.

Of thy clemency ( $\tau \eta \sigma \eta \varepsilon \pi \iota \varepsilon เ \kappa \varepsilon ı \alpha$ ). Instrumental case of old word from $\varepsilon \pi เ \varepsilon ı \kappa \eta \zeta$ and this from $\varepsilon \pi$ and $\varepsilon$ ккоৎ (reasonable, likely, fair). "Sweet Reasonableness" (Matthew Arnold), gentleness, fairness. An $\varepsilon \pi เ \varepsilon ı \kappa \eta \varsigma$ man is "one who makes reasonable concessions" (Aristotle, Eth. V. 10), while סıкaıoc is "one who insists on his full rights" (Plato, Leg. 757 D) as translated by Page.

A few words ( $\sigma \nu v \tau o \mu \omega \varsigma$ ). Old adverb from $\sigma \nu v \tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \omega$, to cut together (short), abbreviate. Like $\delta \iota \alpha \beta \rho \alpha \chi \varepsilon \omega v$ in Heb 13:22. In N.T. only here and Mr 16 (shorter conclusion).

Acts 24:5
For we have found ( $\varepsilon \cup \rho o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \gamma \alpha \rho$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\varepsilon v \rho เ \sigma \kappa \omega$, but without a principal verb in the sentence. Probably we have here only a "summary of the charges against Paul" (Page).

A pestilent fellow ( $\lambda_{o l \mu}(\mathrm{v})$. An old word for pest, plague, pestilence, Paul the pest. In N.T. only here and Lu 21:11 ( $\lambda о \iota \mu о$ ка $\lambda \iota \mu$, pestilences and famines) which see. Latin pestis. Think of the greatest preacher of the ages being branded a pest by a contemporary hired lawyer.

A mover of insurrections (кıvovv $\alpha \alpha \sigma \tau \sigma \varepsilon ı$ ). This was an offence against Roman law if it could be proven. "Plotted against at Damascus, plotted against at Jerusalem, expelled from Pisidian Antioch, stoned at Lystra, scourged and imprisoned at Philippi, accused of treason at Thessalonica, haled before the proconsul at Corinth, cause of a serious riot at Ephesus, and now finally of a riot at Jerusalem" (Furneaux). Specious proof could have been produced, but was not. Tertullus went on to other charges with which a Roman court had no concern (instance Gallio in Corinth).

Throughout the world ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta \nu$ о七коч $\mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \nu$ ). The Roman inhabited earth $(\gamma \eta \nu)$ as in 17:6.

A ringleader of the sect of the Nazarenes ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \eta \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \tau \omega \nu \mathrm{Na} \zeta \omega \rho \alpha \iota \omega \nu \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. $\Pi \rho \omega \tau о \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma$ is an old word in common use from $\pi \rho \omega \tau \circ \varsigma$ and $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, a front-rank man, a
chief, a champion. Here only in the N.T. This charge is certainly true. About "sect" (aıpعбı¢)
 Lu 18:37; Joh 18:5,7; 19:19; Ac 2:22; 3:6; 4:10; 6:14; $22: 8 ; 26: 9$ ). The disciple is not above his Master. There was a sneer in the term as applied to Jesus and here to his followers.

Acts 24:6
Assayed to profane ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon เ \rho a \sigma \varepsilon v \beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha$ ). A flat untruth, but the charge of the Asian Jews ( 21:28-30). Verbum optum ad calumnian (Bengel).

We seized ( $\varepsilon \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v)$ ) As if the Sanhedrin had arrested Paul, Tertullus identifying himself with his clients. But it was the mob ( $21: 28-31$ ) that attacked Paul and Lysias who rescued him (21:32ff. ).

Acts 24:7
This whole verse with some words at the end of verse 6 and the beginning of verse 8 in the Textus Receptus ("And would have judged according to our law. But the chief captain Lysias came upon us, and with great violence took him away out of our hands, commanding his accusers to come unto thee") is absent from Aleph A B H L P 61 (many other cursives) Sahidic Bohairic. It is beyond doubt a later addition to the incomplete report of the speech of Tertullus. As the Revised Version stands, verse 8 connects with verse 6 . The motive of the added words is clearly to prejudice Felix against Lysias and they contradict the record in Ac 21 . Furneaux holds them to be genuine and omitted because contradictory to Ac 21 . More likely they are a clumsy attempt to complete the speech of Tertullus.

Acts 24:8
From whom ( $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$ ov). Referring to Paul, but in the Textus Receptus referring to Lysias.
By examining him thyself (avto̧ $\alpha$ vaкрıva¢). Not by torture, since Paul was a Roman citizen, but by hearing what Paul has to say in defence of himself. Avak $\rho v \omega$ is to examine thoroughly up and down as in Lu 23:14 .

Acts 24:9
Joined in the charge ( $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon v \tau o$ ). Second aorist middle indicative of $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \pi \iota \tau 1 \theta \eta \mu$, old verb, double compound, to place upon ( $\varepsilon \pi$ ) together with ( $\sigma v \nu$ ), to make a joint attack, here only in the N.T.

Affirming ( $\varphi \alpha \sigma \kappa о v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Alleging, with the accusative in indirect assertion as in 25:19; Ro 1:22 (nominative with infinitive, Robertson, Grammar, p. 1038).

Were so (out $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon เ v)$, "held thus," common idiom.
Acts 24:10
 absolute again with first aorist active participle of $v \varepsilon v \omega$, to give a nod, old word, in N.T. only here and Joh 13:24 . "The governor nodding to him."

Forasmuch as I know ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Knowing, from $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \alpha$.

That thou hast been of many years a judge ( $\varepsilon \kappa \pi о \lambda \lambda \omega v \varepsilon \tau \omega v$ ov $\tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \kappa \rho \iota \tau \eta v$ ). The
 as far as he can in the way of a compliment. For seven years Felix has been governor, ovta being a sort of progressive present participle with $\varepsilon \kappa \pi о \lambda \lambda \omega v \varepsilon \tau \omega \nu$ (Robertson, Grammar, p. 892).

Cheerfully ( $\varepsilon v \theta v \mu \omega \varsigma)$. Old adverb from $\varepsilon v \theta v \mu \circ \varsigma(\varepsilon v$ and $\theta v \mu \circ \varsigma$, good spirit), here only in N.T.

Make my defence ( $\alpha \pi о \lambda о \gamma о \cup \mu \alpha)$. Old and regular word for this idea as in Lu 21:14 which see.

Acts 24:11
Seeing that thou canst take knowledge ( $\delta v v \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o v ~ \sigma o v ~ \varepsilon \pi \imath \gamma v \omega v \alpha)$. Genitive absolute again. The same word and form ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma v \omega v \alpha)$ used by Tertullus, if in Greek, in verse 8 to Felix. Paul takes it up and repeats it.

Not more than twelve days (ov $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota \circ v \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ). Here $\eta$ (than) is absent without change of case to the ablative as usually happens. But this idiom is found in the Koine (Robertson, Grammar, p. 666).

Since ( $\left.\alpha \varphi^{\prime} \eta \varsigma\right)$. Supply $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma$, "from which day."
To worship ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa v v \eta \sigma \omega v$ ). One of the few examples of the future participle of purpose so common in the old Attic.

Acts 24:12
Disputing ( $\delta \iota \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. Simply conversing, discussing, arguing, and then disputing, common verb in old Greek and in N.T. (especially in Acts).
 to make an onset or rush. Only twice in the N.T., 2Co 11:28 (the pressure or care of the churches) and here (making a rush of a crowd). The papyri give examples also for "onset." So Paul denies the two charges that were serious and the only one that concerned Roman law (insurrection).

Acts 24:13
Prove ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive of $\pi \alpha \rho เ \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, to place beside. They have made "charges," mere assertions. They have not backed up these charges with proof, "nor can they," says Paul.

Now (vuv). As if they had changed their charges from the cries of the mob in Jerusalem which is true. Paul has no hired lawyer to plead for him, but he has made a masterly plea for his freedom.

Acts 24:14
I confess ( $о \mu \mathrm{o} \lambda \mathrm{o} \gamma \omega$ ). The only charge left was that of being a ringleader of the sect of the Nazarenes. This Paul frankly confesses is true. He uses the word in its full sense. He is "guilty" of that.

After the Way ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta v$ oסov). This word Paul had already applied to Christianity ( 22:4). He prefers it to "sect" (aıpءбıv which means a choosing, then a division). Paul claims Christianity to be the real (whole, catholic) Judaism, not a "sect" of it. But he will show that Christianity is not a deviation from Judaism, but the fulfilment of it (Page) as he has already shown in Ga 3; Ro 9 .

So serve I the God of our fathers (ov $\tau \omega \varsigma \lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon v \omega \tau \omega \pi \alpha \tau \rho \omega \omega \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). Paul has not stretched the truth at all. He has confirmed the claim made before the Sanhedrin that he is a spiritual Pharisee in the truest sense ( $23: 6$ ). He reasserts his faith in all the law and the prophets, holding to the Messianic hope. A curious "heretic" surely!

Which these themselves also look for ( $\eta \nu$ ка $\alpha \cup \tau о ~ o v \tau о ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \delta \varepsilon \chi о v \tau \alpha)$. Probably with a gesture towards his accusers. He does not treat them all as Sadducees. See Tit 2:13 for similar use of the verb ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta \varepsilon \chi о \mu \varepsilon v o ~ \tau \eta \nu \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho ı \alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta \alpha$, looking for the happy hope).

Acts 24:15
That there shall be a resurrection ( $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma เ \nu \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon ı \nu \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Indirect assertion with infinitive and accusative of general reference ( $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma เ v$ ) after the word $\varepsilon \lambda \tau \iota \delta \alpha$ (hope). The future infinitive $\varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ after $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon เ v$ is also according to rule, $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ being followed by either present, aorist, or future infinitive (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 870, 877, 878).

Both of the just and the unjust ( $\delta ⿺ 𠃊 \alpha \omega \omega \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \alpha \delta ı \kappa \omega v$ ). Apparently at the same time as in Joh 5:29 (cf. Ac 17:31f. ). Gardner thinks that Luke here misrepresents Paul who held to no resurrection save for those "in Christ," a mistaken interpretation of Paul in my opinion. The Talmud teaches the resurrection of Israelites only, but Paul was more than a Pharisee.

Acts 24:16
Herein ( $\varepsilon v \tau 0 v \tau \omega$ ). His whole confession of belief in verses 14,15 .
Do I also exercise myself ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \cup \tau о \varsigma ~ \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega$ ). "Do I also myself take exercise," take pains, labour, strive. Old word in Homer to work as raw materials, to adorn by art, then to drill. Our word ascetic comes from this root, one who seeks to gain piety by rules and severe hardship. Paul claims to be equal to his accusers in efforts to please God.

Void of offence (алробколоv). This word belongs to the papyri and N.T. (only in Paul), not in the ancient writers. The papyri examples (Moulton Milligan, Vocabulary) use the word to mean "free from hurt or harm." It is a privative and $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa о \pi \tau \omega$ (to cut or stumble against). Page likes "void of offence" since that can be either active "not stumbling" as in Php 1:10 or passive "not stumbled against" as in 1Co 10:32 (the first toward God and the second toward men), the only other N.T. examples. Hence the word here appears in both senses (the first towards God, the second towards men). Paul adds "alway" ( $\delta \iota \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \tau \varsigma$ ), a bold claim for a consistent aim in life. "Certainly his conscience acquitted him of having caused any offence to his countrymen" (Rackham). Furneaux thinks that it must have been wormwood and gall to Ananias to hear Paul repeat here the same words because of which he had ordered Paul to be smitten on the mouth (23:1f. ).

Acts 24:17
After many years ( $\delta \iota^{\prime} \varepsilon \tau \omega \nu \pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota o v \omega \nu$ ). "At an interval ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ ) of more ( $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota o v \omega \nu$ ) years" (than a few, one must add), not "after many years." If, as is likely Paul went up to Jerusalem in Ac 18:22, that was some five years ago and would justify " $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota \omega \nu \omega \nu$ " (several years ago or some years ago).
 of the future participle of purpose in the N.T. These "alms" (on $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \eta \mu \circ \sigma v v a \varsigma$ see on $\mathrm{Mt} 6: 1,4$; Ac 10:2, common in Tobit and is in the papyri) were for the poor saints in Jerusalem (1Co 16:1-4; 2Co 8; 9; Ro 15:26) who were none the less Jews. "And offerings" ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \varphi о \rho а \varsigma)$. The very word used in 21:26 of the offerings or sacrifices made by Paul for the four brethren and himself. It does not follow that it was Paul's original purpose to make these "offerings" before he came to Jerusalem (cf. 18:18). He came up to worship (verse 11) and to be present at Pentecost (20:16).

Acts 24:18
Amidst which ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \wedge \lambda$ ). That is, "in which offerings" (in presenting which offerings, 21:27).

They found me (my accusers here present, $\varepsilon v \rho o v \mu \varepsilon$ ),
purified in the temple ( $\eta \gamma \nu \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu o \nu \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \iota \varepsilon \rho \omega$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\alpha \gamma \nu \iota \omega$ (same verb in 21:24,26) state of completion of the Jewish sacrifices which had gone on for seven days ( $21: 27$ ), the very opposite of the charges made.

With no crowd (ov $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ o $\chi \lambda \mathrm{ov}$ ). "Not with a crowd" till the Asiatic Jews gathered one (21:27).

Nor yet with tumult (ov $\delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ Oo $\rho \cup \beta$ ov). They made the tumult (27:30), not Paul. Till they made the stir, all was quiet.

Acts 24:19
But certain Jews from Asia ( $\tau \iota v \varepsilon \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \alpha \pi о \tau \eta \varsigma A \lambda \iota a \varsigma$ Iov $\delta \alpha \iota$ ). No verb appears in the Greek for these words. Perhaps he meant to say that "certain Jews from Asia charged me with doing these things." Instead of saying that, Paul stops to explain that they are not here, a thoroughly Pauline anacoluthon (2Co 7:5) as in 26:9. "The passage as it stands is instinct with life, and seems to exhibit the abruptness so characteristic of the Pauline Epistles" (Page).

Who ought to have been here before thee (ovc $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \sigma o v \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon เ v \alpha$ ). This use of $\varepsilon \pi$ with genitive of the person is common. The imperfect indicative with verbs of necessity and obligation to express failure to live up to it is common in Greek (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 919-21). "The accusers who were present had not witnessed the alleged offence: those who could have given evidence at first-hand were not present" (Furneaux). There was no case in a Roman court. These Asiatic Jews are never heard of after the riot, though they almost succeeded in killing Paul then.

If they had aught against me $(\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \chi \circ เ \varepsilon \nu \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon)$. A condition of the fourth class or undetermined with less likelihood of being determined ( $\varepsilon$ with the optative, Robertson, Grammar, p. 1021). This is a "mixed condition" (op.cit., p. 1022) with a conclusion of the second class.

Acts 24:20
These men themselves (avto outo). Since the Asiatic Jews are not present and these men are.

Wrong doing ( $\alpha \delta \star \kappa \eta \mu \alpha)$. Or misdeed. Old word from $\alpha \delta ı \kappa \varepsilon \omega$, to do wrong. In the N.T. only here and Ac 18:14; Re 18:5. Paul uses " $\alpha \delta \iota \kappa \eta \mu \alpha$ " from the standpoint of his accusers. "To a less sensitive conscience his action before the Sanhedrin would have seemed venial enough" (Furneaux).

When I stood ( $\sigma \tau \alpha v \tau \circ \varsigma \mu \circ v$ ). Genitive absolute, second aorist active participle of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ (intransitive), "when I took my stand."

Before the council ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \tau v \sigma v v \varepsilon \delta \rho \circ 0)$ ). Same use of $\varepsilon \pi$ with genitive as in verse 19 .
Acts 24:21
Except it be ( $\varepsilon$ ). Literally, "than," but after interrogative $\tau=\tau \alpha \lambda \lambda o$ "what else than."
For this one voice ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \mu \downarrow \varsigma \tau \alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma \varphi \omega \nu \eta \varsigma)$. The normal Greek idiom with the attributive use of outos calls for the article before $\mu \mu \varsigma$, though some inscriptions show it as here (Robertson, Grammar, p. 702).

That $(\eta \varsigma)$. Genitive of the relative attracted to the case of the antecedent phon ${ }^{\text {s. }}$.
I cried (єкєкра $\xi \alpha$ ). Reduplicated aorist as is usual with this verb in the LXX (Jud 3:15 ). Robertson, Grammar, p. 348.

Touching ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ ). Concerning (around, about).
I am called in question (кріvона). As in 23:6.
Before you ( $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} v \mu \omega v$ ). Same idiom as in verses 19,20.
Acts 24:22
Having more exact knowledge ( $\alpha \kappa \rho ı ß \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v \varepsilon \iota \delta \omega \varsigma)$ ). "Knowing" (second perfect active participle of ot $\delta \alpha$ ) "more accurately" (comparative of adverb $\alpha \kappa \rho(\beta \omega \varsigma)$. More accurately than what? Than the Sanhedrin supposed he had "concerning the Way" ( $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \eta \varsigma$ oסov, the things concerning the Way, common in Acts for Christianity). How Felix had gained this knowledge of Christianity is not stated. Philip the Evangelist lived here in Caesarea and there was a church also. Drusilla was a Jewess and may have told him something. Besides, it is wholly possible that Felix knew of the decision of Gallio in Corinth that Christianity was a religio licita as a form of Judaism. As a Roman official he knew perfectly well that the Sanhedrin with the help of Tertullus had failed utterly to make out a case against Paul. He could have released Paul and probably would have done so but for fear of offending the

Jews whose ruler he was and the hope that Paul (note "alms" in verse 17) might offer him bribes for his liberty.

Deferred them ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tau \sigma$ avtov¢). Second aorist middle indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, old verb (only here in N.T.) to throw or toss up, to put back or off, in middle to put off from one, to delay, to adjourn. Felix adjourned the case without a decision under a plausible pretext, that he required the presence of Lysias in person, which was not the case. Lysias had already said that Paul was innocent and was never summoned to Caesarea, so far as we know. Since Paul was a Roman citizen, Lysias could have thrown some light on the riot, if he had any.

Shall come down (катаßๆ). Second aorist active subjunctive of кат $\alpha \beta a \iota v \omega$.
I will determine your matter ( $\delta \iota \alpha \gamma \nu \omega \sigma о \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). Future middle of $\delta \iota \alpha \downarrow \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, old and common verb to know accurately or thoroughly ( $\delta \dot{\alpha}$ ). In the N.T. only here (legal sense) and 23:15. "The things according to you" (plural, the matters between Paul and the Sanhedrin).

Acts 24:23
And should have indulgence ( $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon เ \nu \tau \varepsilon \alpha v \varepsilon \sigma เ v)$. From $\alpha v ı \eta \mu$, to let loose, release, relax. Old word, in the N.T. only here and 2Th 1:7; 2Co $2: 13 ; 7: 5 ; 8: 13$. It is the opposite of strict confinement, though under guard, "kept in charge" ( $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \alpha)$.

Forbid ( $\kappa \omega \lambda \nu \varepsilon เ v)$. To hinder "no one of his friends" ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \alpha \tau \omega \nu \iota \delta \omega \nu \nu$ ). No one of Paul's "own" (cf. 4:23; Joh 1:11) or intimates. Of these we know the names of Luke, Aristarchus, Trophimus, Philip the Evangelist.

Acts 24:24
With Drusilla his wife ( $\sigma \cup v \Delta \rho \circ \cup \sigma \iota \lambda \lambda \eta \tau \eta \iota \delta \iota \alpha \gamma \cup v \alpha \iota \kappa$ ). Felix had induced her to leave her former husband Aziz, King of Emesa. She was one of three daughters of Herod Agrippa I (Drusilla, Mariamne, Bernice). Her father murdered James, her great-uncle Herod Antipas slew John the Baptist, her great-grandfather (Herod the Great) killed the babes of Bethlehem. Perhaps the mention of Drusilla as "his own wife" is to show that it was not a formal trial on this occasion. Page thinks that she was responsible for the interview because of her curiosity to hear Paul.

Sent for ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \alpha \tau 0$ ). First aorist middle of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega$ as usual (Ac 10:5).
Acts 24:25
Was terrified ( $\varepsilon \mu \varphi \circ \beta \circ \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon v \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Ingressive aorist middle of $\gamma เ v o \mu \alpha$, "becoming terrified." $\mathrm{E} \mu \varphi \mathrm{o}$ oc ( $\varepsilon v$ and $\varphi$ оßоৎ) old word, in the N.T. only Lu 24:5; Ac 10:5; 24:25; Re 11:13. Paul turned the tables completely around and expounded "the faith in Christ Jesus"
 concerning "righteousness" ( $\delta$ เкаıобטvŋऽ) which they did not possess, "self-control" or temperance ( $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon ו \alpha \varsigma)$ which they did not exhibit, and "the judgment to come" ( $\tau 0$ крıатоц то⿱ $\mu \varepsilon \lambda$ догтоц) which was certain to overtake them. Felix was brought under
conviction, but apparently not Drusilla. Like another Herodias her resentment was to be feared (Knowling).

Go thy way for this time ( $\tau 0 \nu v \nu \varepsilon \chi \circ \vee \pi \circ \rho \varepsilon v o v$ ). The ancient Greek has this use of $\tau 0$ $v u v \varepsilon \chi \circ v$ (Tobit 7:11) in the accusative of time, "as for the present or holding the now."

When I have a convenient season ( $\kappa \alpha \iota \rho \circ \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \omega v$ ). Second aorist active participle of the old verb $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$, to find a share in, to obtain. It was his "excuse" for dodging the personal turn that Paul had given.

Acts 24:26
He hoped withal ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \omega v$ ). "At the same time also hoping." Paul had mentioned the "alms" ( $24: 17$ ) and that excited the avarice of Felix for "money" ( $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Roman law demanded exile and confiscation for a magistrate who accepted bribes, but it was lax in the provinces. Felix had doubtless received them before. Josephus (Ant. XX. 8, 9) represents Felix as greedy for money.

The oftener ( $\pi \nu \kappa \nu о \tau \varepsilon \rho о v)$. Comparative adverb of $\pi \nu \kappa \nu \circ \varsigma$, old word, in N.T. only here and $\operatorname{Lu} 5: 33$ which see and $1 \mathrm{Ti} 5: 23$. Kin to $\pi v \gamma \mu \eta$ (Mr 7:3) which see from $\pi v \kappa \omega$, thick, dense, compact. Paul kept on not offering a bribe, but Felix continued to have hopes (present tense $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \omega v$ ), kept on sending for him (present tense $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma$ ), and kept on communing (imperfect active $\omega \mu \lambda \varepsilon$ from o $\mu \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, old word as in Ac 20:11; Lu 24:14, which see, only N.T. examples of this word). But he was doomed to disappointment. He was never terrified again.

Acts 24:27
But when two years were fulfilled ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \eta \varsigma$ ). Genitive absolute first aorist passive of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \omega$, common verb to fill full. $\Delta \iota \tau \tau \iota a$, late word in LXX and Philo, common in the papyri, in N.T. only here and Ac 28:30. Compound of $\delta \iota \alpha$, two ( $\delta v o, \delta ı \varsigma$ ) and $\varepsilon \tau \circ \varsigma$, year. So Paul lingered on in prison in Caesarea, waiting for the second hearing under Felix which never came. Caesarea now became the compulsory headquarters of Paul for two years. With all his travels Paul spent several years each at Tarsus, Antioch, Corinth, Ephesus, though not as a prisoner unless that was true part of the time at Ephesus for which there is some evidence though not of a convincing kind. We do not know that Luke remained in Caesarea all this time. In all probability he came and went with frequent visits with Philip the Evangelist. It was probably during this period that Luke secured the material for his Gospel and wrote part or all of it before going to Rome. He had ample opportunity to examine the eyewitnesses who heard Jesus and the first attempts at writing including the Gospel of Mark (Lu 1:1-4).

Was succeeded by ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon v \delta \iota \alpha \delta o \chi o v)$. Literally, "received as successor." $\Delta \mathrm{t} \alpha \delta o \chi o c$ is an old word from $\delta \iota \alpha \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to receive in succession ( $\delta \iota \alpha, \delta v o$, two) and occurs here alone in the N.T. Deissmann (Bible Studies, p. 115) gives papyri examples where o סıaסoxo means "higher officials at the court of the Ptolemies," probably "deputies," a usage growing out of
the "successors" of Alexander the Great (Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary), though here the original notion of "successor" occurs (cf. Josephus, Ant. XX. 8, 9). Luke does not tell why Felix "received" a successor. The explanation is that during these two years the Jews and the Gentiles had an open fight in the market-place in Caesarea. Felix put the soldiers on the mob and many Jews were killed. The Jews made formal complaint to the Emperor with the result that Felix was recalled and Porcius Festus sent in his stead.

Porcius Festus (Поркьov Фŋбтоv). We know very little about this man. He is usually considered a worthier man than Felix, but Paul fared no better at his hands and he exhibits the same insincerity and eagerness to please the Jews. Josephus (Ant. XX. 8, 9) says that "Porcius Festus was sent as a successor to Felix." The precise year when this change occurred is not clear. Albinus succeeded Festus by A.D. 62, so that it is probable that Festus came A.D. 58 (or 59). Death cut short his career in a couple of years though he did more than Felix to rid the country of robbers and sicarii. Some scholars argue for an earlier date for the recall of Felix. Nero became Emperor Oct. 13, A.D. 54. Poppaea, his Jewish mistress and finally wife, may have had something to do with the recall of Felix at the request of the Jews.

Desiring to gain favour with the Jews ( $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega \nu \tau \varepsilon \chi \alpha \rho \iota \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \theta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ тоцৎ Iov $\alpha a \iota \iota \varsigma)$. Reason for his conduct. Note second aorist (ingressive) middle infinitive кат $\alpha \theta \varepsilon \sigma \theta a$ from кататı $\theta \eta \mu$, old verb to place down, to make a deposit, to deposit a favour with, to do something to win favour. Only here and 25:9 in N.T., though in some MSS. in Mr 15:46 . It is a banking figure.

Left Paul in bonds (катє入ıлє тоv Паu入ov $\delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o v)$ ). Effective aorist active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı \pi \omega$, to leave behind. Paul "in bonds" ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o v$, perfect passive participle of $\delta \varepsilon \omega$, to bind) was the "deposit" ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha)$ for their favour. Codex Bezae adds that Felix left Paul in custody "because of Drusilla" ( $\delta \iota \alpha \Delta \rho o v \sigma i \lambda \lambda \alpha v)$. She disliked Paul as much as Herodias did John the Baptist. So Pilate surrendered to the Jews about the death of Jesus when they threatened to report him to Caesar. Some critics would date the third group of Paul's Epistles (Philippians, Philemon, Colossians, Ephesians) to the imprisonment here in Caesarea, some even to one in Ephesus. But the arguments for either of these two views are more specious than convincing. Furneaux would even put $2 \mathrm{Ti} 4: 9-22$ here in spite of the flat contradiction with Ac 21:29 about Trophimus being in Jerusalem instead of Miletus (2Ti 4:20) , a "mistake" which he attributes to Luke! That sort of criticism can prove anything.

## Acts 25

Acts 25:1
Having come into the province ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \alpha \varsigma \tau \eta \varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \chi \varepsilon \iota \alpha)$. Second aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \alpha \iota v \omega$, to set foot upon. Literally, "Having set foot upon his province." E $\pi \alpha \rho \chi \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ is a late word for province, in N.T. only here and 23:34. Judea was not strictly a province, but a department (Page) of the province of Syria which was under a propraetor ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \tau v \varsigma$ Xacoapıৎ) while Judea was under a procurator ( $\varepsilon \pi i \tau \rho о \pi о \varsigma)$.

After three days ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \alpha \varsigma)$. So in Ac 28:17 in Rome. That is on the third day, with a day of rest in between. Precisely the language used of the resurrection of Jesus "after three days" = "on the third day." So by common usage then and now.

Acts 25:2
The principal men ( $0 \pi \rho \omega \tau 0$ ). The first men, the leading men of the city, besides the chief priests. In verse 15 we have "the chief priests and the elders." These chief men among the Jews would desire to pay their respects to the new Procurator on his first visit to Jerusalem. There was another high priest now, Ishmael in place of Ananias.

Informed him against Paul ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \nu \iota \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha v \tau \omega$ ката $\tau о v$ Пav $\lambda o v)$. "This renewal of the charge after two years, on the very first opportunity, is a measure, not only of their unsleeping hatred, but of the importance which they attached to Paul's influence" (Furneaux).

Besought ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda o v v$ ). Imperfect active, kept on beseeching as a special favour to the Jews.

Acts 25:3
Asking favour against him ( $\alpha \iota \tau о \cup \mu \varepsilon v o \chi \alpha \rho \iota \nu \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v$ ). A favour to themselves (middle voice), not to Paul, but "against" ( $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$, down, against) him.

That he would send for ( $o \pi \omega \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \eta \tau \alpha$ ). First aorist middle subjunctive of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega$ (see 24:24,26) with final particle o $\pi \omega \varsigma$ like $\imath v \alpha$. Aorist tense for single case.

Laying wait ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \delta \rho a v \pi o t o v \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. See on $23: 16$ for the word $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \delta \rho a$. Old idiom (Thucydides) for laying a plot or ambush as here. Only these two uses of $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \delta \rho \alpha$ in N.T. Two years before the Sanhedrin had agreed to the plot of the forty conspirators. Now they propose one on their own initiative.

On the way ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta v$ oסov). Down along, up and down along the way. Plenty of opportunity would occur between Caesarea and Jerusalem for ambush and surprise attacks.

Acts 25:4
Howbeit ( $\mu \varepsilon v o v v$ ). No antithesis expressed, though Page considers $\delta \varepsilon$ in verse 6 to be one. They probably argued that it was easier for one man (Paul) to come to Jerusalem than for many to go down there. But Festus was clearly suspicious (verse 6) and was wholly within his rights to insist that they make their charges in Caesarea where he held court.

Was kept in charge ( $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Present passive infinitive of $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$ in indirect assertion. Hot with finite verb is more common after алокрıvoua, but the infinitive with the accusative of general reference is proper as here (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1036).

Shortly ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon$ ). In quickness, in speed. Old and common usage, seen already in Lu 18:8; Ac 12:7; 22:18. Festus is clearly within his rights again since his stay in Caesarea had been so brief. He did go down in "eight or ten days" (verse 6). Luke did not consider the matter important enough to be precise.

Acts 25:5
Them therefore which are of power among you (o ouv $\varepsilon v v \mu i v \delta u v a \tau o)$. "The mighty ones among you," "the men of power" (סuvato) and authority, "the first men," the Sanhedrin, in other words. Note change here by Luke from indirect discourse in verse 4, to direct in verse 5 ( $\varphi \eta \sigma \tau$, says he).

Go down with me ( $\sigma \cup v \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ). Double compound ( $\sigma \cup \nu, \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ ) second aorist active participle of $\sigma v v \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \iota v \omega$. It was a fair proposal.

If there is anything amiss in the man ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \varepsilon \tau \tau \omega \alpha v \delta \rho \alpha \tau о \pi \sigma v)$. Condition of the first class, assuming that there is (to be courteous to them), but not committing himself on the merits of the case. Атопоv is an old word, specially common in Plato, meaning "out of place." In N.T. only here and Lu 23:41 which see; Ac 28:6; $2 \mathrm{Th} 3: 2$. Note present tense active voice of катп $\gamma о \rho \varepsilon \iota \tau \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ (imperative) of катпүор $\omega$, repeat their accusations.

Acts 25:6
On the morrow ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \pi \alpha u \rho \circ o v$ ). Locative case of the article with $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ understood (erauplov, adverb, tomorrow). Festus lost no time for the chief men had come down with him.

Sat on the judgment seat ( $\kappa \alpha \theta ı \sigma \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \pi$ тov $\beta \eta \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma)$. A legal formality to give weight to the decision. Ingressive aorist active participle. For this use of $\beta \eta \mu \alpha$ for judgment seat see on Mt. 27:19; Joh 19:13; Acts 12:21; 18:12; 25:10,17 . Same phrase repeated in 25:17.

To be brought ( $\alpha \chi \theta \eta \nu \alpha$ ). First aorist passive infinitive of $\alpha \gamma \omega$ after $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \cup \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ (commanded). Same words repeated in 25:17 by Festus.

Acts 25:7
When he was come ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v o v ~ \alpha u \tau o v) . ~ G e n i t i v e ~ a b s o l u t e ~ o f ~ c o m m o n ~ v e r b ~$ $\pi \alpha \rho a \gamma ı v o \mu \alpha$ (cf. 24:24).

Which had come down (о кат $\alpha \beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa о \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Perfect active participle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \downarrow v \omega$. They had come down on purpose at the invitation of Festus (verse 5), and were now ready.

Stood round about him ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v \alpha v \tau o v)$. Second aorist (ingressive) active (intransitive) of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \mu \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, old verb, "Took their stand around him," "periculum intentantes" (Bengel). Cf. Lu 23:10 about Christ. They have no lawyer this time, but they mass their forces so as to impress Festus.

Bringing against him (катачєроvтєৎ). Bearing down on. See on 20:9;26:10, only N.T. examples of this ancient verb.

Many and grievous charges ( $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha \beta \alpha \rho \varepsilon \alpha \alpha \iota \tau \iota \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). This word $\alpha \iota \tau \iota \omega \mu \alpha$ for old form aıtıa $\mu \alpha$ is found in one papyrus (Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary) in sense of "blame." But the charges were no "heavier" than those made by Tertullus ( 24:5-8). Paul's reply proves this and they were also probably on court record (Furneaux). See this adjective $\beta \alpha \rho u \varsigma$ (heavy) used with $\lambda$ ико (wolves) in 20:29.

Which they could not prove ( $\alpha$ ovк $\tau \sigma \chi$ vov $\alpha \pi o \delta \varepsilon \iota \xi \alpha$ ). Imperfect active of $\sigma \chi \nu \omega$, to have strength or power as in 19:16,20. Repetition and reiteration and vehemence took the place of proof ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \delta \varepsilon \iota \xi \alpha$, first aorist active infinitive of $\alpha \pi \sigma \delta \varepsilon เ \kappa \nu v \mu$, to show forth, old verb, in N.T. only here, Ac 2:22 which see and 1Co 4:9).

Acts 25:8
 present middle participle of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda о \gamma \varepsilon о \mu \alpha$, old verb to make defence as in 19:33; 24:10; 26:1,2 . The recitative ot of the Greek before a direct quotation is not reproduced in English.

Have I sinned at all ( $\tau \eta \mu \alpha \rho \tau \sigma v$ ). Constative aorist active indicative of $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v \omega$, to miss, to sin. The $\tau$ is cognate accusative (or adverbial accusative). Either makes sense. Paul sums up the charges under the three items of law of the Jews, the temple, the Roman state (Caesar). This last was the one that would interest Festus and, if proved, would render Paul guilty of treason ( $\mu \alpha j \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Nero was Emperor A.D. 54-68, the last of the emperors with any hereditary claim to the name "Caesar." Soon it became merely a title like Kaiser and Czar (modern derivatives). In Acts only "Caesar" and "Augustus" are employed for the Emperor, not "King" (Baбı入عuৎ) as from the time of Domitian. Paul's denial is complete and no proof had been presented. Luke was apparently present at the trial.

Acts 25:9
Desiring to gain favour with the Jews $(\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega \nu \tau \circ \iota \varsigma$ Iov $\delta \alpha \iota \iota \varsigma ~ \chi \alpha \rho ı \nu \alpha \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha)$. Precisely the expression used of Felix by Luke in 24:27 which see. Festus, like Felix, falls a victim to fear of the Jews.

Before me ( $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ). Same use of $\varepsilon \pi$ with the genitive as in 23:30; 24:19,21. Festus, seeing that it was unjust to condemn Paul and yet disadvantageous to absolve him (Blass), now makes the very proposal to Paul that the rulers had made to him in Jerusalem (verse 3). He added the words " $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \circ v$ " (before me) as if to insure Paul of justice. If Festus was unwilling to give Paul justice in Caesarea where his regular court held forth, what assurance was there that Festus would give it to him at Jerusalem in the atmosphere of intense hostility to Paul? Only two years ago the mob, the Sanhedrin, the forty conspirators had tried to take his life in Jerusalem. Festus had no more courage to do right than Felix, however plausible his language might sound. Festus also, while wanting Paul to think that he would in Jerusalem "be judged of these things before me," in reality probably intended to turn Paul over to the

Sanhedrin in order to please the Jews, probably with Festus present also to see that Paul received justice ( $\mu \varepsilon \pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon$ ). Festus possibly was surprised to find that the charges were chiefly against Jewish law, though one was against Caesar. It was not a mere change of venue that Paul sensed, but the utter unwillingness of Festus to do his duty by him and his willingness to connive at Jewish vengeance on Paul. Paul had faced the mob and the Sanhedrin in Jerusalem, two years of trickery at the hands of Felix in Caesarea, and now he is confronted by the bland chicanery of Festus. It is too much, the last straw.

Acts 25:10
I am standing before Caesar's judgment-seat ( $\mathrm{H} \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi$ тоv $\beta \eta \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma$ K $\alpha \iota \sigma \rho \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \mu$ ). Periphrastic present perfect indicative ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \mu)$, second perfect participle $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \varsigma$ of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ (intransitive). Paul means to say that he is a Roman citizen before a Roman tribunal. Festus was the representative of Caesar and had no right to hand him over to a Jewish tribunal. Festus recognized this by saying to Paul "wilt thou" ( $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma)$.

Where I ought to be judged (ov $\mu \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \kappa \rho \iota v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Rather, "Where I must be judged," for $\delta \varepsilon$ expresses necessity (it is necessary). Paul exposes the conduct of Festus with merciless precision.

As thou also very well knowest ( $\omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \sigma v \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \circ \vee \varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \iota \omega \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \iota)$ ). "As thou also dost understand (hast additional knowledge, $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon เ \varsigma)$ better" (than thou art willing to admit). That this is Paul's meaning by the use of the comparative к $\alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \circ$ (positive $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma$ ) is made plain by the confession of Festus to Agrippa in verse 18. Paul says that Festus knows that he has done no wrong to the Jews at all (ouסsv $\eta \delta$ ккпка) and yet he is trying to turn him over to the wrath of the Jews in Jerusalem.

Acts 25:11
If I am a wrong-doer ( $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v$ ovv $\left.\alpha \delta_{i \kappa \omega}\right)$. Condition of the first class with $\varepsilon$ and the present active indicative of $\alpha \delta \iota \kappa \varepsilon \omega$ ( $\alpha$ privative and $\delta$ เкף): "If I am in the habit of doing injustice," assuming it to be true for the sake of argument.

And have committed anything worthy of death ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \xi \circ v \theta \alpha v \alpha \tau о v \pi \varepsilon \pi \rho \alpha \chi \alpha)$ ). Same condition with the difference in tense ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \rho \alpha \chi \alpha$, perfect active indicative) of a single case instead of a general habit. Assuming either or both Paul draws his conclusion.

I refuse not to die (ov $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \tau о ч \mu \alpha$ to $\alpha \pi о \theta \alpha v \varepsilon เ v)$. Old verb to ask alongside, to beg from, to deprecate, to refuse, to decline. See on Lu 14:18f. Josephus (Life, 29) has $\theta$ aveiv ov $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ı \tau о \nu \mu \alpha$. Here the articular second aorist active infinitive is in the accusative case the object of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \tau 0 \cup \mu \alpha$ : "I do not beg off dying from myself."

But if none of these things is ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon$ ou $\delta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). $\Delta \varepsilon$ here is contrasted with $\mu \varepsilon v$ just before. No word for "true" in the Greek. Eбтıv ("is") in the Greek here means "exists." Same condition (first class, assumed as true).

Whereof these accuse me ( $\omega \nu$ оv $\frac{\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \gamma о \rho о v \sigma ı \nu ~}{\mu} \circ \boldsymbol{v}$ ). Genitive of relative ov by attraction from $a$ (accusative with $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \rho \rho \sigma \sigma \iota v$ ) to case of the unexpressed antecedent $\tau o v \tau \omega v$ ("of these things"). Mov is genitive of person after ка兀пүороvбıv.

No man can give me up to them (ov $\delta \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \mu \varepsilon \delta v v \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha v \tau о \iota ~ \chi \alpha \rho ı \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha) . ~ " C a n " ~ l e g a l l y . ~$ Paul is a Roman citizen and not even Festus can make a free gift ( $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$ ) of Paul to the Sanhedrin.

I appeal unto Caesar (Kaı $\sigma \alpha \rho \alpha \varepsilon \pi \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda о \cup \mu \alpha$ ). Technical phrase like Latin Caesarem appello. Originally the Roman law allowed an appeal from the magistrate to the people (provocatio ad populum), but the emperor represented the people and so the appeal to Caesar was the right of every Roman citizen. Paul had crossed the Rubicon on this point and so took his case out of the hands of dilatory provincial justice (really injustice). Roman citizens could make this appeal in capital offences. There would be expense connected with it, but better that with some hope than delay and certain death in Jerusalem. Festus was no better than Felix in his vacillation and desire to curry favour with the Jews at Paul's expense. No doubt Paul's long desire to see Rome (19:21; Ro 15:22-28) and the promise of Jesus that he would see Rome (Ac 23:11 ) played some part in Paul's decision. But he made it reluctantly for he says in Rome (Ac 28:19 ): "I was constrained to appeal." But acquittal at the hands of Festus with the hope of going to Rome as a free man had vanished.

Acts 25:12
When he had conferred with the council ( $\sigma v \nu \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ тov $\sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda ı v$ ). The word $\sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda ı v$ in the N.T. usually means "counsel" as in Mt 12:14, but here alone as an assembly of counsellors or council. But the papyri (Milligan and Moulton's Vocabulary) furnish a number of instances of this sense of the word as "council." Here it apparently means the chief officers and personal retinue of the procurator, his assessors ( $\alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \sigma o \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$ $\chi 0 v \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \alpha \iota)$. These local advisers were a necessity. Some discretion was allowed the governor about granting the appeal. If the prisoner were a well-known robber or pirate, it could be refused.

Thou hast appealed unto Caesar (Kaı $\sigma \alpha \rho \alpha \varepsilon \pi ı \kappa \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). The same technical word, but the perfect tense of the indicative.

Unto Caesar thou shalt go ( $\varepsilon \pi$ Kaı $\sigma \alpha \rho \alpha \pi о \rho \varepsilon v \sigma \eta)$. Perhaps the volitive future (Robertson, Grammar, p. 874). Bengel thinks that Festus sought to frighten Paul with these words. Knowling suggests that "they may have been uttered, if not with a sneer, yet with the implication 'thou little knowest what an appeal to Caesar means."' But embarrassment will come to Festus. He has refused to acquit this prisoner. Hence he must formulate charges against him to go before Caesar.

Acts 25:13
When certain days were passed ( $Н \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \omega \nu \delta i \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \nu o \mu \varepsilon \nu o v)$. Genitive absolute of $\delta ı \alpha \not \imath^{\nu} \mu \alpha$, to come between, "days intervening."

Agrippa the King (A $\gamma \rho \iota \pi \pi \alpha \varsigma$ o $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon u \varsigma)$ ). Agrippa II son of Agrippa I of Ac 12:20-23 . On the death of Herod King of Chalcis A.D. 48, Claudius A.D. 50 gave this Herod Agrippa II the throne of Chalcis so that Luke is correct in calling him king, though he is not king of Judea. But he was also given by Claudius the government of the temple and the right of appointing the high priest. Later he was given also the tetrarchies of Philip and Lysanias. He was the last Jewish king in Palestine, though not king of Judea. He angered the Jews by building his palace so as to overlook the temple and by frequent changes in the high priesthood. He made his capital at Caesarea Philippi which he called Neronias in honour of Nero. Titus visited it after the fall of Jerusalem.

Bernice (Bepviкף). He was her brother and yet she lived with him in shameful intimacy in spite of her marriage to her uncle Herod King of Chalcis and to Polemon King of Cilicia whom she left. Schuerer calls her both a Jewish bigot and a wanton. She afterwards became the mistress of Titus.

Arrived at Caesarea ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \nu \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma К \alpha \iota \sigma \alpha \rho \iota \alpha \nu$ ). Came down (first aorist active of кат $\alpha \tau \tau \alpha$ ) to Caesarea from Jerusalem.

And saluted Festus ( $\alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o$ тov $Ф \eta \sigma \tau o v$ ). The Textus Receptus has $\alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o$ the future participle, but the correct text is the aorist middle participle $\alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o$ which cannot possibly mean subsequent action as given in the Canterbury Revision "and saluted." It can only mean contemporaneous (simultaneous) action "saluting" or antecedent action like the margin "having saluted." But antecedent action is not possible here, so that simultaneous action is the only alternative. It is to be noted that the salutation synchronized with the arrival in Caesarea (note kata, down, the effective aorist tense), not with the departure from Jerusalem, nor with the whole journey. Rightly understood the aorist participle here gives no trouble at all (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 861-3).

Acts 25:14
Tarried ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \tau \rho \iota \beta o v$ ). Imperfect active of $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \rho \imath \omega$, common verb for spending time (Ac 12:19, etc.).

Many days ( $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota o v \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. More days (than a few). Accusative case for extent of time.
 avati $\theta \eta \mu$, old verb to set before, to place up, as if for consultation in conference. Only twice in N.T. here and Ga 2:2 . The motive of Festus is not given, though it was natural enough in view of the quandary of Festus about Paul (the things about Paul) and Agrippa's interest in and responsibility for Jewish worship in the temple in Jerusalem. It is quite possible that Festus had a bit of $\varepsilon v v v$ over the visit of these Jewish dignitaries as "more days" went by. Hence the tone of Festus about Paul in this proposal for the entertainment of Agrippa and Bernice is certainly one of superficial and supremely supercilious indifference.

Left a prisoner ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \iota \mu \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \iota \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi \omega$, to leave behind. Paul is one of Felix's left overs (left behind), a sort of "junk" left on his hands. This cowardly Roman procurator thus pictures the greatest of living men and the greatest preacher of all time to this profligate pair (brother and sister) of sinners. Undoubtedly today in certain circles Christ and his preachers are held up to like contempt.

Acts 25:15
Informed ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \varphi \alpha v \iota \sigma \alpha \nu$ ). Same word as in 23:15,22; 25:2 which see.
Asking for sentence against him ( $\alpha \iota \tau \circ \cup \mu \varepsilon v o ~ \kappa \alpha \tau ' \alpha v \tau о v ~ к \alpha \tau \alpha \delta ı \kappa \eta \nu$ ). Only N.T. example of this old word (penalty, fine, condemnation) from ката and $\delta_{ו \kappa \eta}$ (justice against).

Acts 25:16
It is not the custom of the Romans (o ovk $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \varepsilon \theta$ oৎ $\mathrm{P} \omega \mu \alpha \iota \circ \varsigma)$. If a direct quotation, ot is recitative as in Authorized Version. Canterbury Revision takes it as indirect discourse after $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho \iota \theta \eta \nu$ (I answered), itself in a relative clause ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ ovৎ) with the present tense ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$, is) preserved as is usual. There is a touch of disdain (Furneaux) in the tone of Festus. He may refer to a demand of the Jews before they asked that Paul be brought to Jerusalem (25:3). At any rate there is a tone of scorn towards the Jews.

Before that the accused have ( $\pi \rho \iota \nu \eta$ о кат $\eta \gamma о \rho о \cup \mu \varepsilon v \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \chi \circ$ ). This use of the optative in this temporal clause with $\pi \rho \iota \nu \eta$ instead of the subjunctive $\alpha v \varepsilon \chi \eta$ is in conformity with literary Greek and occurs only in Luke's writings in the N.T. (Robertson, Grammar, p. 970). This sequence of modes is a mark of the literary style occasionally seen in Luke. It is interesting here to note the succession of dependent clauses in verses 14-16.

The accusers face to face ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \omega \pi о v \tau о \cup \varsigma \kappa \tau \eta \gamma о \rho о и \varsigma) . ~ S a m e ~ w o r d ~ к \alpha \tau \eta \gamma о \rho о \varsigma$ as in 23:30,35; 25:18. This all sounds fair enough.

And have had opportunity to make his defence concerning the matter laid against him ( $\tau о \pi о \nu \tau \varepsilon \alpha \pi о \lambda о ү \iota \alpha \varsigma \lambda \alpha \beta о \pi \varepsilon \rho \tau о v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma)$. Literally, "And should receive ( $\lambda \alpha \beta$ о optative for same reason as $\varepsilon \chi 0$ above, second aorist active of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega)$ opportunity for defence (objective genitive) concerning the charge" ( $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma$ in N.T. only here and 23:19 which see).

Acts 25:17
When they were come together here ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \lambda \theta o v \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \nu \theta a \delta \varepsilon$ ). Genitive absolute of second aorist active participle of $\sigma v v \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, but without $\alpha v \tau \omega \nu$ (they), merely understood.

Delay ( $\alpha v \alpha \beta \circ \lambda \eta v$ ). Old word from $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, only here in N.T.
Acts 25:18
Brought ( $\varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ). Imperfect active of $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, referring to their repeated charges.
Of such evil things as I supposed ( $\omega v \varepsilon \gamma \omega v \pi \varepsilon v o o v v \pi o v \eta \rho \omega v$ ). Incorporation of the antecedent $\pi о \nu \eta \rho \omega \nu$ into the relative clause and change of the case of the relative from the accusative $\alpha$ object of $v \pi \varepsilon v o o v v$ to the genitive like $\pi о \nu \eta \rho \omega v$ (Robertson, Grammar, p. 719). Note the imperfect active $v \pi \varepsilon v o o v v$ of $v \pi \sigma$ о $\varepsilon \omega$ to emphasize Festus's state of mind about

Paul before the trial. This old verb only three times in the N.T. (here, Ac 13:25 which see; 27:27).

Acts 25:19
But had ( $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \chi \chi o v$ ). Descriptive imperfect active of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ and $\delta \varepsilon$ of contrast (but).
Concerning their own religion ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \eta \varsigma \iota \delta ı \alpha \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \iota \delta a \mu \mu$ vıa¢). See on 17:22 for discussion of this word. Festus would hardly mean "superstition," whatever he really thought, because Agrippa was a Jew.

And of one Jesus ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \tau v o \varsigma$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). This is the climax of supercilious scorn toward both Paul and "one Jesus."

Who was dead ( $\tau \varepsilon \forall v \eta \kappa о \tau о \varsigma)$. Perfect active participle of $\theta v \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$ agreeing with I $\eta \sigma o v$ (genitive). As being dead.

Whom Paul affirmed to be alive (ov $\varepsilon \varphi a \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v$ o Паu入ос $\zeta \eta \imath v$ ). Imperfect active of $\varphi a \sigma \kappa \omega$, old form of $\varphi \eta \mu$ to say, in the N.T. only here and Ac 24:9; Ro 1:22. Infinitive $\zeta \eta \iota \nu$ in indirect discourse with ov (whom) the accusative of general reference. With all his toploftical airs Festus has here correctly stated the central point of Paul's preaching about Jesus as no longer dead, but living.

Acts 25:20
Being perplexed ( $\alpha \pi о \rho о \cup \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Present middle participle of the common verb алорє $\omega$ (a privative and $\pi$ оорос way), to be in doubt which way to turn, already in Mr 6:20 which see and Lu 24:4. The Textus Receptus has $\varepsilon$ ı $\varsigma$ after here, but critical text has only the accusative which this verb allows ( Mr 6:20 ) as in Thucydides and Plato.

How to inquire concerning these things ( $\tau \eta \nu \pi \varepsilon \rho \tau 0 \nu \tau \omega \nu \zeta \eta \tau \eta \sigma v \nu$ ). Literally, "as to the inquiry concerning these things." This is not the reason given by Luke in verse 9 (wanting to curry favour with the Jews), but doubtless this motive also actuated Festus as both could be true.

Whether he would go to Jerusalem ( $\varepsilon$ ßои入очто $\pi о \rho \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ I \varepsilon \rho о \sigma о \lambda \nu \mu \alpha$ ). Optative in indirect question after $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \mathrm{v}$ (asked or said) imperfect active, though the present indicative could have been retained with change of person: "Dost thou wish, etc.," ( $\varepsilon \beta$ ou $\eta$, etc.). See Robertson, Grammar, pp. 1031, 1044. This is the question put to Paul in verse 9 though $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \varsigma ~ i s ~ t h e r e ~ u s e d . ~$

Acts 25:21
 aorist middle participle of $\varepsilon \pi / \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$, the technical word for appeal (verses 11,12). The first aorist passive infinitive $\tau \eta \rho \eta \theta \eta v \alpha$ (to be kept) is the object of the participle.

For the decision of the emperor ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta v$ tov $\sum \varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \circ v \delta \iota \alpha \gamma \nu \omega \sigma เ v$ ). $\Delta \iota \alpha \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota v$ (cf. $\delta \iota \alpha \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \circ \mu \alpha$ 24:22, I will determine) is the regular word for a legal examination ( $\chi$ о $\gamma \nu \iota \tau \iota$ ), thorough sifting $(\delta(\alpha)$, here only in N.T. Instead of "the Emperor" it should be "the Augustus," as $\sum \varepsilon \beta a \sigma \tau o \varsigma$ is simply the Greek translation of Augustus, the adjective (Revered, Reverent)
assumed by Octavius B.C. 27 as the $a \gamma v o \mu \varepsilon v$ that summed up all his various offices instead of Rex so offensive to the Romans having led to the death of Julius Caesar. The successors
 (cf. $\sigma \varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha$ in Acts 17:25). In the N.T. only here, verse 25; $27: 1$ (of the legion). It was more imposing than "Caesar" which was originally a family name (always official in the N.T.) and it fell in with the tendency toward emperor-worship which later played such a large part in Roman life and which Christians opposed so bitterly. China is having a revival of this idea in the insistence on bowing three times to the picture of Sun-Yat-Sen.

Till I should send him to Caesar ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha v \alpha v \alpha \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \omega$ avtov $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ Kaı $\sigma \alpha \rho \alpha$ ). Here $\alpha v a \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \omega$ can be either future indicative or first aorist subjunctive (identical in first person singular), aorist subjunctive the usual construction with $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ for future time (Robertson, Grammar, p. 876). Literally, "send up" ( $\alpha v \alpha$ ) to a superior (the emperor). Common in this sense in the papyri and Koine writers. Here "Caesar" is used as the title of Nero instead of "Augustus" as Kvpıo (Lord) occurs in verse 26.

Acts 25:22
I also could wish ( $\varepsilon \beta$ ou入о $\quad \eta \eta$ ка $\alpha v \tau о \varsigma)$. The imperfect for courtesy, rather than the blunt $\beta$ ou $\lambda$ o $\mu \alpha$, I wish, I want. Literally, "I myself also was wishing" (while you were talking), a compliment to the interesting story told by Festus. The use of $\alpha v$ with the imperfect would really mean that he does not wish (a conclusion of the second class condition, determined as unfulfilled). A $v$ with the optative would show only a languid desire. The imperfect is keen enough and yet polite enough to leave the decision with Festus if inconvenient for any reason (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 885-7). Agrippa may have heard much about Christianity.

Acts 25:23
When Agrippa was come and Bernice ( $\varepsilon \lambda \theta$ оvтоৎ тоv $\mathrm{A} \gamma \rho \iota \pi \pi \alpha$ ка $\tau \eta \varsigma$ В $\varepsilon \rho v ı \kappa \eta \varsigma$ ). Genitive absolute, the participle agreeing in number and gender (masculine singular, $\varepsilon \lambda \theta$ ovтоя) with Aүрıлла, Bepvıкпऽ being added as an afterthought.

With great pomp ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi о \lambda \lambda \eta \varsigma \varphi \alpha v \tau \alpha \sigma i \alpha \varsigma)$. Фаv $\boldsymbol{\pi} \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha$ is a Koine word (Polybius, Diodorus, etc.) from the old verb $\varphi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \zeta \omega$ (Heb 12:21) and it from $\varphi a \imath v \omega$, common verb to show, to make an appearance. This is the only N.T. example of $\varphi$ avtaбıa, though the kindred common word $\varphi \alpha v \tau \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha$ (appearance) occurs twice in the sense of apparition or spectre (Mt 14:26; Mr 6:49 ). Herodotus (VII. 10) used the verb $\varphi a v \tau \alpha \zeta \omega$ for a showy parade. Festus decided to gratify the wish of Agrippa by making the "hearing" of Paul the prisoner (verse 22) an occasion for paying a compliment to Agrippa (Rackham) by a public gathering of the notables in Caesarea. Festus just assumed that Paul would fall in with this plan for a grand entertainment though he did not have to do it.

Into the place of hearing (вıৎ то акроатпрьоv). From акроаона (to be a hearer) and, like the Latin auditorium, in Roman law means the place set aside for hearing, and deciding
cases. Here only in the N.T. Late word, several times in Plutarch and other Koine writers. The hearing was "semi-official" (Page) as is seen in verse 26.

With the chief captains ( $\sigma \cup \vee \tau \varepsilon \chi \lambda \lambda ı \rho \chi \circ เ \varsigma) . \mathrm{X} \lambda \lambda ı \rho \chi \varsigma$, each a leader of a thousand. There were five cohorts of soldiers stationed in Caesarea.

And the principal men of the city ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha v \delta \rho \alpha \sigma เ \nu ~ \tau о \iota \varsigma ~ к \alpha \tau ' ~ \varepsilon \xi_{0 \chi \eta \nu) . ~ T h e ~ u s e ~ o f ~}^{\kappa \alpha \tau} \tau^{\prime}$ $\varepsilon \xi \circ \chi \eta \nu$, like our French phrase par excellence, occurs here only in the N.T., and not in the ancient Greek, but it is found in inscriptions of the first century A.D. (Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary). $\mathrm{E} \xi \mathrm{ox} \eta$ in medical writers is any protuberance or swelling. Cf. our phrase "outstanding men."

At the command of Festus (кع $\kappa \varepsilon v \sigma a v \tau о \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ Ф \eta \sigma \tau о v) . ~ G e n i t i v e ~ a b s o l u t e ~ a g a i n, ~ " F e s t u s ~$ having commanded."

Acts 25:24
Which are here present with us (o $\sigma \cup v \pi \alpha \rho o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \eta \mu v \nu$ ). Present articular participle of $\sigma ט v \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \iota \mu$ (only here in N.T.) with associative instrumental case $\eta \mu \nu v$.

Made suit to me ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \cup \chi \circ v \mu \circ$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon v \tau v \gamma \chi \propto v \omega$, old verb to fall in with a person, to go to meet for consultation or supplication as here. Common in old Greek and Koine. Cf. Ro 8:27,34. See $\varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon v \xi ı \varsigma ~(p e t i t i o n) ~ 1 T i ~ 2: 1 ~ . ~ P a p y r i ~ g i v e ~ m a n y ~ e x-~$ amples of the technical sense of $\varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \cup \xi \downharpoonright \varsigma$ as petition (Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 121). Some MSS. have plural here evetuðov rather than the singular $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \cup \chi \varepsilon v$.

Crying ( $\beta 0 \omega v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). Yelling and demanding with loud voices.
That he ought not to live any longer ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \iota v$ autov $\zeta \eta \iota v \mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau$ ). Indirect command (demand) with the infinitive $\delta \varepsilon \iota v$ for $\delta \varepsilon$ (it is necessary). The double negative ( $\mu \eta--\mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau$ ) with $\zeta \eta \iota v$ intensifies the demand.

Acts 25:25
But I found ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o \mu \eta v$ ). Second aorist middle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, to lay hold of, to grasp, to comprehend as in $4: 13 ; 10: 34$.

That he had committed nothing worthy of death ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v$ a $\xi_{ı}$ ov autov $\operatorname{\theta ava\tau ov}$ $\pi \varepsilon \pi \rho a \chi \varepsilon v \alpha)$. Perfect active infinitive of $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ in indirect assertion with negative $\mu \eta$ and accusative avtov of general reference, the usual idiom. Verse 25 repeats the statement in verse 21 , perhaps for the benefit of the assembled dignitaries.

Acts 25:26
No certain thing ( $\alpha \sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \varsigma \tau 1--o v$ ). Nothing definite or reliable ( $\alpha$ privative, $\sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to trip). All the charges of the Sanhedrin slipped away or were tripped up by Paul. Festus confesses that he had nothing left and thereby convicts himself of gross insincerity in his proposal to Paul in verse 9 about going up to Jerusalem. By his own statement he should have set Paul free. The various details here bear the marks of the eyewitness. Luke was surely present and witnessed this grand spectacle with Paul as chief performer.

Unto my lord ( $\tau \omega \kappa v \rho \omega \omega$ ). Augustus (Octavius) and Tiberius refused the title of kuptos (lord) as too much like rex (king) and like master and slave, but the servility of the subjects gave it to the other emperors who accepted it (Nero among them). Antoninus Pius put it on his coins. Deissmann (Light from the Ancient East, p. 105) gives an ostracon dated Aug. 4, A.D. 63 with the words "in the year nine of Nero the lord" (evatov Nepevos tov кирıov). Deissmann (op. cit., pp. 349ff.) runs a most interesting parallel "between the cult of Christ and the cult of Caesar in the application of the term кирьo¢, lord" in ostraca, papyri, inscriptions. Beyond a doubt Paul has all this fully in mind when he says in 1Co 12:3 that "no one is able to say Kupıo̧ I $\eta$ oovc except in the Holy Spirit" (cf. also Php 2:11). The Christians claimed this word for Christ and it became the test in the Roman persecutions as when Polycarp steadily refused to say " Lord Caesar" and insisted on saying "Lord Jesus" when it meant his certain death.

Before you ( $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} v \mu \omega v$ ). The whole company. In no sense a new trial, but an examination in the presence of these prominent men to secure data and to furnish entertainment and pleasure to Agrippa (verse 22).

Especially before thee ( $\mu \alpha \lambda_{\iota \sigma \tau \alpha} \varepsilon \pi \sigma o v$ ). Out of courtesy. It was the main reason as verse 22 shows. Agrippa was a Jew and Festus was glad of the chance to see what he thought of Paul's case.

After examination had ( $\tau \eta \varsigma$ avaкрıбє $\omega \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute, "the examination having taken place." Avaкрıбıc from avaкрıv (cf. 12:19; 24:8; 28:18) is a legal term for preliminary examination. Only here in the N.T. Inscriptions and papyri give it as examination of slaves or other property.

That I may have somewhat to write ( $\circ \pi \omega \varsigma \sigma \chi \omega \tau \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \omega$ ). Ingressive aorist subjunctive $\sigma \chi \omega$ (may get) with o $\pi \omega \varsigma$ (final particle like $\tau \nu \alpha$ ). T $\gamma \rho \alpha \psi \omega$ in indirect question after $\sigma \chi \omega$ is either future indicative or aorist subjunctive (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1045). Festus makes it plain that this is not a "trial," but an examination for his convenience to help him out of a predicament.

Acts 25:27
Unreasonable ( $\alpha \lambda 0 \gamma o v$ ). Old word from $\alpha$ privative and $\lambda o \gamma o \varsigma$ (reason, speech). "Without reason" as of animals (Jude 1:10; 2Pe 2:12), "contrary to reason" here. These the only N.T. instances and in harmony with ancient usage.

In sending ( $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \sigma \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Note accusative case with the infinitive $\sigma \eta \mu \alpha v \alpha$ though $\mu$ o (dative) just before. Cf. same variation in 15:22f.; 22:17 .

Signify ( $\sigma \eta \mu \alpha v \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive (not $\sigma \eta \mu \eta \nu \alpha$, the old form) of $\sigma \eta \mu \alpha \iota v \omega$, to give a sign ( $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \sigma$ ).

The charges ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \alpha \iota \tau i \alpha \varsigma)$. This naive confession of Festus reveals how unjust has been his whole treatment of Paul. He had to send along with the appeal of Paul litterae dimissoriae ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau 0 \lambda$ ) which would give a statement of the case (Page).

## Acts 26

Acts 26:1
Thou art permitted ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sigma o$ ). Literally, It is permitted thee. As if Agrippa were master of ceremonies instead of Festus. Agrippa as a king and guest presides at the grand display while Festus has simply introduced Paul.

For thyself (v $\pi \varepsilon \rho \sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Some MSS. have $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ (concerning). Paul is allowed to speak in his own behalf. No charges are made against him. In fact, Festus has admitted that he has no real proof of any charges.

Stretched forth his hand ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ \nu \alpha \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha$ ). Dramatic oratorical gesture (not for silence as in $12: 17 ; 13: 16$ ) with the chain still upon it (verse 29) linking him to the guard. First aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon \iota \nu \omega$, to stretch out.

Made his defence ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda о \gamma \varepsilon ı \tau o$ ). Inchoative imperfect of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda о \gamma \varepsilon о \mu \alpha$ (middle), "began to make his defence." This is the fullest of all Paul's defences. He has no word of censure of his enemies or of resentment, but seizes the opportunity to preach Christ to such a distinguished company which he does with "singular dignity" (Furneaux). He is now bearing the name of Christ "before kings" (Ac 9:15 ). In general Paul follows the line of argument of the speech on the stairs (chapter Ac 22 ).

Acts 26:2
I think myself happy ( $\eta \gamma \eta \mu \alpha \varepsilon \mu \alpha v \tau о \nu \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \iota \nu)$. See on Mt 5:3 for $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \iota \varsigma$. Blass notes that Paul, like Tertullus, begins with captatio benevolentiae, but absque adulatione. He says only what he can truthfully speak. For $\eta \gamma \eta \mu \alpha$ see Php 3:7; 1Ti 6:1 (perfect middle indicative of $\eta \gamma \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$ ), I have considered.

That I am to make my defence ( $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega v \alpha \pi o \lambda o \gamma \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Literally, "being about to make my defence."

Whereof I am accused ( $\omega \nu \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda o v \mu \alpha$ ). Genitive with $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda o v \mu \alpha$ as in 19:40 or by attraction from accusative of relative $(\alpha)$ to case of antecedent ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ).

Acts 26:3
Especially because thou art expert ( $\mu \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ ov $\alpha \alpha \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Or like the margin, "because thou art especially expert," according as $\mu \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ is construed. $\Gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau \eta v$ is from $\gamma \iota \omega \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ and means a knower, expert, connoisseur. Plutarch uses it and Deissmann (Light, etc., p. 367) restores it in a papyrus. Agrippa had the care of the temple, the appointment of the high priest, and the care of the sacred vestments. But the accusative ov $\tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon$ gives trouble here coming so soon after $\sigma o v$ (genitive with $\varepsilon \pi$ ). Some MSS. insert $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma$ or $\varepsilon \iota \delta \omega \varsigma$ (knowing) but neither is genuine. Page takes it as "governed by the sense of thinking or considering." Knowling considers it an anacoluthon. Buttmann held it to be an accusative absolute after the old Greek idiom. Tvxov is such an instance though used as an adverb
(1Co 16:6 ). It is possible that one exists in Eph 1:18. See other examples discussed in Robertson's Grammar, pp. 490f.

Customs and questions ( $\varepsilon \theta \omega \nu \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \zeta \eta \tau \eta \mu \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). Both consuetudinum in practicis and quaestionum in theoreticis (Bengel). Agrippa was qualified to give Paul an understanding and a sympathetic hearing. Paul understands perfectly the grand-stand play of the whole performance, but he refused to be silent and chose to use this opportunity, slim as it seemed, to get a fresh hearing for his own case and to present the claims of Christ to this influential man. His address is a masterpiece of noble apologetic.

Patiently ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta v \mu \omega \varsigma)$. Adverb from $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta v \mu \circ \varsigma$. Only here in the N.T., though $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta \nu \mu \iota \alpha$ occurs several times. Vulgate has longanimiter. Long spirit, endurance, opposite of impatience. So Paul takes his time.

Acts 26:4
My manner of life ( $\tau \eta \nu \mu \varepsilon v$ ouv $\beta \omega \omega \sigma \iota \nu \rho v)$. With $\mu \varepsilon \nu$ ovv Paul passes from the captatio benevolentiae (verses 1,2 ) "to the narratio or statement of his case" (Page). Bı $\omega \sigma \iota$ is from $\beta$ เo $\omega$ ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 4: 2$ ) and that from $\beta \iota \varsigma$ (course of life). This is the only instance of $\beta \omega \omega \sigma$ yet found except the Prologue (10) of Ecclesiasticus and an inscription given in Ramsay's Cities and Bishoprics of Phrygia, Vol II, p. 650.

Know (ı $\sigma \alpha \sigma$ ). Literary form instead of the vernacular Koine oı $\delta \alpha \sigma \iota$. Paul's early life in Tarsus and Jerusalem was an open book to all Jews.

Acts 26:5
Having knowledge of me from the first ( $\pi \rho \sigma \nsim \nu \omega \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \alpha \nu \omega \theta \varepsilon v)$. Literally, "knowing me beforehand" (both $\pi \rho \circ$ and $\alpha \nu \omega \theta \varepsilon v$ ), from the beginning of Paul's public education in Jerusalem (Knowling). Cf. 2Pe 3:17.

If they be willing to testify ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega \sigma \iota \nu \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Condition of third class ( $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and subjunctive). A neat turning of the tables on the distinguished audience about Paul's Jerusalem reputation before his conversion.

After the straitest sect ( $\tau \eta \nu \alpha \kappa \rho \iota \beta \sigma \sigma \alpha \tau \eta \nu \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \sigma \iota v)$. This is a true superlative (not elative) and one of the three (also $\alpha \boldsymbol{\jmath} \omega \tau \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma$, Jude 1:20, $\tau \iota \mu \iota \omega \tau \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma \operatorname{Re} 18: 12 ; 21: 11$ ) superlatives in - $\tau \alpha \tau$, , in the N.T. (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 279f., 670), though common enough in the LXX and the papyri. Haıpعбıv (choosing) is properly used here with Pharisees (Josephus, Life, 38).

Religion ( $Ө \rho \eta \sigma \kappa \varepsilon ı \propto \varsigma)$. From $\theta \rho \eta \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v \omega$ and this from $\theta \rho \eta \sigma \kappa о \varsigma ~(J a s ~ 1: 26), ~ o l d ~ w o r d ~ f o r ~$ religious worship or discipline, common in the papyri and inscriptions (Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary) for reverent worship, not mere external ritual. In N.T. only here, Jas 1:26f.; Col 2:18 .

I lived a Pharisee ( $\varepsilon \zeta \eta \sigma \alpha$ Фарıбаıоৎ). Emphatic position. Paul knew the rules of the Pharisees and played the game to the full (Ga 1:14; Php 3:5f. ). The Talmud makes it plain
what the life of a Pharisee was. Paul had become one of the leaders and stars of hope for his sect.

Acts 26:6
And now ( $\kappa \alpha \vee v v$ ). Sharp comparison between his youth and the present.
To be judged for the hope ( $\left.\varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta t--\kappa \rho \imath v o \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma\right)$. The hope of the resurrection and of the promised Messiah (13:32). Page calls verses 6-8 a parenthesis in the course of Paul's argument by which he shows that his life in Christ is a real development of the best in Pharisaism. He does resume his narrative in verse 9 , but verses 6-8 are the core of his defence already presented in Ga 3; Ro 9-11 where he proves that the children of faith are the real seed of Abraham.

Acts 26:7
Our twelve tribes ( $\tau 0 \delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \varphi \nu \lambda o v \eta \mu \omega v$ ). A word found only here in N.T. and in Christian and Jewish writings, though $\delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \mu \eta v o v$ (twelve month) is common in the papyri and $\delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \varphi \cup \lambda$ oc (ten tribes) in Herodotus. Paul's use of this word for the Jewish people, like Jas 1:1 (таıц $\delta \omega \delta \varepsilon к \alpha$ 甲u入aıц, the twelve tribes), shows that Paul had no knowledge of any "lost ten tribes." There is a certain national pride and sense of unity in spite of the dispersion (Page).

Earnestly ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon v \varepsilon ı \alpha$ ). A late word from $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ \nu \omega$, to stretch out, only here in N.T., but in papyri and inscriptions. Page refers to Simeon and Anna (Lu 2:25-28) as instances of Jews looking for the coming of the Messiah. Note the accusative of vuкта ка $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha v$ as in 20:31.

Hope to attain ( $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha v \tau \eta \sigma \alpha)$. This Messianic hope had been the red thread running through Jewish history. Today, alas, it is a sadly worn thread for Jews who refuse to see the Messiah in Jesus.

I am accused by Jews ( $\varepsilon\rceil \kappa \alpha \lambda о \cup \mu \alpha$ v $\pi$ о Iov $\alpha a \iota \omega v$ ). The very word used in 23:28 ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda o u v$ ) which see, and by Jews of all people in the world whose mainspring was this very "hope." It is a tremendously effective turn.

Acts 26:8
Incredible with you ( $\alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 v \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} v \mu \nu v$ ). This old word $\alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau o v$ ( $\alpha$ privative and $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \sigma \varsigma$ ) means either unfaithful (Lu 12:46 ), unbelieving (Joh 20:27), or unbelievable as here). Paul turns suddenly from Agrippa to the audience ( $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} v \mu \nu v$, plural), most of whom were probably Gentiles and scouted the doctrine of the resurrection as at Athens ( 17:32).

If God doth raise the dead ( $\varepsilon$ о $\theta \varepsilon о \varsigma \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho о \cup \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$ ). Condition of the first class assuming that God does raise dead people. Only God can do it. This rhetorical question needs no answer, though the narrative resumed in verse 9 does it in a way.

Acts 26:9
I verily thought with myself $(\varepsilon \gamma \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ouv $\varepsilon \delta o \xi \alpha \varepsilon \mu \alpha \nu \tau \omega)$. Personal construction instead of the impersonal, a touch of the literary style. Paul's "egoism" is deceived as so often happens.

I ought ( $\delta \varepsilon ı v$ ). Infinitive the usual construction with $\delta o \kappa \varepsilon \omega$. Necessity and a sense of duty drove Paul on even in this great $\sin$ (see on 23:1), a common failing with persecutors.

Contrary ( $\varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \iota \alpha)$. Old word (adjective), over against, opposite (Ac 27:4), then hostile to as here.

Acts 26:10
I both shut up many ( $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \lambda$ оия $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon เ \sigma \alpha$ ). Effective aorist active of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \epsilon \omega$, old word to shut down like a trap door, in N.T. only here and Lu 3:20. Double use of $\tau \varepsilon$ (both--and).

Having received authority from the chief priests ( $\tau \eta v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \omega \nu \alpha \rho \chi เ \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \omega \nu \varepsilon \xi \sigma 0 \sigma ı \alpha v$ $\lambda \alpha \beta \omega v)$. "The authority," he says. Paul was the official persecutor of the saints under the direction of the Sanhedrin. He mentions "chief priests" (Sadducees), though a Pharisee himself. Both parties were co-operating against the saints.

And when they were put to death ( $\alpha v a \iota \rho \circ \cup \mu \varepsilon v \omega v \tau \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute with present passive participle of avaıpec.

I gave my vote against them ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \psi \eta \varphi \circ v$ ). "I cast down my pebble" (a black one). The ancient Greeks used white pebbles for acquittal (Re 2:17), black ones for condemnation as here (the only two uses of the word in the N.T.). Paul's phrase (not found elsewhere) is more vivid than the usual $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \psi \eta \varphi \zeta \zeta \omega$ for voting. They literally cast the pebbles into the urn. Cf. $\sigma \nu \mu \psi \eta \varphi \iota \zeta \omega$ in Ac 19:19, $\sigma \cup \gamma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \psi \varepsilon \varphi \iota \zeta_{o}$ in Ac 1:26. If Paul's language is taken literally here, he was a member of the Sanhedrin and so married when he led the persecution. That is quite possible, though he was not married when he wrote 1Co 7:7f. , but a widower. It is possible to take the language figuratively for approval, but not so natural.

Acts 26:11
Punishing ( $\tau \tau \omega \omega \rho \omega v$ ). Old word $\tau \mu \mu \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$ originally to render help, to succor ( $\tau \mu \omega \rho \circ \varsigma$, from $\tau \mu \mu \eta$ and oupoc), then to avenge (for honour). In N.T. only here and 22:5.

I strove to make them blaspheme ( $\eta v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \zeta о \nu \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon เ v)$. Conative imperfect active of $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb from $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta$ (necessity, compulsion). The tense, like the imperfect in Mt 3:14; Lu 1:59, leaves room to hope that Paul was not successful in this effort, for he had already said that he brought many "unto death" ( 22:4).

I persecuted ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \kappa v$ ). Imperfect active again, repeated attempts. The old verb $\delta \omega \omega \kappa \omega$ was used to run after or chase game and then to chase enemies. The word "persecute" is the Latin persequor, to follow through or after. It is a vivid picture that Paul here paints of his success in hunting big game, a grand heresy hunt.

Even unto foreign cities ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \omega \pi$ то $\varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ). We know of Damascus, and Paul evidently planned to go to other cities outside of Palestine and may even have done so before the fateful journey to Damascus.

Acts 26:12

Whereupon ( $\varepsilon v$ oıc). "In which things" (affairs of persecution), "on which errand." Cf. 24:18. Paul made them leave Palestine ( $11: 19$ ) and followed them beyond it ( $9: 2$ ).

With the authority and commission ( $\left.\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \xi о \cup \sigma \iota \alpha \varsigma ~ к \alpha \varepsilon \pi ı \tau \rho о \pi \eta \varsigma\right)$. Not merely "authority" ( $\varepsilon \xi o v \sigma \iota \alpha)$, but express appointment ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \rho \circ \pi \eta$, old word, but here only in N.T., derived from $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \rho о \pi о \varsigma$, steward, and that from $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega$, to turn over to, to commit).

Acts 26:13
At midday ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \sigma \eta \varsigma$ ). Genitive of time and idiomatic use of $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \circ \varsigma$, in the middle of the day, more vivid than $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \eta \mu \beta \rho \iota \alpha v(22: 6)$.

Above the brightness of the sun ( $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \eta \nu \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \circ \tau \eta \tau \alpha$ $\tau o v ~ \eta \lambda \iota o v$ ). Here alone not in Ac 9; 22 , though implied in 9:3; 22:6, "indicating the supernatural character of the light" (Knowling). Luke makes no effort to harmonize the exact phrases here with those in the other accounts and Paul here (verse 16) blends together what Jesus said to him directly and the message of Jesus through Ananias ( $9: 15$ ). The word $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho o \tau \eta \varsigma$, old word, is here alone in the N.T.

Shining round about me ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \lambda \alpha \mu \psi \alpha \nu \mu \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active participle of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \omega$, common Koine verb, in N.T. only here and Lu 2:9 .

Acts 26:14
When we were all fallen ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \nu \tau \omega v \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute with second aorist active participle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \iota \tau \tau \omega$. In the Hebrew language ( $\tau \eta \mathrm{E} \beta \rho \alpha \iota \delta \delta \iota \Delta \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega$ ). Natural addition here, for Paul is speaking in Greek, not Aramaic as in 22:2.

It is hard for thee to kick against the goad ( $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ v$ бо $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \kappa \varepsilon v \tau \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \kappa \tau \iota \zeta \varepsilon เ v)$. Genuine here, but not in chapters 9,22. A common proverb as Aeschylus Ag. 1624: Проя $\kappa \varepsilon v \tau \rho \alpha \mu \eta \lambda \alpha \kappa \tau \iota \check{\text { ¢. "It is taken from an ox that being pricked with a goad kicks and receives }}$ a severer wound" (Page). Cf. the parables of Jesus (Mt 13:35). Blass observes that Paul's mention of this Greek and Latin proverb is an indication of his culture. Besides he mentions (not invents) it here rather than in chapter 22 because of the culture of this audience. Kevtpov means either sting as of bees (II Macc. 14:19) and so of death (1Co 15:55) or an iron goad in the ploughman's hand as here (the only two N.T. examples). Note plural here (goads) and $\lambda \alpha \kappa \kappa \iota \zeta \varepsilon เ v$ is present active infinitive so that the idea is "to keep on kicking against goads." This old verb means to kick with the heel (adverb $\lambda a \xi$, with the heel), but only here in the N.T. There is a papyrus example of kicking $(\lambda \alpha \kappa \tau \iota \zeta \omega)$ with the feet against the door.

Acts 26:16
Arise and stand ( $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \eta \theta \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \theta)$. "Emphatic assonance" (Page). Second aorist active imperative of compound verb ( $\alpha \nu \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ ) and simplex ( $\iota \tau \eta \mu)$. "Stand up and take a stand."

Have I appeared unto thee ( $\omega \varphi \theta \eta \nu \sigma o$ ). First aorist passive indicative of opa $\omega$. See on Lu 22:43.

To appoint thee ( $\pi \rho о \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \iota \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha \sigma \varepsilon$ ). See 3:30; 22:14 for this verb.

Both of the things wherein thou hast seen me ( $\omega \nu \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \delta \varepsilon \varsigma \mu \varepsilon$ ). The reading $\mu \varepsilon$ (not in all MSS.) makes it the object of $\varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \varsigma$ (didst see) and $\omega \nu$ is genitive of $\alpha$ (accusative of general reference) attracted to the case of the unexpressed antecedent $\tau o v \tau \omega v$. Paul is thus a personal eyewitness of the Risen Christ (Lu 1:1; 1Co 4:1; 9:1).

And of the things wherein I will appear unto thee ( $\omega v \tau \varepsilon \sigma \varphi \theta \eta \sigma o \mu \alpha \sigma o$ ). Here again $\omega \nu$ is genitive of the accusative (general reference) relative $\alpha$ attracted to the case of the antecedent $\tau 0 v \tau \omega \nu$ or $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \iota \nu \omega \nu$ as before. But $\sigma \varphi \eta \sigma \circ \mu \alpha$ is first future passive of opa $\omega$ and cannot be treated as active or middle. Page takes it to mean "the visions in which I shall be seen by you," the passive form bringing out the agency of God. See those in Ac 18:9; 23:11; 2 Co 12:2 . The passive voice, however, like $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho i \theta \eta \nu$ and $\varepsilon \varphi o \beta \eta \theta \eta \nu$, did become sometimes transitive in the Koine (Robertson, Grammar, p. 819).

Acts 26:17
Delivering thee $(\varepsilon \xi \alpha \iota \rho \circ \cup \mu \varepsilon v \circ \varsigma \sigma \varepsilon)$. Present middle participle of $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb and usually so rendered, but the old Greek also uses it for "choose" as also in LXX (Isa 48:10 ). The papyri give examples of both meanings and either makes good sense here. God was continually rescuing Paul "out of the hands of Jews and Gentiles and Paul was a chosen vessel" ( 9:15). Modern scholars are also divided.

## Acts 26:18

To open ( $\alpha \sim o l \xi \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive of purpose.
That they may turn ( $\tau 0 v \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha$ ). Another infinitive of purpose first aorist active (genitive case and articular), epexegetic to $\alpha v o ı \xi \alpha$.

That they may receive ( $\operatorname{\tau ov} \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon เ v$ ). Another genitive articular infinitive of purpose subordinate (epexegetic) to $\tau 0 v \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha$.

Sanctified by faith in me ( $\eta \gamma \iota \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o \iota \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \tau \eta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\alpha \gamma \iota \varsigma \zeta \omega$, instrumental case of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon$, article before $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ("by faith, that in me"). These important words of Jesus to Paul give his justification to this cultured audience for his response to the command of Jesus. This was the turning point in Paul's career and it was a step forward and upward.

Acts 26:19
Wherefore ( $o \theta \varepsilon v$ ). This relatival adverb (cf. 14:26; 28:13) gathers up all that Paul has said.

I was not disobedient (ouk $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \nu \circ \mu \eta \nu a \pi \varepsilon ו \theta \eta \varsigma$ ). Litotes again, "I did not become (second aorist middle indicative of $\gamma เ v o \mu \alpha)$ disobedient" ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \iota \forall \eta \varsigma$, old word already in Lu 1:17).

Unto the heavenly vision ( $\tau \eta \quad 0 \cup \rho \alpha \nu \iota \omega$ o $\tau \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha$ ). A later form of $0 \psi \iota \varsigma$, from $0 \pi \tau \alpha \zeta \omega$, in LXX, and in N.T. (Lu 1:22; 24:23; Ac 26:19; 2Co 12:1). Only time that Paul uses it about seeing Christ on the Damascus road, but no reflection on the reality of the event.

Acts 26:20
But declared ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o v$ ). Imperfect active of $\alpha \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, repeatedly.

Throughout all the country of Judea ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \tau \eta v \chi \omega \rho \alpha v \tau \eta \zeta$ Iov $\delta \alpha i \alpha \varsigma)$. The accus-
 strange and Page feels certain that $\varepsilon ו \zeta$ should be here even though absent in Aleph A B. But the accusative of extent of space will explain it (Robertson, Grammar, p. 469).

Doing works worthy of repentance ( $\alpha \xi \iota \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \leftrightarrow \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \circ \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Accusative case of present active participle $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma 0 v \tau \alpha \varsigma$ because of the implied autou¢ with the present infinitive $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon ı v$ (repent) and $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \iota v$ (turn), though the dative $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \sigma v \sigma \iota v$ could have been used to agree with $\varepsilon \theta$ veøtv (Gentiles). Cf. Mt $3: 8$ for similar language used of the Baptist. Paul, the greatest of theologians, was an interesting practical preacher.

Acts 26:21
Assayed to kill me ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega v \tau 0 \quad \delta ı \alpha \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Conative imperfect middle of $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \omega$, the old form of the later Koine $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \zeta \omega$ so common in the Koine, but in N.T. here only. Some MSS. have it in Ac 9:26; Heb 4:15. The old verb $\delta$ taxe $\rho \stackrel{\zeta}{\omega} \omega$, to take in hand, middle to lay hands on, to slay, occurs in N.T. only here and 5:30 which see.

Acts 26:22
Having therefore obtained (ouv $\tau \cup \chi \omega v$ ). Second aorist active participle of old verb $\tau \cup \gamma \chi \alpha v \omega$.
 to aid, and that from erıkoupos, ally, assister. Only here in N.T. God is Paul's ally. All of the plots of the Jews against Paul had failed so far.
 word (Page) of Paul's stability and fidelity (cf. Php 4:1; Eph 6:13).

Both to small and great ( $\mu \kappa \rho \omega \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \omega$ ). Dative singular (rather than instrumental, taking $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho о \cup \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ m i d d l e, ~ n o t ~ p a s s i v e) ~ a n d ~ u s e ~ o f ~ \tau \varepsilon ~ к \alpha ~ l i n k s ~ t h e ~ t w o ~ a d j e c t i v e s ~ t o-~$ gether in an inclusive way. These two adjectives in the singular (representative singular rather than plural) can apply to age (young and old) or to rank (Re 11:18) as is specially suitable here with Festus and Agrippa present. In Ac 8:10 (Heb 8:11) the phrase explains ravtec (all).

Saying nothing but what (ov $\delta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa \tau о \varsigma ~ \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega v \omega v$ ). "Saying nothing outside of those things which." The ablative relative $\omega v$ is attracted into the case of the unexpressed antecedent $\tau 0 \tau \tau \omega v$ and so ablative after $\varepsilon \kappa \tau о \varsigma$ (adverbial preposition common in LXX, the papyri. In N.T. here and 1Co 6:18; 15:27; 2Co 12:2f. ). Cf. Lu 16:29 about Moses and the prophets.

Acts 26:23
 subject to suffering." E can here mean "whether" as in Heb 7:15. This use of a verbal in -tos for capability or possibility occurs in the N.T. alone in $\pi \alpha \theta \eta \tau o \varsigma ~(R o b e r t s o n, ~ G r a m m a r, ~ p . ~$ 157). This word occurs in Plutarch in this sense. It is like the Latin patibilis and is from pascho. Here alone in N.T. Paul is speaking from the Jewish point of view. Most rabbis had
not rightly understood Isa 53 . When the Baptist called Jesus "the Lamb of God" (Joh 1:29 ) it was a startling idea. It is not then "must suffer" here, but "can suffer." The Cross of Christ was a stumbling-block to the rabbis.

How that he first by the resurrection of the dead ( $\varepsilon \pi \rho \omega \tau о \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \alpha v a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega v$ ). Same construction with $\varepsilon$ (whether). This point Paul had often discussed with the Jews: "whether he (the Messiah) by a resurrection of dead people." Others had been raised from the dead, but Christ is the first ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ тоऽ) who arose from the dead and no longer dies (Ro 6:19) and proclaims light ( $\varphi \omega \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon เ v)$. Paul is still speaking from the Jewish standpoint: "is about to (going to) proclaim light." See verse 18 for "light" and Lu 2:32 .

Both to the people and to the Gentiles ( $\tau \omega \tau \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \omega \kappa \alpha$ тoı $\varepsilon \theta v \varepsilon \sigma \tau v$ ). See verse 17. It was at the word Gentiles ( $\varepsilon \theta v \eta$ ) that the mob lost control of themselves in the speech from the stairs (22:21f. ). So it is here, only not because of that word, but because of the word "resurrection" (avactaoı¢).

Acts 26:24
As he thus made his defence ( $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha$ autov $\alpha \pi о \lambda о \gamma о \cup \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. Genitive absolute again with present middle participle. Paul was still speaking when Festus interrupted him in great excitement.

With a loud voice ( $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \tau \eta \varphi \omega v \eta)$. Associative instrumental case showing manner (Robertson, Grammar, p. 530) and the predicate use of the adjective, "with the voice loud" (elevated).

Thou art mad ( $\mu \alpha ı v \eta)$. Old verb for raving. See also Joh 10:20; Ac 12:15; 1Co 14:23. The enthusiasm of Paul was too much for Festus and then he had spoken of visions and resurrection from the dead (verse 8). "Thou art going mad" (linear present), Festus means.

Thy much learning doth turn thee to madness ( $\tau \alpha \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \quad \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \varepsilon ו \varsigma \mu \alpha v i \alpha v$ $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon)$. "Is turning thee round." Old verb $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega$, but only here in N.T. Festus thought that Paul's "much learning" (="many letters," cf. Joh 7:15 of Jesus) of the Hebrew Scriptures to which he had referred was turning his head to madness (wheels in his head) and he was going mad right before them all. The old word $\mu \alpha v i \alpha$ (our mania, frenzy, cf. maniac) occurs here only in N.T. Note unusual position of $\sigma \varepsilon$ between $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha$ and $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 418, 420)

Acts 26:25
But speak forth ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha \pi \sigma \varphi \theta \varepsilon \gamma \gamma \rho \mu \alpha$ ). Verb for dignified and elevated discourse, a word from the literary Koine, not the vernacular. In N.T. only here and 2:4,14 which see. It occurs three times in Vettius Valens in a "mantic" sense. Paul was not ruffled by the rude and excited interruption of Festus, but speaks with perfect courtesy in his reply "words of truth and soberness." The old word $\sigma \omega \varphi \rho o \sigma u v \eta$ (soundness of mind) from $\sigma \omega \varphi \rho \omega v$ (and that from $\sigma \omega \varsigma$ and $\varphi \rho \eta \nu$ ) is directly opposed to "madness" ( $\mu \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ) and in N.T. occurs only here and 1Ti 2:15.

## Acts 26:26

For the king knoweth of these things ( $\varepsilon \pi\llcorner\sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha ~ \gamma \alpha \rho \pi \varepsilon \rho ~ \tau о \nu \tau \omega v$ o $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon u \varsigma)$. Eлıбтата (present middle probably Ionic form of $\varepsilon \varphi\llcorner\tau \tau \eta \mu$ ) is a literary word and suits well here (cf. 24:10).

Freely ( $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \omega \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Present middle participle, speaking fully, making a clean breast of it. From $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha(\pi \alpha v, \rho \eta \sigma ı \varsigma)$ (cf. 13:46).

Is hidden from him ( $\lambda \alpha v \theta \alpha v \varepsilon เ v ~ a v \tau o v)$. Escapes his notice. Infinitive in indirect discourse after $\pi \varepsilon 1 \theta$ o $\mu \alpha$ ( $I$ am persuaded).

Acts 26:27
I know that thou believest (oı $\delta \alpha$ o七 $\pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon ı)$ ). Paul had "cornered" Agrippa by this direct challenge. As the Jew in charge of the temple he was bound to confess his faith in the prophets. But Paul had interpreted the prophets about the Messiah in a way that fell in with his claim that Jesus was the Messiah risen from the dead. To say, "Yes" would place himself in Paul's hands. To say "No" would mean that he did not believe the prophets. Agrippa had listened with the keenest interest, but he slipped out of the coils with adroitness and a touch of humour.

Acts 26:28
With but little persuasion thou wouldest fain make me a Christian ( $\varepsilon \nu \quad o \lambda t \gamma \omega \mu \varepsilon$
 me to be a Christian." Ev o $\lambda \iota \gamma \omega$ does not mean "almost." That would require $o \lambda \iota \gamma o v, \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$ o $\lambda \iota \gamma \circ v$, or $\delta \varepsilon$ o $\lambda_{\imath} \neq 0$. It is not clear, however, precisely what $\varepsilon v$ o $\lambda \iota \gamma$ o does mean. It may refer to time (in little time) or a short cut, but that does not suit well $\varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \omega$ in verse 29. Tyndale and Crammer rendered it "somewhat" (in small measure or degree). There are, alas, many "somewhat" Christians. Most likely the idea is "in (or with) small effort you are trying to persuade ( $\pi \varepsilon \mid \theta \varepsilon ı$, conative present active indicative) me in order to make me a Christian." This takes the infinitive $\pi$ oin $\sigma \alpha$ to be purpose (Page renders it by "so as") and thus avoids trying to make $\pi$ oוף $\sigma \alpha$ like $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta a$ (become). The aorist is punctiliar action for single act, not "perfect." The tone of Agrippa is ironical, but not unpleasant. He pushes it aside with a shrug of the shoulders. The use of "Christian" is natural here as in the other two instances (11:26; 1Pe 4:16).

Acts 26:29
I would to God ( $\varepsilon v \xi \alpha \mu \eta \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). Conclusion of fourth-class condition (optative with $\alpha v$ ), undetermined with less likelihood, the so-called potential optative (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1021). Polite and courteous wish (first aorist middle optative of $\varepsilon v \chi o \mu \alpha)$.

Whether with little or with much ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon v \mu \kappa \rho \omega \kappa \alpha \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \omega$ ). Literally, "both in little and in great," or "both with little and with great pains" or "both in some measure and in great measure." Paul takes kindly the sarcasm of Agrippa.
 $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$. Paul uses these two qualitative pronouns instead of repeating the word "Christian."

Except these bonds ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \tau о \varsigma \tau \omega v \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \omega v \tau \tau \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Ablative case with $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \tau о \varsigma$ (late preposition for the old $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa)$. Paul lifts his right manacled hand with exquisite grace and good feeling.

Acts 26:30
Rose up ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta$ ). Second aorist active of $\alpha v / \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ (intransitive), agreeing only with "the king" (o $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon v \varsigma)$. The entertainment was over.

Acts 26:31
They spake one to another ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda o u v \pi \rho o \varsigma \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o v \varsigma)$. Imperfect active, describing the eager conversation of the dignitaries about Paul's wonderful speech.

Nothing worthy of death or bonds (ov $\delta \varepsilon v$ Өavatov $\eta \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \omega v a \xi \circ o v$ ). This is the unanimous conclusion of all these dignitaries (Romans, Jews, Greeks) as it was of Festus before ( $25: 25$ ). But Paul had not won any of them to Christ. The conclusion leaves Festus in a predicament. Why had he not set Paul free before this?

Acts 26:32
This man might have been set at liberty ( $А \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \nu \sigma \theta a \varepsilon \delta \nu v a \tau о$ o $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi о \varsigma$ оитоৎ). Conclusion of the second class condition (determined as unfulfilled) without $\alpha v$ as in 24:19 because of $\varepsilon \delta u v a \tau o$ (verb of possibility, Robertson, Grammar, p. 1014). Note perfect passive infinitive $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \nu \sigma \theta \alpha$ from $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \nu \omega$. He certainly "could have been set free." Why was it not done?

If he had not appealed unto Caesar ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \tau$ К Kaıб $\alpha \rho \alpha$ ). Condition of the second class with the past perfect middle indicative (op. cit., p. 1015) of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ (cf. 25:11f. ). But Paul only appealed to Caesar after Festus had tried to shift him back to Jerusalem and had refused to set him free in Caesarea. Festus comes out with no honour in the case. Since Agrippa was a favourite at court perhaps Festus would be willing to write favourably to Caesar.

## Acts 27

Acts 27:1
That we should sail ( $\tau 0 v \alpha \pi о \pi \lambda \varepsilon เ \nu \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. This genitive articular infinitive with $\varepsilon \kappa \rho \iota \theta \eta$ like the LXX construction translating the Hebrew infinitive construct is awkward in Greek. Several similar examples in Lu 17:1; Ac 10:25; 20:3 (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1068). Luke alone uses this old verb in N.T. He uses nine compounds of $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to sail. Note the reappearance of "we" in the narrative. It is possible, of course, that Luke was not with Paul during the series of trials at Caesarea, or at least, not all the time. But it is natural for Luke to use "we" again because he and Aristarchus are travelling with Paul. In Caesarea Paul was the centre of the action all the time whether Luke was present or not. The great detail and minute accuracy of Luke's account of this voyage and shipwreck throw more light upon ancient seafaring than everything else put together. Smith's Voyage and Shipwreck of St. Paul is still a classic on the subject. Though so accurate in his use of sea terms, yet Luke writes like a landsman, not like a sailor. Besides, the character of Paul is here revealed in a remarkable fashion.

They delivered ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \delta \delta o v \nu$ ). Imperfect active $\omega \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha$ form rather than the old $-\mu$ form $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \iota \delta o \sigma \alpha v$ as in 4:33, from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$. Perhaps the imperfect notes the continuance of the handing over.

Certain other prisoners ( $\tau \iota v \propto \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \omega \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Bound ( $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \omega \tau \alpha \varsigma$ ) like Paul, but not necessarily appellants to Caesar, perhaps some of them condemned criminals to amuse the Roman populace in the gladiatorial shows, most likely pagans though eזe have to mean different kind of prisoners from Paul.

Of the Augustan band ( $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho\rceil \varsigma \Sigma \varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Note Ionic genitive $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \varsigma$, not $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho a \varsigma$. See on Mt 27:1; Ac 10:1 . Xоортıs Avүvoтає. We do not really know why this cohort is called "Augustan." It may be that it is part of the imperial commissariat (frumentarii) since Julius assumes chief authority in the grain ship (verse 11). These legionary centurions when in Rome were called peregrini (foreigners) because their work was chiefly in the provinces. This man Julius may have been one of them.

Acts 27:2
In a ship of Adramyttium ( $\pi \lambda o \iota \omega \mathrm{H} \alpha \delta \rho \alpha \mu \nu \nu \tau \eta \nu \omega)$. A boat belonging to Adramyttium, a city in Mysia in the province of Asia. Probably a small coasting vessel on its way home for the winter stopping at various places ( $\tau о \pi о \cup \varsigma)$. Julius would take his chances to catch another ship for Rome. The usual way to go to Rome was to go to Alexandria and so to Rome, but no large ship for Alexandria was at hand.

We put to sea ( $\alpha v \eta \chi^{\theta} \eta \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ). First aorist passive of $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \omega$, usual word in Luke.
Aristarchus, a Macedonian of Thessalonica, being with us (ov $\sigma \varsigma \varsigma \sigma \nu \eta \mu เ \nu$ A $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \rho \chi \circ v$ Макє $о$ оою $\Theta \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda о v ı \kappa \varepsilon \omega \varsigma) . ~ G e n i t i v e ~ a b s o l u t e . ~ R a m s a y ~ s u g g e s t s ~ t h a t ~ L u k e ~ a n d ~ A r i s t a r c h u s ~$
accompanied Paul as his slaves since they would not be allowed to go as his friends. But Luke was Paul's physician and may have gained permission on that score.

## Acts 27:3

The next day ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). Locative case with $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ understood.
We touched ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \chi \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist passive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \omega$, the usual term for "coming down" from the seas as avaү $\omega$ above (and verse 4) is for "going up" to sea. So it looks to sailors. Sidon was 67 miles from Caesarea, the rival of Tyre, with a splendid harbour. The ship stopped here for trade.

Treated Paul kindly ( $\varphi\rangle \lambda \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \varsigma \tau \omega \Pi \alpha \nu \lambda \omega \chi \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. "Using ( $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$, first aorist middle participle of $\chi \rho \alpha o \mu \alpha$, to use) Paul (instrumental case used with this verb) kindly" ( $\varphi \iota \lambda \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \varsigma$, "philanthropically," adverb from $\varphi \backslash \lambda-\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$, love of mankind). He was kindly to Paul throughout the voyage (verse 43; 28:16), taking a personal interest in his welfare.

Refresh himself ( $\varepsilon \pi \mu \mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ા \alpha \varsigma \tau \cup \chi \varepsilon ı v)$. Second aorist active infinitive of $\tau \cup \gamma \chi \alpha v \omega$ (to obtain) with the genitive $\varepsilon \pi \mu \mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ a \varsigma$, old word from $\varepsilon \pi \mu \mu \varepsilon \lambda \eta \varsigma$, careful, only here in the N.T. Whether it was mere hospitality we do not know. It may have been medical attention required because of Paul's long confinement. This is Paul's first visit to Sidon, but Christians were already in Phoenicia ( 11:19) and so Paul had "friends" here.

Acts 27:4
We sailed under the lee of Cyprus ( $v \pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \nu K \nu \pi \rho o v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $v \pi \frac{\pi}{\lambda} \varepsilon \omega$, to sail under. Cyprus was thus on the left between the ship and the wind from the northwest, under the protection of Cyprus.
 infinitive after $\delta \Delta \alpha$ and the accusative of general reference ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \mu \circ)_{\varsigma}$ ) with predicate accusative ( $\varepsilon v a v \tau \iota o v \varsigma$, facing them, in their very teeth if they went that way). The Etesian winds were blowing from the northwest so that they could not cut straight across from Sidon to Patara with Cyprus on the right. They must run behind Cyprus and hug the shore of Cilicia and Pamphylia.

Acts 27:5
When we had sailed across ( $\delta 1 \alpha \pi \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\delta \iota \alpha \pi \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ (another compound of $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ ).

The sea which is off Cilicia and Pamphylia (то $\pi \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma о \varsigma ~ т о ~ к а \tau \alpha ~ \tau \eta \nu ~ К ı \lambda ı к ı \alpha \nu ~ к а ~$ Пацчט $\lambda_{\iota} \alpha v$ ). Пع $\lambda \alpha \gamma \circ \varsigma$ is properly the high sea as here. In Mt 18:6 (which see) Jesus uses it of "the depth of the sea." Only these examples in the N.T. The current runs westward along the coast of Cilicia and Pamphylia and the land would protect from the wind.
 down." This town was two and a half miles from the coast of Lycia. The port Andriace had
a fine harbour and did a large grain business. No disciples are mentioned here nor at Lasea, Melita, Syracuse, Rhegium.

Acts 27:6
Sailing for Italy ( $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \circ \vee \varepsilon ı \varsigma \tau \eta \nu I \tau \alpha \lambda ı \alpha v$ ). This was the opportunity for which Lysias had been looking. So he put ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \beta \iota \beta a \sigma \varepsilon v$, first aorist active of $\varepsilon \mu \beta \iota \beta \alpha \zeta \omega$, to cause to enter. Cf. $\varepsilon \pi \_\beta \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ in verse 2) prisoners and soldiers on board. This was a ship of Alexandria bound for Rome, a grain ship (38) out of its course because of the wind. Such grain ships usually carried passengers.

Acts 27:7
When we had sailed slowly ( $\beta \rho \alpha \delta v \pi \lambda o o v v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). Present active participle of $\beta \rho \alpha \delta v \pi \lambda o \varepsilon \omega$ ( $\beta \rho \alpha \delta v \varsigma$, slow, $\pi \lambda o v \varsigma$, voyage). Literally, "sailing slowly," not "having or had sailed slowly." Only here and in Artemidorus (sec. cent. A.D.). It may mean "tacking" before the wind. Polybius uses $\tau \alpha \chi \cup \pi \lambda o \varepsilon \omega$, to sail swiftly.
 days."

With difficulty ( $\mu \mathrm{o} \lambda \iota \varsigma$ ). Used in old Greek, like $\mu$ оүヶ¢ (Lu 9:39) from $\mu \circ \lambda$ оৎ, toil (see Ac 14:18).

Over against Cnidus ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta \nu K v i \delta o v)$. "Down along Cnidus." A hundred and thirty miles from Myra, the southwest point of Asia Minor and the western coast. Here the protection of the land from the northwest wind ceased.

The wind not further suffering us ( $\mu \eta \pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \omega \nu \tau о \varsigma \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma \tau o v a v \varepsilon \mu \circ v$ ). Genitive absolute with present active participle of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \alpha \omega$, one of the few words still "not found elsewhere" (Thayer). Regular negative $\mu \eta$ with participles. They could not go on west as they had been doing since leaving Myra.

We sailed under the lee of Crete ( $v \pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma a \mu \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \nu K \rho \eta \tau \eta \nu)$. See under verse 4. Instead of going to the right of Crete as the straight course would have been they sailed southwest with Crete to their right and got some protection against the wind there.

Over against Salmone ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \Sigma \alpha \lambda \mu \omega v \eta \nu$ ). Off Cape Salmone, a promontory on the east of the island.

Acts 27:8
Coasting along ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \rho \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present middle participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, to lay beside, not from $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, to collect or $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, to say. Diodorus Siculus uses $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \gamma o \mu \alpha$ in precisely this sense of coasting along, like Latin legere oram. In N.T. only here and verse 13.

Fair Havens (Ka入ovc $\Lambda \iota \mu \varepsilon v a \varsigma)$ ). This harbour is named Kalus Limeonas, a small bay two miles east of Cape Matala. It opens to the East and Southeast, but is not fit to winter in. This harbour would protect them for a time from the winds.

The city of Lasea ( $\pi 0 \lambda \_\varsigma \Lambda \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \alpha$ ). Neither Lasea nor Fair Havens is mentioned by any ancient writer, two of the hundred cities of Crete.

Acts 27:9
 with second aorist middle participle of $\delta \iota \alpha \nmid v o \mu \alpha$, to come in between ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ ). "Considerable time intervening," since they became weatherbound in this harbour, though some take it since they left Caesarea.

And the voyage was now dangerous ( $\kappa \alpha$ оvтоৎ $\eta \delta \eta \varepsilon \pi / \sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda о \nu \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute, "and the voyage being already ( $\eta \delta \eta=$ Latin $j a m$ ) dangerous" (old word from $\varepsilon \pi$ and $\sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to trip, to fall, and so prone to fall, here only in N.T.).

Because the Fast was now already gone by ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ то к $\alpha \tau \eta v \nu \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha \vee \eta \delta \eta \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda v \theta \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Accusative (after $\delta(\alpha)$ ) of the articular infinitive perfect active of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to pass by, with the accusative of general reference ( $v \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$, the great day of atonement of the Jews, Le 16:29ff. ) occurring about the end of September. The ancients considered navigation on the Mediterranean unsafe from early October till the middle of March. In A.D. 59 the Fast occurred on Oct. 5. There is nothing strange in Luke using this Jewish note of time as in 20:6 though a Gentile Christian. Paul did it also (1Co 16:8). It is no proof that Luke was a Jewish proselyte. We do not know precisely when the party left Caesarea (possibly in August), but in ample time to arrive in Rome before October if conditions had been more favourable. But the contrary winds had made the voyage very slow and difficult all the way (verse 7) besides the long delay here in this harbour of Fair Havens.

Paul admonished them ( $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \iota v \eta$ о Паv入oc). Imperfect active of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota v \varepsilon \omega$, old word to exhort from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ and $\alpha \iota \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega$, to praise ( 3:8), only here and verse 22 in N.T. It is remarkable that a prisoner like Paul should venture to give advice at all and to keep on doing it (imperfect tense inchoative, began to admonish and kept on at it). Paul had clearly won the respect of the centurion and officers and also felt it to be his duty to give this unasked for warning.

I perceive $(\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \omega)$. Old word from $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho o \varsigma$, a spectator. See Lu 10:18. Paul does not here claim prophecy, but he had plenty of experience with three shipwrecks already (2Co 11:25 ) to justify his apprehension.

Will be ( $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$ Iv $\varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Infinitive in indirect assertion followed by future infinitive after $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon ו \nu$ in spite of o 0 which would naturally call for present indicative $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$, an anacoluthon due to the long sentence (Robertson, Grammar, p. 478).

With injury ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \cup \beta \rho \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. An old word from $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ (above, upper, like our "uppishness") and so pride, insult, personal injury, the legal word for personal assault (Page). Josephus (Ant. III. 6, 4) uses it of the injury of the elements.

Loss ( (ఇŋuav). Old word, opposite of кєpסoc, gain or profit (Php 3:7f. ). Nowhere else in N.T.
 word, but in N.T. only here in literal sense, as metaphor in Mt 11:30; 23:4; Lu 11:46; Ga 6:5

But also of our lives ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \omega \nu \psi v \chi \omega v$ ). Common use of $\psi v \chi \eta$ for life, originally "breath of life" (Ac 20:10 ), and also "soul" ( 14:2). Fortunately no lives were lost, though all else was. But this outcome was due to the special mercy of God for the sake of Paul (verse 24), not to the wisdom of the officers in rejecting Paul's advice. Paul begins now to occupy the leading role in this marvellous voyage.

Acts 27:11
Gave more heed ( $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda 0 \nu \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \theta \varepsilon \tau o$ ). Imperfect middle of $\pi \varepsilon \iota \theta \omega$, to yield to (with the dative case). The "Frumentarian" centurion ranked above the captain and owner. As a military officer the centurion was responsible for the soldiers, the prisoners, and the cargo of wheat. It was a government ship. Though the season was not advanced, the centurion probably feared to risk criticism in Rome for timidity when the wheat was so much needed in Rome (Knowling).

To the master ( $\tau \omega \kappa \nu \beta \varepsilon \rho \nu \eta \tau \eta$ ). Old word from $\kappa \nu \beta \varepsilon \rho v \alpha \omega$, to steer, and so steersman, pilot, sailing-master. Common in this sense in the papyri. In N.T. only here and Re 18:17.

And to the owner of the ship ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \omega v a v \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega$ ). Old word compounded of $v a v \varsigma$ and $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \varsigma$ and used for owner of the ship who acted as his own skipper or captain. The papyri examples (Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary) all have the meaning "captain" rather than "owner."

Acts 27:12
Because the haven was not commodious to winter in (avevӨعтov $\tau 0 v \lambda \mu \varepsilon v o$, $v \pi \alpha \rho \chi о v \tau о \varsigma \pi \rho о \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \varepsilon \iota \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha v)$. Genitive absolute again present tense of $v \pi \alpha \rho \chi \omega$ : "The harbour being unfit ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \cup \theta \varepsilon \tau o v$, this compound not yet found elsewhere, simplex in Lu 9:62; 14:35; Heb 6:7 ) for wintering" ( $\pi \alpha \rho а \chi \varepsilon \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha, ~ o n l y ~ h e r e ~ i n ~ N . T ., ~ b u t ~ i n ~ P o l y b i u s ~ a n d ~$ Diodorus, in an inscription A.D. 48, from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \varepsilon \iota \mu \alpha \zeta \omega)$.

The more part advised (o $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota o v \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon v \tau o \beta o v \lambda \eta \nu$ ). Second aorist middle indicative of $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, ancient idiom with $\beta o v \lambda \eta \nu$, to take counsel, give counsel. Lysias held a council of the officers of the ship on the issue raised by Paul.

If by any means they could reach Phoenix and winter there ( $\varepsilon \pi \omega \varsigma \delta u v a \iota v \tau$
 here with $\varepsilon$ is a condition of the fourth class with the notion of purpose implied and indirect discourse (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1021). "We vote for going on the chance that we may be able" (Page). Phoenix is the town of palms (Joh 12:13 ), the modern Lutro, the only town in Crete on the southern coast with a harbour fit for wintering, though Wordsworth and Page argue for Phineka which suits Luke's description better. The verb $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \varepsilon \iota \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$, to winter, is from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ and $\chi \varepsilon \mu \omega \nu$ (see also 28:11). Used in several Koine writers.

Looking northeast and southeast ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi о \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda_{1} \beta \alpha \kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \chi \omega \rho \circ \nu$ ). There are two ways of interpreting this language. $\Lambda \imath \pi \varsigma$ means the southwest wind and $\chi \omega \rho \circ \varsigma$ the northwest wind. But what is the effect of ката with these words? Does it mean "facing" the
wind? If so, we must read "looking southwest and northwest." But kata can mean down the line of the wind (the way the wind is blowing). If so, then it is proper to translate "looking northeast and southeast." This translation suits Lutro, the other suits Phoenike. Ramsay takes it to be Lutro, and suggests that sailors describe the harbour by the way it looks as they go into it (the subjectivity of the sailors) and that Luke so speaks and means Lutro which faces northeast and southeast. On the whole Lutro has the best of the argument.

Acts 27:13

 in N.T. "A south wind having blown gently," in marked contrast to the violent northwest wind that they had faced so long. They were so sure of the wisdom of their decision that they did not even draw up the small boat attached by a rope to the vessel's stern (verse 16). It was only some forty miles to Lutro.

Their purpose ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \pi \rho \circ \theta \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$, set before them, from $\pi \rho о \tau ө \eta \eta \mu$ ), genitive after кра $\tau \varepsilon \omega$ (кєкратŋкєva, perfect active infinitive in indirect discourse).

They weighed anchor ( $\alpha \rho \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\alpha \rho \rho \omega$, old verb used in
 lifted the anchors." Page takes it simply as "moving."

Sailed along Crete ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma 0 v \tau$ о $\tau \eta \nu K \rho \eta \tau \eta v$ ). Imperfect middle. See verse 8, "were coasting along Crete."

Close in shore ( $\alpha \sigma \sigma o v$ ). Comparative adverb of $\alpha \gamma \kappa$, near, and so "nearer" to shore. Only here in N.T.

Acts 27:14
After no long time ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ ov $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \nu$ ). Litotes again.
Beat down from it ( $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \alpha u \tau \eta \varsigma$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to throw. Here "dashed" (intransitive). Avtŋऽ is in the ablative, not genitive case, beat "down from it" (Crete), not "against it or on it." (Robertson, Grammar, p. 606). Avinc cannot refer to $\pi \lambda$ ooov (boat) which is neuter. So the ablative case with $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ as in Mr 5:13, Homer also. The Cretan mountains are over 7,000 feet high.
 Evpaкv $\lambda \omega v$ ). $\operatorname{Tv} \varphi \omega v=Т \nu \varphi \omega \varsigma$ was used for the typhoon, a violent whirlwind ( $\tau v \rho \beta$ ) or squall. This word gives the character of the wind. The Evpaкu入 $\omega v$ (reading of Aleph A B against the Textus Receptus Evpoк $\lambda \nu \delta \omega v$ ) has not been found elsewhere. Blass calls it a hybrid word compounded of the Greek $\varepsilon v \rho o \varsigma$ (east wind) and the Latin $\alpha \theta v i \lambda o$ (northeast). It is made like $\varepsilon$ vopovotoc (southeast). The Vulgate has euroaquilo. It is thus the east north east wind. Page considers Euroclydon to be a corruption of Euraquilo. Here the name gives the direction of the wind.

Acts 27:15

When the ship was caught ( $\sigma v v \alpha \rho \pi \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \tau o s ~ t o v ~ \pi \lambda o \iota o v) . ~ G e n i t i v e ~ a b s o l u t e ~ a g a i n ~$ with first aorist passive of $\sigma u v \alpha \rho \pi \alpha \zeta \omega$, old word, in N.T. only Lu 8:29; Ac 6:12; 19:29, and here. Graphic picture as if the ship was seized by a great monster.

Face the wind ( $\alpha \nu \tau о \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \varepsilon \iota \nu \tau \omega \alpha \nu \varepsilon \mu \omega)$. Dative case with the vivid infinitive of $\alpha \nu \tau о \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \varepsilon \omega$ from $\alpha \nu \tau о \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \circ \varsigma$, looking in the eye, or eye to eye ( $\alpha \nu \tau$, facing and о $\sigma \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \circ \varsigma$, eye). Eyes were painted on the prows of vessels. The ship could not face the wind enough to get to Phoenix. Modern sailors talk of sailing into the eye of the wind. We were not able to look the wind in the eye. Koine verb used by Polybius. Some MSS. have it in Ac 6:11, but only here in N.T. In Wisdom of Sol. 12:14 it is used of a prince who cannot look God in the face. Clement of Rome 34 uses it of an idle workman not able to look his employer in the face (Milligan and Moulton's Vocabulary).

We gave way ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \delta o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Second aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, giving way to the wind.

Were driven ( $\varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \rho \circ \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Imperfect passive of $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, "we were being borne along." We "scudded before the gale" (Page). "The suddenness of the hurricane gave no time to furl the great mainsail" (Furneaux).

Acts 27:16
Running under the lee of (v $\left.\frac{\pi}{} \delta \rho \alpha \mu о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma\right)$. Second aorist active participle of $v \pi о \tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$. Same use of $v \pi$ o as in $v \pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ (verses 4,8 ) for "under the lee", under the protection of. N $\eta \sigma \circ \circ v$ is diminutive of $v \eta \sigma o \varsigma$, a small island. The MSS. vary between Cauda (B) and Clauda (Aleph).

To secure the boat ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \sigma \kappa \alpha \varphi \eta \varsigma)$ ). "To become masters ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ from $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ and кратоц, power over, found in Susannah and ecclesiastical writers, and here only in N.T.) of the boat ("dug out," like Indian boats, literally, from $\sigma \kappa \alpha \pi \tau \omega$, to dig, old word, here only in N.T. and verses 30,32 ). The smooth water behind the little island enabled them to do this.

When they had hoisted it up ( $\eta \vee$ apavtec). "Which (the little boat) having hoisted up (apavteऽ, verse 13)." Even so it was "with difficulty" ( $\mu$ о $\lambda \iota \varsigma$ ). Perhaps the little boat was waterlogged.

Used helps ( $\beta$ оך $\eta \varepsilon ⿺ \alpha \iota \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \chi \rho \omega v \tau 0$ ). Imperfect middle of $\chi \rho \alpha o \mu \alpha$ with instrumental case. The "helps" were ropes or chains, no doubt.

Under-girding the ship ( $ข \pi о \zeta \omega v v u v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \pi \lambda o o o v)$. Present active participle of $v \pi \circ \zeta \omega v v \nu \mu$. Old verb, here only in N.T. Probably cables ( $v \pi \sigma \zeta \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ) or ropes were used under the hull of the ship laterally or even longitudinally, tightly secured on deck. This "frapping" was more necessary for ancient vessels because of the heavy mast. The little island made it possible to do this also.

Lest we be cast upon the Syrtis ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \Sigma u \rho \tau \iota v \varepsilon \kappa \pi \varepsilon \sigma \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). Final clause after verb of fearing ( $\varphi \circ \beta \mathrm{ou} \mu \varepsilon v \mathrm{o}$ ) with $\mu \eta$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, old
verb to fall out or off, to be cast away. So here and verses 26,29, a classical use of the verb for a ship driven out of its course on to shoals or rocks (Page who cites Xenophon, Anab. VII. 5, 12). The Syrtis was the name for two quicksands between Carthage and Cyrenaica, this clearly being the Syrtis Major most dangerous because of the sandbanks ( $\sigma v \rho \tau \iota \varsigma$, from $\sigma v \rho \omega)$. The wind would drive the ship right into this peril if something were not done.

They lowered the gear ( $\chi \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \tau о \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\chi \alpha \lambda \alpha \omega$ (cf. Lu 5:4 for lowering the nets). $\Sigma \kappa \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ means vessel or gear. They slackened or reduced sail, especially the mainsail, but leaving enough to keep the ship's head as close to the wind as was practicable.

So were driven ( $o v \tau \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \rho \circ v \tau 0$ ). Imperfect passive indicative again as in verse 15 with the addition of ov $\omega \varsigma$ (thus). The ship was now fixed as near to the wind (E N E) as possible (seven points). That would enable the ship to go actually W by N and so avoid the quicksands. J. Smith has shown that, a day being lost around Cauda, the ship going 36 miles in 24 hours in 13 days would make 468 miles. The Island of Malta (Melita) is precisely in that direction ( W by N ) from Cauda and is 480 miles. Page sees a difficulty about this explanation of the steady drift of the ship in the word $\delta \operatorname{\delta } \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v$ in verse 27 , but that was at the end of the drifting and the varied winds could have come then and not before. The whole narrative as explained carefully in Smith's Voyage and Shipwreck of St. Paul is a masterpiece of precise and accurate scholarship. A resume of his results appears in my Luke the Historian in the Light of Research.

Acts 27:18
As we laboured exceedingly with the storm ( $\sigma \varphi \circ \delta \rho \omega \varsigma \chi \varepsilon \iota \mu \alpha \zeta \rho \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu \eta \mu \omega \nu$ ). Genitive absolute with present passive participle of $\chi \varepsilon \iota \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb to afflict with a tempest ( $\chi \varepsilon \mu \alpha$, stormy weather), to toss upon the waves, here alone in N.T.

They began to throw overboard ( $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \eta \nu \varepsilon \pi о \iota о \nu \nu \tau 0)$. Literally, "They began to make (inchoative imperfect middle of $\pi$ oı $\varepsilon \omega$ ) a casting out" ( $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \circ \lambda \eta \nu$ from $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to cast out, old word, only here in N.T.). Cf. Latin jacturam facere. This to lighten the ship by throwing overboard the cargo. The grain in the ship would shift and make it list and so added to the danger.

They cast out ( $\varepsilon \rho \iota \psi \alpha \nu)$. Third person plural aorist active of $\rho \iota \pi \tau \omega$, not $\varepsilon \rho \iota \psi \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$ as Textus Receptus.

With their own hands ( $\alpha \cup \tau о \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Old word ( $\alpha \cup \tau \circ \sigma, \chi \varepsilon \rho)$ but here alone in N.T. Vivid and graphic touch by Luke who, of course, watched every movement day by day.

The tackling ( $\tau \eta \nu \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \cup \eta \nu$ ). The furniture of the ship that could be spared. It was becoming desperate.

Acts 27:20
When neither sun nor stars shone upon us ( $\mu \eta \tau \varepsilon \eta \lambda \iota o v \mu \eta \tau \varepsilon \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \omega \nu \varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi \alpha \iota v o v \tau \omega \nu)$. Genitive absolute again.

For many days ( $\varepsilon \pi \pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota o v a \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho a \varsigma)$. For more days than a few.
No small tempest ( $\chi \varepsilon \iota \mu$ огоऽ оик о $\lambda \iota \gamma \circ v$ ). Litotes again.
All hope that we should be saved was now taken away ( $\lambda \circ \iota \pi \sigma \nu \pi \varepsilon \rho ı \eta \rho \varepsilon \iota \tau о \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \varsigma \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha$ tov $\sigma \omega \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. "For the rest (or future) there began to be taken from around us ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \eta \iota \varepsilon \iota \tau$ inchoative imperfect and see use of the verb in 2Co 13:16 of the veil) all hope of the being saved so far as we were concerned." Despair was beginning to settle like a fog on all their hopes. Had Paul lost hope?

Acts 27:21
When they had been long without food ( $\pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \eta \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \alpha \sigma \iota \tau \iota \varsigma \nu \pi \alpha \rho \chi о v \sigma \eta \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute, the old word aбıtıa from $\alpha \sigma \iota \tau \circ \varsigma$ (verse 33) a privative and $\sigma \iota \tau \circ$, food, here alone in N.T. Literally, "There being much abstinence from food." They had plenty of grain on board, but no appetite to eat (sea-sickness) and no fires to cook it (Page). "Little heart being left for food" (Randall). Galen and other medical writers use aбıтıa and aoıtoc for want of appetite.

Stood forth ( $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. As in $1: 15 ; 2: 14 ; 17: 22$. Pictorial word (Page) that sets forth the vividness and solemnity of the scene (Knowling).

Ye should have hearkened unto me ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \nu \pi \varepsilon \iota \theta \alpha \rho \chi \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma \mu 0$ ). Literally, "It was necessary for you hearkening unto me not to set sail ( $\mu \eta \alpha \nu \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ )." It was not the "I told you so" of a small nature, "but a reference to the wisdom of his former counsel in order to induce acceptance of his present advice" (Furneaux). The first aorist active participle is in the accusative of general reference with the present infinitive $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$.

And have gotten this injury and loss ( $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau \eta \nu v \beta \rho \iota \nu \tau \alpha v \tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \nu \zeta \eta \mu \iota \alpha \nu)$. This Ionic form $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \eta \sigma \alpha$ (from $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \alpha \omega$ ) rather than $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \eta \nu \alpha$ or $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \alpha \nu \alpha$ is common in late Greek (Robertson, Grammar, p. 349). The Revised Version thus carries over the negative $\mu \eta$ to this first aorist active infinitive $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \eta \sigma \alpha$ from $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \alpha \omega$ (cf. on Mt 16:26 ). But Page follows Thayer in urging that this is not exact, that Paul means that by taking his advice they ought to have escaped this injury and loss. "A person is said in Greek 'to gain a loss' when, being in danger of incurring it, he by his conduct saves himself from doing so." This is probably Paul's idea here.

Acts 27:22
And now ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha v v v$ ). Accusative plural neuter article of general reference in contrast with $\mu \varepsilon v$ in verse 21 . Paul shows modesty (Bengel) in the mild contrast.

No loss of life $(\alpha \pi \sigma \beta 0 \lambda \eta \psi v \chi \eta \varsigma o v \delta \varepsilon \mu i \alpha)$. Old word from $\alpha \pi \sigma \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to throw away, only twice in N.T. Ro 11:15 (rejection) and here. He had foretold such loss of life as likely (verse 10), but he now gives his reason for his changed view.

Acts 27:23
For there stood by me ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \gamma \alpha \rho \mu o$ ). Second aorist active (intransitive) indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho ı \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ with the locative case (beside me). The very form used by Paul of his trial ( 2 Ti

4:17 ) when "the Lord stood by me" (о $\delta \varepsilon \kappa v \rho ı \varsigma ~ \mu о ~ \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta) ~ w h e n ~ o t h e r s ~ d e s e r t e d ~ h i m . ~$ This angel of the God whom Paul serves (in distinction from the heathen gods) is the reason for Paul's present confidence.

Acts 27:24
Thou must stand before Caesar (Kaı $\sigma \alpha \rho \sigma \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \eta v \alpha$ ). Note the same $\delta \varepsilon$ (must) as in 23:11 when Jesus appeared to Paul in Jerusalem and the same verb $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \eta v \alpha$ (second aorist active infinitive) used in verse 23.

Hath granted thee ( $\kappa \varepsilon \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma о)$. Perfect middle indicative of $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \zeta о \mu \alpha$ and that from $\chi \alpha \rho ı \varsigma$, a gift or grace. The lives of those that sailed with Paul God had spared as a gift ( $\chi \alpha \rho ı \varsigma)$ to Paul.

Acts 27:25
Wherefore be of good cheer ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon v \theta v \mu \varepsilon ı \tau \varepsilon$ ). God had spoken. That was enough. This old verb from $\varepsilon v \theta v \mu \circ \varsigma$ in the N.T. only here, verse 25 ; Jas 5:13. See the adjective 27:36.

For I believe God ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega \gamma \alpha \rho \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). This is Paul's reason for his own good cheer and for his exhortation to confidence in spite of circumstances so untoward. Paul had doubtless prayed for his own life and for the lives of all. He was sure that he was to bear his witness in Rome.

Acts 27:26
We must be cast ( $\delta \varepsilon \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \iota v$ ). It is necessary for us to fall out ( $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \iota v$, second aorist active infinitive of $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega)$. It was not revealed to Paul what island it would be.

Acts 27:27
As we were driven to and fro ( $\delta \operatorname{l} \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu \eta \mu \omega \nu$ ). Genitive absolute with present passive participle of $\delta \iota \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \omega$, old verb to bear different ways ( $\delta \iota \alpha=\delta v o$, two), this way and that. Continued to be tossed to and fro in the rough seas. It would seem so to those on board. It does not necessarily mean that the wind had changed. The fourteenth night is reckoned from the time they left Fair Havens.

In the sea of Adria ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \mathrm{H} \alpha \delta \rho \iota \alpha$ ). Not the Adriatic Sea as we now call the sea between Italy and the mainland of Illyricum, but all the lower Mediterranean between Italy and Greece. Luke's usage is like that of Strabo.

Surmised (vicvoovv). Imperfect active indicative of v suspect.

That they were drawing near to some country ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \iota v \tau \iota \alpha \alpha \alpha \cup \tau o \iota ~ \chi \omega \rho \alpha v$ ). Infinitive with accusative of general reference in indirect assertion. Пробaү $\omega$ is here used intransitively and Luke writes from the sailor's standpoint that a certain land was drawing near to them (avtoıs, dative). The sailors heard the sound of breakers and grew uneasy.

Acts 27:28
They sounded ( $\beta$ o $\left.\lambda_{ı} \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma\right)$. First aorist active participle of $\beta_{\circ} \lambda_{\iota} \zeta \omega$ rare verb only here and in Eustathius who says it was familiar in ancient Greek. Apparently from $\beta$ o $\lambda \iota \varsigma$, a missile
or dart, and so to throw down the lead into the sea, to heave the lead, to take soundings. The inscriptions give $\beta$ о $\lambda \mu$ о , for "leaden."

Twenty fathoms (op $\quad$ viaৎ $\varepsilon ו \kappa о \sigma$ ). This old word, from o $\rho \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, to stretch, means the distance from one outstretched middle finger tip to the other likewise out-stretched.

After a little space ( $\beta \rho a \chi v \delta \delta \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Literally, "standing apart a little" (second aorist active participle of $\delta u \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ ), that is, the ship going a short distance further on. A ship today approaching St. Paul's Bay by the rocky point of Koura would pass first twenty, then fifteen fathoms (Furneaux).

Acts 27:29
Lest haply we should be cast ashore on rocky ground ( $\mu \eta$ пои ката трахвıৎ тотоия $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \varepsilon \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). The usual construction after a verb of fearing ( $\mu \eta$ and the aorist subjunctive $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \varepsilon \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Literally, "Lest somewhere ( $\pi \circ v$ ) we should fall out down against ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ ) rocky places." The change in the soundings made it a very real fear. Tрaх\&ı¢ (rough) is old adjective, but in the N.T. only here and Lu 3:5 (from Isa 40:4).

Four anchors ( $\alpha \gamma \kappa \cup \rho \alpha \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. Old word from $\alpha \gamma \kappa \eta$. In N.T. only in this chapter, with $\rho \iota \pi \tau \omega$ here, with $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ v \omega$ in verse 30 , with $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota a \rho \varepsilon \omega \omega$ in verse 40; and Heb 6:19 (figuratively of hope).

From the stern ( $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \rho v \mu \nu \eta \varsigma)$. Old word, but in N.T. only in Mr 4:38; here and 41 in contrast with $\pi \rho \omega \iota \rho \alpha$ (prow). The usual practice was and is to anchor by the bows. "With a view to running the ship ashore anchoring from the stern would, it is said, be best" (Page). Nelson is quoted as saying that he had been reading Ac 27 the morning of the Battle of Copenhagen (April, 1801) where he anchored his ships from the stern.

Wished for the day ( $\eta$ טरovto). Imperfect middle, kept on praying for "day to come" ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ) before the anchors broke under the strain of the storm or began to drag. If the ship had been anchored from the prow, it would have swung round and snapped the anchors or the stern would have faced the beach.

Acts 27:30
The sailors ( $\tau \omega v v a v \tau \omega v$ ). Old word from vavc (ship), in N.T. only here, verse 30; Re 18:17.

Were seeking ( $\eta \uparrow \tau 0 \cup v \tau \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute again with present active participle of $\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \omega$ to seek.

Had lowered ( $\chi \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Aorist active participle of $\chi \alpha \lambda \alpha \zeta \omega$.
Under colour ( $\pi \rho \circ \varphi a \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Possibly the same word as "prophecy" (from $\pi \rho o-\varphi \eta \mu$, to speak forth), but here pretence, pretext, although it may come from $\pi \rho \circ \varphi a \iota v \omega$, to show forth. The use here is an old one and appears also in $\mathrm{Mr} 12: 40$; Lu 20:47; 1Th 2:5; Php 1:18

As though ( $\omega \varsigma$ ). The alleged reason, a common Greek idiom with $\omega \varsigma$ and the participle (Robertson, Grammar, p. 966). Here with $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda$ ov $\tau \omega v$.

From the foreship $(\varepsilon \kappa \pi \rho \omega \iota \rho\rceil)$. Old word for prow of the ship. In the N.T. only here and verse 41 . Note here $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon \iota v \varepsilon \iota v$ (lay out, stretch out) rather than $\rho \iota \psi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ (casting) in verse 29 , for they pretended to need the small boat to stretch out or lay out the anchors in front.

Acts 27:31
Except these abide in the ship (Eav $\mu \eta$ ov $\mu \circ \mu \varepsilon \iota \omega \sigma \iota v \varepsilon \nu \omega \pi \lambda o t \omega$ ). Condition of the third class (undetermined, but with hope, etc.). Paul has no hesitancy in saying this in spite of his strong language in verse 24 about God's promise. He has no notion of lying supinely down and leaving God to do it all. Without the sailors the ship could not be properly beached.

Acts 27:32
The ropes ( $\tau \alpha \sigma \chi \circ \circ v \iota \alpha$ ). Diminutive of $\sigma \chi \circ \iota \nu \circ \varsigma$, old word, but in N.T. only here and Joh 2:15. Paul is now saviour of the ship and the soldiers quickly cut loose the skiff and "let her fall off" ( $\varepsilon ı \alpha \sigma a \nu \alpha v \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \kappa \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \iota \nu)$ rather than be the means of the escape of the sailors who were needed. This dastardly scheme of the sailors would have brought frightful loss of life.

Acts 27:33
While the day was coming on ( $\alpha \chi \rho$ ov $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \eta \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v \gamma \iota v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). More likely here $\alpha \chi \rho$ ov (for $\alpha \not \rho \rho$ 元 day was about to come on ( $\gamma \iota v \varepsilon \sigma \theta a$, present middle infinitive, linear action)." That is Paul kept on exhorting or beseeching ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$, imperfect active) them until dawn began to come on (cf. verse 39 when day came). In Heb 3:13 axp ov with the present indicative has to mean "so long as" or while, but that is not true here (Robertson, Grammar, p. 975). See on Ac 2:46 for the same phrase for partaking food ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega \tau \rho о \varphi \eta \varsigma$, genitive case) as also in 27:34. Paul wanted them to be ready for action when day really came. "Fourteenth day" repeated (verse 27), only here in the accusative of duration of time ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha v$ ). It is not clear whether the "waiting" ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta о \kappa \omega \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$, present active participle predicate nominative complementary participle after $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$, Robertson, Grammar, p. 1121) means fourteen days of continuous fasting or only fourteen successive nights of eager watching without food. Galen and Dionysius of Halicarnassus employ the very idiom used here by Luke ( $\alpha \sigma \iota \tau \circ \varsigma \delta ı \alpha \tau \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ ).

Having taken nothing ( $\mu \eta \theta \varepsilon \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \lambda \alpha \beta o \mu \varepsilon \nu o$ ). Second aorist middle participle of $\pi \rho o \sigma \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$ with the accusative $\mu \eta \theta \varepsilon v$ rather than the more usual $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \nu$. Probably Paul means that they had taken no regular meals, only bits of food now and then.

Acts 27:34
For this is for your safety ( $\tau 0 v \tau o \gamma \alpha \rho \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma v \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha \varsigma v \pi \alpha \rho \chi \varepsilon$ ). Note $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha$ in sense of "safety," literal meaning, not spiritual salvation. This is the only instance in the N.T. of the use of $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ with the ablative meaning "from the side of" your safety, though a classic idiom (Robertson, Grammar, p. 623), an example of Luke's literary style.

Perish ( $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon \tau \tau \alpha$ ). Future middle (intransitive) of $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \nu \mu(-v \omega)$, to destroy. So the oldest MSS. rather than $\pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ from $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, to fall. This proverbial expression occurs also in Lu 21:18 which see and in 1Sa 14:45; 2Sa 14:11; 1Ki 1:52 .

## Acts 27:35

Gave thanks to God ( $\varepsilon \cup \chi \propto \rho ı \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon v \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega$ from which our word "Eucharist" comes. It was saying grace like the head of a Hebrew family and the example of Paul would encourage the others to eat. Probably Paul, Luke, and Aristarchus had memories of the Lord's supper (Ac 2:42) while to others it was only an ordinary meal (Lu 24:30).

Acts 27:36
Then were they all of good cheer ( $\varepsilon \cup \theta v \mu \circ \delta \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). More exactly, "Then all becoming cheerful," because of Paul's words and conduct.

Took food ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta$ оvто т $\rho о \varphi \eta \varsigma$ ). Partitive genitive here (some food), not accusative as verse 33. Paul's courage was contagious.

Acts 27:37
Two hundred three-score and sixteen souls ( $\delta ı \alpha к о б \iota \alpha \varepsilon \beta \delta о \mu \eta \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \varepsilon \xi)$. The Vatican Manuscript (B) has $\omega \varsigma$ in place of $\delta ı \alpha \kappa о \sigma \iota \alpha$ (two hundred) which Westcott and Hort put in the margin. But Alford is probably correct in suggesting that the scribe of B wrote $\omega \varsigma$ by repeating the omega in $\pi \lambda o \omega \omega$ with $\varsigma=200$ (Greek numeral). If the number 276 seems large, it is to be remembered that we do not know the size of the ship. Josephus (Life, 3) says that there were 600 on the ship that took him to Italy. The grain ships were of considerable size. The number included sailors, soldiers, and prisoners. A muster or roll call may have been made.

Acts 27:38
When they had eaten enough (корєб $\theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \rho о \varphi \eta \varsigma)$. First aorist passive of кор $\nu v \nu \mu$, old verb to satisfy, to satiate, with the genitive. Literally, "Having been satisfied with food." Here only in the N.T.

They lightened ( $\varepsilon \kappa о и \varphi \downharpoonright \zeta о v)$ ). Inchoative imperfect active, began to lighten. Old verb from коичос and originally to be light, but transitive to lighten, as here, from Hippocrates on.

Throwing out the wheat ( $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda о \mu \varepsilon v o$ tov $\sigma \tau \tau \vee$ ). The cargo of wheat. The second $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \circ \lambda \eta$ (verse 18) or casting out and overboard which was only partially done at first.

Acts 27:39
They knew not (ovк $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \gamma เ v \omega \sigma \kappa \circ v$ ). Imperfect active of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, to recognize. Probably conative, tried to recognize and could not (Conybeare and Howson). The island was well-known ( 28:1, $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \gamma v \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ), but St. Paul's Bay where the wreck took place was some distance from the main harbour (Valetta) of Melita (Malta).

They perceived（ $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon v o o u v$ ）．Imperfect active of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon \omega$ ，gradually perceived after some effort as in 11：16．This beach seemed their only hope．

They took counsel（ $\varepsilon$ ßou入عuovio）．Imperfect middle showing the process of deliberation and doubt．The bay＂having a beach＂（ $\varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau \alpha \alpha 兀 \downarrow \iota \lambda o v)$ is a phrase found in Xenophon＇s Anabasis VI．4， 4.

Whether they could drive（ $\varepsilon \delta \nu v a \iota v \tau 0 ~ \varepsilon \kappa \sigma \omega \sigma \alpha$ ）．This use of the optative with $\varepsilon$ in questions of this sort（implied indirect）is a neat Greek idiom（Robertson，Grammar，p． 1021）．B C Bohairic read $\varepsilon \kappa \sigma \omega \sigma \alpha$（first aorist active infinitive of $\varepsilon \kappa \sigma \omega \zeta \omega$ ），to save out（so Westcott and Hort），instead of $\varepsilon \xi \omega \sigma \alpha$（from $\varepsilon \xi \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ，to push out，as Textus Receptus）．

Acts 27：40
Casting off（ $\pi \varepsilon \rho\llcorner\varepsilon \lambda 0 v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ．Second aorist active of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota a \iota \rho \varepsilon \omega$ ．Literally，＂Having taken away from around，＂that is all four anchors from around the stern．Cf．the other verbs with аүкирас in verse 29，30．

They left them in the sea（ $\varepsilon เ \omega v \varepsilon ા \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \theta a \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha v$ ）．Imperfect active of $\varepsilon \alpha \omega$ ，either de－ scriptive or inchoative．They let the anchors go and the ropes fell down into the sea．

At the same time loosing the bands of the rudders（ $\alpha \mu \alpha \alpha v \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \zeta \varepsilon \cup \kappa \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau \omega v$ $\pi \eta \delta \alpha \lambda \iota \omega v)$ ．On the use of $\alpha \mu \alpha$ with the participle，old Greek idiom see Robertson，Grammar， p．1139．The second aorist active participle of avin $\mu$ ，to relax，loosen up．Old verb，in N．T． Ac 16：26；27：40；Eph 6：9；Heb 13：5．Thayer notes that そعuктпрıaৎ（bands）occurs nowhere else，but several papyri use it of yokes and waterwheels（Moulton and Milligan＇s Vocabulary）． The word for rudders（ $\pi \eta \delta \alpha \lambda_{\llcorner } \circ v$ ）is an old one（from $\pi \eta \delta o v$ ，the blade of an oar），but in the N．T．only here and Jas 3：4．Page notes that the ancient ships had a pair of paddle rudders like those of the early northmen，one on each quarter．The paddle rudders had been fastened while the ship was anchored．

Hoisting up the foresail to the wind（ $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \tau о v ~ а \rho \tau \varepsilon \mu \omega v \alpha ~ \tau \eta ~ \pi v \varepsilon о и \sigma \eta) . ~ S u p p l y ~$ avpa（breeze）after $\pi v \varepsilon o v \sigma \eta$（blowing）．It is not clear what＂sail＂is meant by＂$\alpha \rho \tau \varepsilon \mu \omega v \alpha$ ．＂ No other example in Greek is known，though the scholiast to Juvenal XII． 68 explains $\varsigma \varepsilon \lambda o$ $\pi \rho o \rho \alpha \sigma v o$ by artemone solo．Hence＂foresail＂is probably correct．

They made for the beach（ $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \not \chi \circ \vee \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ a ı \gamma \iota \alpha \lambda о v$ ）．Imperfect active of $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \chi \omega$ ，to hold down，perhaps inchoative．＂They began to hold the ship steadily for the beach．＂

Acts 27：41
But lighting upon（ $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \varepsilon \sigma o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \delta \varepsilon$ ）．Second aorist active participle of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$ ，old verb to fall into and so be encompassed by as in $\mathrm{Lu} 10: 30$ ；Jas 1：2．There is a current on one side of St．Paul＇s Bay between a little island（Salmonetta）and Malta which makes a sand bank between the two currents．Unexpectedly the ship stuck in this sandbar．

Where two seas met（ $\delta, \theta a \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma o v$ ）．Used in Strabo and Dio Chrysostom for divided seas（ $\delta / \sigma, \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha)$ ．

They ran the vessel aground ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \eta v \nu \alpha v v$ ). First aorist active indicative of old verb $\varepsilon \pi\llcorner\kappa \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, to run a ship ashore. Only here in N.T. Here also we have the only N.T. use of vavc for ship (from vaw, ve $\omega$, to swim) so common in ancient Greek. Our word navy is from this word through the Latin.

Struck ( $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon เ \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha)$. First aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \iota \delta \omega$, old verb to fix firmly. Only here in N.T.

Unmoveable ( $\alpha \sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v \tau o \varsigma)$. From $\alpha$ privative and $\sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v \omega$ to shake. Old word. In N.T. only here and Heb 12:28 .

Began to break up ( $\varepsilon \lambda v \varepsilon \tau 0$ ). Inchoative imperfect passive of the old verb $\lambda \nu \omega$, to loosen. The prow was stuck in the sand-bar, and the stern was breaking to pieces by the opposing waves lashing on both sides. It was a critical moment.

Acts 27:42
Counsel was to kill ( $\beta$ ou $\lambda \eta \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \frac{1 v a--\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ v \omega \sigma เ v) . ~ T h e ~ s o l d i e r s ~ d i d ~ n o t ~ r e l i s h ~ t h e ~}{\text { to }}$ idea of the escape of the prisoners. Hence there came this "counsel" ( $\beta$ ou $\lambda \eta$ ). Regular Greek idiom for purpose (iva and aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ v \omega$, to kill). Soldiers were responsible for the lives of prisoners (Ac 12:19).

Swim out ( $\varepsilon к к о \lambda \nu \mu \beta \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma) . ~ F i r s t ~ a o r i s t ~ a c t i v e ~ p a r t i c i p l e ~ o f ~ \varepsilon к к о \lambda \nu \mu \beta \alpha \omega$, old verb to swim out and so away.

Escape ( $\delta \iota \alpha \varphi \cup \gamma \eta$ ). Second aorist (effective) active subjunctive of $\delta \iota \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \cup \gamma \omega$, to make a clean ( $\delta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ) escape.

Acts 27:43
To save Paul ( $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \omega \sigma \alpha$ tov П $\alpha \nu \lambda o v$ ). Effective first aorist active infinitive of $\delta \Delta \alpha \sigma \omega \zeta \omega$. And no wonder for the centurion knew now how much they all owed to Paul.

Stayed them from their purpose ( $\varepsilon \kappa \omega \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v$ avtous tov ßou入ף $\mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma$.) Ablative case of $\beta o u \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ after $\varepsilon \kappa \omega \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v$ (from $\kappa \omega \lambda \varepsilon \nu \omega$, to hinder, common verb).

And get first to land ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau 0 \cup \varsigma \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \gamma \eta \nu \varepsilon \xi เ \varepsilon \nu \alpha$ ). This classic verb $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \mu$ occurs four times in Acts (13:42; 17:15; 20:7;27:32) and nowhere else in the N.T. It was a wise command.

Acts 27:44
Some on planks (ov̧ $\mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \pi \sigma \alpha v ı \sigma ı v$ ). Common Greek idiom (ouc $\mu \varepsilon v$--ovc $\delta \varepsilon$ ) for
 breaking of the ship gave scraps of timber which some used.

They all escaped safe ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma \delta \iota \alpha \sigma \omega \theta \eta \nu \alpha$ ). First aorist passive infinitive of $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \omega \zeta \omega$ (the very word used for the desire of the centurion about Paul) with accusative of general reference, the clause being subject of $\varepsilon$ रहveto. So Luke in this marvellous narrative, worthy of any historian in any age, shows how Paul's promise was fulfilled (verse 24). Paul the prisoner is the hero of the voyage and shipwreck, a wonderful example of God's providential care.

## Acts 28

Acts 28:1
Then we knew ( $\tau 0 \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist (ingressive) active indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \iota \omega \omega \sigma \omega \omega$. Then we recognized. See 27:39.

Was called ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha)$. Present passive indicative retained in indirect discourse.
 of Westcott and Hort because of B. Page notes that the island was Malta as is shown from the name, the location, the presence of a ship from Alexandria bound for Rome wintering there (verse 11), and the mention of Syracuse as the next stop after leaving (verse 12).

Acts 28:2
The barbarians (o $\beta \alpha \rho \beta \alpha \rho o$ ). The Greeks called all men "barbarians" who did not speak Greek (Ro 1:14), not "barbarians" in our sense of rude and uncivilized, but simply "foreign folk." Diodorus Siculus (V. 12) says that it was a colony of the Phoenicians and so their language was Punic (Page). The word originally meant an uncouth repetition ( $\beta \alpha \rho \beta \alpha \rho$ ) not understood by others (1Co 14:11). In Col 3:11 Paul couples it with Scythian as certainly not Christian. These are (with verse 4 below) the only N.T. instances.

Showed us ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \iota \chi \alpha v$ ). Imperfect active of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$ with - $\alpha v$ instead of $-o v$ as $\varepsilon \not \chi \alpha v$ in Mr 8:7 (Robertson, Grammar, p. 339). It was their habit on this occasion, Luke means, they kept on showing.

No common kindness (ov $\tau \eta \nu \tau v \chi \circ v \sigma \alpha \nu \varphi \iota \lambda \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \iota \alpha \nu$ ). The old word $\varphi \iota \lambda \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \iota \alpha$ ( $\varphi \lambda \lambda \varsigma \varsigma, \alpha \vee \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma)$, love of mankind, occurs in the N.T. only here and Tit 3:4 (adverb in 27:3). See on 19:11 for this use of ov $\tau \eta v \tau v \chi o v \sigma a \nu$, "not the kindness that happens every day." They were not "wreckers" to take advantage of the calamity.

They kindled a fire ( $\alpha \psi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \pi \nu \rho \alpha v$ ). The only N.T. example and verse 3 of the old word $\pi v \rho \alpha$ (from $\pi v \rho$, fire), a pile of burning fuel (sticks). First aorist active participle of $\alpha \pi \tau \omega$, to set fire to, to kindle. Cf. $\alpha v a \pi \tau \omega$ in Lu 12:49.

Received us all ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o v \tau o ~ \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. Second aorist middle (indirect indicative of $\pi \rho o \sigma \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$. They took us all to themselves (cf. Ac 18:26) .

The present ( $\tau 0 \nu \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \tau \alpha$ ). Second perfect active participle (intransitive) of $\varepsilon \varphi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, "the rain that stood upon them" (the pouring rain). Only in Luke and Paul in N.T.

Acts 28:3
When Paul had gathered ( $\sigma v \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha v \tau о \varsigma \tau o v ~ \Pi \alpha v \lambda o v)$. Genitive absolute with first aorist active participle of $\sigma v \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, old verb to twist or turn together or roll into a bundle. In N.T. only here and Mt 17:22 .

A bundle of sticks ( $\varphi \rho \cup \gamma \alpha v \omega \nu \tau \pi \lambda \eta \theta \circ \varsigma)$. "Some multitude (or pile) of dry twigs" ( $\varphi \rho \cup \gamma \alpha \nu \omega v$ from $\varphi \rho v \gamma \omega$ or $\varphi \rho v \sigma \sigma \omega$, to dry. Only here in N.T.).

Laid $(\varepsilon \pi \wedge \theta \varepsilon v \tau o \varsigma)$. So genitive absolute again with second aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \iota \eta \mu$, to place upon. Few things show Paul to better advantage than this incident.

By reason of the heat ( $\alpha \pi 0 \tau \eta \varsigma \theta \varepsilon \rho \mu \eta \varsigma$ ). Old word, only here in N.T. Ablative case with a $\pi$ (from the heat). The viper was in a state of torpor in the bundle of sticks. The heat wakened him.

A viper ( $\varepsilon \chi เ \delta v \alpha)$. The old word used by the Baptist of the Pharisees (Mt 3:7; Lu 3:7) and by Jesus also (Mt 12:34; 23:33 ). It is objected that there is little wood in the island today and no vipers, though Lewin as late as 1853 believes that he saw a viper near St. Paul's Bay. But the island now has 1,200 people to the square mile and snakes of any kind have a poor chance. The viper has also disappeared from Arran as the island became more frequented (Knowling). Ramsay thinks that the small constrictor (Coronella Austriaca) which still exists in the island may be the "viper," though it has no poison fangs, but clings and bites. The natives thought that it was a poisonous viper.

Fastened on his hand ( $\kappa \alpha \forall \eta \psi \varepsilon \tau \eta \varsigma \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha \nu \tau о v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \theta a \pi \tau \omega$, to fasten down on with the genitive case. Old verb, here only in N.T. Cf. Mr 16:18

## Acts 28:4

The beast ( $\tau$ o $\theta \eta \rho \circ o v$ ). Diminutive of $\theta \eta \rho$ and so little beast. See on Mr 1:13. Aristotle and the medical writers apply the word to venomous serpents, the viper in particular (Knowling), as Luke does here. Vincent calls attention to the curious history of our word "treacle" for molasses (Latin theriaca) from $\theta \eta \rho ⿺ \alpha \kappa \eta$, an antidote made from the flesh of vipers. Coverdale translates Jer 8:22 : "There is no more treacle in Gilead." Jeremy Taylor: "We kill the viper and make treacle of him."

Hanging from his hand ( $\kappa \rho \varepsilon \mu \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o v \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau о v)$. Vivid picture of the snake dangling from Paul's hand. Present middle participle of $\kappa \rho \varepsilon \mu \alpha \mu \alpha$, late form for $\kappa \rho \varepsilon \mu \alpha \nu \nu \nu \mu$, to hang up, to suspend (cf. Ga 3:13).

No doubt ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \omega \varsigma)$. Literally, By all means, old adverb. Cf. 21:22; Lu 4:23; 1Co 9:22 . Only by Luke and Paul in the N.T. "They knew that he was a prisoner being taken to Rome on some grave charge, and inferred that the charge was murder" (Page).

Though he hath escaped ( $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \omega \theta \varepsilon v \tau \alpha$ ). First aorist passive participle of $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \omega \zeta \omega$ (same verb used in $24: 43,44 ; 28: 1$ ), so-called concessive use of the participle (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1129).

Yet Justice ( $\delta \iota \kappa \eta$ ). An abstraction personified like the Latin Justitia (Page). The natives speak of $\Delta \iota \kappa \eta$ as a goddess, but we know nothing of such actual worship in Malta, though the Greeks worshipped abstractions as in Athens.

Hath not suffered (ovk $\varepsilon เ \propto \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Did not suffer. They look on Paul as a doomed man as good as dead. These people thought that calamity was proof of guilt, poor philosophy and worse theology.

Acts 28:5
Shook off ( $\alpha \pi о \tau \iota \nu \alpha \beta \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\alpha \pi \sigma \tau \iota v \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, to shake off. Rare word (Euripides, Galen, LXX). In N.T. only here and Lu 9:5 .

Acts 28:6
But they expected (o $\delta \varepsilon \pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \delta o \kappa \omega v$ ). Imperfect active, were expecting, continued to expect.

That he would have swollen ( $\alpha v \tau o v \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon เ v \pi \mu \pi \rho \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). More exactly, "Expecting him to be about (or that he was about) to swell up." П $\mu \pi \rho \alpha \sigma \theta a$ is present middle infinitive from $\pi \mu \pi \rho \eta \mu$, to blow, to burn, to inflame, to cause to swell. $\Pi \rho \eta \theta \omega$, to swell, seems connected and both use the aorist $\varepsilon \pi \rho \eta \sigma \alpha$. Our word "inflammation" likewise means a burning and a swelling. This verb is a common medical term used as Luke has it. It occurs here only in N.T.

Or fallen down dead suddenly ( $\eta \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \iota \pi \tau \varepsilon \iota \nu \alpha \varphi v \omega \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \rho \nu)$. Rather, "or was about to fall down dead suddenly." The two common results of a bite by a viper or other poisonous snake, both medical terms used by Luke.

But when they were long in expectation ( $\varepsilon \pi \pi \sigma \lambda v \delta \varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \omega v \pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \sigma \kappa \omega v \tau \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute. "But while they were expecting for much time."
 place coming to him" (present middle participle). M $\eta \delta \varepsilon v$ the usual negative of the participle and the accusative case the object of $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho o v \nu \tau \omega \nu$ (genitive absolute).

Changed their minds ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda о \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Aorist middle (direct) participle of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, old verb to turn about or around, turning themselves about, changing their minds. Plato uses this very verb in middle voice for changing the mind.

That he was a god ( $\alpha \cup \tau \circ v \varepsilon ı v a \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Accusative and infinitive in indirect discourse. At Lystra Paul was first received as a god (Mercury) and then they stoned him to kill him (Ac 14:11,19). So fickle is popular favour.

Acts 28:7
To the chief man of the island ( $\tau \omega \pi \rho \omega \tau \omega \tau \eta \varsigma \nu \eta \sigma o v$ ). An official title correct in Malta (Ramsay, St. Paul, p. 343). An inscription in Malta calls Prudens "Primate of the Maltese" ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \varsigma M \varepsilon \lambda \iota \tau \iota \iota \nu)$. Here it is plainly a title and not the common use seen in 13:50; 25:2; 28:17

Publius (По $\pi \lambda \iota \omega)$. This Greek name ( $\pi \rho \alpha \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v$ ) can be derived either from По $\pi \iota \lambda เ v \varsigma$ or $\Pi \nu \beta \lambda ı \varsigma \varsigma(c f . \pi \nu \beta \lambda \iota \chi \nu \varsigma$ for $\pi о \pi v \lambda ı \chi \nu \varsigma$ from $\pi о \pi v \lambda \nu \varsigma)$. Entertained us ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon v ı \sigma \varepsilon v \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. Paul and his companions (Luke and Aristarchus). Was Julius included? On $\xi \varepsilon v \zeta \omega$ see Ac 10:23

Courteously ( $\varphi \iota \lambda \sigma \varphi \rho o \nu \omega \varsigma$ ). This old adverb from $\varphi \iota \lambda \rho \varphi \rho \omega \nu$ ( $\varphi \iota \lambda o \sigma, \varphi \rho \varepsilon \nu$, friendly mind) occurs here alone in the N.T. In a kindly or friendly manner, all the more so because of the original suspicion of Paul as a criminal.

Acts 28:8
Lay (катакєıбӨa). Common verb for the sick (Mr 1:30; Joh 5:6).
Sick ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \chi o \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). "Held together." Common verb again for the sick as in Lu 4:38 .
Of fever ( $\pi \cup \rho \varepsilon \tau о \iota)$ ). Instrumental case, and plural "fevers," medical term for intermittent attacks of fever (Demosthenes, Lucian, medical writers).

Dysentery ( $\delta v \sigma \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho \iota \omega$ ). Instrumental case also. Late form of the older $\delta v \sigma \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho ı a$ and only here in N.T. Our very word dysentery. Another medical term of which Luke uses so many. Hippocrates often mentions these two diseases together.

Laying his hands on him healed him ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma \tau \alpha \varsigma \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho a \varsigma \alpha v \tau \omega$ ıaбa兀o $\alpha v \tau o v)$. Either like the laying on of hands in Jas 5:14, the gift of healing (1Co 12:9f. ), or the tender interest of Jesus when he took hold of the hand of Peter's mother-in-law (Mr 1:31). Ramsay argues that $t \alpha o \mu \alpha$ is employed here of the miraculous healing by Paul while $\theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon v \omega$ is used of the cures by Luke the physician (verse 9). This is a general distinction and it is probably observed here, but in Lu 6:18 (which see) both verbs are employed of the healings by Jesus.

Came and were healed ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta \rho \chi о \nu \tau о ~ \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon v o v \tau o$ ). Imperfect middle and imperfect passive. A regular stream of patients came during these months. Luke had his share in the honours, "us" ( $\eta \mu \alpha \varsigma)$, and no doubt his share in the cures.

With many honours ( $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \iota \varsigma ~ \tau ı \mu \propto \varsigma) . ~ I n s t r u m e n t a l ~ c a s e . ~ T h e ~ w o r d ~ w a s ~ o f t e n ~ a p p l i e d ~$ to payment for professional services as we today speak of an honorarium.

They put on board ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon v \tau \circ$ ). Second aorist middle indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, to put on. The idea of "on board" is merely suggested by avaүouعvoıs (when we sailed) "the things for our needs" ( $\tau \alpha \pi \rho o \varsigma \tau \alpha \varsigma \chi \rho \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma)$.

Acts 28:11
Which had wintered ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \mu \alpha \kappa о \tau)$. Perfect active participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$, to pass the winter. Old verb, in N.T. only 27:12; 28:11; 1Co 16:6; Tit 3:12. The locative case agreeing with $\pi \lambda o t \omega$. Navigation in the Mediterranean usually opened up in February (always by March), spring beginning on Feb. 9 (Page).

Whose sign was the Twin Brothers ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \eta \mu \omega \Delta$ เобкои $о \iota \varsigma)$. The word $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \eta \mu \omega$ can be either a substantive (as Revised Version has it) or an adjective "marked by the sign," examples of both uses common in ancient Greek. $\Delta$ tooкoupoıs is in apposition with $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \eta \mu \omega$. The word means the twin sons (коироऽ or короя) of Zeus ( $\Delta$ เоऽ, genitive of Zevc) and Leda, viz., Castor and Pollux. The Attic used the dual, $\tau \omega \Delta$ ıобко $\omega$. Castor and Pollux were the tutelary deities of sailors whose figures were painted one on each side of the prow of the ship. This sign was the name of the ship. So they start in another grain ship of Alexandria bound for Rome.

Acts 28:12
Touching ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \not \theta \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma) . ~ F i r s t ~ a o r i s t ~ p a s s i v e ~ p a r t i c i p l e ~ o f ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \omega$, to go down to land, just the opposite of $\alpha \nu \eta \chi \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v$ in verse 11 from $\alpha \nu \alpha \gamma \omega$, to go up to sea.

At Syracuse ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \Sigma v \rho \alpha \kappa о и \sigma a \varsigma)$. The chief city of Sicily and eighty miles from Malta. Perhaps open weather and a southerly wind helped them across. Here it was that Alcibiades wrecked the power and glory of Athens. Why the ship spent three days we do not know.

Acts 28:13
We made a circuit ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \mid \varepsilon \lambda \theta$ ov $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Second aorist active of $\pi \varepsilon \rho I \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to go around, old verb, already in 19:13. See also Heb 11:37; 1Ti 5:13 . But Westcott and Hort read $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \varepsilon \lambda$ ovtec after Aleph B (from $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \omega$ ) as in 27:40, though here it could only mean casting loose, for which no other authority exists. At any rate the ship had to tack to reach Rhegium and was not able to make a straight course ( $\varepsilon v \theta v \delta \rho \circ \mu \varepsilon \omega, 16: 11$ ).

Rhegium ( $\mathrm{P} \eta \gamma \nvdash v$ ) is from $\rho \eta \gamma v v \mu$, to break off, the place where the land breaks off, the southern entrance to the straits of Messina.

A south wind sprang up ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v o v ~ v o \tau o v)$. Genitive absolute again, and for all the world like that fatal south wind in 27:13, but with no bad results this time, though the weather was plainly treacherous at this early season.

On the second day ( $\delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho a ı$ ). This is the classical use of the predicate adjective, "We second day men" as in Lu 24:22; Joh 11:39; Php 3:5 instead of the adverb (Robertson, Grammar, p. 657).

To Puteoli ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ П о т ь о \lambda о и \varsigma) . ~ I t ~ w a s ~ 182 ~ m i l e s ~ f r o m ~ R h e g i u m ~ a n d ~ w o u l d ~ r e q u i r e ~ 26 ~ h o u r s ~$ (Page). It was eight miles northwest from Neapolis (Naples) and the chief port of Rome, the regular harbour for the Alexandrian ships from Rome. Portions of the great mole are said to be still visible.

Acts 28:14
Where we found brethren (ov $\varepsilon v \rho o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o v \varsigma)$ ). Possibly from Alexandria, but, as Blass observes, it is no more strange to find "brethren" in Christ in Puteoli when Paul arrives than in Rome. There was a large Jewish quarter.

Seven days ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha$ ). Accusative of extent of time. Paul and his party remained so long at the urgent request of the brethren. He was still a prisoner, but clearly Julius was only too glad to show another courtesy to Paul to whom they all owed their lives. It was 130 miles by land from Puteoli to Rome over one of the great Roman roads.

And so we came to Rome ( $\kappa \alpha$ ov $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon ء \varsigma \tau \eta \nu$ Po $\mu \eta \nu \eta \lambda \theta \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ). So at last. Luke is exultant as Page observes: Paulus Romae captivus: triumphus unicus. It is the climax of the book of Acts (19:21; $23: 11$ ), but not the close of Paul's career. Page rightly remarks that a new paragraph should begin with verse 15 , for brethren came from Rome and this part of the journey is touched with the flavour of that incident. The great event is that Paul reached Rome, but not as he had once hoped (Ro 15:22-29).

Acts 28:15
When they heard of us ( $\alpha \kappa о \cup \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \omega v$ ). How "they heard the things concerning us" we do not know. Good news had its way of travel even before the days of tele-
graph, telephone, daily papers. Possibly Julius had to send on special couriers with news of his arrival after the shipwreck. Possibly some of the brethren in Puteoli at once (beginning of the week) sent on news to the brethren in Rome. The church in Rome had long ago received Paul's letter from Corinth at the hands of Phoebe.

To meet us ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \alpha \pi \alpha v \tau \eta \sigma \iota v \eta \mu \nu \nu$ ). Idiomatic phrase, "for meeting with us" (associative
 Mt 25:6; 1 Ti 4:17. Use after $\varepsilon ા \varsigma ~ r a t h e r ~ t h a n ~ i n f i n i t i v e ~ l i k e ~ a ~ t r a n s l a t i o n ~ H e b r a i s m ~(R o b e r t s o n, ~$ Grammar, p. 91).

As far as the Market of Appius ( $\alpha \chi \rho$ A $\pi \pi \iota \circ$ Фороv). The Forum of Appius, 90 miles from Puteoli, 40 from Rome, on the great Appian Way. The Censor Appius Claudius had constructed this part of the road, B.C. 312. Paul probably struck the Appian Way at Capua. Portions of this great stone highway are still in use. If one wishes to tread where Paul trod, he can do it here. Appii Forum had a bad reputation, the haunt of thieves, thugs, and swindlers. What would this motley crowd think of Paul chained to a soldier?

Three Taverns (T $\rho \omega \omega v$ T $\alpha \beta \varepsilon \rho v \omega v$ ). Genitive case after $\alpha \chi \rho$ like A $\pi \pi \iota o v$ Фo $\rho o v$. About 30 miles from Rome. Tres Tabernae.

Whom (ouc). Two groups of the disciples came (one Gentile, one Jewish, Rackham thinks), one to Appii Forum, the other to Three Taverns. It was a joyous time and Julius would not interfere.

Took courage ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \theta \alpha \rho \sigma o \varsigma)$. The old substantive $\theta \alpha \rho \sigma o \varsigma$ is here alone in the N.T. Jesus himself had exhorted Paul to be of good courage ( $\theta \alpha \rho \sigma \varepsilon$ Ac 23:11) as he had done the disciples (Joh 16:33 ). Paul had passed through enough to cause depression, whether he was depressed or not, but he deeply appreciated this kindly sympathy.

Acts 28:16
Paul was suffered to abide by himself ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \pi \eta \tau \omega$ Пav $\lambda \omega \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon เ v ~ \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ عavтov). Second aorist passive of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \circ$, to permit or allow. Literally, "It was permitted to Paul to abide by himself." Some late documents (Textus Receptus) here add: "The centurion delivered the prisoners to the captain of the guard" (or the $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau о \pi \varepsilon \delta \alpha \rho \chi$ ). This officer used to be considered Burrus who was Prefect of the Praetorian Guard A.D. 51-62. But it is by no means certain that Julius turned the prisoners over to this officer. It seems more likely that Julius would report to the captain of the Peregrini. If so, we may be sure that Julius would give a good report of Paul to this officer who would be kindly disposed and would allow Paul comparative freedom (living by himself, in his lodging, verse 23, his own hired house verse 30 , though still chained to a soldier).

With the soldier that guarded him ( $\sigma u v \tau \omega \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma o v \tau \alpha u \tau o v \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \omega \tau \eta$ ). Probably a new soldier every day or night, but always with this soldier chained to his right hand day and night. Now that Paul is in Rome what can he do for Christ while he awaits the outcome of his own appeal to Nero?

## Acts 28:17

Those that were the chief of the Jews ( $\tau 0 v \varsigma ~ o v \tau \alpha \varsigma \tau \omega \nu$ Iov $\alpha \alpha \omega \nu \pi \rho \omega \tau o v \varsigma$ ). This use of $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma$ for the leading men of a city or among the Jews we have already had in 13:50; 25:2; Lu 19:47. Literally, "Those that were first among the Jews." The position of the participle ov $\alpha a \varsigma$ between the article and the adjective $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ ovs is regular (Robertson, Grammar, p. 777).

When they were come together ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \lambda \theta o v \tau \omega v \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute again. Paul could not go to the synagogue, as his custom was, being a bound prisoner. So he invited the Jewish leaders to come to his lodging and hear his explanation of his presence in Rome as a prisoner with an appeal to Caesar. He is anxious that they may understand that this appeal was forced upon him by Festus following Felix and lot because he has come to make an attack on the Jewish people. He was sure that false reports had come to Rome. These non-Christian Jews accepted Paul's invitation.

Nothing against (ov $\varepsilon \varepsilon v \varepsilon v a v \tau \iota o v$ ). Adjective here as in 26:9, not preposition as in 7:10; 8:32. From $\varepsilon v$ and $\alpha v \tau \iota \varsigma(\alpha \nu \tau)$, face to face. Concessive participle $\pi o \iota \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ as in verse 4 $(\delta ı \alpha \sigma \omega \theta \varepsilon v \tau \alpha)$ which see.

Yet was I delivered prisoner from Jerusalem into the hands of the Romans ( $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ$ ऽ $\varepsilon \xi$ Iєробо $\lambda \nu \mu \omega \nu \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta о \theta \eta \nu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha \varsigma \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \varsigma \tau \omega \nu$ Ро $\mu \alpha \iota \omega \nu$ ). This condensed statement does not explain how he "was delivered," for in fact the Jews were trying to kill him when Lysias rescued him from the mob (22:27-36). The Jews were responsible for his being in the hands of the Romans, though they had hoped to kill him first.

Acts 28:18
When they had examined me ( $\alpha v \alpha \kappa \rho \imath v \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \mu \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active participle of $\alpha v \alpha \kappa \rho \imath \omega \omega$, the same verb used already in $24: 8 ; 25: 6,26$ of the judicial examinations by Felix and Festus.

Desired ( $\varepsilon \beta$ ov $10 v \tau 0$ ). Imperfect middle of attempted action or picture of their real attitude. This is a correct statement as the words of both Felix and Festus show.

Because there was ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ тo--v $\tau \alpha \rho \chi \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Accusative case with $\delta ı \alpha$ (causal use) with the articular infinitive, "Because of the being no cause of death in me" ( $\varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o}$, in my case, aıtıa, usual word for crime or charge of crime).

Acts 28:19
When the Jews spake against it ( $\alpha v \tau \iota \lambda \varepsilon \gamma 0 \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu$ Iov $\alpha a \iota \omega \nu$ ). Genitive absolute again, $\alpha \nu \tau \iota \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \tau \omega \nu(\alpha v \tau \iota \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega)$ common verb for speaking against as in 13:45. Clementer dicit (Bengel). "The word is a mild one to describe the bitter enmity of the Jews" (Knowling).

I was constrained ( $\eta v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \sigma \theta \eta v$ ). "I was compelled," first aorist passive indicative of $\alpha v a \gamma \kappa \alpha \zeta \omega$, the very word used of Paul's efforts to get the Christians to blaspheme (26:11) which see. Paul was compelled to appeal to Caesar (see $25: 11,12$ for this phrase), unless Paul was willing to be the victim of Jewish hate when he had done no wrong.

Not that I had aught to accuse my nation of (ovX $\omega \varsigma$ रov $\varepsilon \theta v o v \varsigma ~ \mu o v ~ \varepsilon \chi \omega v \tau$ кatn $\gamma$ opeıv). This use of $\omega \varsigma$ with a participle ( $\varepsilon \chi \omega v$ ) is common in Greek for the alleged reason. The genitive case with the infinitive кatๆ $\gamma$ о $\rho \varepsilon v$ is regular. Paul says $\varepsilon \theta v o s$ instead of $\lambda$ oos as in 24:17; 26:4 .

Acts 28:20
Did I intreat ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha$ ). Did I invite you.
Because of the hope of Israel ( $\varepsilon เ v \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon v \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta$ os $\tau$ ou $I \sigma \rho \alpha \varepsilon \lambda$ ). Genitive with preposition $\varepsilon$ ءveкยv. The hope of the Messiah is his point as in 26:6.

I am bound with this chain ( $\tau \eta \nu \alpha \lambda \nu \sigma ı \nu \tau \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \pi \varepsilon \rho ı \kappa \varepsilon \mu \alpha$ ). This old verb means to lie around as in Lu 17:2; Heb 12:1. But it is also used as the passive of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota t \mid \theta \eta \mu$, to place around with the accusative of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau \theta \eta \mu$ retained. It is a transitive passive. Paul does not lie around the chain, but the chain lies around him, a curious reversal of the imagery (Robertson, Grammar, p. 815).

Acts 28:21
Letters ( $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Official documents from the Sanhedrin about the charges against Paul.

Any harm of thee ( $\tau \pi \varepsilon \rho \sigma o v \pi o v \eta \rho o v)$.
Evil ( $\pi \mathrm{ov} \eta \rho \circ \vee$ ). The three aorists ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha, \alpha \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon 1 \lambda \varepsilon v, \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ) cover the past. These Jews do not mean to say that they had never heard of Paul. It is hardly likely that they had heard of his appeal to Caesar, "for how could the news have reached Rome before Paul?" (Page).

Acts 28:22
But we desire ( $\alpha \xi \nleftarrow \nu \mu \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon$ ). Old verb $\alpha \xi \nleftarrow \omega$, to deem worthy, to think right or proper as in $15: 38$ which see. They think it only fair to hear Paul's side of his case.

Concerning this sect ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \eta \varsigma$ alpعбє $\omega \varsigma \tau \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Paul had identified Christianity with Judaism (verse 20) in its Messianic hope. The language seems to imply that the number of Christians in Rome was comparatively small and mainly Gentile. If the edict of Claudius for the expulsion of the Jews from Rome (Ac 18:2) was due to disturbance over Christ (Xрףбтич), then even in Rome the Jews had special reason for hostility towards Christians.

Everywhere spoken against ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi \circ v \alpha v \tau 1 \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Cf. verse 19. The line of cleavage between Jew and Christian was now sharply drawn everywhere.

Acts 28:23
Appointed ( $\tau \alpha \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). First aorist middle participle of $\tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$. Formal arrangement as in Mt 28:16 when Jesus appointed the mountain for his meeting in Galilee.

In great number ( $\pi \lambda \varepsilon ו \sigma v \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Comparative of $\pi 0 \lambda v \varsigma$, "more than a few."
Expounded ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \tau 1 \theta \varepsilon \tau \circ)$. Imperfect middle of $\varepsilon \kappa \tau 1 \theta \eta \mu$, to set forth, as in 11:4; 18:26. He did it with detail and care and spent all day at it, "from morning till evening" ( $\alpha \pi 0 \pi \rho \omega$ $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho a \varsigma)$. In N.T. only here, 4:3 and Lu 24:29, though common word.

Persuading them concerning Jesus ( $\pi \varepsilon \iota \omega v$ avtovৎ $\pi \varepsilon \rho \tau 0 v$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). Conative present active participle, trying to persuade. It was only about Jesus that he could make good his claim concerning the hope of Israel (verse 20). It was Paul's great opportunity. So he appealed both to Moses and to the prophets for proof as it was his custom to do.

Acts 28:24
Some believed (o $\mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon ө$ (ov $\tau o$ ). Imperfect passive indicative of $\pi \varepsilon ı \theta \omega$. More exactly, "some began to be persuaded" (inchoative).

Some disbelieved (o $\delta \varepsilon \eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau o u v$ ). Imperfect active of $\alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega$, to disbelieve, continued to disbelieve. It is usually so.

Acts 28:25
When they agreed not ( $\alpha \sigma \nu \mu \varphi \omega v o$ ov $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Old adjective, only here in N.T., double compound ( $\alpha$ privative, $\sigma \nu \mu, \varphi \omega \nu \eta$ ), without symphony, out of harmony, dissonant, discordant. It was a triumph to gain adherents at all in such an audience.

They departed ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda v o v \tau 0$ ). Imperfect middle (direct) indicative, "They loosed themselves from Paul." Graphic close.

After that Paul had spoken one word ( $\varepsilon ו \pi о \nu \tau o \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ П \alpha u \lambda o v ~ \rho \eta \mu \alpha \varepsilon v)$. Genitive absolute. One last word (like a preacher) after the all day exposition.

Well ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma$ ). Cf. Mt 14:7; Mr 7:6,9 (irony). Here strong indignation in the very position of the word (Page).

To your fathers ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau 0 \nu \varsigma \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma ~ v \mu \omega v$ ). So Aleph A B instead of $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ (our) like Stephen in 7:52 whose words Paul had heard. By mentioning the Holy Spirit Paul shows (Knowling) that they are resisting God (7:52).

Acts 28:26
Say ( $\varepsilon \iota \pi \circ v$ ). Second aorist active imperative instead of the old form $\varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon$. The quotation is from Isa 6:9,10. This very passage is quoted by Jesus (Mt 13:14,15; Mr 4:12; Lu 8:10) in explanation of his use of parables and in Joh 12:40 the very point made by Paul here, "the disbelief of the Jews in Jesus" (Page). See on Matthew for discussion of the language used. Here the first time ("go to this people and say") does not occur in Matthew. It is a solemn dirge of the doom of the Jews for their rejection of the Messiah foreseen so long ago by Isaiah.

Acts 28:28
This salvation ( $\tau 0 \cup \tau 0 ~ \tau o ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho ı o v) . ~ A d j e c t i v e ~ f r o m ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho ~(S a v i o u r), ~ s a v i n g, ~ b r i n g i n g ~$ salvation. Common in the old Greek. The neuter as here often in LXX (as Ps 67:2 ) as substantive like $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha$ (cf. Lu 3:6 ).

They will also hear (auto ка акоибоvта). Avto as opposed to the rejection by the Jews, "vivid and antithetical" (Page).

Acts 28:30

Two whole years ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \tau \iota \alpha v o \lambda \eta v$ ). Only here in N.T. and 24:27 which see. During these busy years in Rome Paul wrote Philippians, Philemon, Colossians, Ephesians, Epistles that would immortalize any man, unless, forsooth, one or more of them was written from Ephesus or Caesarea, which has not yet been proven.

In his own hired dwelling ( $\varepsilon v \iota \delta \omega \omega \mu \sigma \theta \omega \mu a \tau$ ). Old word, here only in N.T., that which is hired for a price (from $\mu \sigma \sigma \theta o \omega$ and that from $\mu \circ \sigma \theta o \varsigma$, hire).

Received ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau 0$ ). Imperfect middle of $\alpha \pi о \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, received from time to time as


Preaching ( $\kappa \varepsilon \rho v \sigma \sigma \omega v)$,
teaching ( $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega v$ ), the two things that concerned Paul most, doing both as if his right hand was not in chains, to the amazement of those in Rome and in Philippi (Php 1:12-14).

None forbidding him ( $\alpha \kappa \omega \lambda \nu \tau \omega \varsigma)$. Old adverb from $\alpha$ privative and the verbal adjective $\kappa \omega \lambda \nu \tau \sigma$ ( from $\kappa \omega \lambda \nu \omega$, to hinder), here only in the N.T. Page comments on "the rhythmic cadence of the concluding words." Page rejects the notion that the book is an unfinished work. It closes with the style of a concluded work. I agree with Harnack that Luke wrote the Acts during this period of two years in Rome and carried events no further because they had gone no further. Paul was still a prisoner in Rome when Luke completed the book. But he had carried Paul to "Rome, the capital of the world, Urbi et Orbi" (Page). The gospel of Christ has reached Rome. For the fate of Paul we must turn elsewhere. But Luke had the presence of Paul while he carried the Acts to its triumphant conclusion. Ramsay can give a good deal in proof of his claim that Luke is the greatest of all historians. Beyond a doubt his rank is high and the world can never repay its debt to this cultured physician who wrote the Gospel and the Acts.

## THE EPISTLES OF PAUL BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

## IMPORTANCE OF PAUL'S WORK

It is impossible to put too much emphasis on the life and work of Paul as the great interpreter of Christ. He has been misunderstood in modern times as he was during his career. Some accuse him of perverting the pure gospel of Christ about the Kingdom of God into a theological and ecclesiastical system. He has been accused of rabbinizing the gospel by carrying over his Pharisaism, while others denounce him for Hellenizing the gospel with Greek philosophy and the Greek mystery-religions. But out of all the welter of attacks Paul's Epistles stand as the marvellous expression of his own conception of Christ and the application of the gospel to the life of the Christians in the Graeco-Roman world in which they lived by eternal principles that apply to us today. In order to understand Paul's Epistles one must know the Acts of the Apostles in which Luke has drawn with graphic power the sudden change of the foremost opponent of Christ into the chief expounder and proclaimer of the gospel of the Risen Christ. The Acts and the Epistles supplement each other in a marvellous way, though chiefly in an incidental fashion. It is by no means certain that Luke had access to any of Paul's Epistles before he wrote the Acts, though that was quite possible for the early Epistles. It does not greatly matter for Luke had access to Paul himself both in Caesarea and in Rome. The best life of Paul one can get comes by combining the Acts with the Epistles if he knows how to do it. Paul is Luke's hero, but he has not overdrawn the picture in the Acts as is made clear by the Epistles themselves which reveal his own grasp and growth. The literature on Paul is vast and constantly growing. He possesses a fascination for students of the New Testament and of Christianity. It is impossible here to allude even to the most important in so vast a field. Conybeare and Howson's Life and Epistles of St. Paul still has value. Sir W. M. Ramsay has a small library on Paul and his Epistles. Stalker's masterful little book on Paul still grips men as does the work of Sabatier. Deissmann's St. Paul continues to throw light on the great Apostle to the Gentiles. Those who wish my own view at greater length will find them in my various books on Paul (Epochs in the Life of Paul, Paul the Interpreter of Christ, etc.).

THE REASON FOR HIS EPISTLES
In a real sense Paul's Epistles are tracts for the times, not for the age in general, but to meet real emergencies. He wrote to a particular church or group of churches or persons to meet immediate needs brought to his attention by messengers or letters. Dr. Deissmann contends strongly for the idea of calling Paul's Epistles "letters" rather than "Epistles." He gives a studied literary character to "epistles" as more or less artificial and written for the public eye rather than for definite effect. Four of Paul's Epistles are personal (those to Philemon, Titus, and Timothy) beyond a doubt, but in these which can properly be termed
personal letters there are the principles of the gospel applied to personal, social, and ecclesiastical problems in such a pungent fashion that they possess permanent value. In the earliest group of Paul's Epistles, he reminds the Thessalonians of the official character of the Epistle which was meant for the church as a whole (1Th 5:27). He says also: "But if any one does not obey our word by the epistle, mark this one, not to associate with him, that he may be put to shame" (2Th 3:14). He calls attention to his signature as proof of the genuineness of every epistle (2Th 3:17). He gave directions for the public reading of his epistles (Col 4:16 ). He regarded them as the expression of God's will through the life of the churches and he put his whole heart into them. Two great controversies stirred Paul's life. That with the Judaizers called forth the great doctrinal group (I Corinthians, II Corinthians, Galatians, Romans). That with the Gnostics occasioned the Epistles to the Colossians and the Ephesians (Laodiceans) and this controversy ran on into the Pastoral Epistles. Each Epistle had its particular occasion which will be pointed out in due season. But even in the short ones like Philippians, Colossians and Ephesians Paul deals with the sublimest of all themes, the Person of Christ, with a masterfulness never equalled elsewhere. Even in I Corinthians, which deals so largely with church problems in Corinth, two great chapters rise to the heights of real eloquence (Chapter 1Co 13 on Love and Chapter 1Co 15 on the Resurrection). Romans, the greatest of his Epistles, has the fullest discussion of Paul's gospel of grace and Chapter 1Co 8 has a sweep of imagination and a grasp of faith unsurpassed. Hence, while denying to Paul the artificial rules of the rhetoricians attributed to him by Blass, I cannot agree that Paul's church Epistles are mere incidental letters. It is not a question whether Paul was writing for posterity or for the present emergency. He wrote for the present emergency in the most effective possible way. He brought the whole gospel message to bear upon the varied and pressing problems of the early Christians in the power of the Holy Spirit with the eloquence of a mind all ablaze with the truth and with a heart that yearned for their souls for Christ. They are not literary epistles, but they are more than personal letters. They are thunderbolts of passion and power that struck centre and that strike fire now for all who will take the trouble to come to them for the mind of Christ that is here.

## DATES OF HIS EPISTLES

Unfortunately there is not complete agreement among scholars as to the dates of some of Paul's Epistles. Baur denied the Pauline authorship of all the Epistles save I and II Corinthians, Galatians, Romans. Today some deny that Paul wrote the Pastoral Epistles, though admitting the others. Some admit Pauline fragments even in the Pastoral Epistles, but more about this when these Epistles are reached. There is more doubt about the date of Galatians than any of the others. Lightfoot put it just before Romans, while Ramsay now makes it the earliest of all. The Epistle itself has no notes of place or time. The Epistles to the Thessalonians were written from Corinth after Timothy had been sent from Athens by Paul to Thessalonica (1Th 3:1f. ) and had just returned to Paul (1Th 3:6 ) which we know was in Corinth (Ac
$18: 5$ ) shortly before Gallio came as Proconsul of Achaia (Ac 18:12). We can now feel certain from the new "acclamation" of Claudius in the inscription at Delphi recently explained by Deissmann in his St. Paul that the Thessalonian Epistles were written 50 to 51 A.D. We know also that he wrote I Corinthians while in Ephesus (1Co 16:8) and before pentecost, though the precise year is not given. But he spent three years at Ephesus in round numbers (Ac 19:8,10; 20:31) and he wrote just before he left, probably spring of A.D. 54 or $55 . \mathrm{He}$ wrote II Corinthians from Macedonia shortly after leaving Ephesus (2Co 2:12) ] apparently the same year. Romans was written from Corinth and sent by Phoebe of Cenchreae (Ro 16:1f. ) unless Ro 16 be considered a separate Epistle to Ephesus as some hold, a view that does not commend itself to me. Deissmann (New Testament in the Light of Modern Research, p.33) accepts a modern theory that Ephesus was the place of the writing of the first prison Epistles (Philippians, Philemon, Colossians, Ephesians) as well as I Corinthians and Galatians and dates them all between A.D. 52 and 55. But we shall find that these prison Epistles most naturally fall to Rome between A.D. 61 and 63. If the Pastoral Epistles are genuine, as I hold, they come between A.D. 65 and 68. Bartlet argues for a date before A.D. 64, accepting the view that Paul was put to death then. But it is still far more probable that Paul met his death in Rome in A.D. 68 shortly before Nero's death which was June 8, A.D. 68. It will thus be seen that the dates of several of the Epistles are fairly clear, while some remain quite uncertain. In a broad outlook they must all come between A.D. 50 and 68.

FOUR GROUPS OF PAULINE EPISTLES
I. First Thessalonians. | Second Thessalonians. | A.D. 50 to 51.

Chief topic Eschatology. To correct misconceptions in Thessalonica.
II. First Corinthians. | Second Corinthians | Galatians | A.D. 54 to 57. Romans. $\mid$

Chief topic Justification by Faith. Defence against the Judaizers.
III. Philippians. | Philemon. | Colossians. |A.D. 61 to 63. Ephesians (Laodiceans).

Chief topic Christology. Defence against the Gnostic perversions of the Person of Christ.
IV. First Timothy. | Titus. | A.D. 65 to 68. Second Timothy. |

Ecclesiastical Problems to the fore.

## DEVELOPMENT IN PAUL'S THEOLOGY

The study of Paul's Epistles in the order of their writing is the best possible way of seeing his own growth as a theologian and interpreter of Christ. Sabatier long ago laid emphasis on this point in his book The Apostle Paul as did Matheson in The Spiritual Development of Paul. It is a tragedy to have to read Paul's Epistles as printed in the usual Greek text of Westcott and Hort and the English translations, beginning with Romans and ending with Philemon. In the manuscripts that give Paul's Epistles Romans comes first as the largest and most important, but Titus and Philemon come after II Timothy (the last just before his death). We know something of Paul's early preaching how he laid emphasis on the Messiahship of Jesus proven by his resurrection, Paul himself having seen the Risen Christ (Ac 9:22
). This conviction and experience lay at the foundation of all his work and he never faltered concerning it (Ac 17:3). In the earliest sermon of which we have a full report Paul proclaims justification by faith in Christ with forgiveness of sins (Ac 13:38f. ), blessings not obtained by the law of Moses. In the unfolding life of Paul he grappled with great problems of Jewish rabbinism and Greek philosophy and mystery-religions and Paul himself grew in stature as he courageously and victoriously faced Judaizer and Gnostic. There are scholars who claim that Paul surrendered to the appeal of Gnostic sacramentarianism and so went back on his great doctrine of justification by faith, not by works. It will be shown at the proper time that this view misinterprets Paul's attitude. The events given by Luke in the Acts fit in with the self-revelation of Paul in his own Epistles as we read them. Each one of the four groups of Epistles has a slightly different style and vocabulary as is natural when one comes to think of it. The same thing is true of the plays of Shakespeare and the poems of Milton. Style is the man, Buffon says. Yes, but style is also a function of the subject. Particularly is this true of vocabulary which has to vary with the different topics treated. But style in the same man varies with different ages. Ripened old age mellows the exuberance of youth and the passionate vehemence of manhood. We shall see Paul himself in his Epistles, letting himself go in various ways and in different moods. But in all the changing phases of his life and work there is the same masterful man who glories in being the slave of Jesus Christ and the Apostle to the Gentiles. The passion of Paul is Christ and one can feel the throb of the heart of the chief of sinners who became the chief of saints in all his Epistles. There is the Pauline glow and glory in them all.

## SOME BOOKS ON THE PAULINE EPISTLES

Bate, As a Whole Guide to the Epistles of St. Paul (1927). Bonnet-Schroeder, Epitres de Paul (4 ed. 1912). Champlain, The Epistles of Paul (1906). Clemen, Einheitlichkeit d. paul. Briefe (1894). Conybeare and Howson, Life and Epistles of St. Paul. Drummond, The Epistles of Paul the Apostle (1899). Hayes, Paul and His Epistles (1915). Heinrici, Die Forschungen uber die paul. Briefe (1886). Lake, The Earlier Epistles of St. Paul (1915). Lewin, Life and Epistles of St. Paul. (1875). Neil, The Pauline Epistles (1906). Scott, The Pauline Epistles (1909). Shaw, The Pauline Epistles (1903). Vischer, Die Paulusbriefe (1910). Voelter, Die Composition der paul. Haupt Briefe (1890). Voelter, Paulus und seine Briefe (1905). Way, The Letters of Paul to Seven Churches and Three Friends (1906) Weinel, Die Echtheit der paul. Hauptbriefe (1920). Weiss, B., Present Status of the Inquiry Concerning the Genuineness of the Pauline Epistles (1901). Weiss, B., Die Paulinische Briefe (1902). Wood, Life, Letters, and Religion of St. Paul (1925).

THE EPISTLE TO THE ROMANS
SPRING OF A.D. 57

## BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

## INTEGRITY OF THE EPISTLE

The genuineness of the Epistle is so generally admitted by scholars that it is unnecessary to prove it here, for Loman, Steck, and the Dutch scholars (Van Manen, etc.) who deny it as Pauline are no longer taken seriously. He wrote it from Corinth because he sent it to Rome by Phoebe of Cenchreae (Ro 16:2) if chapter 16 is acknowledged to be a part of the Epistle. Chapter 16 is held by some to be really a short epistle to Ephesus because of the long list of names in it, because of Paul's long stay in Ephesus, because he had not yet been to Rome, and because, in particular, Aquila and Priscilla are named (Ro 16:3-5) who had been with Paul in Ephesus. But they had come from Rome before going to Corinth and there is no reason for thinking that they did not return to Rome. It was quite possible for Paul to have many friends in Rome whom he had met elsewhere. People naturally drifted to Rome from all over the empire. The old MSS. (Aleph A B C D) give chapter 16 as an integral part of the Epistle. Marcion rejected it and chapter 15 also for reasons of his own. Renan's theory that Romans was a circular letter like Ephesians sent in different forms to different churches (Rome, Ephesus, Thessalonica, etc.) has appealed to some scholars as explaining the several doxologies in the Epistle, but they cause no real difficulty since Paul interjected them in his other epistles according to his moods (2Co 1:20, for instance). That theory raises more problems than it solves as, for example, Paul's remarks about going to Rome (Ro 1:9-16) which apply to Rome. Lightfoot suggests the possibility that Paul added Ro 16:25-27 some years after the original date so as to turn it into a circular letter. But the MSS. do not support that theory and that leaves Ro 15:22-33 in the Epistle quite unsuitable to a circular letter. Modern knowledge leaves the Epistle intact with occasional variations in the MSS. on particular points as is true of all the N.T.

THE TIME AND PLACE
The place is settled if we accept Ro 16:1. The time of the year is in the spring if we combine statements in the Acts and the Epistle. He says: "I am now going to Jerusalem ministering to the saints" (Ro 15:25). In Ac 20:3 we read that Paul spent three months in Corinth. In II Corinthians we have a full account of the collection for the poor saints in Jerusalem. The account of the journey from Corinth to Jerusalem is given in Ac 20:3-21:17 . It was in the spring between passover at Philippi (Ac 20:6) and pentecost in Jerusalem ( $20: 16 ; 21: 17$ ). The precise year is not quite so certain, but we may suggest A.D. 57 or 58 with reasonable confidence.

## THE PURPOSE

Paul tells this himself. He had long cherished a desire to come to Rome (Ac 19:21) and had often made his plans to do so (Ro 1:13 ) which were interrupted (Ro 15:22), but now he definitely plans to go from Jerusalem, after taking the contribution there (Ro 15:26 ), to Rome and then on to Spain (Ro 15:24,28). Meanwhile he sends this Epistle that the Romans may know what Paul's gospel really is (Ro $1: 15 ; 2: 16$ ). He is full of the issues raised by the

Judaizing controversy as set forth in the Epistles to Corinth and to Galatia. So in a calmer mood and more at length he presents his conception of the Righteousness demanded by God (Ro 1:17) of both Gentile (Ro 1:18-32) and Jew (Ro 2:1-3:20) and only to be obtained by faith in Christ who by his atoning death (justification) has made it possible (Ro 3:21-5:21 ). This new life of faith in Christ should lead to holiness of life (sanctification, chapters Ro 6-8 ). This is Paul's gospel and the remaining chapters deal with corollaries growing out of the doctrine of grace as applied to practical matters. It is a cause for gratitude that Paul did write out so full a statement of his message. He had a message for the whole world and was anxious to win the Roman Empire to Christ. It was important that he go to Rome for it was the centre of the world's life. Nowhere does Paul's Christian statesmanship show to better advantage than in this greatest of his Epistles. It is not a book of formal theology though Paul is the greatest of theologians. Here Paul is seen in the plenitude of his powers with all the wealth of his knowledge of Christ and his rich experience in mission work. The church in Rome is plainly composed of both Jews and Greeks, though who started the work there we have no way of knowing. Paul's ambition was to preach where no one else had been (Ro 15:20 ), but he has no hesitation in going on to Rome.

## COMMENTARIES

No one of Paul's Epistles has more helpful modern commentaries on it than this one, such as those by Barth (1919), Beet (9th ed., 1901), Cook (1930), Denney (1901), Feine (1903), Garvie (1901), Gifford (1881), Godet (Tr., 1883), Gore (Expos.), Grey (1910), GriffithThomas (1913), Hodge (1856), Hort (Intr., 1895), Jowett (3rd ed., 1894), Julicher (2 Aufl., 1907), Kuhl (1913), Lagrange (1916), Lard (1875), Liddon (Anal., 1893), Lietzmann (2 Aufl., 1919), Lightfoot (chapters 1-7, 1895), Luetgert (1913), Monk (1893), Plummer, Richter (1908), Sanday and Headlam (1895), Shedd (1893), Stifler (1897), Vaughan (1890), Weiss, B. (Meyer Komm., g Aufl., 1899), Westcott, F. B. (1913), Zahn (1910).

## Romans 1

Rom 1:1
To the Romans ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \mathrm{P} \omega \mu \alpha \iota \circ \varsigma \varsigma)$. This is the title in Aleph A B C, our oldest Greek MSS. for the Epistle. We do not know whether Paul gave any title at all. Later MSS. add other words up to the Textus Receptus: The Epistle of Paul to the Romans. The Epistle is put first in the MSS. because it is the most important of Paul's Epistles.

Paul (Пav入oc). Roman name (Пau入vৎ). See on Ac 13:9 for the origin of this name by the side of Saul.

Servant ( $\delta o u \lambda$ oc). Bond-slave of Jesus Christ (or Christ Jesus as some MSS. give it and as is the rule in the later Epistles) for the first time in the Epistles in the opening sentence, though the phrase already in Ga 1:10. Recurs in Php 1:1 and $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ \circ$ (bondsman) in Phm 1:1.

Called to be an apostle ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \circ \varsigma \alpha \pi о \sigma \tau о \lambda o \varsigma)$. An apostle by vocation (Denney) as in 1Co 1:1. In Ga 1:1 $\kappa \lambda \eta$ خос is not used, but the rest of the verse has the same idea.

Separated ( $\alpha \varphi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle of $\alpha \varphi 0 \rho \iota \zeta \omega$ for which verb see on Ga 1:15. Paul is a spiritual Pharisee (etymologically), separated not to the oral tradition, but to God's gospel, a chosen vessel (Ac 9:15 ). By man also (Ac 13:2). Many of Paul's characteristic words like $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda^{\prime}$ ıo have been already discussed in the previous Epistles that will call for little comment from now on.

## Rom 1:2

He promised afore ( $\pi \rho о \varepsilon \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha \tau o$ ). First aorist middle of $\pi \rho о \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ for which verb see on 2Co 9:5.

By ( $\delta \mathbf{L} \alpha$ ). Through, by means of, intermediate agency like Mt 1:22 which see.
In the holy scriptures ( $\varepsilon v \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \alpha ı \varsigma ~ \alpha \gamma \iota a \iota)$ ). No article, yet definite. Perhaps the earliest use of the phrase (Sanday and Headlam). Paul definitely finds God's gospel in the Holy Scriptures.

Rom 1:3
Concerning his Son ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ tov viov avtov). Just as Jesus found himself in the O.T. (Lu 24:27,46 ). The deity of Christ here stated.

According to the flesh ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \kappa \kappa \alpha)$. His real humanity alongside of his real deity. For the descent from David see Mt 1:1,6,20; Lu 1:27; Joh 7:42; Ac 13:23, etc.

Rom 1:4
Who was declared ( $\tau 0 v$ opıo $\theta \varepsilon v \tau o \varsigma) . ~ A r t i c u l a r ~ p a r t i c i p l e ~(f i r s t ~ a o r i s t ~ p a s s i v e) ~ o f ~ o \rho ı \zeta \omega ~$ for which verb see on $\mathrm{Lu} 22: 22$; Ac 2:23. He was the Son of God in his preincarnate state (2Co 8:9; Php 2:6 ) and still so after his Incarnation (verse 3, "of the seed of David"), but it was the Resurrection of the dead ( $\varepsilon \xi$ $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ v \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega v$, the general resurrection implied by that of Christ) that definitely marked Jesus off as God's Son because of his claims about
himself as God's Son and his prophecy that he would rise on the third day. This event (cf. 1Co 15 ) gave God's seal "with power" ( $\varepsilon v \delta v v a \mu \varepsilon$ ), "in power," declared so in power (2Co 13:4). The Resurrection of Christ is the miracle of miracles. "The resurrection only declared him to be what he truly was" (Denney).

According to the spirit of holiness ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \alpha \gamma เ \omega \sigma \nu \nu \eta \varsigma)$. Not the Holy Spirit, but a description of Christ ethically as ката барка describes him physically (Denney). Ha $\gamma \omega \sigma \sigma v \eta$ is rare (1Th 3:13; 2Co 7:1 in N.T.), three times in LXX, each time as the attribute of God. "The $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha ~ \alpha \gamma เ \omega \sigma v v \eta \varsigma$, though not the Divine nature, is that in which the Divinity or Divine Personality Resided " (Sanday and Headlam).

Jesus Christ our Lord (I $\eta \sigma o v$ Xpıбтov tov кvрıov $\eta \mu \omega v$ ). These words gather up the total personality of Jesus (his deity and his humanity).

Rom 1:5
Unto obedience of faith ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ v \pi \alpha \kappa о \eta \nu \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Subjective genitive as in 16:26, the obedience which springs from faith (the act of assent or surrender).

Rom 1:6
Called to be Jesus Christ's ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau$ to I $\eta \sigma o v$ X $\rho ı \sigma \tau o v$ ). Predicate genitive after $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau$ о (verbal adjective from $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to call), though it is possible to consider it the ablative case, "called of (or from) Jesus Christ."

Rom 1:7
In Rome ( $\varepsilon v \mathrm{P} \omega \mu \eta$ ). One late uncial ( G of tenth century) and a cursive omit these words here and one or two other late MSS. omit $\varepsilon v \mathrm{P} \omega \mu \eta$ in verse 15 . This possibly proves the Epistle was circulated as a circular to a limited extent, but the evidence is late and slight and by no means shows that this was the case in the first century. It is not comparable with the absence of $\varepsilon v E \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \omega$ in Eph 1:1 from Aleph and B (the two oldest and best MSS.).

Beloved of God ( $\alpha$ ү $\alpha \pi \eta \tau$ тıs $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Ablative case of $\theta$ zov after the verbal adjective like $\delta \iota \delta a \kappa \tau o \theta$ हov (taught of God) in Joh 6:45 (Robertson, Grammar, p. 516).

From God our Father and the Lord Jesus Christ ( $\alpha \pi о$ $\theta$ вov $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \omega v$ ка кирьо Inбov Xpıбтov). "St. Paul, if not formally enunciating a doctrine of the Divinity of Christ, held a view which cannot really be distinguished from it" (Sanday and Headlam). Paul's theology is clearly seen in the terms used in verses 1-7.

Rom 1:8
First ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \tau \nu \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Adverb in the accusative case, but no $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha \delta \varepsilon$ (in the next place) as in Heb 7:2 or $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ as in Jas 3:17 follows. The rush of thoughts crowds out the balanced phraseology as in Ro 3:2; 1Co 11:18.

Through ( $\delta ı \alpha$ ). As the mediator or medium of thanksgiving as in 7:25.
For ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ ). Concerning, about.
That (oт). Or because. Either declarative or causal ot makes sense here.
Your faith ( $\eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma \nu \mu \nu v$ ). "Your Christianity" (Sanday and Headlam).

Is proclaimed ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Present passive indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, to announce ( $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ ) up and down (ката). See also $\alpha v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, to bring back news (Joh 5:15 ), $\alpha \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, to announce from one as the source (Mt 2:8), $\pi \rho о к \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, to announce far and wide beforehand (Ac 3:18).

Throughout all the world ( $\varepsilon v$ o $\lambda \omega \tau \omega \kappa \kappa \sigma \mu \omega)$. Natural hyperbole as in Col 1:6; Ac 17:6 . But widely known because the church was in the central city of the empire.

## Rom 1:9

I serve ( $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon v \omega$ ). Old verb from $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho o v$, hire, and $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \iota \varsigma$, hireling, so to serve for hire, then to serve in general gods or men, whether sacred services (Heb 9:9; 10:2 ) or spiritual service as here. Cf. Ro 12:1; Php 3:3.

Unceasingly ( $\alpha \delta ı \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı \pi \tau \omega \varsigma)$. Late adverb for which see 1Th 1:2f.; 2:13; 5:17, only other N.T. examples.

Always ( $\pi \alpha \vee \tau 0 \tau \varepsilon)$. One might think that Paul prayed for no others, but he uses both adverbs in 1Th 1:2. He seems to have had prayer lists. He never omitted the Romans.

Rom 1:10
If by any means now at length ( $\varepsilon \pi \omega \varsigma \eta \delta \eta \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). A condition of the first class in the form of an indirect question (aim) or elliptical condition like Ac 27:12 (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1024). Note the four particles together to express Paul's feelings of emotion that now at length somehow it may really come true.

I may be prospered ( $\varepsilon v o \delta \omega \theta \eta \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha)$. First future passive indicative of $\varepsilon v o \delta o \omega$ for which verb see on 1Co 16:2.

By the will of God ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau \tau \operatorname{cov} \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Paul's way lay "in" God's will.
Rom 1:11
Impart ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \delta \omega)$. Second aorist active subjunctive of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, to share with one. See on Lu 3:11; 1Th 2:8.

To the end ye may be established ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau \sigma \sigma \tau \eta \rho \chi \chi \eta \eta \nu \alpha \nu \mu a \varsigma$ ). Final clause (common in Paul) with $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ to and the first aorist passive infinitive of $\sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \zeta \omega$ for which verb see on Lu 22:32; 1Th 3:3,13.

Rom 1:12
That is ( $\tau 0 \cup \tau 0 ~ \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). "An explanatory correction" (Denney). The $\delta \varepsilon$ should not be ignored. Instead of saying that he had a spiritual gift for them, he wishes to add that they also have one for him.

That I with you may be comforted ( $\sigma v v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \eta v \alpha \varepsilon v \nu \mu \nu v$ ). "My being comforted in you ( $\varepsilon v \nu \mu v)$ ) together ( $\sigma v v-$ ) with you," a mutual blessing to each party (you and me).

Rom 1:13
Oftentimes I purposed ( $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa ı \varsigma ~ \pi \rho о \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \mu \eta \nu)$. Second aorist middle of $\pi \rho о \tau ө \theta \eta \mu$, old verb to place, to propose to oneself, in N.T. only here, 3:25; Eph 1:9. See Ac 19:21 for this purpose.

And was hindered ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \omega \lambda \nu \theta \eta v$ ). "But was hindered," adversative use of $\kappa \alpha$.
That I might have some fruit ( $\imath \alpha \alpha \tau v \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \pi \sigma \nu \sigma \chi \omega$ ). Second aorist (ingressive), active of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, to have, and here means "might get (ingressive aorist) some fruit."

Rom 1:14
On

Both to Greeks and to Barbarians (H $\mathcal{\lambda} \lambda \eta \eta \tau v \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \beta \alpha \rho \beta \alpha \rho o \iota \varsigma)$. The whole human race from the Greek point of view, Jews coming under $\beta \alpha \rho \beta \alpha \rho o t s$. On this word see Ac 18:2,4; 1Co 4:11; Col 3:11 (only N.T. instances). The Greeks called all others barbarians and the Jews termed all others Gentiles. Did Paul consider the Romans as Greeks? They had absorbed the Greek language and culture.

Rom 1:15
So as much as in me is I am ready (ov $\quad \tau \omega \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \pi \rho o \theta v \mu o v$ ). Literally, "Thus the according to me affair is ready" ( $\pi \rho \circ \theta v \mu \circ \varsigma$, old adjective, $\pi \rho \sigma, \theta \nu \mu \circ \varsigma$ ). It is an awkward idiom like to $\varepsilon \xi v \mu \omega v$ in 12:18. The plural $\tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ we find in Php 1:12; Col 4:7; Eph 6:21

Rom 1:16
It is the power of God ( $\delta v v a \mu \iota \varsigma \theta \varepsilon o v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). This Paul knew by much experience. He had seen the dynamite of God at work.

To the Jew first, and also to the Greek (Iov $\alpha a \iota \omega \tau \varepsilon \pi \rho \omega \tau \circ v \kappa \alpha H \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ ). Jesus had taught this (Joh 4:22; 10:16; Lu 24:47; Ac $1: 8$ ). The Jew is first in privilege and in penalty (Ro 2:9f. ). It is not certain that $\pi \rho \omega \tau o v$ is genuine, but it is in $2: 9 \mathrm{f}$.

## Rom 1:17

For therein ( $\gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \nu \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). In the gospel (verse 16) of which Paul is not ashamed.
A righteousness of God ( $\delta \iota \alpha \ldots \circ \sigma v \nu \eta \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Subjective genitive, "a God kind of righteousness," one that each must have and can obtain in no other way save "from faith unto faith" ( $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota v)$, faith the starting point and faith the goal (Lightfoot).

Is revealed ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda v \pi \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). It is a revelation from God, this God kind of righteousness, that man unaided could never have conceived or still less attained. In these words we have Paul's statement in his own way of the theme of the Epistle, the content of the gospel as Paul understands it. Every word is important: $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha \nu$ (salvation), $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota o v$ (gospel), $\alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \pi \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ (is revealed), $\delta ı \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma u v \eta \theta \varepsilon o v$ (righteousness of God), $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$ (faith) and $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o v \tau$ (believing). He grounds his position on Hab 2:4 (quoted also in Ga 3:11 ). By "righteousness" we shall see that Paul means both "justification" and "sanctification." It is important to get a clear idea of Paul's use of $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma v v \eta$ here for it controls the thought throughout the Epistle. Jesus set up a higher standard of righteousness ( $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \circ \sigma v v \eta$ ) in the Sermon on the Mount than the Scribes and Pharisees taught and practised (Mt 5:20) and proves it in various items. Here Paul claims that in the gospel, taught by Jesus and by himself
there is revealed a God kind of righteousness with two ideas in it（the righteousness that God has and that he bestows）．It is an old word for quality from $\delta ⿺ 𠃊 ⿴ 囗 十 一 ⿱ 䒑 䶹 欠, ~, ~ a ~ r i g h t e o u s ~ m a n, ~$ and that from $\delta \iota \kappa \eta$ ，right or justice（called a goddess in $\mathrm{Ac} 28: 4$ ），and that allied with $\delta \varepsilon ı \kappa v v \mu$ ， to show，to point out．Other allied words are $\delta$（каıо $\omega$ ，to declare or make $\delta$ ıкаıо（Ro 3：24，26 ），$\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \mu$ ，that which is deemed $\delta ı \kappa \alpha \iota \rho$（sentence or ordinance as in 1：32；2：26；8：4）， $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega$ бц，the act of declaring $\delta ı \kappa \alpha \iota \rho$（only twice in N．T．，4：25；5：18 ）．$\Delta$ ıкаıобטvך and $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega$ are easy to render into English，though we use justice in distinction from righteous－ ness and sanctification for the result that comes after justification（the setting one right with God）．Paul is consistent and usually clear in his use of these great words．

Rom 1：18
For the wrath of God is revealed（ $\alpha \pi о к \alpha \lambda \nu \pi \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \alpha \rho$ о $\rho \gamma \eta \theta \varepsilon о v$ ）．Note in Romans Paul＇s use of $\gamma \alpha \rho$ ，now argumentative，now explanatory，now both as here．There is a parallel and antecedent revelation（see verse 17）of God＇s wrath corresponding to the revelation of God＇s righteousness，this an unwritten revelation，but plainly made known．$O \rho \gamma \eta$ is from o $\rho \gamma \alpha \omega$ ，to teem，to swell．It is the temper of God towards sin，not rage，but the wrath of reason and law（Shedd）．The revelation of God＇s righteousness in the gospel was necessary because of the failure of men to attain it without it，for God＇s wrath justly rested upon all both Gentiles（ $1: 18-32$ ）and Jews（ 2：1－3：20）．

Ungodliness（ $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ ）．Irreligion，want of reverence toward God，old word（cf．2Ti 2：16）．

Unrighteousness（ $\alpha \delta_{\iota \kappa \iota} \alpha v$ ）．Lack（ $\alpha$ privative and $\delta_{\iota \kappa \eta}$ ）of right conduct toward men， injustice（Ro 9：14；Lu 18：6 ）．This follows naturally from irreverence．The basis of ethical conduct rests on the nature of God and our attitude toward him，otherwise the law of the jungle（cf．Nietzsche，＂might makes right＂）．

Hold down the truth（ $\tau \eta \nu \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha \nu$ кат $\chi \chi \circ \tau \omega v$ ）．Truth（ $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha, \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \eta \varsigma$ ，from $\alpha$ privative and $\lambda \eta \theta \omega$ or $\lambda \alpha v \theta \alpha v \omega$ ，to conceal）is out in the open，but wicked men，so to speak， put it in a box and sit on the lid and＂hold it down in unrighteousness．＂Their evil deeds conceal the open truth of God from men．Cf．2Th 2：6f．for this use of $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \chi \omega$ ，to hinder．

Rom 1：19
Because（ $\delta \iota \tau \tau$ ）．Gives the reason（ $\delta \iota \alpha$ ，o like our＂for that＂）for the revelation of God＇s wrath．

That which may be known of God（ $\tau 0 \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau \sigma v$ रov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ）．Verbal adjective from $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ ，either＂the known＂as elsewhere in N．T．（Ac 1：19；15：18，etc．）or＂the knowable＂ as usual in ancient Greek，that is＂the knowledge＂（ $\eta \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota)$ of God．See Php 3：8．Cf．same use of the verbal $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o v$ in Ro 2：4，а $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau o v$ in Heb 6：17．

Manifest in them（ $\varphi$ avepov $\varepsilon v$ autoı）．In their hearts and consciences．
God manifested（o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma \varepsilon \varphi a v \varepsilon \rho \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$ ）．First aorist active indicative of $\varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho o \omega$ ．Not mere tautology．See 2：14－16．

Rom 1:20
The invisible things of him ( $\tau \alpha \alpha 0 \rho \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Another verbal adjective ( $\alpha$ privative and opa $\omega$, to see), old word, either unseen or invisible as here and elsewhere in N.T. (Col 1:15f. , etc.). The attributes of God's nature defined here as "his everlasting power and divinity"
 N.T. only here and Jude 1:6, common in Philo ( $\zeta \omega \eta$ aı $\delta \iota \varsigma$ ), elsewhere $\alpha \iota \omega v \iota o \varsigma . \Theta \varepsilon ı \tau \tau \eta$ is from $\theta \varepsilon$ os (from $\theta \varepsilon \circ \varsigma$ ) quality of $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma$ and corresponds more to Latin divinitas from divus,

deity , both old words and nowhere else in the N.T. ©eornc is Divine Personality, $\theta \varepsilon เ o \tau \eta \varsigma$, Divine Nature and properties (Sanday and Headlam).

Since the creation of the world ( $\alpha \pi \sigma$ ктıбє $\omega \varsigma \kappa \kappa \sigma \mu \circ v$ ). He means by God and unto God as antecedent to and superior to the world (cf. Col 1:15f . about Christ).

Are clearly seen ( $\kappa \alpha \theta$ o $\rho \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Present passive indicative of $\kappa \alpha \theta$ o $\alpha \omega$ (perfective use of ката-), old word, only here in N.T., with direct reference to aopata.

Being perceived ( $\operatorname{voov} \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Present passive participle of vos $\omega$, to use the vouc (intellect).

That they may be without excuse ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ \varepsilon ı v \alpha ~ a u \tau o v \varsigma ~ \alpha v a \pi o \lambda о ү \eta \tau o v \varsigma) . ~ M o r e ~ l i k e l y, ~$ "so that they are without excuse." The use of $\varepsilon$ sఢ to and the infinitive (with accusative of general reference) for result like $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ is reasonably clear in the N.T. (Moulton, Prolegomena, p. 219; Robertson, Grammar, p. 1003). Avaro入oү $\quad$ tovs is another verbal with av from aлодоүєона. Old word, in N.T. only here and Ro 2:1 ("inexcusable" here).

## Rom 1:21

Because that ( $\delta$ เot). As in verse 19.
Knowing God ( $\gamma \vee 0 \vee \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \varepsilon \sigma v$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, to know by personal experience. Definite statement that originally men had some knowledge of God. No people, however degraded, have yet been found without some yearning after a god, a seeking to find the true God and get back to him as Paul said in Athens (Ac 17:27).

Glorified not as God ( $o v \chi \omega \varsigma \theta \varepsilon o v \varepsilon \delta o \xi \alpha \sigma \alpha v$ ). They knew more than they did. This is the reason for the condemnation of the heathen (2:12-16), the failure to do what they know.

Their senseless heart ( $\eta \alpha \sigma \nu v \varepsilon \tau \sigma \varsigma \alpha \nu \tau \omega v \kappa \alpha \rho \delta ı \alpha)$. Kap $\delta \iota \alpha$ is the most comprehensive term for all our faculties whether feeling (Ro 9:2), will (1Co 4:5), intellect (Ro 10:6 ). It may be the home of the Holy Spirit (Ro 5:5) or of evil desires (1:24). See Mr 7:21f. for list of vices that come "out of the heart." Aбvvetoc is a verbal adjective from $\sigma \cup v ı \eta \mu$, to put together, and $\alpha$ privative, unintelligent, not able to put together the manifest evidence about God (verse 20). So darkness settled down on their hearts ( $\varepsilon \sigma \kappa о \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta$, first aorist ingressive passive of $\sigma \kappa о \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, to darken).

Rom 1:22

Professing themselves to be wise ( $\varphi \alpha \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon เ v \alpha \sigma о \varphi 0$ ). $\Sigma о \varphi 0$ is predicate nominative with $\varepsilon$ )va in indirect discourse agreeing with $\varphi \alpha \sigma \kappa о v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ (old verb, from $\varphi \eta \mu$, to say, rare in N.T.) in case and number according to regular Greek idiom (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1038).

Became vain ( $\varepsilon \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \omega \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Ingressive first aorist passive indicative of $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha \circ \omega \omega$ from $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \rho$ (empty). Empty reasonings as often today.

Became fools ( $\varepsilon \mu \omega \rho \alpha v \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Ingressive first aorist passive of $\mu \omega \rho \alpha \iota v \omega$, to be a fool, old word from $\mu \omega \rho \circ \varsigma$, a fool. An oxymoron or sharp saying, true and one that cuts to the bone.

For the likeness of an image ( $\varepsilon v$ оноє $\omega \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon ו \kappa о v o \varsigma)$. Both words, "a likeness which consists in an image or copy" (Lightfoot). See Php 2:7 for "likeness of men" and Col 1:15 for "image of God." Paul shows indignant contempt for these grotesque efforts to present pictures of a deity that had been lost (Denney). Why is it that heathen images of gods in the form of men and beasts are so horrible to look upon?

Rom 1:24
Wherefore ( $\delta ı$ o). Paul's inexorable logic. See it also in verse 26 with the same verb and in verse 28 к人 like "and so."

God gave them up ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon \nu$ avtouc o $\theta \varepsilon о \varsigma$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \mu$, old and common verb to hand over (beside, $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ) to one's power as in Mt 4:12 . These people had already wilfully deserted God who merely left them to their own selfdetermination and self-destruction, part of the price of man's moral freedom. Paul refers to this stage and state of man in Ac 17:30 by "overlooked" (vđepı $\delta \omega v$ ). The withdrawal of God's restraint sent men deeper down. Three times Paul uses $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ here (verses 24,26,28 ), not three stages in the giving over, but a repetition of the same withdrawal. The words sound to us like clods on the coffin as God leaves men to work their own wicked will.

That their bodies should be dishonoured ( $\tau \circ v \alpha \tau \mu \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Contemplated result expressed by tov (genitive article) and the passive infinitive $\alpha \tau \mu \mu \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta a$ (from $\alpha \tau \mu \mu \varsigma$, a privative and $\tau / \mu \circ \varsigma$, dishonoured) with the accusative of general reference. Christians had a new sense of dignity for the body (1Th 4:4; 1Co 6:13). Heathenism left its stamp on the bodies of men and women.

Rom 1:25
Exchanged ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \eta \lambda \lambda \alpha \xi \alpha v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, old word for exchanging trade, only here and verse 26 in N.T. What a bargain they made, "the truth of God for ( $\varepsilon v$ ) the $(\tau \omega)$ lie." "The price of mythology" (Bengel).

Worshipped ( $\varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive (used transitively) of $\sigma \varepsilon \beta \alpha \zeta \rho \mu \alpha$, old verb, used in late Greek like $\sigma \varepsilon \beta \mathrm{o} \mu \alpha$, to worship.

Rather than the Creator ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ тоv ктוбаvта). Placed side by side ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$, the Creator and the creature, $\kappa \tau \iota \sigma \iota)$ they preferred the creature.

Who is blessed forever. Amen (oऽ $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \varepsilon \nu \lambda o \gamma \eta \tau о \sigma$. A $\mu \eta v$ ). One of Paul's doxologies which may come at any moment when he is greatly stirred, as in 9:5. Ev $\lambda$ oү $\eta \tau$ oc is verbal of $\varepsilon v \lambda o \gamma \varepsilon \omega$.

Rom 1:26
Unto vile passions ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \pi \theta \eta \alpha \tau \iota \mu \iota \varsigma)$. Unto passions of dishonour. ПaӨoৎ, old word from $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \omega$, to experience, originally meant any feeling whether good or bad, but in N.T. always in bad sense as here, 1Th 4:5; Col 3:5 (only N.T. examples).

That which is against nature ( $\tau \eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \varphi v \sigma v$ ). The degradation of sex is what Paul here notes as one of the results of heathenism (the loss of God in the life of man). They passed by the Creator.

Rom 1:27
Turned ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \kappa \alpha v \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative, causative aorist, of $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \alpha \iota \omega$, old verb, to burn out, to set on fire, to inflame with anger or lust. Here only in N.T.

Lust (op $\mathcal{\xi} \varepsilon$ ). Only here in N.T.
Unseemliness ( $\alpha \sigma \chi \eta \mu \circ \sigma v \nu \eta v$ ). Old word from $\alpha \sigma \chi \eta \mu \circ v$ (deformed). In N.T. only here and $\operatorname{Re}$ 16:15 .

Recompense ( $\alpha \nu \tau \iota \mu \iota \sigma \theta \iota \alpha v)$. See on 2Co 6:13 for only other N.T. instance of this late Pauline word, there in good sense, here in bad.

Which was due ( $\eta v \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active for obligation still on them coming down from the past. This debt will be paid in full ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$, pay back as in Lu 6:34, and due as in $\mathrm{Lu} 23: 41$ ). Nature will attend to that in their own bodies and souls.

Rom 1:28
And even as they refused ( $\kappa \alpha \kappa \forall \omega \varsigma ~ о и к ~ \varepsilon \delta о к \iota \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha v) . ~ " A n d ~ e v e n ~ a s ~ t h e y ~ r e j e c t e d " ~ a f t e r ~$ trial just as $\delta о \kappa \iota \mu \zeta \omega$ is used of testing coins. They tested God at first and turned aside from him.

Knowledge ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Full knowledge ( $\varepsilon \pi$ additional, $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma)$. They had a dim memory that was a caricature.

Unto a reprobate mind ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \alpha \delta \kappa \kappa \mu$ v vouv). Play on оик $\varepsilon \delta о \kappa \iota \mu \sigma \alpha \nu$. They rejected God and God rejected their mental attitude and gave them over (verses 24,26,28). See this adjective already in 1Co 9:27; 2Co 13:5-7. Like an old abandoned building, the home of bats and snakes, left "to do those things which are not fitting" ( $\pi о \iota \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha \mu \eta \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$ ), like the night clubs of modern cities, the dives and dens of the underworld, without God and in the darkness of unrestrained animal impulses. This was a technical term with Stoics (II Macc. 6:4).

Rom 1:29
Being called with ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle of the common verb $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \omega$, state of completion, "filled to the brim with" four vices in the associative instrumental case ( $\alpha \delta \iota \kappa \iota \alpha$, unrighteousness as in verse 18, $\pi о \vee \eta \rho ı \alpha$, active wickedness as in $\mathrm{Mr} 7: 22$,
$\pi \lambda \varepsilon о v \varepsilon \xi \iota a$, covetousness as in 1Th 2:5; Lu 12:15, какıа, maliciousness or inward viciousness of disposition as in 1Co 5:8 ). Note asyndeton, no connective in the lists in verses 29-31. Dramatic effect. The order of these words varies in the MSS. and $\pi о \rho v \varepsilon 1 \alpha$, fornication, is not genuine here (absent in Aleph A B C).

Full of ( $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \tau \sigma u \varsigma)$. Paul changes from participle to adjective. Old adjective, rare in the N.T., like $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \tau o \omega$, to fill full (only in Ac 2:13 in N.T.), stuffed full of (with genitive). Five substantives in the genitive ( $\varphi$ Oovov, envy, as in Ga 5:21, $\varphi$ ovov, murder, and so a paronomasia or combination with $\varphi$ Өovov, of like sounding words, $\varepsilon \rho \iota \delta$ o $\varsigma$, strife, as in 2Co 12:16 , како $\theta \iota \alpha \varsigma$, malignity, and here only in N.T. though old word from какоп $\theta \eta \varsigma$ and that from какоৎ and $\eta$ Өоৎ, a tendency to put a bad construction on things, depravity of heart and malicious disposition.

Rom 1:30
Paul changes the construction again to twelve substantives and adjectives that give vivid touches to this composite photograph of the God abandoned soul.

Whisperers ( $\psi i \theta v \rho ı \sigma \tau \alpha \varsigma$ ). Old word from $\psi i \theta v \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, to speak into the ear, to speak secretly, an onomatopoetic word like $\psi \theta$ טvpıб $\mu \circ \varsigma$ ( 2 Co 12:20 ) and only here in N.T.

Backbiters (ката入а入ouc). Found nowhere else except in Hermas, compound like $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to talk back (Jas 4:11), and кат $\alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda$ ıa, talking back (2Co 12:20), talkers back whether secretly or openly.

Hateful to God ( $\theta \varepsilon o \sigma \tau v \gamma \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. Old word from $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma$ and $\sigma \tau v \gamma \varepsilon \omega$. All the ancient examples take it in the passive sense and so probably here. So $\sigma \tau \cup \gamma \eta \tau \cos$ (Tit 3:13 ). Vulgate has deo odibiles.

Insolent ( $v \beta \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Old word for agent from $\nu \beta \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, to give insult to, here alone in N.T. save 1Ti 1:13.

Haughty ( $\cup \pi \varepsilon \rho \eta \varphi \alpha v o v \varsigma)$. From v $\tau \varepsilon \rho$ and $\varphi \alpha ı v o \mu \alpha$, to appear above others, arrogant in thought and conduct, "stuck up."

Boastful ( $\alpha \lambda \alpha \zeta$ ovac). From $\alpha \lambda \eta$, wandering. Empty pretenders, swaggerers, braggarts.
Inventors of evil things ( $\varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \cup \rho \varepsilon \tau \alpha \varsigma \kappa \alpha \kappa \omega v$ ). Inventors of new forms of vice as Nero was. Tacitus (Ann. IV. ii) describes Sejanus as facinorum omnium repertor and Virgil (Aen. ii. 163) scelerum inventor.

Disobedient to parents ( $\gamma$ ovevoıv $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \mid \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. Cf. 1Ti 1:9; 2Ti 3:2. An ancient and a modern trait.

Rom 1:31
Without understanding (aбuvetouc). Same word in verse 21.
Covenant-breakers ( $\alpha \sigma \cup v \theta \varepsilon \tau 0 u \varsigma$ ). Another paronomasia or pun. A privative and verbal $\sigma u v \theta \varepsilon \tau o c$ from $\sigma \cup v \tau ı \theta \eta \mu$, to put together. Old word, common in LXX (Jer 3:7), men "false to their engagements" (Sanday and Headlam), who treat covenants as "a scrap of paper."

Without natural affection (a $\alpha \tau \sigma \rho \gamma \overline{)}$ ). Late word, a privative and $\sigma \tau \circ \rho \gamma \eta$, love of kindred. In N.T. only here and 2Ti 3:3.

Unmerciful ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \eta \mu \circ v \alpha \varsigma)$. From a privative and $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \eta \mu \omega v$, merciful. Late word, only
 the effects of $\sin$ on the lives of men and women. The late Dr. R. H. Graves of Canton, China, said that a Chinaman who got hold of this chapter declared that Paul could not have written it, but only a modern missionary who had been to China. It is drawn to the life because Paul knew Pagan Graeco-Roman civilization.

Rom 1:32
The ordinance of God ( $\tau 0 \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \mu \alpha$ тov $\theta \varepsilon \circ v$ ). The heathen knows that God condemns such evil practices.

But also consent with them ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha \sigma v v \varepsilon v \delta o \kappa о v \sigma \iota$ ). Late verb for hearty approval as in Lu 11:48; Ac 8:1; 1Co 7:12. It is a tragedy of American city government that so many of the officials are proven to be hand in glove with the underworld of law-breakers.

## Romans 2

Rom 2:1
Wherefore ( $\delta$ ıo). See 1:24,26 for this relative conjunction, "because of which thing."
Without excuse ( $\alpha v \alpha \pi o \lambda o \gamma \eta \tau о \varsigma)$. See on 1:21.
Whosoever thou art that judgest ( $\pi \alpha \varsigma$ о к $\rho \iota \nu \omega v$ ). Literally, "every one that judgest," vocative case in apposition with $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \varepsilon$. Paul begins his discussion of the failure of the Jew to attain to the God-kind of righteousness ( $2: 1-3: 20$ ) with a general statement applicable to all as he did (1:18) in the discussion of the failure of the Gentiles (Lightfoot). The Gentile is readily condemned by the Jew when he sins and equally so is the Jew condemned by the Gentile in like case. K $\rho \iota \nu \omega$ does not of itself mean to condemn, but to pick out, separate, approve, determine, pronounce judgment, condemn (if proper).

Another ( $\tau 0 v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ). Literally, "the other man." The notion of two in the word, one criticizing the other.

Thou condemnest thyself ( $\sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau о \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \rho \imath \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Note ката here with $\kappa \rho \iota \nu \omega$, to make plain the adverse judgment.

For $(\gamma \alpha \rho)$. Explanatory reason for the preceding statement. The critic
practises ( $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \iota$, not single acts $\pi \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega$, but the habit $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ ) the same things that he condemns.

Rom 2:2
Judgment ( $\kappa \rho \mu \alpha)$. Decision rendered whether good or bad.
According to (ката with accusative). As the rule of measure. Cf. Joh 7:24.
Rom 2:3
And doest the same ( $\kappa \alpha \pi о \omega \omega \nu \alpha \cup \tau \alpha$ ). "And doest them occasionally."
That thou shalt escape ( $\sigma v \varepsilon \kappa \varphi \varepsilon v \xi \eta$ ). Emphasis on $\sigma v$, "thou conceited Jew expecting to escape God's крцна because thou art a Jew." Cf. Mt 3:8f. Paul justifies the bitter words of the Baptist to the Pharisees and Sadducees. The future middle of the old verb $\varepsilon \kappa \varphi \varepsilon v \gamma \omega$ (cf. 1Th 5:3 ). The Jew posed as immune to the ordinary laws of ethics because a Jew. Alas, some Christians affect the same immunity.

## Rom 2:4

Or despiseth thou? ( $\eta$ катар $\rho о v \varepsilon เ \sigma$ ?). Another alternative, that of scorn of God's kindness ( $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau о \tau \eta \tau о \varsigma, 2 C o 6: 6$ ) and forbearance ( $\alpha \nu о \chi \eta \varsigma$, old word, holding back from $\alpha v \varepsilon \chi \omega$, only here in N.T.) and longsuffering ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta \nu \mu \iota \alpha$, late word for which see 2Co 6:4,6 ). Kataب $\rho \circ \vee \varepsilon \omega$ is old verb to think down on (ката, $\varphi \rho \circ \vee \varepsilon \omega$ ) as in Mt 6:24; 1Co 11:22 . This upstart Jew actually thinks down on God. And then "the riches" ( $\tau 0 v \pi \lambda o v \tau o v$ ) of all that comes from God.

Leadeth thee to repentance ( $\varepsilon \iota \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \nu o \iota \alpha \nu \sigma \varepsilon \alpha \gamma \varepsilon$ ). The very kindness ( $\tau 0 \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o \nu$, the kindly quality) of God is trying to lead (conative present $\alpha \gamma \varepsilon$ ) thee to a right-about face,
a change of mind and attitude ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \iota \alpha v$ ) instead of a complacent self-satisfaction and pride of race and privilege.

Rom 2:5
After thy hardness ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta \nu \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \tau \eta \tau \alpha \sigma o v$ ). "According to thy hardness (old word from $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \varsigma$, hard, stiff, only here in N.T.) will God's judgment be."

And impenitent heart ( $\kappa \alpha ~ \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \eta \tau о v ~ к \alpha \rho \delta ı \alpha v) . ~ S e e ~ \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o t \alpha v ~ j u s t ~ b e f o r e . ~ " T h y ~$ unreconstructed heart," "with no change in the attitude of thy heart."

Treasurest up for thyself ( $\theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \rho \iota દ \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \sigma \varepsilon \alpha u \tau \omega)$. See for $Ө \eta \sigma \alpha v \rho ı \zeta \omega$ on Mt 6:19f.; Lu 12:21; 2Co 12:14. Dative case $\sigma \varepsilon \alpha u \tau \omega$ (for thyself) with a touch of irony (Vincent).

Wrath (opyףv). For such a Jew as already stated for the Gentile ( $1: 18$ ). There is a revelation ( $\alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \psi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$ of God's wrath for both in the day of wrath and righteous judgment ( $\delta$ ıкаюкррьєь¢, a late compound word, in LXX, two examples in the Oxyrhynchus papyri, only here in N.T.). See 2Th 1:5 for $\delta$ ıкаıаऽ крıбє $\omega$ c. Paul looks to the judgment day as certain (cf. 2Co 5:10-12), the day of the Lord (2Co 1:14).

Rom 2:6
Who will render ( $\mathrm{o} \varsigma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \mathrm{o} \delta \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Paul quotes Pr 24:12 as in 2Ti 4:14. See also Mt 16:27; Re 22:12. The rendering will be in accord with the facts.

Rom 2:7
To them that seek (тоıৎ $\mu \varepsilon v-\zeta \eta \tau$ тovoıv). Dative plural of the articular present active participle of $\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \omega$ with $\mu \varepsilon v$ on the one hand.

Eternal life ( $\zeta \omega \eta \nu \alpha \iota \omega v \iota v)$. Accusative case object of $\alpha \pi o \delta \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ above.
Rom 2:8
But unto them that are factious and obey not the truth but obey unrighteousness
 $\delta \varepsilon$ and the articular present participles in the dative again, only with $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho เ \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha$, , there is no participle ovoıv. But the construction changes and the substantives that follow are not the object of $\alpha \pi o \delta \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ like $\zeta \omega \eta \nu$ aıv $\omega v$ ov above, but are in the nominative as if with $\varepsilon \sigma o v \tau \alpha$ (shall be) understood (anger and wrath, both o $\rho \gamma \eta$ and $\theta \nu \mu \circ \varsigma$, tribulation and anguish, again a pair $\theta \lambda \iota \psi ı \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha ~ \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o \chi \omega \rho ı \alpha$ on which see 2Co 5:4; 12:10 ).

Rom 2:9
Every soul of man ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha v \psi v \chi \eta \nu \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma)$ ). See 13:1 for this use of $\psi v \chi \eta$ for the individual.

Of the Jew first and also of the Greek (Iov $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { aıov } \tau \varepsilon \pi \rho \omega \tau о v ~ к \alpha ~ \\ H \\ \lambda\end{array} \lambda \eta \nu \circ \varsigma\right)$. See on 1:16. First not only in penalty as here, but in privilege also as in 2:11; 1:16 .

Rom 2:11
Respect of persons ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \boldsymbol{\sigma} \eta \mu \psi \iota \alpha$ ). Milligan (Vocabulary) considers this word (in N.T. only here, Col 3:25; Eph 6:9) and $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi о \lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau \eta \varsigma(A c 10: 34)$ and $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau \varepsilon \omega$ (Jas 2:9 ) the earliest definitely known Christian words, not in LXX or non-Christian writings.

See on Ac 10:34 for the formation in imitation of the Hebrew to take note of the face ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \omega \pi \sigma v, \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$ ), to judge by the face or appearance.

Rom 2:12
Have sinned ( $\eta \mu \alpha \rho \tau о v)$. Constative aorist active indicative, "sinned," a timeless aorist.
Without law ( $\alpha v o \mu \omega \varsigma)$. Old adverb "contrary to law," "unjustly," but here in ignorance of the Mosaic law (or of any law). Nowhere else in N.T.

Shall also perish without law ( $\alpha v \circ \mu \omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \alpha \pi о \lambda o v \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Future middle indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$, to destroy. This is a very important statement. The heathen who sin are lost, because they do not keep the law which they have, not because they do not have the Mosaic law or Christianity.

Under law ( $\varepsilon v \nu \circ \mu \omega)$. In the sphere of the Mosaic law.
By the law ( $\delta$ ı $\alpha$ vouov). The Jew has to stand or fall by the Mosaic law.
Rom 2:13
Not the hearers--but the doers ( $о v \gamma \alpha \rho$ о $\alpha к \rho о \alpha \tau \alpha 1--\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ о $\pi о џ \eta \tau \alpha$ ). The law was read in the synagogue, but there was no actual virtue in listening. The virtue is in doing. See a like contrast by James between "hearers" and "doers" of the gospel (Jas 1:22-25).

Before God ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). By God's side, as God looks at it.
Shall be justified ( $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \omega \theta \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha)$. Future passive indicative of $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma$, to declare righteous, to set right. "Shall be declared righteous." Like Jas 1:22-25 .

Rom 2:14
That have no law ( $\tau \alpha \mu \eta$ vouov $\varepsilon \chi o v \tau \alpha$ ). Better, "that have not the law" (the Mosaic law).

By nature ( $\varphi$ טб₹). Instrumental case of $\varphi v \sigma \iota$, old word from $\varphi v \omega$, to beget. The Gentiles are without the Mosaic law, but not without some knowledge of God in conscience and
 reply to the Jewish critic.

Rom 2:15
In that they (oıtivec). "The very ones who," qualitative relative.
Written in their hearts ( $\gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau о v \varepsilon v \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \propto ı \varsigma \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Verbal adjective of $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$, to write. When their conduct corresponds on any point with the Mosaic law they practise the unwritten law in their hearts.

Their conscience bearing witness therewith ( $\sigma \cup \gamma \mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \circ \cup \sigma \eta \varsigma \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \sigma \cup v \varepsilon \delta \delta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. On conscience ( $\sigma u v \varepsilon \iota \delta \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma)$ see on 1Co 8:7; 10:25f.; 2Co 1:12. Genitive absolute here with present active participle $\sigma \cup v \mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho о и \sigma \eta \varsigma$ as in 9:1. The word $\sigma u v \varepsilon \delta \eta \eta \sigma \iota$ means co-knowledge by the side of the original consciousness of the act. This second knowledge is personified as confronting the first (Sanday and Headlam). The Stoics used the word a great deal and Paul has it twenty times. It is not in the O.T., but first in this sense in Wisdom 17:10. All
men have this faculty of passing judgment on their actions. It can be over-(1Co 10:25) or "seared" by abuse (1Ti 4:12). It acts according to the light it has.

Their thoughts one with another accusing or also excusing them ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi v \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \omega \nu$ $\tau \omega v \lambda о \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \circ \rho о \nu v \tau \omega \nu \eta \kappa \alpha \alpha \pi о \lambda о \gamma о \nu \mu \varepsilon v \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute again showing the alternative action of the conscience, now accusing, now excusing. Paul does not say that a heathen's conscience always commends everything that he thinks, says, or does. In order for one to be set right with God by his own life he must always act in accord with his conscience and never have its disapproval. That, of course, is impossible else Christ died for naught (Ga 2:21). Jesus alone lived a sinless life. For one to be saved without Christ he must also live a sinless life.

Rom 2:16
According to my gospel (ката to $\varepsilon v \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı v \mu о v$ ). What Paul preaches (1Co 15:1) and which is the true gospel

Rom 2:17
Bearest the name ( $\varepsilon \pi о v o \mu \alpha \zeta \eta)$. Present passive indicative in condition of first class of $\varepsilon \pi о v o \mu a \zeta \omega$, old word, to put a name upon ( $\varepsilon \pi$ ), only here in N.T. "Thou art surnamed Jew" (Lightfoot). Jew as opposed to Greek denoted nationality while Hebrew accented the idea of language.

Restest upon the law ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha v \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \eta v o \mu \omega)$. Late and rare double compound, in LXX and once in the Didache. In N.T. only here and Lu 10:6 which see. It means to lean upon, to refresh oneself back upon anything, here with locative case ( $v o \mu \omega$ ). It is the picture of blind and mechanical reliance on the Mosaic law.

Gloriest in God (каuұаба $\varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). Koine vernacular form for каuұа (каuұаєба।, каuұ $\alpha \sigma \alpha$ ) of каих $\alpha о \mu \alpha$ as in verse 23; 1Co 4:7 and катакаихаба in Ro 11:18. The Jew gloried in God as a national asset and private prerogative (2Co 10:15; Ga 6:13 ).

Approvest the things that are excellent ( $\delta о \kappa \mu \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha \delta \iota \propto \varphi \rho \circ \vee \tau \alpha)$. Originally, "Thou testest the things that differ," and then as a result comes the approval for the excellent things. As in Php 1:10 it is difficult to tell which stage of the process Paul has in mind.

Instructed out of the law ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \chi о \nu \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa$ тоv vouоv). Present passive participle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \chi \varepsilon \omega$, a rare verb to instruct, though occurring in the papyri for legal instruction. See on $\operatorname{Lu}$ 1:4; 1Co 14:19. The Jew's "ethical discernment was the fruit of catechetical and synagogical instruction in the Old Testament" (Shedd).

Rom 2:19
A guide of the blind (o $\delta \eta \gamma \circ v \tau \nu \varphi \lambda \omega v$ ). Accusative $o \delta \eta \gamma \circ v$ in predicate with $\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} v a$ to agree with $\sigma \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau o v$, accusative of general reference with infinitive $\varepsilon เ v a$ in indirect discourse after $\pi \varepsilon \pi \sigma$ Ө $\theta$ ac. Late word (Polybius, Plutarch) from oठo , way, and $\eta \gamma \varepsilon о \mu \alpha$, to lead, one who leads the way. $\operatorname{Tv} \varphi \lambda \omega v$ is objective genitive plural. The Jews were meant by God to be guides for the Gentiles, for salvation is of the Jews (Joh 4:22).

A light ( $\varphi \omega \varsigma$ ). "A light for those in darkness" ( $\tau \omega \vee \varepsilon \vee \sigma \kappa o \tau \varepsilon$, objective genitive again). But this intention of God about the Jews had resulted in conceited arrogance on their part.

Rom 2:20
A corrector of the foolish ( $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v \tau \eta \nu \alpha \varphi \rho \circ \nu \omega v$ ). Old word (from $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v \omega$ ) for instructor, in Plato, and probably so here, though corrector or chastiser in Heb 12:9 (the only N.T. instances). See Lu 23:16 . Late inscriptions give it as instructor (Preisigke). A $\varphi \rho o v \omega v$ is a hard word for Gentiles, but it is the Jewish standpoint that Paul gives. Each termed the other "dogs."

Of babes ( $v \eta \pi \omega \omega v$ ). Novitiates or proselytes to Judaism just as in Ga 4:1. Paul used it of those not of legal age.

The form ( $\tau \eta v \mu \circ \rho \varphi \omega \sigma \tau v$ ). Rare word only in Theophrastus and Paul (here and 2Ti 3:5 ). Pallis regards it as a Stoical term for education. Lightfoot considers the $\mu о \rho \varphi \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma$ as "the rough-sketch, the pencilling of the $\mu \circ \rho \varphi \eta$," the outline or framework, and in $2 \mathrm{Ti} 3: 5$ "the outline without the substance." This is Paul's picture of the Jew as he sees himself drawn with consummate skill and subtle irony.

Rom 2:21
Thou therefore that teachest another (o ouv $\delta \delta \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ). Paul suddenly breaks off (anacoluthon) the long sentence that began in verse 17 and starts over again with a phrase that gathers it all up in small compass (teachest) and drives it home (therefore) on the Jew (thyself).

Not to steal ( $\mu \eta \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \varepsilon เ \nu$ ). Infinitive with $\mu \eta$ in indirect command (indirect discourse) after кع $\rho \cup \sigma \sigma \omega v$.

Dost thou steal? ( $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \varepsilon เ \sigma$ ?). The preaching ( $\kappa \varepsilon \rho v \sigma \sigma \omega v$ ) was fine, but the practice? A home-thrust.

Should not commit adultery ( $\mu \eta \mu \circ \chi \chi \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon เ v$ ). Infinitive in direct command again after $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega v$. "The Talmud charges the crime of adultery upon the three most illustrious Rabbins" (Vincent).

Rom 2:22
That abhorrest (o $\beta \delta \varepsilon \lambda \nu \sigma \sigma \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$ ). Old word to make foul, to stink, to have abhorrence for. In LXX, in N.T. only here and Re 21:8. The very word used by Jesus to express their horror of idols ( $\varepsilon \delta \delta \omega \lambda \alpha$, see on Ac 7:41; 1Co 12:2 ). See Mt 24:15 for "abomination."
 from เع $\rho \circ \vee$, temple, and $\sigma \cup \lambda \alpha \omega$, to rob. The town clerk (Ac 19:37) said that these Jews (Paul and his companions) were "not robbers of temples," proof that the charge was sometimes made against Jews, though expressly forbidden the Jews (Josephus, Ant. IV. 8, 10). Paul refers to the crime of robbing idol temples in spite of the defilement of contact with idolatry.

Rom 2:23

Through thy transgression of the law ( $\delta \alpha \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta a \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ v o \mu о v$ ). Old word for stepping across a line. Trench calls attention to "the mournfully numerous group of words" for the varieties of $\sin$ like $\alpha \gamma v o \eta \mu \alpha$, ignorance, $\alpha v o \mu \alpha \alpha$, violation of law, $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha$, missing the mark, $\varepsilon \tau \tau \eta \mu \alpha$, falling short, $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta a \sigma \iota \varsigma$, passing over the line, $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa о \eta$, disobedience to a voice, $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha v o \mu \alpha$, putting the law aside, $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha$, falling down, $\pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tau \alpha$, discord.

Rom 2:24
Because of you ( $\delta \iota^{\prime} v \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). Free quotation from the LXX of Isa 52:5. The Jews were jealous for the Name of God and would not pronounce the Tetragrammaton and yet acted so that the Gentiles blasphemed that Name.

Rom 2:25
If thou be a doer of the law ( $\varepsilon \alpha v$ vouov $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon ı$ ). Condition of third class and the present (continued action) subjunctive of $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, a verb meaning to do as a habit.

Is become uncircumcision ( $\alpha \kappa \rho о \beta v \sigma \tau \iota \alpha ~ \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \varepsilon v$ ). The Jew is then like the Gentile, with no privilege at all. Circumcision was simply the seal of the covenant relation of Israel with God.

Rom 2:26
Keep ( $\varphi \cup \lambda a \sigma \sigma \eta$ ). Present subjunctive with $\varepsilon a v$, condition of third class, mere supposition like that in verse 25, "keep on keeping" perfectly, Paul means.

For ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. As often in N.T.
Rom 2:27
If it fulfill the law ( $\tau 0 \nu v o \mu \circ \nu \tau \varepsilon \lambda o v \sigma \alpha$ ). Present active participle (conditional use of the participle) of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to finish, continually fulfilling to the end (as would be necessary).

Judge thee ( $\kappa \rho \iota v \varepsilon เ--\sigma \varepsilon$ ). Unusual position of $\sigma \varepsilon$ (thee) so far from the verb крıve.
With the letter and circumcision ( $\delta \iota \alpha \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma \kappa \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho ı \tau о \mu \eta \varsigma) . \Delta \iota \alpha$ means here accompanied by, with the advantage of.

Rom 2:28
Which is one outwardly (o $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \omega$ ). Iov $\delta a \iota c$ (Jew) has to be repeated (ellipse) with the article, "the in the open Jew" (circumcision, phylacteries, tithes, etc.). Likewise repeat $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau о \mu \eta$ (circumcision).

Rom 2:29
Who is one inwardly (o $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \kappa \rho v \pi \tau \omega$ ). Repeat Iov $\delta a \ldots o c$ (Jew) here also, "the in the inward part Jew" (circumcision of the heart $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \tau о \mu \eta ~ \kappa \alpha \rho \delta ı \alpha \varsigma ~ a n d ~ n o t ~ a ~ m e r e ~ s u r g i c a l ~ o p e r-~$ ation as in $\operatorname{Col} 2: 11$, in the spirit $\varepsilon v \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau$, with which compare 2Co 3:3,6 ). This inward or inside Jew who lives up to his covenant relation with God is the high standard that Paul puts before the merely professional Jew described above.

Whose praise (ov o $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota v o c)$. The antecedent of the relative ov is Iovסaıoc (Jew). Probably (Gifford) a reference to the etymology of Judah (praise) as seen in Ga 49:8 .

## Romans 3

Rom 3:1
What advantage then hath the Jew? ( $\tau$ ouv $\tau 0 \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o v ~ \tau o v ~ I o v \delta a ı o v ?) . ~ L i t e r a l l y, ~$ "What then is the overplus of the Jew?" What does the Jew have over and above the Gentile? It is a pertinent question after the stinging indictment of the Jew in chapter 2.

The profit ( $\eta \omega \varphi \varepsilon \lambda ı \alpha$ ). The help. Old word, only here in N.T. See Mr 8:36 for $\omega \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$, the verb to profit.

Rom 3:2
Much every way ( $\pi о \lambda \cup$ ката $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ). По $\nu v$ points back to $\tau 0 \pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma о v$. So it means the overplus of the Jew is much from every angle.

First of all ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \nu \mu \varepsilon v$ ). As in 1:8; 1Co 11:18 Paul does not add to his "first." He singles out one privilege of the many possessed by the Jew.

They were intrusted with ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$, to intrust, with accusative of the thing and dative of the person in the active. In the passive as here the accusative of the thing is retained as in 1Th 2:4.
 of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \theta \eta \sigma a v$. $\Lambda o \gamma ı \circ$ is probably a diminutive of $\lambda o \gamma o \varsigma$, word, though the adjective入opıo also occurs (Ac 18:24 ). The word was early used for "oracles" from Delphi and is common in the LXX for the oracles of the Lord. But from Philo on it was used of any sacred writing including narrative. It occurs four times in the N.T. (Ac 7:38 , which see; Ro 3:2; Heb 5:12; 1Pe 4:11). It is possible that here and in Ac 7:38 the idea may include all the Old Testament, though the commands and promises of God may be all.

Rom 3:3
For what if? ( $\tau \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon$ ? ?). But Westcott and Hort print it, T $\gamma \alpha \rho$ ? $\varepsilon$. See Php 1:18 for this exclamatory use of $\tau \gamma \alpha \rho$ (for how? How stands the case?).

Some were without faith ( $\eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to disbelieve. This is the common N.T. meaning (Lu 24:11,41; Ac 28:24; Ro 4:20). Some of them "disbelieved," these "depositaries and guardians of revelation" (Denney). But the word also means to be unfaithful to one's trust and Lightfoot argues for that idea here and in 2 Ti 2:13. The Revised Version renders it "faithless" there. Either makes sense here and both ideas are true of some of the Jews, especially concerning the Messianic promises and Jesus.

The faithfulness of God ( $\tau \eta \nu \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \nu \tau 0 v \theta \varepsilon o v)$. Undoubtedly $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota c$ has this sense here and not "faith." God has been faithful (2Ti 2:13) whether the Jews (some of them) were simply disbelievers or untrue to their trust. Paul can use the words in two senses in verse 3, but there is no real objection to taking $\eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v, \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \alpha v$, $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota v$, all to refer to faithfulness rather than just faith.

Rom 3:4
Let God be found true ( $\gamma \iota \nu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega$ o $\theta \varepsilon \circ \varsigma \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \eta \varsigma)$. "Let God continue to be true" (present middle imperative).

But every man a liar ( $\pi \alpha \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma \psi \varepsilon v \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma)$. The contrast in $\delta \varepsilon$ really means, "though every man be found a liar." Cf. Ps 116:12 .

As it is written ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho a \pi \tau \alpha$ ). Ps 51:6.
That thou mightest be justified ( $о \pi \omega \varsigma \alpha v \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \theta \eta \iota$ ). Но $\pi \omega \varsigma$ rather than the common $\iota v a$ for purpose and $\alpha v$ with the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\delta \iota \kappa \ldots \omega \omega$. Used of God this verb here has to mean "declared righteous," not "made righteous."

Mightest prevail (vıкŋ $\sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Future active indicative with o $\pi \omega \varsigma$ of $v \iota \kappa \alpha \omega$, to win a victory, though B L have vıкךбףıৎ (first aorist active subjunctive, the usual construction).

When thou comest into judgement ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \kappa \rho \imath \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \sigma \varepsilon$ ). "In the being judged as to thee" (present passive infinitive or, if taken as middle, "in the entering upon trial as to thee"). Common construction in the LXX from the Hebrew infinitive construct.

Rom 3:5
What shall we say? ( $\tau \varepsilon \rho o v \mu \varepsilon v$ ?). Rhetorical question, common with Paul as he surveys the argument.

Commendeth ( $\sigma v \nu \iota \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ). This common verb $\sigma v \nu \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, to send together, occurs in the N.T. in two senses, either to introduce, to commend (2Co 3:1; 4:2) or to prove, to establish (2Co 7:11; Ga 2:18; Ro 5:8 ). Either makes good sense here.

Who visiteth the wrath (o $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega \nu \tau \eta \nu$ о $\rho \gamma \eta \nu)$. "Who brings on the wrath," "the inflicter of the anger" (Vaughan).

I speak as a man ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v)$. See $\mathrm{Ga} 3: 15$ for same phrase. As if to say, "pardon me for this line of argument." Tholuck says that the rabbis often used $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ and $\tau \varepsilon \rho o u \mu \varepsilon v$. Paul had not forgotten his rabbinical training.

Rom 3:6
For then how ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \pi \omega \varsigma$ ). There is a suppressed condition between $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$ and $\pi \omega \varsigma$, an idiom occurring several times in the N.T. (1Co 15:29; Ro 11:6,22 ). "Since, if that were true, how."

Rom 3:7
Through my lie ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \varepsilon \mu \omega \psi \varepsilon v \sigma \mu \alpha \tau$ ). ] Old word from $\psi \varepsilon v \delta o \mu \alpha$, to lie, only here in N.T. Paul returns to the imaginary objection in verse 5. The MSS. differ sharply here between $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon$ (but if) and $\varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho$ (for if). Paul "uses the first person from motives of delicacy" (Sanday and Headlam) in this supposable case for argument's sake as in 1Co 4:6. So here he "transfers by a fiction" (Field) to himself the objection.

Rom 3:8
And why not ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \eta$ ). We have a tangled sentence which can be cleared up in two ways. One is (Lightfoot) to supply $\gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \tau \alpha$ after $\mu \eta$ and repeat $\tau(\kappa \alpha \tau \mu \eta \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \tau \alpha$, deliberative
subjunctive in a question): And why should it not happen? The other way (Sanday and Headlam) is to take $\mu \eta$ with $\pi o m \sigma \omega \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ and make a long parenthesis of all in between. Even so it is confusing because ot also (recitative o $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ) comes just before $\pi о \neq \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$. The parenthesis is necessary anyhow, for there are two lines of thought, one the excuse brought forward by the unbeliever, the other the accusation that Paul affirms that very excuse that we may do evil that good may come. Note the double indirect assertion (the accusative and the infinitive $\eta \mu \alpha \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon เ v$ after $\varphi a \sigma \iota v$ and then the direct quotation with recitative ot after $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon เ v$, a direct quotation dependent on the infinitive in indirect quotation.

Let us do evil that good may come ( $\pi о п \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha ~ v v \alpha \varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta \tau \alpha \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \alpha$ ). The volitive aorist subjunctive ( $\pi \circ \imath \eta \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ) and the clause of purpose ( $\mathrm{t} \alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and the aorist subjunctive $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ ). It sounds almost uncanny to find this maxim of the Jesuits attributed to Paul in the first century by Jews. It was undoubtedly the accusation of Antinomianism because Paul preached justification by faith and not by works.

## Rom 3:9

What then? ( $\tau$ ouv?). Paul's frequent query, to be taken with verses 1,2 .
Are we in worse case than they? ( $\pi \rho о \varepsilon \chi о \mu \varepsilon \theta a$ ?). The American Revisers render it: "Are we in better case than they?" There is still no fresh light on this difficult and common word though it occurs alone in the N.T. In the active it means to have before, to excel. But here it is either middle or passive. Thayer takes it to be middle and to mean to excel to one's advantage and argues that the context demands this. But no example of the middle in this sense has been found. If it is taken as passive, Lightfoot takes it to mean, "Are we excelled" and finds that sense in Plutarch. Vaughan takes it as passive but meaning, "Are we preferred?" This suits the context, but no other example has been found. So the point remains unsettled. The papyri throw no light on it.

No, in no wise (ov $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \varsigma$ ). "Not at all." See 1Co 5:10 .
We before laid to the charge ( $\pi \rho \circ \eta \iota \tau \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). First aorist middle indicative of $\pi \rho о \alpha \iota \tau \iota \alpha \mu \alpha$, to make a prior accusation, a word not yet found anywhere else. Paul refers to 1:18-32 for the Greeks and 2:1-29 for the Jews. The infinitive esva with the accusative mavtas is in indirect discourse.

Under sin (vто $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha v)$. See Ga 3:22; Ro 7:14 .

## Rom 3:10

As it is written ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha$ от). Usual formula of quotation as in verse 4 with recitative ot added as in verse 8. Paul here uses a catena or chain of quotations to prove his point in verse 9 that Jews are in no better fix than the Greeks for all are under sin. Dr. J. Rendel Harris has shown that the Jews and early Christians had Testimonia (quotations from the Old Testament) strung together for certain purposes as proof-texts. Paul may have used one of them or he may have put these passages together himself. Verses 10-12 come from Ps 14:1-3; first half of 13 as far as $\varepsilon \delta o \lambda$ ıov $\alpha \boldsymbol{v}$ from Ps $4: 9$, the second half from Ps

140:3; verse 14 from Ps 10:7; 15-17 from an abridgment of Isa 59:7f. ; verse 18 from Ps 35:1 . Paul has given compounded quotations elsewhere (2Co 6:16; Ro 9:25f.,27f; 11:26f.,34f.; 12:19f. ). Curiously enough this compounded quotation was imported bodily into the text (LXX) of Ps 14 after verse 4 in Aleph B, etc.

There is none righteous, no, not one (ouk عбтıv $\delta ı \kappa \alpha \ldots \varsigma ~ o u \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon ı \varsigma) . ~ " T h e r e ~ i s ~ n o t ~ a ~$ righteous man, not even one." This sentence is like a motto for all the rest, a summary for what follows.

## Rom 3:11

That understandeth ( $\sigma \cup v i \omega v$ ). Present active participle of $\sigma v v i \omega$, late omega form of $-\mu$ verb $\sigma v v ı \eta \mu$, to send together, to grasp, to comprehend. Some MSS. have the article o before it as before $\varepsilon \kappa \zeta \eta \tau \omega \nu$ (seeking out).

Rom 3:12
They are together become unprofitable ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \eta \chi \rho \varepsilon \omega \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\alpha \chi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \omega$. Late word in Polybius and Cilician inscription of first century A.D. Some MSS. read $\eta \chi \rho \varepsilon \epsilon \omega \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ from $\alpha \chi \rho \varepsilon \iota \circ \varsigma$, useless ( $\alpha$ privative and $\chi \rho \varepsilon \iota \rho$, , useful) as in Lu 17:10; Mt 25:30, but Westcott and Hort print as above from the rarer spelling axpeoc. Only here in N.T. The Hebrew word means to go bad, become sour like milk (Lightfoot).

No, not so much as one (ouk $\varepsilon \sigma \tau i v \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \nu O \varsigma)$. "There is not up to one."
Rom 3:13
Throat ( $\lambda \alpha \rho \cup \gamma \xi$ ). Old word, larynx.
Open sepulchre ( $\tau \alpha \varphi \circ \varsigma \alpha v \varepsilon \omega \iota \gamma \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle of $\alpha v o \iota \gamma \omega$, "an opened grave." Their mouth (words) like the odour of a newly opened grave. "Some portions of Greek and Roman literature stink like a newly opened grave" (Shedd).

They have used deceit ( $\varepsilon \delta 0 \lambda_{\iota}$ ov $\sigma \alpha v$ ). Imperfect (not perfect or aorist as the English implies) active of $\delta 0 \lambda_{\iota} \omega \omega$, only in LXX and here in the N.T. from the common adjective $\delta o \lambda ı \rho$, deceitful (2Co 11:13). The regular form would be $\varepsilon \delta o \lambda \iota o v v$. The -oбav ending for third plural in imperfect and aorist was once thought to be purely Alexandrian because so common in the LXX, but it is common in the Boeotian and Aeolic dialects and occurs in عıxoбav in the N.T. (Joh 15:22,24 ). "They smoothed their tongues" in the Hebrew.

Poison (ıo̧). Old word both for rust (Jas 5:3) and poison (Jas 3:8).
Of asps ( $\alpha \sigma \pi \iota \delta \omega v$ ). Common word for round bowl, shield, then the Egyptian cobra (a deadly serpent). Often in LXX. Only here in the N.T. The poison of the asp lies in a bag under the lips ( $\chi \varepsilon i \lambda \eta$ ), often in LXX, only here in N.T. Genitive case after $\gamma \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ (is full).

Rom 3:15
To shed ( $\varepsilon \kappa \chi \varepsilon \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive of $\varepsilon \kappa \chi \varepsilon \omega$, to pour out, old verb with aorist active $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \alpha$.

Rom 3:16

Destruction ( $\sigma v \nu \tau \rho \not \mu \mu \alpha$ ). Rare word from $\sigma v \nu \tau \rho ı \beta \omega$, to rub together, to crush. In Le 21:19 for fracture and so in papyri. Only here in N.T.

Misery ( $\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \pi \omega \rho \iota \alpha$ ). Common word from $\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \pi \omega \rho \circ \varsigma$ (Ro 7:24), only here in the N.T.

Rom 3:17
The way of peace (oסov $\varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \vee \eta \varsigma)$. Wherever they go they leave a trail of woe and destruction (Denney).

Rom 3:18
Before ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau$ ). Late double compound ( $\alpha \pi 0, \varepsilon v, \alpha \nu \tau$ ) adverbial preposition in LXX and Polybius, papyri and inscriptions. With genitive as here.

Rom 3:19
That every mouth may be stopped (ıva $\pi \alpha v \sigma \tau o \mu \alpha \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \eta$ ). Purpose clause with $v \alpha \alpha$ and second aorist passive subjunctive of $\varphi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, old verb to fence in, to block up. See 2Co 11:10. Stopping mouths is a difficult business. See Tit 1:11 where Paul uses $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \mu \iota \zeta \varepsilon \iota$ (to stop up the mouth) for the same idea. Paul seems here to be speaking directly to Jews ( $\tau 015$ $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega v o \mu \omega)$, the hardest to convince. With the previous proof on that point he covers the whole ground for he made the case against the Gentiles in 1:18-32.

May be brought under the judgement of God (v $\tau о \delta ⿺ \kappa \kappa \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \eta \tau \alpha \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). "That all the world (Jew as well as Gentile) may become ( $\gamma \varepsilon \vee \eta \tau \alpha$ ) answerable (vлоסıкоৎ, old forensic word, here only in N.T.) to God (dative case $\tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ )." Every one is "liable to God," in God's court.

Rom 3:20
Because ( $\delta \iota \tau$, again, $\delta \iota \alpha, o \tau$ ).
By the works of the law ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega \nu v o \mu o v)$. "Out of works of law." Mosaic law and any law as the source of being set right with God. Paul quotes Ps $43: 2$ as he did in Ga 2:16 to prove his point.

The knowledge of $\sin (\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. The effect of law universally is rebellion to it (1Co 15:56 ). Paul has shown this carefully in Ga 3:19-22 . Cf. Heb 10:3 . He has now proven the guilt of both Gentile and Jew.

Rom 3:21
But now apart from the law ( $v v v \delta \varepsilon \chi \omega \rho ı \varsigma v o \mu o v$ ). He now ( $v v v$ emphatic logical transition) proceeds carefully in verses 21-31 the
 $\pi \varepsilon \varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha$, perfect passive indicative of $\varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \circ \omega$, to make manifest), the
necessity of which he has shown in 1:18-3:20. This God kind of righteousness is "apart from law" of any kind and all of grace ( $\chi \alpha \rho ı \tau)$ as he will show in verse 24. But it is not a new discovery on the part of Paul, but "witnessed by the law and the prophets" ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho o v \mu \varepsilon v \eta$,
present passive participle, vпо $\tau 0 \cup$ vоноv ка $\tau \omega \nu \pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \omega \nu$ ), made plain continuously by God himself.

Rom 3:22
Even ( $\delta \varepsilon$ ). Not adversative here. It defines here.
 $(\delta ı \alpha)$ is faith and objective genitive, "in Jesus Christ," not subjective "of Jesus Christ," in spite of Haussleiter's contention for that idea. The objective nature of faith in Christ is shown in
 $\varepsilon \varsigma$ X Inoov (in faith that in Christ Jesus) in 1Ti 3:13, as well as here by the added words "unto all them that believe" ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau o v \varsigma ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o v \tau \alpha \varsigma) ~ i n ~ J e s u s, ~ P a u l ~ m e a n s . ~$

Distinction ( $\delta 1 \alpha \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta$ ). See on 1Co 14:7 for the difference of sounds in musical instruments. Also in Ro 10:12. The Jew was first in privilege as in penalty (2:9f. ), but justification or setting right with God is offered to both on the same terms.

Rom 3:23
Sinned ( $\eta \rho \mu \alpha \rho \tau о v)$. Constative second aorist active indicative of $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v \omega$ as in 5:12. This tense gathers up the whole race into one statement (a timeless aorist).

And fall short ( $\kappa \alpha$ vбт $\varepsilon \rho \circ u v \tau \alpha$ ). Present middle indicative of $v \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to be $v \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho o s$ (comparative) too late, continued action, still fall short. It is followed by the ablative case as here, the case of separation.

Rom 3:24
Being justified ( $\delta \iota \alpha \propto \iota \cup \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present passive participle of $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \circ \omega$, to set right, repeated action in each case, each being set right.

Freely ( $\delta \omega \rho \varepsilon \alpha v$ ). As in Ga 2:21.
By his grace ( $\tau \eta$ av $\tau 0 \cup \chi \alpha \rho \tau)$ ). Instrumental case of this wonderful word $\chi \alpha \rho ı \varsigma$ which so richly expresses Paul's idea of salvation as God's free gift.

Through the redemption ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \pi о \lambda \nu \tau \rho \omega \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). A releasing by ransom ( $\alpha \pi 0$, $\lambda \nu \tau \rho \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma$ from $\lambda \cup \tau \rho \circ \omega$ and that from $\lambda \cup \tau \rho o v$, ransom). God did not set men right out of hand with nothing done about men's sins. We have the words of Jesus that he came to give his life a ransom ( $\lambda \nu \tau \rho o v$ ) for many ( $\mathrm{Mr} 10: 45$; Mt 20:28). $\Lambda \nu \tau \rho o v$ is common in the papyri as the purchase-money in freeing slaves (Deissmann, Light from the Ancient East, pp. 327f.).

That is in Christ Jesus ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon v \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). There can be no mistake about this redemption. It is like Joh 3:16.

Rom 3:25
Set forth ( $\pi \rho 0 \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \tau o$ ). Second aorist middle indicative. See on 1:13 for this word. Also in Eph 1:9, but nowhere else in N.T. God set before himself (purposed) and did it publicly before ( $\pi \rho o$ ) the whole world.

A propitiation ( $\lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ v$ ). The only other N.T. example of this word is in Heb 9:5 where we have the "cherubim overshadowing the mercy seat" ( $\tau \mathrm{i} \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ$ v). In Hebrews the adjective is used as a substantive or as "the propitiatory place " But that idea does not suit here. Deissmann (Bible Studies, pp. 124-35) has produced examples from inscriptions where it is used as an adjective and as meaning "a votive offering" or "propitiatory gift." Hence he concludes about Ro 3:25 : "The crucified Christ is the votive gift of the Divine Love for the salvation of men." God gave his Son as the means of propitiation (1Jo 2:2). Hı $\lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota o v$ is an adjective ( $\lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \varsigma)$ from $ו \lambda \alpha \sigma \kappa о \mu \alpha$, to make propitiation (Heb 2:17) and is kin in meaning to $\lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \mu \mathrm{o}$, propitiation (1Jo 2:2; 4:10). There is no longer room for doubting its meaning in Ro 3:25.

Through faith, by his blood ( $\delta \iota \alpha \pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega$ av $\tau 0 v \alpha \mu \alpha \tau)$. So probably, connecting $\varepsilon v$ тo $\alpha \mu \alpha \tau$ (in his blood) with $\pi \rho o \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \tau o$.

To show his righteousness ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon ı \xi \imath \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \delta ı \kappa \alpha \ldots \sigma u v \eta \varsigma ~ a u \tau o v) . ~ S e e ~ 2 C o ~ 8: 24 . ~ " F o r ~$ showing of his righteousness," the God-kind of righteousness. God could not let sin go as if a mere slip. God demanded the atonement and provided it.

Because of the passing over ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma เ v)$. Late word from $\pi \alpha \rho ı \eta \mu$, to let go, to relax. In Dionysius Hal., Xenophon, papyri (Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 266) for remission of punishment, especially for debt, as distinct from $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma ~(r e m i s s i o n) . ~$

Done aforetime ( $\pi \rho \circ \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \circ v o \tau \omega v$ ). Second perfect active genitive participle of $\pi \rho \sigma \gamma \iota v o \mu \alpha$. The sins before the coming of Christ (Ac 14:16; 17:30; Heb 9:15).

Forbearance ( $\alpha v o \chi \eta$ ). Holding back of God as in 2:4. In this sense Christ tasted death for every man (Heb 2:9).

Rom 3:26
For the shewing ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \xi เ v)$. Repeats point of $\varepsilon \llbracket \varsigma \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon ı \xi \mid v$ of 25 with $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ instead of $\varepsilon ા \varsigma$.

At this present season ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \nu v \nu \kappa \alpha \rho \omega)$. "In the now crisis," in contrast with "done aforetime."

That he might himself be ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau 0 \varepsilon เ v \alpha \alpha \cup \tau o v)$. Purpose with $\varepsilon เ \varsigma$ to and the infinitive $\varepsilon ו v a$ and the accusative of general reference.

Just and the justifier of ( $\delta$ ккаюо ка $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha ı о \nu \tau \alpha$ ). "This is the key phrase which establishes the connexion between the $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma \cup v \eta \eta \varepsilon \varepsilon o v$ and the $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma \cup v \eta \eta \varepsilon \kappa \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ " (Sanday and Headlam). Nowhere has Paul put the problem of God more acutely or profoundly. To pronounce the unrighteous righteous is unjust by itself (Ro 4:5). God's mercy would not allow him to leave man to his fate. God's justice demanded some punishment for sin. The only possible way to save some was the propitiatory offering of Christ and the call for faith on man's part.

Rom 3:27

It is excluded ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \eta$ ). First aorist (effective) passive indicative. "It is completely shut out." Glorying is on man's part.

Nay; but by a law of faith (ou $\chi, \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \delta \iota \alpha$ vo $\mu \circ v \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Strong negative, and note "law of faith," by the principle of faith in harmony with God's love and grace.

Rom 3:28
We reckon therefore ( $\lambda o \gamma \iota \zeta \circ \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha \mathrm{ovv}$ ). Present middle indicative. Westcott and Hort read $\gamma \alpha \rho$ instead of ovv. "My fixed opinion" is. The accusative and infinitive construction
 verses 21f.

Rom 3:29
Of Gentiles also ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \theta v \omega v$ ). Jews overlooked it then and some Christians do now.
Rom 3:30
If so be that God is one ( $\varepsilon เ \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ o ~ \theta \varepsilon o \varsigma)$. Correct text rather than $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon ו \pi \varepsilon \rho$. It means "if on the whole." "By a species of rhetorical politeness it is used of that about which there is no doubt" (Thayer. Cf. 1Co 8:5; 15:15; Ro 8:9.

By faith ( $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. "Out of faith," springing out of.
Through faith ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. "By means of the faith" (just mentioned). Ек denotes source, $\delta \iota \alpha$ intermediate agency or attendant circumstance.

Rom 3:31
Nay, we establish the law ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ vo $\mu \circ v$ เб $\alpha \alpha v o \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Present indicative active of late verb $\iota \sigma \tau \alpha v \omega$ from $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$. This Paul hinted at in verse 21 . How he will show in chapter 4 how Abraham himself is an example of faith and in his life illustrates the very point just made. Besides, apart from Christ and the help of the Holy Spirit no one can keep God's law. The Mosaic law is only workable by faith in Christ.

## Romans 4

Rom 4:1
What then shall we say? ( $\tau$ ouv $\varepsilon \rho o v \mu \varepsilon v$ ?). Paul is fond of this rhetorical question (4:1; 6:1; 7:7; 8:31; 9:14,30 ).

Forefather ( $\pi \rho о \pi \alpha \tau о \rho \alpha)$. Old word, only here in N.T. Accusative case in apposition with $\mathrm{A} \beta \rho \alpha \alpha \mu$ (accusative of general reference with the infinitive).

Hath found ( $\varepsilon \cup \rho \eta \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha)$. Westcott and Hort put $\varepsilon \cup \rho \eta \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha$ in the margin because B omits it, a needless precaution. It is the perfect active infinitive of $\varepsilon v \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$ in indirect discourse after $\varepsilon \rho о \cup \mu \varepsilon v$. The MSS. differ in the position of ката барка.

Rom 4:2
The Scripture ( $\eta \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta$ ). Ge 15:6.
Was justified by works $(\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega \nu \varepsilon \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \theta \eta)$. Condition of first class, assumed as true for the sake of argument, though untrue in fact. The rabbis had a doctrine of the merits of Abraham who had a superfluity of credits to pass on to the Jews (Lu 3:8).

But not towards God ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Abraham deserved all the respect from men that came to him, but his relation to God was a different matter. He had there no ground of boasting at all.

Rom 4:3
It was reckoned unto him for righteousness $(\varepsilon \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \theta \eta \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma \sigma v \nu \eta v)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\lambda о \gamma \zeta \zeta$ о $\alpha$, old and common verb to set down accounts (literally or metaphorically). It was set down on the credit side of the ledger "for" ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ as often) righteousness. What was set down? His believing God ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega)$.

Rom 4:4
But as of debt ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ оч $\kappa \iota \eta \mu \alpha)$. An illustration of the workman $(\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta о \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega)$ who gets his wages due him, "not as of grace" (ov ката $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \nu$ ).

Rom 4:5
That justifieth the ungodly ( $\tau \circ v \delta \iota \alpha \iota \circ \cup v \tau \alpha \tau о v \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \beta \eta$ ). The impious, irreverent man. See $1: 25$. A forensic figure (Shedd). The man is taken as he is and pardoned. "The whole Pauline gospel could be summed up in this one word-- God who justifies the ungodly" (Denney).

Rom 4:6
Pronounceth blessing ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau 0 \nu \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho ı \sigma \mu \sigma \nu$ ). old word from $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, to pronounce blessed (Lu 1:48), felicitation, congratulation, in N.T. only here, verse 9; Ac 4:15.

Rom 4:7
Blessed ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho ı$ ). See on Mt 5:3.

Are forgiven ( $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\alpha \varphi \downharpoonright \eta \mu$, without augment ( $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon 1 \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$, regular form). Paul quotes Ps 32:1f. and as from David. Paul thus confirms his interpretation of Ge 15:6.

Iniquities ( $\alpha v \rho \mu(\alpha)$. Violations of law whereas $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \tau \alpha$ (sins) include all kinds.
Are covered ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \varphi \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive of $\varepsilon \pi \_\kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \pi \tau \omega$, old verb, to cover over (upon, $\varepsilon \pi$ ) as a shroud. Only here in N.T.

Rom 4:8
To whom ( $\omega$ ). But the best MSS. read ou like the LXX and so Westcott and Hort, "whose sin."

Will not reckon (ov $\mu \eta$ $\lambda o \gamma เ \sigma \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Strong negation by double negative and aorist middle subjunctive.

Rom 4:9
Is this blessing then pronounced? (о $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \mu о \varsigma ~ o v v ~ о и \tau о \sigma ?) . ~ " I s ~ t h i s ~ f e l i c i t a t i o n ~$ then?" There is no verb in the Greek. Paul now proceeds to show that Abraham was said in Ge 15:6 to be set right with God by faith before he was circumcised.

Rom 4:10
When he was in circumcision ( $\varepsilon v \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau о \mu \eta$ ovt). Dative masculine singular of the present active participle of $\varepsilon \mu$; "to him being in a state of circumcision or in a state of uncircumcision?" A pertinent point that the average Jew had not noticed.

Rom 4:11
The sign of circumcision ( $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \circ \sim \pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \tau \mu \eta \varsigma)$. It is the genitive of apposition, circumcision being the sign.

A seal of the righteousness of the faith ( $\sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \delta \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma u v \eta \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). $\Sigma \varphi \rho a \gamma ı$ is old word for the seal placed on books ( $\operatorname{Re} 5: 1$ ), for a signet-ring ( $\operatorname{Re} 7: 2$ ), the stamp made by the seal (2Ti 2:19), that by which anything is confirmed (1Co 9:2) as here. The circumcision did not convey the righteousness, but only gave outward confirmation. It came by faith and "the faith which he had while in uncircumcision" ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \alpha \kappa \rho \circ \beta v \sigma \tau \iota \alpha$ ), "the in the state of uncircumcision faith." Whatever parallel exists between baptism and circumcision as here stated by Paul argues for faith before baptism and for baptism as the sign and seal of the faith already had before baptism.

That he might be ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \tau 0 \varepsilon เ v a \alpha u \tau o v)$. This idiom may be God's purpose (contemplated


Though they be in uncircumcision ( $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ ак $\left.\rho \circ \beta v \sigma \tau \iota \alpha\right)$ ). Simply, "of those who believe while in the condition of uncircumcision."

Rom 4:12
The father of circumcision ( $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau о \mu \eta \varsigma)$. The accusative with $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ \varepsilon ı v \alpha$ to be repeated from verse 11. Lightfoot takes it to mean, not "a father of a circumcised progeny," but "a father belonging to circumcision," a less natural interpretation.

But who also walk ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ка тоıৎ $\sigma \tau о \downarrow \chi$ оvбıv). The use of toıৎ here is hard to explain, for ou $\mu$ ovov and $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ к $\alpha$ both come after the preceding toıs. All the MSS. have it thus. A primitive error in a copyist is suggested by Hort who would omit the second tors. Lightfoot regards it less seriously and would repeat the second tors in the English: "To those who are, I do not say of circumcision only, but also to those who walk."
 to walk in file as in Ga 5:25; Php 3:16.

Rom 4:13
That he should be the heir of the world (то клпрогоноv autov $\varepsilon$ вva кобноv). The articular infinitive ( $\tau 0 \varepsilon เ v \alpha$ ) with the accusative of general reference in loose apposition with $\eta \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma^{\varepsilon} \lambda_{1} \alpha$ (the promise). But where is that promise? Not just Ge 12:7, but the whole chain of promises about his son, his descendants like the stars in heaven, the Messiah and the blessing to the world through him. In these verses (13-17) Paul employs (Sanday and Headlam) the keywords of his gospel (faith, promise, grace) and arrays them against the current Jewish theology (law, works, merit).

Rom 4:14
Be heirs ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho о v о \mu о$ ). No predicate in the Greek ( $\varepsilon เ \sigma ı v)$. See on Ga 4:1 . If legalists are heirs of the Messianic promise to Abraham (condition of first class, assumed as true for argument's sake), the faith is emptied of all meaning ( $\kappa \kappa \varepsilon v \omega \tau \alpha$, perfect passive indicative of $\kappa \varepsilon v \circ \omega$ ) and the promise to Abraham is made permanently idle ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \rho \gamma \eta \tau \alpha)$.

Rom 4:15
Worketh wrath ( $o \rho \gamma \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Because of disobedience to it.
Neither is there transgression (ov $\delta \pi \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha \sigma \iota)$ ). There is no responsibility for the violation of a non-existent law.

Rom 4:16
Of faith ( $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). As the source.
According to grace ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \chi \alpha \rho \nu \nu$ ). As the pattern.
To the end that ( $\varepsilon เ \varsigma ~$ to $\varepsilon เ v \alpha$ ). Purpose again as in 11.
Sure ( $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \iota \alpha v$ ). Stable, fast, firm. Old adjective from $\beta \alpha \iota v \omega$, to walk.
Not to that only which is of the law ( $o v \tau \omega \varepsilon \kappa$ тov vouov $\mu \circ v o v$ ). Another instance where $\mu$ ovov (see verse 12) seems in the wrong place. Normally the order would be, ov $\mu$ оvov $\tau \omega \varepsilon \kappa$ тov vo $\mu \circ v, \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ка кт $\lambda$.

Rom 4:17
A father of many nations ( $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi о \lambda \lambda \omega v \varepsilon \theta v \omega v$ ). Quotation from Ge 17:5. Only true in the sense of spiritual children as already explained, father of believers in God.

Before him whom he believed even God (катєvavт ov $\varepsilon \pi เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma \varepsilon v$ Өzov). Incorporation of antecedent into the relative clause and attraction of the relative $\omega$ into ov. See Mr 11:2 for катєvavt, "right in front of."

Calleth the things that are not as though they were ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda о \cup \nu \tau \circ \varsigma \tau \alpha \mu \eta \quad \nu \tau \tau \omega \varsigma \quad \sigma \tau \alpha)$. "Summons the non-existing as existing." Abraham's body was old and decrepit. God rejuvenated him and Sarah (Heb 11:19).

Rom 4:18
In hope believed against hope ( $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta \alpha \varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). "Past hope in (upon) hope he trusted." Graphic picture.

To the end that he might become ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \alpha \nu \tau o v$ ). Purpose clause again with $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ to and the infinitive as in verses 11-16.

Rom 4:19
Without being weakened in faith ( $\mu \eta \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon \nu \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma \tau \eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). "Not becoming weak in faith." Ingressive first aorist active participle with negative $\mu \eta$.

Now as good as dead ( $\eta \delta \eta v \varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Perfect passive participle of $v \varepsilon \kappa \rho o \omega$, "now already dead." B omits $\eta \delta \eta$. He was, he knew, too old to become father of a child.

About ( $\pi 0 v$ ). The addition of $\pi \sigma v$ (somewhere, about) "qualifies the exactness of the preceding numeral" (Vaughan). The first promise of a son to Abraham and Sarah came (Ge 15:3f. ) before the birth of Ishmael ( 86 when Ishmael was born). The second promise came when Abraham was 99 years old (Ge 17:1), calling himself 100 (Ge 17:17).

Rom 4:20
He wavered not through unbelief (ov $\delta \iota \varepsilon \kappa \rho \theta \eta \tau \eta a \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \alpha)$. First aorist passive indicative of old and common verb $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa \iota \nu \omega$, to separate, to distinguish between, to decide between, to desert, to dispute, to be divided in one's own mind. This last sense occurs here as in Mt 21:22; Mr 11:23; Ro 14:23; Jas 1:6. "He was not divided in his mind by unbelief" (instrumental case).

Waxed strong through faith $(\varepsilon v \varepsilon \delta v v a \mu \omega \theta \eta \tau \eta \pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon)$. First aorist passive again of $\varepsilon v \delta v v a \mu \circ \omega$, late word to empower, to put power in, in LXX and Paul and Ac 9:22 .

Rom 4:21
Being fully assured ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho о \varphi о \rho \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma$ ). First aorist passive participle of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho о \varphi о \rho \varepsilon \omega$, from $\pi \lambda \eta \rho о \varphi о \rho о \varsigma$ and this from $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \eta \varsigma$ and $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, to bear or bring full (full measure), to settle fully. Late word, first in LXX but frequent in papyri in sense of finishing off or paying off. See on Lu 1:1; Ro 14:5.

What he had promised (o $\varepsilon \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect middle indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o \mu \alpha$, to promise, retained in indirect discourse according to usual Greek idiom.

He was able ( $\delta v v a \tau o \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). Present active indicative retained in indirect discourse. The verbal adjective $\delta v v a \tau o \varsigma$ with $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ is here used in sense of the verb $\delta v v a \tau \alpha$ (Lu 14:31; Ac 11:17).

Rom 4:23
That (o $\tau$ ). Either recitative or declarative o $\tau$. It makes sense either way.
Rom 4:24

Him that raised up Jesus ( $\tau 0 v \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho a v \tau \alpha$ I $\eta \sigma o v v$ ). First aorist active articular participle of $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$, to raise up. The fact of the Resurrection of Jesus is central in Paul's gospel (1Co 15:4ff. ).

Rom 4:25
For our justification ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \nu \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \sigma \iota \nu \eta \mu \omega \nu$ ). The first clause ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \delta \theta \eta \delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha$ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha)$ is from Isa 53:12. The first $\delta ı \alpha$ with $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ is probably retrospective, though it will make sense as prospective (to make atonement for our transgressions). The second $\delta \iota \alpha$ is quite clearly prospective with a view to our justification. Paul does not mean to separate the resurrection from the death of Christ in the work of atonement, but simply to show that the resurrection is at one with the death on the Cross in proof of Christ's claims.

## Romans 5

Rom 5:1
Being therefore justified by faith ( $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \theta \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ouv $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). First aorist passive participle of $\delta ı \kappa \alpha \omega \omega$, to set right and expressing antecedent action to the verb $\varepsilon \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$. The ouv refers to the preceding conclusive argument (chapters 1 to 4 ) that this is done by faith.

Let us have peace with God ( $\varepsilon ı \eta \nu \eta \nu \varepsilon \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \varepsilon o v)$. This is the correct text beyond a doubt, the present active subjunctive, not $\varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v$ (present active indicative) of the Textus Receptus which even the American Standard Bible accepts. It is curious how perverse many real scholars have been on this word and phrase here. Godet, for instance. Vincent says that "it is difficult if not impossible to explain it." One has only to observe the force of the tense to see Paul's meaning clearly. The mode is the volitive subjunctive and the present tense expresses linear action and so does not mean "make peace" as the ingressive aorist subjunctive $\varepsilon \rho \eta \eta \eta \nu \sigma \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ would mean. A good example of $\sigma \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ occurs in Mt 21:38 ( $\sigma \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta v \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ v$ оцıav avtov) where it means: "Let us get hold of his inheritance." Here $\varepsilon \iota \eta \nu \eta \nu \varepsilon \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$ can only mean: "Let us enjoy peace with God" or "Let us retain peace with God." We have in Ac 9:31 عıXev $\varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \nu \eta v$ (imperfect and so linear), the church "enjoyed peace," not "made peace." The preceding justification ( $\delta \ldots \alpha \omega \omega \theta \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$ "made peace with God." Observe $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ (face to face) with $\operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \varepsilon o v$ and $\delta \iota \alpha$ (intermediate agent) with tov кvpıov.

Rom 5:2
We have had ( $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \eta \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ (same verb as $\varepsilon \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ), still have it.

Our access ( $\tau \varepsilon v \pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \eta v$ ). Old word from $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha \gamma \omega$, to bring to, to introduce. Hence "introduction," "approach." Elsewhere in N.T. only Eph 2:18; 3:12 .

Wherein we stand ( $\varepsilon v \eta \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active (intransitive) indicative of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$. Grace is here present as a field into which we have been introduced and where we stand and we should enjoy all the privileges of this grace about us.

Let us rejoice ( $\kappa \alpha \cup \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). "Let us exult." Present middle subjunctive (volitive) because $\varepsilon \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ is accepted as correct. The exhortation is that we keep on enjoying peace with God and keep on exulting in hope of the glory of God.

Rom 5:3
But let us also rejoice in our tribulations ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha v \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha \varepsilon v \tau \alpha \iota \varphi \theta \lambda \iota \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma เ v)$. Present middle subjunctive of same verb as in verse $2 . \operatorname{K\alpha u\chi \omega \mu \alpha \text {ismorethan"rejoice,"}}$ rather "glory," "exult." These three volitive subjunctives ( $\varepsilon \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v, ~ \kappa \alpha u \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta a$, twice) hold up the high ideal for the Christian after, and because of, his being set right with God. It is one thing to submit to or endure tribulations without complaint, but it is another to find ground of glorying in the midst of them as Paul exhorts here.

Rom 5:4

Knowing ( $\varepsilon<\delta o \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Second perfect participle of $\varepsilon ו \delta o v(o t \delta \alpha)$, giving the reason for the previous exhortation to glory in tribulations. He gives a linked chain, one linking to the other (tribulation $\theta \lambda \iota \psi \iota \varsigma$, patience $v \pi о \mu о v \eta$, experience $\delta$ окı $\mu$, hope $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \varsigma)$ running into verse 5. On бокци , see 2Со 2:9.

## Rom 5:5

Hath been shed abroad ( $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \varepsilon \chi \cup \tau \alpha)$. Perfect passive indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \chi \varepsilon \omega$, to pour out. "Has been poured out" in our hearts.

Rom 5:6
For ( $\varepsilon \tau \gamma \alpha \rho$ ). So most documents, but B reads $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ which Westcott and Hort use in place of $\gamma \alpha \rho$.

While we were yet weak (ov $(\omega v \eta \mu \omega \nu \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \omega \nu \varepsilon \tau)$. Genitive absolute. The second $\varepsilon \tau$ (yet) here probably gave rise to the confusion of text over $\varepsilon \tau \gamma \alpha \rho$ above.

In due season (ката каıроv). Christ came into the world at the proper time, the fulness of the time (Ga 4:4; Eph 1:10; Tit 1:3).

I or the ungodly (v $v \varepsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \beta \omega v$ ). In behalf, instead of. See about $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ on Ga 3:13 and also verse 7 here.

Rom 5:7
Scarcely ( $\mu \mathrm{o} \lambda ı \varsigma$ ). Common adverb from $\mu \mathrm{\lambda} \lambda \mathrm{o}$, toil. See on Ac 14:18. As between $\delta ı \kappa \alpha \iota \varsigma$, righteous, and $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ os, good, Lightfoot notes "all the difference in the world" which he shows by quotations from Plato and Christian writers, a difference of sympathy mainly, the $\delta$ ıкаıо man being "absolutely without sympathy" while the $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ oc man "is beneficent and kind."

Would even dare ( $\kappa \alpha \tau о \lambda \mu \alpha$ ). Present active indicative of $\tau 0 \lambda \mu \alpha \omega$, to have courage. "Even dares to." Even so in the case of the kindly sympathetic man courage is called for to make the supreme sacrifice.

Perhaps ( $\tau \alpha \chi \alpha$ ). Common adverb (perhaps instrumental case) from $\tau \alpha \chi u \varsigma$ (swift). Only here in N.T.

Rom 5:8
His own love ( $\tau \eta v$ عautov $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta v$ ). See Joh 3:16 as the best comment here.
While we were yet sinners ( $\varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda \omega v \nu v \tau \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute again. Not because we were Jews or Greeks, rich or poor, righteous or good, but plain sinners. Cf. Lu 18:13, the plea of the publican, " $\mu$ о $\tau \omega \alpha \mu \alpha \tau \omega \lambda \omega$."

Rom 5:9
Much more then ( $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega$ ovv $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$ ). Argument from the greater to the less. The great thing is the justification in Christ's blood. The final salvation ( $\sigma \omega \theta \eta \sigma o \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$, future passive indicative) is less of a mystery.

Rom 5:10

We were reconciled to God ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \eta \mu \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega)$. Second aorist passive indicative of кат $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ for which great Pauline word see on $2 \mathrm{Co} 5: 18 \mathrm{f}$. The condition is the first class. Paul does not conceive it as his or our task to reconcile God to us. God has attended to that himself (Ro 3:25f. ). We become reconciled to God by means of the death of God's Son. "Much more" again we shall be saved "by his life" ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \zeta \omega \eta$ avtov). "In his life," for he does live, "ever living to intercede for them" (Heb 7:25).

Rom 5:11
But also glorying in God ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha u \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v o \varepsilon v \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). Basis of all the exultation above (verses 1-5).

Through whom we have now received the reconciliation ( $\delta$ ov $v v \nu \tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \eta \nu$ $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o \mu \varepsilon v)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, looked at as a past realization, "now" $(v v v)$ in contrast with the future consummation and a sure pledge and guarantee of it.

Rom 5:12
Therefore ( $\delta$ ı $\alpha$ тovto). "For this reason." What reason? Probably the argument made in verses 1-11, assuming our justification and urging exultant joy in Christ because of the present reconciliation by Christ's death and the certainty of future final salvation by his life.

As through one man ( $\omega \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \delta \iota^{\prime} \varepsilon v o c \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ ). Paul begins a comparison between the effects of Adam's sin and the effects of the redemptive work of Christ, but he does not give the second member of the comparison. Instead of that he discusses some problems about $\sin$ and death and starts over again in verse 15 . The general point is plain that the effects of Adam's sin are transmitted to his descendants, though he does not say how it was done whether by the natural or the federal headship of Adam. It is important to note that Paul does not say that the whole race receives the full benefit of Christ's atoning death, but only those who do. Christ is the head of all believers as Adam is the head of the race. In this sense Adam "is a figure of him that was to come."
 $\sin$ and represented as coming from the outside into the world of humanity. Paul does not discuss the origin of evil beyond this fact. There are some today who deny the fact of sin at all and who call it merely "an error of mortal mind" (a notion) while others regard it as merely an animal inheritance devoid of ethical quality.

And so death passed unto all men ( $\kappa \alpha$ оטт $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi о \cup \varsigma \delta ı \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Note use of $\delta เ \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ rather than $\varepsilon ו \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, just before, second aorist active indicative in both instances. By "death" in Ge 2:17; 3:19 physical death is meant, but in verses 17,21 eternal death is Paul's idea and that lurks constantly behind physical death with Paul.

For that all sinned ( $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} \omega \pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \eta \mu \alpha \rho \tau o v$ ). Constative (summary) aorist active indicative of $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \nu \omega$, gathering up in this one tense the history of the race (committed sin). The transmission from Adam became facts of experience. In the old Greek $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} \omega$ usually meant "on condition that," but "because" in N.T. (Robertson, Grammar, p. 963).

## Rom 5:13

Until the law ( $\alpha \chi \rho$ vouov). Until the Mosaic law. Sin was there before the Mosaic law, for the Jews were like Gentiles who had the law of reason and conscience ( $2: 12-16$ ), but the coming of the law increased their responsibility and their guilt ( $2: 9$ ).

Sin is not imputed ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \delta \varepsilon$ ovк $\varepsilon \lambda \lambda$ оүعוт $)$. Present passive indicative of late verb $\varepsilon \lambda \lambda o \gamma \alpha \omega(-\varepsilon \omega)$ from $\varepsilon v$ and $\lambda o \gamma o \varsigma$, to put down in the ledger to one's account, examples in inscription and papyri.

When there is no law ( $\mu \eta$ ov $\tau о \varsigma$ vouov). Genitive absolute, no law of any kind, he means. There was law before the Mosaic law. But what about infants and idiots in case of death? Do they have responsibility? Surely not. The sinful nature which they inherit is met by Christ's atoning death and grace. No longer do men speak of "elect infants."

Rom 5:14
Even over them that had not sinned after the likeness of Adam's transgression ( $\kappa \alpha$ $\varepsilon \pi \tau$ тоия $\mu \eta \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \tau \omega$ о $\mu о เ \omega \mu \alpha \tau \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ A $\delta \alpha \mu$ ). Adam violated an express command of God and Moses gave the law of God clearly. And yet sin and death followed all from Adam on till Moses, showing clearly that the sin of Adam brought terrible consequences upon the race. Death has come upon infants and idiots also as a result of sin, but one understands Paul to mean that they are not held responsible by the law of conscience.

A figure (титос). See on Ac 7:43; 1Th 1:7; 2Th 3:9; 1Co 10:6 for this word. Adam is a type of Christ in holding a relation to those affected by the headship in each case, but the parallel is not precise as Paul shows.

Rom 5:15
But not as the trespass ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov $\omega \omega$ ). It is more contrast than parallel: "the trespass" ( $\tau \mathrm{o} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha$, the slip, fall to one side) over against the free gift ( $\tau 0 \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$, of grace $\chi \propto \rho ı$ ).

Much more ( $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \lambda \omega \mu \mathrm{a} \lambda \lambda \mathrm{ov}$ ). Another a fortiori argument. Why so? As a God of love he delights
much more in showing mercy and pardon than in giving just punishment (Lightfoot). The gift surpasses the sin. It is not necessary to Paul's argument to make "the many" in each case correspond, one relates to Adam, the other to Christ.

Rom 5:16
Through one that sinned ( $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ हvoc a $\left.\mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v \tau о \varsigma\right)$ ) "Through one having sinned." That is Adam. Another contrast, difference in source ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ ).

Of one ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Supply $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha \tau o \varsigma, ~ A d a m ' s ~ o n e ~ t r a n s g r e s s i o n . ~$
Of many trespasses ( $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \omega v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). The gift by Christ grew out of manifold sins by Adam's progeny.

Justification ( $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \mu)$. Act of righteousness, result, ordinance (1:32; 2:26; 8:4 ), righteous deed (5:18), verdict as here (acquittal).

## Rom 5:17

Much more ( $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \lambda \omega \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda \mathrm{ov}$ ). Argument a fortiori again. Condition of first class assumed to be true. Note balanced words in the contrast (transgression $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha \tau$, grace $\chi \alpha \rho ı \tau o 弓 ;$ death $\theta$ avato $\varsigma$, life $\zeta \omega \eta$; the one or

Adam tov $\varepsilon$ voc, the one
Jesus Christ ; reign $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon v \omega$ in both).
Rom 5:18
So then ( $\alpha \rho \alpha o u v$ ). Conclusion of the argument. Cf. 7:3,25; 8:12, etc. Paul resumes the parallel between Adam and Christ begun in verse 12 and interrupted by explanation (13f. ) and contrast ( 15-17).

Through one trespass ( $\left.\delta \iota^{\prime} \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha \tau o \varsigma\right)$. That of Adam.
Through one act of righteousness ( $\delta \iota^{\prime} \varepsilon v o \varsigma \delta ı \kappa \alpha ı \omega \mu \alpha \tau о$ ). That of Christ. The first "unto all men" ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \pi \alpha v \tau a \varsigma ~ \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ оиৎ) as in verse 12 , the second as in verse 17 "they that receive, etc."

Rom 5:19
Here again we have "the one" ( $\operatorname{tov}$ عvoc) with both Adam and Christ, but "disobedience" (ларакопऽ, for which see 2Co 10:6) contrasted with "obedience" (טлакопऽ), the same verb $\kappa \alpha \theta เ \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, old verb, to set down, to render, to constitute ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$, first aorist passive indicative, катабтаӨๆбovта, future passive), and "the many" (о $\pi о \lambda \lambda o$ ) in both cases (but with different meaning as with "all men" above).

Rom 5:20
Came in beside ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \iota \sigma \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of double compound $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \iota \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi о \mu \alpha$, late verb, in N.T. only here and Ga $2: 4$ which see. See also $\varepsilon ו \sigma \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ in verse 12. The Mosaic law came into this state of things, in between Adam and Christ.

That the trespass might abound (iva $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \sigma v a \sigma \eta ~ \tau o ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \tau \tau \omega \mu \alpha)$ ). It is usual to explain iva here as final, as God's ultimate purpose. So Denney who refers to Ga 3:19ff.; Ro 7:7f . But Chrysostom explains iva here as $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma$ (result). This is a proper use of iva in the Koine as we have seen. If we take it so here, the meaning is "so that the trespass abounded" (aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \lambda \varepsilon o v a \sigma \omega$, late verb, see on $2 \mathrm{Th} 1: 3 ; 2 \mathrm{Co} 8: 15$ ). This was the actual effect of the Mosaic law for the Jews, the necessary result of all prohibitions.

Did abound more exceedingly ( $\cup \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \cup \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of vтєртєрıббєvш. Late verb, in N.T. only here and 2Co 7:4 which see. A strong word. If $\pi \lambda \varepsilon o v a \zeta \omega$ is comparative ( $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \sigma v$ ) $\pi \varepsilon \rho \circ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v \omega$ is superlative (Lightfoot) and then $\nu \pi \varepsilon \rho \pi \varepsilon \rho\llcorner\sigma \sigma \varepsilon v \omega$ goes the superlative one better. See $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \pi \lambda \varepsilon o v a \zeta \omega$ in 1Ti 1:14. The flood of grace surpassed the flood of sin, great as that was (and is).

Rom 5:21
That--even so grace might reign (ıv $\alpha-$-оvтоৎ ка $\eta \chi \alpha \rho \iota \varsigma \beta \alpha \sigma ı \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \eta)$. Final iva here, the purpose of God and the goal for us through Christ. Lightfoot notes the force of the
aorist indicative ( $\varepsilon \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v$, established its throne) and the aorist subjunctive ( $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \eta$, might establish its throne), the ingressive aorist both times. "This full rhetorical close has almost the value of a doxology" (Denney).

## Romans 6

Rom 6:1
What shall we say then? ( $\tau$ ouv $\varepsilon \rho o v \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ?). "A debater's phrase" (Morison). Yes, and an echo of the rabbinical method of question and answer, but also an expression of exultant victory of grace versus sin. But Paul sees the possible perversion of this glorious grace.

Shall we continue in $\sin$ ? ( $\varepsilon \pi / \mu \varepsilon v \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \quad \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha$ ?). Present active deliberative subjunctive of $\varepsilon \pi \mu \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega$, old verb to tarry as in Ephesus (1Co 16:8) with locative case. The practice of $\sin$ as a habit (present tense) is here raised.

That grace may abound (ıva $\eta \chi \alpha \rho ı \varsigma \pi \tau \varepsilon o v a \sigma \eta)$. Final clause with ingressive aorist subjunctive, to set free the superfluity of grace alluded to like putting money in circulation. Horrible thought ( $\mu \eta \gamma \varepsilon v o \iota \tau o$ ) and yet Paul faced it. There are occasionally so-called pietists who actually think that God's pardon gives them liberty to sin without penalty (cf. the sale of indulgences that stirred Martin Luther).

Rom 6:2
Died to $\sin (\alpha \pi \varepsilon \theta \alpha v o \mu \varepsilon v \tau \eta ~ \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau ı \alpha)$. Second aorist active of $\alpha \pi \circ \theta v \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$ and the dative case. When we surrendered to Christ and took him as Lord and Saviour. Qualitative relative (otiveৎ, we the very ones who).

How ( $\pi \omega \varsigma$ ). Rhetorical question.
Rom 6:3
Were baptized into Christ ( $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ Xpıбтov). First aorist passive indicative of $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \zeta \omega$. Better, "were baptized unto Christ or in Christ." The translation "into" makes Paul say that the union with Christ was brought to pass by means of baptism, which is not his idea, for Paul was not a sacramentarian. Ets is at bottom the same word as $\varepsilon v$. Baptism is the public proclamation of one's inward spiritual relation to Christ attained before the baptism. See on Ga 3:27 where it is like putting on an outward garment or uniform.
 death," which relation Paul proceeds to explain by the symbolism of the ordinance.

Rom 6:4
We were buried therefore with him by means of baptism unto death ( $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \tau \alpha \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon v$
 $\sigma u v \theta a \pi \tau \omega$, old verb to bury together with, in N.T. only here and Col 2:12. With associative instrumental case ( $\alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ) and "by means of baptism unto death" as in verse 3 .

In newness of life ( $\varepsilon v$ кaıvorn $\zeta \omega \eta \varsigma$ ). The picture in baptism points two ways, backwards to Christ's death and burial and to our death to sin (verse 1), forwards to Christ's resurrection from the dead and to our new life pledged by the coming out of the watery grave to walk on the other side of the baptismal grave (F. B. Meyer). There is the further picture of our own resurrection from the grave. It is a tragedy that Paul's majestic picture here has
been so blurred by controversy that some refuse to see it. It should be said also that a symbol is not the reality, but the picture of the reality.

## Rom 6:5

For if we have become united with him by the likeness of his death ( $\varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho \sigma \cup \mu \varphi \cup \tau о$
 true. $\Sigma \nu \mu \varphi \nu \tau o$ is old verbal adjective from $\sigma \nu \mu \varphi \nu \omega$, to grow together. Baptism as a picture of death and burial symbolizes our likeness to Christ in his death.

We shall be also united in the likeness of his resurrection ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ка $\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha v a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ $\varepsilon \sigma \circ \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha)$. The conclusion to the previous condition introduced by $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha$ as often and $\tau$ о $\mu \circ \boldsymbol{\omega} \mu \mu \tau$ (in the likeness) must be understood before $\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha v a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ (of his resurrection). Baptism is a picture of the past and of the present and a prophecy of the future, the matchless preacher of the new life in Christ.

Rom 6:6
Our old man (o $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha ı \rho \varsigma \eta \mu \omega \nu \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi o \varsigma)$. Only in Paul (here, Col 3:9; Eph 4:22 ).
Was crucified with him ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \omega \theta \eta$ ). See on $\mathrm{Ga} 2: 19$ for this boldly picturesque word. This took place not at baptism, but only pictured there. It took place when "we died to $\sin$ " (verse 1).

The body of $\sin (\tau 0 \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. "The body of which sin has taken possession" (Sanday and Headlam), the body marked by sin.

That so we should no longer be in bondage to sin ( $\tau \circ 0 \mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau \delta o u \lambda \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota v \eta \mu \varsigma \tau \eta$ $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha)$. Purpose clause with $\tau o v$ and the present active infinitive of $\delta o v \lambda \varepsilon v \omega$, continue serving $\sin$ (as slaves). Adds "slavery" to living in sin (verse 2).

Rom 6:7
Is justified ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma \omega$, stands justified, set free from, adding this great word to death and life of verses 1,2 .

Rom 6:8
With Christ ( $\sigma \cup \vee \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \tau \tau \omega$ ). As pictured by baptism, the crucifixion with Christ of verse 6.

Rom 6:9
Dieth no more (ouкะт $\alpha \pi \circ \theta v \eta \sigma \kappa \varepsilon$ ). "Christ's particular death occurs but once" (Shedd). See Heb 10:10 . A complete refutation of the "sacrificial" character of the "mass."

Rom 6:10
The death that he died (o $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \theta a v \varepsilon v$ ). Neuter relative, cognative accusative with a $\pi$ 民 $\alpha v \varepsilon v$.

Once ( $\varepsilon \varphi \alpha \pi \alpha \xi$ ). Once and once only (Heb 9:26f. ), not $\pi о \tau \varepsilon$ (once upon a time).
The life that he liveth ( $o \zeta \eta$ ). Cognate accusative of the relative.
Rom 6:11

Reckon ye also yourselves ( $\kappa \alpha$ v $\mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \lambda о ү \iota \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Direct middle imperative of $\lambda о \gamma \iota \zeta о \mu \alpha$ and complete proof that Paul does not mean that baptism makes one dead to sin and alive to God. That is a spiritual operation "in Christ Jesus" and only pictured by baptism. This is a plea to live up to the ideal of the baptized life.

Rom 6:12
Reign ( $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \omega)$. Present active imperative, "let not sin continue to reign" as it did once ( $5: 12$ ).

Mortal ( $\theta v \eta \tau o$ ). Verbal adjective from $\theta v \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$, subject to death. The reign of $\sin$ is over with you. Self-indulgence is inconsistent with trust in the vicarious atonement.

That ye should obey ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ v \pi \alpha к о v \varepsilon ı v) . ~ W i t h ~ a ~ v i e w ~ t o ~ o b e y i n g . ~$
Rom 6:13
Neither present ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present active imperative in prohibition of $\pi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \omega \omega$, late form of $\pi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, to place beside. Stop presenting your members or do not have the habit of doing so, "do not go on putting your members to sin as weapons of unrighteousness."

Instruments (o $\pi \lambda \alpha$ ). Old word for tools of any kind for shop or war (Joh 18:3; 2Co 6:7; 10:4; Ro 13:12 ). Possibly here figure of two armies arrayed against each other ( Ga 5:16-24 ), and see o $\pi \lambda \alpha \delta$ ıкаıобuvŋऽ below. The two sets of o $\pi \lambda \alpha$ clash.

But present yourselves unto God ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ т $\alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau о \nu \varsigma \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\pi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, same verb, but different tense, do it now and completely. Our "members" ( $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \eta$ ) should be at the call of God "as alive from the dead."

Rom 6:14
Shall not have dominion ( $о$ к кирıєибє). Future active indicative of кирıєv $\omega$, old verb from кupıos, "shall not lord it over you," even if not yet wholly dead. Cf. 2Co 1:24.

Rom 6:15
What then? ( $\tau$ ovv?). Another turn in the argument about the excess of grace.
Shall we sin? ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \varepsilon \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ?). First aorist active deliberative subjunctive of $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v \omega$. "Shall we commit sin" (occasional acts of $\sin$ as opposed to the life of $\sin$ as raised by $\varepsilon \pi \iota \mu \varepsilon v \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \quad \alpha \mu \alpha \tau \iota \alpha$ in verse 1 )?

Because (oт). The same reason as in verse 1 and taken up from the very words in verse 14. Surely, the objector says, we may take a night off now and then and sin a little bit "since we are under grace."

Rom 6:16
 the one whom ye obey, whatever one's profession may be, traitors, spies sometimes they are called. As Paul used the figure to illustrate death to $\sin$ and resurrection to new life in Christ and not in sin, so now he uses slavery against the idea of occasional lapses into sin. Loyalty to Christ will not permit occasional crossing over to the other side to Satan's line.

## Rom 6:17

Whereas ye were $(\eta \tau \varepsilon)$. Imperfect but no "whereas" in the Greek. Paul is not grateful that they were once slaves of sin, but only that, though they once were, they turned from that state.

To that form of doctrine whereunto ye were delivered ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma$ оv $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta о \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon \tau \cup \pi о \nu$ $\delta เ \delta a \chi \eta \varsigma)$. Incorporation of the antecedent ( $\left.\tau v \pi \frac{\pi}{} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \delta a \chi \eta \varsigma\right)$ into the relative clause: "to which form of doctrine ye were delivered." See on 5:14 for tutov. It is hardly proper to take "form" here to refer to Paul's gospel ( $2: 16$ ), possibly an allusion to the symbolism of baptism which was the outward sign of the separation.

Rom 6:18
Ye became servants of righteousness ( $\varepsilon \delta o u \lambda \omega \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon \tau \eta \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma \cup v \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\delta$ ou $\lambda o \omega$, to enslave. "Ye were made slaves to righteousness." You have simply changed masters, no longer slaves of $\sin$ (set free from that tyrant), but ye are slaves of righteousness. There is no middle ground, no "no man's land" in this war.

Rom 6:19
I speak after the manner of men $(\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \tau v o v \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega)$. "I speak a human word." He begs pardon for using "slaving" in connection with righteousness. But it is a good word, especially for our times when self-assertiveness and personal liberty bulk so large in modern speech. See $3: 5 ; \mathrm{Ga} 3: 15$ where he uses ката $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ ov.

Because of the infirmity of your flesh ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta v \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon ı \alpha \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa о \varsigma \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Because of defective spiritual insight largely due to moral defects also.

Servants to uncleanness ( $\delta$ ou $\alpha \alpha \tau \eta \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \rho \sigma \iota \alpha$ ). Neuter plural form of $\delta o u \lambda o s$ to agree with $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \eta$ (members). Patently true in sexual sins, in drunkenness, and all fleshly sins, absolutely slaves like narcotic fiends.

So now (ovt $\omega \varsigma v v v$ ). Now that you are born again in Christ. Paul uses twice again the same verb $\pi \alpha \rho ı \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, to present ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon, \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ).

Servants to righteousness ( $\delta$ ou $\lambda \alpha \tau \eta \delta_{⿺ 𠃊} \alpha \circ \sigma \cup v \eta$ ). Repeats the idea of verse 18.
Unto sanctification ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \alpha \gamma \iota \alpha \sigma \mu \circ v$ ). This the goal, the blessed consummation that demands and deserves the new slavery without occasional lapses or sprees (verse 15). This late word appears only in LXX, N.T., and ecclesiastical writers so far. See on 1Th 4:3; 1Co 1:30 . Paul includes sanctification in his conception of the God-kind ( $1: 17$ ) of righteousness (both justification, 1:18-5:21 and sanctification, chapters 6-8). It is a life process of consecration, not an instantaneous act. Paul shows that we ought to be sanctified ( 6:1-7:6) and illustrates the obligation by death ( 6:1-14), by slavery ( $6: 15-23$ ), and by marriage ( 7:1-6).

Rom 6:20
Free in regard of righteousness ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho o \tau \eta \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma v v \eta)$. Ye wore no collar of righteousness, but freely did as ye pleased. They were "free." Note dative case, personal relation, of $\delta$ ıкaıoбuvๆ.

## Rom 6:21

What fruit then had ye at that time? ( $\tau \imath v \alpha$ ouv карлоv $\varepsilon เ \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ~ \tau о \tau \varepsilon ?$ ). Imperfect active, used to have. A pertinent question. Ashes in their hands now. They are ashamed now of the memory of them. The end of them is death.

Rom 6:22
Ye have your fruit unto sanctification ( $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \tau о v \kappa \alpha \rho \pi о v \nu \mu \omega v \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \alpha \gamma \iota \alpha \sigma \mu \nu)$ ). Freedom from sin and slavery to God bring permanent fruit that leads to sanctification.

And the end eternal life ( $\tau 0 \delta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \circ \varsigma \zeta \omega \eta \nu \alpha \iota \omega v \circ v)$ ). Note accusative case $\zeta \omega \eta \nu \alpha \omega \omega v \circ \nu$, object of $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ (ye have), though $\theta$ avatoc in contrast above is nominative.

Rom 6:23
Wages (o $\% \omega v i \alpha)$. Late Greek for wages of soldier, here of sin. See on Lu 3:14; 1Co 9:7; 2Co 11:8. Sin pays its wages in full with no cut. But eternal life is God's gift ( $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$ ), not wages. Both $\theta a v a t o c$ and $\zeta \omega \eta \nu$ are
eternal ( $\alpha \omega \nu \mathrm{ov}$ ).

## Romans 7

Rom 7:1
To men that know the law ( $\gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa o v \sigma \iota v$ vo $\mu \circ v$ ). Dative plural of present active participle of $\gamma ı \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. The Romans, whether Jews or Gentiles, knew the principle of law.

A man ( $\tau 0 v \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ ). "The person," generic term $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma$, not $\alpha v \eta \rho$.
Rom 7:2
The wife that hath a husband ( $\eta$ v $\tau \alpha v \delta \rho o \varsigma ~ \gamma \cup v \eta$ ). Late word, under (in subjection to) a husband. Here only in N.T.

Is bound ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Perfect passive indicative, stands bound.
By law ( $v o \mu \omega$ ). Instrumental case.
To the husband while he liveth ( $\tau \omega \zeta \omega v \tau \alpha v \delta \rho$ ). "To the living husband," literally.
But if the husband die ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \delta \varepsilon \alpha \pi \circ \theta \alpha v \eta$ o $\alpha v \eta \rho$ ). Third class condition, a supposable case ( $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and the second aorist active subjunctive).

She is discharged ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \rho \gamma \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, to make void. She stands free from the law of the husband. Cf. 6:6.

Rom 7:3
While the husband liveth ( $\zeta \omega v \tau \circ \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ a v \delta \rho o \varsigma)$ ) Genitive absolute of present active participle of $\zeta \alpha \omega$.

She shall be called ( $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Future active indicative of $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb, to receive a name as in Ac 11:26, from $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha$, business, from $\chi \rho \alpha \circ \mu \alpha$, to use, then to give an oracle, etc.

An adulteress ( $\mu$ o( $\alpha$ $\lambda \iota \varsigma$ ). Late word, in Plutarch, LXX. See on Mt 12:39.
If she be joined ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Third class condition, "if she come to."
So that she is no adulteress ( $\tau 0 v \mu \eta \varepsilon \varepsilon v \alpha \alpha \cup \tau \eta \nu \mu \circ \nsim \alpha \lambda \iota \delta \alpha$ ). It is a fact that $\tau 0 v$ and the infinitive is used for result as we saw in 1:24. Conceived result may explain the idiom here.

Rom 7:4
Ye also were made to the law ( $\kappa \alpha \cup \mu \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \varepsilon \theta \alpha \alpha \tau \tau \omega \eta \eta \varepsilon$ ). First aorist indicative passive of $\theta$ avarow, old verb, to put to death (Mt 10:21) or to make to die (extinct) as here and Ro 8:13. The analogy calls for the death of the law, but Paul refuses to say that. He changes the structure and makes them dead to the law as the husband ( $6: 3-6$ ). The relation of marriage is killed "through the body of Christ" as the "propitiation" ( 3:25) for us. Cf. Col 1:22 .

That we should be joined to another ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega)$. Purpose clause with $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ тo and the infinitive. First mention of the saints as wedded to Christ as their Husband occurs in 1Co 6:13; Ga 4:26. See further Eph 5:22-33.

That we might bring forth fruit unto God (ıva карточор $\sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega)$. He changes the metaphor to that of the tree used in 6:22.

Rom 7:5

In the flesh ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa$ ). Same sense as in 6:19 and 7:18,25. The "flesh" is not inherently sinful, but is subject to sin. It is what Paul means by being "under the law." He uses $\sigma \alpha \rho \xi$ in a good many senses.

Sinful passions ( $\tau \alpha \pi \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \omega \nu \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \omega \nu)$. "Passions of sins" or marked by sins.
Wrought ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon ı \tau o)$. Imperfect middle of $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, "were active."
To bring forth fruit unto death ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ к а \rho \pi о \varphi о \rho \eta \sigma \alpha ~ \tau \omega ~ \theta \alpha v \alpha \tau \omega$ ). Purpose clause again. Vivid picture of the seeds of sin working for death.

Rom 7:6
But now ( $v u v \delta \varepsilon$ ). In the new condition.
Wherein we were holden ( $\varepsilon \nu \omega \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Imperfect passive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \chi \omega$, picture of our former state (same verb in 1:18).

In newness of spirit ( $\varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \iota v o \tau \eta \tau \pi v \varepsilon v \mu a \tau \circ \varsigma)$. The death to the letter of the law (the old husband) has set us free to the new life in Christ. So Paul has shown again the obligation on us to live for Christ.

Rom 7:7
Is the law sin? (o vo $\mu \circ \varsigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha$ ?). A pertinent query in view of what he had said. Some people today oppose all inhibitions and prohibitions because they stimulate violations. That is half-baked thinking.

I had not known sin ( $\tau \eta \nu \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \nu$ ovk $\varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \nu$ ). Second aorist indicative of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, to know. It is a conclusion of a second class condition, determined as unfulfilled. Usually $\alpha v$ is used in the conclusion to make it plain that it is second class condition instead of first class, but occasionally it is not employed when it is plain enough without as here (Joh 16:22,24 ). See on $\mathrm{Ga} 4: 15$. So as to

I had not known coveting (lust), $\varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \cup \mu \iota a \nu$ ovк $\eta \iota \delta \varepsilon \iota v$. But all the same the law is not itself sin nor the cause of sin. Men with their sinful natures turn law into an occasion for sinful acts.

Rom 7:8
Finding occasion ( $\alpha \varphi о \rho \mu \eta \nu \lambda \alpha \beta o v \sigma \alpha$ ). See 2Co 5:12; 11:12; Ga 5:13 for $\alpha \varphi о \rho \mu \eta \nu$, a starting place from which to rush into acts of $\sin$, excuses for doing what they want to do. Just so drinking men use the prohibition laws as "occasions" for violating them.

Wrought in me ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota \rho \gamma \sigma \alpha \tau о \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \mu о$ ). First aorist active middle indicative of the intensive verb катєрүаऍо $\alpha$, to work out (to the finish), effective aorist. The command not to lust made me lust more.

Dead ( $v \varepsilon \kappa \rho \alpha)$. Inactive, not non-existent. Sin in reality was there in a dormant state.
Rom 7:9
I was alive $(\varepsilon \zeta \omega v)$. Imperfect active. Apparently, "the lost paradise in the infancy of men" (Denney), before the conscience awoke and moral responsibility came, "a seeming life" (Shedd).

Sin revived ( $\eta \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \alpha v \varepsilon\lceil\eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Sin came back to life, waked up, the blissful innocent stage was over, "the commandment having come" ( $\varepsilon \lambda \theta$ ou $\quad \eta \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \tau 0 \lambda \eta \varsigma$, genitive absolute).

But I died ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \delta \varepsilon \alpha \pi \varepsilon \theta \alpha v o v$ ). My seeming life was over for I was conscious of sin, of violation of law. I was dead before, but I did not know. Now I found out that I was spiritually dead.

Rom 7:10
This I found unto death ( $\varepsilon \cup \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta \mu \circ--\alpha v \tau \eta ~ \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \theta a v a \tau o v)$. Literally, "the commandment the one for (meant for) life, this was found for me unto death." First aorist (effective) passive indicative of $\varepsilon v \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$, to find, not active as the English has it. It turned out so for me (ethical dative).

Rom 7:11
Beguiled me ( $\varepsilon \xi \eta \pi \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu \mu \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \omega$, old verb, completely ( $\varepsilon \xi$ ) made me lose my way ( $\alpha$ privative, $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$, to walk). See on 1Co 3:18; 2Co 11:3. Only in Paul in N.T.

Slew me ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ v \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ \nu \omega$, old verb. "Killed me off," made a clean job of it. Sin here is personified as the tempter (Ge 3:13).

Rom 7:12
Holy, and righteous, and good ( $\alpha \gamma \iota \alpha \kappa \alpha \delta_{\iota \kappa \alpha \iota \alpha} \kappa \alpha \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \eta$ ). This is the conclusion (wherefore, $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ) to the query in verse 7 . The commandment is God's and so holy like Him, just in its requirements and designed for our good. The modern revolt against law needs these words.

Rom 7:13
Become death unto me? ( $\varepsilon \mu$ о $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau о ~ \theta a v \alpha \tau o \sigma ?)$. Ethical dative $\varepsilon \mu \circ$ again. New turn to the problem. Admitting the goodness of God's law, did it issue in death for me? Paul repels ( $\mu \eta \gamma^{\varepsilon v o \iota \tau o)}$ this suggestion. It was sin that (But sin, $\left.\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \eta \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha\right)$ ) "became death for me."

That it might be shown (iva $\varphi a v \eta$ ). Final clause, $\imath v a$ and second aorist passive subjunctive of $\varphi \alpha \iota v \omega$, to show. The sinfulness of $\sin$ is revealed in its violations of God's law.

By working death to me ( $\mu$ о кат $\rho \gamma \alpha \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v \eta$ Өavatov). Present middle participle, as an incidental result.

Might become exceedingly sinful ( $\left.\gamma \varepsilon v \eta \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} v \pi \varepsilon \rho \beta \circ \lambda \eta v \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda, \varsigma\right)$. Second aorist middle subjunctive of $\gamma \iota v o \mu \alpha$ with $\imath \boldsymbol{v}$ in final clause. On $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} v \pi \varepsilon \rho \beta \circ \lambda \eta \nu$, see on 1Co 12:31 . Our hyperbole is the Greek $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \beta 0 \lambda \eta$. The excesses of sin reveal its real nature. Only then do some people get their eyes opened.

Rom 7:14
Spiritual ( $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau / \kappa о \varsigma)$. Spirit-caused and spirit-given and like the Holy Spirit. See 1Co 10:3f.

But I am carnal ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \delta \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa เ v o \varsigma \varepsilon \mu)$ ). "Fleshen" as in 1 Co 3:1 which see, more emphatic even than баркккоऽ," a creature of flesh."

Sold under $\sin$ ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \rho \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ v \pi о ~ \tau \eta \nu ~ a \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha v)$. Perfect passive participle of $\pi \iota \pi \rho a \sigma \kappa \omega$, old verb, to sell. See on Mt 13:46; Ac 2:45, state of completion. Sin has closed the mortgage and owns its slave.

Rom 7:15
I know not (ov $\gamma เ \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ ). "I do not recognize" in its true nature. My spiritual perceptions are dulled, blinded by $\sin (2 \mathrm{Co} 4: 4$ ). The dual life pictured here by Paul finds an echo in us all, the struggle after the highest in us ("what I really wish," o $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$, to practise it steadily, $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega)$ and the slipping into doing ( $\pi \sigma \omega)$ "what I really hate" (o $\mu \iota \sigma \omega$ ) and yet sometimes do. There is a deal of controversy as to whether Paul is describing his struggle with sin before conversion or after it. The words "sold under sin" in verse 14 seem to turn the scale for the pre-conversion period. "It is the unregenerate man's experience, surviving at least in memory into regenerate days, and read with regenerate eyes" (Denney).

Rom 7:16
I consent unto the law ( $\sigma v v \varphi \eta \mu \tau \omega v o \mu \omega)$. Old verb, here only in N.T., with associative instrumental case. "I speak with." My wanting $(\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega)$ to do the opposite of what I do proves my acceptance of God's law as good ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda o c)$.

Rom 7:17
So now ( $v o v \delta \varepsilon$ ). A logical contrast, "as the case really stands."
But sin that dwelleth in me ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \eta$ $\varepsilon$ voıкоvб $\left.\varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu о \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha\right)$. "But the dwelling in me sin." Not my true self, my higher personality, but my lower self due to my slavery to indwelling sin. Paul does not mean to say that his whole self has no moral responsibility by using this paradox. "To be saved from sin, a man must at the same time own it and disown it" (Denney).

Rom 7:18
In me ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o}$ ). Paul explains this by "in my flesh" ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \mu \circ v$ ), the unregenerate man "sold under sin" of verse 14.

No good thing (ovk--aүa日ov). "Not absolutely good." This is not a complete view of man even in his unregenerate state as Paul at once shows.

For to will is present with me ( $\tau 0 \gamma \alpha \rho \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ı \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha к \varepsilon เ \tau \alpha \mu$ ). Present middle indicative of $\pi а \rho а к \varepsilon є \alpha$, old verb, to lie beside, at hand, with dative $\mu \mathrm{o}$. Only here in N.T.

The wishing is the better self,
the doing not the lower self.
Rom 7:19
But the evil which I would not ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ o ov $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$ какоv). Incorporation of the antecedent into the relative clause, "what evil I do not wish." An extreme case of this practise of evil is seen in the drunkard or the dope-fiend.

Rom 7:20
It is no more I that do it (оикєє $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ катєрүаఢона аuто). Just as in verse 17, "no longer do I do it" (the real E $\gamma$ o, my better self), and yet there is responsibility and guilt for the struggle goes on.

Rom 7:21
The law ( $\tau 0 v$ vouov). The principle already set forth ( $\alpha \rho \alpha$, accordingly) in verses 18,19 . This is the way it works, but there is no surcease for the stings of conscience.

Rom 7:22
For I delight in ( $\sigma u v \eta \delta o \mu \alpha \gamma \alpha \rho$ ). Old verb, here alone in N.T., with associative instrumental case, "I rejoice with the law of God," my real self "after the inward man" (ката тоv $\varepsilon \sigma \omega \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v)$ of the conscience as opposed to "the outward man" (2Co 4:16; Eph 3:16).

Rom 7:23
A different law ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \vee v o \mu \circ v$ ). For the distinction between $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$ and $\alpha \lambda \lambda o \varsigma$, see Ga 1:6f.

Warring against ( $\alpha v \tau \iota \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Rare verb (Xenophon) to carry on a campaign against. Only here in N.T.

The law of my mind ( $\tau \omega v o \mu \omega$ tov vooc). The reflective intelligence Paul means by vooc, "the inward man" of verse 22. It is this higher self that agrees that the law of God is $\operatorname{good}(12,16,22)$.

Bringing me into captivity ( $\alpha \nmid \chi \mu \lambda \omega \tau \iota \zeta$ ov $\tau \alpha$ ). See on this late and vivid verb for capture and slavery Lu 21:24; 2Co 10:5. Surely it is a tragic picture drawn by Paul with this outcome, "sold under $\sin$ " (14), "captivity to the law of $\sin$ " (23). The ancient writers (Plato, Ovid, Seneca, Epictetus) describe the same dual struggle in man between his conscience and his deeds.

Rom 7:24
O wretched man that I am ( $\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \pi \omega \rho \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \omega \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma)$. "Wretched man I." Old adjective from $\tau \lambda \alpha \omega$, to bear, and $\pi \omega \rho \circ \varsigma$, a callus. In N.T. only here and Re 3:17. "A heartrending cry from the depths of despair" (Sanday and Headlam).

Out of the body of this death ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ тоv $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau$ к $\operatorname{\tau ov} \theta$ avatov tovtov). So the order of words demands. See verse 13 for "death" which finds a lodgment in the body (Lightfoot). If one feels that Paul has exaggerated his own condition, he has only to recall $1 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 15$ when he describes himself a chief of sinners. He dealt too honestly with himself for Pharisaic complacency to live long.

Rom 7:25
I thank God ( $\chi \alpha \rho ı \varsigma \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega)$. "Thanks to God." Note of victory over death through Jesus Christ our Lord."

So then I myself ( $\alpha \rho \alpha$ ouv $\alpha \cup \tau o \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ). His whole self in his unregenerate state gives a divided service as he has already shown above. In 6:1-7:6 Paul proved the obligation to be
sanctified. In 7:7-8:11 he discusses the possibility of sanctification, only for the renewed man by the help of the Holy Spirit.

## Romans 8

Rom 8:1
Therefore now ( $\alpha \rho \alpha v v v$ ). Two particles. Points back to the triumphant note in 7:25 after the preceding despair.

No condemnation (ovסعv катакрц $\mu$ ). As sinners we deserved condemnation in our unregenerate state in spite of the struggle. But God offers pardon "to those in Christ Jesus ( $\tau \circ \iota \varepsilon \varepsilon v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). This is Paul's Gospel. The fire has burned on and around the Cross of Christ. There and there alone is safety. Those in Christ Jesus can lead the consecrated, the crucified, the baptized life.

Rom 8:2
The law of the Spirit of life (o vouos $\tau 0 v \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma)$. The principle or authority exercised by the Holy Spirit which bestows life and which rests "in Christ Jesus."

Made me free ( $\eta \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho \omega \sigma \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active indicative of the old verb $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho o \omega$ for which see $\mathrm{Ga} 5: 1$. Aleph B have $\sigma \varepsilon$ (thee) instead of $\mu \varepsilon$. It matters little. We are pardoned, we are free from the old law of sin and death ( 7:7-24), we are able by the help of the Holy Spirit to live the new life in Christ.

Rom 8:3
 the law" as shown in 7:7-24, either nominative absolute or accusative of general reference. No syntactical connection with the rest of the sentence.

In that ( $\varepsilon v \omega$ ). "Wherein."
It was weak ( $\eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active, continued weak as already shown.
In the likeness of sinful flesh ( $\varepsilon v$ о $о \iota \omega \mu \alpha \tau \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa о \varsigma ~ \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. For "likeness" see Php 2:7, a real man, but more than man for God's "own Son." Two genitives "of flesh of sin" (marked by $\sin$ ), that is the flesh of man is, but not the flesh of Jesus.

And for $\sin (\kappa \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau ı \varsigma)$. Condensed phrase, God sent his Son also concerning $\sin$ (our sin).

Condemned sin in the flesh ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \kappa \rho \iota v \varepsilon \tau \eta \nu \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \nu \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa$ ). First aorist active indicative of катакрıv $\omega$. He condemned the sin of men and the condemnation took place in the flesh of Jesus. If the article $\tau \eta \nu$ had been repeated before $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa$ Paul would have affirmed $\sin$ in the flesh of Jesus, but he carefully avoided that (Robertson, Grammar, p. 784).

## Rom 8:4

The ordinance of the law ( $\tau \boldsymbol{\delta} \delta ⿺ \alpha \iota \omega \mu \alpha$ tov vo $\mu \circ v$ ). "The requirement of the law."
Might be fulfilled ( $\mathrm{tv} \alpha \pi \lambda \varepsilon \rho \omega \theta$ ). Purpose of the death of Christ by $\mathrm{v} \alpha$ and first aorist passive subjunctive of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$. Christ met it all in our stead ( 3:21-26).

Not after the flesh, but after the Spirit ( $\mu \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha$ ). The two laws of life (ката $\sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha$ in 7:7-24, ката $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ 8:1-11). Most likely the Holy Spirit or else the renewed spirit of man.

## Rom 8:5

Do mind ( $\varphi \rho \circ$ ovovatv). Present active indicative of $\varphi \rho \circ v \varepsilon \omega$, to think, to put the mind ( $\varphi \rho \eta \nu$ ) on. See Mt 16:23; Ro 12:16. For the contrast between $\sigma \alpha \rho \xi$ and $\pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha$, see Ga 5:16-24.

Rom 8:6
The mind ( $\tau 0 \varphi \rho \circ \vee \eta \mu \alpha$ ). The bent or will of the flesh is death as shown in 7:7-24.
Life $(\zeta \omega \eta)$. In contrast with "death."
Peace ( $\varepsilon \varphi \rho \nu \eta)$. As seen in 5:1-5.
Rom 8:7
Is not subject (ou $\cup \pi \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Present passive indicative of $\cup \pi \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, late verb, military term for subjection to orders. Present tense here means continued insubordination.

Neither indeed can it be (ou $\delta \varepsilon \not \subset \rho \delta u v a \tau \alpha$ ). "For it is not even able to do otherwise." This helpless state of the unregenerate man Paul has shown above apart from Christ. Hope lies in Christ ( 7:25) and the Spirit of life ( 8:2).

## Rom 8:8

Cannot please God ( $\theta \varepsilon \omega$ ap\& $\alpha \alpha$ ov $\delta v v a v \tau \alpha$ ). Because of the handicap of the lower self in bondage to sin. This does not mean that the sinner has no responsibility and cannot be saved. He is responsible and can be saved by the change of heart through the Holy Spirit.

Rom 8:9
Not in the flesh (ouk $\varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa$ ). Not sold under $\sin (7: 14)$ any more.
But in the spirit ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \varepsilon \nu \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau$ ). Probably, "in the Holy Spirit." It is not Pantheism or Buddhism that Paul here teaches, but the mystical union of the believer with Christ in the Holy Spirit.

If so be that ( $\varepsilon เ \pi \varepsilon \rho)$ ) "If as is the fact" (cf. 3:30).
The Spirit of Christ ( $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o v$ ). The same as "the Spirit of God" just before. See also Php 1:19; 1Pe 1:11. Incidental argument for the Deity of Christ and probably the meaning of 2Co 3:18 "the Spirit of the Lord." Condition of first class, assumed as true.

Rom 8:10
The body is dead ( $\tau \mathrm{o} \mu \varepsilon v \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \vee \varepsilon \kappa \rho \circ v$ ). Has the seeds of death in it and will die "because of $\sin$."

The spirit is life ( $\tau$ o $\delta \varepsilon \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \zeta \omega \eta$ ). The redeemed human spirit. He uses $\zeta \omega \eta$ (life) instead of $\zeta \omega \sigma \alpha$ (living), "God-begotten, God-sustained life" (Denney), if Christ is in you.

Rom 8:11
Shall quicken ( $\zeta \omega$ олоוך $\sigma \varepsilon$ ). Future active indicative of $\zeta \omega 0 \pi о เ \varepsilon \omega$, late verb from弓 $\omega$ отоьоऽ, making alive. See on 1Co 15:22.
 Spirit). Both ideas are true, though the genitive is slightly more probably correct.

Rom 8:12
We are debtors (o甲รı入єта $\varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon v)$. See on Ga 5:3; Ro 1:14.
Not to the flesh (ov $\tau \eta \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa$ ). Negative ov goes with preceding verb and $\tau \eta \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa$, not with the infinitive $\operatorname{\tau ov} \zeta \eta เ v$.

Rom 8:13
Ye must die ( $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \alpha \pi \circ \theta \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \varepsilon เ v$ ). Present indicative of $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, to be about to do and present active infinitive of $\alpha \pi \mathrm{o} \theta \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$, to die. "Ye are on the point of dying." Eternal death.

By the spirit ( $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu a \tau$ ). Holy Spirit, instrumental case.
Ye shall live $(\zeta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon)$. Future active indicative of $\zeta \alpha \omega$. Eternal life.
Rom 8:14
Sons of God (vio $\theta$ sov). In the full sense of this term. In verse 16 we have $\tau \varepsilon \kappa v \alpha \theta$ $\theta$ ou (children of God). Hence no great distinction can be drawn between vios and $\tau \varepsilon \kappa v o v$. The truth is that vios is used in various ways in the New Testament. In the highest sense, not true of any one else, Jesus Christ is God's Son ( 8:3). But in the widest sense all men are "the offspring" ( $\gamma \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ ) of God as shown in Ac 17:28 by Paul. But in the special sense here only those are "sons of God" who are led by the Spirit of God, those born again (the second birth) both Jews and Gentiles, "the sons of Abraham" (vıo A $\beta \rho \alpha \alpha \mu, \mathrm{Ga} 3: 7$ ), the children of faith.

Rom 8:15
 Jews and Gentiles receive this "adoption" into the family of God with all its privileges. " Whereby we cry, Abba, Father " ( $\varepsilon v \eta \kappa \rho \alpha \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v ~ A \beta \beta \alpha$ о $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho)$. See Ga 4:6 for discussion of this double use of Father as the child's privilege.

Rom 8:16
The Spirit himself (avтo тo $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ ). The grammatical gender of $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ is neuter as here, but the Greek used also the natural gender as we do exclusively as in Joh 16:13 єкєıvos (masculine
he ), $\tau 0 \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ (neuter). See also Joh 16:26 (o--غкعivoc). It is a grave mistake to use the neuter "it" or "itself" when referring to the Holy Spirit.

Beareth witness with our spirit ( $\sigma \cup \mu \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \tau \omega \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu a \tau \eta \mu \omega v$ ). See on Ro 2:15 for this verb with associative instrumental case. See 1Jo 5:10f. for this double witness.

Rom 8:17
 Philo, an Ephesian inscription of the imperial period (Deissmann, Light from the Ancient East, p. 92), papyri of the Byzantine period. See 8:29 for this idea expanded. Paul is fond of compounds of $\sigma v v$, three in this verse ( $\sigma v v \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v o \mu o t, \sigma v v \pi \alpha \sigma \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v, \sigma v v \delta o \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \omega \mu \varepsilon v)$.

The last (first aorist passive subjunctive of $\sigma v v \delta o \xi a \zeta \omega$ with $\mathfrak{v \alpha}$ (purpose), late and rare, here only in N.T.

## Rom 8:18

To us-ward ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \eta \mu a \varsigma)$. We shall be included in the radiance of the coming glory which will put in the shadow the present sufferings. Precisely the same idiom here with $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o v \sigma \alpha v$ $\delta o \xi \alpha v$ (aorist passive infinitive of $\alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \varphi \theta \eta v \alpha$ ) occurs in $\mathrm{Ga} 3: 23$ with $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda$ оvб $\alpha v \pi ı \sigma \tau \iota v$, which see.

Rom 8:19
The earnest expectation of creation ( $\eta$ алокар $\alpha \delta о к ı \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). This substantive has so far been found nowhere save here and Php 1:20, though the verb алокарабокє $\omega$ is common in Polybius and Plutarch. Milligan (Vocabulary) thinks that Paul may have made the substantive from the verb. It is a double compound ( $\alpha \pi о$, off from, кара, head, $\delta о \kappa \varepsilon \omega$, Ionic verb, to watch), hence to watch eagerly with outstretched head.

Waiteth for ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \delta \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). See on 1Co 1:7; Ga 5:5 for this rare word (possibly formed by Paul, Milligan). "To wait it out" (Thayer).

The revealing of the sons of God ( $\tau \eta v \alpha \pi о к \alpha \lambda \nu \psi i v \tau \omega v \nu t \omega v \tau \tau v \theta \varepsilon o v)$. Cf. 1Jo 3:2; $2 \mathrm{Th} 2: 8 ; \mathrm{Col} 3: 4$. This mystical sympathy of physical nature with the work of grace is beyond the comprehension of most of us. But who can disprove it?

Rom 8:20
Was subjected (vлєтаүף). Second aorist passive indicative of $v \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ (cf. verse 7).
To vanity ( $\tau \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \circ \tau \eta \tau)$. Dative case. Rare and late word, common in LXX. From $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \rho$, empty, vain. Eph 4:17; 2Pe 2:18 .

Not of its own will (ovð $\varepsilon \kappa \circ \cup \sigma \alpha$ ). Common adjective, in N.T. only here and 1Co 9:27 . It was due to the effect of man's sin.

But by reason of him ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \delta 1 \alpha \tau \tau v$ ). Because of God.
In hope that ( $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta$ o $)$. Note the form $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta$ rather than the usual $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta$ and so $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime}$ '. Hot can be causal "because" instead of declarative "that."

Rom 8:21
The creation itself (aut $\eta \eta \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \varsigma)$. It is the hope of creation, not of the Creator. Nature "possesses in the feeling of her unmerited suffering a sort of presentiment of her future deliverance" (Godet).

Rom 8:22
Groaneth and travaileth in pain ( $\sigma v v \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \alpha \zeta_{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha \sigma v v \omega \delta \iota v \varepsilon$ ). Two more compounds with $\sigma u v$. Both rare and both here alone in N.T. Nature is pictured in the pangs of childbirth.

Rom 8:23
The first fruits ( $\tau \eta v \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \chi \eta \nu$ ). Old and common metaphor.

Of the Spirit ( $\tau 0 v \pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu a \tau \circ \varsigma)$. The genitive of apposition. The Holy Spirit came on the great Pentecost and his blessings continue as seen in the "gifts" in 1Co 12-14, in the moral and spiritual gifts of Ga 5:22f. And greater ones are to come (1Co 15:44ff.).

Even we ourselves ( $\kappa \alpha$ avto). He repeats for emphasis. We have our "groaning" ( $\sigma \tau \varepsilon v a \zeta$ о $\mu \varepsilon v$ ) as well as nature.

Waiting for ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). The same verb used of nature in verse 19.
Our adoption (vio $\theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota \alpha v$ ). Our full "adoption" (see verse 15), "the redemption of our body" ( $\tau \eta \nu$ ало $\lambda \tau \tau \rho \omega \sigma \iota v$ тоv $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \omega \nu$ ). That is to come also. Then we shall have complete redemption of both soul and body.

## Rom 8:24

For by hope were we saved ( $\tau \eta \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \pi \kappa \delta \varepsilon \sigma \omega \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$. The case of $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta$ is not certain, the form being the same for locative, instrumental and dative. Curiously enough either makes good sense in this context: "We were saved in hope, by hope, for hope" (of the redemption of the body).

Rom 8:25
With patience ( $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ vпо $\mu о v \eta \varsigma$ ). Paul repeats the verb $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ of verse 23 .

## Rom 8:26

Helpeth our infirmity ( $\sigma u v \alpha v \tau \iota \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \eta ~ \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon ı \alpha ~ \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Present middle indicative of $\sigma u v a v \tau i \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v o \mu \alpha$, late and striking double compound (Diodorus, LXX, Josephus, frequent in inscriptions, Deissmann, Light, etc., p. 87), to lend a hand together with, at the same time with one. Only twice in N.T., here and Lu 10:40 in Martha's plea for Mary's help. Here beautifully Paul pictures the Holy Spirit taking hold at our side at the very time of our weakness (associative instrumental case) and before too late.

How to pray ( $\tau \mathrm{\tau} \tau \pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon v \xi \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Articular clause object of oı $\delta a \mu \varepsilon v$ (we know) and indirect question with the deliberative aorist middle subjunctive $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon v \xi \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$, retained in the indirect question.

As we ought ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \mathrm{o} \delta \varepsilon$ ). "As it is necessary." How true this is of all of us in our praying.
Maketh intercession (v $\tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon v \tau \cup \gamma \chi \propto v \varepsilon$ ). Present active indicative of late double compound, found only here and in later ecclesiastical writers, but $\varepsilon v \tau \nu \gamma \chi \propto v \omega$ occurs in verse 27 (a common verb). It is a picturesque word of rescue by one who "happens on" ( $\varepsilon v \tau v \gamma \chi \propto v \varepsilon$ ) one who is in trouble and "in his behalf" ( $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ ) pleads "with unuttered groanings" (instrumental case) or with "sighs that baffle words" (Denney). This is work of our Helper, the Spirit himself.

Rom 8:27
He that searcheth (o e $\rho \alpha u v \omega v$ ). God (1Sa 16:7).
According to the will of God ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). See 2Co 7:9-11 for this phrase ката $\theta$ zov (according to God). The Holy Spirit is the "other Paraclete" (Joh 14:16) who pleads God's cause with us as Christ is our Paraclete with the Father (1Jo 2:1 ). But more is true as here,
for the Holy Spirit interprets our prayers to God and "makes intercession for us in accord with God's will."

Rom 8:28
All things work together ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \sigma \nu v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon$ ). A B have o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma$ as the subject of $\sigma u v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon$ (old verb, see on 1Co 16:16; 2Co 6:1). That is the idea anyhow. It is God who makes "all things work together" in our lives "for good" ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta o v$ ), ultimate good.

According to his purpose ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \rho \circ \theta \varepsilon \sigma เ v$ ). Old word, seen already in Ac 27:13 and for "shewbread" in Mt 12:4. The verb $\pi \rho 0 \tau 1 \theta \eta \mu$ Paul uses in 3:24 for God's purpose. Paul accepts fully human free agency but behind it all and through it all runs God's sovereignty as here and on its gracious side (9:11; 3:11; 2Ti 1:9).

Rom 8:29
Foreknew ( $\pi \rho \circ \varepsilon \gamma v \omega$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\pi \rho o \gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, old verb as in Ac 26:5. See Ps 1:6 (LXX) and Mt 7:23. This fore-knowledge and choice is placed in eternity in Eph 1:4.
 appoint beforehand as in Ac 4:28; 1Co 2:7. Another compound with $\pi \rho 0$ - (for eternity).

Conformed to the image ( $\sigma \nu \mu \mu о р \varphi о и \varsigma \tau\rceil \varsigma \varepsilon$ ккоvoৎ). Late adjective from $\sigma \nu v$ and $\mu о \rho \varphi \eta$ and so an inward and not merely superficial conformity. Eıк $\omega v$ is used of Christ as the very image of the Father (2Co 4:4; Col 1:15). See Php 2:6f. for $\mu о \rho \varphi \eta$. Here we have both $\mu о \rho \varphi \eta$ and $\varepsilon ו \kappa \omega \nu$ to express the gradual change in us till we acquire the likeness of Christ the Son of God so that we ourselves shall ultimately have the family likeness of sons of God. Glorious destiny.

That he might be ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ \varepsilon ı v \alpha ~ \alpha u \tau o v) . ~ C o m m o n ~ i d i o m ~ f o r ~ p u r p o s e . ~$
First born among many brethren ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ тококоv $\varepsilon v$ по $\lambda \lambda$ оıц $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi о \iota \varsigma)$. Christ is "first born" of all creation (Col 1:15 ), but here he is "first born from the dead" (Col 1:18 ), the Eldest Brother in this family of God's sons, though "Son" in a sense not true of us.

Rom 8:30
Called ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$ )
--Justified ( $\varepsilon \delta \iota \kappa a \iota \omega \sigma \varepsilon v)$
--Glorified ( $\varepsilon \delta \circ \xi \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). All first aorist active indicatives of common verbs ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, $\delta ı \kappa \alpha \iota \omega, \delta o \xi \alpha \zeta \omega)$. But the glorification is stated as already consummated (constative aorists, all of them), though still in the future in the fullest sense. "The step implied in $\varepsilon \delta 0 \xi \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$ is both complete and certain in the Divine counsels" (Sanday and Headlam).

## Rom 8:31

For these things ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha$ ). From 8:12 on Paul has made a triumphant presentation of the reasons for the certainty of final sanctification of the sons of God. He has reached the climax with glorification ( $\varepsilon \delta 0 \xi \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$ in verse 30 ). But Paul lets the objector have his say as he usually does so that in verses 31-39 he considers the objections.

If God is for us, who is against us? ( $\varepsilon$ o $\theta \varepsilon о \varsigma ~ v \pi \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \omega v$, $\tau \iota \varsigma \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \eta \mu \omega v$ ?). This condition of the first class carries Paul's challenge to all doubters. There is no one on a par with God. Note the two prepositions in contrast (v $\tau \varepsilon \rho$, over, $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$, down or against).

Rom 8:32
He that (oc $\gamma \varepsilon$ ). "Who as much as this" ( $\gamma \varepsilon$ here magnifying the deed, intensive particle).
Spared not (ovк $\varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \tau o$ ). First aorist middle of $\varphi \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \circ \mu \alpha$, old verb used about the offering of Isaac in Ge 22:16. See Ac 20:29.

Also with him ( $\kappa \alpha \sigma \cup \vee \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). The gift of "his own son" is the promise and the pledge of the all things for good of verse 28 . Christ is all and carries all with him.

Rom 8:33
Who shall lay anything to the charge of God's elect? ( $\tau \varsigma \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu$ $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ?). Future active indicative of $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to come forward as accuser (forensic term) in case in court, to impeach, as in Ac 19:40; 23:29; 26:2 , the only N.T. examples. Satan is the great Accuser of the brethren.

It is God that justifieth ( $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma$ o $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega v$ ). God is the Judge who sets us right according to his plan for justification ( $3: 21-31$ ). The Accuser must face the Judge with his charges.

Rom 8:34
Shall condemn ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \rho \imath v \omega v$ ). Can be either present active participle (condemns) or the future (shall condemn). It is a bold accuser who can face God with false charges or with true ones for that matter for we have an "Advocate" at God's Court (1Jo 2:1), "who is at the right hand of God" (oc $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \xi \iota \alpha$ tov $\theta$ عov) "who also maketh intercession for us" (os $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon v \tau v \gamma \chi \propto v \varepsilon v \pi \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \omega v)$. Our Advocate paid the debt for our sins with his blood. The score is settled. We are free ( $8: 1$ ).

Rom 8:35
Shall separate ( $\chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Future active of old verb $\chi$ о $\rho \iota \omega$ from adverb $\chi \omega \rho \iota \varsigma$ and that from $\chi \omega \rho \alpha$, space. Can any one put a distance between Christ's love and us (objective genitive)? Can any one lead Christ to cease loving us? Such things do happen between husband and wife, alas. Paul changes the figure from "who" ( $\tau \iota \varsigma$ ) to "what" ( $\tau$ ). The items mentioned will not make Christ love us less. Paul here glories in tribulations as in 5:3f. .

Rom 8:36
Even as it is written ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha)$. He quotes Ps 44:23.
We are killed $(\theta \alpha v a \tau o v \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha)$. Present passive indicative of $\theta$ avatow for which see on 7:4. Same idea of continuous martyrdom in 1Co 15:31.

As sheep for the slaughter ( $\omega \varsigma \pi \rho \circ \beta \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \varphi \alpha \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. Objective genitive ( $\sigma \varphi \alpha \gamma \eta \varsigma)$.
Rom 8:37
Nay ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ). On the contrary, we shall not be separated.
We are more than conquerors ( $v \pi \varepsilon \rho v i \kappa \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Late and rare compound. Here only in N.T. "We gain a surpassing victory through the one who loved us."

Rom 8:38
For I am persuaded ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \gamma \alpha \rho)$. Perfect passive participle of $\pi \varepsilon \iota \theta \omega$, "I stand convinced." The items mentioned are those that people dread (life, death, supernatural powers, above, below, any creature to cover any omissions).

Rom 8:39
To separate us ( $\eta \mu \alpha \varsigma \chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \alpha$ ). Aorist active infinitive of $\chi о \rho \iota \zeta \omega$ (same verb as in 35). God's love is victor over all possible foes, "God's love that is in Christ Jesus." Paul has reached the mountain top. He has really completed his great argument concerning the God-kind of righteousness save for its bearing on some special problems. The first of these concerns the fact that the Jews (God's chosen people) have so largely rejected the gospel (chapters 9-11).

## Romans 9

Rom 9:1
In Christ $(\varepsilon \nu \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega)$. Paul really takes a triple oath here so strongly is he stirred. He makes a positive affirmation in Christ, a negative one (not lying), the appeal to his conscience as co-witness ( $\sigma v \nu \mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho о v \sigma \eta \varsigma$, genitive absolute as in $2: 15$ which see) "in the Holy Spirit."

Rom 9:2
Sorrow ( $\lambda v \pi \eta$ ). Because the Jews were rejecting Christ the Messiah. "We may compare the grief of a Jew writing after the fall of Jerusalem" (Sanday and Headlam).

Unceasing pain in my heart ( $\alpha \delta \iota \alpha \wedge \varepsilon \iota \tau \sigma \varsigma ~ o \delta v \nu \eta \tau \eta \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha$ ). Like angina pectoris. O $\delta v \nu \eta$ is old word for consuming grief, in N.T. only here and and 1Ti 6:10 .

Unceasing ( $\alpha \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi \tau \circ \varsigma$ ). Late and rare adjective (in an inscription 1 cent. B.C.), in N.T. only here and 2 Ti 1:3. Two rare words together and both here only in N.T. and I and II Timothy (some small argument for the Pauline authorship of the Pastoral Epistles).

Rom 9:3
I could wish ( $\eta \sim \chi \circ \mu \eta v$ ). Idiomatic imperfect, "I was on the point of wishing." We can see that $\varepsilon v \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ (I do wish) would be wrong to say. $A v \eta \cup \chi \circ \mu \eta \nu$ would mean that he does not wish (conclusion of second class condition). A $\nu \cup \chi \circ \mu \eta \nu$ would be conclusion of fourth class condition and too remote. He is shut up to the imperfect indicative (Robertson, Grammar, p. 886).

Anathema ( $\alpha v \alpha \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha$ ). See for this word as distinct from $\alpha v \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha$ (offering) 1Co 12:3; Ga 1:8f. I myself ( $\alpha \cup \tau \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ). Nominative with the infinitive $\varepsilon \iota v \alpha$ and agreeing with subject of $\eta v \chi о \mu \eta \nu$.

According to the flesh ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \kappa \alpha)$. As distinguished from Paul's Christian brethren.
Rom 9:4
Who (oıtıvec). The very ones who, inasmuch as they.
Israelites (I $\sigma \rho \alpha \eta \lambda \varepsilon ı \tau \alpha$ ). Covenant name of the chosen people.
Whose ( $\omega v$ ). Predicate genitive of the relative, used also again with o $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$. For "the adoption" ( $\eta$ vıO $\theta \sigma \iota \alpha$ ) see 8:15.

The glory ( $\eta \delta o \xi \alpha$ ). The Shekinah Glory of God ( $3: 23$ ) and used of Jesus in Jas 2:1.
The covenants ( $\alpha \delta \iota \alpha \eta \kappa \alpha$ ). Plural because renewed often (Ge 6:18; 9:9; 15:18; 17:2,7,9; Ex 2:24).

The giving of the law ( $\eta \nu 0 \mu \circ \theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota \alpha$ ). Old word, here only in N.T., from $v o \mu \circ \varsigma$ and $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$.

The service ( $\eta \lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ ). The temple service (Heb 9:1,6 ).
The fathers (o $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \varsigma)$. The patriarchs (Ac 3:13; 7:32).
Rom 9:5
Of whom $(\varepsilon \xi \omega v)$. Fourth relative clause and here with $\varepsilon \xi$ and the ablative.

Christ (o Xpıбтоৎ). The Messiah.
As concerning the flesh ( $\tau о \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha$ ). Accusative of general reference, "as to the according to the flesh." Paul limits the descent of Jesus from the Jews to his human side as he did in 1:3f.

Who is over all, God blessed for ever (o ov $\varepsilon \pi \pi \alpha v \tau \omega \nu \theta \varepsilon o \varsigma \varepsilon v \lambda o \gamma \eta \tau o \varsigma)$. A clear statement of the deity of Christ following the remark about his humanity. This is the natural and the obvious way of punctuating the sentence. To make a full stop after $\sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha$ (or colon) and start a new sentence for the doxology is very abrupt and awkward. See Ac 20:28; Tit 2:13 for Paul's use of $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma$ applied to Jesus Christ.

Rom 9:6
But it is not as though (ov ooov $\delta \varepsilon o \tau$ ). Supply $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ after ov $\chi$ : "But it is not such as that," an old idiom, here alone in N.T.

Hath come to nought ( $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa \varepsilon v)$. Perfect active indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, old verb, to fall out.

For they are not all Israel, which are of Israel (ov $\gamma \alpha \rho \pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ o $\varepsilon \xi \operatorname{I\sigma } \rho a \eta \lambda$ ov $\frac{1}{}$ I $\sigma \rho \alpha \eta \lambda$ ). "For not all those out of Israel (the literal Jewish nation), these are Israel (the spiritual Israel)." This startling paradox is not a new idea with Paul. He had already shown (Ga 3:7-9) that those of faith are the true sons of Abraham. He has amplified that idea also in Ro 4 . So he is not making a clever dodge here to escape a difficulty. He now shows how this was the original purpose of God to include only those who believed.

Seed of Abraham ( $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha \mathrm{A} \beta \rho \alpha \alpha \mu$ ). Physical descent here, but spiritual seed by promise in verse 8 . He quotes Ge 21:12f.

Rom 9:8
The children of the promise ( $\left.\tau \alpha \tau \varepsilon \kappa v \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{ı} \alpha \varsigma\right)$. Not through Ishmael, but through Isaac. Only the children of the promise are "children of God" ( $\tau \varepsilon \kappa v \alpha \operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \varepsilon \circ v$ ) in the full sense. He is not speaking of Christians here, but simply showing that the privileges of the Jews were not due to their physical descent from Abraham. Cf. Lu 3:8 .

Rom 9:9
A word of promise ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı \alpha \varsigma$ o $\lambda o \gamma \circ \varsigma$ ov $\sigma \circ$ ). Literally, "this word is one of promise." Paul combines Ge 18:10,14 from the LXX.

Rom 9:10
Having conceived of one ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma \kappa o \iota \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \chi \circ v \sigma \alpha)$. By metonomy with cause for the effect we have this peculiar idiom (кoו $\eta$ being bed, marriage bed), "having a marriage bed from one" husband. One father and twins.

Rom 9:11
The children being not yet born ( $\mu \eta \pi \omega \gamma \varepsilon \nu \nu \eta \theta \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ). Genitive absolute with first aorist passive participle of $\gamma \varepsilon v \nu \alpha \omega$, to beget, to be born, though no word for children nor even the pronoun $\alpha v \tau \omega \nu$ (they).

Neither having done anything good or bad ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \pi \rho \alpha \xi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta o v \eta \varphi \alpha \nu \lambda o v$ ). Genitive absolute again with first active participle of $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$. On $\varphi \alpha u \lambda o v$, see 2Co 5:10 .

The purpose of God ( $\eta \pi \rho \circ \theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v)$. See 8:28 for $\pi \rho \circ \theta \varepsilon \sigma ı \varsigma$.
According to election ( $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \kappa \lambda о \gamma \eta v$ ). Old word from $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, to select, to choose out. See 1Th 1:4. Here it is the purpose ( $\pi \rho \circ \theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma)$ of God which has worked according to the principles of election.

Not of works (ouk $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega \nu$ ). Not of merit.
Rom 9:12
But of him that calleth ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \varepsilon \kappa$ тov к $\alpha \lambda$ ouv $\tau \circ \varsigma$ ). Present active articular participle of $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ in the ablative case after $\varepsilon \kappa$. The source of the selection is God himself. Paul quotes Ge 25:33 (LXX).

## Rom 9:13

Paul quotes Mal 1:2f.
But Esau I hated ( $\tau 0 v \delta \varepsilon$ E $\sigma \alpha v \varepsilon \mu \tau \sigma \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). This language sounds a bit harsh to us. It is possible that the word $\mu \iota \sigma \varepsilon \omega$ did not always carry the full force of what we mean by "hate." See Mt 6:24 where these very verbs ( $\mu \tau \sigma \varepsilon \omega$ and $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$ ) are contrasted. So also in Lu 14:26 about "hating" ( $\mu \tau \sigma \omega)$ one's father and mother if coming between one and Christ. So in Joh 12:25 about "hating" one's life. There is no doubt about God's preference for Jacob and rejection of Esau, but in spite of Sanday and Headlam one hesitates to read into these words here the intense hatred that has always existed between the descendants of Jacob and of Esau.

Rom 9:14
Is there unrighteousness with God? ( $\mu \eta \alpha \delta \iota \kappa ı \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega t ?)$. Paul goes right to the heart of the problem. My expects a negative answer. "Beside" ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ) God there can be no injustice to Esau or to any one because of election.

Rom 9:15
For he says to Moses ( $\tau \omega \mathrm{M} \omega v \sigma \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ ). He has an Old Testament illustration of God's election in the case of Pharaoh (Ex 33:19).

On whom I have mercy ( $o v a v \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ ). Indefinite relative with $\alpha v$ and the present active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \omega$, late verb only here and Jude 1:23 in N.T. "On whomsoever I have mercy." The same construction in ov av oוк $\tau \varepsilon \rho \omega$, "on whomsoever I have compassion."

Rom 9:16
So then ( $\alpha \rho \alpha o v v$ ). In view of this quotation.
It is not of (ov). We must supply $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon o \varsigma$ with ov. "Mercy is not of." The articular participles ( $\tau \circ v \theta \varepsilon \lambda o v \tau \circ \sigma, \tau o v \tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau 0 \sigma, \tau o v \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega \nu \tau \circ \varsigma)$ can be understood as in the genitive with $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varsigma$ understood (mercy is not a quality of) or as the predicate ablative of source like $\varepsilon \pi i \lambda v \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ in 2 Pe 1:20. Paul is fond of the metaphor of running.

Rom 9:17

To Pharaoh ( $\tau \omega \Phi$ Фара $\omega$ ). There is a national election as seen in verses 7-13, but here Paul deals with the election of individuals. He "lays down the principle that God's grace does not necessarily depend upon anything but God's will" (Sanday and Headlam). He quotes Ex 9:16.

Might be published ( $\delta ı \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \eta$ ). Second aorist passive subjunctive of $\delta \iota \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$.

## Rom 9:18

He hardeneth ( $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \cup v \varepsilon$ ). Pharaoh hardened his own heart also (Ex 8:15,32; 9:34), but God gives men up also ( $1: 24,26,28$ ). This late word is used by the Greek physicians Galen and Hippocrates. See on Ac 19:9. Only here in Paul.

Rom 9:19
Why doth he still find fault? ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau \mu \varepsilon \mu \varphi \varepsilon \tau \alpha l ?)$. Old verb, to blame. In N.T. only here and Heb 8:8. Paul's imaginary objector picks up the admission that God hardened Pharaoh's heart. "Still" ( $\varepsilon \tau$ ) argues for a change of condition since that is true.

Withstandeth his will ( $\tau \omega \beta$ ou入 $\eta \mu \alpha \tau$ av $\tau o v ~ a v \theta \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\alpha v \theta t \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, old verb, maintains a stand (the perfect tense). Many have attempted to resist God's will ( $\beta o u \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$, deliberate purpose, in N.T. only here and Ac 27:43; 1Pe 4:3). Elsewhere $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ (Mt 6:10).

Rom 9:20
Nay, but, O man, who art thou? (O $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \varepsilon, \mu \varepsilon v$ ouv $\gamma \varepsilon \sigma v \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon$ ?). "O man, but surely thou who art thou?" Unusual and emphatic order of the words, prolepsis of $\sigma v$ (thou) before $\tau \iota \varsigma$ (who) and $\mu \varepsilon \nu$ ouv $\gamma \varepsilon$ (triple particle, $\mu \varepsilon \nu$, indeed, ouv, therefore, $\gamma \varepsilon$, at least) at the beginning of clause as in Ro 10:18; Php 3:8 contrary to ancient idiom, but so in papyri.

That repliest (o avталокрıодєvo¢). Present middle articular participle of double compound verb $\alpha v \tau \alpha \pi о к \rho เ v o \mu \alpha$, to answer to one's face ( $\alpha v \tau 1-)$ late and vivid combination, also in Lu 14:6, nowhere else in N.T., but in LXX.

The thing formed ( $\tau$ o $\pi \lambda \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha$ ). Old word (Plato, Aristophanes) from $\pi \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, to mould, as with clay or wax, from which the aorist active participle used here ( $\tau \omega \pi \lambda \alpha \sigma \alpha v \tau$ ) comes. Paul quotes these words from Isa 29:16 verbatim. It is a familiar idea in the Old Testament, the absolute power of God as Creator like the potter's use of clay (Isa 44:8; 45:8-10; Jer 18:6 ). M $\eta$ expects a negative answer.

Why didst thou make me thus? ( $\tau \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi о \sqcap \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma ~ o v \tau \omega \sigma$ ?). The original words in Isaiah dealt with the nation, but Paul applies them to individuals. This question does not raise the problem of the origin of sin for the objector does not blame God for that but why God has used us as he has, made some vessels out of the clay for this purpose, some for that. Observe "thus" (ovtac). The potter takes the clay as he finds it, but uses it as he wishes.

Rom 9:21
 $\pi \eta \lambda o v$ ?). This question, expecting an affirmative answer, is Paul's reply to the previous one,
"Why didst thou make me thus?" Пŋ $\quad$ оऽ, old word for clay, is mud or wet clay in Joh 9:6,11,14f . The old word for potter (кєрацєvৎ) in N.T. only here and Mt 27:7,10 .

Lump ( $\varphi$ טрацатос). Late word from $\varphi$ р $\rho \alpha \omega$, to mix (clay, dough, etc.).
One part (o $\mu \varepsilon v$ )
--another (o $\delta \varepsilon$ ). Regular idiom for contrast ( $\mu \varepsilon \nu--\delta \varepsilon$ ) with the old demonstrative o (this), "this vessel ( $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon v o \varsigma$, old word as in Mr 11:16 ) for honour, that for dishonour." Paul thus claims clearly God's sovereign right ( $\varepsilon \xi_{o v \sigma \iota \alpha v, ~ p o w e r, ~ r i g h t, ~ a u t h o r i t y, ~ f r o m ~}^{\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \sigma \tau) \text { to }}$ use men (already sinners) for his own purpose.

Rom 9:22
Willing ( $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega v$ ). Concessive use of the participle, "although willing," not causal, "because willing" as is shown by "with much long-suffering" ( $\varepsilon v \pi о \lambda \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \kappa \rho \circ \theta v \mu a \alpha$, in much longsuffering).

His power ( $\tau 0$ סuvatov avtov). Neuter singular of the verbal adjective rather than the substantive $\delta v v a \mu \nu$.

Endured ( $\eta \nu \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Constative second aorist active indicative of the old defective verb $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, to bear.

Vessels of wrath ( $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon \cup \eta$ о $\rho ү \eta \varsigma)$. The words occur in Jer 50:25 (LXX Jer 27:25), but not in the sense here (objective genitive like $\tau \varepsilon \kappa v \alpha$ орүпऽ, Eph 2:3 , the objects of God's wrath).

Fitted (катпртıб $\mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Perfect passive participle of катартı $\omega$, old verb to equip (see Mt 4:21; 2Co 13:11), state of readiness. Paul does not say here that God did it or that they did it. That they are responsible may be seen from 1Th 2:15f.

Unto destruction ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \alpha \pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ ). Endless perdition (Mt 7:13; 2Th 2:3; Php 3:19), not annihilation.

Rom 9:23
Vessels of mercy ( $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon \cup \eta ~ \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \cup \varsigma)$. Objective genitive like $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon \cup \eta$ орүŋऽ.
Afore prepared ( $\pi \rho \circ \eta \tau о \mu \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\pi \rho о \varepsilon \tau о \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb to make ready (from $\varepsilon \tau о \mu \circ \varsigma$, ready) and $\pi \rho \circ$, before, in N.T. only here and Eph 2:10 . But same idea in Ro 8:28-30 .

Rom 9:24
But also from the Gentiles ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \theta v \omega v$ ). Paul had already alluded to this fact in 9:6f. (cf. Ga 3:7-9 ). Now he proceeds to prove it from the Old Testament.

## Rom 9:25

In Hosea ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \mathrm{H} \omega \sigma \eta \varepsilon$ ). He quotes 2:23 with some freedom. Hosea refers to the ten tribes and Paul applies the principle stated there to the Gentiles. Hosea had a son named Lo-ammi $=$ ov $\lambda \alpha o c$. So here o ov $\lambda \alpha o c ~ \mu o v$ "the not people of mine." Ov with substantives obliterates the meaning of the substantive, an idiom seen in Thucydides and other Greek writers. See also Ro 10:19; 1Pe 2:10 .

Which was not beloved ( $\tau \eta v$ ovk $\eta \gamma a \pi \eta \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \nu$ ). The LXX rendering of Lo-ruhamah (not mercy, without mercy or love), name of Hosea's daughter. The use of ouk with the perfect passive participle is emphatic, since $\mu \eta$ is the usual negative of the participle in the Koine.

Rom 9:26
Ye are not my people (ov $\lambda \alpha o \varsigma ~ \mu o v ~ v \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma) . ~ Q u o t a t i o n ~ f r o m ~ H o ~ 1: 10 ~(L X X ~ H o ~ 2: 1 ~) . ~$.
There ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon$ ). Palestine in the original, but Paul applies it to scattered Jews and Gentiles everywhere.

Rom 9:27
Isaiah (Eбaıac). Shortened quotation from Isa 10:22 (LXX).
It is the remnant that shall be saved ( $\tau 0$ v $о \lambda \varepsilon \mu \mu \mu \alpha \omega \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). First future passive of $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$. Literally, "the remnant will be saved." Late word from vпо入єוл $\omega$, to leave behind ( 11:3), here only in N.T. Textus Receptus has $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \mu \mu \alpha$, but Aleph A B have vто $\lambda \varepsilon \mu \mu \alpha$. Isaiah cries in anguish over the outlook for Israel, but sees hope for the remnant.

Rom 9:28
Finishing it and cutting it short ( $\sigma \nu \nu \tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \sigma \nu \nu \tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \omega \nu$ ). Present active participles and note $\sigma u v$ - with each (perfective use of the preposition, finishing completely as in Lu 4:13, cutting off completely or abridging and here only in N.T.) The quotation is from Isa 28:22.

Rom 9:29
Hath said before ( $\pi \rho о \varepsilon ı \rho \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\pi \rho о \varepsilon ı \pi о v$ (defective verb). Stands on record in Isa 1:9.

Had left ( $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda ı \pi \varepsilon v)$. Second aorist active indicative of old verb $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı \pi \omega$, to leave behind. Condition of second class, determined as unfulfilled, with $\alpha v \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v$ and $\alpha v \omega \mu o t \omega \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v$ as the conclusions (both first aorist passives of $\gamma i v o \mu \alpha$ and o o $\mu \circ \circ \omega$, common verbs).

A seed ( $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha)$. The remnant of verse 27.
Rom 9:30
Attained ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, old verb, to grasp, to seize, to overtake (carrying out the figure in $\delta \omega \omega \kappa \omega$ (to pursue). It was a curious paradox.

Which is of faith ( $\tau \eta v \varepsilon \kappa \pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). As Paul has repeatedly shown, the only way to get the God-kind of righteousness.

Rom 9:31
Did not arrive at that law ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ v o \mu \circ v$ ouk $\varepsilon \varphi \theta \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\varphi \theta \alpha v \omega$, old verb to anticipate (1Th 4:15), now just to arrive as here and 2Co 10:14. The word "that" is not in the Greek. Legal righteousness Israel failed to reach, because to do that one had to keep perfectly all the law.

Rom 9:32
We must supply the omitted verb $\varepsilon \delta \iota A ̂ \omega \xi \alpha$ (pursued) from verse 31 . That explains the rest.

They stumbled at the stone of stumbling ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon к о \psi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \lambda \iota \theta \omega \tau о v \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa о \mu \mu \alpha \tau о$ ). The quotation is from Isa 8:14. Пробко $\tau \tau \omega$ means to cut ( $\kappa о \pi \tau \omega$ ) against ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ ) as in Mt 4:6; Joh 11:9f. The Jews found Christ a $\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda$ ov (1Co 1:23 ).

Rom 9:33
Paul repeats the phrase just used in the whole quotation from Isa 8:14 with the same idea in "a rock of offence" ( $\pi \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \nu \sigma \kappa \alpha \nu \delta \alpha \lambda o v$, "a rock of snare," a rock which the Jews made a cause of stumbling). The rest of the verse is quoted from Isa 28:16. However, the Hebrew means "shall not make haste" rather than "shall not be put to shame." In 1Pe 2:8 we have the same use of these Scriptures about Christ. Either Peter had read Romans or both Paul and Peter had a copy of Christian Testimonia like Cyprian's later.

## Romans 10

Rom 10:1
 LXX and N.T., but no example for "desire" unless this is one, though the verb $\varepsilon \cup \delta o \kappa \varepsilon \omega$ is common in Polybius, Diodorus, Dion, Hal. It means will, pleasure, satisfaction (Mt 11:26; 2Th 1:11; Php 1:15; 2:13; Eph 1:5,9 ).

Supplication ( $\delta \varepsilon \eta \sigma ı \varsigma)$. Late word from $\delta \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$, to want, to beg, to pray. In the papyri. See Lu 1:13. It is noteworthy that, immediately after the discussion of the rejection of Christ by the Jews, Paul prays so earnestly for the Jews "that they may be saved" ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha v$ ), literally "unto salvation." Clearly Paul did not feel that the case was hopeless for them in spite of their conduct. Bengel says: Non orasset Paul si absolute reprobati essent (Paul would not have prayed if they had been absolutely reprobate). Paul leaves God's problem to him and pours out his prayer for the Jews in accordance with his strong words in 9:1-5.

Rom 10:2
A zeal for God ( $\zeta \eta \lambda o v \theta \varepsilon o v)$. Objective genitive like Php 3:9, "through faith in Christ" ( $\delta \iota \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ X $\rho \stackrel{\sigma \tau \sigma ⿱) \text { ). }}{\text {. }}$

But not according to knowledge ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \pi \imath \gamma v \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). They had knowledge of God and so were superior to the Gentiles in privilege (2:9-11), but they sought God in an external way by rules and rites and missed him (9:30-33). They became zealous for the letter and the form instead of for God himself.

Rom 10:3
Being ignorant of God's righteousness ( $\alpha \gamma v o o u v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \tau 0 v$ $\theta \varepsilon o v \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma \nu \nu \eta \nu$ ). A blunt thing to say, but true as Paul has shown in 2:1-3:20. They did not understand the Godkind of righteousness by faith ( $1: 17$ ). They misconceived it ( $2: 4$ ).

They did not subject themselves (ovX $\cup \pi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. Second aorist passive indicative of $\cup \pi о \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, common Koine verb, to put oneself under orders, to obey, here the passive in sense of the middle (Jas 4:7) like $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho 1 \theta \eta \nu$, I answered.

Rom 10:4
The end of the law ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda о \varsigma$ vouov). Christ put a stop to the law as a means of salvation (6:14; 9:31; Eph 2:15; Col 2:14) as in Lu 16:16. Christ is the goal or aim of the law (Gal 3:24 ). Christ is the fulfilment of the law (Mt 5:17; Ro 13:10; 1Ti 1:5 ). But here (Denney) Paul's main idea is that Christ ended the law as a method of salvation for "every one that believeth" whether Jew or Gentile. Christ wrote finis on law as a means of grace.

Rom 10:5
Thereby ( $\varepsilon v$ avin). That is by or in "the righteousness that is from law." He stands or falls with it. The quotation is from Le 18:5.

Rom 10:6

Saith thus (out $\omega \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ ). Paul personifies "the from faith righteousness" ( $\eta \varepsilon \kappa \pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ $\delta ı \kappa \alpha \ldots \sigma u v \eta)$. A free reproduction from De 30:11-14 . Paul takes various phrases from the LXX and uses them for "his inspired conviction and experiences of the gospel" (Denney). He does not quote Moses as saying this or meaning this.

Say not in thy heart ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon ו \pi \eta ı \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha \sigma o v)$. Second aorist active subjunctive with $\mu \eta$ like De 8:17. To say in the heart is to think (Mt 3:9).
 infinitive of the common verb $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \omega$, to bring or lead down. It is dependent on the preceding verb $\alpha v a \beta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ (shall ascend). Tout' $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ (that is) is what is called Midrash or interpretation as in 9:8. It occurs three times here (verses 6-8). Paul applies the words of Moses to Christ. There is no need for one to go to heaven to bring Christ down to earth. The Incarnation is already a glorious fact. Today some men scout the idea of the Deity and Incarnation of Christ.

## Rom 10:7

Into the abyss ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \tau \eta v \alpha \beta v \sigma \sigma o v)$ ) See Lu 8:31 for this old Greek word (a privative and $\beta \cup \sigma \sigma o \varsigma)$ bottomless like sea (Ps 106:26), our abyss. In Re 9:1 it is the place of torment. Paul seems to refer to Hades or Sheol (Ac 2:27,31) , the other world to which Christ went after death.

To bring Christ up (Xpıotov $\alpha v a \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon เ v)$. Second aorist active infinitive of $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \omega$ and dependent on $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ (shall descend). Christ has already risen from the dead. The deity and resurrection of Christ are precisely the two chief points of attack today on the part of sceptics.

Rom 10:8
But what saith it? ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ ?). That is "the from faith righteousness."
The word of faith ( $\tau 0 \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). The gospel message concerning faith (objective genitive). Only here. In contrast to the law.

Which we preach (о кп $\rho \cup \sigma \sigma о \mu \varepsilon v$ ). The living voice brings home to every one the faith kind of righteousness. Paul seizes upon the words of Moses with the orator's instinct and with rhetorical skill (Sanday and Headlam) applies them to the facts about the gospel message about the Incarnation and Resurrection of Christ.

Rom 10:9
If thou shalt confess ( $\varepsilon \alpha v$ о $\mu \circ \lambda о \gamma \eta \sigma \eta \iota \varsigma)$. Third class condition ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ and first aorist active subjunctive of o $\mu \mathrm{o} \lambda \mathrm{o} \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ ).

With thy mouth Jesus as Lord ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \sigma \tau \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \sigma o v$ Kvpoov I $\eta \sigma o v v$ ). This is the reading of nearly all the MSS. But B 71 Clem of Alex. read $\tau 0 \rho \eta \mu \alpha \varepsilon v \tau \omega \sigma \tau о \mu \alpha \tau \sigma o v$ oт Kvpıos Inoous (the word in thy mouth that Jesus is Lord). The idea is the same, the confession of Jesus as Lord as in 1Co 12:3; Php 2:11. No Jew would do this who had not really trusted

Christ, for Kupıos in the LXX is used of God. No Gentile would do it who had not ceased worshipping the emperor as Kvpıoc. The word Kupıos was and is the touchstone of faith.

And shalt believe ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma \eta \vdash)$ ). Same construction. Faith precedes confession, of course.

Rom 10:10
Man believeth ( $\pi$ ) $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Impersonal construction, "it is believed" (present passive indicative of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega)$. The order is reversed in this verse and the true order (faith, then confession).

Confession is made ( $\mathrm{o} \mu \mathrm{o} \lambda о \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Impersonal construction again, "it is confessed," "man confesses." Both кар $\delta \iota \alpha$ (heart) and $\sigma \tau о \mu \alpha \tau$ (mouth) are in the instrumental case.

Rom 10:11
Every one ( $\pi \alpha \varsigma$ ). Paul adds this word to the quotation from Isa 28:16 already made in 9:33.

Rom 10:12
Distinction ( $\delta 1 \alpha \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta$ ). See on this word 3:22. Here it is followed by the ablative case


Lord of all (Kvpıoc $\pi \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). See Ga 3:28.
Rich ( $\pi \lambda \mathrm{\lambda ov} \tau \omega v$ ). Present active participle of $\pi \lambda$ ovt $\tau \omega$. See Eph 3:8 "the unsearchable riches of Christ."

Rom 10:13
Paul here quotes Joe 3:5 (Joe 2:32 LXX).

## Rom 10:14

How then shall they call? ( $\pi \omega \varsigma$ ouv $\varepsilon \pi!\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \omega v \tau \alpha!$ ). Deliberative subjunctive (first aorist middle) of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \circ \mu \alpha$ (see verses 12,13 ). The antecedent of $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ o v$ (in whom) is not expressed.

How shall they believe? ( $\pi 0 \varsigma \pi \mid \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \omega \sigma \omega v$ ?). Deliberative subjunctive again (first aorist active of $\pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$ just used). Each time Paul picks up the preceding verb and challenges that. Here again the antecedent $\varepsilon$ ह८ $\varsigma$ touto $v$ before $o v$ is not expressed.

How shall they hear? ( $\pi \circ \varsigma ~ \alpha \kappa о v \sigma \omega \sigma ı v ?) . ~ D e l i b e r a t i v e ~ s u b j u n c t i v e ~(f i r s t ~ a o r i s t ~ a c t i v e ~ o f ~$ акоиш).

Without a preacher? ( $\chi \omega \rho ı \varsigma \kappa \eta \rho \cup \sigma \sigma о v \tau о \sigma$ ?). Preposition $\chi \omega \rho \iota \varsigma$ with ablative singular masculine present active participle of кпрטбб $\omega$, "without one preaching."

How shall they preach? ( $\pi \omega \varsigma \kappa \eta \rho \nu \xi \omega \sigma \iota v$ ?). Deliberative subjunctive again (first aorist active кприбб $\omega$, to preach).

Except they be sent? ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta \alpha \pi o \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \omega \sigma \iota v$ ?). Second aorist passive deliberative subjunctive of $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, to send, from which verb $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau o \lambda o s ~ a p o s t l e ~ c o m e s . ~ N e g a t i v e ~ c o n-~$ dition of third class. In graphic style Paul has made a powerful plea for missions. It is just as true today as then.

Rom 10:15
How beautiful (H $\omega \varsigma \omega \rho a \iota o$ ). A quotation from Isa 52:7 more like the Hebrew than the LXX, picturing the messengers of the restoration from the Jewish captivity. Paul assumes that the missionaries ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau 0 \lambda 0$ ) have been sent as implied in verse 14.

## Rom 10:16

But they did not all hearken ( $o v \pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma v \pi \eta \kappa о v \sigma \alpha v$ ). They heard, but did not heed. Some disbelieve now ( $3: 3$ ) as they did then. On obedience and disobedience see $5: 19$; 1 Th 2:13; Ga 3:2. He quotes Isa 53:1 to show how Isaiah felt.

Report (aкоך). Literally, "hearing" (Mt 14:1; Mr 13:7).
Rom 10:17
By the word of Christ ( $\delta ı \alpha \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \circ \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o v$ ). "By the word about Christ" (objective genitive).

Rom 10:18
Did they not hear? ( $\mu \eta$ оик $\eta \kappa о \cup \sigma \alpha \nu$ ?). Rather, "Did they fail to hear?" (expecting the negative answer $\mu \eta$, while ouk blends with the verb). See on 1Co 9:5 for this construction.

Yea, verily ( $\mu \varepsilon v o v v \gamma \varepsilon$ ). Triple particle ( $\mu \varepsilon v, o v v, \gamma \varepsilon$ ) as in 9:20.
Sound ( $\varphi \theta$ оүүоৎ). Vibration of a musical string. See on 1Co 14:7. Only two N.T. examples.

The world ( $\tau \eta \varsigma$ oוкои $\mu \varepsilon \vee \eta \varsigma)$. The inhabited earth as in Lu 2:1.
Rom 10:19
Did Israel not know? ( $\mu \eta$ I $\sigma \rho \alpha \varepsilon \lambda$ оик $\varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega$ ?). "Did Israel fail to know?" See above.
First ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \varsigma)$. Moses first before any one else. LXX quotation De 32:21. See on 1Co 10:22 for $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \zeta \eta \lambda \omega \sigma \omega$ (I will provoke you to jealousy).

With that which is no nation ( $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime}$ ouk $\varepsilon \theta v \varepsilon$ ). The Jews had worshipped "no-gods" and now God shows favours to a "no-nation" (people).

Will I anger you ( $\pi \alpha \rho o \rho \gamma เ \omega v \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. Future active (Attic future) of $\pi \alpha \rho o \rho \gamma \iota \zeta \omega$, rare word, to rouse to wrath.

Rom 10:20
Is very bold ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \tau \sigma \lambda \mu \alpha$ ). Present active indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \tau \sigma \lambda \mu \alpha \omega$, old word, to assume boldness ( $\alpha \pi 0$, off) and only here in N.T. Isaiah "breaks out boldly" (Gifford). Paul cites Isa 65:1 in support of his own courage against the prejudice of the Jews. See 9:30-33 for illustration of this point.

I was found $(\varepsilon v \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta v)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\varepsilon v \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$.
Rom 10:21
All the day long (o $\lambda \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu$ ). Accusative of extent of time. He quotes Isa 65:2.
Did I spread out ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \nu \nu \nu \mu$, old verb, to stretch out, bold metaphor, only here in N.T.

Unto a disobedient and a gainsaying people ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \lambda \alpha o v \alpha \pi \varepsilon \forall \theta$ ouv $\alpha \alpha \kappa \alpha \alpha \nu \tau 1 \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \sigma \nu \tau \alpha$ ). "Unto a people disobeying and talking back." The two things usually go together. Contrary and contradictory (Lu 13:34f. ).

## Romans 11

Rom 11:1
I say then $(\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega \mathrm{ovv})$. As in verse 11 . Ovv looks back to 9:16-33 and 10:19-21.
Did God cast off? ( $\mu \eta \alpha \pi \omega \sigma \alpha \tau o$ o $\theta \varepsilon \sigma \sigma$ ?). An indignant negative answer is called for by $\mu \eta$ and emphasized by $\mu \eta \gamma \varepsilon v o ו \tau o$ (God forbid). Paul refers to the promise in the O.T. made three times: 1Sa 12:22; Ps 94:14 (Ps 93:14 LXX); Ps 94:4. First aorist middle indicative (without augment) of $\alpha \pi \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$, to push away, to repel, middle, to push away from one as in Ac 7:27.

For I also ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ). Proof that not all the Jews have rejected Christ. See Php 3:5 for more of Paul's pedigree.

Rom 11:2
Whom he foreknew (ov $\pi \rho o \varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega$ ). The same form and sense as in 8:29, which see. Probably the Hebrew sense of choice beforehand. The nation of Israel was God's chosen people and so all the individuals in it could not be cast off.

Wot ye not? (ovk ot $\delta \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ?). "Know ye not?" Why keep the old English "wot"?
Of Elijah ( $\varepsilon v$ E $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ ). "In the case of Elijah." Cf. "in the bush" (Mr 12:26).
He pleadeth ( $\varepsilon v \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha v \varepsilon)$. See on 8:27. Ev $v \gamma \chi \alpha v \omega$ means to happen on one and so to converse with (Ac 25:24), to plead for (Ro 8:27,34), to plead against as here with кata, but the "against" is in $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$.

Rom 11:3
They have digged down ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \alpha \psi \alpha v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \pi \tau \omega$, to dig under or down. Old verb, here only in N.T. (critical text). LXX has $\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \iota \lambda \alpha v$ "pulled down." Paul has reversed the order of the LXX of 1Ki 19:10,14,18.

Altars ( $\theta v \sigma \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha)$. Late word (LXX, Philo, Josephus, N.T. eccl. writers) from $\theta v \sigma \iota \alpha \zeta \omega$, to sacrifice. See Ac 17:23.

And I am left alone ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \omega v \pi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \varphi \theta \eta \nu \mu \circ \nu \circ \varsigma)$. First aorist passive indicative of $v \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi \omega$, old word, to leave under or behind, here only in N.T. Elijah's mood was that of utter dejection in his flight from Jezebel.

Life $(\psi v \chi \eta v)$. It is not possible to draw a clear distinction between $\psi v \chi \eta$ (soul) and $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ (spirit). $\Psi v \chi \eta$ is from $\psi v \chi \omega$, to breathe or blow, $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ from $\pi \nu \varepsilon \omega$, to blow. Both are used for the personality and for the immortal part of man. Paul is usually dichotomous in his language, but sometimes trichotomous in a popular sense. We cannot hold Paul's terms to our modern psychological distinctions.

Rom 11:4
The answer of God (o $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma)$. An old word in various senses like $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, only here in N.T. See this use of the verb in Mt 2:12,22; Lu 2:26; Ac 10:22 .

To Baal ( $\tau \eta B \alpha \alpha \lambda$ ). Feminine article. In the LXX the name B $\alpha \alpha \lambda$ is either masculine or feminine. The explanation is that the Jews put Bosheth ( $\alpha, \sigma \chi \cup v \eta$, shame) for Baal and in the LXX the feminine article occurs because aı $\sigma \chi$ vv is so, though here the LXX has the masculine $\tau \omega$.

Rom 11:5
Remnant $(\lambda \mu \mu \alpha)$. Old word, but only here in N.T., but in papyri also and with this spelling rather than $\lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu \mu \alpha$. From $\lambda \varepsilon ו \pi \omega$, to leave.

According to the election of grace ( $\left.\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \kappa \lambda о \gamma \eta \nu \chi \alpha \rho ı \tau о \varsigma\right)$. As in 9:6-13. The election is all of God. Verse 6 explains it further.

Rom 11:6
Otherwise ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$ ). Ellipse after $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$ (since), "since, in that case."
Is no more (ouket $\gamma \iota \nu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). "No longer becomes" grace, loses its character as grace. Augustine: Gratia nisi gratis sit gratia non est.

Rom 11:7
What then? ( $\tau$ ouv?). Since God did not push Israel away (verse 1), what is true?
The election ( $\eta \varepsilon \kappa \lambda o \gamma \eta$ ). Abstract for concrete (the elect).
Obtained ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \cup \chi \varepsilon v)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha v \omega$, old verb, to hit upon, only here in Paul. See 9:30-33 for the failure of the Jews.

Were hardened ( $\varepsilon \pi \omega \rho \omega \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\pi \omega \rho o \omega$, late verb, to cover with thick skin ( $\pi \omega \rho \circ \varsigma)$. See on 2Co 3:14; Mr 3:5.

Rom 11:8
A spirit of stupor ( $\pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha$ катаvv $\xi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. The quotation is a combination of De 19:4; Isa 29:10; 6:9f. This phrase is from Isa 29:10. Katavu $\xi_{\text {ıc }}$ is a late and rare word from кат $\alpha v v \sigma \sigma \omega$, to prick or stick (Ac 2:37), in LXX, here only in N.T., one example in PelagiaLegende. The torpor seems the result of too much sensation, dulled by incitement into apathy.

That they should not see ( $\tau 0 v \mu \eta \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Genitive articular infinitive of negative purpose.

That they should not hear ( $\tau$ ои $\mu \eta$ акоvะıv). So here also. See Stephen's speech (Ac 7:51f. ).

Rom 11:9
David says ( $\Delta a v \varepsilon \delta \delta \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ ). From Ps 69:23f ; (68:23f LXX); 34:8; $28: 4$ (combined quotation).

Table ( $\tau \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \zeta \alpha)$. For what is on the table, "a feast."
A snare ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \pi \alpha \downarrow \delta \alpha$ ). From $\pi \eta \gamma \nu v \mu$, to make fast, old word for snares for birds and beasts. See on Lu 21:35. Etc in predicate with $\gamma$ lvo $\mu \alpha$ is a translation-Hebraism.

A trap ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \quad \theta \eta \rho a v)$. Old word for hunting of wild beasts, then a trap. Only here in N.T.

A stumbling-block ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda o v)$. A third word for trap, snare, trap-stick or trigger over which they fall. See on 1Co 1:23; Ro 9:33.

A recompense ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \alpha \tau \tau \pi \sigma \delta \circ \mu \alpha$ ). Late word from double compound verb $\alpha v \tau \alpha \pi \sigma \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, to repay (both $\alpha v \tau$ and $\alpha \pi \sigma$ ). Ancient Greeks used $\alpha v \tau \alpha \pi o \delta o \sigma \iota c$. In LXX and Didache. In N.T. only here (bad sense) and Lu 14:12 (good sense).

Rom 11:10
Let their eyes be darkened ( $\sigma \kappa \sigma \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta \tau \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ o o $\rho \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \mathrm{o} \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). First aorist passive imperative of $\sigma \kappa о \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, to darken. A terrible imprecation.

That they may not see ( $\tau 0 v \mu \eta \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon เ v$ ). Repeated from verse 8.
Bow down ( $\sigma v v \kappa \alpha \mu \psi o v$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\sigma v v \kappa \alpha \mu \pi \tau \omega$, old verb, to bend together as of captives whose backs ( $v \omega \tau$ tov, another old word, only here in N.T.) were bent under burdens. Only here in N.T.

Rom 11:11
Did they stumble that they might fall? ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha ı \sigma \alpha \nu \stackrel{\iota}{ } \pi \varepsilon \sigma \omega \sigma \iota v$ ?). Negative answer expected by $\mu \eta$ as in verse 1 . First aorist active indicative of $\pi \tau \alpha \iota \omega$, old verb, to stumble, only here in Paul (see Jas 3:2 ), suggested perhaps by $\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda o v$ in verse 9 . If tva is final, then we must add "merely" to the idea, "merely that they might fall" or make a sharp distinction between $\pi \tau \alpha \omega \omega$, to stumble, and $\pi \iota \tau \tau \omega$, to fall, and take $\pi \varepsilon \sigma \omega \sigma \omega v$ as effective aorist active subjunctive to fall completely and for good. Hiva, as we know, can be either final, sub-final, or even result. See 1Th 5:4; 1Co 7:29; Ga 5:17. Paul rejects this query in verse 11 as vehemently as he did that in verse 1 .

By their fall ( $\tau \omega \alpha \nu \tau \omega v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha \tau$ ). Instrumental case. For the word, a falling aside or a false step from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, see 5:15-20.

Is come. No verb in the Greek, but $\gamma \iota \nu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ or $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \varepsilon v$ is understood.
For to provoke them to jealousy ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \zeta \eta \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha$ ). Purpose expressed by $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ and the articular infinitive, first aorist active, of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \zeta \eta \lambda 0 \omega$, for which verb see 1Co 10:22. As an historical fact Paul turned to the Gentiles when the Jews rejected his message (Ac 13:45ff.; 28:28 , etc.).

The riches of the world ( $\pi \lambda$ оотос коб $\mu о v$ ). See 10:12.
Their loss ( $\tau 0 \eta \tau \tau \eta \mu \alpha \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). So perhaps in 1Co 6:7, but in Isa 31:8 defeat is the idea. Perhaps so here.

Fulness ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha)$. Perhaps "completion," though the word from $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$, to fill, has a variety of senses, that with which anything is filled (1Co 10:26,28), that which is filled (Eph 1:23).

How much more? ( $\pi \sigma \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$ ). Argument a fortiori as in verse 24. Verse 25 illustrates the point.

Rom 11:13

To you that are Gentiles (v $\mu ı \nu \tau o \iota \varepsilon \theta v \varepsilon \sigma \tau v)$. "To you the Gentiles." He has a serious word to say to them.

Inasmuch then ( $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime}$ ooov $\mu \varepsilon v$ ovv). Not temporal, quamdiu, "so long as" (Mt 9:15 ), but qualitative quatenus "in so far then as" (Mt 25:40).

I glorify my ministry ( $\tau \eta \nu \delta \iota \alpha \kappa \frac{v ı}{} \nu \nu \mu \circ \delta \delta o \xi \alpha \zeta \omega$ ). As apostle to the Gentiles ( $\varepsilon \theta v \omega v$ $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau 0 \lambda o \varsigma$, objective genitive). Would that every minister of Christ glorified his ministry.

If by any means ( $\varepsilon \pi \omega \varsigma$ ). This use of $\varepsilon$ with purpose or aim is a kind of indirect discourse.
I may provoke ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \zeta \eta \lambda \omega \sigma \omega)$. Either future active indicative or first aorist active subjunctive, see same uncertainty in Php 3:10 кат $\alpha v \tau \eta \sigma \omega$, but in 3:11 ка $\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \omega$ after $\varepsilon$ is subjunctive. The future indicative is clear in Ro 1:10 and the optative in Ac 27:12. Doubtful whether future indicative or aorist subjunctive also in $\sigma \omega \sigma \omega$ (save).

Rom 11:15
The casting away of them ( $\eta \alpha \pi \circ \beta \circ \lambda \eta \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Objective genitive ( $\alpha v \tau \omega v$ ) with $\alpha \pi \circ \beta o \lambda \eta$, old word from $\alpha \pi \sigma \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to throw off (Mr 10:50), in N.T. only here and Ac 27:22 .

The reconciling of the world ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \eta \kappa о \sigma \mu \circ v)$. See 5:10f. for кат $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \eta$ (reconciling). It explains verse 12 .

The receiving ( $\eta \pi \rho \circ \sigma \lambda \eta \mu \psi \iota \varsigma$ ). Old word from $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$, to take to oneself, only here in N.T.

Life from the dead ( $\zeta \omega \eta \varepsilon \kappa \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega v$ ). Already the conversion of Jews had become so difficult. It is like a miracle of grace today, though it does happen. Many think that Paul means that the general resurrection and the end will come when the Jews are converted. Possibly so, but it is by no means certain. His language may be merely figurative.

Rom 11:16
First fruit ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \rho \chi \eta$ ). See on 1Co 15:20,23. The metaphor is from Nu 15:19f. The LXX has $\alpha \pi \alpha \rho \chi \eta \nu \varphi v \rho \alpha \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma$, first of the dough as a heave offering.

The lump ( $\tau о \varphi \cup \rho \alpha \mu \alpha$ ). From which the first fruit came. See on 9:21. Apparently the patriarchs are the first fruit.

The root ( $\eta \rho \zeta \zeta \alpha$ ). Perhaps Abraham singly here. The metaphor is changed, but the idea is the same. Israel is looked on as a tree. But one must recall and keep in mind the double sense of Israel in 9:6f. (the natural and the spiritual).

Rom 11:17
Branches ( $\kappa \lambda \alpha \delta \omega v$ ). From $\kappa \lambda \alpha \omega$, to break.
Were broken off ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \alpha \omega$. Play on the word $\kappa \lambda \alpha \delta$ oc (branch) and $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \alpha \omega$, to break off. Condition of first class, assumed as true. Some of the individual Jews (natural Israel) were broken off the stock of the tree (spiritual Israel).

And thou ( $\kappa \alpha \sigma v$ ). An individual Gentile.

Being a wild olive ( $\alpha \gamma \rho \iota \lambda \alpha \iota \varsigma \omega v$ ). This word, used by Aristotle, occurs in an inscription. Ramsay (Pauline Studies, pp. 219ff.) shows that the ancients used the wild-olive graft upon an old olive tree to reinvigorate the tree precisely as Paul uses the figure here and that both the olive tree and the graft were influenced by each other, though the wild olive graft did not produce as good olives as the original stock. But it should be noted that in verse 24 Paul expressly states that the grafting of Gentiles on to the stock of the spiritual Israel was "contrary to nature" ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ $\varphi v \sigma \iota \nu$ ).

Wast grafted in ( $\varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \nu \tau \rho \iota \sigma \theta \eta \varsigma)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\varepsilon \nu \kappa \varepsilon \nu \tau \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, to cut in, to graft, used by Aristotle. Belongs "to the higher Koine" (literary Koine) according to Milligan.

Partaker ( $\sigma \cup v \kappa о \iota \omega \nu \circ \varsigma)$. Co-partner.
Fatness ( $\pi \iota \circ \tau \eta \tau \varsigma)$. Old word from $\pi \iota \omega \nu$ (fat), only here in N.T. Note three genitives here "of the root of the fatness of the olive."

Rom 11:18
Glory not over the branches ( $\mu \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \nu \chi \omega \tau \omega \nu \kappa \lambda \alpha \delta \omega \nu$ ). Genitive case after $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$. Present middle imperative second person singular of катак $\alpha \cup \chi \alpha$ о $\alpha$ with negative $\mu \eta$, "stop glorying" or "do not have the habit of glorying over the branches." The conclusion of the preceding condition.

Gloriest ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \cup \chi \alpha \sigma \alpha$ ). Late form - $\alpha \varepsilon \sigma \alpha$ retaining $\varsigma$.
Not thou (ov $\sigma v$ ). Very emphatic position. The graft was upon the stock and root, though each affected the other.

Rom 11:19
Thou wilt say then ( $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \iota \varsigma o v v$ ). A presumptuous Gentile speaks.
That I might be grafted in ( $\imath \alpha \alpha \varepsilon \omega \omega \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \nu \tau \rho \iota \sigma \theta \omega$ ). Purpose clause with $\imath v \alpha$ and first aorist passive subjunctive. He shows contempt for the cast-off Jews.

Rom 11:20
Well ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma)$. Perhaps ironical, though Paul may simply admit the statement (cf. Mr 12:32 ) and show the Gentile his real situation.

By unbelief ( $\tau \eta \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \alpha$ )
--by faith ( $\pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). Instrumental case with both contrasted words (by unbelief, by belief).

## Rom 11:21

Be not highminded ( $\mu \eta \nu \psi \eta \lambda \alpha \varphi \rho o v \varepsilon$ ). "Stop thinking high (proud) thoughts."
Of God spared not ( $\varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho$ о $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma ~ o v \kappa ~ \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon ו \sigma \alpha \tau o)$. It is not $\varepsilon \mu \eta$ (unless), but the ovк negatives the verb $\varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \tau$ (first aorist middle indicative of $\varphi \varepsilon \iota \delta o \mu \alpha$, to spare. Condition of first class.

## Rom 11:22

The goodness and the severity of God ( $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau о \tau \eta \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \alpha \pi о \tau о \mu \iota \alpha v \varepsilon \sigma v$ ). See on Ro 2:2 for $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau о \tau \eta \varsigma$, kindness of God. Алото $\mu \alpha$ (here alone in the N.T.) is from $\alpha \pi о \tau о \mu \circ \varsigma$,
cut off, abrupt, and this adjective from $\alpha \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon \mu v \omega$, to cut off. This late word occurs several times in the papyri.

If thou continue ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \varepsilon \pi \mu \mu \varepsilon \eta \eta)$. Third class condition, $\varepsilon a v$ and present active subjunctive.

Otherwise ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$ ). Ellipse after $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$, "since if thou dost not continue."
Thou also ( $\kappa \alpha \sigma v$ ). Precisely as the Jewish branches of verse 17 were.
Shalt be cut off ( $\varepsilon к к о \pi \eta \sigma \eta)$. Second future passive of $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa о \pi \tau \omega$, to cut out.
Rom 11:23
If they continue not in their unbelief ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta \varepsilon \pi \mu \mu \varepsilon v \omega \sigma \tau \eta \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \alpha)$. Third class condition with the same verb used in verse 22 of the Gentile. Locative case of $\alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \alpha$ here (same form as the instrumental in verse 20).
 than $\delta v v a \tau \alpha$. This is the $\chi \rho v \xi$ of the whole matter. God is able.

## Rom 11:24

Contrary to nature ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \varphi v \sigma i v$ ). This is the gist of the argument, the power of God to do what is contrary to natural processes. He put the wild olive (Gentile) into the good olive tree (the spiritual Israel) and made the wild olive (contrary to nature) become the good olive ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \iota \varrho$, the garden olive, $\kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda \circ \varsigma$ and $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha$ in Aristotle and a papyrus).

Into their own olive tree ( $\tau \eta\llcorner\delta \iota \alpha \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha$ ). Dative case. Another argument a fortiori, "how much more" ( $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \lambda \omega \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda \mathrm{ov}$ ). God can graft the natural Israel back upon the spiritual Israel, if they become willing.

Rom 11:25
This mystery ( $\tau \boldsymbol{\mu \nu \sigma \tau \tau \eta \rho เ o v ~ \tau о и \tau о ) . ~ N o t ~ i n ~ t h e ~ p a g a n ~ s e n s e ~ o f ~ a n ~ e s o t e r i c ~ d o c t r i n e ~ f o r ~}$ the initiated (from $\mu \nu \varepsilon \omega$, to blink, to wink), unknown secrets (2Th 2:7), or like the mystery religions of the time, but the revealed will of God now made known to all (1Co 2:1,7; 4:1) which includes Gentiles also (Ro 16:25; Col 1:26f.; Eph 3:3f.) and so far superior to man's wisdom (Col 2:2; 4:13; Eph 3:9; 5:32; 6:19; Mt 13:11; Mr 4:11). Paul has covered every point of difficulty concerning the failure of the Jews to accept Jesus as the Messiah and has shown how God has overruled it for the blessing of the Gentiles with a ray of hope still held out for the Jews. "In early ecclesiastical Latin $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota o v$ was rendered by sacramentum, which in classical Latin means the military oath. The explanation of the word sacrament, which is so often founded on this etymology, is therefore mistaken, since the meaning of sacrament belongs to $\mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho o v$ and not to sacramentum in the classical sense" (Vincent).

Wise in your own conceits ( $\varepsilon$ ع عavtoıc $\varphi \rho о \nu \mu \circ$ ). "Wise in yourselves." Some MSS. read $\pi \alpha \rho$ ' عavtoıc (by yourselves). Negative purpose here ( $v \alpha \mu \eta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ), to prevent self-conceit on the part of the Gentiles who have believed. They had no merit in themselves

A hardening ( $\pi \omega \rho \omega \sigma \iota)$. Late word from $\pi \omega \rho o \omega$ ( 11:7). Occurs in Hippocrates as a medical term, only here in N.T. save Mr 3:5; Eph 4:18 . It means obtuseness of intellectual discernment, mental dulness.

In part ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \mu \varepsilon \rho o u c)$. Goes with the verb $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma o v \varepsilon v$ (has happened in part). For $\alpha \pi o$ $\mu \varepsilon \rho о и \varsigma$, see 2Co 1:14; 2:5; Ro 15:24; for ava $\mu \varepsilon \rho о \varsigma$, see 1Co 14:27 ; for $\varepsilon \kappa ~ \mu \varepsilon \rho о и \varsigma, ~ s e e ~ 1 C o ~$ 12:27; 13:9 ; for ката $\mu \varepsilon \rho о \varsigma$, see Heb 9:5 ; for $\mu \varepsilon \rho о \varsigma \tau$ (adverbial accusative) partly see 1Co 11:18. Paul refuses to believe that no more Jews will be saved.

Until the fulness of the Gentiles be come in ( $\alpha \chi \rho$ ov $\tau 0 \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha \tau \omega v \varepsilon \theta v \omega v \varepsilon ા \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ ). Temporal clause with $\alpha \chi \rho$ ov (until which time) and the second aorist active subjunctive of عוбєрХона, to come in (Mt 7:13,21).

For fulness of the Gentiles ( $\tau 0 \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha \tau \omega v \varepsilon \theta v \omega v$ ) see on verse 12 , the complement of the Gentiles.

Rom 11:26
And so ( $\kappa \alpha$ ov $\tau \omega \varsigma$ ). By the complement of the Gentiles stirring up the complement of the Jews (verses 11f. ).

All Israel ( $\pi \alpha \varsigma I \sigma \rho \alpha \eta \lambda$ ). What does Paul mean? The immediate context (use of $\pi \alpha \varsigma$ in contrast with $\alpha \pi 0 \mu \varepsilon \rho o v \sigma, \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ here in contrast with $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ in verse 12) argues for the Jewish people "as a whole." But the spiritual Israel (both Jews and Gentiles) may be his idea in accord with 9:6 ( Ga 6:16) as the climax of the argument. At any rate we should strive for and pray for the conversion of Jews as a whole. Paul here quotes from Isa 59:20f.; 27:9 .

The Deliverer ( $o \rho v o \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Present middle articular participle of $\rho v o \mu \alpha$, to rescue, to deliver. See on 1Th 1:10; 2Co 1:10. The Hebrew Goel, the Avenger, the Messiah, the Redeemer (De 25:5-10; Job 19:25; Ru 3:12f. ). Paul interprets it of Jesus as Messiah.

Rom 11:27
My covenant ( $\left.\eta \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \circ v \delta i \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta\right)$ ). "The from me covenant," "my side of the covenant I have made with them" (Sanday and Headlam). Cf. Jer 31:31f. . Not a political deliverance, but a religious and ethical one.

When I shall take away ( $\quad \tau \alpha \nu \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \omega \mu \alpha$ ). Second aorist middle subjunctive of $\alpha \varphi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old and common verb, to take away.

Rom 11:28
As touching the gospel (ката тo $\varepsilon \cup \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \nu)$ ). "According to (ката with the accusative) the gospel" as Paul has shown in verses 11-24, the gospel order as it has developed.

Enemies ( $\varepsilon \chi \theta \rho o$ ). Treated as enemies (of God), in passive sense, because of their rejection of Christ (verse 10), just as $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \tau o$ (beloved) is passive.

As touching the election ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta v \varepsilon \kappa \lambda о \gamma \eta v$ ). "According to the election" (the principle of election, not as in verses 5 f. the elect or abstract for concrete).

For the fathers' sake ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ тouৎ $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. As in 9:4; 11:16f .
Rom 11:29

Without repentance $(\alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda \eta \tau \alpha)$. See on 2Co 7:10 for this word ( $\alpha$ privative and $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda о \mu \alpha$, to be sorry afterwards). It is not $\alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \eta \tau o v(R o 2: 5)$ from $\alpha$ privative and $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon \omega$, to change one's mind. God is not sorry for his gifts to and calling of the Jews (9:4f. ).

Rom 11:30
Ye in time past ( $\nu \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \pi о \tau \varepsilon) . ~ Y e ~ G e n t i l e s ~(~ 1: 18-32) . ~ . ~$
Were disobedient ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \mid \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \vDash \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega$, to disbelieve and then to disobey. "Ye once upon a time disobeyed God."

By their disobedience ( $\tau \eta \tau 0 \cup \tau \omega \nu \alpha \pi \varepsilon 1 \theta \iota \alpha$ ). Instrumental case, "by the disobedience of these" (Jews). Note "now" ( $v \mathrm{v} v$ ) three times in this sentence.

Rom 11:31
By the mercy shown to you ( $\tau \omega v \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon$ ). Objective sense of $v \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$ (possessive pronoun, your). Proleptic position also for the words go with $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \eta \theta \omega \sigma \varpi v$ (first aorist passive subjunctive of $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega$, from $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varsigma$ with $\operatorname{vva}$, purpose clause). God's purpose is for the Jews to receive a blessing yet.

Rom 11:32
Hath shut up ( $\sigma u v \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon เ \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\sigma u v \kappa \lambda \varepsilon เ \omega$, to shut together like a net (Lu 5:6). See Ga 3:22 for this word with vтo $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha v$ (under sin). This is a resultant (effective) aorist because of the disbelief and disobedience of both Gentile ( $1: 17-32$ ) and Jew ( 2:1-3:20).

All ( $\tau$ оия $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma) . ~ " T h e ~ a l l " ~(b o t h ~ G e n t i l e s ~ a n d ~ J e w s) . ~$
That he might have mercy (ıva--غ $\varepsilon \varepsilon \eta \sigma \eta$ ). Purpose with $\stackrel{v \alpha}{ }$ and aorist active subjunctive. No merit in anyone, but all of grace. "The all" again, who receive God's mercy, not that "all" men are saved.

Rom 11:33
O the depth ( $\mathrm{O} \beta \alpha \theta \mathrm{o})$ ). Exclamation with omega and the nominative case of $\beta \alpha \theta$ os (see on 2Co 8:2; Ro 8:39). Paul's argument concerning God's elective grace and goodness has carried him to the heights and now he pauses on the edge of the precipice as he contemplates God's wisdom and knowledge, fully conscious of his inability to sound the bottom with the plummet of human reason and words.

Unsearchable ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho a u v \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Double compound ( $\alpha$ privative and $\varepsilon \xi$ ) verbal adjective of $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon v v a \omega$ (old spelling $-\varepsilon v-$ ), late and rare word (LXX, Dio Cassius, Heraclitus), only here in N.T. Some of God's wisdom can be known (1:20f. ), but not all.

Past tracing out ( $\alpha \vee \varepsilon \xi \chi \chi \nu I \alpha \sigma \tau 0)$. Another verbal adjective from $\alpha$ privative and $\varepsilon \xi \not \chi v ı \alpha \zeta \omega$, to trace out by tracks (ixvoc Ro 4:12). Late word in Job (Job 5:9; 9:10; 34:24) from which use Paul obtained it here and Eph 3:8 (only N.T. examples). Also in ecclesiastical writers. Some of God's tracks he has left plain to us, but others are beyond us.

Rom 11:34

Who hath known? ( $\tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega$ ?). Second aorist active indicative of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, a timeless aorist, did know, does know, will know. Quotation from Isa 40:13. Quoted already in 1Co 2:16.

Counsellor ( $\sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda o \varsigma)$. Old word from $\sigma v \nu$ and $\beta o v \lambda \eta$. Only here in N.T.
His (avtov). Objective genitive, counsellor to him (God). Some men seem to feel competent for the job.

Rom 11:35
First driven to him $(\pi \rho o \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega)$. First aorist active indicative of $\pi \rho o \delta t \delta \omega \mu$, to give beforehand or first. Old verb, here alone in N.T. From Job 41:11, but not like the LXX, Paul's own translation.

Shall be recompensed ( $\alpha v \tau \alpha \pi o \delta o \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). First future passive of double compound $\alpha v \tau \alpha \pi o \delta i \delta \omega \mu$, to pay back (both $\alpha v \tau$ and $\alpha \pi 0$ ), old word in good sense, as here and Lu 14:14; 1Th 3:9 and in bad sense as 2Th 1:6; Ro 12:19.

Rom 11:36
Of him ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \cup \tau 0 v$ ),
through him ( $\delta \iota^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v$ ),
unto him ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau o v)$. By these three prepositions Paul ascribes the universe ( $\tau \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ) with all the phenomena concerning creation, redemption, providence to God as the

Source ( $\varepsilon \xi$ ), the
Agent ( $\delta$ ), the
Goal ( $\varepsilon$ (ऽ).
For ever ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o u \varsigma ~ a ı \omega v a \varsigma) . ~ " F o r ~ t h e ~ a g e s . " ~ A l f o r d ~ t e r m s ~ t h i s ~ d o x o l o g y ~ i n ~ v e r s e s ~ 33-36 ~$ "the sublimest apostrophe existing even in the pages of inspiration itself."

## Romans 12

Rom 12:1
Therefore (ovv). This inferential participle gathers up all the great argument of chapters $1-11$. Now Paul turns to exhortation ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega)$, "I beseech you."

By the mercies ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ oıк $\tau \iota \rho \mu \omega \nu$ ). "By means of the mercies of God" as shown in his argument and in our lives. See 2Co 1:3 for "the Father of mercies."

To present ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive of $\pi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, for which verb see 6:13, a technical term for offering a sacrifice (Josephus, Ant. IV. 6, 4), though not in the O.T. Used of presenting the child Jesus in the temple ( $\mathrm{Lu} 2: 22$ ), of the Christian presenting himself (Ro 6:13 ), of God presenting the saved (Eph 5:27), of Christ presenting the church (Col 1:28).

Bodies ( $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). So literally as in $6: 13,19 ; 2$ Co $5: 10$ and in contrast with vous (mind) in verse 2.

A living sacrifice $(\theta v \sigma \iota \alpha v \zeta \omega \sigma \alpha v)$. In contrast with the Levitical sacrifices of slain animals. Cf. 6:8,11,13 . Not a propitiatory sacrifice, but one of praise.

Which is your reasonable service ( $\tau \eta v \lambda o \gamma \iota \kappa \eta v \nu \mu \omega v \lambda a \tau \rho \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ ). "Your rational (spiritual) service (worship)." For $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon \iota \alpha$, see on 9:4. $\Lambda о ү \iota \kappa \varsigma$ is from $\lambda о \gamma \circ \varsigma$, reason. The phrase means here "worship rendered by the reason (or soul)." Old word, in N.T. only here and 1Pe 2:2 то $\lambda$ о $\gamma$ 七коv $\gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha$ (not logical milk, but the milk nourishing the soul).

Rom 12:2
Be not fashioned ( $\mu \eta \sigma v v \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Present passive imperative with $\mu \eta$, stop being fashioned or do not have the habit of being fashioned. Late Greek verb $\sigma v \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, to conform to another's pattern (1Co 7:31; Php 2:7f. ). In N.T. only here and 1Pe 1:14.

According to this world ( $\tau \omega \alpha \iota \omega \nu \tau 0 v \tau \omega)$. Associative instrumental case. Do not take this age as your fashion plate.

Be ye transformed ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu о \rho \varphi о v \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Present passive imperative of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu о \rho \varphi о \omega$, another late verb, to transfigure as in Mt 17:2 (Mr 9:2); 2Co 3:18, which see. On the distinction between $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha$ and $\mu о \rho \varphi \eta$, see Php 2:7. There must be a radical change in the inner man for one to live rightly in this evil age, "by the renewing of your mind" ( $\tau \eta$ avaкaıv $\omega \sigma \varepsilon$ tov $v o o \varsigma)$. Instrumental case. The new birth, the new mind, the new (кaıvoc) man.

That ye may prove ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \delta о к ц \alpha \zeta \varepsilon ı v) . ~ I n f i n i t i v e ~ o f ~ p u r p o s e ~ w i t h ~ \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau o, ~ " t o ~ t e s t " ~ w h a t ~$ is God's will, "the good and acceptable and perfect" ( $\tau о \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta о \nu ~ \kappa \alpha ~ \varepsilon v a \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau о \nu ~ к \alpha ~ \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ı o v) . ~$

Rom 12:3
Not to think of himself more highly than he ought to think ( $\mu \eta v \pi \varepsilon \rho \varphi \rho о \nu \varepsilon \iota \tau \pi \rho^{\prime}$ o $\delta \varepsilon \varphi \rho o v \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Indirect negative command after $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ (I say). Play on the two infinitives $\varphi \rho o v \varepsilon \iota v$, to think, and $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \varphi \rho o v \varepsilon \iota \nu$ (old verb from $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \varphi \rho \omega \nu$, over-proud, here only in

N．T．）to＂over－think＂with $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$ o（beyond what）added．Then another play on $\varphi \rho o v \varepsilon ı v$ and $\sigma \omega \varphi \rho o v \varepsilon \iota v$（old verb from $\sigma \omega \varphi \rho \omega v$ ，sober－minded），to be in one＇s right mind（ $\mathrm{Mr} 5: 15$ ；2Co 5：13 ）．Self－conceit is here treated as a species of insanity．

A measure of faith（ $\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \circ v \pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$ ．Accusative case，the object of the verb $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \varepsilon v$ ． Each has his gift from God（ 1 Co $3: 5 ; 4: 7$ ）．There is no occasion for undue pride．

To each man（ $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \omega)$ ．Emphatic position before $\omega \varsigma$（as）and emphasizes the diversity． Rom 12：4
The same office（ $\tau \eta \vee \alpha \cup \tau \eta \nu \pi \rho \alpha \xi ı v$ ）．Mode of acting or function．Cf．Ac 19：18；Ro 8：13

Rom 12：5
And severally（ $\tau$ o $\left.\delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \varepsilon ı \varsigma\right)$ ．A difficult late idiom where the preposition $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$（ $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ ） is treated adverbially with no effect on the nominative case $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ like $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \gamma \omega$（2Co 11：23）．
 have $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \varepsilon v \alpha$ in 1Co 14：31．The use of the neuter article here to with $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \varepsilon ı \varsigma$ is probably the accusative of general reference，＂as to each one．＂

Rom 12：6
Differing（ $\delta ı \alpha \varphi \rho \rho \alpha$ ）．Old adjective from $\delta \iota \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$ ，to differ，to vary．So Heb 9：10．
According to the proportion of our faith（ $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta \nu \alpha v a \lambda о \gamma \iota \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$ ．The same use of mıotıc（faith）as in verse 3 ＂the measure of faith．＂Old word．ava $\lambda$ opıa（our word ＂analogy＂）from ava入oүoc（analogous，conformable，proportional）．Here alone in N．T．The verb $\pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon v \omega \mu \varepsilon v$（present active volitive subjunctive，let us prophesy）must be supplied with which eरovteऽ agrees．The context calls for the subjective meaning of＂faith＂rather than the objective and outward standard though mıotıc does occur in that sense（Ga 1：23； 3：23）．

Rom 12：7
Let us give ourselves．There is no verb in the Greek．We must supply $\delta \hat{A} \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ عavtous or some such phrase．

Or he that teacheth（ $\varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ o $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega v$ ）．Here the construction changes and no longer do we have the accusative case like $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa 0 v ı \alpha v$（general word for Christian service of all kinds including ministers and deacons）as the object of exovte¢，but the nominative articular participle．A new verb must be supplied of which o $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \omega v$ is the subject as with the suc－ ceeding participles through verse 8．Perhaps in each instance the verb is to be repeated from the participle like $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \omega$ here（let him teach）or a general term $\pi ⿱ ⺌ 兀 \varepsilon \iota \tau \omega$（let him do it） can be used for all of them as seems necessary before＂with liberality＂in verse 8 （ $\varepsilon v \alpha \pi \lambda o \tau \eta \tau$ ， in simplicity，for which word，see Mt 6：22；2Co 8：2；9：11，13）．

He that ruleth（o $\left.\pi \rho o เ \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon v_{0} \varsigma\right)$ ．＂The one standing in front＂for which see 1Th 5：12．
With diligence（ $\varepsilon v \sigma \pi \sigma \cup \delta \eta$ ）．＂In haste＂as if in earnest（Mr 6：25；2Co 7：11f．，8：8，16）， from $\sigma \pi \varepsilon v \delta \omega$ ，to hasten．Again verse 11.

With cheerfulness ( $\varepsilon v \iota \lambda \alpha \rho o \tau \eta \tau$ ). Late word, only here in N.T., from $\lambda \lambda \alpha \rho o \varsigma(2 C o$ 9:7 ) cheerful, hilarious.

Rom 12:9
Without hypocrisy ( $\alpha v v \pi о \kappa \rho ı \tau о \varsigma)$. Late double compound adjective for which see 2Co 6:6. Hypocritical or pretended love is no love at all as Paul describes $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta$ in 1Co 13.

Abhor ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \cup \gamma 0 v \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). Old verb with intensive ( $\alpha \pi 0$ ) dislike, only here in N.T. The present active participle is here employed in the sense of the present active indicative as sometimes happens with the independent participle (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 1132ff.). This same idiom appears with кo $\lambda \lambda \omega \mu \varepsilon v o$ (cleaving) for which verb see on 1 Co $6: 17$, with $\pi \rho o \eta \gamma o v \mu \varepsilon v_{o}$ (preferring) in verse 10 (old verb here only in N.T.), and with the participles in verses 11-13 and again in verses 16-18. One can supply $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ if he prefers.

Rom 12:10
In love of the brethren $(\tau \eta \varphi t \lambda \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi / \alpha)$. Late word for brotherly love for which see 1Th 4:9.

Tenderly affectioned ( $\varphi \lambda \lambda о \sigma \tau o \rho \gamma o$ ). Old compound adjective from $\varphi i \lambda o \varsigma$ and $\sigma \tau \sigma \rho \gamma \eta$ (mutual love of parents and children), here alone in N.T.

Rom 12:11
Slothful (oкvๆро). Old adjective from oкve $\omega$, to hesitate, to be slow. Slow and "poky" as in Mt 25:26 .

Rom 12:12
Patient in tribulation ( $\tau \eta \theta \lambda \iota \psi \varepsilon v \pi \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. So soon this virtue became a mark of the Christians.

Rom 12:13
 9:13. Paul had raised a great collection for the poor saints in Jerusalem.

Given to hospitality ( $\tau \eta \nu \varphi \iota \lambda o \xi \varepsilon v \iota \alpha \nu \delta \iota \omega \kappa o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. "Pursuing (as if in a chase or hunt) hospitality" ( $\varphi \iota \lambda \circ \xi \varepsilon \nu \iota \alpha$, old word from $\varphi \iota \lambda o \xi \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma$, fond of strangers, $\varphi \iota \lambda \circ \varsigma$ and $\xi \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma$ as in 1Ti 3:2 ). In N.T. only here and Heb 13:2. See 2Co 3:1. They were to pursue ( $\delta t \omega \kappa \omega$ ) hospitality as their enemies pursued ( $\delta \iota \omega \kappa 0 v \tau \alpha \varsigma)$ them.

Rom 12:14
And curse not ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Present middle imperative with $\mu \eta$. Like Mt 5:44 in spirit, not a quotation, but a reminiscence of the words of Jesus. The negative addition gives emphasis. See Lu 6:28 for the old verb кат $\alpha \rho \alpha о \mu \alpha$ from кат $\alpha \rho \alpha$ (curse).

## Rom 12:15

Rejoice ( $\chi \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Present active infinitive of $\chi \alpha \iota \rho \omega$, absolute or independent use of the infinitive as if a finite verb as occurs sometimes (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 1092ff.). Literally here, "Rejoicing with rejoicing people, weeping with weeping people."

Rom 12:16

Be of the same mind ( $\tau о \alpha v \tau о ~ \varphi \rho о v o v \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Absolute or independent use of the participle again as with all the participles through verse 18 , "thinking the same thing."

Set not your mind on high things ( $\mu \eta \tau \alpha \nu \psi \eta \lambda \alpha \varphi \rho \circ v o v \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. "Not thinking the high

 with (borne along with) the lowly things" (in contrast with $\tau \alpha v \psi \eta \lambda \alpha$, though the associative instrumental case may be masculine, "with lowly men." See Ga 2:13; 2Pe 3:17 for the only other N.T. examples of this old verb.

Be not wise ( $\mu \eta \gamma \iota \nu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \varphi \rho o v \iota \mu$ ). "Do not have the habit of becoming ( $\gamma \iota v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ) wise in your own conceits" ( $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o \iota$, beside yourselves). Note the imperative in the midst of infinitives and participles.

Rom 12:17
Render to no man ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \nu \alpha \pi o \delta i \delta o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. "Giving back to no man." Independent participle again.

Evil for evil (какоv $\alpha \nu \tau$ какои). Directly opposite to the law of retaliation of the Pharisees as in Mt 5:39; 1Th 5:15; 1Co 13:5f .

Take thought of ( $\pi \rho \frac{v o o v \mu \varepsilon v o) . ~ " T a k i n g ~ t h o u g h t ~ b e f o r e h a n d . " ~ O l d ~ w o r d . ~ S e e ~ 2 C o ~}{\text { Con }}$ 8:21.

Rom 12:18
As much as in you lieth ( $\tau 0 \varepsilon \xi v \mu \omega v$ ). Accusative of general reference, "so far as what proceeds from you" ("the from you part"). See то $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ in $1: 15$. This phrase explains "if it be possible" ( $\varepsilon \delta v v a \tau o v) . ~ " A l l ~ y o u r ~ p a r t ~ i s ~ t o ~ b e ~ p e a c e " ~(A l f o r d) . ~ F o r ~ " b e ~ a t ~ p e a c e " ~$ ( $\varepsilon \iota \rho \nu \varepsilon v o \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$ see 2Co 13:11.

Rom 12:19
Avenge not ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \kappa \delta \iota \kappa 0 \nu \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). Independent participle again of late verb $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \iota \kappa \varepsilon \omega$ from $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \iota \kappa$ оऽ, exacting justice ( 13:4). See already Lu 18:5; 2Co 10:6 .

But give place unto wrath ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \delta о \tau \varepsilon \tau о \pi о \nu \tau \eta о \rho \gamma \eta$ ). Second aorist active imperative of $\delta t \delta \omega \mu$, to give. "Give room for the (note article as in 5:9; 1Th 2:16 ) wrath" of God instead
 (the Hebrew rather than the LXX). So have Heb 10:30 and the Targum of Onkelos, but the relation between them and Paul we cannot tell. Socrates and Epictetus condemned personal vindictiveness as Paul does here.

I will recompense $(\alpha \nu \tau \alpha \pi \sigma \delta \omega \sigma \omega)$. Future active of the double compound verb quoted also in 11:35.

Rom 12:20
Feed him ( $\psi \omega \mu \zeta \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Quotation from LXX text of Pr 25:21f. Present active imperative of verb from $\psi \omega \mu \circ$, a morsel, and so to feed crumbs to babies, then to feed in general. In N.T. only here and 1Co 13:3.

Thou shalt heap ( $\sigma \omega \rho \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ). Future active of old verb $\sigma \omega \rho \varepsilon v \omega$ from $\sigma \omega \rho \circ \varsigma$, a heap. In N.T. only here and 2Ti 3:6.

Coals of fire ( $\alpha v \theta \rho \alpha к \alpha \varsigma \pi v \rho o \varsigma)$. That is, burning or live coals.
Anthrax (our "anthracite") is an old word, only here in N.T. It is a metaphor for keen anguish. The Arabs have a proverb "coals in the heart," "fire in the liver." Such kindness may lead to repentance also.

Rom 12:21
Be not overcome of evil ( $\mu \eta$ vıк $\omega$ v $\tau о$ тоv какоv). Present passive imperative of $v ı \kappa \alpha \omega$, to conquer. "Stop being conquered by the evil (thing or man),"

But overcome evil with good ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ vıка $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \omega$ то какоv). "But keep on conquering the evil in the good." Drown the evil in the good. Seneca: Vincit malos pertinax bonitas.

## Romans 13

Rom 13:1
Every soul ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \psi v \chi \eta$ ). As in 2:9; Ac 2:43. A Hebraism for $\pi \alpha \varsigma \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi o \varsigma$ (every man).
To the higher powers ( $\varepsilon \xi$ ovбıaıৎ $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \chi о v \sigma \alpha ı \varsigma)$. Abstract for concrete. See Mr 2:10 for $\varepsilon \xi$ оvбıa. Hи $\frac{1}{} \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$ is an old verb to have or hold over, to be above or supreme, as in 1 Pe 2:13.

Except by God ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta v \pi \sigma \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). So the best MSS. rather than $\alpha \pi \sigma \theta \varepsilon o v$ (from God). God is the author of order, not anarchy.

The powers that be ( $\alpha 0 \cup \sigma \alpha$ ). "The existing authorities" (supply $\varepsilon \xi$ ovoı $\alpha$ ). Art ordained ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \varepsilon \iota \sigma \iota v)$. Periphrastic perfect passive indicative of $\tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, "stand ordained by God." Paul is not arguing for the divine right of kings or for any special form of government, but for government and order. Nor does he oppose here revolution for a change of government, but he does oppose all lawlessness and disorder.

Rom 13:2
He that resisteth (o $\alpha \nu \tau \iota \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma o \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Present middle articular participle of $\alpha \nu \tau \iota \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, old verb to range in battle against as in Ac 18:6, "he that lines himself up against."

Withstandeth ( $\alpha v \theta \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\alpha \nu \theta \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ and intransitive, "has taken his stand against."

The ordinance of God ( $\tau \eta \tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \operatorname{cov} \delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \eta$ ). Late word, but common in papyri (Deissmann, Light, etc., p. 89), in N.T. only here and Ac 7:53. Note repetition of root of $\tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$.

To themselves ( $\varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau о \iota \varsigma)$. Dative of disadvantage. See Mr 12:40 for "shall receive a judgment" ( $\kappa \rho \imath v \alpha \lambda \eta \mu \psi o v \tau \alpha)$. Future middle of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$.

Rom 13:3
A terror ( $\varphi$ о $\beta \circ \varsigma$ ). This meaning in Isa 8:13. Paul does not approve all that rulers do, but he is speaking generally of the ideal before rulers. Nero was Emperor at this time.

From the same $(\varepsilon \xi \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma)$. "From it" ( $\varepsilon \xi$ ovoıa, personified in verse 4$)$.
Rom 13:4
 was God's minister "to thee ( $\sigma$ o ethical dative) for good ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta o v$, for the good)." That is the ideal, the goal.

Beareth ( $\varphi \circ \rho \varepsilon$ ). Present active indicative of $\varphi \rho \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old frequentative form of $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, to bear, to wear.

But if thou do ( $\varepsilon \alpha \vee \delta \varepsilon \pi$ oıףıऽ). Condition of third class, $\varepsilon \alpha \vee$ and present active subjunctive of $\pi \sigma เ \varepsilon \omega$, "if thou continue to do."

Sword ( $\mu \alpha \chi \alpha \iota \rho \alpha v$ ). Symbol of authority as to-day policemen carry clubs or pistols. "The Emperor Trajan presented to a provincial governor on starting for his province, a dagger, with the words, 'For me. If I deserve it, in me'" (Vincent).

An avenger ( $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \iota \kappa о \varsigma)$. Old adjective from $\varepsilon \kappa$ and $\delta \iota \kappa \eta$ (right), "outside of penalty," unjust, then in later Greek "exacting penalty from one," in N.T. only here and 1Th 4:6.

Rom 13:5
Ye must needs ( $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta$ ). "There is necessity," both because of the law and because of conscience, because it is right (2:15; 9:1).

Rom 13:6
Ye pay ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present active indicative (not imperative) of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to fulfil.
Tribute ( $\varphi \circ \rho \circ \vee \varsigma)$. Old word from $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, to bring, especially the annual tax on lands, etc. (Lu 20:22; 23:1). Paying taxes recognizes authority over us.

Ministers of God's service ( $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau o v \rho \gamma o \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Late word for public servant (unused $\lambda \varepsilon ı \tau o \varsigma$ from Attic $\lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$, people, and $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega$, to work). Often used of military servants, servants of the king, and temple servants (Heb 8:2 ). Paul uses it also of himself as Christ's $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau o v \rho \gamma o$, (Ro 15:16) and of Epaphroditus as a minister to him (Php 2:25). See $\theta \varepsilon$ ои $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa о v o \varsigma$ in verse 4.

Attending continually ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \rho \tau \varepsilon \rho о \cup v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active participle of the late verb $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \rho \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \omega$ ( $\pi \rho о \varsigma$ and ка $\rho \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \omega$ from ка $\rho \tau о \varsigma$ or кратоৎ, strength) to persevere. See on Ac 2:42; 8:13.

Rom 13:7
Dues (oчعı $\lambda \alpha \varsigma$ ). Debts, from o $о \varepsilon \iota \lambda \omega$, to owe. Often so in the papyri, though not in Greek authors. In N.T. only here, Mt 18:32; 1Co 7:3. Paying debts needs emphasis today, even for ministers.

To whom tribute is due ( $\tau \omega$ tov $\varphi o \rho o v$ ). We must supply a participle with the article $\tau \omega$ like a $\alpha \alpha \iota \tau 0 v \nu \tau$ ("to the one asking tribute"). So with the other words (to whom custom, $\tau \omega \tau$ то $\tau \varepsilon \lambda о \varsigma \alpha \pi \alpha \iota \tau o v \nu \tau$; to whom fear, $\tau \omega \tau \sigma \nu \varphi o \beta o \nu \alpha \pi \alpha \iota \tau o v \nu \tau$; to whom honour, $\tau \omega \tau \eta \nu$ $\tau \mu \eta \nu$ a $\alpha a \iota \tau 0 v \nu \tau$ ). Форос is the tribute paid to a subject nation (Lu 20:22), while $\tau \varepsilon \lambda о \varsigma$ is tax for support of civil government (Mt 17:25).

## Rom 13:8

Save to love one another ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta \tau 0 \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o v \varsigma \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha ı)$. "Except the loving one another." This articular infinitive is in the accusative case the object of $0 \varphi \varepsilon \iota \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ and partitive apposition with $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v$ (nothing). This debt can never be paid off, but we should keep the interest paid up.

His neighbour ( $\tau 0 v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ). "The other man," "the second man." "Just as in the relations of man and God $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota c$ has been substituted for $v o \mu \circ \varsigma$, so between man and man $\alpha \gamma a \pi \eta$ takes the place of definite legal relations" (Sanday and Headlam). See Mt 22:37-40 for the words of Jesus on this subject. Love is the only solution of our social relations and national problems.

Rom 13:9

For this ( $\tau 0 \gamma \alpha \rho$ ). For the article ( $\tau 0$ ) pointing to a sentence see $8: 26$, here to the quotation. The order of the commandments here is like that in $\mathrm{Lu} 18: 20$; Jas 2:11 and in B for De 5, but different from that of the Hebrew in Ex 20; De 5. The use of ov with the volitive future in prohibitions in place of $\mu \eta$ and the imperative or subjunctive is a regular Greek idiom.

And if there be any other ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). Paul does not attempt to give them all.
It is summed up ( $\alpha v \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \alpha เ \nu \tau \tau \alpha)$. Present passive indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma$, late literary word or "rhetorical term" ( $\alpha v \alpha, \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota v$, head or chief as in Heb 8:1 ). Not in the papyri, but кєழа入aıov, quite common for sum or summary. In N.T. only here and Eph 1:10

Namely ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega$ ). See $\tau 0 \gamma \alpha \rho$ at the beginning of the verse, though omitted by B F. The quotation is from Le 19:18. Quoted in Mt 5:43; 22:39; Mr 12:31; Lu 10:27; Ga 5:14; Jas 2:8 it is called $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa о \varsigma$ vо $\mu \circ \varsigma$ (royal law).

Thy neighbour ( $\tau 0 \nu \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota v \sigma o v$ ). П $\lambda \eta \sigma \iota v$ is an adverb and with the article it means "the one near thee." See on Mt 5:43.

Rom 13:10
The fulfilment of the law ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ vo $\mu o v$ ). "The filling up or complement of the law" like $\pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \kappa \varepsilon \nu$ (perfect active indicative of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$, stands filled up) in verse 8 . See 1 Co 13 for the fuller exposition of this verse.

Rom 13:11
And this (ка тоvто). Either nominative absolute or accusative of general reference, a common idiom for "and that too" (1Co 6:6,8, etc.).

Knowing ( $\varepsilon$ ( $\delta o \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Second perfect active participle, nominative plural without a principal verb. Either we must supply a verb like $\pi о \imath \eta \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ (let us do it) or $\pi о \imath \eta \sigma \alpha \varepsilon$ (do ye do it) or treat it as an independent participle as in 12:10f.

The season ( $\tau 0 \vee \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \circ v$ ). The critical period, not $\chi \rho \circ \vee \circ$ (time in general).
High time ( $\omega \rho \alpha$ ). Like our the "hour" has come, etc. MSS. vary between $\eta \mu a \varsigma$ (us) and $v \mu a \varsigma$ (you), accusative of general reference with $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \rho \theta \eta \nu \alpha$ (first aorist passive infinitive of $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$, to awake, to wake up), "to be waked up out of sleep" ( $\varepsilon \xi v \pi v o v)$.

Nearer to us ( $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma v \tau \varepsilon \rho o v \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Probably so, though $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ can be taken equally well with $\eta \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha$ (our salvation is nearer). Final salvation, Paul means, whether it comes by the second coming of Christ as they all hoped or by death. It is true of us all.

Rom 13:12
Is far spent ( $\pi \rho о \varepsilon \kappa о \psi \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\pi \rho \circ \kappa о \pi \tau \omega$, to cut forward, to advance, old word for making progress. See Lu 2:52; Ga 1:14; 2Ti 2:16; 3:9.

Is at hand ( $\eta \gamma \gamma(\kappa \varepsilon \nu)$. Perfect active indicative, "has drawn nigh." Vivid picture for daybreak.

Let us therefore cast off ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \theta \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ovv). Aorist middle subjunctive (volitive) of $\alpha \pi о \tau 1 \theta \eta \mu$, to put off from oneself "the works of darkness" ( $\tau \alpha \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$ тоv бкотоия) as we do our night-clothes.

Let us put on ( $\varepsilon v \delta v \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha)$. Aorist middle subjunctive (volitive) of $\varepsilon v \delta \nu \omega$, to put on. For this same contrast between putting off ( $\alpha \pi \circ \tau \imath \eta \eta \mu$ and $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \delta \nu \omega)$ and putting on ( $\varepsilon v \delta v \omega)$ see Col 3:8-12 .

The armour of light ( $\tau \alpha$ о $\pi \lambda \alpha$ tov $\varphi \circ \tau \circ \varsigma$ ). The weapons of light, that belong to the light (to the day time). For the metaphor of the Christian armour see 1Th 5:8; 2Co 6:7; Ro 6:13; Eph 6:13f. .

## Rom 13:13

Honestly ( $\varepsilon v \sigma \chi \eta \mu \circ v \omega \varsigma)$. Paul is fond of the metaphor "walk" ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega), 33$ times though not in the Pastoral Epistles. This old adverb (from $\varepsilon v \sigma \chi \eta \mu \omega \nu$, graceful) occurs also in 1Th 4:12; 1Co 14:40. The English word "honest" means honourable (Latin honor) and so decent. Wycliff translates 1Co 12:32 by "unhonest," "honesty," "honest" for "less honourable, honour, honourable."

Not in revelling ( $\mu \eta \kappa \omega \mu \circ \iota$ ). Plural "revellings." See on Ga 5:21.
Drunkenness ( $\mu$ ع $\begin{aligned} & \text { aıı). Plural again, "drunkennesses." See on Ga 5:21 . }\end{aligned}$
In chambering (коıтаıц). Plural also. See on Ro 9:10 .
Wantonness ( $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \gamma \varepsilon \iota \alpha \iota)$ ). Plural likewise. See on 2Co 12:21; Ga 5:19.
Not in strife and jealousy ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \rho \delta \delta \alpha \zeta \eta \lambda \omega$ ). Singular here, but some MSS. have the plural like the previous words. Quarrelling and jealousy go with the other vices (Shedd).

Rom 13:14
But ye on ( $\varepsilon v \delta v \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon)$. The same metaphor as in verse 12. The Lord Jesus Christ is the garment that we all need. See Ga 3:27 with baptism as the symbol.

Provision ( $\pi \rho 0$ volav). Old word for forethought (from $\pi \rho o v o o c)$. In N.T. only here and Ac 24:2 .

For the flesh ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa о \varsigma)$. Objective genitive.
To fulfil the lusts thereof ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \iota \theta v \mu \iota \varsigma)$ ) "For lusts." No verb.

## Romans 14

Rom 14:1
Him that is weak ( $\tau 0 v \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v o u v \tau \alpha)$. See on 1 Co 8:7-12; 9:22; Ro 4:19.
Receive ye ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Present middle imperative (indirect), "take to yourselves."
Yet not to doubtful disputations ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \delta \iota \alpha \kappa \rho \iota \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \delta \iota \alpha \lambda о \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \omega \nu$ ). "Not for decisions of opinions." Note $\delta \iota a$ (between, two or $\delta v o$ ) in both words. Discriminations between doubts or hesitations. For $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa \rho \iota \sigma ı \varsigma$, see 1 Co 12:10; Heb 5:14 (only N.T. examples). For $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu o$, see Lu 2:35; $24: 38 ;$ Php 2:14. The "strong" brother is not called upon to settle all the scruples of the "weak" brother. But each takes it on himself to do it.

Rom 14:2
One man (os $\mu \varepsilon v$ ). "This one," demonstrative pronoun oc with $\mu \varepsilon \nu$.
Hath faith ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ ). Like $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \nu($ Ac 14:9).
But he that is weak (o $\delta \varepsilon \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \omega v$ ). One would expect oc $\delta \varepsilon$ (but that one) in contrast with os $\mu \varepsilon v$. Ho is demonstrative with $\delta \varepsilon$ sometimes, but here is probably just the article with $\alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu$.

Herbs ( $\lambda \alpha \chi \alpha v \alpha$ ). From $\lambda \alpha \chi \alpha v \omega$, to dig. Hence garden herbs or vegetables. Denney feels certain that Paul has in mind a party of vegetarians in Rome.

## Rom 14:3

Set at nought $(\varepsilon \xi \circ \cup \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon I \tau \omega)$. Present active imperative of $\varepsilon \xi$ ou $\theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \omega$, to treat as nothing and so with contempt (Lu 23:11; 1Th 5:20).

Judge ( $\kappa \rho \iota \varepsilon \tau \tau)$. Present active imperative of $\kappa \rho \iota \nu \omega$, criticize. One side (the meat-eaters) despises the vegetarians, while the vegetarians criticize the meat-eaters.

Received him ( $\alpha v \tau o v \pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \tau 0$ ). Aorist middle (indirect) of $\pi \rho o \sigma \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$, same verb used in verse 1 . God took both sides into his fellowship without requiring that they be vegetarians or meat-eaters.

Rom 14:4
Who art thou? ( $\sigma v \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon$ ع). Proleptic position of $\sigma v$, "thou who art thou?"
The servant of another ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ о $\tau \rho ⿺ v$ оькє $\tau \nu$ ). Not another ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \rho \nu$ ) servant (household
 10:15f.

Shall be made to stand $(\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Future passive of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$. In spite of your sharp criticisms of one another.

Hath power ( $\delta v v a \tau \varepsilon$ ). Verb found only in Paul (2Co 9:8; 13:3; Ro 14:4), from verbal adjective $\delta v v a \tau o s$.

Rom 14:5
One man ( $o \varsigma \mu \varepsilon v$ ),
another ( $o \varsigma \delta \varepsilon$ ). Regular idiom of contrasted demonstratives (this one, that one).

One day above another ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \rho^{\prime} \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha v$ ). "Day beyond day." For this use of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ (beside) in comparison see 1:25; Lu 13:2 .

Be fully assured ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \varphi о \rho \varepsilon ו \sigma \theta \omega$ ). Present passive imperative of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \varphi о \rho \varepsilon \omega$, late compound verb for which see on Lu 1:1; Ro 4:21 .

In his own mind ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \iota \delta \iota \omega$ vo). Intelligent and honest decision according to the light possessed by each.

Rom 14:6
Regardeth ( $\varphi \rho \circ \vee \varepsilon$ ). "Thinks of," "esteems," "observes," "puts his mind on" (from $\varphi \rho \eta \nu$, mind). The Textus Receptus has also "he that regardeth not," but it is not genuine.

Unto the Lord ( $\kappa \nu \rho \iota \omega)$. Dative case. So as to $\tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ (unto God). He eats unto the Lord, he eats not unto the Lord. Paul's principle of freedom in non-essentials is most important. The Jewish Christians still observed the Seventh day (the Sabbath). The Gentile Christians were observing the first day of the week in honour of Christ's Resurrection on that day. Paul pleads for liberty.

Rom 14:7
To himself $(\varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega)$. Dative of advantage again. But to the Lord as he shows in verse 8 . Life and death focus in the Lord.

Rom 14:8
Whether--or ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon--\varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon$ ). "Both if--and if" (condition of third class with present subjunctive ( $\zeta \omega \mu \varepsilon v--\alpha \pi \circ \theta v \eta \sigma \kappa \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Both living and dying are "to the Lord." Paul repeats the idiom ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon-\varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon$ ) with the conclusion "we are the Lord's ( $\tau 0 v \kappa v \rho \circ v \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Predicate genitive, "we belong to the Lord."

Rom 14:9
And lived again ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \zeta \eta \sigma \varepsilon v)$ ) First ingressive aorist active indicative of $\zeta \alpha \omega$, "he came to life."

Might be lord of (кטрเعvбє). Ingressive aorist active subjunctive of $\kappa v \rho เ \varepsilon \cup \omega$, "become Lord of." Purpose clause with iva (that). Old verb from кvpıoc, lord. See Lu 22:25; Ro 6:9.

Rom 14:10
But thou, why dost thou judge? ( $\sigma v \delta \varepsilon \tau \sigma v \kappa \rho ı v \varepsilon ı \sigma$ ?). Referring to the conduct of the "weak" brother in verse 3.

Or thou again ( $\eta \kappa \alpha \sigma v$ ). Referring to the "strong" brother.
Shall stand before ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \sigma \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Future middle of $\pi \alpha \rho ı \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ and intransitive, to stand beside ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ) with the locative case ( $\tau \omega \beta \varepsilon \mu \alpha \tau$, the judgment seat) as in Ac 27:24. See the same figure of God in 2Co 5:10 .

Rom 14:11
As I live ( $\zeta \omega \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ). "I live." The LXX here (Isa 45:23 ) has кат' $\varepsilon \mu \alpha v \tau o v ~ o \mu \nu v v \omega$, "I swear by myself."

Shall confess to God ( $\varepsilon \xi_{0} \mu \circ \lambda о \gamma \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). Future middle of $\varepsilon \xi_{0 \mu} \mu \lambda о \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, to confess openly $(\varepsilon \xi)$ with the accusative as in Mt 3:6. With the dative as here the idea is to give praise to, to give gratitude to (Mt 11:25).

Rom 14:12
Shall give account ( $\lambda o \gamma o v \delta \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ). So Aleph A C rather than $\alpha \pi o \delta \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ of Textus Receptus. Common use of $\lambda$ opoc for account (bookkeeping, ledger) as in Lu 16:2 .

Rom 14:13
Let us not therefore judge one another any more ( $\mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau$ ovv $\alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o v \varsigma \kappa \rho \iota v \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ). Present active subjunctive (volitive). "Let us no longer have the habit of criticizing one another." A wonderfully fine text for modern Christians and in harmony with what the Master said (Mt 7:1).

That no man put a stumbling block in his brother's way or an occasion of falling ( $\tau 0 \mu \eta \tau \imath \theta \varepsilon v \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa о \mu \mu \alpha \tau \omega \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \omega \eta \sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda o v$ ). Articular present active infinitive of $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$ in apposition with $\tau 0 v \tau 0$, accusative case after крıvate: "Judge this rather, the not putting a stumbling block (see 9:32 for $\pi \rho о \sigma к о \mu \mu \alpha$ ) or a trap ( $\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda o v, 9: 33$ ) for his brother" ( $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \omega$, dative of disadvantage).

## Rom 14:14

I know and am persuaded in the Lord Jesus (oı $\alpha$ ка $\pi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon เ \sigma \mu \alpha \varepsilon v \kappa \nu \rho \iota \omega \operatorname{I\eta \sigma ov).~He~}$ knows it and stands persuaded (perfect passive indicative of $\pi \varepsilon \theta \omega \omega$, to persuade), but in the sphere of the Lord Jesus (cf. 9:1), not by mere rational processes.

Unclean of itself (kaıvov $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ عautou). So Paul takes his stand with the "strong" as in 1Co 8:4f., but he is not a libertine. Paul's liberty as to food is regulated by his life in the Lord. For this use of kovo¢, not as common to all (Ac 2:44; 4:32), but unhallowed, impure, see on Mr 7:2,5; Ac 10:14,28. God made all things for their own uses.

Save that $(\varepsilon \mu \eta)$. The exception lies not in the nature of the food ( $\delta \mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ عavtov), but in the man's view of it (to him, $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v \omega$, dative case).

Rom 14:15
Because of meat ( $\delta \iota \alpha \beta \rho \omega \mu \alpha)$. "Because of food."
In love ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta v)$. "According to love" as the regulating principle of life. See 1Co 8 where Paul pleads for love in place of knowledge on this point.

Destroy not ( $\mu \eta \alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \varepsilon$ ). Present active imperative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \omega$, the very argument made in 1Co 8:10f.

With thy meat ( $\tau \omega \beta \rho \omega \mu \alpha \tau \sigma o v$ ). Instrumental case, "with thy food." It is too great a price to pay for personal liberty as to food.

Rom 14:16
Your good ( $v \mu \omega \nu \tau$ тo $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ ov). "The good thing of you" = the liberty or Christian freedom which you claim.

Be evil spoken of $(\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \omega)$. Present passive imperative of $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon \omega$ for which see Mt 9:3; Ro 3:8 .

## Rom 14:17

The kingdom of God ( $\eta \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \alpha$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Not the future kingdom of eschatology, but the present spiritual kingdom, the reign of God in the heart, of which Jesus spoke so often. See 1Co 4:21. Paul scores heavily here, for it is not found in externals like food and drink, but in spiritual qualities and graces.

Rom 14:18
Herein ( $\varepsilon \vee \tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \tau \omega)$. "On the principle implied by these virtues" (Sanday and Headlam).
Approved of men ( $\delta$ окцос тогя $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi о \iota \varsigma)$. "Acceptable to men." Stands the test for men. See 1Co 11:19; 2Co 10:18; 2Ti 2:15.

Rom 14:19
So then ( $\alpha \rho \alpha o u v$ ). Two inferential particles, "accordingly therefore."
Let us follow after ( $\delta \omega \omega \kappa \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Present active subjunctive (volitive). "Let us pursue." Some MSS. have present indicative, "we pursue."

The things which make for peace ( $\tau \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \eta \varsigma)$ ) "The things of peace," literally, genitive case. So "the things of edification for one another" ( $\tau \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma$ оккоסо $\mu \eta \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma$ عוऽ $\alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o u c)$.

Rom 14:20
Overthrow not ( $\mu \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \nu \varepsilon$ ). "Destroy not," "do not loosen down" (carrying on the metaphor in oккобо $\mu \eta$, building).

The work of God ( $\tau \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ \sim$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). The brother for whom Christ died, verse 15. Perhaps with a side-glance at Esau and his mess of pottage.

But it is evil ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ какоv). Paul changes from the plural коьva to the singular какоv.
With offence ( $\delta \iota \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa о \mu \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma)$. "With a stumbling-block" as in verse 13. This use of $\delta \iota \alpha$ (accompaniment) is common. So then it is addressed to the "strong" brother not to cause a stumbling-block by the way he eats and exercises his freedom.

Rom 14:21
Not to eat ( $\tau 0 \mu \eta \varphi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \downarrow$ ). "The not eating." Articular infinitive (second aorist active of $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega \omega)$ and subject of ка入ov $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ (copula, understood).

Flesh (крғац). Old word, in N.T. only here and 1Co 8:13.
To drink ( $\pi \varepsilon เ v$ ). Shortened form for $\pi \iota \varepsilon \iota v$ (second aorist active infinitive of $\pi \iota v \omega$ ).
Whereby ( $\varepsilon v \omega$ ). "On which thy brother stumbleth" ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa о \pi \tau \varepsilon$ ).
Rom 14:22
Have thou to thyself before God ( $\sigma 0--\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau o v \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \varepsilon v \omega \pi \iota o v$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Very emphatic position of $\sigma v$ at the beginning of the sentence, "Thou there." The old MSS. put $\eta \nu$ (relative "which") after $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota v$ and before $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$. This principle applies to both the "strong"
and the "weak." He is within his rights to act "according to thyself," but it must be "before God" and with due regard to the rights of the other brethren.

In that which he approveth ( $\varepsilon v$ о $\delta о \kappa \mu \alpha \zeta \varepsilon)$. This beatitude cuts both ways. After testing and then approving $(1: 28 ; 2: 18)$ one takes his stand which very act may condemn himself by what he says or does. "It is a rare felicity to have a conscience untroubled by scruples" (Denney).

Rom 14:23
He that doubteth (o $\delta \iota \kappa \kappa \iota v o \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Present middle participle of $\delta ı \alpha \kappa \rho ı v \omega$, to judge between $(\delta ı \alpha)$, to hesitate. See Jas 1:6f. for this same picture of the double-minded man. Cf. Ro 4:20; Mr 11:23.

Is condemned (катакєкрıта). Perfect passive indicative of катакрıvш (note ката-), "stands condemned."

If he eat ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \varphi \alpha \gamma \eta$ ). Third class condition, $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and second aorist active subjunctive. If in spite of his doubt, he eat.

Whatsoever is not of faith is $\sin$ ( $\pi \alpha v$ o оик $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha ~ \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ).
Faith ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma)$ here is subjective, one's strong conviction in the light of his relation to Christ and his enlightened conscience. To go against this combination is sin beyond a doubt. Some MSS. (A L etc.) put the doxology here which most place in 16:25-27. But they all give chapters 15 and 16. Some have supposed that the Epistle originally ended here, but that is pure speculation. Some even suggest two editions of the Epistle. But chapter 15 goes right on with the topic discussed in chapter 14.

## Romans 15

Rom 15:1
We the strong ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ о $\delta u v a \tau o$ ). Paul identifies himself with this wing in the controversy. He means the morally strong as in 2Co 12:10; 13:9, not the mighty as in 1Co 1:26.

The infirmities ( $\tau \alpha \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon \nu \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha)$. "The weaknesses" (cf. $\alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon \nu \omega v$ in 14:1,2 ), the scruples "of the not strong" ( $\tau \omega \nu \alpha \delta v \nu \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). See Ac 14:8 where it is used of the man weak in his feet (impotent).

To bear ( $\beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \imath v)$. As in Ga 6:2, common in the figurative sense.
Not to please ourselves ( $\mu \eta$ عavтоוऽ $\alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \varepsilon เ v$ ). Precisely Paul's picture of his own conduct in 1Co 10:33.

Rom 15:2
For that which is good ( $\varepsilon เ \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta o v$ ). "For the good." As in $14: 16,19$. Not to please men just for popular favours, but for their benefit.

Rom 15:3
Pleased not himself ( $o v \chi \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega \eta \rho \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ ). Aorist active indicative of $\alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \omega$ with the usual dative. The supreme example for Christians. See 14:15. He quotes Ps 69:9 (Messianic Psalm) and represents the Messiah as bearing the reproaches of others.

Rom 15:4
Were written aforetime ( $\pi \rho o \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta$ ). Second aorist passive indicative of $\pi \rho \sigma \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$, old verb, in N.T. only here, Ga 3:1 (which see); Eph 3:3; Jude 1:4.

For our learning ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha v \delta \iota \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \iota \alpha v$ ). "For the instruction of us." Objective sense of possessive pronoun $\eta \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$. See Mt 15:9; $2 \mathrm{Ti} 3: 16$ for $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \iota \alpha \nu$ (from $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega$, to teach).

We might have hope ( $\tau \eta v \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta \alpha \varepsilon \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Present active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ with $v \alpha \alpha$ in final clause, "that we might keep on having hope." One of the blessed uses of the Scriptures.

Rom 15:5
The God of patience and comfort ( $о \varepsilon \kappa \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma v \pi о \mu о \nu \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Genitive case of the two words in verse 4 used to describe God who uses the Scriptures to reveal himself to us. See 2Co 1:3 for this idea; Ro 15:13 for "the God of hope"; 15:33 for "the God of peace."

Grant you ( $\delta \omega ı \eta \nu \mu v$ ). Second aorist active optative (Koine form for older $\delta o t \eta$ ) as in 2Th 3:16; Eph 1:17; 2Ti 1:16,18; 2:25, though MSS. vary in Eph 1:17; 2Ti 2:25 for $\delta \omega \eta$ (subjunctive). The optative here is for a wish for the future (regular idiom).

According to Christ Jesus ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o v$ I $\eta \sigma o v v$ ). "According to the character or example of Christ Jesus" (2Co 11:17; Col 2:8; Eph 5:24 ).

Rom 15:6

With one accord ( $\mathrm{o} \mu \mathrm{o} \theta \mathrm{v} \mu \mathrm{\alpha} \delta \mathrm{ov}$ ). Here alone in Paul, but eleven times in Acts (Ac 1:14 , etc.).

With one mouth ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon v \sigma \tau o \mu a \tau$ ). Vivid outward expression of the unity of feeling.
May glorify ( $\delta o \xi \alpha \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present active subjunctive of $\delta o \xi \alpha \zeta \omega$, final clause with va "that ye may keep on glorifying." For "the God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ" see 2Co 1:3; 9:31 for discussion. It occurs also in Eph 1:3; 1Pe 1:3.

Rom 15:7
Receive ye ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ as in 14:1),
received ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \tau 0$, here of Christ as in 14:3 of God). The repetition here is addressed to both the strong and the weak and the "us" ( $\eta \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ) includes all.

Rom 15:8
A minister of the circumcision ( $\delta$ ıакоvov $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau о \mu \eta \zeta$ ). Objective genitive, "a minister to the circumcision." $\Delta$ takovov is predicate accusative with $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \sigma \theta \alpha$ (perfect passive infinitive of $\gamma \iota v o \mu \alpha$ in indirect assertion after $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, I say) and in apposition with Xpıбтov, accusative of general reference with the infinitive. See $\mathrm{Ga} 4: 4 \mathrm{f}$.

That he might confirm ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \iota \omega \sigma \alpha)$. Purpose clause with $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ t o ~ a n d ~ t h e ~ i n f i n i t i v e ~$ $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \omega \omega \sigma \alpha$ (first aorist active of $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \iota \omega$, to make stand).

The promises given unto the fathers ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \alpha \varsigma \tau \omega v \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega v$ ). No "given" in the Greek, just the objective genitive, "the promises to the fathers." See 9:4,5 .

Rom 15:9
And that the Gentiles might praise ( $\tau \alpha \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \theta \nu \eta \delta o \xi \alpha \sigma \alpha$ ). Coordinate with $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \omega \omega \sigma \alpha$ and $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \tau 0$, to be repeated with $\tau \alpha \varepsilon \theta v \eta$, the accusative of general reference and $\tau 0 v \theta \varepsilon o v$ the object of $\delta o \xi \alpha \sigma \alpha$. Thus the Gentiles were called through the promise to the Jews in the covenant with Abraham (4:11f.,16f. ). Salvation is of the Jews. Paul proves his position by a chain of quotations from the O.T., the one in verse 9 from Ps 18:50. For $\varepsilon \xi \circ \mu о \lambda o \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, see 14:10.

I will sing $(\psi \alpha \lambda \omega)$. Future active of $\psi \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, for which verb see on 1Co 14:15.
Rom 15:10
Rejoice, ye Gentiles ( $\varepsilon v \varphi \rho \alpha v \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist passive imperative of $\varepsilon \cup \varphi \rho \alpha \iota v \omega$, old word from $\varepsilon v$, well and $\varphi \rho \eta \nu$, mind. See Lu 15:32. Quotation from De 32:43 (LXX).

Rom 15:11
All the Gentiles ( $\pi \alpha \vee \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \varepsilon \theta v \eta$ ). From Ps 117:1 with slight variations from the LXX text.

Rom 15:12
The root ( $\eta \rho \iota \zeta(\alpha)$. Rather here, as in $\operatorname{Re} 5: 5 ; 23: 16$, the sprout from the root. From Isa 11:10.

On him shall the Gentiles hope ( $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \omega \varepsilon \theta \nu \eta \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota o v \sigma \iota v$ ). Attic future of $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \omega$ for the usual $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \sigma o v \sigma \iota v$.

Rom 15:13
The God of hope (o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta o \varsigma)$. Taking up the idea in verse 12 as in verse 5 from 4.

Fill you ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). Optative (first aorist active of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \omega$ ) of wish for the future. Cf. $\delta \omega i \eta$ in verse 5 .

In believing ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \nu)$. "In the believing" ( $\varepsilon \nu$ with locative of the articular infinitive, the idiom so common in Luke's Gospel).

That ye may abound ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \pi \varepsilon \rho ı \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon ı \nu ~ v \mu a \varsigma) . ~ P u r p o s e ~ c l a u s e ~ w i t h ~ \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau o, ~ a s ~ i n ~ v e r s e ~$ 8 , with $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \nu$ (present active infinitive of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v \omega$, with accusative of general reference, $v \mu a \varsigma$ ). This verse gathers up the points in the preceding quotations.

Rom 15:14
I myself also ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \cup \tau о \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ). See 7:25 for a like emphasis on himself, here in contrast with "ye yourselves" ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \cup \tau 0)$. The argument of the Epistle has been completed both in the main line (chapters 1-8) and the further applications ( 9:1-15:13). Here begins the Epilogue, the personal matters of importance.

Full of goodness ( $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \tau \sigma \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta o \sigma v \nu \eta \varsigma)$. See 2Th 1:11; Ga 5:22 for this LXX and Pauline word (in ecclesiastical writers also) made from the adjective $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ os, good, by adding - $\sigma v \nu \eta$ (common ending for words like $\delta \iota \kappa \iota \iota \sigma u v \eta$. See 1:29 for $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \tau o \varsigma$ with genitive and $\pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$ (perfect passive participle of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$ as here), but there with instrumental case after it instead of the genitive. Paul gives the Roman Christians (chiefly Gentiles) high praise. The "all knowledge" is not to be pressed too literally, "our Christian knowledge in its entirety" (Sanday and Headlam).

To admonish ( $\operatorname{vov} \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon เ v)$. To put in mind (from vov $\operatorname{vo\tau \tau \eta }$, and this from vovs and $\tau(\theta \eta \mu)$. See on 1 Th 5:12,14. "Is it laying too much stress on the language of compliment to suggest that these words give a hint of St. Paul's aim in this Epistle?" (Sanday and Headlam). The strategic position of the church in Rome made it a great centre for radiating and echoing the gospel over the world as Thessalonica did for Macedonia (1Th 1:8).

Rom 15:15
I write $(\varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha)$. Epistolary aorist.
The more boldly ( $\tau 0 \lambda \mu \eta \rho о \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega \varsigma$ ). Old comparative adverb from $\tau 0 \lambda \mu \eta \rho \omega \varsigma$. Most MSS. read $\tau 0 \lambda \mu \eta \rho o \tau \varepsilon \rho o v$. Only here in N.T.

In some measure ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \mu \varepsilon \rho о \cup \varsigma)$. Perhaps referring to some portions of the Epistle where he has spoken plainly ( $6: 12,19 ; 8: 9 ; 11: 17 ; 14: 3,4,10$, etc.).

As putting you again in remembrance (os $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \nu \alpha \mu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega \nu \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. Delicately put with $\omega \varsigma$ and $\varepsilon \pi$ in the verb, "as if calling back to mind again" $(\varepsilon \pi)$. This rare verb is here alone in the N.T.

Rom 15:16

That I should be ( $\varepsilon เ \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ \varepsilon ı v a \mu \varepsilon)$. The $\varepsilon$ וֹ to idiom with the infinitive again (verses 8,13 ).

Minister ( $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau o \cup \rho \gamma o v$ ). Predicate accusative in apposition with $\mu \varepsilon$ and see 13:6 for the word. "The word here derives from the context the priestly associations which often attach to it in the LXX" (Denney). But this purely metaphorical use does not show that Paul attached a "sacerdotal" character to the ministry.

Ministering (ı $\varepsilon \rho \circ \cup \rho \gamma o u v \tau \alpha$ ). Present active participle of $\varepsilon \varepsilon \rho o v \rho \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, late verb from ı $\rho о \cup \rho \gamma о \varsigma$ ( $\varepsilon \rho \circ \sigma, \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega$ ), in LXX, Philo, and Josephus, only here in N.T. It means to work in sacred things, to minister as a priest. Paul had as high a conception of his work as a preacher of the gospel as any priest did.

The offering up of the Gentiles ( $\eta \pi \rho \circ \sigma \varphi \rho \rho \alpha \tau \omega v \varepsilon \theta v \omega v$ ). Genitive of apposition, the Gentiles being the offering. They are Paul's offering. See Ac 21:26 .

Acceptable ( $\varepsilon v \pi \rho о \sigma \delta \varepsilon \kappa \tau о \varsigma)$. See 2Co 6:2; 8:12 . Because "sanctified in the Holy Spirit" ( $\eta \gamma \iota \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon v \eta \varepsilon \nu \pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \omega \omega$, perfect passive participle of $\alpha \gamma \iota \omega(\omega)$.

Rom 15:17
In things pertaining to God ( $\tau \alpha \pi \rho o \varsigma \tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Accusative of general reference of the article used with the prepositional phrase, "as to the things relating to ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$, facing) God."

Rom 15:18
Any things save those which Christ wrought through me ( $\tau \omega v$ ov кат $\varepsilon \rho \rho \neq \sigma \alpha \tau о$ Xpıбтоৎ $\left.\delta \iota^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \circ v\right)$. Rather, "any one of those things which Christ did not work through me." The antecedent of $\omega v$ is the unexpressed $\tau 0 v \tau \omega v$ and the accusative relative $\alpha$ (object of кат $\varepsilon \rho \gamma(\sigma \alpha \tau о)$ is attracted into the genitive case of $\tau 0 v \tau \omega v$ after a common idiom.

By word and deed ( $\lambda o \gamma \omega \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega)$. Instrumental case with both words. By preaching and life (Lu 24:19; Ac 1:1; 7:22; 2Co 10:11).

Rom 15:19
In power of signs and wonders ( $\varepsilon v \delta v v a \mu \varepsilon \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \omega \omega v \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). Note all three words as in Heb 2:4, only here $\delta u v a \mu ı$ is connected with $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ and $\tau \varepsilon \rho a \tau \alpha$. See all three words used of Paul's own work in 2Co 12:12 and in 2Th 2:9 of the Man of Sin. See 1Th 1:5; 1Co 2:4 for the "power" of the Holy Spirit in Paul's preaching. Note repetition of $\varepsilon v \delta \nu v a \mu \varepsilon$ here with $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu a \tau o \varsigma ~ a \gamma ı v$.

So that ( $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). Result expressed by the perfect active infinitive $\pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha$ (from $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \omega$ ) with the accusative $\mu \varepsilon$ (general reference).

Round about even unto Illyricum (кขк $\lambda \omega \mu \varepsilon \chi \rho$ тоv $I \lambda \lambda \nu \rho ı \kappa о v$ ). "In a ring" ( $\kappa \nu \kappa \lambda \omega$, locative case of кvк入oc). Probably a journey during the time when Paul left Macedonia and waited for II Corinthians to have its effect before coming to Corinth. If so, see 2Co 13; Ac 20:1-3. When he did come, the trouble with the Judaizers was over. Illyricum seems to be the name for the region west of Macedonia (Dalmatia). Strabo says that the Egnatian Way
passed through it. Arabia and Illyricum would thus be the extreme limits of Paul's mission journeys so far.

Rom 15:20
Yea (ov $\omega \varsigma \delta \varepsilon$ ). "And so," introducing a limitation to the preceding statement.
Making it my aim ( $\varphi \iota \lambda o \tau \iota \mu o v \mu \varepsilon \nu o v$ ). Present middle participle (accusative case agreeing with $\mu \varepsilon$ ) of $\varphi \iota \lambda o \tau \iota \mu \varepsilon \sigma \mu \alpha$, old verb, to be fond of honour ( $\varphi \iota \lambda o \sigma, \tau \iota \mu \eta)$. In N.T. only here and 1Th 4:11; 2Co 5:9. A noble word in itself, quite different in aim from the Latin word for
ambition ( $\alpha \mu \beta$ ı, to go on both sides to carry one's point).
Not where (ov otov). Paul was a pioneer preacher pushing on to new fields after the manner of Daniel Boone in Kentucky.

That I might now build upon another man's foundation ( $\imath \alpha \mu \eta \varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \alpha \lambda \lambda о \tau \rho \iota v \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \iota \nu$ оько $\delta \rho \mu \omega$ ). For $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ о $\tau \rho ı \varsigma ~(n o t ~ \alpha \lambda \lambda о \varsigma) ~ s e e ~ 14: 4 . ~ F o r ~ ~ \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda ı o v, ~ s e e ~ L u ~ 6: 48 f . ; ~ 1 C o ~ 3: 11 . ~ T h i s ~$ noble ambition of Paul's is not within the range of some ministers who can only build on another's foundation as Apollos did in Corinth. But the pioneer preacher and missionary has a dignity and glory all his own.

Rom 15:21
As it is written ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho a \pi \tau \alpha$ ). From Isa 52:15. Paul finds an illustration of his word about his own ambition in the words of Isaiah. Fritzsche actually argues that Paul understood Isaiah to be predicting his (Paul's) ministry! Some scholars have argued against the genuineness of verses 9-21 on wholly subjective and insufficient grounds.

Rom 15:22
I was hindered ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa о \pi \tau \sigma \mu \eta v)$. Imperfect passive (repetition) of $\varepsilon v \kappa о \pi \tau \omega$, late verb, to cut in, to cut off, to interrupt. Seen already in Ac $24: 4$; 1Th 2:18; Ga 5:7. Cf. modern telephone and radio and automobile.

These many times ( $\tau \alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ). "As to the many things." In 1:13 Paul used $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \iota$ (many times) and B D read it here. But Paul's work ( $\tau \alpha \pi o \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ) had kept him away.

From coming to you ( $\tau 0 v \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \iota v \pi \rho \circ \varsigma v \mu a \varsigma$ ). Ablative case (after the verb of hindering) of the articular infinitive, "from the coming."

Rom 15:23
Having no more any place in these regions ( $\mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau \tau о \pi о \nu \varepsilon \chi \omega \nu \varepsilon \nu \tau о \iota \varsigma \kappa \lambda \iota \mu \sigma \iota v$ ). Surprising frankness that the average preacher would hardly use on such a matter. Paul is now free to come to Rome because there is no demand for him where he is. For $\kappa \lambda_{\mu} \mu \alpha$ (from $\kappa \lambda \iota \nu \omega$, to incline), slope, then tract of land, region, see already 2Co 11:10; Ga 1:21 (the only N.T. examples).

A longing ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \pi \circ \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu)$. A hapax legomenon, elsewhere $\varepsilon \pi \iota \pi \circ$ Өŋбıৎ (2Co 7:7,11), from $\varepsilon \pi \iota \pi o \theta \varepsilon \omega$ as in Ro 1:11.

These many years ( $\alpha \pi 0$ เ $\alpha \alpha v \omega v \varepsilon \tau \omega v$ ). "From considerable years." So B C, but Aleph A D have $\pi о \lambda \lambda \omega v$, "from many years."

Rom 15:24
Whensoever I go ( $\omega \varsigma$ av $\pi о \rho \varepsilon v \omega \mu \alpha$ ). Indefinite temporal clause with $\omega \varsigma \alpha v$ and the present middle subjunctive (cf. 1Co 11:34; Php 2:23 with aorist subjunctive).

Into Spain ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \Sigma \pi \alpha v ı \alpha v)$. It was a Roman province with many Jews in it. The Greek name was I $\beta \varepsilon \rho \iota \alpha$, the Latin Hispania. The Textus Receptus adds here $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \circ \mu \alpha \pi \rho \circ \varsigma v \mu \alpha \varsigma$ (I shall come to you), but it is not in Aleph A B C D and is not genuine. Without it we have a parenthesis (or anacoluthon) through the rest of verse 24.

In my journey ( $\delta$ ıatopsvouعvoৎ). Present middle participle, "passing through." Paul planned only a brief stay in Rome since a strong church already existed there.

To be brought on my way thitherward ( $\pi \rho \circ \pi \varepsilon \mu \varphi \theta \eta \nu \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon$ ). "To be sent forward there." First aorist passive infinitive of $\pi \rho о \pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega$, common word for escorting one on a journey (1Co 16:6,11; 2Co 1:16; Tit 3:13; 2Jo 1:6 ).

If first in some measure I shall have been satisfied with your company ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \nu \mu \omega v$ $\pi \rho \circ \tau \omega \nu \alpha \pi 0 \mu \varepsilon \rho \circ \nu \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \omega)$. Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ and first aorist passive subjunctive of $\varepsilon \mu \pi \mu \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu$, old verb, to fill up, to satisfy, to take one's fill. See Lu 6:25. Literally, "if I first in part be filled with you" (get my fill of you). delicate compliment for the Roman church.

Rom 15:25
But now ( $v o v \delta \varepsilon$ ). Repeats the very words used in 23.
I go ( $\pi$ о $о \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha)$. Futuristic present as in Joh 14:2.
 like $\varepsilon u \lambda$ opouvta in Ac 3:26. This collection had been one of Paul's chief cares for over a year now (see 2Co 8; 9 ). See 2Co 8:4.

Rom 15:26
For it hath been the good pleasure of Macedonia and Achaia ( $\eta v \delta о \kappa \eta \sigma \alpha v \gamma \alpha \rho$
 (first aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon v \delta \circ \kappa \varepsilon \omega$ ) shows that it was voluntary (2Co 8:4). Paul does not here mention Asia and Galatia.

A certain contribution ( $\kappa o \iota v \omega v i \alpha v \tau \iota v a$ ). Put thus because it was unknown to the Romans. For this sense of koıv $\omega v$ vav, see 2Co 8:4; 9:13.

For the poor among the saints ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau 0 \cup \varsigma \pi \tau \omega \chi$ оиц $\tau \omega \nu \alpha \gamma \omega v$ ). Partitive genitive. Not all there were poor, but Ac 4:32-5:11; 6:1-6; 11:29f.; Ga 2:10 prove that many were.

Rom 15:27
Their debtors ( $0 \varphi \varepsilon 1 \lambda \varepsilon \tau \alpha \alpha \cup \tau \omega v$ ). Objective genitive: the Gentiles are debtors to the Jews. See the word o甲 $\varnothing \lambda \varepsilon \tau \eta \varsigma$ in $1: 14 ; 8: 12$.

For if $(\varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho)$. Condition of the first class, assumed as true, first aorist active indicative ( $\varepsilon \kappa о \iota \omega \nu \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$, from коı $\nu \omega \nu \varepsilon \omega$, to share) with associative instrumental case ( $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa о \iota$, , spiritual things).

To minister unto ( $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau о \nu \rho \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha$, first aorist active infinitive of $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau о \cup \rho \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ with dative case avtoıs, to them), but here certainly with no "sacerdotal" functions (cf. verse 16).

In carnal things ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau о ъ \sigma \alpha \rho к ⿺ 𠃊 о \iota) . ~ T h i n g s ~ w h i c h ~ b e l o n g ~ t o ~ t h e ~ n a t u r a l ~ l i f e ~ o f ~ t h e ~ f l e s h ~$ $(\sigma \alpha \rho \xi)$, not the sinful aspects of the flesh at all.

Rom 15:28
Have sealed ( $\sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu o \varsigma)$. First aorist middle participle (antecedent action, having sealed) of $\sigma \varphi \rho a \gamma \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb from $\sigma \varphi \rho a \gamma \iota \varsigma$, a seal (Ro 4:11), to stamp with a seal for security (Mt 27:66) or for confirmation (2Co 1:22) and here in a metaphorical sense. Paul was keenly sensitive that this collection should be actually conveyed to Jerusalem free from all suspicion (2Co 8:18-23).

I will go on by you ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma o \mu \alpha \delta i^{\prime} v \mu \omega v$ ). Future middle of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to go off or on. Note three prepositions here ( $\alpha \pi^{\prime}$ from Rome, $\delta \imath^{\prime}$ by means of you or through you, $\varepsilon ו \varsigma$ unto Spain). He repeats the point of verse 24, his temporary stay in Rome with Spain as the objective. How little we know what is ahead of us and how grateful we should be for our ignorance on this point.

Rom 15:29
When I come ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma)$. Present middle participle of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ with the time of the future middle indicative $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma o \mu \alpha$ (coming I shall come).

In the fulness of the blessing of Christ ( $\varepsilon \vee \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \lambda о \gamma \iota \alpha$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \circ v$ ). On $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha \tau$, see $11: 12$. Paul had already ( $1: 11 \mathrm{f}$.) said that he had a $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa o v$ (spiritual blessing) for Rome. He did bring that to them.

Rom 15:30
By ( $\delta 1 \alpha$ ). The intermediate agents of the exhortation (the Lord Jesus and the love of the Spirit) as $\delta t \alpha$ is used after $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega$ in 12:1.

That ye strive together with me ( $\sigma v v \alpha \gamma \omega v \iota \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \mu o$ ). First aorist middle infinitive of $\sigma v v a \gamma \omega \nu \zeta$ o $\mu \alpha$, old compound verb, only here in N.T., direct object of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega$, and with associative instrumental case $\mu$ o, the simplex $\alpha \gamma \omega v \iota \zeta \mu \varepsilon \nu o \varsigma$, occurring in Col $4: 12$ of the prayers of Epaphras. For Christ's agony in prayer see Mt 26:42; Lu 22:44 .

Rom 15:31
That I may be delivered ( $\mathrm{\imath} v \alpha \rho v \sigma \theta \omega$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive of $\rho v o \mu \alpha$, old verb to rescue. This use of $t v a$ is the sub-final one after words of beseeching or praying. Paul foresaw trouble all the way to Jerusalem (Ac 20:23; 21:4,13 ).

May be acceptable to the saints ( $\varepsilon v \pi \rho о \sigma \delta \varepsilon \kappa \tau о \varsigma \tau о \varsigma ~ \alpha \gamma เ \circ \varsigma ~ \gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \tau \alpha)$. "May become (second aorist middle subjunctive of $\gamma \iota v \circ \mu \alpha$ ) acceptable to the saints." The Judaizers would give him trouble. There was peril of a schism in Christianity.

## Rom 15:32

That ( $\mathfrak{v \alpha}$ ). Second use of $v \alpha$ in this sentence, the first one sub-final ( $\mathfrak{v \alpha} \rho v \sigma \theta \omega$ ), this one final with $\sigma v v a v a \pi \alpha v \sigma \omega \mu \alpha$, first aorist middle subjunctive of the double compound verb ovvavatavo $\mu \alpha$, late verb to rest together with, to refresh (avaravo as in Mt 11:28) one's spirit with ( $\sigma v v$ ), with the associative instrumental case $\nu \mu \nu \nu$ (with you), only here in the N.T.

Rom 15:33
The God of peace (o $\theta$ zoऽ $\tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon เ \rho \eta \nu \eta \varsigma)$. One of the characteristics of God that Paul often mentions in benedictions (1Th 5:23; 2Th 3:16; 2Co 13:11; Php 4:9; Ro 16:20). Because of the "amen" here some scholars would make this the close of the Epistle and make chapter 16 a separate Epistle to the Ephesians. But the MSS. are against it. There is nothing strange at all in Paul's having so many friends in Rome though he had not yet been there himself. Rome was the centre of the world's life as Paul realized ( 1:15). All men sooner or later hoped to see Rome.

## Romans 16

Rom 16:1
I commend ( $\sigma \cup v / \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ ). The regular word for letters of commendation as in 2Co 3:1 ( $\sigma 0 \sigma \tau \alpha \tau ı \kappa \omega v \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda \omega v$ ). See also Ro 3:5. So here verses 1,2 constitute Paul's recommendation of Phoebe, the bearer of the Epistle. Nothing else is known of her, though her name ( $\Phi \circ$ oß $\wp$ ) means bright or radiant.

Sister ( $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \eta v$ ). In Christ, not in the flesh.
Who is a servant of the church (ovoav $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa о v o v \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \omega \propto)$ ). The etymology of $\delta$ oakovos we have had repeatedly. The only question here is whether it is used in a general sense or in a technical sense as in Php 1:1; 1Ti 3:8-13. In favour of the technical sense of "deacon" or "deaconess" is the addition of "тŋऽ єкк $\downarrow \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ " (of the church). In some sense Phoebe was a servant or minister of the church in Cenchreae. Besides, right in the midst of the discussion in 1Ti 3:8-13 Paul has a discussion of $\gamma$ voaıkac (verse 11) either as women as deaconesses or as the wives of deacons (less likely though possible). The Apostolic Constitutions has numerous allusions to deaconesses. The strict separation of the sexes made something like deaconesses necessary for baptism, visiting the women, etc. Cenchreae, as the eastern port of Corinth, called for much service of this kind. Whether the deaconesses were a separate organization on a par with the deacons we do not know nor whether they were the widows alluded to in $1 \mathrm{Ti} 5: 9 \mathrm{f}$.

Rom 16:2
Worthily of the saints ( $\alpha \xi \omega \omega \varsigma \tau \omega v \alpha \gamma \omega \omega v$ ). Adverb with the genitive as in Php 1:27 because the adjective $\alpha \xi$ וos is used with the genitive (Lu 3:8). "Receive her in a way worthy of the saints." This word $\alpha$ ү 10 , had come to be the accepted term for followers of Christ.

Assist her ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon)$. Second aorist (intransitive) active subjunctive of $\pi \alpha \rho เ \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, to stand by, with the dative case ("beside her"), the very word used by Paul of the help of Jesus


In whatsoever matter ( $\varepsilon v \omega \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau)$. Incorporation of the antecedent ( $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau)$ into the relative clause $(\omega)$.

She may have need of you ( $\alpha v \nu \mu \omega v \chi \rho \eta\llcorner\zeta \eta$ ). Indefinite relative clause with $\alpha v$ and the present subjunctive of $\chi \rho \eta \zeta \zeta \omega$ with genitive.

A succourer ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \iota \varsigma)$. Old and rare feminine form for the masculine $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma$, from $\pi \rho o เ \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ ( $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$, common, but not in the N.T.), here only in the N.T. and not in the papyri. The word illustrates her work as $\delta$ owkovov and is perhaps suggested here by $\pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon$, just before.

Of mine own self ( $\varepsilon \mu \circ v$ autov). "Of me myself."
Rom 16:3
In verses 3-16 Paul sends his greetings to various brethren and sisters in Rome.

Prisca and Aquila (Прıбкаv ка Аки $\lambda \alpha v$ ). This order always (Ас 18:18,26; 2Ti 4:19, and here) save in Ac 18:2; 1Co 16:19, showing that Prisca was the more prominent. Priscilla is a diminutive of Prisca, a name for women in the Acilian gens. She may have been a noble Roman lady, but her husband was a Jew of Pontus and a tent-maker by trade. They were driven from Rome by Claudius, came to Corinth, then to Ephesus, then back to Rome, and again to Ephesus. They were good travelling Christians.

My fellow-workers ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma ~ \sigma u v \varepsilon \rho \gamma o v \varsigma \mu \circ v$ ). Both in tent-making and in Christian service in Corinth and Ephesus.

Rom 16:4
Laid down their own necks ( $\tau \circ v \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega \nu \tau \rho \alpha \chi \varepsilon \lambda o v v \pi \varepsilon \theta \eta \kappa \alpha \nu$ ). First aorist active of vлотı $\theta \eta \mu$, old verb to place under (the axe of the executioner), only here in N.T. in this sense, though in 1 Ti 4:16 to suggest. If literal or figurative, the incident may be connected with the uproar created by Demetrius in Ephesus. Certainly Paul felt deep obligation toward them (see Ac 20:34).

Not only I (ovk $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \mu \circ \vee \circ \varsigma)$. Rather, "not I alone" (adjective $\mu \circ \vee \circ \varsigma)$. The Gentile churches also (great mission workers).

Rom 16:5
The church that is in their house ( $\tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ o七коv $\alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ). The early Christians had no church buildings. See also Ac 12:2; 1Co 16:19; Phm 1:2; Col 4:15. The Roman Christians had probably several such homes where they would meet.

Epainetus (Etaıvetov). Nothing is known of him except this item, "the first-fruits of Asia" ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \rho \chi \eta \tau \eta \varsigma$ Aбıaৎ). An early convert from the province of Asia. Cf. Ac 2:9; 1Co 16:15 (about Stephanus and Achaia).

Rom 16:6
Mary (Mapıav). Some MSS. have Mapıaر, the Hebrew form. The name indicates a Jewish Christian in Rome. Paul praises her toil. See Lu 5:5 .

Rom 16:7
Andronicus and Junias (Av $\delta \rho o v i \chi o v ~ к \alpha$ Iovviav). The first is a Greek name found even in the imperial household. The second name can be either masculine or feminine.

Kinsmen ( $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ). Probably only fellow-countrymen as in 9:13.
Fellow-prisoners ( $\sigma v \nu \alpha ı \chi \mu \lambda \omega \tau \nu \varsigma)$. Late word and rare (in Lucian). One of Paul's frequent compounds with $\sigma v v$. Literally, fellow captives in war. Perhaps they had shared one of Paul's numerous imprisonments (2Co 11:23 ). In N.T. only here, Phm 1:23; Col 4:10.

Of note $(\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \eta \mu o)$. Stamped, marked $(\varepsilon \pi \sigma \eta \mu \alpha)$. Old word, only here and Mt 27:16 (bad sense) in N.T.

Among the apostles ( $\varepsilon v \tau$ тоぃ $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau 0 \lambda о \iota)$ ). Naturally this means that they are counted among the apostles in the general sense true of Barnabas, James, the brother of Christ, Silas,
and others. But it can mean simply that they were famous in the circle of the apostles in the technical sense.

Who have been in Christ before me ( $о \kappa \alpha \pi \rho \circ \varepsilon \mu \circ v \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \alpha \nu \varepsilon v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ ). Andronicus and Junias were converted before Paul was. Note $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma o v a v$ (Koine form by analogy) instead of the usual second perfect active indicative form $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \sigma v a \sigma \iota v$, which some MSS. have. The perfect tense notes that they are still in Christ.

Rom 16:8
Ampliatus ( $\mathrm{A} \mu \pi \lambda \iota \alpha \tau o v$ ). Some MSS. have a contracted form Amplias.
Rom 16:9
Urbanus (Ovpßavov). "A common Roman slave name found among members of the household" (Sanday and Headlam). A Latin adjective from urbs, city (city-bred).

Stachys ( $\Sigma \tau \alpha \chi \cup v)$. A Greek name, rare, but among members of the imperial household. It means a head or ear of grain (Mt 12:1).

Rom 16:10
Apelles $(A \pi \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta v)$. A name among Jews and a famous tragic actor also.
The approved ( $\tau 0 v \delta o \kappa \mu \circ v$ ). The tried and true (1Co 11:19; 2Co 10:18; 13:7).
Them which are of the household of Aristobulus ( $\tau о v \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v$ Apıбтоßоv $\tau \circ v$ ). The younger Aristobulus was a grandson of Herod the Great. Lightfoot suggests that some of the servants in this household had become Christians, Aristobulus being dead.

Rom 16:11
Herodion (H $\varepsilon \rho \omega t \delta t \omega v \alpha$ ). Probably one belonging to the Herod family like that above.
Kinsman ( $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \vee \eta$ ). Merely fellow-countryman.
Them of the household of Narcissus ( $\tau о \cup \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu$ Napкıббоv). "Narcissiani." There was a famous freedman of this name who was put to death by Agrippa. Perhaps members of his household.

Rom 16:12
Tryphaena and Tryphosa (Tpvpaıvav ка T $\rho v \varphi \omega \sigma \alpha v$ ). Probably sisters and possibly twins. Both names come from the same root, the verb $\tau \rho v \varphi a \omega$, to live luxuriously (Jas 5:5 ). Denney suggests "Dainty and Disdain."

Persis (חع $\rho \sigma \delta \delta \alpha)$. A freedwoman was so named. She is not Paul's "beloved," but the "beloved" of the whole church.

Rom 16:13
Rufus (Poupov). A very common slave name, possibly the Rufus of Mr 15:21. The word means "red."

The chosen ( $\tau 0 \nu \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \circ v$ ). Not "the elect," but "the select."
And mine ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \mu \circ v)$. Paul's appreciation of her maternal care once, not his real mother. Rom 16:14

Asyncritus (Aбuvкрıтov). There is an inscription of a freedman of Augustus with this name.

Phlegon ( $\Phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \vee \tau \alpha)$. No light on this name till the historian of the second century A.D.

Hermes (Hع $\rho \mu \eta v)$. A very common slave name.
Patrobas (Пат $\rho \circ \beta \alpha v$ ). Name of a freedman of Nero, abbreviated form of Patrobius.
Hermas (Hep $\mu \alpha$ ). Not the author of the Shepherd of Hermas. Common as a slave name, shortened form of Hermagoras, Hermogenes, etc.

The brethren that are with them ( $\tau$ очц $\sigma u v$ autoıs $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda$ ¢оuc). Perhaps a little church in the house of some one.

Rom 16:15
Philologus ( $Ф 1 \lambda 0 \lambda 0 \gamma o v)$. Another common slave name.
Julia (Iou入ıav). The commonest name for female slaves in the imperial household because of Julius Caesar. Possibly these two were husband and wife.

Nereus ( $\mathrm{N} \eta \rho \varepsilon \alpha$ ). Found in inscriptions of the imperial household. But the sister's name is not given. One wonders why.

Olympas (O $\lambda \nu \mu \pi \alpha v$ ). Possibly an abbreviation for Olympiodorus.
All the saints that are with them ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma ~ \sigma o v ~ \alpha \cup \tau o ı \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha \gamma ı o v \varsigma) . ~ P o s s i b l y ~ a n o t h e r ~$ church in the house. These unnamed, the "and others," constitute the great majority in all our churches.

Rom 16:16
With a holy kiss ( $\varepsilon v \varphi i \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \omega)$. The near-east mode of salutation as hand-shaking in the Western. In China one shakes hands with himself. Men kissed men and women kissed women. See 1Th 5 26; 1Co 16:20; 2Co 13:12.

Rom 16:17
Mark ( $\sigma \kappa о \pi \varepsilon \iota \tau$ ). Keep an eye on so as to avoid. $\Sigma \kappa о \pi о \varsigma$ is the goal, $\sigma \kappa о \pi \varepsilon \omega$ means keeping your eye on the goal.
 and Ga 5:20 .
 it not only the objects of the participle but the relative clause $\eta v \nu \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ (which you learned), a thoroughly Greek idiom.

Rom 16:18
But their own belly ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau \eta \varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \omega v \kappa о \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ). Dative case after $\delta o u \lambda \varepsilon v o v \sigma ı v$. A blunt phrase like the same picture in Php 3:19 "whose god is the belly," more truth than caricature in some cases.
 of $\lambda$ oүoc (speech), the first (from $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o \varsigma$ and $\lambda о \gamma o \varsigma$ ) is very rare (here only in N.T.), the second is very common ( $\varepsilon v$ and $\lambda о \gamma \circ \varsigma$ ).

Beguile ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \omega \sigma \iota v)$. Present active indicative of the double compound verb $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \omega$ (see 2Th 2:3; 1Co 3:18).

Of the innocent ( $\tau \omega v \alpha \kappa \alpha \kappa \omega v$ ). Old adjective ( $\alpha$ privative and какоऽ), without evil or guile, in N.T. only here and Heb 7:26 (of Christ).

Rom 16:19
Is come abroad ( $\alpha \varphi \iota \kappa \varepsilon \tau 0$ ). Second aorist middle indicative of $\alpha \varphi \not \kappa v \varepsilon \circ \mu \alpha$, old verb, to come from, then to arrive at, only here in N.T.
 какоv). Old adjective from a privative and кع $\rho \alpha v v \nu \mu$, to mix. Unmixed with evil, unadulterated.

Rom 16:20
Shall bruise ( $\sigma \nu \nu \tau \rho \backslash \psi \varepsilon$ ). Future active of $\sigma u \nu \tau \rho \iota \beta \omega$, old verb, to rub together, to crush, to trample underfoot. Blessed promise of final victory over Satan by "the God of peace." "Shortly" ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon$ ). As God counts time. Meanwhile patient loyalty from us.

Rom 16:21
Verses 21-23 form a sort of postscript with greetings from Paul's companions in Corinth. Timothy was with Paul in Macedonia (2Co 1:1 ) before he came to Corinth. Lucius may be the one mentioned in Ac 13:1 . Jason was once Paul's host (Ac 17:5-9 ) in Thessalonica, Sosipater may be the longer form of Sopater of Ac 20:4. They are all Paul's fellow-countrymen (ovүүعveıc).

Rom 16:22
I Tertius ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ Tع $\rho \tau \iota o \varsigma)$. The amanuensis to whom Paul dictated the letter. See 2Th 3:17; 1Co 16:21; Col 4:18.

## Rom 16:23

Gaius my host (Гaıऽ o $\xi_{\varepsilon v o \varsigma ~}^{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{\nu}$ ). Perhaps the same Gaius of 1Co 1:14 (Ac 19:29; 20:4 ), but whether the one of 3Jo 1:1 we do not know. $\Xi \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ was a guest friend, and then either a stranger (Mt 25:35) or a host of strangers as here. This Gaius was plainly a man of some means as he was the host of all the church. Erastus (2Ti 4:20) was "the treasurer of the city" (o oккоvоноऽ $\tau \eta \varsigma \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ), one of the outstanding men of Corinth, the "steward" (housemanager) or city manager. See Lu 12:42; 16:1. He is probably the administrator of the city's property.

Quartus (Kovaptos). Latin name for fourth.
Rom 16:24
Is not genuine, not in Aleph A B C Coptic.
Rom 16:25

Verses 25-27 conclude the noble Epistle with the finest of Paul's doxologies.
To him that is able $(\tau \omega \delta \nu v \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \omega)$. Dative of the articular participle of $\delta v v \alpha \mu \alpha$. See similar idiom in Eph 3:20 .

To stablish ( $\sigma \tau \eta \rho \downharpoonright \xi \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive of $\sigma \tau \eta \rho!\zeta \omega$, to make stable.
According to my gospel ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ to $\varepsilon v \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota} \circ v \mu \circ v$ ). Same phrase in 2:16; 2Ti 2:8 . Not a book, but Paul's message as here set forth.

The preaching ( $\tau 0 \kappa \eta \rho v \gamma \mu \alpha)$. The proclamation, the heralding.
Of Jesus Christ (I $\eta \sigma o v$ Xpıбтov). Objective genitive, "about Jesus Christ."
Revelation ( $\alpha \pi о к а \lambda \nu \psi \iota v$ ). "Unveiling."
Of the mystery ( $\mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ o v$ ). Once unknown, but now revealed.
Kept in silence ( $\sigma \varepsilon \sigma \gamma \gamma \eta \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\sigma \gamma \gamma \alpha \omega$, to be silent, state of silence.

Through times eternal (xpovoıs aı $\omega$ vioıs). Associative instrumental case, "along with times eternal" (Robertson, Grammar, p. 527). See 1Co 2:6,7,10 .

Rom 16:26
But now is manifested ( $\varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \omega \theta \varepsilon v \tau o \varsigma ~ \delta \varepsilon v v v$ ). First aorist passive participle of بave $\rho o \omega$, to make plain, genitive case in agreement with $\mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ$.

By the scriptures of the prophets ( $\delta \iota \alpha \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega \nu \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \iota \kappa \omega v$ ). "By prophetic scriptures." Witnessed by the law and the prophets ( $3: 21$ ). This thread runs all through Romans.

According to the command of the eternal God ( $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \alpha \gamma \eta \nu \tau o v \alpha \iota \omega v \iota o v \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Paul conceives that God is in charge of the redemptive work and gives his orders (1:1-5; 10:15f. ). The same adjective at $\omega$ vıos is here applied to God that is used of eternal life and eternal punishment in Mt 25:46.

Unto obedience of faith ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ v \pi \alpha \kappa о \eta \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. See 1:5.
Made known unto all the nations ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \varepsilon \theta \nu \eta \gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \tau o \varsigma)$. First aorist passive participle of $\gamma \nu \omega \rho \stackrel{\zeta}{\omega}$, still the genitive case agreeing with $\mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ 0 v$ in verse 25.

Rom 16:27
To the only wise God ( $\mu$ ov $\omega \operatorname{\sigma o\varphi \omega } \theta \varepsilon \omega)$. Better, "to God alone wise." See 1Ti 1:17 without $\sigma 0 \varphi \omega$.

To whom ( $\omega$ ). Some MSS. omit.

## FIRST CORINTHIANS

## FROM EPHESUS A.D. 54 OR 55

## BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

It would be a hard-boiled critic today who would dare deny the genuineness of I Corinthians. The Dutch wild man, Van Manen, did indeed argue that Paul wrote no epistles if indeed he ever lived. Such intellectual banality is well answered by Whateley's Historic Doubts about Napolean Bonaparte which was so cleverly done that some readers were actually convinced that no such man ever existed, but is the product of myth and legend. Even Baur was compelled to acknowledge the genuineness of I and II Corinthians, Galatians and Romans (the Big Four of Pauline criticism). It is a waste of time now to prove what all admit to be true. Paul of Tarsus, the Apostle to the Gentiles, wrote I Corinthians.

We know where Paul was when he wrote the letter for he tells us in 1Co 16:8 : "But I will tarry at Ephesus until Pentecost." That was, indeed, his plan, but the uproar in Ephesus at the hands of Demetrius caused his departure sooner than he expected (Ac 18:21-20:1; 2Co 2:12f. ). But he is in Ephesus when he writes.

We know also the time of the year when he writes, in the spring before pentecost. Unfortunately we do not know the precise year, though it was at the close of his stay of three years (in round numbers) at Ephesus (Ac 20:31 ). Like all the years in Paul's ministry we have to allow a sliding scale in relation to his other engagements. One may guess the early spring of A.D. 54 or 55.

The occasion of the Epistle is made plain by numerous allusions personal and otherwise. Paul had arrived in Ephesus from Antioch shortly after the departure of Apollos for Corinth with letters of commendation from Priscilla and Aquila (Ac 18:28-19:1 ). It is not clear how long Apollos remained in Corinth, but he is back in Ephesus when Paul writes the letter and he has declined Paul's request to go back to Corinth (1Co 16:12). Some of the household of Chloe had heard or come from Corinth with full details of the factions in the church over Apollos and Paul, clearly the reason why Apollos left (1Co 1:10-12 ). Even Cephas nominally was drawn into it, though there is no evidence that Peter himself had come to Corinth. Paul had sent Timothy over to Corinth to put an end to the factions (1Co 4:17), though he was uneasy over the outcome (1Co 16:10f. ). This disturbance was enough of itself to call forth a letter from Paul. But it was by no means the whole story. Paul had already written a letter, now lost to us, concerning a peculiarly disgusting case of incest in the membership (1Co 5:9 ). They were having lawsuits with one another before heathen judges. Members of the church had written Paul a letter about marriage whether any or all should marry (1Co 7:1 ). They were troubled also whether it was right to eat meat that had been offered to idols in the heathen temples (1Co 8:1). Spiritual gifts of an unusual nature were manifested in Corinth and these were the occasion of a deal of trouble (1Co 12:1). The doctrine of the
resurrection gave much trouble in Corinth (1Co 15:12). Paul was interested in the collection for the poor saints in Jerusalem (1Co 16:1) and in their share in it. The church in Corinth had sent a committee (Stephanas, Fortunatus, Achaicus) to Paul in Ephesus. He hopes to come himself after passing through Macedonia (1Co 16:5f. ). It is possible that he had made a short visit before this letter (2Co 13:1), though not certain as he may have intended to go one time without going as he certainly once changed his plans on the subject (2Co 1:15-22 ). Whether Titus took the letter on his visit or it was sent on after the return of Timothy is not perfectly clear. Probably Timothy returned to Ephesus from Corinth shortly after the epistle was sent on, possibly by the committee who returned to Corinth (1Co 16:17), for Timothy and Erastus were sent on from Ephesus to Macedonia before the outbreak at the hands of Demetrius (Ac 19:22). Apparently Timothy had not fully succeeded in reconciling the factions in Corinth for Paul dispatched Titus who was to meet him at Troas as he went on to Macedonia. Paul's hurried departure from Ephesus (Ac 20:1) took him to Troas before Titus arrived and Paul's impatience there brought him to Macedonia where he did meet Titus on his return from Corinth (2Co 2:12f. ).

It is clear therefore that Paul wrote what we call I Corinthians in a disturbed state of mind. He had founded the church there, had spent two years there (Ac 18 ), and took pardonable pride in his work there as a wise architect (1Co 3:10) for he had built the church on Christ as the foundation. He was anxious that his work should abide. It is plain that the disturbances in the church in Corinth were fomented from without by the Judaizers whom Paul had defeated at the Jerusalem Conference (Ac 15:1-35; Ga 2:1-10 ). They were overwhelmed there, but renewed their attacks in Antioch (Ga 2:11-21). Henceforth throughout the second mission tour they are a disturbing element in Galatia, in Corinth, in Jerusalem. While Paul is winning the Gentiles in the Roman Empire to Christ, these Judaizers are trying to win Paul's converts to Judaism. Nowhere do we see the conflict at so white a heat as in Corinth. Paul finally will expose them with withering sarcasm (2Co 10-13) as Jesus did the Pharisees in Mt 23 on that last day in the temple. Factional strife, immorality, perverted ideas about marriage, spiritual gifts, and the resurrection, these complicated problems are a vivid picture of church life in our cities today. The discussion of them shows Paul's manysidedness and also the powerful grasp that he has upon the realities of the gospel. Questions of casuistry are faced fairly and serious ethical issues are met squarely. But along with the treatment of these vexed matters Paul sings the noblest song of the ages on love (chapter 1Co 13 ) and writes the classic discussion on the resurrection (chapter 1Co 15 ). If one knows clearly and fully the Corinthian Epistles and Paul's dealings with Corinth, he has an understanding of a large section of his life and ministry. No church caused him more anxiety than did Corinth (2Co 11:28).

Some good commentaries on I Corinthians are the following: On the Greek Bachmann in the Zahn Kommentar, Edwards, Ellicott, Findlay (Expositor's Greek Testament), Godet,

Goudge, Lietzmann (Handbuch zum N.T.), Lightfoot (chs. 1-7), Parry, Robertson and Plummer (Int. Crit.), Stanley, J. Weiss (Meyer Kommentar); on the English Dods (Exp. Bible), McFadyen, Parry, Ramsay, Rendall, F. W. Robertson, Walker (Reader's Comm.).

## 1 Corinthians 1

1Cor 1:1
Called to be an apostle ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \circ \varsigma \alpha \pi о \sigma \tau о \lambda о \varsigma)$. Verbal adjective $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \circ \varsigma$ from $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, without $\varepsilon เ v a$, to be. Literally,
a called apostle (Ro 1:1), not so-called, but one whose apostleship is due not to himself or to men (Ga 1:1), but to God,
through the will of God ( $\delta \iota \alpha \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau o \varsigma ~$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). The intermediate ( $\delta \iota \alpha, \delta v o$, two) agent between Paul's not being Christ's apostle and becoming one was God's will $(\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$, something willed of God), God's command (1Ti 1:1). Paul knows that he is not one of the twelve apostles, but he is on a par with them because, like them, he is chosen by God. He is an apostle of Jesus Christ or Christ Jesus (MSS. vary here, later epistles usually Christ Jesus). The refusal of the Judaizers to recognize Paul as equal to the twelve made him the more careful to claim his position. Bengel sees here Paul's denial of mere human authority in his position and also of personal merit: Namque mentione Dei excluditur auctoramentum humanum, mentione Voluntatis Dei, meritum Pauli.

Our brother (o $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \circ \varsigma)$. Literally, the brother, but regular Greek idiom for our brother. This Sosthenes, now with Paul in Ephesus, is probably the same Sosthenes who received the beating meant for Paul in Corinth (Ac 18:17). If so, the beating did him good for he is now a follower of Christ. He is in no sense a co-author of the Epistle, but merely associated with Paul because they knew him in Corinth. He may have been compelled by the Jews to leave Corinth when he, a ruler of the synagogue, became a Christian. See 1Th 1:1 for the mention of Silas and Timothy in the salutation. Sosthenes could have been Paul's amanuensis for this letter, but there is no proof of it.

1Cor 1:2
The church of God ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Belonging to God, not to any individual or faction, as this genitive case shows. In 1Th 1:1 Paul wrote "the church of the Thessalonians in God" ( $\varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \omega)$, but "the churches of God" in 1Th 2:14. See same idiom in 1Co 10:32; 11:16,22; 15:9; 2Co 1:1; Ga 1:13, etc.

Which is in Corinth ( $\tau \eta$ ova $\eta v$ Kopıv $\theta \omega$ ). See on Ac 13:1 for idiom. It is God's church even in Corinth, "laetum et ingens paradoxon" (Bengel). This city, destroyed by Mummius B.C. 146 , had been restored by Julius Caesar a hundred years later, B.C. 44 , and now after another hundred years has become very rich and very corrupt. The very word "to Corinthianize" meant to practise vile immoralities in the worship of Aphrodite (Venus). It was located on the narrow Isthmus of the Peloponnesus with two harbours (Lechaeum and Cenchreae). It had schools of rhetoric and philosophy and made a flashy imitation of the real culture of Athens. See Ac 18 for the story of Paul's work here and now the later developments and divisions in this church will give Paul grave concern as is shown in detail in I and II Corinthians.

All the problems of a modern city church come to the front in Corinth. They call for all the wisdom and statesmanship in Paul.

That are sanctified ( $\eta \gamma \mid \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o \iota s)$. Perfect passive participle of $\alpha \gamma ı \alpha \zeta \omega$, late form for $\alpha \gamma \iota \zeta \omega$, so far found only in the Greek Bible and in ecclesiastical writers. It means to make or to declare $\alpha \gamma \operatorname{lov}$ (from $\alpha \gamma o \varsigma$, awe, reverence, and this from $\alpha \zeta \omega$, to venerate). It is significant that Paul uses this word concerning the
called saints or
 they are sanctified
in Christ Jesus ( $\varepsilon \nu \mathrm{X} \rho \stackrel{\sigma \tau \omega}{ } \mathrm{I} \eta \sigma \circ v$ ). He is the sphere in which this act of consecration takes place. Note plural, construction according to sense, because $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is a collective substantive.

With all that call upon ( $\sigma \cup v \tau \pi \sigma \sigma v$ toı $\varepsilon \pi ı \kappa \alpha \lambda о \nu \mu \varepsilon v o ı \varsigma)$. Associative instrumental case with $\sigma v v$ rather than $\kappa \alpha$ (and), making a close connection with "saints" just before and so giving the Corinthian Christians a picture of their close unity with the brotherhood everywhere through the common bond of faith. This phrase occurs in the LXX (Ge 12:8; Zec 13:9 ) and is applied to Christ as to Jehovah (2Th 1:7,9,12; Php 2:9,10 ). Paul heard Stephen pray to Christ as Lord (Ac 7:59). Here "with a plain and direct reference to the Divinity of our Lord" (Ellicott).

Their Lord and ours ( $\alpha \nu \tau \omega v \kappa \alpha \eta \mu \omega v$ ). This is the interpretation of the Greek commentators and is the correct one, an afterthought and expansion ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha v o \rho \theta \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma)$ of the previous "our," showing the universality of Christ.

1Cor 1:3
Identical language of 2Th 1:2 save absence of $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ (our), Paul's usual greeting. See on 1Th 1:1.

1Cor 1:4
I thank my God ( $\varepsilon u \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). Singular as in Ro $1: 8$; Php 1:3; Phm 1:4, but plural in 1Th 1:2; Col 1:3. The grounds of Paul's thanksgivings in his Epistles are worthy of study. Even in the church in Corinth he finds something to thank God for, though in II Cor. there is no expression of thanksgiving because of the acute crisis in Corinth nor is there any in Galatians. But Paul is gracious here and allows his general attitude (always, $\pi \alpha v \tau о \tau \varepsilon$ ) concerning ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho$, around) the Corinthians to override the specific causes of irritation.

For the grace of God which was given to you in Christ Jesus ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \chi \alpha \rho ı \tau \tau 0 v$ $\theta \varepsilon o v$ $\tau \eta \delta o \theta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \eta \nu \mu \nu v \varepsilon v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). Upon the basis of ( $\varepsilon \pi$ ) God's grace, not in general, but specifically given ( $\delta o \theta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \eta$, first aorist passive participle of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ ), in the sphere of ( $\varepsilon v$ as in verse 2) Christ Jesus.

1Cor 1:5

That (ot). Explicit specification of this grace of God given to the Corinthians. Paul points out in detail the unusual spiritual gifts which were their glory and became their peril (chapters 1Co 12-14).

Ye were enriched in him ( $\varepsilon \pi \lambda 0 v \tau \iota \sigma \eta \eta \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\pi \lambda$ outi $\zeta \omega$, old causative verb from $\pi \lambda$ ov $\tau \circ \varsigma$, wealth, common in Attic writers, dropped out for centuries, reappeared in LXX. In N.T. only three times and alone in Paul (1Co 1:5; 2Co 6:10,11 ). The Christian finds his real riches in Christ, one of Paul's pregnant phrases full of the truest mysticism.

In all utterance and all knowledge ( $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha \nu \tau \lambda \not \lambda \gamma \omega \kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ). One detail in explanation of the riches in Christ. The outward expression $(\lambda o \gamma \omega)$ here is put before the inward knowledge ( $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ) which should precede all speech. But we get at one's knowledge by means of his speech. Chapters 1Co 12-14 throw much light on this element in the spiritual gifts of the Corinthians (the gift of tongues, interpreting tongues, discernment) as summed up in 1Co 13:1,2, the greater gifts of 12:31. It was a marvellously endowed church in spite of their perversions.

1Cor 1:6
Even as ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma$ ). In proportion as ( 1 Th 1:5) and so inasmuch as (Php 1:7; Eph 1:4).
 to or concerning Christ, the witness of Paul's preaching.

Was confirmed in you $(\varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \omega \omega \theta \eta \varepsilon v \nu \mu \nu v)$. First aorist passive of $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \iota \omega \omega$, old verb from $\beta \varepsilon \beta a \iota o s$ and that from $\beta \alpha \iota v \omega$, to make to stand, to make stable. These special gifts of the Holy Spirit which they had so lavishly received (ch. 1Co 12 ) were for that very purpose.

1Cor 1:7
So that ye come behind in no gift ( $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma \mu \eta$ vбт $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon เ \sigma \theta \alpha \varepsilon v \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \chi \alpha \rho เ \sigma \mu \alpha \tau$ ). Consecutive clause with $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ and the infinitive and the double negative. Come behind (vбтepeเซӨa) is to be late (vбтepoc), old verb seen already in Mr 10:21; Mt 19:20 . It is a wonderful record here recorded. But in 2Co 8:7-11; 9:1-7 Paul will have to complain that they have not paid their pledges for the collection, pledges made over a year before, a very modern complaint.

Waiting for the revelation ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \psi \tau v$ ). This double compound is late and rare outside of Paul (1Co 1:7; Ga 5:5; Ro 8:19,23,25; Php 3:20 ), 1Pe 3:20; Heb 9:28. It is an eager expectancy of the second coming of Christ here termed revelation like the eagerness in $\pi \rho o \sigma \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o$ in Tit 2:13 for the same event. "As if that attitude of expectation were the highest posture that can be attained here by the Christian" (F.W. Robertson).

1Cor 1:8
Shall confirm ( $\beta \varepsilon \beta a \iota \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Direct reference to the same word in verse 6 . The relative oऽ (who) points to Christ.

Unto the end ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda o u \varsigma)$. End of the age till Jesus comes, final preservation of the saints.

That ye be unreproveable ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta$ тovc). Alpha privative and $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to accuse, old verbal, only in Paul in N.T. Proleptic adjective in the predicate accusative agreeing with v $\mu \mathrm{a} \varsigma$ (you) without $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ and the infinitive as in 1Th 3:13; 5:23; Php 3:21. "Unimpeachable, for none will have the right to impeach" (Robertson and Plummer) as Paul shows in Ro 8:33; Col 1:22,28 .

1Cor 1:9
God is faithful ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma$ o $\theta \varepsilon \sigma \varsigma)$. This is the ground of Paul's confidence as he loves to say (1Th 5:24; 1Co 10:13; Ro 8:36; Php 1:16 ). God will do what he has promised.

Through whom ( $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ ov). God is the agent ( $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ ) of their call as in Ro 11:36 and also the ground or reason for their call ( $\delta \mathrm{I}^{\prime} \mathrm{ov}$ ) in Heb 2:10 .

Into the fellowship ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \kappa \circ v \omega v \iota \alpha v$ ). Old word from коเv $\omega v \circ \varsigma$, partner for partnership, participation as here and 2Co 13:13f.; Php 2:1; 3:10 . Then it means fellowship or intimacy as in Ac 2:42; Ga 2:9; 2Co 6:14; 1Jo 1:3,7. And particularly as shown by contribution as in 2Co 8:4; 9:13; Php 1:5 . It is high fellowship with Christ both here and hereafter.

1Cor 1:10
Now I beseech you ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \delta \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. Old and common verb, over 100 times in N.T., to call to one's side. Corresponds here to $\varepsilon v \chi \alpha \rho \circ \sigma \tau \omega$,

I thank, in verse 4. Direct appeal after the thanksgiving.
Through the name ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ tov ovoнatoc). Genitive, not accusative (cause or reason), as the medium or instrument of the appeal (2Co 10:1; Ro 12:1; 15:30).

That ( $\mathrm{v} \alpha$ ). Purport (sub-final) rather than direct purpose, common idiom in Koine (Robertson, Grammar, pp.991-4) like Mt 14:36. Used here with $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \eta \tau \varepsilon, \eta \iota, \eta \tau \varepsilon$ катпр $\rho \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o$, though expressed only once.

All speak ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \eta \tau \varepsilon \pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active subjunctive, that ye all keep on speaking. With the divisions in mind. An idiom from Greek political life (Lightfoot). This touch of the classical writers argues for Paul's acquaintance with Greek culture.

There be no divisions among you ( $\mu \eta \eta \varepsilon v \nu \mu \nu \sigma \sigma \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Present subjunctive, that divisions may not continue to be (they already had them). Negative statement of preceding idea. $\Sigma \chi ı \sigma \mu \alpha$ is from $\sigma \chi\llcorner\zeta \omega$, old word to split or rend, and so means a rent (Mt 9:16; Mr 2:21 ). Papyri use it for a splinter of wood and for ploughing. Here we have the earliest instance of its use in a moral sense of division, dissension, see also 1Co 11:18 where a less complete change than aıpعбєıऽ; 12:25; Joh 7:43 (discord); 9:16; 10:19 . "Here, faction, for which the classical word is $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma$ division within the Christian community" (Vincent). These divisions were over the preachers ( 1:12-4:21), immorality ( $5: 1-13$ ), going to law before the heathen ( 6:1-11), marriage ( 7:1-40), meats offered to idols (1Co 8-10), conduct of women in church
( 11:1-16), the Lord's Supper ( 11:17-34), spiritual gifts (1Co 12-14), the resurrection (1Co 15 ).

But that ye be perfected together ( $\eta \tau \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \rho \tau \tau \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Periphrastic perfect passive subjunctive. See this verb in Mt 4:21 (Mr 1:19) for mending torn nets and in moral sense already in 1Th 3:10. Galen uses it for a surgeon's mending a joint and Herodotus for composing factions. See 2Co 13:11; Ga 6:1 .

Mind (vo),
judgment ( $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta$ ). "Of these words vouc denotes the frame or state of mind, $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta$ the judgment, opinion or sentiment, which is the outcome of vous" (Lightfoot).

1Cor 1:11
For it hath been signified unto me ( $\varepsilon \delta \eta \lambda \omega \theta \eta \gamma \quad \rho \rho \mu \mathrm{o}$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\delta \eta \lambda o \omega$ and difficult to render into English. Literally, It was signified to me.

By them of Chloe (v $\tau о \tau \omega \nu \mathrm{X} \lambda о \eta \varsigma)$. Ablative case of the masculine plural article $\tau \omega v$, by the (folks) of Chloe (genitive case). The words "which are of the household" are not in the Greek, though they correctly interpret the Greek, "those of Chloe." Whether the children, the kinspeople, or the servants of Chloe we do not know. It is uncertain also whether Chloe lived in Corinth or Ephesus, probably Ephesus because to name her if in Corinth might get her into trouble (Heinrici). Already Christianity was working a social revolution in the position of women and slaves. The name

Chloe means tender verdure and was one of the epithets of Demeter the goddess of agriculture and for that reason Lightfoot thinks that she was a member of the freedman class like Phoebe (Ro 16:1), Hermes (Ro 16:14), Nereus (Ro 16:15). It is even possible that Stephanas, Fortunatus, Achaicus (1Co 16:17) may have been those who brought Chloe the news of the schisms in Corinth.

Contentions ( $\varepsilon \rho \iota \delta \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Unseemly wranglings (as opposed to discussing, $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \rho \mu \alpha$ ) that were leading to the
schisms . Listed in works of the flesh (Ga 5:19f. ) and the catalogues of vices (2Co 12:20; Ro 1:19f.; 1Ti 6:4).

1Cor 1:12
Now this I mean ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega \delta \varepsilon$ touto). Explanatory use of $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$. Each has his party leader.
 in Codex Bezae for Ac 18:24. See on Acts for discussion of this "eloquent Alexandrian" (Ellicott), whose philosophical and oratorical preaching was in contrast "with the studied plainness" of Paul (1Co 2:1; 2Co 10:10 ). People naturally have different tastes about styles of preaching and that is well, but Apollos refused to be a party to this strife and soon returned to Ephesus and refused to go back to Corinth (1Co 16:12). Х $\eta \varphi \alpha$ is the genitive of Х $\eta \varphi \alpha \varsigma$, the Aramaic name given Simon by Jesus (Joh 1:42 ), Пعт $\rho o$ ç in Greek. Except in Ga 2:7,8 Paul calls him Cephas. He had already taken his stand with Paul in the Jerusalem Conference
(Ac 15:7-11; Ga 2:7-10 ). Paul had to rebuke him at Antioch for his timidity because of the Judaizers (Ga 2:11-14 ), but, in spite of Baur's theory, there is no evidence of a schism in doctrine between Paul and Peter. If $2 \mathrm{Pe} 3: 15 \mathrm{f}$. be accepted as genuine, as I do, there is proof of cordial relations between them and 1Co 9:5 points in the same direction. But there is no evidence that Peter himself visited Corinth. Judaizers came and pitted Peter against Paul to the Corinthian Church on the basis of Paul's rebuke of Peter in Antioch. These Judaizers made bitter personal attacks on Paul in return for their defeat at the Jerusalem Conference. So a third faction was formed by the use of Peter's name as the really orthodox wing of the church, the gospel of the circumcision.

And I of Christ ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \delta \varepsilon$ Xpıбтov). Still a fourth faction in recoil from the partisan use of Paul, Apollos, Cephas, with "a spiritually proud utterance" (Ellicott) that assumes a relation to Christ not true of the others. "Those who used this cry arrogated the common watchword as their peculium" (Findlay). This partisan use of the name of Christ may have been made in the name of unity against the other three factions, but it merely added another party to those existing. In scouting the names of the other leaders they lowered the name and rank of Christ to their level.

1Cor 1:13
Is Christ divided? ( $\mu \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ o X $\quad$ เбтoб;). Perfect passive indicative, Does Christ stand divided? It is not certain, though probable, that this is interrogative like the following clauses. Hofmann calls the assertory form a "rhetorical impossibility." The absence of $\mu \eta$ here merely allows an affirmative answer which is true. The fourth or Christ party claimed to possess Christ in a sense not true of the others. Perhaps the leaders of this Christ party with their arrogant assumptions of superiority are the false apostles, ministers of Satan posing as angels of light (2Co 11:12-15).
 is demanded by $\mu \eta$. Paul shows his tact by employing himself as the illustration, rather than Apollos or Cephas. Probably $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$, over, in behalf of, rather than $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ (concerning, around) is genuine, though either makes good sense here. In the Koine $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ encroaches on $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ as in 2Th 2:1.

Were ye baptized into the name of Paul? ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ о v o \mu \alpha ~ П а u \lambda о v ~ \varepsilon \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon ;) . ~ I t ~ i s ~$ unnecessary to say
into for $\varepsilon$ וֹ rather than
in since $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ is the same preposition originally as $\varepsilon v$ and both are used with $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ as in Ac 8:16; 10:48 with no difference in idea (Robertson, Grammar, p. 592). Paul evidently knows the idea in Mt 28:19 and scouts the notion of being put on a par with Christ or the Trinity. He is no rival of Christ. This use of ovo $\mu$ a for the person is not only in the LXX, but the papyri, ostraca, and inscriptions give numerous examples of the name of the king
or the god for the power and authority of the king or god (Deissmann, Bible Studies, pp. 146ff., 196ff.; Light from the Ancient East, p. 121).

1Cor 1:14
I thank God $(\varepsilon v \chi \alpha \rho ı \sigma \tau \omega \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega)$. See verse 4 , though uncertain if $\tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ is genuine here.

Save Crispus and Gaius ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta$ К $\rho \iota \sigma \pi о v \kappa \alpha \Gamma \alpha \iota \nu$ ). Crispus was the ruler of the synagogue in Corinth before his conversion (Ac 18:8), a Roman cognomen, and Gaius a Roman praenomen, probably the host of Paul and of the whole church in Corinth (Ro 16:23), possibly though not clearly the hospitable Gaius of 3 Jo 1:5,6. The prominence and importance of these two may explain why Paul baptized them.

1Cor 1:15
Lest any man should say (ıva $\mu \eta \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \pi \eta)$. Certainly sub-final $\iota v \alpha$ again or contemplated result as in 7:29; Joh 9:2. Ellicott thinks that already some in Corinth were laying emphasis on the person of the baptizer whether Peter or some one else. It is to be recalled that Jesus himself baptized no one (Joh 4:2 ) to avoid this very kind of controversy. And yet there are those today who claim Paul as a sacramentalist, an impossible claim in the light of his words here.

1Cor 1:16
Also the household of Stephanas ( $\kappa \alpha$ тоv $\Sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \nu \alpha$ оькоv). Mentioned as an afterthought. Robertson and Plummer suggest that Paul's amanuensis reminded him of this case. Paul calls him a first-fruit of Achaia (1Co 16:15 ) and so earlier than Crispus and he was one of the three who came to Paul from Corinth ( 16:17), clearly a family that justified Paul's personal attention about baptism.

Besides ( $\lambda_{o \iota \pi}$ v). Accusative of general reference, "as for anything else." Added to make clear that he is not meaning to omit any one who deserves mention. See also $1 \mathrm{Th} 4: 1 ; 1 \mathrm{Co}$ 4:2;2Co 13:11; 2Ti 4:8. Ellicott insists on a sharp distinction from $\tau$ र $\lambda o t \pi o v$ "as for the rest" (2Th 3:1; Php 3:1; 4:8; Eph 6:10). Paul casts no reflection on baptism, for he could not with his conception of it as the picture of the new life in Christ (Ro 6:2-6 ), but he clearly denies here that he considers baptism essential to the remission of sin or the means of obtaining forgiveness.

1Cor 1:17
For Christ sent me not to baptize (ov $\gamma \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \varepsilon \nu \mu \varepsilon$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о \varsigma \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \zeta \varepsilon \iota \nu)$. The negative ov goes not with the infinitive, but with $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \downarrow \lambda \nu$ (from $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \circ \lambda \circ \varsigma$, apostle).

For Christ did not send me to be baptizer (present active infinitive, linear action) like John the Baptist.

But to preach the gospel ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{l} \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). This is Paul's idea of his mission from Christ, as Christ's apostle, to be
a gospelizer . This led, of course, to baptism, as a result, but Paul usually had it done by others as Peter at Caesarea ordered the baptism to be done, apparently by the six brethren with him (Ac 10:48). Paul is fond of this late Greek verb from eva $\gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota o v$ and sometimes uses both verb and substantive as in 1Co 15:1 "the gospel which I gospelized unto you."

Not in wisdom of words (ouk $\varepsilon v \sigma \circ \varphi ı \alpha \lambda o \gamma o v)$. Note $o v$, not $\mu \eta$ (the subjective negative), construed with $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \iota \lambda \varepsilon \nu$ rather than the infinitive. Not in wisdom of speech (singular). Preaching was Paul's forte, but it was not as a pretentious philosopher or professional rhetorician that Paul appeared before the Corinthians (1Co 2:1-5 ). Some who followed Apollos may have been guilty of a fancy for external show, though Apollos was not a mere performer and juggler with words. But the Alexandrian method as in Philo did run to dialectic subtleties and luxuriant rhetoric (Lightfoot).
 Negative purpose ( $t v \alpha \mu \eta$ ) with first aorist passive subjunctive, effective aorist, of $\kappa \varepsilon v o \omega$, old verb from $\kappa \varepsilon v o \varsigma$, to make empty. In Paul's preaching the Cross of Christ is the central theme. Hence Paul did not fall into the snare of too much emphasis on baptism nor into too little on the death of Christ. "This expression shows clearly the stress which St. Paul laid on the death of Christ, not merely as a great moral spectacle, and so the crowning point of a life of self-renunciation, but as in itself the ordained instrument of salvation" (Lightfoot).

1Cor 1:18
For the word of the cross (o $\lambda о \gamma o \varsigma \gamma \alpha \rho$ o $\tau o v \sigma \tau \alpha v \rho o u)$. Literally, "for the preaching (with which I am concerned as the opposite of
wisdom of word in verse 17) that (repeated article 0 , almost demonstrative) of the cross." "Through this incidental allusion to preaching St. Paul passes to a new subject. The discussions in the Corinthian Church are for a time forgotten, and he takes the opportunity of correcting his converts for their undue exaltation of human eloquence and wisdom" (Lightfoot).

To them that are perishing ( $\tau о \iota \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \varepsilon v o \iota \varsigma)$. Dative of disadvantage (personal interest). Present middle participle is here timeless, those in the path to destruction (not annihilation. See 2Th 2:10 ). Cf. 2Co 4:3 .

Foolishness ( $\mu \omega \rho(\alpha)$. Folly. Old word from $\mu \omega \rho o \varsigma$, foolish. In N.T. only in 1 Co 1:18,21,23; 2:14; 3:19.

But unto us which are being saved ( $\tau$ oıs $\sigma \omega \zeta$ о $\omega \varepsilon v o \iota \varsigma ~ \eta \mu v \nu$ ). Sharp contrast to those that are perishing and same construction with the articular participle. No reason for the change of pronouns in English. This present passive participle is again timeless. Salvation is described by Paul as a thing done in the past, "we were saved" (Ro 8:24), as a present state, "ye have been saved" (Ep 2:5), as a process, "ye are being saved" (1Co 15:2), as a future result, "thou shalt be saved" (Ro 10:9).

The power of God ( $\delta v v a \mu \iota \varsigma \theta \varepsilon o v)$. So in Ro 1:16. No other message has this dynamite of God (1Co 4:20). God's power is shown in the preaching of the Cross of Christ through all the ages, now as always. No other preaching wins men and women from sin to holiness or can save them. The judgment of Paul here is the verdict of every soul winner through all time.

1Cor 1:19
I will destroy $(\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \omega)$. Future active indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$. Attic future for $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \omega$. Quotation from Isa 29:14 (LXX). The failure of worldly statesmanship in the presence of Assyrian invasion Paul applies to his argument with force. The wisdom of the wise is often folly, the understanding of the understanding is often rejected. There is such a thing as the ignorance of the learned, the wisdom of the simple-minded. God's wisdom rises in the Cross sheer above human philosophizing which is still scoffing at the Cross of Christ, the consummation of God's power.

1Cor 1:20
Where is the wise? Where is the scribe? Where is the disputer of this world? (Поv
 without exact quotation. The sudden retreat of Sennacherib with the annihilation of his officers. "On the tablet of Shalmaneser in the Assyrian Gallery of the British Museum there is a surprisingly exact picture of the scene described by Isaiah" (Robertson and Plummer). Note the absence of the Greek article in each of these rhetorical questions though the idea is clearly definite. Probably бочос refers to the Greek philosopher, $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \cup \varsigma$ to the Jewish scribe and $\sigma 0 v \zeta \eta \tau \eta \tau \eta \varsigma$ suits both the Greek and the Jewish disputant and doubter (Ac 6:9; 9:29; 17:18; 28:29). There is a note of triumph in these questions. The word $\sigma \cup v\lceil\eta \tau \eta \tau \eta \varsigma$ occurs here alone in the N.T. and elsewhere only in Ignatius, Eph. 18 quoting this passage, but the papyri give the verb $\sigma \cup v \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \omega$ for disputing (questioning together).

Hath not God made foolish? (ouर $\varepsilon \mu \omega \rho a v \varepsilon v$ o $\theta \varepsilon o \sigma ;$ ). Strong negative form with aorist active indicative difficult of precise translation, "Did not God make foolish?" The old verb $\mu \omega \rho \alpha \iota v \omega$ from $\mu \omega \rho o \varsigma$, foolish, was to be foolish, to act foolish, then to prove one foolish as here or to make foolish as in Ro 1:22. In Mt 5:13; Lu 14:34 it is used of salt that is tasteless.

World (коб $\mu \circ v$ ). Synonymous with $\alpha \omega \nu$ (age), orderly arrangement, then the nonChristian cosmos.

1Cor 1:21
Seeing that ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \delta \delta \eta$ ). Since ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$ and $\delta \eta$ ) with explanatory $\gamma \alpha \rho$.
Through its wisdom ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \sigma о \varphi ı \alpha \varsigma$ ). Article here as possessive. The two wisdoms contrasted.

Knew not God (ovk $\varepsilon \gamma v \omega$ ). Failed to know, second aorist (effective) active indicative of $\gamma i v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, solemn dirge of doom on both Greek philosophy and Jewish theology that failed to know God. Has modern philosophy done better? There is today even a godless theology
(Humanism). "Now that God's wisdom has reduced the self-wise world to ignorance" (Findlay).

Through the foolishness of the preaching ( $\delta ı \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \mu \omega \rho\llcorner\varsigma ~ \tau о v ~ к \eta \rho v \gamma \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma) . ~ P e r h a p s ~$ "proclamation" is the idea, for it is not к $\boldsymbol{\rho \cup \xi \longleftarrow \varsigma , ~ t h e ~ a c t ~ o f ~ h e r a l d i n g , ~ b u t ~ к \eta \rho \cup \gamma \mu \alpha , ~ t h e ~ m e s s a g e ~}$ heralded or the proclamation as in verse 23 . The metaphor is that of the herald proclaiming the approach of the king (Mt 3:1; 4:17). See also кприүна in 1Co 2:4; 2Ti 4:17. The proclamation of the Cross seemed foolishness to the wiseacres then (and now), but it is consummate wisdom, God's wisdom and good-pleasure ( $\varepsilon v \delta o \kappa \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). The foolishness of preaching is not the preaching of foolishness.

To save them that believe ( $\sigma \omega \sigma \alpha$ тovৎ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o v \tau \alpha \varsigma$ ). This is the heart of God's plan of redemption, the proclamation of salvation for all those who trust Jesus Christ on the basis of his death for $\sin$ on the Cross. The mystery-religions all offered salvation by initiation and ritual as the Pharisees did by ceremonialism. Christianity reaches the heart directly by trust in Christ as the Saviour. It is God's wisdom.

1Cor 1:22
Seeing that ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \delta \eta$ ). Resumes from verse 21 . The structure is not clear, but probably verses 23,24 form a sort of conclusion or apodosis to verse 22 the protasis. The resumptive, almost inferential, use of $\delta \varepsilon$ like $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ in the apodosis is not unusual.

Ask for signs ( $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ aıтovaıv). The Jews often came to Jesus asking for signs (Mt 12:38; 16:1; Joh 6:30 ).

Seek after wisdom ( $\sigma о \varphi ı \propto \vee \zeta \eta \tau 0 v \sigma ı)$ ). "The Jews claimed to possess the truth: the Greeks were seekers, speculators" (Vincent) as in Ac 17:23 .

1Cor 1:23
 Grammatically stated as a partial result ( $\delta \varepsilon$ ) of the folly of both Jews and Greeks, actually in sharp contrast. We proclaim, "we do not discuss or dispute" (Lightfoot). Christ (Messiah) as crucified, as in 2:2; Ga 3:1, "not a sign-shower nor a philosopher" (Vincent). Perfect passive participle of $\sigma \tau \alpha 0 \rho o \omega$.

Stumbling-block ( $\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda o v$ ). Papyri examples mean trap or snare which here tripped the Jews who wanted a conquering Messiah with a world empire, not a condemned and crucified one (Mt 27:42; Lu 24:21).

Foolishness ( $\mu \omega \rho \stackrel{\alpha}{ })$ ). Folly as shown by their conduct in Athens (Ac 17:32).
1Cor 1:24
But to them that are called (avтoıৎ $\delta \varepsilon$ тоı $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau о \iota \varsigma)$. Dative case, to the called themselves.

Christ (Xpıбтov). Accusative case repeated, object of кŋ $\rho$ иббон $\mu v$, both
the power of God $(\theta \varepsilon o v \delta \nu v a \mu v)$ and
the wisdom of God ( $\theta$ عov $\sigma \circ \varphi ı \alpha v$ ). No article, but made definite by the genitive. Christ crucified is God's answer to both Jew and Greek and the answer is understood by those with open minds.

1Cor 1:25
The foolishness of God ( $\tau 0 \mu \omega \rho \circ v$ тov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Abstract neuter singular with the article, the foolish act of God (the Cross as regarded by the world).

Wiser than men ( $\sigma \circ \varphi \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \vee \tau \omega v \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega v$ ). Condensed comparison, wiser than the wisdom of men. Common Greek idiom (Mt 5:20; Joh 5:36 ) and quite forcible, brushes all men aside.

The weakness of God ( $\tau 0 \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ \theta \varepsilon o v)$ ). Same idiom here,
the weak act of God, as men think,
is stronger (เซ $\chi \cup \rho \circ \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \vee$ ). The Cross seemed God's defeat. It is conquering the world and is the mightiest force on earth.

1Cor 1:26
Behold ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Same form for imperative present active plural and indicative. Either makes sense as in Joh 5:39 epauvate and 14:1 тюбтєบรтє.

Calling ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota v$ ). The act of calling by God, based not on the external condition of those called ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau$ o, verse 2 ), but on God's sovereign love. It is a clinching illustration of Paul's argument, an argumentum ad hominen.

How that (oт). Explanatory apposition to $\kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota v$.
After the flesh (ката $\sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha)$. According to the standards of the flesh and to be used not only with $\sigma о \varphi 0$ (wise, philosophers), but also $\delta$ vvato (men of dignity and power), عuүعveıৎ (noble, high birth), the three claims to aristocracy (culture, power, birth).

Are called. Not in the Greek, but probably to be supplied from the idea in $\kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota v$.
1Cor 1:27
God chose ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \tau$ o o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma)$. First aorist middle of $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, old verb to pick out, to choose, the middle for oneself. It expands the idea in $\kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota v$ (verse 26). Three times this solemn verb occurs here with the purpose stated each time. Twice the same purpose is expressed,
that he might put to shame (ıva катаı $\sigma \chi v \vee \eta$, first aorist active subjunctive with $\imath v \alpha$ of old verb $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ı \sigma \chi \cup v \omega$, perfective use of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha)$. The purpose in the third example is
that he might bring to naught (ıva кат $\rho \gamma \eta \sigma \eta$, make idle, $\alpha \rho \gamma \circ \varsigma$, rare in old Greek, but frequent in Paul). The contrast is complete in each paradox:
the foolish things ( $\tau \alpha \mu \omega \rho \alpha$ ),
the wild men ( tous бо甲ou¢);
the weak things ( $\tau \alpha \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \eta$ ),
the strong things ( $\tau \alpha \mid \sigma \chi \cup \rho \alpha$ );
the things that are not ( $\tau \alpha \mu \eta \mathrm{ov} \tau \alpha$ ),
and that are despised ( $\tau \alpha \varepsilon \xi \circ 0 \cup \varepsilon v \eta \mu \varepsilon v a$, considered nothing, perfect passive participle of $\varepsilon \xi \circ v \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \omega)$,
the things that are ( $\tau \alpha \mathrm{ov} \tau \alpha$ ). It is a studied piece of rhetoric and powerfully put.
1Cor 1:29
That no flesh should glory before God ( $о \pi \omega \varsigma \mu \eta \kappa \alpha u \chi \eta \sigma \eta \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha \rho \xi \varepsilon v \omega \pi \iota \circ v$ тov $\theta \varepsilon o v)$. This is the further purpose expressed by o $\pi \omega \varsigma$ for variety and appeals to God's ultimate choice in all three instances. The first aorist middle of the old verb кauर $\alpha \mu \alpha$, to boast, brings out sharply that not a single boast is to be made. The papyri give numerous examples of $\varepsilon v \omega \pi \iota \circ$ as a preposition in the vernacular, from adjective $\varepsilon v-\omega \pi \iota \rho$, in the eye of God. One should turn to 2Co 4:7 for Paul's further statement about our having this treasure in earthen vessels that the excellency of the power may be of God and not of us.

1Cor 1:30
Of him ( $\varepsilon \xi$ avtou). Out of God. He chose you.
In Christ Jesus ( $\varepsilon v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). In the sphere of Christ Jesus the choice was made. This is God's wisdom.

Who was made unto us wisdom from God ( $о \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \theta \eta$ боюıа $\eta \mu \imath \nu$ ало $\theta \varepsilon о v$ ). Note $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \theta \eta$, became (first aorist passive and indicative), not $\eta \nu$, was, the Incarnation, Cross, and Resurrection. Christ is the wisdom of God (Co 2:2f. ) "both righteousness and sanctification and redemption" ( $\delta \iota \alpha \propto \circ \sigma \nu v \eta \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha ~ \alpha ү \iota \sigma \mu \mu \varsigma \varsigma \alpha \alpha \pi о \lambda \nu \tau \rho \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma)$, as is made plain by
 shown to be an epexegesis of бoبıа (Lightfoot). All the treasures of wisdom and knowledge in Christ Jesus. We are made righteous, holy, and redeemed in Christ Jesus. Redemption comes here last for emphasis though the foundation of the other two. In Ro 1:17 we see clearly Paul's idea of the God kind of righteousness ( $\delta$ ıкaıoovvף) in Christ. In Ro 3:24 we have Paul's conception of redemption ( $\alpha \pi о \lambda \nu \tau \rho \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma$, setting free as a ransomed slave) in Christ. In Ro 6:19 we have Paul's notion of holiness or sanctification ( $\alpha \boldsymbol{\gamma} \alpha \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma$ ) in Christ. These great theological terms will call for full discussion in Romans, but they must not be overlooked here. See also Ac 10:35; 24:25; 1Th 4:3-7; 1Co 1:2 .

1Cor 1:31
That ( $\mathrm{v} \alpha$ ). Probably ellipse ( $\gamma \varepsilon v \eta \tau \alpha$ to be supplied) as is common in Paul's Epistles (2Th 2:3; 2Co 8:13; Ga 1:20; 2:9; Ro 4:16; 13:1; 15:3). Some explain the imperative каuх $\alpha \sigma \theta \omega$ as an anacoluthon. The shortened quotation is from Jer 9:24. Deissmann notes the importance of these closing verses concerning the origin of Paul's congregations from the lower classes in the large towns as "one of the most important historical witnesses to Primitive Christianity" (New Light on the N.T., p. 7; Light from the Ancient East, pp. 7, 14, 60, 142).

## 1 Corinthians 2

1Cor 2:1
 Hипє $\rho \circ \chi \eta$ is an old word from the verb v $\pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$ (Php 4:7) and means preeminence, rising above. In N.T. only here and 1Ti 2:2 of magistrates. It occurs in inscriptions of Pergamum for persons of position (Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 255). Here it means excess or superfluity, "not in excellence of rhetorical display or of philosophical subtlety" (Lightfoot).

The mystery of God ( $\tau \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ$ vov $\operatorname{tov}$ (rov). So Aleph A C Copt. like 2:7, but B D L P read $\mu \alpha \rho \tau 0 \rho ı v$ like 1:6. Probably
mystery is correct. Christ crucified is the mystery of God (Col 2:2 ). Paul did not hesitate to appropriate this word in common use among the mystery religions, but he puts into it his ideas, not those in current use. It is an old word from $\mu \nu \varepsilon \omega$, to close, to shut, to initiate (Php 4:12 ). This mystery was once hidden from the ages (Col 1:26), but is now made plain in Christ (1Co 2:7; Ro 16:25f. ). The papyri give many illustrations of the use of the word for secret doctrines known only to the initiated (Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary).

1Cor 2:2
For I determined not to know anything among you (ov $\gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \rho ı v \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota \delta \varepsilon v \alpha \varepsilon v \nu \mu \nu)$ ). Literally, "For I did not decide to know anything among you." The negative goes with $\varepsilon \kappa \rho \iota v a$, not with $\tau$. Paul means that he did not think it fit or his business to know anything for his message beyond this "mystery of God."

Save Jesus Christ ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta$ I $\eta \sigma o u v$ X $\rho ı \sigma \tau o v$ ). Both the person and the office (Lightfoot). I had no intent to go beyond him and in particular,

and this one as crucified (perfect passive participle). This phase in particular ( $1: 18$ ) was selected by Paul from the start as the centre of his gospel message. He decided to stick to it even after Athens where he was practically laughed out of court. The Cross added to the $\sigma \chi \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda o v$ of the Incarnation, but Paul kept to the main track on coming to Corinth.

1Cor 2:3
I was with you ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \eta \nu \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. Rather, "I came to you" (not $\eta \nu$, was). "I not only eschewed all affectation of cleverness or grandiloquence, but I went to the opposite extreme of diffidence and nervous self-effacement" (Robertson and Plummer). Paul had been in prison in Philippi, driven out of Thessalonica and Beroea, politely bowed out of Athens. It is a human touch to see this shrinking as he faced the hard conditions in Corinth. It is a common feeling of the most effective preachers. Cool complacency is not the mood of the finest preaching. See $\varphi \circ \beta$ о (fear) and $\tau \rho о \mu \circ \varsigma$ (trembling) combined in 2Co 7:15; Php 2:12; Eph 6:5.

1Cor 2:4
 false disclaimer or mock modesty, for surely the preacher desires to be persuasive. This adjective $\pi \bullet \theta$ oc (MSS. $\pi \varepsilon \forall \theta \circ \varsigma$ ) has not yet been found elsewhere. It seems to be formed directly from $\pi \varepsilon \iota \theta \omega$, to persuade, as $\varphi \varepsilon \iota \delta o \varsigma(\varphi \iota \delta o \varsigma)$ is from $\varphi \varepsilon \iota \delta o \mu \alpha$, to spare. The old Greek form $\pi \iota \theta a v o c$ is common enough and is used by Josephus (Ant. VIII. 9. 1) of "the plausible words of the lying prophet" in 1 Ki 13 . The kindred word $\pi \bullet \theta \alpha v o \lambda o \gamma 1 \alpha$ occurs in Col 2:4 for the specious and plausible Gnostic philosophers. And gullible people are easy marks for these plausible pulpiteers. Corinth put a premium on the veneer of false rhetoric and thin thinking.

But in demonstration ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \varepsilon v \alpha \pi o \delta \varepsilon ı \xi \varepsilon$ ). In contrast with the
plausibility just mentioned. This word, though an old one from $\alpha \pi \sigma \delta \varepsilon ו \kappa v \nu \mu$, to show forth, occurs nowhere else in the New Testament.

Spirit ( $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha)$ here can be the Holy Spirit or inward spirit as opposed to superficial expression and
power ( $\delta v v a \mu \varsigma)$ is moral power rather than intellectual acuteness (cf. 1:18).
1Cor 2:5
That your faith should not stand (ıva $\eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma \nu \mu \omega \nu \mu \eta \eta$ ). Purpose of God, but $\mu \eta \eta$ is "not be" merely. The only secure place for faith to find a rest is in God's power, not in the wisdom of men. One has only to instance the changing theories of men about science, philosophy, religion, politics to see this. A sure word from God can be depended on.

1Cor 2:6
Among the perfect ( $\varepsilon v$ toıs $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ı o \iota \varsigma)$. Paul is not here drawing a distinction between exoteric and esoteric wisdom as the Gnostics did for their initiates, but simply to the necessary difference in teaching for babes ( $3: 1$ ) and adults or grown men (common use of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota o \varsigma$ for relative perfection, for adults, as is in 1Co 14:20; Php 3:15; Eph 4:13; Heb 5:14). Some were simply old babes and unable in spite of their years to digest solid spiritual food, "the ample teaching as to the Person of Christ and the eternal purpose of God. Such 'wisdom' we have in the Epistles to the Ephesians and the Colossians especially, and in a less degree in the Epistle to the Romans. This 'wisdom' is discerned in the Gospel of John, as compared with the other Evangelists" (Lightfoot). These imperfect disciples Paul wishes to develop into spiritual maturity.

Of this world ( $\tau 00$ alevoc tovtov). This age, more exactly, as in 1:20. This wisdom does not belong to the passing age of fleeting things, but to the enduring and eternal (Ellicott).

Which are coming to naught ( $\tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \gamma о \nu \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega v)$. See on 1:28. Present passive participle genitive plural of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \gamma \varepsilon \omega$. The gradual nullification of these "rulers" before the final and certain triumph of the power of Christ in his kingdom.

1Cor 2:7
God's wisdom in a mystery ( $\theta \varepsilon \sigma v \sigma o \varphi \iota \nu \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho \omega)$. Two points are here sharply made. It is God's wisdom (note emphatic position of the genitive $\theta \varepsilon \sigma v$ ) in contrast to the
wisdom of this age. Every age of the world has a conceit of its own and it is particularly true of this twentieth century, but God's wisdom is eternal and superior to the wisdom of any age or time. God's wisdom is alone absolute. See on 2:1 for mystery. It is not certain whether
in a mystery is to be taken with
wisdom or
we speak. The result does not differ greatly, probably with
wisdom , so long a secret and now at last revealed ( $\operatorname{Col} 1: 26 ; 2 \mathrm{Th} 2: 7$ ).
That hath been hidden ( $\tau \eta v$ a $\alpha о к \varepsilon \kappa \rho \nu \mu \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \nu)$. See Ro 16:25; Col 1:26; Eph 3:5. Articular perfect passive participle of a $\pi о \kappa \rho \cup \pi \tau \omega$, more precisely defining the indefinite $\sigma о \varphi \iota \alpha v$ (wisdom).

Foreordained before the worlds ( $\pi \rho \circ \omega \rho \iota \sigma \varepsilon v \pi \rho o \tau \omega v \alpha \iota \omega v \omega v$ ). This relative clause $(\eta v)$ defines still more closely God's wisdom. Note $\pi \rho o$ with both verb and substantive ( $\alpha \omega \nu \omega \nu$ ). Constative aorist of God's elective purpose as shown in Christ crucified (1Co 1:18-24 ). "It was no afterthought or change of plan" (Robertson and Plummer).

Unto our glory ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \delta o \xi \alpha \nu \eta \mu \omega v$ ). "The glory of inward enlightenment as well as of outward exaltation" (Lightfoot).

1Cor 2:8
Knoweth ( $\varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Has known, has discerned, perfect active indicative of $\gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. They have shown amazing ignorance of God's wisdom.

For had they known it ( $\varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \gamma v \omega \sigma \alpha v$ ). Condition of the second class, determined as unfulfilled, with aorist active indicative in both condition ( $\varepsilon \gamma v \omega \sigma \alpha v$ ) and conclusion with $\alpha v$ (ovk $\alpha v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \cup \rho \omega \sigma \alpha v$ ). Peter in the great sermon at Pentecost commented on the "ignorance" (кат $\alpha$ аүvoı $\alpha v$ ) of the Jews in crucifying Christ (Ac 3:17) as the only hope for repentance on their part (Ac 3:19).

The Lord of glory ( $\tau 0 v$ Kupıov $\tau \eta \varsigma \delta o \xi \eta \varsigma$ ). Genitive case $\delta o \xi \eta \varsigma$, means characterized by glory, "bringing out the contrast between the indignity of the Cross (Heb 12:2) and the majesty of the Victim (Lu 22:69; 23:43 )" (Robertson and Plummer). See Jas 2:1; Ac 7:2; Eph 1:17; Heb 9:5.

1Cor 2:9
But as it is written ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha$ ). Elliptical sentence like Rom 15:3 where $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma o v \varepsilon v$ (it has happened) can be supplied. It is not certain where Paul derives this quotation as Scripture. Origen thought it a quotation from the Apocalypse of Elias and Jerome finds it also in the Ascension of Isaiah. But these books appear to be post-Pauline, and Jerome denies that Paul obtained it from these late apocryphal books. Clement of Rome finds it in the LXX text of Isa 64:4 and cites it as a Christian saying. It is likely that Paul here combines freely Isa $64: 4 ; 65: 17 ; 52: 15$ in a sort of catena or free chain of quotations as he does in Ro 3:10-18. There is also an anacoluthon for $\alpha$ (which things) occurs as the direct object (ac-
cusative) with $\varepsilon \ell \delta \varepsilon v$ (saw) and $\eta \kappa \sigma \quad \sigma \alpha v$ (heard), but as the subject (nominative) with $\alpha v \varepsilon \beta \eta$ (entered, second aorist active indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha, v \omega$, to go up).

Whatsoever (o $\sigma \alpha$ ). A climax to the preceding relative clause (Findlay).
Prepared ( $\eta \tau о \mu \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \tau о \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$. The only instance where Paul uses this verb of God, though it occurs of final glory (Lu 2:31; Mt 20:23; 25:34; $\mathrm{Mr} 10: 40$; Heb 11:16 ) and of final misery (Mt 25:41). But here undoubtedly the dominant idea is the present blessing to these who love God (1Co 1:5-7).

Heart ( $\kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha v$ ) here as in Ro 1:21 is more than emotion. The Gnostics used this passage to support their teaching of esoteric doctrine as Hegesippus shows. Lightfoot thinks that probably the apocryphal Ascension of Isaiah and Apocalypse of Elias were Gnostic and so quoted this passage of Paul to support their position. But the next verse shows that Paul uses it of what is now
revealed and made plain, not of mysteries still unknown.
1Cor 2:10
But unto us God revealed them ( $\eta \mu \nu \gamma \rho \alpha \rho \pi \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \cup \psi \varepsilon v$ o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma)$ ). So with $\gamma \alpha \rho$ B 37 Sah Cop read instead of $\delta \varepsilon$ of Aleph A C D. " $\Delta \varepsilon$ is superficially easier; $\gamma \alpha \rho$ intrinsically better" (Findlay). Paul explains why this is no longer hidden, "for God revealed unto us" the wonders of grace pictured in verse 9 . We do not have to wait for heaven to see them. Hence we can utter those things hidden from the eye, the ear, the heart of man. This revelation ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \psi \varepsilon \nu$, first aorist active indicative) took place, at "the entry of the Gospel into the world," not "when we were admitted into the Church, when we were baptized" as Lightfoot interprets it.

Through the Spirit ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ tov $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \sigma \varsigma)$. The Holy Spirit is the agent of this definite revelation of grace, a revelation with a definite beginning or advent (constative aorist), an unveiling by the Spirit where "human ability and research would not have sufficed" (Robertson and Plummer), "according to the revelation of the mystery" (Ro 16:25), "the revelation given to Christians as an event that began a new epoch in the world's history" (Edwards).

Searcheth all things ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \varepsilon \rho \alpha v v \alpha$ ). This is the usual form from A.D. 1 on rather than the old $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon u v a \omega$. The word occurs (Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary) for a professional searcher's report and $\varepsilon \rho a u v \eta \tau \alpha$, searchers for customs officials. "The Spirit is the organ of understanding between man and God" (Findlay). So in Ro 8:27 we have this very verb epauva $\omega$ again of God's searching our hearts. The Holy Spirit not merely investigates us, but he searches "even the deep things of God" ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \theta \eta$ тov $\theta$ vov). Profunda Dei (Vulgate). Cf. "the deep things of Satan" (Re 2:24) and Paul's language in Ro 11:33 "Oh the depth of the riches and wisdom and knowledge of God." Paul's point is simply that the Holy Spirit fully comprehends the depth of God's nature and his plans of grace and so is fully competent to make the revelation here claimed.

1Cor 2:11
Knoweth (ot $\delta \varepsilon \nu, \varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \kappa \varepsilon \nu$ ). Second perfect of root $\delta \delta$-, to see and so know, first perfect of $\gamma \iota \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, to know by personal experience, has come to know and still knows. See First John for a clear distinction in the use of ot $\delta \alpha$ and $\gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$.

The spirit of man that is in him ( $\tau 0 \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \operatorname{\tau ov} \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ $\tau о \varepsilon \nu \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). The selfconsciousness of man that resides in the man or woman (generic term for mankind, $\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma)$.

The Spirit of God ( $\tau 0 \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \varepsilon \sigma v$ ). Note the absence of $\tau o \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \omega$. It is not the mere self-consciousness of God, but the personal Holy Spirit in his relation to God the Father. Paul's analogy between the spirit of man and the Spirit of God does not hold clear through and he guards it at this vital point as he does elsewhere as in Ro 8:26 and in the full Trinitarian benediction in 2Co 13:13. Пvev $\mu \alpha$ in itself merely means breath or wind as in Joh 3:8. To know accurately Paul's use of the word in every instance calls for an adequate knowledge of his theology, and psychology. But the point here is plain. God's Holy Spirit is amply qualified to make the revelation claimed here in verses 6-10.

1Cor 2:12
But we ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \delta \varepsilon$ ). We Christians like
us $(\eta \mu \nu v)$ in verse 10 of the revelation, but particularly Paul and the other apostles.
Received ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$ and so a definite event, though the constative aorist may include various stages.

Not the spirit of the world (ov $\tau о \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ тov коб $\mu \circ v$ ). Probably a reference to the wisdom of this age in verse 6 . See also Ro $8: 4,6,7 ; 1$ Co $11: 4$ ( $\theta \varepsilon \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho o v)$.

But the spirit which is of God ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ то $\pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha$ то $\varepsilon \kappa \theta \varepsilon o v)$. Rather, "from God" ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ ), which proceeds from God.

That we might know ( $\imath v \alpha \varepsilon \iota \delta \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Second perfect subjunctive with $t v \alpha$ to express purpose. Here is a distinct claim of the Holy Spirit for understanding (Illumination) the Revelation received. It is not a senseless rhapsody or secret mystery, but God expects us to understand "the things that are freely given us by God" ( $\tau \alpha v \pi о$ тov $\theta \varepsilon о v \chi \alpha \rho \circ \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \tau \alpha \eta \mu v$ ). First aorist passive neuter plural articular participle of $\chi \alpha \rho \zeta \zeta \mu \alpha$, to bestow. God gave the revelation through the Holy Spirit and he gives us the illumination of the Holy Spirit to understand the mind of the Spirit. The tragic failures of men to understand clearly God's revealed will is but a commentary on the weakness and limitation of the human intellect even when enlightened by the Holy Spirit.

1Cor 2:13
Which things also we speak ( $\alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda о \cup \mu \varepsilon v$ ). This onomatopoetic verb $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ (from $\lambda \alpha-\lambda \alpha)$, to utter sounds. In the papyri the word calls more attention to the form of utterance while $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ refers more to the substance. But $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ in the N.T. as here is used of the highest and holiest speech. Undoubtedly Paul employs the word purposely for the utterance of the
revelation which he has understood. That is to say, there is revelation (verse 10), illumination (verse 12), and inspiration (verse 13). Paul claims therefore the help of the Holy Spirit for the reception of the revelation, for the understanding of it, for the expression of it. Paul claimed this authority for his preaching (1Th 4:2) and for his epistles (2Th 3:14).

Not in words which man's wisdom teacheth (оטк $\varepsilon v \delta \iota \delta \alpha \kappa \tau о \iota \varsigma ~ a v Ө \rho \omega \pi ı \nu \eta \varsigma ~ \sigma о \varphi \iota \alpha \varsigma$, $\lambda о ү о \iota \varsigma) . ~ L i t e r a l l y, ~ " n o t ~ i n ~ w o r d s ~ t a u g h t ~ b y ~ h u m a n ~ w i s d o m . " ~ T h e ~ v e r b a l ~ a d j e c t i v e ~ \delta ı \delta \alpha \kappa \tau o \iota \varsigma ~$ (from $\delta เ \delta a \sigma \kappa \omega$, to teach) is here passive in idea and is followed by the ablative case of origin or source as in Joh 6:45, $\varepsilon \sigma o v \tau \alpha \pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \delta ı \delta a \kappa \tau о ~ \theta \varepsilon o v ~(f r o m ~ I s a ~ 54: 13 ~), ~ " T h e y ~ s h a l l ~ a l l ~ b e ~$ taught by God." The ablative in Greek, as is well known, has the same form as the genitive, though quite different in idea (Robertson, Grammar, p. 516). So then Paul claims the help of the Holy Spirit in the utterance $(\lambda \alpha \lambda o v \mu \varepsilon v)$ of the words, "which the Spirit teacheth ( $\varepsilon v$ $\delta เ \delta \alpha \kappa \tau о \iota \varsigma \pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma)$, "in words taught by the Spirit" (ablative $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau o \varsigma$ as above). Clearly Paul means that the help of the Holy Spirit in the utterance of the revelation extends to the words. No theory of inspiration is here stated, but it is not mere human wisdom. Paul's own Epistles bear eloquent witness to the lofty claim here made. They remain today after nearly nineteen centuries throbbing with the power of the Spirit of God, dynamic with life for the problems of today as when Paul wrote them for the needs of the believers in his time, the greatest epistles of all time, surcharged with the energy of God.
 Each of these words is in dispute. The verb $\sigma v \vee \kappa \rho \iota v \omega$, originally meant to combine, to join together fitly. In the LXX it means to interpret dreams (Ge 40:8,22; 41:12 ) possibly by comparison. In the later Greek it may mean to compare as in 2Co 10:12. In the papyri Moulton and Milligan (Vocabulary) give it only for "decide," probably after comparing. But "comparing," in spite of the translations, does not suit well here. So it is best to follow the original meaning to combine as do Lightfoot and Ellicott. But what gender is $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu a \tau \iota \kappa o \iota c$ ? Is it masculine or neuter like $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \alpha$ ? If masculine, the idea would be "interpreting (like LXX) spiritual truths to spiritual persons" or "matching spiritual truths with spiritual persons." This is a possible rendering and makes good sense in harmony with verse 14. If $\pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu a \tau \iota \kappa о \iota$ be taken as neuter plural (associative instrumental case after $\sigma v v$ in $\sigma v \nu \kappa \rho \iota \nu o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ), the idea most naturally would be, "combining spiritual ideas ( $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \alpha$ ) with spiritual words" ( $\pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa о \iota)$ ). This again makes good sense in harmony with the first part of verse 13 . On the whole this is the most natural way to take it, though various other possibilities exist.

1Cor 2:14
Now the natural man ( $\psi v \chi \iota \kappa о \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi о \varsigma)$. Note absence of article here, "A natural man" (an unregenerate man). Paul does not employ modern psychological terms and he exercises variety in his use of all the terms here present as $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ and $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \sigma \sigma, \psi v \chi \eta$ and $\psi v \chi \iota к о \sigma, \sigma \alpha \rho \xi$ and $\sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \iota \nu о \varsigma$ and баркıкоৎ. A helpful discussion of the various uses of
these words in the New Testament is given by Burton in his New Testament Word Studies, pp. 62-68, and in his

Spirit, Soul, and Flesh . The papyri furnish so many examples of $\sigma \alpha \rho \xi, \pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha$, and $\psi v \times \eta$ that Moulton and Milligan make no attempt at an exhaustive treatment, but give a few miscellaneous examples to illustrate the varied uses that parallel the New Testament. $\Psi \cup \chi ו \kappa о \varsigma$ is a qualitative adjective from $\psi v \chi \eta$ (breath of life like $\alpha \nu \mu \alpha$, life, soul). Here the Vulgate renders it by animalis and the German by sinnlich, the original sense of animal life as in Jude 1:19; Jas 3:15. In 1Co 15:44,46 there is the same contrast between $\psi v \chi$ ккоৎ and $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu a \tau \iota \kappa о \varsigma$ as here. The $\psi v \chi \iota \kappa о \varsigma$ man is the unregenerate man while the $\pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa о \varsigma$ man is the renewed man, born again of the Spirit of God.

Receiveth not (ov $\delta \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Does not accept, rejects, refuses to accept. In Ro 8:7 Paul definitely states the inability (ov $\delta \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho \delta u v a \tau \alpha$ ) of the mind of the flesh to receive the things of the Spirit untouched by the Holy Spirit. Certainly the initiative comes from God whose Holy Spirit makes it possible for us to accept the things of the Spirit of God. They are no longer "foolishness" ( $\mu \omega \rho$ ı $\alpha$ ) to us as was once the case ( $1: 23$ ). Today one notes certain of the intelligentsia who sneer at Christ and Christianity in their own blinded ignorance.

He cannot know them (ov $\delta v v \alpha \tau \alpha ~ \gamma v \omega v \alpha$ ). He is not able to get a knowledge (ingressive second aorist active infinitive of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega)$. His helpless condition calls for pity in place of impatience on our part, though such an one usually poses as a paragon of wisdom and commiserates the deluded followers of Christ.

They are spiritually judged ( $\pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau ı \kappa \omega \varsigma ~ a v \alpha \kappa \rho ı \nu \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Paul and Luke are fond of this verb, though nowhere else in the N.T. Paul uses it only in I Corinthians. The word means a sifting process to get at the truth by investigation as of a judge. In Ac 17:11 the Beroeans scrutinized the Scriptures. These $\psi v \chi$ ıко men are incapable of rendering a decision for they are unable to recognize the facts. They judge by the $\psi v \chi \eta$ (mere animal nature) rather than by the $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ (the renewed spirit).

1Cor 2:15
Judgeth all things (avaкрıve $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ). The spiritual man (о $\pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau ь к о \varsigma)$ is qualified to sift, to examine, to decide rightly, because he has the eyes of his heart enlightened (Eph 1:18) and is no longer blinded by the god of this world (2Co 4:4). There is a great lesson for Christians who know by personal experience the things of the Spirit of God. Men of intellectual gifts who are ignorant of the things of Christ talk learnedly and patronizingly about things of which they are grossly ignorant. The spiritual man is superior to all this false knowledge.

He himself is judged of no man ( $\alpha \cup \tau о \varsigma ~ \delta \varepsilon ~ v \pi^{\prime}$ ou $\delta \varepsilon v o c, \alpha v \alpha \kappa \rho ı v \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Men will pass judgment on him, but the spiritual man refuses to accept the decision of his ignorant judges. He stands superior to them all as Polycarp did when he preferred to be burnt to saying,
"Lord Caesar" in place of "Lord Jesus." He was unwilling to save his earthly life by the worship of Caesar in place of the Lord Jesus. Polycarp was a $\pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu a \tau ⿺ \kappa \varsigma$, man.

1Cor 2:16
For who hath known the mind of the Lord (Tıs $\gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \gamma v \omega$ vouv Kupıov;). Quotation from Isa 40:13.

That he should instruct him ( $\circ \varsigma \sigma \cup \nu \beta \curlywedge \beta \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v$ ). This use of oc (relative
who ) is almost consecutive (result). The $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa o s ~ m a n ~ i s ~ s u p e r i o r ~ t o ~ o t h e r s ~ w h o ~$ attempt even to instruct God himself. See on Ac 9:22; 16:10 for $\sigma \cup v \beta \iota \beta a \zeta \omega$, to make go together.

But we have the mind of Christ ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon เ \varsigma ~ \delta \varepsilon$ vouv X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о v \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v$ ). As he has already shown (verses 6-13). Thus with the mind (vouc. Cf. Php 2:5; Ro 8:9,27 ). Hence Paul and all $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa o$ men are superior to those who try to shake their faith in Christ, the mystery of God. Paul can say, "I know him whom I have believed." "I believe; therefore I have spoken."

## 1 Corinthians 3

1Cor 3：1
But as unto carnal（ $\left.\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \omega \varsigma \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa ı v o ı \varsigma\right) . ~ L a t i n ~ c a r n e u s . ~ " A s ~ m e n ~ o ' ~ f l e s h, " ~ B r a i d ~ S c o t s ; ~$ ＂as worldlings，＂Moffatt．This form in－tvoc like $\lambda_{1} \theta$ ıvos in 2Co 3：3 means the material of flesh，＂not on tablets of stone，but on fleshen tablets on hearts．＂So in Heb 7：16．But in Ro 7：14 Paul says，＂I am fleshen（ $\sigma \alpha \rho \kappa ı о \varsigma) ~ s o l d ~ u n d e r ~ s i n, " ~ a s ~ i f ~ \sigma \alpha \rho к ı v o c ~ r e p r e s e n t e d ~ t h e ~ e x-~$ treme power of the $\sigma \alpha \rho \xi$ ．Which does Paul mean here？He wanted to speak the wisdom of God among the adults（1Co 2：6 ），the spiritual（o $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu a \tau \iota \kappa 0,2: 15$ ），but he was unable to treat them as $\pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau$ ıкo in reality because of their seditions and immoralities．It is not wrong to be $\sigma \alpha \rho \kappa เ v o c$ ，for we all live in the flesh（ $\varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa, G a 2: 20$ ），but we are not to live according to the flesh（ката барка，Ro 8：12）．It is not culpable to a babe in Christ（ $v \eta \pi ⿱ 䒑 龰, ~, ~$ 1Co 13：11），unless unduly prolonged（ 1 Co 14：20；Heb 5：13f．）．It is one of the tragedies of the minister＇s life that he has to keep on speaking to the church members＂as unto babes in Christ＂（ $\omega \varsigma \nu \eta \pi \iota \circ \varsigma \varepsilon v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ ），who actually glory in their long babyhood whereas they ought to be teachers of the gospel instead of belonging to the cradle roll．Paul＇s goal was for all the babes to become adults（Col 1：28）．

1Cor 3：2
I fed you with milk，not with meat（ $\gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha \cup \mu \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \pi о \tau \iota \sigma \alpha$ ，ov $\beta \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ ）．Note two accusat－ ives with the verb，$\varepsilon \pi о \tau \iota \sigma \alpha$ ，first aorist active indicative of $\pi о \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ ，as with other causative verbs，that of the person and of the thing．In the LXX and the papyri the verb often means to irrigate．Bp $\omega \mu \alpha$ does not mean meat（flesh）as opposed to bread，but all solid food as in ＂meats and drinks＂（Heb 9：7）．It is a zeugma to use $\varepsilon \pi о \tau \iota \sigma \alpha$ with $\beta \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ ．Paul did not glory in making his sermons thin and watery．Simplicity does not require lack of ideas or dulness． It is pathetic to think how the preacher has to clip the wings of thought and imagination because the hearers cannot go with him．But nothing hinders great preaching like the dulness caused by $\sin$ on the part of auditors who are impatient with the high demands of the gospel．

1Cor 3：3
For ye are yet carnal（ $\varepsilon \tau \gamma \alpha \rho$ баркıко єбтє）．इаркıкоৎ，unlike баркıขоৎ，like ıкоৎ formations，means adapted to，fitted for the flesh（ $\sigma \alpha \rho \xi$ ），one who lives according to the
 regenerate man．Both classes are баркıvo made in flesh，and both may be баркıко though the $\pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau ו \kappa o$ should not be．The $\pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa o$ who continue to be $\sigma \alpha \rho \kappa เ v o$ are still babes （ $v \eta \pi \iota$ ），not adults（ $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \circ$ ），while those who are still $\sigma \alpha \rho к ⿺ \kappa о$（carnal）have given way to the flesh as if they were still $\psi v \chi$ ıко（unregenerate）．It is a bold and cutting figure，not without sarcasm，but necessary to reveal the Corinthians to themselves．

Jealousy and strife（ $\zeta \eta \lambda о \varsigma \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \rho \iota \varsigma)$ ．Zeal（ $\zeta \eta \lambda>\varsigma$ from $\zeta \varepsilon \omega$ ，to boil）is not necessarily evil，but good if under control．It may be not according to knowledge（Ro 10：2）and easily
becomes jealousy (same root through the French jaloux) as zeal. Ardour may be like the jealousy of God (2Co 11:2) or the envy of men (Ac 5:17). Epıs is an old word, but used only by Paul in N.T. (see on 1Co 1:11 ). Wrangling follows jealousy. These two voices of the spirit are to Paul proof that the Corinthians are still барккко and walking according to men, not according to the Spirit of Christ.

1Cor 3:4
For when one saith (o $\tau \alpha v \gamma \alpha \rho \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \eta \tau \iota \varsigma)$. Indefinite temporal clause with the present subjunctive of repetition (Robertson, Grammar, p. 972). Each instance is a case in point and proof abundant of the strife.

Of Paul (Пavגou). Predicate genitive, belong to Paul, on Paul's side.
Of Apollos (A $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega$ ). Same genitive, but the form is the so-called Attic second declension. See the nominative $А \pi о \lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma$ in verse 5.

Men ( $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$ ). Just mere human creatures ( $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \mathrm{o}$, generic term for mankind), in the flesh ( $\sigma \alpha \rho \kappa ı v o$ ), acting like the flesh ( $\sigma \alpha \rho \kappa ı к о$ ), not $\pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau ı \kappa о$, as if still $\psi \cup \chi ı к о$. It was a home-thrust. Paul would not even defend his own partisans.

1Cor 3:5
What then? ( $\tau$ ouv;). He does not say $\tau \iota \varsigma$ (who), but $\tau$ (what), neuter singular interrogative pronoun.

Ministers ( $\delta$ ıккоvo). Not leaders of parties or sects, but merely servants through whom ye believed. The etymology of the word Thayer gives as $\delta 1 \alpha$ and kovis "raising dust by hastening." In the Gospels it is the servant (Mt 20:26 ) or waiter (Joh 2:5 ). Paul so describes himself as a minister (Col 1:23,25). The technical sense of deacon comes later (Php 1:1; 1Ti 3:8,12).

As the Lord gave to him ( $\omega \varsigma$ o Kupıo $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Hence no minister of the Lord like Apollos and Paul has any basis for pride or conceit nor should be made the occasion for faction and strife. This idea Paul enlarges upon through chapters 1Co 3; 4 and it is made plain in chapter 1Co 12 .

1Cor 3:6
I planted ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \varepsilon \varphi \cup \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active indicative of old verb $\varphi \cup \tau \varepsilon \cup \omega$. This Paul did as Luke tells us in Ac 18:1-18 .

Apollos watered (А $А \boldsymbol{\pi} \lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi о \tau \iota \sigma \varepsilon \nu)$. Apollos irrigated the church there as is seen in Ac 18:24-19:1. Another aorist tense as in verse 2.

But God gave the increase ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ o $\theta \varepsilon \circ \varsigma \eta \cup \xi \alpha \nu \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect tense here (active indicative) for the continuous blessing of God both on the work of Paul and Apollos, co-labourers with God in God's field (verse 9). Reports of revivals sometimes give the glory to the evangelist or to both evangelist and pastor. Paul gives it all to God. He and Apollos cooperated as successive pastors.

1Cor 3:7

So then neither--neither--but (H $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ov $\tau \varepsilon--$ ovt $\left.--\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}\right)$. Paul applies his logic relentlessly to the facts. He had asked
what ( $\tau$ ) is Apollos or Paul (verse 5). The answer is here.
Neither is anything ( $\tau$ )
the one who plants nor the one who waters. God is the whole and we are not anything. 1Cor 3:8
Are one ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \sigma \iota v$ ). The neuter singular again ( $\varepsilon v$, not $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma)$ as with the interrogative $\tau$ and the indefinite $\tau$. By this bold metaphor which Paul expands he shows how the planter and the waterer work together. If no one planted, the watering would be useless. If no one watered, the planting would come to naught as the dreadful drouth of 1930 testifies while these words are written.

According to his own labour ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ tov $\delta \iota \circ v$ котоv). God will bestow to each the reward that his labour deserves. That is the pay that the preacher is sure to receive. He may get too little or too much here from men. But the due reward from God is certain and it will be adequate however ungrateful men may be.

1Cor 3:9
God's fellow-workers ( $\theta$ عov $\sigma u v \varepsilon \rho \gamma o$ ). This old word (co-workers of God) has a new dignity here. God is the major partner in the enterprise of each life, but he lets us work with him. Witness the mother and God with the baby as the product.

God's husbandry ( $\theta \varepsilon \circ v \gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma\llcorner v$ ). God's tilled land ( $\gamma \eta, \varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v$ ). The farmer works with God in God's field. Without the sun, the rains, the seasons the farmer is helpless.

God's building ( $\theta$ عov oוкобо䒑ŋ). God is the Great Architect. We work under him and carry out the plans of the Architect. It is building (orкоৎ, house, $\delta \varepsilon \mu \omega$, to build). Let us never forget that God sees and cares what we do in the part of the building where we work for him.

1Cor 3:10
As a wise masterbuilder ( $\omega \varsigma \sigma 0 \varphi \circ \varsigma \alpha \rho \chi \iota \tau \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v$ ). Paul does not shirk his share in the work at Corinth with all the sad outcome there. He absolves Apollos from responsibility for the divisions. He denies that he himself is to blame. In doing so he has to praise himself because the Judaizers who fomented the trouble at Corinth had directly blamed Paul. It is not always wise for a preacher to defend himself against attack, but it is sometimes necessary. Factions in the church were now a fact and Paul went to the bottom of the matter. God gave Paul the grace to do what he did. This is the only New Testament example of the old and common word $\alpha \rho \chi \iota \tau \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v$, our architect. Tعк $\tau \omega v$ is from $\tau \iota \kappa \tau \omega$, to beget, and means a begetter, then a worker in wood or stone, a carpenter or mason (Mt 13:55; Mr 6:3). Apxt- is an old inseparable prefix like $\alpha \rho \chi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda$ оऽ (archangel), $\alpha \rho \chi \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \chi$ отоৎ (archbishop), $\alpha \rho \chi ı \rho \rho \varepsilon \cup \varsigma$ (chiefpriest). Apxıtєкт $\omega v$ occurs in the papyri and inscriptions in an even wider sense than our use of architect, sometimes of the chief engineers. But Paul means to claim primacy as
pastor of the church in Corinth as is true of every pastor who is the architect of the whole church life and work. All the workmen (тєктоv\&ৎ, carpenters) work under the direction of the architect (Plato, Statesman, 259). "As a wise architect I laid a foundation" ( $\theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \iota o v$ $\varepsilon \theta \eta \kappa \alpha)$. Much depends on the wisdom of the architect in laying the foundation. This is the technical phrase (Lu 6:48; 14:29) , a cognate accusative for $\theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota}$ 。 . The substantive $\theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda_{\text {ıov }}$ is from the same root $\theta \varepsilon$ as $\varepsilon \theta \eta \kappa \alpha(\tau \iota-\theta \eta \mu)$. We cannot neatly reproduce the idiom in English. "I placed a placing" does only moderately well. Paul refers directly to the events described by Luke in Ac 18:1-18. The aorist $\varepsilon \not \eta^{\prime} \kappa \alpha$ is the correct text, not the perfect $\tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha \alpha$.

Another buildeth thereon ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ ос $\varepsilon \pi о \ldots к о \delta о \mu \varepsilon$ ). Note the preposition $\varepsilon \pi$ with the verb each time ( $10,11,12,14$ ). The successor to Paul did not have to lay a new foundation, but only to go on building on that already laid. It is a pity when the new pastor has to dig up the foundation and start all over again as if an earthquake had come.

Take heed how he buildeth thereon ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \omega \pi \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi о \ldots \kappa \delta \circ \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon$ ). The carpenters have need of caution how they carry out the plans of the original architect. Successive architects of great cathedrals carry on through centuries the original design. The result becomes the wonder of succeeding generations. There is no room for individual caprice in the superstructure.

1Cor 3:11
Other foundation ( $\left.\theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \_o v ~ a \lambda \lambda o v\right)$. The gender of the adjective is here masculine as is shown by $\alpha \lambda \lambda o v$. If neuter, it would be $\alpha \lambda \lambda$. It is masculine because Paul has Christ in mind. It is not here $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ a different kind of gospel ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v \varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda เ \rho v, ~ G a ~ 1: 6 ; 2$ Co 11:4 ) which is not another ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda 0$, Ga 1:7) in reality. But another Jesus (2Co 11:4, $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ ov I I $\sigma o v v$ ) is a reflection on the one Lord Jesus. Hence there is no room on the platform with Jesus for another Saviour, whether Buddha, Mahomet, Dowie, Eddy, or what not. Jesus Christ is the one foundation and it is gratuitous impudence for another to assume the role of Foundation.

Than that which is laid, which is Christ Jesus ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ тov $\kappa \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o v$, os $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ I $\eta \sigma o v \varsigma$ Xрıбтоৎ). Literally, "alongside ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ) the one laid ( $\kappa \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o v$ )," already laid (present middle participle of $\kappa \varepsilon \mu \alpha$, used here as often as the perfect passive of $\tau \uparrow \theta \eta \mu$ in place of $\tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \mu \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Paul scouts the suggestion that one even in the interest of so-called "new thought" will dare to lay beside Jesus another foundation for religion. And yet I have seen an article by a professor in a theological seminary in which he advocates regarding Jesus as a landmark, not as a goal, not as a foundation. Clearly Paul means that on this one true foundation, Jesus Christ, one must build only what is in full harmony with the Foundation which is Jesus Christ. If one accuses Paul of narrowness, it can be replied that the architect has to be narrow in the sense of building here and not there. A broad foundation will be too thin and unstable for a solid and abiding structure. It can be said also that Paul is here merely repeating the claim of Jesus himself on this very subject when he quoted Ps 118:22f. to the members of the Sanhedrin who challenged his authority (Mr 11:10f.; Mt 21:42-45; Lu 20:17f. ). Apostles
and prophets go into this temple of God, but Christ Jesus is the chief corner stone ( $\alpha к \rho о \gamma \omega v \alpha \iota \varsigma$, Eph 2:20 ). All believers are living stones in this temple (1Pe 2:5). But there is only one foundation possible.

1Cor 3:12
Gold, silver, precious stones, wood, hay, stubble ( $\chi \rho v \sigma \iota \circ v, ~ a \rho \gamma v \rho ı o v, \lambda_{\imath} \theta$ ov $\tau \tau \mu \circ v \sigma$, $\xi \cup \lambda \alpha, \chi$ о тоv, $\kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \eta v$ ). The durable materials are three (gold, silver, marble or precious stones), perishable materials (pieces of wood, hay, stubble), "of a palace on the one hand, of a mud hut on the other" (Lightfoot). Gold was freely used by the ancients in their palaces. Their marble and granite pillars are still the wonder and despair of modern men. The wooden huts had hay ( $\chi$ ортоৎ, grass, as in Mr 6:39) and stubble ( $\kappa \lambda \alpha \mu \eta$, old word for stubble after the grain is cut, here alone in the N.T., though in LXX as Ex 5:12) which were employed to hold the wood pieces together and to thatch the roof. It is not made clear whether Paul's metaphor refers to the persons as in God's building in verse 9 or to the character of the teaching as in verse 13 . Probably both ideas are involved, for look at the penalty on shoddy work (verse 15) and shoddy men (verse 17). The teaching may not always be vicious and harmful. It may only be indifferent and worthless. A co-worker with God in this great temple should put in his very best effort.

1Cor 3:13
The day ( $\eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). The day of judgment as in 1Th 5:4 (which see), Ro 13:12; Heb 10:25 . The work ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v$ ) of each will be made manifest. There is no escape from this final testing.

It is revealed in fire ( $\varepsilon v \pi v \rho \alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \lambda \cup \pi \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Apparently "the day" is the subject of the verb, not the work, not the Lord. See 2Th 1:8; 2:8. This metaphor of fire was employed in the O.T. (Da 7:9f.; Mal 4:1) and by John the Baptist (Mt 3:12; Lu 3:16f. ). It is a metaphor that must not be understood as purgatorial, but simple testing (Ellicott) as every fire tests (
 building,
of what sort it is (oтoov $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$, qualitative relative pronoun. Men today find, alas, that some of the fireproof buildings are not fireproof when the fire actually comes.

1Cor 3:14
If any man's work shall abide ( $\varepsilon \tau \tau v o \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ \varepsilon \rho \gamma o v \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ ). Condition of the first class with future indicative, determined as fulfilled, assumed as true. When the fire has done its work, what is left? That is the fiery test that the work of each of us must meet. Suitable reward (Mt 20:8) will come for the work that stands this test (gold, silver, precious stones)

1Cor 3:15
Shall be burned (катакаךбєєа). First-class condition again, assumed as true. Second future (late form) passive indicative of катакаเ $\omega$, to burn down, old verb. Note perfective use of preposition $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$, shall be burned down. We usually say "burned up," and that is true also, burned up in smoke.

He shall suffer loss ( $\zeta \eta \mu \omega \theta \eta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. First future passive indicative of $\zeta \eta \mu \omega \omega$, old verb from $\zeta \eta \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ (damage, loss), to suffer loss. In Mt 16:26; Mr 8:36; Lu 9:25 the loss is stated to be the man's soul ( $\psi v \times \eta v$ ) or eternal life. But here there is no such total loss as that. The man's work ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v$ ) is burned up (sermons, lectures, books, teaching, all dry as dust).

But he himself shall be saved ( $\alpha \cup \tau o \varsigma ~ \delta \varepsilon \sigma \omega \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Eternal salvation, but not by purgatory. His work is burned up completely and hopelessly, but he himself escapes destruction because he is really a saved man a real believer in Christ.

Yet so as through fire ( $0 \cup \tau \omega \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \delta \iota \alpha \pi \nu \rho o \varsigma)$. Clearly Paul means with his work burned down (verse 15). It is the tragedy of a fruitless life, of a minister who built so poorly on the true foundation that his work went up in smoke. His sermons were empty froth or windy words without edifying or building power. They left no mark in the lives of the hearers. It is the picture of a wasted life. The one who enters heaven by grace, as we all do who are saved, yet who brings no sheaves with him. There is no garnered grain the result of his labours in the harvest field. There are no souls in heaven as the result of his toil for Christ, no enrichment of character, no growth in grace.

1Cor 3:16
Ye are a temple of God (vaoc $\theta \varepsilon o v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). Literally, a sanctuary (vaoc, not $\varepsilon \varepsilon \rho \circ v$, the sacred enclosure, but the holy place and the most holy place) of God. The same picture of building as in verse 9 (оккобонף), only here the sanctuary itself.

Dwelleth in you ( $\varepsilon v \cup \mu \nu v$ огк $)$. The Spirit of God makes his home (oık $\varepsilon$ ) in us, not in temples made with hands (Ac 7:48; 17:24).

1Cor 3:17
Destroyeth ( $\varphi \theta \varepsilon \rho \rho \varepsilon$ ). The outward temple is merely the symbol of God's presence, the Shechinah (the Glory). God makes his home in the hearts of his people or the church in any given place like Corinth. It is a terrible thing to tear down ruthlessly a church or temple of God like an earthquake that shatters a building in ruins. This old verb $\varphi \theta \varepsilon ı \rho \omega$ means to corrupt, to deprave, to destroy. It is a gross sin to be a church-wrecker. There are actually a few preachers who leave behind them ruin like a tornado in their path.

Him shall God destroy ( $\varphi \theta \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$ тoutov o $\theta \varepsilon \circ \varsigma)$. There is a solemn repetition of the same verb in the future active indicative. The condition is the first class and is assumed to be true. Then the punishment is certain and equally effective. The church-wrecker God will wreck. What does Paul mean by "will destroy"? Does he mean punishment here or hereafter? May it not be both? Certainly he does not mean annihilation of the man's soul, though it may well include eternal punishment. There is warning enough here to make every pastor pause before he tears a church to pieces in order to vindicate himself.

Holy ( $\alpha \boldsymbol{\gamma} \circ \varsigma$ ). Hence deserves reverential treatment. It is not the building or house of which Paul speaks as "the sanctuary of God" ( $\tau 0 v$ vaov $\tau 0 v \theta$ हov), but the spiritual organization or organism of God's people in whom God dwells, "which temple ye are" (oıtıvءৎ عбтє
$\nu \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. The qualitative relative pronoun oוtıvعৎ is plural to agree with $\nu \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~(y e) ~ a n d ~ r e f e r s ~$ to the holy temple just mentioned. The Corinthians themselves in their angry disputes had forgotten their holy heritage and calling, though this failing was no excuse for the ringleaders who had led them on. In 6:19 Paul reminds the Corinthians again that the body is the temple (vaoc, sanctuary) of the Holy Spirit, which fact they had forgotten in their immoralities.

1Cor 3:18
Let no man deceive himself ( $\mathrm{M} \eta \delta \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \alpha u \tau o v \varepsilon \xi \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \omega$ ). A warning that implied that some of them were guilty of doing it ( $\mu \eta$ and the present imperative). Excited partisans can easily excite themselves to a pious phrenzy, hypnotize themselves with their own supposed devotion to truth.

Thinketh that he is wise ( $\delta о к \varepsilon ~ \sigma о \varphi о \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ı v \alpha) . ~ C o n d i t i o n ~ o f ~ f i r s t ~ c l a s s ~ a n d ~ a s s u m e d ~ t o ~ b e ~$ true. Predicate nominative $\sigma о \varphi \circ \varsigma$ with the infinitive to agree with subject of $\delta$ окع (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1038). Paul claimed to be "wise" himself in verse 10 and he desires that the claimant to wisdom may become wise ( $\imath \alpha \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \eta \eta \tau \alpha \sigma \circ \circ \varsigma$, purpose clause with iva and subjunctive) by becoming a fool ( $\mu \omega \rho \circ \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega$, second aorist middle imperative of $\gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \alpha$ ) as this age looks at him. This false wisdom of the world ( $1: 18-20,23 ; 2: 14$ ), this self-conceit, has led to strife and wrangling. Cut it out.

1Cor 3:19
Foolishness with God ( $\mu \omega \rho \iota \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). Whose standard does a church (temple) of God wish, that of this world or of God? The two standards are not the same. It is a pertinent inquiry with us all whose idea rules in our church. Paul quotes Job 5:13.

That taketh (o $\delta \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Old verb $\delta \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma o \mu \alpha$, to grasp with the hand, is used here for the less vivid word in the LXX кат $\alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega v$. It occurs nowhere else in the N.T., but appears in the papyri to lay hands on. Job is quoted in the N.T. only here and in Ro 11:35 and both times with variations from the LXX. This word occurs in Ecclesiasticus 26:7; 34:2. In Ps 2:12 the LXX has $\delta \rho \alpha \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon ı \alpha$, , lay hold on instruction.

Craftiness ( $\pi \alpha v o v \rho \gamma(\alpha)$. The $\pi \alpha v o v \rho \gamma o \varsigma ~ m a n ~ i s ~ r e a d y ~ f o r ~ a n y ~ o r ~ a l l ~ w o r k ~(i f ~ b a d ~ e n o u g h) . ~$ So it means versatile cleverness (Robertson and Plummer), astutia (Vulgate).

1Cor 3:20
And again ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \lambda ı v$ ). Another confirmatory passage from Ps 94:11.
Reasonings ( $\delta$ ı $\alpha$ 入oүı $\sigma \mu$ оиऽ). More than cogitationes (Vulgate), sometimes disputations (Php 2:14). Paul changes "men" of LXX to wise ( $\sigma \circ \varphi \omega v$ ) in harmony with the Hebrew context.

Vain ( $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha \omega$ ). Useless, foolish, from $\mu \alpha \tau \eta$, a futile attempt.
1Cor 3:21
Wherefore let no one glory in men ( $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \kappa \alpha \cup \chi \alpha \sigma \theta \omega \varepsilon v \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \iota \varsigma)$. The conclusion ( $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ) from the self-conceit condemned. This particle here is merely inferential
with no effect on the construction ( $\omega \sigma+\tau \varepsilon=$ and so) any more than ouv would have, a paratactic conjunction. There are thirty such examples of $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ in the N.T., eleven with the imperative as here (Robertson, Grammar, p. 999). The spirit of glorying in party is a species of self-conceit and inconsistent with glorying in the Lord (1:31).

1Cor 3:22
Yours $(\nu \mu \omega v)$. Predicate genitive, belong to you. All the words in this verse and 23 are anarthrous, though not indefinite, but definite. The English reproduces them all properly without the definite article except коб $\mu$ ऽ (the world), and even here just world will answer. Proper names do not need the article to be definite nor do words for single objects like world, life, death. Things present ( $\varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \tau \alpha$, second perfect participle of $\varepsilon \nu เ \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ ) and things to come divide two classes. Few of the finer points of Greek syntax need more attention than the absence of the article. We must not think of the article as "omitted" (Robertson, Grammar, p. 790). The wealth of the Christian includes all things, all leaders, past, present, future, Christ, and God. There is no room for partisan wrangling here.

## 1 Corinthians 4

1Cor 4:1
 Covenant (1Co 3:5) are under-rowers, subordinate rowers of Christ, only here in Paul's Epistles, though in the Gospels ( $\operatorname{Lu}$ 4:20 the attendant in the synagogue) and the Acts (Ac 13:5 ) of John Mark. The
so (ovtcc) gathers up the preceding argument (3:5-23) and applies it directly by the
as $(\omega \varsigma)$ that follows.
Stewards of the mysteries of God (oוкоvo $\mu о v \varsigma \mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho I \omega v \theta \varepsilon \sigma v$ ). The steward or house manager (окоৎ, house, $\nu \varepsilon \mu \omega$, to manage, old word) was a slave ( $\delta$ ou $\lambda o \varsigma$ ) under his lord (кupıoc, Lu 12:42), but a master (Lu 16:1) over the other slaves in the house (menservants
 ). Hence the under-rower (v $\quad \eta \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta \varsigma$ ) of Christ has a position of great dignity as steward (oıкоvoноऽ) of the mysteries of God. Jesus had expressly explained that the mysteries of the kingdom were open to the disciples (Mt 13:11). They were entrusted with the knowledge of some of God's secrets though the disciples were not such apt pupils as they claimed to be (Mt 13:51; 16:8-12 ). As stewards Paul and other ministers are entrusted with the mysteries (see on 1Co 2:7 for this word) of God and are expected to teach them. "The church is the
 2:19)" (Lightfoot). Paul had a vivid sense of the dignity of this stewardship (oккоvoнia) of God given to him (Col 1:25; Eph 1:10). The ministry is more than a mere profession or trade. It is a calling from God for stewardship.

1Cor 4:2
Here ( $\omega \delta \varepsilon$ ). Either here on earth or in this matter. It is always local.
 for what is left, besides.

It is required ( $\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ ). It is sought. Many MSS. read $\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \iota \varepsilon$, ye seek, an easy change as $\alpha$ and $\varepsilon$ came to be pronounced alike (Robertson, Grammar, p. 186).

That a man be found faithful (ıva $\tau \iota \sigma \tau o \varsigma \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon v \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta$ ). Non-final use of $\iota v a$ with first aorist passive subjunctive of $\varepsilon u \rho เ \sigma \kappa \omega$, the result of the seeking $(\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \omega)$. Fidelity is the essential requirement in all such human relationships, in other words, plain honesty in handling money like bank-clerks or in other positions of trust like public office.

1Cor 4:3
But with me ( $\varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o} \delta \varepsilon$ ). The ethical dative of personal relation and interest, "as I look at my own case." Cf. Php 1:21.

It is a very small thing ( $\left.\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \_\lambda \alpha \chi เ \sigma \tau 0 v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v\right)$. This predicate use of $\varepsilon ા \varsigma$ is like the Hebrew, but it occurs also in the papyri. The superlative e $\lambda \alpha \chi$ ıotov is elative, very little, not the true superlative, least. "It counts for very little with me."

That I should be judged of you ( $\iota v \alpha \nu \varphi^{\prime} \nu \mu \omega \nu \alpha v a \kappa \rho ı \theta \omega$ ). Same use of $t v a$ as in verse 2. For the verb (first aorist passive subjunctive of $\alpha v a \kappa \rho เ v \omega)$ see on 1Co 2:14f . Paul does not despise public opinion, but he denies "the competency of the tribunal" in Corinth (Robertson and Plummer) to pass on his credentials with Christ as his Lord.
 to the Lord's Day (der Tag) in 3:13. "That is the tribunal which the Apostle recognizes; a human tribunal he does not care to satisfy" (Robertson and Plummer).

Yea, I judge not mine own self ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu \alpha \nu \tau о v ~ \alpha v a \kappa \rho ı v \omega$ ). A $\lambda \lambda \alpha$ here is confirmatory, not adversative. "I have often wondered how it is that every man sets less value on his own opinion of himself than on the opinion of others" (M. Aurelius, xii. 4. Translated by Robertson and Plummer). Paul does not even set himself up as judge of himself.

1Cor 4:4
For I know nothing against myself ( $o v \delta \varepsilon v \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \mu \alpha \cup \tau \omega \sigma v v o t \delta \alpha$ ). Not a statement of fact, but an hypothesis to show the unreliability of mere complacent self-satisfaction. Note the use of $\sigma u v o r \delta \alpha$ (second perfect active indicative with dative (disadvantage) of the reflexive pronoun) for guilty knowledge against oneself (cf. Ac 5:2; 12:12; 14:6 ).

Yet ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ). Adversative use of $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$.
Am I not hereby justified (ovk $\varepsilon v \tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \tau \omega \delta \varepsilon \delta ı \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \mu \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of state of completion. Failure to be conscious of one's own sins does not mean that one is innocent. Most prisoners plead "not guilty." Who is the judge of the steward of the mysteries of God? It is the Lord "that judgeth me" (o avak $\rho v \omega v \mu \varepsilon$ ). Probably, who examines me and then passes on my fidelity ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ$, in verse 2 ).

1Cor 4:5
Wherefore ( $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). As in 3:21 which see.
 See the words of Jesus in Mt 7:1. The censorious habit was ruining the Corinthian Church.

Before the time ( $\pi \rho \circ$ кal $\rho o v$ ). The day of the Lord in 3:13. "Do not therefore anticipate the great judgment (крьбıऽ) by any preliminary investigation (аvaкрıбıя) which must be futile and incomplete" (Lightfoot).

Until the Lord come ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ о кטрıоৎ). Common idiom of $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ and the aorist subjunctive with or without $\alpha v$ for a future event. Simple futurity, but held forth as a glorious hope, the Second Coming of the Lord Jesus as Judge.

Who will both bring to light ( $\varsigma \varsigma \kappa \alpha \varphi \tau \tau \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Future indicative of this late verb (in papyri also) from $\varphi \omega \varsigma$ (light), to turn the light on the hidden things of darkness.

And make manifest ( $\kappa \alpha \varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ). (Ionic and late) causative verb $\varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \circ \omega$ from pavepoc. By turning on the light the counsels of all hearts stand revealed.

His praise (o $\varepsilon \pi \alpha, v o \varsigma)$. The praise (note article) due him from God (Ro 2:29) will come to each then ( (от $\varepsilon$ ) and not till then. Meanwhile Paul will carry on and wait for the praise from God.

1Cor 4:6
I have in a figure transferred ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active (not perfect) indicative of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha-\sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, used by Plato and Aristotle for changing the form of a thing (from $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$, after, and $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha$, form or habit, like Latin habitus from $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ and so different from $\mu \circ \rho \varphi \eta$ as in Php 2:7; Ro 12:2 ). For the idea of refashioning see Field, Notes, p. 169f. and Preisigke, Fachworter). Both Greek and Latin writers (Quintilian, Martial) used $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha$ for a rhetorical artifice. Paul's use of the word (in Paul only in N.T.) appears also further in 2Co 11:13-15 where the word occurs three times, twice of the false apostles posing and passing as apostles of Christ and ministers of righteousness, and once of Satan as an angel of light, twice with $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ and once with $\omega \varsigma$. In Php 3:21 the word is used for the change in the body of our humiliation to the body of glory. But here it is clearly the rhetorical figure for a veiled allusion to Paul and Apollos "for your sakes" ( $\delta \iota \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma)$.

That in us ye may learn ( $i v \alpha \varepsilon v \eta \mu \nu \nu \mu \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Final clause with $t v \alpha$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\mu \alpha v \theta \alpha v \omega$, to learn. As an object lesson in our cases ( $\varepsilon v \eta \mu v \nu$ ). It is no more true of Paul and Apollos than of other ministers, but the wrangles in Corinth started about them. So Paul boldly puts himself and Apollos to the fore in the discussion of the principles involved.

Not to go beyond the things which are written ( $\tau 0 \mathrm{M} \eta \quad \cup \pi \varepsilon \rho$ a $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha$ ). It is difficult to reproduce the Greek idiom in English. The article to is in the accusative case as the object of the verb $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ (learn) and points at the words "M $\quad \nu \pi \varepsilon \rho$ a $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha$," apparently a proverb or rule, and elliptical in form with no principal verb expressed with $\mu \eta$, whether "think" (Auth.) or "go" (Revised). There was a constant tendency to smooth out Paul's ellipses as in 2Th 2:3; 1Co 1:26,31. Lightfoot thinks that Paul may have in mind O.T. passages quoted in 1Co 1:19,31; 3:19,20.

That ye be not puffed up ( $\imath v \alpha \mu \eta \varphi v \sigma \iota o v \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Sub-final use of $1 v \alpha$ (second use in this sentence) with notion of result. It is not certain whether $\varphi \cup \sigma 10 v \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ (late verb form like $\varphi \cup \sigma ı \alpha \omega, \varphi \cup \sigma \alpha \omega$, to blow up, to inflate, to puff up), used only by Paul in the N.T., is present indicative with $\iota v \alpha$ like $\zeta \eta \lambda o u \tau \varepsilon$ in Ga 4:17 (cf. $\iota v \alpha \gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa о \mu \varepsilon v$ in 1Jo 5:20) or the present subjunctive by irregular contraction (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 203, 342f.), probably the present indicative. Фuбıo $\omega$ is from $\varphi$ voıc (nature) and so meant to make natural, but it is used by Paul just like $\varphi$ voaw or $\varphi$ vбıa $\omega$ (from $\varphi$ voa, a pair of bellows), a vivid picture of self-conceit.
 precise idea of this idiom of partitive apposition. This is the rule with partisans. They are "for" (vாєр) the one and "against" ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$, down on, the genitive case) the other ( $\tau 0 v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \cup$, not merely another or a second, but the different sort, $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \delta \circ \xi$ ).

1 Cor 4:7
Maketh thee to differ ( $\sigma \varepsilon \delta \iota \alpha \kappa \rho \iota v \varepsilon$ ). Distinguishes thee, separates thee. $\Delta \iota \alpha \kappa \rho \iota v \omega$ means to sift or separate between ( $\delta(\alpha)$ as in Ac 15:9 (which see) where $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi_{v}$ is added to make it plainer. All self-conceit rests on the notion of superiority of gifts and graces as if they were self-bestowed or self-acquired.

Which thou didst not receive (o ovк $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \varsigma)$. "Another home-thrust" (Robertson and Plummer). Pride of intellect, of blood, of race, of country, of religion, is thus shut out.

Dost thou glory (каuүаба). The original second person singular middle ending - $\sigma \alpha$ is here preserved with variable vowel contraction, $\kappa \alpha \cup \chi \propto \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \mathrm{l}=\kappa \alpha \cup \chi \alpha \sigma \alpha$ (Robertson, Grammar, p. 341). Paul is fond of this old and bold verb for boasting.

As if thou hadst not received it ( $\omega \varsigma \mu \eta \lambda \alpha \beta \omega v$ ). This neat participial clause (second aorist active of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$ ) with $\omega \varsigma$ (assumption) and negative $\mu \eta$ punctures effectually the inflated bag of false pride. What pungent questions Paul has asked. Robertson and Plummer say of Augustine, "Ten years before the challenge of Pelagius, the study of St. Paul's writings, and especially of this verse and of Ro 9:16, had crystallized in his mind the distinctively Augustinian doctrines of man's total depravity, of irresistible grace, and of absolute predestination." Human responsibility does exist beyond a doubt, but there is no foundation for pride and conceit.

1Cor 4:8
Already are ye filled? ( $\eta \delta \eta \kappa \varepsilon \kappa о \rho \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o ~ \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ?). Perfect passive indicative, state of completion, of корعvvv $\mu$, old Greek verb to satiate, to satisfy. The only other example in N.T. is Ac 27:38 which see. Paul may refer to De 31:20; $32: 15$. But it is keen irony, even sarcasm. Westcott and Hort make it a question and the rest of the sentence also.

Already ye are become rich ( $\eta \delta \eta \varepsilon \pi \lambda$ ovt $\eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Note change to ingressive aorist indicative of $\pi \lambda$ out $\omega$, old verb to be rich (cf. 2Co 8:9 ). "The aorists, used instead of perfects, imply indecent haste" (Lightfoot). "They have got a private millennium of their own" (Robertson \& Plummer) with all the blessings of the Messianic Kingdom (Lu 22:29f.; 1Th 2:12; 2Ti 2:12 ).

Ye have reigned without us ( $\chi \omega \rho \iota \varsigma \mu \omega v \varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Withering sarcasm. Ye became kings without our company. Some think that Paul as in 3:21 is purposely employing Stoic phraseology though with his own meanings. If so, it is hardly consciously done. Paul was certainly familiar with much of the literature of his time, but it did not shape his ideas.

I would that ye did reign ( $\kappa \alpha$ op $\varepsilon \lambda o v \gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). More exactly, "And would at least that ye had come to reign (or become kings)." It is an unfulfilled wish about the past
expressed by $0 \varphi \varepsilon \lambda o v$ and the aorist indicative instead of $\varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho$ and the aorist indicative (the ancient idiom). See Robertson, Grammar, p. 1003, for the construction with particle $0 \varphi \varepsilon \lambda o v$ (an unaugmented second aorist form).

That we also might reign with you (ıva ка $\eta \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ v \mu \nu v \sigma v \nu \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Ironical contrast to $\chi \omega \rho \iota \varsigma \eta \mu \omega v \varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$, just before. Associative instrumental case of $v \mu \nu v$ after ouv-.

1Cor 4:9
 first aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi \mathrm{o} \delta \varepsilon ו \kappa \nu v \mu$, old verb to show, to expose to view or exhibit (Herodotus), in technical sense (cf. 2Th 2:4) for gladiatorial show as in $\varepsilon \theta \eta \rho \ldots \rho \mu \alpha \eta \sigma \alpha$ (1Co 15:32). In this grand pageant Paul and other apostles come last ( $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau o v \varsigma$, predicate accusative after $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon ı \xi \varepsilon v)$ as a grand finale.

As men doomed to die ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \alpha v a \tau \iota o v \varsigma)$. Late word, here alone in N.T. The LXX (Bel and the Dragon 31) has it for those thrown daily to the lions. Dionysius of Halicarnassus (A.R. vii. 35) uses it of those thrown from the Tarpeian Rock. The gladiators would say morituri salutamus. All this in violent contrast to the kingly Messianic pretensions of the Corinthians.

A spectacle ( $\theta \varepsilon \alpha \tau \rho o v)$. Cf. Heb 11:33-40. The word, like our theatre, means the place of the show (Ac 19:29,31). Then, it means the spectacle shown there ( $\theta \varepsilon \alpha \mu \alpha$ or $\theta \varepsilon \alpha$ ), and, as here, the man exhibited as the show like the verb $\theta \varepsilon \alpha \tau \rho \iota \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v o$, made a spectacle (Heb 10:33 ). Sometimes it refers to the spectators ( $\theta \varepsilon \alpha \tau \alpha)$ like our "house" for the audience. Here the spectators include "the world, both to angels and men" ( $\tau \omega$ коб $\mu \omega$ ка а $\gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda$ оья ка $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \iota \varsigma)$, dative case of personal interest.

1Cor 4:10
We--you ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon ו \sigma--\nu \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. Triple contrast in keenest ironical emphasis. "The three antitheses refer respectively to teaching, demeanour, and worldly position" (Robertson and Plummer). The apostles were fools for Christ's sake (2Co 4:11; Php 3:7 ). They made "union with Christ the basis of worldly wisdom" (Vincent). There is change of order (chiasm) in the third ironical contrast. They are over strong in pretension. Ev $\delta 0 \xi_{o c}$, illustrious, is one of the 103 words found only in Luke and Paul in the N.T. Notion of display and splendour.

1Cor 4:11
Even unto this present hour ( $\alpha \chi \rho \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \rho \tau \omega \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. A $\rho \tau$ (just now, this very minute) accents the continuity of the contrast as applied to Paul. Ten verbs and four participles from 11-13 give a graphic picture of Paul's condition in Ephesus when he is writing this epistle.

```
We hunger ( \(\pi \varepsilon \downarrow \omega \omega \mu \varepsilon v\) ),
we thirst ( \(\delta \iota \psi \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu\) ),
are naked ( \(\gamma \nu \mu \nu \iota \tau \varepsilon \cup \rho \mu \varepsilon)\), late verb for scant clothing from \(\gamma \nu \mu \nu \eta \tau \eta \varsigma\),
```

 the few N.T. and ecclesiastical words and see on Mt 26:67,
have no certain dwelling place ( $\alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \sigma u \mu \varepsilon v$ ) from $\alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \tau o \varsigma$, strolling about and only here save Anthol. Pal. and Aquila in Isa 58:7. Field in Notes, p. 170 renders 1Co 4:11 "and are vagabonds" or spiritual hobos.

1Cor 4:12
We toil ( $\kappa о \pi \iota \omega \mu \varepsilon v)$. Common late verb for weariness in toil ( $\mathrm{Lu} 5: 5$ ),
 and not simply for himself but also for Aquila and Priscilla as he explains in Ac 20:34. This personal touch gives colour to the outline. Paul alludes to this fact often (1Th 2:9; 2Th 3:8; 1Co 9:6; 2Co 11:7). "Greeks despised manual labour; St. Paul glories in it" (Robertson and Plummer). Cf. Deissmann, Light, etc., p. 317.

Being reviled we bless ( $\lambda o t \delta o \rho o v \mu \varepsilon v o ~ \varepsilon v \lambda o \gamma o v \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Almost the language of Peter about Jesus (1Pe 2:23) in harmony with the words of Jesus in Mt 5:44; Lu 6:27.

Being persecuted we endure ( $\delta \omega \kappa \kappa \circ \mu \varepsilon v o ~ \alpha \nu \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). We hold back and do not retaliate. Turn to Paul's other picture of his experiences in the vivid contrasts in 2Co 4:7-10; 6:3-10 for an interpretation of his language here.

1Cor 4:13
Being defamed we intreat ( $\delta v \sigma \varphi \eta \mu о \nu \mu \varepsilon v o \quad \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda о \nu \mu \varepsilon v)$. The participle $\delta \nu \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \sigma \nu \mu \varepsilon v o$ is an old verb (in I Macc. 7:41) to use ill, from $\delta \nu \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \circ \varsigma$, but occurs here only in the N.T. Paul is opening his very heart now after the keen irony above.

As the filth of the world ( $\omega \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \kappa \kappa \alpha \theta \rho \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ tov коб $\mu \circ v$ ). Literally, sweepings, rinsings, cleansings around, dust from the floor, from $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \kappa \alpha \not \theta ı \rho \omega$, to cleanse all around (Plato and Aristotle) and so the refuse thrown off in cleansing. Here only in the N.T. and only twice elsewhere. KaӨap $\mu \alpha$ was the refuse of a sacrifice. In $\operatorname{Pr} 21: 18 \pi \varepsilon \rho ı \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \mu \alpha$ occurs for the scapegoat. The other example is Epictetus iii. 22,78, in the same sense of an expiatory offering of a worthless fellow. It was the custom in Athens during a plague to throw to the sea some wretch in the hope of appeasing the gods. One hesitates to take it so here in Paul, though Findlay thinks that possibly in Ephesus Paul may have heard some such cry like that in the later martyrdoms Christiani ad leones. At any rate in 1Co 15:32 Paul says "I fought with wild beasts" and in 2Co 1:9 "I had the answer of death." Some terrible experience may be alluded to here. The word shows the contempt of the Ephesian populace for Paul as is shown in Ac 19:23-41 under the influence of Demetrius and the craftsmen.

The offscouring of all things ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega v \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \psi \eta \mu \alpha)$. Late word, here only in N.T., though in Tob. 5:18. The word was used in a formula at Athens when victims were flung into the sea, $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \psi \eta \mu \alpha \eta \mu \omega \nu \gamma \varepsilon v o v$ (Became a $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \psi \eta \mu \alpha$ for us), in the sense of expiation. The word merely means scraping around from $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \psi \alpha \omega$, offscrapings or refuse. That is probably the idea here as in Tob. 5:18. It came to have a complimentary sense for the Christians who in
a plague gave their lives for the sick. But it is a bold figure here with Paul of a piece with $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı к \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$.

1Cor 4:14
To shame you ( $\varepsilon v \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega \nu$ ). Literally, shaming you (present active participle of $\varepsilon v \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega$ ), old verb to turn one on himself either middle or with reflexive pronoun and active, but the reflexive eavtoıs is not expressed here. See on 2Th 3:14. The harsh tone has suddenly changed.

1Cor 4:15
To admonish ( $v o v \theta \varepsilon \tau \omega v$ ). Literally, admonishing (present active participle of vou $\theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega$ ). See on 1Th 5:12,14.

For though ye should have ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \chi \eta \tau \varepsilon)$. Third-class condition undetermined, but with prospect of being determined ( $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and present subjunctive), "for if ye have."

Tutors ( $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \alpha \omega \gamma \circ \cup \varsigma)$. This old word ( $\pi \alpha \iota \varsigma$, boy, $\alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \circ \varsigma$, leader) was used for the guide or attendant of the child who took him to school as in Ga 3:24 (Christ being the schoolmaster) and also as a sort of tutor who had a care for the child when not in school. The papyri examples (Moulton and Milligan, Vocabulary) illustrate both aspects of the paedagogue. Here it is the "tutor in Christ" who is the Teacher. These are the only two N.T. examples of the common word.

I begot you ( $v \mu \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \nu \nu \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). Paul is their
spiritual father in Christ, while Apollos and the rest are their
tutors in Christ.
1Cor 4:16
Be ye imitators of me ( $\mu \iota \eta \tau \alpha \mu \circ v \gamma เ v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). "Keep on becoming (present middle imperative) imitators of me (objective genitive)." $М \nmid \mu \eta \tau \eta \varsigma$ is an old word from $\mu \mu \varepsilon \sigma \mu \alpha$, to copy, to mimic ( $\mu \mu \boldsymbol{)}$ ). Paul stands for his rights as their spiritual father against the pretensions of the Judaizers who have turned them against him by the use of the names of Apollos and Cephas.

1Cor 4:17
Have I sent $(\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \alpha)$. First aorist active indicative. Probably Timothy had already gone as seems clear from 16:10f. Apparently Timothy came back to Ephesus and was sent on to Macedonia before the uproar in Ephesus (Ac 19:22 ). Probably also Titus was then despatched to Corinth, also before the uproar.

In every church ( $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ ). Paul expects his teachings and practices to be followed in every church (1Co 14:33 ). Note his language here "my ways those in Christ Jesus." Timothy as Paul's spokesman
will remind ( $\alpha v \alpha \mu \nu \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ ) the Corinthians of Paul's teachings.
1Cor 4:18

Some are puffed up ( $\varepsilon \varphi v \sigma \omega \omega \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist (effective) passive indicative of $\varphi v \sigma \iota \sigma$ which see on verse 6 .

As though I were not coming to you ( $\omega \varsigma \mu \eta \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v \mu \circ v \pi \rho \circ \varsigma v \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). Genitive absolute with particle (assuming it as so) with $\mu \eta$ as negative.

1Cor 4:19
If the Lord will ( $\varepsilon \alpha \vee$ о кирью $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \eta$ ). Third-class condition. See James 4:15; Ac 18:21; 1Co 16:7 for the use of this phrase. It should represent one's constant attitude, though not always to be spoken aloud.

But the power ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau \eta v \delta v v \alpha \mu v \nu$ ). The puffed up Judaizers did a deal of talking in Paul's absence. He will come and will know their real strength. II Corinthians gives many evidences of Paul's sensitiveness to their talk about his inconsistencies and cowardice (in particular chs. 2 Co $1 ; 2 ; 10 ; 11 ; 12 ; 13$ ). He changed his plans to spare them, not from timidity. It will become plain later that Timothy failed on this mission and that Titus succeeded.

1Cor 4:21
With a rod ( $\varepsilon v \rho \alpha \beta \delta \omega)$. The so-called instrumental use of $\varepsilon v$ like the Hebrew (1Sa 17:43 ). The shepherd leaned on his rod, staff, walking stick. The paedagogue had his rod also.

Shall I come? ( $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega ;$ ). Deliberative subjunctive. Paul gives them the choice. They can have him as their spiritual father or as their paedagogue with a rod.

## 1 Corinthians 5

1Cor 5:1
Actually ( $\mathrm{o} \lambda \omega \varsigma$ ). Literally, wholly, altogether, like Latin omnino and Greek $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \varsigma$ (1Co 9:22). So papyri have it for "really" and also for "generally" or "everywhere" as is possible here. See also 6:7. With a negative it has the sense of "not at all" as in 15:29; Mt 5:34 the only N.T. examples, though a common word.

It is reported ( $\alpha \kappa о \cup \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Present passive indicative of $\alpha \kappa о v \omega$, to hear; so literally, it is heard. "Fornication is heard of among you." Probably the household of Chloe ( 1:11) brought this sad news (Ellicott).

And such ( $\kappa \alpha$ toolautף). Climactic qualitative pronoun showing the revolting character of this particular case of illicit sexual intercourse. Порveıo is sometimes used (Ac 15:20,29 ) of such sin in general and not merely of the unmarried whereas $\mu \circ \boldsymbol{\chi \varepsilon ı}$ is technically adultery on the part of the married ( $\mathrm{Mr} 7: 21$ ).

As is not even among the Gentiles ( $\eta \tau \iota \varsigma$ ov $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon v \tau 0 \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \theta v \varepsilon \sigma เ v$ ). Height of scorn. The Corinthian Christians were actually trying to win pagans to Christ and living more loosely than the Corinthian heathen among whom the very word "Corinthianize" meant to live in sexual wantonness and license. See Cicero pro Cluentio, v. 14.
 (usual force of $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ) for one to go on having ( $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \downarrow \nu$, present infinitive) a wife of the (his) father." It was probably a permanent union (concubine or mistress) of some kind without formal marriage like Joh 4:8. The woman probably was not the offender's mother (stepmother) and the father may have been dead or divorced. The Jewish law prescribed stoning for this crime (Le 18:8; 22:11; De 22:30). But the rabbis (Rabbi Akibah) invented a subterfuge in the case of a proselyte to permit such a relation. Perhaps the Corinthians had also learned how to split hairs over moral matters in such an evil atmosphere and so to condone this crime in one of their own members. Expulsion Paul had urged in 2Th 3:6 for such offenders.

1Cor 5:2
And ye are puffed up ( $\kappa \alpha \cup \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \varphi v \sigma \iota \omega \mu \varepsilon v o \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). Emphatic position of $\nu \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ (you). It may be understood as a question. Perfect passive periphrastic indicative of the same verb $\varphi$ vorow used already of the partisans in Corinth (4:6,19,20). Those of the same faction with this scoundrel justified his rascality.

Did not rather mourn ( $\kappa \alpha$ ov $\chi \mu \alpha \lambda$ дov $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon v \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Possibly question also and note strong negative form oux, which favours it. The very least that they could have done ( $\mu \mathrm{a} \lambda \lambda \mathrm{ov}$ rather than be puffed up) was to mourn for shame ( $\pi \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \omega$, old verb for lamentation) as if for one dead.

That he might be taken away ( $\iota \sim \alpha \rho \theta \eta$ ). The sub-final use of $t v \alpha$ of desired result ( $1: 15)$ so common in the Koine. First aorist passive subjunctive of aı $\rho \omega$, to lift up, to carry
off. Decent self-respect should have compelled the instant expulsion of the man instead of pride in his rascality.

1Cor 5:3
For I verily ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \mu \varepsilon v \gamma \alpha \rho$ ). Emphatic statement of Paul's own attitude of indignation, $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ in contrast with $\nu \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$. He justifies his demand for the expulsion of the man.

Being absent ( $\alpha \pi \omega v$ ) Although absent (concessive participle) and so of $\pi \alpha \rho \omega v$ though present. Each with locative case ( $\tau \omega \sigma \omega \mu \mu \tau \iota, \tau \omega \pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau)$.

Have already judged ( $\eta \delta \eta \kappa \varepsilon \kappa \rho ı \kappa \alpha$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\kappa \rho ı \nu \omega$. I have already decided or judged, as though present ( $\omega \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \omega v$ ). Paul felt compelled to reach a conclusion about the case and in a sentence of much difficulty seems to conceive an imaginary church court where the culprit has been tried and condemned. There are various ways of punctuating the clauses in this sentence in verses 3-5. It is not merely Paul's individual judgment. The genitive absolute clause in verse 4 ,
ye being gathered together ( $\sigma v v \alpha \chi \theta \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu \nu \mu \omega v$, first aorist passive participle of $\sigma v v \alpha \gamma \omega$, in regular assembly)
and my spirit ( $\kappa \alpha \operatorname{\tau ov} \varepsilon \mu \circ v \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma)$ with the assembly (he means)
and meeting in the name of our Lord Jesus ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega$ ovo $\mu \alpha \tau \tau \operatorname{\tau ov}$ Kvpıov [ $\eta \mu \omega v$ ] I $\eta \sigma o v$ ) with the power of the Lord Jesus ( $\sigma \nu \nu \tau \eta \delta v v a \mu \varepsilon \tau o v K v \rho ı v ~ \eta \mu \omega v$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ), though this clause can be taken with the infinitive to deliver ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o u v \alpha)$. It makes good syntax and sense taken either way. The chief difference is that, if taken with "gathered together" ( $\sigma v \alpha a \chi \theta \varepsilon v \tau \omega v$ ) Paul assumes less apostolic prerogative to himself. But he did have such power and used it against Elymas (Ac 13:8ff. ) as Peter did against Ananias and Sapphira (Ac 5:1ff.).

1Cor 5:5
To deliver such an one unto Satan ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o u v a ~ \tau o v ~ \tau o เ o v \tau o v ~ \tau \omega ~ \Sigma \alpha \tau \alpha v \alpha)$. We have the same idiom in 1Ti 1:20 used of Hymenius and Alexander. In 2Co 12:7 Paul speaks of his own physical suffering as a messenger ( $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma$ ) of Satan. Paul certainly means expulsion from the church (verse 2) and regarding him as outside of the commonwealth of Israel (Eph 2:11f. ). But we are not to infer that expulsion from the local church means the damnation of the offender. The wilful offenders have to be expelled and not regarded as enemies, but admonished as brothers (2Th 3:14f. ).

For the destruction of the flesh ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ o \lambda \varepsilon \theta \rho o \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa о \varsigma)$. Both for physical suffering as in the case of Job (Job 2:6) and for conquest of the fleshly sins, remedial punishment.

That the spirit may be saved (ıva 兀o $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \sigma \omega \theta \eta$ ). The ultimate purpose of the expulsion as discipline. Note the use of to $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ in contrast with $\sigma \alpha \rho \xi$ as the seat of personality (cf. 3:15). Paul's motive is not merely vindictive, but the reformation of the offender who is not named here nor in 2Co 2:5-11 if the same man is meant, which is very doubtful. The final salvation of the man in the day of Christ is the goal and this is to be attained not by condoning his sin.

1Cor 5:6
Not good (ov ка入ov). Not beautiful, not seemly, in view of this plague spot, this cancer on the church. They needed a surgical operation at once instead of boasting and pride (puffed up). Kavðף $\mu \alpha$ is the thing gloried in.

A little leaven leaveneth the whole lump ( $\mu \kappa \rho \alpha \zeta \nu \mu \eta$ о $о \nu$ то $\varphi v \rho \alpha \mu \alpha \zeta \nu \mu о$ ). This proverb occurs verbatim in Ga 5:9. $\mathrm{Zv} \mu \eta$ (leaven) is a late word from $\zeta \varepsilon \omega$, to boil, as is $\zeta \nu \mu o \omega$, to leaven. The contraction is regular ( $-\mathrm{o} \mathrm{\varepsilon} \iota=0$ ) for the third person singular present indicative. See the parables of Jesus for the pervasive power of leaven (Mt 13:33). Some of the members may have argued that one such case did not affect the church as a whole, a specious excuse for negligence that Paul here answers. The emphasis is on the "little" ( $\mu$ וк $\rho \alpha$, note position). Lump ( $\varphi v \rho \alpha \mu \alpha$ from $\varphi v \rho a \omega$, to mix, late word, in the papyri mixing a medical prescription) is a substance mixed with water and kneaded like dough. Compare the pervasive power of germs of disease in the body as they spread through the body.

1Cor 5:7
Purge out ( $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist (effective) active imperative of $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \iota \rho \omega$, old verb to cleanse out ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ ), to clean completely. Aorist tense of urgency, do it now and do it effectively before the whole church is contaminated. This turn to the metaphor is from the command to purge out the old ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha \nu$, now old and decayed) leaven before the passover feast (Ex 12:15f.; 13:7; Zep 1:12 ). Cf. modern methods of disinfection after a contagious disease.

A new lump ( $v \varepsilon o v \varphi \cup \rho \alpha \mu \alpha$ ). Make a fresh start as a new community with the contamination removed. Nzoc is the root for veavıoкoৎ, a young man, not yet old ( $\gamma \eta \rho \alpha \iota \rho$ ). So new wine (oıvov veov Mt 9:17 ). Kaıvos is fresh as compared with the ancient ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \varsigma$ ). See the distinction in Col 3:10; Eph 4:22ff.; 2Co 5:17 .

Unleavened ( $\alpha \zeta \nu \mu \mathrm{O}$ ). Without ( $\alpha$ privative) leaven, the normal and ideal state of Christians. Rare word among the ancients (once in Plato). They are a new creation (kaıv $\kappa \tau \iota \sigma \iota)$ ), "exemplifying Kant's maxim that you should treat a man as if he were what you would wish him to be" (Robertson and Plummer).

For our passover also hath been sacrificed, even Christ ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \alpha \rho \tau o \pi \alpha \sigma \chi \alpha \eta \mu \omega \nu \varepsilon \tau v \theta \eta$ $X \rho ı \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\theta v \omega$, old verb to sacrifice. Euphony of consonants, $\theta$ to $\tau$ because of $-\theta \eta$. Reference to the death of Christ on the Cross as the Paschal Lamb (common use of $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \alpha$ as $\mathrm{Mr} 14: 12$; Lu 22:7 ), the figure used long before by the Baptist of Jesus (Joh 1:29 ). Paul means that the Lamb was already slain on Calvary and yet you have not gotten rid of the leaven.

1Cor 5:8
Wherefore let us keep the feast ( $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \rho \tau \alpha \zeta \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Present active subjunctive (volitive). Let us keep on keeping the feast, a perpetual feast (Lightfoot), and keep the leaven out. It is quite possible that Paul was writing about the time of the Jewish passover, since it was before
pentecost (1Co 16:8). But, if so, that is merely incidental, and his language here is not a plea for the observance of Easter by Christians.

With the leaven of malice and wickedness ( $\varepsilon v \zeta \nu \mu \eta$ какıцৎ ка тоvŋрıаৎ). Vicious disposition and evil deed.

With the unleavened bread of sincerity and truth ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \zeta \nu \mu о \iota \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda ı \kappa \rho ı v ı \alpha \varsigma \kappa \alpha \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha \varsigma)$. No word for "bread." The plural of $\alpha \zeta \nu \mu о \iota \varsigma ~ m a y ~ s u g g e s t ~ " e l e m e n t s " ~ o r ~ " l o a v e s . " ~ E ı \lambda ı к р ı v i \alpha ~$ (sincerity) does not occur in the ancient Greek and is rare in the later Greek. In the papyri it means probity in one example. The etymology is uncertain. Boisacq inclines to the notion of $\varepsilon \mid \lambda \eta$ or $\varepsilon \lambda \eta$, sunlight, and $\kappa \rho เ v \omega$, to judge by the light of the sun, holding up to the light. $A \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha$ (truth) is a common word from $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \eta \varsigma$ (true) and this from a privative and $\lambda \eta \theta \omega$ ( $\lambda \alpha \theta \varepsilon เ v, \lambda \alpha v \theta \alpha v \omega$, to conceal or hide) and so unconcealed, not hidden. The Greek idea of truth is out in the open. Note Ro 1:18 where Paul pictures those who are holding down the truth in unrighteousness.

1Cor 5:9
I wrote unto you in my epistle ( $\varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha \cup \mu ı v \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda \eta$ ). Not the epistolary aorist, but a reference to an epistle to the Corinthians earlier than this one (our First Corinthians), one not preserved to us. What a "find" it would be if a bundle of papyri in Egypt should give it back to us?

To have no company with fornicators ( $\mu \eta \sigma v v a v \alpha \mu \gamma v v \sigma \theta a \pi o \rho v o i \varsigma)$. Present middle infinitive with $\mu \eta$ in an indirect command of a late double compound verb used in the papyri to mix up with ( $\sigma v v-\alpha v \alpha-\mu \gamma \nu v \sigma \theta a$, a $\mu$ verb). It is in the N.T. only here and verse 11; 2Th 3:14 which see. It is used here with the associative instrumental case ( $\pi$ o $\rho v o r$, , from $\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \omega$, $\pi \varepsilon \rho v \eta \mu$, to sell, men and women who sell their bodies for lust). It is a pertinent question today how far modern views try to put a veneer over the vice in men and women.

1Cor 5:10
Not altogether (ov $\pi \alpha v \tau \omega \varsigma)$. Not absolutely, not in all circumstances. Paul thus puts a limitation on his prohibition and confines it to members of the church. He has no jurisdiction over the outsiders (this world, tov коб $\mu$ ov tovtov).

The covetous (тоıৎ $\pi \lambda$ عоveктоıৎ). Old word for the over-reachers, those avaricious for more and more ( $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \circ \vee, \varepsilon \chi \omega$, to have more). In N.T. only here, 6:10; Eph 5:5 . It always comes in bad company (the licentious and the idolaters) like the modern gangsters who form a combination of liquor, lewdness, lawlessness for money and power.

Extortioners ( $\alpha \rho \pi \alpha \xi เ v$ ). An old adjective with only one gender, rapacious (Mt 7:15; Lu 18:11), and as a substantive robber or extortioner (here and 6:10). Bandits, hijackers, grafters they would be called today.

Idolaters ( $\varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \omega \lambda$ o $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \alpha ı \varsigma)$. Late word for hirelings ( $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho ı \varsigma)$ of the idols ( $\varepsilon \iota \delta \omega \lambda o v$ ), so our very word idolater. See 6:9; 10:7; Eph 5:5; Re 21:8; 22:15. Nageli regards this word as a Christian formation.

For then must ye needs ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \omega \varphi \varepsilon ı \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \circ 0 v)$. This neat Greek idiom of $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$ with the imperfect indicative ( $\omega \varphi \varepsilon \iota \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$, from $0 \varphi \varepsilon \iota \lambda \omega$, to be under obligation) is really the conclusion of a second-class condition with the condition unexpressed (Robertson, Grammar, p. 965). Sometimes $\alpha v$ is used also as in Heb 10:2, but with verbs of obligation or necessity $\alpha v$ is usually absent as here (cf. Heb 9:20). The unexpressed condition here would be, "if that were true" (including fornicators, the covetous, extortioners, idolaters of the outside world). Apa means in that case.

1Cor 5:11
But now I write unto you ( $v v v \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha \nu \mu \nu v$ ). This is the epistolary aorist referring to this same epistle and not to a previous one as in verse 9 . As it is (when you read it) I did write unto you.

If any man that is named a brother be ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \tau \iota \varsigma \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi о \varsigma о v \circ \mu \alpha \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \eta)$. Condition of the third class, a supposable case.
 an adjective and in later writings. In N.T. only here and 6:10. For the verb see 1Co 4:12 . M $\varepsilon$ Өvoos is an old Greek word for women and even men (cf. $\pi \alpha \rho o \iota v o \varsigma$, of men, 1Ti 3:3). In N.T. only here and 6:10. Cf. Ro 13:13 . Deissmann (Light from the Ancient East, p. 316) gives a list of virtues and vices on counters for Roman games that correspond remarkably with Paul's list of vices here and in 6:10. Chrysostom noted that people in his day complained of the bad company given by Paul for revilers and drunkards as being men with more "respectable" vices!

With such a one, no, not to eat ( $\tau \omega \tau \tau o v \tau \omega \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \sigma u v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon)$ ). Associative instrumental case of tooov $\omega$ after $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \sigma \theta เ \varepsilon เ v$, "not even to eat with such a one." Social contacts with such "a brother" are forbidden

1Cor 5:12
For what have I to do? ( $\tau \quad \gamma \rho \rho \mu o ;$;). "For what is it to me (dative) to judge those without ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma \varepsilon \xi_{o}$ )?" They are outside the church and not within Paul's jurisdiction. God passes judgment on them.

1Cor 5:13
Put away the wicked man ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ tov $\pi 0 v \eta \rho \circ v$ ). By this quotation from De 17:7 Paul clinches the case for the expulsion of the offender (5:2). Note $\varepsilon \xi$ twice and effective aorist tense.

## 1 Corinthians 6

1Cor 6:1
Dare any of you? ( $\tau о \lambda \mu \alpha \tau \iota \varsigma \nu \omega \nu ;$ ). Does any one of you dare? Rhetorical question with present indicative of to $\lambda \mu \alpha \omega$, old verb from to $\lambda \mu \alpha$, daring. Bengel: grandi verbo notatur laesa majestas Christianorum. "The word is an argument in itself" (Robertson and Plummer). Apparently Paul has an actual case in mind as in chapter 1Co 5 though no name is called.

Having a matter against his neighbour ( $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \varepsilon \chi \omega v \pi \rho o \varsigma \tau \sigma v \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ). Forensic sense of $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha$ (from $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, to do, to exact, to extort as in $\mathrm{Lu} 3: 13$ ), a case, a suit (Demosthenes 1020, 26), with the other or the neighbour as in 10:24; 14:17; Ga 6:4; Ro 2:1 .

Go to law ( $\kappa \rho \iota v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Present middle or passive (ch. Ro 3:4) in the same forensic sense as $\mathrm{k} \rho \bullet \theta \eta v a$ in Mt 5:40. K $\rho ı \tau \eta \varsigma$, judge, is from this verb.

Before the unrighteous ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \nu \alpha \delta ı \kappa \omega v$ ). This use of $\varepsilon \pi$ with the genitive for "in the presence of" is idiomatic as in 2Co 7:14, $\varepsilon \pi$ Titov, in the case of Titus. The Jews held that to bring a lawsuit before a court of idolaters was blasphemy against the law. But the Greeks were fond of disputatious lawsuits with each other. Probably the Greek Christians brought cases before pagan judges.

1Cor 6:2
Shall judge the world ( $\tau 0 \vee$ коб $\mu$ оv крıvovбıv). Future active indicative. At the last day with the Lord Jesus (Mt 19:28; Lu 22:30).
 $A v \alpha \xi_{ı} \circ$ is an old word ( $\alpha v$ and $\alpha \xi_{ו} \varsigma$ ), though only here in the N.T. There is dispute as to the meaning of крıт $\rho เ a$ here and in verse 4 , old word, but nowhere else in N.T. save in Jas 2:6. Naturally, like other words in - tnpıov (aкроатпрюov, auditorium, Ac 25:23), this word means the place where judgment is rendered, or court. It is common in the papyri in the sense of tribunal. In the Apost. Const. ii. 45 we have $\mu \eta \varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega \varepsilon \pi \kappa \rho ı \tau \eta \rho ı v \varepsilon \theta v ı \kappa o v$ (Let him not come before a heathen tribunal). Hence here it would mean, "Are ye unworthy of the smallest tribunals?" That is, of sitting on the smallest tribunals, of forming courts yourselves to settle such things?

1Cor 6:3
How much more, things that pertain to this life? ( $M \eta \tau \gamma \varepsilon \beta \omega \tau \tau \alpha ;$ ). The question expects the answer no and $\gamma \varepsilon$ adds sharp point to Paul's surprised tone, "Need I so much as say?" It can be understood also as ellipsis, "let me not say" ( $\mu \eta \tau \iota \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ), not to say. Bı $\omega \tau \iota \kappa \alpha$ occurs first in Aristotle, but is common afterwards. In the papyri it is used of business matters. It is from $\beta$ oc (manner of life in contrast to $\zeta \omega \eta$, life principle).

1Cor 6:4
If then ye have to judge things pertaining to this life ( $\beta \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ouv крıтпрı $\varepsilon \alpha v$ $\varepsilon \chi \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Note emphatic position (proleptic) of $\beta \omega \omega \tau \iota \kappa \alpha$ к $\rho \iota \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha$ (tribunals pertaining to this
life, as above). "If ye have tribunals pertaining to this life" (condition of third class, $\varepsilon \alpha v$ $\varepsilon \chi \eta \tau \varepsilon)$. If $\kappa \alpha \theta \iota(\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ (do ye set) is indicative and interrogative, then by "who are of no account in the church" ( $\tau$ оис $\varepsilon \xi$ ои $\theta \varepsilon v \eta \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma \varepsilon v \tau \eta \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma ı \alpha)$ Paul means the heathen as in verse 1. If $\kappa \alpha \theta_{l}$ ¢ $\varepsilon \varepsilon$ be imperative, then Paul means the least esteemed members of the church for such unwished for work. It is a harsh term for the heathen, but one of indignation toward Christians.

1Cor 6:5
I say this to move you to shame ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \varepsilon v \tau \rho \circ \pi \eta \nu \nu \mu \nu \nu \varepsilon \gamma \omega)$. Old word $\varepsilon v \tau \rho \circ \pi \eta$ from $\varepsilon v \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega$, to turn in (1Co 4:14 which see). In N.T. only here and 15:34.

One wise man (бочоऽ). From sarcasm to pathos Paul turns.
Does there not exist ( $\varepsilon$, short form for $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \tau$ )? With double negative ouk--ov $\begin{gathered}\text { sı, }\end{gathered}$ expecting the answer yes. Surely
one such man exists in the church.
Who (oc). Almost consecutive in idea, of such wisdom that he will be able.
To decide between his brethren ( $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa \rho \imath v \alpha \alpha v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \sigma o v ~ \tau o v ~ a \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o v ~ a v \tau о v) . ~ K \rho ı v a ~ i s ~$ to judge or decide (first aorist active infinitive of $\kappa \rho ı v \omega$ and $\delta \iota \alpha$ (two) carries on the idea of between. Then $\alpha v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \sigma o v$ makes it still plainer, in the midst as
arbitrator between brother and brother like $\alpha v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \sigma о v \varepsilon \mu \circ v \kappa \alpha \sigma o v ~(G e ~ 23: 15) . ~ I t ~ i s ~$ even so a condensed expression with part of it unexpressed ( $\alpha v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \sigma \circ \vee \kappa \alpha$ тov $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \circ \sim$ avtov) between brother and his brother. The use of $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi$ о has a sharp reflection on them for their going to heathen judges to settle disputes between brothers in Christ.

1Cor 6:6
And that before unbelievers ( $\kappa \alpha$ тоито $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \omega v$ ). Climactic force of $\kappa \alpha$. The accusative of general reference with touto. "That there should be disputes about $\beta \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \alpha$ is bad; that Christian should go to law with Christian is worse; that Christians should do this before unbelievers is worst of all" (Robertson and Plummer).

1Cor 6:7
Nay, already it is altogether a defect among you ( $\eta \delta \eta \mu \varepsilon v$ ovv $o \lambda \omega \varsigma \eta \tau \tau \eta \mu \alpha \nu \mu \tau v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). "Indeed therefore there is to you already (to begin with, $\eta \delta \eta$, before any question of courts) wholly defeat." H $\dagger \tau \tau \eta \mu \alpha$ (from $\eta \tau \tau \alpha o \mu \alpha$ ) is only here, Ro 11:12; Isa 31:8 and ecclesiastical writers. See $\eta \tau \tau \alpha o \mu \alpha$ (from $\eta \tau \tau \omega v$, less) in 2Co 12:13; 2Pe 2:19f. Nıк $\eta$ was victory and $\eta \tau \tau \alpha$ defeat with the Greeks. It is defeat for Christians to have lawsuits (крıиата, usually decrees or judgments) with one another. This was proof of the failure of love and forgiveness (Col 3:13).
 not right). Better undergo wrong yourself than suffer
defeat in the matter of love and forgiveness of a brother.

Be defrauded ( $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Permissive middle again like $\alpha \delta$ Iк $\kappa \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon$. Allow yourselves to be robbed (old verb to deprive, to rob) rather than have a lawsuit.

1Cor 6:8
Nay, but ye yourselves do wrong and defraud ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ v $\mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \alpha \delta ı \kappa \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha ~ \alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ ). "But (adversative $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$, on the contrary) you (emphatic) do the wronging and the robbing" (active voices) "and that your brethren" (ка тоито $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda$ ¢оис). Same idiom as at close of verse 6. The very climax of wrong-doings, to stoop to do this with one's brethren in Christ.

1Cor 6:9
The unrighteous ( $\alpha \delta$ เко). To remind them of the verb $\alpha \delta \iota \kappa \varepsilon \omega$ just used.
The Kingdom of God ( $\theta \varepsilon o v \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Precisely, God's kingdom.
Be not deceived ( $\mu \eta \pi \lambda \alpha v a \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Present passive imperative with negative $\mu \eta$. Do not be led astray by plausible talk to cover up sin as mere animal behaviourism. Paul has two lists in verses 9,10 , one with repetition of oute, neither (fornicators, idolaters, adulterers, effeminate, or $\mu \alpha \lambda \alpha \kappa о$, abusers of themselves with men or $\alpha \rho \sigma \varepsilon v o \kappa о \iota \tau \alpha$ or sodomites as in 1Ti 1:10 a late word for this horrid vice, thieves, covetous), the other with ov not (drunkards, revilers, extortioners). All these will fall short of the kingdom of God. This was plain talk to a city like Corinth. It is needed today. It is a solemn roll call of the damned even if some of their names are on the church roll in Corinth whether officers or ordinary members.

1Cor 6:11
And such were some of you ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha \tau \tau v \varepsilon \varsigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). A sharp homethrust. Literally, "And these things ( $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha$, neuter plural) were ye (some of you)." The horror is shown by $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha$, but by $\tau i v \varepsilon$, Paul narrows the picture to some, not all. But that was in the past ( $\eta \tau \varepsilon$, imperfect indicative) like Ro 6:17. Thank God the blood of Jesus does cleanse from such sins as these. But do not go back to them.

But ye were washed ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda o v \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). First aorist middle indicative, not passive, of $\alpha \pi \mathrm{o}$ ov $\omega$. Either direct middle, ye washed yourselves, or indirect middle, as in Ac 22:16, ye washed your sins away (force of $\alpha \pi 0$ ). This was their own voluntary act in baptism which was the outward expression of the previous act of God in cleansing ( $\eta \gamma \iota \propto \sigma \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$, ye were sanctified or cleansed before the baptism) and justified ( $\varepsilon \delta เ \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$, ye were put right with God before the act of baptism). "These twin conceptions of the Christian state in its beginning appear commonly in the reverse order" (Findlay). The outward expression is usually mentioned before the inward change which precedes it. In this passage the Trinity appear as in the baptismal command in Mt 28:19 .

## 1Cor 6:12

Lawful ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). Apparently this proverb may have been used by Paul in Corinth (repeated in 10:23), but not in the sense now used by Paul's opponents. The "all things" do not include such matters as those condemned in chapter 1Co 5; 6:1-11. Paul limits the proverb to things not immoral, things not wrong per se. But even here liberty is not license.

But not all things are expedient ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \sigma \nu \mu \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$ ). Old word $\sigma \nu \mu \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$, bears together for good and so worthwhile. Many things, harmless in themselves in the abstract, do harm to others in the concrete. We live in a world of social relations that circumscribe personal rights and liberties.

 from $\varepsilon \xi$ gativ. Verb from Aristotle on, though not common (Dion. of Hal., LXX and inscriptions). In N.T. only here, 7:4; Lu 22:25. Paul is determined not to be a slave to anything harmless in itself. He will maintain his self-control. He gives a wholesome hint to those who talk so much about personal liberty.

1Cor 6:13
But God shall bring to nought both it and them (о $\delta \varepsilon$ $\theta \varepsilon о \varsigma ~ к \alpha ~ \tau \alpha u \tau \eta \nu ~ к \alpha ~ \tau \alpha u \tau \alpha ~$ $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \gamma \eta \sigma \varepsilon)$. Another proverb about the adaptation of the belly ( $\kappa о \lambda \lambda 1 \alpha$ ) and food ( $\beta \rho \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, not just flesh), which had apparently been used by some in Corinth to justify sexual license (fornication and adultery). These Gentiles mixed up matters not alike at all (questions of food and sensuality). " We have traces of this gross moral confusion in the circumstances which dictated the Apostolic Letter (Ac 15:23-29), where things wholly diverse are combined, as directions about meats to be avoided and a prohibition of fornication" (Lightfoot). Both the belly ( $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \eta v$ ) and the foods ( $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha$ ) God will bring to an end by death and change.

But the body is not for fornication, but for the Lord, and the Lord for the body ( $\tau$ $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ оv $\tau \eta \pi о \rho v \varepsilon \iota \alpha \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau \omega \kappa \nu \rho \iota \omega \iota$, ка о кирьоৎ $\tau \omega \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau)$. Paul here boldly shows the fallacy in the parallel about appetite of the belly for food. The human body has a higher mission than the mere gratification of sensual appetite. Sex is of God for the propagation of the race, not for prostitution. Paul had already stated that God dwells in us as the sanctuary of the Holy Spirit (3:16f. ). This higher function of the body he here puts forward against the debased Greek philosophy of the time which ignored completely Paul's idea, "the body for the Lord and the Lord for the body" (dative of personal interest in both cases). "The Lord Jesus and ropveıa contested for the bodies of Christian men; loyal to him they must renounce that, yielding to that they renounce him" (Findlay).

1Cor 6:14
Will raise up us ( $\eta \mu \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon)$. Future active indicative of $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon เ \rho \omega$ though the MSS. vary greatly, some having the present and some even the aorist. But the resurrection of the body gives added weight to Paul's argument about the dignity and destiny of the body (quanta dignitas, Bengel) which should not be prostituted to sensuality.

1Cor 6:15
Members of Christ ( $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \eta$ Xpıбтov). Old word for limbs, members. Even the Stoics held the body to be common with the animals (Epictetus, Diss. 1. iii. 1) and only the reason like the gods. Without doubt some forms of modern evolution have contributed to the li-
centious views of animalistic sex indulgence, though the best teachers of biology show that in the higher animals monogamy is the rule. The body is not only adapted for Christ (verse 13), but it is a part of Christ, in vital union with him. Paul will make much use of this figure further on (12:12-31; Eph 4:11-16; 5:30 ).

Shall I then take away? ( $\alpha \rho \alpha \varsigma o v v ;$ ). First aorist active participle of $\alpha \iota \rho \omega$, old verb to snatch, carry off like Latin rapio (our rape).

Make ( $\pi$ oı $\eta \omega \omega$ ). Can be either future active indicative or first aorist active subjunctive (deliberative). Either makes good sense. The horror of deliberately taking "members of Christ" and making them "members of a harlot" in an actual union staggers Paul and should stagger us.

God forbid ( $\mu \eta \gamma \varepsilon v o \iota \tau o$ ). Optative second aorist in a negative wish for the future.
May it not happen! The word "God" is not here. The idiom is common in Epictetus though rare in the LXX. Paul has it thirteen times and Luke once (Lu 20:16).

1Cor 6:16
One body $(\varepsilon v \sigma \omega \mu \alpha)$. With the harlot. That union is for the harlot the same as with the wife. The words quoted from Ge 2:24 describing the sexual union of husband and wife, are also quoted and explained by Jesus in Mt 19:5f. which see for discussion of the translation Hebraism with use of $\varepsilon ı \varsigma$.

Saith he ( $\varphi \eta \sigma \iota \nu)$. Supply either o $\theta \varepsilon \circ \varsigma$ (God) or $\eta \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta$ (the Scripture).
1Cor 6:17
One spirit ( $\varepsilon v \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha)$. With the Lord, the inner vital spiritual union with the Lord Jesus (Eph 4:4; 5:30 ).

1Cor 6:18
Flee $(\varphi \varepsilon \cup \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon)$. Present imperative. Have the habit of fleeing without delay or parley. Note abruptness of the asyndeton with no connectives. Fornication violates Christ's rights in our bodies (verses 13-17) and also ruins the body itself.

Without the body ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau о \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma) . ~ E v e n ~ g l u t t o n y ~ a n d ~ d r u n k e n n e s s ~ a n d ~ t h e ~ u s e ~$ of dope are sins wrought on the body, not "within the body" ( $\varepsilon v \tau \circ \varsigma \tau o v \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma)$ in the same sense as fornication. Perhaps the dominant idea of Paul is that fornication, as already shown, breaks the mystic bond between the body and Christ and hence the fornicator (o $\pi о \rho v \varepsilon v \omega v$ )
sins against his own body ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ \iota \delta ı \nu ~ \sigma \omega \mu \alpha ~ \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \nu \varepsilon$ ) in a sense not true of other dreadful sins. The fornicator takes his body which belongs to Christ and unites it with a harlot. In fornication the body is the instrument of $\sin$ and becomes the subject of the damage wrought. In another sense fornication brings on one's own body the two most terrible bodily diseases that are still incurable (gonorrhea and syphilis) that curse one's own body and transmit the curse to the third and fourth generation. Apart from the high view given here by Paul of the relation of the body to the Lord no possible father or mother has the
right to lay the hand of such terrible diseases and disaster on their children and children's children. The moral and physical rottenness wrought by immorality defy one's imagination. 1Cor 6:19
Your body is a temple ( $\tau 0 \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \nu \mu \omega v v \alpha o \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. A sanctuary as in 3:16 which see. Our spirits dwell in our bodies and the Holy Spirit dwells in our spirits. Some of the Gnostics split hairs between the sins of the body and fellowship with God in the spirit. Paul will have none of this subterfuge. One's body is the very shrine for the Holy Spirit. In Corinth was the temple to Aphrodite in which fornication was regarded as consecration instead of desecration. Prostitutes were there as priestesses of Aphrodite, to help men worship the goddess by fornication.

Ye are not your own (ouk $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha u \tau \omega v$ ). Predicate genitive. Ye do not belong to yourselves, even if you could commit fornication without personal contamination or selfviolation. Christianity makes unchastity dishonour in both sexes. There is no double standard of morality. Paul's plea here is primarily to men to be clean as members of Christ's body.

## 1Cor 6:20

For ye were bought with a price ( $\eta \gamma \circ \rho \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho \tau \tau \mu \eta \varsigma)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\alpha \gamma \circ \rho \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb to buy in the marketplace ( $\alpha \gamma \circ \rho \alpha$ ). With genitive of price. Paul does not here state the price as Peter does in 1Pe 1:19 (the blood of Christ) and as Jesus does in Mt 20:28 (his life a ransom). The Corinthians understood his meaning.
 sionate conclusion to his powerful argument against sexual uncleanness. $\Delta \eta$ is a shortened form of $\eta \delta \eta$ and is an urgent inferential particle. See on $\mathrm{Lu} 2: 15$. Paul holds to his high ideal of the destiny of the body and urges glorifying God in it. Some of the later Christians felt that Paul's words could be lightened a bit by adding "and in your spirits which are his," but these words are found only in late MSS. and are clearly not genuine. Paul's argument stands four-square for the dignity of the body as the sanctuary of the Holy Spirit united to the Lord Jesus.

## 1 Corinthians 7

1Cor 7:1
Now concerning the things whereof ye wrote ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \delta \varepsilon \omega v \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). An ellipsis of $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ $\tau o v \tau \omega v$, the antecedent of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \omega v$, is easily supplied as in papyri. The church had written Paul a letter in which a number of specific problems about marriage were raised. He answers them seriatim. The questions must be clearly before one in order intelligently to interpret Paul's replies. The first is whether a single life is wrong. Paul pointedly says that it is not wrong, but good ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda о v$ ). One will get a one-sided view of Paul's teaching on marriage unless he keeps a proper perspective. One of the marks of certain heretics will be forbidding to marry (1Ti 4:3). Paul uses marriage as a metaphor of our relation to Christ (2Co 11:2; Ro 7:4; Eph $5: 28-33$ ). Paul is not here opposing marriage. He is only arguing that celibacy may be good in certain limitations. The genitive case with $\alpha \pi \tau \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ (touch) is the usual construction.

## 1Cor 7:2

Because of fornications ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \varsigma \pi о \rho v \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. This is not the only reason for marriage, but it is a true one. The main purpose of marriage is children. Mutual love is another. The family is the basis of all civilization. Paul does not give a low view of marriage, but is merely answering questions put to him about life in Corinth.

1Cor 7:3
Render the due ( $\tau \eta \nu$ oৎعi $\lambda \downarrow$ $a \pi o \delta \iota \delta o \tau \omega$ ). Marriage is not simply not wrong, but for many a duty. Both husband and wife have a mutual obligation to the other. "This dictum defends marital intercourse against rigorists, as that of ver. 1 commends celibacy against sensualists" (Findlay).

1Cor 7:4
The wife $(\eta \gamma \cup \vee \eta)$. The wife is mentioned first, but the equality of the sexes in marriage is clearly presented as the way to keep marriage undefiled (Heb 13:4). "In wedlock separate ownership of the person ceases" (Robertson and Plummer).

1Cor 7:5
Except it be by consent for a season ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta \tau[\alpha v] \varepsilon \kappa \sigma \nu \mu \varphi \omega v o v \pi \rho o \varsigma \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \nu v$ ). If $\alpha v$ is genuine, it can either be regarded as like $\varepsilon \alpha v$ though without a verb or as loosely added after $\varepsilon \mu \eta \tau$ and construed with it.

That ye may give yourselves unto prayer ( $1 v \alpha \sigma \chi 0 \lambda \alpha \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon \tau \eta \pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \eta$ ). First aorist active subjunctive of $\sigma \chi 0 \lambda \alpha \zeta \omega$, late verb from $\sigma \chi \circ \lambda \eta$, leisure (our "school"), and so to have leisure (punctiliar act and not permanent) for prayer. Note private devotions here.

That Satan tempt you not ( $1 v \alpha \mu \eta \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \zeta \eta$ ). Present subjunctive, that Satan may not keep on tempting you.

Because of your incontinency ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta v \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha v[v \mu \omega v]$ ). A late word from Aristotle on for $\alpha \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ from $\alpha \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma ~(w i t h o u t ~ s e l f-c o n t r o l, ~ a ~ p r i v a t i v e ~ a n d ~ к \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$, to control, common old word). In N.T. only here and Mt 23:25 which see.

1Cor 7:6
By way of permission ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \nu \nu \gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta \nu$ ). Old word for pardon, concession, indulgence. Secundum indulgentiam (Vulgate). Only here in N.T., though in the papyri for pardon. The word means "knowing together," understanding, agreement, and so concession.

Not of commandment (ov ка $\tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \alpha \gamma \eta \nu$ ). Late word (in papyri) from $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, old word to enjoin. Paul has not commanded people to marry. He has left it an open question.

1Cor 7:7
Yet I would $(\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega \delta \varepsilon)$. "But I wish." Followed by accusative and infinitive ( $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$, $\varepsilon \iota v \alpha)$. This is Paul's personal preference under present conditions (7:26).

Even as I myself ( $\omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \mu \alpha v \tau o v$ ). This clearly means that Paul was not then married and it is confirmed by 9:5. Whether he had been married and was now a widower turns on the interpretation of Ac 26:10 "I cast my vote." If this is taken literally (the obvious way to take it) as a member of the Sanhedrin, Paul was married at that time. There is no way to decide.

His own gift from God (ı $\delta \iota \nu \chi \chi \rho \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \theta \varepsilon o v)$. So each must decide for himself. See on 1:7 for $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$, a late word from $\chi \alpha \rho ı \zeta о \mu \alpha$.

1Cor 7:8
To the unmarried and to the widows ( $\tau о \iota \varsigma ~ а \gamma \alpha \mu о \iota \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma \chi \rho \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. It is possible that by "the unmarried" (masculine plural) the apostle means only men since widows are added and since virgins receive special treatment later (verse 25) and in verse $320 \alpha \gamma \alpha \mu \circ \varsigma$ is the unmarried man. It is hardly likely that Paul means only widowers and widows and means to call himself a widower by $\omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \gamma \omega$ (even as I). After discussing marital relations in verses 2-7 he returns to the original question in verse 1 and repeats his own personal preference as in verse 7. He does not say that it is better to be unmarried, but only that it is good (ка入ov as in verse 1) for them to remain unmarried. A $\gamma \alpha \mu \circ$, is an old word and in N.T. occurs only in this passage. In verses 11,34 it is used of women where the old Greeks would have used $\alpha v a v \delta \rho o \varsigma$, without a husband.

1Cor 7:9
But if they have not continency ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon$ ovк $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon v o v \tau \alpha)$. Condition of the first class, assumed as true. Direct middle voice $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon v o v \tau \alpha$, hold themselves in, control themselves.

Let them marry ( $\gamma \alpha \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \omega \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist (ingressive) active imperative. Usual Koine form in $-\tau \omega \sigma \alpha v$ for third plural.

Better ( $\kappa \rho \varepsilon \iota \tau \tau \circ$ ). Marriage is better than continued sexual passion. Paul has not said that celibacy is
better than marriage though he has justified it and expressed his own personal preference for it. The metaphorical use of $\pi \cup \rho o v \sigma \theta a$ (present middle infinitive) for sexual passion is common enough as also for grief (2Co 11:29).

1Cor 7:10
To the married ( $\tau$ oı $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \mu \eta \kappa о \sigma เ v$ ). Perfect active participle of $\gamma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to marry, and still married as the tense shows.

I give charge ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ ). Not mere wish as in verses 7,8 .
Not I, but the Lord (оик $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ о кирюo¢). Paul had no commands from Jesus to the unmarried (men or women), but Jesus had spoken to the married (husbands and wives) as in Mt 5:31f.; 19:3-12; Mr 10:9-12; Lu 16:18. The Master had spoken plain words about divorce. Paul reenforces his own inspired command by the command of Jesus. In Mr 10:9 we have from Christ: "What therefore God joined together let not man put asunder" ( $\mu \eta$ $\chi$ ор! (धт $\omega$ ).

That the wife depart not from her husband ( $\gamma \cup v a ı \kappa \alpha \pi \pi о \alpha v \delta \rho о \varsigma \mu \eta \chi о \rho\llcorner\sigma \theta \eta v \alpha)$. First aorist passive infinitive (indirect command after $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ ) of $\chi \circ \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb from adverbial preposition $\chi \omega \rho \iota \varsigma$, separately, apart from, from. Here used of divorce by the wife which, though unusual then, yet did happen as in the case of Salome (sister of Herod the Great) and of Herodias before she married Herod Antipas. Jesus also spoke of it (Mr 10:12 ). Now most of the divorces are obtained by women. This passive infinitive is almost reflexive in force according to a constant tendency in the Koine (Robertson, Grammar, p. 817).

1Cor 7:11
But and if she depart ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \chi \omega \rho \circ \sigma \theta \eta$ ). Third class condition, undetermined. If, in spite of Christ's clear prohibition, she get separated (ingressive passive subjunctive),
let her remain unmarried ( $\mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \omega \alpha \gamma \alpha \mu \circ \varsigma)$. Paul here makes no allowance for remarriage of the innocent party as Jesus does by implication.

Or else be reconciled to her husband ( $\eta \tau \omega \alpha v \delta \rho \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \eta \tau \omega$ ). Second aorist (ingressive) passive imperative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, old compound verb to exchange coins as of equal value, to reconcile. One of Paul's great words for reconciliation with God (2Co 5:18-20; Ro 5:10 ). $\Delta \iota \lambda \lambda \lambda \sigma \sigma \sigma \omega$ (Mt 5:24 which see) was more common in the older Greek, but $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ in the later. The difference in idea is very slight, $\delta \iota \alpha-$ accents notion of exchange, кат- the perfective idea (complete reconciliation). Dative of personal interest is the case of $\alpha v \delta \rho$. This sentence is a parenthesis between the two infinitives $\chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \theta \eta v \alpha$ and $\alpha \varphi \iota \varepsilon v \alpha$ (both indirect commands after $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega)$.

And that the husband leave not his wife ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha v \delta \rho \alpha \mu \eta \alpha \varphi เ \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). This is also part of the Lord's command (Mr 10:11). A $\pi \mathbf{\lambda} \nu \omega \omega$ occurs in Mark of the husband's act and $\alpha \varphi!\varepsilon v \alpha$ here, both meaning to send away. Bengel actually stresses the difference between $\chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \theta \eta v \alpha$ of the woman as like separatur in Latin and calls the wife "pars ignobilior" and the husband "nobilior." I doubt if Paul would stand for that extreme.

## 1Cor 7:12

 no word about marriage from Jesus beyond the problem of divorce. This is no disclaimer of inspiration. He simply means that here he is not quoting a command of Jesus.

An unbelieving wife ( $\gamma \cup v a \iota \kappa \alpha \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \sigma v$ ). This is a new problem, the result of work among the Gentiles, that did not arise in the time of Jesus. The form $\alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 v$ is the same as the masculine because a compound adjective. Paul has to deal with mixed marriages as missionaries do today in heathen lands. The rest (o خoıto) for Gentiles (Eph 2:3) we have already had in 1 Th $4: 13 ; 5: 6$ which see. The Christian husband married his wife when he
 not here (cf. Joh 20:27).

She is content ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \cup \delta o \kappa \varepsilon$ ). Late compound verb to be pleased together with, agree together. In the papyri.

Let him not leave her ( $\mu \eta \alpha \varphi \iota \tau \tau \omega \alpha v \tau \eta v)$. Perhaps here and in verses $11,13 \alpha \varphi \not \eta \mu$ should be translated "put away" like $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \nu \omega$ in $\mathrm{Mr} 10: 1$. Some understand $\alpha \varphi \not \eta \mu$ as separation from bed and board, not divorce.

1Cor 7:13
Which hath an unbelieving husband ( $\eta \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \alpha v \delta \rho \alpha \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ v$ ). Relative clause here, while a conditional one in verse 12 ( $\varepsilon \tau \tau \varsigma$, if any one). Paul is perfectly fair in stating both sides of the problem of mixed marriages.

1Cor 7:14
Is sanctified in the wife ( $\eta \gamma \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \gamma \cup v \alpha \iota \kappa)$. Perfect passive indicative of $\alpha \gamma \iota \alpha \zeta \omega$, to set apart, to hallow, to sanctify. Paul does not, of course, mean that the unbelieving husband is saved by the faith of the believing wife, though Hodge actually so interprets him. Clearly he only means that the marriage relation is sanctified so that there is no need of a divorce. If either husband or wife is a believer and the other agrees to remain, the marriage is holy and need not be set aside. This is so simple that one wonders at the ability of men to get confused over Paul's language.

Else were your children unclean ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \varepsilon \kappa \nu \alpha \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \tau \alpha)$. The common ellipse of the condition with $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$ : "since, accordingly, if it is otherwise, your children are illegitimate ( $\alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \tau \alpha$ )." If the relations of the parents be holy, the child's birth must be holy also (not illegitimate). "He is not assuming that the child of a Christian parent would be baptized; that would spoil rather than help his argument, for it would imply that the child was not $\alpha \gamma เ \circ$ till it was baptized. The verse throws no light on the question of infant baptism" (Robertson and Plummer).

1Cor 7:15
Is not under bondage ( $o v \delta \varepsilon \delta o v \lambda \omega \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\delta o v \lambda o \omega$, to enslave, has been enslaved, does not remain a slave. The believing husband or wife is not at liberty
to separate, unless the disbeliever or pagan insists on it. Wilful desertion of the unbeliever sets the other free, a case not contemplated in Christ's words in Mt 5:32; 19:9. Luther argued that the Christian partner, thus released, may marry again. But that is by no means clear, unless the unbeliever marries first.

But God hath called us in peace ( $\varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \nu \eta \kappa \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \kappa \varepsilon v \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma$ or $v \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. Perfect active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, permanent call in the sphere or atmosphere of peace. He does not desire enslavement in the marriage relation between the believer and the unbeliever.

1Cor 7:16
For how knowest thou? ( $\tau$ ү $\alpha \rho$ oi $\delta \alpha \sigma ;$ ). But what does Paul mean? Is he giving an argument against the believer accepting divorce or in favour of doing so? The syntax allows either interpretation with $\varepsilon$ (if) after ot $\delta a c$. Is the idea in $\varepsilon$ (if) hope of saving the other or fear of not saving and hence peril in continuing the slavery of such a bondage? The latter idea probably suits the context best and is adopted by most commentators. And yet one hesitates to interpret Paul as advocating divorce unless strongly insisted on by the unbeliever. There is no problem at all unless the unbeliever makes it. If it is a hopeless case, acquiescence is the only wise solution. But surely the believer ought to be sure that there is no hope before he agrees to break the bond. Paul raises the problem of the wife first as in verse 10.

1Cor 7:17
Only $(\varepsilon \mu \eta)$. This use of $\varepsilon \mu \eta$ as an elliptical condition is very common (7:5; Ga 1:7,19; Ro 14:14 ), "except that" like $\pi \lambda \eta \nu$. Paul gives a general principle as a limitation to what he has just said in verse 15. "It states the general principle which determines these questions about marriage, and this is afterwards illustrated by the cases of circumcision and slavery" (Robertson and Plummer). He has said that there is to be no compulsory slavery between the believer and the disbeliever (the Christian and the pagan). But on the other hand there is to be no reckless abuse of this liberty, no license.
 active indicative of $\mu \varepsilon \rho \check{\zeta} \omega$, old verb from $\mu \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$, apart. Each has his lot from the Lord Jesus, has his call from God. He is not to seek a rupture of the marriage relation if the unbeliever does not ask for it.

And so ordain I ( $\kappa \alpha$ out $\omega \varsigma \delta(\alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha$ ). Military term, old word, to arrange in all the churches (distributed, $\delta \iota \alpha-$ ). Paul is conscious of authoritative leadership as the apostle of Christ to the Gentiles.

1Cor 7:18
Let him not become uncircumcized ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \theta \omega$ ). Present middle imperative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \pi \alpha \omega$, old verb to draw on. In LXX (I Macc. 1:15) and Josephus (Ant. XII, V. I) in this sense. Here only in N.T. The point is that a Jew is to remain a Jew, a Gentile to be a Gentile. Both stand on an equality in the Christian churches. This freedom about circumcision illustrates the freedom about Gentile mixed marriages.

## 1Cor 7:19

But the keeping of the commandments of God ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau \eta \rho \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \tau o \lambda \omega \nu \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Old word in sense of watching (Ac 4:3). Paul's view of the worthlessness of circumcision or of uncircumcision is stated again in Ga 5:6; 6:15; Ro 2:25-29 (only the inward or spiritual Jew counts).

1Cor 7:20
Wherein he was called $(\eta \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \eta)$. When he was called by God and saved, whether a Jew or a Gentile, a slave or a freeman.

1Cor 7:21
Wast thou called being a bondservant? ( $\delta o v \lambda o \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \eta \sigma$;). First aorist passive indicative. Wast thou, a slave, called?

Care not for it ( $\mu \eta \sigma 0 \mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tau \omega$ ). "Let it not be a care to thee." Third person singular (impersonal) of $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$, old verb with dative $\sigma o$. It was usually a fixed condition and a slave could be a good servant of Christ (Col 3:22; Eph 6:5; Tit 2:9) , even with heathen masters.

Use it rather ( $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda$ ov $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). Make use of what? There is no "it" in the Greek. Shall we supply $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho ı \alpha$ (instrumental case after $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \alpha$ or $\delta o v \lambda \varepsilon ı \alpha$ )? Most naturally $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho ı \alpha$, freedom, from $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \cup \theta \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$, just before. In that case $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ is not taken as although, but $\kappa \alpha$ goes with $\delta v v a \sigma \alpha$, "But if thou canst also become free, the rather use your opportunity for freedom." On the whole this is probably Paul's idea and is in full harmony with the general principle above about mixed marriages with the heathen. X $\rho \eta \sigma \alpha$ is second person singular aorist middle imperative of $\chi \rho \alpha \circ \mu \alpha$, to use, old and common verb.

1Cor 7:22
The Lord's freedman ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$ Kv $1 \circ v$ ). A $\pi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$ is an old word for a manumitted slave, $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho o \varsigma$ from $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to go and so go free, $\alpha \pi$ - from bondage. Christ is now the owner of the Christian and Paul rejoices to call himself Christ's slave ( $\delta o v \lambda o \varsigma)$. But Christ set us free from sin by paying the ransom ( $\lambda v \tau \rho o v$ ) of his life on the Cross (Mt 20:28; Ro 8:2; Ga $5: 1$ ). Christ is thus the patronus of the libertus who owes everything to his patronus. He is no longer the slave of $\sin (\operatorname{Ro} 6: 6,18)$, but a slave to God (Ro 6:22 ).

Likewise the freeman when called is Christ's slave (o $о \circ \iota \omega$ о $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma \delta o v \lambda$ оऽ $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \circ v)$. Those who were not slaves, but freemen, when converted, are as much slaves of Christ as those who were and still were slaves of men. All were slaves of $\sin$ and have been set free from sin by Christ who now owns them all.

1Cor 7:23
Ye were bought with a price ( $\tau \iota \mu \varsigma \eta \gamma o \rho \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). See on 6:20 for this very phrase, here repeated. Both classes (slaves and freemen) were purchased by the blood of Christ.

Become not bondservants of men ( $\mu \eta \gamma \iota v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \delta o u \lambda o \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega v$ ). Present middle imperative of $\gamma \iota v o \mu \alpha$ with negative $\mu \eta$. Literally, stop becoming slaves of men. Paul here clearly defines his opposition to human slavery as an institution which comes out so
powerfully in the Epistle to Philemon. Those already free from human slavery should not become enslaved.

1Cor 7:24
With God ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \varepsilon \omega)$. There is comfort in that. Even a slave can have God at his side by remaining at God's side.

1Cor 7:25
I have no commandment of the Lord ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \alpha \gamma \eta \nu$ Kıpıov ouk $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ ). A late word from $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, old Greek verb to enjoin, to give orders to. Paul did have (verse 10) a command from the Lord as we have in Matthew and Mark. It was quite possible for Paul to know this command of Jesus as he did other sayings of Jesus (Ac 20:35) even if he had as yet no access to a written gospel or had received no direct revelation on the subject from Jesus (1Co 11:23 ). Sayings of Jesus were passed on among the believers. But Paul had no specific word from Jesus on the subject of virgins. They call for special treatment, young unmarried women only Paul means ( $7: 25,28,34,36-38$ ) and not as in Re $14: 4$ (metaphor). It is probable that in the letter ( $7: 1$ ) the Corinthians had asked about this problem.

But I give my judgment ( $\gamma v \omega \mu \eta v \delta \varepsilon \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ ). About mixed marriages (12-16) Paul had the command of Jesus concerning divorce to guide him. Here he has nothing from Jesus at all. So he gives no "command," but only "a judgment," a deliberately formed decision from knowledge (2Co 8:10), not a mere passing fancy.

As one that hath obtained mercy of the Lord to be faithful ( $\omega \varsigma \eta \lambda \varepsilon \eta \mu \varepsilon v \circ \varsigma v \pi о$ кvpıov $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o \varsigma \varepsilon ו v \alpha)$. Perfect passive participle of $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega$, old verb to receive mercy ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varsigma)$. Пเбтoৎ is predicate nominative with infinitive $\varepsilon เ v a$. This language, so far from being a disclaimer of inspiration, is an express claim to help from the Lord in the forming of this duly considered judgment, which is in no sense a command, but an inspired opinion.

1Cor 7:26
I think therefore ( $v o \mu \iota \zeta \omega$ ovv). Paul proceeds to express therefore the previously mentioned judgment ( $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta \nu$ ) and calls it his opinion, not because he is uncertain, but simply because it is not a command, but advice.

By reason of the present distress ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta v \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \sigma \alpha v \alpha v \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta v$ ). The participle $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \sigma \alpha v$ is second perfect active of $\varepsilon v ı \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ and means "standing on" or "present" (cf. Ga 1:4; Heb 9:9 ). It occurs in 2Th 2:2 of the advent of Christ as not "present." Whether Paul has in mind the hoped for second coming of Jesus in this verse we do not certainly know, though probably so. Jesus had spoken of those calamities which would precede his coming (Mt 24:8ff. ) though Paul had denied saying that the advent was right at hand (2Th 2:2). Ava $\gamma \kappa \eta$ is a strong word (old and common), either for external circumstances or inward sense of duty. It occurs elsewhere for the woes preceding the second coming ( $\operatorname{Lu} 21: 23$ ) and also for Paul's persecutions (1Th 3:7; 2Co 6:4; 12:10). Perhaps there is a mingling of both ideas here.

Namely. This word is not in the Greek. The infinitive of indirect discourse (v $\tau \alpha \rho \chi \varepsilon เ v$ ) after $\nu о \mu \iota \zeta \omega$ is repeated with recitative $o \tau$, "That the being so is good for a man" (o $\kappa \alpha \lambda o v$ $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega$ to ov $\omega \omega \varsigma \varepsilon ı v \alpha)$. The use of the article $\tau 0$ with $\varepsilon ı v a$ compels this translation. Probably Paul means for one ( $\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega$, generic term for man or woman) to remain as he is whether married or unmarried. The copula $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ is not expressed. He uses $\kappa \alpha \lambda o v$ (good) as in 7:1.

1Cor 7:27
Art thou bound to a wife? ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \gamma v v a \iota \kappa \iota ;)$. Perfect passive indicative of $\delta \varepsilon \omega$, to bind, with dative case $\gamma$ vvaıк. Marriage bond as in Ro 7:2 .

Seek not to be loosed ( $\mu \eta \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \lambda v \sigma \iota v$ ). Present active imperative with negative $\mu \eta$, "Do not be seeking release" ( $\lambda v \sigma \iota v$ ) from the marriage bond, old word, here only in N.T.

Seek not a wife ( $\mu \eta \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \gamma \cup v \alpha ו \kappa \alpha)$. Same construction, Do not be seeking a wife. Bachelors as well as widowers are included in $\lambda \varepsilon \lambda v \sigma \alpha$ (loosed, perfect passive indicative of $\lambda v \omega)$. This advice of Paul he only urges "because of the present necessity" (verse 26). Whether he held on to this opinion later one does not know. Certainly he gives the noblest view of marriage in Eph 5:22-33. Paul does not present it as his opinion for all men at all times. Men feel it their duty to seek a wife.

1Cor 7:28
But and if thou marry ( $\varepsilon \alpha \vee \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \gamma \alpha \mu \eta \sigma \eta \iota \varsigma)$. Condition of the third class, undetermined with prospect of being determined, with the ingressive first aorist (late form) active subjunctive with eav: "But if thou also commit matrimony or get married," in spite of Paul's advice to the contrary.

Thou hast not sinned ( $o v \chi \eta \mu \alpha \rho \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \nu \omega$, to $\sin$, to miss a mark. Here either Paul uses the timeless (gnomic) aorist indicative or by a swift transition he changes the standpoint (proleptic) in the conclusion from the future (in the condition) to the past. Such mixed conditions are common (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 1020,1023 ). Precisely the same construction occurs with the case of the virgin ( $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ ) except that the old form of the first aorist subjunctive $(\gamma \eta \mu \eta)$ occurs in place of the late $\gamma \alpha \mu \eta \sigma \eta$ above. The MSS. interchange both examples. There is no special point in the difference in the forms.

Shall have tribulation in the flesh ( $\theta \lambda \iota \psi \iota \nu \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \varepsilon \xi \sigma \sigma \sigma \iota v)$. Emphatic position of $\theta \lambda \iota \psi \iota \nu$ (pressure). See 2Co 12:7 $\sigma \kappa о \lambda о \pi \varsigma ~ \tau \eta ~ \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa ~(t h o r n ~ i n ~ t h e ~ f l e s h) . ~$

And I would spare you ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \delta \varepsilon v \mu \omega \nu \varphi \varepsilon \iota \delta o \mu \alpha$ ). Possibly conative present middle indicative, I am trying to spare you like $\alpha \gamma \varepsilon$ in Ro 2:4 and $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \nu \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ in Ga 5:4.

1Cor 7:29
But this I say ( $\tau 0 v \tau o \delta \varepsilon \varphi A ̂ \eta \mu$. Note $\varphi \hat{A} \eta \mu$ here rather than $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \hat{A} \omega$ (verses 8,12 ). A new turn is here given to the argument about the present necessity.

The time is shortened (o каıроऽ $\sigma u v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \tau v$ ). Perfect periphrastic passive indicative of $\sigma v \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, old verb to place together, to draw together. Only twice in the N.T., here and Ac $5: 6$ which see. Found in the papyri for curtailing expenses. Calvin takes it for the shortness of human life, but apparently Paul pictures the foreshortening of time (opportunity) because of the possible nearness of and hope for the second coming. But in Philippians Paul faces death as his fate (Php 1:21-26 ), though still looking for the coming of Christ ( 3:20).
 accusative of general reference and iva has the notion of result rather than purpose (Robertson, Grammar, p. 997).

As though they had none ( $\omega \varsigma \mu \eta \varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). This use of $\omega \varsigma$ with the participle for an assumed condition is regular and $\mu \eta$ in the Koine is the normal negative of the participle. So the idiom runs on through verse 31 .

1Cor 7:30
As though they possessed not ( $\omega \varsigma \mu \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). See this use of $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \chi \omega$, old verb to hold down (Lu 14:9), to keep fast, to possess, in 2Co 6:10 . Paul means that all earthly relations are to hang loosely about us in view of the second coming.

1Cor 7:31
Those that use the world ( $о \chi \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon v o$ tov коб $\mu \circ v$ ). Old verb $\chi \rho \alpha \circ \mu \alpha$, usually with the instrumental case, but the accusative occurs in some Cretan inscriptions and in late writers according to a tendency of verbs to resume the use of the original accusative (Robertson, Grammar, p. 468).

As not abusing it ( $\omega \varsigma \mu \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \chi \rho \eta \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Perfective use of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ in composition, old verb, but here only in N.T., to use up, use to the full. Papyri give examples of this sense. This is more likely the idea than "abusing" it.

For the fashion of this world passeth away ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho$ то $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha$ тоv коб $\mu о v$ тоv $\tau о v$ ). Cf. 1Jo 2:17. $\Sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha$ is the habitus, the outward appearance, old word, in N.T. only here and Php 2:7f. Параүع (old word) means "passes along" like a moving panorama (movie show!). Used of Jesus passing by in Jericho (Mt 20:30 ).

1Cor 7:32
Free from cares ( $\alpha \mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \nu о \nu \varsigma)$. Old compound adjective ( $\alpha$ privative and $\mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \nu \alpha$, anxiety). In N.T. only here and Mt 28:14 which see.

The things of the Lord ( $\tau \alpha$ $\tau 0 \mathrm{~K}$ Kupıov). The ideal state (so as to the widow and the virgin in verse 33), but even the unmarried do let the cares of the world choke the word (Mr 4:19).

How he may please the Lord ( $\pi \omega \varsigma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \eta \tau \omega \mathrm{Kv} \mathrm{\rho} \omega$ ). Deliberative subjunctive with $\pi \omega \varsigma$ retained in an indirect question. Dative case of $K v \rho \omega \omega$. Same construction in verse 33 with $\pi \omega \varsigma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \eta \tau \eta \gamma$ үvalk (his wife) and in $34 \pi \omega \varsigma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \eta \tau \omega \alpha v \delta \rho$ (her husband).

## 1Cor 7:34

And there is a difference also between the wife and the virgin ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \eta$ $\gamma \cup v \eta \kappa \alpha \eta \pi \alpha \rho \theta \varepsilon v \circ \varsigma)$. But the text here is very uncertain, almost hopelessly so. Westcott and Hort put $\kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \rho ı \sigma \tau \alpha$ in verse 33 and begin a new sentence with $\kappa \alpha \eta \gamma v \nu \eta$ and add $\eta$ a $\gamma \alpha \mu \circ$, after $\eta \gamma \cup v \eta$, meaning "the widow and the virgin each is anxious for the things of the Lord" like the unmarried man (o $\alpha \gamma \alpha \mu \circ \varsigma$, bachelor or widow) in verse 32. Possibly so, but the MSS. vary greatly at every point. At any rate Paul's point is that the married woman is more disposed to care for the things of the world. But, alas, how many unmarried women (virgins and widows) are after the things of the world today and lead a fast and giddy life.

1Cor 7:35
For your own profit ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau 0 ~ v \mu \omega v \alpha v \tau \omega v \sigma \nu \mu \varphi \circ \rho o v$ ). Old adjective, advantageous, with neuter article here as substantive, from verb $\sigma v \mu \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$. In N.T. here only and 10:33. Note reflexive plural form $v \mu \omega \nu \alpha v \tau \omega v$.

Not that I may cast a snare upon you ( $o v \chi \imath v \alpha \beta \rho o \chi o v v \mu ı v \varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \omega$ ). B $\rho \circ \chi o v$ is a noose or slip-knot used for lassoing animals, old word, only here in N.T. Papyri have an example "hanged by a noose." E $\pi \iota \beta \alpha \omega$ is second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, old verb to cast upon. Paul does not wish to capture the Corinthians by lasso and compel them to do what they do not wish about getting married.

For that which is seemly ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ то $\varepsilon v \sigma \chi \eta \mu \circ v$ ). Old adjective ( $\varepsilon v$, well, $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \omega \nu$, shapely, comely, from $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha$, figure). For the purpose of decorum.

Attend upon the Lord ( $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \rho o v)$. Adjective construed with $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau 0$, before, late word (Hesychius) from $\varepsilon v$, well, and $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \rho \circ \varsigma$, sitting beside, "for the good position beside the Lord" (associative instrumental case of Kvpı $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ ). Cf. Mary sitting at the feet of Jesus (Lu 10:39).

Without distraction ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \tau \omega \varsigma$ ). Late adverb (Polybius, Plutarch, LXX) from the adjective $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \tau \sigma \varsigma$ (common in the papyri) from a privative and $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \pi \alpha \omega$, to draw around (Lu 10:40).

1Cor 7:36
That he behaveth himself unseemly ( $\alpha \sigma \chi \eta \mu 0 v \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Old verb, here only in N.T., from $\alpha \sigma \chi \eta \mu \omega \nu$ (1Co 12:23 ), from a privative and $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha$. Occurs in the papyri. Infinitive in indirect discourse after vo $\mu_{\zeta} \zeta \varepsilon$ (thinks) with $\varepsilon$ (condition of first class, assumed as true).

If she be past the flower of her age ( $\varepsilon \alpha \vee \eta \cup \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \kappa \mu \circ \varsigma)$. Old word, only here in N.T., from $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ (over) and $\alpha \kappa \mu \eta$ (prime or bloom of life), past the bloom of youth, superadultus (Vulgate). Compound adjective with feminine form like masculine. Apparently the Corinthians had asked Paul about the duty of a father towards his daughter old enough to marry.

If need so requireth ( $\kappa \alpha$ оט $\tau \omega \varsigma о \varphi \varepsilon \iota \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \iota v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). "And it ought to happen." Paul has discussed the problem of marriage for virgins on the grounds of expediency. Now he faces the question where the daughter wishes to marry and there is no serious objection to it. The
father is advised to consent. Roman and Greek fathers had the control of the marriage of their daughters. "My marriage is my father's care; it is not for me to decide about that" (Hermione in Euripides' Andromache, 987).

Let them marry ( $\gamma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \iota \tau \omega \sigma \alpha v$ ). Present active plural imperative (long form).
1Cor 7:37
To keep his own virgin daughter ( $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon เ \nu \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau o v ~ \pi \alpha \rho \theta \varepsilon v o v$ ). This means the case when the virgin daughter does not wish to marry and the father agrees with her,
he shall do well ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma ~ \pi о п \eta \sigma \varepsilon) . ~$
1Cor 7:38
Doeth well ( $\kappa \lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma$ тоь $\varepsilon$ ). So Paul commends the father who gives his daughter in marriage ( $\gamma \alpha \mu \iota \zeta)$. This verb $\gamma \alpha \mu \zeta \omega$ has not been found outside the N.T. See on Mt 22:30 .
 shortened time ( 7:29). And yet, when all is said, Paul leaves the whole problem of getting married an open question to be settled by each individual case.

1Cor 7:39
For so long time as her husband liveth ( $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime}$ oбov $\chi \rho \circ$ vov $\zeta \eta$ о $\left.\alpha v \eta \rho \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma\right)$. While he lives ( $\tau \omega \zeta \omega \nu \tau \alpha \nu \delta \rho$ ) Paul says in Ro 7:2 . This is the ideal and is pertinent today when husbands meet their ex-wives and wives meet their ex-husbands. There is a screw loose somewhere. Paul here treats as a sort of addendum the remarriage of widows. He will discuss it again in 1Ti 5:9-13 and then he will advise younger widows to marry. Paul leaves her free here also to be married again, "only in the Lord" ( $\mu$ ovov $\varepsilon v$ Kvpı $\omega$ ). Every marriage ought to be "in the Lord."

To be married $(\gamma \alpha \mu \eta \theta \eta \nu \alpha)$ is first aorist passive infinitive followed by the dative relative $\omega$ with unexpressed antecedent tout $\omega$.

1Cor 7:40
Happier ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \omega \tau \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). Comparative of $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \iota o s$ used in the Beatitudes (Mt 5:3ff.).
After my judgment ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \mu \eta \nu \gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta \nu$ ). The same word used in verse 25 , not a command.

I think ( $\delta$ ок $\omega$ ). From $\delta$ ок $\varepsilon \omega$, not $v$ о $\mu \zeta \omega$ of verse 26 . But he insists that he has "the spirit of God" ( $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \theta \varepsilon o v$ ) in the expression of his inspired judgment on this difficult, complicated, tangled problem of marriage. But he has discharged his duty and leaves each one to decide for himself.

## 1 Corinthians 8

1Cor 8:1
Now concerning things sacrificed to idols ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \delta \varepsilon \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \omega \lambda o \theta v \tau \omega v$ ). Plainly the Corinthians had asked also about this problem in their letter to Paul ( 7:1). This compound adjective ( $\varepsilon \iota \delta \omega \lambda o v$, idol, $\theta v \tau \circ \varsigma$, verbal adjective from $\theta v \omega$, to sacrifice) is still found only in the N.T. and ecclesiastical writers, not so far in the papyri. We have seen this problem mentioned in the decision of the Jerusalem Conference (Ac 15:29; 21:25). The connection between idolatry and impurity was very close, especially in Corinth. See both topics connected in Re 2:14,20. By $\varepsilon เ \delta \omega \lambda$ o $\theta v \tau \alpha$ was meant the portion of the flesh left over after the heathen sacrifices. The heathen called it ıعoo日vtov (1Co 10:28). This leftover part "was either eaten sacrificially, or taken home for private meals, or sold in the markets" (Robertson and Plummer). What were Christians to do about eating such portions either buying in the market or eating in the home of another or at the feast to the idol? Three questions are thus involved and Paul discusses them all. There was evidently difference of opinion on the subject among the Corinthian Christians. Aspects of the matter come forward not touched on in the Jerusalem Conference to which Paul does not here allude, though he does treat it in Ga 2:1-10. There was the more enlightened group who acted on the basis of their superior knowledge about the non-existence of the gods represented by the idols.

Ye know that we all have knowledge (ot $\delta \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ot $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota v \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v$ ). This may be a quotation from the letter (Moffatt, Lit. of N.T., p. 112). Since their conversion to Christ, they know the emptiness of idol-worship. Paul admits that all Christians have this knowledge (personal experience, $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma)$, but this problem cannot be solved by knowledge.

1Cor 8:2
Puffeth up ( $\varphi$ טбıo). From $\varphi$ vбוo $\omega$ (present indicative active). See on 4:6. Pride may be the result, not edification (oккобонв) which comes from love. Note article ( $\eta$ ) with both $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma$, and $\alpha \gamma a \pi \eta$, making the contrast sharper. See on 1Th 5:11 for the verb оккобо $\mu \varepsilon \omega$, to build up. Love is the solution, not knowledge, in all social problems.

That he knoweth anything ( $\varepsilon \gamma v \omega \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha \tau$ ). Perfect active infinitive in indirect discourse after $\delta$ окє (condition of first class with $\varepsilon$ ). So "has acquired knowledge" (cf. 3:18), has gone to the bottom of the subject.

He knoweth not yet (ov $\pi \omega \varepsilon \gamma v \omega$ ). Second aorist active indicative, timeless aorist, summary (punctiliar) statement of his ignorance.

As he ought to know ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \gamma v \omega v \alpha$ ). Second aorist active infinitive, ingressive aorist (come to know). Newton's remark that he was only gathering pebbles on the shore of the ocean of truth is pertinent. The really learned man knows his ignorance of what lies beyond. Shallow knowledge is like the depth of the mud hole, not of the crystal spring.

1Cor 8:3

The same is known of him (ov $\frac{1}{} \varepsilon \gamma v \omega \sigma \tau \alpha \cup \pi^{\prime}$ avtov). Loving God (condition of first class again) is the way to come to know God. It is not certain whether outos refers to the man who loves God or to God who is loved. Both are true. God knows those that are his (2Ti 2:19; Ex 33:12). Those who know God are known of God (Ga 4:9). We love God because he first loved us (1Jo 4:19). But here Paul uses both ideas and both verbs. E $\gamma v \omega \sigma \tau \alpha$ is perfect passive indicative of $\gamma เ \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, an abiding state of recognition by ( $v \pi$ ') God. No one is acquainted with God who does not love him (1Jo 4:8). God sets the seal of his favour on the one who loves him. So much for the principle.

1Cor 8:4
No idol is anything in the world (ov $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \omega \lambda o v \varepsilon v$ коб $\mu \omega$ ). Probably correct translation, though no copula is expressed. On $\varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \omega \lambda \circ v$ (from $\varepsilon \iota \delta o \varsigma$ ), old word, see on Ac 7:41; 15:20; $1 \mathrm{Th} 1: 9$. The idol was a mere picture or symbol of a god. If the god has no existence, the idol is a non-entity. This Gentile Christians had come to know as Jews and Jewish Christians already knew.

No God but one (ouסzıc $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \eta \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma)$. This Christians held as firmly as Jews. The worship of Jesus as God's Son and the Holy Spirit does not recognize three Gods, but one God in three Persons. It was the worship of Mary the Mother of Jesus that gave Mahomet his cry: "Allah is One." The cosmos, the ordered universe, can only be ruled by one God (Ro 1:20).

1Cor 8:5
For though there be (ка $\gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon เ \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon ו \sigma)$. Literally, "For even if indeed there are" (a concessive clause, condition of first class, assumed to be true for argument's sake).

Called gods ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma o \mu \varepsilon v o \quad \theta \varepsilon o$ ). So-called gods, reputed gods. Paul denied really the existence of these so-called gods and held that those who worshipped idols (non-entities) in reality worshipped demons or evil spirits, agents of Satan (1Co 10:19-21).

1Cor 8:6
Yet to us there is one God, the Father ( $\left.\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \eta \mu \nu \nu \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \theta \varepsilon o \varsigma ~ o ~ \pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho\right)$. B omits $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ here, but the sense calls for it anyhow in this apodosis, a strong antithesis to the protasis ( even if at least, $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi \tau \varepsilon \rho)$.

Of whom ( $\varepsilon \xi \mathfrak{o v}$ ). As the source ( $\varepsilon \xi$ ) of the universe ( $\tau \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ as in Ro 11:36; Col 1:16f. ) and also our goal is God ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \alpha u \tau o v)$ as in Ro 11:36 where $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ autov is added whereas here $\delta^{\prime}$ ov (through whom) and $\delta \imath^{\prime}$ avtov (through him) point to Jesus Christ as the intermediate agent in creation as in Col 1:15-20; Joh 1:3f. Here Paul calls Jesus

Lord (Kupıos) and not
God ( $\theta$ عoৎ), though he does apply that word to him in Ro 9:5; Tit 2:13; Col 2:9; Ac 20:28

1Cor 8:7

Howbeit in all men there is not that knowledge ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ovк $\left.\varepsilon v \pi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \eta \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma\right)$. The knowledge ( $\eta \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma)$ of which Paul is speaking. Knowledge has to overcome inheritance and environment, prejudice, fear, and many other hindrances.

Being used until now to the idol ( $\tau \eta \sigma v \nu \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha \rho \tau \tau o v \varepsilon \iota \delta \omega \lambda o v$ ). Old word $\sigma u \nu \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ from $\sigma v \nu \eta \forall \eta \varsigma(\sigma v v, \eta \theta$ oৎ), accustomed to, like Latin consuetudo, intimacy. In N.T. only here and Joh 18:39; 1Co 11:16. It is the force of habit that still grips them when they eat such meat. They eat it "as an idol sacrifice" ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \omega \lambda 0 \theta v \tau o v$ ), though they no longer believe in idols. The idol-taint clings in their minds to this meat.

Being weak ( $\alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma$ ov $\sigma \alpha$ ). "It is defiled, not by the partaking of polluted food, for food cannot pollute (Mr 7:18f.; Lu 11:41), but by the doing of something which the unenlightened conscience does not allow" (Robertson and Plummer). For this great word $\sigma u v \varepsilon \iota \delta \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$ (conscientia, knowing together, conscience) see on Ac 23:1. It is important in Paul's Epistles, Peter's First Epistle, and Hebrews. Even if unenlightened, one must act according to his conscience, a sensitive gauge to one's spiritual condition. Knowledge breaks down as a guide with the weak or unenlightened conscience. For $\alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma$, weak (lack of strength) see on Mt 26:41 .

Defiled ( $\mu \mathrm{o} \lambda \nu v \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Old word $\mu \mathrm{o} \lambda \nu v \omega$, to stain, pollute, rare in N.T. (1Ti 3:9; Re 3:4).
1Cor 8:8
Will not commend (ov $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Future active indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, old word to present as in Ac 1:3; Lu 2:22; Col 1:28. Food $(\beta \rho \omega \mu \alpha)$ will not give us an entree to God for commendation or condemnation, whether meat-eaters or vegetarians.

Are we the worse $(v \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha)$. Are we left behind, do we fall short. Both conditions are of the third class $(\varepsilon \alpha \nu \mu \eta, \varepsilon \alpha v)$ undetermined.

Are we the better ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Do we overflow, do we have excess of credit. Paul here disposes of the pride of knowledge (the enlightened ones) and the pride of prejudice (the unenlightened). Each was disposed to look down upon the other, the one in scorn of the other's ignorance, the other in horror of the other's heresy and daring.

1Cor 8:9
Take heed ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). A warning to the enlightened.
Lest by any means ( $\mu \eta \pi \omega \varsigma$ ). Common construction after verbs of caution or fearing, $\mu \eta \pi \omega \varsigma$ with aorist subjunctive $\gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \tau \alpha$.

This liberty of yours ( $\eta \varepsilon \xi$ ovoıa $v \mu \omega v \alpha v \tau \eta$ ). $E \xi$ ovoıa, from $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$, means a grant, allowance, authority, power, privilege, right, liberty. It shades off easily. It becomes a battle cry, personal liberty does, to those who wish to indulge their own whims and appetites regardless of the effect upon others.

A stumbling-block to the weak ( $\pi \rho о \sigma к о \mu \mu \alpha$ тоıऽ $\alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \sigma \iota)$. Late word from $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa о \pi \tau \omega$, to cut against, to stumble against. So an obstacle for the foot to strike. In Ro 14:13 Paul uses $\sigma \kappa \alpha \nu \delta \alpha \lambda o v$ as parallel with $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa о \mu \mu \alpha$. We do not live alone. This principle
applies to all social relations in matters of law, of health, of morals. Noblesse oblige. The enlightened must consider the welfare of the unenlightened, else he does not have love.

1Cor 8:10
If a man see thee which hast knowledge sitting at meat in an idol's temple ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \gamma \alpha \rho$
 case. Paul draws the picture of the enlightened brother exercising his "liberty" by eating in the idol's temple. Later he will discuss the peril to the man's own soul in this phase of the matter ( 10:14-22), but here he considers only the effect of such conduct on the unenlightened or weak brother. This bravado at a sacrificial banquet is in itself idolatrous as Paul will show. But our weak brother will be emboldened (oוкоסопŋ $\theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$, future passive indicative, will be built up) to go on and do what he still believes to be wrong, to eat things sacrificed to idols ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \tau \alpha ~ \varepsilon ı \delta \omega \lambda 0 \theta v \tau \alpha \varepsilon \sigma \theta \iota \varepsilon เ v)$. Alas, how often that has happened. Defiance is flung in the face of the unenlightened brother instead of loving consideration.

1Cor 8:11
Through thy knowledge ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \sigma \eta \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Literally, in thy knowledge. Surely a poor use to put one's superior knowledge.

Perisheth ( $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Present middle indicative of the common verb $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$, to destroy. Ruin follows in the wake of such daredevil knowledge.

For whose sake Christ died ( $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ ov X $\operatorname{X\rho \sigma \tau o\varsigma ~} \alpha \pi \varepsilon Ө \alpha v \varepsilon v$ ). Just as much as for the enlightened brother with his selfish pride. The accusative ( ov ) with $\delta \mathrm{l}^{\prime}$ gives the reason, not the agent as with the genitive in 8:6 ( $\delta \mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ ov). The appeal to the death ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \theta \alpha v \varepsilon v$, second aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \theta \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega)$ of Christ is the central fact that clinches Paul's argument.

1Cor 8:12
Wounding their conscience ( $\tau v \pi \tau \circ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \omega \nu \tau \eta \nu \sigma \cup v \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \eta \sigma \tau v$ ). Old verb $\tau v \pi \tau \omega$, to smite with fist, staff, whip. The conscience is sensitive to a blow like that, a slap in the face.

Ye sin against Christ ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o v ~ a \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). That fact they were overlooking. Jesus had said to Saul that he was persecuting him when he persecuted his disciples (Ac 9:5). One may wonder if Paul knew the words of Jesus in Mt 25:40, "ye did it unto me."

1Cor 8:13
Meat ( $\beta \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ ). Food it should be, not flesh ( $\kappa \rho \varepsilon \alpha$ ).
Maketh my brother to stumble ( $\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda \iota \zeta \varepsilon$ tov $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \circ v \mu \circ v$ ). Late verb (LXX and N.T.) to set a trap-stick (Mt 5:29) or stumbling-block like $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa о \mu \mu \alpha$ in verse 9 (cf. Ro 14:13,21 ). Small boys sometimes set snares for other boys, not merely for animals to see them caught.

I will eat no flesh for evermore ( $\circ v \mu \eta \varphi \alpha \gamma \omega \kappa \rho \varepsilon \alpha \varepsilon ı \varsigma \tau o v \alpha \iota \omega v \alpha$ ). The strong double negative ov $\mu \eta$ with the second aorist subjunctive. Here Paul has
flesh ( $\kappa \rho \varepsilon \alpha$ ) with direct reference to the flesh offered to idols. Old word, but in N.T. only here and Ro 14:21. This is Paul's principle of love (verse 2) applied to the matter of eating meats offered to idols. Paul had rather be a vegetarian than to lead his weak brother to do what he considered sin. There are many questions of casuistry today that can only be handled wisely by Paul's ideal of love.

## 1 Corinthians 9

1Cor 9:1
Am I not free? (Ovk $\varepsilon \not \mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho o \sigma ;)$. Free as a Christian from Mosaic ceremonialism (cf. 9:19) as much as any Christian and yet he adapts his moral independence to the principle of considerate love in 8:13.

Am I not an apostle? (ovk $\varepsilon \mu \alpha \pi о \sigma \tau о \lambda о \sigma ;$ ). He has the exceptional privileges as an apostle to support from the churches and yet he foregoes these.
 Ac 9:17,27; 18:9; 22:14,17f.; 2Co 12:1ff. ) that he has the qualification of an apostle (Ac 1:22 ) though not one of the twelve. Note strong form of the negative oux here. All these questions expect an affirmative answer. The perfect active عорака from opa $\omega$, to see, does not here have double reduplication as in Joh 1:18 .

Are not ye? (ov $v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon ;$ ). They were themselves proof of his apostleship.
1Cor 9:2
Yet at least I am to you ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v \mu \nu v \varepsilon \mu)$. An argumentum ad hominem and a pointed appeal for their support. Note use of $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma^{\varepsilon}$ in the apodosis (cf. 8:6).

1Cor 9:3
My defence ( $\eta \varepsilon \mu \eta \alpha \pi o \lambda o \gamma \iota \alpha$ ). Original sense, not idea of apologizing as we say. See on Ac 22:1; 25:16 . Refers to what precedes and to what follows as illustration of 8:13.

To them that examine me (тоı $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \alpha v a \kappa \rho ı v o v \sigma ı)$ ). See on 1Co 2:15; 4:3. The critics in Corinth were "investigating" Paul with sharp eyes to find faults. How often the pastor is under the critic's spy-glass.

1Cor 9:4
Have we no right? ( $\mathrm{M} \eta$ оик $\varepsilon \chi о \mu \varepsilon v$ ع $\xi$ ovaıav;). Literary plural here though singular in $1-3$. The $\mu \eta$ in this double negative expects the answer "No" while ouk goes with the verb $\varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v$. "Do we fail to have the right?" Cf. Ro 10:18f. (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1173).

1Cor 9:5
Have we no right? ( $\mathrm{M} \eta$ оик $\varepsilon \chi о \mu \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \xi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \sigma \iota \alpha v$;). Same idiom.
To lead about a wife that is a believer? ( $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \eta \nu \gamma \cup v a ı \kappa \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho ı \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \imath ;)$. Old verb $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \alpha \gamma \omega$, intransitive in Ac 13:11. Two substantives in apposition, a sister a wife, a common Greek idiom. This is a plea for the support of the preacher's wife and children. Plainly Paul has no wife at this time.

And Cephas (ка Кпра¢). Why is he singled out by name? Perhaps because of his prominence and because of the use of his name in the divisions in Corinth ( 1:12). It was well known that Peter was married (Mt 8:14). Paul mentions James by name in Ga 1:19 as one of the Lord's brothers. All the other apostles were either married or had the right to be.

1Cor 9:6

Have we not a right to forbear working? (ovк $\varepsilon \chi о \mu \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \xi$ оvбı $\alpha \nu \mu \eta \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$;). By $\eta$ (or) Paul puts the other side about Barnabas (the only allusion since the dispute in Ac 15:39 , but in good spirit) and himself. Perhaps (Hofmann) Paul has in mind the fact that in the first great mission tour (Ac 13; 14 ), Barnabas and Paul received no help from the church in Antioch, but were left to work their way along at their own charges. It was not till the Philippian Church took hold that Paul had financial aid (Php 4:15 ). Here both negatives have their full force. Literally, Do we not have (ovк $\varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu$, expecting the affirmative reply) the right not ( $\mu \eta$, negative of the infinitive $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ) to do manual labour (usual meaning of $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta$ о $\mu \alpha$ as in 4:12)?" There was no more compulsion on Paul and Barnabas to support themselves than upon the other workers for Christ. They renounced no rights in being voluntarily independent.

1Cor 9:7
What soldier ever serveth? ( $\tau \varsigma \varsigma \tau \rho a \tau \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi о \tau \varepsilon ;$ ). "Who ever serves as a soldier?" serves in an army ( $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \sigma)$. Present middle of old verb $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \omega$.

At his own charges (ıııos o $\psi \omega v \iota \circ \varsigma$ ). This late word o $\omega \omega v$ vov (from o $\%$ ov, cooked meat or relish with bread, and $\omega v \varepsilon o \mu a$, to buy) found in Menander, Polybius, and very common in papyri and inscriptions in the sense of rations or food, then for the soldiers' wages (often provisions) or the pay of any workman. So of the wages of $\sin$ (Ro 6:23 ). Paul uses $\lambda \alpha \beta \omega \nu$ o $\psi \omega \nu$ lov (receiving wages, the regular idiom) in 2Co 11:8. See Moulton and Milligan, Vocabulary; Deissmann, Bible Studies, pp. 148,266; Light from the Ancient East, p. 168. To give proof of his right to receive pay for preaching Paul uses the illustrations of the soldier (verse 7), the husbandman (verse 7), the shepherd (verse 7), the ox treading out the grain (8), the ploughman (verse 10), the priests in the temple (13), proof enough in all conscience, and yet not enough for some churches who even today starve their pastors in the name of piety.

Who planteth a vineyard? ( $\tau \iota \varsigma \varphi \cup \tau \varepsilon v \varepsilon ~ \alpha \mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda \omega \nu \alpha ;$ ). A $\mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda \omega \nu$ no earlier than Diodorus, but in LXX and in papyri. Place of vines ( $\alpha \mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma)$, meaning of ending $-\omega v$.

Who feedeth a flock? ( $\tau \iota \varsigma \pi о \mu \alpha \iota v \varepsilon \pi о \mu \nu \eta \nu ;$ ). Cognate accusative, both old words. Paul likens the pastor to a soldier, vinedresser, shepherd. He contends with the world, he plants churches, he exercises a shepherd's care over them (Vincent).

1Cor 9:8
Do I speak these things after the manner of men? ( $М \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \nu \tau \alpha v \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda \omega$;). Negative answer expected. Paul uses $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ ov six times (1Co 3:3; 9:8; 15:32; Gal 1:11; 3:15; Ro 3:5 ). The illustrations from human life are pertinent, but he has some of a higher order, from Scripture.

The law also ( $\kappa \alpha$ о vоноৎ). Perhaps objection was made that the Scripture does not support the practice of paying preachers. That objection is still made by the stingy.

1Cor 9:9

Thou shalt not muzzle the ox when he treadeth out the corn (ov $\varphi \not \mu \omega \sigma \varepsilon \varsigma \beta o v v$ $\alpha \lambda o \omega v \tau \alpha)$. Quotation from De 25:4. Prohibition by ov and the volitive future indicative. $\Phi \mu \circ \omega$, to muzzle (from $\varphi \mu \circ \varsigma$, a muzzle for dogs and oxen), appears first in Aristophanes (Clouds, 592) and not again till LXX and N.T., though in the papyri also. Evidently a vernacular word, perhaps a slang word. See metaphorical use in Mt 22:12,34. A $\lambda o \omega v \tau \alpha$ is present active participle of the old verb $\alpha \lambda o \alpha \omega$, occurs in the N.T. only here (and verse 10) and $1 \mathrm{Ti} 5: 18$ where it is also quoted. It is probably derived from $\alpha \lambda o s$ or $\alpha \lambda o v$, a threshingfloor, or the disc of a shield or of the sun and moon. The Egyptians according to the monuments, used oxen to thresh out the grain, sometimes donkeys, by pulling a drag over the grain. The same process may be found today in Andalusia, Italy, Palestine. A hieroglyphic inscription at Eileithyas reads:
"Thresh ye yourselves, O oxen, Measures of grain for yourselves, Measures of grain for your masters."

Note $\mu \eta \mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$ expects the negative answer, impersonal verb with dative and genitive cases ( $\theta \varepsilon \mathrm{co}$, God, $\beta \mathrm{o} \omega \nu$, oxen).

Altogether ( $\pi \alpha \vee \tau \omega \varsigma)$. But here probably with the notion of doubtless or assuredly. The editors differ in the verse divisions here. The Canterbury Version puts both these questions in verse 10, the American Standard the first in verse 9, the second in verse 10.

1Cor 9:10
He that plougheth (o $\alpha \rho \circ \tau \rho \iota \omega v$ ). Late verb $\alpha \rho \circ \tau \rho \iota \alpha \omega$, to plough, for the old $\alpha \rho o \omega$ from apotoov (plough), in LXX and rare in papyri.

In hope of partaking ( $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta$ $\tau 0 v \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon เ v$ ). The infinitive $\alpha \lambda o a i v$ is not repeated nor is o $\varphi \varepsilon \iota \lambda \varepsilon$ though it is understood, "He that thresheth ought to thresh in hope of partaking." He that ploughs hardly refers to the ox at the plough as he that threshes does. The point is that all the workers (beast or man) share in the fruit of the toil.

1Cor 9:11
Is it a great matter? ( $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha ;$ ). The copula $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ has to be supplied. Note two conditions of first class with $\varepsilon$, both assumed to be true. On $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau$ тк and $\sigma \alpha \rho \kappa เ \kappa \alpha$ see on 2:14; 3:3. This point comes out sharply also in Ga 6:6.

1Cor 9:12
Over you ( $\nu \mu \omega v$ ). Objective genitive after $\varepsilon \xi o v \sigma ı \alpha v$.
Do not we yet more? (ov $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v \eta \mu \varepsilon ા \sigma$;). Because of Paul's peculiar relation to that church as founder and apostle.

But we bear all things ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon \gamma \circ \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Old verb to cover ( $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \gamma \eta$, roof) and so to cover up, to conceal, to endure (1Co 13:7 of love). Paul deliberately declined to use (usual instrumental case with $\chi \rho \alpha o \mu \alpha$ ) his right to pay in Corinth.

That we may cause no hindrance ( $\downarrow v \alpha \mu \eta \tau \imath v \alpha \varepsilon v \kappa о \pi \eta \nu \delta \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Late word $\varepsilon v \kappa о \pi \eta$, a cutting in (cf. radio or telephone) or hindrance from $\varepsilon v \kappa o \pi \tau \omega$, to cut in, rare word (like
$\varepsilon \kappa \kappa о \pi \eta)$ here only in N.T. and once in Vettius Valens. How considerate Paul is to avoid "a hindrance to the gospel of Christ" ( $\tau \omega \varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda เ \omega$ tov Xpıбтov, dative case and genitive) rather than insist on his personal rights and liberties, an eloquent example for all modern men.

1Cor 9:13
Sacred things ( $\tau \alpha \mid \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ).
 the details. This is a very pertinent illustration.

They which wait upon the altar (o $\tau \omega$ $\theta v \sigma i \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho I \omega$ $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \rho \varepsilon v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Old word $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \rho \varepsilon v \omega$, to sit beside, from $\pi \alpha \rho--\varepsilon \delta \rho o \varsigma$, like Latin assidere, and so constant attendance. Only here in the N.T. Locative case $\theta$ voıaбт $\rho \rho \omega$, late word found so far only in LXX, Philo, Josephus, N.T., and ecclesiastical writers. See on Mt 5:23 .

1Cor 9:14
Even so did the Lord ordain (ovtw¢ ка о Kupıos $\delta \iota \varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi \varepsilon v$ ). Just as God gave orders about the priests in the temple, so did the Lord Jesus give orders for those who preach the gospel to live out of the gospel ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ tov $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\imath} \circ v$ ऽ $\eta ı v$ ). Evidently Paul was familiar with the words of Jesus in Mt 10:10; Lu 10:7f. either in oral or written form. He has made his argument for the minister's salary complete for all time.

1Cor 9:15
For it were good for me to die, than that any man should make my glorying void
 this sentence reflects the intensity of Paul's feeling on the subject. He repeats his refusal to use his privileges and rights to a salary by use of the present perfect middle indicative ( $\kappa \varepsilon \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha)$. By the epistolary aorist ( $\varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha)$ he explains that he is not now hinting for a change on their part towards him in the matter, "in my case" ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \mu \circ$ ). Then he gives his reason in vigorous language without a copula ( $\eta \nu$, were): "For good for me to die rather than," but here he changes the construction by a violent anacoluthon. Instead of another infinitive ( $\kappa \varepsilon \nu \omega \sigma \alpha$ ) after $\eta$ (than) he changes to the future indicative without ot or $\iota v a$, "No one shall make my glorying void," viz., his independence of help from them. Kevow is an old verb, from кevoৎ, empty, only in Paul in N.T. See on 1Co 1:17 .

1Cor 9:16
For if I preach ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon v \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı \zeta \omega \mu \alpha)$. Third class condition, supposable case. Same construction in verse $16(\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta)$.

For necessity is laid upon me ( $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta ~ \gamma \alpha \rho \mu о \varepsilon \pi \kappa \kappa \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ ). Old verb, lies upon me (dative case $\mu \mathrm{o}$ ). Jesus had called him (Ac 9:6,15; Ga 1:15f.; Ro 1:14). He could do no other and deserves no credit for doing it.

Woe is me (ova $\gamma \alpha \rho \mu \mathrm{o}$ ). Explaining the $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta$ (necessity). Paul had to heed the call of Christ that he had heard. He had a real call to the ministry. Would that this were the case with every modern preacher.

1Cor 9:17
Of mine own will ( $\varepsilon \kappa \omega v$ )
--not of mine own will ( $\alpha \kappa \omega \nu$ ). Both common adjectives, but only here in N.T. save $\varepsilon \kappa \omega v$, also in Ro 8:20. The argument is not wholly clear. Paul's call was so clear that he certainly did his work
willingly and so had a reward (see on $\mathrm{Mt} 6: 1$ for $\mu \mathrm{\sigma} \theta \mathrm{oc}$ ); but the only
reward that he had for his willing work (Marcus Dods) was to make the gospel
free of expense ( $\alpha \delta \alpha \pi \alpha \nu 0 v$, verse 18, rare word, here only in N.T., once in inscription at Priene). This was his $\mu \circ \sigma \theta$ oc. It was glorying ( $\kappa \alpha v \chi \eta \mu \alpha$, to be able to say so as in Ac 20:33f. ).

I have a stewardship intrusted to me (оєкоvо $\mu \propto \nu \pi \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha)$. Perfect passive indicative with the accusative retained. I have been intrusted with a stewardship and so would go on with my task like any otкоvоцоৎ (steward) even if $\alpha \kappa \omega v$ (unwilling).

1Cor 9:18
So as not to use to the full ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau о \mu \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \chi \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha)$. Eıs $\tau$ o for purpose with articular infinitive and perfective use of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ (as in 7:31) with $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$ (first aorist middle infinitive).

1Cor 9:19
I brought myself under bondage ( $\varepsilon \mu \alpha v \tau o v \varepsilon \delta o v \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha$ ). Voluntary bondage, I enslaved myself to all, though free. Causative verb in -o $\omega$ ( $\delta o v \lambda o \omega$, from $\delta o v \lambda o \varsigma$ ). The more ( $\tau 0 v \varsigma$ $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota o v a \varsigma)$. Than he could have done otherwise. Every preacher faces this problem of his personal attitude and conduct. Note $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \eta \sigma \omega$ (as in verses $20,21,22$, but once $\tau v \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \alpha \nu \omega$ in 21 , regular liquid future of $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \alpha \iota v \omega$ ) with $\imath v \alpha$ is probably future active indicative (Jas 4:13 ), though Ionic aorist active subjunctive from $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \alpha \omega$ is possible (Mt 18:15). "He refuses payment in money that he may make the greater gain in souls" (Edwards).

1Cor 9:20
As a Jew ( $\omega \varsigma$ Iov $\alpha$ aıoৎ). He was a Jew and was not ashamed of it (Ac 18:18; 21:26).
Not being myself under the law ( $\mu \eta \omega \nu \alpha v \tau о \varsigma v \pi о \nu о \mu \circ v$ ). He was emancipated from the law as a means of salvation, yet he knew how to speak to them because of his former beliefs and life with them ( $\mathrm{Ga} 4: 21$ ). He knew how to put the gospel to them without compromise and without offence.

1Cor 9:21
To them that are without law ( $\tau 0 \iota \varsigma$ avouoıs). The heathen, those outside the Mosaic law (Ro 2:14 ), not lawless (Lu 22:37; Ac 2:23; 1Ti 1:9). See how Paul bore himself with the pagans (Ac 14:15; 17:23; 24:25), and how he quoted heathen poets. "Not being an outlaw of God, but an inlaw of Christ" (Evans, Estius has it exlex, inlex, $\mu \eta \omega \nu \alpha \nu o \mu \circ \varsigma \theta \varepsilon o v, \alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$
 thus, for it seems unusual with $\alpha v o \mu \circ \varsigma$ and $\varepsilon v v o \mu \circ \varsigma$, both old and regular adjectives.

1Cor 9:22
I became weak ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \eta \nu \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma)$. This is the chief point, the climax in his plea for the principle of love on the part of the enlightened for the benefit of the unenlightened (chapter 1Co 8 ). He thus brings home his conduct about renouncing pay for preaching as an illustration of love ( 8:13).

All things ( $\pi \alpha \vee \tau \alpha$ )
to all men ( $\tau \circ \iota \varsigma \pi \alpha \sigma \iota v$, the whole number)
by all means ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \varsigma)$. Pointed play on the word all,
that I may save some (ıva $\tau \imath v a \varsigma ~ \sigma \omega \sigma \omega$ ). This his goal and worth all the cost of adaptation. In matters of principle Paul was adamant as about Titus the Greek (Ga 2:5). In matters of expediency as about Timothy (Ac 16:3) he would go half way to win and to hold. This principle was called for in dealing with the problem of eating meat offered to idols (Ro 14:1; 15:1; 1Th 5:14).

1Cor 9:23
 That I may become co-partner with others in the gospel. The point is that he may be able to share the gospel with others, his evangelistic passion. $\sum v v \kappa o v v \omega v o \varsigma$ is a compound word ( $\sigma 0 v$, together with, koı $\omega \omega v \circ \varsigma$, partner or sharer). We have two genitives with it in Php 1:7 , though $\varepsilon v$ and the locative is used in Re 1:9. It is found only in the N.T. and a late papyrus. Paul does not wish to enjoy the gospel just by himself.

1Cor 9:24
In a race $(\varepsilon v \sigma \tau \alpha \delta \omega \omega)$. Old word from $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, to place. A stated or fixed distance, 606 3/4 feet, both masculine $\sigma \tau \alpha \delta$ เo (Mt 14:24; Lu 24:13 ) and neuter as here. Most of the Greek cities had race-courses for runners like that at Olympia.

The prize ( $\tau 0 ~ \beta \rho \alpha \beta \varepsilon \circ o v$ ). Late word, in inscriptions and papyri. Latin brabeum. In N. T. only here and $\operatorname{Php} 3: 14$. The victor's prize which only one could receive.

That ye may attain ( $\llcorner v \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Final use of $\stackrel{v \alpha \text { and perfective use of } \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha-\text { with }}{ }$ $\lambda \alpha \beta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ (effective aorist active subjunctive, grasp and hold). Old verb $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$ and used in Php 3:12f. .

1Cor 9:25
That striveth in the games (o $\alpha \gamma \omega v ı \zeta \rho \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Common verb for contest in the athletic games ( $\alpha \gamma \omega v$ ), sometimes with the cognate accusative, $\alpha \gamma \omega v \alpha \alpha \gamma \omega v \iota \zeta \rho \alpha$ as in 1Ti 6:12; 2Ti 4:7. Probably Paul often saw these athletic games.

Is temperate in all things ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Rare verb, once in Aristotle and in a late Christian inscription, and 1Co 7:9 and here, from $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma$, common adjective for one who controls himself. The athlete then and now has to control himself (direct middle) in
all things (accusative of general reference). This is stated by Paul as an athletic axiom. Training for ten months was required under the direction of trained judges. Abstinence from wine was required and a rigid diet and regimen of habits.

A corruptible crown ( $\varphi \theta a \rho \tau 0 v \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi a v o v$ ). $\Sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi a v o c$ (crown) is from $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, to put around the head, like the Latin corona, wreath or garland, badge of victory in the games. In the Isthmian games it was of pine leaves, earlier of parsley, in the Olympian games of the wild olive. "Yet these were the most coveted honours in the whole Greek world" (Findlay). For the crown of thorns on Christ's head see Mt 27:29; Mr 15:17; Joh 19:2,5 . $\Delta \mathrm{\iota} \alpha \delta \eta \mu \alpha$ (diadem) was for kings (Re 12:3). Favourite metaphor in N.T., the crown of righteousness ( 2 Ti $4: 8$ ), the crown of life (Jas 1:12 ), the crown of glory ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 5: 4$ ), the crown of rejoicing ( 1 Th 2:9), description of the Philippians (Php 4:1). Note contrast between $\varphi \theta$ a $\rho \tau 0 v$ (verbal adjective from $\varphi \theta \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$, to corrupt) like the garland of pine leaves, wild olive, or laurel, and $\alpha \varphi \theta \alpha \rho \tau 0 v$ (same form with $\alpha$ privative) like the crown of victory offered the Christian, the amaranthine (unfading rose) crown of glory (1Pe 5:4).

1Cor 9:26
So (ov $\tau \omega \varsigma$ ). Both with $\tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$ (run) and $\pi \cup \kappa \tau \varepsilon v \omega$ (fight).
As not uncertainly ( $\omega \varsigma$ ouk $\alpha \delta \eta \lambda \omega \varsigma$ ). Instead of exhorting them further Paul describes his own conduct as a runner in the race. He explains out $\omega \varsigma$. A $\delta \lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma$ old adverb, only here in N.T. His objective is clear, with Christ as the goal (Php 3:14 ). He kept his eye on Christ as Christ watched him.

Fight ( $\pi \cup \kappa \tau \varepsilon v \omega)$. Paul changes the metaphor from the runner to the boxer. Old verb (only here in N.T.) from $\pi \cup \kappa \tau \eta \zeta$ (pugilist) and that from $\pi v \gamma \mu \eta$ (fist). See on Mr 7:3).

As not beating the air ( $\omega \varsigma$ оик $\alpha \varepsilon \rho \alpha \delta \varepsilon \rho \omega v$ ). A boxer did this when practising without an adversary (cf. doing "the daily dozen") and this was called "shadow-fighting" ( $\sigma \kappa ı \mu \alpha \chi ı \alpha)$. He smote something more solid than air. Probably ov negatives $\alpha \varepsilon \rho \alpha$, though it still occurs with the participle as a strong and positive negative.

1Cor 9:27
But I buffet my body ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \nu \pi \omega \pi \iota \alpha \zeta \omega \mu \circ v$ тo $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ ). In Aristophanes, Aristotle, Plutarch, from $v \pi \omega \pi \iota \circ$, and that from $v \pi \sigma$ and o ofc (in papyri), the part of the face under the eyes, a blow in the face, to beat black and blue. In N.T. only here and Lu 18:5 which see. Paul does not, like the Gnostics, consider his $\sigma \alpha \rho \xi$ or his $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ sinful and evil. But "it is like the horses in a chariot race, which must be kept well in hand by whip and rein if the prize is to be secured" (Robertson and Plummer). The boxers often used boxing gloves ( $\chi \varepsilon \sigma \tau v \varsigma$, of ox-hide bands) which gave telling blows. Paul was not willing for his body to be his master. He found good as the outcome of this self-discipline (2Co 12:7; Ro 8:13; Col 2:23; 3:5).

And bring it into bondage ( $\kappa \alpha \delta o v \lambda \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \omega$ ). Late compound verb from $\delta o u \lambda \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \circ \varsigma$, in Diodorus Siculus, Epictetus and substantive in papyri. It is the metaphor of the victor leading the vanquished as captive and slave.

Lest by any means ( $\mu \eta \pi \omega \varsigma$ ). Common conjunction for negative purpose with subjunctive as here ( $\gamma \varepsilon v \omega \mu \alpha$, second aorist middle).

After that I have preached to others ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ доя $\kappa \eta \rho \cup \xi \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\kappa \eta \rho v \sigma \sigma \omega$ (see on 1:23), common verb to preach, from word $\kappa \eta \rho \cup \xi$ (herald) and that is probably the idea here. A к $\eta \rho \cup \xi$ at the games announced the rules of the game and called out the competitors. So Paul is not merely a herald, but a competitor also.

I myself should be rejected (avтo弓 $\alpha \delta$ окцос $\gamma \varepsilon v \omega \mu \alpha$ ). Literally, "I myself should become rejected." Aסокцос is an old adjective used of metals, coin, soil (Heb 6:8) and in a moral sense only by Paul in N.T. (1Co 9:27; 2Co 13:5-7; Ro 1:28; Tit 1:16; 2Ti 3:8 ). It means not standing the test ( $\delta о к ц \mu о \varsigma$ from $\delta о к \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$ ). Paul means rejected for the
prize , not for the entrance to the race. He will fail to win if he breaks the rules of the game (Mt 7:22f. ). What is the prize before Paul? Is it that
reward ( $\mu \sigma \theta \circ \varsigma$ ) of which he spoke in verse 18 , his glorying of preaching a free gospel? So Edwards argues. Most writers take Paul to refer to the possibility of his rejection in his personal salvation at the end of the race. He does not claim absolute perfection (Php 3:12) and so he presses on. At the end he has serene confidence (2Ti 4:7) with the race run and won. It is a humbling thought for us all to see this wholesome fear instead of smug complacency in this greatest of all heralds of Christ.

## 1 Corinthians 10

1Cor 10:1
For ( $\gamma \alpha \rho$ ). Correct text, not $\delta \varepsilon$. Paul appeals to the experience of the Israelites in the wilderness in confirmation of his statement concerning himself in $9: 26 f$. and as a powerful warning to the Corinthians who may be tempted to flirt with the idolatrous practices of their neighbours. It is a real, not an imaginary peril.

All under the cloud ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \nu \pi о ~ \tau \eta \nu \nu \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \eta \nu)$. They all marched under the pillar of cloud by day (Ex 13:21; 14:19) which covered the host (Nu 14:14; Ps 95:39). This mystic cloud was the symbol of the presence of the Lord with the people.

1Cor 10:2
Were all baptized unto Moses in the cloud and in the sea ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ M \omega v \sigma \eta \nu$ $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \alpha \nu \tau 0 \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \eta \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \quad \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta)$. The picture is plain enough. The mystic cloud covered the people while the sea rose in walls on each side of them as they marched across. B K L P read $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \alpha v \tau 0$ (causative first aorist middle, got themselves baptized) while Aleph A C D have $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ (first aorist passive, were baptized). The immersion was complete for all of them in the sea around them and the cloud over them. Moses was their leader then as Christ is now and so Paul uses $\varepsilon$ عцऽ concerning the relation of the Israelites to Moses as he does of our baptism in relation to Christ (Ga 3:27).

1Cor 10:3
The same spiritual meat ( $\tau о ~ \alpha \cup \tau о ~ \pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau \iota к о v ~ \beta \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ ). Westcott and Hort needlessly bracket to $\alpha v \tau 0$. Bp $\omega \mu \alpha$ is food, not just flesh. The reference is to the manna (Ex 16:13ff. ) which is termed "spiritual" by reason of its supernatural character. Jesus called himself the true bread from heaven (Joh 6:35) which the manna typified.

1Cor 10:4
For they drank of a spiritual rock that followed them ( $\varepsilon \pi เ v o v \varepsilon \kappa \pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau ı к \eta \varsigma$ ако入оиӨоибŋऽ лєтрац). Change to the imperfect $\varepsilon \pi \iota v o v$ shows their continual access to the supernatural source of supply. The Israelites were blessed by the water from the rock that Moses smote at Rephidim (Ex 17:6) and at Kadesh (Nu 20:11) and by the well of Beer (Nu 21:16 ). The rabbis had a legend that the water actually followed the Israelites for forty years, in one form a fragment of rock fifteen feet high that followed the people and gushed out water. Baur and some other scholars think that Paul adopts this "Rabbinical legend that the water-bearing Rephidim rock journeyed onwards with the Israelites" (Findlay). That is hard to believe, though it is quite possible that Paul alludes to this fancy and gives it a spiritual turn as a type of Christ in allegorical fashion. Paul knew the views of the rabbis and made use of allegory on occasion (Ga 4:24).
 bolic form the preexistence of Christ. But surely "we must not disgrace Paul by making him
say that the pre-incarnate Christ followed the march of Israel in the shape of a lump of rock" (Hofmann). He does mean that Christ was the source of the water which saved the Israelites from perishing (Robertson and Plummer) as he is the source of supply for us today.

1Cor 10:5
With most of them ( $\varepsilon v \tau o \iota \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \circ \sigma เ v \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). "A mournful understatement," for only two (Caleb and Joshua) actually reached the Promised Land (Nu 14:30-32). All the rest were rejected or абокцоо ( 9:27).

Were overthrown ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \omega \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \omega v v v \mu$, old compound verb, to stretch or spread down as of a couch, to lay low (Euripides), as if by a hurricane. Powerful picture of the desolation wrought by the years of disobedience and wanderings in the desert by this verb quoted from Nu 14:16.

1Cor 10:6
Were our examples ( $\tau \cup \pi о ~ \eta \mu \omega v \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). More exactly, examples for us (objective genitive $\eta \mu \omega \nu$, not subjective genitive, of us). The word $\tau \cup \pi$ (our types) comes from $\tau \cup \pi \tau \omega$, to strike, and meant originally the mark of a blow as the print of the nails (Joh 20:25), then a figure formed by a blow like images of the gods (Ac 7:43), then an example to be imitated ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 5: 3 ; 1 \mathrm{Ti} 4: 12 ; 1 \mathrm{Th} 1: 7 ; 2 \mathrm{Th} 3: 9$ ), or to be avoided as here, and finally a type in a doctrinal sense (Ro 5:14; Heb 9:24).

To the intent we should not lust after ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \mu \eta ~ \varepsilon ו v a ~ \eta \mu a \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \pi \imath \theta v \mu \eta \tau \alpha \varsigma) . ~ P u r p o s e ~ e x-~$ pressed by $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ with the articular infinitive to $\varepsilon \iota v a$ and the accusative of general reference with $\varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \cup \mu \eta \tau \alpha \varsigma$ (lusters) in the predicate.

1Cor 10:7
Neither be ye idolaters ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon ı \delta \omega \lambda 0 \lambda \alpha \tau \rho \alpha ~ \gamma ı v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Literally, stop becoming idolaters, implying that some of them had already begun to be. The word $\varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \omega \lambda o \lambda \alpha \tau \rho \eta \varsigma$ seems to be a Christian formation to describe the Christian view. Eating $\tau \alpha \varepsilon ו \delta \omega \lambda$ д $\theta v \tau \alpha$ might become a stepping-stone to idolatry in some instances.

Drink ( $\pi \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Short form for $\pi \iota \varepsilon \iota v$, sometimes even $\pi \imath v$ occurs (Robertson, Grammar, p. 204).

To play ( $\pi \alpha \iota \zeta \varepsilon ı v)$. This old verb to play like a child occurs nowhere else in the N.T., but is common in the LXX and it is quoted here from Ex 32:6 . In idolatrous festivals like that witnessed by Moses when he saw the people singing and dancing around the golden calf (Ex 32:18f. ).

1Cor 10:8
Neither let us commit fornication ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \pi \frac{\rho v \varepsilon v \omega \mu}{}$ practicing fornication as some were already doing ( 1 Co 6:11; 7:2). The connection between idolatry and fornication was very close (see Jowett, Epistles of Paul, II, p. 70) and see about Baal-Peor ( Nu 25:1-9). It was terribly true of Corinth where prostitution was part of the worship of Aphrodite.

In one day ( $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). An item that adds to horror of the plague in $\mathrm{Nu} 25: 9$ where the total number is 24,000 instead of 23,000 as here for one day.

1Cor 10:9
Neither let us tempt the Lord ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \kappa \pi \varepsilon ı \rho \alpha \zeta \omega \mu \varepsilon v \tau 0 v$ Kvpıov). So the best MSS. instead of Christ. This compound occurs in LXX and in N.T. always about Christ (here and Mt 4:7; Lu 4:12; 10:25 ). Let us cease sorely ( $\varepsilon \kappa-$ ) tempting the Lord by such conduct.

And perished by the serpents ( $\kappa \alpha \cup \pi о \tau \omega \nu ~ о \varphi \varepsilon \omega v \alpha \pi \omega \lambda \lambda \nu \nu \tau о$ ). Vivid imperfect middle (cf. aorist middle $\alpha \pi \omega \lambda$ ovto in verse 10 ), were perishing day by day. The story is told in Nu 21:6. The use of $v \pi$ o for agent with the intransitive middle of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$ is regular. Note the Ionic uncontracted genitive plural $\frac{\varphi}{} \varepsilon \omega \nu$ rather than $\omega \varphi \omega v$.

1Cor 10:10
Neither murmur ye ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \gamma о \gamma \gamma \cup(\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon)$. Implying that some of them were murmuring. For this late picturesque onomatopoetic verb see on Mt 20:11. The reference seems to be to Nu 16:41f. after the punishment of Korah.

By the destroyer (vто тоv o入oӨ $\rho \varepsilon \cup \tau o v$ ). This word, from o $\lambda o \theta \rho \varepsilon v \omega$ (late verb from o $\lambda \varepsilon \theta \rho o \varsigma$, destruction) occurs only here, so far as known. The reference is to the destroying angel of Ex 12:23 (o o久oӨ $\rho \varepsilon \cup \omega v$ ).

1Cor 10:11
Now these things happened unto them ( $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha \delta \varepsilon \sigma \cup v \varepsilon \beta \alpha \iota v o v \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o ı \varsigma) . ~ I m p e r f e c t ~$ tense because they happened from time to time.

By way of example ( $\tau \cup \pi \iota \kappa \omega \varsigma)$. Adverb in sense of $\tau \cup \pi o$ in verse 6 . Only instance of the adverb except in ecclesiastical writers after this time, but adjective $\tau 0 \pi \_\kappa \circ \varsigma$ occurs in a late papyrus.

For our admonition ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \vee o v \theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota \alpha \vee \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Objective genitive $(\eta \mu \omega v$ ) again. Nov $\theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota \alpha$ is late word from vouӨcte (see on Ac 20:31; 1Th 5:12,14 ) for earlier vouӨztๆбıs and vou $\theta \varepsilon \tau \iota \alpha$.

The ends of the ages have come ( $\tau \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda \eta \tau \omega v \alpha \iota \omega v \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \nu \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Cf. Heb 9:26 $\eta$ $\sigma \nu v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ı \alpha \tau \omega v \alpha \iota \omega v \omega v$, the consummation of the ages (also Mt 13:40). The plural seems to point out how one stage succeeds another in the drama of human history. Katqv $\tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ is perfect active indicative of кат $\alpha \nu \tau \alpha \omega$, late verb, to come down to (see on Ac 16:1). Does Paul refer to the second coming of Christ as in 7:26? In a sense the ends of the ages like a curtain have come down to all of us.

1Cor 10:12
Lest he fall $(\mu \eta \pi \varepsilon \sigma \eta)$. Negative purpose with $\mu \eta$ and second aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \kappa \tau \tau \omega$.

1Cor 10:13
Hath taken ( $\varepsilon 1 \lambda \eta \varphi \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$.

But such as man can bear ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi t v o \varsigma)$. Except a human one. Old adjective meaning falling to the lot of man.

Above that ye are able ( $\cup \pi \varepsilon \rho$ o $\delta v v a \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Ellipsis, but plain. There is comfort in that God is faithful, trustworthy ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma)$.

The way of escape ( $\tau \eta v \varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \sigma \iota v$ ). "The way out" is always there right along with ( $\sigma v v$ ) the temptation. This old word only here in N.T. and Heb 13:7 about death. It is cowardly to yield to temptation and distrustful of God.

1Cor 10:14
Wherefore ( $\delta ⿺ 𠃊 \pi \varepsilon \rho$ ). Powerfully Paul applies the example of the Israelites to the perilous state of the Corinthians about idolatry. See on verse 7 for word $\varepsilon เ \delta \omega \lambda \mathrm{o} \lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon \iota \alpha$.

1Cor 10:15
As to wise men ( $\omega \varsigma \varphi \rho \circ$ vipotc). No sarcasm as in 2Co 11:19, but plea that they make proper use of the mind ( $\varphi \rho \varepsilon v$ ) given them.

1Cor 10:16
 blessing as by Christ at the institution of the ordinance.

A communion of the blood of Christ ( $\kappa \circ \imath v \omega v i \alpha ~ \tau o v ~ a ı \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ X \rho ı \sigma \tau о v) . ~ L i t e r a l l y, ~$ a participation in (objective genitive) the blood of Christ. The word кotv $\omega v$ va is an old one from кov $\omega \omega$ voc, partner, and so here and Php 2:1; 3:10 . It can mean also fellowship (Ga 2:9 ) or contribution (2Co 8:4; Php 1:5). It is, of course, a spiritual participation in the blood of Christ which is symbolized by the cup. Same meaning for $\kappa o t v \omega v i \alpha$ in reference to "the body of Christ."

The bread which we break ( $\tau$ ov $\alpha \rho \tau о v o v \kappa \lambda \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). The loaf. Inverse attraction of the antecedent (aptov) to the case (accusative) of the relative (ov) according to classic idiom (Robertson, Grammar, p. 488). Apтos probably from $\alpha \rho \omega$, to join or fit (flour mixed with water and baked). The mention of the cup here before the bread does not mean that this order was observed for see the regular order of bread and then cup in 11:24-27.

1Cor 10:17
One bread ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \alpha \rho \tau о \varsigma) . ~ O n e ~ l o a f . ~$
Who are many (o $\pi \mathbf{\pi} \lambda \lambda \mathrm{o}$ ). The many.
We all (o $\pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. We the all, the whole number, o $\pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ being in apposition with the subject
we ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon ı \zeta$ unexpressed).
Partake ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Have a part with or in, share in. See on 9:12; Heb 2:14; 5:13 (partaking of milk).

Of the one bread ( $\tau$ оv $\varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ a \rho \tau о v) . ~ O f ~ t h e ~ o n e ~ l o a f, ~ t h e ~ a r t i c l e ~ t o v ~ r e f e r r i n g ~ t o ~ o n e ~ l o a f ~$ already mentioned.

One body $(\varepsilon v \sigma \omega \mu \alpha)$. Here the mystical spiritual body of Christ as in 12:12f. , the spiritual kingdom or church of which Christ is head (Col 1:18; Eph 5:23 ).

1Cor 10:18
After the flesh ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha)$. The literal Israel, the Jewish people, not the spiritual Israel (Iб $\rho a \eta \lambda \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ ) composed of both Jews and Gentiles, the true children of faith (Ro 2:28; 9:8; Gal 3:7 ).

Communion with the altar ( $\kappa \circ \iota \omega v o ~ \tau o v ~ \theta v \sigma \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho ı v)$. Same idea in $\kappa o \iota \nu \omega v o$ participators in, partners in, sharers in (with objective genitive). The word $\theta v \sigma \iota a \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota o v$ is from late verb $\theta v \sigma \iota \zeta \omega$, to offer sacrifice, and that from $\theta v \sigma \iota \alpha$, sacrifice, and that from $\theta v \omega$, common verb to slay, to sacrifice (verse 20). The Israelites who offer sacrifices have a spiritual participation in the altar.

1Cor 10:19
A thing sacrificed to idols ( $\varepsilon \iota \delta \omega \lambda o \theta v \tau o v)$. See on Ac 15:29; 1 Co 8:1,4 .
Idol ( $\varepsilon เ \delta \omega \lambda o v$ ). Image of a god. See on Ac 7:41; 15:20; 1 Co 8:4,7.
1Cor 10:20
But I say that ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ o $\tau$ ). The verb $\varphi \eta \mu$ (I say) must be repeated from verse 19 before от.

To demons, and not to God ( $\delta \alpha \iota \mu$ оvıoıs ка оv $\theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). Referring to LXX text of De 32:17 . It is probable that by ov $\theta \varepsilon \omega$ Paul means "to a no-god" as also in De 32:21 $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime}$ ovk $\varepsilon \theta v \varepsilon$ (by a no-people). This is Paul's reply to the heathen who claimed that they worshipped the gods represented by the images and not the mere wood or stone or metal idols. The word $\delta \alpha \mu \rho v i a$ is an adjective $\delta \alpha \not \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ıs from $\delta \alpha \iota \mu \omega v$, an inferior deity, and with same idea originally, once in this sense in N.T. (Ac 17:18 ). Elsewhere in N.T. it has the notion of evil spirits as here, those spiritual forces of wickedness (Eph 6:12) that are under the control of Satan. The word $\delta a \iota \mu o v i a$, so common in the Gospels, occurs in Paul's writings only here and $1 \mathrm{Ti} 4: 1$ . Demonology is a deep and dark subject here pictured by Paul as the explanation of heathenism which is a departure from God (Ro 1:19-23) and a substitute for the worship of God. It is a terrible indictment which is justified by the licentious worship associated with paganism then and now.

1Cor 10:21
Ye cannot (ov $\delta u v a \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Morally impossible to drink the Lord's cup and the cup of demons, to partake of the Lord's table and the table of demons.

Of the table of the Lord ( $\tau \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \zeta \eta \varsigma$ Kv $\quad$ ıov). No articles, but definite idea. T $\rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \zeta \alpha$ is from $\tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha$ (four) and $\pi \varepsilon \zeta \alpha$ (a foot), four-footed. Here
table means, as often, what is on the table. See Lu 22:30 where Jesus says "at my table" ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma \tau \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \zeta \eta \varsigma \mu \circ v$ ), referring to the spiritual feast hereafter. Here the reference is plainly to the Lord's Supper (Kvpıaкov $\delta \varepsilon \iota \pi v o v, 1$ Co 11:20). See allusions in O.T. to use of the table
in heathen idol feasts (Isa 65:11; Jer 7:18; Eze 16:18f.; 23:41 ). The altar of burnt-offering is called the table of the Lord in Mal 1:7 (Vincent).

1Cor 10:22
Provoke to jealousy ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \zeta \eta \lambda o v \mu \varepsilon v)$. The very word used in De 32:21 of the insolence of the old Israelites. Quoted in Ro 10:19. Such double-dealing now will do this very thing.

Stronger than he (ı $\sigma \cup \rho о \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \alpha \cup \tau \circ v)$. Comparative adjective followed by the ablative.
1Cor 10:23
See on 6:12 for
lawful ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$ and
expedient ( $\sigma \cup \mu \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$ ).
Edify not (ouк оוкобо $\mu \varepsilon$ ). Build up. Explanation of
expedient ( $\sigma \cup \mu \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon)$.
1Cor 10:24
Let no man seek his own ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon ı \tau \omega$ ). This is Paul's rule for social relations (1Co 13:5; Ga 6:2; Ro 14:7; 15:2; Php 2:1ff. ) and is the way to do what is expedient and what builds up.

His neighbour's good ( $\tau 0$ tov $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ$ ). Literally, "the affair of the other man." Cf. $\tau 0 v$ $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ in Ro 13:8 for this idea of $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$ like o $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \circ v$ (the nigh man, the neighbour) in Ro 15:2. This is loving your neighbour as yourself by preferring your neighbour's welfare to your own (Php 2:4).

1Cor 10:25
In the shambles $(\varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega)$. Only here in N.T. A transliterated Latin word macellum, possibly akin to $\mu \alpha \chi \varepsilon \rho ⿺ \alpha$ and the Hebrew word for enclosure, though occurring in Ionic and Laconian and more frequent in the Latin. It occurs in Dio Cassius and Plutarch and in the papyri and inscriptions for "the provision market." Deissmann (Light from the Ancient East, p. 276) says: "In the Macellum at Pompeii we can imagine to ourselves the poor Christians buying their modest pound of meat in the Corinthian Macellum (1Co 10:25), with the same life-like reality with which the Diocletian maximum tariff called up the picture of the Galilean woman purchasing her five sparrows."

Asking no questions for conscience sake ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \nu \alpha v \alpha \kappa \rho \imath \nu о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \nu \sigma v \nu \varepsilon \iota \delta \eta \sigma \iota)$. As to whether a particular piece of meat had been offered to idols before put in the market. Only a part was consumed in the sacrifices to heathen gods. The rest was sold in the market. Do not be over-scrupulous. Paul here champions liberty in the matter as he had done in 8:4.

1Cor 10:26
This verse gives the reason for Paul's advice. It is a quotation from Ps 24:1 and was a common form of grace before meals.

Fulness $(\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha)$. Old word from $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$, to fill, here that with which a thing is filled, whatever fills the earth.

1Cor 10:27
Biddeth you (калє v $\mu \propto \varsigma$ ). To a general banquet, but not to a temple feast ( $8: 10$ ) which is prohibited. If a pagan invites Christians to their homes to a banquet, one is to act like a gentleman.

1Cor 10:28
But if any man say unto you ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \delta \varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma \nu \mu \nu v \varepsilon \iota \pi \eta$ ). Condition of third class. Suppose at such a banquet a "weak" brother makes the point to you: "This hath been offered in sacrifice" (тоито เعро日vтоv عбтıv). Hıяpo日vтov, late word in Plutarch, rare in inscriptions and papyri, only here in N.T.

Eat not ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \sigma \theta 1 \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present imperative with $\mu \eta$ prohibiting the habit of eating then. Pertinent illustration to the point of doing what is expedient and edifying.

That shewed it ( $\tau 0 v \mu \eta v v \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ). First aorist active articular participle (accusative case because of $\delta(\alpha)$ from $\mu \eta v v \omega$, old verb, to point out, to disclose. See Lu 20:37.

1Cor 10:29
For why is my liberty judged by another conscience? ( $\imath \alpha \alpha \tau \gamma \rho \eta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho\llcorner\alpha \mu o v$ $\kappa \rho ı v \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ v $\pi \mathrm{\alpha} \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \varsigma \sigma \cup v \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega \sigma ;$ ). Supply $\gamma \varepsilon v \eta \tau \alpha$ (deliberative subjunctive) after $\tau$. Paul deftly puts himself in the place of the strong brother at such a banquet who is expected to conform his conscience to that of the weak brother who makes the point about a particular piece of meat. It is an abridgment of one's personal liberty in the interest of the weak brother. Two individualities clash. The only reason is love which builds up ( $8: 2$ and all of chapter 1Co 13 ). There is this eternal collision between the forces of progress and reaction. If they work together, they must consider the welfare of each other.

1Cor 10:30
Paul carries on the supposed objective to his principle of love. Why incur the risk of being evil spoken of ( $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \circ \nu \mu \alpha)$ for the sake of maintaining one's liberty? Is it worth it? See Ro 14:6 where Paul justifies the conscience of one who eats the meat and of one who does not. Saying grace over food that one should not eat seems inconsistent. We have this very word blaspheme in English.

1Cor 10:31
To the glory of God ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma \delta o \xi \alpha v \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). This is the ruling motive in the Christian's life, not just having his own way about whims and preferences.

1Cor 10:32
Give no occasion of stumbling ( $\alpha \pi \rho о \sigma к о \pi о)$. Late word and in papyri, only three times in N.T. (here; Php 1:10; Ac 24:16 ). See on Acts 24:16 . Here in active sense, not tripping others by being a stumbling-block, as in Sirach 32:21, but passive in Ac 24:16 .

1Cor 10:33

Mine own profit ( $\tau \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mu \circ \tau \tau \sigma v \sigma \nu \mu \varphi \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ). Old word from $\sigma \cup \mu \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, to bear together, and explains use of verb in verse 23.

That they may be saved ( $\imath v \alpha \sigma \omega \theta \omega \sigma v v$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive of $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$, to save, with tva purpose clause with same high motive as in 9:22. This is the ruling passion of Paul in his dealings with men.

## 1 Corinthians 11

1Cor 11:1
Imitators of me ( $\mu \mu \eta \tau \alpha \mu \circ v$ ). In the principle of considerate love as so clearly shown in chapters 1Co 8-10 and in so far as ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma$ ) Paul is himself an imitator of Christ. The preacher is a leader and is bound to set an example or pattern (титос) for others (Tit 2:7). This verse clearly belongs to the preceding chapter and not to chapter 11.

1Cor 11:2
Hold fast the traditions ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta о \sigma \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \kappa \tau \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Hold down as in 15:2. Параסобъৎ (tradition) from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \delta \delta \omega \mu$ ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha$, first aorist active indicative) is an old word and merely something handed on from one to another. The thing handed on may be bad as in Mt 15:2f. (which see) and contrary to the will of God (Mr 7:8f. ) or it may be wholly good as here. There is a constant conflict between the new and the old in science, medicine, law, theology. The obscurantist rejects all the new and holds to the old both true and untrue. New truth must rest upon old truth and is in harmony with it.

1Cor 11:3
But I would have you know ( $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega \delta \varepsilon v \mu a \varsigma \varepsilon \ell \delta \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). But I wish you to know, censure in contrast to the praise in verse 2.

The head of Christ is God ( $\kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta$ tov Xpıбтоv o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma$ ). Rather, God is the head of Christ, since $\kappa \varepsilon \varphi a \lambda \eta$ is anarthrous and predicate.

1Cor 11:4
Having his head covered ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \chi \omega v$ ). Literally, having a veil ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \mu \mu \alpha$ understood) down from the head ( $\kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \varsigma$ ablative after ката as with ката in Mr 5:13; Ac 27:14 ). It is not certain whether the Jews at this time used the tallith, "a four-corned shawl having fringes consisting of eight threads, each knotted five times" (Vincent) as they did later. Virgil (Aeneid iii., 545) says: "And our heads are shrouded before the altar with a Phrygian vestment." The Greeks (both men and women) remained bareheaded in public prayer and this usage Paul commends for the men.

1Cor 11:5
With her head unveiled ( $\alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \pi \tau \omega \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta$ ). Associative instrumental case of manner and the predicative adjective (compound adjective and feminine form same as masculine), "with the head unveiled." Probably some of the women had violated this custom. "Amongst Greeks only the $\varepsilon \tau \alpha 1 \rho \alpha$, so numerous in Corinth, went about unveiled; slavewomen wore the shaven head--also a punishment of the adulteress" (Findlay). Cf. Nu 5:18

One and the same thing as if she were shaven ( $\varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \tau 0 \alpha \cup \tau о \tau \eta \varepsilon \xi \cup \rho \eta \mu \varepsilon v \eta)$. Literally, "One and the same thing with the one shaven" (associative instrumental case again, Robertson, Grammar, p. 530). Perfect passive articular participle of the verb $\xi_{v} \rho \alpha \omega$, later
form for the old $\xi_{v \rho \varepsilon \omega}$. It is public praying and prophesying that the Apostle here has in mind. He does not here condemn the act, but the breach of custom which would bring reproach. A woman convicted of adultery had her hair shorn (Isa 7:20). The Justinian code prescribed shaving the head for an adulteress whom the husband refused to receive after two years. Paul does not tell Corinthian Christian women to put themselves on a level with courtesans.

1Cor 11:6
Let her also be shorn ( $\kappa \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \theta \omega$ ). Aorist middle imperative of $\kappa \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$, to shear (as sheep). Let her cut her hair close. A single act by the woman.

If it is a shame ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \alpha \circ \sigma \chi \rho \circ v$ ). Condition of first class assumed to be true. Aı $\quad \chi \rho o v$ is old adjective from aıб $\chi \circ \varsigma$, bareness, disgrace. Clearly Paul uses such strong language because of the effect on a woman's reputation in Corinth by such conduct that proclaimed her a lewd woman. Social custom varied in the world then as now, but there was no alternative in Corinth.

To be shorn or shaven ( $\tau 0 \kappa \varepsilon \rho \rho \alpha \sigma \theta a \kappa \alpha \xi_{v \rho a \sigma \theta \alpha) \text {. Articular infinitives subject of copula }}$ $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ understood, $\kappa \varepsilon \iota \rho a \sigma \theta \alpha$ first aorist middle, $\xi_{\cup \rho} \rho \sigma \theta \alpha$ present middle. Note change in tense.

Let her be veiled ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \pi \tau \varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega$ ). Present middle imperative of old compound $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha-\kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \pi \tau \omega$, here alone in N.T. Let her cover up herself with the veil (down, ката, the Greek says, the veil hanging down from the head).

1Cor 11:7
The image and glory of God ( $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \omega v \kappa \alpha \delta о \xi \alpha \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Anarthrous substantives, but definite. Reference to Ge 1:28; $2: 26$ whereby man is made directly in the image ( $\varepsilon ו \kappa \omega v$ ) of God. It is the moral likeness of God, not any bodily resemblance. Ellicott notes that man is the glory ( $\delta 0 \xi \alpha$ ) of God as the crown of creation and as endowed with sovereignty like God himself.

The glory of the man ( $\delta o \xi \alpha \alpha v \delta \rho o \varsigma)$. Anarthrous also, man's glory. In Ge 2:26 the LXX has $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma$ (Greek word for both male and female), not $\alpha \nu \eta \rho$ (male) as here. But the woman ( $\gamma \cup v \eta$ ) was formed from the man ( $\alpha \nu \eta \rho$ ) and this priority of the male (verse 8) gives a certain superiority to the male. On the other hand, it is equally logical to argue that woman is the crown and climax of all creation, being the last.

1Cor 11:9
For the woman ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta v \gamma \cup v a i \kappa \alpha$ ). Because of ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ with accusative case) the woman. The record in Genesis gives the man ( $\alpha \nu \eta \rho$ ) as the origin ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ ) of the woman and the reason for $(\delta \iota \alpha)$ the creation ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta$, first aorist passive of $\kappa \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb to found, to create, to form) of woman.

1Cor 11:10

Ought ( $о \varphi \varepsilon \wedge \varepsilon$ ). Moral obligation therefore ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ тov $\tau 0$, rests on woman in the matter of dress that does not (ouk o甲 $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \varepsilon$ in verse 7) rest on the man.

To have a sign of authority ( $\varepsilon \xi o v \sigma \iota a v \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon เ v$ ). He means $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota v \vee \varepsilon \xi o v \sigma \iota a \varsigma ~(s y m b o l ~ o f ~$ authority) by $\varepsilon \xi$ ovoıav, but it is the sign of authority of the man over the woman. The veil on the woman's head is the symbol of the authority that the man with the uncovered head has over her. It is, as we see it, more a sign of subjection ( $\psi \pi о \tau \alpha \gamma \eta \varsigma, 1 \mathrm{Ti} 2: 10$ ) than of au-


Because of the angels ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ tov¢ $\alpha \gamma \gamma^{\varepsilon} \lambda o u \varsigma$ ). This startling phrase has caused all kinds of conjecture which may be dismissed. It is not preachers that Paul has in mind, nor evil angels who could be tempted (Ge 6:1f. ), but angels present in worship (cf. 1Co 4:9; Ps 138:1 ) who would be shocked at the conduct of the women since the angels themselves veil their faces before Jehovah (Isa 6:2 ).

1Cor 11:11
Howbeit ( $\pi \lambda \eta \nu$ ). This adversative clause limits the preceding statement. Each sex is incomplete without ( $\chi \omega \rho \iota \varsigma$, apart from, with the ablative case) the other.

In the Lord ( $\varepsilon \nu K v \rho(\omega)$. In the sphere of the Lord, where Paul finds the solution of all problems.

1Cor 11:12
Of ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ )
--by ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ ). Ever since the first creation man has come into existence by means of ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ with genitive) the woman. The glory and dignity of motherhood. Cf. The Fine Art of Motherhood by Ella Broadus Robertson.

1Cor 11:13
Is it seemly? ( $\pi \rho \varepsilon \pi \sigma \nu \varepsilon \sigma \tau \imath v ;$ ). Periphrastic present indicative rather than $\pi \rho \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$. See on Mt 3:15. Paul appeals to the sense of propriety among the Corinthians.

1Cor 11:14
Nature itself ( $\eta \varphi \cup \sigma \iota \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \eta$ ). He reenforces the appeal to custom by the appeal to nature in a question that expects the affirmative answer (ov $\delta \varepsilon$ ). $\Phi v \sigma \iota$, from old verb $\varphi v \omega$, to produce, like our word nature (Latin natura), is difficult to define. Here it means native sense of propriety (cf. Ro 2:14) in addition to mere custom, but one that rests on the objective difference in the constitution of things.

1Cor 11:15
Have long hair ( $\kappa о \mu \alpha)$. Present active subjunctive of ко $\mu \alpha \omega$ (from кои , hair), old verb, same contraction $(-\alpha \eta \iota=\alpha)$ as the indicative $(\alpha \varepsilon=\alpha)$, but subjunctive here with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ in third class condition. Long hair is a glory to a woman and a disgrace to a man (as we still feel). The long-haired man! There is a papyrus example of a priest accused of letting his hair grow long and of wearing woollen garments.

For a covering ( $\alpha \nu \tau \pi \varepsilon \rho ı \beta$ o $\alpha \iota \circ v$ ). Old word from $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ to fling around, as a mantle (Heb 1:12) or a covering or veil as here. It is not in the place of a veil, but answering to ( $\alpha v \tau$, in the sense of $\alpha v \tau$ in Joh 1:16), as a permanent endowment ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta o \tau \alpha$, perfect passive indicative).

1Cor 11:16
 N.T. If he only existed in this instance, the disputatious brother.

Custom ( $\sigma \cup v \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ ). Old word from $\sigma \cup v \eta \theta \eta \varsigma(\sigma \nu v, \eta \theta o \varsigma)$, like Latin consuetudo, intercourse, intimacy. In N.T. only here and 8:7 which see. "In the sculptures of the catacombs the women have a close-fitting head-dress, while the men have the hair short" (Vincent).

1Cor 11:17
This ( $\tau 0 \cup \tau 0)$. Probably the preceding one about the head-dress of women, and transition to what follows.

I praise you not (ovk $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota v \omega$ ). In contrast to the praise in 11:2.
For the better ( (ءા̧ to к $\rho \varepsilon เ \sigma \sigma \circ v$ ). Neuter articular comparative of кратьৎ, but used as comparative of $\kappa \alpha \lambda$ o $\varsigma$, good. Attic form к $\rho \varepsilon \iota \tau \tau \%$.

For the worse ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \eta \sigma \sigma o v)$ ). Old comparative from $\eta \kappa \alpha$, softly, used as comparative of какоৎ, bad. In N.T. only here and 2Co 12:15.

1Cor 11:18
First of all ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \nu \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ). There is no antithesis ( $\delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho o \nu \delta \varepsilon$, secondly, or $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha \delta \varepsilon$, in the next place) expressed. This is the primary reason for Paul's condemnation and the only one given.

When ye come together in the church ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v \omega v \eta \mu \omega v \varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \omega \alpha$ ). Genitive absolute. Here $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \omega \alpha$ has the literal meaning of assembly.

Divisions ( $\sigma \chi \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Accusative of general reference with the infinitive v $\pi \alpha \rho \chi \varepsilon ı v$ in indirect discourse. Old word for cleft, rent, from $\sigma \chi \downarrow \omega$. Example in papyri for splinter of wood. See on $1: 10$. Not yet formal cleavages into two or more organizations, but partisan divisions that showed in the love-feasts and at the Lord's Supper.

Partly ( $\mu \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma \tau$ ). Accusative of extent (to some part) like $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha$ in 10:33. He could have said $\varepsilon \kappa \mu \varepsilon \rho о \nu \varsigma$ as in 13:9. The rumours of strife were so constant (I keep on hearing, $\alpha \kappa о \nu \omega)$.

1Cor 11:19
Must be ( $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon ı v \alpha$ ). Since moral conditions are so bad among you (cf. chapters 1 to 6 ). Cf. Mt 18:7.

Heresies (aıpعбєı). The schisms naturally become
factions or
parties. Cf. strifes ( $\varepsilon \rho \iota \delta \varepsilon \varsigma)$ in 1:11. See on Ac 15:5 for alpعбєıৎ, a choosing, taking sides, holding views of one party, heresy (our word). "Heresy is theoretical schism, schism practical heresy." Cf. Tit 3:10; 2Pe 2:1 . In Paul only here and Ga 5:20 .

That ( $\mathrm{iv} \mathrm{\alpha}$ ). God's purpose in these factions makes
the proved ones (о бокцо) become
manifest ( $\varphi$ аvعро). "These aıрعбєıц are a magnet attracting unsound and unsettled minds" (Findlay). It has always been so. Instance so-called Christian Science, Russellism, New Thought, etc., today.

1Cor 11:20
 belonging to or pertaining to the Lord, is not just a biblical or ecclesiastical word, for it is found in the inscriptions and papyri in the sense of imperial (Deissmann, Light from the Ancient East, p. 358), as imperial finance, imperial treasury. It is possible that here the term applies both to the A $\gamma a \pi \pi$ or Love-feast (a sort of church supper or club supper held in connection with, before or after, the Lord's Supper) and the Eucharist or Lord's Supper. $\Delta \varepsilon i \pi v o v$, so common in the Gospels, only here in Paul. The selfish conduct of the Corinthians made it impossible to eat a Lord's Supper at all.

1Cor 11:21
Taketh before ( $\pi \rho o \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \varepsilon$ ). Before others. Old verb to take before others. It was conduct like this that led to the complete separation between the Love-feast and the Lord's Supper. It was not even a common meal together (kotvov $\delta \varepsilon ו \pi v o v$ ), not to say a Lord's $\delta \varepsilon ı \pi v o v$. It was a mere
grab-game.
This one is hungry (oc $\delta \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon เ v \alpha$ ). Demonstrative oc. Nothing is left for him at the lovefeast.

Another is drunken ( $o \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \theta v \varepsilon$ ). Such disgusting conduct was considered shameful in heathen club suppers. "Hungry poor meeting intoxicated rich, at what was supposed to be a supper of the Lord" (Robertson and Plummer). On $\mu \varepsilon \theta v \omega$, to be drunk, see on Mt 24:49; Ac 2:15.

1Cor 11:22
 in the single question is like the idiom in 9:4f. which see. M $\eta$ expects a negative answer while ouk negatives the verb exete. "For do you fail to have houses?" Paul is not approving gluttony and drunkenness but only expressing horror at their sacrilege (despising, катарроvєıtє) of the church of God.

That have not ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma \mu \eta$ عхоvтaৎ). Not those without houses, but those who have nothing, "the have-nots" (Findlay) like 2Co 8:12, in contrast with o exovtec "the haves" (the men of property).

What shall I say to you? ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau \pi \omega \quad v \mu ı v$;) Deliberative subjunctive that well expresses Paul's bewilderment.

1Cor 11:23

For I received of the Lord ( $\varepsilon \gamma о \gamma \alpha \rho \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o v \alpha \pi о$ тov Kvpıv). Direct claim to revelation from the Lord Jesus on the origin of the Lord's Supper. Luke's account (Lu 22:17-20 ) is almost identical with this one. He could easily have read I Corinthians before he wrote his Gospel. See 15:3 for use of both $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o v$ and $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha$. Note $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ in both verbs. Paul received the account from ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha--\alpha \pi o$ ) the Lord and passed it on from himself to them,


He was betrayed ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \iota \delta \varepsilon \tau 0$ ). Imperfect passive indicative (irregular form for $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta$ ı $\delta$ oтo, Robertson, Grammar, p. 340). Same verb as $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha$ (first aorist active indicative just used for "I delivered").

1Cor 11:24
When he had given thanks ( $\varepsilon v \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\varepsilon v \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega$ from which word our word Eucharist comes, common late verb (see on 1:14).

Which is for you ( $\tau 0 v \pi \varepsilon \rho \nu \mu \omega v$ ). K $\lambda \omega \mu \varepsilon v o v$ (broken) of the Textus Receptus (King James Version) is clearly not genuine. Luke (Lu 22:19) has $\delta \iota \delta o \mu \varepsilon v o v$ (given) which is the real idea here. As a matter of fact the body of Jesus was not broken (Joh 19:36 ). The bread was broken, but not the body of Jesus.

In remembrance of me ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \mu \eta \nu \alpha v a \mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ). The objective use of the possessive pronoun $\varepsilon \mu \eta \nu$. Not my remembrance of you, but your remembrance of me. Ava $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$, from $\alpha v \alpha \mu \not \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$, to remind or to recall, is an old word, but only here in N.T. save Lu 22:19 which see.

1Cor 11:25
After supper ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ тo $\delta \varepsilon \iota \pi \nu \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). M\& $\alpha \alpha$ and the articular aorist active infinitive, "after the dining" (or the supping) as in Lu 22:20 .

The new covenant ( $\eta \kappa \alpha \iota \nu \eta \delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta$ ). For $\delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta$ see on Mt 26:28. For каıvoc see on $\operatorname{Lu} 5: 38 ; 22: 20$. The position of $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ before $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \alpha \mu \alpha \tau$ (in my blood) makes it a secondary or additional predicate and not to be taken just with $\delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta$ (covenant or will).

As oft as ye drink it ( $о \sigma \alpha \kappa \iota \varsigma ~ \alpha \nu \pi \iota \nu \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Usual construction for general temporal clause of repetition ( $\alpha v$ and the present subjunctive with oбaкıऽ). So in verse 26.

1Cor 11:26
Till he come ( $\alpha \chi \rho$ ov $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ ). Common idiom (with or without $\alpha v$ ) with the aorist subjunctive for future time (Robertson, Grammar, p. 975). In Lu 22:18 we have $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ov $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$. The Lord's Supper is the great preacher ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ) of the death of Christ till his second coming (Mt 26:29).

1Cor 11:27
Unworthily ( $\alpha v \alpha \xi \iota \omega \varsigma$ ). Old adverb, only here in N.T., not genuine in verse 29. Paul defines his meaning in verse 29 f . He does not say or imply that we ourselves must be "worthy" ( $\alpha \xi_{ı 0}$ ) to partake of the Lord's Supper. No one would ever partake on those terms. Many pious souls have abstained from observing the ordinance through false exegesis here.

Shall be guilty ( $\varepsilon$ voxoc $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha$ ). Shall be held guilty as in Mt 5:21f. which see. Shall be guilty of a crime committed against the body and blood of the Lord by such sacrilege (cf. Heb 6:6; 10:29).

1Cor 11:28
Let a man prove himself ( $\delta$ окца〔є $\tau \omega \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Test himself as he would a piece of metal to see if genuine. Such examination of one's motives would have made impossible the disgraceful scenes in verses 20f. .

1Cor 11:29
If he discern not the body ( $\mu \eta \delta \iota \alpha \kappa \rho \imath \omega \nu \tau$ тo $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ ). So-called conditional use of the participle, "not judging the body." Thus he eats and drinks judgment ( $\kappa \rho \mu \alpha)$ on himself. The verb $\delta \iota \alpha-\kappa \rho \iota v \omega$ is an old and common word, our
dis-cri-minate, to distinguish. Eating the bread and drinking the wine as symbols of the Lord's body and blood in death probes one's heart to the very depths.

1Cor 11:30
And not a few sleep ( $\kappa \alpha$ коц $\mu \omega v \tau \alpha$ เкаvо). Sufficient number (ıкаvo) are already asleep in death because of their desecration of the Lord's table. Paul evidently had knowledge of specific instances. A few would be too many.

1Cor 11:31
But if we discerned ourselves ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau о v \varsigma \delta \iota \varepsilon \kappa \rho \imath v o \mu \varepsilon v$ ). This condition of the second class, determined as unfulfilled, assumes that they had not been judging themselves discriminatingly, else they would not be judged ( $\varepsilon \kappa \rho ı v o \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha)$. Note distinction in the two verbs.

1Cor 11:32
Ye are chastened of the Lord ( $и \pi о ~ \tau о и ~ K и р ı v ~ \pi \alpha ı \delta \varepsilon v о \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha) . ~ O n ~ t h i s ~ s e n s e ~ o f ~ \pi \alpha ı \delta \varepsilon v \omega, ~$ from $\pi \alpha 1 \varsigma$, child, to train a child (Ac 7:22), to discipline with words (2Ti 2:25), to chastise with scourges see on Lu 23:16 (Heb 12:7), and so by afflictions as here (Heb 12:6 ). Нипо tov Kupıov can be construed with крıvo $\mu \varepsilon v o$ instead of with $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon \theta a$.

With the world ( $\sigma \cup \nu \tau \omega \kappa \sigma \sigma \mu \omega$ ). Along with the world. Afflictions are meant to separate us from the doom of the wicked world. Final use of $v \alpha \alpha \mu \eta$ here with $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \rho i \theta \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ (first aorist passive subjunctive).

1Cor 11:33
Wait one for another ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$ оu¢ $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). As in Joh 5:3; Ac 17:16. That is common courtesy. Wait in turn. Vulgate has invicem expectate.

1Cor 11:34
At home ( $\varepsilon v$ oוk $\omega$ ). If so hungry as all that (verse 22).
The rest ( $\tau \alpha$ 入oı $\pi \alpha$ ). He has found much fault with this church, but he has not told all.
I will set in order ( $\delta ı \alpha \tau \alpha \xi \circ \mu \alpha)$. Not even Timothy and Titus can do it all.
Whensoever I come ( $\omega \varsigma \alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega$ ). Common idiom for temporal clause of future time (conjunction like $\omega \varsigma$ with $\alpha \nu$ and aorist subjunctive $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega$ ).

## 1 Corinthians 12

1Cor 12:1
Now concerning spiritual gifts ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \delta \varepsilon \tau \omega \nu \pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \omega \nu$ ). Clearly one of the items asked about in the letter to Paul (7:1) and introduced precisely as the problem of meats offered to idols ( $8: 1$ ). This question runs to the end of chapter 14. Plainly much trouble had arisen in Corinth in the exercise of these gifts.

1Cor 12:2
Ye were led away ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \gamma \circ \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). The copula $\eta \tau \varepsilon$ is not expressed (common ellipsis) with the participle (periphrastic imperfect passive), but it has to be supplied to make sense. Some scholars would change oтe (when) to $\pi о \tau \varepsilon$ (once) and so remove the difficulty.

Unto those dumb idols ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau \alpha \varepsilon เ \delta \omega \lambda \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha \varphi \omega v \alpha$ ). "Unto the idols the dumb." See Ps 95:5-7 for the voicelessness ( $\alpha-\varphi \omega v \alpha$, old adjective, without voice, $\varphi \omega v \eta$ ) of the idols. Pagans were led astray by demons ( 1 Co 10:19f. ).

Howsoever ye might be led ( $\omega \varsigma \alpha \nu \eta \gamma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Rather, "as often as ye were led." For this use of $\omega \varsigma \alpha v$ for the notion of repetition, regular Koine idiom, see Robertson, Grammar, p. 974. Cf. отоv $\alpha v$ in Mr 6:56 .

1Cor 12:3
 chylus in old Greek) in papyri (also in sense of recognize) and N.T., from root $\gamma v \omega$ in $\gamma \iota \omega \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, to know.

Speaking in the Spirit of God ( $\varepsilon v \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \theta \varepsilon o v \lambda \alpha \lambda \omega v)$. Either sphere or instrumentality. No great distinction here between $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ (utter sounds) and $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ (to say).

Jesus is anathema ( $\alpha v \alpha \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha$ I $\eta \sigma o v \varsigma$ ). On distinction between $\alpha v \alpha \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha$ (curse) and $\alpha v \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha$ (offering Lu 21:5) see discussion there. In LXX $\alpha v \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha$ means a thing devoted to God without being redeemed, doomed to destruction (Le 27:28f.; Jos 6:17; 7:12 ). See 1Co 16:22; Ga 1:8f.; Ro 9:3 . This blasphemous language against Jesus was mainly by the Jews (Ac 13:45; 18:6). It is even possible that Paul had once tried to make Christians say $\mathrm{A} v \alpha \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha$ İбous (Ac 26:11).

Jesus is Lord (Kuploc Inoouc). The term Kupıoc, as we have seen, is common in the LXX for God. The Romans used it freely for the emperor in the emperor worship. "Most important of all is the early establishment of a polemical parallelism between the cult of Christ and the cult of Caesar in the application of the term Kupıo, 'lord.' The new texts have here furnished quite astonishing revelations" (Deissmann, Light from the Ancient East, p. 349). Inscriptions, ostraca, papyri apply the term to Roman emperors, particularly to Nero when Paul wrote this very letter (ib., p. 353f.): "One with 'Nero Kurios' quite in the manner of a formula (without article, like the 'Kurios Jesus' in 1Co 12:3 ." "The battle-cries of the spirits of error and of truth contending at Corinth" (Findlay). One is reminded of the
demand made by Polycarp that he say Kvpıos Xaعба $\rho$ and how each time he replied Kv Inoovc. He paid the penalty for his loyalty with his life. Lighthearted men today can say "Lord Jesus" in a flippant or even in an irreverent way, but no Jew or Gentile then said it who did not mean it.

1Cor 12:4
Diversities ( $\delta \iota a \iota \rho \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Old word for distinctions, differences, distributions, from $\delta ı a \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to distribute, as $\delta \iota a \iota \rho o u v$ (dividing, distributing) in verse 11. Only here in the N.T.

Of gifts ( $\chi \alpha \rho เ \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ ). Late word and chiefly in Paul (cf. Ro 12:6) in N.T. (except 1Pe 4:19), but some examples in papyri. It means a favour (from $\chi \alpha \rho ı \zeta o \mu \alpha$ ) bestowed or received without any merit as in Ro 1:11.

1Cor 12:5
Of ministrations ( $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa о \nu \omega \nu$ ). This old word is from $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa о \nu \circ$, and has a general meaning of service as here (Ro 11:13) and a special ministration like that of Martha (Lu 10:40) and the collection (1Co 16:15; 2Co 8:4).

1Cor 12:6
Of workings ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \eta \mu \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). Late word, here only in N.T., the effect of a thing wrought (from $\varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, to operate, perform, energize). Paul uses also the late kindred word $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \iota a$ (Col 1:29; 2:12 ) for efficiency.

Who worketh all things in all (o $\varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega \nu \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \varepsilon \nu \pi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ ). Paul is not afraid to say that God is the Energy and the Energizer of the Universe. "I say that the magnet floats in space by the will of God" (Dr. W. R. Whitney, a world figure in science). This is his philosophic and scientific theory of the Cosmos. No one has shown Paul's philosophy and science to be wrong. Here he is speaking only of spiritual gifts and results as a whole, but he applies this principle to the universe ( $\tau \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ) in Col 1:16 (of Christ) and in Ro 11:36 (of God). Note the Trinity in these verses: the same Spirit (verse 4), the same Lord (Jesus) in verse 5, the same God (the Father) in verse 6.

1Cor 12:7
Manifestation ( $\varphi a v \varepsilon \rho \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma)$. Late word, in papyri, in N.T. only here and 2Co 4:2, from $\varphi \propto \nu \varepsilon \rho \circ \omega$, to make manifest ( $\varphi a v \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma)$. Each instance of the whole (verse 6) is repeatedly given ( $\delta \iota \delta o \tau \alpha$, present passive indicative of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ ).

To profit withal ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau о \sigma \nu \mu \varphi \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ). See on 6:12; 10:23,33 for Paul's guiding principle in such matters.

1Cor 12:8
To one $(\omega \mu \varepsilon \nu)$. Demonstrative os with $\mu \varepsilon v$ in dative case, to this one. The distribution or correlation is carried on by $\alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \delta \varepsilon$ (verses $8,9,10$ ), $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega \delta \varepsilon$ (verses 9,10 ) for variety, nine manifestations of the Spirit's work in verses 8-10.

The Word of wisdom ( $\lambda о ү о \varsigma ~ \sigma о \varphi \iota \propto \varsigma) . ~ O l d ~ w o r d s . ~ \Lambda о ү о \varsigma ~ i s ~ r e a s o n, ~ t h e n ~ s p e e c h . ~ W i s d o m ~$ is intelligence, then practical action in accord with it. Here it is speech full of God's wisdom
( 2:7) under the impulse of the Spirit of God. This gift is placed first (revelation by the Spirit).

The word of knowledge ( $\lambda o \gamma \circ \varsigma \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). This gift is insight (illumination) according to (ката) the same Spirit.

1Cor 12:9
Faith ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma)$. Not faith of surrender, saving faith, but wonder-working faith like that in 13:2 (Mt 17:20; 21:21). Note here $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \alpha v \tau \omega \pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu a \tau$ (in the same Spirit) in contrast with $\delta \iota \alpha$ and $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ in verse 8 .

Gifts of healings ( $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \alpha \mu \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). I $\alpha \mu \alpha$, old word from $\iota \alpha \circ \mu \alpha$, common in LXX, in N.T. only in this chapter. It means acts of healing as in Ac 4:30 (cf. Jas 5:14) and Lu 7:21 (of Jesus). Note $\varepsilon v$ here as just before.

1Cor 12:10
Workings of miracles ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \delta v v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \omega v)$. Workings of powers. Cf. $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega v$ $\delta \nu v a \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ i n ~ G a ~ 3: 5 ; ~ H e b ~ 2: 4 ~ w h e r e ~ a l l ~ t h r e e ~ w o r d s ~ a r e ~ u s e d ~(~ \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon ı \alpha, ~ s i g n s, ~ \tau \varepsilon p a \tau \alpha, ~ w o n d e r s, ~$ $\delta \cup v a \mu \varepsilon \varsigma$, powers). Some of the miracles were not healings as the blindness on Elymas the sorcerer.

Prophecy ( $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon ı \alpha)$. Late word from $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \eta \varsigma$ and $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \eta$, to speak forth. Common in papyri. This gift Paul will praise most (chapter 1Co 14 ). Not always prediction, but a speaking forth of God's message under the guidance of the Holy Spirit.

Discernings of spirits ( $\delta \iota \alpha к \rho \iota \sigma \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). $\Delta$ เакрıбъऽ is old word from $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa \rho \iota v \omega$ (see 11:29) and in N.T. only here; Ro 14:1; Heb 5:14. A most needed gift to tell whether the gifts were really of the Holy Spirit and supernatural (cf. so-called "gifts" today) or merely strange though natural or even diabolical (1Ti 4:1; 1Jo 4:1f. ).

Divers kinds of tongues ( $\gamma \varepsilon v \eta \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \omega v$ ). No word for "divers" in the Greek. There has arisen a great deal of confusion concerning the gift of tongues as found in Corinth. They prided themselves chiefly on this gift which had become a source of confusion and disorder. There were varieties (kinds, $\gamma \varepsilon v \eta$ ) in this gift, but the gift was essentially an ecstatic utterance of highly wrought emotion that edified the speaker ( $14: 4$ ) and was intelligible to God (14:2,28 ). It was not always true that the speaker in tongues could make clear what he had said to those who did not know the tongue ( 14:13): It was not mere gibberish or jargon like the modern "tongues," but in a real language that could be understood by one familiar with that tongue as was seen on the great Day of Pentecost when people who spoke different languages were present. In Corinth, where no such variety of people existed, it required an interpreter to explain the tongue to those who knew it not. Hence Paul placed this gift lowest of all. It created wonder, but did little real good. This is the error of the Irvingites and others who have tried to reproduce this early gift of the Holy Spirit which was clearly for a special emergency and which was not designed to help spread the gospel among men. See on Ac 2:13-21; 10:44-46; 19:6.

The interpretation of tongues ( $\varepsilon \rho \mu \eta v \varepsilon ı \alpha \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \omega v$ ). Old word, here only and 14:26 in N.T., from $\varepsilon \rho \mu \eta \nu \varepsilon v \omega$ from H $\varepsilon \rho \mu \eta \varsigma$ (the god of speech). Cf. on $\delta \varepsilon \rho \rho \mu \eta v \varepsilon v \omega$ in Lu 24:27; Ac 9:36. In case there was no one present who understood the particular tongue it required a special gift of the Spirit to some one to interpret it if any one was to receive benefit from it.

1Cor 12:11
Worketh ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon)$. The same word that was used in verse 6 of God.
Severally (ı $\delta \iota \alpha$ ). Separately.
Even as he will ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \beta$ оu $\lambda \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Hence there is no occasion for conceit, pride, or faction (4:7).

1Cor 12:12
So also is Christ ( $о \tau \tau \omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha$ о X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о \varsigma$ ). One would naturally expect Paul here to say ov $\omega \omega \kappa \alpha$ то $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ тov Xpıбтov (so also is the body of Christ). He will later call Christ the Head of the Body the Church as in Col 1:18,24; Eph 5:23,30. Aristotle had used $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ of the state as the body politic. What Paul here means is Christ as the Head of the Church has a body composed of the members who have varied gifts and functions like the different members of the human body. They are all vitally connected with the Head of the body and with each other. This idea he now elaborates in a remarkable manner.

1Cor 12:13
Were we all baptized into one body ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma \pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \varepsilon \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ and so a reference to a definite past event with each of them of different races, nations, classes, when each of them put on the outward badge of service to Christ, the symbol of the inward changes already wrought in them by the Holy Spirit (Ga 3:27; Ro 6:2ff. ).

And were all made to drink of one Spirit ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon v \pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \varepsilon \pi \sigma \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\pi \sigma \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb, to give to drink. The accusative $\varepsilon \nu \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ is retained in the passive as often with verbs that in the active take two accusatives. The reference is to a definite act in the past, probably to the inward experience of the Holy Spirit symbolized by the act of baptism.

1Cor 12:14
Is not one member (ovk $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \tau v \varepsilon \nu \mu \varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma)$. The point sounds like a truism, but it is the key to the whole problem of church life both local and general. Vincent refers to the fable of the body and the members by Menenius Agrippa (Livy, II, 32), but it was an old parable. Socrates pointed out how absurd it would be if feet and hands should work against one another when God made them to cooperate (Xen., Mem. II. iii. 18). Seneca alludes to it as does Marcus Aurelius and Marcus Antoninus.

1Cor 12:15

If the foot shall say $(\varepsilon \alpha v \varepsilon \iota \pi \eta$ o $\pi \circ \cup \varsigma)$. Condition of third class ( $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and second aorist subjunctive $\varepsilon \iota \pi \eta)$. In case the foot say.

I am not of the body (ovк $\varepsilon \iota \varepsilon \kappa \tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma)$. I am independent of the body, not dependent on the body.

It is not therefore not of the body (ov $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau о \nu \tau о$ оvк $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \varepsilon \kappa \tau о v \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \sigma$ ). Thinking or saying so does not change the fact. Пара tov to here means "alongside of this" (cf. IV Macc. 10:19) and so "because of," a rare use (Robertson, Grammar, p. 616). The two negatives (ov--ovk) do not here destroy one another. Each retains its full force.

1Cor 12:16
Points explained precisely as in verse 15 .
1Cor 12:17
If the whole body were an eye $(\varepsilon$ o $\alpha o v \tau o ~ \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \sigma \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \sigma \varsigma)$. The eye is the most wonderful organ and supremely useful ( Nu 10:31) , the very light of the body (Lu 11:34). And yet how grotesque it would be if there were nothing else but a great round rolling eye! A big "I" surely!

The smelling ( $\eta$ o $\sigma \varphi \rho \eta \sigma \iota$ ). Old word from $о \sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \iota v o \mu \alpha$, to smell. Here alone in N.T. 1Cor 12:18
But now ( $\nu \cup v \delta \varepsilon$ ). But as things are, in contrast to that absurdity.
Hath God set (o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \tau \tau)$. Second aorist middle indicative. God did it and of himself.
Even as it pleased him ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Why challenge God's will? Cf. Ro 9:20 .
1Cor 12:19
One member ( $\varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \lambda$ oc). Paul applies the logic of verse 17 to any member of the body. The application to members of the church is obvious. It is particularly pertinent in the case of a "church boss."

1Cor 12:20
Many members, but one body ( $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda \eta, \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ ). The argument in a nutshell, in one epigram.

1Cor 12:21
Cannot say (ov $\delta v v a \tau \alpha \varepsilon ı \pi \varepsilon เ v)$ ). And be truthful. The superior organs need the inferior ones (the eye, the hand, the head, the feet).

1Cor 12:22
Nay, much rather ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \omega \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$ ). Adversative sense of $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$, on the contrary. So far from the more dignified members like the eye and the head being independent of the subordinate ones like the hands and feet, they are "much more" (argumentum a fortiori, "by much more" $\pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \omega \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$, instrumental case) in need of therm.

Those members of the body which seem to be more feeble are necessary ( $\tau \alpha \delta$ окоuv $\tau \alpha$ $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \eta \tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ v $\pi \alpha \rho \chi \varepsilon \iota v \alpha v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \iota \alpha \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. Things are not always what they
seem. The vital organs (heart, lungs, liver, kidneys) are not visible, but life cannot exist without them.

1Cor 12:23
We bestow ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau \bullet \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Literally, We place around as if a garland (Mr 15:17) or a garment (Mt 27:28).

More abundant comeliness ( $\varepsilon v \sigma \chi \eta \mu \circ \sigma \cup v \eta \nu$ пєрıбботє $\rho \alpha \nu$ ). One need only mention the mother's womb and the mother's breast to see the force of Paul's argument here. The word, common in old Greek, from $\varepsilon v \sigma \chi \eta \mu \omega \nu$ ( $\varepsilon v$, well, $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha$, figure), here only in N.T. One may think of the coal-miner who digs under the earth for the coal to keep us warm in winter. So $\alpha \sigma \chi \eta \mu \omega \nu$ (deformed, uncomely), old word, here only in N.T., but see 7:36 for $\alpha \sigma \chi \eta \mu$ оvє $\omega$.

1Cor 12:24
Tempered the body together ( $\sigma u v \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$ тo $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ ). First aorist active indicative of бטvкعраvvv , to mix together, old word, but in N.T. only here and Heb 4:2 . Plato used this very word of the way God compounded (бטveкєрабато) the various elements of the body in creating soul and body. Paul rejects the idea of the later Gnostics that matter is evil and the physical organs degrading. He gives a noble picture of the body with its wonderful organs planned to be the temple of God's Spirit ( 6:19) in opposition to the Epicurean sensualists in Corinth.

To that part which lacked ( $\tau \omega v \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \sigma \nu \mu \varepsilon v \omega$ ). It is a true instinct that gives superior honour to the unseen organs of life.

1Cor 12:25
That there should be no schism (ıva $\mu \eta \eta \sigma \chi \iota \sigma \mu)$ ). Purpose of God in his plan for the body. Trouble in one organ affects the whole body. A headache may be due to trouble elsewhere and usually is.

Have the same care ( $\tau 0 \alpha v \tau o ~ \mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \nu \omega \sigma \tau v$ ). The very verb $\mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \nu \alpha \omega$ used by Jesus of our anxiety (Mt 6:27,31). Paul here personifies the parts of the body as if each one is anxious for the others. The modern knowledge of the billions of cells in the body co-working for the whole confirms Paul's argument.

1Cor 12:26
Suffer with it ( $\left.\sigma v \operatorname{con}^{2} \alpha \sigma \chi\right)$. Medical term in this sense in Hippocrates and Galen. In N.T only here and Ro 8:17 (of our suffering with Christ). One of Solon's Laws allowed retaliation by any one for another's injuries. Plato (Republic, V, 462) says the body politic "feels the hurt" as the whole body feels a hurt finger.

Rejoice with it ( $\sigma v \sim \chi \alpha \rho \varepsilon$ ). This is fortunately true also. One may tingle with joy all over the body thanks to the wonderful nervous system and to the relation between mind and matter. See 13:6 for joy of love with truth.

1Cor 12:27

Severally（ $\varepsilon \kappa \mu \varepsilon \rho о и \varsigma)$. See Ro 11：25 $\alpha \pi \sigma \mu \varepsilon \rho о \nu \varsigma$（in part）．Each has his own place and function in the body of Christ．

1Cor 12：28
God hath set some（ouc $\mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \tau \tau$ o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma$ ）．See verse 18 for $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \tau \tau$ o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma$ ．Note middle voice（for his own use）．Paul begins as if he means to say ouc $\mu \varepsilon v$ a $\quad$ тобтo入ovo，ous $\delta \varepsilon$ $\pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \alpha \varsigma$（some apostles，some prophets），but he changes the construction and has no ouc $\delta \varepsilon$ ，but instead $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma v, \delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v, \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$（first，second，then，etc．）．

In the church（ $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ ）．The general sense of $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ as in Mt 16：18 and later in Col 1：18，24；Eph 5：23，32；Heb 12：23．See list also in Eph 4：11．See on Mt 10：2 for a $\pi$ обто入ouc，the official title given the twelve by Jesus，and claimed by Paul though not one of the twelve．

Prophets（ $\pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \alpha \varsigma)$ ．For－speakers for God and Christ．See the list of prophets and teachers in Ac 13：1 with Barnabas first and Saul last．Prophets are needed today if men will let God＇s Spirit use them，men moved to utter the deep things of God．

Teachers（ $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda o v \varsigma)$ ．Old word from $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega$ ，to teach．Used to the Baptist（Lu 3：12 ），to Jesus（Joh 3：10；13：13 ），and of Paul by himself along with arooto入os（1Ti 2：7）． It is a calamity when the preacher is no longer a teacher，but only an exhorter．See Eph 4：11

Then miracles（ $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha \delta v v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \iota)$ ）．Here a change is made from the concrete to the ab－ stract．See the reverse in Ro 12：7．See these words（ $\delta \nu v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \iota \sigma, \iota \alpha \mu \eta \tau \omega \nu, \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \omega v$ ）in verses 9,10 with $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \omega v$ ，last again．But these two new terms（helps，governments）．

Helps（ $\alpha v \tau i \lambda \eta \mu \psi \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$ ．Old word，from $\alpha v \tau i \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v o \mu \alpha$ ，to lay hold of．In LXX，common in papyri，here only in N．T．Probably refers to the work of the deacons，help rendered to the poor and the sick．
 ）like Latin gubernare，our govern．So a governing．Probably Paul has in mind bishops （ $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \chi \circ \pi \circ$ ）or elders（ $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho o$ ），the outstanding leaders（o $\pi \rho \circ \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o$ in 1Th 5：12；Ro 12：8；o $\eta \gamma o u \mu \varepsilon v o$ in Ac 15：22；Heb 13：7，17，24）．Curiously enough，these two offices（pastors and deacons）which are not named specifically are the two that survive today．See Php 1：1 for both officers．

1Cor 12：29
Are all（ $\mu \eta \pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ）．The $\mu \eta$ expects a negative answer with each group．
1Cor 12：30
Do all interpret？（ $\mu \eta \pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \rho \mu \eta v \varepsilon v o v \sigma \iota v ?)$ ．He adds this query to the list in 28，but it is in 10 ．

1Cor 12：31
The greater gifts（ $\tau \alpha \chi \alpha \rho เ \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon ו \zeta o v \alpha$ ）．Paul unhesitatingly ranks some spiritual gifts above others． $\mathrm{Z} \mathrm{\eta} \lambda$ ow here has good sense，not that of envy as in Ac 7：9；1Co 13：4．

And a still more excellent way ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \tau \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} v \pi \varepsilon \rho \beta \circ \lambda \eta \nu \circ \delta o v$ ). In order to gain the greater gifts. "I show you a way par excellence," beyond all comparison (superlative idea in
 is old word from $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to throw beyond, to surpass, to excel (2Co 3:10; Eph 1:19). "I show you a supremely excellent way." Chapter 1Co 13 is this way, the way of love already laid down in 8:1 concerning the question of meats offered to idols (cf. 1Jo 4:7). Poor division of chapters here. This verse belongs with chapter 1Co 13 .

## 1 Corinthians 13

1Cor 13:1
With the tongues ( $\tau \alpha \iota \varsigma \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \alpha \iota \varsigma$ ). Instrumental case. Mentioned first because really least and because the Corinthians put undue emphasis on this gift. Plato (Symposium, 197) and many others have written on love, but Paul has here surpassed them all in this marvellous prose-poem. It comes like a sweet bell right between the jangling noise of the gifts in chapters 12 and 14. It is a pity to dissect this gem or to pull to pieces this fragrant rose, petal by petal. Fortunately Paul's language here calls for little comment, for it is the language of the heart. "The greatest, strongest, deepest thing Paul ever wrote" (Harnack). The condition ( $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and present subjunctive, $\lambda \alpha \lambda \omega \kappa \alpha \mu \eta \varepsilon \chi \omega$, though the form is identical with present indicative) is of the third class, a supposable case.

But have not love ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \nu \delta \varepsilon \mu \eta \varepsilon \chi \omega$ ). This is the crux of the chapter. Love is the way par excellence of 12:31. It is not yet clearly certain that $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta$ (a back-formation from $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$ ) occurs before the LXX and the N.T. Plutarch used $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \sigma \iota c$. Deissmann (Bible Studies, p. 198) once suspected it on an inscription in Pisidia. It is still possible that it occurs in the papyri (Prayer to Isis). See Light from the Ancient East, p. 75 for details. The rarity of a $\gamma \alpha \pi \eta$ made it easier for Christians to use this word for Christian love as opposed to $\varepsilon \rho \omega \varsigma$ (sexual love). See also Moffatt's Love in the N.T. (1930) for further data. The word is rare in the Gospels, but common in Paul, John, Peter, Jude. Paul does not limit $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta$ at all (both toward God and man). Charity (Latin caritas) is wholly inadequate. "Intellect was worshipped in Greece, and power in Rome; but where did St. Paul learn the surpassing beauty of love?" (Robertson and Plummer). Whether Paul had ever seen Jesus in the flesh, he knows him in the spirit. One can substitute Jesus for love all through this panegyric.

I am become ( $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \alpha$ ). Second perfect indicative in the conclusion rather than the usual future indicative. It is put vividly, "I am already become." Sounding brass ( $\chi \alpha \lambda \chi \circ \varsigma$ $\eta \chi \omega v)$. Old words. Brass was the earliest metal that men learned to use. Our word echoing is $\eta \chi \omega v$, present active participle. Used in Lu 21:25 of the roaring of the sea. Only two examples in N.T.

Clanging cymbal ( $\kappa \nu \mu \beta \alpha \lambda о v \alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda \alpha \zeta$ ov). Cymbal old word, a hollow basin of brass. $\mathrm{A} \lambda \alpha \lambda \alpha \zeta \omega$, old onomatopoetic word to ring loudly, in lament ( $\mathrm{Mr} 5: 38$ ), for any cause as here. Only two N.T. examples.

1Cor 13:2
The ecstatic gifts (verse 1) are worthless. Equally so are the teaching gifts (prophecy, knowledge of mysteries, all knowledge). Crasis here in каv=ка $\varepsilon \alpha v$. Paul is not condemning these great gifts. He simply places love above them and essential to them. Equally futile is wonder-faith "so as to remove mountains" ( $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ op $\eta \mu \varepsilon \theta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha v \varepsilon ı v$ ) without love. This may have been a proverb or Paul may have known the words of Jesus (Mt 17:20; 21:21).

I am nothing ( $o v \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon ı \mu$ ). Not $o v \theta \varepsilon ı$, nobody, but an absolute zero. This form in $\theta$ rather than $\delta$ (ou $\delta \varepsilon v$ ) had a vogue for a while (Robertson, Grammar, p. 219).

1Cor 13:3
Bestow to feed $(\Psi \omega \mu \sigma \sigma \omega)$. First aorist active subjunctive of $\psi \omega \mu \zeta \zeta \omega$, to feed, to nourish, from $\psi \omega \mu \rho \varsigma$, morsel or bit, and so to feed, by putting a morsel into the mouth like infant (or bird). Old word, but only here in N.T.

To be burned (iva кav $\theta \eta \sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ ). First future passive subjunctive (Textus Receptus), but D каv $\theta \eta \sigma о \mu \alpha$ (future passive indicative of $\kappa \alpha \iota \omega$, old word to burn). There were even some who courted martyrdom in later years (time of Diocletian). This Byzantine future subjunctive does not occur in the old MSS. (Robertson, Grammar, p. 876). Aleph A B here read каv $\eta \eta \omega \mu \mu$, first aorist middle subjunctive of kav $\quad \alpha \circ \mu \alpha$ (so Westcott and Hort), "that I may glory." This is correct.

It profiteth me nothing (ov $\delta \varepsilon v \omega \varphi \varepsilon \lambda o v \mu \alpha)$. Literally, I am helped nothing. Ov $\delta \varepsilon v$ in the accusative case retained with passive verb. See two accusatives with $\omega \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ in 14:6. Verb is old and from ор $\varepsilon \lambda о \varsigma$ (profit).

1Cor 13:4
Verses 4-7 picture the character or conduct of love in marvellous rhapsody.
Suffereth long ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \circ \theta \nu \mu \varepsilon$ ). Late Koine word (Plutarch) from $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \circ \varsigma$, long, $\theta v \mu \circ \varsigma$, passion, ardour. Cf. Jas 5:7f.

Is kind ( $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. From $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o \varsigma$ (useful, gracious, kind) and that from $\chi \rho \alpha o \mu \alpha$, to use. Not found elsewhere save in Clement of Rome and Eusebius. "Perhaps of Paul's coining" (Findlay). Perhaps a vernacular word ready for Paul. Gentle in behaviour.

Envieth not (ov $\zeta \eta \lambda 0$ ). Present active indicative of $\zeta \eta \lambda \frac{\omega}{}$ (contraction osı=o, same as subjunctive and optative forms). Bad sense of $\zeta \eta \lambda$ oc from $\zeta \varepsilon \omega$, to boil, good sense in 12:31. Love is neither jealous nor envious (both ideas).

Vaunteth not itself (ov $\pi \varepsilon \rho \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. From $\pi \varepsilon \rho \pi \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$, vainglorious, braggart (Polybius, Epictetus) like Latin perperus. Only here in N.T. and earliest known example. It means play the braggart. Marcus Anton. V. 5 uses it with $\alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha$, to play the toady.
 (late form for $\varphi v \sigma \alpha \omega, \varphi v \sigma i \alpha \omega$ from $\varphi$ voa, bellows), to puff oneself out like a pair of bellows. This form in Herodas and Menander. Is not arrogant. See on 4:6.

1Cor 13:5
Doth not behave itself unseemly (ovк $\alpha \sigma \chi \eta \mu \mathrm{ov} \mathrm{\varepsilon}$ ). Old verb from $\alpha \sigma \chi \eta \mu \omega \nu$ ( 12:23). In N.T. only here and 7:36. Not indecent.

Seeketh not its own (ov $\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Its own interests (10:24,33).
Is not provoked ( $\mathrm{ov} \pi \alpha \rho \circ \xi \cup v \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Old word. In N.T. only here and Ac 17:16 which see. Irritation or sharpness of spirit. And yet Paul felt it in Athens (exasperation) and he
and Barnabas had $\pi \alpha \rho о \xi \cup \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma$ (paroxysm) in Antioch ( 15:39). See good sense of $\pi \alpha \rho \circ \xi \cup \sigma \mu о \varsigma$ in Heb 10:24.

Taketh not account of evil (ov $\lambda$ оүццєта то какоv). Old verb from $\lambda о \gamma \circ \varsigma$, to count up, to take account of as in a ledger or note-book, "the evil" (то какоv) done to love with a view to settling the account.

1Cor 13:6
Rejoiceth not in unrighteousness (ov $\chi$ aı $\rho$ ). See Ro 1:32 for this depth of degradation. There are people as low as that whose real joy is in the triumph of evil.

But rejoiceth with the truth ( $\sigma v \vee \chi \alpha \rho \rho \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \tau \eta \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha$ ). Associative instrumental case after $\sigma 0 v$ - in composition. Truth personified as opposed to unrighteousness (2Th 2:12; Ro 2:8). Love is on the side of the angels. Paul returns here to the positive side of the picture (verse 4) after the remarkable negatives.

1Cor 13:7
Beareth all things ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ ). $\sum \tau \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ is old verb from $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \gamma \eta$, roof, already in 1Co 9:12; 1Th 3:1,5 which see. Love covers, protects, forbears (suffert, Vulgate). See 1Pe 4:8 "because love covers a multitude of sins" (от $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta ~ \kappa \alpha \lambda u \pi \tau \varepsilon \varphi \eta$ Өоऽ $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \omega v$ ), throws a veil over.

Believeth all things ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon)$. Not gullible, but has faith in men.
Hopeth all things ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \varepsilon)$. Sees the bright side of things. Does not despair. $E v \delta v \rho \varepsilon \theta \alpha \lambda \lambda \theta_{\imath} \nu \gamma \varsigma(\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \cup \pi \sigma \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon)$. Perseveres. Carries on like a stout-hearted soldier. If one knows Sir Joshua Reynolds's beautiful painting of the Seven Virtues (the four cardinal virtues of the Stoics--temperance, prudence, fortitude, justice--and the three Christian graces--faith, hope, love), he will find them all exemplified here as marks of love (the queen of them all).

1Cor 13:8
Love never faileth ( $\mathrm{H} \mathrm{\eta} \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta$ ои $\delta \varepsilon \pi о \tau \varepsilon \pi \iota \pi \tau \varepsilon$ ). New turn for the perpetuity of love. Пıлтє correct text, not $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \iota \tau \tau \varepsilon$, as in Lu 16:17. Love survives everything.

They shall be done away ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \gamma \eta \theta \eta \sigma o \nu \tau \alpha$ ). First future passive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \gamma \varepsilon \omega$. Rare in old Greek, to make idle ( $\alpha \rho \gamma \rho \varsigma$ ), inoperative. All these special spiritual gifts will pass. It is amazing how little of human work lasts.

They shall cease ( $\pi \alpha v \sigma o v \tau \alpha$ ). Future middle indicative of $\pi \alpha v \omega$, to make cease. They shall make themselves cease or automatically cease of themselves.

1Cor 13:9
In part ( $\varepsilon \kappa \mu \varepsilon \rho \circ \cup \varsigma)$. See on 12:27. As opposed to the whole.
1Cor 13:10
That which is perfect ( $\tau 0 \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \circ \circ v$ ). The perfect, the full-grown ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma$, end), the mature. See on 2:6. Ho otav $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ is second aorist subjunctive with otav, temporal clause for indefinite future time.

1Cor 13:11
A child ( $\nu \eta \pi \iota \circ \varsigma)$. See on 3:1 for $\nu \eta \pi \iota \circ \varsigma$ in contrast with $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \circ \varsigma$ (adult).
I spake ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda o v v$ ). Imperfect active, I used to talk.
I felt ( $\varepsilon \varphi \rho$ ovovv). Imperfect active, I used to think. Better, I used to understand.
I thought $(\varepsilon \lambda o \gamma \iota \zeta o \mu \eta v)$. Imperfect middle, I used to reason or calculate.
Now that I am become (o $\tau \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \gamma o v \alpha$ ). Perfect active indicative $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \circ v a$, I have become a man ( $\alpha \vee \eta \rho$ ) and remain so (Eph 4:14).

I have put away ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \rho\rceil \eta \kappa \alpha)$. Perfect active indicative. I have made inoperative (verse 8) for good.

1Cor 13:12
In a mirror $\left(\delta \iota^{\prime} \varepsilon \sigma o \pi \tau \rho o v\right)$. By means of a mirror $(\varepsilon \sigma o \pi \tau \rho o v$, from $o \pi \tau \omega$, old word, in papyri). Ancient mirrors were of polished metal, not glass, those in Corinth being famous.

Darkly ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \iota v \tau \gamma \alpha \tau$ ). Literally, in an enigma. Old word from aıvıббo $\mu \alpha$, to express obscurely. This is true of all ancient mirrors. Here only in N.T., but often in LXX. "To see a friend's face in a cheap mirror would be very different from looking at the friend" (Robertson and Plummer).

Face to face $(\pi \rho o \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \nu \pi \rho o \varsigma \pi \rho o \sigma \omega \pi \sigma v)$. Note triple use of $\pi \rho o \varsigma$ which means facing one as in Joh $1: 1$. Проб $\omega \pi \sigma v$ is old word from $\pi \rho o \varsigma$ and o $\pi \varsigma$, eye, face.

Shall I know ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \gamma \nu \omega \sigma o \mu \alpha)$. I shall fully ( $\varepsilon \pi t-)$ know. Future middle indicative as $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ (I know) is present active and $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ (I was fully known) is first aorist passive (all three voices).

1Cor 13:13
Abideth ( $\mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ ). Singular, agreeing in number with $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma ~(f a i t h), ~ f i r s t ~ i n ~ l i s t . ~$
The greatest of these $(\mu \varepsilon \zeta \zeta \omega v \tau o v \tau \omega v)$. Predicative adjective and so no article. The form of $\mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta \omega \nu$ is comparative, but it is used as superlative, for the superlative form $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \iota \sigma \tau o s$ had become rare in the Koine (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 667ff.). See this idiom in Mt 11:11; 18:1; 23:11. The other gifts pass away, but these abide forever. Love is necessary for both faith and hope. Does not love keep on growing? It is quite worth while to call attention to Henry Drummond's famous sermon The Greatest Thing in the World and to Dr. J.D. Jones's able book The Greatest of These. Greatest, Dr. Jones holds, because love is an attribute of God.

## 1 Corinthians 14

1Cor 14:1
Follow after love ( $\delta \omega \kappa \kappa \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \eta \nu$ a $\gamma \alpha \pi \eta v$ ). As if a veritable chase. Paul comes back to the idea in 12:31 (same use of $\zeta \eta \lambda$ oute) and proves the superiority of prophecy to the other spiritual gifts not counting faith, hope, love of 13:13.

But rather that ye may prophesy ( $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v \delta \varepsilon เ v \alpha \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon \cup \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Distinct aim in view as in verse 5 . Old verb from $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \eta \varsigma$, common in N.T. Present subjunctive, "that ye may keep on prophesying."

1Cor 14:2
For no man understandeth (ov $\delta \iota \varsigma \gamma \alpha \rho$ акоиع). Literally, hears, gets the sense, understands. Verb akove used either of hearing the sound only or getting the idea (cf. Ac 9:7; 22:9).

Mysteries ( $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha$ ). Unexplained mysteries (1Co 2:7).
1Cor 14:3
Edification (o七коסo $\eta \eta \nu$ ). Building up.
Comfort ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma เ v)$. Encouragement, calling to one's side.
Consolation ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \nu \theta \iota \alpha v$ ). Old word (from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha, \mu \nu \theta o \sigma, \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \nu \theta \varepsilon o \mu \alpha 1$ Th 2:12 which see, a stimulating word), nowhere else in N.T., but $\pi \alpha \rho a \mu \nu \theta$ tov in Php 2:1 with $\pi \alpha \rho а к \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$ as here. Edification, cheer, incentive in these words.

1Cor 14:4
The church ( $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha v)$. No article, literally, "a church" (local use). Not $\eta \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$.
1Cor 14:5
Except he interpret ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau о \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \eta \delta เ \varepsilon \rho \mu \eta \nu \varepsilon \cup \eta$ ). Pleonastic combination of $\varepsilon \kappa \tau о \varsigma$ (preposition except) and $\varepsilon \mu \eta$ (if not, unless) as in 15:2; 1Ti 5:19. For use of $\varepsilon$ with subjunctive rather than $\varepsilon a v$ see Php 3:12 (common enough in the Koine, Robertson, Grammar, pp. 1017f., condition of third class). On the verb see on 12:30; Lu 24:27; Ac 9:36.

Receive ( $\lambda \alpha \beta \eta$ ). Second aorist (ingressive) active subjunctive of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$, may get edification.

1Cor 14:6
If I come ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega$ ). Third class condition, supposable case (aorist subjunctive).
What shall I profit you ( $\tau \operatorname{v} \mu \propto \varsigma \omega \varrho \Sigma \eta \sigma \omega$ ). Two accusatives with this verb (see 13:3).
Unless I speak ( $\varepsilon \alpha \vee \mu \eta \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \omega)$. Second condition (also third class) with the one conclusion (cf. 1Ti 2:5).

1Cor 14:7
Things without life ( $\alpha \psi \cup \chi \alpha$ ). Without a soul ( $\alpha$ privative, $\psi \cup \chi \eta$ ) or life. Old word only here in N.T.

Pipe ( $\alpha v \lambda o c)$. Old word (from $\alpha \omega, \alpha v \omega$, to blow), only here in N.T.

Harp ( $\kappa 1 \theta \alpha \rho \alpha)$. Old word. Stringed instrument as pipe, a wind instrument.
If they give not a distinction in the sounds ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \delta ı \sigma \sigma \tau \circ \lambda \eta \nu \tau \circ \iota \varsigma \theta \circ \gamma \gamma \circ \iota \mu \eta \delta \omega$ ). Third class condition with second aorist active subjunctive $\delta \omega$ from $\delta \iota \omega \mu$. Common word in late Greek for difference ( $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, to send apart). In N.T. only here and Ro 3:22; 10:12 . $\Phi \theta$ o $\gamma \gamma$ os old word (from $\varphi \theta \varepsilon \gamma \gamma \circ \mu \alpha$ ) for musical sounds vocal or instrumental. In N.T. only here and Ro 10:18.

1Cor 14:8
An uncertain voice $(\alpha \delta \eta \lambda o v \varphi \omega \nu \eta \nu)$. Old adjective ( $\alpha$ privative, $\delta \eta \lambda o \varsigma$, manifest). In N.T. only here and Lu 11:44. Military trumpet $(\sigma \alpha \lambda \pi / \gamma \xi)$ is louder than pipe or harp.

Shall prepare himself ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Direct middle future indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb, in N.T. only here, 2Co 9:2ff.; Ac 10:10 . From $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha, \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \cup \eta$ (preparation).

1Cor 14:9
Unless ye utter speech easy to be understood ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \mu \eta \varepsilon v \sigma \eta \mu \circ v \lambda o \gamma o v \delta \omega \tau \varepsilon)$. Condition of third class again ( $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and aorist subjunctive). Evoŋ $\mu \circ v(\varepsilon v$, well, $\sigma \eta \mu \alpha$, sign) is old word, here only in N.T., well-marked, distinct, clear. Good enunciation, a hint for speakers.

Ye will be speaking into the air ( $\varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon เ \varsigma ~ \alpha \varepsilon \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda o u v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Periphrastic future indicative (linear action). Cf. $\alpha \varepsilon \rho \alpha \delta \varepsilon \rho \omega \nu$ (beating the air) in 9:26. Cf. our talking to the wind. This was before the days of radio.

1Cor 14:10
It may be ( $\varepsilon \tau \cup \chi 0$ ). Condition of fourth class ( $\varepsilon$ and aorist optative of $\tau v \gamma \chi \alpha v \omega$ ), if it should happen. Common enough idiom. Cf. тv $o v$ in 16:6.

Without signification ( $\alpha \varphi \omega \nu \circ \nu$ ). Old adjective ( $\alpha$ privative and $\varphi \omega \nu \eta$ ). Without the faculty of speech (12:2; Ac $8: 32 ; 2 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 16$ ).

1Cor 14:11
The meaning of the voice ( $\tau \eta \nu \delta v v \alpha \mu \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \varphi \omega \nu \eta \varsigma$ ). The power (force) of the voice.
A barbarian ( $\beta \alpha \rho \beta \alpha \rho o \varsigma$ ). Jargon, $\beta \alpha \rho-\beta \alpha \rho$. The Egyptians called all $\beta \alpha \rho \beta \alpha \rho o v c$ who did not speak their tongue. The Greeks followed suit for all ignorant of Greek language and culture. They divided mankind into Hellenes and Barbarians.

Unto me ( $\varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o}$ ). In my case, almost like a dative.
1Cor 14:12
Zealous of spiritual gifts $(\zeta \eta \lambda \omega \tau \alpha \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \omega v)$. Zealots for spirits. So it looked.
That ye may abound ( $\llcorner\sim \alpha \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Purpose clause with the object by prolepsis stated beforehand "for the edification of the church."

1Cor 14:13
Let him pray that he may interpret ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega \operatorname{v} \alpha \delta \iota \rho \mu \eta v \varepsilon v \eta)$. Else he had better cease talking in a tongue.

1Cor 14:14

But my understanding is unfruitful (о $\delta \varepsilon$ vоич $\mu о \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ акартоऽ). My intellect (vovৎ) gets no benefit (акартоя, without fruit) from rhapsodical praying that may even move my spirit ( $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha)$.

1Cor 14:15
With the understanding also ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \omega$ vo). Instrumental case of vovc. Paul is distinctly in favour of the use of the intellect in prayer. Prayer is an intelligent exercise of the mind.

And I will sing with the understanding also ( $\psi \alpha \lambda \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \omega v o$ ). There was ecstatic singing like the rhapsody of some prayers without intelligent words. But Paul prefers singing that reaches the intellect as well as stirs the emotions. Solos that people do not understand lose more than half their value in church worship. $\Psi a \lambda \lambda \omega$ originally meant to play on strings, then to sing with an accompaniment (Eph 5:19), and here apparently to sing without regard to an instrument.

1Cor 14:16
Else if thou bless with the spirit ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha v \varepsilon \cup \lambda o \gamma \eta \vdash \varsigma v \pi v \varepsilon v \mu a \tau$ ). Third class condition. He means that, if one is praying and praising God (10:16) in an ecstatic prayer, the one who does not understand the ecstasy will be at a loss when to say "amen" at the close of the prayer. In the synagogues the Jews used responsive amens at the close of prayers (Neh 5:13; 8:6; 1Ch 16:36; Ps 106:48 ).

He that filleth the place of the unlearned (o $\alpha v a \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega v$ тov $\tau о \pi о \nu \tau o v ~ i \delta \omega \tau \tau 0 v)$. Not a special part of the room, but the position of the $\delta \delta \omega \tau$ tov (from $\delta \delta$ toc, one's own), common from Herodotus for private person (Ac 4:13), unskilled (2Co 11:6), uninitiated (unlearned) in the gift of tongues as here and verses 23 f .

At thy giving of thanks ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \sigma \eta \varepsilon \cup \chi \alpha \rho \circ \sigma \tau \iota \alpha)$. Just the prayer, not the Eucharist or the Lord's Supper, as is plain from verse 17.

1Cor 14:18
More than you all ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \nu \mu \omega \nu \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$ ). Ablative case after $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$. Astonishing claim by Paul that doubtless had a fine effect.

1Cor 14:19
Howbeit in church ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ ). Private ecstasy is one thing (cf. 2Co 12:1-9) but not in church worship.
 see on $\operatorname{Lu} 1: 4 ;$ Ac 18:25.

1Cor 14:20
Be not children in mind ( $\mu \eta \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha \gamma \iota v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma \varphi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \iota v$ ). "Cease becoming children in your intellects," as some of them evidently were. Cf. Heb 5:11-14 for a like complaint of intellectual dulness for being old babies.

In malice be ye babes ( $\tau \eta \kappa \alpha \kappa ı \alpha \sim \eta \pi \iota \alpha(\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon)$.

Be men ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \circ \gamma \imath v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Keep on becoming adults in your minds. A noble and a needed command, pertinent today.

1Cor 14:21
In the law it is written ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \nu o \mu \omega \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho a \pi \tau \alpha$ ). Isa 28:11f. Freely quoted.
1Cor 14:22
For a sign ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota o v$ ). Like the Hebrew and occasional Koine idiom also.
1Cor 14:23
Will they not say that ye are mad? (ovк $\varepsilon \rho \circ v \sigma \iota v$ o $\tau \mu a v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ?). These unbelievers unacquainted ( $\llcorner\iota \omega \tau \alpha$ ) with Christianity will say that the Christians are raving mad (see on Ac 12:15; $26: 24$ ). They will seem like a congregation of lunatics.

1Cor 14:24
He is reproved by all ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \cup \pi \circ \pi \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Old word for strong proof, is undergoing conviction.

Is judged (avaкрıveta). Is tested. Cf. 1Co 2:15; 4:3f .
1Cor 14:25
That God is among you indeed ( $o \tau \circ \nu \tau \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \nu \mu \nu \nu \varepsilon \sigma \tau \nu v$ ). Recitative o $\tau$ and direct quotation from Isa 45:15 (Hebrew rather than the LXX). "Really (ov $\omega \omega$ Lu 24:34) God is in you."

1Cor 14:26
When ye come together ( $o \tau \alpha v \sigma v v \varepsilon \rho \chi \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Present middle subjunctive, repetition, whenever ye come together, in contrast with special case ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \sigma v v \varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$, second aorist subjunctive) in verse 23.

1Cor 14:27
By two ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta v o$ ). According to two, ratio.
Or at most ( $\eta \tau 0 \pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma \tau 0 v$ ). Adverbial accusative, "or at the most."
Three ( $\tau \rho \varepsilon ı$ ). Kata to be repeated.
And that in turn ( $\kappa \alpha \propto \nu \alpha \mu \varepsilon \rho о \varsigma) . ~ O n e ~ a t ~ a ~ t i m e ~ a n d ~ n o t ~ o v e r ~ t h r e e ~ i n ~ a l l . ~$
1Cor 14:28
But if there be no interpreter ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \delta \varepsilon \mu \eta \eta \delta เ \varepsilon \rho \mu \eta v \varepsilon v \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Third class condition. Earliest known instance and possibly made by Paul from verb in verse 27. Reappears in Byzantine grammarians.

Keep silence in church ( $\sigma \iota \gamma \alpha \tau \omega \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ ). Linear action (present active imperative). He is not even to speak in a tongue once. He can indulge his private ecstasy with God.

1Cor 14:29
By two or three ( $\delta v o \eta \tau \rho \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. No ката here as in verse 27. Let two or three prophets speak.

Let the others discern (o $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ o $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa \rho \iota v \varepsilon \tau \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ ). Whether what is said is really of the Spirit. Cf. 12:10 $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa \rho \iota \sigma \iota \varsigma \pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau \omega v$.

1Cor 14:30

1Cor 14:31
One by one ( $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Regular idiom.
1Cor 14:32
The spirits of the prophets are subject to the prophets ( $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \alpha ル$ $v \pi \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. A principle that some had forgotten.

1Cor 14:33
Not of confusion (ov--катабтaбıas). God is not a God of disorder, but of peace. We need this reminder today.

As in all the churches of the saints ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon v \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \iota \varsigma \tau \omega v \alpha \gamma \iota \omega v$ ). Orderly reverence is a mark of the churches. This is a proper conclusion of his argument as in 11:16.

1Cor 14:34
Keep silence in the churches ( $\varepsilon v \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha ı \varsigma ~ \sigma \iota \gamma \alpha \tau \omega \sigma \alpha v$ ). The same verb used about the disorders caused by speakers in tongues (verse 28) and prophets (30). For some reason some of the women were creating disturbance in the public worship by their dress ( 11:2-16) and now by their speech. There is no doubt at all as to Paul's meaning here. In church the women are not allowed to speak ( $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota v$ ) nor even to ask questions. They are to do that
at home $(\varepsilon v$ oık $\omega)$. He calls it a shame ( $\alpha \iota \sigma \chi \rho o v$ ) as in 11:6 (cf. Eph 5:12; Tit 1:11). Certainly women are still in subjection (v $\frac{\pi}{} \boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \alpha v$ ) to their husbands (or ought to be). But somehow modern Christians have concluded that Paul's commands on this subject, even 1 Ti 2:12, were meant for specific conditions that do not apply wholly now. Women do most of the teaching in our Sunday schools today. It is not easy to draw the line. The daughters of Philip were prophetesses. It seems clear that we need to be patient with each other as we try to understand Paul's real meaning here.

1Cor 14:37
The commandment of the Lord (Kvpıov $\varepsilon v \tau \sigma \lambda \eta$ ). The prophet or the one with the gift of tongues or the disturbing woman would be quick to resent the sharp words of Paul. He claims inspiration for his position.

1Cor 14:40
Decently and in order ( $\varepsilon v \sigma \chi \eta \mu \circ \nu \omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \xi \iota v)$. That is surely a good rule for all matters of church life and worship. It applies also to the function of women in church service.

## 1 Corinthians 15

1Cor 15:1
I make known ( $\gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \zeta \omega$ ). See on 12:3 for this common verb. As if in reproach.
 accusative, "the gospel which I gospelized unto you." Note augment $\eta$ after $\varepsilon v$ - like compound verb with preposition. Note repetition of relative ( $\mathrm{o}, \varepsilon v \omega \mathrm{~L}, \delta \mathrm{ov}$, and $\tau \iota v$ like relative) without ка (and), asyndeton.

1Cor 15:2
In what words I preached it unto you ( $\tau \iota \nu \lambda o \gamma o \varepsilon v \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \sigma \alpha \mu \eta \nu \cup \mu \nu v$ ). Almost certainly тıc ( $\tau ı v$ doүo, locative or instrumental, in or with) here is used like the relative os as is common in papyri (Moulton, Prolegomena, p. 93f.; Robertson, Grammar, p. 737f.). Even so it is not clear whether the clause depends on $\gamma v \omega \rho \iota \zeta \omega$ like the other relatives, but most likely so.

If we hold it fast ( $\varepsilon$ катєұєтع). Condition of first class. Paul assumes that they are holding it fast.

Except ye believed in vain ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau о \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \mu \eta \varepsilon є \kappa \eta \varepsilon \pi ા \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). For $\varepsilon \kappa \tau о \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \mu \eta$ see on 14:5. Condition of first class, unless in fact ye did believe to no purpose ( $\varepsilon$ ккๆ, old adverb, only in Paul in N.T.). Paul holds this peril over them in their temptation to deny the resurrection.

1Cor 15:3
First of all ( $\varepsilon v \pi \rho \omega \tau 0 \iota \varsigma)$. Among first things. In primis. Not to time, but to importance.
Which I also received (о ка $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o v$ ). Direct revelation claimed as about the institution of the Lord's Supper ( $11: 23$ ) and same verbs used ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha, \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o v)$. Four items given by Paul in explaining "the gospel" which Paul preached. Stanley calls it (verses 1-11) the creed of the early disciples, but "rather a sample of the exact form of the apostle's early teaching, than a profession of faith on the part of converts" (Vincent). The four items are presented by four verbs (died, $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \theta \alpha v \varepsilon v$, was buried, $\varepsilon \tau \alpha \varphi \eta$, hath been raised, $\varepsilon \gamma \eta \gamma \varepsilon \rho \tau \alpha$, appeared, $\omega \varphi \theta \eta$ ).

Christ died (X $\propto\llcorner\sigma \tau о \varsigma ~ a \pi \varepsilon Ө \alpha v \varepsilon v)$. Historical fact and crucial event.
For our sins (v $\quad \varepsilon \rho \rho \tau \nu \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \omega v \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Hu $\tau \varepsilon \rho$ means literally over, in behalf, even instead of ( $\mathrm{Ga} 3: 13$ ), where used of persons. But here much in the sense of $\pi \varepsilon \rho(\mathrm{Ga} 1: 14)$ as is common in Koine. In 1Pe 3:18 we have $\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \omega v$, v $\tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \delta \iota \kappa \omega v$.

According to the Scriptures (ката таৎ $ү \rho \alpha \varphi \alpha \varsigma)$. As Jesus showed (Lu 22:37; 24:25) and as Peter pointed out (Ac 2:25-27; 3:35) and as Paul had done (Ac 13:24f.; 17:3 ). Cf. Ro 1:2f. .

1Cor 15:4

And that he was buried ( $\kappa \alpha$ о $\tau \tau \alpha \varphi \eta$ ). Note ot repeated before each of the four verbs as a separate item. Second aorist passive indicative of $\theta \alpha \pi \tau \omega$, old verb, to bury. This item is an important detail as the Gospels show.

And that he hath been raised ( $\kappa \alpha$ о $\tau \varepsilon \eta \eta \varepsilon \rho \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative, not $\eta \gamma \varepsilon \rho \theta \eta$ like
rose of the King James' Version. There is reason for this sudden change of tense. Paul wishes to emphasize the permanence of the resurrection of Jesus. He is still risen.

On the third day ( $\tau \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \tau \eta \tau \rho \tau \eta$ ). Locative case of time. Whether Paul had seen either of the Gospels we do not know, but this item is closely identified with the fact of Christ's resurrection. We have it in Peter's speech (Ac 10:40) and Jesus points it out as part of prophecy ( Lu 24:46). The other expression occasionally found "after three days" (Mr 10:34 ) is merely free vernacular for the same idea and not even Mt 12:40 disturbs it. See on Lu 24:1 for record of the empty tomb on the first day of the week (the third day).

1Cor 15:5
And that he appeared to Cephas ( $\kappa \alpha$ от $\omega \varphi \theta \eta К \eta \varphi \alpha$ ). First aorist passive indicative of the defective verb opa $\omega$, to see. Paul means not a mere "vision," but actual appearance. John uses $\varepsilon \varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta$ (Joh 21:14) from $\varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho o \omega$, to make manifest, of Christ's appearance to the seven by the Sea of Galilee. Peter was listed first ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma$ ) among the Apostles (Mt 10:2 ). Jesus had sent a special message to him (Mr 16:7) after his resurrection. This special appearance to Peter is made the determining factor in the joyful faith of the disciples (Lu 24:34 ), though mentioned incidentally here. Paul had told all these four facts to the Corinthians in his preaching. He gives further proof of the fact of Christ's resurrection. There are ten appearances given besides the one to Paul. Nine are in the Gospels (Mary Magdalene in John and Mark, the other women in Matthew, the two going to Emmaus in Luke, Simon Peter in Luke and I Corinthians, the ten apostles and others in Luke and John and Mark, the eleven and others in John, the seven by the sea in John, to over five hundred in Galilee in Matthew and Paul and Mark, to the apostles in Jerusalem in Luke and Mark and Acts and I Corinthians) and one in I Corinthians above (to James). It will be seen that Paul mentions only five of the ten, one, that to James, not given elsewhere. What he gives is conclusive evidence of the fact, particularly when re-enforced by his own experience (the sixth appearance mentioned by Paul). The way to prove this great fact is to start with Paul's own witness given in this undoubted Epistle. The natural way to understand Paul's adverbs of time here is chronological:
then ( $\varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ ),
then ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ ),
then ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ ),
then ( $\varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ ),
last of all ( $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau 0 \nu \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ).

To the twelve (тоı $\delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ). The technical name. Only ten were present, for Judas was dead and Thomas was absent (Joh 20:24).

1Cor 15:6
To above five hundred brethren at once ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha v \omega \pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha \kappa о \sigma \circ \iota \varsigma ~ \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi о « \varsigma \varphi \alpha \pi \alpha \xi$ ). E $\pi \alpha v \omega$ here is just an adverb with no effect on the case. As a preposition with the ablative see Mt 5:14. This incident is the one described in Mt 28:16 the prearranged meeting on the mountain in Galilee. The strength of this witness lies in the fact that the majority (o $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota o v \varsigma$ ) of them were still living when Paul wrote this Epistle, say spring of A.D. 54 or 55, not over 25 years after Christ's resurrection.

1Cor 15:7
To James $(\operatorname{Ia\kappa } \kappa \omega \beta \omega)$. The brother of the Lord. This fact explains the presence of the brothers of Jesus in the upper room (Ac 1:14).

To all the apostles (тоぃ $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau о \lambda о \iota \varsigma ~ \pi а \sigma ı v) . ~ T h e ~ A s c e n s i o n ~ o f ~ C h r i s t ~ f r o m ~ O l i v e t . ~$
1Cor 15:8
As unto one born out of due time ( $\omega \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \tau \omega \varepsilon \kappa \tau \rho \omega \mu \alpha \tau$ ). Literally, as to the miscarriage (or untimely birth). Word first occurs in Aristotle for abortion or miscarriage and occurs in LXX ( Nu 12:12; Job 3:16 ) and papyri (for miscarriage by accident). The verb $\tau \iota \tau \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ means to wound and $\varepsilon \kappa$ is out. Paul means that the appearance to him came after Jesus had ascended to heaven.

1Cor 15:9
The least (o $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \chi$ เбтоৎ). True superlative, not elative. Explanation of the strong word $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ just used. See Eph 3:8 where he calls himself "less than the least of all saints" and 1Ti 1:15 the "chief" ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau 0 \varsigma)$ of sinners. Yet under attack from the Judaizers Paul stood up for his rank as equal to any apostle (2Co 11:5f.,23).

Because I persecuted the church of God ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \omega \xi \alpha \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \omega \alpha \nu$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v)$. There were times when this terrible fact confronted Paul like a nightmare. Who does not understand this mood of contrition?

1Cor 15:10
What I am (o $\varepsilon \mu)$. Not,
who (oc), but
what (o), neuter singular. His actual character and attainments. All "by the grace of God" ( $\chi$ 人pıт $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ).

I laboured more abundantly than they all ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma о \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \vee \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \kappa о \pi \iota \alpha \sigma \alpha$ ). This is sober fact as shown by the Acts and Paul's Epistles. He had tremendous energy and used it. Genius is work, Carlyle said. Take Paul as a specimen.

1Cor 15:11

So we preach, and so ye believed ( $\circ v \tau \omega \varsigma \kappa \eta \rho v \sigma \sigma о \mu \varepsilon \nu$, $\kappa \alpha$ ov $\quad \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). This is what matters both for preacher and hearers. This is Paul's gospel. Their conduct in response to his message was on record.

1Cor 15:12
Is preached ( $\kappa \eta \rho \cup \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Personal use of the verb, Christ is preached.
How say some among you? ( $\pi \omega \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \gamma 0 v \sigma \iota v \varepsilon v \nu \mu \nu v \tau \iota v \varepsilon \sigma$ ?). The question springs naturally from the proof of the fact of the resurrection of Christ (verses 1-11) and the continual preaching which Paul here assumes by condition of the first class ( $\varepsilon เ--\kappa \eta \rho v \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. There were sceptics in Corinth, possibly in the church, who denied the resurrection of dead people just as some men today deny that miracles happen or ever did happen. Paul's answer is the resurrection of Christ as a fact. It all turns on this fact.

1Cor 15:13
Neither hath Christ been raised (ov $\delta \varepsilon$ X $1 \sigma \tau \tau \varsigma \varepsilon\lceil\eta \gamma \varepsilon \rho \tau \alpha$ ). He turns the argument round with tremendous force. But it is fair.

1Cor 15:14
Vain (кعvov). Inanis, Vulgate. Old word, empty. Both Paul's preaching and their faith are empty if Christ has not been raised. If the sceptics refuse to believe the fact of Christ's resurrection, they have nothing to stand on.

1Cor 15:15
False witnesses of God ( $\psi \varepsilon v \delta о \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ \theta \varepsilon o v)$. Late word, but $\psi \varepsilon v \delta о \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to bear false witness, old and common. The genitive ( $\mathfrak{\text { rov }} \theta$ rov) can be either subjective (in God's service) or objective (concerning God). Either makes good sense.

Because we witnessed of God (от єцартvрๆбацєv ката тоv $\theta \varepsilon о v$ ). Vulgate has adversus Deum. This is the more natural way to take ката and genitive,
against God not as equal to $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ (concerning). He would indeed make God play false in that case,
if so be that the dead are not raised ( $\varepsilon ı \varepsilon \rho \rho \rho \alpha$ vєкро оик $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \rho \rho \circ \nu \tau \alpha)$. Condition of first class, assumed as true. Note both $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ intensive particle
indeed and $\alpha \rho \alpha$ inferential particle
therefore .
1Cor 15:16
Repeats the position already taken in verse 13 .
1Cor 15:17
Vain ( $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \alpha$ ). Old word from adverb $\mu \alpha \tau \eta v$ (Mt 15:9), devoid of truth, a lie. Stronger word than $\kappa \varepsilon v o v$ in verse 14.

Ye are yet in your sins ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma ~ \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha ı \varsigma ~ v \mu \omega v$ ). Because the death of Christ has no atoning value if he did not rise from the dead. In that case he was only a man like other men and did not die for our sins (verse 3).

1Cor 15:18
Then also ( $\alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha$ ). Inevitable inference.
Have perished ( $\alpha \pi \omega \lambda o v \tau o$ ). Did perish. Second aorist middle indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$, to destroy, middle, to perish (delivered up to eternal misery). Cf. 8:11.

1Cor 15:19
We have hoped ( $\eta \lambda \pi \kappa \kappa о \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Periphrastic perfect active indicative. Hope limited to this life even if "in Christ."

Only ( $\mu \mathrm{ovov}$ ) qualifies the whole clause.
Most pitiable ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota v o \tau \varepsilon \rho o$ ). Comparative form, not superlative, of old adjective $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon เ v o \varsigma$, to be pitied, pitiable. If our hope is limited to this life, we have denied ourselves what people call pleasures and have no happiness beyond. The Epicureans have the argument on us. Paul makes morality turn on the hope of immortality. Is he not right? Witness the breaking of moral ties today when people take a merely animal view of life.

1Cor 15:20
But now ( $v v \nu \delta \varepsilon$ ). Emphatic form of $v v \nu$ with - added (cf. 12:18). It is the logical triumph of Paul after the reductio ad impossibile (Findlay) of the preceding argument.

The first-fruits ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \rho \chi \eta$ ). Old word from $\alpha \pi \alpha \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to offer firstlings or first-fruits. In LXX for first-fruits. In papyri for legacy-duty, entrance-fee, and also first-fruits as here. See also verse 23; 16:15; Ro 8:23, etc. Christ is "first-born from the dead" (Col 1:18). Others raised from the dead died again, but not so Jesus.

That sleep ( $\tau \omega \nu \kappa \varepsilon \kappa о \iota \eta \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu$ ). Perfect middle participle as in Mt 27:52 which see. Beautiful picture of death from which word ( $\kappa о \mu \alpha \circ \mu \alpha$ ) comes our $\chi \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \psi$.

1Cor 15:21
By man also ( $\delta \alpha \delta \iota^{\prime} \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$ ). That is Jesus, the God-man, the Second Adam (Ro 5:12 ). The hope of the resurrection of the dead rests in Christ.

1Cor 15:22
Shall be made alive $\left(\zeta \omega о \pi о \_\eta \eta \sigma \sigma \nu \tau \alpha\right)$. First future passive indicative of $\zeta \omega 0 \pi о \iota \varepsilon \omega$, late verb (Aristotle) to give life, to restore to life as here. In verse $36 \zeta \omega 0 \pi о \iota \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ is used in the sense of natural life as in Joh $5: 21 ; 6: 63$ of spiritual life. It is not easy to catch Paul's thought here. He means resurrection (restoration) by the verb here, but not necessarily eternal life or salvation. So also $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ may not coincide in both clauses. All who die die in Adam, all who will be made alive will be made alive (restored to life) in Christ. The same problem occurs in Ro 5:18 about "all," and in verse 19 about "the many."

1Cor 15:23
Order ( $\tau \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau$ ). Old military term from $\tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, to arrange, here only in N.T. Each in his own division, troop, rank.

At his coming ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma \iota \alpha$ ). The word $\pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma \iota \alpha$ was the technical word "for the arrival or visit of the king or emperor" and can be traced from the Ptolemaic period into
the second century A.D. (Deissmann, Light from the Ancient East, p. 368). "Advent-coins were struck after a parousia of the emperor." Paul is only discussing "those that are Christ's" (3:23; Ga 5:24) and so says nothing about judgment (cf. 1Th 2:19; 3:13; 4:15; 5:23 ).

1Cor 15:24
Then cometh the end ( $\varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ тo $\tau \varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma)$. No verb $\gamma \iota v \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ in the Greek. Supply "at his coming," the end or consummation of the age or world (Mt 13:39,49; 1Pe 4:7),

When he shall deliver up (o $\tau \alpha v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \iota \delta \omega$ ). Present active subjunctive (not optative) of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta เ \delta \omega \mu$ with o $\tau \alpha \nu$, whenever, and so quite indefinite and uncertain as to time. Present subjunctive rather than aorist $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \omega$ because it pictures a future proceeding.

To God, even the Father ( $\tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega \kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \rho$ ). Better, "to the God and Father" or to "His God and Father." The Kingdom belongs to the Father.

When he shall have abolished ( $о \tau \alpha \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \gamma \eta \sigma \eta$ ). First aorist active subjunctive with otav, indefinite future time. Simply, "whenever he shall abolish," no use in making it future perfect, merely aorist subjunctive. On $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ see 1 Co 6:13; 13:8,10,11 .

Rule ( $\alpha \rho \chi \eta \nu$ ),
authority ( $\varepsilon \xi$ ovoıav),
power $(\delta v v a \mu \nu v)$. All forms of power opposing the will of God. Constative aorist tense covering the whole period of conflict with final victory as climax.

1Cor 15:25
Till he hath put ( $\alpha \chi \rho$ ov $\theta \eta$ ). Second aorist active subjunctive of $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, "till he put" (no sense in saying "hath put," merely effective aorist tense for climax. A $\chi \rho$ (ov), $\mu \varepsilon \chi \rho(o v), \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ (ov) all are used for the same idea of indefinite future time.

1Cor 15:26
The last enemy that shall be abolished is death ( $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \chi$ Өоৎ кат $\alpha \rho \gamma \varepsilon \tau \tau \alpha$ о $\theta \alpha \nu \alpha \tau о \varsigma)$. A rather free translation. Literally, "death (note article, and so subject) is done away (prophetic or futuristic use of present tense of same verb as in verse 24), the last enemy" (predicate and only one "last" and so no article as in 1Jo 2:18 ).

1Cor 15:27
He put (vлєт $\xi \varepsilon \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active of $v \pi \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, to subject. Supply God ( $\theta \varepsilon \sigma \varsigma$ ) as subject (Ps 8:7 ). See Heb 2:5-9 for similar use. Cf. Ps 8 .

But when he saith (otav $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \pi \eta$ ). Here Christ must be supplied as the subject if the reference is to his future and final triumph. The syntax more naturally calls for God as the subject as before. Either way makes sense. But there is no need to take $\varepsilon \iota \pi \eta$ (second aorist active subjunctive) as a futurum exactum, merely "whenever he shall say."

Are put in subjection (v $\tau о \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \alpha)$. Perfect passive indicative, state of completion, final triumph.

It is evident that ( $\delta \eta \lambda \circ \vee \circ \tau$ ). Supply $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ (is) before o $\sigma$.
 did subject (articular aorist active participle) the all things to him (Christ)."

1Cor 15:28
And when all things have been subjected (o $\tau \alpha v \delta \varepsilon v \pi о \tau \alpha \gamma \eta \tau \alpha \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha$ ). Second aorist passive subjunctive of $v \pi о \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, not perfect. Merely, "when the all things are subjected unto him." The aorist subjunctive has given translators a deal of needless trouble in this passage. It is prophecy, of course.

That God may be all in all ( $\imath v \alpha \eta$ o $\theta \varepsilon \circ \varsigma \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \varepsilon v \pi \alpha \sigma เ v$ ). The final goal of all God's redemptive plans as Paul has so well said in Ro 11:36. Precisely this language Paul will use of Christ (Col 3:11).

1Cor 15:29
Else ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$ ). Otherwise, if not true. On this use of $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$ with ellipsis see on 5:10; 7:14.
Which are baptized for the dead (o $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v o ~ v \pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \omega v \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega v$ ). This passage remains a puzzle. Stanley gives thirteen interpretations, no one of which may be correct. Over thirty have been suggested. The Greek expositors took it to be about the dead ( $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ in sense of $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ as often as in 2Co 1:6) since baptism is a burial and a resurrection (Ro 6:2-6). Tertullian tells of some heretics who took it to mean baptized in the place of dead people (unsaved) in order to save them. Some take it to be baptism over the dead. Others take it to mean that Paul and others were in peril of death as shown by baptism (see verse 30).

At all ( $\mathrm{o} \lambda \omega \varsigma$ ). See on 5:1.
1Cor 15:30
Why do we also stand in jeopardy every hour? ( $\tau \kappa \alpha \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \kappa ı v \delta \nu v \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha v \omega \rho \alpha v$ ?). We also as well as those who receive baptism which symbolizes death. Old verb from $\kappa$ кıvovoc (peril, danger), in N.T. only here and Lu 8:23 . Paul's Epistles and Acts (especially chapter Ac 19 ) throw light on Paul's argument. He was never out of danger from Damascus to the last visit to Rome. There are perils in Ephesus of which we do not know (2Co 1:8f.) whatever may be true as to an Ephesian imprisonment. G. S. Duncan (St. Paul's Ephesian Ministry, 1930) even argues for several imprisonments in Ephesus. The accusative of time ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha v$ $\omega \rho \alpha v)$ naturally means all through every hour (extension).

1Cor 15:31
I protest by that glorying in you ( $\nu \eta \tau \eta v \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha v \kappa \alpha v \chi \eta \sigma เ \nu$ ). No word for "I protest." Paul takes solemn oath by the use of $v \eta$ (common in Attic) with the accusative. Only here in N.T., but in LXX (Ge 42:15f. ). For other solemn oaths by Paul see 2Co 1:18,23; 11:10f.,31; Ro 9:1 . For каuхПбıц see on 1Th 2:19. The possessive pronoun (v $\varepsilon \mu \eta \nu$ in 1Co 11:24.

I die daily ( $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha v ~ \alpha \pi о \theta v \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$ ). I am in daily peril of death (2Co 4:11; 11:23; Ro 8:36).

1Cor 15:32

After the manner of men ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ ). Like men, for applause, money, etc. (4:9ff.; Php 3:7).

If I fought with wild beasts at Ephesus ( $\varepsilon \varepsilon \theta \eta \rho \circ \rho \mu \chi \eta \sigma \alpha \varepsilon v \mathrm{E} \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \omega$ ). Late verb from Өnpıoнахос, a fighter with wild beasts. Found in inscriptions and in Ignatius. Those who argue for an Ephesian imprisonment for Paul and Ephesus as the place where he wrote the imprisonment epistles (see Duncan's book just mentioned) take the verb literally. There is in the ruins of Ephesus now a place called St. Paul's Prison. But Paul was a Roman citizen and it was unlawful to make such a one be a $\theta$ пpıo $\mu \alpha$ о $\varsigma$. If he were cast to the lions unlawfully, he could have prevented it by claiming his citizenship. Besides, shortly after this Paul wrote II Corinthians, but he does not mention so unusual a peril in the list in 2Co 11:23f . The incident, whatever it was, whether literal or figurative language, took place before Paul wrote I Corinthians.

What doth it profit me? ( $\tau$ но то оф $\varepsilon \lambda о \sigma$ ?). What the profit to me?
Let us eat and drink ( $\varphi \alpha \gamma \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \pi \iota \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Volitive second aorist subjunctives of $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \iota \omega$ and $\pi \imath v \omega$. Cited from Isa 22:13. It is the outcry of the people of Jerusalem during the siege of Jerusalem by the Assyrians. At Anchiale near Tarsus is a statue of Sardanapalus with the inscription: "Eat, drink, enjoy thyself. The rest is nothing." This was the motto of the Epicureans. Paul is not giving his own view, but that of people who deny the resurrection.

1Cor 15:33
Be not deceived ( $\mu \eta \pi \lambda \alpha v \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Do not be led astray ( $\pi \lambda \alpha v \alpha \omega$ ) by such a false philosophy of life.

Evil company ( $о \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \iota \alpha \kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha)$. Evil companionships. Old word, o $\mu \lambda \lambda \alpha$, from $о \mu \lambda \lambda о \varsigma$ (a crowd, gang, bunch). Only here in N.T. Good manners ( $\eta \theta \eta$ ). Old word (kin to $\varepsilon \theta$ oc) custom, usage, morals. Good morals here. This line of poetry (iambic) occurs in Menander. It may be a current proverb. Paul could have gotten it from either source.

1Cor 15:34
Awake up righteously ( $\varepsilon \kappa \vee \eta \psi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \varsigma)$. Wake up as if from drunkenness. Екv $\eta \omega$, only here in N.T. sin not ( $\mu \eta$ а $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Stop sinning.

No knowledge of God ( $\alpha \gamma v \omega \sigma \omega \alpha v \operatorname{\theta cov}$ ). Old word for ignorance, in N.T. only here and 1 Pe 2:15. Ignorance of God, agnosticism. Some today (agnostics) even take pride in it instead of shame ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \rho о \pi \eta \nu$, turning in on oneself). See on 6:5 for $\varepsilon \nu \tau \rho \circ \pi \eta$.

1Cor 15:35
But some one will say ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma)$. Paul knows what the sceptics were saying. He is a master at putting the standpoint of the imaginary adversary.

How ( $\pi \omega \varsigma$ ). This is still the great objection to the resurrection of our bodies. Granted that Jesus rose from the dead, for the sake of argument, these sceptics refuse to believe in the possibility of our resurrection. It is the attitude of Matthew Arnold who said, "Miracles do not happen." Scientifically we know the "how" of few things. Paul has an astounding an-
swer to this objection. Death itself is the way of resurrection as in the death of the seed for the new plant (verses 36f. ).

With what manner of body ( $\pi \sigma \omega \omega \omega \mu \alpha \tau$ ). This is the second question which makes plainer the difficulty of the first. The first body perishes. Will that body be raised? Paul treats this problem more at length (verses $38-54$ ) and by analogy of nature (Cf. Butler's famous Analogy). It is a spiritual, not a natural, body that is raised. $\Sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ here is an organism.

Flesh $(\sigma \alpha \rho \xi)$ is the $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ for the natural man, but there is spiritual ( $\pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa o v) \sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ for the resurrection.

1Cor 15:36
Thou foolish one ( $\alpha \varphi \rho \omega v$ ). Old word ( $\alpha$ privative, $\varphi \rho \eta \nu$ ), lack of sense. It is a severe term and justified by the implication "that the objector plumes himself on his acuteness" (Robertson and Plummer). Proleptic position of $\sigma v$ (thou) sharpens the point. Sceptics (agnostics) pose as unusually intellectual (the intelligentsia), but the pose does not make one intelligent.

Except it die ( $\varepsilon \alpha \vee \mu \eta \alpha \pi o \theta \alpha v \eta)$. Condition of third class, possibility assumed. This is the answer to the "how" question. In plant life death precedes life, death of the seed and then the new plant.

1Cor 15:37
Not the body which shall be (ov to $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ тo $\gamma \varepsilon v \eta \sigma o \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Articular future participle of $\gamma \iota v o \mu \alpha$, literally, "not the body that will become." The new
body ( $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ ) is not yet in existence, but only the seed (коккоऽ, grain, old word, as in Mt 13:31).

It may chance ( $\varepsilon$ тטХo). Fourth class condition as in $14: 10$ which see. Paul is rich in metaphors here, though usually not so (Howson, Metaphors of St. Paul). Paul was a city man. We sow seeds, not plants (bodies). The butterfly comes out of the dying worm.

1Cor 15:38
A body of its own ( $\delta$ oov $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ ). Even under the microscope the life cells or germ plasm may seem almost identical, but the plant is quite distinct. On $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha$, seed, old word from $\sigma \pi \varepsilon!\rho \omega$, to sow, see on Mt 13:24f.

1Cor 15:39
The same flesh ( $\eta \alpha \cup \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \rho \xi$ ). Paul takes up animal life to show the great variety there is as in the plant kingdom. Even if evolution should prove to be true, Paul's argument remains valid. Variety exists along with kinship. Progress is shown in the different kingdoms, progress that even argues for a spiritual body after the body of flesh is lost.

Of beasts ( $\kappa \tau \eta v \omega v$ ). Old word, from $\kappa \tau \alpha o \mu \alpha$, to possess, and so property. See Lu 10:34
Of birds ( $\pi \tau \eta \nu \omega \nu$ ). Old word from $\pi \varepsilon \tau \sigma \mu \alpha$, to fly, winged, flying. Only here in N.T.
1Cor 15:40

Celestial ( $\varepsilon \pi o u \rho a v i \alpha)$. Old word, from $\varepsilon \pi$, upon, oupavo $\varsigma$, heaven, existing in heaven. Paul now rises higher in the range of his argument, above the merely
terrestrial ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \varepsilon \iota \alpha$, upon earth, $\varepsilon \pi \iota, \gamma \varepsilon$ ) bodies. He has shown differences in the bodies here on earth in plants and in the animal kingdom and now he indicates like differences to be seen in the heavens above us.

Is one ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ )
--is another ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \delta \varepsilon$ ). Antithesis that admits glory for bodies on earth and bodies in the heavens. Experience does not argue against a glory for the spiritual body (Php 3:21 ).

1Cor 15:41
For one star differeth from another star in glory ( $\alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma \delta \iota \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon v$ $\delta o \xi \eta$ ). A beautiful illustration of Paul's point. A $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho o \varsigma$ is the ablative case after $\delta \iota \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$ (old verb $\delta ı \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, Latin differo, our differ, bear apart). On $\alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho$ see Mt 2:7 and $\alpha \sigma \tau \rho o v$ Lu 21:25. Stars differ in magnitude and brilliancy. The telescope has added more force to Paul's argument.

In glory $(\varepsilon v \delta o \xi \eta)$. Old word from $\delta o \kappa \varepsilon \omega$, to think, to seem. So opinion, estimate, then the shekinah glory of God in the LXX, glory in general. It is one of the great words of the N.T. Jesus is termed the glory in Jas 2:1 .

1Cor 15:42
So is the resurrection of the dead ( $\sigma \tau \tau \omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \eta \alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma \tau \omega v \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega v$ ). Paul now applies his illustrations to his argument to prove the kind of body we shall have after the resurrection. He does it by a series of marvellous contrasts that gather all his points. The earthly and the risen beings differ in duration, value, power (Wendt).

It is sown ( $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. In death, like the seed ( 37 ).
In incorruption ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \varphi \theta \alpha \rho \sigma ı \alpha$ ). Late word from $\alpha$ privative and $\varphi \theta \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$, to corrupt. In LXX, Plutarch, Philo, late papyrus of a Gnostic gospel, and quotation from Epicurus. Vulgate incorruptio. The resurrection body has undergone a complete change as compared with the body of flesh like the plant from the seed. It is related to it, but it is a different body of glory.

1Cor 15:43
In weakness ( $\varepsilon v a \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon ı \alpha)$. Lack of strength as shown in the victory of death.
In power ( $\varepsilon v \delta u v a \mu \varepsilon)$. Death can never conquer this new body, "conformed to the body of His glory" (Php 3:21 ).

1Cor 15:44
A natural body ( $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \psi v \chi \not \kappa \sigma v$ ). See on 2:14 for this word, a difficult one to translate since $\psi v \times \eta$ has so many meanings. Natural is probably as good a rendering as can be made, but it is not adequate, for the body here is not all $\psi \cup \chi \eta$ either as soul or life. The same difficulty exists as to a spiritual body ( $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa o v$ ). The resurrection body is not wholly $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$. Caution is needed here in filling out details concerning the $\psi v \times \eta$ and the $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$. But certainly he means to say that the "spiritual body" has some kind of germinal connection
with the "natural body," though the development is glorious beyond our comprehension though not beyond the power of Christ to perform (Php 3:21). The force of the argument remains unimpaired though we cannot follow fully into the thought beyond us.

If there is ( $\varepsilon$ ع $\sigma \tau \iota v)$. "If there exists" ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ means this with accent on first syllable), a condition of first class assumed as true.

There is also ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \vee \kappa \alpha)$. There exists also.
1Cor 15:45
Became a living soul ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau 0 \varepsilon ા \varsigma \psi v \chi \eta \nu \zeta \omega \sigma \alpha v)$. Hebraistic use of $\varepsilon ા \zeta$ in predicate from LXX. God breathed a soul ( $\psi v \times \eta$ ) into "the first man."

The last Adam became a life-giving spirit (о $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ А \delta \alpha \mu ~ \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha ~ \zeta \omega о \pi о ь о v v) . ~$ Supply $\varepsilon$ ₹ยveto (became). Christ is the crown of humanity and has power to give us the new body. In Ro 5:12-19 Paul calls Christ the Second Adam.

1Cor 15:46
Howbeit that is not first which is spiritual, but that which is natural ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov $\pi \rho \omega \tau 0 v$ то $\pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau ะ к о \nu, \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ то $\psi \cup \chi ⿺ 𠃊 о v)$. Literally, "But not first the spiritual, but the natural." This is the law of growth always.

1Cor 15:47
Earthly ( $\chi$ окоৎ). Late rare word, from $\chi$ оиৎ, dust.
The second man from heaven (o $\delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi о \varsigma \varepsilon \xi$ ovpavou). Christ had a human ( $\psi \cup \chi$ Iкоv) body, of course, but Paul makes the contrast between the first man in his natural body and the Second Man in his risen body. Paul saw Jesus after his resurrection and he appeared to him "from heaven." He will come again from heaven.

1Cor 15:48
As is the earthly (oוо о о $\chi$ окоৎ). Masculine gender because of $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \circ \varsigma$ and correlative pronouns (oוoб, tooveo) of character or quality. All men of dust ( $\chi$ оוко) correspond to "the man of dust" (o $\chi$ оокоऽ), the first Adam.

As is the heavenly (oьoc o eroupavioc). Christ in his ascended state (1Th 4:16; 2Th 1:7; Eph 2:6,20; Php 3:20f. ).

1Cor 15:49
We shall also bear ( $\varphi$ орعбо $\mu \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha$ ). Old MSS. (so Westcott and Hort) read $\varphi$ о $\rho \varepsilon \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ $\kappa \alpha$. Volitive aorist active subjunctive, Let us also bear. Ellicott strongly opposes the subjunctive. It may be merely the failure of scribes to distinguish between long o and short o. Paul hardly means to say that our attaining the resurrection body depends on our own efforts! A late frequentative form of $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$.

1Cor 15:50
Cannot inherit ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho о v o \mu \eta \sigma \alpha$ ov $\delta u v a v \tau \alpha$ ). Hence there must be a change by death from the natural body to the spiritual body. In the case of Christ this change was wrought in less than three days and even then the body of Jesus was in a transition state before the

Ascension. He ate and could be handled and yet he passed through closed doors. Paul does not base his argument on the special circumstances connected with the risen body of Jesus.

1Cor 15:51
A mystery ( $\mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota v$ ). He does not claim that he has explained everything. He has drawn a broad parallel which opens the door of hope and confidence.

We shall not all sleep ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ оv коц $\mu \eta \eta \sigma о \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Future passive indicative of $\kappa о \iota \alpha о \mu \alpha$, to sleep. Not all of us shall die, Paul means. Some people will be alive when he comes. Paul does not affirm that he or any then living will be alive when Jesus comes again. He simply groups all under the phrase "we all."

But we shall all be changed ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \eta \sigma o \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Second future passive indicative of $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$. Both living and dead shall be changed and so receive the resurrection body. See this same idea at more length in 1Th 4:13-18 .

1Cor 15:52
In a moment $(\varepsilon \nu \alpha \tau o \mu \omega)$. Old word, from a privative and $\tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \omega$, to cut, indivisible: Scientific word for atom which was considered indivisible, but that was before the day of electrons and protons. Only here in N.T.

In the twinkling of an eye ( $\varepsilon \nu \rho \iota \pi \eta$ o $\rho \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \circ v$ ). Old word $\rho \iota \pi \eta$ from $\rho \iota \pi \tau \omega$, to throw. Only here in N.T. Used by the Greeks for the flapping of a wing, the buzz of a gnat, the quivering of a harp, the twinkling of a star.

At the last trump ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \gamma$ ). Symbolical, of course. See on 1Th 4:16; Mt 24:31.

1Cor 15:53
Must put on ( $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon v \delta v \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha)$. Aorist (ingressive) middle infinitive, put on as a garment.
Immortality ( $\alpha \theta \alpha v \alpha \sigma i \alpha v$ ). Old word from $\alpha \theta \alpha v a \tau o \varsigma$, undying, and that from $\alpha$ privative and $\theta v \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$, to die. In N.T. only here and 1Ti 6:16 where God is described as having immortality.

1Cor 15:54
Shall have put on ( $\varepsilon v \delta v \sigma \eta \tau \alpha)$. First aorist middle subjunctive with o $\tau \alpha v$ whenever, merely indefinite future, no futurum exactum, merely meaning, "whenever shall put on," not "shall have put on."

Is swallowed up (катєло日ๆ). First aorist passive indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi เ v \omega$, old verb to drink down, swallow down. Perfective use of кат $\alpha$ - where we say "up," "swallow up." Timeless use of the aorist tense. Paul changes the active voice кateriev in Isa $25: 8$ to the passive. Death is no longer victory. Theodotion reads the Hebrew verb (bulla, for billa,) as passive like Paul. It is the "final overthrow of the king of Terrors" (Findlay) as shown in Heb 2:15.

1Cor 15:55
Victory (vikos). Late form of vikף.

O death ( $\begin{aligned} & \text { avate). Second instance. Here Paul changes Hades of the LXX for Hebrew }\end{aligned}$ Sheol (Hos 13:14) to death. Paul never uses Hades.

Thy sting ( $\sigma o v \tau 0 \kappa \varepsilon \nu \tau \rho \circ v$ ). Old word from $\kappa \varepsilon v \tau \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to prick, as in Ac 26:14. In Re 9:10 of the sting of locusts, scorpions. The serpent death has lost his poison fangs.

1Cor 15:56
 for Paul's ideas here briefly expressed. In man's unrenewed state he cannot obey God's holy law.

1Cor 15:57
But thanks be to God ( $\tau \omega \delta \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \omega \chi \alpha \rho \iota \varsigma)$. Exultant triumph through Christ over sin and death as in Ro 7:25.

1Cor 15:58
Be ye steadfast, unmovable ( $\varepsilon \delta \rho \alpha ı \frac{\gamma ı v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \text {, а } \alpha \varepsilon \tau \alpha \kappa ı \eta \tau о) . ~ " K e e p ~ o n ~ b e c o m i n g ~}{\text {. }}$ steadfast, unshaken." Let the sceptics howl and rage. Paul has given rational grounds for faith and hope in Christ the Risen Lord and Saviour. Note practical turn to this great doctrinal argument.

Work ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ$ ) ,
labour (котоৎ, toil). The best answer to doubt is work.

## 1 Corinthians 16

1Cor 16:1
 Paul has discussed all the problems raised by the Corinthians. Now he has on his own heart the collection for the saints in Jerusalem (see chapters 2Co 8; 9 ). This word $\lambda o \gamma \iota \alpha$ (or - $\varepsilon \iota \alpha$ ) is now known to be derived from a late verb $\lambda о \gamma \varepsilon v \omega$, to collect, recently found in papyri and inscriptions (Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 143). The word $\lambda$ oүıa is chiefly found in papyri, ostraca, and inscriptions that tell of religious collections for a god or a temple (Deissmann, Light from the Ancient East, p. 105). The introduction of this topic may seem sudden, but the Corinthians were behind with their part of it. They may even have asked further about it. Paul feels no conflict between discussion of the resurrection and the collection.

So also do ye (о $\tau \tau \omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma \pi о \imath \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon)$. Paul had given orders ( $\delta เ \varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi \alpha$ ) to the churches of Galatia and now gives them like commands. As a matter of fact, they had promised a long time before this (2Co 8:10; 9:1-5 ). Now do what you pledged.

1Cor 16:2
Upon the first day of the week ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \mu \alpha \nu \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau о v)$. For the singular $\sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau о v$ (sabbath) for week see Lu 18:12; Mr 16:9. For the use of the cardinal $\mu \mathrm{L} \alpha v$ in sense of ordinal $\pi \rho \omega \tau \eta \nu$ after Hebrew fashion in LXX (Robertson, Grammar, p. 672) as in Mr 16:2; Lu 24:1; Ac 20:7. Distributive use of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ also.

Lay by him in store ( $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega \tau \iota \theta \varepsilon \tau \omega$ $Ө \eta \sigma \alpha v \rho ı \zeta \omega v$ ). By himself, in his home. Treasuring it (cf. Mt 6:19f. for $\theta \eta \sigma \alpha v \rho \iota \zeta \omega)$. Have the habit of doing it, $\tau \downarrow \theta \varepsilon \tau \omega$ (present imperative).

As he may prosper (o $\tau \alpha v \varepsilon v o \delta \omega \tau \alpha$ ). Old verb from $\varepsilon v$, well, and o ooc, way or journey, to have a good journey, to prosper in general, common in LXX. In N.T. only here and Ro 1:10; 3Jo 1:2. It is uncertain what form $\varepsilon v o \delta \omega \tau \alpha$ is, present passive subjunctive, perfect passive indicative, or even perfect passive subjunctive (Moulton, Prolegomena, p. 54). The old MSS. had no accents. Some MSS. even have $\varepsilon v o \delta \omega \theta \eta$ (first aorist passive subjunctive). But the sense is not altered. Hot is accusative of general reference and $\varepsilon a v$ can occur either with the subjunctive or indicative. This rule for giving occurs also in 2Co 8:12 . Paul wishes the collections to be made before he comes.

1Cor 16:3
When I arrive ( $o \tau \alpha v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v \omega \mu \alpha$ ). Whenever I arrive, indefinite temporal conjunction otav and second aorist middle subjunctive.

Whomsoever ye shall approve by letters (ov¢ $\varepsilon a v \delta о к \mu \alpha \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon \delta \iota^{\prime} \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau о \lambda \omega v$ ). Indefinite relative with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and aorist subjunctive of סокцца $\omega$ (to test and so approve as in Php 1:10 ). "By letters" to make it formal and regular and Paul would approve their choice of messengers to go with him to Jerusalem (2Co 8:20ff. ). Curiously enough no names from Corinth occur in the list in Ac 20:4.

To carry ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \varepsilon เ \nu)$. Second aorist active infinitive of $\alpha \pi о \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, to bear away.
Bounty ( $\chi \alpha \rho ı v$ ). Gift, grace, as in 2Co 8:4-7. As a matter of fact, the messengers of the churches ( $\alpha$ тобто入о єкк $\lambda \eta \sigma \omega \nu$ 2Co 8:23 ) went along with Paul to Jerusalem (Ac 20:4f. ).

1Cor 16:4
 tion be worthy of the going as to me also." Condition of third class ( $\varepsilon \alpha v--\eta$ ) and the articular infinitive in the genitive ( $\tau 0 v$ ) after $\alpha \xi \circ v$. The accusative of general reference ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon$, me also) with the infinitive. So the awkward phrase clears up.

1Cor 16:5
When I shall have passed through Macedonia (oтav Maкع $\delta$ ovıav $\delta \iota \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega$ ). "Whenever I pass through (second aorist active subjunctive of $\delta เ \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha)$ Macedonia" (see construction in verse 3).

I do pass through $(\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \chi \chi \circ \mu \alpha)$. I plan to pass through, futuristic use of present indicative.
1Cor 16:6
It may be ( $\tau 0 \chi \circ v$ ). Neuter accusative of second aorist active participle of $\tau v \gamma \chi \alpha v \omega$ used as an adverb (in Plato and Xenophon, but nowhere else in N.T.).

Or even winter ( $\eta$ ка $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \varepsilon \mu \alpha \sigma \omega$ ). Future active of late verb $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \varepsilon \mu \mu \zeta \omega$ ( $\chi \varepsilon \mu \mu \omega v$, winter). See on Ac 27:12; 28:11; Tit 3:12 . He did stay in Corinth for three months (Ac 20:3 ), probably the coming winter.

Whithersoever I go (ov $\varepsilon \alpha v \pi о \rho \varepsilon v \omega \mu \alpha)$. Indefinite local clause with subjunctive. As a matter of fact, Paul had to flee from a conspiracy in Corinth (Ac 20:3).

## 1Cor 16:7

Now by the way ( $\alpha \rho \tau \varepsilon v \pi \alpha \rho o \delta \omega$ ). Like our "by the way" ( $\pi \alpha \rho o \delta o \varsigma)$, incidentally.
If the Lord permit ( $\varepsilon \alpha v$ o Kvpıos $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \eta$ ). Condition of the third class. Paul did everything $\varepsilon v$ Kvpı (Cf. Ac 18:21).

1Cor 16:8
Until Pentecost ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma$ Пع $\tau \tau \eta \kappa о \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma)$. He writes them in the spring before pentecost. Apparently the uproar by Demetrius hurried Paul away from Ephesus (Ac 20:1).

## 1Cor 16:9

For a great and effectual door is opened unto me ( $\theta v \rho \alpha \gamma \alpha \rho \mu о \alpha v \varepsilon \omega \tau \gamma \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \kappa \alpha$ $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. Second perfect active indicative of $\alpha v o t \gamma \omega$, to open. Intransitive, stands wide open at last after his years there ( $\mathrm{Ac} 20: 31$ ). A wide open door. What does he mean by $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \eta \varsigma$ ? It is a late word in the Koine. In the papyri a medical receipt has it for "tolerably strong." The form evep $\gamma o s$ in the papyri is used of a mill "in working order," of "tilled land," and of "wrought iron." In the N.T. it occurs in Phm 1:6; Heb 4:12 of "the word of God" as " "ve $\rho \gamma \eta \varsigma$ " (powerful). Paul means that he has at least a great opportunity for work in Ephesus.

And there are many adversaries ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \nu \tau \iota \kappa \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda о$ ). "And many are lying opposed to me," lined up against me. These Paul mentions as a reason for staying in, not for leaving,

Ephesus. Read Ac 19 and see the opposition from Jews and Gentiles with the explosion under the lead of Demetrius. And yet Paul suddenly leaves. He hints of much of which we should like to know more (1Co 15:32; 2Co 1:8f. ).

1Cor 16:10
That he be without fear (iva $\alpha \varphi o \beta \omega \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Evidently he had reason to fear the treatment that Timothy might receive in Corinth as shown in 4:17-21.

1Cor 16:11
For I expect him ( $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha 0 \tau o v$ ). Apparently later Timothy had to return to Ephesus without much success before Paul left and was sent on to Macedonia with Erastus (Ac 19:22 ) and Titus sent to Corinth whom Paul then arranged to meet in Troas (2Co 2:12 ).

1Cor 16:12
And it was not at all his will to come now ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \varsigma$ ovк $\eta v \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha, v \alpha v o v \varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ ). Adversative use of $\kappa \alpha=$ "but." Apollos had left Corinth in disgust over the strife there which involved him and Paul (1Co 1-4). He had had enough of partisan strife over preachers.

1Cor 16:13
Watch ye ( $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma$ о $\varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Stay awake. Late present from $\varepsilon \gamma \rho \eta \gamma \circ \rho \alpha$ second perfect of $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \rho \omega$, to awake.

Quit you like men ( $\alpha v \delta \rho \iota \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon)$. Play the man. Middle voice, show yourselves men. From $\alpha v \eta \rho$, a man.

1Cor 16:15
Ye know (oi $\delta a \tau \varepsilon$ ). Koine form for second perfect indicative used as present of opaw. Parenthetic clause through rest of the verse. Stephanas is mentioned also in 1:16 and in 16:17. For $\alpha \pi \alpha \rho \chi \eta$ see on 15:20,23.

They have set themselves ( $\varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi \alpha v \varepsilon \alpha v \tau 0 u \varsigma)$. Remarkable statement worthy of attention today. This noble family appointed themselves to be ministers to the saints that needed it (the poor and needy). Personal work for Christ is still the only way to win the world for Christ, voluntary personal work. If all Christians did it!

1Cor 16:16
 This is the exhortation begun in verse 15. The family of Stephanas took the lead in good works. Do ye also follow such leaders. This is our great problem today, to find great leaders and many loyal followers. This would solve all church problems, great leadership and great following. Lend a hand.

1Cor 16:17
At the coming ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma i \alpha)$. At the coming here of Stephanas, etc., the very word used of the $\pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma \iota \alpha$ of Christ ( 15:23).

That which was lacking on your part they supplied ( $\tau 0$ v $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \nu$ vбтєр $\mu \alpha$ ovто $\alpha v \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \alpha v)$. Either "these filled up my lack of you" or "these filled up your lack of me." Either makes perfectly good sense and both were true. Which Paul meant we cannot tell.

1Cor 16:18
For they refreshed my spirit and yours ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \pi \alpha v \sigma \alpha v \gamma \alpha \rho$ то $\varepsilon \mu \circ v \pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha$ то $v \mu \omega v$ ). They did both. The very verb used by Jesus in Mt 11:28 for the refreshment offered by him to those who come to him, fellowship with Jesus, and here fellowship with each other.

1Cor 16:19
The churches of Asia ( $\alpha \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma$ A $\sigma \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. True of the Roman province (Ac 10:10,26; Col 1:6; 2:1; 4:13,16 ). The gospel spread rapidly from Ephesus.

With the church that is in their house ( $\sigma \cup v \tau \eta \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ окоо $\alpha \cup \tau \omega v \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ ). Paul had long ago left the synagogue for the school house of Tyrannus (Ac 19:9). But Aquila and Prisca opened their house here for the services. The churches had to meet where they could. Paul had laboured and lived with this family in Corinth (Ac 18:2) and now again in Ephesus (Ac 18:19; 20:34). It was their habit wherever they lived (Ro 16:5).

1Cor 16:20
With a holy kiss ( $\varepsilon v \varphi i \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \iota \omega$ ). In the synagogue men kissed men and women kissed women. This was the Christian custom at a later date and apparently so here. See 1Th 5:26; 2Co 13:12; Ro 3:8; 1Pe 5:14. It seems never to have been promiscuous between the sexes.

1Cor 16:21
 me Paul." The genitive $\Pi \alpha v \lambda o v$ is in apposition with the possessive pronoun $\varepsilon \mu \eta$ which is in the instrumental case just as in 2Th 3:17, the sign in every Epistle. He dictated, but signed at the end. If we only had that signature on that scrap of paper.

1Cor 16:22
Ava $\theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha$. The word seems a bit harsh to us, but the refusal to love Christ (ov $\varphi i \lambda \varepsilon$ ) on the part of a nominal Christian deserves $\alpha v \alpha \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha$ (see on 12:3 for this word). Mapav $\alpha \theta \alpha$. This Aramaic phrase means "Our Lord ( $\mu \alpha \rho \alpha v$ ) cometh ( $\alpha \theta \alpha$ )" or, used as a proleptic perfect, "has come." It seems to be a sort of watchword (cf. 1Th 4:14ff.; Jas 5:7f.; Php 4:5; Re 1:7; 3:11; 22:20 ), expressing the lively hope that the Lord will come. It was a curious blunder in the King James Version that connected Mapav $\alpha \theta a$ with $A v \alpha \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha$.

## SECOND CORINTHIANS

## FROM MACEDONIA A.D. 54 OR 55

## BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

The Pauline authorship is admitted by all real scholars, though there is doubt by some as to the unity of the Epistle. J.H. Kennedy (The Second and Third Letters of St. Paul to the Corinthians, 1900) has presented the arguments in a plausible, but not wholly convincing, manner for the plea that chapters 2Co 10-13 really represent a separate and earlier letter, the one referred to in 2Co 2:3, which was later tacked on to chapters 1-9 as part of the same Epistle. This theory does explain the difference in tone between chapters 1 to 7 and 10 to 13, but that fact is sufficiently clear from the stubborn minority against Paul in Corinth reported by Titus after the majority had been won to Paul by First Corinthians and by Titus (2Co 2:1-11). There are in fact three obvious divisions in the Epistle. Chapters 1 to 7 deal with the report of Titus about the victory in Corinth and Paul's wonderful digression on the glory of the ministry in 2Co 2:12-6:10 ; chapters 8 and 9 discuss the collection for the poor saints in Jerusalem already mentioned in 1Co 16:1f. and which Titus is to press to completion on his return to Corinth; chapters 10 to 13 deal sharply with the Judaizing minority who still oppose Paul's leadership. These three subjects are in no sense inconsistent with each other. The letter is a unity. Nowhere do we gain so clear an insight into Paul's own struggles and hopes as a preacher. It is a handbook for the modern minister of inestimable value. One can hear Paul's heart throb through these chapters. The syntax is often broken by anacolutha. The sentences are sometimes disconnected. Grammatical agreements are overlooked. But there is power here, the grip of a great soul holding on to the highest ideals in the midst of manifold opposition and discouragements. Christ is Master of Paul at every turn.

The date of the Epistle is clearly after I Corinthians, for Paul has left Ephesus and is now in Macedonia (2Co 2:13 ), probably at Philippi, where he met Titus, though he had hoped to meet him at Troas on his return from Corinth. At a guess one may say that Paul wrote in the autumn of A.D. 54 or 55 of the same year in the spring of which he had written I Corinthians, and before he went on to Corinth himself where he wrote Romans (Ac 20:1-3; Ro 16:1).

The occasion for writing is the return of Titus from Corinth with mixed news of the Pauline majority and the minority in opposition. So Titus is sent back with this Epistle to finish the task while Paul waits awhile for matters to clear up (2Co 13:1-10).

It is not certain whether the letter mentioned in 2Co 2:3 is our I Corinthians or a lost letter like the one alluded to in 1Co 5:9. If it is a lost one, we know of four Corinthian Epistles (the one in 1Co 5:9, our I Corinthians, the one in 2Co 2:3, our II Corinthians), assuming the unity of II Corinthians. Few things in Paul's ministry gave him more concern than the
troubles in Corinth. The modern city pastor finds little in his work that Paul has not faced and mastered. There is consolation and courage for the preacher in the conduct and counsels of this greatest of all preachers.

The books on II Corinthians are mainly the same as those on I Corinthians. Some special discussions of II Corinthians deserve mention like Bachmann's Der Zweite Brief des Paulus an die Korinther in the Zahn Kommentar (1909), Barde's Etude sur la epitre aux Cor. (1906), Belser's Der Zweite Brief des Apostels Paulus an die Korinther (1910), Bernard's Second Corinthians in the Expositor's Greek Testament (1903), Denney's II Corinthians in the Expositor's Bible (1911), Farrar's II Corinthians in the Pulpit Commentary (1883), Godet's La seconde epitre aux Corinthiens (1914), Goudge's The Mind of St. Paul in II Cor. (1911), Heinrici's II Kor. in the Meyer Komm. (8th ed., 1900), Heinrici's Das Zweite Sendschreiben des Apostels Paulus an die Kor. (1887), J. H. Kennedy's The Second and Third Letters of St. Paul to the Corinthians (1900), Isaacs's Second Epistle to the Corinthians (1921), Menzies's The Second Epistle to the Corinthians (1912), Parry's II Cor. in Cambridge Greek Testament (1916), Plummer's II Corinthians in Int. Crit. Comm. (1915), Rendall's II Cor., A. T. Robertson's The Glory of the Ministry (II Cor. 2:12--6:10, 1911).

## 2 Corinthians 1

2Cor 1:1
And Timothy ( $\kappa \alpha \mathrm{T} \mu \mathrm{\rho} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{c}$ ). Timothy is with Paul, having been sent on to Macedonia from Ephesus (Ac 19:22). He is in no sense co-author any more than Sosthenes was in 1Co 1:1.

In all Achaia ( $\varepsilon v o \lambda \eta \tau \eta A \chi \alpha \iota \alpha$ ). The Romans divided Greece into two provinces (Achaia and Macedonia). Macedonia included also Illyricum, Epirus, and Thessaly. Achaia was all of Greece south of this (both Attica and the Peloponnesus). The restored Corinth was made the capital of Achaia where the pro-consul resided (Ac 18:12). He does not mention other churches in Achaia outside of the one in Corinth, but only "saints" ( $\alpha$ үוoıc). Athens was in Achaia, but it is not clear that there was as yet a church there, though some converts had been won (Ac 17:34), and there was a church in Cenchreae, the eastern port of Corinth (Ro 16:1). Paul in 2Co 9:2 speaks of Achaia and Macedonia together. His language here would seem to cover the whole ( $\mathrm{o} \lambda \eta$, all) of Achaia in his scope and not merely the environment around Corinth.

2Cor 1:2
Identical with 1Co 1:3 which see.
2Cor 1:3
Blessed ( $\varepsilon \cup \lambda o \gamma \eta \tau o c)$. From old verb $\varepsilon \cup \lambda o \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, to speak well of, but late verbal in LXX and Philo. Used of men in Ge 24:31, but only of God in N.T. as in Lu 1:68 and chiefly in Paul (2Co 11:31; Ro 1:25). Paul has no thanksgiving or prayer as in 1Co 1:4-9, but he finds his basis for gratitude in God, not in them.

The God and Father ( 0 $\theta$ zoc ка $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ ). So rightly, only one article with both substantives as in 2 Pe 1:1. Paul gives the deity of Jesus Christ as our Lord (Kupıov), but he does not hesitate to use the language here as it occurs. See 1Pe 1:3; Eph 1:3 where the language is identical with that here.

The father of mercies (о $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho \tau \omega v$ окктı $\rho \mu \omega v$ ) and God of all comfort ( $\kappa \alpha$ Ө $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \varsigma$ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Paul adds an item to each word. He is the compassionate Father characterized by mercies (oıкть $\mu \omega \nu$, old word from oוктєє $\rho \omega$, to pity, and here in plural, emotions and acts of pity). He is the God of all comfort ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$, old word from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to call to one's side, common with Paul). Paul has already used it of God who gave eternal comfort (2Th 2:16). The English word comfort is from the Latin confortis (brave together). The word used by Jesus of the Holy Spirit as the Comforter or Paraklete is this very word (Joh 14:16; 16:7). Paul makes rich use of the verb таракал $\varepsilon \omega$ and the substantive $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha к \lambda \eta \sigma ı \varsigma ~$ in this passage ( 3-7). He urges all sorrowing and troubled hearts to find strength in God.

2Cor 1:4

In all our affliction $\left(\varepsilon \pi \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \tau \eta \theta \lambda_{\iota} \psi \varepsilon \eta \mu \omega \nu\right)$. $\Theta \lambda_{\iota} \psi \iota \varsigma$ is from $\theta \lambda_{\iota} \beta \omega$, to press, old and common word, as tribulation is from Latin tribulum (roller). See on Mt 13:21 and 1Th 1:6 . The English affliction is Latin afflictio from ad-fligere, to strike on.

That we may be able to comfort ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \delta \nu v \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı v$ ). Purpose clause with $\varepsilon ા \varsigma$ and the articular infinitive with the accusative of general reference, a common idiom. Paul here gives the purpose of affliction in the preacher's life, in any Christian's life, to qualify him for ministry to others. Otherwise it will be professional and perfunctory.

Wherewith ( $\eta \varsigma$ ). Genitive case of the relative attracted to that of the antecedent $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$. The case of the relative here could have been either the accusative $\eta \nu$ with the passive verb retained as in Mr 10:38 or the instrumental $\eta$. Either is perfectly good Greek (cf. Eph 1:6; 4:1 ). Personal experience of God's comfort is necessary before we can pass it on to others.

2Cor 1:5
The sufferings of Christ ( $\tau \alpha \pi \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ тov X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 v$ ). Subjective genitive, Christ's own sufferings.

Abound unto us ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \eta \mu a \varsigma)$. Overflow unto us so that we suffer like sufferings and become fellow sufferers with Christ (4:10f.; Ro 8:17; Php 3:10; Col 1:24 ).

Through Christ ( $\delta ı \alpha$ tov X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o v$ ). The overflow ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ ) of comfort comes also through Christ. Is Paul thinking of how some of the Jewish Christians in Corinth have become reconciled with him through Christ? Partnership with Christ in suffering brings partnership in glory also (Ro 8:17; 1Pe 4:13 ).

2Cor 1:6
Whether ( $\varepsilon เ \tau \varepsilon$ )
--or ( $\varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ ). The alternatives in Paul's experience (afflicted $\theta \lambda_{\iota} \beta \circ \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$, comforted $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda o v \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha)$ work out for their good when they are called on to endure like sufferings "which we also suffer" ( $\omega v \kappa \alpha \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \pi \alpha \sigma \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v$ ). The relative $\omega v$ is attracted from neuter accusative plural $\alpha$ to genitive case of the antecedent $\pi \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ (sufferings).

2Cor 1:7
Our hope for you ( $\eta \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \varsigma \eta \mu \omega \nu v \pi \varepsilon \rho v \mu \omega v$ ). The old word $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \varsigma$, from $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \omega$, to hope, has the idea of waiting with expectation and patience. So here it is "steadfast" ( $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha ı \alpha$, stable, fast, from $\beta \alpha \iota v \omega$, to plant the feet down).

Partakers ( $\kappa о \iota \omega \omega \nu$ ). Partners as in Lu 5:10 .
2Cor 1:8
Concerning our affliction (v $v \varepsilon \rho \tau \eta \varsigma \theta \lambda ı \psi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \eta \mu \omega \nu$ ). Manuscripts read also $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ for in the Koine $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ (over) often has the idea of $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ (around). Paul has laid down his philosophy of afflictions and now he cites a specific illustration in his own recent experience.

In Asia ( $\varepsilon v A \sigma \iota \alpha$ ). Probably in Ephesus, but what it was we do not know whether sickness or peril. We do know that the disciples and the Asiarchs would not allow Paul to face the
mob in the amphitheatre gathered by Demetrius (Ac 20:30f. ). In Ro 16:4 Paul says that Prisca and Aquila laid down their necks for him, risked their very lives for him. It may have been a later plot to kill Paul that hastened his departure from Ephesus (Ac 20:1). He had a trial so great that "we were weighed down exceedingly beyond our power" ( $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} v \pi \varepsilon \rho \beta$ о $\lambda \eta \nu$ $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \delta v v \alpha \mu \nu v \varepsilon \beta \alpha \rho \eta \eta \eta \mu v)$. Old verb from $\beta \alpha \rho o \varsigma$, weight, $\beta a \rho v \varsigma$, weighty. First aorist passive indicative. See on 1Co 12:31 for $\kappa \alpha \theta$ ' vпє $\beta \beta$ о $\eta \nu$ (cf. our hyperbole). It was beyond Paul's power to endure if left to himself.

Insomuch that we despaired even of life ( $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \xi \alpha \pi \circ \rho \eta \theta \eta v \alpha \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma \kappa \alpha$ тov $\lceil\eta \iota)$ ) Usual clause of result with $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ and the infinitive. First aorist passive infinitive $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \pi \sigma \rho \eta \theta \eta \nu \alpha$, late compound for utter despair (perfective use of $\varepsilon \xi$ and at a complete loss, a privative and $\pi о \rho о \varsigma$, way). There seemed no way out.

Of life ( $\tau 0 v \zeta \eta \iota v)$. Ablative case of the articular infinitive, of living.
2Cor 1:9
Yea ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ). Confirmatory use as in 7:11, rather than adversative.
The answer of death ( $\tau о \alpha \pi о к \rho ц \mu \alpha$ tov $\theta \alpha v a \tau o v)$ This late word from $\alpha \pi о к \rho เ v o \mu \alpha$, to reply, occurs nowhere else in N.T., but is in Josephus, Polybius, inscriptions and papyri (Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 257; Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary), and always in the sense of decision or judgment rendered. But Vulgate renders it by responsum and that idea suits best here, unless Paul conceives God as rendering the decision of death.

We ourselves have had within ourselves ( $\alpha \cup \tau о \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o เ \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \chi \eta \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Regular perfect of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, to have. And still have the vivid recollection of that experience. For this lively dramatic use of the present perfect indicative for a past experience see also $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \eta \kappa \alpha$ in 2:13 (Moulton, Prolegomena, p. 143f.; Robertson, Grammar, p. 896f.).

That we should not trust in ourselves (ıva $\mu \eta \pi \varepsilon \pi \circ$ Өот $\left.\varsigma \varsigma \omega \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau o ı \varsigma\right)$. A further purpose of God in affliction beyond that in verse 4. "This dreadful trial was sent to him in order to give him a precious spiritual lesson ( 12:7-10)" (Robertson and Plummer). Note periphrastic perfect active subjunctive of $\pi \varepsilon ו \theta \omega$, to persuade.

In ( $\varepsilon \pi$ ), upon, both ourselves and God.
2Cor 1:10
Out of so great a death ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \lambda ı к о v \tau o v ~ \theta a v a \tau o v)$. He had considered himself as good as dead.

Delivered (عрибатo)
--will deliver ( $\rho v \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Old verb $\rho v \omega$, middle, $\rho v o \mu \alpha$, draw oneself, as out of a pit, rescue. So Paul faces death without fear.

On whom we have set our hope ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ov $\eta \lambda \pi \iota \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \omega$. We still have that hope, emphasized by $\varepsilon \tau$ рטб\&та (he will still deliver).

2Cor 1:11

Ye also helping together on our behalf ( $\sigma v v v \pi о \cup \rho \gamma о v \nu \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha v \mu \omega \nu v \pi \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute with present active participle of late compound verb ( $\sigma v \nu$ and $v \pi \sigma \cup \rho \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ for $v \pi o$ and $\varepsilon \rho \gamma o v)$. Paul relied on God and felt the need of the prayer of God's people.

By means of many ( $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \omega v$ ). П $\rho о \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \nu$ means face ( $\pi \rho o \sigma, o \pi \varsigma)$. The word is common in all Greek. The papyri use it for face, appearance, person. It occurs twelve times in II Corinthians. It certainly means face in eight of them (3:7,13,18; 8:24; 10:1,7; 11:20 ). In 5:12 it means outward appearance. It may mean face or person here, 2:10; 4:6. It is more pictorial to take it here as face "that out of many upturned faces" thanks may be given ( $v \alpha--\varepsilon v \chi \alpha \rho เ \sigma \tau \eta \theta \eta$ first aorist passive subjunctive) for the gift to us by means of many ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ $\pi o \lambda \lambda o v)$. It is indeed a difficult sentence to understand.

2Cor 1:12
Glorying (каvхпбıৎ). Act of glorying, while in verse $14 \kappa \alpha v \chi \eta \mu \alpha$ is the thing boasted of.

The testimony of our conscience ( $\tau 0 \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \iota \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \sigma v v \varepsilon \iota \delta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \eta \mu \omega v$ ). In apposition with каvхךбıs.
 kind of righteousness. So the God-kind (genitive case) of sincerity. Late word from $\varepsilon ı \lambda ı \kappa \rho ı \nu \eta \varsigma$. See on 1Co 5:8 .
 $\sigma \alpha \rho к ı к о \varsigma$ five times and it occurs only twice elsewhere in N.T. See on 1Co 3:3.

We behaved ourselves ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist passive indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, old verb, to turn back, to turn back and forth, to walk. Here the passive is used as in late Greek as if middle.

More abundantly to you-ward ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \circ \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega \varsigma \pi \rho \circ \varsigma v \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. They had more abundant opportunity to observe how scrupulous Paul was (Ac 18:11).

2Cor 1:13
Than what ye read ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \eta \alpha \alpha v \alpha \gamma \iota \omega \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Note comparative conjunction $\eta$ (than) after $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ and that after $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ (other things, same word in reality), "other than." Read in Greek ( $\alpha \nu \alpha \nmid \imath \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ ) is knowing again, recognizing. See on Ac 8:30 .

Or even acknowledge ( $\eta \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Paul is fond of such a play on words ( $\alpha \vee \alpha \nmid \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon, \varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ) or paronomasia. Does he mean "read between the lines," as we say, by the use of $\varepsilon \pi$ (additional knowledge)?

Unto the end ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \circ \cup \varsigma)$. The report of Titus showed that the majority now at last understood Paul. He hopes that it will last (1Co 1:8).

2Cor 1:14
As also ye did acknowledge us in part ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \tau \varepsilon \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma \alpha \pi \sigma \mu \varepsilon \rho о \nu \varsigma)$. Gracious acknowledgment (second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \downarrow \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ ) to the original Pauline party
(1Co $1: 12 ; 3: 4$ ) that he had seemed to care so little for them. And now in his hour of victory he shows that, if he is their ground of glorying, they are his also (cf. 1Th 2:19f.; Php 2:16).

2Cor 1:15
Confidence ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \sigma เ \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ ). This late word (LXX Philo, Josephus) is condemned by the Atticists, but Paul uses it a half dozen times ( 3:4 also).

I was minded to come ( $\varepsilon \beta 0 \cup \lambda$ o $\mu \eta \nu \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon เ \nu)$. Imperfect, I was wishing to come, picturing his former state of mind.

Before unto you ( $\pi \rho о \tau \varepsilon \rho о \nu \pi \rho о \varsigma \nu \mu \propto \varsigma)$. This was his former plan ( $\pi \rho о \tau \varepsilon \rho о v$ ) while in Ephesus to go to Achaia directly from Ephesus. This he confesses in verse 16 "and by you to pass into Macedonia."

That ye might have a second benefit ( $\downarrow \alpha \alpha \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha v \chi \alpha \rho ı v \sigma \chi \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Or second "joy" if we accept $\chi \alpha \rho a v$ with Westcott and Hort. This would be a real second blessing (or joy) if they should have two visits from Paul.

2Cor 1:16
And again ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \lambda \iota v$ ). This would have been the second benefit or joy. But he changed his plans and did not make that trip directly to Corinth, but came on to Macedonia first (Ac 19:21; 20:1f.; 1Co 16:2; 2Co 2:12 ).

To be set forward by you ( $\left.v \varphi^{\prime} \nu \mu \omega \nu \pi \rho о \pi \varepsilon \mu \varphi \theta \eta \nu \alpha\right)$. First aorist passive infinitive of $\pi \rho о \pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega$. Paul uses this same verb in Ro 15:24 for the same service by the Roman Christians on his proposed trip to Spain. The Corinthians, especially the anti-Pauline party, took advantage of Paul's change of plans to criticize him sharply for vacillation and flippancy. How easy it is to find fault with the preacher! So Paul has to explain his conduct.

2Cor 1:17
Did I shew fickleness? ( $\mu \eta \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \varphi \rho ı \alpha ı$ ?). An indignant negative answer is called for by $\mu \eta \tau$. The instrumental case of $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \varphi \rho i \alpha$ is regular after $\varepsilon \chi \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \eta \nu$ from $\chi \rho \alpha o \mu \alpha$, to use. $\mathrm{E} \lambda \alpha \varphi \rho$ ) is a late word for levity from the old adjective, $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \varphi \rho \circ \varsigma$, light, agile (2Co 10:17; Mt 11:30 ). Here only in N.T.

Purpose ( $\beta$ ou $\lambda \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha$ ). Paul raises the question of fickleness about any of his plans.
Yea yea ( $\mathrm{N} \alpha \sim \alpha$ )
--nay nay (ov ov). See a similar repetition in Mt 5:37. It is plain in Jas 5:12 where "the yea" is "yea" and "the nay" is "nay." That seems to be Paul's meaning here, "that the Yea may be yea and the Nay may be nay."

2Cor 1:18
Is not yea and nay ( $о \boldsymbol{\text { e }}$ عбтıv va ка ov). He is not a Yes and No man, saying Yes and meaning or acting No. Paul calls God to witness on this point.

2Cor 1:19
Was not Yea and Nay (ouk e $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \frac{v}{}$ va ou). "Did not become Yes and No."

But in him is yea ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha N \alpha \varepsilon v \alpha \nu \tau \omega \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \varepsilon v$ ). Rather, "But in him Yes has become yes," has proved true. So Paul appeals to the life of Christ to sustain his own veracity.

2Cor 1:20
In him is the yea ( $\varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \omega \tau 0 \mathrm{Na}$ ). Supply $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma o v \varepsilon v$ from the preceding sentence, "In him was the Yea come true." This applies to all God's promises.

The Amen ( $\tau 0 \mathrm{~A} \mu \eta \nu$ ). In public worship (1Co 14:16).
2Cor 1:21
Establishes $(\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \iota \omega v)$. Present active participle from $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \iota o \varsigma$, firm. An apt metaphor in Corinth where confirmation of a bargain often took place ( $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \iota \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma$ ) as Deissmann shows (Bible Studies, p. 109) and as verse 22 makes plain.

Anointed ( $\chi \rho \iota \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. From $\chi \rho \iota \omega$, to anoint, old verb, to consecrate, with the Holy Spirit here as in 1Jo 2:20.

2Cor 1:22
Sealed us ( $\sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \sigma \varsigma \eta \mu \propto)$. From $\sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \zeta \omega$ old verb, common in LXX and papyri for setting a seal to prevent opening (Da 6:17) , in place of signature (1Ki 21:18). Papyri examples show a wide legal use to give validity to documents, to guarantee genuineness of articles as sealing sacks and chests, etc. (Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 238; Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary).

The earnest of the Spirit ( $\tau 0 \nu \alpha \rho \rho \alpha \beta \omega \nu \alpha$ 就 $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma)$. A word of Semitic origin (possibly Phoenician) and spelled both $\alpha \rho \alpha \beta \omega \nu$ and $\alpha \rho \rho \alpha \beta \omega \nu$. It is common in the papyri as earnest money in a purchase for a cow or for a wife (a dowry). In N.T. only here; 5:5; Eph 1:14. It is part payment on the total obligation and we use the very expression today, "earnest money." It is God, says Paul, who has done all this for us and God is Paul's pledge that he is sincere. He will come to Corinth in due time. This earnest of the Spirit in our hearts is the witness of the Spirit that we are God's.

2Cor 1:23
But I call God for a witness upon my soul ( $\mathrm{E} \gamma \omega \delta \varepsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \alpha \tau o v \theta \varepsilon o v \varepsilon \pi \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda o v \mu \alpha \varepsilon \pi$ $\tau \eta v \varepsilon \mu \eta \nu \psi v \chi \eta v)$. Solemn attestation, "calling heaven to witness is frequent in literature from Homer onwards" (Plummer). Thus God is described above (cf. 1Th 2:5,10; Ro 1:9; Ga 1:20; Php 1:8).

To spare you ( $\varphi \varepsilon \iota \delta о \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Present middle participle (causal rather than final) of $\varphi \varepsilon \iota \delta o \mu \alpha$, old verb, to hold back, to spare. Ablative case $\nu \mu \omega \nu$.

2Cor 1:24
We have lordship over (кирєєионєv). Old verb from кирьоя, to be lord of or over. See Lu 22:25 .

Helpers of your joy ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \begin{gathered} \\ \tau\end{gathered} \varsigma \chi \alpha \rho \alpha \varsigma ~ v \mu \omega v$ ). Co-workers (1Co 3:8) in your joy. A delicate correction to present misapprehension ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \nu 0 \rho \theta \omega \sigma \iota)$.

## 2 Corinthians 2

2Cor 2:1
That I would not come again to you with sorrow ( $\tau 0 \mu \eta \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \varepsilon \nu \lambda \nu \pi \eta \pi \rho o \varsigma v \mu \alpha \varsigma$ $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon ı v)$. Articular second aorist active infinitive with negative $\mu \eta$ in apposition with tov $\frac{1}{}$ (this) preceding. What does Paul mean by "again" ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota v$ )? Had he paid another visit besides that described in Ac 18 which was in sorrow ( $\varepsilon \nu \lambda \nu \pi \eta)$ ? Or does he mean that having had one joyful visit (that in Ac 18 ) he does not wish the second one to be in sorrow? Either interpretation is possible as the Greek stands and scholars disagree. So in 12:14 "The third time I am ready to come" may refer to the proposed second visit (1:15f. ) and the present plan (a third). And so as to 13:1. There is absolutely no way to tell clearly whether Paul had already made a second visit. If he had done so, it is a bit odd that he did not plainly say so in $1: 15$ f. when he is apologizing for not having made the proposed visit ("a second benefit").

2Cor 2:2
Who then? (ка тוб?). For this use of ка see on Mr 10:26; Joh 9:36. The ка accepts the condition (first class $\varepsilon เ--\lambda \cup \pi \omega$ ) and shows the paradox that follows. $\Lambda v \pi \varepsilon \omega$ is old word from $\lambda \cup \pi \eta$ (sorrow) in causative sense, to make sorry.

Maketh glad ( $\varepsilon \cup \varphi \rho a \iota v \omega v$ ). Present active participle of old word from $\varepsilon v$, well, and $\varphi \rho \eta \nu$, mind, to make joyful, causative idea like $\lambda \cup \pi \varepsilon \omega$.

2Cor 2:3
I wrote this very thing ( $\varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha$ тоvтo $\alpha \cup \tau 0$ ). Is this (and $\varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha$ in verses $4,9,12$ ) the epistolary aorist referring to the present letter? In itself that is possible as the epistolary aorist does occur in the N.T. as in 8:18; 9:3 (Robertson, Grammar, p. 854f.). If not epistolary aorist as seems improbable from the context and from $7: 8-12$, to what Epistle does he refer? To 1 Co 5 or to a lost letter? It is possible, of course, that, when Paul decided not to come to Corinth, he sent a letter. The language that follows in verses 3,4; 7:8-12 can hardly apply to I Corinthians.

Should have sorrow ( $\lambda \nu \pi \eta \nu \sigma \chi \omega$ ). Second aorist (ingressive) active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, should get sorrow, after $\tau \nu \alpha \mu \eta$ negative final particles.

From them of whom ( $\alpha \varphi^{\prime} \omega v$ ). Antecedent omitted, $\alpha \pi 0$ tov $\tau \nu \alpha \varphi^{\prime} \omega \nu$ (from those from whom).

I ought ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect for unrealized present obligation as often and like English.
Having confidence ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \sigma \bullet \theta \omega \varsigma)$. Second perfect active participle of $\pi \varepsilon \iota \theta \omega$ ( 1:9).
2Cor 2:4
Anguish ( $\sigma \cup v \circ \chi \eta \varsigma$ ). Ablative case after $\varepsilon \kappa$ (out of). Old word from $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \chi \omega$, to hold together. So contraction of heart (Cicero, contractio animi), a spiritual angina pectoris. In N.T. only here and Lu 21:25.

With many tears ( $\delta 1 \alpha \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega v \delta \alpha \kappa \rho v \omega v)$. He dictated that letter "through tears" (accompanied by tears). Paul was a man of heart. He writes to the Philippians with weeping ( $\kappa \lambda \alpha \omega \omega v$ ) over the enemies of the Cross of Christ (Php 3:18). He twice mentions his tears in his speech at Miletus (Ac 20:19-31).

But that ye might know the love ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau \eta \nu \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \nu$ iva $\gamma \nu \omega \tau \varepsilon$ ). Proleptic position of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \nu$ and ingressive second aorist active subjunctive $\gamma \nu \omega \tau \varepsilon$, come to know.

2Cor 2:5
If any ( $\varepsilon \tau$ тৎ). Scholars disagree whether Paul refers to 1Co 5:1 , where he also employs $\tau \iota \sigma, \tau o เ o v \tau o \varsigma$, and $\Sigma a \tau \alpha v a \varsigma$ as here, or to the ringleader of the opposition to him. Either view is possible. In both cases Paul shows delicacy of feeling by not mentioning the name.

But in part ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha \pi \sigma \mu \varepsilon \rho o v \varsigma)$ ) "But to some extent to you all." The whole Corinthian Church has been injured in part by this man's wrongdoing. There is a parenthesis ( that I press not too heavily, $v \alpha \mu \eta \varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \alpha \rho \omega)$ that interrupts the flow of ideas. E $\pi \iota \beta \alpha \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to put a burden on ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota, \beta \alpha \rho \circ \varsigma)$, is a late word, only in Paul in N.T. (here and 1Th 2:9; 2Th 3:8). He does not wish to give pain by too severe language.

2Cor 2:6
Punishment ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \iota \mu \alpha)$. Late word for old Greek to $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \iota \mu \circ \circ$ (so papyri), from $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \mu \mu \omega$, to show honour to, to award, to adjudge penalty. Only here in N.T.

By the many ( $v \pi \sigma \tau \omega v \pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma \omega v$ ). By the more, the majority. If Paul refers to the case in 1Co 5 , they had taken his advice and expelled the offender.

2Cor 2:7
So that on the contrary ( $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ touvavitov). The natural result expressed by $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ and the infinitive. Touvavtiov is by crasis for to $\varepsilon v a v \tau i o v$ and accusative of general reference.

Rather ( $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$ ). Absent in some MSS.
Lest by any means ( $\mu \eta \pi \omega \varsigma$ ). Negative purpose.
Swallowed up (каталоӨף). First aorist passive subjunctive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi เ v \omega$, to drink down (1Co 15:54).

With his overmuch sorrow ( $\tau \eta \pi \varepsilon \rho \prime \sigma \sigma \circ \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \lambda \cup \pi \eta$ ). Instrumental case, "by the more abundant sorrow" (comparative of adjective $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \circ \varsigma)$.

2Cor 2:8
To confirm ( $\kappa v \rho \omega \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive of old verb $\kappa v \rho о \omega$, to make valid, to ratify, from кироц (head, authority). In N.T. only here and Ga 3:15.

2Cor 2:9
That I might know the proof of you ( $\llcorner\alpha \alpha \nu \omega \tau \eta \nu \delta о \kappa \mu \eta \nu \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Ingressive second aorist active subjunctive, come to know. $\Delta$ окц $\quad$ is proof by testing. Late word from $\delta о к \mu о \varsigma$, and is in Dioscorides, medical writer in reign of Hadrian. Earliest use in Paul and only in him in N.T. (2Co 2:9; 8:2; 9:13; 13:3; Ro 5:4; Php 2:22 ).
 2:9; Php 2:8; Ac 7:39 ).

2Cor 2:10
In the person of Christ ( $\varepsilon v \pi \rho o \sigma \omega \pi \omega$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o v$ ). More exactly, "in the presence of Christ," before Christ, in the face of Christ. Cf. $\varepsilon v \omega \pi \iota o v$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v(4: 2)$ in the eye of God, $\varepsilon v \omega \pi \iota o v$ Kupıov ( 8:21).

2Cor 2:11
That no advantage may be gained over us ( $\imath \alpha \alpha \mu \eta \pi \lambda \varepsilon o v \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \theta \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu)$. First aorist passive subjunctive after $\iota v \alpha \mu \eta$ (negative purpose) of $\pi \lambda \varepsilon o v \varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon \omega$, old verb from $\pi \lambda \varepsilon$ оvєк $\tau \eta \varsigma$, a covetous man (1Co 5:10f. ), to take advantage of, to gain, to overreach. In N.T. only in 1Th 4:6; 2Co 2:11; 7:2; 12:17f. "That we may not be overreached by Satan."

His devices ( $\alpha v \tau 0 v \tau \alpha$ voך $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Noך $\mu \alpha$ from voc $\omega$ to use the vovc is old word, especially for evil plans and purposes as here.

2Cor 2:12
To Troas ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \mathrm{T} \rho \omega \iota \alpha \delta \alpha)$. Luke does not mention this stop at Troas on the way from Ephesus to Macedonia (Ac 20:1f. ), though he does mention two other visits there (Ac 16:8; 20:6 ).

When a door was opened unto me ( $\theta v \rho a \varsigma \mu \circ \alpha v \varepsilon \omega \iota \gamma \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute with second perfect passive participle of $\alpha v o r \gamma v v \mu$. Paul used this very metaphor in 1Co 16:9. He will use it again in Col 4:3. Here was an open door that he could not enter.

2Cor 2:13
I had no relief (ouk $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \eta \kappa \alpha \alpha \nu \varepsilon \sigma \iota v$ ). Perfect active indicative like that in $1: 9$, vivid dramatic recital, not to be treated as "for" the aorist (Robertson, Grammar, p. 896, 898ff.). He still feels the shadow of that restlessness. Avعбıc, from $\alpha \nu ı \eta \mu$, to let up, to hold back, is old word for relaxing or release (Ac 24:34).

For my spirit ( $\tau \omega \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \mu 0 v$ ). Dative of interest.
Because I found not Titus ( $\tau \omega \mu \eta \varepsilon v \rho \varepsilon \iota v \mu \varepsilon$ Tıтov). Instrumental case of the articular infinitive with negative $\mu \eta$ and accusative of general reference $\mu \varepsilon$, "by the not finding Titus as to me."

Taking my leave of them ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \tau \alpha \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau o \iota \varsigma)$. First aorist middle participle of $\alpha \pi o \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, old verb, to set apart, in middle in late Greek to separate oneself, to bid adieu to as in Mr 6:46.

2Cor 2:14
But thanks be unto God ( $\tau \omega \delta \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \omega \chi \alpha \rho ı \varsigma)$. Sudden outburst of gratitude in contrast to the previous dejection in Troas. Surely a new paragraph should begin here. In point of fact Paul makes a long digression from here to $6: 10$ on the subject of the Glory of the Christian Ministry as Bachmann points out in his Kommentar (p. 124), only he runs it from 2:12-7:1 (Aus der Tiefe in die Hohe, Out of the Depths to the Heights). We can be grateful
for this emotional outburst, Paul's rebound of joy on meeting Titus in Macedonia, for it has given the world the finest exposition of all sides of the Christian ministry in existence, one that reveals the wealth of Paul's nature and his mature grasp of the great things in service for Christ. See my The Glory of the Ministry (An Exposition of II Cor. 2:12-6:10).

Always ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau 0 \tau \varepsilon$ ). The sense of present triumph has blotted out the gloom at Troas.
Leadeth in triumph ( $\theta \rho \stackrel{\alpha}{ } \mu \beta \varepsilon v o v \tau$ ). Late common Koine word from $\theta \rho ı \mu \mu$ os (Latin triumphus, a hymn sung in festal processions to Bacchus). Verbs in $-\varepsilon v \omega$ (like $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon v \omega$, to make disciples) may be causative, but no example of $\theta \rho ı \alpha \mu \beta \varepsilon v \omega$ has been found with this meaning. It is always to lead in triumph, in papyri sometimes to make a show of. Picture here is of Paul as captive in God's triumphal procession.

The savour ( $\tau \eta v o \sigma \mu \eta v$ ). In a Roman triumph garlands of flowers scattered sweet odour and incense bearers dispensed perfumes. The knowledge of God is here the aroma which Paul had scattered like an incense bearer.

2Cor 2:15
A sweet savour of Christ (Xpıбтov $\varepsilon v \omega \delta \iota \alpha)$. Old word from $\varepsilon v$, well, and $o \zeta \omega$, to smell. In N.T. only here and Php 4:18; Eph 5:2 . In spreading the fragrance of Christ the preacher himself becomes fragrant (Plummer).

In them that are perishing ( $\varepsilon v$ тоı $a \pi о \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \varepsilon v o \iota \varsigma)$. Even in these if the preacher does his duty.

2Cor 2:16
From death unto death ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ Өavazov $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \theta a v a \tau o v)$ ). From one evil condition to another. Some people are actually hardened by preaching.

And who is sufficient for these things? (ка лроц таита тıৎ ıкаvоб?). Rhetorical question. In himself no one is. But some one has to preach Christ and Paul proceeds to show that he is sufficient.

For we are not as the many ( $o v \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \varsigma$ o $\pi о \lambda \lambda o$ ). A bold thing to say, but necessary and only from God ( $3: 6$ ).

2Cor 2:17
Corrupting (калп $\lambda \varepsilon v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Old word from калп $\lambda$ о $\varsigma$, a huckster or peddlar, common in all stages of Greek for huckstering or trading. It is curious how hucksters were suspected of corrupting by putting the best fruit on top of the basket. Note Paul's solemn view of his relation to God as a preacher (from God $\varepsilon \kappa \theta \varepsilon o v$,
in the sight of God кatevavt $\theta \varepsilon o v$,
in Christ $\varepsilon v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega)$.

## 2 Corinthians 3

2Cor 3:1
To commend ourselves? (عavтouc $\sigma u v i \sigma \tau \alpha v \varepsilon ı v ?$ ). Late (Koine) form of $\sigma v v i \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, to place one with another, to introduce, to commend. Paul is sensitive over praising himself, though his enemies compelled him to do it.

Epistles of commendation ( $\sigma \cup \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \omega v \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda \omega v$ ). Late verbal adjective from $\sigma \cup v \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ and often in the papyri and in just this sense. In the genitive case here after $\chi \rho \eta \downharpoonright \zeta \rho \mu \varepsilon$. Such letters were common as seen in the papyri (Deissmann, Light from the Ancient East, p. 226). N.T. examples of commending individuals by letters occur in Ac 15:25f.; 18:27 (Apollos), 1Co 16:10f. (Timothy); Ro 16:1 (Phoebe with the verb $\sigma u v i \sigma \tau \eta \mu) ; ~ C o l ~ 4: 10 ~(M a r k) ; ~ 2 C o ~$ 8:22f. (Titus and his companion).

2Cor 3:2
Ye are our epistle ( $\eta \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda \eta \eta \mu \omega \nu \nu \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon)$. Bold turn. Paul was writing in their hearts.

Known and read ( $\gamma \iota \omega \omega \sigma \kappa о \mu \varepsilon v \eta \kappa \alpha \alpha v \alpha \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \circ \mu \varepsilon v \eta)$. Play on the word. Literally true. Professing Christians are the Bible that men read and know.

2Cor 3:3
An epistle of Christ ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o v$ ). He turns the metaphor round and round. They are Christ's letter to men as well as Paul's.

Not with ink (ov $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha v$ ). Instrumental case of $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \varsigma$, black. Plato uses to $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha v$ for ink as here. See also 2Jo 1:12; 3Jo 1:13.

Of stone ( $\lambda_{\imath} \theta_{\imath}$ aıı). Composed of stone ( $\lambda_{\imath} \theta_{1}$ oc and ending -ıvoc).
Of flesh (баркıvaıя). "Fleshen" as in 1Co 3:1; Ro 7:14 .
2Cor 3:4
Through Christ ( $\delta ı \alpha$ tov Xpıбтov). It is not self-conceit on Paul's part, but through Christ.

2Cor 3:5
Of ourselves ( $\alpha \varphi^{\prime} \varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Starting from ourselves (reflexive pronoun).
As from ourselves ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \alpha \cup \tau \omega v$ ). He says it over again with preposition $\varepsilon \xi$ (out of). He has no originating power for such confidence.

Sufficiency (ıкаvoтףऽ). Old word, only here in N.T.
2Cor 3:6
Who also made us sufficient for such confidence ( $о \varsigma \kappa \alpha ~ \kappa \kappa \alpha v \omega \sigma \varepsilon \vee \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. Late causative verb from וкаvoৎ (verse 5) first aorist active indicative, "who (God) rendered us fit." In N.T. only here and Col 1:12.

As ministers of a new covenant ( $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa о \nu о \cup \varsigma ~ к а ı \nu \eta \varsigma \delta ı \theta \eta \kappa \eta \varsigma)$. Predicate accusative
 (fresh and effective) on $\operatorname{Lu}$ 5:38. Only God can make us that.

2Cor 3:7
Of death ( $\tau 0 v$ Өavatov). Subjective genitive, marked by death in its outcome (cf. 1Co 15:56; Ga 3:10). The letter kills.

Engraven on stones $\left(\varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \tau \nu \pi \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \lambda_{ı} \theta o t \varsigma\right)$. Perfect passive participle of $\varepsilon \nu \tau \nu \pi o \omega$, late verb, to imprint a figure (титоৎ). Used by Aristias (67) of the "inlaid" work on the table sent by Ptolemy Philadelphus to Jerusalem. $\Lambda$ ı $\theta$ oıs in locative case.

Came with glory $(\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \vee \eta \theta \eta \varepsilon \vee \delta o \xi \eta)$. In glory. As it did, condition of first class, assumed as true. See Ex 34:29,35 .

Look steadfastly ( $\alpha \tau \varepsilon \nu\llcorner\sigma \alpha$ ). Late verb from $\alpha \tau \varepsilon \nu \eta \varsigma$ (stretched, intent, $\tau \varepsilon เ \nu \omega$ and $\alpha$ intensive) as in $\operatorname{Lu} 4: 20$; Ac 3:4 .

Was passing away ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \gamma о \cup \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \nu)$. Late verb, to render of no effect, and present passive participle here as in 1Co 2:6 .

2Cor 3:8
How shall not rather? ( $\pi \omega \varsigma$ ovर $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$ ?). Argumentum a minore ad majus (from the less to the greater).

Of the spirit ( $\tau 0 v \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \sigma \varsigma)$. Marked by the spirit. Picture of the Christian ministry now.

2Cor 3:9
Of condemnation ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \rho \iota \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Genitive, that brings condemnation because unable to obey the law.

Is glory $\left(\delta_{o} \xi \alpha\right)$. No copula, but makes the figure bolder. Paul freely admits the glory for the old dispensation.

Of righteousness ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \delta ı \kappa \propto \iota \sigma \cup \nu \eta \varsigma)$. Marked by and leading to righteousness. See 11:15.
Much more ( $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$ ). Instrumental case, by much more.
Exceed ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon)$. Overflow.
2Cor 3:10
In this respect ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \omega \tau \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$ ). The glory on the face of Moses was temporary, though real, and passed away (verse 7), a type of the dimming of the glory of the old dispensation by the brightness of the new. The moon makes a dim light after the sun rises, "is not glorified" (ov $\delta \varepsilon \delta o \xi \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha$, perfect passive indicative of $\delta o \xi \alpha \zeta \omega$ ).

By reason of the glory that surpasseth ( $\varepsilon เ \nu \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \varsigma v \pi \varepsilon \rho \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda о v \sigma \eta \varsigma \delta o \xi \eta \varsigma)$. The surpassing (v $\varepsilon \varepsilon \rho-\beta a \lambda \lambda \omega$, throwing beyond) glory. Christ as the Sun of Righteousness has thrown Moses in the shade. Cf. the claims of superiority by Christ in Mt 5-7 .

2Cor 3:11
Passeth away ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \gamma о \cup \mu \varepsilon \nu о v)$. In process of disappearing before the gospel of Christ.

Remaineth ( $\mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). The new ministry is permanent. This claim may be recommended to those who clamour for a new religion. Christianity is still alive and is not dying. Note also $\varepsilon v \delta o \xi \eta$, in glory, in contrast with $\delta \iota \alpha \delta_{0} \xi \eta \varsigma$, with glory.

Boldness ( $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ ). Instrumental case after $\chi \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$. Old word, $\pi \alpha v \rho \eta \sigma \iota \sigma=\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota$, , telling it all, absolute unreservedness. Surely Paul has kept nothing back here, no mental reservations, in this triumphant claim of superiority.

2Cor 3:13
Put a veil upon his face ( $\varepsilon \tau 1 \theta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \mu \mu \alpha \varepsilon \pi$ то $\pi \rho о \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \nu \alpha v \tau o v)$. Imperfect active of $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, used to put (Ex 34:33).

That the children of Israel should not look steadfastly ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ тo $\mu \eta$ $\alpha \tau \varepsilon v i \sigma \alpha$ tovs viouc). Purpose expressed by $\pi \rho o \varsigma$ and the articular infinitive with negative $\mu \eta$ and the accusative of general reference. The Authorized Version had a wrong translation here as if to hide the glory on his face.

2Cor 3:14
But their minds were hardened ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \varepsilon \pi \omega \rho \omega \theta \eta \tau \alpha$ von $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Their thoughts (voquata) literally. П $\omega \rho 0 \omega$ (first aorist passive indicative here) is late verb from $\pi \omega \rho o \varsigma$, hard skin, to cover with thick skin (callus), to petrify. See on Mr 6:52; 8:17 .

Of the old covenant ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha \varsigma \delta \iota \theta \eta \kappa \eta \varsigma)$. The Old Testament. Пa入 $\alpha \circ \varsigma$ (ancient) in contrast to kaıvos (fresh, verse 6). See Mt 13:52 .

The same veil (то avто $\kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \mu \mu \alpha$ ). Not that identical veil, but one that has the same effect, that blinds their eyes to the light in Christ. This is the tragedy of modern Judaism.

Unlifted ( $\mu \eta \alpha v \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \pi \tau o \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Present passive participle of $\alpha v \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \pi \tau \omega$, old verb, to draw back the veil, to unveil.

Is done away (катарүعita). Same verb as in verses 7,11.
2Cor 3:15
Whensoever Moses is read ( $\eta \nu ı \kappa \alpha \alpha v \alpha v \alpha \gamma เ v \omega \sigma \kappa \eta \tau \alpha$ M $\omega v \sigma \eta \varsigma$ ). Indefinite temporal clause with $\eta$ vika an and the present passive subjunctive.

A veil lieth upon their heart ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta v \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega v \kappa \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ ). Vivid and distressing picture, a fact that caused Paul agony of heart (Ro 9:1-5). With wilful blindness the rabbis set aside the word of God by their tradition in the time of Jesus (Mr 7:8f. ).

2Cor 3:16
It shall turn ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon)$. The heart of Israel.
The veil is taken away ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \alpha \iota \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ то $\kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \mu \mu \alpha$ ). Present passive indicative of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \alpha \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to take from around, as of anchors (Ac 27:40), to cut loose (Ac 28:13), for hope to be taken away (Ac 27:20). Here Paul has in mind Ex 34:34 where we find of Moses that $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \eta\llcorner\varepsilon \varepsilon \tau т о ~ т о ~ к а \lambda \nu \mu \mu \alpha$ (the veil was taken from around his face) whenever he went before the Lord. After the ceremony the veil is taken from around ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho t-)$ the face of the bride.

2Cor 3:17

Now the Lord is the Spirit (o $\delta \varepsilon$ Kvpıo $\tau$ тo $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \varepsilon \sigma \tau i v$ ). Some, like E. F. Scott (The Spirit in the N.T.), take Kupıoc here to be Christ and interpret Paul as denying the personality of the Holy Spirit, identifying Christ and the Holy Spirit. But is not Bernard right here in taking Kıpıos (Lord) in the same sense here as in Ex $34: 34$ ( $\varepsilon v a v \tau$ Kvpıov, before the Lord), the very passage that Paul is quoting? Certainly, the Holy Spirit is interchangeably called in the N.T. the Spirit of God and the Spirit of Christ (Ro 8:9f. ). Christ dwells in us by the Holy Spirit, but the language here in 2Co 3:17 should not be pressed unduly (Plummer. See also P. Gardner, The Religious Experience of St. Paul, p. 176f.). Note "the Spirit of the Lord" here.

Liberty ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \cup \theta \varepsilon \rho / \alpha)$. Freedom of access to God without fear in opposition to the fear in Ex 34:30. We need no veil and we have free access to God.

2Cor 3:18
We all ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. All of us Christians, not merely ministers.
With unveiled face ( $\alpha v \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \mu \mu \varepsilon v \omega \pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \omega)$. Instrumental case of manner. Unlike and like Moses.

Reflecting as in a mirror (католт $\rho \zeta \rho \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present middle participle of като $\tau \tau \rho \zeta \omega$, late verb from катопт $\rho \boldsymbol{v}$, mirror ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$, опт $\rho о v$, a thing to see with). In Philo (Legis Alleg. iii. 33) the word means beholding as in a mirror and that idea suits also the figure in 1Co 13:12. There is an inscription of third century B.C. with $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \tau о \pi \tau \rho \iota \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \tau \delta \omega \rho$, to look at one's reflection in the water. Plutarch uses the active for mirroring or reflecting and Chrysostom takes it so here. Either makes good sense. The point that Paul is making is that we shall not lose the glory as Moses did. But that is true if we keep on beholding or keep on reflecting (present tense). Only here in N.T.

Are transformed ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu о \rho \varphi о \nu \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Present passive (are being transformed) of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu о \rho \varphi о \omega$, late verb and in papyri. See on Mt 17:2; Mr 9:2 where it is translated "transfigured." It is the word used for heathen mythological metamorphoses.

Into the same image ( $\tau \eta \nu \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \nmid \kappa o v \alpha$ ). Accusative retained with passive verb $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu о \rho \varphi о \cup \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$. Into the likeness of God in Christ (1Co 15:48-53; Ro 8:17,29; Col 3:4; 1Jo 3:2).

As from the Lord the Spirit ( $\kappa \alpha \forall \alpha \varepsilon \rho ~ \alpha \pi о ~ K v \rho ı o v ~ \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma) . ~ M o r e ~ l i k e l y, ~ " a s ~ f r o m ~$ the Spirit of the Lord."

## 2 Corinthians 4

2Cor 4:1
We faint not (оик $\varepsilon ү к а к о \nu \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Present active indicative of $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \omega$, late verb ( $\varepsilon v$, какос) to behave badly in, to give in to evil, to lose courage. In Symmachus (LXX), Polybius, and papyri. It is the faint-hearted coward. Paul speaks of himself (literary plural). Can he not speak for all of us?

2Cor 4:2
But we have renounced ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha \pi \varepsilon i \pi \alpha \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Indirect middle second aorist (timeless aorist) indicative of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon ו \pi o v$ (defective verb) with $\alpha$ of first aorist ending, to speak forth, to speak off or away from. Common verb in the active, but rare in middle and only here in N.T.

The hidden things of shame ( $\tau \alpha \kappa \rho \cup \pi \tau \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \mid \sigma \chi \cup v \eta \varsigma$ ). They do attack the minister. His only safety is in instant and courageous defiance to all the powers of darkness. It is a terrible thing to see a preacher caught in the toils of the tempter.

In craftiness ( $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha v o v \rho \gamma i \alpha)$. Old word from $\pi \alpha v o v \rho \gamma o \varsigma(\pi \alpha v, \varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v)$, a doer of any deed (good or bad), clever, cunning, deceitful. See on Lu 20:23 .

Handling deceitfully ( $\delta \mathrm{o} \lambda \mathrm{ouvt} \mathrm{\varepsilon} \mathrm{\varsigma)} .\mathrm{Present} \mathrm{active} \mathrm{participle} \mathrm{of} \delta 0 \lambda 0 \omega$, from $\delta 0 \lambda \mathrm{oc}$, deceit (from $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$, to catch with bait), old and common verb, in papyri and inscriptions, to ensnare, to corrupt with error. Only here in N.T. Used of adulterating gold or wine.

To every conscience of men ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha v ~ \sigma v v \varepsilon \delta \eta \sigma \sigma v \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega v$ ). Not to whim, foible, prejudice. See 3:1-6 for "commending" ( $\sigma 0 v / \sigma \tau \alpha v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$.

2Cor 4:3
 Periphrastic perfect passive of $\kappa \alpha \lambda \cup \pi \tau \omega$, to veil in both condition (first class) and conclusion. See on 2:15f. for "the perishing."

2Cor 4:4
The god of this world (o $\theta$ عoc tov alwvos tov tov). "Age," more exactly, as in 1Co 1:20 . Satan is "the god of this age," a phrase nowhere else in the N.T., but Jesus uses the same idea in Joh 12:31; 14:30 and Paul in Eph 2:2; 6:12 and John in 1Jo 5:19. Satan claimed the rule over the world in the temptations with Jesus.

Blinded ( $\varepsilon \tau v \varphi \lambda \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active of $\tau \cup \varphi \lambda$ o $\omega$, old verb to blind ( $\tau \cup \varphi \lambda$ oc, blind). They refused to believe $(\alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \omega v)$ and so Satan got the power to blind their thoughts. That happens with wilful disbelievers.

The light ( $\tau \circ v \varphi \omega \tau \iota \sigma \mu \circ v$ ). The illumination, the enlightening. Late word from $\varphi 0 \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, to give light, in Plutarch and LXX. In N.T. only in 2Co 4:4,6 . Accusative case of general reference here with the articular infinitive ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau 0 \mu \eta \alpha \cup \gamma \alpha \sigma \alpha$ that should not dawn). That is, if $\alpha v \gamma \alpha \sigma \alpha$ is intransitive as is likely, though it is transitive in the old poets (from $\alpha u \gamma \eta$,
radiance. Cf. German Auge=eye). If it is transitive, the idea would be "that they should not see clearly the illumination, etc."

2Cor 4:5
For we preach not ourselves (ov $\gamma \alpha \rho$ عautov¢ к $\eta \rho \cup \sigma \sigma о \mu \varepsilon v)$. Surely as poor and disgusting a topic as a preacher can find.

But Christ Jesus as Lord ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ X $\rho ı \sigma \tau o v$ I $\eta \sigma o v v$ Kvpıov). Kvpıov is predicate accusative in apposition.

As your servants for Jesus' sake ( $\delta o u \lambda o v \varsigma ~ v \mu \omega v \delta \iota \alpha$ I $\eta \sigma o v v$ ). Your bond-slave for the sake of Jesus. This is the sufficient reason for any preacher's sacrifice, "for Jesus' sake."

2Cor 4:6
God who said (o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma$ o $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \pi \omega v$ ). Paraphrase of Ge 1:3.
Who shined (oc $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \mu \psi \varepsilon v$ ). Like a lamp in the heart (cf. Mt 5:15). Miners carry a lamp on the forehead, Christians carry one in their hearts lit by the Spirit of God.

To give the light ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \varphi \omega \tau \tau \sigma \mu \circ$ ). For the illumination.
In the face of Jesus Christ ( $\varepsilon v \pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \omega$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o v$ ). The Christian who looks on the face of Jesus Christ as Moses looked upon the glory of God will be able to give the illumination of the knowledge of the glory of God. See 2:10 for $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \sigma$.

2Cor 4:7
This treasure ( $\tau$ ov $\theta \eta \sigma \alpha u \rho o v ~ \tau o u \tau o v) . ~ O n ~ \theta \eta \sigma a v \rho o v ~ s e e ~ M t ~ 6: 19-21 . ~ I t ~ i s ~ t h e ~ p o w e r ~$ of giving the illumination of the knowledge of the glory of God (verse 6). "The power is limitless, but it is stored in very unlikely receptacles" (Plummer). This warning Paul gives in contrast ( $\delta \varepsilon$ ) with the exultation of verse 6 (Bernard).

In earthen vessels ( $\varepsilon v$ обтракıvoıs $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon \sigma เ v$ ). This adjective is common in the LXX with $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon v o \sigma, \alpha \gamma \gamma \circ \varsigma$ and $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \iota \circ v$. It occurs again in 2Ti 2:20 with $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon \cup \eta$. It is found also in
 so many fragments of which are found in Egypt with writing on them. We are but earthen jars used of God for his purposes (Ro 9:20ff.) and so fragile.

The exceeding greatness ( $\eta \cup \pi \varepsilon \rho \beta 0 \lambda \eta$ ). See on 1Co 12:31 for this word, "the preeminence of the power." This is God's purpose ( $1 v \alpha--\eta$ ). God, not man, is the
dynamo ( $\delta v v a \mu \iota \varsigma)$. It comes from God ( $\tau 0 v \theta \varepsilon o v$, ablative) and does not originate with us ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \xi \eta \mu \omega v$ ).

2Cor 4:8
Pressed $\left(\theta \lambda_{1} \beta o \mu \varepsilon v o\right)$. From $\theta \lambda_{1} \beta \omega$, to press as grapes, to contract, to squeeze. Series of present passive participles here through verse 9 that vividly picture Paul's ministerial career.

Yet not straitened ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov $\sigma \tau \varepsilon v o \chi \omega \rho \sigma \nu \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Each time the exception is stated by $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov. From $\sigma \tau \varepsilon v o \chi \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$ ( $\sigma \tau \varepsilon v o \chi \omega \rho \circ \varsigma$, from $\sigma \tau \varepsilon v o \varsigma$, narrow, $\chi \omega \rho \circ \varsigma$, space), to be in a narrow place, to keep in a tight place. Late verb, in LXX and papyri. In N.T. only here and 2Co 6:12.

Yet not unto despair ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ оик $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \pi о \rho о \cup \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Late perfective compound with $\varepsilon \xi$ - of $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \pi о \rho \varepsilon \omega$. A very effective play on words here, lost, but not lost out.

2Cor 4:9
Forsaken ( $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı \pi о \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Double compound of old verb $\varepsilon \gamma-\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha-\lambda \varepsilon \pi \pi \omega$, to leave behind, to leave in the lurch.

Smitten down ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda о \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). As if overtaken.
Destroyed ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Perishing as in verse 3. Was Paul referring to Lystra when the Jews stoned him and thought him dead?

2Cor 4:10
Bearing about ( $\pi \varepsilon р \iota \varphi \varepsilon \rho о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Ignatius was called $\Theta \varepsilon о \varphi о \rho о \varsigma$, God-bearer. See 1Co 15:31 where Paul says "I die daily" and Php 3:10; Col 1:24 .

The dying of Jesus ( $\tau \eta \vee \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega \sigma \iota v$ tov I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). Late word from veкро $\omega$, to put to death. In Galen. In N.T. only here and Ro 4:19 .

2Cor 4:11
Are alway delivered unto death ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \theta \alpha v \alpha \tau о v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \iota \delta o \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha)$. This explains verse 10. 2Cor 4:12
Death worketh in us (o $\theta \alpha v a \tau o \varsigma \varepsilon v \eta \mu \nu v \varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon ı \tau \alpha)$. Middle voice present tense of the old verb to operate, be at work. Physical death works in him while spiritual life (paradox) works in them.

2Cor 4:13
According to that which is written (ката то $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). This formula in legal documents in the papyri (Bible Studies, p. 250). Paul makes adaptation of the words in Ps 95:1 .

We also believe ( $\kappa \alpha \eta \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Like the Psalmist. And therefore can speak with effect. Otherwise useless.

Shall present us with you ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \cup v \eta \mu \nu v$ ). This shows that Paul was not certain that he would be alive when Jesus comes as has been wrongly inferred from 1Co 7:29; 10:11; 15:51.

2Cor 4:15
Being multiplied through the many ( $\pi \lambda \varepsilon o v \alpha \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha \delta \iota \alpha \tau \omega \nu \pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma \omega \nu$ ). Late word $\pi \lambda \varepsilon o v a \zeta \omega$ from $\pi \lambda \varepsilon o v$, more, "making more through the more," with play on $\pi \lambda \varepsilon o v$. One can think of Bunyan's Grace Abounding.

2Cor 4:16
Wherefore we faint not ( $\delta$ ıо оик $\varepsilon ү к \alpha к о \cup \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Repeats from verse 1.
Our outward man (o $\varepsilon \xi \omega \eta \mu \omega \nu \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma)$,
our inward man (o $\varepsilon \sigma \omega \eta \mu \omega v$ ). In Ro 7:22; Col 3:9; Eph 4:22f., we have the inward man and the outward for the higher and the lower natures (the spirit and the flesh). "Here the decay ( $\left.\delta \operatorname{la\varphi } \theta \varepsilon \_\rho \varepsilon \tau \alpha\right)$ of the bodily organism is set over against the growth in grace
(avaкaıvovta, is refreshed) of the man himself" (Bernard). Plato (Republ. ix, p. 589) has o $\varepsilon v \tau о \varsigma \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma . \mathrm{Cf}$. "the hidden man of the heart" ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 3: 4$ ).

Day by day ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). This precise idiom is not in LXX nor rest of N.T. It may be colloquial use of locative in repetition.

2Cor 4:17
Our light affliction which is for the moment ( $\tau о \pi \alpha \rho \alpha v \tau ı \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \varphi \rho о \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \theta \lambda ı \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ $\eta \mu \omega v$ ). Literally, "the for the moment (old adverb $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha v \tau \iota \kappa \alpha$, here only in N.T.) lightness (old word, in N.T. only here and Mt 11:30 )."

More and more exceedingly ( $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} v \pi \varepsilon \rho \beta$ о $\lambda \eta v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma v \pi \varepsilon \rho \beta \circ \lambda \eta v$ ). Like piling Pelion on Ossa, "according to excess unto excess." See on 1Co 12:31 .

Eternal weight of glory ( $\alpha \iota \omega v ı v \beta \alpha \rho \circ \varsigma \delta o \xi \eta \varsigma$ ). Careful balancing of words in contrast (affliction vs. glory, lightness vs. weight, for the moment vs. eternal).

2Cor 4:18
While we look not ( $\mu \eta \sigma \kappa о \pi о \cup \nu \tau \omega \nu \eta \mu \omega \nu$ ). Genitive absolute with participle of $\sigma \kappa о \pi \varepsilon \omega$ from бколоя, goal.

Temporal ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \alpha$ ). Rather temporary, for a season ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \kappa \alpha \iota \rho o v$ ). Late word. See on Mt 13:21. See 1Co 13:12; Heb 11:1 .

## 2 Corinthians 5

2Cor 5:1
If--be dissolved ( $\varepsilon \alpha v--\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \nu \theta \eta$ ). Third class condition, $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and first aorist passive subjunctive. The very word used ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \nu \omega$ ) for striking down a tent.
 our earthly (see on 1Co 15:40 for $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \varepsilon เ \circ \varsigma$ ) house of the tent ( $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \circ \varsigma$, another form of $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$, tent, from root $\sigma \kappa \alpha$, to cover)." Appositive genitive, the house (огкıа) is the tent.

We have ( $\varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Present indicative. We possess the title to it now by faith. "Faith is the title-deed (vтобтабוऽ) to things hoped for" (Heb 11:7).
 LXX, etc., and papyri, though condemned by Atticists) is more substantial than the $\sigma \kappa \eta v o c$.

Not made with hands ( $\alpha$ хєьотоıๆтоv). Found first in Mr 14:58 in charge against Jesus before the Sanhedrin (both the common verbal $\chi \varepsilon ו \rho о \pi о џ \eta \tau o v ~ a n d ~ t h e ~ n e w l y ~ m a d e ~ v e r n a c u l a r ~$ aұعıротоитто, same verbal with a privative). Elsewhere only here and Col 2:11 . Spiritual, eternal home.

2Cor 5:2
To be clothed upon with our habitation which is from heaven ( $\tau 0$ oıк $\eta \tau \rho \circ \frac{}{} \eta \mu \omega \nu$ $\tau$ т $\varepsilon \xi$ oupavov $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon v \delta \nu \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha)$. First aorist middle infinitive of late verb $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon v \delta \nu \omega$, double compound ( $\varepsilon \pi, \varepsilon v$ ) to put upon oneself. Cf. $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon v \delta u \tau \eta \varsigma$ for a fisherman's linen blouse or upper garment (Joh 21:7). Oıкптпpıov is old word used here of the spiritual body as the abode of the spirit. It is a mixed metaphor (putting on as garment the dwelling-place).

2Cor 5:3
Being clothed ( $\varepsilon v \delta v \sigma a \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). First aorist middle participle, having put on the garment.
Naked ( $\gamma \nu \mu \nu 0$ ). That is, disembodied spirits, "like the souls in Sheol, without form, and void of all power of activity" (Plummer).

2Cor 5:4
Not for that we would be unclothed ( $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} \omega$ ov $\theta \varepsilon \lambda о \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa \delta v \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Rather, "For that ( $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} \omega$ ) we do not wish to put off the clothing, but to put it on" ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon v \delta \nu \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). The transposition of the negative ov weakens the sense. Paul does not wish to be a mere disembodied spirit without his spiritual garment.

That what is mortal may be swallowed up of life (ıva каталоӨ $\eta$ то $\theta \nu \eta \tau о v$ vто $\tau \eta \varsigma$ $\zeta \omega \eta \varsigma)$. "Only what is mortal perishes; the personality, consisting of soul and body, survives," (Plummer). See on 1:22 for "the earnest of the spirit."

2Cor 5:6
At home in the body ( $\varepsilon v \delta \eta \mu о v \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon v \tau \omega \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau$ ). Rare verb $\varepsilon v \delta \eta \mu \varepsilon \omega$ from $\varepsilon v \delta \eta \mu \circ \varsigma$ (one among his own people as opposed to $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \eta \mu \circ \varsigma$, one away from home). Both $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \eta \mu \varepsilon \omega$
(more common in the old Greek) and $\varepsilon v \delta \eta \mu \varepsilon \omega$ occur in the papyri with the contrast made by Paul here.

2Cor 5:7
By sight ( $\delta$ ı $\alpha$ عıoovऽ). Rather, by appearance.
2Cor 5:8
We are of good courage $(\theta \alpha \rho \rho o v \mu \varepsilon v)$. Good word for cheer and same root as $\theta \alpha \rho \sigma \varepsilon \omega$ (Mt 9:2,22 ). Cheer up.

Are willing rather ( $\varepsilon v \delta o \kappa o v \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Rather, "We are well-pleased, we prefer" if left to ourselves. Cf. Php 1:21f. Same $\varepsilon \cup \delta$ oк $\varepsilon \omega$ used in Lu 3:22 .

To be at home with the Lord ( $\varepsilon v \delta \eta \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \pi \rho \circ \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ K v \rho ı v)$ ). First aorist (ingressive) active infinitive, to attain that goal is bliss for Paul.

2Cor 5:9
We make it our aim ( $\varphi i \lambda о \tau \mu \rho v \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Old and common verb, present middle, from $\varphi \iota \lambda о \tau \mu \mu \sigma$ ( $\varphi \backslash \lambda$ oб, $\tau \iota \mu \eta$, fond of honour), to act from love of honour, to be ambitious in the good sense (1Th 4:11; 2Co 5:9; Ro 15:20). The Latin ambitio has a bad sense from ambire, to go both ways to gain one's point.

To be well-pleasing to him ( $\varepsilon v a \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau o ~ a v \tau \omega ~ \varepsilon ı v a$ ). Late adjective that shows Paul's loyalty to Christ, his Captain. Found in several inscriptions in the Koine period (Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 214; Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary).

2Cor 5:10
 $\beta \eta \mu \alpha$, a step (from $\beta a \iota v \omega$ ), a platform, the seat of the judge (Mt 27:19). Christ is Saviour, Lord, and Judge of us all ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \varsigma$, the all).

That each may receive ( $\imath \alpha \alpha \kappa о \mu \iota \sigma \eta \tau \alpha$ єкабтоऽ). Receive as his due, ко $\mu \zeta \omega$ means, old verb. See on Mt 25:27.

Bad ( $\varphi$ au $\lambda \mathrm{ov}$ ). Old word, akin to German faul, worthless, of no account, base, wicked. 2Cor 5:11
The fear of the Lord ( $\tau 0 v \varphi o \beta o v$ tov Kupıov). Many today regard this a played-out motive, but not so Paul. He has in mind verse 10 with the picture of the judgment seat of Christ.

We persuade ( $\pi \varepsilon \mid \theta \rho \mu \varepsilon v)$. Conative present active, we try to persuade. It is always hard work.

Unto God $(\theta \varepsilon \omega)$. Dative case. God understands whether men do or not.
That we are made manifest ( $\pi \varepsilon \varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \omega \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Perfect passive infinitive of $\varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \sigma \omega$ in indirect discourse after $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \omega$. Stand manifested, state of completion.

2Cor 5:12

As giving you occasion of glorying ( $\alpha \varphi \rho \rho \mu \eta \nu \delta i \delta o \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \nu \mu \nu \nu \kappa \alpha \cup \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \sigma \varsigma)$. An old Greek word ( $\alpha \pi 0, ~ о \rho \mu \eta$, onset, rush), a base of operations, material with which to glory, as we say "a tip" only much more.

That ye may have wherewith to answer ( $\imath v \alpha \varepsilon \chi \eta \tau \varepsilon \pi \rho \circ \varsigma)$. Literally, "That ye may have something against (for facing those, etc.)." Paul wishes his champions in Corinth to know the facts.

In appearance, and not in heart ( $\varepsilon v \pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \omega \kappa \alpha \mu \eta \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \Delta \alpha$ ). He means the Judaizers who were braggarts about their orthodox Judaism.

2Cor 5:13
Whether we are beside ourselves ( $\varepsilon ા \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \mu \varepsilon v)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \xi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, old verb, here to stand out of oneself (intransitive) from $\varepsilon \kappa \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma$, ecstasy, comes as in Mr 5:42 . It is literary plural, for Paul is referring only to himself. See on 1:6 for $\varepsilon$ عाtع-$\varepsilon \iota \varepsilon$. It is a condition of the first class and Paul assumes as true the charge that he was crazy (if I was crazy) for the sake of argument. Festus made it later (Ac 26:24 ). He spoke with tongues (1Co 14:18) and had visions (2Co 12:1-6 ) which probably the Judaizers used against him. A like charge was made against Jesus (Mr 3:21). People often accuse those whom they dislike with being a bit off.

2Cor 5:14
The love of Christ ( $\eta$ a $\gamma \alpha \pi \eta$ tov Xpıбтov). Subjective genitive, Christ's love for Paul as shown by verse 15 .

Constraineth us ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). Old and common verb, to hold together, to press the ears together (Ac 7:57) , to press on every side ( $\operatorname{Lu} 8: 45$ ), to hold fast ( $\operatorname{Lu}$ 22:63 ), to hold oneself to (Ac 18:5) , to be pressed (passive, Lu 12:50; Php 1:23 ). So here Paul's conception of Christ's love for him holds him together to his task whatever men think or say.

Judging this (крıvavtac tovto). Having reached this conclusion, ever since his conversion (Ga 1:17f.).

One died for all ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma \nu \pi \varepsilon \rho \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega v \alpha \pi \varepsilon \theta \alpha v \varepsilon v)$. This is the central tenet in Paul's theology and Christology. Hu $\quad \varepsilon \rho$ (over) here is used in the sense of substitution as in Joh 11:50; Ga 3:13, death in behalf so that the rest will not have to die. This use of $u \pi \varepsilon \rho$ is common in the papyri (Robertson, Grammar, p. 631). In fact, v $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ in this sense is more usual in Greek than $\alpha \nu \tau \iota, \pi \rho o$ or any other preposition.

Therefore all died ( $\alpha \rho \alpha$ o $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \alpha \pi \varepsilon \theta \alpha v o v$ ). Logical conclusion ( $\alpha \rho \alpha$, corresponding), the one died for the all and so the all died when he did, all the spiritual death possible for those for whom Christ died. This is Paul's gospel, clear-cut, our hope today.

2Cor 5:15
Should no longer live unto themselves (ıva $\mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau$ عavтoıৎ $\zeta \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). The high doctrine of Christ's atoning death carries a correspondingly high obligation on the part of those who
live because of him. Selfishness is ruled out by our duty to live "unto him who for their sakes died and rose again."

2Cor 5:16
Henceforth ( $\alpha \pi 0$ रov $v v v$ ). From the time that we gained this view of Christ's death for us.

After the flesh ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha)$. According to the flesh, the fleshy way of looking at men. He, of course, knows men "in the flesh ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa$ ), but Paul is not speaking of that. Worldly standards and distinctions of race, class, cut no figure now with Paul (Ga 3:28) as he looks at men from the standpoint of the Cross of Christ.

Even though we have known Christ after the flesh ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o v$ ). Concessive clause ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha$, if even or also) with perfect active indicative. Paul admits that he had once looked at Christ кат $\sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha$, but now no longer does it. Obviously he uses $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha$ in precisely the same sense that he did in verse 15 about men. He had before his conversion known Christ ката ба $\kappa \kappa$, according to the standards of the men of his time, the Sanhedrin and other Jewish leaders. He had led the persecution against Jesus till Jesus challenged and stopped him (Ac 9:4). That event turned Paul clean round and he no longer knows Christ in the old way ката барка. Paul may or may not have seen Jesus in the flesh before his death, but he says absolutely nothing on that point here.

2Cor 5:17
A new creature ( $\kappa \alpha \iota v \eta \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \iota \varsigma)$. A fresh start is made ( $\kappa \alpha \iota \nu \eta)$ ). K $\tau \iota \sigma \iota \varsigma$ is the old word for the act of creating (Ro 1:20), but in N.T. by metonymy it usually bears the notion of $\kappa \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$, the thing created or creature as here.

The old things are passed away ( $\tau \alpha \alpha \rho \chi \alpha \prime \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v)$. Did pass by, he means. Second aorist active of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to go by. The ancient ( $\alpha \rho \chi \alpha \iota \alpha$ ) way of looking at Christ among other things. And yet today there are scholars who are trying to revive the old prejudiced view of Jesus Christ as a mere man, a prophet, to give us "a reduced Christ." That was once Paul's view, but it passed by forever for him. It is a false view and leaves us no gospel and no Saviour.

Behold, they are become new (ı $\delta o v, \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \iota v \alpha$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\gamma เ v \circ \mu \alpha$, have become new (fresh, kaiva) to stay so.

2Cor 5:18
Who reconciled us to himself through Christ ( $\tau 0 v \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \xi \nu \tau \circ \varsigma \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega \delta \iota \alpha$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 v$ ). Here Paul uses one of his great doctrinal words, $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, old word for exchanging coins. $\Delta \mathrm{t} \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, to change one's mind, to reconcile, occurs in N.T. only in Mt 5:24 though in papyri (Deissmann, Light from the Ancient East, p. 187), and common in Attic. Kat $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ is old verb, but more frequent in later writers. We find $\sigma v v \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ in Ac 7:26 and $\alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ in Col 1:20f.; Eph 2:16 and the substantive кат $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \eta$ in Ro $5: 11 ; 11: 15$ as well as here. It is hard to discuss this great theme without apparent contradic-
tion．God＇s love（Joh 3：16 ）provided the means and basis for man＇s reconciliation to God against whom he had sinned．It is all God＇s plan because of his love，but God＇s own sense of justice had to be satisfied（Ro 3：26）and so God gave his Son as a propitiation for our sins （Ro 3：25；Col 1：20；1Jo 2：2；4：10 ）．The point made by Paul here is that God needs no recon－ ciliation，but is engaged in the great business of reconciling us to himself．This has to be done on God＇s terms and is made possible through（ $\delta \mathbf{\alpha}$ ）Christ．

And gave unto us the ministry of reconciliation（ $\kappa \alpha \delta o v \tau о \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \nu \nu \tau \eta \nu \delta \iota \alpha \kappa о \nu \iota \alpha \nu \tau \eta \varsigma$ ката入入аүпऽ）．It is a ministry marked by reconciliation，that consists in reconciliation．God has made possible through Christ our reconciliation to him，but in each case it has to be made effective by the attitude of each individual．The task of winning the unreconciled to God is committed to us．It is a high and holy one，but supremely difficult，because the of－ fending party（the guilty）is the hardest to win over．We must be loyal to God and yet win sinful men to him．

2Cor 5：19
To wit，that（ $\omega \varsigma \bigcirc \tau$ ）．Latin puts it quoniam quidem．It is an unclassical idiom，but occurs in the papyri and inscriptions（Moulton，Prol．，p．212；Robertson，Grammar，p．1033）．It is in Es 4：14．See also 2Co 11：21；2Th 2：2 ．It probably means＂how that．＂

Not reckoning（ $\mu \eta \lambda$ оүı $\zeta о \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$ ．What Jesus did（his death for us）stands to our credit（Ro 8：32）if we make our peace with God．This is our task，＂the word of reconciliation，＂ that we may receive＂the righteousness of God＂and be adopted into the family of God．

2Cor 5：20
We are ambassadors therefore on behalf of Christ（v $\tau \varepsilon \rho$ Xрıбтоv ouv $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v$ ）． Old word from $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta v \varsigma$ ，an old man，first to be an old man，then to be an ambassador（here and Eph 6：20 with $\varepsilon v \alpha \lambda \nu \sigma \eta$ in a chain added），common in both senses in the Greek．＂The proper term in the Greek East for the Emperor＇s Legate＂（Deissmann，Light from the Ancient East，p．374），in inscriptions and papyri．So Paul has a natural pride in using this dignified term for himself and all ministers．The ambassador has to be persona grata with both countries（the one that he represents and the one to which he goes）．Paul was Christ＇s Legate to act in his behalf and in his stead．
 absolute with $\omega \varsigma$ used with the participle as often to give the reason（apparent or real）．Here God speaks through Christ＇s Legate．

Be ye reconciled to God（ката入入аүף $\tau \varepsilon \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ）．Second aorist passive imperative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ and used with the dative case．＂Get reconciled to God，＂and do it now．This is the ambassador＇s message as he bears it to men from God．

2Cor 5：21
Him who knew no sin（ $\tau 0 v \mu \eta \gamma v o v \tau \alpha \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha v$ ）．Definite claim by Paul that Jesus did not commit sin，had no personal acquaintance（ $\mu \eta \gamma \nu 0 v \tau \alpha$ ，second aorist active participle
of $\gamma ı \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ ) with it. Jesus made this claim for himself (Joh 8:46). This statement occurs also in 1Pe 2:22; Heb 4:15; 7:26; 1Jo 3:5. Christ was and is "a moral miracle" (Bernard) and so more than mere man.

He made to be $\sin (\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha v \varepsilon \pi о ı \eta \sigma \varepsilon v)$. The words "to be" are not in the Greek. "Sin" here is the substantive, not the verb. God "treated as sin" the one "who knew no sin." But he knew the contradiction of sinners (Heb 12:3). We may not dare to probe too far into the mystery of Christ's suffering on the Cross, but this fact throws some light on the tragic cry of Jesus just before he died: "My God, My God, why didst thou forsake me?" (Mt 27:46 ).

That we might become ( $\imath v \alpha \eta \mu \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \gamma \varepsilon v \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Note "become." This is God's purpose ( $\mathrm{i} \alpha$ ) in what he did and in what Christ did. Thus alone can we obtain God's righteousness (Ro 1:17).

## 2 Corinthians 6

2Cor 6:1
Working together with him ( $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \rho \gamma о u v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. We are co-workers, partners with God (1Сo 3:9), in this work of grace.

In vain ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \kappa \varepsilon v o v)$ ). Into emptiness. The plan of God, the work of Christ on the Cross, the pleas of the ambassador may all be nullified by the recipient of the message.

2Cor 6:2
 "Pauline parenthesis" (Plummer) as in 5:7 by the quotation from Isa 49:8 . The LXX has $\delta \varepsilon \kappa \tau о \varsigma$ ( $\delta \varepsilon \kappa \tau 0$ ) verbal of $\delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, but Paul employs the double compound ( $\varepsilon v, \pi \rho о \sigma, \delta \varepsilon \kappa \tau о \varsigma)$, well-received. It occurs in Aristophanes, Plutarch, inscription, etc.

2Cor 6:3
Giving no occasion of stumbling in any thing ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \mu \mu \alpha v \varepsilon v \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \delta \iota \delta o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa о \pi \eta v)$. Пробкопๆ, late word (Polybius, LXX), from $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa о \pi \tau \omega$, to strike against, to stumble. Only here in N.T. Note double negative in the Greek.

That the ministry be not blamed (ıva $\mu \eta \mu \omega \mu \eta \eta \eta \eta \delta$ taкovia). Negative purpose (iva $\mu \eta)$. First aorist passive subjunctive of old verb $\mu \omega \mu \alpha \circ \mu \alpha$ from $\mu \omega \mu \sigma$, blot, blemish. One can read with profit J. A. Hutton's Warrack Lectures, That the Ministry Be Not Blamed.

2Cor 6:4
But in everything commending ourselves ( $\left.\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \varepsilon \nu \pi \alpha \nu \tau \sigma \cup v / \sigma \tau \alpha v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau 0 v \varsigma\right)$. Paul gives a marvellous summary of his argument about the dignity and glory of ministers of Christ as
ministers of God ( $\omega \varsigma \theta \varepsilon \sigma v \delta$ (aкovo) under three aspects, the first with
in ( $\varepsilon v$ ) verses $3-$, the second with
by ( $\delta \mathrm{\iota} \alpha)$ verses $7 \mathrm{~b}, 8$, the third with
as ( $\omega \varsigma$ ) verses $9-10$. The negative view with $\varepsilon v$ we have in verse 3 , then the positive in verses 4- . Each word carries a story that can be filled in from Paul's own life as a preacher with an echo in that of us all.

In distresses ( $\varepsilon v \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o \chi \omega \rho ı a ı$ ). In tight places (12:10). Late word from $\sigma \tau \varepsilon v o \chi \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$ (see on 4:8).

2Cor 6:5
In stripes ( $\varepsilon v \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \alpha / \overline{)}$ ). In blows, wounds ( $\mathrm{Lu} 10: 30 ; 12: 48$; Ac 16:23,33 ). Our plague.
In tumults ( $\varepsilon v$ акатабтабıaı). See on 1Co 14:33). Instabilities, often from politics.
In watchings ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \gamma \rho \cup \pi v i a ı \varsigma)$. In sleeplessnesses, instances of insomnia. Old word, in N.T. only here and 11:27. Paul knew all about this.

2Cor 6:6

In love unfeigned ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta$ аvитокрı $\omega$ ). Late and rare word ( $\alpha$ privative and итокрıтоৎ, from vтокрıгона) This is the only love that is worth while (Ro 12:9).

2Cor 6:7
On the right hand and on the left ( $\tau \omega v \delta \varepsilon \xi \iota \omega v \kappa \alpha \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega v$ ). Offensive weapons ( $o \pi \lambda \omega v$ ) on the right, defensive on the left. See 1Th 5:8; Eph 6:11 for Paul's description of the panoply of God and Ro 6:13 for the phrase "weapons of righteousness," the only kind that will stand the strain. See also Book of Wisdom 5:18ff.

## 2Cor 6:8

By glory and dishonour ( $\left.\delta \iota \alpha \delta_{0} \xi_{\eta} \varsigma \kappa \alpha \alpha \tau \mu \mu \varsigma\right)$ ). Here $\delta \iota \alpha$ is no longer instrument, but state or condition. $\Delta \mathrm{o} \xi \alpha$ here is glory. See Ro 9:21; 2Ti 2:20 for contrast between honour and dishonour ( $\tau \mu \eta, \alpha \tau \mu \mu \alpha$ ).

By evil report and good report ( $\delta \iota \alpha \delta v \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \mu \varsigma \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \cup \varphi \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). Play on the words with prefixes $\delta v \sigma$ - and $\varepsilon v$ - and $\varphi \eta \mu \eta$. $\Delta v \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \mu$ is a late word, only here in N.T. Ev $\varphi \eta \mu \alpha$, old and common word, only here in N.T.

As deceivers and yet true ( $\omega \varsigma \pi \lambda \alpha$ vo $\kappa \alpha \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota)$ ). Paul takes up $\omega \varsigma$ now in place of $\delta \iota \alpha$ which succeeded $\varepsilon v$. Note use of $\kappa \alpha$ in sense of "and yet" (adversative). П $\Pi \alpha v o c$ is late word (Diodorus, Josephus) for wandering, vagabond, impostor (cf. $\pi \lambda \alpha v \alpha \omega$, to lead astray, used of Christ, Joh 7:12 ). In N.T. only here; Mt 27:63 (of Christ by Pharisees); 2Jo 1:7 . "In the Clementines St. Paul is expressly described by his adversaries as $\pi \lambda a v o \varsigma$ and as disseminating deceit ( $\pi \lambda \alpha v \eta \nu$ )" (Bernard). Such slander from one's enemies is praise.

2Cor 6:9
As unknown and yet well known ( $\omega \varsigma \alpha \gamma v o o \cup \mu \varepsilon v o ~ к \alpha ~ \varepsilon \pi เ \gamma เ v o \sigma к о \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). "As ignored (as nonentities, obscure, without proper credentials $3: 2$ ) and yet fully recognized (by all who really matter as in 11:6)."

And behold, we live ( $\kappa \alpha \iota \delta o v \zeta \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Cf. the hazards of his life ( $1: 8 ; 4: 10 ; 11: 23$ ). His whole career is full of paradox).

2Cor 6:10
Always rejoicing (aع $\chi$ वı $\rho 0$ vte¢). Even in sorrow (11:9; 1Th 5:16; Ro 5:3-5; 9:2; Php 2:18,27; 3:1; 4:4,15 ).
 to enrich. Spiritual riches Paul has in mind as in 1Co 1:5 (cf. Mt 5:37).

As having nothing and yet possessing all things ( $\omega \varsigma \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \chi \circ \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ катєरovtec). Contrast between $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v$ (nothing) and $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha$ (all things, cf. 1Co 3:22) and $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ (to have) and кат $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ (to hold down, to hold fast). Play on words (simple and compound) as in 3:2; 4:8. Climax of Paul's panegyric on the Christian ministry. He now resumes the thread of the story broken off in 2:14.

2Cor 6:11

Our mouth is open unto you ( $\tau 0 \sigma \tau о \mu \alpha ~ \eta \mu \omega v \alpha v \varepsilon \omega \tau \gamma \varepsilon v \pi \rho o \varsigma v \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). Second perfect active indicative of $\alpha v o l \gamma \omega$ and intransitive, stand open. He has kept back nothing in his portrayal of the glory of the ministry as the picture of the open mouth shows.

Our heart is enlarged ( $\eta \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha ~ \eta \mu \omega \nu \pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \alpha \tau \nu \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of old verb $\pi \lambda \alpha \tau v v \omega$, to broaden, from $\pi \lambda \alpha \tau v$, , broad. In N T. only here and Mt 23:5 (cf. phylacteries). Hence his freedom of speech for "out of the abundance of the heart the mouth speaks" (Mt 12:34).

2Cor 6:12
Ye are not straitened in us (ov $\sigma \tau \varepsilon v o \chi \omega \rho \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon v \eta \mu \nu v$ ). The same figure as in verse 11. See on $4: 8$ for $\sigma \tau \varepsilon v o \chi \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$. There is no restraint in me (my heart). My adversaries may have caused some of you to tighten up your affections ( $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi$ va for affection as in Jas 5:11; 1Pe 3:8).

2Cor 6:13
Now for a recompense in like kind ( $\tau \eta \nu \delta \varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \alpha \nu \tau \mu \mu \sigma \theta \iota \alpha v$ ). No example of this expressive word outside of this passage and Ro 1:27 and later Christian writers. Paul may have found it in use in the Koine vernacular or he may have coined it from $\alpha v \tau \tau \mu \sigma \theta$ os, remunerating (paying back). There is no verb here to explain the accusative which may be the accusative of general reference or the object of a verb not expressed.

Be ye also enlarged ( $\pi \lambda \alpha \tau v v \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \nu \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. As I have been (verse 11). First aorist passive imperative of $\pi \lambda \alpha \tau v v \omega$.

2Cor 6:14
 other example of this verb has yet been found, though the adjective from which it is apparently formed, $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \zeta \cup \gamma \circ \varsigma$ (yoked with a different yoke) occurs in Le 19:19 of the union of beasts of different kinds. In De 22:10 we read: "Thou shalt not plough with an ox and an ass together." Literally, "Stop becoming ( $\mu \eta \gamma \iota \nu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ present imperative, not $\mu \eta \gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ aorist subj.) unequally yoked with unconverted heathen (unbelievers)." Some were already guilty. Marriage is certainly included, but other unions may be in mind. Cf. Eph 5:7. Paul gives as the reason ( $\gamma \alpha \rho$ ) for this prohibition five words in questions to distinguish the contrasts.

Fellowship ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau о \chi \eta$ ). Sharing with and followed by associative instrumental case of $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \ldots \sigma \cup v \eta$ (righteousness) and iniquity ( $\alpha v \circ \mu \alpha)$ ). A pertinent challenge today when church members wink at violations of laws of the land and laws of God.

Communion ( $\kappa о \iota v \omega v i \alpha)$. Partnership to light ( $\varphi \omega \tau$ dative case) with ( $\pi \rho \rho \varsigma)$ ), facing darkness.

2Cor 6:15
Concord ( $\sigma \nu \mu \varphi \omega \nu \eta \sigma \iota)$ ). Symphony. Late word from $\sigma \nu \mu \varphi \omega v \varepsilon \omega$, only here and ecclesiastical writers, though $\sigma \nu \mu \varphi \omega v \eta \mu \alpha$ in the papyri.

Belial ( $\mathrm{B} \varepsilon \lambda_{1} \alpha \lambda$ ). Transliteration of Hebrew word for worthlessness and applied to Satan (Book of Jubilees 1.20) as here. Paul graphically sums up the contrast between Christ and Belial (Satan), the heads of the contending forces of good and evil.

Portion ( $\mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \varsigma)$. The fourth of the words. Here by "unbeliever" (amıбтov) Paul means "disbeliever," not just an unconverted man who yet approves Christ.

2Cor 6:16
Agreement (бuvкатa日zбıऽ). Fifth of these words. Late word, but common, though here only in N.T. Approved by putting together the votes. In the papyri $\varepsilon \kappa \sigma \cup v \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ means "by agreement." On the temple of God and idols see 1Co 10:14-22. See Lu 23:51 for the verb бuvкататіӨ $\eta \mu$.

For we are the temple of the living God ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \gamma \alpha \rho$ vaoc $\theta \varepsilon \sigma v \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon v \zeta \omega v \tau \sigma \varsigma)$. We, not temples (Ac 7:48; 17:24; 1Co 3:16; 6:19).

As God said ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon v$ o $\theta \varepsilon о \varsigma$ ). A paraphrase and catena of quotations, what J. Rendel Harris calls Testimonia (from Le 26:11f.; Isa 52:11; Eze 20:34; 37:27; 2Sa 7:8,14 ). Plummer notes that at the beginning "I will dwell in them" ( $\varepsilon$ voוк $\eta \omega \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$ avtos) is not in any of them. "As God said" points to Le 26:12; Eze 37:27 .

2Cor 6:17
Saith the Lord ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ Kирıo؟). Isa 52:5; Eze 20:33. Cf. Re 18:4.
Unclean thing ( $\alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \rho \tau \tau v)$. Or unclean person. Genitive case is the same for both.
2Cor 6:18
Saith the Lord Almighty ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ Kvpıos $\pi \alpha v \tau о к \rho \alpha \tau \omega \rho$ ). 2Sa 7:8. This use of $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ is a Hebraism for Hebrew le instead of predicate nominative. П $\downarrow \tau \tau о \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \omega \rho$ ( $\tau \alpha \sigma$, к $\rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$, Ruler of all) is common in the LXX. Occurs also in the inscriptions and papyri. In the N.T. only here and in Revelation.

## 2 Corinthians 7

2Cor 7:1
These promises ( $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma ₹ \lambda \lambda a \varsigma) . ~ S o ~ m a n y ~ a n d ~ s o ~ p r e c i o u s ~(2 P e ~ 2: 4 ~$ $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$; Heb 11:39f. ).
 only in Joh $15: 2$, to prune). In Koine каӨapı $\zeta \omega$ occurs in inscriptions for ceremonial cleansing (Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 216f.). Paul includes himself in this volitive aorist subjunctive.

From all defilement ( $\alpha \pi \sigma$ $\pi \alpha v \tau \sigma \varsigma ~ \mu о \lambda \nu \sigma \mu o v$ ). Ablative alone would have done, but with a $\pi$ o it is plainer as in Heb 9:14. Mo $\nu \nu \sigma \mu \circ$ c is a late word from $\mu \mathrm{O} \nu v \nu \omega$, to stain (see on 1Co 8:7 ), to pollute. In the LXX, Plutarch, Josephus. It includes all sorts of filthiness, physical, moral, mental, ceremonial, "of flesh and spirit." Missionaries in China and India can appreciate the atmosphere of pollution in Corinth, for instance.

Perfecting holiness ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \varepsilon \lambda o u v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \gamma เ \circ \sigma u v \eta \nu)$. Not merely negative goodness (cleansing), but aggressive and progressive (present tense of $\varepsilon \pi i \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega)$ holiness, not a sudden attainment of complete holiness, but a continuous process (1Th 3:13; Ro 1:4; 1:6 ).

2Cor 7:2
Open your hearts to us ( $\chi \omega \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). Old verb (from $\chi \omega \rho \rho \varsigma$, place), to leave a space, to make a space for, and transitive here as in Mt 19:11. He wishes no further $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \nu 0 \chi \omega \rho \iota \alpha$, tightness of heart, in them ( 6:12). "Make room for us in your hearts." He makes this plea to all, even the stubborn minority.

We wronged no man (ov $\delta \varepsilon v \alpha \eta \delta ı \kappa \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). A thing that every preacher ought to be able to say. Cf. 4:2; 1Th 2:3; Ac 20:26f .

We corrupted no man (ou $\delta \varepsilon v \alpha \varepsilon \varphi \theta \varepsilon \iota \rho a \mu \varepsilon v$ ). We ruined no one. "It may refer to money, or morals, or doctrine" (Plummer). He is answering the Judaizers.

We took advantage of no man (ov $\delta \varepsilon v \alpha \varepsilon \pi \lambda \varepsilon o v \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). That charge was made in Thessalonica (1Th 4:6) which see for this late verb and also on 2Co 2:11. He got the best of (note $\pi \lambda \varepsilon$ ov more in the root) no one in any evil way.

2Cor 7:3
Not to condemn you ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ катакрıбเv ou). "Not for condemnation." Late word from катакрıv , found in Vettius Valens, and here only in N.T.

To die together and live together ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \sigma ט v a \pi о \theta \alpha v \varepsilon ı v ~ к \alpha ~ \sigma ט v\lceil\eta ı v) . ~ " F o r ~ t h e ~ d y i n g ~$ together (second aorist ingressive active infinitive of $\sigma \cup v a \pi o \theta v \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$ ) and living together (present active infinitive)." One article ( $\tau 0$ ) with both infinitives. You are in our hearts to share death and life.

2Cor 7:4

I overflow with joy in all our affliction (v $\pi \varepsilon \rho \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha \tau \eta \chi \alpha \rho \alpha \varepsilon \pi \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \tau \eta \theta \lambda ı \psi \varepsilon$ $\eta \mu \omega v$ ). A thoroughly Pauline sentiment. Пعрıббєv $\omega$ means to overflow, as we have seen. Нипє $-\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \cup \omega$ (late word, so far only here and Byzantine writers) is to have a regular flood. Vulgate superabundo.

2Cor 7:5
When we had come $(\varepsilon \lambda \theta o v \tau \omega \nu \eta \mu \omega \nu)$. Genitive absolute with second aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. Paul now returns to the incident mentioned in 2:12 before the long digression on the glory of the ministry.

Had no relief (ov $\delta \varepsilon \mu \iota \alpha \nu \varepsilon \sigma \chi \eta \kappa \varepsilon \nu$ aveбıv). Perfect active indicative precisely as in 2:13 which see, "has had no relief" (dramatic perfect).

Afflicted ( $\theta \lambda_{1} \beta_{o \mu} \mu v o$ ). Present passive participle of $\theta \lambda_{\iota} \beta \omega$ as in $4: 8$, but with anacoluthon, for the nominative case agrees not with the genitive $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ nor with the accusative $\eta \mu \alpha \varsigma$ in verse 6. It is used as if a principal verb as in 9:11; 11:6; Ro 12:16 (Moulton, Prolegomena, p. 182; Robertson, Grammar, pp. 1132-35).

Without were fightings $(\varepsilon \xi \omega \theta \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \chi \alpha)$. Asyndeton and no copula, a parenthesis also in structure. Perhaps pagan adversaries in Macedonia (cf. 1Co 15:32).

Within were fears $(\varepsilon \sigma \omega \theta \varepsilon v \varphi o \beta o)$. Same construction. "Mental perturbations" (Augustine) as in 11:28.

2Cor 7:6
Cormforteth ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega v$ ). See on 1:3-7 for this word.
 (Eze 17:24). Low in condition as here; Jas 1:9. In 2Co 10:1 regarded as abject. In this sense in papyri. "Humility as a sovereign grace is the creation of Christianity" (Gladstone, Life, iii, p. 466).

By the coming ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma \iota \alpha$ ). Same use of $\pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma ı \alpha$ as in 1 Co $16: 7$ which see. See also 2Co 7:7; 10:10 .

2Cor 7:7
Wherewith $(\eta)$. Either locative case with preceding $\varepsilon v$ or instrumental of the relative with $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \eta$ (first aorist passive indicative). "The manner in which Paul, so to speak, fondles this word ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega)$ is most beautiful" (Vincent).

In you ( $\left.\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} v \mu \nu \nu\right)$. Over you, upon you.
Your longing ( $\tau \eta v \nu \mu \omega \nu \varepsilon \pi \iota \pi \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ). Late word from $\varepsilon \pi \iota \pi \sigma \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ( $\varepsilon \pi$, directive, longing towards, yearning). Only here in N.T.

Mourning ( $\delta \delta v \rho \mu o v$ ). Old word from o $\delta v \rho o \mu \alpha$, to lament. Only here in N.T.
So that I rejoiced yet more $(\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v \chi \alpha \rho \eta v \alpha)$. Result expressed by $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ and the second aorist passive infinitive of $\chi \propto \iota \rho \omega$ with accusative of general reference.

2Cor 7:8
Though ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha)$. If also. Paul treats it as a fact.

With my epistle ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \varepsilon \pi\llcorner\sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta$ ). The one referred to in $2: 3 \mathrm{f}$.
I do not regret it (ov $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda o \mu \alpha$ ). This verb really means "repent" (be sorry again) which meaning we have transferred to $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon \omega$, to change one's mind (not to be sorry at all). See Mt 21:30; 27:3 for the verb $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda o \mu \alpha$, to be sorry, to regret as here. Paul is now glad that he made them sorry.

Though I did regret ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda о \mu \eta \nu$ ). Imperfect indicative in the concessive clause. I was in a regretful mood at first.

For I see ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega \quad \gamma \alpha \rho$ ). A parenthetical explanation of his present joy in their sorrow. B D do not have $\gamma \alpha \rho$. The Latin Vulgate has videns (seeing) for $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega v$.

For a season ( $\pi \rho o \varsigma \omega \rho \alpha v$ ). Cf. 1Th 2:17 . It was only "for an hour."
2Cor 7:9
Now I rejoice ( $v \vee v \chi \propto u \rho \omega)$. Now that Titus has come and told him the good news from Corinth (2:12f. ). This was the occasion of the noble outburst in 2:12-6:10.

Unto repentance ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \mu \tau \tau \nu o \iota \alpha v)$. Note the sharp difference here between "sorrow" ( $\lambda v \pi \eta$ ) which is merely another form of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda o \mu \alpha$ (regret, remorse) and "repentance" ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o ı \alpha)$ or change of mind and life. It is a linguistic and theological tragedy that we have to go on using "repentance" for $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \iota \alpha$. But observe that the "sorrow" has led to "repentance" and was not Itself the repentance.

After a godly sort ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). In God's way. "God's way as opposed to man's way and the devil's way" (Plummer). It was not mere sorrow, but a change in their attitude that counted.

That ye might suffer loss by us in nothing ( $1 v \alpha \varepsilon v \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \zeta \eta \mu \omega \omega \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \xi \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Purpose clause with $v^{\nu} \alpha$ and first aorist passive subjunctive of $\lceil\eta \mu \ldots \omega$, old verb to suffer damage. See on Mt 16:26. This was God's intention and so he overruled their sorrow to good.

2Cor 7:10
For godly sorrow ( $\eta \gamma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ $\theta \varepsilon o v \lambda \nu \pi \eta$ ). "For the sorrow according to God" (God's ideal, verse 9).

Worketh repentance unto salvation a repentance without regret ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o l \alpha \nu \varepsilon$ $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha \nu \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda \eta \tau 0 \nu \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha(\varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. This clause alone should have prevented the confusion between mere "sorrow" ( $\lambda \nu \pi \eta$ ) as indicated in $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda о \mu \alpha$, to regret (to be sorry again) and "change of mind and life" as shown by $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o u \alpha \nu$ ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon \omega$ ) and wrongly translated "repentance." The sorrow according to God does work this "change of mind and life" unto salvation, a change "not to be regretted" ( $\alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda \eta \tau o v$, an old verbal adjective of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda о \mu \alpha$ and $\alpha$ privative, but here alone in N.T.). It agrees with $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \iota \alpha v$, not $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho ı \alpha v$.

But the sorrow of the world ( $\eta \delta \varepsilon$ тov коб $\mu \circ v \lambda \cup \pi \eta$ ). In contrast, the kind of sorrow that the world has, grief "for failure, not for sin" (Bernard), for the results as seen in Cain, Esau (his tears!), and Judas (remorse, $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \eta \theta \eta$ ). Works out (perfective use of кат-) death in the end.

2Cor 7:11
This selfsame thing (avтo тov $\frac{1}{}$ ). "This very thing," "the being made sorry according to God" ( $\tau$ к ката $\theta \varepsilon о v \lambda v \pi \eta \theta \eta v a$, articular first aorist passive infinitive with which av $\frac{1}{}$ тovto agrees and the proleptic subject of the verb катєı $\rho \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha \tau$.

Earnest care ( $\sigma \pi \sigma v \delta \eta v$ ). Diligence, from $\sigma \pi \varepsilon v \delta \omega$, to hasten. Cf. Ro 12:11.
Yea ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ). Not adversative use of $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$, but copulative as is common (half dozen examples here).

Clearing of yourselves ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda о \gamma \iota \alpha$ ). In the old notion of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \sigma \mu \alpha$ (self-vindication, self-defence) as in 1Pe 3:15.

Indignation ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha v \alpha \kappa \tau \eta \sigma \iota v$ ). Old word, only here in N.T. From $\alpha \gamma \alpha v a \kappa \tau \varepsilon o$ (Mr 10:14 , etc.).

Avenging ( $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \iota \kappa \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ). Late word from $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \iota \kappa \varepsilon \omega$, to avenge, to do justice (Lu 18:5; 21:22 ), vindication from wrong as in Lu 18:7, to secure punishment (1Pe 2:14).

Pure ( $\alpha \gamma \vee 0 \cup \varsigma)$. Kin to $\alpha \gamma \operatorname{los}(\alpha \zeta \omega$, to reverence), immaculate.
2Cor 7:12
But that your earnest care for us might be made manifest ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ عוvekev tov $\varphi a v \varepsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta \nu \alpha \tau \eta \nu \sigma \pi \sigma v \delta \eta \nu v \mu \omega \nu \tau \eta \nu v \pi \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \omega \nu)$. So the correct text, not "our care for you." Easy to interchange Greek $\nu \mu \omega \nu$ (your) and $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ (our). Usual construction with preposition $\varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \nu$ and genitive of articular infinitive with accusative of general reference.

2Cor 7:13
We joyed the more exceedingly ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho\llcorner\sigma \sigma о \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega \varsigma \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v \varepsilon \chi \alpha \rho \eta \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ). Double comparative (pleonastic use of $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$, more, with $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega \varsigma$, more abundantly) as is common in the Koine (Mr 7:36; Php 1:23 ).

For the joy of Titus $(\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \chi \alpha \rho \alpha$ Titov). On the basis of $(\varepsilon \pi)$ the joy of Titus who was proud of the outcome of his labours in Corinth.

Hath been refreshed ( $\alpha v \alpha \pi \varepsilon \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \pi \alpha v \omega$. Cf. 1Co 16:18 for this striking verb.

2Cor 7:14
If--I have gloried ( $\varepsilon เ--\kappa \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \cup \chi \eta \mu \alpha)$. Condition of first class. On this verb see 1Co 3:21; 2Co 5:12.

I was not put to shame (ov катๆ $\sigma \chi \cup v \theta \eta v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \chi \cup v \omega$. Paul had assured Titus, who hesitated to go after the failure of Timothy, that the Corinthians were sound at bottom and would come round all right if handled properly. Paul's joy is equal to that of Titus.

In truth ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ ). In the sharp letter as well as in I Corinthians. He had not hesitated to speak plainly of their sins.

Our glorying before Titus ( $\eta \kappa \alpha v \chi \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma \pi$ Tıтov). The two things were not inconsistent and were not contradictory as the outcome proved.

2Cor 7:15
Whilst he remembereth ( $\alpha v \alpha \mu \mu v \eta \sigma \kappa о \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. Present middle participle of $\alpha \nu \alpha \mu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$, to remind, in the genitive case agreeing with avtov (his, of him).

The obedience of you all ( $\tau \eta \nu \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \nu \mu \omega \nu \nu \pi \alpha \kappa o v \eta \nu$ ). A remarkable statement of the complete victory of Titus in spite of a stubborn minority still opposing Paul.

With fear and trembling ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ чоßоv ка $\tau \rho о \mu о v$ ). He had brought a stern message (1Co 5:5) and they had trembled at the words of Titus (cf. Eph 6:5; Php 2:12 ). Paul had himself come to the Corinthians at first with a nervous dread (1Co 2:3).

2Cor 7:16
I am of good courage $(\theta \alpha \rho \rho \omega)$. The outcome has brought joy, courage, and hope to Paul.

## 2 Corinthians 8

2Cor 8:1
The grace ( $\tau \eta \vee \chi \alpha \rho เ v)$. As manifested in the collection in the churches, poor as they were. The Romans had lacerated Macedonia (Livy, XLV. 30).

2Cor 8:2
Proof ( $\delta$ окциך). Tests as of metals as in 2:9.
Abundance ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon ı \alpha)$. Late word from $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v \omega$, to overflow.
Their deep poverty ( $\eta$ кат $\beta \alpha \beta$ ovৎ $\pi \tau \omega \chi \varepsilon ı \alpha \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). П $\tau \omega \chi \varepsilon ı \alpha$ is old word from $\pi \tau \omega \chi \varepsilon \nu \omega$, to be a beggar, as of Jesus in 8:9 (from $\pi \tau \omega \chi$, , cowering in fear and poverty, as in Lu 14:13, but ennobled by Christ as in Mt 5:3; 2Co 8:9 ). Poverty down deep. Strabo (LX 419) has ката $\beta \alpha \theta$ ovৎ, down to the bottom.

Liberality ( $\alpha \pi \lambda о \tau \eta \tau \circ \varsigma$ ). From $\alpha \pi \lambda o v \varsigma$, single, simple (Mt 6:22). "The passage from single-mindedness or simplicity to liberality is not quite obvious" (Plummer). Perhaps "heartiness" supplies the connecting link. See also 9:11-13.

2Cor 8:3
Beyond their power ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \nu v \alpha \mu v$ ). "Alongside" with accusative like v $\tau \varepsilon \rho \delta \nu v \alpha \mu v v$ in 1:8. Field (Ot. Nov.) quotes Josephus (Ant. iii. 6, 1) for ката $\delta v v a \mu v v$ and $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \nu v \alpha \mu \nu v$ as here. Few give ката $\delta v v a \mu \nu v$ (according to actual ability). Paul commends this high pressure collection because of the emergency.

Of their own accord (avӨaıp\&тo). Old verbal adjective (avtoo, alperoç from aı $\rho \varepsilon \circ \mu \alpha$, to choose), of their own initiative, voluntary. Only here and verse 17 in N.T. Papyri often have $\varepsilon \kappa о \cup \sigma \omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \alpha \cup Ө \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \tau \omega \varsigma$ (willingly and voluntarily).

2Cor 8:4
Beseeching us with much intreaty in regard of this grace ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi о \lambda \lambda \eta \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ $\delta \varepsilon o \mu \varepsilon v o \quad \eta \mu \omega \nu \tau \eta \nu \chi \alpha \rho \iota v)$. Literally, "with much intreaty begging of us the favour and the partnership in the ministry to the saints." The accusative ( $\chi \alpha \rho \stackrel{v}{ }$ ) after $\delta \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$ is unusual. By $\chi$ גрıя Paul means the privilege of giving (cf. Ac 24:27). Apparently Paul had been reluctant to press the Macedonians because of their manifest poverty. They demanded the right to have a share in it.

2Cor 8:5
We had hoped ( $\eta \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \omega$. "Expected," he means. They went beyond his hopes about them.

First they gave their own selves ( $\varepsilon a v \tau o v \varsigma \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha v \pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ (k aorist). "Themselves they gave first." That is the explanation of the generous giving.

2Cor 8:6

Insomuch that we exhorted Titus ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha к \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha ~ \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma ~ T ı \tau о v) . ~ U s e ~ o f ~ \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ a n d ~$ the infinitive for result with accusative of general reference ( $\eta \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. See Robertson, Grammar, p. 1003.

He had made a beginning before ( $\pi \rho \circ \varepsilon v \eta \rho \xi \alpha \tau o$ ). First aorist active indicative of the double compound verb $\pi \rho o-\varepsilon v-\alpha \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, still found only here and verse 10 , to make a start before others.

Complete ( $\varepsilon \pi I \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon)$ First aorist (effective) active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to finish, with perfective use of $\varepsilon \pi$ in composition.

2Cor 8:7
In this grace also ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha v \tau \eta \tau \eta \chi \alpha \rho \tau)$. This gifted church (1Co 12-14) had fallen behind in the grace of giving. Kindly irony in this allusion.

2Cor 8:8
Proving ( $\delta о к ц \mu \zeta \omega v$ ). Testing and so proving.
The sincerity also of your love ( $\kappa \alpha$ то $\tau \eta \varsigma \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \varsigma ~ \gamma \nu \eta \sigma \circ \circ$ ). Old adjective, contraction of $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \circ o \varsigma(\gamma ı \nu \circ \mu \alpha)$, legitimately born, not spurious. A collection is a test of one's love for Christ, not the only test, but a real one.

2Cor 8:9
Though he was rich ( $\pi \lambda$ गovolos $\omega v$ ). Concessive present participle $\omega v$ from $\varepsilon \mu$, to be.
Be became poor ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \chi \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Ingressive aorist active indicative of $\pi \tau \omega \chi \varepsilon v \omega$ (see verse 2 on $\pi \tau \omega \chi \varepsilon \mid \alpha)$.

Through his poverty ( $\tau \eta$ ยкعוvov $\pi \tau \omega \chi \varepsilon 1 \alpha$ ). Instrumental case, by means of.
Might become rich ( $\pi \lambda 0 \cup \tau \eta \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Ingressive first aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \lambda$ out $\tau \omega$, to be rich with tva (that). See on Lu 1:53; 1Co 4:8.

2Cor 8:10
Judgment $(\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta \nu)$. Deliberate opinion, but not a "command" ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \alpha \gamma \eta$ verse 8). Cf. 1Co 7:25.

A year ago ( $\alpha \pi 0 \pi \varepsilon \rho v \sigma$ ) From last year.
Not only to do, but also to will (ov $\mu$ оvov то топ $\sigma \alpha 1, ~ a \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ка то $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ı v$ ). Articular infinitives the objects of $\pi \rho \circ \varepsilon v \eta \rho \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ on which verb see verse 6 ). That is to say, the Corinthians promised before any others.

2Cor 8:11
The readiness to will ( $\eta \pi \rho \circ \theta v \mu \iota \alpha$ tov $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ v$ ). Old word from $\pi \rho \circ \theta v \mu \circ \varsigma(\pi \rho \circ, \theta v \mu \circ \varsigma)$, forwardness, eagerness (Ac 17:11). They were quick to pledge.

The completion also (ка то $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha$ ). The finishing also (articular first aorist active infinitive).

Out of your ability ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ тov $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon ı v)$. "Out of the having," literally, and so, "out of what you can give" (verse 12).

2Cor 8:12

Is there ( $\pi \rho$ окєı $\tau \alpha$ ). Lies before one. Old word.
Acceptable ( $\varepsilon \cup \pi \rho о \sigma \delta \varepsilon \kappa \tau о \varsigma)$. See on 6:2.
According as a man hath ( $\kappa \alpha \theta$ o $\varepsilon \alpha v \varepsilon \chi \eta$ ). Indefinite comparative clause with $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ and present subjunctive $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon$. Clearly God does not expect us to give what we do not have.

Not according as he hath not (ov каӨo ovк $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon$ ). Note present indicative rather than subjunctive because a specific case is presented. See 9:7; Mr 12:43.

2Cor 8:13
Others may be eased ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ oıs $\alpha \vee \varepsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma)$. "Release to others."
Ye distressed ( $v \mu \iota \nu \theta \iota \iota ı \varsigma)$. "To you tribulation." The verb $\eta$ (present subjunctive) with tva is not expressed.

2Cor 8:14
By equality ( $\varepsilon \xi$ וботŋтоৎ). Old word from $\iota \sigma \circ \varsigma$, fair, equal. In N.T. only here and Col 4:1.

Abundancy $(\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v \mu \alpha)$. Late word from $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v \omega$ like $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ (verse 2) Cf. Mt 12:34 .

Want $(v \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \alpha)$. Late word from $v \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to be in want. See also 9:12; Lu 21:4 (cf. vбтє९ๆбıৎ in Mr 12:44).

2Cor 8:16
Which putteth ( $\tau \omega \delta \delta \delta o v \tau$ ). Present active articular participle, "who is continually giving." Hence Titus is full of zealous care for you.

2Cor 8:17
Very earnest ( $\sigma \pi \circ \cup \delta \alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma)$. "More earnest than ordinarily," comparative adjective.
2Cor 8:18
We have sent with him ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ av $\sigma 0 v$ ). Epistolary aorist.
The brother ( $\tau 0 v \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o v$ ). This may be, probably is, Luke who may also be the brother of Titus (see also 12:18) according to a common Greek idiom where the article is used as "his." But this idiom is not necessary. As a matter of fact, we do not know who this brother is.

Is spread through all the churches ( $\delta \iota \alpha \pi \alpha \sigma \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \omega \nu)$. No verb in the Greek (ellipsis).

2Cor 8:19
But who was also appointed ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha \chi \varepsilon \rho \circ \tau о \nu \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. Anacoluthon. The first aorist passive participle $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho o \tau o v \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma$ is from $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho o \tau o v \varepsilon \omega$, old verb to stretch out the hands ( $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho$ $\tau \varepsilon \iota \nu \omega)$ and so to vote in public. The idea is that this brother was chosen by the churches, not by Paul. Only here in N.T. save Ac 14:23 where it means to appoint without notion of raising the hands. In Ac 10:41 we have $\pi \rho \circ \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho o \tau o v \varepsilon \omega$.

To travel with us ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \kappa \delta \eta \mu \circ \varsigma)$. Late word for travelling companion. So in the inscriptions ( $\sigma v v$, together with, $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \eta \mu \circ \varsigma$, away from home).

2Cor 8:20
Avoiding this ( $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda$ ou $\varepsilon$ vo $\tau o v \tau o$ ). Present middle participle of $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, old verb, to set, to arrange. So "arranging for ourselves this."

That any man should blame us ( $\mu \eta \tau \tau \varsigma \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma \mu \omega \mu \eta \sigma \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Literally, "lest any one blame us" (negative purpose with $\mu \eta$ and first aorist middle subjunctive of $\mu \omega \mu \varepsilon о \mu \alpha$. See on 6:3, only other N.T. example).

Bounty ( $\alpha \delta \rho o \tau \eta \tau$ ). Old word from $\alpha \delta \rho o \varsigma$, thick, stout, ripe, rich, great as in 1 Ki 1:9; 2Ki 10:6 . Only here in N.T.

2Cor 8:21
We take thought ( $\pi \rho \circ v o u \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Old verb, to plan beforehand ( $\pi \rho o-$ ) as in Ro 12:17; 1 Ti 5:8.

But also in the sight of men ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha \varepsilon v \omega \pi \kappa \circ v \alpha v \rho \omega \pi \omega v$ ). It is not enough for one's financial accounts to be honourable ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha$ ) as God sees them, but they should be so kept that men can understand them also. A timely warning. Paul took the utmost pains that no suspicion could be attached to him in this collection.

2Cor 8:22
Our brother ( $\tau 0 v \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o v \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Not Paul's personal brother, but a brother in Christ, one whom Paul had tested and was willing to trust. It may have been Tychicus or Apollos, but we do not know.

2Cor 8:23
About Titus (vாєр Tıtov). There is no verb expressed. Supply "inquire." He endorses Titus up to the hilt. He is "my partner" ( $\kappa о \imath \omega \nu \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \circ \varsigma)$ and "fellow-worker" ( $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \rho \gamma о \varsigma)$.

Messengers of the churches ( $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau о \lambda о$ єкк $\eta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega \nu$ ). Apostles in the general sense of "sent ones" (from $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, to send) by the churches and responsible to the churches for the handling of the funds.

The glory of Christ ( $\delta o \xi \alpha$ Xpıбтov). Financial agents, please observe.
2Cor 8:24
The proof of your love ( $\tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \nu \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \xi I v \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \varsigma \nu \mu \omega v$ ). There is a word here for pastors and deacons who try to protect the churches from the denominational representatives of kingdom causes.

In the face of the churches ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \nu \tau \omega v \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \omega \omega v$ ). A great host is pictured as watching how the Corinthians will treat these duly accredited agents in the collection (Titus and the other two brethren). It requires courage to stand by such representatives of great causes before stingy saints.

## 2 Corinthians 9

2Cor 9:1
Superfluous ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma 0 v$ ). All the same he does write. "The writing" ( $\tau 0 \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \iota v$ ) ought to be superfluous.

2Cor 9:2
I glory ( $\kappa \alpha \cup \chi \omega \mu \alpha$ ). Present middle indicative. I still am glorying, in spite of the poor performance of the Corinthians.

Hath been prepared ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v a \sigma \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha \zeta \omega$, to make ready, "stands prepared."
 to excite in a good sense here, in a bad sense in Col 3:21, the only N.T. examples.

Very many of them (tou¢ $\pi \lambda \varepsilon$ וovaৎ). The more, the majority.
2Cor 9:3
I sent ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \alpha)$. Not literary plural with this epistolary aorist as in 18,22 .
That ye may be prepared (iva $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v a \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o ~ \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Perfect passive subjunctive in the final clause, "that ye may really be prepared," "as I said" ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ v$ ) and not just say that ye are prepared. Paul's very syntax tells against them.

2Cor 9:4
If there come with me any of Macedonia and find you unprepared ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega \sigma \tau v \sigma v$
 but stated as a lively possibility) with $\varepsilon a v$ and the second aorist active subjunctive ( $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega \sigma \tau v$, $\varepsilon \cup \rho \omega \sigma \tau v)$, a bold and daring challenge. A $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v a \sigma \tau o \varsigma$ is a late and rare verbal adjective from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v a \zeta \omega$ with $\alpha$ privative, only here in the N.T.

Lest by any means we should be put to shame ( $\mu \eta \pi \omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \mid \sigma \chi \nu v \theta \omega \mu \varepsilon v \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Negative purpose with first aorist passive subjunctive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \chi \nu v \omega$ (see on 7:14) in the literary plural.

That we say not, ye ( $\quad v \alpha \mu \eta \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega \mu \varepsilon v \nu \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. A delicate syntactical turn for what he really has in mind. He does wish that they become ashamed of not paying their pledges.

Confidence (vтобтабє). This word, common from Aristotle on, comes from $v \varphi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, to place under. It always has the notion of substratum or foundation as here; 11:17; Heb 1:3 . The papyri give numerous examples (Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary) of the word for "property" in various aspects. So in Heb 11:1 "faith is the title-deed of things hoped for." In the LXX it represents fifteen different Hebrew words.

2Cor 9:5
I thought ( $\varepsilon \not \eta \eta \sigma \mu \eta \nu)$. Epistolary aorist again. See Php 2:25 for the expression here.
Go before ( $\pi \rho \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega \sigma \nu v$ ). Second aorist active of $\pi \rho o \varepsilon \rho \chi o \mu \alpha$. Go to you before I come.

Make up beforehand ( $\pi \rho о к \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \tau \iota \sigma \omega \sigma)$. Late and rare double compound verb $\pi \rho о к а т \alpha \rho \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ (in Hippocrates). Only here in N.T. See катартıцю in 1Co 1:10.

Your afore-promised bounty ( $\tau \eta \nu \pi \rho o \varepsilon \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \nu \varepsilon \nu \lambda o \gamma \iota \alpha \nu \mu \omega \nu$ ). "Blessing" ( $\varepsilon \cup \lambda o \gamma ı a)$ literally, but applied to good deeds also as well as good words (Ge 33:11 ). Note third use of "pro" before. He literally rubs it in that the pledge was overdue.

That the same might be ready ( $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \tau о \mu \eta \nu \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \alpha$ ). Here the infinitive alone ( $\varepsilon ו v \alpha$ ) is used to express purpose without $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ or $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ o r ~ \pi \rho o \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ w i t h ~ t h e ~ a c c u s a t i v e ~ o f ~ g e n e r a l ~$
 occurs with the feminine like the masculine (Mt 25:10).

And not of extortion ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \eta \omega \varsigma \pi \lambda \varepsilon о v \varepsilon \xi \nLeftarrow \nu$ ). "And not as covetousness." Some offerings exhibit covetousness on the part of the giver by their very niggardliness.

2Cor 9:6
Sparingly ( $\varphi \varepsilon \iota \delta o \mu \varepsilon v \omega \varsigma)$. Late and rare adverb made from the present middle participle $\varphi \varepsilon \iota \delta o \mu \varepsilon v o c$ from $\varphi \varepsilon \iota \delta o \mu \alpha$, to spare. It occurs in Plutarch (Alex. 25).

2Cor 9:7
He hath purposed ( $\pi \rho \circ \eta \iota \rho \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect middle indicative of $\pi \rho o \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \mu \alpha$, to choose beforehand, old verb, here only in N.T. Permanent purpose also.

Not grudgingly ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \kappa \lambda v \pi \eta \varsigma$ ). The use of $\mu \eta$ rather than ov shows that the imperative $\pi o เ \varepsilon เ \tau \omega$ (do) or $\delta \iota \delta o \tau \omega$ (give) is to be supplied. Not give as out of sorrow.

Or of necessity ( $\eta \varepsilon \xi$ avaүк $\dagger$ ). As if it were like pulling eye-teeth.
For God loveth a cheerful giver ( $\lambda \lambda \alpha \rho \nu \nu \alpha \rho \delta o \tau \eta v \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha$ o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma)$. Our word "hilarious" comes from inapov which is from ilaoc (propitious), an old and common adjective, only here in N.T.

2Cor 9:8
Is able ( $\delta v v a t \varepsilon$ ). Late verb, not found except here; 13:3; Ro 14:4. So far a Pauline word made from $\delta u v a \tau o \varsigma$, able.

All sufficiency ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \vee \alpha \cup \tau \alpha \rho \kappa \varepsilon ı \alpha v)$. Old word from $\alpha \cup \tau \alpha \rho \kappa \eta \varsigma$ (Php 4:11), common word, in N.T. only here and 1Ti 6:6 ). The use of this word shows Paul's acquaintance with Stoicism. Paul takes this word of Greek philosophy and applies it to the Christian view of life as independent of circumstances. But he does not accept the view of the Cynics in the avoidance of society. Note threefold use of "all" here ( $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha \nu \tau \iota$, $\pi \alpha v \tau о \tau \varepsilon, \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha v$, in everything, always, all sufficiency).

2Cor 9:9
As it is written ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha$ ). Ps 92:3,9. Picture of the beneficent man.
He hath scattered abroad ( $\varepsilon \sigma \kappa о \rho \pi \iota \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\sigma \kappa о \rho \pi \iota \zeta \omega$, to scatter, Koine verb for $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon \delta \alpha v v 0 \mu$ of the Attic. Probably akin to $\sigma \kappa о \rho \pi \iota \circ \varsigma$ (scorpion) from root $\sigma \kappa \alpha \rho \pi$, to cut asunder. See on Mt 12:30. It is like sowing seed.

To the poor ( $\tau$ oı $\pi \varepsilon v \eta \sigma \tau v$ ). Old word from $\pi \varepsilon v a \mu \alpha$, to work for one's living. Latin penuria and Greek $\pi \varepsilon เ v \alpha \omega$, to be hungry, are kin to it. Only N.T. instance and to be distinguished from $\pi \tau \omega \chi \circ \varsigma$, beggar, abjectly poor.

2Cor 9:10
Supplieth ( $\varepsilon \pi \downarrow \chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma \omega v$ ). Late Koine compound verb from $\varepsilon \pi$ and $\chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, just below ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 4: 11$ ). Xор $\eta \gamma \circ$, is old word for leader of a chorus ( $\chi$ ороб, $\eta \gamma \varepsilon о \mu \alpha$ ) or chorus-leader. The verb means to furnish a chorus at one's own expense, then to supply in general. N.T. examples of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ are 2Co 9:10; Ga 3:15; $\mathrm{Col} 2: 19 ; 2 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 5$.

Shall multiply ( $\pi \lambda \eta \theta v v \varepsilon$ ). Future active indicative of $\pi \lambda \eta \theta v v \omega$, old verb from $\pi \lambda \eta \theta v \varsigma$, fulness. Cf. Ac 6:1 .

Fruits ( $\gamma \varepsilon v \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Correct reading (from $\gamma \iota \nu o \mu \alpha$, to become) and not $\gamma \varepsilon \nu v \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ (from $\gamma \varepsilon v v a \omega$, to beget). This spelling is supported by LXX where Thackeray shows that $\gamma \varepsilon v \eta \mu a \tau \alpha$ in LXX refers to vegetables and $\gamma \varepsilon v v \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ to animals. The papyri support this distinction (Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary).

2Cor 9:11
Enriched ( $\pi \lambda$ ovtı$\zeta o \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present passive participle of $\pi \lambda o v \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ for which see on 1 Co 1:5; 2Co 6:10 only other N.T. examples.

Liberality ( $\alpha \pi \lambda 0 \tau \eta \tau \alpha$ ). See on 8:2. Anacoluthon with nominative participle too far from $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \cup \eta \tau \varepsilon$ for agreement. More like the independent use of the participle.

2Cor 9:12
 and $\varepsilon \rho \gamma o v$, work. So public service either in worship to $\operatorname{God}(\operatorname{Lu~1:23)}$ ) or benefaction to others (2Co 9:12; Php 2:30 ). Our word liturgy is this word.

Filleth up ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha v a \pi \lambda \eta \rho o v \sigma \alpha$ ). Present active periphrastic indicative of double compound verb $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha v a \pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$, Koine word, here and 11:9 only in N.T., to fill up by adding to. The Corinthians simply added to the total from others.

Unto God $(\tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega)$. Dative case and with a certain suddenness as at close of verse 11, really a parenthesis between in the somewhat tangled sentence.

2Cor 9:13
Seeing that they glorify God ( $\delta o \xi \alpha \zeta о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ \theta \varepsilon o v)$. Anacoluthon again. The nominative participle used independently like $\pi \lambda$ ovtıऽou

Obedience (vлотаүף). Late and rare word from vлотабб $\omega$, to subject, middle to obey. Only in Paul in N.T.

Of your confession ( $\tau \eta \varsigma о \mu \circ \lambda о \gamma \iota \varsigma \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Old word from о $о \circ \lambda о \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ ( $о \mu \circ \lambda о \gamma о \sigma$, о $\mu о v$, $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ), to say together. It is either to profess (Latin profiteor, to declare openly) or to confess (Latin confiteor, to declare fully, to say the same thing as another). Both confess and profess are used to translate the verb and each idea is present in the substantive. Only the context
can decide. Actions speak louder than words. The brethren in Jerusalem will know by this collection that Gentiles make as good Christians as Jews.

For the liberality of your contribution ( $\alpha \pi \lambda о \tau \eta \tau \tau \eta \varsigma \kappa o เ v \omega v i \alpha \varsigma$ ). This is the point that matters just now. Paul drives it home. On this use of koiv $\omega v$ io see on 8:4.

2Cor 9:14
While they themselves long after you ( $\alpha v \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \pi\llcorner\pi \circ \theta o v \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute of present active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \pi \circ \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ( 5:2).

In you ( $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} \nu \mu \nu \nu$ ). Upon you.
2Cor 9:15
Thanks be to God ( $\chi \alpha \rho ı \varsigma ~ \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). Third time (verses 11,12,15).
For his unspeakable gift ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \alpha v \varepsilon \kappa \delta ı \eta \gamma \eta \tau \omega$ av $\tau 0 v \delta \omega \rho \varepsilon \alpha)$. One of Paul's gems flashed out after the somewhat tangled sentence (verses 10-14) like a gleam of light that clears the air. Words fail Paul to describe the gift of Christ to and for us. He may have coined this word as it is not found elsewhere except in ecclesiastical writers save as a variant (B L) for $\alpha \delta ı \eta \eta \eta \tau 0 v$ in Aristeas 99 ( $\theta a \nu \mu \alpha \sigma \mu \circ v ~ a v \varepsilon \kappa \delta ı \eta \gamma \eta \tau o v$, "wonder beyond description," Moulton
 Eph 3:8 .

## 2 Corinthians 10

2Cor 10:1
Now I Paul myself (Avtoc $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ Пau入oc). Cf. Ga 5:2 . Paul now turns to the third part of the epistle in chapters 10-13 in which he vigorously defends himself against the accusations of the stubborn minority of Judaizers in Corinth. Great ministers of Christ through the ages have had to pass through fiery trials like these. Paul has shown the way for us all. He speaks of himself now plainly, but under compulsion, as is clear. It may be that at this point he took the pen from the amanuensis and wrote himself as in Ga 6:11 .

By the meekness and gentleness of Christ ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \pi \rho \alpha \cup \tau \eta \tau \circ \varsigma \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi เ \varepsilon ו \kappa ı \varsigma \varsigma ~ \tau о \cup ~ X \rho ı \sigma \tau о \cup)$. This appeal shows (Plummer) that Paul had spoken to the Corinthians about the character of Christ. Jesus claimed meekness for himself (Mt 11:29) and felicitated the meek (Mt 5:5 ) and he exemplified it abundantly (Lu 23:34). See on Mt 5:15; 1Co 4:21 for this great word that has worn thin with us. Plutarch combines $\pi \rho \alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma$ with $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \alpha$ as Paul does here. Matthew Arnold suggested "sweet reasonableness" for $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varepsilon ו \kappa \varepsilon ı \alpha$ in Plato, Aristotle, Plutarch. It is in the N.T. only here and Ac 24:4 ( $\tau$ o $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varepsilon เ \kappa \varepsilon \varsigma$ in Php 4:5). In Greek Ethics the equitable man was called $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varepsilon \iota \kappa \eta \varsigma$, a man who does not press for the last farthing of his rights (Bernard).

Lowly among you ( $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon ı v o \varsigma ~ \varepsilon v \nu \mu \nu v$ ). The bad use of $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon ı v o \varsigma$, the old use, but here alone in N.T. in that meaning. Socrates and Aristotle used it for littleness of soul. Probably Paul here is quoting one of the sneers of his traducers in Corinth about his humble conduct while with them ( 1 Co 2:23; 2Co 7:6) and his boldness ( $\alpha \pi \omega v \theta \alpha \rho \rho \omega$ ) when away (1Co 7:16 ). "It was easy to satirize and misrepresent a depression of spirits, a humility of demeanour, which were either the direct results of some bodily affliction, or which the consciousness of this affliction had rendered habitual" (Farrar). The words stung Paul to the quick.

2Cor 10:2
I beseech ( $\delta \varepsilon о \mu \alpha$ ). So here, but $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega$ in verse 1 . Perhaps, "I beg" suits the new turn here.

That I may not when present show courage ( $\tau$ о $\mu \eta \pi \alpha \rho \omega \nu \theta \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). Articular infinitive (aorist active of $\theta \alpha \rho \rho \varepsilon \omega$ ) in the accusative case with negative $\mu \eta$ the direct object of $\delta \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$. Literally, "I beg the not when present ( $\pi \alpha \rho \omega \nu$ nominative present participle agreeing with subject of $\theta \alpha \rho \rho \omega$ in spite of being in the accusative infinitive clause, $\tau \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \eta \theta \rho \rho \eta \sigma \alpha)$ showing courage." The example of humility in Christ makes Paul drop "from magisterial exhortation to earnest entreaty" (Plummer).

As if we walked according to the flesh ( $\omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ~ \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha ~ \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau о \cup \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma) . ~ A n o t h e r ~$ sneering charge as made plain by the use of $\omega \varsigma$ with the participle for the alleged reason.

2Cor 10:3

In the flesh ( $\varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa)$. But that is a very different thing from walking ката $\sigma \alpha \rho к \alpha$ асcording to the standards of the flesh as his enemies charged. It is easy enough to make insinuations.

We war ( $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Literary plural again after $\lambda о ү \iota \zeta о \mu \alpha$ in verse 2 . Old word to
 only the devil and his agents even if wearing the livery of heaven. Paul knew the Roman army well. He knows how to use the military metaphor.

2Cor 10:4
The weapons of our warfare ( $\tau \alpha$ o $\pi \lambda \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \mid \alpha \varsigma) . ~ \Sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \mid \alpha$ (old word, in N.T. only here and $1 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 18$ ) is
campaign and not army as some MSS. have ( $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota)$. But both $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon ı \alpha$ and $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \alpha$ occur in the papyri for the same word (Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 181f.). For or $\lambda \alpha$ (Latin arma) see on 6:7; Rom 6:13; 13:12 .

Of the flesh ( $\sigma \alpha \rho к ⿺ 𠃊)$ ). See on 1Сo 3:3; 2Co 1:12. They had accused him of artifices and craft.

Mighty before God ( $\delta v v a \tau \alpha \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). This dative of personal interest (ethical dative) can be like aбтєוoc $\tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ (Ac 7:20), in God's eyes, as it looks to God.
 old word from $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \mu \rho \omega$, to take down, to tear down walls and buildings. Carries on the military metaphor. O $\chi \cup \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ is old word, common in the Apocrypha, from o $\chi \cup \rho o \omega$, to fortify, and that from oxvpoc (from $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, to hold fast). Nowhere else in N.T. In Cilicia the Romans had to tear down many rocky forts in their attacks on the pirates.

2Cor 10:5
 (ка $\theta a \iota \rho \varepsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma)$ and the present active participle agreeing with $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ in verse 3 (verse 4 a parenthesis). The reasonings or imaginations ( $\lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu$ ovৎ, old word from $\lambda o \gamma \iota \zeta \rho \mu \alpha$, to reckon, only here in N.T. and Ro 2:15 ) are treated as forts or citadels to be conquered.

Every high thing that is exalted ( $\pi \alpha \nu \nu \psi \omega \mu \alpha \varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \rho о \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Same metaphor. $Н \nu \psi \omega \mu \alpha$ from $v \psi o \omega$ is late Koine word (in LXX, Plutarch, Philo, papyri) for height and that figure carried on by $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \rho \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v$. Paul aims to pull down the top-most perch of audacity in their reasonings against the knowledge of God. We need Paul's skill and courage today.

Bringing every thought into captivity ( $\alpha$ I $\chi \mu \lambda \omega \tau \iota \zeta о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \pi \alpha \nu \nu 0 \eta \mu \alpha$ ). Present active participle of $\operatorname{ai\chi } \mu \lambda \lambda \omega \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, common Koine verb from $\operatorname{al\chi } \mu \lambda \lambda \omega \tau 0 \varsigma$, captive in war ( $\alpha \downarrow \chi \mu \eta$, spear, $\alpha \lambda \omega \tau$ тos verbal of $\alpha \lambda_{\iota} \sigma \kappa \circ \mu \alpha$, to be taken). See on Lu 21:24. Paul is the most daring of thinkers, but he lays all his thoughts at the feet of Jesus. For von $\mu \alpha$ (device) see on 2:11.

To the obedience of Christ ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \tau \eta \nu ~ v \pi a \kappa о \eta \nu ~ \tau o v ~ X \rho ı \sigma \tau о v) . ~ O b j e c t i v e ~ g e n i t i v e, ~ " t o ~ t h e ~$ obedience unto Christ." That is Paul's conception of intellectual liberty, freedom in Christ. Deissmann (St. Paul, p. 141) calls this "the mystic genitive."

2Cor 10:6
Being in readiness ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau о\lrcorner \mu \omega \varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. This very idiom occurs in Polybius, Philo, etc. "Holding in readiness." In 12:14 we have $\varepsilon \tau о \iota \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \chi \omega$ for the same idea (adverb $\varepsilon \tau о \mu \omega \varsigma)$.

Disobedience ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa о \eta \nu$ ). Rare word (Plato, papyri) hearing amiss (aside), failing to hear, refusing to heed (cf. Mt 18:17 for same idea in $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa o v \omega$ ). In N.T. only here; Ro 5:19; Heb 2:2 . In contrast with $v \pi \alpha \kappa о \eta$ (obedience) rather than the common $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \iota \theta$ (Ro 11:30,32 ).

When your obedience shall be fulfilled (o $\tau \alpha \nu \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \eta v \mu \omega \nu \eta v \pi \alpha \kappa о \eta$ ). Indefinite temporal clause with otav and first aorist passive subjunctive. Paul expects that the whole church will become obedient to Christ's will soon as came true.

2Cor 10:7
Yelook (B $\lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Either indicative or imperative. Either makes sense but the indicative the best sense.

Before your face ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \nu)$. They ought to look below the surface. If it is imperative, they should see the facts.

That he is Christ's (X $\mathrm{X} \_\sigma \tau \circ v \varepsilon ı v \alpha$ ). Predicate genitive in indirect discourse).
2Cor 10:8
Somewhat abundantly ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \nu \tau$ ). Comparative, "somewhat more abundantly" than I have, in order to show that he is as true a minister of Christ as his accusers are. Concessive (conditional) clause of third class. For $\varepsilon a \nu \tau \varepsilon$ see Ro 14:8.

I shall not be put to shame (ovк $\alpha \iota \sigma \chi \nu v \theta \eta \sigma o \mu \alpha$ ). As a convicted impostor or pretentious boaster (Plummer). First future passive, singular number (not literary plural as in verse 7).

2Cor 10:9
As if I would terrify you by my letters ( $\omega \varsigma \alpha \nu \varepsilon \kappa \varphi \circ \beta \varepsilon \iota v \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma \delta \iota \alpha \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \lambda \omega \nu$ ). This use of $\omega \varsigma \alpha \nu$ with the infinitive is seen in the papyri (Moulton, Prolegomena, p. 167) and it is not $\alpha v$ in the apodosis (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 974, 1040). The active of this old compound verb means to frighten, to terrify. Here only in N.T. It is common in the LXX (Job 7:14; 33:16 ). Note plural (letters) here and cf. 1Co 5:9; 2Co 2:3 .

## 2Cor 10:10

They say ( $\varphi \alpha \sigma \iota v$ ). Reading of B old Latin Vulgate, but Westcott and Hort prefer $\varphi \eta \sigma \iota v$ (says one, the leader). This charge Paul quotes directly.

Weighty and strong ( $\beta \alpha \rho \varepsilon \iota \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota \chi \cup \rho \alpha$ ). These adjectives can be uncomplimentary and mean "severe and violent" instead of "impressive and vigorous." The adjectives bear either sense.

His bodily presence ( $\eta \pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma \iota \alpha$ $\tau 0 v \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \sigma \varsigma)$. This certainly is uncomplimentary. "The presence of his body." It seems clear that Paul did not have a commanding appearance like that of Barnabas (Ac 14:12). He had some physical defect of the eyes (Ga 4:14) and a thorn in the flesh (2Co 12:7). In the second century Acts of Paul and Thecla he is pictured
as small, short, bow-legged, with eye-brows knit together, and an aquiline nose. A forgery of the fourth century in the name of Lucian describes Paul as "the bald-headed, hook-nosed Galilean." However that may be, his accusers sneered at his personal appearance as "weak" ( $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma)$.

His speech of no account (o $\lambda о \gamma o \varsigma \varepsilon \xi o v \theta \varepsilon v \eta \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle of $\varepsilon \xi o v \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \omega$, to treat as nothing (cf. 1Co 1:28). The Corinthians (some of them) cared more for the brilliant eloquence of Apollos and did not find Paul a trained rhetorician (1Co 1:17; 2:1,4; 2Co 11:6 ). He made different impressions on different people. "Seldom has any one been at once so ardently hated and so passionately loved as St. Paul" (Deissmann, St. Paul, p. 70). "At one time he seemed like a man, and at another he seemed like an angel" (Acts of Paul and Thecla). He spoke like a god at Lystra (Ac 14:8-12), but Eutychus went to sleep on him (Ac 20:9). Evidently Paul winced under this biting criticism of his looks and speech.

2Cor 10:11
What we are (oo $\varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon v)$. Rather, "what sort" (oto), not o (what) nor o (who). Literary plural. Hoos is qualitative just as tooovto (such). Paul's quality in his letters when absent ( $\alpha \pi о v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ) and in his deeds when present ( $\pi \alpha \rho о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$ is precisely the same.

2Cor 10:12
To number or compare ourselves ( $\varepsilon v \kappa \rho i v \alpha ~ \eta \sigma v v \kappa \rho i v \alpha)$. Paronomasia here, play on the two words. Evkpıva is first aorist active infinitive of old verb, but here only in N.T., to judge among, to judge one as worthy to be numbered among as here. The second verb $\sigma 0 v \kappa \rho \iota v a($ first aorist active infinitive of $\sigma u v \kappa \rho \iota v \omega$, old verb, in N.T. only here and 1Co 2:13 ) originally meant to combine as in 1Co 2:13 (which see), but here it has the sense of "compare" not found in the old Greek. The papyri use it to mean to decide. Plummer suggests "to pair and compare" for the play on the words here.

Measuring themselves by themselves ( $\varepsilon v$ عavtoı $\varepsilon a v \tau о \cup \varsigma ~ \mu \varepsilon \tau \rho о \cup v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ). Or "in themselves." Keenest sarcasm. Setting themselves up as the standards of orthodoxy these Judaizers always measure up to the standard while Paul falls short.

 into the trap set for him.

Are without understanding ( $o v \sigma u v i \alpha \sigma \iota v$ ). The regular form for present active indicative third plural of $\sigma u v ı \eta \mu$, to comprehend, to grasp. Some MSS. have the late form $\sigma u v i o v \sigma ı v$ (omega form $\sigma v v i \omega$ ). It is a hard thing to see, but it is true. These men do not see their own picture so obvious to others (Eph 5:17; 1Ti 1:7). Cf. Mr 8:17 .

2Cor 10:13
Beyond our measure ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau \alpha ~ \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha)$. "Into the unmeasured things," "the illimitable." Old word, here only in N.T.

Of the province ( $\tau 0 v$ кavovos). Old word ( $\kappa \alpha v v a$ like Hebrew) a reed, a measuring rod. Numerous papyri examples for measuring rod and rules (our word canon). Only twice in N.T., here (also verse 15,16 ) and Ga 6:16 (rule to walk by).

To reach even unto you ( $\varepsilon \varphi \iota \kappa \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \propto \chi \rho \kappa \alpha v \mu \omega v$ ). Second aorist middle infinitive of $\varepsilon \varphi \iota \kappa \nu \varepsilon о \mu \alpha$, old verb, only here and verse 14 in N.T. Paul's measuring-rod extends to Corinth.

2Cor 10:14
We stretch not ourselves overmuch (ov v $\pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ v o \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon a v \tau o v \varsigma)$. Apparently Paul made this double compound verb to express his full meaning (only in Gregory Nazianzen afterwards). "We do not stretch ourselves out beyond our rights."

We came even as far as unto you ( $\alpha \chi \rho \kappa \alpha v \mu \omega \nu \varepsilon \varphi \theta \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu)$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\varphi \theta \alpha v \omega$, to come before, to precede, the original idea which is retained in Mt 12:28 ( Lu 11:20) and may be so here. If so, it means "We were the first to come to you" (which is true, Ac 18:1-18).

2Cor 10:15
In other men's labours ( $\varepsilon \nu \alpha \lambda \lambda о \tau \rho ь \iota \varsigma к о \pi о \iota \varsigma) . ~ A \lambda \lambda о \tau \rho ь \varsigma ~ m e a n s ~ b e l o n g i n g ~ t o ~ a n o t h e r ~$ as in Lu 16:12. Paul founded the church in Corinth.

As your faith groweth ( $\alpha v \xi \alpha \nu o \mu \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute of the present passive participle of $\alpha v \xi \alpha \nu \omega$, to grow.

We shall be magnified ( $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \nu v \theta \eta \nu \alpha$ ). First aorist passive infinitive of $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \nu \nu \omega$, old verb (Lu 1:46) to make great (cf. Php 1:20 of Christ). Indirect discourse after $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta \alpha$ (hope) with the construction of $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \omega$, to hope.

2Cor 10:16
Even unto the parts beyond you ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha v \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \iota \nu \alpha \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Compound adverb (v $v \varepsilon \rho$, єкєıva, beyond those places) used as preposition. Found only here and in ecclesiastical writers.

Things ready to our hand $(\tau \alpha \varepsilon \tau \circ \iota \mu \alpha)$. He had a plenty besides that he could use.
2Cor 10:17
Paul quotes Pr 27:2 .
2Cor 10:18
Is approved ( $\delta о к \iota \mu \varsigma)$. Accepted (from $\delta \varepsilon \chi о \mu \alpha)$ by the Lord. The Lord accepts his own recommendation ( $\sigma u v \iota \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \iota v$, see on 2Co 3:1f. ).

## 2 Corinthians 11

2Cor 11:1
Would that ye could bear with me ( $\circ \varphi \varepsilon \lambda o v ~ \alpha v \varepsilon ı \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \mu \circ v$ ). Koine way of expressing a wish about the present, $o \varphi \varepsilon \lambda o v$ (as a conjunction, really second aorist active indicative of о甲 $\varepsilon \wedge \lambda \omega$ without augment) and the imperfect indicative instead of $\varepsilon \iota \theta \varepsilon$ or $\varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho$ (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1003). Cf. Re 3:15. See Ga 5:12 for future indicative with $O \varphi \varepsilon \lambda o v$ and 1Co 4:8 for aorist. Mov is ablative case after $\alpha v \varepsilon \not \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ (direct middle, hold yourselves back from $\mathrm{me})$. There is a touch of irony here.

Bear with me ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \mu \circ v$ ). Either imperative middle or present middle indicative (ye do bear with me). Same form.

In a little foolishness ( $\mu \kappa \rho о \nu \tau \alpha \varphi \rho о \sigma u \nu \eta \varsigma)$. Accusative of general reference ( $\mu \kappa \rho о \nu$ $\tau)$. "Some little foolishness" (from $\alpha \varphi \rho \omega v$, foolish). Old word only in this chapter in N.T.

2Cor 11:2
With a godly jealousy $(\theta \varepsilon o v \zeta \eta \lambda \omega)$. Instrumental case of $\zeta \eta \lambda o \varsigma$. With a jealousy of God.
I espoused ( $\eta \rho \mu о \sigma \alpha \mu \eta v$ ). First aorist middle indicative of $\alpha \rho \mu \mathrm{\mu} \zeta \omega$, old verb to join, to fit together (from $\alpha \rho \mu \boldsymbol{\rho}$, joint). Common for betrothed, though only here in N.T. The middle voice indicates Paul's interest in the matter. Paul treats the Corinthians as his bride.

2Cor 11:3
The serpent beguiled Eve ( $о$ о $\varphi \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \eta \pi \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ Hzvav). Paul's only mention of the serpent in Eden. The compound $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \omega$ means to deceive completely.

Lest by any means ( $\mu \eta \pi \omega \varsigma$ ). Common conjunction after verbs of fearing.
Corrupted ( $\varphi \theta \alpha \rho \eta$ ). Second aorist passive subjunctive with $\mu \eta \pi \omega \varsigma$ of $\varphi \theta \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$, to corrupt.
2Cor 11:4
Another Jesus ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ ov In $\sigma o u v$ ). Not necessarily a different Jesus, but any other "Jesus" is a rival and so wrong. That would deny the identity.

A different spirit ( $\pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v)$. This is the obvious meaning of $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ in distinction from $\alpha \lambda \lambda o v$ as seen in Ac 4:12; Ga 1:6f. But this distinction in nature or kind is not always to be insisted on.

A different gospel ( $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota o v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho o v$ ). Similar use of $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \nu$.
Ye do well to bear with him ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma \alpha v \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Ironical turn again. "Well do you hold yourselves back from him" (the coming one, whoever he is). Some MSS. have the imperfect $\alpha \nu \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ (did bear with).

2Cor 11:5
That I am not a whit behind the very chiefest apostles ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v v \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \eta \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha \tau \omega v v \pi \varepsilon \rho \lambda \iota \alpha v$ $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \omega v)$. Perfect active infinitive of $v \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb to fall short with the ablative case. The rare compound adverb $\cup \pi \varepsilon \rho \lambda \iota \alpha v$ (possibly in use in the vernacular) is probably ironical
also, "the super apostles" as these Judaizers set themselves up to be. "The extra-super apostles" (Farrar). Also in 12:11. He is not referring to the pillar-of Ga 2:9 .

2Cor 11:6
Rude in speech ( $\iota \delta \omega \tau \tau \eta \varsigma \tau \omega \lambda o \gamma \omega$ ). Locative case with $t \delta t \omega \tau \eta \varsigma$ for which word see on Ac 4:13; 1Co 14:16,23,24. The Greeks regarded a man as $\delta \delta \omega \tau \tau \zeta$ who just attended to his own affairs ( $\tau \alpha \wedge \delta 1 \alpha$ ) and took no part in public life. Paul admits that he is not a professional orator (cf. 10:10), but denies that he is unskilled in knowledge ( $a \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov $\tau \eta \gamma v \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ).

Among all men ( $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha \sigma ı v)$. He has made his mastery of the things of Christ plain among all men. He knew his subject.

2Cor 11:7
In abasing myself ( $\varepsilon \mu \alpha v \tau o v \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ v \omega v$ ). Humbling myself by making tents for a living while preaching in Corinth. He is ironical still about "doing a $\sin$ " ( $\alpha \mu \rho \tau \tau \alpha v \varepsilon \pi \sigma ı \sigma \alpha)$.

For nought ( $\delta \omega \rho \varepsilon \alpha v$ ). Gratis. Accusative of general reference, common adverb. It amounts to sarcasm to ask if he did a sin in preaching the gospel free of expense to them "that ye may be exalted."

2Cor 11:8
I robbed ( $\varepsilon \sigma u \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). Old verb to despoil, strip arms from a slain foe, only here in N.T. He allowed other churches to do more than their share.

Taking wages ( $\lambda \alpha \beta \omega v o \psi \omega v ı v)$. For $o \psi \omega v$ vov see on 1Co 9:7; Ro 6:17. He got his "rations" from other churches, not from Corinth while there.

2Cor 11:9
I was not a burden to any man (ov катєv $\rho \kappa \kappa \eta \sigma \alpha$ ov $\quad \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ ). First aorist active indicative of катаvаркаш. Jerome calls this word one of Paul's cilicisms which he brought from Cilicia. But the word occurs in Hippocrates for growing quite stiff and may be a medical term in popular use. Napка $\omega$ means to become numb, torpid, and so a burden. It is only here and 12:13f. Paul "did not benumb the Corinthians by his demand for pecuniary aid" (Vincent).

From being burdensome ( $\alpha \beta \alpha \rho \eta$ ). Old adjective, free from weight or light ( $\alpha$ privative and $\beta a \rho o \varsigma$, weight) . See on 1Th 2:9 for same idea. Paul kept himself independent.

2Cor 11:10
 exactly, "This glorying shall not be fenced in as regards me." Second future passive of $\varphi \rho a \sigma \sigma \omega$, to fence in, to stop, to block in. Old verb, only here in N.T.

In the regions of Achaia ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau о \iota \varsigma \kappa \lambda \iota \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \tau \eta \varsigma$ А $\propto \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. $К \lambda \iota \mu \alpha$ from $\kappa \lambda \iota \nu \omega$, to incline, is Koine word for declivity slope, region (our climate). See chapter 1Co 9 for Paul's boast about preaching the gospel without cost to them.

2Cor 11:11
God knoweth (o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma o i \delta \varepsilon v)$. Whether they do or not. He knows that God understands his motives.

2Cor 11:12
That I may cut off occasion ( $\imath v \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \kappa о \psi \omega \tau \eta \nu \alpha \varphi о \rho \mu \eta \nu$ ). Purpose clause with $\tau v \alpha$ and first aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa о \pi \tau \omega$, old verb to cut out or off (Mt 3:10; 5:30). See 2Co 5:12 for $\alpha \varphi о \rho \mu \eta \nu$.

From them which desire an occasion ( $\tau \omega v \theta \varepsilon \lambda o v \tau \omega v \alpha \varphi о \rho \mu \eta v$ ). Ablative case after $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa о \psi \omega$. There are always some hunting for occasions to start something against preachers.

They may be found ( $\varepsilon v \rho \varepsilon \theta \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive of $\varepsilon v \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$, to find with final conjunction v a.

2Cor 11:13
False apostles ( $\psi \varepsilon v \delta a \pi \sigma \sigma \tau о \lambda o)$. From $\psi \varepsilon v \delta \eta \varsigma$, false, and $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau o \lambda o \varsigma$. Paul apparently made this word (cf. Re 2:2 ). In verse 26 we have $\psi \varepsilon v \delta \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o \varsigma$, a word of like formation (Ga 2:4). See also $\psi \varepsilon v \delta о \chi \rho ı \sigma \tau o$ and $\psi \varepsilon v \delta о \pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \alpha$ in Mr 13:22.

Deceitful ( $\delta$ o $\lambda ı$ ). Old word from $\delta$ o $\lambda_{o \varsigma}$ (lure, snare), only here in N.T. (cf. Ro 16:18 ).

Fashioning themselves ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present middle (direct) participle of the old verb $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ for which see on 1Co 4:6. Masquerading as apostles of Christ by putting on the outward habiliments, posing as ministers of Christ ("gentlemen of the cloth," nothing but cloth). Paul plays with this verb in verses $13,14,15$.

2Cor 11:14
An angel of light ( $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o v \varphi \omega \tau \circ \varsigma$ ). The prince of darkness puts on the garb of light and sets the fashion for his followers in the masquerade to deceive the saints. "Like master like man." Cf. 2:11; Ga 1:8. This terrible portrayal reveals the depth of Paul's feelings about the conduct of the Judaizing leaders in Corinth. In Ga 2:4 he terms those in Jerusalem "false brethren."

2Cor 11:15
As ministers of righteousness ( $\omega \varsigma$ סıакоvo $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma v \nu \eta \varsigma$ ). Jesus (Joh 10:1-21) terms these false shepherds thieves and robbers. It is a tragedy to see men in the livery of heaven serve the devil.

2Cor 11:16
Let no man think me foolish ( $\mu \eta \tau \iota \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \delta \sigma \xi \eta \alpha \varphi \rho \circ v \alpha \varepsilon \iota v \alpha$ ). Usual construction in a negative prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and the aorist subjunctive $\delta o \xi \eta$ (Robertson, Grammar, p. 933).

But if ye do $(\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \mu \eta \gamma \varepsilon)$. Literally, "But if not at least (or otherwise)," that is, If you do think me foolish.

Yet as foolish ( $\kappa \alpha v \omega \varsigma \alpha \varphi \rho о v \alpha$ ). "Even if as foolish." Paul feels compelled to boast of his career and work as an apostle of Christ after the terrible picture just drawn of the Judaizers. He feels greatly embarrassed in doing it. Some men can do it with complete composure (sang froid).

2Cor 11:17

Not after the Lord (ou kata Kupıov). Not after the example of the Lord. He had appealed to the example of Christ in 10:1 (the meekness and gentleness of Christ). Paul's conduct here, he admits, is not in keeping with that. But circumstances force him on.

2Cor 11:18
After the flesh (ката барка). It is ката $\sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha$ not ката Kupıv.
I also $(\kappa \alpha \gamma \omega)$. But he knows that it is a bit of foolishness and not like Christ.
2Cor 11:19
 wise).

2Cor 11:20
For ye bear with a man ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho$ ). " You tolerate tyranny, extortion, craftiness, arrogance, violence, and insult" (Plummer). Sarcasm that cut to the bone. Note the verb with each of the five conditional clauses (enslaves, devours, takes captive, exalteth himself, smites on the face). The climax of insult, smiting on the face.

2Cor 11:21
By way of disparagement ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha \tau \mu ı \alpha v$ ). Intense irony. Cf. 6:8.
As though ( $\omega \varsigma$ o $\tau$ ). Presented as the charge of another. "They more than tolerate those who trample on them while they criticize as 'weak' one who shows them great consideration" (Plummer). After these prolonged explanations Paul "changes his tone from irony to direct and masterful assertion" (Bernard).

I am bold also ( $\tau \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mu \omega \kappa \alpha \gamma \omega)$. Real courage. Cf. 10:2,12 .
2Cor 11:22
So am I ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \omega$ ). This is his triumphant refrain with each challenge.
2Cor 11:23
As one beside himself ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \varphi \rho \circ v \omega v$ ). Present active participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \varphi \rho o v \varepsilon \omega$. Old verb from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \varphi \rho \omega v$ ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha, \varphi \rho \eta v$ ), beside one's wits. Only here in N.T. Such open boasting is out of accord with Paul's spirit and habit.

I more (v $v \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ). This adverbial use of $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ appears in ancient Greek (Euripides). It has no effect on $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$, not "more than I," but "I more than they." He claims superiority now to these "superextra apostles."
 clear.

In prisons ( $\varepsilon v \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha ı \varsigma)$. Plural also in 6:5. Clement of Rome (Cor. V.) says that Paul was imprisoned seven times. We know of only five (Philippi, Jerusalem, Caesarea, twice in Rome), and only one before II Corinthians (Philippi). But Luke does not tell them all nor does Paul. Had he been in prison in Ephesus? So many think and it is possible as we have seen.


#### Abstract

Above measure（ $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda$ ov $\tau \omega \varsigma$ ）．Old adverb from the participle $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda o v \tau \omega v$ （vォ $\rho \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ ，to hurl beyond）．Here only in N．T．


In deaths oft（ $\varepsilon v$ Өavatoıя то入入акıц）．He had nearly lost his life，as we know，many times（1：9f．；4：11）．

2Cor 11：24
Five times received I forty stripes save one（ $\pi \varepsilon v \tau \alpha \kappa เ \varsigma ~ \tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \rho \alpha \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ~ \mu ı \alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o v)$ ． The Acts and the Epistles are silent about these Jewish floggings（Mt 27：36）．See on Lu 12：47 for omission of $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \alpha \varsigma$（stripes）．Thirty－nine lashes was the rule for fear of a miscount（De 25：1－3 ）．Cf．Josephus（Ant．IV．8，1，21）．

2Cor 11：25
Thrice was I beaten with rods（ $\tau \rho \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \rho \rho \alpha \beta \delta \iota \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ ）．Roman（Gentile）punishment．It was forbidden to Roman citizens by the Lex Porcia，but Paul endured it in Philippi（Ac 16：23，37），the only one of the three named in Acts．First aorist passive of $\rho \alpha \beta \delta \iota \zeta \omega$ ，from $\rho \alpha \beta \delta o \varsigma$, rod，Koine word，in N．T．only here and Ac 16：22 which see．

Once was I stoned（ $\left.\alpha \pi \alpha \xi \varepsilon \lambda_{\lambda} \theta \alpha \sigma \theta \eta v\right)$ ．Once for all $\alpha \pi \alpha \xi$ means．At Lystra（Ac 14：5－19 ）．On $\lambda_{1} \theta_{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ Koine verb from $\lambda_{1} \theta_{0}$ ，see on Ac 5：26．

Thrice I suffered shipwreck（ $\tau \rho \iota \varsigma \varepsilon v \alpha v \alpha \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha$ ）．First aorist active of $v \alpha v \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ ，from vavaүoc，shipwrecked（vavc，ship，$\alpha \gamma v v \mu$ ，to break）．Old and common verb，in N．T．only here and 1Ti 1：19．We know nothing of these．The one told in Ac 27 was much later．What a pity that we have no data for all these varied experiences of Paul．

Night and day（ $v v \chi \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho o v$ ）Rare word．Papyri give $v v \kappa \tau \eta \mu \alpha \rho$ with the same idea （night－day）．

Have I been in the deep（ $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \beta \cup \theta \omega \pi \varepsilon \pi о џ \eta \kappa \alpha)$ ．Vivid dramatic perfect active indicative of $\pi$ tote ，＂I have done a night and day in the deep．＂The memory of it survives like a night－ mare．BuӨos is old word（only here in N．T．）for bottom，depth of the sea，then the sea itself． Paul does not mean that he was a night and day under the water，not a Jonah experience， only that he was far out at sea and shipwrecked．This was one of the three shipwrecks－already named．

2Cor 11：26
In journeyings（odoıtopıaıs）．Locative case of old word，only here in N．T．and Joh 4：6 ，from oठoıло $о$ ¢ $\varsigma$ ，wayfarer．

In perils（ $\kappa ı v \delta u v o \iota \varsigma) . ~ L o c a t i v e ~ c a s e ~ o f ~ \kappa ı v \delta u v o c, ~ o l d ~ w o r d ~ f o r ~ d a n g e r ~ o r ~ p e r i l . ~ I n ~ N . T . ~ T$ only this verse and Ro 8：35．The repetition here is very effective without the preposition $\varepsilon v$ （in）and without conjunctions（asyndeton）．They are in contrasted pairs．The rivers of Asia Minor are still subject to sudden swellings from floods in the mountains．Cicero and Pompey won fame fighting the Cilician pirates and robbers（note $\lambda \eta \iota \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ ，not $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \nu$ ，thieves， brigands or bandits on which see Mt 26：55）．The Jewish perils（ $\varepsilon \kappa \gamma \varepsilon v o v \varsigma$ ，from my race） can be illustrated in Ac 9：23，29；13：50；14：5；17：5，13；18：12；23：12；24：27，and they were all
perils in the city also. Perils from the Gentiles ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \theta v \omega v$ ) we know in Philippi (Ac 16:20) and in Ephesus (Ac 19:23f. ). Travel in the mountains and in the wilderness was perilous in spite of the great Roman highways.

Among false brethren ( $\varepsilon v \psi \varepsilon v \delta \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o \iota \varsigma)$. Chapters 2Co 10; 11 throw a lurid light on this aspect of the subject.

2Cor 11:27
In labour and travail ( $\kappa о \pi \omega \kappa \alpha \mu \circ \chi \theta \omega$ ). Both old words for severe work, combined here as in 1Th 2:9; 2Th 3:8, "by toil and moil" (Plummer). The rest of the list is like the items in 2Co 6:4f. .

In cold $(\varepsilon v \psi v \chi \varepsilon)$. Old word from $\psi v \chi \omega$, to cool by blowing. See Ac 28:2 . See the picture of the aged Paul later in the Roman dungeon (2Ti 4:9-18).

2Cor 11:28
Besides those things that are without ( $\chi \omega \rho \iota \varsigma \tau \omega \nu \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \tau о \varsigma)$. Probably, "apart from those things beside these just mentioned." Surely no man ever found glory in such a peck of troubles as Paul has here recounted. His list should shame us all today who are disposed to find fault with our lot.

That which presseth upon me daily ( $\eta \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma \mu \circ \eta \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu$ ). For this vivid word $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota \varsigma$ see Ac 24:12, the only other place in the N.T. where it occurs. It is like the rush of a mob upon Paul.

Anxiety for all the churches ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \mu \nu \alpha \pi \alpha \sigma \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \omega \nu)$. Objective genitive after $\mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \mu \nu \alpha$ (distractions in different directions, from $\mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta \omega$ ) for which word see on Mt 13:22. Paul had the shepherd heart. As apostle to the Gentiles he had founded most of these churches.

2Cor 11:29
I burn $(\pi v \rho \circ v \mu \alpha)$. Present passive indicative of $\pi \nu \rho o \omega$, old verb to inflame (from $\pi v \rho$, fire). When a brother stumbles, Paul is set on fire with grief.

2Cor 11:30
The things that concern my weakness ( $\tau \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon ı \alpha \varsigma \mu \circ v$ ). Like the list above.
2Cor 11:31
I am not lying (ov $\psi \varepsilon \cup \delta o \mu \alpha$ ). The list seems so absurd and foolish that Paul takes solemn oath about it (cf. 1:23). For the doxology see Ro 1:25; 9:5 .

2Cor 11:32
The governor under Aretas (o $\varepsilon \theta v \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma H \alpha \rho \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). How it came to pass that Damascus, ruled by the Romans after B.C. 65, came at this time to be under the rule of Aretas, fourth of the name, King of the Nabatheans (II Macc. 5:8), we do not know. There is an absence of Roman coins in Damascus from A.D. 34 to 62. It is suggested (Plummer) that Caligula, to mark his dislike for Antipas, gave Damascus to Aretas (enemy of Antipas).

Guarded ( $\varepsilon \varphi \rho \circ 0 \rho \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active of $\varphi \rho o v \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb (from $\varphi \rho o v \rho o \varsigma$, a guard) to guard by posting sentries. In Ac 9:24 we read that the Jews kept watch to seize Paul, but there is no conflict as they cooperated with the guard set by Aretas at their request.

To seize ( $\pi \iota \alpha \sigma \alpha)$. Doric first aorist active infinitive of $\pi \iota \varepsilon \zeta \omega(\operatorname{Lu} 6: 38)$ for which see on Ac 3:7.

2Cor 11:33
Through a window ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ טv $\iota \delta o \varsigma$ ). For this late word see on Ac $20: 9$, the only N.T. example.

Was I let down ( $\varepsilon \chi \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \nu)$. First aorist passive of $\chi \alpha \lambda \alpha \omega$, the very word used by Luke in Ac 9:25.

In a basket ( $\varepsilon \nu \sigma \alpha \rho \gamma \alpha \nu \eta$ ). Old word for rope basket whereas Luke (Ac 9:25) has $\varepsilon v$ $\sigma \varphi v \rho เ \delta$ (the word for the feeding of the 4,000 while корıvos is the one for the 5,000 ). This was a humiliating experience for Paul in this oldest city of the world whither he had started as a conqueror over the despised Christians.

## 2 Corinthians 12

2Cor 12:1
I must needs glory ( $\kappa \alpha u \chi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \delta \varepsilon$ ). This is the reading of B L Latin Syriac, but Aleph D Bohairic have $\delta \varepsilon$ while K M read $\delta \eta$. The first is probably correct. He must go on with the glorying already begun, foolish as it is, though it is not expedient (ov $\sigma \cup \mu \varphi \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ).

Visions (o $\pi \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. Late word from o $\pi \tau \alpha \zeta \omega$. See on Lu 1:22; Ac 26:19.
Revelations of the Lord ( $\alpha \pi о к \alpha \lambda \nu \psi \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ K v \rho ı o v) . ~ U n v e i l i n g s ~(f r o m ~ \alpha \pi о к а \lambda u \pi \tau \omega ~ a s ~ i n ~$ Re 1:1 ). See on 2Th 1:7; 1Co 1:7; 14:26. Paul had both repeated visions of Christ (Ac 9:3; 16:9; 18:9; 22:17; 27:23f. ) and revelations. He claimed to speak by direct revelation (1Co 11:23; 15:3; Ga 1:12; Eph 3:3 , etc.).

2Cor 12:2
I know a man (ot $\delta \alpha \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi o v$ ). Paul singles out one incident of ecstasy in his own experience that he declines to describe. He alludes to it in this indirect way as if it were some other personality.

Fourteen years ago ( $\pi \rho o \varepsilon \tau \omega v \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \omega v$ ). Idiomatic way of putting it, the preposition $\pi \rho o$ (before) before the date (Robertson, Grammar, p. 621f.) as in Joh 12:1. The date was probably while Paul was at Tarsus (Ac 9:30; 11:25). We have no details of that period.

 unlikely that Paul alludes to the idea of seven heavens held by some Jews (Test. of the Twelve Pat., Levi ii. iii.). He seems to mean the highest heaven where God is (Plummer).

2Cor 12:3
I do not know (ouk ot $\delta \alpha$ ). Paul declines to pass on his precise condition in this trance. We had best leave it as he has told it.

2Cor 12:4
Into Paradise ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \varepsilon \iota \sigma o v)$. See on Lu 23:43 for this interesting word. Paul apparently uses paradise as the equivalent of the third heaven in verse 2. Some Jews (Book of the Secrets of Enoch, chapter viii) make Paradise in the third heaven. The rabbis had various ideas (two heavens, three, seven). We need not commit Paul to any "celestial gradation" (Vincent).

Unspeakable words ( $\alpha \rho \rho \eta \tau \alpha \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Old verbal adjective ( $\alpha$ privative, $\rho \eta \tau o \varsigma$ from $\rho \varepsilon \omega)$, only here in N.T.

Not lawful (ouk \& $\xi \circ v$ ). Copula $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ omitted. Hence Paul does
not give these words.
2Cor 12:5
But on mine own behalf (v $v \varepsilon \rho \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu \alpha v \tau o v)$. As if there were two Pauls. In a sense there were. He will only glory in the things mentioned above, the things of his weaknesses ( 11:30).

2Cor 12:6
I shall not be foolish (ovк $\varepsilon \sigma o \mu \alpha \alpha \varphi \rho \omega v$ ). Apparent contradiction to 11:1,16. But he is here speaking of the Paul "caught up" in case he should tell the things heard (condition of the third class, $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and first aorist subjunctive $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \omega)$.

Of me ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). To my credit, almost like dative (cf. $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \mu \circ$ in 1Co 14:11).
2Cor 12:7
By reason of the exceeding greatness ( $\tau \eta v \pi \varepsilon \rho \beta \circ \lambda \eta$ ). Instrumental case, "by the excess."
That I should not be exalted overmuch ( $\imath \alpha \alpha \mu \eta v \varepsilon \rho \alpha \iota \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ ). Present passive subjunctive in final clause of $v \pi \varepsilon \rho a \iota \rho \omega$, old verb to lift up beyond, only here in N.T. This clause is repeated at the end of the sentence.

A thorn in the flesh ( $\sigma \kappa о \lambda о \pi \varsigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa$ ). This old word is used for splinter, stake, thorn. In the papyri and inscriptions examples occur both for splinter and thorn as the meaning. In the LXX it is usually thorn. The case of $\tau \eta \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa$ can be either locative (in) or dative (for). What was it? Certainly it was some physical malady that persisted. All sorts of theories are held (malaria, eye-trouble, epilepsy, insomnia, migraine or sick-headache, etc.). It is a blessing to the rest of us that we do not know the particular affliction that so beset Paul. Each of us has some such splinter or thorn in the flesh, perhaps several at once.

Messenger of Satan $(\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma \Sigma \alpha \tau \alpha v \alpha)$. Angel of Satan, the affliction personified.
Buffet (ко入 $\alpha \varphi \iota \zeta \eta$ ). See on Mt 26:67; 1Со 4:11 for this late and rare word from ко $\lambda \alpha \varphi о \varsigma$, fist. The messenger of Satan kept slapping Paul in the face and Paul now sees that it was God's will for it to be so.

2Cor 12:8
Concerning this thing (v $\tau \varepsilon \rho$ tov $\tau 0 v$ ). More likely, "concerning this messenger of Satan."

That it might depart from me (ıv $\left.\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \eta \alpha \varphi^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu o v\right)$. Second aorist active (intransitive) subjunctive of $\alpha \varphi ⿺ \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ in final clause, "that he stand off from me for good."

2Cor 12:9
He hath said ( $\varepsilon \iota\lceil\kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative, as if a final word. Paul probably still has the thorn in his flesh and needs this word of Christ.

Is sufficient ( $\alpha \rho \kappa \varepsilon$ ). Old word of rich meaning, perhaps kin to Latin arceo, to ward off against danger. Christ's grace suffices and abides.

Is perfected ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ ). Present passive indicative of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to finish. It is linear in idea. Power is continually increased as the weakness grows. See Php 4:13 for this same noble conception. The human weakness opens the way for more of Christ's power and grace.

Most gladly rather ( $\eta \delta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$ ). Two adverbs, one superlative ( $\eta \delta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ ), one comparative ( $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$ ). "Rather" than ask any more (thrice already) for the removal of the thorn or splinter "most gladly will I glory in my weaknesses." Slowly Paul had learned this supreme lesson, but it will never leave him (Ro 5:2; 2Ti 4:6-8).

May rest upon me ( $\varepsilon \pi / \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \omega \sigma \eta \varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). Late and rare verb in first aorist active subjunctive with $\imath v a$ (final clause), to fix a tent upon, here upon Paul himself by a bold metaphor, as if the Shechinah of the Lord was overshadowing him (cf. Lu 9:34), the power ( $\delta v v a \mu \iota$ ) of the Lord Jesus.

2Cor 12:10
 . The enemies of Paul will have a hard time now in making Paul unhappy by persecutions even unto death (Php 1:20-26 ). He is not courting martyrdom, but he does not fear it or anything that is "for Christ's sake" (v $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 v$ ).

For when (o $\tau \alpha v \gamma \alpha \rho$ ). "For whenever," indefinite time.
Then I am strong ( $\tau 0 \tau \varepsilon \delta v v a \tau o \varsigma \varepsilon \ell \mu$ ). At that very time, but not in myself, but in the fresh access of power from Christ for the emergency.

2Cor 12:11
I am become foolish ( $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \sigma v \alpha \alpha \varphi \rho \omega v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\gamma \iota \nu o \mu \alpha$. In spite of what he said in verse 6 that he would not be foolish if he gloried in the other Paul. But he feels that he has dropped back to the mood of $11: 1,16$. He has been swept on by the memory of the ecstasy.

For I ought to have been commended by you ( $\left.\varepsilon \gamma \omega \gamma \alpha \rho \omega \varphi \varepsilon \iota \lambda \nu v \varphi^{\prime} v \mu \omega \nu \sigma v \nu I \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha\right)$. Explanation of "ye compelled me." Imperfect active $\omega \varphi \varepsilon ı \lambda o \nu$ of $o \varphi \varepsilon \iota \lambda \omega$, to be under obligation, and the tense here expresses an unfulfilled obligation about the present. But $\sigma v \nu เ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$ is present passive infinitive, not aorist or perfect passive. He literally means, "I ought now to be commended by you" instead of having to glorify myself. He repeats his boast already made (11:5f. ), that he is no whit behind "the super-extra apostles" (the Judaizers), "though I am nothing" ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ov $\delta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \not \mu$ ). Even boasting himself against those false apostles causes a reaction of feeling that he has to express (cf. 1Co 15:9; 1Ti 1:15f. ).

2Cor 12:12
Of an apostle ( $\tau 0 v a \pi о \sigma \tau о \lambda o v)$. "Of the apostle" (definite article). Note the three words here for miracles wrought by Paul ( $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon ı \alpha$, signs, $\tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \tau \alpha$, wonders, $\delta v v \alpha \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma$, powers or miracles) as in Heb 2:4 .

2Cor 12:13
Wherein ye were made inferior (o $\eta \sigma \sigma \omega \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\eta \sigma \sigma o o \mu \alpha$, the text of Aleph B D instead of the usual $\eta \tau \tau \eta \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ from the common $\eta \tau \tau \alpha o \mu \alpha$ to be inferior or less from the comparative $\eta \tau \tau \omega v$. See $\eta \sigma \sigma \omega v$ in verse 15 . Ho is the neuter accusative with the passive verb (Robertson, Grammar, p. 479).

Forgive me this wrong ( $\chi \alpha \rho ı \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon \mu о \tau \eta \nu \alpha \delta ı \kappa ı \alpha \nu \tau \alpha v \tau \eta \nu)$. Consummate irony to the stingy element in this church (cf. 11:9).

2Cor 12:14

Third time I am ready to come ( $\tau \rho \iota \tau \circ \nu \tau$ тоvто $\varepsilon \tau о \mu \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \chi \omega$ ). Had he been already twice or only once? He had changed his plans once when he did not go (1:15f. ). He will not change his plans now. This looks as if he had only been once (that in Ac 18 ). Note the third use of катаvаркаш (11:9; 12:13,14). They need not be apprehensive. He will be as financially independent of them as before. "I shall not sponge on you."

Not yours, but you (ov $\tau \alpha \nu \mu \omega \nu, \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. The motto of every real preacher.
To lay up ( $\theta \eta \sigma a \cup \rho ı(\varepsilon ı v)$. For this use of the verb see 1Co 16:2 (Mt 6:19-21; Jas 5:3).
2Cor 12:15
I will most gladly spend and be spent ( $\eta \delta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \delta \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \eta \sigma \omega$ ка $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \eta \theta \eta \sigma o \mu \alpha)$. Both future active of old verb $\delta \alpha \pi \alpha v \alpha \omega$ (Mr 5:26 ) to spend money, time, energy, strength and the future passive of $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \alpha \pi \alpha v a \omega$, late compound to spend utterly, to spend out, ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ ), to spend wholly. Only here in N.T.

2Cor 12:16
I did not myself burden you ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ov катє $\beta \alpha \rho \eta \sigma \alpha$ v $\mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). First aorist active of late verb $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to press a burden down on one. Only here in N.T.

Crafty ( $\pi \alpha v o v \rho \gamma o \varsigma)$. Old word from $\pi \alpha v$, all, and $\varepsilon \rho \gamma 0$, to do anything (good or bad). Good sense is skilful, bad sense cunning. Only here in N.T. and Paul is quoting the word from his enemies.

With guile $(\delta \mathrm{o} \lambda \omega)$. Instrumental case of $\delta o \lambda o \varsigma$, bait to catch fish with. The enemies of Paul said that he was raising this big collection for himself. Moffatt has done well to put these charges in quotation marks to make it plain to readers that Paul is ironical.

2Cor 12:17
Did I take advantage ( $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \varepsilon \sigma v \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \sigma \alpha)$. Paul goes right to the point without hedging. For this verb from $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \sigma v$ and $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, to have more, see on 2Co 2:11; 7:2 .

By any one of them ( $\tau \imath v \alpha--\delta \iota^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v$ ). An anacoluthon for $\tau ı v a$ is left in the accusative without a verb and $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ avtov takes up the idea, "as to any one by him."

Whom $(\omega v)$. The genitive relative is attracted from the accusative ovc into the case of the unexpressed antecedent tov $\tau 0 v$ ). $\mathrm{M} \eta$ expects the negative answer as does $\mu \eta \tau$ in 18 .

2Cor 12:18
The brother ( $\tau 0 v \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o v$ ). Probably the brother of Titus (cf. 8:18).
Did Titus take advantage of you? ( $\mu \eta \tau \varepsilon \pi \lambda \varepsilon \sigma v \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma$ Tıтoo?). That puts the issue squarely.

By the same Spirit ( $\tau \omega \alpha v \tau \omega \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau$ ). That translation refers to the Holy Spirit and makes the case instrumental. The locative case, "in the same spirit," makes it mean that Paul's attitude is the same as that of Titus and most likely is correct, for "in the same steps" ( $\tau$ oıs $\alpha$ ขтоıя ı $\chi$ veбเv) is in locative case.

2Cor 12:19

Ye think all this time ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha$ סокєıtє). Progressive present indicative, "for a long time ye have been thinking."

We are excusing ourselves ( $\alpha \pi о \lambda о ү о \nu \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). He is not just apologizing, but is in deadly earnest, as they will find out when he comes.

2Cor 12:20
Lest by any means, when I come, I should find you not such as I would ( $\mu \eta \pi \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega v$ ovx oovv $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega \varepsilon v \rho \omega v \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. An idiomatic construction after the verb of fearing ( $\varphi$ о $\beta \circ v \mu \alpha)$ with $\mu \eta \pi \omega \varsigma$ as the conjunction and with oux as the negative of the verb $\varepsilon \cup \rho \omega$ (second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon v \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega), \mu \eta$ the conjunction, ovX the negative. See Robertson, Grammar, p. 995.

And I be found ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \omega \varepsilon \cup \rho \varepsilon \theta \omega$ ). Same construction with first aorist passive subjunctive.
Such as ye would not (oıov ov $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Neat change in voice just before and position of the negative here.

Lest by any means ( $\mu \eta \pi \omega \varsigma$ ). Still further negative purpose by repeating the conjunction. With graphic pen pictures Paul describes what had been going on against him during his long absence.

Backbitings (ката入а入ıa). Late and rare word. In N.T. only here and 1Pe 2:1 . If it only existed nowhere else!

Whisperings ( $\psi \iota \theta v \rho ı \sigma \mu \circ$ ). Late word from $\psi \iota \theta v \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, to whisper into one's ear. An onomatopoetic word for the sibilant murmur of a snake charmer (Ec 10:11). Only here in N.T.

Swellings ( $\varphi$ vol $\omega \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ). From $\varphi$ voıo, to swell up, late word only here and in ecclesiastical writers. Did Paul make up the word for the occasion? See on 1Co 4:6 for verb.

Tumults ( $\alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma ı \alpha)$. See on 2Co 6:5 .
2Cor 12:21
When I come again ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \varepsilon \lambda$ (ovtoc $\mu \circ v$ ). Genitive absolute. Paul assumes it as true.
Lest my God humble me ( $\mu \eta \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon ı \nu \omega \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon$ o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma \mu о v$ ). Negative final clause ( $\mu \eta$ and first aorist active subjunctive), going back to $\varphi o \beta o v \mu \alpha$ in 20 . He means a public humiliation as his fear. The conduct of the church had been a real humiliation whether he refers to a previous visit or not.

That have sinned heretofore ( $\tau \omega v \pi \rho о \eta \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \kappa о \tau \omega v$ ). Genitive plural of the articular perfect active participle of $\pi \rho \circ \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v \omega$ to emphasize continuance of their sinful state as opposed to $\mu \eta \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$ (did not repent) in the aorist tense.

## 2 Corinthians 13

2Cor 13:1
The third time I am coming ( $\tau \rho ı \tau о v \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ ). Either the third that he had planned to come or that he had been twice. The warning is made by quoting De 19:15.

2Cor 13:2
As when I was present the second time ( $\omega \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \omega v$ тo $\delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ). This translation assumes the second visit as already made. It is a natural way to take the Greek $\omega \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \omega v$. But $\omega \varsigma$ with $\pi \alpha \rho \omega \nu$ can also mean "as if present" the second time (Authorized Version). Probably "as when" is the more natural rendering, but the other cannot be ruled entirely out in view of 1:15-23.

If I come again ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \pi \pi \alpha \lambda \iota v$ ). Condition of third class. The use of $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota v$ of itself suits the idea that Paul had not yet made the second visit as it means simply "again" or "back," but in Mt 26:44 we find $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota v \varepsilon \kappa \tau \rho \iota \tau o v$ (again a third time) and so it is not decisive.

2Cor 13:3
A proof of Christ ( $\delta о к ц \eta \nu$ тov Xpıбтov). He will give it to them. "I will not spare." He will show that Christ speaks "in me" ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \mu \circ$ ).

2Cor 13:4
But we shall live with him through the power of God ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \zeta \eta \sigma o \mu \varepsilon v \sigma \nu \nu \alpha \cup \tau \omega \varepsilon \kappa$ $\delta u v a \mu \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \theta \varepsilon \sigma v)$. So real is Paul's sense of his union with Christ.

2Cor 13:5
Unless indeed ye be reprobate ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta \tau \alpha \delta о к \mu о \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). Paul challenged his opposers in Corinth to try ( $\pi \varepsilon เ \rho \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ) themselves, to test ( $\delta$ окццаऍєєє) themselves, whether they were "in the faith" ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ), a much more vital matter for them than trying to prove Paul a heretic. Such tests can be made, unless, alas, they are "reprobate" (aбокцо, the very adjective that Paul held up before himself as a dreadful outcome to be avoided, 1Co 9:27).

2Cor 13:6
That ye shall know (o $\tau \varepsilon \pi \not \gamma v \omega \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Such a testing of themselves will give them full knowledge that Paul is not
reprobate ( $\alpha \delta$ окцноя). The best way for vacillating Christians to stop it is to draw close to Christ.

2Cor 13:7
Though we be as reprobate ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha \delta$ окцо $\omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Literally, "And that" (ıv $\delta \varepsilon$ ). Paul wishes them to do no wrong ( $\kappa \alpha \kappa о v \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v$ ). He has no desire to exercise his apostolic authority and "appear approved" ( $\delta$ окцо $\varphi \alpha \nu \omega \mu \varepsilon v$, second aorist passive subjunctive of $\varphi \alpha \iota v \omega$ ). He had far rather see them do "the noble thing" ( $\tau$ к к $\lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ) even if it should make him appear disapproved after all that he has said.

2Cor 13:8

Against the truth ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. He means in the long run. We can hinder and hold down the truth by evil deeds (Ro 1:18) , but in the end the truth wins.

2Cor 13:9
For we rejoice ( $\chi \alpha \_\rho o \mu \varepsilon v \gamma \alpha \rho$ ). Paul had far rather be weak in the sense of failing to exercise his apostolic power because they did the noble thing. He is no Jonah who lamented when Ninevah repented.

Your perfecting ( $v \mu \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \tau \iota \sigma \iota \nu)$. Late word from $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, to fit, to equip (see verb in verse 11). In Plutarch, only here in N.T.

2Cor 13:10
That I may not when present deal sharply (ı $\nu \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \omega \nu \alpha \pi о \tau о \mu \omega \varsigma \chi \rho \eta \sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ ). Late adverb from $a \pi \sigma \tau \circ \mu \circ \varsigma$, curt, cut off. In N.T. only here and Tit 1:13 .

2Cor 13:12
With a holy kiss ( $\varepsilon \nu \alpha \nmid \omega \varphi\rangle \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau$ ). In the Jewish synagogues where the sexes were separated, men kissed men, the women, women. This apparently was the Christian custom also. It is still observed in the Coptic and the Russian churches. It was dropped because of charges made against the Christians by the pagans. In England in 1250 Archbishop Walter of York introduced a "pax-board" which was first kissed by the clergy and then passed around. Think of the germ theory of disease and that kissing tablet!

2Cor 13:13
The grace of the Lord Jesus Christ and the love of God, and the communion of the Holy Ghost, be with you all ( $\eta \chi \alpha \rho ı \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ K v \rho ı o v ~ I \eta \sigma o v ~ X \rho ı \sigma \tau о v ~ к а ~ \eta ~ а ү а л \eta ~ \tau o v ~ Ө \varepsilon o v ~ к а ~$ $\eta \kappa o \imath v \omega v \iota \alpha$ тov aүıov $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \nu \mu \omega v)$. This benediction is the most complete of them all. It presents the persons of the Trinity in full form. From 2Th 3:17 it appears that Paul wrote the greeting or benediction with his own hand. We know from Ro 15:19 that Paul went round about unto Illyricum before, apparently, he came on to Corinth. When he did arrive (Ac 20:1-3 ) the troubles from the Judaizers had disappeared. Probably the leaders left after the coming of Titus and the brethren with this Epistle. The reading of it in the church would make a stir of no small proportions. But it did the work.

## THE EPISTLE TO THE GALATIANS <br> PROBABLE DATE A.D. 56 OR 57

## BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

It is a pity that we are not able to visualize more clearly the time and place of writing this powerful polemic against the Judaizers who were trying to draw away from the evangelical gospel the churches of Galatia. The data are not clear as in the Thessalonian and Corinthian Epistles. There are many things that can be said, but few are decisive. One is that the Epistle was written about seventeen years after Paul's conversion, adding the three years of Ga 1:18 and the fourteen of 2:1, though not insisting on the full number in either case. Unfortunately we do not know the precise year of his conversion. It was somewhere between A.D. 31 and 36. Another thing that is clear is that the Epistle was written after the Conference in Jerusalem over the Judaizing controversy to which Paul refers in Ga 2:1-10 and after the subsequent visit of Peter to Antioch (Ga 2:11-14). The natural interpretation of Ac 15:1-33 is to understand it as the historical narrative of the public meetings of which Paul gives an inside view in Gal 2:1-10. Not all scholars agree to this view, but the weight of the argument is for it. If so, that rules out the contention of Ramsay and others that Galatians is the earliest of Paul's Epistles. It was written then after that Conference which took place about A.D. 49. It seems clear also that it was written after the Epistles to the Thessalonians (A.D. 50-51) which were sent from Corinth.

Did Paul mean by Galatia the Roman province as he usually does or does he make an ethnographic use of the term and mean the real Celts of North Galatia? Luke uses geographical terms in either sense. Certainly Paul preached in South Galatia in his first mission tour. See Ac 16:6 for the discussion about the language there as bearing on his going into North Galatia. By "the churches of Galatia" Paul can mean the whole of Galatia or either South or North Galatia. The various items mentioned, like the illness that led to his preaching ( Ga 4:13 ), "the first time" or "formerly" ( 4:13), "so quickly" ( 1:6), are not conclusive as to time or place. If Paul means only the South Galatian Churches (Pisidia, Lycaonia, Phrygia), then the Epistle, even if two visits had been made, could come some time after the second tour of Ac 16:1f. . The place could be Philippi, Corinth, Ephesus, Antioch. Even so room must be made for the seventeen years after his conversion plus the interval thereafter (some twenty years in all). If Paul includes North Galatia, the time would be more easily handled (the twenty years required from A.D. 31 to 36 to A.D. 51 to 57) and the place could be Ephesus, Philippi, or Corinth. Special treatises on the date of Galatians have been written by Askwith (1899), Round (1906), Steinmann (1908), Weber (1900)

Lightfoot held that the similarity of Galatians to Romans (written from Corinth spring of A.D. 56 or 57) naturally argues for the same general period and place. It is a possible hypothesis that, when Paul reached Corinth late autumn or early winter of A.D. 55 or 56 (Ac

20:1f. ), he received alarming reports of the damage wrought by the Judaizers in Galatia. He had won his fight against them in Corinth (I and II Corinthians). So now he hurls this thunderbolt at them from Corinth and later, in a calmer mood, sends the fuller discussion to the church in Rome. This hypothesis is adopted here, but with full recognition of the fact that it is only hypothesis. The language and the topics and the treatment are the same that we find in Romans. Galatians thus fits in precisely between II Corinthians and Romans. It is a flaming torch in the Judaizing controversy. This Epistle was the battlecry of Martin Luther in the Reformation. Today it has served as a bulwark against the wild criticism that has sought to remove the Pauline Epistles from the realm of historical study. Paul is all ablaze in this Epistle with indignation as he faces the men who are undermining his work in Galatia.

## SOME COMMENTARIES

(Only a few out of a vast number)
Adeney (1911), Bacon (1909), Beet (1885), Bousset (1907), Baljon (1889), Burton (1920), Ellicott (new ed. 1884), Emmet (1912), Findlay (1888), Girdlestone (1913), Hovey (1887), Lagrange (1918), Lietzmann (1910), Lightfoot (eleventh ed., 1905), Lipsius (1902), Martin Luther (1535; tr. 1575), MacGregor (1914), Mackenzie (1912), Ramsay (1900), Rendall (1903), Sieffert (Meyer Komm., 9 ed. 1899), Watkins (1914), Williams (1910), Windisch (2 aufl. 1926), Wood (1887), Zahn (2 aufl. 1907).

## Galatians 1

Gal 1:1
Not from men, neither through men (ovk $\alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega v$ ov $\delta \varepsilon \delta i^{\prime} \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ ) ${ }^{\prime}$ ). The bluntness of Paul's denial is due to the charge made by the Judaizers that Paul was not a genuine apostle because not one of the twelve. This charge had been made in Corinth and called forth the keenest irony of Paul (2Co 10-12). In Ga 1; 2 Paul proves his independence of the twelve and his equality with them as recognized by them. Paul denies that his apostleship had a human source (ovk $\alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega v$ ) and that it had come to him through ( $\left.\delta i^{\prime} \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v\right)$ a human channel (Burton).

But through Jesus Christ and God the Father ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \delta_{ı}$ I $\eta$ бov Xpıбтоv ка $\theta \varepsilon o v$ $\pi \alpha \tau \rho о \varsigma)$. The call to be an apostle came to Paul through Jesus Christ as he claimed in 1Co 9:1 and as told in Ac 9:4-6; 22:7ff.; 26:16f. . He is apostle also by the will of God.

Who raised him from the dead ( $\tau \circ v \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \tau \tau \circ \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau о v \varepsilon \kappa \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega v$ ). And therefore Paul was qualified to be an apostle since he had seen the Risen Christ (1Co 9:1; 15:8f. ). This verb $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$ is often used in N.T. for raising from the sleep of death, to wake up the dead.

Gal 1:2
All the brethren which are with me (o $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \mathrm{o}$ ). The same phrase in Php 4:21 in distinction from the saints in verse 22. Probably the small company of travelling companions.

Unto the churches of Galatia ( $\tau \alpha \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \iota \varsigma \eta \varsigma \Gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha \tau \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. A circular letter therefore to all the churches in the province (both South Galatia and North Galatia if he really laboured there).

Gal 1:3
Grace to you and peace ( $\chi \alpha \rho ı \varsigma ~ v \mu \nu v \kappa \alpha \varepsilon ı \rho \eta \nu \eta)$. As in I Thess., II Thess., I Cor., II Cor. (already written) and in all the later Epistles save that in I and II Timothy "mercy" is added. But this customary salutation (see on 1Th 1:1 ) is not a perfunctory thing with Paul. He uses it here even when he has so much fault to find just as he did in I and II Corinthians.

Gal 1:4
For our sins ( $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \omega v \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau i \omega v$ ). Some MSS. have $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ (concerning). In the Koine this use of $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ as like $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ has come to be common. He refers to the death of Christ (cf. 1Co 15:3; Ga 2:20; Ro 5:6f. ). As a rule $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ occurs of things, $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ of persons.

Deliver ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Second aorist middle subjunctive (final clause with $0 \pi \omega \varsigma$ ) of $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \omega \omega$, old verb to pluck out, to rescue (Ac 23:27). "Strikes the keynote of the epistle. The gospel is a rescue, an emancipation from a state of bondage" (Lightfoot).
 of the age the existing one being evil." The predicate position of $\pi 0 v \eta \rho o v$ calls emphatic attention to it. Each word here is of interest and has been already discussed. See on Mt 13:22
 perfect (intransitive) participle of $\varepsilon v เ \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ for which see on $2 \mathrm{Th} 2: 12$; 1Co 3:22; 7:26 . It is present as related to future (Ro 8:38; $\mathrm{Heb} 9: 9$ ).

According to the will of God (ката то $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ тov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Not according to any merit in us.

Gal 1:5
To whom be the glory ( $\omega \eta \delta o \xi \alpha$ ). No verb in the Greek. For like doxologies see Ro 9:5; 11:36; 16:27; Eph 3:21; 1Ti 1:17.

## Gal 1:6

Ye are so quickly removing (ov $\omega \omega \varsigma \tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \mid \theta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). The present middle indicative of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, to change places, to transfer. "You are transferring yourselves" and doing it "so quickly" either from the time of their conversion or most likely from the time when the Judaizers came and tempted them. So easily some of them are falling victims to these perverters of the gospel. That is a continuous amazement $(\theta \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \zeta \omega)$ to Paul and to men today that so many are so silly and so gullible to modern as to ancient charlatans.

Unto a different gospel ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \varepsilon \tau \rho \rho \circ v \varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \sim$ ). See on 2Co 11:4 for distinction between $\alpha \lambda \lambda_{0}$ and $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ as here. It is not here or there a mere difference in emphasis or spirit as in Php 1:18 so long as Christ is preached. These men as in 2Co 11:4 preach "another Jesus" and a "different gospel" and so have fallen away from grace and have done away with Christ (Ga 5:4 ). Hence the vehemence of Paul's words.

Gal 1:7
Which is not another (o ovk عбтiv $\alpha \lambda \lambda 0$ ). It is no "gospel" (good news) at all, but a yoke of bondage to the law and the abolition of grace. There is but one gospel and that is of grace, not works. The relative o (which) refers to $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ عva $\gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota o v$ (a different gospel) "taken as a single term and designating the erroneous teachings of the Judaizers" (Burton).

Only $(\varepsilon \mu \eta)$. Literally, "except," that is, "Except in this sense," "in that it is an attempt to pervert the one true gospel" (Lightfoot).

Who disturb you (о тараббоv $\varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma)$. The disturbers. This very verb $\tau \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ is used in Ac 17:8 of the Jews in Thessalonica who "disturbed" the politarchs and the people about Paul.

Would pervert ( $\theta \varepsilon \lambda 0 v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha)$ ) "Wish to turn about," change completely as in Ac 2:20; Jas 4:9. The very existence of the gospel of Christ was at stake.

## Gal 1:8

If we ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ). Condition of third class ( $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and aorist middle subjunctive $\left.\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota} \sigma \eta \tau\right)$. Suppose I (literary plural) should turn renegade and preach "other than" ( $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$ o), "contrary to that which we preached." Preachers have turned away from Christ, alas, and preached "humanism" or some other new-fangled notion. The Jews termed Paul a renegade for leaving Judaism for Christianity. But it was before Paul had seen Christ that
he clung to the law. Paul is dogmatic and positive here, for he knows that he is standing upon solid ground, the fact of Christ dying for us and rising again. He had seen the Risen Jesus Christ. No angel can change Paul now.

Let him be anathema ( $\alpha v \alpha \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega$ ). See on 1Co 12:3 for this word.
Gal 1:9
So say I now again ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \rho \tau \pi \alpha \lambda ı \nu \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ). Paul knows that he has just made what some will consider an extreme statement. But it is a deliberate one and not mere excitement. He will stand by it to the end. He calls down a curse on any one who proclaims a gospel to them contrary to that which they had received from him.

Gal 1:10
Am I persuading? ( $\pi \varepsilon ו \theta \omega$ ?). Conative present, trying to persuade like $\zeta \eta \tau \omega$ apeбкعı (seeking to please) where the effort is stated plainly. See 2Co 5:11 .

I should not be (ovk $\alpha \nu \eta \mu \eta \nu$ ). Conclusion of second class condition, determined as unfulfilled. Regular construction here ( $\varepsilon$ and imperfect indicative in the condition $\eta \rho \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \circ \mathrm{v}$, ouk $\alpha v$ and imperfect in the conclusion). About pleasing men see on 1Th 2:4. In $\operatorname{Col} 3: 22$; Eph. 6:6 Paul uses the word "men-pleasers" ( $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \kappa 0$ ).

Gal 1:11
Which was preached ( $\tau 0 \varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{l} \sigma \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Play on the word $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota} \circ v$ by first aorist passive participle of $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota} \zeta \omega$, "the gospel which was gospelized by me."

It is not after man (ovк عбтiv ката $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ ). Not after a human standard and so he does not try to conform to the human ideal. Paul alone (1Co 3:3; 9:8; 15:32; Ro 3:15 ) in the N.T. uses this old and common idiom.

Gal 1:12
Nor was I taught it (ov $\varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \iota \delta \alpha \chi \theta \eta \nu$ ). He did not receive it "from man" ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu$, which shuts out both ano and $\delta \iota \alpha$ of verse 1), whether Peter or any other apostle, nor was he taught it in the school of Gamaliel in Jerusalem or at the University of Tarsus. He "received" his gospel in one way, "through revelation of Jesus Christ" ( $\delta \iota^{\prime} \alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \psi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ I $\eta \sigma 00$ Xрıбтоv). He used $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta$ ov in 1Co 15:3 about the reception of his message from Christ. It is not necessary to say that he had only one (because of the aorist active $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \mathrm{ov}$, from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, for it can very well be constative aorist) revelation (unveiling) from Christ. In fact, we know that he had numerous visions of Christ and in 1Co 11:23 he expressly says concerning the origin of the Lord's Supper: "I received ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o v$, again) from the Lord." The Lord Jesus revealed his will to Paul.

Gal 1:13
My manner of life ( $\tau \eta v \varepsilon \mu \eta v \alpha v a \sigma \tau \rho o \varphi \eta v$ ). Late word in this sense from Polybius on from $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi о \mu \alpha$. In the older writers it meant literally "return" or "turning back." See 1 Pe 1:15. It is absent in this sense in the papyri though the verb is common.

In the Jews' religion ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega$ Iov $\delta a \iota \sigma \mu \omega$ ). "In Judaism." The word in N.T. only here and next verse, already in II Macc. 2:21; 8:1; 14:38; IV Macc. 4:26. In these passages it means the Jewish religion as opposed to the Hellenism that the Syrian Kings were imposing upon the Jews. So later Justin Martyr (386 D) will use Xpıotıavıб $\mu$ oc for Christianity. Both words are made from verbs in - $ا \zeta \omega$.

Beyond measure ( $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} v \pi \varepsilon \rho \beta \circ \lambda \eta \nu$ ). "According to excess" (throwing beyond, $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \beta \circ \lambda \eta$ ).
I persecuted ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \kappa v$ ). Imperfect active, "I used to persecute" (see Ac 7-9 for the facts).
Made havock of it ( $\varepsilon \pi \frac{\rho}{} \theta_{\text {ouv }} \alpha v \tau \eta v$ ). Customary action again, imperfect of old verb $\pi о \rho \theta \varepsilon \omega$, to lay waste, to sack. In N.T. only here, verse 23, and Ac 9:31 (used by Christians in Damascus of Saul after his conversion of his former conduct, the very word of Paul here). Paul heard them use it of him and it stuck in his mind.

Gal 1:14
I advanced ( $\pi \rho о \varepsilon к о \pi \tau o v$ ). Imperfect active again of $\pi \rho о к о \pi \tau \omega$, old verb, to cut forward (as in a forest), to blaze a way, to go ahead. In N.T. only here, Ro 13:12; 2Ti 2:16; 3:9,13 . Paul was a brilliant pupil under Gamaliel. See Php 3:4-6. He was in the lead of the persecution also.

Beyond many of mine own age ( $\left.v \pi \varepsilon \rho \pi о \lambda \lambda o v \varsigma \sigma \cup v \eta \lambda_{\iota} \kappa เ \omega \tau \alpha \varsigma\right)$. Later compound form for the Attic $\eta \lambda \kappa \kappa \omega \tau \eta \zeta$ which occurs in Dion Hal. and inscriptions (from $\sigma v v$, with, and $\eta \lambda_{ı} \iota a$, age). Paul modestly claims that he went "beyond" (v $\left.\tau \varepsilon \rho\right)$ his fellow-students in his progress in Judaism.

More exceedingly zealous ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma о \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega \varsigma \zeta \eta \lambda о \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Literally, "more exceedingly a zealot." See on Ac 1:13; 21:20; 1Co 14:12 . Like Simon Zelotes.

For the traditions of my fathers ( $\tau \omega v \pi \alpha \tau \rho ı \kappa \omega v \mu \circ v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o \sigma \varepsilon \omega v$ ). Objective genitive after $\zeta \eta \lambda о \tau \eta \varsigma . ~ П a \tau \rho \iota \kappa \omega v$ only here in N.T., though old word from $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ (father), paternal, descending from one's father. For $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \omega \iota \rho$ see Ac 22:3,14. Tradition ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o \sigma \iota \varsigma)$ played a large part in the teaching and life of the Pharisees (Mr 7:1-23). Paul now taught the Christian tradition (2Th 2:15).

Gal 1:15
It was the good pleasure of God ( $\varepsilon v \delta$ окп $\sigma \varepsilon v$ o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma)$. Paul had no doubt about God's purpose in him (1Th 2:8).

Who separated me (o $\alpha \varphi о \rho \iota \sigma \alpha \varsigma \mu \varepsilon)$. А $\varphi$ орı $\zeta \omega$ is old word (from $\alpha \pi 0$ and opoc) to mark off from a boundary or line. The Pharisees were the separatists who held themselves off from others. Paul conceives himself as a spiritual Pharisee "separated unto the gospel of God" (Ro 1:1, the same word $\alpha \varphi \omega \rho \stackrel{\sigma}{ } \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v o \varsigma)$. Before his birth God had his plans for him and called him.

Gal 1:16
 can mean to lay emphasis on his inward experience of grace or he may refer objectively to
the vision of Christ on the way to Damascus, "in my case." Paul uses $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o}$ in this sense (in my case) several times (verse 24; 2Co 13:3; Php 1:30; 1Ti 1:16). Once (1Co 14:11) $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o}$ is almost equivalent to the dative (to me). On the whole Lightfoot seems correct here in taking it to mean "in my case," though the following words suit either idea. Certainly Paul could not preach Christ among the Gentiles without the rich inward experience and in the objective vision he was called to that task.

I conferred not with flesh and blood (ov $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha v \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \mu \eta \nu \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \kappa \alpha \alpha \mu \alpha \tau)$. Second aorist middle indicative of $\pi \rho o \sigma \alpha v \alpha \tau i \theta \eta \mu$, old verb, double compound ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma, \alpha v \alpha$ ), to lay upon oneself in addition, to betake oneself to another, to confer with, dative case as here. In N.T. only here and 2:6.

Gal 1:17
Before me ( $\pi \rho o \varepsilon \mu \circ v$ ). The Jerusalem apostles were genuine apostles, but so is Paul. His call did not come from them nor did he receive confirmation by them.

Into Arabia ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \wedge \rho \alpha \nmid \alpha v)$. This visit to Arabia has to come between the two visits to Damascus which are not distinguished in Ac 9:22f. In verse 23 Luke does speak of "considerable days" and so we must place the visit to Arabia between verses 22,23 .

Gal 1:18
Then after three years ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \rho เ \alpha \varepsilon \tau \eta)$. A round number to cover the period from his departure from Jerusalem for Damascus to his return to Jerusalem. This stay in Damascus was an important episode in Paul's theological readjustment to his new experience.

To visit Cephas (เбто $\eta \sigma \alpha$ K $\eta \varphi \alpha v$ ). First aorist infinitive of $\iota \sigma \tau o \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb (from $\iota \sigma \tau \omega \rho$, one who knows by inquiry), to gain knowledge by visiting. Only here in N.T. If we turn to Ac 9:26-30, we shall see that the visit of two weeks to Peter came after Barnabas endorsed Paul to the suspicious disciples in Jerusalem and probably while he was preaching in the city. It was a delightful experience, but Peter did not start Paul upon his apostleship. He visited him as an equal. Peter no doubt had much to say to Paul.

Gal 1:19
Except James the brother of the Lord ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta \operatorname{I\alpha \kappa \omega \beta \text {ov}\tau \circ va\delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi ov~\tau ov~Kvpıov).~James~}$ the son of Zebedee was still living at that time. The rest of the twelve were probably away preaching and James, brother of the Lord, is here termed an apostle, though not one of the twelve as Barnabas is later so called. Paul is showing his independence of and equality with the twelve in answer to the attacks of the Judaizers.

Gal 1:20
I lie not ( $o v \psi \varepsilon \cup \delta o \mu \alpha$ ). So important does he deem the point that he takes solemn oath about it.

Gal 1:21

Into the region of Syria and Cilicia ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha \kappa \lambda ı \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \Sigma \psi \rho ı \alpha \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma$ Kı入ıкıaৎ). This statement agrees with the record in Ac 9:30. On $\kappa \lambda \not \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, see 2Co 11:10. Paul was not idle, but at work in Tarsus and the surrounding country.

Gal 1:22
And I was still unknown ( $\eta \mu \eta \nu \delta \varepsilon$ a $\gamma v o v \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma)$. Periphrastic imperfect passive of a $\gamma \operatorname{voc\omega }$, not to know.

By face ( $\tau \omega \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \omega \pi \omega$ ). Associative instrumental case.
Of Judea ( $\tau \eta \varsigma$ Iovסaıaৎ). As distinct from Jerusalem, for he had once scattered the church there and had revisited them before coming to Tarsus (Ac 9:26-30 ). In Ac 9:31 the singular of $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ is used, but in a geographic sense for Judea, Samaria, and Galilee.

Gal 1:23
They only heard ( $\mu$ оvov aкovovtєৎ $\eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Periphrastic imperfect, "They were only hearing from time to time."

That once persecuted us (o $\delta \iota \omega \kappa \omega \nu \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present active articular participle, a sort of participle of antecedent time suggested by $\pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon$, "the one who used to persecute us once upon a time."

The faith ( $\tau \eta \nu \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota v)$. Here used in the sense of "the gospel" as in Ac 6:7.
Gal 1:24
They glorified ( $\varepsilon \delta o \xi \alpha \zeta o v$ ). Imperfect, kept on doing it.
In me ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o}$ ). In my case as in 1:16.

## Galatians 2

Gal 2:1
Then after the space of fourteen years I went up again ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon ı \tau \alpha \delta \iota \alpha \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \omega \nu$ $\varepsilon \tau \omega v \pi \alpha \lambda_{\iota v} \alpha v \varepsilon \beta \eta v$ ) This use of $\delta \iota \alpha$ for interval between is common enough. Paul is not giving a recital of his visits to Jerusalem, but of his points of contact with the apostles in Jerusalem. As already observed, he here refers to the Jerusalem Conference given by Luke in Ac 15 when Paul and Barnabas were endorsed by the apostles and elders and the church over the protest of the Judaizers who had attacked them in Antioch (Ac 15:1f. ). But Paul passes by another visit to Jerusalem, that in Ac 11:30 when Barnabas and Saul brought alms from Antioch to Jerusalem and delivered them to "the elders" with no mention of the apostles who were probably out of the city since the events in Ac 12 apparently preceded that visit and Peter had left for another place (Ac 12:17). Paul here gives the inside view of this private conference in Jerusalem that came in between the two public meetings (Ac 15:4,6-29).

With Barnabas ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ B $\alpha \rho v \alpha \beta \alpha$ ). As in Ac 15:2 .
Taking Titus also with me ( $\sigma \cup v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \omega v$ ка Titov). Second aorist active participle of $\sigma u v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$ the very verb used in Ac 15:37f. of the disagreement between Paul and Barnabas about Mark. Titus is not mentioned in Acts 15 nor anywhere else in Acts for some reason, possibly because he was Luke's own brother. But his very presence was a challenge to the Judaizers, since he was a Greek Christian.

Gal 2:2
By revelation ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \psi \iota v$ ). In Ac 15:2 the church sent them. But surely there is no inconsistency here.

I laid before them ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \mu \eta \nu$ autoıs). Second aorist middle indicative of old word $\alpha v a \tau 1 \theta \eta \mu$, to put up, to place before, with the dative case. But who were the "them" (av $\tau \circ \varsigma$ )? Evidently not the private conference for he distinguishes this address from that, "but privately" ( $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} เ \delta \iota \alpha v$ ). Just place Ac 15:4f. beside the first clause and it is clear: "I laid before them the gospel which I preach among the Gentiles," precisely as Luke has recorded. Then came the private conference after the uproar caused by the Judaizers (Ac 15:5).

Before them who were of repute ( $\tau$ oıs $\delta$ oкovoıv). He names three of them (Cephas, James, and John). James the Lord's brother, for the other James is now dead (Ac 12:1f. ). But there were others also, a select group of real leaders. The decision reached by this group would shape the decision of the public conference in the adjourned meeting. So far as we know Paul had not met John before, though he had met Peter and James at the other visit. Lightfoot has much to say about the Big Four (St. Paul and the Three) who here discuss the problems of mission work among Jews and Gentiles. It was of the utmost importance that they should see eye to eye. The Judaizers were assuming that the twelve apostles and James the Lord's brother would side with them against Paul and Barnabas. Peter had already been
before the Jerusalem Church for his work in Caesarea (Ac 11:1-18). James was considered a very loyal Jew.

Lest by any means I should be running or had run in vain ( $\mu \eta \pi \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma \kappa \varepsilon v o v \tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$ $\eta \varepsilon \delta \rho a \mu \circ v$ ). Negative purpose with the present subjunctive ( $\tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$ ) and then by a sudden change the aorist indicative ( $\varepsilon \delta \rho \alpha \mu \circ v$ ), as a sort of afterthought or retrospect (Moulton, Prolegomena, p. 201; Robertson, Grammar, p. 988). There are plenty of classical parallels. See also 1Th 3:5 for both together again.

Gal 2:3
Being a Greek (Hz $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \omega v$ ). Concessive participle, though he was a Greek.
Was compelled to be circumcised ( $\eta v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau \mu \eta \theta \eta \nu \alpha$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \zeta \omega$ and first aorist passive infinitive of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau \varepsilon \mu v \omega$. Curiously enough some scholars interpret this language to mean that Paul voluntarily had Titus circumcised, instead of being compelled to do it, an impossible view in my opinion in the light of verse 5 and wholly inconsistent with the whole context. Paul means that he stood his ground against compulsion and all force.

Gal 2:4
But because of the false brethren privately brought in ( $\delta ⿺ \alpha \delta \varepsilon$ тоис ларєıбактоия $\psi \varepsilon v \delta \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o u c)$. Late verbal adjective $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \kappa \tau о \varsigma$ from the double compound verb $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \gamma \omega$, found in papyri in the sense of brought in by the side or on the sly as here. Evidently some of the Judaizers or sympathizers whom Paul had not invited had come in as often happens. Paul terms them "false brethren" like "the false apostles" in 2Co 11:13 of the Judaizers in Corinth.

Who came in privily (oıtıveৎ $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ı \sigma \eta \lambda \theta \mathrm{ov}$ ). Repetition of the charge of their slipping in unwanted ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon$ в $\sigma \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, late double compound, in Plutarch, in N.T. only here and Ro 5:20).

To spy out (катабкопп $\sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive of катабкол $\omega$, old Greek verb from катабкотоऽ, a spy, to reconnoitre, to make a treacherous investigation.

That they might bring us into bondage ( $\iota v \alpha \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta o v \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma v \sigma เ v$ ). Future active indicative of this old compound, to enslave completely ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha-$ ) as in 2Co 11:20. Nowhere else in N.T. This was their purpose (iva and future active indicative of this causative verb). It was as serious a conflict as this. Spiritual liberty or spiritual bondage, which?

Gal 2:5
No, not for an hour (ov $\delta \pi \rho \rho \varsigma \omega \rho \alpha v$ ). Pointed denial that he and Barnabas yielded at all "in the way of subjection" ( $\tau \eta$ v $\pi о \tau \alpha \gamma \eta$, in the subjection demanded of them). The compromisers pleaded for the circumcision of Titus "because of the false brethren" in order to have peace. The old verb $\varepsilon ו \kappa \omega$, to yield, occurs here alone in the N.T. See 2Co 9:13 for ипотаүๆ.

The truth of the gospel ( $\eta \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha$ tov $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı 0)$. It was a grave crisis to call for such language. The whole problem of Gentile Christianity was involved in the case of Titus, whether Christianity was to be merely a modified brand of legalistic Judaism or a spiritual religion, the true Judaism (the children of Abraham by faith). The case of Timothy later was utterly different, for he had a Jewish mother and a Greek father. Titus was pure Greek.

Gal 2:6
Somewhat ( $\tau$ ). Something, not somebody. Paul refers to the Big Three (Cephas, James, and John). He seems a bit embarrassed in the reference. He means no disrespect, but he asserts his independence sharply in a tangled sentence with two parentheses (dashes in Westcott and Hort).

Whatsoever they were (олоь $\pi о \tau \varepsilon \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. Literally, "What sort they once were."
Hopoioi is a qualitative word (1Th 1:9; 1Co 3:13; Jas 1:24). Lightfoot thinks that these three leaders were the ones who suggested the compromise about Titus. That is a possible, but not the natural, interpretation of this involved sentence. The use of $\delta \varepsilon$ (but) in verse 6 seems to make a contrast between the three leaders and the pleaders for compromise in verses 4 f .

They, I say, imparted nothing to me ( $\varepsilon \mu \mathrm{\rho} \gamma \alpha \rho$ ov $\delta \varepsilon v \pi \rho o \sigma \alpha v \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon v \tau o$ ). He starts over again after the two parentheses and drops the construction $\alpha \pi о \tau \omega \nu \delta о \kappa о u v \tau \omega v$ and changes the construction (anacoluthon) to o $\delta$ oкouvtec (nominative case), the men of reputation and influences whom he names in verses 8 f . See the same verb in 1:16. They added nothing in the conference to me. The compromisers tried to win them, but they finally came over to my view. Paul won his point, when he persuaded Peter, James, and John to agree with him and Barnabas in their contention for freedom for the Gentile Christians from the bondage of the Mosaic ceremonial law.

Gal 2:7
But contrariwise ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ touvavtiov). But on the contrary (accusative of general reference, $\tau \mathrm{\varepsilon}$ عvavtiov). So far from the three championing the cause of the Judaizers as some hoped or even the position of the compromisers in verses 4 f . , they came boldly to Paul's side after hearing the case argued in the private conference. This is the obvious interpretation rather than the view that Peter, James, and John first proposed the circumcision of Titus and afterwards surrendered to Paul's bold stand.

When they saw (iסovtء¢). After seeing, after they heard our side of the matter.
That I had been intrusted with the gospel of the uncircumcision ( $о \tau \pi \pi \neg \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha$ тo $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \circ v \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \kappa \rho \circ \beta v \sigma \tau \iota \propto \varsigma)$. Perfect passive indicative of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$, to intrust, which retains the accusative of the thing ( $\tau 0 \varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma^{\varepsilon} \lambda_{\circ} \circ v$ ) in the passive voice. This clear-cut agreement between the leaders "denotes a distinction of sphere, and not a difference of type" (Lightfoot). Both divisions in the work preach the same "gospel" (not like 1:6f. , the Judaizers). It seems
hardly fair to the Three to suggest that they at first championed the cause of the Judaizers in the face of Paul's strong language in verse 5 .

Gal 2:8
He that wrought for Peter unto the apostleship of the circumcision (o $\gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \eta \sigma a c$ $\Pi \varepsilon \tau \rho \omega \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \pi о \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \rho ı \tau о \mu \eta \varsigma)$. Paul here definitely recognizes Peter's leadership (apostleship, aлобто $\lambda \eta \nu$, late word, already in Ac 1:25; 1Co 9:2 ) to the Jews and asserts that Peter acknowledges his apostleship to the Gentiles. This is a complete answer to the Judaizers who denied the genuineness of Paul's apostleship because he was not one of the twelve.

Gal 2:9
They who were reputed to be pillars (o סокоuvte¢ $\sigma \tau \cup \lambda o \varepsilon เ v \alpha$ ). They had that reputation ( $\delta$ oкоuvteऽ) and Paul accepts them as such. $\Sigma \tau \cup \lambda$ o, old word for pillars, columns, as of fire (Re 10:1). So of the church ( $1 \mathrm{Ti} 3: 15$ ). These were the Pillar Apostles.

Gave to me and Barnabas the right hands of fellowship ( $\delta \varepsilon \xi \iota a \varsigma \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha v \varepsilon \mu о \kappa \alpha$ В $\alpha \rho v \alpha \beta \alpha$ кoıv $\omega v / \alpha \varsigma)$. Dramatic and concluding act of the pact for cooperation and coordinate, independent spheres of activity. The compromisers and the Judaizers were brushed to one side when these five men shook hands as equals in the work of Christ's Kingdom.

Gal 2:10
Only ( $\mu$ ovov). One item was emphasized.
We should remember ( $\mu v \eta \mu \circ v \varepsilon v \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Present active subjunctive, "that we should keep on remembering."

Which very thing (o--avto тоטтo). Repetition of relative and demonstrative, tautology, "which this very thing." In fact Barnabas and Saul had done it before (Ac 11:30). It was complete victory for Paul and Barnabas. Paul passes by the second public meeting and the letters to Antioch (Ac 15:6-29) and passes on to Peter's conduct in Antioch.

Gal 2:11
I resisted him to the face ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \omega \pi о \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \nu)$. Second aorist active indicative (intransitive) of $\alpha v \theta \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$. "I stood against him face to face." In Jerusalem Paul faced Peter as his equal in rank and sphere of work. In Antioch he looked him in the eye as his superior in character and courage.

Because he stood condemned (o $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \gamma v \omega \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \eta v$ ). Periphrastic past perfect passive of катаүוvoбк $\omega$, old verb to know against, to find fault with. In N.T. only here and 1Jo 3:20f

Gal 2:12
For before that certain came from James ( $\pi \rho \circ$ тоv $\gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon เ \nu \tau \iota v \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha \pi о$ I $\alpha \kappa \omega \beta o v$ ). The reason ( $\gamma \alpha \rho$ ) for Paul's condemnation of Peter. Articular infinitive in the genitive after $\pi \rho o$ with the accusative of general reference ( $\tau \imath v a \varsigma)$, "for before the coming as to some from James." Does Paul mean to say that these "certain" ones had been sent by James to Antioch to inspect the conduct of Peter and the other Jewish brethren? Some scholars think so. No
doubt these brethren let the idea get out that they were emissaries "from James." But that idea is inconsistent with the position of James as president of the conference and the author of the resolution securing liberty to the Gentile Christians. No doubt these brethren threatened Peter to tell James and the church about his conduct and they reminded Peter of his previous arraignment before the Jerusalem Church on this very charge (Ac 11:1-18 ). As a matter of fact the Jerusalem Conference did not discuss the matter of social relations between Jews and Gentiles though that was the charge made against Peter (Ac 11:1ff. ).

He did eat with the Gentiles ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \omega v \varepsilon \theta v \omega v \sigma \nu v \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon v)$. It was his habit (imperfect tense).

He drew back (vđ\&бт $\varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect tense, inchoative action, "he began to draw himself ( $\varepsilon a v \tau o v)$ back." Old word vтобт $\lambda \lambda \lambda \omega$. See middle voice to dissemble (Ac 20:20,27 ), to shrink (Heb 10:38).

Separated himself ( $\alpha \varphi \omega \rho 1 \zeta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v)$. Inchoative imperfect again, "began to separate himself" just like a Pharisee (see on 1:15) and as if afraid of the Judaizers in the Jerusalem Church, perhaps half afraid that James might not endorse what he had been doing.
 was the real reason for Peter's cowardice. See Ac 11:2 for "о єк $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau о \mu \eta \varsigma " ~(t h e y ~ o f ~ t h e ~ c i r-~$ cumcision), the very phrase here. It was not that Peter had changed his views from the Jerusalem resolutions. It was pure fear of trouble to himself as in the denials at the trial of Christ.

Gal 2:13
Dissembled likewise with him ( $\sigma \cup v \cup \pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho 1 \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v \alpha \cup \tau \omega \kappa \alpha$ ). First aorist passive indicative of the double compound verb бטvvтокрıvo $\alpha$, a late word often in Polybius, only here in N.T. One example in Polybius means to pretend to act a part with. That idea here would help the case of the rest of the Jews, but does not accord with Paul's presentation.

Insomuch that even Barnabas ( $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ Ba $\rho v \alpha \beta a \varsigma$ ). Actual result expressed by $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ and the indicative and ка clearly means "even."

Was carried away with their dissimulation ( $\sigma v v \alpha \pi \eta \chi \theta \eta \alpha \nu \tau \omega v \tau \eta$ v $\quad$ ок $\rho \sigma \varepsilon$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\sigma v v a \pi \alpha \gamma \omega$, old verb, in N.T. only here and 2Pe 3:17. Нилокрьбє is in the instrumental case and can only mean hypocrisy in the bad sense (Mt 23:28), not merely acting a part. It was a solemn moment when Paul saw the Jerusalem victory vanish and even Barnabas desert him as they followed the timid cowardice of Peter. It was Paulus contra mundum in the cause of spiritual freedom in Christ.

Gal 2:14
But when I saw (A $\lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ o $\tau \varepsilon \varepsilon เ \delta o v$ ). Paul did see and saw it in time to speak.
That they walked not uprightly (о о о $Ө$ опо $\delta$ ovaıv). Present active indicative retained

foot). Found only here and in later ecclesiastical writers, though о $\rho$ Өотоб $\varepsilon \varsigma \beta$ аıvovtєऽ does occur.

According to the truth of the gospel ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau \eta v a \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ $\tau o v \varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota o v$ ). Just as in $2: 5$. Paul brought them to face ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ ) that.

I said unto Cephas before them all ( $\varepsilon \pi \pi \circ \nu \tau \omega \mathrm{K} \mathrm{\eta} \mathrm{\varphi} \mathrm{\alpha} \mathrm{\varepsilon} \mathrm{\mu} \mathrm{\pi} \rho \circ \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega v)$.
Being a Jew (Iov $\delta a \iota o \varsigma v \pi \alpha \rho \chi \omega \nu$, though being a Jew). Condition of first class, assumed as true. It was not a private quarrel, but a matter of public policy. One is a bit curious to know what those who consider Peter the first pope will do with this open rebuke by Paul, who was in no sense afraid of Peter or of all the rest.

As do the Gentiles ( $\varepsilon \theta v i \kappa \omega \varsigma$ ). Late adverb, here only in N.T. Like Gentiles.
As do the Jews (Iovסaik $\omega \varsigma$ ). Only here in N.T., but in Josephus.
To live as do the Jews (Iov $\alpha a \iota \zeta \varepsilon ı$ ). Late verb, only here in the N.T. From Iov $\delta a \iota o$, Jew. Really Paul charges Peter with trying to compel (conative present, $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$ the Gentiles to live all like Jews, to Judaize the Gentile Christians, the very point at issue in the Jerusalem Conference when Peter so loyally supported Paul. It was a bold thrust that allowed no reply. But Paul won Peter back and Barnabas also. If II Peter is genuine, as is still possible, he shows it in 2Pe 3:15. Paul and Barnabas remained friends (Ac 15:39f.; 1Co 9:6 ), though they soon separated over John Mark.

Gal 2:15
Not sinners of the Gentiles (ovk $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \theta v \omega \nu \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda 0$ ). The Jews regarded all Gentiles as "sinners" in contrast with themselves (cf. Mt 26:45 "sinners" and Lu 18:32 "Gentiles"). It is not clear whether verses 15-21 were spoken by Paul to Peter or whether Paul is now simply addressing the Galatians in the light of the controversy with Peter. Burton thinks that he is "mentally addressing Peter, if not quoting from what he said to him."

Gal 2:16
Is not justified (ov $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \circ v \tau \alpha$ ). Present passive indicative of $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \circ \omega$, an old causative verb from $\delta_{\iota \kappa \alpha \iota ๐}$, righteous (from $\delta \iota \kappa \varepsilon$, right), to make righteous, to declare righteous. It is made like $\alpha \xi_{\imath} \omega \omega$, to deem worthy, and кoเvo $\omega$, to consider common. It is one of the great Pauline words along with $\delta ı \kappa \alpha \circ \sigma v v \eta$, righteousness. The two ways of getting right with God are here set forth: by faith in Christ Jesus (objective genitive), by the works of the law (by keeping all the law in the most minute fashion, the way of the Pharisees). Paul knew them both (see Ro 7). In his first recorded sermon the same contrast is made that we have here (Ac 13:39) with the same word $\delta$ เкaıo $\omega$, employed. It is the heart of his message in all his Epistles. The terms faith ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma)$, righteousness ( $\delta ı \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma v \vee \eta$ ), law (vouoc), works ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$ ) occur more frequently in Galatians and Romans because Paul is dealing directly with the problem in opposition to the Judaizers who contended that Gentiles had to become Jews to be saved. The whole issue is here in an acute form.

Save ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta)$. Except.

Even we (ка $\eta \mu \varepsilon \iota)$ ). We Jews believed, had to believe, were not saved or justified till we did believe. This very point Peter had made at the Jerusalem Conference (Ac 15:10f. ). He quotes Ps 143:2. Paul uses $\delta$ ıкaıoбטv $\eta$ in two senses (1) Justification, on the basis of what Christ has done and obtained by faith. Thus we are set right with God. Ro 1-5. (2) Sanctification. Actual goodness as the result of living with and for Christ. Ro 6-8. The same plan exists for Jew and Gentile.

Gal 2:17
We ourselves were found sinners ( $\varepsilon v \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \alpha \cup \tau о ~ \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda 0$ ). Like the Gentiles, Jews who thought they were not sinners, when brought close to Christ, found that they were. Paul felt like the chief of sinners.

A minister of $\sin$ ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \varsigma \delta \iota \alpha \kappa о v o \varsigma)$. Objective genitive, a minister to sin. An illogical inference. We were sinners already in spite of being Jews. Christ simply revealed to us our sin.

God forbid ( $\mu \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon v o \iota \tau o ) . ~ L i t e r a l l y , ~ " M a y ~ i t ~ n o t ~ h a p p e n . " ~ W i s h ~ a b o u t ~ t h e ~ f u t u r e ~ ( ~} \mu \eta$ and the optative).

Gal 2:18
A transgressor ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha \tau \eta v)$. Peter, by his shifts had contradicted himself helplessly as Paul shows by this condition. When he lived like a Gentile, he tore down the ceremonial law. When he lived like a Jew, he tore down salvation by grace.

Gal 2:19
I through the law died to the law ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \delta \iota \alpha$ vo $\mu$ ov vo $\mu \omega \alpha \pi \varepsilon \theta \alpha v o v$ ). Paradoxical, but true. See Rom 7:4,6 for picture of how the law waked Paul up to his real death to the law through Christ.

Gal 2:20
I have been crucified with Christ (X $\rho \stackrel{\sigma \tau \omega}{ } \sigma v v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \omega \mu \alpha)$. One of Paul's greatest mystical sayings. Perfect passive indicative of $\sigma v \sigma \tau \alpha 0 \rho o \omega$ with the associative instrumental case (X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ ). Paul uses the same word in Ro 6:6 for the same idea. In the Gospels it occurs of literal crucifixion about the robbers and Christ (Mt 27:44; Mr 15:32; Joh 19:32). Paul died to the law and was crucified with Christ. He uses often the idea of dying with Christ (Ga 5:24; 6:14; Ro 6:8; Col 2:20) and burial with Christ also (Ro 6:4; Col 2:12).

No longer I (ouкєt $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ). So complete has become Paul's identification with Christ that his separate personality is merged into that of Christ. This language helps one to understand the victorious cry in Ro 7:25. It is the union of the vine and the branch (Joh 15:1-6).

Which is in the Son of God ( $\tau \eta$ tov voo $\operatorname{\tau ov} \theta$ हov). The objective genitive, not the faith of the Son of God.

For me (v $\tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \mu \circ$ ). Paul has the closest personal feeling toward Christ. "He appropriates to himself, as Chrysostom observes, the love which belongs equally to the whole world. For Christ is indeed the personal friend of each man individually" (Lightfoot).

## Gal 2:21

I do not make void the grace of God (ouk $\alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \omega \tau \eta \nu \chi \alpha \rho \stackrel{\nu}{ }$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Common word in LXX and Polybius and on, to make ineffective ( $\alpha$ privative and $\tau i \theta \eta \mu$, to place or put). Some critic would charge him with that after his claim to such a close mystic union with Christ.

Then Christ died for nought ( $\alpha \rho \alpha$ Х $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o \varsigma ~ \delta \omega \rho \varepsilon \alpha v \alpha \pi \varepsilon \theta \alpha v \varepsilon v$ ). Condition of first class, assumed as true. If one man apart from grace can win his own righteousness, any man can and should. Hence ( $\alpha \rho \alpha$, accordingly) Christ died gratuitously ( $\delta \omega \rho \varepsilon \alpha v$ ), unnecessarily. Adverbial accusative of $\delta \omega \rho \varepsilon \alpha$, a gift. This verse is a complete answer to those who say that the heathen (or any mere moralist) are saved by doing the best that they know and can. No one, apart from Jesus, ever did the best that he knew or could. To be saved by law ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ vouov) one has to keep all the law that he knows. That no one ever did.

## Galatians 3

Gal 3:1
Who did bewitch you? ( $\tau \iota \varsigma ~ v \mu \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha v \varepsilon v ?)$. Somebody "fascinated" you. Some aggressive Judaizer (5:7), some one man (or woman). First aorist active indicative of $\beta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \iota v \omega$, old word kin to $\varphi \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega$ ( $\beta \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega$ ), to speak, then to bring evil on one by feigned praise or the evil eye (hoodoo), to lead astray by evil arts. Only here in the N.T. This popular belief in the evil eye is old (De 28:54) and persistent. The papyri give several examples of the adjective $\alpha \beta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$, the adverb $\alpha \beta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \nu \tau \omega \varsigma$ (unharmed by the evil eye), the substantive $\beta$ $\beta \sigma \kappa \alpha v i \alpha$ (witchcraft).

Before whose eyes Jesus Christ was openly set forth crucified (oıs кат' о $\varnothing \theta \lambda \lambda \mu$ оч
 Christ was portrayed as crucified." Second aorist passive indicative of $\pi \rho \circ \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$, old verb to write beforehand, to set forth by public proclamation, to placard, to post up. This last idea is found in several papyri (Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary) as in the case of a father who posted a proclamation that he would no longer be responsible for his son's debts. $\Gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$ was sometimes used in the sense of painting, but no example of $\pi \rho \sigma \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$ with this meaning has been found unless this is one. With that idea it would be to portray, to picture forth, a rendering not very different from placarding. The foolish Galatians were without excuse when they fell under the spell of the Judaizer. Eбтavp $\omega \mu \varepsilon v o$, is perfect passive participle of $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho o \omega$, the common verb to crucify (from $\sigma \tau \alpha \cup \rho o \varsigma$, stake, cross), to put on the cross (Mt 20:19), same form as in 1Co 2:2 .

Gal 3:2
This only ( $\tau 0 \cup \tau$ тo $\mu$ ovov). Paul strikes at the heart of the problem. He will show their error by the point that the gifts of the Spirit came by the hearing of faith, not by works of the law.

Gal 3:3
Are ye now perfected in the flesh? (vvv $\sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \varepsilon \pi ı \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ?). Rather middle voice as in 1Pe 5:9, finishing of yourselves. There is a double contrast, between $\varepsilon v \alpha \rho \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o$ (having begun) and $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ (finishing) as in 2Co 8:6; Php 1:6, and also between "Spirit" ( $\pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau$ ) and flesh ( $\sigma \alpha \rho \kappa)$. There is keen irony in this thrust.

Gal 3:4
Did ye suffer? ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ?). Second aorist active indicative of $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \omega$, to experience good or ill. But alone, as here, it often means to suffer ill ( $\tau 0 \sigma \alpha u \tau \alpha$, so many things). In North Galatia we have no record of persecutions, but we do have records for South Galatia (Ac 14:2,5,19,22 ).

If it be indeed in vain ( $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma} \kappa \alpha \varepsilon$ кાк $)$. On $\varepsilon$ єાк see 1Co 15:2; Ga 4:11. Paul clings to hope about them with alternative fears.

Gal 3:5
Supplieth ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma \omega v$ ). It is God. See on 2Co 9:10 for this present active participle. Cf. Php 1:19; 2Pe 1:5.

Worketh miracles ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega v \delta \nu v a \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. On the word $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ see 1Th 2:13; 1Co 12:6 . It is a great word for God's activities (Php 2:13). "In you" (Lightfoot) is preferable to "among you" for $\varepsilon \nu \nu \mu \nu \nu(1$ Co 13:10; Mt 14:2 ). The principal verb for "doeth he it" ( $\pi o เ \varepsilon$ ) is not expressed. Paul repeats the contrast in verse 2 about "works of the law" and "the hearing of faith."

Gal 3:6
 passive indicative of $\lambda$ оүүऍона. See on 1Co 13:5 for this old word. He quotes Ge 15:6 and uses it at length in Ro 4:3ff. to prove that the faith of Abraham was reckoned "for" ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$, good Koine idiom though more common in LXX because of the Hebrew) righteousness before he was circumcised. James (Jas 2:23) quotes the same passage as proof of Abraham's obedience to God in offering up Isaac (beginning to offer him). Paul and James are discussing different episodes in the life of Abraham. Both are correct.

Gal 3:7
The same are sons of Abraham (ovto vio $\varepsilon$ єбıv A $\beta \rho \alpha \alpha \mu$ ). "These are." This is Paul's astounding doctrine to Jews that the real sons of Abraham are those who believe as he did, "they which be of faith" (o $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ), a common idiom with Paul for this idea (verse 9; Ro $3: 26 ; 4: 16 ; 14: 23$ ), those whose spiritual sonship springs out of ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ ) faith, not out of blood. John the Baptist denounced the Pharisees and Sadducees as vipers though descendants of Abraham (Mt 3:7; Lu 3:7) and Jesus termed the Pharisees children of the devil and not spiritual children of Abraham (not children of God) in Joh 8:37-44 .

Gal 3:8
Foreseeing ( $\pi \rho o t \delta o v \sigma \alpha$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\pi \rho o o \rho \alpha \omega$. The Scripture is here personified. Alone in this sense of "sight," but common with $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ or $\varepsilon ו \pi \varepsilon v$ (says, said) and really in verse 22 "hath shut up" ( $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon เ \sigma \varepsilon v)$.

Would justify ( $\delta$ ıкаıo). Present active indicative, "does justify."
Preached the gospel beforehand ( $\pi \rho о \varepsilon \cup \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota} \sigma \alpha \tau 0$ ). First aorist middle indicative of $\pi \rho o \varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{l} \zeta_{o \mu} \alpha$ with augment on $\alpha$ though both $\pi \rho o$ and $\varepsilon v$ before it in composition. Only instance in N.T. It occurs in Philo. and Schol. Soph. This Scripture announced beforehand the gospel on this point of justification by faith. He quotes the promise to Abraham in Ge 12:3; 18:18, putting $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \varepsilon \theta v \eta$ (all the nations) in 18:18 for $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \alpha \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha$ (all the tribes) of the earth. It is a crucial passage for Paul's point, showing that the promise to Abraham included all the nations of the earth. The verb $\varepsilon v \varepsilon u \lambda$ оүع $\omega$ (future passive here) occurs in the LXX and here only in N.T. (not Ac 3:25 in correct text).

In thee ( $\varepsilon v \sigma o$ ). "As their spiritual progenitor" (Lightfoot).

## Gal 3:9

With ( $\sigma \cup v$ ). Along with, in fellowship with.
The faithful ( $\tau \omega \pi \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ ). Rather, "the believing" (cf. verse 6).
Gal 3:10
Under a curse (vло катараv). Picture of the curse hanging over them like a Damocles' blade. Cf. Ro 3:9 "under sin" ( $v \varphi^{\prime} \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha v$ ). The word for "curse" (катара) is an old one (kata, down, apa, imprecation), often in LXX, in N.T. only here and 13; Jas 3:10; 2Pe 2:14 . Paul quotes De 27:26, the close of the curses on Mt. Ebal. He makes a slight explanatory modification of the LXX changing $\lambda$ oүoıs to $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon v o \iota s \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \beta \beta \beta \iota \omega$. The idea is made clearer by the participle ( $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma)$ and $\beta \iota \beta \lambda_{\iota} \omega$ (book). The curse becomes effective only when the law is violated.

Cursed ( $\varepsilon \pi เ к \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \tau о \varsigma) . ~ V e r b a l ~ a d j e c t i v e ~ f r o m ~ \varepsilon \pi ı к а \tau \alpha \rho \alpha о \mu \alpha, ~ t o ~ i m p r e c a t e ~ c u r s e s, ~ l a t e ~$ word, common in LXX. In N.T. only here and verse 13, but in inscriptions also (Deissmann, Light from the Ancient East, p. 96). The emphasis is on "continueth" ( $\varepsilon \mu \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ ) and "all" ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \iota v$ ).

Gal 3:11
In the sight of God ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). By the side of ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ) God, as God looks at it, for the simple reason that no one except Jesus has ever kept all the law, God's perfect law.

Gal 3:12
The law is not of faith (o vo $\quad \circ \varsigma$ оик $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \varepsilon \kappa \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Law demands complete obedience and rests not on mercy, faith, grace.

Gal 3:13
Redeemed us ( $\eta \mu \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \eta \gamma \circ \rho \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active of the compound verb $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \gamma \circ \rho \alpha \zeta \omega$ (Polybius, Plutarch, Diodorus), to buy from, to buy back, to ransom. The simple verb $\alpha \gamma о \rho \alpha \zeta \omega$ (1Co 6:20; 7:23 ) is used in an inscription for the purchase of slaves in a will (Deissmann, Light from the Ancient East, p. 324). See also Ga 4:5; Col 4:5; Eph 5:16. Christ purchased us
from the curse of the law ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ v o \mu o v) . ~ " O u t ~ f r o m ~(~(\varepsilon \kappa ~ r e p e a t e d) ~ u n d e r ~$ (vло in verse 10) the curse of the law."

Having become a curse for us ( $\gamma \varepsilon v \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma v \pi \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \omega v$ кат $\alpha \rho \alpha$ ). Here the graphic picture is completed. We were under (vло) a curse, Christ became a curse
over (v $\quad \pi \varepsilon$ ) us and so between us and the overhanging curse which fell on him instead of on us. Thus he bought us out ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ ) and we are free from the curse which he took on himself. This use of $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ for substitution is common in the papyri and in ancient Greek as in the N.T. (Joh 11:50; 2Co 5:14f. ).

That hangeth on a tree (о крє $\mu \alpha \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \xi \cup \lambda o v$ ). Quotation from De 21:23 with the omission of vio $\theta$ vov (by God). Since Christ was not cursed by God. The allusion was to exposure of dead bodies on stakes or crosses (Jos 10:26 ). Eudov means wood, not usually
tree, though so in Lu 23:31 and in later Greek. It was used of gallows, crosses, etc. See Ac 5:30; 10:39; $1 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 24$. On the present middle participle from the old verb $\kappa \rho \varepsilon \mu \alpha v v 0 \mu$, to hang, see on Mt 18:6; Ac 5:30 .

Gal 3:14
That upon the Gentiles ( $\imath v \alpha \varepsilon ı \varsigma \tau \alpha \varepsilon \theta v \eta$ ). Final clause ( $\imath v \alpha$ and $\gamma \varepsilon v \eta \tau \alpha$, aorist middle subjunctive).

That we might receive (ıva $\lambda \alpha \beta \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Second final clause coordinate with the first as in 2Co 9:3. So in Christ we all (Gentile and Jew) obtain the promise of blessing made to Abraham, through faith.

Gal 3:15
After the manner of men ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ ). After the custom and practice of men, an illustration from life.

Though it be but a man's covenant, yet when it hath been confirmed ( $\alpha \mu \omega \varsigma \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$ $\kappa \varepsilon \kappa \cup \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon v \eta \nu \delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta \nu)$. Literally, "Yet a man's covenant ratified." On $\Delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta$ as both covenant and will see on Mt 26:28; 1Co 11:25; 2Co 3:6; Heb 9:16f. On кupow, to ratify, to make valid, see on 2Co 2:8. Perfect passive participle here, state of completion, authoritative confirmation.

Maketh it void ( $\alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). See on 2:21 for this verb. Both parties can by agreement cancel a contract, but not otherwise.

Addeth thereto ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Present middle indicative of the double compound verb $\varepsilon \pi \iota \delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma о \mu \alpha$, a word found nowhere else as yet. But inscriptions use $\delta ı \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota$, $\delta ı \alpha \tau \alpha \xi_{\iota} \sigma, \delta \iota a \tau \alpha \gamma \eta, \delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha$ with the specialized meaning to "determine by testamentary disposition" (Deissmann, Light from the Ancient East, p. 90). It was unlawful to add ( $\varepsilon \pi$ ) fresh clauses or specifications ( $\delta 1 \alpha \tau \alpha \xi \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$.

Gal 3:16
But as of one ( $\left.\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} \varepsilon v o c\right)$. But as in the case of one.
Which is Christ (os eбтıv Xpıбтoৎ). Masculine relative agreeing with Xpıбтoc though $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha$ is neuter. But the promise to Abraham uses $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha$ as a collective substantive and applies to all believers (both Jews and Gentiles) as Paul has shown in verses 7-14, and as of course he knew full well Here Paul uses a rabbinical refinement which is yet intelligible. The people of Israel were a type of the Messiah and he gathers up the promise in its special application to Christ. He does not say that Christ is specifically referred to in Ge 13:15 or 17:7f

Gal 3:17
Now this I say ( $\tau$ outo $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ). Now I mean this. He comes back to his main point and is not carried afield by the special application of $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \mu a$ to Christ.

Confirmed beforehand by God ( $\pi \rho о к \varepsilon \kappa \nu \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon v \eta \nu$ vто тоv $\theta \varepsilon о v$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\pi \rho о к \cup \rho o \omega$, in Byzantine writers and earliest use here. Nowhere else in N.T. The point is in $\pi \rho o$ and $v \pi 0$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ (by God) and in $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ (after) as Burton shows.

Four hundred and thirty years after ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha к о \sigma ı \alpha ~ к \alpha ~ \tau \rho \iota \alpha \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha ~ \varepsilon \tau \eta) . ~ L i t e r a l l y, ~$ "after four hundred and thirty years." This is the date in Ex 12:40 for the sojourn in Egypt (cf. Ge 15:13 ). But the LXX adds words to include the time of the patriarchs in Canaan in this number of years which would cut the time in Egypt in two. Cf. Ac 7:6 . It is immaterial to Paul's argument which chronology is adopted except that "the longer the covenant had been in force the more impressive is his statement" (Burton).

Doth not disannul (очк акиро). Late verb акирош, in N.T. only here and Mt 15:6; Mr 7:13 (from a privative and кироৎ, authority). On катарүпба see 1Co 1:28; 2:6; 15:24,26.

Gal 3:18
The inheritance ( $\eta \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ v \circ \mu \mu)$. Old word from $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v o \mu \circ \varsigma$, heir ( $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$, lot, $v \varepsilon \mu \circ \mu \alpha$, to distribute). See on Mt 21:38; Ac 7:5. This came to Israel by the promise to Abraham, not by the Mosaic law. So with us, Paul argues.

Hath granted (кєүарıбта). Perfect middle indicative of $\chi \alpha \rho ı \zeta \rho \mu \alpha$. It still holds good after the law came.

Gal 3:19
What then is the law? ( $\tau$ ouv o vouoo?). Or, why then the law? A pertinent question if the Abrahamic promise antedates it and holds on afterwards.

It was added because of transgressions ( $\tau \omega v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \nu \chi \alpha \rho \nu v \pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau 1 \theta \eta \mu$, old verb to add to. It is only in apparent contradiction to verses 15ff. , because in Paul's mind the law is no part of the covenant, but a thing apart "in no way modifying its provisions" (Burton). Xapıv is the adverbial accusative of $\chi$ a $\iota \varsigma$ which was used as a preposition with the genitive as early as Homer, in favour of, for the sake of. Except in 1Jo 3:12 it is post-positive in the N.T. as in ancient Greek. It may be causal (Lu 7:47; 1Jo 3:12) or telic (Tit 1:5,11; Jude 1:16). It is probably also telic here, not in order to create transgressions, but rather "to make transgressions palpable" (Ellicott), "thereby pronouncing them to be from that time forward transgressions of the law" (Rendall). Параßабı, from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha \iota v \omega$, is in this sense a late word (Plutarch on), originally a slight deviation, then a wilful disregarding of known regulations or prohibitions as in Ro 2:23.

Till the seed should come ( $\alpha \chi \rho \iota \varsigma \alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ тo $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha$ ). Future time with $\alpha \chi \rho \iota \varsigma \alpha v$ and aorist subjunctive (usual construction). Christ he means by то $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha$ as in verse 16.

The promise hath been made ( $\varepsilon \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \tau \alpha$ ). Probably impersonal perfect passive rather than middle of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda$ o $\mu \alpha$ as in II Macc. 4:27.

Ordained through angels ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \delta \iota^{\prime} \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \omega v$ ). Second aorist passive participle of $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ (see on Mt 11:1). About angels and the giving of the law see on De 33:2 (LXX); Ac 7:38,52; Heb 2:2 ; Josephus (Ant. XV. 5. 3).

By the hand of a mediator ( $\varepsilon v \chi \varepsilon \rho \mu \varepsilon \sigma \iota \tau o v$ ). $\mathrm{Ev} \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho$ is a manifest Aramaism or Hebraism and only here in the N.T. It is common in the LXX. Mع $\sigma \iota \tau \eta \varsigma$, from $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \circ$, is middle or midst, is a late word (Polybius, Diodorus, Philo, Josephus) and common in the papyri in legal transactions for arbiter, surety, etc. Here of Moses, but also of Christ (1Ti 2:5; Heb 8:6; 9:15; 12:24).

Gal 3:20
Is not a mediator of one ( $\varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ o u k ~ \varepsilon \sigma \tau ı v)$. That is, a middleman comes in between two. The law is in the nature of a contract between God and the Jewish people with Moses as the mediator or middleman.

But God is one (o $\delta \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon o \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \imath v)$. There was no middleman between God and Abraham. He made the promise directly to Abraham. Over 400 interpretations of this verse have been made!

Gal 3:21
Against the promises ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \omega \nu$ ). A pertinent question again. Far from it ( $\mu \eta \gamma^{\varepsilon v o i t o) . ~}$
 $\zeta \omega о \pi о \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega$, late compound ( $\zeta \omega \circ \varsigma$, alive, $\pi$ оь $\varepsilon \omega$, to make) verb for which see 1Co 15:22. Spiritual life, he means, here and hereafter.

Verily (ov $\tau \omega \varsigma$ ). "Really" (cf. Mr 11:32; Lu 24:34). Condition and conclusion ( $\alpha v \eta \nu$ ) of second class, determined as unfulfilled. He had already said that Christ died to no purpose in that case (2:21).

Gal 3:22
Hath shut up ( $\sigma u v \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Did shut together. First aorist active indicative of $\sigma u v \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \epsilon \omega$, old verb to shut together, on all sides, completely as a shoal of fish in a net (Lu 5:6 ). So verse 23; Ro 11:32.

Under $\sin$ (vто $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha v$ ). See vто катараv in verse 10 . As if the lid closed in on us over a massive chest that we could not open or as prisoners in a dungeon. He uses $\tau \alpha \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha$ (the all things), the totality of everything. See Ro 3:10-19; 11:32 .

That ( $(v \alpha)$ ). God's purpose, personifying scripture again.
Might be given ( $\delta o \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ with $\operatorname{iva}$.
Gal 3:23
Before faith came ( $\pi \rho \circ$ тov $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon เ \nu \tau \eta \nu \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). "Before the coming (second aorist active infinitive of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, definite event) as to the Faith" (note article, meaning the faith in verse 22 made possible by the historic coming of Christ the Redeemer), the faith in Christ as Saviour (verse 22).

We were kept in ward under the law ( $\cup \pi \varepsilon \rho$ vouov $\varepsilon \varphi \rho о \cup \rho о v \mu \varepsilon \theta a)$. Imperfect passive of $\varphi \rho o u \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to guard (from $\varphi \rho 0 \cup \rho \circ \varsigma$, a guard). See on Ac 9:24; 2Co 11:32. It was a long progressive imprisonment.

Unto the faith which should afterwards be revealed ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau \eta \nu \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o v \sigma \alpha \nu \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ $\alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \varphi \theta \eta v \alpha$ ). "Unto the faith (verse 22 again) about to be revealed." $M \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ and the first aorist passive infinitive (regular idiom).

Gal 3:24
Our tutor unto Christ ( $\pi \alpha \iota \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \circ \varsigma \nu \mu \omega \nu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \sigma v$ ). See 1Co 4:15 for the only other N.T. example of this old and common word for the slave employed in Greek and Roman families of the better class in charge of the boy from about six to sixteen. The paedagogue watched his behaviour at home and attended him when he went away from home as to school. Christ is our Schoolmaster and the law as paedagogue kept watch over us till we came to Christ.

That we might be justified by faith (ıva $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \theta \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). This is the ultimate purpose of the law as paedagogue.

Now that faith is come ( $\varepsilon \lambda \theta$ ou $\quad \eta \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute, "the faith (the time of the faith spoken of in verse 23) having come."

Under a tutor (v $\pi \frac{\pi \alpha ı}{} \delta \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \sigma v$ ). The pedagogue is dismissed. We are in the school of the Master.

Gal 3:26
For ye are all sons of God ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \gamma \alpha \rho$ vo $\theta \varepsilon o v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). Both Jews and Gentiles (3:14) and in the same way "through faith in Christ Jesus" ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \nu$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). There is no other way to become "sons of God" in the full ethical and spiritual sense that Paul means, not mere physical descendants of Abraham, but "sons of Abraham," "those by faith" (verse 7). The Jews are called by Jesus "the sons of the Kingdom" (Mt 8:12) in privilege, but not in fact. God is the Father of all men as Creator, but the spiritual Father only of those who by faith in Christ Jesus receive "adoption" (vıo $\varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \iota \alpha$ ) into his family (verse 5; Ro 8:15,23 ). Those led by the Spirit are sons of God (Ro 8:14 ).

Gal 3:27
Were baptized into Christ ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \circ \nu \varepsilon \beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \zeta \omega$. Better, "were baptized unto Christ" in reference to Christ.

Did put on Christ (X $\mathrm{X} \iota \sigma \tau o v \varepsilon v \varepsilon \delta v \sigma a \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). First aorist middle indicative of $\varepsilon v \delta v \omega$ ($\nu \omega)$. As a badge or uniform of service like that of the soldier. This verb is common in the sense of putting on garments (literally and metaphorically as here). See further in Paul (Ro 13:14; Col 3:9f.; Eph 4:22-24; 6:11,14 ). In 1Th 5:8 Paul speaks of "putting on the breastplate of righteousness." He does not here mean that one enters into Christ and so is saved by means of baptism after the teaching of the mystery religions, but just the opposite. We are justified by faith in Christ, not by circumcision or by baptism. But baptism was the public profession and pledge, the soldier's sacramentum, oath of fealty to Christ, taking one's stand with Christ, the symbolic picture of the change wrought by faith already (Ro 6:4-6 ).

Gal 3:28

There can be neither (ovk $\varepsilon \nu$ ). Not a shortened form of $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \tau$, but the old lengthened form of $\varepsilon v$ with recessive accent. So ouk $\varepsilon v$ means "there is not" rather than "there cannot be," a statement of a fact rather than a possibility, as Burton rightly shows against Lightfoot.

One man ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. No word for "man" in the Greek, and yet $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ is masculine, not neuter $\varepsilon \nu$. "One moral personality" (Vincent). The point is that "in Christ Jesus" race or national distinctions ("neither Jew nor Greek") do not exist, class differences ("neither bond nor free," no proletarianism and no capitalism) vanish, sex rivalry ("no male and female") disappears. This radical statement marks out the path along which Christianity was to come in the sphere $(\varepsilon v)$ and spirit and power of Christ. Candour compels one to confess that this goal has not yet been fully attained. But we are on the road and there is no hope on any way than on "the Jesus Road."

Gal 3:29
If ye are Christ's ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma$ X $\rho ı \sigma \tau o v$ ). This is the test, not the accident of blood, pride of race or nation, habiliments or environment of dress or family, whether man or woman. Thus one comes to belong to the seed of Abraham and to be an heir according to promise.

## Galatians 4

Gal 4:1
So long as ( $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime}$ ooov $\chi \rho \circ$ ovov). "For how long a time," incorporation of the antecedent ( $\chi$ povov) into the relative clause.

The heir (о $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho о v о \mu \circ \varsigma)$. Old word ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \varsigma$, lot, $\nu \varepsilon \mu \circ \mu \alpha$, to possess). Illustration from the law of inheritance carrying on the last thought in 3:29.

A child ( $\nu \eta \pi \ldots \varsigma)$ ). One that does not talk ( $\nu \eta, \varepsilon \pi \sigma \varsigma$, word). That is a minor, an infant, immature intellectually and morally in contrast with $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota$, full grown (1Co 3:1; 14:20; Php 3:15; Eph 4:13).

From a bondservant ( $\delta o u \lambda o v$ ). Slave. Ablative case of comparison after $\delta \lesssim \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$ for which verb see on Mt 6:26.

Though he is lord of all (Kvpıo $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \omega v$ ). Concessive participle $\omega v$, "being legally owner of all" (one who has the power, o $\varepsilon \chi \omega \nu \kappa \nu \rho o \varsigma)$.

Gal 4:2
Under guardians (vпо $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \rho о \pi о \cup \varsigma)$. Old word from $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega$, to commit, to intrust. So either an overseer (Mt 20:8) or one in charge of children as here. It is common as the guardian of an orphan minor. Frequent in the papyri as guardian of minors.

Stewards (oוкоvоноия). Old word for manager of a household whether freeborn or slave. See Lu 12:42; 1Co 4:2. Papyri show it as manager of an estate and also as treasurer like Ro 16:23. No example is known where this word is used of one in charge of a minor and no other where both occur together.

Until the time appointed of the father ( $\alpha \chi \rho \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \rho \circ \theta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \mu \varsigma \varsigma$ тov $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma$ ). Supply $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho a \varsigma$ (day), for $\pi \rho \circ \theta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \iota \varsigma$ is an old adjective "appointed beforehand" ( $\pi \rho \circ, \theta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma$, from $\tau(\theta \eta \mu)$. Under Roman law the tutor had charge of the child till he was fourteen when the curator took charge of him till he was twenty-five. Ramsay notes that in Graeco-Phrygia cities the same law existed except that the father in Syria appointed both tutor and curator whereas the Roman father appointed only the tutor. Burton argues plausibly that no such legal distinction is meant by Paul, but that the terms here designate two functions of one person. The point does not disturb Paul's illustration at all.

Gal 4:3
When we were children ( $о \tau \varepsilon \eta \mu \varepsilon v \nu \eta \pi \ldots$ ). Before the epoch of faith came and we (Jews and Gentiles) were under the law as paedagogue, guardian, steward, to use all of Paul's metaphors.

We were held in bondage ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \theta a \delta \varepsilon \delta o u \lambda \omega \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Periphrastic past perfect of $\delta o u \lambda o \omega$, to enslave, in a permanent state of bondage.


material elements in the universe ( $2 \mathrm{Pe} 3: 10$ ), the heavenly bodies (some argue for that here), the rudiments of any act (Heb 5:12; Ac 15:10; $\mathrm{Ga} 5: 1 ; 4: 3,9$; $\mathrm{Col} 2: 8,20$ ). The papyri illustrate all the varieties in meaning of this word. Burton has a valuable excursus on the word in his commentary. Probably here (Lightfoot) Paul has in mind the rudimentary character of the law as it applies to both Jews and Gentiles, to all the knowledge of the world (коб $\mu$ о as the orderly material universe as in Col 2:8,20 ). See on Mt 13:38; Ac 17:24; 1Co 3:22 . All were in the elementary stage before Christ came.

Gal 4:4
The fulness of the time ( $\tau$ о $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ tov $\chi \rho \circ$ ovov). Old word from $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \omega$, to fill. Here the complement of the preceding time as in Eph 1:10. Some examples in the papyri in the sense of complement, to accompany. God sent forth his preexisting Son (Php 2:6 ) when the time for his purpose had come like the $\pi \rho \circ \theta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \mathrm{\alpha}$ of verse 2.

Born of a woman ( $\gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v o v \varepsilon \kappa ~ \gamma \cup v a ı \kappa о \varsigma)$. As all men are and so true humanity, "coming from a woman." There is, of course, no direct reference here to the Virgin Birth of Jesus, but his deity had just been affirmed by the words "his Son" (tov viov autou), so that both his deity and humanity are here stated as in Ro 1:3. Whatever view one holds about Paul's knowledge of the Virgin Birth of Christ one must admit that Paul believed in his actual personal preexistence with God (2Co 8:9; Php 2:5-11), not a mere existence in idea. The fact of the Virgin Birth agrees perfectly with the language here.

Born under the law ( $\gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v o v ~ v \pi o ~ v o \mu o v) . ~ H e ~ n o t ~ o n l y ~ b e c a m e ~ a ~ m a n, ~ b u t ~ a ~ J e w . ~$ The purpose ( $1 v \alpha$ ) of God thus was plainly to redeem ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \gamma \circ \rho \alpha \sigma \eta$, as in $3: 13$ ) those under the law, and so under the curse. The further purpose (ıva) was that we (Jew and Gentile) might receive ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \alpha \beta \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$, second aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$ ), not get back (Lu 15:27), but get from ( $\alpha \pi 0$ ) God the adoption ( $\tau \eta \nu v i o \theta \varepsilon \sigma ı \alpha v$ ). Late word common in the inscriptions (Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 239) and occurs in the papyri also and in Diogenes Laertes, though not in LXX. Paul adopts this current term to express his idea (he alone in the N.T.) as to how God takes into his spiritual family both Jews and Gentiles who believe. See also Ro 8:15,23;9:4; Eph 1:5. The Vulgate uses adoptio filiorum. It is a metaphor like the others above, but a very expressive one.

Gal 4:6
Because ye are sons (o $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \ldots$ ). This is the reason for sending forth the Son ( 4:4 and here). We were "sons" in God's elective purpose and love. Hot is causal (1Co 12:15; Ro 9:7 ).

The Spirit of his Son ( $\tau \mathrm{\pi} \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha$ tov voo avtov). The Holy Spirit, called the Spirit of Christ (Ro 8:9f. ), the Spirit of Jesus Christ (Php 1:19). The Holy Spirit proceeds from the Father and from the Son (Joh 15:26).

Crying, Abba, Father ( $\kappa \rho \alpha \zeta$ оv A $\beta \beta \alpha$ o $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ ). The participle agrees with $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ neuter (grammatical gender), not neuter in fact. An old, though rare in present as here,
onomatopoetic word to croak as a raven (Theophrastus, like Poe's The Raven), any inarticulate cry like "the unuttered groanings" of Ro 8:26 which God understands. This cry comes from the Spirit of Christ in our hearts. $A \beta \beta \alpha$ is the Aramaic word for father with the article and o $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ translates it. The articular form occurs in the vocative as in Joh 20:28 . It is possible that the repetition here and in Ro 8:15 may be "a sort of affectionate fondness for the very term that Jesus himself used" (Burton) in the Garden of Gethsemane (Mr 14:36). The rabbis preserve similar parallels. Most of the Jews knew both Greek and Aramaic. But there remains the question why Jesus used both in his prayer. Was it not natural for both words to come to him in his hour of agony as in his childhood? The same thing may be true here in Paul's case.

Gal 4:7
No longer a bondservant (ouket $\delta o u \lambda o \varsigma)$. Slave. He changes to the singular to drive the point home to each one. The spiritual experience ( 3:2) has set each one free. Each is now a son and heir.

Gal 4:8
To them which by nature are not gods ( $\tau \circ \iota \varsigma \varphi \cup \sigma \varepsilon \mu \eta$ ovo $\theta \varepsilon o \iota \varsigma)$. In 1Co 10:20 he terms them "demons," the "so-called gods" (1Co 8:5 ), worshipping images made by hands (Ac 17:29).

Gal 4:9
Now that ye have come to know God ( $v v v \delta \varepsilon \gamma v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Fine example of the ingressive second aorist active participle of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, come to know by experience through faith in Christ.

Rather to be known of God ( $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda о v \delta \varepsilon \gamma v \omega \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \nu \pi \sigma \theta \varepsilon \sigma v$ ). First aorist passive participle of the same verb. He quickly turns it round to the standpoint of God's elective grace reaching them (verse 6).

How ( $\pi \omega \varsigma$ ). "A question full of wonder" (Bengel). See 1:6.
Turn ye back again? ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu$ ?). Present active indicative, "Are ye turning again?" See $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \iota \theta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ in 1:6.

The weak and beggarly rudiments ( $\tau \alpha \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \eta \kappa \alpha \pi \tau \omega \chi \alpha \sigma \tau o \downarrow \chi \varepsilon 1 \alpha$ ). The same $\sigma \tau о \not \chi \varepsilon ı \alpha$ in verse 3 from which they had been delivered, "weak and beggarly," still in their utter impotence from the Pharisaic legalism and the philosophical and religious legalism and the philosophical and religious quests of the heathen as shown by Angus's The Religious Quests of the Graeco-Roman World. These were eagerly pursued by many, but they were shadows when caught. It is pitiful today to see some men and women leave Christ for will o' the wisps of false philosophy.

Over again ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota v \alpha v \omega \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Old word, from above ( $\alpha v \omega$ ) as in Mt 27:51, from the first (Lu 1:3), then "over again" as here, back to where they were before (in slavery to rites and rules).

Gal 4:10
Ye observe ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Present middle indicative of old verb to stand beside and watch carefully, sometimes with evil intent as in Lu 6:7, but often with scrupulous care as here (so in Dio Cassius and Josephus). The meticulous observance of the Pharisees Paul knew to a nicety. It hurt him to the quick after his own merciful deliverance to see these Gentile Christians drawn into this spider-web of Judaizing Christians, once set free, now enslaved again. Paul does not itemize the "days" (Sabbaths, fast-days, feast-days, new moons) nor the "months" (Isa 66:23) which were particularly observed in the exile nor the "seasons" (passover, pentecost, tabernacles, etc.) nor the "years" (sabbatical years every seventh year and the Year of Jubilee). Paul does not object to these observances for he kept them himself as a Jew. He objected to Gentiles taking to them as a means of salvation.

Gal 4:11
I am afraid of you ( $\varphi o \beta o v \mu \alpha \nu \mu a \varsigma)$. He shudders to think of it.
Lest by any means I have bestowed labour upon you in vain ( $\mu \eta \pi \omega \varsigma \varepsilon ו \kappa \eta ~ \kappa \varepsilon \kappa о \pi \iota \alpha \kappa \alpha$ $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \nu \mu a \varsigma)$. Usual construction after a verb of fearing about what has actually happened ( $\mu \eta$ $\pi \omega \varsigma$ and the perfect active indicative of $\kappa о \pi \omega \omega \omega$, to toil wearily). A fear about the future would be expressed by the subjunctive. Paul fears that the worst has happened.

Gal 4:12
Be as I am ( $\gamma \iota v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \omega)$. Present middle imperative, "Keep on becoming as I am." He will not give them over, afraid though he is.

Gal 4:13
Because of an infirmity of the flesh ( $\delta \delta^{\prime} \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon ⿺ 辶 \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa о \varsigma$ ). All that we can get from this statement is the fact that Paul's preaching to the Galatians "the first time" or "the former time" ( $\tau$ o $\pi \rho \circ \tau \varepsilon \rho o v$, adverbial accusative) was due to sickness of some kind whether it was eye trouble ( 4:15) which was a trial to them or to the thorn in the flesh (2Co 12:7) we do not know. It can be interpreted as applying to North Galatia or to South Galatia if he had an attack of malaria on coming up from Perga. But the narrative in Ac 13; 14 does not read as if Paul had planned to pass by Pisidia and by Lycaonia but for the attack of illness. The Galatians understood the allusion for Paul says "Ye know" (ot $\delta a \tau \varepsilon$ ).

Gal 4:14
A temptation to you in my flesh ( $\tau 0 v \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho a \sigma \mu \circ v \nu \mu \omega \nu \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \mu \circ v$ ). "Your temptation (or trial) in my flesh." Peirasmon can be either as we see in Jas 1:2,12f. . If trial here, it was a severe one.

Nor rejected (ov $\delta \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi \tau \cup \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \tau v \omega$, old word to spit out (Homer), to spurn, to loathe. Here only in N.T. Clemen (Primitive Christianity, p. 342) thinks it should be taken literally here since people spat out as a prophylactic custom at the sight of invalids especially epileptics. But Plutarch uses it of mere rejection.

As an angel of God ( $\omega \varsigma \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o v \theta \varepsilon o v$ ),
as Christ Jesus ( $\omega \varsigma$ X $\rho ı \sigma \tau o v$ I $\eta \sigma o v v$ ). In spite of his illness and repulsive appearance, whatever it was. Not a mere "messenger" of God, but a very angel, even as Christ Jesus. We know that at Lystra Paul was at first welcomed as Hermes the god of oratory (Ac 14:12f. ). But that narrative hardly applies to these words, for they turned against Paul and Barnabas then and there at the instigation of Jews from Antioch in Pisidia and Iconium.

Gal 4:15
That gratulation of yourselves (o $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma \nu \mu \omega v$ ). "Your felicitation." Rare word from $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, to pronounce happy, in Plato, Aristotle, Plutarch. See also Ro 4:6,9 . You no longer felicitate yourselves on my presence with you.

Ye would have plucked out your eves and given them to me ( $\tau 0 v \varsigma ~ o \varphi \theta a \lambda \mu \sigma \nu \varsigma \nu \mu \omega \nu$ $\varepsilon \xi \circ \rho \cup \xi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \mu \circ$ ). This is the conclusion of a condition of the second class without av expressed which would have made it clearer. But see Joh 16:22,24; Ro 7:7 for similar examples where the context makes it plain without $\alpha v$. It is strong language and is saved from hyperbole by "if possible" ( $\varepsilon$ סuvatov). Did Paul not have at this time serious eye trouble?

Gal 4:16
Your enemy ( $\varepsilon \chi \theta \rho o \varsigma v \mu \omega v)$. Active sense of $\varepsilon \chi \theta \rho o \varsigma$, hater with objective genitive. They looked on Paul now as an enemy to them. So the Pharisees and Judaizers generally now regarded him.

Because I tell you the truth ( $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon v \omega v \nu \mu \nu v$ ). Present active participle of $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon v \omega$, old verb from $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \eta \varsigma$, true. In N.T. only here and Eph 4:15. "Speaking the truth." It is always a risky business to speak the truth, the whole truth. It may hit and hurt.

Gal 4:17
They zealously seek you ( $\zeta \eta \lambda$ ovaıv $v \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. $\mathrm{Z} \mathrm{\eta} \lambda \frac{1}{} \omega$ is an old and a good word from $\zeta \eta \lambda o \varsigma$ (zeal, jealousy), but one can pay court with good motives or evil. So here in contrast with Paul's plain speech the Judaizers bring their fawning flattery.

To shut you out ( $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \varepsilon ו \sigma \alpha ~ v \mu \alpha \varsigma) . ~ F r o m ~ C h r i s t ~ a s ~ h e ~ w i l l ~ s h o w ~(~ 5: 4) . ~$
That ye may seek them (ıva autous $\lceil\eta \lambda$ оute). Probably present active indicative with $\iota v \alpha$ as in $\varphi \cup \sigma \iota \sigma \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ (1Co 4:6) and $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu$ (1Jo 5:20). The contraction -o $\eta \tau \varepsilon$ would be $-\omega \tau \varepsilon$, not -oute (Robertson, Grammar, p. 325).

Gal 4:18
To be zealously sought in a good matter ( $\zeta \eta \lambda o v \sigma \theta \alpha \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega)$. Present passive infinitive. It is only in an evil matter that it is bad as here (ov ка入оৎ).

When I am present ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ı v \alpha \mu \varepsilon$ ). "In the being present as to me."
Gal 4:19
I am in travail $(\omega \delta \delta \nu \omega)$. I am in birth pangs. Old word for this powerful picture of pain. In N.T. only here, verse 27; Re 12:2 .

Until Christ be formed in you ( $\mu \varepsilon \chi \rho \iota \varsigma$ ои $\mu о \rho \varphi \omega \theta \eta$ X $\operatorname{\rho \iota \sigma \tau о\varsigma ~} \varepsilon v \nu \mu \nu v$ ). Future temporal clause with $\mu \varepsilon \chi \rho \iota \varsigma$ ov (until which time) and the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\mu о \rho \varphi о \omega$,
late and rare verb, in Plutarch, not in LXX, not in papyri, only here in N.T. This figure is the embryo developing into the child. Paul boldly represents himself as again the mother with birth pangs over them. This is better than to suppose that the Galatians are pregnant mothers (Burton) by a reversal of the picture as in 1Th 2:7.

Gal 4:20
I could with ( $\eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda o v$ ). Imperfect active, I was wishing like Agrippa's use of $\varepsilon \beta o v \lambda o \mu \eta v$ in Ac 25:22 , "I was just wishing. I was longing to be present with you just now ( $\alpha \rho \tau$ )."

To change my voice ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \xi \alpha \tau \eta \nu \varphi \omega v \eta \nu \mu \circ v$ ). Paul could put his heart into his voice. The pen stands between them. He knew the power of his voice on their hearts. He had tried it before.

I am perplexed $(\alpha \pi \sigma \rho o v \mu \alpha)$. I am at a loss and know not what to do. A $\pi$ o $\varepsilon \varepsilon \omega$ is from a privative and $\pi о \rho \circ \varsigma$, way. I am lost at this distance from you.

About you ( $\varepsilon v \nu \mu \nu v$ ). In your cases. For this use of $\varepsilon v$ see 2Co 7:16; Ga 1:24.
Gal 4:21
 as in 3:23; 4:4, legalistic system. Paul views them as on the point of surrender to legalism, as "wanting" ( $\theta \varepsilon \lambda$ ovtec) to do it ( $1: 6 ; 3: 3 ; 4: 11,17$ ). Paul makes direct reference to these so disposed to "hear the law." He makes a surprising turn, but a legitimate one for the legalists by an allegorical use of Scripture.

Gal 4:22
By the handmaid ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \sigma \kappa \eta \varsigma)$. From Ge 16:1 . Feminine diminutive of $\pi \alpha \iota \varsigma$, boy or slave. Common word for damsel which came to be used for female slave or maidservant (Lu 12:45) or doorkeeper like Mt 26:29. So in the papyri.

Gal 4:23
Is born ( $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \nu \eta \tau \alpha)$. Perfect passive indicative of $\gamma \varepsilon v v \alpha \omega$, stand on record so.
Through promise ( $\left.\delta \iota^{\prime} \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı \alpha \varsigma\right)$. In addition to being "after the flesh" (ката $\sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha$ ). Gal 4:24
Which things contain an allegory ( $\alpha \tau \iota v \alpha \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \gamma \circ \rho o v \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Literally, "Which things are allegorized" (periphrastic present passive indicative of $\alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \gamma>\rho \varepsilon \omega$ ). Late word (Strabo, Plutarch, Philo, Josephus, ecclesiastical writers), only here in N.T. The ancient writers used $\alpha \iota v \iota \tau \tau o \mu \alpha$ to speak in riddles. It is compounded of $\alpha \lambda \lambda 0$, another, and $\alpha \gamma \circ \rho \varepsilon v \omega$, to speak, and so means speaking something else than what the language means, what Philo, the past-master in the use of allegory, calls the deeper spiritual sense. Paul does not deny the actual historical narrative, but he simply uses it in an allegorical sense to illustrate his point for the benefit of his readers who are tempted to go under the burden of the law. He puts a secondary meaning on the narrative just as he uses $\tau 0 \pi \iota \kappa \omega \varsigma$ in 1Co 10:11 of the narrative. We need not press unduly the difference between allegory and type, for each is used in a variety of ways. The allegory in one sense is a speaking parable like Bunyan's Pilgrim's

Progress, the Prodigal Son in Lu 15 , the Good Shepherd in Joh 10 . But allegory was also used by Philo and by Paul here for a secret meaning not obvious at first, one not in the mind of the writer, like our illustration which throws light on the point. Paul was familiar with this rabbinical method of exegesis (Rabbi Akiba, for instance, who found a mystical sense in every hook and crook of the Hebrew letters) and makes skilful use of that knowledge here. Christian preachers in Alexandria early fell victims to Philo's allegorical method and carried it to excess without regard to the plain sense of the narrative. That startling style of preaching survives yet to the discredit of sound preaching. Please observe that Paul says here that he is using allegory, not ordinary interpretation. It is not necessary to say that Paul intended his readers to believe that this allegory was designed by the narrative. He illustrates his point by it.

For these are ( $\alpha v \tau \alpha \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \iota \sigma เ v$ ). Allegorically interpreted, he means.
From Mount Sinai ( $\alpha \pi 0$ opovs $\Sigma i v \alpha$ ). Spoken from Mount Sinai.
Bearing ( $\gamma \varepsilon v \nu \omega \sigma \alpha$ ). Present active participle of $\gamma \varepsilon v v a \omega$, to beget of the male (Mt 1:1-16 ), more rarely as here to bear of the female ( $\operatorname{Lu} 1: 13,57$ ).

Which is Hagar ( $\eta \tau \iota \varsigma$ e $\sigma \tau \imath v$ H $\alpha \gamma \alpha \rho$ ). Allegorically interpreted.
Gal 4:25
This Hagar ( $\tau \mathrm{H} H \alpha \gamma \alpha \rho$ ). Neuter article and so referring to the word Hagar (not to the woman, $\eta$ Hagar) as applied to the mountain. There is great variety in the MSS. here. The Arabians are descendants of Abraham and Hagar (her name meaning wanderer or fugitive).

Answereth to ( $\sigma \cup v \tau 0 \chi \chi)$. Late word in Polybius for keeping step in line (military term) and in papyri in figurative sense as here. Lightfoot refers to the Pythagorean parallels of opposing principles ( $\sigma 0 v \sigma \tau o \not x i \alpha)$ as shown here by Paul (Hagar and Sarah, Ishmael and Isaac, the old covenant and the new covenant, the earthly Jerusalem and the heavenly Jerusalem). That is true, and there is a correlative correspondence as the line is carried on.

Gal 4:26
The Jerusalem that is above ( $\eta \alpha v \omega$ I $\varepsilon \rho o v \sigma \alpha \lambda \eta \mu$ ). Paul uses the rabbinical idea that the heavenly Jerusalem corresponds to the one here to illustrate his point without endorsing their ideas. See also Re 21:2. He uses the city of Jerusalem to represent the whole Jewish race (Vincent).

Gal 4:27
Which is our mother ( $\eta \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \mu \eta \tau \eta \rho \eta \mu \omega v$ ). The mother of us Christians, apply the allegory of Hagar and Sarah to us. The Jerusalem above is the picture of the Kingdom of God. Paul illustrates the allegory by quoting Isa 54:1 , a song of triumph looking for deliverance from a foreign yoke.

Rejoice ( $\varepsilon \cup \varphi \rho \alpha v \theta \eta \tau)$. First aorist passive imperative of $\varepsilon u \varphi \rho \alpha \iota v \omega$.
Break forth ( $\rho \eta \xi \circ v$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\rho \eta \gamma v v \mu$, to rend, to burst asunder. Supply $\varepsilon \cup \varphi \rho o \sigma u v \eta v$ (joy) as in Isa 49:13 .

The desolate ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \circ v$ ). The prophet refers to Sarah's prolonged barrenness and Paul uses this fact as a figure for the progress and glory of Christianity (the new Jerusalem of freedom) in contrast with the old Jerusalem of bondage (the current Judaism). His thought has moved rapidly, but he does not lose his line.

Gal 4:28
Now we ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \delta \varepsilon$ ). Some MSS. have $v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \varepsilon$ (now ye). In either case Paul means that Christians (Jews and Gentiles) are children of the promise as Isaac was (ката Iбаак, after the manner of Isaac).

Gal 4:29
Persecuted ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \kappa \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active of $\delta \omega \omega \kappa \omega$, to pursue, to persecute. Ge 21:9 has in Hebrew "laughing," but the LXX has "mocking." The Jewish tradition represents Ishmael as shooting arrows at Isaac.

So now (outoc $\kappa \alpha \operatorname{vvv}$ ) the Jews were persecuting Paul and all Christians (1Th 2:15f. ).

Gal 4:30
Cast out ( $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist active imperative of $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$. Quotation from Ge 21:10 (Sarah to Abraham) and confirmed in 21:12 by God's command to Abraham. Paul gives allegorical warning thus to the persecuting Jews and Judaizers.

Shall not inherit ( $o v \mu \eta \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ v o \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Strong negative ( $o v \mu \eta$ and future indicative). "The law and the gospel cannot co-exist. The law must disappear before the gospel" (Lightfoot). See 3:18,29 for the word "inherit."

Gal 4:31
But of the freewoman ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma$ ). We are children of Abraham by faith ( 3:7).

## Galatians 5

Gal 5:1
With freedom ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon u \theta \varepsilon \rho ı \alpha)$. Rather dative case instead of instrumental, "for freedom," "for the (article) freedom that belongs to us children of the freewoman" ( 4:31).

Did Christ set us free ( $\eta \mu \alpha \varsigma X \rho \prime \sigma \tau о \varsigma ~ \eta \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho \omega \sigma \varepsilon v)$. Effective aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho \circ \omega$ (from $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to go, go free).

Stand fast therefore ( $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ouv). See on Mr 3:31; 1Co 16:13 for this late word from perfect stem of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, "keep on standing therefore," "stay free since Christ set you free."

Be not entangled again ( $\mu \eta \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon)$ ). "Stop being held in by a yoke of bondage." Common word for ensnare by trap. The Judaizers were trying to lasso the Galatians for the old yoke of Judaism.

Gal 5:2
I Paul ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ Пav $\bar{\circ}$ ). Asserts all his personal and apostolic authority. For both words see also 1Th 2:16; 2Co 10:1; Col 1:23; Eph 3:1 .

If ye receive circumcision ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Condition of third class and present passive subjunctive, a supposable case, but with terrible consequences, for they will make circumcision a condition of salvation. In that case Christ will help them not at all.

Gal 5:3
A debtor ( $\circ \varphi \varepsilon \iota \lambda \varepsilon \tau \eta \varsigma$ ). Common word from $0 \varphi \varepsilon \wedge \lambda \omega$, to owe for one who has assumed an obligation. See on Mt 6:12. See Ga 3:10. He takes the curse on himself.

Gal 5:4
 катарүє $\omega$, to make null and void as in Ro 7:2,6.
 ative indicative, "ye who are trying to be justified in the law."

Ye are fallen away from grace ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \chi \alpha \rho \iota \tau \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$ (with $\alpha$ variable vowel of the first aorist) and followed by the ablative case. "Ye did fall out of grace," "ye left the sphere of grace in Christ and took your stand in the sphere of law" as your hope of salvation. Paul does not mince words and carries the logic to the end of the course. He is not, of course, speaking of occasional sins, but he has in mind a far more serious matter, that of substituting law for Christ as the agent in salvation.

Gal 5:5
For we ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \gamma \alpha \rho$ ). We Christians as opposed to the legalists.
Through the Spirit by faith ( $\pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \kappa \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. By the Spirit (Holy Spirit) out of faith (not law). Clear-cut repetition to make it plain.

Gal 5:6
 Jew nor Greek has any recommendation in his state. See 3:28. All stand on a level in Christ.

Faith working through love ( $\left.\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma \delta \iota^{\prime} \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \varsigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma о \cup \mu \varepsilon v \eta\right)$. Middle voice of $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ and "through love," "the moral dynamic" (Burton) of Paul's conception of freedom from law.

Gal 5:7
Who did hinder you? ( $\tau \iota \varsigma \nu \mu \propto \varsigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \kappa \circ \psi \varepsilon v$ ?). First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon v \kappa о \pi \tau \omega$, to cut in on one, for all the world like our use of one cutting in on us at the telephone. For this late verb see on Ac 24:4; 1Th 2:18. Note the singular $\tau \iota \varsigma$. There was some ringleader in the business. Some one "cut in" on the Galatians as they were running the Christian race and tried to trip them or to turn them.

Gal 5:8
This persuasion ( $\eta \pi \varepsilon เ \sigma \mu \circ v \eta$ ). "The art of persuasion," the effort of the Judaizers to persuade you. Only here and in ecclesiastical writers.

Gal 5:9
This proverb Paul has in 1Co 5:6. It is merely the pervasive power of leaven that is involved in the proverb as in Mt 13:33, not the use of leaven as a symbol of evil.

Gal 5:10
Whosoever he be (oбтıc $\varepsilon \alpha \vee \eta$ ). Indefinite relative clause with $\varepsilon a v$ and subjunctive. It seems unlikely that Paul knew precisely who the leader was. In 1:6 he uses the plural of the same verb тарaбб $\omega$ and see also avaбтатоuvtec in verse 12.

Gal 5:11
Why am I still persecuted? ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau \delta \iota \omega \kappa о \mu \alpha t ?$ ). Some of the Judaizers even circulated the slander that Paul preached circumcision in order to ruin his influence.

Gal 5:12
I would ( $o \varphi \varepsilon \lambda o v$ ). Would that, used as conjunction in wishes. See on 1Co 4:2; 2Co 11:1 . Here a wish about the future with future indicative.
 from one's abode, and in papyri in this sense as well as in sense of upsetting or disturbing one's mind (boy's letter) as here. In Ac 17:6; 21:38 we have it in sense of making a commotion.

Cut themselves off ( $\alpha \pi о к о \psi о v \tau \alpha$ ). Future middle of $\alpha \pi о к о \pi \tau \omega$, old word to cut off as in Ac 27:32, here to mutilate.

Gal 5:13
Ye were called for freedom ( $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho \prime \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). The same point as in 5:1 made plainer by the use of $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime}$ (on the basis of, for the purpose of). See 1Th 4:7 for this use of $\varepsilon \pi$.

Only use not ( $\mu$ оvov $\mu \eta$ ). No word for "use" in the Greek. Probably supply $\tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ or $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon$, "turn not your liberty into an occasion for the flesh" ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \alpha \varphi о \rho \mu \eta \nu \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa$ ), as a spring board for license. On $\alpha \varphi \rho \rho \mu \eta$, see on 2Co 5:12 . Liberty so easily turns to license.

## Gal 5:14

Even in this ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega)$. Just the article with $\varepsilon v$, "in the," but it points at the quotation from Le 19:18. Jews (Lu 10:29) confined "neighbour" ( $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \circ \circ v$ ) to Jews. Paul uses here a striking paradox by urging obedience to the law against which he has been arguing, but this is the moral law as proof of the new love and life. See also Ro 13:8, precisely as Jesus did (Mt 22:40 ).

## Gal 5:15

If ye bite and devour one another ( $\varepsilon \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$ оuc $\delta \alpha \kappa v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \theta เ \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Condition of first class assumed as true. Two common and old verbs often used together of wild animals, or like cats and dogs.

That ye be not consumed one of another ( $\mu \eta v \pi^{\prime} \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \omega v \alpha v a \lambda \omega \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Negative final clause with first aorist passive subjunctive of $\alpha v a \lambda \_\sigma \kappa \omega$, old word to consume or spend. In N.T. only here and Lu 9:54. There is a famous story of two snakes that grabbed each other by the tail and each swallowed the other.

Gal 5:16
Ye shall not fulfil (ov $\mu \eta \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Rather, "Ye will not fulfil." Strong double negative with aorist active subjunctive.

The lust of the flesh ( $\varepsilon \pi \imath \theta v \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} v \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa о \varsigma)$. Bad sense here as usual in Paul, but not so in 1Th 2:17; Php 1:23. The word is just craving or longing (from $\varepsilon \pi \iota, \theta v \mu \circ \varsigma$, yearning after).

Gal 5:17
Lusteth against ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \cup \mu \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha)$. Like a tug of war. This use of $\sigma \alpha \rho \xi$ as opposed to the Spirit (Holy Spirit) personifies $\sigma \alpha \rho \xi$. Lightfoot argues that $\varepsilon \pi \imath \theta \nu \mu \varepsilon$ cannot be used with the Spirit and so some other verb must be supplied for it. But that is wholly needless, for the verb, like $\varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \cup \mu \iota a$, does not mean evil desire, but simply to long for. Christ and Satan long for the possession of the city of Man Soul as Bunyan shows.

Are contrary the one to the other ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$ oıs $\alpha v \tau \iota \kappa \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ ). Are lined up in conflict, face to face ( $\alpha v \tau \tau-$ ), a spiritual duel (cf. Christ's temptations), with dative case of personal interest ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$ оıс).

That ye may not do (iva $\mu \eta \pi$ оoŋ $\tau \varepsilon$ ). "That ye may not keep on doing" (present active subjunctive of $\pi \cot \omega$ ).

That ye would ( $\alpha \operatorname{\varepsilon av} \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). "Whatever ye wish" (indefinite relative with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and present subjunctive).

Gal 5:18
Under the law (vло vouov). Instead of "under the flesh" as one might expect. See Ga 3:2-6 for contrast between law and spirit. The flesh made the law weak (Rom 8:3; Heb 9:10,13 ). They are one and the same in result. See same idea in Ro 8:14. Note present tense of $\alpha \gamma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ (if you are continually led by the Spirit). See verse 23.

Gal 5:19

Manifest ( $\varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). Opposed to "hidden" ( $\kappa \rho \cup \pi \tau \alpha$ ). Ancient writers were fond of lists of vices and virtues. Cf. Stalker's sermons on The Seven Cardinal Virtues and The Seven Deadly Sins. There are more than seven in this deadly list in verses 19-21. He makes the two lists in explanation of the conflict in verse 17 to emphasize the command in verses 13 f . There are four groups in Paul's list of manifest vices: (I) Sensual sins like fornication ( $\pi \mathbf{\rho} \boldsymbol{\rho \varepsilon \varepsilon} \downarrow$, prostitution, harlotry), uncleanness ( $\alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \sigma \iota \alpha$, moral impurity), lasciviousness ( $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \gamma \varepsilon \iota \alpha$, wantonness), sexual vice of all kinds prevailed in heathenism. (2) Idolatry ( $\varepsilon \delta \delta \omega \lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon \alpha$, worship of idols) and witchcraft ( $\varphi \boldsymbol{\rho \mu} \boldsymbol{\alpha \varepsilon \iota \alpha ~ f r o m ~ ч а р \mu а к о v , ~ a ~ d r u g , ~ t h e ~ m i n i s t e r i n g ~ o f ~}$ drugs), but the sorcerers monopolized the word for a while in their magical arts and used it in connection with idolatry. In N.T. only here and Re 18:23. See Ac 19:19 $\pi \varepsilon \rho \mid \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$, curious arts. (3) Personal relations expressed by eight words, all old words, sins of the spirit, like enmities ( $\varepsilon \xi \theta \rho \alpha$, personal animosities), strife ( $\varepsilon \rho \iota \varsigma$, rivalry, discord), jealousies ( $\zeta \eta \lambda o \varsigma$ or $\zeta \eta \lambda 0$, MSS. vary, our very word), wraths ( $\theta \nu \mu \mathrm{o}$, stirring emotions, then explosions), factions ( $\varepsilon \rho \iota \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha$, from $\varepsilon \rho ı \theta$ oc, day labourer for hire, worker in wool, party spirit), divisions ( $\delta \not \chi 0 \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha$, splits in two, $\delta \iota \chi \alpha$ and $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota)$ ), heresies (aı $\rho \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \iota$, , the very word, but really choosings from aı $\rho$ عo $\mu \alpha$, preferences), envyings ( $\varphi$ Oovo, feelings of ill-will). Surely a lively list. (4)

Drunkenness ( $\mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$, old word and plural, drunken excesses, in N.T. only here and Lu 21:34; Ro 13:13 ), revellings ( $\kappa \omega \mu \mathrm{o}$, old word also for drinking parties like those in honour of Bacchus, in N.T. only here and Ro 13:13; 1Pe 4:3 ).

And such like (ка та оноь $\alpha$ тov тoı). And the things like these (associative instrumental toutoıs after ouota, like). It is not meant to be exhaustive, but it is representative.

Gal 5:21
Forewarn ( $\pi \rho \circ \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ )
--did forewarn ( $\pi \rho о \varepsilon \iota \pi \frac{}{}$ ). Paul repeats his warning given while with them. He did his duty then. Gentile churches were peculiarly subject to these sins. But who is not in danger from them?

Practise ( $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma 0 v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma) . \Pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ is the verb for habitual practice (our very word, in fact), not $\pi$ tote $\omega$ for occasional doing. The
habit of these sins is proof that one is not in the Kingdom of God and will not inherit it.

Gal 5:22
The fruit of the Spirit (о картоऽ $\tau о v \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma)$. Paul changes the figure from
works $(\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha)$ in verse 19 to fruit as the normal out-cropping of the Holy Spirit in us. It is a beautiful tree of fruit that Paul pictures here with nine luscious fruits on it:

Love ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta$ ). Late, almost Biblical word. First as in 1Co 13 , which see for discussion as superior to $\varphi\rangle \lambda \iota \alpha$ and $\varepsilon \rho \omega \varsigma$.

Joy ( $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ). Old word. See on 1Th 1:6.

Peace $(\varepsilon \varsigma \eta \nu \eta)$. See on 1Th 1:1.
Long-suffering ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta v \mu \iota \alpha$ ). See on 2Co 6:6.
Kindness ( $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau 0 \tau \eta \varsigma)$. See on 2Co 6:6.
Goodness ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \omega \sigma \nu \nu \eta$ ). See on 2Th 1:11.
Faithfulness ( $\pi$ ıб $\tau \iota \varsigma)$. Same word as "faith." See on Mt 23:33; 1Co 13:7,13.
Meekness ( $\pi \rho \alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma)$. See on 1Co 4:21; 2Co 10:1.
Temperance ( $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota \alpha)$. See on Ac 24:25. Old word from $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma$, one holding control or holding in. In N.T. only in these passages and 2Pe 1:6. Paul has a better list than the four cardinal virtues of the Stoics (temperance, prudence, fortitude, justice), though they are included with better notes struck. Temperance is alike, but kindness is better than justice, long-suffering than fortitude, love than prudence.

Gal 5:24
Crucified the flesh ( $\tau \eta \nu \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ ). Definite event, first aorist active indicative of $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho o \omega$ as in 2:19 (mystical union with Christ). Paul uses $\sigma \alpha \rho \xi$ here in the same sense as in verses $16,17,19$, "the force in men that makes for evil" (Burton).

With ( $\sigma v \nu$ ). "Together with," emphasizing "the completeness of the extermination of this evil force" and the guarantee of victory over one's passions and dispositions toward evil.

Gal 5:25
By the Spirit let us also walk ( $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau o \imath \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Present subjunctive (volitive) of $\sigma \tau o x \varepsilon \omega$, "Let us also go on walking by the Spirit." Let us make our steps by the help and guidance of the Spirit.

Gal 5:26
Let us not be ( $\mu \eta \gamma \iota \nu \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Present middle subjunctive (volitive), "Let us cease becoming vainglorious" ( $\left.\kappa \varepsilon \vee \circ \delta \circ \xi_{o}\right)$, late word only here in N.T. ( $\kappa \varepsilon \vee \circ \sigma, \delta o \xi \alpha$ ). Once in Epictetus in same sense.

Provoking one another ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$ ous $\pi \rho о \kappa \alpha \lambda o u \mu \varepsilon \nu o$ ). Old word $\pi \rho о \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to call forth, to challenge to combat. Only here in N.T. and in bad sense. The word for "provoke" in Heb 10:24 is $\pi \alpha \rho о \xi v \sigma \mu o v$ (our "paroxysm").

Envying ( $\varphi$ Өovouvi $)$ ) Old verb from $\varphi \theta$ ovoc. Only here in N.T.

## Galatians 6

Gal 6:1
If a man be overtaken ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \kappa \alpha \pi \rho \circ \lambda \eta \mu \varphi \theta \eta \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma)$. Condition of third class, first aorist passive subjunctive of $\pi \rho o \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, old verb to take beforehand, to surprise, to detect.

Trespass ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha \tau)$. Literally, a falling aside, a slip or lapse in the papyri rather than a wilful sin. In Polybius and Diodorus. Koine word.

Ye which are spiritual (o $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa o$ ). See on 1Co 3:1. The spiritually led (5:18), the spiritual experts in mending souls.
 Mt 4:21 of mending nets, old word to make a $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{\circ}$, fit, to equip thoroughly.

Looking to thyself ( $\sigma \kappa о \pi \omega v$ ozavtov). Keeping an eye on as in 2Co 4:18 like a runner on the goal.

Lest thou also be tempted ( $\mu \eta \kappa \alpha \sigma v \pi \varepsilon\llcorner\rho \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \vdash)$ ). Negative purpose with first aorist passive subjunctive. Spiritual experts (preachers in particular) need this caution. Satan loves a shining mark.

Gal 6:2
Bear ye one another's burdens ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \omega \nu \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \rho \eta \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon)$. Keep on bearing (present active imperative of $\beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \zeta \omega$, old word, used of Jesus bearing his Cross in Joh 19:17. Bapos means weight as in Mt 20:12; 2Co 4:17. It is when one's load ( $\varphi$ optıov, verse 5 ) is about to press one down. Then give help in carrying it.

Fulfil ( $\alpha v \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\alpha v \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$, to fill up, old word, and see on Mt 23:32; 1Th 2:16; 1Co 14:16. Some MSS. have future indicative ( $\alpha v \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon)$.

Gal 6:3
Something when he is nothing ( $\tau \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \omega v$ ). Thinks he is a big number being nothing at all (neuter singular pronouns). He is really zero.

He deceiveth himself ( $\varphi \rho \varepsilon v \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \alpha$ عav $\tau \circ$ ). Late compound word ( $\varphi \rho \eta \nu$, mind, $\alpha \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \omega$, lead astray), leads his own mind astray. Here for first time. Afterwards in Galen, ecclesiastical and Byzantine writers. He deceives no one else.

Gal 6:5
Each shall bear his own burden ( $\tau 0$ เ $\delta \iota \circ \vee \varphi о \rho \tau \iota v \vee \beta a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Фортıvv is old word for ship's cargo (Ac 27:10 ). Christ calls his poptoov light, though he terms those of the Pharisees heavy (Mt 23:4), meant for other people. The terms are thus not always kept distinct, though Paul does make a distinction here from the $\beta \alpha \rho \eta$ in verse 2.

Gal 6:6
That is taught (о катп $\chi о 0 \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. For this late and rare verb катп $\chi \varepsilon \omega$, see on $\operatorname{Lu}$ 1:4; Ac 18:25; 1Co 14:19. It occurs in the papyri for legal instruction. Here the present passive participle retains the accusative of the thing. The active ( $\tau \omega$ ка $\tau \eta \chi \circ \cup v \tau$ ) joined with the
passive is interesting as showing how early we find paid teachers in the churches. Those who receive instruction are called on to "contribute" (better than "communicate" for $\kappa o เ v \omega v \varepsilon เ \tau \omega)$ for the time of the teacher (Burton). There was a teaching class thus early (1 Th 5:12; 1Co 12:28; Eph 4:11; 1Th 5:17 ).

Gal 6:7
Be not deceived ( $\mu \eta \pi \lambda \alpha v a \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Present passive imperative with $\mu \eta$, "stop being led astray" ( $\pi \lambda \alpha v \alpha \omega$, common verb to wander, to lead astray as in Mt 24:4f. ).

God is not mocked (ov $\mu \cup \kappa \tau \eta \rho \iota(\varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. This rare verb (common in LXX) occurs in Lysias. It comes from $\mu \nu \kappa \tau \eta \rho$ (nose) and means to turn the nose up at one. That is done towards God, but never without punishment, Paul means to say. In particular, he means "an evasion of his laws which men think to accomplish, but, in fact, cannot" (Burton).

Whatsoever a man soweth (o $\varepsilon \alpha v \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \eta ~ \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma)$ ). Indefinite relative clause with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and the active subjunctive (either aorist or present, form same here). One of the most frequent of ancient proverbs (Job 4:8 ; Arist., Rhet. iii. 3). Already in 2Co 9:6 . Same point in Mt 7:16; Mr 4:26f.

That (тovтo). That very thing, not something different.
Reap ( $\theta \varepsilon \rho / \sigma \varepsilon$ ). See on Mt 6:26 for this old verb.

## Gal 6:8

Corruption ( $\varphi \theta$ oo $\alpha v$ ). For this old word from $\varphi \theta \varepsilon \rho \omega$, see on 1Co 15:42. The precise meaning turns on the context, here plainly the physical and moral decay or rottenness that follows sins of the flesh as all men know. Nature writes in one's body the penalty of sin as every doctor knows.

Eternal life ( $\zeta \omega \eta \nu \alpha \omega \omega v \iota v$ ). See on Mt 25:46 for this interesting phrase so common in the Johannine writings. Plato used al $\omega$ voc for perpetual. See also 2 Th 1:9. It comes as nearly meaning "eternal" as the Greek can express that idea.

Gal 6:9
Let us not be weary in well-doing ( $\tau 0 \kappa \alpha \lambda о v \pi о ю v \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \mu \eta \varepsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Volitive present active subjunctive of $\varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \omega$ on which see Lu 18:1; 2Th 3:13; 2Со 4:1,16 ( $\varepsilon v$, какоৎ, evil). Literally, "Let us not keep on giving in to evil while doing the good." It is curious how prone we are to give in and to give out in doing the good which somehow becomes prosy or insipid to us.

In due season ( $\kappa \alpha \iota \rho \omega \iota \delta \iota \omega$ ). Locative case, "at its proper season" (harvest time). Cf. 1 Ti 2:6; 6:15 (plural).

If we faint not ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \nu o \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present passive participle (conditional) with $\mu \eta$. Cf. $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \nu \omega$, old verb to loosen out. Literally, "not loosened out," relaxed, exhausted as a result of giving in to evil ( $\varepsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \omega \mu \varepsilon v)$.

Gal 6:10

As we have opportunity ( $\omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \iota \rho o v \varepsilon \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Indefinite comparative clause (present subjunctive without $\alpha v$ ). "As we have occasion at any time."

Let us work that which is good ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ тo $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ ov). Volitive present middle subjunctive of $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta о \mu \alpha$, "Let us keep on working the good deed."

Of the household of faith ( $\tau$ оиৎ oוкعוоиৎ $\tau \eta \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). For the obvious reason that they belong to the same family with necessary responsibility.

Gal 6:11
With how large letters ( $\pi \eta \lambda \iota \kappa о \iota \varsigma ~ \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \sigma เ v$ ). Paul now takes the pen from the amanuensis (cf. Ro 16:22) and writes the rest of the Epistle (verses 11-18) himself instead of the mere farewell greeting (2Th 3:17; 1Co 16:21; Col 4:18). But what does he mean by "with how large letters"? Certainly not "how large a letter." It has been suggested that he employed large letters because of defective eyesight or because he could only write ill-formed letters because of his poor handwriting (like the print letters of children) or because he wished to call particular attention to this closing paragraph by placarding it in big letters (Ramsay). This latter is the most likely reason. Deissmann, (St. Paul, p. 51) argues that artisans write clumsy letters, yes, and scholars also. Milligan (Documents, p. 24; Vocabulary, etc.) suggests the contrast seen in papyri often between the neat hand of the scribe and the big sprawling hand of the signature.

I have written ( $\varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha)$. Epistolary aorist.
With mine own hand ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \mu \eta \chi \varepsilon เ \rho$ ). Instrumental case as in 1Co 16:21 .
Gal 6:12
To make a fair show ( $\varepsilon \cup \pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive of $\varepsilon \cup \pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \varepsilon \omega$, late verb from $\varepsilon v \pi \rho о \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma$, fair of face ( $\varepsilon v, \pi \rho o \sigma \omega \pi \sigma v$ ). Here only in N.T., but one example in papyri (Tebt. I. 1912 B.C. 114) which shows what may happen to any of our N.T. words not yet found elsewhere. It is in Chrysostom and later writers.

They compel ( $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \zeta$ оvoıv). Conative present active indicative, "they try to compel."
For the cross of Christ ( $\tau \omega \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \rho \omega$ tov X $\rho$ เo $\tau o v$ ). Instrumental case (causal use, Robertson, Grammar, p. 532). Cf. 2Co 2:13. "For professing the cross of Christ" (Lightfoot).

## Gal 6:13

They who receive circumcision (o $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau \varepsilon \mu v o \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present causative middle of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \omega$, those who are having themselves circumcised. Some MSS. read o $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau \varepsilon \tau \eta \mu \varepsilon v o)$, "they who have been circumcised" (perfect passive participle). Probably the present ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \circ \mu \varepsilon v o$ ) is correct as the harder reading.

Gal 6:14
Far be it from me ( $\varepsilon \mu \circ \mu \eta \gamma \varepsilon v o \iota \tau o$ ). Second aorist middle optative of $\gamma ı v o \mu \alpha$ in a negative $(\mu \eta)$ wish about the future with dative case: "May it not happen to me." See 2:17. The infinitive $\kappa \alpha v \chi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$ (to glory) is the subject of $\gamma$ عvouto as is common in the LXX, though not elsewhere in the N.T.

Hath been crucified unto me ( $\varepsilon \mu \circ \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \omega \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho o \omega$, stands crucified, with the ethical dative again $(\varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o})$. This is one of the great sayings of Paul concerning his relation to Christ and the world in contrast with the Judaizers. Cf. 2:19f.; 3:13; 4:4f.; 1Co 1:23f.; Ro 1:16; 3:21ff.; 4:25; 5:18 .

World (кобноя) has no article, but is definite as in 2Co 5:19. Paul's old world of Jewish descent and environment is dead to him (Php 3:3f. ).

Gal 6:15
A new creature ( $\kappa \alpha \iota \nu \eta \kappa \tau \iota \sigma ı \varsigma)$. For this phrase see on 2Co 5:17.
Gal 6:16
By this rule ( $\tau \omega$ kavov $\tau 0 v \tau \omega$ ). For $\kappa \alpha v \omega v$, see on 2Co 10:13,15f.
Gal 6:17
From henceforth (тои долтои). Usually то $\lambda$ долоv, the accusative of general reference, "as for the rest" (Php 3:1; 4:8). The genitive case (as here and Eph 6:10) means "in respect of the remaining time."

The marks of Jesus ( $\tau \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ $\tau 0 v$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). Old word from $\sigma \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, to prick, to stick, to sting. Slaves had the names or stamp of their owners on their bodies. It was sometimes done for soldiers also. There were devotees also who stamped upon their bodies the names of the gods whom they worshipped. Today in a round-up cattle are given the owner's mark. Paul gloried in being the slave of Jesus Christ. This is probably the image in Paul's mind since he bore in his body brandmarks of suffering for Christ received in many places (2Co 6:4-6; 11:23ff. ), probably actual scars from the scourgings (thirty-nine lashes at a time). If for no other reason, listen to me by reason of these scars for Christ and "let no one keep on furnishing trouble to me."

Gal 6:18
The farewell salutation is much briefer than that in 2Co 13:13, but identical with that in Phm 1:25. He calls them "brethren" ( $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi 0$ ) in spite of the sharp things spoken to them.

## THE EPISTLE TO THE EPHESIANS

FROM ROME A.D. 63

## BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

There are some problems of a special nature that confront us about the so-called Epistle to the Ephesians.

THE AUTHORSHIP
It is not admitted by all that Paul wrote it, though no other adequate explanation of its origin has ever been given. So far as subject matter and vocabulary and style are concerned, if Colossians is Pauline, there is little or nothing to be said against the Pauline authorship of this Epistle.

## RELATION TO COLOSSIANS

As we have seen, the two Epistles were sent at the same time, but clearly Colossians was composed first. Ephesians bears much the same relation to Colossians that Romans does to Galatians, a fuller treatment of the same general theme in a more detached and impersonal manner.

THE DESTINATION
The oldest documents (Aleph and B) do not have the words $\varepsilon v \mathrm{E} \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \omega$ (in Ephesus) in Eph 1:1 (inserted by a later hand). Origen did not have them in his copy. Marcion calls it the Epistle to the Laodiceans. We have only to put here $\mathrm{Col} 4: 16$ "the letter from Laodicea" to find the probable explanation. After writing the stirring Epistle to the Colossians Paul dictated this so-called Epistle to the Ephesians as a general or circular letter for the churches in Asia (Roman province). Perhaps the original copy had no name in Eph $1: 1$ as seen in Aleph and B and Origen, but only a blank space. Marcion was familiar with the copy in Laodicea. Basil in the fourth century mentions some MSS. with no name in the address. Most MSS. were copies from the one in Ephesus and so it came to be called the Epistle to the Ephesians. The general nature of the letter explains also the absence of names in it, though Paul lived three years in Ephesus.

THE DATE
The same date must be assigned as for Philemon and Colossians, probably A.D. 63.
THE PLACE OF WRITING
This would also be the same, that is Rome, though Deissmann and Duncan argue for Ephesus itself as the place of writing. Some scholars even suggest Caesarea.

THE CHARACTER OF THE EPISTLE
The same Gnostic heresy is met as in Colossians, but with this difference. In Colossians the emphasis is on the Dignity of Christ as the Head of the Church, while in Ephesians chief stress is placed upon the Dignity of the Church as the Body of Christ the Head. Paul has written nothing more profound than chapters Eph 1-3 of Ephesians. Stalker termed them
the profoundest thing ever written. He sounds the depths of truth and reaches the heights. Since Ephesians covers the same ground so largely as Colossians, only the words in Ephesians that differ or are additional will call for discussion.

SPECIAL BOOKS ON EPHESIANS
One may note Abbott (Int. Crit. Comm. 1897), Gross Alexander (1910), Beet (1891), Belser (1908), Candlish (1895), Dale (Lectures on Ephesians), Dibelius (Handbuch, 1912), Eadie (1883), Ellicott (1884), Ewald (Zahn Komm., 2 Auf. 1910), Findlay (1892), Gore (Practical Exposition, 1898), Haupt (Meyer Komm., 8 Auf. 1902), Hitchcock (1913), Hort (Intr. 1895), Knabenbauer (1913), Krukenberg (1903), Lidgett (1915), Lock (1929), Lueken (1906), Martin (New Century Bible), McPhail (1893), McPherson (1892), Meinertz (1917), Moule (1900), Mullins (1913), Murray (1915), Oltramare (1891), Robinson (1903), Salmond (1903), E. F. Scott (Moffatt Comm., 1930), Stroeter (The Glory of the Body of Christ, 1909), Von Soden (2 Aufl. 1893), F. B. Westcott (1906), Wohlenberg (1895).

## Ephesians 1

Eph 1:1
Of Christ Jesus (X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o v$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). So B D, though Aleph A L have I $\eta \sigma o v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o v$. Paul is named as the author and so he is. Otherwise the Epistle is pseudepigraphic.

By the will of God ( $\delta \iota \alpha \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau o \varsigma ~ \theta \varepsilon o v)$. As in 1Co 1:1; 2Co 1:1; Ro 1:1 .
At Ephesus ( $\varepsilon v \mathrm{E} \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \omega$ ). In Aleph and B these words are inserted by later hands, though
 meaning "the saints that are" (genuine saints), showing that his MSS. did not have the words $\varepsilon v \mathrm{E} \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \omega$. The explanation of the insertion of these words has already been given in the remarks on "The Destination" as one copy of the general letter that was preserved in Ephesus. It is perfectly proper to call it the Epistle to the Ephesians if we understand the facts.

## Eph 1:3

Blessed ( $\varepsilon \cup \lambda o \gamma \eta \tau о \varsigma)$. Verbal of $\varepsilon \cup \lambda o \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, common in the LXX for Hebrew baruk (Vulgate benedictus) and applied usually to God, sometimes to men (Ge 24:31), but in N.T.
 (Lu 1:42). "While $\varepsilon \cup \lambda o \gamma \eta \mu \varepsilon v o s$ points to an isolated act or acts, $\varepsilon \cup \lambda o \gamma \eta \tau o \varsigma$ describes the intrinsic character" (Lightfoot). Instead of the usual عuxapıбтov $\mu \varepsilon v$ (Col 1:3) Paul here uses عu入oүๆтоৎ, elsewhere only in 2Co 1:3 in opening, though in a doxology in Ro 1:25; 9:5; 2Co $11: 31$. The copula here is probably $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ (is), though either $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega$ (imperative) or $\varepsilon \nmid \eta$ (optative as wish) will make sense.

The God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ (о $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma ~ к а ~ \pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho ~ \tau o v ~ K v \rho ı o v ~ \eta \mu \omega v ~$
 $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ links them together as in 1Th 1:3; 3:11,13; Ga 1:4. See also the one article in 2 Pe 1:1,11. In Eph 1:17 we have o $\theta$ عoc tov Kupıo $\eta \mu \omega v$ I I $\sigma o v$ X Xıбтov, and the words of Jesus in Joh 20:17.

Who hath blessed us (o $\varepsilon \cup \lambda о \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma v \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). First aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \cup \lambda o \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, the same word, antecedent action to the doxology ( $\varepsilon \cup \lambda о ү \eta \tau \circ \varsigma)$.

With ( $\varepsilon v$ ). So-called instrumental use of $\varepsilon v$ though
in is clear.
Every spiritual blessing ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \eta \varepsilon \cup \lambda o \gamma 1 \alpha \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ ). Third use of the root $\varepsilon \cup \lambda o \gamma$ (verbal, verb, substantive). Paul lovingly plays with the idea. The believer is a citizen of heaven and the spiritual blessings count for most to him.

In the heavenly places in Christ ( $\varepsilon v \tau о \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \pi о \cup \rho a v ı o \iota \varepsilon \varepsilon \mathcal{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ ). In four other places in Eph. ( $1: 20 ; 2: 6 ; 3: 10 ; 6: 12$ ). This precise phrase (with $\varepsilon v$ ) occurs nowhere else in the N.T. and has a clearly local meaning in 1:20; 2:6; 3:10, doubtful in 6:12, but probably so here. In 2:6 the believer is conceived as already seated with Christ. Heaven is the real abode of the citizen of Christ's kingdom (Php 3:20) who is a stranger on earth (Php 1:27; Eph 2:19). The
word $\varepsilon \pi \sigma \cup \rho a v i o c$ (heavenly) occurs in various passages in the N.T. in contrast with $\tau \alpha$ $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ (the earthly) as in Joh $3: 12$; 1 Co $15: 40,48,49$; Php 2:10, with $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \iota \varsigma$ (country) in Heb 11:16, with $\kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$ (calling) in Heb 3:1, with $\delta \omega \rho \varepsilon \alpha$ (gift) in Heb 6:4, with $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ (kingdom) in $2 \mathrm{Ti} 4: 18$.

Eph 1:4
Even as he chose us in him ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \tau о \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \alpha v \tau \omega)$. First aorist middle indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, to pick out, to choose. Definitive statement of God's elective grace concerning believers in Christ.

Before the foundation of the world ( $\pi \rho \circ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta о \lambda \eta \varsigma \kappa о \sigma \mu о v)$. Old word from $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to fling down, used of the deposit of seed, the laying of a foundation. This very phrase with $\pi \rho o$ in the Prayer of Jesus (Joh 17:24) of love of the Father toward the Son. It occurs also in 1Pe 1:20. Elsewhere we have a $\quad$ (from) used with it (Mt 25:34; Lu 11:50; Heb 4:3; 9:26; Re 13:8; 17:8 ). But Paul uses neither phrase elsewhere, though he has ato $\tau \omega \nu \alpha \omega \omega \nu \omega \nu$ (from the ages) in Eph 3:9. Here in Eph 1:3-14. Paul in summary fashion gives an outline of his view of God's redemptive plans for the race.

That we should be $(\varepsilon เ v a \eta \mu a \varsigma)$. Infinitive of purpose with the accusative of general reference ( $\eta \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. See Col 1:22 for the same two adjectives and also $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \omega \pi \iota \circ v$ avtov.

Eph 1:5
Having foreordained us ( $\Pi \rho о о \rho เ \sigma \alpha \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\pi \rho о о \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, late and rare compound to define or decide beforehand. Already in Ac 4:28; 1Co 2:7; Ro 8:29. See also verse 11 . Only other N.T. example in verse 11 . To be taken with $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \tau o$ either simultaneous or antecedent (causal).

Unto adoption as sons ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma v \iota \theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota \alpha \nu)$. For this interesting word see $\operatorname{Ga} 4: 5$; Ro $8: 15$; 9:4.

Unto himself ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma ~ \alpha \cup \tau o v)$. Unto God.
According to the good pleasure of his will ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon v \delta \circ \kappa ı \alpha v \tau \circ v \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma \alpha v \tau \circ v)$. Here $\varepsilon$ vסoкıav means
purpose like $\beta o v \lambda \eta \nu$ in verse 11 rather than
benevolence (good pleasure). Note the preposition ката here for standard.
Eph 1:6
To the praise ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota v o v$ ). Note the prepositions in this sentence.
Which ( $\eta \varsigma$ ). Genitive case of the relative $\eta \nu$ (cognate accusative with $\varepsilon \chi \alpha \rho ı \tau \omega \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ (he freely bestowed), late verb $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \tau o \omega$ (from $\chi \alpha \rho ı$, grace), in N.T. attracted to case of antecedent дapıtos only here and Lu 1:28.

In the Beloved $(\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \eta \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega)$. Perfect passive participle of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$. This phrase nowhere else in the N.T. though in the Apostolic Fathers.

Eph 1:7

In whom $(\varepsilon \nu \omega)$. Just like Col 1:14 with $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ (trespasses) in place of $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \tau \omega \nu$ (sins) and with the addition of $\delta \iota \alpha$ тov aı $\mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma$ avtov (through his blood) as in Col 1:20. Clearly Paul makes the blood of Christ the cost of redemption, the ransom money $(\lambda v \tau \rho o v$, Mt 20:28; Mr 10:45 ; $\alpha v \tau ı \lambda v \tau \rho o v, 1 \mathrm{Ti} 2: 6$ ). See Col 1:9.

## Eph 1:8

According to the riches of his grace ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau о \pi \lambda о v \tau о \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \varsigma \alpha v \tau о v)$. A thoroughly Pauline phrase, riches of kindness (Ro 2:4 ), riches of glory (Col 1:27; Eph 3:16; Php 4:19), riches of fulness of understanding ( $\operatorname{Col} 2: 7$ ), riches of Christ (Eph 3:8), and in Eph 2:7 "the surpassing riches of grace."

Which ( $\eta \varsigma$ ). Genitive attracted again to case of antecedent $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \tau \circ$.
Eph 1:9
The mystery of his will ( $\left.\tau 0 \mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho เ \frac{\nu}{} \tau 0 v \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma \alpha v \tau o v\right)$. Once hidden, now revealed as in Col 1:26 which see. See also Col 2:3 .

Which he purposed ( $\eta \nu \pi \rho o \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \tau o$ ). Second aorist middle of $\pi \rho o \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, old verb, for which see Ro 1:13; 3:25.

Eph 1:10
Unto a dispensation of the fulness of the times ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ оєкоvо $\mu \propto \nu \tau о v \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma \tau \omega \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \iota \rho \omega v$ ). See Col 1:25 for оькоvоцıаv. In Ga 4:4 "the fulness of the time" ( $\tau 0 \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ тоv $\chi \rho o v o v)$ the time before Christ is treated as a unit, here as a series of epochs ( $\kappa \alpha \iota \rho \omega v$ ). Cf. Mr 1:15; Heb 1:1. On $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ see also Ro 11:26; Eph 3:19; 4:13 .

To sum up ( $\alpha v \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \omega \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Purpose clause (amounting to result) with first aorist middle infinitive of $\alpha v \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda a \iota \omega$, late compound verb $\alpha v \alpha$ and $\kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \alpha \circ \omega$ (from $\kappa \varepsilon \varphi a \lambda \alpha \iota v$, Heb 8:1, and that from $\kappa \varepsilon \varphi a \lambda \eta$, head), to head up all things in Christ, a literary word. In N.T. only here and Ro 13:9. For the headship of Christ in nature and grace see Col 1:15-20 .

Eph 1:11
In him $(\varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \omega)$. Repeats the idea of $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ of verse 10.
We were made a heritage ( $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ). First aorist passive of $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \omega$, an old word, to assign by lot ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \varsigma)$, to make a $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \varsigma$ or heritage. So in LXX and papyri. Only time in N.T., though $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \omega$ once also (Ac 17:4 ).

Purpose $(\pi \rho o \theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota v)$. Common substantive from $\pi \rho o \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, a setting before as in Ac 11:23; 27:13.

Eph 1:12
To the end that we should be ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \varepsilon ı v a ~ \eta \mu a \varsigma) . ~ F i n a l ~ c l a u s e ~ w i t h ~ \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ t o ~ a n d ~ t h e ~ i n f i n-~$ itive $\varepsilon เ v a$ (see the mere infinitive $\varepsilon ו v a$ in verse 4) and the accusative of general reference.

Who had before hoped in Christ ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma \pi \rho о \eta \lambda \pi \iota \kappa о \tau \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ ). Articular perfect active participle of $\pi \rho \circ \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \omega$, late and rare compound (here only in N.T.) and the reference of $\pi \rho o$ not clear. Probably the reference is to those who like Paul had once been Jews and
had now found the Messiah in Jesus, some of whom like Simeon and Anna had even looked for the spiritual Messiah before his coming.

Eph 1:13

In whom ( $\varepsilon v \omega$ ). Repeated third time (once in verse 11, twice in 13), and note o or os in 14.

Ye were sealed ( $\varepsilon \sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \sigma \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \zeta \zeta \omega$, old verb, to set a seal on one as a mark or stamp, sometimes the marks of ownership or of worship of deities like $\sigma \tau ı \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ( Ga 6:17). Marked and authenticated as God's heritage as in 4:30. See 2Co 1:22 for the very use of the metaphor here applied to the Holy Spirit even with the word $\alpha \rho \rho \alpha \beta \omega v$ (earnest).

Spirit ( $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau)$. In the instrumental case.
Eph 1:14
An earnest ( $\alpha \rho \rho \alpha \beta \omega v$ ). See 2Co 1:22 for discussion of $\alpha \rho \rho \alpha \beta \omega v$. Here "of promise" ( $\tau \eta \varsigma$ $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \alpha \varsigma)$ is added to the Holy Spirit to show that Gentiles are also included in God's promise of salvation.

Of our inheritance ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ v o \mu \nu \varsigma \varsigma \eta \omega \nu$ ). God's gift of the Holy Spirit is the pledge and first payment for the final inheritance in Christ.

Of God's own possession ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \rho ı \pi о п \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). The word
God's is not in the Greek, but is implied. Late and rare word (from $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \pi о \iota \varepsilon \omega$, to make a survival) with the notion of obtaining ( 1 Th 5:9; 2Th 3:14) and then of preserving (so in the papyri). So in 1Pe 2:9; Heb 10:39, and here. God has purchased us back to himself. The sealing extends ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma)$ to the redemption and to the glory of God.

Eph 1:15
 "ye show" do not occur in the Greek. The Textus Receptus has $\tau \varepsilon v a \gamma a \pi \eta \nu$ (the love) before $\tau \eta \nu$ supported by D G K L Syr., Lat., Copt., but Aleph A B P Origen do not have the word $\alpha \gamma a \pi \eta \nu$. It could have been omitted, but is probably not genuine. The use of the article referring to $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota v$ and the change from $\varepsilon v$ to $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ probably justifies the translation "which ye shew toward."

Eph 1:16
I do not cease ( $\mathrm{ov} \pi \alpha v o \mu \alpha$ ). Singular present middle, while in Col 1:9 Paul uses the plural (literary, or including Timothy), ov $\pi \alpha v o \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$.

Eph 1:17
The Father of glory ( $0 \pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho \tau \eta \varsigma \delta o \xi \eta \varsigma)$. The God characterized by glory (the Shekinah, Heb 9:5) as in Ac 7:2; 1Co 2:8; 2Co 1:3; Jas 2:1 .

That--may give (ıva-- $\delta \omega \imath \eta$ ). In Col 1:9 iva is preceded by aıtov $\mu \varepsilon v o$, but here the subfinal use depends on the general idea asking in the sentence. The form $\delta \omega$ in is a late Koine
optative (second aorist active) for the usual $\delta$ orp. It occurs also in 2Th 3:16; Ro 15:5; 2 Ti 1:16,18 in the text of Westcott and Hort. Here B 63 read $\delta \omega$ (like Joh 15:16) second aorist active subjunctive, the form naturally looked for after a primary tense ( $\pi \alpha v o \mu \alpha)$. This use of the volitive optative with $t v \alpha$ after a primary tense is rare, but not unknown in ancient Greek.

A spirit of wisdom and revelation ( $\pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \quad \sigma о р เ а \varsigma ~ к \alpha ~ \alpha \pi о к а \lambda \nu \psi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma) . ~ T h e ~ R e v i s e d ~$ Version does not refer this use of $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ to the Holy Spirit (cf. Ga 6:1; Ro 8:15 ), but it is open to question if it is possible to obtain this wisdom and revelation apart from the Holy Spirit.

In the knowledge of him ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \pi \tau \gamma v \omega \sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v$ ). In the full knowledge of Christ as in Colossians.

Eph 1:18
Having the eyes of your heart enlightened ( $\pi \varepsilon \varphi \omega \tau \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma ~ \tau o u \varsigma ~ o \varphi \theta a \lambda \mu o v \varsigma \tau \eta$ $\kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha \varsigma \nu \mu \omega v)$. A beautiful figure, the heart regarded as having eyes looking out toward Christ. But the grammar is difficult. There are three possible interpretations. One is an anacoluthon, the case of $\pi \varepsilon \varphi \omega \tau \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma$ being changed from the dative $v \mu \nu \nu$ (to you) to the accusative because of the following infinitive like $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma ~(A c ~ 15: 22) ~ a f t e r ~ \alpha \pi о \sigma \tau о \lambda o ı c . ~$. Another way of explaining it is to regard it as a tertiary predicate of $\delta \omega \iota \eta$, a loose expansion of $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$. The third way is to regard the construction as the accusative absolute, a rare idiom possible in Ac 26:3; 1Co 16:3; 1Ti 2:6. In this case, the participle merely agrees with тouc $\sigma \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu о \nu \varsigma$, not with $\nu \mu \nu$, "the eyes of your heart having been enlightened." Otherwise tovc $o \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \circ \cup \varsigma$ is the accusative retained after the passive participle.
 of oı $\delta \alpha$ ) as in verse 12 . Note three indirect questions after $\varepsilon \iota \delta \varepsilon v \alpha$ (what the hope $\tau \iota \varsigma \eta \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \varsigma$, what the riches $\tau \iota \varsigma$ о $\pi \lambda$ оитоৎ, and what the surpassing greatness $\kappa \alpha \tau$ то $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda$ оv $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \theta o \varsigma)$. When the Holy Spirit opens the eyes of the heart, one will be able to see all these great truths.

In the saints ( $\varepsilon v$ toı $\alpha \nmid$ roıऽ). Our riches is in God, God's is in his saints.
Eph 1:19
The exceeding greatness of his power ( $\tau 0$ v $\tau \varepsilon \rho \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda o v \mu \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon Ө$ oऽ $\tau \eta \varsigma \delta \cup v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau o v$ ). $\mathrm{M} \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \theta \mathrm{o}$, is an old word (from $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \varsigma$ ), but here only in N.T. Hи $\quad \varepsilon \rho \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$, present active participle of $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, reappears in 2:7; 3:19 and seen already in 2Co 3:10; 9:14. To enlightened eyes the greatness of God's power is even more "surpassing."

Eph 1:20
Which he wrought ( $\varepsilon v \eta \rho \gamma \eta \kappa \varepsilon \nu)$. Reading of A B rather than aorist $\varepsilon v \eta \rho \gamma \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. Perfect active indicative, "which he has wrought." $\mathrm{H} \eta v$ is cognate accusative of the relative referring to $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ (energy) with $\varepsilon v \eta \rho \gamma \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ and note also кратоuc (strength) and $\sigma \sigma \chi \circ \varsigma$ (might), three words trying to express what surpasses (vie $\rho \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda 0 v$ ) expression or comprehension.

Made him to sit ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\kappa \alpha \theta \iota \zeta \omega$ in causative sense as in 1Co 6:4. Metaphorical local expression like $\delta \varepsilon \xi \iota a$ and $\varepsilon v$ тоıऽ $\varepsilon \pi о \cup \rho$ avıoı,.

Eph 1:21
Far above all rule (v $\tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha v \omega \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \varsigma \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma)$. Late compound adverbial preposition (v $v \varepsilon \rho$, $\alpha \nu \omega$ ) with the ablative case. In N.T. only here and Heb 9:5. As in Col 1:16, so here Paul claims primacy for Jesus Christ above all angels, aeons, what not. These titles all were used in the Gnostic speculations with a graduated angelic hierarchy.

World ( $\alpha \omega \nu$ ). "Age." See this identical expression in Mt 12:32 for the present time (Gal 1:4; 1 Ti 6:17) and the future life (Eph 2:7; Lu 20:35). Both combined in Mr 10:30; Lu 18:30

Eph 1:22
He put all things in subjection ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \cup \pi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of vлотаб $\sigma \omega$, quoted from Ps 8:7 as in 1Co 15:27.

Gave him to be head ( $\alpha u \tau о v \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \nu$ ).
Gave ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon \nu$, first aorist active indicative of $\delta \delta \delta \omega \mu$ ) to the church (the universal spiritual church or kingdom as in Col 1:18,24) Christ as Head ( $\kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \nu$, predicate accusative). This conception of єкк $\lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ runs all through Ephesians (3:10,21; 5:23,24,25,27,29,32).

Eph 1:23
Which ( $\eta \tau \iota \varsigma)$. "Which in fact is," explanatory use of $\eta \tau \iota \varsigma$ rather than $\eta$.
The fulness of him that filleth all in all ( $\tau 0 \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ tov $\tau \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \varepsilon v \pi \alpha \sigma v$ $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o v \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. This is probably the correct translation of a much disputed phrase. This view takes $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ in the passive sense (that which is filled, as is usual, Col 1:19) and $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o v \mu \varepsilon v o v$ as present middle participle, not passive. All things are summed up in Christ ( 1:10), who is the $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ of $\operatorname{God}(\operatorname{Col} 1: 19)$, and in particular does Christ fill the church universal as his body. Hence we see in Ephesians the Dignity of the Body of Christ which is ultimately to be filled with the fulness ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha)$ of $\operatorname{God}(3: 19)$ when it grows up into the fulness $(\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha)$ of Christ $(4: 13,16)$.

## Ephesians 2

Eph 2:1
And you did he quicken ( $\kappa \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). The verb for
did he quicken does not occur till verse 5 and then with $\eta \mu \alpha \varsigma$ (us) instead of $v \mu a \varsigma$ (you). There is a like ellipsis or anacoluthon in Col 1:21,22, only there is no change from $v \mu a c$ to $\eta \mu a c$.

When ye were dead (огта¢ vєкроия). Present active participle referring to their former state. Spiritually dead.

Trespasses and sins ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha \sigma \iota v \kappa \alpha \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. Both words (locative case) though only one in verse 5 .

Eph 2:2
According to the course of this world ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ тоv aı $\omega v \alpha$ тov коб $\mu$ оv тоvтои). Curious combinations of a $\omega \omega v$ (a period of time), коб $\mu$ о (the world in that period). See 1Co 1:20 for "this age" and 1Co 3:9 for "this world."

The prince of the power of the air ( $\tau 0 v \alpha \rho \chi \circ v \tau \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \xi o v \sigma i \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ \alpha \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma)$. A $\eta \rho$ was used by the ancients for the lower and denser atmosphere and $\alpha \bullet \theta \eta \rho$ for the higher and rarer. Satan is here pictured as ruler of the demons and other agencies of evil. Jesus called him "the prince of this world" ( $\quad \alpha \rho \chi \omega \nu$ tov коб $\mu$ оv tov $\tau 0 v$, Joh 16:11 ).

That now worketh ( $\tau 0 \cup v u v \varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma o u v \tau o \varsigma)$. Those who deny the existence of a personal devil cannot successfully deny the vicious tendencies, the crime waves, in modern men. The power of the devil in the lives of men does explain the evil at work "in the sons of disobedience" ( $\varepsilon v$ тоıऽ voos $\tau \eta \varsigma ~ a \pi \varepsilon \theta \iota a \varsigma)$ ). In 5:6 also. A Hebrew idiom found in the papyri like "sons of light" (1Th 5:5).

Eph 2:3
We also all ( $\kappa \alpha \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. We Jews.
Once lived ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon v \pi о \tau \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist passive indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, old verb, to turn back and forth, to live (2Co 1:12). Cf. $\pi о \tau \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \pi \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$, of the Gentiles in verse 2.

The desires ( $\tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Late and rare word except in LXX and N.T., from $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$, to will, to wish. Plural here "the wishes," "the wills" of the flesh like $\tau \alpha \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \pi ө u \mu \iota \alpha \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \varsigma$ just before. Gentiles had no monopoly of such sinful impulses.

Of the mind ( $\tau \omega v \delta \iota \alpha v o \omega \omega v$ ). Plural again, "of the thoughts or purposes."
Were by nature children of wrath ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha \tau \varepsilon \kappa v \alpha$ $\varphi \cup \sigma \varepsilon$ о $\rho \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. This is the proper order of these words which have been the occasion of much controversy. There is no article with $\tau \varepsilon \kappa v a$. Paul is insisting that Jews as well as Gentiles ("even as the rest") are the objects of God's wrath (oppnऽ) because of their lives of sin. See Ro 2:1-3:20 for the full discussion of this to Jews unpalatable truth. The use of $\varphi$ vo天 (associative instrumental case of manner) is
but the application of Paul's use of "all" ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$ as shown also in Ro 3:20; 5:12 . See $\varphi$ ибє of Gentiles in Ro 2:14. The implication of original sin is here, but not in the form that God's wrath rests upon little children before they have committed acts of sin. The salvation of children dying before the age of responsibility is clearly involved in Ro 5:13f.

Eph 2:4
But God (o $\delta \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon o \varsigma)$. Change in the structure of the sentence here, resuming verse 1 after the break.

Being rich in mercy ( $\pi \lambda 0 v \sigma \iota \rho \varsigma \omega v \varepsilon v \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon$ ). More than $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \eta \mu \omega v$ (being merciful).
Wherewith ( $\eta v$ ). Cognate accusative with $\eta \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ (loved).
Eph 2:5
Even when we were dead ( $\kappa \alpha$ о $\nu \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma ~ v \varepsilon к \rho о и \varsigma) . ~ R e p e a t s ~ t h e ~ b e g i n n i n g ~ o f ~ v e r s e ~ 1, ~$ but he changes $v \mu \alpha \varsigma$ (you Gentiles) to $\eta \mu \alpha \varsigma$ (us Jews).
 indicative of the double compound verb $\sigma v \vee \zeta \omega о \pi о เ \varepsilon \omega$ as in $\operatorname{Col} 2: 13$ which see. Associative instrumental case in X $\rho ı \sigma \tau \omega$. Literal resurrection in the case of Jesus, spiritual in our case as pictured in baptism.

By grace have ye been saved ( $\chi \alpha \rho ı \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \omega \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Instrumental case of $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \tau$ and perfect passive periphrastic indicative of $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$. Parenthetical clause interjected in the sentence. All of grace because we were dead.

Eph 2:6
In Christ Jesus ( $\varepsilon v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). All the preceding turns on this phrase. See Col 3:1 for the word $\sigma u v \eta \gamma \varepsilon \mid \rho \varepsilon v$.

Made to sit with him ( $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta เ \sigma \varepsilon v)$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\sigma \cup v \kappa \alpha \theta \iota \zeta \omega$, old causative verb, but in N.T. only here and Lu 22:55 .

Eph 2:7
That he might shew ( $1 v \alpha \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \xi \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Final clause with $t v \alpha$ and first aorist middle subjunctive of $\varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon ו \kappa v \nu \mu$. See 1:7 for "riches of grace" and 1:19 for "exceeding" (v $\tau \varepsilon \rho \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda o v)$.

In kindness toward us ( $\left.\varepsilon v \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau о \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} \eta \mu \propto \varsigma\right)$. See Ro $2: 7$ for this word from $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o \varsigma$ and that from $\chi \rho \alpha о \mu \alpha$, here God's benignity toward us.

Eph 2:8
For by grace ( $\tau \eta \gamma \alpha \rho \chi \alpha \rho \tau \tau$ ). Explanatory reason. "By the grace" already mentioned in verse 5 and so with the article.

Through faith ( $\delta \iota \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). This phrase he adds in repeating what he said in verse 5 to make it plainer. "Grace" is God's part, "faith" ours.

And that (ка тоvто). Neuter, not feminine $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \eta$, and so refers not to $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$ (feminine) or to $\chi$ 人pıc (feminine also), but to the act of being saved by grace conditioned on faith on our part. Paul shows that salvation does not have its source ( $\varepsilon \xi \nu \mu \omega v$, out of you) in men, but from God. Besides, it is God's gift ( $\delta \omega \rho o v$ ) and not the result of our work.

Eph 2:9
That no man should glory ( $\iota v \alpha \mu \eta \tau \iota \varsigma \kappa \alpha v \chi \eta \sigma \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Negative final clause ( $\iota v \alpha \mu \eta$ ) with first aorist middle subjunctive of $\kappa \alpha v \chi \propto о \mu \alpha$. It is all of God's grace.

Eph 2:10
Workmanship $(\pi o \not \eta \mu \alpha)$. Old word from $\pi o เ \varepsilon \omega$ with the ending $-\mu \alpha \tau$ meaning result. In N.T. only here and Re 1:20.

Created ( $\kappa \tau \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist passive participle of $\kappa \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, not the original creation as in Col 1:16; Eph 3:9, but the moral and spiritual renewal in Christ, the new birth, as in Eph 2:15; 4:24 .

For good works ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \rho \gamma o \iota \varsigma ~ a \gamma a \theta o \iota \varsigma)$. Probably the true dative of purpose here with $\varepsilon \pi$ (Robertson, Grammar, p. 605). Purpose of the new creation in Christ.

Which (oıs). Attraction of the relative a (accusative after $\pi \rho о \eta \tau о \iota \mu \sigma \varepsilon v$ ) to case of the antecedent $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ$ ıs.

Afore prepared ( $\pi \rho о \eta \tau о \iota \mu \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\pi \rho \circ \eta \tau о \iota \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb to make ready beforehand. In N.T. only here and Ro 9:23. Good works by us were included in the eternal foreordination by God.

That we should walk in them (ıva $\varepsilon v \alpha v \tau o \iota \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Expexegetic final clause explanatory of the election to good works.

Eph 2:11
Wherefore ( $\delta \iota 0$ ). This conjunction applies to the Gentile Christians the arguments in 2:1-10.

That aforetime ye ( $о \tau \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma$ ). No verb is expressed, but in verse 12 Paul repeats o $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ \nu \omega$ (for $\pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ) "that at that time" and inserts $\eta \tau \varepsilon$ (ye were).

Uncircumcision ( $\alpha \kappa \rho \circ \beta v \sigma \tau \iota \alpha$ ),
circumcision ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau о \mu \eta \varsigma)$. The abstract words are used to describe Gentiles and Jews as in Ga 5:6; Rom 2:27.

Made by hands ( $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho о \pi о \iota \eta \tau о v)$. Agreeing with $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau о \mu \eta \varsigma$. Verbal (Mr 14:58) from


Eph 2:12
Separate from Christ ( $\chi \omega \rho \iota \varsigma$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \circ v$ ). Ablative case with adverbial preposition $\chi \omega \rho \iota \varsigma$, describing their former condition as heathen.

Alienated from the commonwealth of Israel ( $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \lambda о \tau \rho \iota \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu о \tau \eta \varsigma \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma$ тov $\operatorname{I\sigma } \rho a \eta \lambda)$. Perfect passive participle of $\alpha \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda o \tau \rho \iota \omega$, for which see Col 1:21. Here followed by ablative case $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma$, old word from $\pi \circ \lambda \iota \tau \varepsilon v \omega$, to be a citizen (Php 1:27) from $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \eta \varsigma$ and that from $\pi о \lambda_{ı} \varsigma$ (city). Only twice in N.T., here as commonwealth (the spiritual Israel or Kingdom of God) and Ac 22:28 as citizenship.

Strangers from the covenants of the promise ( $\left.\xi_{\varepsilon \nu o} \tau \omega \nu \delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \omega \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \alpha \varsigma\right)$. For $\xi \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ (Latin hospes), as stranger see Mt 25:35,38,43f. , as guest-friend see Ro 16:23. Here it is followed by the ablative case $\delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \omega v$.

Having no hope ( $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta \alpha \mu \eta \varepsilon \chi o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). No hope of any kind. In Ga 4:8 оик (strong negative) occurs with $\varepsilon เ \delta o \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \theta \varepsilon o v$, but here $\mu \eta$ gives a more subjective picture (1Th 4:5 ).

Without God ( $\alpha \theta \varepsilon o$ ). Old Greek word, not in LXX, only here in N.T. Atheists in the original sense of being without God and also in the sense of hostility to God from failure to worship him. See Paul's words in Ro 1:18-32 . "In the world" ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \kappa o \sigma \mu \omega$ ) goes with both phrases. It is a terrible picture that Paul gives, but a true one.

Eph 2:13
But now ( $v v \vee \delta \varepsilon$ ). Strong contrast, as opposed to "at that time."
Afar off ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha v$ ). Adverb (accusative feminine adjective with oסov understood). From the $\pi о \lambda ı \varepsilon \varepsilon \propto$ and its hope in God.

Are made nigh ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \forall \eta \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \gamma \gamma \cup \varsigma)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \alpha$, a sort of timeless aorist. Nigh to the commonwealth of Israel in Christ.

In the blood of Christ ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \alpha \not \mu \alpha \tau \tau o v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o v$ ). Not a perfunctory addition, but essential ( $1: 7$ ), particularly in view of the Gnostic denial of Christ's real humanity.

Eph 2:14
For he is our peace ( $\alpha v \tau o \varsigma \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \eta \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \nu \eta \eta \mu \omega \nu$ ). He himself, not just what he did (necessary as that was and is). He is our peace with God and so with each other (Jews and Gentiles).

Both one ( $\tau \alpha \alpha \mu \varphi о \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varepsilon v)$. "The both" (Jew and Gentile). Jesus had said "other sheep I have which are not of this fold" (Joh 10:16 ).

One ( $\varepsilon v$ ) is neuter singular (oneness, unity, identity) as in Ga 3:28. Race and national distinctions vanish in Christ. If all men were really in Christ, war would disappear.

Brake down the middle wall of partition ( $\tau \circ \mu \varepsilon \sigma о \tau \circ \iota \chi \circ v \tau o v \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \circ v \lambda \nu \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. "Having loosened (first aorist active participle of $\lambda \nu \omega$, see Joh 2:19) the middle-wall (late word, only here in N.T., and very rare anywhere, one in papyri, and one inscription) of partition ( $\varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \circ v$, old word, fence, from $\varphi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, to fence or hedge, as in Mt 21:33 )." In the temple courts a partition wall divided the court of the Gentiles from the court of Israel with an inscription forbidding a Gentile from going further (Josephus, Ant. VIII. 3, 2). See the uproar when Paul was accused of taking Trophimus beyond this wall (Ac 21:28).

Eph 2:15
Having abolished ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, to make null and void.

The enmity ( $\tau \eta v \varepsilon \chi \theta \rho a v$ ). But it is very doubtful if $\tau \eta v \varepsilon \chi \theta \rho a v$ (old word from $\varepsilon \chi \theta \rho \circ \varsigma$, hostile, $\mathrm{Lu} 23: 12$ ) is the object of кат $\rho \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma$. It looks as if it is in apposition with to $\mu \varepsilon \sigma o \tau o r \chi o v$ and so the further object of $\lambda v \sigma a \varsigma$. The enmity between Jew and Gentile was
the middle wall of partition. And then it must be decided whether "in his flesh" ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa$ avtou) should be taken with $\lambda$ voas and refer especially to the Cross (Col 1:22) or be taken with ката $\rho \eta \eta \sigma a c$. Either makes sense, but better sense with $\lambda v \sigma \alpha c$. Certainly "the law of commandments in ordinances ( $\tau 0 v v o \mu o v \tau \omega v \varepsilon v \tau o \lambda \omega v \varepsilon v \delta o \gamma \mu \alpha \sigma \tau v$ ) is governed by катарүпбас.

That he might create ( $\mathrm{tva} k \tau \iota \sigma \eta$ ). Final clause with first aorist active subjunctive of $\kappa \tau \iota \zeta \omega$.

The twain ( tovc $\delta v o$ ). The two men (masculine here, neuter in verse 14), Jew and Gentile.

One new man ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon v a$ кaıvov $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ ). Into one fresh man (Col 3:9-11) "in himself" ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \cup \tau \omega)$. Thus alone is it possible.

Making peace ( $\pi$ ot $\omega v \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \nu \eta v$ ). Thus alone can it be done. Christ is the peace-maker between men, nations, races, classes.

Eph 2:16
And might reconcile ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \xi \eta$ ). Final clause with $\tau \alpha \alpha$ understood of first aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \pi о к а \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ for which see $\operatorname{Col} 1: 20,22$.

In one body ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon v \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau$ ). The "one new man" of verse 15 of which Christ is Head ( 1:23), the spiritual church. Paul piles up metaphors to express his idea of the Kingdom of God with Christ as King (the church, the body, the commonwealth of Israel, oneness, one new man in Christ, fellow-citizens, the family of God, the temple of God).

Thereby ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \cup \tau \omega)$. On the Cross where he slew the enmity (repeated here) between Jew and Gentile.

Eph 2:17
Preached peace ( $\left.\varepsilon u \eta \gamma \gamma^{\varepsilon} \lambda_{\iota} \sigma \alpha \tau o \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \nu \eta \nu\right)$. First aorist middle of $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \zeta \omega$. "He gospelized peace" to both Jew and Gentile, "to the far off ones" (тоь $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha v)$ and "to the nigh ones" ( $\tau$ otc $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \cup \varsigma)$ ) By the Cross and after the Cross Christ could preach that message.

Eph 2:18
Through him ( $\delta \mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ avtov). Christ.
We both (o а $\mu \varphi о \tau \varepsilon \rho о$ ). "We the both" (Jew and Gentile).
Our access ( $\tau \eta \vee \pi \rho o \sigma \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \eta \nu$ ). The approach, the introduction as in Ro 5:2 .
In one Spirit ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \vee \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau$ ). The Holy Spirit.
Unto the Father ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau \tau v \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). So the Trinity as in 1:13f. The Three Persons all share in the work of redemption.

Eph 2:19
So then ( $\alpha \rho \alpha o v v$ ). Two inferential particles (accordingly therefore).
No more (ouk\&т). No longer.

Sojourners (тарокко). Old word for dweller by (near by, but not in). So Ac 7:6,29; 1Pe 2:11 (only other N.T. examples). Dwellers just outside the house or family of God.

Fellow-citizens ( $\sigma \cup v \pi о \lambda ı \tau \alpha$, old, but rare word, here only in N.T.), members now of


Of the household of God (огкєь тov $\theta$ عov). Old word from оюкоৎ (house, household), but in N.T. only here, Ga 6:10; 1Ti 5:8. Gentiles now in the family of God (Ro 8:29).

Eph 2:20
Being built upon ( $\varepsilon \pi о к к о \delta о \mu \eta \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist passive participle of $\varepsilon \pi о ю к о \delta о \mu \varepsilon \omega$, for which double compound verb see 1Co 3:10; Co; 2:17.

The foundation ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda เ \omega$ ). Repetition of $\varepsilon \pi$ with the locative case. See 1Co 3:11 for this word.

Of the apostles and prophets ( $\tau 0 v \alpha \pi о \sigma \tau о \lambda \omega v \kappa \alpha \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \omega v$ ). Genitive of apposition with $\theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota} \omega$, consisting in. If one is surprised that Paul should refer so to the apostles, he being one himself, Peter does the same thing (2Pe 3:2). Paul repeats this language in 3:5.
 I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). Genitive absolute. The compound $\alpha \kappa \rho \circ \gamma \omega v i \alpha ı s$ occurs only in the LXX (first in Isa 28:16 ) and in the N.T. (here, 1Pe 2:6 ). $\Lambda \iota$ Өoc (stone) is understood. Jesus had spoken of himself as the stone, rejected by the Jewish builders (experts), but chosen of God as the head of the corner (Mt 21:42), $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ к \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \nu \gamma \omega v ı \alpha$. "The $\alpha \kappa \rho о \gamma \omega v ı \alpha \iota o c$ here is the primary foundation-stone at the angle of the structure by which the architect fixes a standard for the bearings of the walls and cross-walls throughout" (W. W. Lloyd).

Eph 2:21
Each several building ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha$ оккоסо $\mu \eta$ ). So without article Aleph B D G K L. Оıкоסо $\mu \eta$ is a late word from оккоৎ and $\delta \varepsilon \mu \omega$, to build for building up (edification) as in Eph 4:29, then for the building itself as here (Mr 13:1f. ). Ordinary Greek idiom here calls for "every building," not for "all the building" (Robertson, Grammar, p. 772), though it is not perfectly clear what that means. Each believer is called a vaoc $\theta$ rov (1Co 3:16 ). One may note the plural in Mr 13:1 ( $\boldsymbol{\text { orко } \delta \mathrm { o } \mu \mathrm { \alpha } ) \text { ) of the various parts of the temple. Perhaps that is the idea here }}$ without precise definition of each oוкоסо $\mu \eta$. But there are examples of $\pi \alpha \varsigma$ without the article where "all" is the idea as in $\pi \alpha \sigma \eta \varsigma \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ (all creation) in Col 1:15 .

Fitly framed together ( $\sigma v v a \rho \mu о \lambda о \gamma o \cup \mu \varepsilon v \eta$ ). Double compound from $\sigma v v$ and a $\rho \mu \mathrm{o} \lambda о \gamma \operatorname{c}$ (binding, $\alpha \rho \mu \circ \varsigma$, joint and $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ), apparently made by Paul and in N.T. only here and Eph 4:16. Architectural metaphor.

Into a holy temple ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \sim \alpha o v a \gamma เ \rho)$ ). The whole structure with all the oוко $\delta$ o $\alpha$. Another metaphor for the Kingdom of God with which compare Peter's "spiritual house" (окоя $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \kappa \sigma$ ) in which each is a living stone being built in (1Pe 2:5).

Eph 2:22

Ye also are builded together ( $\kappa \alpha \nu \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \sigma v v о \iota к о \delta о \mu \varepsilon เ \sigma \theta \varepsilon)$ ). Ye Gentiles also. Present passive indicative (continuous process) of common old verb $\sigma v v o \iota \kappa o \delta o \mu \varepsilon \omega$, to build together with others or out of varied materials as here. Only here in N.T. In 1Pe 2:5 Peter uses оוко $\delta$ о $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ for the same process.

For a habitation ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau о \iota \kappa \eta \tau \eta \rho ı v$ ). Late word (LXX), in N.T. only here and Re 18:2 . From катоккє $\omega$, to dwell, as Eph 3:17. Possibly each of us is meant here to be the "habitation of God in the Spirit" and all together growing $(\alpha v \xi \varepsilon)$ "into a holy temple in the Lord," a noble conception of the brotherhood in Christ.

## Ephesians 3

Eph 3:1
For this cause ( $\tau$ ov $\tau 0 v ~ \chi \alpha \rho ı v$ ). Use of $\chi \alpha \rho ı v$ (accusative of $\chi \alpha \rho ı \varsigma$ ) as a preposition with the genitive and referring to the preceding argument about God's elective grace. It is possible that Paul started to make the prayer that comes in verses 14-21 when he repeats tov tou $\chi \alpha \rho ı v$. If so, he is diverted by his own words "the prisoner of Christ Jesus in behalf of you Gentiles" (o $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu$ ос $\tau \operatorname{\tau ov}$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o v$ I $\eta \sigma o v v \pi \varepsilon \rho \nu \mu \omega v \tau \omega v \varepsilon \theta v \omega v$ ) to set forth in a rich paragraph ( 1-13) God's use of him for the Gentiles.

## Eph 3:2

If so be that ye have heard ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \eta \kappa о \cup \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Condition of first class with $\varepsilon$ and first aorist active indicative and with the intensive particle $\gamma \varepsilon$ that gives a delicate touch to it all. On oוкогоцıаv (stewardship, dispensation) see 1:9; 3:9; Col 1:25 .

Eph 3:3
By revelation (ката $\alpha \pi о к \alpha \lambda \nu \psi \iota v$ ). Not essentially different from $\delta \iota^{\prime} \alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \psi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ (Gal 1:12 ). This was Paul's qualification for preaching "the mystery" ( $\tau 0 \mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho เ o v$. See 1:9).

As I wrote afore ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \pi \rho о \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha)$. First aorist active indicative of $\pi \rho о \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$ as in Ro 15:4, not picture forth as Ga 3:1. But when and where? Epistolary aorist for this Epistle? That is possible. A previous and lost Epistle as in 1Co 5:9 ? That also is abstractly possible. To the preceding discussion of the Gentiles? Possible and also probable.

In few words $(\varepsilon \vee \mathrm{o} \lambda \iota \gamma \omega)$. Not $=\pi \rho o$ o $\lambda \iota \gamma o v$, shortly before, but as in Ac 26:28 "in brief space or time" $=\sigma u v \tau o v \omega \varsigma$ (Ac 24:4), "briefly."

Eph 3:4
Whereby ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ o). "Looking to which," "according to which."
When ye read (avaүıv $\omega \sigma \kappa 0 \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. This Epistle will be read in public.
My understanding in the mystery of Christ ( $\tau \eta \nu \sigma \nu v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \nu \mu \circ v \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho \omega \omega$ tov X $\rho$ ıбтои). My "comprehension" ( $\sigma u v \varepsilon \sigma \iota v$, Col 1:9; 2:2 ). Every sermon reveals the preacher's grasp of "the mystery of Christ." If he has no insight into Christ, he has no call to preach.

Eph 3:5
In other generations ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \iota \varsigma ~ \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon a \iota \varsigma)$. Locative case of time. He had already claimed this revelation for himself (verse 3). Now he claims it for all the other apostles and prophets of God.

Eph 3:6
To wit . Not in the Greek. But the infinitive ( $\varepsilon เ v \alpha)$ clause is epexegetical and gives the content of the revelation, a common idiom in the N.T. Ta $\varepsilon \theta \nu \eta$ is in the accusative of general reference. Paul is fond of compounds with $\sigma v v$ and here uses three of them.

Fellow-heirs ( $\sigma v v \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v o \mu \alpha)$. Late and rare (Philo, inscriptions and papyri). See also Ro 8:17.

Fellow-members of the body ( $\sigma 0 v \sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ ). First found here and only here save in later ecclesiastical writers. Preuschen argues that it is equivalent to $\sigma v v \delta o u \lambda o \varsigma$ in $\operatorname{Col} 1: 7(\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ in sense of $\delta o u \lambda o \varsigma)$.

Fellow-partakers ( $\sigma u \gamma \mu \varepsilon \tau о \chi \alpha)$. Another late and rare word (Josephus). Only here in N.T. In one papyrus in sense of joint possessor of a house.

Eph 3:7
For this verse see Col 1:25; Eph 1:19f.; 3:2 .
Eph 3:8
Unto me who am less than the least of all saints ( $\varepsilon \mu \circ \tau \omega \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \chi$ เб $\tau \circ \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega \pi \alpha v \tau \omega v \alpha \gamma \omega v$ ). Dative case $\varepsilon \mu \circ$ with $\varepsilon \lambda \circ \theta \eta$. The peculiar form $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \chi$ ৷бтот $\rho \omega$ (in apposition with $\varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o}$ ) is a comparative ( $-\tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$ ) formed on the superlative $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \chi$ ৷ $\sigma \tau \circ$. This sort of thing was already done in the older Greek like $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau о \tau \varepsilon \rho o s$ in Xenophon. It became more common in the Koine. So the double comparative $\mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta о \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha v$ in 3Jo 1:4. The case of $\alpha \gamma \omega v$ is ablative. This was not mock humility ( 15:19), for on occasion Paul stood up for his rights as an apostle (2Co 11:5).

The unsearchable riches of Christ ( $\tau$ а $\alpha v \varepsilon \xi ı \chi$ viaбтov $\pi \lambda$ outos tou Xpıбтou).
 first in Job 5:9; 9:10. Paul apparently got it from Job. Nowhere else in N.T. except Ro 11:33 . In later Christian writers. Paul undertook to track out the untrackable in Christ.

Eph 3:9
To make see ( $\varphi \omega \tau \tau \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive of $\varphi 0 \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, late verb, to turn the light on. With the eyes of the heart enlightened (Eph 1:18) one can then turn the light for others to see. See Col 1:26 .

Eph 3:10
To the intent that ( $\mathrm{t} \alpha \mathrm{o}$ ). Final clause.
Might be made known ( $\gamma v \omega \rho \iota \sigma \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive of $\gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \zeta \omega$ with iva. The mystery was made known to Paul ( 3:3) and now he wants it blazoned forth to all powers (Gnostic aeons or what not).

Through the church ( $\delta ı \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma)$ ). The wonderful body of Christ described in chapter Eph 2.

The manifold wisdom of God ( $\eta$ полилокклоц $\sigma о \varphi ı \alpha$ tov $\theta \varepsilon о v$ ). Old and rare word, much-variegated, with many colours. Only here in N.T. Поккıोоৎ (variegated) is more common (Mt 4:24).

Eph 3:11
According to the eternal purpose ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \rho \circ \theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota v \tau \omega v \alpha \iota \omega v \omega v$ ). "According to the purpose ( $1: 11$ ) of the ages." God's purpose runs on through the ages. "Through the ages one eternal purpose runs."

Eph 3:12

In confidence $(\varepsilon \nu \pi \varepsilon \pi \circ \iota \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon)$. Late and rare word from $\pi \varepsilon \pi o \iota \theta \alpha$. See 2Co 1:15.
Through our faith in him ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha v \tau 0 v$ ). Clearly objective genitive $\alpha v \tau o v$ (in him).

Eph 3:13
That ye faint not ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Object infinitive with $\mu \eta$ after aıтov $\mu \alpha$. The infinitive (present active) $\varepsilon ข \kappa \alpha \kappa \varepsilon เ \nu$ is a late and rare word (see already Lu 18:1; 2Th 3:13; 2Co 4:1,16; Ga 6:9 ) and means to behave badly in, to give in to evil ( $\varepsilon v, \kappa \propto \kappa о \varsigma)$. Paul urges all his apostolic authority to keep the readers from giving in to evil because of his tribulations for them.

Your glory ( $\delta o \xi \alpha v \mu \omega v$ ). As they could see.
Eph 3:14
I bow my knees ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \pi \tau \omega \tau \alpha$ үova $\tau \alpha \mu \circ$ ). He now prays whether he had at first intended to do so at 3:1 or not. Calvin supposes that Paul knelt as he dictated this prayer, but this is not necessary. This was a common attitude in prayer (Lu 22:41; Ac 7:40; 20:36; 21:5), though standing is also frequent (Mr 11:25; Lu 18:11,13).

Eph 3:15
Every family ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \rho \iota \alpha$ ). Old word ( $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \alpha$ is the usual form) from $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$, descent from a common ancestor as a tribe or race. Some take it here as $=\pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \tau \eta \varsigma$, fatherhood, but that is most unlikely. Paul seems to mean that all the various classes of men on earth and of angels in heaven get the name of family from God the Father of all.

Eph 3:16
That he would grant you ( $\imath v \alpha \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{v})$ ). Sub-final clause with $\mathrm{t} \alpha \mathrm{a}$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\delta \delta \omega \mu$, to give. There are really five petitions in this greatest of all Paul's prayers (one already in 1:16-23), two by the infinitives after ıva $\delta \omega$ ( $\kappa \rho \tau \alpha \iota \omega \theta \eta v a \iota$,
 $\iota v a \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$. Nowhere does Paul sound such depths of spiritual emotion or rise to such heights of spiritual passion as here. The whole seems to be coloured with "the riches of His glory."

That ye may be strengthened ( $\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \omega \theta \eta v \alpha$ ). First aorist passive infinitive of $\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \omega$, late and rare (LXX, N.T.) from кратаıо, late form from кратоя (strength). See Lu 1:80. Paul adds $\delta v v a \mu \varepsilon$ (with the Spirit). Instrumental case.

In the inward man ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o v \varepsilon \sigma \omega \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \nu$ ). Same expression in 2Co 4:16 (in contrast with the outward $\varepsilon \xi \omega$, man) and in Ro 7:22 .

Eph 3:17
That Christ may dwell (катоькךба тоv X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о v$ ). Another infinitive (first aorist active) after ıv $\alpha \delta \omega$. Kaтоเкє $\omega$ is an old verb to make one's home, to be at home. Christ (Xpıбтov accusative of general reference) is asked to make his home in our hearts. This is the ideal, but a deal of fixing would have to be done in our hearts for Christ.

Being rooted and grounded in love ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \varepsilon \rho \rho ı \zeta \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda ı \omega \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). But it is not certain whether $\varepsilon v a \gamma a \pi \eta$ should go with these participles or with the preceding infinitive катоюк $\sigma \alpha$ (dwell). Besides, these two perfect passive participles (from $\rho \iota \zeta o \omega$, old verb, in N.T. only here and $\operatorname{Col} 2: 7$, and from $\theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \iota \omega$, see also $\operatorname{Col} 1: 23$ ) are in the nominative case and are to be taken with $\imath \sim \alpha \varepsilon \xi \sigma \chi \nu \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ and are proleptically placed before $\imath v a$. Verse 18 should really begin with these participles. Paul piles up metaphors (dwelling, rooted, grounded).

## Eph 3:18

That ye may be strong ( $\iota v \alpha \varepsilon \xi \iota \sigma \chi \nu \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Sub-final clause again with $\iota v \alpha$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \xi \iota \sigma \chi v \omega$, a late and rare compound (from $\varepsilon \xi$, $\iota \sigma \chi v \omega$ ) to have full strength. Here only in N.T.

To apprehend ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Second aorist middle infinitive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, old and common verb, to lay hold of effectively (ката-), here with the mind, to grasp (Ac 25:25 ).

With all the saints ( $\sigma v \nu \pi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \tau o \iota \varsigma ~ a \gamma ı \iota \varsigma)$. No isolated privilege. Fellowship open to all. Paul gives a rectangular (four dimension) measure of love (breadth $\pi \lambda \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma$, length $\mu \eta \kappa \circ \varsigma$, height $v \psi \circ \varsigma$, depth $\beta a \theta o \varsigma$, all common enough words).

Eph 3:19
And to know ( $\gamma \nu \omega \nu \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist active infinitive with $\varepsilon \xi \iota \sigma \chi \nu \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$.
Which passeth knowledge ( $\tau \eta \nu v \pi \varepsilon \rho \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda o v \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Ablative case $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ after $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda о v \sigma \alpha v$ (from $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ ). All the same Paul dares to scale this peak.

That ye may be filled with all the fulness of God (ıva $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \pi \alpha \nu \tau 0 \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Final clause again (third use of tva in the sentence) with first aorist passive subjunctive of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$ and the use of $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ after it. One hesitates to comment on this sublime climax in Paul's prayer, the ultimate goal for followers of Christ in harmony with the injunction in Mt 5:48 to be perfect ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ 0$ ) as our heavenly Father is perfect. There is nothing that any one can add to these words. One can turn to Ro 8:29 again for our final likeness to God in Christ.

Eph 3:20
That is able to do ( $\tau \omega \delta \nu v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \pi \sigma \not \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). Dative case of the articular participle (present middle of $\delta v v a \mu \alpha)$. Paul is fully aware of the greatness of the blessings asked for, but the Doxology ascribes to God the power to do them for us.

Above all $(v \pi \varepsilon \rho \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha)$. Not simply $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha$, but $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ beyond and above all.
Exceedingly abundantly (v $\tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \kappa \pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma o v)$. Late and rare double compound (v $\pi \varepsilon \rho$, $\varepsilon \kappa, \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o v)$ adverb (LXX, 1Th 3:10; 5:13; Eph 3:20). It suits well Paul's effort to pile Pelion on Ossa.

That we ask ( $\omega v$ aitov $\mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Ablative of the relative pronoun attracted from the accusative $\alpha$ to the case of the unexpressed antecedent tov $\omega v$. Middle voice ( $\alpha$ ıтоט $\mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ) "we ask for ourselves."

Or think ( $\eta$ voou $\mu \varepsilon v$ ). The highest aspiration is not beyond God's "power" ( $\delta v v a \mu \nu)$ to bestow.

Eph 3:21
In the church ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \omega \alpha$ ). The general church, the body of Christ.
And in Christ Jesus ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega \mathrm{I} \eta \sigma \circ v$ ). The Head of the glorious church.

## Ephesians 4

Eph 4:1
Wherewith ye were called ( $\eta \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Attraction of the relative $\eta \varsigma$ to the genitive of the antecedent $\kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ (calling) from the cognate accusative $\eta \nu$ with $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ (first aorist passive indicative of $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to call. For the list of virtues here see Col 3:12 . To $\alpha \nu \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \omega \nu$ (Col 3:13 ) Paul here adds "in love" ( $\varepsilon v a \gamma \alpha \pi \eta)$, singled out in Col 3:14

Eph 4:3
The unity ( $\tau \eta v \varepsilon v o \tau \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Late and rare word (from $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma$, one), in Aristotle and Plutarch, though in N.T. only here and verse 13.

In the bond of peace ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \sigma \nu v \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \omega \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \eta \nu \eta \varsigma)$. In $\operatorname{Col}$ 3:14 $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta$ (love) is the $\sigma v v \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma$ (bond). But there is no peace without love (verse 2).

Eph 4:4
One body ( $\varepsilon v \sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ ). One mystical body of Christ (the spiritual church or kingdom, cf. 1:23; 2:16 ).

One Spirit ( $\varepsilon v \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha)$. One Holy Spirit, grammatical neuter gender (not to be referred to by "it," but by "he").

In one hope ( $\varepsilon v \mu \iota \varepsilon \lambda \pi \tau \delta$ ). The same hope as a result of their calling for both Jew and Greek as shown in chapter 2.

Eph 4:5
One Lord ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma$ Kupıos). The Lord Jesus Christ and he alone (no series of aeons).
One faith ( $\mu$ I $\alpha / \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma)$. One act of trust in Christ, the same for all (Jew or Gentile), one way of being saved.

One baptism ( $\varepsilon v \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha)$. The result of baptizing ( $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$ ), while $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma$ is the act. Only in the N.T. ( $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu$ os in Josephus) and ecclesiastical writers naturally. See Mr 10:38. There is only one act of baptism for all (Jews and Gentiles) who confess Christ by means of this symbol, not that they are made disciples by this one act, but merely so profess him, put Christ on publicly by this ordinance.

Eph 4:6
One God and Father of all ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \theta \varepsilon o \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha ~ \pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho ~ \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu)$ ). Not a separate God for each nation or religion. One God for all men. See here the Trinity again (Father, Jesus, Holy Spirit).

Who is over all (o $\varepsilon \pi \pi \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ),
and through all ( $\kappa \alpha \delta \alpha \alpha \pi \nu \tau \omega v$ ),
and in all ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon v \pi \alpha \sigma \iota v$ ). Thus by three prepositions ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota, \delta \iota \alpha, \varepsilon v$ ) Paul has endeavoured to express the universal sweep and power of God in men's lives. The pronouns ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$,
$\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega v, \pi \alpha \sigma \iota v)$ can be all masculine, all neuter, or part one or the other. The last "in all" is certainly masculine and probably all are.

Eph 4:7
According to the measure of the gifts of Christ ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ то $\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho о \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \delta \omega \rho \varepsilon \alpha \varsigma$ тоv Xpıбтou). Each gets the gift that Christ has to bestow for his special case. See 1Co 12:4ff.; Ro 12:4-6.

Eph 4:8
Wherefore he saith ( $\delta \iota \circ \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ ). As a confirmation of what Paul has said. No subject is expressed in the Greek and commentators argue whether it should be o $\theta \varepsilon$ ç (God) or $\eta$ $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta$ (Scripture). But it comes to God after all. See Ac 2:17. The quotation is from Ps 68:18 , a Messianic Psalm of victory which Paul adapts and interprets for Christ's triumph over death.

He led captivity captive ( $\eta \not \chi \mu \alpha \lambda \omega \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v$ aı $\chi \mu \lambda \lambda \omega \sigma \iota \alpha v$ ). Cognate accusative of $\operatorname{aı} \chi \mu \alpha \lambda \omega \sigma \iota \alpha v$, late word, in N.T. only here and Re 13:10. The verb also ( $\alpha<\chi \mu \alpha \lambda \omega \tau \varepsilon v \omega)$ is from the old word aix $\mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \omega \tau$ тos, captive in war (in N.T. only in Lu 4:18), in LXX and only here in N.T.

Eph 4:9
Now this ( $\tau 0 \delta \varepsilon$ ). Paul picks out the verb $\alpha v \alpha \beta a \varsigma$ (second aorist active participle of $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha เ v \omega$, to go up), changes its form to $\alpha v \varepsilon \beta \eta$ (second aorist indicative), and points the article ( $\tau 0$ ) at it. Then he concludes that it implied a previous кат $\alpha \beta \alpha c$ (coming down).

Into the lower parts of the earth ( $\varepsilon ı \tau \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. If the $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha \varsigma$ is the Ascension of Christ, then the кат $\beta \alpha \varsigma$ would be the Descent (Incarnation) to earth and $\tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma$ would be the genitive of apposition. What follows in verse 10 argues for this view. Otherwise one must think of the death of Christ (the descent into Hades of Ac 2:31).

Eph 4:10
Is the same also (avtoc $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. Rather, "the one who came down (o кат $\alpha \beta a \varsigma$, the Incarnation) is himself also the one who ascended (o avaßac, the Ascension)."

Far above (v $\quad \varepsilon \rho a v \omega$ ). See 1:21.
All the heavens ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \omega v \tau \omega \nu o v \rho \alpha v \omega v$ ). Ablative case after $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha v \omega$. For the plural used of Christ's ascent see Heb 4:14; 7:27. Whether Paul has in mind the Jewish notion of a graded heaven like the third heaven in 2Co 12:2 or the seven heavens idea one does not know.

That he might fill all things (iv $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \eta \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ). This purpose we can understand, the supremacy of Christ ( $\operatorname{Col}$ 2:9f. ).

Eph 4:11
And he gave ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \cup \tau o \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v)$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$. In 1Co 12:28 Paul uses $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon$ to (more common verb, appointed), but here repeats $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ from the quotation in verse 8 . There are four groups ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma ~ \mu \varepsilon v$, tovৎ $\delta \varepsilon$ three times, as the direct object of
$\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ ）．The titles are in the predicate accusative（ $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau о \lambda о v \sigma, \pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \alpha \sigma$ ，лоњцvац ка $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda$ ov̧）．Each of these words occurs in 1Co 12：28（which see for discussion）except $\pi о \mu \varepsilon v a \varsigma$（shepherds）．This word $\pi о \mu \eta \nu$ is from a root meaning to protect．Jesus said the good shepherd lays down his life for the sheep（Joh 10：11）and called himself the Good Shepherd．In Heb 13：20 Christ is the Great Shepherd（cf．1Pe 2：25）．Only here are preachers termed shepherds（Latin pastores）in the N．T．But the verb $\pi \circ \mu \alpha ı v \omega$ ，to shepherd，is employed by Jesus to Peter（Joh 21：16 ），by Peter to other ministers（1Pe 5：2），by Paul to the elders （bishops）of Ephesus（Ac 20：28）．Here Paul groups＂shepherds and teachers＂together．All these gifts can be found in one man，though not always．Some have only one．

Eph 4：12
For the perfecting（ $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau<\nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \tau \iota \sigma \mu \circ v$ ）．Late and rare word（in Galen in medical sense，in papyri for house－furnishing），only here in N．T．，though кataptıoıs in 2Co 13：9， both from катарт兀ऽ $\omega$ ，to mend（Mt 4：21；Ga 6：1）．＂For the mending（repair）of the saints．＂

Unto the building up（ $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma$ огко $\delta о \mu \eta \nu$ ）．See 2：21．This is the ultimate goal in all these varied gifts，＂building up．＂

Eph 4：13
Till we all attain（ $\mu \varepsilon \chi \rho \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha v \tau \eta \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ o $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ．Temporal clause with purpose idea with $\mu \varepsilon \chi \rho$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \omega$ ，late verb，to come down to the goal（Php 3：11）．＂The whole＂including every individual．Hence the need of so many gifts．

Unto the unity of the faith（ $\varepsilon ા \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon v o \tau \eta \tau \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ）．＂Unto oneness of faith＂（of trust）in Christ（verse 3）which the Gnostics were disturbing．

And of the knowledge of the Son of God（ $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \downarrow \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ tov vov tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ）． Three genitives in a chain dependent also on $\tau \eta v \varepsilon v o \tau \eta \tau \alpha$ ，＂the oneness of full（ $\varepsilon \pi \iota-$ ）know－ ledge of the Son of God，＂in opposition to the Gnostic vagaries．

Unto a full－grown man（ $\varepsilon ા \varsigma \alpha v \delta \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \circ \circ v$ ）．Same figure as in 2：15 and $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ⿺ 𠃊 \varsigma$ in sense of adult as opposed to $v \eta \pi \circ \circ$（infants）in 14.

Unto the measure of the stature（ $\varepsilon ા \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \circ \vee \eta \lambda \iota \kappa ı \varsigma)$ ．So apparently $\eta \lambda_{\iota \kappa ı}$ here as in Lu 2：52，not age（Joh 9：21 ）．Boys rejoice in gaining the height of a man．But Paul adds to
 3：19．And yet some actually profess to be＂perfect＂with a standard like this to measure by！ No pastor has finished his work when the sheep fall so far short of the goal．

Eph 4：14
That we may be no longer children（ $\llcorner v \alpha \mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \nu \eta \pi \iota \circ$ ）．Negative final clause with present subjunctive．Some Christians are quite content to remain＂babes＂in Christ and never cut their eye－teeth（Heb 5：11－14），the victims of every charlatan who comes along．

Tossed to and fro ( $\kappa \lambda \nu \delta \omega v \iota \zeta \rho \varepsilon \nu \nu$ ). Present passive participle of $\kappa \lambda \nu \delta \omega v \iota \zeta \circ \mu \alpha$, late verb from $\kappa \lambda \nu \delta \omega \nu$ (wave, Jas 1:6), to be agitated by the waves, in LXX, only here in N.T. One example in Vettius Valens.

Carried about ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varphi \varepsilon \rho о \mu \varepsilon \nu o$ ). Present passive participle of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, old verb, to carry round, whirled round "by every wind ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \mu \omega$, instrumental case) of teaching." In some it is all wind, even like a hurricane or a tornado. If not anchored by full knowledge of Christ, folks are at the mercy of these squalls.

By the sleight ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa \cup \beta \iota \alpha$ ). "In the deceit," "in the throw of the dice" ( $\kappa \cup \beta \iota \alpha$, from $\kappa v \beta о \varsigma, ~ c u b e)$, sometimes cheating.

In craftiness ( $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha \nu o v \rho \gamma / \alpha)$. Old word from $\pi \alpha v o v \rho \gamma o \varsigma(\pi \alpha \nu, \varepsilon \rho \gamma o v$, any deed, every deed), cleverness, trickiness.

After the wiles of error ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \mu \varepsilon \theta$ o $\delta ı \alpha \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \eta \varsigma$ ). M $\operatorname{M\varepsilon } \theta$ o $\delta \iota \alpha$ is from $\mu \varepsilon \theta$ o $\delta \varepsilon v \omega$ ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$, o $\delta o \varsigma$ ) to follow after or up, to practise deceit, and occurs nowhere else (Eph 4:13; 6:11) save in late papyri in the sense of method. The word $\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \eta \varsigma$ (wandering like our "planet") adds to the evil idea in the word. Paul has covered the whole ground in this picture of Gnostic error.

Eph 4:15
In love ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta$ ). If truth were always spoken only in love!
May grow into him ( $\alpha v \xi \eta \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Supply $v \alpha$ and then note the final use of the first aorist active subjunctive. It is the metaphor of verse 13 (the full-grown man). We are the body and Christ is the Head. We are to grow up to his stature.

Eph 4:16
From which $(\varepsilon \xi$ ov). Out of which as the source of energy and direction.
Fitly framed ( $\sigma v v a \rho \mu o \lambda o \gamma o v \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. See 2:21 for this verb.
Through that which every joint supplieth ( $\delta \iota \alpha \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \varsigma \alpha \varphi \eta \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \iota \chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. Literally, "through every joint of the supply." See Col 2:19 for $\alpha \varphi \eta$ and Php 1:19 for the late word $\varepsilon \pi \iota \chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma \iota \alpha$ (only two examples in N.T.) from $\varepsilon \pi \iota \chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, to supply (Col 2:19).

In due measure $(\varepsilon \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \omega)$. Just "in measure" in the Greek, but the assumption is that each part of the body functions properly in its own sphere.

Unto the building up of itself ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ о \iota к о \delta о \mu \eta \nu \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau о v) . ~ M o d e r n ~ k n o w l e d g e ~ o f ~ c e l l ~ l i f e ~$ in the human body greatly strengthens the force of Paul's metaphor. This is the way the body grows by cooperation under the control of the head and all "in love" ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \gamma a \pi \eta$ ).

Eph 4:17
That ye no longer walk ( $\mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau v \mu \alpha \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Infinitive (present active) in indirect command (not indirect assertion) with accusative $v \mu a \varsigma$ of general reference.

In vanity of their mind ( $\varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \tau \eta \tau \tau 0 v \nu o o s \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). "In emptiness (from $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha \circ \varsigma$, late and rare word. See Ro 8:20 ) of their intellect (voos, late form for earlier genitive vov, from vous).

Eph 4:18
Being darkened ( $\varepsilon \sigma \kappa о \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon v o$ ovtء¢). Periphrastic perfect passive participle of $\sigma \kappa о \tau о \omega$, old verb from бкотоৎ (darkness), in N.T. only here and Re 9:2; 16:10 .

In their understanding ( $\tau \eta \delta_{1 \alpha v o ı \alpha}$ ). Locative case. Probably $\delta \iota a v o \iota \alpha(\delta ı \alpha$, vouc) includes the emotions as well as the intellect (vovc). It is possible to take ovteऽ with $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \lambda о \tau \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon v o$ (see 2:12) which would then be periphrastic (instead of $\varepsilon \sigma \kappa о \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon v o$ ) perfect passive participle.

From the life of God ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Ablative case $\zeta \omega \eta \varsigma$ after $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \lambda о \tau \rho \epsilon \omega \mu \varepsilon v o$ ( 2:12).

Because of the ignorance ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \nu \alpha \gamma v o l a v$ ). Old word from $\alpha \gamma v o \varepsilon \omega$, not to know. Rare in N.T. See Ac 3:17.

Hardening ( $\pi \omega \rho \omega \sigma \tau v$ ). Late medical term (Hippocrates) for callous hardening. Only other N.T. examples are Mr 3:5; Ro 11:25.

Eph 4:19
Being past feeling ( $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \gamma \eta \kappa \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Perfect active participle of $\alpha \pi \alpha \lambda \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, old word to cease to feel pain, only here in N.T.

To lasciviousness ( $\tau \eta \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \gamma \varepsilon ı \alpha$ ). Unbridled lust as in 2Co 12:21; Ga 5:19 .
 a trading (or work) in all uncleanness." Certainly Corinth and Ephesus could qualify for this charge.

With greediness ( $\varepsilon v \pi \lambda \varepsilon o v \varepsilon \xi \nmid \alpha)$. From $\pi \lambda \varepsilon o v \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma$, one who always wants more whether money or sexual indulgence as here. The two vices are often connected in the N.T.

Eph 4:20
 contrast to pagan life (ovt $\omega \varsigma$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\mu \alpha v \theta \alpha v \omega$.

Eph 4:21
If so be that $(\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon)$. "If indeed." Condition of first class with aorist indicatives here, assumed to be true ( $\eta \kappa о \cup \sigma a \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \delta เ \delta \alpha \chi \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ).

Even as truth is in Jesus ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \tau \nu \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varepsilon v \tau \omega$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). It is not clear what Paul's precise idea is here. The Cerinthian Gnostics did distinguish between the man Jesus and the aeon Christ. Paul here identifies Christ (verse 20) and Jesus (verse 21). At any rate he flatly affirms that there is "truth in Jesus" which is in direct opposition to the heathen manner of life and which is further explained by the epexegetical infinitives that follow ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \theta \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$, $\alpha v \alpha v \varepsilon \circ u \sigma \theta a \delta \varepsilon, \kappa \alpha \varepsilon v \delta \nu \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha)$.

Eph 4:22
That ye put away ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \theta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Second aorist middle infinitive of $\alpha \pi о \tau 1 \theta \eta \mu$ with the metaphor of putting off clothing or habits as $\alpha \pi 0 \theta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ in $\operatorname{Col} 3: 8$ (which see) with the same addition of "the old man" ( $\tau \circ v \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \circ v \alpha v \rho \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ ) as in $\operatorname{Col} 3: 9$. For $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \circ \varphi \eta v$ (manner of life) see Ga 1:13 .

Which waxeth corrupt ( $\tau o v \varphi \theta \varepsilon ı \rho o \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Either present middle or passive participle of $\varphi \theta \varepsilon \rho \rho \omega$, but it is a process of corruption (worse and worse).

Eph 4:23
That ye be renewed ( $\alpha v a v \varepsilon o v \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Present passive infinitive (epexegetical, like $\alpha \pi o \theta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$, of $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ) and to be compared with $\alpha v \alpha \kappa \alpha ı v o v \mu \varepsilon v o v$ in $\operatorname{Col}$ 3:10 . It is an old verb, avaveo $\omega$, to make new (young) again; though only here in N.T.

The spirit ( $\tau \omega \pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau$ ). Not the Holy Spirit, but the human spirit.
Eph 4:24
Put on $(\varepsilon v \delta v \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha)$. First aorist middle infinitive of $\varepsilon v \delta v \omega(-v \omega)$, for which see Col 3:10.

The new man ( $\tau 0 \vee \kappa \alpha ı v o v ~ \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ ). "The brand-new (see 2:15) man," though tov veov in Col 3:10.

After God ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon \sigma v$ ). After the pattern God, the new birth, the new life in Christ, destined to be like God in the end (Ro 8:29).

Eph 4:25
Wherefore ( $\delta \mathrm{oo}$ ). Because of putting off the old man, and putting on the new man.
Putting away ( $\alpha \pi \circ \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Second aorist middle participle of $\alpha \pi о \tau 1 \theta \eta \mu$ (verse 22).
Lying ( $\psi \varepsilon \cup \delta \circ \varsigma$ ),
truth ( $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ ) in direct contrast.
Each one ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau о \varsigma) . ~ P a r t i t i v e ~ a p p o s i t i o n ~ w i t h ~ \lambda a \lambda \varepsilon ı \tau \varepsilon . ~ S e e ~ C o l ~ 3: 8 ~ \mu \eta ~ \psi \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon . ~$
Eph 4:26
Be ye angry and sin not ( $o \rho \gamma \zeta \check{\zeta \sigma \theta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \mu \eta} \mu \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Permissive imperative, not a command to be angry. Prohibition against sinning as the peril in anger. Quotation from Ps 4:4.

Let not the sun go down upon your wrath (o $\eta \lambda \iota \circ \varsigma \mu \eta \varepsilon \pi \iota \delta v \varepsilon \tau \omega \varepsilon \pi \pi \alpha \rho о \rho \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \omega$ ). Danger in settled mood of anger. Парорүьб $\quad$ о (provocation), from $\pi \alpha \rho о \rho \gamma \zeta \zeta \omega$, to exasperate to anger, occurs only in LXX and here in N.T.

Eph 4:27
Neither give place to the devil ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \delta \delta \delta о \tau \varepsilon \tau о \pi о \nu \tau \omega \delta \delta \alpha \beta \circ \lambda \omega$ ). Present active imperative in prohibition, either stop doing it or do not have the habit. See Ro 12:19 for this idiom.

Eph 4:28
Steal no more ( $\mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \varepsilon \tau \omega$ ). Clearly here, cease stealing (present active imperative with $\mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau)$.

The thing that is good ( $\tau 0 \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ ov). "The good thing" opposed to his stealing and "with his hands" ( $\tau \alpha \iota \varsigma ~ \chi \varepsilon \rho \sigma เ v$, instrumental case) that did the stealing. See 2Th 3:10. Even unemployment is no excuse for stealing.

To give ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \delta \iota \delta o v \alpha$ ). Present active infinitive of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, to share with one.
Eph 4:29

Corrupt ( $\sigma \alpha \pi \rho \circ \varsigma)$. Rotten, putrid, like fruit (Mt 7:17f. ), fish (Mt 13:48 ), here the opposite of $\alpha \gamma{ }^{2} \theta \mathrm{oc}$ (good).

For edifying as the need may be ( $\pi \rho о \varsigma$ онкобо $\mu \eta \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \chi \rho \varepsilon เ \alpha \varsigma)$. "For the build-up of the need," "for supplying help when there is need." Let no other words come out.

That it may give (ıva $\delta \omega$ ). For this elliptical use of iva see on 5:33.
Eph 4:30
Grieve not the Holy Spirit of God ( $\mu \eta \lambda \nu \pi \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ to $\pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha$ тo $\alpha \gamma ı v$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). "Cease grieving" or "do not have the habit of grieving." Who of us has not sometimes grieved the Holy Spirit?

In whom $(\varepsilon v \omega)$. Not "in which."
Ye were sealed ( $\varepsilon \sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma\llcorner\sigma \eta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). See 1:13 for this verb, and 1:14 for $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda \nu \tau \rho \omega \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$, the day when final redemption is realized.

Eph 4:31
Bitterness ( $\pi \iota \kappa \rho \perp \alpha)$. Old word from $\pi \kappa \kappa \rho \circ \varsigma$ (bitter), in N.T. only here and Ac 8:23; Ro 3:14; Heb 12:15.

Clamour (краиүๆ). Old word for outcry (Mt 25:6; Lu 1:42). See Col 3:8 for the other words.

Be put away ( $\alpha \rho \theta \eta \tau \omega$ ). First aorist passive imperative of alp $\omega$, old verb, to pick up and carry away, to make a clean sweep.

Eph 4:32
Be ye kind to one another ( $\gamma \iota v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$ ouc $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau 0$ ). Present middle imperative of $\gamma เ v o \mu \alpha$, "keep on becoming kind ( $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o \varsigma$, used of God in Ro 2:4) toward one another." See Col 3:12f.

Tenderhearted ( $\varepsilon v \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \nu 0$ ). Late word ( $\varepsilon v, \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \nu \alpha$ ) once in Hippocrates, in LXX, here and 1Pe 3:8 in N.T.

## Ephesians 5

Eph 5:1
Imitators of God ( $\mu \mu \eta \tau \alpha$ тov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). This old word from $\mu \mu \varepsilon \sigma \mu \alpha$ Paul boldly uses. If we are to be like God, we must imitate him.

Eph 5:2
An offering and a sacrifice to God ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varphi \rho \rho \alpha v \kappa \alpha \theta v \sigma \iota \alpha v \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). Accusative in apposition with eavtov (himself). Christ's death was an offering to God "in our behalf" (vлє $\eta \mu \omega v$ ) not an offering to the devil (Anselm), a ransom ( $\lambda \nu \tau \rho o v$ ) as Christ himself said (Mt 20:28 ), Christ's own view of his atoning death.

For an odour of a sweet smell ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ o \sigma \mu \eta \eta v \varepsilon \omega \omega \delta i \alpha \varsigma)$. Same words in Php 4:18 from Le 4:31 (of the expiatory offering). Paul often presents Christ's death as a propitiation (Ro 3:25 ) as in 1Jo 2:2.

Eph 5:3
Or covetousness ( $\eta \pi \lambda \varepsilon o v \varepsilon \xi \nmid \alpha)$. In bad company surely. Debasing like sensuality.
As becometh saints ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \pi \rho \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \alpha \gamma เ \circ \varsigma)$. It is "unbecoming" for a saint to be sensual or covetous.

Eph 5:4
Filthiness (aıбхоотпऽ). Old word from $\alpha ı \sigma \chi \rho \circ \varsigma$ (base), here alone in N.T.
Foolish talking ( $\mu \omega \rho \circ \lambda o \gamma \omega)$. Late word from $\mu \omega \rho \circ \lambda o \gamma o \varsigma(\mu \omega \rho \circ \sigma, \lambda o \gamma o \varsigma)$, only here in N.T.

Jesting ( $\varepsilon \cup \tau \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda ı \alpha)$. Old word from $\varepsilon \cup \tau \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma(\varepsilon v, \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega$, to turn) nimbleness of wit, quickness in making repartee (so in Plato and Plutarch), but in low sense as here ribaldry, scurrility, only here in N.T. All of these disapproved vices are $\alpha \pi \alpha \xi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \sigma \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ in the N.T.

Which are not befitting ( $\alpha$ оик $\alpha \vee \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Same idiom (imperfect with word of propriety about the present) in Col 3:18. Late MSS. read $\tau \alpha$ оик $\alpha v \eta \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$ like $\tau \alpha \mu \eta \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$ in Ro 1:28.

Eph 5:5
Ye know of a surety (เซte $\gamma เ v \omega \sigma \kappa o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. The correct text has $\iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon$, not $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon$. It is the same form for present indicative (second person plural) and imperative, probably indicative
 experience."

No ( $\pi \alpha \sigma-$-ov). Common idiom in the N.T. like the Hebrew= oudeis (Robertson, Grammar, p. 732).

Covetous man ( $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \sigma v \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \sigma, \pi \lambda \varepsilon o v \varepsilon \chi \omega$ ). Old word, in N.T. only here and 1Co 5:10f.; 6:10.

Which is (o eб兀ıv). So Aleph B. A D K L have oc (who), but o is right. See Col 3:14 for this use of o (which thing is). On $\varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \omega \lambda$ 人 $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \eta \varsigma$ (idolater) see 1Co 5:10f.

In the Kingdom of Christ and God ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ $\tau o v X \rho \iota \sigma \tau o v \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon o v)$. Certainly the same kingdom and Paul may here mean to affirm the deity of Christ by the use of the one article with Xpıбтov ка $\theta \varepsilon o v$. But Sharp's rule cannot be insisted on here because $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma$ is often definite without the article like a proper name. Paul did teach the deity of Christ and may do it here.

Eph 5:6
With empty words (кعvoıৎ $\lambda о \gamma о \iota \varsigma)$. Instrumental case. Probably Paul has in mind the same Gnostic praters as in Col 2:4f. See 2:2.

Eph 5:7
Partakers with them ( $\sigma v \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau о \chi о \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Late double compound, only here in N.T., joint ( $\sigma v \nu$ ) shares with ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau о \chi 0$ ) them ( $\alpha v \tau \omega \nu$ ). These Gnostics.

Eph 5:8
But now light ( $v v \nu \delta \varepsilon \varphi \omega \varsigma)$. Jesus called his disciples the light of the world (Mt 5:14 ).
Eph 5:9
The fruit of light (о карлоৎ $\tau 0 v \varphi \omega \tau о \varsigma)$. Two metaphors (fruit, light) combined. See Ga 5:22 for "the fruit of the Spirit." The late MSS. have "spirit" here in place of "light."

Goodness $(\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ oouv $)$. Late and rare word from $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ oc. See $2 \mathrm{Th} 1: 11 ; \mathrm{Ga} 5: 22$.
Eph 5:10
Proving ( $\delta о \kappa ц \mu \zeta о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma) . ~ T e s t i n g ~ a n d ~ s o ~ p r o v i n g . ~$
Eph 5:11
Have no fellowship with ( $\mu \eta \sigma \nu \nu \kappa o \iota \omega \omega \nu \varepsilon \iota \tau$ ). No partnership with, present imperative with $\mu \eta$. Followed by associative instrumental case $\varepsilon \rho \gamma o \iota c$ (works).

Unfruitful (акарлоьऽ). Same metaphor of verse 9 applied to darkness (бкотоৎ).
Reprove ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Convict by turning the light on the darkness.
Eph 5:12
In secret ( $\kappa \rho \cup \varphi \eta$ ). Old adverb, only here in N.T. Sin loves the dark.
Even to speak of ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota v)$. And yet one must sometimes speak out, turn on the light, even if to do so is disgraceful (aıбх $\rho \circ v$, like 1Co 11:6 ).

Eph 5:13
Are made manifest by the light (v $\tau о \tau о v \varphi \omega \tau о \varsigma \varphi a v \varepsilon \rho \circ v \tau \alpha$ ). Turn on the light. Often the preacher is the only man brave enough to turn the light on the private sins of men and women or even those of a community.

Eph 5:14
Wherefore he saith ( $\delta$ to $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ ). Apparently a free adaptation of Isa 26:19; 60:1. The form $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \alpha$ for $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \theta$ (second person singular imperative second aorist active of $\alpha v \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ ) occurs in Ac 12:7.

Shall shine ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi \alpha \cup \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Future active of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi \alpha \cup \sigma \kappa \omega$, a form occurring in Job (Job 25:5; 31:26 ), a variation of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. The last line suggests the possibility that we have here the fragment of an early Christian hymn like 1Ti 3:16.

Eph 5:15
Carefully ( $\alpha \kappa \rho 1 \beta \omega \varsigma$ ). Aleph B 17 put $\alpha \kappa \rho ı \beta \omega \varsigma$ before $\pi \omega \varsigma$ (how) instead of $\pi \omega \varsigma \alpha \kappa \rho 1 \beta \omega \varsigma$ (how exactly ye walk) as the Textus Receptus has it. On $\alpha \kappa \rho \iota \omega \varsigma($ from $\alpha \kappa \rho ı \beta \varsigma)$ see Mt 2:8; Lu 1:3.

Unwise ( $\alpha \sigma \circ \varphi 0$ ). Old adjective, only here in N.T.
Eph 5:16
Redeeming the time ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \gamma о \rho \alpha \zeta_{о \mu \varepsilon v o ~ \tau o v ~ к а ı \rho о v) . ~ A s ~ i n ~}^{\text {Col } 4: 5 \text { which see. }}$
Eph 5:17
Be ye not foolish ( $\mu \eta \gamma \iota \nu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \alpha \varphi \rho \circ v \varepsilon \varsigma)$. "Stop becoming foolish."
Eph 5:18
Be not drunken with wine ( $\mu \eta \mu \varepsilon \theta v \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ olv $\omega$ ). Present passive imperative of $\mu \varepsilon \theta v \sigma \kappa \omega$, old verb to intoxicate. Forbidden as a habit and to stop it also if guilty. Instrumental case oıv $\omega$.

Riot ( $\alpha \sigma \omega \tau i \alpha$ ). Old word from $\alpha \sigma \omega \tau$ oc (adverb $\alpha \sigma \omega \tau \omega \varsigma$ in Lu 15:13), in N.T. only here, Tit 1:6; $1 \mathrm{Pe} 4: 4$.

But be filled with the Spirit ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \rho o v \sigma \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon v \pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau$ ). In contrast to a state of intoxication with wine.

Eph 5:19
To the Lord ( $\tau \omega \operatorname{Kv\rho } \omega \omega$ ). The Lord Jesus. In Col 3:16 we have $\tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ (to God) with all these varieties of praise, another proof of the deity of Christ. See Col 3:16 for discussion.

Eph 5:20
In the name of our Lord Jesus Christ ( $\varepsilon v$ ovo $\mu \alpha \tau$ tov Kvpıov $\eta \mu \omega v$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ X $\rho ı \sigma \tau o v$ ). Jesus had told the disciples to use his name in prayer (Joh 16:23f. ).

To God, even the Father ( $\tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ка $\pi \alpha \tau \rho$ ). Rather, "the God and Father."
Eph 5:21
Subjecting yourselves to one another (vпотаббонгvo $\alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$ оı). Present middle participle of vлотаб $\sigma \omega$, old military figure to line up under ( $\mathrm{Col} 3: 18$ ). The construction here is rather loose, coordinate with the preceding participles of praise and prayer. It is possible to start a new paragraph here and regard vпотаббонعvo as an independent participle like an imperative.

Eph 5:22
Be in subjection. Not in the Greek text of B and Jerome knew of no MS. with it. K L
 (let them be subject to). But the case of $\alpha v \delta \rho \alpha \sigma \omega v$ (dative) shows that the verb is understood
from verse 21 if not written originally. Iסıoı̧ (own) is genuine here, though not in Col 3:18

As unto the Lord ( $\omega \varsigma \tau \omega \operatorname{Kv\rho } \omega)$ ). So here instead of $\omega \varsigma \alpha v \eta \kappa \varepsilon v \varepsilon v \operatorname{Kvp} \omega \omega$ of $\operatorname{Col}$ 3:18. Eph 5:23
For the husband is the head of the wife (o $\alpha \vee \eta \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \cup v \alpha \iota \kappa \varsigma)$ ) "For a husband is head of the (his) wife." No article with $\alpha \nu \eta \rho$ or $\kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta$.

As Christ also is the head of the church ( $\omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha$ о X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о \varsigma \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \omega \propto)$ ). No article with $\kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta$, "as also Christ is head of the church." This is the comparison, but with a tremendous difference which Paul hastens to add either in an appositional clause or as a separate sentence.

Himself the saviour of the body ( $\alpha \cup \tau \sigma \varsigma ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$ тov $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma$ ). He means the church as the body of which Christ is head and Saviour.

Eph 5:24
But ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ). Perhaps, "nevertheless," in spite of the difference just noted. Once again the verb vтотаб $\sigma \omega$ has to be supplied in the principal clause before toı $\alpha v \delta \rho \alpha \sigma ı v$ either as indicative (vпотаббоvта) or as imperative (vлотаббє $\sigma \omega \sigma \sigma \nu$ ).

Eph 5:25
Even as Christ also loved the church ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha$ о Хрıбтоৎ $\eta \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha v$ ). This is the wonderful new point not in Col 3:19 that lifts this discussion of the husband's love for his wife to the highest plane.

Eph 5:26
That he might sanctify it ( $\quad v \alpha \alpha \cup \tau \eta v ~ a \gamma ı a \sigma \eta$ ). Purpose clause with $i v \alpha$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \gamma \omega \zeta \omega$. Jesus stated this as his longing and his prayer (Joh 17:17-19). This was the purpose of Christ's death (verse 25).

Having cleansed it (каӨарıба¢). First aorist active participle of $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, to cleanse, either simultaneous action or antecedent.

By the washing of water ( $\tau \omega \lambda \operatorname{lov\tau } \rho \omega$ tov vסatoc). If $\lambda o v \tau \rho o v$ only means bath or bathing-place ( $=\lambda о \tau \tau \rho \circ v$ ), then $\lambda о \tau \tau \rho \omega$ is in the locative. If it can mean bathing or washing, it is in the instrumental case. The usual meaning from Homer to the papyri is the bath or bathing-place, though some examples seem to mean bathing or washing. Salmond doubts if there are any clear instances. The only other N.T. example of $\lambda$ ovt $\rho o v$ is in Tit 3:5. The reference here seems to be to the baptismal bath (immersion) of water, "in the bath of water." See 1Co 6:11 for the bringing together of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda o u \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ and $\eta \gamma ı \sigma \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$. Neither there nor here does Paul mean that the cleansing or sanctification took place in the bath save in a symbolic fashion as in Ro 6:4-6. Some think that Paul has also a reference to the bath of the bride before marriage. Still more difficult is the phrase "with the word" ( $\varepsilon v \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau$ ). In Joh 17:17 Jesus connected "truth" with "sanctify." That is possible here, though it may also be connected with кaӨapıбaৎ (having cleansed). Some take it to mean the baptismal formula.

Eph 5:27
That he might present (ıva $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \eta)$. Final clause with $v \alpha \alpha$ and first aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ ( see $\mathrm{Col} 1: 22$ for parallel) as in $2 \mathrm{Co} 11: 2$ of presenting the bride to the bridegroom. Note both $\alpha v \tau \circ \varsigma$ (himself) and $\varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega$ (to himself).

Glorious ( $\varepsilon v \delta o \xi o v)$. Used of splendid clothing in Lu 7:25.
Spot ( $\sigma \pi \lambda \lambda o \varsigma$ ). Late word, in N.T. only here and $2 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 13$, but $\sigma \pi \lambda \lambda o \omega$, to defile in Jas 3:6; Jude 1:23.

Wrinkle ( $\rho v \tau \iota \delta \alpha$ ). Old word from $\rho v \omega$, to contract, only here in N.T.
But that it should be holy and without blemish ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \imath v \alpha \eta \alpha \gamma \iota \alpha \kappa \alpha \alpha \mu \omega \mu \circ \varsigma$ ). Christ's goal for the church, his bride and his body, both negative purity and positive.

Eph 5:28
 some people actually say that Paul in 1Co 7 gives a degrading view of marriage. How can one say that after reading Eph 5:22-33 where the noblest picture of marriage ever drawn is given?

Eph 5:29
Nourisheth $(\varepsilon \kappa \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon)$. Old compound with perfective sense of $\varepsilon \kappa$ (to nourish up to maturity and on). In N.T. only here and 6:4.

Cherisheth $(\theta a \lambda \pi \varepsilon)$. Late and rare word, once in a marriage contract in a papyrus. In N.T. only here and 1Th 2:7 . Primarily it means to warm (Latin foveo), then to foster with tender care as here.

Even as Christ also ( $\kappa \alpha \omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha$ о X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о \varsigma$ ). Relative (correlative) adverb pointing back to $o v \tau \omega \varsigma$ at the beginning of the sentence (verse 28) and repeating the statement in verse 25.

Eph 5:30
Of his flesh and of his bones ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa о \varsigma \alpha v \tau о v \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu$ об $\tau \varepsilon \omega \nu \alpha v \tau о v$ ). These words are in the Textus Receptus (Authorized Version) supported by D G L P cursives Syriac, etc., though wanting in Aleph A B 17 Bohairic. Certainly not genuine.

Eph 5:31
 sense of $\alpha \nu \tau$ seen in $\alpha \nu \theta^{\prime} \omega \nu$ (Lu 12:3). This whole verse is a practical quotation and application of the language to Paul's argument here. In Mt 19:5 Jesus quotes Ge 2:24. It seems absurd to make Paul mean Christ here by $\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma$ (man) as some commentators do.

Eph 5:32
This mystery is great ( $\tau 0 \mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho เ \frac{v}{} \tau o v \tau o \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). For the word "mystery" see 1:9. Clearly Paul means to say that the comparison of marriage to the union of Christ and the church is the mystery. He makes that plain by the next words.

But I speak ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega)$. "Now I mean." Cf. 1Co 7:29; 15:50.
 reference to Christ and the church." That is all that $\varepsilon \iota c$ here means.

Eph 5:33
Nevertheless ( $\pi \lambda \eta v$ ). "Howbeit," not to dwell unduly (Abbott) on the matter of Christ and the church.

Do ye also severally love ( $\kappa \alpha \cup \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ о $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \varepsilon v \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau о \varsigma ~ \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \omega$ ). An unusual idiom. The verb $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \omega$ (present active imperative) agrees with $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma$ and so is third singular instead of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ (second plural) like $v \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma$. The use of o $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \varepsilon v \alpha$ after $v \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma="$ ye one by one " and then єкабтоऽ takes up (individualizes) the "one" in partitive apposition and in the third person.

Let the wife see that she fear ( $\eta \gamma \cup \nu \eta เ \nu \alpha \varphi o \beta \eta \tau \alpha$ ). There is no verb in the Greek for "let see" ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \omega)$. For this use of $ı v a$ with the subjunctive as a practical imperative without a principal verb (an elliptical imperative) see Mr 5:23; Mt 20:32; 1Co 7:29; 2Co 8:7; Eph 4:29; 5:33 (Robertson, Grammar, p. 994). "Fear" ( $\varphi$ о $\uparrow \tau \alpha$, present middle subjunctive) here is "reverence."

## Ephesians 6

Eph 6:1
Right ( $\delta$ ıкaıov). In Col 3:20 it is عuapeбтov (well-pleasing).
Eph 6:2
Which ( $\eta \tau \iota \varsigma)$. "Which very" = "for such is."
The first commandment with promise ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau o \lambda \eta \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda 1 \alpha$ ). Ev here means "accompanied by" (Alford). But why "with a promise"? The second has a general promise, but the fifth alone (Ex 20:12) has a specific promise. Perhaps that is the idea. Some take it to be first because in the order of time it was taught first to children, but the addition of $\varepsilon v$ $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \alpha$ here to $\pi \rho \omega \tau \eta$ points to the other view.

Eph 6:3
That it may be well with thee ( $\llcorner v \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma o \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \tau \alpha$ ). From Ex 20:12, "that it may happen to thee well."

And thou mayest live long on the earth ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \sigma \eta \mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \chi \rho о \nu \iota \rho \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. Here $\varepsilon \sigma \eta$ (second person singular future middle) takes the place of $\gamma \varepsilon v \eta$ in the LXX (second person singular second aorist middle subjunctive). Макрохроvos is a late and rare compound adjective, here only in N.T. (from LXX, Ex 20:12 ).

Eph 6:4
Provoke not to anger ( $\mu \eta \pi \alpha \rho \circ \rho \gamma \iota(\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon)$. Rare compound, both N.T. examples (here and Ro 10:19 ) are quotations from the LXX. The active, as here, has a causative sense. Parallel in sense with $\mu \eta \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \theta_{1} \zeta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ in Col 3:21. Paul here touches the common sin of fathers.
 Ev is the sphere in which it all takes place. There are only three examples in the N.T. of $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon ı \alpha$, old Greek for training a $\pi \alpha ı \varsigma$ (boy or girl) and so for the general education and culture of the child. Both papyri and inscriptions give examples of this original and wider sense (Moulton and Milligan, Vocabulary). It is possible, as Thayer gives it, that this is the meaning here in Eph 6:4 . In 2Ti 3:16 adults are included also in the use. In Heb 12:5,7,11 the narrower sense of "chastening" appears which some argue for here. At any rate vouӨrata (from vovo, $\tau \uparrow \vartheta \eta \mu$ ), common from Aristophanes on, does have the idea of correction. In N.T. only here and 1Co 10:11; Tit 3:10 .

Eph 6:5
With fear and trembling ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ чоßоv ка $\tau \rho \circ \mu \circ v$ ). This addition to Col 3:22.
Eph 6:6
But as servants of Christ ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \omega \varsigma \delta o u \lambda o$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o u$ ). Better "slaves of Christ" as Paul rejoiced to call himself (Php 1:1).

Doing the will of God ( $\pi$ oוouvte¢ to $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ тov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Even while slaves of men.
Eph 6:7

With good will ( $\left.\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon v v o t a \varsigma\right)$. Not in Col. Old word from $\varepsilon v v o o \varsigma$, only here in N.T. as $\varepsilon v v o \varepsilon \omega$ is in N.T. only in Mt 5:25 .

Eph 6:8
Whatsoever good thing each one doeth ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau о \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \pi о џ\rceil \eta \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta о v$ ). Literally, "each one if he do anything good." Condition of third class, undetermined, but with prospect. Note use here of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ ov rather than $\alpha \delta$ ıкov (one doing wrong) in Col 3:25. So it is a reward ( $\kappa \circ \mu เ \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$ for good, not a penalty for wrong, though both are true, "whether he be bond or


Eph 6:9
And forbear threatening ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \alpha \pi \varepsilon i \lambda \eta \nu$ ). Present active participle of $\alpha v i \eta \mu$, old verb, to loosen up, to relax. "Letting up on threatening." A $\varepsilon \varepsilon \wedge \lambda \eta$ is old word for threat, in N.T. only here and Ac 4:29; 9:1 .

Both their Master and yours ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha v \tau \omega v \kappa \alpha \nu \mu \omega \nu$ о кvрıৎ). He says to "the lords" (o $\kappa \cup \rho ı$ ) of the slaves. Paul is not afraid of capital nor of labour.

With him ( $\left.\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \omega\right)$. "By the side of him (God)."
Eph 6:10
Finally ( $\tau 0 v$ גoıtov). Genitive case, "in respect of the rest," like Ga 6:17. D G K L P have the accusative to $\lambda$ oınov (as for the rest) like 2Th 3:1; Php 3:1; 4:8 .

Be strong in the Lord ( $\varepsilon v \delta v v a \mu \circ v \sigma \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon v \kappa v \rho \iota \omega)$. A late word in LXX and N.T. (Ac 9:22; Ro 4:20; Php 4:13 ), present passive imperative of $\varepsilon v \delta v v a \mu \circ \omega$, from $\varepsilon v$ and $\delta v v a \mu \iota$, to empower. See 1:10 for "in the strength of his might." Not a hendiadys.

Eph 6:11
Put on ( $\varepsilon v \delta v \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Like 3:12. See also 4:24.
The whole armour ( $\tau \eta \nu \pi \alpha \nu o \pi \lambda \iota \alpha \nu$ ). Old word from $\pi \alpha \nu o \pi \lambda o \varsigma$ (wholly armed, from $\pi \alpha v$, o $\pi \lambda o v$ ). In N.T. only Lu 11:22; Eph 6:11,13. Complete armour in this period included "shield, sword, lance, helmet, greaves, and breastplate" (Thayer). Our "panoply." Polybius gives this list of Thayer. Paul omits the lance (spear). Our museums preserve specimens of this armour as well as the medieval coat-of-mail. Paul adds girdle and shoes to the list of Polybius, not armour but necessary for the soldier. Certainly Paul could claim knowledge of the Roman soldier's armour, being chained to one for some three years.

That ye may be able to stand ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau о \delta v v \alpha \sigma \theta a v \mu \alpha \varsigma \sigma \tau \eta v \alpha$ ). Purpose clause with $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ to and the infinitive ( $\delta v v a \sigma \theta \alpha$ ) with the accusative of general reference ( $v \mu \alpha \varsigma)$ and the second aorist active infinitive $\sigma \tau \eta v a$ (from $\sigma \tau \eta \mu$ ) dependent on $\delta v \nu \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$. Against ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ ). Facing. Another instance of $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ meaning "against" (Col 2:23 ).

The wiles of the devil ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \theta$ o $\delta ı \varsigma \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ \delta ı \alpha \beta o \lambda o v$ ). See already $4: 14$ for this word. He is a crafty foe and knows the weak spots in the Christian's armour.

Eph 6:12

Our wrestling is not (ovk $\varepsilon \sigma \tau i v \eta \mu \nu \nu \eta \pi \alpha \lambda \eta$ ). "To us the wrestling is not." $\Pi \alpha \lambda \eta$ is an old word from $\pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to throw, to swing (from Homer to the papyri, though here only in N.T.), a contest between two till one hurls the other down and holds him down ( $\kappa a \tau \varepsilon \chi \omega$ ). Note $\pi \rho o \varsigma$ again (five times) in sense of "against," face to face conflict to the finish.

The world-rulers of this darkness ( $\tau о ч \varsigma$ кобнократорац тои бкотоиц тоитои). This phrase occurs here alone. In Joh 14:30 Satan is called "the ruler of this world" (o $\alpha \rho \chi \omega \nu$ tov коб $\mu$ оv тov тov). In 2Co $4: 4$ he is termed "the god of this age" (o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ a l \omega v o \varsigma ~ \tau o v \tau o v) . ~$ The word коб $\mu о к \rho \alpha \tau \omega \rho$ is found in the Orphic Hymns of Satan, in Gnostic writings of the devil, in rabbinical writings (transliterated) of the angel of death, in inscriptions of the Emperor Caracalla. These "world-rulers" are limited to "this darkness" here on earth.

The spiritual hosts of wickedness ( $\tau \alpha \pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \pi о v \eta \rho ı \alpha \varsigma)$. No word for "hosts" in the Greek. Probably simply, "the spiritual things (or elements) of wickedness." Поv $\eta \rho \downarrow$ (from лоvŋроৎ) is depravity (Mt 22:18; 1Co 5:8).

In the heavenly places ( $\varepsilon v$ тоıৎ $\varepsilon \pi о \cup \rho \alpha v o \iota \varsigma)$. Clearly so here. Our "wrestling" is with foes of evil natural and supernatural. We sorely need "the panoply of God" (furnished by God).

Eph 6:13
Take up ( $\alpha v \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist active imperative of $\alpha v \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, old word and used ( $\alpha v a \lambda \alpha \beta \omega v$ ) of "picking up" Mark in 2Ti 4:11 .

That ye may be able to withstand ( $\llcorner v \alpha \delta \nu v \eta \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \mid \sigma \tau \eta v \alpha$ ). Final clause with $\stackrel{v \alpha}{ }$ and first aorist passive subjunctive of $\delta \cup v \alpha \mu \alpha$ with $\alpha v \tau \iota \sigma \tau \eta v \alpha$ (second aorist active infinitive of $\alpha v \theta \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, to stand face to face, against).

And having done all to stand ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ~ к \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma \alpha ~ \mu \varepsilon v o ~ \sigma \tau \eta v \alpha)$. After the fight (wrestle) is over to stand ( $\sigma \tau \eta v \alpha)$ as victor in the contest. Effective aorist here.

Eph 6:14
Stand therefore ( $\sigma \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ouv). Second aorist active imperative of $\sigma \tau \eta \mu$ (intransitive like the others). Ingressive aorist here, "Take your stand therefore" (in view of the arguments made).

Having girded your loins with truth ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta \omega \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \tau \eta \nu$ o $\sigma \varphi \nu \nu v \mu \omega \nu \varepsilon v \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha$ ). First aorist middle participle (antecedent action) of $\pi \varepsilon \rho\llcorner\zeta \omega v v v \omega$, old verb, to gird around, direct middle (gird yourselves) in Lu 12:37 ; but indirect here with accusative of the thing, "having girded your own loins." So $\varepsilon v \delta v \sigma a \mu \varepsilon v o$ (having put on) is indirect middle participle.

The breast-plate of righteousness ( $\tau \circ v \theta \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \delta \kappa \alpha \iota \circ \sigma \nu v \eta \varsigma)$. Old word for breast and then for breastplate. Same metaphor of righteousness as breastplate in 1Th 5:8 .

Eph 6:15
Having shod (v $\frac{1}{} \delta \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). "Having bound under" (sandals). First aorist middle participle of $u \pi \mathrm{o} \delta \varepsilon \omega$, old word, to bind under ( $\operatorname{Mr} 6: 9$; Ac 12:8, only other N.T. example).

With the preparation ( $\varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \tau \sigma \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha$ ). Late word from $\varepsilon \tau \circ \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$, to make ready, only here in N.T. Readiness of mind that comes from the gospel whose message is peace.

Eph 6:16
Taking up ( $\alpha v \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). See verse 13.
The shield of faith ( $\tau 0 v \theta \cup \rho \varepsilon \circ v \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \neg \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Late word in this sense a large stone against the door in Homer, from $\theta v \rho a$, door, large and oblong (Latin scutum), aбтıৎ being smaller and circular, only here in N.T.

To quench $(\sigma \beta \varepsilon \sigma \alpha)$. First aorist active infinitive of $\sigma \beta \varepsilon \nu \nu v \mu$, old word, to extinguish (Mt 12:20).

All the fiery darts ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \pi \nu \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon v \alpha)$. B $\varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma$ is an old word for missile, dart (from $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to throw), only here in N.T. Пє $\tau \nu \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ is perfect passive participle of $\pi \nu \rho o \omega$, old verb, to set on fire, from $\pi \nu \rho$ (fire). These darts were sometimes ablaze in order to set fire to the enemies' clothing or camp or homes just as the American Indians used to shoot poisoned arrows.

Eph 6:17
The helmet of salvation ( $\tau \eta v \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \alpha ı \alpha \nu \tau o v \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho ı \nu)$. Late word ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota, \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta$, head, around the head), in Polybius, LXX, 1Th 5:8; Eph 6:17 alone in N.T.

Which is the word of God (o $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \tau o \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau o v \theta \varepsilon \sigma v)$. Explanatory relative (o) referring to the sword ( $\mu \alpha \chi \alpha \iota \rho a v$ ). The sword given by the Spirit to be wielded as offensive weapon (the others defensive) by the Christian is the word of God. See Heb $4: 12$ where the word of God is called "sharper than any two-edged sword."

Eph 6:18
At all seasons ( $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha v \tau \kappa \alpha \iota \omega)$. "On every occasion." Prayer is needed in this fight. The panoply of God is necessary, but so is prayer.
"Satan trembles when he sees The weakest saint upon his knees."
Eph 6:19
That utterance may be given unto me (ıva $\mu \circ \delta o \theta \eta \lambda o \gamma o \varsigma)$. Final clause with $t v a$ and first aorist passive subjunctive of $\delta \mathrm{t} \delta \omega \mu$, to give. See a like request in Col 4:3. Paul wishes their prayer for courage for himself.

Eph 6:20
For which I am an ambassador in chains (v $v \varepsilon \rho$ ov $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \varepsilon v \omega \varepsilon v \alpha \lambda v \sigma \varepsilon)$. "For which mystery" of the gospel (verse 19). П $\rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \varepsilon v \omega$ is an old word for ambassador (from $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \nu \varsigma$, an old man) in N.T. only here and 2Co 5:20. Paul is now an old man ( $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \eta \varsigma$, Phm 1:9 ) and feels the dignity of his position as Christ's ambassador though "in a chain" ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \lambda v \sigma \varepsilon$, old word $\alpha \lambda \nu \sigma \iota \varsigma$, from a privative and $\lambda \nu \omega$, to loosen). Paul will wear a chain at the close of his life in Rome (2Ti 1:16).

In it $(\varepsilon v \alpha \cup \tau \omega)$. In the mystery of the gospel. This is probably a second purpose ( $\imath v \alpha$ ), the first for utterance ( $\iota v \alpha \delta o \theta \eta$ ), this for boldness ( $\iota v \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \sigma \omega \mu \alpha$, first aorist middle subjunctive, old word to speak out boldly). See 1Th 2:2. See Col 4:4 for "as I ought."

Eph 6:21
That ye also may know (ıva $\varepsilon เ \delta \eta \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \cup \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Final clause with $\iota v \alpha$ and second perfect subjunctive active of ot $\delta \alpha$. For Tychicus, see Col 4:7f .

Eph 6:22
That ye may know ( $\imath v \alpha \gamma \nu \omega \tau \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist active subjunctive of $\gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. Just as in


Our state ( $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \omega v$ ). "The things concerning us," practically the same as $\tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ of verse 21. See both phrases in Col 4:7,8 .

Eph 6:23
Love and faith ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Love of the brotherhood accompanied by faith in Christ and as an expression of it.

Eph 6:24
In uncorruptness $(\varepsilon v \alpha \varphi \theta \alpha \rho \sigma \iota \alpha)$. A never diminishing love. See 1Co 15:42 for $\alpha \varphi \theta \alpha \rho \sigma \iota \alpha$.

## EPISTLE TO THE PHILIPPIANS

## FROM ROME ABOUT A.D. 61

## BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

There is something to be said for the idea that Paul wrote the Epistle to the Philippians while a prisoner in Ephesus if he ever was a prisoner there. All that can be said for that view has been presented by Professor George S. Duncan in St. Paul's Ephesian Ministry (1930). But, when all is considered carefully in the light of the facts in the Acts and the Epistles, the best that one can say is that a possible case is made out with many difficulties remaining unexplained. The argument is more ingenious than convincing. It is not possible here to review the arguments pro and con that convince me that Paul was in Rome when he wrote this letter to Philippi. It is not clear whether it was written before the three that went together (Philemon, Colossians, Ephesians) or afterwards. Probably there was no great difference in time, but there was time for Epaphroditus to come to Rome, to fall sick, for the news to reach Philippi and for Epaphroditus to hear of their concern about him. The church in Philippi was Paul's joy and pride and they had helped him before as they did this time.

The Epistle is a beautiful expression of gratitude for the love and gifts of the Philippian saints. He is a prisoner of hope in Rome with possible death before him, but with the note of joy running through all that Paul says. He hopes to be set free and to see them again.

Meanwhile he tells the Philippians about the difficulties and triumphs in Rome. The Judaizers have followed Paul here and there is an echo in chapters Php 1;3 of their opposition. But Paul rises to full stature in the great Christological passages in chapters Php 2; 3 which prepare the way for the controversy with the Gnostics over the Person of Christ in Colossians and Ephesians.

Some special books on Philippians are those by Beet (1891), Burns (1917), Dibelius (1911), Ellicott (new ed. 1890), Wohlenberg in Zahn Komm. (3rd ed. 1917), Haupt in Meyer Komm. (8 ed. 1902), Jones in Westm. Comm. (1920), Johnstone (1904), Jowett (1909), Kennedy in Exp. Gk. Test. (1903), Klopper (1893), Knabenbauer (1913), Lightfoot (9 ed. 1891), Lipsius (1893), Lohmeyer in Meyer Komm. (8 ed. 1930), Lueken (1906), Martin (New Cent. Bible), Michael (1928), Moule (Phil. Studies), Plummer (1919), Rainy (Exp. Bible 1893), Robertson (1917), Vincent (Int. Crit., 2 ed. 1910).

## Philippians 1

Phil 1：1
Paul（Пav $\overline{\text { 人 }}$ ）．He does not mention his apostleship as he usually does．Omitted also in I and II Thess．and Philemon．

Timothy（Tıио日zoc）．In no sense the author，but associated with Paul because with him here in Rome as in Corinth when I and II Thessalonians written and in Ephesus when I Corinthians sent and in Macedonia when II Corinthians written．Timothy was with Paul when the Philippian church was founded（Ac 16：1，13；17：14）．He had been there twice since （Ac 19：22；20：3f．）．

To all the saints（ $\pi \alpha \sigma$ тоı $\alpha \gamma ı \circ \varsigma)$ ．The word saint（ $\alpha \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ıऽ）here is used for the professing Christians as in 1Co 1：2 which see as well as Ro 1：7 for the origin of the word．The word ＂all＂（ $\pi \alpha \sigma$ ）means that all individual believers are included．Paul employs this word frequently in Philippians．

In Christ Jesus（ $\varepsilon \nu$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ）．The centre for all Christian relations and activities for Paul and for us．

In Philippi（ $\left.\varepsilon v \Phi_{1} \lambda ı \pi \pi o \iota \varsigma\right)$ ．See on Ac 16：12 for discussion of this name．
With the bishops（бuv £ $\pi \iota \sigma к о \pi о \iota) . ~ " T o g e t h e r ~ w i t h ~ b i s h o p s, " ~ t h u s ~ s i n g l e d ~ o u t ~ f r o m ~ " a l l ~$ the saints．＂See Ac 20：17，28 for the use of this most interesting word as equivalent to $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma($ elder）．It is an old word from $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \pi \tau о \mu \alpha$ ，to look upon or after，to inspect， so the overseer or superintendent．In the second century $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \chi \circ \pi \circ \varsigma$（Ignatius）came to mean one superior to elders，but not so in the N．T．The two New Testament church officers are here mentioned（bishops or elders and deacons）．The plural is here employed because there was usually one church in a city with several pastors（bishops，elders）．

And deacons（ка סıккоvo七）．Technical sense here of the other church officers as in 1Ti 3：8－13 ，not the general use as in Mt 22：13．The origin of the office is probably seen in Ac 6：1－6 ．The term is often applied to preachers（1Co 3：5；2Co 3：6）．The etymology（ $\delta \iota \alpha$ ， коvıৎ）suggests raising a dust by hastening．

Phil 1：3
Upon（ $\varepsilon \pi$ ）．Basis of the thanksgiving．
All（ $\pi \alpha \sigma \eta$ ）．Note frequent use of＂all＂here（ $\pi \alpha \sigma \eta \iota, \pi \alpha v \tau o \tau \varepsilon$ ，always，$\pi \alpha \sigma \eta$ ，again，$\pi \alpha v \tau \omega \nu$ $\nu \mu \omega v$ ，you all）．The use of＂you all＂recurs several times（4，7 bis，8）．

Phil 1：4
With joy（ $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \chi \alpha \rho \alpha \varsigma)$ ．Keynote of the Epistle．Paul is a happy prisoner as in Philippi when he and Silas sang praises at midnight though in prison（Ac 16：25）．

Phil 1：5
For your fellowship（ $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \kappa o \tau \omega \omega v i \alpha \nu \mu \omega v$ ）．＂On the basis of your contribution＂as in 2Co 8：4；9：13；Ac 2：42．The particular kind of＂partnership＂or＂fellowship＂involved is the
contribution made by the Philippians for the spread of the gospel ( $1: 7 \sigma \cup \gamma \kappa о \imath v \omega v o v \varsigma$ and 4:14 where $\sigma \cup \gamma к о \downarrow \omega \nu \eta \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ оccurs).

In furtherance of the gospel ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~$ тo $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı \circ v$ ). "For the gospel."
From the first day until now ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha \chi \rho ~ \tau o v ~ v v v$ ). As when in Thessalonica (Php 4:15f. ), in Corinth (Ac 18:5; 2Co 11:7-10) , and now in Rome.

Phil 1:6
Being confident ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \sigma \circ \theta \omega \varsigma$ ). Second perfect active of $\pi \varepsilon \theta \theta \omega$, to persuade.
This very thing (avто тоито). Accusative of the inner object with $\pi \varepsilon \pi \sigma \circ \theta \omega \varsigma$, "this thing itself."

Will perfect it ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Future active indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, will fully ( $\varepsilon \pi\llcorner-$ ) finish. God began and God will consummate it (see 2Co 8:6; Ga 3:3 where both words occur together as here), but not without their cooperation and partnership.

Until the day of Jesus Christ (axp $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho a \varsigma X \rho \iota \sigma \tau o v ~ I \eta \sigma o v)$. The second coming as in verse 10. See 1Th 5:2,4; 2Th 1:10; 2:2; 1Co 1:18; 3:13; 2Co 1:14; Ro 13:12. Paul never sets the time for the Lord's return, but he is cheered by that blessed hope.

Phil 1:7
Because I have you in my heart ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau 0 \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon ı \nu \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa \alpha \rho \delta ı \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). Or "because you hold me in your heart." Literally, "because of the holding me (or you) in the heart as to you (or me)." One accusative is the object of the infinitive $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon เ v$, the other is the accusative of general reference. There is no way to decide which is the idea meant except to say that love begets love. The pastor who, like Paul, holds his people in his heart will find them holding him in their hearts.

In the defence ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta a \pi o \lambda o \gamma \iota \alpha$ ). Old word (our word apology, but not our idea of apologizing), in the original sense in $\operatorname{Ac} 22: 1 ; 25: 16$. So also in verse 16 below.

Confirmation ( $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \iota \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Old word from $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \iota o \omega(\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \iota \sigma \sigma, \beta \alpha \iota \nu \omega)$, to make stable. In N.T. only here and Heb 6:16 about oath.

Partakers with me of grace ( $\sigma \cup \gamma \kappa о \iota v \omega v o v \varsigma \mu \circ v \tau \eta \varsigma \chi \alpha \rho \iota \tau \circ \varsigma)$. Literally, "my co-sharers in grace" (objective genitive). "Grace prompted them to alleviate his imprisonment, to cooperate with him in defending and propagating the gospel, and to suffer for its sake" (Vincent, Int. Crit. Comm.).

Phil 1:8
My witness ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \varsigma \mu 0 v$ ). Same solemn oath in Ro 1:9.
I long after ( $\varepsilon \pi / \iota \circ \theta \omega)$. Longing ( $\pi \circ \theta \circ \varsigma$ ) directed toward $(\varepsilon \pi)$ the Philippians. Old word, chiefly in Paul in N.T.

In the tender mercies ( $\varepsilon v \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi$ voıc). Literally "in the bowels" as the seat of the affections.

Phil 1:9

May abound（ $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \cup \eta)$ ．Present active subjunctive of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v \omega$ ，may keep on overflowing，a perpetual flood of love，＂yet more and more＂（ $\varepsilon \tau \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v ~ \kappa \alpha \mu \alpha \lambda$ ov $)$ ，but with necessary limitations（river banks），＂in knowledge＂（ $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma v \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ，in full knowledge） ＂and all discernment＂（ $\pi \alpha \sigma \eta \alpha \iota \sigma \eta \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ ）．The delicate spiritual perception（ $\alpha \circ \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$ ，old word from $\alpha$ เ $\sigma$ ब $v o \mu \alpha$ ，only here in N．T．as the verb only in Lu 9：45 in N．T．）can be cultivated as in aıoӨŋтпpoov（Heb 5：14）

Phil 1：10
So that ye may（ $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ v \mu \alpha \varsigma)$ ．Either purpose or result（ $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ t o ~ p l u s ~ i n f i n i t i v e ~ a s ~ i n ~ R o ~$ 1：11，20；3：26，etc．）．

Approve the things that are excellent（ $\delta$ окща〔єı $\tau \alpha \delta ı \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho о \nu \tau \alpha$ ）．Originally，＂test the things that differ．＂Cf．same idiom in Ro 2：28．The verb was used for assaying metals． Either sense suits this context，but the first step is to distinguish between good and evil and that is not always easy in our complex civilization．

Sincere（ $\varepsilon \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \rho \iota v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$ ．Old word of uncertain origin from $\kappa \rho \iota v \omega$ ，to judge，by $\varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta$（sun－ light）or to sift by rapid rolling（ $\varepsilon$（ $\lambda \circ \varsigma)$ ．At any rate it means pure，unsullied．

Void of offence（ $\alpha \pi \rho о \sigma к о \pi о$ ）．Alpha privative $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ and ко $\pi \tau \omega$ ，to cut，＂not stumbled against＂（not causing others to stumble）or if active＂not stumbling against．＂Passive sense probably，not active as in 1Co 10：32 ．Common in the papyri，though not in ancient Greek writers．

Phil 1：11
Fruits of righteousness（картоv $\delta ⿺ 𠃊 \propto о \sigma \cup \nu \eta \varsigma) . ~ S i n g u l a r, ~ c o l l e c t i v e ~ i d e a, ~ f r u i t ~ o f ~ r i g h t-~$ eousness．Accusative case retained with perfect passive participle．

Phil 1：12
The things which happened unto me（ $\tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ）．＂The things concerning me＂＝＂my affairs＂as common in Josephus．

Have fallen out rather（ $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda u \theta \varepsilon v$ ）．＂Have come rather．＂Second perfect active indicative of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ ．

Unto the progress（ $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \pi \rho о к о \pi \eta v)$ ．Late word from $\pi \rho о к о \pi \tau \omega$ ，common verb，to cut or strike forward，but this late substantive does not occur in classical Greek．It is a technical term in Stoic philosophy for＂progress toward wisdom＂and it appears also in the papyri and the LXX．In N．T．only here，verse 25；1Ti 4：15 ．

Phil 1：13
Throughout the whole praetorian guard（ $\varepsilon v o \lambda \omega \tau \omega \pi \rho \alpha \iota \tau \omega \rho \iota \omega$ ）．There were originally ten thousand of these picked soldiers，concentrated in Rome by Tiberius．They had double pay and special privileges and became so powerful that emperors had to court their favour． Paul had contact with one after another of these soldiers．It is a Latin word，but the meaning is not certain，for in the other New Testament examples（Mt 27：27；Mr 15：16；Joh 18：28，33； 19：9；Ac 23：35）it means the palace of the provincial governor either in Jerusalem or Caesarea．

In Rome "palace" would have to be the emperor's palace, a possible meaning for Paul a provincial writing to provincials (Kennedy). Some take it to mean the camp or barracks of the praetorian guard. The Greek, "in the whole praetorium," allows this meaning, though there is no clear example of it. Mommsen and Ramsay argue for the judicial authorities (praefecti praetorio) with the assessors of the imperial court. At any rate Paul, chained to a soldier, had access to the soldiers and the officials.

Phil 1:14
The most of the brethren ( $\tau$ ouc $\pi \lambda \varepsilon$ ovo $\alpha \varsigma \tau \nu \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \omega v$ ). "The more part of the brethren." The comparative with the article with the sense of the superlative as often in the Koine.

In the Lord ( $\varepsilon v$ Kvpit). It is not clear whether this phrase is to be connected with
 "through my bonds" ( $\tau$ оья $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ \iota \varsigma \mu$ оv) would be the instrumental case and mean that by means of Paul's bonds the brethren "are more abundantly bold" ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma о \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega \varsigma ~ \tau о \lambda \mu a ı v)$.

Phil 1:15
Even of envy and strife ( $\kappa \alpha \delta \iota \alpha \varphi \theta$ ovov $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \rho ı v$ ). "Even because of" (accusative after $\delta(\alpha)$. Surely the lowest of motives for preaching Christ. Envy is an old word and an old sin and strife ( $\varepsilon \rho \iota \varsigma)$ is more rivalry than schism. It is petty and personal jealousy of Paul's power and prowess by the Judaizers in Rome whom Paul has routed in the east, but who now exult at the opportunity of annoying their great antagonist by their interpretation of Christ. Jealousy is always against those of one's own class or profession as preachers with preachers, doctors with doctors.

Of goodwill ( $\delta \delta^{\prime}$ عv $\delta$ oкı $\alpha v$ ). Because of goodwill toward Paul.
Phil 1:16
Of love ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \varsigma)$. Out of love to Paul as well as to Christ. Put 1Co 13 here as a flashlight.

Phil 1:17
Of faction ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho 1 \theta \varepsilon \mid \alpha \varsigma)$. Out of partisanship. From $\varepsilon \rho \bullet \theta \varepsilon v \omega$, to spin wool, and that from $\varepsilon \rho i \theta o \varsigma$, a hireling. The papyri examples suit the idea of selfish ambition (Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary). See 2Co 12:20; Ga 5:20 .

Not sincerely (ovð $\alpha \gamma v \omega \varsigma$ ). "Not purely," that is with mixed and impure motives.
To raise up affliction for my bonds ( $\theta \lambda ı \psi \iota \nu \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon เ \rho \varepsilon เ \nu \tau о \iota \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ \iota \varsigma \mu \circ v$ ). Now that Paul is down they jump on him in mean and nagging ways. Dative case in $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ$ ç. "To make my chains gall me" (Lightfoot).

Phil 1:18
What then? ( $\tau \gamma \alpha \rho$ ?). Sharp problem put up to Paul by the conduct of the Judaizers.

Only that ( $\pi \lambda \eta \nu$ or). Same idiom in Ac 20:23. $\Pi \lambda \eta v$ is adverb $\pi \lambda \varepsilon o v$ (more besides). As a preposition $\pi \lambda \eta \nu$ means "except." This essential thing Paul sees in spite of all their envy and selfishness that Christ is preached.

Whether in pretence ( $\varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon \pi \rho \circ \varphi \alpha \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Either from $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \alpha \iota v \omega$, to shew forth, or $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \mu$, to speak forth, the ostensible presentation often untrue. See Ac 27:30. Paul sees clearly through the pious pretence of these Judaizers and rejoices that people get some knowledge of Christ. Some Christ is better than no Christ.

Yea, and will rejoice ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha \chi \alpha \rho \eta \sigma о \mu \alpha$ ). Note affirmative, not adversative, use of $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$. Volitive use of the future (second future passive) indicative ( $\chi \alpha \rho \eta \sigma o \mu \alpha$ ) of $\chi \alpha \rho \omega$. Paul is determined to rejoice in spite of the efforts of the Judaizers to prod him to anger.

Phil 1:19
Will turn ( $\alpha \pi \circ \beta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Future middle indicative of $\alpha \pi \circ \beta \alpha \iota v \omega$, old verb, to come from, to come back, to turn out.

To my salvation ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha v$ ). For his release from prison as he strongly hopes to see them again ( 1:26). Lightfoot takes the word to be Paul's eternal salvation and it must be confessed that verse 20 (the close of this sentence) does suit that idea best. Can it be that Paul carried both conceptions in the word here?

Supply ( $\varepsilon \pi ⿺ \chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma / a \varsigma)$. Late and rare word (one example in inscription of first century A.D.). In N.T. only here and Eph 4:16. From the late verb $\varepsilon \pi \imath \chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ (double compound, $\varepsilon \pi \iota, \chi$ оооб, $\eta \gamma \varepsilon о \mu \alpha$, to furnish supply for the chorus) which see in 2Co 9:10; Ga 3:5.

Phil 1:20
Earnest expectation ( $\alpha \pi о к \alpha \rho \alpha \delta о к ⿺ \alpha v$ ). In Paul alone from $\alpha \pi о к \alpha \rho \alpha \delta$ ок $\varepsilon \omega$ (in papyri). See on Ro 8:19 for only other example.

Shall be magnified ( $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \nu v \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Future passive indicative of $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \nu v \omega$, old verb, to make great, from $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \varsigma$ (great). See Ac 19:17.

In my body ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \mu \circ v$ ). See Ro 12:1f. It is harder often to make Christ great in the body than in the spirit.

Phil 1:21
For to me ( $\varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o} \gamma \alpha \rho)$. Fine example of the ethical dative. Paul gives his own view of living.
 present active infinitive) is the subject as is shown by the article to. Living is coextensive with Christ.

Gain ( $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta$ оऽ). Old word for any gain or profit, interest on money (so in papyri). In N.T. only here, Php 3:7; Tit 1:11.

To die ( $\tau 0$ a 0 o $\alpha v \varepsilon \iota v$, second aorist active infinitive, single act) is to cash in both principal and interest and so to have more of Christ than when living. So Paul faces death with independence and calm courage.

Phil 1:22

If this is the fruit of my work (точто $\mu$ о картос $\varepsilon \rho \gamma о$ ). There is no $\varepsilon$ (if) here in the Greek, but tovto (this) seems to be resumptive and to repeat the conditional clause just before. If so, $\kappa \alpha$ just after means
then and introduces the conclusion of the condition. Otherwise tov to introduces the conclusion and кa means
and .
I wot not (ov $\gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \zeta \omega)$ ). "I know not." It seems odd to preserve the old English word "wot" here. But it is not clear that $\gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \zeta \omega$ (old causative verb from $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ ) means just to know. Elsewhere in the N.T., as in $\operatorname{Lu}$ 2:15; Ro 9:22, it means to make known, to declare. The papyri examples mean to make known. It makes perfectly good sense to take its usual meaning here, "I do not declare what I shall choose."

Phil 1:23
I am in a strait ( $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha)$. "I am held together." Present passive indicative of the common compound verb $\sigma v v \varepsilon \chi \omega$, to hold together, to hem together as in Lu 8:45. "I am hemmed in on both sides" (Lightfoot).

Betwixt the two ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v \delta v o$ ). "From the two (sides)." Pressure to live on, pressure to die and be with Christ.

To depart ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ \alpha v \alpha \lambda \nu \sigma \alpha$ ). Purpose clause, $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ a n d ~ t h e ~ a o r i s t ~ a c t i v e ~ i n f i n i t i v e ~$ ava入voa, old compound verb, to unloose (as threads), to break up, to return (Lu 12:36, only other N.T. example), to break up camp (Polybius), to weigh anchor and put out to sea, to depart (often in old Greek and papyri). Cf. ката入 $v \omega$ in 2Co 5:1 for tearing down the tent.

Very far better ( $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \lambda \omega \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda$ ov к $\rho \varepsilon \iota \sigma \sigma o v$ ). Double comparative (triple Lightfoot calls it because of $\pi \mathbf{\tau} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ) like Isocrates and the Koine often. See 2Co 7:13 for $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma o \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega \varsigma$ $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$. По $\lambda \lambda \omega$ is the instrumental case of measure (by much).

Phil 1:24
In the flesh ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa$ ). So B D G, but Aleph A C do not have $\varepsilon v$. Unnecessary with $\varepsilon \pi \mu \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega$, to abide by (common verb).

Phil 1:25
And abide with you all ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \omega \pi \alpha \sigma \iota v \nu \mu v$ ). Common Pauline idiom to repeat the simple verb ( $\mu \varepsilon v \omega$ ) as a compound ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \omega$, future active indicative), old verb, to remain beside followed by locative case. See same idiom in $\chi \propto \iota \rho \omega, \sigma \cup v \chi \propto ı \rho \omega$ (Php 2:17 ).

Phil 1:26
In Christ Jesus in me ( $\varepsilon v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega \operatorname{I\eta \sigma ov~} \varepsilon v \varepsilon \mu \circ$ ). "In Christ Jesus" as the basis for the glorying ( $\kappa \alpha u \chi \eta \mu \alpha)$ ) "in me" as the instance in point.

Through my presence ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \eta \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma i a \varsigma)$. The word so often used of the second coming of Christ, but here in its ordinary sense as in 2:12; 1Co 16:17.

Phil 1:27

Let your manner of life ( $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \cup \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Old verb from $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \eta \varsigma$, citizen, and that from $\pi \sigma \lambda \iota \varsigma$, city, to be a citizen, to manage a state's affairs, to live as a citizen. Only twice in N.T., here and Ac 23:1. Philippi as a colony possessed Roman citizenship and Paul was proud of his own possession of this right. The Authorized Version missed the figure completely by the word "conversation" which did refer to conduct and not mere talk as now, but did not preserve the figure of citizenship. Better render, "Only do ye live as citizens."

Striving ( $\sigma v v a \theta \lambda o v v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Rather, "striving together" as in an athletic contest. Late and rare word (Diodorus). "The very energy of the Christian faith to produce energetic individualities" (Rainy). "Striving in concert" (Lightfoot).

For the faith $(\tau \eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon)$. For the teaching of the gospel, objective sense of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$ (faith).
Phil 1:28
Affrighted ( $\pi \tau v \rho o \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present passive participle of $\pi \tau v \rho \omega$, old verb, to frighten. The metaphor is of a timid or scared horse and from $\pi \tau 0 \varepsilon \omega$ ( $\pi \tau \circ \alpha$, terror). "Not startled in anything."

By the adversaries ( $v \pi о \tau \omega v \alpha v \tau \iota \kappa \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega v$ ). These men who were lined up against (present middle participle of $\alpha v \tau \iota \kappa \varepsilon \iota \mu \alpha$ ) may have been Jews or Gentiles or both. See 2Th 2:4 for this late verb. Any preacher who attacks evil will have opposition.

Evident token ( $\varepsilon \nu \delta \varepsilon \iota \xi \iota \varsigma)$. Old word for proof. See 2Co 8:24; Ro 3:25f. "An Attic law term" (Kennedy) and only in Paul in N.T.

Perdition ( $\alpha \pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. "Loss" in contrast with "salvation" ( $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i \alpha \varsigma$ ).
And that (ка тоטто). Idiomatic adverbial accusative. "It is a direct indication from God. The Christian gladiator does not anxiously await the signal of life or death from the fickle crowd" (Lightfoot).

Phil 1:29
In the behalf of Christ ( $\tau 0 v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 v$ ). Literally, "the in behalf of Christ." But Paul divides the idea and uses the article to again both with $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota v$ and with $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \varepsilon เ \nu$. Suffering in behalf of Christ is one of God's gifts to us.

Phil 1:30
Conflict $(\alpha \gamma \omega \nu \alpha)$. Athletic or gladiatorial contest as in 1Ti 6:12; 2Ti 4:7. The Philippians saw Paul suffer (Ac 16:19-40; 1Th 2:2 ) as now they have heard about it in Rome.

## Philippians 2

Phil 2:1
If ( $\varepsilon$ ). Paul uses four conditions in this verse, all of the first class, assuming the condition to be true.

Comfort ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota)$ ). Rather, "ground of appeal to you in Christ." See 1Co 1:10; Eph 4:1.

Consolation ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \nu \theta \imath \imath v$ ). Old word from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \nu \theta \varepsilon о \mu \alpha$, persuasive address, incentive.
Of love ( $\alpha$ ץ $\alpha \pi \eta \varsigma$ ). Objective genitive, "in love" (undefined as in 1Co 13).
Fellowship ( $\kappa o \imath \omega \omega v \alpha$ ). Partnership in the Holy Spirit "whose first fruit is love" (Ga 5:22).
 and so for the higher emotions. But $\tau \iota \varsigma$ is masculine singular and $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi v \alpha$ is neuter plural. Lightfoot suggests an error of an early transcriber or even of the amanuensis in writing $\varepsilon$ $\tau \iota c$ instead of $\varepsilon \tau \imath v a$.

Phil 2:2
Fulfil ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Better here, "fill full." Paul's cup of joy will be full if the Philippians
 subjunctive, keep on thinking the same thing).

Being of one accord ( $\sigma \cup v \psi \cup \chi \circ$ ). Late word here for the first time, from $\sigma \cup v$ and $\psi \cup \chi \eta$, harmonious in soul, souls that beat together, in tune with Christ and with each other.
 the same moment. Perfect intellectual telepathy. Identity of ideas and harmony of feelings.

Phil 2:3
Through vainglory ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \varepsilon v o \delta о \xi \iota \alpha v)$ ). Late word, only here in N.T., from кعvo $\delta о \xi_{\circ}$ с (кєvoб, $\delta о \xi \alpha, \mathrm{Ga} 5: 26$, only here in N.T.), empty pride.

In lowliness of mind ( $\tau \eta \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon ı v o \varphi \rho o \sigma u v \eta$ ). Late and rare word. Not in O.T. or early Greek writers. In Josephus and Epictetus in bad sense (pusillanimity). For ostentatious hu-
 3:8, here alone in N.T.) that Christianity has ennobled and dignified (Ac 20:19).

Better than himself (v $\tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau \omega v$ ). Present active participle of $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$ in intransitive sense to excel or surpass with the ablative, "excelling themselves." See Ro 12:10 . Phil 2:4

Looking ( $\sigma к о о и \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma) . ~ P r e s e n t ~ a c t i v e ~ p a r t i c i p l e ~ o f ~ \sigma к о \pi \varepsilon \omega ~ f r o m ~ \sigma к о л о \varsigma ~(a i m, ~ g o a l) . ~$ Not keeping an eye on the main chance for number one, but for the good of others.

Phil 2:5
Have this mind in you ( $\tau 0 v \tau 0 ~ \varphi \rho o v \varepsilon เ \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon v \nu \mu \nu \nu$ ). "Keep on thinking this in you which was also in Christ Jesus" (о ка $\varepsilon \nu$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ I I $\sigma o v$ ). What is that? Humility. Paul presents Jesus
as the supreme example of humility. He urges humility on the Philippians as the only way to secure unity.

Phil 2:6
Being (v $\tau \alpha \rho \chi \omega v$ ). Rather, "existing," present active participle of $v \pi \alpha \rho \chi \omega$. In the form of $\operatorname{God}(\varepsilon v \mu \circ \rho \varphi \eta \theta \varepsilon o v)$. Mop $\varphi \eta$ means the essential attributes as shown in the form. In his preincarnate state Christ possessed the attributes of God and so appeared to those in heaven who saw him. Here is a clear statement by Paul of the deity of Christ.

A prize ( $\alpha \rho \pi \alpha \gamma \mu \circ v$ ). Predicate accusative with $\eta \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \tau 0$. Originally words in $-\mu \circ \varsigma$ signified the act, not the result $(-\mu \alpha)$. The few examples of $\alpha \rho \pi \alpha \gamma \mu \circ \varsigma$ (Plutarch, etc.) allow it to be understood as equivalent to $\alpha \rho \pi \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha$, like $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu$ os and $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$. That is to say Paul means a prize to be held on to rather than something to be won ("robbery").

To be on an equality with God ( $\tau 0 \varepsilon เ v \alpha ~ เ \sigma \alpha \theta \varepsilon o$ ). Accusative articular infinitive object of $\eta \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \tau 0$, "the being equal with God" (associative instrumental case $\theta \varepsilon \omega$ after $ו \sigma \alpha$ ). I $\sigma \alpha$ is adverbial use of neuter plural with $\varepsilon$ हाva as in Re 21:16 .

Emptied himself ( $\varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau \circ \vee \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon v \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\kappa \varepsilon v \circ \omega$, old verb from $\kappa \varepsilon v o \varsigma$, empty. Of what did Christ empty himself? Not of his divine nature. That was impossible. He continued to be the Son of God. There has arisen a great controversy on this word, a Kevooıc doctrine. Undoubtedly Christ gave up his environment of glory. He took upon himself limitations of place (space) and of knowledge and of power, though still on earth retaining more of these than any mere man. It is here that men should show restraint and modesty, though it is hard to believe that Jesus limited himself by error of knowledge and certainly not by error of conduct. He was without sin, though tempted as we are. "He stripped himself of the insignia of majesty" (Lightfoot).

Phil 2:7
The form of a servant ( $\mu о \rho \varphi \eta \nu \delta o u \lambda o v$ ). He took the characteristic attributes ( $\mu о \rho \varphi \eta \nu$ as in verse 6) of a slave. His humanity was as real as his deity.

In the likeness of men ( $\varepsilon v$ о $\mu o t \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega v$ ). It was a likeness, but a real likeness (Kennedy), no mere phantom humanity as the Docetic Gnostics held. Note the difference in tense between $v \pi \alpha \rho \chi \omega \nu$ (eternal existence in the $\mu о \rho \varphi \eta$ of God) and $\gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v o c$ (second aorist middle participle of $\gamma เ v o \mu \alpha$, becoming, definite entrance in time upon his humanity).

## Phil 2:8

In fashion ( $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau$ ). Locative case of $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha$, from $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, to have, to hold. Bengel explains $\mu о \rho \varphi \eta$ by forma, oноו $\omega \mu \alpha$ by similitudo, $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha$ by habitus. Here with $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha$ the contrast "is between what He is in Himself, and what He appeared in the eyes of men" (Lightfoot).

He humbled himself ( $\varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau \circ v)$. First aorist active of $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ v o \omega$, old verb from taлعivoc. It is a voluntary humiliation on the part of Christ and for this reason Paul is pressing the example of Christ upon the Philippians, this supreme example of renunciation. See Bruce's masterpiece, The Humiliation of Christ.

Obedient (vлпкоoс). Old adjective, giving ear to. See Ac 7:39; 2Co 2:9.
Unto death ( $\mu \varepsilon \chi \rho$ Өavatov). "Until death." See "until blood" ( $\mu \varepsilon \chi \rho ı \varsigma$ a $\mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma, ~ H e b ~ 12: 4 ~$ ).

Yea, the death of the cross ( $\theta$ ava $\left.\frac{1}{} \delta \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \cup \rho o v\right)$. The bottom rung in the ladder from the Throne of God. Jesus came all the way down to the most despised death of all, a condemned criminal on the accursed cross.

Phil 2:9
Wherefore ( $\delta \mathrm{to}$ ). Because of which act of voluntary and supreme humility.
Highly exalted ( $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \cup \psi \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ). First aorist indicative of $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \cup \psi o \omega(v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ and $v \psi \circ \varsigma$ ) late and rare word (LXX and Byzantine). Here only in N.T. Because of Christ's voluntary humiliation God lifted him above or beyond (v $\tau \varepsilon \rho$ ) the state of glory which he enjoyed before the Incarnation. What glory did Christ have after the Ascension that he did not have before in heaven? What did he take back to heaven that he did not bring? Clearly his humanity. He returned to heaven the Son of Man as well as the Son of God.

The name which is above every name ( $\tau 0 ~ o v o \mu \alpha$ то $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \pi \alpha v ~ o v o \mu \alpha$ ). What name is that? Apparently and naturally the name

Jesus, which is given in verse 10 . Some think it is "Jesus Christ," some "Lord," some the ineffable name Jehovah, some merely dignity and honour.

Phil 2:10
 $\kappa \alpha \mu \psi \eta)$. First aorist active subjunctive of $\kappa \alpha \mu \pi \tau \omega$, old verb, to bend, to bow, in purpose clause with $\imath v a$. Not perfunctory genuflections whenever the name of Jesus is mentioned, but universal acknowledgment of the majesty and power of Jesus who carries his human name and nature to heaven. This universal homage to Jesus is seen in Ro 8:22; Eph 1:20-22 and in particular Re 5:13.

Under the earth ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \chi \theta \mathrm{ov} \iota \omega v)$. Homeric adjective for departed souls, subterranean, simply the dead. Here only in the N.T.

Phil 2:11
Should confess ( $\varepsilon \xi \circ \mu о \lambda о \gamma \eta \sigma \eta \tau \alpha)$. First aorist middle subjunctive of $\varepsilon \xi о \mu о \lambda о ү \varepsilon о \mu \alpha$ with iva for purpose.

Lord (Kupıos). Peter (Ac 2:36 ) claimed that God made Christ "Lord." See also 1Co 8:6; 12:3; Ro 10:9 . Kennedy laments that the term Lord has become one of the most lifeless in the Christian vocabulary, whereas it really declares the true character and dignity of Jesus Christ and "is the basis and the object of worship."

Phil 2:12
Not as in my presence only ( $\mu \eta \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma \iota \alpha \mu \circ v o v$ ). B and a few other MSS. omit $\omega \varsigma$. The negative $\mu \eta$ goes with the imperative $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ (work out), not with итๆкоибатє (obeyed) which would call for oux.

Much more ( $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$ ). They are not to render eye-service only when Paul is there, but much more when he is away.

Work out ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon)$. Perfective use of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ (down) in composition, work on to the finish. This exhortation assumes human free agency in the carrying on the work of one's salvation.

With fear and trembling ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ~ \varphi о \beta о \cup ~ к \alpha ~ \tau \rho о \mu о v) . ~ " N o t ~ s l a v i s h ~ t e r r o r, ~ b u t ~ w h o l e s o m e, ~$ serious caution" (Vincent). "A nervous and trembling anxiety to do right" (Lightfoot). Paul has no sympathy with a cold and dead orthodoxy or formalism that knows nothing of struggle and growth. He exhorts as if he were an Arminian in addressing men. He prays as if he were a Calvinist in addressing God and feels no inconsistency in the two attitudes. Paul makes no attempt to reconcile divine sovereignty and human free agency, but boldly proclaims both.

Phil 2:13
Which worketh in you (o $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega v \varepsilon \nu v \mu \nu v$ ). Articular present active participle of $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ from $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ \varsigma(\varepsilon v, \varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v$ ) one at work, common verb from Aristotle on, to be at work, to energize. God is the Energy and the Energizer of the universe. Modern scientists, like Eddington, Jeans, and Whitney, are not afraid to agree with Paul and to put God back of all activity in nature.

Both to will and to work (ка то $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ v ~ к \alpha ~ \tau о ~ \varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon ı v) . ~ " B o t h ~ t h e ~ w i l l i n g ~ a n d ~ t h e ~$ working (the energizing)." God does it all, then. Yes, but he puts us to work also and our part is essential, as he has shown in verse 12, though secondary to that of God.

For his good-pleasure (vл $\rho \tau \tau \varsigma \varepsilon \cup \delta o \kappa 1 a \varsigma)$. So Whitney puts "the will of God" behind gravitation and all the laws of nature.

Phil 2:14
Without murmurings ( $\chi \omega \rho ı \varsigma \gamma \gamma \gamma \gamma v \sigma \mu \omega v$ ). See on Ac 6:1 for this late onomatopoetic word from $\gamma \circ \gamma \gamma \cup \zeta \omega$, to mutter, to grumble.

Disputings ( $\delta\llcorner\alpha \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \omega v$ ). Or questionings as in Lu 24:38. The grumblings led to disputes.

Phil 2:15
That ye may be (ıva $\gamma \varepsilon \vee \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Rather, "that ye may become" (second aorist middle subjunctive of $\gamma i v o \mu \alpha$, to become).

Blameless ( $\alpha \mu \varepsilon \mu \pi \tau о$ ). Free from censure ( $\mu \varepsilon \mu \varphi о \mu \alpha$, to blame).
Harmless (aкะpaıo). Unmixed, unadulterated as in Ro 16:19.
Without blemish ( $\alpha \mu \omega \mu \alpha$ ). Without spot, "unblemished in reputation and in reality" (Vincent).

In the midst of ( $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \sigma v)$. Preposition with genitive.
Crooked ( $\sigma \kappa$ о $\lambda \propto \varsigma$ ). Old word, curved as opposed to op $\theta$ oऽ, straight. See on Ac 2:40 .

Perverse ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle of $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, to distort, to twist, to turn to one side ( $\delta \iota \alpha$, in two). Old word. See Mt 17:17; Ac 13:10 .

Phil 2:16
As lights in the world ( $\omega \varsigma \varphi \omega \sigma \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon v \kappa \sigma \sigma \mu \omega$ ). As luminaries like the heavenly bodies. Christians are the light of the world (Mt 5:14) as they reflect the light from Christ (Joh 1:4; 8:12 ), but here the word is not $\varphi \omega \varsigma$ (light), but $\varphi \omega \sigma \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$ (luminaries, stars). The place for light is the darkness where it is needed.

Holding forth ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \chi \omega$. Probably not connected with the preceding metaphor in $\varphi \omega \sigma \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$. The old meaning of the verb $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \chi \omega$ is to hold forth or to hold out (the word of life as here). The context seems to call for "holding fast." It occurs also with the sense of attending to (Ac 3:5).

That I may have ( $\varepsilon \mu$ o). Ethical dative, "to me as a ground of boasting."
Phil 2:17
And if I am offered ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \pi \varepsilon v \delta o \mu \alpha)$. Though I am poured out as a libation. Old word. In N.T. only here and 2Ti 4:6. Paul pictures his life-blood as being poured upon (uncertain whether heathen or Jewish offerings meant and not important) the sacrifice and service of the faith of the Philippians in mutual service and joy (both $\chi \alpha \iota \rho \omega$ and $\sigma v \chi \chi \iota \rho \omega$ twice in the sentence). Joy is mutual when the service is mutual. Young missionaries offer their lives as a challenge to other Christians to match their money with their blood.

Phil 2:19
That I also may be of good comfort (ıva каү $\varepsilon \cup \psi v \chi \omega)$. Present subjunctive with $\imath v a$ in purpose clause of the late and rare verb $\varepsilon \cup \psi v \chi \varepsilon \omega$, from $\varepsilon \cup \psi \cup \chi \circ \varsigma$ (cheerful, of good spirit). In papyri and $\varepsilon v \psi v \chi \varepsilon$ (be of good cheer) common in sepulchral inscriptions.

When I know ( $\gamma$ vouc). Second aorist active participle of $\gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$.
Phil 2:20
 1:1. Only here in N.T. Likeminded with Timothy, not with Paul.

Truly ( $\gamma \nu \eta \sigma \omega \omega \varsigma)$. "Genuinely." Old adverb, only here in N.T., from $\gamma \vee \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$ (Php 4:3), legitimate birth, not spurious.

Phil 2:21
They all (o тavtec). "The whole of them." Surely Luke was away from Rome at this juncture.

Phil 2:22
The proof ( $\tau \eta \nu \delta$ окцп $\nu$ ). "The test" as of metals (2Co 2:9; 9:13). Three times they had seen Timothy (Ac 16:13; 19:22; 20:3f. ).

With me ( $\sigma \cup \vee \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o}$ ). Paul's delicacy of feeling made him use $\sigma v \vee$ rather than $\varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o}$ alone. Timothy did not serve Paul.


Phil 2:23
So soon as I shall see ( $\omega \varsigma \alpha \nu \alpha \varphi เ \delta \omega$ ). Indefinite temporal clause with $\omega \varsigma \alpha v$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \varphi \rho \rho \alpha \omega$. The oldest MSS. (Aleph A B D) have $\alpha \varphi \iota \delta \omega$ (old aspirated form) rather than $\alpha \pi \iota \delta \omega$.

How it will go with me ( $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). On the force of $\alpha \pi$ o with opac (look away) see Heb 12:2 . "The things concerning me," the outcome of the trial. Cf. 1Co 4:17,19.

Phil 2:24
In the Lord ( $\varepsilon v$ Kvpı $\omega$ ). Not a perfunctory use of this phrase. Paul's whole life is centred in Christ (Ga 2:20).

Phil 2:25
I counted it $(\eta \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \eta \nu)$. Epistolary aorist from the point of view of the readers.
Epaphroditus (E $\pi \alpha \varphi \rho o \delta \iota \tau o v) . ~ C o m m o n ~ n a m e, ~ t h o u g h ~ o n l y ~ i n ~ P h i l i p p i a n s ~ i n ~ N . T ., ~$ contracted into Epaphras, though not the same man as Epaphras in Col 1:7. Note one article $\tau 0 v$ (the) with the three epithets given in an ascending scale (Lightfoot), brother ( $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \rho v$, common sympathy), fellow-worker (ouveppov, common work), fellow-soldier ( $\sigma v v \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \omega \omega \tau \eta \nu$, common danger as in Phm 1:2 ). Mov (my) and $\nu \mu \omega v$ (your) come together in sharp contrast.

Messenger ( $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau о \lambda o v$ ). See 2Co 8:23 for this use of $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau 0 \lambda$ os as messenger (missionary).

Minister ( $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau o u \rho \gamma o v)$. See on Ro 13:6; 15:16 for this ritualistic term.
Phil 2:26
He longed after ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \pi o \theta \omega \nu \eta \nu)$. Periphrastic imperfect of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \pi o \theta \varepsilon \omega$ (Php 1:8), "he was yearning after."

You all ( $\pi \alpha \vee \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ v \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. So again (1:5,7,8).
Was sore troubled ( $\alpha \delta \eta \mu \mathrm{ov} \omega v$ ). Periphrastic imperfect again (repeat $\eta v$ ) of the old word $\alpha \delta \eta \mu \circ v \varepsilon \omega$ either from an unused $\alpha \delta \eta \mu \omega \nu$ ( $\alpha$ privative and $\delta \eta \mu \circ \varsigma$, away from home, homesick) or from $\alpha \delta \eta \mu \omega \nu, \alpha \delta \eta \sigma \alpha$ (discontent, bewilderment). The Vocabulary of Moulton and Milligan gives one papyrus example in line with the latter etymology. See already Mt 26:37; Mr 14:33. In any case the distress of Epaphroditus was greatly increased when he knew that the Philippians (the home-folks) had learned of his illness, "because ye had heard that he was sick" ( $\delta$ וот $\eta \kappa$ коибат $\boldsymbol{\text { oт } \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \eta \sigma \varepsilon ) \text { ) "because ye heard that he fell sick" (ingressive }}$ aorist).

He was sick ( $\eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Ingressive aorist, "he did become sick."
Nigh unto death ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota v \theta \alpha v a \tau \omega$ ). Only example in N.T. of this compound adverbial preposition (from the adjective $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \circ \rho)$ with the dative case.

Phil 2:28
Ye may rejoice ( $\chi \alpha \rho \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist passive subjunctive with iva in final clause of $\chi \propto \iota \rho \omega$, to rejoice.

That I may be the less sorrowful ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \omega \alpha \lambda v \pi о \tau \varepsilon \rho о \varsigma \omega)$. Present subjunctive with $\operatorname{v} \alpha$ and comparative of old compound adjective $\alpha \lambda v \pi \sigma \varsigma$ ( $\alpha$ privative and $\lambda v \pi \eta$, more free from grief). Beautiful expression of Paul's feelings for the Philippians and for Epaphroditus.

Phil 2:29
In honour ( $\varepsilon v \tau \iota \mu \circ v \varsigma)$. Old compound adjective ( $\varepsilon v, \tau \iota \mu)$, prized, precious (Lu 7:2; 14:8; $1 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 4,6$ ). Predicate accusative. Noble plea in behalf of Christ's minister.

Phil 2:30
Hazarding his life ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta о \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma \tau \eta \psi v \chi \eta$ ). First aorist middle participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \circ \lambda \varepsilon v \omega$ (from the adjective $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta o \lambda o \varsigma$ ), to place beside. The old Greek writers used $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda о \mu \alpha$, to expose oneself to danger. But Deissmann (Light from the Ancient East, p. 88) cites an example of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta 0 \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o c$ from an inscription at Olbia or the Black Sea of the second century A.D. where it plainly means "exposing himself to danger" as here. Lightfoot renders it here "having gambled with his life." The word $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta o \lambda \alpha \nu$ (riskers) was applied to the Christians who risked their lives for the dying and the dead.

## Philippians 3

Phil 3:1
Finally ( $\tau \mathrm{\lambda}$ 入ormov). Accusative of general reference, literally, "as for the rest." So again in 4:8. It (or just $\lambda$ ortov) is a common phrase towards the close of Paul's Epistles (2Th 3:1; 2Co 13:11 ). In Eph 6:10 we have tou גoınov (genitive case). But Paul uses the idiom elsewhere also as in 1Co 7:29; 1Th 4:1 before the close of the letter is in sight. It is wholly needless to understand Paul as about to finish and then suddenly changing his mind like some preachers who announce the end a half dozen times.

To write the same things ( $\tau \alpha \alpha \cup \tau \alpha \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon เ v)$ ). Present active articular infinitive, "the going on writing the same things." What things? He has just used $\chi$ aı $\rho \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ (go on rejoicing) again and he will repeat it in $4: 4$. But in verse 2 he uses $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ three times. At any rate Paul, as a true teacher, is not afraid of repetition.

Irksome (oкv $\rho \rho \sigma$ ). Old adjective from oкve $\omega$, to delay, to hesitate. It is not tiresome to me to repeat what is "safe" ( $\alpha \sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \varsigma)$ for you. Old adjective from $\alpha$ privative and $\sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to totter, to reel. See Ac 21:34 .

Phil 3:2
Beware ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Three times for urgency and with different epithet for the Judaizers each time.

The dogs ( $\tau$ оия киvac). The Jews so termed the Gentiles which Jesus uses in a playful $\operatorname{mood}$ (кvvapıoı, little dogs) to the Syro-Phoenician woman (Mt 15:26 ). Paul here turns the phrase on the Judaizers themselves.

The evil workers ( $\tau$ оия какоия $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. He had already called the Judaizers "deceitful workers" ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \tau \alpha$ $\delta$ о $\lambda \iota$ ) in 2Co 11:13.

The concision ( $\tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau о \mu \eta \nu$ ). Late word for incision, mutilation (in contrast with $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau \circ \mu \eta$, circumcision). In Symmachus and an inscription. The verb $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \omega$ is used in the LXX only of mutilations (Le 21:5; 1Ki 18:28).

Phil 3:3
For we ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \gamma \rho$ ). We believers in Christ, the children of Abraham by faith, whether Jew or Gentile, the spiritual circumcision in contrast to the merely physical (Ro 2:25-29; Col 2:11; Eph 2:11). See Ga 5:12 for a $\pi$ ote $\mu \nu \varepsilon เ \nu($ to cut off) in sense of mutilation also.

By the Spirit of God ( $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \theta \varepsilon o v)$. Instrumental case, though the dative case as the object of $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon \cup \omega$ makes good sense also (worshipping the Spirit of God) or even the locative (worshipping in the Spirit of God).

No (ouk). Actual condition rather than $\mu \eta$ with the participle.
In the flesh ( $\varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa)$. Technical term in Paul's controversy with the Judaizers (2Co 11:18; Gal 6:13f. ). External privileges beyond mere flesh.

Phil 3:4

Might have ( $\varepsilon \chi \omega v$ ). Rather, "even though myself having."
Confidence ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \circ \bullet \theta \eta \sigma \iota v$ ). Late word, condemned by the Atticists, from $\pi \varepsilon \pi \sigma \circ \theta \alpha$ (just used). See 2Co 1:15; 3:4.

Phil 3:5
Thinketh to have confidence ( $\delta$ окє $\pi \varepsilon \pi о \circ \theta \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Second perfect active infinitive. Old idiom, "seems to himself to have confidence." Later idiom like Mt 3:9 "think not to say" and 1Co 11:16, "thinks that he has ground of confidence in himself."

I yet more ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda \nu)$. "I have more ground for boasting than he" and Paul proceeds to prove it in the rest of verses 5,6 .

Circumcised the eighth day ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau о \mu \eta$ октап $\mu \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma)$. "In circumcision (locative case) an eighth day man." Use of the ordinal with persons like $\tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \iota \varrho(J o h ~ 11: 39) . ~ I s h m a e l i t e s ~$ were circumcised in the thirteenth year, proselytes from Gentiles in mature age, Jews on the eighth day ( $\mathrm{Lu} 2: 21$ ).

Of the stock of Israel ( $\varepsilon \kappa \gamma^{\varepsilon}$ vouc Iopaŋ $\lambda$ ). Of the original stock, not a proselyte.
Benjamin (Beviauiv). Son of the right hand (that is, left-handed), son of Rachel. The first King, Saul (Paul's own Hebrew name) was from this little tribe. The battle cry of Israel was "After thee, O Benjamin" (Jud 5:14).

A Hebrew of the Hebrews ( $\mathrm{E} \beta \rho \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \xi E \beta \rho \alpha \iota \omega v$ ). Of Hebrew parents who retained the characteristic qualities in language and custom as distinct from the Hellenistic Jews (Ac 6:1 ). Paul was from Tarsus and knew Greek as well as Aramaic (Ac 21:40; 22:2) and Hebrew, but he had not become Hellenized.

A Pharisee (Фарıбаıоऽ). In distinction from the Sadducees (Ga 1:14) and he continued a Pharisee in many essential matters like the doctrine of the resurrection (Ac 23:6). Cf. 2Co 11:22.

Phil 3:6
As touching zeal ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \zeta \eta \lambda \circ \varsigma)$. So the old MSS. treating $\lceil\eta \lambda$ оऽ as neuter, not masculine. He was a zealot against Christianity, "persecuting the church" ( $\delta \iota \omega \kappa \omega \nu \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \omega \alpha v)$. He was the ringleader in the persecution from the death of Stephen till his own conversion (Ac 8:1-9:9).
 knew and practised all the rules of the rabbis. A marvellous record, scoring a hundred in Judaism.

Phil 3:7
Were gain to me ( $\varepsilon v \mu$ о кєр $\delta \eta$ ). "Were gains (plural, see on 1:21) to me (ethical dative)." Paul had natural pride in his Jewish attainments. He was the star of hope for Gamaliel and the Sanhedrin.

Have I counted $(\eta \gamma \eta \mu \alpha)$. Perfect middle indicative, state of completion and still true.

Loss (گఇpuav). Old word for damage, loss. In N.T. only in Phil. and Ac 27:10,21 . Debit side of the ledger, not credit.

Phil 3:8
Yea, verily, and ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ovv $\gamma \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ). Five particles before Paul proceeds (yea, indeed, therefore, at least, even), showing the force and passion of his conviction. He repeats his affirmation with the present middle indicative ( $\eta \gamma \circ \cup \mu \alpha)$, "I still count all things loss for the excellency of the knowledge ( $\tau 0$ v $\pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \chi \circ v$, the surpassingness, neuter articular participle of טпєрєХ $\omega$, Php 2:3 ) of Christ Jesus my Lord."

Dung ( $\sigma \kappa \nu \beta \alpha \lambda \alpha$ ). Late word of uncertain etymology, either connected with $\sigma \kappa \omega \rho$ (dung) or from $\varepsilon \varsigma \kappa \operatorname{vva} \varsigma \beta \lambda \lambda \omega$, to fling to the dogs and so refuse of any kind. It occurs in the papyri. Here only in the N.T.

That I may gain Christ (ıva X $\rho ı \sigma \tau о v ~ к \varepsilon \rho \delta \eta \sigma \omega)$. First aorist active subjunctive of $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \alpha \omega$, Ionic form for $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta a ı v \omega$ with $\tau v a$ in purpose clause. Paul was never satisfied with his knowledge of Christ and always craved more fellowship with him.

Phil 3:9
Be found in him ( $\varepsilon v \rho \varepsilon \theta \omega \varepsilon \vee \alpha \cup \tau \omega)$. First aorist (effective) passive subjunctive with $v v a$ of $\varepsilon v \rho ı \sigma \kappa \omega$. At death (2Co 5:3) or when Christ comes. Cf. 2:8; Ga 2:17 .

Through faith in Christ ( $\delta \iota \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ X $\rho \circ \sigma \tau 0 v$ ). The objective genitive Xpıбтov, not subjective, as in Ga 2:16,20; Ro 3:22. Explained further by $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ (on the basis of faith) as in Ac 3:16.

Phil 3:10
That I may know him ( $\tau \circ v \gamma v \omega v \alpha \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Genitive of the articular second aorist (ingressive) active infinitive (purpose) of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, to have personal acquaintance or experience with. This is Paul's major passion, to get more knowledge of Christ by experience.

The power of his resurrection ( $\tau \eta \nu \delta \nu v \alpha \mu \nu \tau \tau \varsigma \alpha v a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha \nu \tau o v)$. Power (Lightfoot) in the sense of assurance to believers in immortality (1Co 15:14f.; Ro 8:11), in the triumph over $\sin$ (Ro 4:24f. ), in the dignity of the body (1Co 6:13ff.; Php 3:21), in stimulating the moral and spiritual life (Ga 2:20; Ro 6:4f.; Col 2:12; Eph 2:5 ). See Westcott's The Gospel of the Resurrection, ii, 31.

The fellowship of his sufferings ( $\tau \eta v$ кov $\nu \omega v ı \alpha \tau \omega \nu \pi \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Partnership in (objective genitive) his sufferings, an honour prized by Paul (Co 1:24).

Becoming conformed to his death ( $\sigma \nu \mu \mu о р \varphi \downharpoonright \zeta о д \varepsilon о \varsigma \tau \omega$ Өavã $\omega$ avtov). Present passive participle of $\sigma \nu \mu \mu о \rho \varphi \iota \zeta \omega$, late verb from $\sigma \nu \mu \mu о \rho \varphi о \varsigma$, found only here and ecclesiastical writers quoting it. The Latin Vulgate uses configuro. See Ro 6:4 for $\sigma \nu \mu \varphi \nu \tau o$ in like sense and 2Co 4:10. "The agony of Gethsemane, not less than the agony of Calvary, will be reproduced however faintly in the faithful servant of Christ" (Lightfoot). "In this passage we have the deepest secrets of the Apostle's Christian experience unveiled" (Kennedy).

Phil 3:11

If by any means I may attain $(\varepsilon \pi \omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \nu \tau \eta \sigma \omega)$. Not an expression of doubt, but of humility (Vincent), a modest hope (Lightfoot). For $\varepsilon \pi \omega \varsigma$, see Ro $1: 10 ; 11: 14$ where $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \zeta \eta \lambda \omega \sigma \omega$ can be either future indicative or aorist subjunctive like $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \nu \tau \eta \sigma \omega$ here (see subjunctive кат $\alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \omega$ in verse 12), late compound verb катаv $\alpha \alpha \omega$.

Resurrection ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota)$ ). Late word, not in LXX, but in Polybius and one papyrus example. Apparently Paul is thinking here only of the resurrection of believers out from the dead and so double $\varepsilon \xi$ ( $\tau \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \xi \alpha \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \kappa \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega \nu$ ). Paul is not denying a general resurrection by this language, but emphasizing that of believers.

Phil 3:12
Not that (ov $0 \tau$ ). To guard against a misunderstanding as in Joh 6:26; 12:6; 2Co 1:24; Php 4:11,17.

I have already obtained ( $\eta \delta \eta \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o v$ ). Rather, "I did already obtain," constative second aorist active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$, summing up all his previous experiences as a single event.

Or am already made perfect ( $\eta \eta \delta \eta \tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \omega \mu \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative (state of completion) of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \circ \omega$, old verb from $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \circ \varsigma$ and that from $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \sigma \varsigma$ (end). Paul pointedly denies that he has reached a spiritual impasse of non- development. Certainly he knew nothing of so-called sudden absolute perfection by any single experience. Paul has made great progress in Christlikeness, but the goal is still before him, not behind him.

But I press on $(\delta t \omega \kappa \omega \delta \varepsilon)$. He is not discouraged, but encouraged. He keeps up the chase (real idea in $\delta \iota \omega \kappa \omega$, as in 1Co 14:1; Ro 9:30; 1Ti 6:11).

If so be that ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ). "I follow after." The condition (third class, $\varepsilon เ--\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \omega$, second aorist active subjunctive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega)$ is really a sort of purpose clause or aim. There are plenty of examples in the Koine of the use of $\varepsilon$ and the subjunctive as here (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1017), "if I also may lay hold of that for which ( $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} \omega$, purpose expressed by $\varepsilon \pi$ ) I was laid hold of ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \varphi \theta \eta \nu$, first aorist passive of the same verb $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$ ) by Christ Jesus." His conversion was the beginning, not the end of the chase.

Phil 3:13
Not yet (ov $\pi \omega$ ). But some MSS. read ov (not).
To have apprehended ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda \eta \varphi \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Perfect active infinitive of same verb $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \omega$ (perfective use of ката, to grasp completely). Surely denial enough.

But one thing ( $\varepsilon \nu \delta \varepsilon$ ). No verb in the Greek. We can supply $\pi \sigma \omega \omega$ (I do) or $\delta t \omega \kappa \omega$ (I keep on in the chase), but no verb is really needed. "When all is said, the greatest art is to limit and isolate oneself" (Goethe), concentration.

Forgetting the things which are behind ( $\tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$ о $\pi \iota \sigma \omega \varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \alpha v \theta a v o \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma)$. Common verb, usually with the genitive, but the accusative in the Koine is greatly revived with verbs. Paul can mean either his old pre-Christian life, his previous progress as a Christian, or both (all of it).

Stretching forward ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ ข о \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Present direct middle participle of the old double compound $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ v \omega$ (stretching myself out towards). Metaphor of a runner leaning forward as he runs.

Phil 3:14
Toward the goal (ката бколоv). "Down upon the goal," who is Jesus himself to whom we must continually look as we run (Heb 12:2). The word means a watchman, then the goal or mark. Only here in N.T.

Unto the prize ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \beta \rho \alpha \beta \varepsilon เ o v) . ~ L a t e ~ w o r d ~(M e n a n d e r ~ a n d ~ i n s c r i p t i o n s) ~ f r o m ~ \beta \rho \alpha \beta \varepsilon u \varsigma ~$ (umpire who awards the prize). In N.T. only here and 1Co 9:24.

Of the high calling ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha v \omega \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Literally, "of the upward calling." The goal continually moves forward as we press on, but yet never out of sight.

Phil 3:15
As many as be perfect (ooo $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ı$ ). Here the term $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ o ~ m e a n s ~ r e l a t i v e ~ p e r f e c t i o n, ~$ not the absolute perfection so pointedly denied in verse 12. Paul here includes himself in the group of spiritual adults (see He 5:13 ).

Let us be thus minded (точто $\varphi \rho \circ v \omega \mu \varepsilon$ ). Present active volitive subjunctive of $\varphi \rho \circ v \varepsilon \omega$. "Let us keep on thinking this," viz. that we have not yet attained absolute perfection.

If ye are otherwise minded ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega \varsigma \varphi \rho \circ v \varepsilon \tau \tau \varepsilon$ ). Condition of first class, assumed as true. That is, if ye think that ye are absolutely perfect.

Shall God reveal unto you (o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma \nu \mu \nu \nu \alpha \pi \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \psi \varepsilon$ ). He turns such cases over to God. What else can he do with them?

Whereunto we have already come ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma$ o $\varepsilon \varphi \theta \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\varphi \theta \alpha v \omega$, originally to come before as in 1Th 4:15, but usually in the Koine simply to arrive, attain to, as here.

Phil 3:16
By that same rule let us walk ( $\tau \omega$ av $\omega \omega$ б $\tau \circ \succ \varepsilon ı v$ ) Aleph A B do not have кavov (rule). Besides $\sigma$ тotxelv is the absolute present active infinitive which sometimes occurs instead of the principal verb as in Ro 12:15. Paul means simply this that, having come thus far, the thing to do is to go "in the same path" ( $\tau \omega \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ) in which we have been travelling so far. A needed lesson for Christians weary with the monotony of routine in religious life and work.

Phil 3:17
Imitators together of me ( $\sigma v \nu \mu \mu \eta \tau \alpha \mu \circ v$ ). Found only here so far, though Plato uses $\sigma \nu \mu \mu \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \alpha$. "Vie with each other in imitating me" (Lightfoot).

Mark (бколєıєє). Old verb from бкотоৎ (verse 14). "Keep your eyes on me as goal." Mark and follow, not avoid as in Ro 16:17.

An ensample ( $\tau v \pi \frac{1}{}$ ). Originally the impression left by a stroke (Joh 20:25), then a pattern (mould) as here (cf. 1Th 1:7; 1Co 10:6,11; Ro 5:14; 6:17).

Phil 3:18

I told you often (по入入акı $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ v$ ). Imperfect active, repetition in Pauls warnings to them.

Even weeping ( $\kappa \alpha \kappa \lambda \alpha \omega \omega v$ ). Deep emotion as he dictated the letter and recalled these recreant followers of Christ (cf. 2Co 2:4).
 the Judaizers who denied the value of the cross of Christ (Ga 5:11; 6:12,14) or Epicurean antinomians whose loose living gave the lie to the cross of Christ (1Jo 2:4).

Phil 3:19
Whose god is the belly ( ov тo $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma \eta \kappa o \iota \lambda 1 \alpha$ ). The comic poet Eupolis uses the rare word Koı $\lambda \iota \delta \alpha \mu \mu \nu \nu$ for one who makes a god of his belly and Seneca speaks of one who abdomini servit. Sensuality in food, drink, sex then as now mastered some men. These men posed as Christians and gloried in their shame.

Who mind earthly things ( $0 \tau \alpha \varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ ¢povouvte¢). Anacoluthon. The nominative does not refer to $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda_{0}$ at the beginning, but with the accusative tov $\varepsilon x \theta \rho \circ$ о ¢ in between. See Mr 12:40 .

Phil 3:20
Our citizenship ( $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ то $\pi о \lambda_{\imath \tau \varepsilon}(\tau \mu)$ ). Old word from $\pi \iota \lambda \iota \tau \varepsilon v \omega$ (Php 1:27), but only here in N.T. The inscriptions use it either for citizenship or for commonwealth. Paul was proud of his Roman citizenship and found it a protection. The Philippians were also proud of their Roman citizenship. But Christians are citizens of a kingdom not of this world (Joh 18:36 ). Milligan (Vocabulary) doubts if commentators are entitled to translate it here: "We are a colony of heaven," because such a translation reverses the relation between the colony and the mother city. But certainly here Paul's heart is in heaven.

We wait for ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Rare and late double compound (perfective use of prepositions like wait out) which vividly pictures Paul's eagerness for the second coming of Christ as the normal attitude of the Christian colonist whose home is heaven.

Phil 3:21
Shall fashion anew ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Future active indicative of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ for which see 1Co 4:6; 2Co 11:13f. .

Conformed to ( $\sigma \nu \mu \mu \circ \rho \varphi \circ v$ ). For which ( $\sigma \nu \nu, \mu \circ \rho \varphi \eta$ ) see Ro $8: 29$, only N.T. examples. With associative instrumental case. The body of our state of humiliation will be made suitable to associate with the body of Christ's glory (1Co 15:54f. ).

According to the working ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta v \varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ ). "According to the energy." If any one doubts the power of Christ to do this transformation, Paul replies that he has power "even to subject all things unto himself."

## Philippians 4

Phil 4:1
Longed for ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \pi \circ \theta \eta \tau \tau)$. Late and rare verbal adjective (here alone in N.T.) from $\varepsilon \pi \iota \pi о \theta \varepsilon \omega$.

So stand fast (outo $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present active imperative of $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \omega$ (late present from perfect $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \alpha$ from $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu)$. See 1:27. They were tempted to defection. Standing firm is difficult when a panic starts.

Phil 4:2
Euodia (Evo $\delta \iota \alpha v$ ). This name means literally "prosperous journey" ( $\varepsilon v, o \delta o \varsigma)$ ). It occurs in the inscriptions.

Syntyche ( $\Sigma v v \tau u \chi \eta v)$. From $\sigma v v \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha v \omega$, to meet with and so "pleasant acquaintance" or "good-luck." Occurs in the inscriptions and identified with Lydia by some. Klopper suggests that each of these rival women had church assemblies in their homes, one a Jewish-Christian church, the other a Gentile-Christian church. Vincent doubts the great influence of women in Macedonia held by Lightfoot who also suggests that these two were ladies of rank or perhaps deaconesses of the church in Philippi. Schinz suggests that in such a pure church even slight bickerings would make a real disturbance. "It may have been accidental friction between two energetic Christian women" (Kennedy).

Phil 4:3
True yokefellow ( $\gamma \vee \eta \sigma \iota \varepsilon \sigma \cup \vee \zeta \nu \gamma \varepsilon$ ). All sorts of suggestions have been made here, one that it was Lydia who is termed Paul's wife by the word $\sigma u v \zeta v \gamma \varepsilon$. Unfortunately for that view $\gamma \nu \eta \sigma \iota \varepsilon$ is masculine vocative singular. Some have suggested it as a proper name though it is not found in the inscriptions, but the word does occur as an appellative in one. Lightfoot even proposes Epaphroditus, the bearer of the Epistle, certainly a curious turn to take to address him. After all it matters little that we do not know who the peacemaker was.

Help these women ( $\sigma v v \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v o v ~ \alpha v \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma) . ~ P r e s e n t ~ m i d d l e ~ i m p e r a t i v e ~ o f ~ \sigma v v \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, to seize (Mt 26:55), to conceive ( $\operatorname{Lu} 1: 24$ ), then to take hold together with one (associative instrumental case), to help as here ( $\mathrm{Lu} 5: 7$ ). "Take hold with them."

They laboured with me ( $\sigma v \vee \eta \theta \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \mu o$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\sigma \cup v \alpha \theta \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ (for which see 1:27) with associative instrumental case ( $\mu \mathrm{o}$ ).

With Clement also ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha К \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon \nu \tau o \varsigma)$. There is no evidence that he was Clement of Rome as the name is common.

In the book of life ( $\varepsilon \nu \beta \iota \beta \lambda \omega \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma)$. The only instance of this expression in the N.T. outside of the Apocalypse ( $3: 5 ; 13: 8 ; 17: 8$, etc.). Hence real Christians in spite of their bickerings.

Phil 4:4
Again I will say ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \varepsilon \rho \omega$ ). Future active indicative of defective verb $\varepsilon ו \pi \circ v$.

Rejoice ( $\chi \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present active imperative as in 3:1, repeated for emphasis in spite of discouragements. Not in the sense of "Farewell" here.

Phil 4:5
Your forbearance ( $\tau 0 \varepsilon \pi เ \varepsilon เ \kappa \varepsilon \varsigma ~ v \mu \omega v$ ). "Your gentleness," "your sweet reasonableness" (Matthew Arnold), "your moderation." Old adjective ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota, \varepsilon \iota \kappa \circ \varsigma)$ as in Jas 3:17; 1Ti 3:3 . Article and neuter singular here $=\eta \varepsilon \pi \iota \varepsilon \iota \kappa \varepsilon \iota($ Ac 24:4; 2Co 10:1) like to $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o v$ in Ro 2:4

The Lord is at hand (о кирьоя $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \cup \varsigma)$. "The Apostle's watchword" (Lightfoot), as in 1Co 16:22 (Mapav a日a, Aramaic equivalent, Our Lord cometh). Unless, indeed, $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \cup \varsigma$ here means near in space instead of
nigh in time.
Phil 4:6
In nothing be anxious ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \nu \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present imperative in prohibition, "stop being anxious." See $\mu \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \nu \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ in Mt 6:31.

With thanksgiving ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \varepsilon v \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. In all the forms of prayer here named thanksgiving should appear.

Phil 4:7
The peace of God ( $\eta \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \nu \eta$ रov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). See in 2Th 3:16 "the Lord of peace" (o Kvpıos $\tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \nu \eta \varsigma)$ and verse 9 for "the God of peace" (o $\theta \varepsilon \circ \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \nu \eta \varsigma)$.

Shall guard ( $\varphi \rho \circ \rho \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon)$. "Shall garrison," future active indicative of $\varphi \rho o v \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb from $\varphi \rho о \cup \rho о \varsigma ~(\pi \rho о-о \rho о \sigma, \pi \rho о о \rho \alpha \omega$, to see before, to look out). See Ac 9:24; 2Co 11:32 . God's peace as a sentinel mounts guard over our lives as Tennyson so beautifully pictures Love as doing.

Phil 4:8
Finally ( $\tau$ र $\lambda o t \pi o v$ ). See on 3:1.
Whatsoever (ooa). Thus he introduces six adjectives picturing Christian ideals, oldfashioned and familiar words not necessarily from any philosophic list of moral excellencies Stoic or otherwise. Without these no ideals can exist. They are pertinent now when so much filth is flaunted before the world in books, magazines and moving-pictures under the name of realism (the slime of the gutter and the cess-pool).

Honourable $(\sigma \varepsilon \mu v \alpha)$. Old word from $\sigma \varepsilon \beta \omega$, to worship, revere. So revered, venerated (1Ti 3:8).

Pure $(\alpha \gamma \vee \alpha)$. Old word for all sorts of purity. There are clean things, thoughts, words, deeds.

Lovely $(\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varphi i \lambda \eta)$. Old word, here only in N.T., from $\pi \rho o \varsigma$ and $\varphi i \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, pleasing, winsome.

Of good report ( $\varepsilon v \varphi \eta \mu a$. Old word, only here in N.T., from $\varepsilon v$ and $\varphi \eta \mu \eta$, fair-speaking, attractive.

If there be any ( $\varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma)$. Paul changes the construction from ood (whatsoever) to a condition of the first class, as in 2:1, with two substantives.

Virtue ( $\alpha \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta$ ). Old word, possibly from $\alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \omega$, to please, used very often in a variety of senses by the ancients for any mental excellence or moral quality or physical power. Its very vagueness perhaps explains its rarity in the N.T., only four times (Php 4:8; 1Pe 2:9; 2 Pe $1: 3,5$ ). It is common in the papyri, but probably Paul is using it in the sense found in the LXX (Isa 42:12; 43:21) of God's splendour and might (Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 95) in connection with "praise" ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota v o \varsigma)$ as here or even meaning praise.

Think on these things ( $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha \lambda o \gamma \iota \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon)$. Present middle imperative for habit of thought. We are responsible for our thoughts and can hold them to high and holy ideals.

Phil 4:9
In me ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o}$ ). Paul dares to point to his life in Philippi as an illustration of this high thinking. The preacher is the interpreter of the spiritual life and should be an example of it.

These things do ( $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha \pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon)$. Practise as a habit ( $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, not $\pi \circ เ \varepsilon \omega$ ).
Phil 4:10
I rejoice ( $\varepsilon \chi \alpha \rho \eta v$ ). Second aorist passive indicative of $\chi \alpha \iota \rho \omega$, a timeless aorist. I did rejoice, I do rejoice.

Greatly ( $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma$ ). Old adverb, only here in N.T., from $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \varsigma$ (great).
Now at length ( $\eta \delta \eta \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). In N.T. only here and Ro 1:10. Потє is indefinite past (interval), $\eta \delta \eta$ immediate present.

Yehave revived ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \theta a \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist active indicative of old poetic word (Homer), $\operatorname{av} \alpha \theta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to sprout again, to shoot up, to blossom again. So in the LXX five times, though rare and literary word.

Your thought for me ( $\tau 0 \quad v \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \mu \circ v \varphi \rho \circ v \varepsilon เ v$ ). Accusative case of the articular present active infinitive the object of $\alpha v \varepsilon \theta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ used transitively. "You caused your thinking of me to bloom afresh."

Wherein $\left(\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} \omega\right)$. "In which," "upon which" (locative case). A loose reference to Paul's interests as involved in their thinking of him.

Ye did indeed take thought ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \varphi \rho о v \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active, "ye were also (or had been also) thinking."

Ye lacked opportunity ( $\eta \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect middle of акаьрєоца, late and rare word, here only in N.T., from акаıроৎ ( $\alpha$ privative, каı $\rho \varsigma$ ), not to have a chance, the opposite of عuкаıрє (Mr 6:31).

Phil 4:11
In respect of want ( $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} v \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \eta \sigma \tau v$ ). Late and rare word from vote $\rho \varepsilon \omega$, to be behind or too late, only here and Mr 12:44 in N.T.

I have learned ( $\varepsilon \mu \alpha \theta o v$ ). Simply, "I did learn" (constative second aorist active indicative of $\mu \alpha v \theta \alpha v \omega$, to learn, looking at his long experience as a unit.

In whatsoever state I am ( $\varepsilon v$ oıc $\varepsilon \mu$ ). "In what things (circumstances) I am."
To be content ( $\alpha \cup \tau \alpha \rho \kappa \eta \varsigma \varepsilon ı v \alpha$ ). Predicate nominative with the infinitive of the old adjective avtapкŋऽ (from avtoc and apк $\varepsilon \omega$, to be self-sufficient), self-sufficing. Favourite word with the Stoics, only here in N.T., though autapкعıa occurs in 2Co 9:8; 1Ti 6:6 . Paul is contented with his lot and he learned that lesson long ago. Socrates said as to who is wealthiest: "He that is content with least, for autapк\&ıa is nature's wealth."

Phil 4:12
I know how (oi $\delta \alpha$ ). Followed by the infinitive oi $\delta \alpha$ has this sense. So here twice, with $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ v o v \sigma \theta a$, to be humbled, from $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ v o \varsigma$, and with $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon ı v$, to overflow.

Have I learned the secret ( $\mu \varepsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu \alpha)$. Perfect passive indicative of $\mu \nu \varepsilon \omega$, old and common word from $\mu \nu \omega$, to close (Latin mutus), and so to initiate with secret rites, here only in N.T. The common word $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ \nu$ (mystery) is from $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma$ (one initiated) and this from $\mu \nu \varepsilon \omega$, to initiate, to instruct in secrets. Paul draws this metaphor from the initiatory rites of the pagan mystery-religions.

To be filled ( $\chi о \rho \tau \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Old verb from $\chi$ ортоৎ (grass, hay) and so to fatten like an animal.

To be hungry ( $\pi \varepsilon เ v a ı v$ ). Old verb from $\pi \varepsilon เ v a$ (hunger) and kin to $\pi \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma$, poor man who has to work for his living ( $\pi \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha$ ).

Phil 4:13
I can do all things ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \chi \cup \omega$ ). Old verb to have strength ( $\iota \sigma \chi \cup \varsigma)$.
In him that strengtheneth me ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \varepsilon v \delta v v a \mu o v \nu \tau \mu \varepsilon$ ). Late and rare verb (in LXX) from adjective $\varepsilon v \delta \nu v a \mu o \varsigma(\varepsilon v, \delta v v a \mu \varsigma)$. Causative verb to empower, to pour power into one. See same phrase in 1Ti 1:12 $\tau \omega \varepsilon v \delta \nu v a \mu \omega \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \mu \varepsilon$ (aorist tense here). Paul has such strength so long as Jesus keeps on putting power ( $\delta v v a \mu \varsigma)$ into him.

Phil 4:14
That ye had fellowship ( $\sigma 0 v \kappa o t v \omega v \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle (simultaneous action with the principal verb $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \sigma ו \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon)$. "Ye did well contributing for my affliction."

Phil 4:15
In the beginning of the gospel ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \rho \chi \eta$ $\tau o v \varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda เ o v$ ). After he had wrought in Philippi (2Th 2:13).

Had fellowship ( $\varepsilon \kappa о เ v \omega v \eta \sigma \varepsilon v)$ ) "Had partnership" (first aorist active indicative).
In the matter ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \lambda o \gamma o v)$ ). "As to an account." No other church opened an account with Paul.

Of giving and receiving ( $\delta o \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \eta \mu \psi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Credit and debit. A mercantile metaphor repeated in verse 17 by $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \lambda o \gamma o v \nu \mu \omega v$ (to your account). Paul had to keep books then with no other church, though later Thessalonica and Beroea joined Philippi in support of Paul's work in Corinth (2Co 11:8f.).

But ye only ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta \nu \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \mu \circ v o$ ). Not even Antioch contributed anything but good wishes and prayers for Paul's work (Ac 13:1-3 ).

Phil 4:16
Once and again ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \pi \alpha \xi \kappa \alpha \delta ı \varsigma)$. "Both once and twice" they did it "even in Thessalonica" and so before Paul went to Corinth." See the same Greek idiom in 1Th 2:18 .

Phil 4:17
I seek for $(\varepsilon \pi\llcorner\zeta \eta \tau \omega)$. Old verb, in N.T. only here and Ro 11:7 (linear present, I am seeking for). Lightfoot calls it "the Apostle's nervous anxiety to clear himself" of wanting more gifts. Why not say his delicate courtesy?

Phil 4:18
I have all things ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \chi \omega \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ). As a receipt in full in appreciation of their kindness. $A \pi \varepsilon \chi \omega$ is common in the papyri and the ostraca for "receipt in full" (Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 110). See Mt 6:2,5,16.

I am filled $(\pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha)$. Perfect passive indicative of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$. "Classical Greek would hardly use the word in this personal sense" (Kennedy).

An odour of a sweet smell ( $\circ \sigma \mu \eta \nu \varepsilon v \omega \delta i a \varsigma)$. O $\sigma \mu \eta$, old word from $o \zeta \omega$, to smell. Ev $\omega \delta \iota \alpha$, old word from $\varepsilon v$ and $o \zeta \omega$. In Eph 5:2 both words come together as here and in 2Co 2:15 we have $\varepsilon v \omega \delta$ ia (only other N.T. example) and in verse 2Co 2:16 oб $\mu \eta$ twice. Ev $\omega \delta \iota a c$ here is genitive of quality.

Sacrifice ( $\theta$ voiav). Not the act, but the offering as in Ro 12:1 .
Well-pleasing (evapétov). As in Ro 12:1.
Phil 4:19
According to his riches in glory (ката тo $\pi \lambda$ оитос $\alpha u \tau o v ~ \varepsilon v ~ \delta о \xi \eta$ ). God has an abundant treasure in glory and will repay the Philippians for what they have done for Paul. The spiritual reward is what spurs men into the ministry and holds them to it.

Phil 4:20
The glory ( $\eta \delta o \xi \alpha$ ). "The doxology flows out of the joy of the whole epistle" (Bengel).
Phil 4:21
They that are of Caesar's household (о єк тŋऽ Каıбароц оккıяৎ). Not members of the imperial family, but some connected with the imperial establishment. The term can apply to slaves and freedmen and even to the highest functionaries. Christianity has begun to undermine the throne of the Caesars. Some day a Christian will sit on this throne. The gospel works upward from the lower classes. It was so at Corinth and in Rome. It is true today. It is doubtful if Nero had yet heard of Paul for his case may have been dismissed by lapse of time. But this obscure prisoner who has planted the gospel in Caesar's household has won more eternal fame and power than all the Caesars combined. Nero will commit suicide shortly after Paul has been executed. Nero's star went down and Paul's rose and rises still.

## THE EPISTLE TO THE COLOSSIANS

FROM ROME A.D. 63

## BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

GENUINENESS
The author claims to be Paul (Col 1:1) and there is no real doubt about it in spite of Baur's denial of the Pauline authorship which did not suit his Tendenz theory of the New Testament books. There is every mark of Paul's style and power in the little Epistle and there is no evidence that any one else took Paul's name to palm off this striking and vigorous polemic.

THE DATE
Clearly it was sent at the same time with the Epistle to Philemon and the one to the Ephesians since Tychicus the bearer of the letter to Ephesus (Eph 6:21f. ) and the one to Colossae (Col 4:7f. ) was a companion of Onesimus (Col 4:9) the bearer of that to Philemon (Phm 1:10-12 ). If Paul is a prisoner (Col 4:3; Eph 6:20; Phm 1:9) in Rome, as most scholars hold, and not in Ephesus as Deissmann and Duncan argue, the probable date would be A.D. 63. I still believe that Paul is in Rome when he sends out these epistles. If so, the time would be after the arrival in Rome from Jerusalem as told in Ac 28 and before the burning of Rome by Nero in A.D. 64. If Philippians was already sent, A.D. 63 marks the last probable year for the writing of this group of letters.

THE OCCASION
The Epistle itself gives it as being due to the arrival of Epaphras from Colossae (Col 1:7-9; 4:12f. ). He is probably one of Paul's converts while in Ephesus who in behalf of Paul (Col 1:7) evangelized the Lycus Valley (Colossae, Hierapolis, Laodicea) where Paul had never been himself (Col 2:1; 4:13-16 ). Since Paul's departure for Rome, the "grievous wolves" whom he foresaw in Miletus (Ac 20:29f. ) had descended upon these churches and were playing havoc with many and leading them astray much as new cults today mislead the unwary. These men were later called Gnostics (see Ignatius) and had a subtle appeal that was not easy to withstand. The air was full of the mystery cults like the Eleusinian mysteries, Mithraism, the vogue of Isis, what not. These new teachers professed new thought with a world-view that sought to explain everything on the assumption that matter was essentially evil and that the good God could only touch evil matter by means of a series of aeons or emanations so far removed from him as to prevent contamination by God and yet with enough power to create evil matter. This jejune theory satisfied many just as today some are content to deny the existence of sin, disease, death in spite of the evidence of the senses to the contrary. In his perplexity Epaphras journeyed all the way to Rome to obtain Paul's help.

## PURPOSE OF THE EPISTLE

Epaphras did not come in vain, for Paul was tremendously stirred by the peril to Christianity from the Gnostics (o $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau$ ткo, the knowing ones). He had won his fight for freedom in Christ against the Judaizers who tried to fasten Jewish sacramentarianism upon spiritual Christianity. Now there is an equal danger of the dissipation of vital Christianity in philosophic speculation. In particular, the peril was keen concerning the Person of Christ when the Gnostics embraced Christianity and applied their theory of the universe to him. They split into factions on the subject of Christ. The Docetic (from $\delta$ oкع $\omega$, to seem) Gnostics held that Jesus did not have a real human body, but only a phantom body. He was, in fact, an aeon and had no real humanity. The Cerinthian (followers of Cerinthus) Gnostics admitted the humanity of the man Jesus, but claimed that the Christ was an aeon that came on Jesus at his baptism in the form of a dove and left him on the Cross so that only the man Jesus died. At once this heresy sharpened the issue concerning the Person of Christ already set forth in Php 2:5-11. Paul met the issue squarely and powerfully portrayed his full-length portrait of Jesus Christ as the Son of God and the Son of Man (both deity and humanity) in opposition to both types of Gnostics. So then Colossians seems written expressly for our own day when so many are trying to rob Jesus Christ of his deity. The Gnostics took varying views of moral issues also as men do now. There were the ascetics with rigorous rules and the licentious element that let down all the bars for the flesh while the spirit communed with God. One cannot understand Colossians without some knowledge of Gnosticism such as may be obtained in such books as Angus's The Mystery-Religions and Christianity, Glover's The Conflict of Religion in the Early Roman Empire, Kennedy's St. Paul and the MysteryReligions, Lightfoot's Commentary on Colossians.

## SOME BOOKS ABOUT COLOSSIANS

One may note commentaries by T. K. Abbott (Int. Crit. 1897), Gross Alexander (1910), Dargan (1887), Dibelius (1912), Ellicott (1890), Ewald (1905), Griffith-Thomas (1923), Findlay (1895), Haupt (1903), M. Jones (1923), Lightfoot (1904), Maclaren (1888), Meinertz (1917), Moule (1900), Mullins (1913), Oltramare (1891), Peake (1903), Radford (1931), A. T. Robertson (1926), Rutherford (1908), E. F. Scott (1930), Von Soden (1893), F. B. Westcott (1914), Williams (1907).

## Colossians 1

Col 1：1
Of Christ Jesus（Xpıбтov In $\sigma o v$ ）．This order in the later epistles shows that Xpıбтoc is now regarded as a proper name and not just a verbal adjective（Anointed One，Messiah）． Paul describes himself because he is unknown to the Colossians，not because of attack as in Ga 1：1 ．

Timothy（T $\mu \mathrm{\mu} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ¢）．Mentioned as in I and II Thess．when in Corinth，II Cor．when in Macedonia，Phil．and Philemon when in Rome as here．

Col 1：2
At Colossae（ $\varepsilon v$ Ko入ooraıs）．The spelling is uncertain，the MSS．differing in the title （Ko入aббası¢）and here（Ko入oббaıৎ）．Colossae was a city of Phrygia on the Lycus，the tribu－ taries of which brought a calcareous deposit of a peculiar kind that choked up the streams and made arches and fantastic grottoes．In spite of this there was much fertility in the valley with two other prosperous cities some ten or twelve miles away（Hierapolis and Laodicea）． ＂The church at Colossae was the least important of any to which Paul＇s epistles were ad－ dressed＂（Vincent）．But he had no greater message for any church than he here gives con－ cerning the Person of Christ．There is no more important message today for modern men．

Col 1：3
God the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ（ $\tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega \pi \alpha \tau \rho$ tov кטрıv $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ Xpıбтov）．Correct text without ка（and）as in 3：17，though usually＂the God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ＂（2Co 1：3；11：31；Ro 15：6；1Pe 1：3；Re 1：6 ）．In verse 2 we have the only instance in the opening benediction of an epistle when the name of＂Jesus Christ＂is not joined with＂God our Father．＂

Always（ $\pi \alpha v \tau о \tau \varepsilon)$ ．Amphibolous position between $\varepsilon \quad \chi \chi \alpha \rho \tau \tau o v \mu \varepsilon v$（we give thanks） and $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon u \chi o \mu \varepsilon v o$（praying）．Can go with either．

Col 1：4
Having heard of（aкovøavtє¢）．Literary plural unless Timothy is included．Aorist active participle of $\alpha \kappa о \omega \omega$ of antecedent action to $\varepsilon v \chi \alpha \rho ı \sigma \tau о \nu \mu \varepsilon v$ ．Epaphras（verse 8）had told Paul．

Your faith in Jesus Christ（ $\tau \eta v \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota v \mu \omega \nu \varepsilon v$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ ）．See Eph 1：15 for similar phrase．No article is needed before $\varepsilon v$ as it is a closely knit phrase and bears the same sense as the objective genitive in Ga 2：16（ $\delta ı \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ Xpıбтov I $\eta \sigma o v$, by faith in Christ Jesus）．

Which ye have（ $\eta \vee \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ）．Probably genuine（Aleph A C D），though B omits it and others have the article（ $\tau \eta v$ ）．There is a real distinction here between $\varepsilon v$（sphere or basis） and $\varepsilon ı \varsigma$（direction towards），though they are often identical in idea．

Col 1：5
Because of the hope（ $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \lambda \tau \iota \delta \alpha$ ）．See Ro 8：24．It is not clear whether this phrase is to be linked with $\varepsilon v \chi \alpha$ เбтov $\mu \varepsilon v$ at the beginning of verse 3 or（more likely）with $\tau \eta \nu$
$\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta v$ just before. Note also here $\pi \iota \sigma \tau ı \varsigma$ (faith), $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta($ love), $\varepsilon \lambda \pi ı \varsigma$ (hope), though not grouped together so sharply as in 1Co 13:13. Here hope is objective, the goal ahead.

Laid up ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon เ \nu \eta \nu)$. Literally, "laid away or by." Old word used in Lu 19:20 of the pound laid away in a napkin. See also $\alpha \pi \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v \rho!\zeta \omega$, to store away for future use (1Ti 6:19 ). The same idea occurs in Mt 6:20 (treasure in heaven) and 1Pe 1:4 and it is involved in Phm 3:20.

Ye heard before ( $\pi \rho о \eta \kappa о v \sigma a \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist indicative active of this old compound $\pi \rho о \alpha \kappa о v \omega$, though only here in the N.T. Before what? Before Paul wrote? Before the realization? Before the error of the Gnostics crept in? Each view is possible and has advocates. Lightfoot argues for the last and it is probably correct as is indicated by the next clause.

In the word of the truth of the gospel ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \lambda o \gamma \omega \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon i \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ \varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \_\circ v$ ). "In the preaching of the truth of the gospel" ( $\mathrm{Ga} 2: 5,14$ ) which is come ( $\pi \alpha \rho o v \tau o \varsigma$, present active participle agreeing with $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota} \circ v$, being present, a classical use of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ı \mu$ as in Ac 12:20 ). They heard the pure gospel from Epaphras before the Gnostics came.

Col 1:6
In all the world ( $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha \nu \tau \tau \omega \kappa о \sigma \mu \omega)$. A legitimate hyperbole, for the gospel was spreading all over the Roman Empire.

Is bearing fruit ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v ~ к а \rho \pi о ч о \rho о и \mu \varepsilon v o v) . ~ P e r i p h r a s t i c ~ p r e s e n t ~ m i d d l e ~ i n d i c a t i v e ~ o f ~$ the old compound карточорєь, from карточороя (Ас 14:17) and that from карлоц and $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$. The periphrastic present emphasizes the continuity of the process. See the active participle карточороиขтєऽ in verse 10.

Increasing ( $\alpha v \xi \alpha v o \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. Periphrastic present middle of $\alpha v \xi \alpha v \omega$. Repeated in verse 10. The growing and the fruit-bearing go on simultaneously as always with Christians (inward growth and outward expression).

Ye heard and knew ( $\eta \kappa о \nu \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \gamma v \omega \tau \varepsilon$ ). Definite aorist indicative. They heard the gospel from Epaphras and at once recognized and accepted (ingressive second aorist active of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, to know fully or in addition). They fully apprehended the grace of God and should be immune to the shallow vagaries of the Gnostics.

Col 1:7
Of Epaphras ( $\alpha \pi$ Е Е $\pi \alpha \varphi \rho \alpha$ ). "From Epaphras" who is the source of their knowledge of Christ.

On our behalf ( $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Clearly correct (Aleph A B D) and not $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \nu \mu \omega v$ (on your behalf). In a true sense Epaphras was Paul's messenger to Colossae.

Col 1:8
Who also declared (о ка $\delta \eta \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ ). Articular first aorist active participle of $\delta \eta \lambda o \omega$, old verb, to make manifest. Epaphras told Paul about their "love in the Spirit," grounded in the Holy Spirit.

Col 1:9

That ye may be filled with (iva $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist (effective) passive subjunctive of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$, to fill full.

The knowledge of his will ( $\tau \eta v \varepsilon \pi \tau \gamma v \omega \sigma \iota v$ tov $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma \alpha v \tau o v)$. The accusative case is retained with this passive verb. Etı $\gamma \boldsymbol{v} \sigma \iota$ is a Koine word (Polybius, Plutarch, etc.) for additional $(\varepsilon \pi)$ or full knowledge. The word is the keynote of Paul's reply to the conceit of Gnosticism. The cure for these intellectual upstarts is not ignorance, not obscurantism, but more knowledge of the will of God.

In all spiritual wisdom and understanding ( $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha \sigma \eta ~ \sigma о \varphi เ \propto ~ \kappa \alpha ~ \sigma v v \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon ~ \pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau \kappa \kappa \eta$ ). Both $\pi \alpha \sigma \varepsilon$ (all) and $\pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ (spiritual) are to be taken with both $\sigma о \varphi \iota \alpha$ and $\sigma u v \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon$. In Eph 1:8 Paul uses $\varphi \rho \circ \vee \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ (from $\varphi \rho \eta \nu$, intellect) rather than $\sigma u v \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon$ (grasp, from $\sigma u v ı \eta \mu$, to send together). $\Sigma u v \varepsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma$ is the faculty of deciding in particular cases while $\sigma 0 \varphi \iota \alpha$ gives the general principles (Abbott). Paul faces Gnosticism with full front and wishes the freest use of all one's intellectual powers in interpreting Christianity. The preacher ought to be the greatest man in the world for he has to deal with the greatest problems of life and death.

Col 1:10
To walk worthily of the Lord ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \alpha \xi \iota \omega \varsigma$ tov Kvpıov). This aorist active infinitive may express purpose or result. Certainly this result is the aim of the right knowledge of God. "The end of all knowledge is conduct" (Lightfoot). See 1Th 2:12; Php 1:27; Eph 4:1 for a like use of $\alpha \xi \omega \varsigma$ (adverb) with the genitive.

In the knowledge of God ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma v \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Instrumental case, "by means of the full knowledge of God." This is the way for fruit-bearing and growth to come. Note both participles (карточороиvтєऽ ка $\alpha \cup \xi \alpha v о \mu \varepsilon v o$ ) together as in verse 6.

Unto all pleasing ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha v ~ \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \kappa ı \alpha v)$. In order to please God in all things (1Th 4:1 ). Apعбкıa is late word from $\alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v \omega$, to be complaisant (Polybius, Plutarch) and usually in bad sense (obsequiousness). Only here in N.T., but in good sense. It occurs in the good sense in the papyri and inscriptions.

Col 1:11
Strengthened ( $\delta v v a \mu o v \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present passive participle of late verb $\delta v v \alpha \mu o \omega$ (from $\delta \cup v a \mu \varsigma)$, to empower, "empowered with all power." In LXX and papyri and modern Greek. In N.T. only here and Heb 11:34 and MSS. in Eph 6:10 (W H in margin).
 word for perfect strength (cf. кратє $\omega$, кратı入oৎ). In N.T. it is applied only to God. Here his might is accompanied by glory (Shekinah).
 together also in Jas 5:10f.; 2Co 6:4,6; 2Ti 3:10 . Hи difficulties without succumbing, while $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta \nu \mu \mu$ is the long endurance that does not retaliate (Trench).

Col 1:12

Who made us meet ( $\tau \omega$ เкаv $\omega \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). Or "you" ( $\nu \mu \propto \varsigma)$. Dative case of the articular participle of ıкаvош, late verb from ıкаvоৎ and in N.T. only here and 2Co 3:6 (which see), "who made us fit or adequate for."

To be partakers ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \iota \delta \alpha$ ). "For a share in." Old word for share or portion (from $\mu \varepsilon \rho о \varsigma)$ as in Ac 8:21; 16:12; 2Co 6:15 (the only other N.T. examples).

Of the inheritance ( $\tau \circ \boldsymbol{\kappa} \lambda \lambda \rho \circ$ ). "Of the lot," "for a share of the lot." Old word. First a pebble or piece of wood used in casting lots (Ac 1:26), then the allotted portion or inheritance as here (Ac 8:21 ). Cf. Heb 3:7-4:11 .

In light ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \varphi \omega \tau$ ). Taken with $\mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \delta \alpha$ (portion) "situated in the kingdom of light" (Lightfoot).

Col 1:13
Delivered ( $\varepsilon \rho v \sigma \alpha \tau o)$. First aorist middle indicative of $\rho v o \mu \alpha$, old verb, to rescue. This appositional relative clause further describes God the Father's redemptive work and marks the transition to the wonderful picture of the person and work of Christ in nature and grace in verses 14-20, a full and final answer to the Gnostic depreciation of Jesus Christ by speculative philosophy and to all modern efforts after a "reduced" picture of Christ. God rescued us out from ( $\varepsilon \kappa)$ the power ( $\varepsilon \xi$ оибıа¢) of the kingdom of darkness (бкотоия) in which we were held as slaves.

Translated ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\mu \varepsilon \theta_{\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \text { and transitive (not }}$ intransitive like second aorist $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta)$. Old word. See 1Co 13:2. Changed us from the kingdom of darkness to the kingdom of light.
 ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \varsigma$ ), the Son who is the object of the Father's love like $\alpha \gamma a \pi \eta \tau$ ¢ (beloved) in Mt 3:17 . Others would take it as describing love as the origin of the Son which is true, but hardly pertinent here. But Paul here rules out the whole system of aeons and angels that the Gnostics placed above Christ. It is Christ's Kingdom in which he is King. He has moral and spiritual sovereignty.

Col 1:14
In whom $(\varepsilon v \omega)$. In Christ as in Eph 1:7. This great sentence about Christ carries on by means of three relatives ( $\varepsilon v \omega 14$, oऽ $15, ~ o \varsigma 18$ ) and repeated personal pronoun (avtoऽ), twice with ot ( 15,19 ), thrice with $\kappa \alpha(17,18,20)$, twice alone ( 16,20 ).

Our redemption ( $\tau \eta \nu \alpha \pi o \lambda \nu \tau \rho \omega \sigma \tau v$ ). See on Ro 3:24 for this great word (Koine), a release on payment of a ransom for slave or debtor (Heb 9:15) as the inscriptions show (Deissmann, Light, etc., p. 327).

The forgiveness of our sins ( $\tau \eta \nu \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \iota \nu \tau \omega \nu \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \omega v$ ). Accusative case in apposition with $\alpha \pi о \lambda \nu \tau \rho \omega \sigma \iota v$ as in Eph 1:7 ( remission, sending away, $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \iota$, after the
redemption $\alpha \pi о \lambda \nu \tau \rho \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma$, buying back). Only here we have a $\alpha \alpha \rho \tau \iota \omega v$ (sins, from $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v \omega$, to miss) while in Eph 1:7 we find $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ (slips, fallings aside, from $\pi а \rho а \pi ı \pi \tau \omega)$.

Col 1:15
The image ( $\varepsilon ו \kappa \omega v$ ). In predicate and no article. On $\varepsilon ו \kappa \omega v$, see 2Co 4:4; 3:18; Ro 8:29; Col 3:10. Jesus is the very stamp of God the Father as he was before the Incarnation (Joh 17:5) and is now (Php 2:5-11; Heb 1:3).

Of the invisible God ( $\tau 0 v$ Өrov tov aopatov). But the one who sees Jesus has seen God (Joh 14:9). See this verbal adjective ( $\alpha$ privative and opa $\omega$ ) in Ro 1:20 .

The first born ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau о \tau о к о \varsigma) . ~ P r e d i c a t e ~ a d j e c t i v e ~ a g a i n ~ a n d ~ a n a r t h r o u s . ~ T h i s ~ p a s s a g e ~$ is parallel to the תoyos passage in Joh 1:1-18 and to Heb 1:1-4 as well as Php 2:5-11 in which these three writers (John, author of Hebrews, Paul) give the high conception of the Person of Christ (both Son of God and Son of Man) found also in the Synoptic Gospels and even in Q (the Father, the Son). This word (LXX and N.T.) can no longer be considered purely "Biblical" (Thayer), since it is found In inscriptions (Deissmann, Light, etc., p. 91) and in the papyri (Moulton and Milligan, Vocabulary, etc.). See it already in Lu 2:7 and Aleph for Mt 1:25; Ro 8:29. The use of this word does not show what Arius argued that Paul regarded Christ as a creature like "all creation" ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \eta \varsigma \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$, by metonomy the act regarded as result). It is rather the comparative (superlative) force of $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ os that is used (first-born of all creation) as in Col 1:18; Ro 8:29; Heb 1:6; 12:23; Re 1:5. Paul is here refuting the Gnostics who pictured Christ as one of the aeons by placing him before "all creation" (angels and men). Like $\varepsilon ו \kappa \omega \nu$ we find $\pi \rho \omega$ тотокоц in the Alexandrian vocabulary of the $\Lambda$ оүоऽ teaching (Philo) as well as in the LXX. Paul takes both words to help express the deity of Jesus Christ in his relation to the Father as $\varepsilon ו \kappa \omega \nu$ (Image) and to the universe as $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ тотокоя (Firstborn).

Col 1:16
All things ( $\tau \alpha \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha$ ). The universe as in Ro 11:35, a well-known philosophical phrase. It is repeated at the end of the verse.

In him were created ( $\varepsilon v a \cup \tau \omega$ єктıoӨๆ). Paul now gives the reason (o $\tau$, for) for the primacy of Christ in the work of creation (16f. ). It is the constative aorist passive indicative $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta$ (from к兀ı亡 $\omega$, old verb, to found, to create (Ro 1:25). This central activity of Christ in the work of creation is presented also in Joh 1:3; Heb 1:2 and is a complete denial of the Gnostic philosophy. The whole of creative activity is summed up in Christ including the angels in heaven and everything on earth. God wrought through "the Son of his love." All earthly dignities are included.

Have been created ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \tau \alpha)$. Perfect passive indicative of $\kappa \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, "stand created," "remain created." The permanence of the universe rests, then, on Christ far more than on gravity. It is a Christo-centric universe.

Through him ( $\delta \delta^{\prime}$ avtov). As the intermediate and sustaining agent. He had already used $\varepsilon v a \cup \tau \omega$ (in him) as the sphere of activity.

And unto him ( $\kappa \alpha$ عıৎ avtov). This is the only remaining step to take and Paul takes it (1Co 15:28) See Eph 1:10 for similar use of $\varepsilon v$ avt $\omega$ of Christ and in Col 1:19; 20 again we
 and $\delta^{\prime}$ ov (by means of whom) applied to God concerning the universe ( $\tau \alpha \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha$ ). In Ro
 not use $\varepsilon \xi$ in this connection of Christ, but only $\varepsilon v, \delta \iota a$, and $\varepsilon ı$. See the same distinction preserved in 1Co 8:6 ( $\varepsilon \xi$ of God, $\delta \iota \alpha$, of Christ).

Col 1:17
Before all things ( $\pi \rho \circ \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Прo with the ablative case. This phrase makes Paul's meaning plain. The precedence of Christ in time and the preeminence as Creator are both stated sharply. See the claim of Jesus to eternal timeless existence in Joh 8:58; 17:5 . See also Re 23:13 where Christ calls himself the Alpha and the Omega, the Beginning ( $\alpha \rho \chi \eta$ ) and the End ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda$ oc). Paul states it also in 2Co 8:9; Php 2:6f .

Consist ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative (intransitive) of $\sigma v v i \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, old verb, to place together and here to cohere, to hold together. The word repeats the statements in verse 16 , especially that in the form $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$. Christ is the controlling and unifying force in nature. The Gnostic philosophy that matter is evil and was created by a remote aeon is thus swept away. The Son of God's love is the Creator and the Sustainer of the universe which is not evil.

Col 1:18
The head of the body ( $\eta \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta$ тov $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma)$. Jesus is first also in the spiritual realm as he is in nature (verses 18-20). Paul is fond of the metaphor of the body ( $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ ) for believers of which body Christ is the head ( $\kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta$ ) as seen already in 1Co 11:3; 12:12,27; Ro 12:5 . See further Col 1:24: 2:19; Eph 1:22f.; 4:2,15; 5:30 .

The church ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \varsigma)$ Genitive case in explanatory apposition with $\tau 0 v \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma$. This is the general sense of $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$, not of a local body, assembly, or organization. Here the contrast is between the realm of nature ( $\tau \alpha \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha$ ) in verses 15-17 and the realm of spirit or grace in verses 18-20. A like general sense of $\varepsilon к к \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ occurs in Eph 1:22f.; 5:24-32; Heb 12:23 . In Eph 2:11-22 Paul uses various figures for the kingdom of Christ (commonwealth $\pi$ то $\lambda \iota \varepsilon \iota \alpha$, verse 12 , one new man $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \varepsilon v \alpha$ кaıvov $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$, verse 15 , one body $\varepsilon v \varepsilon v$ $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau$, verse 16 , family of God oıкєเо тои $\theta \varepsilon o v$, verse 19 , building or temple оькобо $\mu$ and vaoc, verses 20-22).

Who (oc). Causal use of the relative, "in that he is."
The beginning ( $\eta \alpha \rho \chi \eta$ ). It is uncertain if the article $(\eta)$ is genuine. It is absolute without it. Christ has priority in time and in power. See Re 3:14 for his relation as $\alpha \rho \chi \eta$ to creation and 1Co 15:20,23 for $\alpha \pi \alpha \rho \chi \eta$ used of Christ and the resurrection and Ac 3:14 for $\alpha \rho \chi \eta \gamma \circ \varsigma$
used of him as the author of life and Heb 2:10 of Jesus and salvation and Heb 12-2 of Jesus as the pioneer of faith.

That in all things he might have the preeminence (iva $\gamma \varepsilon v \eta \tau \alpha \varepsilon v \pi \alpha \sigma v \alpha \sim \tau o s$ $\pi \rho \omega \tau \varepsilon v \omega v)$. Purpose clause with $\tau \nu \alpha$ and the second aorist middle subjunctive of $\gamma เ v o \mu \alpha$, "that he himself in all things (material and spiritual) may come to ( $\gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \tau \alpha$, not $\eta$, be) hold the first place" ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu$, present active participle of $\pi \rho \omega \tau \varepsilon v \omega$, old verb, to hold the first place, here only in the N.T.). Christ is first with Paul in time and in rank. See Re 1:5 for this same use of $\pi \rho \omega \tau о \tau о к о \varsigma$ with $\tau \omega \nu \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega \nu$ (the dead).

Col 1:19
For it was the good pleasure of the Father (ot $\varepsilon v \delta o \kappa \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). No word in the Greek for "the Father," though the verb calls for either o $\theta \varepsilon o c$ or o $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ as the subject. This verb $\varepsilon \cup \delta o \kappa \varepsilon \omega$ is common in the N.T. for God's will and pleasure (Mt 3:17; 1Co 10:5 ).

All the fulness ( $\pi \alpha \nu$ то $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha)$. The same idea as in 2:9 $\pi \alpha \nu$ то $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \theta \varepsilon o \tau \eta \tau$ то (all the fulness of the Godhead). "A recognized technical term in theology, denoting the totality of the Divine powers and attributes" (Lightfoot). It is an old word from $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \omega$, to fill full, used in various senses as in Mr 8:20 of the baskets, Ga 4:10 of time, etc. The Gnostics distributed the divine powers among various aeons. Paul gathers them all up in Christ, a full and flat statement of the deity of Christ.

Should dwell (катоккпба). First aorist active infinitive of катоюкє $\omega$, to make abode or home. All the divine attributes are at home in Christ ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \cup \tau \omega)$.

Col 1:20
Through him ( $\delta i^{\prime}$ avtov). As the sufficient and chosen agent in the work of reconciliation ( $\alpha \pi о к \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \xi \alpha$, first aorist active infinitive of $\alpha \pi о к \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, further addition to $\varepsilon v \delta$ ок $\eta \sigma \varepsilon v$, was pleased). This double compound ( $\alpha \pi \sigma$, кат $\alpha$ with $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ ) occurs only here, verse 22; Eph 2:16, and nowhere else so far as known. Paul's usual word for "reconcile" is кат $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ (2Co 5:18-20; Ro 5:10), though $\delta$ ı $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ (Mt 5:24 ) is more common in Attic. The addition of ano here is clearly for the idea of complete reconciliation. See on 2Co 5:18-20 for discussion of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, Paul's great word. The use of $\tau \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ (the all things, the universe) as if the universe were somehow out of harmony reminds us of the mystical passage in Ro 8:19-23 which see for discussion. Sin somehow has put the universe out of joint. Christ will set it right.

Unto himself ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ autov). Unto God, though autov is not reflexive unless written autov.
Having made peace ( $\varepsilon \iota \rho \eta$ voтоוך $\sigma \alpha \varsigma$ ). Late and rare compound ( $\operatorname{Pr}$ 10:10 and here only in N.T.) from eıp voтоьo, , peacemaker (Mt 5:9 ; here only in N.T.). In Eph 2:15 we have $\pi о \iota \omega \nu \varepsilon ו \rho \eta \nu \eta \nu$ (separate words)
making peace. Not the masculine gender, though agreeing with the idea of Christ involved even if $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ be taken as the subject of $\varepsilon v \delta o \kappa \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$, a participial anacoluthon
（construction according to sense as in 2：19）．If $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma$ be taken as the subject of $\varepsilon v \delta o \kappa \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$

 benefit of the Docetic Gnostics who denied the real humanity of Jesus and as clearly stating the causa medians（Ellicott）of the work of reconciliation to be the Cross of Christ，a doctrine needed today．

Or things in the heavens（ $\varepsilon เ \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \varepsilon \vee \tau 0 ı \varsigma ~ o u p a v o ı)$ ）．Much needless trouble has been made over this phrase as if things in heaven were not exactly right．It is rather a hypothetical statement like verse 16 not put in categorical form（Abbott），universitas rerum（Ellicott）．

Col 1：21
And you（ $\kappa \alpha \nu \mu \varsigma)$ ．Accusative case in a rather loose sentence，to be explained as the object of the infinitive $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha$ in verse 22 （note repeated $v \mu \alpha \varsigma$ there）or as the anticipated object of $\alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \lambda \lambda \alpha \xi \varepsilon v$ if that be the genuine form in verse 22．It can be the accusative of general reference followed by anacoluthon．See similar idiom in Eph 2：1，12 ．

Being in time past alienated（ $л о \tau \varepsilon$ оутаৎ $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \lambda о \tau \rho \iota \omega \mu \varepsilon v \circ \cup \varsigma)$ ．Periphrastic perfect passive participle（continuing state of alienation）of $\alpha \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \circ \tau \rho \iota o \omega$ ，old word from Plato on， to estrange，to render $\alpha \lambda$ 入o $\tau \rho \circ \rho$（belonging to another），alienated from God，a vivid picture of heathenism as in Ro 1：20－23．Only other N．T．examples in Eph 2：12；4：18．Eve $\mu \varepsilon \varepsilon$ ， （ $\varepsilon \xi \theta \rho o u \varsigma)$ ．Old word from $\varepsilon \chi \theta$ oc（hatred）．Active sense here，
hostile as in Mt 13：28；Ro 8：7，not passive
hateful（Ro 11：28）．
In your mind（ $\tau \eta \delta \iota \alpha v o l a$ ）．Locative case．$\Delta ı \alpha v o \iota \alpha(\delta ı \alpha, v o v \varsigma)$ ，mind，intent，purpose． Old word．It is always a tragedy to see men use their minds actively against God．

In your evil works（ $\varepsilon v$ тoı $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o ı \varsigma ~ \pi o v \eta \rho o ı \varsigma) . ~ H o s t i l e ~ p u r p o s e ~ f i n d s ~ n a t u r a l ~ e x p r e s-~$ sion in evil deeds．

Col 1：22
Yet now（ $v v v \delta \varepsilon$ ）．Sharpened contrast with emphatic form of $v v v$ ，＂now＂being not at the present moment，but in the present order of things in the new dispensation of grace in Christ．

Hath he reconciled（ $\alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \lambda \lambda \alpha \xi \varepsilon v$ ）．First aorist（effective，timeless）active indicative （a sort of parenthetical anacoluthon）．Here B reads $\alpha \pi о к \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ，be ye reconciled like ката入入аүๆтє in 2Co 5：20 while D has атоката入入аүєvтєৎ．Lightfoot prefers to follow B here （the hard reading），though Westcott and Hort only put it in the margin．On the word see verse 20 ．

In the body of his flesh（ $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \tau \eta \varsigma \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa о \varsigma \alpha v \tau o v)$ ．See the same combination in 2：11 though in Eph 2：14 only $\sigma \alpha \rho \kappa$（flesh）．Apparently Paul combines both $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ and $\sigma \alpha \rho \xi$ to make plain the actual humanity of Jesus against incipient Docetic Gnostics who denied it．

Through death ( $\delta$ ıa tov $\theta$ avatov). The reconciliation was accomplished by means of Christ's death on the cross (verse 20) and not just by the Incarnation (the body of his flesh) in which the death took place.

To present ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active (transitive) infinitive (of purpose) of $\pi \alpha \rho เ \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, old verb, to place beside in many connections. See it used of presenting Paul and the letter from Lysias to Felix (Ac 23:33 ). Repeated in Col 2:28. See also 2Co 11:2; 2Co 4:14 . Paul has the same idea of his responsibility in rendering an account for those under his influence seen in Heb 13:17. See Ro 12:1 for use of living sacrifice.

Holy ( $\alpha$ үıovৎ). Positively consecrated, separated unto God. Common in N.T. for believers. Haupt holds that all these terms have a religious and forensic sense here.

Without blemish ( $\alpha \mu \omega \mu \circ)_{\varsigma}$ ). Without spot (Php 2:15). Old word $\alpha$ privative and $\mu \omega \mu \circ \varsigma$ (blemish). Common in the LXX for ceremonial purifications.

Unreproveable ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \tau$ точ¢). Old verbal adjective from $\alpha$ privative and $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to call to account, to pick flaws in. These three adjectives give a marvellous picture of complete purity (positive and negative, internal and external). This is Paul's ideal when he presents the Colossians "before him" (катєvตтıov avtov), right down in the eye of Christ the Judge of all.

Col 1:23
If so be that ye continue in the faith $(\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \mu \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \tau \eta \pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon)$. Condition of the first class (determined as fulfilled), with a touch of eagerness in the use of $\gamma \varepsilon$ (at least). E $\pi$ adds to the force of the linear action of the present tense (continue and then some).

Pistei is in the locative case (in faith).
Grounded ( $\tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \iota \omega \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \iota o \omega$, old verb from $\theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda_{\imath}$ оc (adjective, from $\theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha$ from $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, laid down as a foundation, substantive, 1 Co 3:11f. ). Picture of the saint as a building like Eph 2:20 .

Steadfast ( $\varepsilon \delta \rho \alpha \iota 0$ ). Old adjective from $\varepsilon \delta \rho \alpha$ (seat). In N.T. only here, 1Co 7:37; 15:58 . Metaphor of seated in a chair.

Not moved away ( $\mu \eta \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \kappa เ v o v \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present passive participle (with negative $\mu \eta$ ) of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \kappa ı \nu \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to move away, to change location, only here in N.T. Negative statement covering the same ground.

From the hope of the gospel ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta o \varsigma \tau o v \varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota o v$ ). Ablative case with $\alpha \pi \mathrm{o}$. The hope given by or in the gospel and there alone.

Which ye heard (ov $\eta \kappa о v \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Genitive case of relative either by attraction or after $\eta \kappa o v \sigma a \tau \varepsilon$. The Colossians had in reality heard the gospel from Epaphras.

Preached ( $\kappa \eta \rho v \chi \theta \varepsilon v \tau \circ \varsigma)$. First aorist passive participle of $\kappa \eta \rho v \sigma \sigma \omega$, to herald, to proclaim.

In all creation ( $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Kтıбıs is the act of founding (Ro 1:20) from $\kappa \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ (verse 16), then a created thing (Ro 1:25), then the sum of created things as here and Re

3:14. It is hyperbole, to be sure, but Paul does not say that all men are converted, but only that the message has been heralded abroad over the Roman Empire in a wider fashion than most people imagine.

A minister ( $\delta \iota \kappa \kappa$ кос). General term for service ( $\delta \iota \alpha$, кovıৎ, raising a dust by speed) and used often as here of preachers like our "minister" today, one who serves. Jesus used the verb $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa о v \eta \sigma \alpha$ of himself ( $\mathrm{Mr} 10: 45$ ). Our "deacon" is this word transliterated and given a technical meaning as in Php 1:1.

Col 1:24
Now I rejoice ( $v v v \chi \propto \iota \rho o \mu \varepsilon v$ ). This is not a new note for Paul. See him in jail in Philippi (Ac 16:25) and in 2Co 11:16-33; Ro 5:3; Php 2:18 .

Fill up on my part ( $\alpha v \tau \alpha v \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega$ ). Very rare double compound verb (here only in N.T.) to fill ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$ ) up ( $\alpha v \alpha$ ), in turn ( $\alpha v \tau$ ). It is now Paul's "turn" at the bat, to use a baseball figure. Christ had his "turn," the grandest of all and suffered for us all in a sense not true of any one else. It is the idea of balance or correspondence in $\alpha \nu \tau$ as seen in Demosthenes's use of this verb (De Symm., p. 282), "the poor balancing the rich." And yet Christ did not cause suffering to cease. There is plenty left for Paul and for each of us in his time.

That which is lacking ( $\tau \alpha$ voтep $\mu \mu \tau \alpha$ ). "The left-overs," so to speak. Late word from vøтєрع $\omega$, to come behind, to be left, to fail. See Lu 21:4; 1Th 3:10; 2Co 8:14; 9:12 .

For his body's sake ( $\cup \pi \varepsilon \rho$ тov $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma \alpha v \tau o v$ ). As Paul showed in his exultation in suffering in 2Co 11:16-33, though not in the same sense in which Christ suffered and died for us as Redeemer. Paul attaches no atoning value whatever to his own sufferings for the church (see also verse 18).

Col 1:25
According to the dispensation of God ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta v$ оюкоvоцı $\alpha v$ тov $\theta \varepsilon о v$ ). "According to the economy of God." An old word from oккоvон $\omega$, to be a house steward (окоб, $\nu \varepsilon \mu \omega$ ) as in Lu 16:2-4; 1Co 9:17; Eph 1:9; 3:9. It was by God's stewardship that Paul was made a minister of Christ.

To fulfil the word of God ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \alpha$ $\tau \circ \nu \lambda o \gamma o v \tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \varepsilon o v)$. First aorist active infinitive of purpose ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \rho \omega)$, a fine phrase for a God-called preacher, to fill full or to give full scope to the Word of God. The preacher is an expert on the word of God by profession. See Paul's ideal about preaching in 2Th 3:1 .

Col 1:26
The mystery ( $\tau \mathrm{o} \mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ \mathrm{ov}$ ). See on 1Co 2:7 for this interesting word from $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma$ (initiate), from $\mu \nu \varepsilon \omega$, to wink, to blink. The Gnostics talked much of "mysteries." Paul takes their very word (already in common use, Mt 13:11) and uses it for the gospel.

Which hath been hid (то $\alpha \pi о к \varepsilon к \rho \cup \mu \mu \varepsilon v o v)$ ). Perfect passive articular participle from алокри $\pi \tau \omega$, old verb, to hide, to conceal from (1Co 2:7; Eph 3:9).

But now it hath been manifested（vvv $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \varphi a v \varepsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta$ ）．First aorist passive indicative of $\varphi$ avepo $\omega$ ，to make manifest（ $\varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho o \varsigma$ ）．The construction is suddenly changed （anacoluthon）from the participle to the finite verb．

Col 1：27
God was pleased（ $\eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma)$ ．First aorist active indicative of $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$ ，to will，to wish．＂God willed＂this change from hidden mystery to manifestation．

To make known（ $\gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \sigma \alpha$ ）．First aorist active infinitive of $\gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \zeta \omega$（from $\gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ ）． Among the Gentiles（ $\varepsilon v \tau o \iota \varsigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma เ v)$ ．This is the crowning wonder to Paul that God had included the Gentiles in his redemptive grace，＂the riches of the glory of this mystery＂（ $\tau$
 of this grace among the Gentiles（Eph 3：1－2 ）．He feels the high honour keenly and meets the responsibility humbly．

Which（o）．Grammatical gender（neuter）agreeing with $\mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ \frac{0}{}$（mystery），supported by A B P Vulg．，though os（who）agreeing with Xpıб⿱宀八九 in the predicate is read by Aleph C D L．At any rate the idea is simply that the personal aspect of＂this mystery＂is＂Christ in you
 idea of $\varepsilon v$ here is in，not among．It is the personal experience and presence of Christ in the individual life of all believers that Paul has in mind，the indwelling Christ in the heart as in Eph 3：17．He constitutes also the hope of glory for he is the $\Sigma \varepsilon \kappa$ iva of God．Christ is our hope now（1Ti 1：1）and the consummation will come（Ro 8：18）．

Col 1：28
Whom（ov）．That is，＂Christ in you，the hope of glory．＂
We proclaim（катаүүعג入одعv）．Paul，Timothy and all like－minded preachers against the Gnostic depreciation of Christ．This verb originally（Xenophon）meant to denounce， but in N．T．it means to announce（ $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ ）throughout（ $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ ），to proclaim far and wide （Ac 13：5）．
 $\tau(\theta \eta \mu)$ ．See already Ac 20：31；1Th 5：12，14；2Th 3：15，etc．Warning about practice and teaching（ $\delta$ เסaбкоvтєऽ）about doctrine．Such teaching calls for＂all wisdom＂

Every man（ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ ）．Repeated three times．＂In opposition to the doctrine of an intellectual exclusiveness taught by the false teachers＂（Abbott）．

That we may present（ $\imath v \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ）．Final use of $\mathfrak{v \alpha}$ and first aorist active sub－ junctive of $\pi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ ，for which see 1：22，the final presentation to Christ．

Perfect（ $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota o v)$ ．Spiritual adults in Christ，no longer babes in Christ（Heb 5：14）， mature and ripened Christians（ 4：22），the full－man in Christ（Eph 4：13）．The relatively perfect（Php 3：15）will on that day of the presentation be fully developed as here（Col 4：12； Eph 4：13）．The Gnostics used $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota o c$ of the one fully initiated into their mysteries and it is quite possible that Paul here has also a sidewise reference to their use of the term．

Col 1:29
Whereunto ( $\varepsilon เ \varsigma ~ o)$. That is "to present every man perfect in Christ."
I labour also ( $\kappa \alpha \kappa о \pi \iota \omega$ ). Late verb колı $\alpha \omega$, from колоৎ (toil), to grow weary from toil (Mt 11:28), to toil on (Php 2:16), sometimes for athletic training. In papyri.

Striving ( $\alpha \gamma \omega v \iota \zeta o \mu \varepsilon \nu o \varsigma$ ). Present middle participle of common verb $\alpha \gamma \omega v \iota \zeta o \mu \alpha$ (from $\alpha \gamma \omega \nu$, contest, as in 2:1), to contend in athletic games, to agonize, a favourite metaphor with Paul who is now a prisoner.

Working ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon ı \alpha v$ ). Our word "energy." Late word from $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \eta \varsigma(\varepsilon \nu, \varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v$ ), efficiency (at work). Play on the word here with the present passive participle of $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \omega, \varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma o v \mu \varepsilon v \eta \nu$ (energy energized) as in Eph 1:19f . Paul was conscious of God's "energy" at work in him "mightily" ( $\varepsilon v \delta v v \alpha \mu \varepsilon$ ), "in power" like dynamite.

## Colossians 2

Col 2:1
How greatly I strive ( $\eta \lambda_{ı} \kappa \circ \sim \gamma \omega v \alpha \varepsilon \chi \omega$ ). Literally, "how great a contest I am having." The old adjectival relative $\eta \lambda_{1 \kappa o}$ (like Latin quantus) is used for age or size in N.T. only here and Jas 3:5 (twice, how great, how small). It is an inward contest of anxiety like the $\mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \nu \vee \alpha$ for all the churches (2Co 11:28). A $\gamma \omega v \alpha$ carries on the metaphor of $\alpha \gamma \omega v i \zeta \rho \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ in 1:29.

For them at Laodicea ( $\tau \omega v \varepsilon \vee \Lambda \alpha o \delta ı \kappa ı \alpha$ ).
Supply $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ as with $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \nu \mu \omega \nu$. Paul's concern extended beyond Colossae to Laodicea ( $4: 16$ ) and to Hierapolis ( $4: 13$ ), the three great cities in the Lycus Valley where Gnosticism was beginning to do harm. Laodicea is the church described as lukewarm in Re 3:14 .

For as many as have not seen my face ( $\circ \sigma 0$ ov $\varepsilon о \rho a \kappa \alpha v ~ \tau о ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \omega \pi о v ~ \mu о v$ ). The phrase undoubtedly includes Hierapolis ( 4:13), and a few late MSS. actually insert it here. Lightfoot suggests that Hierapolis had not yet been harmed by the Gnostics as much as Colossae and Laodicea. Perhaps so, but the language includes all in that whole region who have not seen Paul's face in the flesh (that is, in person, and not in picture). How precious a real picture of Paul would be to us today. The antecedent to oro is not expressed and it would be tout $\omega v$ after $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$. The form воракаv (perfect active indicative of opaw instead of the usual $\varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \sigma \iota$ has two peculiarities o in Paul's Epistles (1Co 9:1) instead of $\omega$ (see Joh 1:18 for $\varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \varepsilon v$ ) and - $\alpha v$ by analogy in place of - $\alpha \sigma \iota v$, which short form is common in the papyri. See Lu 9:36 ع $\omega$ ракаv.

## Col 2:2

May be comforted ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ (for which see 2Co 1:3-7 ) in final clause with iva.

Being knit together ( $\sigma \sim \nu \beta \curlywedge \beta \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist passive participle of $\sigma \nu \nu \beta \curlywedge \beta \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb, causal of $\beta \alpha \iota v \omega$, to make go together, to coalesce in argument (Ac 16:10), in spiritual growth (Col 2:19), in love as here. Love is the $\sigma v v \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma$ ( 3:14) that binds all together.

Unto all riches ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \pi \alpha v \pi \lambda$ ои $\pi o \varsigma)$. Probably some distinction intended between $\varepsilon v$ (in love as the sphere) and $\varepsilon ı \varsigma$ (unto as the goal).

Of the full assurance of understanding ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \varphi о \rho เ a \varsigma ~ \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \sigma u v \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. On $\pi \lambda \eta \rho о \varphi о \rho ı a$, see 1Th 1:5. From $\pi \lambda \eta \rho о \varphi о \rho \varepsilon \omega$ (see Lu 1:1) and only in N.T. (1Th 1:5; Col 2:2; Heb 6:11; 10:22 ), Clement of Rome (Cor. 42) and one papyrus example. Paul desires the full use of the intellect in grasping the great mystery of Christ and it calls for the full and balanced exercise of all one's mental powers.

That they may know ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \pi ı \gamma v \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). "Unto full knowledge." This use of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma v \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma$ (full, additional knowledge) is Paul's reply to the Gnostics with the limited and perverted $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma$ (knowledge).
 widely here, but this is Westcott and Hort's reading. Genitive (objective) with $\varepsilon \pi \imath \gamma v \omega \sigma ı$ and Xpıotov in apposition. Christ is "the mystery of God," but no longer hidden, but manifested ( $1: 26$ ) and meant for us to know to the fulness of our capacity.

Col 2:3
In whom $(\varepsilon v \omega)$. This locative form can refer to $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ o v$ or to Xpıotov. It really makes no difference in sense since Christ is the mystery of God.

All the treasures of wisdom and knowledge ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ о ~ Ө \eta \sigma \alpha \cup \rho о ~ \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \sigma о \varphi เ а \varsigma ~ к а ~$ $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. See on Mt 2:11; 6:19-21 for this old word, our thesaurus, for coffer, storehouse, treasure. Paul confronts these pretentious intellectuals (Gnostics) with the bold claim that Christ sums up all wisdom and knowledge. These treasures are hidden (aтокрv甲o, old adjective from $\alpha \pi о к \rho \cup \pi \tau \omega$, to hide away, Mr 4:22) whether the Gnostics have discovered them or not. They are there (in Christ) as every believer knows by fresh and repeated discovery.

Col 2:4
This I say ( $\tau 0 \cup \tau 0 ~ \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ). Paul explains why he has made this great claim for Christ at this point in his discussion.

May delude ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda о \gamma \iota \zeta \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Present middle subjunctive of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda о \gamma \zeta \zeta \rho \mu \alpha$, old verb, only here in N.T., from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ and $\lambda о ү \zeta \zeta \mu \alpha$, to count aside and so wrong, to cheat by false reckoning, to deceive by false reasoning (Epictetus).

With persuasiveness of speech ( $\varepsilon v \pi \iota \theta \alpha v o \lambda o \gamma \iota \alpha)$. Rare word (Plato) from $\pi \iota \theta \alpha v o c$ and $\lambda о \gamma о \varsigma$, speech, adapted to persuade, then speciously leading astray. Only here in N.T. One papyrus example. The art of persuasion is the height of oratory, but it easily degenerates into trickery and momentary and flashy deceit such as Paul disclaimed in 1Co 2:4 (ouk $\varepsilon v$
 (both from $\pi \varepsilon ו \theta \omega$ ) is another form. It is curious how winning champions of error, like the Gnostics and modern faddists, can be with plausibility that catches the gullible.

Col 2:5
Though ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ). Not $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon$ (even if).
Yet ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ). Common use of $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ in the apodosis (conclusion) of a conditional or concessive sentence.

Your order ( $\tau \eta \nu \tau \alpha \xi เ v)$. The military line (from $\tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ ), unbroken, intact. A few stragglers had gone over to the Gnostics, but there had been no panic, no breach in the line.

Steadfastness ( $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \omega \mu \mu$ ). From $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \circ \omega$ (from $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \sigma \varsigma)$ to make steady, and probably the same military metaphor as in $\tau \alpha \xi ı v$ just before. The solid part of the line which can and does stand the attack of the Gnostics. See Ac 16:5 where the verb $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \circ \omega$ is used with $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$ and $1 \mathrm{Pe} 5: 9$ where the adjective $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \circ$, is so used. In 2Th 3:6,8,11 Paul speaks of his own $\tau \alpha \xi ı \varsigma$ (orderly conduct).

Col 2:6

As therefore ye received ( $\omega \varsigma$ ouv $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$ in same sense as in 1Th 4:1; Php 4:9 (both $\mu \alpha v \theta \alpha v \omega$ and $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$ ) that is like $\mu \alpha v \theta \alpha v \omega$, to learn ( $1: 7$ ), from Epaphras and others.

Christ Jesus the Lord ( $\tau 0 v$ Xpıotov Inoouv qov Kupıov). This peculiar phrase occurs nowhere else by Paul. We have often o Xpıotoc (the Christ or Messiah) as in Php 1:15,

 that Paul here meets the two forms of Gnostic heresy about the Person of Christ (the recognition of the historical Jesus in his actual humanity against the Docetic Gnostics, the identity of the Christ or Messiah with this historical Jesus against the Cerinthian Gnostics, and the acknowledgment of him as Lord). "As therefore ye received the Christ (the Messiah), Jesus the Lord." Ye were taught right.

Walk in him ( $\varepsilon \vee \alpha \cup \tau \omega \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ ). "Go on walking in him" (present active indicative of $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega)$. Stick to your first lessons in Christ.

Col 2:7
Rooted ( $\varepsilon \rho \rho ı \zeta \omega \mu \varepsilon v o)$. Perfect passive participle of old verb $\rho \iota \zeta(\zeta \omega$ from $\rho ı \zeta \alpha$, root. In N.T. only here and Eph 3:17. Paul changes the figure from walk to growing tree.

Builded up in him ( $\varepsilon \pi о н к о \delta о \mu о \nu \mu \varepsilon v o \varepsilon v a v \tau \omega)$. Present passive participle (rooted to stay so) of $\varepsilon \pi о к к о \delta о \mu \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to build upon as in 1Co 3:10,12. The metaphor is changed again to a building as continually going up (present tense).

Stablished ( $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \circ \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present passive participle of $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \circ o \omega$, old verb from $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \iota \circ$ (from $\beta a \downarrow v \omega, \beta a \omega \omega$ ), to make firm or stable.

In your faith ( $\tau \eta \pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). Locative case, though the instrumental case,
by your faith, makes good sense also.
Even as ye were taught ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \delta \iota \delta \alpha \chi \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega$, an allusion to $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ in verse 6 and to $\varepsilon \mu \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ in 1:7.

In thanksgiving ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \cup \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \iota \alpha)$. Hence they had no occasion to yield to the blandishments of the Gnostic teachers.

Col 2:8
Take heed ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present active imperative second person plural of $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$, common verb for warning like our "look out," "beware," "see to it."

Lest there shall be any one ( $\mu \eta \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha$ ). Negative purpose with the future indicative, though the aorist subjunctive also occurs as in 2Co 12:6 .

That maketh spoil of you (o $\sigma v \lambda \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \omega v$ ). Articular present active participle of $\sigma u \lambda \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, late and rare (found here first) verb (from $\sigma u \lambda \eta$, booty, and $\alpha \gamma \omega$, to lead, to carry), to carry off as booty a captive, slave, maiden. Only here in N.T. Note the singular here. There was some one outstanding leader who was doing most of the damage in leading the people astray.

Through his philosophy（ $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \varphi \lambda о \sigma о \varphi เ \alpha \varsigma)$ ．The only use of the word in the N．T． and employed by Paul because the Gnostics were fond of it．Old word from $\varphi \backslash \lambda о \sigma о \varphi о \varsigma$ （ $\varphi \lambda$ 入oб，$\sigma о \varphi \circ \varsigma$ ，one devoted to the pursuit of wisdom）and in N．T．only in Ac 17：18 ．Paul does not condemn knowledge and wisdom（see verse 2），but only this false philosophy， ＂knowledge falsely named＂（ $\psi \varepsilon \cup \delta \omega v \nu \mu \circ \varsigma ~ \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma, 1 T i ~ 6: 20$ ），and explained here by the next words．

And vain deceit（ $\kappa \alpha \kappa \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma)$ ．Old word for trick，guile，like riches（Mt 13：22）． Descriptive of the philosophy of the Gnostics．

Tradition（ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o \sigma v v$ ）．Old word from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ ，a giving over，a passing on．The word is colourless in itself．The tradition may be good（2Th 2：15；3：6 ）or bad（Mr 7：3）．Here it is worthless and harmful，merely the foolish theories of the Gnostics．

Rudiments（ $\sigma \tau \circ \chi \chi \varepsilon \alpha)$ ．Old word for anything in a $\sigma \tau 0 \times \chi \circ \varsigma$（row，series）like the letters of the alphabet，the materials of the universe（ $2 \mathrm{Pe} 3: 10,12$ ），elementary teaching（Heb 5：12 ），elements of Jewish ceremonial training（Ac 15：10；Gal 4：3，9），the specious arguments of the Gnostic philosophers as here with all their aeons and rules of life．

And not after Christ（ка ои ката X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о v$ ）．Christ is the yardstick by which to measure philosophy and all phases of human knowledge．The Gnostics were measuring Christ by their philosophy as many men are doing today．They have it backwards．Christ is the measure for all human knowledge since he is the Creator and the Sustainer of the universe．

Col 2：9
For in him dwelleth all the fulness of the Godhead bodily（о $\varepsilon v$ aut $\omega$ катоюк $\pi \alpha \nu$ то $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \theta \varepsilon о \tau \eta \tau о \varsigma \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \omega \varsigma)$ ．In this sentence，given as the reason（o $\tau$, because） for the preceding claim for Christ as the measure of human knowledge Paul states the heart of his message about the Person of Christ．There dwells（at home）in Christ not one or more aspects of the Godhead（the very $\varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \varepsilon$ of God，from $\theta \varepsilon \circ \sigma, \delta \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha \varsigma)$ and not to be confused with $\theta \varepsilon ⿺ 𠃊 \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ in Ro 1：20（from $\theta \varepsilon \iota o \varsigma$ ，the
quality of God，divinitas），here only in N．T．as $\theta \varepsilon เ o \tau \eta \varsigma$ only in Ro 1：20．The distinction is observed in Lucian and Plutarch．$\Theta \varepsilon เ o \tau \eta \varsigma ~ o c c u r s ~ i n ~ t h e ~ p a p y r i ~ a n d ~ i n s c r i p t i o n s . ~ P a u l ~ h e r e ~$ asserts that＂all the $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ of the Godhead，＂not just certain aspects，dwells in Christ and in bodily form（ $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \omega \varsigma$ ，late and rare adverb，in Plutarch，inscription，here only in N．T．）， dwells now in Christ in his glorified humanity（Php 2：9－11），＂the body of his glory＂（ $\tau \omega$ $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \tau \eta \varsigma \delta o \xi \eta \varsigma)$ ．The fulness of the God－head was in Christ before the Incarnation（Joh 1：1，18；Php 2：6 ），during the Incarnation（Joh 1：14，18；1Jo 1：1－3 ）．It was the Son of God who came in the likeness of men（Php 2：7）．Paul here disposes of the Docetic theory that Jesus had no human body as well as the Cerinthian separation between the man Jesus and the aeon Christ．He asserts plainly the deity and the humanity of Jesus Christ in corporeal form．

Col 2：10

Ye are made full ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Periphrastic perfect passive indicative of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \omega$, but only one predicate, not two. Christ is our fulness of which we all partake (Joh 1:16; Eph 1:23) and our goal is to be made full of God in Christ (Eph 3:19). "In Christ they find the satisfaction of every spiritual want" (Peake).

The head ( $\eta \kappa \alpha \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta$ ). There is no other place for Christ. He is first ( $1: 18$ ) in time and in rank. All rule and authority comes after Christ whether angels, aeons, kings, what not.

Col 2:11
Ye were also circumcised ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \tau \tau \mu \eta \eta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \omega$, to circumcise. But used here as a metaphor in a spiritual sense as in Ro 2:29 "the circumcision of the heart."

Not made with hands ( $\alpha \chi \varepsilon \rho \rho \pi о п \eta \tau \omega$ ). This late and rare negative compound verbal occurs only in the N.T. (Mr 14:58; 2Co 5:1; Col 2:11 ) by merely adding a privative to the old verbal хвıротопттоৎ (Ac 7:48; Eph 2:11), possibly first in Mr 14:58 where both words occur concerning the temple. In 2Co 5:1 the reference is to the resurrection body. The feminine form of this compound adjective is the same as the masculine.

In the putting off ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \delta v \sigma \varepsilon$ ). As if an old garment (the fleshly body). From $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \delta v o \mu \alpha$ (Col 2:15, possibly also coined by Paul) and occurring nowhere else so far as known. The word is made in a perfectly normal way by the perfective use of the two Greek prepositions ( $\alpha \pi \mathbf{\sigma}, \varepsilon \kappa$ ), "a resource available for and generally used by any real thinker writing Greek" (Moulton and Milligan, Vocabulary). Paul had as much right to mint a Greek compound as any one and surely no one ever had more ideas to express and more power in doing it.
 Christ, that of the heart.

Col 2:12
Having been buried with him in baptism ( $\sigma v \nu \tau \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \nu \tau \omega \varepsilon v \tau \omega \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \tau$ ). Second aorist passive participle of $\sigma v v \theta a \pi \tau \omega$, old word, in N.T. only here and Ro 6:4 , followed by associative instrumental case $(\alpha \cup \tau \omega)$. Thayer's Lexicon says: "For all who in the rite of baptism are plunged under the water, thereby declare that they put faith in the expiatory death of Christ for the pardon of their past sins." Yes, and for all future sins also. This word gives Paul's vivid picture of baptism as a symbolic burial with Christ and resurrection also to newness of life in him as Paul shows by the addition "wherein ye were also raised with him" ( $\varepsilon v \omega$ ка $\sigma v \nu \eta \gamma \varepsilon \rho \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). "In which baptism" ( $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \tau$, he means). First aorist passive indicative of $\sigma u v \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon เ \rho \omega$, late and rare verb (Plutarch for waking up together), in LXX, in N.T. only in Col 2:12; 3:1; Eph 2:6. In the symbol of baptism the resurrection to new life in Christ is pictured with an allusion to Christ's own resurrection and to our final resurrection. Paul does not mean to say that the new life in Christ is caused or created by the act of baptism. That is grossly to misunderstand him. The Gnostics and the Judaizers
were sacramentalists, but not so Paul the champion of spiritual Christianity. He has just given the spiritual interpretation to circumcision which itself followed Abraham's faith (Ro 4:10-12 ). Cf. Ga 3:27. Baptism gives a picture of the change already wrought in the heart "through faith" ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$.

In the working of God ( $\tau\rceil \varsigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon ı a \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ \theta \varepsilon o v)$. Objective genitive after $\pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$. See 1:29 for $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon ı \alpha$. God had power to raise Christ from the dead ( $\tau 0 \cup \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon ו \rho a v \tau o \varsigma, ~ f i r s t ~ a o r i s t ~$ active participle of $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \rho \rho \omega$, the fact here stated) and he has power (energy) to give us new life in Christ by faith.

Col 2:13
And you ( $\kappa \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). Emphatic position, object of the verb $\sigma u v \varepsilon \zeta \omega о \pi о п \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ (did he quicken) and repeated (second $v \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. You Gentiles as he explains.

Being dead through your trespasses (vєкроия оvтац тоъ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha \sigma \iota v$ ). Moral death, of course, as in Ro 6:11; Eph 2:1,5. Correct text does not have $\varepsilon v$, but even so $\pi \alpha \rho a \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha \sigma ı$ (from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, to fall beside or to lapse, Heb 6:6 ), a lapse or misstep as in Mt 6:14; Ro 5:15-18; Ga 6:1 , can be still in the locative, though the instrumental makes good sense also.

And the uncircumcision of your flesh ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta ~ \alpha \kappa \rho о \beta о v \sigma \tau \iota \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa о \varsigma ~ v \mu \omega v$ ). "Dead in your trespasses and your alienation from God, of which the uncircumcision of your flesh was a symbol" (Abbott). Clearly so, "the uncircumcision" used merely in a metaphorical sense.

Did he quicken together with him ( $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \zeta \omega о \pi о \sqcap \sigma \varepsilon v \sigma \cup v \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). First aorist active indicative of the double compound verb $\sigma \nu \vee \zeta \omega о \pi о เ \varepsilon \omega$, to make alive ( $\zeta \omega$ об, $\pi о เ \varepsilon \omega$ ) with ( $\sigma \nu v$, repeated also with $\alpha \cup \tau \omega$, associative instrumental), found only here and in Eph 2:5 , apparently coined by Paul for this passage. Probably $\theta$ roc (God) is the subject because expressly so stated in Eph 2:4f. and because demanded by $\sigma v v$ avt $\omega$ here referring to Christ. This can be true even if Christ be the subject of $\eta \rho \kappa \varepsilon v$ in verse 14.

Having forgiven us ( $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \nu \nu)$. First aorist middle participle of $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \zeta о \mu \alpha$, common verb from $\chi \alpha \rho ı \varsigma$ (favour, grace). Dative of the person common as in 3:13. The act of forgiving is simultaneous with the quickening, though logically antecedent.

Col 2:14
Having blotted out ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tau \nmid \propto \varsigma)$. And so "cancelled." First aorist active participle of old verb $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon เ \varphi \omega$, to rub out, wipe off, erase. In N.T. only in Ac 3:19 (LXX); Re 3:5; Col 2:14. Here the word explains $\chi \alpha \rho เ \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ and is simultaneous with it. Plato used it of blotting out a writing. Often MSS. were rubbed or scraped and written over again (palimpsests, like Codex C).

The bond written in ordinances that was against us ( $\tau$ ко $\kappa \theta^{\prime} \eta \mu \omega \nu \chi \varepsilon\llcorner\rho о \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi о \nu$ то» $\delta o \gamma \mu \alpha \sigma \iota v$ ). The late compound $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho о \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi o v$ ( $\chi \varepsilon \rho$, hand, $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$ ) is very common in the papyri for a certificate of debt or bond, many of the original $\chi \varepsilon ו \rho о \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi a$ (handwriting, "chirography"). See Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 247. The signature made a legal debt or
bond as Paul says in Phm 1:18f. : "I Paul have written it with mine own hand, I will repay it." Many of the papyri examples have been "crossed out" thus X as we do today and so cancelled. One decree is described as "neither washed out nor written over" (Milligan, N. T. Documents, p. 16). Undoubtedly "the handwriting in decrees" ( $\delta о \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota v$, the Mosaic law, Eph 2:15 ) was against the Jews (Ex 24:3; De 27:14-26 ) for they accepted it, but the Gentiles also gave moral assent to God's law written in their hearts (Ro 2:14f. ). So Paul says "against us" ( $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \eta \mu \omega v$ ) and adds "which was contrary to us" (o $\eta \nu$ v $\tau \varepsilon v a v \tau \iota v ~ \eta \mu ı v$ ) because we (neither Jew nor Gentile) could not keep it. Hиォ\&vavtios is an old double compound adjective (v $\pi 0, \varepsilon v, \alpha v \tau \iota \varsigma)$ set over against, only here in N.T. except Heb 10:27 when it is used as a substantive. It is striking that Paul has connected the common word $\chi \varepsilon \varepsilon \rho \circ \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi o v$ for bond or debt with the Cross of Christ (Deissmann, Light, etc., p. 332).

And he hath taken it out of the way ( $\kappa \alpha\rceil \rho \kappa \varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa$ тov $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \sigma v$ ). Perfect active indicative of au $\rho \omega$, old and common verb, to lift up, to bear, to take away. The word used by the Baptist of Jesus as "the Lamb of God that bears away (aı $\rho \omega v$ ) the sin of the world" (Joh 1:29). The perfect tense emphasizes the permanence of the removal of the bond which has been paid and cancelled and cannot be presented again. Lightfoot argues for Christ as the subject of $\eta \rho \kappa \varepsilon v$, but that is not necessary, though Paul does use sudden anacolutha. God has taken the bond against us "out of the midst" ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ tov $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \sigma v$ ). Nailing it to the cross ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha \varsigma$, av $\tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \omega \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \rho \omega)$. First aorist active participle of old and common verb $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \lambda o \omega$, to fasten with nails to a thing (with dative $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \omega$ ). Here alone in N.T., but in III Macc. 4:9 with the very word $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \omega$. The victim was nailed to the cross as was Christ. "When Christ was crucified, God nailed the Law to His cross" (Peake). Hence the "bond" is cancelled for us. Business men today sometimes file cancelled accounts. No evidence exists that Paul alluded to such a custom here.

Col 2:15
Having put off from himself ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \delta v \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o c)$. Only here and 3:9 and one MS. of Josephus ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \delta u \varsigma)$. Both $\alpha \pi о \delta v \omega$ and $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \nu \omega$ occur in ancient writers. Paul simply combines the two for expression of complete removal. But two serious problems arise here. Is God or Christ referred to by $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \delta \nu \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ ? What is meant by "the principalities and the powers" ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha \rho \chi \alpha \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \xi$ ovøıaৎ)? Modern scholars differ radically and no full discussion can be attempted here as one finds in Lightfoot, Haupt, Abbott, Peake. On the whole I am inclined to look on God as still the subject and the powers to be angels such as the Gnostics worshipped and the verb to mean "despoil" (American Standard Version) rather than "having put off from himself." In the Cross of Christ God showed his power openly without aid or help of angels.

He made a show of them ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \iota \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\delta \varepsilon \tau \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, late and rare verb from $\delta \varepsilon ı \gamma \mu \alpha$ (Jude 1:7), an example, and so to make an example of. Frequent in the papyri though later than $\pi \alpha \rho a \delta \varepsilon ı \gamma \mu a \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ and in N.T. only here and Mt 1:19
of Joseph's conduct toward Mary. No idea of disgrace is necessarily involved in the word. The publicity is made plain by "openly" ( $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ ).

Triumphing over them on it ( $\theta \rho\llcorner\alpha \mu \beta \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau o v \varsigma \varepsilon v \alpha \cup \tau \omega)$. On the Cross the triumph was won. This late, though common verb in Koine writers ( $\varepsilon \kappa \theta \rho \iota \alpha \mu \beta \varepsilon v \omega$ in the papyri) occurs only twice in the N.T., once "to lead in triumph" (2Co 2:14 ), here to celebrate a triumph (the usual sense). It is derived from $\theta \rho ı \alpha \mu \beta$ o, a hymn sung in festal procession and is kin to the Latin triumphus (our triumph), a triumphal procession of victorious Roman generals. God won a complete triumph over all the angelic agencies (avtouऽ, masculine regarded as personal agencies). Lightfoot adds, applying $\theta \rho ı \mu \mu \beta \varepsilon \sigma a c$ to Christ: "The convict's gibbet is the victor's car." It is possible, of course, to take $\alpha v \tau \omega$ as referring to $\chi \varepsilon \rho \rho \gamma \rho a \varphi o v$ (bond) or even to Christ.

Col 2:16
Let no one judge you ( $\mu \eta \tau \iota \varsigma \nu \mu a \varsigma \kappa \rho \iota v \varepsilon \tau \omega$ ). Prohibition present active imperative third singular, forbidding the habit of passing judgment in such matters. For крıv $\omega$ see on Mt 7:1 . Paul has here in mind the ascetic regulations and practices of one wing of the Gnostics (possibly Essenic or even Pharisaic influence). He makes a plea for freedom in such matters on a par with that in 1Co 8-9; Ro 14; 15 . The Essenes went far beyond the Mosaic regulations. For the Jewish feasts see on Ga 4:10 . Josephus (Ant. III. 10, 1) expressly explains the "seventh day" as called "sabbata" (plural form as here, an effort to transliterate the Aramaic sabbathah).

Col 2:17
A shadow ( $\sigma \kappa \iota \alpha$ ). Old word, opposed to substance ( $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$, body). In Heb 10:1 $\sigma \kappa ı \alpha$ is distinguished from $\varepsilon ו \kappa \omega v$ (picture), but here from $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ (body, substance). The $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ (body) casts the бкıа (shadow) and so belongs to Christ (Xpıбтov, genitive case).

Col 2:18
Rob you of your prize ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \rho \alpha \beta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \omega)$. Late and rare compound ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha, \beta \rho \alpha \beta \varepsilon v \omega$, Col 3:15 ) to act as umpire against one, perhaps because of bribery in Demosthenes and Eustathius (two other examples in Preisigke's Worterbuch), here only in the N.T. So here it means to decide or give judgment against. The judge at the games is called $\beta \rho \alpha \beta \varepsilon u c$ and the prize $\beta \rho \alpha \beta \varepsilon$ וov (1Co 9:24; Php 3:14). It is thus parallel to, but stronger than, $\kappa \rho \iota v \varepsilon \tau \omega$ in verse 16.

By a voluntary humility ( $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega \nu \varepsilon v \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ v \circ \varphi \rho \circ \sigma v \nu \eta)$. Present active participle of $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$, to wish, to will, but a difficult idiom. Some take it as like an adverb for "wilfully" somewhat like $\theta \varepsilon \lambda$ ovtac in $2 \mathrm{Pe} 3: 5$. Others make it a Hebraism from the LXX usage, "finding pleasure in humility." The Revised Version margin has "of his own mere will, by humility." Hort suggested $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \lambda$ д $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ v o \varphi p o \sigma v v \eta$ (in gratuitous humility), a word that occurs in Basil and made like $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \lambda 0 \theta \rho \eta \sigma \kappa ⿺ \alpha$ in verse 23.

And worshipping of the angels ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \rho \eta \sigma \kappa \varepsilon เ \alpha ~ \tau \omega v ~ \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \omega v$ ). In 3:12 humility ( $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ v \circ \varphi \rho \circ \sigma \cup v \eta \nu$ ) is a virtue, but it is linked with worship of the angels which is idolatry
and so is probably false humility as in verse 23. They may have argued for angel worship on the plea that God is high and far removed and so took angels as mediators as some men do today with angels and saints in place of Christ.

Dwelling in the things which he hath seen ( $\alpha$ عоракєv $\varepsilon \mu \beta a \tau \varepsilon v \omega v$ ). Some MSS. have "not," but not genuine. This verb $\varepsilon \mu \beta a \tau \varepsilon \nu \omega$ (from $\varepsilon \mu \beta \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma$, stepping in, going in) has given much trouble. Lightfoot has actually proposed $\kappa \varepsilon v \varepsilon \mu \beta a \tau \varepsilon v \omega \nu$ (a verb that does not exist, though $\kappa \varepsilon v \varepsilon \mu \beta \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$ does occur) with $\alpha \iota \omega \rho \alpha$, to tread on empty air, an ingenious suggestion, but now unnecessary. It is an old word for going in to take possession (papyri examples also). W. M. Ramsay (Teaching of Paul, pp. 287ff.) shows from inscriptions in Klaros that the word is used of an initiate in the mysteries who "set foot in" (عveßatevozv) and performed the rest of the rites. Paul is here quoting the very work used of these initiates who "take their stand on" these imagined revelations in the mysteries.

Vainly puffed up ( $\varepsilon ı \kappa \eta ~ \varphi \cup \sigma \iota о \mu \varepsilon v \circ \varsigma)$. Present passive participle of $\varphi v \sigma \iota \omega$, late and vivid verb from $\varphi$ uбa, pair of bellows, in N.T. only here and 1Co 4:6,18f.; 8:1 . Powerful picture of the self-conceit of these bombastic Gnostics.

Col 2:19
Not holding fast the Head (ov крат $\omega \nu \tau \eta \nu \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \nu$ ). Note negative ov, not $\mu \eta$, actual case of deserting Christ as the Head. The Gnostics dethroned Christ from his primacy ( $1: 18)$ and placed him below a long line of aeons or angels. They did it with words of praise for Christ as those do now who teach Christ as only the noblest of men. The headship of Christ is the keynote of this Epistle to the Colossians and the heart of Paul's Christology.

From whom ( $\varepsilon \xi \mathrm{ov}$ ). Masculine ablative rather than $\varepsilon \xi \eta \zeta(\kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \zeta)$ because Christ is the Head. He develops the figure of the body of which Christ is Head (1:18,24).

Being supplied ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma \circ \cup \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Present passive participle (continuous action) of $\varepsilon \pi ⿺ \chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, for which interesting verb see already 2Co 9:10; Ga 3:5 and further 2Pe 1:5.

Knit together ( $\sigma \sim \nu \beta ß \beta \alpha \zeta \rho \mu v o v$ ). Present passive participle also (continuous action) of $\sigma \cup v \beta \iota \beta \alpha \zeta \omega$, for which see $\operatorname{Col}$ 2:2 .

Through the joints ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \omega \nu \alpha \varphi \omega v$ ). Late word $\alpha \varphi \eta$ (from $\alpha \pi \tau \omega$, to fasten together), connections (junctura and nexus in the Vulgate).

And bonds ( $\kappa \alpha \sigma u v \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \omega v$ ). Old word from $\sigma u v \delta \varepsilon \omega$, to bind together. Aristotle and Galen use it of the human body. Both words picture well the wonderful unity in the body by cells, muscles, arteries, veins, nerves, skin, glands, etc. It is a marvellous machine working together under the direction of the head.

Increaseth with the increase of God ( $\alpha \cup \xi \varepsilon \tau \eta \nu \alpha \cup \xi \eta \sigma \tau \nu$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Cognate accusative ( $\alpha v \xi \eta \sigma \iota v$ ) with the old verb $\alpha v \xi \varepsilon$.

Col 2:20

If ye died ( $\varepsilon \alpha \pi \varepsilon Ө \alpha v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Condition of the first class, assumed as true, $\varepsilon$ and second aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \theta v \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$, to die. He is alluding to the picture of burial in baptism (2:12).

As though living in the world ( $\omega \varsigma \zeta \omega \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \kappa \circ \sigma \mu \omega)$. Concessive use of the participle with $\omega \varsigma$. The picture is that of baptism, having come out (F. B. Meyer) on the other side of the grave, we are not to act as though we had not done so. We are in the Land of Beulah.

Why do ye subject yourselves to ordinances? ( $\tau \delta o \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ?). Late and rare verb (three examples in inscriptions and often in LXX) made from $\delta$ o $\gamma \mu \alpha$, decree or ordinance. Here it makes good sense either as middle or passive. In either case they are to blame since the bond of decrees (2:14) was removed on the Cross of Christ. Paul still has in mind the rules of the ascetic wing of the Gnostics (2:16ff. ).

Col 2:21
Handle not, nor taste, nor touch ( $\mu \eta \alpha \psi \eta \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \sigma \eta \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \theta(\gamma \eta \vdash)$ ). Specimens of Gnostic rules. The Essenes took the Mosaic regulations and carried them much further and the Pharisees demanded ceremonially clean hands for all food. Later ascetics (the Latin commentators Ambrose, Hilary, Pelagius) regard these prohibitions as Paul's own instead of those of the Gnostics condemned by him. Even today men are finding that the noble prohibition law needs enlightened instruction to make it effective. That is true of all law. The Pharisees, Essenes, Gnostics made piety hinge on outward observances and rules instead of inward conviction and principle. These three verbs are all in the aorist subjunctive second person singular with $\mu \eta$, a prohibition against handling or touching these forbidden things. Two of them do not differ greatly in meaning. Нa $\eta \eta$ is aorist middle subjunctive of $\alpha \pi \tau \omega$, to fasten to, middle, to cling to, to handle. $\Theta \iota \eta \eta\llcorner\varsigma$ is second aorist active subjunctive of $\theta \mathrm{l} \gamma \gamma a v \omega$, old verb, to touch, to handle. In N.T. only here and Heb 11:28; 12:20. Гعvбך is second aorist middle subjunctive of $\gamma \varepsilon \cup \omega$, to give taste of, only middle in N.T. to taste as here.

Col 2:22
Are to perish with the using ( ( $\sigma \tau \iota v \varepsilon ા \varsigma \varphi \theta$ opav $\tau \eta \alpha \pi о \chi \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon)$. Literally, "are for perishing in the using." ФӨo $\alpha \alpha$ (from $\varphi \theta \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$ ) is old word for decay, decomposition. Aтох $\rho \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$ (from $\alpha \pi о х \rho \alpha о \mu \alpha$, to use to the full, to use up), late and rare word (in Plutarch), here only in N.T. Either locative case here or instrumental. These material things all perish in the use of them. Col 2:23

Which things ( $\alpha \tau \imath v \alpha$ ). "Which very things," these ascetic regulations.
Have indeed a show of wisdom ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \lambda o \gamma o v \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau \alpha \sigma о \varphi \iota \varsigma)$ ). Periphrastic present indicative with $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ in the singular, but present indicative $\varepsilon \chi \circ \vee \tau \alpha$ in the plural ( $\alpha \tau \imath \alpha$ ). $\Lambda o \gamma o v$ oopıac is probably "the repute of wisdom" (Abbott) like Plato and Herodotus. Mev (in deed) has no corresponding $\delta \varepsilon$.

In will-worship ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \lambda о \theta \rho \eta \sigma \kappa \kappa \alpha)$. This word occurs nowhere else and was probably coined by Paul after the pattern of $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \lambda o \delta o u \lambda \varepsilon ı a$, to describe the voluntary worship of angels (see 2:18).

And humility ( $\kappa \alpha$ талєıvoчробטvף). Clearly here the bad sense, "in mock humility."
And severity to the body ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \iota \delta \iota \alpha \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \sigma \varsigma)$. Old word (Plato) from $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \iota \delta \eta \varsigma$, unsparing ( $\alpha$ privative, $\varphi \varepsilon \iota \delta \rho \mu \alpha$, to spare). Here alone in N.T. Ascetics often practice flagellations and other hardnesses to the body.

Not of any value (ovk $\varepsilon v \tau \mu \eta \tau \tau v$ ). T $\mu \eta$ usually means honour or price.
Against the indulgence of the flesh ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \mu \circ \nu \eta \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa о \varsigma$ ). These words are sharply debated along with $\tau \mu \eta$ just before. It is not unusual for $\pi \rho o \varsigma$ to be found in the sense of "against" rather than "with" or "for." See $\pi \rho o s$ in sense of
against in 3:13; Eph 6:11f.; 2Co 5:12; 1Co 6:1 . П $\lambda \eta \sigma \mu \circ \nu \eta$ is an old word from $\pi \mu \mu \pi \eta \mu$, to fill and means satiety. It occurs here only in the N.T. Peake is inclined to agree with Hort and Haupt that there is a primitive corruption here. But the translation in the Revised Version is possible and it is true that mere rules do not carry us very far in human conduct as every father or mother knows, though we must have some regulations in family and state and church. But they are not enough of themselves.

## Colossians 3

Col 3:1
If then ye were raised together with Christ ( $\varepsilon$ ouv $\sigma v v \eta \gamma \varepsilon \rho \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon \tau \omega$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ ). Condition of the first class, assumed as true, like that in 2:20 and the other half of the picture of baptism in 2:12 and using the same form $\sigma v v \eta \gamma \varepsilon \rho \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ as then which see for the verb $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \rho \omega$. Associative instrumental case of X $\rho \circ \sigma \tau \omega$.

The things that are above ( $\tau \alpha \alpha v \omega$ ). "The upward things" (cf. Php 3:14), the treasure in heaven (Mt 6:20). Paul gives this ideal and goal in place of merely ascetic rules.

Seated on the right hand of God ( $\varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \xi ı \alpha$ тov $\theta \varepsilon o v ~ к \alpha \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma) . ~ N o t ~ p e r i p h r a s t i c ~ v e r b, ~$ but additional statement. Christ is up there and at God's right hand. Cf. 2:3.

Col 3:2
Set your mind on ( $\varphi$ poveıt ). "Keep on thinking about." It does matter what we think and we are responsible for our thoughts.

Not on the things that are upon the earth ( $\mu \eta \tau \alpha \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma$ ). Paul does not mean that we should never think the things upon the earth, but that these should not be our aim, our goal, our master. The Christian has to keep his feet upon the earth, but his head in the heavens. He must be heavenly-minded here on earth and so help to make earth like heaven.

Col 3:3
For ye died ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon$ Өavet $\gamma \alpha \rho$ ). Definite event, aorist active indicative, died to $\sin$ (Ro 6:2).

Is hid ( $\kappa \varepsilon \kappa \rho \cup \pi \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\kappa \rho \cup \pi \tau \omega$, old verb, to hide, remains concealed, locked "together with" ( $\sigma v v$ ) Christ, "in" ( $\varepsilon v$ ) God. No hellish burglar can break that combination.

Col 3:4
When Christ shall be manifested (o $\tau \alpha v$ o X $\rho ı \sigma \tau o \varsigma ~ \varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta$ ). Indefinite temporal clause with otav and the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\varphi a v \varepsilon \rho o \omega$, "whenever Christ is manifested," a reference to the second coming of Christ as looked for and longed for, but wholly uncertain as to time. See this same verb used of the second coming in 1Jo 3:2 .

Ye also together with him ( $\kappa \alpha \cup \mu \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \sigma u v ~ \alpha \nu \tau \omega)$. That is the joy of this blessed hope. He repeats the verb about us $\varphi a v \varepsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ (future passive indicative) and adds $\varepsilon v \delta o \xi \eta$ (in glory). Not to respond to this high appeal is to be like Bunyan's man with the muck-rake.

Col 3:5
Mortify ( $\nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho o \omega$, late verb, to put to death, to treat as dead. Latin Vulgate mortifico, but "mortify" is coming with us to mean putrify. Paul boldly applies the metaphor of death (2:20; 3:3) pictured in baptism (2:12) to the actual life of the Christian. He is not to go to the other Gnostic extreme of license on the plea that the soul is not affected by the deeds of the body. Paul's idea is that the body is the temple
of the Holy Spirit (1Co 6:19). He mentions some of these "members upon the earth" like fornication ( $\pi$ о $\rho v \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ ), uncleanness ( $\alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \sigma \iota \alpha v$ ), passion ( $\pi \alpha \theta$ oৎ), evil desire ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu ı \alpha v$ $\kappa \alpha \kappa \eta v)$, covetousness ( $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \sigma v \varepsilon \xi \iota \alpha v$ ) "the which is idolatry" ( $\eta \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \omega \lambda 0 \lambda \alpha \tau \rho \iota \alpha)$. See the longer list of the works of the flesh in Gal 5:19-21, though covetousness is not there named, but it is in Eph 4:19; 5:5.

Col 3:6
Cometh the wrath of God ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \eta$ opү $\eta$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Paul does not regard these sins of the flesh as matters of indifference, far otherwise. Many old MSS. do not have "upon the sons of disobedience," genuine words in Eph 5:6.

Col 3:7
Walked aforetime ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon \pi о \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist (constative) indicative referring to their previous pagan state.

When ye lived (o $\tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active indicative of $\zeta \alpha \omega$, to live, "ye used to live" (customary action). Sharp distinction in the tenses.

Col 3:8
But now ( $v u v \delta \varepsilon$ ). Emphatic form of $v v v$ in decided contrast (to $\pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ in verse 7 ) in the resurrection life of 2:12; 3:1.

Put ye also away ( $\alpha \pi \mathrm{\sigma} \theta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \nu \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Second aorist middle imperative of old verb $\alpha \pi о \tau ө \theta \eta \mu$, to put away, lay aside like old clothes. This metaphor of clothing Paul now uses with several verbs ( $\alpha \pi \circ \theta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ here, $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \delta \nu \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o$ in verse $9, \varepsilon v \delta v \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o$ in verse 10 , $\varepsilon v \delta v \sigma a \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ in verse 12).

All these ( $\tau \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ). The whole bunch of filthy rags (anger op $\eta \eta \nu$, wrath $\theta \nu \mu o \nu$, malice какı $\alpha$, , railing $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \iota \nu$, shameful speaking $\alpha \iota \sigma \chi \rho о \lambda о \gamma \iota \alpha v)$. See somewhat similar lists of vices in Col 3:5; Ga 5:20; Eph 4:29-31. These words have all been discussed except aıбхро $\quad$ oүıav, an old word for low and obscene speech which occurs here only in the N.T. It is made from aıбхро入оүоৎ ( $\alpha \iota \sigma \chi \rho \circ \varsigma$ as in 1Co 11:6 and that from aıбооৎ, disgrace). Note also the addition of "out of your mouth" ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ tov $\sigma \tau о \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma ~ \nu \mu \omega v$ ). The word was used for both abusive and filthy talk and Lightfoot combines both ideas as often happens. Such language should never come out of the mouth of a Christian living the new life in Christ.

Col 3:9
Lie not to another ( $\mu \eta \psi \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon ı \varsigma \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o u \varsigma)$. Lying ( $\psi \varepsilon v \delta o \varsigma)$ could have been included in the preceding list where it belongs in reality. But it is put more pointedly thus in the prohibition ( $\mu \eta$ and the present middle imperative). It means either "stop lying" or "do not have the habit of lying."

Seeing that ye have put off ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \delta v \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). First aorist middle participle (causal sense of the circumstantial participle) of the double compound verb $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \delta v o \mu \alpha$, for which see 2:15. The $\alpha \pi 0$ has the perfective sense (wholly), "having stripped clean off." The same metaphor as $\alpha \pi 0 \theta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ in verse 8 .

The old man ( $\tau 0 v \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha ı v \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ ). Here Paul brings in another metaphor (mixes his metaphors as he often does), that of the old life of sin regarded as "the ancient man" of sin already crucified (Ro 6:6) and dropped now once and for all as a mode of life (aorist tense). See same figure in Eph 4:22. Пa入aoc is ancient in contrast with veoc (young, new) as in Mt 9:17 or кaivoc (fresh, unused) as in Mt 13:52.

With his doings ( $\sigma \cup \vee \tau \alpha ı \varsigma ~ \pi \rho \alpha \xi \varepsilon \sigma ı v ~ a v \tau o v) . ~ P r a c t i c e ~ m u s t ~ s q u a r e ~ w i t h ~ p r o f e s s i o n . ~$
Col 3:10
And have put on ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon v \delta v \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). First aorist middle participle (in causal sense as before) of $\varepsilon v \delta v v \omega$, old and common verb (Latin induo, English endue) for putting on a garment. Used of putting on Christ (Ga 3:27; Ro 13:14 ).

The new man ( $\tau 0 \nu v \varepsilon \sigma v$ ). "The new (young as opposed to old $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma$ ) man" (though $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi o v$ is not here expressed, but understood from the preceding phrase). In Eph 4:24 Paul has $\varepsilon v \delta v \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$ tov кaivov (fresh as opposed to worn out) $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ то.

Which is being renewed ( $\tau о v$ avakaıvov $\mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Present passive articular participle of avakaivo $\omega$. Paul apparently coined this word on the analogy of avaveoua. Avakaıvi $\zeta \omega$ already existed (Heb 6:6). Paul also uses avakaıvตбıc (Ro 12:2; Tit 3:5) found nowhere before him. By this word Paul adds the meaning of kaıvos to that of $v \varepsilon o \varsigma ~ j u s t ~ b e f o r e . ~ I t ~ i s ~ a ~$ continual refreshment (kaıvoc) of the new (veoc, young) man in Christ Jesus.

Unto knowledge ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \pi \iota \gamma v \omega \sigma \iota v)$. "Unto full (additional) knowledge," one of the keywords in this Epistle.

After the image ( $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \operatorname{\varepsilon \kappa ov\alpha ).~An~allusion~to~Ge~1:26,28.~The~restoration~of~the~image~}$ of God in us is gradual and progressive (2Co 3:18 ), but will be complete in the final result (Ro 8:29; 1Jo 3:2 ).

Col 3:11
Where (orov). In this "new man" in Christ. Cf. Ga 3:28.
There cannot be (ouk $\varepsilon v$ ). Ev is the long (original) form of $\varepsilon v$ and $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \tau v$ is to be understood. "There does not exist." This is the ideal which is still a long way ahead of modern Christians as the Great War proved. Race distinctions (Greek H $\Sigma \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ and Jew Iov $\delta a \circ o \varsigma$ ) disappear in Christ and in the new man in Christ. The Jews looked on all others as Greeks (Gentiles). Circumcision ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau \circ \mu \eta$ ) and uncircumcision ( $\alpha \kappa \rho \circ \beta \cup \sigma \tau \iota \alpha$ ) put the Jewish picture with the cleavage made plainer (cf. Eph 2 ). The Greeks and Romans regarded all others as barbarians ( $\beta a \rho \beta \alpha \rho o$, Ro 1:14), users of outlandish jargon or gibberish, onomatopoetic repetition ( $\beta \alpha \rho-\beta \alpha \rho$ ).

A Scythian ( $\Sigma \kappa \cup Ө \eta \varsigma)$ was simply the climax of barbarity, bar-baris barbariores (Bengel), used for any rough person like our "Goths and Vandals."

Bondman ( $\delta o u \lambda o \varsigma, ~ f r o m ~ \delta \varepsilon \omega$, to bind),
freeman ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$, from $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to go). Class distinctions vanish in Christ. In the Christian churches were found slaves, freedmen, freemen, masters. Perhaps Paul has

Philemon and Onesimus in mind. But labour and capital still furnish a problem for modern Christianity.

But Christ is all ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha$ Xpıбтo¢). Demosthenes and Lucian use the neuter plural to describe persons as Paul does here of Christ. The plural $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ is more inclusive than the singular $\pi \alpha v$ would be.

And in all ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon v \pi \alpha \sigma เ v)$. Locative plural and neuter also. "Christ occupies the whole sphere of human life and permeates all its developments" (Lightfoot). Christ has obliterated the words barbarian, master, slave, all of them and has substituted the word $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o$, (brother).

Col 3:12
Put on therefore ( $\varepsilon v \delta v \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon o u v)$. First aorist middle imperative of $\varepsilon v \delta u v \omega$ (verse 10). He explains and applies (ovv therefore) the figure of "the new man" as "the new garment."

As God's elect ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \frac{1}{}$ tov $\left.\theta \varepsilon o v\right)$ ). Same phrase in Ro 8:33; Tit 1:1 . In the Gospels a distinction exists between $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \circ \varsigma$ and $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \circ \varsigma$ (Mt 24:22,24,31 ), but no distinction appears in Paul's writings. Here further described as "holy and beloved" (aүı ка $\eta \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). The items in the new clothing for the new man in Christ Paul now gives in contrast with what was put off (3:8). The garments include a heart of compassion ( $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \vee \alpha$ oוкть $\rho \mu$ оv, the nobler viscera as the seat of emotion as in Lu 1:78; Php 1:8), kindness ( $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau о \tau \eta \tau \alpha$, as in $\mathrm{Ga} 5: 22$ ), humility ( $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ v o \varphi \rho о \sigma \nu v \eta \nu$, in the good sense as in Php 2:3), meekness ( $\pi \rho \alpha \nu \tau \eta \tau \alpha$, in Ga 5:23 and in Eph 4:2 also with $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon ı v o \varphi \rho o \sigma v v \eta$ ), long-suffering ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta \nu \mu \propto \nu$, in Ga 5:22; Col 1:11; Jas 5:10).

Col 3:13
Forbearing one another ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \omega v$ ). Present middle (direct) participle of $\alpha v \varepsilon \chi \omega$ with the ablative case ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \omega v$ ), "holding yourselves back from one another."
 with the dative case of the reflexive pronoun (عautoıs) instead of the reciprocal just before ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \omega v$ ).

If any man have ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \chi \eta$ ). Third class condition ( $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and present active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \chi \omega)$.

Complaint ( $\mu \circ \mu \varphi \eta \nu$ ). Old word from $\mu \varepsilon \mu \varphi \rho \mu \alpha$, to blame. Only here in N.T. Note $\pi \rho o \varsigma$ here with $\tau ו v \alpha$ in the sense of against for comparison with $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ in 2:31.
 forgiveness of us is here made the reason for our forgiveness of others. See Mt 6:12,14f. where our forgiveness of others is made by Jesus a prerequisite to our obtaining forgiveness from God.

Col 3:14
And above all these things ( $\varepsilon \pi \pi \alpha \sigma v \nu \varepsilon$ tov $\pi о ぃ)$. "And upon all these things."

Put on love（ $\tau \eta v$ a $\gamma a \pi \eta v$ ）．See Lu 3：20．The verb has to be supplied（ $\varepsilon v \delta v \sigma a \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ）from verse 12 as the accusative case $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta v$ shows．

Which is（o eб兀ıv）．Neuter singular of the relative and not feminine like $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta$（the antecedent）nor masculine like $\sigma 0 v \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma$ in the predicate．However，there are similar ex－ amples of o عбтiv in the sense of quod est（id est），＂that is，＂in Mr 14：42；15：42，without agreement in gender and number．So also Eph 5：5 where o $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v=$＂which thing．＂

The bond of perfectness（ $\sigma u v \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ o \tau \eta \tau \circ \varsigma)$ ．See 2：19 for $\sigma u v \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma$. Here it is apparently the girdle that holds the various garments together．The genitive（ $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ⿺ 𠃊 \tau \eta \tau \circ \varsigma)$ is probably that of apposition with the girdle of love．In a succinct way Paul has here put the idea about love set forth so wonderfully in 1Co 13 ．

Col 3：15
The peace of Christ（ $\eta \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \nu \eta$ tov Xpıбтov）．The peace that Christ gives（Joh 14：27）．
Rule（ $\beta \rho \alpha \beta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \omega$ ）．Imperative active third singular of $\beta \rho \alpha \beta \varepsilon v \omega$ ，to act as umpire （ $\beta \rho \alpha \beta \varepsilon \cup \varsigma)$ ，old verb，here alone in N．T．See 1Co 7：15 for called in peace．

In one body（ $\varepsilon v \varepsilon v \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau)$ ．With one Head（Christ）as in 1：18，24 ．
Be ye thankful（ $\varepsilon \cup \chi \alpha \rho ı \sigma \tau o ~ \gamma ı v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon)$ ）＂Keep on becoming thankful．＂Continuous oblig－ ation．

Col 3：16
The word of Christ（o $\begin{gathered}\text { дoүos tov Xpıбтov）．This precise phrase only here，though＂the }\end{gathered}$ word of the Lord＂in 1Th $1: 8 ; 4: 15 ; 2$ Th 3：1 ．Elsewhere＂the word of God．＂Paul is exalting Christ in this Epistle．Xpıбтov can be either the subjective genitive（the word delivered by Christ）or the objective genitive（the word about Christ）．See 1Jo 2：14 ．

Dwell（عvoוкยıt $\omega$ ）．Present active imperative of $\varepsilon v o ו \kappa \varepsilon \omega$ ，to make one＇s home，to be at home．

In you（ $\varepsilon v \nu \mu \nu v$ ）．Not＂among you．＂
Richly（ $\pi \lambda$ ova $\omega \varsigma$ ）．Old adverb from $\pi \lambda$ ovotoৎ（rich）．See 1Ti 6：17．The following words explain $\pi \lambda$ ovo $\omega \varsigma$ ．

In all wisdom（ $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \sigma 0 \varphi เ \alpha)$ ．It is not clear whether this phrase goes with $\pi \lambda$ ovolw $\varsigma$ （richly）or with the participles following（ $\delta \delta \alpha$ ккоขтєऽ ка vouӨعтоиขтєऽ，see 1：28）．Either punctuation makes good sense．The older Greek MSS．had no punctuation．There is an anacoluthon here．The participles may be used as imperatives as in Ro 12：11f．， 16 ．

With psalms（ $\psi \alpha \lambda \mu o \kappa$, the Psalms in the Old Testament originally with musical accom－ paniment），
hymns（v $\mu v o \iota \varsigma$ ，praises to God composed by the Christians like 1Ti 3：16），
spiritual songs（ $\omega \iota \delta a \iota \varsigma \pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \varsigma$ ，general description of all whether with or without instrumental accompaniment）．The same song can have all three words applied to it．

Singing with grace ( $\varepsilon v \chi$ 人 $\uparrow \tau$ aı $\delta o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). In God's grace (2Co 1:12). The phrase can be taken with the preceding words. The verb $\alpha \iota \delta \omega$ is an old one (Eph 5:19) for lyrical emotion in a devout soul.

In your hearts ( $\varepsilon v \tau \alpha ı \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha \rho \delta ı a ı \varsigma ~ v \mu \omega v)$. Without this there is no real worship "to God" $(\tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega)$. How can a Jew or Unitarian in the choir lead in the worship of Christ as Saviour? Whether with instrument or with voice or with both it is all for naught if the adoration is not in the heart.

Col 3:17
Whatsoever ye do ( $\pi \alpha \vee$ oт $\varepsilon \alpha v \pi o ı \tau \varepsilon$ ). Indefinite relative (everything whatever) with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and the present active subjunctive, a common idiom in such clauses.

Do all ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ). The imperative $\pi о เ \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ has to be supplied from $\pi \sigma \nmid \tau \varepsilon$ in the relative clause. Пavта is repeated from $\pi \alpha v$ (singular), but in the plural (all things). П $\alpha v$ is left as a nominative absolute as in Mt 10:32; Lu 12:10 . This is a sort of Golden Rule for Christians "in the name of the Lord Jesus" ( $\varepsilon v$ оvoнat Kvpıov I I $\sigma o v$ ), in the spirit of the Lord Jesus (Eph 5:20). What follows (directions to the various groups) is in this same vein. Sociological problems have always existed. Paul puts his finger on the sore spot in each group with unerring skill like a true diagnostician.

Col 3:18
Wives (ка $ү$ үvаıкєऽ). The article here distinguishes class from class and with the vocative case can be best rendered "Ye wives." So with each group.
 genuine in Eph 5:22, but not here. The verb vлотаббо $\mu \alpha$ has a military air, common in the Koine for such obedience. Obedience in government is essential as the same word shows in Ro 13:1,5 .

As is fitting in the Lord ( $\omega \varsigma \alpha \vee \eta \kappa \varepsilon v \varepsilon v K v \rho \iota \omega$ ). This is an idiomatic use of the imperfect indicative with verbs of propriety in present time (Robertson, Grammar, p. 919). Wives have rights and privileges, but recognition of the husband's leadership is essential to a wellordered home, only the assumption is that the husband has a head and a wise one.

Col 3:19
 That is precisely the point.

Be not bitter ( $\mu \eta \pi \_\kappa \rho \alpha \iota v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Present middle imperative in prohibition: "Stop being bitter" or "do not have the habit of being bitter." This is the sin of husbands. Пıкрaıv $\omega$ is an old verb from $\pi \kappa \kappa \rho о \varsigma$ (bitter). In N.T. only here and Re 8:11; 10:9f. The bitter word rankles in the soul.

Col 3:20
Obey your parents (vтакоиعte toı $\gamma$ оvevoıv). Old verb to listen under (as looking up), to hearken, to heed, to obey.

In all things (ката $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha)$. This is the hard part for the child, not occasional obedience, but continual. Surely a Christian father or mother will not make unreasonable or unjust demands of the child. Nowhere does modern civilization show more weakness than just here. Waves of lawlessness sweep over the world because the child was not taught to obey. Again Paul argues that this is "in the Lord" ( $\varepsilon v K v \rho \iota \omega)$.

Col 3:21
Provoke not ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \theta_{\iota} \zeta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present imperative of old verb from $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \theta \omega$, to excite. Only twice in N.T., here in bad sense, in good sense in 2Co 9:2 (to stimulate). Here it means to nag and as a habit (present tense).

That they be not discouraged ( $v \alpha \mu \eta \alpha \theta v \mu \omega \sigma \tau v$ ). Negative purpose ( $\imath v \alpha \mu \eta$ ) with the present subjunctive (continued discouragement) of $\alpha \theta v \mu \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, but only here in N.T., from $\alpha \theta v \mu \circ \varsigma$ (dispirited, a privative, $\theta v \mu \circ \varsigma$, spirit or courage). One does not have to read Jane Eyre or Oliver Twist to know something of the sorrows of childhood as is witnessed by runaway children and even child suicides.

Col 3:22
Your masters according to the flesh (тоь ката барка кирıоц). "Lords" really, but these Christian slaves ( $\delta o u \lambda o$ ) had Christ as lord, but even so they were to obey their lords in the flesh.

Not with eye-service ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon v o \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \circ \delta o u \lambda ı a ı \varsigma)$. Another Pauline word (here only and Eph 6:6 ), elsewhere only in Christian writers after Paul, an easy and expressive compound, service while the master's eye was on the slave and no longer.

Men-pleasers ( $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \sigma$ ). Late compound only in LXX and Paul (here and Eph 6:6).

In singleness of heart ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \pi \lambda o \tau \eta \tau \operatorname{k\alpha \rho } \delta \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. So in Eph 6:5. Old and expressive word from $\alpha \pi \lambda$ ouc (simple, without folds). See 2Co 11:3 .

Fearing the Lord ( $\varphi o ß o v \mu \varepsilon v o$ tov Kvpıov). Rather than the lords according to the flesh.

Col 3:23
Whatsoever ye do (o eav $\pi$ oı $\eta \tau$ ). See same idiom in 3:17 except o instead of $\pi \alpha \nu$ ot.
Heartily ( $\varepsilon \kappa \psi \cup \chi \eta \varsigma$ ). From the soul and not with mere eye service. In Eph 6:7 Paul adds $\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ عvvooac (with good will) in explanation of $\varepsilon \kappa \psi \cup \chi \eta \varsigma$.

As unto the Lord ( $\omega \varsigma \tau \omega \mathrm{K} v \rho \omega \omega$ ). Even when unto men. This is the highest test of worthwhile service. If it were only always true!

Col 3:24
Ye shall receive ( $\alpha \pi о \lambda \eta \mu \psi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Future middle indicative of $\alpha \pi о \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, old verb, to get back ( $\alpha \pi 0$ ), to recover.

The recompense ( $\alpha v \tau \alpha \pi o \delta o \sigma i v$ ). "The full recompense," old word, in LXX, but only here in N.T., but $\alpha v \tau \alpha \pi о \delta o \mu \alpha$ twice (Lu 14:12; Ro 11:9 ). Given back ( $\alpha \pi 0$ ) in return ( $\alpha v \tau$ ).

Ye serve the Lord Christ ( $\tau 0 \mathrm{Kv} \mathrm{\rho ı} \mathrm{\omega} \mathrm{X} \mathrm{\rho ı} \mathrm{\sigma} \mathrm{\tau} \mathrm{\omega} \delta o u \lambda \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). As his slaves and gladly so. Perhaps better as imperatives, keep on serving.

Col 3:25
Shall receive again for the wrong that he hath done (конобєта о $\eta \delta \iota \kappa \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). It is not clear whether o $\alpha \delta \iota \kappa \omega \nu$ (he that doeth wrong) is the master or the slave. It is true of either and Lightfoot interprets it of both, "shall receive back the wrong which he did." This is a general law of life and of God and it is fair and square.

There is no respect of persons (ovk عбтıv $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi$ о $\lambda \eta \mu \psi i \alpha$ ). There is with men, but not with God. For this word patterned after the Hebrew see Ro 2:11; Eph 6:9; Jas 2:1 The next verse should be in this chapter also.

## Colossians 4

Col 4:1
That which is just and equal ( $\tau \boldsymbol{\delta} \delta \kappa \alpha \iota v \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \nu \iota \sigma о \tau \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Paul changes from to เбov (like to $\delta$ เкaıov, neuter singular adjective with article for abstract idea) to the abstract substantive เซoזท५, old word, in N.T. only here and 2Co 8:13f. If employers always did this, there would be no labour problem.

A Master in heaven (Kupıov $\varepsilon v \operatorname{ov\rho av\omega }$ ). A wholesome reminder to the effect that he keeps his eye on the conduct of masters of men here towards their employees.

Col 4:2
Continue steadfastly ( $\pi \rho о \sigma к \alpha \rho \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon)$. See Mr 3:9; Ac 2:42,46 for this interesting word from $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ and карт $\varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$ (strong), common in the Koine.

Watching ( $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma$ оооиvtє¢). Present active participle of $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma \circ \rho \varepsilon \omega$, late present made on perfect active stem $\varepsilon \gamma \rho \eta \gamma \circ \rho \alpha$ with loss of $\varepsilon$-, found first in Aristotle.

Col 4:3
Withal ( $\alpha \mu \alpha$ ). At the same time.
That God may open (ıva o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma ~ \alpha v o i \xi \eta$ ). Common use of iva and the subjunctive (aorist), the sub-final use so common in the N.T. as in the Koine.

A door for the word ( $\theta$ vpav rov $\lambda o \gamma o v$ ). Objective genitive, a door for preaching. It is comforting to other preachers to see the greatest of all preachers here asking prayer that he may be set free again to preach. He uses this figure elsewhere, once of a great and open door with many adversaries in Ephesus (1Co 16:9), once of an open door that he could not enter in Troas (2Co 2:12).
 mystery which is Christ ( 2:2), one that puts out of comparison the foolish "mysteries" of the Gnostics.

For which I am also in bonds ( $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ o к $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \mu \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\delta \varepsilon \omega$. Paul is always conscious of this limitation, this chain. At bottom he is a prisoner because of his preaching to the Gentiles.

Col 4:4
As I ought to speak ( $\omega \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). Wonderful as Paul's preaching was to his hearers and seems to us, he was never satisfied with it. What preacher can be?

Col 4:5
Toward them that are without ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau о \cup \varsigma \xi \omega)$ ). A Pauline phrase for those outside the churches (1Th 5:12; 1Co 5:12f. ). It takes wise walking to win them to Christ.

Redeeming the time ( $\tau 0 v \kappa \alpha \iota \rho о \nu \varepsilon \xi \alpha \gamma о \rho \alpha \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). We all have the same time. Paul goes into the open market and buys it up by using it rightly. See the same metaphor in Eph 5:16.

## Col 4:6

Seasoned with salt ( $\alpha \lambda \alpha \tau \eta \rho \tau v \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. The same verb $\alpha \rho \tau v \omega$ (old verb from $\alpha \iota \rho \omega$, to fit, to arrange) about salt in Mr 9:50; Lu 14:34. Nowhere else in the N.T. Not too much salt, not too little. Plutarch uses salt of speech, the wit which flavours speech (cf. Attic salt). Our word salacious is this same word degenerated into vulgarity. Grace and salt (wit, sense) make an ideal combination. Every teacher will sympathize with Paul's desire "that ye know how ye must answer each one" ( $\varepsilon \iota \delta \varepsilon v \alpha \pi \omega \varsigma \delta \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \omega$ a $\pi$ окрıv $\sigma \sigma \alpha$ ). Who does know?

Col 4:7
All my affairs ( $\tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ). "All the things relating to me." The accusative case the object of $\gamma v \omega \rho \iota \sigma \varepsilon$. The same idiom in Ac 25:14; Php 1:2 .

Tychicus (Tuхıкоя). Mentioned also in Eph 6:21 as the bearer of that Epistle and with the same verb $\gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \sigma \varepsilon$ (future active of $\gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \zeta \omega$ ) and with the same descriptive epithet as here (o $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \tau о \varsigma ~ \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi о \varsigma к \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau о \varsigma ~ \delta ı \alpha \kappa о v o \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \nu ~ К v \rho ı \omega$, the beloved brother and faithful minister in the Lord) except that here we have also кa $\sigma u v \delta o u \lambda o c$ (and fellow-servant). Abbott suggests that Paul adds $\sigma v v \delta o u \lambda o \varsigma$ because he had used it of Epaphras in 1:7. Perhaps mıбтoৎ goes with both substantives and means faithful to Paul as well as to Christ.

Col 4:8
I have sent $(\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \alpha)$. Epistolary aorist active indicative of $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega$ as in Eph 6:22 .
That ye may know ( $\llcorner v \alpha \gamma \nu \omega \tau \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist (ingressive) active subjunctive of $\gamma เ \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, "that ye may come to know." This the correct text, not $\gamma v \omega$ (third singular).

Our estate ( $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \omega v$ ). "The things concerning us."
May comfort ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha к \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \eta)$. First aorist active subjunctive. Proper rendering here and not "may exhort."

Col 4:9
Together with Onesimus ( $\sigma v \nu \mathrm{O} \nu \eta \sigma \mu \omega)$ ). Co-bearer of the letter with Tychicus and praised on a par with him, runaway slave though he is.

Who is one of you ( $o \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \varepsilon \xi \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Said not as a reproach to Colossae for having such a man, but as a privilege to the church in Colossae to give a proper welcome to this returning converted slave and to treat him as a brother as Paul argues to Philemon.

Col 4:10
Aristarchus (Apıбтархоৎ). He was from Thessalonica and accompanied Paul to Jerusalem with the collection (Ac 19:29; 20:4) and started with Paul to Rome (Ac 27:2; Phm 1:24 ). Whether he has been with Paul all the time in Rome we do not know, but he is here now.

My fellow-prisoner (o $\sigma v v a i \chi \mu \lambda \lambda \omega \tau \sigma \varsigma \mu \circ v$ ). One of Paul's compounds, found elsewhere only in Lucian. Paul uses it of Epaphras in Phm 1:23, but whether of actual voluntary imprisonment or of spiritual imprisonment like $\sigma v v \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ (fellow-soldier) in Php 2:25;

Phm 1:2 we do not know. Abbott argues for a literal imprisonment and it is possible that some of Paul's co-workers ( $\sigma 0 \vee-\varepsilon \rho \gamma 0$ ) voluntarily shared imprisonment with him by turns.

Mark (Маркос). Once rejected by Paul for his defection in the work (Ac 15:36-39), but now cordially commended because he had made good again.

The cousin of Barnabas ( $\boldsymbol{o} \alpha v \varepsilon \psi \iota o \varsigma ~ B \alpha \rho v \alpha \beta \alpha$ ). It was used for "nephew" very late, clearly "cousin" here and common so in the papyri. This kinship explains the interest of Barnabas in Mark (Ac 12:25; 13:5; 15:36-39).

If he come unto you, receive him ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta \pi \rho o \varsigma v \mu \alpha \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v$ ). This third class conditional sentence ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ and second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha)$ gives the substance of the commands (عvto入ac) about Mark already sent, how we do not know. But Paul's commendation of Mark is hearty and unreserved as he does later in 2Ti 4:11. The verb $\delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ is the usual one for hospitable reception (Mt 10:14; Joh 4:45) like $\pi \rho o \sigma \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ (Php 2:29) and vтобєхона (Lu 10:38).

Col 4:11
Jesus which is called Justus (I $\eta \sigma o v \varsigma$ о $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ Iovбтоৎ). Another illustration of the frequency of the name Jesus (Joshua). The surname Justus is the Latin Justus for the Greek $\Delta$ เкaios and the Hebrew Zadok and very common as a surname among the Jews. The name appears for two others in the N.T. (Ac 1:23; 18:7).
 not necessarily Judaizers like those so termed in Ac 11:3 (о єк $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau о \mu \eta \varsigma . ~ C f . ~ A c ~ 35: 1,5 ~) . ~$

These only (ovto $\mu$ ovo). "Of the circumcision" (Jews) he means.
 an old word (here only in N.T.) from $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \gamma \quad \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to make an address) and means solace, relief. A medical term. Curiously enough our word paregoric comes from it ( $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \gamma о \rho є к о \varsigma) . ~$

Col 4:12
Epaphras who is one of you (Елачрац o $\varepsilon \xi \nu \mu \omega v$ ). See 1:7 for previous mention of this brother who had brought Paul news from Colossae.

Always striving for you ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \circ \tau \varepsilon \alpha \gamma \omega v \iota \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v \circ \varsigma ~ v \pi \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \omega v$ ). See 1:29 of Paul.
That ye may stand (ıva o $\sigma \alpha \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Final clause, first aorist passive subjunctive (according to Aleph B) rather than the usual second aorist active subjunctives ( $\sigma \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ) of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ (according to A C D).

Fully assured ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho о \varphi о \rho \eta \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho о \varphi о \rho \varepsilon \omega$, late compound, for which see Lu 1:1; Ro 14:5.

Col 4:13
And for them in Hierapolis ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \omega v \varepsilon v \mathrm{H} \varepsilon \rho \alpha \Pi о \lambda \varepsilon$ ). The third of the three cities in the Lycus Valley which had not seen Paul's face (2:1). It was across the valley from Laodicea. Probably Epaphras had evangelized all three cities and all were in peril from the Gnostics.

Col 4:14
 1:24; $2 \mathrm{Ti} 4: 11$. The author of the Gospel and the Acts. Both Mark and Luke are with Paul at this time, possibly also with copies of their Gospels with them. The article here (repeated) may mean "my beloved physician." It would seem certain that Luke looked after Paul's health and that Paul loved him. Paul was Luke's hero, but it was not a one-sided affection. It is beautiful to see preacher and physician warm friends in the community.

Demas ( $\Delta \eta \mu a \varsigma$ ). Just his name here (a contraction of Demetrius), but in 2Ti 4:10 he is mentioned as one who deserted Paul.

Col 4:15
Nymphas (Nv $\mu \varphi \alpha v$ ). That is masculine, if avtov (his) is genuine (D E K L) after кат' oוкоv, but $\mathrm{N} \nu \mu \varphi \alpha$ (feminine) if $\alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma$ (her) is read (B 67). Aleph A C P read $\alpha \cup \tau \omega \nu$ (their), perhaps including $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi$ оиৎ (brethren) and so locating this church ( $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \omega \alpha$ ) in Laodicea. It was not till the third century that separate buildings were used for church worship. See Ro 16:5 for Prisca and Aquila. It is not possible to tell whether it is "her" or "his" house here.

Col 4:16
When this epistle hath been read among you (o $\tau \alpha v \alpha v \alpha \gamma v \omega \sigma \theta \eta \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} v \mu \nu \nu \eta \varepsilon \pi \prime \sigma \tau o \lambda \eta$ ). Indefinite temporal clause with otav (oт $\alpha v$ ) and the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\alpha v \alpha \gamma ı \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. The epistle was read in public to the church $(\operatorname{Re} 1: 3)$.

Cause that ( $\pi$ oı $\quad \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon \operatorname{va}$ ). Same idiom in Joh 11:37; Re 13:15. Old Greek preferred o $\pi \omega$ c for this idiom. See 1Th 5:27 for injunction for public reading of the Epistle.

That ye also read ( $\kappa \alpha \nu \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \alpha v \alpha \gamma v \omega \tau \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha v \alpha \gamma เ \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, to read.

And the epistle from Laodicea ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \kappa \Lambda \alpha \circ \delta \iota \kappa เ \alpha \varsigma)$. The most likely meaning is that the so-called Epistle to the Ephesians was a circular letter to various churches in the province of Asia, one copy going to Laodicea and to be passed on to Colossae as the Colossian letter was to be sent on to Laodicea. This was done usually by copying and keeping the original. See Eph 1:1 for further discussion of this matter.

Col 4:17
Take heed ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$ ). Keep an eye on.
Thou hast received in the Lord ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon v K v \rho \omega \omega$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, the verb used by Paul of getting his message from the Lord (1Co 15:3). Clearly Archippus had a call "in the Lord" as every preacher should have.

That thou fulfil it (ıva avinv $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \iota s)$. Present active subjunctive of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$, "that thou keep on filling it full." It is a life-time job.

Col 4:18
Of me Paul with mine own hand ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \mu \eta \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho$ Пau入ov). More precisely, "with the hand of me Paul." The genitive חav
$\varepsilon \mu \eta$, which is itself in the instrumental case agreeing with $\chi \varepsilon ı \rho$. So also 2Th 3:17; 1Co 16:21

My bonds ( $\mu 0 \nu \tau \omega \nu \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \omega v$ ). Genitive case with $\mu \nu \varepsilon \mu$ ovevete (remember). The chain ( $\varepsilon v \sim \lambda \nu \sigma \varepsilon$ Eph 6:20) clanked afresh as Paul took the pen to sign the salutation. He was not likely to forget it himself

## FIRST THESSALONIANS

## FROM CORINTH A.D. 50 TO 51

## BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

We cannot say that this is Paul's first letter to a church, for in 2Th 2:2 he speaks of some as palming off letters as his and in 2Th 3:17 he says that he appends his own signature to every letter after dictating it to an amanuensis (Ro 16:22). We know of one lost letter (1Co $5: 11$ ) and perhaps another (2Co 2:3). But this is the earliest one that has come down to us and it may even be the earliest New Testament book, unless the Epistle of James antedates it or even Mark's Gospel. We know, as already shown, that Paul was in Corinth and that Timothy and Silas had just arrived from Thessalonica (1Th 3:6; Ac 18:5). They had brought supplies from the Macedonian churches to supply Paul's need (2Co 11:9), as the church in Philippi did once and again while Paul was in Thessalonica (Php 4:15f. ). Before Timothy and Silas came to Corinth Paul had to work steadily at his trade as tent-maker with Aquila and Priscilla (Ac 18:3) and could only preach in the synagogue on sabbaths, but the rich stores from Macedonia released his hands and "Paul devoted himself to the word" (бuveıұєтo $\tau \omega \lambda o \gamma \omega$ Пav $\lambda \circ \varsigma$ ). He gave himself wholly to preaching now. But Timothy and Silas brought news of serious trouble in the church in Thessalonica. Some of the disciples there had misunderstood Paul's preaching about the second coming of Christ and had quit work and were making a decided disturbance on the subject. Undoubtedly Paul had touched upon eschatological matters while in Thessalonica. The Jewish leaders at Thessalonica charged it against Paul and Silas to the politarchs that they had preached another king, Jesus, in place of Caesar. Paul had preached Jesus as King of the spiritual kingdom which the Jews misrepresented to the politarchs as treason against Caesar as the Sanhedrin had done to Pilate about Jesus. Clearly Paul had said also that Jesus was going to come again according to his own promise before his ascension. Some asserted that Paul said Jesus was going to come right away and drew their own inferences for idleness and fanaticism as some do today. Strange as it may seem, there are scholars today who say that Paul did believe and say that Jesus was going to come back right away. They say this in spite of 2Th 2:1f. where Paul denies having ever said it. Undoubtedly Paul hoped for the early return of Jesus as most of the early Christians did, but that is a very different thing from setting a time for his coming. It is open to us all to hope for the speedy return of Christ, but times and seasons are with God and not with us. It is not open to us to excuse our negligence and idleness as Christians because of such a hope. That hope should serve as a spur to increased activity for Christ in order to hasten his coming. So Paul writes this group of Epistles to correct gross misapprehension and misrepresentation of his preaching about last things (eschatology). It is a rare preacher who has never been misunderstood or misrepresented.

There are excellent commentaries on the Thessalonian Epistles .

On the Greek text one may note those by
Dibelius, Handbuch zum N.T. Zweite Auflage (1925); Dobschutz, Meyer-Kommentar (1909); Ellicott, Crit. and Grammat. Comm. (1884); Findlay, Cambridge Gk. Test. (1904); Frame, Intern. Critical Comm. (1912); Lightfoot, Notes on Epistles of Paul (1895); Mayer, Die Thessalonischerbriefe (1908); Milligan, St. Paul's Epistles to the Thess. (1908); Moffatt, Expos. Gk. Test. (1910); Plummer, First Thess. (1908), Second Thess. (1908); Wohlenberg, Zahn-Komm. 2 aufl. (1908).

On the English text note those by
Adeney, New Century Bible (1907); Denney, Expos. Bible (1892); Findlay, Cambridge Bible (1891); Hutchinson, Lectures on I \& II Thess. (1883).

## 1 Thessalonians 1

1Thess 1:1
 absolute as customary in letters. Paul associates with himself Silvanus (Silas of Acts, spelled $\Sigma i \lambda \beta a v o c$ in D and the papyri), a Jew and Roman citizen, and Timothy, son of Jewish mother and Greek father, one of Paul's converts at Lystra on the first tour. They had both been with Paul at Thessalonica, though Timothy is not mentioned by Luke in Acts in Macedonia till Beroea (Ac 17:14f. ). Timothy had joined Paul in Athens (1Th 3:1f. ), had been sent back to Thessalonica, and with Silas had rejoined Paul in Corinth (1Th 3:5; Ac 18:5, 2Co 1:19 ). Silas is the elder and is mentioned first, but neither is in any sense the author of the Epistle any more than Sosthenes is co-author of I Corinthians or Timothy of II Corinthians, though Paul may sometimes have them in mind when he uses "we" in the Epistle. Paul does not here call himself "apostle" as in the later Epistles, perhaps because his position has not been so vigorously attacked as it was later. Ellicott sees in the absence of the word here a mark of the affectionate relations existing between Paul and the Thessalonians.

Unto the church of the Thessalonians ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \Theta \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda о v i \kappa \varepsilon \omega v$ ). The dative case in address. Note absence of the article with $\Theta \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda$ ovik $\omega \omega v$ because a proper name and so definite without it. This is the common use of $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ for a local body (church). The word originally meant "assembly" as in Ac 19:39, but it came to mean an organization for worship whether assembled or unassembled (cf. Ac 8:3). The only superscription in the oldest Greek manuscripts (Aleph B A) is Прос $\Theta \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda о$ vıкıц A ( To the Thessalonians First ). But probably Paul wrote no superscription and certainly he would not write A to it before he had written II Thessalonians (B). His signature at the close was the proof of genuineness (2Th 3:17) against all spurious claimants (2Th 2:2). Unfortunately the brittle papyrus on which he wrote easily perished outside of the sand heaps and tombs of Egypt or the lava covered ruins of Herculaneum. What a treasure that autograph would be!

In God the Father and the Lord Jesus Christ ( $\varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \omega \pi \alpha \tau \rho \kappa \alpha \kappa \nu \rho \omega$ J $\eta \sigma o v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ ). This church is grounded in ( $\varepsilon v$, with the locative case) and exists in the sphere and power of

God the Father and the Lord Jesus Christ. No article in the Greek, for both $\theta \varepsilon \omega$ $\pi \alpha \tau \rho$ and $\kappa v \rho \iota \omega$ J $\eta \sigma o v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ are treated as proper names. In the very beginning of this first Epistle of Paul we meet his Christology. He at once uses the full title, "Lord Jesus Christ," with all the theological content of each word. The name "Jesus" (Saviour, Mt 1:21 ) he knew, as the "Jesus of history," the personal name of the Man of Galilee, whom he had once persecuted (Ac 9:5 ), but whom he at once, after his conversion, proclaimed to be "the Messiah," (o Xpıбтоৎ, Ac 9:22). This position Paul never changed. In the great sermon at Antioch in Pisidia which Luke has preserved (Ac 13:23) Paul proved that God fulfilled his promise to

Israel by raising up "Jesus as Saviour" ( $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \alpha$ I $\eta \sigma o v v$ ). Now Paul follows the Christian custom by adding X $\rho \stackrel{\sigma}{ }$ toc (verbal from $\chi \rho \omega \omega$, to anoint) as a proper name to Jesus (Jesus Christ) as later he will often say "Christ Jesus" (Col 1:1). And he dares also to apply кирьо (Lord) to "Jesus Christ," the word appropriated by Claudius (Dominus, Kupıos) and other emperors in the emperor-worship, and also common in the Septuagint for God as in Ps 32:1f. (quoted by Paul in Ro 4:8). Paul uses Kupıo of God (1Co 3:5) or of Jesus Christ as here. In fact, he more frequently applies it to Christ when not quoting the Old Testament as in Ro $4: 8$. And here he places "the Lord Jesus Christ" in the same category and on the same plane with "God the father." There will be growth in Paul's Christology and he will never attain all the knowledge of Christ for which he longs (Php 3:10-12), but it is patent that here in his first Epistle there is no "reduced Christ" for Paul. He took Jesus as "Lord" when he surrendered to Jesus on the Damascus Road: "And I said, What shall I do, Lord? And the Lord said to me" (Ac 22:10). It is impossible to understand Paul without seeing clearly this first and final stand for the Lord Jesus Christ. Paul did not get this view of Jesus from current views of Mithra or of Isis or any other alien faith. The Risen Christ became at once for Paul the Lord of his life.

Grace to you and peace ( $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \varsigma \nu \mu ı \nu ~ к \alpha ~ \varepsilon ı \rho \eta \nu \eta)$. These words, common in Paul's Epistles, bear "the stamp of Paul's experience" (Milligan). They are not commonplace salutations, but the old words "deepened and spiritualised" (Frame). The infinitive ( $\chi \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon เ v$ ) so common in the papyri letters and seen in the New Testament also (Ac 15:23; 23:26; Jas 1:1) here gives place to $\chi$ арı, , one of the great words of the New Testament (cf. Joh 1:16f. ) and particularly of the Pauline Epistles. Perhaps no one word carries more meaning for Paul's messages than this word $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \varsigma ~(f r o m ~ \chi \alpha เ \rho \omega$, rejoice) from which $\chi \propto \rho \iota \zeta о \mu \alpha$ comes.

Peace ( $\varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \nu \eta$ ) is more than the Hebrew shalom so common in salutations. One recalls the "peace" that Christ leaves to us (Joh 14:27) and the peace of God that passes all understanding (Php 4:7). This introduction is brief, but rich and gracious and pitches the letter at once on a high plane.

1Thess 1:2
 (grateful) and that from $\varepsilon v$, well and $\chi \propto \rho \iota \zeta \rho \mu \alpha$, to show oneself kind. See $\chi \alpha \rho ı \varsigma ~ i n ~ v e r s e ~ 1 . ~$ "The plural implies that all three missionaries prayed together" (Moffatt).

Always ( $\pi \alpha v \tau 0 \tau \varepsilon)$. Late word, rare in LXX. So with $\varepsilon v \chi \alpha \rho ı \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega$ in 2Th 1:3; 2:13; 1Co 1:4; Eph 5:20; Php 1:3. Moffatt takes it to mean "whenever Paul was at his prayers." Of course, he did not make audible prayer always, but he was always in the spirit of prayer, "a constant attitude" (Milligan), "in tune with the Infinite."

For you all ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \pi \alpha v \tau \omega \nu \nu \mu \omega \nu)$. Paul "encircled ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho$, around) them all," including every one of them and the church as a whole. Distance lends enchantment to the memory of slight drawbacks. Paul is fond of this phrase "you all," particularly in Phil. (Php 1:3,7 ).

Making mention ( $\mu v \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu \pi o t o v \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Paul uses this very idiom in Rom 1:9; Eph 1:16; Phm 1:4. Milligan cites a papyrus example of $\mu \nu \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu \pi o เ o v \mu \varepsilon v o$ in prayer (B. Y. U. 652, 5). Did Paul have a prayer list of the Thessalonian disciples which he read over with Silas and Timothy?

In here is $\varepsilon \pi l=$ "in the time of our prayers." "Each time that they are engaged in prayers the writers mention the names of the converts" (Frame).

1Thess 1:3
Remembering ( $\mu \nu \eta \mu \circ v \varepsilon v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active participle of old verb from adjective $\mu \nu \eta \mu \omega \nu$ (mindful) and so to call to mind, to be mindful of, used either with the accusative as in $1 \mathrm{Th} 2: 9$ or the genitive as here.

Without ceasing ( $\alpha \delta_{\imath} \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi \tau \omega \varsigma$ ). Double compound adverb of the Koine (Polybius, Diodorus, Strabo, papyri) from the verbal adjective $\alpha-\delta \iota \alpha-\lambda \varepsilon \imath \pi \tau \circ \varsigma$ ( $\alpha$ privative and $\delta \iota \alpha-\lambda \varepsilon \imath \pi \omega$, to leave off). In the N.T. alone by Paul and always connected with prayer. Milligan prefers to connect this adverb (amphibolous in position) with the preceding participle $\pi o \iota o u \mu \varepsilon v o$ rather than with $\mu \nu \eta \mu$ ovevovies as Revised Version and Westcott and Hort rightly do.

Your work of faith $(v \mu \omega v \tau o v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Note article with both $\varepsilon \rho \gamma o v$ and $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ (correlation of the article, both abstract substantives). Epүov is genitive case the object of $\mu \nu \eta \mu$ ovevovtes as is common with verbs of emotion (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 508f.), though the accusative котоv occurs in 1Th 2:9 according to common Greek idiom allowing either case. E $\rho \gamma \mathrm{ov}$ is the general term for work or business, employment, task. Note two genitives with $\varepsilon \rho \gamma o v$. H $\nu \mu \omega v$ is the usual possessive genitive,
your work, while $\tau \eta \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ is the descriptive genitive, marked by, characterized by, faith, "the activity that faith inspires" (Frame). It is interesting to note this sharp conjunction of these two words by Paul. We are justified by faith, but faith produces works (Ro 6-8) as the Baptist taught and as Jesus taught and as James does in Jas 2.

Labour of love ( $\tau 0 v$ колоv $\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \varsigma$ ). Note article with both substantives. Here again тov колоv is the genitive the object of $\mu \nu \eta \mu \circ v \varepsilon v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ while $\tau \eta \varsigma ~ a \gamma a \pi \eta \varsigma$ is the descriptive genitive characterizing the "labour" or "toil" more exactly. Ко $о \varsigma$ is from $\kappa о \pi \tau \omega$, to cut, to lash, to beat the bread, to toil. In Re 14:13 the distinction is drawn between колоv (toil) from which the saints rest and $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$ (works, activities) which follow with them into heaven. So here it is the labour that love prompts, assuming gladly the toil. $A \gamma \alpha \pi \eta$ is one of the great words of the N.T. (Milligan) and no certain example has yet been found in the early papyri or the inscriptions. It occurs in the Septuagint in the higher sense as with the sensuous associations. The Epistle of Aristeas calls love ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta$ ) God's gift and Philo uses $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta$ in describing love for God. "When Christianity first began to think and speak in Greek, it took up $\alpha \gamma a \pi \eta$ and its group of terms more freely, investing them with the new glow with which the N.T. writings make us familiar, a content which is invariably religious" (Moffatt, Love in the New Testament, p. 40). The New Testament never uses the word $\varepsilon \rho \omega \varsigma$ (lust).

Patience of hope ( $\tau \eta \varsigma v \pi о \mu о \vee \eta \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta \circ \varsigma)$. Note the two articles again and the descriptive genitive $\tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta o \varsigma$. It is patience marked by hope, "the endurance inspired by hope" (Frame), yes, and sustained by hope in spite of delays and set-backs. Hvло $\quad$ оv $\eta$ is an old word (v $\pi \sigma, \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega$, to remain under), but it "has come like $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta$ to be closely associated with a distinctively Christian virtue" (Milligan). The same order as here ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v$, колоб, $v \pi о \mu о \nu \eta$ ) appears in Re 2:2 and Lightfoot considers it" an ascending scale as practical proofs of self-sacrifice." The church in Thessalonica was not old, but already they were called upon to exercise the sanctifying grace of hope (Denney).

In our Lord Jesus Christ ( $\tau o v$ Kvpıov $\eta \mu \omega v$ Iqбov X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o v$ ). The objective genitive with $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta o \varsigma$ (hope) and so translated by "in" here (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 499f.). Jesus is the object of this hope, the hope of his second coming which is still open to us. Note "Lord Jesus Christ" as in verse 1.

Before our God and Father $(\varepsilon \mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \varepsilon v$ тov $\theta \varepsilon o v ~ \kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \rho о \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \omega v)$. The one article with both substantives precisely as in Ga 1:4, not "before God and our Father," both article and possessive genitive going with both substantives as in 2Pe 1:1,11; Tit 2:13 (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 785f.). The phrase is probably connected with $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta o c . ~ E \mu \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \varepsilon v$ in the N.T. occurs only of place, but it is common in the papyri of time. The picture here is the day of judgment when all shall appear before God.

1Thess 1:4
Knowing ( $\varepsilon \iota \delta o \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Second perfect active participle of oı $\delta \alpha$ ( $\varepsilon \iota \delta o v$ ), a so-called causal participle=since we know, the third participle with the principal verb $\varepsilon v \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau o v \mu \varepsilon v$, the Greek being fond of the circumstantial participle and lengthening sentences thereby (Robertson, Grammar, P. 1128).

Beloved by God ( $\eta \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \mu \varepsilon v o v \pi o$ [ $\tau 0 v$ ] $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$, the verb so common in the N.T. for the highest kind of love. Paul is not content with the use of $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o$ here (often in this Epistle as $2: 1,14,17 ; 3: 7 ; 4: 1,10$ ), but adds this affectionate phrase nowhere else in the N.T. in this form (cf. Jude 1:3 ) though in Sirach 45:1 and on the Rosetta Stone. But in 2Th 2:13 he quotes "beloved by the Lord" from De 33:12. The use of $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o$ for members of the same brotherhood can be derived from the Jewish custom (Ac 2:29,37 ) and the habit of Jesus (Mt 12:48) and is amply illustrated in the papyri for burial clubs and other orders and guilds (Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary).

Your election ( $\tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \kappa \lambda o \gamma \eta \nu \nu \mu \omega \nu$ ). That is the election of you by God. It is an old word from $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \mu \alpha$ used by Jesus of his choice of the twelve disciples (Joh 15:16) and by Paul of God's eternal selection (Eph 1:4). The word $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda о \gamma \eta$ is not in the LXX and only seven times in the N.T. and always of God's choice of men (Ac 9:15; 1Th 1:4; Ro 9:11; 11:5,7,58; 2Pe 1:10). The divine $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda о \gamma \eta$ was manifested in the Christian qualities of verse 3 (Moffatt).

1Thess 1:5

How that (oт). It is not certain whether o $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ here means "because" $(\theta v i \alpha)$ as in 2Th 3:7; 1Co 2:14; Ro 8:27 or declarative ot "how that," knowing the circumstances of your election (Lightfoot) or explanatory, as in Ac 16:3; 1Th 2:1; 1Co 16:15; 2Co 12:3f.; Ro 13:11.

Our gospel ( $\tau$ ع $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota o v ~ \eta \mu \omega v$ ). The gospel (see on Mt 4:23; Mr 1:1,15 for $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı o v$ ) which we preach, Paul's phrase also in 2Th 2:14; 2Co 4:3; Ro 2:16; 16:25; 2Ti 2:8 . Paul had a definite, clear-cut message of grace that he preached everywhere including Thessalonica. This message is to be interpreted in the light of Paul's own sermons in Acts and Epistles, not by reading backward into them the later perversions of Gnostics and sacramentarians. This very word was later applied to the books about Jesus, but Paul is not so using the term here or anywhere else. In its origin Paul's gospel is of God (1Th 2:2,8,9), in its substance it is Christ's ( $3: 2 ; 2 \mathrm{Th} 1: 8$ ), and Paul is only the bearer of it (1Th 2:4,9; 2Th 2:14) as Milligan points out. Paul and his associates have been entrusted with this gospel (1Th 2:4) and preach it (Ga 2:2 ). Elsewhere Paul calls it God's gospel (2Co 11:7; Ro 1:1; 15:16 ) or Christs (1Co 9:12; 2Co 2:12; 9:13; 10:14; Ga 1:7; Ro 15:19; Php 1:27). In both instances it is the subjective genitive.

Came unto you ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \theta \eta \varepsilon ı \varsigma \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\gamma ı v o \mu \alpha$ in practically same sense as $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau$ (second aorist middle indicative as in the late Greek generally). So also $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ v \mu a \varsigma$ like the Koine is little more than the dative $v \mu \nu \nu$ (Robertson, Grammar, p. 594).

Not only--but also (ovк-- $\mathrm{\mu} \boldsymbol{0 v o v ,} \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha$ ). Sharp contrast, negatively and positively. The contrast between $\lambda$ оүос (word) and $\delta v v a \mu ı \varsigma$ (power) is seen also in 1Co 2:4; 4:20 . Paul does not refer to miracles by $\delta \nu v \alpha \mu \iota$.

In the Holy Spirit and much assurance ( $\varepsilon v \pi v \varepsilon v \mu a \tau ~ \alpha \gamma \omega \omega \kappa \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \rho о \varphi о \rho \iota \alpha \pi о \lambda \lambda \eta$ ). Preposition $\varepsilon v$ repeated with $\lambda o \gamma \omega \mathrm{t}, \delta \nu v \alpha \mu \varepsilon$, but only once here thus uniting closely

Holy Spirit and
much assurance . No article with either word. The word $\pi \lambda \eta \rho о \varphi \rho \rho ı \alpha$ is not found in ancient Greek or the LXX. It appears once in Clement of Rome and one broken papyrus example. For the verb $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \varphi \rho \rho \varepsilon \omega$ see on $\mathrm{Lu} 1: 1$. The substantive in the N.T. only here and Col 2:2; Heb 6:11; 10:22. It means the full confidence which comes from the Holy Spirit.

Even as ye know ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma$ oı $\delta \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Paul appeals to the Thessalonians themselves as witnesses to the character of his preaching and life among them.

What manner of men we showed ourselves toward you (oo $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v \nu \mu \nu)$ ). Literally,
What sort of men we became to you. Qualitative relative ooo and dative $v \mu \nu v$ and first aorist passive indicative $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v$, (not $\eta \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$, we were). An epexegetical comment with
for your sake ( $\left.\delta \iota^{\prime} v \mu \propto \varsigma\right)$ added. It was all in their interest and for their advantage, however it may have seemed otherwise at the time.

1Thess 1:6

Imitators of us and of the Lord ( $\mu \mu \eta \tau \alpha \eta \mu \omega v \kappa \alpha$ тоv кvрıоv). М $\mu \mu \eta \tau \eta \varsigma$ ( $-\tau \eta \varsigma$ expresses the agent) is from $\mu \mu \varepsilon о \mu \alpha$, to imitate and that from $\mu \mu \circ \varsigma$ ( $\mu \mu \chi$, actor). Old word, more than "followers," in the N.T. only six times (1Th 1:6; 2:14; 1Co 4:16; 11:1; Eph 5:1; Heb 6:12 ). Again Paul uses $\gamma \iota v o \mu a$, to become, not $\varepsilon \mu$, to be. It is a daring thing to expect people to "imitate" the preacher, but Paul adds "and of the Lord," for he only expected or desired "imitation" as he himself imitated the Lord Jesus, as he expressly says in 1Co 11:1 . The peril of it all is that people so easily and so readily imitate the preacher when he does not imitate the Lord. The fact of the "election" of the Thessalonians was shown by the character of the message given them and by this sincere acceptance of it (Lightfoot).

Having received the word ( $\delta \varepsilon \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o$ tov $\lambda o \gamma o v$ ). First aorist middle participle of $\delta \varepsilon \chi o \mu \alpha$, probably simultaneous action (receiving), not antecedent.

In much affliction ( $\varepsilon v \theta \lambda_{\imath} \psi \varepsilon \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \eta$ ). Late word, pressure. Tribulation (Latin tribulum) from $\theta \lambda^{\prime} \beta \omega$, to press hard on. Christianity has glorified this word. It occurs in some Christian papyrus letters in this same sense. Runs all through the N.T. (2Th 1:4; Ro 5:3 ). Paul had his share of them ( $\operatorname{Col} 1: 24 ; 2 \mathrm{Co} 2: 4)$ and so he understands how to sympathize with the Thessalonians (1Th 3:3f. ). They suffered after Paul left Thessalonica (1Th 2:14).

With joy of the Holy Spirit ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \chi \alpha \rho \alpha \varsigma \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma \alpha \gamma เ \sim v$ ). The Holy Spirit gives the joy in the midst of the tribulations as Paul learned (Ro 5:3). "This paradox of experience" (Moffatt) shines along the pathway of martyrs and saints of Christ.

1Thess 1:7
So that ye became ( $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \nu \mu a \varsigma$ ). Definite result expressed by $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ and the infinitive $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta a($ second aorist middle of $\gamma \iota v o \mu \alpha)$ as is common in the Koine.

An ensample (титоv). So B D, but Aleph A C have тטזои¢ (plural). The singular looks at the church as a whole, the plural as individuals like v $\mu a$. Tuло is an old word from $\tau \cup \pi \tau \omega$, to strike, and so the mark of a blow, print as in John 20:25. Then the figure formed by the blow, image as in Ac 7:43. Then the mould or form (Ro 6:17; Ac 23:25). Then an example or pattern as in Ac 7:44, to be imitated as here, Php 3:17, etc. It was a great compliment for the church in Thessalonica to be already a model for believers in Macedonia and Achaia. Our word type for printers is this same word with one of its meanings. Note separate article with both Macedonia ( $\tau \eta$ Maк\& $\delta o v i \alpha$ ) and Achaia ( $\tau \eta$ A $\chi \alpha ı \alpha$ ) treated as separate provinces as they were.

1Thess 1:8
From you hath sounded forth ( $\alpha \varphi^{\prime} \nu \mu \omega \nu \varepsilon \xi \eta \chi \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\varepsilon \xi \eta \chi \varepsilon \omega$, late compound verb ( $\varepsilon \xi, \eta \chi \circ \sigma, \eta \chi \omega, \eta \chi \eta$, our echo) to sound out of a trumpet or of thunder, to reverberate like our echo. Nowhere else in the N.T. So "from you" as a sounding board or radio transmitting station (to use a modern figure). It marks forcibly "both the clear and the persuasive nature of the $\lambda$ oүoc tov Kupıov" (Ellicott). This phrase, the word of the Lord, may be subjective with the Lord as its author or objective with the Lord as the object. It is
both．It is a graphic picture with a pardonable touch of hyperbole（Moffatt）for Thessalonica was a great commercial and political centre for disseminating the news of salvation（on the Egnation Way）．

But in every place（ $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \varepsilon v \pi \alpha \nu \tau \tau 0 \pi \omega$ ）．In contrast to Macedonia and Achaia．The sentence would naturally stop here，but Paul is dictating rapidly and earnestly and goes on．

Your faith to God－ward（ $\eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma \nu \mu \omega \vee \eta \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau \circ v \theta \varepsilon o v$ ）．Literally，
the faith of you that toward the God．The repeated article makes clear that their faith is now directed toward the true God and not toward the idols from which they had turned （verse 10）．

Is gone forth（ $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta \varepsilon v)$ ．Second perfect active indicative of old verb $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ ，to go out，state of completion like $\varepsilon \xi \eta \chi \eta \tau \alpha$ above．

So that we need not to speak anything（ $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon \mu \eta \chi \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha v \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon ı \nu \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \nu \tau)$ ．H $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ with the infinitive for actual result as in verse 7 ．No vital distinction between $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı v$（originally to chatter as of birds）and $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon ⿺ 𠃊$ ，both being used in the Koine for speaking and preaching （in the N．T．）．

1Thess 1：9
They themselves（auto）．The men of Macedonia，voluntarily．
Report（ $\alpha \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda$ ovovv）．Linear present active indicative，keep on reporting．
What manner of entering in（отоьav عוбоסov）．What sort of entrance，qualitative rel－ ative in an indirect question．

We had（ $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v)$ ．Second aorist active（ingressive）indicative of the common verb $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ ．

And how（ $\kappa \alpha \pi \omega \varsigma$ ）．Here the interrogative adverb $\pi \omega \varsigma$ in this part of the indirect question．This part about＂them＂（you）as the first part about Paul．The verb $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$ is an old verb for turning and is common in the Acts for Gentiles turning to God，as here from idols，though not by Paul again in this sense．In Ga 4：9 Paul uses it for turning to the weak and beggarly elements of Judaism．

From idols（ $\alpha \pi 0 \tau \omega v \varepsilon \iota \delta o \lambda \omega v$ ）．Old word from $\varepsilon \iota \delta o \varsigma$（figure）for image or likeness and then for the image of a heathen god（our idol）．Common in the LXX in this sense．In Ac 14：15 Paul at Lystra urged the people
to turn from these vain things to the living God（ $\alpha \pi 0 ~ \tau о \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega v \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\rfloor \omega v \varepsilon \pi\llcorner\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon เ \nu$ $\varepsilon \pi \theta \varepsilon o v \zeta \omega v \tau \alpha)$ ，using the same verb $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \iota v$ ．Here also Paul has a like idea，
to serve a living and true God（ $\delta o u \lambda \varepsilon v \varepsilon ı \nu ~ \theta \varepsilon \omega \zeta \omega \nu \tau \kappa \alpha \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \nu \nu \omega)$ ．No article，it is true， but should be translated＂the living and true God＂（cf．Ac 14：15 ）．Not＂dead＂like the idols from which they turned，but alive and genuine（ $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta 1 v o \varsigma$ ，not $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \eta \varsigma)$ ．

1Thess 1：10
To wait for his Son from heaven（ $\alpha v \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon เ v ~ \tau o v ~ v i o v ~ \alpha u \tau o v ~ \varepsilon \kappa ~ \tau \omega v ~ o u \rho \alpha v \omega v$ ）．Present infinitive，like $\delta$ ou入cuevv，and so linear，to keep on waiting for．The hope of the second
coming of Christ was real and powerful with Paul as it should be with us. It was subject to abuse then as now as Paul will have to show in this very letter. He alludes to this hope at the close of each chapter in this Epistle.

Whom he raised from the dead (ov $\eta \gamma \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa[\tau \omega v] ~ v \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega v$ ). Paul gloried in the fact of the resurrection of Jesus from the dead of which fact he was himself a personal witness. This fact is the foundation stone for all his theology and it comes out in this first chapter.

Jesus which delivereth us from the wrath to come (I I $\sigma o u v ~ \tau o v ~ \rho v o \mu \varepsilon v o v ~ \eta \mu a \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \kappa ~ \tau \eta \varsigma ~$ о $\rho ү \eta \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon \vee \eta \varsigma)$. It is the historic, crucified, risen, and ascended Jesus Christ, God's Son, who delivers from the coming wrath. He is our Saviour (Mt 1:21) true to his name Jesus. He is our Rescuer (Ro 11:26, o pvouعvo¢, from Isa 59:20). It is eschatological language, this coming wrath of God for $\sin$ (1Th 2:16; Ro 3:5; 5:9; 9:22; 13:5). It was Paul's allusion to the day of judgment with Jesus as Judge whom God had raised from the dead that made the Athenians mock and leave him (Ac 17:31f. ). But Paul did not change his belief or his preaching because of the conduct of the Athenians. He is certain that God's wrath in due time will punish sin. Surely this is a needed lesson for our day. It was coming then and it is coming now.

## 1 Thessalonians 2

1Thess 2:1
For yourselves know (avto $\gamma \alpha \rho$ oı $\delta \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). This explanatory $\gamma \alpha \rho$ takes up in verses 1-12 the allusion in 1:9 about the "report" concerning the entrance ( $\varepsilon เ \sigma o \delta o v$, way in, $\varepsilon \iota \sigma, o \delta o v$ ),
unto you ( $\tau \eta \vee \pi \rho o \varsigma v \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). Note repeated article to sharpen the point. This proleptic accusative is common enough. It is expanded by the epexegetic use of the o $\tau$ clause
that it hath not been found vain (o ov $\kappa \varepsilon v \eta \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \varepsilon v$ ). Literally,
that it has not become empty. Second perfect active (completed state) of $\gamma$ ivo $\mu \alpha$. Every pastor watches wistfully to see what will be the outcome of his work. Bengel says: Non inanis, sed plena virtutis. Cf. 1:5. Kevoc is hollow, empty, while $\mu a \tau \alpha \circ \varsigma$ is fruitless, ineffective. In 1Co 15:14,17 Paul speaks of кعvov то кприүна ( empty the preaching) and $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha ı \alpha ~ \eta \pi ı \sigma \tau ı \varsigma$ ( vain the faith ). One easily leads to the other.

1Thess 2:2
But having suffered before ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \rho \circ \pi \alpha \theta$ ov $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Strong adversative $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$, antithesis to $\kappa \varepsilon \nu \eta$. Appeal to his personal experiences in Thessalonica known to them (as ye know, $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma$ ot $\delta \alpha \tau \varepsilon)$. Second aorist active participle of $\pi \rho о \pi \alpha \sigma \chi \omega$, old compound verb, but here alone in the N.T. The force of $\pi \rho o-$ (before) is carried over to the next verb. The participle may be regarded as temporal (Ellicott) or concessive (Moffatt).

And been shamefully entreated in Philippi ( $\kappa \alpha \cup \beta \rho เ \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon v \Phi \backslash \lambda \iota \pi \pi o \iota \varsigma)$. First aorist passive participle of $\cup \beta \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb, to treat insolently. "More than the bodily suffering it was the personal indignity that had been offered to him as a Roman citizen" (Milligan), for which account see Ac 16:16-40, an interesting example of how Acts and the Epistles throw light on each other. Luke tells how Paul resented the treatment accorded to him as a Roman citizen and here Paul shows that the memory still rankled in his bosom.

We waxed bold in our God ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \theta a \varepsilon v \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Ingressive first aorist middle of $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \omega \zeta$ о $\alpha$, old deponent verb from $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ (full story, $\pi \alpha v$-, $\rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ ). In his reply to Festus (Ac 26:26) Paul uses $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ \lambda \alpha \lambda \omega$,
being bold I speak, while here he has
we waxed bold to speak ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \theta a \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). The insult in Philippi did not close Paul's mouth, but had precisely the opposite effect "in our God." It was not wild fanaticism, but determined courage and confidence in God that spurred Paul to still greater boldness in Thessalonica,
unto you ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ), be the consequences what they might,
 figure of the athletic games ( $\alpha \gamma \omega v$ ) may refer to outward conflict like Php 1:30 or inward anxiety ( $\mathrm{Col} 2: 1$ ). He had both in Thessalonica.

1Thess 2:3

Exhortation（ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma)$ ．Persuasive discourse，calling to one＇s side，for admonition， encouragement，or comfort．

Not of error（ovк $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \eta \varsigma)$ ．This word is same as $\pi \lambda a v a \omega$ ，to lead astray（ $2 \mathrm{Ti} 3: 13$ ） like Latin errare．Passive idea of
error here rather than deceit．That is seen in
nor in guile（ou $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon v \delta o \lambda \omega$ ）from $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$ ，to catch with bait．Paul is keenly sensitive against charges against the correctness of his message and the purity of his life．

Nor of uncleanness（ov $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \xi \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta$ apбıa¢）．＂This disclaimer，startling as it may seem， was not unneeded amidst the impurities consecrated by the religions of the day＂（Lightfoot）． There was no necessary connection in the popular mind between religion and morals．The ecstatic initiations in some of the popular religions were grossly sensual．

1Thess 2：4
But even as we have been approved by God（ $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \delta о к ц \mu \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ vто тои $\theta \varepsilon o v)$ ．Perfect passive indicative of $\delta$ окцん $\zeta \omega$ ，old verb to put to the test，but here the tense for completed state means tested and proved and so approved by God．Paul here claims the call of God for his ministry and the seal of God＇s blessing on his work and also for that of Silas and Timothy．

To be entrusted with the gospel（ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \theta \eta v \alpha$ тo $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota o v$ ）．First aorist passive infin－ itive of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$ ，common verb for believing，from $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota c$（faith），but here to entrust rather than to trust．The accusative of the thing is retained in the passive according to regular Greek idiom as in 1Co 9：17；Ga 2：7；Ro 3：2；1Ti 1：11；Tit 1：3，though the active had the dative of the person．

So we speak（ovt $\omega \varsigma \lambda \alpha \lambda o v \mu \varepsilon v$ ）．Simple，yet confident claim of loyalty to God＇s call and message．Surely this should be the ambition of every preacher of the gospel of God．

Not as pleasing men（ $o v \chi \omega \varsigma \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \iota \varsigma ~ a \rho \varepsilon \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ）．Dative case with $\alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \omega$ as in Ga 1：10．Few temptations assail the preacher more strongly than this one to please men， even if God is not pleased，though with the dim hope that God will after all condone or overlook．Nothing but experience will convince some preachers how fickle is popular favour and how often it is at the cost of failure to please God．And yet the preacher wishes to win men to Christ．It is all as subtle as it is deceptive．God tests our hearts（the very verb סокцца $\zeta \omega$ used in the beginning of this verse）and he is the only one whose approval matters in the end of the day（1Co 4：5）．

1Thess 2：5
Using words of flattery（ $\varepsilon v \lambda о \gamma \omega$ ко入ак $\varepsilon \iota \alpha$ ）．Literally，
in speech of flattery or fawning．Old word，only here in N．T．，from колакৎ，a flatterer． An Epicurean，Philodemus，wrote a work Пгр Ко入акєıаৎ（Concerning Flattery）．Milligan （Vocabulary，etc．）speaks of＂the selfish conduct of too many of the rhetoricians of the day，＂
conduct extremely repugnant to Paul. The third time (verses $1,2,5$ ) he appeals to their knowledge of his work in Thessalonica. Frame suggests "cajolery."

Nor a cloke of covetousness (ovtع $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \pi \lambda \varepsilon \sigma v \varepsilon \xi \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. Pretext ( $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma$ from $\pi \rho о \varphi \alpha \iota v \omega$, to show forth, or perhaps from $\pi \rho \sigma-\varphi \eta \mu$, to speak forth). This is the charge of self-interest rather than the mere desire to please people. Pretext of greediness is Frame's
 $\pi \lambda \varepsilon o v \varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon \omega$, to have more, then to over-reach, all old words, all with bad meaning as the result of the desire for more. In a preacher this sin is especially fatal. Paul feels so strongly his innocence of this charge that he calls God as witness as in 2Co 1:23; Ro 9:1; Php 1:8 , a solemn oath for his own veracity.

1Thess 2:6
 of covetousness follows naturally the repudiation of worldly ambition" (Milligan). See Ac 20:19; 2 Co $4: 5$; Eph 4:2. This third disclaimer is as strong as the other two. Paul and his associates had not tried to extract praise or glory out of $(\varepsilon \xi)$ men.

Neither from you nor from others (ovt $\alpha \varphi^{\prime} v \mu \omega \nu$ оvt $\alpha \varphi^{\prime} \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega v$ ). He widens the negation to include those outside of the church circles and changes the preposition from $\varepsilon \xi$ (out of) to a $\quad$ (from).

When we might have been burdensome, as apostles of Christ ( $\delta \cup v a \mu \varepsilon v o \varepsilon v \beta \alpha \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon เ v a$ $\omega \varsigma$ Xpıбтоv $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau о \lambda о)$. Westcott and Hort put this clause in verse 7. Probably a concessive participle,
though being able to be in a position of weight (either in matter of finance or of dignity, or a burden on your funds or "men of weight" as Moffatt suggests). Milligan suggests that Paul "plays here on the double sense of the phrase" like the Latin proverb: Honos propter onus. So he adds, including Silas and Timothy,
as Christ's apostles, as missionaries clearly, whether in the technical sense or not (cf. Ac 14:4,14; 2Co 8:23; 11:13; Ro 16:7; Php 2:25; Re 2:2 ). They were entitled to pay as "Christ's apostles" (cf. 1Co 9; 2Co 11:7ff. ), though they had not asked for it.

1Thess 2:7
But we were gentle in the midst of you ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v \nu \eta \pi \iota o \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \sigma \omega v \mu \omega v$ ). Note $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v$ (became), not $\eta \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ (were). This rendering follows $\eta \pi \ldots o$ instead of $v \eta \pi \iota o$ (Aleph B D C Vulg. Boh.) which is clearly correct, though Dibelius, Moffatt, Ellicott, Weiss prefer $\eta \pi \iota o$ as making better sense. Dibelius terms $v \eta \pi \iota \circ$ unmoglich (impossible), but surely that is too strong. Paul is fond of the word $\nu \eta \pi \ldots$ (babes). Lightfoot admits that he here works the metaphor to the limit in his passion, but does not mar it as Ellicott holds.

As when a nurse cherishes her own children ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \alpha \vee \tau \rho \circ \varphi \circ \varsigma \theta \alpha \lambda \pi \eta \tau \alpha \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \kappa v \alpha$ ). This comparative clause with $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \alpha v$ (Mr 4:26; Ga 6:10 without $\varepsilon \alpha v$ or $\alpha v$ ) and the subjunctive
(Robertson, Grammar, p. 968) has a sudden change of the metaphor, as is common with Paul (1Ti 5:24; 2Co 3:13ff.) from
babes to
nurse ( $\tau \rho \circ \varphi \circ \varsigma)$, old word, here only in the N.T., from $\tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, to nourish, $\tau \rho \circ \varphi \eta$, nourishment. It is really the mother-nurse "who suckles and nurses her own children" (Lightfoot), a use found in Sophocles, and a picture of Paul's tender affection for the Thessalonians. $\Theta a \lambda \pi \omega$ is an old word to keep warm, to cherish with tender love, to foster. In N.T. only here and Eph 5:29 .

1Thess 2:8
Even so, being affectionately desirous of you ( $o v \tau \omega \varsigma о \mu \varepsilon \iota \rho о \mu \varepsilon \nu o v \mu \omega v$ ). Clearly the correct text rather than $\mu \varepsilon \iota \rho о \mu \varepsilon v o$ from $\iota \mu \varepsilon \rho \omega$, old verb to long for. But the verb o $\mu \varepsilon \iota \rho о \mu \alpha$ (Westcott and Hort om., smooth breathing) occurs nowhere else except MSS. in Job 3:21; Ps 62:2 (Symmachus) and the Lycaonian sepulchral inscription (4th cent. A.D.) about the sorrowing parents $о \mu \varepsilon \iota \rho о \mu \varepsilon v o \pi \varepsilon \rho \pi \alpha \iota \delta o \varsigma$,
greatly desiring their son (Moulton and Milligan, Vocabulary). Moulton suggests that it comes from a root $\sigma \mu \varepsilon \rho$, remember, and that o- is a derelict preposition o like o- $\delta v \rho o \mu \alpha$, о-к $\lambda \lambda \lambda \omega$, $\omega$-кєаขос. Wohlenberg (Zahn, Kommentar) calls the word "a term of endearment," "derived from the language of the nursery" (Milligan).

We were well pleased ( $\eta \cup \delta$ окоט $\mu \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active of $\varepsilon v \delta о \kappa \varepsilon \omega$, common verb in later Greek and in N.T. (see on Mt 3:17 ), picturing Paul's idea of their attitude while in Thessalonica. Paul often has it with the infinitive as here.

To impart ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \delta o v v \alpha$ ). Second aorist active infinitive of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, old verb to share with (see on Lu 3:11). Possible zeugma with
souls ( $\psi \cup \chi \propto \varsigma)$, though Lightfoot renders "lives." Paul and his associates held nothing back.

Because ye were become very dear to us ( $\delta$ เo $\alpha \nmid \alpha \pi \eta \tau o \eta \mu \tau v \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Note $\delta \iota \tau$ (double cause, $\delta \iota \alpha, o \tau$, for that), use of $\gamma \iota \nu o \mu \alpha$ again for become, and dative $\eta \mu \nu \nu$ with verbal $\alpha \gamma a \pi \eta \tau 0$, beloved and so dear. A beautiful picture of the growth of Paul's affection for them as should be true with every pastor.

1Thess 2:9
Travail ( $\mu \circ \chi \theta \circ v$ ). Old word for difficult labour, harder than колоऽ (toil). In the N.T. only here, 2 Th 3:8; 2 Co 11:27. Note accusative case here though genitive with $\mu \nu \eta \mu \circ v \varepsilon v \omega$ in 1:3.

Night and day (vטктоৎ ка $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho a \varsigma)$. Genitive case, both by day and by night, perhaps beginning before dawn and working after dark. So in 3:10.

That we might not burden any of you ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau о \mu \eta \varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \alpha \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \iota \nu \alpha \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Use of $\pi \rho o \varsigma$ with the articular infinitive to express purpose (only four times by Paul). The verb $\varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \alpha \rho \varepsilon \omega$ is late, but in the papyri and inscriptions for laying a burden $(\beta \alpha \rho o \varsigma)$ on $(\varepsilon \pi \tau-)$ one. In N.T.
only here and 2Th 3:8; 2Co 2:5. Paul boasted of his financial independence where he was misunderstood as in Thessalonica and Corinth (2Co 9-12) , though he vindicated his right to remuneration.

We preached ( $\varepsilon \kappa \eta \rho \cup \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ).
We heralded (from $\kappa \eta \rho \cup \xi$, herald) to you, common verb for preach.
1Thess 2:10
How holily and righteously and unblameably ( $\omega \varsigma$ об $\omega \varsigma \varsigma \kappa \alpha \delta \kappa \alpha \omega \omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \alpha \mu \varepsilon \mu \pi \tau \omega \varsigma$ ). Paul calls the Thessalonians and God as witnesses ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \varepsilon \varsigma)$ to his life toward you the believers ( $v \mu \nu \nu$ toıc $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o v \sigma เ v)$ dative of personal interest. He employs three common adverbs that show how holily toward God and how righteously toward men so that they did not blame him and his associates in either respect. So there is a reason for each adverb. All this argues that Paul spent a considerable time in Thessalonica, more than the three sabbaths mentioned by Luke. The pastor ought to live so that his life will bear close inspection.

1Thess 2:11
As a father with his own children ( $\omega \varsigma \pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho \tau \varepsilon \kappa v \alpha$ عavtov). Change from the figure of the mother-nurse in verse 7 . There is ellipse of a principal verb with the participles $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda о v v \tau \varepsilon \sigma, \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \nu \theta$ ov $\mu \varepsilon v o t, \mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho о \nu \mu \varepsilon v o$. Lightfoot suggests $\varepsilon v o v \theta \varepsilon \tau о \nu \mu \varepsilon v$ (we admonished) or $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v$ (we became). The three participles give three phases of the minister's preaching (exhorting, encouraging or consoling, witnessing or testifying). They are all old verbs, but only the first ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega)$ is common in the N.T.

1Thess 2:12
To the end that ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau 0)$. Final use of $\varepsilon \iota \zeta$ and the articular infinitive, common idiom in the papyri and Paul uses $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ to and the infinitive fifty times (see again in 3:2), some final, some sub-final, some result (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 989-91).

Walk worthily of God ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon เ \nu \alpha \xi \iota \omega \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ \theta \varepsilon o v)$. Present infinitive (linear action), and genitive case with adverb $\alpha \xi$ I $\omega$, as in $\operatorname{Col}$ 1:10 (cf. Php 1:27; Eph 4:1), like a preposition.

Calleth (ка入ouvtoc). Present active participle, keeps on calling. Some MSS. have ка入ع $\sigma \alpha v \tau о \varsigma$, called.

Kingdom ( $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ ) here is the future consummation because of glory ( $\delta 0 \xi \alpha v$ ) as in 2Th 1:5; 1Co 6:9; 15:50; Ga 5:21; 2Ti 4:1,18 ), but Paul uses it for the present kingdom of grace also as in 1Co 4:20; Ro 14:17; Col 1:13.

1Thess 2:13
And for this cause we also ( $\kappa \alpha \delta \iota \alpha$ тоито $\kappa \alpha \eta \mu \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ). Note $\kappa \alpha$ twice. We as well as you are grateful for the way the gospel was received in Thessalonica.

Without ceasing ( $\alpha \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi \tau \omega \varsigma)$. Late adverb for which see on 1:2 and for $\varepsilon \cup \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau o u \mu \varepsilon v$ see on 1:2.

The word of the message ( $\lambda$ оүоv акопऽ). Literally,
the word of hearing, as in Sir. 42:1 and Heb 4:2 о 入оүоऽ $\tau \eta \varsigma$ акопऽ, the word marked by hearing (genitive case), the word which you heard. Here with tov $\theta$ sov (of God) added as a second descriptive genitive which Paul expands and justifies.

Ye received it so ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$ and
accepted or welcomed it ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ) so,
not as the word of men ( $o v \lambda o \gamma o v \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega v$ ),
but as the word of God ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \lambda \operatorname{o\gamma ov} \theta \varepsilon o v)$,
as it is in truth ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). This last clause is literally,
as it truly is . Paul had not a doubt that he was proclaiming God's message. Should any preacher preach his doubts if he has any? God's message can be found and Paul found it.

Worketh in you ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha \varepsilon v \nu \mu \nu v$ ). Perhaps middle voice of $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ ( $\varepsilon v, \varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v$, work) late verb, not in ancient Greek or LXX, but in papyri and late writers (Polybius, etc.) and in N.T. only by Paul and James. If it is passive, as Milligan thinks, it means "is set in operation," as Polybius has it. The idea then is that the word of God is set in operation in you that believe.

1Thess 2:14
Imitators of the churches of God which are in Judea ( $\mu \mu \eta \tau \alpha \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \omega \omega \nu$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ $\tau \omega v$ ov $\omega \omega v \varepsilon v \tau \eta$ Iov $\delta \alpha ı \alpha$ ). On $\mu \mu \eta \tau \alpha$ see on 1:5. "This passage, implying an affectionate admiration of the Jewish churches on the part of St. Paul, and thus entirely bearing out the impression produced by the narrative in the Acts, is entirely subversive of the theory maintained by some and based on a misconception of Ga 2 , and by the fiction of the PseudoClementines, of the feud existing between St. Paul and the Twelve" (Lightfoot).

In Christ Jesus ( $\varepsilon v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). It takes this to make a Christian church of God. Note order here

Christ Jesus as compared with
Jesus Christ in 1:1,3.
Ye also--even as they ( $\kappa \alpha \nu \mu \varepsilon ו \sigma-$-ка $\alpha \cup \tau о$ ). Note ка twice (correlative use of $\kappa \alpha$ ).
Countrymen ( $\sigma \cup \mu \varphi \cup \lambda \varepsilon \tau \omega v$ ). Fellow-countrymen or tribesmen. Late word that refers primarily to Gentiles who no doubt joined the Jews in Thessalonica who instigated the attacks on Paul and Silas so that it "was taken up by the native population, without whose co-operation it would have been powerless" (Lightfoot).

Own ( $(\delta \omega \omega v$ ) here has apparently a weakened force. Note vio here with the ablative both with $\sigma \nu \mu \varphi \cup \lambda \varepsilon \tau \omega v$ and Iov $\delta a \omega \omega v$ after the intransitive $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ (suffered). The persecution of the Christians by the Jews in Judea was known everywhere.

1Thess 2:15
 I $\eta \sigma 0 \cup \nu$ ка тоиৎ $\pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ \nu \omega$. Vivid justification of his praise of the churches in Judea. The Jews killed the prophets before the Lord Jesus who
reminded them of their guilt (Mt 23:29). Paul, as Peter (Ac 2:23), lays the guilt of the death of Christ on the Jews.

And drove us out ( $\kappa \alpha \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \delta \iota \omega \xi \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). An old verb to drive out or banish, to chase out as if a wild beast. Only here in N.T. It is Paul's vivid description of the scene told in Ac 17:5ff. when the rabbis and the hoodlums from the agora chased him out of Thessalonica by the help of the politarchs.

Please not God ( $\Theta \varepsilon \omega \mu \eta$ $\alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \kappa o \nu \tau \omega v$ ). The rabbis and Jews thought that they were pleasing God by so doing as Paul did when he ravaged the young church in Jerusalem. But Paul knows better now.

And are contrary to all men ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \sigma \iota v \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi о \iota \varsigma ~ \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \iota \omega v$ ). Dative case with the adjective $\varepsilon v a v \tau \iota \omega v$ (old and common word, face to face, opposite). It seems like a bitter word about Paul's countrymen whom he really loved (Ro 9:1-5; 10:1-6), but Paul knew only too well the middle wall of partition between Jew and Gentile as he shows in Eph 2 and which only the Cross of Christ can break down. Tacitus (Hist. V.5) says that the Jews are adversus omnes alios hostile odium.

1Thess 2:16
Forbidding us ( $\kappa \omega \lambda \nu 0 v \tau \omega \nu \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. Explanatory participle of the idea in $\varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \iota \omega v$. They show their hostility to Paul at every turn. Right here in Corinth, where Paul is when he writes, they had already shown venomous hostility toward Paul as Luke makes plain (Ac 18:6ff. ). They not simply oppose his work among the Jews, but also to the Gentiles ( $\varepsilon \theta v \varepsilon \sigma$, nations outside of the Abrahamic covenant as they understood it).

That they may be saved ( $\mathrm{v} \alpha \sigma \omega \theta \omega \sigma \tau v$ ). Final use of $\mathrm{v} \alpha$ with first aorist passive subjunctive of $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$ old verb to save. It was the only hope of the Gentiles, Christ alone and not the mystery-religions offered any real hope.

To fill up their sins alway ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau 0 ~ \alpha v a \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \alpha \alpha \nu \tau \omega v \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ a \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \varsigma \pi \alpha \nu \tau о \tau \varepsilon$ ). Another example of $\varepsilon$ וৎ $\tau 0$ and the infinitive as in verse 12. It may either be God's conceived plan to allow the Jews to go on and fill up ( $\alpha v \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \alpha$, note $\alpha v \alpha$, fill up full, old verb) or it may be the natural result from the continual ( $\pi \alpha v \tau о \tau \varepsilon)$ sins of the Jews.

Is come ( $\varepsilon \varphi \theta \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v)$. First aorist (timeless aorist) active indicative of $\varphi \theta \alpha v \omega$ which no longer means to come before as in 1Th 4:15 where alone in the N.T. it retains the old idea of coming before. Some MSS. have the perfect active $\varepsilon \varphi \theta a \kappa \varepsilon \nu$, prophetic perfect of realization already. Frame translates it: "But the wrath has come upon them at last." This is the most likely meaning of $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma$. Paul vividly foresees and foretells the final outcome of this attitude of hate on the part of the Jews. Tristis exitus, Bengel calls it. Paul speaks out of a sad experience.

1Thess 2:17
Being bereaved of you ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \rho \varphi \alpha v \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \varphi^{\prime} v \mu \omega v$ ). First aorist passive participle of the rare compound verb ( $\alpha \pi о \rho \varphi \alpha v i \zeta \omega$, in Aeschylus, but nowhere else in N.T.). Literally,
being orphaned from you ( $\alpha \varphi^{\prime} v \mu \omega v$, ablative case). Paul changes the figure again ( $\tau \rho о \varphi о \varsigma$ or mother nurse in verse $7, v \eta \pi \iota \circ \varsigma$ or babe in verse $7, \pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ or father in verse 11) to
orphan (oppavoc). He refers to the period of separation from them,
for a short season ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \kappa \alpha \iota \rho o v \omega \rho \alpha \varsigma$ ) for a season of an hour. This idiom only here in N.T., but $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \kappa \alpha \mu \rho o v$ in $\mathrm{Lu} 8: 13$ and $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \omega \rho a v$ in 2Co 7:8. But it has seemed long to Paul. Precisely how long he had been gone we do not know, some months at any rate.

In presence, not in heart ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \omega$ ov $\kappa \alpha \rho \delta \Delta \alpha$ ). Locative case. Проб $\quad \pi \sigma v$, old word ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma$, o $о \varsigma$, in front of the eye, face) for face, look, person. Literally,
in face or person. His heart was with them, though they no longer saw his face. Heart, originally кар $\delta \iota \alpha$, is the inner man, the seat of the affections and purposes, not always in contrast with intellect (vouc). "Out of sight, not out of mind" (Rutherford).

Endeavoured the more exceedingly ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma о \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \pi о \cup \delta \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v)$ ). Ingressive aorist active indicative of $\sigma \pi \sigma v \delta a \zeta \omega$, old word to hasten (from $\sigma \pi \circ v \delta \eta, \sigma \pi \varepsilon v \delta \omega)$ ).

We became zealous . Comparative adverb $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma о \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega \varsigma$ from $\pi \varepsilon \rho \circ \sigma \sigma o v$, more abundantly than before being orphaned from you.

face above.
With great desire ( $\varepsilon v \pi o \lambda \lambda \eta \varepsilon \pi ı \theta v \mu ı \alpha)$.
In much longing ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \downarrow$ from $\varepsilon \pi$ and $\theta \nu \mu \circ \varsigma, \varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \varepsilon \omega$, to run after, to yearn after, whether good or bad).

1Thess 2:18
Because ( $\delta$ เoт). As in 2:8.
We would fain have come to you ( $\eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon เ v \pi \rho o \varsigma v \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active indicative of $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$. Literally,
we desired to come to you. I Paul ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ П $\alpha \nu \lambda o \varsigma)$. Clear example of literary plural $\eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ with singular pronoun $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$. Paul uses his own name elsewhere also as in 2 Co 10:1; Ga 5:2; Col 1:23; Eph 3:1; Phm 1:19.

Once and again ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \pi \alpha \xi \kappa \alpha \delta \iota \varsigma)$.
Both once and twice as in Php 4:16. Old idiom in Plato.
And Satan hindered us ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \kappa о \psi \varepsilon \nu \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma$ о इaтаvac). Adversative use of $\kappa \alpha \iota=$ but or and yet. First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon v \kappa о \pi \tau \omega$, late word to cut in, to hinder. Milligan quotes papyrus example of third century, B.C. Verb used to cut in a road, to make a road impassable. So Paul charges Satan with cutting in on his path. Used by Paul in Ac 24:4; Ga 5:7 and passive $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa о \pi \tau о \mu \eta v$ in Ro 15:22; 1Pe 3:7. This hindrance may have been illness, opposition of the Jews in Corinth, what not.

1Thess 2:19

Crown of glorying ( $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha v o \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha u \chi \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. When a king or conqueror came on a visit he was given a chaplet of glorying. Paul is answering the insinuation that he did not really wish to come.

At his coming ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta$ avtov $\pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma \iota \alpha$ ). This word $\pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma \iota \alpha$ is untechnical (just presence from $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \mu \mu$ ) in 2Th 2:9; 1Co 16:17; 2Co 7:6f.; 10:10; Php 1:26; 2:12. But here (also 1Th 3:13; 4:15; 5:23; 2Th 2:1,8; 1Co 15:23) we have the technical sense of the second coming of Christ. Deissmann (Light from the Ancient East, pp. 372ff.) notes that the word in the papyri is almost technical for the arrival of a king or ruler who expects to receive his "crown of coming." The Thessalonians, Paul says, will be his crown, glory, joy when Jesus comes.

## 1 Thessalonians 3

1Thess 3:1
When we could no longer forbear ( $\mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau \sigma \tau \varepsilon \gamma \sigma v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). $\Sigma \tau \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ is old verb to cover from $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \gamma \eta$, roof (Mr 2:4) , to cover with silence, to conceal, to keep off, to endure as here and 1Co 9:12; 13:7. In the papyri in this sense (Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary). Мŋкєє usual negative with participle in the Koine rather than ouкะт.

We thought it good ( $\eta \cup \delta о к \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Either literary plural as in 2:18 or Paul and Silas as more likely. If so, both Timothy and Silas came to Athens (Ac 17:15f. ), but Timothy was sent (we sent, $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$, verse 2) right back to Thessalonica and later Paul sent Silas on to Beroea or Thessalonica (verse 5,

I sent, $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \alpha$ ). Then both Silas and Timothy came from Macedonia to Corinth (Ac 18:5).

Alone ( $\mu \mathrm{ovo}$ ). Including Silas.
God's minister ( $\delta$ takovov qou $\theta$ cov). See on Mt 22:13 for this interesting word, here in general sense not technical sense of deacon. Some MSS. have
fellow-worker ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \rho \gamma o v$ ). Already
apostle in $2: 7$ and now
brother, minister (and possibly
fellow-worker ).
1Thess 3:3
That no man be moved ( $\tau 0 \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \alpha \sigma \alpha ı v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Epexegetical articular infinitive in accusative case of general reference. $\Sigma \alpha \iota v \omega$ is old word to wag the tail, to flatter, beguile and this sense suits here (only N.T. example). The sense of "moved" or troubled or disheartened is from $\sigma \iota \alpha \iota v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ the reading of $\mathrm{F} G$ and found in the papyri.

We are appointed ( $\kappa \varepsilon \iota \mu \theta \alpha)$. Present middle, used here as passive of $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$. We Christians are set
hereunto ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o v \tau o) ~ t o ~ b e ~ b e g u i l e d ~ b y ~ t r i b u l a t i o n s . ~ W e ~ m u s t ~ r e s i s t . ~$
1Thess 3:4
We told you beforehand ( $\pi \rho \circ \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \mu \varepsilon v \nu \mu v)$. Imperfect active, we used to tell you beforehand. Old verb, rare in N.T. (only in Paul).

That we are to suffer persecution (o $\tau \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \lambda เ \beta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha)$. M $\varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ and present passive infinitive. Not mere prediction, but God's appointed will as it turned out in Thessalonica.

1Thess 3:5
That I might know ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ \gamma \nu \omega \nu \alpha$ ). Paul's common idiom (verse 2), $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ a n d ~ t h e ~ i n-~$ finitive of purpose (second aorist ingressive active of $\gamma เ v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, come to know).

Lest by any means the tempter had tempted you ( $\mu \eta \pi \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v v \mu \alpha \varsigma$ о $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \zeta \omega v$ ). Findlay takes this as a question with negative answer, but most likely negative final clause
with $\mu \eta \pi \omega \varsigma$ about a past action with aorist indicative according to the classic idiom as in Ga 2:2 ( $\mu \eta \pi \omega \sigma--\varepsilon \delta \rho a \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ) and Ga 4:11 after verb of fearing (Robertson, Grammar, p. 988). It is a fear that the thing may turn out to be so about the past.

Should be $(\gamma \varepsilon \vee \eta \tau \alpha)$. Here the usual construction appears (aorist subjunctive with $\mu \eta$ $\pi \omega \varsigma)$ about the future.

1Thess 3:6
Even now ( $\alpha \rho \tau$ ). Just now, Timothy having come ( $\varepsilon \lambda \theta$ ov $\sigma \circ \varsigma$ T $\mu \circ \theta \varepsilon \sigma v$, genitive absolute). Why Silas is not named is not clear, unless he had come from Beroea or elsewhere in Macedonia.

Glad tidings of ( $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. First aorist middle participle of the verb for evangelizing (gospelizing).

Good remembrance ( $\mu v \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu$ ). Same word used by Paul 1:2.
Longing to see us ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \pi \circ \theta$ ovv $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma \eta \mu \propto \varsigma \iota \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Old and strong verb, $\varepsilon \pi \iota-$, directive, to long after. Mutual longing that pleased Paul ("we also you").

1Thess 3:7
Over you $\left(\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} v \mu v\right)$. E $\pi$ with the locative, the basis on which the "comfort" rests.
In $(\varepsilon \pi)$. Locative case again with $\varepsilon \pi$.
Distress ( $\alpha \vee \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta$ ).
Physical necessity, common sense in late Greek, choking ( $\alpha \gamma \chi \omega$, $\alpha \nu \gamma \rho \rho$ ), and crushing trouble $\left(\theta \lambda \iota \psi \iota \sigma, \theta \lambda_{\iota} \beta \omega\right)$.
1Thess 3:8
If ye stand fast ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu v \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma \sigma \tau\rceil \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Condition of first class, $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ and present active indicative (correct text, not $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \eta \tau \varepsilon$ subj.) of $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \omega$, late form from perfect $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \alpha$ of $\iota \sigma \tau \mu$, to place.

1Thess 3:9
Render again unto God ( $\tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \pi o \delta o v v \alpha$ ). Second aorist active infinitive of double compound verb $\alpha \nu \tau-\alpha \pi o \delta i \delta \omega \mu$, to give back ( $\alpha \pi 0$ ) in return for ( $\alpha v \tau$ ). Old verb rare in N.T., but again in 2Th 1:6 .

For you ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho v \mu \omega v$ ). Around (concerning) you, while in verse $2 v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ (over is used for "concerning your faith."

For $(\varepsilon \pi)$. Basis again as cause or ground for the joy.
Wherewith we joy ( $\eta \chi \alpha \iota \rho o \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Probably cognate accusative $\eta \nu$ with $\chi \alpha \iota \rho \circ \mu \varepsilon v$ attracted to locative $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha$ (Mt 2:10).

1Thess 3:10
Exceedingly (v $\pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \kappa \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o v)$. Double compound adverb, only in 1Th 3:10; 5:13 (some MSS. $-\omega \varsigma)$. Like piling Ossa on Pelion, $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \omega \varsigma$, abundantly, $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o v$, out of bounds, $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \kappa \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o v$, more than out of bounds (overflowing all bounds).

And perfect ( $\kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \tau \iota \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active articular infinitive of purpose ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau 0$ เ $\delta \varepsilon \iota \nu-\kappa \alpha$ ) of катартı广 $\omega$, to mend nets (Mt 4:21) or men (Ga 6:1) repair. Chiefly late.

That which is lacking in ( $\tau \alpha v \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). The shortcomings, the lacks or left-overs (Col 1:24). From vo兀є $\rho \varepsilon \omega$ (vбт $\rho \rho \circ$ ), to be late.

1Thess 3:11
Our God and Father himself ( $\alpha v \tau о \varsigma$ о $\theta \varepsilon о \varsigma \kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Note one article with both substantives for one person.

And our Lord Jesus ( $\kappa \alpha$ о Kvpıоя $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ Iqбоvৎ). Separate article here with I I $\sigma o v \varsigma . ~ I n ~$ Tit 2:13; 2Pe 1:1 only one article (not two) treating "our God and Saviour Jesus Christ" as one just like "our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ" in 2Pe 1:11; 2:20; 3:18.

Direct our way ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \theta v \nu \alpha \tau \eta v$ o $\delta o v \eta \mu \omega \nu$ ). First aorist optative (acute accent on penult, not circumflex first aorist active infinitive) of $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \theta v \nu \omega$, old verb to make straight path. Singular verb also, though both God and Christ mentioned as subject (unity in the Godhead). Apart from $\mu \eta \gamma \varepsilon$ vouto ( may it not come to pass ) the optative in a wish of the third person is found in N.T. only in 1Th 3:11,12; 5:23; 2Th 2:17; 3:5,16; Ro 15:5,13.

1Thess 3:12
The Lord (o Kupıos). The Lord Jesus. Paul prays to Christ.
Make you to increase ( $v \mu \alpha \varsigma \pi \lambda \varepsilon \sigma v \alpha \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active optative (wish for future) of $\pi \lambda \varepsilon o v a \zeta \omega$, late verb from $\pi \lambda \varepsilon o v$ (more),
to superabound .
And abound ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active optative (wish for future) of $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v \omega$ from $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \circ \varsigma$, old verb, to be over (common in N.T.). It is hard to see much difference between the two verbs.

1Thess 3:13
To the end he may stablish ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \sigma \tau \eta \rho ı \xi \alpha$ ). Another example of $\varepsilon ı \varsigma$ and the articular infinitive of purpose. Same idiom in 3:2. From $\sigma \tau \eta \rho \zeta \omega$, from $\sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \gamma \xi$, a support.

Unblameable ( $\alpha \mu \varepsilon \mu \pi \tau о \nu \varsigma$ ). Old compound adjective ( $\alpha$ privative and verbal of $\mu \varepsilon \mu \varphi о \mu \alpha$, to blame). Rare in N.T. Predicate position here. Second coming of Christ again.

## 1 Thessalonians 4

1Thess 4:1
Finally ( $\lambda_{0} \iota \pi \frac{v}{}$ ). Accusative of general reference of $\lambda o \iota \pi \circ \varsigma$, as for the rest. It does not mean actual conclusion, but merely a colloquial expression pointing towards the end (Milligan) as in 2Co 13:11; 2Ti 4:8. So to 入ormov in 2Th 3:1; Php 3:1; 4:8 .

We beseech ( $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Not "question" as in ancient Greek, but as often in N.T. (1Th 5:12; 2Th 2:1; Php 4:3) and also in papyri to make urgent request of one.

How ye ought ( $\tau 0 \pi \omega \varsigma \delta \varepsilon v \mu a \varsigma$ ). Literally, explanatory articular indirect question ( $\tau 0$ $\pi \omega \varsigma)$ after $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ according to common classic idiom in Luke (Lu 1:62; 22:2,4,23,24) and Paul (Ro 8:26).

That ye abound ( $\iota v a \pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \cup \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Loose construction of the $\tau v a$ clause with present subjunctive after two subordinate clauses with $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega$ (as, even as) to be connected with "beseech and exhort."

More and more ( $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$ ). Simply
more, but added to same idea in $\pi \varepsilon \rho\llcorner\sigma \sigma \varepsilon \cup \eta \tau \varepsilon$. See also verse 11.
1Thess 4:2
What charge ( $\tau \imath v a \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha \rho a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. Plural, charges or precepts, command (Ac 16:24), prohibition (Ac 5:28), right living (1Ti 1:5). Military term in Xenophon and Polybius.

1Thess 4:3
Your sanctification (o $\alpha \gamma \omega \sigma \mu \rho \varsigma \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Found only in the Greek Bible and ecclesiastical writers from $\alpha \gamma \iota \alpha \zeta \omega$ and both to take the place of the old words $\alpha \gamma \iota \omega \omega, \alpha \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \circ$, with their technical ideas of consecration to a god or goddess that did not include holiness in life. So Paul makes a sharp and pointed stand here for the Christian idea of sanctification as being "the will of God" (apposition) and as further explained by the epexegetic infinitive
that ye abstain from fornication ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \cup \mu \alpha \varsigma \alpha \pi о \quad \tau \eta \varsigma \pi о \rho v \varepsilon 1 \alpha \varsigma$ ). Pagan religion did not demand sexual purity of its devotees, the gods and goddesses being grossly immoral. Priestesses were in the temples for the service of the men who came.

1Thess 4:4
That each one of you know how ( $\varepsilon \delta \delta \varepsilon v \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau o v v \mu \omega v$ ). Further epexegetic infinitive (second perfect active), learn how and so know how (learn the habit of purity).

To possess himself of his own vessel (то عavтоv бкعvoc ктабӨa). Present middle infinitive of $\kappa \tau \alpha \circ \mu \alpha$, to acquire, not $\kappa \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \sigma \theta \alpha$, to possess. But what does Paul mean by "his own vessel"? It can only mean his own body or his own wife. Objections are raised against either view, but perhaps he means that the man shall acquire his own wife "in sanctification and honour," words that elevate the wife and make it plain that Paul demands sexual purity on the part of men (married as well as unmarried). There is no double standard here. When the husband comes to the marriage bed, he should come as a chaste man to a chaste wife.

1Thess 4:5
Not in the passion of lust ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \nu \pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. Plain picture of the wrong way for the husband to come to marriage.

That know not God ( $\tau \alpha \mu \eta \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta o \tau \alpha \operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Second perfect participle of oı $\delta \alpha$. The heathen knew gods as licentious as they are themselves, but not God. One of the reasons for the revival of paganism in modern life is professedly this very thing that men wish to get rid of the inhibitions against licentiousness by God.

1Thess 4:6
That no man transgress ( $\tau 0 \mu \eta v \pi \varepsilon \rho \beta \alpha i v \varepsilon \imath v$ ). Old verb to go beyond. Final use of to (accusative of general reference) and the infinitive (negative $\mu \eta$ ), parallel to $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ and $\varepsilon І \delta \varepsilon v a \kappa \tau \alpha \sigma \theta a$ above.

And wrong his brother ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \lambda \varepsilon о v \varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ \nu \tau o v ~ \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi о \nu \alpha v \tau o v)$. To take more, to overreach, to take advantage of, to defraud.

In the matter $(\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau)$. The delicacy of Paul makes him refrain from plainer terms and the context makes it clear enough as in 2Co 7:11 ( $\tau \omega \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau)$.

An avenger ( $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \iota \kappa \circ \varsigma)$. Regular term in the papyri for legal avenger. Modern men and women need to remember that God is the avenger for sexual wrongs both in this life and the next.

1Thess 4:7
Not for uncleanness, but in sanctification ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \sigma \iota \alpha \alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \varepsilon \nu \alpha \gamma \iota \alpha \sigma \mu \omega$ ). Sharp contrast made still sharper by the two prepositions $\varepsilon \pi$ (on the basis of) and $\varepsilon v$ (in the sphere of). God has "called" us all for a decent sex life consonant with his aims and purposes. It was necessary for Paul to place this lofty ideal before the Thessalonian Christians living in a pagan world. It is equally important now.

1Thess 4:8
Therefore ( $\tau o t \gamma \alpha \rho o v v$ ). This old triple compound particle ( $\tau o \iota, \gamma \alpha \rho, o v v$ ) is in the N.T. only here and Heb 12:1. Paul applies the logic of the case.

He that rejecteth (o $\alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \omega \nu$ ). This late verb (Polybius and LXX) is from $\alpha-\theta \varepsilon \tau o \varsigma ~(~ \alpha$ privative and verbal of $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, to proscribe a thing, to annul it.

But God ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Paul sees this clearly and modern atheists see it also. In order to justify their licentiousness they do not hesitate to set aside God.

1Thess 4:9
Concerning love of the brethren ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \eta \varsigma \varphi i \lambda \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. Late word, love of brothers or sisters. In profane Greek (one papyrus example) and LXX the word means love of those actually kin by blood, but in the N.T. it is the kinship in the love of Christ as here.

Are taught by God ( $\theta \varepsilon o \delta \iota \delta \alpha \kappa \tau о \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon)$. Only here and ecclesiastical writers. Passive verbal adjective in - тos from $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \omega$ as if $\theta$ so- in ablative case like $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \kappa \tau$ т $\theta \varepsilon$ ov (Joh 6:45 ).

To love one another ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ a \gamma a \pi \alpha ı v ~ \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o u \varsigma)$. Another example of $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ a n d ~ t h e ~$ infinitive. Only those taught of God keep on loving one another, love neighbours and even enemies as Jesus taught (Mt 5:44). Note the use of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$, not $\varphi i \lambda \varepsilon \omega$.

1Thess 4:10
 Delicate praise.

1Thess 4:11
 $\pi \alpha \rho a \kappa \alpha \lambda o u \mu \varepsilon v$ (verse 10, we exhort you), the second on $\varphi \backslash \lambda о \tau \mu \mu \varepsilon \iota \sigma$ a (old verb from $\varphi \lambda \lambda о \tau \mu \mu \circ$, fond of honour, $\varphi\rangle \lambda о \sigma, \tau \mu \eta)$. The notion of ambition appears in each of the three N.T. examples (1Th 4:11; 2Co 5:9; Ro 5:20 ), but it is ambition to do good, not evil. The word ambition is Latin (ambitio from ambo, ire), to go on both sides to accomplish one's aims and often evil). A preacher devoid of ambition lacks power. There was a restless spirit in Thessalonica because of the misapprehension of the second coming. So Paul urges an ambition to be quiet or calm, to lead a quiet life, including silence (Ac 11:18).

To do your own business ( $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon เ \nu \tau \alpha เ \delta i \alpha)$. Present infinitive like the others, to have the habit of attending to their own affairs ( $\tau \alpha \wedge \delta 1 \alpha$ ). This restless meddlesomeness here condemned Paul alludes to again in 2Th 3:11 in plainer terms. It is amazing how much wisdom people have about other people's affairs and so little interest in their own.

To work with your own hands ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta a$ таıৎ $\chi \varepsilon \rho \sigma \tau \nu \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Instrumental case ( $\chi \varepsilon \rho \sigma เ v)$. Paul gave a new dignity to manual labour by precept and example. There were "pious" idlers in the church in Thessalonica who were promoting trouble. He had commanded them when with them.

1Thess 4:12
That ye may walk honestly (ıva $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon v \sigma \chi \eta \mu \circ v \omega \varsigma)$ ). Present subjunctive (linear action). Old adverb from $\varepsilon v \sigma \chi \eta \mu \omega v$ ( $\varepsilon v, \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha$, Latin habitus, graceful figure), becomingly, decently. In N.T. only here and Ro 13:13. This idea includes honest financial transactions, but a good deal more. People outside the churches have a right to watch the conduct of professing Christians in business, domestic life, social life, politics.

1Thess 4:13
We would not have (ov $\theta \varepsilon \lambda o \mu \varepsilon v$ ). We do not wish.
You ignorant ( $\nu \mu \alpha \varsigma \alpha \gamma v o \varepsilon i v$ ). Old word, not to know ( $\alpha$ privative, $\gamma v o-$, root of $\gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega)$. No advantage in ignorance of itself.

Concerning them that fall asleep ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \omega v \kappa о \not \mu \omega \mu \varepsilon v \omega v$ ). Present passive (or middle) participle (Aleph B) rather than the perfect passive кєкоц$\mu \mu \varepsilon v \omega \nu$ of many later MSS. From old ког $\mu \alpha \omega$, to put to sleep. Present tense gives idea of repetition, from time to time fall asleep. Greeks and Romans used this figure of sleep for death as Jesus does (Joh 11:11) and
N.T. generally (cf. our word cemetery). Somehow the Thessalonians had a false notion about the dead in relation to the second coming.
 of the hopelessness of the pagan world about the future life is amply illustrated in ancient writings and particularly by inscriptions on tombs (Milligan). Some few pagans clung to this hope, but most had none.

1Thess 4:14
For if we believe ( $\varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v)$. Condition of first class, assuming the death and resurrection of Jesus to be true.

In Jesus ( $\delta ı \alpha$ tov I $\eta \sigma \circ 0$ ). Literally, through or by means of Jesus. It is amphibolous in position and can be taken either with tovs коц $\mu \eta \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \tau \alpha \varsigma$ (that are fallen asleep in or through Jesus) like o коњ $\eta \varepsilon \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon v$ X $\operatorname{\rho \prime \sigma \tau \omega }$ in 1Co 15:18 and probably correct or with $\alpha \xi \varepsilon$ (through Jesus with God).

With him ( $\sigma \cup \vee \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). Together with Jesus. Jesus is the connecting link ( $\delta 1 \alpha$ ) for those that sleep (коцך $\theta \varepsilon v \tau \alpha \varsigma$ first aorist passive, but with middle sense) and their resurrection.

1Thess 4:15
By the word of the Lord ( $\varepsilon v \lambda o \gamma \omega$ Kvpıov). We do not know to what word of the Lord Jesus Paul refers, probably Paul meaning only the point in the teaching of Christ rather than a quotation. He may be claiming a direct revelation on this important matter as about the Lord's Supper in 1Co 11:23. Jesus may have spoken on this subject though it has not been preserved to us (cf. Mr 9:1).

Ye that are alive ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ o $\zeta \omega \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Paul here includes himself, but this by no means shows that Paul knew that he would be alive at the Parousia of Christ. He was alive, not dead, when he wrote.

Shall in no wise precede ( $o v \mu \eta \varphi \theta \alpha \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist active subjunctive of $\varphi \theta \alpha v \omega$, to come before, to anticipate. This strong negative with ov $\mu \eta$ (double negative) and the subjunctive is the regular idiom (Robertson, Grammar, p. 929). Hence there was no ground for uneasiness about the dead in Christ.

1Thess 4:16
With a shout ( $\varepsilon v \kappa \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \mu \alpha \tau$ ). Note this so-called instrumental use of $\varepsilon v$. Old word, here only in N.T., from $\kappa \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \omega$, to order, command (military command). Christ will come as Conqueror.

With the voice of the archangel ( $\varepsilon v \varphi \omega v \eta \alpha \rho \chi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o v$ ). Further explanation of $\kappa \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \mu \alpha \tau$ (command). The only archangel mentioned in N.T. is Michael in Jude 1:9. But note absence of article with both $\varphi \omega v \eta$ and $\alpha \rho \chi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o u$. The reference may be thus indefinite.

With the trump of God ( $\varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \gamma \gamma \gamma \theta \varepsilon o v)$. Trumpet. See same figure in 1Co 15:52.
The dead in Christ shall rise first (o vєкро $\varepsilon v$ X

First here refers plainly to the fact that, so far from the dead in Christ having no share in the Parousia, they will rise before those still alive are changed.

1Thess 4:17
Then ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha)$. The next step, not the identical time ( $\tau 0 \tau \varepsilon$ ), but immediately afterwards.
Together with them ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \sigma \cup v \alpha v \tau o ı c)$. Note both $\alpha \mu \alpha$ (at the same time) and $\sigma v v$ (together with) with the associative instrumental case autoos (the risen saints).

Shall be caught up ( $\alpha \rho \pi \alpha \gamma \eta \sigma \sigma \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha)$. Second future passive indicative of $\alpha \rho \pi \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb to seize, to carry off like Latin rapio.

To meet the Lord in the air ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \eta \sigma \iota v$ tov Kvpıov $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \alpha \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). This special Greek idiom is common in the LXX like the Hebrew, but Polybius has it also and it occurs in the papyri (Moulton, Proleg., p. 14, n. 3). This rapture of the saints (both risen and changed) is a glorious climax to Paul's argument of consolation.

And so ( $\kappa \alpha$ ovt $\omega \varsigma$ ). This is the outcome, to be forever with the Lord, whether with a return to earth or with an immediate departure for heaven Paul does not say. To be with Christ is the chief hope of Paul's life (1Th 5:10; Php 1:23; Col 3:4; 2Co 5:8).

1Thess 4:18
With these words ( $\varepsilon v$ toı $\boldsymbol{\lambda o \gamma o ı}$ tovious). In these words. They were a comfort to the Thessalonians as they still comfort the people of God.

## 1 Thessalonians 5

1Thess 5:1
But concerning the times and the seasons ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \delta \varepsilon \tau \omega \nu \chi \rho \circ \nu \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \omega v \kappa \alpha \iota \omega v$ ). See both words used also in Tit 1:2f. X $\quad$. space of time.

1Thess 5:2
Know perfectly ( $\alpha \kappa \rho \iota \omega \varsigma$ oı $\delta \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Accurately know, not "the times and the seasons," but their own ignorance.

As a thief in the night ( $\omega \varsigma \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \nu v \kappa \tau$ ). As a thief at night, suddenly and unexpectedly. Reminiscence of the word of Jesus (Mt 24:43; Lu 12:39), used also in $2 \mathrm{Pe} 3: 10$; Re 3:3; 16:15.

Cometh ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Prophetic or futuristic present tense.
1Thess 5:3
When they are saying (o $\tau \alpha v \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega \sigma \iota)$. Present active subjunctive picturing these false prophets of
peace and safety like Eze 13:10 (Peace, and there is no peace). A $\sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ only in N.T. in Lu 1:4 (which see); Ac 5:23 and here.

Sudden destruction ( $\alpha \iota \varphi v \iota \delta ı \varsigma$ o $\lambda \varepsilon \theta \rho o \varsigma$ ). $O \lambda \varepsilon \theta \rho o \varsigma$ old word from o $\lambda \lambda \nu \mu$, to destroy. See also 2Th 1:9. Aı $\varphi v \iota \delta \iota \varsigma$, old adjective akin to $\alpha \varphi v \omega$ and in N.T. only here and Lu 21:34 where Westcott and Hort spell it $\varepsilon \varphi v i \delta ı o$.

Cometh upon them ( $\alpha \cup \tau \circ \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Unaspirated form instead of the usual $\varepsilon \varphi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$ (present middle indicative) from $\varepsilon \varphi เ \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ perhaps due to confusion with $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \alpha$.

As travail upon a woman with child ( $\omega \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \eta \omega \delta \iota \nu \tau \eta \varepsilon \nu \gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \circ v \sigma \eta$ ). Earlier form $\omega \delta \iota \varsigma$ for birth-pang used also by Jesus (Mr 13:8; Mt 24:8). Technical phrase for pregnancy,
to the one who has it in belly (cf. Mt 1:18 of Mary).
They shall in no wise escape (ov $\mu \eta \varepsilon \kappa \varphi v \gamma \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). Strong negative like that in $4: 15 \mathrm{ov}$ $\mu \eta$ (double negative) and the second aorist active subjunctive.

1Thess 5:4
As a thief ( $\omega \varsigma \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma$ ). As in verse 2, but A B Bohairic have $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \varsigma$ (thieves), turning the metaphor round.

1Thess 5:5
Sons of light (v⿺辶 $\varphi \omega \tau \circ \varsigma)$,
sons of day (vıo $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho a \varsigma)$. Chiefly a translation Hebraism (Deissmann, Bible Studies, pp. 161ff.). Cf. words of Jesus in Lu 16:8 and Paul in Eph 5:9. He repeats the same idea in turning from "ye" to "we" and using vטктоৎ (night) and бкотоия (darkness), predicate genitives.

1Thess 5:6

So then ( $\alpha \rho \alpha$ ouv). Two inferential particles, accordingly therefore, as in 2Th 2:15 and only in Paul in N.T.

Let us not sleep ( $\mu \eta \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon v \delta \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Present active subjunctive (volitive), let us not go on sleeping.

Let us watch ( $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma \quad \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Present active subj. (volitive) again, let us keep awake (late verb $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma$ о $\varepsilon \varepsilon \omega$ from perfect $\varepsilon \gamma \rho \eta \gamma \circ \rho \alpha$ ).

Be sober ( $v \eta \varphi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Present active subjunctive (volitive). Old verb not to be drunk. In N.T. only in figurative sense, to be calm, sober-minded. Also in verse 8 with the metaphor of drunkenness in contrast.

1Thess 5:7
 No need of "be" here, they that are drunken. No real difference in meaning between $\mu \varepsilon \theta v \sigma \kappa \omega$ and $\mu \varepsilon \theta v \omega$, to be drunk, except that $\mu \varepsilon \theta v \sigma \kappa \omega$ (inceptive verb in $-\sigma \kappa \omega$ ) means to get drunk.

Night (vטктos, genitive by night) is the favourite time for drunken revelries.
1Thess 5:8
Putting on the breastplate of faith and love ( $\varepsilon v \delta v \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o ~ \theta \omega \rho \alpha к \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \varsigma)$. First aorist (ingressive) middle participle of $\varepsilon v \delta v \omega$. The same figure of breastplate in Eph 6:14, only there "of righteousness." The idea of watchfulness brings the figure of a sentry on guard and armed to Paul's mind as in Ro 13:12 "the weapons of light." The word $\theta \omega \rho \alpha \xi$ (breastplate) is common in the LXX.

For a helmet, the hope of salvation ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \alpha ı \alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota a \varsigma)$ ) Same figure in Eph 6:17 and both like Isa 59:17. Late word meaning around ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ ) the head ( $\kappa \varepsilon \varphi a \lambda \eta$ ) and in Polybius, LXX, and in the papyri. $\Sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho / a \varsigma$ is objective genitive.

1Thess 5:9
But unto the obtaining of salvation through our Lord Jesus Christ ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ عıs
 $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi o ı \eta \sigma \iota v$ which may be passive, God's possession as in $1 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 9$, or active, obtaining, as in 2Th 2:14. The latter is probably the idea here. We are to keep awake so as to fulfil God's purpose ( $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \tau 0$, appointed, second aorist middle indicative of $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$ ) in calling us. That is our hope of final victory (salvation in this sense).

1Thess 5:10
For us ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \omega v$ ).
Around us. So Westcott and Hort, but v $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ (over, in behalf of) as in many MSS. These prepositions often interchanged in N.T. MSS.

Whether we wake or sleep ( $\varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon \gamma \rho \eta \gamma \circ \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon v \delta \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Alternative condition of third class with present subjunctive, though $\varepsilon \alpha v \tau \varepsilon-$ - $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon$ more usual conjunction (Robertson, Grammar, P. 1017). Used here of life and death, not as metaphor.

That we should live together with him ( $\imath v \alpha \alpha \mu \alpha \sigma v v \alpha v \tau \omega \zeta \eta \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active subjunctive constative aorist covering all life (now and hereafter) together with ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \sigma v v$ as in $5: 17$ ) Jesus.

1Thess 5:11
Build each other up (оюкобонєıтє $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о v \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Literally, build ye, one the one ( $\varepsilon \iota$ nominative in partitive apposition with unexpressed $v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ s u b j e c t ~ o f ~ o 七 \kappa о \delta o \mu \varepsilon ı \tau \varepsilon$. Then $\tau 0 v$ $\varepsilon v \alpha$ the accusative in partitive apposition with the unexpressed $\varepsilon \alpha v \tau 0 v \varsigma$ or $\alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o v \varsigma$. See the same idiom in 1Co 4:6 one in behalf of the one , $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma v \pi \varepsilon \rho \tau o v \varepsilon v o \varsigma$. Build is a favourite Pauline metaphor.

1Thess 5:12
Them that labour among you ( $\tau 0 v \varsigma \kappa о \pi \iota \omega v \tau \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon v v \mu \nu \nu$ ). Old word for toil even if weary.

And are over you in the Lord ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \rho o \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma \nu \mu \omega \nu \varepsilon \nu K v \rho \iota \omega$ ). Same article with this participle. Literally, those who stand in front of you, your leaders in the Lord, the presbyters or bishops and deacons. Get acquainted with them and follow them.

And admonish you ( $\kappa \alpha v o v \theta \varepsilon \tau о v v \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ v \mu \alpha \varsigma) . ~ O l d ~ v e r b ~ f r o m ~ v o v \theta \varepsilon \tau \eta \varsigma ~ a n d ~ t h i s ~ f r o m ~$ vous (mind) and $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, to put. Putting sense into the heads of people. A thankless, but a necessary, task. The same article connects all three participles, different functions of the same leaders in the church.

1Thess 5:13
And to esteem them ( $\kappa \alpha \eta \gamma \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Get acquainted with them and esteem the leaders. The idlers in Thessalonica had evidently refused to follow their leaders in church activities. We need wise leadership today, but still more wise following. An army of captains and colonels never won a battle.

1Thess 5:14
 who break ranks ( $\alpha$ privative and $\tau \alpha \kappa \tau \circ \varsigma$, verbal adjective of $\tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, to keep military order). Recall the idlers from the market-place used against Paul (Ac 17:5 ). This is a challenging task for any leader.

Encourage the fainthearted ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \nu \theta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon \tau о \nu \varsigma о \lambda \iota \gamma о \psi \nu \chi о \nu \varsigma)$. Old verb to encourage or console as in Joh 11:31, though not so common in N.T. as $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, the compound adjective (o $\lambda \iota \gamma \circ \varsigma$, little or small, $\psi \cup \chi \eta$, soul), small-souled, little-souled, late word in LXX. The verb o $\lambda \iota \gamma о \psi v \chi \varepsilon \omega$ occurs in the papyri. Local conditions often cause some to lose heart and wish to drop out, be quitters. These must be held in line.

Support the weak ( $\alpha v \tau \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \tau \omega \nu \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon \nu \omega v$ ). Middle voice with genitive of $\alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \chi \omega$, old verb, in N.T. only in middle, to cling to, to hold on to (with genitive). The weak are those tempted to $\sin$ (immorality, for instance).

Be long－suffering toward all（ $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta \nu \mu \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon \pi \rho о \varsigma \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma)$ ．These disorderly elements try the patience of the leaders．Hold out with them．What a wonderful ideal Paul here holds up for church leaders！

1Thess 5：15
See to it that no one render unto any one evil for evil（оратє $\mu \eta \tau \iota \varsigma$ какоv аขт какои $\alpha \pi o \delta \omega$ ）．Note $\mu \eta$ with the aorist subjunctive（negative purpose）$\alpha \pi o \delta \omega$ from $\alpha \pi o \delta \delta \delta \omega \mu$ ，to give back．Retaliation，condemned by Jesus（Mt 5：38－42 ）and by Paul in Ro 12：17，usually takes the form of＂evil for evil，＂rather than＂good for good＂（ка入оv avt ка入оv）．Note idea of exchange in $\alpha v \tau$ ．

Follow after（ $\delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon)$ ．Keep up the chase（ $\delta \omega \kappa \kappa \omega$ ）after the good．
1Thess 5：18
In everything give thanks（ $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \cup \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ ）．There is a silver lining to every cloud． God is with us whatever befalls us．It is God＇s will that we find joy in prayer in Christ Jesus in every condition of life．

1Thess 5：19
Quench not the spirit（ $\tau 0 \pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \mu \eta \sigma \beta \varepsilon v v \nu \tau \varepsilon$ ）．M $\eta$ with the present imperative means to stop doing it or not to have the habit of doing it．It is a bold figure．Some of them were trying to put out the fire of the Holy Spirit，probably the special gifts of the Holy Spirit as verse 20 means．But even so the exercise of these special gifts（1Co 12－14；2Co 12：2－4；Ro 12：6－9）was to be decently（ $\varepsilon \cup \sigma \chi \eta \mu \circ v \omega \varsigma$ ， 1 Th 4：12）and in order（ $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \xi ı v, 1$ Co 14：40） and for edification（ $\pi \rho о \varsigma$ оккобо $\mu \eta \nu$ ，1Co 14：26）．Today，as then，there are two extremes about spiritual gifts（cold indifference or wild excess）．It is not hard to put out the fire of spiritual fervor and power．

1Thess 5：20
Despise not prophesyings（ $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon ı \alpha \varsigma \mu \eta \varepsilon \xi_{0} 0 \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ ）．Same construction，stop counting as nothing（ $\varepsilon \xi \circ v \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \omega, o v \theta \varepsilon v=o v \delta \varepsilon v$ ），late form in LXX．Plutarch has $\varepsilon \xi \circ v \delta \varepsilon v 亡 \zeta \omega$ ． Plural form $\pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon เ \alpha \varsigma$（accusative）．Word means
forth－telling（ $\pi \rho \sigma-\varphi \eta \mu$ ）rather than
fore－telling and is the chief of the spiritual gifts（1Co 14 ）and evidently depreciated in Thessalonica as in Corinth later．

1Thess 5：21
Prove all things（ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha[\delta \varepsilon] \delta$ окщаऍєтє）．Probably $\delta \varepsilon($ but ）is genuine．Even the gift of prophecy has to be tested（1Co 12：10；14：29）to avoid error．Paul shows fine balance here．

Hold fast that which is good（ $\tau$ ка入оv катє $\chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ）．Keep on holding down the beautiful （noble，morally beautiful）．Present imperative кат－$\varepsilon \chi \omega$（perfective use of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha-$ here）．

1Thess 5：22
Abstain from every form of evil（ $\alpha \pi 0$ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau о \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ו \delta o v \varsigma ~ \pi о \nu \eta \rho о v ~ \alpha \pi \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ）．Present middle（direct）imperative of $\alpha \pi-\varepsilon \chi \omega$（contrast with $\kappa \alpha \tau-\varepsilon \chi \omega$ ）and preposition $\alpha \pi$ repeated
with ablative as in 1Th 4:3. Note use of $\pi$ rovn $\rho$ ou here for evil without the article, common enough idiom. Eıסoc (from $\varepsilon$ عı $\delta$ ov) naturally means look or appearance as in Lu 3:23; 9:29; Joh 5:37; 2Co 5:7. But, if so taken, it is not semblance as opposed to reality (Milligan). The papyri give several examples of $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \delta \mathrm{o}$, in the sense of class or kind and that idea suits best here. Evil had a way of showing itself even in the spiritual gifts including prophecy.

1Thess 5:23
The God of peace (o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \nu \eta \varsigma)$. The God characterized by peace in his nature, who gladly bestows it also. Common phrase (Milligan) at close of Paul's Epistles (2Co 13:11; Ro 15:33; 16:20; Php 4:9) and
the Lord of peace in 2Th 3:6.
Sanctify you ( $\alpha \gamma \boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). First aorist active optative in a wish for the future. New verb in LXX and N.T. for the old $\alpha \gamma \zeta \zeta \omega$, to render or to declare holy ( $\alpha \gamma \omega \varsigma$ ), to consecrate, to separate from things profane.

Wholly (o 0 ot $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma)$. Predicate adjective in plural ( $\mathrm{o} \lambda \mathrm{o} \varsigma$, whole, $\tau \varepsilon \lambda$ o $\varsigma$, end), not adverb o $\lambda o \tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega \varsigma$. Late word in Plutarch, Hexapla, and in inscription A.D. 67 (Moulton and Milligan, Vocabulary). Here alone in N.T. Here it means the whole of each of you, every part of each of you, "through and through" (Luther), qualitatively rather than quantitatively.

Your spirit and soul and body ( $v \mu \omega v$ тo $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \eta \psi v \chi \eta \kappa \alpha \tau$ тo $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ ). Not necessarily trichotomy as opposed to dichotomy as elsewhere in Paul's Epistles. Both believers and unbelievers have an inner man (soul $\psi v \chi \eta$, mind vouc, heart кap $\delta ı \alpha$, the inward man o $\varepsilon \sigma \omega$ $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma)$ and the outer man ( $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$, o $\varepsilon \xi \omega \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ о $)$ ). But the believer has the Holy Spirit of God, the renewed spirit of man (1Co 2:11; Ro 8:9-11).

Be preserved entire ( $о \lambda о \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v \tau \eta \rho \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \eta$ ). First aorist passive optative in wish for the future. Note singular verb and singular adjective (neuter) showing that Paul conceives of the man as "an undivided whole" (Frame), prayer for the consecration of both body and soul (cf. 1Co 6 ). The adjective o $\lambda о \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v$ is in predicate and is an old form and means complete in all its parts (o $\boldsymbol{\rho} \mathrm{o}$, , whole, $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \varsigma$, lot or part). There is to be no deficiency in any part. Tع $\lambda \varepsilon เ \circ \varsigma$ (from $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \rho \varsigma$, end) means final perfection.

Without blame ( $\alpha \mu \varepsilon \mu \pi \tau \omega \varsigma)$. Old adverb ( $\alpha$ privative, $\mu \varepsilon \mu \pi \tau o \varsigma$, verbal of $\mu \varepsilon \mu \varphi о \mu \alpha$, to blame) only in I Thess. in N.T. (2:10; 3:13; 5:23 ). Milligan notes it in certain sepulchral inscriptions discovered in Thessalonica.

At the coming ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma i \alpha)$. The Second Coming which was a sustaining hope to Paul as it should be to us and mentioned often in this Epistle (see on 2:19).

1Thess 5:24
Faithful ( $\quad$ Iఠ $\tau \circ \varsigma)$. God, he means, who calls and will carry through (Php 1:6).
1Thess 5:25

Pray for us ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon u \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon[\kappa \alpha ı] \pi \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \omega v$ ). He has made his prayer for them. He adds this "human touch" (Frame) and pleads for the prayers of his converts (2Th 3:1; Col 4:2f. ). Probably ka also is genuine (B D).

1Thess 5:26
With a holy kiss ( $\varepsilon v \varphi\rangle \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \iota \omega$ ). With a kiss that is holy (Milligan) a token of friendship and brotherly love (1Co 16:20; 2Co 13:12; Ro 16:16 ). In 1Pe 5:14 it is "with a kiss of love." This was the customary salutation for rabbis.

1Thess 5:27
I adjure you by the Lord ( $\varepsilon v о \rho к \iota \zeta \omega ~ v \mu a \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ K v \rho ı o v) . ~ L a t e ~ c o m p o u n d ~ f o r ~ o l d ~ о р к ı \zeta \omega ~$ (Mr 5:7), to put one on oath, with two accusatives (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 483f.). Occurs in inscriptions.

That this epistle be read unto all the brethren ( $\alpha v \alpha \gamma v \omega \sigma \theta \eta v \alpha \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda \eta \nu \pi \alpha \sigma \iota$ тoıs $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi$ oıc). First aorist passive infinitive of $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ with accusative of general reference in an indirect command. Clearly Paul wrote for the church as a whole and wished the epistles read aloud at a public meeting. In this first epistle we see the importance that he attaches to his epistles.

1Thess 5:28
The grace ( $\eta \chi \alpha \rho ı \varsigma$ ). Paul prefers this noble word to the customary $\varepsilon \rho \rho \omega \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ (Farewell, Be strong). See 2Th 3:18 for identical close save added $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$ (all). A bit shorter form in 1Co 16:23; Ro 16:20 and still shorter in Col 4:18; 1 Ti 6:21; Tit 3:15; 2Ti 4:22. The full Trinitarian benediction we find in 2Co 13:13 .

## SECOND THESSALONIANS

## FROM CORINTH A.D. 50 OR 51

## BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

It is plain that First Thessalonians did not settle all the difficulties in Thessalonica. With some there was precisely the opposite result. There was some opposition to Paul's authority and even defiance. So Paul repeats his "command" for discipline (2Th 3:6) as he had done when with them (3:10). He makes this Epistle a test of obedience ( $3: 14$ ) and finds it necessary to warn the Thessalonians against the zeal of some deceivers who even invent epistles in Paul's name to carry their point in the church (2:1f. ), an early instance of pseudepigraphic "Pauline" epistles, but not for a "pious" purpose. Paul's keen resentment against the practise should make us slow to accept the pseudepigraphic theory about other Pauline Epistles. He calls attention to his own signature at the close of each genuine letter. As a rule he dictated the epistle, but signed it with his own hand (3:17). Paul writes to calm excitement (Ellicott) and to make it plain that he had not said that the Second Coming was to be right away.

This Epistle is a bit sharper in tone than the First and also briefer. It has been suggested that there were two churches in Thessalonica, a Gentile Church to which First Thessalonians was sent, and a Jewish Church to which Second Thessalonians was addressed. There is no real evidence for such a gratuitous hypothesis. It assumes a difficulty about his sending a second letter to the same church that does not exist. The bearer of the first letter brought back news that made a second necessary. It was probably sent within the same year as the first.

## 2 Thessalonians 1

2Thess 1:1
Paul, etc. (Пav $10 \sigma, \varepsilon \tau \chi$.). This address or superscription is identical with that in 1 Th 1:1 save that our $(\eta \mu \omega \nu)$ is added after

Father ( $\pi \alpha \tau \rho$ ).
2Thess 1:2
 X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 v$ ). These words are not genuine in 1Th 1:1, but are here and they appear in all the other Pauline Epistles. Note absence of article both after $\varepsilon v$ and $a \pi \sigma$, though both God and Lord Jesus Christ are definite. In both cases Jesus Christ is put on a par with God, though not identical. See on 1Th 1:1 for discussion of words, but note difference between $\varepsilon v$, in the sphere of, by the power of, and $a \pi \sigma$, from, as the fountain head and source of grace and peace.

2Thess 1:3
We are bound ( $\circ \varphi \varepsilon \iota \lambda \frac{\mu}{}(\nu)$ ). Paul feels a sense of obligation to keep on giving thanks to God ( $\varepsilon v \chi \propto \rho เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon เ \nu \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$, present infinitive with dative case) because of God's continued blessings on the Thessalonians. He uses the same idiom again in 2:13 and nowhere else in his thanksgivings. It is not necessity $(\delta \varepsilon)$ that Paul here notes, but a sense of personal obligation as in 1Jo 2:6 (Milligan).
 human side of the obligation (Lightfoot), perhaps to cheer the fainthearted in a possible letter to him in reply to Paul's First Thessalonian epistle (Milligan). This adjective $\alpha \xi \iota c$ is from $a \gamma \omega$, to drag down the scales, and so weighty, worthy, worthwhile, old word and appropriate here.

For that your faith groweth exceedingly (o $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha v \xi \alpha v \varepsilon \eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma v \mu \omega v$ ). Causal use of o $\tau$ referring to the obligation stated in $о \varphi \varepsilon \iota \lambda \mu \varepsilon \nu$. The verb $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha v \xi \alpha \nu \omega$ is one of Paul's
 $\tau v \gamma \chi \alpha \nu \omega$, Ro 8:26; v $\pi \varepsilon \rho-\nu เ \kappa \alpha \omega$, Ro 8:37 ; v $\pi \varepsilon \rho-\pi \lambda \varepsilon о v \alpha \zeta \omega, 1 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 14$ ) and occurs only here in N.T. and rare elsewhere (Galen, Dio Cass.). Figure of the tree of faith growing above (v $\tau \varepsilon \rho$ ) measure. Cf. parable of Jesus about faith-like a grain of mustard seed (Mt 13:31f. ).

Aboundeth ( $\pi \lambda \varepsilon o v a \zeta \varepsilon)$. Same verb in 1Th 3:12, here a fulfilment of the prayer made there. Milligan finds diffusive growth of love in this word because of "each one" ( $\varepsilon v o s$ $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau о v)$. Frame finds in this fulfilment of the prayer of 1Th 3:12 one proof that II Thessalonians is later than I Thessalonians.

2Thess 1:4
So that $(\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon)$. Another example of $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ and the infinitive ( $\varepsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \nu \chi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$ ) for result as in 1Th 1:7 which see.

We ourselves (avtov¢ $\eta \mu a \varsigma)$. Accusative of general reference with the infinitive, but not merely $\eta \mu a \varsigma$ (or عavtovৎ), perhaps in contrast with $\varepsilon v \nu \mu \nu v$ (in you), as much as to say, "so that we ourselves, contrary to your expectations, are boasting" (Frame). Evкаuхаона occurs here alone in N.T., but is found in the LXX and in Aesop's Fables, proof enough of its vernacular use. Paul was not above praising one church to other churches, to provoke them to good works. Here he is boasting of Thessalonica in Macedonia to the Corinthians as he did later to the Corinthians about the collection (2Co 8:1-15) after having first boasted to the Macedonians about the Corinthians (2Co 9:1-5). There were other churches in Achaia besides Corinth (2Co 1:1).

For (v $\tau \varepsilon \rho$ ). Over, about, like $\pi \varepsilon \rho(1$ Th 1:2 ).
In all your persecutions ( $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha \sigma \iota v \tau o \iota ~ \delta \iota \omega \gamma \mu \circ \iota \varsigma \nu \omega \omega v$ ). Their patience and faith had already attracted Paul's attention (1Th 1:3) and their tribulations $\theta \lambda_{\iota} \downarrow \varepsilon \sigma \iota v$ (1Th 1:6 ). Here Paul adds the more specific term $\delta \omega \gamma \mu \mu \varsigma$, old word from $\delta \omega \omega \kappa \omega$, to chase, to pursue, a word used by Paul of his treatment in Corinth (2Co 12:10).

Which ye endure ( $\alpha \iota \varsigma \alpha v \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). B here reads $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$, to be entangled in, to be held in as in $\mathrm{Ga} 5: 1$, but $\alpha v \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ is probably correct and the aıs is probably attracted to locative case of $\theta \lambda \iota \psi \varepsilon \sigma \iota v$ from the ablative $\omega v$ after $\alpha v \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$,
from which ye hold yourselves back (cf. $\operatorname{Col} 3: 13$ ).
2Thess 1:5
 тov $\theta$ sov). Old word from $\varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon ו \kappa v v \mu$, to point out, result reached ( $-\mu \alpha$ ), a thing proved. It is either in the accusative of general reference in apposition with the preceding clause as in Ro 8:3; 12:1, or in the nominative absolute when o $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$, if supplied, would explain it as in Php 1:28. This righteous judgment is future and final (verses 6-10).

To the end that you may be counted worthy ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau о \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \xi \iota \omega \theta \eta v \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. Another example of $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ to for purpose with first aorist passive infinitive from ката $\xi \circ \omega$, old verb, with accusative of general reference $v \mu a \varsigma$ and followed by the genitive $\tau \eta \varsigma \beta a \sigma \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma$ (kingdom of God). See 1Th 2:12 for

## kingdom of God.

For which ye also suffer ( $ข \pi \varepsilon \rho \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \sigma \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Ye
also as well as we and the present tense means that it is still going on.
2Thess 1:6
If so be that it is a righteous thing with God ( $\varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon \rho \delta \iota \kappa \ldots \circ v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \varepsilon \omega)$. Condition of first class, determined as fulfilled, assumed as true, but with $\varepsilon ו \pi \varepsilon \rho$ (if on the whole, provided that) as in Ro 8:9,17, and with no copula expressed. A righteous thing "with God" means by the side of God ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ) and so from God's standpoint. This is as near to the idea of absolute right as it is possible to attain. Note the phrase in verse 5 .

To recompense affliction to them that afflict you ( $\alpha v \tau \alpha \pi о \delta o v v \alpha ~ \tau o ı ~ \theta \lambda ı \beta о v \sigma ı v ~ \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma$ $\theta \lambda \iota \psi(\nu)$. Second aorist active infinitive of double compound $\alpha \nu \tau-\alpha \pi o \delta i \delta \omega \mu$, old verb, either in good sense as in 1Th 3:9 or in bad sense as here. Paul is certain of this principle, though he puts it conditionally.

2Thess 1:7
Rest with us ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \sigma \iota v \mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime} \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Let up, release. Old word from $\alpha v ı \eta \mu$, from troubles here (2Co 2:13; 7:5; 8:13 ), and hereafter as in this verse. Vivid word. They shared suffering with Paul (verse 5) and so they will share ( $\mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime}$ ) the
rest.
At the revelation of the Lord Jesus ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \psi \varepsilon$ tov Kupıov I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). Here the Пароибเа (1Th 2:19; 3:13; 5:23) is pictured as a

Revelation (Un-veiling, aло-ка入ичıৎ) of the Messiah as in 1Co 1:7, 1Pe 1:7,13 (cf. Lu 17:30 ). At this Unveiling of the Messiah there will come the
recompense (verse 6) to the persecutors and the
rest from the persecutions. This Revelation will be
from heaven ( $\alpha \pi^{\prime}$ oupavou) as to place and
with the angels of his power ( $\left.\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \omega v \delta v v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \alpha u \tau o v\right)$ as the retinue and
in flaming fire ( $\varepsilon v \pi \nu \rho \varphi \lambda о \gamma \circ \varsigma$, in a fire of flame, fire characterized by flame). In Ac 7:30 the text is
flame of fire where $\pi \cup \rho o \varsigma$ is genitive (like Isa 66:15 ) rather than $\varphi \lambda$ оүоৎ as here (Ex 3:2).

2Thess 1:8
Rendering ( $\delta \iota \delta o v \tau o \varsigma)$. Genitive of present active participle of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, to give, agreeing with I I $\sigma$ ou.

Vengeance ( $\varepsilon \kappa \delta ı \kappa \eta \sigma \iota v$ ). Late word from $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \iota \kappa \varepsilon \omega$, to vindicate, in Polybius and LXX.
To them that know not God ( $\tau o \iota \varsigma \mu \eta \varepsilon \iota \delta o \sigma \iota v \theta$ sov). Dative plural of perfect active participle $\varepsilon \iota \delta \omega$. Apparently chiefly Gentiles in mind (1Th 4:3; Ga 4:8; Ro 1:28; Eph 2:12 ), though Jews are also guilty of wilful ignorance of God (Ro 2:14).

And to them that obey not the gospel of our Lord Jesus ( $\kappa \alpha$ тоц $\mu \eta$ vтакоvоvбוv $\tau \omega$ $\varepsilon \cup a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \omega$ tov кupıov $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ I $\eta \sigma o v)$. Repetition of the article looks like another class and so Jews (Ro 10:16). Both Jews as instigators and Gentiles as officials ( $\pi \circ \lambda \iota \tau \alpha \rho \chi \varsigma$ ) were involved in the persecution in Thessalonica (Ac 17:5-9; 2Th 1:6 ). Note the use of "gospel" here as in Mr 1:15 "believe in the gospel."

2Thess 1:9
Who (oıtivec). Qualitative use, such as. Vanishing in papyri though surviving in Paul (1Co 3:17; Ro 1:25; Ga 4:26; Php 4:3).

Shall suffer punishment ( $\delta \iota \kappa \eta \nu \tau \iota \sigma o v \sigma \iota v$ ). Future active of old verb $\tau \iota v \omega$, to pay penalty ( $\delta \iota \kappa \eta \nu$, right, justice), here only in N.T., but a $\quad$ отıv $\omega$ once also to repay Phm 1:19. In the
papyri $\delta \iota \kappa \eta$ is used for a case or process in law. This is the regular phrase in classic writers for paying the penalty.

Eternal destruction (o $\lambda \varepsilon \theta \rho o v$ aı $\omega v$ vov). Accusative case in apposition with $\delta_{ı \kappa \eta} \nu$ (penalty). This phrase does not appear elsewhere in the N.T., but is in IV Macc. 10:15 tov $\alpha \iota \omega v$ เov tov $\tau v \rho a v v o v$ o $\lambda \varepsilon \theta \rho \circ v$ the eternal destruction of the tyrant (Antiochus Epiphanes). Destruction (cf. 1Th 5:3 ) does not mean here annihilation, but, as Paul proceeds to show, separation
from the face of the Lord ( $\alpha \pi о \pi \rho о \sigma \omega \pi о \cup$ tov кирıov) and from the
glory of his might ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \pi 0 \tau \eta \varsigma \delta о \xi \eta \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma ~ เ \sigma \chi \cup \circ \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau 0 v$ ), an eternity of woe such as befell Antiochus Epiphanes. At $\boldsymbol{v}$ oc in itself only means age-long and papyri and inscriptions give it in the weakened sense of a Caesar's life (Milligan), but Paul means by age-long
the coming age in contrast with
this age, as
eternal as the New Testament knows how to make it. See on Mt 25:46 for use of al $\omega$ vios both with $\zeta \omega \eta \nu$, life, and ко $\lambda \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$, punishment.

2Thess 1:10
When he shall come (otav $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ ). Second aorist active subjunctive with o o $\alpha v$, future and indefinite temporal clause (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 971ff.) coincident with $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta$ алока入ичє in verse 7.

To be glorified ( $\varepsilon v \delta o \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \eta v \alpha$ ). First aorist passive infinitive (purpose) of $\varepsilon v \delta o \xi \alpha \zeta \omega$, late verb, in N.T. only here and verse 12 , in LXX and papyri.

In his saints ( $\varepsilon v$ toı $\alpha$ 人юoı autov). The sphere in which Christ will find his glory at the Revelation.

And to be marvelled at ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \nu \alpha$ ). First aorist passive infinitive (purpose), common verb $\theta a \nu \mu \swarrow \zeta \omega$.

That believed ( $\tau \circ \iota \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma \alpha \sigma \iota v$ ). Why aorist active participle instead of present active $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o u \sigma \omega v$ (that believe)? Frame thinks that Paul thus reassures those who believed his message when there (1Th 1:6ff.; 2:13f. ). The parenthetical clause, though difficult, falls in with this idea:

Because our testimony unto you was believed (o $\varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \varepsilon v \theta \eta$ to $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho\left\llcorner\circ \nu \eta \mu \omega v \varepsilon \varphi^{\prime}\right.$ $v \mu a \varsigma)$. Moffatt calls it an anti-climax.

On that day ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ \nu \eta)$. The day of Christ's coming (2Ti 1:12,18; 4:8).
2Thess 1:11
To which end ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ). So Col 1:29. Probably purpose with reference to the contents of verses 5-10. We have had the Thanksgiving (verses 3-10) in a long, complicated, but rich period or sentence. Now he makes a brief Prayer (verses 11-12) that God will fulfil all their hopes and endeavours. Paul and his colleagues can still pray for them though no longer with them (Moffatt).

That (ıva). Common after $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ (Col 4:3; Eph 1:17; Php 1:9) when the content of the prayer blends with the purpose (purport and purpose).

Count you worthy ( $v \mu \alpha \varsigma \alpha \xi \omega \sigma \eta$ ). Causative verb (aorist active subjunctive) like $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \xi \iota \omega$ in verse 5 with genitive.

Of your calling ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. K $\lambda \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$ can apply to the beginning as in 1Co 1:26; Ro 11:29, but it can also apply to the final issue as in Php 3:14; Heb 3:1. Both ideas may be here. It is God's calling of the Thessalonians.
 "Whom he counts worthy he first makes worthy" (Lillie). Yes, in purpose, but the wonder and the glory of it all is that God begins to count us worthy in Christ before the process is completed in Christ (Ro 8:29f. ). But God will see it through and so Paul prays to God. Euठокıa (cf. Lu 2:14 ) is more than mere desire, rather good pleasure, God's purpose of goodness, not in ancient Greek, only in LXX and N.T. A $\gamma \alpha \theta \omega \sigma u v \eta$ like a dozen other words in -бuv $\begin{gathered}\text { occurs only in late Greek. This word occurs only in LXX, N.T., writings based on }\end{gathered}$ them. It is made from $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta o \varsigma$, good, akin to $\alpha \gamma \alpha \mu \alpha$, to admire. May the Thessalonians find delight in goodness, a worthy and pertinent prayer.

Work of faith ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ \vee \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. The same phrase in 1Th 1:3. Paul prays for rich fruition of what he had seen in the beginning. Work marked by faith, springs from faith, sustained by faith.

With power ( $\varepsilon v \delta v v a \mu \varepsilon$ ). In power. Connect with $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \eta$ (fulfil), God's power (Ro 1:29; Col 1:4) in Christ (1Co 1:24) through the Holy Spirit (1Th 1:5).

2Thess 1:12
That (o $\pi \omega \varsigma$ ). Rare with Paul compared with $\operatorname{vva}$ (1Co 1:29; 2Co 8:14 ). Perhaps here for variety (dependent on tva clause in verse 11).

The name ( $\tau 0$ ovo $\mu \alpha$ ). The Old Testament (LXX) uses ovo $\mu \alpha$ embodying the revealed character of Jehovah. So here the

Name of our Lord Jesus means the Messiahship and Lordship of Jesus. The common Greek idiom of ovo $\alpha$ for title or dignity as in the papyri (Milligan) is not quite this idiom. The papyri also give examples of ovo $\mu \alpha$ for person as in O.T. and Ac 1:15 (Deissmann, Bible Studies, pp. 196ff.).

In you, and ye in him ( $\varepsilon \nu \nu \mu \nu \nu, \kappa \alpha \nu \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega$ ). This reciprocal glorying is Pauline, but it is also like Christ's figure of the vine and the branches in Joh 15:1-11.

According to the grace ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta v \chi \alpha \rho ı v$ ). Not merely standard, but also aim (Robertson, Grammar, p. 609).
 Here strict syntax requires, since there is only one article with $\theta$ sou and кupoo that one person be meant, Jesus Christ, as is certainly true in Tit 2:13; 2Pe 1:1 (Robertson, Grammar, p.786). This otherwise conclusive syntactical argument, admitted by Schmiedel, is weakened
a bit by the fact that Kupios is often employed as a proper name without the article, a thing not true of $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$ in Tit 2:13; 2Pe 1:1. So in Eph 5:5 $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon ı \alpha$ тov Xpıбтои ка $\theta \varepsilon$ во the natural meaning is
in the Kingdom of Christ and God regarded as one, but here again $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma$, like Kvpıo, often occurs as a proper name without the article. So it has to be admitted that here Paul may mean "according to the grace of our God and the Lord Jesus Christ," though he may also mean "according to the grace of our God and Lord, Jesus Christ."

## 2 Thessalonians 2

2Thess 2:1
Touching the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ (viع $\tau \eta \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho о v \sigma \iota \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau о v ~ K v \rho ı v ~(~ \eta \mu \omega v) ~$ In $\sigma$ ov X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o v$ ). For $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon v$, to beseech, see on 1 Th $4: 1 ; 4: 12$. Hv $\tau \varepsilon \rho$ originally meant over, in behalf of, instead of, but here it is used like $\pi \varepsilon \rho$, around, concerning as in $1: 4 ; 1 \mathrm{Th}$ 3:2; 5:10, common in the papyri (Robertson, Grammar, p. 632). For the distinction between Пароибıа, Eлıчаvєıа (Epiphany), and Алока $\nu \psi \psi \iota \varsigma ~(R e v e l a t i o n) ~ a s ~ a p p l i e d ~ t o ~ t h e ~ S e c o n d ~$ Coming of Christ see Milligan on Thessalonian Epistles, pp. 145-151, in the light of the papyri. Hapovoıa lays emphasis on the
presence of the Lord with his people, $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi a v \varepsilon \iota a$ on his
manifestation of the power and love of God, $\alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \psi i s$ on the
revelation of God's purpose and plan in the Second Coming of the Lord Jesus.
And our gathering together unto him ( $\kappa \alpha \eta \mu \omega \nu \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma v \nu \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v$ ). A late word found only in II Macc. 2:7; 2Th 2:1; Heb 10:25 till Deissmann (Light from the Ancient East, p. 103) found it on a stele in the island of Syme, off Caria, meaning "collection." Paul is referring to the rapture, mentioned in 1Th 4:15-17, and the being forever with the Lord thereafter. Cf. also Mt 24:31; Mr 13:27 .

2Thess 2:2
To the end that ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau 0$ ). One of Paul's favourite idioms for purpose, $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau 0$ and the infinitive.

Ye be not quickly shaken ( $\mu \eta \tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \eta v \alpha v \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist passive infinitive of $\sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v \omega$, old verb to agitate, to cause to totter like a reed (Mt 11:7), the earth (Heb 12:26 ). Usual negative $\mu \eta$ and accusative of general reference $v \mu a \varsigma$ with the infinitive.

From your mind (ato тov vooc). Ablative case of nous, mind, reason, sober sense, "from your witte" (Wyclif), to "keep their heads."

Nor yet be troubled ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \theta \rho \circ \varepsilon เ \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Old verb $\theta \rho o \varepsilon \omega$, to cry aloud (from $\theta \rho o o \varsigma$, clamour, tumult), to be in a state of nervous excitement (present passive infinitive, as if it were going on), "a continued state of agitation following the definite shock received ( $\sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \cup \theta \eta \nu \alpha$ )" (Milligan).

Either by spirit ( $\mu \eta \tau \varepsilon \delta \iota \alpha \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \sigma \varsigma$ ). By ecstatic utterance (1Th 5:10). The nervous fear that the coming was to be at once prohibited by $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon$ Paul divides into three sources by $\mu \eta \tau \varepsilon, \mu \eta \tau \varepsilon, \mu \eta \tau \varepsilon$. No individual claim to divine revelation (the gift of prophecy) can justify the statement.

Or by word ( $\mu \eta \tau \varepsilon \delta \iota \alpha$ lo $\gamma o v$ ). Oral statement of a conversation with Paul (Lightfoot) to this effect
as from us. An easy way to set aside Paul's first Epistle by report of a private remark from Paul.

Or by epistle as from us $\left(\mu \eta \tau \varepsilon \delta \iota^{\prime} \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda \eta \varsigma \omega \varsigma \delta \iota^{\prime} \eta \mu \omega \nu\right)$. In 1Th 4:13-5:3 Paul had plainly said that Jesus would come as a thief in the night and had shown that the dead would not be left out in the rapture. But evidently some one claimed to have a private epistle from Paul which supported the view that Jesus was coming at once,
as that the day of the Lord is now present ( $\omega \varsigma$ o $\tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon \nu \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \tau 0 v \kappa \cup \rho \iota \circ$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\varepsilon \nu / \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, old verb, to place in, but intransitive in this tense to stand in or at or near. So "is imminent" (Lightfoot). The verb is common in the papyri. In 1Co 3:22; Ro 8:38 we have a contrast between $\tau \alpha \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \tau \alpha$, the things present, and $\tau \alpha$ $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o v \tau \alpha$, the things future (to come). The use of $\omega \varsigma$ o $\tau$ may be disparaging here, though that is not true in 2Co 5:19. In the Koine it comes in the vernacular to mean simply "that" (Moulton, Proleg., p. 212), but that hardly seems the case in the N.T. (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1033). Here it means "to wit that," though "as that" or "as if" does not miss it much. Certainly it flatly denies that by conversation or by letter he had stated that the second coming was immediately at hand. "It is this misleading assertion that accounts both for the increased discouragement of the faint-hearted to encourage whom Paul writes 1:3-2:17, and for the increased meddlesomeness of the idle brethren to warn whom Paul writes 3:1-18" (Frame). It is enough to give one pause to note Paul's indignation over this use of his name by one of the over-zealous advocates of the view that Christ was coming at once. It is true that Paul was still alive, but, if such a "pious fraud" was so common and easily condoned as some today argue, it is difficult to explain Paul's evident anger. Moreover, Paul's words should make us hesitate to affirm that Paul definitely proclaimed the early return of Jesus. He hoped for it undoubtedly, but he did not specifically proclaim it as so many today assert and accuse him of misleading the early Christians with a false presentation.

2Thess 2:3
Let no man beguile you in any wise ( $\mu \eta \tau \iota \varsigma \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \alpha \tau \rho о \pi о v$ ). First aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \omega$ (old verb to deceive, strengthened form of simple verb $\alpha \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \omega$ ) with double negative ( $\mu \eta \tau \iota \sigma, \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \alpha$ ) in accord with regular Greek idiom as in 1Co 16:11 rather than the aorist imperative which does occur sometimes in the third person as in $\operatorname{Mr}$ 13:15 ( $\mu \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \tau \omega$ ). Paul broadens the warning to go beyond conversation and letter. He includes "tricks" of any kind. It is amazing how gullible some of the saints are when a new deceiver pulls off some stunts in religion.

For it will not be (o $\tau$ ). There is an ellipse here of ouk $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha$ (or $\gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ) to be supplied after ot. Westcott and Hort make an anacoluthon at the end of verse 4. The meaning is clear. Ho is causal, because, but the verb is understood. The second coming not only is not "imminent," but will not take place before certain important things take place, a definite rebuff to the false enthusiasts of verse 2.

Except the falling away come first ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta \varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta \eta \alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha \pi \rho \omega \tau o v)$. Negative condition of the third class, undetermined with prospect of determination and the aorist sub-
junctive. Aлобтабı is the late form of $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma ı \varsigma ~ a n d ~ i s ~ o u r ~ w o r d ~ a p o s t a s y . ~ P l u t a r c h ~ u s e s ~$ it of political revolt and it occurs in I Macc. 2:15 about Antiochus Epiphanes who was enforcing the apostasy from Judaism to Hellenism. In Jos 22:22 it occurs for rebellion against the Lord. It seems clear that the word here means a religious revolt and the use of the definite article $(\eta)$ seems to mean that Paul had spoken to the Thessalonians about it. The only other New Testament use of the word is in Ac 21:21 where it means apostasy from Moses. It is not clear whether Paul means revolt of the Jews from God, of Gentiles from God, of Christians from God, or of the apostasy that includes all classes within and without the body of Christians. But it is to be
first ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma v$ ) before Christ comes again. Note this adverb when only two events are compared (cf. Ac 1:1).

And the man of sin be revealed, the son of perdition ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \pi о к \alpha \lambda \nu \varphi \theta \eta$ о $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi о \varsigma$ $\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha v o \mu \alpha \sigma$, o vioc $\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon ו \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist passive subjunctive after $\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta$ and same condition as with $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$. The use of this verb $\alpha \pi$ ок $\alpha \lambda \nu \pi \tau \omega$, like $\alpha \pi о к \alpha \lambda \nu \psi ı \nu$ of the second coming in 1:7, seems to note the superhuman character (Milligan) of the event and the same verb is repeated in verses 6,8. The implication is that
the man of $\sin$ is hidden somewhere who will be suddenly manifested just as false apostles pose as angels of light (2Co 11:13ff. ), whether the crowning event of the apostasy or another name for the same event. Lightfoot notes the parallel between the man of sin, of whom $\sin$ is the special characteristic (genitive case, a Hebraism for the lawless one in verse 8) and Christ. Both Christ and the adversary of Christ are revealed, there is mystery about each, both make divine claims (verse 4). He seems to be the Antichrist of 1Jo 2:18 . The terrible phrase, the son of perdition, is applied to Judas in Joh 17:12 (like Judas doomed to perdition), but here to the lawless one (o $\alpha v o \mu o \varsigma$, verse 8), who is not Satan, but some one definite person who is doing the work of Satan. Note the definite article each time.

2Thess 2:4
 Antichrist this one opposes ( $\alpha \vee \tau \iota-$ ) Christ and exalts himself (direct middle of $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha\llcorner\rho \omega$, old verb to lift oneself up
above others, only here and 2Co 12:7 in N.T.), but not Satan, but an agent of Satan. This participial clause is in apposition with the two preceding phrases, the man of sin, the son of perdition. Note 1Co 8:5 about one called God and Ac 17:23 for $\sigma \varepsilon \beta a \sigma \mu \alpha$ (from $\sigma \varepsilon \beta \alpha \zeta \rho \mu \alpha)$, object of worship, late word, in N.T. only in these two passages.

So that he sitteth in the temple of God ( $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau \circ v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ v a o v ~ \tau o v ~ \theta \varepsilon о v ~ \kappa \alpha \theta เ \sigma \alpha) . ~$ Another example of the infinitive with $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ for result. Caius Caligula had made a desperate attempt to have his statue set up for worship in the Temple in Jerusalem. This incident may lie behind Paul's language here.

Setting himself forth as God ( $\alpha \pi о \delta \varepsilon ו \kappa v v \nu \tau \alpha$ عavтov от $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v ~ \theta \varepsilon о \varsigma)$. Present active participle ( $\mu$ form) of $\alpha \pi$ o $\delta \varepsilon เ \kappa \nu \nu \mu$, agreeing in case with avtov,
showing himself that he is God. Caligula claimed to be God. Moffatt doubts if Paul is identifying this deception with the imperial cultus at this stage. Lightfoot thinks that the deification of the Roman emperor supplied Paul's language here. Wetstein notes a coin of Julius with $\theta \varepsilon o c$ on one side and $\Theta \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda o v i \kappa \varepsilon \omega v$ on the other. In 1Jo 2:18 we are told of "many antichrists" some of whom had already come. Hence it is not clear that Paul has in mind only one individual or even individuals at all rather than evil principles, for in verse
 that restraineth). Frame argues for a combination of Belial and Antichrist as the explanation of Paul's language. But the whole subject is left by Paul in such a vague form that we can hardly hope to clear it up. It is possible that his own preaching while with them gave his readers a clue that we do not possess.

2Thess 2:5
When I was yet with you ( $\varepsilon \tau \omega v \pi \rho o \varsigma \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). The present participle takes the time of the verb $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ v$ (imperfect active),

I used to tell you these things. So Paul recalls their memory of his words and leaves us without the clue to his idea. We know that one of the charges against him was that Jesus was another king, a rival to Caesar (Ac 17:7). That leads one to wonder how far Paul went when there in contrasting the kingdom of the world of which Rome was ruler and the kingdom of God of which Christ is king. Frame notes Paul's abrupt question here "with an unfinished sentence behind him" (verses 3f. ), even "with a trace of impatience."

2Thess 2:6
That which restraineth ( $\tau \circ$ кат $\chi \chi \circ v$ ).
And now you know ( $\kappa \alpha \nu v \nu$ oı $\delta a \tau \varepsilon$ ), says Paul in this cryptic apocalyptic passage. Unfortunately we do not know what Paul means by
that which restrains (holds back, катє $\chi \circ v$ ), neuter here and masculine in verse 7 o $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \chi \omega v$. "This impersonal principle or power is capable also of manifesting itself under a personal form" (Milligan). "He is Satan's messiah, an infernal caricature of the true Messiah" (Moffatt). Warfield (Expositor, III, iv, pp. 30ff.) suggested that the man of lawlessness is the imperial line with its rage for deification and that the Jewish state was the restraining power. But God overrules all human history and his ultimate purpose is wrought out.

To the end that ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau 0)$. Another example of $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ a n d ~ t h e ~ i n f i n i t i v e ~ f o r ~ p u r p o s e . ~$
In his own season ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega$ autov kaı $\rho \omega$ ). Note avtov (his), not $\varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau \circ \cup$ (his own),
revealed in his time, in the time set him by God.
2Thess 2:7
For the mystery of lawlessness doth already work ( $\tau$ о $\gamma \alpha \rho \mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota v \eta \delta \eta \eta \varepsilon \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ $\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha v o \mu i \alpha \varsigma)$. See 1Th 2:13 for $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon ı \tau \alpha$. The genitive $\tau \eta \varsigma$ avourac (lawlessness) describes
$\tau 0 \mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ v$ (note emphatic position of both). This mystery ( $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ \frac{}{}$ secret, from $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma$, an initiate, $\mu v \varepsilon \omega$, to wink or blink) means here the secret purpose of lawlessness already at work, the only instance of this usage in the N.T. where it is used of the kingdom of God (Mt 13:11 ), of God (1Co 2:1 ) and God's will (Eph 1:9), of Christ (Eph 3:4), of the gospel (Eph 6:9), of faith ( $1 \mathrm{Ti} 3: 9$ ), of godliness ( $1 \mathrm{Ti} 3: 16$ ), of the seven stars (Re 1:20), of the woman (Re 17:7 ). But this secret will be "revealed" and then we shall understand clearly what Paul's meaning is here.

Until he be taken out of the way ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \mu \varepsilon \sigma o v ~ \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \tau \alpha)$. Usual construction with $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ for the future (aorist middle subjunctive, $\gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Note absence of $\alpha \nu$ as often in N.T. and the Koıvๆ. Paul uses $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ only here and 1Co 4:5. When the obstacle is removed then the mystery of lawlessness will be revealed in plain outline.

2Thess 2:8
And then ( $\kappa \alpha$ тотв). Emphatic note of time,
then when the restraining one (o кат $\chi \omega v$ ) is taken out of the way, then $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \alpha w \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varsigma$ ove (o $\alpha v o \mu \circ \varsigma)$, the man of $\sin$, the man of perdition, will be revealed.

Whom the Lord [Jesus] shall slay (ov o кupios [I $\eta \sigma o v \sigma] ~ a v \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Whether Jesus is genuine or not, he is meant by Lord. Ave $\lambda \varepsilon$ is a late future from $\alpha v a i \rho \varepsilon \omega$, in place of $\alpha v \alpha \iota \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon$. Paul uses Isa 11:4 (combining
by the word of his mouth with
in breath through lips ) to picture the triumph of Christ over this adversary. It is a powerful picture how the mere breath of the Lord will destroy this arch-enemy (Milligan).

And bring to naught by the manifestation of his coming ( $\kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho ү \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \eta \varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \iota \alpha$
 Greek, appears 25 times in Paul and has a variety of renderings. In the papyri it has a weakened sense of hinder. It will be a grand fiasco, this advent of the man of sin. Paul here uses both $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi a v \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi a v \psi$, elsewhere in N.T. in the Pastorals, familiar to the Greek mind for a visit of a god) and זapovoıa (more familiar to the Jewish mind, but common in the papyri) of the second coming of Christ. "The apparition of Jesus heralds his doom" (Moffatt). The mere appearance of Christ destroys the adversary (Vincent).

2Thess 2:9
Whose coming is (ov عббтıv $\eta \pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma ı \alpha)$. Refers to $o v$ in verse 8. The Antichrist has his $\pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma \iota \alpha$ also. Deissmann (Light from the Ancient East, pp. 374, 378) notes an inscription at Epidaurus in which "Asclepius manifested his Пapovбıa." Antiochus Epiphanes is called the manifest god (III Macc. 5:35). So the two Epiphanies coincide.

Lying wonders ( $\tau \varepsilon \rho a \sigma \iota \nu \psi \varepsilon \cup \delta o u c)$ ) "In wonders of a lie." Note here the three words for the miracles of Christ (Heb 2:4), power ( $\delta v v \alpha \mu ı$ ), signs ( $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon ı \alpha$ ), wonders ( $\tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \tau \alpha)$, but all according to the working of Satan (ката عveрүєıаv tov $\Sigma \alpha \tau \alpha v \alpha$, the energy of Satan) just as Jesus had foretold (Mt 24:24) , wonders that would almost lead astray the very elect.

2Thess 2:10
With all deceit of unrighteousness ( $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \eta \quad \alpha \delta ı \kappa ı \alpha \varsigma)$. This pastmaster of trickery will have at his command all the energy and skill of Satan to mislead and deceive. How many illustrations lie along the pathway of Christian history.

For them that are perishing ( $\tau о \iota \varsigma \alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \varepsilon v o \iota \varsigma)$. Dative case of personal interest. Note this very phrase in 2Co 2:15; 4:3. Present middle participle of $\alpha \pi \pi o \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$, to destroy, the dreadful process goes on.

Because ( $\alpha v \theta^{\prime} o v$ ). In return for which things ( $\alpha v \tau$ and the genitive of the relative pronoun). Same idiom in Lu 1:20; 12:3; 19:44; Ac 12:23 and very common in the LXX.

The love of the truth ( $\tau \eta \nu \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. That is the gospel in contrast with lying and deceit.

That they might be saved ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \sigma \omega \theta \eta v \alpha$ avтovৎ). First aorist passive infinitive of $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$ with $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau 0$, again, epexegetic purpose of
the truth if they had heeded it.
2Thess 2:11
 (prophetic) present of the time when the lawless one is revealed. Here is the definite judicial act of God (Milligan) who gives the wicked over to the evil which they have deliberately chosen (Ro 1:24,26,28).

A working of error ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \eta \varsigma)$. Terrible result of wilful rejection of the truth of God.

That they should believe a lie ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \pi ı \sigma \tau \varepsilon \nu \sigma \alpha ~ \alpha \nu \tau 0 \cup \varsigma \tau \omega \psi \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon$ ). Note $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o$ again and $\tau \omega \psi \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon$ (the lie, the falsehood already described), a contemplated result. Note Ro 1:25 "who changed the truth of God into the lie."

2Thess 2:12
That they all might be judged ( $\imath \alpha \alpha \rho ı \theta \omega \sigma \iota \nu \pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist passive subjunctive of $\kappa \rho \imath v \omega$, to sift, to judge, with $\iota v a$. Ultimate purpose, almost result, of the preceding obstinate resistance to the truth and "the judicial infatuation which overtakes them" (Lightfoot), now final punishment. Condemnation is involved in the fatal choice made. These victims of the man of sin did not believe the truth and found pleasure in unrighteousness.

2Thess 2:13
See 1:3 for same beginning.
Beloved of the Lord ( $\eta \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \mu \varepsilon v o$ v $\pi о$ кирьо ). Perfect passive participle of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$ with $v \pi \frac{1}{}$ and the ablative as in 1Th 1:4, only here кирьov instead of $\theta \varepsilon o v$, the Lord Jesus rather than God the Father.

Because that God chose you ( $о \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau о$ v $\mu \alpha \varsigma$ о $\theta \varepsilon \sigma \varsigma$ ). First aorist middle indicative of aı $\rho \varepsilon \omega$, to take, old verb, but uncompounded only in N.T. here, Php 1:22; Heb 11:25, and here only in sense of
choose , that being usually $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon о \mu \alpha$ or $\pi \rho о о \rho \iota \zeta \omega$.
From the beginning ( $\alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma$ ). Probably the correct text (Aleph D L) and not $\alpha \pi \alpha \rho \chi \eta \nu$ (first fruits, B G P), though here alone in Paul's writings and a hard reading, the eternal choice or purpose of God ( $1 \operatorname{Co} 2: 7$; Eph $1: 4 ; 2 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 9$ ), while $\alpha \pi \alpha \rho \chi \eta \nu$ is a favourite idea with Paul (1Co 15:20,23; 16:15; Ro 8:23; 11:16; 16:5 ).

Unto salvation ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \sigma \omega \tau \eta \iota \alpha v$ ). The ultimate goal, final salvation.
In sanctification of the Spirit ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \omega \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \sigma \varsigma)$. Subjective genitive $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \sigma$, sanctification wrought by the Holy Spirit.

And belief of the truth ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha \varsigma)$. Objective genitive $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma$, belief in the truth.

2Thess 2:14
Whereunto ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma 0$ ). The goal, that is the final salvation ( $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho ı \alpha$ ). Through our gospel ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ tov $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı v \eta \mu \omega v$ ). God called the Thessalonians through Paul's preaching as he calls men now through the heralds of the Cross as God
chose (cf. 1Th 2:12; 5:24).
To the obtaining ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi o \iota \eta \sigma \iota v)$. Probably correct translation rather than possession. See on 1Th 5:9, there
of salvation , here
of glory (the shekinah, glory of Jesus).
2Thess 2:15
So then ( $\alpha \rho \alpha o v v$ ). Accordingly then. The illative $\alpha \rho \alpha$ is supported (Ellicott) by the collective ouv as in 1Th 5:6; Ga 6:10, etc. Here is the practical conclusion from God's elective purpose in such a world crisis.

Stand fast ( $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon)$. Present imperative active of the late present $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa 0$ from $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \alpha$ (perfect active of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ ). See on 1Th 3:8 .

Hold the traditions ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta о \sigma \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. Present imperative of $\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to have masterful grip on a thing, either with genitive (Mr 1:31) or usually the accusative as here. Пapaסoбıৎ (tradition) is an old word for what is handed over to one. Dibelius thinks that Paul reveals his Jewish training in the use of this word (Ga 1:14), but the word is a perfectly legitimate one for teaching whether oral,
by word ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ $\lambda o \gamma o v$ ), or written,
by epistle of ours ( $\delta \imath^{\prime} \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda \eta \varsigma \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Paul draws here no distinction between oral tradition and written tradition as was done later. The worth of the tradition lies not in the form but in the source and the quality of the content. Paul in 1Co 11:23 says: "I received from the Lord what I also handed over ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha)$ unto you." He praises them because ye "hold fast the traditions even as I delivered them unto you." The
tradition may be merely that of men and so worthless and harmful in place of the word of God (Mr 7:8; Col 2:6-8 ). It all depends. It is easy to scoff at truth as mere tradition. But
human progress in all fields is made by use of the old, found to be true, in connection with the new if found to be true. In Thessalonica the saints were already the victims of theological charlatans with their half-baked theories about the second coming of Christ and about social duties and relations.

Which ye were taught ( $\alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \delta \iota \delta \alpha \chi \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega$, to teach, retaining the accusative of the thing in the passive as is common with this verb like doceo in Latin and teach in English.

2Thess 2:16
And God our Father ( $\kappa \alpha[о] \theta \varepsilon o \varsigma o \pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho \eta \mu \omega v$ ). It is uncertain whether the first article $o$ is genuine as it is absent in B D. Usually Paul has the Father before Christ except here, 2Co 13:13; Ga 1:1.

Which loved us (o $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. This singular articular participle refers to o $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$, "though it is difficult to see how St. Paul could otherwise have expressed his thought, if he had intended to refer to the Son, as well as to the Father. There is probably no instance in St. Paul of a plural adjective or verb, when the two Persons of the Godhead are mentioned" (Lightfoot).

Eternal comfort ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota v \alpha \iota \omega v \iota \alpha v$ ). Distinct feminine form of $\alpha \iota \omega v$ los here instead of masculine as in Mt 25:46 .

2Thess 2:17
Comfort and stablish ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho \xi \alpha)$. First aorist active optative of wish for the future of two common verbs $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ (see on 1 Th $3: 7$; 4:18; 5:14) and $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta \omega$ (see on 1 Th 3:2,13 ). God is the God of
comfort (2Co 1:3-7) and strength (Ro 1:11; 16:25).

## 2 Thessalonians 3

2Thess 3:1
Finally ( $\tau 0 ~ \lambda o ı \pi o v) . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ o f ~ g e n e r a l ~ r e f e r e n c e . ~ C f . ~ \lambda o ı \pi o v ~ 1 T h ~ 4: 1 ~ . ~$ Pray ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \cup \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Present middle, keep on praying. Note $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ as in 1Th 5:25.
That the word of the Lord may run and be glorified (ıva о $\lambda о \gamma \circ \varsigma ~ \tau о v ~ к ט \rho ı о ט ~ \tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \eta ~$ $\kappa \alpha \delta_{0} \xi \alpha\lceil\eta \tau \alpha)$. Usual construction of iva after $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, sub-final use, content and purpose combined. Note present subjunctive with both verbs rather than aorist, may keep on running and being glorified, two verbs joined together nowhere else in the N.T. Paul probably derived this metaphor from the stadium as in 1Co 9:24ff.; Ga 2:2; Ro 9:16; Php 2:16; 2Ti 4:7. Lightfoot translates "may have a triumphant career." On the word of the Lord see on 1Th 1:8 . Paul recognizes the close relation between himself and the readers. He needs their prayers and sympathy and he rejoices in their reception of the word of the Lord already,
even as also it is with you ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). "As it does in your case" (Frame).
2Thess 3:2
And that we may be delivered ( $\kappa \alpha เ v \alpha \rho \cup \sigma \theta \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). A second and more personal petition (Milligan). First aorist passive subjunctive of $\rho v o \mu \alpha$, old verb to rescue. Note change in tense from present to aorist (effective aorist).

From unreasonable and evil men ( $\alpha \pi о \tau \omega v \alpha \tau о \pi \omega v$ к $\alpha \pi о v \eta \rho \omega v \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega v$ ). Ablative case with $\alpha \pi \sigma$. Originally in the old Greek $\alpha \tau о \pi о \varsigma$ ( $\alpha$ privative and толоৎ) is out of place, odd, unbecoming, perverse, outrageous, both of things and persons. Поvŋроя is from $\pi о v \varepsilon \omega$, to work ( $\pi 0 \vee 0 \varsigma$ ), looking on labour as an annoyance, bad, evil. Paul had a plague of such men in Corinth as he had in Thessalonica.

For all have not faith (ov $\gamma \alpha \rho \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma)$. Copula $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ not expressed. П $\alpha v \tau \omega \nu$ is predicate possessive genitive, faith (article with abstract substantive) does not belong to all. Hence their evil conduct.

2Thess 3:3
But the Lord is faithful ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ о кирьoৎ).
But faithful is the Lord (correct rendition), with a play (paronomasia) on $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota c$ by $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o \varsigma$ as in Ro 3:3 we have a word-play on $\alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega$ and $\alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \alpha$. The Lord can be counted on, however perverse men may be.

From the evil one ( $\alpha \pi 0$ tov tovnpov). Apparently a reminiscence of the Lord's Prayer in Mt 6:13 $\rho$ voa $\eta \mu \alpha \varsigma \alpha \pi о$ tov $\pi о v \eta \rho o v$. But here as there it is not certain whether tov $\pi о v \eta \rho o v$ is neuter (evil) like to $\pi 0 v \eta \rho o v$ in Ro 12:9 or masculine (the evil one). But we have o тоvŋpos (the evil one) in 1Jo 5:18 and tov đovn $\rho 0$ ov is clearly masculine in Eph 6:16. If masculine here, as is probable, is it "the Evil One" (Ellicott) or merely the evil man like those mentioned in verse 2? Perhaps Paul has in mind the representative of Satan, the man of sin, pictured in 2:1-12, by the phrase here without trying to be too definite.

2Thess 3:4
And we have confidence ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \sigma \theta \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu)$. Second perfect indicative of $\pi \varepsilon \iota \theta \omega$, to persuade, intransitive in this tense, we are in a state of trust.

In the Lord touching you ( $\left.\varepsilon v \kappa \nu \rho \iota \omega \varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} v \mu \alpha \varsigma\right)$. Note the two prepositions, $\varepsilon v$ in the sphere of the Lord (1Th 4:1) as the ground of Paul's confident trust, $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime}(\varepsilon \pi)$ with the accusative (towards you) where the dative could have been used (cf. 2Co 2:3).

Ye both do and will do ([кaı] $\pi о \iota \varepsilon \iota \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \pi о \not \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Compliment and also appeal, present and future tenses of $\pi o t \varepsilon \omega$.

The things which we command ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Note of apostolic authority here, not advice or urging, but command.

2Thess 3:5
Direct ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \cup \theta v v \alpha$ ). First aorist active optative of wish for the future as in 2:17; 1Th 5:23 from кatєvӨvv $\omega$, old verb, as in 1Th 3:11 (there
way, here
hearts ) and Lu 1:79 of
feet ( $\pi$ o $\delta \alpha \varsigma$ ). Perfective use of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$. Bold figure for making smooth and direct road. The Lord here is the Lord Jesus.

Into the love of God ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau \eta \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \nu \tau 0 v \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Either subjective or objective genitive makes sense and Lightfoot pleads for both, "not only as an objective attribute of deity, but as a ruling principle in our hearts," holding that it is "seldom possible to separate the one from the other." Most scholars take it here as subjective, the characteristic of God.

Into the patience of Christ ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \tau \pi \rho \mu \nu \eta \nu \tau 0 v \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \circ v$ ). There is the same ambiguity here, though the subjective idea, the patience shown by Christ, is the one usually accepted rather than "the patient waiting for Christ" (objective genitive).

2Thess 3:6
Now we command you ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o \mu \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon v \mu \nu \nu$ ). Paul puts into practice the confidence expressed on their obedience to his commands in verse 4.

In the name of the Lord Jesus Christ ( $\varepsilon v$ оvоцат $\tau о v$ кирıv I $\eta \sigma o v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о v$ ).
Name $(o v o \mu \alpha)$ here for authority of Jesus Christ with which compare
through the Lord Jesus ( $\delta$ ıa $\tau 0 v$ кvpıov I $\eta \sigma o v$ ) in 1Th 4:2. For a full discussion of the phrase see the monograph of W. Heitmuller, Im Namen Jesu. Paul wishes his readers to realize the responsibility on them for their obedience to his command.

That ye withdraw yourselves ( $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha v \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. Present middle (direct) infinitive of $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, old verb to place, arrange, make compact or shorten as sails, to move oneself from or to withdraw oneself from (with a $\alpha 0$ and the ablative). In 2Co 8:20 the middle voice ( $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o \mu \varepsilon \nu o$ ) means taking care.

From every brother that walketh disorderly ( $\alpha \pi о$ л $\alpha \nu \tau о \varsigma ~ \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi о v ~ \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \omega \varsigma$, $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau о \cup v \tau о \varsigma)$. He calls him "brother" still. The adverb $\alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \omega \varsigma$ is common in Plato and
is here and verse 11 alone in the N.T., though the adjective ataктoऽ, equally common in Plato we had in 1Th 5:14 which see. Military term, out of ranks.

And not after the tradition ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta о \sigma \iota v$ ). See on 2:15 for $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o \sigma t v$.
Which they received of us ( $\eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o \sigma \alpha \nu \pi \alpha \rho \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Westcott and Hort put this form of the verb (second aorist indicative third person plural of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, the -oб $\alpha v$ form instead of -ov, with slight support from the papyri, but in the LXX and the Boeotian dialect, Robertson, Grammar, pp. 335f.) in the margin with $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ (ye received) in the text. There are five different readings of the verb here, the others being $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o v, \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon$, ع $\lambda \alpha \beta \frac{\sigma}{}$ v.

2Thess 3:7
How ye ought to imitate us ( $\pi \omega \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \mu \mu \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \alpha \eta \mu \varsigma)$ ). Literally, how it is necessary to imitate us. The infinitive $\mu \mu \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta a$ is the old verb $\mu \mu \varepsilon о \mu \alpha$ from $\mu \mu \circ \varsigma$ (actor, mimic), but in N.T. only here (and verse 9), Heb 13:7; 3Jo 1:11. It is a daring thing to say, but Paul knew that he had to set the new Christians in the midst of Jews and Gentiles a model for their imitation (Php 3:17).

For we behaved not ourselves disorderly among you (oт оик $\eta \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon v \nu \mu \nu \nu$ ). First aorist active indicative of old verb $\alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \omega$, to be out of ranks of soldiers. Specific denial on Paul's part in contrast to verse 6,17 .

2Thess 3:8
For nought ( $\delta \omega \rho \varepsilon \alpha v)$. Adverbial accusative, as a gift, gift-wise ( $\delta \omega \rho \varepsilon \alpha$, gift, from $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ ). Same claim made to the Corinthians (2Co 11:7), old word, in LXX, and papyri. He lodged with Jason, but did not receive his meals gratis, for he paid for them. Apparently he received no invitations to meals. Paul had to make his financial independence clear to avoid false charges which were made in spite of all his efforts. To eat bread is merely a Hebraism for eat (verse 10). See 1Th 2:9 for labour and travail, and night and day (vuктоৎ ка $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma$, genitive of time, by night and by day). See 1Th 2:9 for rest of the verse in precisely the same words.

2Thess 3:9
 his
right to receive adequate support (1Th 2:6; 1 Co 9:4 where he uses the same word $\varepsilon \xi o v \sigma \iota a v$ in the long defence of this
right, 1Co 9:1-27 ). So he here puts in this limitation to avoid misapprehension. He did allow churches to help him where he would not be misunderstood (2Co 11:7-11; Php 4:45f. ). Paul uses ovX ot elsewhere to avoid misunderstanding (2Co 1:24; 3:5; Php 4:17).

But to make ourselves an ensample unto you ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ เva عavtovc $\tau \cup \pi \circ v \delta \omega \mu \varepsilon v \nu \mu v \nu$ ). Literally,
but that we might give ourselves a type to you. Purpose with tva and second aorist active subjunctive of $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$. On tv $\boldsymbol{\pi}$. $v$ see on 1Th 1:7.

2Thess 3:10
This ( $\tau$ ovto). What he proceeds to give.
If any will not work, neither let him eat (o $\tau \varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma ~ o v ~ \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \theta \iota \varepsilon \tau \omega$ ). Recitative ot here not to be translated, like our modern quotation marks. Apparently a Jewish proverb based on Ge 3:19. Wetstein quotes several parallels. Moffatt gives this from Carlyle's Chartism: "He that will not work according to his faculty, let him perish according to his necessity." Deissmann (Light from the Ancient East, p. 314) sees Paul borrowing a piece of workshop morality. It was needed, as is plain. This is a condition of the first class (note negative ov) with the negative imperative in the conclusion.

2Thess 3:11
For we hear ( $\alpha \kappa о v o \mu \varepsilon v \gamma \alpha \rho$ ). Fresh news from Thessalonica evidently. For the present tense compare 1Co 11:18. The accusative and the participle is a regular idiom for indirect discourse with this verb (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 1040-2). Three picturesque present participles, the first a general description, $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau 0 \cup v \tau \alpha \varsigma \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \omega \varsigma$, the other two specifying with a vivid word-play,
that work not at all, but are busy-bodies ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma a \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta \rho \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma)$. Literally,
doing nothing but doing around . Ellicott suggests,
doing no business but being busy bodies . "The first persecution at Thessalonica had been fostered by a number of fanatical loungers (Ac 17:5)" (Moffatt). These theological dead-beats were too pious to work, but perfectly willing to eat at the hands of their neighbours while they piddled and frittered away the time in idleness.

2Thess 3:12
We command and exhort ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda о \mu \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda о \nu \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Paul asserts his authority as an apostle and pleads as a man and minister.

That with quietness they work, and eat their own bread ( $\tau v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \eta \sigma \nu \chi เ \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta \circ \mu \varepsilon v o$ тov $\varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau \omega v$ aptov $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega \omega \sigma v)$. Substance of the command and exhortation by $\imath v \alpha$ and the present subjunctive $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \sigma v$. Literally,
that working with quietness they keep on eating their own bread. The precise opposite of their conduct in verse 11 .

2Thess 3:13
But ye, brethren, be not weary in well-doing (ข $\mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \delta \varepsilon, \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi о \iota, \mu \eta \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \kappa \eta \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ $\kappa \alpha \lambda о \pi о \circ o u v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Emphatic position of $v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ in contrast to these piddlers. M $\eta$ and the aorist subjunctive is a prohibition against beginning an act (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 851-4). It is a late verb and means to behave badly in, to be cowardly, to lose courage, to flag, to faint, ( $\varepsilon v$, какоৎ) and outside of Lu 18:1 in the N.T. is only in Paul's Epistles (2Th 3:13; 2Co 4:1,16;
 honourable thing occurs nowhere else in the N.T., but is in the LXX and a late papyrus. Paul
 to do good, in 1Ti 6:18.

2Thess 3:14
And if any one obeyeth not our word by this epistle ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma$ ov $\cup \pi \alpha \kappa о v \varepsilon \tau \omega \lambda о \gamma \omega$ $\eta \mu \omega v \delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \pi(\sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta \varsigma)$. Paul sums up the issue bluntly with this ultimatum. Condition of the first class, with negative ov, assuming it to be true.

Note that man ( $\tau 0 v \tau \sigma \nu \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \sigma \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Late verb $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon เ \sigma \omega$, from $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \sigma$, sign, mark, token. Put a tag on that man. Here only in N.T. "The verb is regularly used for the signature to a receipt or formal notice in the papyri and the ostraca of the Imperial period" (Moulton \& Milligan's Vocabulary). How this is to be done (by letter or in public meeting) Paul does not say.

That ye have no company with him ( $\mu \eta \sigma \nu v \alpha v \alpha \mu \gamma v v \sigma \theta \alpha \alpha \cup \tau \omega)$. The MSS. are divided between the present middle infinitive as above in a command like Ro 12:15; Php 3:16 or the present middle imperative $\sigma \nu v a v a \mu \gamma v \nu \sigma \theta \varepsilon(-\alpha$ and $-\varepsilon$ often being pronounced alike in the Koine). The infinitive can also be explained as an indirect command. This double compound verb is late, in LXX and Plutarch, in N.T. only here and 1Co 5:9,11. Avtw is in associative instrumental case.

To the end that he may be ashamed ( $\imath v \alpha \varepsilon \nu \tau \rho \alpha \pi \eta$ ). Purpose clause with $\imath v \alpha$. Second aorist passive subjunctive of $\varepsilon \nu \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega \omega$, to turn on, middle to turn on oneself or to put to shame, passive to be made ashamed. The idea is to have one's thoughts turned in on oneself.

2Thess 3:15
Not as an enemy ( $\mu \eta \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \chi \theta \rho o v$ ). This is always the problem in such ostracism as discipline, however necessary it is at times. Few things in our churches are more difficult of wise execution than the discipline of erring members. The word $\varepsilon \chi \theta \rho \circ \varsigma$ is an adjective, hateful, from $\varepsilon \chi \theta$ oc, hate. It can be passive,
hated, as in Ro 11:28, but is usually active
hostile, enemy, foe.
2Thess 3:16
 the God of peace himself .
Give you peace ( $\delta o \imath \eta \nu \mu i v \tau \eta v \varepsilon \iota \eta \eta \nu \eta v$ ). Second aorist active optative (Koine) of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, not $\delta \omega \eta$ (subjunctive). So also Ro 15:5; 2Ti 1:16,18. The Lord Jesus whose characteristic is peace, can alone give real peace to the heart and to the world. (Joh 14:27).

2Thess 3:17

Of me Paul with mine own hand ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \mu \eta \chi \varepsilon \rho \rho$ Пau入ov). Instrumental case $\chi \varepsilon \rho \rho$. Note genitive $\Pi \alpha v \lambda o v$ in apposition with possessive idea in the possessive pronoun $\varepsilon \mu \eta$. Paul had dictated the letter, but now wrote the salutation in his hand.

The token in every epistle ( $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \circ \varepsilon \varepsilon v \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \lambda \eta$ ). Mark (verse 14) and proof of the genuineness of each epistle, Paul's signature. Already there were spurious forgeries ( 2 Th 2:2). Thus each church was enabled to know that Paul wrote the letter. If only the autograph copy could be found!

2Thess 3:18
Salutation just like that in 1Th 5:28 with the addition of $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$ (all).

## THE FOURTH GROUP

THE PASTORAL EPISTLES

## FIRST TIMOTHY <br> TITUS <br> SECOND TIMOTHY

## A.D. 65 TO 68 <br> BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

It is necessary to discuss introductory matters concerning the three because they are common to them all. It is true that some modern scholars admit as Pauline the personal passages in 2Ti 1:15-18; 4:9-22 while they deny the genuineness of the rest. But that criticism falls by its own weight since precisely the same stylistic characteristics appear in these admitted passages as in the rest and no earthly reason can be advanced for Paul's writing mere scraps or for the omission of the other portions and the preservation of these by a second century forger.

The external evidence for the Pauline authorship is strong and conclusive (Clement, Polycarp, Irenaeus, Tertullian, Theophilus, the Muratorian Canon). "Traces of their circulation in the church before Marcion's time are clearer than those which can be found for Romans and II Corinthians" (Zahn, Introduction to the N.T., tr. II, p. 85). Marcion and Tatian rejected them because of the condemnation of asceticism by Paul.

Objections on internal grounds are made on the lines laid down by Baur and followed by Renan. They are chiefly four. The "most decisive" as argued by McGiffert (History of Christianity in the Apostolic Age, p. 402) is that "the Christianity of the Pastoral Epistles is not the Christianity of Paul." He means as we know Paul in the other Epistles. But this charge is untrue. It is true that Paul here lists faith with the virtues, but he does that in Ga 5:22 . Nowhere does Paul give a loftier word about faith than in 1Ti 1:12-17. Another objection urged is that the ecclesiastical organization seen in the Pastoral Epistles belongs to the second century, not to the time of Paul's life. Now we have the Epistles of Ignatius in the early part of the second century in which "bishop" is placed over "elders" of which there is no trace in the New Testament (Lightfoot). A forger in the second century would certainly have reproduced the ecclesiastical organization of that century instead of the first as we have it in the Pastoral Epistles. There is only here the normal development of bishop (=elder) and deacon. A third objection is made on the ground that there is no room in Paul's life as we know it in the Acts and the other Pauline Epistles for the events alluded to in the Pastoral Epistles and it is also argued on late and inconclusive testimony that Paul was put to death A.D. 64 and had only one Roman imprisonment. If Paul was executed A.D. 64, this objection has force in it, though Bartlet (The Apostolic Age) tries to make room for them in the period covered by the Acts. Duncan makes the same attempt for the Pauline scraps admitted by
him as belonging to the hypothecated imprisonment in Ephesus. But, if we admit the release of Paul from the first Roman imprisonment, there is ample room before his execution in A.D. 68 for the events referred to in the Pastoral Epistles and the writing of the letters (his going east to Ephesus, Macedonia, to Crete, to Troas, to Corinth, to Miletus, to Nicopolis, to Rome), including the visit to Spain before Crete once planned for (Ro 15:24,28) and mentioned by Clement of Rome as a fact ("the limit of the west"). The fourth objection is that of the language in the Pastoral Epistles. Probably more men are influenced by this argument than by any other. The ablest presentation of this difficulty is made by P. N. Harrison in The Problem of the Pastoral Epistles (1921). Besides the arguments Dr. Harrison has printed the Greek text in a fashion to help the eye see the facts. Words not in the other Pauline Epistles are in red, Pauline phrases (from the other ten) are underlined, hapax legomena are marked by an asterisk. At a superficial glance one can see that the words here not in the other Pauline Epistles and the common Pauline phrases are about equal. The data as to mere words are broadly as follows according to Harrison: Words in the Pastorals, not elsewhere in the N.T. (Pastoral hapax legomena) 175 (168 according to Rutherford); words in the other ten Pauline Epistles not elsewhere in the N.T. 470 (627 according to Rutherford). Variations in MSS. will account for some of the difficulty of counting. Clearly there is a larger proportion of new words in the Pastorals (about twice as many) than in the other Pauline Epistles. But Harrison's tables show remarkable differences in the other Epistles also. The average of such words per page in Romans is 4, but 5.6 in II Corinthians, 6.2 in Philippians, and only 4 in Philemon. Parry (Comm., p. CXVIII) notes that of the 845 words in the Pastorals as compared with each other 278 occur only in I Tim., 96 only in Titus, 185 only in II Tim. "If vocabulary alone is taken, this would point to separate authorship of each epistle." And yet the same style clearly runs through all three. After all vocabulary is not wholly a personal problem. It varies with age in the same person and with the subject matter also. Precisely such differences exist in the writings of Shakespeare and Milton as critics have long ago observed. The only problem that remains is whether the differences are so great in the Pastoral Epistles as to prohibit the Pauline authorship when "Paul the aged" writes on the problem of pastoral leadership to two of the young ministers trained by him who have to meet the same incipient Gnostic heresy already faced in Colossians and Ephesians. My judgment is that, all things considered, the contents and style of the Pastoral Epistles are genuinely Pauline, mellowed by age and wisdom and perhaps written in his own hand or at least by the same amanuensis in all three instances. Lock suggests Luke as the amanuensis for the Pastorals.

The conclusion of Lock is that "either they are genuine 'letters' or artificial 'Epistles'" (Int. Crit. Comm., p. XXV). If not genuine, they are forgeries in Paul's name (pseudepigraphic). "The argument from style is in favour of the Pauline authorship, that from vocabulary strongly, though not quite conclusively, against it" (Lock, Op. Cit., p. XXIX). I should put
the case for the Pauline authorship more strongly than that and shall treat them as Paul's own. Parry (Comm., p. CXIII) well says: "It is not reasonable to expect that a private letter, addressed to a personal friend, for his own instruction and consideration, should exhibit the same features as a letter addressed to a community for public, oral communication."

Special Books on the Pastoral Epistles (besides Introductions to the N.T., Apostolic History, Lives of Paul, the Epistles of Paul as a whole): Belser (1907), Bernard (Cambridge Gr. T., 1899), E. F. Brown (Westminster, 1917), Bowen (Dates of P. Letters, 1900), Dibelius (Handbuch, 1913), Ellicott (1883), P. Fairbairn, P. N. Harrison (Problem of the Past. Eps., 1921), Harvey (1890), Hesse (Die Entst., 1889), Humphreys (Camb. B., 1897), Huther (1890), H. J. Holtzmann (1880), James (Genuineness and Authorship of P. Eps., 1906), Kohler (Schriften N.T., 2 Aufl. 1907), Knabenbauer (1913), Kraukenberg (1901), Laughlin (Past. Eps. in Light of One Rom. Imp., 1905), Lilley (1901), W. Lock (Int. \& Crit. Comm., 1924), Lutgert (Die Irrlehre d. P., 1909), Maier (Die Hauptprobleme d. P., 1910), Mayer (1913), Meinertz (1913), Michaelis, W (Pastoralbriefe etc. zur Echtheitsfrage der Pastoralbriefe, 1930), Niebergall (Handbuch, 1909), Parry (1920), Plummer (Exp. B., 1896), Pope (1901), Riggenbach (1898), Stock (Plain Talks on, 1914), Strachan (Westm. N.T., 1910), von Soden (Hand-Comm., 1891), Wace (Sp. Comm., 1885), B. Weiss (Meyer Komm., ed. 5, 1886), White (Exp. Grk. T., 1910), Wohlenberg (Zahn's Komm._, 1906).

FIRST TIMOTHY
PROBABLY A.D. 65

## FROM MACEDONIA

## BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

Assuming the Pauline authorship the facts shape up after this fashion. Paul had been in Ephesus ( $1 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 3$ ) after his arrival from Rome, which was certainly before the burning of Rome in A.D. 64. He had left Timothy in charge of the work in Ephesus and has gone on into Macedonia (1Ti 1:3 ), possibly to Philippi as he had hoped (Php 2:24). He wishes to help Timothy meet the problems of doctrine (against the Gnostics), discipline, and church training which are increasingly urgent. There are personal touches of a natural kind about Timothy's own growth and leadership. There are wise words here from the greatest of all preachers to a young minister whom Paul loved.

## 1 Timothy 1

1Tim 1:1
According to the commandment (кат' $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \alpha \gamma \eta \nu)$. A late Koine word (Polybius, Diodorus), but a Pauline word also in N.T. This very idiom ("by way of command") in 1Co 7:6; 2Co 8:8; Ro 16:26; 1Ti 1:1; Tit 1:3. Paul means to say that he is an apostle under orders.

Of God our Saviour ( $\theta \varepsilon o v \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho o \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Genitive case with $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \alpha \gamma \eta \nu$. In the LXX $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$ (old word from $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$ for agent in saving, applied to deities, princes, kings, etc.) occurs 20 times, all but two to God. The Romans called the emperor "Saviour God." In the N.T. the designation of God as Saviour is peculiar to Lu 1:47; Jude 1:25; 1Ti 1:3; 2:3; 4:10; Tit 1:3; 2:10; 3:4 . In the other Epistles Paul uses it of Christ (Php 3:20; Eph 5:23) as in 2Ti 1:10 . In 2Pe 1:1 we have "our God and Saviour Jesus Christ" as in Tit 2:13 .

Our hope ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta o \varsigma \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Like Col 1:27. More than the author and object of hope, "its very substance and foundation" (Ellicott).

1Tim 1:2
True ( $\gamma \nu \eta \sigma \omega \omega$ ). Legitimate, not spurious. Old word from $\gamma เ v o \mu \alpha$, but Pauline only in N.T. (Php 4:3; 2Co 8:8; Tit 1:4). In Php 2:20 the adverb $\gamma v \eta \sigma \omega \varsigma$ occurs and of Timothy again.

Christ Jesus (Xpıotov I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). So twice already in verse 1 and as usual in the later Epistles (Col 1:1; Eph 1:1).

1Tim 1:3
As I exhorted ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha)$. There is an ellipse of the principal clause in verse 4 ( so do I now not being in the Greek).

To tarry ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \mu \varepsilon เ v \alpha)$. First aorist active infinitive of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \mu \varepsilon v \omega$, old verb, attributed by Luke to Paul in Ac 13:43.

That thou mightest charge (ıva $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ı \lambda \eta ı$ ). Subfinal clause with $\tau v a$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, old verb, to transmit a message along ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ) from one to another. See 2Th 3:4,6,10. Lock considers this idiom here an elliptical imperative like Eph 4:29; 5:33.

Certain men ( $\tau \kappa \sigma เ v)$. Dative case. Expressly vague (no names as in 1:20), though Paul doubtless has certain persons in Ephesus in mind.

Not to teach a different doctrine ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho о \delta ı \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı \nu)$. Earliest known use of this compound like какоסıסaбка入єเv of Clement of Rome. Only other N.T. example in 6:3. Eusebius has $\varepsilon$ тєpoסıঠaбка入oc. Same idea in Ga 1:6; 2Co 11:4; Ro 16:17. Perhaps coined by Paul.

1Tim 1:4

To give heed ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon เ v$ ). With vouv understood. Old and common idiom in N.T. especially in Luke and Acts (Ac 8:10ff. ). Not in Paul's earlier Epistles. 1Ti 3:8; 4:1,13; Tit 1:14

To fables ( $\mu \nu \theta$ oıs). Dative case of old word for speech, narrative, story, fiction, falsehood. In N.T. only 2Pe 1:16; 1 Ti 1:4; 4:7; Tit 1:14; 2Ti 4:4.

Genealogies ( $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha \lambda$ oүıaı). Dative of old word, in LXX, in N.T. only here and Tit 3:9

Endless (ađepavtoıc). Old verbal compound (from a privative and $\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha ı v \omega$, to go through), in LXX, only here in N.T. Excellent examples there for old words used only in the Pastorals because of the subject matter, describing the Gnostic emphasis on aeons.

Questionings ( $\varepsilon \kappa \zeta \eta \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ). "Seekings out." Late and rare compound from $\varepsilon \kappa \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \omega$ (itself Koine word, Ro 3:11 from LXX and in papyri). Here only in N.T. Simplex $\lceil\eta \tau \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma ~ i n ~$ Ac 15:2; 1Ti 6:4; Tit 3:9; 2 Ti 2:23.

A dispensation (orкоvoцıav). Pauline word (1Co 9:17; Col 1:25; Eph 1:9; 3:9; 1Ti 1:4 ), Lu 16:2-4 only other N.T. examples.

In faith ( $\varepsilon v \pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). Pauline use of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota c$.
1Tim 1:5
The end ( $\tau 0 \tau \varepsilon \lambda \circ \varsigma)$. See Ro 6:21; 10:4 for $\tau \varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma$ (the good aimed at, reached, result, end).

Love ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta$ ). Not "questionings." Ro 13:9. "Three conditions for the growth of love"
 ( $\kappa \alpha \sigma \cup v \varepsilon \delta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \eta \varsigma$, for which see Ro 2:25), "and faith unfeigned" ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ avитокрıтоv, late compound verbal in 2Co 6:6; Ro 12:9 ).

1Tim 1:6
Having swerved ( $\alpha \sigma \tau 0 \chi \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\alpha \sigma \tau \circ \chi \varepsilon \omega$, compound Koine verb (Polybius, Plutarch) from aбтохоৎ ( $\alpha$ privative and $\sigma \tau о \chi \circ \varsigma$, a mark), "having missed the mark." In N.T. only here, 6:21; 2Ti 2:18 . With the ablative case $\omega v$ (which).

Have turned aside ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \pi \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ ). Second aorist passive indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \pi \omega$, old and common verb, to turn or twist out or aside. In medical sense in Heb 12:13. As metaphor in 1Ti 1:6; 6:20; $2 \mathrm{Ti} 4: 4$.

Vain talking ( $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha ı \lambda о \gamma ı \alpha v$ ). Late word from $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha \iota=\lambda о \gamma o \varsigma$, only here in N.T., in the literary Koine.

1Tim 1:7
Teachers of the law (vouoסı $\delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda o$ ). Compound only in N.T. (here, Lu 5:17; Ac 5:34 ) and ecclesiastical writers.

Though they understand (voouvtec). Concessive participle of voe $\omega$, old verb (Eph 3:4,20).

Neither what ( $\mu \eta \tau \varepsilon \alpha$ ). Relative $\alpha$ (which things).

Nor whereof ( $\mu \eta \tau \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \imath v \omega v$ ). Here the interrogative $\tau \imath v \omega v$ used in sense of relative $\omega v$. It may be regarded as the use of an indirect question for variety (Parry).

They confidently affirm ( $\delta 1 \alpha \beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \iota o u v \tau \alpha$ ). Present middle indicative of the common Koine compound, in N.T. only here and Tit 3:8 .

1 Tim 1:8
If a man use it lawfully ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \iota \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \omega \chi \rho \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and present middle subjunctive of $\chi \rho \alpha o \mu \alpha$ with instrumental case.

1Tim 1:9
Is not made for (ov кعıт ). The use of $\kappa \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ for $\tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon ı \tau \alpha$ (perfect passive of $\tau ı \theta \eta \mu$ ) is a common enough idiom. See the same point about law in Ga 18-23; Ro 13:13. For "knowing this" ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \varsigma$ тоvтo) see Eph 5:5 .

Unruly (avטлотактоњ). Dative (like all these words) of the late verbal (a privative and vлотаббш). In N.T. only here, Tit 1:6,10; Heb 2:8 .

Ungodly ( $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \sigma$ ). See Ro 4:5; 5:6.
Sinners ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ oıs). See Ro 3:7.
Unholy (avootors). Common word ( $\alpha$ privative and oбtoc. In N.T. only here and 2 Ti 3:2.

Profane ( $\beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \lambda o \iota \varphi)$. Old word from $\beta a \iota v \omega$, to go, and $\beta \eta \lambda$ o $\varsigma$, threshold. See Heb 12:16

Murderers of fathers ( $\pi \alpha \tau \rho о \lambda \omega \iota \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. Late form for common Attic $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \alpha \lambda \omega \iota \alpha \iota \varsigma$ (from $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$, father, and $\alpha \lambda o \iota \alpha \omega$, to smite) only here in N.T.

Murderers of mothers ( $\mu \eta \tau \rho 0 \lambda \omega \iota \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. Late form Attic $\mu \eta \tau \rho \alpha \lambda \omega$ iaıc. Only here in N.T.
Manslayers ( $\alpha v \delta \rho \alpha \varphi 0 \vee o \iota \varsigma)$. Old compound ( $\alpha \vee \eta \rho$, man, $\varphi 0 \vee \circ \varsigma$, murder). Only here in N.T.

1Tim 1:10
For abusers of themselves with men ( $\alpha \rho \sigma \varepsilon$ voкоı $\tau \iota \varsigma$ ). Late compound for sodomites. In N.T. only here and 1Co 6:9.

Men-stealers ( $\alpha v \delta \rho \alpha \pi$ о $\delta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ı \varsigma)$. Old word from $\alpha v \delta \rho \alpha \pi o \delta \iota \zeta \omega$ (from $\alpha v \eta \rho$, man, $\pi o v \varsigma$, foot, to catch by the foot), to enslave. So enslavers, whether kidnappers (men-stealers) of free men or stealers of the slaves of other men. So slave-dealers. By the use of this word Paul deals a blow at the slave-trade (cf. Philemon).

Liars ( $\psi$ vuđ̃aıৎ). Old word, see Ro 3:4.
False swearers ( $\varepsilon \pi ь о р к о \iota \varsigma) . ~ O l d ~ w o r d ~(\varepsilon \pi ı, ~ о \rho к о \varsigma, ~ o a t h) . ~ P e r j u r e r s . ~ O n l y ~ h e r e ~ i n ~ N . T . ~$ For similar lists, see 1Co 5:11; 6:9f.; Ga 5:19f.; Ro 1:28f.; 13:13; Col 3:5; Eph 5:5; 2Ti 3:2f .

The sound doctrine ( $\tau \eta$ v $\gamma\llcorner a \iota v o v \sigma \eta ~ \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \iota \alpha$ ). Dative case after $\alpha \vee \tau \iota \kappa \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$, for which verb see Ga 5:17 for the conflict between the Spirit and the flesh. "The healthful (vүıaıv , old word for being well, as Lu 5:31; 3Jo 1:2, in figurative sense in N.T. only in the Pastorals) teaching." See Tit 1:9; 2Ti 4:3.

1Tim 1:11
Of the blessed God ( $\tau o v \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho ı o v ~ \theta \varepsilon o v)$ ). Applied to God only here and 6:15, but in Tit 2:13 $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho ı \varsigma \varsigma$ occurs with $\varepsilon \lambda \pi ı \varsigma$ (hope) of the "epiphany of our great God and Saviour Jesus Christ."

Which was committed to my trust (o $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \theta \eta \nu \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ). "with which (o accusative retained with first aorist passive verb $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \theta \eta \nu)$ I was entrusted."

1Tim 1:12
I thank ( $\chi \alpha \rho \iota v \varepsilon \chi \omega)$. "I have gratitude to." Common phrase (Lu 17:9), not elsewhere in Paul.

That enabled me ( $\tau \omega \varepsilon v \delta \nu v \alpha \mu \omega \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \mu \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active articular participle of $\varepsilon v \delta u v a \mu o \omega$. Late verb, but regular Pauline idiom (Ro 4:20; Php 4:13; Eph 6:10; $1 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 12 ; 2 \mathrm{Ti}$ 4:17).

Appointing me to his service ( $\theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \varepsilon ı \varsigma \delta \iota \alpha \kappa o v ı \alpha v)$. Second aorist middle participle. Pauline phrase and atmosphere (Ac 20:24; 1Co 3:5; 12:18,28; 2Co 3:6; 4:1; Col 1:23; Eph. 3:7; 1 Ti 4:6; 2Ti 4:5,11 ).

1Tim 1:13
Before ( $\tau$ o $\pi \rho \circ \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ). Accusative of general reference of the articular comparative, "as to the former-time," formerly, as in Ga 4:13.

Though I was (ovia). Concessive participle agreeing with $\mu \varepsilon$.
Blasphemer ( $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \circ v$ ). Old word either from $\beta \lambda \alpha \xi$ (stupid) and $\varphi \eta \mu \eta$, speech, or from $\beta \lambda \alpha \pi \tau \omega$, to injure. Rare in N.T. but Paul uses $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon \omega$, to blaspheme in Ro 2:24 .

Persecutor ( $\delta \omega \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma$ ). So far found only here. Probably made by Paul from $\delta \iota \omega \kappa \omega$, which he knew well enough (Ac 22:4,7; 26:14f.; Ga 1:13,23; Php 3:6; 2Ti 3:12 ).

Injurious (vßрıб $\eta v$ ). Substantive, not adjective, "an insolent man." Old word from $\nu \beta \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, in N.T. only here and Ro 1:30.

I obtained mercy $(\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \eta \theta \eta \nu)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega$, old verb. See 2Co 4:1; Ro 11:30f.

Ignorantly ( $\alpha \gamma v 0 \omega v$ ). Present active participle of $\alpha \gamma v o \varepsilon \omega$, "not knowing." Old verb (Ro 2:4). In a blindness of heart.

In unbelief ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \pi \iota \tau \tau \alpha$ ). See Ro 11:20,25 .
1Tim 1:14
Abounded exceedingly (v $\pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \pi \lambda \varepsilon \sigma v a \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Aorist active indicative of the late and rare (So 5:19 and in Herond.) compound $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \pi \lambda \varepsilon o v a \zeta \omega$ (here alone in N.T.), in later ecclesiastical writers. The simplex $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \sigma v a \zeta \omega$ Paul used in Ro 5:20; 6:1 and the kindred $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v$ used also with $\eta \chi \alpha \rho \varsigma \varsigma$. Paul is fond of compounds with $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$. For "faith in Christ Jesus" see Ga 3:26, for "faith and love in Christ Jesus" as here, see 2Ti 1:13.

1Tim 1:15

Faithful is the saying ( $\pi$ Ібтоц о $\lambda$ оүос). Five times in the Pastorals ( $1 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 15 ; 3: 1 ; 4: 9$;
 (trustworthy) applied to $\lambda$ opos in Tit 1:9; Re 21:5; 22:6 . Here and probably in 2Ti 2:11 a definite saying seems to be referred to, possibly a quotation (o $\tau$ ) of a current saying quite like the Johannine type of teaching. This very phrase (Christ coming into the world) occurs in Joh 9:37; 11:27; 16:28; 18:37. Paul, of course, had no access to the Johannine writings, but such "sayings" were current among the disciples. There is no formal quotation, but "the whole phrase implies a knowledge of Synoptic and Johannine language" (Lock) as in Lu 5:32; Joh 12:47.

Acceptation ( $\alpha \pi 0 \delta \circ \chi \eta \varsigma)$. Genitive case with $\alpha \xi$ เoc (worthy of). Late word (Polybius, Diod., Jos.) in N.T. only here and 4:9.

Chief ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \varsigma)$. Not $\eta \nu$ (I was), but $\varepsilon \varepsilon \mu$ (I am). "It is not easy to think of any one but St. Paul as penning these words" (White). In 1Co 15:9 he had called himself "the least of the apostles" ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \chi$ ıбтos $\tau \omega v \alpha \pi о \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \omega v$ ). In Eph 3:8 he refers to himself as "the less than the least of all saints" ( $\tau \omega \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \chi\llcorner\tau \tau \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega v \alpha \gamma \omega \nu)$ ). On occasion Paul would defend himself as on a par with the twelve apostles ( $\mathrm{Ga} 2: 6-10$ ) and superior to the Judaizers ( $2 \mathrm{Co} 11: 5 \mathrm{f}$.; 12:11). It is not mock humility here, but sincere appreciation of the sins of his life (cf. Ro 7:24) as a persecutor of the church of God (Ga 1:13), of men and even women (Ac 22:4f.; 26:11 ). He had sad memories of those days.

1Tim 1:16
In me as chief $(\varepsilon v \varepsilon \mu \circ \pi \rho \omega \tau \omega)$. Probably starts with the same sense of $\pi \rho \omega \tau o c$ as in verse 15 (rank), but turns to order (first in line). Paul becomes the "specimen" sinner as an encouragement to all who come after him.

Might shew forth ( $\varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon ı \xi \eta \tau \alpha$ ). First aorist middle subjunctive (purpose with $t v \alpha$ ) of $\varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon ı \kappa v \nu \mu$, to point out, for which see Eph 2:7 (same form with $\imath v \alpha$ ).

Longsuffering ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta v \mu \iota \alpha v$ ). Common Pauline word (2Co 6:6).
For an ensample ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ v $\pi о \tau v \pi \omega \sigma \tau v$ ). Late and rare word (in Galen, Sext. Emp., Diog. Laert., here only in N.T.) from late verb vтотvто (in papyri) to outline. So substantive here is a sketch, rough outline. Paul is a sample of the kind of sinners that Jesus came to save. See $v \pi$ о $\delta \varepsilon \iota \gamma \mu \alpha$ in 2Pe 2:6 .

1Tim 1:17
This noble doxology is a burst of gratitude for God's grace to Paul. For other doxologies see Ga 1:5; Ro 11:36; 16:27; Php 4:20; Eph 3:21; 1Ti 6:16. White suggests that Paul may have often used this doxology in his prayers. Lock suggests "a Jewish liturgical formula" (a needless suggestion in view of Paul's wealth of doxologies seen above). For God's creative activity (King of the ages) see 1Co 10:11; Eph 2:7; 3:9,11 .

Incorruptible ( $\alpha \varphi \theta \alpha \rho \tau \omega$ ). As an epithet of God also in Ro 1:23.
Invisible ( $\alpha \circ \rho \alpha \tau \omega$ ). Epithet of God in Col 1:15.

The only God ( $\mu \mathrm{ov} \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). So Ro 16:27; Joh 5:44; 17:3.
For ever and ever ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau 0 v \varsigma \alpha \iota \omega v a \varsigma \tau \omega \nu \alpha \iota \omega \nu \omega v$ ). "Unto the ages of ages." Cf. Eph 3:21 "of the age of the ages."

1Tim 1:18
I commit $(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau ו \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha)$. Present middle indicative of old and common verb, to place beside ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ) as food on table, in the middle to entrust ( $\mathrm{Lu} 12: 48$ ) and used by Jesus as he was dying ( Lu 23:46). Here it is a banking figure and repeated in 2 Ti 2:2 .

According to the prophecies which went before on thee ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \varsigma \pi \rho о \alpha \gamma о v \sigma \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \sigma \varepsilon$ $\pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon(\alpha \varsigma)$. Intransitive use of $\pi \rho \circ \alpha \gamma \omega$, to go before. When Timothy first comes before us (Ac 16:2) "he was testified to" ( $\varepsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \iota \tau o)$ by the brethren. He began his ministry rich in hopes, prayers, predictions.

That by them thou mayest war the good warfare (ı $v \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau v \eta \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \alpha ı \varsigma \tau \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \eta v$ $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ ). Cognate accusative ( $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$, old word from $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \omega$, in N.T. only here and 2Co 4:4) with $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \cup \eta$ (second person singular middle present subjunctive of $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \omega$, old verb chiefly in Paul in N.T., 1Co 9:7; 2Co 10:3 ). As if in defensive armour.

1Tim 1:19
Holding faith and a good conscience ( $\varepsilon \chi \omega v \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \nu \kappa \alpha \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \eta \nu \sigma v v \varepsilon \iota \delta \eta \sigma \iota v)$. Possibly as a shield (Eph 6:16) or at any rate possessing (Ro 2:20) faith as trust and a good conscience. A leader expects them of his followers and must show them himself.

Having thrust from them ( $\alpha \pi \omega \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). First aorist indirect middle participle of $\alpha \pi \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$, to push away from one. Old verb (see Ro 11:1f. ).

Made shipwreck ( $\varepsilon v \alpha v \alpha \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $v \alpha v \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, old verb from vavapos (shipwrecked, vavৎ, ship, $\alpha \gamma v v \mu$, to break), to break a ship to pieces. In N.T. only here and 2Co 11:25.

Concerning the faith ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \eta \nu \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ). Rather, "concerning their faith" (the article here used as a possessive pronoun, a common Greek idiom).

1Tim 1:20
Hymenaeus (Hv are the chief "wreckers" of faith in Ephesus.

Alexander ( $\mathrm{A} \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \nu \delta \rho o \varsigma)$. Probably the same as the one in $2 \mathrm{Ti} 4: 14$, but not the Jew of that name in Ac 19:33, unless he had become a Christian since then.

I delivered unto Satan ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha \tau \omega \Sigma \alpha \tau \alpha \nu \alpha$ ). See this very idiom ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o u v \alpha \tau \omega$ $\Sigma a \tau \alpha v \alpha$ ) in 1Co 5:5. It is a severe discipline of apostolic authority, apparently exclusion and more than mere abandonment (1Th $2: 18$; 1Co $5: 11$; 2Co $2: 11$ ), though it is an obscure matter.

That they might be taught not to blaspheme ( $\imath \alpha \pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v \theta \omega \sigma \iota \nu \mu \eta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon \imath v$ ). Purpose clause with $t v \alpha$ and first aorist passive subjunctive of $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v \omega$. For this use of this common late verb, see 1Co 11:32; 2Co 6:9.

## 1 Timothy 2

1Tim 2:1
First of all $(\pi \rho \omega \tau o v \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu)$. Take with $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega$. My first request (first in importance).

Intercessions ( $\varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon v \xi \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. Late word (Polybius, Plutarch, etc.), only here in N.T. and 4:5, though the verb $\varepsilon v \tau v \gamma \chi \propto \nu \omega$ in Ro $8: 27,34 ; 11: 2,25$. The other three words for prayer are common (Php 4:6).

For all men ( $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \pi \alpha v \tau \omega v \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega v$ ). The scope of prayer is universal including all kinds of sinners (and saints).

1Tim 2:2
For kings (v $\pi \varepsilon \rho \beta a \sigma \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \omega v$ ). And this included Nero who had already set fire to Rome and laid it on the Christians whom he was also persecuting.

And all them that are in high place ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \nu v \pi \varepsilon \rho \circ \chi \eta \quad \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ). Hv $\pi \varepsilon \rho \circ \chi \eta$ is old word (from $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \circ \chi \circ \varsigma$ and this from $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ and $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ ), but in N.T. only here and 1Co 2:1.

That we may lead ( $七 \nu \alpha \delta \iota \alpha \gamma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Purpose clause with present active subjunctive of $\delta \iota a \gamma \omega$, an old and common verb, but in N.T. only here and Tit 3:3.

Tranquil ( $\eta \rho \varepsilon \mu \circ v$ ). Late adjective from the old adverb $\eta \rho \varepsilon \mu \alpha$ (stilly, quietly). Here only in N.T.

Quiet ( $\eta \sigma v \chi \iota \circ$ ). Old adjective, once in LXX (Isa 66:2 ), in N.T. only here and 1Pe 3:4

Life ( $\beta$ ıov). Old word for course of life (not $\zeta \omega \eta$ ). So Lu 8:14.
Gravity ( $\sigma \varepsilon \mu \nu o \tau \eta \tau$ ). Old word from $\sigma \varepsilon \mu \nu \circ \varsigma(\operatorname{Php} 4: 8$ ), in N.T. only here, 3:4; Tit 2:7. 1Tim 2:3
Acceptable ( $\alpha \pi o \delta \varepsilon \kappa \tau o v$ ). Late verbal adjective from $\alpha \pi o \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. In inscriptions and papyri. In N.T. only here and 5:4.

1Tim 2:4
Willeth $(\theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon)$. God's wish and will in so far as he can influence men.
That all men should be saved ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \cup \varsigma \sigma \omega \theta \eta \nu \alpha$ ). First aorist passive infinitive of $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$ with accusative of general reference. See 1Co 10:33; 2Co 5:18f.

To the knowledge ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). "The full knowledge" as in Col 1:6; Eph 4:13 (ten times in Paul). See 2Ti 3:7 for the whole phrase "full knowledge of the truth" ( $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \_\alpha 14$ times in the Pastorals). Paul is anxious as in Colossians and Ephesians that the Gnostics may not lead the people astray. They need the full intellectual apprehension of Christianity.

1Tim 2:5
One God ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ Ө $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\circ}$ ). Regular Pauline argument for a universal gospel (Ga 3:20; Ro 3:30; Eph 4:6 ).

One mediator ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \mu \sigma \iota \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Late word (Polybius, Philo) from $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \circ \varsigma$ (middle), a middle man. In N.T. only here, Ga 3:20; Heb 8:6; 9:15; 12:24 .

Between God and men ( $\theta \varepsilon o v \kappa \alpha \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega v$ ). Ablative case (though objective genitive may explain it) after $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \iota \tau \eta \varsigma$ (notion of separation) as in Ro 10:12; Heb 5:14.

Himself man ( $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma)$. No "himself" ( $\alpha \cup \tau \circ \varsigma)$ in the Greek.
1Tim 2:6
A ransom for all ( $\alpha v \tau i \lambda \nu \tau \rho \circ v v \pi \varepsilon \rho \pi \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). "A reminiscence of the Lord's own saying" (Lock) in Mt 20:28 (Mr 10:45) where we have $\lambda \cup \tau \rho o v ~ a v \tau ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda \omega v$. In the papyri $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ is the ordinary preposition for the notion of substitution where benefit is involved as in this passage. Av has more the idea of exchange and $\alpha v \tau \iota \lambda \nu \tau \rho o v \nu \pi \varepsilon \rho$ combines both ideas. $\Lambda \nu \tau \rho o v$ is the common word for ransom for a slave or a prisoner. Paul may have coined avti入vtpov with the saying of Christ in mind (only one MS. of Ps 48:9 and Orph. Litt. 588). See Ga 1:4 "who gave himself for our sins."

The testimony ( $\tau$ о $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \iota o v$ ). Either the nominative absolute or the accusative absolute in apposition to the preceding clause like to a $\delta u v a \tau o v ~ i n ~ R o ~ 8: 3 . ~ . ~$

In its own times (кaıроıя ııoı¢). Locative case as in 6:15; Tit 1:3. See Ga 6:9 for "due season." There is no predicate or participle here, "the testimony in its due seasons" (plural). 1Tim 2:7
For which ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma$ o). The testimony of Jesus in his self-surrender (verse 6). See $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ o in 2Ti 1:11.

I was appointed $(\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \theta \eta \nu \varepsilon \gamma \omega)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\tau \uparrow \theta \eta \mu$.
Preacher and apostle ( $\kappa \eta \rho v \xi \kappa \alpha \alpha \pi о \sigma \tau о \lambda о \varsigma)$. In 2Ti 1:10 Paul adds $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda о \varsigma($ herald, apostle, teacher) as he does here with emphasis. In Col 1:23f. he has $\delta$ ıaкоvoc (minister). He frequently uses $\kappa \eta \rho v \sigma \sigma \omega$ of himself (1Co 1:23; 9:27; Ga 2:2; Ro 10:8f. ).

I speak the truth, I lie not ( $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, ov $\psi \varepsilon \cup \delta o \mu \alpha$ ). A Pauline touch (Ro 9:1). Cf. Ga 1:20; 2Co 11:31. Here alone he calls himself "a teacher of the Gentiles," elsewhere apostle (Ro 11:13 ), minister (Ro 15:16), prisoner (Eph 3:1).

1Tim 2:8
I desire ( $\beta$ ou $\lambda$ ou $\alpha$ ). So Php 1:12 .
The men ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma ~ \alpha v \delta \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. Accusative of general reference with the infinitive $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$. The men in contrast to "women" ( $\gamma$ vvaikaৎ) in 9. It is public worship, of course, and "in every place" ( $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha v \tau \tau \pi \pi \omega$ ) for public worship. Many modern Christians feel that there were special conditions in Ephesus as in Corinth which called for strict regulations on the women that do not always apply now.

Lifting up holy hands (eтaıpovtac oбıovৎ $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho a \varsigma)$ ). Standing to pray. Note also oбıous used as feminine (so in Plato) with $\chi \varepsilon ⿺ \rho a \varsigma$ instead of ofiac. The point here is that only men should lead in public prayer who can lift up "clean hands" (morally and spiritually clean). See Lu 24:50 . Adverb oot $\omega$ c in 1Th 2:10 and oбıoтףऽ in Eph 4:24.

Without wrath and disputing ( $\chi \omega \rho \iota \varsigma ~ о \rho \gamma \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha \delta \iota \lambda о \gamma \iota \sigma \mu о$ ). See Php 2:14.
1Tim 2:9
In like manner that women (oб $\alpha v \tau \omega \varsigma \gamma v v a ı \kappa \alpha \varsigma)$. Bov $\lambda_{0} \mu \alpha$ must be repeated from verse 8, involved in ooav $\omega \omega$ (old adverb, as in Ro 8:26 ). Parry insists that $\pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v a \varsigma$ (when they pray) must be supplied also. Grammatically that is possible (Lock), but it is hardly consonant with verses 11-15 (White).

Adorn themselves (коб $\mu \varepsilon \imath v \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \alpha \varsigma) . ~ P r e s e n t ~ a c t i v e ~ i n f i n i t i v e ~ a f t e r ~ \beta o v ~ \lambda o \mu \alpha ~ u n d e r s t o o d . ~$ Old word from коб $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ( arrangement, ornament, order, world). See Lu 21:5; Tit 2:10 . See 1Co 11:5ff. for Paul's discussion of women's dress in public worship.

In modest apparel ( $\varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau о \lambda \eta \kappa о \sigma \mu t \omega$ ). Kaтaбто入 $\eta$ is a late word (a letting down, $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, of demeanour or dress, arrangement of dress). Only here in N.T. Koб $\mu \iota \rho$, is old adjective from коб $\mu$ о , and means well-arranged, becoming. W. H. have adverb in margin ( $\kappa о \sigma \mu \iota \varsigma)$.

With shamefastness ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \alpha \iota \delta o v \varsigma)$. Old word for shame, reverence, in N.T. only here and Heb 12:28 .

Sobriety ( $\sigma \omega \varphi \rho \circ \sigma v \nu \eta \varsigma)$. Old word, in N.T. only here, verse 15, and Ac 26:15 (Paul also).

Not with braided hair ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \nu \pi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \alpha \sigma \iota v$ ). Old word from $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \omega$, to plait, to braid, for nets, baskets, here only in N.T. Cf. 1Pe 3:1 ( $\varepsilon \mu \pi \lambda$ окпऽ).

And gold $(\varepsilon v \chi \rho v \sigma \omega \omega)$. Locative case with $\varepsilon v$ repeated. Some MSS. read $\chi \rho v \sigma \omega$. Both used for gold ornaments.

Or pearls ( $\eta \mu \alpha \rho \gamma \alpha \rho ı \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. See Mt 7:6 for this word.
Or costly raiment ( $\eta \iota \mu \tau \iota \sigma \mu \omega \pi \sigma \lambda v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Hı $\mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma$ a common Koine word from $\varkappa \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, to clothe. По $\lambda v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \eta \varsigma$, old word from $\pi 0 \lambda v \varsigma$ and $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \frac{}{}$ (great price). See Mr 14:3.

1Tim 2:10
Becometh ( $\pi \rho \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$ ). Old word for seemly. Paul wishes women to wear "becoming" clothes, but $\theta \varepsilon o \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu$ (godliness, from $\theta \varepsilon o \sigma \varepsilon \beta \eta \varsigma$, Joh 9:31, $\theta \varepsilon o \sigma, \sigma \varepsilon \beta o \mu \alpha$, worship) is part of the "style" desired. Only here in N.T. Good dress and good works combined.

1Tim 2:11
In quietness $(\varepsilon v \eta \sigma v \chi \iota \alpha)$. Old word from $\eta \sigma v \chi \iota \varsigma$. In N.T. only here, Ac 22:2; 2Th 3:12

In all subjection ( $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha \sigma \eta v \pi o \tau \alpha \gamma \eta$ ). Late word (Dion. Hal., papyri), in N.T. only here, 2Co 9:13; Ga 2:5. See 1Co 14:33-35 .

1Tim 2:12
I permit not (ovk $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega$ ). Old word $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega$, to permit, to allow (1Co 16:7). Paul speaks authoritatively.

To teach ( $\left.\delta_{\iota} \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon เ v\right)$. In the public meeting clearly. And yet all modern Christians allow women to teach Sunday school classes. One feels somehow that something is not expressed here to make it all clear.

Nor to have dominion over a man (ovס $\alpha \cup \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon เ v ~ a v \delta \rho o \varsigma) . ~ T h e ~ w o r d ~ \alpha u \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \omega$ is now cleared up by Kretschmer (Glotta, 1912, pp. 289ff.) and by Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary. See also Nageli, Der Wortschatz des Apostels Paulus and Deissmann, Light, etc., pp. 88 f. Avto $\delta \kappa \varepsilon \omega$ was the literary word for playing the master while $\alpha \cup \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \omega$ was the vernacular term. It comes from $\alpha v \tau-\varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$, a self-doer, a master, autocrat. It occurs in the papyri (substantive $\alpha \cup \theta \varepsilon v \tau \eta \varsigma$, master, verb $\alpha v \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \omega$, to domineer, adjective $\alpha v \theta \varepsilon v \tau \iota \kappa o \varsigma$, authoritative, "authentic"). Modern Greek has $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma=$ Effendi $=$ "Mr."

1Tim 2:13
Was first formed ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \lambda \alpha \sigma \theta \eta$ ). Note $\pi \rho \omega \tau$, ${ }^{\circ}$, not $\pi \rho \omega \tau o v$, first before Eve. First aorist passive indicative of $\pi \lambda a \sigma \sigma \omega$, old verb, in N.T. only here and Ro 9:20 (cf. Ge 2:7f. ).

1Tim 2:14
Being beguiled ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist passive participle of $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$, old compound verb, in N.T. only by Paul (2Th 2:3; 1Co 3:18; 2Co 11:3; Ro 7:11; 16:18; 1Ti 2:14 ). Not certain that $\varepsilon \xi$ - here means "completely deceived" in contrast to simplex (ovк $\eta \pi \alpha \tau \eta \theta \eta$ ) used of Adam, though possible.

Hath fallen ( $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \varepsilon v$ ). Second perfect indicative active, permanent state. See 1Co 11:7

1Tim 2:15
Through the child-bearing ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \kappa v o \gamma o v i \alpha \varsigma)$. Late and rare word (in Aristotle). Here alone in N.T. From тєкvoүovoৎ and this from $\tau \varepsilon \kappa v o v$ and root $\gamma \varepsilon v \omega$. This translation makes it refer to the birth of the Saviour as glorifying womanhood. That is true, but it is not clear that Paul does not have mostly in mind that child-bearing, not public teaching, is the peculiar function of woman with a glory and dignity all its own. "She will be saved" ( $\sigma \omega \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ) in this function, not by means of it.

If they continue ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \varepsilon เ v \omega \sigma เ v$ ). Condition of third class, $\varepsilon \alpha v$ with first aorist active subjunctive of $\mu \varepsilon v \omega$, to continue. Note change to plural from the singular ( $\sigma \omega \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ).

## 1 Timothy 3

1Tim 3:1
Faithful is the saying ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma$ o $\lambda о \gamma \circ \varsigma)$. Here the phrase points to the preceding words (not like 1:15) and should close the preceding paragraph.

If a man seeketh ( $\varepsilon \tau \downarrow \varsigma$ op $\gamma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Condition of first class, assumed as true. Present middle indicative of o $\rho \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, old verb to reach out after something, governing the genitive. In N.T. only here, 6:10; Heb 11:16.

The office of a bishop ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa о \pi \eta \varsigma)$. Genitive case after op $\gamma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$. Late and rare word outside of LXX and N.T. (in a Lycaonian inscription). From $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa о \pi \varepsilon \omega$ and means "overseership" as in Ac 1:20.

1Tim 3:2
The bishop ( $\tau$ в $\varepsilon \pi\llcorner\sigma к о \pi о v)$. The overseer. Old word, in LXX, and inscriptions and papyri. Deissmann (Bible Studies, pp. 230f.) has shown it is applied to communal officials in Rhodes. See Ac 20:28 for its use for the elders (presbyters) in verse 17. So also in Tit 1:5,7 . See Php 1:1. The word does not in the N.T. have the monarchical sense found in Ignatius of a bishop over elders.

Without reproach ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \pi i \lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau o v$ ). Accusative case of general reference with $\delta \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon ı v a$. Old and common verbal ( $\alpha$ privative and $\varepsilon \pi i \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, not to be taken hold of), irreproachable. In N.T. only here, 5:7; 6:14.

Of one wife ( $\mu$ гऽ $\gamma \cup v$ аıкоऽ). One at a time, clearly.
Temperate ( $v \eta \varphi \alpha \lambda_{\iota} \circ v$ ). Old adjective. In N.T. only here, verse 11; Tit 2:2 . But see $\nu \eta \varphi \omega$, to be sober in 1Th 5:6,8 .

Soberminded ( $\sigma \omega \varphi \rho \circ v \alpha$ ). Another old adjective (from $\sigma \alpha o \varsigma$ or $\sigma \omega \varsigma$, sound, $\varphi \rho \eta \nu$, mind) in N.T. only here, Tit 1:8; 2:2,5 .

Orderly (кобцьоv). See on 2:9. Seemly, decent conduct.
Given to hospitality ( $\varphi \lambda \lambda o \xi \varepsilon v o v$ ). Old word (see $\varphi \backslash \lambda o \xi \varepsilon v i \alpha$ in Ro 12:13 ), from $\varphi \backslash \lambda o \varsigma$ and $\xi \varepsilon v o \varsigma$, in N.T. only here, Tit 1:8; $1 \mathrm{Pe} 4: 9$.

Apt to teach ( $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \kappa \tau เ \kappa о v$ ). Late form for old $\delta_{\iota} \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda_{\iota} \kappa о \varsigma$, one qualified to teach. In Philo and N.T. only (1Ti 3:2; 2Ti 2:24 ).

1Tim 3:3
No brawler ( $\mu \eta \pi \alpha \rho o \iota v o v$ ). Later word for the earlier $\pi \alpha \rho o \iota v o \rho$, one who sits long at (beside, $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ) his wine. In N.T. only here and Tit 1:3.

No striker ( $\mu \eta \pi \lambda \eta \kappa \tau \eta v$ ). Late word from $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma \omega$, to strike. In N.T. only here and Tit 1:3.

Gentle ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varepsilon \iota \kappa \eta$ ). See on Php 4:5 for this interesting word.
Not contentious ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \chi \circ v$ ). Old word (from $\alpha$ privative and $\mu \alpha \chi \eta$ ), not a fighter. In N.T. only here and Tit 3:2 .

No lover of money ( $\alpha \varphi \lambda \lambda \alpha \rho \gamma v \rho \circ v$ ). Late word ( $\alpha$ privative and compound $\varphi i \lambda-\alpha \rho \gamma v \rho o \varsigma$ ) in inscriptions and papyri (Nageli; also Deissmann, Light, etc., pp. 85f.). In N.T. only here and Heb 13:5 .

1Tim 3:4
Ruling ( $\pi \rho o \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. Present middle participle of $\pi \rho o \circ \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, old word to place before and (intransitive as here) to stand before. See 1Th 5:12; Ro 12:8 .

In subjection ( $\varepsilon v$ v $\pi о \tau \alpha \gamma \eta$ ). See verse 11.
1Tim 3:5
If a man knoweth not ( $\varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma$ ovk oı$\delta \varepsilon v$ ). Condition of first class, assumed as true.
How to rule ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \eta v \alpha$ ). Second aorist active infinitive of same verb $\pi \rho o l \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ and with ot $\delta \varepsilon v$ means "know how to rule," not "know that he rules."

How ( $\pi \omega \varsigma$ ). Rhetorical question expecting negative answer.
Shall he take care of ( $\varepsilon \pi \mu \mu \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Future middle of $\varepsilon \pi \mu \mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$, old compound ( $\varepsilon \pi$, direction of care towards) verb, in LXX, in N.T. only here and Lu 10:34f.

The church of God ( $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma ા a \varsigma ~ \theta \varepsilon o v)$. Anarthrous as in verse 15, elsewhere with article (1Co 10:32; 15:9; 2Co 1:1; Ga 1:13). The local church described as belonging to God. No one in N.T. but Paul (Ac 20:28) so describes the church. This verse is a parenthesis in the characteristics of the bishop.

1Tim 3:6
Not a novice ( $\mu \eta$ vعo $\varphi \cup \tau \sigma v$ ). Our "neophyte." Vernacular word from Aristophanes on, in LXX, and in papyri in the original sense of "newly-planted" (veoo, $\varphi v \omega$ ). Only here in N.T.

Lest (ıva $\mu \eta$ ). "That not."
Being puffed up ( $\tau \cup \varphi \omega \theta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ). First aorist passive participle of tv $\rho 0 \omega$, old word (from $\tau \cup \varphi о \varsigma$, smoke, pride), to raise a smoke or mist (a smoke-screen of pride). In N.T. only here; 6:4; 2 Ti 3:4.
 of $\varepsilon \mu \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, old verb, to fall into. Note both $\varepsilon v$ and $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ as in Mt 12:11; Lu 10:36 .

The condemnation of the devil ( $\kappa \rho \mu \alpha$ tov $\delta \iota \alpha \beta \circ \lambda o v$ ). See Ro 3:8 for $\kappa \rho \mu \alpha$. Best to take tov $\delta \iota \alpha \beta$ o ov as objective genitive, though subjective in verse 7 , "the condemnation passed on or received by the devil" (not just "the slanderer," any slanderer).

1Tim 3:7
From them that are without ( $\alpha \pi 0 \tau \omega v \varepsilon \xi \omega \theta \varepsilon v$ ). "From the outside (of the church) ones." Paul's care for the witness of outsiders is seen in 1Th 4:12; 1Co 10:32; Col 4:5. There are, of course, two sides to this matter.

Reproach (ovei $\delta \iota \sigma \mu \circ v$ ). Late word from oveı $\delta \iota \zeta \omega$. See Ro 15:3.
The snare of the devil ( $\pi \alpha \gamma \iota \delta \alpha$ tov $\delta \kappa \alpha \beta o \lambda o v$ ). Here subjective genitive, snare set by the devil. П $\gamma \downarrow \varsigma$, old word from $\pi \eta \gamma \nu \nu \mu$, to make fast. So a snare for birds (Lu 21:35), any
sudden trap (Ro 11:9), of sin (1Ti 6:9), of the devil (1Ti 3:7; 2Ti 2:26). Ancients used it of the snares of love. The devil sets special snares for preachers (conceit verse 6, money 6:9, women, ambition).

1Tim 3:8
Deacons ( $\delta$ ıкоиоия). Accusative case of general reference like the preceding with $\delta \varepsilon$ sıva understood. Technical sense of the word here as in Php 1:1 which see (two classes of church officers, bishops or elders, deacons).

Grave ( $\sigma \varepsilon \mu v o v \varsigma$ ). See Php 4:8. Repeated in verse 11; Tit 2:2 .
Not double-tongued ( $\mu \eta \delta_{i} \lambda o \gamma o v \varsigma$ ). Rare word $(\delta \iota \sigma, \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega)$ saying same thing twice. Xenophon has $\delta_{\imath} \lambda o \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ and $\delta_{\imath} \lambda o \gamma \iota a$. In Pollux, but LXX has $\delta \iota \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma o \varsigma$ (double-tongued, Latin bilinguis). Only here in N.T. One placed between two persons and saying one thing to one, another to the other. Like Bunyan's Parson "Mr. Two-Tongues."

Not given to much wine ( $\mu \eta \quad \circ \imath \omega \omega \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \omega \pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \chi o v \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. "Not holding the mind ( $\tau 0 v$ vovv understood as usual with $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \chi \omega, 1 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 4$ ) on much wine" (oı $\nu \omega$, dative case). That attitude leads to over-indulgence.

Not greedy of filthy lucre ( $\mu \eta$ аıбх $\rho о \kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Old word from $\alpha \iota \sigma \chi \rho \circ \varsigma$ (Eph 5:12 ) and $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta$ оৎ (Php 1:21). "Making small gains in mean ways" (Parry). Not genuine in verse 3. In N.T. only here and Tit 1:7 (of bishops).

1Tim 3:9
The mystery of the faith ( $\tau 0 \mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota v \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. "The inner secret of the faith," the revelation given in Christ. See for $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ o v$ in Paul (2Th 2:7; 1Co 2:7; Ro 16:25; Col 1:26; Eph 3:9).

In a pure conscience ( $\varepsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma v v \varepsilon \iota \eta \sigma \varepsilon)$. See 1:19. "The casket in which the jewel is to be kept" (Lock).

1Tim 3:10
First be proved ( $\delta о \kappa \iota \mu \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \alpha \nu \pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma v$ ). Present passive imperative third plural of $\delta o \kappa \iota \mu \zeta \omega$, old and common verb, to test as metals, etc. (1Th 2:4, and often in Paul). How the proposed deacons are to be "first" tested before approved Paul does not say. See Php 1:10 for the two senses (test, approve) of the word.

Let them serve as deacons ( $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa о \varepsilon \iota \tau \omega \sigma \alpha v$ ). Present active imperative of $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa о v \varepsilon \omega$ (same root as $\delta$ ıкоvoৎ), common verb, to minister, here "to serve as deacons." Cf. סıaкoveıv in Ac 6:2. See also verse 13.

If they be blameless ( $\alpha \nu \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \tau o$ ov $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. "Being blameless" (conditional participle, ov $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. See 1Co 1:8; Col 1:22 for $\alpha v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \tau о \varsigma$.

1Tim 3:11
Women ( $\gamma \cup v \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \varsigma)$. Accusative with $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota v \alpha$ understood (o $\sigma \alpha v \tau \omega \varsigma$, likewise) as in verse 8. Apparently "women as deacons" (Ro 16:1 about Phoebe) and not women in general or just "wives of deacons." See Pliny (Ep. X. 97) ministrae.

Not slanderers ( $\mu \eta \delta \delta \alpha \beta o \lambda o v \varsigma)$. Original meaning of $\delta \iota \alpha \beta o \lambda o c(f r o m ~ \delta \iota \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, Lu 16:1), the devil being the chief slanderer (Eph 6:11). "She-devils" in reality (Tit 2:3). "While men are more prone to be $\delta \lambda \lambda o \gamma o v$, , double-tongued, women are more prone than men to be slanderers" (White).

Faithful in all things ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon v \pi \alpha \sigma \iota v)$. Perhaps as almoners (Ellicott) the deaconesses had special temptations.

1Tim 3:12
Of one wife ( $\mu$ цаऽ $\gamma$ vvaiкос). At a time as in verse 2.
Ruling well ( $\pi \rho \circ \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma)$. As in 4.
1Tim 3:13
Gain to themselves ( $\varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau о \iota \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \rho ı \pi о \iota o u v \tau \alpha)$. Present middle indicative of $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \pi о \iota \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to make besides ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho$, around, over), to lay by. Reflexive (indirect) middle with reflexive pronoun (عavtoıs) repeated as often happens in the Koine. In N.T. only here, Lu 17:33; Ac 20:28 (Paul also, quoting Isa 43:21).

A good standing ( $\beta \alpha \theta \mu \mathrm{ov} \kappa \alpha \lambda o v$ ). Late word from $\beta \alpha \downarrow v \omega$, in LXX for steps at a door (1Sa 5:5 ). In plural the steps of a stair. In the inscriptions it means a good foothold or standing. The ecclesiastical writers (Theodoret) take it to be a higher grade or rank, but it is doubtful if Paul means that here.

Much boldness ( $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha v$ ). A Pauline phrase (2Co 3:12; 7:4; Php 1:20).
In the faith which is in Christ Jesus ( $\varepsilon v \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \tau \eta \varepsilon v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). Pauline phrase again (Ac 26:18; Ga 3:26; Col 1:4; Eph 1:15; 2Ti 1:13; 3:15 ).

1Tim 3:14
Shortly ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon$ ). Old idiom (locative case of $\tau \alpha \chi \circ \varsigma$, quickness, speed). See Ro 16:20. A pseudonymous writer would hardly have put in this phrase. Paul's hopes were not to be realized, but he did not know that.

1Tim 3:15
But if I tarry long ( $\varepsilon \alpha \vee \delta \varepsilon \beta \rho \alpha \delta v v \omega)$. Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and the present active subjunctive of $\beta \rho \alpha \delta u v \omega$, old verb, to be slow (usually intransitive), from $\beta \rho \alpha \delta u c$ (slow, dull, Lu 24:25 ), in N.T. only here and 2Pe 3:9 .
 subjunctive of ot $\delta a$, to know.

How men ought ( $\pi \omega \varsigma \delta \varepsilon$ ). "How it is necessary for thee" (supply $\sigma \varepsilon$ more naturally than $\tau \imath v a$, any one). Indirect question.

To behave themselves ( $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Present middle (direct) infinitive of $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, old verb, to turn up and down. See 2Co 1:12; Eph 2:3 .

In the house of God ( $\varepsilon v$ оוк $\omega$ $\theta$ zov). Probably here "household of God," that is "the family of God" rather than "the house (or temple) of God." Christians as yet had no separate houses of worship and oוкоৎ commonly means "household." Christians are the vaoc (sanc-
tuary) of God (1Co 3:16f.; 2Co 6:16 ), and Paul calls them orkeo tov $\theta$ zov (Eph 2:19 ) "members of God's family." It is conduct as members of God's family (оккоৎ) that Paul has in mind.

Which ( $\eta \tau \iota \varsigma)$. "Which very house of God," agreeing (feminine) with the predicate word $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ (church).

The church of the living God ( $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \theta \varepsilon o v \zeta \omega v \tau \circ \varsigma)$. Probably here the general church or kingdom as in Colossians and Ephesians, though the local church in verse 5.

The pillar and ground of the truth ( $\sigma \tau \cup \lambda о \varsigma \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \delta \rho \alpha \iota \omega \mu \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \eta \eta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. Paul changes the metaphor again as he often does. Those words are in apposition to єкк $\lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ and oוкос. On $\sigma \tau \cup \lambda o \varsigma$, old word for pillar, see Ga 2:9; Re 3:12 (only other N.T. examples). Hz $\delta \rho a \iota \omega \mu \alpha$, late and rare word (from $\varepsilon \delta \rho \alpha \iota o \omega$, to make stable) occurs here first and only in ecclesiastical writers later. Probably it means stay or support rather than foundation or ground. See Co 1:23; 2 Ti 2:19 for similar idea. See also Mt 16:18f .

1Tim 3:16
Without controversy (оно入оүоv $\mu v \omega \varsigma$ ). Old adverb from the participle o $\mu о \lambda о \gamma о \cup \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ from оцолоүع $\omega$. Here only in N.T. "Confessedly."

Great ( $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha$ ). See Eph 5:32. "A great mystery."
The mystery of godliness ( $\tau 0 \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \cup \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma \mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ \sim)$. See verse 9 "the mystery of the faith," and 2:2 for $\varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon ı \alpha$. Here the phrase explains "a pillar and stay of the truth" (verse 15). See in particular Co 1:27. "The revealed secret of true religion, the mystery of Christianity, the Person of Christ" (Lock).

He who (oc). The correct text, not $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma$ (God) the reading of the Textus Receptus (Syrian text) nor o (neuter relative, agreeing with $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ o v)$ the reading of the Western documents. Westcott and Hort print this relative clause as a fragment of a Christian hymn (like Eph 5:14 ) in six strophes. That is probably correct. At any rate oc (who) is correct and there is asyndeton (no connective) in the verbs. Christ, to whom os refers, is the mystery (Col 1:27; 2:2).

Was manifested $(\varepsilon \varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \circ \omega$, to manifest. Here used to describe the incarnation ( $\varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa$ ) of Christ (an answer also to the Docetic Gnostics). The verb is used by Paul elsewhere of the incarnation (Ro 16:26; Col 1:26) as well as of the second coming $(\operatorname{Col} 3: 4)$.

Justified in the spirit ( $\varepsilon \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \theta \eta \varepsilon v \pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega$, to declare righteous, to vindicate. Christ was vindicated in his own spirit (Heb 9:14) before men by overcoming death and rising from the dead (Ro 1:3f.).

Seen of angels ( $\omega \varphi \theta \eta \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda$ otc). First aorist passive indicative of opa $\omega$, to see, with either the instrumental or the dative case of angels ( $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o \iota c$ ). The words were probably suggested by the appearance of Jesus ( $\omega \varphi \theta \eta$, the usual form for the resurrection appearances of Christ) of the angels at the tomb and at the ascension of Christ. See Php 2:10; 1Pe 3:22
for the appearance of Jesus to the angels in heaven at the ascension. Some would take "angels" here to be "messengers" (the women).

Preached among the nations ( $\varepsilon \kappa \eta \rho v \chi \theta \eta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \theta v \varepsilon \sigma เ v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\kappa \eta \rho v \sigma \sigma \omega$, to proclaim. The word $\varepsilon \theta v o \varsigma$ may mean "all creation" (Col 1:23) and not just Gentiles as distinct from Jews. Paul had done more of this heralding of Christ among the Gentiles than any one else. It was his glory (Eph 3:1,8). Cf. 2:7.

Believed on in the world $(\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \theta \eta \varepsilon v \kappa \circ \sigma \mu \omega)$. First aorist indicative passive again of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$, to believe (2Th 1:10). Cf. 1:15; 2Co 5:19.

Received up in glory ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \varphi \theta \eta \varepsilon v \delta o \xi \eta$ ). First aorist passive again (six verbs in the same voice and tense in succession, a rhythmic arrangement like a hymn). Cf. Ro 8:29f . This time the verb is $\alpha v \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, the verb used of the ascension (Ac 1:11,22, which see). In a wonderful way this stanza of a hymn presents the outline of the life of Christ.

## 1 Timothy 4

1Tim 4:1
Expressly ( $\rho \eta \tau \omega \varsigma$ ). Late adverb, here alone in N.T., from verbal adjective $\rho \eta \tau \circ \varsigma$ (from root $\rho \varepsilon \omega$ ). The reference is to the Holy Spirit, but whether to O.T. prophecy (Ac 1:16) or to some Christian utterance (2Th 2:2; 1Co 14:1ff. ) we do not know. Parry recalls the words of Jesus in Mt 24:10,24 .
 (Mt 4:2 ). Relative time from the prediction, now coming true (a present danger).

Some shall fall away ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha \tau \iota v \varepsilon)$. Future middle of $\alpha \varphi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, intransitive use, shall stand off from, to fall away, apostatize (2Co 12:8).

From the faith ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Ablative case (separation). Not creed, but faith in God through Christ.

Giving heed ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Supply tov vouv (the mind) as in 3:8.
Seducing spirits ( $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu a \sigma \iota v \pi \lambda \alpha v o \iota \varsigma)$. Old adjective ( $\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \eta$, wandering), here active sense (deceiving). As substantive in 2Co 6:8. Probably some heathen or the worst of the Gnostics.

Doctrines of devils ( $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma к а \lambda ı \alpha \iota \varsigma \delta a \iota \mu \circ \nu \iota \omega v$ ). "Teachings of $\delta a \not \mu о v \varsigma . "$ Definite explanation of the preceding. Cf. 1Co 10:20f.

1Tim 4:2
Through the hypocrisy of men that speak lies ( $\varepsilon v$ vтокрьбє $\psi \varepsilon v \delta o \lambda o \gamma \omega v$ ). For итокрьбıৎ, see Ga 2:13. Чعvסо入оүоৎ ( $\psi \varepsilon v \delta \eta \sigma, \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ) Koine word from Aristophanes on. Here only in N.T. "A good classical word for liars on a large scale" (Parry).

Branded in their own conscience as with a hot iron (кєкаขбтпрıaб $\mu \varepsilon v \omega \nu \tau \eta \nu \iota \delta \iota \nu$ $\sigma u v \varepsilon \delta \eta \eta \sigma v)$. Accusative case $\sigma v v \varepsilon i \delta \eta \sigma v v$ retained with the perfect passive participle of $\kappa \alpha v \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha \zeta \omega$, a rare verb only here and once in Strabo. Branded with the mark of Satan ( 2 Ti 2:26) as Paul was with the marks of Christ (Ga 6:17). Agreeing in case with $\psi \varepsilon v \delta o \lambda o \gamma \omega v$.

1Tim 4:3
Forbidding to marry ( $\kappa \omega \lambda \nu o v \tau \omega v \gamma \alpha \mu \varepsilon เ v$ ). Present active participle of common verb $\kappa \omega \lambda \nu \omega$, to hinder, genitive case agreeing with $\psi \varepsilon v \delta o \lambda o \gamma \omega v$. See Col 2:16,21f. , where Paul condemns the ascetic practices of the Gnostics. The Essenes, Therapeutae and other oriental sects forbade marriage. In 1Co 7 Paul does not condemn marriage.

To abstain from meats ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \beta \rho \omega \mu \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). Infinitive dependent, not on $\kappa \omega \lambda \nu 0 v \tau \omega v$, but on the positive idea $\kappa \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v o v \tau \omega v$ (implied, not expressed). Ablative case of $\beta \rho \omega \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ after $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ (present direct middle, to hold oneself away from). See 1Co 8-10; Ro 14; 15 for disputes about "meats offered to idols" and Co 1:22f. for the Gnostic asceticism.

Which God created ( $\alpha$ o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First active indicative of $\kappa \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ (Co 1:16 ). Cf. 1Co 10:25.

To be received ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \eta \mu \psi \iota v)$. "For reception." Old word, only here in N.T.
By them that believe and know (тоь $\pi \iota \sigma \tau о \iota \varsigma ~ к \alpha ~ \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \gamma v \omega \kappa о \sigma) . ~ D a t i v e ~ c a s e, ~ " f o r ~ t h e ~ b e-~$ lievers and those who (one article unites closely) have known fully" (perfect active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega)$, a Pauline use of the word ( $\operatorname{Col} 1: 6$ ).

1Tim 4:4
Creature ( $\kappa \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha)$. Late word from $\kappa \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, result of creating. See Ge 1:31; Mr 7:15; Ro 14:14 for the idea stated.

To be rejected ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \beta \lambda \eta \tau 0 v$ ). Old verbal adjective in passive sense from $\alpha \pi \circ \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to throw away, here only in N.T.

If it be received ( $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v o \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. "Being received." Present passive participle of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \omega \omega$, in conditional sense, "with thanksgiving."

1Tim 4:5
It is sanctified ( $\alpha \gamma 1 \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Present passive indicative of $\alpha \gamma \iota \alpha \zeta \omega$, here "rendered holy" rather than "declared holy." Cf. verse 4.
 $\varepsilon ข \tau \varepsilon \cup \xi ı c$. Paul seems to refer to Genesis 1 . It is almost a hendiadys "by the use of Scripture in prayer."

1Tim 4:6
If thou put the brethren in mind of these things ( $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha$ vпотı $\theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v \circ \varsigma \tau о \iota \varsigma ~ \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi о \iota \varsigma) . ~$ Present middle participle of vпотiӨ $\mu$, to place under, to suggest, old and common verb, here only in N.T., "suggesting these things to the brethren."

Thou shalt be a good minister of Christ Jesus ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda о \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \eta \delta \iota \kappa о v o \varsigma X \rho \iota \sigma \tau о v$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). This beautiful phrase covers one's whole service for Christ ( 3:1-7).

Nourished in ( $\varepsilon v \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Present passive participle of $\varepsilon \nu \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, old verb, to nourish in, used by Plato of "nourished in the laws," here only in the N.T.
 in Christ. The Bolshevists in Russia are feeding the children on atheism to get rid of God.

Which thou hast followed ( $\eta \pi \alpha \rho \eta \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \eta \kappa \alpha \varsigma)$. Perfect active indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho a \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to follow beside, of persons (often in old Greek) or of ideas and things ( $\mathrm{Lu} 1: 3 ; 1 \mathrm{Ti} 4: 6 ; 2 \mathrm{Ti} 3: 10$ ). With associative instrumental case $\eta$ (which).

1Tim 4:7
Refuse ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \tau 0 v$ ). Present middle imperative second person singular of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to ask of one and then to beg off from one as in Lu 14:18f.; Ac 25:11; 1Ti 4:7; 5:11; Tit 3:10; 2Ti 2:23.

Profane ( $\beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \lambda$ ouc). See 1:9.
Old wives' fables ( $\gamma \rho \alpha \omega \delta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \mu \nu \theta$ ov¢). On $\mu \nu \theta$ oৎ, see 1:4. Г $\rho \alpha \omega \delta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$, late word (Strabo, Galen) from $\gamma \rho \alpha u \varsigma$, old woman, and $\varepsilon ו \delta$ oc (look, appearance). Such as old women tell to children like the Gnostic aeons.

Exercise thyself ( $\gamma \nu \mu \nu \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Present active imperative of $\gamma \nu \mu v a \zeta \omega$, originally to exercise naked ( $\gamma \nu \mu \nu$ oc). Old and common verb, but in N.T. only here and Heb 5:14; 12:11.

1Tim 4:8
Bodily exercise ( $\eta \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \iota \eta \eta \gamma \nu \mu v a \sigma \iota \alpha$ ). Г $\nu \mu v a \sigma \iota \alpha($ from $\gamma \nu \mu v \alpha \zeta \omega)$, also a common old word, here only in N.T. So also $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \kappa \kappa \eta$ (from $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$, body) in N.T. only here and Lu 3:22

Profitable ( $\omega \varphi \varepsilon \lambda_{\wedge} \mu \circ \varsigma$ ). Another old word (from $\omega \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to help, to profit), in N.T. only here, Tit 3:8; $2 \mathrm{Ti} 3: 16$.

For a little ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ o $\lambda \iota \gamma \circ v$ ). "For little." Probably extent in contrast to $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha$ (for all things), though in Jas 4:14 it is time "for a little while."

Which now is ( $\tau \eta \varsigma v v v)$. "The now life."
Of that which is to come ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda$ ouø $\varsigma \varsigma$ ). "Of the coming (future) life."
1Tim 4:9
See 1:15 for these very words, but here the phrase points to the preceding words, not to the following as there.

1Tim 4:10
To this end ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma ~ \tau о и \tau о) . ~ T h e ~ g o d l i n e s s ~(\varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon ı \alpha) ~ o f ~ v e r s e ~ 8 . ~ S e e ~ 2 C o ~ 6: 10 ~ a s ~ P a u l ' s ~ o w n ~$ commentary.

We labour ( $\kappa о \pi เ \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$, Col 1:29)
and strive ( $\kappa \alpha ~ \alpha \gamma \omega v \iota \zeta о \mu \varepsilon$, Col 1:29). Both Pauline words.
Because we have set our hope (o $\tau \lambda \pi \kappa \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \omega$ (Ro 15:12 ).

Saviour of all men ( $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu$ ). See $1: 1$ for $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$ applied to God as here. Not that all men "are saved" in the full sense, but God gives life ( 6:13) to all (Ac 17:28 ).

Specially of them that believe ( $\mu \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \omega v$ ). Making a distinction in the kinds of salvation meant. "While God is potentially Saviour of all, He is actually Saviour of the tıбто" (White). So Jesus is termed "Saviour of the World" (Joh 4:42 ). Cf. Ga 6:10 .

1Tim 4:12
Despise ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varphi \rho \circ v \varepsilon \iota \tau \omega$ ). Imperative active third singular of ката $\varphi \rho \circ v \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to think down on, to despise (Ro 2:4).

Thy youth ( $\sigma 0 \cup \tau \eta \varsigma v \varepsilon o \tau \eta \tau \circ \varsigma$ ). Genitive case of old word (from veoc) as in Mr 10:20 .
Be thou ( $\gamma(v o v$ ). Present middle imperative of $\gamma$ vooua. "Keep on becoming thou."
An ensample ( $\tau \cup \pi \circ \varsigma)$. Old word from $\tau v \pi \tau \omega$, a type. Pauline use of the word ( $1 \mathrm{Th} 1: 7$; 2Th 3:9; Php 3:17; Tit 2:7).

To them that believe ( $\tau \omega \nu \pi / \sigma \tau \omega v$ ). Objective genitive.
In word ( $\varepsilon v \lambda o \gamma \omega$ ). In conversation as well as in public speech.

In manner of life ( $\varepsilon v$ avaбт $\rho \circ \varphi \eta$ ). "In bearing" (Ga 1:13; Eph 4:22).
In purity ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \gamma v \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ ). Old word from $\alpha \gamma v \varepsilon v \omega$ ( $\alpha \gamma v o \varsigma)$. Sinlessness of life. Used of a Nazirite ( Nu 6:2,21 ). Only here and 5:2 in N.T.

1Tim 4:13
Till I come ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ ). "While I am coming" (present indicative with $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ), not "till I come" ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega$ ).

Give heed ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon$ ). Present active imperative, supply tov vouv, "keep on putting thy mind on."

The reading ( $\tau \eta \alpha v \alpha \gamma v \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Old word from $\alpha v \alpha \gamma เ v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. See 2Co 3:14. Probably in particular the public reading of the Scriptures (Ac 13:15), though surely private reading is not to be excluded.

To exhortation ( $\tau \eta \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ ),
to teaching ( $\tau \eta \delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \iota \alpha$ ). Two other public functions of the minister. Probably Paul does not mean for the exhortation to precede the instruction, but the reverse in actual public work. Exhortation needs teaching to rest it upon, a hint for preachers today.

1Tim 4:14
Neglect not ( $\mu \eta \alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Present active imperative in prohibition of $\alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, rare in N.T. (Mt 22:5; 1Ti 4:14; Heb 2:3; 8:9). From $\alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda \eta \varsigma$ ( $\alpha$ privative and $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$, not to care). Use with genitive.

The gift that is in thee ( $\tau$ оv $\varepsilon v$ бo $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma)$. Late word of result from $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \zeta \rho \mu \alpha$, in papyri (Preisigke), a regular Pauline word in N.T. (1Co 1:7; 2Co 1:11; Ro 1:11 ; etc.). Here it is God's gift to Timothy as in 2Ti 1:6 .

By prophecy ( $\delta \iota \alpha \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon ⿺ \propto \varsigma$ ). Accompanied by prophecy ( $1: 18$ ), not bestowed by prophecy.

With the laying on of the hands of the presbytery ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \varepsilon \pi \mid \theta \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \tau \omega \nu \chi \varepsilon \rho \omega \nu$ тov $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho \iota o v)$. In Ac 13:2f., when Barnabas and Saul were formally set apart to the mission campaign (not then ordained as ministers, for they were already that), there was the call of the Spirit and the laying on of hands with prayer. Here again $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ does not express instrument or means, but merely accompaniment. In 2Ti 1:6 Paul speaks only of his own laying on of hands, but the rest of the presbytery no doubt did so at the same time and the reference is to this incident. There is no way to tell when and where it was done, whether at Lystra when Timothy joined Paul's party or at Ephesus just before Paul left Timothy there ( 1:3). Eлı $\theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma(\varphi \rho о \mu \varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \bullet \theta \eta \mu$, to lay upon) is an old word, in LXX, etc. In the N.T. we find it only here, $2 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 16$; Ac 8:18; Heb 6:2, but the verb $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$ with $\tau \alpha \varsigma \chi \varepsilon ı \rho a \varsigma ~ m o r e ~ f r e q u e n t l y ~(A c ~$ 6:6 of the deacons; $8: 19 ; 13: 3 ; 1 \mathrm{Ti} 5: 22$, etc.). Прєбßutع 10 v is a late word (ecclesiastical use also), first for the Jewish Sanhedrin (Lu 22:66; Ac 22:5 ), then (here only in N.T.) of Christian elders (common in Ignatius), though $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$ (elder) for preachers (bishops) is common (Ac 11:30; 15:2; 20:17, etc.).

1Tim 4:15
Be diligent in these things ( $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Old verb from $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tau \eta$ (care, practice), present active imperative, "keep on practising these things." In N.T. only here and Ac 4:25

Give thyself wholly to them ( $\varepsilon v$ тоv $\tau \circ \varsigma \iota \theta)$ ). Present imperative second person singular of $\varepsilon \mu$, "keep on in these things." Note five uses of $\varepsilon v$ in verse 12 and three datives in verse 14. Plutarch (Pomp. 656 B) says Caesar was $\varepsilon v$ tovtos ("in these things"). It is like our "up to his ears" in work ( $(\nu \mu \varepsilon \delta t a \varsigma \rho \varepsilon \varsigma)$ and sticking to his task.

Thy progress ( $\sigma 0 \cup \eta \pi \rho о \kappa о \pi \eta$ ). Koine word from $\pi \rho о \kappa о \pi \tau \omega$, to cut forward, to blaze the way, in N.T. only here and Php 1:12,25 . Paul's concern (purpose, $t v a$ and present subjunctive $\eta$ of $\varepsilon \mu$ ) is that Timothy's "progress" may be "manifest to all." It is inspiring to see a young preacher grow for then the church will grow with him.

1Tim 4:16
Take heed to thyself ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega)$. Present active imperative of old verb $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \chi \omega$, to hold upon (Php 2:1,16 ), but here tov vouv (the mind) must be supplied as in Ac 3:5 and as is common with $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \chi \omega$. With dative case $\sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega$. "Keep on paying attention to thyself." Some young preachers are careless about their health and habits. Some are too finical.

And to the teaching ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda เ \alpha)$. This is important also.
Continue in these things ( $\varepsilon \pi / \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha u \tau o \iota \varsigma)$. Present active imperative of $\varepsilon \pi \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \omega$, old and common verb to stay by the side of a person or thing. See Ro 6:1; Col 1:23. "Stay by them," "stick to them," "see them through." "Stick to the business of framing your own life and your teaching on right lines" (Parry).

Thou shalt save ( $\sigma \omega \sigma \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Future active of $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$, effective future, finally save. Cf. 1Co 9:27; Joh 10:9.

## 1 Timothy 5

1Tim 5:1
Rebuke not an elder ( $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega \mu \eta \varepsilon \pi \iota \pi \lambda \eta \xi \eta \iota)$. Dative case $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega$ used in the usual sense of an older man, not a minister (bishop as in 3:2) as is shown by "as a father." First aorist (ingressive) active subjunctive with negative $\mu \eta$ (prohibition against committing the act) of $\varepsilon \pi\llcorner\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma \omega$, to strike upon, old verb, but here only in N.T. and in figurative sense with words rather than with fists. Respect for age is what is here commanded, an item appropriate to the present time.

The younger men as brethren ( $v \varepsilon \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \cup \varsigma \omega \varsigma ~ a \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o u \varsigma)$. Comparative adjective $\nu \varepsilon \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho o \varsigma$ from $v \varepsilon \circ \varsigma$ (young). No article, "younger men." Wise words for the young minister to know how to conduct himself with old men (reverence) and young men (fellowship, but not stooping to folly with them).

1Tim 5:2
The elder women as mothers ( $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma \omega \varsigma \mu \eta \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma$ ). Anarthrous again, "older women as mothers." Respect and reverence once more.

The younger as sisters, in all purity ( $v \varepsilon \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma \omega \varsigma \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon v \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \alpha \gamma v i \alpha)$. Anarthrous also and comparative form as in verse 1 . See 4:12 for $\alpha \gamma v i \alpha$. No sort of behavior will so easily make or mar the young preacher as his conduct with young women.

1Tim 5:3
That are widows indeed ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma$ ov $\omega \omega \varsigma \chi \eta \rho \propto \varsigma$ ). For ov $\tau \omega \varsigma$ (actually, really), see Lu 23:47; 1Co 14:25; and verse 5. For widows ( $\chi \eta \rho \alpha$ ) see Mr 12:40,42; Ac 6:1; 1Co 7:8 . Parry notes that in verses 3-8 Paul discusses widows who are in distress and 9-16 those who are in the employment of the local church for certain work. Evidently, as in Acts 6:1-6, so here in Ephesus there had arisen some trouble over the widows in the church. Both for individual cases of need and as a class Timothy is to show proper respect ( $\tau \mu \alpha$, keep on honouring) the widows.

1Tim 5:4
Grandchildren ( $\varepsilon \kappa \gamma \circ v \alpha$ ). Old word from $\varepsilon \kappa \gamma เ v \circ \mu \alpha$, here only in N.T.
Let them learn ( $\mu \alpha v \theta \alpha v \varepsilon \tau \omega \sigma \alpha v$ ). The children and grandchildren of a widow. Present active imperative third person plural of $\mu \alpha v \theta a v \omega$. "Let them keep on learning."

First ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma v$ ). Adverb, first before anything else. No "corban" business here. No acts of "piety" toward God will make up for impiety towards parents.

To shew piety ( $\varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon เ v$ ). Present active infinitive with $\mu \alpha v \theta \alpha v \varepsilon \tau \omega \sigma \alpha v$ and old verb, in N.T. only here and Ac 17:23. From $\varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \beta \eta \varsigma(\varepsilon v, \sigma \varepsilon \beta o \mu \alpha)$, pious, dutiful.

Their own family ( $\tau 0 v$ เ $\delta$ เov oıкоv). "Their own household." Filial piety is primary unless parents interfere with duty to Christ (Lu 14:26).

To requite ( $\alpha \mu \circ \iota \beta \alpha \varsigma \alpha \pi \delta \delta \iota \delta \nu \alpha$ ). Present active infinitive of $\alpha \pi o \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, to give back,
 like for like) is old and common word, but here only in N.T.
 $\pi \rho о ү \stackrel{\nu}{\circ} \mu \alpha$, to come before), "ancestor." In N.T. only here and 2Ti 1:3 . See 2:3 for "acceptable" ( $\alpha \pi о \delta \varepsilon к \tau о v)$.

1Tim 5:5
Desolate ( $\mu \varepsilon \mu \circ v \omega \mu \varepsilon v \eta$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\mu \circ$ vo $($ from $\mu \circ v o \varsigma)$ ), "left alone," old verb, here alone in N.T. Without husband, children, or other close kin.

Hath her hope set on God ( $\eta \lambda \pi \kappa \kappa \varepsilon v \varepsilon \pi \theta \varepsilon o v)$. Perfect active indicative of $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \omega$, "hath placed her hope (and keeps it) on God." Text doubtful whether God ( $\theta$ gov) or Lord (Kvpıov).

Continues ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon)$. See on 1:3. With dative case here.
Night and day (vuктоৎ ка $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. "By night and by day" (genitive, not accusative). Paul does not say that she should pray "all night and day."

1Tim 5:6
She that giveth herself to pleasure ( $\eta \sigma \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha$ ). Present active participle of $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \omega$, late verb (Polybius) from $\sigma \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \eta$ (riotous, luxurious living). In N.T. only here and Jas 5:5.

1Tim 5:7
That they may be without reproach (ıv $\alpha v \varepsilon \pi i \lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau o \omega \sigma ı v$ ). See 3:2 for $\alpha v \varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau o \varsigma$. Final clause with iva and present subjunctive.

1Tim 5:8
Provideth not for his own ( $\tau \omega v \iota \delta \omega \omega v$ ov $\pi \rho \circ \vee o \varepsilon$ ). Condition of first class with $\varepsilon$ and present active (or middle $\pi \rho \circ$ vosit $\alpha$ ) indicative of $\pi \rho \circ$ vo $\varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to think beforehand. Pauline word in N.T. only here, 2Co 8:21; Ro 12:7. With genitive case.

He hath denied the faith ( $\tau \eta v \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota v \eta \rho v \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect middle indicative of old verb $\alpha \rho v \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$. His act of impiety belies (Tit 1:16) his claim to the faith (Re 2:13).

Worse than an unbeliever ( $\alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau o v ~ \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega v$ ). Ablative case of $\alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau o v$ after the comparative $\chi \varepsilon \rho \omega \nu$. Who makes no profession of piety.

1Tim 5:9
Let none be enrolled as a widow ( $\chi \eta \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega$ ). Present passive imperative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, old verb, to set down in an official list, only here in N.T. "Let a widow be enrolled," the negative coming later, "having become of no less than sixty years" ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \tau \tau 0 \nu \varepsilon \tau \omega \nu$ $\varepsilon \xi \eta \kappa o v \tau \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \gamma o v v i \alpha)$. Second perfect active participle of $\gamma \iota v o \mu \alpha$. For the case of $\varepsilon \tau \omega v$, see Lu 2:42. This list of genuine widows (verses 3,5 ) apparently had some kind of church work to do (care for the sick, the orphans, etc.).

The wife of one man ( $\varepsilon v o \varsigma \alpha v \delta \rho o \varsigma \gamma u v \eta$ ). Widows on this list must not be married a second time. This interpretation is not so clear for 3:2,12; Tit 1:6 .

1Tim 5:10
If she hath brought up children ( $\varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \kappa v \circ \tau \rho \circ \varphi \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Condition of first class. Late and rare word (Aristotle, Epictetus), first aorist active indicative of $\tau \varepsilon \kappa v \circ \tau \rho \circ \varphi \varepsilon \omega$ ( $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \vee о \tau \rho \circ \varphi о \varsigma$, from $\tau \varepsilon \kappa v o v, \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega)$, here only in N.T. Qualification for her work as leader.

If she hath used hospitality to strangers ( $\varepsilon \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon v o \delta o \chi \eta \sigma \varepsilon v)$. First aorist again and same condition. Late form (Dio Cassius) of old verb $\xi \varepsilon v o \delta$ окє $\omega$ (Herodotus), to welcome strangers ( $\xi \varepsilon$ vous $\delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ ). Only here in N.T. Hospitality another qualification for such leadership ( 3:2).

If she hath washed the saints' feet ( $\varepsilon \alpha \gamma \omega \omega \nu \pi o \delta a \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \imath \psi \varepsilon v)$. Same condition and tense of $v i \pi \tau \omega$ (old form $v \iota \zeta \omega)$, common in N.T. (Joh 13:5 ). Proof of her hospitality, not of its being a church ordinance.

If she hath relieved the afflicted ( $\varepsilon \theta \lambda ı \beta$ оцєvoı $\varepsilon \pi \eta \rho \kappa \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v)$. Same condition and tense of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \kappa \varepsilon \omega$, to give sufficient aid, old word, in N.T. only here and verse 16. Experience that qualified her for eleemosynary work.

If she hath diligently followed ( $\varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \eta \kappa о \lambda o u \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon v)$. Same condition and tense of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \kappa о \lambda o u \theta \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to follow close upon ( $\varepsilon \pi$ ). So here, verse $24 ; 1 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 21$. In a word such a widow must show her qualifications for leadership as with bishops and deacons.

1Tim 5:11
But younger widows refuse (v $v \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \chi \eta \rho \alpha \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \tau o v)$. Present middle imperative as in $4: 7$. "Beg off from." They lack experience as above and they have other ambitions.
 active subjunctive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \eta \nu I \alpha \omega$, late compound (only here and Ignatius), to feel the impulse of sexual desire, but simplex $\sigma \tau \rho \eta \nu \iota \omega \omega$ (Re 18:7,9). Souter renders it here "exercise youthful vigour against Christ" ( $\tau 0 \mathrm{X}$ X $\rho \sigma \tau \tau 0$, genitive case after ката in composition).

1Tim 5:12
Condemnation ( $\kappa \rho \mu \alpha)$. See 3:6.
They have rejected ( $\eta \theta \varepsilon \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive of $\alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega$, late verb (first in LXX and Polybius), to reject, set aside (from $\alpha \theta \varepsilon$ тo¢). See 1 Th 4:8; Ga 2:21 .

Their first faith ( $\tau \eta v \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta \nu \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). "Their first pledge" (promise, contract) to Christ. It is like breaking the marriage contract. Evidently one of the pledges on joining the order of widows was not to marry. Parry suggests a kind of ordination as with deacons and bishops (technical use of крıца and $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma)$.

1Tim 5:13
And withal ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ). See Phm 1:22 for this very phrase, "and at the same time also." Such young enrolled widows have other perils also.

They learn to be idle ( $\alpha \rho \gamma \alpha \mu \alpha v \theta \alpha v o v \sigma \tau v$ ). There is no $\varepsilon เ v \alpha$ (to be) in the Greek. This very idiom without $\varepsilon v^{2} \alpha$ after $\mu \alpha v \theta \alpha v \omega$ occurs in Plato and Dio Chrysostom, though unusual. A $\rho \gamma \alpha$ (idle) is old adjective ( $\alpha$ privative and $\varepsilon \rho \gamma o v$, without work). See Mt 20:3; Tit 1:12 .

Going about ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v \alpha)$. Present middle participle of $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, old compound verb. See Ac 19:13 of strollers.

From house to house (таৎ оккıळৎ). Literally "the houses, " "wandering around the houses." Vivid picture of idle tattlers and gossipers.

But tattlers also ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha \varphi \lambda v \alpha \rho o$ ). Old word from $\varphi \lambda \nu \omega$ (to boil up, to throw up bubbles, like blowing soap bubbles). Only here in N.T. $\Phi \lambda \cup a \rho \varepsilon \omega$ in 3Jo 1:10 only in N.T.

And busybodies ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \rho \gamma$ ). Old word (from $\pi \varepsilon \rho \mathrm{l}, \varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v$ ), busy about trifles to the neglect of important matters. In N.T. only here and Ac 19:19. See 2Th 3:11 for $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta \rho \mu \alpha$.

Things which they ought not ( $\tau \alpha \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon o v \tau \alpha$ ). "The not necessary things," and, as a result, often harmful. See Tit 1:11 $\alpha \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon$ (which things are not necessary).

1Tim 5:14
I desire ( $\beta$ ou $\lambda_{\text {o }} \boldsymbol{\mu}$ ). See 2:8.
The younger widows ( $v \varepsilon \omega \tau \varepsilon p a \varsigma$ ). No article and no word for widows, though that is clearly the idea. N $\varepsilon \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma$ is accusative of general reference with $\gamma \alpha \mu \varepsilon เ \nu$ (to marry) the object (present infinitive active) of $\beta$ ou ${ }^{\prime}$ о $\mu$.

Bear children ( $\tau \varepsilon \kappa v o \gamma o v \varepsilon เ v)$ ) A compound verb here only in N.T. and nowhere else save in Anthol. See $\tau \varepsilon \kappa v o \gamma o v i \alpha$ in 2:15.

Rule the household (окобєблотєıv). Late verb from оккобєблотпऽ (Mr 14:14), twice in the papyri, only here in N.T. Note that the wife is here put as ruler of the household, proper recognition of her influence, "new and improved position" (Liddon).

Occasion ( $\alpha \varphi \rho \rho \mu \eta \nu$ ). Old word ( $\alpha \pi \sigma, o \rho \mu \eta$ ), a base to rush from, Pauline use in 2Co 5:12; 11:12; Ga 5:13.

To the adversary ( $\tau \omega \alpha \nu \tau \iota \kappa \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v \omega$ ). Dative case of the articular participle of $\alpha v \tau \iota \kappa \varepsilon \mu \alpha$, a Pauline idiom (Php 1:28).

Reviling ( $\lambda$ ot $\delta$ opıa¢). Old word (from $\lambda o \iota \delta o \rho \varepsilon \omega$ ), in N.T. only here and 1 Pe 3:9. Genitive case with $\chi$ apıv.

1Tim 5:15
Are turned aside ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \pi \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. Second aorist (effective) passive indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega$. See 1:6.

After Satan (o $\pi\llcorner\sigma \omega$ tov $\Sigma a \tau \alpha v \alpha$ ). "Behind Satan." Late use of o $\pi \iota \sigma \omega$ (behind) as a preposition. Used by Jesus of disciples coming behind (after) him (Mt 16:24).

1Tim 5:16
That believeth ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta$ ). "Believing woman."
Hath widows ( $\varepsilon \not \subset \varepsilon \chi \eta \rho a \varsigma$ ). The "any believing woman" is one of the household-rulers of verse 14. The "widows" here are the widows dependent on her and who are considered as candidates to be enrolled in the list.

Let her relieve them ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \kappa \varepsilon \iota \tau \omega$ autaıc). For this verb (imperative present active) see verse 10 .

Let not be burdened ( $\mu \eta \beta \alpha \rho \varepsilon เ \sigma \theta \omega$ ). Present passive imperative (in prohibition $\mu \eta$ ) of $\beta \alpha \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb ( $\beta \alpha \rho \circ \varsigma$, burden), Pauline word (2Co 1:8).

That are widows indeed ( $\tau \alpha \iota \varsigma ~ o v \tau \omega \varsigma \chi \eta \rho \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. Dative case with $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \kappa \varepsilon \sigma \eta$ (first aorist active subjunctive with $\iota v a$, final clause). See verse 3 for this use of ov $\tau \omega \varsigma$ with $\chi \eta \rho a ı$ "the qualified and enrolled widows." Cf. verse 9 .

1Tim 5:17
The elders that rule well (o $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma \pi \rho о \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho o$ ). See verse 1 for ordinary sense of $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \varepsilon \rho$ с for "older man." But here of position in same sense as $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa о \pi о \varsigma ~(~$ 3:2) as in Tit 1:5 = $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma к о \pi о \varsigma ~ i n ~ v e r s e ~ 7 . ~ C f . ~ L u k e ' s ~ u s e ~ o f ~ \pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma ß \nu \tau \varepsilon \rho о \varsigma ~(A c ~ 20: 17) ~=~ P a u l ' s ~$ $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma к о \pi о и \varsigma ~(A c ~ 20: 28) . ~ П \rho о \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ i s ~ s e c o n d ~ p e r f e c t ~ a c t i v e ~ p a r t i c i p l e ~ o f ~ f \rho o เ \sigma \tau \eta \mu ~(i n t r a n s-~$ itive use) for which see 3:4.

Let be counted worthy ( $\alpha \xi_{\imath}$ worthy ( 2 Th 1:11). With genitive case here.

Of double honour ( $\delta \Delta \pi \lambda \eta \varsigma \tau \iota \mu \eta \varsigma)$. Old and common contract adjective ( $\delta i \pi \lambda o o \varsigma$, twofold, in opposition to $\alpha \pi \lambda o o \varsigma$, single fold). But why "of double honour"? See $6: 1$ for "of all honour." White suggests "remuneration" rather than "honour" for $\tau \mu \eta \varsigma$ (a common use for price or pay). Liddon proposes "honorarium" (both honour and pay and so "double"). Wetstein gives numerous examples of soldiers receiving double pay for unusual services. Some suggest twice the pay given the enrolled widows.

Especially those who labour in word and teaching ( $\mu \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ о колı $\omega \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \lambda о \gamma \omega \kappa \alpha$ $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \iota \alpha)$. Either those who work hard or toil (usual meaning of котıа $\omega$, 2Ti 2:6) in preaching and teaching (most probable meaning. See verse 18) or those who teach and preach and not merely preside (a doubtful distinction in "elders" at this time). See Tit 1:8f . See both колı $\omega \omega$ and $\pi \rho o \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \alpha$ used for same men (elders) in 1Th 5:12 and the use of котıа $\omega$ in 1Co 15:10; 16:16.

1Tim 5:18
Thou shalt not muzzle ( $o v \varphi \mu \omega \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Prohibition by ov and future (volitive) indicative of $\varphi \not \mu \circ \omega$ (from $\varphi \mu \circ \varsigma$, muzzle), old word, quoted also in 1Co 9:9 as here from De 25:4, and for the same purpose, to show the preacher's right to pay for his work. See 1Co 9:9 for $\alpha \lambda o \omega v \tau \alpha$ ( when he treadeth out the corn ).
 occur in precisely this form in Lu 10:7. It appears also in Mt 10:10 with $\tau \eta \varsigma \tau \rho \circ \varphi \eta \varsigma$ (food) instead of tov $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \mathrm{ov}$. In 1Co 9:14 Paul has the sense of it and says: "so also the Lord ordained," clearly meaning that Jesus had so said. It only remains to tell whether Paul here is quoting an unwritten saying of Jesus as he did in Ac 20:35 or even the Gospel of Luke or Q (the Logia of Jesus). There is no way to decide this question. If Luke wrote his Gospel before A.D. 62 as is quite possible and Acts by A.D. 63, he could refer to the Gospel. It is not clear
whether Scripture is here meant to apply to this quotation from the Lord Jesus. For $\varepsilon \rho \gamma a \tau \eta s$ (labourer) see Php 3:2.

1Tim 5:19
Against an elder ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \varepsilon \rho о v)$. In the official sense of verses 17 f .
Receive not ( $\mu \eta \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \varepsilon \chi o v$ ). Present middle imperative with $\mu \eta$ (prohibition) of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \varepsilon \chi о \mu \alpha$, to receive, to entertain. Old verb. See Ac 22:18 .

Accusation (катๆүорıav). Old word (from ка兀пүороৎ). In N.T. only here, Tit 1:6; Joh 18:29 in critical text.

Except ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau о \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \eta$ ). For this double construction see 1Co 14:5; 15:2 .
At the mouth of $(\varepsilon \pi)$. Idiomatic use of $\varepsilon \pi$ (upon the basis of) as in 2Co 13:1.
1Tim 5:20
Them that $\sin$ ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma ~ a \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v o v \tau \alpha \varsigma) . ~ T h e ~ e l d e r s ~ w h o ~ c o n t i n u e ~ t o ~ s i n ~(p r e s e n t ~ a c t i v e ~$ participle).

In the sight of all ( $\varepsilon v \omega \pi \iota \circ \tau \pi \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). "In the eye of ( $o \varepsilon v o \pi \omega v$, the one who is in the eye of, then combined $=\varepsilon v \omega \pi \iota o v$ ) all" the elders (or even of the church). See next verse 21 and Ga 1:20. Public rebuke when a clear case, not promiscuous gossip.

May be in fear ( $\varphi o \beta o v \varepsilon \chi \omega \sigma v v$ ). Present active subjunctive with $1 v \alpha$ (final clause), "may keep on having fear" (of exposure). Possibly, "the rest of the elders."

1Tim 5:21
The elect angels ( $\tau \omega v \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \omega v$ ). For this triad of God, Christ, angels, see Lu 9:26. "Elect" in the sense of the "holy" angels who kept their own principality (Jude 1:6) and who did not $\sin$ (2Pe 2:4). Paul shows his interest in angels in 1Co 4:9; 11:10 .

Observe ( $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \xi \eta \downharpoonright)$. First aorist active subjunctive of $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, to guard, to keep (Ro 2:26). Subfinal use of iva.
 beforehand), three times in the papyri, here only in N.T. "Without prejudgment."

By partiality ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \lambda เ \sigma \iota v$ ). Late word from $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \lambda \iota v \omega$, to incline towards one (Ac 5:36), only here in N.T.

1Tim 5:22
Lay hands hastily ( $\chi \varepsilon ı \rho \alpha \varsigma \tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \iota \theta \varepsilon$ ). Present active imperative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau 1 \theta \eta \mu$ in the sense of approval (ordination) as in Ac $6: 6 ; 13: 3$. But it is not clear whether it is the case of ministers just ordained as in 4:14 ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma)$, or of warning against hasty ordination of untried men, or the recognition and restoration of deposed ministers (verse 20) as suits the context. The prohibition suits either situation, or both.

Be partakers of other men's sins (коเv $\omega v \varepsilon \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \iota \varsigma ~ \alpha \lambda \lambda о \tau \rho \iota \alpha \iota)$ ). Present active imperative of $\kappa o \iota v \omega v \varepsilon \omega$ (from $\kappa$ oıv $\omega v o \varsigma$, partner) with $\mu \eta$ in prohibition with associative instrumental case as in 2Jo 1:11; Ro 12:13. On $\alpha \lambda$ 入o o poo (belonging to another) see Ro 14:4

Keep thyself pure ( $\sigma \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau o v ~ a \gamma v o v \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon$ ). "Keep on keeping thyself pure." Present active imperative of $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$.

1Tim 5:23
Be no longer a drinker of water ( $\mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau \sim \delta \rho о \pi о \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present active imperative (prohibition) of $v \delta \rho о \pi о \tau \varepsilon \omega$, old verb (from $\nu \delta \rho о \pi о \tau \eta \varsigma$, water drinker, $\nu \delta \omega \rho, \pi \imath v \omega$ ), here only in N.T. Not complete asceticism, but only the need of some wine urged in Timothy's peculiar physical condition (a sort of medical prescription for this case).

But use a little wine ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha \downarrow \nu \omega$ o $\lambda \iota \gamma \omega \chi \rho \omega$ ). Present middle imperative of $\chi \rho \alpha \circ \mu \alpha$ with instrumental case. The emphasis is on o $\lambda \iota \gamma \omega$ (a little).

For thy stomach's sake ( $\delta i \alpha$ tov $\sigma \tau o \mu \alpha \chi \circ v$ ). Old word from $\sigma \tau o \mu \alpha$ (mouth). In Homer throat, opening of the stomach (Aristotle), stomach in Plutarch. Here only in N.T. Our word "stomach."

Thine often infirmities ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \pi \cup \kappa v a \varsigma ~ \sigma о v ~ \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon เ a \varsigma) . ~ П \cup к v о \varsigma ~ i s ~ o l d ~ w o r d, ~ d e n s e, ~ f r e-~$ quent. In N.T. only here, Lu 5:33; Ac 24:26. AбӨعvelac = weaknesses, lack of strength (Ro 8:26 ). Timothy was clearly a semi-invalid.

1Tim 5:24
Evident ( $\pi \rho \circ \delta \eta \lambda o$ ). "Openly plain," "plain before all." Old word, in N.T. only here and Heb 7:24.

Going before unto judgment ( $\pi \rho \circ \alpha \gamma о v \sigma \alpha$ عıৎ крıбıv). See 1:18 for $\pi \rho \circ \alpha \gamma \omega$. The sins are so plain that they receive instant condemnation.

And some men also they follow after ( $\tau \iota \sigma \tau \nu \delta \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \pi \alpha \kappa о \lambda o u \theta$ ovoıv). Associative instrumental case $\tau \iota \sigma \iota v$ with $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \kappa 0 \lambda$ ou $\theta$ ovoıv for which verb see verse 10, "dog their steps" (Parry) like 1Pe 2:21, not clearly manifest at first, but come out plainly at last. How true that is of secret sins.

1Tim 5:25
Such as are otherwise ( $\tau \alpha \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \chi o v \tau \alpha$ ). "Those (deeds, $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha)$ which have it otherwise." That is good deeds not clearly manifest.

Cannot be hid ( $\kappa \rho \cup \beta \eta \nu \alpha$ ov $\delta \nu v \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Second aorist passive infinitive of $\kappa \rho \cup \pi \tau \omega$. There is comfort here for modest preachers and other believers whose good deeds are not known and not blazoned forth. They will come out in the end. See Mt 5:14-16.

## 1 Timothy 6

1Tim 6:1
 masters (1Pe 2:18). For the slave problem, see also Phm 1; Col 3:22; Eph 6:5; Tit 2:9 . See Mt 11:29 for Christ's "yoke" (弓uүov, from Цعuүvv , to join).

 wider outlook. Old word only here, Tit 2:9; $2 \mathrm{Ti} 2: 21 ; 1 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 18$ for human masters. Applied to God in $\operatorname{Lu} 2: 29$; Ac 4:24,29 and to Christ in 2Pe 2:1 .

The name of God ( $\tau$ o ovoua tov $\theta$ rov). See Ro 2:24 . If the heathen could say that Christian slaves were not as dependable as non-Christian slaves. Negative purpose with iva $\mu \eta$ and present passive subjunctive ( $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \eta \tau \alpha$ ).

1Tim 6:2
Let not despise them ( $\mu \eta$ ката $\varphi \rho$ oveıt $\omega \sigma \alpha v$ ). Negative imperative active third plural of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varphi \rho o v \varepsilon \omega$, to think down on. See $4: 12$. He must not presume on the equality of Christian brotherhood not allowed by the state's laws. Some of these Christian slaves might be pastors of churches to which the master belonged. For the difficulty of the Christian master's position, see 1Co 7:22; Phm 1:16.

But rather ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$ ). Render the Christian Master better service.
They that partake of the benefit ( $о \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \sigma i \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau i \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v o \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). For $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \sigma \iota \alpha \varsigma$ (genitive case after participle) see Ac 4:9, only other N.T. example of this old word. Present middle participle of $\alpha v \tau i \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, old verb, to take in turn, to lay fast hold of, in N.T. only here, Lu 1:54; Ac 20:35.

1Tim 6:3
Teacheth a different doctrine ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \delta i \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ ). See 1:3 for this verb, present active indicative here in condition of first class.

Consenteth not ( $\mu \eta \pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Also condition of first class with $\mu \eta$ instead of ov. Пробєрхона (old verb, to come to, to approach, with dative) is common enough in N.T. (Heb 4:16; 7:25 , etc.), but in the metaphorical sense of coming to one's ideas, assenting to, here only in N.T., but is so used in Philo and Irenaeus (Ellicott).

Sound words (vүıııouøıv $\lambda$ ojoıs). See 1:10 for vүıaıv.
The words of our Lord Jesus Christ ( $\tau \circ \iota \varsigma$ tov кvpıov $\eta \mu \omega v$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ X $\rho ı \sigma \tau o v$ ). Either subjective genitive (the words from the Lord Jesus, a collection of his sayings in Lock's opinion like 5:18; Ac 20:35, at least in the Spirit of Jesus as Ac 16:7; 1Co 11:23) or objective genitive about Jesus like 2Ti 1:8; 1Co 1:18 .

According to godliness ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \iota \alpha v)$. Promoting (designed for) godliness as in Tit 1:1.

1Tim 6:4
He is puffed up $(\tau \varepsilon \tau v \varphi \omega \tau \alpha)$. Perfect passive indicative of $\tau v \varphi \rho \omega$, for which see 3:6.
Knowing nothing ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma)$. Present middle participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \alpha$. Ignorance is a frequent companion of conceit.

Doting ( $\nu \circ \sigma \omega v$ ). Present active participle of $\nu 0 \sigma \varepsilon \omega$, to be sick, to be morbid over, old word, only here in N.T.

Disputes of words ( $\lambda о \gamma о \mu \alpha \chi \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. Our "logomachy." From $\lambda о \gamma о \mu \alpha \chi \varepsilon \omega(2 T i 2: 14$ ), and that from $\lambda о \gamma o \varsigma$ and $\mu \alpha \chi o \mu \alpha$, to fight over words, late and rare word, here only in N.T. See Plato (Tim. 1085 F ) for "wars in words" ( $\mu \alpha \chi \propto \varsigma \varepsilon \vee \lambda$ оүoıৎ).

Whereof $(\varepsilon \xi \omega v)$. "From which things."
Surmisings (v $\pi \frac{1}{}$ only here in N.T. All these words are akin (envy, $\varphi \theta$ ovo $\varsigma$, strife, $\varepsilon \rho ı \varsigma$, railings or slanders, $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \iota \alpha)$, all products of an ignorant and conceited mind.

1Tim 6:5
Wranglings ( $\delta \iota \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \rho ı \alpha)$. Late and rare (Clem. of Alex.) double compound ( $\delta \iota \alpha$, mutual or thorough, $\pi \alpha \rho a \tau \rho \_\beta$, irritations or rubbings alongside). "Mutual irritations" (Field).

Corrupted in mind ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \varphi \theta \alpha \rho \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu \tau o v \nu o v v)$. Perfect passive participle of $\delta \iota \alpha \varphi \theta \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$, to corrupt, genitive case agreeing with $\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu$ (of men) and retaining the accusative $\tau$ vouv.

Bereft of the truth ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle of $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb (1Co 6:8) with the ablative case after it ( $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma)$.

A way of gain $(\pi o \rho \iota \sigma \mu \circ v)$. Late word from $\pi o \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, to provide, to gain. Only here in N.T. "Rich Christians." Predicate accusative with $\varepsilon เ v a$ (indirect assertion) in apposition with $\varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu$, the accusative of general reference.

1Tim 6:6
With contentment ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \alpha v \tau \alpha \rho \kappa \varepsilon เ \alpha \varsigma)$. Old word from $\alpha \cup \tau \alpha \rho \kappa \eta \varsigma$ ( $\alpha \cup \tau о \sigma, \alpha \rho \kappa \varepsilon \omega$ ) as in Php 4:11. In N.T. only here and 2Co 9:8. This attitude of mind is Paul's conception of "great gain."

1Tim 6:7
Brought into ( $\varepsilon เ \sigma \eta \nu \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$, second aorist active stem with first aorist ending, common in the Koine),
carry out ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \varepsilon เ v$, second aorist active infinitive). Note play on the prepositions $\varepsilon \iota \sigma-$ and $\varepsilon \xi$-.

1Tim 6:8
Food ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \rho о \varphi \alpha \varsigma)$. Plural, supports or nourishments (from $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, to support). Old word, here only in N.T.

Covering ( $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon \pi \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha)$. Plural, "coverings." Late word from $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon \pi \alpha \zeta \omega$, to cover. Here only in N.T.

We shall be content ( $\alpha \rho \kappa \varepsilon \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \sigma \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). First future passive of $\alpha \rho \kappa \varepsilon \omega$, to be content. Old word. See 2Co 12:9. This is the autаркєı of verse 6 .

There with ( $\tau$ оитot¢). Associative instrumental case, "with these."
1Tim 6:9
Desire to be rich ( $\beta o v \lambda o \mu \varepsilon v o ~ \pi \lambda o v \tau \varepsilon เ v)$. The will ( $\beta o v \lambda o \mu \alpha)$ to be rich at any cost and in haste (Pr 28:20). Some MSS. have "trust in riches" in Mr 10:24 . Possibly Paul still has teachers and preachers in mind.

Fall into ( $\varepsilon \mu \pi \iota \pi \tau 0 v \sigma \iota v \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. See on 3:6 for $\varepsilon v--\varepsilon ı \varsigma$ and 3:7 for $\pi \alpha \gamma ı \delta \alpha$ (snare).
Foolish (avoŋtovc). See Ga 3:1,3.
Hurtful ( $\beta \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. Old adjective from $\beta \lambda \alpha \pi \tau \omega$, to injure, here alone in N.T.
Drown ( $\beta \cup \theta_{\iota}$ ¢ouøvv). Late word (literary Koine) from $\beta v \theta$ oc (bottom), to drag to the bottom. In N.T. only here and Lu 5:7 (of the boat). Drown in the lusts with the issue "in destruction and perdition" ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma 0 \lambda \varepsilon \theta \rho \circ \nu ~ \kappa \alpha ~ \alpha \pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon ı \alpha v)$. Not annihilation, but eternal punishment. The combination only here, but for o $\lambda \varepsilon \theta \rho o \varsigma$, see 1 Th $5: 3$; 2Th 1:9; 1Co $5: 5$ and for $\alpha \pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon ı \alpha$, see 2Th 2:3; Php 3:19.

1Tim 6:10
The love of money ( $\eta \varphi i \lambda \alpha \rho \gamma v \rho ı)$. Vulgate, avaritia. Common word (from $\varphi\rangle \lambda \alpha \rho \gamma v \rho o \varsigma$, $2 \mathrm{Ti} 3: 12$, and that from $\varphi \lambda \lambda o \sigma, \alpha \rho \gamma \cup \rho o s)$, only here in N.T. Refers to verse 9 ( $\beta o u \lambda o \mu \varepsilon v o$ $\pi \lambda$ outeıv).

A root of all kinds of evil ( $\rho \iota \zeta \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega v \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \omega v)$. A root ( $\rho(\zeta \alpha)$. Old word, common in literal (Mt 3:10) and metaphorical sense (Ro 11:11-18). Field (Ot. Norv.) argues for "the root" as the idea of this predicate without saying that it is the only root. Undoubtedly a
 $\mu \eta \tau \rho о \pi о \lambda \iota \nu \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega v \tau \omega v \kappa \alpha \kappa \omega v$ ), where "metropolis" takes the place of "root." Surely men today need no proof of the fact that men and women will commit any sin or crime for money.

Reaching after ( $о \rho \varepsilon \gamma о \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present middle participle of $о \rho \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ (see 3:1) with genitive $\eta \varsigma$ (which).

Have been led astray ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \alpha v \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\alpha \pi o \pi \lambda \alpha v \alpha \omega$, old compound verb, in N.T. only here and Mr 13:22 .

Have pierced themselves through ( $\varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau \circ \cup \varsigma ~ \pi \varepsilon \rho ı \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon เ \rho a v)$ ). First aorist active (with reflexive pronoun) of late compound $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, only here in N.T. Perfective use of $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ (around, completely to pierce).
 grief). In N.T. only here and Ro 9:2 .

1Tim 6:11

O man of God ( $\omega$ av $\theta \rho \omega \pi \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). In N.T. only here and 2Ti 3:17, there general and here personal appeal to Timothy. Cf. De 33:1; 1Sa 2:27.

Flee ( $\varphi \varepsilon \cup \gamma \varepsilon$ ),
follow after ( $\delta \omega \kappa \kappa$ ). Vivid verbs in present active imperative. The preacher can not afford to parley with such temptations.

Meekness ( $\pi \rho \alpha \cup \pi \alpha \theta \iota \alpha v$ ). Late compound from $\pi \rho \alpha \cup \pi \alpha \theta \eta \varsigma$, in Philo about Abraham, here only in N.T.

1Tim 6:12
Fight the good fight ( $\alpha \gamma \omega v \zeta_{00}$ रov $\kappa \alpha \lambda \circ v \alpha \gamma \omega v \alpha$ ). Cognate accusative with present middle imperative of $\alpha \gamma \omega v \iota \zeta \omega$, Pauline word (1Co 9:25; Col 1:29).

Lay hold on ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \alpha \beta o v)$. Second (ingressive) aorist middle imperative of $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, "get a grip on." See same verb with genitive also in verse 19.

Thou wast called ( $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \eta \eta \varsigma$ ). First aorist passive of $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ as in 1Co 1:9; Col 3:15.
 (first aorist active indicative of opo $\lambda о \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, the public confession in baptism which many witnessed. See it also in verse 13 of Jesus.

1Tim 6:13
Who quickeneth all things ( $\tau 0 v \zeta \omega 0 \gamma 0 v o u v \tau o \varsigma \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Present active participle of $\zeta \omega \circ \gamma 0 v \varepsilon \omega(\zeta \omega \circ \gamma \circ v o \varsigma$, from $\zeta \omega 0 \sigma, \gamma \varepsilon v \omega)$, late word to give life, to bring forth alive, in N.T. only here and Ac 7:19. See 1Sa 2:6.

Before Pontius Pilate ( $\varepsilon \pi$ Поvтıо Пєı入атоv). Not "in the time of," but "in the presence of."

Witnessed ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \eta \sigma \alpha v \tau о \varsigma)$. Note $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \varepsilon \omega$, not о $\mu о \lambda о \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ as in verse 12. Christ gave his evidence as a witness to the Kingdom of God. Evidently Paul knew some of the facts that appear in Joh 18.

1Tim 6:14
That thou keep ( $\tau \eta \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active infinitive of $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$, with accusative of general reference ( $\sigma \varepsilon$ ) in indirect command after $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$.

Without spot ( $\alpha \sigma \pi \iota \lambda o v$ ). Late adjective ( $\alpha$ privative, $\sigma \pi \lambda \lambda o \varsigma$, spot, Eph 5:27 ). In inscription and papyri.

Without reproach ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \pi i \lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau o v$ ). See 3:2; 5:7 .
Until the appearing ( $\mu \varepsilon \chi \rho \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi a v \varepsilon \iota \propto \varsigma)$. "Until the epiphany" (the second epiphany or coming of Christ). Late word in inscriptions for important event like the epiphany of Caligula, in the papyri as a medical term. In 2Th 2:18 we have both $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi a v \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ and $\pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma \iota \alpha$. See Tit 2:13; 2Ti 1:10; 4:1,8 .

1Tim 6:15
In its own times (кaıpoıc ıঠıoヶ). Locative case. May be "in his own times." See 2:6. Clearly not for us to figure out.
 and alone Potentate." $\Delta v v a \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma$, old word, in N.T. only here, Lu 1:52; Ac 8:27 (the Eunuch). See 1:11 for $\mu$ ккароб.

The King of kings (o $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon v \varsigma ~ \tau \omega v \beta a \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon v o v \tau \omega v)$ ). "The King of those who rule as kings." Oriental title. So with "Lord of lords." See Re 10:16.

1Tim 6:16
Who only hath immortality (o $\mu \circ v o \varsigma \varepsilon \chi \omega v \alpha \theta \alpha v a \sigma \iota \alpha v$ ). "The one who alone has immortality." AӨavaøıa (aӨavatos, a privative and $\operatorname{\theta ava\tau o\varsigma ),~old~word,~in~N.T.~only~here~and~}$ 1Co 15:53f. Domitian demanded that he be addressed as "Dominus et Deus noster." Emperor worship may be behind the use of $\mu$ ovos (alone) here.

Unapproachable ( $\alpha \pi \rho o \sigma \iota \tau o v$ ). See Ps 104:2 . Late compound verbal adjective ( $\alpha$ privative, $\pi \rho \circ \sigma, ~ เ \varepsilon v a$, to go). Here only in N.T. Literary Koine word.

Nor can see (ou $\delta \varepsilon$ ı $\delta \varepsilon$ sv $\delta u v a \tau \alpha$ ). See aopatov in Col 1:15 and also Joh 1:18; Mt 11:27 . The "amen" marks the close of the doxology as in 1:17.

1Tim 6:17
In this present world ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega v v v \alpha 1 \omega v$ ). "In the now age," in contrast with the future.
That they be not high-minded ( $\mu \eta \cup \psi \eta \lambda о \varphi \rho o v \varepsilon เ v$ ). Present active infinitive with negative in indirect command after $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$, "not to be high-minded." Only instance of the word save some MSS. of Ro 11:20 (for $\mu \eta \nu \psi \eta \lambda \alpha \varphi \rho \circ \nu \varepsilon$ ) and a scholion on Pindar.

Have their hope set ( $\eta \lambda \pi \iota \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha)$. Perfect active infinitive of $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \omega$.
 only here in N.T. A "vigorous oxymoron" (White). Cf. Ro 6:4 . Riches have wings.

But on God ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \varepsilon \pi \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). He alone is stable, not wealth.
Richly all things to enjoy ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \pi \lambda о v \sigma \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \alpha v \sigma เ v$ ). "A lavish emphasis to the generosity of God" (Parry). A $\pi$ о $\alpha \alpha v \sigma \iota s$ is old word from $\alpha \pi \rho \lambda \alpha v \omega$, to enjoy, in N.T. only here and Heb 11:25.

1Tim 6:18
That they do good ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta o \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon เ v$ ). Late word ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ oc, $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega$ ), in N.T. only here and Ac 14:17.
 Mt 6:19f. for "treasures in heaven."

Ready to distribute ( $\varepsilon \cup \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \delta o \tau o v \varsigma)$. Late and rare verbal ( $\varepsilon v, \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha, \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ ). Free to give, liberal. Only here in N.T.

Willing to communicate (коเ $\omega \omega v$ кооч). Old adjective, ready to share, gracious, liberal again. Only here in N.T. See Ga 6:6; Php 4:15.

1Tim 6:19
 here in N.T. Same paradox as in Mt 6:19f. , "laying up in store" by giving it away.

Which is life indeed ( $\tau \eta \varsigma o v \tau \omega \varsigma \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma$ ). See 5:3 for ov $\tau \omega \varsigma$. This life is merely the shadow of the eternal reality to come.

1Tim 6:20
Guard that which is committed unto thee ( $\tau \eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta \nu \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \xi o v$ ). "Keep (aorist of urgency) the deposit." Пар $\alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta \nu$ (from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, to place beside as a deposit, 2 Ti 2:2 ), a banking figure, common in the papyri in this sense for the Attic тараката $Ө \eta \kappa \eta$ (Textus Receptus here, 2Ti 1:12,14 ). See substantive also in 2Ti 1:12,14.

Turning away from ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi о \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Present middle participle of $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega$, for which see 1:6; 5:15.

Babblings ( $\kappa \varepsilon v \circ \varphi \omega v / a \varsigma)$. From кعvo $\varphi \omega v o \varsigma$, uttering emptiness. Late and rare compound, in N.T. only here and 2Ti 2:16.

Oppositions ( $\alpha v \tau \iota \theta \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Old word ( $\alpha \nu \tau \iota, \theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma$ ), antithesis, only here in N.T.
Of the knowledge which is falsely so called ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \psi \varepsilon v \delta \omega v v \mu \circ v \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. "Of the falsely named knowledge." Old word ( $\psi \varepsilon \cup \delta \eta \sigma$, ovo $\mu \alpha$ ). Our "pseudonymous." Only here in N.T.

1Tim 6:21
Have erred ( $\eta \sigma \tau 0 \chi \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \sigma \tau 0 \chi \varepsilon \omega$. See 1:6 for this word.

## SECOND TIMOTHY

FROM ROME

PROBABLY EARLY AUTUMN OF 67 OR SPRING OF 68

## 2 Timothy 1

2Tim 1:1
According to the promise of the life which is in Christ Jesus ( $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \alpha \nu \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma$ $\tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ I $\eta \sigma o v)$. "With a view to the fulfilment of the promise." See Tit 1:1 for this same use of ката. For кат' غлаүүघ入ıav see Ga 3:29. See 1Ti 4:8 for the phrase "promise of life." Here or there "life that in Christ Jesus" includes the present as well as the future.

2Tim 1:2
Beloved ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \tau 0$ ). Instead of $\gamma \nu \eta \sigma \omega \omega$ (genuine) in 1Ti 1:2. He had already called Timothy $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \tau$ ov (verbal adjective of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$ ) in 1Co 4:17, an incidental and strong proof that it is Paul who is writing here. This argument applies to each of the Pastorals for Paul is known by other sources (Acts and previous Pauline Epistles) to sustain precisely the affectionate relation toward Timothy and Titus shown in the Pastorals.

2Tim 1:3
I thank ( $\chi \alpha \rho ı v \varepsilon \chi \omega$ ). "I have gratitude." As in 1Ti 1:12 . Robinson cites examples of this phrase from the papyri. It occurs also in Lu 17:9; Ac 2:47. Xapıs in doxologies Paul uses (1Co 15:57; 2:14; 8:16; 9:15; Ro 6:17; 7:25). His usual idiom is $\varepsilon \cup \chi \alpha \rho ı \sigma \tau \omega ~(1 C o ~ 1: 4 ; ~ R o ~ 1: 8 ; ~$ Phm 1:4; Php 1:3 ) or $\varepsilon v \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau o v \mu \varepsilon v ~(1 T h ~ 1: 2 ; ~ C o l ~ 1: 3) ~ o r ~ o v ~ \pi \alpha v o \mu \alpha ~ \varepsilon v \chi \alpha \rho ı \sigma \tau \omega v ~(E p h ~$ 1:16 ) or عuरарıбтєı орءı入оцєv (2Th 1:3).

Whom I serve from my forefathers ( $\omega \lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon v \omega \alpha \pi \sigma \pi \rho \circ \gamma o v \omega v$ ). The relative $\omega$ is the dative case with $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon v \omega$ (see Ro 1:9 for this verb), progressive present (I have been serving). For $\pi \rho \circ \gamma o v \omega v$ (forefathers) see 1Ti 5:4. Paul claims a pious ancestry as in Ac 24:14; Ac 26:5; Ga 2:14; Php 3:4-7.

In a pure conscience ( $\varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma v v \varepsilon \delta \eta \eta \sigma \varepsilon)$. See 1Ti 1:5; Ac 23:1.
Unceasing ( $\alpha \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı \pi \tau o v)$. Late and rare compound, in N.T. only here and Ro $9: 2$ which see. The adverb $\alpha \delta_{ı} \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı \pi \tau \omega \varsigma$ is more frequent (in the papyri, literary Koine, 1Th 1:2; Ro 1:9 ). The adjective here is the predicate accusative, "how I hold the memory concerning thee unceasing." The use of $\alpha \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı \pi \tau \omega \varsigma$ (adverb) is a sort of epistolary formula (papyri, 1Th 1:2; 2:13; 5:17; Ro 1:9).

Remembrance ( $\mu v \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ ). Old word, in N.T. only Pauline (seven times, 1Th 1:2; Ro 1:9; Php 1:3).

2Tim 1:4
Night and day (vuктоৎ $\kappa \alpha \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. Genitive of time, "by night and by day." As in 1Th 2:9; 3:10 .

Longing ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \pi \circ \theta \omega v$ ). Present active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \pi o \theta \varepsilon \omega$, old word, eight times in Paul (1Th 3:6; Php 1:8, etc.).

Remembering thy tears ( $\mu \varepsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ \sigma o v ~ \tau \omega v \delta \alpha \kappa \rho \nu \omega v$ ). Perfect middle participle of $\mu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$, old and common verb with the genitive, only here in the Pastorals and elsewhere
by Paul only in 1Co 11:2 . Probably an allusion to the scene at Miletus (Ac 20:37 ). Cf. Ac 20:19.

That I may be filled with joy (ıv $\chi \chi \rho \alpha \varsigma \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \omega$ ). Final clause with $\tau v \alpha$ and first aorist passive subjunctive of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$ (with genitive case $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha \varsigma$ ), a verb common with Paul (Ro 8:4; 13:8).

2Tim 1:5
Having been reminded (v $\tau \circ \mu \nu \eta \sigma \nu \lambda \alpha \beta \omega \nu$ ). "Having received (second aorist active participle of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$ ) a reminder" (old word from $v \pi о \mu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$, to remind, in N.T. only here and 1Pe 1:13 ). For the idiom see Ro 7:8,11. A reminder by another while $\alpha v a \mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota$ remembrance (1Co 11:24f. ) is rather a recalling by oneself (Vincent).

Of the unfeigned faith ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \nu v \pi о \kappa \rho \iota \tau 0 v \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Late compound for which see 2 Co 6:6; Ro 12:9.

Dwelt ( $\varepsilon \nu \omega \iota \kappa \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu)$. First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon v o \iota \kappa \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, in N.T. only in Paul (Ro 8:11; Col 3:16 ).

First ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \nu$ ). Adverb, not adjective ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \eta$ ).
In thy grandmother Lois ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \mu \alpha \mu \mu \eta \Lambda \omega \mathrm{I} \delta)$. Old word, originally the infantile word for $\mu \eta \tau \eta \rho$ (mother), then extended by writers to grandmother as here. Common for grandmother in the papyri. Lois is the mother of Eunice, Timothy's mother, since Timothy's father was a Greek (Ac 16:1). Probably both grandmother and mother became Christians.

I am persuaded $(\pi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \sigma \mu \alpha)$. Perfect passive indicative of $\pi \varepsilon \iota \theta \omega$, "I stand persuaded." In the Pastorals only here and verse 12, common in Paul's other writings (Ro 8:38, etc.).

2Tim 1:6
For the which cause ( $\delta \iota^{\prime} \eta \nu \alpha \iota \tau \iota \alpha v$ ). "For which cause," stronger than $\delta ı$. So in verse 12; Tit 1:13. Only example of aı兀ıa by Paul save in Ac 28:20 .

I put thee in remembrance $(\alpha \nu \alpha \mu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega)$. Old compound to remind (1Co 4:17; 2Co 7:15 ).

That thou stir up ( $\sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \alpha \zeta \omega \pi v \rho \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Present active infinitive of $\alpha v \alpha \zeta \omega \pi v \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old double compound ( $\alpha \nu \alpha$ and $\zeta \omega \pi \nu \rho o v$, live coal, $\zeta \omega \circ \varsigma$ and $\pi \nu \rho$, then the bellows for kindling), to rekindle, to stir into flame, to keep blazing (continuous action, present time), only here in N.T. See 1Th 5:19 for the figure of fire concerning the Holy Spirit. See avart $\omega$ in Lu 12:49

The gift of God ( $\tau 0 \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \alpha$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). See $1 \mathrm{Ti} 4: 14$. Here Paul says $\mu 0 v$ (my), there he mentions the presbytery. Paul felt a deep personal interest in Timothy. See 1Co 7:7; Ro 6:23; 11:29 for the gift of God.

2Tim 1:7
A spirit of fearfulness ( $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \delta \varepsilon \iota \lambda ı \alpha \varsigma)$. Here $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ is the $\chi \alpha \rho ı \sigma \mu \alpha$ of verse 6 , the human spirit as endowed by the Holy Spirit (Ro 8:15 ). $\Delta \varepsilon \iota \lambda \iota \alpha$ is an old word ( $\delta \varepsilon \iota \lambda o \sigma, \delta \varepsilon \iota \delta \omega$ ) and always in a bad sense of cowardice, only here in N.T.

Of power ( $\delta v \nu \alpha \mu \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. One of Paul's characteristic words (Ro 1:16).
Of love ( $\alpha \nmid \alpha \pi \eta \varsigma)$. One of the gifts of the Spirit (Ga 5:22). "Which drives out fear" (Lock) as in 1Jo 4:18.

Of discipline $(\sigma \omega \varphi \rho o v ı \sigma \mu \circ v)$. Late Koine word (from $\sigma \omega \varphi \rho o \nu \iota \zeta \omega$, to control), selfcontrol, here only in N.T. See 1Ti 2:9 for $\sigma \omega \varphi \rho o \sigma v \nu \eta$.

2Tim 1:8
Be not ashamed of ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \chi \cup \vee \theta \eta \iota \varsigma$ ). First aorist (ingressive) passive subjunctive (in prohibition) of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \chi \cup v o \mu \alpha$, old word, to be ashamed. Again in verse 16 without augment $(\varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \chi \cup v \theta \eta v)$, transitive use of the passive voice as often in the Koine (Robertson, Grammar, p. 818). See Ro 1:16; 6:21. "Do not become ashamed" (as he had not).

The testimony of our Lord ( $\tau \circ \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \iota v \tau o v ~ к v \rho ı v)$. For the old word $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \iota o v$ see 1Co 1:6; 2:1. Paul probably has in mind the saying of Jesus preserved in Mr 8:38 (Lu 9:26 ). See also 2:12.

His prisoner ( $\tau 0 v \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ v$ avtov). As in Php 1:12; Phm 1:1,9; Eph 3:1; $4: 1$ (the first Roman captivity). Paul is in his last captivity and refers to it again in verse 16; 2:9.

Suffer hardship with ( $\sigma v \vee \kappa \alpha \kappa о \pi \alpha Ө \eta \sigma o v$ ). First aorist active imperative of the double compound $\sigma v v \kappa \alpha \kappa \pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon \omega$, first known use and in N.T. only here and 2:3 (in eccles. writers). But какот $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega$, to suffer evil, is old verb (2:9; 4:5 ). Paul is fond of compounds of $\sigma u v$. Paul challenges Timothy by this verb which he apparently coins for the purpose to a joint ( $\sigma v v$ ) suffering with the Lord Jesus and Paul "for the gospel" ( $\tau \omega \varepsilon v \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı \omega$, dative case rather than associative instrumental "with").

According to the power of God ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta \nu v a \mu \iota \nu \varepsilon \varepsilon \circ v)$. Given by God (2Co 6:7 ).
2Tim 1:9
Called us with a holy calling ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha v \tau \sigma \varsigma \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon \alpha \gamma \iota \alpha)$. Probably dative, "to a holy calling." K $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ бıя here apparently not the invitation, but the consecrated service, "the upward calling" (Php 3:14 ). See 1Co 7:20; Eph 4:1,4 for the use of $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ with $\kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota$. Paul often uses кал $\varepsilon \omega$ of God's calling men (1Th 2:12; 1Co 1:9; Ga 1:6; Ro 8:20; 9:11 ).

Purpose ( $\pi \rho \circ \theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota v$ ). See Ro 9:11; Eph 1:11 for $\pi \rho o \theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota v$.
Which was given ( $\tau \eta v \delta o \theta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive articular participle agreeing with $\chi \alpha \rho ı \varsigma$ (grace), a thoroughly Pauline expression (1Co 3:10; Ro 12:3,6, etc.), only here in Pastoral Epistles.

Before times eternal ( $\pi \rho \circ \chi \rho o v \omega v \alpha \iota \omega v \iota \omega v$ ). See Tit 1:2 .
2Tim 1:10
But hath now been manifested ( $\varphi \alpha \nu \varepsilon \rho \omega \theta \varepsilon I \sigma \alpha \nu \delta \varepsilon \nu v \nu$ ). First aorist passive participle of $\varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \circ \omega$ agreeing with $\chi \alpha \rho \iota$. See Tit 1:3; Col 1:26; 3:4 for $\varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho o \omega$ and the contrast made.

By the appearing ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. Only here of the Incarnation (except the verb, Tit 2:11; 3:4 ), but for the second coming see Tit 2:13.

Who abolished death (катарүףбаvтоц $\mu \varepsilon v$ тov $\theta \alpha v a \tau о v)$. First aorist active participle of катарүع $\omega$, the very phrase in 1Co 15:26; Heb 2:14.

Brought to light ( $\varphi \omega \tau \iota \sigma \alpha \nu \tau o \varsigma \delta \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active participle of $\varphi \omega \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, literary Koine word for which see 1Co 4:5; Eph 1:18, to turn the light on.

Life and incorruption ( $\zeta \omega \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \alpha \varphi \theta \alpha \rho \sigma \iota \alpha \nu$ ). The opposite of $\theta a v a \tau o \varsigma$, "life and immortality" (unchangeable life).

2Tim 1:11
For which ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma$ o). For the gospel. See 1Ti 2:7 for this verse.
2Tim 1:12
These things ( $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha)$. His imprisonment in Rome.
Yet I am not ashamed ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ оик $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \chi \cup v o \mu \alpha$ ). Plain reference to the exhortation to Timothy in verse 8.

Him whom I have believed ( $\omega \pi \varepsilon \pi \_\sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \kappa \alpha$ ). Dative case of the relative ( $\omega$ ) with the perfect active of $\pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$, the antecedent to the relative not expressed. It is not an indirect question. Paul knows Jesus Christ whom he has trusted.

I am persuaded ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon เ \sigma \mu \alpha)$. See verse 5 .
To guard ( $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \xi \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive of $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, the very word used in 1 Ti 6:20 with $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta v$ as here, to guard against robbery or any loss.

That which I have committed unto him ( $\tau \eta \tau \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta \nu \mu \circ v$ ). Literally, "my deposit," as in a bank, the bank of heaven which no burglar can break (Mt 6:19f. ). See this word also in verse 14. Some MSS. have the more common $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta$ (a sort of double deposit, $\pi \alpha \rho a$, beside, down, ката).

Against that day ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ \nu \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu$ ). The day of Christ's second coming. See also $1: 18 ; 4: 8 ; 2 \mathrm{Th} 1: 10$, and often in the Gospels. Elsewhere, the day of the Lord (1Th 5:2; 2 Th 2:2; 1Co 1:8; 2Co 1:14 ), the day of Christ or Jesus Christ (Php 1:6,10; 2:16), the day (1Th 5:4; 1Co 3:13; Ro 13:12), the day of redemption (Eph 4:20), the day of judgment (Ro 2:5,16 ).
$2 \operatorname{Tim} 1: 13$
The pattern of sound words (v ขтотит $\omega \sigma \tau v$ and 1Ti 1:10 for vүıaıv $\omega$.

Which ( $\omega v$ ). Genitive plural with $\eta \kappa o v \sigma \alpha \varsigma ~(d i d s t ~ h e a r) ~ o r ~ a t t r a c t e d ~ t o ~ c a s e ~ o f ~ \lambda o \gamma \omega v$ ( $\alpha \kappa \sigma \omega$ is used either with the accusative or the genitive).

2Tim 1:14
That good thing which was committed unto thee ( $\tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta \nu$ ). Simply, "the good deposit."

Guard ( $\varphi$ ט $\alpha \alpha \xi$ ov). As in 1Ti 6:20. God has also made an investment in Timothy (cf. verse 12). Timothy must not let that fail.

Which dwelleth in us（ $\tau 0 v \varepsilon v o \ldots \kappa o v v \tau o \varsigma ~ \varepsilon v \eta \mu v)$ ）．It is only through the Holy Spirit that Timothy or any of us can guard God＇s deposit with us．

2Tim 1：15
Are turned away from me（ $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \eta \sigma \alpha v \mu \varepsilon$ ）．Second aorist passive（still transitive here with $\mu \varepsilon$ ）of $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$ ，for which verb see Tit 1：14．For the accusative with these passive deponents see Robertson，Grammar，p．484．It is not known to what incident Paul refers，whether the refusal of the Christians in the Roman province of Asia to help Paul on his arrest（or in response to an appeal from Rome）or whether the Asian Christians in Rome deserted Paul in the first stage of the trial（ 4：16）．Two of these Asian deserters are mentioned by name，perhaps for reasons known to Timothy．Nothing else is known of Phygelus and Hermogenes except this shameful item．

2Tim 1：16
Grant mercy（ $\delta \omega \nmid \eta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \circ \varsigma)$ ．The phrase nowhere else in the N．T．Second aorist active optative of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ ，the usual form being $\delta o \iota \eta$ ．This is the usual construction in a wish about the future．

Unto the house of Onesiphorus（ $\tau \omega$ Ov $\boldsymbol{O} \sigma \varphi$ орои оוк $\omega$ ）．The same phrase in 4：19． Apparently Onesiphorus is now dead as is implied by the wish in 1：18．

For he oft refreshed me（от по入入акıৎ $\mu \varepsilon \alpha v \varepsilon \psi v \xi \varepsilon v$ ）．First aorist active indicative of
 in Ac 3：20．In the first imprisonment or the second．If he lost his life for coming to see Paul， it was probably recently during this imprisonment．

Was not ashamed of my chain（ $\alpha \lambda v \sigma \iota \nu \mu \circ v$ ovk $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \chi \nu v \theta \eta$ ）．Passive deponent again （first aorist indicative）with accusative as in 1：8．For $\alpha \lambda$ 人oбv（chain）see Eph 6：20 ．Note ab－ sence of augment in $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \chi \nu v \theta \eta$ ．

2Tim 1：17
When he was in Rome（ $\gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \varepsilon v$ Pouף）．Second aorist middle participle of $\gamma ı v o \mu \alpha$ （coming to Rome，happening in Rome）．

He sought me diligently and found me（ $\sigma \pi \sigma \cup \delta a 1 \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \zeta \eta \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \varepsilon v \rho \varepsilon v$ ）．Effective aorists both of them（first of $\lceil\eta \tau \varepsilon \omega$ ，second of $\varepsilon v \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega)$ ．He did it at the risk of his own life apparently．

2Tim 1：18
Grant to him to and mercy（ $\delta \omega i \eta \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ عvpeıv $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon o \varsigma)$ ．Second aorist active optative in wish for the future again as in verse 16．Find mercy from the Lord（Jesus）as he found me．

Thou knowest very well（ $\beta \varepsilon \lambda \tau \circ \circ v \sigma v \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$ ．Literally，＂thou knowest better（than I），＂for he did those things in Ephesus where thou art．Only N．T．example of $\beta \varepsilon \lambda \tau \tau \circ v$ ，in D text of Ac 10：28．

## 2 Timothy 2

2Tim 2:1
Be strengthened ( $\varepsilon v \delta v v a \mu o v$ ). Present passive imperative of $\varepsilon v \delta v v a \mu o \omega$. See already 1Ti 1:12; Ro 4:20; Php 4:13; Eph 6:10 . "Keep on being empowered," "keep in touch with the power."
 is located. Christ is the dynamo for power only when and while we keep in touch with him.

2Tim 2:2
From me ( $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \circ v$ ). As in 1:13. Paul was Timothy's chief teacher of Christ.
Among many witnesses ( $\delta \iota \alpha \pi о \lambda \lambda \omega v \mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \omega v$ ). Plutarch has $\delta \iota \alpha$ in this sense and Field (Ot. Norv.) suggests that it is a legal phrase "supported by many witnesses." Not mere spectators, but testifiers. See Paul's use of $\delta \iota \alpha$ 1Th 4:2; 2Co 2:4; Ro 2:27; 14:20. Paul in 1Co 15:1-8 gives many witnesses of the resurrection of Christ.

Commit thou ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta o v$ ). Second aorist middle imperative of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau 1 \theta \eta \mu(1 T i 1: 18)$ to deposit, same metaphor as $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta$ in 1:12,14 . "Deposit thou."

Faithful (жıбтоı). "Trustworthy," "reliable," as in 1Ti 1:12 of Paul himself.
Able (ıkavo). Capable, qualified, as in 1Co 15:9; 2Co 2:16; 3:5.
Others also (ка عєєроич). Not necessarily "different," but "others in addition." This is the way to pass on the torch of the light of the knowledge of God in Christ. Paul taught Timothy who will teach others who will teach still others, an endless chain of teachertraining and gospel propaganda.

2Tim 2:3
Suffer hardship with me ( $\sigma u v к \alpha к о \pi \alpha \theta \eta \sigma о v)$ ). See $1: 8$ for this verb. The old preacher challenges the young one to share hardship with him for Christ.

As a good soldier (os $\kappa \alpha \lambda$ oc $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \omega \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Paul does not hesitate to use this military metaphor (this word only here for a servant of Christ) with which he is so familiar. He had already used the metaphor in 1Co 9:7; 2Co 10:3f.; 1Ti 1:18 . In Php 2:25 he called Epaphroditus "my fellow-soldier" ( $\sigma v v \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega \tau \eta \nu \mu 0 v$ ) as he did Archippus in Phm 1:2 .

2Tim 2:4
No soldier on service (ou $\delta \varepsilon \varsigma \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. "No one serving as a soldier." See 1Co 9:7 for this old verb and 2Co 10:3; 1Ti 1:18 for the metaphorical use.

Entangleth himself ( $\varepsilon \mu \pi \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Old compound, to inweave (see Mt 27:29 for $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \omega$ ), in N.T. only here and 2Pe 2:20. Present middle (direct) indicative.

In the affairs ( $\tau \alpha \iota \varsigma \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota \swarrow \varsigma)$. Old word (from $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha, \mathrm{Lu} 19: 13$ ), business, occupation, only here in N.T.

Of this life ( $\tau 0 \cup \beta$ เov). No "this" in the Greek, "of life" (course of life as in 1Ti 2:2, not existence $\zeta \omega \eta$ ).

Him who enrolled him as a soldier ( $\tau \omega \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau o \lambda o \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau$ ). Dative case after $\alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \eta$ (first aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \omega$, to please, 1Th 2:4, purpose clause with $\tau v \alpha$ ) of the articular first aorist active participle of $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau о \lambda o \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, literary Koine word ( $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau о \lambda о \gamma \circ \varsigma$, from $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau o \varsigma$ and $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ), only here in N.T.

2Tim 2:5
If also a man contend in the games ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \alpha \theta \lambda \eta \tau \iota \varsigma)$. Condition of third class with present (linear) active subjunctive of $\alpha \theta \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, old and common verb (from $\alpha \theta \lambda o \varsigma$, a contest), only this verse in N.T., but $\sigma v v a \theta \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ in Php 1:27. Note sharp distinction between $\alpha \theta \lambda \eta$ (present subjunctive, engage in a contest in general) and $\alpha \theta \lambda \eta \sigma \eta$ (first aorist active subjunctive, engage in a particular contest). Not "except he have contended," but simply "unless he contend" (in any given case) "lawfully" (vo $\mu \mu \omega \varsigma)$. Old adverb, agreeably to the law, in N.T. only here and $1 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 8$.

Is not crowned (ov $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \nu 0 \cup \tau \alpha$ ). Present passive indicative of $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \vee \circ \omega$, old verb (from $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi a \sim o \varsigma$, crown), in N.T. only here and Heb 2:7,9. One apodosis for two protases. The victor in the athletic contests was crowned with a garland.

2Tim 2:6
The husbandman that laboureth ( $\tau \circ v \kappa о \pi \iota \omega v \tau \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma \circ v$ ). "The toiling tiller of the soil" ( $\gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma o v$, from $\gamma \eta$ and $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega$, worker of the earth). See $\gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma เ o v$ (field) in 1Co 3:9 and also 1Co 9:7.

First ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau 0 v$ ). As is natural and right.
To partake ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Old word as in Ac 2:46 to share in. Paul elsewhere uses $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \chi \omega$ as in 1Co 9:12 .

2Tim 2:7
Consider (vos). Present active imperative of voce, old verb, to put your mind (vovৎ) on. See Eph 3:4 and like command in 1Co 10:15 .

Understanding ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \sigma \iota v$ ). "Comprehension" (from $\sigma v \nu ı \eta \mu$, to send together, to grasp). See Col 1:9; 2:2. This is a blessed promise that calls for application.

2Tim 2:8
Risen from the dead $(\varepsilon \gamma \eta \gamma \varepsilon \rho \mu \varepsilon v o \nu \varepsilon \kappa \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega v)$. Perfect passive participle of $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$, still risen as the perfect tense shows in 1Co 15:4,12-20. Predicate accusative. "Remember Jesus Christ as risen from the dead." This is the cardinal fact about Christ that proves his claim to be the Messiah, the Son of God. Christ is central for Paul here as in Php 2:5-11 .

Of the seed of David ( $\varepsilon \kappa \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma \Delta \alpha v \varepsilon \iota \delta)$. The humanity of Christ as in Ro 1:3; Php 2:7f.

According to my gospel (ката то $\varepsilon v \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı \sigma \mu о v)$. Paul's very phrase in Ro 2:16; 16:25 . Not a written gospel, but my message. See also 1Co 15:1; 2Co 11:7; Ga 1:11; 2:2; 1Ti 1:11 .

2Tim 2:9
Wherein ( $\varepsilon \nu \omega)$. In my gospel.

I suffer hardship (какола $\theta \omega$ ). "I suffer evil." Old compound (какоv, $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \omega$ ), elsewhere in N.T., 4:5; Jas 5:13 .

Unto bonds ( $\mu \varepsilon \chi \rho \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \omega v$ ). "Up to bonds." A common experience with Paul (2Co 11:23; Php 1:7,13,14; Col 4:18).

As a malefactor ( $\omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \kappa о \cup \rho \gamma \circ \varsigma$ ), old compound ( $\kappa \alpha \kappa \circ v, \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega$, doer of evil), in N.T. only here and Lu 23:32ff. (of the robbers). One of the charges made against Paul.

Is not bound (ov $\delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\delta \varepsilon \omega$, to bind. Old verb. See 1Co 7:27,39; Ro 7:2 . I am bound with a chain, but no fetters are on the word of God (Pauline phrase; 1Th 2:13; 1Co 14:36; 2Co 2:17; Php 1:14; Tit 2:5 ).

2Tim 2:10
For the elect's sake ( $\delta$ ı $\alpha$ тоис єклєктоиऽ). "Because of the elect." God's elect (Ro 8:33; Col 3:12; Tit 1:1 ) for whom Paul suffered so much (Col 1:6; 12:15; Php 2:17; Eph 3:1,13).

That they also may obtain (ıva ка аvто $\tau \cup \chi \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). Purpose clause with second aorist (effective) active subjunctive of $\tau v \gamma \chi \alpha v \omega$ with genitive. "They as well as I," Paul means.

The salvation ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho(\alpha \varsigma)$. The final salvation "with eternal glory" ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \delta_{0} \xi \eta \varsigma$ al $\omega$ viov). This phrase only here and 1Pe 5:10, but in 2Co 4:17 we have "eternal weight of glory."

2Tim 2:11
Faithful is the saying ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma$ o $\lambda о \gamma \circ \varsigma)$. The saying which follows here though it can refer to the preceding as in $1 \mathrm{Ti} 4: 9$. See $1 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 15$. It is possible that from here to the end of 13 we have the fragment of an early hymn. There are four conditions in these verses (11-13), all of the first class, assumed to be true. Parallels to the ideas here expressed are found in 2Th 1:5; 1Co 4:8; 2Co 7:3; Ro 6:3-8; Col 3:1-4 . Note the compounds with $\sigma 0 v$ (ouvaлを $\theta a v o \mu \varepsilon v$,
we died with , from $\sigma u v a \pi o \theta v \varepsilon \sigma \kappa o$ as in 2Co 7:3; $\sigma u v\lceil\eta \sigma o \mu \varepsilon v$,
we shall live with, from $\sigma v v \zeta \alpha \omega$ as in 2Co 7:3; $\sigma \nu \mu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma o \mu \varepsilon v$,
we shall reign with , from $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \alpha \sigma ı \lambda \varepsilon v \omega$ as in 1Co $4: 8$ ). For $v \pi о \mu \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v$ (we endure) see 1Co 13:7 and for $\alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau o u \mu \varepsilon \nu$ (we are faithless) see Ro 3:3. The verb $\alpha \rho v \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$, to deny ( $\alpha \rho v \eta \sigma o \mu \varepsilon \theta a$, we shall deny, $\alpha \rho \vee \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$, he will deny, $\alpha \rho v \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$, deny, first aorist middle infinitive) is an old word, common in the Gospels in the sayings of Jesus (Mt 10:33; Lu 12:9 ), used of Peter ( $\mathrm{Mr} 14: 70$ ), and is common in the Pastorals ( $1 \mathrm{Ti} 5: 8$; Tit 2:12; 2Ti 3:5). Here in verse 13 it has the notion of proving false to oneself, a thing that Christ "cannot" (ou $\delta u v a \tau \alpha)$ do.

2Tim 2:14
That they strive not about words ( $\mu \eta \lambda о \gamma \sigma \mu \alpha \chi \varepsilon เ v$ ). Word apparently coined by Paul from $\lambda$ оүонахเа (1Ti 6:4 which see), a back formation in that case. A mere war of words displeases Paul. (Tit 3:9).

Useful ( $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu \circ v$ ). Late and rare word from $\chi \rho \alpha \circ \mu \alpha$, here only in N.T.

To the subverting ( $\varepsilon \pi \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \circ \varphi \eta$ ). Old word (from $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, to turn down or over), here only in N.T. (except 2Pe 2:6 in some MSS., not in Westcott and Hort)." Because of the overthrow" (result $\varepsilon \pi$, not aim), useless for this reason. Such war of words merely upsets the hearers.

2Tim 2:15
Give diligence ( $\sigma \pi \sigma v \delta \alpha \sigma o v$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\sigma \pi \sigma v \delta \alpha \zeta \omega$, old word, as in 1Th 2:17; Ga 2:10.

To present $(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha)$. First aorist active infinitive of $\pi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ as in $\operatorname{Col} 1: 22,28$.
Approved unto God ( $\delta о \kappa \iota \mu о \nu \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). Dative case $\theta \varepsilon \omega$ with $\delta o \kappa \iota \mu \circ v$, predicate accusative, old adjective (from $\delta \varepsilon \chi o \mu \alpha$ ), for which see 1Co 11:19; 2Co 10:18 .

A workman ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \tau \eta \nu$ ). See 2Co 11:3; Php 3:2.
That needeth not to be ashamed ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \chi \nu v \tau \sigma v$ ). Late double compound verbal adjective ( $\alpha$ privative, $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \chi \nu \nu \omega$ ), in Josephus and here alone.

Handling aright ( $\rho \theta \theta$ o $о \mu o v \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Present active participle of $o \rho \theta о \tau о \mu \varepsilon \omega$, late and rare compound ( $\circ \rho \theta$ o $\sigma \circ \mu \circ \varsigma$ ), cutting straight, $o \rho \theta$ o and $\tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \omega$ ), here only in N.T. It occurs in $\operatorname{Pr} 3: 6 ; 11: 5$ for making straight paths (oסous) with which compare Heb 12:13 and "the Way" in Ac 9:2. Theodoret explains it to mean ploughing a straight furrow. Parry argues that the metaphor is the stone mason cutting the stones straight since $\tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \omega$ and $o \rho \theta o \varsigma$ are so used. Since Paul was a tent-maker and knew how to cut straight the rough camel-hair cloth, why not let that be the metaphor? Certainly plenty of exegesis is crooked enough (crazy-quilt patterns) to call for careful cutting to set it straight.

2Tim 2:16
Shun ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma o)$. See Tit 3:9.
Babblings ( $\kappa \varepsilon \vee о \varphi \omega v \iota a \varsigma)$. See 1Ti 6:20.
Will proceed ( $\pi \rho о \kappa о \psi o v \sigma \iota v)$. Future active of $\pi \rho \circ \kappa о \pi \tau \omega$, "will cut forward." See Ga 1:14; Ro 13:12.

Further in ungodliness ( $\varepsilon \pi \pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota \circ \nu \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. "To more of ungodliness." See Ro 1:18; 1Ti 2:2.

2Tim 2:17
Will eat ( $\nu \circ \mu \eta \nu \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon$ ). "Will have (future active of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ ) pasturage or increase" ( $\nu o \mu \eta$, old word from $\nu \varepsilon \mu \omega$, to pasture, in N.T. only here and Joh 10:9 ).

As doth gangrene ( $\omega \varsigma \gamma \alpha \gamma \gamma \rho \alpha, v \alpha$ ). Late word (medical writers and Plutarch), only here in N.T. From $\gamma \rho a \omega$ or $\gamma \rho a \iota v \omega$, to gnaw, to eat, an eating, spreading disease. Hymenaeus is probably the one mentioned in 1Ti 1:20. Nothing is known of Philetus.

2Tim 2:18
Men who (oıтıv६). "The very ones who."
Have erred ( $\eta \sigma \tau \circ \chi \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). "Missed the mark." First aorist active indicative of a $\alpha \tau 0 \chi \varepsilon \omega$, for which see $1 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 6 ; 6: 21$.

That the resurrection is past already ( $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \eta \delta \eta \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Second perfect active infinitive of $\gamma เ v \circ \mu \alpha$ in indirect assertion after $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ (saying) with the accusative of general reference ( $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma ı \nu)$.

Overthrow ( $\alpha v \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi o v \sigma ı v)$. See Tit 1:11.
2Tim 2:19
Howbeit ( $\mu \varepsilon v \tau 0$ ). Strong adversative, "however."
Firm ( $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \sigma$ ). Old adjective, solid, compact, in N.T. only here, $1 \mathrm{Pe} 5: 9$; Heb 5:12,14 . See $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \omega \mu \alpha$ in Col 2:5. For $\theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda ı \varsigma$ see 1Co 3:11; Rom 15:20; 1Ti 6:19 . Cf. $\varepsilon \delta \rho \alpha ı \omega \mu \alpha$ in 1Ti 3:15.

Seal ( $\sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma ı \varsigma)$. See 1Co 9:2; Ro 4:11.
Knoweth $(\varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega)$. Timeless aorist active indicative of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. Quotation from Nu 16:5

Let every one depart ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \eta \tau \omega \pi \alpha \varsigma$ ). Paraphrase of Nu 16:27; Isa 26:13; 52:11; Jer 20:9. Second aorist active imperative of $\alpha \varphi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ (intransitive use), "Let every one stand off from." Probably another echo of the rebellion of Korah.

2Tim 2:20
In a great house $(\varepsilon \nu \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta$ оıкı $\alpha)$. Metaphor of a palace. He doubtless has the Kingdom of God in mind, but he works out the metaphor of a great house of the rich and mighty.

Vessels ( $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon \cup \eta)$. Old word $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon v o \varsigma . ~ S e e ~ R o ~ 9: 21 ~ f o r ~ t h e ~ s a m e ~ d o u b l e ~ u s e ~ a s ~ h e r e . ~$
Of gold ( $\chi \rho \cup \sigma \alpha$ ). Old contracted adjective $\chi \rho v \sigma \varepsilon \circ \varsigma$, only here by Paul.
Of silver $(\alpha \rho \gamma \cup \rho \alpha)$. Old contracted adjective $\alpha \rho \gamma \cup \rho \varepsilon o \varsigma$, in N.T. here, Ac 19:24; Re 9:20

Of wood $(\xi \cup \lambda ı v \alpha)$. Old adjective, in N.T. only here and $\operatorname{Re}$ 9:20 .
Of earth (o $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \kappa ı \nu \alpha$ ). Late adjective, from oo $\rho \rho \kappa \kappa v$, baked clay, in LXX, in N.T. only here and 2Co 4:7.

2Tim 2:21
If a man purge himself ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \eta)$. Paul drops the metaphor of the house and takes up the individual as one of the "vessels." Condition of third class with first aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \iota \rho \omega$, old verb, to cleanse out, in LXX, in N.T. only here and 1Co 5:7.

From these ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \tau \sigma \tau \omega v$ ). From the vessels for dishonour of verse 20.
Sanctified ( $\eta \gamma \iota \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ v$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\alpha \gamma \iota \alpha \zeta \omega$, for which verb see 1 Co 6:11.

Meet for the master's use ( $\varepsilon v \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \circ \nu \tau \omega \delta \varepsilon \sigma \pi \sigma \tau \omega$ ). Dative case $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \pi \sigma \tau \eta$ (for which word see $1 \mathrm{Ti} 6: 1$ ) with $\varepsilon v \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o v$, neuter singular like $\eta \gamma \iota \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o v$ agreeing with $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon v o \varsigma$. Old verbal adjective ( $\varepsilon v$ and $\chi \rho \alpha o \mu \alpha$, to use well), useful or usable for the master. In N.T. only here and $4: 11$. See axp $\quad \sigma \tau \sigma v$ in Phm 11.

Prepared ( $\eta \tau \sigma \mu \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu o v)$. Perfect passive participle of $\varepsilon \tau \circ \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$, in a state of readiness, old and common word, elsewhere by Paul only 1Co 2:9 (LXX).

2Tim 2:22
Youthful ( $v \varepsilon \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho \iota \kappa a \varsigma)$. Literary Koine word (Polybius, Josephus), only here in N.T. There are lusts peculiar to flaming youth.

Flee ( $\varphi \varepsilon \cup \gamma \varepsilon)$. Present active imperative of $\varphi \varepsilon v \gamma \omega$, old and common verb. In this sense see 1Co 6:18 .

Follow after ( $\delta 丿 \omega \kappa \varepsilon$ ). Present active imperative of $\delta t \omega \kappa \omega$ as if in a chase for which sense see 1Th 5:15. Steady pursuit of these virtues like those in $\mathrm{Ga} 5: 22$.

2Tim 2:23
Ignorant ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v \tau 0 \cup \varsigma)$. Old verbal, here only in N.T. ( $\alpha$ privative and $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v \omega$ ). Untrained, uneducated, "speculations of a half-educated mind" (Parry).

Refuse ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \tau o v$ ). See 1Ti 4:7.
They gender strifes ( $\gamma \varepsilon \nu \nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu \mu \alpha \not \alpha \varsigma)$. Present active indicative of old and common verb $\gamma \varepsilon v \nu a \omega$ (Ro 9:11 ). "They beget battles." See 2:14.

2Tim 2:24
Must not strive (ov $\delta \varepsilon \mu \alpha \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Rather, "it is not necessary for him to fight" (in such verbal quibbles). The negative ov goes with $\delta \varepsilon$, not with the infinitive $\mu \alpha \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta a$.

Gentle ( $\eta \pi \iota \circ$ ). Old word (from $\varepsilon \pi \circ \varsigma$, speech), affable, mild, in N.T. only here (and 1Th 2:7 in some MSS.; W. H. have v $\tau \pi \iota o$ ).

Teachable ( $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \kappa \tau \iota \kappa о v)$. See 1Ti 3:2.
Forbearing ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \xi \not \kappa \alpha \kappa о v$ ). Late compound (from future of $\alpha v \varepsilon \chi \omega$, $\alpha v \varepsilon \xi \omega$, and како $\nu$, putting up with evil). Here only in N.T.

2Tim 2:25
Correcting ( $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v o v \tau \alpha$ ). See Tit 2:12. "Schooling" (Parry).
Oppose themselves ( $\alpha v \tau \iota \delta \iota \alpha \tau \iota \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma)$. Present middle (direct) participle of $\alpha \nu \tau \iota \delta \iota \alpha \tau \iota \eta \mu$, late double compound (Diodorus, Philo) to place oneself in opposition, here only in N.T.

If peradventure God may give ( $\mu \eta \pi \circ \tau \varepsilon \delta \omega ı \eta$ o $\theta \varepsilon \sigma \varsigma$ ). Here Westcott and Hort read the late form of the second aorist active optative of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ for the usual $\delta o{ }^{\prime} \eta$ as they do in $1: 18$. But there it is a wish for the future and so regular, while here the optative with $\mu \eta \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ in a sort of indirect question is used with a primary tense $\delta \varepsilon$ (present) and parallel with an undoubted subjunctive $\alpha \nu \alpha \nu \eta \psi \omega \sigma \iota v$, while in $\operatorname{Lu} 3: 15 \mu \eta \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon$ is with a secondary tense. Examples of such an optative do occur in the papyri (Robertson, Grammar, p. 989) so that we cannot go as far as Moulton does and say that we "must" read the subjunctive $\delta \omega \eta$ here (Prolegomena, pp. 55, 193).

Repentance ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \iota \alpha v$ ). "Change of mind" (2Co 7:10; Ro 2:4).
Unto the knowledge of the truth ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma v \omega \sigma \iota v a \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. Paul's word "full knowledge" (Co 1:9).

2Tim 2:26
They may recover themselves ( $\alpha \nu \alpha \nu \eta \psi \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). First aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha v \alpha \nu \eta \varphi \omega$, late and rare word, to be sober again, only here in N.T., though $\nu \eta \varphi \omega$ is in 1Th 5:6 .

Out of the snare of the devil ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma$ tov $\delta \iota \alpha \beta 0 \lambda o v \pi \alpha ү \iota \circ \varsigma)$. They have been caught while mentally intoxicated in the devil's snare (1Ti 3:7). See Ro 11:9 for $\pi \alpha \gamma \iota \varsigma$.

Taken captive $(\varepsilon \zeta \omega \gamma \rho \eta \mu \varepsilon \nu 0)$. Perfect passive participle of $\zeta \omega \gamma \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to take alive ( $\zeta \omega 0 \sigma, \alpha \gamma \rho \varepsilon \omega)$, in N.T. only here and Lu 5:10 (of Peter). "Taken captive alive."

By him unto his will (v $\left.\pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v ~ \varepsilon ા \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon ı v o v ~ \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha\right)$. This difficult phrase is understood variously. One way is to take both avtov and $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o v, ~ t o ~ r e f e r ~ t o ~ t h e ~ d e v i l . ~ A n o t h e r ~$ way is to take both of them to refer to God. Another way is to take avtov of the devil and عкยıvov, of God. This is probably best, "taken captive by the devil" "that they may come back to soberness to do the will of God." There are difficulties in either view.

## 2 Timothy 3

2Tim 3:1
Know this ( $\tau 0 \cup \tau о \quad \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon)$. See 1Co 11:3; Php 1:12.
In the last days ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau \alpha ı \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha ı \varsigma)$. See Jas 5:3; $1 \mathrm{Ti} 4: 1$.
Grievous ( $\chi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \pi \sigma$ ). Hard. See Eph 5:16.
Shall come ( $\varepsilon \nu \sigma \tau \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha$ ). Future middle of $\varepsilon \nu เ \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ (intransitive use), old verb, to stand on or be at hand, as in 2Th 2:2 .

2Tim 3:2
Lovers of self ( $\varphi \iota \lambda \alpha v \tau o$ ). Old compound adjective ( $\varphi \iota \lambda o \sigma, \alpha v \tau \sigma \varsigma)$, here only in N.T.
Lovers of money ( $\varphi \iota \lambda \rho \gamma \cup \rho o$ ). Old compound adjective, in N.T. only here and Lu 16:14 . See 1Ti 6:10.

Boastful ( $\alpha \lambda \alpha \zeta$ oves). Old word for empty pretender, in N.T. only here and Ro 1:30.
Haughty (vாeค $\eta \varphi a v o$ ). See also Ro 1:30 for this old word.
Railers ( $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu$ ). See 1Ti 1:13.
Disobedient to parents ( $\gamma 0 v \varepsilon v \sigma \iota v a \pi \varepsilon \iota \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. See Ro 1:30.
Unthankful (aұapıбтo). Old word, in N.T. only here and Lu 6:35.
Unholy (avoбıo). See 1Ti 1:9.
Without natural affection ( $\alpha \sigma \tau о \rho \gamma$ ). See Ro 1:31.
2Tim 3:3
Implacable ( $\alpha \sigma \pi \sigma v \delta o$ ). Truce-breakers. Old word, only here in N.T. though in MSS. in Ro 1:31 (from a privative and $\sigma \pi o v \delta \eta$, a libation).

Slanderers ( $\delta \iota \alpha \beta 0 \lambda 0$ ). See 1Ti 3:11; Tit 2:3.
Without self-control ( $\alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon ı) . ~ O l d ~ w o r d ~(~ a ~ p r i v a t i v e ~ a n d ~ к \rho а \tau о \varsigma), ~ h e r e ~ o n l y ~ i n ~ N . T . ~$
Fierce ( $\alpha \vee \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho o$ ). Old word ( $\alpha$ privative and $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho o \varsigma$, tame), only here in N.T.
No lovers of good ( $\alpha \varphi i \lambda \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ o). Found only here ( $\alpha$ privative and $\varphi i \lambda \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta o \varsigma$, for which see Tit 1:8 ). See also Php 4:8. A papyrus describes Antoninus as $\varphi \iota \lambda \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ os and has $\alpha \varphi ı \lambda о к \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \iota \alpha$.

2Tim 3:4
Traitors ( $\pi \rho o \delta o \tau \alpha$ ). Old word (from $\pi \rho o \delta t \delta \omega \mu$ ), in N.T. only here, Lu 6:16; Ac 7:52.
Headstrong ( $\pi \rho \circ \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Old word (from $\pi \rho \circ$ and $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$ ), falling forward, in N.T. only here and Ac 19:36.

Puffed up ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau v \varphi \omega \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\tau v \varphi 0 \omega$. See 1Ti 3:6.
Lovers of pleasure ( $\varphi \iota \lambda \eta \delta o v o$ ). Literary Koine word ( $\varphi \iota \lambda o \sigma, \eta \delta o v \eta$ ), only here in N.T.
Lovers of God ( $\varphi \iota \lambda o \theta \varepsilon o$ ). Old word ( $\varphi \iota \lambda o \sigma, \theta \varepsilon o \varsigma)$, only here in N.T.
2Tim 3:5
A form of godliness ( $\mu \circ \rho \varphi \omega \sigma \iota v \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. For $\mu о \rho \varphi \omega \sigma \iota \nu$, see Ro 2:20. The outward shape without the reality.

Having denied ( $\eta \rho v \eta \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Perfect middle participle of $\alpha \rho v \varepsilon \sigma \mu \alpha$ (see 2:12f. ).
Power ( $\delta v v a \mu \nu v$ ). See 1Co 4:20. See Ro 1:29-31 for similar description.
Turn away ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi o v$ ). Present middle (direct) imperative of $\alpha \pi o \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega$, "turn thyself away from." Old verb, only here in N.T. See IV Macc. 1:33.

2Tim 3:6
That creep (o $\varepsilon v \delta u v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Old and common verb (also $\varepsilon v \delta v \omega$ ) either to put on (1Th 5:8 ) or to enter (to slip in by insinuation, as here). See same idea in Jude 1:4 ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \iota \sigma \varepsilon \delta v \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ),
 are pictured also in Tit 1:11.

Take captive ( $\alpha \downarrow \chi \mu \lambda \omega \tau \iota \zeta$ ov $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. "Taking captive." Present active participle of $\alpha ı \chi \mu \alpha \lambda \omega \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, for which see 2Co 10:5; Ro 7:23 .

Silly women ( $\gamma \cup v \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \rho ı \alpha$ ). Literally, "little women" (diminutive of $\gamma \nu v \eta$ ), found in Diocles (comedian of 5 century B.C.) and in Epictetus. The word here is neuter (grammatical gender) plural. Used contemptuously here (only N.T. example). Ramsay suggests "society ladies." It is amazing how gullible some women are with religious charlatans who pose as exponents of "new thought."

Laden with sins ( $\sigma \varepsilon \sigma \omega \rho \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle of $\sigma \omega \rho \varepsilon v \omega$, old word from Aristotle down (from $\sigma \omega \rho \circ \varsigma$, a heap) to heap up. In N.T. only here and Ro 12:20 . Associative instrumental case $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha ı s$.

Divers (лоєкı入аıऽ). Many coloured. See Tit 3:3. One has only to recall Schweinfurth, the false Messiah of forty odd years ago with his "heavenly harem" in Illinois and the recent infamous "House of David" in Michigan to understand how these Gnostic cults led women into licentiousness under the guise of religion or of liberty. The priestesses of Aphrodite and of Isis were illustrations ready to hand. A $\gamma o \mu \varepsilon v a$ (present passive participle) means "continually led astray or from time to time."

2Tim 3:7
Never able to come to the knowledge of the truth ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \sim \alpha \lambda \eta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma$ $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \iota \nu \delta v \nu \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \alpha)$. Pathetic picture of these hypnotized women without intellectual power to cut through the fog of words and, though always learning scraps of things, they never come into the full knowledge ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota v$ ) of the truth in Christ. And yet they even pride themselves on belonging to the intelligentsia!

2Tim 3:8
Like as (ov $\tau \rho \circ \pi \sigma v$ ). "In which manner." Adverbial accusative and incorporation of the antecedent $\tau \rho о \pi о v$ into the relative clause.

Jannes and Jambres (I $\alpha \nu \nu \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha \operatorname{I} \mu \beta \rho \eta \varsigma)$. Traditional names of the magicians who withstood Moses (Targum of Jonathan on Ex 7:11).

Withstood ( $\alpha v \tau \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Second aorist active (intransitive) of $\alpha v \theta \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, to stand against, "they stood against" (with dative M $\omega v \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Same word used of Elymas in Ac 13:8
and repeated here $\alpha v \theta$ เбт $\alpha v \tau \alpha$ (present middle indicative). Paul here pictures the seducers of the $\gamma$ uvaikapia above.

Corrupted in mind (кат $\varphi \varphi \theta \alpha \rho \mu \varepsilon v o ~ \tau o v ~ v o u v) . ~ P e r f e c t ~ p a s s i v e ~ p a r t i c i p l e ~ o f ~ к \alpha \tau \alpha \varphi \theta \varepsilon ı \rho \omega, ~$ old compound, in N.T. only here in critical text. See 2Co 11:3; 1Ti 6:5 for $\delta \operatorname{\delta } \alpha \varphi \theta \varepsilon เ \rho \omega$. The accusative vouv is retained in the passive.

Reprobate ( $\alpha \delta о к ц \mu)$. See 1Co 9:27; Tit 1:16. They had renounced their trust ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota v$ ) in Christ.

2Tim 3:9
They shall proceed no further (ov $\pi \rho о к о \psi о v \sigma i v \varepsilon \pi \pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota v v$ ). Future active of $\pi \rho о к о \pi \tau \omega$. See 2:16.

Folly ( $\alpha v o t \alpha$ ). Old word (from $\alpha v o o \varsigma$, a privative and vovc), want of sense, here only in N.T.

Evident ( $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \eta \lambda \circ \varsigma)$. Old word ( $\varepsilon \kappa, \delta \eta \lambda$ o $\varsigma$, outstanding), here only in N.T.
Theirs ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ \nu \omega v)$. Of Jannes and Jambres (Ex 7:12).
2Tim 3:10
Didst follow ( $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa о \lambda о u \theta \varepsilon \omega$, for which see 1Ti 4:6. Some MSS. have perfect active $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \kappa о \lambda o u \theta \eta \kappa \alpha \varsigma ~(t h o u ~ h a s t ~ f o l l o w e d) . ~$ Nine associative-instrumental cases here after the verb (teaching, $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda ı \alpha$, Ro 12:7;
conduct , $\alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \eta$, old word here only in N.T.;
purpose, $\pi \rho \circ \theta \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon$, Ro 8:28;
faith , $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon$, 1 Th 3:6;
longsuffering, $\mu \propto \kappa \rho о \theta \nu \mu \iota$, Col 1:11;
persecutions, $\delta \omega \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$, 2 2Th 1:4;
sufferings , $\pi \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha \sigma \iota v, 2$ Co 1:6f. ). The two last items belong to verse 11.
2Tim 3:11
 experiences of Paul ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau o$, second aorist middle indicative of $\gamma เ v o \mu \alpha)$ more fully described in 2Co 11:30-33. The Acts of the Apostles tell of his experiences in Antioch in Pisidia (Ac 13:14, 45,50 ), in Iconium (Ac 14:1-5 ), in Lystra (Ac 14:6-19). See also Ga 2:11 .

What persecutions I endured (oovs $\delta \iota \omega \gamma \mu \circ \cup \varsigma \cup \pi \eta v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha$ ). Qualitative relative again with $\delta \iota \omega \gamma \mu о v \varsigma$. The verb is first aorist active indicative of $v \pi о \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, old verb, to bear under as in 1Co 10:13.

Delivered me ( $\mu \varepsilon \varepsilon \rho v \sigma a \tau o$ ). First aorist middle of $\rho v o \mu \alpha$, old verb, with $\varepsilon \kappa$ here as in 1Th 1:10. Used again of the Lord Jesus in 4:18.

2Tim 3:12
That would live godly (o $\theta \varepsilon \lambda o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \zeta \eta \imath v \varepsilon \cup \sigma \varepsilon \beta \omega \varsigma)$. "Those who desire (will, determine) to live godly." Paul does not regard his experience as peculiar, but only part of the price of loyal service to Christ.

Shall suffer persecution ( $\delta \iota \omega \chi \theta \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha)$. Future passive of $\delta \iota \omega \kappa \omega$, "shall be persecuted" (shall be hunted as wild beasts).

2Tim 3:13
Impostors ( $\gamma \circ \eta \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). Old word from wailers ( $\gamma \circ \alpha \omega$, to bewail), professional mourners, deceivers, jugglers. Here only in the N.T. Modern impostors know all the tricks of the trade.

Shall wax worse and worse ( $\pi \rho о к о \psi о и \sigma เ \nu \varepsilon \pi ~ \tau о ~ \chi \varepsilon เ \rho о \nu) . ~ " S h a l l ~ c u t ~ f o r w a r d ~ t o ~ t h e ~ w o r s e ~$ stage." See 2:16 for $\pi \rho о к о \pi \tau \omega$. Xeוpov is comparative of какос, "to the worse than now."

Deceiving and being deceived ( $\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \omega v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \kappa \alpha \pi \lambda \alpha v \omega \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present active and present passive participles of $\pi \lambda a v a \omega$. The tragedy of it all is that these seducers are able to deceive others as well as themselves.

2Tim 3:14
But abide thou ( $\sigma v \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ ). Emphatic contrast ( $\sigma v \delta \varepsilon$ ), "But thou." Present active imperative of $\mu \varepsilon \nu \omega$, common verb, to remain.

In the things which ( $\varepsilon v$ oıc). The antecedent to oıs is not expressed ("in which things") and the relative is attracted from $\alpha$ accusative with $\varepsilon \mu \alpha \theta \varepsilon \varsigma$ (didst learn, second aorist active indicative of $\mu \alpha v \theta \alpha v \omega)$ to the case of the unexpressed antecedent (locative with $\varepsilon v$ ).

Hast been assured of ( $\varepsilon \pi\llcorner\sigma \tau \omega \theta \eta \varsigma)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o \omega$, old verb (from $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o \varsigma$, faithful), to make reliable, only here in N.T.

Knowing from whom ( $\varepsilon ו \delta \omega \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \iota v \omega v$ ). Second perfect active participle of oı $\delta \alpha$. Note $\tau \iota \omega \omega \nu$ (ablative case after $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ in an indirect question). The list included the O.T. prophets, Paul, Eunice, Lois. There ought to be moral authority in such personages.

2Tim 3:15
From a babe ( $\alpha \pi о \beta \rho \varepsilon \varphi о и \varsigma)$ ). Only here in the Pastorals. This teaching from the fifth year, covering the whole of Timothy's recollections. See Mr 9:21 $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \alpha \iota \delta ı 0 \varepsilon v$, from a child.

Thou has known (ot $\delta \varsigma \varsigma$ ). Present active indicative, progressive perfect reaching from a babe till now. Would that Christian parents took like pains today.

The sacred writings ( $\varepsilon \rho \alpha \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha)$. "Sacred writings" or "Holy Scriptures." Here alone in N.T., though in Josephus (Proem to Ant. 3; Apion 1, etc.) and in Philo. The adjective เعроц occurs in 1Co 9:13 of the temple worship, and $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha$ in contrast to $\pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha$ in 2Co 3:6f.; Ro 2:29 and in Joh 5:47 of Moses' writings, in Ac 28:21 of an epistle, in Ga 6:11 of letters (characters). In Ephesus there were $\mathrm{E} \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \iota \alpha \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ that were $\beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \lambda \alpha$ (Ac 19:19), not ı $\varepsilon \rho$.

To make thee wise ( $\sigma \varepsilon \sigma \circ \varphi \iota \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive of $\sigma \circ \varphi\llcorner\zeta \omega$, old verb (from $\sigma о \varphi о \varsigma)$, in N.T. only here, and 2 Pe 1:16 .

Which is in ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon v$ ). Common idiom with the article, "the in." The use of the Scriptures was not magic, but of value when used "through faith that is in Christ Jesus."

2Tim 3:16

Every scripture inspired of God is also profitable ( $\tau \alpha \sigma \alpha ~ \gamma \rho а \varphi \eta ~ Ө \varepsilon о \pi v \varepsilon v \sigma \tau о \varsigma ~ к \alpha ~$ $\omega \varphi \varepsilon \lambda(\mu \circ \varsigma)$. There are two matters of doubt in this clause. One is the absence of the article $\eta$ before $\gamma \rho a \varphi \eta$, whether that makes it mean "every scripture" or "all scripture" as of necessity if present. Unfortunately, there are examples both ways with both $\pi \alpha \varsigma$ and $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta$. Twice we find $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta$ in the singular without the article and yet definite ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 6 ; 2 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 20$ ). We have tac I $\sigma \rho a \eta \lambda$ (Ro 11:26) for all Israel (Robertson, Grammar, p. 772). So far as the grammatical usage goes, one can render here either "all scripture" or "every scripture." There is no copula ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ) in the Greek and so one has to insert it either before the $\kappa \alpha$ or after it. If before, as is more natural, then the meaning is: "All scripture (or every scripture) is inspired of God and profitable." In this form there is a definite assertion of inspiration. That can be true also of the second way, making "inspired of God" descriptive of "every scripture," and putting عбтıv (is) after $\kappa \alpha$ : "All scripture (or every scripture), inspired of God, is also profitable."

Inspired of God ( $\theta$ عo $\boldsymbol{\pi} v \varepsilon \cup \sigma \tau o \varsigma)$. "God-breathed." Late word (Plutarch) here only in N.T. Perhaps in contrast to the commandments of men in Tit 1:14.

Profitable ( $\omega \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ ). See 1Ti 4:8. See Ro 15:4. Four examples of $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ (facing, with a view to, for): $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \iota \alpha v$, teaching; $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \circ v$, reproof, in LXX and here only in N.T.; $\varepsilon \pi \alpha v o \rho \theta \omega \sigma \tau v$, correction, old word, from $\varepsilon \pi \alpha v o \rho \theta o \omega$, to set up straight in addition, here only in N.T., with which compare $\varepsilon \pi \iota \delta \iota \rho \theta$ ow in Tit $1: 5$; $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon ı \alpha v$, instruction, with which compare Eph 6:4.

2Tim 3:17
The man of God (o tov $\theta \varepsilon o v \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ ) $)_{\text {). See 1Ti 6:11. }}$
 A $\rho \tau \iota \rho$, is old word (from root $\alpha \rho \omega$, to fit), specially adapted, here only in N.T.

Furnished completely ( $\varepsilon \xi \eta \rho \tau \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle of $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \rho \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, rare verb, to furnish (fit) fully (perfective use of $\varepsilon \xi$ ), in N.T. only here and Ac 21:5 . In Josephus. For катартıцॅ, see Lu 6:40; 2Co 13:11.

## 2 Timothy 4

$2 \operatorname{Tim} 4: 1$
I charge thee ( $\delta ı \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho о \mu \alpha)$. Rather, "I testify." See 1Th 4:6. See 1Ti 5:21 for this verb and appeal to God and Christ.

Who shall judge ( $\tau 0 v \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda$ оvтос крıveıv). "The one going or about to judge" (regular idiom with $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ ). The quick and the dead ( $\zeta \omega \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha ~ v \varepsilon к \rho o u \varsigma) . ~ " L i v i n g ~ a n d ~ d e a d . " ~ S e e ~$ 1Th 4:16f.

And by his appearing ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi \alpha \nu \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ ). Accusative of conjuration (verbs of swearing), after $\delta \iota \alpha \mu \alpha \tau \tau \cup \rho о \mu \alpha$ as is $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu$ (by his kingdom). See 1Th 5:27 . For $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi a v \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$, see 1:10; Tit 2:13; 1Ti 6:14; 2Th 2:8 .

2Tim 4:2
Preach the word ( $\kappa \eta \rho \cup \xi о v$ тov $\lambda o \gamma \circ v$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\kappa \eta \rho v \sigma \sigma \omega$. For "the word" used absolutely, see 1Th 1:6; Ga 6:6 .

Be instant in season, out of season ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \theta \varepsilon \cup \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \omega \varsigma \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \omega \varsigma)$. Second aorist (ingressive) active imperative of $\varepsilon \varphi เ \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ (intransitive use), "take a stand," "stand upon it or up to it," "carry on," "stick to it." The Vulgate has "insta." The two adverbs are like a proverb or a play (pun) on the word каı $о$. There are all sorts of seasons (кaıро), some difficult ( $\chi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \pi о$, 3:1), some easy ( (ยvкаı $\eta, 1$ Co 16:12 ).

Reprove ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \xi \circ$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi \omega$. "Bring to proof." Eph 5:11
Rebuke ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \iota \mu \eta \sigma o v$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \mu \alpha \omega$, to give honour (or blame) to, to chide. Common in the Gospels (Lu 17:3).

Exhort ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \sigma v)$. First aorist active imperative of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, common Pauline word.

2Tim 4:3
A time when (каиос отє). One of the акаıрюऽ (out of season) times.
Will not endure (ovk $\alpha v \varepsilon \xi o v \tau \alpha)$. Future middle (direct) of $\alpha v \varepsilon \chi \omega$. "Will not hold themselves back from" (Col. 3:13). Having itching ears ( $\kappa \nu \eta$ $\eta$ о $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$ vo $\tau \eta \nu \alpha \kappa о \eta v$ ). Present middle (causative) participle of $\kappa v \eta \theta \omega$, late and rare form of the Attic $\kappa \nu \alpha \omega$, to scratch, to tickle, here only in N.T. "Getting the ears (the hearing, $\tau \eta v ~ \alpha \kappa о \eta \nu)$ tickled." The Vulgate has $\pi \rho \cup \rho เ \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Cf. the Athenians (Ac 17:21). Clement of Alexandria tells of speakers tickling ( $\kappa \nu \eta \theta$ ovtєऽ) the ears of those who want to be tickled. This is the temptation of the merely "popular" preacher, to furnish the latest tickle.

2Tim 4:4
Will turn away their ears ( $\tau \eta \nu \alpha \kappa о \eta \nu \alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi о v \sigma เ v)$. Future active of old verb $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$. See 1Co 12:17 for this use of $\alpha \kappa о \eta$. The people stopped their ears and rushed at Stephen in Ac 7:57.

Will turn aside ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \rho \alpha \pi \eta \sigma \circ v \tau \alpha)$. Second future passive of $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega$. They prefer "myths" to "the truth" as some today turn away to "humanism," "bolshevism," "new thought" or any other fad that will give a new momentary thrill to their itching ears and morbid minds.

2Tim 4:5
But be thou sober ( $\sigma v \delta \varepsilon \nu \eta \varphi \varepsilon$ ). Present active imperative of $v \eta \varphi \omega$, for which see 1Th 5:6,8 . "Be sober in thy head."

Suffer hardship (какопа $\Theta \eta \sigma о v)$ ). See 2:9.
Do the work of an evangelist ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v$ попŋ $\sigma o v ~ \varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı \sigma \tau o v)$. See 1Co 1:17; Eph 4:11 for $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{เ \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma, ~ g o s p e l i z e r . ~}^{\text {g }}$

Fulfil ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \varphi о \rho \eta \sigma \circ v$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \varphi \circ \rho \varepsilon \omega$, for which see Col 4:12 . In Col 4:17 Paul uses $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$ to Archippus about his ministry as he here employs $\pi \lambda \eta \rho о \varphi о \rho \varepsilon \omega$. Both verbs mean to fill full.

2Tim 4:6
I am already being offered ( $\eta \delta \eta \sigma \pi \varepsilon v \delta o \mu \alpha)$. Present (progressive) passive indicative of $\sigma \pi \varepsilon v \delta \omega$, old verb, to pour out a libation or drink offering. In N.T. only here and Php 2:17 . "What was then a possibility is now a certainty" (Parry). The sacrifice of Paul's life-blood has begun.

Of my departure ( $\tau \eta \varsigma a v a \lambda v \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \mu o v$ ). Our very word "analysis." Old word from $\alpha v a \lambda v \omega$, to loosen up or back, to unloose. Only here in N.T., though $\alpha v a \lambda \nu \sigma \alpha$ for death is used by Paul in Php 1:23 which see for the metaphor.

Is come ( $\varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\varepsilon \varphi \vdash \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ (intransitive use). See 1Th 5:3; Lu 21:34 . The hour has struck. The time has come.

2Tim 4:7
I have fought the good fight ( $\tau 0 v \kappa \alpha \lambda o v \alpha \gamma \omega v \alpha \eta \gamma \omega v i \sigma \mu \alpha$ ). Perfect middle indicative of $\alpha \gamma \omega \mathrm{v} \zeta$ цо $\alpha$, a favourite figure with Paul ( $1 \mathrm{Co} \mathrm{9:25;} \mathrm{Col} \mathrm{1:29)} \mathrm{}$, $\alpha \gamma \omega v \alpha$ (Php 1:27,30, etc.). The "fight" is the athletic contest of his struggle for Christ.

I have finished the course ( $\tau \circ v \delta \rho \circ \mu \circ \nu \tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$. He had used this metaphor also of himself to the elders at Ephesus (Ac 20:24). Then the "course" was ahead of him. Now it is behind him.

I have kept the faith ( $\tau \eta \nu \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \nu \tau \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho \eta \kappa \alpha$ ). Perfect active indicative again of $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$. Paul has not deserted. He has kept faith with Christ. For this phrase, see Re 14:12 . Deissmann (Light, etc., p. 309) gives inscriptions in Ephesus of a man who says: "I have kept faith" ( $\tau \eta \nu$ $\pi / \sigma \tau i v \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho \eta \sigma \alpha)$ and another of a man of whom it is said: "He fought three fights, and twice was crowned."

2Tim 4:8
Henceforth ( $\lambda$ oırov). Accusative case, "for the rest."
There is laid up for me ( $\alpha \pi о \kappa \varepsilon ı \tau \alpha \mu$ ). Present passive of $\alpha \pi о \kappa \varepsilon \mu \alpha \alpha$, old verb, to be laid away. See Col 1:5 for the hope laid away. Paul's "crown of righteousness" (o тףऽ $\delta$ เкaıoбuvŋऽ
$\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi a v o \varsigma$, genitive of apposition, the crown that consists in righteousness and is also the reward for righteousness, the victor's crown as in 1Co 9:25 which see) "is laid away" for him.

At that day ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ \nu \eta \tau \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha)$. That great and blessed day (1:12,18).
The righteous judge (о סıкаıоя крıтпऽ). "The just judge," the umpire who makes no mistakes who judges us all (2Co 5:10 ).

Shall give me ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \delta \omega \sigma \varepsilon \mu 0$ ). Future active of $\alpha \pi o \delta ı \delta \omega \mu$. "Will give back" as in Ro 2:6 and in full.

But also to all them that have loved his appearing ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \tau о \iota \varsigma \eta \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \kappa о \sigma \iota \nu \tau \eta \nu$ $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi a v \varepsilon \iota \alpha v \alpha v \tau o v)$. Dative case of the perfect active participle of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$, to love, who have loved and still love his second coming. E $\pi \iota \varphi a v \varepsilon \iota a$ here can as in 1:10 be interpreted of Christ's Incarnation.

2Tim 4:9
Shortly ( $\tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. In verse 21 he more definitely says "before winter." Apparently the trial might drag on through its various stages.

2Tim 4:10
Forsook me ( $\mu \varepsilon \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect (MSS. also have aorist, $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda ı \pi \varepsilon v$ ) active of the old double compound verb $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi \omega$, for which see Ro 9:29. Clearly in contrast to verse 9 and in the sense of $1 \mathrm{Ti} 6: 17$, wilful desertion. Only mentioned elsewhere in Col 4:14.

Crescens (К $\rho \eta \sigma \kappa \eta \varsigma)$. No other mention of him.
Titus to Dalmatia (Tıтoৎ $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \Delta \alpha \lambda \mu \alpha \tau \iota \alpha v)$. Titus had been asked to rejoin Paul in Nicopolis where he was to winter, probably the winter previous to this one (Tit 3:12). He came and has been with Paul.

2Tim 4:11
Only Luke is with me ( $\left.\Lambda о v \kappa \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \mu о v o \varsigma ~ \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu о v\right)$. Luke is with Paul now in Rome as during the first Roman imprisonment (Phm 1:24; Col 4:14).

Take Mark (Маркоv $\alpha v \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \omega v$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\alpha v \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$, old verb, to pick up, as in Eph 6:13,16. "Pick up Mark."

He is useful to me ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \mu о \varepsilon v \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau о \varsigma)$. See 2:21 for $\varepsilon v \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \sigma \varsigma$. Paul had long ago changed his opinion of Mark (Col 4:10) because Mark had changed his conduct and had made good in his ministry. Now Paul longs to have the man that he once scornfully rejected (Ac 15:37ff. ).

2Tim 4:12
 him on before he came to Rome. He may have been still on the way to Ephesus.

2Tim 4:13
The cloke ( $\tau \eta \nu \varphi \varepsilon \lambda o v \eta \nu$ ). More common form $\varphi \varepsilon \iota \lambda o v \eta$. By metathesis for $\varphi a \iota v o \lambda \eta$, Latin paenula, though which language transliterated the word into the other is not known.

The meaning is also uncertain, though probably "cloke" as there are so many papyri examples in that sense (Moulton and Milligan, Vocabulary). Milligan (N.T. Documents, p. 20) had previously urged "book wrap" as probable but he changed his mind and rightly so.

With Carpus ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ Kар $\pi \omega$ ). "Beside Carpus," at his house. Not mentioned elsewhere. Probably a visit to Troas after Paul's return from Crete.

The books ( $\tau \alpha \beta ı \beta \lambda \iota)$. Probably papyrus rolls. One can only guess what rolls the old preacher longs to have with him, probably copies of Old Testament books, possibly copies of his own letters, and other books used and loved. The old preacher can be happy with his books.

Especially the parchments ( $\mu \alpha \lambda ı \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \mu \beta \rho \alpha v \alpha \varsigma)$. Latin membrana. The dressed skins were first made at Pergamum and so termed "parchments." These in particular would likely be copies of Old Testament books, parchment being more expensive than papyrus, possibly even copies of Christ's sayings (Lu 1:1-4). We recall that in Ac 26:24 Festus referred to Paul's learning ( $\tau \alpha \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha)$. He would not waste his time in prison.

2Tim 4:14
Alexander the coppersmith (A $\lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha v \delta \rho o \varsigma$ o $\chi \alpha \lambda \kappa \varepsilon v \varsigma)$. Old word, only here in N.T., for metal-worker (copper, iron, gold, etc.). Possibly the one in 1:20, but not the one in Ac 19:33f. unless he afterwards became a Christian.

Did me much evil ( $\mu$ кака $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon ı \xi \alpha \tau о$ ). Evidently he had some personal dislike towards Paul and possibly also he was a Gnostic.

Will render ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \delta \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Future active of the same verb used in verse 8 , but with a very different atmosphere.

2Tim 4:15
Be thou ware also ( $\kappa \alpha \sigma \cup \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma o v)$. Present middle (direct) imperative of $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, "from whom keep thyself away."

Withstood ( $\alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\alpha v \theta \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, "stood against my words." See 3:8; Ga 2:11 .

2Tim 4:16
At my first defence ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta \alpha \pi o \lambda o \gamma(\alpha)$. Original sense of "apology" as in Php 1:7,16. Either the first stage in this trial or the previous trial and acquittal at the end of the first Roman imprisonment. Probably the first view is correct, though really there is no way to decide.
 middle indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ү \stackrel{\nu}{\boldsymbol{\prime}} \mu \alpha$ ). See 1Co 16:3 .

But all forsook me ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ו \pi \frac{\nu}{}$ ). Same verb and tense used of Demas above (verse 10), "But all were forsaking me" (one by one) or, if aorist $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda ı \pi о \nu$, "all at once left me."

May it not be laid to their account ( $\mu \eta$ वט in future wish with negative $\mu \eta$. Common Pauline verb $\lambda о ү \iota \zeta$ ¢о $\alpha$ (1Co 13:5; Ro 4:3,5 ).

2Tim 4:17
But the Lord stood by me (о $\delta \varepsilon \kappa v \rho ı \rho \varsigma ~ \mu о ~ \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta) . ~ S e c o n d ~ a o r i s t ~ a c t i v e ~ o f ~ \pi \alpha \rho ı \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ (intransitive use), "took his stand by my side." See Ro 16:2 . Clearly Jesus appeared to Paul now at this crisis and climax as he had done so many times before.

Strengthened me ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \delta u v \alpha \mu \omega \sigma \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon)$. "Poured power into me." See Php 4:13.
That through me the message might be fully proclaimed (ıva $\delta \iota$ ' $\varepsilon \mu \circ v$ то к $\eta \rho \cup \gamma \mu \alpha$ $\pi \lambda \eta \rho о \varphi о \rho \eta \theta \eta)$. Final clause with $t v \alpha$ and first aorist passive subjunctive of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho о \varphi о \rho \varepsilon \omega$ (see verse 5). Either to the rulers in Rome now or, if the first imprisonment, by his release and going to Spain.

And that all the Gentiles might hear ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \kappa о v \sigma \omega \sigma \iota v \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \varepsilon \theta v \eta)$. Continuation of the purpose with the aorist active subjunctive of aкоvш.

I was delivered out of the mouth of the lion ( $\varepsilon \rho \cup \sigma \theta \eta \nu \varepsilon \kappa \sigma \tau о \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ \lambda \varepsilon о \nu \tau о \varsigma)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\rho v o \mu \alpha$ ( $1 \mathrm{Th} 1: 10$ ). A proverb, but not certain what the application is whether to Nero or to Satan (1Th 2:18) or to the lion in the arena where Paul could not be sent because a Roman citizen.

2Tim 4:18
Will deliver me ( $\rho v \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon$ ). Future middle. Recall the Lord's Prayer. Paul is not afraid of death. He will find his triumph in death (Php 1:21f.).
 of glory as in 1Co 15:24,50. He will save ( $\sigma \omega \sigma \varepsilon$, effective future) me there finally and free from all evil.

To whom be the glory ( $\omega \eta \delta 0 \xi \alpha$ ). No verb in the Greek. Paul's final doxology, his Swan Song, to Christ as in Ro 9:5; 16:27.

2Tim 4:19
Prisca and Aquila ( $П \rho ь \sigma \chi$ к ка Aки入аv). Paul's friends now back in Ephesus, no longer in Rome (Rom 16:3). See 1:16 for the house of Onesiphorus.

2Tim 4:20
Erastus (Eрaбтoऽ). See Ac 19:22; Ro 16:23.
Trophimus (Трочцоv). A native of Ephesus and with Paul in Jerusalem (Ac 20:4; 21:29 ).

At Miletus sick ( $\varepsilon v \mathrm{M} \lambda \lambda \eta \tau \omega \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v o u v \tau \alpha$ ). Present active participle of $\alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \omega$, to be weak. Probably on Paul's return from Crete.

2Tim 4:21
Before winter ( $\pi \rho \circ \chi \varepsilon \not \varepsilon \omega v \circ \varsigma)$ ). Pathetic item if Paul was now in the Mamertine Dungeon in Rome with winter coming on and without his cloak for which he asked. How long he had been in prison this time we do not know. He may even have spent the previous winter or
part of it here. Eubulus, Pudens, Linus, Claudia are all unknown otherwise. Irenaeus does speak of Linus.

The Lord be with thy Spirit (о кvpıos $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ тov $\pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ \sigma о v)$. Let us hope that Timothy and Mark reached Paul before winter, before the end came, with the cloak and with the books. Our hero, we may be sure, met the end nobly. He is already more than conqueror in Christ who is by his side and who will welcome him to heaven and give him his crown. Luke, Timothy, Mark will do all that mortal hands can do to cheer the heart of Paul with human comfort. He already had the comfort of Christ in full measure.

EPISTLE TO TITUS
PROBABLY 66 OR 67

APPARENTLY FROM NICOPOLIS

## Titus 1

Titus 1:1
According to the faith of God's elect ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota v \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v \theta \varepsilon o v)$. Here ката expresses the aim of Paul's apostleship, not the standard by which he was chosen as in Php 3:14; a classic idiom, repeated here with $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma v \omega \sigma \iota v, \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \iota \alpha v, \varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \alpha \gamma \eta \nu$, "with a view to" in each case. For "God's elect" see Ro 8:33; Col 3:12 .

The knowledge ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma v \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). "Full knowledge," one of Paul's favourite words. For the phrase see 1Ti 2:4.

Which is according to godliness ( $\left.\tau \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \cup \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \iota \alpha v\right)$. "The (truth) with a view to godliness." The combination of faith and full knowledge of the truth is to bring godliness on the basis of the hope of life eternal.

Titus 1:2
God who cannot lie (o $\alpha \psi \varepsilon v \delta \eta \varsigma \theta \varepsilon o \varsigma)$ ) "The non-lying God." Old adjective (a privative and $\psi \varepsilon \cup \delta \eta \varsigma)$, here only in N.T. See 2Ti 2:13. In Polycarp's last prayer.

Promised ( $\varepsilon \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon i \lambda \alpha \tau o$ ). First aorist middle indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$. Antithesis in $\varepsilon \varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \omega \sigma \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon$ (manifested) in verse 3 (first aorist active indicative of $\varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho o \omega)$. Same contrast in Ro 16:25; Col 1:26.

Before times eternal ( $\pi \rho \circ \chi \rho \circ \nu \omega \nu \alpha \omega \omega v \omega v$ ). Not to God's purpose before time began (Eph 1:4; 2Ti 1:9), but to definite promises (Ro 9:4) made in time (Lock). "Long ages ago." See Ro 16:25.

Titus 1:3
In his own seasons (кaıроıя ıঠııя). Locative case. See $1 \mathrm{Ti} 2: 6 ; 6: 15$.
In the message ( $\varepsilon \nu \kappa \eta \rho \cup \gamma \mu \alpha \tau$ ). See 1Co 1:21; 2:4 for this word, the human proclamation (preaching) of God's word.

Wherewith I was intrusted (o $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \theta \eta \nu)$. Accusative relative o retained with the first aorist passive indicative of $\pi \quad \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \omega$ as in 1Ti 1:11. See 1Ti 2:7.

Of God our Saviour ( $\tau 0 v \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \circ \varsigma \eta \mu \omega v \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). In verse 4 he applies the words " $\tau 0$ $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho o \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \omega v^{\prime \prime}$ to Christ. In 2:13 he applies both $\theta \varepsilon o v$ and $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho o \varsigma$ to Christ.

Titus 1:4
My true child ( $\gamma \nu \eta \sigma \omega \tau \varepsilon \kappa \nu \omega)$. See 1Ti 1:2 for this adjective with Timothy. Titus is not mentioned in Acts, possibly because he is Luke's brother. But one can get a clear picture of him by turning to 2Co 2:13; 7:6-15; 8:6-24; 12:16-18; Ga 2:1-3; Tit 1:4f.; 3:12; 2Ti 4:10 . He had succeeded in Corinth where Timothy had failed. Paul had left him in Crete as superintendent of the work there. Now he writes him from Nicopolis (Tit 3:12).

After a common faith ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa о \iota \nu \eta v \pi \iota \tau \iota v)$. Here ката does mean standard, not aim, but it is a faith ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota v$ ) common to a Gentile (a Greek) like Titus as well as to a Jew like Paul
and so common to all races and classes (Jude 1:3). Kovos does not here have the notion of unclean as in Ac 10:14; 11:8 .

Titus 1:5
For this cause ( $\tau$ ovtov $\chi \alpha \rho ı v$ ). In N.T. only here and Eph 3:1,14. Paul may be supplementing oral instruction as in Timothy's case and may even be replying to a letter from Titus (Zahn).

Left I thee in Crete ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi o \nu \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \mathrm{~K} \rho \eta \tau \eta)$. This is the imperfect active of $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon ı \pi \omega$,
 Both are common verbs, though Paul uses $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ו \pi \omega$ only in 1Th 3:1 except two quotations (Ro 11:4; Eph 5:31) and $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon ı \pi \omega$ only here and 2Ti 4:13,20. Perhaps $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon ı \pi \omega$ suggests a more temporary stay than $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ו \pi \omega$. Paul had apparently stopped in Crete on his return from Spain about A.D. 65.

That thou shouldest set in order (ıva $\varepsilon \pi \iota \delta \iota o \rho \theta \omega \sigma \eta$ ). Late and rare double compound (inscriptions, here only in N.T.), first aorist middle subjunctive (final clause with $\mathrm{v} \alpha$ ) of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \delta \iota o \rho \theta$ o $\omega$, to set straight ( $o \rho \theta$ o $\omega$ ) thoroughly ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ ) in addition ( $\varepsilon \pi$ ), a clean job of it.

The things that were wanting ( $\tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ו \pi о \nu \tau \alpha$ ). "The things that remain." See 3:13; Lu 18:22. Either things left undone or things that survive. In both senses the new pastor faces problems after the tornado has passed. Parry takes it "of present defects" in Cretan character.

And appoint (ка катабтпбๆџ). Final clause still and first aorist active subjunctive of $\kappa \alpha \theta เ \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, the word used in Ac 6:13 about the deacons. The word does not preclude the choice by the churches (in every city, ката $\pi о \lambda \iota \downarrow$, distributive use of ката). This is a chief point in the $\varepsilon \pi \iota \delta o \rho \theta \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma$ (White).

Elders ( $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ и \varsigma)$. See $1 \mathrm{Ti} 3: 2 ; 4: 17$.
As I gave thee charge ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \omega \sigma 0 \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi \alpha \mu \eta \nu$ ). First aorist (constative) middle imperative of $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, clear reference to previous personal details given to Titus on previous occasions.

Titus 1:6
Blameless ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \tau \pi \varsigma)$. In a condition of first class. Used in 1Ti 3:10 of deacons which see.

That believe ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ ). Added to what is in 1Ti 3:4. "Believing children."
Not accused of riot ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \quad \rho \iota \alpha \alpha \sigma \omega \tau \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. See 1Ti 5:19 for кат $\eta \gamma$ орı $\alpha$ and Eph 5:18 for $\alpha \sigma \omega \tau \iota \alpha$. "Not in accusation of profligacy."

Unruly ( $\alpha v \cup \pi о \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \alpha)$. See 1Ti 1:9. Public disorder, out of doors. See also verse 10.
Titus 1:7
The bishop ( $\tau 0 v \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \circ \pi \sigma v$ ). Same office as "elder" in 1:5. "Elder is the title, oversight is the function" (B. Weiss).

As God's steward ( $\omega \varsigma$ हعov oוкоvo $\mu$ ov). See 1Co 4:1f. for Paul's idea of the bishop (elder) as God's steward (cf. 1Co 9:17; Col 1:25; Eph 3:2; 1Ti 1:4 ).

Not self-willed ( $\mu \eta \alpha v \theta \alpha \delta \eta$ ). Old word (from $\alpha v \tau o \sigma, \eta \delta o \mu \alpha$ ), self-pleasing, arrogant. In N.T. only here and 2Pe 2:10 .

Not soon angry (o $\rho \gamma \iota \lambda o v$ ). Old adjective from o $\rho \gamma \eta$ (anger). Here only in N.T. Vulgate, iracundum. For "brawler" and "striker" see 1Ti 3:2 .

Not greedy of filthy lucre ( $\alpha \iota \sigma \chi \rho \circ \kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \eta$ ). "Not greedy of shameful gain." Used of deacons in 1Ti 3:8, a $1 \lambda \alpha \rho \gamma \cup \rho o v$ used of elders in 1Ti 3:3.

Titus 1:8
A lover of good $(\varphi \iota \lambda \alpha \gamma \beta \theta \sigma)$. Late double compound ( $\varphi \iota \lambda o \sigma, \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta o \varsigma)$. See Wisdom 7:22. Here only in N.T. Just ( $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \circ$ ), holy (ođıov) not in 1Ti 3 .

Temperate ( $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \eta$ ). Old and common adjective ( $\varepsilon \nu, \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma$, strength), having power over, controlling, here only in N.T. Picture of self-control.

Titus 1:9
Holding to ( $\alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. Present middle participle of $\alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \chi \omega$, old verb, to hold back, in middle to hold oneself face to face with, to cling to, as in 1Th 5:14.

The faithful word ( $\tau 00 \pi$ Iotov $\lambda o \gamma o v$ ). See $1 \mathrm{Ti} \mathrm{1:15;} \mathrm{6:3;} \mathrm{Ro} \mathrm{16:17}$. reference here to Christ as the Personal Logos.

That he may be able ( $\imath v \alpha \delta v v a \tau o \varsigma ~ \eta$ ). Final clause with present active subjunctive. Paul several times uses $\delta v v a \tau o \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \mu$ in the sense of $\delta v v a \mu \alpha$, with infinitive as here (Ro 4:21; 11:23; 2Ti 1:12).

The gainsayers ( $\tau 0 v \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau \iota \lambda \varepsilon \gamma o v \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\alpha v \tau \iota \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, old word, to answer back, as in Ro 10:21 . "The talkers back."

Titus 1:10
Vain talkers ( $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha \circ \lambda о \gamma o$ ). Late and rare compound, empty talkers, in Vett. Val. and here. See 1Ti 1:6 for $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha ı \lambda о \gamma \iota a$.

Deceivers ( $\varphi \rho \varepsilon v a \pi \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Late and rare compound, in papyri, eccl. writers, here alone in N.T. "Mind-deceivers." See Ga 6:3 for $\varphi \rho \varepsilon v a \pi \alpha \tau \alpha ı v$.

Specially they of the circumcision ( $\mu \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ о $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau о \mu \eta \varsigma$ ). Same phrase in Ac 11:2; Ga 2:12; Col 4:11. Jews are mentioned in Crete in Ac 2:11 . Apparently Jewish Christians of the Pharisaic type tinged with Gnosticism.

Titus 1:11
Whose mouths must be stopped (ovৎ $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \mu \iota \zeta \varepsilon ı$ ). Literally, "whom it is necessary to silence by stopping the mouth." Present active infinitive $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \mu \iota \zeta \varepsilon \imath$, old and common $\operatorname{verb}(\varepsilon \pi, \sigma \tau o \mu \alpha$, mouth), here only in N.T. To stop the mouth either with bridle or muzzle or gag.

Overthrow (avat $\rho \varepsilon \pi \sigma \sigma \sigma \iota$ ). Old and common verb, to turn up, to overturn. In N.T. only here and $2 \mathrm{Ti} 2: 18$. In papyri to upset a family by perversion of one member.

Things which they ought not ( $\alpha \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon$ ). Note subjective negative $\mu \eta$ with indefinite relative and indicative mode.

For filthy lucre's sake ( $\alpha \bullet \sigma \chi \rho \circ \cup$ к $\varepsilon \rho \delta o v \varsigma ~ \chi \alpha \rho เ v)$. The Cretans are given a bad reputation for itinerating prophets for profit by Polybius, Livy, Plutarch. Paul's warnings in 1Ti 3:3,8; 6:5 reveal it as "a besetting temptation of the professional teacher" (Parry). See verse 7 above. Disgraceful gain, made in shameful ways.

Titus 1:12
A prophet of their own ( $\llcorner\delta \iota \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \omega v \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \eta \varsigma)$. "Their own prophet." Self-styled "prophet" (or poet), and so accepted by the Cretans and by Cicero and Apuleius, that is Epimenides who was born in Crete at Cnossos. It is a hexameter line and Callimachus quoted the first part of it in a Hymn to Zeus. It is said that Epimenides suggested to the Athenians the erection of statues to "unknown gods" (Ac 17:23).

Liars ( $\psi \varepsilon v \sigma \tau \alpha$ ). See 1Ti 1:10 for the word. The Cretans had a bad reputation on this line, partly due to their claim to having the tomb of Zeus.

Evil beasts (кака $\theta \eta \rho ı \alpha$ ). "Wicked wild beasts." Lock asks if the Minotaur was partly responsible.

Idle gluttons ( $\gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \rho \gamma \alpha$ ). "Idle bellies." Blunt and forceful. See Php 3:19 "whose god is the belly" ( $\eta$ коı $\lambda ı \alpha)$. Both words give the picture of the sensual gormandizer.

Titus 1:13
Testimony ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho 1 \alpha$ ). Of the poet Epimenides. Paul endorses it from his recent knowledge.

Sharply ( $\alpha \pi о \tau о \mu \omega \varsigma$ ). Old adverb from $\alpha \pi о \tau о \mu \circ \varsigma$ (from $\alpha \pi о \tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \omega$, to cut off), in N.T. only here and 2Co 13:10, "curtly," "abruptly." It is necessary to appear rude sometimes for safety, if the house is on fire and life is in danger.

That they may be sound (ıva vүıaıvตoıv). Final clause with iva and present active subjunctive of $v \gamma \iota a \iota v \omega$, for which verb see on 1Ti 1:10.

Titus 1:14
 Perhaps a reference to the oral traditions condemned by Christ in Mr 7:2-8. See also Col 2:22, apparently Pharisaic type of Gnostics.

Who turn away from the truth ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \rho \mu \varepsilon v \omega v$ ). Present middle (direct) participle of $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, "men turning themselves away from the truth" (accusative according to regular idiom). "The truth" (1Ti 4:3) is the gospel (Eph 4:21).

Titus 1:15
To them that are defiled ( $\tau o \iota \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \mu \iota \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \iota \varsigma)$. Perfect passive articular participle of $\mu \mu \iota v \omega$, old verb, to dye with another colour, to stain, in N.T. only here, Jude 1:8; Heb 12:15 . See $\mu \varepsilon \mu \mu \alpha \tau \tau \alpha$ (perf. pass. indic.) in this verse. Mo $\lambda v v \omega$ (1Co 8:7) is to smear.

Unbelieving (ađıбтoı¢). As in 1Co 7:12f.; 1Ti 5:8. The principle or proverb just quoted appears also in 1Co 6:12; 10:23; Ro 14:20. For the defilement of mind (vous) and conscience ( $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \iota \delta \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma)$ in both Gentile and Jew by sin, see Ro 1:18-2:29.

Titus 1:16
They profess (o $\rho 0 \lambda o \gamma o v \sigma \iota v$ ). Present active indicative of o $\mu \mathrm{o} \lambda o \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, common verb (o $\mu o v, \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ) as in Ro 10:10f . Eı $\delta \varepsilon v a$ (know) is second perfect active infinitive of ot $\delta \alpha$ in indirect assertion.

By their works ( $\tau o \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \rho \gamma o \iota \varsigma)$. Instrumental case.
They deny ( $\alpha \rho v o u v \tau \alpha$ ). Present middle of $\alpha \rho v \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$, old verb, common in the Gospels and the Pastoral Epistles (1Ti 5:8; Tit 2:12; 2Ti 2:12).

Abominable ( $\beta \delta \varepsilon \lambda \cup \kappa \tau о$ ). Verbal adjective from $\beta \delta \varepsilon \lambda \nu \sigma \sigma o \mu \alpha$. Only in LXX and here.
Disobedient ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \neq \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. See Ro 1:30.
Reprobate ( $\alpha \delta$ окıцо). See on 1Co 9:27; Ro 1:28.

## Titus 2

Titus 2:1
But speak thou ( $\sigma v \delta \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ ). In contrast to these Pharisaic Gnostics in Crete.
Befit ( $\pi \rho \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$ ). Old verb to be becoming, seemly. See 1Ti 2:10; Eph 5:3. With dative case $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \iota \alpha$.

Sound (vyıaıvovoף). Healthful as in 1:13;2:2;1Ti 1:10, common word in the Pastorals.
Titus 2:2
Aged men ( $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. See Phm 1:9 for this word. For discussion of family life see also Co 3:18-4:1; Eph 5:22-6:9; 1Ti 5:1-6:2 . For the adjectives here see $1 \mathrm{Ti} 3: 2,8$; for the substantives see 1 Ti 6:11.

Titus 2:3
Aged women ( $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta v \tau i \delta \alpha \varsigma)$. Old word, feminine of $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \eta \varsigma$, only here in N.T. See $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \varepsilon \rho a \varsigma$ in 1Ti 5:2.

Reverent (ı $\varepsilon \rho о \pi \rho \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Old word ( $\varepsilon \iota \rho о \sigma, \pi \rho \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon)$. Only here in N.T. Same idea in 1 Ti 2:10. Like people engaged in sacred duties (Lock).

In demeanour ( $\varepsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha \tau$ ). Late and rare word (inscriptions) from $\kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, deportment, only here in N.T.

Not slanderers ( $\mu \eta \delta \operatorname{l} \alpha \beta \mathrm{o} \lambda \mathrm{ov}$ ). See 1Ti 3:11; 2Ti 3:3.
Nor enslaved to much wine ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon o \iota \nu \omega \pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \delta \varepsilon \delta o u \lambda \omega \mu \varepsilon v a \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle of $\delta o v \lambda o \omega$, with dative case otv $\omega$. See $1 \mathrm{Ti} 3: 8$. "It is proved by experience that the reclamation of a woman drunkard is almost impossible" (White). But God can do the "impossible."

Teachers of that which is good ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda о \delta ı \delta \alpha \sigma \alpha \lambda о \cup \varsigma) . ~ C o m p o u n d ~ w o r d ~ f o u n d ~ h e r e ~$ alone, bona docentes (teaching good and beautiful things). A sorely needed mission.

Titus 2:4
That they may train ( $\iota \alpha \sigma \omega \varphi \rho o v \iota \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). Purpose clause, $\iota v \alpha$ and present active subjunctive of $\sigma \omega \varphi \rho o v i \zeta \omega$, old verb (from $\sigma \omega \varphi \rho \omega \nu$, sound in mind, $\sigma \alpha \sigma \sigma, \varphi \rho \eta \nu$, as in this verse), to make sane, to restore to one's senses, to discipline, only here in N.T.

To love their husbands ( $\varphi \lambda \lambda \nu \delta \rho o v \varsigma \varepsilon เ v \alpha$ ). Predicate accusative with $\varepsilon เ v \alpha$ of old adjective $\varphi i \lambda \alpha v \delta \rho \circ \varsigma$ ( $\varphi \iota \lambda o \sigma$, $\alpha \nu \eta \rho$, fond of one's husband), only here in N.T. A $\nu \eta \rho$ means man, of course, as well as husband, but only husband here, not "fond of men" (other men than their own).
 exhortation is still needed where some married women prefer poodle-dogs to children.

Titus 2:5
Workers at home (o七коирүоия). So the oldest MSS. (from о七коб, $\varepsilon \rho \gamma о v$ ) instead of oוкоироия, keepers at home (from коьбо, ov оос, keeper). Rare word, found in Soranus, a medical writer, Field says. Cf. 1Ti 5:13 . "Keepers at home" are usually "workers at home."

Kind ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta a \varsigma$ ). See Ro 5:7. See Col 3:18; Eph 5:22 for the same use of v $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha \sigma \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha$, to be in subjection. Note $\delta$ oloı (their own). See $1 \mathrm{Ti} 6: 1$ for the same negative purpose clause ( $\downarrow \alpha \mu \eta \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \eta \tau \alpha$ ).

Titus 2:6
The younger men ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma \nu \varepsilon \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \cup \varsigma)$. Just one item, besides "likewise" (oбavt $\omega \varsigma$ as in 3; 1Ti 2,9), "to be soberminded" ( $\sigma \omega \varphi \rho$ oveıv, old verb as in Rom 12:3 ). It is possible to take "in all things" ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha$ ) with $\sigma \omega \varphi \rho \circ v \varepsilon \iota v$, though the editors take it with verse 7 .

Titus 2:7
Shewing thyself ( $\sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau 0 v \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Present middle (redundant middle) participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$ with the reflexive pronoun $\sigma \varepsilon \alpha u \tau 0 v$ as if the active voice $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega v$. The Koine shows an increasing number of such constructions (Robertson, Grammar, p. 811). See active in 1Ti 1:4.

An ensample ( $\tau \cup \pi \sigma v$ ). For this word see 2Th 3:9; Php 3:17.
Uncorruptness ( $\alpha \varphi \theta$ opıav). Only example, from late adjective $\alpha \varphi \theta$ o $\rho o s$ ( $\alpha$ privative and $\varphi \theta \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega)$.

Titus 2:8
Sound ( $v \gamma \iota \hat{A} \eta$, Attic usually $u \gamma \iota \hat{A} \alpha$ in accusative singular), elsewhere in Pastorals participle $u \nmid a v A ̂ \omega v$ (verse 1).

That cannot be condemned ( $\alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma v \omega \sigma \tau \sigma v$ ). Only N.T. example (verbal, a privative and катаүvตбтоৎ) and in IV Macc. 4:47. Deissmann (Bible Studies, p. 200) quotes it from an inscription and the adverb from a papyrus.

He that is of the contrary part (o $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon v a v \tau i a c)$ ) "The one on the opposite side" (your opponent). Cf. verse 9; 1Ti 5:14.

May be ashamed ( $\imath v \alpha \varepsilon v \tau \rho \alpha \pi \eta$ ). Final clause with $t v \alpha$ and second aorist passive subjunctive of $\varepsilon v \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega$, to turn, in middle and passive to turn one on himself and so be ashamed (to blush) as in 2Th 3:14; 1Co 4:14. This sense in the papyri.

Evil ( $\varphi$ au $\bar{\lambda}$ ov). Old word, easy (easy morals), worthless; bad, as in 2Co 5:10 .
Titus 2:9
 (סєототаıц).

Well-pleasing (evapsбтouc). See on 2Co 5:9.
Not gainsaying ( $\mu \eta \alpha v \tau \iota \lambda \varepsilon \gamma 0 v \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. "Not answer back." See Ro 10:21.
Titus 2:10
Not purloining ( $\mu \eta$ voo $\varphi \iota \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma)$. Present middle participle of $\operatorname{vo\sigma } \varphi \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb (from $\operatorname{vo\sigma } \varphi$, apart), in middle to set apart for oneself, to embezzle, in N.T. only here and Ac 5:2f.

Fidelity ( $\pi / \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). See Ga 5:22; $1 \mathrm{Ti} 5: 12$ for $\pi / \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$ in the sense of faithfulness. Nowhere else in the N.T. do we have $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \eta$ with $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$ as here, but an Oxyr. papyrus (iii. 494, 9) has
this very phrase ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha v \pi \iota \sigma \tau เ v \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon ı \kappa v \nu \mu \varepsilon v \eta)$. Westcott and Hort put $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta v$ in the margin. See 3:2.

That they may adorn ( $\quad v \alpha$ коб $\mu \omega \sigma \tau v$ ). Final clause with $\mathrm{v} \alpha$ and present active subjunctive. See 1 Ti 2:9 for коб $\mu \varepsilon \omega$. Paul shows slaves how they may "adorn" the teaching of God.

Titus 2:11
Hath appeared ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \varphi a \vee \eta)$. "Did appear," the first Epiphany (the Incarnation). Second aorist passive indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi \alpha \iota v \omega$, old verb, in N.T. here, 3:4; Lu 1:79; Ac 27:20 .

Bringing salvation ( $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho เ \circ \varsigma)$. Old adjective from $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$ (Saviour), here alone in N.T. except to $\sigma \omega \tau \tau \rho \iota o v$ (salvation, "the saving act") in Lu 2:30; 3:6; Eph 6:17 .

Instructing ( $\pi \alpha \downarrow \delta \varepsilon v o v \sigma \alpha)$. See 1Ti 1:20 .
Ungodliness ( $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ ). See Ro 1:18.
 коб $о \varsigma$ ) about the universe as in Heb 9:1 about the earthly. Here it has alone in N.T. the sense of evil "in this present age" as with кобноя in 1Jo 2:16. The three adverbs set off the opposite (soberly $\sigma \omega \varphi \rho \circ \vee \omega \varsigma$, righteously $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \omega \varsigma$, godly $\varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \beta \omega \varsigma$ ).

Titus 2:13
Looking for ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present middle participle of $\pi \rho o \sigma \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, old verb, the one used of Simeon ( $\operatorname{Lu} 2: 25$ ) and others ( $\operatorname{Lu} 2: 38$ ) who were looking for the Messiah.

The blessed hope and appearing of the glory ( $\tau \eta \nu \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho ı \alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta \alpha \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi a v \varepsilon ı \nu \nu \tau \eta \varsigma$ $\delta o \xi \eta \varsigma)$. The word $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi a v \varepsilon ⿺ a$ (used by the Greeks of the appearance of the gods, from $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi \alpha v \eta \sigma, \varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi \alpha \iota \nu \omega)$ occurs in 2Ti 1:10 of the Incarnation of Christ, the first Epiphany (like the verb $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \vee \eta$, Tit 2:11 ), but here of the second Epiphany of Christ or the second coming as in 1Ti 6:14; 2Ti 4:1,8. In 2Th 2:8 both ertıpaveıa and $\pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma ı \alpha$ (the usual word) occur together of the second coming.

Of our great God and Saviour Jesus Christ ( $\tau \circ \cup \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda o v \theta \varepsilon o v ~ к \alpha ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho o s ~ I \eta \sigma o v$ Xpıotov). This is the necessary meaning of the one article with $\theta$ عov and $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho o \varsigma$ just as in 2 Pe 1:1,11. See Robertson, Grammar, p. 786. Westcott and Hort read X $\rho ı \sigma \tau o v \mathrm{I} \eta \sigma o v$.

Titus 2:14
Who gave himself for us ( $\mathrm{o} \varsigma \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau o v ~ v \pi \varepsilon \rho ~ \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Paul's great doctrine ( $\mathrm{Ga} 1: 4$; 2:20; 1Ti 2:6).

That he might redeem us (iva $\lambda \nu \tau \rho \omega \sigma \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Final clause, $t v \alpha$ and the aorist middle subjunctive of $\lambda \nu \tau \rho o \omega$, old verb from $\lambda \nu \tau \rho o v$ (ransom), in N.T. only here, Lu 24:21; 1 Pe 1:18.

Purify to himself ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \eta \varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \omega)$. Final clause with first aorist active subjunctive of $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, for which verb see Eph 5:26 .

Lawlessness ( $\alpha$ vouıac). See 2Th 2:3.

A people for his own possession ( $\lambda \alpha o v \pi \varepsilon \rho เ o v \sigma ı v$ ). A late word (from $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \iota \mu$, to be over and above, in papyri as well as $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ o v \sigma ı \alpha)$, only in LXX and here, apparently made by the LXX, one's possession, and so God's chosen people. See 1Pe 2:9 ( $\lambda \alpha 0 \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \rho ı \pi о ı \eta \sigma \iota)$.

Zealous of good works ( $\zeta \eta \lambda \omega \tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \nu \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega \nu)$. "A zealot for good works." Substantive for which see 1Co 14:12; Ga 1:14. Objective genitive $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega \nu$.

Titus 2:15
With all authority ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \alpha \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. See $1 \operatorname{Co} 7: 6 ; 2 \operatorname{Co} 8: 8$. Assertion of authority is sometimes necessary.

Let no man despise thee ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \sigma o v \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \rho \rho о \nu \varepsilon \iota \tau \omega$ ). Present active imperative in prohibition of $\pi \varepsilon \rho\llcorner\rho \rho \circ \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, only here in N.T., to think around (on all sides). Literally, "let no man think around thee" (and so despise thee). In 1Ti 4:12 it is ката甲 $\quad$ oveıt $\omega$ (think down on), a stronger word of scorn, but this one implies the possibility of one making mental circles around one and so "out-thinking" him. The best way for the modern minister to command respect for his "authority" is to do thinking that will deserve it.

## Titus 3

Titus 3:1
To be in subjection to rulers, to authorities, to be obedient ( $\alpha \rho \chi \alpha ı \varsigma \varepsilon \xi$ ovoıaı, ขлотаббєбӨа $\pi \varepsilon ө \alpha \rho \chi \varepsilon ı v)$. Remarkable double asyndeton, no ка (and) between the two substantives or the two verbs. Пعı $\theta a \rho \chi \varepsilon \iota \nu$ (to obey), old verb (from $\pi \varepsilon \iota \theta$ o $\mu \alpha \iota, \alpha \rho \chi \eta$ ), in N.T. only here and Ac 27:21.

To be ready unto every good work ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \pi \alpha \nu \varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ \nu \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta 0 \nu \varepsilon \tau \circ \mu \rho \nu \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \nu \alpha$ ). Pauline phrase (2Co 9:8; $2 \mathrm{Ti} 2: 21 ; 3: 17$ ), here adjective $\varepsilon \tau о \boldsymbol{\mu}$ о (2Co 9:5 ), there verb.

Titus 3:2
To speak evil ( $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota v$ ). See Col 3:8; 1 Ti 6:4.
Not to be contentious ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \chi o v \varsigma \varepsilon ı v \alpha$ ). "To be non-fighters" (1Ti 3:3 ), originally "invincible."

Gentle ( $\varepsilon \pi เ \varepsilon เ \kappa \varepsilon ı \varsigma) . ~ S e e ~ 1 T i ~ 3: 3 . ~$.
Meekness ( $\pi \rho \alpha v \tau \eta \tau \alpha) . ~ П \rho \alpha o \tau \eta \tau \alpha$. See Col 3:12.
Titus 3:3
Aforetime ( $\pi 0 \tau \varepsilon$ ). "Once" in our unconverted state as in Eph 2:3 .
Foolish (avoŋтo). See Ro 1:14,21.
Disobedient ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon$ ( $\theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. See Ro 1:30.
Deceived ( $\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \omega \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present passive participle of $\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \alpha \omega$ though the middle is possible.
 only here, Lu 8:14; Jas 4:1,3; 2Pe 2:13 ). Поıкı入aıৎ (old word) is many-coloured as in Mr 1:34; Jas 1:2; 2Ti 3:6, etc.

Living ( $\delta$ ı $\alpha$ үovtєৎ). See 1Ti 3:6 (supply $\beta$ ıov).
In malice ( $\varepsilon v$ какıа). See Ro 1:29.
Envy ( $\varphi \theta$ ov $\omega$ ). See Ro 1:29.
Hateful ( $\sigma \tau v \gamma \eta \tau 0$ ). Late passive verbal from $\sigma \tau v \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, to hate. In Philo, only here in N.T.

Hating one another ( $\mu \iota \sigma o v \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ a \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o v \varsigma)$. Active sense and natural result of being "hateful."

Titus 3:4
The kindness ( $\eta$ Х $\rho \eta \sigma \tau 0 \tau \eta \varsigma)$. See Ro 2:4 for this very word used of God as here.
His love toward man $(\eta \varphi i \lambda \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \iota \alpha)$. "The philanthrophy of God our Saviour." Old word from $\varphi i \lambda \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma$, for love of mankind, in N.T. only here and Ac 28:2 .

Appeared $(\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \varphi a \nu \eta)$. See 2:11 and here as there the Incarnation of Christ. See 1Ti 1:1 for $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$ with $\theta \varepsilon \circ \varsigma$ (God).

Titus 3:5

Done (not in the Greek, only the article $\tau \hat{A} \omega v$ ), "not as a result of works those in righteousness which we did." Same idea as in Ro 3:20f .

According to his mercy he saved us (ката тo av $\frac{10 v}{} \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). See Ps 109:26; 1 Pe 1:3; Eph 2:4. Effective aorist active indicative of $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$.

Through the washing of regeneration ( $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda o v \tau \rho o v \pi \alpha \lambda ı v \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \iota \alpha)$ ). Late and common word with the Stoics (Dibelius) and in the Mystery-religions (Angus), also in the papyri and Philo. Only twice in the N.T. (Mt 19:28 with which compare a $\quad$ окатабтабıa in Ac 3:21, and here in personal sense of new birth). For $\lambda o v \tau \rho o v$, see Eph 5:26, here as there the laver or the bath. Probably in both cases there is a reference to baptism, but, as in Ro 6:3-6, the immersion is the picture or the symbol of the new birth, not the means of securing it.

And renewing of the Holy Spirit ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha v \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma \alpha \nLeftarrow v)$. "And renewal by the Holy Spirit" (subjective genitive). For the late word avakaıv $\omega \sigma \iota$, see Ro 12:2 . Here, as often, Paul has put the objective symbol before the reality. The Holy Spirit does the renewing, man submits to the baptism after the new birth to picture it forth to men.

Titus 3:6
Which (ov). Genitive case by attraction from o (grammatical gender) to the case of $\pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu a \tau o \varsigma ~ a \gamma เ o v$. We do not have grammatical gender (only natural) in English. Hence here we should say "whom," even if it does not go smoothly with $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \varepsilon v$ (he poured out, second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \chi \varepsilon \omega$ ). The reference is to the great Pentecost (Ac 2:33) as foretold by Joel (Joe 2:28).

Richly ( $\pi \lambda \operatorname{lov\sigma ı} \omega \varsigma$ ). Then and to each one in his own experience. See Ro 10:12; 1Ti 6:17

Titus 3:7
Being justified by his grace ( $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \omega \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \eta \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o v \chi \alpha \rho ı \tau)$. First aorist passive participle of $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega$ and instrumental case of $\chi \alpha \rho ı \varsigma$ as in Ro 3:24; 5:1.

That we might be made heirs ( $\tau \alpha \alpha \lambda \eta \rho о v o \mu о \gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \theta \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ). Purpose with $\tau v \alpha$ and first aorist passive of $\gamma เ \nu o \mu a$. See Ro 4:13; 8:17.

Titus 3:8
The saying (o $\lambda о \gamma \circ \varsigma)$. In verses 4-7.
I will ( $\beta$ ov $\lambda o \mu \alpha$ ). See 1Ti 2:8.
That thou affirm confidently ( $\sigma \varepsilon \delta \operatorname{s} \alpha \beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \iota v \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Indirect command. For the verb see $1 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 7$.

That they may be careful ( $\imath \alpha \alpha \varphi \rho \circ v \tau \iota \zeta \omega \sigma \iota \nu)$. Sub-final use of $\tau \alpha \alpha$ with present active subjunctive of $\varphi \rho o v \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb, only here in N.T.

To maintain good works ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \nu \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega \nu \pi \rho \circ \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Present middle infinitive of $\pi \rho o เ \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, intransitive use, to stand before, to take the lead in, to care for. Paul is anxious that "believers" may take the lead in good works.

Titus 3:9

Fightings about the law ( $\mu$ ахаৎ vо $\mu \kappa \alpha \varsigma)$. "Legal battles." See 1Ti 6:4; 2 Ti 2:23 . Wordy fights about Mosaic and Pharisaic and Gnostic regulations.

Shun ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho u \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma o$ ). Present middle imperative of $\pi \varepsilon \rho u \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, intransitive, step around, stand aside (2Ti 2:16). Common in this sense in the literary Koine.

Unprofitable ( $\alpha v \omega \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Old compound adjective ( $\alpha$ privative and $o \varphi \varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma$ ), in N.T. only here and Heb 7:18.

Titus 3:10
Heretical (aıрєтıкov). Old adjective from aıpعбıs ( $\alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon о \mu \alpha$, to choose), a choosing of a party (sect, Ac 5:17) or of teaching (2Pe 2:1). Possibly a schism had been started here in Crete.

Refuse ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \tau 0 v)$. Present middle imperative of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon \omega$, to ask from, to beg off from. See same form in 1Ti 4:7; 5:11. Possibly an allusion here to Christ's directions in Mt 18:15-17.

Titus 3:11
Is perverted ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha)$. Perfect passive indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, old word to turn inside out, to twist, to pervert. Only here in N.T.

Self-condemned (а兀токатакрıтоц). Only known example of this double compound verbal adjective ( $\alpha \cup \tau о \sigma, \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha, \kappa \rho \imath \nu \omega)$.

Titus 3:12
When I shall send (o $(\alpha v \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \omega)$. Indefinite temporal clause with o $o \tau \alpha$ and the first aorist active subjunctive (or future indicative) of $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega$ (same form).

Artemas (A $\rho \tau \varepsilon \mu \alpha v$ ). Perhaps abbreviation of Artemidorus. Nothing more is known of him.

Or Tychicus ( $\eta$ Tuұıкоv). Paul's well-known disciple (Col 4:7; Eph 6:21; 2Ti 4:12).
To Nicopolis ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ Nıкото $\lambda \iota v$ ). Probably in Epirus, a good place for work in Dalmatia (2Ti 4:10).

I have determined (кєкріка). Perfect active indicative. I have decided.
To winter there ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \varepsilon \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha)$. First aorist active infinitive of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \varepsilon \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$, a literary Koine word for which see Ac 27:12; 1Co 16:6 .

Titus 3:13
Zenas the lawyer (Zquav tov voнiкov). Possibly abbreviation of Zenodorus and may be one of the bearers of the Epistle with Apollos. Probably an expert in the Mosaic law as the word means in the Gospels. A converted Jewish lawyer. The Latin term is jurisconsultum for vонког.

Apollos (A $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \lambda \omega v$ ). Paul's friend (Ac 18:24-19:1; 1Co 1:12ff. ).
Set forward ( $\pi \rho \circ \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \circ v$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\pi \rho \circ \pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega$, old verb, to send on ahead (1Co 16:6,11; Ro 15:24).

That nothing be wanting unto them (iva $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v$ avtoıs $\lambda \varepsilon ו \pi \eta$ ). Purpose with $v a$ and present (or second aorist $\lambda \iota \pi \eta$, some MSS.) subjunctive of $\lambda \varepsilon ו \pi \omega$, old verb to leave, to remain, to lack. With dative case here (avtors).

Titus 3:14
Our people (o $\eta \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho o$ ). "Our folks." The Cretan converts, not just Paul's friends.
Let learn ( $\mu \alpha v \theta \alpha v \varepsilon \tau \omega \sigma \alpha v$ ). Present active imperative, keep on learning how.
To maintain ( $\pi \rho o \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha)$. See verse 8.
For necessary uses ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ a v \alpha ү к \alpha ı \varsigma \varsigma ~ \chi \rho \varepsilon ı \alpha \varsigma) . ~ " F o r ~ n e c e s s a r y ~ w a n t s . " ~ N o ~ i d l e r s ~ w a n t e d . ~$ See 1Th 4:12; 2Th 3:10f.

Unfruitful (акарто). See 1Co 14:14; Eph 5:11 .
Titus 3:15
That love us ( $\tau$ ovৎ $\varphi$ ı $\lambda$ ouvtac $\eta \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). Paul craved the love of his friends as opposed to 2:8.

## THE EPISTLE TO PHILEMON

FROM ROME A.D. 63

## BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

This little letter was sent to Philemon by Onesimus, a converted runaway slave of Philemon, along with Tychicus who is going to Colossae with Onesimus (Col 4:7-9) as the bearer also of the so-called Epistle to the Ephesians (Eph 6:21f. ). Hence it is clear that these three Epistles were carried to the Province of Asia at the same time. Colossians was probably written before Ephesians which appears to be a general treatment of the same theme. Whether Philemon was actually penned before the other two there is no way of knowing. But it is put first here as standing apart. Probably Paul wrote it himself without dictation because in verse 19 it constitutes a note in his own hand to Philemon for what Onesimus may owe him. Paul applies the spirit of Christianity to the problem of slavery in words that have ultimately set the slaves free from bondage to men.

## Philemon 1

Phlm 1:1
A prisoner of Christ Jesus ( $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu$ ос X Old adjective from $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma$ (bond, $\delta \varepsilon \omega$, to bind). Apparently used here on purpose rather than $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau o \lambda o s$ as more effective with Philemon and a more touching occasion of pride as Paul writes with his manacled right hand.

Timothy (Tpo日zoc). With Paul in Ephesus (Ac 19:22) and probably known to Philemon. Associated with Paul also in I and II Thess., II Cor., Philipp., Col.

To Philemon ( $\Phi \backslash \lambda \eta \mu \mathrm{v}$ ). A resident of Colossae and a convert of Paul's (verse 19), perhaps coming to Ephesus while Paul was there when his ministry had so much influence over the province of Asia (Ac 19:9f., 26; 1Co 16:19). The name Philemon occurs in the legend of Baucis and Philemon (Ovid's Metamorphoses), but with no connection with the brother here. He was active in the church in Colossae ("our co-worker," $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega \eta \mu \omega v$ ) and was beloved ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \tau \omega$ ) by Paul.

Phlm 1:2
To Apphia our sister (A $A \varphi \perp \alpha \tau \eta \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \eta$ ). Dative case in address. A common name in Phrygian inscriptions and apparently the wife of Philemon. "Sister" is in the Christian sense.

To Archippus (A $\rho \chi \boxed{\pi \pi \omega}$ ). Dative case in address. It is uncertain whether he is the son of Philemon or not. Apparently he is prominent in the church in Colossae, possibly even pastor, probably not in Laodicea as some understand Col 4:17 to imply.

Fellow-soldier ( $\sigma v \vee \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau i \omega \tau \eta$ ). Old word, only here and Php 2:25 in N.T. In metaphorical sense. Perhaps while Paul was in Ephesus.
 house of Philemon. In large cities there would be several meeting-places. Before the third century there is no certain evidence of special church buildings for worship (White, Exp. Grk. T.). See Ac 12:12 for Mary's house in Jerusalem, 1Co 16:19 for the house of Aquila and Prisca in Ephesus, Ro 16:5 for the house of Prisca and Aquila in Rome, Col 4:15 for the house of Nympha in Laodicea.

Phlm 1:4
Always ( $\pi \alpha v \tau о \tau \varepsilon)$. Goes with $\varepsilon \cup \chi \propto \rho เ \sigma \tau \omega$ though so far away in the Greek sentence.
Making mention of thee ( $\mu v \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu \sigma 0 v \pi о เ o v \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma)$. See 1Th 1:2 for this phrase.
In ( $\varepsilon \pi$ ). Upon the occasion of.
Phlm 1:5
Hearing ( $\alpha \kappa о \omega \omega v$ ). Through Epaphras (Col 1:7,8; 4:12 ), possibly from Onesimus also.


a sort of momentum (Vincent) he carries both words over to the saints, though it can be explained as chiasm (Ga 4:4) also.

Phlm 1:6
That (o $\pi \omega \varsigma$ ). Rather than the more common final particle $t v a$. Connected with $\mu \nu \varepsilon ı \alpha \nu$ тоьоицвиос.

The fellowship of thy faith ( $\eta$ коıv $\omega v \iota a \operatorname{\tau \eta \varsigma ~} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \sigma o v)$. Partnership like Php 1:5 in (objective genitive, $\pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ).

Effectual ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. Common adjective, like $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ \varsigma$ (at work), in N.T. only here, 1Co 16:9; Heb 4:12. Papyri use eveppos of a mill in working order, of ploughed land, etc.

In you ( $\varepsilon v \nu \mu \nu$ ). Some MSS. have $\varepsilon v \eta \mu \nu v$ (in us), itacism and common.
Phlm 1:7
I had ( $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \circ v$ ). Ingressive second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, not $\varepsilon \chi \chi \circ \mu \eta \nu$ as the Textus Receptus has it. Paul refers to his joy when he first heard the good news about Philemon's activity (verse 5).

The hearts ( $\tau \alpha \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \nu \alpha$ ). See Php 1:8 for this use of this word for the nobler viscera (heart, lungs, liver) and here for the emotional nature.

Have been refreshed ( $\alpha v \alpha \pi \varepsilon \pi \alpha \cup \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of old compound verb $\alpha v a \pi \alpha v \omega$ as in Mt 11:28, a relief and refreshment whether temporary (Mr 6:31) or eternal (Re 14:13).

Phlm 1:8
Though I have ( $\varepsilon \chi \omega v$ ). Concessive participle (present active).
That which is befitting ( $\tau 0 \alpha v \eta \kappa \circ v$ ). Neuter singular accusative of the articular participle (present active) of $\alpha v \eta \kappa \omega$, to come up to requirements and so to be befitting. For idea in $\alpha \nu \eta \kappa \omega$, see $\operatorname{Col}$ 3:18; Eph 5:4. This idiom is in later writers.

I rather beseech ( $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda о \vee \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega)$. Rather than command ( $\varepsilon \pi ı \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega)$ which he has a perfect right to do.

Phlm 1:9
Paul the aged ( $\Pi \alpha u \lambda o \varsigma \pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Paul is called $v \varepsilon \alpha v i a c$ (a young man) at the stoning of Stephen (Ac 7:58). He was perhaps a bit under sixty now. Hippocrates calls a man $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \eta \varsigma$ from 49 to 56 and $\gamma \varepsilon \rho \omega v$ after that. The papyri use $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \eta \varsigma$ for old man as in Lu 1:18 of Zacharias and in Tit 2:2 . But in Eph 6:20 Paul says $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \varepsilon v \omega \varepsilon \nu \alpha \lambda \nu \sigma \varepsilon$ (I am an ambassador in a chain). Hence Lightfoot holds that here $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \eta \varsigma=\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \varepsilon v \tau \eta \varsigma$ because of common confusion by the scribes between $v$ and $\varepsilon v$. In the LXX four times the two words are used interchangeably. There is some confusion also in the papyri and the inscriptions. Undoubtedly ambassador ( $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \varepsilon v \tau \eta \varsigma)$ is possible here as in Eph 6:20 ( $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \varepsilon v \omega$ ) though there is no real reason why Paul should not term himself properly "Paul the aged."

Phlm 1:10

For my child ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \tau о v \varepsilon \mu \circ v \tau \varepsilon \kappa v o v)$. Tender and affectionate reference to Onesimus as his spiritual child.

Whom I have begotten in my bonds (ov $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v v \eta \sigma \alpha \varepsilon \nu \tau o \iota \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ \iota \varsigma)$. First aorist active indicative of $\gamma \varepsilon v v a \omega$, to beget. See 1Co 4:15 for this figurative sense. Paul is evidently proud of winning Onesimus to Christ though a prisoner himself.

Phlm 1:11
Onesimus (Ov $\quad \sigma \mu \circ v$ ). A common name among slaves and made like Chresimus, Chrestus. The word is from ov $\begin{aligned} & \sigma ı \varsigma ~(p r o f i t) ~ a n d ~ t h a t ~ f r o m ~ o v i v \eta ~\end{aligned}$, to profit, to help.

Who was aforetime unprofitable to thee ( $\tau о v \pi о \tau \varepsilon \sigma о ~ \alpha \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau о v)$. "The once to thee useless one." Play (pun) on the meaning of the name Onesimus (ov $\eta \sigma \mu \circ$, useful) as once "useless" ( $\alpha \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o \varsigma$, verbal adjective, a privative and $\chi \rho \alpha o \mu \alpha$, to use).

But now is profitable to thee and to me ( $v v v \delta \varepsilon \sigma о \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \mu \circ \varepsilon v \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o v$ ). "But now to
 jective from $\varepsilon v$ and $\chi \rho \alpha o \mu \alpha$, to use). Ethical dative here ( $\sigma o t, \varepsilon \mu \circ$ ).

Phlm 1:12
I have sent back ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \alpha)$. Epistolary aorist. As it will look when Onesimus arrives.
In his own person (avtov). "Himself," intensive pronoun with ov (whom).
My very heart ( $\tau \alpha \varepsilon \mu \alpha \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \nu \alpha$ ). As in verse 7. He almost loves Onesimus as his own son.

Phlm 1:13
I would fain have kept ( $\varepsilon \beta$ ov $\lambda$ o $\mu \eta v \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon เ v)$. Imperfect middle and present infinitive, "I was wishing to hold back." Again from the standpoint of the arrival of Onesimus.

In thy behalf (v $v \varepsilon \rho \sigma 0 v$ ). So "in thy stead," "in place of thee."
He might minister ( $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa o v \eta$ ). Present active subjunctive (retained after $\varepsilon \beta$ ou $\lambda о \mu \eta \nu$ ) with va , purpose continued, "that he might keep on ministering."

Phlm 1:14
Without thy mind ( $\chi \omega \rho \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \sigma \eta \varsigma \gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta \varsigma)$. Judgment, purpose (1Co 1:10; 7:25 ). Ablative case with $\chi \omega \rho \iota \varsigma$ (apart from).

I would do nothing (ov $\delta \varepsilon v \eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \pi о \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$, I decided, I wished, decision reached (cf. $\varepsilon \beta$ ou $\lambda о \mu \eta \nu$ in verse 13.

Thy goodness ( $\tau 0 ~ a \gamma \alpha \theta o v \sigma o v$ ). Neuter articular adjective (thy good deed).
As of necessity ( $\omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha v \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta \nu$ ). "As if according to compulsion." See 2Co 9:7 .
But of free will ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ката عкоибוоv). According to what is voluntary ( Nu 15:3 ). Perhaps тролоv (way, manner) is to be understood with the adjective єкоибос (old word, here alone in N.T.), from $\varepsilon \kappa \omega \nu$ (1Co 9:17; Ro 8:20 ).

Phlm 1:15
Perhaps ( $\tau \alpha \chi \alpha$ ). Old adverb, in N.T. only here and Ro 5:7.

That thou shouldst have him (iva avtov $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \chi \eta เ \varsigma)$. Final clause with $t v \alpha$ and present active subjunctive of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \chi \omega$, to have back, "that thou might keep on having him back."

For ever (at $\omega v \iota o v$ ). "Eternal," here and hereafter. Surely a noble thing for Paul to say and a word that would touch the best in Philemon.

Phlm 1:16
No longer as a servant (оuкะт $\omega \varsigma \delta o u \lambda o v)$ ) "No longer as a slave." So it has to be here. So it should be always. Paul sends Onesimus, the converted runaway slave, back to his legal master, but shows that he expects Philemon the Christian to treat Onesimus as a brother in Christ, not as a slave.

But more than a servant ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ v $\pi \varepsilon \rho \delta o u \lambda o v$ ). "But beyond a slave."
A brother beloved ( $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o v a \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \tau o v$ ). A brother in Christ.
How much rather to thee ( $\pi 0 \sigma \omega \delta \varepsilon \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v \sigma o$ ). "By how much more to thee," because of Philemon's legal ownership of this now Christian slave. "In the flesh Philemon had the brother for a slave; in the Lord he had the slave for a brother" (Meyer).

Phlm 1:17
If then thou countest me a partner ( $\varepsilon$ ovv $\mu \varepsilon \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \kappa o \iota v \omega v o v$ ). As I assume that you do, condition of the first class.

Receive him as myself ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \lambda \alpha \beta o v a v \tau o v ~ \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). "Take him to thyself (indirect second aorist middle of $\pi \rho o \sigma \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$ as in Ac 18:26 ) as myself." Surpassing delicacy and consummate tact. These words sound the death-knell of human slavery wherever the spirit of Christ is allowed to have its way. It has been a long and hard fight to break the shackles of human bondage even in Christian countries and there are still millions of slaves in pagan and Mohammedan lands. Paul wrote these words with wisdom and courage and sincerity.

Phlm 1:18
But if he hath wronged thee at all ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \tau \eta \delta \iota \kappa \eta \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Condition of the first class, assumed to be true. Onesimus did wrong ( $\eta \delta \iota \kappa \eta \sigma \varepsilon$, first aorist active indicative of $\alpha \delta \iota \kappa \eta$, to wrong, without justice). He had probably robbed Philemon before he ran away.

Or oweth ( $\eta$ O$\varphi \varepsilon \backslash \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Delicate way of putting the stealing.
Put that to mine account (тоито $\varepsilon \mu$ о $\varepsilon \lambda \lambda о \gamma \alpha$ ). Present active imperative of $\varepsilon \lambda \lambda о \gamma \alpha \omega$. In the Koine verbs in $-\varepsilon \omega$ often appear in - $\alpha \omega$ like $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega$, $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \omega$. So with $\varepsilon \lambda \lambda 0 \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ as $\varepsilon \lambda \lambda$ o $\gamma \alpha \omega$, late verb in inscriptions and papyri (Deissmann, Light, etc., p. 84), though in N.T. only here and Ro 5:13. It means to set to one's account.

Phlm 1:19
Write ( $\varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha)$. Epistolary aorist.
With mine hand ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \mu \eta \chi \varepsilon \rho \rho$ ). Instrumental case and a note of hand that can be collected. See 2Th 3:17; 1Co 16:21; Col 4:18 .

I will repay it ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \alpha \pi \sigma \tau \iota \sigma \omega$ ). Future active indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \tau \iota v \omega$ ( $\alpha \pi о \tau \omega \omega$ ) to pay back, to pay off. The more usual word was $\alpha \pi o \delta \omega \sigma \omega$. This is Paul's promissory note. Deissmann (Light, etc., p. 331) notes how many of the papyri are concerning debts.

That I say not ( $\downarrow v \alpha \mu \eta \lambda \gamma \omega$ ). Neat idiom as in 2Co 9:4, delicately reminding Philemon that Paul had led him also to Christ.

Thou owest to me even thine own self besides ( $\kappa \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau о \nu \mu о \pi \rho о \sigma о \varphi \varepsilon 1 \lambda \varepsilon ı$ ). Old verb, only here in N.T., Paul using the verb o甲 $\varsigma \lambda \omega$ of verse 18 with $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ added. He used every available argument to bring Philemon to see the higher ground of brotherhood in Christ about Onesimus.

Phlm 1:20
Let me have joy of thee ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ $\sigma o v$ ovalu $\nu)$ ) Second aorist middle optative of oviv $\eta \mu$, old verb, only here in N.T. Optative the regular construction for a wish about the future. "May I get profit from thee in the Lord."

Refresh my heart in Christ ( $\alpha v \alpha \pi \alpha v \sigma o v ~ \mu о v \tau \alpha \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \nu \alpha \varepsilon \nu$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ ). See verse 7 for $\alpha v a \pi \alpha v \sigma o v$ (first aorist active imperative of $\alpha v a \pi \alpha v \omega$ ) and $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \nu \alpha$ (3 times in this letter, 7,12,20 ).

Phlm 1:21
Obedience (vтакоп). "Compliance" seems less harsh to us in the light of 9 .
I write ( $\varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha)$. Epistolary aorist again.
Even beyond what I say ( $\kappa \alpha \cup \pi \varepsilon \rho$ a $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ). That can only mean that Paul "knows" ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \varsigma$, second perfect active participle of ot $\delta \alpha$ ) that Philemon will set Onesimus free. He prefers that it come as Philemon's idea and wish rather than as a command from Paul. Paul has been criticized for not denouncing slavery in plain terms. But, when one considers the actual conditions in the Roman empire, he is a wise man who can suggest a better plan than the one pursued here for the ultimate overthrow of slavery.

Phlm 1:22
But withal ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \delta \varepsilon$ ). Along with your kindly reception of Onesimus. On $\alpha \mu \alpha$, see Ac 24:26; 27:40 .

A lodging ( $\xi \varepsilon v i \alpha v$ ). Old word from $\xi_{\varepsilon v o \varsigma, ~ s t r a n g e r . ~ I n ~ N . T . ~ o n l y ~ h e r e ~ a n d ~ A c ~ 28: 23 . ~}^{\text {. }}$
I shall be granted unto you ( $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma о \mu \alpha \nu \mu \nu \nu)$. First future passive of $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \zeta \rho \mu \alpha$. Used either as a favour as here and Ac 3:14 or for destruction (Ac 25:11).

Phlm 1:23
Epaphras (Eлачраৎ). The Colossian preacher who apparently started the work in Colossae, Hierapolis, and Laodicea, and who had come to Rome to enlist Paul's help in the fight against incipient Gnosticism in the Lycus Valley.

My fellow-prisoner (o $\sigma v v a i \chi \mu \alpha \lambda \omega \tau о \varsigma ~ \mu о v$ ). See on Ro 16:7 for this word, also in Col 4:10. Used metaphorically like the verb aıx $\alpha \lambda \omega \omega \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ in 2Co 10:5, though some hold that Epaphras became a prisoner with Paul in Rome.

Phlm 1:24
The other "co-workers" (бuvepүo) here (Mark, Aristarchus, Demas, Luke) are all named in detail in Col 4:10-14 with kindly words.

Phlm 1:25
Grace ( $\eta \chi \alpha \rho / \varsigma)$. This great word occurred in the greeting (verse 3) as it does in the farewell.

## THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

## UNSETTLED PROBLEMS

Probably no book in the New Testament presents more unsettled problems than does the Epistle to the Hebrews. On that score it ranks with the Fourth Gospel, the Apocalypse of John, and Second Peter. But, in spite of these unsolved matters, the book takes high rank for its intellectual grasp, spiritual power, and its masterful portrayal of Christ as High Priest. It is much briefer than the Fourth Gospel, but in a sense it carries on further the exalted picture of the Risen Christ as the King-Priest who reigns and pleads for us now.

THE PICTURE OF CHRIST
At once we are challenged by the bold stand taken by the author concerning the Person of Christ as superior to the prophets of the Old Testament because he is the Son of God through whom God has spoken in the new dispensation (Heb 1:1-3 ), this Son who is God's Agent in the work of creation and of grace as we see it stated in Php 2:5-11; Col 1:13-20; Joh 1:1-18. This high doctrine of Jesus as God's Son with the glory and stamp of God's nature is never lowered, for as God's Son he is superior to angels (Heb 1:4-2:4), though the humanity of Jesus is recognized as one proof of the glory of Jesus (Heb 2:5-18). Jesus is shown to be superior to Moses as God's Son over God's house (Heb 3:1-4:13 ), But the chief portion of the Epistle is devoted to the superiority of Jesus Christ as priest to the work of Aaron and the whole Levitical line (Heb 4:14-12:3 ). Here the author with consummate skill, though with rabbinical refinements at times, shows that Jesus is like Melchizedek and so superior to Aaron (Heb 4:14-7:28) , works under a better covenant of grace (Heb 8:1-13 ), works in a better sanctuary which is in heaven (Heb 9:1-12 ), offers a better sacrifice which is his own blood (Heb 9:13-10:18) , and gives us better promises for the fulfilment of his task (Heb 10:19-12:3 ). Hence this Epistle deserves to be called the Epistle of the Priesthood of Christ. So W. P. Du Bose calls his exposition of the book, High Priesthood and Sacrifice (1908). This conception of Christ as our Priest who offered himself on the Cross and as our Advocate with the Father runs all through the New Testament (Mr 10:46; Mt 20:28; Joh 10:17; Mt 26:28; Ro 8:32; 1Pe 1:18f.; 1Jo 2:1f.; Re 5:9 , etc.). But it is in Hebrews that we have the fulllength portrait of Jesus Christ as our Priest and Redeemer. The Glory of Jesus runs through the whole book.

## THE STYLE

It is called an epistle and so it is, but of a peculiar kind. In fact, as has been said, it begins like a treatise, proceeds like a sermon, and concludes like a letter. It is, in fact, more like a literary composition than any other New Testament book as Deissmann shows: "It points to the fact that the Epistle to the Hebrews, with its more definitely artistic, more literary language (corresponding to its more theological subject matter), constituted an epoch in
the history of the new religion. Christianity is beginning to lay hands on the instruments of culture; the literary and theological period has begun" (Light from the Ancient East, pp. 70f.). But Blass (Die Rhythmen der asianischen und romischen Kunstprosa, 1905) argues that the author of Hebrews certainly and Paul probably were students of Greek oratory and rhetoric. He is clearly wrong about Paul and probably so about the author of Hebrews. There is in Hebrews more of "a studied rhetorical periodicity" (Thayer), but with many "parenthetical involutions" (Westcott) and with less of "the impetuous eloquence of Paul." The eleventh chapter reveals a studied style and as a whole the Epistle belongs to the literary KoinÂ】 rather than to the vernacular. Moulton (Cambridge Biblical Essays, p. 483) thinks that the author did not know Hebrew but follows the Septuagint throughout in his abundant use of the Old Testament.

THE AUTHOR
Origen bluntly wrote: "Who wrote the Epistle God only knows certainly" as quoted by Eusebius. Origen held that the thoughts were Paul's while Clement of Rome or Luke may have written the book. Clement of Alexandria (Eusebius says) thought that Paul wrote it in Hebrew and that Luke translated it into Greek. No early writer apparently attributed the Greek text to Paul. Eusebius thought it was originally written in Hebrew whether by Paul or not and translated by Clement of Rome. But there is no certainty anywhere in the early centuries. It was accepted first in the east and later in the west which first rejected it. But Jerome and Augustine accepted it. When the Renaissance came Erasmus had doubts, Luther attributed it to Apollos, Calvin denied the Pauline authorship. In North Africa it was attributed to Barnabas. In modern times Harnack has suggested Priscilla, but the masculine participle in Heb 11:32 ( $\mu \varepsilon \delta ı \eta \gamma o v \mu \varepsilon v o v)$ disposes of that theory. The oldest Greek MSS. (Aleph A B) have simply Прос H\& $\beta \rho \alpha \circ$ оия as the title, but they place it before the Pastoral Epistles, while the Textus Receptus puts it after the Pastoral Epistles and Philemon. In the light of all the facts one can only make a guess without a sense of certainty. For myself I should with Luther guess Apollos as the most likely author of this book which is full of the Spirit of God.

## THE RECIPIENTS

If the title is allowed to be genuine or a fair interpretation of the Epistle, then it is addressed to Jewish (Hebrew) Christians in a local church somewhere. Dr. James Moffatt in his Commentary (pp. xv to xvii) challenges the title and insists that the book is written for Gentile Christians as truly as First Peter. He argues this largely from the author's use of the LXX. For myself Dr. Moffatt's reasons are not convincing. The traditional view that the author is addressing Jewish Christians in a definite locality, whether a large church or a small household church, is true, I believe. The author seems clearly to refer to a definite church in the experiences alluded to in Heb 10:32-34. The church in Jerusalem had undergone sufferings like these, but we really do not know where the church was. Apparently the author
is in Italy when he writes (Heb 13:24), though "they of Italy" (o a $\quad$ o $\tau \eta \varsigma I \tau \alpha \lambda \iota \varsigma \varsigma)$ can mean those who have come from Italy. These Jewish Christians may even have lived in Rome itself. THE DATE
Here again modern scholars differ widely. Westcott places it between A.D. 64 and 67. Harnack and Holtzmann prefer a date between 81 and 96. Marcus Dods argues strongly that the Epistle was written while the temple was still standing. If it was already destroyed, it is hard to understand how the author could have written Heb 10:1f. : "Else would they not have ceased to be offered?" And in Heb 8:13 "nigh to vanishing away" ( $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma v \varsigma \alpha \varphi \alpha v \iota \sigma \mu \circ v$ ) is only intelligible with the temple service still going on. The author makes use of the tabernacle instead of the temple because the temple was patterned after the tabernacle. On the other hand, the mention of Timothy in Heb 13:23 as being "set free" ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \nu \mu \varepsilon \nu o v$ ) raises an inquiry concerning Paul's last plea to Timothy to come to him in Rome (2Ti 4:11-13 ). Apparently Timothy came and was put in prison. If so, since Paul was put to death before Nero's own death (June 8, A.D. 68), there is left only the years 67 to 69 A.D. as probable or even possible. It is thus the last of the New Testament books before the Johannine Writings all of which come towards the close of the century and after the destruction of Jerusalem.

## THE PURPOSE

The author states it repeatedly. He urges the Jewish Christians to hold fast the confession which they have made in Jesus as Messiah and Saviour. Their Jewish neighbours have urged them to give up Christ and Christianity and to come back to Judaism. The Judaizers tried to make Jews out of Gentile Christians and to fasten Judaism upon Christianity with a purely sacramental type of religion as the result. Paul won freedom for evangelical and spiritual Christianity against the Judaizers as shown in the Corinthian Epistles, Galatians, and Romans. The Gnostics in subtle fashion tried to dilute Christianity with their philosophy and esoteric mysteries and here again Paul won his fight for the supremacy of Christ over all these imaginary arovs (Colossians and Ephesians). But in Hebrews the author is battling to stop a stampede from Christ back to Judaism, a revolt (apostasy) in truth from the living God. These Jews argued that the prophets were superior to Jesus, the law came by the ministry of angels, Moses was greater than Jesus, and Aaron than Jesus. The author turns the argument on the Jews and boldly champions the Glory of Jesus as superior at every point to all that Judaism had, as God's Son and man's Saviour, the crown and glory of the Old Testament prophecy, the hope of mankind. It is the first great apologetic for Christianity and has never been surpassed. Moffatt terms it "a profound homily."
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## Hebrews 1

Heb 1:1
God (o $\theta$ zoc). This Epistle begins like Genesis and the Fourth Gospel with God, who is the Author of the old revelation in the prophets and of the new in his Son. Verses 1-3 are a proemium (Delitzsch) or introduction to the whole Epistle. The periodic structure of the sentence (1-4) reminds one of $\operatorname{Lu}$ 1:1-4, Ro 1:1-7, 1Jo 1:1-4. The sentence could have concluded with $\varepsilon v v i \omega$ in verse 2 , but by means of three relatives ( $\mathrm{ov}, \delta \mathrm{I}^{\prime} \mathrm{ov}, \mathrm{oc}$ ) the author presents the Son as "the exact counterpart of God" (Moffatt).

Of old time ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha$ ). "Long ago" as in Mt 11:21 .
Having spoken ( $\lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ ). First aorist active participle of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, originally chattering of birds, then used of the highest form of speech as here.

Unto the fathers ( $\tau \circ \iota \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha \tau \rho \alpha \sigma \iota v) . ~ D a t i v e ~ c a s e . ~ T h e ~ O l d ~ T e s t a m e n t ~ w o r t h i e s ~ i n ~ g e n e r a l ~$ without "our" or "your" as in Joh 6:58; 7:22; Ro 9:5.

In the prophets ( $\varepsilon v \tau 0 ı \varsigma \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. As the quickening power of their life (Westcott). So 4:7.

By divers portions ( $\pi 0 \lambda \nu \mu \varepsilon \rho \omega \varsigma)$. "In many portions." Adverb from late adjective $\pi о \lambda \nu \mu \varepsilon \rho \eta \varsigma$ (in papyri), both in Vettius Valens, here only in N.T., but in Wisdom 7:22 and Josephus (Ant. VIII, 3, 9). The Old Testament revelation came at different times and in various stages, a progressive revelation of God to men.

In divers manners ( $\pi \boldsymbol{\sigma} \nu \tau \tau \rho о \pi \omega \varsigma)$. "In many ways." Adverb from old adjective то $\boldsymbol{\nu} \tau \rho о \pi о \varsigma$, in Philo, only here in N.T. The two adverbs together are "a sonorous hendiadys for 'variously"' (Moffatt) as Chrysostom ( $\delta \mathbf{\iota} \varphi \mathrm{\rho} \rho \omega \varsigma$ ). God spoke by dream, by direct voice, by signs, in different ways to different men (Abraham, Jacob, Moses, Elijah, Isaiah, etc.).

Heb 1:2
At the end of these days ( $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau o v \tau \omega \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \omega \nu \tau o v \tau \omega v$ ). In contrast with $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha$ above.

Hath spoken ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist indicative of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, the same verb as above, "did speak" in a final and full revelation.

In his Son $(\varepsilon v v i \omega)$. In sharp contrast to $\varepsilon v$ toıc $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma . ~ " T h e ~ O l d ~ T e s t a m e n t ~ s l o p e s ~$ upward to Christ" (J. R. Sampey). No article or pronoun here with the preposition $\varepsilon v$, giving the absolute sense of "Son." Here the idea is not merely what Jesus said, but what he is (Dods), God's Son who reveals the Father (Joh 1:18). "The revelation was a son-revelation" (Vincent).

Hath appointed ( $\varepsilon \theta \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist (kappa aorist) active of $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, a timeless aorist.
Heir of all things ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho о v o \mu \circ v \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). See Mr 12:6 for o $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v o \mu o \varsigma$ in Christ's parable, perhaps an allusion here to this parable (Moffatt). The idea of sonship easily passes into that of heirship (Ga 4:7; Ro 8:17 ). See the claim of Christ in Mt 11:27; 28:18 even before the Ascension.

Through whom ( $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ ov). The Son as Heir is also the Intermediate Agent $(\delta \iota \alpha)$ in the work of creation as we have it in Col 1:16f.; Joh 1:3.

The worlds ( $\tau$ (ovs aıwvac). "The ages" (secula, Vulgate). See 11:3 also where tovৎ $\alpha \iota \omega v a \sigma=\tau o v$ коб $\mu \circ v$ (the world) or the universe like $\tau \alpha \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha$ (the all things) in $1: 3$; Ro 11:36; Col 1:16. The original sense of $\alpha \iota \omega \nu$ (from $\alpha \varepsilon$, always) occurs in Heb 5:20, but here "by metonomy of the container for the contained" (Thayer) for "the worlds" (the universe) as in LXX, Philo, Josephus.

Heb 1:3
Being ( $\omega v$ ). Absolute and timeless existence (present active participle of $\varepsilon \iota \mu$ ) in contrast with $\gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon \nu o \varsigma$ in verse 4 like $\eta \nu$ in Joh 1:1 (in contrast with $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau o$ in 1:14) and like $v \pi \alpha \rho \chi \omega \nu$ and $\gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma$ in Php 2:6f.

The effulgence of his glory ( $\alpha \pi \alpha v \gamma \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \delta о \xi \eta \varsigma$ ). The word $\alpha \pi \alpha v \gamma \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha$, late substantive from $\alpha \pi \alpha v \gamma \alpha \zeta \omega$, to emit brightness ( $\alpha v \gamma \eta$, $\alpha v \gamma \alpha \zeta \omega$ in 2Co 4:4), here only in the N.T., but in Wisdom 7:26 and in Philo. It can mean either reflected brightness, refulgence (Calvin, Thayer) or effulgence (ray from an original light body) as the Greek fathers hold. Both senses are true of Christ in his relation to God as Jesus shows in plain language in Joh 12:45; 14:9. "The writer is using metaphors which had already been applied to Wisdom and the Logos" (Moffatt). The meaning "effulgence" suits the context better, though it gives the idea of eternal generation of the Son (Joh 1:1), the term Father applied to God necessarily involving Son. See this same metaphor in 2Co 4:6.

The very image of his substance ( $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \tau \eta \rho \tau \eta \varsigma v \pi о \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma) . ~ Х \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \tau \eta \rho$ is an old word from $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, to cut, to scratch, to mark. It first was the agent (note ending $=\tau \eta \rho$ ) or tool that did the marking, then the mark or impress made, the exact reproduction, a meaning clearly expressed by $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha$ (Ac 17:29; Re 13:16f. ). Menander had already used (Moffatt) $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \tau \eta \rho$ in the sense of our "character." The word occurs in the inscriptions for "person" as well as for "exact reproduction" of a person. The word v $\quad \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma ı s$ for the being or essence of God "is a philosophical rather than a religious term" (Moffatt). Etymologically it is the sediment or foundation under a building (for instance). In 11:1 $\psi \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma$ is like the "title-deed" idea found in the papyri. Athanasius rightly used Heb 1:1-4 in his controversy with Arius. Paul in Php 2:5-11 pictures the real and eternal deity of Christ free from the philosophical language here employed. But even Paul's simpler phrase $\mu \circ \rho \varphi \eta \theta \varepsilon o v$ (the form of God) has difficulties of its own. The use of $\Lambda$ оүоৎ in Joh 1:1-18 is parallel to Heb 1:1-4.

And upholding ( $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega \nu \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present active participle of $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$ closely connected with $\omega \nu$ (being) by $\tau \varepsilon$ and like Col 1:17 in idea. The newer science as expounded by Eddington and Jeans is in harmony with the spiritual and personal conception of creation here presented.

By the word of his power ( $\tau \omega \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \tau \eta \varsigma \delta v v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Instrumental case of $\rho \eta \mu \alpha$ (word). See 11:3 for $\rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \theta \varepsilon o v$ (by the word of God) as the explanation of creation like Genesis, but here autou refers to God's Son as in 1:2.

Purification of sins ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \sigma \mu \circ v \tau \omega \nu \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \omega v)$. K $\alpha \theta \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma$ is from $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, to cleanse (Mt 8:3; Heb 9:14 ), here only in Hebrews, but in same sense of cleansing from sins,
 first mention of the priestly work of Christ, the keynote of this Epistle.

Sat down ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \varepsilon v)$. First aorist active of $\kappa \alpha \theta \iota \zeta \omega$, "took his seat," a formal and dignified act.

Of the Majesty on high ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda о \sigma v \nu \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \nu v \psi \eta \lambda o \iota \varsigma)$. Late word from $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \varsigma$, only in LXX (De 32:3; 2Sa 7:23 , etc.), Aristeas, Heb 1:3; 8:1; Jude 1:25. Christ resumed his original dignity and glory (Joh 17:5). The phrase $\varepsilon v v \psi \eta \lambda$ oıs occurs in the Psalms (Ps 93:4), here
 in the heavenlies (Eph 1:3,20). Jesus is here pictured as King (Prophet and Priest also) Messiah seated at the right hand of God.

Heb 1:4
Having become ( $\gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Second aorist middle participle of $\gamma$ ivo $\mu$. In contrast with on in verse 3 .

By so much ( $\tau 0 \sigma 0 v \tau \omega$ ). Instrumental case of tooovtos correlative with oo $\omega$ (as) with comparative in both clauses ( $\kappa \rho \varepsilon \iota \tau \tau \omega v$, better, comparative of $\kappa \rho \alpha \tau v \varsigma, \delta \delta \alpha \varphi о \rho \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$, more excellent, comparative of $\delta$ ı $\alpha$ орос).

Than the angels ( $\tau \omega v \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \omega v$ ). Ablative of comparison after $\kappa \rho \varepsilon \iota \tau \tau \omega v$, as often.
Than they ( $\left.\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o u \varsigma\right)$. Instead of the ablative $\alpha v \tau \omega v$ here the preposition $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ (along, by the side of) with the accusative occurs, another common idiom as in 3:3; 9:23. $\Delta$ ıцророৎ only in Hebrews in N.T. except Ro 12:6.

Hath inherited ( $\kappa \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \rho о v o \mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ v o \mu \varepsilon \omega$ (from $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho о$ ооноऽ, heir, verse 2), and still inherits it, the name (ovo $\alpha$, oriental sense of rank) of "Son" which is superior to prophets as already shown ( $1: 2$ ) and also to angels ( $1: 4-2: 18$ ) as he now proceeds to prove. Jesus is superior to angels as God's Son, his deity ( 1:4-2:4). The author proves it from Scripture ( 1:4-14).

Heb 1:5
Unto which (Tiv). "To which individual angel." As a class angels are called sons of God (Elohim) (Ps 29:1), but no single angel is called God's Son like the Messiah in Ps 2:7 . Dods takes "have I begotten thee" ( $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \nu \eta \kappa \alpha \sigma \varepsilon$, perfect active indicative of $\gamma \varepsilon v v \alpha \omega$ ) to refer to the resurrection and ascension while others refer it to the incarnation.

And again ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \lambda \iota v$ ). This quotation is from 2Sa 7:14. Note the use of $\varepsilon ı \varsigma$ in the predicate with the sense of "as" like the Hebrew (LXX idiom), not preserved in the English. See

Mt 19:5; Lu 2:34 . Like Old English "to" or "for." See 2Co 6:18; Re 21:7 for the same passage applied to relation between God and Christians while here it is treated as Messianic.

Heb 1:6
And when he again bringeth in (o $\tau \alpha \nu \delta \varepsilon \pi \alpha \lambda ı v \varepsilon เ \sigma \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \eta)$. Indefinite temporal clause with $o \tau \alpha v$ and second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \gamma \omega$. If $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu$ is taken with $\varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \eta$, the reference is to the Second Coming as in 9:28. If $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota v$ merely introduces another quotation (Ps 97:7) parallel to $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \lambda ı v$ in verse 5 , the reference is to the incarnation when the angels did worship the Child Jesus (Lu 2:13f. ). There is no way to decide certainly about it.

The first-born ( $\tau$ о $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ тотокоv). See Ps 89:28. For this compound adjective applied to Christ in relation to the universe see Col 1:15, to other men, Ro 8:29; Col 1:18, to the other children of Mary, Lu 2:7 ; here it is used absolutely.

The world ( $\tau \eta v$ oкко $\mu \varepsilon v \eta \eta)$ ). "The inhabited earth." See Ac 17:6 .
Let worship ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \nu v \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \omega \sigma \alpha v$ ). Imperative first aorist active third plural of $\pi \rho o \sigma \kappa v v \varepsilon \omega$, here in the full sense of worship, not mere reverence or courtesy. This quotation is from the LXX of De 32:43, but is not in the Hebrew, though most of the LXX MSS. (except F) have vio $\theta$ gov, but the substance does occur also in Ps $97: 7$ with o a $\gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda$ o autov.

Heb 1:7
Of the angels ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ tous $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda$ ouc). "With reference to" ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ ) as in Lu 20:9. So "of the Son" in verse 8 . Note $\mu \varepsilon v$ here and $\delta \varepsilon$ in verse 8 in carefully balanced contrast. The quotation is from Ps 104:4.

Winds ( $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). "Spirits" the word also means. The meaning (note article with $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o v \varsigma$, not with $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \alpha)$ apparently is one that can reduce angels to the elemental forces of wind and fire (Moffatt).

A flame of fire ( $\pi \cup \rho \circ \varsigma \varphi \lambda \circ \gamma \alpha)$. Predicate accusative of $\varphi \lambda 0 \xi$, old word, in N.T. only here and Lu 16:24. Lunemann holds that the Hebrew here is wrongly rendered and means that God makes the wind his messengers (not angels) and flaming fire his servants. That is all true, but that is not the point of this passage. Preachers also are sometimes like a windstorm or a fire.

Heb 1:8
O God (o $\theta$ soc). This quotation (the fifth) is from Ps $45: 7 \mathrm{f}$. A Hebrew nuptial ode $(\varepsilon \pi \imath \theta a \lambda \alpha \mu \nu \nu \mu)$ for a king treated here as Messianic. It is not certain whether o $\theta \varepsilon o c$ is here the vocative (address with the nominative form as in Joh 20:28 with the Messiah termed $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma$ as is possible, Joh 1:18) or o $\theta \varepsilon o c$ is nominative (subject or predicate) with $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ (is) understood: "God is thy throne" or "Thy throne is God." Either makes good sense.

Sceptre ( $\rho \alpha \beta \delta o \varsigma)$. Old word for walking-stick, staff (Heb 11:21).
Heb 1:9

Hath anointed thee ( $\varepsilon \chi \rho\lrcorner \sigma \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\chi \rho \iota \omega$, to anoint, from which verb the verbal Xpıбтoৎ (Anointed One) comes. See Christ's use of expıбعv in Lu 4:18 from Isa 66:1 .

With the oil of gladness ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \ldots v \sim \alpha \gamma \lambda \lambda \iota \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Accusative case with $\varepsilon \chi \rho \iota \sigma \varepsilon v$ (second accusative besides $\sigma \varepsilon$ ). Perhaps the festive anointing on occasions of joy ( 12:2). See Lu 1:44

Fellows ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau о \chi$ ои¢). Old word from $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \chi \omega$, partners, sharers, in N.T. only in Hebrews save Lu 5:7. Note $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ with accusative here, beside, beyond, above (by comparison, extending beyond).

Heb 1:10
Lord (Kupıs). In the LXX, not in the Hebrew. Quotation (the sixth) from Ps 102:26-28 through verses 10-12. Note emphatic position of $\sigma v$ here at the beginning as in verses 11-12 ( $\sigma \cup \delta \varepsilon$ ). This Messianic Psalm pictures the Son in his Creative work and in his final triumph.

Hast laid the foundation $(\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \iota \omega \sigma a \varsigma)$. First aorist active of $\theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota} \omega \omega$, old verb from $\theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota}$ o̧ (foundation) for which see Col 1:23 .

Heb 1:11
They (avto). The heavens (ovpavo).
Shall perish ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda o u v \tau \alpha$ ). Future middle of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$. Modern scientists no longer postulate the eternal existence of the heavenly bodies.

But thou continuest ( $\sigma v \delta \varepsilon \delta \iota \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. This is what matters most, the eternal existence of God's Son as Creator and Preserver of the universe (Joh 1:1-3; Col 1:14ff. ).

Shall wax old ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \omega \omega \theta \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha$ ). First future passive indicative of $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \omega \omega$, from $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \varrho$, for which see Lu 12:33; Heb 8:13 .

Heb 1:12
A mantle ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı(\beta) \lambda \alpha \iota v)$. Old word for covering from $\pi \alpha \rho ı \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to fling around, as a veil in 1Co 11:15, nowhere else in N.T.

Shalt thou roll up ( $\left.\varepsilon \lambda_{\perp} \xi \varepsilon ı \varsigma\right)$. Future active of $\varepsilon \lambda_{\lrcorner \sigma \sigma \omega}$, late form for $\left.\varepsilon ı \lambda\right\lrcorner \sigma \sigma \omega$, in N.T. only here and Re 6:14, to fold together.

As a garment ( $\omega \varsigma \iota \mu \alpha \tau \circ v$ ). LXX repeats from 11.
They shall be changed ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha$ ). Second future passive of $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, old verb, to change.

Shall not fail (ouк $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \psi о v \sigma เ v)$. Future active of $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon เ \pi \omega$, to leave out, to fail, used of the sun in Lu 23:45. "Nature is at his mercy, not he at nature's" (Moffatt).

Heb 1:13
Hath he said ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \rho \eta \kappa \varepsilon v)$. Perfect active common use of the perfect for permanent record. This seventh quotation is proof of the Son's superiority as the Son of God (his deity) to angels and is from Ps 110:1, a Messianic Psalm frequently quoted in Hebrews.

Sit thou ( $\kappa \alpha \theta$ ои). Second person singular imperative middle of $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha$, to sit, for the longer form $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \sigma 0$, as in Mt 22:44; Jas 2:3 .

On my right hand ( $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \varepsilon \xi \omega \omega \nu \mu \circ v$ ). "From my right." See 1:3 for $\varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \xi / a$ "at the right hand."

Till I make ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha \nu \theta \omega$ ). Indefinite temporal clause about the future with $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\tau i \theta \eta \mu$ with $\alpha v$ (often not used), a regular and common idiom. Quoted also in Lu 20:43. For the pleonasm in $v \pi \sigma \delta \iota v$ and $\tau \omega v \pi o \delta \omega v$ (objective genitive) see Mt 5:35.

Heb 1:14
Ministering spirits ( $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau о \cup \rho \gamma \iota \kappa \alpha \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Thayer says that $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau о \cup \rho \gamma \iota \kappa \circ \varsigma$ was not found in profane authors, but it occurs in the papyri for "work tax" (money in place of service) and for religious service also. The word is made from $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau$ то $\rho \gamma$ ıa (Lu 1:23; Heb 8:6; 9:21).

Sent forth ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Present passive participle of $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, sent forth repeatedly, from time to time as occasion requires.

For the sake of $(\delta \iota \alpha)$. With the accusative, the usual causal meaning of $\delta \iota \alpha$.
That shall inherit ( $\tau о v \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda$ оvтас $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ v o \mu \varepsilon เ v$ ). "That are going to inherit," common idiom of $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ (present active participle) with the infinitive (present active here), "destined to inherit" (Mt 11:14).

Salvation ( $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho / \alpha v$ ). Here used of the final salvation in its consummation. Only here in the N.T. do we have "inherent salvation," but see 6:12; 12:17. We do not have here the doctrine of special guardian angels for each of us, but simply the fact that angels are used for our good. "And if so, may we not be aided, inspired, guided by a cloud of witnesses--not witnesses only, but helpers, agents like ourselves of the immanent God?" (Sir Oliver Lodge, The Hibbert Journal, Jan., 1903, p. 223).

## Hebrews 2

Heb 2:1
Therefore ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ tov $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ). Because Jesus is superior to prophets and angels and because the new revelation is superior to the old. The author often pauses in his argument, as here, to drive home a pungent exhortation.

Ought ( $\delta \varepsilon$ ). It is necessity, necessity rather than obligation ( $\chi \rho \eta$ ).
To give heed ( $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon เ v$ ). Present active infinitive with vouv (accusative singular of vous) understood as in Ac 8:6.

More earnest ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma о \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega \varsigma)$. Comparative adverb, "more earnestly," "more abundantly" as in 1Th 2:7 To the things that were heard ( тоьৎ $\alpha \kappa о \cup \sigma \theta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \iota)$ ). Dative plural neuter of the articular participle first aorist passive of $\alpha \kappa 0 v \omega$.

Lest haply we drift away ( $\mu \eta \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \rho v \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ). Negative clause of purpose with $\mu \eta$ $\pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ and the second aorist passive subjunctive of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \rho \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb to flow by or past, to glide by, only here in N.T. (cf. Pr 3:21 ). Xenophon (Cyrop. IV. 52) uses it of the river flowing by. Here the metaphor is that "of being swept along past the sure anchorage which is within reach" (Westcott), a vivid picture of peril for all ("we," $\eta \mu a \varsigma)$.

Heb 2:2
For if ... proved steadfast $(\varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho \ldots \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \sigma \beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \iota \circ)$. Condition of first class, assumed as true.

Through angels ( $\delta i^{\prime} \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \omega v$ ). Allusion to the use of angels by God at Sinai as in Ac 7:38,53; Gal 3:19, though not in the O.T., but in Josephus (Ant. XV. 156).

Transgression and disobedience ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa о \eta)$. Both words use $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ as in $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \rho v \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$, refused to obey (stepping aside, $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha-\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma$ as in Ro 2:23 ), neglect to obey ( $\pi \alpha \rho-\alpha \kappa о \eta$ as in Ro 5:19), more than a mere hendiadys.

Recompense of reward ( $\mu \iota \sigma \theta a \pi o \delta o \sigma \iota \alpha \nu$ ). Late double compound, like $\mu \iota \sigma \theta a \pi o \delta o \tau \eta \varsigma$ (Heb 11:6 ), from $\mu$ $\sigma \theta$ oc (reward) and $a \pi o \delta \delta \delta \omega \mu$, to give back. The old Greeks used


Just ( $\varepsilon v \delta \iota \kappa o v$ ). Old compound adjective, in N.T. only here and Ro 3:8.
Heb 2:3
How shall we escape? ( $\pi \omega \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \varphi \varepsilon v \xi_{\text {o }} \varepsilon \theta \alpha$;). Rhetorical question with future middle indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \varphi \varepsilon \cup \gamma \omega$ and conclusion of the condition.

If we neglect ( $\alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, "having neglected."
So great salvation ( $\tau \eta \lambda \iota \kappa \alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. Ablative case after $\alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Correlative pronoun of age, but used of size in the N.T. (Jas 3:4; 2Co 1:10).

Which ( $\eta \tau \iota \varsigma)$. "Which very salvation," before described, now summarized.

Having at the first been spoken ( $\alpha \rho \chi \eta \nu \lambda \alpha \beta o v \sigma \alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon เ \sigma \theta \alpha)$. Literally, "having received a beginning to be spoken," "having begun to be spoken," a common literary Koine idiom (Polybius, etc.).

Through the Lord ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ tov кvpıov). The Lord Jesus who is superior to angels. Jesus was God's full revelation and he is the source of this new and superior revelation.

Was confirmed $(\varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \beta a \iota \omega \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \iota \omega$, from $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \iota \rho$, (stable), old verb as in 1Co 1:6.

By them that heard (v $\tau \sigma \tau \omega v$ aкоvбаv $\tau \omega v$ ). Ablative case with v $\tau 0$ of the articular first aorist active participle of aкоиш. Those who heard the Lord Jesus. Only one generation between Jesus and the writer. Paul (Ga 1:11) got his message directly from Christ.

Heb 2:4
 with the present active participle of the late double compound verb $\sigma v v \varepsilon \pi \mu \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to join ( $\sigma \cup v$ ) in giving additional ( $\varepsilon \pi$ ) testimony ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \varepsilon \omega)$. Here only in N.T., but in Aristotle, Polybius, Plutarch.

Both by signs ( $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \circ \circ \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ )
and wonders ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \iota v)$
and by manifold powers ( $\kappa \alpha$ токкı $\lambda \ldots \varsigma ~ \delta u v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \sigma \iota v$ )
and by gifts of the Holy Ghost ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ а ү เ о v ~ \mu \varepsilon \rho ı \sigma \mu о ь) . ~ I n s t r u m e n t a l ~ c a s e ~$ used with all four items. See Ac 2:22 for the three words for miracles in inverse order (powers, wonders, signs). Each word adds an idea about the $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$ (works) of Christ. T $\mathrm{T} \rho \alpha{ }^{\rho}$ (wonder) attracts attention, $\delta \cup v \alpha \mu \iota \varsigma$ (power) shows God's power, $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon ו \circ v$ reveals the purpose of God in the miracles. For поюкıдаця (manifold, many-coloured) see Mt 4:24; Jas 1:2 . For $\mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \mu$ оя for distribution (old word, in N.T. only here and Heb 4:12 ) see 1Co 12:4-30 .

According to his own will ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta \nu$ avtov $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \iota v$ ). The word $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s$ is called a vulgarism by Pollux. The writer is fond of words in -ıc.

Heb 2:5
For not unto angels ( $o v \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o t s$ ). The author now proceeds to show ( 2:5-18) that the very humanity of Jesus, the Son of Man, likewise proves his superiority to angels.

The world to come ( $\tau \eta \nu$ оккоט $\mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda$ ovбav). The new order, the salvation just described. See a like use of $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ (as participle) with $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha$ ( 1:14), $\alpha \omega \omega v$ (6:4f. ), $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \alpha$ (9:11; 10:1), то入ıऽ ( 13:14).

Whereof we speak ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \eta \varsigma \lambda \alpha \lambda o u \mu \varepsilon v$ ). The author is discussing this new order introduced by Christ which makes obsolete the old dispensation of rites and symbols. God did not put this new order in charge of angels.

Heb 2:6
But one somewhere ( $\delta \varepsilon \pi$ лov $\tau \iota \varsigma)$. See 4:4 for a like indefinite quotation. Philo uses this "literary mannerism" (Moffatt). He quotes Ps 8:5-7 and extends here to .

Hath testified ( $\delta เ \varepsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \alpha \tau о$ ). First aorist middle indicative of $\delta ı \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho о \mu \alpha$, old verb to testify vigorously (Ac 2:40).

What (T). Neuter, not masculine $\tau \iota \varsigma(w h o)$. The insignificance of man is implied.
 about himself, but literally here "son of man" like the same words so often in Ezekiel, without Messianic meaning here.

Visited ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta)$. Second person singular present indicative middle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \pi \tau \circ \mu \alpha$, old verb to look upon, to look after, to go to see (Mt 25:36 ), from which verb $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \chi$ тоऽ, overseer, bishop, comes.

Heb 2:7
Thou madest him a little lower ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \tau \tau \omega \sigma \alpha \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau o v \beta \rho \alpha \chi \nu \tau)$. First aorist active of old verb $\varepsilon \lambda a \tau \tau 0 \omega$ from $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \tau \tau \omega \nu$ (less), causative verb to lessen, to decrease, to make less, only here, and verse 9 and Joh 3:30 in N.T. Bpaxv $\tau$ is accusative neuter of degree like 2Sa 16:1, "some little," but of time in Isa 57:17 (for a little while).

Than the angels ( $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda$ ouc). "Beside angels" like $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ with the accusative of comparison in 1:4,9. The Hebrew here has Elohim which word is applied to judges in Ps 82:1,6 (Joh 10:34f. ). Here it is certainly not "God" in our sense. In Ps 29:1 the LXX translates Elohim by vo $\theta$ zov (sons of God).

Thou crownedst ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha v \omega \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active indicative of old verb, $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha v o \omega$, to crown, in N.T. only here and 2Ti 2:5 The Psalmist refers to God's purpose in creating man with such a destiny as mastery over nature. The rest of verse 7 is absent in $B$.

Heb 2:8
In that he subjected ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \cup \pi о \tau \alpha \xi \alpha)$. First aorist active articular infinitive of $\cup \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ in the locative case, "in the subjecting."

He left ( $\alpha \varphi \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative (kappa aorist) of $\alpha \varphi \imath \eta \mu$.
Nothing that is not subject to him (ou $\delta \varepsilon v$ avtc avvтотактоv). Later verbal of $v \pi o \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ with $\alpha$ privative. Here in passive sense, active sense in 1Ti 1:9. Man's sovereignty was meant to be all-inclusive including the administration of "the world to come." "He is crowned king of nature, invested with a divine authority over creation" (Moffatt). But how far short of this destiny has man come!

But now we see not yet ( $\nu v \nu \delta \varepsilon$ out $\omega$ op $\omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Not even today in the wonderful twentieth century with man's triumphs over nature has he reached that goal, wonderful as are the researches by the help of telescope and microscope, the mechanism of the airplane, the submarine, steam, electricity, radio.

Heb 2:9
Even Jesus (I $\eta \sigma o v v$ ). We do not see man triumphant, but we do see Jesus, for the author is not ashamed of his human name, realizing man's destiny, "the very one who has been made a little lower than the angels" ( $\tau \operatorname{\sigma ov} \beta \rho \alpha \chi v \tau \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o v \varsigma \eta \lambda \alpha \tau \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ), quoting and
applying the language of the Psalm in verse 7 to Jesus (with article tov and the perfect passive participle of $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \tau \tau \alpha \omega$ ). But this is not all. Death has defeated man, but Jesus has conquered death.

Because of the suffering of death ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ то $\pi \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha$ тov $\theta \alpha v \alpha \tau o v$ ). The causal sense of $\delta \iota \alpha$ with the accusative as in 1:14. Jesus in his humanity was put lower than the angels "for a little while" ( $\beta \rho \alpha \chi \cup \tau)$. Because of the suffering of death we see ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \sigma \mu \varepsilon v$ ) Jesus crowned ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \nu \omega \mu \mu \varepsilon v \circ$, perfect passive participle of $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha v o \omega$ from verse 7), crowned already "with glory and honour" as Paul shows in Php 2:9-11 (more highly exalted, $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \cup \psi \omega \sigma \varepsilon v)$ "that at the name of Jesus every knee should bow." There is more glory to come to Jesus surely, but he is already at God's right hand ( 1:3).

That by the grace of God he should taste death for every man ( $о \pi \omega \varsigma \chi \alpha \rho \tau \tau \theta \varepsilon \sigma v$ v $\tau \varepsilon \rho$ $\pi \alpha v \tau o \varsigma ~ \gamma \varepsilon v \sigma \eta \tau \alpha$ Өavatov). This purpose clause (o $\boldsymbol{\pi} \omega \varsigma$ instead of the more usual $ו v \alpha$ ) is pregnant with meaning. The author interprets and applies the language of the Psalm to Jesus and here puts Christ's death in behalf of (vォع ), and so instead of, every man as the motive for his incarnation and death on the Cross. The phrase to taste death ( $\gamma$ vuoua $\theta$ avatov) occurs in the Gospels (Mt 16:28; Mr 9:1; Lu 9:27; Joh 8:52 ), though not in the ancient Greek. It means to see death (Heb 11:5), "a bitter experience, not a rapid sip" (Moffatt). His death was in behalf of every one (not everything as the early Greek theologians took it). The death of Christ (Andrew Fuller) was sufficient for all, efficient for some. It is all "by the grace ( $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \tau$, instrumental case) of God," a thoroughly Pauline idea. Curiously enough some MSS. read $\chi \omega \rho \iota \varsigma \theta$ sov (apart from God) in place of $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \tau \theta \varepsilon o v$, Nestorian doctrine whatever the origin.

Heb 2:10
It became him ( $\varepsilon \pi \rho \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon v \alpha \cup \tau \omega)$. Imperfect active of $\pi \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega$, old verb to stand out, to be becoming or seemly. Here it is impersonal with $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \epsilon \omega \sigma \alpha$ as subject, though personal in Heb 7:26. Avt $\omega$ (him) is in the dative case and refers to God, not to Christ as is made plain by tov a $\propto \chi \eta \gamma \circ$ (author). One has only to recall Joh 3:16 to get the idea here. The voluntary humiliation or incarnation of Christ the Son a little lower than the angels was a seemly thing to God the Father as the writer now shows in a great passage ( $2: 10-18$ ) worthy to go beside Php 2:5-11.

For whom ( $\delta \iota^{\prime} \mathrm{ov}$ ). Referring to $\alpha \nu \tau \omega(\mathrm{God})$ as the reason (cause) for the universe ( $\tau \alpha$ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha)$.

Through whom ( $\delta \iota^{\prime} \mathrm{ov}$ ). With the genitive $\delta \iota \alpha$ expresses the agent by whom the universe came into existence, a direct repudiation of the Gnostic view of intermediate agencies (aeons) between God and the creation of the universe. Paul puts it succinctly in Ro 11:36 by his $\varepsilon \xi$ autov ка $\delta i$ a autov ка عıc autov та таvта. The universe comes out of God, by means of God, for God. This writer has already said that God used his Son as the Agent ( $\delta \iota^{\prime} \mathrm{ov}$ ) in
creation ( $1: 2$ ), a doctrine in harmony with $\operatorname{Col} 1: 15 f$. ( $\varepsilon v \alpha u \tau \omega 1, \delta \iota^{\prime}$ autov $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau o v$ ) and Joh 1:3.

In bringing ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma 0 v \tau \alpha$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\alpha \gamma \omega$ in the accusative case in spite of the dative $\alpha u \tau \omega$ just before to which it refers.

The author ( $\tau 0 \vee \alpha \rho \chi \eta \gamma \circ v$ ). Old compound word ( $\alpha \rho \chi \eta$ and $\alpha \gamma \omega$ ) one leading off, leader or prince as in Ac 5:31, one blazing the way, a pioneer (Dods) in faith (Heb 12:2), author (Ac 3:15). Either sense suits here, though author best (verse 9). Jesus is the author of salvation, the leader of the sons of God, the Elder Brother of us all (Ro 8:29).

To make perfect ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega \omega \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota O \omega$ (from $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$ ). If one recoils at the idea of God making Christ perfect, he should bear in mind that it is the humanity of Jesus that is under discussion. The writer does not say that Jesus was sinful (see the opposite in 4:15), but simply that "by means of sufferings" God perfected his Son in his human life and death for his task as Redeemer and Saviour. One cannot know human life without living it. There was no moral imperfection in Jesus, but he lived his human life in order to be able to be a sympathizing and effective leader in the work of salvation.

Heb 2:11
He that sanctifieth (o $\alpha \gamma ı \alpha \zeta \omega v$ ). Present active articular participle of $\alpha \gamma ı \alpha \zeta \omega$. Jesus is the sanctifier (9:13f.; 13:12).
 It is a process here as in 10:14, not a single act, though in 10:10 the perfect passive indicative presents a completed state.

Of one ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Referring to God as the Father of Jesus and of the "many sons" above (verse 10) and in harmony with verse 14 below. Even before the incarnation Jesus had a kinship with men though we are not sons in the full sense that he is.

He is not ashamed (ouk $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \chi \cup v \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Present passive indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \chi \cup v o \mu \alpha$, old compound (Ro 1:16). Because of the common Father Jesus is not ashamed to own us as "brothers" ( $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o u \varsigma)$, unworthy sons though we be.

Heb 2:12
Unto my brethren ( $\tau 0 ı \varsigma \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi$ oıs $\mu \circ v$ ). To prove his point the writer quotes Ps 22:22 when the Messiah is presented as speaking "unto my brethren."

Congregation (єкк入ךбા๙). The word came to mean the local church and also the general church or kingdom (Mt 16:18; Heb 12:23 ). Here we have the picture of public worship and the Messiah sharing it with others as we know Jesus often did.

Heb 2:13
I will put my trust in him ( $\mathrm{E} \gamma \omega \varepsilon \sigma \circ \mu \alpha \pi \varepsilon \pi \sigma \theta \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). A rare periphrastic (intransitive) future perfect of $\pi \varepsilon ө \omega$, a quotation from Isa 8:17. The author represents the Messiah as putting his trust in God as other men do (cf. Heb 12:2 ). Certainly Jesus did this constantly.

The third quotation ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \lambda \iota v$, And again) is from Isa 8:18 (the next verse), but the Messiah shows himself closely linked with the children ( $\pi \alpha \iota \delta ı \alpha)$ of God, the sons (vio) of verse 10.

Heb 2:14
 "blood and flesh." The verb is perfect active indicative of $\kappa \circ \tau v \omega v \varepsilon \omega$, old verb with the regular genitive, elsewhere in the N.T. with the locative (Ro 12:13 ) or with $\varepsilon v$ or $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma$. "The children have become partners ( $\kappa 0, v \omega v o$ ) in blood and flesh."

Partook ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \sigma \chi \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \chi \omega$, to have with, a practical synonym for $\kappa o \iota v \omega v \varepsilon \omega$ and with the genitive also ( $\tau \omega v \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). That he might bring to nought (ıva катарүпбๆ). Purpose of the incarnation clearly stated with $t v \alpha$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, old word to render idle or ineffective (from ката, a $\rho \gamma$ ) $)$, causative verb ( 25 times in Paul), once in Luke (Lu 13:7), once in Hebrews (here). "By means of death" (his own death) Christ broke the power (кратоऽ) of the devil over death (paradoxical as it seems), certainly in men's fear of death and in some unexplained way Satan had sway over the realm of death (Zec 3:5f. ). Note the explanatory tout' $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ (that is) with the accusative after it as before it. In Re 12:7 Satan is identified with the serpent in Eden, though it is not done in the Old Testament. See Ro 5:12; Joh 8:44; 14:30; 16:11; 1Jo 3:12. Death is the devil's realm, for he is the author of sin. "Death as death is no part of the divine order" (Westcott).

Heb 2:15
And might deliver ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \xi \eta$ ). Further purpose with the first aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \pi \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, old verb to change from, to set free from, in N.T. only here, Lu 12:58; Ac 19:12.

Through fear of death ( $\varphi \circ \beta \omega$ Өavatov). Instrumental case of $\varphi \circ \beta \circ \varsigma$. The ancients had great fear of death though the philosophers like Seneca argued against it. There is today a flippant attitude towards death with denial of the future life and rejection of God. But the author of Hebrews saw judgement after death (9:27f. ). Hence our need of Christ to break the power of $\sin$ and Satan in death.

All their lifetime ( $\delta \alpha \alpha$ тavto $\operatorname{\tau ov} \zeta \eta \iota v)$. Present active infinitive with $\pi \alpha \varsigma$ and the article in the genitive case with $\delta$ เa, "through all the living."
 with genitive, bond-slaves of fear, a graphic picture. Jesus has the keys of life and death and said: "I am the life." Thank God for that.

Heb 2:16
Verily ( $\delta \varepsilon$ пou). "Now in some way," only here in N.T.
Doth he take hold ( $\varepsilon \pi i \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Present middle indicative and means to lay hold of, to help, like $\beta$ o $\eta \eta \eta \sigma$ in verse 18.

The seed of Abraham ( $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ A \beta \rho \alpha \alpha \mu)$. The spiritual Israel (Ga 3:29), children of faith (Ro 9:7).

Heb 2:17
Wherefore ( $\mathrm{o} \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Old relative adverb ( o and enclitic $\theta \varepsilon v$, whence of place (Mt 12:44 ), of source (1Jo 2:18), of cause as here and often in Hebrews ( $3: 1 ; 7: 25 ; 8: 3 ; 9: 18 ; 11: 19$ ).

It behoved him ( $\omega \varphi \varepsilon \wedge \varepsilon \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active of opsı $\lambda \omega$, old verb to owe, money ( Mt 18:28), service and love (Ro 13:8), duty or obligation as here and often in N.T. (Lu 17:10 ). Jesus is here the subject and the reference is to the incarnation. Having undertaken the work of redemption (Joh 3:16), voluntarily (Joh 10:17), Jesus was under obligation to be properly equipped for that priestly service and sacrifice.

In all things ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha)$. Except yielding to $\sin (H e b 4: 15)$ and yet he knew what temptation was, difficult as it may be for us to comprehend that in the Son of God who is also the Son of man (Mr 1:13). Jesus fought through to victory over Satan.

To be made like unto his brethren ( $\tau о \iota \varsigma ~ \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi о \iota \varsigma ~ о \mu о ו \omega \theta \eta \nu \alpha)$. First aorist passive infinitive of o $\mu$ oto $\omega$, old and common verb from oноoo $\varsigma$ (like), as in Mt 6:8, with the associative instrumental case as here. Christ, our Elder Brother, resembles us in reality (Php 2:7 "in the likeness of men") as we shall resemble him in the end (Ro 8:29 "first-born among many brethren"; 1Jo 3:2 "like him"), where the same root is used as here (oı $\omega \mu \alpha$, oноьoс). That he might be (iva $\gamma \varepsilon v \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Purpose clause with $i v \alpha$ and the second aorist middle subjunctive of $\gamma \iota v o \mu a$, to become, "that he might become." That was only possible by being like his brethren in actual human nature.

Merciful and faithful high priest ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \eta \mu \omega v \kappa \alpha$ тıбтоৎ $\alpha \rho \chi เ \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \cup \varsigma)$. The sudden use of a $\rho$ хє $\varepsilon \varepsilon v$, here for Jesus has been anticipated by 1:3; 2:9 and see 3:1. Jesus as the priest-victim is the chief topic of the Epistle. These two adjectives ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \eta \mu \omega \nu$ and $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o \varsigma)$ touch the chief points in the function of the high priest (5:1-10), sympathy and fidelity to God. The Sadducean high priests (Annas and Caiaphas) were political and ecclesiastical tools and puppets out of sympathy with the people and chosen by Rome.

In things pertaining to God ( $\tau \alpha \pi \rho o \varsigma \tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). The adverbial accusative of the article is a common idiom. See the very idiom $\tau \alpha \pi \rho o \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ \theta \varepsilon o v ~ i n ~ E x ~ 18: 19 ; ~ R o ~ 15: 17 . ~ T h i s ~ u s e ~$ of $\pi \rho o \varsigma$ we had already in Heb 1:7f. On the day of atonement the high priest entered the holy of holies and officiated in behalf of the people.

To make propitiation for ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \iota \lambda \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha)$ ). Purpose clause with $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ a n d ~ t h e ~ i n f i n-~$ itive (common Greek idiom), here present indirect middle of $\iota \lambda a \sigma \kappa \circ \mu \alpha$, to render propitious to oneself (from $\uparrow \lambda \alpha o \varsigma$, Attic $\lambda \lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$, gracious). This idea occurs in the LXX (Ps 65:3 ), but only here in N.T., though in Lu 18:13 the passive form ( $(\lambda \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \tau)$ occurs as in 2 Ki 5:18 . In 1Jo 2:2 we have $\lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \mu \circ$ s used of Christ (cf. Heb 7:25 ). The inscriptions illustrate the meaning in Heb 2:17 as well as the LXX.

Heb 2:18

In that $(\varepsilon \nu \omega)$. Literally, "In which" ( $=\varepsilon \nu \tau o v \tau \omega \varepsilon \nu \omega$, in that in which), a causal idea, though in Ro 14:22 $\varepsilon v \omega$ means "wherein."

Hath suffered ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \sigma v \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Second perfect active indicative of $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \omega$, permanent part of Christ's experience.

Being tempted ( $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. First aorist passive participle of $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \zeta \zeta \omega$. The temptation to escape the shame of the Cross was early and repeatedly presented to Christ, by Satan in the wilderness (Mt 4:8-11) , by Peter in the spirit of Satan (Mt 16:22f. ), in Gethsemane (Mt 26:39 ), and caused intense suffering to Jesus (Lu 22:44; Heb 5:8).

He is able ( $\delta v v a \tau \alpha$ ). This word strikes the heart of it all. Christ's power to help is due not merely to his deity as God's Son, but also to his humanity without which he could not sympathize with us (Heb 4:15).

To succour ( $\beta \circ \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive of the old compound verb $\beta$ o $\eta \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ( $\beta o \eta$, a cry, $\theta \varepsilon \omega$, to run), to run at a cry or call for help (Mt 15:25).

Them that are tempted ( $\tau о \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \rho \zeta \zeta \mu \varepsilon v o \iota \varsigma)$. Dative plural of the articular participle (present passive) of $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \zeta \omega$. These Jewish Christians were daily tempted to give up Christ, to apostatize from Christianity. Jesus understands himself ( $\alpha \cup \tau \circ \varsigma$ ) their predicament and is able to help them to be faithful.

## Hebrews 3

Heb 3:1
Holy brethren ( $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi$ о $\alpha \nvdash \circ$ ). Only here in N.T., for $\alpha \gamma \iota \iota \varsigma$ in 1Th 5:27 only in late MSS. See Heb 2:11 for same idea. First time the author makes direct appeal to the readers, though first person in 2:1.

Partakers ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau о \chi о$ ). See Lu 5:7 for "partners" in the fishing, elsewhere in N.T. only in Hebrews (1:9; 6:4; 12:8) in N.T.

Of a heavenly calling ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \sigma \cup \rho a v i o v)$. Only here in the N.T., though same idea in 9:15. See $\eta \alpha \nu \omega \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota$ in Php 3:14 (the upward calling). The call comes from heaven and is to heaven in its appeal.

Consider ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha v о \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon \omega$, old compound verb (ката, vovৎ), to put the mind down on a thing, to fix the mind on as in Mt 7:3; Lu 12:24

Even Jesus (İoouv). No "even" in the Greek, just like the idiom in 2:9, the human name held up with pride.

The Apostle and High Priest of our confession ( $\tau \circ v \alpha \pi о \sigma \tau о \lambda о v \kappa \alpha \alpha \rho \chi เ \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \alpha \tau\rceil$ o $\mu \circ \lambda o \gamma \iota a \varsigma \eta \mu \omega v$ ). In descriptive apposition with I $\eta \sigma o v v$ and note the single article $\tau o v$. This is the only time in the N.T. that Jesus is called $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau о \lambda \circ \varsigma$, though he often used $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ of God's sending him forth as in Joh 17:3 ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha \varsigma)$. This verb is used of Moses as sent by God (Ex 3:10 ). Moffatt notes that a $\pi о \sigma \tau 0 \lambda o \varsigma$ is Ionic for $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \varepsilon v \tau \eta \varsigma$, "not a mere envoy, but an ambassador or representative sent with powers." The author has already termed Jesus
 tians had confessed Jesus as their Apostle and High Priest. They do not begin to understand what Jesus is and means if they are tempted to give him up. The word runs through Hebrews with an urgent note for fidelity $(4: 14 ; 10: 23$ ). See o $\mu \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \sigma \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ (o $\rho \sigma v$, same, $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, say), to say the same thing, to agree, to confess, to profess.

Heb 3:2
Who was faithful ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 v o v \tau \alpha$ ). Present active participle with predicate accusative agreeing with I $\eta \sigma o u v$, "as being faithful."

That appointed him ( $\tau \omega \pi$ пoı $\eta \sigma \alpha \tau \alpha v \tau o v$ ). See 1Sa 12:6. Dative case of the articular participle (aorist active) of $\pi \circ เ \varepsilon \omega$ and the reference is to God. Note $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma$ as in 2:17.

As also was Moses ( $\omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \mathrm{M} \omega v \sigma \eta \varsigma$ ). The author makes no depreciatory remarks about Moses as he did not about the prophets and the angels. He cheerfully admits that Moses was faithful "in all his house" ( $\varepsilon v$ o $\lambda \omega \tau \omega$ o七к $\omega \alpha v \tau 0 v$ ), an allusion to Nu 12:7 ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ o $\lambda \omega \tau \omega$ о七к $\omega \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ) about Moses. The "his" is God's. The use of oוкоц for the people (family) of God, not the building, but the group ( $1 \mathrm{Ti} 3: 15$ ) in which God is the Father. But wherein is Jesus superior to Moses? The argument is keen and skilful.

Heb 3:3
Hath been counted worthy of more glory than Moses ( $\pi \lambda \varepsilon เ \circ v o \varsigma \delta o \xi \eta \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mathrm{M} \omega v \sigma \eta \nu$ $\eta \xi \iota \omega \tau \alpha)$. Perfect passive indicative of $\alpha \xi \iota \omega \omega$, to deem worthy, permanent situation described with definite claim of Christ's superiority to Moses. $\Delta \mathrm{o} \xi \eta \varsigma$ in genitive case after $\eta \xi / \omega \tau \alpha$. For $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ after the comparative $\pi \lambda \varepsilon$ हоvos see 1:4,9; 2:7.

By so much as ( $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ oбov). A proportionate measurement (common use of ката and the quantitative relative ooos).

Than the house ( tov oוкои). Ablative case of comparison after $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota o v a$. The architect is superior to the house just as Sir Christopher Wren is superior to St. Paul's Cathedral. The point in the argument calls for Jesus as the builder (o катабквvaбac, first aorist active participle of катабквva $\zeta \omega$, to found or build). But it is God's house as autov means (verses 2,5 ) and ov in verse 6 . This house of God existed before Moses (11:2,25 ). Jesus as God's Son founded and supervised this house of God.

Heb 3:4
Is God ( $\theta$ عoc). God is the Creator of all things and so of his "house" which his Son, Jesus Christ, founded and supervises.

Heb 3:5
And Moses ( $\kappa \alpha \operatorname{M} \omega v \sigma \eta \varsigma \mu \varepsilon v$ ). "Now Moses indeed on his part" ( $\mu \varepsilon v$ contrasted with $\delta \varepsilon$ ).

In ( $\varepsilon v$ ). Moses was in "God's house" "as a servant" ( $\omega \varsigma \theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \omega v$ ). Old word, in LXX, only here in N.T. and quoted from $\mathrm{Nu} 12: 7 \mathrm{f}$. Kin to the verb $\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon v \omega$, to serve, to heal, and $\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon ı a$, service ( Lu 9:11) and a group of servants ( Lu 12:42).

For a testimony of those things which were afterward to be spoken ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho ı o v$ $\tau \omega \nu \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \eta \sigma \sigma \mu \varepsilon v \omega v)$. Objective genitive of the articular future passive participle of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$. It is not certain what it means whether the "testimony" ( $\mu$ a $10 \rho \circ$ ov) is to Moses or to God and whether it points on to Christ. In 9:9 see $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta 0 \lambda \eta$ applied to the old dispensation as a symbol pointing to Christ and Christianity.

But Christ (X $\mathrm{X}\llcorner\sigma \tau o \varsigma \delta \varepsilon$ ). In contrast with Moses ( $\mu \varepsilon v$ in verse 5).
As a son ( $\omega \varsigma$ vioc). Instead of a $\theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \omega v$ (servant).
Over his house ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \tau v$ ookov avtov). The difference between $\varepsilon \pi$ and $\varepsilon v$ added to that between vioc and $\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \omega v$. It is very neat and quite conclusive, especially when we recall the high place occupied by Moses in Jewish thought. In Ac 7:11 the Jews accused Stephen of speaking "blasphemous words against Moses and God" (putting Moses on a par with God).

Heb 3:6
Whose house are we (ov oккоৎ $\varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon v \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. We Christians (Jew and Gentile) looked at as a whole, not as a local organization.

If we hold fast ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and second aorist (effective) active subjunctive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \chi \omega$. This note of contingency and doubt runs all through the Epistle. We are God's house if we do not play the traitor and desert.

Boldness ( $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha v)$
and glorying ( $\kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha \cup \chi \eta \mu \alpha$ ) some had lost. The author makes no effort to reconcile this warning with God's elective purpose. He is not exhorting God, but these wavering Christians. All these are Pauline words. B does not have $\mu \varepsilon \chi \rho \tau \varepsilon \lambda$ ovc $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \iota a v$ (firm unto the end), but it is clearly genuine in verse 14 . He pleads for intelligent confidence.

Heb 3:7
Wherefore ( $\delta ⿺ 0$ ). Probably this inferential conjunction ( $\delta \mathbf{1} \alpha, \mathrm{o}$, because of which) goes with $\mu \eta \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \cup v \eta \tau \varepsilon$ (harden not) in verse 8 rather than with $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ (take heed) in verse 12 unless the long quotation be considered a parenthesis. The long quotation in verses 7-11 is from Ps 95:7-11. After the quotation the author has "three movements" (Moffatt) in his discussion of the passage as applied to the Jewish Christians (3:12-19; 4:1-10; 4:11-13). The peril of apostasy as shown by the example of the Israelites is presented with vividness and power.

As the Holy Ghost saith ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ тo $\pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha$ тo $\alpha \gamma ı v$ ). Just this phrase nowhere else in the N.T., except Act 21:11 (Agabus), though practically the same idea in 9:8; 10:15. In 1Ti 4:1 the adjective "Holy" is wanting as in Re 2; 3 . But the writer quotes this Psalm as the Word of God and in 4:7 attributes it to David.

If ye shall hear ( $\varepsilon \alpha \vee \alpha \kappa о \cup \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon)$. Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and first aorist active subjunctive of aкоvш.

Heb 3:8
Harden not ( $\mu \eta \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \cup v \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and first aorist (ingressive) active subjunctive of $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \nu v \omega$, late verb from $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o s$ (dried up, stiff, hard) as in Ac 19:9; Ro 9:18.

As in the provocation ( $\omega \varsigma \eta \nu \tau \omega \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \iota \kappa \rho \alpha \sigma \mu \omega)$. Late compound from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \iota \kappa \rho \alpha \iota \omega \omega$, late verb to embitter ( $\left.\pi \alpha \rho \alpha, \pi \_\kappa \rho \circ \varsigma\right)$, found only in LXX and here and verse 15. It means embitterment, exasperation. For the simple verb $\pi \kappa \kappa \rho \alpha \iota v \omega$, to make bitter, see Col 3:19. The reference is to Meribah (Ex. 17:1-7).

Like as in the day (ката $\tau \eta \vee \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha v)$. "According to the day" as in Ac 12:1; 19:23.
Of the temptation ( $\tau 00 \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \mu \circ v$ ). The reference is to Massah which took place at Rephidim.

Heb 3:9
Wherewith (ov). Literally, "where" (the wilderness) as in De 8:15.
Tempted me by proving me ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon เ \rho a \sigma \alpha v \varepsilon v ~ \delta о к ц \mu \sigma \iota \alpha)$. No word for "me." The Israelites "tested" God "in putting to the proof" (only N.T. use of this word from $\delta о \kappa \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$ and this
from the LXX). They were not content with God's promise, but demanded objective proof ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$, deeds) of God.

Heb 3:10
And saw ( $\kappa \alpha$ ع $\ell \delta o v)$ ). "And yet saw."
Wherefore ( $\delta ⿺ 0$ ). Not in the LXX, but it makes clear the argument in the Psalm.
I was displeased $(\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \chi \theta \iota \sigma \alpha)$. First aorist active of $\pi \rho o \sigma o \chi \theta 1 \zeta \omega$, late compound for extreme anger and disgust. In N.T. only here and verse 17.

Err ( $\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \omega v \tau \alpha)$. Present middle indicative of $\pi \lambda \alpha v \alpha \omega$, to wander astray, common verb.
They did not know (ouk $\varepsilon \gamma v \omega \sigma \alpha v$ ). In spite of God's works ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$ ) and loving patience the Israelites failed to understand God's ways with them. Are we any better? They "cared not to take my road" (Moffatt).

Heb 3:11
As I sware ( $\omega \varsigma \omega \mu \circ \sigma \alpha$ ). "Correlating the oath and the disobedience" (Vincent). First aorist active indicative of o $\mu v v \omega$, old verb for solemn oath ( 6:13).
 anacoluthon for the Hebrew $\operatorname{im}$ (not). Really it is a condition of the first class with the conclusion not expressed, common in the LXX as here (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1024).
 rest, in LXX, in N.T. only in Ac 7:49; Heb 3:11-4:11 . Primarily the rest in Canaan and then the heavenly rest in which God dwells.

Heb 3:12
Take heed ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present active imperative as in Php 3:2 (three times) of $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$ in place of the more usual opate. Solemn warning to the Jewish Christians from the experience of the Israelites as told in Ps 95 .

Lest haply there shall be ( $\mu \eta \pi о \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha$ ). Negative purpose with $\mu \eta \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ and the future indicative as in Mr 14:2. But we have in $\operatorname{Col} 2: 8 \mu \eta \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha$ as in Heb 12:25; $\mu \eta$ occurs with the aorist subjunctive, and $\mu \eta \pi$ оо $\varepsilon$ with present subjunctive (Heb 4:1) or aorist subjunctive (Ac 5:39).

In any one of you ( $\varepsilon v \tau \tau v \nu \mu \omega v$ ). The application is personal and pointed.
An evil heart of unbelief ( $\kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha ~ \pi о \vee \eta \rho \alpha ~ \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \alpha \varsigma) . ~ A ~ r e m a r k a b l e ~ c o m b i n a t i o n . ~$
Heart ( $\kappa \alpha \rho \delta ı \alpha$ ) is common in the LXX (about 1,000 times), but "evil heart" only twice in the O.T. (Jer 16:12; 18:12 ). Atıбтıas is more than mere unbelief, here rather disbelief, refusal to believe, genitive case describing the evil heart marked by disbelief which is no mark of intelligence then or now.

In falling away from the living God ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \eta v \alpha \alpha \pi \sigma \theta \varepsilon o v \zeta \omega \nu \tau \circ \varsigma)$. "In the falling away" (locative case with $\varepsilon \nu$ of the second aorist active (intransitive) infinitive of $\alpha \varphi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, to stand off from, to step aside from (aro with the ablative case $\theta$ rov) the living God (common phrase in the O.T. and the N.T. for God as opposed to lifeless idols)). "Remember that
to apostatize from Christ in whom you have found God is to apostatize from God" (Dods). That is true today. See Eze 20:8 for this use of the verb.

Heb 3:13
So long as it is called today ( $\alpha \chi \rho \iota \varsigma$ ov $\tau 0 ~ \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \circ v \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ ). The only instance in the
 sense of "so long as" or "while" like $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$. Elsewhere it means "until" and with either the aorist indicative (Ac 7:18), the future (Re 17:17), or the aorist subjunctive (Re 7:3).

Lest any one of you be hardened (ıva $\mu \eta \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho v v \theta \eta \tau \tau \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \nu \mu \omega \nu$ ). Negative purpose clause with $v \alpha \alpha \mu \eta$ (that not) and the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho v v \omega$, the vivid verb from verse 8.

By the deceitfulness of $\sin (\alpha \pi \alpha \tau \eta \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau i \alpha \varsigma)$. Instrumental case $\alpha \pi \alpha \tau \eta$ (trick, fraud) as is always the case with $\sin$ (Ro 7:11; 2Th 2:10). Apostasy ( 12:4) is their peril and it is a trick of sin.

Heb 3:14
For we are become partakers of Christ ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau о \chi о ~ \gamma \alpha \rho$ tov X Xıбтоv $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma о v \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Second perfect active of $\gamma เ \nu \circ \mu \alpha$, "we have become," not the equivalent of $\varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu$ (are). For $\mu \varepsilon \tau о \chi$ о see 1:9; 3:1; 6:4 . We have become partners with Christ and hence ( $\gamma \alpha \rho$, for) should not be tricked into apostasy.

If we hold fast ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \pi \varepsilon \rho \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). The same condition as in verse 6 with $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ (indeed, forsooth) added to $\varepsilon \alpha v$. Jonathan Edwards once said that the sure proof of election is that one holds out to the end.

The beginning of our confidence ( $\tau \eta v \alpha \rho \chi \eta \nu \tau \eta \varsigma v \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. For $v \pi о \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma$ see 1:3; 11:1. These faltering believers (some even apostates) began with loud confidence and profession of loyalty. And now?

Heb 3:15
While it is said ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Locative case with $\varepsilon v$ of the articular present passive infinitive of $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, "in the being said." Thus the author (cf. same phrase in Ps $42: 4$ ) introduces the repeated quotation from verses 7,8 . Probably it is to be connected with $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$, though it can be joined with $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı \tau \varepsilon$ in verse 13 (treating 14 as a parenthesis).

Heb 3:16
Who (Tives). Clearly interrogative, not indefinite (some).
Did provoke ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \pi \iota \kappa \rho \alpha v a v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \iota \kappa \rho \iota v \omega$, apparently coined by the LXX like $\pi \alpha \rho a \pi \iota \kappa \rho \alpha \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma$ (verse 15) to which it points, exasperating the anger of God.

Nay, did not all ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov $\left.\pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma\right)$. "A favourite device of the diatribe style" (Moffatt), answering one rhetorical question with another ( $\mathrm{Lu} 17: 8$ ) as in verses 17,18 , There was a faithful minority mentioned by Paul (1Co 10:7f. ).

Heb 3:17

With them that sinned ( $\tau о \iota \varsigma ~ \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma เ v)$. Dative masculine plural after $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \chi \theta \iota \sigma \varepsilon v$ (cf. verse 10) of the articular first aorist active participle of $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v \omega$ ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \varrho$, not $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega v)$.

Carcases ( $\kappa \omega \lambda \alpha$ ). Old word for members of the body like the feet, in LXX a dead body (Nu 14:29), here only in N.T.

Heb 3:18
That they should not enter ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Negative $\mu \eta$ (cf. $\varepsilon$ in verse 11) and the future middle infinitive in indirect discourse.

To them that were disobedient ( $\tau 0 \iota \varsigma \alpha \pi \varepsilon ө \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma เ v$ ). Dative masculine plural of the articular first aorist active participle of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \bullet \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega$, active disobedience with which compare $\alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota a c$ in verse 12,19 .

Heb 3:19
And we see ( $\kappa \alpha \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi о \mu \varepsilon v)$. Triumphant conclusion of the exegesis of Ps 95 . "So we see."

## Hebrews 3

Heb 3:1
Holy brethren ( $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi$ о $\alpha \nvdash \circ$ ). Only here in N.T., for $\alpha \gamma \iota \iota \varsigma$ in 1Th 5:27 only in late MSS. See Heb 2:11 for same idea. First time the author makes direct appeal to the readers, though first person in 2:1.

Partakers ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau о \chi о$ ). See Lu 5:7 for "partners" in the fishing, elsewhere in N.T. only in Hebrews (1:9; 6:4; 12:8) in N.T.

Of a heavenly calling ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \sigma \cup \rho a v i o v)$. Only here in the N.T., though same idea in 9:15. See $\eta \alpha \nu \omega \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota$ in Php 3:14 (the upward calling). The call comes from heaven and is to heaven in its appeal.

Consider ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha v о \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon \omega$, old compound verb (ката, vovৎ), to put the mind down on a thing, to fix the mind on as in Mt 7:3; Lu 12:24

Even Jesus (Iqбovv). No "even" in the Greek, just like the idiom in 2:9, the human name held up with pride.

The Apostle and High Priest of our confession ( $\tau \circ v \alpha \pi о \sigma \tau о \lambda о v \kappa \alpha \alpha \rho \chi เ \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \alpha \tau\rceil$ o $\mu \circ \lambda o \gamma \iota a \varsigma \eta \mu \omega v$ ). In descriptive apposition with I $\eta \sigma o v v$ and note the single article $\tau o v$. This is the only time in the N.T. that Jesus is called $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau о \lambda \circ \varsigma$, though he often used $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ of God's sending him forth as in Joh 17:3 ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda a \varsigma)$. This verb is used of Moses as sent by God (Ex 3:10 ). Moffatt notes that a $\pi о \sigma \tau 0 \lambda o \varsigma$ is Ionic for $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \varepsilon v \tau \eta \varsigma$, "not a mere envoy, but an ambassador or representative sent with powers." The author has already termed Jesus
 tians had confessed Jesus as their Apostle and High Priest. They do not begin to understand what Jesus is and means if they are tempted to give him up. The word runs through Hebrews with an urgent note for fidelity $(4: 14 ; 10: 23$ ). See o $\mu \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \sigma \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ (o $\rho \sigma v$, same, $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, say), to say the same thing, to agree, to confess, to profess.

Heb 3:2
Who was faithful ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 v o v \tau \alpha$ ). Present active participle with predicate accusative agreeing with I $\eta \sigma o u v$, "as being faithful."

That appointed him ( $\tau \omega \pi$ пoı $\eta \sigma \alpha \tau \alpha v \tau o v$ ). See 1Sa 12:6. Dative case of the articular participle (aorist active) of $\pi \circ เ \varepsilon \omega$ and the reference is to God. Note $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma$ as in 2:17.

As also was Moses ( $\omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \mathrm{M} \omega v \sigma \eta \varsigma$ ). The author makes no depreciatory remarks about Moses as he did not about the prophets and the angels. He cheerfully admits that Moses was faithful "in all his house" ( $\varepsilon v$ o $\lambda \omega \tau \omega$ o七к $\omega \alpha v \tau 0 v$ ), an allusion to Nu 12:7 ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ o $\lambda \omega \tau \omega$ о七к $\omega \mu \mathrm{Ov}$ ) about Moses. The "his" is God's. The use of oוкоц for the people (family) of God, not the building, but the group ( $1 \mathrm{Ti} 3: 15$ ) in which God is the Father. But wherein is Jesus superior to Moses? The argument is keen and skilful.

Heb 3:3
Hath been counted worthy of more glory than Moses ( $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota \circ \nu o \varsigma \delta o \xi \eta \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mathrm{M} \omega v \sigma \eta \nu$ $\eta \xi \iota \omega \tau \alpha)$. Perfect passive indicative of $\alpha \xi \iota \omega$, to deem worthy, permanent situation described with definite claim of Christ's superiority to Moses. $\Delta \mathrm{o} \xi \eta \varsigma$ in genitive case after $\eta \xi ו \omega \tau \alpha$. For $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ after the comparative $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota o v o \varsigma$ see $1: 4,9 ; 2: 7$.

By so much as ( $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ooov). A proportionate measurement (common use of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ and the quantitative relative ooos).

Than the house ( $\tau 0 v$ oוкоv). Ablative case of comparison after $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota o v a$. The architect is superior to the house just as Sir Christopher Wren is superior to St. Paul's Cathedral. The point in the argument calls for Jesus as the builder (o катабкєvaбає, first aorist active participle of катабкєva $\zeta \omega$, to found or build). But it is God's house as av ) and ov in verse 6. This house of God existed before Moses (11:2,25 ). Jesus as God's Son founded and supervised this house of God.

Heb 3:4
Is God ( $\theta \varepsilon \circ \varsigma)$. God is the Creator of all things and so of his "house" which his Son, Jesus Christ, founded and supervises.

Heb 3:5
And Moses ( $\kappa \alpha \mathrm{M} \omega v \sigma \eta \varsigma \mu \varepsilon v$ ). "Now Moses indeed on his part" ( $\mu \varepsilon v$ contrasted with $\delta \varepsilon)$.

In ( $\varepsilon v$ ). Moses was in "God's house" "as a servant" ( $\omega \varsigma \theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \omega v$ ). Old word, in LXX, only here in N.T. and quoted from Nu 12:7f . Kin to the verb $\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon v \omega$, to serve, to heal, and $\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon \iota a$, service (Lu 9:11) and a group of servants (Lu 12:42).

For a testimony of those things which were afterward to be spoken ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \mu \rho \tau \cup \rho เ o v$ $\tau \omega v \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \eta \sigma o \mu \varepsilon v \omega v)$. Objective genitive of the articular future passive participle of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$. It is not certain what it means whether the "testimony" ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \iota o v$ ) is to Moses or to God and whether it points on to Christ. In 9:9 see $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta o \lambda \eta$ applied to the old dispensation as a symbol pointing to Christ and Christianity.

As a son ( $\omega \varsigma v i \circ \varsigma)$. Instead of a $\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \omega v$ (servant).
Over his house ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \tau \nu$ oוко $\nu$ av $\tau 0 v$ ). The difference between $\varepsilon \pi$ and $\varepsilon v$ added to that between vios and $\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \omega v$. It is very neat and quite conclusive, especially when we recall the high place occupied by Moses in Jewish thought. In Ac 7:11 the Jews accused Stephen of speaking "blasphemous words against Moses and God" (putting Moses on a par with God).

Heb 3:6
Whose house are we (ov оєкоৎ $\varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. We Christians (Jew and Gentile) looked at as a whole, not as a local organization.

If we hold fast ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and second aorist (effective) active subjunctive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \chi \omega$. This note of contingency and doubt runs all through the Epistle. We are God's house if we do not play the traitor and desert.

Boldness ( $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha v)$
and glorying ( $\kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha \cup \chi \eta \mu \alpha$ ) some had lost. The author makes no effort to reconcile this warning with God's elective purpose. He is not exhorting God, but these wavering Christians. All these are Pauline words. B does not have $\mu \varepsilon \chi \rho \tau \varepsilon \lambda$ ovc $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \iota a v$ (firm unto the end), but it is clearly genuine in verse 14 . He pleads for intelligent confidence.

Heb 3:7
Wherefore ( $\delta ⿺ 0$ ). Probably this inferential conjunction ( $\delta \mathbf{1} \alpha, \mathrm{o}$, because of which) goes with $\mu \eta \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \cup v \eta \tau \varepsilon$ (harden not) in verse 8 rather than with $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ (take heed) in verse 12 unless the long quotation be considered a parenthesis. The long quotation in verses 7-11 is from Ps 95:7-11. After the quotation the author has "three movements" (Moffatt) in his discussion of the passage as applied to the Jewish Christians (3:12-19; 4:1-10; 4:11-13). The peril of apostasy as shown by the example of the Israelites is presented with vividness and power.

As the Holy Ghost saith ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ тo $\pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha$ тo $\alpha \gamma ı v$ ). Just this phrase nowhere else in the N.T., except Act 21:11 (Agabus), though practically the same idea in 9:8; 10:15. In 1Ti 4:1 the adjective "Holy" is wanting as in Re 2; 3 . But the writer quotes this Psalm as the Word of God and in 4:7 attributes it to David.

If ye shall hear ( $\varepsilon \alpha \vee \alpha \kappa о \cup \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon)$. Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and first aorist active subjunctive of aкоvш.

Heb 3:8
Harden not ( $\mu \eta \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \cup v \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and first aorist (ingressive) active subjunctive of $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \nu v \omega$, late verb from $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o s$ (dried up, stiff, hard) as in Ac 19:9; Ro 9:18.

As in the provocation ( $\omega \varsigma \eta \nu \tau \omega \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \iota \kappa \rho \alpha \sigma \mu \omega)$. Late compound from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \iota \kappa \rho \alpha \iota \omega \omega$, late verb to embitter ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha, \pi \kappa \kappa \rho \circ \varsigma)$, found only in LXX and here and verse 15. It means embitterment, exasperation. For the simple verb $\pi \kappa \kappa \rho \alpha \iota v \omega$, to make bitter, see Col 3:19. The reference is to Meribah (Ex. 17:1-7).

Like as in the day (ката $\tau \eta \vee \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha v)$. "According to the day" as in Ac 12:1; 19:23.
Of the temptation ( $\tau 00 \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \mu \circ v$ ). The reference is to Massah which took place at Rephidim.

Heb 3:9
Wherewith (ov). Literally, "where" (the wilderness) as in De 8:15.
Tempted me by proving me ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon เ \rho a \sigma \alpha v \varepsilon v ~ \delta о к ц \mu \sigma \iota \alpha)$. No word for "me." The Israelites "tested" God "in putting to the proof" (only N.T. use of this word from $\delta о \kappa \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$ and this
from the LXX). They were not content with God's promise, but demanded objective proof ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$, deeds) of God.

Heb 3:10
And saw ( $\kappa \alpha$ ع $\ell \delta o v)$ ). "And yet saw."
Wherefore ( $\delta ⿺ 0$ ). Not in the LXX, but it makes clear the argument in the Psalm.
I was displeased $(\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \chi \theta \iota \sigma \alpha)$. First aorist active of $\pi \rho o \sigma o \chi \theta 1 \zeta \omega$, late compound for extreme anger and disgust. In N.T. only here and verse 17.

Err ( $\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \omega v \tau \alpha)$. Present middle indicative of $\pi \lambda \alpha v \alpha \omega$, to wander astray, common verb.
They did not know (ouk $\varepsilon \gamma v \omega \sigma \alpha v$ ). In spite of God's works ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$ ) and loving patience the Israelites failed to understand God's ways with them. Are we any better? They "cared not to take my road" (Moffatt).

Heb 3:11
As I sware ( $\omega \varsigma \omega \mu \circ \sigma \alpha$ ). "Correlating the oath and the disobedience" (Vincent). First aorist active indicative of o $\mu v v \omega$, old verb for solemn oath ( 6:13).
 anacoluthon for the Hebrew $\operatorname{im}$ (not). Really it is a condition of the first class with the conclusion not expressed, common in the LXX as here (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1024).
 rest, in LXX, in N.T. only in Ac 7:49; Heb 3:11-4:11 . Primarily the rest in Canaan and then the heavenly rest in which God dwells.

Heb 3:12
Take heed ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present active imperative as in Php 3:2 (three times) of $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$ in place of the more usual opate. Solemn warning to the Jewish Christians from the experience of the Israelites as told in Ps 95 .

Lest haply there shall be ( $\mu \eta \pi о \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha$ ). Negative purpose with $\mu \eta \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ and the future indicative as in Mr 14:2. But we have in $\operatorname{Col} 2: 8 \mu \eta \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha$ as in Heb 12:25; $\mu \eta$ occurs with the aorist subjunctive, and $\mu \eta \pi$ оо $\varepsilon$ with present subjunctive (Heb 4:1) or aorist subjunctive (Ac 5:39).

In any one of you ( $\varepsilon v \tau \tau v \nu \mu \omega v$ ). The application is personal and pointed.
An evil heart of unbelief ( $\kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha ~ \pi о \vee \eta \rho \alpha ~ \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \alpha \varsigma) . ~ A ~ r e m a r k a b l e ~ c o m b i n a t i o n . ~$
Heart ( $\kappa \alpha \rho \delta ı \alpha$ ) is common in the LXX (about 1,000 times), but "evil heart" only twice in the O.T. (Jer 16:12; 18:12 ). Atıбтıas is more than mere unbelief, here rather disbelief, refusal to believe, genitive case describing the evil heart marked by disbelief which is no mark of intelligence then or now.

In falling away from the living God ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \eta v \alpha \alpha \pi \sigma \theta \varepsilon o v \zeta \omega \nu \tau \circ \varsigma)$. "In the falling away" (locative case with $\varepsilon v$ of the second aorist active (intransitive) infinitive of $\alpha \varphi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, to stand off from, to step aside from (aro with the ablative case $\theta$ rov) the living God (common phrase in the O.T. and the N.T. for God as opposed to lifeless idols)). "Remember that
to apostatize from Christ in whom you have found God is to apostatize from God" (Dods). That is true today. See Eze 20:8 for this use of the verb.

Heb 3:13
So long as it is called today ( $\alpha \chi \rho \iota \varsigma$ ov $\tau 0 ~ \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \circ v \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ ). The only instance in the
 sense of "so long as" or "while" like $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$. Elsewhere it means "until" and with either the aorist indicative (Ac 7:18), the future (Re 17:17), or the aorist subjunctive (Re 7:3).

Lest any one of you be hardened (ıva $\mu \eta \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho v v \theta \eta \tau \tau \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \nu \mu \omega \nu$ ). Negative purpose clause with $v \alpha \alpha \mu \eta$ (that not) and the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho v v \omega$, the vivid verb from verse 8.

By the deceitfulness of $\sin (\alpha \pi \alpha \tau \eta \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau i \alpha \varsigma)$. Instrumental case $\alpha \pi \alpha \tau \eta$ (trick, fraud) as is always the case with $\sin$ (Ro 7:11; 2Th 2:10). Apostasy ( 12:4) is their peril and it is a trick of sin.

Heb 3:14
For we are become partakers of Christ ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau о \chi о ~ \gamma \alpha \rho$ tov X Xıбтоv $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma о v \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Second perfect active of $\gamma / v o \mu \alpha$, "we have become," not the equivalent of $\varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu$ (are). For $\mu \varepsilon \tau о \chi о$ see 1:9; 3:1; 6:4 . We have become partners with Christ and hence ( $\gamma \alpha \rho$, for) should not be tricked into apostasy.

If we hold fast ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \pi \varepsilon \rho \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). The same condition as in verse 6 with $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ (indeed, forsooth) added to $\varepsilon \alpha v$. Jonathan Edwards once said that the sure proof of election is that one holds out to the end.

The beginning of our confidence ( $\tau \eta v \alpha \rho \chi \eta \nu \tau \eta \varsigma v \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. For $v \pi о \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma$ see 1:3; 11:1. These faltering believers (some even apostates) began with loud confidence and profession of loyalty. And now?

Heb 3:15
While it is said ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Locative case with $\varepsilon v$ of the articular present passive infinitive of $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, "in the being said." Thus the author (cf. same phrase in Ps $42: 4$ ) introduces the repeated quotation from verses 7,8 . Probably it is to be connected with $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$, though it can be joined with $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı \tau \varepsilon$ in verse 13 (treating 14 as a parenthesis).

Heb 3:16
Who (Tives). Clearly interrogative, not indefinite (some).
Did provoke ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \pi \iota \kappa \rho \alpha v a v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \iota \kappa \rho \iota v \omega$, apparently coined by the LXX like $\pi \alpha \rho a \pi \iota \kappa \rho \alpha \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma$ (verse 15) to which it points, exasperating the anger of God.

Nay, did not all ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov $\left.\pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma\right)$. "A favourite device of the diatribe style" (Moffatt), answering one rhetorical question with another ( $\mathrm{Lu} 17: 8$ ) as in verses 17,18 , There was a faithful minority mentioned by Paul (1Co 10:7f. ).

Heb 3:17

With them that sinned ( $\tau о \iota \varsigma ~ \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma เ v)$. Dative masculine plural after $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \chi \theta \iota \sigma \varepsilon v$ (cf. verse 10) of the articular first aorist active participle of $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v \omega$ ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \varrho$, not а $\mu \rho \tau \tau \omega v)$.

Carcases ( $\kappa \omega \lambda \alpha$ ). Old word for members of the body like the feet, in LXX a dead body (Nu 14:29), here only in N.T.

Heb 3:18
That they should not enter ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \mid \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Negative $\mu \eta$ (cf. $\varepsilon$ in verse 11) and the future middle infinitive in indirect discourse.

To them that were disobedient ( $\tau 0 \iota \varsigma \alpha \pi \varepsilon ө \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma เ v$ ). Dative masculine plural of the articular first aorist active participle of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \bullet \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega$, active disobedience with which compare $\alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota a c$ in verse 12,19 .

Heb 3:19
And we see ( $\kappa \alpha \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi о \mu \varepsilon v)$. Triumphant conclusion of the exegesis of Ps 95 . "So we see."

## Hebrews 4

Heb 4:1
Let us fear therefore ( $\varphi o \beta \eta \theta \omega \mu \varepsilon v o v v)$. First aorist passive volitive subjunctive of $\varphi о \beta \varepsilon \circ \mu \alpha$, to be afraid. There is no break in the argument on Ps 95 . This is a poor chapter division. The Israelites perished because of disbelief. We today face a real peril.

Lest haply ( $\mu \eta \pi о \tau \varepsilon$ ) Here with the present subjunctive ( $\delta$ окє), but future indicative in 3:12, after the verb of fearing. For the optative see 2Ti 2:25.

A promise being left ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı \pi о \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute of the present passive participle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon เ \pi \omega$, to leave behind. God's promise still holds good for us in spite of the failure of the Israelites.

Should seem to have come short of it ( $\delta о \kappa \varepsilon v \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \eta \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Perfect active infinitive of
 be too late, to fail to reach the goal as here, common in the N.T. (11:37; 12:15).

Heb 4:2
For indeed we have had good tidings preached unto us ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \cup \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o$ $\varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Periphrastic perfect passive indicative of $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota} \zeta \omega$ (from $\varepsilon \cup \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota} \circ v$, good news, glad tidings) to bring good news, used here in its original sense as in verse 6 of the Israelites ( $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota} \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ first aorist passive participle).

Even as also they ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \kappa \alpha \kappa \varepsilon เ v o)$. See verse 6 . We have the promise of rest as the Israelites had. The parallel holds as to the promise, the privilege, the penalty.

The word of hearing (o $\lambda о \gamma о \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma$ акопऽ). As in 1Th 2:13. Genitive aкoŋऽ describing $\lambda o \gamma o \varsigma$, the word marked by hearing (the word heard).

Because they were not united by faith with them that heard ( $\mu \eta$ боvкєкعраб $\mu \varepsilon v o v$, $\tau \eta \pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon \tau o \iota \varsigma \alpha \kappa o v \sigma \alpha \sigma เ v)$. M $\eta$, the usual negative of the participle. A very difficult phrase. The text is uncertain whether the participle (perfect passive of $\sigma u v \kappa \varepsilon \rho \alpha v v \nu \mu$, old verb to
 it in -ovs the translation is correct. Пıбт $\varepsilon$ is in the instrumental case and toıs $\alpha \kappa о v \sigma \alpha \sigma \iota v$ in the associative instrumental after ouv.

Heb 4:3
Do enter ( $\varepsilon เ \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha)$. Emphatic futuristic present middle indicative of $\varepsilon เ \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. We are sure to enter in, we who believe.

He hath said ( $\varepsilon\llcorner\rho \eta \kappa \varepsilon v)$. Perfect active indicative for the permanent value of God's word as in $1: 13 ; 4: 4 ; 10: 9,13 ; 13: 5$; Ac 13:34. God has spoken. That is enough for us. So he quotes again what he has in verse 11 from Ps 95 .

Although the works were finished ( $\kappa \alpha \iota \tau о \tau \omega v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega v \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \theta \varepsilon v \tau \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute with concessive use of the participle. Old particle, in N.T. only here and Ac 14:17 (with verb).

From the foundation of the world ( $\alpha \pi о$ кат $\alpha \beta$ о $\eta \varsigma ~ к о \sigma \mu о v) . ~ К а т \alpha \beta о \lambda \eta, ~ l a t e ~ w o r d ~$ from $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, usually laying the foundation of a house in the literal sense. In the N.T. usually with a $\pi \mathrm{o}$ (Mt 25:44) or $\pi \rho o$ (Joh 17:24) about the foundation of the world.

Heb 4:4
Somewhere on this wise ( $\pi \circ \cup$ ov $\tau \omega \varsigma$ ). See 2:6 for $\pi$ ov $\tau \iota \varsigma$ for a like indefinite allusion to an Old Testament quotation. Here it is Ge 2:2 (cf. Ex 20:11; 31:17). Moffatt notes that Philo quotes Ge 2:2 with the same "literary mannerism."

Rested (катєпаvбєv). First aorist active indicative of каталаv $\omega$, intransitive here, but transitive in verse 8. It is not, of course, absolute rest from all creative activity as Jesus shows in Joh 5:17. But the seventh day of God's rest was still going on (clearly not a twenty-four hour day).

Heb 4:5
And in this place again ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon v \tau 0 v \tau \omega \pi \alpha \lambda \iota v$ ). The passage already quoted in verse 3 ; 3:11.

Heb 4:6
It remaineth ( $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Present passive indicative of $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi \omega$, old verb to leave behind, to remain over. So again in 4:9; 10:26. Here the infinitive clause ( $\tau \tau v a c ~ \varepsilon ו \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon ı v$ $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \nu \tau \eta v$ ) is the subject of $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon ו \pi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$. This left-over promise is not repeated, though not utilized by the Israelites under Moses nor in the highest sense by Joshua and David.

Failed to enter in (ovk $\varepsilon เ \sigma \eta \lambda \theta$ ov). "Did not enter in" (second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon ו \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha)$. It is a rabbinical argument all along here, but the author is writing to Jews.

Heb 4:7
He again defineth a certain day ( $\pi \alpha \lambda ı \nu \tau ı v \alpha$ орı $\zeta \varepsilon \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu$ ). Present active indicative of opı $\zeta \omega$, old verb to set a limit (opoc, horizon) as in Ac 17:26; Ro 1:4.

In David ( $\varepsilon v \Delta \alpha v \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta$ ). Attributing the Psalm to David or in the Psalter at any rate.
Hath been before said ( $\pi \rho \circ \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative referring to the quotation in 3:7,15.

After so long a time ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ toбovtov $\chi \rho \circ \vee o v)$. The time between Joshua and David.
Heb 4:8
Joshua (In $\quad$ ouc). The Greek form is Jesus. Condition of the second class (determined as unfulfilled) with $\varepsilon$ and aorist indicative in the condition and $\alpha v$ with the imperfect in the conclusion.

He would not have spoken (ovk $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Wrong translation, "he would not speak" (be speaking), in the passage in David. Imperfect tense, not aorist.

Heb 4:9
A sabbath rest ( $\sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma)$. Late word from $\sigma \alpha \beta \beta a \tau \iota \zeta \omega(\operatorname{Ex~16:30)}$ ) to keep the Sabbath, apparently coined by the author (a doubtful passage in Plutarch). Here it is parallel with катата⿱㇒兀ц (cf. Re 14:13).

For the people of God ( $\tau \omega \lambda \alpha \omega$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Dative case of blessed personal interest to the true Israel (Ga 6:16).

Heb 4:10
As God did from his ( $\omega \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \sigma \tau \omega \nu \iota \delta \omega \nu$ o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma)$. It is not cessation of work, but rather of the weariness and pain in toil. The writer pictures salvation as God's rest which man is to share and God will have perfect satisfaction when man is in harmony with him (Dods).

Heb 4:11
Let us therefore give diligence ( $\sigma \pi \sigma v \delta \alpha \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ouv). Volitive subjunctive aorist of $\sigma \pi 0 v \delta \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb to hasten (2Ti 4:9), to be eager and alert (1Th 2:17). The exhortation has a warning like that in 4:1.

That no man fall ( $t v \alpha \mu \eta \pi \varepsilon \sigma \eta$ ). Negative purpose with $t v a \mu \eta$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, to fall.

After the same example of disobedience ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \alpha \cup \tau \omega \cup \pi o \delta \varepsilon 1 \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \pi \varepsilon \mid \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha \varsigma)$. The unbelief is like that seen in the Israelites ( $3: 12,18 ; 4: 2$ ). Нипо $\delta \varepsilon \tau \gamma \mu$ is a late word from $v \pi$ об $\varepsilon ו \kappa v v \mu$ (Mt 3:7) and means a copy (Joh 13:15; Jas 5:10). The Israelites set a terrible example and it is so easy to copy the bad examples.

Heb 4:12
The word of God (o $\lambda$ oүos $\operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). That just quoted about the promise of rest and God's rest, but true of any real word of God.

Living ( $\zeta \omega v$ ). Cf. the Living God ( 3:12). In Philo and the Book of Wisdom the Logos of God is personified, but still more in Joh 1:1-18 where Jesus is pictured as the Logos on a par with God. "Our author is using Philonic language rather than Philonic ideas" (Moffatt). See Joh 6:63 : "The words which I have spoken are spirit and are life."

Active ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. Energetic, powerful (Joh 1:12; Php 3:21; Col 1:29).
Sharper ( $\tau \circ \mu \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma)$. Comparative of $\tau \circ \mu \circ \varsigma$, cutting (from $\tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \omega$, to cut), late adjective, here only in the N.T.

Than (vifp). Often so after a comparative ( $\mathrm{Lu} 16: 8 ; 2 \mathrm{Co} 12: 13$ ).
Two-edged ( $\delta เ \sigma \tau \circ \mu \circ v$ ). "Two-mouthed" ( $\delta$ t-, $\sigma \tau о \mu \alpha$ ), double-mouthed like a river (Polybius), branching ways (Sophocles), applied to sword ( $\xi ⿺ \varphi \bigcirc \varsigma)$ by Homer and Euripides.

Piercing ( $\delta u к v о \cup \mu \varepsilon v о \varsigma) . ~ P r e s e n t ~ m i d d l e ~ p a r t i c i p l e ~ o f ~ \delta u к v \varepsilon о \mu \alpha, ~ o l d ~ v e r b ~ t o ~ g o ~ t h r o u g h, ~$ here only in N.T.

Even to the dividing ( $\alpha \chi \rho \mu \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \mu \circ v$ ). Old word from $\mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta \omega$ ( $\mu \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$, part), to partition.
Of soul and spirit ( $\psi v \chi \eta \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha ~ \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma)$. As in 1Th 5:23; 1Co 15:45, but not an argument for trichotomy. Psychology is constantly changing its terminology.

Of both joints and marrow ( $\alpha \rho \mu \omega \nu \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \mu \nu \varepsilon \lambda \omega v$ ). From $\alpha \rho \omega$, to join, comes $\alpha \rho \mu \circ \varsigma$, old word, here only in the N.T. Mve入os (from $\mu \nu \omega$, to shut), old word, here only in N.T. This surgeon goes into and through the joints and marrow, not cleaving between them.

Quick to discern (крıтєоऽ). Verbal adjective in -ıкоऽ, from к $\rho เ \nu \omega$, skilled in judging, as the surgeon has to be and able to decide on the instant what to do. So God's word like his eye sees the secret lurking doubt and unbelief "of the thoughts and intents of the heart" ( $\varepsilon v \theta v \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega v \kappa \alpha \varepsilon v v o \omega \omega v \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. The surgeon carries a bright and powerful light for every dark crevice and a sharp knife for the removal of all the pus revealed by the light. It is a powerful picture here drawn.

Heb 4:13
That is not manifest ( $\alpha \varphi \alpha \sim \eta \zeta$ ). Old adjective ( $\alpha$ privative and $\varphi \alpha \downarrow v \omega$, to show), here only in the N.T. God's microscope can lay bare the smallest microbe of doubt and sin.

Naked ( $\gamma v \mu v \alpha$ ). Both soul and body are naked to the eye of God.
 back the neck ( $\tau \rho a \chi \eta \lambda$ ос, Mt 18:6) as the surgeon does for operating, here only in N.T. See Ro $16: 4$ for the peril of risking one's neck ( $\tau \rho \alpha \chi \eta \lambda$ ov $v \pi o \tau \imath \theta \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). God's eyes see all the facts in our inmost hearts. There are no mental reservations from God.

With whom we have to do ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ ov $\eta \mu \nu \nu$ o $\lambda o \gamma o \varsigma)$. "With whom the matter or account for us is." There is a slight play here on $\lambda$ opoc of verse 12 . Surely every servant of Christ today needs to gaze into this revealing mirror and be honest with himself and God.

## Heb 4:14

A great high priest ( $\alpha \rho \chi \iota \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \alpha \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha v$ ). The author now takes up the main argument of the Epistle, already alluded to in 1:3;2:17f.; $3: 1$, the priestly work of Jesus as superior to that of the Levitical line ( 4:14-12:3). Jesus is superior to the prophets ( $1: 1-3$ ), to angels ( $1: 4-2: 18$ ), to Moses ( 3:1-4:13), he has already shown. Here he only terms Jesus "great" as high priest (a frequent adjective with high priest in Philo) but the superiority comes out as he proceeds.

Who hath passed through the heavens ( $\delta เ \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \cup \theta$ ota $\tau 0 v \varsigma$ oupavouc). Perfect active
 to the throne of $\operatorname{God}(1: 3)$ where he performs his function as our high priest. This idea will be developed later (6:19f.; 7:26-28; 9:11f.,24f. ).

Jesus the Son of God (Inoouv tov viov tov $\theta$ gov). The human name linked with his deity, clinching the argument already made ( 1:1-4:13).

Let us hold fast our confession ( $\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \varsigma$ о $о о \lambda о ү \iota \varsigma \varsigma$ ). Present active volitive subjunctive of $\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$, old verb (from кратоৎ, power), with genitive to cling to tenaciously as here and 6:18 and also with the accusative (2Th 2:15; Col 2:19). "Let us keep on holding fast." This keynote runs all through the Epistle, the exhortation to the Jewish Christians to hold on to the confession ( $3: 1$ ) of Christ already made. Before making the five points of Christ's superior priestly work (better priest than Aaron, 5:1-7:25; under a better covenant, 8:1-13; in a better sanctuary, 9:1-12; offering a better sacrifice, 9:13-10:18; based on better promises, 10:19-12:3), the author gives a double exhortation ( 4:14-16) like that in 2:1-4 to hold fast to the high priest (14f. ) and to make use of him (16).

Heb 4:15
That cannot be touched with the feeling ( $\mu \eta \delta v \nu \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o v \sigma v \nu \pi \alpha \theta \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). "Not able to sympathize with." First aorist passive infinitive of $\sigma v v \pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon \omega$, late compound verb from the late adjective $\sigma v v \pi \alpha \theta$ oc (Ro 12:15 ), both from $\sigma v v \pi \alpha \sigma \chi \omega$, to suffer with (1Co 12:26; Ro 8:17 ), occurring in Aristotle and Plutarch, in N.T. only in Hebrews (here and 10:34).

One that hath been tempted $(\pi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \sigma \nu)$. Perfect passive participle of $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \zeta \omega$, as already shown in 2:17f.

Without sin ( $\chi \omega \rho \iota \varsigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \varsigma)$. This is the outstanding difference that must never be overlooked in considering the actual humanity of Jesus. He did not yield to sin. But more than this is true. There was no latent sin in Jesus to be stirred by temptation and no habits of $\sin$ to be overcome. But he did have "weaknesses" ( $\alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon ı \alpha$ ) common to our human nature (hunger, thirst, weariness, etc.). Satan used his strongest weapons against Jesus, did it repeatedly, and failed. Jesus remained "undefiled" ( $\alpha \mu \iota \alpha \nu \tau \circ \varsigma$ ) in a world of $\sin$ (Joh 8:46 ). This is our ground of hope, the sinlessness of Jesus and his real sympathy.

Heb 4:16
Let us therefore draw near ( $\pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha o v v$ ). Present active middle volitive subjunctive of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. "Let us keep on coming to" our high priest, this sympathizing and great high priest. Instead of deserting him, let us make daily use of him. This verb in Hebrews means reverent approach for worship (7:25; 10:1,22; 11:6).

Unto the throne of grace $(\tau \omega \theta \rho \circ v \omega \tau \eta \varsigma \chi \alpha \rho \iota \tau \varsigma)$. This old word ( $\theta \rho \circ \nu \circ \varsigma$ ) we have taken into English, the seat of kings and of God and so of Christ (1:3,8), but marked by grace because Jesus is there (Mt 19:28). Hence we should come "with boldness" ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha)$. Telling Jesus the whole story of our shortcomings.

That we may receive mercy ( $t v \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \omega \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varsigma$ ). Purpose clause with $t v \alpha$ and second aorist active subjunctive of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$.

And find grace ( $\kappa \alpha \chi \alpha \rho \iota \nu \varepsilon v \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon v \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$. We are sure to gain both of these aims because Jesus is our high priest on the throne.

To help us in time of need ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \cup \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \circ v \beta \circ \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ ). Boŋ $\theta \varepsilon ı \alpha$ is old word (from $\beta \circ \eta \theta \varepsilon \omega$, 2:18 which see), in N.T. only here and Ac 27:17. Evкаı $\rho$ ся is an old word also ( $\varepsilon v$, well, каı ооя, opportunity), only here in N.T. "For well-timed help," "for help in the nick of time," before too late.

## Hebrews 5

Heb 5:1
In things pertaining to God ( $\tau \alpha \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Accusative of general reference as in 2:17 (Ro 15:17). The two essential points about any high priest are human sympathy ( $5: 1-3$ ) and divine appointment ( $5: 4$ ). He is taken from men and appointed in behalf of men.

That he may offer ( $\iota v a \pi \rho \circ \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \eta$ ). Purpose clause with $\imath v \alpha$ and present active subjunctive of $\pi \rho о \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, "that he keep on offering (from time to time)."

Both gifts ( $\delta \omega \rho \alpha$ )
and sacrifices ( $\kappa \alpha$ Өvбıa̧). General term ( $\delta \omega \rho \alpha$ ) and bloody offerings, but the two together are inclusive of all as in 8:3; 9:9 (1 Ki 8:64).

For sins (v $v \varepsilon \rho \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \omega v$ ). His own included (7:27) except in the case of Jesus.
Heb 5:2
Who can bear gently ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \stackrel{\circ}{\alpha} \alpha \varepsilon ı v \delta \nu v \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Present active infinitive of the late verb $\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho ю \pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \iota \varsigma$, moderate, $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$, to feel or suffer). It is a philosophical term used by Aristotle to oppose the $\alpha \pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ (lack of feeling) of the Stoics. Philo ranks it below $\alpha \pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha$. Josephus (Ant. XII. 32) uses it of the moderation of Vespasian and Titus towards the Jews. It occurs here only in the N.T. "If the priest is cordially to plead with God for the sinner, he must bridle his natural disgust at the loathsomeness of sensuality, his impatience at the frequently recurring fall, his hopeless alienation from the hypocrite and the superficial, his indignation at any confession he hears from the penitent" (Dods).

With the ignorant ( $\tau$ oı $\alpha$ 人 $\gamma$ voovoiv). Dative case of the articular present active participle of $\alpha \gamma \operatorname{vo\varepsilon } \omega$, old verb not to know (Mr 9:32 ).

And erring ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \lambda \alpha v \omega \mu \varepsilon v o \iota \varsigma)$. Present middle participle (dative case) of $\pi \lambda \alpha v \alpha \omega$. The one article with both participles probably makes it a hendiadys, sins of ignorance (both accidence and sudden passion) as opposed to high-handed sins of presumption and deliberate
 system. For deliberate apostasy ( $3: 12 ; 10: 26$ ) no pardon is offered.

Is compassed with infirmity ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \kappa \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ ). Present passive indicative of the old verb $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı к \varepsilon \not \mu \alpha$ here used transitively as in Ac 28:20 ( $\alpha \lambda \nu \sigma \iota v$, chain). The priest himself has weakness lying around him like a chain. Not so Jesus.

Heb 5:3
For himself ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau o v$ ). Note $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ three times here ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ tov $\lambda \alpha o v, \pi \varepsilon \rho$ عautov, $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \omega v)$, but in verse 1 v $\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega v$, vл $\rho \rho \alpha \mu \rho \tau \iota \omega v$. In the Koine this interchange of $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ (around) and $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ (over) is common (Mt 26:28).

Heb 5:4
Taketh the honour unto himself ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \varepsilon \tau \eta \nu \tau \tau \mu \eta \nu)$. Dative case of personal interest $(\varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau \omega)$. The priest was called of God. This is the ideal and was true of Aaron. The
modern minister is not a priest, but he also should be a God-called man and not one who pushes himself into the ministry or into ecclesiastical office.

Heb 5:5
So Christ also (ovtw¢ ка o Xpıбтоৎ). Just as with Aaron. Jesus had divine appointment as high priest also.

To be made ( $\gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \theta \eta \nu \alpha$ ). First aorist passive infinitive of $\gamma / v o \mu \alpha$.
High priest ( $\alpha \rho \chi$ เع $\rho \varepsilon \alpha$ ). Predicate accusative agreeing with عavtov (himself) object of $\varepsilon \delta о \xi \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$.

But he that spake unto him ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ o $\lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma \pi \rho o \varsigma ~ \alpha u \tau o v$ ). Ellipsis of $\varepsilon \delta o \xi \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$ to be supplied from preceding clause. God did glorify Jesus in appointing him priest as we see in Ps 2:7 quoted already as Messianic (Heb 1:5). Jesus himself repeatedly claimed that the Father sent him on his mission to the world (Joh 5:30,43; 8:54; 17:5 , etc.). Bruce holds that Christ's priesthood is co-eval with his Sonship. Davidson thinks it is merely suitable because he is Son. Clearly the Father nominated (Dods) the Son to the Messianic priesthood (Joh 3:16).

Heb 5:6
In another place ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega)$. That is Ps 110:4 . It is this crucial passage by which the author will prove the superiority of Jesus to Aaron as high priest. Only the word priest (เعрعuৎ) occurs here which the author uses as synonymous with high priest (apxıgpevৎ). The point lies in the meaning of the phrase "After the order of Melchizedek" ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta \nu \tau \alpha \xi \iota \nu$ $\mathrm{M} \varepsilon \lambda \chi$ เซء $\delta \varepsilon \kappa)$. But at this point the only thing pressed is the fact of the divine appointment of Jesus as priest. He returns to this point ( 5:10-7:28).

Heb 5:7
In the days of his flesh ( $\varepsilon v \tau \alpha ı \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \iota \varsigma ~ \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa о \varsigma ~ \alpha u \tau о v) . ~ H e r e ~(v e r s e s ~ 7-9) ~ t h e ~ a u t h o r ~$ turns to the other requirement of a high priest (human sympathy). Since Jesus was "without $\sin$ ( $4: 15$ ) he did not have to offer sacrifices "for himself," yet in all other points he felt the sympathy of the human high priest, even more so by reason of his victory over sin.

Having offered up ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \varsigma$ ). Second aorist active ( $-\alpha$ form) participle of $\pi \rho о \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$ (cf. verse 3). An allusion to the Agony of Christ in Gethsemane.

Supplications (ıкะтๆрıa̧). Socrates, Polybius, Job (Job 40:22) combine this word with $\delta \varepsilon \eta \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ (prayers) as here. The older form was ıкєбıа. The word ıкєтๆрю๐̧ is an adjective
 branch ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha)$. Here only in the N.T.

With strong crying and tears ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ краиүпऽ ьб $\quad$ טрас ка $\delta \alpha к \rho \nu \omega v$ ). See Lu 22:44f . for a picture of the scene in Gethsemane (anguish and pathos). No doubt the writer has in mind other times when Jesus shed tears (Joh 11:35; Lu 19:41 ), but Gethsemane chiefly.

To save him from death ( $\sigma \omega$ โદıv єк $\theta a v a \tau o v$ ). A reference to the cry of Jesus in Gethsemane (Mt 26:39).

Having been heard for his godly fear ( $\varepsilon เ \sigma \alpha \kappa о \cup \sigma \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma \alpha \pi о \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \cup \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon ı \alpha \varsigma)$. Old word from $\varepsilon v \lambda \alpha \beta \eta \varsigma$ (taking hold well, Lu 2:25 from $\varepsilon v, \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, the verb $\varepsilon v \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$ in N.T. only in Heb 11:7 ), in N.T. only here and 12:28. Fine picture of Christ's attitude toward the Father in the prayer in Gethsemane and in all his prayers. Jesus in Gethsemane at once surrendered his will to that of the Father who heard his plea and enabled him to acquiesce in the Father's will.

Heb 5:8
Though he was a Son ( $\kappa \alpha \iota \pi \rho \rho \nu \nu \iota \circ$ ). Concessive participle with $\kappa \alpha \iota \pi \varepsilon \rho$, regular Greek idiom as in 7:5; 12:17.

Yet learned obedience ( $\varepsilon \mu \alpha \theta \varepsilon v$ vாaко $\eta v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\mu \alpha v \theta \alpha v \omega$. Succinct and crisp statement of the humanity of Jesus in full harmony with Lu 2:40,52 and with Heb 2:10 .

By the things which he suffered ( $\alpha \varphi^{\prime} \omega v \varepsilon \pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon v$ ). There is a play on the two verbs ( $\varepsilon \mu \alpha \theta \varepsilon v--\varepsilon \pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon v$ ), paronomasia. Second aorist active indicative of $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \omega$. He always did his Father's will (Joh 8:29), but he grew in experience as in wisdom and stature and in the power of sympathy with us.

Heb 5:9
Having been made perfect ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \epsilon \omega \theta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. First aorist passive participle of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \circ \omega$, the completion of the process of training mentioned by this same verb in 2:10 "by means of sufferings" ( $\delta \iota \alpha \pi \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha \tau \omega v)$ as stated again here in verse 8.

The author of eternal salvation ( $\alpha \iota \tau \iota \rho \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha \varsigma \alpha \iota \omega v i o v$ ). Common adjective from aıtıa (cause), causing, often in Greek with $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota a \varsigma ~(A e s c h i n e s, ~ P h i l o), ~ i n ~ N . T . ~ o n l y ~ h e r e, ~$ Lu 23:4,14,22; Ac 19:40 . See same idea in Heb 2:10 (a $\rho \chi \eta \gamma$ ov). See Isa 45:17.

Heb 5:10
Named of God ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \alpha \gamma \rho \rho \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon ı$ ). First aorist passive participle of $\pi \rho о \sigma \alpha \gamma о \rho \varepsilon v \omega$, old verb to salute, to address, only here in N.T. Common in Plutarch.

Heb 5:11
Of whom ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \circ \mathrm{ov}$ ). Or "concerning which," for ov can be either masculine or neuter (genitive). It is the likeness of Jesus as high priest to Melchizedek that the author has in mind. He is ready to discuss that but for the fear that the reader may fail to grasp his meaning, for he will run counter to the usual Jewish ideas. Hence he pauses to stir up the interest of the readers ( 5:11-6:20) before going on with the argument ( 7:1-28).

Hard of interpretation ( $\delta v \sigma \varepsilon \rho \mu \eta v \varepsilon \cup \tau o \varsigma)$. Late and rare verbal compound ( $\delta v \sigma$, $\varepsilon \rho \mu \eta v \varepsilon v \omega)$, in Diodorus and Philo, here only in N.T. Hard to explain because of the strange (to Jews) line taken, but still more because of their dulness.

Dull of hearing ( $v \omega \theta \rho о$ таця акоацс). Old adjective (papyri also), from negative $v \eta$ and $\omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$, to push, no push in the hearing, slow and sluggish in mind as well as in the ears. In N.T. only here and 6:12 (slack, sluggish). Plato calls some students $v \omega \theta \rho o$ (stupid).

Heb 5:12
Teachers ( $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda o$ ). Predicate nominative after $\varepsilon \iota v \alpha$.
By reason of the time ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau o v \chi \rho o v o v$ ). Alas, what a commentary on modern Christians.
That some one teach you the rudiments ( $\tau 0 v \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \iota v \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma \tau \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau о \iota \chi \varepsilon \alpha)$. Neat Greek idiom, genitive case of the articular infinitive (need of the teaching) with two accusatives of the person (v $\mu \alpha \varsigma$, you) and the thing ( $\tau \alpha \sigma \tau о \iota \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha$, the rudiments) and the accusative of general reference ( $\tau \iota v \alpha$, as to some one). For $\sigma \tau о \iota \chi \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ see $\mathrm{Ga} 4: 3,9$; Col 2:8 .

Of the first principles of the oracles of God ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma \tau \omega \nu \lambda o \gamma \omega \omega \nu \tau o v \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Three genitives linked to each other. A $\rho \chi \eta \varsigma$ (beginning) illustrates $\tau \alpha \sigma \tau o \iota \chi \varepsilon ı \alpha$, just before, the $A$ B C of Christian teaching like Heb 6:1f. $\Lambda \mathrm{o}$ ү ov is a diminutive of logos, divine oracles being usually brief, common in the O.T. and Philo for God's words, in N.T. used for the O.T. (Ac 7:38; Rom 3:2 ), of God's word through Christians (1Pe 4:11), of the substance of Christian teaching (Heb 5:12).

Of milk ( $\gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ 人ккос). Because still babes (1Co 3:2) and not able to chew "solid food" ( $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma \tau \rho \circ \varphi \eta \varsigma)$, without intellectual and spiritual teeth.

Heb 5:13
Without experience ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \circ \varsigma)$. Old adjective (alpha privative and $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha$, trial). Inexperienced. The babe ( $\nu \eta \pi \iota \varsigma$, old word, negative $v \eta$ and $\varepsilon \pi \circ \varsigma$, word like Latin infans, infant, not able to talk), not able to chew if one uses only milk and is without teeth. Perhaps moral truth is meant by "word of righteousness" (cf. 1:2; 2:3 for the word spoken by Christ).

Heb 5:14
For full-grown men ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \epsilon \omega v$ ). Predicate genitive. The word is for adults, relative perfection ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota 0$ ) in contrast with babes as in 1Co 2:6;3:1; 13:11; Php 3:15; Eph 4:4, not absolute perfection (Mt 5:48).

Their senses ( $\tau \alpha \alpha \iota \sigma \theta \eta \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha$ ). The organs of perception (Stoic term for sense organs) from $\alpha \iota \sigma \theta \alpha \vee o \mu a(L u ~ 9: 45)$ ), in Plato, Galen, Hippocrates, here only in N.T.

Exercised $(\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \nu \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha)$. Perfect passive participle of $\gamma \nu \mu \nu \alpha \zeta \omega$, to exercise (naked, $\gamma \nu \mu \nu о \varsigma)$. Galen uses $\alpha \iota \sigma \theta \eta \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \nu \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ together after $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ as we have here. For this predicate use of the participle with $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ see Lu 13:6; 14:19f. "By reason of use" one gains such skill.

To discern ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \delta ı \alpha \kappa \rho \iota \iota v$ ). "For deciding between" (from $\delta \iota \alpha \rho \iota \nu \omega$ ), old word with ablative $\kappa \alpha \lambda$ оv $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ какоv (between good and evil). See 1Co 12:1; Rom 14:1 .

## Hebrews 6

Heb 6:1
Wherefore ( $\delta$ ıo). Because of the argument already made about the difficulty of the subject and the dulness of the readers.

Let us cease to speak ( $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ to $\nu \lambda \gamma \gamma \circ v$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\alpha \varphi \neg \eta \mu$, to leave off or behind.

Of the first principles of Christ ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma$ tov X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o v$ ). Objective genitive Xpıбтov (about Christ). "Leaving behind the discussion of the beginning about Christ," another way of saying again $\tau \alpha \sigma \tau o \not \chi \varepsilon ı \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma \tau \omega \nu \lambda o \gamma \omega \nu \tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \varepsilon o v$ of 5:12.

And press on ( $\kappa \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Volitive present subjunctive passive, "Let us be borne on" (both the writer and the readers). The Pythagorean Schools use $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta a$ in precisely this sense of being borne on to a higher stage of instruction. Bleek quotes several instances of Greek writers using together as here of $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ (Eurip., Androm. 393, for instance).

Unto perfection ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \nu \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \circ \tau \eta \tau \alpha)$. Old word from $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \circ \varsigma$ mature, adults as in 5:14. Only twice in N.T. (here and Col 3:14 ). Let us go on to the stage of adults, not babes, able to masticate solid spiritual food. The writer will assume that the readers are adults in his discussion of the topic.

Not laying again the foundation ( $\mu \eta \pi \alpha \lambda \iota v \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda_{\imath} \sigma \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda o \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). The regular idiom for laying down the foundation of a building $(\theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \iota o v, \mathrm{Lu} 6: 48 \mathrm{f}$. ). The metaphor is common (1Co 3:11) and the foundation is important, but one cannot be laying the foundation always if he is to build the house. There are six items mentioned here as part of the "foundation," though the accusative $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \chi \eta \nu$ in apposition with $\theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota}$ v may mean that there are only four included in the $\theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda_{\imath} \nu$. Two are qualitative genitives after $\theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota}$ v ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \iota a \varsigma$ and $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. What is meant by "dead works" ( $\alpha \pi 0 \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega v$ ) is not clear ( 9:14), though the reference may be to touching a corpse (Nu 19:1f.; 31:19). There are frequent allusions to the deadening power of $\sin$ (Jas 2:17,26; Joh 7:25; Rom 6:1,11; 7:8; Col 2:13; Eph 2:1,5). The use of repentance and faith together occurs also elsewhere (Mr 1:15; Ac 20:21; 1Th 1:9).

## Heb 6:2

The other four items are qualitative genitives with $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \chi \hat{A} \eta \nu(\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \omega v, \varepsilon \pi ı \theta \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ $\chi \varepsilon เ \rho \omega v$, $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ v \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega v$, крццатоऽ aı $\omega v$ vov). The plural $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \omega \nu$ "by itself does not mean specifically Christian baptism either in this epistle ( 9:10) or elsewhere (Mr 7:4), but ablutions or immersions such as the mystery religions and the Jewish cultus required for initiates, proselytes, and worshippers in general" (Moffatt). The disciples of the Baptist had disputes with the Jews over purification (Joh 3:25 ). See also Ac 19:2 . "The laying on of hands" seems to us out of place in a list of elementary principles, but it was common as a
sign of blessing (Mt 19:13), of healing (Mr 7:32), in the choice of the Seven (Ac 6:6), in the bestowal of the Holy Spirit (Ac 8:17f.; 19:6), in separation for a special task (Ac 13:3), in ordination ( $1 \mathrm{Ti} 4: 14 ; 5: 22 ; 2 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 6$ ). Prayer accompanied this laying on of the hands as a symbol. The resurrection of the dead (both just and unjust, Joh 5:29; Ac 24:15 ) is easily seen to be basal (cf. 1Co 15 ) as well as eternal judgment (timeless and endless).

Heb 6:3
If God permit ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \eta$ o $\theta \varepsilon \circ \varsigma)$. Condition of the third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ (note $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ indeed). See 1Co 16:7 ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ о кvрьюц $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \eta$ ) and Ac 18:21 ( $\tau$ оv $\theta \varepsilon o v \theta \varepsilon \lambda о \nu \tau о \varsigma)$. It is not an idle form with the author. He means that he will go on with the argument and not attempt to lay again the foundation (the elements). Moffatt takes him to mean that he will teach them the elements at a later time (13:23) if the way opens, a less probable interpretation.

Heb 6:4
As touching those who were once enlightened ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma \alpha \pi \alpha \xi \varphi \omega \tau \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist passive articular participle (the once for all enlightened) of $\varphi 0 \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, old and common verb (from $\varphi \omega \varsigma$ ) as in Lu 11:36. The metaphorical sense here (cf. Joh 1:9; Eph 1:18; Heb 10:32) occurs in Polybius and Epictetus. The accusative case is due to avakaıviそとıv in verse 6. Hata here is "once for all," not once upon a time ( $\pi 0 \tau \varepsilon$ ) and occurs again (9:7,26,27,28; 12:26,27 ).
 middle participle of $\gamma \varepsilon v \omega$, old verb once with accusative (verse 5, $\kappa \alpha \lambda o v \rho \eta \mu \alpha, \delta v v \alpha \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma$ ), usually with genitive (Heb 2:9) as here.

Partakers of the Holy Ghost ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau о \chi о v \varsigma \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma \alpha \gamma ı v)$ ) See 3:14 for $\mu \varepsilon \tau о \chi \circ$. These are all given as actual spiritual experiences.

And then fell away ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma о \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. No "then" here, though the second aorist (effective) active participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \iota \tau \tau \omega$, old verb to fall beside (aside), means that. Only here in N.T. In Gal 5:4 we have $\tau \eta \varsigma \chi \alpha \rho \iota \tau о \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ (ye fell out of grace, to law, Paul means).

Heb 6:6
It is impossible to renew them again ( $\alpha \delta v v \alpha \tau o v \pi \alpha \lambda ı v \alpha v \alpha \kappa \alpha ı v \iota \zeta \varepsilon ı v$ ). The $\alpha \delta v v a \tau o v$ (impossible) comes first in verse 4 without $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ (is) and there is no "them" in the Greek. There are three other instances of a $\delta$ vvatov in Hebrews $(6: 18 ; 10: 4 ; 11: 6)$. The present active infinitive of $\alpha v \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota v \zeta \omega$ (late verb, ava, каıvo૬, here only in the N.T., but avaкаıvo $\omega$, 2Co 4:16; Col 3:10) with a $\delta u v a \tau o v$ bluntly denies the possibility of renewal for apostates from Christ (cf. 3:12-4:2). It is a terrible picture and cannot be toned down. The one ray of light comes in verses $8-12$, not here.

Seeing they crucify to themselves afresh ( $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \cup \rho o v v \tau \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o ı \varsigma)$. Present active participle (accusative plural agreeing with $\tau 0 v \varsigma ~ . . . \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma o v \tau \alpha \varsigma)$ of $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha v \rho o \omega$, the usual verb for crucify in the old Greek so that ava- here does not mean "again" or "afresh," but
"up," sursum, not rursum (Vulgate). This is the reason why renewal for such apostates is impossible. They crucify Christ.

And put him to an open shame ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \varepsilon ı \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta о \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \varepsilon \iota \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, late verb from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \varepsilon \iota \gamma \mu \alpha$ (example), to make an example of, and in bad sense to expose to disgrace. Simplex verb $\delta \varepsilon \tau \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \alpha$ in this sense in Mt 1:19.

Heb 6:7
Which hath drunk ( $\eta \pi\llcorner\circ \sigma \sigma \alpha$ ). Articular second aorist active participle of $\pi \iota v \omega$, to drink.

Herbs ( $\beta \circ \tau \alpha v \eta \nu)$. Old word from $\beta$ oo $\kappa \omega$, to feed, green plant, only here in N.T. Cf. our botany.

Meet ( $\varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \tau \tau v)$. Old compound verbal ( $\varepsilon v, \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$ ) well-placed, fit ( $\mathrm{Lu} 9: 62$ ).
It is tilled ( $\gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma \varepsilon ו \tau \alpha$ ). Present passive indicative of $\gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, old and rare verb from $\gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma \circ \varsigma$ (tiller of the soil, $\gamma \eta, \varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v, 2$ Ti 2:6 ), here only in the N.T.

Receives ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \varepsilon$ ). Present active indicative of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, old verb to share in, with genitive (عu入oүıas) as here (Ac 2:46 ) or with accusative (Ac 24:25).

Heb 6:8
If it beareth ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varphi \varepsilon \rho \circ \cup \sigma \alpha$ ). Present active participle of $\varepsilon \kappa \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, conditional participle. For "thorns and thistles" see Mt 7:16 for both words ( $\alpha \kappa \alpha v \theta a \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha ~ \tau \rho ı \beta o \lambda o v \varsigma) . ~ R o m a n ~ s o l d i e r s ~$ scattered balls with sharp iron spikes, one of which was called tribulus, to hinder the enemy's cavalry.

Rejected ( $\alpha$ бокцоя). See 1Co 9:27; Ro 1:28. For катарас $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \cup \varsigma$ (nigh unto a curse) see Gal 3:10 .

To be burned ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \kappa \alpha v \sigma เ v) . ~ " F o r ~ b u r n i n g . " ~ C o m m o n ~ s i g h t ~ i n ~ c l e a r i n g ~ u p ~ g r o u n d . ~$
Heb 6:9
But we are persuaded ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon เ \sigma \mu \varepsilon \theta a \delta \varepsilon$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\pi \varepsilon ө \omega$, literary plural. Note Paul's use of $\pi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$ in 2Ti 1:12.

Better things ( $\tau \alpha \kappa \rho \varepsilon \iota \sigma \sigma \circ v \alpha$ ). "The better things" than those pictures in 6:4-8.
That accompany salvation ( $\varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. "Things holding on to salvation" (Mr 1:38), a common Greek phrase $\varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v a$, present middle participle of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$.

Though we thus speak ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ov $\omega \varsigma \varsigma \alpha \lambda \neq v \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Concessive condition of the first class. Explanatory, not apologetic, of his plain talk.

Not unrighteous to forget ( $\circ$ v $\gamma \alpha \rho \alpha \delta ı \kappa о \varsigma \varepsilon \pi ı \lambda a \theta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Second aorist middle infinitive of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \alpha v \theta \alpha v \omega$ with genitive case ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ 0$, work, $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \varsigma$, love). But even God cannot remember what they did not do.

In that ye ministered and still do minister ( $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa о \vee \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \kappa \alpha$ бıакоvоиvтєৎ). First aorist active and present active participle of the one verb $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa 0 v \varepsilon \omega$, the sole difference being the tense (single act aorist, repeated acts present).

Heb 6:11

And we desire ( $\varepsilon \pi 1 \theta \nu \mu \circ \cup \mu \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon$ ). Literary plural again like $\pi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon เ \sigma \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ( 6:9). He is not wholly satisfied with them as he had already shown ( $5: 11-14$ ). They have not given up Christ ( 6:4-8), but many of them are still babes ( $\nu \eta \pi\llcorner 0,5: 13$ ) and not adults ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ⿺ 0,5: 14$ ) and others are in peril of becoming so.

Unto the fulness of hope ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \pi \lambda \eta \rho о \varphi о \rho ı \alpha \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta \circ \varsigma)$. For $\pi \lambda \eta \rho о \varphi о \rho ı \alpha$ see 1 Th 1:5; Col 2:2 .

To the end ( $\alpha \not \rho \tau \varepsilon \lambda o u \varsigma)$. As in 3:6,14 .
Heb 6:12
That ye be not sluggish ( $\mathfrak{\imath \alpha} \mu \eta \nu \omega \theta \rho o \gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Negative final clause with second aorist middle subjunctive of $\gamma$ ivoua, "that ye become not sluggish (or dull of hearing)" as some already were ( $5: 11$ ).

Imitators ( $\mu \mu \eta \tau \alpha$ ). See 1Th 1:6; 2:14 for this word (our "mimic" in good sense). The writer wishes to hold and develop these sluggards through those who inherit the promises (see 10:19-12:3), one of his great appeals later in ch. Heb 11 full of examples of "faith and long-suffering."

Heb 6:13
Made promise ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha \mu v o \varsigma)$. First aorist middle participle of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$. Could swear by none greater ( $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ ov $\delta \varepsilon v o \varsigma \varepsilon \backslash \chi \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta$ оvoc о $\rho о \sigma \alpha$ ). Imperfect active of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ in sense of $\varepsilon \delta v v a \tau 0$ as often with o $\mu \circ \sigma \alpha$ (first aorist active infinitive of o $\mu \nu v \omega$ ) and $\omega \mu \circ \sigma \varepsilon v$ (he sware) is first aorist active indicative.

Heb 6:14
Surely ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta \nu$ ). By itacism for $\eta \mu \eta \nu$ (Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 205). The quotation is from Ge 22:16f. (the promise renewed to Abraham with an oath after offering of Isaac).

Blessing ( $\varepsilon v \lambda o \gamma \omega v$ ). Hebraism (present active participle) for the Hebrew infinitive absolute and so with $\pi \lambda \eta \theta \Delta v \omega \nu$ (multiplying).

Heb 6:15
Having patiently endured ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta \nu \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta v \mu о \varsigma$ ( $\mu \alpha к \rho о \sigma, \theta \nu \mu \circ \varsigma$, long spirit) illustrating $\mu \alpha к \rho о \theta \nu \mu \iota \alpha$ of verse 12.

He obtained ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \cup \chi \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist (effective) active indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau v \gamma \chi \propto v \omega$, old verb with genitive. God was true to his word and Abraham was faithful.

Heb 6:16
In every dispute ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \eta \varsigma \alpha v \tau \iota \lambda o \gamma \iota \alpha \varsigma$ ). Objective genitive of old word several times in Hebrews (6:16; 7:7; 12:3 ). Talking back, face to face, in opposition.

Final ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma$ ). Limit, boundary (Mt 12:42 ). Men may perjure themselves.
Heb 6:17
To shew ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \delta \varepsilon \iota \xi \alpha)$. First aorist active infinitive of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \delta \varepsilon \iota \kappa \nu \cup \mu$, to show in addition ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota-$ ) to his promise "more abundantly" ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \circ \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ).
 verbal neuter singular (alpha privative and $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau 1 \theta \eta \mu$, to change), "the unchangeableness of his will."

Interposed ( $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \sigma \iota \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \iota \tau \varepsilon \nu \omega$, late verb from $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \iota \tau \eta \varsigma$, mediator (Heb 8:6), to act as mediator or sponsor or surety, intransitively to pledge one's self as surety, here only in the N.T.

With an oath (орк $\omega$ ). Instrumental case of оркоৎ (from $\varepsilon \rho \kappa о \varsigma$, an enclosure), Mt 14:7,9

Heb 6:18
By two immutable things ( $\delta \iota \alpha \delta v o \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu ~ \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \omega v$ ). See verse 17. God's promise and God's oath, both unchangeable.

In which it is impossible for God to lie ( $\varepsilon v$ oıৎ $\alpha \delta u v a \tau o v ~ \psi \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Put this "impossibility" by that in verses 4-6.

Theon is accusative of general reference with $\psi \varepsilon v \sigma a \sigma \theta \alpha$, first aorist middle infinitive of $\psi \varepsilon v \delta o \mu \alpha$.

That we may have ( $t v \alpha \varepsilon \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Purpose clause with $t v \alpha$ and the present active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, "that we may keep on having."

Strong consolation (ı $\sigma \chi \cup \rho \alpha v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \imath v)$. "Strong encouragement" by those two immutable things.

Who have fled for refuge (o кат $\alpha \varphi \cup \gamma о v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). Articular effective second aorist active participle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \cup \gamma \omega$, old verb, in N.T. only here and Ac 14:6 . The word occurs for fleeing to the cities of refuge (De 4:42; 19:5; Jos 20:9).

To lay hold of ( $\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \alpha)$. First aorist active (single act) infinitive of $\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$ in contrast with present tense in 4:14 (hold fast).

Set before us ( $\pi \rho о к \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma)$. Placed before us as the goal. See this same participle used with the "joy" ( $\chi \propto \rho \alpha \varsigma$ ) set before Jesus ( 12:2).

Heb 6:19
Which ( $\eta v$ ). Which hope. What would life be without this blessed hope based on Christ as our Redeemer?

As an anchor of the soul ( $\omega \varsigma \alpha \gamma \kappa \nu \rho \alpha \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \psi v \chi \eta \varsigma)$. Old word, literally in Ac 27:29, figuratively here, only N.T. examples. The ancient anchors were much like the modern ones with iron hooks to grapple the rocks and so hold on to prevent shipwreck (1Ti 1:19).

Both sure and steadfast ( $\alpha \sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \iota \alpha v$ ). This anchor of hope will not slip (alpha privative and $\sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to totter) or lose its grip ( $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \downarrow \alpha$, from $\beta \alpha \downarrow v \omega$, to go, firm, trusty).
 "the inner part of the veil" (the space behind the veil), in N.T. only here and Ac 16:24 (of the inner prison). The anchor is out of sight, but it holds. That is what matters.

## Heb 6:20

As a forerunner $(\pi \rho \circ \delta \rho o \mu \circ \varsigma)$. Old word used for a spy, a scout, only here in N.T. Jesus has shown us the way, has gone on ahead, and is the surety ( $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \sim \circ$, Heb 7:22) and guarantor of our own entrance later. In point of fact, our anchor of hope with its two chains of God's promise and oath has laid hold of Jesus within the veil. It will hold fast. All we need to do is to be true to him as he is to us.

A high priest for ever ( $\alpha \rho \chi \iota \rho \varepsilon v \varsigma \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau o v \alpha ı \omega v \alpha$ ). There he functions as our great high priest, better than Aaron for he is "after the order of Melchizedek," the point that now calls for elucidation (5:10f. ).

## Hebrews 7

Heb 7:1
This Melchizedek (outoc o M $\bar{\lambda} \chi$ ıб $\delta \delta \varepsilon \kappa$ ). The one already mentioned several times with whose priesthood that of Christ is compared and which is older and of a higher type than that of Aaron. See Ge 14:18-20; Ps 110 for the only account of Melchizedek in the Old Testament. It is a daring thing to put Melchizedek above Aaron, but the author does it. Moffatt calls verses 1-3 "a little sermon" on 6:20. It is "for ever" ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ t o v ~ a ı \omega v a) ~ t h a t ~ h e ~ e x-~$ plains. Melchizedek is the only one in his line and stands alone in the record in Genesis. The interpretation is rabbinical in method, but well adapted to Jewish readers. The description is taken verbatim from Genesis except that "who met" (o ouvavtnoac) is here applied to Melchizedek from Ge 14:17 instead of to the King of Sodom. They both met Abraham as a matter of fact. For this verb (first aorist active participle of $\sigma u v a v \tau a \omega$ ) see Lu 9:37.

Slaughter (коппऽ). Old word for cutting (колт $\omega$, to cut), here only in N.T. These kings were Amraphel, Arioch, Chedorlaomer, Tidal. Amraphel is usually taken to be Khammurabi.
 тov $\cup \psi \iota \sigma \tau o v$ applied to God as the Canaanites, Phoenicians, Hebrews did. It is used also of Zeus and the Maccabean priest-kings. The demons apply it to God (Mr 5:7; Lu 8:28).

Heb 7:2
A tenth ( $\delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \eta v)$. It was common to offer a tenth of the spoils to the gods. So Abraham recognized Melchizedek as a priest of God.

Divided ( $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active of $\mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, from $\mu \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$ (portion), to separate into parts. From this point till near the end of verse 3 (the Son of God) is a long parenthesis with outoc of verse 1 as the subject of $\mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ (abideth) as the Revised Version punctuates it. Philo had made popular the kind of exegesis used here. The author gives in Greek the meaning of the Hebrew words Melchizedek (King of righteousness, cf. 1:8) and Salem (peace).

Heb 7:3
Without father, without mother, without genealogy ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \tau \omega \rho, \alpha \mu \eta \tau \omega \rho$, aүعvea入oүๆтoc). Alliteration like Ro 1:30, the first two old words, the third coined by the author (found nowhere else) and meaning simply "devoid of any genealogy." The argument is that from silence, made much of by Philo, but not to be pressed. The record in Genesis tells nothing of any genealogy. Melchizedek stands alone. He is not to be understood as a miraculous being without birth or death. Melchizedek has been made more mysterious than he is by reading into this interpretation what is not there.

Made like ( $\alpha \varphi \omega \mu \circ \omega \omega \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle of $\alpha \varphi \rho \mu \circ \circ \omega \omega$, old verb, to produce a facsimile or copy, only here in N.T. The likeness is in the picture drawn in Genesis, not in the man himself. Such artificial interpretation does not amount to proof, but only serves as a parallel or illustration.

Unto the Son of God ( $\tau \omega v i \omega$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Associative instrumental case of vioc.
Abideth a priest ( $\mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ ו $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \cup \varsigma)$ ). According to the record in Genesis, the only one in his line just as Jesus stands alone, but with the difference that Jesus continues priest in fact in heaven.

Continually ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ \delta ı \eta \nu \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Old phrase (for the continuity) like $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \tau o v a \iota \omega v a$, in N.T. only in Hebrews (7:3; 10:1,14,21 ).

Heb 7:4
How great ( $\pi \eta \lambda \iota \kappa о \varsigma)$. Geometrical magnitude in contrast to arithmetical ( $\pi о \sigma о \varsigma)$, here only in N.T., "how distinguished." He received tithes from Abraham (verses 4- ) and he blessed Abraham () and even Levi is included (verses 8-10).

Out of the chief spoils ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v \alpha \kappa \rho \circ \theta \iota \nu \omega \omega v$ ). Old word from $\alpha \kappa \rho \circ \varsigma$, top, and $\theta \iota \varsigma$, a heap (the top of the pile).

Patriarch ( $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \iota \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma)$. LXX word ( $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \iota \alpha$, tribe, $\alpha \rho \chi \omega$, to rule) transferred to N.T. (Ac 2:29).

Heb 7:5
 and Lu 1:9.

To take tithes ( $\alpha \pi о \delta \varepsilon к \alpha \tau о \iota v$ ). Present active infinitive (in -orv, not -ouv, as the best MSS. give it) of $\alpha \pi о \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau о \omega$ a LXX word ( $\alpha \pi о, \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau о \omega$ ), to take a tenth from ( $\alpha \pi о$ ).

Brethren ( $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o u c)$. Accusative case in apposition with $\lambda \alpha o v$ (people) unaffected by the explanatory phrase tovt' عбтiv (that is).

Though come out ( $\kappa \alpha u \pi \rho \rho \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \cup \theta$ oтac). Concessive participle (cf. 5:8) with $\kappa \alpha \iota \pi \varepsilon \rho$ (perfect active of $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ ).

Heb 7:6
He whose genealogy is not counted ( $o \mu \eta \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha \lambda о \gamma о \nu \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$ ). Articular participle with negative $\mu \eta$ (usual with participles) of the old verb $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha \lambda o \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ trace ancestry (cf. verse 3 )

Hath taken tithes ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau о \omega$, standing on record in Genesis.

Hath blessed ( $\varepsilon \cup \lambda о ү \eta \kappa \varepsilon v)$. Perfect active indicative of $\varepsilon \cup \lambda o \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, likewise standing on record. Note the frequent perfect tenses in Hebrews.

Him that hath the promises ( $\tau o v \varepsilon \chi о v \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı \alpha \varsigma)$. Cf. 6:12,13-15 for allusion to the repeated promises to Abraham (Ge 12:3,7; 13:14; 15:5; 17:5; 22:16-18).

Heb 7:7
Dispute (aviı入oүıac). Ablative case with $\chi \omega \rho ı \varsigma$. For the word see 6:16. The writer makes a parenthetical generalization and uses the article and neuter adjective ( $\tau 0 \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma o v$, the less, ито тои крєєттоvoৎ, by the better), a regular Greek idiom.

Нeb 7:8
Here ( $\omega \delta \varepsilon$ ). In the Levitical system.

There ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon$ ). In the case of Melchizedek.
Of whom it is witnessed ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho о \nu \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. "Being witnessed," present passive participle of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \varepsilon \omega$ (personal construction, not impersonal).

That he lives ( $\circ \tau \zeta \eta)$. Present active indicative of $\zeta \alpha \omega)$. The Genesis record tells nothing of his death.

Heb 7:9
So to say ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \sigma \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon เ v$ ). An old idiom, here only in the N.T., common in Philo, used to limit a startling statement, an infinitive for conceived result with $\omega \varsigma$.

Hath paid tithes ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \omega \tau \alpha)$. Perfect passive indicative of $\delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \sigma \omega$, "has been tithed." This could only be true of Levi "so to speak."

Heb 7:10
In the loins of his father ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \quad \circ \sigma \varphi \cup \tau o v \pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma)$. Levi was not yet born. The reference is to Abraham, the forefather ( $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma$ ) of Levi. This is a rabbinical imaginative refinement appealing to Jews.

Heb 7:11
Perfection ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma)$. Abstract substantive of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \circ \omega$. More the act than the quality or state ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ o \tau \eta \varsigma, 6: 1)$. The condition is of the second class, "if there were perfection, etc." The Levitical priesthood failed to give men "a perfectly adequate relation to God" (Moffatt).

Priesthood (ıع ообטvŋŋ). Old word, in N.T. only here, verses 12,24. Cf. ıєрєтıa in verse 5. The adjective $\Lambda \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon \iota \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ occurs in Philo.

Received the law ( $v \varepsilon v o \mu \circ \theta \varepsilon \tau \eta \tau \alpha)$. Perfect passive indicative of $v o \mu \circ \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega$, old compound to enact law ( $v o \mu \circ \sigma, \tau i \theta \eta \mu$ ), to furnish with law (as here), only other N.T. example in $8: 6$.

What further need was there? ( $\tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \tau \chi \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha ;$ ). No copula expressed, but it would normally be $\eta v \alpha v$, not just $\eta v$ : "What need still would there be?"

Another priest ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \vee \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \rho \varepsilon \alpha$ ). Of a different line ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ), not just one more ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \circ v$ ). Accusative of general reference with the infinitive $\alpha v ı \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$ (present middle of $\alpha v i \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ intransitive).

And not to be reckoned ( $\kappa \alpha$ ov $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). The negative ou belongs rather to the descriptive clause than just to the infinitive.

Heb 7:12
The priesthood being changed ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \imath \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma เ \varepsilon \rho \circ \sigma \cup v \eta \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute with present passive participle of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, old word to transfer (Ga 1:6).

A change ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota)$ ). Old substantive from $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$. In N.T. only in Heb. (7:12; 11:5; 12:27). God's choice of another kind of priesthood for his Son, left the Levitical line off to one side, forever discounted, passed by "the order of Aaron" ( $\tau \eta \nu \tau \alpha \xi \imath \nu A \alpha \rho \omega v$ ).

Heb 7:13

Belongeth to another tribe ( $\varphi \cup \lambda \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \sigma \chi \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). See 2:14 for $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \chi \omega$, perfect active indicative here. A different ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma)$ tribe.

Hath given attendance at ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \chi \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative (watch perfects in Hebrews, not "for" aorists) of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \chi \omega$, old verb, here with either vouv (mind) or self ( $\varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau \circ v$ ) understood with dative case ( $\tau \omega \theta v \sigma \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \omega$, the altar, for which word see Mt 5:23; Lu 1:11 ).

## Heb 7:14

It is evident ( $\pi \rho \circ \delta \eta \eta \lambda o v$ ). Old compound adjective ( $\pi \rho o, \delta \eta \lambda o \varsigma)$, openly manifest to all, in N.T. only here and $1 \mathrm{Ti} 5: 24 \mathrm{f}$.

Hath sprung ( $\alpha v \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, old compound to rise up like the sun (Mt 5:45).

Heb 7:15
Yet more abundantly evident ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma о \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v \varepsilon \tau \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta \eta \lambda o v$ ). Only N.T. instance of the old compound adjective $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta \eta \lambda$ os thoroughly clear with $\varepsilon \tau$ (still) added and the comparative $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma о \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ (more abundantly) piling Ossa on Pelion like Php 1:23.

Likeness (oноьт $\eta \tau \alpha$ ). See 4:15, only N.T. examples. Cf. the verb in verse 3.
Ariseth another priest ( $\alpha v \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$ เєрєv¢ $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma)$. As said in verse 11, now assumed in condition of first class.

Heb 7:16
 Levitical priests became so merely by birth.

Of an endless life ( $\zeta \omega \eta \varsigma \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \nu \tau \sigma v$ ). Late compound (alpha privative and verbal adjective from кata $\lambda \omega \omega$, to dissolve, as in 2Co 4:1 ), indissoluble. Jesus as priest lives on forever. He is Life.

Heb 7:17
It is witnessed ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon ו \tau \alpha$ ). Present passive indicative of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \omega$. The author aptly quotes again Ps 110:4.

Heb 7:18
A disannulling ( $\alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \eta \sigma เ \varsigma)$. Late word from $\alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega$ (alpha privative and $\tau ı \theta \eta \mu$ ), to set aside ( Mr 6:26 ), in N.T. only here and 9:26. Common in the papyri in a legal sense of making void. Involved in $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma$ (change in verse 12).

Foregoing ( $\pi \rho \circ \alpha \gamma o u \sigma \eta \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\pi \rho \circ \alpha \gamma \omega$, to go before ( 1 Ti 1:18 ).

Because of its weakness ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ тo $\alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Neuter abstract adjective with article for quality as in verse 7 with $\delta \iota a$ and accusative case for reason.

Unprofitableness ( $\alpha v \omega \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). Old compound (alpha privative and $o \varphi \varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma$ ) useless, and neuter singular like $\alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon c$. In N.T. only here and Tit 3:9.

Heb 7:19

Made nothing perfect (ou $0 \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon i \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Another parenthesis. First aorist active indicative of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \circ \omega$. See verse 11. And yet law is necessary.

A bringing in thereupon ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \mid \sigma \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \eta)$. An old double compound ( $\varepsilon \pi$, additional, $\varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \omega\rceil \eta$, bringing in from $\varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \gamma \omega)$. Here only in N.T. Used by Josephus (Ant. XI. 6, 2) for the introduction of a new wife in place of the repudiated one.

Of a better hope (крєıттоvoৎ $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta о \varsigma)$. This better hope ( $6: 18-20$ ) does bring us near to God ( $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \downharpoonright \zeta \rho \mu \varepsilon v \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega)$ as we come close to God's throne through Christ ( 4:16).

Heb 7:20
Without the taking of an oath ( $\chi \omega \rho \iota \varsigma о \rho \kappa \omega \mu о \sigma \iota \alpha)$ ) As in Ps 110:4.
Heb 7:21
Have been made ( $\varepsilon เ \sigma \iota v \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \circ v o \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Periphrastic perfect active indicative of $\gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \alpha$ (perfect active participle of $\gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \alpha$ ) and then $\varepsilon ו \sigma \iota v$. The parenthesis runs from o $\mu \varepsilon v \gamma \alpha \rho$ (for they) to $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ a \iota \omega v \alpha$ (for ever, end of verse 21).

But he with an oath (o $\delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ орк $\omega \mu о \sigma \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. Positive statement in place of the negative one in verse 20.

Heb 7:22
By so much also (ката тобоито $\kappa \alpha$ ). Correlative demonstrative corresponding to $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ooov (the relative clause) in verse 20.

The surety ( $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma$ voc). Vulgate sponsor. Old word, here only in the N.T., adjective (one pledged, betrothed), from $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \cup \eta$, a pledge, here used as substantive like $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \cup \eta \tau \eta \varsigma$, one who gives a pledge or guarantee. There may be a play on the word $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \iota \zeta \omega$ in verse 19. E $\gamma \gamma v a \omega$ is to give a pledge, $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \operatorname{vil}_{1} \zeta \omega$, to put a pledge in the hollow of the hand. It is not clear whether the author means that Jesus is God's pledge to man, or man's to God, or both. He is both in fact, as the Mediator (o $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \iota \tau \eta \varsigma, 8: 6$ ) between God and man (Son of God and Son of man).

Heb 7:23
Many in number ( $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota o v \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Comparative predicate adjective, "more than one," in succession, not simultaneously.

Because they are hindered ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ тo $\kappa \omega \lambda \nu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Articular infinitive (present passive) with $\delta \iota \alpha$ and the accusative case, "because of the being hindered."

By death ( $\begin{aligned} & \text { avat } \omega \text { ). Instrumental case. }\end{aligned}$
From continuing ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon ı v$ ). Present active infinitive of the compound (remain beside) as in Php 1:25 and in the ablative case.

Heb 7:24
Because he abideth ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ тo $\mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon เ v ~ a v \tau o v$ ). Same idiom as in verse 23 , "because of the abiding as to him" (accusative of general reference, autov).

Unchangeable ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha \tau o v$ ). Predicate adjective in the accusative (feminine of compound adjective like masculine), late double compound verbal adjective in Plutarch and papyri, from alpha privative and $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha \iota v \omega$, valid or inviolate. The same idea in verse 3.

God placed Christ in this priesthood and no one else can step into it. See verse 11 for เ $\rho \omega \sigma$ оvๆ.

Heb 7:25
Wherefore ( $\mathrm{o} \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Since he alone holds this priesthood.
To the uttermost ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau 0 \pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Old idiom, in N.T. only here and Lu 13:10. Vulgate renders it in perpetuum (temporal idea) or like пavtote. This is possible, but the common meaning is completely, utterly.

Draw near ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi о \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma)$. Present middle participle of $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, the verb used in 4:16 which see.

To make intercession ( $\varepsilon เ \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ \varepsilon v \tau v \gamma \chi \propto v \varepsilon เ v)$. Purpose clause with $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma$ and the articular present active infinitive of $\varepsilon v \tau v \gamma \chi \propto \nu \omega$ for which verb see Ro 8:34. "His intercession has red blood in it, unlike Philo's conception" (Moffatt).

Heb 7:26
Became us ( $\eta \mu \nu \varepsilon \pi \rho \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active indicative of $\pi \rho \varepsilon \pi \epsilon \omega$ as in $2: 10$, only there it was applied to God while here to us. "Such" (тооотоऽ) refers to the Melchizedek character of Jesus as high priest and in particular to his power to help and save (2:17f.) as just explained in 7:24f. Moffatt notes that "it is generally misleading to parse a rhapsody" but the adjectives that follow picture in outline the qualities of the high priest needed by us.

Holy (ođıo؟). Saintly, pious, as already noted. Cf. Ac 2:24; 13:35.
Guileless ( $\alpha к \alpha к о \varsigma) . ~ W i t h o u t ~ m a l i c e, ~ i n n o c e n t . ~ I n ~ N . T . ~ o n l y ~ h e r e ~ a n d ~ R o ~ 16: 18 ~ . ~$
Undefiled ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \nu \tau o \varsigma)$. Untainted, stainless. In the papyri. Not merely ritual purity (Le 21:10-15 ), but real ethical cleanness.

Separated from sinners ( $\kappa \varepsilon \chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ \alpha \pi о ~ \tau \omega v ~ \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda \omega v$ ). Perfect passive participle. Probably referring to Christ's exaltation (9:28).

Made higher than the heavens ( $v \psi \eta \lambda о \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma \tau \omega v$ о $\rho \rho \alpha \nu \omega v \gamma \varepsilon v \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. "Having become higher than the heavens." Ablative case (oupavov) after the comparative adjective (ичๆ入отврос).

Heb 7:27
First ( $\pi \rho \circ \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ). Regular adverb for comparison between two, though $\pi \rho \omega \tau 0 v$ often occurs also (Joh 1:41), with $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ (then) following.

For the sins ( $\tau 0 v$ ). Only the article in the Greek with repetition of $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ or of $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \tau \omega v$.
When he offered up himself ( $\varepsilon a v \tau o v ~ a v \varepsilon v \varepsilon \gamma к а \varsigma) . ~ F i r s t ~ a o r i s t ~ a c t i v e ~ p a r t i c i p l e ~ o f ~$ $\alpha v \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, to offer up. See same idea in 9:14 where eavtov $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta \nu \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \varepsilon v$ is used. Old verb for sacrifice to place on the altar ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 5,24$ ).

Heb 7:28
After the law ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ тov vo $\mu \circ v$ ). As shown in verses 11-19, and with an oath (Ps 110:4 ).

Son (vorv). As in Ps 2:7; Heb 1:2 linked with Ps 110:4.

Perfected ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu o v$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \circ \omega$. The process ( $2: 10$ ) was now complete. Imperfect and sinful as we are we demand a permanent high priest who is sinless and perfectly equipped by divine appointment and human experience ( $2: 17 \mathrm{f}$.; 5:1-10 ) to meet our needs, and with the perfect offering of himself as sacrifice.

## Hebrews 8

Heb 8:1
In the things which we are saying ( $\varepsilon \pi$ тоıя $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma$ онєvoı¢). Locative case of the articular present passive participle of $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ after $\varepsilon \pi$ as in Lu 5:5; Heb 11:4, "in the matter of the things being discussed."

The chief point ( $\kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \alpha \circ \vartheta$ ). Neuter singular of the adjective $\kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \alpha \circ \varsigma ~(f r o m ~ \kappa \varepsilon \varphi a \lambda \eta$, head), belonging to the head. Vulgate capitulum, nominative absolute in old and common sense, the main matter (even so without the article as in Thucydides), "the pith" (Coverdale), common in the papyri as in Greek literature. The word also occurs in the sense of the sum total or a sum of money (Ac 22:28) as in Plutarch, Josephus, and also in the papyri (Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary).

Such an high priest ( $\tau$ ooovtov $\alpha \rho \chi$ เع $\rho \varepsilon \alpha$ ). As the one described in chapters 4:16-7:28 and in particular 7:26 (totovтo؟) 7:27,28 . But the discussion of the priestly work of Jesus continues through 12:3. Totovtos is both retrospective and prospective. Here we have a summary of the five points of superiority of Jesus as high priest ( 8:1-6). He is himself a better priest than Aaron ( $\tau 0$ oovtoc in 8:1 such as shown in 4:16-7:28); he works in a better sanctuary ( $8: 2,5$ ); he offers a better sacrifice ( $8: 3$ f. ); he is mediator of a better covenant ( 8:6); his work rests on better promises ( 8:6); hence he has obtained a better ministry as a whole ( 8:6). In this resume ( $\kappa \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \circ \sim$ ) the author gives the pith ( $\kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \alpha \circ \sim$ ) of his argument, curiously enough with both senses of $\kappa \varepsilon \varphi a \lambda \alpha \iota v$ (pith, summary) pertinent. He will discuss the four points remaining thus: (1) the better covenant, 8:7-13. (2) The better sanctuary, 9:1-12. (3) The better sacrifice, 9:13-10:18. (4) The better promises, 10:19-12:3. One point (the better high priest, like Melchizedek) has already been discussed ( 4:16-7:28).

Sat down (£каӨเซєv). Repetition of 1:3 with tov $\theta$ povov (the throne) added. This phrase prepares the way for the next point.

Heb 8:2
Minister ( $\lambda \varepsilon$ عitoupyoc). See on Ro 13:6; Php 2:25.
Of the sanctuary ( $\tau \omega \vee \alpha \gamma \omega \omega$ ). "Of the holy places" ( $\tau \alpha \alpha \gamma \omega$ ), without any distinction (like 9:8f.; 10:19; $13: 11$ ) between the holy place and the most holy place as in $9: 2 \mathrm{f}$.

Of the true tabernacle ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu \eta \varsigma$ ). By way of explanation of $\tau \omega \nu \alpha \gamma \omega \omega \nu$. For $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$ see Mt 17:4 and $\sigma \kappa \eta$ vos (2Co 5:1), old word used here for the antitype or archetype of the tabernacle in the wilderness in which Aaron served, the ideal tabernacle in heaven of which the earthly tabernacle was a symbol and reproduced in the temple which merely copied the tabernacle. Hence it is the "genuine" tabernacle and see Joh 1:9 for $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta$ tvos.

Pitched ( $\varepsilon \pi \eta \xi \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\pi \eta \gamma v v \mu$, old verb to fasten as the pegs of a tent, here only in the N.T. Cf. Nu 24:6 .

Heb 8:3

Is appointed ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha)$. As in 5:1.
To offer ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau о \pi \rho о \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Articular infinitive accusative case with $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ as is common while $\imath v \alpha \pi \rho \circ \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \eta$ ( $\imath \alpha \alpha$ with present active subjunctive) for purpose in $5: 1$, with $\delta \omega \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ка $\theta$ voııas as there.

It is necessary ( $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma$ ). A moral and logical necessity (from $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta$ necessity) as seen in Ac 13:46; Php 1:24.

This high priest also ( $\kappa \alpha$ тov $о v$ ). "This one also," no word for high priest, accusative of general reference with the infinitive $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon เ v$ (have).

Somewhat to offer ( $\tau \omega \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \eta$ ). Second aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$ (verse 3). Vulgate aliquid quod offerat. The use of the subjunctive in this relative clause is probably volitive as in Ac 21:16; Heb 12:28 (possibly here merely futuristic), but note o $\pi \rho о \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$ (present indicative) in 9:7. See Robertson, Grammar, p. 955.

Heb 8:4
On earth ( $\varepsilon \pi \gamma \eta \varsigma$ ). As opposed to $\varepsilon \nu \tau$ тoıs oupavoıs (verse 1). Condition of second class, determined as unfulfilled.

He would not be a priest at all (ov $\left.\delta^{\prime} \alpha \nu \eta \nu \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon v \varsigma\right)$. "Not even would he be a priest." Conclusion of second class condition with $\alpha \nu$ and imperfect indicative $(\eta \nu)$.

Seeing there are those ( $o v \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute with $o v \tau \omega \nu$ (from $\varepsilon \iota \mu$ ) and the articular present active participle of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$ (verse 3). Jesus was not of the tribe of Levi and so could not serve here.

Heb 8:5
Serve ( $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon v o v \sigma เ v)$. Present active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon v \omega$ for which verb see on Mt 4:10.

A copy ( $v \pi o \delta \varepsilon \iota \gamma \mu \alpha \tau$ ). Dative case after $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon v o v \sigma \iota v$. See already on Joh 13:15; Heb 4:11 for this interesting word.

Shadow ( $\sigma \kappa ı \alpha$ ). Dative case. Old word for which see already Mt 4:16; Mr 4:32; Col 2:17 . See same idea in Heb 9:23. For difference between $\sigma \kappa ı \alpha$ and $\varepsilon ו \kappa \omega \nu$ see 10:1. Here "copy and shadow" form a practical hendiadys for "a shadowy out- line" (Moffatt).

Is warned of God ( $\kappa \varepsilon \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \tau \alpha)$. Perfect passive indicative of $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb (from $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha$, business) for which see on Mt 2:12,22; Lu 2:26. The word "God" is not used, but it is implied as in Ac 10:22; Heb 12:25. So in LXX, Josephus, and the papyri.

For saith he ( $\gamma \alpha \rho \varphi \eta \sigma$ ). Argument from God's command (Ex 25:40).
See that thou make (Нора тоıךбєıৎ). Common Greek idiom with present active imperative of $o \rho \alpha \omega$ and the volitive future of $\pi o \iota \varepsilon \omega$ without $\imath \alpha$ (asyndeton, Robertson, Grammar, p. 949).

The pattern ( $\tau 0 v \tau v \pi \circ v$ ). The very word used in Ex 25:40 and quoted also by Stephen in Ac 7:44. For $\tau \cup \pi$ oৎ see already Joh 20:25; Ro 6:17, etc. The tabernacle was to be patterned after the heavenly model.

Heb 8:6
But now ( $v v v \delta \varepsilon$ ). Logical use of $v v v$, as the case now stands, with Jesus as high priest in heaven.

Hath he obtained ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau v \chi \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\tau v \gamma \chi \alpha \nu \omega$ with the genitive, a rare and late form for $\tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon v \chi \varepsilon v$ (also $\tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon v \chi \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ), old verb to hit the mark, to attain.

A ministry the more excellent ( $\delta \iota \alpha \varphi \circ \rho \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho a \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau о \cup \rho \gamma \vdash \varsigma)$ ). "A more excellent ministry." For the comparative of $\delta \iota \alpha \varphi о \rho о \varsigma$ see 1:4. This remark applies to all the five points of superiority over the Levitical priesthood.

By how much ( $o \sigma \omega$ ). Instrumental case of the relative oooc between two comparative adjectives as in 1:4.

The mediator ( $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \iota \tau \eta)$ ). Late word from $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \circ \varsigma$ (amid) and so a middle man (arbitrator). Already in Ga 3:19f. and see 1Ti 2:5. See Heb 9:15; 12:24 for further use with $\delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta$.
 ). For $\delta \iota a \forall \uparrow \uparrow \eta$ see Mt 26:28; Lu 1:72; Ga 3:17 , etc. This idea he will discuss in 8:7-13.

Hath been enacted ( $v \varepsilon v o \mu \circ \theta \varepsilon \tau \eta \tau \alpha)$. Perfect passive indicative of $v o \mu \circ \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega$ as in $7: 11$ which see.

Upon better promises ( $\varepsilon \pi \kappa \rho \varepsilon \iota \tau \tau 0 \sigma \iota \nu \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı \alpha ı \varsigma)$. Upon the basis of ( $\varepsilon \pi$ ). But how "better" if the earlier were also from God? This idea, alluded to in 6:12-17, Will be developed in 10:19-12:3 with great passion and power. Thus it is seen that "better" ( $\kappa \rho \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \sigma \omega)$ is the keynote of the Epistle. At every point Christianity is better than Judaism.

Heb 8:7
That first covenant ( $\eta \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta$ عкєเv ). The word $\delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta$ (covenant) is not expressed, but clearly meant by the feminine gender $\pi \rho \omega \tau \eta$.

Faultless ( $\alpha \mu \varepsilon \mu \pi \tau o \varsigma)$. Old compound adjective for which see Lu 1:6; Php 2:15. The condition is second class and assumes that the old covenant was not "blameless," apparently a serious charge which he hastens to explain.

For a second ( $\delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho a \varsigma)$. Objective genitive with $\delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta \varsigma$ understood. The conclusion with $\alpha v$ and the imperfect passive indicative ( $\varepsilon \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \iota \tau 0)$ is clearly a second-class condition. See a like argument in 7:11.

Heb 8:8
Finding fault with them ( $\mu \varepsilon \mu \varphi о \mu \varepsilon \nu о \varsigma \alpha v \tau о v \varsigma)$. Present middle participle of $\mu \varepsilon \mu \varphi о \mu \alpha$ (cf. $\alpha \mu \varepsilon \mu \pi \tau \circ \varsigma)$, old verb, in N.T. only here and Ro 9:19. The covenant was all right, but the Jews failed to keep it. Hence God made a new one of grace in place of law. Why do marriage covenants so often fail to hold? The author quotes in verses 8-12; Jer 38:31-34 (in LXX 31:3134 ) in full which calls for little explanation or application to prove his point (verse 13).

I will make ( $\sigma v v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \omega$ ). Future active of $\sigma v v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, old compound verb to accomplish as in Mr 13:4; Ro 9:28 .

A new covenant ( $\delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta \nu \kappa \alpha ı \nu \eta v$ ). In 12:24 we have $\delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta \varsigma \nu \varepsilon \alpha \varsigma$, but каıvŋऽ in 1Co 11:25. Kaıvos is fresh, on new lines as opposed to the old ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \circ \varsigma)$ as in 2Co 3:6,14; veos is young or not yet old.

Heb 8:9
In the day that I took them ( $\varepsilon v \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varepsilon \pi i \lambda \alpha \beta o \mu \varepsilon v o v \mu o v$ ). Genitive absolute ( $\mu \circ v$ and second aorist middle participle of $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a v \omega)$, "a Hellenistic innovation" (Moffatt) in imitation of the Hebrew after $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ in place of $\varepsilon v \eta \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o \mu \varepsilon v$, occurring also in Barn. 2:28.

By the hand ( ( $\eta \varsigma \chi \varepsilon\llcorner\rho \circ \varsigma)$. Technical use of the genitive of the part affected.
To lead them forth ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon เ v$ autouc). Second aorist active infinitive of $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \gamma \omega$ to denote purpose.

For they continued not (o $о \cup \tau 0$ оик $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon เ v a v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \mu \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega$, old verb to remain in (Ac 14:22). The Israelites broke the covenant. Then God annulled it.

I regarded not ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). "I neglected" as in 2:3. The covenant was void when they broke it.

Heb 8:10
This ( $\alpha \cup \tau \eta$ ). The "new" one of verse 8.
That I will make ( $\eta \nu \delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \sigma o \mu \alpha)$. Future middle of $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, "that I will covenant," cognate accusative ( $\eta v$ ), using the same root in the verb as in $\delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta$.

I will put ( $\delta \iota \delta o v \varsigma)$. "Giving," present active participle of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, to give.
Into their mind ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \delta \iota \alpha 0 ı \alpha v \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Their intellect, their moral understanding, all the intellect as in Aristotle (Col 1:21; Eph 4:18 ).

On their heart ( $\varepsilon \pi \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha \varsigma \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Either genitive singular or accusative plural. Kap $\delta \iota \alpha$ is the seat of man's personal life (Westcott), the two terms covering the whole of man's inward nature.

A god ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \varepsilon \varepsilon o v$ ). Note the Hebraistic use of $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ in the predicate instead of the usual nominative $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma$ as in "a people" ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \lambda \alpha o v$ ). This was the ideal of the old covenant (Ex 6:7 ), now at last to be a fact.

Heb 8:11
They shall not teach ( $o v \mu \eta \delta \iota \delta \xi \xi \omega \sigma \tau v$ ). Strong double negative ( $o v \mu \eta$ ) with the first aorist active (futuristic) subjunctive of $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega$.

His fellow-citizen ( $\tau 0 v \pi 0 \lambda ı \tau \eta v$ avtov). See Lu 15:15; 19:14.
Know the Lord ( $\Gamma \nu \omega \theta$ tov $\kappa v \rho \circ \circ v$ ). Second aorist active imperative of $\gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. In the new covenant all will be taught of God (Isa 54:13; Joh 6:45), whereas under the old only the educated scribe could understand the minutiae of the law (Dods). See Paul's comparison in 2Co 3:7-18 .

Shall know ( $\varepsilon \iota \delta \eta \sigma o v \sigma ı v)$. Future perfect active, old form of oi $\delta \alpha$ (note $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ just before of recognizing God), one of the rare future perfects (cf. 2:13, $\varepsilon \sigma \circ \mu \alpha \pi \varepsilon \pi \circ \circ \theta \omega \varsigma$ ).

Heb 8:12

Merciful ( $\lambda \lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Old Attic adjective for $\iota \lambda \alpha 0 \varsigma$, common in the LXX, only here in N.T., from which $1 \lambda \alpha \sigma \kappa о \mu \alpha$ comes ( Lu 18:13).

Will I remember no more ( $o v \mu \eta \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \omega \varepsilon \tau$ ). Double negative ov $\mu \eta$ with first aorist passive subjunctive (volitive) of $\mu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$, to recall.

Heb 8:13
In that he saith ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon เ v$ ). Locative case of the articular present active infinitive of $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, "in the saying as to him."

He hath made the first old ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \omega \omega \kappa \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \nu \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta \nu$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \circ \omega$, old verb from $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \ldots \varsigma$ (in contrast with kaıvoc, fresh, new), to treat as old and out of date. The conclusion is to the point.

That which is becoming old and waxeth aged ( $\tau о ~ \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota v \mu \varepsilon v o v ~ к \alpha ~ \gamma \eta \rho a \sigma к о v) . ~$ $\lceil\eta \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega$ is old verb from $\gamma \eta \rho \alpha \varsigma$ (age) like $\gamma \varepsilon \rho \omega v$ (old man) and refers to the decay of old age so that both ideas appear here in opposition to кaıvoc ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \varsigma)$ and veoc ( $\gamma \varepsilon \rho \alpha \iota \rho$ ).

Is nigh unto vanishing away ( $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \cup \varsigma \alpha \varphi \alpha v \iota \sigma \mu \circ v$ ). Genitive case with $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma v \varsigma$ and late word for disappearance (from $\alpha \varphi \alpha v \zeta \zeta \omega$, Mt 6:19 ), here only in the N.T. The author writes as if the Old Testament legal and ceremonial system were about to vanish before the new covenant of grace. If he wrote after A.D. 70 , would he not have written "has vanished away"?

## Hebrews 9

Heb 9:1
Even the first covenant ( $\kappa \alpha \eta \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta$ ). Ka (even) is doubtful. No word for covenant with $\pi \rho \omega \tau \varepsilon$ (cf. 8:7).

Had ( $\varepsilon<\chi \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active, used to have.
Ordinances ( $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Regulations (from $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega)$ as in Lu 1:6; Ro 5:16.
Of divine service ( $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon \iota \alpha)$. No word for "divine," though worship is meant as in Ro 9:4; Php 3:3. Genitive case.

And its sanctuary, a sanctuary of this world ( $\tau о \tau \varepsilon \alpha \gamma \iota v$ коб $\mu \kappa \sigma \nu$ ). Ву то $\alpha \gamma \iota v$ the author describes the whole sanctuary (Ex 36:3; Nu 3:38) like $\tau \omega \nu$ a $\gamma \omega \omega v$ in 8:2. Kоб $\mu$ ко $v$ is a late adjective (Aristotle, Plutarch) from $\kappa о \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma$, relating to this world, like $\varepsilon \pi \gamma \eta \varsigma$ (upon earth) of 8:4. It is in the predicate position, not attributive.

Heb 9:2
A tabernacle the first ( $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta \eta \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta$ ). See 8:2 for $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$. Large tents usually had two divisions (the outer and the inner or the first and the second). Note $\pi \rho \omega \tau \eta$ for the first of two as with the first covenant $(8: 7,13 ; 9: 1)$. The large outer tent was entered first and was called Haүıa (Holy), the first division of the tabernacle. The two divisions are here termed two tabernacles.

Was prepared ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha \sigma \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha \zeta \omega$. See 3:3. For the furniture see Ex 25; 26 . Three items are named here: the candlestick ( $\eta \lambda v \chi \nu$, late word for $\lambda v \chi \nu เ o v$ ) or lampstand, necessary since there were no windows (Ex 25:31-39) ; the table ( $\eta \tau \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \zeta \alpha$, old word, Mt 15:27 ) for the bread (Ex 25:23-30; Le 24:6 of pure gold); the shewbread ( $\eta \pi \rho o \theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma \tau \omega \nu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \nu$ ) as in Ex 25:30; 40:23; Le 24:5-9 . Probably a hendiadys for the table with the loaves of God's Presence.

Heb 9:3
After the second veil ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ то $\delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho о v$ кат $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha$ ). The first veil opened from outside into the Holy Place, the second veil opened from the Holy Place into the Holy of Holies (Haүıа Haүı $\omega v$ ). The word каталєєаб $\mu \alpha$ is from $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \nu \nu v \mu$, to spread down, and we have already had it in 6:19. Cf. also Mt 27:51 .

Heb 9:4
Having a golden censer ( $\chi \rho v \sigma o v v \varepsilon \chi o v \sigma \alpha \theta v \mu \iota \alpha \tau \eta \rho เ \rho v$ ). The present active participle $\varepsilon \chi \circ v \sigma \alpha$ (feminine singular) agrees with $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$ (the Holy of Holies). It is not certain whether $\theta$ ицıatпpıov here means censer or altar of incense. In the LXX (2Ch 26:19; Ex 8:11; IV Macc. 7:11) it means censer and apparently so in the inscriptions and papyri. But in Philo and Josephus it means altar of incense for which the LXX has $\theta v \sigma ı \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho ь v ~ \tau o v ~ Ө v \mu ı \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~$ (Ex 30:1-10 ). Apparently the altar of incense was in the Holy Place, though in Ex 30:1-10
it is left quite vague. B puts it in verse 2 . So we leave the discrepancy unsettled. At any rate the altar of incense was used for the Holy of Holies ("its ritual associations," Dods).

The ark of the covenant ( $\tau \eta \nu \kappa \iota \beta \omega \tau \circ \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta \varsigma)$. A box or chest four feet long, two and a half broad and high (Ex 25:10f. ). The Scotch have a "meal-ark."

Wherein $(\varepsilon \nu \eta)$. In the ark. There were three treasures in the ark of the covenant (a pot of manna, Aaron's rod, the tables of the covenant). For the pot of manna (golden added in the LXX) see Ex 16:32-34 . For Aaron's rod that budded ( $\eta \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha$, first aorist active participle of $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \omega)$ see Nu 17:1-11. For the tables of the covenant see Ex 25:16f.; 31:18; De 9:9; 10:5. Not definitely clear about these items in the ark, but on front, except that 1 Ki 8:9 states that it did contain the tables of the covenant. For $\pi \lambda$ aкes (tables) see 2Co 3:3 (only other N.T. example).

Heb 9:5
Above it (v $\tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha v \omega \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Up above, in local sense as in Eph 4:10, with ablative case $\alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma ~(i t, ~ t h e ~ a r k) . ~$

Cherubim of glory (Xєроиßєıv $\delta о \xi \eta \varsigma)$. Hebrew word (dual form), two in number, made of gold (Ex 25:18-22 ). They are called $\zeta \omega \alpha$ (living creatures) in the LXX (Isa 6:2f.; Eze 1:5-10; 10:5-20 ).

Overshadowing ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa ı \alpha \zeta о \nu \tau \alpha)$. Present active participle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa ı \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb to shadow down on, cover with shade, only here in the N.T.

The mercy seat ( $\tau \circ \stackrel{\imath}{ } \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota v$ ). The pinions of the Cherubim spread over the rectangular gold slab on top of the ark termed the mercy seat. Here the adjective $\imath \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho เ \varsigma$ has to mean mercy seat, the place, not the propitiatory gift or propitiation, as in Ro 3:25 (Deissmann, Bible Studies, pp. 124-35).

Severally (ката $\mu \varepsilon \rho о \varsigma) . ~ I n ~ d e t a i l, ~ d i s t r i b u t i v e ~ u s e ~ o f ~ к а \tau \alpha ~ w i t h ~ \mu \varepsilon \rho о \varsigma ~(p a r t) . ~$
Heb 9:6
These things having been thus prepared ( $\tau 0 v \tau \omega v$ ov $\omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon v \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute with the perfect passive participle of катабкะvaऍ $\omega$ for which verb see verse 2 . A mere summary has been made of the furniture.

Go in ( $\varepsilon \iota \sigma \iota \sigma \sigma \iota)$. Present active indicative of $\varepsilon \iota \sigma \varepsilon \iota \mu$, to go in, old verb, in N.T. only here, Ac 3:3; 21:18,26 .

Accomplishing ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \varepsilon \lambda$ ouv $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ for which see 8:5.
Heb 9:7
Alone ( $\mu$ оvoৎ). Predicate adjective with o a $\rho \chi เ \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \cup \varsigma$.
Once in the year ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \xi \tau 0 v \varepsilon v i \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Once for each year (not $\pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon$, at any time) with genitive of time.

Not without blood (ov $\chi \omega \rho \stackrel{\alpha}{ } \quad \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha \tau \sigma$ ). According to Le 16:14f. Not even he could enter the second tent (Holy of Holies) without blood.

The errors of the people ( $\tau \omega v$ रov $\lambda \alpha o v ~ a \gamma v o \eta \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ ). Late word from $\alpha \gamma v o \varepsilon \omega$, not to know ( $5: 2$ ), only here in the N.T., but in LXX, papyri, and inscriptions where a distinction is drawn between errors ( $\alpha \gamma v o \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ) and crimes ( $\alpha \rho \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). In Ge 43:12 $\alpha \gamma v o \eta \mu \alpha$ is "an oversight." But these sins of ignorance ( $\alpha \gamma v o \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ) were sins and called for atonement. See Heb 10:26 for wilful sinning.

Heb 9:8
 absolute with present active participle of $\delta \eta \lambda o \omega$, to make plain. Used as in 12:27.

The way into the Holy place ( $\tau \eta \nu \tau \omega \nu \alpha \gamma \omega \omega \nu$ o $\delta o v$ ). Here as in verses $12,25 \tau \omega \nu \alpha \gamma \omega \nu$ is used for the very Presence of God as in 8:2 and is in the objective genitive. Hoסov is the accusative of general reference with the infinitive.

Hath not yet been made manifest ( $\mu \eta \pi \omega \pi \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \nu \varepsilon \rho \omega \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Perfect passive infinitive of $\varphi a v \varepsilon \rho \circ \omega$, to make plain ( $\varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$ ) in indirect discourse after $\delta \eta \lambda$ ouvtos with negative $\mu \eta \pi \omega$.

While as the first tabernacle is yet standing ( $\varepsilon \tau \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta \varsigma \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \chi \circ \cup \sigma \eta \varsigma \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \nu)$. Another genitive absolute with present active participle of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ (having standing $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma เ v$ ), "the first tabernacle still having a place." The veil at the entrance kept the people out of the first tent as the second veil (verse 3) kept the priests out of the Holy of Holies (the very Presence of God).

Heb 9:9
Which ( $\eta \tau \iota \varsigma)$. "Which very thing," the first tent ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta \varsigma \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta \varsigma$, division of the tabernacle), a parenthesis and explanation.

A parable ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta 0 \lambda \eta$ ). Only in the Synoptic Gospels in the N.T. and Heb 9:9; 11:19. See on Mt 13:3 for the word (from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to place alongside). Here like $\tau v \pi о \varsigma$ (type or shadow of "the heavenly reality," Moffatt).

For the time now present ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \tau о \nu ~ к а ı \rho о \nu ~ \tau о v ~ \varepsilon ข \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta к о т \alpha) . ~ " F o r ~ t h e ~ p r e s e n t ~ c r i s i s ~ " ~$ (kalpov, not al $\omega v \alpha$, age, not $\chi \rho \circ \vee o v$, time). Perfect active articular (repeated article) participle of $\varepsilon v / \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ (intransitive), the age in which they lived, not the past, not the future. See 1Co 3:22; Ro 8:38 for contrast between $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \tau \alpha$ and $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda$ ov $\tau \alpha$. This age of crisis, foreshadowed by the old tabernacle, pointed on to the richer fulfilment still to come.

According to which ( $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \eta v$ ). Here the relative refers to $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \circ \lambda \eta$ just mentioned, not to $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta \varsigma$. See 5:1; 8:3.
 2:15. This was the real failure of animal sacrifice ( 10:1-4).

Make the worshipper perfect ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \epsilon \omega \sigma \alpha$ $\tau \circ \nu \lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon v o v \tau \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive ( $2: 10$ ). At best it was only ritual or ceremonial purification ( 7:11), that called for endless repetition ( 10:1-4).

Heb 9:10

Only with meats and drinks and divers washings ( $\mu \circ \nu \circ \nu \varepsilon \pi \beta \rho \omega \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \kappa \alpha \pi о \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \kappa \alpha$ $\delta ı \alpha \varphi о \rho о \iota \varsigma \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma$ оья). The parenthesis of the Revised Version here is unnecessary. The use of $\varepsilon \pi$ here with the locative case is regular, "in the matter of" (Lu 12:52; Joh 12:16; Ac 21:24 ). What ritual value these Levitical sacrifices had was confined to minute regulations about diet and ceremonial cleansing (clean and unclean). For "divers" ( $\delta \iota \alpha \varphi \rho \rho o \iota \varsigma$, late adjective, in N.T. only in Heb 1:4; 8:6; 9:10; Ro 12:6 ) say "different" or "various." Batтıб is, of course, the Jewish ceremonial immersions (cf. Mr 7:4; Ex 29:4; Le 11:25,28f.; Nu 8:7; $\operatorname{Re} 6: 2$ ).

Carnal ordinances ( $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \omega \mu \alpha \sigma \iota v \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \varsigma)$. But the correct text is undoubtedly simply $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa о \varsigma$ (nominative case), in apposition with $\delta \omega \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta v \sigma \iota \alpha$ (gifts and sacrifices). See 9:1 for $\delta ı \kappa \alpha เ \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$.

Imposed ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \kappa \varepsilon \iota \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Present middle or passive participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \kappa \varepsilon \mu \alpha$, old verb to lie upon (be laid upon). Cf. 1Co 9:16.

Until a time of reformation ( $\mu \varepsilon \chi \rho$ каı $\rho о v \delta \iota \rho \theta \omega \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Definite statement of the temporary nature of the Levitical system already stated in 7:10-17; 8:13 and argued clearly by Paul in Ga 3:15-22 . $\Delta$ ıo $\theta \omega \omega$ ๘ь is a late word, here alone in N.T. (from $\delta$ to $\rho \theta o \omega$, to set right or straight), used by Hippocrates for making straight misshapen limbs like avo $\omega$ Oo $\omega$ in Heb 12:12. Here for reformation like $\delta \iota \rho \theta \omega \mu \alpha$ (reform) in Ac 24:2f. Christianity itself is the great Reformation of the current Judaism (Pharisaism) and the spiritual Judaism foreshadowed by the old Abrahamic promise (see Ga 3; Ro 9 ).

Heb 9:11
Having come ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \nu о \mu \varepsilon \nu о \varsigma)$. Second aorist middle participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \nu o \mu \alpha$. This is the great historic event that is the crux of history. "Christ came on the scene, and all was changed" (Moffatt).

Of the good things to come ( $\tau \omega \nu \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o v \tau \omega \nu \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \omega \nu$ ). But B D read $\gamma \varepsilon \nu o \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu$ (that are come). It is a nice question which is the true text. Both aspects are true, for Christ is High Priest of good things that have already come as well as of the glorious future of hope. Westcott prefers $\gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu$, Moffatt $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o v \tau \omega \nu$.

Through the greater and more perfect tabernacle ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta о \nu \circ \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma$, $\sigma \kappa \eta \sim \eta \varsigma)$. Probably the instrumental use of $\delta \iota \alpha$ (2Co 2:4; Ro 2:27; 14:20) as accompaniment, not the local idea $(4: 14 ; 10: 20)$. Christ as High Priest employed in his work the heavenly tabernacle ( 8:2) after which the earthly was patterned (9:24).

Not made with hands (ov $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho o \pi o ı \eta \tau o v)$. Old compound verbal for which see Mr 14:58; Ac 7:48; 17:24. Cf. Heb 8:2. Here in the predicate position.

Not of this creation (ov $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Explanation of ov $\chi \iota \varepsilon \rho о \pi о \iota \eta \tau 0 v$. For ктıбıऽ see 2Co 5:17; Ro 8:19. For the idea see 2Co 4:18; Heb 8:2. This greater and more perfect tabernacle is heaven itself (9:24).

Heb 9:12

Through his own blood（ $\delta \iota \alpha$ tov $\delta \iota \circ v$ aı $\mu \alpha \tau \bigcirc)$ ）．This is the great distinction between Christ as High Priest and all other high priests．They offer blood（verse 7），but he offered his own blood．He is both victim and High Priest．See the same phrase in 13：12；Ac 20：28 ．

Once for all（ $\varepsilon \varphi \alpha \pi \alpha \xi$ ）．In contrast to the repeated（annual）entrances of the Levitical high priests（ 9：7）．

Into the holy place（ $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau \alpha ~ \alpha \gamma ı$ ）．Here，as in verses 8,24 heaven itself．
Having obtained（ $\varepsilon v \rho \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$ ．First aorist middle（indirect）participle of $\varepsilon v \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$ ， simultaneous action with $\varepsilon \iota \sigma \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ，and by or of himself＂as the issue of personal labour directed to this end＂（Westcott）．The value of Christ＇s offering consists in the fact that he is the Son of God as well as the Son of man，that he is sinless and so a perfect sacrifice with no need of an offering for himself，and that it is voluntary on his part（Joh 10：17）．Nut $\rho \omega \sigma$ ィя （from $\lambda \nu \tau \rho o \omega$ ）is a late word for the act of ransoming（cf．$\lambda \nu \tau \rho o v$ ，ransom），in O．T．only here and Lu 1：68；2：38．But $\alpha \pi$ одит $\rho \omega \sigma ı$ elsewhere（as in Lu 21：28；Ro 3：24；Heb 9：15；11：35 ）．For＂eternal＂（aı $\omega v \iota \alpha v$ ，here feminine form）see 6：2．The author now turns to discuss the better sacrifice（ 9：13－10：18）already introduced．

Heb 9：13
Ashes（ $\sigma \pi$ тобoৎ）．Old word，in N．T．only here，Mt 11：21；Lu 10：13．Common in LXX．
Of a heifer（ $\delta \alpha \mu \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$ ．Old word（ $\delta \alpha \mu \alpha \lambda \iota \varsigma)$ ，a red heifer whose ashes mingled with water（ $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ v $\delta \alpha \tau о \varsigma$ ，verse 19）were sprinkled（ $\rho a v \tau \iota \zeta o v \sigma \alpha$ ，present active participle of pavtı亡 $\omega$ ，in LXX，though paıv more common）on the contaminated or defiled ones $(\mathrm{Nu}$ 19 ）as the blood of bulls and goats was offered for sins（Le 16 ）．

Sanctify（ $\alpha \gamma \mid \omega \zeta \varepsilon$ ）．First－class condition，assumed as true．This ceremonial ritual does serve＂for the cleansing（ $\kappa \alpha$ 人 $\rho о \tau \eta \tau \alpha$ ，old word here only in N．T．）of the flesh，＂but not for the conscience（verse 9）．The cow was $\alpha \mu \omega \mu$ ov，the individual каӨa $\rho \circ \varsigma$ ．

Heb 9：14
How much more（ $\pi 0 \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v$ ）．Instrumental case，＂by how much more，＂by the measure of the superiority of Christ＇s blood to that of goats and bulls and the ashes of a heifer．

Through the eternal Spirit（ $\delta \iota \alpha \pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau o \varsigma ~ \alpha \omega \nu v o v$ ）．Not the Holy Spirit，but Christ＇s own spirit which is eternal as he is．There is thus a moral quality in the blood of Christ not in that of other sacrifices．

Offered himself（ $\varepsilon a v \tau o v \pi \rho о \sigma \eta \nu \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \varepsilon v$ ）．Second aorist active indicative of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$ （used so often as in $5: 1,3 ; 8: 3$ ）．The voluntary character of Christ＇s death is again emphasized．

Without blemish（ $\alpha \mu \omega \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ）．Old compound adjective（ $\operatorname{Col} 1: 22 ; 1 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 19$ ）as the sac－ rifice had to be（Ex 29：1；Le 1：3，10）．

Shall cleanse from conscience（ $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \iota \varepsilon \tau \eta v \sigma v v \varepsilon \iota \eta \sigma \iota v \nu \mu \omega v$ ）．Future active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho!\zeta \omega$ ．Some MSS．have $\eta \mu \omega \nu$（our）．The old Greek used $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \iota \rho \omega$ ，not $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \iota \zeta \omega$（in inscriptions for ceremonial cleansing，Deissmann，Bible Studies，pp．216f．），for cleansing．

From dead works ( $\alpha \pi о \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega v$ ). As in 6:1. "A pause might be made before $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega v$, from dead--(not bodies but) works."

Heb 9:15
Mediator of a new covenant ( $\delta ı \alpha \eta \eta \kappa \eta \varsigma ~ к \alpha ı \nu \eta \varsigma ~ \mu \varepsilon \sigma ı \tau \eta \varsigma) . ~ S e e ~ 8: 6 ~ f o r ~ t h i s ~ p h r a s e ~ w i t h ~$ крєıттоvos instead of каıvŋऽ.

A death having taken place ( Oavatov $^{\gamma \varepsilon v} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\mu} \varepsilon v o v$ ). Genitive absolute, referring to Christ's death.

For the redemption ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \alpha \pi о \lambda \nu \tau \rho \omega \sigma \tau v$ ).
Of the transgressions ( $\tau \omega v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega v$ ). Really ablative case, "from the transgressions." See verse 12, $\lambda \nu \tau \rho \omega \sigma \tau v$.

Under the first covenant ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta \delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta$ ). Here there is a definite statement that the real value in the typical sacrifices under the Old Testament system was in the realization in the death of Christ. It is Christ's death that gives worth to the types that pointed to him. So then the atoning sacrifice of Christ is the basis of the salvation of all who are saved before the Cross and since.

That they may receive ( $o \pi \omega \varsigma \lambda \alpha \beta \omega \sigma v$ ). Purpose clause (God's purpose in the rites and symbols) with $о \pi \omega \varsigma$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$.

Heb 9:16
A testament $(\delta, a \theta \eta \kappa \eta)$. The same word occurs for covenant (verse 15) and will (verse 16). This double sense of the word is played upon also by Paul in Ga 3:15f. We say today "The New Testament" (Novum Testamentum) rather than " The New Covenant." Both terms are pertinent.

That made it ( $\tau o v \delta ı \alpha \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Genitive of the articular second aorist middle participle of $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$ from which $\delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta$ comes. The notion of will here falls in with $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v o \mu ı \alpha$ (inheritance, 1 Pe 1:4) as well as with $\theta$ avatoc (death).

Of force ( $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha 1 \alpha$ ). Stable, firm as in 3:6,14.
Where there hath been death ( $\varepsilon \pi$ vєкроья). "In the case of dead people." A will is only operative then.

For doth it ever avail while he that made it liveth? ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \mu \eta \pi о \tau \varepsilon \tau \sigma \cup \varepsilon$ о $\tau \varepsilon \zeta \eta$ о $\delta \iota \alpha \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o \sigma ;)$. This is a possible punctuation with $\mu \eta \pi о \tau \varepsilon$ in a question (Joh 7:26 ). Without the question mark, it is a positive statement of fact. Aleph and $D$ read tote (then) instead of $\pi$ отє. The use of $\mu \eta$ in a causal sentence is allowable (Joh 3:18, o $\tau \mu \eta$ ).

Heb 9:18
The first covenant ( $\eta \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta$ ). Supply $\delta \Delta \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta$ as in 9:1.
Has been dedicated ( $\varepsilon v \kappa \varepsilon \kappa \alpha เ v ı \sigma \tau \alpha$ ). Stands dedicated. Perfect passive indicative of $\varepsilon v \kappa \alpha ı v i \zeta_{o}$, a late verb in LXX, one papyrus, and in N.T. only here and 10:20. It means to renew, to inaugurate ( $1 \mathrm{Sa} 11: 14 ; 2 \mathrm{Ch} 15: 8$ ) and in 1Ki 8:63 to dedicate. Note $\tau \alpha$ عvkaıvia (Joh 10:22) for the feast of dedication.

Heb 9:19
When every commandment had been spoken ( $\lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \eta \varsigma)$. Genitive absolute with first aorist passive participle feminine singular of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$. The author uses the account in Ex 24:3f. "with characteristic freedom" (Moffatt). There is nothing there about the water, the scarlet wool ( $\varepsilon \rho \stackrel{\nu}{ }$, diminutive of $\varepsilon \rho \circ \sigma, \varepsilon \iota \rho \circ \varsigma$, old word, here and in Re $1: 14$; for коккıขоऽ see on Mt 27:6,28 ), and hyssop (vббんтov, a plant mentioned in Joh 19:29). It had become the custom to mingle water with the blood and to use a wisp of wool or a stem of hyssop for sprinkling ( Nu 10:2-10).

Both the book itself ( $\alpha \cup \tau о \tau \varepsilon \tau \circ \beta \iota \beta \lambda_{\iota} \circ v$ ). There is nothing in Exodus about sprinkling the book of the covenant, though it may very well have been done. He omits the use of oil in Ex 40:9f.; Le 8:10f. and applies blood to all the details.

Sprinkled ( $\varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu \tau \iota \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative from $\rho \alpha v \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ (from $\rho \alpha \nu \tau o \varsigma$ and this from $\rho a \iota v \omega)$, like $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ from $\beta a \pi \tau \omega$. Cf. Mr 7:4; Heb 10:22; Re 19:13 .

Heb 9:20
This is ( $\tau 0 v \tau 0)$. Instead of $1 \delta o v$ of the LXX (Ex 24:8), just like our Lord's words in Mr 14:24, a possible reminiscence of the Master's words (Dods). The author also has $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \iota \lambda a \tau o$ (he commanded) for $\delta \iota \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau$ to of the LXX.

Heb 9:21
In like manner with the blood ( $\tau \omega \alpha \not \mu \alpha \tau$ o $\mu \circ t \omega \varsigma$ ). Instrumental case of $\alpha \not \mu \alpha$ (blood). But the use of the article does not necessarily refer to the blood mentioned in verse 19. In Ex 40:9 Moses sprinkled the tabernacle with oil. It had not been erected at the time of Ex 24:5f. Josephus (Ant. III. 8, 6) gives a tradition that blood was used also at this dedication. Blood was used annually in the cleansing rites on the day of atonement.

Heb 9:22
I may almost say ( $\sigma \chi \varepsilon \delta o v$ ). Old adverb, only three times in the N.T., here, Ac 13:44; 19:26. Here it qualifies the entire clause, not just $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha$.

With blood ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \mu \alpha \tau)$. In blood. There were exceptions (Ex 19:10; 32:30f.; Le 5:11f.; 15:5; Nu 16:46f.; 31:23f. , etc.).
 here (coined by the writer) and later in ecclesiastical writers ( $\alpha \mu \alpha$, blood, $\varepsilon \kappa$, out, $\chi \varepsilon \omega$, to pour, like $\varepsilon \kappa \chi$ ขбıৎ aıцатоৎ 1 Ki 18:28 ). "Pouring out of blood." The author seems to have in mind Christ's words in Mt 26:28 : "This is my blood of the covenant which is shed for many for the forgiveness of sins." The blood is the vital principle and is efficacious as an atonement. The blood of Christ sets aside all other plans for pardon.

Heb 9:23
The copies ( $\tau \alpha v \pi o \delta \varepsilon \tau \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). See 8:5 for this word, the earthly (8:4; 9:1) tabernacle.
With these ( $\tau 0 \cup \tau 0 \iota \varsigma)$. Instrumental case of ov $\frac{\varsigma}{}$, like the rites above described (verse 19), perhaps with some disparagement.

Themselves ( $\alpha \cup \tau \alpha$ ). The heavenly realities (8:2,5; 9:11f. ).
With better sacrifices (крєıт七обıv $\theta$ vбı๙ıऽ). Instrumental case again. Point of this section (9:13-10:18).

Than these ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Use of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ and the accusative case after a comparative as in $1: 4,9$. To us it seems a bit strained to speak of the ritual cleansing or dedication of heaven itself by the appearance of Christ as Priest-Victim. But the whole picture is highly mystical.

Heb 9:24
Made with hands ( $\chi \varepsilon ı \rho о \pi о ı \tau \tau \alpha)$. See verse 11 for this word.
Like in pattern to the true ( $\alpha \nu \tau \iota \tau v \pi \alpha \tau \omega \nu \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu \omega \nu$ ). Late compound word, only twice in N.T. (here, 1Pe 3:21 ). Polybius uses avtıtv $\quad$ oc for infantry "opposite" to the cavalry. In modern Greek it means a copy of a book. Here it is the "counterpart of reality" (Moffatt). Moses was shown a $\tau \cup \pi \sigma \varsigma$ (model) of the heavenly realities and he made an $\alpha \nu \tau \iota \tau v \pi o v$ on
 sense, "the reality of baptism which corresponds to or is the antitype of the deluge" (Dods).

Now to appear ( $\nu v \nu \varepsilon \mu \varphi \alpha \nu \sigma \theta \eta \nu \alpha)$. Purpose clause by the first aorist passive infinitive of $\varepsilon \mu \varphi a v_{l} \zeta \omega$ (Mt 27:53; Joh 14:21f. ). For the phrase see Ps 42:3. For this work of Christ as our High Priest and Paraclete in heaven see Heb 7:25; Ro 8:34; 1Jo 2:1f .

Heb 9:25
That he should offer himself often ( $\imath \alpha \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa ı \varsigma \pi \rho о \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \eta \varepsilon \alpha v \tau о v$ ). Purpose clause with $\iota v \alpha$ and present active subjunctive of $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$ (keep on offering himself, like 5:1,3 ).

With blood not his own ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda o \tau \rho t \omega$ ). So-called instrumental use of $\varepsilon v$ (accompaniment). $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ от $\rho \iota \varsigma$ means "belonging to another," "not one's own" (Lu 16:12 ).

Heb 9:26
Else must he often have suffered ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \alpha v \tau о v \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa ı \varsigma \pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon \iota \nu)$. A common elliptical use of $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$ after which one must supply "if that were true" or "in that case," a protasis of a condition of the second class assumed to be untrue. The conclusion with $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon$ is without $\alpha v$ (verbs of necessity, obligation, etc.). See Robertson, Grammar, p. 963. The conclusion with $\alpha v$ occurs in 10:2. See also 1Co 5:10. "Since, if that were true, it would be necessary for him to suffer often."

Since the foundation of the world ( $\alpha \pi о ~ к а \tau \alpha \beta о \lambda \eta \varsigma ~ к о \sigma \mu о v) . ~ S e e ~ 4: 3 ~ f o r ~ t h i s ~ p h r a s e . ~$ The one sacrifice of Christ is of absolute and final value (1Pe 1:19f.; Re 13:8).

At the end ( $\varepsilon \pi \sigma v v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \alpha)$. Consummation or completion as in Mt 13:39f. which see.
Hath he been manifested ( $\pi \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \nu \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \circ \omega$, permanent state. See "the primitive hymn or confession of faith" (Moffatt) in 1Ti 3:16 and also 1Pe 1:20. Jesus came once for all (Heb 1:2).
 sacrifice of Christ dealt with sin as a principle: the Levitical sacrifices with individual transgressions" (Vincent).

Heb 9:27
It is appointed ( $\alpha \pi о \kappa \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ ). Present middle (or passive) of $\alpha \pi о \kappa \varepsilon \iota \mu \alpha$, "is laid away" for men. Cf. same verb in Lu 19:20; Col 1:5; 2Ti 4:8 (Paul's crown).

Once to die ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \xi \alpha \pi o \theta \alpha v \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Once for all to die, as once for all to live here. No reincarnation here.

After this cometh judgement ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ тоvто крıбıৎ). Death is not all. Man has to meet Christ as Judge as Jesus himself graphically pictures (Mt 25:31-46; Joh 5:25-29 ).

Heb 9:28
Once ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \xi$ ). "Once for all" (verse 26) as already stated.
Shall appear a second time ( $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v o \varphi \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Future passive indicative of o $\rho \alpha \omega$. Blessed assurance of the Second Coming of Christ, but this time "apart from sin" ( $\chi \omega \rho$ $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \varsigma$, no notion of a second chance then).

Unto salvation ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \sigma \omega \tau \eta \iota \alpha v$ ). Final and complete salvation for "them that wait for
 of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, the very verb used by Paul in Php 3:20 of waiting for the coming of Christ as Saviour.

## Hebrews 10

Heb 10:1
Shadow ( $\sigma \kappa ı \alpha v$ ). The contrast here between $\sigma \kappa ı \alpha$ (shadow, shade caused by interruption of light as by trees, Mr 4:32) and $\varepsilon \iota \kappa \omega \nu$ (image or picture) is striking. Christ is the $\varepsilon ו \kappa \omega \nu$ of God (2Co 4:4; Col 1:15). In Col 2:17 Paul draws a distinction between $\sigma \kappa ⿺ \alpha$ for the Jewish rites and ceremonies and $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ for the reality in Christ. Children are fond of shadow pictures. The law gives only a dim outline of the good things to come (9:11).

Continually ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \delta ı \eta \nu \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \varsigma) . ~ S e e ~ t h i s ~ p h r a s e ~ a l s o ~ i n ~ 7: 3 ; ~ 9: 12,14 . ~ N o w h e r e ~ e l s e ~ i n ~ N . T . ~$ From $\delta ı \eta \nu \varepsilon ү к \alpha$ ( $\delta \iota \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega)$, to bear through.

They can ( $\delta v v^{2} \nu \tau \alpha$ ). This reading leaves o vouos a nominativus pendens (an anacoluthon). But many MSS. read $\delta u v a \tau \alpha$ (it--the law--can). For the idea and use of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ا \omega \sigma \alpha$ see 9:9.

Heb 10:2
Else they would not have ceased? ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$ ouk $\alpha v \varepsilon \pi \alpha \cup \sigma \alpha v \tau o ;$ ). Ellipsis of condition after $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$ (since if they really did perfect) with the conclusion of the second-class condition ( $\alpha v$ and the aorist middle indicative of $\pi \alpha v o \mu \alpha)$.

To be offered ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \rho \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Regular idiom, participle (present passive) with $\pi \alpha v o \mu \alpha$ (Ac 5:42).

Because ( $\delta 1 \alpha \tau 0$ ). $\Delta t \alpha$ with the accusative of the articular infinitive, "because of the having" ( $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon เ v)$ as to the worshippers ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma ~ \lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon v o v \tau \alpha \varsigma$, accusative of general reference of the articular participle), not "would have had."

No more conscience of sins ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \mu \mu \alpha v \varepsilon \tau \sigma v v \varepsilon \iota \delta \eta \sigma \iota \sim \alpha \mu \rho \tau \tau \omega v$ ). Rather "consciousness of sins" as in 9:14.

Having been once cleansed ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \xi \kappa \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle of $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho ı \zeta \omega$, "if they had once for all been cleansed."

Heb 10:3
A remembrance ( $\alpha v \alpha \mu v \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma)$. A reminder. Old word from $\alpha v \alpha \mu \mu v \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$, to remind, as in Lu 22:19; 1Co 11:24f.

Heb 10:4
Should take away ( $\alpha \varphi \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon v$ ). Present active infinitive of $\alpha \varphi \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \omega$. Old verb and common in N.T., only here and Ro 11:27 with "sins". Cf. 9:9.

Heb 10:5
When he cometh into the world ( $\varepsilon เ \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ к о \sigma \mu о \nu)$ ). Reference to the Incarnation of Christ who is represented as quoting Ps 40:7-9 which is quoted. The text of the LXX is followed in the main which differs from the Hebrew chiefly in having $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ (body) rather than $\omega$ tio (ears). The LXX translation has not altered the sense of the Psalm, "that there was a sacrifice which answered to the will of God as no animal sacrifice could" (Moffatt).

So the writer of Hebrews "argues that the Son's offering of himself is the true and final offering for sin, because it is the sacrifice, which, according to prophecy, God desired to be made" (Davidson).

A body didst thou prepare for me ( $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \rho \tau \iota \sigma \omega \mu 0$ ). First aorist middle indicative
 (ears) does not change the sense, for the ears were the point of contact with God's will.

Heb 10:6
Thou hadst no pleasure (оик $\varepsilon v \delta о к \eta \sigma a \varsigma)$. First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon v \delta о \kappa \varepsilon \omega$, common for God's good pleasure (Mt 3:17). God took no pleasure in the animal offering ( $\theta v \sigma \iota \alpha v$ ), the meal-offering ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \varphi о \rho a v$ ), the burnt-offering (о入окаטтш $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ), the sin-offering ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha$, , concerning sin).

Heb 10:7
Then ( $\tau 0 \tau \varepsilon$ ). When it was plain that God could not be propitiated by such sacrifices.
Lo, I am come (I $\delta$ ov $\eta \kappa \omega$ ). The Messiah is represented as offering himself to do God's will ( $\tau 0 v \pi о \neq \eta \sigma \alpha$ тo $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \sigma o v$, the genitive articular infinitive of purpose).

In the roll of the book it is written of me ( $\varepsilon v \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \wedge \delta \beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota v \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \mu \circ v)$. Stands written ( $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha$, perfect passive indicative). $К \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \iota \varsigma$ is a diminutive of $\kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta$ (head), a little head, then roll only here in N.T., but in the papyri. Here it refers "to the O.T. as a prediction of Christ's higher sacrifice" (Moffatt).

Heb 10:8
Saying above ( $\alpha v \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho o v \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega v$ ). Christ speaking as in verse 5. "Higher up" ( $\alpha v \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho o v$, comparative of $\alpha v \omega$, up) refers to verses 5,6 which are quoted again.

Heb 10:9
The which (aıtivec). "Which very things" ( $\theta$ voia).
Then hath he said ( $\tau 0 \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon เ \rho \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). That is Christ. Perfect active indicative with which compare tote $\varepsilon$ וлоv (second aorist active) in verse 7 which is quoted again.

He taketh away the first ( $\alpha v \alpha \rho \rho \varepsilon$ to $\pi \rho \omega \tau o v$ ). Present active indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \iota \varepsilon \omega$, to take up, to abolish, of a man to kill (Mt 2:16). By "the first" ( $\tau 0 \pi \rho \omega \tau 0 v$ ) he means the system of animal sacrifices in verse 8 .

That he may establish the second (iva to $\delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \eta$ ). Purpose clause with $ı v a$ and the first aorist active (transitive) subjunctive of $t \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, to place. By "the second" ( $\tau \mathrm{o}$ $\delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho o v)$ he means doing God's will as shown in verse 9 (following verse 8). This is the author's exegesis of the Psalm.

Heb 10:10
We have been sanctified ( $\eta \gamma \omega \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon v)$. Periphrastic perfect passive indicative of $\alpha \gamma ı \omega \zeta \omega$, to set apart, to sanctify. The divine will, unfulfilled in animal sacrifices, is realized in Christ's offering of himself. "He came to be a great High Priest, and the body was prepared
for him, that by the offering of it he might put sinful men for ever into the perfect religious relation to God" (Denney, The Death of Christ, p. 234).

Heb 10:11
Standeth ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon v)$. Perfect active indicative of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ (intransitive), vivid picture.
Ministering and offering ( $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau о \nu \rho \gamma \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \pi \rho \circ \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega \nu)$. Present active participles graphically describing the priest.

Take away ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota v)$. Second aorist active infinitive of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb to take from around, to remove utterly as in Ac 27:20 .

Heb 10:12
When he had offered ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \varsigma)$. Second aorist active participle (with first aorist ending - $\alpha \varsigma$ in place of $-o v$ ) of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, single act in contrast to present participle $\pi \rho о \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega \nu$ above.

One sacrifice ( $\mu \mathrm{L} \alpha \nu \theta v \sigma \iota \alpha v)$. This the main point. The one sacrifice does the work that the many failed to do. One wonders how priests who claim that the "mass" is the sacrifice of Christ's body repeated explain this verse.

For ever ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \delta ı \eta v \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \varsigma) . ~ C a n ~ b e ~ c o n s t r u e d ~ e i t h e r ~ w i t h ~ \mu ı \alpha \nu ~ \theta v \sigma ı \alpha v ~ o r ~ w i t h ~ \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta ı \sigma \varepsilon v ~$ (sat down). See 1:3 for $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \varepsilon v$.

Heb 10:13
 $\lambda o t \pi o v$, accusative of extent of time). The expectant attitude of Christ here is that of final and certain victory (Joh 16:33; 1Co 15:24-28).

Till his enemies be made ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \theta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ o $\varepsilon \chi \theta \rho \circ \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Purpose and temporal clause with $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ and the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$. He quotes Ps 110:1 again.

Heb 10:14
He hath perfected ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \omega \kappa \varepsilon \nu)$. Perfect active indicative of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \omega \omega$. He has done what the old sacrifices failed to do (verse 1).

Them that are sanctified ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma \alpha \gamma \kappa \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma)$. Articular participle (accusative case) present passive of $\alpha \nLeftarrow \iota \zeta \omega$ (note perfect in verse 10) either because of the process still going on or because of the repetition in so many persons as in 2:11.

Heb 10:15
And the Holy Ghost also beareth witness to us ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \eta \mu \nu \tau \alpha \alpha$ то $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ тo $\alpha \gamma \iota 0 v)$. Maptv $\varepsilon \varepsilon \omega$ is common in Philo for Scripture quotation. The author confirms his interpretation of Ps 40:7-9 by repeating from Jeremiah (Jer 31:31ff.) what he had already quoted ( 8:8-12).

After he hath said ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ то $\varepsilon \iota \rho\rceil \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Accusative case after $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ of the articular infinitive perfect active, "after the having said."

Heb 10:16

With them ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ autouc). The author changes $\tau \omega$ oוк $\omega \operatorname{I\sigma \rho \alpha \varepsilon \lambda (8:10)~thus~without~}$ altering the sense. He also changes the order of "heart" (кар $\delta \alpha \varsigma)$ and "mind" ( $\delta ı \alpha v o \iota \alpha v$ ) from that in 8:10.

Heb 10:17
Here again the writer adds "their iniquities" ( $\tau \omega v \alpha v o \mu \omega \omega v$ ) to "sins" of 8:12 and reads $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \eta \sigma o \mu \alpha$ (first future passive) with ov $\mu \eta$ rather than $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \omega$ (first aorist passive subjunctive) of 8:12 (the more common idiom). It is uncertain also whether the writer means verse 17 to be the principal clause with 15,16 as subordinate or the whole quotation to be subordinate to $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ тo $\varepsilon \mid \rho \eta \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha$ of verse 15 with anacoluthon in verse 18 . At any rate verse 17 in the quotation does not follow immediately after verse 16 as one can see in 8:10-12 (skipping part of 8:10 and all of 8:11).

Heb 10:18
There is no more offering for $\sin$ (оикєт $\pi \rho о \sigma \varphi о \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau ı \propto)$. This is the logical and triumphant conclusion concerning the better sacrifice offered by Christ ( 9:13-10:18). As Jeremiah had prophesied, there is actually remission ( $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \iota$, removal) of sins. Repetition of the sacrifice is needless.

Heb 10:19
Having therefore ( $\varepsilon \chi$ оvte¢ ouv). The author now gives a second (the first in 8:1-6) resume of the five arguments concerning the superior priestly work of Christ ( 10:19-25) coupled with an earnest exhortation like that in 4:14-16, with which he began the discussion, before he proceeds to treat at length the fifth and last one, the better promises in Christ ( 10:26-12:3).

Boldness ( $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha)$ ). This is the dominant note all through the Epistle (3:6; 4:16; 10:19,35 ). They were tempted to give up Christ, to be quitters. Boldness (courage) is the need of the hour.

Into the holy place ( $\tau \omega \vee \alpha \gamma \mid \omega v)$. That is, the heavenly sanctuary where Jesus is ( $6: 18-20$ ). This is the better sanctuary ( $9: 1-12$ ).

By the blood of Jesus ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \alpha \mu \alpha \tau$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). This is the better sacrifice just discussed ( 9:13-10:18).

Heb 10:20
By the way which he dedicated for us ( $\eta v \varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \iota v i \sigma \varepsilon v \eta \mu \nu \nu$ oסov). This "new" ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \varphi \alpha \tau o v$, freshly killed, newly made, from $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ and the root of $\varphi a \tau o \varsigma$, in the papyri, only here in N.T.) and "living" ( $\zeta \omega \sigma \alpha v)$ Jesus opened ("dedicated") for us by his Incarnation and Death for us. Thus he fulfilled God's promise of the "New Covenant" ( 8:7-13) in Jeremiah. The language is highly symbolic here and "through the veil" here is explained as meaning the flesh of Christ, his humanity, not the veil opening into heaven ( 6:20). Some do take "veil" here as obscuring the deity of Christ rather than the revelation of God in the
human body of Christ (Joh 1:18; 14:9). At any rate because of the coming of Christ in the flesh we have the new way opened for access to God (Heb 2:17f.; 4:16).

Heb 10:21
A great priest ( $£ \rho \varepsilon \alpha \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha v$ ). As has been shown in 4:14-7:28.
Over the house of God ( $\varepsilon \pi$ tov oוкоv tov $\theta \varepsilon o v)$. As God's Son (3:5f. ).
Heb 10:22
Let us draw near ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Present middle volitive subjunctive as in $4: 16$ with which exhortation the discussion began. There are three exhortations in verses 22:25 (Let us draw near, $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta a$, let us hold fast, $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$, let us consider one another, $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha v o \omega \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o v \varsigma)$. Four items are added to this first exhortation.

With a true heart ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha \rho \delta ı \alpha \varsigma)$. With loyalty and fealty.
In fulness of faith ( $\varepsilon v \pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \varphi о \rho ı \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. See 6:11 for this very phrase.
Having our hearts sprinkled from an evil conscience ( $\rho \varepsilon \rho \alpha v \tau \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o ~ \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ к \alpha \rho \delta ı а \varsigma ~ a \pi о ~$ $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon i \delta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \pi$ тоv $\rho \rho a \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle of $\rho a v \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ with the accusative retained in the passive, an evident allusion to the sprinkling of blood in the old tabernacle ( 9:18-22) and the shedding of Christ's blood for the cleansing of our consciences ( 10:1-4). Cf. 1Pe 1:2 for "the sprinkling of the blood of Jesus Christ."

Our body washed with pure water ( $\lambda \varepsilon \lambda o v \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o ~ \tau o ~ \sigma \omega \mu \alpha ~ v \delta \alpha \tau ~ \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \omega)$. Perfect passive (or middle) of $\lambda o v \omega$, old verb to bathe, to wash. Accusative also retained if passive. Huסat can be either locative (in) or instrumental (with). See Eph 5:26; Tit 3:5 for the use of入outpov. If the reference here is to baptism (quite doubtful), the meaning is a symbol (Dods) of the previous cleansing by the blood of Christ.

Heb 10:23
Let us hold fast ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Present (keep on holding fast) active volitive subjunctive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \chi \omega$ as in 3:6,14.

That it waver not ( $\alpha \kappa \lambda \iota \nu \eta$ ). Common compound adjective (alpha privative and $\kappa \lambda \iota \nu \omega$, unwavering, not leaning, here only in N.T. It is a confession of hope, not of despair.

That promised (o $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. First aorist middle articular participle of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$. This is the argument remaining to be discussed ( $10: 26-12: 3$ ) and already alluded to (6:13f.; 8:6 ). The ministry of Jesus rests upon "better promises." How better? God is "faithful," but he made the other promises also. We shall see.

Heb 10:24
Let us consider one another ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha v o \omega \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o v \varsigma)$. Present (keep on doing so) active volitive subjunctive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon \omega$. The verb used about Jesus in 3:1.

To provoke ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha \rho о \xi \nu \sigma \mu \circ v$ ). Our very word "paroxysm," from $\pi \alpha \rho о \xi v v \omega$ ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$, o $\varsigma v v \omega$ from $o \xi v \varsigma$, sharp), to sharpen, to stimulate, to incite. So here in good sense (for incitement to), but in Ac 15:39 the word is used of irritation or contention as in the LXX and Demosthenes. Hippocrates uses it for "paroxysm" in disease (so in the papyri).

Unto love and good works ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega v$ ). Objective genitive. So Paul seeks to stir up the Corinthians by the example of the Macedonians (2Co 8:1-7).

Heb 10:25
Not forsaking ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. "Not leaving behind, not leaving in the lurch" ( $2 \mathrm{Ti} 4: 10$ ).

The assembling of yourselves together ( $\tau \eta v \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma v v \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \eta \nu \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Late double compound from $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma v v a \gamma \omega$, to gather together ( $\sigma v v$ ) besides $(\varepsilon \pi)$ as in Mt 23:37; Lu 17:27 . In N.T. only here and 2Th 2:1 . In an inscription 100 B.C. for collection of money (Deissmann, Light, etc., p. 103).

As the custom of some is ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \theta \circ \varsigma \tau \iota \sigma \iota v)$. "As is custom to some." For $\varepsilon \theta \circ \varsigma$ (custom) see Lu 22:39; Joh 19:40. Already some Christians had formed the habit of not attending public worship, a perilous habit then and now.

So much the more as ( $\tau 0 \sigma o v \tau \omega \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v o \sigma \omega)$. Instrumental case of measure or degree, "by so much the more as," both with $\tau 0 \sigma o v \tau \omega$ and $o \sigma \omega$.

The day drawing nigh ( $\left.\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \zeta \zeta_{0} \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha v\right)$. The Second Coming of Christ which draws nearer all the time (Ro 13:12).

Heb 10:26
If we sin wilfully ( $\varepsilon \kappa о \nu \sigma \iota \omega \varsigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \nu о \nu \tau \omega \nu \eta \mu \omega \nu$ ). Genitive absolute with the present active participle of $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \nu \omega$, circumstantial participle here in a conditional sense.

After that we have received ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ тo $\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon เ v$ ). "After the receiving" (accusative case of the articular infinitive second aorist active of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$ after $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$.

Knowledge ( $\varepsilon \pi \downarrow \gamma \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ). "Full knowledge," as in 6:4f .
There remaineth no more (ovкєє $\alpha \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). "No longer is there left behind" (present passive indicative as in 4:9), for one has renounced the one and only sacrifice for sin that does or can remove $\sin (10: 1-18)$.

Heb 10:27
Expectation ( $\varepsilon \kappa \delta о \chi \eta$ ). Usually reception or interpretation from $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ (Heb 11:10 ), only here in N.T. and in unusual sense like $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta o \kappa เ \alpha$, like $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \delta \varepsilon \chi о \mu \alpha$ (Ro 8:19,23,25 ), this sense apparently "coined by the writer" (Moffatt) from his use of $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ in 10:13. The papyri have it in the sense of interpretation.

A fierceness of fire ( $\pi \nu \rho \circ \varsigma \zeta \eta \lambda \circ \varsigma)$. An anger (zeal, jealousy) marked (genitive) by fire. Language kin to that in Isa 26:11; Zep 1:19; Ps 79:5. See also 2Th 1:8-10 for a like picture of destined doom.

Devour ( $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \iota \varepsilon เ v)$. "To eat" (figuratively), present active infinitive.
The adversaries ( $\tau \circ v \varsigma v \pi \varepsilon v a v \tau \iota \circ \cup \varsigma)$. Old double compound adjective ( $v \pi \circ, \varepsilon v, \alpha v \tau \iota \varsigma)$, in N.T. only here and Col 2:14. Those directly opposite.

Heb 10:28

Hath set at naught $(\alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega$, late compound, very common in LXX, from alpha privative and $\tau i \theta \eta \mu$, to render null and void, to set aside, only here in Hebrews (see Mr 7:9), but note $\alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \eta \sigma ı \varsigma ~(H e b ~ 7: 18 ; ~ 9: 26 ~) . ~$.
 apostates.

On the word of two or three ( $\varepsilon \pi \delta v \sigma \iota v \eta \tau \rho \iota \sigma \iota)$ ). "On the basis of two or three." For this use of $\varepsilon \pi$ with the locative see 9:17.

Heb 10:29
How much ( $\pi \sigma \sigma \omega)$. Instrumental case of degree or measure. An argument from the less to the greater, "the first of Hillel's seven rules for exegesis" (Moffatt).

Think ye ( $\delta$ окєıєє). An appeal to their own sense of justice about apostates from Christ.
Sorer ( $\chi$ вророс). "Worse," comparative of какос (bad).
Punishment ( $\tau \iota \omega \rho \rho \omega \varsigma$ ). Genitive case with $\alpha \xi \iota \omega \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ (first future passive of $\alpha \xi \iota \omega$, to deem worthy). The word $\tau \mu \omega \omega$ pıa originally meant vengeance. Old word, in LXX, only here in N.T.

Who hath trodden under foot the Son of God (o тov viov tov $\theta$ عov каталатךбаৎ). First aorist active articular participle of каталатє $\omega$, old verb (Mt 5:13) for scornful neglect like Zec 12:3. See same idea in Heb 6:6 .

Wherewith he was sanctified ( $\varepsilon v \omega \eta \gamma \iota \alpha \sigma \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\alpha \gamma \iota\rfloor \zeta \omega$. It is an unspeakable tragedy that should warn every follower of Christ not to play with treachery to Christ (cf. 6:4-8).

An unholy thing (kotvov). Common in the sense of uncleanness as Peter used it in Ac 10:14 . Think of one who thus despises "the blood of Christ wherewith he was sanctified." And yet there are a few today who sneer at the blood of Christ and the gospel based on his atoning sacrifice as "a slaughter house" religion!

Hath done despite ( $\varepsilon v v \beta \rho \circ \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\varepsilon v v \beta \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb to treat with contumely, to give insult to, here only in the N.T. It is a powerful word for insulting the Holy Spirit after receiving his blessings ( 6:4).

Heb 10:30
We know him that said (oı $\delta \alpha \mu v$ tov $\varepsilon \iota \pi о v \tau \alpha$ ). God lives and is true to his word. He quotes De 32:35 (cf. Ro 12:19 ). For єк $\delta \iota \kappa \eta \sigma \iota$ see Lu 18:7f. God is the God of justice. He is patient, but he will punish.

And again ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \lambda ı v$ ). De 32:36.
Heb 10:31
A fearful thing ( $\varphi \circ \beta \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ). Old adjective (from $\varphi \circ \beta \varepsilon \omega$, to frighten). In N.T. only in Heb. ( $10: 27,31 ; 12: 21$ ). The sense is not to be explained away. The wrath of God faces wrongdoers.

To fall ( $\tau 0 \varepsilon \mu \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon เ v$ ). "The falling" (articular infinitive second aorist active of $\varepsilon \mu \pi \iota \tau \tau \omega$, to fall in, followed here by $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma)$. We are not dealing with a dead or an absentee God, but one who is alive and alert ( $3: 12$ ).

Heb 10:32
Call to remembrance $(\alpha v \alpha \mu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon)$. Present middle imperative of $\alpha v \alpha \mu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$, as in 2Co 7:15 "remind yourselves." The former days were some distance in the past ( 5:12), some years at any rate. It is a definite experience of people in a certain place. Jerusalem Christians had had experiences of this nature, but so had others.

After ye were enlightened ( $\varphi \omega \tau \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist passive participle of $\varphi \omega \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ in the same sense as in 6:4 (regeneration) and like "the full knowledge of the truth" in 10:26.

Conflict ( $\alpha \theta \lambda \eta \sigma v$ ). Late word from $\alpha \theta \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to engage in a public contest in the games (2Ti 2:5 ), only here in the N.T. It occurs in the inscriptions. Cf. 2:10 for the benefit of "sufferings" in training.

Heb 10:33
Partly (точто $\mu \varepsilon v$ )
and partly ( $\tau$ оитo $\delta \varepsilon$ ). Accusative of general reference (тov $\frac{10}{}$ ) with $\mu \varepsilon v$ and $\delta \varepsilon$ for contrast.

Being made a gazing-stock ( $\theta \varepsilon \alpha \tau \rho \iota \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Late verb to bring upon the stage, to hold up to derision. See Paul's use of $\theta \varepsilon a \tau \rho o v$ of himself in 1Co 4:9 .

By reproaches and afflictions (oveı $\delta \iota \sigma \mu \circ \iota \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \lambda \iota \psi \varepsilon \sigma \iota v$ ). Instrumental case. See Ro 15:3.

Partakers ( $\kappa o \imath v \omega v o$ ). Partners (Lu 5:10) with those ( $\tau \omega v$ objective genitive).
So used (ov $\omega \varsigma \varsigma v a \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \rho \mu \varepsilon v \omega v$ ). Present middle articular participle of $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, to conduct oneself (2Co 1:12).

Heb 10:34
Ye had compassion on ( $\sigma u v \varepsilon \pi \alpha \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\sigma u v \pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon \omega$, old verb to have a feeling with, to sympathize with.

Them that were in bonds (тоья $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ \circ \iota)$. Associative instrumental case, "with the prisoners" (the bound ones). Used of Paul (Eph 3:1; 2Ti 1:8).

Took joyfully ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \chi \alpha \rho \alpha \varsigma ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). First aorist middle (indirect) indicative, "ye received to yourselves with joy." See Ro 13:1,3; 15:7.

The spoiling ( $\tau \eta \nu \alpha \rho \pi \alpha \gamma \eta \nu)$. "The seizing," "the plundering." Old word from $\alpha \rho \pi \alpha \zeta \omega$. See Mt 23:35.

Of your possessions ( $\tau \omega v \nu \pi \alpha \rho \chi \circ v \tau \omega v \nu \mu \omega v$ ). "Of your belongings." Genitive of the articular present active neuter plural participle of $v \pi \alpha \rho \chi \omega$ used as a substantive (cf. $v \mu \omega \nu$ genitive) as in Mt 19:21 .

That ye yourselves have ( $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \mid v ~ \varepsilon a v \tau o v \varsigma)$ ) Infinitive (present active of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ ) in indirect discourse after $\gamma \iota \omega \omega \sigma \kappa 0 \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ (knowing) with the accusative of general reference ( $\varepsilon a v \tau o u \varsigma$,
as to yourselves), though some MSS. omit eavtov¢, some have eavtos (dative, for yourselves), and some $\varepsilon v$ عautorc (in yourselves). The predicate nominative avto could have been used agreeing with $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa 0 \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ (cf. Ro 1:22).

A better possession ( $\kappa \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \sigma o v a v \pi \alpha \rho \xi \imath v$ ). Common word in the same sense as $\tau \alpha$ v $\pi \alpha \rho \chi$ ovta above, in N.T. only here and Ac 2:45. In place of their plundered property they have treasures in heaven (Mt 6:20).

Abiding ( $\mu \varepsilon v o v \sigma \alpha v$ ). Present active participle of $\mu \varepsilon v \omega$. No oppressors (legal or illegal) can rob them of this (Mt 6:19ff. ).

Heb 10:35
Cast not away therefore your boldness ( $\mu \eta \alpha \pi \beta \beta \alpha \lambda \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ovv $\tau \eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \nu v \mu \omega v$ ). Prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \pi \circ \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$. Old verb to throw away from one as worthless, only twice in the N.T., here in a figurative sense and Mr 10:50 in a literal sense (garment by Bartimaeus). The Jewish Christians in question were in peril of a panic and of stampeding away from Christ. Recall катє $\chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ in verse 23.

Heb 10:36
Which ( $\eta \tau \iota \varsigma$ ). Your boldness of verse 35.
 (Heb 11:6), from $\mu \sigma \theta$ oc (reward, wages) and $\alpha \pi o \delta t \delta \omega \mu$, to give back, to pay (repay). In N.T. only here, 2:2; 11:26 .

Of patience (ขпоноvŋऽ). Old word for remaining under trial ( $\mathrm{Lu} 8: 15$ ). This was the call of the hour then as now.

Having done the will of God ( $\tau 0 \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). This is an essential prerequisite to the exercise of patience and to obtain the promised blessing. There is no promise to those who patiently keep on doing wrong.

That ye may receive the promise (ıva ко $\kappa \sigma \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı a v$ ) Purpose clause with ıva and the first aorist middle subjunctive of коцı $\zeta \omega$, old verb to carry ( $\operatorname{Lu} 7: 37$ ), in the middle to get back one's own (Mt 25:27) , to receive. See also 11:39. Now the author is ready to develop this great idea of receiving the promise in Christ.

Heb 10:37
A very little while ( $\mu$ ккроv ooov oбov). From Isa 26:20 as an introduction to the quotation from Hab 2:3f.

He that cometh (o epхоиєvoৎ). The article o is added to $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ in Hab 2:3 and is given here a Messianic application.

Heb 10:38
If he shrink back ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \nu \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \eta \tau \tau \alpha$ ). Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and the first aorist middle subjunctive of $\cup \pi о \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, old verb to draw oneself under or back, to withdraw, as already in Ac 20:20,27; Ga 2:12. See Ro 1:17 for the quotation also of "the just shall live by faith."

Heb 10:39
But we ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \delta \varepsilon$ ). In contrast to renegades who do flicker and turn back from Christ.
Of them that shrink back unto perdition (v $\pi \circ \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta \varsigma \varepsilon เ \varsigma ~ a \pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu$ ). Predicate genitive of $v \pi \sigma \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta$, as in 12:11, from $v \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ with same sense here, stealthy retreat in Plutarch, dissimulation in Josephus. Here alone in the N.T.

Unto the saving of the soul ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi о \iota \eta \sigma \iota \nu \psi \cup \chi \eta \varsigma)$. Old word from $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \circ \iota \varepsilon \omega$, to reserve, to preserve (Lu 17:33 ) to purchase (Ac 20:28). So here preserving or saving one's life as in Plato, but possession in Eph 1:14, obtaining in 1Th 4:9. Papyri have it in sense of preservation.

## Hebrews 11

Heb 11:1
Now faith is ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \delta \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma)$. He has just said that "we are of faith" ( 10:39), not of apostasy. Now he proceeds in a chapter of great eloquence and passion to illustrate his point by a recital of the heroes of faith whose example should spur them to like loyalty now.

The assurance of things hoped for ( $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu v \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma)$.
Hupostasis is a very common word from Aristotle on and comes from $v \varphi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu(v \pi 0$,
 See the philosophical use of it in 1:3, the sense of assurance (une assurance certaine, Menegoz) in 3:14, that steadiness of mind which holds one firm (2Co 9:4). It is common in the papyri in business documents as the basis or guarantee of transactions. "And as this is the essential meaning in Heb 11:1 we venture to suggest the translation 'Faith is the title-deed of things hoped for'" (Moulton and Milligan, Vocabulary, etc.).

The proving of things not seen ( $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi \circ \varsigma$ ov $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu)$. The only N.T. example of $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi \circ \varsigma$ (except Textus Receptus in $2 \mathrm{Ti} 3: 16$ for $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \circ v$ ). Old and common word from $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi \omega$ (Mt 18:15) for "proof" and then for "conviction." Both uses occur in the papyri and either makes sense here, perhaps "conviction" suiting better though not in the older Greek.

Heb 11:2
Therein ( $\varepsilon v \tau \alpha v \tau \eta$ ). That is, "in faith," feminine demonstrative referring to $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$.
The elders (o $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \varepsilon \rho o$ ). More nearly like "the fathers," not the technical sense of elders (officers) usual in the N.T., but more like "the tradition of the elders" (Mr 7:3,5; Mt 15:2).

Had witness borne to them ( $\varepsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \eta \forall \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \omega$ (cf. 7:8), "were testified to."

Heb 11:3
By faith ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). Instrumental case of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$ which he now illustrates in a marvellous way. Each example as far as verse 31 is formally and with rhetorical skill introduced by $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon$. After that only a summary is given.

We understand (voou $\mu \varepsilon v$ ). Present active indicative of voc $\omega$, old verb (from vovc, intellect) as in Mt 15:17; Ro 1:20. The author appeals to our knowledge of the world in which these heroes lived as an illustration of faith. Recent books by great scientists like Eddington and Jeans confirm the position here taken that a Supreme Mind is behind and before the universe. Science can only stand still in God's presence and believe like a little child.

The worlds ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma$ aıluvac). "The ages" as in 1:2 (cf. Einstein's fourth dimension, time). Accusative case of general reference.

Have been framed ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \rho \tau \iota \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Perfect passive infinitive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, to mend, to equip, to perfect ( $\operatorname{Lu} 6: 40$ ), in indirect discourse after voov $\mu \varepsilon v$.

So that ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau 0$ ). As a rule $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ to with the infinitive is final, but sometimes as here it expresses result as in Ro 12:3 (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1003).

Hath been made ( $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Perfect active infinitive of $\gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \alpha$.
What is seen ( $\tau 0 \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu o v$ ). Present passive articular participle (accusative case of general reference) of $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$.

Of things which do appear ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varphi \alpha \iota \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu$ ). Ablative case with $\varepsilon \kappa$ (out of) of the present passive participle. The author denies the eternity of matter, a common theory then and now, and places God before the visible universe as many modern scientists now gladly do.

Heb 11:4
A more excellent sacrifice ( $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota v \alpha \theta v \sigma \iota \alpha \nu$ ). Literally, "more sacrifice" (comparative of $\pi o \lambda \nu \varsigma$, much). For this rather free use of $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \tau \omega \nu$ with the point implied rather than stated see Mt 6:25; Lu 10:31; 12:23; Heb 3:3.

Than Cain ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ Kaıv). For this use of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ after comparative see 1:4,9. For the incident see Ge 4:4.

Through which ( $\delta \iota^{\prime} \eta \varsigma$ ). The sacrifice ( $\theta v \sigma \iota \alpha$ ).
He had Witness borne to him ( $\varepsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \eta \forall \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \omega$ as in verse 2 , "he was witnessed to."

That he was righteous ( $\varepsilon \iota v \alpha \delta \iota \alpha \iota \circ \varsigma)$. Infinitive in indirect discourse after $\varepsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \eta \theta \eta$, personal construction of $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \varsigma$ (predicate nominative after $\varepsilon \iota v \alpha$ ) agreeing with the subject of $\varepsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \eta \forall \eta$ (cf. Ro 1:22 , $\varepsilon \iota v \alpha \sigma о \varphi o$ ).

God bearing witness ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho o v v \tau \circ \varsigma \tau 0 v \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Genitive absolute with present active participle of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \omega$.

Through it ( $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ av $\eta \zeta$ ). Through his faith (as shown by his sacrifice). Precisely why Abel's sacrifice was better than that of Cain apart from his faith is not shown.

Being dead ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \theta \alpha \nu \omega v$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\alpha \pi \sigma \theta \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$, "having died."
Yet speaketh $(\varepsilon \tau \lambda a \lambda \varepsilon)$. Cf. Ge 4:10; Heb 12:24. Speaks still through his faith.
Heb 11:5
Was translated $(\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, old verb to transpose, to change as in 7:12; Ac 7:16 .

That he should not see death ( $\tau 0 v \mu \eta \stackrel{\delta \varepsilon ı v}{ } \theta \alpha v \alpha \tau o v$ ). Here again $\tau 0 v$ with the infinitive usually expresses purpose, but in this case result is the idea as in Mt 21:23; Ro 1:24; 7:3, etc. (Robertson, Grammar, p. 1002).

He was not found (ov $\chi \eta$ ๆ $\iota \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau о$ ). Imperfect passive of $\varepsilon \cup \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$ from Ge 5:24. Was still not found.

Translated ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \theta \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active of same verb as $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \theta \eta$ just before.

Translation ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Substantive from the same verb $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau 1 \theta \eta \mu$, used already in 7:12 for change. See also 12:27. Our very word "metathesis."

He hath had witness borne him ( $\mu \varepsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \varepsilon \omega$, stands on record still, "he has been testified to."

That he had been well-pleasing unto God ( $\varepsilon \cup \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). Perfect active infinitive of $\varepsilon \cup \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega$, late compound from evap\&бтoc (well-pleasing), in N.T. only in Heb 11:5f.; 13:16. With dative case $\theta \varepsilon \omega$. Quoted here from $\mathrm{Ge} 5: 22,24$. The word is common of a servant pleasing his master.

Heb 11:6
Impossible (aסuvatov). Strong word as in 6:4,18. See Ro 8:8 for same idea with $\alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \alpha$ ( $\alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \omega, \mathrm{Ga} 1: 10$ ).

Must believe ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \delta \varepsilon$ ). Moral necessity to have faith (trust, $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \omega$ ). This is true in business also (banks, for instance).

That he is (o $\varepsilon$ عб兀ıv). The very existence of God is a matter of intelligent faith (Ro 1:19ff. ) So that men are left without excuse.
 indicative of $\gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \alpha$, not of $\varepsilon \mu$ ). Only N.T. example of $\mu \iota \sigma \theta a \pi o \delta o \tau \eta \varsigma$, late and rare double compound (one papyrus example, from $\mu \omega \sigma$ oc (reward) and $\alpha \pi o \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ (to pay back) like $\mu \iota \theta$ атобобıа (10:35; 11:26).

Seek after ( $\varepsilon \kappa \zeta \eta \tau$ tovaıv). That seek out God.
Heb 11:7
Being warned of God ( $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. First aorist passive participle of $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, old word for oracular or divine communications as already in 8:5 (cf. Mt 2:12,22, etc.).

Moved with godly fear ( $\varepsilon v \lambda \alpha \beta \eta \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\varepsilon v \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$, old verb from $\varepsilon u \lambda \alpha \beta \eta \varsigma$ (from $\varepsilon v$ and $\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon เ v$, to take hold well or carefully), to show oneself $\varepsilon \cup \lambda \alpha \beta \eta \varsigma$, to act circumspectly or with reverence, here only in N.T. (save Textus Receptus in Ac 23:10 ), often in LXX.

An ark (кı $\beta \omega \tau$ tov). Ge 6:15; Mt 24:38. Shaped like a box (cf. Heb 9:4).
Through which ( $\delta \iota^{\prime} \eta \varsigma$ ). Through his faith as shown in building the ark.
The world ( $\tau$ र коб $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ). Sinful humanity as in verse 38.
Heir (к $\kappa$ прогонос). In 2Pe 2:5 Noah is called "a preacher of righteousness" as here "heir of righteousness." He himself believed his message about the flood. Like Enoch he walked with God (Ge 6:9).

Heb 11:8
Not knowing whither he went ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \pi o v \varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Usual negative $\mu \eta$ with a participle (present middle from $\varepsilon \pi เ \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \alpha$, old and common verb to put the mind on). Present middle indicative ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$ preserved in the indirect question after the secondary tense $\varepsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ (went out) from which $\varepsilon \pi เ \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ gets its time. Abraham is a sublime and
graphic example of faith. He did not even know where the land was that he was going to receive "as an inheritance" ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \kappa \lambda \eta \rho о v o \mu \iota \alpha \nu)$.

Heb 11:9
Became a sojourner ( $\pi \alpha \rho \omega \iota \kappa \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \circ \iota \kappa \varepsilon \omega$, old verb to dwell (оккย ) beside ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ), common in LXX, in N.T. only here and Lu 24:18 . Called $\pi \alpha \rho о \iota \kappa$ (sojourner) in Ac 7:6.

In the land of promise ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma\rceil \eta \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \varsigma \varsigma)$. Literally, "land of the promise." The promise made by God to him (Ge 12:7; 13:15; 17:8).

As in a land not his own ( $\omega \varsigma \alpha \lambda \lambda o \tau \rho \iota \alpha v$ ). For $\alpha \lambda \lambda o \tau \rho \circ \varsigma$ (belonging to another) see 9:25; 11:34.

The heirs with him of the same promise ( $\tau \omega \nu \sigma \nu v \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \alpha \varsigma \tau\rceil$ $\alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Late double compound ( $\sigma v \nu, \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \sigma, v \varepsilon \mu \circ \mu \alpha$ ), found in Philo, inscriptions and papyri, in N.T. only here, Ro 8:17; Eph 3:6; 1Pe 3:7. "Co-heirs" with Abraham.

Heb 11:10
He looked for ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau 0$ ). Imperfect middle of $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ (see on 10:13) picturesque progressive imperfect, his steady and patient waiting in spite of disappointment.

The foundations ( $\tau 0 v \varsigma ~ \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda ı v \varsigma) . ~ N o t ~ j u s t ~ " t e n t s " ~(\sigma \kappa \eta v \alpha ı \varsigma, ~ v e r s e ~ 9) . ~ A h r a h a m ~ s e t ~ h i s ~$ steady gaze on heaven as his real home, being a mere pilgrim ( $\pi \alpha \rho о \iota к о \varsigma)$ on earth.

Builder ( $\tau \varepsilon \chi \vee \iota \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Old word from $\tau \varepsilon \chi \vee \eta$ (craft) or trade (Ac 17:29; 18:3), craftsman, artificer, in N.T. only here and Ac 19:24,38 .

Maker ( $\delta \eta \mu$ เоv $\gamma \circ \varsigma$ ). Old word from $\delta \eta \mu \circ \varsigma$ (public) and $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v$, a worker for the public, artisan, framer, here only in N.T.

Heb 11:11
To conceive seed ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta о \lambda \eta \nu \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma)$. For deposit of seed. See $4: 3$ for $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta о \lambda \eta$.
Past age ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota \rho о \nu \eta \lambda \iota \kappa \iota \varsigma)$. Beyond ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ with the accusative) the season of age.
Since she counted him faithful who had promised ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \nu \eta \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \tau o$ тov $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \iota \lambda \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. Sarah herself ( $\alpha v \tau \eta--\sum \alpha \rho \rho \alpha$ ). Even Sarah, old as she was, believed God who had promised. Hence she received power.

Heb 11:12
And that as good as dead ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha v \tau \alpha \nu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Accusative of general reference $(\tau \alpha v \tau \alpha)$, sometimes singular as in $1 \mathrm{Co} 6: 8$. The perfect passive participle from $v \varepsilon \kappa \rho \circ \omega$, late verb to make dead, to treat as dead (Ro 4:19), here by hyperbole.

By the sea shore ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ то $\chi \varepsilon ı \lambda о \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta \varsigma)$. "Along the lip of the sea" (from Ge 22:17 ), $\chi \varepsilon \iota \lambda$ oc here alone in this sense in the N.T.

Innumerable ( $\alpha v \alpha \rho \iota \theta \mu \eta \tau \circ \varsigma)$. Old compound verbal adjective (alpha privative and $\alpha \rho \iota \theta \varepsilon \omega$, to number), here alone in N.T.

Heb 11:13

In faith (ката $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \nu)$. Here a break in the routine $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ (by faith), "according to faith," either for literary variety "or to suggest $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$ as the sphere and standard of their characters" (Moffatt).

These all (outo $\pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma) . ~ T h o s e ~ i n ~ v e r s e s ~ 9-12 ~(A b r a h a m, ~ S a r a h, ~ I s a a c, ~ J a c o b) . ~$.
Not having the promises ( $\mu \eta \kappa о \mu \iota \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \tau \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \alpha \varsigma$ ). First aorist middle participle of $\kappa 0 \mu \zeta_{\omega}$, to obtain, as in $10: 36 ; 11: 39$. And yet the author mentions Abraham ( $6: 15$ ) as having obtained the promise. He received the promise of the Messiah, but did not live to see the Messiah come as we have done. It is in this sense that we have "better promises."

Greeted them ( $\alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). First aorist middle participle of $\alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \zeta о \mu \alpha$, to salute (Mt 5:47 ). Abraham rejoiced to see Christ's day in the dim distance (Joh 8:56 ).

Strangers ( $\zeta \varepsilon v o$ ). Foreigners. "To reside abroad carried with it a certain stigma" (Moffatt). But they "confessed" it (Ge 23:4; 47:9).

Pilgrims ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \pi \iota \delta \eta \mu \circ$ ). Late double compound ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha, \varepsilon \pi \iota, \delta \eta \mu \circ \varsigma$ ), a sojourner from another land, in N.T. only here and 1Pe 1:1; 2:11 .

Heb 11:14
A country of their own ( $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \iota \delta \alpha$ ). Land of the fathers ( $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ ), one's native land (Joh 4:44). Cf. our patriotic, patriotism.

Heb 11:15
Had been mindful ( $\varepsilon \mu v \eta \mu \circ v \varepsilon v o v)--$
would have had ( $\varepsilon \not \subset \circ \vee \sim \nu)$. Condition of second class (note $\alpha v$ in conclusion) with the imperfect (not aorist) in both condition and conclusion. So it means: "If they had continued mindful, they would have kept on having (linear action in both cases in past time).

Opportunity to return (каıоо аvака $\psi \psi \alpha$ ). Old verb $\alpha v \alpha к а \mu \pi \tau \omega$ to bend back, to turn back (Mt 2:12 ), here first aorist active infinitive. Continual hankering would have found a way. Cf. the Israelites in the wilderness yearning after Egypt.

Heb 11:16
They desire (o $\rho \varepsilon \gamma \circ \vee \tau \alpha$ ). Present middle indicative of $o \rho \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, old word for stretching out after, yearning after as in 1Ti 3:1 .

Their God ( $\theta \varepsilon \circ \varsigma \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Predicate nominative with the epexegetic infinitive $\varepsilon \pi \kappa \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon เ \sigma \theta \alpha$ (to be called) used with ouk eraioरuveta (is not ashamed).

Heb 11:17
Being tried ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \zeta \rho \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Present passive participle of $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \zeta \omega$. The test was still going on.

Offered up ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon v \eta v o \chi \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, the verb so often used in this Epistle. The act was already consummated so far as Abraham was concerned when it was interrupted and it stands on record about him. See Ge 22:1-18.

He that had gladly received the promises (о таৎ $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha v \alpha \delta \varepsilon \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma) . ~ A v \alpha \delta \varepsilon \chi о \mu \alpha$ is old verb to welcome, to entertain, in N.T. only here and Ac 28:7. It seemed the death of his hopes.

Was offering up ( $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon v$ ). It is the imperfect of an interrupted action like $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda o v \nu$ in Lu 1:59.

Heb 11:18
To whom it was said ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega(\mathrm{Ge} 21: 12$ ). God's very words were in the heart of Abraham now about Isaac "his only son" ( $\tau 0 v$ $\mu o v o \gamma \varepsilon \vee \eta$. Cf. Lu 7:12 ).

Heb 11:19
Accounting ( $\lambda о \boldsymbol{\prime} \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \vee \circ \varsigma)$. First aorist middle participle of $\lambda о \gamma \iota \zeta о \mu \alpha$. Abraham had God's clear command that contravened God's previous promise. This was his solution of his difficult situation.

God is able ( $\delta v v a \tau \alpha$ o $\theta \varepsilon \sigma \varsigma)$. God had given him Isaac in his old age. God can raise him from the dead. It was Abraham's duty to obey God.

In a parable $(\varepsilon v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta o \lambda \eta)$. See already 9:9 for $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta o \lambda \eta$. Because of ( $o \theta \varepsilon v$, whence) Abraham's superb faith Isaac was spared and so he received him back ( $\varepsilon \kappa о \mu เ \sigma \alpha \tau o)$ as almost from the dead. This is the test that Abraham stood of which James speaks (Jas 2:23 ).

Heb 11:20
Even concerning things to come ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o v \tau \omega v$ ). As told in Ge 27:28-40 when Isaac blessed Jacob and Esau.

Heb 11:21
Leaning upon the top of his staff ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau$ то $\alpha \kappa \rho о \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \rho \alpha \beta \delta o v \alpha v \tau o v)$. From Ge 47:31, but no word for "leaning." The quotation is from the LXX, the Hebrew having "the head of the bed," but the Hebrew word allows either meaning with different vowel points.

Heb 11:22
When his end was nigh ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \tau \omega v$ ). Present active participle of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \tau \alpha \omega$, to finish or close (Mt 2:19), "finishing his life."

Of the departure ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \mathrm{o} \mathrm{\delta ov})$. Late compound for way out, exit as here, metaphorically of death as here (Lu 9:31; 2Pe 1:15).

Concerning his bones ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \omega \nu$ oo $\tau \varepsilon \omega \nu \alpha v \tau \sigma v$ ). Uncontracted form as in Mt 23:27.
Heb 11:23
Was hid $(\varepsilon \kappa \rho \cup \beta \eta)$. Second aorist passive indicative of $\kappa \rho v \pi \tau \omega$, to hide, as in Mt 5:14.
Three months ( $\tau \rho \mu \eta \nu o v$ ). Old adjective used as neuter substantive in accusative case for extent of time, here only in N.T.

A goodly child ( $\alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon \iota \nu \tau$ тo $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota v$ ). Literally, "the child was goodly" (predicate adjective). Old adjective from $\alpha \sigma \tau v$ (city), "of the city" ("citified"), of polished manners, genteel. In N.T. only here and Ac 7:20, about Moses both times. Quoted from Ex 2:2f. The king's
commandment ( $\tau 0 \delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha$ тov $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Late compound for injunction from $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, only here in the N.T.

Heb 11:24
When he was grown up ( $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v o c)$. "Having become great" (from Ex 2:11).
Refused ( $\eta \rho v \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \tau 0$ ). First aorist middle indicative of $\alpha \rho v \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$, to deny, to refuse. He was of age and made his choice not from ignorance.

Son (vooc). Predicate nominative with $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \sigma \theta a$ (to be spoken of, present passive infinitive, of $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega)$.

Heb 11:25
Choosing rather ( $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v \varepsilon \lambda o \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma) . ~ " R a t h e r ~ h a v i n g ~ c h o s e n " ~(s e c o n d ~ a o r i s t ~ m i d d l e ~ o f ~$ aup $\rho \omega$, to take for oneself a position).

To be entreated with ( $\sigma \cup v к \alpha к о \cup \chi \varepsilon เ \sigma \theta \alpha)$ ). Present passive infinitive of the double compound $\sigma \cup v \kappa \alpha \kappa о \cup \chi \varepsilon \omega$ (from $\sigma v \nu, \kappa \alpha \kappa о \sigma, \varepsilon \chi \omega$ ), to treat ill with (associative instrumental case), only known example save one in the papyri (second century A.D.), though какоuх£ $\omega$ in Heb 11:37; 13:3.

To enjoy the pleasures of $\sin$ for a season ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \iota \rho o v \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon เ v ~ \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau ı \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha \pi о \lambda \alpha v \sigma \tau v$ ). Literally, "to have temporary pleasure of sin." A
 as the antithesis to aı $\omega v$ voc (eternal) as in Mt 13:21; Mr 4:17; 2Co 4:18 (only N.T. examples). To have been disloyal to God's people would have brought enjoyment to Moses in the Egyptian Court for a short while only.

## Heb 11:26

The reproach of Christ ( $\tau 0 v$ ovei $\delta \iota \sigma \mu \circ$ v rov Xpıбтov). See Ps $89: 51$ for the language where "the Messiah" ("The Anointed One") is what is meant by tov Xpıotov, here rightly applied by the writer to Jesus as the Messiah who had his own shame to bear (12:2; 13:12). There is today as then (Heb 13:13) a special reproach (ovet $\delta \iota \sigma \mu$ ¢, already, 10:33) in being a follower of Jesus Christ. Moses took this obloquy as "greater riches" ( $\mu$ عוॅova $\pi \lambda$ outov) than "the treasures of Egypt" ( $\tau \omega v$ Aı $\gamma \cup \pi \tau$ tov $\theta \eta \sigma \alpha v \rho \omega v$, ablative case after comparative $\mu \varepsilon 亡 \zeta o v a$, for which see Mt 6:19f. ). Moses was laying up treasure in heaven.

For he looked unto the recompense of reward ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon v \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \mu \iota \sigma \theta \alpha \pi о \delta о \sigma \iota \alpha v$ ). In perfect active of $\alpha \pi \circ \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$, "for he was looking away (kept on looking away)." For $\mu \iota \sigma \theta a \pi$ обобเа see 10:35.

Heb 11:27
Not fearing ( $\mu \eta \varphi o \beta \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ). Negative $\mu \eta$ with first aorist passive participle of $\varphi o \beta \varepsilon \omega$ here used transitively with the accusative as in Mt 10:26. Moses did flee from Egypt after slaying the Egyptian (Ex 2:15), but the author omits that slaughter and ignores it as the dominant motive in the flight of Moses. $\Theta v \mu \circ v$ (wrath) is common in the N.T. (Lu 4:28), though here only in Hebrews.

He endured ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \rho \tau \varepsilon \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist (constative) active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \rho \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old word from картєроৎ, strong, here only in N.T. Moses had made his choice before slaying the Egyptian. He stuck to its resolutely.

As seeing him who is invisible ( $\tau 0 v \alpha o \rho \alpha \tau o v \omega \varsigma ~ o \rho \omega v$ ). This is the secret of his choice and of his loyalty to God and to God's people. This is the secret of loyalty in any minister today who is the interpreter of God to man (2Co 4:16-18).

Heb 11:28
He kept ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi о ו \eta \kappa \varepsilon v)$. Perfect active indicative of $\pi о เ \varepsilon \omega$, to make, "he has made," emphasizing the permanent nature of the feast.

The sprinkling of the blood ( $\tau \eta v \pi \rho о \sigma \chi \nu \sigma เ \nu \tau o v ~ a \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma)$. Rather, "the pouring of the blood" ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \chi \cup \sigma \iota \varsigma ~ f r o m ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \chi \varepsilon \omega$, to pour upon), only here in the N.T. (earliest known example). An allusion to the command in Ex 12:7,22 but in the LXX $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \chi \varepsilon \omega$ is the usual term for the act (Ex 24:6; 29:16; Le 1:5,11; De 16:6).

That the destroyer of the first-born should not touch them ( $1 v \alpha \mu \eta$ o o $\quad \lambda 0 \theta \rho \varepsilon v \omega \nu \tau \alpha$ $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ тотока $\theta \iota \gamma \eta \alpha \nu \tau \omega v)$. Negative final clause with $\tau v \alpha \mu \eta$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\theta(\gamma \gamma \alpha v \omega$, old verb to touch with genitive, in the N.T. only here, 12:20; Col 2:21. The articular participle o o 0 o $\theta \rho \varepsilon v \omega v$ is from Ex 11:23. For $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ тотока see Lu 2:7; Ex 12:29.

Heb 11:29
Which assaying to do ( $\eta \varsigma \pi เ \varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu \lambda \alpha \beta o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Literally, "of which taking trial" (second aorist active participle of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$, to take). The idiom $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \nu \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a v \varepsilon เ v$ occurs in De 28:56 , in N.T. only here and verse 36, though a classical idiom (Demosthenes, etc.).

Were swallowed up (катєпо $\theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \iota \nu \omega$, to drink down, to swallow down (Mt 23:24).

Heb 11:30
Fell down ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \nu)$. "Fell," second aorist active indicative of $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$ with first aorist endings as often in the Koine.

After they had been compassed (кик $\lambda \omega \theta \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha$ ). First aorist passive participle of кик $\lambda о \omega$, old verb to encircle (from кขк $\boldsymbol{o}^{\circ}$, circle) as in Ac 14:20. Antecedent action here.

Heb 11:31
Having received the spies with peace ( $\delta \varepsilon \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \eta$ тоия катабкотоиৎ $\left.\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \nu \eta \varsigma\right)$. First aorist middle participle of $\delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to welcome ( $\mathrm{Lu} 10: 8,10$ ). Катабкотоৎ is an old compound (катабколє $\omega$, Ga 2:4), used of scout or spy, in LXX, here only in N.T.

Heb 11:32
And what shall I more say? ( $\mathrm{K} \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$;). Deliberative present active subjunctive (same form as indicative, $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ). It is both a literary and an oratorical idiom here. He feels helpless to go on in the same style as he has done from Abel to Rahab ( 11:4-31).

Will fail me if I tell about ( $\varepsilon \pi \wedge \lambda \varepsilon ı \psi \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \delta ı \eta \gamma o \cup \mu \varepsilon \nu o v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ ). Literally, "will leave me telling about." Present middle participle of $\delta ı \eta \gamma \varepsilon \circ \mu \alpha$, to lead through, carry a discussion through, and masculine (disposing of Priscilla as possible author) with $\mu \varepsilon$. Vivid and picturesque description of the author's embarrassment of riches as he contemplates the long list of the heroes of faith during the long years in Palestine. He mentions six names (Gideon, Barak, Samson, Jephtha, David, Samuel) and then summarizes the rest under "the prophets" ( $\tau \omega v$ $\pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \omega \nu$, the for-speakers for God) of whom Samuel was the leader.

Heb 11:33
Through faith ( $\delta \iota \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Change thus from the routine $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ used so far.
Subdued kingdoms ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \omega \nu \iota \sigma \alpha \nu \tau o \quad \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. First aorist middle indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \omega \nu \iota \zeta о \mu \alpha$, Koine verb to struggle against, to overcome, here alone in the N.T. Used by Josephus of David's conquests. The author has here (verses 33,34 ), "nine terse clauses" (Moffatt) with no connective (asyndeton) with great rhetorical and oratorical force (sledgehammer style). For "wrought righteousness" ( $\eta \rho \gamma \sigma \sigma \alpha \tau$ то $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma \nu \nu \eta \nu$, first aorist middle indicative of $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta о \mu \alpha)$ see Ac 10:35.

Obtained promises ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \cup \chi \circ v \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \omega v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \cup \gamma \chi \propto \nu \omega$, old verb (already in 6:15) with genitive. But they did not see the fulfilment of the Messianic promise (11:39f. ).

Stopped the mouths of lions $(\varepsilon \varphi \rho \alpha \xi \alpha \nu \sigma \tau o \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \nu \tau \omega v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\varphi \rho a \sigma \sigma \omega$, old verb to fence in, to block up. See Da 6:18-23.

Heb 11:34
Quenched the power of fire $(\varepsilon \sigma \beta \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \nu \delta \nu v \alpha \mu เ \nu \pi \nu \rho o \varsigma)$. First aorist active indicative of $\sigma \beta \varepsilon \nu v v \mu$ (Mt 12:20 ). See Da 3:19-28 .

Escaped the edge of the sword ( $\varepsilon \varphi v \gamma \circ v \sigma \tau \circ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \mu \alpha \chi \alpha \iota \rho \eta \varsigma)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\varphi \varepsilon v \gamma \omega$, old verb to flee. "Mouths ( $\sigma \tau о \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ) of the sword" (Lu 21:24). See 1Sa 18:11; 1Ki 19:2.

Were made strong ( $\varepsilon \delta v \nu \alpha \mu \omega \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\delta v v \alpha \mu o \omega$, late verb from $\delta u v a \mu$ ц as in Col 1:11.

Waxed mighty in war ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \forall \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \sigma \chi \rho \circ \varepsilon \nu \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \mu \omega$ ). "Became strong in battle" (Ps 18:34ff. ).

Armies of aliens ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \mu \beta o \lambda \alpha c \alpha \lambda \lambda o \tau \rho \iota \omega \nu)$. Late compound ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha, \varepsilon \nu, \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ ) for encampment (Polybius, Plutarch), barracks (Ac 21:34,37 ), armies in battle line (Re 20:9 and here as in LXX and Polybius). Apparently a reference to the campaigns of Judas Maccabeus.

## Heb 11:35

By a resurrection ( $\varepsilon \xi$ ava $\alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Cf. $1 \mathrm{Ki} 17: 17 \mathrm{ff}$.; 2Ki 4:8-37.
Were tortured ( $\varepsilon \tau v \mu \pi \alpha \nu \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\tau v \mu \pi \alpha \nu \iota \zeta \omega$, late verb from $\tau v \mu \pi \alpha v o v$ (kettledrum, drumstick), to beat the drum, to beat to death (cf. II Macc. 7 about Eleazar and the Mother and the seven sons), once in LXX (1Sa 21:13 ).

Not accepting their deliverance (ov $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta \varepsilon \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o ~ \tau \eta v \alpha \pi о \lambda \nu \tau \rho \omega \sigma \nu v$ ). Offered at the price of disloyalty as in II Macc. 6:21-27.

That they might obtain a better resurrection (ıva кряıт $\tau 0 v o \varsigma ~ \alpha v a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \tau v \chi \omega \sigma \tau v) . ~$ Purpose clause with $\imath v a$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\tau v \gamma \chi a v \omega$ to obtain with the genitive case. A "better resurrection" than the temporary ones alluded to in this verse by the women.

Heb 11:36
Of mockings and scourgings ( $\varepsilon \mu \pi \alpha \iota \gamma \mu \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \mu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \omega v$ ). Е $\mu \pi \alpha \iota \gamma \mu \circ \varsigma$ is from $\varepsilon \mu \pi \alpha \iota \zeta \omega$ (Mt 20:19), late word, in LXX, here alone in N.T. Maбтı $\overline{\omega v}$ ( $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \xi$, a whip, a scourge) is old and common enough (Ac 22:24).

Heb 11:37
They were stoned ( $\varepsilon \lambda_{1} \theta \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Like Zechariah son of Jehoiada (2Ch 24:20). "A characteristic Jewish punishment" (Vincent). First aorist passive indicative of $\lambda_{\lambda} \theta \alpha \zeta \omega$ (Joh 10:31).

They were sawn asunder ( $\varepsilon \pi \rho \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\pi \rho \iota \omega$ or $\pi \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb ( $\pi \rho \circ \mathrm{ov}$, a saw). Cruel Jewish punishment (Am 1:3) said to have been inflicted on Isaiah.

They were tempted ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \rho \rho a \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \rho \zeta \zeta \omega$. The MSS. vary greatly in the text here and the order of these two items. This mild word seems an anticlimax after $\varepsilon \pi \rho \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$. One of the seven brothers was fried (II Macc. 7:4) and so $\varepsilon \pi \rho \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \nu$ (were burned) from $\pi \mu \mu \pi \rho \alpha \omega$ (Ac 28:6 ) has been suggested.

With the sword ( $\varepsilon v \varphi o v \omega \mu \alpha \chi \alpha \iota \rho \eta)$ ) "In (by) slaughter of the sword" (Ionic form of the genitive $\mu$ ахаı $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma$ as in Ex 17:13; Nu 21:24 ). The fate of unpopular prophets (1Ki 10:10; Jer 26:23 ).

They went about ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \eta \lambda \theta$ ov). Constative aorist active indicative of $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ (picturesque compound verb). Here the sufferings of the living.

In sheep skins ( $\varepsilon v \mu \eta \lambda \omega \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. Late word from $\mu \eta \lambda$ ov (sheep), rough garment of prophets as Elijah (1Ki 19:13,19 ), here only in N.T. In Byzantine Greek a monk's garb.

In goatskins ( $\varepsilon v$ aıүвıos $\delta \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha \sigma ı v$ ). $\Delta \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha$, old word from $\delta \varepsilon \rho \omega$, to flay (Mt 21:35), here only in N.T. Aı $\gamma \varepsilon \iota o \varsigma$, old adjective (from $\alpha \iota \xi$, goat), here only in N.T.

Being destitute ( $v \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho о \cup \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present passive participle of $v \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb to be left behind, used by Paul of himself (2Co 11:9).

Afflicted $\left(\theta \lambda_{1} \beta o \mu \varepsilon v o\right)$. Present passive participle of $\theta \lambda_{1} \beta \omega$, common verb to oppress.
Evil entreated (какоихоицвvo). Present passive participle of какоихє $\omega$, late compound verb from obsolete какоихоৎ (какоৎ and $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ ), in LXX (1Ki 2:26), in N.T. only here and 13:3. See бuvкакоихєıбӨa in 11:25.

Heb 11:38

Of whom the world was not worthy ( $\omega v$ оvк $\eta \nu \alpha \xi ⿺ 𠃊 \varsigma$ о коб $\mu \circ \varsigma$ ) Graphic picture in a short parenthetical relative clause ( $\omega \nu$, genitive plural with $\alpha \xi \iota \varsigma$ ), a phrase to stir the blood of the readers.

Wandering ( $\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \omega \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present middle participle of $\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \alpha \omega$, like lost sheep, hunted by wolves.

Caves ( $\sigma \pi \eta \lambda$ aıoı $)$. Old word from $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \circ \varsigma$ (cavern) as in Mt 21:13.
Holes (o $\pi \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. Old word, perhaps from ons (root of o $\rho a \omega$, to see), opening, in N.T. only here and Jas 3:11. Cf. 1Ki 18:4; II Macc. 5:27; 10:6 (about Judas Maccabeus and others).

## Heb 11:39

These all (ov $\pi=\pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. The whole list in verses 5-38. Cf. verse 13.
Through their faith $(\delta ı \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Here rather than $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ as so often.
Received not the promise (ovк $\varepsilon \kappa о \mu \iota \sigma \alpha v \tau о \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \alpha \nu)$. First aorist middle of $\kappa о \mu \zeta \zeta \omega$. The Messianic promise they did not live to see ( $11: 13$ ), though they had individual special promises fulfilled as already shown (11:33).

Heb 11:40
God having provided ( $\tau 0 v \theta \varepsilon o v \pi \rho o \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Genitive absolute with first aorist middle participle of $\pi \rho \rho \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$, late compound to foresee, here only in the N.T.

Some better thing ( $\kappa \varepsilon \iota \tau \tau \circ \nu \tau)$. "Something better," "the better promises" of 8:6.
That apart from us they should not be made perfect ( $v \alpha \mu \eta \chi \omega \rho \iota \varsigma \eta \mu \omega \nu \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \omega \theta \omega \sigma \iota$ ). Negative purpose clause with $\imath v \alpha \mu \eta$ and the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota o \omega$. But this glorious and gracious purpose (foresight) of God is not due to any special merit in us. It is simply the fulness of the time in God's dispensation of grace of which we are the beneficiaries. But all the same and all the more (noblesse oblige), we should prove worthy of our heritage and of God's goodness to us and be loyal to Christ.

## Hebrews 12

Heb 12:1
Therefore ( $\tau o \iota \gamma \rho \circ \circ v$ ). Triple compound inferential participle ( $\tau 0 \mathrm{l}, \gamma \alpha \rho, \mathrm{ovv}$ ) like the German doch denn nun, a conclusion of emphasis, old particle, in N.T. only here and 1Th 4:8. There should be no chapter division here, since 12:1-3 really is the climax in the whole argument about the better promises ( $10: 19-12: 3$ ) with a passionate appeal for loyalty to Christ.

Us also (ка $\eta \mu \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ). We as well as "these all" of 11:39 and all the more because of the "something better" given us in the actual coming of Christ.

Compassed about ( $\varepsilon \chi \circ \vee \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \rho ı \kappa \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v \circ v$ ). Literally, "having ( $\varepsilon \chi \circ \vee \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$, present active participle of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ ) lying around us" ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \_\kappa \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o v$, present middle participle of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \kappa \kappa \varepsilon \mu \alpha$, old verb as in Lu 17:2 ).

Cloud of witnesses ( $v \varepsilon \varphi \circ \varsigma \mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \omega v$ ). Old word (Latin nubes), here only in the N.T., for vast mass of clouds. N $\varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \eta$ is a single cloud. The metaphor refers to the great amphitheatre with the arena for the runners and the tiers upon tiers of seats rising up like a cloud. The $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$ here are not mere spectators ( $\theta \varepsilon \alpha \tau \alpha$ ), but testifiers (witnesses) who testify from their own experience ( $11: 2,4,5,33,39$ ) to God's fulfilling his promises as shown in chapter Heb 11.

Laying aside ( $\alpha \pi o \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Second aorist-middle (indirect, from ourselves) participle of $\alpha \pi$ о $\tau 1 \theta \eta \mu$, old verb as in Col 3:8 (laying off old clothes). The runners ran in the stadium nearly naked.

Every weight ( $о \gamma \kappa о \nu \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Old word (kin to $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \varepsilon ı \nu, \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$ ) like $\varphi о \rho \tau о \sigma, \beta \alpha \rho \circ \varsigma$. Here every encumbrance that handicaps like doubt, pride, sloth, anything. No trailing garment to hinder or trip one.

The sin which doth so easily beset us ( $\tau \eta v \varepsilon \cup \pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau 0 v \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha v$ ). "The easily besetting sin." There are a dozen possible renderings of this double compound verbal from $\varepsilon v$, well, and $\pi \varepsilon \rho u \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, to place around or to stand around (intransitive). The Vulgate has circumstans nos peccatum (the sin standing around us). Probably this is the true idea here, "the easily encompassing (or surrounding) sin." In this case apostasy from Christ was that sin. In our cases it may be some other sin. The verbal adjective reminds one of the ring of wild beasts in the jungle that encircle the camp-fire at night each ready to pounce upon a careless victim.

Let us run ( $\tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Present active volitive subjunctive of $\tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$, "let us keep on running."

With patience ( $\delta \iota^{\prime} v \pi о \mu о v \eta \varsigma$ ). Not with impatience, doubt, or despair.
The race that is set before us ( $\tau 0 v \pi \rho о к \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o v \eta \mu i v \alpha \gamma \omega v \alpha$ ). Note the article and the present middle participle of $\pi \rho о \kappa \varepsilon \mu \alpha$, old compound (already in 6:18, and also in 12:2). Dative case ( $\eta \mu \mathrm{\nu} \nu)$ of personal interest.

Heb 12:2
Looking unto ( $\alpha \varphi \rho \rho \omega v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ). Present active participle of $\alpha \varphi о \rho \alpha \omega$, old verb to look away, "looking away to Jesus." In N.T. only here and Php 2:23. Fix your eyes on Jesus, after a glance at "the cloud of witnesses," for he is the goal. Cf. Moses in 11:26 ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon v$ ).

The author ( $\tau 0 \nu \alpha \rho \chi \eta \gamma \circ v$ ). See 2:10 for this word. "The pioneer of personal faith" (Moffatt).

Perfecter ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \omega \tau \eta \nu$ ). A word apparently coined by the writer from $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \circ \omega$ as it has been found nowhere else. Vulgate has consummator.

For the joy ( $\alpha v \tau \tau \eta \varsigma \chi \alpha \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. Answering to, in exchange for (verse 16), at the end of the race lay the joy "set before him" ( $\pi \rho о \kappa \varepsilon є \varepsilon \nu \eta \zeta \alpha v \tau \omega$ ), while here was the Cross ( $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \circ \nu$ ) at this end (the beginning of the race) which he endured (v $\tau \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \iota v \varepsilon v$, aorist active indicative of $v \pi o \mu \varepsilon v \omega$ ),
despising shame ( $\alpha \iota \sigma \chi \cup \vee \eta \varsigma ~ к \alpha \tau \alpha \varphi \rho о \vee \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. The cross at his time brought only shame (most shameful of deaths, "yea, the death of the cross" Php 2:8). But Jesus despised that, in spite of the momentary shrinking from it, and did his Father's will by submitting to it.

Hath sat down ( $\kappa \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \iota \kappa \varepsilon v)$. Perfect active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \theta \iota \zeta \omega$, and still is there ( 1:3).
Heb 12:3
Consider ( $\alpha v \alpha \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). First aorist middle imperative of $\alpha v \alpha \lambda о \gamma \iota \zeta \rho \mu \alpha$, old word to reckon up, to compare, to weigh, only here in the N.T. See катаvoך $\sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ in $3: 1$. Understanding Jesus is the key to the whole problem, the cure for doubt and hesitation.

Endured (vло $\varepsilon \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \vee \eta \kappa о \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect active participle of the same verb v $\tau \sigma \mu \varepsilon v \omega$ used in verse 2.

Gainsaying ( $\alpha v \tau \iota \lambda o \gamma \iota \alpha v$ ). Old word from $\alpha v \tau \iota \lambda o \gamma o \varsigma$ (from $\alpha \nu \tau \iota \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ), already in 6:16; 7:7.

Of sinners (v $\frac{\tau 0}{} \tau \omega \nu \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda \omega \nu$ ). "By sinners."
Against themselves ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau 0 \cup \varsigma) . ~ A g a i n s t ~ t h e i r ~ b e t t e r ~ s e l v e s ~ i f ~ a ~ g e n u i n e ~ r e a d i n g . ~ B u t ~$ દıৎ モavtov (against himself), against Christ, is far more likely correct.

That ye wax not weary ( $\iota \nu \alpha \mu \eta \kappa \alpha \mu \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Negative final clause with $\iota \nu \alpha \mu \eta$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\kappa \alpha \mu \nu \omega$, old verb to be weary as here or sick as in Jas 5:15 .

Fainting in your souls ( $\tau \alpha \iota \varsigma \psi v \chi \alpha \iota \varsigma \nu \mu \omega \nu \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \nu о \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present passive participle of $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \nu \omega$, old verb to loosen out, to set free, and in passive to be enfeebled, to be tired out (here in soul with locative case), as in verse 5 . The rest of the Epistle drives home the argument.

Heb 12:4
Resisted ( $\alpha \nu \tau \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist active indicative (intransitive) of the double compound $\alpha v \tau \iota \kappa \alpha \theta เ \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, old verb to stand in opposition against in line of battle, intransitively to stand face to face ( $\alpha v \tau$ ) against ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ ), here only in the N.T.
 other heroes of faith in chapter Heb 11.

Striving ( $\alpha v \tau \alpha \gamma \omega v \iota \zeta \rho \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present middle participle of $\alpha v \tau \alpha \gamma \omega v \iota \zeta \rho \mu \alpha$, old verb with the same figure in $\alpha v \tau$ เוкат $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon$.

Against $\sin$ ( $\pi \rho o \varsigma ~ \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha v$ ). Face to face with $\sin$ as in verse 1.
Heb 12:5
Ye have forgotten ( $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Perfect middle indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \alpha v \theta \alpha v \omega$, to cause to forget, old verb, here only in the N.T. with genitive case as usual.

Reasoneth with you ( $v \mu \nu \nu \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Present middle indicative of $\delta \Delta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \mu \alpha$, old verb to ponder different ( $\delta 1 \alpha-$ ) things, to converse, with dative. Cf. Ac 19:8f. The quotation is from Pr 3:11f.

Regard not lightly ( $\mu \eta \mathrm{o} \lambda \iota \gamma \omega \rho \varepsilon$ ). Prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and the present active imperative of o $\lambda \iota \gamma \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb from o $\lambda \iota \gamma \omega \rho \circ \varsigma$ and this from o $\lambda \iota \gamma \circ \varsigma$ (little) and $\omega \rho \alpha$ (hour), old verb, here only in N.T.

Chastening ( $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon ı \alpha \varsigma)$. Old word from $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v \omega$, to train a child ( $\pi \alpha \iota \varsigma$ ), instruction ( 2 Ti 3:16 ), which naturally includes correction and punishment as here. See also Eph 6:4 .

Nor faint ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \nu o v$ ). Prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and present passive imperative of $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \nu \omega$ (see verse 3).

Heb 12:6
Scourgeth ( $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau i \gamma o$ ). Present active indicative of $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \gamma o \omega$, old verb from $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \xi$ (whip). This is a hard lesson for God's children to learn and to understand. See 5:7 about Jesus.

Heb 12:7
That ye endure ( $v \pi \sigma \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present active indicative or present active imperative and so just "endure for chastening."

Dealeth with you ( $\nu \mu \nu \tau \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Present middle indicative of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, but this sense of bearing oneself towards one with the dative here only in the N.T., though often in the older Greek.

What ( $\tau ı \varsigma)$. Interrogative.
Whom (ov). Relative. Cf. Mt 7:9 .
Heb 12:8
If ye are without chastening ( $\varepsilon \chi \omega \rho \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon ı \alpha)$ ). Condition of first class, determined as fulfilled. Note position of $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ (are) between the preposition $\chi \omega \rho \iota \varsigma$ and $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma$ (ablative case).

Have been made ( $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma o v a \sigma \iota v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\gamma ı v o \mu \alpha$.
Partakers ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau о \chi 0$ ). Partners ( 3:14).
Then ( $\alpha \rho \alpha$ ). Accordingly, correspondingly.
Bastards (vo日o). Old word, here only in N.T. Illegitimate.
Heb 12:9
Furthermore ( $\varepsilon ו \tau \alpha)$. The next step in the argument (Mr 4:17).

We had ( $\varepsilon<\chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect indicative of customary action, "we used to have."
To chasten us ( $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma$ ). Predicate accusative after $\varepsilon \chi \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v$, "as chasteners." Old word from $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v \omega$, as agent ( $-\tau \eta \varsigma$ ). Only once in LXX (Ho 5:2) and twice in N.T. (here and Ro 2:20).

We gave them reverence ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \sigma \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha)$. Imperfect middle of old word $\varepsilon \nu \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega$, to turn in or at. Here "we turned ourselves to" as in Mt 21:37, habitual attitude of reverence.

Shall we be in subjection ( $\cup \pi о \tau \alpha \gamma \eta \sigma \sigma \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Second future passive of $v \pi о \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$. There is no $\delta \varepsilon$ here to correspond to $\mu \varepsilon v$ in the first part of the verse.

Unto the father of spirits ( $\tau \omega \pi \alpha \tau \rho \tau \omega v \pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). Rather, "Unto the Father of our spirits" (note article tov). As God is.

Heb 12:10
They (o $\mu \varepsilon v$ ). Demonstrative o in contrast ( $\mu \varepsilon v$ ).
Chastened ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v o v)$ ). Imperfect active, used to chasten.
As seemed good to them (ката тo $\delta$ oкоuv av good to them." $\Delta$ okouv is present active neuter singular articular participle of $\delta$ oкع $\omega$.

But he (o $\delta \varepsilon$ ). Demonstrative with $\delta \varepsilon$ vs. $\mu \varepsilon v$.
For our profit ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \tau \sigma \nu \mu \varphi \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ). Present active articular neuter singular participle of $\sigma \nu \mu \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, to bear together as in 1Co 12:7.

That we may be partakers ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma ~ \tau 0 ~ \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \iota v)$. Articular second aorist active infinitive of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$ with $\varepsilon ו \varsigma$ for purpose, "for the partaking."
 word, in N.T. only here and 2Co 1:12 .

Heb 12:11
For the present ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ to $\pi \alpha \rho \circ v$ ). A classical phrase (Thucydides), $\pi \rho o \varsigma$ with the accusative neuter singular articular participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \mu$, to be beside.

Not joyous, but grievous (ov $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma, \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \lambda \nu \pi \eta \zeta)$. Predicate ablative (springing from) or predicate genitive (marked by). Either makes sense, but note predicate ablative in 2Co 4:7 (ка тоv $\theta$ воง ка $\mu \eta \varepsilon \xi \eta \mu \omega v$ ).

Peaceable fruit (карто⿱ $\varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \iota \kappa о v) . ~ O l d ~ a d j e c t i v e ~ f r o m ~ \varepsilon ı \rho \eta \nu \eta ~(р е а с е), ~ i n ~ N . T . ~ o n l y ~$ here and Jas $3: 17$. Peaceable after the chastening is over.

Exercised thereby ( $\left.\delta i^{\prime} \alpha 0 \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \nu \mu v a \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o \iota \varsigma\right)$. Perfect passive participle (dative case) of $\gamma \cup \mu \nu \alpha \zeta \omega$, state of completion, picturing the discipline as a gymnasium like 5:14; 1Ti 4:17

## Heb 12:12

Wherefore ( $\delta$ ıo). Because of the chastening.
Lift up ( $\alpha v o \rho \theta \omega \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\alpha v o \rho \theta o \omega$, old compound (from ava, opӨos) to make straight, in N.T. here and Lu 13:13; Ac 15:16.

Hang down ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \iota \mu \varepsilon \alpha \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle of $\pi \alpha \rho ı \eta \mu$, old verb to let pass, to relax, in N.T. only here and Lu 11:42.

Palsied ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \nu \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \nu \omega$, old verb to loosen on the side, to dissolve, to paralyze (Lu 5:18,24).

Heb 12:13
Straight paths ( $\tau \rho о \chi \iota \alpha \varsigma о \rho \theta a \varsigma)$. Track of a wheel ( $\tau \rho о \chi \circ \varsigma$, Jas 3:6 from $\tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$, to run), here only in N.T. "Straight (o $\rho \theta a \varsigma$ ) wheel tracks."

Be not turned out of the way ( $\imath \alpha \alpha \mu \eta \varepsilon \kappa \tau \rho \alpha \pi \eta$ ). Negative final clause with $\imath v \alpha \mu \eta$ and second aorist passive of $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega$, old verb to turn out, to twist, to put out of joint. So 1 Ti 1:6. Vivid picture of concern for the lame ( $\chi \omega \lambda$ ov, as in Mt 11:5). Graphic picture of concern for the weak, a good argument for prohibition also.

Heb 12:14
Follow after peace $(\varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \nu \eta \nu \delta \iota \omega \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon)$. Give peace a chase as if in a hunt.
With all men ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Like Paul's use of $\delta \iota \omega \kappa \omega$ with $\varepsilon \iota \rho \nu \eta \nu$ in Ro 14:19 and his to $\varepsilon \xi v \mu \omega \nu$ (so far as proceeds from you) in 12:18. This lesson the whole world needs including Christians.

Sanctification ( $\alpha \gamma \iota \alpha \sigma \mu \circ v$ ). Consecration as in 1Th 4:7; Ro 6:19, etc.
Without which (ov $\chi \omega \rho \stackrel{)}{ }$ ). Ablative case of the relative with $\chi \omega \rho \iota \varsigma$ (post positive here). About seeing God compare Mt 5:8 where we have ка $\theta \alpha \rho$.

Heb 12:15
Looking carefully ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa о \pi о \cup \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa о \pi \varepsilon \omega$, to have oversight, in N.T. only here and 1Pe 5:2 . Cf. $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \chi$ олоৎ (bishop).

Lest there be any man $(\mu \eta \tau \iota \varsigma)$. Negative purpose clause with $\varepsilon$ (present active subjunctive) omitted.

Falleth short of ( $v \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega \nu \alpha \pi 0$ ). Present active participle of $v \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \omega$ (see 4:1) agreeing with $\tau \iota$. Followed here by a $\alpha 0$ and the ablative.

Root of bitterness . ( $\rho \iota \zeta \alpha \pi \iota \kappa \rho \iota \varsigma)$. Quoted from De 29:18. Vivid picture.
Springing up ( $\alpha \nu \omega \varphi v o v \sigma \alpha$ ). Present active participle of $\varphi v \omega$, to sprout. Pictured here as a quick process. Also from De 29:18.

Trouble ( $\varepsilon v o \chi \lambda \eta$ ). Present active subjunctive (in final clause with $\mu \eta \tau \iota \varsigma$ ) of $\varepsilon v o \chi \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, old verb to trouble with a crowd, to annoy. In N.T. only here and Lu 6:18 .

Be defiled ( $\mu \iota \alpha \vee \theta \omega \sigma v$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive (in final clause with $\mu \eta$ ) of $\mu \alpha \nu \omega$, old verb to dye, to stain, to defile as in Tit 1:15 (the conscience). The contagion of sin is terrible as any disease.

Heb 12:16
Profane ( $\beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \lambda$ os). Trodden under foot, unhallowed (1Ti 1:9).
For one mess of meat ( $\alpha \nu \tau \beta \rho \omega \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \mu \iota \varsigma)$. Idea of exchange, "for one act of eating" (1Co 8:4).

Sold (ar\& $\delta \varepsilon \tau 0)$. Second aorist middle indicative from $\mathrm{Ge} 25: 31,33$, and with irregular form for $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \delta$ oto (regular $\mu$ form).

His own birthright ( $\tau \alpha \pi \rho \omega \tau о \tau о к ⿺ \alpha$ عav $\alpha о v$ ). From Genesis also and in Philo, only here in N.T. From лрштотокос (first born, Heb 1:6 ).

Heb 12:17
Ye know (เఠte). Regular form for the second person of ot $\delta \alpha$ rather than the Koine oı $\delta a \tau \varepsilon$.

He was rejected ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \delta о к ц \mu \sigma \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\alpha \pi о \delta о \kappa \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb to disapprove (Mt 21:42).

Place of repentance ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o l \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau о \pi о v)$. Metavola is change of mind and purpose, not sorrow though he had tears ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \delta \alpha \kappa \rho v \omega v$ ) afterwards as told in Ge 27:38. He sought it ( $\alpha \cup \tau \eta \nu$, the blessing $\varepsilon \cup \lambda o \gamma \iota \alpha v$ ) with tears, but in vain. There was no change of mind in Isaac. The choice was irrevocable as Isaac shows (Ge 27:33). Esau is a tragic example of one who does a wilful sin which allows no second chance (Heb 6:6; 10:26). The author presses the case of Esau as a warning to the Christians who were tempted to give up Christ.

Heb 12:18
Ye are not come (ov $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. There is no word here in the Greek for "a mount" like ope in verses 20,22 (and Ex 19:12f.; De 4:11 ), but it is clearly understood since the dative participles agree with it unless they be taken as descriptive of $\pi v \rho$ ("a palpable and kindled fire " when $\pi v \rho$ would be the dative case after $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta \alpha \tau \varepsilon)$.

That might be touched ( $\psi \eta \lambda \alpha \varphi \omega \mu \varepsilon v \omega$ ). Present passive participle (dative case) of $\psi \eta \lambda \alpha \varphi \alpha \omega$, old verb to handle, to touch ( $\mathrm{Lu} 24: 39$ ).

That burned with fire ( $\kappa \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \nu \mu \varepsilon v \omega \pi v \rho$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\kappa \alpha \omega \omega$, old verb to burn, with instrumental case $\pi \nu \rho$ (fire), unless the other view (above) is correct.

Heb 12:19
Unto blackness ( $\gamma \vee \circ \varphi \omega$ ). Dative case of $\gamma v o \varphi \circ \varsigma$ (late form for earlier $\delta \nu \circ \varphi \circ \varsigma$ and kin to $v \varepsilon \varphi \circ \varsigma$, cloud), here only in N.T. Quoted here from Ex 10:22 .

Darkness ( $\zeta \circ \varphi \omega$ ). Old word, in Homer for the gloom of the world below. In the Symmachus Version of Ex 10:22, also in Jude 1:6; 2Pe 2:4,15 .

Tempest $(\theta v \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta)$. Old word from $\theta v \omega$ (to boil, to rage), a hurricane, here only in N.T. From Ex 10:22 .

The sound of a trumpet ( $\sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \gamma \gamma \gamma \circ \varsigma \eta \chi \omega)$. From Ex 19:16. E $\chi \circ \varsigma$ is an old word (our $\varepsilon \chi($ ) as in Lu 21:25; Ac 2:2 .

The voice of words ( $\varphi \omega v \eta \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). From Ex 19:19; De 4:12 .
Which voice $(\eta \zeta)$. Relative referring to $\varphi \omega v \eta$ (voice) just before, genitive case with aкovб $\alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ (heard, aorist active participle).

Intreated ( $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \iota \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau 0$ ). First aorist middle (indirect) indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \tau \sigma \mu \alpha$, old verb, to ask from alongside ( Mr 15:6) , then to beg away from oneself, to depreciate as here, to decline (Ac 25:11), to excuse ( $\operatorname{Lu} 14: 18$ ), to avoid (1Ti 4:7).
 passive infinitive of $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau i \theta \eta \mu$, old word to add, here with accusative of general reference ( $\lambda$ opov), "that no word be added unto them." Some MSS. have here a redundant negative $\mu \eta$ with the infinitive because of the negative idea in $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \iota \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau 0$ as in $\mathrm{Ga} 5: 7$.

Heb 12:20
For they could not endure (ovk $\varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \rho \circ v \gamma \alpha \rho$ ). Imperfect active of $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, "for they were not enduring (bearing)."

That which was enjoined ( $\tau \mathrm{o} \delta \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Present passive articular participle of $\delta ı a \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, old verb to distinguish, to dispose, to order. The quotation is from Ex 19:12f. The people appealed to Moses (Ex 20:19) and the leaders did so also (De 5:23f. ), both in terror.

If even ( $\kappa \alpha v$ ). "Even if." Condition of third class with second aorist active subjunctive of $\theta\llcorner\gamma \gamma \alpha v \omega$ as in 11:28, followed by genitive opovৎ (mountain).

It shall be stoned ( $\left.\lambda_{1} \theta_{0} \beta \circ \lambda \eta \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha\right)$. From Ex 19:13. Late compound verb from $\lambda_{1} \theta_{\mathrm{o}} \beta_{0} \lambda_{\mathrm{o}}$ (from $\lambda_{\mathrm{l}} \theta_{\mathrm{oo}}, \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ ) as in Mt 21:35.

Heb 12:21
Fearful ( $\varphi o \beta \varepsilon \rho o v$ ). As in 10:27,31, only in Heb. in N.T.
The appearance ( $\tau 0 ~ \varphi \alpha v \tau \alpha \zeta \rho \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. Present passive articular participle of $\varphi \alpha v \tau \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb from $\varphi a \iota v \omega$, to make visible, here only in N.T. "The manifestation."

I exceedingly fear and quake ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varphi о \beta о \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \nu \tau \rho о \mu \circ \varsigma)$. "I am terrified ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varphi \circ \beta \circ \varsigma$, late compound like $\varepsilon \kappa \varphi \circ \beta \varepsilon \omega$, to frighten, $\mathrm{Mr} 9: 6$ ) and trembling" ( $\varepsilon v \tau \rho \circ \mu \circ \varsigma$, late compound like $\varepsilon \nu \tau \rho \varepsilon \mu \omega$, to tremble at, as in Ac 7:32; 16:29). Екчоßос is quoted from De 9:19.

Heb 12:22
But ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ). Sharp contrast to verse 18 with same form $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta \alpha \tau \varepsilon$.
Unto Mount Zion ( $\Sigma(\omega \vee \rho \rho \varepsilon$ ). Dative case of opoc, as with the other substantives. In contrast to Mount Sinai (verses 18-21). Paul has contrasted Mount Sinai (present Jerusalem) with the Jerusalem above (heaven) in Ga 4:21-31 .

City ( $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \varepsilon$ ). As in 11:10,16. Heaven is termed thus a spiritual mountain and city.
The heavenly Jerusalem (I $\varepsilon \rho о \cup \sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \pi \sigma \cup \rho \alpha v i \omega$ ). See 11:10,16; Isa 60:14 .
Innumerable hosts of angels ( $\mu \nu \rho ı \alpha \sigma \iota v ~ a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \omega v$ ). "Myriads of angels." Mupıa̧ is an old word (from $\mu v \rho$ oo, 1 Co 4:15) as in Lu 12:1 .

Heb 12:23
To the general assembly ( $\pi \alpha \nu \eta \gamma v \rho \varepsilon$ ). Old word (from $\pi \alpha \varsigma$ and $\alpha \gamma \nu \rho ı \sigma, ~ a \gamma \varepsilon \rho \rho \omega$ ). Here only in N.T. Пavnүupiऽ $\omega$ occurs in Isa 66:10 for keeping a festal holiday. Possibly to be connected with $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \omega v$, though not certain.

Church of the firstborn ( $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \pi \rho \omega \tau о \tau о \kappa \omega \nu)$. Probably an additional item besides the angelic host as the people of Israel are called firstborn (Ex 4:22). The word $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ here has the general sense of all the redeemed, as in Mt 16:18; Col 1:18; Eph 5:24-32, and equivalent to the kingdom of God.

Who are enrolled in heaven ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega v \varepsilon v$ ov $\alpha, \nu \circ \iota$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\alpha \pi \sigma \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$, old verb to write off, to copy, to enroll as in Lu 2:1,3,5 (only N.T. examples). Enrolled as citizens of heaven even while on earth (Lu 10:20; Php 1:27; 3:20; 4:3; Re 13:8, etc.).

To God the Judge of all ( $\kappa \rho \iota \tau \eta \varepsilon \omega \pi \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). All these chief substantives in the dative case. People should not forget that God is the Judge of all men.

Made perfect $(\tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \omega \mu \varepsilon v \omega v)$. Perfect passive participle of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma \omega$, perfected at last ( 11:40).

Heb 12:24
To Jesus (Iqбov). This great fact is not to be overlooked (Php 2:10f. ). He is there as Lord and Saviour and still "Jesus."

The mediator of a new covenant ( $\delta \iota \alpha \theta \kappa \eta \varsigma \nu \varepsilon \alpha \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \sigma \iota \tau \eta$ ). As already shown (7:22; $8: 6,8,9,10 ; 9: 15$ ) and now gloriously consummated.

To the blood of sprinkling ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \tau \rho \alpha v \tau \iota \sigma \mathrm{ov}$ ). As in 9:19-28.
Than Abel ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ тov $\mathrm{A} \beta \varepsilon \lambda$ ). Accusative as in 1:4.
Better ( $\kappa \rho \varepsilon \iota \tau \tau \circ$ ). Comparative of $\kappa \alpha \lambda$ ос. Abel's blood still speaks ( $11: 4$ ), but it is as nothing compared to that of Jesus.

Heb 12:25
See $(\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon)$. Earnest word as in 3:12. Driving home the whole argument of the Epistle by this powerful contrast between Mount Zion and Mount Sinai. The consequences are dreadful to apostates now, for Zion has greater terrors than Sinai, great as those were.

That ye refuse not ( $\mu \eta \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \tau \eta \sigma \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Negative purpose with $\mu \eta$ and the first aorist middle subjunctive of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon \mu \alpha$, the same verb used in verse 19 about the conduct of the Israelites at Sinai and also below.

Him that speaketh ( $\tau 0 v \lambda \alpha \lambda o u v \tau \alpha$ ). Present active articular participle of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ as in verse 24 (Jesus speaking by his blood).

For if they did not escape ( $\varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \iota v o ~ о \cup к ~ \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \varphi \cup \gamma о v)$. Condition of first class with $\varepsilon$ and second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \varphi \varepsilon v \gamma \omega$, to escape. Direct reference to Sinai with use of the same verb again ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \sigma$, when they refused).

Him that warned ( $\tau 0 v \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta о \nu \tau \alpha$ ). That is Moses. For $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ see 8:5; 11:7.
Much more we ( $\pi 0 \lambda v \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Argument from the less to the greater, $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \nu$, adverbial accusative case. The verb has to be supplied from the condition, "We shall not escape." Our chance to escape is far less, "we who turn away ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \rho \mu \varepsilon v o$, middle participle,
turn ourselves away from) the one from heaven ( $\tau 0 v \alpha \pi^{\prime} \operatorname{ov} \rho \alpha v \omega v$ )," God speaking through his Son ( 1:2).

Heb 12:26
Then shook ( $\varepsilon \sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v \tau 0 \tau \varepsilon)$. Old verb as in Mt 11:7.
He hath promised ( $\varepsilon \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect middle indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ and it still holds. He quotes Hag 2:6 .

Will I make to tremble ( $\sigma \varepsilon \iota \sigma \omega$ ). Old and strong verb (here future active) $\sigma \varepsilon \epsilon \omega$, to agitate, to cause to tremble as in Mt 21:10. The author applies this "yet once more" ( $\varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \xi)$ and the reference to heaven ( $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{r}$ oupavov) to the second and final "shaking" at the Second Coming of Jesus Christ for judgement ( 9:28).

Heb 12:27
And this word ( $\tau$ o $\delta \varepsilon$ ). He uses the article to point out " $\varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \xi$ " which he explains ( $\delta \eta \lambda 0$, signifies, present active indicative of $\delta \eta \lambda o \omega$ ).

The removing ( $\tau \eta \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon \sigma เ v$ ). For this word see 7:12; 11:5. For the transitory nature of the world see 1Co 7:31; 1Jo 2:17. "There is a divine purpose in the cosmic catastrophe" (Moffatt).

Made ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \sigma \circ \eta \mu \varepsilon v \omega v$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\pi$ oı $\varepsilon \omega$. Made by God, but made to pass away.

That those things which are not shaken may remain (iva $\mu \varepsilon ı v \eta \tau \alpha \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Final clause with $\mu \eta$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\mu \varepsilon v \omega$. The Kingdom of God is not shaken, fearful as some saints are about it.

Heb 12:28
Wherefore ( $\delta$ to). Ground for loyalty to Christ and for calm trust in God.
That cannot be shaken ( $\alpha \sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \cup \tau o v$ ). Old compound with alpha privative and the verbal adjective from $\sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v \omega$ just used. In N.T. only here and Ac 27:41 .

Let us have grace ( $\varepsilon \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v \chi \alpha \rho \iota v$ ). Present active volitive subjunctive of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, "Let us keep on having grace" as in 4:16, though it can mean "Let us keep on having gratitude" as in Lu 17:9.

Whereby ( $\delta \iota^{\prime} \eta \varsigma$ ). That is $\delta \iota \alpha$ रapıtoc.
We may offer service ( $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon v \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). This subjunctive in a relative clause can be volitive like $\varepsilon \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ just before (cf. imperative $\sigma \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon$ in $1 \mathrm{Pe} 5: 12$ ) or it might be the futuristic subjunctive as in 8:3 (o $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \eta)$ ).

Well pleasing ( $\varepsilon v a \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \varsigma)$. Old compound adverb, here only in N.T.
With reverence and awe ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \varepsilon \cup \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon ı \alpha \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha ~ \delta \varepsilon о и \varsigma) . ~ F o r ~ \varepsilon u \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon ı \alpha ~ s e e ~ 5: 7 ; ~ 11: 7 ~ . ~ \Delta \varepsilon o \varsigma ~$ is apprehension of danger as in a forest. "When the voice and tread of a wild beast are distinctly heard close at hand the $\delta$ roc becomes $\varphi \circ \beta \circ \varsigma$ " (Vincent).

Heb 12:29

A consuming fire ( $\pi \cup \rho$ катаva入ıбкоv). From De 4:24. Present active participle of катаva入ıбк $\omega$, old compound verb, here only in the N.T. This verse is to be coupled with 10:31.

## Hebrews 13

Heb 13:1
Brotherly love ( $\varphi \backslash \lambda \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi ı \alpha)$. Late word from $\varphi \backslash \lambda \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \circ \varsigma$ ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 3: 8$ ). See 1Th 4:9. It is always in order in a church.

To show love unto strangers ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \varphi i \lambda o \xi \varepsilon v i a \varsigma)$. Old word for hospitality, from $\varphi \backslash \lambda o \xi \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ (1Ti 3:2), in N.T. only here and Ro 12:3. In genitive case with $\varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \alpha v \theta \alpha v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ (present middle imperative, cf. Heb 6:10 ).

Have entertained angels unawares ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \theta$ ov $\xi \varepsilon v / \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o u \varsigma)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\lambda \alpha v \theta \alpha v \omega$, old verb to escape notice and first aorist active participle of $\xi \varepsilon v \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb to entertain a guest ( $\xi \varepsilon v o \varsigma$, stranger), according to a classic idiom seen with $\lambda \alpha v \theta \alpha v \omega$, $\tau v \gamma \chi \alpha v \omega, \varphi \theta \alpha v \omega$, by which the chief idea is expressed by the participle (supplementary participle), here meaning, "some escaped notice when entertaining angels." The reference is to Ge 18; 19 (Abraham and Sarah did this very thing).

Heb 13:2
As bound with them ( $\omega \varsigma \sigma \cup v \delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\sigma u v \delta \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, here only in N.T. For sympathy with prisoners see 10:34.

As being yourselves also in the body ( $\omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \alpha v \tau 0$ ovteऽ $\varepsilon v \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau$ ). And so subject to evil treatment. See 11:37 for какоихє $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ and 11:25 for $\sigma \cup v к а к о и \chi \varepsilon \omega . ~$

Heb 13:4
Let marriage be ( $\mathrm{o} \gamma \alpha \mu \circ \varsigma$ ). No verb in the Greek. The copula can be supplied either $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ (is) or $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega$ (let be, imperative).

Had in honour ( $\tau \iota \iota \circ \varsigma$ ). Old adjective from $\tau \mu \eta$ (honour) as in Ac 5:34. Га $\mu \circ$ elsewhere in the N.T., means the wedding or wedding feast (Mt 22:29; Joh 2:1 ).

Undefiled (a $\mu \alpha v \tau o \varsigma)$. Old compound word (alpha privative and verbal of $\mu \alpha \alpha \nu \omega$, to defile), already in Heb 7:26. Mı $1 \nu \omega \tau \eta \nu$ кoı $\tau \eta$ is a common expression for adultery.

Fornicators (лорvoия). Unmarried and impure.
Adulterers ( $\mu$ or $\chi$ оия). Impure married persons. God will judge both classes whether men do or not.

Heb 13:5
Be ye free from the love of money ( $\alpha \varphi \iota \lambda \alpha \rho \gamma \cup \rho о \varsigma$ o т $\rho о \pi о \varsigma$ ). No copula, but supply
 ( $\alpha \varphi i \lambda \alpha \rho \gamma v \rho o \varsigma$, double compound), once found only in the N.T., here and 1Ti 3:3, but now several times--or the adverb $\alpha \varphi ı \lambda \alpha \rho \gamma \cup \rho \omega \varsigma$--in papyri and inscriptions (Deissmann, Light, etc., pp. 85f.). Alpha privative and $\varphi i \lambda o s$ and $\alpha \rho \gamma v \rho o s$. The N.T. is full of the peril of money on the character as modern life is also.

Content with such things as ye have ( $\alpha \rho \kappa о \cup \mu \varepsilon v o ~ \tau о \iota \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha \rho о v \sigma ı v) . ~ P r e s e n t ~ p a s s i v e ~ p a r-~$ ticiple of $\alpha \rho \kappa \varepsilon \omega$, to suffice, to be content as in Lu 3:14. Cf. аขтаркףऽ in Php 4:11 . Here in
the nominative plural with no substantive or pronoun (anacoluthon, as in 2Co 1:7) or the participle used as a principal verb as in Ro 12:16 . "Contented with the present things" (tors $\pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma \iota v$, associative instrumental case of $\tau \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \circ \nu \tau \alpha$, present active neuter plural participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \mu$, to be present or on hand).
 active indicative as in 1:13; 4:3f.; 10:9. The quotation is a free paraphrase of Ge 28:15; De 31:8; Jos 1:5; 1Ch 28:20. Philo (de Confus. Ling. 32) has it in this form, "a popular paraphrase" (Moffatt). Note the five negatives strengthening each other (ov $\mu \eta$ with the second aorist active subjunctive $\alpha v \omega$ from $\alpha v ı \eta \mu$, to relate, as in Ac 16:26; ov $\delta^{\prime}$ ov $\mu \eta$ with second aorist active subjunctive $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \iota \pi \omega$ from $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi \omega$, to leave behind, as in Mt 27:46; 2Ti 4:10 ). A noble promise in times of depression.

Heb 13:6
So that we say ( $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon \eta \mu a \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon เ v)$. The usual construction (the infinitive) with $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ in the Koine even when the idea is result instead of purpose. The accusative $\eta \mu a \varsigma$ is that of general reference.

With good courage ( $\theta \alpha \rho \rho o u v \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\theta a \rho \rho \varepsilon \omega$ (Ionic and early Attic $\theta \alpha \rho \sigma \varepsilon \omega$, Mt 9:2 ) as in 2Co 5:6,8. The accusative agreeing with $\eta \mu \alpha \varsigma$, "being of good courage." The quotation is from Ps 118:6.

My helper ( $\varepsilon \mu \circ$ $\beta$ oŋ $\theta$ oc). "Helper to me" (ethical dative $\varepsilon \mu \circ$ ). Boŋ $\theta$ os is old adjective (cf. $\beta o \eta \theta \varepsilon \omega$, to help, 2:18), often in LXX as substantive, here only in N.T.

I will not fear ( $\mathrm{ov} \varphi о \beta \eta \theta \eta \sigma \circ \mu \alpha$ ). Volitive first future passive of $\varphi \circ \beta \varepsilon о \mu \alpha$.
Heb 13:7
Remember ( $\mu \nu \eta \mu$ ovevete). Present active imperative of $\mu \nu \eta \mu \circ v \varepsilon v \omega$, old verb to be mindful of (from $\mu \nu \eta \mu \omega v$, mindful) with genitive (Joh 15:20) or accusative (Mt 16:9). "Keep in mind." Cf. 11:22.

Them that had the rule over you ( $\tau \omega v \eta \gamma \circ \nu \mu \varepsilon v \omega v \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Present middle participle of $\eta \gamma \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$ with genitive of the person $(\nu \mu \omega v)$ as in verses 17,24 . The author reminds them of the founders of their church in addition to the long list of heroes in chapter Ac 11 . See a like exhortation to respect and follow their leaders in 1Th 5:12f. Few lessons are harder for the average Christian to learn, viz., good following.

The word of God ( $\tau 0 \nu \lambda o \gamma o v \tau o v \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). The preaching of these early disciples, apostles, and prophets (1Co 1:17).

And considering the issue of their life ( $\omega \nu \alpha v \alpha \theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \circ \cup \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \sigma \nu \nu \tau \eta$ $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \rho о \varphi \eta \varsigma)$. No "and" in the Greek, but the relative $\omega v$ (whose) in the genitive case after $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \rho о \varphi \eta \varsigma$, "considering the issue of whose life." Present active participle of $\alpha v \alpha \theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$, late compound, to look up a subject, to investigate, to observe accurately, in N.T. only here and Ac 17:23. Ек $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma$ is an old word from $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \iota v \omega$, to go out (Heb 11:15, here only in
N.T.), originally way out (1Co 10:13 ), but here (only other N.T. example) in sense of end or issue as in several papyri examples (Moulton and Milligan, Vocabulary).

Imitate their faith $(\mu \iota \mu \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon \tau \eta \nu \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \nu)$. Present middle imperative of $\mu \mu \varepsilon o \mu a$, old verb (from $\mu \mu \mathrm{\mu}$, actor, mimic), in N.T. only here, 2Th 3:7,9; 3Jo 1:11. Keep on imitating the faith of the leaders.

Heb 13:8
Jesus Christ is the same yesterday and today, yea and forever (I $\eta_{\sigma o v \varsigma ~ X \rho ı \sigma \tau o \varsigma ~} \varepsilon \chi \theta \varepsilon \varsigma$ $\kappa \alpha \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho о \nu$ о $\alpha \cup \tau о \varsigma \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о \cup \varsigma ~ \alpha ı \omega v a \varsigma) . ~ T h e r e ~ i s ~ n o ~ c o p u l a ~ i n ~ t h e ~ G r e e k . ~ V i n c e n t ~ i n s i s t s ~$ that $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ be supplied between I $\eta$ бovৎ and X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma$, "Jesus is Christ," but it more naturally comes after Xpıбтos as the Revised Version has it. The old adverb $\varepsilon \chi \theta \varepsilon \varsigma$ is rare in the N.T. (Joh 4:52; Ac 7:28; Heb 13:8). Here it refers to the days of Christ's flesh (2:3;5:7) and to the recent work of the leaders (13:7). "Today" ( $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \circ \nu, 3: 15$ ) is the crisis which confronts them. "Forever" ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ touc aı $\boldsymbol{\omega v a c ) ~ i s ~ e t e r n i t y ~ a s ~ w e l l ~ a s ~ t h e ~ G r e e k ~ c a n ~ s a y ~ i t . ~ J e s u s ~ C h r i s t ~ i s ~}$ eternally "the same" ( $1: 12$ ) and the revelation of God in him (1:1f. ) is final and never to be superseded or supplemented (Moffatt). Hence the peril of apostasy from the only hope of man.

Heb 13:9
Be not carried away ( $\mu \eta \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and present passive imperative of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, old verb to lead along (Jude 1:12), to carry past (Mr 14:36), to lead astray as here.
 coloured) see $2: 4$. ヨevoc for guest we have had in $11: 13$, but here as adjective meaning unheard of ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 4: 12$ ) as in older Greek also. The new is not always wrong any more than the old is always right (Mt 13:52). But the air was already full of new and strange teachings that fascinated many by their very novelty. The warning here is always needed. Cf. Ga 1:6-9; 2 Ti 3:16.

That the heart be established by grace ( $\chi \alpha \rho ı \tau \beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \iota v \sigma \theta \alpha \tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \rho \delta ı \alpha v$ ). Present passive infinitive of $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \iota \omega \omega$ (from $\beta \alpha \iota \nu \omega$ ) to make stable with the instrumental case $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \tau$ (by grace) and the accusative of general reference ( $\tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha \nu$ ). How true it is that in the atmosphere of so many windy theories only the heart is stable that has an experience of God's grace in Christ.

That occupied themselves (о $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau о \cup \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma) . " T h a t ~ w a l k e d "$ in the ritualistic Jewish rules about meats.

Were not profited (ouk $\omega \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\omega \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to help. Mere Jewish ceremonialism and ritualism failed to build up the spiritual life. It was sheer folly to give up Christ for Pharisaism or for Moses.

Heb 13:10

We have an altar ( $\varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v$ Өvбıaбт $\quad \rho \iota o v)$. We Christians have a spiritual altar ( $\theta$ voıaбтпрюov), not a literal one ( 7:13). This metaphor is carried out.

Whereof ( $\varepsilon \xi \mathrm{ov}$ ). Our spiritual altar.
The tabernacle ( $\tau \eta \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$ ). Dative case with $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ (serve), $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$ being used for "the whole ceremonial economy" (Vincent) of Judaism.

Heb 13:11
Of those beasts whose blood ( $\omega \nu \zeta \omega \omega \nu$ to $\alpha \mu \alpha \tau$ тov $\omega \omega \nu$ ). The antecedent ( $\zeta \omega \omega \nu$ ) of $\omega \nu$ is here incorporated and attracted into the case of the relative, "the blood of which beasts" and then tout $\omega v$ (genitive demonstrative) is added, "of these." Cf. Le 4:12f.,21; 16:27 for the Old Testament ritual in such cases. This is the only example in the LXX or N.T. where $\zeta \omega \omega v$ (animal) is used of a sacrificial victim. See also Ex 29:14; 32:26f. for burning without the camp.

Heb 13:12
Wherefore Jesus also ( $\delta \iota \mathrm{o}$ ка I $\eta$ бovৎ). The parallel is drawn between the O.T. ritual and the better sacrifice of Jesus already discussed ( $9: 13-10: 18$ ). The purpose of Jesus is shown (ıva $\alpha \nvdash\llcorner\sigma \eta$, $\iota \omega \alpha$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \gamma \iota \zeta \omega$, to sanctify), the means employed ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ тov $\iota \delta \iota v a \not \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma$, by his own blood), the place of his suffering ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon v$, as in 5:8) is also given ( $\varepsilon \xi \omega \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \nu \lambda \eta \varsigma$, outside the gate, implied in Joh 19:17) which phrase corresponds to "outside the camp" of verse 11.

Heb 13:13
Let us therefore go forth to him ( $\tau \circ \imath v v \nu \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau o v$ ). Inferential particle ( $\tau 01, v v v$ ), usually post-positive (Lu 20:25; 1Co 9:26 ) only N.T. examples. Present middle volitive subjunctive of $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. "Let us keep on going out there to him." If a separation has to come between Judaism and Christianity, let us give up Judaism, and go out to Christ "outside the camp" and take our stand with him there on Golgotha, "bearing his reproach ( $\tau 0 v$ oveı $\delta \iota \sigma \mu \circ v$ avtov $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \circ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ) as Jesus himself endured the Cross despising the shame ( 12:2) and as Moses accepted "the reproach of the Messiah" ( 11:26) in his day. The only decent place for the follower of Christ is beside the Cross of Christ with the reproach and the power (Ro 8:1f. ) in it. This is the great passionate plea of the whole Epistle.

Heb 13:14
An abiding city ( $\mu \varepsilon v o v \sigma \alpha v \pi \sigma \lambda ı v$ ). Jerusalem has lost its charm for followers of Christ. Vincent rightly argues that the Epistle must have been written before the destruction of Jerusalem else a reference to that event could hardly have been avoided here. We are now where Abraham was once ( $11: 10$ ).

Heb 13:15
Through him ( $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ avtov). That is Jesus. He is our Priest and Sacrifice, the only efficient and sufficient one.

Let us offer up ( $\alpha v \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Present active volitive subjunctive of $\alpha v \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, "let us keep on offering up." Jesus is living and let us go to him.

A sacrifice of praise ( $\theta$ voı $\alpha v$ aıv $\varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). This phrase occurs in Le 7:12; Ps 54:8. The word aıveबાc (from aıve $\omega$, to praise), common in LXX, is only here in N.T.

The fruit of lips (карлоv $\chi \varepsilon ו \lambda \varepsilon \omega v$ ). In apposition ( $\tau 0 v \tau$ ' $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ) and explanation of


Which made confession to his name ( $\circ \mu \circ \lambda o \gamma o v v \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega$ ovo $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha \nu \tau \sigma v$ ). This use of o $\mu \circ \lambda о \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ with the dative in the sense of praise like $\varepsilon \xi \circ \mu \circ \lambda$ oү $\varepsilon \omega$ is unique, though the papyri furnish examples in the sense of gratitude (Moulton and Milligan, Vocabulary).

Heb 13:16
 common in Epictetus, but here only in N.T., a doing good.

To communicate ( $\kappa 0 / v \omega v / \alpha \varsigma)$. Genitive case. See 2Co 9:13 for use for contribution, beneficence. Moffatt notes that the three great definitions of worship and religious service in the N.T. (here, Ro 12:1f.; Jas 1:27) are all inward and ethical.

Forget not ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \pi \nu \lambda \alpha v \theta a v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and the present middle imperative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \alpha v \theta \alpha v \omega(6: 10 ; 13: 2)$. Here with the genitive case.

Is well pleased ( $\varepsilon \cup \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ ). Present passive indicative of $\varepsilon v a \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega$ (Heb 11:5). With the associative instrumental case $\theta$ volaıs (sacrifices).

Heb 13:17
Obey ( $\pi \varepsilon(\theta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon)$. Present middle imperative of $\pi \varepsilon ו \theta \omega$ with dative case.
Submit (vாєוא\&โદ). Present active imperative of $\cup \pi \varepsilon ו \kappa \omega$, old compound to yield under, to give up. Here only in N.T.

They watch ( $\alpha \gamma \rho \cup \pi v o v \sigma \tau v$ ). Present active indicative of $\alpha \gamma \rho \cup \pi v \varepsilon \omega$ old verb (from $\alpha \gamma \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to search, $v \pi v o \varsigma$, sleep), to seek after sleep, to be sleepless, be watchful (Mr 13:33 ).

As they that shall give account ( $\omega \varsigma \lambda$ доүоv $\alpha \pi о \delta \omega \sigma о v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). Regular Greek idiom with $\omega \varsigma$ and the future participle. For $\lambda o \gamma o v ~ a \pi o \delta i \delta \omega \mu$, to render account, see Mt 12:36. These leaders as good shepherds recognize keenly their responsibility for the welfare of the flock.

And not with grief ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \alpha \zeta о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. "And not groaning" (cf. Ro 8:23).
Unprofitable ( $\alpha \lambda \cup \sigma \iota \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Old double compound adjective (alpha privative and $\lambda \nu \sigma \iota \tau \varepsilon \lambda \eta \varsigma$ and this from $\lambda \nu \omega$, to pay, and $\tau \varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma$, tax, useful or profitable as Lu 17:2 ), not profitable, not advantageous, by litotes, hurtful, pernicious. Common rhetorical litotes, here only in N.T.

Heb 13:18
Honestly ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varsigma$ ). Nobly, honourably. Apparently the writer is conscious that unworthy motives have been attributed to him. Cf. Paul in 1Th 2:18; 2Co 1:11f.,17f .

Heb 13:19

That I may be restored to you the sooner ( $\tau \alpha \alpha \tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon \circ \nu \alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \omega v \mu \tau v$ ). Purpose clause with $\imath v \alpha$ and the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\alpha \pi \sigma \kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, an old double compound as in Mt 12:13. What is meant by $\tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon$.ov (Joh 13:27; 20:4 ) we do not know, possibly sickness. See verse 23 also for $\tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon \iota \nu$.

Heb 13:20
The God of peace (o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \nu \eta \varsigma)$. God is the author and giver of peace, a Pauline phrase (6 times) as in 1Th 5:23 .

Who brought again from the dead (o $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \omega v \varepsilon \kappa \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega v$ ). Second aorist active articular participle of $\alpha v a \gamma \omega$ (cf. Ro 10:7 ), the only direct mention of the resurrection of Jesus in the Epistle, though implied often ( $1: 3$, etc.).

That great shepherd of the sheep ( $\tau \sigma v \pi о \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho o \beta \alpha \tau \omega \nu \tau \sigma \nu \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha v$ ). This phrase occurs in Isa 63:11 except tov $\mu \varepsilon \gamma a v$ which the author adds as in $4: 14 ; 10: 21$. So here, "the shepherd of the sheep the great one."

With the blood of the eternal covenant ( $\varepsilon \nu \alpha \not \mu \alpha \tau \delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta \varsigma \alpha \iota \omega \nu \iota \circ v$ ). This language is from Zec 9:11. The language reminds us of Christ's own words in Mr 14:24 (Mt 26:28; Lu 22:20; 1Co 11:25 ) about "my blood of the covenant."

Heb 13:21
Make you perfect ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \tau \iota \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active optative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, to equip, as in 10:5. A wish for the future. See 1Co 1:10; 2Co 13:11; $2 \mathrm{Ti} 3: 17$.

Working in us ( $\pi o t \omega v \varepsilon v \varepsilon \mu v)$ ). "Doing in us." Some MSS. read "in you."
Well-pleasing ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau o v)$. Compound adjective ( $\varepsilon v, \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \sigma \varsigma)$. Usually with the dative (Ro 12:2 ), here with $\varepsilon v \omega \pi \iota o v$ avtov more like the Hebrew. This is one of the noblest doxologies in the N.T.

Heb 13:22
Bear with ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Present middle imperative (some MSS. have $\alpha v \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$, infinitive) of $\alpha v \varepsilon \chi \omega$ with the ablative, "hold yourselves back from" as in Col 3:13.

The word of exhortation ( $\tau \circ v \lambda o \gamma o v \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). His description of the entire Epistle. It certainly is that, a powerful appeal in fact.

I have written $(\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \iota \lambda \alpha)$. First aorist active indicative (epistolary aorist) of $\varepsilon \pi เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, old word to send a letter ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \lambda \eta$ ) as in Ac 15:20 .

In few words ( $\delta \iota \alpha \beta \rho \alpha \chi \varepsilon \omega v$ ). Common Greek idiom, here only in N.T. (from $\beta \rho \alpha \chi \cup \varsigma$, brief, short). Cf. $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ o $\lambda \iota \gamma \omega \nu \varepsilon \gamma \rho a \psi \alpha$ in 1Pe 5:12 .

Heb 13:23
Hath been set at liberty ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \nu \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\alpha \pi o \lambda \nu \omega$, to set free, in indirect discourse after $\gamma \iota \omega \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Possibly from prison if he came to Rome at Paul's request ( $2 \mathrm{Ti} 4: 11,21$ ).

Shortly ( $\tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon \iota \sim$ ). Same comparative as in verse 19, "sooner" than I expect (?).
Heb 13:24

They of Italy (o $\left.\alpha \pi 0 \tau \eta \varsigma I \tau \alpha \lambda \_\varsigma\right)$. Either those with the author in Italy or those who have come from Italy to the author outside of Italy.

## GENERAL EPISTLES <br> BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

## NOT A HAPPY TITLE

There are various explanations of the term catholic（ $\kappa \alpha \theta$ о $\lambda_{ı \kappa \alpha} \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau о \lambda \alpha$ ）as applied to this group of seven short letters by four writers（one by James，two by Peter，one by Jude， three by John）．The Latin for каӨо入ıкос is generalis，though the Vulgate terms these letters Catholicae．The meaning is not orthodox as opposed to heretical or canonical，though they are sometimes termed Eлıбто入aع $\chi \alpha v o v i \chi \alpha \varepsilon$ ．As a matter of fact five of the seven（all but First Peter and First John）Eusebius placed among the＂disputed＂（ $\alpha v \tau i \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ ）books of the New Testament．＂A canonical book is primarily one which has been measured and tested， and secondarily that which is itself a measure or standard＂（Alfred Plummer）．Canon is from \kanin<br>（cane）and is like a yardstick cut to the right measure and then used as a measure．Some see in the term ка $\theta$ o $\lambda_{ı}$ коя the idea that these Epistles are meant for both Jews and Gentiles，but the Epistle of James seems addressed to Jewish Christians．There were two other chief groups of New Testament writings in the old Greek manuscripts（the Gospels and Acts，then the Epistles of Paul）．This group of seven Epistles and the Apocalypse constitute the remainder of the New Testament．The usual interpretation of the term к $\alpha$ о $\lambda_{\iota}$ кос here is that these seven Epistles were not addressed to any particular church，but are general in their distribution．This is clearly true of I Peter，as is shown by the language in 1Pe 1：1，where seven Roman provinces are mentioned．The language of $2 \mathrm{Pe} 3: 1$ bears the same idea．Apparently the Epistle of Jude is general also as is I John．But II John is ad－ dressed to＂an elect lady＂（verse 2Jo 1：1 ）and III John to Gaius（verse 3Jo 1：1 ），both of them individuals，and therefore in no sense are these two brief letters general or catholic．The earliest instance of the word каӨo入ıкоя is in an inscription（B．C．6）with the meaning＂gen－ eral＂（\tài katholikài mou prothesei\ $\pi \rho \circ \theta \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon$ ，my general purpose）．It was common after that．The earliest example of it in Christian literature is in Ignatius＇Epistle to the Church of Smyrna（VIII）where he has＂the catholic church＂（\hà katholikà ekklàsial），＂the general church，＂not a local body．Clement of Alexandria（Strom．IV．xv）applies this adjective to the letter sent to the Gentile Christians＂in Antioch and Syria and Cilicia＂from the Jerusalem Conference（Ac 15：23）．

ORDER AND DATES
The oldest Greek manuscripts give these General Epistles immediately after the Acts， and Westcott and Hort so print them in their Greek New Testament．But the English Versions follow the Textus Receptus and put them just before the Apocalypse．The order of the seven letters varies greatly in the different manuscripts，though usually James comes first and Jude last（as the last accepted and the least known of the four authors）．It is possible that the order of James，Peter，and John（omitting Jude）represented a sort of chronological precedence
in some minds. It is possible also that no importance is to be attached to this order. Certainly John wrote last and after the destruction of Jerusalem, while the others come before that great event if they are genuine, as I believe, though there are difficulties of a serious nature concerning II Peter. James may be very early. If so, these seven Epistles are scattered all the way from A.D. 45 to 90 . They have no connection with one another save in the case of the Epistles of Peter and Jude.

IMPORTANCE OF THE GENERAL EPISTLES
Without them we should be deprived of much concerning three outstanding personalities in early Christianity. We should know much less of "James, and Cephas, and John, they who were reputed to be pillars" (Ga 2:9). We should know less also of the Judaic (not Judaizing) form of Christianity seen in the Epistles of James and Jude in contrast with, though not opposed to, the Pauline type. In Peter's Epistles we see, indeed, a mediating position without compromise of principle, for Peter in the Jerusalem Conference loyally supported Paul and Barnabas even if he did flicker for a moment later in Antioch. In the Johannine Epistles we see the great Eagle soar as in his Gospel in calm serenity in spite of conflict with the Gnostics who struck at the very life of Christianity itself. "The only opposition which remains worthy of a Christian's consideration is that between light and darkness, truth and falsehood, love and hate, God and the world, Christ and Antichrist, life and death" (Plummer). So we can be grateful for the preservation of these little Epistles which reveal differences in the development of the great Christian leaders and the adaptation of the gospel message to changing world conditions then and now.

## Jas 1

Jas $1: 1$
James (I $\alpha \kappa \omega \beta$ оৎ). Grecised form (nominative absolute) of the Hebrew I $\alpha \kappa \omega \beta$ (so LXX). Common name among the Jews, and this man in Josephus (Ant. XX.9.1) and three others of this name in Josephus also.

Servant ( $\delta o v \lambda o \varsigma)$. Bond-servant or slave as Paul (Ro 1:1; Php 1:1; Tit 1:1).
Of the Lord Jesus Christ (кирıо Iqбov X $\rho ı \sigma \tau о v$ ). Here on a par with God ( $\theta \varepsilon \circ v$ ) and calls himself not $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \circ \varsigma$ (brother) of Jesus, but $\delta o v \lambda o \varsigma$. The three terms here as in $2: 1$ have their full significance: Jesus is the Messiah and Lord. James is not an Ebionite. He accepts the deity of Jesus his brother, difficult as it was for him to do so. The word кvpıos is frequent in the LXX for Elohim and Jahweh as the Romans applied it to the emperor in their emperor


To the twelve tribes ( $\tau \alpha \iota \varsigma \delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha ı)$. Dative case. The expression means "Israel in its fulness and completeness" (Hort), regarded as a unity (Ac 26:7) with no conception of any "lost" tribes.

Which are of the Dispersion ( $\tau \alpha \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \delta ı \alpha \sigma \pi \sigma \rho \alpha$ ). "Those in the Dispersion" (repeated article). The term appears in De 28:25 (LXX) and comes from $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$, to scatter (sow) abroad. In its literal sense we have it in Joh 7:34, but here and in 1Pe 1:1 Christian Jews are chiefly, if not wholly, in view. The Jews at this period were roughly divided into Palestinian Jews (chiefly agriculturists) and Jews of the Dispersion (dwellers in cities and mainly traders). In Palestine Aramaic was spoken as a rule, while in the Western Diaspora the language was Greek (Koine, LXX), though the Eastern Diaspora spoke Aramaic and Syriac. The Jews of the Diaspora were compelled to compare their religion with the various cults around them (comparative religion) and had a wider outlook on life. James writes thus in cultural Koine but in the Hebraic tone.

Greeting ( $\chi \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Absolute infinitive (present active of $\chi \alpha \iota \rho \omega$ ) as in Ac 15:23 (the Epistle to Antioch and the churches of Syria and Galatia). It is the usual idiom in the thousands of papyri letters known to us, but in no other New Testament letter. But note $\chi$ aıpıı $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ in 2Jo 1:10,11.

Jas 1:2
Count it $(\eta \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon)$. First aorist middle imperative of $\eta \gamma \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$, old verb to consider. Do it now and once for all.

All joy ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha v \chi \alpha \rho \alpha v)$. "Whole joy," " unmixed joy," as in Php 2:29. Not just "some joy" along with much grief.

When (o $\tau \alpha v$ ). "Whenever," indefinite temporal conjunction.
Ye fall into ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \varepsilon \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon)$. Second aorist active subjunctive (with the indefinite o $\alpha \alpha v$ ) from $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \iota \tau \tau \omega$, literally to fall around (into the midst of), to fall among as in Lu 10:30
$\lambda \eta เ \sigma \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$ (he fell among robbers). Only other N.T. example of this old compound is in Ac 27:41. Thucydides uses it of falling into affliction. It is the picture of being surrounded $(\pi \varepsilon \rho)$ by trials.
 English word temptation is Latin and originally meant trials whether good or bad, but the evil sense has monopolized the word in our modern English, though we still say "attempt." The word $\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma$ (from $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \zeta \omega$, late form for the old $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \omega$ as in Ac 26:21, both in good sense as in Joh 6:6, and in bad sense as in Mt 16:1) does not occur outside of the LXX and the N.T. except in Dioscorides (A.D. 100?) of experiments on diseases. "Trials" is clearly the meaning here, but the evil sense appears in verse 12 (clearly in $\pi \varepsilon เ \rho \alpha \zeta \omega$ in verse 13) and so in Heb 3:8. Trials rightly faced are harmless, but wrongly met become temptations to evil. The adjective токкıос (manifold) is as old as Homer and means variegated, many coloured as in Mt 4:24; 2Ti 3:6; Heb 2:4 . In 1Pe 1:6 we have this same phrase. It is a bold demand that James here makes.

Jas 1:3
Knowing ( $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa 0 v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ (experimental knowledge, the only way of getting this view of "trials" as "all joy").

The proof (то סокццоv). Now known (Deissmann, Bible Studies, pp. 259ff.) from the papyri examples of $\delta$ окıноц as an adjective in the same sense (good gold, standard gold) as бокцос proved or tested (Jas 1:12). The use of to סокıноv (neuter article with neuter single adjective) here and in 1Pe 1:7, clearly means "the genuine element in your faith," not "crucible" nor "proving." Your faith like gold stands the test of fire and is approved as standard. James here, as in verse $6 ; 2: 1 ; 5: 15$, regards faith ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma)$ like Paul "as the very foundation of religion" (Mayor).

Worketh (катєрүаऍєта). Present (durative) middle indicative of the compound verb with the perfective sense of kata as in Php 2:12, which see.

Patience (v $\tau о \mu о \nu \eta \nu)$. Old and common word for remaining under (v $\tau \circ \mu \varepsilon v \omega$ ), "staying power" (Ropes), as in Col 1:11.

Jas 1:4
Let have ( $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \omega)$. Present active imperative of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, let it keep on having.
Perfect ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ o v)$. See Ro 5:3f. for a like chain of blessings. Carry on the work to the end or completion (from $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \circ \varsigma$, end) as in Joh 17:4 ( $\tau 0 \varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ \vee \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \epsilon \omega \sigma \alpha$, having finished the work).

That ye may be ( $\mathrm{v} \alpha \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Purpose clause with $ı v \alpha$ and present active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \mu$. This is the goal of patience.

Perfect and entire ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ⿺ 𠃊 ~ к \alpha$ о околпро). Perfected at the end of the task ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda о \varsigma)$ and complete in all parts (o $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \lambda \eta \rho \circ$, o $\lambda o \varsigma$ whole and $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \varsigma$ lot or part). "Perfected all over."

These two adjectives often occur together in Philo, Plutarch, etc. See Ac 3:16 for o (perfect soundness).

Lacking in nothing ( $\varepsilon v \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \nu \lambda \varepsilon ı \pi o \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present passive participle of $\lambda \varepsilon ו \pi \omega$ to leave. Negative statement of the preceding positive as often in James (cf. 1:6). There is now a digression (verses 5-8) from the discussion of $\pi \varepsilon ו \rho a \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma$, which is taken up again in verse 9 . The word $\lambda \varepsilon ו \pi о \mu \varepsilon v o$ (lacking) suggests the digression.

Jas 1:5
Lacketh wisdom ( $\lambda \varepsilon ı \pi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ боبı๙ৎ). Condition of first class, assumed as true, $\varepsilon$ and present passive indicative of $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi \omega$ to be destitute of, with ablative case $\sigma 0 \varphi \iota a c$. "If any one falls short of wisdom." A banking figure, to have a shortage of wisdom (not just knowledge, $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$, but wisdom $\sigma о \varphi$ ) $\alpha$, the practical use of knowledge).

Let him ask ( $\alpha$ It $\varepsilon \tau \omega)$ ). Present active imperative of aıtع $\omega$, "let him keep on asking."
Of God ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ тov $\theta$ rov). "From (from beside) God," ablative case with $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$. Liberally ( $\alpha \pi \lambda \omega \varsigma$ ). This old adverb occurs here only in the N.T. (from $\alpha \pi \lambda o u \varsigma$, single-fold, Mt 6:22, and $\alpha \pi \lambda o \tau \eta \varsigma$, simplicity, generosity, is common-- 2Co 8:2; Ro 12:8). But the adverb is common in the papyri by way of emphasis as simply or at all (Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary). Mayor argues for the sense of "unconditionally" (the logical moral sense) while Hort and Ropes agree and suggest "graciously." The other sense of "abundantly" or "liberally" suits the idea in $\alpha \pi \lambda$ orns in 2Co 8:2; Ro 12:8, but no example of the adverb in this sense has been found unless this is one here. See Isa $55: 1$ for the idea of God's gracious giving and the case of Solomon (1Ki 3:9-12; $\operatorname{Pr} 2: 3$ ).
 reproach, to cast in one's teeth, Mt 5:11) in the ablative case like $\delta$ ı $\delta$ ovtos agreeing with $\theta \varepsilon o v$ and with the usual negative of the participle ( $\mu \varepsilon$ ). This is the negative statement of $\delta \iota \delta o v \tau o \varsigma \alpha \pi \lambda \omega \varsigma$ (giving graciously). The evil habit of giving stinging words along with the money is illustrated in Sirach 41:22 and Plutarch (De adulat., p. 64A). ] Cf. Heb 4:16 .

And it shall be given him ( $\kappa \alpha \delta o \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). First future passive of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, a blessed promise in accord with the words of Jesus (Mt 7:7,11; Lu 11:13 ), meaning here not only "wisdom," but all good gifts, including the Holy Spirit. There are frequent reminiscences of the words of Jesus in this Epistle.

Jas 1:6
In faith ( $\varepsilon v \pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon)$. Faith here "is the fundamental religious attitude" (Ropes), belief in God's beneficent activity and personal reliance on him (Oesterley).

Nothing doubting ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v \delta \iota \alpha \kappa \rho ı v o \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Negative way of saying $\varepsilon v \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ (in faith), present passive participle of $\delta \iota \alpha \rho ı v \omega$, old verb to separate ( $\kappa \rho \iota v \omega$ ) between ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ ), to discriminate as shown clearly in Ac 11:12, 15:9, but no example of the sense of divided against oneself has been found earlier than the N.T., though it appears in later Christian writings.

It is like the use of $\delta$ ta $\mu \varepsilon \rho ı \zeta o \mu \alpha$ in Lu 11:18 and occurs in Mt 21:21; Mr 11:23; Ac 10:20; Ro 2:4; 4:20; 14:23 . It is a vivid picture of internal doubt.

Is like ( $\varepsilon$ оוкعv). Second perfect active indicative with the linear force alone from $\varepsilon$ וк $\omega$ to be like. Old form, but in N.T. only here and verse 23 (a literary touch, not in LXX).

The surge of the sea ( $\kappa \lambda \nu \delta \omega v \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta \varsigma)$. Old word (from $\kappa \lambda \nu \zeta \omega$ to wash against) for a dashing or surging wave in contrast with кица (successive waves), in N.T. only here and Lu 8:24. In associative instrumental case after عoוкعv. In Eph 4:14 we have $\kappa \lambda \nu \delta o v i \zeta \omega$ (from $\kappa \lambda \nu \delta \omega v)$, to toss by waves.

Driven by the wind $(\alpha v \varepsilon \mu \zeta \zeta \rho \varepsilon v \omega)$ ). Present passive participle (agreeing in case with $\kappa \lambda \nu \delta \omega v$ ) of $\alpha v \varepsilon \mu \zeta \zeta \omega$, earliest known example and probably coined by James (from $\alpha v \varepsilon \mu \circ \varsigma$ ), who is fond of verbs in $-1 \zeta \omega$ (Mayor). The old Greek used ave $\mu o \omega$. In Eph 4:14 Paul uses both $\kappa \lambda \nu \delta o v \iota \zeta \omega$ and $\pi \varepsilon \rho \varphi \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$ av $\mu \mu \omega$. It is a vivid picture of the sea whipped into whitecaps by the winds.

Tossed ( $\rho \iota \tau \iota \zeta \circ \mu \varepsilon v \omega)$. Present passive participle also in agreement with $\kappa \lambda \nu \delta \omega v$ from $\rho \iota \pi \iota \zeta \omega$, rare verb (Aristophanes, Plutarch, Philo) from pıлıৎ (a bellows or fire-fan), here only in N.T. It is a picture of "the restless swaying to and fro of the surface of the water, blown upon by shifting breezes" (Hort), the waverer with slight rufflement.

Jas 1:7
That man (o $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi о \varsigma ~ \varepsilon к \varepsilon เ v o \varsigma) . ~ E m p h a t i c ~ u s e ~ o f ~ \varepsilon к \varepsilon ı v o \varsigma . ~$
Of the Lord ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ тои ки $\rho \circ \sim$ ). Ablative case with $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ like $\theta$ عov in verse 5.
Jas 1:8
Man ( $\alpha v \eta \rho$ ). Instead of $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$ (general term) in verse 7 , perhaps for variety (Ropes), but often in James (1:12,23; 2:2; 3:2 ), though in other Epistles usually in distinction from $\gamma u v \eta$ (woman).

Double-minded ( $\delta \iota \psi \cup \chi o \varsigma)$. First appearance of this compound known and in N.T. only here and $4: 8$. Apparently coined by James, but copied often in early Christian writings and so an argument for the early date of James' Epistle (Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary). From $\delta ı \varsigma$ twice and $\psi v \chi \eta$ soul, double-souled, double-minded, Bunyan's "Mr. Facing-bothways." Cf. the rebuke to Peter ( $\varepsilon \delta$ Iбтабаৎ) in Mt 14:31 .

Unstable (акатабтатоৎ). Late double compound (alpha privative and катабтатоৎ verbal from каӨเซтๆ $)$, in LXX once (Is 54:11 ) and in Polybius, in N.T. only here and 3:8. It means unsteady, fickle, staggering, reeling like a drunken man. Surely to James such "doubt" is no mark of intellectuality.

Jas 1:9
But $(\delta \varepsilon)$. Return to the point of view in verse 2 .
Of low degree (o тaлєıvoc). "The lowly" brother, in outward condition (Lu 1:52), humble and poor as in Ps 9:39; Pr 30:14, not the spiritually humble as in Mt 11:29; Jas 4:6 . In the LXX тaлєıvos was used for either the poor in goods or the poor in spirit. Christianity
has glorified this word in both senses. Already the rich and the poor in the churches had their occasion for jealousies.

Glory in his high estate ( $\kappa \alpha \cup \chi \alpha \sigma \theta \omega \varepsilon v \tau \omega \nu \psi \varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v)$. Paradox, but true. In his low estate he is "in his height" (vభoৎ, old word, in N.T., also in Lu 1:78; Eph 3:1 ; etc.).

Jas 1:10
In that he is made low ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon ı v \omega \sigma \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau o v)$. "In his low estate." Play on $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \iota v \omega \sigma \iota$ (from $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ v o \omega, \operatorname{Php} 3: 7$ ), like $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ v o c$ of verse 9 , old word in various senses, in N.T. only here, Lu 1:48; Ac 8:33; Php 3:21. The Cross of Christ lifts up the poor and brings down the high. It is the great leveller of men.

As the flower of the grass ( $\omega \varsigma \alpha \vee \theta$ oc $\chi$ ор $\tau \sigma v$ ). From the LXX (Isa 40:6 ). Xop $\tau$ с means pasture, then grass (Mr 6:39) or fodder. A $v \theta$ oc is old word, in N.T. only here, verse $11 ; 1 \mathrm{Pe}$ 1:24 (same quotation). This warning is here applied to "the rich brother," but it is true of all.

He shall pass away ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Future middle indicative (effective aoristic future, shall pass completely away from earth).

Jas 1:11
Ariseth ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon 1 \lambda \varepsilon v$ ). Gnomic or timeless aorist active indicative of the old compound $\alpha v a \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, used here of plants (cf. $\alpha v \alpha \theta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ in Php 4:10), often of the sun (Mt 13:6).

With the scorching wind ( $\sigma \nu \nu \tau \omega \kappa \alpha \nu \sigma \omega v$ ). Associative instrumental case with $\sigma \cup v$. In the LXX this late word (from каvoos) is usually the sirocco, the dry east wind from the desert (Job 1:19). In Mt 20:12; Lu 12:55 it is the burning heat of the sun. Either makes sense here.

Withereth ( $\varepsilon \xi \eta \rho \alpha v \varepsilon v)$. Another gnomic aorist active indicative (Robertson, Grammar,
 flowers are often used to picture the transitoriness of human life.

Falleth ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v)$. Another gnomic aorist (second aorist active indicative) of $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$ to fall out (off).

The grace ( $\eta$ घטл $\rho \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon ı \alpha$ ). Old word (from $\varepsilon v \pi \rho \varepsilon \pi \eta \varsigma$ well-looking, not in the N.T.), only here in N.T. Goodly appearance, beauty.

Of the fashion of it ( $\tau 0 v \pi \rho о \sigma \omega \pi$ ои avtov). "Of the face of it." The flower is pictured as having a "face," like a rose or lily.

Perisheth ( $\alpha \pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon \tau 0$ ). Another gnomic aorist (second aorist middle indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$, to destroy, but intransitive here, to perish). The beautiful rose is pitiful when withered.

Shall fade away ( $\mu \alpha \rho \alpha v \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Future passive indicative of $\mu \alpha \rho \alpha \iota v \omega$, old verb, to extinguish a flame, a light. Used of roses in Wisdom 2:8.
 (of Christ's journey toward Jerusalem). The rich man's travels will come to "journey's end."

Jas 1:12
Endureth ( $v \pi о \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ ). Present active indicative of $v \pi о \mu \varepsilon v \omega$. Cf. verse 3.
Temptation ( $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \sigma \mu \circ v$ ). Real temptation here. See verse 2 for "trials."
When he hath been approved ( $\delta о к ц о \varsigma ~ \gamma \varepsilon v о \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma) . ~ " H a v i n g ~ b e c o m e ~ a p p r o v e d, " ~ w i t h ~$ direct reference to тo $\delta$ окц $\mu$ ov in verse 3 . See also Ro 5:4 for бокциך (approval after test as of gold or silver). This beatitude ( $\mu \alpha к \alpha \rho ь \varsigma) ~ i s ~ f o r ~ t h e ~ o n e ~ w h o ~ h a s ~ c o m e ~ o u t ~ u n s c a t h e d . ~ S e e ~$ 1Ti 6:9.

The crown of life ( $\tau 0 v \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha v o v \tau \eta \varsigma \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma)$. The same phrase occurs in Re 2:10. It is the genitive of apposition, life itself being the crown as in 1Pe 5:4. This crown is "an honourable ornament" (Ropes), with possibly no reference to the victor's crown (garland of leaves) as with Paul in 1 Co 9:25; 2Ti 4:8, nor to the linen fillet ( $\delta \iota \alpha \delta \eta \mu \alpha$ ) of royalty (Ps 20:3 , where $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha v o \varsigma$ is used like $\delta \iota \alpha \delta \eta \mu \alpha$, the kingly crown). $\sum \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha v o \varsigma$ has a variety of uses. Cf. the thorn chaplet on Jesus (Mt 27:29).

The Lord . Not in the oldest Greek MSS., but clearly implied as the subject of $\varepsilon \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon 1 \lambda \alpha \tau$ ( he promised, first aorist middle indicative).

Jas 1:13
Let no one say ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon ı \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \omega$ ). Present active imperative, prohibiting such a habit.
 sense of tempt, not test, as in Mt 4:1 . Verses 12-18 give a vivid picture of temptation.

I am tempted of God ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \theta \varepsilon \sigma v \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \zeta о \mu \alpha$ ). The use of $\alpha \pi \sigma$ shows origin ( $\alpha \pi \sigma$ with ablative case), not agency (vđo), as in Mr 1:13, of Satan. It is contemptible, but I have heard wicked and weak men blame God for their sins. Cf. Pr 19:3 ; Sirach 15:11f. Temptation does not spring "from God."

Cannot be tempted with evil ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \sigma \tau о \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha \kappa \omega v$ ). Verbal compound adjective (alpha privative and $\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \zeta \omega)$, probably with the ablative case, as is common with alpha privative (Robertson, Grammar, p. 516), though Moulton (Prolegomena, p. 74) treats it as the genitive of definition. The ancient Greek has $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \tau о \varsigma($ from $\pi \varepsilon เ \rho a \omega)$ ), but this is the earliest example of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon เ \rho a \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma$ (from $\pi \varepsilon เ \rho \alpha \zeta \omega$ ) made on the same model. Only here in the N.T. Hort notes алєьратос как $\omega v$ as a proverb (Diodorus, Plutarch, Josephus) "free from evils." That is possible here, but the context calls for "untemptable" rather than "untempted."

And he himself tempteth no man ( $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau o \varsigma ~ o v \delta \varepsilon v \alpha)$. Because "untemptable." Jas 1:14
 is old word for craving (from $\varepsilon \pi \iota \theta v \mu \varepsilon \omega$, to have a desire for) either good (Php 1:23) or evil (Ro 7:7) as here. Like a fish drawn out from his retreat.

Enticed ( $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \zeta$ о $\mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Present passive participle of $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb from $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \rho$ (bait), to catch fish by bait or to hunt with snares and Philo has $v \varphi^{\prime} \eta \delta o v \eta \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ (is enticed by pleasure). In N.T. only here and 2Pe 2:14,18 . Allured by definite bait.

Jas 1:15
Then ( $\varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ ). The next step.
The lust ( $\eta \varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \cup \mu \iota \alpha$ ). Note article, the lust (verse 14) which one has.
When it hath conceived ( $\sigma v \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta o v \sigma \alpha$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\sigma v \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, old word to grasp together, in hostile sense (Ac 26:21), in friendly sense of help (Php 4:3), in technical sense of a woman taking a man's seed in conception (Lu 1:24), here also of lust (as a woman), "having conceived." The will yields to lust and conception takes place.

Beareth $\sin (\tau \iota \kappa \tau \varepsilon \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha v)$. Present active indicative of $\tau \iota \kappa \tau \omega$ to bring forth as a mother or fruit from seed, old verb, often in N.T., here only in James. Sin is the union of the will with lust. See Ps 7:14 for this same metaphor.

The $\sin (\eta \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha)$. The article refers to $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha$ just mentioned.
When it is full-grown ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon เ \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist passive participle of $\alpha \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, old compound verb with perfective use of $\alpha \pi 0$, in N.T. only here and Lu 13:32. It does not mean "full-grown" like $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \circ \omega$, but rather completeness of parts or functions as opposed to rudimentary state (Hort) like the winged insect in contrast with the chrysalis or grub (Plato). The sin at birth is fully equipped for its career (Ro 6:6; Col 3:5).

Bringeth forth death ( $\alpha \pi о \kappa v \varepsilon \theta a v \alpha \tau о v$ ). Late compound ( $\kappa v \varepsilon \omega$ to be pregnant, perfective use of $\alpha \pi 0$ ) to give birth to, of animals and women, for normal birth (papyrus example) and abnormal birth (Hort). A medical word (Ropes) rather than a literary one like $\tau \iota \kappa \tau \omega$. The child of lust is $\sin$, of $\sin$ is death, powerful figure of abortion. The child is dead at birth. For death as the fruit of sin see Ro 6:21-23; 8:6. "The birth of death follows of necessity when one $\sin$ is fully formed" (Hort).

Jas 1:16
Be not deceived ( $\mu \eta \pi \lambda \alpha v \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and the present passive imperative of $\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \alpha \omega$, common verb to lead astray. This is the way of sin to deceive and to kill (Ro 7:7-14 ). The devil is a pastmaster at blinding men's eyes about $\sin$ (2Co 4:4; Ro 1:27; Eph 4:14; etc.).

Jas 1:17
Gift ( $\delta о \sigma ı \varsigma)$
--boon $(\delta \omega \rho \eta \mu \alpha)$. Both old substantives from the same original verb $(\delta \iota \omega \mu)$, to give. $\Delta \mathrm{o} \iota \varsigma$ is the act of giving (ending -бıৎ), but sometimes by metonymy for the thing given like $\kappa \tau \iota \sigma \iota \varsigma$ for $\kappa \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha(\operatorname{Col} 1: 15)$. But $\delta \omega \rho \eta \mu \alpha$ (from $\delta \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$, from $\delta \omega \rho o v$ a gift) only means a gift, a benefaction (Ro 5:16). The contrast here argues for "giving" as the idea in סooıs. Curiously enough there is a perfect hexameter line here: $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \delta o / \sigma \iota \varsigma \alpha \gamma \alpha / \theta \eta \kappa \alpha / \pi \alpha \nu \delta \omega$ / $\rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ / $\lambda \varepsilon \iota o v$. Such accidental rhythm occurs occasionally in many writers. Ropes (like Ewald and Mayor) argues for a quotation from an unknown source because of the poetical word $\delta \omega \rho \eta \mu \alpha$, but that is not conclusive.

From above $(\alpha \nu \omega \theta \varepsilon v)$. That is, from heaven. Cf. Joh 3:31; 19:11 .

Coming down ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha ı v o v$ ). Present active neuter singular participle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha ı v \omega$ agreeing with $\delta \omega \rho \eta \mu \alpha$, expanding and explaining $\alpha v \omega \theta \varepsilon v$ (from above).

From the Father of lights ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \tau \tau v \pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma \tau \omega \nu \varphi \omega \tau \omega v$ ). "Of the lights" (the heavenly bodies). For this use of $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ see Job 38:28 (Father of rain); 2Co 1:3; Eph 1:17. God is the Author of light and lights.

With whom ( $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \omega$ ). For $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ (beside) with locative sense for standpoint of God see $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ (Mr 10:27; Ro 2:11; 9:14; Eph 6:9 .

Can be no (ouk $\varepsilon v$ ). This old idiom (also in Ga 3:28; Col 3:11) may be merely the original form of $\varepsilon v$ with recessive accent (Winer, Mayor) or a shortened form of $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \tau$. The use of $\varepsilon v \varepsilon v$ in 1Co 6:5 argues for this view, as does the use of $\varepsilon ו v \varepsilon$ ( $\varepsilon เ v \alpha$ ) in Modern Greek (Robertson, Grammar, p. 313).

Variation ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \eta$ ). Old word from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, to make things alternate, here only in N.T. In Aristeas in sense of alternate stones in pavements. Dio Cassius has $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \xi!\varsigma$ without reference to the modern astronomical parallax, though James here is comparing God (Father of the lights) to the sun (Mal 4:2 ), which does have periodic variations.

Shadow that is cast by turning ( $\tau \rho о \pi \eta \varsigma ~ а л о \sigma к ь \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha) . ~ Т \rho о \pi \eta ~ i s ~ a n ~ o l d ~ w o r d ~ f o r ~$ "turning" (from $\tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega$ to turn), here only in N.T. Aлобкıаб $\mu$ is a late and rare word ( $\alpha \pi о б к ı \alpha \sigma \mu о \varsigma ~ i n ~ P l u t a r c h) ~ f r o m ~ а л о б к ı \alpha \zeta \omega ~(\alpha \pi о, ~ \sigma \kappa ı \alpha) ~ a ~ s h a d e ~ c a s t ~ b y ~ o n e ~ o b j e c t ~ o n ~ a n o t h e r . ~$ It is not clear what the precise metaphor is, whether the shadow thrown on the dial (aлобкıaऍ $\omega$ in Plato) or the borrowed light of the moon lost to us as it goes behind the earth. In fact, the text is by no means certain, for Aleph B papyrus of fourth century actually read $\eta \tau \rho о \pi \eta \varsigma \alpha \pi о \sigma \kappa ı \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma$ (the variation of the turning of the shadow). Ropes argues strongly for this reading, and rather convincingly. At any rate there is no such periodic variation in God like that we see in the heavenly bodies.

Jas 1:18
Of his own will ( $\beta$ ou $\lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \leq \varsigma$ ). First aorist passive participle of $\beta$ ou $\lambda o \mu \alpha$. Repeating the metaphor of birth in verse 15, but in good sense. God as Father acted deliberately of set purpose.

He brought us forth ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \cup \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of алокขع $\omega$ (verse 15), only here of the father ( $4 \mathrm{Macc} .15: 17$ ), not of the mother. Regeneration, not birth of all men, though God is the Father in the sense of creation of all men (Ac 17:28f. ).

By the word of truth ( $\left.\lambda_{o \gamma \omega} \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon \propto \varsigma\right)$. Instrumental case $\lambda o \gamma \omega$. The reference is thus to the gospel message of salvation even without the article (2Co 6:7) as here, and certainly with the article (Col 1:5; Eph 1:13; 2Ti 2:15 ). The message marked by truth (genitive case $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \mid \alpha \varsigma)$.

That we should be ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \varepsilon ı v a ~ \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma) . ~ P u r p o s e ~ c l a u s e ~ \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ a n d ~ t h e ~ i n f i n i t i v e ~ \varepsilon ı v a ~ w i t h ~$ the accusative of general reference $\eta \mu a \varsigma$ (as to us).

A kind of first-fruits ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \rho \chi \eta \nu \tau \imath v \alpha$ ). "Some first-fruits" (old word from $\alpha \pi \alpha \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ ), of Christians of that age. See Ro 16:5.

Jas 1:19
Ye know this (เธтє). Or "know this." Probably the perfect active indicative (literary form as in Eph 5:5; Heb 12:17, unless both are imperative, while in Jas 4:4 we have ot $\delta a \tau \varepsilon$, the usual vernacular Koine perfect indicative). The imperative uses only tote and only the context can decide which it is. Eoto (let be) is imperative.
 adjective see 1Th 4:9. For $\varepsilon$ ءऽ to after adjectives see Ro 16:19. The picture points to listening to the word of truth (verse 18) and is aimed against violent and disputatious speech (chapter 3:1-12). The Greek moralists often urge a quick and attentive ear.

Slow to speak ( $\beta \rho \alpha \delta \cup \varsigma \varepsilon$ عıc тo $\lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). Same construction and same ingressive aorist active infinitive, slow to begin speaking, not slow while speaking.

Slow to anger ( $\beta \rho \alpha \delta \nu \varsigma \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ o \rho \gamma \eta v$ ). He drops the infinitive here, but he probably means that slowness to speak up when angry will tend to curb the anger.

Jas 1:20
The wrath of man ( $o \rho \gamma \eta \alpha v \delta \rho o c$ ). Here $\alpha \nu \eta \rho$ (as opposed to $\gamma \cup v \eta$ woman), not $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$ of verse 19 (inclusive of both man and woman). If taken in this sense, it means that a man's anger (settled indignation in contrast with $\theta \nu \mu o \varsigma$, boiling rage or fury) does not necessarily work God's righteousness. There is such a thing as righteous indignation, but one is not necessarily promoting the cause of God by his own personal anger. See Ac 10:35 for "working righteousness," and Jas 2:9 for "working sin" ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta_{o \mu \alpha}$ both times).

Jas 1:21
Wherefore ( $\delta ı$ o). Because of this principle. See Eph 4:25.
Putting away ( $\alpha \pi o \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Second aorist middle participle of $\alpha \pi o \tau i \theta \eta \mu$, to put off, metaphor of removing clothing as in Ro 13:12; Col 3:8; Eph 4:22,25; 1Pe 2:1 .

Filthiness ( $\rho \cup \pi \alpha \rho ı \alpha v$ ). Late word (Plutarch) from $\rho \cup \pi \alpha \rho \circ \varsigma$, dirty (Jas 2:2 ), here only in N.T. Surely a dirty garment.

Overflowing of wickedness ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu ~ к а к \iota а \varsigma) . ~ П \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \iota \alpha ~ i s ~ a ~ l a t e ~ w o r d ~(f r o m ~$ $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma o \varsigma$, abundant, exceeding), only four times in N.T., in 2Co 8:2 with $\chi \alpha \rho a \varsigma$ (of joy), in Ro 5:17 with $\chi \alpha \rho ı \tau о \varsigma ~(o f ~ g r a c e) . ~ К а к ı \alpha ~(f r o m ~ к а к о \varsigma, ~ e v i l) ~ c a n ~ b e ~ e i t h e r ~ g e n e r a l ~ l i k e ~ \rho и т а р ı \alpha ~$ (filthiness, naughtiness), or special like "malice." But any of either sense is a "superfluity."

With meekness ( $\varepsilon v \pi \rho \alpha v \tau \eta \tau)$. In docility. "The contrast is with op$\eta \eta$ rather than какıаऽ" (Ropes).

The implanted word ( $\tau o v \varepsilon \mu \varphi \nu \tau o v \lambda o \gamma o v$ ). This old verbal adjective (from $\varepsilon \mu \varphi \nu \omega$ to implant, to grow in), only here in N.T., meaning properly ingrown, inborn, not $\varepsilon \mu \varphi \cup \tau \varepsilon \cup \tau$ (engrafted). It is "the rooted word" (verse 18), sown in the heart as the soil or garden of God (Mt 13:3-23; 15:13; 1Co 3:6).

Able to save ( $\delta v v a \mu \varepsilon v o v ~ \sigma \omega \sigma \alpha$ ). Cf. 1Pe 1:9; Jas 2:14; 4:12; 5:20; Ro 1:16. Ultimate salvation (effective aorist active infinitive $\sigma \omega \sigma \alpha$ from $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$ ).

Jas 1:22
But be ye ( $\gamma \iota v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon$ ). Rather, "But keep on becoming" (present middle imperative of $\gamma i v o \mu \alpha)$.
 4:11; Ro 2:13, but in Ac 17:28 our "poet" (long regarded as a "doer" or "maker").

Hearers ( $\alpha к \rho о \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Old word for agent again from $\alpha к \rho о \alpha \mu \alpha$ (to be a hearer), in N.T. only here and Ro 2:13.

Deluding yourselves ( $\pi \alpha \rho a \lambda о ү \zeta \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v o$ عavtouc). Present middle (direct) participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda о ү \zeta \zeta_{0} \mu \alpha$, to reckon aside ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ) and so wrong, to cheat, to deceive. Redundant reflexive eavtous with the middle. In N.T. only here and Col 2:4. Such a man does not delude anyone but himself.

Jas 1:23
And not a doer ( $\kappa \alpha$ ov $\pi о ı \eta \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Condition of first class, assumed as true, and ov (rather than $\mu \eta$ ) contrasts $\pi о \neq \tau \eta \varsigma$ with акроатпऽ.

Unto a man beholding ( $\alpha v \delta \rho$ катаvoouvt). Associative instrumental case after عoוкع as in 1:6. Note $\alpha v \delta \rho$ as in 1:8 in contrast with $\gamma$ vvaik (woman), not $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega$ (general term for man). Present active participle of катаvoع $\omega$ to put the mind down on ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$, vov¢), to consider attentively, to take note of, as in verse 24 ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon v o \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ).

His natural face ( $\tau 0 \pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \nu \tau\rceil \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \circ v)$. "The face of his birth" (origin,


In a mirror ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \circ \pi \tau \rho \omega$ ). Old word (from $\varepsilon ו \sigma, o \pi \tau \omega$ ) in N.T. only here and 1Co 13:12 . The mirrors of the ancients were not of glass, but of polished metal (of silver or usually of copper and tin). See католтрıцоца in 2Co 3:18 .

Jas 1:24
He beholdeth himself (катعvoךбยv عavtov). Usually explained as gnomic aorist like those in 1:11, but the ordinary force of the tenses is best here. "He glanced at himself ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon v o \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ aorist) and off he has gone ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta \varepsilon v$ perfect active) and straightway forgot ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \circ$, second aorist middle indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \alpha v \theta a v o \mu \alpha)$ what sort of a man he was" (oлооо $\eta v$, back in the picture, imperfect tense). The tenses thus present a vivid and lifelike picture of the careless listener to preaching (Christ's wayside hearer).

Jas 1:25
He that looketh into (о таракича¢). First aorist active articular participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha к и \pi \tau \omega$, old verb, to stoop and look into (Joh 20:5,11), to gaze carefully by the side of, to peer into or to peep into ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 12$ ). Here the notion of beside ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ) or of stooping ( $\kappa v \pi \tau \omega$ ) is not strong. Sometimes, as Hort shows, the word means only a cursory glance, but the contrast with verse 24 seems to preclude that here.

The perfect law (vouov $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ o v$ ). For $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \circ v$ see 1:17. See Ro 7:12 for Paul's idea of the law of God. James here refers to the word of truth ( 1:18), the gospel of grace (Ga 6:2; Ro 12:2).

The law of liberty ( $\tau 0 \vee \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho ı a \varsigma)$. "That of liberty," explaining why it is "perfect" ( 2:12 also), rests on the work of Christ, whose truth sets us free (Joh 8:32; 2Co 3:16; Ro 8:2 ).

And so continueth ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \varepsilon ı v a \varsigma)$. First aorist active articular participle again of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \omega$, parallel with $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha к \nu \psi \alpha \varsigma . ~ П \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \omega$ is to stay beside, and see Php 1:25 for contrast with the simplex $\mu \varepsilon v \omega$.

Being ( $\gamma \varepsilon v$ онєvoc). Rather, "having become" (second aorist middle participle of $\gamma ı v o \mu \alpha$ to become).

Not a hearer that forgetteth (ovк акроатпऽ $\varepsilon \pi \imath \lambda \eta \sigma \mu о \nu \eta \varsigma)$. "Not a hearer of forgetfulness" (descriptive genitive, marked by forgetfulness). Eлi $\lambda \eta \sigma \mu$ ovn is a late and rare word (from $\varepsilon \pi i \lambda \eta \sigma \mu \omega \nu$, forgetful, from $\varepsilon \pi i \lambda a v \theta o \mu \alpha$, to forget, as in verse 24), here only in N.T.

But a doer that worketh ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ попŋ $\tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v$ ). "But a doer of work," a doer marked by work (descriptive genitive $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v$ ), not by mere listening or mere talk.

In his doing ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \pi о ⿰ \eta \sigma \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau o v)$. Another beatitude with $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \iota o \varsigma$ as in $1: 12$, like the Beatitudes in Mt 5:3-12. Пoıๆбıऽ is an old word (from $\pi$ oı $\varepsilon \omega$ for the act of doing), only here in N.T.

Jas 1:26
Thinketh himself to be religious ( $\delta$ ок $\Theta \rho \eta \sigma \kappa о \varsigma \varepsilon ı v \alpha$ ). Condition of first class ( $\varepsilon l-\delta о \kappa \varepsilon$ ). $\Theta \rho \eta \sigma \kappa \sigma \varsigma$ (of uncertain etymology, perhaps from $\theta \rho \varepsilon о \mu \alpha$, to mutter forms of prayer) is predicate nominative after $\varepsilon$ عाva, agreeing with the subject of $\delta$ oкع (either "he seems" or "he thinks"). This source of self-deception is in saying and doing. The word $\theta \rho \eta \sigma \kappa o s$ is found nowhere else except in lexicons. Hatch (Essays in Biblical Greek, pp. 55-57) shows that it refers to the external observances of public worship, such as church attendance, almsgiving, prayer, fasting (Mt 6:1-18). It is the Pharisaic element in Christian worship.

While he bridleth not his tongue ( $\mu \eta \chi^{\alpha} \lambda \iota v \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \omega \nu \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu$ عav $\tau \sigma v$ ). "Not bridling his own tongue." A reference to verse 19 and the metaphor is repeated in $3: 12$. This is the earliest known example of the compound $\chi \alpha \lambda \iota v \gamma \omega \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ ( $\chi \alpha \lambda \iota v o c$, bridle $\alpha \gamma 0$, to lead). It occurs also in Lucian. The picture is that of a man putting the bridle in his own mouth, not in that of another. See the similar metaphor of muzzling ( $\varphi / \mu \circ \omega$ ) one's mouth (Mt 22:12 $\varepsilon \varphi(\mu \omega \theta \eta)$.

Deceiveth ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). Present active participle from $\alpha \pi \alpha \tau \eta$ (deceit). He plays a trick on himself.

Religion ( $\theta \rho \eta \sigma \kappa \varepsilon 1 \alpha$ ). Later form of $\theta \rho \eta \sigma \kappa ı \eta$ (Herodotus) from $\theta \rho \eta \sigma \kappa о \varsigma$ above. It means religious worship in its external observances, religious exercise or discipline, but not to the exclusion of reverence. In the N.T. we have it also in Ac 26:5 of Judaism and in Col 2:18 of
worshipping angels. It is vain ( $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha \iota o \varsigma$, feminine form same as masculine) or empty. Comes to nothing.

Jas 1:27
 papyri and inscriptions of $\theta \rho \eta \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ for ritual and reverential worship in the Roman Empire (Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary; Deissmann, St. Paul, p. 251). As Hort shows, this is not a definition of religion or religious worship, but only a pertinent illustration of the right spirit of religion which leads to such acts.

Before our God and Father ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega \kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \rho$ ). By the side of ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ) and so from God's standpoint (Mr 10:27). A $\mu$ ( $\alpha$ тoc (compound verbal adjective, alpha privative, $\mu \iota \alpha \nu \omega$ to defile), puts in negative form (cf. 1:4,6 ) the idea in $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \alpha$ (pure, clean). This ( $\alpha v \tau \eta$ ). Feminine demonstrative pronoun in the predicate agreeing with $\theta \rho \eta \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \iota \alpha$.

To visit ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \pi \tau \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Epexegetic (explaining $\alpha \cup \tau \eta$ ) present middle infinitive of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \pi \tau о \mu \alpha$, common verb to go to see, to inspect, present tense for habit of going to see. See Mt 25:36,43 for visiting the sick.

The fatherless and widows (орчаvovৎ ка $\chi \eta \rho a \varsigma)$. "The natural objects of charity in the community" (Ropes). Oppavos is old word for bereft of father or mother or both. In N.T. only here and Joh 14:18 . Note order (orphans before widows).

Unspotted ( $\alpha \sigma \pi \lambda \lambda o v$ ). Old adjective (alpha privative and $\sigma \pi \iota \lambda o \varsigma$, spot), spotless. This the more important of the two illustrations and the hardest to execute.

To keep ( $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon เ v$ ). Present active infinitive, "to keep on keeping oneself un-specked from the world" (a world, коб $\mu$ ¢, full of dirt and slime that bespatters the best of men).

## Jas 2

Jas 2:1
My brethren ( $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o \mu o v$ ). Transition to a new topic as in 1:19; 2:5,14; 3:1; 5:7.
Hold not ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present active imperative of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ with negative $\mu \eta$, exhortation to stop holding or not to have the habit of holding in the fashion condemned.
 objective genitive, not subjective (faith of), but "faith in our Lord Jesus Christ," like $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ mıテтıv $\theta \varepsilon o u$ (Mr 11:22), "have faith in God." See the same objective genitive with $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$ in Ac 3:6; Ga 2:16; Ro 3:22; Re 14:12. Note also the same combination as in 1:1 "our Lord Jesus Christ" (there on a par with God).

The Lord of Glory ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \delta o \xi \eta \varsigma$ ). Simply "the Glory." No word for "Lord" (кvрıи) in the Greek text. T $\ddagger \varsigma \delta о \xi \eta \varsigma$ clearly in apposition with tov кupıov I $\eta \sigma o v$ Xpıбтov. James thus terms "our Lord Jesus Christ" the Shekinah Glory of God. See Heb 9:5 for "the cherubim of Glory." Other New Testament passages where Jesus is pictured as the Glory are Ro 9:4; 2Co 4:6; Eph 1:17; Heb 1:3. Cf. 2Co 8:9; Php 2:5-11 .

With respect of persons ( $\varepsilon v \pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \lambda \eta \mu \psi \iota \alpha \iota)$. A Christian word, like $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau \eta \varsigma$ (Ac 10:34) and $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi о \lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ (Jas 2:9), not in LXX or any previous Greek, but made from $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi$ о $\nu \lambda \mu \mu \alpha v \varepsilon ı v(L u ~ 20: 21 ; ~ G a ~ 2: 6), ~ w h i c h ~ i s ~ a ~ H e b r e w ~ i d i o m ~$ for panim nasa, "to lift up the face on a person," to be favorable and so partial to him. See $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \lambda \eta \mu \psi \iota \alpha$ in this sense of partiality (respect of persons) in Ro 2:11; Col 3:25; Eph 6:9 (nowhere else in N.T.). Do not show partiality.

Jas 2:2
For ( $\gamma \alpha \rho$ ). An illustration of the prohibition.
If there come in ( $\varepsilon a v \varepsilon เ \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ ). Condition of third class (supposable case) with $\varepsilon a v$ and second (ingressive) aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon ו \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$.

Into your synagogue ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma \sigma v v \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \eta \nu \nu \mu \omega v$ ). The common word for the gathering of Jews for worship ( $\operatorname{Lu}$ 12:11 ) and particularly for the building where they met ( $\operatorname{Lu} 4: 15,20,28$ , etc.). Here the first is the probable meaning as it clearly is in Heb 10:25 ( $\tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma v v a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta \nu$ $\varepsilon \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ), where the longer compound occurs. It may seem a bit odd for a Christian church ( $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha)$ to be termed $\sigma \cup v \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \eta$, but James is writing to Jewish Christians and this is another incidental argument for the early date. Epiphanius (Haer. XXX. 18) states that the Ebionites call their church $\sigma v v a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta$, not $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$. In the fourth century an inscription has $\sigma v v a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta$ for the meeting-house of certain Christians.

A man with a gold ring ( $\alpha v \eta \rho \chi \rho \cup \sigma о \delta \alpha \kappa \tau \nu \lambda ı \varsigma)$. "A gold-fingered man," "wearing a gold ring." The word occurs nowhere else, but Lucian has $\chi \rho v \sigma o \chi \varepsilon เ \rho$ (gold-handed) and Epictetus has $\chi$ рибous $\delta \alpha \kappa \tau \cup \lambda ı$ ıus (golden seal-rings). "Hannibal, after the battle of Cannae,
sent as a great trophy to Carthage, three bushels of gold-rings from the fingers of Roman knights slain in battle" (Vincent).

In fine clothing ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \eta \tau \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \alpha$ ). "In bright (brilliant) clothing" as in Lu 23:11; Ac 10:30; Re 18:41 . In contrast with "vile clothing" ( $\varepsilon v \rho v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \varepsilon \sigma \theta \eta \tau$ ), "new glossy clothes and old shabby clothes" (Hort). Puпa $о$ оя (late word from $\rho 0 \pi \circ$, filth, $1 \mathrm{Pe} 3: 21$ ) means filthy, dirty. In N.T. only here and Re 22:11 (filthy).

Poor man ( $\pi \tau \omega \chi \circ \varsigma$ ). Beggarly mendicant (Mt 19:21), the opposite of $\pi \lambda$ ovoto (rich). Jas 2:3
And ye have regard to $(\varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \eta \tau \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi)$. First aorist active subjunctive (still with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ of verse 2) of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$, followed by repeated preposition $\varepsilon \pi$, to gaze upon, old compound, in N.T. only here and Lu 1:48; 9:38 .

Weareth ( $\varphi$ opouvta). "Wearing," present active participle of the old frequentative verb $\varphi \rho \rho \varepsilon \omega$ (from $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$ ), to bear constantly, to wear (Mt 11:8). Note repeated article $\tau \eta \nu$ (the) with $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \eta \tau \alpha$ pointing to verse 2 .

And say ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon ı \pi \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Continuing the third-class condition with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon$ eımov.

Sit thou here in a good place ( $\sigma v \kappa \alpha \theta$ ov $\omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma$ ). Emphatic position of $\sigma v$, "Do thou sit here in a good place." Present middle imperative of $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha$ to sit for the literary $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \sigma o$. See Mt 23:6 for the first seats in the synagogue (places of honour).

And ye say to the poor man ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \omega \pi \tau \omega \chi \omega \varepsilon \iota \pi \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Third class condition with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ continued as before ( $\varepsilon \iota \pi \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Note article $\tau \omega$ pointing to verse 2.

Stand thou there ( $\sigma v \sigma \tau \eta \theta \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist (intransitive) active imperative of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, to place. Ingressive aorist, Take a stand. $\Sigma v$ emphatic again. The MSS. vary in the position of $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon$ (there).
 against" or "down beside" see Ex 19:17 vло то ороц ("at the foot of the mountain") and vло $\sigma \varepsilon$ ("at thy feet") (De 33:3). Conquerors often placed their feet on the necks of the victims (Lu 20:43).

Jas 2:4
Are ye not divided in your own mind? (ov $\delta เ \varepsilon \kappa \rho 1 \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon v$ عavtoюб;). First aorist (gnomic) passive indicative of $\delta \iota \alpha \rho \rho \iota \omega \omega$, to separate, conclusion of the third-class condition (future) in a rhetorical question in the gnomic aorist (as if past) with ou expecting an affirmative answer. For this idiom (gnomic aorist) in a conclusion of the third-class condition see 1Co 7:28. "Were ye not divided in (among) yourselves?" Cf. 1:6; Mt 21:21 .

Judges with evil thoughts ( $\kappa \rho \iota \alpha \delta_{\iota} \alpha \lambda о \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \omega \nu \pi о \nu \eta \rho \omega v$ ). Descriptive genitive as in $1: 25$. $\Delta \iota \lambda$ о $\gamma \iota \sigma \mu \mathrm{o}$, is an old word for reasoning (Ro 1:21). Reasoning is not necessarily evil, but see Mt 15:19 ( лоvпро) and Mr 7:21 (како) for evil reasonings, and 1Ti 2:8 without an
adjective. See Jas $1: 8 ; 4: 8$ for $\delta \iota \psi \cup \chi o \varsigma$. They are guilty of partiality (a divided mind) as between the two strangers.

Jas 2:5
Did not God choose? (ou o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \tau \circ$;). Affirmative answer expected. First aorist middle (indirect, God chose for himself) indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, the very form used by Paul three times of God's choice in 1Co 1:27f.

As to the world $(\tau \omega \kappa 0 \sigma \mu \omega)$. The ethical dative of interest, as the world looks at it as in Ac 7:20; 1Co 1:18; 2Co 10:4; Jas 4:4. By the use of the article (the poor) James does not affirm that God chose all the poor, but only that he did choose poor people (Mt 10:23-26; 1Co 1:26-28).

Rich in faith ( $\pi \lambda$ ovoıov¢ $\varepsilon \nu \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). Rich because of their faith. As he has shown in 1:9f

Which he promised ( $\eta \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha \tau 0$ ). Genitive of the accusative relative $\eta \nu$ attracted to the case of the antecedent $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma$ (the Messianic kingdom), the same verb and idea already in 1:12 ( $\varepsilon \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ו \lambda \alpha \tau о)$. Cf. the beatitude of Jesus in Mt 5:3 for the poor in spirit.

Jas 2:6
But ye have dishonoured the poor man ( $v \mu \varepsilon \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \eta \tau \tau \mu \alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon \tau \tau v \pi \tau \omega \chi \circ v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \tau \mu \mu \zeta \omega$, old verb from $\alpha \tau \mu \rho \circ$, dishonoured (Mt 13:57). In the act of partiality pictured in 2:3.

Oppress you ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta u v a \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o v \sigma \iota v ~ \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Not very common compound (ката $\delta \cup v a \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \omega$, present active indicative, from ката and $\delta \cup v a \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma$, potentate, Lu 1:52 ), used of the devil in Ac 10:38 (only other N.T. example). Examples in papyri of harsh treatment by men in authority. Already poor Christians are feeling pressure from rich Jews as overlords.

Drag you ( $\varepsilon \lambda \kappa$ коvбıv v $\mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). Old and vigorous word for violent treatment, as of Paul in Ac 16:19; 21:30. Cf. such violence in Lu 12:58; Ac 8:3 .

Before the judgment-seats ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ к \rho ı \tau \eta \rho ı \alpha) . ~ " T o ~ c o u r t s ~ o f ~ j u s t i c e " ~ a s ~ i n ~ 1 C o ~ 6: 2,4 ~(o n l y ~$ other N.T. examples). Common in the papyri in this sense. From крıv to judge, крıtŋя (judge), place where judgment is given.

Jas 2:7
Blaspheme ( $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \sigma v \sigma v$ ). Present active indicative of common verb $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon \omega$ (from $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \circ \varsigma$, speaking evil, $\beta \lambda \alpha \xi$ or $\beta \lambda \alpha \pi \tau \omega$ and $\varphi \eta \mu \eta$ ), as in Lu 22:65.

The honourable name ( $\tau о \kappa \alpha \lambda о v$ оvo $\mu$ ). "The beautiful name."
By the which ye were called ( $\tau 0 \varepsilon \pi \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} v \mu a \varsigma$ ). "The one called upon you" (first aorist passive articular participle of $\varepsilon \pi \kappa \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to put a name upon, to give a surname to, as Ac 10:18 ). What name is that? Almost certainly the name of Christ as we see it in Ac 11:26; 26:28; $1 \mathrm{Pe} 4: 14,16$. It was blasphemy to speak against Christ as some Jews and Gentiles were doing (Ac 13:45; 18:6; 26:11; 1Co 12:3; 1Ti 1:13 ). Cf. Ac 15:17.

Jas 2:8
Howbeit ( $\mu \varepsilon v \tau 0$ ). Probably not adversative here, but simply confirmatory, "if now," "if indeed," "if really." Common in Xenophon in this sense. See the contrast ( $\delta \varepsilon$ ) in verse 9.

If ye fulfil ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tau \tau \varepsilon$ ). Condition of first class, assumed as true with $\varepsilon$ and present active indicative of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to bring to completion, occurring in Ro 2:27 also with vouos, (law). Jesus used $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$ in Mt 4:17. James has $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$ in 2:10.

The royal law (voноv $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda ı \kappa о v)$. Old adjective for royal, regal (from $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon v \varsigma$ king), as of an officer (Joh 4:46). But why applied to vouoc? The Romans had a phrase, lex regia, which came from the king when they had kings. The absence of the article is common with vouoc ( $4: 11$ ). It can mean a law fit to guide a king, or such as a king would choose, or even the king of laws. Jesus had said that on the law of love hang all the law and the prophets ( Mt 22:40), and he had given the Golden Rule as the substance of the Law and the prophets (Mt 7:12 ). This is probably the royal law which is violated by partiality (Jas 2:3 ). It is in accord with the Scripture quoted here (Le 19:18) and ratified by Jesus (Lu 10:28).

Jas 2:9
But if ye have respect of persons ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \pi \lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ ). Condition of first class by contrast with that in verse 8 . For this verb (present active indicative), formed from $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \sigma v$ $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, here alone in the N.T., see in 2:1. A direct reference to the partiality there pictured.

Ye commit sin ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). "Ye work a sin." A serious charge, apparently, for what was regarded as a trifling fault. See Mt 7:23, o $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v o ~ \tau \eta \nu ~ a v o \mu \mu \alpha v$ (ye that work iniquity), an apparent reminiscence of the words of Jesus there (from Ps 6:8).

Being convicted ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present passive participle of $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi \omega$, to convict by proof of guilt (Joh 3:20; 8:9,46; 1Co 14:24).

As transgressors ( $\omega \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). For this word from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha \iota v \omega$, to step across, to transgress, see Ga 2:18; Ro 2:25,27. See this very sin of partiality condemned in Le 19:15; De 1:17; 16:19. To the law and to the testimony.

Jas 2:10
Whosoever shall keep (oбтıc $\tau \eta \rho \eta \sigma \eta$ ). Indefinite relative clause with oбтıc and aorist active subjunctive of $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to guard (from $\tau \eta \rho o \varsigma$ guarding), as in Mt 27:36, without $\alpha v$ (though often used, but only one example of modal $\varepsilon \alpha v=\alpha v$ in James, viz., 4:4). This modal $\alpha v$ ( $\varepsilon a v$ ) merely interprets the sentence as either more indefinite or more definite (Robertson, Grammar, p. 957f.).

And yet stumble in one point ( $\pi \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \eta \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon v \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active subjunctive also of $\pi \tau \alpha \iota \omega$, old verb, to trip, as in 3:2; Ro 11:11 . "It is incipient falling" (Hort).

He is become ( $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \varepsilon v$ ). Second perfect indicative of $\gamma ı v o \mu \alpha$, "he has become" by that one stumble.

Guilty of all ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \omega v \varepsilon v o \chi o \varsigma)$. Genitive of the crime with $\varepsilon v o \chi \circ \varsigma$, old adjective from $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \chi \omega$ (to hold on or in), held in, as in Mr 3:29. This is law. To be a lawbreaker one does
not have to violate all the laws, but he must keep all the law (odov tov vouov) to be a lawabiding citizen, even laws that one does not like. See Mt 5:18f. for this same principle. There is Talmudic parallel: "If a man do all, but omit one, he is guilty for all and each." This is a pertinent principle also for those who try to save themselves. But James is urging obedience to all God's laws.

Jas 2:11
He that said (o $\varepsilon \iota \pi \omega v$ )
--said also ( $\varepsilon ו \pi \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha)$. The unity of the law lies in the Lawgiver who spoke both prohib-
 here is that of B in Ex 20 (Lu 18:20; Ro 13:9), but not in Mt 5:21,27 (with ov and future indicative).

Now if thou dost not commit adultery, but killest ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon$ ov $\mu \circ \not \subset \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon \mid \sigma, ~ \varphi о v \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon ા ¢ ~ \delta \varepsilon$ ). Condition of first class with ov (not $\mu \eta$ ) because of the contrast with $\delta \varepsilon$, whereas $\varepsilon \mu \eta$ would mean "unless," a different idea. So ov in 1:23.

A transgressor of the law ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma$ vouov) as in verse 9 . Murder springs out of anger (Mt 5:21-26 ). People free from fleshly sins have often "made their condemnation of fleshly sins an excuse for indulgence towards spiritual sins" (Hort).

Jas 2:12
So speak ye, and so do (out $\omega \varsigma \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ou $\tau \omega \varsigma \pi о เ \varepsilon \tau \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present active imperatives as a habit. For the combination see 1:19-21 contrasted with 1:22-25, and 1:26 with 1:27.

By a law of liberty ( $\delta ı \alpha$ vouov $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho ı a \varsigma)$. The law pictured in 1:25, but law, after all, not individual caprice of "personal liberty." See Ro 2:12 for this same use of $\delta \iota \alpha$ with кpıv $\omega$ in the sense of accompaniment as in Ro 2:27; 4:11; 14:20 . "Under the law of liberty."

Jas 2:13
Without mercy ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon o \varsigma)$. Found here only save a doubtful papyrus example ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ) for the vernacular $\alpha v \lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ and the Attic $\alpha v \eta \lambda \varepsilon \eta \varsigma$. For this principle of requital see Mt 5:7; 6:14; 7:1f.; 18:33.

Glorieth against ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha v \chi \alpha \tau \alpha)$. Present middle indicative of the old compound verb катакаих $\alpha о \mu \alpha$, to exult over (down), in N.T. only here, 3:14; Ro 11:18. Only mercy can triumph over justice with God and men. "Mercy is clothed with the divine glory and stands by the throne of God" (Chrysostom). See Ro 8:31-39; Mt 9:13; 12:7.

Jas 2:14
What doth it profit? ( $\tau$ o甲 $\varepsilon \lambda o \sigma ;$ ). Rhetorical question, almost of impatience. Old word from $о \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, to increase, in N.T. only here, verse 16; 1Co 15:32 . "T о $о \varepsilon \lambda$ os was a common expression in the vivacious style of a moral diatribe" (Ropes).

If a man say ( $\varepsilon \alpha \sim \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \eta \tau \iota \varsigma)$. Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and the present active subjunctive of $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, "if one keep on saying."

He hath faith ( $\pi$ Ioтıv $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon เ v$ ). Infinitive in indirect assertion after $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \eta$.

But have not works $(\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \delta \varepsilon \mu \eta \varepsilon \chi \eta)$. Third-class condition continued, "but keeps on not having ( $\mu \eta$ and present active subjunctive $\varepsilon \chi \eta$ ) works." It is the spurious claim to faith that James here condemns.

Can that faith save him? ( $\mu \eta \delta \nu v a \tau \alpha \eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma \sigma \omega \sigma \alpha \alpha v \tau o v ;)$. Negative answer expected $(\mu \eta)$. Effective aorist active infinitive $\sigma \omega \sigma \alpha$ (from $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$ ). The article $\eta$ here is almost demonstrative in force as it is in origin, referring to the claim of faith without works just made.

Jas 2:15
If a brother or sister be naked ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \circ \varsigma \eta \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \eta \gamma v \mu \nu 0 v \pi \alpha \rho \chi \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). Condition again of third class (supposable case) with $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ and present active subjunctive of $v \pi \alpha \rho \chi \omega$, to exist, in the plural though $\eta$ (or) is used and not $\kappa \alpha$ (and). Hence $\gamma \cup \mu \nu o$ is masculine plural in the predicate nominative. It does not here mean absolutely naked, but without sufficient clothing as in Mt 25:36ff.; Joh 21:7; Ac 19:16.

In lack of daily food ( $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi о \mu \varepsilon v o \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho о v \tau \rho о \varphi \eta \varsigma)$. Present passive participle of $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi \omega$ and ablative case $\tau \rho о \varphi \eta \varsigma$ like $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sigma о \varphi \iota \alpha \varsigma$ ( 1:5). The old adjective $\varepsilon \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$ (o $\varepsilon \pi$ $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho a v \omega v$, that which is for a day) occurs here only in the N.T., though $\varepsilon \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho ı a$ (daily routine) is found in Lu 1:5,8. This phrase occurs in Diodorus, but not in LXX.

Jas 2:16
And one of you say unto them ( $\varepsilon \iota \pi \eta \delta \varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma$ av $\quad$ тoıৎ $\varepsilon \xi \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Third-class condition again continued from verse 15 with second aorist active subjunctive $\varepsilon ו \pi \eta$.

Go in peace ( $v \pi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \nu \eta$ ). Present active imperative of $v \pi \alpha \gamma \omega$. Common Jewish farewell (Jud 18:6; 1Sa 1:17; 20:42; 2Sa 15:9). Used by Jesus (Mr 5:34; Lu 7:50 ).

Be ye warmed and filled ( $\theta \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha ı v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \chi о \rho \tau \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon)$. Present imperative either middle (direct) or passive. We have $\theta \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha ı v o \mu \alpha$ as a direct middle in Joh 18:18 (were warming themselves) and that makes good sense here: "Warm yourselves." Xорта弓 $\omega$ was originally used for pasturing cattle, but came to be used of men also as here. "Feed yourselves" (if middle, as is likely). Instead of warm clothes and satisfying food they get only empty words to look out for themselves.

And yet ye give not ( $\mu \eta \delta \omega \tau \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon$ ). Third-class condition with $\delta \varepsilon$ (and yet) and $\mu \eta$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, to give, cold deeds with warm words.

The things needful to the body ( $\tau \alpha \varepsilon \pi ı \tau \eta \delta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \tau 0 v \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \circ$ ). "The necessities of the body" (the necessaries of life). Old adjective from adverb $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \varepsilon \varsigma$ (enough), only here in N.T.

What doth it profit? ( $\tau$ o $\varphi \varepsilon \lambda o \sigma ;$ ). As in verse 14 and here the conclusion (apodosis) of the long condition begun in verse 15 .

Jas 2:17
If it have not works $(\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta \varepsilon \chi \eta \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha)$. Another condition of the third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and $\mu \eta$ and the present active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, "if it keep on not having works."

In itself ( $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \eta v$ ). In and of itself (according to itself), inwardly and outwardly dead ( $\nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \alpha)$. Same idiom in Ac 28:16; Ro 14:22. It is a dead faith.

Jas 2:18
Yea, a man will say ( $\left.\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma\right)$. Future active of $\varepsilon \iota \pi o v$. But $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ here is almost certainly adversative (But some one will say), not confirmatory. James introduces an imaginary objector who speaks one sentence: "Thou hast faith and I have works" ( $\Sigma v \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota v \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha \gamma \omega$ $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \varepsilon \chi \omega)$. Then James answers this objector. The objector can be regarded as asking a short question: "Hast thou faith?" In that case James replies: "I have works also."

Show me thy faith apart from thy works ( $\delta \varepsilon ı \xi \circ \nu \mu \circ \tau \eta \nu \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \nu \sigma o v \chi \omega \rho ı \varsigma \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega \nu$ ). This is the reply of James to the objector. First aorist active imperative of $\delta \varepsilon \iota \kappa \nu \cup \mu$, tense of urgency. The point lies in $\chi \omega \rho \iota \varsigma$, which means not "without," but "apart from," as in Heb 11:6 (with the ablative case), "the works that properly belong to it and should characterise it" (Hort). James challenges the objector to do this.

And I by my works will shew thee my faith ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \omega \sigma o \delta \varepsilon \iota \xi \omega \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega \nu \mu \nu \nu \tau \eta \nu$ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota v)$. It is not faith $o r$ works, but proof of real faith (live faith $v s$. dead faith). The mere profession of faith with no works or profession of faith shown to be alive by works. This is the alternative clearly stated. Note $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota v$ (faith) in both cases. James is not here discussing "works" (ceremonial works) as a means of salvation as Paul in Ga 3; Ro 4 , but works as proof of faith.

Jas 2:19
Thou believest that God is one ( $\sigma v \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ o $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \theta \varepsilon o \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). James goes on with his reply and takes up mere creed apart from works, belief that God exists (there is one God), a fundamental doctrine, but that is not belief or trust in God. It may be mere creed.

Thou doest well ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma \pi о \iota \varepsilon \iota)$ ). That is good as far as it goes, which is not far.
The demons also believe ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta \alpha \iota \mu \nu \imath \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o v \sigma ı)$ ). They go that far (the same verb $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega)$. They never doubt the fact of God's existence.

And shudder ( $\kappa \alpha \varphi \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o v \sigma \iota v$ ). Present active indicative of $\varphi \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \omega$, old onomatopoetic verb to bristle up, to shudder, only here in N.T. Like Latin horreo (horror, standing of the hair on end with terror). The demons do more than believe a fact. They shudder at it.

Jas 2:20
But wilt thou know? ( $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \varsigma ~ \delta \varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega v \alpha ı ?)$. But dost thou wish to know?" Ingressive aorist active infinitive of $\gamma เ v o \sigma \kappa \omega$ (come to know). James here introduces a new argument like Ro 13:3.

O vain man ( $\omega \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon v \varepsilon)$. Goes on with the singular objector and demolishes him. For "empty" (deficient) Paul uses $\alpha \varphi \rho \omega \nu$ (fool) in 1 Co 15:36 and just $\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \varepsilon$ in Ro 2:1; 9:20.

Barren ( $\alpha \rho \gamma \varepsilon$ ). See 2Pe 1:8 (not idle nor unfruitful) and Mt 12:36, but Hort urges "inactive" as the idea here, like money with no interest and land with no crops.

Jas 2:21
Justified by works ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega \nu \varepsilon \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega$ (see Galatians and Romans for this verb, to declare righteous, to set right) in a question with ouk expecting an affirmative answer. This is the phrase that is often held to be flatly opposed to Paul's statement in Ro 4:1-5 , where Paul pointedly says that it was the faith of Abraham (Ro $4: 9$ ) that was reckoned to Abraham for righteousness, not his works. But Paul is talking about the faith of Abraham before his circumcision ( $4: 10$ ) as the basis of his being set right with God, which faith is symbolized in the circumcision. James makes plain his meaning also.

In that he offered up Isaac his son upon the altar ( $\alpha v \varepsilon v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \varsigma$ Iбаак тov vıov autov $\varepsilon \pi$ тo $\theta v \sigma \iota a \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ v)$. They use the same words, but they are talking of different acts. James points to the offering (aveveүкац second aorist--with first aorist ending--active participle of $\operatorname{ava\varphi } \rho \omega \omega$ ) of Isaac on the altar (Ge 22:16f. ) as proof of the faith that Abraham already had. Paul discusses Abraham's faith as the basis of his justification, that and not his circumcision. There is no contradiction at all between James and Paul. Neither is answering the other. Paul may or may not have seen the Epistle of James, who stood by him loyally in the Conference in Jerusalem (Ac 15; Ga 2 ).

Jas 2:22
Thou seest ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon ⿺ \varsigma)$. Obvious enough with any eyes to see. This may be a question, seest thou?

Wrought with ( $\sigma \cup v \eta \rho \gamma \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active of $\sigma v v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, old verb for which see Ro 8:28 .Followed by associative-instrumental case $\varepsilon \rho \gamma o$ c. Faith cooperated with the deed of offering up Isaac.

Was made perfect ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \epsilon \omega \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ו \sigma \omega$, to carry to the end, to complete like love in 1Jo 4:18. See Jas 1:4 for $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \circ \circ \vee \varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v$.

Jas 2:23
Was fulfilled ( $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$, the usual verb for fulfilling Scripture. So James quotes Ge 15:6 as proving his point in verse 21 that Abraham had works with his faith, the very same passage that Paul quotes in Ro $4: 3$ to show that Abraham's faith preceded his circumcision and was the basis of his justification. And both James and Paul are right, each to illustrate a different point.

And he was called the friend of $\operatorname{God}(\kappa \alpha \varphi \iota \lambda \rho \varsigma \theta \varepsilon \sigma v \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\kappa \alpha \lambda \eta$ о. Not a part of the Scripture quoted. Philo calls Abraham the friend of God and see Jubilees 19:9; 30:20. The Arabs today speak of Abraham as God's friend. It was evidently a common description before James used it, as in Isa 41:8; 2Ch 20:7.

Jas 2:24
Ye see (opate). Present indicative active of opac. Now he uses the plural again as in 2:14.

Is justified ( $\delta$ ıкaıvта). Present passive indicative of $\delta$ ıкaıo $\omega$, here not "is made righteous," but "is shown to be righteous." James is discussing the proof of faith, not the initial act of being set right with God (Paul's idea in Ro 4:1-10).

And not only by faith ( $\kappa \alpha$ оик $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \mu \circ v o v$ ). This phrase clears up the meaning of James. Faith (live faith) is what we must all have (2:18), only it must shew itself also in deeds as Abraham's did.

Jas 2:25
Rahab the harlot ( $\operatorname{Pa\alpha } \beta \eta \pi о \rho \vee \eta)$. Her vicious life she left behind, but the name clung to her always. For our purposes the argument of James may seem stronger without the example of Rahab (Jos 2:1-21; 6:17; 22-25; Mt 1:5; Heb 11:31 ). It is even said in Jewish Midrash that Rahab married Joshua and became an ancestor of Jeremiah and Ezekiel.

In that she received (v $\tau \mathrm{o} \delta \varepsilon \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \eta)$. First aorist middle participle of $v \pi о \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, to welcome.

The messengers ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma ~ a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o u \varsigma)$. Original meaning of $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma$ (Mt 11:10). In Heb 11:31 we have катабкотоия (spies, scouts).

Sent out ( $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda$ ov $\sigma \alpha$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to hurl out.
Another way ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ o o $\omega$ ). "By another way" (instrumental case), by a window instead of a door (Jos 2:15f. ).

Jas 2:26
Apart from the spirit ( $\chi \omega \rho \iota \varsigma \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \sigma \varsigma)$. "Apart from breath" (the breath of life). It is not easy to tell when one is dead, but the absence of a sign of breath on a glass before the mouth and nose is proof of death. Startling picture of dead faith in our churches and church members with only a name to live ( $\operatorname{Re} 3: 2$ ).

## Jas 3

Jas 3:1
Be not many teachers ( $\mu \eta \pi о \lambda \lambda o \delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda o \gamma ı v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and present middle imperative of $\gamma เ v o \mu \alpha$. "Stop becoming many teachers" (so many of you). There is thus a clear complaint that too many of the Jewish Christians were attempting to teach what they did not clearly comprehend. There was a call for wise teachers (verses 13f. ), not for foolish ones. This soon became an acute question, as one can see in I Cor. 12 to 14. They were not all teachers (1Co 12:28f.; 14:26). The teacher is here treated as the wise man ( 3:13-18) as he ought to be. The rabbi was the teacher (Mt 23:7f.; Joh 1:38; 3:10; 20:16 ). Teachers occupied an honourable position among the Christians (Eph 4:11; Ac 13:1). James counts himself a teacher (we shall receive, 3:1) and this discussion is linked on with 1:19-27. Teachers are necessary, but incompetent and unworthy ones do much harm.

Heavier judgment ( $\mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta$ оv к $\rho \mu \alpha$ ). "Greater sentence." See Mr 12:40; Lu 20:47 for $\pi \varepsilon \rho \rho เ \sigma о \tau \varepsilon \rho о v$ к $\rho \mu \alpha$ (the sentence from the judge, Ro 13:2). The reason is obvious. The pretence of knowledge adds to the teacher's responsibility and condemnation.

Jas 3:2
In many things ( $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ). Accusative neuter plural either cognate with $\pi \tau \alpha \iota \rho \mu \varepsilon v$ or accusative of general reference. On $\pi \tau \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon v$ (stumble) see on $2: 10$. James includes himself in this list of stumblers.

If not ( $\varepsilon ו-o v$ ). Condition of first class with ov (not $\mu \eta$ ) negativing the verb $\pi \tau \alpha \iota \varepsilon$.
In word $(\varepsilon v \lambda o \gamma \omega)$. In speech. The teacher uses his tongue constantly and so is in particular peril on this score.

The same (оитоऽ). "This one" (not o autos the same).
A perfect man ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota o \varsigma \alpha \nu \eta \rho$ ). "A perfect husband" also, for $\alpha v \eta \rho$ is husband as well as man in distinction from woman $(\gamma \vee v \eta)$. The wife is at liberty to test her husband by this rule of the tongue.

To bridle the whole body also ( $\chi \alpha \lambda ı v \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \kappa \alpha$ о $\lambda о \nu$ to $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ ). See 1:26 for this rare verb applied to the tongue ( $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \alpha v$ ). Here the same metaphor is used and shown to apply to the whole body as horses are led by the mouth. The man follows his own mouth whether he controls the bridle therein ( $1: 26$ ) or someone else holds the reins. James apparently means that the man who bridles his tongue does not stumble in speech and is able also to control his whole body with all its passions. See Tit 1:11 about stopping people's mouths


Jas 3:3
If we put ( $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda o \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Condition of the first class assumed as true.
The horses' bridles ( $\tau \omega \nu \iota \pi \pi \omega \nu$ tov $\chi \alpha \lambda เ v o v \varsigma)$ ). Hı $\pi \pi \omega \nu$ (genitive plural of $\iota \pi \pi \circ \varsigma$, horse, old word, in N.T. only here except in the Apocalypse), put first because the first of the sev-
eral illustrations of the power and the peril of the tongue. This is the only N.T. example of $\chi \alpha \lambda ı v o \varsigma$, old word for bridle (from $\chi \alpha \lambda \alpha \omega$ to slacken, let down), except Re 14:20 .

That they may obey us ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ \pi \varepsilon ו \theta \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \alpha$ avtovৎ $\eta \mu \nu v$ ). Present middle infinitive of $\pi \varepsilon ө \theta \omega$ with $\varepsilon ء \varsigma$ to as a purpose clause with the dative $\eta \mu \nu \nu$ after $\pi \varepsilon เ \theta \varepsilon \sigma \theta a$ and $\alpha \nu \tau 0 v \varsigma$ the accusative of general reference.

We turn about ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \circ \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Present active indicative of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \omega$, late compound to change the direction ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha, \alpha \gamma \omega$ ), to guide, in N.T. only here and verse 4 . The body of the horse follows his mouth, guided by the bridle.

Jas 3:4
The ships also ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \lambda o \iota \alpha$ ). Old word from $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to sail (Mt 4:21). Another metaphor like "horses" (ıлпо). "There is more imagery drawn from mere natural phenomena in the one short Epistle of James than in all St. Paul's epistles put together" (Howson).

Though they are so great ( $\tau \eta \lambda_{1} \kappa \alpha v \tau \alpha$ ov $\tau \alpha$ ). Concessive participle of $\varepsilon \mu$. The quantitative pronoun $\tau \eta \lambda$ ıкoutos occurs in the N.T. only here, 2 Co 1:10; Heb 2:3; Re 16:18 . If James had only seen the modern mammoth ships. But the ship on which Paul went to Malta carried 276 persons (Ac 27:37).

And are driven ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \cup v o \mu \varepsilon v \alpha)$. Present passive participle of $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha u v \omega$, old verb, in this sense (2Pe 2:17) for rowing (Mr 6:48; Joh 6:19).

Rough ( $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ v$ ). Old adjective (from $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, to dry up), harsh, stiff, hard (Mt 25:24 ).

Are yet turned ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Present passive indicative of the same verb, $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \omega$, in verse 3. James is fond of repeating words (1:13f.; 2:14,16; 2:21,25).
 things see Lu 8:14; $2 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 7$. There is possibly personification in the use of $u$ to for agency in Jas 1:14; 2:9; Col 2:18. Пך $\delta \alpha \lambda$ ıov (from $\pi \eta \delta o v$, the blade of an oar) is an old word, in N.T. only here and Ac 27:40 . E $\lambda$ axıбтov is the elative superlative as in 1Co 4:3 (from the Epic $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \chi \cup \varsigma$ for $\mu \kappa \rho о \varsigma)$.

The impulse ( $\eta$ о $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta})$ ). Old word for rapid, violent motion, here of the hand that worked the rudder, in N.T. only here and Ac 14:5 (rush or onset of the people).

Of the steersman ( $\tau 0 v$ عuӨvvovioc). Present active genitive articular participle of $\varepsilon v \theta v v \omega$, old verb, to make straight (from $\varepsilon u \theta v \varsigma$, straight, level, $\mathrm{Mr} 1: 3$ ), in N.T. only here and Joh 1:23. Used also of the shepherd, the charioteer, and today it would apply to the chauffeur. "The twin figure of the control of horse and of ship are frequently found together in later Greek writers" (Ropes). As in Plutarch and Philo.

Willeth ( $\beta$ ou $\lambda \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Present middle indicative of $\beta$ ou $0 \mu \alpha$, common verb to will. Here intention of the steersman lies back of the impact of the hand on the rudder.

Jas 3:5

A little member ( $\mu \kappa \rho \circ v \mu \varepsilon \lambda о \varsigma)$. M $\varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma$ is old and common word for members of the human body (1Co 12:12, etc.; Ro 6:13, etc.).

Boasteth great things ( $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha \alpha v \chi \varepsilon$ ). Present active indicative of $\alpha u \chi \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, here only in N.T. The best MSS. here separate $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha$ from $\alpha u \chi \varepsilon \omega$, though $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha u \chi \varepsilon \omega$ does occur in Aeschylus, Plato, etc. M $\gamma \gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha$ is in contrast with $\mu \kappa \kappa \rho o v$.

How much--how small ( $\eta \lambda_{\iota}$ коv-- $\eta \lambda_{\iota} \kappa \eta \nu$ ). The same relative form for two indirect questions together, "What-sized fire kindles what-sized forest?" For double interrogatives see Mr 15:24. The verb $\alpha v a \pi \tau \varepsilon$ is present active indicative of $\alpha v a \pi \tau \omega$, to set fire to, to kindle (Lu 12:49, only other N.T. example except some MSS. in Ac 28:2). Hu $\lambda \eta v$ is accusative case, object of $\alpha v a \pi \tau \varepsilon$, and occurs here only in N.T., though old word for forest, wood. Forest fires were common in ancient times as now, and were usually caused by small sparks carelessly thrown.

Jas 3:6
The tongue is a fire ( $\eta \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \alpha \pi \nu \rho$ ). So necessarily since there is no article with $\pi v \rho$ (apparently same word as German feuer, Latin purus, English pure, fire). This metaphor of fire is applied to the tongue in $\operatorname{Pr} 16: 27$; 26:18-22 ; Sirach 28:22.

The world of iniquity (о коб $о \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \delta ı к ⿺ \propto \varsigma$ ). A difficult phrase, impossible to understand according to Ropes as it stands. If the comma is put after $\pi \cup \rho$ instead of after $\alpha \delta \iota \kappa ı \alpha \varsigma$, then the phrase may be the predicate with $\kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$ (present passive indicative of $\kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, "is constituted," or the present middle "presents itself"). Even so, коб $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ с remains a difficulty, whether it means the "ornament" (1Pe 3:3) or "evil world" (Jas 1:27) or just "world" in the sense of widespread power for evil. The genitive $\alpha \delta \iota \kappa \iota \alpha$, is probably descriptive (or qualitative). Clearly James means to say that the tongue can play havoc in the members of the human body.

Which defileth the whole body ( $\eta \sigma \pi \iota \lambda o v \sigma \alpha$ o $\overline{0}$ ov to $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ ). Present active participle of $\sigma \pi i \lambda o \omega$ late Koine, verb, to stain from $\sigma \pi i \lambda o \varsigma$ (spot, also late word, in N.T. only in Eph 5:27; 2Pe 2:13 ), in N.T. only here and Jud 1:23. Cf. 1:27 aбтı入ov (unspotted).

Setteth on fire ( $\varphi \lambda$ oү $\zeta \zeta$ ov $\sigma \alpha$ ). Present active participle of $\varphi \lambda$ opı $\zeta \omega$, old verb, to set on fire, to ignite, from $\varphi \lambda 0 \xi$ (flame), in N.T. only in this verse. See $\alpha v a \pi \tau \varepsilon$ (verse 5).

The wheel of nature ( $\tau 0 v \tau \rho \circ \chi \circ v \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Old word for wheel (from $\tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$, to run), only here in N.T. "One of the hardest passages in the Bible" (Hort). To what does $\tau \rho 0 \chi$ о refer? For $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ see 1:23 apparently in the same sense. Vincent suggests "the wheel of birth" (cf. Mt 1:1,18). The ancient writers often use this same phrase (or кикخоৎ, cycle, in place of $\tau \rho \circ \chi \circ \varsigma)$, but either in a physiological or a philosophical sense. James may have caught the metaphor from the current use, but certainly he has no such Orphic or Pythagorean doctrine of the transmigration of souls, "the unending round of death and rebirth" (Ropes). The wheel of life may be considered either in motion or standing still, though setting on fire implies motion. There is no reference to the zodiac.
 $\varphi \lambda o \gamma \iota \zeta \omega$, giving the continual source of the fire in the tongue. For the metaphor of fire with үعєuva see Mt 5:22.

Jas 3:7
Kind ( $\varphi$ voıc). Old word from $\varphi \cup \omega$, order of nature (Ro 1:26), here of all animals and man, in $2 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 4$ of God and redeemed men.

Of beasts $(\theta \eta \rho \omega \omega v)$. Old word diminutive from $\theta \eta \rho$ and so "little beasts" originally, then wild animals in general (Mr 1:13), or quadrupeds as here. These four classes of animals come from Ge 9:2f.

Birds ( $\pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \downarrow \nu \omega v$ ). Old word for flying animals (from $\pi \varepsilon \tau о \mu \alpha$, to word from $\varepsilon \rho \pi \omega$, to crawl (Latin serpo), hence serpents.

Things in the sea ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \lambda \iota \omega v$ ). Old adjective ( $\varepsilon v, \alpha \lambda \varsigma$, sea, salt) in the sea, here only in N.T. The four groups are put in two pairs here by the use of $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ with the first two and the second two. See a different classification in Ac 10:12; 11:6.

Is tamed ( $\delta \alpha \mu \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Present passive indicative of $\delta \alpha \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb kin to Latin dominus and English tame, in N.T. only in this passage and Mr 5:4. The present tense gives the general picture of the continuous process through the ages of man's lordship over the animals as stated in Ge 1:28 .

Hath been tamed ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta \alpha \mu \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of the same verb, repeated to present the state of conquest in some cases (domestic animals, for instance).

By mankind ( $\tau \eta \varphi v \sigma \varepsilon \tau \eta \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \tau v \eta$ ). Instrumental case with repeated article and repetition also of $\varphi$ voı, "by the nature the human." For $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ 媵c see Ac 17:25.

Jas 3:8
No one (oudsıc). Especially his own tongue and by himself, but one has the help of the Holy Spirit.

A restless evil (акатабтатоv какоv). Correct reading, not акатаб $\chi \varepsilon \tau о v$, for which see $1: 8$. The tongue is evil when set on fire by hell, not evil necessarily.

Full of deadly poison ( $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta$ tov $\theta a v a \tau \eta \varphi o \rho o v$ ). Feminine adjective agreeing with $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \alpha$, not with какоv (neuter). Iov (poison here, as in Ro 3:13, but rust in 5:3, only N.T. examples), old word. Genitive case after $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta$ (full of). $\Theta a v a \tau \eta \varphi o \rho o v$, old compound adjective (from $\theta a v a \tau o \varsigma$, death, $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, to bear or bring), death-bringing. Here only in N.T. Like the restless death-bringing tongue of the asp before it strikes.

Jas 3:9
Therewith ( $\varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \eta$ ). This instrumental use of $\varepsilon v$ is not merely Hebraistic, but appears in late Koine writers (Moulton, Prol., pp. 11f., 61f.). See also Ro 15:6.

We bless ( $\varepsilon \cup \lambda о \gamma о \nu \mu \varepsilon v)$. Present active indicative of $\varepsilon \cup \lambda o \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, old verb from $\varepsilon \cup \lambda o \gamma \circ \varsigma$ (a good word, $\varepsilon v, \lambda o \gamma o \varsigma)$, as in Lu 1:64 of God. "This is the highest function of speech" (Hort).

The Lord and Father ( $\tau о \vee к \nu \rho ı v ~ к \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha) . ~ B o t h ~ t e r m s ~ a p p l i e d ~ t o ~ G o d . ~$
Curse we $(\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha)$. Present middle indicative of the old compound verb $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \alpha о \mu \alpha$, to curse (from кат $\alpha \rho \alpha$ a curse), as in Lu 6:28 .

Which are made after the likeness of God ( $\tau о \nu \varsigma \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ о $\left.\mu о \iota \sigma \sigma \nu \theta \varepsilon о v \gamma \varepsilon \gamma о v о \tau \alpha \varsigma\right)$. Second perfect articular participle of $\gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \alpha$ and $о \mu \circ \iota \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma$, old word from o $\mu \circ \iota \omega$ (to make like), making like, here only in N.T. (from Ge 1:26; 9:6 ), the usual word being o $\quad$ ot $\omega \mu \alpha$, resemblance (Php 2:7). It is this image of God which sets man above the beasts. Cf. 2Co 3:18.

Jas 3:10
Ought not (ov $\chi \rho \eta$ ). The only use of this old impersonal verb (from $\chi \rho \alpha \omega$ ) in the N.T. It is more like $\pi \rho \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$ (it is appropriate) than $\delta \varepsilon$ (it is necessary). It is a moral incongruity for blessing and cursing to come out of the same mouth.

So to be (out $\omega \varsigma \nmid \nu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). "So to keep on happening," not just "to be," present middle infinitive of $\gamma \iota v o \mu a$.

Jas 3:11
The fountain ( $\eta \pi \eta \gamma \eta$ ). Old word for spring (Joh 4:14).
Opening (oォпৎ). Old word for fissure in the earth, in N.T. only here and Heb 11:38 (caves).

Send forth ( $\beta \rho v \varepsilon$ ). Present active indicative of $\beta \rho v \omega$, old verb, to bubble up, to gush forth, here only in N.T. The use of $\mu \eta \tau$ shows that a negative answer is expected in this rhetorical question.

The sweet and the bitter ( $\tau о ~ \gamma \lambda \nu \kappa v ~ к \alpha ~ \tau о ~ \pi \kappa \kappa \rho о \nu) . ~ C o g n a t e ~ a c c u s a t i v e s ~ w i t h ~ \beta \rho v \varepsilon . ~$ Separate articles to distinguish sharply the two things. The neuter singular articular adjective is a common way of presenting a quality. Г $\lambda \cup \kappa v \varsigma$ is an old adjective (in N.T. only here and Re 10:9f. ), the opposite of $\pi \kappa \kappa \rho o v$ (from old root, to cut, to prick), in N.T. only here and verse 14 (sharp, harsh).

Jas 3:12
Can? ( $\mu \eta \delta v v a \tau \alpha ;$;). Negative answer expected. See the same metaphor in Mt 7:16f.
Fig-tree ( $\sigma \cup \kappa \eta)$. Old and common word (Mt 21:19f. ).
Figs ( $\sigma \cup \kappa \alpha)$. Ripe fruit of $\eta \sigma \cup \kappa \eta$.
Olives ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. Elsewhere in the N.T. for olive-trees as Mt 21:1.
Vine ( $\alpha \mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma)$. Old word (Mt 26:29).
Salt water ( $\alpha \lambda$ ккоv). Old adjective from $\alpha \lambda \varsigma$ ( $\alpha \lambda \alpha \varsigma$ salt), here only in N.T.
Jas 3:13
Who (Tıs). Rhetorical interrogative like Lu 11:11. Common in Paul and characteristic of the diatribe. James here returns to the standpoint of verse 1 about many teachers. Speech and wisdom are both liable to abuse (1Co 1:5,17; 2:1-3:20).

Wise and understanding ( $\sigma о \varphi о \varsigma \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \omega v$ ). $\Sigma о \varphi \rho \varsigma$ is used for the practical teacher (verse 1), $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \omega \nu$ (old word from $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \alpha$, here only in N.T.) for an expert, a skilled and scientific person with a tone of superiority. In De 1:13,15; 4:6, the two terms are practically synonyms.

Let him shew ( $\delta \varepsilon ı \xi \alpha \tau \omega)$. First aorist active imperative of $\delta \varepsilon ı \kappa v v \mu$, old verb to show. As about faith in $2: 18$. Emphatic position of this verb.

By his good life ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \eta \varsigma \alpha v a \sigma \tau \rho \circ \varphi \eta \varsigma)$. For this literary Koine word from avaбтрєєона (walk, conduct) see Ga 1:13. Actions speak louder than words even in the case of the professional wise man. Cf. 1Pe 1:15.

In meekness of wisdom ( $\varepsilon v \pi \rho \alpha v \tau \eta \tau \sigma о \varphi เ \propto \varsigma)$. As in 1:21 of the listener, so here of the teacher. Cf. Mt 5:5; 11:29 and of King Messiah quoted in Mt 21:5 . Startling combination.

Jas 3:14
 sense (Ac 5:17). Pride of knowledge is evil (1Co 8:1) and leaves a bitter taste. See "root of bitterness" in Heb 12:14 (cf. Eph 4:31). This is a condition of the first class.

Faction ( $\varepsilon \rho 1 \theta ı \alpha \gamma$ ). Late word, from $\varepsilon \rho \upharpoonleft \theta_{o \varsigma}$ (hireling, from $\varepsilon \rho \iota \theta \varepsilon v \omega$ to spin wool), a pushing forward for personal ends, partisanship, as in Php 1:16.

In your heart ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \alpha \alpha \nu \mu \omega v$ ). The real fountain ( $\pi \eta \gamma \eta$, verse 11).
Glory not ( $\mu \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \cup \chi \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Present middle imperative of катакаuх $\alpha о \mu \alpha$, for which see $2: 13$. Wisdom is essential for the teacher. Boasting arrogance disproves the possession of wisdom.

Lie not against the truth ( $\psi \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon i \alpha \varsigma)$. Present middle imperative of $\psi \varepsilon \cup \delta o \mu \alpha$, old verb, to play false, with $\mu \eta$ carried over. Lying against the truth is futile. By your conduct do not belie the truth which you teach; a solemn and needed lesson. Cf. Ro 1:18f., 2:18,20 .

Jas 3:15
This wisdom ( $\alpha v \tau \eta \eta \sigma 0 \varphi เ \alpha$ ). All talk and disproved by the life, counterfeit wisdom, not real wisdom (1:5; 3:17).

Coming down from above ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v \eta ~ \alpha v \omega \theta \varepsilon v)$. As in 1:5,17. All true wisdom comes from God.

Earthly ( $\varepsilon \pi \gamma \gamma \varepsilon\llcorner\varsigma)$ ). Old adjective, on earth ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota, \gamma \eta$ ), as in Joh 3:12, then with earthly limitations (Php 3:19), as here.

Sensual ( $\psi \cup \chi\llcorner\kappa \eta$ ). Old adjective, belonging to the $\psi \cup \chi \eta$, the sensuous or animal life (1Co 2:14 and here).

Devilish ( $\delta \alpha \mu \circ v \iota \omega \delta \eta \varsigma)$. Late adjective from $\delta \alpha \mu \circ$ vıov (demon) and so demoniacal or demon-like, here only in N.T.

Jas 3:16

Confusion ( $\alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma ı \alpha)$. Late word (from акатабтатоৎ), 1:8; 3:8), a state of disorder (1Co 14:33).

Vile ( $\varphi a \cup \lambda o v$ ). Kin to German faul, first slight, ordinary, then bad. The steps are cheap, paltry, evil. Opposed to $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \alpha$ (good) in Joh 5:39.

Jas 3:17
First pure ( $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma v \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \gamma v \eta$ ). First in rank and time. Ha $\gamma v o c$ is from the same root as $\alpha \gamma \operatorname{loc}$ (holy), old adjective, pure from fault, not half-good and half-bad, like that above.

Then peaceable ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \nu \iota \kappa \eta$ ). Old adjective from $\varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \nu \eta$ (peace), loving peace here, bringing peace in Heb 12:11 (only N.T. examples). But clearly great as peace is, purity (righteousness) comes before peace and peace at any price is not worth the having. Hence Jesus spurned the devil's peace of surrender.

Gentle ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varepsilon \iota \kappa \eta \varsigma)$ ) Old adjective (from $\varepsilon$ єккоৎ, reasonable, fair), equitable (Php 4:5; 1 Pe 2:18 ). No English word renders it clearly.

Easy to be entreated ( $\varepsilon \cup \pi \varepsilon \mid \theta \eta \varsigma)$. Old adjective ( $\varepsilon v, \pi \varepsilon \mid \theta o \mu \alpha)$, compliant, approachable. Only here in N.T.

Mercy ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon o u \varsigma)$. Practical help (2:13,16).
Good fruits (карт $\omega v \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \omega v$ ). Ка入о карто in Mt 7:17f. Good deeds the fruit of righteousness (Php 1:11).

Without variance ( $\alpha \delta ı \alpha к \rho ı о \varsigma) . ~ L a t e ~ v e r b a l ~ a d j e c t i v e ~(f r o m ~ a l p h a ~ p r i v a t i v e ~ a n d ~ \delta ı \alpha к \rho ı \omega \omega$,
 in N.T. This wisdom does not put a premium on doubt.

Without hypocrisy (avvлокрıтоৎ). Late and rare verbal adjective (alpha privative and vтокрıv $\omega$ ). Not hypocritical, sincere, unfeigned (Ro 12:9).

Jas 3:18
Is sown in peace ( $\varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \nu \eta \sigma \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Present passive indicative of $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \downharpoonright \rho \omega$, to sow. The seed which bears the fruit is sown, but James catches up the metaphor of картоৎ (fruit) from verse 17 . Only in peace is the fruit of righteousness found.

For them that make peace ( $\tau \circ \iota \varsigma \pi o เ o v \sigma ו \nu \varepsilon เ \rho \eta \nu \eta \nu$ ). Dative case of the articular participle

 peace.

## Jas 4

Jas 4:1
Whence $(\pi o \theta \varepsilon v)$. This old interrogative adverb (here twice) asks for the origin of wars and fights. James is full of interrogatives, like all diatribes.

Wars ( $\pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o}$ )
--fightings ( $\mu \alpha \chi \alpha$ ).
War ( $\pi о \lambda \varepsilon \mu \circ \varsigma$, old word, Mt 24:6) pictures the chronic state or campaign, while $\mu \alpha \chi \eta$ (also old word, 2Co 7:5 ) presents the separate conflicts or battles in the war. So James covers the whole ground by using both words. The origin of a war or of any quarrel is sometimes hard to find, but James touches the sore spot here.

Of your pleasures ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu \eta \delta o v \omega \nu v \mu \omega v$ ). Old word from $\eta \delta o \mu \alpha$. Ablative case here after $\varepsilon \kappa$, "out of your sinful, sensual lusts," the desire to get what one does not have and greatly desires.

That war $(\tau \omega v \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega v)$. Present middle articular participle (ablative case agreeing with $\eta \delta o v \omega v$ ) of $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \omega$, to carry on a campaign, here as in 1Pe 2:11 of the passions in the human body. James seems to be addressing nominal Christians, "among you" $(\varepsilon \nu \nu \mu \nu v)$. Modern church disturbances are old enough in practice.

Jas 4:2
Ye lust $(\varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \varepsilon \imath \tau \varepsilon)$. Present active indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \varepsilon \omega$, old word (from $\varepsilon \pi \iota, \theta \nu \mu \circ \varsigma$, yearning passion for), not necessarily evil as clearly not in Lu 22:15 of Christ, but usually so in the N.T., as here. Coveting what a man or nation does not have is the cause of war according to James.

Ye kill and covet ( $\varphi \circ \vee \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \zeta \eta \lambda o v \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present active indicatives of $\varphi o v \varepsilon v \omega$ (old verb from $\varphi o v \varepsilon v \varsigma, ~ m u r d e r e r) ~ a n d ~ \zeta \eta \lambda o \omega$, to desire hotly to possess ( $1 \mathrm{Co} 12: 31$ ). It is possible (perhaps probable) that a full stop should come after povevete (ye kill) as the result of lusting and not having. Then we have the second situation: "Ye covet and cannot obtain ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \cup \chi \varepsilon \iota v$, second aorist active infinitive of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha \nu \omega$ ), and (as a result) ye fight and war." This punctuation makes better sense than any other and is in harmony with verse 1 . Thus also the anticlimax in $\varphi$ ovevete and $\zeta \eta \lambda$ oute is avoided. Mayor makes the words a hendiadys, "ye murderously envy."

Ye have not, because ye ask not (ovк $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \delta ı \alpha \tau о \mu \eta \alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \alpha v \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. James refers again to ovk $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ (ye do not have) in verse 2. Such sinful lusting will not obtain. "Make the service of God your supreme end, and then your desires will be such as God can fulfil in answer to your prayer" (Ropes). Cf. Mt 6:31-33. The reason here is expressed by $\delta \iota \alpha$ and the accusative of the articular present middle infinitive of aıt $\varepsilon \omega$, used here of prayer to God as in Mt 7:7f . $\mathrm{H} \nu \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ (you) is the accusative of general reference. Note the middle voice here as in aıtعı $\sigma \theta \varepsilon$ in 3. Mayor argues that the middle here, in contrast with the active, carries more the spirit
of prayer, but Moulton (Prol., p. 160) regards the distinction between $\alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon \omega$ and $\alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon о \mu \alpha$ often "an extinct subtlety."

Jas 4:3
Because ye ask amiss ( $\delta\llcorner\tau \tau \alpha \kappa \omega \varsigma \alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon \iota \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon$ ). Here the indirect middle does make sense, "ye ask for yourselves" and that is "evilly" or amiss ( $\kappa \alpha \kappa \omega \varsigma)$, as James explains.

That ye may spend it in your pleasures ( $\llcorner v \alpha \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma \eta \delta o v a \iota \varsigma \nu \mu \omega v \delta \alpha \pi \alpha v \eta \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Purpose clause with iva and the first aorist subjunctive of $\delta \alpha \pi \alpha v \alpha \omega$, old verb from $\delta \alpha \pi \alpha v \eta$, $\operatorname{cost}(\mathrm{Lu}$ 14:28 only in N.T.), to squander ( Lu 15:14). God does not hear prayers like this.

Jas 4:4
Ye adulteresses ( $\mu \circ \chi \chi \lambda \lambda \iota \delta \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Motхo ка (ye adulterers) is spurious (Syrian text only). The feminine form here is a common late word from the masculine $\mu$ oroo. It is not clear whether the word is to be taken literally here as in Ro 7:3, or figuratively for all unfaithful followers of Christ (like an unfaithful bride), as in 2Co 11:1f.; Eph 5:24-28 (the Bride of Christ). Either view makes sense in this context, probably the literal view being more in harmony with the language of verses 2 f . In that case James may include more than Christians in his view, though Paul talks plainly to church members about unchastity (Eph 5:3-5).

Enmity with God ( $\varepsilon \chi \theta \rho \alpha$ тou $\theta \varepsilon o v)$. Objective genitive $\theta \varepsilon o u$ with $\varepsilon \chi \theta \rho \alpha$ (predicate and so without article), old word from $\varepsilon \chi \theta \rho \circ \varsigma$, enemy (Ro 5:10), with $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \theta \varepsilon o v$ (below and Ro 8:7).

Whosoever therefore would be (o $\varsigma \varepsilon \alpha \vee$ ouv $\beta \circ v \lambda \eta \theta \eta$ ). Indefinite relative clause with oc and modal $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and the first aorist passive (deponent) subjunctive of $\beta o u \lambda o \mu \alpha$, to will (purpose).

A friend of the world ( $\varphi$ i $\lambda$ oc $\tau 0 v$ коб $\mu \circ v$ ). Predicate nominative with infinitive $\varepsilon ı v a$ agreeing with oc. See 2:23 for $\varphi \iota \lambda$ oc $\theta \varepsilon o v$ (friend of God).

Maketh himself ( $\kappa \alpha \theta เ \tau \tau \alpha \tau \alpha)$. Present passive (not middle) indicative as in 3:6, "is constituted," "is rendered."

An enemy of God ( $\varepsilon \chi \theta \rho \circ \varsigma$, tov $\theta$ हov). Predicate nominative and anarthrous and objective genitive ( $\theta$ عou).

Jas 4:5
The Scripture ( $\eta \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta$ ). Personification as in $\mathrm{Ga} 3: 8$; Jas 2:23. But no O.T. passage is precisely like this, though it is "a poetical rendering" (Ropes) of Ex 20:5. The general thought occurs also in Ge 6:3-5; Isa 63:8-16 , etc. Paul has the same idea also (Ga 5:17,21; Ro 8:6,8). It is possible that the reference is really to the quotation in verse 6 from $\operatorname{Pr}$ 3:34 and treating all before as a parenthesis. There is no way to decide positively.

In vain ( $\kappa \varepsilon v \omega \varsigma$ ). Old adverb (Aristotle) from $\kappa \varepsilon v \omega \varsigma$ ( $2: 20$ ), here alone in N.T. "Emptily," not meaning what it says.

Made to dwell (кат $\kappa$ เкıбยv). First aorist active of катоккц $\zeta$, old verb, to give a dwelling to, only here in N.T.

Long unto envying ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \varphi \theta$ ovov $\varepsilon \pi \iota \pi \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). A difficult phrase. Some even take $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ $\varphi \theta$ ovov with $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ rather than with $\varepsilon \pi \iota \pi o \theta \varepsilon$, as it naturally does go, meaning "jealously." But even so, with God presented as a jealous lover, does $\tau 0 \pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha$ refer to the Holy Spirit as the subject of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \pi o \theta \varepsilon$ or to man's spirit as the object of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \pi o \theta \varepsilon$ ? Probably the former and $\varepsilon \pi \iota \pi o \theta \varepsilon$ then means to yearn after in the good sense as in Php 1:8 .

Jas 4:6
More grace ( $\mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta$ оva $\chi \alpha \rho ı$ ). "Greater grace." Greater than what? "Greater grace in view of the greater requirement" (Ropes), like Ro 5:20f . God does this.

Wherefore ( $\delta \iota 0$ ). To prove this point James quotes $\operatorname{Pr}$ 3:34.
God resisteth the proud (o $\theta \varepsilon \circ \varsigma ~ v \pi \varepsilon \rho \eta \varphi \alpha \nu o \iota \varsigma ~ \alpha \nu \tau ı \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Present middle (direct) indicative of $\alpha \nu \tau \iota \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, old military term, to range in battle against, with dative case (Ro
 ), "haughty persons."

But giveth grace to the humble ( $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ v o \iota \varsigma ~ \delta \varepsilon \delta \iota \omega \sigma \iota v \chi \alpha \rho \iota v)$. Anarthrous adjective again, "to humble or lowly persons," for which word see 1:9f . Cf. 2:5-7; 5:1-6 .

## Jas 4:7

Be subject therefore unto God (v $\tau \circ \tau \alpha \gamma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ouv $\tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). Second aorist (ingressive) passive imperative of $v \pi \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, old verb, to range under (military term also). Same form in 1 Pe 2:23; 5:5. With the dative case $\theta \varepsilon \omega$ (unto God). The aorist has the note of urgency in the imperative. Note the ten aorist imperatives in verses 7-10 (vлотаүך $\frac{1 \varepsilon}{}$, $\alpha v \tau ı \sigma \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma เ \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon, \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon, \alpha \gamma \nu \iota \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon, \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \pi \omega \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon, \pi \varepsilon v \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon, \kappa \lambda \alpha v \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon, \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \rho \alpha \pi \eta \tau \omega$, $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ \nu \omega \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon)$.

But resist the devil ( $\alpha v \tau \iota \sigma \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \tau \omega \delta \iota \alpha \beta o \lambda \omega$ ). Second aorist (ingressive) active (intransitive) imperative of $\alpha \nu \theta \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, "take a stand against." Dative case $\delta \iota \alpha \beta \circ \lambda \omega$. Result of such a stand is that the devil will flee ( $\varphi \varepsilon v \xi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$, future middle of $\varphi \varepsilon v \gamma \omega$ ). See 1 Pe 5:8f.; Eph 6:11f.; Lu 10:17 .

Jas 4:8
Draw nigh to God ( $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \iota \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma!\zeta \omega$, late verb from $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \cup \varsigma$ (near) as in Mt 3:2. With dative case again of personal relation. The priests in the sanctuary drew nigh to God (Ex 19:22 ), as we should now.

Cleanse your hands ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho ı \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active imperative of $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, to cleanse, from dirt in a ritual sense (Ex 30:19-21; Mr 7:3,19). Here it is figurative, as in Ho 1:16; Ps 24:4. If we always had clean (from sin) hands and hearts?

Ye sinners ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda 0$ ). A sharp term to strike the conscience, "a reproach meant to startle and sting" (Ropes).

Purify your hearts ( $\alpha \gamma \nu \iota \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \varsigma)$. First aorist active imperative of $\alpha \gamma \nu \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb from aүvoc (Jas 3:17) , ceremonially (Ac 21:24,26), but here morally as in 1Pe 1:22; 1Jo 3:3. Anarthrous use of карঠıas as of $\chi \varepsilon ı \rho a \varsigma$ (wash hands, purify hearts).

Ye double-minded ( $\delta 1 \psi \cup \chi o$ ). As in 1:8.
Jas 4:9
Be afflicted ( $\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha 1 \pi \omega \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active imperative $\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \pi \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb from $\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \pi \omega \rho \circ \varsigma$ (Ro 7:24 ), to endure toils, here only in N.T. Cf. $\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \pi \omega \rho \iota a \iota \varsigma ~$ in 5:1.

Mourn ( $\pi \varepsilon v \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\pi \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \omega$, old verb from $\pi \varepsilon v \theta$ oc (mourning, 4:9), as in Mt 5:4f. Often in N.T. joined as here with $\kappa \lambda \alpha \omega \omega$, to weep (Mr 16:10; Lu 6:25 ). A call to the godly sorrow spoken of in 2Co 7:10 (Mayor), like an O.T. prophet.

Weep ( $\kappa \lambda \alpha v \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon)$. First aorist active imperative of $\kappa \lambda \alpha \omega \omega$.
Laughter ( $\gamma \varepsilon \lambda \omega \varsigma$ ). Old word from Homer down, only here in N.T. as $\gamma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \omega$, to
laugh (opposite of $\kappa \lambda \alpha \omega \omega$ ), in N.T. only in Lu 6:21,25, but катаүع $\lambda \alpha \omega$ in Lu 8:53 (Mr 5:40; Mt 9:24).

Be turned ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \rho \alpha \pi \eta \tau \omega)$. Second aorist passive imperative of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega$, old word, to turn about, to transmute, in Homer (not in Attic), here only in N.T.

Heaviness ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \varphi \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ ). Old word from кат $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \varphi \eta \varsigma$ (of a downcast look, from ката, $\varphi \alpha \eta$ eyes), hanging down of the eyes like the publican in Lu 18:13, here only in N.T.

Jas 4:10
Humble yourselves ( $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ \nu \omega \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist passive imperative of $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \iota \nu o \omega$, old verb from $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon ו v o \varsigma(1: 9)$, as in Mt 18:4. The passive here has almost the middle or reflexive sense. The middle voice was already giving way to the passive. See $1 \mathrm{Pe} 5: 6$ for this same form with the same promise of exaltation.

He shall exalt you ( $v \psi \omega \sigma \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. Future active indicative of $v \psi o \omega$, common verb from vүoc (height), used by Jesus in contrast with $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ v o \omega$ as here (Mt 23:12; Lu 14:11; 18:14).

Jas 4:11
Speak not one against another ( $\mu \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \omega v$ ). Prohibition against such a habit or a command to quit doing it, with $\mu \eta$ and the present imperative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, old compound usually with the accusative in ancient Greek, in N.T. only with the genitive (here, $1 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 12 ; 3: 16$ ). Often harsh words about the absent. James returns to the subject of the tongue as he does again in 5:12 (twice before, 1:26; 3:1-12).

Judgeth ( $\kappa \rho \iota v \omega v$ ). In the sense of harsh judgment as in Mt 7:1; Lu 6:37 (explained by катабıка ( $\omega$ ).

Not a doer of the law, but a judge (ovк $\pi о ⿰ \eta \tau \eta \varsigma ~ v o \mu о v, ~ a \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \rho ı \tau \eta)$ ). This tone of superiority to law is here sharply condemned. James has in mind God's law, of course, but the point is the same for all laws under which we live. We cannot select the laws which we will obey unless some contravene God's law, and so our own conscience (Ac 4:20). Then we are willing to give our lives for our rebellion if need be.

Jas 4:12
One only ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma)$. No "only" in the Greek, but $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ here excludes all others but God.

The lawgiver (o vo $\kappa \circ \theta \varepsilon \tau \eta \varsigma$ ). Old compound (from $v o \mu o \sigma, \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$ ), only here in N.T. In Ps 9:20 . Cf. vo 0 o $\varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega$ in Heb 7:11; 8:6.

To save ( $\sigma \omega \sigma \alpha$, first aorist active infinitive of $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$ )
and to destroy ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha$, first aorist active infinitive of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$ to destroy). Cf. the picture of God's power in Mt 10:28, a common idea in the O.T. (De 32:39; 1Sa 2:16; 2 Ki 5:7).

But who art thou? ( $\sigma v \delta \varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon ;$ ). Proleptic and emphatic position of $\sigma v$ (thou) in this rhetorical question as in Ro 9:20; 14:4 .

Thy neighbour ( $\tau 0 v \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota v$ ). "The neighbour" as in Jas 2:8 .
Jas 4:13
Go to now ( $\alpha \gamma \varepsilon v v v$ ). Interjectional use of $\alpha \gamma \varepsilon$ (from $\alpha \gamma \omega$ ) as in 5:1 (only N.T. instances) with a plural verb (o $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$, present active articular participle, ye that say) as is common in ancient Greek like $\delta \varepsilon \nu v \nu \eta \kappa о \cup \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ (Mt 26:65 ).

Today or tomorrow ( $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho o v \eta \alpha v \rho เ v$ ). Correct text (Aleph B), not $\kappa \alpha$ (and).
Into this city ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \delta \varepsilon \tau \eta \nu \pi o \lambda \iota v$ ). Old demonstrative o $\delta \varepsilon$, rare in N.T. (Lu 10:39) save in neuter plural $\tau \alpha \delta \varepsilon$ (these things Ac 21:11). One would point out the city on the map (Mayor) as he made the proposal (we will go, по $\varepsilon \varepsilon v \sigma о \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ).

And spend a year there ( $\kappa \alpha \pi о \imath\rceil \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \iota \alpha \nu \tau \nu \nu$ ). Another future (active of $\pi \sigma \iota \varepsilon \omega$ ). "We will do a year there."

And trade ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \mu \pi о \rho \varepsilon v \sigma о \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Future middle of $\varepsilon \mu \pi о \rho \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha$ ( $\varepsilon v, \pi о \rho \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha$, to go in), old verb from $\varepsilon \mu \pi о \rho о \varsigma$ (a merchant or trader, a drummer, one going in and getting the trade, Mt 13:45 ), a vivid picture of the Jewish merchants of the time.

And get gain ( $\kappa \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \eta \sigma о \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Future (Ionic form) active of $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \alpha \iota v \omega$, old verb from $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta$ оऽ (gain, Php 1:21), as in Mt 16:26.

Jas 4:14
Whereas ye know not (oı兀ıvєৎ ovк $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). The longer relative ootıc defines here more precisely (like Latin qui) o $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ$ огєऽ (ye who say) of verse 13 in a causal sense, as in Ac 10:47, "who indeed do not know" (present middle indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \alpha$ ).

What shall be on the morrow ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \nu \rho ı v$ ). Supply $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma$ (day) after $\alpha v \rho ı v$. This is the reading of B (Westcott) "on the morrow" (genitive of time), but Aleph K L cursives have то $\tau\rceil \varsigma \alpha v \rho ı o v(" t h e ~ m a t t e r ~ o f ~ t o m o r r o w "), ~ w h i l e ~ A ~ P ~ c u r s i v e s ~ h a v e ~ \tau \alpha ~ \tau \eta \zeta ~ \alpha u p ı o v ~(" t h e ~ t h i n g s ~$ of tomorrow"). The sense is practically the same, though to $\tau \eta \varsigma$ avpıov is likely correct.

What is your life? ( $\pi \sigma \iota \eta \zeta \omega \eta \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Thus Westcott and Hort punctuate it as an indirect question, not direct. Hota is a qualitative interrogative (of what character).

As vapour ( $\alpha \tau \mu \iota)$. This is the answer. Old word for mist (like $\alpha \tau \mu \circ \varsigma$, from which our "atmosphere"), in N.T. only here and Ac 2:19 with $\kappa \alpha \pi v o v$ (vapour of smoke (from Joe 2:30 ).

For a little time ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma о \lambda \iota \gamma \circ v)$. See same phrase in $1 \mathrm{Ti} 4: 8$, $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \kappa \alpha \iota \rho о v$ in $\mathrm{Lu} 8: 13$, $\pi \rho o \varsigma \omega \rho a v$ in Joh 5:35.

That appeareth and then vanisheth away ( $\left.\varphi \alpha \vee \sim \mu \varepsilon v \eta \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \alpha \varphi \alpha v ı \zeta_{о} \mu \varepsilon v \eta\right)$. Present middle participles agreeing with $\alpha \tau \mu \iota$, "appearing, then also disappearing," with play on the two verbs ( $\varphi$ aıvo $\mu a, \alpha \varphi a v ı \zeta \omega$ as in Mt 6:19, from $\alpha \varphi a v \eta \varsigma$ hidden Heb 4:13 ) with the same root $\varphi \alpha v(\varphi a \imath v \omega, \alpha-\varphi \alpha v-\eta \varsigma)$.

Jas 4:15
For that ye ought to say ( $\alpha v \tau \tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon เ v v \mu a \varsigma$ ). "Instead of the saying as to you" (genitive of the articular infinitive with the preposition $\alpha \nu \tau$ and the accusative of general reference with $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \nu$ ), "instead of your saying."

If the Lord will ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ о кирьюৎ $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta$ ). Condition of the third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and the present active subjunctive (or first aorist active $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \eta$ in some MSS). The proper attitude of mind (Ac 18:21; 1Co 4:19; 16:7; Ro 1:19; Php 2:19,24; Heb 6:3 ), not to be uttered always in words like a charm. This Hellenistic formula was common among the ancient heathen, as today among modern Arabs like the Latin deo volente.

This or that ( $\tau 0 \cup \tau о ~ \eta \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o)$. Applicable to every act.
Jas 4:16
In your vauntings ( $\varepsilon v$ тaıৎ $\alpha \lambda \alpha \zeta$ oviaıs $v \mu \omega \nu$ ). Old word for braggart talk (from $\alpha \lambda \alpha \zeta$ ovevo $\mu \alpha$, to act the $\alpha \lambda \alpha \zeta \omega v$ empty boaster Ro 1:30 ), common in Aristophanes, in N.T. only here and 1Jo 2:16.
 2:19 ), bad if for self as here.

Jas 4:17
To him that knoweth ( $\varepsilon \iota \delta o \tau$ ). Dative case of second perfect participle $\varepsilon \iota \delta \omega \varsigma$ (from ot $\delta \alpha$ ), and with the infinitive to know how, "to one knowing how."

To do good ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda 0 v \pi o \iota \varepsilon \imath$ ). "To do a good deed."
And doeth it not $(\kappa \alpha \mu \eta \pi o \iota o v \nu \tau)$. Dative again of the present active participle of $\pi o เ \varepsilon \omega$, "and to one not doing it." Cf. "not a doer" ( 1:23) and Mt 7:26.

Sin ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha$ ). Unused knowledge of one's duty is $\sin$, the sin of omission. Cf. Mt 23:23

## Jas 5

Jas 5:1
Come now, ye rich ( $\alpha \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v \mathrm{vv}$ o $\pi \lambda$ оvбıo). Exclamatory interjection as in 4:13. Direct address to the rich as a class as in 1Ti 6:17. Apparently here James has in mind the rich as a class, whether believer, as in 1:10f., or unbeliever, as in 2:1f.,6. The plea here is not directly for reform, but a warning of certain judgment (5:1-6) and for Christians "a certain grim comfort in the hardships of poverty" (Ropes) in 5:7-11.
 imperative of $\kappa \lambda a \omega \omega$ as in 4:9), howling with grief' (present active participle of the old onomatopoetic verb o $\lambda \mathrm{o} \lambda \nu \zeta \omega$, here only in N.T., like Latin ululare, with which compare $\alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda \alpha \zeta \omega$ in Mt 5:38.

For your miseries ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \pi \omega \rho ı \alpha \iota \varsigma \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Old word from $\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \pi \omega \rho \circ \varsigma$ (Ro 7:24 ) and like $\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \pi \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$ in Jas 4:9 (from $\tau \lambda \alpha \omega$ to endure and $\pi \omega \rho \circ \varsigma$ a callus).

That are coming upon you ( $\tau \alpha \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. Present middle participle of the old compound $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ to come upon, used here in futuristic prophetic sense.

Jas 5:2
Riches (o $\pi$ лоvtoc). Masculine singular, but occasionally neuter to $\pi \lambda$ outo $\varsigma$ in nominative and accusative (2Co 8:2 ). Apparently $\pi \lambda \varepsilon o \tau o c$ fulness (from $\pi \lambda \varepsilon o c$ full, $\pi \mu \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu$ to fill). "Wealth."

Are corrupted ( $\sigma \varepsilon \sigma \eta \pi \varepsilon v$ ). Second perfect active indicative of $\sigma \eta \pi \omega$ (root $\sigma \alpha \pi$ as in $\sigma \alpha \pi \rho \circ \varsigma$, rotten), to corrupt, to destroy, here intransitive "has rotted." Only here in N.T. On the worthlessness of mere wealth see Mt 6:19,24 .

Were moth-eaten ( $\sigma \eta \tau \circ \beta \rho \omega \tau \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \varepsilon v)$ ). "Have become (second perfect indicative of $\gamma \iota v o \mu \alpha$, singular number, though $\mu \alpha \tau \iota \alpha$, neuter plural, treated collectively) moth-eaten" ( $\sigma \eta \tau 0 \beta \rho \omega \tau \tau$, late and rare compound from $\sigma \eta \varsigma$, moth, Mt 6:19f. and $\beta \rho \omega \tau$ тos, verbal adjective of $\beta \iota \beta \rho \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ to eat Joh 6:13. This compound found only here, Job 13:28, Sibyll. Orac. Proem. 64). Rich robes as heirlooms, but moth-eaten. Vivid picture. Witness the 250 "lost millionaires" in the United States in 1931 as compared with 1929. Riches have wings.

Jas 5:3
Are rusted ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \omega \omega \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative (singular for $\chi \rho v \sigma o \varsigma$ and $\alpha \rho \gamma \cup \rho o \varsigma$ are grouped as one) of $\kappa \alpha \tau \iota \omega$, late verb (from to $\varsigma$, rust) with perfective sense of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$, to rust through (down to the bottom), found only here, Sir. 12:11, Epictetus (Diss. 4, 6, 14).

Rust (toc). Poison in Jas 3:8; Ro 3:13 (only N.T. examples of old word). Silver does corrode and gold will tarnish. Dioscorides (V.91) tells about gold being rusted by chemicals. Modern chemists can even transmute metals as the alchemists claimed.

For a testimony ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \mu \rho \rho \tau \cup \rho ı \rho)$ ). Common idiom as in Mt 8:4 (use of $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ with accusative in predicate).
 in the parallel passage ( $\mathrm{Lu} 9: 5$ ) we have $\varepsilon เ \varsigma \mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho ı o v ~ \varepsilon \pi^{\prime}$ avtovc. "To you" will make sense, as in Mt 8:4; 10:18, but "against" is the idea here as in Lu 21:13.

Shall eat ( $\varphi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Future middle (late form from $\varepsilon \varphi \alpha \gamma \circ v$ ) of defective verb $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \iota \omega$, to eat.

Your flesh (таৎ баркац). The plural is used for the fleshy parts of the body like pieces of flesh (Re 17:16; 19:18,21). Rust eats like a canker, like cancer in the body.

As fire ( $\omega \varsigma \pi \cup \rho$ ). Editors differ here whether to connect this phrase with $\varphi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$, just before (as Mayor), for fire eats up more rapidly than rust, or with the following, as Westcott and Hort and Ropes, that is the eternal fire of Gehenna which awaits them (Mt 25:41; Mr 9:44 ). This interpretation makes a more vivid picture for $\varepsilon \theta \eta \sigma \alpha u \rho \iota \sigma a t \varepsilon$ (ye have laid up, first aorist active indicative of $\theta \eta \sigma \alpha v \rho!\zeta \omega$, Mt 6:19 and see $\operatorname{Pr} 16: 27$ ), but it is more natural to take it with $\varphi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$.

Jas 5:4
The hire ( $\mathrm{o} \mu \iota \sigma$ oc). Old word for wages ( $\mathrm{Mt} \mathrm{20:8)}$ ).
Labourers ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). Any one who works ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta \rho \mu \alpha)$, especially agricultural workers (Mt 9:37).

Who mowed ( $\tau \omega v \alpha \mu \eta \sigma \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Genitive plural of the articular first aorist active participle of $\alpha \mu \alpha \omega$ (from $\alpha \mu \alpha$, together), old verb, to gather together, to reap, here only in N.T.

Fields ( $\chi \omega \rho \alpha \varsigma$ ). Estates or farms (Lu 12:16).
Which is of you kept back by fraud (o $\alpha \varphi v \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ \alpha \varphi ' ~ v \mu \omega v$ ). Perfect passive articular participle of $\alpha \varphi \cup \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \omega$, late compound (simplex vбт $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \omega$ common as Mt 19:20), to be behindhand from, to fail of, to cause to withdraw, to defraud. Pitiful picture of earned wages kept back by rich Jews, old problem of capital and labour that is with us yet in acute form.

The cries ( $\alpha \beta$ o $\alpha$ ). Old word from which $\beta$ o $\alpha \omega$ comes (Mt 3:3 ), here only in N.T. The stolen money "cries out" ( $\kappa \rho \alpha \zeta \varepsilon)$, the workers cry out for vengeance.
 active of $\theta \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta \omega$ (old verb from $\theta \varepsilon \rho o \varsigma$, summer, Mt 24:32 ), to reap, to harvest while summer allows (Mt 6:26).

Have entered ( $\varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda u \theta \alpha v)$. Perfect active third person plural indicative of $\varepsilon ו \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, old and common compound, to go or come into. This late form is by analogy of the aorist for the usual form in - $\alpha \sigma$.

Of the Lord of Sabaoth (Kupıov $\Sigma \alpha \beta \alpha \omega \theta$ ). "Of the Lord of Hosts," quotation from Isa 5:9 as in Ro 9:29, transliterating the Hebrew word for "Hosts," an expression for the omnipotence of God like Паvтократ $\omega \rho(\operatorname{Re} 4: 8$ ). God hears the cries of the oppressed workmen even if the employers are deaf.

Jas 5:5

Ye have lived delicately ( $\varepsilon \tau \rho \cup \varphi \eta \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon)$. First aorist (constative, summary) active indicative of $\tau \rho \cup \varphi \alpha \omega$, old verb from $\tau \rho \cup \varphi \eta$ (luxurious living as in $\operatorname{Lu} 7: 25$, from $\theta \rho \cup \pi \tau \omega$, to break down, to enervate), to lead a soft life, only here in N.T.

Taken your pleasure ( $\varepsilon \sigma \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist (constative) active indicative of $\sigma \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \omega$, late and rare verb to live voluptuously or wantonly (from $\sigma \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \eta$, riotous living, wantonness, once as bracelet), in N.T. only here and 1Ti 5:6 .

Ye have nourished ( $\varepsilon \theta \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist (constative) active indicative of $\tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, old verb, to feed, to fatten (Mt 6:26). They are fattening themselves like sheep or oxen all unconscious of "the day of slaughter" ( $\varepsilon v \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \varphi a \gamma \eta \varsigma$, definite without the article) ahead of them. For this use of $\sigma \varphi \alpha \gamma \eta \varsigma$ see Ro 8:36 ( $\pi \rho о \beta \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \varphi \alpha \gamma \eta \varsigma$, sheep for the slaughter, $\sigma \varphi \alpha \gamma \eta$ from $\sigma \varphi \alpha \zeta \omega$, to slay), consummate sarcasm on the folly of sinful rich people.

Jas 5:6
Ye have condemned ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \delta ı \kappa \alpha \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon)$. First aorist active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta ı \kappa \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb (from ката $\delta_{ı} \eta$, condemnation, Ac $25: 15$ ). The rich controlled the courts of justice.

Yehave killed the righteous one ( $\varepsilon \varphi \circ v \varepsilon v \sigma a \tau \varepsilon ~ \tau o v ~ \delta ı \kappa a ı v)$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\varphi o v \varepsilon \cup \omega$ ( $2: 11 ; 4: 2$ ). "The righteous one" ( $\tau \omega v \delta$ ıкаıov) is the generic use of the singular with article for the class. There is probably no direct reference to one individual, though it does picture well the death of Christ and also the coming death of James himself, who was called the Just (Eus. H.E. ii. 23). Stephen (Ac 7:52 ) directly accuses the Sanhedrin with being


He doth not resist you (ovk $\alpha v \tau \iota \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \cup \mu ı v$ ). It is possible to treat this as a question. Present middle indicative of $\alpha v \tau \iota \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, for which see Jas 4:6. Without a question the unresisting end of the victim ( $\tau 0 v \delta$ ©кaıov) is pictured. With a question (ouk, expecting an affirmative answer) God or Lord is the subject, with the final judgment in view. There is no way to decide definitely.

Jas 5:7
Be patient therefore ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \circ \theta \nu \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ovv). A direct corollary (ouv, therefore) from the coming judgment on the wicked rich ( 5:1-6). First aorist (constative) active imperative of $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta \nu \mu \varepsilon \omega$, late compound (Plutarch, LXX) from $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta \nu \mu \circ \varsigma$ ( $\mu \alpha к \rho о \sigma, \theta \nu \mu \circ \varsigma$, of long spirit, not losing heart), as in Mt 18:26. The appeal is to the oppressed brethren. Catch your wind for a long race (long-tempered as opposed to short-tempered). See already the exhortation to patience (v $v \circ \mu \circ v \eta$ ) in 1:3f.,12 and repeated in 5:11. They will need both submission ( $\tau \pi о \mu \varepsilon v \omega$ 5:11) and steadfastness ( $\mu \alpha к \rho о Ө$ ицна 5:10).

Until the coming of the Lord ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma i a \varsigma)$. The second coming of Christ he means, the regular phrase here and in verse 8 for that idea (Mt 24:3,37,39; 1Th 2:19, etc.).

The husbandman (o $\gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma \circ \varsigma)$. The worker in the ground ( $\gamma \eta, \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega$ ) as in Mt 21:33f

Waiteth for ( $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Present middle indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, old verb for eager expectation as in Ac 17:16.

Precious ( $\tau \mu \mathrm{\mu} \circ v$ ). Old adjective from $\tau \mu \mu \eta$ (honor, price), dear to the farmer because of his toil for it. See 1Pe 1:19.

Being patient over it ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \circ \theta v \mu \omega v \varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). Present active participle of $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \circ \theta \nu \mu \varepsilon \omega$ just used in the exhortation, picturing the farmer longing and hoping over his precious crop (cf. Lu 18:7 of God).

Until it receive ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \lambda \alpha \beta \eta$ ). Temporal clause of the future with $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, vividly describing the farmer's hopes and patience.

The early and latter rain ( $\pi \rho \circ \not \mu \circ v \kappa \alpha ~ о \psi \mu \rho v$ ). The word for rain (vєтоv Ac 14:17) is absent from the best MSS. The adjective $\pi \rho \circ \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ ( from $\pi \rho \omega$, early) occurs here only in N.T., though old in the form $\pi \rho o \mu \mu \circ \varsigma$ and $\pi \rho \omega$ цऽ. See De 11:14; Jer 5:24, etc. for these terms for the early rain in October or November for the germination of the grain, and the latter rain ( $о \psi \mu \circ \nu$, from $\boldsymbol{o} \varepsilon \varepsilon$, late, here only in N.T.) in April and May for maturing the grain.

Jas 5:8
Ye also ( $\kappa \alpha \nu \mu \varepsilon \iota)$ ). As well as the farmers.
Stablish ( $\sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \xi \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb, (from $\sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \gamma \xi$, a support) to make stable, as in $\operatorname{Lu}$ 22:32; 1Th 3:13.

Is at hand ( $\eta \gamma \gamma / \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Present perfect active indicative of $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \zeta \zeta \omega$, common verb, to draw near (from $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \cup \varsigma)$, in Jas $4: 8$, for drawing near. Same form used by John in his preaching (Mt 3:2 ). In 1Pe 4:7 the same word appears to have an eschatological sense as apparently here. How "near" or "nigh" did James mean? Clearly, it could only be a hope, for Jesus had distinctly said that no one knew when he would return.

Jas 5:9
Murmur not ( $\mu \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \alpha 〔 \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and the present active imperative of $\sigma \tau \varepsilon v a \zeta \omega$, old verb, to groan. "Stop groaning against one another," as some were already doing in view of their troubles. In view of the hope of the Second Coming lift up your heads.

That ye be not judged ( $七 \nu \alpha \mu \eta \kappa \rho 1 \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Negative purpose clause with $ו v \alpha \mu \eta$ and the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\kappa \rho \iota v \omega$. As already indicated (2:12f.; 4:12) and repeated in 5:12. Reminiscence of the words of Jesus in Mt 7:1f.

Standeth before the doors ( $\pi \rho \circ \tau \omega v \theta v \rho \omega v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, "is standing now." Again like the language of Jesus in Mt 24:33 ( $\varepsilon \pi$ Өvpaıs) and Mr 13:29 . Jesus the Judge is pictured as ready to enter for the judgment.

Jas 5:10
For an example ( $v \pi о \delta \varepsilon \imath \gamma \mu \alpha$ ). Late word for the old $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \varepsilon ı \gamma \mu \alpha$, from $v \pi о \delta \varepsilon ו \kappa v \nu \mu$, to copy under, to teach ( $\mathrm{Lu} 6: 47$ ), here for copy to be imitated as in Joh 13:15, as a warning (Heb 4:11). Here predicate accusative with tovৎ $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau$ т $\varsigma$ (the prophets) as the direct object of $\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ (second aorist active imperative of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$ ).

Of suffering ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha \kappa о \pi \alpha \theta \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. Old word from какол $\alpha \theta\rceil$ (suffering evil, како $\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \omega$ in verse 13; $2 \mathrm{Ti} 2: 3,9$ ), here only in N.T.

Of patience ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta v \mu \iota \varsigma)$. Like $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta v \mu \varepsilon \omega$ in 5:7. See both $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta v \mu \iota \alpha$ and $v \pi о \mu о \nu \eta$ in 2Co 4:6; Col 1:11 (the one restraint from retaliating, the other not easily succumbing).

In the name of $(\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega$ ovo $\mu \alpha \tau)$. As in Jer 20:9. With the authority of the Lord (Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 198).

Jas 5:11
We call blessed ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \iota \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Old word (present active indicative of $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \iota \zeta \omega$ ), from $\mu$ ккарьоя (happy), in N.T. only here and Lu 1:48. "We felicitate." As in 1:3,12; Da 12:12.

Ye have heard ( $\eta \kappa о v \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist (constative) active indicative of $\alpha \kappa 0 v \omega$. As in Mt 5:21,27,33,38,43. Ropes suggests in the synagogues.

Of Job (I $\omega \beta$ ). Job did complain, but he refused to renounce God (Job 1:21; 2:10; 13:15; 16:19; 19:25f. ). He had become a stock illustration of loyal endurance.

Ye have seen ( $\varepsilon \delta \delta \tau \tau)$. Second aorist (constative) active indicative of opaw. In Job's case.

The end of the Lord ( $\tau о \tau \varepsilon \lambda о \varsigma \kappa \cup \rho ı v)$. The conclusion wrought by the Lord in Job's case (Job 42:12).

Full of pity ( $\pi 0 \lambda v \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \nu \circ \varsigma)$. Late and rare compound ( $\pi 0 \lambda v \sigma, \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \nu \circ v$ ), only here in N.T. It occurs also in Hermas (Sim. v. 7. 4; Mand. iv, 3). "Very kind."

Merciful (oıк $\tau \rho \mu \omega v$ ). Late and rare adjective (from oıк $\tau \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$ to pity), in N.T. only here and Lu 6:36.

Jas 5:12
Above all things ( $\pi \rho o \pi \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). No connection with what immediately precedes. Probably an allusion to the words of Jesus (Mt 5:34-37). It is not out of place here. See the same phrase in 1Pe 4:8. Robinson (Ephesians, p. 279) cites like examples from the papyri at the close of letters. Here it means "But especially" (Ropes).

Swear not $(\mu \eta \mathrm{o} \mu \nu v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon)$. Prohibition of the habit (or to quit doing it if guilty) with $\mu \eta$ and the present active imperative of $o \mu \nu v \omega$. The various oaths (profanity) forbidden ( $\mu \eta \tau \varepsilon$, thrice) are in the accusative case after o $\mu \nu v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$, according to rule (ov $\rho \alpha \nu o \nu, \gamma \eta \nu, o \rho \kappa о \nu$ ). The Jews were wont to split hairs in their use of profanity, and by avoiding God's name imagine that they were not really guilty of this sin, just as professing Christians today use "pious oaths" which violate the prohibition of Jesus.

Let be $(\eta \tau \omega)$. Imperative active third singular of $\varepsilon \mu \mu$, late form (1Co 16:22) for $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega$. "Your yea be yea" (and no more). A different form from that in Mt 5:37.

That ye fall not under judgment ( $\iota \alpha \alpha \mu \eta v \pi \sigma \kappa \rho \iota \sigma \iota v \pi \varepsilon \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Negative purpose with $\iota \nu \alpha \mu \eta$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, to fall. See $\imath \nu \alpha \mu \eta \kappa \rho \iota \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ in verse 9. K $\rho \iota \sigma \iota \varsigma$ (from $\kappa \rho \iota v \omega$ ) is the act of judging rather than the judgment rendered ( $\kappa \rho \iota \mu \alpha$ Jas 3:1).

Jas 5:13
Is any suffering? (какота $\theta \varepsilon \tau \iota \sigma$ ). See verse 10 for како $\pi \alpha \theta \iota \alpha$. The verb in N.T. occurs only here and in 2Ti 2:3,9; 4:5. The lively interrogative is common in the diatribe and suits the style of James.

Among you ( $\varepsilon v v \mu \nu v$ ). As in 3:13.
Let him pray ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega$ ). Present middle imperative, "let him keep on praying" (instead of cursing as in verse 12).

Is any cheerful ( $\varepsilon v \theta \nu \mu \varepsilon ;$ ). Present active indicative of $\varepsilon v \theta \nu \mu \varepsilon \omega$, old verb from $\varepsilon v \theta v \mu \circ \varsigma$ (Ac 27:36 ), in N.T. only here and Ac 27:22,25.

Let him sing praise $(\psi \alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \tau \omega)$. Present active imperative of $\psi \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, originally to twang a chord as on a harp, to sing praise to God whether with instrument or without, in N.T. only here, 1Co 14:15; Ro 15:9; Eph 5:19 . "Let him keep on making melody."

Jas 5:14
Is any among you sick? ( $\alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma v \nu \mu \nu v$;). Present active indicative of $\alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to be weak (without strength), often in N.T. (Mt 10:8).

Let him call for ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \omega)$. First aorist (ingressive) middle imperative of $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$. Note change of tense (aorist) and middle (indirect) voice. Care for the sick is urged in 1Th 5:14 ("help the sick"). Note the plural here, "elders of the church, as in Ac 20:17; 15:6,22; 21:18; Php 1:1 (bishops).

Let them pray over him ( $\left.\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon v \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \alpha v \varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \alpha \cup \tau o v\right)$ ). First aorist middle imperative of $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \cup \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. Prayer for the sick is clearly enjoined.

Anointing him with oil ( $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \psi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \omega \omega$ ). First aorist active participle of $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \varphi \omega$, old verb, to anoint, and the instrumental case of $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \iota v$ (oil). The aorist participle can be either simultaneous or antecedent with $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \cup \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \alpha v$ (pray). See the same use of $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \varphi \omega$ $\varepsilon \lambda a t \omega$ in Mr 6:13. The use of olive oil was one of the best remedial agencies known to the ancients. They used it internally and externally. Some physicians prescribe it today. It is clear both in Mr 6:13 and here that medicinal value is attached to the use of the oil and emphasis is placed on the worth of prayer. There is nothing here of the pagan magic or of the later practice of "extreme unction" (after the eighth century). It is by no means certain that $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \varphi \omega$ here and in Mr 6:13 means "anoint" in a ceremonial fashion rather than "rub" as it commonly does in medical treatises. Trench (N.T. Synonyms) says: "A $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \varphi \varepsilon เ v$ is the mundane and profane, $\chi$ pıєıv the sacred and religious, word." At bottom in James we have God and medicine, God and the doctor, and that is precisely where we are today. The best physicians believe in God and want the help of prayer.

Jas 5:15
The prayer of faith ( $\eta \varepsilon \cup \chi \eta \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Cf. 1:6 for prayer marked by faith.

Shall save ( $\sigma \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Future active of $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$, to make well. As in Mt 9:21f.; Mr 6:56. No reference here to salvation of the soul. The medicine does not heal the sick, but it helps nature (God) do it. The doctor cooperates with God in nature.

The sick ( $\tau 0 \nu \kappa \alpha \mu v o v \tau \alpha$ ). Present active articular participle of $\kappa \alpha \mu \nu \omega$, old verb, to grow weary (Heb 12:3), to be sick (here), only N.T. examples.

The Lord shall raise him up ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$ avtov o кирюo¢). Future active of $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon เ \rho \omega$. Precious promise, but not for a professional "faith-healer" who scoffs at medicine and makes merchandise out of prayer.

And if he have committed sins ( $\kappa \alpha v ~ а \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \varsigma ~ \eta \pi \varepsilon \pi о ו \eta \kappa \omega \varsigma) . ~ P e r i p h r a s t i c ~ p e r f e c t ~ a c t i v e ~$ subjunctive (unusual idiom) with ка $\varepsilon \alpha v$ (crasis каv) in condition of third class. Supposing that he has committed sins as many sick people have (Mr 2:5ff.; Joh 5:14; 9:2f.; 1Co 11:30).

It shall be forgiven him ( $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \alpha 0 \tau \omega$ ). Future passive of $\alpha \varphi ı \eta \mu$ (impersonal passive as in Mt 7:2,7; Ro 10:10 ). Not in any magical way, not because his sickness has been healed, not without change of heart and turning to God through Christ. Much is assumed here that is not expressed.

Jas 5:16
 Present middle (indirect) of $\varepsilon \xi \circ \mu \circ \lambda о \gamma \varepsilon \omega$. Confession of sin to God is already assumed. But public confession of certain sins to one another in the meetings is greatly helpful in many ways. This is not confessing to one man like a priest in place of the public confession. One may confess to the pastor without confessing to God or to the church, with little benefit to anybody.

Pray for one another ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \omega v)$. Present middle imperative. Keep this up.

That ye may be healed ( $0 \pi \omega \varsigma \iota \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Purpose clause with $o \pi \omega \varsigma$ and the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\iota \alpha o \mu \alpha$. Probably of bodily healing (verse 14), though $\iota \alpha o \mu \alpha$ is used also of healing of the soul (Mt 13:15; $1 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 24 ;$ Heb 12:13 ) as Mayor takes it here.

Availeth much ( $\pi 0 \lambda \nu \tau \sigma \chi \vee \varepsilon$ ). "Has much force." Present active indicative of $\sigma \sigma \chi \omega \omega$ (from เб $\chi \cup \varsigma$, strength).

In its working ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v \mu \varepsilon v \eta$ ). Probably the present middle participle of $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ as Paul apparently uses it in Ga 5:6; 2Co 4:12; 2Th 2:7, meaning "when it works." The passive is possible, as is the usual idiom elsewhere. Mayor argues strongly for the passive here, "when it is exercised" (Ropes).

Jas 5:17
Of like passions with us (o $о$ оьo $\pi \alpha \theta \eta \varsigma \eta \mu \nu \nu$ ). Associative-instrumental case $\eta \mu \nu \nu$ as with oного. This old compound adjective (oноьоб, $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \omega$ ), suffering the like with another, in N.T. only here and Ac 14:15.

He prayed fervently ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \eta ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \eta \cup \xi \alpha \tau о)$. First aorist middle indicative of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ and the instrumental case $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \eta$ (cognate substantive), after idiom for intensity in classical Greek, like $\varphi \varepsilon \cup \gamma \varepsilon เ v ~ \varphi \cup \gamma \eta$, to flee with all speed (figura etymologica), but particularly frequent in the LXX (Ge 2:17; 31:30) in imitation of the Hebrew infinitive absolute. So Lu 22:15; Joh 3:29; Ac 4:17 .

That it might not rain ( $\tau$ ov $\mu \eta \beta \rho \varepsilon \xi \alpha$ ). Genitive of the articular infinitive ( $\beta \rho \varepsilon \xi \alpha$, first aorist active of $\beta \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$, old verb, to moisten, Lu 7:38, to rain, Mt 5:45) with negative $\mu \eta$ used either for direct purpose, for an object clause as here and Ac 3:12; 15:20, or even for result.

For three years and six months ( $\varepsilon v i \alpha u \tau o u \varsigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ı \varsigma \kappa \alpha \mu \eta \nu a \varsigma \varepsilon \xi)$. Accusative of extent of time.

Jas 5:18
Gave rain (vetov $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). This idiom is in the LXX of God as here of heaven ( 1 Sa 12:17; $1 \mathrm{Ki} 18: 1$ ) and also in Ac 14:17 instead of $\varepsilon \beta \rho \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon v$ of verse 17. Huctov is old word for rain (from $v \omega$, to rain), genuine here, but not in verse 7 .

Brought forth ( $\varepsilon \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active of $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha v \omega$, old verb, to sprout (intransitive as Mr 4:27), here as occasionally in later Greek transitive with accusative карлоv.

Jas 5:19
If any one among you do err ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \nu v \mu i v \pi \lambda \alpha v \eta \theta \eta$ ). Third-class condition (supposed case) with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\pi \lambda \alpha v a \omega$, old verb, to go astray, to wander (Mt 18:12 ), figuratively (Heb 5:2 ).

From the truth ( $\alpha \pi 0 \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon 1 \alpha \varsigma$ ). For truth see 1:18; 3:14; Joh 8:32; 1Jo 1:6; 3:18f. It was easy then, and is now, to be led astray from Christ, who is the Truth.

And one convert him ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \eta \tau \iota \varsigma \alpha v \tau o v)$. Continuation of the third-class condition with the first aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, old verb, to turn (transitive here as in Lu 1:16f., but intransitive often as Ac 9:35).

Jas 5:20
Let him know ( $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \omega$ ). Present active imperative third person singular of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, but Westcott and Hort read $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ (know ye) after B. In either case it is the conclusion of the condition in verse 19 .

He which converteth (o $\varepsilon \pi\lrcorner \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active articular participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$ of verse 19 .

From the error ( $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \lambda \alpha \sim \eta \zeta$ ). "Out of the wandering" of verse 19 ( $\pi \lambda \alpha \sim \eta$, from which $\pi \lambda \alpha v a \omega$ is made). See 1Jo 4:6 for contrast between "truth" and "error."

A soul from death ( $\psi v \chi \eta v \varepsilon \kappa$ Өavatov). The soul of the sinner ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda o v$ ) won back to Christ, not the soul of the man winning him. A few MSS. have autov added (his soul), which leaves it ambiguous, but autov is not genuine. It is ultimate and final salvation here meant by the future ( $\sigma \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ).

Shall cover a multitude of sins ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \psi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \theta_{0} \varsigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \tau \omega v$ ). Future active of $\kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \pi \tau \omega$, old verb, to hide, to veil. But whose sins (those of the converter or the converted)? The Roman Catholics (also Mayor and Ropes) take it of the sins of the converter, who thus saves himself by saving others. The language here will allow that, but not New Testament teaching in general. It is apparently a proverbial saying which Resch considers one of the unwritten sayings of Christ (Clem. Al. Paed. iii. 12). It occurs also in 1Pe 4:8, where it clearly means the sins of others covered by love as a veil thrown over them. The saying appears also in Pr 10:12 : "Hatred stirs up strife, but love hides all transgressions"--that is "love refuses to see faults" (Mayor admits). That is undoubtedly the meaning in 1Pe 4:8; Jas 5:20 .

## THE FIRST EPISTLE GENERAL

OF PETER
ABOUT A.D. 65

## BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

THE AUTHOR
The Epistle is not anonymous, but claims to be written by "Peter, an apostle of Jesus Christ" ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 1$ ), that is Cephas (Simon Peter). If this is not true, then the book is pseudonymous by a late writer who assumed Peter's name, as in the so-called Gospel of Peter, Apocalypse of Peter, etc. "There is no book in the New Testament which has earlier, better, or stronger attestation, though Irenaeus is the first to quote it by name" (Bigg). Eusebius (H.E. iii. 25.2) places it among the acknowledged books, those accepted with no doubt at all. We here assume that Simon Peter wrote this Epistle or at any rate dictated it by an amanuensis, as Paul did in Romans (Ro 16:22). Bigg suggests Silvanus (Silas) as the amanuensis or interpreter ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 5: 12$ ), the obvious meaning of the language ( $\delta$ ta, through). He may also have been the bearer of the Epistle. It happens that we know more of Peter's life than of any of the twelve apostles because of his prominence in the Gospels and in the first fifteen chapters of the Acts. In the Student's Chronological New Testament I have given a full list of the passages in the Gospels where Peter appears with any clearness and the material is rich and abundant. The account in Acts is briefer, though Peter is the outstanding man in the first five chapters during his career in Jerusalem. After the conversion of Saul he begins to work outside of Jerusalem and after escaping death at the hands of Herod Agrippa I (Ac 12:3ff. ) he left for a while, but is back in Jerusalem at the Conference called by Paul and Barnabas (Ac 15:6-14; Gal 2:1-10 ). After that we have no more about him in Acts, though he reappears in Antioch and is rebuked by Paul for cowardice because of the Judaizers (Ga 2:11-21). He travelled for the Gospel among the Jews of the Dispersion (Ga 2:9) with his wife (1Co 9:5), and went to Asia Minor (1Pe 1:1) and as far as Babylon or Rome (1Pe 5:13 ). Besides Silvanus he had John Mark with him also (1Pe 5:13), who was said by the early Christian writers to have been Peter's "interpreter" in his preaching, since Peter was not expert in the Greek (Ac 4:13) , and who also wrote his Gospel under the inspiration of Peter's preaching. We are not able to follow clearly the close of his life or to tell precisely the time of his death. He was apparently put to death in A.D. 67 or 68 , but some think that he was executed in Rome in A.D. 64.

THE DATE
This question is tied up with that of the genuineness of the Epistle, the time of Peter's death, the use of Paul's Epistles, the persecution referred to in the Epistle. Assuming the genuineness of the Epistle and the death of Peter about A.D. 67 or 68 and the persecution
to be not that under Domitian or Trajan, but under Nero, the date can be assumed to be about A.D. 65.

## THE USE OF PAUL'S EPISTLES

There are two extremes about the relation of Peter to Paul. One is that of violent antithesis, with Peter and Paul opposing one another by exaggerating and prolonging Paul's denunciation of Peter's cowardice in Antioch (Ga 2:11-21) and making Peter also the exponent of a Jewish type of Christianity (practically a Judaizing type). This view of Baur once had quite a following, but it has nearly disappeared. Under its influence Acts and Peter's Epistles were considered not genuine, but documents designed to patch up the disagreement between Peter and Paul. The other extreme is to deny any Pauline influence on Peter or of Peter on Paul. Paul was friendly to Peter (Ga 1:18), but was independent of his ecclesiastical authority ( $\mathrm{Ga} 2: 1-10$ ) and Peter championed Paul's cause in the Jerusalem Conference (Ac 15:7-13 ). Peter was certainly not a Judaizer (Ac 11:1-18), in spite of his temporary defection in Antioch. Undoubtedly Peter was won back to cordial relations with Paul if any confidence can be placed in $2 \mathrm{Pe} 3: 15 \mathrm{f}$. There is no reason for doubting that Peter was familiar with some of Paul's Epistles as there indicated. There is some indication of Peter's use of Romans and Ephesians in this Epistle. It is not always conclusive to find the same words and even ideas which are not formally quoted, because there was a Christian vocabulary and a body of doctrinal ideas in common though with personal variations in expression. Peter may have read James, but not the Pastoral Epistles. There are points of contact with Hebrews which Von Soden considers sufficiently accounted for by the fact that Peter and the author of Hebrews were contemporaries.

THE PERSECUTION PICTURED IN THE EPISTLE
Peter himself knew what persecution was at the hands of the Sanhedrin and of Herod Agrippa I (both church and state). If First Peter was written A.D. 65, there was time enough for the persecution of Nero in Rome in A.D. 64 to spread to Asia Minor. The province easily imitated the capital city. Paul's life in the Acts and his Epistles abundantly show how early persecution arose in Asia Minor. The Apocalypse, written during the reign of Domitian, shows that persecution from the state had been on hand long before and was an old burden. We know too little of the history of Christianity in Asia Minor from A.D. 60 to 70 to deny that the fiery trials and suffering as a Christian ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 4: 16$ ) can be true of this period. So we locate the persecution at this time as an echo from Rome.

## THE PLACE OF WRITING

Peter states that he is in Babylon (1Pe 5:13 ), apparently with his wife (1Co 9:5 ). It is not certain whether he means actual Babylon, where Jews had been numerous, or mystical Babylon (Rome) as in the Apocalypse. We do not know when Rome began to be called Babylon. It may have started as a result of Nero's persecution of the Christians after the burning of Rome. The Christians were called "evil-doers" (1Pe 2:12) in the time of Nero
(Tacitus, Ann. XV. 44). So we can think of Rome as the place of writing and that Peter uses "Babylon" to hide his actual location from Nero. Whether Peter came to Rome while Paul was still there we do not know, though John Mark was there with Paul (Col 4:10). "At the time when it was written Babylon had not yet unmasked all its terrors, and the ordinary Christian was not in immediate danger of the tunica ardens, or the red-hot iron chair, or the wild beasts, or the stake" (Bigg).

THE READERS
Peter writes "to the elect who are sojourners of the Dispersion in Pontus, Galatia, Cappadocia, Asia, and Bithynia" ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 1$ ). These five Roman provinces are naturally given from the standpoint of Babylon. In Galatia and Asia Paul had labored, though not all over these provinces. At any rate, there is no reason to wonder that Peter should himself work in the same regions where Paul had been. In a general way Paul and Peter had agreed on separate spheres of activity, Paul to the Gentiles and Peter to the Jews (Ga 21:7ff. ), though the distinction was not absolute, for Paul usually began his work in the Jewish synagogue. Probably the readers are mainly Jewish Christians. but not to the exclusion of Gentiles. Peter has clearly Paul's idea that Christianity is the true Judaism of God's promise (1Pe 2:4-10)

THE PURPOSE
Evidently Peter's object is to cheer and strengthen the Christians in these five provinces who are undergoing fiery trials ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 7 \mathrm{f}$. ). There is every reason why Peter, as the leading apostle to the circumcision, should write to these believers in the provinces, especially since Paul's long imprisonment in Caesarea and Rome had removed him from his accustomed activities and travel.

## THE STYLE AND VOCABULARY

Like Peter's discourses in the Acts, the Epistle is mainly hortatory, with a minimum of argument and little of the closely knit reasoning seen in Romans. There is frequent use of the LXX and the Greek is decent Koine with little of the uncouth Aramaic of the Galilean (Mt 26:73 ), or of the vernacular Koine as seen in the papyri or in II Peter (Ac 4:13). This fact may be accounted for by the help of Silvanus as amanuensis. There are sixty-two words in the Greek of the Epistle not occurring elsewhere in the N.T. There is verbal iteration as in II Peter. "One idea haunts the whole Epistle; to the author, as to the patriarch Jacob, life is a pilgrimage; it is essentially an old man's view" (Bigg). But it is an old man who has lived long with Christ. Peter has learned the lesson of humility and patience from Jesus his Lord.
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## 1 Peter 1

## 1Pet 1:1

Peter (Пятроऽ). Greek form for the Aramaic (Chaldaic) X $\eta \varphi \alpha \varsigma$, the nickname given Simon by Jesus when he first saw him (Joh 1:42) and reaffirmed in the Greek form on his great confession (Mt 16:18), with an allusion to $\pi \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha$, another form for a rock, ledge, or cliff. In $2 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 1$ we have both $\Sigma \mu \omega \nu$ and $\Pi \varepsilon \tau \rho \circ \varsigma$. Paul in his Epistles always terms himself Paul, not Saul. So Peter uses this name, not Cephas or Simon, because he is writing to Christians scattered over Asia Minor. The nominative absolute occurs here as in Jas 1:1, but without $\chi$ alpsıv as there, the usual form of greeting in letters (Ac 23:26) so common in the papyri.

An apostle of Jesus Christ ( $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau о \lambda$ оc I I $\sigma o v \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 v$ ). This is his official title, but in 2 Pe 1:1 $\delta$ ou入os is added, which occurs alone in Jas 1:1. In II and III John we have only o $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$ (the elder), as Peter terms himself $\sigma \cup v \pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho о \varsigma$ in $1 \mathrm{Pe} 5: 1$. Paul's usage varies greatly: only the names in I and II Thessalonians, the title a $\quad$ ooto fended in Galatians and Romans as also in I and II Corinthians and Colossians and Ephesians and II Timothy with "by the will of God" added, and in I Timothy with the addition of "according to the command of God." In Philippians Paul has only "סou入os (slave) Xpıбтои In $\sigma o v$, ," like James and Jude. In Romans and Titus Paul has both $\delta o u \lambda o \varsigma$ and $\alpha \pi о \sigma \tau о \lambda o \varsigma$, like II Peter, while in Philemon he uses only $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \ldots \varsigma$ (prisoner) I $\eta \sigma o v$ X $\rho ı \sigma \tau o u$.

To the elect ( $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau о \iota \varsigma)$. Without article (with the article in Mt 24:22,24,31) and dative case, "to elect persons" (viewed as a group). Bigg takes $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau$ тos (old, but rare verbal adjective from $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, to pick out, to select) as an adjective describing the next word, "to elect so-
 $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \mathrm{o}$ (called) and $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \circ$ (chosen) in Mt 22:14.

Who are sojourners ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \pi \iota \delta \eta \mu o \iota$ ). Late double compound adjective ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$, $\varepsilon \pi \downarrow \delta \eta \mu \circ \cup v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$, Ac 2:10, to sojourn by the side of natives), strangers sojourning for a while in a particular place. So in Polybius, papyri, in LXX only twice (Ge 23:4; 38 or 39 12), in N.T. only here, $2: 11$; Heb 11:13. The picture in the metaphor here is that heaven is our native country and we are only temporary sojourners here on earth.

Of the Dispersion ( $\delta$ ıббтораৎ). See Joh 7:35 for literal sense of the word for scattered (from $\delta \iota a \sigma \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$, to scatter abroad, Ac 8:1 ) Jews outside of Palestine, and Jas 1:1 for the sense here to Jewish Christians, including Gentile Christians (only N T. examples). Note absence of the article, though a definite conception (of the Dispersion). The Christian is a pilgrim on his way to the homeland. These five Roman provinces include what we call Asia Minor north and west of the Taurus mountain range (Hort). Hort suggests that the order here suggests that Silvanus (bearer of the Epistle) was to land in Pontus from the Euxine Sea, proceed through Galatia, Cappadocia, Asia, to Bithynia, where he would re-embark for

Rome. This, he holds, explains the separation of Pontus and Bithynia, though the same province. Only Galatia and Asia are mentioned elsewhere in the N.T. as having Christian converts, but the N.T. by no means gives a full account of the spread of the Gospel, as can be judged from Col 1:6,23.

1Pet 1:2
According to (ката). Probably to be connected with $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau о \iota \varsigma ~ r a t h e r ~ t h a n ~ w i t h ~$ a $\pi$ обто入os in spite of a rather loose arrangement of words and the absence of articles in verses 1,2.

The foreknowledge ( $\pi \rho \sigma \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau v$ ). Late substantive (Plutarch, Lucian, papyri) from $\pi \rho о \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ ( 1:20), to know beforehand, only twice in N.T. (here and Ac 2:23 in Peter's sermon). In this Epistle Peter often uses substantives rather than verbs (cf. Ro 8:29).

Of God the Father ( $\theta$ عov $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma)$. Anarthous again and genitive case. See $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ applied to God also in 1:3,17 as often by Paul (Ro 1:7, etc.). Peter here presents the Trinity (God the Father, the Spirit, Jesus Christ).

In sanctification of the Spirit ( $\varepsilon v a \gamma \iota \sigma \sigma \mu \omega \pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau \sigma \varsigma)$. Clearly the Holy Spirit, though anarthrous like $\theta$ عou $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma . ~ L a t e ~ w o r d ~ f r o m ~ \alpha \gamma ı \alpha \zeta \omega$, to render holy ( $\alpha \gamma \ldots \varsigma$ ), to consecrate, as in 1Th 4:7. The subjective genitive here, sanctification wrought by the Spirit as in 2 Th 2:13 (where the Trinity mentioned as here).

Unto obedience ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ v \pi \alpha к о \eta v) . ~ O b e d i e n c e ~(f r o m ~ v \pi а к о v \omega, ~ t o ~ h e a r ~ u n d e r, ~ t o ~ h e a r k e n) ~$ to the Lord Jesus as in 1:22 "to the truth," result of "the sanctification."

And sprinkling of the blood of Jesus Christ ( $\rho \alpha \tau_{\tau}$ substantive from $\rho a v \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, to sprinkle (Heb 9:13) , a word used in the LXX of the sacrifices ( Nu 19:9,13,20 , etc.), but not in any non-biblical source so far as known, in N.T. only here and Heb 12:24 (of the sprinkling of blood). Reference to the death of Christ on the Cross and to the ratification of the New Covenant by the blood of Christ as given in Heb 9:19f.; 12:24 with allusion to Ex 24:3-8. Paul does not mention this ritual use of the blood of Christ, but Jesus does (Mt 26:28; Mr 14:24). Hence it is not surprising to find the use of it by Peter and the author of Hebrews. Hort suggests that Peter may also have an ulterior reference to the blood of the martyrs as in Re 7:14f.; 12:11, but only as illustration of what Jesus did for us, not as having any value. The whole Epistle is a commentary upon $\pi \rho \circ \gamma v \omega \sigma \iota, \theta \varepsilon o v$,


Be multiplied ( $\pi \lambda \eta \theta \nu v \theta \varepsilon ı \eta$ ). First aorist passive optative (volitive) of $\pi \lambda \eta \theta \nu v \omega$, old verb (from $\pi \lambda \eta \theta v \varsigma$, fulness), in a wish. So in $2 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 2$; Jude 1:2, but nowhere else in N.T. salutations. Grace and peace ( $\chi \alpha \rho ı \varsigma ~ к \alpha ~ \varepsilon ı \rho \eta \nu \eta)$ occur together in 2Pe 1:2, in 2Jo 1:2 (with $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varsigma)$, and in all Paul's Epistles (with $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon o \varsigma$ added in I and II Timothy).

1Pet 1:3

Blessed be ( $\varepsilon \nu \lambda о ү \eta \tau \circ \varsigma)$. No copula in the Greek ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega$, let be, or $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$, is, or $\varepsilon ı \eta$, may be). The verbal adjective (from $\varepsilon v \lambda o \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ ) occurs in the N.T. only of God, as in the LXX (Lu 1:68). See also 2Co 1:3; Eph 1:3.

The God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ (o $\theta \varepsilon \circ \varsigma \kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ тоv кирıо $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 v$ ). This precise language in 2Co 1:3; Eph I:3 ; and part of it in 2Co 11:31; Ro 15:6 . See Joh 20:17 for similar language by Jesus.

Great ( $\pi 0 \lambda v$ ). Much.
Begat us again ( $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \nu \nu \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). First aorist active articular (o, who) participle of avaүعvva $\omega$, late, and rare word to beget again, in Aleph for Sirach (Prol. 20), in Philo, in Hermetic writings, in N.T. only here and verse 23. "It was probably borrowed by the New Paganism from Christianity" (Bigg). The Stoics used $\alpha \nu \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \nu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$ for $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \iota \alpha$ (Tit 3:5 ). If $\alpha v \omega \theta \varepsilon v$ in Joh 3:3 be taken to mean "again," the same idea of regeneration is there, and if "from above" it is the new birth, anyhow.

Unto a living hope ( $\varepsilon \iota \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta \alpha \zeta \omega \sigma \alpha v$ ). Peter is fond of the word "living" (present active participle of $\zeta \alpha \omega)$ as in $1: 23 ; 2: 4,5,24 ; 4: 5,6$. The Pharisees cherished the hope of the resurrection (Ac 23:6), but the resurrection of Jesus gave it proof and permanence (1Co 15:14,17 ). It is no longer a dead hope like dead faith (Jas 2:17,26 ). This revival of hope was wrought "by the resurrection of Jesus Christ" ( $\delta ı \alpha \alpha \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Hope rose up with Christ from the dead, though the disciples (Peter included) were slow at first to believe it.

1Pet 1:4
Unto an inheritance ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \kappa \lambda \rho о \nu о \mu \iota \alpha v$ ). Old word (from $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho о \nu о \mu \circ \varsigma$, heir) for the property received by the heir ( Mt 21:38) , here a picture of the blessedness in store for us pilgrims (Ga 3:18) .

Incorruptible ( $\alpha \varphi \theta \alpha \rho \tau o v$ ). Old compound adjective (alpha privative and $\varphi \theta \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$, to corrupt), imperishable. So many inheritances vanish away before they are obtained.

Undefiled ( $\alpha \mu \mathrm{L} \alpha \nu \tau \sigma v$ ). Old verbal adjective (note alliteration) from alpha privative and $\mu \iota \downarrow \nu \omega$, to defile, without defect or flaw in the title, in N.T. only here, Jas 1:27; Heb 13:4.

That fadeth not away ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Alliterative and verbal adjective again from alpha privative and $\mu \alpha \rho a \iota \omega \omega$ (to dry up, to wither, as in Jas 1:11), late and rare word in several inscriptions on tombs, here only in N.T. These inscriptions will fade away, but not this inheritance in Christ. It will not be like a faded rose.

Reserved ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho \eta \mu \varepsilon v \eta v$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to take care of, to guard. No burglars or bandits can break through where this inheritance is kept (Mt 6:19f.; Joh 17:11f. ). Cf. Col 1:5, where laid away" ( $\alpha \pi о \kappa \varepsilon \iota \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta v$ ) occurs.

For you ( $\varepsilon เ \varsigma \nu \mu \varsigma)$. More graphic than the mere dative.
1Pet 1:5
By the power of God ( $\varepsilon v \delta v v a \mu \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon o v)$. No other $\delta v v a \mu ı$ (power) like this (Col 1:3).

Are guarded ( $\varphi \rho$ oupovacvouc). Present (continuous process) passive articular (тov¢) participle of $\varphi \rho \circ$ ov $\varepsilon \omega$, to garrison, old verb (from $\varphi \rho o u \rho o \varsigma ~ s e n t i n e l), ~ a ~ m i l i t a r y ~ t e r m ~(A c ~$ 9:24; 2Co 11:32 ), used of God's love (Php 4:7) as here. "The inheritance is kept; the heirs are guarded" (Bengel).

Through faith ( $\delta \iota \alpha \pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Intermediate agency ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ ), the immediate being ( $\varepsilon v$, in, by) God's power.

Unto a salvation ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \omega \tau \eta \rho ı \alpha v$ ). Deliverance is the goal ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ) of the process and final salvation here, consummation as in 1Th 5:8, from $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$ (Saviour, from $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$, to save).

Ready ( $\varepsilon \tau o \not \mu \eta \nu)$. Prepared awaiting God's will (Ga 3:23; Ro 8:18).
To be revealed ( $\alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \varphi \theta \eta \nu \alpha)$. First aorist passive infinitive of $\alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \pi \tau \omega$, to unveil. Cf. Col 3:4 for $\varphi$ avepo $\omega$ (to manifest) in this sense.

In the last time ( $\varepsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \mu \rho \omega \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau \omega)$. This precise phrase nowhere else, but similar ones in Joh 6:39; Ac 2:17; Jas 5:3; 2 Ti 3:1; 2Pe 3:3; He 1:2; Jude 1:18; 1Jo 2:18. Hort translates it here "in a season of extremity," but it is usually taken to refer to the Day of Judgment. That day no one knows, Jesus said.

1Pet 1:6
Wherein $(\varepsilon v \omega)$. This translation refers the relative $\omega$ to $\kappa \alpha \iota \rho \omega$, but it is possible to see a reference to Xpıбтov (verse 3) or to $\theta$ vov (verse 5) or even to the entire content of verses $3-5$. Either makes sense, though possibly kaıp $\omega$ is correct.

Ye greatly rejoice ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda_{1} \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Present middle indicative (rather than imperative) of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \propto о \mu \alpha$, late verb from $\alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda о \mu \alpha$, to rejoice, only in LXX, N.T., and ecclesiastical literature as in Mt 5:12 .
 Mr 6:31, though it can be used of space (to a small extent) as in Lu 5:3 .

If need be ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \sigma v$ ). Present active neuter singular participle of $\delta \varepsilon$ (it is necessary). Some MSS. have $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ after $\delta \varepsilon o v$ (periphrastic construction). Condition of first class.

Though ye have been put to grief ( $\lambda \nu \pi \eta \theta \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist passive participle (concessive circumstantial use) of $\lambda \cup \pi \varepsilon \omega$, to make sorrowful (from $\lambda \nu \pi \eta$, sorrow), old and common verb. See 2Co 6:10 .

In manifold temptations ( $\varepsilon v$ токкıдоья $\pi \varepsilon ь \rho а \sigma \mu о ь \varsigma) . ~ J u s t ~ t h e ~ p h r a s e ~ i n ~ J a s ~ 1: 2, ~ w h i c h ~$ see for discussion. "Trials" clearly right here as there. Seven N.T. writers use тогклоৎ (varied).

1Pet 1:7
The proof of your faith ( $\tau$ о $\delta о к ı \mu \circ v \nu \mu \omega \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \mid \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). The identical phrase in Jas 1:3 and probably derived from there by Peter. See there for discussion of to סокцноv (the test or touchstone of faith).

Being more precious ( $л о \lambda \nu \tau \iota \mu о \tau \varepsilon \rho о v)$. No word for "being" (ov) in the Greek. The secondary uncials have $\pi о \lambda \nu \tau \tau \mu \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$. The text is the comparative of $\pi \sigma \lambda \nu \tau \tau \mu \sigma \varsigma$, late adjective (Plutarch) from $\pi 0 \lambda v$ and $\tau \mu \mu \eta$ (of great price) as in Mt 13:46 .

Than gold ( $\chi \rho v \sigma \iota o v$ ). Ablative case after the comparative adjective.
That perisheth ( $\tau 0 v \alpha \pi o \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Present middle articular participle of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$ to destroy. Even gold perishes (wears away).

Though it is proved by fire ( $\delta \iota \alpha \pi \nu \rho о \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \delta о к \iota \mu \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Present passive articular participle (in the ablative like $\chi \rho v \sigma \iota o v)$ of $\delta о к \iota \mu \zeta \omega$ (common verb for testing metals) with $\delta \varepsilon$, which gives a concessive sense to the participle. Faith stands the test of fire better than gold, but even gold is refined by fire.

That might be found ( $\imath v \alpha \varepsilon v \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta$ ). Purpose clause with $\tau v \alpha$ and the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\varepsilon \cup \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$, common verb, to find. As in $2 \mathrm{Pe} 3: 14$, this is the result of the probation by God as the Refiner of hearts.

Unto praise and glory and honour ( $\left.\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \alpha ı v o v \kappa \alpha \delta_{\circ} \xi \alpha \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \iota \mu \nu\right)$. Here probably both to God and man in the result. Cf. Mt 5:11f.; Ro 2:7,10; 1Ti 1:17.

At the revelation of Jesus Christ ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \lambda v \psi \varepsilon$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o v)$. So also in 1:13; 4:13; 2Th 1:7; 1Co 1:7; Lu 17:30 of the second coming of Christ as the Judge and Rewarder (Bigg).

1Pet 1:8
Whom (ov). Relative referring to Christ just before and accusative case, object of both ı $\delta o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ and $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ (ye love).

Not having seen (ouk $\delta \delta \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Second aorist active participle of opa $\omega$, to see, with ouk rather than $\mu \eta$ because it negatives an actual experience in contrast with $\mu \eta$ o $\omega \omega \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ (though not seeing, hypothetical case). On whom ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$ for "believing on" ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. It is possible that Peter here has in mind the words of Jesus to Thomas as recorded in Joh 20:29 ("Happy are those not seeing and yet believing"). Peter was present and heard the words of Jesus to Thomas, and so he could use them before John wrote his Gospel.

Ye rejoice greatly ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda_{\iota} \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Same form as in verse 6, only active here instead of middle.

With joy ( $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ). Instrumental case (manner).
Unspeakable ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \tau \omega$ ). Late and rare double compound verbal (alpha privative and $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ ), here only in N.T., in Dioscorides and Heliodorus, "unutterable," like Paul's "indescribable" ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \kappa \delta ı \eta \gamma \eta \tau о \varsigma)$ gift (2Co 9:15 , here alone in N.T.).

Full of glory ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta o \xi \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\delta o \xi \alpha \zeta \omega$, to glorify, "glorified joy," like the glorified face of Moses (Ex 34:29ff.; 2Co 3:10 .

1Pet 1:9
 to get what is promised (5:4; Heb 10:36).

The end of your faith ( $\tau 0 \tau \varepsilon \lambda \circ \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). The conclusion, the culmination of faith (2Co 3:13; Ro 2:21f.; 10:4 ). See Heb 12:2 of Jesus as "Pioneer and Perfecter of Faith."

Even the salvation of your souls ( $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha v \psi v \chi \omega v$ ). No "even" in the text, just the accusative of apposition with $\tau \varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma$, viz., final salvation.

1Pet 1:10
Concerning which salvation ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \eta \varsigma \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. Another relative clause (taking up $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha$ from verse 9 and incorporating it) in this long sentence (verses 3-12, inclusive, all connected by relatives). Peter lingers over the word $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho ⿺ \alpha$ (salvation) with something new to say each time (Bigg). Here it is the general sense of the gospel of grace.

Sought ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \zeta \eta \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \omega$, to seek out (Ac 15:17) , late and rare compound, only in LXX and N.T. save once in Aristides.

Searched diligently ( $\varepsilon \xi \eta \rho \alpha v \nu \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu v \alpha \omega$, old and common compound ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon v v \alpha \omega$ ), to search out diligently, here only in N.T. Both of these words occur together in I Macc. 9:26.

Of the grace that should come unto you ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \nu \mu \varsigma \chi \alpha \rho ı \tau о \varsigma)$. "Concerning the for you grace" (meant for you).

1Pet 1:11
Searching ( $\varepsilon \rho \alpha v \nu \omega \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\varepsilon \rho \alpha v \nu \alpha \omega$, late form for older $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \cup v a \omega$ (both in the papyri), uncompounded verb (Joh 7:52 ), the compound occurring in verse 10 above.
 (qualitative interrogative) kept here as in 1Co 15:35, Ro 3:27, though it is losing its distinctive sense from tıৎ (Ac 23:34). The prophets knew what they prophesied, but not at what time the Messianic prophecies would be fulfilled.

The Spirit of Christ which was in them ( $\tau \circ \varepsilon \nu \alpha v \tau o \iota \varsigma \pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha$ X $\rho เ \sigma \tau \circ v$ ). Peter definitely asserts here that the Spirit of Jesus Christ (the Messiah) was in the Old Testament prophets, the Holy Spirit called the Spirit of Christ and the Spirit of God (Ro 8:9), who spoke to the prophets as he would speak to the apostles (Joh 16:14).

Did point unto $(\varepsilon \delta \eta \lambda o v)$. Imperfect active of $\delta \eta \lambda o \omega$, to make plain, "did keep on pointing to," though they did not clearly perceive the time.

When it testified beforehand ( $\pi \rho \circ \mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho o \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. Present middle participle of $\pi \rho о \mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho о \mu \alpha$, a late compound unknown elsewhere save in a writer of the fourteenth century (Theodorus Mech.) and now in a papyrus of the eighth. It is neuter here because $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ is neuter, but this grammatical gender should not be retained as "it" in English, but should be rendered "he" (and so as to Ac 8:15 ). Here we have predictive prophecy concerning the Messiah, though some modern critics fail to find predictions of the Messiah in the Old Testament.

The sufferings of Christ ( $\tau \alpha \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ X $\_\sigma \tau о v \pi \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). "The sufferings for (destined for) Christ" like the use of $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ in verse 10 ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \nu \mu a \varsigma$ for you).

The glories that should follow them ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha \delta о \xi \alpha \varsigma)$. "The after these things (sufferings) glories." The plural of $\delta 0 \xi \alpha$ is rare, but occurs in Ex 15:11; Ho 9:11 . The glories of Christ followed the sufferings as in 4:13; 5:1,6 .

1Pet 1:12
To whom (oıs). Dative plural of the relative pronoun. To the prophets who were seeking to understand. Bigg observes that "the connexion between study and inspiration is a great mystery." Surely, but that is no argument for ignorance or obscurantism. We do the best that we can and only skirt the shore of knowledge, as Newton said.

It was revealed ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \varphi \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \pi \tau \omega$, old verb, to reveal, to unveil. Here is revelation about the revelation already received, revelation after research.

Did they minister ( $\delta \iota \eta \kappa о v o v v$ ). Imperfect active of $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa о v \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to minister, "were they ministering."

Have been announced ( $\alpha \nu \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \eta$ ). Second aorist passive indicative of anaggello , to report, to bring back tidings (Joh 4:25).
Through them ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). Intermediate agent ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ ), "the gospelizers" ( $\tau \omega v$ $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota} \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \omega v$, articular first aorist middle participle of $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{l} \zeta \omega$, to preach the gospel).

By the Holy Ghost ( $\pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \omega)$. Instrumental case of the personal agent, "by the Holy Spirit" (without article).

Sent forth from heaven ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v \tau)$. Second aorist passive participle of $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ in instrumental case agreeing with $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \omega$ (the Spirit of Christ of verse 11.

Desire ( $\varepsilon \pi \imath \theta \nu \mu \circ v \sigma \iota v$ ). Eagerly desire (present active indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \downarrow \theta \nu \mu \varepsilon \omega$, to long for).

To look into ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa v \psi \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa и \pi \tau \omega$, old compound to peer into as in Lu 24:12; Joh 20:5,11; Jas 1:25, which see. For the interest of angels in the Incarnation see Lu 2:13f .

1Pet 1:13
Wherefore ( $\delta$ ıo). "Because of which thing," the glorious free grace opened for Gentiles and Jews in Christ (verses 3-12).

Girding up ( $\alpha v \alpha \zeta \omega \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). First aorist middle participle of $\alpha v \alpha \zeta \omega v v \nu \mu$, late and rare verb (Jud 18:16; Pr 29:35; 31:17), here only in N.T., vivid metaphor for habit of the Orientals, who quickly gathered up their loose robes with a girdle when in a hurry or starting on a journey.

The loins ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma$ oб $\varphi \cup \alpha \varsigma)$. Old word for the part of the body where the girdle ( $\zeta \omega v \eta$ ) was worn. Metaphor here as in Lu 12:35; Eph 6:14.

Mind ( $\delta$ ıovotaৎ). Old word for the faculty of understanding, of seeing through a thing ( $\delta \operatorname{la}, \operatorname{vos} \omega$ ) as in Mt 22:37 .

Be sober (v $\eta \varphi \circ \vee \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ) "Being sober" (present active participle of $v \eta \varphi \omega$, old verb, but in N.T. always as metaphor ( 1 Th 5:6,8, etc., and so in 4:7).

Perfectly ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega \omega \varsigma$ ). Adverb, old word (here alone in N.T.), from adjective $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ o \varsigma$ (perfect), connected with $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ (set your hope, first aorist active imperative of $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \omega$ ) in the Revised Version, but Bigg, Hort, and most modern commentators take it according to Peter's usual custom with the preceding verb, vŋүovteৎ ("being perfectly sober," not "hope perfectly").

That is to be brought ( $\tau \eta \nu \varphi \varepsilon \rho о \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \nu$ ). Present passive articular participle of $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, picturing the process, "that is being brought." For "revelation" ( $\alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \psi \varepsilon$ ) see end of verse 7.

1Pet 1:14
As children of obedience ( $\omega \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \kappa v \alpha$ vтакопऽ). A common Hebraism (descriptive genitive frequent in LXX and N.T., like vıo $\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \pi \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha \varsigma$, children of disobedience, in Eph 2:2 ) suggested by vтакопv in verse 2 , "children marked by obedience."

Not fashioning yourselves ( $\mu \eta \sigma v v \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \rho \mu v o$ ). Usual negative $\mu \eta$ with the participle (present direct middle of $\sigma \cup v \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, a rare (Aristotle, Plutarch) compound ( $\sigma 0 v$, $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, from $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha$ from $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ ), in N.T. only here and Ro 12:2 (the outward pattern in contrast with the inward change $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu о \rho \varphi о \omega)$. See Php 2:6f. for contrast between $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha$ (pattern) and $\mu \circ \rho \varphi \eta$ (form).

According to your former lusts ( $\tau \alpha \iota \varsigma \pi \rho о \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v \varepsilon \pi \imath \theta \nu \mu \iota \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. Associative instrumental case after $\sigma \cup v \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v o$ and the bad sense of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ as in 4:2; 2Pe 1:4; Jas 1:14f.

In the time of your ignorance ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \alpha \gamma v o l \alpha \nu \mu \omega v$ ). "In your ignorance," but in attributive position before "lusts." A $\gamma \operatorname{voo} \alpha$ (from $\alpha \gamma v o \varepsilon \omega$, to be ignorant) is old word, in N.T. only here, Ac 3:17; 17:30; Eph 4:18.

1Pet 1:15
But like as he which called you is holy ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ тоv $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha v \tau \alpha \cup \mu \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha \gamma ю v)$ ). This use of к $\alpha \tau \alpha$ is a regular Greek idiom (here in contrast with $\sigma u v \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). "But according to the holy one calling you or who called you" (first aorist articular participle of $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to call). God is our standard or pattern ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ ), not our lusts.

Be ye yourselves also holy ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \cup \tau o ~ \alpha \gamma เ о ~ \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist (ingressive) passive imperative of $\gamma เ v o \mu \alpha$, to become with allusion ( $\kappa \alpha$ also) to ката (God as our example), "Do ye also become holy." For $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \rho o \varphi \eta$ (manner of life) see verse 18; 2:12; 3:1-16; Jas 3:13; 2Pe 2:7. Peter uses $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \rho o \varphi \eta$ eight times. The original meaning (turning up and down, back and forth) suited the Latin word conversatio (converto), but not our modern "conversation" (talk, not walk).

1Pet 1:16
Because it is written ( $\delta$ เо $\tau \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha$ ). "Because ( $\delta$ เo $\tau$ stronger than o $\tau$ below) it stands written" (regular formula for O.T. quotation, perfect passive indicative of $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$ ). The
quotation is from Le 11:44; 19:2; 20:7. Reenforced by Jesus in Mt 5:48. The future $\varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ here is volitive like an imperative.

1Pet 1:17
If ye call ( $\varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \kappa \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon เ \sigma \theta \varepsilon)$. Condition of first class and present middle indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to call a name on, to name (Ac 10:18).

As Father ( $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). Predicate accusative in apposition with $\tau 0 \nu-$-крıvovta.
Without respect of persons ( $\alpha \pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau \omega \varsigma$ ). Found nowhere else except in the later Ep. of Clem. of Rome and Ep. of Barn., from alpha privative and $\pi \rho о \sigma \omega \pi о \lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau \eta \varsigma$ (Ac 10:34. See Jas 2:9 for $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau \varepsilon \omega$ and 1:1 for $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \lambda \eta \mu \psi \stackrel{\alpha}{ }$ ) from $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \nu$ $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$ (in imitation of the Hebrew).

According to each man's work ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ то єкабтоv врүоv). "According to the deed of each one" God judges (крıvoviа) just as Christ judges also (2Co 5:10).

Pass ( $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist passive imperative of $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, metaphorical sense as in 2Co 1:12; 2Pe 2:18.

The time ( $\tau 0 v \chi \rho \circ \vee o v$ ). Accusative case of extent of time.
Of your sojourning ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho о \kappa \kappa \varsigma \varsigma \nu \mu \omega v$ ). A late word, found in LXX (Ps 119:5) and in N.T. only here and Ac 13:17 and in ecclesiastical writers (one late Christian inscription). It comes from $\pi \alpha \rho о к \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to dwell beside (in one's neighbourhood), and so of pil-
 on earth, then of a local region (our "parish"). Peter here recurs to 1:1 ("sojourners of the Dispersion").

In fear $(\varepsilon v \varphi \circ \beta \omega)$. Emphatic position at beginning of the clause with $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon$ at the end.

1Pet 1:18
Knowing (عı $\delta$ ote¢). Second perfect active participle of ot $\delta a$, causal participle. The appeal is to an elementary Christian belief (Hort), the holiness and justice of God with the added thought of the high cost of redemption (Bigg).

Ye were redeemed $(\varepsilon \lambda \nu \tau \rho \omega \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\lambda \nu \tau \rho o \omega$, old verb from $\lambda \cup \tau \rho o v$ (ransom for life as of a slave, Mt 20:28), to set free by payment of ransom, abundant examples in the papyri, in N.T. only here, Lu 24:21; Tit 2:14. The ransom is the blood of Christ. Peter here amplifies the language in Isa 52:3f .

Not with corruptible things (ov $\varphi \theta$ aptors). Instrumental case neuter plural of the late verbal adjective from $\varphi \theta \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$ to destroy or to corrupt, and so perishable, in N.T. here, verse 23; 1 Co 9:25; 15:53f.; Ro 1:23. Apүv $\omega \omega \eta$ $\chi \rho v \sigma \omega \omega$ (silver or gold) are in explanatory apposition with $\varphi \theta$ aptoıs and so in the same case. Slaves were set free by silver and gold.

From your vain manner of life ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha \varsigma \varsigma \mu \omega \nu$ avaбт $\rho о \varphi \eta \varsigma)$. "Out of" ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ ), and so away from, the pre-Christian avaбт $\rho \circ \varphi \eta$ of verse 15 , which was "vain" ( $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha a \alpha$. Cf. Eph 4:17-24 ).

Handed down from your fathers ( $\pi \alpha \tau \rho о \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta о \tau о v)$. This adjective, though predicate in position, is really attributive in idea, like $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho о \pi о \iota \tau$ ои in Eph 2:11 (Robertson, Grammar, p. 777), like the French idiom. This double compound verbal adjective ( $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho, \pi \alpha \rho \alpha, \delta ı \delta \omega \mu$ ), though here alone in N.T., occurs in Diodorus, Dion. Halic, and in several inscriptions (Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary; Deissmann, Bible Studies, pp. 266f.). The Jews made a wrong use of tradition (Mt 15:2ff. ), but the reference here seems mainly to Gentiles (1Pe 2:12 ).

1Pet 1:19
But with precious blood ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau \iota \mu \omega \alpha \mu \alpha \tau$ ). Instrumental case of $\alpha \mu \alpha$ after $\varepsilon \lambda v \tau \rho \omega \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ (repeated from verse 18). Peter here applies the old adjective $\tau \iota \mu \circ \varsigma$ (from $\tau \iota \mu \eta$, of Christ in 1Pe 2:7) to Christ as in 1:7 $\pi \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} v \tau \iota \mu о \tau \varepsilon \rho o v$ to testing of faith. The blood of anyone is "precious" (costly), far above gold or silver, but that of Jesus immeasurably more so.

As of a lamb ( $\omega \varsigma$ a $\mu \nu 0 v$ ). This word occurs in Le 12:8; Nu 15:11; De 14:4 of the lamb prescribed for the passover sacrifice (Ex 12:5). John the Baptist applies it to Jesus (Joh 1:29,36 ). It occurs also in Ac 8:32 quoted from Isa 53:7f. Undoubtedly both the Baptist and Peter have this passage in mind. Elsewhere in the N.T. a $\rho v$ oov is used of Christ (Re $5: 6,12$ ). Jesus is the Paschal Lamb. Peter sees clearly that it was by the blood of Christ that we are redeemed from sin.

Without blemish $(\alpha \mu \omega \mu \circ v)$. Without (alpha privative) spot $(\mu \omega \mu \circ \varsigma)$ as the paschal lamb had to be (Le 22:21). So Heb 9:14 .

Without spot (a $\sigma \pi \iota \lambda o v$ ). Without (alpha privative) stain ( $\sigma \pi \iota \lambda o \varsigma$ spot) as in Jas 1:27; 2Pe 3:14; 1Pe 6:14.

Even the blood of Christ (X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \sigma v$ ). Genitive case with $\alpha \mu \alpha \tau$, but in unusual position for emphasis and clearness with the participles following.

1Pet 1:20
Who was foreknown indeed ( $\pi \rho \sigma \varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o v \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ). Perfect passive participle (in genitive singular agreeing with X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \circ v$ ) of $\pi \rho \circ \nLeftarrow \iota \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, old verb, to know beforehand (Ro 8:29; $2 \mathrm{Pe} 3: 17$ ). See $\pi \rho o \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota v \theta \varepsilon o v$ in verse 2.

Before the foundation of the world ( $\pi \rho \circ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \circ \lambda \eta \varsigma \kappa о \sigma \mu о v$ ). This precise curious phrase occurs in Joh 17:24 in the Saviour's mouth of his preincarnate state with the Father as here and in Eph 1:4. We have ало катаßо入ŋऽ коб $\mu$ оv in Mt 25:34 (коб $\mu$ оv omitted in Mt 13:35); Lu 11:50; Heb 4:3; 9:26; Re 13:8; 17:8 . Ka $\alpha \alpha \beta$ о $\lambda$ (from $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ ) was originally laying the foundation of a house (Heb 6:1). The preincarnate Messiah appears in the counsels of God also in 1Co 2:7; Col 1:26f.; Eph 1:9f.; 3:9-11; Ro 16:25; 1Ti 1:9.

But was manifested ( $\varphi a v \varepsilon \rho \omega \theta \varepsilon v \tau o \varsigma \delta \varepsilon$ ). First aorist (ingressive) passive participle of $\varphi \propto \vee \varepsilon \rho \omega$, referring to the Incarnation in contrast with the preexistence of Christ (cf. Joh 1:31; 1Jo 3:5,8 ).

At the end of the times ( $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau o v \tau \omega \nu \chi \rho o v \omega \nu$ ). Like $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau o v \tau \omega \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \omega \nu$ (Heb 1:2 ). The plural $\chi \rho o v o$, doubtless referring to successive periods in human history until the fullness of the time came ( $\mathrm{Ga} 4: 4$ ).

For your sake ( $\delta \iota^{\prime} v \mu a \varsigma$ ). Proof of God's love, not of their desert or worth (Ac 17:30f.; Heb 11:39f. ).

1Pet 1:21
Who through him are believers in God ( $\tau 0 v \varsigma \delta \iota^{\prime}$ av $\left.\tau о v \pi \iota \sigma \tau o v \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \theta \varepsilon o v\right)$. Accusative case in apposition with $v \mu a \varsigma$ (you), "the through him (that is Christ as in 1:8; Ac 3:16 ) believers ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau$ ous correct text of A B) in God."

Which raised ( $\tau 0 v \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \rho \rho \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Accusative singular articular (agreeing with $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ) first aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$ (cf. $\delta \iota^{\prime} \alpha v a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ in verse 3).

Gave glory to him ( $\delta o \xi \alpha v \alpha v \tau \omega \delta o v \tau \alpha$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\delta t \delta \omega \mu$ agreeing also with $\theta \varepsilon o v$. See Peter's speech in Ac 3:13 about God glorifying ( $\varepsilon \delta o \xi \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$ ) Jesus and also the same idea by Peter in Ac 2:33-36; 5:31.

So that your faith and hope might be in God ( $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon \tau \eta \nu \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \nu \nu \mu \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta \alpha \varepsilon \iota$, $\theta \varepsilon \sigma v)$. H $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ with the infinitive ( $\varepsilon \imath \nu \alpha$ ) and the accusative of general reference ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota v \kappa \alpha$ $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta \alpha)$ is used in the N.T. as in the Koine for either purpose (Mt 10:1) or usually result (Mr 4:37). Hence here result (so that is) is more probable than design.

1Pet 1:22
Seeing ye have purified ( $\eta \gamma \nu \iota \kappa о \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Perfect active participle of $\alpha \gamma \nu \iota \omega$, old verb from $\alpha \gamma \vee o \varsigma$ (pure), here with $\psi v \chi a \varsigma$ (souls), with кар cleansing also. See the ceremonial sense of the word as in LXX in Joh 11:55; Ac 21:24,26; 24:18 .

In your obedience $(\varepsilon v \tau \eta \cup \pi \alpha \kappa о \eta)$. With repetition of the idea in $1: 2,14$ (children of obedience).

To the truth ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha \varsigma)$. Objective genitive with which compare Joh 17:17,19 about sanctification in the truth and 2Th 2:12 about believing the truth. There is cleansing power in the truth of God in Christ.

Unfeigned (avvтокрıтоv). Late and rare double compound, here alone in Peter, but see Jas $3: 17$; 2Co $6: 6$, etc. No other kind of $\varphi \iota \lambda \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \iota \alpha$ (brotherly love) is worth having (1Th 4:9; Heb 13:1; 2Pe 1:7).

From the heart fervently ( $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \alpha \rho \delta ı \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon \nu \omega \varsigma$ ). Late adverb (in inscriptions, Polybius, LXX). The adjective $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon \nu \eta \varsigma$ is more common ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 4: 8$ ).

1Pet 1:23
Having been begotten again ( $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \nu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\alpha \nu \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \nu \nu \alpha \omega$, which see in verse 2 .

Not of corruptible seed (ovк $\varepsilon \kappa \sigma \pi о \rho \alpha \varsigma \varphi \theta \alpha \rho \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Ablative with $\varepsilon \kappa$ as the source, for $\varphi Ө \alpha \rho \tau о \varsigma$ see verse 18 , and $\sigma \pi о \rho a \varsigma$ (from $\sigma \pi \varepsilon ı \rho \omega$ to sow), old word (sowing, seed) here only in N.T., though $\sigma \pi о \rho о \varsigma$ in $\operatorname{Mr} 4: 26$. , etc. For "incorruptible" ( $\alpha \varphi \theta \alpha \rho \tau о v$ ) see verse $4 ; 3: 4$.

Through the word of God ( $\delta, \alpha$ $\lambda$ oүov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). See Jas $1: 18$ for "by the word of truth," verse 25 here, and Peter's use of $\lambda$ o $\gamma$ os in Ac 10:36. It is the gospel message.

Which liveth and abideth ( $\zeta \omega v \tau \circ \varsigma \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \nu \tau \circ \varsigma)$. These present active participles (from $\zeta \alpha \omega$ and $\mu \varepsilon \nu \omega$ ) can be taken with $\theta \varepsilon o v$ (God) or with $\lambda o \gamma o v$ (word). In verse $25 \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ is used with $\rho \eta \mu \alpha$ (word). Still in Da 6:26 both $\mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu$ and $\zeta \omega \nu$ are used with $\theta \varepsilon \sigma \varsigma$. Either construction makes sense here.

1Pet 1:24
24,25 Quotation from Isa 40:6-8 (partly like the LXX, partly like the Hebrew).
For $(\delta \iota o \tau)$. As in verse 16 ( $\delta ı \alpha$ and $o \tau$ ), "for that." So in 2:6. See a free use of this imagery about the life of man as grass and a flower in Jas 1:11. The best MSS. here read avtךร (thereof) after $\delta \circ \xi \alpha$ (glory) rather than $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ ov (of man).

Withereth $(\varepsilon \xi \eta \rho \alpha v \theta \eta)$. First aorist (gnomic, timeless) passive indicative of $\xi \eta \rho a \iota v \omega$ (see Jas 1:11).

Falleth ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist (gnomic, timeless) active indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$ (see Jas 1:11 ). In verse 25 note $\varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ v \mu a \varsigma ~(u n t o ~ y o u) ~ l i k e ~ \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ v \mu a \varsigma ~ i n ~ 1: 4 ~(v \mu ı v ~ d a t i v e) . ~$

## 1 Peter 2

1Pet 2:1
Putting away therefore ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o$ ovv). Second aorist middle participle of $\alpha \pi о \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, old and common verb, in metaphorical sense either to cleanse defilements (3:21; Jas 1:21) or to put off clothing (Ro 13:12; Col 3:5ff.; Eph 4:22 ). Either sense suits here. Therefore (ouv) because of the new birth ( $1: 23$ ) and the new life demanded.

Wickedness (какıаv). This old word, from какоৎ (evil), in the ancients meant vice of any kind and note $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha v$ (all) here.

Guile ( $\delta 0 \lambda o v$ ). Old word (from $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$, to catch with bait), deceit.
Hypocrisies (ขтокрıбєı). Singular (ขлокрıбıv) in the best MSS. See 1:22 (avขтокрıтоv) and Mr 7:6f. for Christ's denunciation of hypocrites which the disciples did not understand, including Peter (Mt 15:16ff. ).

Envies ( $\varphi$ Oovouc). Genuine here, not $\varphi$ ovouc (murders), as B has it. For the word see Mt 27:18 .

Evil speakings ( $\left.\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda_{\imath} \alpha \varsigma\right)$. Late word (from ката入 $\alpha \lambda \circ \varsigma$, defamer, Ro 1:30), in N.T. only here and 2Co 12:20 . "Backbitings." For verb see 2:12.

1Pet 2:2
As newborn babes ( $\omega \varsigma \alpha \rho \tau \iota \gamma \varepsilon v v \eta \tau \alpha \beta \rho \varepsilon \varphi \eta$ ). B $\rho \varepsilon \varphi \circ \varsigma$, old word, originally unborn child (Lu 1:41-44), then infant ( $\operatorname{Lu} 2: 12$ ), here figuratively, like $v \eta \pi \iota o$. Aptı $\gamma \varepsilon v \eta \eta \tau \alpha$ is a late and rare compound (Lucian, imperial inscription) from $\alpha \rho \tau$ and $\gamma \varepsilon v v \alpha \omega$, with evident allusion to $\alpha v a \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v v \eta \mu \varepsilon v o$ in 1:23, probably meaning that they were recent converts, possibly slight proof that the Epistle written before Romans by Paul (Kuhl).

Long for ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \pi 0 \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist (constative) active imperative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \pi 0 \theta \varepsilon \omega$, old verb for intense yearning (Php 2:26).

The spiritual milk which is without guile ( $\tau$ о $\lambda о ү$ ко $\alpha \alpha \delta o \lambda o v \gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha$ ). Г $\lceil\lambda \alpha$ is old word for milk as in 1Co 9:7 and as metaphor in 1Co 3:2 . Aסo $\lambda$ oc is an old compound (here alone in N.T.) adjective (alpha privative and $\delta$ o $\lambda$ oc deceit), unadulterated milk which, alas, is so hard to get. $\Lambda \frac{\gamma}{}$ ккоv is an old adjective in -ıкоৎ, from $\lambda о \gamma \circ \varsigma$ (reason, speech), in N.T. only here and Ro 12:1, used here with allusion to $\lambda o \gamma o v(1: 23)$ and $\rho \eta \mu \alpha$ ( $1: 25$ ), "the sincere milk of the word" ("the milk belonging to the word," either the milk which is the word or the milk contained in the word, that is Christ). So Bigg holds. But in Ro 12:1 Paul uses $\lambda o \gamma ı \kappa o v$ in the sense of "rational" or "spiritual," and that idea is possible here as Hort holds.
 spiritual or rational sheep of Christ).

That ye may grow thereby ( $1 v a \varepsilon v a v \tau \omega \alpha \nu \xi_{\eta} \eta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Purpose clause with $\tau v a$ and the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\alpha v \xi \alpha v \omega$, old and common verb to grow. See this same metaphor in Col 2:19; Eph 4:15. Peter uses the word of God as the food for growth, especially
for babes in Christ, not emphasizing the distinction from solid food $(\beta \rho \omega \mu \alpha)$ made in 1Co 3:2; Heb 5:13. Salvation ( $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha v$ ) here is final salvation.

1Pet 2:3
If ye have tasted ( $\varepsilon \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Condition of first class with $\varepsilon$ and first aorist middle indicative of $\gamma \varepsilon v \omega$ in figurative sense as in Heb 6:4f. "A taste excites the appetite" (Bengel).

Gracious ( $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau о \varsigma)$. Quotation from Ps 34:8. The Hebrew for the LXX $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \sigma$, is simply tobh (good). Plato used the word for food also, and Peter carries out the metaphor in $\gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha$ (milk) as in Lu 5:39.

1Pet 2:4
Unto whom ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma o v$ ). The Lord, carrying on the imagery and language of the Psalm.
Coming ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present middle participle masculine plural of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta a \tau \varepsilon$ in the Psalm) agreeing with the subject of оюкобо $\mu \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon$.

A living stone ( $\left.\lambda_{1} \theta \circ \vee \zeta \omega v \tau \alpha\right)$. Accusative case in apposition with ov (whom, the Lord Christ). There is apparent an intentional contradiction between "living" and "stone." Cf. "living hope" in 1:3 and "living word" in 1:23.
 participle of $\alpha \pi о \delta о \kappa \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb to repudiate after test ( $\mathrm{Lu} 9: 22$ ), in the accusative case agreeing with $\lambda_{l} \theta_{o} v$.

But with God ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \omega)$. "By the side of God," as he looks at it, in contrast with the rejection "by men" (v $\tau 0 \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega v$ ).

Elect ( $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \circ v$ ). From Isa 28:6 as in $\varepsilon v \tau \tau \mu o v$ (precious, for which see Lu 7:2) rather than $\delta$ окц $\mu$ ov (proved) expected after $\alpha \pi о \delta \varepsilon \delta$ окц $\mu \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o v$ as meaning far more in God's sight, "a pre-eminence of position with" (Hort).

1Pet 2:5
Ye also as living stones ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \cup \tau о \omega \varsigma \lambda_{\bullet} \theta$ o $\left.\zeta \omega \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma\right)$. Peter applies the metaphor about Christ as the living stone to the readers, "ye yourselves also."

Are built up a spiritual house (оюко $о \mu \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ оוкоৎ $\pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau \kappa ๐ \varsigma)$. Present passive indicative second person plural of oוкобо $\mu \varepsilon \omega$, the very verb used by Jesus to Peter in Mt 16:18 (oוко $\delta$ о $\mu \eta \sigma \omega$ ) of building his church on the rock. If the metaphor of a house of living stones seems "violent" (Vincent), it should be remembered that Jesus employed the figure of a house of believers. Peter just carried it a bit farther and Paul uses a temple for believers in one place (1Co 3:16) and for the kingdom of God in general (Eph 2:22) , as does the author of Hebrews (Heb 3:6). This "spiritual house" includes believers in the five Roman provinces of 1:1 and shows clearly how Peter understood the metaphor of Christ in Mt 16:18 to be not a local church, but the church general (the kingdom of Christ).

To be a holy priesthood ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ เ \varepsilon \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha ~ \alpha \gamma ı \circ v)$. Late word (from $\varepsilon \rho \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega \omega$, to serve as priest, Lu 1:8 alone in N.T.), in LXX (Ex 19:6 ), in N.T. only here and verse 9, either the office
of priest (Hort) or an order or body of priests. At any rate, Peter has the same idea of Rev 1:6 (ıряєı, priests) that all believers are priests (Heb 4:16) and can approach God directly.

To offer up ( $\alpha v \varepsilon v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive (of purpose here) of $\alpha v \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, the usual word for offering sacrifices (Heb 7:27 ). Only these are "spiritual" ( $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \alpha \varsigma)$ as pictured also in Heb 13:15f.

Acceptable ( $\varepsilon v \pi \rho о \sigma \delta \varepsilon \kappa \tau о \cup \varsigma)$. Late (Plutarch) double compound verbal adjective ( $\varepsilon v$, $\pi \rho о \sigma, \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha)$ as in 2Co 6:2 .

1Pet 2:6
It is contained ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \mid \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon)$. Present active (here intransitive, to contain, only N.T. example) of $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \chi \omega$, old verb, to surround, transitive in $\mathrm{Lu} 5: 9$ to seize (only other N.T. example). The formula with $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon$ is in Josephus (Ant. XI. 7). This Scripture ( $\varepsilon v \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \eta$ ) is Isa 28:16 with some changes. Peter had in verse 4 already quoted $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau o v$ and $\varepsilon \nu \tau \iota \mu \circ v$. Now note $\alpha<\rho о \gamma \omega v \iota \alpha \circ v$ (a chief corner stone), a word apparently invented by Isaiah (from акрос, highest, and $\gamma \omega v \iota \iota \circ \varsigma$, Attic word for corner stone). Paul in Eph 2:20 uses the same word, making Christ the chief corner stone (the only other N.T. example). In Isaiah the metaphor is rather a foundation stone. Peter and Paul make it "the primary foundation stone at the structure" (W. W. Lloyd).

On him ( $\left.\varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \alpha \cup \tau \omega\right)$. That is, "on it" (this corner stone, that is, Christ).
Shall not be put to shame ( $o v \mu \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \chi v v \theta \eta$ ). Strong negatives $o v \mu \eta$ with first aorist passive subjunctive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \cup \nu \omega$, old verb, to put to shame (Ro 5:5 ).

1Pet 2:7
The preciousness $(\eta \tau \iota \mu \eta)$. Or "the honour." Explanation of $\varepsilon v \tau \iota \mu o v$ and $o v \mu \eta$ $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \chi \cup v \theta \eta$ and only true "for you which believe" ( $\tau \circ \iota \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o v \sigma \iota v$ ethical dative of articular present active participle of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$ to believe).

But for such as disbelieve ( $\alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 v \sigma \iota \nu \delta \varepsilon$ ). Dative present active participle again of $\alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega$, opposite of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$ (Lu 24:11).

Was made the head of the corner ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \forall \eta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \kappa \varepsilon \varphi a \lambda \eta \nu \gamma \omega \nu \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. This verse is from Ps 118:22 with evident allusion to Isa 28:16 ( $\kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \nu \omega \nu \iota \alpha \sigma=\alpha \kappa \rho о \gamma \omega \nu \iota \alpha \iota \nu)$. See Mt 21:42; Mr 12:10; Lu 20:17, where Jesus himself quotes Ps 118:22 and applies the rejection of the stone by the builders (o oוкобo $\boldsymbol{\mu} \circ v \nu \tau \varepsilon$, the experts) to the Sanhedrin's conduct toward him. Peter quoted it also (and applied it as Jesus had done) in his speech at the Beautiful Gate (Ac 4:11). Here he quotes it again to the same purpose.

1Pet 2:8
And ( $\kappa \alpha$ ). Peter now quotes Isa 8:14 and gives a new turn to the previous quotation. To the disbelieving, Christ was indeed "a stone of stumbling ( $\left.\lambda_{\imath} \theta \circ \varsigma \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa о \mu \mu \alpha \sigma \varsigma\right)$ and rock of offence ( $\pi \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda o v), "$ quoted also by Paul in Ro 9:32f. , which see for discussion. Пробко $\mu \alpha$ (from $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa о \pi \tau \omega$, to cut against) is an obstacle against which one strikes by accident, while $\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda o v$ is a trap set to trip one, but both make one fall. Too much
distinction need not be made between $\lambda_{1} \theta$ oc (a loose stone in the path) and $\pi \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha$ (a ledge rising out of the ground).

For they (o). Causal use of the relative pronoun.
 Present active indicative of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa о \pi \tau \omega$ with dative case, $\lambda o \gamma \omega$, and present active participle of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon ו \theta \varepsilon \omega$ (cf. $\alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau o v \sigma เ v$ in 2:7) as in 3:1. T $\omega \lambda$ o $\gamma \omega$ can be construed with $\alpha \pi \varepsilon ו \theta$ ouvtec (stumble, being disobedient to the word).

Whereunto also they were appointed ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ๐ \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\tau 1 \theta \eta \mu$. See this idiom in $1 \mathrm{Ti} 2: 7$. "Their disobedience is not ordained, the penalty of their disobedience is" (Bigg). They rebelled against God and paid the penalty.

1Pet 2:9
But ye (v $\nu \varepsilon ६ \delta \varepsilon$ ). In contrast with the disobedient ones.
An elect race ( $\gamma \varepsilon$ voc $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau о v$ ). From Isa 43:20. The blood relation of the spiritual Israel (not the Jewish race) through the new birth (1:23).

A royal priesthood ( $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon เ \circ \nu$ เ $\varepsilon \alpha \tau \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha$ ). From Ex 19:6 (cf. Re 1:6; 5:10). The official in Christian churches is $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho о \sigma=\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \chi$ олоৎ, not $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \cup \varsigma$. We are all ıєрєıৎ (priests). Cf. 2:5.

A holy nation ( $\varepsilon \theta v o \varsigma \alpha \gamma\llcorner\circ v$ ). Also from Ex 19:6, but here applied, not to the national Israel, but to the spiritual Israel of believers (both Jews and Gentiles).

A people for God's own possession ( $\lambda \alpha \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi о џ\rceil \sigma เ v$ ). The idea here occurs in Ex
 and in Mal 3:17 we find $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi o ı \eta \sigma \iota ~(f o r ~ a ~ p o s s e s s i o n) . ~ П \varepsilon p ı o v \sigma ı o \varsigma ~ \lambda a o c ~ i s ~ a ~ p e o p l e ~ o v e r ~$ and above the others and $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi о \neq \sigma \iota \varsigma$ is a possession in a special sense (Eph 1:14). See Paul's use of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \varepsilon \pi о \downarrow \sigma \alpha \tau o$ in Ac 20:28. The old rendering, "a peculiar people," had this idea of possession, for "peculiar" is from pecus (Latin for flock).

That ye may shew forth ( $0 \pi \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Purpose clause with $o \pi \omega \varsigma$, rather than $\iota v a$, with the first aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, old verb, to tell out, here alone in N.T.

The excellencies ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. From Isa 43:21. Old word for any preeminence (moral, intellectual, military), often for "virtue," but not in that sense in the O.T. or the N.T. The word has the sense of moral worth in $2 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 3,5$; Php 4:8; and the Apocrypha. In Isaiah (here quoted) it means praise and glory to God. So also Isa 42:12. See Ac 2:11 $\tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı \alpha$ tov $\theta$ عov (the mighty works of God).

Darkness (бкотоич). Heathenism.
His marvellous light ( $\tau 0 \theta \alpha \cup \mu \alpha \sigma \tau o v ~ a v \tau o v ~ \varphi \omega \varsigma)$. Christianity. For $\theta \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \sigma \tau o v(f r o m$ $\theta \alpha 0 \mu \alpha \zeta \omega)$ see Mt 21:42 . For the change from heathenism to Christianity see Col 1:12; Eph 5:8-14.

1Pet 2:10

Which in time past (o $\pi о \tau \varepsilon)$. "Who once upon a time."
No people (ou $\lambda \alpha o \varsigma)$. This phrase from Hos 2:23. Note use of ov (not ov $\delta \varepsilon ı$ ) with $\lambda \alpha o \varsigma$ like Hebrew negative.

Which had not obtained mercy (o ovk $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \eta \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Perfect passive articular participle of $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega$ and the emphatic negative ov , with which compare Paul's use of Ho 1; 2 in Ro 9:25 , which may have been known to Peter or not.

But now have obtained mercy ( $v o v \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \eta \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). Change to first aorist passive participle from "the long antecedent state" to "the single event of conversion which ended it" (Hort).

1Pet 2:11
As sojourners and pilgrims ( $\omega \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho о н к о \cup \varsigma \kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \pi \kappa \delta \eta \mu \circ \vee \varsigma)$. This combination from the LXX (Ge 33:4; Ps 39:13 ). See 1:1 for $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \pi \iota \delta \eta \mu \circ \varsigma$ and 1:17 for $\pi \alpha \rho о$ ккı $\alpha$ and Eph 2:19 for $\pi \alpha \rho о$ окоऽ (only there and here in N.T., Christians whose fatherland is heaven).

To abstain from ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Present middle (direct) infinitive of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \chi \omega$, old verb, to hold back from (1Th 4:3). In indirect command (to keep on abstaining from) after $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega$ (I beseech). With the ablative case $\tau \omega v \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \kappa \kappa \omega \nu \varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \omega \omega v$, the grosser sins of the flesh (for баркıкоц see 1Со 3:3) like the list in 4:3.

Which (aıtıvec). "Which very ones." Like Latin quippe qui.
War against the soul ( $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon v o v \tau \alpha$ ката $\tau \eta \varsigma \psi \cup \chi \eta \varsigma)$. Present middle indicative of $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \omega$, to carry on a campaign (Jas 4:1). See this struggle between the flesh and the spirit vividly pictured by Paul in Ga 5:16-24 .

1Pet 2:12
Seemly ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \eta \nu$ ). Predicate adjective with $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \rho \circ \varphi \eta \nu$, for which see $1: 15,18$. The Gentiles are on the watch for slips in moral conduct by the Christians.

That (ıva). Final conjunction with $\delta_{0} \xi \alpha \sigma \omega \sigma \iota v$ (they may glorify, first aorist active subjunctive of $\delta$ o $\xi a \zeta \omega$, the purpose of the Christians about the Gentiles.

Wherein $(\varepsilon v \omega)$. "In what thing."
As evil-doers ( $\omega \varsigma$ какотоเ $\omega v$ ). As they did and do, old word (from какоv and поเ $\varepsilon \omega$, Joh 18:30 ), in N.T. only here and verse 14 in correct text. Heathen talk against us (ката入 $\alpha \lambda$ ovaıv) gleefully.

By your good works ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega v$ ). "Out of (as a result of) your good (beautiful) deeds."

Which they behold ( $\varepsilon \pi о \pi \tau \varepsilon v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\varepsilon \pi о \pi \tau \varepsilon v \omega$, old verb (from, $\varepsilon \pi \frac{\pi}{} \boldsymbol{\tau} \eta \varsigma$, overseer, spectator, $2 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 16$ ), to be an overseer, to view carefully, in N.T. only here and $3: 2$.

In the day of visitation ( $\varepsilon v \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa о \pi \eta \varsigma$ ). From Isa 10:33. Cf. its use in Lu 19:44 , which see for the word $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa о \pi \eta$ (from $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa о \pi \varepsilon \omega$, to inspect (Heb 12:15). Clear echo here of Mt 5:16 .

1Pet 2:13
Be subject to (v $\quad \tau \sigma \alpha \gamma \eta \tau \varepsilon)$. Second aorist passive imperative second person plural of $v \pi 0 \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, to subject to, as in 3:22.

Every ordinance of man $(\pi \alpha \sigma \eta \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \iota \nu \eta \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \varepsilon)$. Dative case of old and common word $\kappa \tau \iota \sigma \iota \varsigma ~(f r o m ~ \kappa \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, to create, to found), act of creation (Ro 1:20), a creature or creation (Ro 1:25), all creation (Col 1:15), an institution as here (in Pindar so). For $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \iota \sim o s$ (human) see Jas 3:7. Peter here approves no special kind of government, but he supports law and order as Paul does (Ro 13:1-8 ) unless it steps in between God and man (Ac 4:20).

For the Lord's sake ( $\delta ı \alpha \tau \nu \kappa \nu \rho \iota \nu$ ). For Jesus' sake. That is reason enough for the Christian not to be an anarchist (Mt 22:21). The heathen were keen to charge the Christians with any crime after Nero set the fashion. "It should not be forgotten that, in spite of the fine language of the philosophers, the really popular religions in Greece and Rome were forms of devil-worship, intimately blended with magic in all its grades" (Bigg).

As supreme ( $\omega \varsigma v \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau$ ). Dative singular of present active participle of $v \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$, old verb (intransitive), to stand out above (to have it over), as in Ro 13:1 . It is not the divine right of kings, but the fact of the king as the outstanding ruler.

1Pet 2:14
Unto governors ( $\eta \gamma \varepsilon \mu \circ \sigma \iota \nu$ ). Dative again of $\eta \gamma \varepsilon \mu \omega \nu$, a leader (from $\eta \gamma \varepsilon \circ \mu \alpha$, to lead), old and common word (Mt 10:18).

As sent by him ( $\left.\omega \varsigma \delta \iota^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v \pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o \iota \varsigma\right)$. Present passive participle of $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega . \Delta \iota^{\prime}$ $\alpha \cup \tau o v$ is "by God," as Jesus made plain to Pilate; even Pilate received his authority ultimately "from above" (Joh 18:11).

For vengeance on evil-doers ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \delta \iota \kappa \eta \sigma \iota v$ каколоเ $\omega v$ ). Objective genitive with $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \iota \kappa \eta \sigma \iota v$, for which see Lu 18:7f.

For praise to them that do well ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \nu \circ \nu \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta о \pi о t \omega v)$. Objective genitive again, $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ отоьоя, a late word (Plutarch, Sirach) from $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ ov and $\pi о เ \varepsilon \omega$ here only in N.T. Found in a magical papyrus.

1Pet 2:15
By well-doing ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha$ оолоเоиv $\tau \alpha \varsigma$ ). Present active participle of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ о $л о เ \varepsilon \omega$, only in LXX and N.T. (Mr 3:4). In accusative case agreeing with $v \mu a c$ understood, accusative of general reference with $\varphi \iota \mu \circ \iota \nu$, present active infinitive (epexegetic infinitive after to $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ тov $\theta \varepsilon o v$, the will of God), late and rare verb (from $\varphi \not \mu \circ \varsigma$ muzzle), as in Mt 22:12 .

The ignorance of foolish men ( $\tau \eta \nu \tau \omega \nu \alpha \varphi \rho o v \omega \nu \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu \alpha \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \alpha \nu$ ). A $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \alpha$ is late and rare word (in the papyri) from alpha privative and $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota{ }^{\prime}$ (knowledge), in N.T. only here and 1Co 15:24 (disgraceful ignorance in both instances). Note alliteration.

1Pet 2:16

As free ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho 0$ ). Note nominative again connected with $v \pi о \tau \alpha \gamma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ in verse 13, not with $\varphi \not \mu \circ \iota v$ in verse 14 (a parenthesis in fact). For this ethical sense of $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho o \varsigma$ see Ga 4:26.

And not using your freedom ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \eta \varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho \iota \alpha v$ ). "And not holding your liberty" (present active participle of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, with usual negative $\mu \eta$ with participle.

For a cloke of wickedness ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \mu \mu \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha \kappa \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. Елıка $\alpha \nu \mu \mu \alpha$ (from $\varepsilon \pi \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda v \pi \tau \omega$ Ro 4:7) is a rare word (Aristotle, LXX) for veil, here only in N.T. and in figurative sense for pretext to do wickedness under, a thing, alas, that sometimes happens.

But as bondservants of God ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \omega \varsigma \theta \varepsilon \sigma v \delta o v \lambda o$ ). Paul's proud title. There is no such thing as absolute freedom (personal freedom), for that is anarchy. Cf. Ro 6:22 "enslaved to God."

1Pet 2:17
Honour all men ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \varsigma \tau \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Not with the same honour. Constative use of the aorist imperative.

Love the brotherhood ( $\tau \eta \nu \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o \tau \eta \tau \alpha \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present active imperative of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$, keep on doing it. Note the abstract $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \circ \tau \eta \varsigma$ (from $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \circ \varsigma$, brother) in the collective sense, rare save in ecclesiastical literature, though in I Macc. 12:10; IV Macc. 10:3, and in late papyri. It is a word for all Christians.

Fear God ( $\tau 0 \nu \theta \varepsilon o \nu \varphi o \beta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). In both senses of reverence and dread, and keep it up (present middle imperative).

Honour the king ( $\tau 0 \nu \beta \alpha \sigma \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \tau \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Keep that up also. A fine motto in this verse.
1Pet 2:18
Servants (o о七кєта). Note article with the class as with $\alpha v \delta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma ~(3: 7)$, though not with үणvaıкя ( $3: 1$ ). Oıкєтп¢, old word from оккоৎ (house), means one in the same house with another (Latin domesticus), particularly house servants (slaves) in distinction from the
 (slaves) in Col 3:22-25; Eph 6:5-7; 1Ti 6:1f.; Tit 2:9f . Oıкعтךऽ in N.T. occurs only here, Lu 16:13; Ac 10:7; Ro 14:4.

Be in subjection (v $v \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma о \mu \varepsilon v o)$. Present middle participle of $v \pi \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, common late compound to subject oneself to one ( $\mathrm{Lu} 2: 51$ ). Either the participle is here used as an imperative (so in 3:1,7 ) as in Ro 12:16f. , or the imperative $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ has to be supplied (Robertson, Grammar, p. 945).

To your masters ( $\tau 0 \iota \varsigma ~ \delta \varepsilon \sigma \pi о \tau \alpha ı \varsigma)$. Dative case of $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \pi о \tau \eta \varsigma$, old word for absolute owner in contrast with $\delta o v \lambda o s$. It is used also of God (Lu 2:29; Ac 4:24,29) and of Christ (2Pe 2:1; Jude 1:4). Kvpıoc has a wider meaning and not necessarily suggesting absolute power.
 with class. For $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varepsilon เ \kappa \eta \varsigma$ see on Jas 3:17. There were slave-owners (masters) like this as there
are housekeepers and employers of workmen today. This is no argument for slavery, but only a sidelight on a condition bad enough at its best.

To the froward (тоьৎ бко入ıоь). "To the crooked." Old word, also in Lu 3:5; Ac 2:40; Php 2:15. Unfortunately there were slave-holders as there are employers today, like this group. The test of obedience comes precisely toward this group.

1Pet 2:19
For this is acceptable ( $\tau 0 \cup \tau о \gamma \alpha \rho \chi \alpha \rho \iota)$ ). "For this thing (neuter singular $\tau 0 v \tau 0$, obedience to crooked masters) is grace" ( $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \varsigma$ is feminine, here "thanks" as in Ro 7:25 ). "Acceptable" calls for $\varepsilon v \pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \varepsilon \kappa \tau o v(2: 5)$, which is not the text here.

If a man endureth griefs ( $\varepsilon$ vоц $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma \lambda \cup \pi \alpha \varsigma)$. Condition of first class with $\varepsilon$ and present active indicative of $v \pi \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, old verb, to bear up under, in N.T. only here, 1 Co $10: 13 ; 2 \mathrm{Ti} 3: 11$. Note plural of $\lambda \nu \pi \eta$ (grief).

For conscience toward God ( $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma u v \varepsilon \iota \delta \eta \sigma \iota v \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Suffering is not a blessing in and of itself, but, if one's duty to God is involved (Ac 4:20), then one can meet it with gladness of heart. $\Theta \varepsilon o v$ (God) is objective genitive. For $\sigma v v \varepsilon \iota \delta \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$ (conscience) see on Ac 23:1; 1Co 8:7. It occurs again in 1 Pe 3:16 .

Suffering wrongfully ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \omega \nu \alpha \delta \kappa \kappa \omega \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \omega$ and the common adverb $\alpha \delta \iota \kappa \omega \varsigma$, unjustly, here alone in N.T. This is the whole point, made clear already by Jesus in Mt 5:10-12, where Jesus has also "falsely" ( $\psi \varepsilon v \delta o \mu \varepsilon v o)$. See also Lu 6:32-34 .

1Pet 2:20
For what glory ( $\pi o เ \sim \nu \gamma \rho \rho \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Qualitative interrogative (what kind of glory). "What price glory?" K $\mathrm{K} \varepsilon \circ \varsigma$ is old word from $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to call), report, praise, glory, here only in N.T.

If ye shall take it patiently $(\varepsilon v \pi o \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon)$. First-class condition with $\varepsilon$ and future active indicative of $v \pi о \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega$, for which see Jas 1:12. Same condition also in next sentence ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ $\varepsilon$, etc.).

When ye $\sin (\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \nu o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \nu \omega$ (continued repetition).

And are buffeted for it ( $\kappa \alpha \kappa о \lambda \alpha \varphi ı \zeta о \mu \varepsilon \nu o$ ). Present passive participle of $\kappa о \lambda \alpha \varphi \iota \zeta \omega$, late word (from ко入ачоц fist), only in N.T. (cf. Mt 26:67) and ecclesiastical writers. Repeated action again. No posing as a martyr allowed here. Christians do sometimes deserve persecution, as Jesus implied (Mt 5:10-12 ).
 15.

And suffer for it ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \sigma \chi о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \omega$ (verse 19). No "for it" in the Greek here or in the previous sentence.

This is acceptable with God ( $\tau о v \tau о \chi \alpha \rho ı \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). "This thing (neuter) is thanks (verse 19) by the side of ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ) God (as God looks at it)."

1Pet 2:21
For hereunto were ye called ( $\varepsilon เ \varsigma ~ \tau о v \tau о ~ \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist indicative of $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to call. They were called to suffer without flinching (Hort), if need be.

Because (o $)$. The fact that Christ suffered $(\varepsilon \pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon v)$ lifts their suffering to a new plane.
Leaving you an example ( $v \mu \imath v \tau \sigma \lambda \mu \pi \alpha \nu \omega \nu v \pi \sigma \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \circ v$ ). Present active participle of the late Ionic verb $v \pi о \lambda \iota \mu \pi \nu \omega$ (in the papyri) for the common $v \pi \sigma \lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi \omega$, to leave behind (under), here only in N.T. Нилоү $\rho \alpha \mu \circ \varsigma$ is also a late and rare word (from $v \pi о \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$, to write under), a writing-copy for one to imitate, in II Macc. 2:28; Philo, Clement of Rome, here only in N.T. Clement of Alex. (Strom. V. 8. 49) uses it of the copy-head at the top of a child's exercise book for the child to imitate, including all the letters of the alphabet. The papyri give many examples of $v \pi о ү \rho \alpha \varphi \eta$ and $v \pi о \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$ in the sense of copying a letter.
 clause with $t v \alpha$ and first aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to follow closely upon, with the associative-instrumental (1Ti 5:10,24) or the locative here. I $\chi$ voc is old word (from $\tau \kappa \omega$, to go), tracks, footprints, in N.T. only here, 2Co 12:18; Ro 4:12 . Peter does not mean that Christ suffered only as an example ( $1: 18$ ), but he did leave us his example for our copying (1Jo 2:6 ).

1Pet 2:22
Who did no sin (oৎ $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha v$ оик $\varepsilon \pi о ı \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Quotation from Isa 53:9. He has already expressed the sinlessness of Christ in 1:19. The next clause is a combination of Isa 53:9; Zep 3:13. For "guile" ( $\delta$ o $\lambda$ oc) see verse 1.

Was found $(\varepsilon \cup \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\varepsilon v \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$. Christ's guilelessness stood the test of scrutiny (Vincent), as Peter knew (Mt 26:60; Joh 18:38; 19:4,6 ).

1Pet 2:23
When he was reviled ( $\lambda o t \delta o \rho o v \mu \varepsilon \nu о \varsigma)$. Present passive participle of $\lambda o t \delta o \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb (from $\lambda$ ot $\delta$ o 0 о, , reviler, 1Co 5:11 ) as in Joh 9:28 .

Reviled not again (ovк $\alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \lambda o t \delta o \rho \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active (for repeated incidents) of $\alpha v \tau i \lambda o t \delta o \rho \varepsilon \omega$, late and rare compound (Plutarch, Lucian, one papyrus example with compound following the simplex verb as here, Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary), here only in N.T. Idiomatic use of $\alpha v \tau$ (in turn, return, back).

Threatened not (ovk $\eta \pi \varepsilon \iota \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect again (repeated acts) of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \iota \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, old compound (from $\alpha \pi \varepsilon ı \lambda \eta$, threat, Ac 9:1 ), in N.T. only here and Ac 4:17.

But committed himself ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \delta \delta o v \delta \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active again (kept on committing himself) of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \mu$, to hand over, usually of one to a judge, but here not of another (as the Sanhedrin), but himself (supply $\varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v$ ), for Jesus uses this very idea in Lu 23:46 as he
dies. Jesus thus handed himself and his cause over to the Father who judges righteously ( $\tau \omega$ $\kappa \rho \iota v o v \tau \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \varsigma$, dative of present active articular participle of $\kappa \rho \iota v \omega)$.

1Pet 2:24
Who his own self (os $\alpha \cup \tau \circ \varsigma)$. Intensive pronoun with the relative referring to Christ (note relatives also in verses 22,23).

Bare our sins ( $\alpha \nu \eta \nu \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\alpha \nu \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, common verb of bringing sacrifice to the altar. Combination here of Isa 53:12; De 21:23. Jesus is the perfect sin offering (Heb 9:28). For Christ's body $(\sigma \omega \mu \alpha)$ as the offering see 1Co 11:24. "Here St. Peter puts the Cross in the place of the altar" (Bigg).

Upon the tree ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau 0 \xi v \lambda o v$ ). Not tree here as in Lu 23:31, originally just wood (1Co 3:12 ), then something made of wood, as a gibbet or cross. So used by Peter for the Cross in Ac 5:30; 10:39 ; and by Paul in Ga 3:13 (quoting De 21:23).

Having died unto sins ( $\tau \alpha \iota \varsigma ~ \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \iota \varsigma ~ \alpha \pi о \gamma \varepsilon \nu о \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Second aorist middle participle of $\alpha \pi \sigma \gamma เ \nu \circ \mu \alpha$, old compound to get away from, with dative (as here) to die to anything, here only in N.T.

That we might live unto righteousness (ıva $\tau \eta \delta_{ı} \propto \iota \sigma v \vee \eta \zeta \eta \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Purpose clause with $\imath v \alpha$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\zeta \alpha \omega$ with the dative (cf. Ro 6:20 ). Peter's idea here is like that of Paul in Ro 6:1-23, especially verses 2,10f. ).

By whose stripes ye were healed (ov $\tau \omega \mu \omega \lambda \omega \pi \stackrel{\iota}{ }{ }^{\prime} \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). From Isa 53:5. First aorist passive indicative of $\iota \alpha o \mu \alpha$, common verb to heal (Jas 5:16) and the instrumental case of $\mu \omega \lambda \omega \pi \varsigma$, rare word (Aristotle, Plutarch) for bruise or bloody wound, here only in N.T. Cf. $1: 18$. Writing to slaves who may have received such stripes, Peter's word is effective.

1Pet 2:25
For ye were going astray like sheep ( $\eta \tau \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho \omega \varsigma \pi \rho o \beta a \tau \alpha \pi \lambda \alpha v \omega \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Brought from Isa 53:6, but changed to periphrastic imperfect indicative with $\eta \tau \varepsilon$ and present middle participle of $\pi \lambda \alpha v \alpha \omega$, to wander away. Recall the words of Jesus in Lu 15:4-7.

But are now returned $(\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon)$. Second aorist passive indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, old verb, to turn, to return (Mt 10:13).

Unto the Shepherd and Bishop of your souls ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \sigma \nu \pi о \mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa о \pi о \nu \tau \omega \nu \psi \nu \chi \omega \nu$ $v \mu \omega v$ ). Jesus called himself the Good Shepherd (Joh 10:11, and see also Heb 13:20 ). Here alone is Christ called our "Bishop" (overseer). See both ideas combined in Eze 34:11 . Philo calls God Eлıбхолос. Jesus is also Aлобто入ос Heb 3:1) and he deserves all other titles of dignity that we can give him.

## 1 Peter 3

1Pet 3:1
In like manner $(\rho \mu \circ \omega \omega)$. Adverb closely connected with $v \pi \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma o \mu \varepsilon v o$, for which see 2:18.

Ye wives ( $\gamma$ vvaıкєৎ). Without article. About wives see also Col 3:18; Eph 5:22; Tit 2:4
 Titus, but not in Colossians. It strengthens the idea of possession in the article totc. Wives are not enjoined to be in subjection to the husbands of other women, as some think it fine to be (affinities!)

Even if any obey not the word ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \tau \iota \nu \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \pi \varepsilon \iota \theta$ ovoıv $\tau \omega \lambda o \gamma \omega$ ). Condition of first class and dative case of $\lambda о \gamma \circ \varsigma(1: 23,25 ; 2: 8)$, that is, remain heathen.

That they be gained ( $\imath \alpha \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \eta \theta \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha$ ). Purpose clause with $\imath v \alpha$ and first future passive indicative of $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \alpha \iota v \omega$, old verb, to gain (from $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta$, $\varsigma$, gain, interest) as in Mt 18:15 . See the future with $\operatorname{vo}$ a also in $\operatorname{Lu}$ 20:10; Re 3:9.

Without the word (avev $\lambda o \gamma o v$ ). Probably here "word from their wives" (Hart), the other sense of $\lambda$ oүoc ( talk, not technical "word of God").

By the behaviour of their wives ( $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \tau \omega \nu \gamma \cup \nu \alpha \_\kappa \nu \nu \alpha \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \circ \varphi \eta \varsigma$ ). Won by pious living, not by nagging. Many a wife has had this blessed victory of grace.

1Pet 3:2
Beholding ( $\varepsilon \pi \sigma \pi \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \sigma \pi \tau \varepsilon v \omega$, for which see $2: 12$. See 2:12 also for $\alpha \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \rho о \varphi \eta \nu$ manner of life).

Chaste ( $\alpha \gamma \nu \eta \nu$ ). Pure because "in fear" $(\varepsilon \nu \varphi \circ \beta \omega)$, no word in the Greek for "coupled," fear of God, though in Eph 5:33 fear (reverence for) of the husband is urged.

1Pet 3:3
Whose adorning ( $\omega \nu \kappa \kappa \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma$ ). Genitive plural of the relative referring to $\gamma 0 v a \kappa \kappa \omega \nu$ (wives). Kơ $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$, has here its old meaning of ornament (cf. our cosmetics), not the common one of world (Joh 17:5 ) considered as an orderly whole. Mundus in Latin is used in this double sense (ornament, world).

Let it be $(\varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega)$. Imperative third singular of $\varepsilon \mu \mu$. Not the outward adorning of plaiting the hair (ov о о $\varepsilon \xi \omega \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \mu \pi \lambda$ окпऽ $\tau \rho \iota \chi \omega v$ ). The use of ov here rather than $\mu \eta$ (usual negative with the imperative) because of the sharp contrast in verse $4\left(\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}\right)$. The old adverb $\varepsilon \xi \omega \theta \varepsilon \nu$ (from without) is in the attributive position like an adjective. $\mathrm{E} \mu \pi \lambda$ ок $\eta$ is a late word (from $\varepsilon \mu \pi \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \omega$, to inweave, $2 \mathrm{Ti} 2: 4$; $2 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 20$ ) in Strabo, but often in the papyri for struggle as well as plaiting, here only in N.T.

Of wearing ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \theta \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Late and rare word (Galen, Arrian) from $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau \theta \eta \mu$ (Mt 27:28 ), to put around, a placing around. Ornaments of gold were worn round the hair as nets and round the finger, arm, or ankle.

Or of putting on ( $\varepsilon v \delta v \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Old word from $\varepsilon v \delta v \omega$ (to put on), here only in N.T. Peter is not forbidding the wearing of clothes and ornaments by women, but the display of finery by contrast. Cf. 1Ti 2:9-13; Isa 3:16f. .

1Pet 3:4
But the hidden man of the heart ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ о к килтоц $\left.\tau \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha \rho \delta ı \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi о \varsigma\right)$ ). Here $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma$ is in contrast with коб $\mu$ о just before. See Paul's use of $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ тos for the outer and old, the inner and new man (2Co 4:16; Ro 7:22; Col 3:9; Eph 3:16; 4:22,24 ). See also the Jew $\varepsilon v$ крилt (Ro 2:29) and what Jesus said about God seeing "in secret" (Mt 6:4,6 ).

In the incorruptible apparel of a meek and quiet spirit ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \alpha \varphi \theta \alpha \rho \tau \omega \tau 0 v \eta \sigma v \chi เ \circ$ $\kappa \alpha \pi \rho \alpha \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma)$. No word in the Greek for "apparel" (коб $\mu \omega$ ). For $\alpha \varphi \theta \alpha \rho \tau$ ся see 1:4,23. For $\pi \rho \alpha u \varsigma$ see Mt 5:5; 11:29. Пvعv $\mu$ ( spirit) is here disposition or temper (Bigg), unlike any other use in the N.T. In 3:18,19; 4:6 it means the whole inner man as opposed to $\sigma \alpha \rho \xi$ or $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$, very much as $\psi v \chi \eta$ is used as opposed to $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$.

Which (o). Spirit just mentioned.
Of great price ( $\pi 0 \lambda \nu \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Old word (from $\pi \circ \lambda \nu$ and $\tau \varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma, ~ c o s t), ~ i n ~ N . T . ~ o n l y ~ h e r e, ~$ Mr 14:3; 1 Ti 2:9.

1Pet 3:5
Adorned themselves ( $\varepsilon \kappa о \sigma \mu \circ u v$ عavtac). Imperfect active of customary action, "used to adorn themselves." Коб $\mu \varepsilon \omega$ is old verb from коб $\mu$ ос in the sense in verse 3. See Heb 11:11,35 for like tribute to holy women of the O.T. The participle vтотаббонвva repeats verse 1.

1Pet 3:6
As Sarah ( $\omega \varsigma \Sigma \alpha \rho \rho \alpha$ ).
Obeyed Abraham ( $v \pi \eta \kappa о \cup \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega$ A $\beta \rho \alpha \alpha \mu$ ). Imperfect active of $\cup \pi \alpha \kappa о \nu \omega$, "used to obey" (with dative).

Calling him lord (кирюov avtov ка入оиба). Present active participle of $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$. See Gen 18:12.

Whose children ye now are ( $\eta \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \kappa v \alpha)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\gamma$ ıvoua, "whose children ye became."

If ye do well ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha$ Оотоьоvб $)$ ). Present active feminine plural participle of $\alpha \gamma \alpha$ Өотоь $\omega$ ( 2:15), "doing good."

And are not put in fear by any terror ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \eta \varphi о \beta о \nu \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \mu \iota \alpha \nu \pi \tau о \eta \sigma เ v$ ). Free quotation from $\operatorname{Pr} 3: 25$, "and not fearing any terror" (cognate accusative of $\pi \tau o \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$, after $\varphi о \beta o u \mu \varepsilon v a$, present middle participle, late and rare word from $\pi \tau \circ \varepsilon \omega$, to terrify, as in Lu 21:9, here only in N.T.). Perhaps Peter regards Sarah's falsehood as the yielding to a sudden
terror (Hart). Hannah could also be named along with Sarah. The women somehow do not organize "daughters of Sarah" societies.

1Pet 3:7
Ye husbands likewise (o $\alpha v \delta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma ~ о \mu о \omega \varsigma)$ ). Probably "likewise" here refers to honouring all men ( 2:17), not "likewise" of 3:1.

Dwell with (бטvoוкоиvтє¢). Present active participle of $\sigma \cup v o เ \kappa \varepsilon \omega$, old verb for domestic association, here only in N.T. Used as imperative here like the participle in 2:18; 3:1 .

According to knowledge (ката $\gamma v \omega \sigma \tau v$ ). "With an intelligent recognition of the nature of the marriage relation" (Vincent).

Giving honour unto the woman as unto the weaker vessel ( $\omega \varsigma \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega$ бкะve
 to portion out (or off), here only in N.T. $\Sigma \kappa \varepsilon v o c$ is an old and common word for vessel, furniture, utensil (Mt 12:29; 2Ti 2:20). Here both husband and wife are termed vessels or "parts of the furniture of God's house" (Bigg). See Paul's use of $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon v o c$ for ministers (2Co 4:7). Гטvaıкєเ here is an adjective (female, feminine) from $\gamma \cup v \eta$ (woman, wife). She is termed "the weaker" ( $\tau \omega$ aбӨعveбтєp $\omega$ ), not for intellectual or moral weakness, but purely for physical reasons, which the husband must recognize with due consideration for marital happiness.

Joint-heirs of the grace of life ( $\sigma v \vee к \lambda \eta \rho о v o \mu о \chi \alpha \rho ı \tau о \varsigma \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma)$. Late double compound found in an Ephesian inscription and the papyri, in N.T. only here, Ro 8:17; Eph 3:6; Heb 11:9. God's gift of life eternal belongs to woman as well as to man. In the eyes of God the wife may be superior to the husband, not merely equal.

To the end that your prayers be not hindered ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \mu \eta ~ \varepsilon \gamma к о \pi \tau \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha ~ \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \propto \varsigma ~$ $\nu \mu \omega v$ ). Purpose clause with $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma$ to and the present passive infinitive (with negative $\mu \eta$ ) of $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa 0 \pi \tau \omega$, to cut in, to interrupt, late verb (Polybius), as in Ro 15:22, etc. Very vivid to us now with our telephones and radios when people cut in on us. Пробعuxac (prayers) is the accusative of general reference. Husbands surely have here cause to consider why their prayers are not answered.

1Pet 3:8
Finally ( $\tau 0 \tau \varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma)$. Adverbial accusative. Conclusion, not of the Epistle, but only of the addresses to various classes. No verb ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ imperative, be) here.

Likeminded ( $о \mu о \varphi \rho о v \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). Old compound ( $о \mu \circ \sigma, \varphi \rho \eta \nu$ ), here only in N.T.
Compassionate ( $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. Old adjective ( $\sigma v v, \pi \alpha \sigma \chi \omega$ ), in N.T. only here and Ro 12:15. Our "sympathetic" in original sense.

Loving as brethren ( $\varphi \backslash \lambda \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o$ ). Old compound ( $\varphi \backslash \lambda o \sigma, \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \circ \varsigma)$, here only in N.T.
Tender-hearted ( $\varepsilon v \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi v o$ ). Late and rare compound ( $\varepsilon v$ and $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi v o v$ ), in Hippocrates, Apocrypha, in N.T. only here and Eph 4:32 .

Humble minded ( $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ v \circ \varphi \rho o v \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Late compound ( $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \imath v o \sigma, \varphi \rho \eta v$ ), in Plutarch, $\operatorname{Pr}$ 29:23, here only in N.T.

1Pet 3:9
Not rendering evil for evil ( $\mu \eta \alpha \pi о \delta \iota \delta \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \kappa \alpha \kappa о \nu \alpha \nu \tau \kappa \alpha \kappa о v)$. M $\eta$ and the present active participle of $\alpha \pi \sigma \delta \delta \omega \mu$, to give back. The same phrase in Ro 12:17 and the same idea in 1Th 5:15. Peter may have obtained it from Paul or both from Pr 17:13; 20:22 , "an approximation to Christ's repeal of the $\lambda \varepsilon \xi \tau \alpha \lambda \iota v ı \varsigma$ (Mt 5:38ff. ) which Plato first opposed among the Greeks" (Hart). Common use of $\alpha v \tau$ for exchange.

Reviling for reviling ( $\lambda o \iota \delta o \rho ı \alpha v a v \tau$ $\lambda o t \delta o \rho ı a \varsigma)$. Allusion to 2:23 (Christ's own example).
But contrariwise blessing ( $\tau o v v a v \tau \iota \nu \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \cup \lambda o \gamma o v \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Adverbial accusative and crasis ( $\tau 0 \varepsilon v a v \tau \iota \circ$ ) of the neuter article and the adjective $\varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \iota o \varsigma(\varepsilon v, \alpha v \tau \iota \circ \varsigma$, opposite, Mt 14:24), "on the contrary." For $\varepsilon v \lambda o \gamma o v v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ (present active participle of $\varepsilon v \lambda o \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ ) see Lu 6:28; Ro 12:14 (imperative $\varepsilon \cup \lambda o \gamma \varepsilon ı \tau \varepsilon$ ).

For hereunto were ye called (o $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau о v \tau о \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \forall \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). See 2:21 for this verb and use of દıৎ tovto (pointing to the preceding argument).

That ye should inherit a blessing ( $\imath \alpha \varepsilon v \lambda o \gamma \iota \alpha v \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ v o \mu \eta \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Purpose clause with $\iota v \alpha$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ v o \mu \varepsilon \omega$, a plain reference to Esau, who wanted "to inherit the blessing" (Heb 12:17) after he had sold his birthright. Christians are the new Israel (both Gentiles and Jews) and are the spiritual descendants of Isaac (Ga 4:22ff. ).

1Pet 3:10
For ( $\gamma \alpha \rho$ ). Reason for the entire exhortation in verses 8,9 and introducing in verses 10-12 a quotation from Ps 34:13-17 with some slight changes.

Would love life $(\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega v \zeta \omega \eta v$ a $\alpha \pi \alpha \Delta v)$. "Wishing to love life." This present life. The LXX expressions are obscure Hebraisms. The LXX has $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \omega \nu$ (participle present active of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$, not the infinitive $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \iota v$.

Let him refrain ( $\pi \alpha v \sigma \alpha \tau \omega)$. Third person singular first aorist active imperative of $\pi \alpha v \omega$ to make stop, whereas the LXX has $\pi \alpha v \sigma o v$ (second person singular).

His tongue ( $\tau \eta \nu \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu$ ). See Jas 3:1-12.
That they speak no guile ( $\tau 0 v \mu \eta \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \delta o \lambda o v$ ). Purpose clause with genitive article $\tau 0 v$ (negative $\mu \eta$ ) and the first aorist active infinitive of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$. But it can also be explained as the ablative case with the redundant negative $\mu \eta$ after a verb of hindering ( $\pi \alpha \nu \sigma \alpha \tau \omega$ ) like $\operatorname{Lu}$ 4:42. See Robertson, Grammar, p. 1061. "Let him refrain his lips from speaking guile."

1Pet 3:11
Let him turn away $(\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \iota v \alpha \tau \omega)$. First aorist active imperative third person singular of $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \iota \omega \omega$, where the LXX has $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \iota v o v$ ( second person singular). Old verb, in N.T. only here, Ro $3: 12 ; 16: 17$. Peter adapted the passage all through to his own construction and use. So as to $\pi$ oı $\rceil \sigma \alpha \tau \omega$ (let him do) for $\pi o \imath \eta \sigma o v$ (do thou), $\eta \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \omega$ (let him seek) for $\zeta \eta \tau \eta \sigma o v$
(do thou seek), $\delta \omega \omega \xi \alpha \tau \omega$ (let him pursue) for $\delta \omega \xi \xi$ (do thou pursue), all first aorist active imperatives (of $\pi о \iota \varepsilon \omega, \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \omega, \delta \omega \omega \kappa \omega$ ). See Heb 12:14 for "pursuing peace." If men only did! 1Pet 3:12
Upon ( $\varepsilon \pi$ ). In the case of righteous ( $\delta$ ıкаıovৎ, in the O.T. sense like $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota v$ иот in 2 Pe 2:7) for their good, but in the case of men "that do evil" ( $\varepsilon \pi$ поьоиvтаৎ кака, "upon men doing evil things") "the face of the Lord" ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi$ о $\boldsymbol{\kappa v \rho ı o v ) ~ i s ~ n o t ~ f o r ~ t h e i r ~ g o o d , ~} \varepsilon \pi$ here approaching "against" in idea.

1Pet 3:13
That will harm you (о как $\omega \sigma \omega v \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). Future active articular participle of $\kappa \alpha \kappa о \omega$, old verb (from какоৎ, bad) as in Ac 7:6,19. Any real hurt, either that wishes to harm you or that can harm. See the words in Isa 50:9.

If ye be ( $\varepsilon \alpha \vee \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon)$. Rather, "if ye become" (condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and second aorist middle subjunctive of $\gamma เ v o \mu \alpha)$.

Zealous of that which is good ( $\tau 0 v a \gamma \alpha \theta o v \zeta \eta \lambda \omega \tau \alpha$ ). "Zealots for the good" (objective genitive after $\zeta \eta \lambda \omega \tau \alpha$ (zealots, not zealous), old word from $\zeta \eta \lambda \circ \omega$ (1Co 12:12 ).

1Pet 3:14
But and if ye should suffer ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \sigma \chi \circ \iota \tau \varepsilon$ ). "But if ye should also (or even) suffer." Condition of the fourth class with $\varepsilon$ and the optative (undetermined with less likelihood), a rare condition in the vernacular Koine, since the optative was a dying mode. If matters, in spite of the prophetic note of victory in verse 13, should come to actual suffering "for
 the very word of Jesus there which see, a word meaning "happy," not $\varepsilon \cup \lambda о ү \eta \tau o)$ "are ye" (not in the Greek). If the conclusion were expressed regularly, it would be $\varepsilon \downarrow \tau \tau \alpha v$ (ye would be), not $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ (ye are). It is interesting to note the third-class condition in verse 13 just before the fourth-class one in verse 14.

Fear not their fear ( $\tau 0 \nu \varphi \circ \beta o v \alpha v \tau \omega \nu \mu \eta \varphi \circ \beta \eta \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and the first aorist (ingressive) passive subjunctive of $\varphi о \beta \varepsilon \circ \mu \alpha$, to fear, and the cognate accusative $\varphi \circ \beta \circ v$ (fear, terror). "Do not fear their threats" (Bigg). Quotation from Isa 8:12f.

Neither be troubled ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \xi \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Prohibition with $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon$ and the first aorist (ingressive) subjunctive of $\tau \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, to disturb (Mt 2:6; Joh 12:27). Part of the same quotation. Cf. 3:6.

1Pet 3:15
Sanctify ( $\alpha \gamma 1 \alpha \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\alpha \gamma \iota \zeta \omega$. This instead of being afraid.
 predicate accusative (without article). This is the correct text, not tov $\theta$ cov of the Textus Receptus. An adaptation to Christ of Isa 8:13.

Being ready always ( $\varepsilon \tau о \mu \circ \alpha \varepsilon$ ). No participle in the Greek, old adjective (Tit 3:1).

To give answer ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha \pi 0 \lambda o \gamma ı \alpha v$ ). "For an apology," the old sense of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda o \gamma 1 \alpha$, an answer back, a defence (not excuse), as in Ac 22:1, from ало入оүєона to defend (not to apologize).

A reason concerning the hope that is in you ( $\lambda o \gamma o v \pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon v \nu \mu \nu v \varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta \circ \varsigma)$. Original sense of $\lambda o \gamma o v$ (accusative of the thing with autouvt with $\nu \mu \alpha \varsigma$, accusative of the person) "concerning the in you hope." Ready with a spoken defence of the inward hope. This attitude calls for an intelligent grasp of the hope and skill in presenting it. In Athens every citizen was expected to be able to join in the discussion of state affairs.

Yet with meekness and fear ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi \rho \alpha v \tau \eta \tau о \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha ~ \varphi о \beta о v)$. Of God (2:18; 3:2,4), not of man.

1Pet 3:16
Having a good conscience ( $\sigma u v \varepsilon \iota \delta \eta \sigma \iota v \varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ a \gamma \alpha \theta \eta v$ ). Present active participle of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$. See 2:18 for $\sigma u v \varepsilon \iota \delta \eta \sigma \iota v$ and 3:21 for $\sigma u v \varepsilon \iota \delta \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma ~ a \gamma \alpha \theta \eta$ again ("a quasi-personification," Hart).

That they may be put to shame (ıva кат $\alpha \iota \chi \nu v \theta \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). Purpose clause with $\imath v \alpha$ and the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \chi \nu v \omega$, old verb, to put to shame ( Lu 13:17; 1Pe 2:6).

Wherein ye are spoken against ( $\varepsilon v \omega \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Present passive indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, for which see $2: 12$ with $\varepsilon v \omega$ also. Peter may be recalling (Hart) his own experience at Pentecost when the Jews first scoffed and others were cut to the heart (Ac 2:13,37 ).

Who revile (o $\varepsilon \pi \eta \rho \varepsilon \alpha \zeta o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Articular present active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \eta \rho \varepsilon \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb (from $\varepsilon \pi \eta \rho \varepsilon i \alpha$, spiteful abuse), to insult, in N.T. only here and Lu 6:28 .

In Christ ( $\varepsilon v \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ ). Paul's common mystical phrase that Peter has three times (here, 5:10,14 ), not in John, though the idea is constantly in John. Peter here gives a new turn (cf. 2:12) to $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \rho o \varphi \eta$ (manner of life). "Constantly the apostle repeats his phrases with new significance and in a new light" (Bigg).

1Pet 3:17
Better (кряıттov). Comparative of крatuc as in 2Pe 2:21; Heb 1:4. Patient endurance not only silences calumny (verse 16), is Christlike (verse 18), but it has a value of its own (verse 17).

If the will of God should so will $(\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \lambda o$ тo $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ тov $\theta \varepsilon o v)$. Condition of the fourth class again ( $\varepsilon 1--\theta \varepsilon \lambda o$ ) with $\varepsilon$ and the optative. For a like pleonasm see Joh 7:17.
 plural agreeing with v $\mu a \varsigma$ understood (accusative of general reference with the infinitive $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \varepsilon เ v$ (to suffer) of the participles from $\alpha \gamma \alpha$ оотоьє $\omega$ (see 2:15) and какотоь $\varepsilon \omega$ (Mr 3:4, and see $1 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 14$ for какотоьоऽ).

1Pet 3:18

Because Christ also died (o $\tau \alpha$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о \varsigma ~ a \pi \varepsilon \theta \alpha v \varepsilon v$ ). So the best MSS.; later ones $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon v$ (suffered). The example of Christ should stir us to patient endurance.

For sins ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \mu \rho \tau \tau \omega v$ ). "Concerning sins" (not his, but ours, 1:18). Пع (around, concerning) with a $\alpha \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha$ in the regular phrase for the sin offering (Le 5:7; 6:30), though $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ a $\mu \alpha \rho \tau i a \varsigma$ does occur (Eze 43:25). So in the N.T. we find both $\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau i \omega v$ (Heb 5:3 ) and $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ а $\mu \alpha \tau \iota \omega v(H e b ~ 5: 1) . ~$

Once ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \xi$ ). Once for all (Heb 9:28), not once upon a time ( $\pi 0 \tau \varepsilon$ ).
The righteous for the unrighteous ( $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \varsigma ~ v \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \delta ı \kappa \omega v$ ). Literally, "just for unjust" (no articles). See 1Pe 2:19 for the sinlessness of Christ as the one perfect offering for $\sin$. This is what gives Christ's blood value. He has no sin himself. Some men today fail to perceive this point.

That he might bring us to God (iva $\eta \mu \alpha \varsigma \pi \rho о \sigma \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \eta \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). Purpose clause with $\imath v a$, with second aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha \gamma \omega$ and the dative case $\tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$. The MSS. vary between $\eta \mu a \varsigma$ (us) and $v \mu \alpha \varsigma$ (you). The verb $\pi \rho o \sigma \alpha \gamma \omega$ means to lead or bring to (Mt 18:24 ), to approach God (cf. $\pi \rho o \sigma \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \eta v$ in Eph 2:18 ), to present us to God on the basis of his atoning death for us, which has opened the way (Ro 3:25; Heb 10:19f.)

Being put to death in the flesh ( $\theta \alpha v a \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \mu \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \kappa)$. First aorist passive participle of $\theta$ avatow, old verb (from $\theta a v a \tau o s ~ d e a t h), ~ t o ~ p u t ~ t o ~ d e a t h . ~ \Sigma a \rho k ~ i s ~ l o c a t i v e ~ c a s e ~ o f ~ \sigma \alpha \rho \xi . ~$

But quickened in the spirit ( $\zeta \omega 0 \pi о \neq \eta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \delta \pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau)$. First aorist passive participle of $\zeta \omega о \pi о \iota \varepsilon \omega$ rare (Aristotle) verb (from $\zeta \omega о \pi о \iota \circ$ making alive), to make alive. The participles are not antecedent to $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \theta \alpha v \varepsilon v$, but simultaneous with it. There is no such construction as the participle of subsequent action. The spirit of Christ did not die when his flesh did, but "was endued with new and greater powers of life" (Thayer). See 1Co 15:22 for the use of the verb for the resurrection of the body. But the use of the word $\pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau$ (locative case) in contrast with бapk starts Peter's mind off in a long comparison by way of illustration that runs from verses 19-22. The following verses have caused more controversy than anything in the Epistle.

1Pet 3:19
In which also ( $\varepsilon v \omega \kappa \alpha$ ). That is, in spirit (relative referring to $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau$ ). But, a number of modern scholars have followed Griesbach's conjecture that the original text was either $N \omega \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ (Noah also), or $E v \omega \chi \kappa \alpha$ (Enoch also), or $\varepsilon v \omega \kappa \alpha E v \omega \chi$ (in which Enoch also) which an early scribe misunderstood or omitted $\mathrm{E} v \omega \chi \kappa \alpha$ in copying (ouoюот $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \tau \sigma v$ ). It is allowed in Stier and Theile's Polyglott. It is advocated by J. Cramer in 1891, by J. Rendel Harris in The Expositor (1901), and Sidelights on N.T. Research (p. 208), by Nestle in 1902, by Moffatt's New Translation of the New Testament. Windisch rejects it as inconsistent with the context. There is no manuscript for the conjecture, though it would relieve the difficulty greatly. Luther admits that he does not know what Peter means. Bigg has no doubt that the event recorded took place between Christ's death and his resurrection and holds that Peter is al-
luding to Christ's Descensus ad Inferos in Ac 2:27 (with which he compares Mt 27:52f.; Lu 23:34; Eph 4:9). With this Windisch agrees. But Wohlenberg holds that Peter means that Christ in his preexistent state preached to those who rejected the preaching of Noah who are now in prison. Augustine held that Christ was in Noah when he preached. Bigg argues strongly that Christ during the time between his death and resurrection preached to those who once heard Noah (but are now in prison) and offered them another chance and not mere condemnation. If so, why did Jesus confine his preaching to this one group? So the theories run on about this passage. One can only say that it is a slim hope for those who neglect or reject Christ in this life to gamble with a possible second chance after death which rests on very precarious exegesis of a most difficult passage in Peter's Epistle. Accepting the text as we have, what can we make of it?

He went and preached ( $\pi \circ \rho \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon к \eta \rho \cup \xi \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist passive (deponent) participle of $\pi о \rho \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha$ and first aorist active indicative of $\kappa \eta \rho v \sigma \sigma \omega$, the verb commonly used of the preaching of Jesus. Naturally the words mean personal action by Christ "in spirit" as illustration of his "quickening" (verse 18) whether done before his death or afterwards. It is interesting to observe that, just as the relative $\varepsilon v \omega$ here tells something suggested by the word $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu a \tau$ (in spirit) just before, so in verse 21 the relative o (which) tells another illustration of the words $\delta \imath^{\prime}$ v $\delta a \tau 0 \varsigma$ (by water) just before. Peter jumps from the flood in Noah's time to baptism in Peter's time, just as he jumped backwards from Christ's time to Noah's time. He easily goes off at a word. What does he mean here by the story that illustrates Christ's quickening in spirit?

Unto the spirits in prison ( $\tau \circ \iota \varsigma \nu \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta \pi v \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \sigma v$ ). The language is plain enough except that it does not make it clear whether Jesus did the preaching to spirits in prison at the time or to people whose spirits are now in prison, the point of doubt already discussed. The metaphorical use of $\varepsilon v \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta$ can be illustrated by 2Pe 2:4; Jude 1:6; Re $20: 7$ (the final abode of the lost). See Heb 12:23 for the use of $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu a \tau \alpha$ for disembodied spirits.

1Pet 3:20
Which aforetime were disobedient ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon ו \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \pi o \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active participle of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \omega$ (for which verb see 3:20) in the dative plural agreeing with $\pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \sigma เ v$. These spirits now in prison once upon a time ( $\pi о \tau \varepsilon$ ) were disobedient (typical rebels, Hart calls them).

Waited ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau 0$ ). Imperfect middle of the double compound $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, late verb, probably first by Paul (1Co 1:7), though in the apocryphal Acta Pauli (iii) and other late writings cited by Nageli (p. 43). Perfective use of the two prepositions ( $\alpha \pi \mathrm{o}, \varepsilon \kappa$ ) to wait out to the end, as for Christ's Second Coming (Php 3:20). A hundred years apparently after the warning (Ge 5:32; 6:3; 7:6 ) Noah was preparing the ark and Noah as a preacher of righteousness ( $2 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 5$ ) forewarned the people, who disregarded it.

While the ark was a preparing (катабкєvаऍонєvŋऽ кı $\beta \omega \tau \sigma v$ ). Genitive absolute with present passive participle of катабкєva $\omega$, old compound (Mt 11:10), for кı $\beta \omega \tau$, $($ ark ) see on Mt 24:38 .

Wherein ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \downarrow \nu)$. "Into which" (the ark).
That is ( $\tau 0 \cup \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). Explanatory expression like our English idiom (Ro 10:6, etc.).
Souls $(\psi v \nsim \alpha)$. Persons of both sexes (living men) as in Ac 2:41; 27:37, etc.
Were saved ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \sigma \omega \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \omega \zeta \omega$, old compound, to bring safe through as in Ac 27:44 .

Through water ( $\delta \mathrm{l}^{\prime}$ v $\delta \alpha \tau \sigma \varsigma$ ). "By means of water" as the intermediate agent, an apparent change in the use of $\delta \iota \alpha$ in composition just before (local use) to the instrumental use here. They came through the water in the ark and so were saved by the water in spite of the flood around them. Peter lays stress (Hart) on the water rather than on the ark (Heb 11:7) for the sake of the following illustration.

1Pet 3:21
Which also ( $\mathrm{o} \kappa \alpha$ ). Water just mentioned.
After a true likeness ( $\alpha v \tau \iota \tau \pi \tau \sigma$ ). Water in baptism now as an anti-type of Noah's deliverance by water. For $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$ see on Mt 3:7. For $\alpha v \tau \iota \tau v \pi o v$ see on Heb 9:24 (only other N.T. example) where the word is used of the earthly tabernacle corresponding ( $\alpha v \tau \iota \tau v \pi \alpha$ ) to the heavenly, which is the pattern ( $\tau v \pi \frac{1}{}$ Heb $8: 5$ ) for the earthly. So here baptism is presented as corresponding to (prefigured by) the deliverance of Noah's family by water. It is only a vague parallel, but not over-fanciful.

Doth now save you ( $v \mu \alpha \varsigma \nu v \nu \sigma \omega \zeta \varepsilon)$. Simplex verb ( $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$, not the compound $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \omega \zeta \omega$ ). The saving by baptism which Peter here mentions is only symbolic (a metaphor or picture as in Ro 6:2-6 ), not actual as Peter hastens to explain.

Not the putting away of the filth of the flesh (ov $\sigma \alpha \rho \kappa о \varsigma ~ a \pi о \theta \varepsilon \sigma ı \varsigma ~ \rho v \pi о v) . ~ A \pi о \theta \varepsilon \sigma ı \varsigma ~$
 is old word (cf. $\rho v \pi \alpha \rho \circ \varsigma$, filthy, in Jas 2:2; Re 22:11 ), here only in N.T. (cf. Isa 3:3; 4:4 ). Baptism, Peter explains, does not wash away the filth of the flesh either in a literal sense, as a bath for the body, or in a metaphorical sense of the filth of the soul. No ceremonies really affect the conscience (Heb 9:13f. ). Peter here expressly denies baptismal remission of sin.

But the interrogation of a good conscience toward God ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma v v \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \eta \varsigma$ $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \eta \mu \alpha \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \theta \varepsilon \sigma \nu$ ). Old word from $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \omega$ (to question as in $\mathrm{Mr} 9: 32$; Mt 16:1 ), here only in N.T. In ancient Greek it never means answer, but only inquiry. The inscriptions of the age of the Antonines use it of the Senate's approval after inquiry. That may be the sense here, that is, avowal of consecration to God after inquiry, having repented and turned to God and now making this public proclamation of that fact by means of baptism (the symbol of the previous inward change of heart). Thus taken, it matters little whether $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \theta \varepsilon \circ v$ (toward God) be taken with $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \eta \mu \alpha$ or $\sigma v v \varepsilon เ \delta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$.

Through the resurrection of Jesus Christ ( $\delta \iota^{\prime} \alpha v a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o u$ ). For baptism is a symbolic picture of the resurrection of Christ as well as of our own spiritual renewal (Ro 6:2-6 ). See 1:3 for regeneration made possible by the resurrection of Jesus.

1Pet 3:22
Having gone ( $\pi 0 \rho \varepsilon \cup \theta \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma)$. First aorist (deponent) participle (not periphrastic) of торвиоиа.

Being made subject (v $\tau \circ \tau \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v \tau \omega v$ ). Second aorist passive participle of $v \pi \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ (see 2:18; $3: 1$ ) in the genitive absolute construction.

Unto him (avte). Christ. See 1Co 15:28 .

## 1 Peter 4

1Pet 4:1
For as much then as Christ suffered in the flesh (Xpıбтоv ovv $\pi \alpha \theta о \nu \tau о \varsigma \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa$ ). Genitive absolute with second aorist active participle of $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \omega$, to suffer, and the locative case of $\sigma \alpha \rho \xi$ (flesh). The ouv (then, therefore) draws and applies the main lesson of 3:18-22, the fact that Christ suffered for us.

Arm ye yourselves also ( $\kappa \alpha v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ о \pi \lambda \iota \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Direct middle first aorist imperative of o $\pi \lambda \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb from o $\pi \lambda$ ov (weapon, Joh 18:3 ), in metaphorical sense, here only in N.T.

With the same mind ( $\tau \eta v \alpha v \tau \eta v \varepsilon v v o l \alpha v$ ). Accusative of the thing (content), $\varepsilon v v o t a v$, old word (from $\varepsilon v$, vovৎ), putting in mind, thinking, will, in N.T. only here and Heb 4:12 . "Here again Christus Patiens is our vлоүран ос" (Bigg).

For (o $\tau$ ). Reason for the exhortation.
Hath ceased from $\sin (\pi \varepsilon \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. Perfect middle indicative of $\pi \alpha v \omega$ to make cease and the ablative singular $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \varsigma$, but B reads the dative plural $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \iota \varsigma$ (cf. Ro 6:1f. ). Temptation has lost its appeal and power with such a man.

1Pet 4:2
That ye no longer should live ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau о \mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau \beta \iota \omega \sigma \alpha$ ). Purpose clause with $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau 0$ (negative $\mu \eta$ ) and the first aorist (for the Attic second aorist $\beta \iota \omega v \alpha$ ) active infinitive of $\beta \iota \omega$, old verb, to spend a life (from $\beta$ ıoc, course of life, Lu 8:14 ), here only in N.T.

The rest of your time in the flesh ( $\tau 0 v \varepsilon \pi i \lambda o \iota \pi o v \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \chi \rho \circ v o v$ ). Accusative of time
 here only in N.T. But $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~$ to here can be result (so that) as in Ro 1:20; 4:18 .

1Pet 4:3
Past ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda v \theta \omega \varsigma)$. Perfect active participle of the compound verb $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, old verb, to go by (beside) as in Mt 14:15 with $\omega \rho$ (hour).

May suffice ( $\alpha \rho \kappa \varepsilon \tau о \varsigma)$. No copula in the Greek, probably $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ (is) rather than $\delta v v a \tau \alpha$ (can). Late and rare verbal adjective from $\alpha \rho \kappa \varepsilon \omega$, to suffice, in the papyri several times, in N.T. only here and Mt 6:34; 10:25 , apparently referring to Christ's words in Mt 6:34 (possibly an axiom or proverb).

To have wrought ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota \gamma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Perfect middle infinitive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta о \mu \alpha$, common compound (ката, $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ \nu$ work) as in 1Co 5:3 .

The desire ( $\tau 0 \beta o v \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ ). Correct text, not $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$. Either means the thing desired, willed. Jews sometimes fell in with the ways of Gentiles (Ro 2:21-24; 3:9-18; Eph 2:1-3 ) as today some Christians copy the ways of the world.

And to have walked ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi о \rho \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma)$. Perfect middle participle of $\pi о \rho \varepsilon v o \mu a$ in the accusative plural of general reference with the infinitive кат $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$. Literally, "having walked or gone."

In lasciviousness ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \gamma \varepsilon ı \alpha ı \varsigma)$. All these sins are in the locative case with $\varepsilon v$. "In unbridled lustful excesses" ( $2 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 7$; 2Co 12:21 ).

Lusts ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \cup \mu \iota \alpha \iota)$. Cf. 2:11; 4:2 .
Winebibbings ( $о \iota \nu \circ \varphi \lambda \nu \gamma \iota a \varsigma)$. Old compound (oıvoৎ, wine, $\varphi \lambda \nu \omega$, to bubble up), for drunkenness, here only in N.T. (also in De 21:20).

Revellings (коноья). Old word (from кєєца, to lie down), rioting drinking parties, in N.T. here and Ga 5:21; Ro 13:13 .

Carousings ( $\pi 0 \tau \circ \iota \varsigma)$. Old word for drinking carousal (from $\pi \iota \omega \omega$, to drink), here only in the N.T. In the light of these words it seems strange to find modern Christians justifying their "personal liberty" to drink and carouse, to say nothing of the prohibition law. The Greeks actually carried lust and drunkenness into their religious observances (Aphrodite, for instance).

Abominable idolatries ( $\alpha \theta \varepsilon \mu \iota \tau о \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \omega \lambda о \lambda \alpha \tau \rho \iota \alpha \iota)$. To the Christian all "idolatry," ( $\varepsilon \delta \delta \omega \lambda \circ v, \lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon \iota \alpha)$, worship of idols, is "abominable," not allowed (alpha privative and $\theta \varepsilon \mu \tau \tau о \varsigma, \theta \varepsilon \mu \iota \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma$ the old form, verbal of $\theta \varepsilon \mu \iota \zeta \omega$, to make lawful), but particularly those associated with drinking and licentiousness. The only other N.T. example of $\alpha \theta \varepsilon \mu \tau \tau o \varsigma$ is by Peter also (Ac 10:28) and about the Mosaic law. That may be the idea here, for Jews often fell into idolatrous practices (Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 274).

1Pet 4:4
Wherein $(\varepsilon \nu \omega)$. "In which thing" (manner of life).
They think it strange ( $\xi \varepsilon \nu \zeta \zeta \sigma \tau \alpha$ ). Present passive indicative of $\xi \varepsilon \nu \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb (from $\xi \varepsilon \vee o \varsigma$, stranger), to entertain a guest (Ac 10:23 ), to astonish (Ac 17:20 ). See also 4:12. "They are surprised or astonished."

That ye run not with them ( $\mu \eta \sigma v \nu \tau \rho \varepsilon \chi o v \tau \omega \nu \nu \mu \omega \nu$ ). Genitive absolute (negative $\mu \eta$ ) with present active participle of $\sigma v v \tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$, old compound, to run together like a crowd or a mob as here (just like our phrase, "running with certain folks").

Into the same excess of riot ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \sigma \omega \tau \iota \alpha \varsigma \alpha \nu \alpha \chi \nu \sigma \iota v$ ). Avaұvoıv (from $\alpha v a \chi \varepsilon \omega$ to pour forth) is a late and rare word, our overflowing, here only in N.T. A $\sigma \omega \tau \iota a \varsigma$ is the character of an abandoned man ( $\alpha \sigma \omega \tau \circ \varsigma$, cf. $\alpha \sigma \omega \tau \omega \varsigma$ in $\mathrm{Lu} 15: 13$ ), old word for a dissolute life, in N.T. only here, Eph 5:18; Tit 1:6 .

Speaking evil of you ( $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \circ v \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon \omega$ as in Lu 22:65 . "The Christians were compelled to stand aloof from all the social pleasures of the world, and the Gentiles bitterly resented their puritanism, regarding them as the enemies of all joy, and therefore of the human race" (Bigg).

1Pet 4:5
Who shall give account (o $\alpha \pi \sigma \delta \omega \sigma o v \sigma \iota \lambda \alpha \gamma o v$ ). Future active indicative of $\alpha \pi o \delta i \delta \omega \mu$. For this use with $\lambda$ ojov (account) see Mt 12:36; Lu 16:2; Ac 19:40; Heb 13:17. For the sudden use of the relative o see Ro $3: 8$.

To him that is ready to judge ( $\tau \omega \varepsilon \tau о \mu \omega \varsigma \kappa \rho ı \nu o v \tau$ ). Dative, "to the one readily judging," correct text, not $\varepsilon \tau о \mu \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \chi \circ \vee \tau \kappa \rho ı v a$, "to the one ready to judge," which "softens the rugged original" (Hart). That is Christ apparently (1:13; 2Co 5:10 ), but the Father in 1:17.

The quick and the dead ( $\zeta \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ к а ~ \nu \varepsilon к \rho о и \varsigma) . ~ " L i v i n g ~ a n d ~ d e a d . " ~ T h o s e ~ l i v i n g ~ a t ~ t h e ~$ time and those already dead (1Th 4:15).

1Pet 4:6
Was the gospel preached ( $\varepsilon u \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \sigma \theta \eta)$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\varepsilon u \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota} \zeta \omega$. Impersonal use.
 dead or to men once alive but dead now or when the judgment comes? There are those (Augustine, Luther, etc.) who take "dead" here in the spiritual sense (dead in trespasses and sins as in Col 2:13; Eph 2:1 ), but consider it "impossible" for Peter to use the same word in two senses so close together; but Jesus did it in the same sentence, as in the case of $\psi v \times \eta$ (life) in Mt 16:25. Bigg takes it to mean that all men who did not hear the gospel message in this life will hear it in the next before the final judgment.

That they might be judged ( $\iota v \alpha \kappa \rho i \theta \omega \sigma \iota v \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Purpose clause with $v v a$ and the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\kappa \rho \iota v \omega$, to judge, whereas $\zeta \omega \sigma \iota v \delta \varepsilon$ (by contrast) is the present active subjunctive of $\zeta \alpha \omega$, to live. There is contrast also between кат $\alpha \alpha \vartheta \rho \omega \pi$ оиऽ (according to men) and kata $\theta$ عov (according to God).

1Pet 4:7
But the end of all things is at hand ( $\pi \alpha v \tau \omega v \delta \varepsilon \tau o \tau \varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma ~ \eta \gamma \gamma \not \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \zeta \zeta(\omega$, to draw near, common late verb (from $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \cup \varsigma)$, same form used by the Baptist of the Messiah's arrival (Mt 3:2) and by James in 5:8 (of the second coming). How near Peter does not say, but he urges readiness (1:5f.; 4:6) as Jesus did (Mr 14:38) and Paul (1Th 5:6 ), though it is drawing nearer all the time (Ro 12:11), but not at once (2Th 2:2).

Be ye therefore of sound mind ( $\sigma \omega \varphi \rho \circ v \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon o v v$ ). In view of the coming of Christ. First aorist (ingressive) active imperative of $\sigma \omega \varphi \rho \circ \boldsymbol{\rho} \varepsilon \omega$ ( $\sigma \omega \varsigma$, sound, $\varphi \rho \eta \nu$, mind) as in Mr 5:15.

Be sober unto prayer ( $\nu \eta \psi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon u \chi \alpha \varsigma)$ ). First aorist (ingressive of $\nu \eta \varphi \omega$ (see 1:13) and plural $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon u \chi \alpha \varsigma$, (prayers). Cf. Eph 6:18 .

1Pet 4:8
Above all things ( $\pi \rho o \pi \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). See this phrase in Jas 5:12 .
Being fervent ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon v \eta \varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ and predicate accusative of adjective $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon \vee \eta \varsigma$ (from $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ v \omega$, to stretch out), stretched out, here only in N.T., "holding intent you love among yourselves."

For love covereth a multitude of sins (o $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \pi \tau \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \theta$ oc $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau i \omega v$ ). See Jas 5:20 for meaning, sins of the one loved, not of the one loving.

1 Pet 4:9

Using hospitality ( $\varphi \backslash \lambda \circ \xi \varepsilon v o$ ). "Friendly to strangers," old word (from $\varphi\rangle \lambda o \sigma, \xi \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$, in N.T. only here and 1Ti 3:2; Tit 1:8. No verb here in the Greek.

Without murmuring ( $\alpha v \varepsilon v ~ \gamma о \gamma \gamma v \sigma \mu \circ v$ ). Like $\chi \omega \rho ı \varsigma \gamma \gamma \gamma \gamma \cup \sigma \mu \omega v$ in Php 2:14. Complaint spoils hospitality. Jesus enjoined the entertainment of strangers (Mt 25:35). Inns were rare and very poor. Hospitality made mission work possible (3Jo 1:5 ).

1Pet 4:10
Gift ( $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$ ). Late N.T. word (in late papyri) from $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \zeta o \mu \alpha$, to give graciously. It is used here by Peter as one of the gifts of the Holy Spirit (1Co 12:4,9,29-31; Ro 12:6 ).
 verb (Mt 20:28), though єкабтоц (each) is singular.

As good stewards ( $\omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \lambda$ о oькоvоно). For "steward" (oькоvоноৎ, house-manager) see Lu 16:1; 1Co 4:1 (used by Paul of himself) and of any bishop (Tit 1:7), but here of any Christian. See ка入os used with $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa о$ os in 1 Ti 4:6.
 see on 1:6; Jas 1:2.

1Pet 4:11
If any man speaketh ( $\varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Condition of first class, assumed as a fact.
Speaking as it were oracles of God ( $\omega \varsigma \lambda o \gamma \iota \alpha \theta \varepsilon o v)$. No predicate in this conclusion of the condition. For $\lambda$ 人oүı $\theta$ zov see Ac 7:38 (Mosaic law); Ro 3:2 (the Old Testament); Heb 5:12 (the substance of Christian teaching), here of the utterances of God through Christian teachers. $\Lambda$ o $\gamma$ юov (old word) is a diminutive of $\lambda o \gamma o c$ (speech, word). It can be construed here as nominative or as accusative. The verb has to be supplied.

If any one ministereth ( $\varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma \delta ı \alpha \kappa o v \varepsilon$ ). First-class condition again. See Ac 6:2-4 for the twofold division of service involved here.

Which God supplieth ( $\eta \varsigma \chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma \varepsilon$ o $\theta \varepsilon \circ \varsigma$ ). Ablative case ( $\eta \varsigma$ ) of the relative attracted from the accusative $\eta \nu$, object of $\chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma \varepsilon$ (present active indicative of $\chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to supply from $\chi о \rho \eta \gamma$ оৎ, chorus leader, in N.T. only here and 2Co 9:10 ). Peter has the compound $\varepsilon \pi i \chi o \rho \eta \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ in $2 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 5,11$. God is the supplier of strength.

That God may be glorified (ıva $\delta о \xi \alpha \zeta_{\eta \tau \alpha}$ o $\left.\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma\right)$. Purpose clause with $ו v \alpha$ and the present passive subjunctive of $\delta 0 \xi a \zeta \omega$. See Joh 15:8 .

Whose is ( $\omega$ عбтıv). "To whom (dative) is," that is to Jesus Christ the immediate antecedent, but in Ro 16:27; Jude 1:25 the doxology is to God through Christ. For other doxologies see 1Pe 5:11; 2Pe 3:18; Ga 1:5; Ro 9:5; 11:36; Php 4:20; Eph 3:21; 1Ti 1:17; 6:16; 2Ti 4:18; Heb 13:21; Re 1:6; 5:13; 7:12 . The others addressed to Christ are 2Pe 3:18; 2Ti 4:18; Re 1:6

1Pet 4:12
Think it not strange ( $\mu \eta \xi \varepsilon v \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and the present passive imperative of $\xi \varepsilon \nu \zeta \omega$, for which verb see 4:4. "Be not amazed."

Concerning the fiery trial among you ( $\tau \varepsilon \varepsilon v \nu \mu \nu \tau \pi \nu \rho \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Instrumental case, "by the among you burning," metaphorical sense of old word (since Aristotle), from $\pi v \rho o \omega$, to burn ( $\pi \cup \rho$ fire). See 1:7 for the metaphor. See Re 18:9,18 only other N.T. examples. It occurs in Pr 27:21 for the smelting of gold and silver and so in Ps 56:10 (LXX 65:10): "Thou didst smelt us as silver is smelted" ( $\varepsilon \pi v \rho \omega \sigma \alpha \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma \omega \varsigma \pi v \rho о \cup \tau \alpha$ тo $\alpha \rho \gamma \cup \rho \iota \circ)$ ).

Which cometh upon you ( $v \mu i v \gamma i v o \mu \varepsilon v \eta$ ). Present middle participle of $\gamma เ v o \mu \alpha$ (already coming) with dative case $v \mu \nu$.

To prove you ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \pi \varepsilon เ \rho a \sigma \mu \circ v$ ). "For testing."
As though a strange thing happened unto you ( $\omega \varsigma \xi \varepsilon v o v \nu \mu \nu \nu \sigma \nu \mu \beta \alpha \iota v o v \tau o c)$ ). Genitive absolute with $\omega \varsigma$, giving the alleged reason, and $\nu \mu v$, dative case with $\sigma \nu \mu \beta a \iota v o v \tau o \varsigma ~(p r e s e n t ~$ active participle of $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \alpha \iota v \omega$, to go together, to happen (Mr 10:32) , agreeing with $\xi \varepsilon v o v$ (strange, Heb 13:9).

1Pet 4:13
Inasmuch ( $\kappa \alpha 0$ o). "In so far forth as" ("according to which thing"), old conjunction, in N.T. only here and 2Co 8:12; Ro 8:26.

Ye are partakers of ( $\kappa \circ \imath v \omega v \varepsilon เ \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present active indicative of kotv $\omega v \varepsilon \omega$, old verb (from кoıv $\omega v$ oऽ, partner), to share in either with genitive (Heb 2:14) or dative as here ( $\pi \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha \sigma \tau v$ ).

That ye may rejoice with exceeding joy (ıv $\chi \alpha \rho \eta \tau \varepsilon \alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \omega \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Purpose clause with $\mathrm{v} \alpha$ and second aorist passive subjunctive of $\chi \propto \iota \rho \omega$, with the present middle participle of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda_{1} \alpha \omega$ to exult ( $1: 8$ ), "that ye may rejoice exulting." See 1:6-8 for this same idea associated with the second coming of Christ as here.

1Pet 4:14
If ye are reproached ( $\varepsilon$ oveı $\delta \iota \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Condition of first class assumed as true with $\varepsilon$ and present passive indicative of ovel $\delta \iota \zeta \omega$, for which verb see Jas 1:5.

For the name of Christ ( $\varepsilon v$ огонат Xpıбтov). "In the matter of the name of Christ." For the idea see Mt 5:11f.; 19:29; Ac 5:41; 9:16; $21: 13$. This is the only N.T. example of just ovoна X Xıбтov, here used because of the use of Xpıotıavos in verse 16. For the beatitude $\mu \alpha к а р о$ see Mt 5:11f.

The Spirit of glory and the Spirit of God ( $\tau$ о $\tau \eta \varsigma \delta о \xi \eta \varsigma$ ка то $\tau о v ~ \theta \varepsilon o v \pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha$ ). Note repetition of the article ( $\tau 0$ ) though $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ only once. The reference is to the Holy Spirit, who is the Spirit of Glory and of God.

Resteth upon you ( $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma \alpha v \alpha \pi \alpha v \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Quotation from Isa 11:2 . Present middle indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \pi \alpha v \omega$, to give rest, refresh (Mt 11:28). "He rests upon the Christian as the Shechinah rested upon the tabernacle" (Bigg). Cf. 1:8; Mt 3:16 .

1Pet 4:15
Let no one of you suffer ( $\mu \eta \tau \iota \varsigma \nu \mu \omega v \pi \alpha \sigma \chi \varepsilon \tau \omega$ ). Prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and present active imperative (habit prohibited).

As ( $\omega \varsigma$ ). Charged as and being so. Two specific crimes (murderer, thief) and one general phrase (какотоьоৎ, evildoer, 1Pe 2:12,14 ), and one unusual term $\alpha \lambda \lambda о \tau \rho เ \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \chi о \pi о \varsigma ~(a ~$ meddler in other men's matters). Note $\eta \omega \varsigma$ (or as) = or "also only as" (Wohlenberg). The word was apparently coined by Peter (occurring elsewhere only in Dionys. Areop. and late eccles. writers) from $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ отрьo (belonging to another, 2Co 10:15) and $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma к о \pi о \varsigma$, overseer, inspector, $1 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 25$ ). The idea is apparently one who spies out the affairs of other men. Deissmann (Bible Studies, p. 224) gives a second-century papyrus with $\alpha \lambda \lambda o \tau \rho \omega \omega \nu \varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \eta \tau \eta$ a speculator alienorum. Epictetus has a like idea (iii. 22. 97). Biggs takes it to refer to "things forbidden." Clement of Alexandria tells of a disciple of the Apostle John who became a bandit chief. Ramsay (Church in the Roman Empire, pp. 293, 348) thinks the word refers to breaking up family relationships. Hart refers us to the gadders-about in 1Th 4:11; 2Th 3:11 and women as gossipers in 1Th 5:13. It is interesting to note also that $\varepsilon \pi l \sigma \kappa о \pi о \varsigma$ here is the word for "bishop" and so suggests also preachers meddling in the work of other preachers.

1Pet 4:16
But if as a Christian ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ Xpıбтıavoc). Supply the verb $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \varepsilon$ (condition of first class, "if one suffer as a Christian"). This word occurs only three times in the N.T. (Ac 11:26; 26:28; $1 \mathrm{Pe} 4: 16$ ). It is word of Latin formation coined to distinguish followers of Christ from Jews and Gentiles (Ac 11:26). Each instance bears that idea. It is not the usual term at first like $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha$ (disciples), saints ( $\alpha \gamma \ldots 0$ ), believers ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ), etc. The Jews used $\mathrm{Na} \zeta \omega \rho \alpha \circ$ (Nazarenes) as a nickname for Christians (Ac 24:5). By A.D. 64 the name Christian was in common use in Rome (Tacitus, Ann. XV. 44). Owing to itacism it was sometimes spelled X $\varnothing \eta \sigma \tau \iota \alpha v o$ ( $\mathrm{t}, \varepsilon$ and $\eta$ pronounced alike).

Let him not be ashamed ( $\mu \eta$ aı $\sigma \chi \nu v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega$ ). Prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and present passive imperative of aıб $\chi \cup v \omega$. Peter had once been ashamed to suffer reproach or even a sneer for being a disciple of Christ (Mr 14:68). See the words of Jesus in Mr 8:38 and Paul's in 2Ti 1:12. Peter is not ashamed now. In this name ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega$ ovouat $\tau 0 v \tau \omega$ ). Of Christian as in Mr 9:41, "because ye are Christ's."

1Pet 4:17
For the time is come (от о каı $\rho о \varsigma$ ). No predicate, probably $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ (is) to be supplied. The phrase that follows comes from the vision of Ezekiel (chapter Eze 9 ). The construction is unusual with $\operatorname{\tau ov} \alpha \rho \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$ (genitive articular aorist middle infinitive of $\alpha \rho \chi \omega$ ), not exactly purpose or result, and almost in apposition (epexegetic), but note $\tau 0 v \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \iota v$ used as subject in $\operatorname{Lu}$ 17:1. The persecution on hand (1:7) was a foretaste of more to come. By "house of God" he can mean the same as the "spiritual house" of 2:5 or a local church. Biggs even takes it to refer to the family.

And if it begin first at us ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma v \alpha \varphi^{\prime} \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Condition of first class again, with the verb $\alpha \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ understood. "From us" ( $\alpha \varphi^{\prime} \eta \mu \omega v$ ) more exactly.

End ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma)$. Final fate.

Of them that obey not the gospel of God ( $\tau \omega \nu \alpha \pi \varepsilon ı \theta o v \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega \tau o v \theta \varepsilon o v \varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda เ \omega$ ). "Of those disobeying the gospel of God." See the same idea in Ro 2:8. See Mr 1:14 for believing in the gospel.

1Pet 4:18
And if the righteous is scarcely saved ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon$ о $\delta \iota \kappa \not \iota \varsigma \mu о \lambda ı \varsigma ~ \sigma \omega \zeta \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). First-class condition again with $\varepsilon$ and present passive indicative of $\sigma \omega \zeta \omega$. Quotation from $\operatorname{Pr} 11: 31$. See 3:12,14; Mt 5:20. But the Christian is not saved by his own righteousness (Php 3:9; Re 7:14 ). For $\mu \circ \lambda_{\imath} \varsigma$ see Ac 14:18 and for $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \beta \eta \varsigma$ (ungodly, without reverence) see Ro 4:5; 2Pe 2:5.

Will appear ( $\varphi a v \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ ). Future middle of $\varphi a \iota v \omega$, to show. For the question see Mr 10:24-26.

1Pet 4:19
Wherefore $(\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon)$. Picking up the thread of consolation again (Bigg).
Commit their souls ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \iota \varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \alpha v \tau \alpha \varsigma \psi v \chi \alpha \varsigma)$. Present (continuous) middle imperative third plural of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, old word, a banking figure, to deposit, as in $1 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 18 ; 2 \mathrm{Ti}$ 2:2, the word used by Jesus as he died (Lu 23:46).
 (1Pe 2:15,20).

## 1 Peter 5

1Pet 5:1
Who am a fellow-elder (o $\sigma \cup v \pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho o \varsigma)$. Earliest use of this compound in an inscription of B.C. 120 for fellow-elders (alderman) in a town, here only in N.T., in eccles. writers. For the word $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \varepsilon \rho o s$ in the technical sense of officers in a Christian church (like elder in the local synagogues of the Jews) see Ac 11:30; 20:17 . It is noteworthy that here Peter the Apostle ( $1: 1$ ) calls himself an elder along with ( $\sigma v v$ ) the other "elders."

A witness ( $\mu$ 人ртиऽ). This is what Jesus had said they must be (Ac 1:8) and what Peter claimed to be (Ac 3:15; 10:39). So Paul was to be a $\mu$ 人ртvc (Ac 22:15 ).

Who am also a partaker (о ка коьш $\omega$ voc). "The partner also," "the partaker also." See Lu 5:10; 2Co 1:7; 2Pe 1:4. See same idea in Ro 8:17. In Ga 3:23; Ro 8:18 we have almost this about the glory about to be revealed to us where $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ as here is used with the infinitive.

1Pet 5:2
Tend ( $\pi о \mu \alpha v \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\pi о \mu \alpha ı \nu \omega$, old verb, from $\pi о \mu \eta \nu$ (shepherd) as in Lu 17:7. Jesus used this very word to Peter in the interview by the Sea of Galilee (Joh 21:16 ) and Peter doubtless has this fact in mind here. Paul used the word to the elders at Miletus (Ac 20:28). See 2:25 for the metaphor.
 ).

Exercising the oversight ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa о \pi о \cup \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa о \pi \varepsilon \omega$, old word (in Heb 12:15 alone in N.T.), omitted here by Aleph B.

Not by constraint ( $\mu \eta \alpha v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \omega \varsigma)$. Negative $\mu \eta$ because of the imperative. Old adverb from verbal adjective avaүкабтоৎ, here alone in N.T.

But willingly ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ عкоибוшऽ). By contrast. Old adverb, in N.T. only here and Heb 10:26.

Nor yet for filthy lucre ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon$ aı $\sigma \rho \rho о к \varepsilon \rho \delta \omega \varsigma)$. A compound adverb not found elsewhere, but the old adjective aıб $\chi \rho \circ \kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \eta \varsigma$ is in 1 Ti 3:8; Tit 1:7. See also Tit 1:11 "for the sake of filthy lucre" (aı $\sigma \rho \circ$ кє $\rho \delta$ ovc $\chi \alpha \rho \iota v$ ). Clearly the elders received stipends, else there could be no such temptation.

But of a ready mind ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha \rho \circ \theta \nu \mu \omega \varsigma$ ). Old adverb from $\pi \rho \circ \theta \nu \mu \circ \varsigma($ Mt 26:41 ), here only in N.T.

1Pet 5:3
Lording it over (катакирєєvovтєऽ). Present active participle of катакирıєvш, late compound (ката, кvрьоৎ) as in Mt 20:25.

The charge allotted to you ( $\tau \omega v \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega v$ ). "The charges," "the lots" or "the allotments." See it in Ac 1:17,25 in this sense. The old word meant a die (Mt 27:25) , a portion (Col 1:12;

1Pe 1:4 ), here the charges assigned (cf. Ac 17:4). From the adjective $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho$ ıкоя come our cleric, clerical, clerk. Wycliff translated it here "neither as having lordship in the clergie."

Making yourselves ensamples (тило $\gamma ı v \circ \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present active participle of $\gamma ı v \circ \mu \alpha$ and predicate nominative толо (types, models) for which phrase see 1Th 1:7. Continually becoming. See 2:21 for vтоүрацнос (writing-copy).

To the flock ( $\tau 0 v \pi о \mu \nu ı o v$ ). Objective genitive.
1Pet 5:4
When the chief Shepherd shall be manifested ( $\varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \omega \theta \varepsilon v \tau о \varsigma ~ \tau о v ~ \alpha \rho \chi ı \pi о \mu \varepsilon v о \varsigma) . ~$ Genitive absolute with first aorist passive participle of $\varphi a v \varepsilon \rho \circ \omega$, to manifest, and genitive of $\alpha \rho \chi ı \pi о \iota \mu \eta \nu$, a compound ( $\alpha \rho \chi \iota, \pi о \not \mu \eta \nu$ ) after analogy of $\alpha \rho \chi \iota \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \cup \varsigma$, here only in N.T., but in Testam. of Twelve Patrs. (Jud. 8) and on a piece of wood around an Egyptian mummy and also on a papyrus A.D. 338 (Deissmann, Light, etc., p. 100). See Heb 13:20 for о тон $\boldsymbol{\nu} \nu$ о $\mu \varepsilon$ үас (the Shepherd the great).

Ye shall receive ( $\kappa о \mu \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Future of коццک ( $1: 9$, which see).
The crown of glory that fadeth not away ( $\tau 0 v \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \alpha \nu \tau ı v o v \tau \eta \varsigma \delta o \xi \eta \varsigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha v o v$ ). For "crown" ( $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha v o \varsigma)$ see Jas 1:12; 1Co 9:25; 2Ti 4:8; Re 2:10; 3:10; 4:4 . In the Gospels it is used only of the crown of thorns, but Jesus is crowned with glory and honor (Heb 2:9). In all these passages it is the crown of victory as it is here. See 1:4 for $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \alpha v \tau o \varsigma$, unfading. A $\mu \alpha \rho \alpha v \tau i v o s$ is made from that word as the name of a flower $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho a v \theta$ (so called because it never withers and revives if moistened with water and so used as a symbol of immortality), "composed of amaranth" or "amarantine," "the amarantine (unfading) crown of glory."

1Pet 5:5
Be subject (ототаүףтع). Second aorist passive imperative of v voтабб .
Unto the elder ( $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho o \iota \varsigma)$. Dative case. Here the antithesis between younger and elder shows that the word refers to age, not to office as in 5:1. See a like change in meaning in 1Ti 5:1,17.

All ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. All ages, sexes, classes.
Gird yourselves with humility ( $\tau \eta \nu \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \imath \nu о \varphi \rho о \sigma \cup v \eta \nu \varepsilon \gamma \kappa о \mu \beta \omega \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). First aorist middle imperative of $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa о \mu \beta о o \mu \alpha$, late and rare verb (in Apollodorus, fourth cent. B.C.), here only in N.T., from $\varepsilon v$ and конßоৎ (knot, like the knot of a girdle). Е $\gamma \kappa о \mu \beta \omega \mu \alpha$ was the white scarf or apron of slaves. It is quite probable that Peter here is thinking of what Jesus did (Joh 13:4ff. ) when he girded himself with a towel and taught the disciples, Peter in particular (Joh 13:9ff. ), the lesson of humility (Joh 13:15). Peter had at last learned the lesson (Joh 21:15-19).
 avтıт $\alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ (present middle indicative of $\alpha v \tau \iota \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ as in Jas 4:6 (quoted there as here from $\operatorname{Pr}$ 3:34).

1Pet 5:6

Humble yourselves therefore（ $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ v \omega \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon \circ v v$ ）．First aorist passive imperative of $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ v o \omega$ ，old verb，for which see Mt 18：4．Peter is here in the role of a preacher of humility． ＂Be humbled．＂

Under the mighty hand of God（v $\tau о \tau \eta \nu \kappa \rho a \tau \alpha \iota \alpha \nu \chi \varepsilon ı \rho \alpha$ тov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ）．Common O．T． picture（Ex 3：19；20：33 ，etc．）．

That he may exalt you（ $\imath v a v \psi \omega \sigma \eta$ ）．Purpose clause with $t v a$ and first aorist active subjunctive of v$⿴ 囗 十$ ．$\omega$ ．Cf．Lu 14：11；Php 2：9．

In due time（ $\varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \rho \rho \omega$ ）．Same phrase in Mt 24：45．
1Pet 5：7
Casting（ $\varepsilon \pi \iota \rho \iota \psi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ．First aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \rho \rho \iota \tau \tau \omega$ ，old verb，to throw upon， in N．T．only here and Lu 19：35（casting their clothes on the colt），here from Ps 55：22 ．For $\mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \nu \alpha$ see Mt 6：25，31，34．

He careth（ $\alpha \nu \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$ ）．Impersonal verb $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$（present active indicative）with dative avt $\omega$ ，＂it is a care to him．＂God does care（ Lu 21：18）．

1Pet 5：8
Be watchful（ $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma \quad \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ）．First aorist active imperative of $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma \circ \rho \varepsilon \omega$ ，late present imperative from perfect $\varepsilon \gamma \rho \eta \gamma \circ \rho \alpha$（to be awake）from $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \omega$（to arouse），as in Mt 24：42 ． For v$\downarrow \psi \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ see 1：13；4：7．

Your adversary（o avtıסıкоৎ $\nu \mu \omega v$ ）．Old word for opponent in a lawsuit（Mt 5：25 ）．
The devil（ $\delta ı \alpha \beta$ o $o c$ ）．Slanderer．See on Mt 4：1 ．
As a roaring lion（ $\omega \varsigma \omega \rho v o \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \omega v$ ）．But Jesus is also pictured as the Lion of the tribe of Judah（Re 5：5）．But Satan
roars at the saints．Present middle participle $\omega \rho v o \mu \alpha$ ，old verb，here only in N．T．，to howl like a wolf，dog，or lion，of men to sing loud（Pindar）．See Ps 22：13．

Whom he may devour（ $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \iota \varepsilon เ v)$ ．Second aorist active infinitive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \iota v \omega$ ，to drink down．B does not have tiva，Aleph has tiva（somebody），＂to devour some one，＂while A has interrogative $\tau i v a$ ，＂whom he may devour＂（very rare idiom）．But the devil＇s purpose is the ruin of men．He is a＂peripatetic＂（ $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ）like the peripatetic philosophers who walked as they talked．Satan wants all of us and sifts us all（Lu 22：31）．

1Pet 5：9
Whom withstand（ $\omega$ av $\tau \circ \sigma \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ）．Imperative second aorist active（intransitive）of $\alpha v \theta \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ ；same form in Jas $4: 7$ ，which see．Dative case of relative（ $\omega$ ）．For the imperative in a subordinate clause see verse 12；2Th 3：10； $2 \mathrm{Ti} 4: 15$ ；Heb 13：7．Cowardice never wins against the devil（2Ti 1：7），but only courage．

Steadfast in your faith（ $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \circ \tau \eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ）．Locative case $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ．$\Sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \circ \varsigma$ is old adjective for solid like a foundation（2Ti 2：19）．

The same sufferings（ $\tau \alpha \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \tau \omega \nu \pi \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha \tau \omega v$ ）．An unusual construction with the genitive rather than the usual $\tau \alpha \alpha \cup \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ，perhaps as Hofmann suggests，＂the same
tax of sufferings" ("the same things in sufferings"). Probably this is correct and is like Xenophon's phrase in the Memorabilia (IV. 8. 8), $\tau \alpha$ тov $\gamma \eta \rho \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \alpha$ (to pay the tax of old age).

Are accomplished ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \alpha)$. Present (and so process) middle (you are paying) or passive (is paid) infinitive of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to accomplish (2Co 7:1 ).

In your brethren who are in the world ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon v \tau \omega \kappa о \sigma \mu \omega v \mu \omega \nu \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \circ \tau \eta \tau$ ). Associateinstrumental case $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o \tau \eta \tau$ (in N.T. only here and 2:17, which see) after $\tau \alpha \alpha \cup \tau \alpha$ (like 1Co 11:5) or dative after $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \sigma \theta \alpha$. Even so $\varepsilon ı \delta o \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~(s e c o n d ~ p e r f e c t ~ a c t i v e ~ p a r t i c i p l e ~ o f ~ o ı ~ \delta \alpha) ~(~) ~$ with an infinitive usually means "knowing how to" (object infinitive) as in Lu 12:56; Php 3:18 rather than "knowing that" (indirect assertion) as taken above.

1Pet 5:10
 variegated grace of God).

In Christ ( $\varepsilon v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ ). A Pauline phrase (2Co 5:17-19 ), but Petrine also. For God's "calling" us (ка入єбаৎ) see 1Th 5:23f.; 1Co 1:8f.; Ro 8:29f.

After that ye have suffered a little while ( $\mathrm{o} \lambda \downarrow$ о $\pi \pi \alpha$ Ovtac). Second aorist active participle of $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \omega$, antecedent to the principal verbs which are future active (катартı $\sigma$, to mend, $\operatorname{Mr} 1: 19 ; \mathrm{Ga} 6: 1, \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \xi$, for which see Lu 9:51; $22: 32$, $\sigma \theta \varepsilon v \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ from $\sigma \theta \varepsilon v o$ and so far a $\alpha \pi \alpha \xi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma o \mu \varepsilon v o v$ like $\varepsilon v ı \sigma \chi \nu \omega$ according to Hesychius). For o $\lambda \iota \gamma o v$ see 1:6.

1Pet 5:11
To him ( $\alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). To God (dative case). Note крaтoc in the doxology as in 1Ti 6:16 and briefer than the doxology in 1Pe 4:11, to Christ.

1Pet 5:12
By Silvanus ( $\delta \iota \alpha \Sigma \backslash \lambda o v a v o v)$. Probably this postscript ( $12-14$ ) is in Peter's own handwriting, as Paul did (2Th 3:17f.; Ga 6:11-18 ). If so, Silvanus (Silas) was the amanuensis and the bearer of the Epistle.

As I account him ( $\omega \varsigma \lambda$ 人 $\gamma \iota \zeta$ о $\mu \alpha$ ). Peter uses Paul's phrase (1Co 4:1; Ro 8:18) in giving approval to Paul's former companion (Ac 15:40).

I have written $(\varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha)$. Epistolary aorist applying to this Epistle as in 1Co 5:11 (not 1Co 5:9 ); 1Co 9:15; Ga 6:11; Ro 15:15; Phm 1:19,21 .

Briefly ( $\delta \mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ o $\lambda \downarrow \gamma \omega v$ ). "By few words," as Peter looked at it, certainly not a long letter in fact. Cf. Heb 13:22 .

Testifying ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \omega v)$. Present active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \mu \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to bear witness to, old compound, here alone in N.T., though the double compound $\sigma v v \varepsilon \pi \mu \mu \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \omega$ in Heb 2:4.

That this is the true grace of God ( $\tau \alpha v \tau \eta v \varepsilon เ v a \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \eta \chi \alpha \rho ı \nu$ тov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Infinitive $\varepsilon ı \nu \alpha$ in indirect assertion and accusative of general reference ( $\tau \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu$ ) and predicate accusative
$\chi \alpha \rho ı v$. Peter includes the whole of the Epistle by God's grace ( $1: 10$ ) and obedience to the truth (Joh 1:17; Gal 2:5; Col 1:6 ).

Stand ye fast therein ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \eta \nu \sigma \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). "In which (grace) take your stand" (ingressive aorist active imperative of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu)$.

1Pet 5:13
She that is in Babylon, elect together with you ( $\eta \varepsilon v B \alpha \beta v \lambda \omega v \sigma v v \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta$ ). Either actual Babylon or, as most likely, mystical Babylon (Rome) as in the Apocalypse. If Peter is in Rome about A.D. 65, there is every reason why he should not make that fact plain to the world at large and least of all to Nero. It is also uncertain whether $\eta \sigma 0 v \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta$ (found here alone), "the co-elect woman," means Peter's wife (1Co 9:5 ) or the church in "Babylon." The natural way to take it is for Peter's wife. Cf. $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \eta \kappa \nu \rho \iota \alpha$ in 2Jo 1:1 (also verse 2Jo 1:13).

Mark my son (Маркоц o vioc $\mu$ оv). So this fact agrees with the numerous statements by the early Christian writers that Mark, after leaving Barnabas, became Peter's "interpreter" and under his influence wrote his Gospel. We know that Mark was with Paul in Rome some years before this time ( $\operatorname{Col} 4: 10$ ).

1Pet 5:14
With a kiss of love ( $\varepsilon v \varphi \mid \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma a \pi \eta \varsigma)$. As in 1Co 16:20. The abuse of this custom led to its confinement to men with men and women with women and to its final abandonment (Apost. Const. ii. 57, 12).

That are in Christ ( $\tau \circ \iota \varsigma \nu \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ ). This is the greatest of all secret orders and ties, one that is open to all who take Christ as Lord and Saviour.

## THE SECOND EPISTLE OF PETER

ABOUT A.D. 66 OR 67

## BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

MOST DOUBTFUL NEW TESTAMENT BOOK
Every book in the New Testament is challenged by some one, as indeed the historicity of Jesus Christ himself is and the very existence of God. But it is true that more modern scholars deny the genuineness of II Peter than that of any single book in the canon. This is done by men like F. H. Chase, J. B. Mayor, and R. D. Strachan, who are followers of Christ as Lord and Saviour. One has to admit that the case concerning II Peter has problems of peculiar difficulty that call for careful consideration and balanced judgment. One other word needs to be said, which is that an adverse decision against the authenticity of II Peter stands by itself and does not affect the genuineness of the other books. It is easy to take an extreme position for or against it without full knowledge of all the evidence.

SLOW IN GENERAL ACCEPTANCE
It was accepted in the canon by the council at Laodicea (372) and at Carthage (397). Jerome accepted it for the Vulgate, though it was absent from the Peshito Syriac Version. Eusebius placed it among the disputed books, while Origen was inclined to accept it. Clement of Alexandria accepted it and apparently wrote a commentary on it. It is probable that the so-called Apocalypse of Peter (early second century) used it and the Epistle of Jude either used it or II Peter used Jude. There are undoubted allusions also to phrases in II Peter in Aristides, Justin Martyr, Irenaeus, Ignatius, Clement of Rome. When one considers the brevity of the Epistle, the use of it is really as strong as one can expect. Athanasius and Augustine accepted it as genuine, as did Luther, while Calvin doubted and Erasmus rejected it. It may be said for it that it won its way under criticism and was not accepted blindly.

CLAIMS PETRINE AUTHORSHIP
Not only so, but in fuller form than 1Pe 1:1, for the writer terms himself"Simon (Symeon in some MSS.) Peter," a fact that has been used against the genuineness. If no claim had been made, that would have been considered decisive against him. Simon (Symeon was the Jewish form as used by James in Ac 15:14) is the real name (Joh 1:42) and Peter merely the Greek for Cephas, the nickname given by Christ. There is no reason why both could not properly be employed here. But the claim to Petrine authorship, if not genuine, leaves the Epistle pseudonymous. That was a custom among some Jewish writers and even Christian writers, as the spurious Petrine literature testifies (Gospel of Peter, Apocalypse of Peter, etc.), works of a heretical or curious nature. Whatever the motive for such a pious fraud, the fact remains that II Peter, if not genuine, has to take its place with this pseudonymous literature and can hardly be deemed worthy of a place in the New Testament. And yet there
is no heresy in this Epistle, no startling new ideas that would lead one to use the name of Simon Peter. It is the rather full of edifying and orthodox teaching.

## AND PERSONAL EXPERIENCES OF PETER

The writer makes use of his own contact with Jesus, especially at the Transfiguration of Christ (Mr 9:2-8; Mt 17:1-8; $\mathrm{Lu} 9: 28-36$ ). This fact has been used against the genuineness of the Epistle on the plea that the writer is too anxious, anyhow, to show that he is Symeon Peter (2Pe 1:1). But Bigg rightly replies that, if he had only given his name with no personal contacts with Jesus, the name would be called "a forged addition." It is possible also that the experience on the Mount of Transfiguration may have been suggested by Peter's use of $\varepsilon \xi \mathrm{o}$ oc for his own death ( $2 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 15$ ), the very word used by Luke (Lu 9:31) as the topic of discussion between Jesus and Moses and Elijah. There is also in 2Pe 1:13 the use of "tent" ( $\sigma \kappa \eta v o \mu \alpha)$ for the life in the body, like Peter's use of "tents" ( $\sigma \kappa \eta v a \varsigma$ ) to Jesus at that very time (Mr 9:5; Mt 17:4; Lu 9:33). In 2Pe 1:14 Peter also refers to the plain words of Jesus about his coming death (Joh 21:18f. ). In 2Pe 1:15 Peter speaks of his own plan for preserving the knowledge of Jesus when he is gone (possibly by Mark's Gospel). All this is in perfect keeping with Peter's own nature.

## AND YET THE EPISTLE DIFFERS IN STYLE FROM FIRST PETER

This is a fact, though one greatly exaggerated by some scholars. There are many points of similarity, for one thing, like the habit of repeating words ( $\varepsilon \pi \downarrow \chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ in 2Pe 1:10,19, $\hat{\mathrm{I}}^{2} \hat{\mathrm{I}} \hat{\mathrm{I}}^{2} \hat{\mathrm{I}} \pm \hat{I}^{1} \hat{\mathrm{I}} \dot{\mathrm{c}}$ Ï $\boxtimes$ in $2 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 12,13,15, \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon 1 \alpha$ in $2 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 20 ; 3: 3$, etc.). These repetitions occur all through the Epistle as in I Peter. "This is a matter of very high importance" (Bigg). Again in both Epistles there is a certain dignity of style with a tendency to iambic rhythm. There is more quotation of the Old Testament in I Peter, but frequent allusion to words and phrases in II Peter. There are more allusions to words and facts in the Gospels in I Peter than in II Peter, though some do occur in II Peter. Besides those already given, note 2Pe 1:8 (Lu 13:7f. ), 2Pe 2:1 (Mt 10:33 ), 2Pe 2:20 (Mt 12:45; Lu 11:26), 2Pe 3:4 (Mt 24:1ff. ), and possibly 2Pe 1:3 to Christ's calling the apostles. Both appear to know and use the O.T. Apocrypha. Both are fond of the plural of abstract substantives. Both make sparing use of Greek particles. Both use the article similarly, idiomatically, and sometimes not using it. There are some 361 words in 1 Peter not in II Peter, 231 in II Peter not in I Peter. There are $686 \alpha \pi \alpha \xi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ in N.T., 54 in II Peter instead of the average of 62, a large number when the brevity of the Epistle is considered. There are several ways of explaining these variations. One way is to say that they are written by different men, but difference of subject has to be borne in mind. All writers and artists have an early and a later manner. Another solution is that Peter employed different amanuenses. Silvanus was the one for I Peter (1Pe 5:12). Mark was Peter's usual interpreter, but we do not know who was the amanuensis for II Peter, if indeed one was used. We know from Ac 4:13 that Peter and John were considered unlettered men
( $\alpha \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau о$ ка $เ \delta เ \omega \tau \alpha$ ). II Peter and the Apocalypse illustrate this statement. II Peter may have more of Peter's real style than I Peter.

## HE ACCEPTS PAUL'S EPISTLES AS SCRIPTURE

This fact (2Pe 3:15f. ) has been used as conclusive proof by Baur and his school that Peter could not have written the Epistle after the stern rebuke from Paul at Antioch (Ga 2:11f. ). But this argument ignores one element in Peter's impulsive nature and that is his coming back as he did with Jesus. Paul after that event in Antioch spoke kindly of Peter (1Co 9:5 ). Neither Peter nor Paul cherished a personal grudge where the Master's work was involved. It is also objected that Peter would not have put Paul's Epistles on the level with the O.T. and call them by implication "Scripture." But Paul claimed the help of the Holy Spirit in his writings and Peter knew the marks of the Holy Spirit's power. Besides, in calling Paul's Epistles Scripture he may not have meant to place them exactly on a par with the Old Testament.

## THE RESEMBLANCE TO THE EPISTLE OF JUDE

This is undoubted, particularly between Jude and the second chapter of II Peter. Kuhl argues that $2 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 1-3: 2$ is an interpolation, though the same style runs through out the Epistle. "The theory of interpolation is always a last and desperate expedient" (Bigg). In II Peter 2 we have the fallen angels, the flood, the cities of the plain with Lot, Balaam. In Jude we have Israel in the wilderness, the fallen angels, the cities of the plain (with no mention of Lot, Cain, Balaam, Korah). Jude mentions the dispute between Michael and Satan, quotes Enoch by name. There is rather more freshness in Jude than in II Peter, though II Peter is more intelligible. Evidently one had the other before him, besides other material. Which is the earlier? There is no way to decide this point clearly. Every point is looked at differently and argued differently by different writers. My own feeling is that Jude was before (just before) II Peter, though it is only a feeling and not a conviction.

## ANACHRONISMS

It used to be said that it was impossible for II Peter to have been written in the first century, because it had the atmosphere of the second. But one fact is strongly against that argument. In $2 \mathrm{Pe} 3: 8$ occurs the quotation of Ps $90: 4$ about the thousand years without any chiliastic turn at all, a thing sure to happen in the second century after chiliasm had come to have such a swing. Peter's use of it suits the first century, not the second. As a matter of fact, the false teachers described in II Peter suit the first century precisely if one recalls Paul's troubles with the Judaizers in Galatia and Corinth and with the Gnostics in Colossae and Ephesus. "Every feature in the description of the false teachers and mockers is to be found in the apostolic age" (Bigg).

## THE READERS

The author says that this is his second Epistle to them (2Pe 3:1), and that means that he is writing to the saints in the five Roman provinces in Asia Minor to whom the first

Epistle was sent ( 1 Pe 1:1). Spitta and Zahn deny this on the ground that the two Epistles do not discuss the same subjects, surely a flimsy objection. Zahn even holds that II Peter precedes I Peter and that the Epistle referred to in 2Pe 3:1 has been lost. He holds that II Peter was addressed to the church in Corinth. He considers the readers to be Jews while I Peter was addressed to Gentiles. But "there is nothing in II Peter to differentiate its first readers from those of I Peter" (Bigg).

THE PURPOSE
Certainly Peter is here concerned chiefly with the heresies of that general region in Asia Minor that so disturbed Paul (Colossians, Ephesians, Pastoral Epistles) and John (Gospel, Epistles, Apocalypse). Paul early foresaw at Miletus these wolves that would ravish the sheep (Ac 20:29f. ). In I Peter he is concerned chiefly with the fiery persecutions that are upon them, but here with the heretics that threaten to lead them astray.

## BALANCE OF PROBABILITY

There are difficulties in any decision about the authorship and character of II Peter. But, when all things are considered, I agree with Bigg that the Epistle is what it professes to be by Simon Peter. Else it is pseudonymous. The Epistle more closely resembles the other New Testament books than it does the large pseudepigraphic literature of the second and third centuries.

## THE DATE

If we accept the Petrine authorship, it must come before his death, which was probably A.D. 67 or 68. Hence the Epistle cannot be beyond this date. There are those who argue for A.D. 64 as the date of Peter's death, but on insufficient grounds in my opinion.

## BOOKS ON II PETER BESIDES THOSE ON I PETER

 ALSOAbbott, E. A., The Expositor (Jan. to March, 1822). Chase, F. H., Hastings D B (Second Peter). De Zwaan, 2 Peter en Judas (1909). Dietlein, W. O., Der 2 Brief Petri (1851). Grosch, H., Die Echtheit des zweiten Briefes Petri (1889). Henkel, K., Der zweite Brief des Apostelfursten Petrus (1904). Hofmann, J. C., Der zweite Brief Petri und der Brief Juda (1875) Hundhausen, Das zweite Pontifkalschreiben des Apostels Petrus (1873). James, M. R., The Second Epistle of Peter and the Epistle of Jude (Cambridge Greek Testament, 1912). Lumby, J. R., 2 Peter and Jude (in Bible Commentary). Mayor, J. B., The Epistle of St. Jude and the Second Epistle of St. Peter (1907). Plummer, A., The Second Epistle of Peter and the Epistle of Jude (Vol. 3, N.T. Commentary for English Readers by Ellicott). Robson, E. I., Studies in the Second Epistle of St. Peter (1915). Schott, Th., Der zweite Brief Petri und der Brief Juda (1863). Schott, Der 2 Br. Petri und der Br. Juda Erkl. (1863). Schweenhorst, H., Das Verhaltnis des Judasbriefes zum zweiten Petrusbriefe (1904). Snyman, D. R., The Authenticity of the Second Epistle of Peter (thesis in 1923 for Th.D. degree at Southern Baptist Theological Seminary).

Spitta, F, Der zweite Brief des Petrus und der Brief des Judas (1885). Strachan, R. D., Expositor's Greek Testament (1910), Ullman, C., Der 2 Brief Petri Krit. untersuch. (1821). Warfield, B. B., A Defence of 2 Peter (Southern Presbyterian Review, January, 1882). ,Dr. Edwin A. Abbott on the Genuineness of Second Peter (Southern Presbyterian Review, 1883). Werdermann, H., Die Irrlehrer des Judasbriefes und 2 Petrusbriefes (1913). Wiesinger, J. T. A., Der zweite Brief des Apostels Petrus und der Brief des Judas_ (1862).

## 2 Peter 1

2Pet 1:1
Simon Peter ( $\Sigma \mu \omega \nu$ Пєт $о \varsigma)$. Aleph A K L P have $\Sigma \psi \mu \varepsilon \omega v$ as in Ac 15:14, while B has $\Sigma \mu \omega \omega$. The two forms occur indifferently in I Macc. 2:3, 65 for the same man.

Servant and apostle ( $\delta$ оидос ка алобто入ос). Like Ro 1:1; Tit 1:1 .
To them that have obtained ( $\tau 0 ı \varsigma \lambda \alpha \chi o v \sigma \iota v$ ). Dative plural articular participle second aorist active of $\lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \alpha v \omega$, old verb, to obtain by lot (Lu 1:9), here with the accusative ( $\pi / \sigma \tau \iota v$ ) as in Ac 1:17.

Like precious ( $\quad \sigma 0 \tau \tau \mu \circ v$ ). Late compound adjective (เбoৎ, equal, $\tau \mu \eta$, honor, price), here only in N.T. But this adjective (Field) is used in two ways, according to the two ideas in $\tau \mu \mu \eta$ (value, honor), either like in value or like in honor. This second idea is the usual one with $\boldsymbol{\sigma o \tau} \mu \mu \circ$ (inscriptions and papyri, Josephus, Lucian), while $\pi о \lambda \nu \tau \mu \mu \circ$ has the notion of price like $\tau \mu \eta$ in 1:7,19; 2:4,6f. The faith which they have obtained is like in honor and privilege with that of Peter or any of the apostles.

With us $(\eta \mu \nu)$. Associative-instrumental case after too $\tau \mu \mathrm{\mu} v$. Equal to $\tau \eta \eta \omega \nu$ (the faith of us).

In the righteousness ( $\varepsilon v \delta$ ıкaıoбvvŋ). Definite because of the preposition $\varepsilon v$ and the following genitive even though anarthrous. The O.T. sense of $\delta$ ıкaıoouv $\eta$ applied to God (Ro 1:17) and here to Christ.

Of our God and Saviour Jesus Christ ( $\tau$ ov $\theta \varepsilon o v ~ \eta \mu \omega v$ ка $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho o \varsigma$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ X $\rho ı \sigma \tau o v$ ). So the one article ( $\tau 0 v$ ) with $\theta \varepsilon o v$ and $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho o s$ requires precisely as with tov кvpıov $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ ка $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \circ \varsigma$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ Xpıбтоv (of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ), one person, not two, in 1:11 as in 2:20; 3:2,18. So in 1Pe 1:3 we have o $\theta \varepsilon$ коऽ ка $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$ (the God and Father), one person, not two. The grammar is uniform and inevitable (Robertson, Grammar, p. 786), as even Schmiedel (Winer-Schmiedel, Grammatik, p. 158) admits: "Grammar demands that one person be meant." Moulton (Prol., p. 84) cites papyri examples of like usage of $\theta \varepsilon o c$ for the Roman emperors. See the same idiom in Tit 2:13. The use of $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma$ by Peter as a predicate with Jesus Christ no more disproves the Petrine authorship of this Epistle than a like use in Joh 1:1 disproves the Johannine authorship of the Fourth Gospel and the same use in Tit 2:13 disproves the genuineness of Titus. Peter had heard Thomas call Jesus God (Joh 20:28 ) and he himself had called him the Son of God (Mt 16:16).

2Pet 1:2
Be multiplied ( $\pi \lambda \eta \theta v v \theta \varepsilon ı \eta$ ). First aorist passive optative of $\pi \lambda \eta \theta \nu v \omega$ in a wish for the future (volitive use) as in 1Pe 1:2; Jude 1:2 .

In the knowledge ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Full (additional, $\varepsilon \pi$ ) knowledge as in 1:8 (only $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota$ in $1: 5,6 ; 3: 18$ ), but $\varepsilon \pi \imath \gamma v \omega \sigma \iota v$ again in $1: 3,8 ; 2: 20$. As in Colossians, so here full knowledge is urged against the claims of the Gnostic heretics to special $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma$.

Of God and of Jesus our Lord ( $\tau 0 v$ Өzov ка Iqбov $\tau 0 v$ кирıv $\eta \mu \omega v$ ). At first sight the idiom here seems to require one person as in 1:1, though there is a second article ( $\tau 0 v$ ) before кv $\rho \circ$, and Inбov is a proper name. But the text here is very uncertain. Bengel, Spitta, Zahn, Nestle accept the short reading of P and some Vulgate MSS. and some minuscles with only
 in II Peter $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma$ and $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma$ are used of Christ alone. The text of II Peter is not in a good state of preservation.

2Pet 1:3
Seeing that his divine power hath granted unto us ( $\omega \varsigma \eta \mu \iota \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha \varsigma \delta v v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \propto \cup \tau o v$ $\delta \varepsilon \delta \omega \rho \eta \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \zeta)$. Genitive absolute with the causal particle $\omega \varsigma$ and the perfect middle participle of $\delta \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to bestow ( $\delta \omega \rho \varepsilon \alpha$, gift), usually middle as here, in N.T. elsewhere only Mr 15:45. Avtov refers to Christ, who has "divine power" ( $\tau\rceil \varsigma \varepsilon \varepsilon ı \alpha \varsigma ~ \delta v \nu \alpha \mu \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$, since he is $\theta \varepsilon \circ \varsigma(1: 1) . \Theta \varepsilon \iota o \varsigma(f r o m \theta \varepsilon o \varsigma)$ is an old adjective in N.T. here and verse 4 only, except Ac 17:29, where Paul uses to $\theta \varepsilon เ o v$ for deity, thus adapting his language to his audience as the papyri and inscriptions show. The use of $\theta \varepsilon เ o \varsigma$ with an imperial connotation is very common in the papyri and the inscriptions. Deissmann (Bible Studies, pp. 360-368) has shown the singular linguistic likeness between 2 Pe 1:3-11 and a remarkable inscription of the inhabitants of Stratonicea in Caria to Zeus Panhemerios and Hecate dated A.D. 22 (in full in C I H ii No. 2715 a b). One of the likenesses is the use of $\tau \eta \varsigma \theta \varepsilon \iota a \varsigma \delta \nu v a \mu \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$. Peter may have read this inscription (cf. Paul in Athens) or he may have used "the familiar forms and formulae of religious emotion" (Deissmann), "the official liturgical language of Asia Minor." Peter is fond of $\delta v v a \mu ı$ in this Epistle, and the $\delta v v a \mu \iota \varsigma$ of Christ "is the sword which St. Peter holds over the head of the False Teachers" (Bigg).

All things that pertain unto life and godliness ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \zeta \omega \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu$ ). "All the things for life and godliness." The new life in Christ who is the mystery of godliness (1Ti 3:16). Evoॄ $\beta \varepsilon ı \alpha$ with its cognates ( $\varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \beta \eta \sigma, \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \beta \omega \sigma, \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \omega$ ) occurs only in this Epistle, Acts, and the Pastoral Epistles (from $\varepsilon v$, well, and $\sigma \varepsilon \beta o \mu a$, to worship).

Of him that called us ( $\tau 0 v \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha v \tau \circ \varsigma)$. Genitive of the articular first aorist active participle of $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$. Christ called Peter and all other Christians.

By his own glory and virtue ( $\delta \iota \alpha \delta o \xi \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha \alpha \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta \varsigma)$. So B K L, but Aleph A C P read $\iota \delta \iota \alpha \delta \xi \eta \kappa \alpha \alpha \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta$ (either instrumental case "by" or dative "to"). Peter is fond of $\delta \delta \iota \varsigma$ (own, $1 \mathrm{Pe} 3: 1,5 ; 2 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 16,22$, etc.). "Glory" here is the manifestation of the Divine Character in Christ. For $\alpha \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta$ see on $1 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 9$ and Php 4:8; 2Pe 1:5.

2Pet 1:4
Whereby ( $\delta \iota^{\prime} \omega v$ ). Probably the "glory and virtue" just mentioned, though it is possible to take it with $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \rho \circ \varsigma$, etc., or with $\eta \mu \nu v$ (unto us, meaning "through whom").

He hath granted ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta \omega \rho \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect middle indicative of $\delta \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$, for which see verse 3.

His precious and exceeding great promises ( $\tau \alpha \tau \mu \iota \alpha \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon \gamma \mid \sigma \tau \alpha \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Eлa $\gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \mu \alpha$ is an old word (from $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ ) in place of the common $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı \alpha$, in N.T. only here and $3: 13$. T $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \circ \varsigma$ (precious, from $\tau \mu \eta$, value), three times by Peter ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 7$ of faith; 1:19 of the blood of Christ; 2 Pe 1:4 of Christ's promises). M $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ гтa is the elative superlative used along with a positive adjective ( $\tau \mu \mu \alpha)$.

That ye may become ( $\imath v \alpha \varepsilon v \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Purpose clause with tva and second aorist middle subjunctive of $\gamma$ ivo $\mu$.

Through these ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ tovt $\omega v$ ). The promises.
Partakers (коוv $\omega v$ о). Partners, sharers in, for which word see 1Pe 5:1 .
Of the divine nature ( $\theta \varepsilon ા \alpha \varsigma \varphi \cup \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. This phrase, like тo $\theta \varepsilon ו \circ v$ in Ac 17:29, "belongs rather to Hellenism than to the Bible" (Bigg). It is a Stoic phrase, but not with the Stoic meaning. Peter is referring to the new birth as 1Pe 1:23 ( $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v v \eta \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). The same phrase occurs in an inscription possibly under the influence of Mithraism (Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary).

Having escaped ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \varphi \cup \gamma о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Second aorist active participle of $\alpha \pi \circ \varphi \varepsilon \cup \gamma \omega$, old compound verb, in N.T. only here and 2:18-20, with the ablative here ( $\varphi \theta$ opac, old word from $\varphi \theta \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$, moral decay as in 2:12) and the accusative there.

By lust ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \pi \downarrow \theta \nu \mu i \alpha)$. Caused by, consisting in, lust. "Man becomes either regenerate or degenerate" (Strachan).

2Pet 1:5
Yea, and for this very cause ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \cup \tau о ~ \tau о ⿱ \tau 兀 о ~ \delta \varepsilon) . ~ A d v e r b i a l ~ a c c u s a t i v e ~(\alpha u \tau о ~ \tau о и \tau о) ~$ here, a classic idiom, with both $\kappa \alpha$ and $\delta \varepsilon$. Cf. ка тоито (Php 1:29), тоито $\mu \varepsilon v$--тоито $\delta \varepsilon$ (Heb 10:33). "The soul of religion is the practical part" (Bunyan). Because of the new birth and the promises we have a part to play.

Adding on your part ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon เ \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, old double compound, to bring in ( $\varepsilon \| \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$ ), besides ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ ), here only in N.T.

All diligence ( $\sigma \pi \sigma v \delta \eta \nu \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha v$ ). Old word from $\sigma \pi \varepsilon v \delta \omega$ to hasten (Lu 19:5f. ). This phrase ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha v \sigma \pi \sigma u \delta \eta v)$ occurs in Jude 1:3 with $\pi o t o u \mu \varepsilon v o s$ and on the inscription in Stratonicea (verse 3) with $\iota \sigma \varphi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ (certainly a curious coincidence, to say the least, though common in the Koine).

In your faith ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Faith or $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$ (strong conviction as in Heb 11:1,3, the root of the Christian life Eph 2:8) is the foundation which goes through various steps up to love ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta$ ). See similar lists in Jas 1:30; 1Th 1:3; 2Th 1:3f.; Ga 5:22f.; Ro 5:3f.; 8:29f .Hermas (Vis. iii. 8. 1-7) has a list called "daughters" of one another. Note the use of $\varepsilon v$ (in, on) with each step.

Supply ( $\varepsilon \pi \chi \chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\varepsilon \pi \chi \chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, late and rare double compound verb ( $\varepsilon \pi$ and $\chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma \varepsilon \omega 1$ 1Pe 4:11 from $\chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma \circ \varsigma$, chorus-leader, $\chi \circ \rho \circ \varsigma$ and $\eta \gamma \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$, to lead), to fit out the chorus with additional (complete) supplies. Both compound
and simplex (more common) occur in the papyri. In 1:11 and already in 2Co 9:10; Ga 3:5; Col 2:19.

Virtue ( $\alpha \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta v$ ). Moral power, moral energy, vigor of soul (Bengel). See 3.
Knowledge ( $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). Insight, understanding (1Co 16:18; Joh 15:15 ).
2Pet 1:6
Temperance ( $\tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ ). Self-control. Old word (from $\varepsilon \gamma \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma, \varepsilon \nu$ and $\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma$, one holding himself in as in Tit 1:8 ), in N.T. only here, Ac 24:25; Ga 5:23. The opposite of the $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \sigma v \varepsilon \xi ı \alpha$ of the heretics.

Patience ( $\tau \eta v v \pi \sigma \mu \circ v \eta \nu$ ). For which see Jas 1:3.
Godliness ( $\tau \eta v \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \iota \alpha v)$. For which see verse 3.
2Pet 1:7
Love of the brethren ( $\tau \eta \nu \varphi i \lambda \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \iota \alpha v$ ). See 1Pe 1:22.
Love ( $\tau \eta v a \gamma a \pi \eta v$ ). By deliberate choice (Mt 5:44). Love for Christ as the crown of all (1Pe 1:8) and so for all men. Love is the climax as Paul has it (1Co 13:13).

2Pet 1:8
For if these things are yours and abound ( $\tau \alpha v \tau \alpha \gamma \alpha \rho \nu \mu \nu \nu \tau \alpha \rho \chi о \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \pi \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \nu \alpha \zeta о \tau \alpha)$. Present active circumstantial (conditional) participles neuter plural of $v \pi \alpha \rho \chi \omega$ and $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \sigma v \alpha \zeta \omega$ (see 1Th 3:12 ) with dative case $v \mu v$, "these things existing for you (or in you) and abounding."

They make you to be ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \iota v$ ). "Render" (present active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, old verb, Jas 3:6 ), singular because $\tau \alpha v \tau \alpha$ neuter plural.

Not idle nor unfruitful (оик арүоия оиб $\alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \pi о и \varsigma) . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ p r e d i c a t i v e ~ p l u r a l ~$ with $v \mu a \varsigma$ understood, both adjectives with alpha privative, for $\alpha \rho \gamma \circ \varsigma$ see Jas 2:20 and for акартос Mt 13:22.

Knowledge ( $\varepsilon \pi \imath \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). "Full (additional) knowledge" as in 1:2.
2Pet 1:9
He that lacketh these things ( $\omega \mu \eta \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ). "To whom (dative case of possession) these things are not ( $\mu \eta$ because a general or indefinite relative clause)."

Seeing only what is near $(\mu \nu \omega \pi \alpha \zeta \omega v)$. Present active participle of $\mu \nu \omega \pi \alpha \zeta \omega$, a rare verb from $\mu \nu \omega \pi \varsigma$ (in Aristotle for a near-sighted man) and that from $\mu \nu \varepsilon \omega$ tovৎ $\omega \pi \alpha \varsigma$ (to close the eyes in order to see, not to keep from seeing). The only other instance of $\mu v \omega \pi \alpha \zeta \omega$ is given by Suicer from Ps. Dion. Eccl. Hier. ii. 3 ( $\mu v \omega \pi \alpha \sigma o v \sigma \eta \kappa \alpha \alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi о \mu \varepsilon v \eta$ ) used of a soul on which the light shines (blinking and turning away). Thus understood the word here limits $\tau \cup \varphi \lambda$ oc as a short-sighted man screwing up his eyes because of the light.

Having forgotten ( $\lambda \eta \theta \eta v \lambda \alpha \beta \omega v$ ). "Having received forgetfulness." Second aorist active participle of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$ and accusative $\lambda \eta \theta \eta \nu$, old word, from $\lambda \eta \theta$ o $\mu \alpha$, to forget, here only in N.T. See 2Ti 1:5 for a like phrase $v \pi о \mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu \alpha \beta \omega v$ (having received remembrance).

The cleansing ( $\tau$ ко $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \mu \circ v$ ). See Heb 1:3 for this word for the expiatory sacrifice of Christ for our sins as in 1Pe 1:18; 2:24; 3:18. In 1Pe 3:21 Peter denied actual cleansing of sin by baptism (only symbolic). If there is a reference to baptism here, which is doubtful, it can only be in a symbolic sense.

Old ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha)$. Of the language as in Heb 1:1 .
2Pet 1:10
Wherefore ( $\delta$ to). Because of the exhortation and argument in verses 5-9.
Give the more diligence ( $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda$ ov $\sigma \pi \sigma v \delta a \sigma a \tau \varepsilon$ ). "Become diligent (first aorist ingressive active imperative of $\sigma \pi o v \delta \alpha \zeta \omega$ as in $2 \mathrm{Ti} 2: 15 ; 2 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 15$ ) the more" ( $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda \mathrm{o} v$, not less).

To make ( $\pi о \iota \varepsilon \iota \theta \alpha)$. Present middle infinitive of $\pi о \iota \omega \omega$, to make for yourselves.
Calling and election ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota v \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \lambda о \gamma \eta \nu)$. Both words ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \nu$, the invitation, $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda о \gamma \eta \nu$, actual acceptance). See for $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda о \gamma \eta 1$ Th 1:4; Ro 9:11 .

If ye do ( $\pi$ oוouvtє¢). Present active circumstantial (conditional) participle of noı $\omega$, "doing."

Ye shall never stumble ( $\circ \boldsymbol{v} \mu \eta \pi \tau \alpha ı \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon \pi о \tau \varepsilon$ ). Strong double negative ( $o v \mu \eta \pi о \tau \varepsilon$ ) with first aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \tau \alpha \omega \omega$, old verb to stumble, to fall as in Jas 2:10; 3:2 .

2Pet 1:11
Thus (ovt $\omega \varsigma$ ). As shown in verse 10.
Shall be supplied ( $\varepsilon \pi \downarrow \chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma \eta \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Future passive of $\varepsilon \pi \not \chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, for which see verse 5 . You supply the virtues above and God will supply the entrance ( $\eta \varepsilon \mid \sigma \circ \delta$ oc, old word already in 1Th 1:9, etc.).

Richly ( $\pi \lambda$ ovo $\omega \varsigma$ ). See Col 3:16 for this adverb.
Into the eternal kingdom ( $\varepsilon เ \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \alpha \iota \omega v \iota o \nu \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ ). The believer's inheritance of 1 Pe 1:4 is here termed kingdom, but "eternal" ( $\alpha \omega \omega$ viov feminine same as masculine). Curiously again in the Stratonicea inscription we find $\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \omega \omega v ı v \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma$ (of the eternal rule) applied to "the lords of Rome." But this is the spiritual reign of God in men's hearts here on earth (1Pe 2:9) and in heaven.

Of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ ( $\tau \circ v \kappa \nu \rho ı v ~ \eta \mu \omega v ~ к \alpha ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho о \varsigma ~ I \eta \sigma o v ~ X \rho ı \sigma \tau о v) . ~$ For which idiom see on 1:1.

2Pet 1:12
Wherefore ( $\delta \iota 0$ ). Since they are possessed of faith that conduces to godliness which they are diligently practising now he insists on the truth and proposes to do his part by them about it.

I shall be ready always ( $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \omega \alpha \varepsilon$ ). Future active of $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ (Mt 24:6 ), old verb, to be on the point of doing and used with the infinitive (present, aorist, or future). It is not here a periphrastic future, but rather the purpose of Peter to be ready in the future as in the past and now (Zahn).
 $\nu \pi о \mu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$, old causative compound (v $\tau \circ, \mu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$, like our suggest), either with two accusatives (Joh 14:26) or $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ with the thing as here), "to keep on reminding you of those things" ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ тout $\omega v$ ).

Though ye know them ( $\kappa \alpha \| \varepsilon \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$ єठота¢). Second perfect active concessive participle of ot $\delta \alpha$, agreeing (acc. plural), with v $\mu$ 人. Cf. Heb 5:8 .

Are established ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \gamma \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma)$. Perfect passive concessive participle of $\sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \zeta \omega(1 \mathrm{Pe}$ 5:10 ). The very verb ( $\sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \sigma o v)$ used by Jesus to Peter (Lu 22:32).

In the truth which is with you ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma \eta ~ \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha$ ). "In the present truth" (the truth present to you), $\pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma \eta$ present active participle of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \mu$, to be beside one. See Col 1:6 for this use of $\pi \alpha \rho \omega v$. Firmly established in the truth, but all the same Peter is eager to make them stronger.

2Pet 1:13
I think it right ( $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \circ v \eta \gamma \circ \cup \mu \alpha)$. Peter considers this to be his solemn duty, "right" ( (ıкaıov). Cf. Php 3:1; Eph 6:1 .

So long as ( $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime}$ oбov). For this phrase see Mt 9:15; Ro 11:13.
Tabernacle ( $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \omega \mu \alpha \tau$ ). Old word, in literal sense in De 33:18 for the usual $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$ (Peter's word at the Transfiguration, Mr 9:5), earliest use (in N.T. only here, verse 14; Ac 7:46 of the tabernacle of the covenant) in this metaphorical sense of life as a pilgrimage ( 1 Pe $1: 1 ; 2: 11$ ), though Paul has $\sigma \kappa \eta v o \varsigma$, so in 2Co 5:1,4. Peter feels the nearness of death and the urgency upon him.

To stir you up ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \varepsilon เ v \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). Present active infinitive of $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \omega \omega$, late (Arist., Hippocr., Herodian, papyri), perfective ( $\delta \iota \alpha=$ thoroughly) compound, to wake out of sleep ( Mr 4:39 ), "to keep on rousing you up."

By putting you in remembrance ( $\varepsilon v v \pi o \mu v \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Old word, from $v \pi о \mu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$ (verse 12), in N.T. only here, 3:1; 2Ti 1:5 . "By way of reminding you."

2Pet 1:14
The putting off of my tabernacle ( $\eta$ а $\pi \circ \theta \varepsilon \sigma ı \varsigma ~ \tau о v ~ \sigma \kappa \eta \nu v \omega \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ \mu о v)$. For $\alpha \pi \sigma \theta \varepsilon \sigma ı \varsigma$ see on 1Pe 3:21 and for $\sigma \kappa \eta v \omega \mu \alpha$ verse 13. For the metaphor see 2Co 5:3f.

Cometh swiftly ( $\tau \alpha \chi \iota v \eta \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). Late adjective (Theocritus, LXX, inscription), in N.T. only here and 2:1. It is not clear whether $\tau \alpha \chi$ Ivoc means soon or speedy as in Isa 59:7 and like taxuc in Jas 1:19, or sudden, like taरuc in Plato (Republ. 553 D). Either sense agrees with the urgent tone of Peter here, whether he felt his death to be near or violent or both.

Signified unto me ( $\varepsilon \delta \eta \lambda \omega \sigma \varepsilon v \mu 0$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\delta \eta \lambda o \omega$, old verb (from $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \mathrm{oc})$, as in 1Pe 1:11. Peter refers to the incident told in Joh 21:18f. , which he knew by personal experience before John wrote it down.

2Pet 1:15

Peter may also have had an intimation by vision of his approaching death (cf. the legend Domine quo vadis) as Paul often did (Ac 16:9; 18:9; 21:11; 23:11; 27:23 ).

At every time ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau о \tau \varepsilon)$. As need arises, old adverb, here alone in N.T.
After my decease ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \mu \eta \nu \varepsilon \xi$ o $\delta o v$ ). For $\varepsilon \xi$ oסoc meaning death see Lu 9:31, and for departure from Egypt (way out, $\varepsilon \xi$, oठoc) see Heb 11:22, the only other N.T. examples. Here again Peter was present on the Transfiguration mount when the talk was about the "exodus" of Jesus from earth.

That ye may be able ( $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon เ v \nu \mu a \varsigma)$. Literally, "that ye may have it," the same idiom with $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ and the infinitive in Mr 14:8; Mt 18:25. It is the object-infinitive after $\sigma \pi \sigma v \delta \alpha \sigma \omega$ (I will give diligence, for which see verse 10).

To call these things to remembrance ( $\tau \eta \nu \tau 0 v \tau \omega \nu \mu \nu \eta \mu \eta \nu \pi \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Present middle infinitive of $\pi o \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega$ (as in verse 10). $M \nu \eta \mu \eta$ is an old word (from $\mu \nu \alpha o \mu \alpha$ ), here alone in N.T. This idiom, like the Latin mentionem facere, is common in the old writers (papyri also both for "mention" and "remembrance"), here only in N.T., but in Ro 1:20 we have $\mu v \varepsilon \iota a v \pi o \iota o v \mu \alpha$ (I make mention). Either sense suits here. It is possible, as Irenaeus (iii. I. I) thought, that Peter had in mind Mark's Gospel, which would help them after Peter was gone. Mark's Gospel was probably already written at Peter's suggestion, but Peter may have that fact in mind here.

2Pet 1:16
We did not follow (ouк $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \varepsilon \omega$, late compound verb, to follow out (Polybius, Plutarch, LXX, papyri, inscriptions as of death following for any Gentile in the temple violating the barrier), with emphatic negative ouk, "not having followed." See also 2:2 for this verb.

Cunningly devised fables ( $\sigma \varepsilon \sigma \circ \varphi \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v \circ \iota \varsigma \mu \cup$ оıऽ). Associative instrumental case of $\mu \nu \theta$ os (old term for word, narrative, story, fiction, fable, falsehood). In N.T. only here and the Pastoral Epistles (1Ti $1: 4$, etc.). Perfect passive participle of $\sigma 0 \varphi i \zeta \omega$, old word (from
 to invent cleverly (here) and so also in the old writers and in the papyri. Some of the false teachers apparently taught that the Gospel miracles were only allegories and not facts (Bigg). Cf. 2:3 for "feigned words."

When we made known unto you ( $\varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \nu \mu \nu \nu$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, to make known unto you. Possibly by Peter himself.

The power and coming ( $\tau \eta \nu \delta \nu v \alpha \mu \nu \kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma \iota \alpha v$ ). These words can refer (Chase) to the Incarnation, just as is true of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi a v \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ in $2 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 10$ (second coming in $1 \mathrm{Ti} 6: 14$ ), and is true of $\pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma \iota \alpha$ (2Co 7:6 of Titus). But elsewhere in the N.T. $\pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma ı \alpha$ (technical term in the papyri for the coming of a king or other high dignitary), when used of Christ, refers to his second coming ( $2 \mathrm{Pe} 3: 4,12$ ).

But we were eye-witnesses ( $\left.\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \varepsilon \pi \sigma \pi \tau \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \theta \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma\right)$. First aorist passive participle of $\gamma \iota v o \mu \alpha$, "but having become eye-witnesses." Елопта, old word (from $\varepsilon \pi о \pi \tau \omega$ like $\varepsilon \pi о \pi \tau \varepsilon v \omega$ in 1Pe 2:12; 3:2 ), used of those who attained the third or highest degree of initiates in the Eleusinian mysteries (common in the inscriptions). Cf. auto $\tau \tau \eta \varsigma$ in Lu 1:2 .

Of his majesty ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o v ~ \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon เ о \tau \eta \tau \circ \varsigma)$. Late and rare word (LXX and papyri)
 clearly felt that he and James and John were lifted to the highest stage of initiation at the Transfiguration of Christ. Emphatic ekeıvov as in 2Ti 2:26 .

2Pet 1:17
For he received ( $\lambda \alpha \beta \omega \nu \gamma \alpha \rho$ ). Second aorist active participle nominative singular of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, "he having received," but there is no finite verb, anacoluthon, changing in verse 19 (after parenthesis in 18) to $\varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v \beta \varepsilon \beta a \iota \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ rather than $\varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \beta a \iota \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$.

When there came such a voice to him ( $\varphi \omega v \eta \varsigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \chi \theta \varepsilon เ \sigma \eta \varsigma ~ \alpha \nu \tau \omega$ too $\alpha \sigma \delta \varepsilon$ ). Genitive absolute with first aorist passive participle feminine singular of $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$ (cf. 1Pe 1:13) , repeated $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \chi \theta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha v$ in verse 18. $\Phi \omega v \eta$ (voice) is used also of Pentecost (Ac 2:6 ). Tooo $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon$ (classical demonstrative) occurs here alone in the N.T.

From the excellent glory (v $\frac{10}{} \tau \eta \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \gamma a \lambda о \pi \rho \varepsilon \pi о и \varsigma \delta о \xi \eta \varsigma$ ). "By the majestic glory." $\mathrm{M} \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda$ o $\pi \rho \varepsilon \pi \eta \varsigma$, old compound ( $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \varsigma$, great, $\pi \rho \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$, it is becoming), here only in N.T., several times in O.T., Apocr. (II Macc. 8:15), adverb in the inscriptions. Probably a reference to $v \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \eta \varphi \omega \tau \varepsilon \iota \nu \eta$ (bright cloud, shekinah) in Mt 17:5. The words given here from the "voice" agree exactly with Mt 17:5 except the order and the use of $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \rho$ rather than $\varepsilon v \omega$. Mark (Mr 9:7) and Luke (Lu 9:35) have akouste. But Peter did not need any Gospel for his report here.

2Pet 1:18
This voice ( $\tau \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \tau \eta v \varphi \omega v \eta \nu$ ). The one referred to in verse 17.
We heard ( $\eta \kappa о v \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \kappa \sigma v \omega$, a definite experience of Peter.

Brought ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \chi \theta \varepsilon เ \sigma \alpha v)$. "Borne" as in verse 17.
When we were with him ( $\sigma \cup v$ avt $\omega$ ovte¢). Present active participle of $\varepsilon \mu$, "being with him."

In the holy mount ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \alpha \gamma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{op} \mathrm{\varepsilon}$ ). Made holy by the majestic glory. See Eze 28:14 for "holy mount of God," there Sinai, this one probably one of the lower slopes of Hermon. Peter's account is independent of the Synoptic narrative, but agrees with it in all essentials.

2Pet 1:19
The word of prophecy ( $\tau 0 v \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \iota \kappa \circ \nu \lambda o \gamma o v$ ). "The prophetic word." Cf. 1Pe 1:10, a reference to all the Messianic prophecies.

Made more sure ( $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \ldots \tau \varepsilon \rho o v$ ). Predicate accusative of the comparative adjective $\beta \varepsilon \beta a \operatorname{os}(2 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 10)$. The Transfiguration scene confirmed the Messianic prophecies and
made clear the deity of Jesus Christ as God's Beloved Son. Some with less likelihood take Peter to mean that the word of prophecy is a surer confirmation of Christ's deity than the Transfiguration.

Whereunto $(\omega)$. Dative of the relative referring to "the prophetic word made more sure."

That ye take heed ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active participle with vovv (mind) understood, "holding your mind upon" with the dative ( $\omega$ ).

As unto a lamp $(\omega \varsigma \lambda \nu \chi \nu \omega)$. Dative also after $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ of $\lambda \nu \chi \nu \circ \varsigma$, old word (Mt 5:15).

Shining ( $\varphi a \downarrow v o v \tau)$. Dative also present active participle of $\varphi a \iota v \omega$, to shine (Joh 1:5 ). So of the Baptist (Joh 5:35).

In a dark place ( $\varepsilon v \alpha v \chi \mu \eta \rho \omega \tau \sigma \pi \omega$ ). Old adjective, parched, squalid, dirty, dark, murky, here only in N.T., though in Aristotle and on tombstone for a boy.

Until the day dawn ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ov $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \delta ı \alpha v \gamma \alpha \sigma \eta)$. First aorist active subjunctive of $\delta \iota \alpha v \gamma \alpha \zeta \omega$ with temporal conjunction $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ov, usual construction for future time. Late compound verb $\delta \iota \alpha \gamma \beta \zeta \omega$ (Polybius, Plutarch, papyri) from $\delta \iota \alpha$ and $\alpha v \gamma \eta$, to shine through, here only in N.T.

The day-star ( $\varphi \omega \sigma \varphi \circ \rho \circ \varsigma)$. Old compound adjective ( $\varphi \omega \varsigma$, light, $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, to bring), lightbringing, light-bearer (Lucifer) applied to Venus as the morning star. Our word $\varphi о \sigma \varphi о \rho \cup \varsigma$ is this word. In the LXX $\varepsilon \omega \sigma \varphi$ о $о \varsigma$ occurs. Cf. Mal 4:2; Lu 1:76-79; Re 22:16 for "dawn" applied to the Messiah.

Arise ( $\alpha v \alpha \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \eta$ ). First aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha v a \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ (Jas 1:11; Mt 5:45 ).
2Pet 1:20
Knowing this first ( $\tau 0 \cup \tau 0 \pi \rho \omega \tau \circ \nu \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \circ \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Agreeing with $\pi \circ \iota \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$ like $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \chi \circ \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ in verse 19.

No prophecy of Scripture ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ ov). Like the Hebrew lo-kol, but also in the papyri as in 1Jo 2:21 (Robertson, Grammar, p. 753).

Is ( $\gamma \iota v \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Rather "comes," "springs" (Alford), not "is" ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu)$.
Of private interpretation ( $\stackrel{\delta}{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{a}_{\varsigma} \varepsilon \pi \downarrow \lambda v \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Ablative case of origin or source in the predicate as with $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta$, in Ac 20:3 and with $\tau$ ov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ and $\varepsilon \xi \eta \mu \omega \nu$ in 2Co 4:7 . "No prophecy of Scripture comes out of private disclosure," not "of private interpretation." The usual meaning of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \nu \sigma \iota \varsigma$ is explanation, but the word does not occur elsewhere in the N.T. It occurs in the papyri in the sense of solution and even of discharge of a debt. Spitta urges "dissolved" as the idea here. The verb $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \lambda \nu \omega$, to unloose, to untie, to release, occurs twice in the N.T., once (Mr 4:34) where it can mean "disclose" about parables, the other (Ac 19:39 ) where it means to decide. It is the prophet's grasp of the prophecy, not that of the readers that is here presented, as the next verse shows.

2Pet 1:21

For $(\gamma \alpha \rho)$. The reason for the previous statement that no prophet starts a prophecy himself. He is not a self-starter.

Came ( $\eta \nu \varepsilon \chi \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$ (verses 17f. ).
By the will of man ( $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$ ). Instrumental case of $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$. Prophecy is of divine origin, not of one's private origination ( $\llcorner\delta \iota a \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \iota \lambda \nu \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$.
 of $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, moved from time to time. There they "spoke from God." Peter is not here warning against personal interpretation of prophecy as the Roman Catholics say, but against the folly of upstart prophets with no impulse from God.

## 2 Peter 2

2Pet 2:1
But there arose ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v o v \tau o \delta \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist middle indicative of $\gamma ı v o \mu \alpha$ (cf. $\gamma ı v \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ in 1:20).

False prophets also ( $\kappa \alpha \psi \varepsilon v \delta о \pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \alpha$ ). In contrast with the true prophets just pictured in 1:20f. Late compound in LXX and Philo, common in N.T. (Mt 7:15 ). Allusion to the O.T. times like Balaam and others (Jer 6:13; 28:9; Eze 13:9 ).

False teachers ( $\psi \varepsilon \cup \delta o \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda o$ ). Late and rare compound ( $\psi \varepsilon v \delta \eta \sigma, \delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda o \varsigma$ ) here alone in N.T. Peter pictures them as in the future here ( $\varepsilon \sigma \circ v \tau \alpha$, shall be) and again as already present ( $\varepsilon เ \sigma \iota v$, are, verse 17), or in the past ( $\varepsilon \pi \lambda a v \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$, they went astray, verse 15 ).

Shall privily bring in ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \xi_{0} \sigma \tau v$ ). Future active of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \gamma \omega$, late double compound $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \gamma \omega$, to bring in $(\varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \gamma \omega)$, by the side ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha)$, as if secretly, here alone in N.T., but see $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon$ וбактоus in $\mathrm{Ga} 2: 4$ (verbal adjective of this same verb).

Destructive heresies (aıpєбદı¢ $\alpha \pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon ı \alpha \varsigma)$. Descriptive genitive, "heresies of destruction" (marked by destruction) as in Lu 16:8. Haıpعбıऽ (from aıpع $\omega$ ) is simply a choosing, a school, a sect like that of the Sadducees (Ac 5:17), of the Pharisees (Ac 15:5), and of Christians as Paul admitted (Ac 24:5). These "tenets" (Ga 5:20) led to destruction.

Denying ( $\alpha \rho v o v \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present middle participle of $\alpha \rho v \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$. This the Gnostics did, the very thing that Peter did, alas (Mt 26:70 ) even after Christ's words (Mt 10:33).

Even the Master ( $\kappa \alpha \operatorname{\tau ov} \delta \varepsilon \sigma \pi о \tau \eta v)$. Old word for absolute master, here of Christ as in Jude 1:4, and also of God (Ac 4:24). Without the evil sense in our "despot."
 $\alpha \nless о \rho \alpha \zeta \omega$, same idea with $\lambda \nu \tau \rho o \omega$ in $1 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 18 \mathrm{f}$. These were professing Christians, at any rate, these heretics.

Swift destruction ( $\tau \alpha \chi \iota \eta \eta \alpha \pi \omega \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu$ ). See 1:14 for $\tau \alpha \chi \iota \nu \eta \nu$ and note repetition of $\alpha \pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon \iota a v$. This is always the tragedy of such false prophets, the fate that they bring on ( $є \pi \alpha ү о v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma) ~ t h e m s e l v e s . ~$

2Pet 2:2
Lascivious doings ( $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \gamma \varepsilon ı \alpha ı \varsigma)$. Associative instrumental ease after $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \kappa о \lambda$ ov $\theta \eta \sigma$ боvбเv (future active, for which verb see 1:16). See 1Pe 4:3 for this word.

By reason of whom ( $\delta \mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ ouc). "Because of whom" (accusative case of relative, referring to $\pi о \lambda \lambda_{0}$, many). Avt $\omega \nu$ (their) refers to $\psi \varepsilon v \delta o \delta i \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda o$ (false teachers) while $\pi о \lambda \lambda o$ to their deluded followers. See Ro 2:23f. for a picture of such conduct by Jews (quotation from Isa 52:5, with $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon \omega$ used as here with $\delta \iota^{\prime} ~ v \mu \alpha \varsigma$, because of you).

The way of truth ( $\eta$ o oos $\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha)$ ). Ho $\delta$ o (way) occurs often in N.T. for Christianity (Ac 9:2; 16:17; 18:25; 22:4; 24:14). This phrase is in Ge 24:48 as "the right road," and that is what Peter means here. So Ps 119:30 . See again 2:15,21 .

2Pet 2:3
In covetousness ( $\left.\varepsilon v \pi \lambda \varepsilon o v \varepsilon \xi_{\imath} \alpha\right)$. As did Balaam (verse 15). These licentious Gnostics made money out of their dupes. A merely intellectual Gnosticism had its fruit in immorality and fraud.

With feigned words ( $\pi \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau о \varsigma \lambda о \gamma о \varsigma)$. Instrumental case. $\Pi \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma$ is verbal adjective (from $\pi \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, to mould as from clay, for which see Ro 9:20 ), here only in N.T. "With forged words." See sample in 3:4.

Shall make merchandise of you ( $v \mu \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \mu \pi о \rho \varepsilon v \sigma o v \tau \alpha$ ). Future middle of $\varepsilon \mu \pi о \rho \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha$ (from $\varepsilon \mu \pi о \rho о \varsigma$, a travelling merchant), old word, to go in for trade, in N.T. only here and Jas 4:13, which see. Cf. our emporium (Joh 2:16, market house).

Whose sentence (oıs то к $\rho \mu \alpha$ ). "For whom (dative case) the sentence" (verdict, not process крıбıৎ).

Now from of old $(\varepsilon \kappa \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha)$. Late and common compound adverb, in N.T. only here and 3:5.

Lingereth not (ovк $\alpha \rho \gamma \varepsilon$ ). "Is not idle," old verb, $\alpha \rho \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ (from $\alpha \rho \gamma$ oc not working, alpha privative and $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v$ ), here only in N.T.

Slumbereth not (ov $v v \sigma \tau \alpha \zeta \varepsilon$ ). Old and common verb (from $v v \omega$ to nod), in N.T. only here and Mt 25:5. Note $\alpha \pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ (destruction) three times in verses 1-3.

2Pet 2:4
For if God spared not ( $\varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho$ о $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma$ ovк $\varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \tau o$ ). First instance ( $\gamma \alpha \rho$ ) of certain doom, that of the fallen angels. Condition of the first class precisely like that in Ro 11:21 save that here the normal apodosis ( $v \mu \omega \nu$ ov $\varphi \varepsilon \iota \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$ is not expressed as there, but is simply implied in verse 9 by oı $\delta \varepsilon \nu$ кирıоৎ $\rho \cup \varepsilon \sigma \theta a$ (the Lord knows how to deliver) after the parenthesis in verse 8.

Angels when they sinned ( $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \omega \nu \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ). Genitive case after $\varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \tau o$ (first aorist middle indicative of $\varphi \varepsilon \iota \delta o \mu \alpha$ ) and anarthrous (so more emphatic, even angels), first aorist active participle of $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \nu \omega$, "having sinned."

Cast them down to hell ( $\tau \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \rho \omega \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\tau \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \rho o \omega$, late word (from $\tau \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \rho \circ \varsigma$, old word in Homer, Pindar, LXX Job 40:15; 41:23 , Philo, inscriptions, the dark and doleful abode of the wicked dead like the Gehenna of the Jews), found here
 ment of the fallen angels, while Gehenna is for apostate Jews.

Committed ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \delta \omega \mu$, the very form solemnly used by Paul in Ro $1: 21,26,28$.

To pits of darkness ( $\sigma \varepsilon \iota \rho \circ \varsigma \zeta о \varphi о v$ ). Zочоৎ (kin to $\gamma \nu о \varphi о \sigma, \nu \varepsilon \varphi \circ \varsigma)$ is an old word, blackness, gloom of the nether world in Homer, in N.T. only here, verse 17; Jude 1:13; Heb 12:18. The MSS. vary between $\sigma \varepsilon \iota \rho a ı \varsigma ~(\sigma \varepsilon ı \rho a, ~ c h a i n ~ o r ~ r o p e) ~ a n d ~ \sigma \varepsilon ı \rho o ı \varsigma ~(~ \sigma \varepsilon ı \rho o \varsigma, ~ o l d ~ w o r d ~$ for pit, underground granary). $\Sigma \varepsilon \iota \rho o \iota s$ is right (Aleph A B C), dative case of destination.

To be reserved unto judgment ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \kappa \rho \iota \sigma ı \nu ~ \tau \eta \rho o u \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma) . ~ P r e s e n t ~(l i n e a r ~ a c t i o n) ~ p a s s i v e ~$
 as in verse 9 . Note крıбıৎ (act of judgment).

2Pet 2:5
The ancient world ( $\alpha \rho \chi \propto \circ \cup$ коб $\mu \circ v$ ). Genitive case after $\varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \tau 0$ (with $\varepsilon$ understood) repeated (the second example, the deluge). This example not in Jude. Absence of the article is common in the prophetic style like II Peter. For ap犭aros see Lu 9:8 .

Preserved ( $\varepsilon \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \xi \varepsilon v$ ). Still part of the long protasis with $\varepsilon$, first aorist active indicative of $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$.

With seven others (o $\gamma \delta o o v$ ). "Eighth," predicate accusative adjective (ordinal), classic idiom usually with autov. See 1Pe 3:20 for this same item. Some take o $\gamma \delta$ oov with кприка (eighth preacher), hardly correct.

A preacher of righteousness ( $\delta$ ıкаıобטvךऽ кприка). "Herald" as in 1Ti 2:7; 2Ti 1:11 alone in N.T., but $\kappa \eta \rho v \sigma \sigma \omega$ is common. It is implied in 1Pe 3:20 that Noah preached to the men of his time during the long years.

When he brought ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \xi \alpha \varsigma$ ). First aorist active participle (instead of the common second aorist active $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \omega v$ ) of $\varepsilon เ \sigma \alpha \gamma \omega$, old compound verb to bring upon, in N.T. only here and Ac 5:28 (by Peter here also).

A flood (катак $\lambda \nu \sigma \mu о \nu)$ ). Old word (from катак $\lambda \cup \zeta \omega$, to inundate), only of Noah's flood in N.T. (Mt 24:38ff.; Lu 17:27; 2Pe 2:5 ).

Upon the world of the ungodly (коб $\mu о \sigma \varepsilon \beta \omega v$ ). Anarthrous and dative case коб $\mu \omega$. The whole world were "ungodly" ( $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ a s ~ i n ~ 1 P e ~ 4: 18) ~ s a v e ~ N o a h ' s ~ f a m i l y ~ o f ~ e i g h t . ~$

2Pet 2:6
Turning into ashes ( $\tau \varepsilon \varphi \rho \omega \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist participle of $\tau \varepsilon \varphi \rho \circ \omega$, late word from $\tau \varepsilon \varphi \rho \alpha$, ashes (in Dio Cassius of an eruption of Vesuvius, Philo), here alone in N.T.

The cities of Sodom and Gomorrah ( $\pi о \lambda \varepsilon ı \varsigma \Sigma о \delta о \mu \omega v$ ка Гоноррац). Genitive of ap-
 of the cities around these two. The third example, the cities of the plain. See Ge 19:24f.

Condemned them (катєкрıvعv). First aorist active indicative of катакрıv , still part of the protasis with $\varepsilon$.

With an overthrow ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \circ \varphi \eta$ ). Instrumental case or even dative like $\theta \alpha v \alpha \tau \omega$ with катакрıv $\omega$ in Mt 20:18. But Westcott and Hort reject the word here because not in B C Coptic.

Having made them ( $\tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon ı \kappa \omega \varsigma$ ). Perfect active participle of $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$.
An example (v $\tau \boldsymbol{\sigma} \delta \varepsilon \tau \gamma \mu \alpha$ ). For which see Jas 5:10; Joh 13:15. Cf. 1Pe 2:21 .
Unto those that should live ungodly ( $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda$ ov $\omega \omega \nu \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \sigma \tau v$ ). Rather, "unto ungodly men of things about to be" (see Heb 11:20 for this use of $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o v \tau \omega v$ ). But Aleph A C K L
read $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \iota v$ (present active infinitive) with $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o v \tau \omega \nu=\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \beta \eta \sigma o v \tau \omega \nu$ (future active participle of $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \omega$ ), from which we have our translation.

2Pet 2:7
And delivered ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \rho v \sigma \alpha \tau о$ ). First aorist middle of $\rho v \circ \mu \alpha$ as in Mt 6:13 , still part of the protasis with $\varepsilon$.

Righteous Lot ( $\delta$ ıкаıov $\Lambda \frac{1}{} \tau$ ). This adjective $\delta$ ıкаııৎ occurs three times in verses 7,8. See Wisdom 10:6.

Sore distressed ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \sigma \nu o v \mu \varepsilon \nu \sigma \nu)$. Present passive participle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \sigma \nu \varepsilon \omega$, late and common verb, to work down, to exhaust with labor, to distress, in N.T. only here and Ac 7:24.

By the lascivious life of the wicked (vло $\tau \eta \varsigma \tau \omega \nu \alpha \theta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \omega \nu \varepsilon \nu \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \gamma \varepsilon \iota \alpha \alpha \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \rho о \varphi \eta \varsigma)$. "By the life in lasciviousness of the lawless." A $\theta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma ~(a l p h a ~ p r i v a t i v e ~ a n d ~ \theta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma), ~ l a t e ~ a n d ~$ common adjective (cf. $\alpha \theta \varepsilon \mu$ ı $\tau$ ऽ $1 \mathrm{Pe} 4: 3$ ) for rebels against law (of nature and conscience here). Avaбт $\rho \circ \varphi \eta$ is frequent in I Peter.

2Pet 2:8
For $(\gamma \alpha \rho)$. Parenthetical explanation in verse 8 of the remark about Lot.
Dwelling ( $\varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \tau о \iota \kappa \omega v$ ). Present active participle of $\varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \tau о \iota \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega$, old but rare double compound, here only in N.T.

In seeing and hearing ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \mu \mu \alpha \tau \kappa \alpha \alpha \kappa о)$ ). "By sight (instrumental case of $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \mu \mu \alpha$, old word, from $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$ to see, here only in N.T.) and hearing" (instrumental case of $\alpha \kappa 0 \eta$ from $\alpha \kappa o v \omega$, to hear, common as Mt 13:14 ).

From day to day ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha v \varepsilon \xi \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho a \varsigma)$. "Day in day out." Accusative of time and ablative with $\varepsilon \xi$. Same idiom in Ps 96:2 for the more common $\varepsilon \xi \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho a \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho a \nu$.

Vexed ( $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \iota \zeta v$ ). Imperfect active (kept on vexing) of $\beta \alpha \sigma \alpha v \iota \zeta \omega$, old word, to test metals, to torment (Mt 8:29).

With their lawless deeds ( $\alpha \vee о \mu \circ \iota \varsigma \rho \gamma \circ \iota)$. Instrumental case of cause, "because of their lawless (contrary to law) deeds." For avouos see 2Th 2:8 .

2Pet 2:9
The Lord knoweth how (oı $\delta \varepsilon \nu \kappa \cup \rho เ \varsigma \varsigma)$. The actual apodosis of the long protasis begun in verse 4 . God can deliver his servants as shown by Noah and Lot and he will deliver you. The idiomatic use of ot $\delta \alpha$ and the infinitive ( $\rho v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ present middle and see verse 7) for knowing how as in Mt 7:11; Jas 4:17.

The godly ( $\varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Old anarthrous adjective (from $\varepsilon v$ and $\sigma \varepsilon \beta o \mu a$, to worship), in N.T. only here and Ac 10:2,7 (by Peter). For
temptation ( $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho a \sigma \mu \circ v$ ) see Jas 1:2,12; 1Pe 1:6 .
To keep ( $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Present active infinitive of $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$ after ol $\delta \varepsilon \nu$.
Unrighteous (aסıкоиৎ). As in 1Pe 3:18.

Under punishment (ко入аऍонєvovৎ). Present passive participle of ко $\lambda \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb (from колоৎ, lopped off), in N.T. only here and Ac 4:21. Present tense emphasises continuity of the punishment. See ко $\lambda \alpha \sigma \iota v$ aı $\omega v$ ıov in Mt 25:46 .

2Pet 2:10
Chiefly ( $\mu \alpha \lambda_{ı} \sigma \tau \alpha$ ). Especially. He turns now to the libertine heretics (verses 2,7 ).
After the flesh (о $\pi \iota \sigma \omega \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa о$ ). Hebraistic use of o $\pi \iota \sigma \omega$ as with $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \omega v$ (sins) in Isa 65:2. Cf. Mt 4:19; 1Ti 5:15.

Of defilement ( $\mu \iota \sigma \mu \circ v$ ). Old word (from $\mu \iota \alpha \nu \omega$ Tit 1:15 ), here only in N.T.
Despise dominion (кирьтпптоৎ катачроvоиvтаৎ). Кирьотпऽ is late word for lordship (perhaps God or Christ) (from Kı 1 ıऽ), in Col 1:16; Eph 1:21; Jude 1:8. Genitive case after кат $\varphi \rho \rho 0 v \tau \alpha \varsigma$ (thinking down on, Mt 6:24 ).

Daring ( $\tau 0 \lambda \mu \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Old substantive (from $\tau 0 \lambda \mu \alpha \omega$, to dare), daring men, here only in N.T.

Self-willed ( $\alpha \cup \theta \alpha \delta \varepsilon ı \varsigma$ ). Old adjective (from $\alpha v \tau \circ \varsigma$ and $\eta \delta o \mu \alpha$ ), self-pleasing, arrogant, in N.T. only here and Tit 1:7.

They tremble not to rail at dignities ( $\delta o \xi \alpha \varsigma$ ov $\tau \rho \varepsilon \mu о v \sigma \iota \nu \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu о u v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. "They tremble not blaspheming dignities." T $\rho \varepsilon \mu \omega$ is old verb (Mr 5:33 ), used only in present as here and imperfect. Here with the complementary participle $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \circ v \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ rather than the infinitive $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota v$. See Jude 1:8. Perhaps these dignities ( $\delta o \xi \alpha \varsigma$ ) are angels ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \iota \lambda$ ).

2Pet 2:11
Whereas (отоv). Loose use of oлоv (in Xenophon) = "wherein."
Though greater ( $\mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta$ oveৎ ovtєৎ). Than the evil $\delta o \xi \alpha$. Concessive participle and comparative adjective.

In might and strength ( $\iota \chi \cup \kappa \alpha \delta v v \alpha \mu \varepsilon$ ). Locative case. Both indwelling strength ( $\sigma \chi \cup \varsigma$, Mr 12:30) and ability ( $\delta v v a \mu ı, ~ M t ~ 25: 15 ~) . ~ . ~$

Railing judgment ( $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \mu \circ \vee$ крıбı). "Blasphemous accusation."
Against them ( $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). The evil angels ( $\delta o \xi \alpha$ ).
Before the Lord ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa v \rho เ \omega)$. In God's presence. See Jude 1:9 and possibly Enoch 9.
2Pet 2:12
But these (ovto $\delta \varepsilon$ ). The false teachers of verse 1.
As creatures $(\zeta \omega \alpha)$. Living creatures, old word, from $\zeta \omega 0 \varsigma$ (alive), Jude 1:10; Re 4:6-9

Without reason ( $\alpha \lambda o \gamma \alpha$ ). Old adjective, in N.T. only here, Jude 1:10; Ac 25:27 . Brute beasts like $\theta \eta \rho \iota \alpha$ (wild animals).

Born ( $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \nu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\gamma \varepsilon \nu v \alpha \omega$.
Mere animals ( $\varphi \cup \sigma \iota \kappa \alpha$ ). Old adjective in -七коৎ (from $\varphi \cup \sigma \iota \varsigma$, nature), natural animals, here only in N.T.

To be taken ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma ~ a \lambda \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). "For capture" (old substantive, from $\alpha \lambda o \omega$, here only in N.T.).

And destroyed ( $\kappa \alpha \varphi$ оорау). "And for destruction" just like a beast of prey caught. See 1:4.

In matters whereof they are ignorant ( $\varepsilon v$ oıs $\alpha \gamma v o o v \sigma ı v)$. "In which things they are ignorant." Here $\varepsilon v$ oıs $=\varepsilon v$ тоитоוя $\alpha$ (in those things which), a common Greek idiom. For $\alpha \gamma v o \varepsilon \omega$ (present active indicative) see 1Th 4:13; 1Ti 1:7 for a like picture of loud ignoramuses posing as professional experts.

Shall in their destroying surely be destroyed ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \varphi \theta$ op $\alpha \alpha \cup \tau \omega v \varphi \theta \alpha \rho \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha)$. Second future passive of $\varphi \theta \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$. Rhetorical Hebraism in the use of $\varepsilon v \varphi \theta$ o $\rho \alpha$ ( (ame root as $\varphi \theta \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$ ), word four times in II Peter. See Jude 1:10 .

2Pet 2:13
Suffering wrong ( $\alpha \delta \iota \kappa о \nu \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present middle or passive participle of $\alpha \delta \iota \kappa \varepsilon \omega$ to do
 shall receive.

As the hire of wrong-doing ( $\left.\mu \sigma \theta \circ v \alpha \delta_{ı \kappa ı}\right)$ ). The Elephantine papyrus has the passive of $\alpha \delta$ ıкع $\omega$ in the sense of being defrauded, and that may be the idea here. Peter plays on words again here as often in II Peter. The picture proceeds now with participles like $\eta \gamma o u \mu \varepsilon v o$ (counting).

Pleasure ( $\eta \delta o v \eta v$ ). See Jas 4:1,3.
To revel in the daytime ( $\tau \eta v \varepsilon v \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \tau \rho \nu \varphi \eta \nu$ ). "The in the daytime revel" (old word $\tau \rho \cup \varphi \eta$ from $\theta \rho v \pi \tau \omega$, to enervate, in N.T. only here and Lu 7:25 ).

Spots ( $\sigma \pi 1 \lambda 0$ ). Old word for disfiguring spot, in N.T. only here and Eph 5:27.
Blemishes ( $\mu \omega \mu \mathrm{o}$ ). Old word for blot (kin to $\mu \nu \omega$ ), only here in N.T. See 1Pe 1:19 for $\alpha \mu \omega \mu$ с ка абтı入ос.

Revelling ( $\varepsilon \vee \tau \rho \cup \varphi \omega \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\varepsilon v \tau \rho \cup \varphi \alpha \omega$, old compound for living in luxury, only here in N.T.
 their deceivings). If $\alpha \gamma a \pi \alpha \iota \varsigma$ is genuine as it is in Jude $1: 12$, they are the only N.T. examples of this use of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta$.

While they feast with you ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \cup \omega \chi \circ v \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present passive participle of late and rare verb $\sigma v v \varepsilon v \omega \chi \varepsilon \omega$ ( $\sigma v \nu$, together, and $\varepsilon v \omega \chi \varepsilon \omega$, to feed abundantly) to entertain with. Clement of Alex. (Paed. ii. I. 6) applies $\varepsilon v \omega \chi ı$ to the $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta$.

2Pet 2:14
Of adultery ( $\mu$ ог $\chi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \iota \delta o \varsigma)$. Rather, "of an adulteress," like Jas 4:4. Vivid picture of a man who cannot see a woman without lascivious thoughts toward her (Mayor). Cf. Mt 5:28 .
 privative and verbal of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \alpha v \omega$, to cease). "Unable to stop." This a late verbal, only here in N.T. It is probable that aкататабтоv¢ is merely a misspelling of акаталаvбтovৎ.

From $\sin$ ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. Ablative case as in 1Pe 4:1 ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. Insatiable lust.

Enticing ( $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \zeta$ ovt $\tau \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \zeta \omega$, to catch by bait as in verse 18; Jas 1:14.

Unsteadfast (aбтпрıктои¢). Late verbal adjective (alpha privative and $\sigma \tau \eta \rho!\zeta \omega$ ), in Longinus and Vettius Valens, here alone in N.T.

Exercised ( $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \nu \mu v a \sigma \mu \varepsilon v \eta \nu)$. Perfect passive predicate participle with $\varepsilon \chi \circ \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$, from $\gamma \cup \mu \nu \alpha \zeta \omega$ precisely as in Heb 5:14. Rhetorical metaphor from the gymnasium.

In covetousness ( $\pi \lambda \varepsilon 0 v \varepsilon \xi ı a \varsigma)$. Genitive case after the participle.
Children of cursing (катарає $\tau \varepsilon \kappa v \alpha$ ). Hebraism like $\tau \varepsilon \kappa v \alpha$ vлакоךऽ in $1 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 14$ = асcursed (катарато).

2Pet 2:15
Forsaking (кат $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı \pi о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı \pi \omega$ (continually leaving) or ката入ıлоvtec (second aorist active), having left.

The right way ( $\varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon เ \alpha v ~ o \delta o v$ ). "The straight way" of 1Sa 12:23 (cf. Mt 7:13f. for this use of oठoc), "the way of truth" (2:2).

They went astray ( $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \alpha v \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\pi \lambda \alpha v \alpha \omega$, like Mr 12:24.

The way of Balaam ( $\tau \eta$ o $\delta \omega$ tov $B \alpha \lambda \alpha \alpha \mu$ ). Associative instrumental case after $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \kappa о \lambda о u \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$, for which verb see 1:16; 2:2. These false teachers, as shown in verse 13, followed the way of Balaam, "who loved the hire of wrong-doing" (os $\mu \iota \sigma \theta$ ov $\alpha \delta \iota \kappa \iota \alpha$, $\eta \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \sigma \varepsilon v)$.

2Pet 2:16
But he was rebuked ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \xi ા v \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \chi \varepsilon v$ ). "But he had rebuke." Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ and accusative of $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \xi$ ૬c (late word from $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi \omega$, a periphrasis for $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi \omega$, here only in N.T.

For his own transgression (ı $\delta ı \alpha \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha v o \mu \mu \alpha)$ ). Objective genitive of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha v o \mu \mu \alpha$, old word (from $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha v o \mu o \varsigma ~ l a w b r e a k e r), ~ h e r e ~ o n l y ~ i n ~ N . T . ~$

A dumb ass ( $v \pi о \zeta \cup \gamma \vdash \nu \alpha \varphi \omega v o v)$. Dumb is without voice, old word for idols and beasts. The adjective vто弓иүוoc (ито לuүov ov) "being under a yoke," is applied to the ass as the common beast of burden (papyri, Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 160), in N.T. only here and Mt 21:5.

Spake $(\varphi \theta \varepsilon \gamma \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. First aorist middle participle of $\varphi \theta \varepsilon \gamma \gamma \circ \mu \alpha$, old verb, to utter a sound, in N.T. only here, verse 18, Ac 4:18 .

Stayed ( $\varepsilon \kappa \omega \lambda \nu \sigma \varepsilon \nu)$. First aorist active indicative of $\kappa \omega \lambda \nu \omega$, to hinder.
Madness ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \varphi \rho o v i \alpha v)$. Only known example of this word instead of the usual $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \varphi \rho о \sigma \cup v \eta$ or $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \varphi \rho о v \eta \sigma \iota$. It is being beside one's wits.

2Pet 2:17
Without water ( $\alpha v v \delta \rho o$ ). As in Mt 12:43; Lu 11:24. Old word for common and disappointing experience of travellers in the orient.

Mists $(o \mu ı \chi \lambda \alpha)$. Old word for fog, here alone in N.T.
 , only other N.T. examples). See Jas 3:4 for another example of $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha v \nu \omega$ for driving power of wind and waves.

For whom (oıs). Dative case of personal interest.
The blackness (о ऍочо¢). See verse 4 for this word.
Hath been reserved ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$, for which see verses 4,9.

2Pet 2:18
Great swelling words (v $\varepsilon \varepsilon \rho о \gamma \kappa \alpha$ ). Old compound adjective (v $\tau \varepsilon \rho$ and $о ү \kappa \circ \varsigma$, a swelling, swelling above and beyond), in N.T. only here and Jude 1:16 .

Of vanity ( $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \tau \tau \tau \sigma \varsigma)$. Late and rare word (from $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha \circ \varsigma$, empty, vain), often in LXX, in N.T. here, Ro 8:20; Eph 4:17 .

By lasciviousness ( $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \gamma \varepsilon \iota \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. Instrumental plural, "by lascivious acts." Note asyndeton as in 1:9,17.

Those who are just escaping ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma о \lambda \iota \gamma \omega \varsigma \alpha \pi о \varphi \varepsilon v \gamma \circ \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. So A B read o $\lambda \iota \gamma \omega \varsigma$ (slightly, a little), while Aleph C K L P read ov $\tau \omega \varsigma$ (actually). O $\lambda \iota \gamma \omega \varsigma$ late and rare, only here in N.T. So again the Textus Receptus has $\alpha \pi \circ \varphi v \gamma 0 v \tau \alpha \varsigma$ (second aorist active participle, clean escaped) while the correct text is the present active a $\quad \varphi \boldsymbol{\varphi} v \gamma о v \tau \alpha \varsigma$.

From them that live in error ( $\tau 0 v \varsigma \varepsilon v \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \eta \alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi о \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma)$. Accusative case after $\alpha \pi о \varphi \varepsilon v \gamma 0 v \tau \alpha \varsigma$ (escaping from) according to regular idiom. Peter often uses $\alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$ and $\alpha \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \circ \varphi \eta$.

2Pet 2:19
Liberty ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \cup \theta \varepsilon \rho \iota \alpha \nu)$. Promising "personal liberty," that is license, after the fashion of advocates of liquor today, not the freedom of truth in Christ (Joh 8:32; Ga 5:1,13 ).

Themselves bondservants ( $\alpha \cup \tau 0$ סov $\overline{\text { o }}$ ). "Themselves slaves" of corruption and sin as Paul has it in Ro 6:20 .

Of whom $(\omega)$. Instrumental case, but it may mean "of what."
Is overcome $(\eta \tau \tau \eta \tau \alpha)$. Perfect passive indicative of $\eta \tau \tau \alpha \omega$ (from $\eta \tau \tau \omega \nu$, less) old verb, in N.T. only here, verse 20; 2Co 12:13 .

Of the same ( $\tau 0 v \tau \omega$ ). "By this one (or thing)."
Is brought into bondage ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta o v \lambda \omega \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\delta o v \lambda o \omega$. Like Paul again (Ro 6:16,18; 8:21).

2Pet 2:20
After they have escaped ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \varphi v \gamma 0 v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Second aorist active participle here (see verse 18).

The defilements ( $\tau \alpha \mu \iota \alpha \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Old word miasma, from $\mu \iota \alpha \iota v \omega$, here only in N.T. Our "miasma." The body is sacred to God. Cf. $\mu \mathrm{L} \alpha \sigma \mu \mathrm{v}$ in verse 10.

They are again entangled ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \varepsilon \mu \pi \lambda \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Second aorist passive participle of $\varepsilon \mu \pi \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \omega$, old verb, to inweave (noosed, fettered), in N.T. only here and 2Ti 2:4 .

Overcome $(\eta \tau \tau \omega \nu \tau \alpha)$. Present passive indicative of $\eta \tau \tau \alpha o \omega$, for which see verse 19 , "are repeatedly worsted." Predicate in the condition of first class with $\varepsilon$. It is not clear whether the subject here is "the deluded victims" (Bigg) or the false teachers themselves (Mayor). See Heb 10:26 for a parallel.

Therein ( $\tau$ оитоぃ). So locative case (in these "defilements"), but it can be instrumental case ("by these," Strachan).

With them (avtoıৎ). Dative of disadvantage, "for them."
Than the first $(\tau \omega \nu \pi \rho \omega \tau \omega v)$. Ablative case after the comparative $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho o v a$. See this moral drawn by Jesus (Mt 12:45; Lu 11:26).

2Pet 2:21
It were better ( $\kappa \rho \varepsilon \iota \tau \tau \sigma v \eta v$ ). Apodosis of a condition of second class without $\alpha v$, as is usual with clauses of possibility, propriety, obligation (Mt 26:24; 1Co 5:10; Ro 7:7; Heb 9:26 ).

Not to have known ( $\mu \eta \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \kappa \varepsilon \nu \alpha$ ). Perfect active infinitive of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ (cf. $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon$, verse 20) to know fully.

The way of righteousness ( $\tau \eta \nu$ o $\delta o \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma \cup \nu \eta \varsigma)$. For the phrase see Mt 21:33, also the way of truth ( $2: 2$ ), the straight way ( $2: 15$ ).

After knowing it ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \nu 0 v \sigma \iota \nu)$. Second aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \iota \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ (just used) in the dative plural agreeing with avtoıs (for them).

To turn back ( $v \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive of $v \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, old and common verb, to turn back, to return.

From ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ ). Out of. So in Ac 12:25 with v $\pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$. With ablative case. See Ro 7:12 for $a \gamma \iota \alpha$ applied to $\eta \varepsilon v \tau o \lambda \eta$ (cf. 1Ti 6:14 ). II Peter strikes a high ethical note (1:5ff. ).

Delivered ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o \theta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \eta \zeta)$. First aorist passive participle feminine ablative singular of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta{ }_{\imath} \delta \omega \mu$.

2Pet 2:22
It has happened $(\sigma u \mu \beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa \varepsilon v)$. Perfect active indicative of $\sigma v \mu \beta a \iota v \omega$, for which see 1Pe 4:12.

According to the true proverb ( $\tau о \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \lambda \eta \forall$ ovৎ $\pi \alpha \rho o \iota \mu \iota \varsigma)$ ) "The word ( $\tau 0$ used absolutely, the matter of, as in Mt 21:21; Jas 4:14) of the true proverb" ( $\pi \alpha \rho \circ \iota \mu \alpha$ a wayside saying, for which see Joh $10: 6 ; 16: 25,29$ ). The first proverb here given comes from $\operatorname{Pr} 26: 11$. $\mathrm{E} \xi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \mu \alpha$ is a late and rare word (here only in N.T., in Diosc. and Eustath.) from $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \omega$, to vomit.

The sow that had washed (vc $\lambda \frac{1}{} \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta$ ). Hus, old word for hog, here only in N.T. Participle first aorist direct middle of $\lambda \mathrm{ov} \omega$ shows that it is feminine (anarthrous). This second proverb does not occur in the O.T., probably from a Gentile source because about
the habit of hogs. Epictetus and other writers moralize on the habit of hogs, having once bathed in a filthy mud-hole, to delight in it.

To wallowing ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \kappa \nu \lambda \iota \sigma \mu \circ v$ ). "To rolling." Late and rare word (from $\kappa \nu \lambda \iota \omega$, Mr 9:20 ), here only in N.T.

In the mire ( $\beta \mathrm{op} \beta \mathrm{o} \mathrm{\rho ov}$ ). Objective genitive, old word for dung, mire, here only in N.T. J. Rendel Harris (Story of Ahikar, p. LXVII) tells of a story about a hog that went to the bath with people of quality, but on coming out saw a stinking drain and went and rolled himself in it.

## 2 Peter 3

2Pet 3:1
Beloved ( $\alpha \boldsymbol{\gamma} \alpha \pi \eta \tau 0$ ). With this vocative verbal (four times in this chapter), Peter "turns away from the Libertines and their victims" (Mayor).

This is now the second epistle that I write unto you ( $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \eta \vee \eta \delta \eta \eta \delta \varepsilon \tau \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu \nu \mu \nu \vee \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$ $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda \eta v)$. Literally, "This already a second epistle I am writing to you." For $\eta \delta \eta$ see Joh $21: 24$. It is the predicate use of $\delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu \varepsilon \pi \not \approx \tau \tau 0 \lambda \eta \nu$ in apposition with $\tau \alpha u \tau \eta \nu$, not "this second epistle." Reference apparently to I Peter.

And in both of them ( $\varepsilon v$ aıc). "In which epistles."
I stir up $(\delta \iota \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega)$. Present active indicative, perhaps conative, "I try to stir up." See 1:13.

Mind ( $\delta$ tavoı $\alpha v$ ). Understanding (Plato) as in 1Pe 1:13 .
Sincere ( $\varepsilon \lambda \lambda เ \kappa \rho \iota v \eta$ ). Old adjective of doubtful etymology (supposed to be $\varepsilon i \lambda \eta$, sunlight, and $\kappa \rho \imath v \omega$, to judge by it). Plato used it of ethical purity ( $\psi v \chi \eta \varepsilon \wedge \lambda ı \rho \rho \imath \eta \varsigma)$ as here and Php 1:10, the only N.T. examples.

By putting you in remembrance ( $\varepsilon v v \pi \sigma \mu \nu \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ ). As in 1:13.
2Pet 3:2
That ye should remember ( $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \eta v \alpha$ ). First aorist passive (deponent) infinitive of $\mu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$, to remind. Purpose (indirect command) is here expressed by this infinitive. Imperative in Jude 1:17.

Spoken before ( $\pi \rho \circ \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \mu \varepsilon \omega \omega v$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\pi \rho \circ \varepsilon ı \pi o v$ (defective verb). Genitive case $\rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ after $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \eta \nu \alpha$.

And the commandment ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \tau o \lambda \eta \varsigma$ ). Ablative case with $v \pi o$ (agency).
Of the Lord and Saviour through your apostles ( $\tau \omega v \alpha \pi о \sigma \tau о \lambda \omega \nu \nu \mu \omega \nu \tau o v$ кvpıov $\kappa \alpha \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \circ \varsigma)$. H $\nu \mu \omega \nu$ (your) is correct, not $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ (our). But the several genitives complicate the sense. If $\delta \iota \alpha$ (through) occurred before $\tau \omega \nu \alpha \pi \circ \sigma \tau o \lambda \omega \nu$, it would be clear. It is held by some that Peter would not thus speak of the twelve apostles, including himself, and that the forger here allows the mask to slip, but Bigg rightly regards this a needless inference. The meaning is that they should remember the teaching of their apostles and not follow the Gnostic libertines.

2Pet 3:3
Knowing this first ( $\tau 0 \cup \tau 0 \pi \rho \omega \tau \circ v \gamma เ \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \circ \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. See 1:20 for this identical phrase. Nominative absolute here where accusative $\gamma \iota \omega \omega \sigma \kappa 0 \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma$ would be regular. Peter now takes up the $\pi \alpha \rho о v \sigma \iota \alpha$ ( $1: 16$ ) after having discussed the $\delta \nu v a \mu$, of Christ.

In the last days ( $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega v \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \omega v$ ). "Upon the last of the days." Jude 1:18 has it $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau 00 \chi \rho \circ v o v$ (upon the last time). In 1Pe 1:5 it is $\varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \omega \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau \omega$ (in the last time),
while 1Pe 1:20 has $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau o v \tau \omega v \chi \rho o v \omega v$ (upon the last of the times). John has usually $\tau \eta \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ (on the last day, 6:39f. ). Here $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau \omega v$ is a predicate adjective like $\sigma \nu \mu \mu \nu \varsigma$ $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ( (the top of the mountain).

Mockers with mockery ( $\varepsilon \mu \pi \alpha \iota \gamma \mu \circ \nu \eta \varepsilon \mu \pi \alpha \iota \kappa \tau \alpha)$. Note Peter's play on words again, both from $\varepsilon \mu \pi \alpha \iota \zeta \omega$ (Mt 2:16) , to trifle with, and neither found elsewhere save $\varepsilon \mu \pi \alpha \_\kappa \tau \eta \varsigma$ in Jude 1:18; Isa 3:4 (playing like children).

2Pet 3:4
Where is the promise of his coming? ( $\pi 0 v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \eta \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho о v \sigma \iota \alpha \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau o v ;)$. This is the only sample of the questions raised by these mockers. Peter had mentioned this subject of the $\pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma ı \alpha$ in $1: 16$. Now he faces it squarely. Peter, like Paul (1Th 5:1f.; 2Th 2:1f. ), preached about the second coming (1:16; Ac 3:20f. ), as Jesus himself did repeatedly (Mt 24:34) and as the angels promised at the Ascension (Ac 1:11). Both Jesus and Paul ( $2 \mathrm{Th} 2: 1 \mathrm{f}$.) were misunderstood on the subject of the time and the parables of Jesus urged readiness and forbade setting dates for his coming, though his language in Mt 24:34 probably led some to believe that he would certainly come while they were alive.

From the day that ( $\alpha \varphi^{\prime} \eta \varsigma$ ). "From which day." See Lu 7:45.
Fell asleep ( $\varepsilon \kappa о \mu \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of ко $\mu \alpha \omega$, old verb, to put sleep, classic euphemism for death (Joh 11:11) like our cemetery (sleeping-place).

Continue ( $\delta \iota \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ ). Present active indicative of $\delta \iota \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega$, to remain through (Lu 1:22 ). In statu quo.

As they were (ov $\omega \varsigma$ ). "Thus."
From the beginning of creation ( $\alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Precisely so in $\mathrm{Mr} 10: 6$, which see.

2Pet 3:5
For this they wilfully forget ( $\lambda \alpha v \theta \alpha v \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha v \tau 0 \cup \varsigma ~ \tau o v \tau o ~ \theta \varepsilon \lambda o v \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Literally, "for this escapes them being willing." See this use of $\lambda \alpha v \theta a v \omega$ (old verb, to escape notice of, to be hidden from) in Ac 26:26. The present active participle $\theta \varepsilon \lambda o v \tau \alpha \varsigma$ (from $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$, to wish) has almost an adverbial sense here.

Compacted ( $\sigma \nu \vee \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \sigma \alpha$ ). See Paul's $\sigma u v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon \nu(\operatorname{Col} 1: 17)$ "consist." Second perfect active (intransitive) participle of $\sigma v \nu \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, feminine singular agreeing with $\gamma \eta$ (nearest to it) rather than with oupavo (subject of $\eta \sigma \alpha v$ imperfect plural). There is no need to make Peter mean the Jewish mystical "seven heavens" because of the plural which was used interchangeably with the singular (Mt 5:9f. ).

Out of water and amidst water $\left(\varepsilon \xi v \delta \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma \kappa \alpha \delta i^{\prime} v \delta \alpha \tau о \varsigma\right)$. Out of the primeval watery chaos (Ge 1:2), but it is not plain what is meant by $\delta \mathrm{t}^{\prime} v \delta a \tau o \varsigma$, which naturally means "by means of water," though $\delta$ ıa with the genitive is used for a condition or state (Heb 12:1). The reference may be to Ge 1:9, the gathering together of the waters.

By the word of God ( $\tau \omega \operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \varepsilon o v \lambda o \gamma \omega$ ). Instrumental case $\lambda o \gamma \omega$, "by the fiat of God" (Ge 1:3; Heb 11:3 $\eta \eta \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \theta \varepsilon o v)$.

2Pet 3:6
By which means ( $\delta i^{\prime} \omega v$ ). The two waters above or the water and the word of God. Mayor against the MSS. reads $\delta i^{\prime}$ ov (singular) and refers it to $\lambda o \gamma \omega$ alone.

Being overshadowed (катак $\nu \cup \sigma \theta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. First aorist passive participle of катак $\nu \zeta \omega$, old compound, here only in N.T., but see катак $\lambda \nu \sigma \mu$, in 2:5.

With water ( $v \delta \alpha \tau$ ). Instrumental case of $v \delta \omega \rho$.
Perished ( $\alpha \pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon \tau \sigma$ ). Second aorist middle indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$.
2Pet 3:7
That now are ( $v v v$ ). "The now heavens" over against "the then world" (o тотє коб $\mu$ оя verse 6).

By the same word ( $\tau \omega \alpha \cup \tau \omega \lambda o \gamma \omega$ ). Instrumental case again referring to $\lambda o \gamma \omega$ in verse 6.

Have been stored up ( $\tau \varepsilon \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v \rho \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o \varepsilon ו \sigma \iota v$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\theta \eta \sigma \alpha \cup \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, for which verb see Mt 6:19; Lu 12:21.

For fire ( $\pi v \rho$ ). Dative case of $\pi \nu \rho$, not with fire (instrumental case). The destruction of the world by fire is here pictured as in Joe 2:30f.; Ps 50:3 .

Being reserved ( $\tau \eta \rho o v \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present passive participle of $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$, for which see 2:4.
Against ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma)$. Unto. As in 2:4,9 and see 1 Pe 1:4 for the inheritance reserved for the saints of God.

2Pet 3:8
Forget not this one thing ( $\varepsilon v \tau$ тоvто $\mu \eta \lambda \alpha v \theta a v \varepsilon \tau \omega v \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. Rather, "let not this one thing escape you." For $\lambda \alpha v \theta a v \varepsilon \tau \omega$ (present active imperative of $\lambda \alpha v \theta \alpha v \omega$ ) see verse 5 . The "one thing" ( $\varepsilon v$ ) is explained by the o $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ (that) clause following. Peter applies the language of Ps 90:4 about the eternity of God and shortness of human life to "the impatience of human expectations" (Bigg) about the second coming of Christ. "The day of judgment is at hand (1Pe 4:7). It may come tomorrow; but what is tomorrow? What does God mean by a day? It may be a thousand years" (Bigg). Precisely the same argument applies to those who argue for a literal interpretation of the thousand years in Re 20:4-6. It may be a day or a day may be a thousand years. God's clock ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ кv $\omega \omega$, beside the Lord) does not run by our timepieces. The scoffers scoff ignorantly.

2Pet 3:9
Is not slack concerning his promise (ov $\beta \rho \alpha \delta u v \varepsilon \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda 1 \alpha \varsigma)$. Ablative case $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota a \varsigma$ after $\beta \rho \alpha \delta u v \varepsilon$ (present active indicative of $\beta \rho \alpha \delta u v \omega$, from $\beta \rho \alpha \delta u \varsigma$, slow), old verb, to be slow in, to fall short of (like $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ боبıんऽ in Jas 1:5), here and 1Ti 3:15 only in N.T.

Slackness ( $\beta \rho \alpha \delta v \tau \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Old substantive from $\beta \rho \alpha \delta v \varsigma$ (Jas 1:19), here only in N.T. God is not impotent nor unwilling to execute his promise.

To youward ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \nu \mu \propto \varsigma)$. Проц rather than $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ after $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta v \mu \varepsilon$ in 1Th 5:14 and $\varepsilon \pi$ in Jas 5:7, etc.

Not wishing ( $\mu \eta \beta o v \lambda o \mu \varepsilon \nu o c)$. Present middle participle of $\beta o v \lambda o \mu \alpha$. Some will perish (verse 7), but that is not God's desire. Any ( $\tau \imath \alpha \varsigma) . ~ R a t h e r ~ t h a n ~ " s o m e " ~(\tau ı v \varepsilon \varsigma) ~ a b o v e . ~ A c c u s-~$ ative with the infinitive $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ (second aorist middle of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$. God wishes "all" ( $\pi \alpha \vee \tau \alpha \varsigma$ ) to come ( $\chi \omega \rho \eta \sigma \alpha$ first aorist active infinitive of $\chi \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to make room). See Ac 17:30; Ro 11:32; 1Ti 2:4; Heb 2:9 for God's provision of grace for all who will repent.

2Pet 3:10
The day of the Lord ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ кv $\rho \stackrel{\text { L }}{ }$ ). So Peter in Ac 2:20 (from Joe 3:4) and Paul in 1Th 5:2,4; 2Th 2:2; 1Co 5:5; and day of Christ in Php 2:16 and day of God in 2:12 and day of judgment already in $2: 9 ; 3: 7$. This great day will certainly come $(\eta \xi \varepsilon)$. Future active of $\eta \kappa \omega$, old verb, to arrive, but in God's own time.

As a thief ( $\omega \varsigma \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma)$. That is suddenly, without notice. This very metaphor Jesus had used (Lu 12:39; Mt 24:43) and Paul after him (1Th 5:2) and John will quote it also (Re 3:3; 16:15).

In the which $(\varepsilon \vee \eta)$. The day when the Lord comes.
Shall pass away ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma o v \tau \alpha$ ). Future middle of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, old verb, to pass by.
With a great noise ( $\rho \circ \iota \zeta \eta \delta o v$ ). Late and rare adverb (from $\rho o \iota \zeta \varepsilon \omega, \rho o \iota \zeta \circ \varsigma)$-- Lycophron, Nicander, here only in N.T., onomatopoetic, whizzing sound of rapid motion through the air like the flight of a bird, thunder, fierce flame.

The elements ( $\tau \alpha \sigma \tau \circ \tau \chi \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ ). Old word (from $\sigma \tau \circ \chi \chi \circ \varsigma$ a row), in Plato in this sense, in other senses also in N.T. as the alphabet, ceremonial regulations (Heb 5:12; Ga 4:3; 5:1; Col 2:8).

Shall be dissolved $(\lambda \nu \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Future passive of $\lambda v \omega$, to loosen, singular because


With fervent heat ( $\kappa \alpha v \sigma o v \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Present passive participle of $\kappa \alpha v \sigma o \omega$, late verb (from каvбоऽ, usually medical term for fever) and nearly always employed for fever temperature. Mayor suggests a conflagration from internal heat. Bigg thinks it merely a vernacular (Doric) future for $\kappa \alpha v \sigma о \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ (from $\kappa \alpha \omega \omega$, to burn).

Shall be burned up ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$. Repeated in verse 12. Second future passive of the compound verb катакаเ $\omega$, to burn down (up), according to A L. But Aleph B K P read $\varepsilon \cup \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ (future passive of $\varepsilon \cup \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$, to find) "shall be found." There are various other readings here. The text seems corrupt.

2Pet 3:11

To be dissolved ( $\lambda v o \mu \varepsilon v \omega v$ ). Present passive participle (genitive absolute with $\tau 0 v \tau \omega \nu$ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$, these things all) of $\lambda \nu \omega$, either the futuristic present or the process of dissolution presented.
 older $\pi \mathbf{\pi} \delta \alpha \pi$ о as in Mt 8:27, accusative case with $\delta \varepsilon v \pi \alpha \rho \chi \varepsilon ı v$ agreeing with v $\mu a \varsigma$ (you). See $1: 8$ for $v \pi \alpha \rho \chi \omega$.

In all holy living and godliness ( $\varepsilon v$ aүıaı $\alpha v a \sigma \tau \rho о \varphi \alpha ı \varsigma \kappa \alpha \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon ı \alpha \iota \varsigma)$. "In holy behaviours and pieties" (Alford). Plural of neither word elsewhere in N.T., but a practical plural in $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha$ avaбт $\rho \circ \varphi \eta$ in 1Pe 1:15.

2Pet 3:12
Looking for ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta o \kappa \omega v \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta$ ока $\omega$ (Mt 11:3) agreeing in case (accusative plural) with $v \mu \alpha \varsigma$.

Earnestly desiring ( $\sigma \pi \varepsilon v \delta o v \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Present active participle, accusative also, of $\sigma \pi \varepsilon v \delta \omega$, old verb, to hasten (like our speed) as in Lu 2:16, but it is sometimes transitive as here either (preferably so) to "hasten on the parousia" by holy living (cf. 1Pe 2:12), with which idea compare Mt 6:10; Ac 3:19f. , or to desire earnestly (Isa 16:5).

Being on fire ( $\pi v \rho \circ v \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present passive participle of $\pi v \rho o \omega$, old verb (from pur), same idea as in verse 10.

Shall melt ( $\tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Futuristic present passive indicative of $\tau \eta \kappa \omega$, old verb, to make liquid, here only in N.T. Hort suggests $\tau \eta \xi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ (future middle), though Isa 34:4 has $\tau \alpha \kappa \eta \sigma о \nu \tau \alpha$ (second future passive). The repetitions here make "an effective refrain" (Mayor).

2Pet 3:13
Promise ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \mu \alpha$ ). As in 1:4. The reference is to Isa 65:17f.; 66:22 . See also Re 21:1 . For kaivos (new) see on Mt 26:29. For the expectant attitude in $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta o \kappa \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$ (we look for) repeated from verse 12 and again in verse 14 , see $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ (we eagerly look for) in Php 3:20.

Wherein ( $\varepsilon v$ oıs). The new heavens and earth.
Dwelleth (катоюке). Has its home (оккос). Certainly "righteousness" ( $\delta$ ıкаобииף) is not at home in this present world either in individuals, families, or nations.

2Pet 3:14
Wherefore ( $\delta \iota 0$ ). As in 1:10,12.
Give diligence ( $\sigma \pi \frac{0}{} \alpha \alpha \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). As in 1:10.
That ye may be found ( $\varepsilon v \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta v \alpha$ ). First aorist passive infinitive (cf. $\varepsilon v \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ in verse 10). For this use of $\varepsilon v \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$ about the end see 2Co 5:3; Php 3:9; $1 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 7$.

Without spot and blameless ( $\alpha \sigma \pi \iota \lambda о$ ка $\alpha \mu \omega \mu \eta \tau о$ ). Predicate nominative after $\varepsilon \cup \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta v \alpha$. See 2:13 for position words $\sigma \pi \iota \lambda$ о ка $\mu \omega \mu$ o and 1Pe 1:19 for $\alpha \mu \omega \mu$ ос (so Jude 1:24) ка $\alpha \sigma \pi i \lambda o \varsigma($ so Jas 1:27). A $\mu \omega \mu \eta \tau 0 \varsigma$ (old verbal of $\mu \omega \mu \alpha \circ \mu \alpha$ ) only here in N.T. save some MSS. in Php 2:15.

2Pet 3:15
In his sight ( $\alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). Ethical dative. Referring to Christ.
Is salvation $(\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha \nu)$. Predicate accusative after $\eta \gamma \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ in apposition with $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta \nu \mu \alpha \nu$ (long-suffering), an opportunity for repentance (cf. 1Pe 3:20 ). The Lord here is Christ.

Our beloved brother Paul (о $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \tau о \varsigma ~ \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi о \varsigma ~ П \alpha v \lambda о \varsigma) . ~ P a u l ~ a p p l i e s ~ t h e ~ v e r b a l ~$ aүanŋтos (beloved) to Epaphras (Col 1:7), Onesimus (Col 4:9; Phm 1:16), to Tychicus (Col 4:7; Eph 6:21 ), and to four brethren in Ro 16 (Epainetus Ro 16:5, Ampliatus Ro 16:8 , Stachys Ro 16:9, Persis Ro 16:12 ). It is not surprising for Peter to use it of Paul in view of Gal 2:9f. , in spite of Ga 2:11-14.

Given to him ( $\delta o \theta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha v \tau \omega)$. First aorist passive participle of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ with dative case. Peter claimed wisdom for himself, but recognises that Paul had the gift also. His language here may have caution in it as well as commendation. "St. Peter speaks of him with affection and respect, yet maintains the right to criticise" (Bigg).

2Pet 3:16
As also in all his epistles ( $\omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \varepsilon v \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau о \lambda \alpha \iota)$. We do not know to how many Peter here refers. There is no difficulty in supposing that Peter "received every one of St. Paul's Epistles within a month or two of its publication" (Bigg). And yet Peter does not here assert the formation of a canon of Paul's Epistles.

Speaking in them of these things ( $\lambda \alpha \lambda \omega \nu \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \alpha \iota \pi \varepsilon \rho \tau 0 v \tau \omega v$ ). Present active participle of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$. That is to say, Paul also wrote about the second coming of Christ, as is obviously true.

Hard to be understood ( $\delta v \sigma v o \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Late verbal from $\delta u \varsigma$ and $v o \varepsilon \omega$ (in Aristotle, Lucian, Diog. Laert.), here only in N.T. We know that the Thessalonians persisted in misrepresenting Paul on this very subject of the second coming as Hymenaeus and Philetus did about the resurrection (2Ti 2:17) and Spitta holds that Paul's teaching about grace was twisted to mean moral laxity like Ga 3:10; Ro 3:20,28; 5:20 (with which cf. 6:1 as a case in point), etc. Peter does not say that he himself did not understand Paul on the subject of faith and freedom.

Unlearned ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. Old word (alpha privative and $\mu \alpha v \theta \alpha v \omega$ to learn), ignorant, here only in N.T.

Unsteadfast ( $\alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho ı \kappa \tau о$ ). See on 2:14.
Wrest ( $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \beta \lambda o v \sigma \iota \nu$ ). Present active indicative of $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \beta \lambda o \omega$, old verb (from $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \beta \lambda$ os twisted, $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, to turn), here only in N.T.

The other scriptures ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \lambda о \iota \pi \alpha \varsigma \gamma \rho \propto \rho \varsigma)$. There is no doubt that the apostles claimed to speak by the help of the Holy Spirit (1Th 5:27; Col 4:16) just as the prophets of old did (2Pe 1:20f. ). Note $\lambda$ oıt $\alpha \varsigma$ (rest) here rather than $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \varsigma$ (other). Peter thus puts Paul's Epistles on the same plane with the O.T., which was also misused (Mt 5:21-44; 15:3-6; 19:3-10 ).

2Pet 3:17
Knowing these things beforehand ( $\pi \rho \sigma \neq \imath \omega \sigma \kappa o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\pi \rho о \gamma \iota \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ as in 1Pe 1:20. Cf. $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \nu \quad \gamma \omega \sigma \kappa \omega(1: 20 ; 3: 1)$. Hence they are without excuse for misunderstanding Peter or Paul on this subject.

Beware ( $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon)$. Present middle imperative of $\varphi v \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, common verb, to guard.
Lest ( $七 \propto \alpha \eta)$. Negative purpose, "that not."
Being carried away ( $\sigma v v a \pi \alpha \chi \theta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). First aorist passive participle of $\sigma v v a \pi \alpha \gamma \omega$, old verb double compound, to carry away together with, in N.T. only here and Ga 2:13.

With the error ( $\tau \eta \pi \lambda a v \eta$ ). Instrumental case, "by the error" (the wandering).
Of the wicked ( $\tau \omega \nu \alpha \theta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \omega \nu$ ). See on 2:7.
Ye fall from ( $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \varepsilon \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist active subjunctive with $\imath v \alpha \mu \eta$ of $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, old verb, to fall out of, with the ablative here ( $\sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \gamma \mu \circ v$, steadfastness, late word from $\sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \omega$, here alone in N.T.) as in Ga 5:4 ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \chi \alpha \rho ı \tau \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$, ye fell out of grace).

2Pet 3:18
But grow ( $\alpha v \xi \alpha v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon$ ). Present active imperative of $\alpha v \xi \alpha v \omega$, in contrast with such a fate pictured in verse 17, "but keep on growing."

In the grace and knowledge ( $\varepsilon v \chi \alpha \rho \iota \tau \kappa \alpha \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Locative case with $\varepsilon v$. Grow in both. Keep it up. See on $1: 1$ for the idiomatic use of the single article ( $\tau 0$ ) here, "of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ."

To him ( $\alpha v \tau \omega$ ). To Christ.
For ever ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu \alpha \iota \omega \nu \circ \varsigma)$. "Unto the day of eternity." So Sirach 18:9f. One of the various ways of expressing eternity by the use of aı $\omega v$. So $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ \alpha ı \omega v \alpha$ in Joh 6:5; 12:34.

## THE FIRST EPISTLE OF JOHN

ABOUT A.D. 85 TO 90

## BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

## RELATION TO THE FOURTH GOSPEL

There are few scholars who deny that the Epistles of John and the Fourth Gospel are by the same writer. As a matter of fact "in the whole of the First Epistle there is hardly a single thought that is not found in the Gospel" (Schulze). H. J. Holtzmann (Jahrbuch fur Protestantische Theologie, 1882, P. 128) in a series of articles on the "Problem of the First Epistle of St. John in its Relation to the Gospel" thinks that the similarities are closer than those between Luke's Gospel and the Acts. Baur argued that this fact was explained by conscious imitation on the part of one or the other, probably by the author of the Epistle. The solution lies either in identity of authorship or in imitation. If there is identity of authorship, Holtzmann argues that the Epistle is earlier, as seems to me to be true, while Brooke holds that the Gospel is the earlier and that the First Epistle represents the more complete ideas of the author. Both Holtzmann and Brooke give a detailed comparison of likenesses between the First Epistle and the Fourth Gospel in vocabulary, syntax, style, ideas. The arguments are not conclusive as to the priority of Epistle or Gospel, but they are as to identity of authorship. One who accepts, as I do, the Johannine authorship of the Fourth Gospel for the reasons given in Volume V of this series, does not feel called upon to prove the Johannine authorship of the three Epistles that pass under the Apostle's name. Westcott suggests that one compare Joh 1:1-18 with 1Jo 1:1-4 to see how the same mind deals with the same ideas in different connections. "No theory of conscious imitation can reasonably explain the subtle coincidences and differences in these two short crucial passages."

## GNOSTICISM

The Epistle is not a polemic primarily, but a letter for the edification of the readers in the truth and the life in Christ. And yet the errors of the Gnostics are constantly before John's mind. The leaders had gone out from among the true Christians, but there was an atmosphere of sympathy that constituted a subtle danger. There are only two passages (1Jo 2:18f.; 4:1-6 ) in which the false teachers are specifically denounced, but "this unethical intellectualism" (Robert Law) with its dash of Greek culture and Oriental mysticism and licentiousness gave a curious attraction for many who did not know how to think clearly. John, like Paul in Colossians, Ephesians, and the Pastoral Epistles, foresaw this dire peril to Christianity. In the second century it gave pure Christianity a gigantic struggle. "The great Gnostics were the first Christian philosophers" (Robert Law, The Tests of Life, p. 27) and threatened to undermine the Gospel message by "deifying the devil" (ib., p. 31) along with dethroning Christ. There were two kinds of Gnostics, both agreeing in the essential evil of matter. Both had trouble with the Person of Christ. The Docetic Gnostics denied the actual
humanity of Christ, the Cerinthian Gnostics distinguished between the man Jesus and the acov Christ that came on him at his baptism and left him on the Cross. Some practised asceticism, some licentiousness. John opposes both classes in his Epistles. They claimed superior knowledge ( $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma$ ) and so were called Gnostics ( $\Gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau \iota \kappa$ ). Nine times John gives tests for knowing the truth and uses the verb $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ (know) each time (1Jo 2:3,5; 3:16,19,24; $4: 2,6,13 ; 5: 2$ ). Some of the leaders he calls antichrists. There are stories about John's dread of Cerinthus and his unwillingness to be seen in the same public bath with him. The Apostle of love, as he is, is a real son of thunder when Gnosticism shows its head. Westcott thinks that the Fourth Gospel was written to prove the deity of Christ, assuming his humanity, while I John was written to prove the humanity of Christ, assuming his deity. Certainly both ideas appear in both books.

## DESTINATION

It is not clear to whom the Epistle is addressed. Like the Gospel, the Epistle of John came out of the Asiatic circle with Ephesus as the centre. Augustine has the strange statement that the Epistle was addressed to the Parthians. There are other ingenious conjectures which come to nothing. The Epistle was clearly sent to those familiar with John's message, possibly to the churches of the Province of Asia (cf. the Seven Churches in Revelation).

THE DATE
The time seems to be considerably removed from the atmosphere of the Pauline and Petrine Epistles. Jerusalem has been destroyed. If John wrote the Fourth Gospel by A.D. 95, then the First Epistle would come anywhere from A.D. 85 to 95 . The tone of the author is that of an old man. His urgent message that the disciples, his "little children," love one another is like another story about the aged John, who, when too feeble to stand, would sit in his chair and preach "Little children, love one another." The Muratorian Fragment accepts the First Epistle and Origen makes full use of it, as does Clement of Alexandria. Irenaeus quotes it by name. Polycarp shows knowledge of it also.
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## 1 John 1

## 1John 1:1

That which (o). Strictly speaking, the neuter relative here is not personal, but the message "concerning the Word of life" ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \tau$ тov $\lambda$ oүov $\tau \eta \varsigma \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma)$, a phrase that reminds one at once of the Word ( $\Lambda$ оүос) in Joh 1:1,14; Re 19:14 (an incidental argument for identity of authorship for all these books). For discussion of the $\Lambda$ oүos see on Joh 1:1-18. Here the $\Lambda$ оүoc is described by $\tau \eta \varsigma \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma$ (of life), while in Joh 1:4 he is called $\eta \zeta \omega \eta$ (the Life) as here in verse 2 and as Jesus calls himself (Joh 11:25; 14:6), an advance on the phrase here, and in Re 19:14 he is termed o $\lambda$ oyos tov $\theta$ sou (the Word of God), though in Joh 1:1 the $\Lambda$ oүos is flatly named o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma$ (God). John does use o in a collective personal sense in Joh 6:37,39. See also $\pi \alpha v$ o in 1Jo 5:4.

From the beginning ( $\alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma$ ). Anarthrous as in Joh 1:1; 6:64; 16:4. See same phrase in 2:7. The reference goes beyond the Christian dispensation, beyond the Incarnation, to the eternal purpose of God in Christ (Joh 3:16 ), "coeval in some sense with creation" (Westcott).

That which we have heard ( $о$ ак $п к о \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Note fourfold repetition of o (that which) without connectives (asyndeton). The perfect tense (active indicative of $\alpha \kappa \sigma \omega \omega$ ) stresses John's equipment to speak on this subject so slowly revealed. It is the literary plural unless John associates the elders of Ephesus with himself (Lightfoot) the men who certified the authenticity of the Gospel (Joh 21:24).

That which we have seen (o $\varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active, again, of opa $\omega$, with the same emphasis on the possession of knowledge by John.

With our eyes ( $\tau \circ \varsigma \varsigma \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \circ \iota \varsigma \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Instrumental case and showing it was not imagination on John's part, not an optical illusion as the Docetists claimed, for Jesus had an actual human body. He could be heard and seen.

That which we beheld (o $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Repetition with the aorist middle indicative of $\theta \varepsilon \alpha o \mu \alpha$ (the very form in Joh 1:14 ), "a spectacle which broke on our astonished vision" (D. Smith).

Handled ( $\varepsilon \psi \eta \lambda \alpha \varphi \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\psi \eta \lambda \alpha \varphi \alpha \omega$, old and graphic verb (from $\psi \alpha \omega$, to touch), the very verb used by Jesus to prove that he was not a mere spirit (Lu 24:39). Three senses are here appealed to (hearing, sight, touch) as combining to show the reality of Christ's humanity against the Docetic Gnostics and the qualification of John by experience to speak. But he is also "the Word of life" and so God Incarnate.

1John 1:2
Was manifested $(\varepsilon \varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\varphi a v \varepsilon \rho \circ \omega$, to make known what already exists, whether invisible (B. Weiss) or visible, "intellectual or sensible" (Brooke). In Col 3:4 Paul employs it of the second coming of Christ. Verse 2 here is an im-
portant parenthesis, a mark of John's style as in Joh 1:15. By the parenthesis John heaps reassurance upon his previous statement of the reality of the Incarnation by the use of $\varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha к \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ (as in verse 1) with the assertion of the validity of his "witness" ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho о v \mu \varepsilon v)$ and "message" ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda о \mu \varepsilon v$ ), both present active indicatives (literary plurals), $\alpha \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ being the public proclamation of the great news (Joh 16:25).

The life, the eternal life ( $\tau \eta \nu \zeta \omega \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu$ aı $\omega v \iota \circ v$ ). Taking up $\zeta \omega \eta$ of verse 1, John defines the term by the adjective al $\omega v$ o $\rho$, used 71 times in the N.T., 44 times with $\zeta \omega \eta$ and 23 in John's Gospel and Epistles (only so used in these books by John). Here lt means the divine life which the Logos was and is (Joh 1:4; 1Jo 1:1).

Which ( $\eta \tau \iota \varsigma)$. Qualitative relative, "which very life."
Was with the Father ( $\eta v \pi \rho o \varsigma ~ \tau o v \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha)$. Not $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau o$, but $\eta \nu$, and $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ with the accusative of intimate fellowship, precisely as in Joh 1:1 $\eta \vee \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \varepsilon o v$ (was with God). Then John closes the parenthesis by repeating $\varepsilon \varphi a v \varepsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta$.

1John 1:3
That which we have seen (о $\varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Third use of this form (verses $1,2,3$ ), this time resumption after the parenthesis in verse 2.

And heard (ка акךкоацєv). Second (verse 1 for first) use of this form, a third in verse 5. Emphasis by repetition is a thoroughly Johannine trait.

Declare we ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda о \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Second use of this word (verse 2 for first), but $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{ı} \alpha$ (message) and $\alpha v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o \mu \varepsilon \nu$ (announce) in verse 5.

That ye also may have (เva ка v $\downarrow \varepsilon ı \varsigma \varepsilon \chi \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Purpose clause with $i v \alpha$ and present active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ (may keep on having). "Ye also" who have not seen Jesus in the flesh as well as those like John who have seen him. Like ка $v \mu \nu \nu$ (to you also) just before.

Fellowship with us ( $\kappa o v \omega \omega v \iota \alpha \nu \mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime} \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Common word in this Epistle, from кoเv $\omega v$ oc, partner ( $\mathrm{Lu} 5: 10$ ), and кoı $\omega \omega v \varepsilon \omega$, to share, in ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 4: 13$ ), with $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ emphasising mutual relationship (Ac 2:42). This Epistle often uses $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ with a substantive rather than a verb.

Yea, and our fellowship ( $\kappa \alpha \eta \kappa о \imath v \omega v i \alpha ~ \delta \varepsilon \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha)$. Careful explanation of his meaning in the word "fellowship" (partnership), involving fellowship with the Father and with his Son Jesus Christ and only possible in Christ.

1John 1:4
We write ( $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \rho \mu \varepsilon v \eta \mu \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ). Literary plural present active indicative of $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$, which see in the singular in 2:12-14.

May be fulfilled ( $\eta \pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon v \eta$ ). Periphrastic perfect passive subjunctive of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$, stressing the state of completion in the purpose (iva), remain full, precisely as in Joh 16:24 . See aorist subjunctive in Joh 15:11 and perfect indicative in Joh 17:13. The MSS. differ as often between $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ (our) and $v \mu \omega \nu$ (your).

1John 1:5

And (ка). Mutual fellowship depends on mutual knowledge (Westcott).
Message ( $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{ı} \alpha$ ). Old word (from $\alpha \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \lambda_{o} \varsigma$, messenger), in N.T. only here and 3:11, and note $\alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v$ (from God like $\alpha \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ in verse 3) and $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o \mu \varepsilon \nu$, to announce, to disclose, here as in Joh 4:25 .

God is light (o $\theta \varepsilon \circ \varsigma \varphi \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu)$. Precisely so the $\Lambda о \gamma \circ \varsigma$ is light (Joh 1:4-9) and what Jesus claimed to be (Joh 8:12 ). John repeats it in negative form as he often does (Joh 1:3 ).

1John 1:6
If we say $(\varepsilon \alpha v \varepsilon \iota \pi \omega \mu \varepsilon v)$. Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and second aorist (ingressive, up and say) active subjunctive. Claiming fellowship with God (see verse 3) involves walking in the light with God (verse 5) and not in the darkness (бкотоৎ here, but бкотıa in Joh 1:5 ). See $2: 11$ also for $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \sigma \kappa о \tau \iota \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$.

We lie $(\psi \varepsilon v \delta o \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha)$. Present middle indicative, plain Greek and plain English like that about the devil in Joh 8:44 .

Do not the truth (ov $\pi o เ o v \mu \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \nu \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu)$. Negative statement of the positive $\psi \varepsilon v \delta o \mu \varepsilon \forall \alpha$ as in Joh 8:44. See Joh 3:21 for "doing the truth," like Ne 9:33 .

1John 1:7
If we walk ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ). Condition of third class also with $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ and present active subjunctive (keep on walking in the light with God).

As he ( $\omega \varsigma$ avtoc). As God is light (verse 5) and dwells in light unapproachable (1Ti 6:16 ).

One with another ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \omega \nu$ ). As he has already said in verse 3 . But we cannot have fellowship with one another unless we have it with God in Christ, and to do that we must walk in the light with God.

And the blood of Jesus his Son cleanseth us from all sin ( $\kappa \alpha$ тo $\alpha \mu \alpha$ In $\sigma o v$ tov viov
 coordinate with the preceding one. Walking in the light with God makes possible fellowship with one another and is made possible also by the blood of Jesus (real blood and no mere phantom, atoning blood of the sinless Son of God for our sins). John is not ashamed to use this word. It is not the mere "example" of Jesus that "cleanses" us from sin. It does cleanse the conscience and life and nothing else does (Heb 9:13f.; Tit 2:14 ). See in verse 9 both forgiveness and cleansing. Cf. 1Jo 3:3 .

1John 1:8
If we say ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \varepsilon \iota \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). See verse 6.
We have no sin ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha v$ ovk $\varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v$ ). For this phrase see Joh 9:41; 15:22,24. That is, we have no personal guilt, no principle of sin. This some of the Gnostics held, since matter was evil and the soul was not contaminated by the sinful flesh, a thin delusion with which so-called Christian scientists delude themselves today.

We deceive ourselves ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \tau o v \varsigma ~ \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ). Present active indicative of $\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \alpha \omega$, to lead astray. We do not deceive others who know us. Negative statement again of the same idea, "the truth is not in us."

1John 1:9
If we confess $(\varepsilon \alpha \nu \quad o \mu o \lambda o \gamma \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu)$. Third-class condition again with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and present active subjunctive of $о \mu о \lambda о \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, "if we keep on confessing." Confession of sin to God and to one another (Jas 5:16) is urged throughout the N.T. from John the Baptist (Mr 1:5) on.

Faithful ( $\pi$ Iбтоৎ). Jesus made confession of sin necessary to forgiveness. It is God's promise and he is "righteous" ( $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \varsigma)$.

To forgive (ıv $\alpha \varphi \eta$ ). Sub-final clause with $t v \alpha$ and second aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \varphi ı \eta \mu$.

And to cleanse ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \gamma \iota \alpha \sigma \eta$ ). So again with $\iota v \alpha$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \iota \zeta \omega$ (verse 7).

1John 1:10
If we say ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \varepsilon \iota \pi \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu)$. As in verses 6,8.
We have not sinned ( $o v \chi \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v \omega$. This is a denial of any specific acts of sin, while in verse 8 we have the denial of the principle of sin. David Smith observes that the claim to personal perfectionism has two causes, one the stifling of conscience in making God a liar ( $\psi \varepsilon v \sigma \tau \eta \nu$, the word used of the devil by Jesus in Joh 8:44 ), and the other ignorance of God's word, which is not in us, else we should not make such a claim.

## 1 John 2

1John 2:1
My little children ( $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \nu \downarrow \alpha \mu \circ$ ). Tender tone with this diminutive of $\tau \varepsilon \kappa v o v$ (child), again in 2:12; 3:18, but $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha$ in 2:14. John is now an old man and regards his readers as his little children. That attitude is illustrated in the story of his visit to the robber to win him to Christ.

That ye may not $\sin (i v \alpha \mu \eta \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon)$. Purpose (negative) clause with $\stackrel{v \alpha}{\mu \eta}$ and the second aorist (ingressive, commit sin) active subjunctive of $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v \omega$, to sin. John has no patience with professional perfectionists ( 1:8-10), but he has still less with loose-livers like some of the Gnostics who went to all sorts of excesses without shame.

If any man $\sin$ ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \iota \varsigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta)$. Third-class condition with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and second aorist (ingressive) active subjunctive again, "if one commit sin."

We have ( $\varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v)$. Present active indicative of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ in the apodosis, a present reality like $\varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v$ in 2Co 5:1.

An advocate ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \tau 0 v$ ). See on Joh 14:16,26; 15:26; 16:7 for this word, nowhere else in the N.T. The Holy Spirit is God's Advocate on earth with men, while Christ is man's Advocate with the Father (the idea, but not the word, in Ro 8:31-39; Heb 7:25). As סıкаıо (righteous) Jesus is qualified to plead our case and to enter the Father's presence (Heb 2:18 ).

1John 2:2
And he (ка avtoc). He himself in his own person, both priest and sacrifice (Heb 9:14 ).

The propitiation ( $\lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma)$. Late substantive from ı $\lambda \alpha \sigma \kappa \circ \mu \alpha$ (Lu 18:13; Heb 2:17), in LXX, Philo, Plutarch, in N.T. only here and 4:10. Christ himself is the means of propitiation for ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ concerning) our sins. See $\lambda \lambda a \sigma \tau \eta \rho i o v$ in Ro 3:15 .

For the whole world ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ o $\overline{0}$ ov tov $\kappa 0 \sigma \mu \circ v$ ). It is possible to supply the ellipsis here of $\tau \omega v$ a $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \omega v$ (the sins of) as we have it in Heb 7:27, but a simpler way is just to regard "the whole world" as a mass of $\sin (5: 19)$. At any rate, the propitiation by Christ provides for salvation for all (Heb 2:9) if they will only be reconciled with God (2Co 5:19-21).

1John 2:3
Hereby ( $\varepsilon v \tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \tau \omega)$. See this phrase also in $2: 5 ; 3: 16,19,24 ; 4: 2,13 ; 5: 2$. That is explained by the $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ clause, "if we keep his commandments " ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \eta \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$, condition of the third class, $\varepsilon \alpha v$ with present active subjunctive, "if we keep on keeping"), the clause itself in apposition with $\tau 0 \cup \tau \omega$ (locative case).

Know we that we know him ( $\gamma$ เvoбко $\varepsilon \varepsilon v$ от $\varepsilon \gamma v \omega \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ autov). "Know we that we have come to know and still know him," $\varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ the perfect active indicative of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. The Gnostics boasted of their superior knowledge of Christ, and John here challenges their
boast by an appeal to experimental knowledge of Christ which is shown by keeping his (autov, Christ's) commandments, thoroughly Johannine phrase (12 times in the Gospel, 6 in this Epistle, 6 in the Apocalypse).

1John 2:4
I know him (E $\gamma v \omega \kappa \alpha$ avtov). Perfect active indicative with recitative ot like quotation marks just before it. This is one of the pious platitudes, cheap claptrap of the Gnostics, who would bob up in meetings with such explosions. John punctures such bubbles with the sharp addition "and keepeth not" (o $\mu \eta \tau \eta \rho \omega v$, present active linear participle). "The one who keeps on saying: 'I have come to know him,' and keeps on not keeping his commandments is a liar" ( $\psi \varepsilon v \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma$, just like Satan, Joh 8:44 and like 1Jo 1:8,10 ), followed by the negative statement as in 1:8,10. There is a whip-cracker effect in John's words.

1John 2:5
But whoso keepeth (os $\delta^{\prime} \alpha \nu \tau \eta \rho \eta$ ). Indefinite relative clause with modal $\alpha v$ and the present active subjunctive, "whoever keeps on keeping."

Verily ( $a \lambda \eta \theta \omega \varsigma$ ). Truly, of a truth. This prize is open to all, not confined to a few initiated Gnostic intellectuals or pneumatics.

Hath the love of God been perfected ( $\eta \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v \tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon i \omega \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \omega$, stands completed. Probably objective genitive, our love for God, which is realized in absolute obedience (Brooke).

Hereby $(\varepsilon v \tau o v \tau \omega)$. That is by continuous keeping of Christ's commandments, not by loud talk and loose living.

1John 2:6
Himself also to walk ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha v \tau о \varsigma ~ \pi \varepsilon \rho ı \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon ı v) . ~ P r e s e n t ~ a c t i v e ~ i n f i n i t i v e ~ a f t e r ~ о \varphi \varepsilon ı \lambda \varepsilon ~$ (ought), "Himself also to keep on walking," a continuous performance, not a spasmodic spurt.

Even as he walked ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o \varsigma ~ \pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \pi \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v)$. Constative aorist active indicative summing up the life of Christ on earth with the emphatic use of the demonstrative عкєıvos, in reference to Christ as in 3:3,5,7,16; 4:17; Joh 7:11; 9:12,28; 19:21.

1John 2:7
Beloved ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \tau 0$ ). First instance of this favourite form of address in these Epistles (3:2,21; 4:1,7; 3Jo 1,2,5,11 ).

No new commandment (ovk $\varepsilon \nu \tau 0 \lambda \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \nu \eta \nu$ ). Not novel or new in kind ( $\kappa \alpha \iota v \eta \nu$ as distinct from $v \varepsilon o \varsigma$, new in time, for which distinction see Lu 5:33-38 ).

But an old commandment ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \varepsilon v \tau \tau \lambda \eta \nu \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha v$ ). Ancient as opposed both to кaıvos and veoc. The Mosaic law taught love for one's neighbours and Christ taught love even of enemies.

Which ye had ( $\eta \vee \varepsilon \chi \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active, reaching back to the beginning of their Christian lives ( $\alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma$ ). They had heard it expressly from Jesus (Joh 13:34), who, however, calls it "a new commandment."

1John 2:8
Again a new commandment ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \varepsilon \nu \tau 0 \lambda \eta \nu \kappa \alpha ı \eta \eta \nu$ ). Paradox, but truth. Old in teaching (as old as the story of Cain and Abel, 3:11f.), but new in practice. For this use of $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota v$ for a new turn see Joh 16:28. To walk as Christ walked is to put in practice the old commandment and so make it new (ever new and fresh), as love is as old as man and fresh in every new experience.

True in him and in you ( $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \alpha \cup \tau \omega \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \nu \nu \mu i v$ ). This newness is shown supremely in Christ and in disciples when they walk as Jesus did (verse 6).

Because (oт). Explanation of the paradox.
Is passing away ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Present middle indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \omega$, old verb, to lead by, to go by (intransitive), as in Mt 20:30. Night does pass by even if slowly. See this verb in verse 17 of the world passing by like a procession.

True ( $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta$ ivov). Genuine, reliable, no false flicker.
Already shineth ( $\eta \delta \eta \varphi \alpha \imath v \varepsilon)$. Linear present active, "is already shining" and the darkness is already passing by. Dawn is here. Is John thinking of the second coming of Christ or of the victory of truth over error, of light over darkness (cf. Joh 1:5-9 ), the slow but sure victory of Christ over Satan as shown in the Apocalypse? See 1:5.

1John 2:9
And hateth his brother ( $\kappa \alpha \tau o v \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o v$ autov $\mu \sigma \omega v$ ). Sharp contrast between the love just described and hate. The only way to walk in the light ( $1: 7$ ) is to have fellowship with God who is light ( $1: 3,5$ ). So the claim to be in the light is nullified by hating a brother.

Even until now ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha \rho \tau$ ). Up till this moment. In spite of the increasing light and his own boast he is in the dark.

1John 2:10
Abideth ( $\mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ ). Present active indicative, continues in the light and so does not interrupt the light by hating his brother.

Occasion of stumbling ( $\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda o v$ ). See on Mt 13:41; 16:23 for this interesting word. It is a stumbling block or trap either in the way of others (its usual sense), as in Mt 18:7, or in one's own way, as is true of $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa о \pi \tau \omega$ in Joh 11:9 and in verse 11 here. But, as Westcott argues, John may very well have the usual meaning here and the other in verse 11.

1John 2:11
Blinded ( $\varepsilon \tau \cup \varphi \lambda \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\tau \cup \varphi \lambda o \omega$, the very verb and form used in 2Co 4:4 of the god of this age to keep men from beholding the illumination of the gospel of the glory of Christ who is the image of God. The first part of the verse repeats verse

9, but adds this vivid touch of the blinding power of darkness. In the Mammoth Cave of Kentucky the fish in Echo River have eye-sockets, but no eyes.

1John 2:12
I write ( $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$ ). Present active indicative, repeated three times, referring to this Epistle. For "the name" see 3:23; 3Jo 1:7. They were loyal to the name of Christ (Mt 10:22).

Are forgiven ( $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \omega \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Doric perfect passive indicative of $\alpha \varphi \imath \eta \mu$ (seen also in Lu 5:20,23 ) for the usual $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon เ \nu \tau \alpha$. Tєкvia (little children) probably includes all, as in verse 1.

1John 2:13
Fathers ( $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Those mature believers with long and rich experience ( $\varepsilon \gamma v \omega \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon$, ye have come to know and still know).

Him which is from the beginning ( $\tau 0 v a \pi^{\prime} \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma$ ). See $1: 1$ as explaining this crisp description of the Word of life (cf. Joh 1:1-18).

Young men (veavioko). The younger element in contrast to the fathers, full of vigor and conflict and victory.

Ye have overcome the evil one ( $v \varepsilon v i \kappa \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ tov $\pi$ о $\eta \eta \rho o v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $v$ vкa $\omega$, a permanent victory after conflict. The masculine article tov shows that the prince of darkness is the one defeated in this struggle, the devil plain in 3:8,10 (Joh 8:44; 13:2 ).

1John 2:14
I have written $(\varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha)$. Repeated three times. Epistolary aorist referring to this Epistle, not to a previous Epistle. Law (Tests of Life, p. 309) suggests that John was interrupted at the close of verse 13 and resumes here in verse 14 with a reference to what he had previously written in verse 13. But that is needless ingenuity. It is quite in John's style to repeat himself with slight variations.

The Father ( $\tau 0 v \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). The heavenly Father as all of God's children should come to know him. He repeats from verse 13 what he said to "fathers." To the young men he adds $\iota \sigma \chi \rho \circ$ (strong) and the word of God abiding in them. That is what makes them powerful (ı $\sigma \chi \cup \rho \circ$ ) and able to gain the victory over the evil one.

1John 2:15
Love not the world ( $\mu \eta$ аү $\alpha \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ tov коб $\mu \circ v$ ). Prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and the present active imperative of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$, either stop doing it or do not have the habit of doing it. This use of кобнос is common in John's Gospel (1:10; 17:14ff. ) and appears also in 1Jo 5:19. In epitome the Roman Empire represented it. See it also in Jas 4:4. It confronts every believer today.

If any man love ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \tau \iota \varsigma \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha$ ). Third-class condition with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and present active subjunctive of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$ (same form as indicative), "if any keep on loving the world."

The love of the Father ( $\eta \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta$ tov $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ \varsigma)$. Objective genitive, this phrase only here in N.T., with which compare "love of God" in 2:5. In antithesis to love of the world.

1John 2:16

All that ( $\pi \alpha \vee \tau 0$ ). Collective use of the neuter singular as in 5:4, like $\pi \alpha v$ o in Joh 6:37,39 .Three examples, not necessarily covering all sins, are given in the nominative in apposition with $\pi \alpha \nu \tau$ тo. "The lust of the flesh" ( $\eta \varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa о \varsigma$, subjective genitive, lust felt by the flesh) may be illustrated by Mr 4:19; Ga 5:17. So the genitive with $\eta \varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \iota \alpha \omega \nu$ $\sigma \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \omega \nu$ (the lust of the eyes) is subjective, lust with the eyes as organs as shown by Jesus in Mt 5:28. The use of the "movies" today for gain by lustful exhibitions is a case in point. For $\alpha \lambda \alpha \zeta$ oveı $\alpha$ see on Jas $4: 16$, the only other N.T. example. $A \lambda \alpha \zeta \omega v$ (a boaster) occurs in Ro 1:30; 2Ti 3:2 . Boc (life) as in 3:17 is the external aspect (Lu 8:14), not the inward principle $(\zeta \omega \eta)$. David Smith thinks that, as in the case of Eve (Ge 3:1-6) and the temptations of Jesus (Mt 4:1-11), these three sins include all possible sins. But they are all "of the world" ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ tov коб $\mu \circ v$ ) in origin, in no sense "of the Father" ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ тоv $\pi \alpha \tau \rho о \varsigma)$. The problem for the believer is always how to be in the world and yet not of it (Joh 17:11,14ff. ).

1John 2:17
Passeth away ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). "Is passing by" (linear action, present middle indicative), as in verse 8 . There is consolation in this view of the transitoriness of the conflict with the world. Even the lust which belongs to the world passes also. The one who keeps on doing ( $\pi \circ \iota \omega v$ present active participle of $\pi о \iota \varepsilon \omega$ ) the will of God "abides for ever" ( $\mu \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau$ $\alpha \iota \omega v \alpha)$ "amid the flux of transitory things" (D. Smith).

1John 2:18
It is the last hour ( $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau \eta \omega \rho \alpha \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. This phrase only here in N.T., though John often uses $\omega \rho \alpha$ for a crisis (Joh 2:4; 4:21,23; 5:25,28 , etc.). It is anarthrous here and marks the character of the "hour." John has seven times "the last day" in the Gospel. Certainly in verse 28 John makes it plain that the $\pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma \iota \alpha$ might come in the life of those then living, but it is not clear that here he definitely asserts it as a fact. It was his hope beyond a doubt. We are left in doubt about this "last hour" whether it covers a period, a series, or the final climax of all just at hand.

As ye heard ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \varsigma \varsigma \kappa о и \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon)$. First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \kappa о \nu \omega$.
Antichrist cometh ( $\alpha$ тıхрıбтоৎ $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). "Is coming." Present futuristic or prophetic middle indicative retained in indirect assertion. So Jesus taught (Mr 13:6,22; Mt 24:5,15,24 ) and so Paul taught (Ac 20:30; 2Th 2:3). These false Christs (Mt 24:24; Mr 13:22 ) are necessarily antichrists, for there can be only one. Avt can mean substitution or opposition, but both ideas are identical in the word avtıxpıбтoৎ (in N.T. only here, 2:22; 4:3; 2Jo 1:7 ). Westcott rightly observes that John's use of the word is determined by the Christian conception, not by the Jewish apocalypses.

Have there arisen ( $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \alpha \sigma \iota v$ ). Second perfect active indicative of $\gamma \iota v o \mu \alpha$.
Many antichrists ( $\alpha v \tau \iota \chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \pi o \lambda \lambda o$ ). Not just one, but the exponents of the Gnostic teaching are really antichrists, just as some modern deceivers deserve this title.

Whereby ( $\mathrm{o} \theta \varepsilon v$ ). By the fact that these many antichrists have come.

1John 2:19
From us $(\varepsilon \xi \eta \mu \omega v)$
--of us $(\varepsilon \xi \eta \mu \omega v)$. The same idiom, $\varepsilon \xi$ and the ablative case $(\eta \mu \omega v)$, but in different senses to correspond with $\varepsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta \alpha \nu$ (they went out from our membership) and ovк $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ (they were not of us in spirit and life). For $\varepsilon \xi$ in the sense of origin see Joh 17:15, for $\varepsilon \xi$ in the sense of likeness, Joh 17:14.

For if they had been of us $(\varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \xi \eta \mu \omega \nu \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. Condition of second class with $\varepsilon$ and imperfect tense (no aorist for $\varepsilon \not \mu$ ).

They would have continued ( $\mu \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \kappa \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha \nu$ ). Past perfect of $\mu \varepsilon \nu \omega$, to remain, without augment, with $\alpha v$ in apodosis of second-class condition.

With us $\left(\mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime} \eta \mu \omega v\right)$. In fellowship, for which see $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ in $1: 3$. They had lost the inner fellowship and then apparently voluntarily broke the outward.

But they went ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ). Ellipsis of the verb $\varepsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta a \nu$ above, a common habit (ellipse) in John s Gospel (1:8; 9:3; 13:18; 15:25 ).

That they might be made manifest (ıva $\varphi \alpha \vee \varepsilon \rho \omega \theta \omega \sigma \iota)$ ). Purpose clause with $\tau v a$ and the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\varphi a v \varepsilon \rho o \omega$, for which verb see Joh 21:1; Col 3:4. See 2Co 3:3 for the personal construction with o $\tau$ as here.

They all are not (ovk $\varepsilon ו \sigma \iota v \pi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Not just some, but all, as in 2:21; 3:5. These antichrists are thus revealed in their true light.

1John 2:20
Anointing $(\chi \rho \iota \sigma \mu \alpha)$. Old word for result $(\mu \alpha \tau)$ and for the material, from $\chi \rho เ \omega$, to anoint, perhaps suggested by the use of $\alpha v \tau \iota \chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau o$ in verse 18. Christians are "anointed ones," $\chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau$ in this sense, with which compare Ps 105:15 : "Touch not my anointed ones" ( $\mu \eta \alpha \psi \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon \tau \omega v \chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega \nu \mu \sigma v$ ). These antichrists posed as the equals of or even superior to Christ himself. But followers of Christ do have "the oil of anointing" ( $\tau$ ع $\varepsilon \alpha \iota \circ v \tau o v \chi \rho \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma$, Ex 29:7 ), the Holy Spirit. This word in the N.T. only here and verse 27. Later the term was applied to baptism after baptismal remission came to be taught (Tertullian, etc.).

From the Holy One (ato $\tau 0 v a \gamma$ ov). They receive this anointing of the Holy Spirit from the Anointed One, Jesus Christ (the Holy One). Cf. Joh 6:69; Ac 3:14.

And ye know all things ( $\kappa \alpha$ oı $\delta \alpha \tau \varepsilon \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha$ ). But the best MSS. read $\pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ rather than $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha$, "Ye all know it." This anointing is open to all Christians, not just a select few.

1John 2:21
I have not written (ovk $\varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha$ ). Not epistolary aorist ( $2: 14$ ), but a reference to what he has just said.

And because no lie is of the truth ( $\kappa \alpha$ o $\tau \alpha \nu \psi \varepsilon \cup \delta \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma$ оик $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ). Not certain whether ot here is causal (because) or declarative (that). Either makes sense. Note the idiomatic use of $\varepsilon \kappa$ and $\pi \alpha \nu-$-ov $=0 v \delta \varepsilon \nu$ (no) as in verse 19.

1John 2:22

The liar (o $\psi \varepsilon \cup \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma)$. The liar (with the article) par excellence. Rhetorical question to sharpen the point made already about lying in 1:6,10; 2:4,21. See 5:5 for a like rhetorical question.

But $(\varepsilon \mu \eta)$. Except, if not.
 Common Greek idiom for ovk to appear after $\alpha \rho v \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$ like redundant $\mu \eta$ in Lu 20:27; Heb 12:19. The old Latin retains non here as old English did (Shakespeare, Comedy of Errors IV. ii. 7, "He denied you had in him no right"). The Cerinthian Gnostics denied the identity of the man Jesus and Christ (an acov, they held) like the modern Jesus or Christ controversy.

This is the antichrist (ov himself in particular.

Even he that denieth the Father and the Son (о $\alpha \rho v o \cup \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ \tau о v ~ \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha ~ к а ~ \tau о v ~ v o v) . ~$. This is the inevitable logic of such a rejection of the Son of God. Jesus had himself said this very same thing (Joh 5:23f. ).

1John 2:23
Hath not the Father (ouסع tov $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon$ ). "Not even does he have the Father" or God (2Jo 1:9).

He that confesseth the Son ( $о$ o $\mu о \lambda о \gamma \omega v$ tov vov). Because the Son reveals the Father (Joh 1:18; 14:9). Our only approach to the Father is by the Son (Joh 14:6 ). Confession of Christ before men is a prerequisite for confession by Christ before the Father (Mt 10:32; Lu 12:8).

1John 2:24
As for you (v $\mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Emphatic proleptic position before the relative o and subject of $\eta \kappa o u \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$, a familiar idiom in Joh 8:45; 10:29, etc. Here for emphatic contrast with the antichrists. See 1:1 for $\alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma$ (from the beginning).

Let abide in you ( $\varepsilon \nu \nu \mu \nu \nu \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \omega$ ). Present active imperative of $\mu \varepsilon \nu \omega$, to remain. Do not be carried away by the new-fangled Gnostic teaching.

1John 2:25
And this is the promise ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v ~ \eta \varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı \alpha$ ). See 1:5 for the same idiom with $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{1} \alpha$ (message). This is the only instance of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \lambda_{1} \alpha$ in the Johannine writings. Here "the promise" is explained to be "the life eternal" ( 1:2). In Ac 1:4 the word is used for the coming of the Holy Spirit.

He promised (av $\tau о \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau)$ ). First aorist middle indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$. Av $\tau \circ \varsigma$ (he) is Christ as is seen in 3:3 by eкعıvoc.

1John 2:26
Concerning them that would lead you astray ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \omega v \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \omega v \tau \omega v \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. "Concerning those that are trying to lead you astray" (conative use of the present active articular participle
of $\pi \lambda \alpha v \alpha \omega$. See 1:8 for this verb. John is doing his part to rescue the sheep from the wolves, as Paul did (Ac 20:29).

1John 2:27
And as for you ( $\kappa \alpha \cup \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ). Prolepsis again as in verse 24.
Which ye received of him (o $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha \cup \tau \sigma v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$, a definite experience, this anointing ( $\chi \rho \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$ ), from Christ himself as in verse 20. This Paraclete was promised by Christ (Joh 14:26; 16:13ff.) and came on the great Pentecost, as they knew, and in the experience of all who yielded themselves to the Holy Spirit.

That any one teach you (ıva $\tau ı \varsigma \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. Sub-final use of $\tau v \alpha$ and the present active subjunctive of $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \omega$, "that any one keep on teaching you."

Teacheth you ( $\delta t \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. Present active indicative. The Holy Spirit was to bring all things to their remembrance (Joh 14:26) and to bear witness concerning Christ (Joh $15: 26 ; 16: 12-15$ ). Yet they need to be reminded of what they already know to be "true" ( $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \varsigma$ ) and "no lie" (ouk $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \psi \varepsilon \cup \delta o \varsigma)$, according to John's habit of positive and negative ( 1:5). So he exhorts them to "abide in him" ( $\mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon v ~ \alpha u \tau \omega$, imperative active, though same form as the indicative). Precisely so Jesus had urged that the disciples abide in him (Joh 15:4f. ).

1John 2:28
And now ( $\kappa \alpha v v v$ ). John tenderly repeats the exhortation, "keep on abiding in him."
If he shall be manifested ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \varphi a v \varepsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta$ ). Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and first aorist passive subjunctive as in verse 19; Col 3:3. A clear reference to the second coming of Christ which may be at any time.

That we have boldness ( $เ v \alpha \sigma \chi \omega \mu \varepsilon v \pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \downarrow)$ ). Purpose clause with $v^{2} \alpha$ and the ingressive second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, "that we may get boldness."

And not be ashamed ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \eta \alpha \| \sigma \chi v v \theta \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Likewise negative purpose (after John's fashion) with $\mu \eta$ and the first aorist passive subjunctive of aı $\chi \cup v \omega$, to put to shame.

Before him ( $\alpha \pi^{\prime}$ avtov). "From him," as if shrinking away from Christ in guilty surprise. See 2Th 1:9 for this use of a $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ (from the face of the Lord).

1John 2:29
If ye know ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \varepsilon \iota \delta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Third-class condition again with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and second perfect active subjunctive of ot $\delta \alpha$. If ye know by intuitive or absolute knowledge that Christ (because of verse 28) is righteous, then "ye know" or "know ye" ( $\gamma$ $\boldsymbol{\nu} \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ either indicative or imperative) by experimental knowledge (so $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ means in contrast with ot $\delta \alpha$ ).

Is begotten ( $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \nu v \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect passive indicative of $\gamma \varepsilon v v \alpha \omega$, stands begotten, the second birth (regeneration) of Joh 3:3-8 .

Of him ( $\varepsilon \xi$ avtov). Plainly "of God" in verse 9 and so apparently here in spite of $\delta$ เкаıos referring to Christ. Doing righteousness is proof of the new birth.

## 1 John 3

1John 3:1
What manner of love ( $\pi о \tau \alpha \pi \eta \nu \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta v$ ). Qualitative interrogative as in 2Pe 3:11; Mt 8:27. Only here in John's writings. Originally of what country or race.

Hath bestowed ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, state of completion, "the endowment of the receiver" (Vincent).

That we should be called (iva $\kappa \lambda \eta \theta \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Sub-final use of $\imath v \alpha$ with the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to call or name, as in Mt 2:23.

Children ( $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \vee \alpha)$. As in Joh 1:12 and with an allusion to $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \vee v \eta \tau \alpha$ in 2:29 in an effort "to restore the waning enthusiasm of his readers, and to recall them to their first love" (Brooke).

And such we are ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon v$ ). "And we are." A parenthetical reflection characteristic of John ( $\kappa \alpha$ vov $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ in Joh 5:25 and ка ovк عוбוv in Re 2:2; 3:9 ) omitted by Textus Receptus, though, in the old MSS.

Because it knew him not (oт ovk $\varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega$ avtov). Second aorist active indicative of $\gamma \iota \omega \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, precisely the argument in Joh 15:18f.

1John 3:2
Now (vvv). Without waiting for the tapovaia or second coming. We have a present dignity and duty, though there is greater glory to come.

It is not yet made manifest (ov $\omega \omega \varepsilon \varphi a v \varepsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of pavepow. For the aorist indicative with out $\omega$ with a future outlook Brooke notes Mr 11:2; 1Co 8:2; Heb 12:4; Re 17:10,12 .

What we shall be ( $\tau \varepsilon \sigma \circ \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Not $\tau \operatorname{\tau } \varepsilon \varsigma$ (who), but $\tau$ (what) neuter singular predicate nominative. "This what suggests something unspeakable, contained in the likeness of God" (Bengel).

If he shall be manifested ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta$ ). As in 2:28, which see. The subject may be Christ as in verse 9, or the future manifestation just mentioned. Either makes sense, probably "it" here better than "he."

Like him (о $о$ оь $\alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). Avt $\omega$ is associative instrumental case after ouoto. This is our destiny and glory (Ro 8:29), to be like Jesus who is like God (2Co 4:6 ).

We shall see him even as he is ( $о \psi о \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha \alpha \cup \tau о \nu \kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. Future middle indicative of opaw. The transforming power of this vision of Christ (1Co 13:12) is the consummation of the glorious process begun at the new birth (2Co 3:18).

1John 3:3
Set on him ( $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). Resting upon ( $\varepsilon \pi$ ) with locative rather than $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$, looking to, Ac 24:15. That is upon Christ (Brooke), upon God (D. Smith), upon God in Christ (Westcott).

Purifieth himself ( $\alpha \gamma \nu \zeta \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau o v$ ). Present active indicative of $\alpha \gamma v \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb, from $\alpha \gamma v o \varsigma$ (pure from contamination), used of ceremonial purifications (Joh 11:55; Ac 21:24,26 as in Ex 19:10) and then of personal internal cleansing of heart (Jas 4:8), soul (1Pe 1:22), self (here). Cf. Php 2:12f. the work of both God and man.
 ive) refers to Christ. Christ can be termed $\alpha \gamma v o \varsigma$ "in virtue of the perfection of his humanity" (Westcott). Our destiny is to be conformed to the image of God in Christ (Ro 8:29).

1John 3:4
Sin is lawlessness ( $\eta$ $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau i \alpha \varepsilon \sigma \tau i v \eta ~\lceil v o \mu i \alpha)$. The article with both subject and predicate makes them coextensive and so interchangeable. Doing sin is the converse of doing righteousness ( 2:29). The present active participle ( $\pi \mathrm{mol} \omega v$ ) means the habit of doing sin.

1John 3:5
He (عкєıvos). As in verse 3; Joh 1:18.
Was manifested ( $\varepsilon \varphi a v \varepsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta$ ). Same form as in verse 2 , but here of the Incarnation as in Joh 21:1, not of the second coming (1Jo 2:28).

To take away sins (ıva тa¢ $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau ı \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha \rho \eta)$. Purpose clause with $ו v \alpha$ and first aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \iota \rho \omega$ as in Joh 1:29. In Isa 53:11 we have $\alpha v \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$ for bearing sins, but $\alpha \iota \rho \omega$ properly means to lift up and carry away (Joh 2:16). So in Heb 10:4 we find $\alpha \varphi \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \omega$ and Heb 10:11 $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota a \iota \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to take away sins completely (the complete expiation wrought by Christ on Calvary). The plural a $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha$ here, as in Col 1:14, not singular (collective sense) a $\mu \alpha \rho \tau$ tı $\alpha$ as in Joh 1:29.

And in him is no $\sin$ ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota a \varepsilon v \alpha \nu \tau \omega$ ouk $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). "And $\sin$ (the sinful principle) in him is not." As Jesus had claimed about himself (Joh 7:18; $8: 46$ ) and as is repeatedly stated in the N.T. (2Co 5:21; Heb 4:15; 7:26; 9:13 ).

1John 3:6
Sinneth not ( $o v \chi \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v \varepsilon$ ). Linear present (linear $\mu \varepsilon v \omega v$, keeps on abiding) active indicative of $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v \omega$, "does not keep on sinning." For $\mu \varepsilon v \omega$ (abide) see 2:6; Joh 15:4-10 .

Whosoever sinneth (o $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v \omega v$ ). Present (linear) active articular participle like $\mu \varepsilon v \omega v$ above, "the one who keeps on sinning" (lives a life of sin, not mere occasional acts of $\sin$ as $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma$, aorist active participle, would mean).

Hath not seen him (oux $\varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Perfect active indicative of opa $\omega$. The habit of $\sin$ is proof that one has not the vision or the knowledge ( $\varepsilon \gamma v \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$, perfect active also) of Christ. He means, of course, spiritual vision and spiritual knowledge, not the literal sense of opaw in Joh 1:18; 20:29.

1John 3:7
Let no man lead you astray ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma ~ \pi \lambda \alpha v \alpha \tau \omega \quad \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). Present active imperative of $\pi \lambda \alpha v a \omega$, "let no one keep on leading you astray." See 1:8;2:26. Break the spell of any Gnostic charmer.

He that doeth righteousness (o $\pi \circ \iota \omega \nu \tau \eta \nu \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma v \nu \eta \nu)$. "He that keeps on doing (present active participle of $\pi o t \varepsilon \omega$ ) righteousness." For this idiom with $\pi$ ot $\varepsilon \omega$ see 1:6; 3:4 .

He (عкєıขоৎ). Christ as in verse 5.
1John 3:8
He that doeth $\sin (o \pi o t \omega \nu \tau \eta \nu \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha v)$. "He that keeps on doing sin" (the habit of $\sin )$.

Of the devil ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ tov $\delta ı \beta \beta \lambda o v$ ). In spiritual parentage as Jesus said of the Pharisees in Joh 8:44. When one acts like the devil he shows that he is not a true child of God.

Sinneth from the beginning ( $\alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v \varepsilon$ ). Linear progressive present active indicative, "he has been sinning from the beginning" of his career as the devil. This is his normal life and those who imitate him become his spiritual children.

That he might destroy ( $\imath v \alpha \lambda v \sigma \eta$ ). Purpose clause with $ו v \alpha$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\lambda \nu \omega$. This purpose ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau о v \tau 0$ ) Jesus had and has. There is eternal conflict, with final victory over Satan certain.

1John 3:9
Doeth no $\sin (\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha v$ ov $\pi o \iota \varepsilon)$. Linear present active indicative as in verse 4 like $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v \varepsilon$ in verse 8 . The child of God does not have the habit of sin.

His seed ( $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha$ avtov). God's seed, "the divine principle of life" (Vincent). Cf. Joh 1

And he cannot $\sin$ ( $\kappa \alpha$ ov $\delta v v \alpha \tau \alpha ~ \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v \varepsilon เ v)$. This is a wrong translation, for this English naturally means "and he cannot commit sin" as if it were $\kappa \alpha$ ov $\delta v v \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \varepsilon \iota v$ or $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \sigma \alpha$ (second aorist or first aorist active infinitive). The present active infinitive $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \nu \varepsilon เ \nu$ can only mean "and he cannot go on sinning," as is true of $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \nu \varepsilon$ in verse 8 and $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \nu \omega v$ in verse 6 . For the aorist subjunctive to commit a sin see $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon$ and $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta$ in $2: 1$. A great deal of false theology has grown out of a misunderstanding of the tense of $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \nu \varepsilon \iota v$ here. Paul has precisely John's idea in Ro 6:1 $\varepsilon \pi \iota \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha$ (shall we continue in sin, present active linear subjunctive) in contrast with $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$ in Ro 6:15 (shall we commit a sin, first aorist active subjunctive).

1John 3:10
In this $(\varepsilon \nu \tau o u \tau \omega)$. As already shown. A life of sin is proof that one is a child of the devil and not of God. This is the line of cleavage that is obvious to all. See Joh 8:33-39 for the claim of the Pharisees to be the children of Abraham, whereas their conduct showed them to be children of the devil. This is not a popular note with an age that wishes to remove all distinctions between Christians and the world.

Doeth not righteousness (o $\mu \eta \pi \sigma \omega \nu \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma \nu \nu \eta \nu$ ). Habit (linear present participle) again of not doing righteousness, as in verse 7 of doing it. Cf. $\pi о \iota \varepsilon$ and $\mu \eta \pi \sigma \omega \nu$ (doing and not doing) in Mt 7:24,26.

Neither (ка). Literally, "and," but with the ellipsis of ouк عбтıv єк $\operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \varepsilon o v$ (is not of God). The addition here of this one item about not loving ( $\mu \eta \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \omega v$ ) one's brother is like Paul's summary in Ro 13:9, a striking illustration of the general principle just laid down and in accord with 2:9-11.

1John 3:11
Message ( $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\perp} \alpha$ ). In N.T. only here and 1:5, but $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{ı} \alpha$ (promise) fifty-one times.
From the beginning ( $\alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma$ ). See 1:1 for this phrase and 2:7 for the idea. They had the message of love for the brotherhood from the beginning of the gospel and it goes back to the time of Cain and Abel (verse 12).

That we should love one another (ıv $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \omega \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$ ov¢). Sub-final clause (content of the $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \alpha)$ with $i v \alpha$ and present active subjunctive. John repeats the message of 2:7f.

1John 3:12
Of the evil one ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ tov $\pi$ tov $\eta \rho \circ$ ). Ablative case and the same for neuter and masculine singular, but verse 10 makes it clear that the reference is to the devil.

Slew ( $\varepsilon \sigma \varphi \alpha \xi \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\sigma \varphi \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb, to slay, to butcher, to cut the throat (Latin jugulare) like an ox in the shambles, in N.T. only here and Rev. (Re 5:6,9,12 , etc.).

Wherefore? ( $\chi \alpha \rho ı v \tau i v o \sigma ;$ ). "For the sake of what?" Post-positive preposition (Eph 3:1,14 ) except here. The interpretation of the act of Cain (Ge 4:8ff.) is an addition to the narrative, but in accord with Heb 11:4 . Jealousy led to murder.

1John 3:13
If ( $\varepsilon$ ). Common construction after $\theta \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$ (wonder) rather than ot (that, because). Present imperative here with $\mu \eta$ means "cease wondering." Note $\mu \eta \theta \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \sigma \eta \varsigma(d o n o t ~ b e g i n ~$ to wonder) in Joh 3:6 (an individual case). See this same condition and language in Joh 15:18

1John 3:14
We know ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ o \iota \delta \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Emphatic expression of $\eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ (we) in contrast to the unregenerate world, the Christian consciousness shared by writer and readers.

We have passed ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon v)$. Perfect active indicative of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \downarrow v \omega$, old compound to pass over from one place to another (Joh 7:3 ), to migrate, out of death into life. We have already done it while here on earth.

Because (ot). Proof of this transition, not the ground of it.
We love the brethren ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$ тovৎ $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi$ ои¢). Just this phrase (plural) here alone, but see 2:9 for the singular.

He that loveth not (o $\mu \eta \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \omega v$ ). "The not loving man," general picture and picture of spiritual death.

1John 3:15

A murderer ( $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ токтоvoc). Old compound (Euripides) from $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma$ (man) and $\kappa \tau \varepsilon เ v \omega$ (to kill), a man-killer, in N.T. only here and Joh 8:44 (of Satan).

No ( $\pi \alpha \sigma--o v$ ). According to current Hebraistic idiom= ou $\delta \varepsilon ı \varsigma$ as in 2:19,21 .
Abiding ( $\mu \varepsilon v o v \sigma \alpha v$ ). Present active feminine accusative predicate participle of $\mu \varepsilon v \omega$, "a continuous power and a communicated gift" (Westcott).

1John 3:16
Know we ( $\varepsilon \gamma \nu \omega \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon v)$. Perfect active indicative, "we have come to know and still know." See 2:3 for "hereby" ( $\varepsilon v$ тout $\omega$ ).

Love ( $\tau \eta v \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta v)$. "The thing called love" (D. Smith).
He for us ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o \varsigma ~ v \pi \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Eкєıvo̧ as in 2:6; 3:3,5 , v $\tau \varepsilon \rho$ here alone in this Epistle, though common in John's Gospel (10:11,15; 11:50, etc.) and in 3Jo 1:7.

Laid down his life ( $\tau \eta \nu \psi v \chi \eta \nu$ avtov $\varepsilon \theta \eta \kappa \varepsilon v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\tau ו \theta \eta \mu$, the very idiom used by Jesus of himself in Joh 10:11,17f.

We ought ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ о \varphi \varepsilon ı \lambda о \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Emphatic $\eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ again. For $о \varphi \varepsilon \iota \lambda \omega$ see 2:6. Of course our laying down our lives for the brethren has no atoning value in our cases as in that of Christ, but is a supreme proof of one's love (Joh 13:37f.; 15:13 ), as often happens.

1John 3:17
Whoso hath (oc $\alpha v \varepsilon \chi \eta$ ). Indefinite relative clause with modal $\alpha v$ with o o and the present active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$.

The world's goods ( $\operatorname{tov} \beta \iota \circ \nu$ tov коб $\mu$ оv). "The living or livelihood (not $\zeta \omega \eta$, the principle of life, and see 2:16 for $\beta$ Ioc) of the world" (not in the sense of evil or wicked, but simply this mundane sphere).

Beholdeth $(\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon)$. Present active subjunctive of $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$, like $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon$ just before.
In need ( $\chi \rho \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu \varepsilon \chi \circ \nu \tau \alpha$ ). "Having need" (present active predicate participle of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, agreeing with $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o v)$. See the vivid picture of a like case in Jas 2:15f.

Shutteth up ( $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma \eta$ ). First aorist (effective) active subjunctive of $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon 1 \omega$, to close like the door, changed on purpose from present tense to aorist (graphic slamming the door of his compassion, $\sigma \pi \lambda a \gamma \chi \vee \alpha$, common in LXX and N.T. for the nobler viscera, the seat of the emotions, as in Php 2:11; Col 3:12 ). Only here in John.

How ( $\pi \omega \varsigma$ ). Rhetorical question like that in Jas 2:16 (what is the use?). It is practical, not speculative, that counts in the hour of need.

1John 3:18
In word, neither with the tongue ( $\lambda o \gamma \omega \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \tau \eta \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \eta$ ). Either instrumental or locative makes sense. What John means is "not merely by word or by the tongue." He does not condemn kind words which are comforting and cheering, but warm words should be accompanied by warm deeds to make real "in deed and in truth" ( $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega \kappa \alpha \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon i \alpha)$. Here is a case where actions do speak louder than mere words.

1John 3:19

Shall we know ( $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \circ \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Future middle indicative of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, at any future emergency, we shall come to know by this ( $\varepsilon v \tau 0 \cup \tau \omega)$ "that we are of the truth" (ot $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta$ $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon i \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon v)$.

Before him ( $\varepsilon \mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau o v)$. In the very presence of God we shall have confident assurance ( $\pi \varepsilon เ \sigma \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha \nu \eta \mu \omega \nu$, either we shall persuade our heart or shall assure our heart) because God understands us.

1John 3:20
Whereinsoever our heart condemn us (oт $\varepsilon \alpha v \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma ı \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \eta \eta \mu \omega \nu \eta \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha)$. A construction like ot $\alpha v$, whatever, in Joh 2:5; 14:13. Kat $\alpha \boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ occurs only three times in the N.T., here, verse 21; Ga 2:11. It means to know something against one, to condemn.

Because God is greater than our heart ( $\circ \tau \mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta \omega v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \tau \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha \varsigma \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Ablative $\kappa \alpha \rho \delta ı \alpha$, after the comparative $\mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta \omega v$.

And knoweth all things ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Just so Peter replied to Jesus in spite of his denials (Joh 21:17 ). God's omniscience is linked with his love and sympathy. God knows every secret in our hearts. This difficult passage strikes the very centre of Christian truth (Brooke).

1John 3:21
If our heart condemn us not ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \eta \kappa \alpha \rho \delta ı \alpha \mu \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \iota \omega \omega \sigma \kappa \eta)$. Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta$ and present active subjunctive. The converse of the preceding, but not a claim to sinlessness, but the consciousness of fellowship in God's presence.

Boldness toward God ( $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha v \pi \rho o \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ \theta \varepsilon o v)$. Even in prayer (Heb 4:16). See also 2:28.

1John 3:22
Whatsoever we ask (o $\varepsilon \alpha v \alpha i \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Indefinite relative clause with modal $\alpha v$ and the present active subjunctive, like ot $\varepsilon \alpha v \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \eta$ in verse 20 . In form no limitations are placed here save that of complete fellowship with God, which means complete surrender of our will to that of God our Father. See the clear teaching of Jesus on this subject in Mr 11:24; Lu 11:9; Joh 14:12f.; 16:23 and his example (Mr 14:36; Mt 26:39; Lu 22:42). The answer may not always be in the form that we expect, but it will be better.

We receive of him ( $\left.\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v o \mu \varepsilon v a \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v\right)$. See 1:5 for $\alpha \pi^{\prime}$ avtov (from him).
Because (o $\tau$ ). Twofold reason why we receive regularly ( $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v o \mu \varepsilon v$ ) the answer to our prayers (1) "we keep" ( $\tau \eta \rho o u \mu \varepsilon v$, for which see 2:3) his commandments and (2) "we do" ( $\pi o \circ o u \mu \varepsilon v$, we practise regularly) "the things that are pleasing" ( $\tau \alpha \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha$, old verbal adjective from a $\alpha \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \omega$, to please, with dative in Joh 8:29 with same phrase; Ac 12:3 and infinitive in Ac 6:2, only other N.T. examples) "in his sight" ( $\varepsilon v \omega \pi \iota \circ$ avtov, common late vernacular preposition in papyri, LXX, and in N.T., except Matthew and Mark, chiefly by Luke and in the Apocalypse), in God's eye, as in Heb 13:21.

1John 3:23

His commandment ( $\eta \varepsilon v \tau o \lambda \eta$ autov).
That ( $\llcorner v \alpha$ ). Subfinal use of $\iota v \alpha$ in apposition with $\varepsilon v \tau o \lambda \eta$ (commandment) and explanatory of it, as in Joh 15:12 ( $\varepsilon v \tau o \lambda \eta \stackrel{\nu \alpha}{ }$ ). See Christ's summary of the commandments (Mr 12:28-31; Mt 22:34-40 ). So these two points here (1)

We should believe ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$, first aorist active subjunctive according to B K L, though Aleph A C read the present subjunctive $\pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ) either in a crisis (aorist) or the continuous tenor (present) of our lives. The "name" of Jesus Christ here stands for all that he is, "a compressed creed " (Westcott) as in 1:3. Note dative ovouat here with $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$ as in 5:10, though عıৎ ovo $\alpha$ (on the name) in 5:13; Joh 1:12; 2:23; 3:18. But (2) we should love one another" ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \omega \mu \varepsilon v ~ a \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$ ouc $)$, as he has already urged (2:7f.; $3: 11$ ) and as he will repeat (4:7,11f.; 2Jo 1:5) as Jesus (even as he gave us commandment, that is Christ) had previously done (Joh 13:34; 15:12,17). There are frequent points of contact between this Epistle and the words of Jesus in Joh 13-17.

1John 3:24
And he in him (ка autos $\varepsilon v$ aut $\omega$ ). That is "God abides in him" as in 4:15. We abide in God and God abides in us through the Holy Spirit (Joh 14:10,17,23; 17:21). "Therefore let God be a home to thee, and be thou the home of God: abide in God, and let God abide in thee" (Bede).

By the Spirit ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ tov $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau o \varsigma)$. It is thus (by the Holy Spirit, first mention in this Epistle and "Holy" not used with "Spirit" in this Epistle or the Apocalypse) that we know that God abides in us.

Which (ov). Ablative case by attraction from accusative o (object of $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ ) to agree with $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma$ as often, though not always. It is a pity that the grammatical gender (which) is retained here in the English instead of "whom," as it should be.

## 1 John 4

## 1John 4:1

Beloved ( $\alpha \nmid \alpha \pi \eta \tau 0$ ). Three times in this chapter $(1,7,11)$ we have this tender address on love.

Believe not every spirit ( $\mu \eta \pi \alpha \nu \tau \pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). "Stop believing," as some were clearly carried away by the spirits of error rampant among them, both Docetic and Cerinthian Gnostics. Credulity means gullibility and some believers fall easy victims to the latest fads in spiritualistic humbuggery.

Prove the spirits ( $\delta о к ц \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha)$. Put them to the acid test of truth as the metallurgist does his metals. If it stands the test like a coin, it is acceptable ( $\delta о к \mu о \varsigma, ~ 2 С о ~$ 10:18 ), otherwise it is rejected ( $\alpha$ бокıноৎ, 1Со 9:27; 2Со 13:5-7 ).

Many false prophets ( $\pi о \lambda \lambda о \psi \varepsilon v \delta о \pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Jesus had warned people against them (Mt 7:15), even when they as false Christs work portents (Mt 24:11,24; Mr 13:22). It is an old story (Lu 6:26) and recurs again and again (Ac 13:6; Re 16:13; 19:20; 20:10) along with false teachers (2Pe 2:1).

Are gone out ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta a \sigma เ v)$. Perfect active indicative of $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. Cf. aorist in 2:19. They are abroad always.

1John 4:2
Hereby know ye ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau 0 v \tau \omega \gamma \downarrow \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Either present active indicative or imperative. The test of "the Spirit of God" ( $\tau 0 \pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha$ тov $\theta \varepsilon o v)$ here alone in this Epistle, save verse 13. With the clamour of voices then and now this is important. The test ( $\varepsilon v \tau 0 \cup \tau \omega$, as in 3:19) follows.

That Jesus Christ is come in the flesh (Inoouv Xpıotov $\varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \cup \theta \circ \tau \alpha$ ). The correct text (perfect active participle predicate accusative), not the infinitive ( $\varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \lambda \cup \varepsilon \varepsilon v \alpha, B$ Vg ). The predicate participle (see Joh 9:22 for predicate accusative with ouo $\boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \varepsilon \omega$ ) describes Jesus as already come in the flesh (his actual humanity, not a phantom body as the Docetic Gnostics held). See this same idiom in 2Jo 1:7 with $\varepsilon \rho \chi o \mu \varepsilon v o v$ (coming). A like test is proposed by Paul for confessing the deity of Jesus Christ in 1Co 12:3 and for the Incarnation and Resurrection of Jesus in Ro 10:6-10 .

## 1John 4:3

Confesseth not ( $\mu \eta$ о $\mu \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\gamma \varepsilon}$ ). Indefinite relative clause with the subjective negative $\mu \eta$ rather than the usual objective negative ov (verse 6). It is seen also in 2Pe 1:9; Tit 1:11, a survival of the literary construction (Moulton, Prolegomena, p. 171). The Vulgate (along with Irenaeus, Tertullian, Augustine) reads solvit ( $\lambda \nu \varepsilon$ ) instead of $\mu \eta$ о $\mu \circ \lambda$ о $\gamma \varepsilon$, which means "separates Jesus," apparently an allusion to the Cerinthian heresy (distinction between Jesus and Christ) as the clause before refers to the Docetic heresy. Many MSS. have here also $\varepsilon v$ $\sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \cup \nexists$ ota repeated from preceding clause, but not A B Vg Cop. and not genuine.

The spirit of the antichrist ( $\tau 0$ тоv $\alpha v \tau \iota \chi \rho เ \sigma \tau о v) . ~ П \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha$ (spirit) not expressed, but clearly implied by the neuter singular article to. It is a repetition of the point about antichrists made in 2:18-25.

Whereof (o). Accusative of person (grammatical neuter referring to $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ ) with $\alpha \kappa o v \omega$ along with accusative of the thing ( $о \tau \varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$, as in 2:18, futuristic present middle indicative). Here the perfect active indicative ( $к \kappa \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ), while in 2:18 the aorist ( $\eta \kappa о v \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ).

And now already ( $\kappa \alpha v v \vee \eta \delta \eta$ ). As in 2:18 also (many have come). "The prophecy had found fulfilment before the Church had looked for it" (Westcott). It is often so. For $\eta \delta \eta$ see Joh 4:35; 9:27.

1John 4:4
Have overcome them (vєvıкпкатє avtou¢). Perfect active indicative of vıка $\omega$, calm confidence of final victory as in 2:13; Joh 16:33. The reference in avtous (them) is to the false prophets in 4:1.

Because (ot). The reason for the victory lies in God, who abides in them (3:20,24; Joh 14:20; 15:4f. ). God is greater than Satan, "he that is in the world" ( o $\varepsilon \tau \tau \omega \kappa \sigma \mu \omega$ ), the prince of this world (Joh 12:31; 14:30), the god of this age (2Co 4:4), powerful as he seems.

1John 4:5
Of the world ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ tov коб $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\sim})$ ). As Jesus is not and as the disciples are not (Joh 17:14ff. ).

As of the world ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ тov коб $\mu \circ v$ ). No "as" ( $\omega \varsigma$ ), but that is the idea, for their talk proceeds from the world and wins a ready hearing. The false prophets and the world are in perfect unison.

1John 4:6
We ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \iota)$ ). In sharp contrast with the false prophets and the world. We are in tune with the Infinite God. Hence "he that knoweth God" (o $\gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega \nu \tau o v \theta \varepsilon o v$, present active articular participle, the one who keeps on getting acquainted with God, growing in his knowledge of God) "hears us" ( $\alpha \kappa 0 v \varepsilon \eta \mu \omega v$ ). This is one reason why sermons are dull (some actually are, others so to dull hearers) or inspiring. There is a touch of mysticism here, to be sure, but the heart of Christianity is mysticism (spiritual contact with God in Christ by the Holy Spirit). John states the same idea negatively by a relative clause parallel with the preceding articular participle, the negative with both clauses. John had felt the cold, indifferent, and hostile stare of the worldling as he preached Jesus.

By this (єк тovtou). "From this," deduction drawn from the preceding; only example in the Epistle for the common $\varepsilon v$ tout $\omega$ as in 4:2. The power of recognition ( $\gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \circ \mu \varepsilon v$, we know by personal experience) belongs to all believers (Westcott). There is no reason for Christians being duped by "the spirit of error" ( $\tau 0 \pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \lambda a v \eta \varsigma$ ), here alone in the
 truth may be due also to our not speaking the truth in love (Eph 4:15).

## 1John 4:7

Of God ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ tov $\theta$ हov). Even human love comes from God, "a reflection of something in the Divine nature itself" (Brooke). John repeats the old commandment of 2:7f. Persistence in loving (present tense $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ indicative and $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \omega v$ participle) is proof that one "has been begotten of God" ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \vee \nu \eta \tau \alpha$ as in 2:29) and is acquainted with God. Otherwise mere claim to loving God accompanied by hating one's brother is a lie ( 2:9-11).

1John 4:8
He that loveth not ( $o \mu \eta \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \omega v$ ). Present active articular participle of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$ "keeps on not loving."

Knoweth not God (ouk $\varepsilon \gamma v \omega$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Timeless aorist active indicative of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, has no acquaintance with God, never did get acquainted with him.

God is love (o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma ~ a \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \varepsilon \sigma \tau \tau v)$. Anarthrous predicate, not $\eta \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta$. John does not say that love is God, but only that God is love. The two terms are not interchangeable. God is also light ( 1:5) and spirit (Joh 4:24).

1John 4:9
Was manifested $(\varepsilon \varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho o \omega$. The Incarnation as in 3:5. Subjective genitive as in 2:5.

In us ( $\varepsilon v \eta \mu v)$. In our case, not "among us" nor "to us." Cf. Ga 1:16.
Hath sent ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, as again in verse 14, the permanent mission of the Son, though in verse 10 the aorist $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon i \lambda \varepsilon v$ occurs for the single event. See Joh 3:16 for this great idea.

His only-begotten Son ( $\tau 0 v$ viov autou tov $\mu$ оvoүعvף). "His Son the only-begotten" as in Joh 3:16. John applies $\mu$ ovo $\begin{gathered}\text { evņ to Jesus alone (Joh 1:14,18), but Luke (Lu 7:12; 8:42; }\end{gathered}$ 9:38) to others. Jesus alone completely reproduces the nature and character of God (Brooke).

That we might live through him (ıva $\left\lceil\eta \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v \delta \iota^{\prime}\right.$ avtov). Purpose clause with $\imath v \alpha$ and the first aorist (ingressive, get life) active subjunctive of $\zeta \alpha \omega$. "Through him" is through Christ, who is the life (Joh 14:6 ). Christ also lives in us (Ga 2:20). This life begins here and now.

1John 4:10
Not that (ou or)
--but that ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ o ${ }^{\prime}$ ). Sharp contrast as in Joh 7:22; 2Co 7:9; Php 4:17.
We loved ( $\eta \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative, but B reads $\eta \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ (perfect active, we have loved).

He (avtoc). Emphatic nominative (God).
To be the propitiation ( $(\lambda \alpha \sigma \mu \circ v)$. Merely predicate accusative in apposition with voov (Son). For the word see 2:2; Ro 3:25 for $\lambda \lambda a \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ o v$, and for $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ see also 2:2.

1John 4:11

If God so loved us ( $\varepsilon$ ov $\omega \omega \varsigma$ o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma ~ \eta \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu \eta \mu \propto \varsigma$ ). Condition of first class with $\varepsilon$ and the first aorist active indicative. As in Joh 3:16, so here out $\omega \varsigma$ emphasises the manifestation of God's love both in its manner and in its extent (Ro 8:32).

Ought (орعілонعv). As in 2:6. Noblesse oblige. "Keep on loving," ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha ı v)$ as in 3:11. 1John 4:12
No one hath beheld God at any time ( $\theta \varepsilon \circ \vee$ ou $\delta \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \pi \omega \pi о \tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect middle
 $\varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \varepsilon v$ (instead of $\tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \alpha \tau \alpha)$.

If we love one another ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \omega \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$ ouc). Third-class condition with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and the present active subjunctive, "if we keep on loving one another."

God abideth in us (o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma \varepsilon v \eta \mu \nu \nu \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ ). Else we cannot go on loving one another.
His love ( $\eta \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta$ avtou). More than merely subjective or objective (2:5; 4:9). "Mutual love is a sign of the indwelling of God in men" (Brooke).

Is perfected ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \omega \mu \varepsilon v \eta \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. Periphrastic (see usual form $\tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \omega \tau \alpha$ in 2:5; 4:17 ) perfect passive indicative of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ o \omega$ (cf. 1:4). See verse 18 for "perfect love."

1John 4:13
Hereby know we ( $\varepsilon v$ tovt $\omega \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\nu} \omega \sigma \kappa о \mu \varepsilon v$ ). The Christian's consciousness of the fact of God dwelling in him is due to the Spirit of God whom God has given ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$, perfect active indicative here, though the aorist $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ in 3:24). This gift of God is proof of our fellowship with God.

1John 4:14
We have beheld ( $\tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \alpha \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Perfect middle of $\theta \varepsilon \alpha o \mu \alpha$ as in verse 12 , though the aorist in 1:1; Joh 1:14 ( $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha)$. John is qualified to bear witness ( $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho o v \mu \varepsilon v$ as in 1:2) as Jesus had charged the disciples to do (Ac 1:8).

Hath sent ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). As in verse 9, though $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon 1 \lambda \varepsilon v$ in verse 10.
To be the Saviour of the world ( $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \alpha$ $o v$ коб $\mu \circ v$ ). Predicate accusative of $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$ (Saviour), like $\lambda \lambda a \sigma \mu \mathrm{ov}$ in verse 10. This very phrase occurs elsewhere only in Joh 4:42 as the confession of the Samaritans, but the idea is in Joh 3:17.

1John 4:15
Whosoever shall confess (o $\varepsilon$ عav ouo $\bar{\prime} о \gamma \eta \sigma \eta$ ). Indefinite relative clause with modal $\varepsilon \alpha v$ (=an) and the first aorist active subjunctive, "whoever confesses." See 2:23; 4:2f. for онодоүєш.

That (oт). Object clause (indirect assertion) after ouo $\boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \varepsilon \omega$. This confession of the deity of Jesus Christ implies surrender and obedience also, not mere lip service (cf. 1Co 12:3; Ro 10:6-12 ). This confession is proof (if genuine) of the fellowship with God (1:3f.; 3:24).

1John 4:16

We know ( $\varepsilon \gamma v \omega \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon v)$. Perfect active indicative, "we have come to know and still know" as in Joh 6:9, only there order is changed ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$ coming before $\varepsilon \gamma v \omega \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Confession ( $о \mu \mathrm{o} \lambda о \gamma \varepsilon \omega$ ) follows experimental knowledge ( $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ ) and confident trust ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \omega$ ). Believers are the sphere ( $\varepsilon v \eta \mu \nu$, in our case) in which the love of God operates (Westcott). See Joh 13:35 for "having love."

God is love (o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma ~ a \gamma \alpha \pi \eta ~ \varepsilon \sigma \tau \tau v)$. Repeated from verse 8 . So he gathers up the whole argument that one who is abiding in love is abiding in God and shows that God is abiding in him. Thoroughly Johannine style.

1John 4:17
Herein ( $\varepsilon v \tau o v \tau \omega)$. It is not clear whether the iva clause (sub-final use) is in apposition with $\varepsilon v \tau 0 \cup \tau \omega$ as in Joh 15:8 or the ot clause (because) with the tva clause as parenthesis. Either makes sense. Westcott argues for the latter idea, which is reinforced by the preceding sentence.

With us ( $\mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime} \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Construed with the verb $\tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \epsilon \omega \tau \alpha$ (is perfected). In contrast to $\varepsilon \nu \eta \mu \nu \nu$ (verses 12,16), emphasising cooperation. "God works with man" (Westcott). For boldness ( $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma ı \alpha v)$ in the day of judgment (only here with both articles, but often with no articles as in 2Pe 2:9) see 2:28.

As he is ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v o \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. That is Christ as in 2:6; 3:3,5,7,16. Same tense (present) as in 3:7. "Love is a heavenly visitant" (David Smith). We are in this world to manifest Christ.

1John 4:18
Fear ( $\varphi$ о $\beta$ oc). Like a bond-slave (Ro 8:15), not the reverence of a son ( $\varepsilon \cup \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon ı \alpha$, Heb 5:7f. ) or the obedience to a father ( $\varepsilon v \varphi o \beta \omega, 1 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 17$ ). This kind of dread is the opposite of $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ (boldness).

Perfect love ( $\eta \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ⿺ \alpha ~ \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta$ ). There is such a thing, perfect because it has been perfected (verses 12,17). Cf. Jas 1:4.

Casteth out fear ( $\varepsilon \xi \omega \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$ tov $\varphi \circ \beta \circ v$ ). "Drives fear out" so that it does not exist in real love. See $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \varepsilon \xi \omega$ in Joh 6:37; 9:34f.; 12:31; $15: 6$ to turn out-of-doors, a powerful metaphor. Perfect love harbours no suspicion and no dread (1Co 13 ).

Hath punishment ( $\kappa 0 \lambda \alpha \sigma \iota v \varepsilon \varepsilon$ ). Old word, in N.T. only here and Mt 25:46 . Tı $\mu \omega \rho \iota \alpha$ has only the idea of penalty, ко入aбıs has also that of discipline, while $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ has that of chastisement (Heb 12:7). The one who still dreads ( $\varphi$ о $\beta o \cup \mu \varepsilon v o c)$ has not been made perfect in love (ov $\tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega \tau \tau \alpha)$. Bengel graphically describes different types of men: "sine timore et amore; cum timore sine amore; cum timore et amore; sine timore cum amore."

1John 4:19
 God loved us
before we loved him (Joh 3:16). Our love is in response to his love for us. A $\gamma \alpha \pi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ is indicative (we love), not subjunctive (let us love) of the same form. There is no object expressed here.

1John 4:20
If a man say ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \pi \eta)$. Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and second aorist active subjunctive. Suppose one say. Cf. 1:6.

I love God ( $\mathrm{A} \gamma a \pi \omega$ $\tau 0 v \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Quoting an imaginary disputant as in 2:4.
And hateth ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \sigma \varepsilon)$. Continuation of the same condition with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and the present active subjunctive, "and keep on hating." See $2: 9$; $3: 15$ for use of $\mu \operatorname{\sigma } \varepsilon \omega$ (hate) with $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \circ$, (brother). A liar ( $\psi \varepsilon \cup \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Blunt and to the point as in 1:10; 2:4.

That loveth not ( $o \mu \eta \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \omega v$ ). "The one who does not keep on loving" (present active negative articular participle).

Hath seen ( $\varepsilon \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \varepsilon v)$. Perfect active indicative of opa $\omega$, the form in Joh 1:18 used of seeing God.

Cannot love (ov $\delta v v a \tau \alpha a \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha ı v)$. "Is not able to go on loving," with which compare 2:9, ov $\delta v v a \tau \alpha$ a $\mu \rho \tau \alpha v \varepsilon ı v$ (is not able to go on sinning). The best MSS. do not have $\pi \omega$ ¢ (how) here.

1John 4:21
That ( $\imath v \alpha$ ). Sub-final object clause in apposition with $\varepsilon v \tau o \lambda \eta \nu$ as in Joh 13:34; 15:13.
From him ( $\alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Either God or Christ. See Mr 12:29-31 for this old commandment (2:7f. ).

## 1 John 5

1John 5:1
That Jesus is the Christ (oт I $\eta$ бovc $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ o X $\rho ı \sigma \tau o \varsigma$ ). The Cerinthian antichrist denies the identity of Jesus and Christ ( $2: 22$ ). Hence John insists on this form of faith ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega v$ here in the full sense, stronger than in 3:23; 4:16, seen also in đıotıc in verse 4, where English and Latin fall down in having to use another word for the verb) as he does in verse 5 and in accord with the purpose of John's Gospel ( $20: 31$ ). Nothing less will satisfy John, not merely intellectual conviction, but full surrender to Jesus Christ as Lord and Saviour. "The Divine Begetting is the antecedent, not the consequent of the believing" (Law). For "is begotten of God" ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ tov $\theta$ عou $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \nu \eta \tau \alpha)$ see 2:29; 3:9; 4:7; 5:4,18. John appeals here to family relationship and family love.

Him that begat ( $\tau 0 v \gamma \varepsilon v \vee \eta \sigma \alpha v \tau \alpha$ ). First aorist active articular participle of $\gamma \varepsilon \vee v \alpha \omega$, to beget, the Father (our heavenly Father).

Him also that is begotten of him ( $\tau 0 \vee \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v v \eta \mu \varepsilon v o v \varepsilon \xi$ autou). Perfect passive articular participle of $\gamma \varepsilon v v a \omega$, the brother or sister by the same father. So then we prove our love for the common Father by our conduct towards our brothers and sisters in Christ.

1John 5:2
Hereby ( $\varepsilon v \tau 0 \cup \tau \omega)$. John's usual phrase for the test of the sincerity of our love. "The love of God and the love of the brethren do in fact include each the other" (Westcott). Each is a test of the other. So put 3:14 with 5:2.

When (otav). "Whenever" indefinite temporal clause with otav and the present active subjunctive (the same form $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ as the indicative with o $\tau$ (that) just before, "whenever we keep on loving God."

And do (ка $\pi о \omega \omega \mu \varepsilon$ ) "and whenever we keep on doing (present active subjunctive of $\pi о เ \varepsilon \omega)$ his commandments." See 1:6 for "doing the truth."

1John 5:3
This (avin)
--that (iva). Explanatory use of va with $\alpha v \tau \eta$, as in Joh 17:3, to show what "the love of God" (4:9,12) in the objective sense is, not mere declamatory boasting ( $4: 20$ ), but obedience to God's commands, "that we keep on keeping (present active subjunctive as in 2:3) his commandments." This is the supreme test.

Are not grievous ( $\beta$ арєı ouk $\varepsilon \iota \sigma \iota v$ ). "Not heavy," the adjective in Mt 23:4 with $\varphi$ ортıа (burdens), with $\lambda$ uto (wolves) in Ac 20:29, of Paul's letters in 2Co 10:10, of the charges against Paul in Ac 25:7 . Love for God lightens his commands.

1John 5:4
For (ot). The reason why God's commandments are not heavy is the power that comes with the new birth from God.

Whatsoever is begotten of God ( $\pi \alpha \nu$ то $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \nu v \eta \mu \varepsilon v o v$ єк тov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Neuter singular perfect passive participle of $\gamma \varepsilon v v a \omega$ rather than the masculine singular (verse 1 ) to express sharply the universality of the principle (Rothe) as in Joh $3: 6,8 ; 6: 37,39$.

Overcometh the world (vika $\tau о v$ коб $\mu$ оv). Present active indicative of vıка $\omega$, a continuous victory because a continuous struggle, "keeps on conquering the world" ("the sum of all the forces antagonistic to the spiritual life," D. Smith).

This is the victory ( $\alpha \cup \tau \eta \varepsilon \sigma \tau \tau \nu \eta \nu / \kappa \eta$ ). For this form of expression see 1:5; Joh 1:19. Nıкๆ (victory, cf. vıкаш), old word, here alone in N.T., but the later form vıкоৎ in Mt 12:20; 1Co 15:54f.,57.

That overcometh ( $\eta$ vıк $\eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active articular participle of vıка $\omega$. The English cannot reproduce the play on the word here. The aorist tense singles out an individual experience when one believed or when one met temptation with victory. Jesus won the victory over the world (Joh 16:33) and God in us (1Jo 4:4) gives us the victory.

Even our faith ( $\eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma \eta \mu \omega v$ ). The only instance of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$ in the Johannine Epistles (not in John's Gospel, though in the Apocalypse). It is our faith in Jesus Christ as shown by our confession (verse 1) and by our life (verse 2).

1John 5:5
And who is he that overcometh? ( $\tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \delta \varepsilon$ o $v ו \kappa \omega v$ ?). Not a mere rhetorical question ( 2:22), but an appeal to experience and fact. Note the present active articular participle ( $v / \kappa \omega v$ ) like vika (present active indicative in verse 4), "the one who keeps on conquering the world." See 1Co 15:57 for the same note of victory (viкоৎ) through Christ. See verse 1 for o $\pi เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega v$ (the one who believes) as here.

Jesus is the Son of God (I $\eta$ бovৎ $\varepsilon \sigma \tau i v$ o vioc tov $\theta$ rov). As in verse 1 save that here o vos tou $\theta$ gou in place of Xpıбтos and see both in 2:22f. Here there is sharp antithesis between "Jesus" (humanity) and "the Son of God" (deity) united in the one personality.

1John 5:6
This (outoc). Jesus the Son of God (verse 5).
He that came (o $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega v$ ). Second aorist active articular participle of $\varepsilon \rho \chi o \mu \alpha$, referring to the Incarnation as a definite historic event, the preexistent Son of God "sent from heaven to do God's will" (Brooke).

By water and blood ( $\delta \imath^{\prime}$ v $\left.\delta \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ к \alpha ~ a ı \mu \tau \tau \varsigma\right) . ~ A c c o m p a n i e d ~ b y ~(~ \delta ı \alpha ~ u s e d ~ w i t h ~ t h e ~ g e n-~$ itive both as instrument and accompaniment, as in Ga 5:13 ) water (as at the baptism) and blood (as on the Cross). These two incidents in the Incarnation are singled out because at the baptism Jesus was formally set apart to his Messianic work by the coming of the Holy Spirit upon him and by the Father's audible witness, and because at the Cross his work reached its culmination ("It is finished," Jesus said). There are other theories that do not accord with the language and the facts. It is true that at the Cross both water and blood came out of the side of Jesus when pierced by the soldier, as John bore witness (Joh 19:34 ), a
complete refutation of the Docetic denial of an actual human body for Jesus and of the Cerinthian distinction between Jesus and Christ. There is thus a threefold witness to the fact of the Incarnation, but he repeats the twofold witness before giving the third. The repetition of both preposition ( $\varepsilon v$ this time rather than $\delta \iota \alpha$ ) and the article ( $\tau \omega$ locative case) argues for two separate events with particular emphasis on the blood ("not only" ouк povov, "but" $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ ') which the Gnostics made light of or even denied.

It is the Spirit that beareth witness ( $\tau 0 \pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \Omega \sigma \tau \iota \nu \tau 0 \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho o v v$ ). Present active articular participle of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \omega$ with article with both subject and predicate, and so interchangeable as in 3:4. The Holy Spirit is the third and the chief witness at the baptism of Jesus and all through his ministry.

Because (o $\tau$ ). Or declarative "that." Either makes sense. In Joh 15:26 Jesus spoke of "the Spirit of truth" (whose characteristic is truth). Here John identifies the Spirit with truth as Jesus said of himself (Joh 14:6 ) without denying personality for the Holy Spirit.

1John 5:7
For there are three who bear witness (o $\tau \rho \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \sigma เ v$ o $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \circ \cup v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. At this point the Latin Vulgate gives the words in the Textus Receptus, found in no Greek MS. save two late cursives ( 162 in the Vatican Library of the fifteenth century, 34 of the sixteenth century in Trinity College, Dublin). Jerome did not have it. Cyprian applies the language of the Trinity and Priscillian has it. Erasmus did not have it in his first edition, but rashly offered to insert it if a single Greek MS. had it and 34 was produced with the insertion, as if made

 Word, and the Holy Ghost: and these three are one. And there are three that bear witness in earth). The last clause belongs to verse 8. The fact and the doctrine of the Trinity do not depend on this spurious addition. Some Latin scribe caught up Cyprian's exegesis and wrote it on the margin of his text, and so it got into the Vulgate and finally into the Textus Receptus by the stupidity of Erasmus.

1John 5:8
The Spirit and the water and the blood ( $\tau о \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha ~ к а ~ \tau о ~ v \delta \omega \rho ~ к \alpha ~ \tau о ~ \alpha ~ \mu \alpha) . ~ T h e ~ s a m e ~$ three witnesses of verses 6,7 repeated with the Spirit first.

The three (o $\tau \rho \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. The resumptive article.
Agree in one ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ \varepsilon v \varepsilon เ \sigma เ v$ ). "Are for the one thing," to bring us to faith in Jesus as the Incarnate Son of God, the very purpose for which John wrote his Gospel ( 20:31).

1John 5:9
If we receive ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v o \mu \varepsilon v)$. Condition of first class with $\varepsilon$ and the present active indicative, assumed as true. The conditions for a legally valid witness are laid down in De 19:15 (cf. Mt 18:16; Joh 8:17f.; 10:25; 2Co 13:1).

Greater ( $\mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta \omega \nu$ ). Comparative of $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \varsigma$, because God is always true.

For (o $\tau$ ). So it applies to this case.
That (o $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ). Thus taken in the declarative sense (the fact that) as in Joh 3:19, though it can be causal (because) or indefinite relative with $\mu \varepsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \eta \kappa \varepsilon \nu$ (what he hath testified, perfect active indicative of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \omega$, as in Joh 1:32; 4:44, etc.), a harsh construction here because of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \iota \alpha$, though some MSS. do read $\varepsilon v$ to agree with it (cf. verse 10). See o $\tau \varepsilon \alpha v$ in 3:20 for that idiom. Westcott notes the Trinity in verses 6-9: the Son comes, the Spirit witnesses, the Father has witnessed.

1John 5:10
Believeth on ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega \nu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. John draws a distinction between "not believing God" ( $\mu \eta$ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega)$ in next clause, the testimony of God about his Son, and surrender to and reliance on the Son as here ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma$ and the accusative). See the same distinction less clearly drawn in Joh 6:30f. See also $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho ı \alpha \nu$ after $\pi \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \kappa \varepsilon \nu$ in this same verse and Joh 2:23.

In him $(\varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \omega)$. "In himself," though the evidence is not decisive between $\alpha v \tau \omega$ and $\alpha \nu \tau \omega$.

Hath made ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi о \iota \eta \kappa \varepsilon v)$. Perfect active indicative of $\pi о \iota \varepsilon \omega$ like $\mu \varepsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \eta \kappa \varepsilon \nu$ and $\pi \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \kappa \varepsilon \nu$, permanent state.

A liar $(\psi \varepsilon v \sigma \tau \eta v)$. As in 1:10, which see.
Because he hath not believed ( $\circ \tau$ ov $\pi \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Actual negative reason with negative $o v$, not the subjective reason as in Joh 3:18, where we have $o \tau \mu \eta \pi \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \kappa \varepsilon v)$. The subjective negative is regular with o $\mu \eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \omega \nu$. Relative clause here repeats close of verse 9.

## 1John 5:11

That God gave (o $\tau \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma$ ). Declarative o $\tau$ in apposition with $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho ı \alpha$ as in verse 14; Joh 3:19. Note aorist active indicative $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v($ from $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ ) as in 3:23f. , the great historic fact of the Incarnation (Joh 3:16) , but the perfect $\delta \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ in 1Jo 3:1 to emphasize the abiding presence of God's love.

Eternal life ( $\zeta \omega \eta \nu \alpha \iota \omega \nu \iota o v$ ). Anarthrous emphasizing quality, but with the article in 1:2.

In his Son ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega v \iota \omega$ avtov). This life and the witness also. This is why Jesus who is life (Joh 14:6 ) came to give us abundant life (Joh 10:10).

1John 5:12
Hath the life ( $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \eta \nu \zeta \omega \eta \nu$ ). The life which God gave (verse 11). This is the position of Jesus himself (Joh 5:24; 14:6).

1John 5:13
I have written $(\varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha)$. Not epistolary aorist, but refers to verses 1-12 of this Epistle as in 2:26 to the preceding verses.

That ye may know ( $\llcorner v \alpha \varepsilon \iota \delta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Purpose clause with $\tau v \alpha$ and the second perfect active subjunctive of ot $\delta a$, to know with settled intuitive knowledge. He wishes them to have
eternal life in Christ (Joh 20:31) and to know that they have it, but not with flippant superficiality (2:3ff. ).

Unto you that believe on ( $\tau \circ \varsigma \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v o v \sigma \iota v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Dative of the articular present active participle of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$ and $\varepsilon ı \varsigma$ as in verse 10 . For this use of ovo $\mu \alpha$ (name) with $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$ see 3:23; Joh 2:23.

1John 5:14
Toward him ( $\pi \rho \circ \rho \alpha \cup \tau o v)$. Fellowship with ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$, face to face) Christ. For boldness see 2:28.

That (o $\tau$ ). Declarative again, as in verse 11.
If we ask anything ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \alpha u \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ and present middle (indirect) subjunctive (personal interest as in Jas 4:3, though the point is not to be pressed too far, for see Mt 20:20,22; Joh 16:24,26 ).

According to his will ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ тo $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \alpha v \tau o v)$. This is the secret in all prayer, even in the case of Jesus himself. For the phrase see 1Pe 4:19; Ga 1:4; Eph 1:5,11 .

He heareth us ( $\alpha \kappa о v \varepsilon \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Even when God does not give us what we ask, in particular then (Heb 5:7f. ).

1John 5:15
And if we know ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \alpha v$ oi $\delta \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Condition of first class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ (usually $\varepsilon$ ) and the perfect active indicative, assumed as true. See 1Th 3:8; Ac $8: 31$ for the indicative with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ as in the papyri. "An amplification of the second limitation" (D. Smith).

Whatsoever we ask (o $\varepsilon \alpha v \alpha I \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Indefinite relative clause with modal $\varepsilon \alpha v(=\alpha v)$ and the present middle (as for ourselves) subjunctive of $\alpha$ alt $\varepsilon \omega$. This clause, like $\eta \mu \omega v$, is also the object of akove.

We know that we have ( $o t \delta \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ o $\tau \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Repetition of ot $\delta \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$, the confidence of possession by anticipation.

The petitions ( $\tau \alpha \alpha \iota \tau \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Old word, from $\alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon \omega$, requests, here only in John, elsewhere in N.T. Lu 23:24; Php 4:6. We have the answer already as in Mr 11:24.

We have asked ( $\eta \iota \tau \eta \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon \omega$, the asking abiding.
1John 5:16
If any man see ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \tau \iota \varsigma \iota \emptyset$ ). Third-class condition with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon$ \& $\delta o v$ ( $o \rho \alpha \omega$ ).

Sinning a sin ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v o v \tau \alpha \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota v$ ). Present active predicate (supplementary) participle agreeing with $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o v$ and with cognate accusative $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha$.

Not unto death ( $\mu \eta \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \theta \alpha v a \tau o v$ ). Repeated again with $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v o v \sigma ı v$ and in contrast with $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha \pi \rho o \varsigma ~ \theta a v a \tau o v(\sin$ unto death). Most sins are not mortal sins, but clearly John conceives of a sin that is deadly enough to be called "unto death." This distinction is common
 incur a death-bearing $\sin$ " as many crimes then and now bear the death penalty. There is a
distinction in Heb 10:26 between sinning wilfully after full knowledge and sins of ignorance (Heb 5:2 ). Jesus spoke of the unpardonable sin (Mr 3:29; Mt 12:32; Lu 12:10 ), which was attributing to the devil the manifest work of the Holy Spirit. It is possible that John has this idea in mind when he applies it to those who reject Jesus Christ as God's Son and set themselves up as antichrists.

Concerning this ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ عкєıvŋऽ). This sin unto death.
That he should make request (iva $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \eta \sigma \eta$ ). Sub-final use of tva with the first aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \omega$, used here as in Joh 17:15,20 (and often) for request rather than for question. John does not forbid praying for such cases; he simply does not command prayer for them. He leaves them to God.

1John 5:17
All unrighteousness is $\sin$ ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \alpha \delta ı \kappa ı \alpha ~ \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha ~ \varepsilon \sigma \tau ı v)$. Unrighteousness is one manifestation of sin as lawlessness ( $3: 4$ ) is another (Brooke). The world today takes sin too lightly, even jokingly as a mere animal inheritance. Sin is a terrible reality, but there is no cause for despair. Sin not unto death can be overcome in Christ.

1John 5:18
We know (oi $\delta \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). As in 3:2,14; 5:15,19,20. He has "ye know" in 2:20; 3:5,15.
Sinneth not (ovð $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha v \varepsilon$ ). Lineal present active indicative, "does not keep on sinning," as he has already shown in 3:4-10.

He that was begotten of God (o $\gamma \varepsilon v v \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \kappa ~ \tau o v ~ \theta \varepsilon o v)$ ). First aorist passive articular participle referring to Christ, if the reading of A B is correct ( $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau o v$, not $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau o v)$ ). It is Christ who keeps the one begotten of God ( $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \nu v \eta \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ as in 3:9 and so different from o $\gamma \varepsilon v v \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \varsigma$ here). It is a difficult phrase, but this is probably the idea. Jesus (Joh 18:37) uses $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \nu \eta \mu \alpha$ of himself and uses also $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$ of keeping the disciples (Joh 17:12,15; Re 3:10).

The evil one (o лоvŋроৎ). Masculine and personal as in 2:13, not neuter, and probably Satan as in Mt 6:13, not just any evil man.

Touchest him not (ovX $\alpha \pi \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \alpha 0 \tau o v$ ). Present middle indicative of $\alpha \pi \tau \omega$, elsewhere in John only Joh 20:17. It means to lay hold of or to grasp rather than a mere superficial touch ( $\theta<\gamma \gamma \alpha v \omega$, both in $\operatorname{Col} 2: 21)$. Here the idea is to touch to harm. The devil cannot snatch such a man from Christ (Joh 6:38f. ).

1John 5:19
Of God ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ tov $\theta \varepsilon \sigma v$ ). See 3:10; 4:6 for this idiom.
Lieth in the evil one ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \pi о \nu \eta \rho \omega \kappa \varepsilon \iota \alpha)$. Present middle indicative of the defective verb кєца, to lie, as in Lu 2:12. Пог $\rho \omega$ is masculine, like o $\pi о \nu \eta \rho o s$ in verse 18. This is a terrible picture of the Graeco-Roman world of the first century A.D., which is confirmed by Paul in Romans 1 and 2 and by Horace, Seneca, Juvenal, Tacitus.

1John 5:20

Is come ( $\eta \kappa \varepsilon$ ). Present active indicative, but the root has a perfect sense, "has come." See $\varepsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta$ ov ка $\eta \kappa \omega$ in Joh 8:42.

An understanding ( $\delta$ ıavoıav). Here alone in John's writings, but in Paul (Eph 4:18) and Peter ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 13$ ). John does not use $\gamma v \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma$ (knowledge) and vouc (mind) only in Re 13:18; 17:9.

That we know ( $七 v \alpha \gamma \downarrow \omega \sigma \kappa \circ \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Result clause with $\imath v \alpha$ and the present active indicative, as is common with $t v a$ and the future indicative (Joh 7:3). It is possible that here o was pronounced $\omega$ as a subjunctive, but many old MSS. have iva $\gamma ı v \omega \sigma \kappa \sigma \sigma \sigma v$ (plainly indicative) in Joh 17:3, and in many other places in the N.T. the present indicative with $v \alpha$ occurs as a variant reading as in Joh 5:20 .

Him that is true ( $\tau 0 v a \lambda \eta \theta \iota v o v)$. That is, God. Cf. 1:8.
In him that is true $(\varepsilon v \tau \omega a \lambda \eta \theta \iota v \omega)$. In God in contrast with the world "in the evil one" (verse 19). See Joh 17:3.

Even in his Son Jesus Christ ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega$ vit av $\tau \circ$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ X $\rho เ \sigma \tau \omega$ ). The $\alpha v \tau o v$ refers clearly to $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega a \lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu \omega$ (God). Hence this clause is not in apposition with the preceding, but an explanation as to how we are "in the True One" by being "in his Son Jesus Christ."

This (ovtos). Grammatically outos may refer to Jesus Christ or to "the True One." It is a bit tautological to refer it to God, but that is probably correct, God in Christ, at any rate. God is eternal life (Joh 5:26 ) and he gives it to us through Christ.

## 1John 5:21

Yourselves ( $\varepsilon a v \tau \alpha$ ). Neuter plural reflexive because of $\tau \varepsilon \kappa v / \alpha$. The active voice $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ with the reflexive accents the need of effort on their part. Idolatry was everywhere and the peril was great. See Ac 7:41: 1Th 1:9 for this word.

## SECOND JOHN

ABOUT A.D. 85 TO 90

## BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

There is little to add to what was said about the First Epistle except that here the author terms himself "the elder" (o $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma)$ and writes to "the elect lady" ( $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta ~ к \nu \rho ı \alpha)$. There is dispute about both of these titles. Some hold that it is the mythical "presbyter John" of whom Papias may speak, if so understood, but whose very existence is disproved by Dom Chapman in John the Presbyter and the Fourth Gospel (1911). Peter the apostle (1Pe 1:1) calls himself "fellow-elder" ( $\sigma \cup v \pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho о \varsigma)$ with the other elders ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 5: 1$ ). The word referred originally to age ( $\operatorname{Lu}$ 15:25) , then to rank or office as in the Sanhedrin (Mt 16:21; Ac 6:12) and in the Christian churches (Ac 11:30; 20:17; 1Ti 5:17,19) as here also. A few even deny that the author is the same as in the First Epistle of John, but just an imitator. But the bulk of modern scholarly opinion agrees that the same man wrote all three Epistles and the Fourth Gospel (the Beloved Disciple, and many still say the Apostle John) whatever is true of the Apocalypse. There is no way of deciding whether "the elect lady" is a woman or a church. The obvious way of taking it is to a woman of distinction in one of the churches, as is true of "the co-elect lady in Babylon" (1Pe 5:13) , Peter's wife, who travelled with him (1Co 9:5 ). Some even take кupıa to be the name of the lady (Cyria). Some also take it to be "Eklecta the lady." Dr. Findlay (Fellowship in the Life Eternal, p. 31) holds that Pergamum is the church to which the letter was sent. The same commentaries treat I, II, and III John as a rule, though Poggel has a book on II, III John (1896) and Bresky (1906) has Das Verhaltnis des Zweiten Johannesbriefes zum dritten. Dr. J. Rendel Harris has an interesting article in The Expositor of London for March, 1901, on "The Problem of the Address to the Second Epistle of John," in which he argues from papyri examples that кupıa here means "my dear" or "my lady." But Findlay (Fellowship in the Life Eternal, p. 26) argues that "the qualifying adjunct 'elect' lifts us into the region of Christian calling and dignity." It is not certain that II John was written after I John, though probable. Origen rejected it and the Peshitta Syriac does not have II and III John.

## 2 John 1

## 2John 1:1

 be understood either literally as in 1Ti 3:4, or spiritually, as in $\mathrm{Ga} 4: 19,25$; 1Ti 1:2 . For the spiritual sense in $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \nu$ la see 1Jo 2:1,12 .

Whom (ovc). Masculine accusative plural, though тєкvoıs is neuter plural (dative), construction according to sense, not according to grammatical gender, "embracing the mother and the children of both sexes" (Vincent). See thus ous in Ga 4:19.

I (E $\gamma \omega$ ). Though o $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \varepsilon \rho o \varsigma$ is third person, he passes at once after the Greek idiom to the first and there is also special emphasis here in the use of $\alpha \gamma a \pi \omega$ with the addition of $\varepsilon v a \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \propto \alpha$ (in truth, in the highest sphere, as in Joh 17:19; 3Jo 1:1) and ouk $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \mu$ оvoc (not I only, "not I alone"). Brooke argues that this language is unsuitable if to a single family and not to a church. But Paul employs this very phrase in sending greetings to Prisca and Aquila (Ro 16:4).

That know (o $\varepsilon \gamma v \omega \kappa о \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Perfect active articular participle of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, "those that have come to know and still know."

2John 1:2
For the truth's sake ( $\delta i \alpha \tau \eta v \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon i \alpha v$ ). Repetition of the word, one of which John is very fond (1Jo 1:6, "the truth, as revealed by the Christ, and gradually unfolded by the Spirit, who is truth" (Brooke).

Which abideth in us ( $\tau \eta v \mu \varepsilon v o v \sigma \alpha \nu \varepsilon v \eta \mu v)$. See Joh 17:19 for "sanctified in truth" and 1Jo 2:6 for abiding in Christ, and so it includes all who are in Christ.

It shall be with us ( $\left.\mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime} \eta \mu \omega v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha\right)$. Confident assertion, not a mere wish. Note the order of the words, "With us it shall be" ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha$ future middle of $\varepsilon \mu$ ).

2John 1:3
Shall be with us ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime} \eta \mu \omega v$ ). He picks up the words before in reverse order. Future indicative here, not a wish with the optative ( $\varepsilon$ ( $\varepsilon$ ) as we have in $1 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 2 ; 2 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 2$. The salutation is like that in the Pastoral Epistles: "Xapıऽ, the wellspring in the heart of God; $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varsigma$, its outpourings; $\varepsilon \mid \rho \eta \nu \eta$, its blessed effect" (David Smith).

And from Jesus Christ ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o v$ ). The repetition of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ (with the ablative) is unique. "It serves to bring out distinctly the twofold personal relation of man to the Father and to the Son" (Westcott). "The Fatherhood of God, as revealed by one who being His Son can reveal the Father, and who as man (I $\eta \sigma o v$ ) can make him known to men" (Brooke).

2John 1:4

I rejoice $(\varepsilon \chi \propto \rho \eta v)$. Second aorist passive of $\chi \propto \iota \rho \omega$ as in 3Jo 1:3, "of a glad surprise" (D. Smith), as in Mr 14:11, over the discovery about the blessing of their godly home on these lads.

Greatly ( $\lambda_{\iota} \alpha v$ ). Only here and 3Jo 1:3 in John's writings.
I have found ( $\varepsilon \cup \rho \eta \kappa \alpha)$. Perfect active indicative of $\varepsilon v \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$ as in Joh 1:41, our "eureka," here with its usual force, a continued discovery. "He sits down at once and writes to Kyria. How glad she would be that her lads, far away in the great city, were true to their early faith" (David Smith).

Certain of thy children ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu \tau \varepsilon \kappa \nu \omega \nu$ ). No $\tau \iota \nu \alpha \varsigma$ as one would expect before $\varepsilon \kappa$, a not infrequent idiom in the N.T. (Joh 16:17).

Walking ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau o v \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Present active accusative supplementary participle agreeing with tivac understood. Probably members of the church off here in Ephesus.

In truth ( $\varepsilon \vee \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ ). As in verse 1; 3Jo 1:4.
We received $(\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o \mu \varepsilon v)$. Second aorist active (possibly, though not certainly, literary plural) of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$. This very idiom ( $\varepsilon v \tau o \lambda \eta \nu \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega)$ in Joh 10:18; Ac 17:15; Co 4:10. Perhaps the reference here is to 1 Jo 2:7f.; 3:23 .

2John 1:5
Beseech $(\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \omega)$. For pray as in 1Jo 5:16.
Lady ( $\kappa \cup \rho ı \alpha)$. Vocative case and in the same sense as in 1.
As though I wrote $(\omega \varsigma \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega v)$. Common idiom $\omega \varsigma$ with the participle (present active) for the alleged reason.

New ( $\kappa \alpha / v \eta \nu$ ). As in 1Jo 2:7f., which see.
We had ( $\varepsilon \iota \chi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active (late - $\alpha$ form like $\varepsilon \iota \chi \alpha v$ in Mr 8:7) of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ and note $\varepsilon \iota \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ with $\alpha \pi^{\prime} \alpha \rho \chi \eta \varsigma$ in 1Jo 2:7. Not literary plural, John identifying all Christians with himself in this blessing.

That we love one another ( $\imath \nu \alpha \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o v \varsigma)$. Either a final clause after $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \omega$ as in Joh 17:15 or an object clause in apposition with $\varepsilon v \tau o \lambda \eta \nu$, like 1 Jo 2:27; 3:23 and like verse 6.

2John 1:6
Love ( $\eta$ a $\alpha a \pi \eta$ ). The love just mentioned.
That we should walk ( $\imath v \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Object clause in nominative case in apposition with $\alpha \gamma a \pi \eta$, with $ו v a$ and the present active subjunctive of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$, "that we keep on walking."

The commandment ( $\eta \varepsilon v \tau o \lambda \eta$ ). The one just mentioned with the same construction with $ו v a$ as in 1Jo 3:23. John changes from the first person plural to the second ( $\eta \kappa 0 v \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ as in 1Jo 2:7, $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ) as in 1Jo 2:5,7 .

In it ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \cup \tau \eta$ ). Either to $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha$ (truth) of verse $4, \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta$ of this verse, or $\varepsilon v \tau o \lambda \eta$ of this verse. Either makes good sense, probably "in love." With $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$ (walk) we have often $\varepsilon v$ (1Jo 1:7,11, etc.) or ката (according to) as in Mr 7:5; 1Co 3:3; 2Co 10:2 , etc.

2John 1:7
Deceivers ( $\pi \lambda \alpha v o$ ). Late adjective (Diodorus, Josephus) meaning wandering, roving (1Ti 4:1). As a substantive in N.T. of Jesus (Mt 27:63 ), of Paul (2Co 6:8), and here. See the verb ( $\tau \omega v \pi \lambda \alpha v o v \tau \omega v \nu \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ) in 1Jo 2:26 of the Gnostic deceivers as here and also of Jesus (Joh 7:12 ). Cf. 1Jo 1:8.

Are gone forth ( $\varepsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta \alpha v$, alpha ending). Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, perhaps an allusion to the crisis when they left the churches (1Jo 2:19, same form).

Even they that confess not (o $\mu \eta$ о $о$ о $\lambda$ o $\gamma o u v \tau \varepsilon$ ). "The ones not confessing" ( $\mu \eta$ regular negative with the participle). The articular participle describes the deceivers ( $\pi \lambda \alpha v o$ ).

That Jesus Christ cometh in the flesh (I $\eta \sigma o u v$ X Christ coming in the flesh." Present middle participle of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ treating the Incarnation as a continuing fact which the Docetic Gnostics flatly denied. In 1Jo 4:2 we have $\varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \cup \theta$ ota (perfect active participle) in this same construction with $\rho \mu \circ \lambda o \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, because there the reference is to the definite historical fact of the Incarnation. There is no allusion here to the second coming of Christ.

This (outoc). See 1Jo 2:18,22; 5:6,20 .
The deceiver and the antichrist ( $\left.\frac{\pi \lambda \alpha v o \varsigma ~ к \alpha ~ o ~}{\alpha} v \tau \iota \chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma\right)$. Article with each word, as in Re 1:17, to bring out sharply each separate phrase, though one individual is referred to. The one par excellence in popular expectation (1Jo 2:22), though many in reality (1Jo 2:18; 3Jo 1:7).

2John 1:8
Look to yourselves ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ عavtovৎ). Imperative active with reflexive pronoun as in Mr 13:9. The verb often used absolutely (Php 3:2) like our "look out."

That ye lose not (iva $\mu \eta \alpha \pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Negative purpose with $i v \alpha \mu \eta$ and first aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$. This is the correct text (B), not $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$ (we). Likewise $\alpha \pi o \lambda \alpha \beta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ (that ye receive), not $\alpha \pi o \lambda \alpha \beta \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ (we).

Which we have wrought ( $\alpha \eta \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). This is also correct, first aorist middle indicative of $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta_{\circ} \mu \alpha$, to work (Joh 6:27f. ). John does not wish his labour to be lost. See Ro 1:27 for this use of $\alpha \pi о \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$ for receiving. See Joh 4:36 for $\mu \iota \sigma \theta$ os in the harvest. The "full reward" ( $\mu \sigma \theta$ ov $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \eta$ ) is the full day's wages which each worker will get (1Co 3:8). John is anxious that they shall hold on with him to the finish.

2John 1:9
Whosoever goeth onward ( $\pi \alpha \varsigma$ о $\pi \rho о \alpha \gamma \omega v$ ). "Every one who goes ahead. Проаү literally means to go on before ( $\mathrm{Mr} 11: 9$ ). That in itself is often the thing to do, but here the bad sense comes out by the parallel clause.

And abideth not in the teaching of Christ ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \eta \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \delta \iota \delta \alpha \chi \eta \tau o v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \sigma v$ ). Not the teaching about Christ, but that of Christ which is the standard of Christian teaching as the walk of Christ is the standard for the Christian's walk (1Jo 2:6 ). See Joh 7:16; 18:19. These Gnostics claimed to be the progressives, the advanced thinkers, and were anxious to relegate Christ to the past in their onward march. This struggle goes on always among those who approach the study of Christ. Is he a "landmark" merely or is he our goal and pattern? Progress we all desire, but progress toward Christ, not away from him. Reactionary obscurantists wish no progress toward Christ, but desire to stop and camp where they are. "True progress includes the past" (Westcott). Jesus Christ is still ahead of us all calling us to come on to him.

2John 1:10
If any one cometh and bringeth not ( $\varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha$ ov $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$ ). Condition of first class with $\varepsilon$ and two present indicatives ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota, \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$ ).

This teaching ( $\tau \alpha v \tau \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu \delta \iota \delta \alpha \chi \eta \nu$ ). This teaching of Christ of verse 9 , which is the standard by which to test Gnostic deceivers (verse 7). John does not refer to entertaining strangers (He 13:2; $1 \mathrm{Ti} 5: 10$ ), but to the deceiving propagandists who were carrying dissension and danger with them.

Receive him not ( $\mu \eta \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \sigma \nu$ ). Present active imperative with $\mu \eta$. For $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$ in this sense see Joh 1:12; 6:21; 13:20 .

Into your house ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ оıкıav). Definite without the article like our at home, to town.
Give him no greeting ( $\chi \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \iota v \alpha v \tau \omega \mu \eta \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). "Say not farewell to him." Apparently $\chi \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon ı \nu$ here (present active infinitive, object of $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ present active imperative with negative $\mu \eta$ ) is used of farewell as in 2Co 13:11, though usually in the N.T. (Ac 15:23; 23:26; Jas 1:1 ) of the salutation. But here the point turns on the stranger bringing into the house (or trying to do so) his heretical and harmful teaching which seems to be after the salutation is over. The usual greeting to a house is given in Lu 10:5. On the other hand, if $\chi \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \iota v$ means greeting, not farewell, here, it can very well be understood of the peril of allowing these Gnostic propagandists to spread their pernicious teachings (cf. Mormons or Bolshevists) in home and church (usually meeting in the home). This is assuming that the men were known and not mere strangers.

2John 1:11
 instrumental case with кoıv $\omega v \varepsilon$ as in $1 \mathrm{Ti} 5: 22$, common verb from кoı $\omega \omega v \circ$ (partner). It is to be borne in mind that the churches often met in private homes (Ro 16:5; Col 4:15), and if these travelling deceivers were allowed to spread their doctrines in these homes and then sent on with endorsement as Apollos was from Ephesus to Corinth (Ac 18:27), there was no way of escaping responsibility for the harm wrought by these propagandists of evil. It is not a case of mere hospitality to strangers.

2John 1:12
I would not (ouk $\varepsilon \beta o v \lambda \eta \theta \eta v$ ). Epistolary aorist (first passive indicative).
With paper and ink ( $\delta \iota \alpha \chi \alpha \rho \tau о v \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha v o \varsigma)$. The $\chi \propto \rho \tau \eta \varsigma$ was a leaf of papyrus prepared for writing by cutting the pith into strips and pasting together, old word (Jer 43:23), here only in N.T. Me $\lambda$ aç is old adjective for black (Mt 5:36; Re 6:5,12) , and for black ink here, 3Jo 1:13; 2Co 3:3 . Apparently John wrote this little letter with his own hand.

To come ( $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Second aorist middle infinitive of $\gamma เ v o \mu \alpha$ after $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \omega$, I hope.
Face to face ( $\sigma \tau о \mu \alpha \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \sigma \tau о \mu \alpha)$. "Mouth to mouth." So in 3Jo 1:14; Nu 12:8 . "Face to face" ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \pi$ оv $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \pi \rho о \sigma \omega \pi \sigma v)$ we have in 1Co 13:12 .

Your $(\nu \mu \omega v)$. Or "our" ( $\eta \mu \omega v$ ). Both true.
That may be fulfilled ( $\llcorner v a \pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon v \eta \eta)$. Purpose clause with $ı v \alpha$ and the periphrastic perfect passive subjunctive of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$, as in 1Jo 1:4, which see.

2John 1:13
Of thine elect sister ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \eta \varsigma \sigma o v \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma$ ). Same word $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta$ as in verse 1; Re 17:4. Apparently children of a deceased sister of the lady of verse 1 who lived in Ephesus and whom John knew as members of his church there.

## THIRD JOHN

ABOUT A.D. 85 TO 90

## BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

Certainly III John is addressed to an individual, not to a church, though which Gaius we do not know. There are three friends of Paul with this name; Gaius of Corinth (1Co 1:14 ), Gaius of Macedonia (Ac 19:29), Gaius of Derbe (Ac 20:4), but it is unlikely that this Gaius of Pergamum (Findlay would call him) is either of these, though the Apostolical Constitutions does identify him with Gaius of Derbe. It is possible that in 3Jo 1:9 there is an allusion to II John and, if so, then both letters went to individuals in the same church (one a loyal woman, the other a loyal man). Three persons are sharply sketched in III John (Gaius, Diotrephes, Demetrius). Gaius is the dependable layman in the church, Diotrephes the dominating official, Demetrius the kindly messenger from Ephesus with the letter, a vivid picture of early church life and missionary work. John is at Ephesus, the last of the apostles, and with an eagle's eye surveys the work in Asia Minor. The same Gnostic deceivers are at work as in the other Johannine Epistles. Pergamum is described in Re 2:13 as the place "where Satan's throne is."

## 3 John 1

## 3John 1:1

The beloved ( $\tau \omega \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \tau \omega$ ). Four times in this short letter this verbal adjective is used of Gaius (here, 2,5,11). See 2Jo 1:1 for the same phrase here, "whom I love in truth."

3John 1:2
I pray ( $\varepsilon \cup \chi \circ \mu \alpha)$. Here only in John's writings. See Ro 9:3.
In all things ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \pi \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). To be taken with $\varepsilon v o \delta o v \sigma \theta a$ and like $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ in 1Co 16:1, "concerning all things."

Thou mayest prosper ( $\sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon v o \delta o v \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Infinitive in indirect discourse (object infinitive) after $\varepsilon u \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, with accusative of general reference $\sigma \varepsilon$ (as to thee). Evooow is old verb (from $\varepsilon v o \delta o \varsigma, \varepsilon v$ and o ooc, prosperous in a journey), to have a good journey, to prosper, in LXX, in N.T. only this verse (twice), 1Co 16:2; Ro 1:10 .

Be in health (vүLaıvev). In Paul this word always means sound teaching (1Ti 1:10; 6:3 ), but here and in Lu 5:31; 7:10; 15:27, of bodily health. Brooke wonders if Gaius' health had caused his friends anxiety.

Even as thy soul prospereth ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \varepsilon v o \delta o v \tau \alpha \sigma o \cup \eta \psi \cup \chi \eta$ ). A remarkable comparison which assumes the welfare (present middle indicative of $\varepsilon v o \delta o \omega$ ) of his soul ( $\psi v \chi \eta$ here as the principle of the higher life as in Joh 12:27, not of the natural life as in Mt 6:25 ).

3John 1:3
I rejoiced greatly ( $\varepsilon \chi \alpha \rho \eta \nu \lambda ı \alpha v$ ). As in 2Jo 1:4; Php 4:10, not epistolary aorist, but reference to his emotions at the good tidings about Gaius.

When brethren came ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \rho \mu \varepsilon v \omega v \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \omega v$ ). Genitive absolute with present middle participle of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, and so with $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho o u v \tau \omega v$ (bare witness, present active participle of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \omega)$. Present participle here denotes repetition, from time to time.

To the truth ( $\tau \eta \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha$ ). Dative case. "As always in the Johannine writings, 'truth' covers every sphere of life, moral, intellectual, spiritual" (Brooke).

Even as thou walkest in truth ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \varsigma \sigma v \varepsilon v \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ⿺ \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. "Thou" in contrast to Diotrephes (verse 9) and others like him. On $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$ see 1Jo 1:6 and on $\varepsilon v \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha$ see 2Jo 1:4.

3John 1:4
Greater ( $\mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta о \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha v$ ). A double comparative with - $\tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$ added to $\mu \varepsilon \iota \zeta \omega \nu$, like our "lesser" and like $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda$ ov крєוббov (more better) in Php 1:23. In Eph 3:8 we have $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \chi$ Ібтот $\varepsilon \rho \omega$, a comparative on a superlative. Like forms occur in the vernacular papyri and even in Homer ( $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho о \tau \varepsilon \rho о \varsigma, ~ m o r e ~ w o r s e) ~ a s ~ a l s o ~ i n ~ S h a k e s p e a r e . ~$

Joy ( $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha v$ ). B reads $\chi \alpha \rho ı v$ (grace).
Than this ( $\tau 0 \cup \tau \omega v$ ). Ablative neuter plural after the comparative.

To hear of ( $\imath v \alpha \alpha \kappa о v \omega$ ). Object clause (epexegetic) with $t v \alpha$ and $\alpha \kappa \circ v \omega$, the present active subjunctive (keep on hearing of) in apposition with tov $\omega \omega v$,

Walking in truth ( $\varepsilon \nu \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha ~ \pi \varepsilon \rho ı \pi \alpha \tau о u \nu \tau \alpha)$. As in 2Jo 1:4, which see. By the use of $\tau \varepsilon \kappa v \alpha$ John may mean that Gaius is one of his converts ( $1 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 1$ ).

3John 1:5
A faithful work ( $\pi$ (Iбтov). Either thus or "thou makest sure," after an example in Xenophon quoted by Wettstein ( $\pi$ oıءıv $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ ) and parallel to kaıva $\pi$ oı $\varepsilon \omega$ in Re 21:5 . But it is not certain.

In whatsoever thou doest (o $\varepsilon \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma \eta$ ). Indefinite relative with modal $\varepsilon \alpha v$ ( $=\alpha v$ ) and the first aorist middle subjunctive of $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta \rho \mu \alpha$. See Col 3:23 for both $\pi о เ \varepsilon \omega$ and $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta о \mu \alpha$ in the same sentence.

And strangers withal (ка тоито $\xi$ ₹vov¢). "And that too" (accusative of general reference as in 1Co 6:6; Php 1:28; Eph 2:8). This praise of hospitality (Ro 12:13; $1 \mathrm{Pe} 4: 9 ; 1 \mathrm{Ti} 3: 2 ; 5: 10$; Tit 1:8; Heb 13:2 ) shows that in 2Jo 1:10 John has a peculiar case in mind.

3John 1:6
 єкк $\lambda \eta \sigma$ т indicates, like $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ in 1Co 14:19,35.

Thou wilt do well ( $\left.\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma \pi о \_\sigma \sigma \iota \varsigma\right)$. Future active of $\pi \circ เ \varepsilon \omega$ with adverb $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma$, a common polite phrase in letters (papyri) like our "please." See also Ac 10:33; Jas 2:19; 1Co 7:37f.; Php 4:14; 2Pe 1:19.

To set forward on their journey ( $\pi \rho о \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle (simultaneous action) of $\pi \rho о \pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega$, to send forward, "sending forward," old word, in N.T. in Ac 15:3; 20:38; 21:5; 1Co 16:6,11; 2Co 1:16; Ro 15:24; Tit 3:13.

Worthily of God ( $\alpha \xi \omega \varsigma$ cov $\theta$ sou). Precisely this phrase in 1Th 2:12 and the genitive with $\alpha \xi \iota \omega \varsigma$ also in Ro 16:2; Php 1:27; Col 1:10; Eph 4:1 . See Joh 13:20 for Christ's words on the subject. "Since they are God's representatives, treat them as you would God" (Holtzmann). From Homer's time (Od. XV. 74) it was customary to speed the parting guest, sometimes accompanying him, sometimes providing money and food. Rabbis were so escorted and Paul alludes to the same gracious custom in Ro 15:24; Tit 3:13.

3John 1:7
For the sake of the Name (v $\frac{1}{} \rho$ тоv ovoцатос). The name of Jesus. See Ac 5:4; Ro 1:5 for vォז $\rho$ tov ovouatoc and Jas 2:7 for the absolute use of "the name" as in 1Pe 4:16. "This name is in essence the sum of the Christian creed" (Westcott) as in 1Co 12:3; Ro 10:9 . It is like the absolute use of "the Way" (Ac 9:2; 19:9,23; 24:22 ).

Taking nothing ( $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \nu \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active participle with the usual negative with participles (1Jo 2:4).

Of the Gentiles ( $\alpha \pi 0 \tau \omega v \varepsilon \theta v ı \kappa \omega v$ ). Instead of the usual $\varepsilon \theta v \omega v(\operatorname{Lu} 2: 32$ ), late adjective for what is peculiar to a people ( $\varepsilon \theta v \circ \varsigma)$ and then for the people themselves (Polybius, Diod-
orus, not in LXX), in N.T. only here, Mt 5:47; 6:7; 18:17 . Like our heathen, pagan. John is anxious that Christian missionaries receive nothing from the heathen, as our missionaries have to watch against the charge of being after money. There were many travelling lecturers out for money. Paul in 1Co 9 defends the right of preachers to pay, but refuses himself to accept it from Corinth because it would be misunderstood (cf. 1Th 2:6ff.; 2Co 12:16ff. 12:16ff. ). Note $\alpha \pi$ o here as in collecting taxes (Mt 17:25 ) rather than $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$, which may be suggestive.

3John 1:8
Ought (очєілонعv). See for this word 1Jo 2:6; 3:16; 4:11.
To welcome (v $\quad$ то $\alpha \mu \beta \alpha \vee \varepsilon เ v$ ). Present active infinitive (habit of welcoming) of vто $\lambda \alpha \beta \beta \nu \omega$, old word, to take up under, to carry off (Ac 1:9), to reply (Lu 10:30), to suppose (Ac 2:15), only here in N.T. in this sense of receiving hospitably or to take under one's protection like v $\pi \mathbf{o \delta \varepsilon \chi о \mu \alpha ( L u ~ 1 0 : 3 8 ) . ~}$

Such (tous tooovtouc). "The such" according to the Greek idiom (1Co 16:16,18).
That we may be ( $\iota v \alpha \gamma \imath \omega \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta)$ ). Purpose clause with $\mathfrak{v \alpha}$ and the present middle subjunctive of $\gamma \mathrm{ivo} \mathrm{\mu a}$, "that we may keep on becoming."

Fellow-workers ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon \rho \gamma 0$ ). Old compound ( $\sigma \cup v, \varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ v$ ).
With the truth ( $\tau \eta \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ı \alpha$ ). So associative instrumental case with $\sigma u v$ in $\sigma u v \varepsilon \rho \gamma o$, but it is not certain that this is the idea, though ouvep $\varepsilon$ e $\omega$ is so used with $\varepsilon \rho \gamma o$, in Jas 2:22. इuveppoc itself occurs with the genitive of the person as in $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ovvep $\gamma$ ( 1 Co 3:9) or with genitive of the thing $\tau \eta \varsigma \chi \alpha \rho a \varsigma(1 C o 3: 9)$. So then here the meaning may be either "coworkers with such brethren for the truth" (dative of advantage) or "co-workers with the truth" (associative instrumental case).

3John 1:9
I wrote somewhat unto the church ( $\varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha \tau \tau \eta \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha)$. A few MSS. add $\alpha v$ to indicate that he had not written (conclusion of second-class condition), clearly spurious. Not epistolary aorist nor a reference to II John as Findlay holds, but an allusion to a brief letter of commendation (Ac 18:27; 2Co 3:1; Col 4:10) sent along with the brethren in verses 5-7 or to some other itinerant brethren. Westcott wrongly thinks that $\tau$ is never used of anything important in the N.T. (Ac 8:9; Ga 6:3), and hence that this lost letter was unimportant. It may have been brief and a mere introduction. $\Delta \iota o \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \varsigma$ ( $\Delta \iota \circ \varsigma$ and $\tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, nourished by Zeus). This ambitious leader and sympathiser with the Gnostics would probably prevent the letter referred to being read to the church, whether it was II John condemning the Gnostics or another letter commending Demetrius and John's missionaries. Hence he sends Gaius this personal letter warning against Diotrephes.

Who loveth to have the preeminence among them (o $\varphi \lambda<\pi \rho \omega \tau \varepsilon v \omega \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Present active articular participle of a late verb, so far found only here and in ecclesiastical writers (the example cited by Blass being an error, Deissmann, Light etc., p. 76), from $\varphi \downarrow \lambda о \pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma$, fond of being first (Plutarch), and made like $\varphi \backslash \lambda о \pi о v \varepsilon \omega$ (papyri), to be fond of toil. This
ambition of Diotrephes does not prove that he was a bishop over elders, as was true in the second century (as Ignatius shows). He may have been an elder (bishop) or deacon, but clearly desired to rule the whole church. Some forty years ago I wrote an article on Diotrephes for a denominational paper. The editor told me that twenty-five deacons stopped the paper to show their resentment against being personally attacked in the paper.

Receiveth us not (ovк $\varepsilon \pi \iota \delta \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. Present active indicative of this old compound, in N.T. only here and verse 10. Diotrephes refused to accept John's authority or those who sided with him, John's missionaries or delegates (cf. Mt 10:40).

3John 1:10
If I come $(\varepsilon \alpha \nu \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega)$. Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ and second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. He hopes to come (verse 14), as he had said in 2Jo 1:12 (one argument for identifying II John with the letter in 3Jo 1:9).

I will bring to remembrance $(v \pi о \mu \nu \eta \sigma \omega)$. Future active indicative of $v \pi о \mu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$, old compound (Joh 14:26; 2Pe 1:12 ). The aged apostle is not afraid of Diotrephes and here defies him.

Which he doeth ( $\alpha \pi \sigma เ \varepsilon$ ). Present active indicative, "which he keeps on doing."
Prating against us ( $\varphi \lambda \nu \alpha \rho \omega \nu \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. Present active participle of old verb (from $\varphi \lambda \nu \alpha \rho \circ \varsigma$, babbling $1 \mathrm{Ti} 5: 13$ ), to accuse idly and so falsely, here only in N.T. with accusative $\eta \mu a \varsigma$ (us).

With wicked words ( $\lambda$ оүоıя $\pi о \nu \eta \rho \circ \iota \varsigma)$. Instrumental case. Not simply foolish chatter, but malevolent words.

Not content ( $\mu \eta \alpha \rho \kappa о \cup \mu \varepsilon \nu о \varsigma)$. Present passive participle of $\alpha \rho \kappa \varepsilon \omega$ with usual negative $\mu \eta$. For this verb in this sense see $1 \mathrm{Ti} 6: 8$; Heb 13:5, only there $\varepsilon \pi$ is absent. John knows that the conduct of Diotrephes will not stand the light. See Paul's threats of exposure (1Co 4:21; 2Co 10:11; 13:1-3 ). And John is the apostle of love all the same.

He himself (avtoc). That was bad enough.
 from John.

He forbiddeth $(\kappa \omega \lambda \nu \varepsilon)$. "He hinders." Present active indicative of $\kappa \omega \lambda \nu \omega$ and means either actual success in one case (punctiliar use of the present indicative) or repetition in several instances (linear action) or conative action attempted, but not successful as in Mt 3:14 (this same verb) and Joh 10:32 .

Casteth them out of the church ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \propto \varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Here again $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$ can be understood in various ways, like $\kappa \omega \lambda v \varepsilon$. This verb occurs in Joh 2:15 for casting out of the temple the profaners of it and for casting the blind man out of the synagogue (Joh 9:34f. ). If this ancient "church-boss" did not succeed in expelling John's adherents from the church, he certainly tried to do it.

3John 1:11

Imitate not $(\mu \eta \mu \mu \circ v)$. Present middle imperative in prohibition (do not have the habit of imitating) of $\mu \mu \varepsilon \sigma \mu \alpha$ (from $\mu \mu \circ \varsigma$, actor, mimic), old word, in N.T. only here, 2Th 3:7,9; Heb 13:7.

That which is evil ( $\tau \mathbf{\kappa \alpha \kappa о v ) . ~ " T h e ~ b a d , " ~ a s ~ i n ~ R o ~ 1 2 : 2 1 ~ ( n e u t e r ~ s i n g u l a r ~ a b s t r a c t ) . ~}$
But that which is good ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ тo $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta o v$ ). "But the good." As in Ro 12:21 again. Probably by the contrast between Diotrephes and Demetrius.
 late and rare verb, in contrast with o какотоь $\omega v$ (old and common verb) as in Mr 3:4; Lu 6:9; 1Pe 3:17.

Is of God ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ). As in 1Jo 3:9f .
Hath not seen God (ouर $\varepsilon \omega \rho$ акєv $\operatorname{tov} \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). As in 1Jo 3:6. He does not say $\varepsilon \kappa$ tov $\delta ı \alpha \beta$ o $o$ ou as Jesus does in Joh 8:44, but he means it.

3John 1:12
Demetrius hath the witness of all men ( $\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \eta \tau \alpha \cup \pi \sigma \quad \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega v)$. Perfect passive indicative of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \varepsilon \omega$, "it has been witnessed to Demetrius (dative case) by all." We know nothing else about him, unless, as is unlikely, he be identified with Demas as a shortened form (Phm 1:24; Col 4:4; 2Ti 4:10), who has come back after his desertion or with the Ephesian silversmith (Ac 19:21ff. ), who may have been converted under John's ministry, which one would like to believe, though there is no evidence for it. He may indeed be the bearer of this letter from Ephesus to Gaius and may also have come under suspicion for some reason and hence John's warm commendation.

And of the truth itself ( $\kappa \alpha \cup \pi о \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ו \alpha \varsigma)$. A second commendation of Demetrius. It is possible, in view of 1Jo 5:6 (the Spirit is the truth), that John means the Holy Spirit and not a mere personification of the truth.

Yea we also (ка $\eta \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma \delta$ ). A third witness to Demetrius, that is John himself (literary plural).

Thou knowest (ot $\delta \alpha$ ). "The words in Joh 21:24 sound like an echo of this sentence" (Westcott). John knew Demetrius well in Ephesus.

3John 1:13
I had ( $\varepsilon \not \chi \circ v$ ). Imperfect active of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, when I began to write ( $\gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha$, ingressive aorist active infinitive of $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega)$.

I am unwilling to write (ov $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon เ v$ ). "I do not wish to go on writing them.
With ink and pen ( $\delta ı \alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha v o \varsigma \kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu о v)$, "by means of ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ ) black (ink) and reed (used as pen)." See 2Jo 1:12 for $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha v o \varsigma$ and Mt 11:7 for ка入а $\mu$ с, used for papyrus and parchment, as $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon$ sov (a sharp stilus) for wax tablets.

3John 1:14
I hope ( $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \omega)$
--We shall speak ( $\lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma о \mu \varepsilon v)$. Literary plural really singular like $\varepsilon \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \omega$.

Face to face $(\sigma \tau \sigma \mu \alpha \pi \rho o \varsigma \sigma \tau o \mu \alpha)$. As in 2Jo 1:12.
Peace to thee ( $\varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \vee \eta \sigma 0$ ). Pax tibi like the Jewish greeting shalom (Lu 10:5; 24:36; Joh 20:19,21).

The friends ( $o \varphi t \lambda_{0}$ ). Those in Ephesus.
By name ( $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ ovo $\mu \alpha$ ). John knew the friends in the church (at Pergamum or wherever it was) as the good shepherd calls his sheep by name (Joh 10:3, the only other N.T. example of $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ ovo $\mu \alpha$ ). The idiom is common in the papyri letters (Deissmann, Light, etc., p. 193, note 21 ).

## THE EPISTLE OF JUDE

ABOUT A.D. 65 TO 67

## BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

THE AUTHOR
He calls himself Judas, but this was a very common name. In the N.T. itself we have Judas Iscariot and Judas not Iscariot (Joh 14:22 ; also called Judas of James, son or brother, Lu 6:6 ), Judas a brother of our Lord (Mt 13:55 ), Judas of Galilee (Ac 5:37 ), Judas of Damascus (Ac 9:11), Judas Barsabbas (Ac 15:22 ). The author explains that he is a "slave" of Jesus Christ as James did (Jude 1:1 ), and adds that he is also a brother of James. Clement of Alexandria thinks that, like James, he deprecated being called the brother of the Lord Jesus (as by Hegesippus later) as claiming too much authority. Keil identifies him with Jude the Apostle (not Iscariot), but that is most unlikely. The Epistle is one of the disputed books of Eusebius. It was recognized in the canon in the Third Council of Carthage (A.D. 397). It appears in the Muratorian Canon (A.D. 170).

## THE RELATION TO II PETER

Beyond a doubt one of these Epistles was used by the other, as one can see by comparing particularly Jude 1:3-18 and 2Pe 2:1-18. As already said concerning II Peter, scholars are greatly divided on this point, and in our present state of knowledge it does not seem possible to reach a solid conclusion. The probability is that not much time elapsed between them. Mayor devotes a whole chapter to the discussion of the relation between II Peter and Jude and reaches the conclusion "that in Jude we have the first thought, in Peter the second thought." That is my own feeling, but it is all so subjective that I have no desire to urge the point unduly. Bigg is equally positive that II Peter comes before Jude.

## THE USE OF APOCRYPHAL BOOKS

Jude (verse Jude 1:14 ) quotes from "Enoch" by name and says that he "prophesied." What he quotes is a combination of various passages in the Book of Enoch as we have it now. It used to be held that part of Enoch was later than Jude, but Charles seems to have disproved that, though the book as we have it has many interpolations. Tertullian wanted to canonise Enoch because of what Jude says, whereas Chrysostom says that the authenticity of Jude was doubted because of the use of Enoch. In verse Jude 1:9 there seems to be an allusion to the Assumption of Moses, another apocryphal book, but it is the use of "prophesied" in verse Jude 1:14 about Enoch that gave most offence. It is possible, of course, that Jude did not attach the full sense to that term.

THE STYLE
It is terse and picturesque, with a fondness for triplets. The use of the O.T. is very much like that in II Peter. Alford notes that it is impassioned invective with epithet on epithet, image on image. Bigg remarks on the stern and unbending nature of the author, with no
pathos and a harsh view of things and with frequent use of Pauline phraseology. There are some fifteen words not in the rest of the N.T. The grammar is less irregular than that of II Peter. There is often a poetic ring in his words.

## THE PURPOSE

The author undoubtedly has the Gnostics in mind and is seeking to warn his readers against them, as is true of II Peter. This same purpose appears in the Johannine Epistles, as was true also of Colossians, Ephesians, the Pastoral Epistles.

THE READERS
Of this we know nothing at all. Dr. Chase believes that the Epistle was sent to Antioch in Syria. That may be true, though it is mere conjecture. Any place or places in Asia Minor would suit so far as we know. The readers were probably both Jewish and Gentile Christians. Jerusalem and Alexandria are urged as the place of composition, but of that we have no real information.

THE DATE
This really turns on the genuineness of the Epistle. There is no clear indication of the date, for the Gnostics described can belong to the first or to the second century. If it was used by II Peter, that would place it slightly before that Epistle. The date suggested, 65 to 67 A.D., is purely conjectural.

SPECIAL BOOKS ON JUDE
(Apart from those on II Peter or the Catholic Epistles) Chase, F. H., Jude in Hastings D B (1899). Ermoni, V., L'epitre de Jude (1903, in Vigoroux, Diction- naire de la Bible). Georchin, B., Der Brief Judas (1901). Kasteren, J. P., De brief uan den apostel Judas (1916). Maier, F., Der Judasbrief (1906). Mayor, J. B., The Epistle of Jude (in Expositor's Greek Testament, 1910). Plummer, A., St. James and St. Jude (Expositor's Bible). Rampf, M. F., Der Brief Juda (1854). Stier, R., Der Brief Judas, des Bruders des Herrn (1850). Wandel, G., Der Brief des Judas (1898).

## Jude 1

Jude 1:1
Servant ( $\delta o \cup \lambda o \varsigma) . ~ P r e c i s e l y ~ a s ~ J a m e s ~(J a s ~ 1: 1), ~ o n l y ~ J a m e s ~ a d d e d ~ к и \rho ı o v ~(L o r d) . ~ . ~$
Brother of James ( $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi$ оऽ Iaк $\omega \beta$ ov). Thus Jude identifies himself. But not the "Judas of James" (Lu 6:16; Ac 1:13).

To them that are called ( $\tau 0 เ \sigma--\kappa \lambda \eta \tau о \iota \varsigma)$. But this translation (treating $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \circ \iota \varsigma$ as a substantive like Ro 1:6; 1Co 1:24 ) is by no means certain as two participles come in between
 But see 1Pe 1:1.

Beloved in God the Father ( $\varepsilon \nu \theta \varepsilon \omega \pi \alpha \tau \rho \eta \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \mu \varepsilon v o \iota)$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\alpha \gamma a \pi \alpha \omega$, but no precise parallel to this use of $\varepsilon v$ with $\alpha \gamma a \pi \alpha \omega$.

Kept for Jesus Christ (I $\eta \sigma o v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega \tau \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho \eta \mu \varepsilon \nu o \iota \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle again with dative, unless it is the instrumental, "kept by Jesus Christ," a quite possible interpretation.

Jude 1:2
Be multiplied ( $\pi \lambda \eta \theta v v \theta \varepsilon ı \eta$ ). First aorist passive optative of $\pi \lambda \eta \theta v \nu \omega$ as in 1Pe 1:2; 2 Pe 1:2.

Jude 1:3
Beloved ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \tau 0$ ). As in 3Jo 1:2.
All diligence ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha v \sigma \pi o v \delta \eta v$ ). As in 2Pe 1:5.
Of our common salvation ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \tau \eta \varsigma \kappa о \iota \nu \eta \varsigma \eta \mu \omega \nu \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. See this use of коוvoৎ (common to all) in Tit $1: 4$ with $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$, while in $2 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 1$ we have $\sigma \sigma \sigma \tau \mu \circ v \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota v$, which see.

I was constrained ( $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta v \varepsilon \sigma \chi \circ v$ ). "I had necessity" like Lu 14:18; Heb 7:27.
To contend earnestly ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \omega v \iota \zeta \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Late and rare (in Plutarch, inscriptions) compound, here only in N.T. A little additional $(\varepsilon \pi)$ striving to the already strong $\alpha \gamma \omega v \iota \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ( $\alpha \gamma \omega \nu$ contest). Cf. 1Ti 6:12 $\alpha \gamma \omega v \iota$ ои $\tau о \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda о \nu \alpha \gamma \omega \nu \alpha$.

For the faith ( $\tau \eta l--\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). Dative of advantage. Here not in the original sense of trust, but rather of the thing believed as in verse 20; Ga 1:23; 3:23; Php 1:27.

Once for all delivered ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \xi \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o \theta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \eta$ ). First aorist passive participle feminine dative singular of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, for which see $2 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 21$. See also $2 \mathrm{Th} 2: 15$; 1 Co 11:2; 1Ti 6:20

Jude 1:4
Are crept in ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \iota \sigma \varepsilon \delta v \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. Second aorist passive indicative of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \iota \sigma \delta v \omega(-v \omega)$, late (Hippocrates, Plutarch, etc.) compound of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ (beside) and $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ (in) and $\delta v \omega$ to sink or plunge, so to slip in secretly as if by a side door, here only in N.T.

Set forth ( $\pi \rho \sigma \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon \nu o$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\pi \rho \sigma \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$, to write of beforehand, for which verb see Ga 3:1; Ro 15:4 .

Unto this condemnation ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о \cup \tau о ~ \tau о ~ к \rho \iota \mu \alpha)$. See 2Pe 2:3 for $\kappa \rho \iota \mu \alpha$ and $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha$. Па入а here apparently alludes to verses 14,15 (Enoch).

Ungodly men ( $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ). Keynote of the Epistle (Mayor), in 15 again as in 2Pe 2:5; 3:7

Turning ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \iota \theta \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, to change, for which verb see Ga 1:6. For the change of "grace" ( $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \tau \alpha)$ into "lasciviousness ( $\varepsilon เ \varsigma ~ \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \gamma \varepsilon \iota \alpha v)$ see 1Pe 2:16; 4:3; 2Pe 2:19; 3:16.

Our only Master and Lord ( $\tau \circ \nu \mu \circ v o v \delta \varepsilon \sigma \pi о \tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \nu \rho \circ v \eta \mu \omega v$ ). For the force of the one article for one person see on $2 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 1$. For $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \pi \mathrm{o} \tau \eta \nu$ of Christ see $2 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 1$.

Denying ( $\alpha \rho v o u \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). So 2Pe 2:1. See also Mt 10:33; 1Ti 5:8; Tit 1:16; 1Jo 2:22 .
Jude 1:5
To put you in remembrance $(v \pi о \mu \nu \eta \sigma \alpha)$. See $2 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 12 v \pi о \mu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \iota \nu$ (present active infinitive there, first aorist active infinitive here).

Though ye know all things once for all ( $\varepsilon$ I $\delta o \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ a \pi \alpha \xi \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha)$. Concessive perfect (sense of present) active participle as in $2 \operatorname{Pe} 1: 12$, but without каı $\kappa \varepsilon \rho$.

The Lord (кирья). Some MSS. add Inбоия. The use of кирьо here is usually understood to mean the Lord Jesus Christ, as Clement of Alex. (Adumbr. p. 133) explains, Ex 23:20, by
 Christ see 1Co 10:4,9; Heb 11:26. Some MSS. here add $\theta \varepsilon$ os instead of Iqoous.

Afterward ( $\tau 0 \delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ ). Adverbial accusative, "the second time." After having saved the people out of Egypt.

Destroyed ( $\alpha \pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi o \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$, old verb, to destroy.
Them that believed not ( $\tau 0 v \varsigma \mu \eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha v \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active articular participle of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \omega$. The reference is to Nu 14:27-37, when all the people rescued from Egypt perished except Caleb and Joshua. This first example by Jude is not in II Peter, but is discussed in 1Co 10:5-11; Heb 3:18-4:2 .

Jude 1:6
And angels ( $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda$ ouc $\delta \varepsilon$ ). The second example in Jude, the fallen angels, accusative case after $\tau \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho \eta \kappa \varepsilon \nu$ (perfect active indicative of $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$, for which verb see $2 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 4,7$ ) at the end of the verse (two emphatic positions, beginning and end of the clause).

Kept not ( $\mu \eta \tau \eta \rho \eta \sigma \alpha v \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle with negative $\mu \eta$, with play on "kept not" and "he hath kept."

Principality ( $\alpha \rho \chi \eta \nu$ ). Literally, "beginning," "rule," (first place of power as in 1Co 15:24; Ro 8:38). In Ac 10:11 it is used for "corners" (beginnings) of the sheet. In Eph 6:12 the word is used for evil angels. See De 32:8. Both Enoch and Philo (and Milton) discuss the fallen angels.

But left ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha \pi о \lambda ı \pi о \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Second aorist active participle of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \varepsilon \imath \pi \omega$, old verb, to leave behind (2Ti 4:13,20).

Their own proper habitation（тo וסเov oıк $\tau \eta \rho ⿺ 𠃊 乛)$ ）．Old word for dwelling－place（from окл $\tau \eta \rho$ ，dweller at home，from оккоৎ），in N．T．only here and 2Co 5：2（the body as the abode of the spirit）．

In everlasting bonds（ $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ \iota \varsigma ~ a ı \delta ı o ı \varsigma) . ~ E i t h e r ~ l o c a t i v e ~(i n) ~ o r ~ i n s t r u m e n t a l ~(b y, ~ w i t h) . ~$ Aı $\delta$ ıoc（from $\alpha \varepsilon$ ，always），old adjective，in N．T．only here and Ro 1：20（of God＇s power and deity）．It is synonymous with aı $\omega v$ loc（Mt 25：46 ）．Mayor terms aıסıoc an Aristotelian word， while aı $\omega$ voos is Platonic．

Under darkness（vто 弓очоv）．See 2Pe 2：4 for ऍочос．In Wisd．17：2 we find $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu ь$ бкотоия（prisoners of darkness）．

Great（ $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \varsigma$ ）．Not in 2Pe 2：9，which see for discussion．
Jude 1：7
Even as $(\omega \varsigma)$ ．Just＂as．＂The third instance（Jude passes by the deluge）in Jude，the cities of the plain．

The cities about them（ $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \cup \tau \alpha \varsigma \pi о \lambda \varepsilon เ \varsigma)$ ．These were also included，Admah and Zeboiim（De 29：23；Ho 11：8）．Zoar，the other city，was spared．

Having given themselves over to fornication（ $\varepsilon к \pi о \rho v \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha)$ ．First aorist active par－ ticiple feminine plural of $\varepsilon \kappa \pi о \rho v \varepsilon \nu \omega$ ，late and rare compound（perfective use of $\varepsilon \kappa$ ，outside the moral law），only here in N．T．，but in LXX（Ge 38：24；Ex 34：15f．，etc．）．Cf．$\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \gamma \varepsilon ı \sigma v$ in verse 4.

Strange flesh（баркоऽ єтєра¢）．Horrible licentiousness，not simply with women not their wives or in other nations，but even unnatural uses（Ro 1：27）for which the very word ＂sodomy＂is used（Ge 19：4－11）．The pronoun etepac（other，strange）is not in 2Pe 2：10 ．

Are set forth（ $\pi \rho \circ \kappa \varepsilon เ \nu \tau \alpha$ ）．Present middle indicative of $\pi \rho о \kappa \varepsilon เ \mu \alpha$ ，old verb，to lie before， as in Heb 12：1f．

As an example $(\delta \varepsilon ı \gamma \mu \alpha)$ ．Predicate nominative of $\delta \varepsilon ı \gamma \mu \alpha$ ，old word（from $\delta \varepsilon ı \kappa v v \mu$ to show），here only in N．T．，sample，specimen．2Pe 2：6 has vтобгı $\gamma \mu \alpha$（pattern）．

Suffering（v $\tau \varepsilon \chi \circ \vee \sigma \alpha)$ ．Present active participle of $\cup \pi \varepsilon \chi \omega$ ，old compound，to hold under， often with $\delta_{\text {ıк }} \nu$（right，justice，sentence 2Th 1：9 ）to suffer sentence（punishment），here only in N．T．
 5：22 ）and also Mt 25：46．Jude has no mention of Lot．

Jude 1：8
Yet（ $\mu \varepsilon v \tau 0$ ）．See Joh 4：27．In spite of these warnings．
In like manner（ $о \mu \circ \omega \varsigma$ ）．Like the cities of the plain．
These also（ка оито）．The false teachers of verse 4.

In their dreamings ( $\varepsilon v v \pi \nu \iota \alpha \zeta o \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present middle participle of $\varepsilon v v \pi \nu \iota \alpha \zeta \omega$, to dream (from $\varepsilon v v \pi \nu$ ov dream, Ac $2: 17$, from $\varepsilon v$ and $v \pi \nu o \varsigma$, in sleep), in Aristotle, Hippocrates, Plutarch, papyri, LXX (Joe 2:28 ), here only in N.T. Cf. Col 2:18 .

Defile ( $\mu \iota \alpha \iota v o v \sigma \iota v$ ). Present active indicative of $\mu \imath v a \iota v \omega$, old verb, to stain, with $\sin$ (Tit 1:15 ) as here. 2Pe 2:10 has $\mu \mathrm{L} \alpha \operatorname{lov}^{2}$.

Set at nought ( $\alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \sigma v \sigma \iota v$ ). Present active indicative of $\alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega$, to annul. Both $\kappa v \rho \iota \tau \tau\rceil$ (dominion) and $\delta \mathrm{o} \xi \alpha$ (dignities) occur in 2Pe 2:10, which see for discussion.

Jude 1:9
Michael the archangel (o Mı $\alpha \propto \lambda$ o $\alpha \rho \chi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda о \varsigma$ ). Michael is mentioned also in Da 10:13,21; 12:1; Re 12:7. A $\chi^{2} \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \lambda$ os in N.T. occurs only here and 1Th 4:16, but in Da 10:13,20; 12:1 .
 $\delta ı \alpha \kappa \rho \iota \nu \omega$, to separate, to strive with as in Ac 11:2 . Dative case $\delta ı \alpha \beta 0 \lambda \omega$.

When he disputed (o ot $\delta \iota \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau о$ ). Imperfect middle of $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \mu \alpha$ as in $\operatorname{Mr} 9: 34$.
Concerning the body of Moses ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ $\tau 0 v \mathrm{M} \omega v \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma$ ). Some refer this to Zec 3:1, others to a rabbinical comment on De 34:6. There is a similar reference to traditions in Ac 7:22; Ga 3:19; Heb 2:2; $2 \mathrm{Ti} 3: 8$. But this explanation hardly meets the facts.

Durst not bring (ovк $\varepsilon \tau о \lambda \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \varepsilon เ v$ ). "Did not dare (first aorist active indicative of $\tau 0 \lambda \mu \alpha \omega$ ), to bring against him" (second aorist active infinitive of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$ ).

A railing accusation ( $\kappa \rho \iota \sigma \iota \nu \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \iota \varsigma)$. "Charge of blasphemy" where $2 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 11$ has " $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu о \nu \kappa \rho \iota \sigma \iota v . "$ Peter also has $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha к \nu \rho ı \omega$ (with the Lord), not in Jude.

The Lord rebuke thee ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \iota \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma 0$ кטрıоৎ). First aorist active optative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \iota \mu \omega$, a wish about the future. These words occur in Zec 3:1-10 where the angel of the Lord replies to the charges of Satan. Clement of Alex. (Adumb. in Ep. Judae) says that Jude quoted here the Assumption of Moses, one of the apocryphal books. Origen says the same thing. Mayor thinks that the author of the Assumption of Moses took these words from Zechariah and put them in the mouth of the Archangel Michael. There is a Latin version of the Assumption. Some date it as early as B.C. 2, others after A.D. 44.

Jude 1:10
Whatsoever things they know not (oбa ouk oı $\delta \alpha \sigma \iota v$ ). Here 2Pe 2:12 has $\varepsilon v$ oıs a $\gamma$ voovoıv. The rest of the sentence is smoother than $2 \mathrm{Pe} 2: 12$.

Naturally ( $\varphi v \sigma \iota \kappa \omega \varsigma)$. Here only in N.T. 2Pe 2:12 has $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \nu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ рvбıка. Jude has the article $\tau \alpha$ with $\alpha \lambda o \gamma \alpha \zeta \omega \alpha$ and the present passive $\varphi \theta \varepsilon \iota \rho o v \tau \alpha$ instead of the future passive $\varphi \theta \alpha \rho \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha$.

Jude 1:11
Woe to them (ova avtors). Interjection with the dative as is common in the Gospels (Mt 11:21).

Went ( $\varepsilon \pi о \rho \varepsilon v \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive (deponent) indicative of $\pi о \rho \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha$.

In the way of Cain ( $\tau \eta \circ \delta \omega \tau o v K \alpha ı$ ). Locative case $o \delta \omega$. Cain is Jude's fourth example. Not in II Peter, but in Heb 11:4; 1Jo 3:11f . From Ge 4:7.

Ran riotously ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \chi \cup \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \chi \varepsilon \omega$, to pour out, "they were poured out," vigorous metaphor for excessive indulgence. But it is used also of God's love for us (Ro 5:5 ).

In the error of Balaam ( $\tau \eta \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \eta \tau o v B \alpha \lambda \alpha \alpha \mu$ ). The fifth example in Jude. In II Peter also (2Pe 2:15 ). Either locative case (in) or instrumental (by). П $\lambda \alpha \nu \eta$ (in Peter also) is the common word for such wandering (Mt 24:4ff., etc.).

Perished ( $\alpha \pi \omega \lambda o v \tau o$ ). Second aorist middle (intransitive) of $\alpha \pi o \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$.
In the gainsaying of Korah ( $\tau \eta \alpha v \tau \iota \lambda о \gamma \iota \alpha \tau 0 v$ Ko $\rho \varepsilon$ ). Again either locative or instrumental. The word $\alpha v \tau i \lambda o \gamma \iota \alpha$ is originally answering back (Heb 6:16 ), but it may be by act also (Ro 10:21) as here. This is the sixth example in Jude, not in II Peter.

Jude 1:12
Hidden rocks ( $\sigma \pi i \lambda \alpha \delta \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Old word for rocks in the sea (covered by the water), as in Homer, here only in N.T. 2Pe 2:13 has $\sigma \pi ı \lambda$ o.

Love-feasts ( $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha ı \varsigma)$. Undoubtedly the correct text here, though A C have atataıs as in 2Pe 2:14. For disorder at the Lord's Supper (and love-feasts?) see 1Co 11:17-34. The Gnostics made it worse, so that the love-feasts were discontinued.

When they feast with you ( $\sigma v v \varepsilon v \omega \chi о v \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). See 2Pe 2:13 for this very word and form. Masculine gender with outo o rather than with the feminine $\sigma \pi \iota \lambda \alpha \delta \varepsilon \varsigma$. Cf. Re 11:4. Construction according to sense.
 Cf. Re 7:17 for this use of $\pi \sigma \mu \alpha \iota v \omega$. Clouds without water ( $v \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \alpha v v \delta \rho o$ ). N $\varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \eta$ common word for cloud (Mt 24:30). 2Pe 2:17 has $\pi \eta \gamma \alpha a v v \delta \rho o$ (springs without water) and then о $\mu \ell \lambda \alpha$ (mists) and $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha v \nu o \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ (driven) rather than $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \varphi \varepsilon \rho о \mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha$ here (borne around, whirled around, present passive participle of $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$ to bear around), a powerful picture of disappointed hopes.

Autumn trees ( $\delta \varepsilon v \delta \rho \alpha \varphi \theta \iota v o \pi \omega \rho ı v \alpha)$. Late adjective (Aristotle, Polybius, Strabo) from $\varphi \theta\llcorner\nu \omega$, to waste away, and $о \pi \omega \rho \alpha$, autumn, here only in N.T. For $\alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \pi \alpha$ (without fruit) see $2 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 8$.

Twice dead ( $\delta \iota \varsigma \pi \pi \theta \alpha v o v \tau \alpha$ ). Second aorist active participle of $\alpha \pi \sigma \theta \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$. Fruitless and having died. Having died and also "uprooted" ( $\varepsilon \kappa \rho!\zeta \omega \theta \varepsilon v \tau \alpha)$. First aorist passive participle of $\varepsilon \kappa \rho \iota \zeta$ ow, late compound, to root out, to pluck up by the roots, as in Mt 13:29.

Jude 1:13
Wild waves ( $\kappa \cup \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha \gamma \rho \iota \alpha)$. Waves (Mt 8:24, from $\kappa v \varepsilon \omega$, to swell) wild (from $\alpha \gamma \rho \circ \varsigma$, field, wild honey Mt 3:4) like untamed animals of the forest or the sea.

Foaming out ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \varphi \rho i \zeta o v \tau \alpha)$. Late and rare present active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \varphi \rho l \zeta \omega$, used in Moschus for the foaming waves as here. Cf. Isa 57:20 .

Shame (aıбұvvac). Plural "shames" (disgraces). Cf. Php 3:19.
Wandering stars ( $\alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \varsigma \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \eta \tau \alpha$ ). "Stars wanderers." П $\pi \alpha \nu \eta \tau \eta \varsigma$, old word (from $\pi \lambda \alpha v a \omega)$, here alone in N.T. Some refer this to comets or shooting stars. See Isa 14:12 for an allusion to Babylon as the day-star who fell through pride.

For ever ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \alpha \iota \omega \vee \alpha$ ). The rest of the relative clause exactly as in 2Pe 2:17.
Jude 1:14
And to these also ( $\delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ тov $о \circ \varsigma)$. Dative case, for these false teachers as well as for his contemporaries.

Enoch the seventh from Adam ( $\varepsilon \beta \delta \circ \mu \circ \varsigma \alpha \pi \sigma \mathrm{A} \delta \alpha \mu \mathrm{H} \varepsilon \nu \omega \chi)$. The genealogical order occurs in Ge 5:4-20, with Enoch as seventh. He is so termed in Enoch 60:8; 93:3.

Prophesied ( $\varepsilon \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon v \omega$. If the word is given its ordinary meaning as in 1Pe 1:10, then Jude terms the Book of Enoch an inspired book. The words quoted are "a combination of passages from Enoch" (Bigg), chiefly from Enoch 1:9.

With ten thousand of his holy ones ( $\varepsilon v$ aүıaıc $\mu v \rho \iota \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \alpha v \tau o v)$. "With ( $\varepsilon v$ of accompaniment, Lu 14:31 ) his holy ten thousands" ( $\mu$ v ten thousand, Ac 19:19, there an unlimited number like our myriads, Lu 12:1).

Jude 1:15
To execute judgment ( $\pi \circ \imath \eta \sigma \alpha$ крıбı). "To do justice."
To convict ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \xi \alpha$ ). First aorist (effective) active infinitive like $\pi$ oı $\eta \sigma \alpha$ before it.
Ungodly ( $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon เ \varsigma)$. See verse 4 and end of this verse.
Of ungodliness ( $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma)$. Old word as in Ro 1:18, plural in Jude 1:18 as in Ro 11:26

Which $(\omega v)$. Genitive by attraction from $\alpha$ (cognate accusative with $\eta \sigma \varepsilon \beta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$, old verb, to act impiously, here alone in N.T. save some MSS. in 2Pe 2:6 ) to agree with the antecedent $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega \nu$ (deeds).

Hard things ( $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega v$ ). Harsh, rough things as in Joh 6:60.
Which $(\omega v)$. Genitive by attraction from $\alpha$ (object of $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha v$, first aorist active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega)$ to the case of the antecedent $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega v$. Four times in this verse as a sort of refrain $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~(t w i c e), ~ \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon ı \alpha \sigma, \eta \sigma \varepsilon \beta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$.

Jude 1:16
Murmurers ( $\gamma 0 \gamma \gamma v \sigma \tau \alpha$ ). Late onomatopoetic word for agent, from $\gamma \circ \gamma \gamma v \zeta \omega$ (Mt 20:11; 1Co 10:10) in the LXX (Ex 16:8; Nu 11:1,14-29).

Complainers ( $\mu \varepsilon \mu \psi \iota \rho \circ \rho о$ ). Rare word (Isocrates, Aristotle, Plutarch) from $\mu \varepsilon \mu \varphi о \mu \alpha$ to complain and $\mu$ oı $\rho$ lot or fate. Here alone in N.T.

Lusts ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \iota \varsigma)$. As in 2Pe 3:3.
Swelling (vлєроүка). So in 2Pe 2:18 (big words).

Showing respect of persons ( $\theta \alpha 0 \mu \alpha \zeta$ оvteऽ $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \pi)$ ). Present active participle of $\theta \alpha v \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$ to admire, to wonder at. Nowhere else in N.T. with $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \alpha$, but a Hebraism (in Le 19:15; Job 13:10) like $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \varepsilon 1 v ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \omega \pi o v ~(L u ~ 20: 21) ~ a n d ~ \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon ו v ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \omega \pi o v ~(M t ~ 22: 16 ~$ ) and $\pi \rho о \sigma о \pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon \mu \pi \tau \varepsilon \omega$ (Jas 2:9). Cf. Jas 2:1 .

For the sake of advantage ( $\omega \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma \chi \alpha \rho ı v$ ). To themselves. See also verse 11. The covetousness of these Gnostic leaders is plainly shown in 2Pe 2:3,14. For $\chi \alpha \rho \circ v$ as preposition with genitive see Eph 3:1,14.

Jude 1:17
Remember ye ( $v \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist passive (deponent) imperative of $\mu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$ with genitive $\rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ (words). In 2Pe 3:2 we have the indirect form (infinitive $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \eta \nu \alpha$ ). The rest as in II Peter, but in simpler and more exact structure and with the absence of $\tau \omega v$ $\alpha \gamma \omega \nu \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \omega \nu$ (the holy prophets).

Jude 1:18
How that (oт). Declarative ot as in verse 5. See discussion of 2Pe 3:3 for differences, no $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \mu \pi \alpha \iota \gamma \mu \circ \nu \eta$ here and no $\tau \omega \nu \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon เ \omega \nu$ there.

Jude 1:19

 rare word, in Aristotle for making logical distinctions, here only in N.T. $\Delta$ ıo $\dagger \zeta \omega$ occurs in


Sensual ( $\psi \cup \chi$ เко). Old adjective from $\psi v \chi \eta$ as in 1Co 2:14; 15:44; Jas 3:15. Opposed to $\pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu a \tau i k o s$. Not used by Peter.
 (present active of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ ). Probably $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ here means the Holy Spirit, as is plain in verse 20. Cf. Ro 8:9 .

Jude 1:20
Building up ( $\varepsilon \pi о ю к о \delta о \mu о и \vee \tau \varepsilon \varsigma) . ~ P r e s e n t ~ a c t i v e ~ p a r t i c i p l e ~ o f ~ \varepsilon \pi о ю к о \delta о \mu \varepsilon \omega, ~ o l d ~ c o m p o u n d ~$ with metaphor of a house (оккоऽ), common in Paul (1Со 3:9-17; Col 2:7; Eph 2:20).

On your most holy faith ( $\tau \eta \alpha \gamma \omega \tau \alpha \tau \eta \nu \mu \omega \nu \pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ). For the spiritual temple see also 1Pe 2:3-5. See $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$ (faith) in this sense (cf. Heb 11:1) in 2Pe 1:5 with the list of graces added. A true superlative here $\alpha \gamma \omega \tau \alpha \tau \eta$, not elative.

Praying in the Holy Spirit ( $\varepsilon v \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \omega \pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon v \chi о \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). This is the way to build themselves up on their faith.

Jude 1:21
Keep yourselves ( $\varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau 0 v \varsigma \tau \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active imperative (of urgency) of $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$. In verse 1 they are said to be kept, but note the warning in verse 5 from the angels who did not keep their dominion. See also Jas 1:27. In Php 2:12 both sides (human responsibility and divine sovereignty are presented side by side).

Looking for ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta \varepsilon \chi о \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Present middle participle of $\pi \rho o \sigma \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, the very form in Tit 2:13. The same idea in $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta$ oк $\omega v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ in 2Pe 3:14.

Jude 1:22
And on some ( $\kappa \alpha$ ov $\mu \varepsilon v$ ). Demonstrative plural of oc $\mu \varepsilon v$--oc $\delta \varepsilon$ (ouc $\delta \varepsilon$, below), not the relative ovc, but by contrast ( $\mu \varepsilon v, \delta \varepsilon$ ). So Mt 13:8 .

Have mercy ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). Present active imperative of $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \omega$ (rare form in Ro 9:16 also for the usual $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega$ Mt 9:27). But A C read $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$, refute, in place of $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \tau \varepsilon$. The text of this verse is in much confusion.

Who are in doubt ( $\delta ı \alpha к \rho ı v o \mu \varepsilon v o v ¢)$. Present middle participle of $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa \rho \imath \omega \omega$, in the accusative case agreeing with ouc $\mu \varepsilon v$, though K L P have the nominative. If the accusative and $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ is read, see Jas 1:6 for the idea (doubters). If $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ is read, see Jude 1:9 for the idea (disputers).

Jude 1:23
And some save (ouc $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \omega \zeta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). B omits ouc $\delta \varepsilon$.
Snatching them out of the fire ( $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \cup \rho о \varsigma ~ \alpha \rho \pi \alpha \zeta о v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma) . ~ P r e s e n t ~ a c t i v e ~ p a r t i c i p l e ~ o f ~$ $\alpha \rho \pi \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb, to seize. Quotation from Am 4:11 and Zec 3:3. Cf. Ps 106:18 . Firemen today literally do this rescue work. Do Christians?

And on some have mercy with fear (ouc $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon v \varphi o \beta \omega$ ). In fear "of the contagion of sin while we are rescuing them" (Vincent). For this idea see 1Pe 1:17; 3:15; 2Co 7:1; Php 2:12.

Spotted ( $\varepsilon \sigma \pi \iota \lambda \omega \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\sigma \pi \iota \lambda o \omega$, late and common verb (from oriloc, spot, 2Pe 2:13 ), in N.T. only here and Jas 3:6.

Jude 1:24
From stumbling ( $\alpha \pi \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \tau 0 u \varsigma)$. Verbal from $\pi \tau \alpha \omega \omega$, to stumble (Jas 3:2; 2Pe 1:10), surefooted as of a horse that does not stumble (Xenophon), and so of a good man (Epictetus, Marcus Antoninus).

Before the presence of his glory ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \omega \tau \iota \circ \tau \tau \varsigma \delta о \xi \eta \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau o v$ ). Late compound preposition ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha, \varepsilon v, \omega \pi \varsigma$ ), right down before the eye of his glory as in Eph 1:4. Cf. Mt 25:31-33; Col 1:22, where Paul has $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha$ like $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha$ here (first aorist active infinitive) and also $\alpha \mu \omega \mu$ ovs as here, but $\alpha \mu \omega \mu \eta \tau$ о in 2Pe 3:14.

In exceeding joy ( $\varepsilon v \alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda ı \alpha \sigma \varepsilon)$. See Lu 1:14.
Jude 1:25
To the only God our Saviour ( $\mu \circ v \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \eta \mu \omega v$ ). Dative in the noble doxology. See Ro 16:27, $\mu \mathbf{\nu} \omega \omega \sigma \circ \varphi \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ (to the alone wise God), where also we have $\delta \iota \alpha$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ X $\rho ı \sigma \tau o v$, but without tov кupıov $\eta \mu \omega v$ (our Lord) as here. $\Sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$ is used of God eight times in the N.T., six of them in the Pastoral Epistles. $\Delta \mathrm{o} \xi \alpha$ (glory) to God or Christ in all the doxologies except 1Ti 6:16. Me $\gamma \alpha \lambda_{\text {oovvv ( }}$ (Majesty) is a late LXX word, in N.T. only here and Heb 1:3; 8:1.

Before all time ( $\pi \rho 0$ таvтоц $\operatorname{\tau ov} \alpha 1 \omega v o \varsigma)$. Eternity behind us. See same idea in 1Co 2:7 $\pi \rho o \tau \omega v \alpha \omega \nu \omega \nu$.

Now (vov). The present.
For ever more ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau o v \varsigma ~ a ı \omega v a \varsigma) . ~ " U n t o ~ a l l ~ t h e ~ a g e s . " ~ A l l ~ t h e ~ f u t u r e . ~ A s ~ c o m p l e t e ~$ a statement of eternity as can be made in human language.

## THE REVELATION OF JOHN

ABOUT A.D. 95

## BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

DIFFICULTY IN THE PROBLEM
Perhaps no single book in the New Testament presents so many and so formidable problems as the Apocalypse of John. These difficulties concern the authorship, the date, the apocalyptic method, the relation to the other Johannine books, the purpose, the historical environment, the reception of the book in the New Testament canon, the use and misuse of the book through the ages, etc. In the eastern churches the recognition of the Apocalypse of John was slower than in the west, since it was not in the Peshitta Syriac Version. Caius of Rome attributed the book to Cerinthus the Gnostic, but he was ably answered by Hippolytus, who attributed it to the Apostle John. The Council of Laodicea (about A.D. 360) omitted it, but the third Council of Carthage (A.D. 397) accepted it. The dispute about millenarianism led Dionysius of Alexandria (middle of the third century, A.D.) to deny the authorship to the Apostle John, though he accepted it as canonical. Eusebius suggested a second John as the author. But finally the book was accepted in the east as Hebrews was in the west after a period of doubt.

## POOR STATE OF THE TEXT

There are only five uncials that give the text of John's Apocalypse (Aleph A C P Q). Of these Aleph belongs to the fourth century, A and C to the fifth, Q (really $\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{~B}$ ending with Heb 9:13, both in the Vatican Library) to the eighth, P to the ninth. Only Aleph A Q (=B2) are complete, C lacking Re 1:1, 3:19-5:14, 7:14-17, 8:5-9:16, 10:10-11:3,14:13-18:2, 19:5-21 , P lacking Re 16:12--17:1, 19:21-20:9,22:6-21 . Both C and P are palimpsests. In the 400 verses of the book "over 1,600 variants have been counted" (Moffatt). Erasmus had only one cursive (of the twelfth century numbered Ir) for his first edition, and the last six verses of the Apocalypse, save verse 20, were a translation from the Vulgate. The result is that the versions are of special importance for the text of the book, since in no single MS. or group of MSS. do we have a fairly accurate text, though Aleph A C and A C Vulgate are the best two groups.

## THE APOCALYPTIC STYLE

The book claims to be an apocalypse ( $\operatorname{Re} 1: 1$ ) and has to be treated as such. It is an unveiling ( $\alpha \pi о к \alpha \lambda \cup \psi \iota \varsigma$, from $\alpha \pi о к \alpha \lambda \cup \pi \tau \omega$ ) or revelation of Jesus Christ, a prophecy, in other words, of a special type, like Ezekiel, Zechariah, and Daniel in the Old Testament. There was a considerable Jewish apocalyptic literature by this time when John wrote, much of it B.C., some of it A.D., like the Book of Enoch, the Apocalypse of Baruch, the Book of Jubilees, the Assumption of Moses, the Psalms of Solomon, the Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs, the Sibylline Oracles, some of them evidently "worked over by Christian hands" (Swete).

Jesus himself used the apocalyptic style at times (Mr 13; Mt 24,25; Lu 21 ). Paul in 1Co 14 spoke of the unpremeditated apocalyptic utterances in the Christian meetings and suggested restraints concerning them. "The Revelation of John is the only written apocalypse, as it is the only written prophecy of the Apostolic age.... The first Christian apocalypse came on the crest of this long wave of apocalyptic effort" (Swete). The reason for this style of writing is usually severe persecution and the desire to deliver a message in symbolic form. The effort of Antiochus Epiphanes, who claimed to be "a god manifest," to hellenize the Jews aroused violent opposition and occasioned many apocalypses to cheer the persecuted Jews.

## EMPEROR WORSHIP AS THE OCCASION FOR JOHN'S <br> APOCALYPSE

There is no doubt at all that the emperor cult (emperor worship) played a main part in the persecution of the Christians that was the occasion for this great Christian apocalypse. The book itself bears ample witness to this fact, if the two beasts refer to the Roman power as the agent of Satan. It is not possible to single out each individual emperor in the graphic picture. Most would take the dragon to be Satan and the first and the second beasts to be the imperial and provincial Roman power. The Roman emperors posed as gods and did the work of Satan. In particular there were two persecuting emperors (Nero and Domitian) who were responsible for many martyrs for Christ. But emperor worship began before Nero. Julius Caesar was worshipped in the provinces. Octavius was called Augustus ( $\Sigma \varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \tau o \varsigma$, Reverend). The crazy Emperor Caius Caligula not simply claimed to be divine, but actually demanded that his statue be set up for worship in the Holy of Holies in the Temple in Jerusalem. He was killed in January A.D. 41 before he could execute his dire purpose. But the madcap Nero likewise demanded worship and blamed in A.D. 64 the burning of Rome on the Christians, though guilty of it himself. He set the style for persecuting Christians, which slumbered on and burst into flames again under Domitian, who had himself commonly termed Dominus ac Deus noster (Our Lord and God). The worship of the emperor did not disturb the worshippers of other gods save the Jews and the Christians, and in particular the Christians were persecuted after the burning of Rome when they were distinguished from the Jews. Up till then Christians were regarded (as by Gallio in Corinth) as a variety of Jews and so entitled to tolerance as a religio licita, but they had no standing in law by themselves and their refusal to worship the emperor early gave offence, as Paul indicates in 1Co 12:3 . It was Kupıoc Inбouc or Kvpıo̧ Kaıбap. On this very issue Polycarp lost his life. The emperors as a rule were tolerant about it, save Nero and Domitian, who was called Nero redivivus, or Nero back again. Trajan in his famous letter to Pliny advised tolerance except in stubborn cases, when the Christians had to be put to death. After Nero it was a crime to be a Christian and all sorts of slanders about them were circulated. We have seen already in 2Th 2:3ff. , the man of sin who sets himself above God as the object of worship. We have
seen also in 1Jo 2:18,22; 4:3; 2Jo 1:7 the term antichrist applied apparently to Gnostic heretics. One may wonder if, as Beckwith argues, in the Apocalypse the man of $\sin$ and the antichrist are united in the beast.

THE AUTHOR
The writer calls himself John (Re 1:1,4,9; 22:8). But what John? The book can hardly be pseudonymous, though, with the exception of the Shepherd of Hermas, that is the rule with apocalypses. There would have been a clearer claim than just the name. The traditional and obvious way to understand the name is the Apostle John, though Dionysius of Alexandria mentions John Mark as held by some and he himself suggests another John, like the socalled Presbyter John of Papias as quoted by Eusebius. The uncertain language of Papias has raised a deal of questioning. Swete thinks that the majority of modern critics ascribe the Apocalypse to this Presbyter John, to whom Moffatt assigns probably II and III John. Irenaeus represents the Apostle John as having lived to the time of Trajan, at least to A.D. 98. Most ancient writers agree with this extreme old age of John. Justin Martyr states expressly that the Apostle John wrote the Apocalypse. Irenaeus called it the work of a disciple of Jesus. In the ninth century lived Georgius Hamartolus, and a MS. of his alleges that Papias says that John the son of Zebedee was beheaded by the Jews and there is an extract in an Oxford MS. of the seventh century which alleges that Papias says John and James were put to death by the Jews. On the basis of this slim evidence some today argue that John did not live to the end of the century and so did not write any of the Johannine books. But a respectable number of modern scholars still hold to the ancient view that the Apocalypse of John is the work of the Apostle and Beloved Disciple, the son of Zebedee.

RELATION TO THE FOURTH GOSPEL
Here scholars divide again. Many who deny the Johannine authorship of the Fourth Gospel and the Epistles accept the apostolic authorship of the Apocalypse, Baur, for instance. Hort, Lightfoot, and Westcott argued for the Johannine authorship on the ground that the Apocalypse was written early (time of Nero or Vespasian) when John did not know Greek so well as when the Epistles and the Gospel were written. There are numerous grammatical laxities in the Apocalypse, termed by Charles a veritable grammar of its own. They are chiefly retention of the nominative case in appositional words or phrases, particularly participles, many of them sheer Hebraisms, many of them clearly intentional (as in Re 1:4), all of them on purpose according to Milligan (Revelation in Schaff's Pop. Comm.) and Heinrici (Der Litterarische Charakter der neutest. Schriften, p. 85). Radermacher (Neutestamentliche Grammatik, p. 3) calls it "the most uncultured literary production that has come down to us from antiquity," and one finds frequent parallels to the linguistic peculiarities in later illiterate papyri. J. H. Moulton (Grammar, Vol. II, Part I, p. 3) says: "Its grammar is perpetually stumbling, its idiom is that of a foreign language, its whole style that of a writer who neither knows nor cares for literary form." But we shall see that the best evidence is for a date in

Domitian's reign and not much later than the Fourth Gospel. It is worth noting that in Ac 4:13 Peter and John are both termed by the Sanhedrin $\alpha \not \rho \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau 0 ~ \kappa \alpha ~ เ \delta เ \omega \tau \alpha$ (unlettered and unofficial men). We have seen the possibility that II Peter represents Peter's real style or at least that of a different amanuensis from Silvanus in 1Pe 5:12. It seems clear that the Fourth Gospel underwent careful scrutiny and possibly by the elders in Ephesus (Joh 21:24 ). If John wrote the Apocalypse while in Patmos and so away from Ephesus, it seems quite possible that here we have John's own uncorrected style more than in the Gospel and Epistles. There is also the added consideration that the excitement of the visions played a part along with a certain element of intentional variations from normal grammatical sequence. An old man's excitement would bring back his early style. There are numerous coincidences in vocabulary and style between the Fourth Gospel and the Apocalypse.

THE UNITY OF THE APOCALYPSE
Repeated efforts have been made to show that the Apocalypse of John is not the work of one man, but a series of Jewish and Christian apocalypses pieced together in a more or less bungling fashion. Spitta argued for this in 1889. Vischer was followed by Harnack in the view there was a Jewish apocalypse worked over by a Christian. Gunkel (Creation and Chaos, 1895) argued for a secret apocalyptic tradition of Babylonian origin. In 1904 J. Weiss carried on the argument for sources behind the Apocalypse. Many of the Jewish apocalypses do show composite authorship. There was a current eschatology which may have been drawn on without its being a written source. It is in chapter Re 12 where the supposed Jewish source is urged more vigorously about the woman, the dragon, and the man child. There are no differences in language (vocabulary or grammar) that argue for varied sources. The author may indeed make use of events in the reign of Nero as well as in the reign of Domitian, but the essential unity of the book has stood the test of the keenest criticism.

THE DATE
There are two chief theories, the Neronic, soon after Nero's death, the other in the reign of Domitian. Irenaeus is quoted by Eusebius as saying expressly that the Apocalypse of John was written at the close of the reign of Domitian. This testimony is concurred in by Clement of Alexandria, by Origen, by Eusebius, by Jerome. In harmony with this clear testimony the severity of the persecutions suit the later date better than the earlier one. There is, besides, in Re 17:11f. an apparent reference to the story that Nero would return again. The fifth king who is one of the seven is an eighth. There was a Nero legend, to be sure, that Nero either was not dead but was in Parthia, or would be redivivus after death. Juvenal termed Domitian "a bald Nero" and others called Domitian "a second Nero." But in spite of all this Hort, Lightfoot, Sanday, Westcott have argued strongly for the Neronic era. Peake is willing to admit allusions to the Neronic period as Swete is also, but both consider the Domitianic date the best supported. Moffatt considers any earlier date than Domitian "almost impossible."

THE VISIONS

No theory of authorship, sources, or date should ignore the fact that the author claims to have had a series of visions in Patmos. It does not follow that he wrote them down at once and without reflection, but it seems hardly congruous to think that he waited till he had returned from exile in Patmos to Ephesus before writing them out. In fact, there is a note of sustained excitement all through the book, combined with high literary skill in the structure of the book in spite of the numerous grammatical lapses. The series of sevens bear a relation to one another, but more in the fashion of a kaleidoscope than of a chronological panorama. And yet there is progress and power in the arrangement and the total effect. There is constant use of Old Testament language and imagery, almost a mosaic, but without a single formal quotation. There is constant repetition of words and phrases in true Johannine style. Each of the messages to the seven churches picks out a metaphor in the first picture of Christ in chapter I and there are frequent other allusions to the language in this picture. In fact there is genuine artistic skill in the structure of the book, in spite of the deflections from ordinary linguistic standards. In the visions and all through the book there is constant use of symbols, as is the fashion in apocalypses like the beasts, the scorpions, the horses, etc. These symbols probably were understood by the first readers of the book, though the key to them is lost to us. Even the numbers in the book ( $31 / 2,7,3,4,12,24,1000$ ) cannot be pressed, though some do so. Even Harnack called the Apocalypse the plainest book in the New Testament, by using Harnack's key for the symbols.

## THEORIES OF INTERPRETATION

They are literally many. There are those who make the book a chart of Christian and even of human history even to the end. These divide into two groups, the continuous and the synchronous. The continuous historical theory takes each vision and symbol in succession as an unfolding panorama. Under the influence of this theory there have been all sorts of fantastic identifications of men and events. The synchronous theory takes the series of sevens (seals, trumpets, bowls) as parallel with each other, each time going up to the end. But in neither case can any satisfactory program be arranged. Another historical interpretation takes it all as over and done, the preterist theory. This theory again breaks into two, one finding the fulfilment all in the Neronic period, the other in the Domitianic era. Something can be said for each view, but neither satisfies the whole picture by any means. Roman Catholic scholars have been fond of the preterist view to escape the Protestant interpretation of the second beast in chapter Re 13 as papal Rome. There is still another interpretation, the futurist, which keeps the fulfilment all in the future and which can be neither proved nor disproved. There is also the purely spiritual theory which finds no historical allusion anywhere. This again can be neither proved nor disproved. One of the lines of cleavage is the millennium in chapter Re 20 . Those who take the thousand years literally are either pre-millennialists who look for the second coming of Christ to be followed by a thousand years of personal reign here on earth or the postmillennialists who place the thousand years
before the second coming. There are others who turn to $2 \mathrm{Pe} 3: 8$ and wonder if, after all, in a book of symbols this thousand years has any numerical value at all. There seems abundant evidence to believe that this apocalypse, written during the stress and storm of Domitian's persecution, was intended to cheer the persecuted Christians with a view of certain victory at last, but with no scheme of history in view.

## A PRACTICAL PURPOSE

So considered, this vision of the Reigning Christ in heaven with a constant eye on the suffering saints and martyrs is a guarantee of certain triumph in heaven and ultimate triumph on earth. The picture of Christ in heaven is a glorious one. He is the Lamb that was slain, the Lion of the tribe of Judah, the Word of God, the Victor over his enemies, worshipped in heaven like the Father, the Light and Life of men. Instead of trying to fit the various symbols on particular individuals one will do better to see the same application to times of persecution from time to time through the ages. The same Christ who was the Captain of salvation in the time of Domitian is the Pioneer and Perfecter of our faith today. The Apocalypse of John gives glimpses of heaven as well as of hell. Hope is the word that it brings to God's people at all times.

THE READERS OF THE BOOK
The whole book is sent to the seven churches in Asia (Re 1:4). There is a special message to each of the seven (chapters Re 2;3), suited to the peculiar needs of each church and with a direct reference to the geography and history of each church and city, so Ramsay holds (The Letters to the Seven Churches). The book is to be read aloud in each church ( 1:3). One can imagine the intense interest that the book would arouse in each church. Children are charmed to hear the Apocalypse read. They do not understand the symbols, but they see the pictures in the unfolding panorama. There were other churches in the Province of Asia besides these seven, but these form a circle from Ephesus where John had lived and wrought. They do present a variety of churches, not necessarily all types, and by no means a chart of seven dispensations of Christian history.
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## Revelation 1

Rev 1:1
The Revelation ( $\alpha \pi о к \alpha \lambda \nu \psi ı$ ). Late and rare word outside of N.T. (once in Plutarch and so in the vernacular Koine), only once in the Gospels (Lu 2:32), but in LXX and common in the Epistles (2Th 1:7), though only here in this book besides the title, from $\alpha \pi о к \alpha \lambda \nu \pi \tau \omega$, old verb, to uncover, to unveil. In the Epistles $\alpha \pi о \kappa \alpha \lambda \cup \psi i \varsigma$ is used for insight into truth (Eph 1:17) or for the revelation of God or Christ at the second coming of Christ (2Th 1:7; 1Pe 1:7). It is interesting to compare $\alpha \pi о к \alpha \lambda \nu \psi \iota \varsigma ~ w i t h ~ \varepsilon \pi ı \varphi \alpha v \varepsilon ı \alpha ~(2 T h ~ 2: 8) ~ a n d ~ \varphi a v \varepsilon \rho \omega \sigma ı \varsigma ~(1 C o ~$ 12:7). The precise meaning here turns on the genitive following.

Of Jesus Christ (I $\eta$ бov Xpıбтov). Hort takes it as objective genitive (revelation about Jesus Christ), but Swete rightly argues for the subjective genitive because of the next clause.

Gave him ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ avto). It is the Son who received the revelation from the Father, as is usual (Joh 5:20f.,26 , etc.).

To shew ( $\delta \varepsilon ı \xi \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive of $\delta \varepsilon ו \kappa v v \mu$, purpose of God in giving the revelation to Christ.

Unto his servants ( $\tau o \iota \varsigma \delta o u \lambda o ı \varsigma ~ a u \tau o v) . ~ B e l i e v e r s ~ i n ~ g e n e r a l ~ a n d ~ n o t ~ j u s t ~ t o ~ o f f i c i a l s . ~$ Dative case. God's servants (or Christ's).

Must shortly come to pass ( $\delta \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \varepsilon v \tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist middle infinitive of $\gamma ı v o \mu \alpha$ with $\delta \varepsilon$. See this same adjunct ( $\varepsilon v \tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon$ ) in $\operatorname{Lu} 18: 8 ;$ Ro 16:20; Re 22:6 . It is a relative term to be judged in the light of $2 \mathrm{Pe} 3: 8$ according to God's clock, not ours. And yet undoubtedly the hopes of the early Christians looked for a speedy return of the Lord Jesus. This vivid panorama must be read in the light of that glorious hope and of the blazing fires of persecution from Rome.

Sent and signified ( $\varepsilon \sigma \eta \mu \alpha v \varepsilon v$ a $\quad$ оот $\varepsilon \lambda \lambda \alpha \varsigma)$. "Having sent (first aorist active participle of $\alpha \pi \operatorname{o\sigma \tau } \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, Mt 10:16 and again in Re 22:6 of God sending his angel) signified" (first aorist active indicative of $\sigma \eta \mu a \imath \omega \omega$, from $\sigma \eta \mu \alpha$, sign or token, for which see Joh 12:33; Ac 11:28). See 12:1 for $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon ı \sigma$, though $\sigma \eta \mu a ı \omega \omega$ (only here in the Apocalypse) suits admirably the symbolic character of the book.

By his angel ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ тov $a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda$ ov autov). Christ's angel as Christ is the subject of the verb $\varepsilon \sigma \eta \mu a v \varepsilon v$, as in 22:16 Christ sends his angel, though in 22:6 God sends.

Unto his servant John ( $\tau \omega \delta o u \lambda \omega$ av $o v \mathrm{I} \omega a v \varepsilon$ ). Dative case. John gives his name here, though not in Gospel or Epistles, because "prophecy requires the guarantee of the individual who is inspired to utter it" (Milligan). "The genesis of the Apocalypse has now been traced from its origin in the Mind of God to the moment when it reached its human interpreter" (Swete). "Jesus is the medium of all revelation" (Moffatt).

Rev 1:2

Bare witness ( $\varepsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon \omega$, which, along with $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \varsigma$ and $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho t \alpha$, is common in all the Johannine books (cf. 22:18,20 ), usually with $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ or o $\tau$, but with cognate accusative as here in 22:16,20; 1Jo 5:10. Epistolary aorist here, referring to this book.

The word of God ( $\tau 0 v \lambda o \gamma o v$ qov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Subjective genitive, given by God. The prophetic word as in 1:9; 6:9; 20:4, not the personal Word as in 19:14.

The testimony of Jesus Christ ( $\tau \eta \nu \mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho ı a v$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \sigma v$ ). Subjective genitive again, borne witness to by Jesus Christ.

Even of all the things that he saw (oбa $\varepsilon \ell \delta \varepsilon v$ ). Relative clause in apposition with $\lambda o \gamma o v$


Rev 1:3
Blessed ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \circ \varsigma)$ ) As in Mt 5:3f. . This endorses the book as a whole.
He that readeth (o $\alpha v \alpha \gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega v$ ). Present active singular articular participle of avaүıv $\omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ (as in Lu 4:16 ). Christians in their public worship followed the Jewish custom of public reading of the Scriptures (2Co 3:14f. ). The church reader ( $\alpha v \alpha \gamma v \omega \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma$, lector) gradually acquired an official position. John expects this book to be read in each of the seven churches mentioned ( $1: 4$ ) and elsewhere. Today the public reading of the Bible is an important part of worship that is often poorly done.

They that hear (o aкоvovte¢). Present active plural articular participle of aкоvف (the audience).

And keep ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \rho о \nu \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$, a common Johannine word (1Jo 2:4, etc.). Cf. Mt 7:24. "The content of the Apocalypse is not merely prediction; moral counsel and religious instruction are the primary burdens of its pages" (Moffatt).

Written ( $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$.
For the time is at hand (о $\gamma \alpha \rho$ каı $\rho о \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \gamma \cup \varsigma)$. Reason for listening and keeping. On кaıpos see Mt 12:1, time of crisis as in 1Co 7:29. How near $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \cup \varsigma$ (at hand) is we do not know any more than we do about $\varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon$ (shortly) in 1:1.

Rev 1:4
To the seven churches which are in Asia ( $\tau \alpha \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha \iota \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta$ A $\varnothing \iota \alpha$ ). Dative case as in a letter (Ga 1:1). John is writing, but the revelation is from God and Christ through an angel. It is the Roman province of Asia which included the western part of Phrygia. There were churches also at Troas (Ac 20:5ff.) and at Colossal and Hierapolis (Col 1:1; 2:1; 4:13 ) and possibly at Magnesia and Tralles. But these seven were the best points of communication with seven districts (Ramsay) and, besides, seven is a favorite number of completion (like the full week) in the book ( $1: 4,12,16 ; 4: 5 ; 5: 1,6 ; 8: 2 ; 10: 3 ; 11: 13 ; 12: 3 ; 13: 1 ; 14: 6 f$. $)$.

From him which is ( $\alpha \pi \mathrm{o} o \omega v$ ). This use of the articular nominative participle of $\varepsilon \mu$ after $\alpha \pi 0$ instead of the ablative is not due to ignorance or a mere slip ( $\lambda \alpha \psi v \varsigma \pi \varepsilon v v a \varepsilon$ ), for in the next line we have the regular idiom with $\alpha \pi 0 \tau \omega v \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu$. It is evidently on
purpose to call attention to the eternity and unchangeableness of God. Used of God in Ex 3:14.

And which was ( $\kappa \alpha \circ \eta \nu$ ). Here again there is a deliberate change from the articular participle to the relative use of o (used in place of os to preserve identity of form in the three instances like Ionic relative and since no aorist participle of $\varepsilon \mu$ existed). The oracle in Pausanias X. 12 has it: Zعvc $\eta \nu$, Zevc $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota, ~ Z \varepsilon v \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha ~(Z e u s ~ w a s, ~ Z e u s ~ i s, ~ Z e u s ~ w i l l ~ b e) . ~$.

Which is to come (o $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. "The Coming One," futuristic use of the present par-
 in 11:17; 16:5.

From the seven spirits ( $\alpha \pi 0 \tau \omega v \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). A difficult symbolic representation of the Holy Spirit here on a par with God and Christ, a conclusion borne out by the symbolic use of the seven spirits in 3:1; 4:5; $5: 6$ (from Zec 4:2-10). There is the one Holy Spirit with seven manifestations here to the seven churches (Swete, The Holy Spirit in the N.T., p. 374), unity in diversity ( 1 Co 12:4).

Which are ( $\tau \omega v$ article Aleph A, a relative P ).
Before his throne ( $\varepsilon v \omega \pi \iota \circ v$ tov $\theta$ povov avtov). As in $4: 5 f$.
Rev 1:5
 in apposition like $\pi \rho \omega \tau о \tau о \kappa о \varsigma$ and $\alpha \rho \chi \omega v$ with the preceding ablative I I $\sigma$ ov X Xıбтоv with a $\pi \mathbf{0}$, a habit of John in this book (apparently on purpose) as in 2:13,20; $3: 12$, etc. See this same phrase in $2: 13 ; 3: 14$. The use of $\mu$ aptuc of Jesus here is probably to the witness ( $1: 1$ ) in this book (22:16f. ), not to the witness of Jesus before Pilate (1Ti 6:13).

The first-born of the dead (o $\pi \rho \omega \tau о \tau о к о \varsigma \tau \omega v \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega v$ ). A Jewish Messianic title (Ps 88:28) and as in Col 1:18 refers to priority in the resurrection to be followed by others. See Lu 2:7 for the word.

The ruler of the kings of the earth (o $\alpha \rho \chi \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu \beta \alpha \sigma \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \omega \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. Jesus by his resurrection won lordship over the kings of earth (17:14; 19:16), what the devil offered him by surrender (Mt 4:8f. ).

Unto him that loveth us ( $\tau \omega \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \omega \nu \tau \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma$ ). Dative of the articular present (not aorist $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau)$ active participle of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$ in a doxology to Christ, the first of many others to God and to Christ ( $1: 6 ; 4: 11 ; 5: 9,12$ f.; 7:10,12 , etc.). For the thought see Joh 3:16 .

Loosed ( $\lambda v \sigma \alpha v \tau)$. First aorist active participle of $\lambda v \omega$ (Aleph A C), though some MSS. (P Q) read $\lambda_{\text {ovo } \alpha v \tau}$ (washed), a manifest correction. Note the change of tense. Christ loosed us once for all, but loves us always.

By his blood ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega$ aı$\mu \alpha \tau \alpha \cup \tau o v$ ). As in 5:9. John here as in the Gospel and Epistles states plainly and repeatedly the place of the blood of Christ in the work of redemption.

Rev 1:6

And he made ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi \sigma \sqcap \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Change from the participle construction, which would be ка $\pi о \not \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau$ (first aorist active of $\pi о เ \varepsilon \omega$ ) like $\lambda \nu \sigma \alpha \nu \tau$ just before, a Hebraism Charles calls it, but certainly an anacoluthon of which John is very fond, as in 1:18; 2:2,9,20; 3:9; 7:14; 14:2f.; 15:3.

Kingdom ( $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ ). So correctly Aleph A C, not $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \iota \zeta$ (P cursives). Perhaps a reminiscence of Ex 19:6 , a kingdom of priests. In 5:10 we have again "a kingdom and priests." The idea here is that Christians are the true spiritual Israel in God's promise to Abraham as explained by Paul in Ga 3; Ro 9 .

To be priests (ıعрєıc). In apposition with $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon ı \alpha v$, but with $\kappa \alpha$ (and) in 5:10. Each member of this true kingdom is a priest unto God, with direct access to him at all times.

Unto his God and Father ( $\tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega \kappa \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \rho$ autov). Dative case and autov (Christ) applies to both $\theta \varepsilon \omega$ and $\pi \alpha \tau \rho$. Jesus spoke of the Father as his God (Mt 27:46; Joh 20:17) and Paul uses like language (Eph 1:17), as does Peter (1Pe 1:3).

To him ( $\alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). Another doxology to Christ. "The adoration of Christ which vibrates in this doxology is one of the most impressive features of the book" (Moffatt). Like doxologies to Christ appear in 5:13; 7:10; $1 \mathrm{Pe} 4: 11 ; 2 \mathrm{Pe} 3: 18 ; 2 \mathrm{Ti} 4: 18$; He 13:21. These same words ( $\eta$ ঠо $\xi_{\alpha} \kappa \alpha$ то кратоऽ) in $1 \mathrm{Pe} 4: 11$, only $\eta \delta$ о $\xi \alpha$ in $2 \mathrm{Pe} 3: 18$; $2 \mathrm{Ti} 4: 18$, but with several others in Re 5:13; 7:10.

## Rev 1:7

Behold, he cometh with the clouds (ı $\delta o v \varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \omega v \nu \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \omega v$ ). Futuristic present middle indicative of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, a reminiscence of Da 7:13 (Theodotion). "It becomes a common eschatological refrain" (Beckwith) as in Mr 13:26; 14:62; Mt 24:30; 26:64; Lu 21:27. Compare the manifestation of God in the clouds at Sinai, in the cloudy pillar, the Shekinah, at the transfiguration" (Vincent).

Shall see ( $\mathbf{o} \psi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Future middle of opa $\omega$, a reminiscence of Zec 12:10 according to the text of Theodotion (Aquila and Symmachus) rather than the LXX and like that of Mt 24:30 (similar combination of Daniel and Zechariah) and 26:64. This picture of the victorious Christ in his return occurs also in 14:14, 18-20; 19:11-21; 20:7-10 .

And they which ( $\kappa \alpha$ oıtıv६ऽ). "And the very ones who," Romans and Jews, all who shared in this act.

Pierced ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon v \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \omega$, late compound (Aristotle, Polybius, LXX), from $\varepsilon \kappa$ and $\kappa \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \omega$ (to stab, to pierce), in N.T., only here and Joh 19:37, in both cases from Zec 12:10, but not the LXX text (apparently proof that John used the original Hebrew or the translation of Theodotion and Aquila).

Shall mourn (кочоvта). Future middle (direct) of колт $\omega$, old verb, to cut, "they shall cut themselves," as was common for mourners (Mt 11:17; Lu 8:52; 23:27). From Zec 12:12 . See also Re 18:9.

Tribes $(\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha)$. Not just the Jewish tribes, but the spiritual Israel of Jews and Gentiles as in 7:4-8. No nation had then accepted Christ as Lord and Saviour, nor has any yet done so.

## Rev 1:8

The Alpha and the Omega ( то $A \lambda \varphi \alpha \kappa \alpha$ то O). The first and the last letters of the Greek alphabet, each with its own neuter (grammatical gender) article. This description of the eternity of God recurs in 21:6 with the added explanation $\eta \alpha \rho \chi \eta$ ка тo $\tau \varepsilon \lambda$ оऽ (the Beginning and the End) and of Christ in 22:13 with the still further explanation о $\pi \rho \omega \tau о \varsigma \kappa \alpha$ о $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau \circ$, (the First and the Last). This last phrase appears also in $1: 17 ; 2: 8$ without то $\mathrm{A} \lambda \varphi \alpha \kappa \alpha$ то O . The change of speaker here is unannounced, as in 16:15; 18:20. Only here and 21:5f . is God introduced as the speaker. The eternity of God guarantees the prophecy just made.

The Lord God (Kvpıos o $\theta \varepsilon$ оऽ). "The Lord the God." Common phrase in Ezekiel (Eze $6: 3,11 ; 7: 2$, etc.) and in this book $(4: 8 ; 11: 17 ; 15: 3 ; 16: 7 ; 19: 6 ; 21: 22)$. See $1: 4 ; 4: 8$ for the triple use of $o$, etc. to express the eternity of God.

The Almighty ( $о \pi \alpha \nu \tau о \kappa \rho a \tau \omega \rho$ ). Late compound ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma$ and $\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$ ), in Cretan inscription and a legal papyrus, common in LXX and Christian papyri, in N.T. only in 2Co 6:18 (from Jer 38:35 ) and Re 1:8; 4:8; 11:17; 15:3; 16:7,14; 19:6,15; 21:22 .

Rev 1:9
I John (E $\gamma \omega \mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \nu \eta \varsigma)$. So 22:8. In apocalyptic literature the personality of the writer is always prominent to guarantee the visions ( $\mathrm{Da} 8: 1 ; 10: 2$ ).

Partaker with you ( $\sigma v \nu \kappa о \iota \omega \nu \circ$ ). See already 1Co 9:23. "Co-partner with you" (Ro 11:17 ). One article with $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi о \varsigma$ and $\sigma u v \kappa o \iota \nu \omega \nu \circ \varsigma$ unifying the picture. The absence of a $о \sigma \tau о \lambda$ о , here does not show that he is not an apostle, but merely his self-effacement, as in the Fourth Gospel, and still more his oneness with his readers. So there is only one article ( $\tau \eta$ ) with $\theta \lambda ı \psi \varepsilon$ (tribulation), $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ (kingdom), v $\pi о \mu \circ v \eta$ (patience), ideas running all through the book. Both the tribulation (see Mt 13:21 for $\theta \lambda เ \psi \iota \varsigma)$ and the kingdom (see Mt 3:2 for $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ ) were present realities and called for patience ( $v \pi о \mu о v \eta$ being "the spiritual alchemy" according to Charles for those in the kingdom, for which see Lu 8:15; Jas 5:7 ). All this is possible only "in Jesus" ( $\varepsilon v$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ), a phrase on a par with Paul's common $\varepsilon v$ X $\rho เ \sigma \tau \omega$ (in Christ), repeated in 14:13. Cf. 3:20; 2Th 3:5 .

Was $(\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \nu \circ \mu \eta v)$. Rather, "I came to be," second aorist middle indicative of $\gamma \iota v o \mu \alpha$.
In the isle that is called Patmos ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \nu \eta \sigma \omega \tau \eta \kappa \alpha \lambda o v \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \Pi \alpha \tau \mu \omega$ ). Patmos is a rocky sparsely settled island some ten miles long and half that wide, one of the Sporades group in the Aegean Sea, south of Miletus. The present condition of the island is well described by W. E. Geil in The Isle That Is Called Patmos (1905). Here John saw the visions described in the book, apparently written while still a prisoner there in exile.

For the word of God and the testimony of Jesus ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ $\tau 0 v \lambda o \gamma o v \tau o v ~ \theta \varepsilon o v \kappa \alpha \tau \eta v$ $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho ı \alpha v$ I $\eta \sigma o v)$. The reason for ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ and the accusative) John's presence in Patmos, nat-
urally as a result of persecution already alluded to, not for the purpose of preaching there or of receiving the visions. See verse 2 for the phrase.

Rev 1:10
I was in the Spirit ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \eta v \varepsilon v \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau)$. Rather, "I came to be (as in 1:9) in the Spirit," came into an ecstatic condition as in Ac 10:10f.; 22:17, not the normal spiritual condition ( $\varepsilon เ v \alpha \varepsilon \nu \pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau$, Ro 8:9).

On the Lord's Day ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \kappa \cup \rho \iota \alpha \kappa \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha)$. Deissmann has proven (Bible Studies, p. 217f.; Light, etc., p. 357ff.) from inscriptions and papyri that the word кupıaкоৎ was in common use for the sense "imperial" as imperial finance and imperial treasury and from papyri and ostraca that $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \sum \varepsilon \beta a \sigma \tau \eta$ (Augustus Day) was the first day of each month, Emperor's Day on which money payments were made (cf. 1Co 16:1f. ). It was easy, therefore, for the Christians to take this term, already in use, and apply it to the first day of the week in honour of the Lord Jesus Christ's resurrection on that day (Didache 14, Ignatius Magn. 9). In the N.T. the word occurs only here and 1Co 11:20 (кирькоь $\delta \varepsilon ı \pi v о \nu ~ \theta \varepsilon ~ \Lambda о \rho \delta ' \varsigma ~$ $\Sigma \cup \pi \pi \varepsilon \rho$ ). It has no reference to $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ кироov (the day of judgment, $2 \mathrm{Pe} 3: 10$ ).

Behind me (o $\boldsymbol{\iota} \sigma \omega \mu \circ v$ ). "The unexpected, overpowering entrance of the divine voice" (Vincent). Cf. Eze 3:12 .

Voice ( $\varphi \omega \nu \eta \nu$ ). Of Christ, as is plain in verses 12 f .
As of a trumpet ( $\omega \varsigma \sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \downarrow \gamma \gamma \circ \varsigma)$. So in 4:1 referring to this.
Saying ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \sigma \eta \varsigma)$. Present active participle genitive case agreeing with $\sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \gamma \circ \varsigma$ rather than $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma o v \sigma \alpha \nu$, accusative agreeing with $\varphi \omega \nu \eta \nu$. So on purpose, as is clear from 4:1, where $\lambda \alpha \lambda$ ouø $\varsigma$ also agrees with $\sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \gamma o \varsigma$.

Rev 1:11
Write in a book ( $\gamma \rho \alpha \psi o v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota v$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$ for instantaneous action. The commission covers the whole series of visions which all grow out of this first vision of the Risen Christ.

Send ( $\pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \sigma v$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega$. Part of the commission from Christ. The names of the seven churches of 1:4 are now given, and the particular message to each church comes in chapters 2 and 3 and in the same order, the geographical order going north from Ephesus, then east and south to Laodicea. But apparently the whole book was to be read to each of the seven churches. It would probably also be copied at each church.

Rev 1:12
To see the voice ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \nu \tau \eta \nu \varphi \omega v \eta \nu$ ). The voice put for the person speaking.
Having turned ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega$, from which also $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha$, just before, for which verb see Ac 15:36; 16:18 .

Seven golden candlesticks ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \lambda \nu \chi \nu i \alpha \varsigma ~ \chi \rho v \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. See Mt 5:15 for $\lambda \nu \chi v i \alpha$ (lampstand). Symbols of the seven churches as explained in verse 20. See Ex 25:35ff. for description of a seven-branched candlestick, but here the lampstands are separate.

Rev 1:13
One like unto a son of man (o $\mu \circ \circ v v \operatorname{vov} \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ ). Note accusative here with ouotov (object of $\varepsilon \varepsilon \delta o v$ ) as in $14: 14$ and not the associative-as is usual ( $1: 15 ; 4: 3,6$ ). Charles holds that ouotov here has the sense of $\omega \varsigma$ (as) and compares $4: 6 ; 22: 1$ for proof. The absence of the article here shows also (Charles) that the idea is not "like the Son of man" for Christ is the Son of man. He is like "a son of man," but not a man.

Clothed ( $\varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \delta v \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. Perfect passive participle of $\varepsilon v \delta v \omega$, accusative case agreeing with ouotov.

A garment down to the foot $(\pi o \delta \eta \rho \eta)$. Old adjective $\pi o \delta \eta \rho \eta \varsigma$ (from $\pi \sigma \cup \varsigma$, foot, and $\alpha \iota \omega)$, here only in N.T., accusative singular retained with the passive participle as often with verbs of clothing. Supply $\chi \iota \tau \omega v \alpha$ or $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \eta \tau \alpha$ (garment).

Girt about ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \varepsilon \zeta \omega \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \sigma \nu)$. Perfect passive participle of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta \omega \nu \nu \nu$, accusative singular agreeing with ouotov.

At the breasts ( $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau<\iota \mu \alpha \sigma \tau o \iota \varsigma)$. Old word for breasts of a woman (Lu 11:27; 23:29 ) and nipples of a man, as here. High girding like this was a mark of dignity as of the high priest (Josephus, Ant. III. 7. 2). For $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ with the locative see $\operatorname{Mr} 5: 11$.

With a golden girdle $(\zeta \omega v \eta \nu \chi \rho v \sigma \alpha \nu)$. Accusative case again retained with the passive participle (verb of clothing). Note also $\chi \rho v \sigma a v$ (vernacular Koine) rather than the old form, $\chi \rho \cup \sigma \eta v$.

## Rev 1:14

As white wool ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \rho \circ \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \frac{v}{}$ ). Epıov (wool) in N.T. only here and Heb 9:19, though old word. The person of the Lord Jesus is here described in language largely from Da 7:9 (the Ancient of Days).

White as snow ( $\omega \varsigma \chi \iota \omega \nu$ ). Just "as snow," also in Da 7:9. In N.T. only here and Mt 28:3

As a flame of fire ( $\left.\omega \varsigma \varphi \lambda_{\circ} \xi \pi \nu \rho \circ \varsigma\right)$. In Da 7:9 the throne of the Ancient of Days is $\varphi \lambda_{\circ} \xi$ $\pi \cup \rho o \varsigma$, while in $\mathrm{Da} 10: 6$ the eyes of the Ancient of Days are $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \alpha \delta \varepsilon \varsigma \pi \nu \rho o \varsigma$ (lamps of fire). See also 2:18; 19:12 for this bold metaphor (like Heb 1:7).

Rev 1:15
Burnished brass ( $\chi \alpha \lambda \kappa о \lambda \iota \beta \nu \omega)$. Associative-instrumental case after o $\mu \circ$ oto. This word has so far been found nowhere else save here and 2:18. Suidas defines it as an $\eta \lambda \varepsilon \chi \kappa \tau \rho o v$ (amber) or a compound of copper and gold and silver (aurichalcum in the Latin Vulgate). It is in reality an unknown metal.

As if it had been refined ( $\omega \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \pi \nu \rho \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \varsigma$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\pi v \rho o \omega$, old verb, to set on fire, to glow, as in Eph 6:16; Re 3:18. The feminine gender shows that $\eta$ $\chi \alpha \lambda \kappa о \lambda_{\iota} \beta \alpha \nu о \varsigma$ is referred to with $\tau \eta \varsigma \chi \alpha \lambda \kappa о \lambda_{\iota} \beta \alpha v o v$ understood, for it does not agree in case with the associative-instrumental $\chi \alpha \lambda_{\kappa} \lambda_{ı} \beta \alpha \nu \omega$ just before. Some would call it a slip for $\pi \varepsilon \pi v \rho о \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega$ as Aleph, and some cursives have it (taking $\chi \alpha \lambda \kappa о \lambda ı \beta \alpha \nu \omega$ to be neuter, not
feminine). But P Q read $\pi \varepsilon \pi \nu \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon v o$ (masculine plural), a correction, making it agree in number and gender with $\pi$ по $\delta \varepsilon \varsigma$ (feet).

In a furnace ( $\varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \mu \nu \omega)$. Old word, in N.T. also 9:2; Mt 13:42,50.
As the voice of many waters ( $\omega \varsigma \varphi \omega v \eta \nu \delta \alpha \tau \omega v \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \omega v$ ). So the voice of God in the Hebrew (not the LXX) of Eze 43:2 . Repeated in 14:2; 19:6 .

Rev 1:16
And he had ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \chi \omega v$ ). "And having," present active participle of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, loose use of the participle (almost like $\varepsilon \not \chi \varepsilon$, imperfect) and not in agreement with avtov, genitive case. This is a common idiom in the book; a Hebraism, Charles calls it.

In his right hand ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \delta \varepsilon \xi \not \propto \chi \varepsilon ı \rho$ ). For safe keeping as in Joh 10:28 .
Seven stars ( $\alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha$ ). Symbols of the seven churches (verse 20), seven planets rather than Pleiades or any other constellation like the bear.

Proceeded ( $\varepsilon к \pi о \rho \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v \eta$ ). Present middle participle of $\varepsilon к \pi о \rho \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha$, old compound (Mt 3:5 ) used loosely again like $\varepsilon \chi \omega v$.

A sharp two-edged sword ( $\rho \circ \mu \varphi \alpha \iota \alpha \delta_{\iota \sigma \tau о \mu о \varsigma ~ о \xi \varepsilon ı) . ~ " A ~ s w o r d ~ t w o-m o u t h e d ~ s h a r p . " ~}^{\text {" }}$ Po $\mu \varphi \alpha \iota \alpha$ (as distinct from $\mu \alpha \chi \alpha \iota \rho \alpha$ ) is a long sword, properly a Thracian javelin, in N.T. only Lu 2:35; Re 1:16; 2:12; Heb 4:12. See $\sigma \tau о \mu \alpha$ used with $\mu$ ахаı $\rho \eta$, in Lu 21:24 (by the mouth of the sword).

Countenance ( $\mathrm{o} \psi 1 \varsigma$ ). Old word (from ort $\omega$ ), in N.T. only here, Joh 7:24; 11:44.
As the sun shineth ( $\omega \varsigma$ o $\eta \lambda_{\iota} \varsigma \varsigma$ ¢aıve). Brachylogy, "as the sun when it shines." For pauve see Joh 1:5.

Rev 1:17
I fell ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \alpha$ ). Late form for the old $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma o \nu$ (second aorist active indicative of $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, to fall). Under the over-powering influence of the vision as in 19:10.

He laid ( $\varepsilon \bigoplus \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$. The act restored John's confidence.

Fear not ( $\mu \eta \varphi \circ \beta o v$ ). Cf. Lu 1:13 to Zacharias to give comfort.
I am the first and the last ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \varepsilon \mu$ о $\pi \rho \omega \tau о \varsigma \kappa \alpha$ о $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau о \varsigma)$. Used in Isa 44:6; 48:12 of God, but here, 2:8; 22:13 of Christ.

And the Living One ( $\kappa \alpha \circ \zeta \omega v$ ). Present active articular participle of $\zeta \alpha \omega$, another epithet of God common in the O.T. (De 32:40; Isa 49:18, etc.) and applied purposely to Jesus, with which see Joh 5:26 for Christ's own words about it.

Rev 1:18
And I was dead (ка єүєvонך $\nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho о \varsigma) . ~ " A n d ~ I ~ b e ~ c a m e ~ d e a d " ~(a o r i s t ~ m i d d l e ~ p a r t i c i p l e ~$ of $\gamma เ v o \mu \alpha$ as in 1:9,10 , definite reference to the Cross).

I am alive ( $\zeta \omega v \varepsilon \mu)$. Periphrastic present active indicative, "I am living," as the words $o \zeta \omega v$ just used mean.

Forevermore ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о \cup \varsigma ~ \alpha ı \omega v a \varsigma ~ \tau \omega v ~ \alpha ı \omega v \omega v$ ). "Unto the ages of the ages," a stronger expression of eternity even than in 1:6.

The keys ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \kappa \lambda \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. One of the forms for the accusative plural along with $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \iota \delta \alpha \varsigma$, the usual one (Mt 16:19).

Of death and of Hades ( $\tau o v ~ \theta a v a \tau o v ~ к а ~ \tau o v ~ a ı \delta o v) . ~ C o n c e i v e d ~ a s ~ i n ~ M t ~ 16: 18 ~ a s ~ a ~$ prison house or walled city. The keys are the symbol of authority, as we speak of honouring one by giving him the keys of the city. Hades here means the unseen world to which death is the portal. Jesus has the keys because of his victory over death. See this same graphic picture in 6:8; 20:13f. For the key of David see 3:7, for the key of the abyss see 9:1; 20:1 .

Rev 1:19
Therefore (ouv). In view of Christ's words about himself in verse 18 and the command in verse 11.

Which thou sawest ( $\alpha \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \varsigma)$. The vision of the Glorified Christ in verses 13-18.
The things which are ( $\alpha$ عוбıv). Plural verb (individualising the items) though $\alpha$ is neuter plural, certainly the messages to the seven churches ( $1: 20-3: 22$ ) in relation to the world in general, possibly also partly epexegetic or explanatory of a $\varepsilon เ \delta \varepsilon \varsigma$.

The things which shall come to pass hereafter ( $\alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \iota v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \alpha v \tau \alpha$ ). Present middle infinitive with $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$, though both aorist and future are also used. Singular verb here ( $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$ ) blending in a single view the future. In a rough outline this part begins in 4:1 and goes to end of chapter 22, though the future appears also in chapters 2 and 3 and the present occurs in 4 to 22 and the elements in the vision of Christ ( $1: 13-18$ ) reappear repeatedly.

Rev 1:20
The mystery of the seven stars ( $\tau 0 \mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ \nu \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega \nu$ ). On the word $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota v$ see on Mt 13:11; 2Th 2:7; Col 1:26. Here it means the inner meaning (the secret symbol) of a symbolic vision (Swete) as in 10:7; 13:18; 17:7,9; Da 2:47. Probably the accusative absolute (Charles), "as for the mystery" (Robertson, Grammar, pp. 490, 1130), as in Ro 8:3. This item is picked out of the previous vision ( $1: 16$ ) as needing explanation at once and as affording a clue to what follows (2:1,5 ).

Which (ovs). Masculine accusative retained without attraction to case of $\alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega \nu$ (genitive, $\omega v$ ).

In my right hand $(\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \xi \iota a \varsigma \mu \circ v)$. Or "upon," but $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta$, etc., in verse 16.
And the seven golden candlesticks ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \lambda \nu \chi \nu \iota \alpha \varsigma \tau \alpha \varsigma \chi \rho \cup \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. "The seven lampstands the golden," identifying the stars of verse 16 with the lampstands of verse 12. The accusative case here is even more peculiar than the accusative absolute $\mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ v$, since the genitive $\lambda \nu \chi \nu t \omega \nu$ after $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho ⿺ 辶 v$ is what one would expect. Charles suggests that John did not revise his work.

The angels of the seven churches $(\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \omega v)$. Anarthrous in the predicate (angels of, etc.). "The seven churches" mentioned in 1:4,11. Various views of
$\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o c$ here exist. The simplest is the etymological meaning of the word as messenger from $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ (Mt 11:10) as messengers from the seven churches to Patmos or by John from Patmos to the churches (or both). Another view is that $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o s$ is the pastor of the church, the reading $\tau \eta v$ үuvaika oov (thy wife) in 2:20 (if genuine) confirming this view. Some would even take it to be the bishop over the elders as $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \chi \circ \pi \circ$, in Ignatius, but a separate $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o c$ in each church is against this idea. Some take it to be a symbol for the church itself or the spirit and genius of the church, though distinguished in this very verse from the churches themselves (the lampstands). Others take it to be the guardian angel of each church assuming angelic patrons to be taught in Mt 18:10; Ac 12:15. Each view is encompassed with difficulties, perhaps fewer belonging to the view that the "angel" is the pastor.

Are seven churches ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \varepsilon เ \sigma \iota v)$. These seven churches ( $1: 4,11$ ) are themselves lampstands ( 1:12) reflecting the light of Christ to the world (Mt 5:14-16; Joh 8:12) in the midst of which Christ walks ( $1: 13$ ).

## Revelation 2

Rev 2:1
In Ephesus ( $\varepsilon \nu \mathrm{E} \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \omega$ ). Near the sea on the river Cayster, the foremost city of Asia Minor, the temple-keeper of Artemis and her wonderful temple (Ac 19:35 ), the home of the magic arts (Ephesian letters, Ac 19:19) and of the mystery-cults, place of Paul's three years' stay (Ac 19:1-10; 20:17-38) , where Aquila and Priscilla and Apollos laboured (Ac 18:24-28 ), where Timothy wrought (I and II Tim.), where the Apostle John preached in his old age. Surely it was a place of great privilege, of great preaching. It was about sixty miles from Patmos and the messenger would reach Ephesus first. It is a free city, a seat of proconsular government (Ac 19:38), the end of the great road from the Euphrates. The port was a place of shifting sands, due to the silting up of the mouth of the Cayster. Ramsay (Letters to the Seven Churches, p. 210) calls it "the City of Change."

These things $(\tau \alpha \delta \varepsilon)$. This demonstrative seven times here, once with the message to each church (2:1,8,12,18; 2:1,7,14 ), only once elsewhere in N.T. (Ac 21:11).

He that holdeth (o $\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ ). Present active articular participle of $\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$, a stronger word than $\varepsilon \chi \omega \nu$ in $1: 16$, to which it refers.

He that walketh (o $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). Present active articular participle of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$, an allusion to $1: 13$. These two epithets are drawn from the picture of Christ in 1:13-18, and appropriately to conditions in Ephesus describe Christ's power over the churches as he moves among them.

Rev 2:2
I know (oı $\delta \alpha$ ). Rather than $\gamma \iota \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ and so "emphasizes better the absolute clearness of mental vision which photographs all the facts of life as they pass" (Swete). So also in $2: 9,13,19 ; 3: 1,8,15$. For the distinction see Joh $21: 17$, "where the universal knowledge passes into the field of special observation."

Works $(\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha)$. The whole life and conduct as in Joh 6:29.
And thy toil and patience ( $\kappa \alpha \tau о \nu \kappa о \pi о \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \nu v \pi о \mu о \nu \eta \nu \sigma о \nu)$. "Both thy toil and patience," in explanation of $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$, and see 1 Th $1: 3$, where all three words ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \circ \nu$, колоб, $v \pi о \mu о v \eta$ ) occur together as here. See 14:13 for sharp distinction between $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$ (activities) and коло (toils, with weariness). Endurance ( $v \pi о \mu о \nu \eta$ ) in hard toil (колос).

And that ( $\kappa \alpha о \tau)$. Further explanation of колоৎ (hard toil).
Not able (ov $\delta v v \eta$ ). This Koine form for the Attic $\delta v v a \sigma a$ (second person singular indicative middle) occurs also in Mr 9:22; Lu 16:2 .

Bear $(\beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha)$. First aorist active infinitive of $\beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \zeta \omega$, for which verb see Joh 10:31; 12:6; Ga 6:2. These evil men were indeed a heavy burden.

And didst try ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \varsigma \rho \alpha \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active indicative of $\pi \varepsilon เ \rho \alpha \zeta \omega$, to test, a reference to a recent crisis when these Nicolaitans (verse 6) were condemned. The present tenses ( $\delta u v \eta \iota, ~ \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon ı$ ) indicate the continuance of this attitude. Cf. 1Jo 4:1.
 missionaries of these Nicolaitans who posed as equal to or even superior to the original apostles, like the Judaizers so described by Paul (2Co 11:5,13; 12:11 ). Paul had foretold such false teachers (Gnostics), grievous wolves, in Ac 20:29 ; in sheep's clothing, Jesus had said (Mt 7:15).

And they are not ( $\kappa \alpha$ ouk $\varepsilon$ عाбเv). A parenthesis in Johannine style (Joh 2:9; 3:9; 1Jo 3:1


And didst find (ка $\varepsilon \cup \rho \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon v \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$. Dropping back to the regular structure parallel with $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon ⿺ \rho a \sigma a \varsigma$.

False ( $\psi \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. Predicate accusative plural of $\psi \varepsilon v \delta \eta \varsigma$, self-deceived deceivers as in 21:8.

Rev 2:3
Thou hast ( $\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ). Continued possession of patience.
Didst bear ( $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist indicative of $\beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \zeta \omega$, repeated reference to the crisis in verse 2.

And hast not grown weary ( к ои кєкотьакєऽ). Perfect active indicative of котıа $\omega$, old verb, to grow weary (Mt 6:28), play on the word котоৎ, late form in - $\varsigma$, for the regular - $\alpha$,
 Ephesian church can bear anything except the presence of impostors in her membership" (Moffatt).

Rev 2:4
This against thee, that (ката бov от). For the phrase "have against" see Mt 5:23. The ot clause is the object of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$.

Thou didst leave ( $\alpha \varphi \eta \kappa \varepsilon \varsigma)$. First aorist active (kappa aorist, but with $-\varepsilon \varsigma$ instead of $-\alpha \varsigma$ ) of $\alpha \varphi \iota \eta \mu$, a definite and sad departure.

Thy first love ( $\tau \eta v \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \nu \sigma o v \tau \eta v \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta \nu)$. "Thy love the first." This early love, proof of the new life in Christ (1Jo 3:13f. ), had cooled off in spite of their doctrinal purity. They had remained orthodox, but had become unloving partly because of the controversies with the Nicolaitans.

Rev 2:5
Remember ( $\mu \nu \eta \mu$ oveve). Present active imperative of $\mu \nu \eta \mu \circ v \varepsilon v \omega$, "continue mindful" (from $\mu \nu \eta \mu \omega v$ ).

Thou art fallen ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Perfect active indicative of $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, state of completion. Down in the valley, look up to the cliff where pure love is and whence thou hast fallen down.

And repent ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \eta \sigma o v)$. First aorist active imperative of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon \omega$, urgent appeal for instant change of attitude and conduct before it is too late.

And do ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \sigma \eta \sigma o v$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\pi o \iota \varepsilon \omega$, "Do at once."
The first works ( $\tau \alpha \pi \rho \omega \tau \alpha \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$ ). Including the first love (Ac 19:20; 20:37; Eph 1:3ff. ) which has now grown cold (Mt 24:12).

Or else ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \mu \eta$ ). Elliptical condition, the verb not expressed ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$, a common idiom, seen again in verse 16 , the condition expressed in full by $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \mu \eta$ in this verse and verse 22 .

I come ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha)$. Futuristic present middle (Joh 14:2f.).
To thee ( $\sigma 0$ ). Dative, as in 2:16 also.
Will move ( $\kappa เ \nu \eta \sigma \omega$ ). Future active of $\kappa เ v \varepsilon \omega$. In Ignatius' Epistle to Ephesus it appears that the church heeded this warning.

Except thou repent ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \eta \sigma \eta \iota \varsigma)$. Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta$ instead of $\varepsilon \mu \eta$ above, with the first aorist active subjunctive of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon \omega$.

Rev 2:6
That thou hatest ( $о \tau \mu \tau \sigma \boxed{)}$ ). Accusative object clause in apposition with tovto (this). Trench tells of the words used in ancient Greek for hatred of evil ( $\mu \iota \sigma о \pi о \nu \eta \rho ı \alpha$ ) and $\mu$ нотоvŋроя (hater of evil), neither of which occurs in the N.T., but which accurately describe the angel of the church in Ephesus.

Of the Nicolaitans ( $\tau \omega \nu$ Nıко入aı $\tau \omega \nu$ ). Mentioned again in verse 15 and really meant in verse 2. Irenaeus and Hippolytus take this sect to be followers of Nicolaus of Antioch, one of the seven deacons (Ac 6:5), a Jewish proselyte, who is said to have apostatized. There was such a sect in the second century (Tertullian), but whether descended from Nicolaus of Antioch is not certain, though possible (Lightfoot). It is even possible that the Balaamites of verse 14 were a variety of this same sect (verse 15).

Which I also hate ( $\alpha \kappa \alpha \gamma \omega \mu \sigma \omega$ ). Christ himself hates the teachings and deeds of the Nicolaitans ( $\alpha$, not ouc, deeds, not people), but the church in Pergamum tolerated them.

Rev 2:7
He that hath an ear (o $\varepsilon \chi \omega \vee$ ovৎ). An individualizing note calling on each of the hearers ( $1: 3$ ) to listen ( $2: 7,11,17,28 ; 3: 3,6,13,22$ ) and a reminiscence of the words of Jesus in the Synoptics (Mt 11:15; 13:9,43; Mr 4:9,23; Lu 8:8; 14:35 ), but not in John's Gospel.

The spirit ( $\tau \mathrm{o} \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ ). The Holy Spirit as in 14:13; 22:17. Both Christ and the Holy Spirit deliver this message. "The Spirit of Christ in the prophet is the interpreter of Christ's voice" (Swete).

To him that overcometh ( $\tau \omega v / \kappa \omega v \tau$ ). Dative of the present (continuous victory) active articular participle of $v ı \kappa \alpha \omega$, a common Johannine verb (Joh 16:33; 1Jo 2:13f; 4:4; 5:4f.; Re $2: 7,11,17,26 ; 3: 5,12,21 ; 5: 5 ; 12: 11 ; 15: 2 ; 17: 14 ; 21: 7$ ). Faith is dominant in Paul, victory in John, faith is victory (1Jo 5:4). So in each promise to these churches.

I will give $(\delta \omega \sigma \omega)$. Future active of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ as in $2: 10,17,23,26,28 ; 3: 8,21 ; 6: 4 ; 11: 3 ; 21: 6$

To eat ( $\varphi \propto \gamma \varepsilon \imath v$ ). Second aorist active infinitive of $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \iota \omega$.
Of the tree of life ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \circ v \xi \cup \lambda o v \tau \eta \varsigma \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma)$. Note $\varepsilon \kappa$ with the ablative with $\varphi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \iota \nu$, like our "eat of" (from or part of). From Ge 2:9; 3:22. Again in Re 22:2,14 as here for immortality. This tree is now in the Garden of God. For the water of life see 21:6; 22:17 (Cf. Joh 4:10,13f. ).

Which (o). The $\xi v \lambda o v$ (tree).
In the Paradise of God ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \omega$ $\tau 0 v \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Persian word, for which see Lu 23:43; 2Co 12:4. The abode of God and the home of the redeemed with Christ, not a mere intermediate state. It was originally a garden of delight and finally heaven itself (Trench), as here.

Rev 2:8
In Smyrna ( $\varepsilon v \Sigma \mu \nu \rho \nu \eta)$. North of Ephesus, on a gulf of the Aegean, one of the great cities of Asia (province), a seat of emperor-worship with temple to Tiberius, with many Jews hostile to Christianity who later join in the martyrdom of Polycarp, poor church (rich in grace) which receives only praise from Christ, scene of the recent massacre of Greeks by the Turks. Ramsay (op. cit., p. 251) terms Smyrna "the City of Life." Christianity has held on here better than in any city of Asia.

The first and the last (о $\pi \rho \omega \tau о \varsigma \kappa \alpha о \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau о \varsigma) . ~ R e p e a t i n g ~ t h e ~ l a n g u a g e ~ o f ~ 1: 17 . ~$
Which was dead (oৎ $\varepsilon \uparrow \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau о ~ v \varepsilon \kappa \rho о \varsigma)$. Rather, "who became dead" (second aorist middle indicative of $\gamma เ v o \mu \alpha)$ as in 1:18.

And lived again ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \zeta \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist (ingressive, came to life) active of $\zeta \alpha \omega$ (o $\zeta \omega \nu$ in $1: 18$ ). Emphasis on the resurrection of Christ.

Rev 2:9
Thy tribulation and thy poverty ( $\sigma o v \tau \eta \nu \theta \lambda \iota \psi \iota \nu \kappa \alpha \pi \tau \omega \chi \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu$ ). Separate articles of same gender, emphasizing each item. The tribulation was probably persecution, which helped to intensify the poverty of the Christians (Jas 2:5; 1Co 1:26; 2Co 6:10; 8:2 ). In contrast with the wealthy church in Laodicea ( $3: 17$ ).

But thou art rich ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \lambda$ ovбıoৎ $\varepsilon$ ). Parenthesis to show the spiritual riches of this church in contrast with the spiritual poverty in Laodicea ( $3: 17$ ), this a rich poor church, that a poor rich church. Rich in grace toward God (Lu 12:21) and in good deeds (1Ti 6:18 ). Perhaps Jews and pagans had pillaged their property (Heb 10:34), poor as they already were.

Blasphemy ( $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \iota \alpha v$ ). Reviling believers in Christ. See Mr 7:22. The precise charge by these Jews is not indicated, but see Ac 13:45.

Of them which say $(\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu \lambda \varepsilon \gamma o v \tau \omega v$ ). "From those saying" ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ with the ablative plural of the present active articular participle of $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega)$.

They are Jews (Iovסaiouc eiva eavtouc). This is the accusative of general reference and the infinitive in indirect discourse after $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ (Ac 5:36; 8:9) even though $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma 0 \nu \tau \omega v$ is here ablative (cf. 3:9), common idiom. These are actual Jews and only Jews, not Christians.

And they are not ( $\kappa \alpha$ оик $\varepsilon ו \sigma เ v)$. Another parenthesis like that in 2:2. These are Jews in name only, not spiritual Jews (Ga 6:15f., Ro 2:28).

A synagogue of Satan ( $\sigma \cup v \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \eta$ tov $\Sigma a \tau \alpha v \alpha$ ). In 3:9 again and note 2:13,24, serving the devil (Joh 8:44) instead of the Lord (Nu 16:3; 20:4).

Rev 2:10
Fear not ( $\mu \eta \varphi \mathrm{\eta} \beta \boldsymbol{o}$ ). As in 1:17. Worse things are about to come than poverty and blasphemy, perhaps prison and death, for the devil "is about to cast" ( $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon ı v$ ), "is going to cast."

Some of you ( $\varepsilon \xi \nu \mu \omega v$ ). Without $\tau \imath v a \varsigma$ (some) before $\varepsilon \xi \nu \mu \omega v$, a common idiom as in 3:9; 11:19; Lu 11:49.

That ye may be tried ( $เ v \alpha \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Purpose clause with $\operatorname{iva}$ and the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho a \zeta \omega$. John himself is in exile. Peter and John had often been in prison together. James the brother of John, Paul, and Peter had all suffered martyrdom. In 3:10 a general persecution is outlined by $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma$.

Ye shall have ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Future active, but some MSS. read $\varepsilon \chi \eta \tau \varepsilon$ (present active subjunctive with hina, "that ye may have").

Tribulation ten days $(\theta \lambda \iota \psi \iota \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \omega \nu \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha)$. "Tribulation of ten days" (or "within ten days"). It is unwise to seek a literal meaning for ten days. Even ten days of suffering might seem an eternity while they lasted.

Be thou faithful ( $\gamma$ ıvov $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o \varsigma$ ). "Keep on becoming faithful" (present middle imperative of $\gamma ı v o \mu \alpha)$, "keep on proving faithful unto death" (Heb 12:4) as the martyrs have done (Jesus most of all).

The crown of life ( $\tau 0 v \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha v o v \tau \eta \zeta \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma)$. See this very image in Jas 1:12, a familiar metaphor in the games at Smyrna and elsewhere in which the prize was a garland. See also 3:11. The crown consists in life ( 2:7). See Paul's use of $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha v o c$ in 1 Co 9:25; 2Ti 4:8 .

Rev 2:11
Shall not be hurt (ov $\mu \eta \alpha \delta \iota \kappa \eta \theta \eta$ ). Strong double negative with first aorist passive subjunctive of $\alpha \delta ⿺ \kappa \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, to act unjustly (from $\alpha \delta \iota \kappa \circ \varsigma)$, here to do harm or wrong to one, old usage as in 6:6; 7:2f.; 9:4,10; 11:5.

Of the second death ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ тov $\theta a v a \tau o v ~ \tau o v ~ \delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho о и) . ~ E к ~ h e r e ~ u s e d ~ f o r ~ t h e ~ a g e n t ~ o r ~ i n-~$ strument as often ( $3: 18 ; 9: 2 ; 18: 1$ ). See 20:6,14; $21: 8$ where "the second death" is explained as "the lake of fire." The idea is present in Da 12:3; Joh 5:29 and is current in Jewish circles as in the Jerusalem Targum on De 33:6 and in Philo. It is not annihilation. The Christians put to death in the persecution will at least escape this second death (eternal punishment).

Rev 2:12

In Pergamum ( $\varepsilon v \Pi \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \mu \omega$ ). In a north-easterly direction from Smyrna in the Caicus Valley, some fifty-five miles away, in Mysia, on a lofty hill, a great political and religious centre. Ramsay (Op. cit., p. 281) calls it "the royal city, the city of authority." Eumenes II (B.C. 197-159) extended it and embellished it with many great buildings, including a library with 200,000 volumes, second only to Alexandria. The Kingdom of Pergamum became a Roman province B.C. 130. Pliny termed it the most illustrious city of Asia. Parchment ( $\chi \alpha \rho \tau \alpha$ $\Pi \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \alpha)$ derived its name from Pergamum. It was a rival of Ephesus in the temples to Zeus, Athena, Dionysos, in the great grove Nicephorium (the glory of the city). Next to this was the grove and temple of Asklepios, the god of healing, called the god of Pergamum, with a university for medical study. Pergamum was the first city in Asia (A.D. 29) with a temple for the worship of Augustus (Octavius Caesar). Hence in the Apocalypse Pergamum is a very centre of emperor-worship "where Satan dwells" ( 2:13). Here also the Nicolaitans flourished ( 2:15) as in Ephesus ( 2:6) and in Thyatira (2:20f. ). Like Ephesus this city is called temple-sweeper ( $v \varepsilon \omega \kappa о \rho o \varsigma)$ for the gods.

The sharp two-edged sword ( $\tau \eta \nu \rho \circ \mu \varphi \alpha \iota \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \delta$ เб $\tau \circ \mu \circ \nu \tau \eta \nu$ o $\xi \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu$ ). This item repeated from 1:16 in the same order of words with the article three times (the sword the twomouthed the sharp) singling out each point.

Rev 2:13
Where ( $\pi 0 v$--олоv). Пov is interrogative adverb used here in an indirect question as
 Satan not simply resided in Pergamum, but his "throne" or seat of power of king or judge (Mt 19:28; Lu 1:32,52). The symbol of Asklepios was the serpent as it is of Satan (12:9; 20:2 ). There was, besides, a great throne altar to Zeus cut on the Acropolis rock, symbol of "rampant paganism" (Swete) and the new Caesar-worship with the recent martyrdom of Antipas made Pergamum indeed a very throne of Satan.

Holdest fast my name ( $\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o ~ o v o \mu \alpha ~ \sigma о v) . ~ P r e s e n t ~ a c t i v e ~ i n d i c a t i v e ~ o f ~ к \rho а \tau \varepsilon \omega, ~$ "dost keep on holding," as in 2:25, 3:11. This church refused to say Kvpıo̧ Kaıбap (Martyrd. Polyc. 8f.) and continued to say Kvpıos I $\eta$ бovs (1Co 12:3 ). They stood true against the emperor-worship.

Didst not deny (ovk $\eta \rho v \eta \sigma \omega$ ). First aorist middle second person singular of $\alpha \rho v \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$. Reference to a specific incident not known to us.

My faith ( $\tau \eta v \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \nu \mu \circ v$ ). Objective genitive, "thy faith in me."
Of Antipas (Aviıtac). Indeclinable in this form. It is possible that Avtıra (genitive) was really written, though unimportant as the nominative follows in apposition. Nothing is really known of this early martyr in Pergamum before the writing of the Apocalypse. One legend is that he was burnt to death in a brazen bull. Other martyrs followed him at Pergamum (Agathonice, Attalus, Carpus, Polybus).

My witness (o $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \varsigma \mu \circ v$ ). Nominative in apposition with a genitive as in 1:5 (with ablative), common solecism in the Apocalypse. "Witness" as Jesus had said they should be (Ac 1:8) and Stephen was (Ac 22:20) and others were (Re 17:6). The word later (by third century) took on the modern meaning of martyr.

My faithful one (o $\pi / \sigma \tau o \varsigma \mu \circ v$ ). Nominative also, with $\mu \circ v$ also. Jesus gives Antipas his own title (Swete) as in 1:5; 3:14. Faithful unto death.

Was killed ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \tau \alpha v \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\alpha \pi \circ \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ v \omega$, this passive form common in the Apocalypse (?2:13; 6:11; 5:9,13; 13:10,15; 18, 20; 19:21?).

Among you ( $\pi \alpha \rho \nu \mu \nu v$ ). By your side. Proof of the throne of Satan, "where Satan dwells" (отоv о $\Sigma a \tau \alpha v a \varsigma ~ к а т о к к \varepsilon), ~ r e p e a t e d ~ f o r ~ e m p h a s i s . ~$

Rev 2:14
There ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon$ ). That is $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} v \mu \nu v$ (among you). A party in the church that resisted emperorworship, to the death in the case of Antipas, yet were caught in the insidious wiles of the Nicolaitans which the church in Ephesus withstood.

Some that hold (кратоиขтаৎ). "Men holding" (present active participle of кратєш).
The teaching of Balaam ( $\tau \eta \nu \delta \Delta \delta \alpha \chi \eta \nu B \alpha \lambda \alpha \alpha \mu$ ). Indeclinable substantive Balaam ( Nu 25:1-9; 31:15f. ). The point of likeness of these heretics with Balaam is here explained.

Taught Balak ( $\varepsilon \delta \delta \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega$ В $\alpha \lambda \alpha \kappa$ ). Imperfect indicative of $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega$, Balaam's habit, "as the prototype of all corrupt teachers" (Charles). These early Gnostics practised licentiousness as a principle since they were not under law, but under grace (Ro 6:15). The use of the dative with $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega$ is a colloquialism rather than a Hebraism. Two accusatives often occur with $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \omega$.

To cast a stumbling-block ( $\beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı v ~ \sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda o v$ ). Second aorist active infinitive (accusative case after $\varepsilon \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v$ ) of $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, regular use with $\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda$ ov (trap) like $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu \sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda o v$ in Ro 14:13. Balaam, as Josephus and Philo also say, showed Balak how to set a trap for the Israelites by beguiling them into the double sin of idolatry and fornication, which often went together (and do so still).

To eat things sacrificed to idols ( $\varphi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon ı v \varepsilon เ \delta \omega \lambda 0 \theta v \tau \alpha)$ ). Second aorist active infinitive of $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega \omega$ and the verbal adjective (from $\varepsilon เ \delta \omega \lambda o v$ and $\theta v \omega$ ), quoted here from Nu 25:1f. , but in inverse order, repeated in other order in verse 20. See Ac 15:29; 21:25; 1Co 8:1ff. for the controversy over the temptation to Gentile Christians to do what in itself was harmless, but which led to evil if it led to participation in the pagan feasts. Perhaps both ideas are involved here. Balaam taught Balak how to lead the Israelites into sin in both ways.

Rev 2:15
So thou also (ovt $\omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \sigma v$ ). Thou and the church at Pergamum as Israel had the wiles of Balaam.

The teaching of the Nicolaitans likewise ( $\tau \eta \nu \delta \Delta \delta \alpha \chi \eta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ Nıко $\alpha a \iota \tau \omega \nu$ о $\mu \circ \omega \varsigma \varsigma$ ). See on 1:6 for the Nicolaitans. The use of ouot $\omega \varsigma$ ( (likewise) here shows that they followed Balaam
in not obeying the decision of the Conference at Jerusalem (Ac 15:20,29) about idolatry and fornication, with the result that they encouraged a return to pagan laxity of morals (Swete). Some wrongly hold that these Nicolaitans were Pauline Christians in the face of Col 3:5-8; Eph 5:3-6 .

Rev 2:16
Repent therefore ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \eta \sigma o v o u v$ ). First aorist (tense of urgency) active imperative of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon \omega$ with the inferential particle ouv (as a result of their sin).

I come ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha)$. Futuristic present middle indicative, "I am coming" (imminent), as in $2: 5$ with $\tau \alpha \chi v$ as in $3: 11 ; 11: 14 ; 22: 7,12,20$. As with $\varepsilon v \tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon$ ( $1: 1$ ), we do not know how soon "quickly" is meant to be understood. But it is a real threat.

Against them ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). This proposition with $\pi o \lambda \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \omega$ rather than $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ (against) is common in the LXX, but in the N.T. only in Re 2:16; 12:7; 13:4; 17:14 and the verb itself nowhere else in N.T. except Jas 4:2 . "An eternal roll of thunder from the throne" (Renan). "The glorified Christ is in this book a Warrior, who fights with the sharp sword of the word" (Swete).

With ( $\varepsilon v$ ). Instrumental use of $\varepsilon v$. For the language see 1:16; 2:12; 19:15.
Rev 2:17
Of the hidden manna ( $\tau \circ v \mu \alpha v v \alpha$ тоv кєкр $\mu \mu \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). "Of the manna the hidden" (perfect passive articular participle of $\kappa \rho v \pi \tau \omega$ ). The partitive genitive, the only N.T. example with $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, though $Q$ reads to (accusative) here. For examples of the ablative with $\alpha \pi 0$ and $\varepsilon \kappa$ see Robertson, Grammar, p. 519. See Joh 6:31,49 for the indeclinable word $\mu \alpha v v a$. The golden pot of manna was "laid up before God in the ark" (Ex 16:23). It was believed that Jeremiah hid the ark, before the destruction of Jerusalem, where it would not be discovered till Israel was restored (II Macc. 2:5ff.). Christ is the true bread from heaven (Joh 6:31-33, 48-51 ) and that may be the idea here. Those faithful to Christ will have transcendent fellowship with him. Swete takes it to be "the life-sustaining power of the Sacred Humanity now hid with Christ in God."

A white stone ( $\psi \eta \varphi \circ \vee \lambda \varepsilon \cup \kappa \eta \nu$ ). This old word for pebble (from $\psi \alpha \omega$, to rub) was used in courts of justice, black pebbles for condemning, white pebbles for acquitting. The only other use of the word in the N.T. is in Ac 26:10, where Paul speaks of "depositing his pebble" ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \psi \eta \varphi \circ v$ ) or casting his vote. The white stone with one's name on it was used to admit one to entertainments and also as an amulet or charm.

A new name written (ovoна каıvov $\gamma^{\varepsilon} \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Perfect passive predicate participle of $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$. Not the man's own name, but that of Christ (Heitmuller, Im Namen $\ \boxtimes \Delta u$, p. 128265). See $3: 12$ for the name of God so written on one. The man himself may be the $\psi \eta \varphi \circ \varsigma$ on which the new name is written. "The true Christian has a charmed life" (Moffatt).

But he that receiveth it ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta$ о $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega v$ ). "Except the one receiving it." See Mt 11:27 for like intimate and secret knowledge between the Father and the Son and the one to whom the Son wills to reveal the Father. See also Re 19:12.

Rev 2:18
In Thyatira ( $\varepsilon v \Theta v a \tau \varepsilon \iota \rho o \iota \varsigma)$. Some forty miles south-east of Pergamum, a Lydian city on the edge of Mysia, under Rome since B.C. 190, a centre of trade, especially for the royal purple, home of Lydia of Philippi (Ac 16:14f. ), shown by inscriptions to be full of trade guilds, Apollo the chief deity with no emperor-worship, centre of activity by the Nicolaitans with their idolatry and licentiousness under a "prophetess" who defied the church there. Ramsay calls it "Weakness Made Strong" (op. cit., p. 316).

The Son of God (o vios $\tau o v \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Here Jesus is represented as calling himself by this title as in Joh 11:4 and as he affirms on oath in Mt 26:63f . "The Word of God" occurs in 19:13.

His eyes like a flame of fire ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma ~ о \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu о v \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau о v \omega \varsigma \varphi \lambda о \gamma \alpha \pi \nu \rho о \varsigma)$. As in 1:14.
His feet like burnished brass (о $\pi о \delta \varepsilon \varsigma ~ a v \tau о v ~ о \mu о ь о ~ \chi \alpha \lambda \kappa о \lambda ı \beta \alpha \nu \omega)$. As in 1:15.
Rev 2:19
Thy works ( $\sigma$ ov $\tau \alpha \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$ ). As in 2:2 and explained (explanatory use of $\kappa \alpha=$ namely) by what follows. Four items are given, with separate feminine article for each ( $\tau \eta v a \gamma a \pi \eta \nu, \tau \eta v$ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \nu, \tau \eta \nu \delta \iota \alpha \kappa \nu \iota \alpha \nu, \tau \eta \nu v \pi o \mu \circ \nu \eta \nu)$, a longer list of graces than in 2:2 for Ephesus. More praise is given in the case of Ephesus and Thyatira when blame follows than in the case of Smyrna and Philadelphia when no fault is found. Love comes first in this list in true Johannine fashion. Faith ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota v$ ) here may be "faithfulness," and ministry ( $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa о v \iota \alpha v$ ) is ministration to needs of others (Ac 11:29; 1Co 16:15).

And that ( $\kappa \alpha$ ). Only $\kappa \alpha$ (and) in the Greek, but doubtless o $\tau$ (that) is understood.
Than the first ( $\tau \omega v \pi \rho \omega \tau \omega v$ ). Ablative after the comparative $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota o v a$ (more).
Rev 2:20
Thou sufferest ( $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ). Late vernacular present active indicative second person singular as if from a form $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \omega$ instead of the usual $\alpha \varphi \imath \eta \mu$ forms.

The woman Jezebel ( $\tau \eta \nu \gamma v v a \kappa \alpha \operatorname{I\varepsilon } \zeta \alpha \beta \varepsilon \lambda$ ). Symbolical name for some prominent woman in the church in Thyatira, like the infamous wife of Ahab who was guilty of whoredom and witchcraft ( $1 \mathrm{Ki} \mathrm{16:31;} \mathrm{2Ki} \mathrm{9:22} \mathrm{)} \mathrm{and} \mathrm{who} \mathrm{sought} \mathrm{to} \mathrm{drive} \mathrm{out} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{worship} \mathrm{of} \mathrm{God}$ from Israel. Some MSS. here (A Q 40 min.s) have oov (thy wife, thy woman Ramsay makes it), but surely Aleph C P rightly reject $\sigma o v$. Otherwise she is the pastor's wife!

Which calleth herself a prophetess ( $\eta \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \sigma v \sigma \alpha \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \eta \nu \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \iota \nu$ ). Nominative articular participle of $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ in apposition with the accusative $\gamma v v a \iota \kappa \alpha$ like o $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \varsigma$ in apposition
 here and Lu 2:36 (Anna), two extremes surely. See Ac 21:9 for the daughters of Philip who prophesied.

And she teacheth and seduceth ( $\kappa \alpha \delta_{i} \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \pi \lambda \alpha v \alpha$ ). A resolution of the participles ( $\delta \delta \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa 0 v \sigma \alpha$ ка $\pi \lambda \alpha v \omega \sigma \alpha$ ) into finite verbs (present active indicatives) as in 1:5f. This woman was not a real prophetess, but a false one with loud claims and loose living. One is puzzled to know how such a woman had so much shrewdness and sex-appeal as to lead astray the servants of God in that church. The church tolerated the Nicolaitans and this leader whose primary object was sexual immorality (Charles) and became too much involved with her to handle the heresy.

Rev 2:21
I gave her time ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha \alpha \cup \tau \eta \quad \chi \rho o v o v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, allusion to a definite visit or message of warning to this woman.

That she should repent (iva $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \eta \sigma \eta$ ). Sub-final use of $\imath v a$ with first aorist active subjunctive of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon \omega$.

And she willeth not ( $\kappa \alpha$ ov $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$ ). "And she is not willing." Blunt and final like Mt 23:37
To repent of ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \eta \sigma \alpha \varepsilon \kappa$ ). First aorist (ingressive) active infinitive with $\varepsilon \kappa$, "to make a change out of," the usual construction with $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon \omega$ in this book (2:22; 9:20ff.; 16:11), with $\alpha \pi 0$ in Ac 8:22. Порvєı (fornication) here, but $\mu$ ot $\chi \varepsilon v \omega$ (to commit adultery) in verse 22.

Rev 2:22
I do cast $(\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega)$. Futuristic present active indicative rather than the future $\beta \alpha \lambda \omega$, since judgment is imminent.

Into a bed ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma \kappa \lambda \iota \nu \eta v)$. "A bed of sickness in contrast with the bed of adultery" (Beckwith).

Them that commit adultery with her ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma \mu о \chi \varepsilon v o v \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma$ ). Present active articular participle accusative plural of $\mu$ ог $\chi \cup \omega$. The actual paramours of the woman Jezebel, guilty of both $\pi$ орveıa (fornication, verse 21) and $\mu \circ \boldsymbol{\tau} \varepsilon ı \alpha$ (adultery), works of Jezebel of old and of this Jezebel. There may be also an allusion to the spiritual adultery (2Co 11:2) towards God and Christ as of old (Jer 3:8; 5:7; Eze 16:22).

Except they repent ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \eta \sigma o v \sigma \iota v$ ). Condition of first class with $\varepsilon a v \mu \eta$ and the future active indicative of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon \omega$, put in this vivid form rather than the aorist subjunctive ( $-\omega \sigma \tau v$ ) third-class condition.

Of her works ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega \nu \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma$ ). Avinऽ (her) correct rather than $\alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ (their). Jezebel was chiefly responsible.

Rev 2:23
I will kill with death ( $\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon v \omega \varepsilon v \theta \alpha v \alpha \tau \omega$ ). Future (volitive) active of $\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon I v \omega$ with the tautological (cognate) $\varepsilon v \theta \alpha v a \tau \omega$ (in the sense of pestilence) as in Eze 33:27.

Her children ( $\tau \alpha \tau \varepsilon \kappa v \alpha \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Either her actual children, like the fate of Ahab's sons (2Ki 10:7) or "her spiritual progeny" (Swete) who have completely accepted her Nicolaitan practices.

Shall know ( $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma 0 \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Future (ingressive punctiliar) middle of $\gamma ı \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, "shall come to know." "The doom of the offenders was to be known as widely as the scandal had been" (Charles).

Searcheth ( $\varepsilon \rho \alpha v v \omega v$ ). Present active articular participle of $\varepsilon \rho \alpha u v a \omega$, to follow up, to track out, late form for $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon u v a \omega$, from Jer 17:10 .

Reins (veцpou¢). Old word for kidneys, here only in N.T., quoted also with карסıaৎ from Jer 17:10. See 22:17 for the reward of punishment.

Rev 2:24
To you the rest ( $\nu \mu \nu \nu$ тоıऽ $\lambda$ оוтоıৎ). Dative case. Those who hold out against Jezebel, not necessarily a minority ( $9: 20 ; 19: 21 ; 1$ Th $4: 13$ ).

As many as (ooo). Inclusive of all "the rest."
This teaching ( $\tau \eta v \delta \iota \delta \alpha \chi \eta \nu \tau \alpha u \tau \eta v$ ). That of Jezebel.
Which (oıtıve¢). "Which very ones," generic of the class, explanatory definition as in 1:7.

Know not (ouk $\varepsilon \gamma v \omega \sigma \alpha v)$. Second aorist (ingressive) active of $\gamma เ \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, "did not come to know by experience."

The deep things of Satan ( $\tau \alpha \beta \alpha \theta \varepsilon \alpha$ tov $\Sigma \alpha \tau \alpha v \alpha$ ). The Ophites (worshippers of the serpent) and other later Gnostics (Cainites, Carpocratians, Naassenes) boasted of their knowledge of "the deep things," some claiming this very language about Satan (the serpent) as Paul did of God (1Co 2:10). It is not clear whether the words here quoted are a boast of the Nicolaitans or a reproach on the other Christians for not knowing the depths of sin. Some even claimed that they could indulge in immorality without sinning ( 1 Jo 1:10; 3:10 ). Perhaps both ideas are involved.

As they say ( $\omega \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \sigma 0 \sigma \iota v$ ). Probably referring to the heretics who ridicule the piety of the other Christians.

None other burden (ov-- $\alpha \lambda \lambda о \beta \alpha \rho o \varsigma) . ~ B \alpha \rho o c ~ r e f e r s ~ t o ~ w e i g h t ~(M t ~ 20: 12), ~ \varphi о \rho \tau ı o v, ~$ from $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, to bear, refers to load (Ga 6:5), oүкос to bulk (Heb 12:1). Apparently a reference to the decision of the Jerusalem Conference (Ac 15:28) where the very word $\beta a \rho o$, is used and mention is made about the two items in verse 20 (fornication and idolatry) without mentioning the others about things strangled, etc. See the Pharisaic narrowness in Mt 23:4

## Rev 2:25

Howbeit ( $\pi \lambda \eta \nu$ ). Common after ovk $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ o as a preposition with the ablative (Mr 12:32 ), but here a conjunction as in Php 1:18 .

Hold fast ( $\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$, either ingressive (get a grip on) or constative (hold on as a single decisive effort). See present imperative кратع in 3:11 (keep on holding).

Till I come ( $\alpha \chi \rho$ ov $\alpha v \eta \xi \omega$ ). Indefinite temporal clause with $\alpha \chi \rho$ ov (until which time) with modal $\alpha \nu$ and either the future active indicative or the first aorist active subjunctive of $\eta \kappa \omega$ (usual idiom with $\alpha \chi \rho$ in Revelation as in 7:3; 15:8; 20:3,5 ).

Rev 2:26
He that overcometh and he that keepeth (o $v \iota \kappa \omega \nu \kappa \alpha$ о $\tau \eta \rho \omega v$ ). Present active articular participles of $v \kappa \alpha \omega$ and $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$ in the nominative absolute (nominativus pendens) as in $3: 12,21$, resumed by the dative $\alpha v \tau \omega$ (to him), as in verses 7,17 .

Unto the end ( $\alpha \not \rho \tau \varepsilon \lambda o v \varsigma)$. That is, $\alpha \not \rho \rho$ ov $\alpha v \eta \xi$ o above.
Authority over the nations ( $\varepsilon \xi \sigma \sigma \sigma \iota \alpha v \varepsilon \pi \tau \omega v \varepsilon \theta v \omega v$ ). From Ps 2:8f. The followers of the Messiah will share in his victory over his enemies ( $1: 6 ; 12: 5 ; 19: 15$ ).

Rev 2:27
He shall rule ( $\pi о \mu \alpha \nu \varepsilon$ ). Future active of $\pi о \mu \alpha \iota v \omega$, to shepherd (from $\pi о \not \mu \eta \nu$, shepherd), also from Ps 2:8f. See again Re 7:17; 12:5; 19:15.

With a rod of iron ( $\varepsilon v \rho \alpha \beta \delta \omega \sigma \iota \delta \eta \rho \alpha)$. Continuing the quotation. Instrumental use of $\varepsilon v . \operatorname{Pa} \beta \delta o \varsigma$ (feminine) is the royal sceptre and indicates rigorous rule.

The vessels of the potter ( $\tau \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \cup \eta \tau \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \rho \alpha \mu \kappa \alpha$ ). Old adjective, belonging to a potter ( кєра $\mu \varepsilon v \sigma, \kappa \varepsilon \rho \alpha \mu о \varsigma), ~ h e r e ~ o n l y ~ i n ~ N . T . ~$

Are broken to shivers ( $\sigma \nu \nu \tau \rho \iota \beta \tau \alpha)$. Present passive indicative of $\sigma \nu \nu \tau \rho \iota \beta \omega$, old verb, to rub together, to break in pieces ( Mr 14:3 ).

Rev 2:28
As I also have received ( $\omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \gamma \omega \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \eta \varphi \alpha$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$. Christ still possesses the power from the Father (Ac 2:33; Ps 2:7).

The morning star ( $\tau 0 v \alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ tov $\pi \rho \omega \iota v o v$ ). "The star the morning one." In 22:16 Christ is the bright morning star. The victor will have Christ himself.

## Revelation 3

Rev 3:1
In Sardis ( $\varepsilon v \Sigma \alpha \rho \delta \varepsilon \sigma \iota v)$. Some thirty miles south-east of Thyatira, old capital of Lydia, wealthy and the home of Croesus, conquered by Cyrus and then by Alexander the Great, in B.C. 214 by Antiochus the Great, at the crossing of Roman roads, in a plain watered by the river Pactolus, according to Pliny the place where the dyeing of wool was discovered, seat of the licentious worship of Cybele and the ruins of the temple still there, called by Ramsay (op. cit., p. 354) "the city of Death," city of softness and luxury, of apathy and immorality, "a contrast of past splendour and present unresting decline" (Charles). Along with Laodicea it was blamed most of all the seven churches.

That hath the seven Spirits of God (o $\varepsilon \chi \omega v \tau \alpha \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \tau o v \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). For which picture of the Holy Spirit see 1:4.

And the seven stars ( $\kappa \alpha$ тоvৎ $\varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. As in 1:16,20.
 in apposition with ovoua.

And thou art dead (ка vєкроц $\varepsilon$ ). "The paradox of death under the name of life" (Swete). Not complete (a nucleus of life) death (verse 2), but rapidly dying. See the picture in Jas 2:17; 2Co 6:9; 2Ti 3:5.

Rev 3:2
Be thou watchful ( $\gamma \iota v o v \gamma \rho \eta \gamma \circ \rho \omega \nu$ ). Periphrastic imperative with present middle of $\gamma \iota v o \mu \alpha$ (keep on becoming) and present active participle of $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma \sigma \rho \varepsilon \omega$ (late present from perfect $\varepsilon \gamma \rho \eta \gamma \circ \rho \alpha$ and that from $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$, as in Mt 24:42) and see 16:15 for $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma \circ \rho \varepsilon \omega$ also. He does not say "Arise from the dead" (Eph 5:14), for there are vestiges of life. Those still alive are addressed through the angel of the church.

Stablish the things that remain ( $\sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \sigma o v \tau \alpha \lambda o \iota \pi \alpha$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\sigma \tau \eta \rho i \zeta \omega$, to make stable. Those not actually dead, but in grave peril. See a like command to Titus in Crete (Tit 1:5 ). Every new pastor faces such a problem.

Which were ready to die ( $\alpha \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o v \alpha \pi o \theta \alpha \nu \varepsilon \iota \nu$ ). Imperfect active plural because the individuals, though neuter plural, are regarded as living realities. The imperfect looking on the situation "with a delicate optimism" (Swete) as having passed the crisis, a sort of epistolary imperfect.

For I have found no works of thine (ov $\gamma \alpha \rho \varepsilon v \rho \eta \kappa \alpha \sigma o v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$ ). "For I have not found any works of thine." Perfect active indicative of $\varepsilon v \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$. The church as a whole represented by $\sigma o v$ (thy).

Fulfilled ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Perfect passive predicate participle of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \omega$. Their works have not measured up to God's standard ( $\varepsilon v \omega \pi \iota \circ v$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v \mu \circ v$ ).

Rev 3:3

Remember ( $\mu \nu \eta \mu$ оvعvع). "Keep in mind," as in 2:5.
Therefore (ouv). Resumptive and coordinating as in 1:19; 2:5.
Thou hast received ( $\varepsilon i \lambda \eta \varphi \alpha \varsigma)$. Perfect active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$, "as a permanent deposit" (Vincent).

Didst hear ( $\eta \kappa 0 v \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active indicative, the act of hearing at the time.
And keep it ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon$ ). Present active imperative of $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$, "hold on to what thou hast."
And repent ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \nu \circ \eta \sigma \sigma$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \nu o \varepsilon \omega$, "Turn at once."
If therefore thou shalt not watch ( $\varepsilon \alpha \nu$ ov $\mu \eta \gamma \rho \eta \gamma \circ \rho \eta \sigma \eta \stackrel{)}{ })$. Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \mu \eta$ and the first aorist (ingressive) active subjunctive of $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma \sigma \rho \varepsilon \omega$, "if then thou do not wake up."

I will come $(\eta \xi \omega)$. Certainly future active here, though probably aorist subjunctive in 2:25.

As a thief ( $\omega \varsigma \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \zeta$ ). As Jesus had already said (Mt 24:43; Lu 12:39) , as Paul had said (1Th 5:2), as Peter had said (2Pe 3:10) , as Jesus will say again (Re 16:15).

Thou shalt not know (ov $\mu \eta \gamma \nu \omega \iota \varsigma$ ). Strong double negative $o v \mu \eta$ with second aorist active subjunctive of $\gamma \iota \omega \omega \sigma \omega \omega$, though some MSS. have the future middle indicative $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \eta$.

What hour ( $\pi o t a v \omega \rho a v$ ). A rare classical idiom (accusative) surviving in the Koine rather than the genitive of time, somewhat like Joh 4:52; Ac 20:16 (Robertson, Grammar, p. 470f.). Indirect question with $\pi o l a v$.

Rev 3:4
A few names ( $0 \lambda_{1} \gamma \alpha$ ovo $\quad \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). This use of ovo $\alpha \alpha$ for persons is seen in the Koine (Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 196f.) as in Ac 1:15; Re 11:13 .

Did not defile (ovk $\varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o} \lambda \nu v a v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\mu \mathrm{o} \lambda \nu \nu \omega$ (1Co 8:7; 1 Pe 1:4), pollution.

They shall walk ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \eta \sigma o v \sigma \iota v$ ). Future active of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$, promise of fellowship with Christ ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \circ v$, with me) "in white" ( $\left.\varepsilon v \lambda \varepsilon \cup \kappa o \iota \varsigma\right)$, as symbols of purity ( $7: 9,13$ ) like the angel (Mt 28:3), with possibly a reference to Enoch (Ge 5:22). For they are worthy (o $\tau$ $\alpha \xi \iota$ عıбıv). To walk with Christ, not worthy in the same sense as God and Christ (4:11; 5:9 ), but in a relative sense. See $\operatorname{Re} 16: 6$ for bad sense of $\alpha \xi$ ıoc.

Rev 3:5
Shall be arrayed ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha)$. Future middle indicative of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to fling around one, here and in $4: 4$ with $\varepsilon v$ and the locative, but usually in this book with the accusative of the thing, retained in the passive or with the middle $(7: 9,13 ; 10: 1 ; 11: 3 ; 12: 1 ; 17: 4 ; 18: 16$; 19:8,13 ).

In white garments ( $\varepsilon \nu$ ццатьья $\lambda \varepsilon v \kappa о \iota)$ ). Apparently the spiritual bodies in the risen life as in 2Co 5:1,4 and often in Revelation (3:4,5; 6:11; 7:9,13f.; 19:8).

I will in no wise blot out (ov $\mu \eta \varepsilon \xi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \psi \omega$ ). Strong double negative ov $\mu \eta$ and the first aorist active (or future) of $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon เ \varphi \omega$, old word, to wipe out (Ac 3:19).

Of the book of life ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \beta \iota \beta \lambda$ ov $\tau \eta \varsigma \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma)$. Ablative case with $\varepsilon \kappa$. This divine register first occurs in Ex 32:32f. and often in the O.T. See Lu 10:20; Php 4:3; Re 13:8; 20:15; 21:27. The book is in Christ's hands (13:8; 21:27).

His name ( $\tau$ o ovo $\alpha$ 人 $\alpha v \tau 0 v$ ). The name of the one who overcomes ( $\mathrm{o} v / \kappa \omega v$ ). Clear reminiscence of the words of Christ about confessing to the Father those who confess him here (Mt 10:32; Mr 8:38; Lu 9:26; 12:8). Whether John knew the Synoptic Gospels (and why not?) he certainly knew such sayings of Jesus.

Rev 3:7
In Philadelphia ( $\varepsilon v \Phi_{1} \lambda \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \iota \alpha$ ). Some twenty-eight miles south-east of Sardis, in Lydia, subject to earthquakes, rebuilt by Tiberius after the great earthquake of A.D. 17, for a time called in coins Neo-Caesarea, in wine-growing district with Bacchus (Dionysos) as the chief deity, on fine Roman roads and of commercial importance, though not a large city, called by Ramsay (op. cit., p. 392) "the Missionary City" to promote the spread of the GraecoRoman civilization and then of Christianity, later offering stubborn resistance to the Turks (1379-90 A.D.) and now called Ala-Sheher (reddish city, Charles, from the red hills behind it). The chief opposition to the faithful little church is from the Jews (cf. Ro 9-11). There are some 1,000 Christians there today.

The holy, he that is true (o $\alpha \gamma 1 \circ \sigma, o \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu o c)$. Separate articles (four in all) for each item in this description. "The holy, the genuine." Asyndeton in the Greek. Latin Vulgate, Sanctus et Verus. Ho $\alpha$ ץוoc is ascribed to God in 4:8; 6:10 (both $\alpha \gamma$ เoc and $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \iota v o \varsigma$ as here), but to Christ in Mr 1:24; Lu 4:34; Joh 6:69; Ac 4:27,30; 1Jo 2:20, a recognized title of the Messiah as the consecrated one set apart. Swete notes that $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta$ voc is verus as distinguished from verax ( $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \eta \varsigma)$. So it is applied to God in 6:10 and to Christ in 3:14; 19:11 as in Joh 1:9; 6:32; 15:1.

He that hath the key of David (o $\varepsilon \chi \omega \nu \tau \eta \nu \kappa \lambda \varepsilon ı \nu \Delta \alpha v \varepsilon \iota \delta$ ). This epithet comes from Isa 22:22, where Eliakim as the chief steward of the royal household holds the keys of power. Christ as the Messiah (Re 5:5; 22:16) has exclusive power in heaven, on earth, and in Hades (Mt 16:19; 28:18; Ro 14:9; Php 2:9f.; Re 1:18). Christ has power to admit and exclude of his own will (Mt 25:10f.; Eph 1:22; Re 3:21; 19:11-16; 20:4; 22:16 ).

And none shall shut ( $\kappa \alpha$ ov osıc $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon เ \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Charles calls the structure Hebrew (future active indicative of $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \omega \omega$ ), and not Greek because it does not correspond to the present articular participle just before o avot $\gamma \omega v$ (the one opening), but it occurs often in this book as in the very next clause, "and none openeth" ( $\kappa \alpha$ ov $\delta \varepsilon ı \zeta ~ \alpha v o \iota \gamma \varepsilon$ ) over against $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \epsilon \omega v$ (present active participle, opening) though here some MSS. read $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon$ (present active indicative, open).

## Rev 3:8

I have set ( $\delta \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\delta \delta \delta \omega \mu$, "I have given" (a gift of Christ, this open door). See Lu 12:51 for a like use of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$.

A door opened ( $\theta v \rho a v \eta \nu \varepsilon \omega \iota \gamma \mu \varepsilon v \eta v$ ). Perfect (triple reduplication) passive predicate participle of $\alpha v o \gamma \gamma \omega$ (verse 7) accusative feminine singular. The metaphor of the open door was a common one (Joh 10:7-9; Ac 14:27; 1Co 16:9; 2Co 2:12; Col 4:3; Re 3:20; 4:1). Probably it means here a good opportunity for missionary effort in spite of the Jewish hostility.

Which ( $\eta v-\alpha v \tau \eta v$ ). Pleonastic vernacular and Hebrew repetition of the personal pronoun $\alpha v \tau \eta \nu$ (it) after the relative $\eta \nu$ (which). Direct reference to the statement in verse 7 .

That (oт). This conjunction resumes the construction of oo $\delta \alpha \sigma 0 \cup \tau \alpha \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$ (I know thy works) after the parenthesis (ı $\delta$ ov-- $\alpha u \tau \eta v$, Behold--shut).

A little power ( $\mu \kappa \kappa \rho a v \delta v v a \mu v)$ ). Probably "little power," little influence or weight in Philadelphia, the members probably from the lower classes (1Co 1:26f. ).

And didst keep ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. "And yet (adversative use of $\kappa \alpha$ ) didst keep" (first aorist active indicative of $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega$ ) my word in some crisis of trial. See Joh 17:6 for the phrase "keeping the word."

Didst not deny (ouk $\eta \rho \vee \eta \sigma \omega$ ). First aorist middle indicative second person singular of $\alpha \rho v \varepsilon o \mu \alpha$. The issue was probably forced by the Jews (cf. 2:9), but they stood true.

Rev 3:9
I give $(\delta \iota \delta \omega)$. Late omega form for $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, but the $-\mu$ form in 17:13 ( $\delta \iota \delta o \alpha \sigma \iota v)$. These Jewish converts are a gift from Christ. For this use of $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$ see Ac 2:27; 10:40; 14:3. There is ellipse of $\tau$ tvac before $\varepsilon \kappa$ as in 2:10 ( $\varepsilon \xi \nu \mu \omega v)$ and see 2:9 for "the synagogue of Satan."

Of them which say ( $\tau \omega \nu \lambda \varepsilon \gamma o v \tau \omega v$ ). Ablative plural in apposition with $\sigma v v a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta \varsigma$.
 of words being immaterial).

But do lie ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \psi \varepsilon v \delta o v \tau \alpha$ ). Present middle indicative of $\psi \varepsilon v \delta o \mu \alpha$, explanatory positive, addition here to ка ovк $\varepsilon เ \sigma เ v$ of 2:9, in contrast also with o $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \iota v o \varsigma$ of verse 7 and in Johannine style (Joh 8:44; 1Jo 1:10; 2:4).

I will make them ( $\pi о п \sigma \omega$ avtou¢). Future active indicative of $\pi о \varepsilon \omega$, resuming the prophecy after the parenthesis ( $\tau \omega v--\psi \varepsilon v \delta o v \tau a$, which say--but do lie).
 (final clause, like facio ut in Latin, with $\tau v \alpha$ and the future active of $\eta \kappa \omega$ and $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \nu v \varepsilon \omega)$. The language is based on Isa $45: 14 ; 60: 14$. The Jews expected homage (not worship in the strict sense) from the Gentiles, but it will come to the Christians at last (1Co 14:24 ). Later Ignatius (Philad. 6) warns this church against Judaizing Christians, perhaps one result of an influx of Jews.

And to know ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma v \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). Continuation of the purpose clause with $\tau v a$, but with the second aorist active subjunctive rather than the less usual future indicative. See both constructions also with $v \alpha$ in 22:14. Probably a reminiscence of Isa 43:4 in $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \eta \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \varepsilon$ (I loved thee), first aorist active indicative.

Rev 3:10

Patience (vтонвvŋऽ). "Endurance" as in 13:10; 14:12 as also in 2Th 3:5.
Thou didst keep ( $\varepsilon \tau \eta \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ )
--I also will keep ( $\kappa \alpha \gamma \omega \tau \eta \rho \eta \sigma \omega$ ). Aorist active indicative and future active corresponding to each other. For a like play on the tenses of this verb by Christ see Joh 17:6 ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho \eta \kappa \alpha v$ ), Joh 17:11 ( $\tau \eta \rho \eta \sigma o v)$, Joh 17:12 ( ( $\tau \eta \rho o u v)$.

From the hour of trial ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \omega \rho \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau о ⿱ ~ т \varepsilon є \rho a \sigma \mu о v) . ~ T h i s ~ u s e ~ o f ~ \varepsilon к ~ a f t e r ~ \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \omega ~ i n ~ J o h ~$ 17:15, a $\pi \mathrm{o}$ in Jas 1:27. Trial brings temptation often (Jas 1:2,13). Jesus endured (Heb 12:1f. ) and he will help them. There is still a church in Philadelphia in spite of the Turks.

Which is to come ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda$ ovo $\varsigma \varsigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Agreeing with $\omega \rho a \varsigma$ (feminine), not with $\pi \varepsilon เ \rho a \sigma \mu о v$ (masculine).

Upon the whole world ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \pi о н к о \cup \mu \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma$ o $\lambda \eta \varsigma$ ). The inhabited earth ( $\gamma \eta \varsigma$ ) as in $\operatorname{Re}$ 12:19; Lu 2:1; Ac 16:6, etc.), not the physical earth, but the world of men as explained by the next clause.

To try $(\pi \varepsilon \rho \rho \alpha \sigma \alpha)$. First aorist active infinitive of purpose from $\pi \varepsilon \downharpoonright \rho \alpha \zeta \omega$, probably to tempt (cf. the demons in 9:1-21), not merely to afflict ( $2: 10$ ).

That dwell upon the earth ( $\tau$ оиц катоюкоиขтаৎ $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma$ ). Present active articular participle of катокк $\omega$, explaining "the whole world" just before.

Rev 3:11
I come quickly ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ тaұv). As in 2:16; 22:7,12,20 . "The keynote of the book" (Beckwith). But allow the author's own meaning of "quickly."

Hold fast that which thou hast (кратє o exєıৎ). Sort of motto for each church ( 2:25).
That no one take ( $\imath v \alpha \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \lambda \alpha \beta \eta$ ). Purpose clause with $t v \alpha$ and second aorist active subjunctive of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$. Here to take away "thy crown" ( $2: 10$ ) which will be thine if really won and not forfeited by failure (2Ti 4:8). In that case it will go to another (Mt 25:28; Ro 11:17f. ).

Rev 3:12
He that overcometh (o $v / \kappa \omega v$ ). Nominative absolute as in 2:26, resumed by the accusative autov (him).

A pillar ( $\sigma \tau u \lambda \mathrm{ov}$ ). Old word for column, in N.T. only here, 10:1; Ga 2:9; 1Ti 3:15 . Metaphorical and personal use with a double significance of being firmly fixed and giving stability to the building. Philadelphia was a city of earthquakes. "Temple" (vaos) here is also metaphorical ( 7:15), as in 1Ti 3:15 for the people of God. In 21:22 we read that there is no temple in the heavenly Jerusalem ( 21:10-22:5) descending as the new Jerusalem with God himself as the temple, though the metaphorical temple is mentioned in 7:15.

He shall go out thence no more ( $\varepsilon \xi \omega$ ov $\mu \eta \varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ ). Strong double negative ov $\mu \eta$ with the second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. The subject is o vik $\omega v$ (the one overcoming). "Fixity of character is at last achieved" (Charles). He, like the $\sigma \tau \cup$ خos (pillar), remains in place.

Upon him ( $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Upon o $v ı \kappa \omega v$ (the victor), not upon the pillar ( $\left.\sigma \tau \nu \lambda o \varsigma\right)$. He receives this triple name (of God, of the city of God, of Christ) on his forehead (14:1; 7:3; 17:5; 22:4) just as the high-priest wore the name of Jehovah upon his forehead (Ex 28:36,38 ), the new name ( $2: 17$ ), without any magical or talismanic power, but as proof of ownership by God, as a citizen of the New Jerusalem, with the new symbol of the glorious personality of Christ (Re 19:12) , in contrast with the mark of the beast on others (13:17; 14:17). For citizenship in God's city see Ga 4:26; Php 3:20; Heb 11:10; 12:22; 13:14 .
 See also 21:2,10 and already Ga 4:26; Heb 12:22. Charles distinguishes between the Jerusalem before the final judgment and this new Jerusalem after that event. Perhaps so! In the Apocalypse always this form $\operatorname{I\varepsilon } \rho \circ \cup \sigma \alpha \lambda \eta \mu(3: 12 ; 21: 2,10)$, but in John's Gospel Hı $\varepsilon \rho о \sigma 0 \lambda \nu \mu \alpha(1: 19$, etc.).

Which cometh down ( $\eta \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \iota v o v \sigma \alpha$ ). Nominative case in apposition with the preceding genitive $\pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ as in $1: 5 ; 2: 20$, etc.

Mine own new name ( $\tau$ o ovo $\mu \alpha$ $\mu$ ov $\tau$ кouvov). For which see $2: 17 ; 19: 12,16$. Christ himself will receive a new name along with all else in the future world (Gressmann).

Rev 3:14
In Laodicea ( $\varepsilon \nu \Lambda \alpha o \delta ı \kappa ı \alpha)$. Forty miles south-east of Philadelphia and some forty miles east of Ephesus, the last of the seven churches addressed with special messages, on the river Lycus on the border of Phrygia, near Colossae and Hierapolis, recipient of two letters by Paul ( $\mathrm{Col} 4: 16$ ), on the great trade-route from Ephesus to the east and seat of large manufacturing and banking operations (especially of woollen carpets and clothing, Ramsay, Cities and Bishoprics of Phrygia, p. 40ff.), centre of the worship of Asklepios and seat of a medical school and also of a provincial court where Cicero lived and wrote many of his letters, home of many Jews, called by Ramsay (op. cit., p. 413) "the City of Compromise," the church here founded apparently by Epaphras ( $\mathrm{Col} 1: 7 ; 4: 12 \mathrm{f}$. ), now a deserted ruin, one of six cities with this name (meaning justice of the people). No praise is bestowed on this church, but only blame for its lukewarmness.

The Amen (o A $\mu \eta v$ ). Personal (masculine article) name here alone, though in Isa 65:16 we have "the God of Amen" understood in the LXX as "the God of truth" ( $\tau 0 v \theta \varepsilon o v ~ \tau o v$
 3:7 for o $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta$ vos (the genuine), "whose testimony never falls short of the truth" (Swete).

The beginning of the creation of God ( $\eta \alpha \rho \chi \eta \tau \eta \varsigma \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \tau o v \theta \varepsilon \sigma v)$. Not the first of creatures as the Arians held and Unitarians do now, but the originating source of creation through whom God works (Col 1:15,18, a passage probably known to the Laodiceans, Joh $1: 3$; Heb $1: 2$, as is made clear by $1: 18 ; 2: 8 ; 3: 21 ; 5: 13$ ).

Rev 3:15

Neither cold (ovt $\psi v \chi \rho \circ \varsigma)$. Old word from $\psi v \chi \omega$, to grow cold (Mt 24:12 ), in N.T. only Mt 10:42 and this passage.
 in N.T.

I would thou wert ( $o \varphi \varepsilon \lambda o v \eta \zeta$ ). Wish about the present with $0 \varphi \varepsilon \lambda o v$ (really $\omega \varphi \varepsilon \lambda o v$, second aorist active indicative of $0 \varphi \varepsilon \iota \lambda \omega$, without augment) with the imperfect $\eta \varsigma$ (instead of the infinitive) as in 2Co 11:1, when the old Greek used $\varepsilon \in \theta \varepsilon$ or $\varepsilon \gamma \alpha \rho$. See 1Co $4: 8$ for the aorist indicative and Ga 5:12 for the future.

Rev 3:16
Lukewarm ( $\left.\chi \lambda_{1} \alpha \rho o \varsigma\right)$. Tepid. Old adjective from $\chi \lambda \iota \omega$, to liquefy, to melt, here alone in N.T.

I will ( $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ ). "I am about to," on the point of.
Spew thee ( $\sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive of $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \omega$, old verb to vomit, to reject with extreme disgust, here alone in N.T.

Rev 3:17
I am rich (o $\pi \lambda$ ovøıo $\varepsilon \mid \mu$ ). Recitative o $\tau$ like quotation marks before direct quotation. Old adjective from $\pi \lambda$ ovto, , riches, wealth. Laodicea was a wealthy city and the church "carried the pride of wealth into its spiritual life" (Swete).

Have gotten riches ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda о \cup \tau \eta \kappa \alpha$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\pi \lambda 0 v \tau \varepsilon \omega$, old verb from $\pi \lambda$ outo, , used here of imagined spiritual riches which the church did not possess, just the opposite of church in Smyrna (poor in wealth, rich in grace). This church was in a rich city and was rich in pride and conceit, but poor in grace and ignorant of its spiritual poverty (ouk oı $\delta a ¢$, knowest not).

The wretched one (o $\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \pi \omega \rho \circ \varsigma$ ). Old adjective from $\tau \lambda \alpha \omega$, to endure, and $\pi \omega \rho \circ \varsigma$, a callus, afflicted, in N.T. only here and Ro 7:24. Note the one article in the predicate with all these five adjectives unifying the picture of sharp emphasis on "thou" ( $\sigma 0$ ), "thou that boastest."

Miserable ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon$ عıoऽ). Pitiable as in 1Co 15:19.
Poor ( $\pi \tau \omega \chi \circ \varsigma)$. See 2:9 for spiritual poverty. Perhaps some local example of self-complacency is in mind.

Blind ( $\tau \cup \varphi \lambda$ ос). Spiritual blindness as often (Mt 23:17), and note "eye-salve" in verse 18.

Naked ( $\gamma \nu \mu \nu \circ \varsigma)$. "The figure completes the picture of actual poverty" (Beckwith). See 15,16.

Rev 3:18
I counsel ( $\sigma \cup \mu \beta$ ou $\lambda \varepsilon v \omega$ ). Present active indicative, old compound from $\sigma \cup \mu \beta \circ \cup \lambda о \varsigma$, counsellor (Ro 11:34), as in Joh 18:14 . Almost ironical in tone.

To buy ( $\alpha \gamma \rho \rho \alpha \sigma \alpha)$. First aorist active infinitive of $\alpha \gamma о \rho \alpha \zeta \omega$ (from $\alpha \gamma о \rho \alpha$, market-place), rich as they think themselves to be.

From me ( $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \rho v$ ). From my side, emphatic.
Refined by fire ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \nu \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon v o v \varepsilon \kappa \pi \nu \rho \circ \varsigma$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\pi \nu \rho \circ \omega$ (as in 1:15) and the metaphor carried on by $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \cup \rho \circ \varsigma$, "fired by fire." Purity by removing dross (Ps 66:10 ) like 1Pe 1:7.

That thou mayest become rich (iva $\pi \lambda o v \tau \eta \sigma \eta ı$ ). Purpose clause with $ı v \alpha$ and the ingressive first aorist active of $\pi \lambda$ out $\omega$, spiritual riches.

That thou mayest clothe thyself (iva $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \beta \alpha \lambda$ ). Purpose clause with iva and second aorist middle (direct) subjunctive of $\pi \varepsilon \rho(\beta a \lambda \lambda \omega$, to fling round one as in 3:5.

Be not made manifest ( $\mu \eta \varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta$ ). Continued purpose clause with negative $\mu \eta$ and first aorist passive subjunctive of $\varphi$ avepo $\omega$.

Nakedness ( $\gamma \nu \mu \nu 0 \tau \eta \tau \circ \varsigma)$. Late and rare word from $\gamma \nu \mu \nu \circ \varsigma$, naked, in N.T. only here, 2Co 11:27; Ro 8:35. Cf. Re 16:15; 20:13; 2Co 5:2f.

Eye-salve (ко $\lambda \lambda$ oupıov). Diminutive of ко $\lambda \lambda \nu \rho \alpha$ (coarse bread of cylindrical shape), object of aүopaбa, name for a famous Phrygian powder for the eyes made in Laodicea (Charles), Latin collyrium (used for eye-salve by Horace and Juvenal).

To anoint ( $\varepsilon \gamma \chi \rho \iota \sigma \alpha)$. First aorist active infinitive (epexegetic) of $\varepsilon \gamma \chi \rho \iota \omega$, late compound ( $\varepsilon \nu, \chi \rho \omega \omega$, Strabo, Epictetus), to rub in, here only in N.T.

That thou mayest see ( $\imath v \beta \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \eta \iota)$. Another purpose clause with $v \alpha a$ and the present active subjunctive (keep on seeing).

Rev 3:19
Free rendering of $\operatorname{Pr}$ 3:12 (in Heb 12:6 ), but with ouc $\varepsilon \alpha v$ (indefinite relative plural) for ov (definite relative singular), with $\varphi \lambda \hat{A} \omega$ instead of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \hat{A} \alpha$ and with the first person $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v \hat{A} \omega$ for $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ (the Lord chastens, from $\pi \alpha \iota$, child, training a child) and with $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi \hat{A} \omega$ (reprove) added.

Be zealous ( $\zeta \eta \lambda \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ ). Present active imperative of $\zeta \eta \lambda \varepsilon v \omega$, in good sense (from $\zeta \eta \lambda \frac{}{\eta}$ $\zeta \varepsilon \omega$, to boil), in opposition to their lukewarmness, here only in N.T. (elsewhere $\zeta \eta \lambda o \omega)$, "keep on being zealous."

Repent ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \eta \sigma o v$ ). Ingressive first aorist active imperative of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon \omega$.

## Rev 3:20

I stand at the door ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \nu \theta v \rho \alpha v$ ). Perfect active of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ (intransitive). Picture of the Lord's advent as in Mt 24:33; Jas 5:9, but true also of the individual response to Christ's call (Lu 12:36) as shown in Holman Hunt's great picture. Some see a use also of So 5:2 .

If any man hear--and open ( $\varepsilon \alpha \vee \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \alpha \kappa о \cup \sigma \eta ~ \kappa \alpha ~ \alpha v o ı \xi \eta)$. Condition of third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and first aorist (ingressive) active subjunctive of $\alpha \kappa 0 v \omega$ and $\alpha v o t \gamma \omega$. See Joh 10:3; 18:37 . See the picture reversed (Swete) in Lu 13:25; Mt 25:10 .

I will come in to him ( $\varepsilon เ \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma o \mu \alpha$ ). Future middle of $\varepsilon \iota \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. See Mr 15:43; Ac 11:3 for $\varepsilon เ \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi о \mu \alpha \pi \rho \circ \varsigma$, to go into a man's house. Cf. Joh 14:23.

Will sup $(\delta \varepsilon \iota \pi \nu \eta \sigma \omega)$. Future active of $\delta \varepsilon \imath \pi v \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, from $\delta \varepsilon \imath \pi v o v$ (supper), as in Lu 17:8. Fellowship in the Messianic kingdom (Lu 22:30; Mr 14:25; Mt 26:29 ). Purely metaphorical, as is plain from 1Co 6:13 .

Rev 3:21
He that overcometh ( $\mathrm{o} v / \kappa \omega v$ ). Absolute nominative again as in 3:12, but resumed this time by the dative $\alpha v \tau \omega$ as in 2:26.

To sit $(\kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \alpha)$. First aorist active infinitive of $\kappa \alpha \theta_{\iota} \zeta \omega$. This promise grows out of the prophecy that the saints will share in the Messiah's rule, made to the twelve (Mt 19:28; Lu 22:29f. ), repeated by Paul (1Co 6:2f. ), enlarged in Re 22:1-5 (to last forever, 2Ti 2:11f. ). James and John took this hope and promise literally (Mr 10:40) not metaphorically.

As I also overcame ( $\omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \gamma \omega \varepsilon \nu \iota \kappa \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\nu \iota \kappa \alpha \omega$, looking back on the victory as over in the past. In Joh 16:33 before the Cross Jesus says E $\omega \omega v \varepsilon \nu \kappa \kappa \kappa \alpha$ tov коб $\mu$ о (perfect active), emphasizing the abiding effect of the victory.

Sat down ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \alpha)$. "I took my seat" (Heb 1:3) where Christ is now (Re 22:3; Col 3:1 ). Cf. 1 Jo $5: 4$; Re 2:27f. Each of these seven messages begins alike and ends alike. Each is the message of the Christ and of the Holy Spirit to the angel of the church. Each has a special message suited to the actual condition of each church. In each case the individual who overcomes has a promise of blessing. Christ the Shepherd knows his sheep and lays bare the particular peril in each case.

## Revelation 4

Rev 4:1
After these things ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha)$. Change in the panorama, not chronology (7:1,9; 15:5; 18:1; 19:1). This vision is of heaven, not of earth as was true of chapters Re $1 ; 2$. The first vision of Christ and the messages to the seven churches began in 1:12f. This new vision of the throne in heaven ( $4: 1-11$ ) succeeds that to which it here alludes.

I saw ( $\varepsilon$ ו $\delta o v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of opa $\omega$.
Behold (ıסov). Exclamation of vivid emotion as John looked. No effect on the structure and nominative case $\theta$ vpa (door) follows it.

Opened ( $\eta v \varepsilon \omega \prime \gamma \mu \varepsilon v \eta$ ). Perfect (triple reduplication) passive participle of $\alpha v o l \gamma \omega$ as in 3:8 (door of opportunity) and 3:20 (door of the heart), here the door of revelation (Swete).

In heaven ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega$ oupav $\omega$ ). As in Eze 1:1; Mr 1:10; Joh 1:51. In Revelation always in singular except 12:12.

The first ( $\eta \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta$ ). Reference is to 1:10.
Speaking ( $\lambda \alpha \lambda$ ovan¢). From $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, rather $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \sigma \eta \varsigma$ of 1:10 from $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, both agreeing with $\sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \gamma \circ$ (trumpet).

Saying ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega v$ ). Present active participle of $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ repeating the idea of $\lambda \alpha \lambda$ ovo $\eta \varsigma$, but in the nominative masculine singular construed with $\varphi \omega \nu \eta$ (feminine singular), construction according to sense because of the person behind the voice as in 11:15; 19:14.

Come up ( $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha$ ). Short Koine form for $\alpha v \alpha \beta \eta \theta$ (second aorist active imperative second person singular of $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha ı v \omega)$.

Hither ( $\omega \delta \varepsilon$ ). Originally "here," but vernacular use (Joh 6:25; 10:27).
I will show ( $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \xi \omega$ ). Future active of $\delta \varepsilon ı \kappa \nu v \mu$ in same sense in 1:1.
Hereafter ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \alpha v \tau \alpha$ ). Some editors (Westcott and Hort) connect these words with the beginning of verse 2 .

Rev 4:2
Straightway I was in the Spirit ( $\varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \eta \nu \varepsilon v \pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu a \tau$ ). But John had already "come to be in the Spirit" ( 1:10, the very same phrase). Perhaps here effective aorist middle indicative while ingressive aorist in 1:10 (sequel or result, not entrance), "At once I found myself in the Spirit" (Swete), not "I came to be in the Spirit" as in 1:10.

Was set ( $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \iota \tau o$ ). Imperfect middle of $\kappa \varepsilon \mu \alpha$, old verb, used as passive of $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$. As the vision opens John sees the throne already in place as the first thing in heaven. This bold imagery comes chiefly from 1Ki 22:19; Isa 6:1ff.; Eze 1:26-28; Da 7:9f. One should not forget that this language is glorious imagery, not actual objects in heaven. God is spirit. The picture of God on the throne is common in the O.T. and the N.T. (Mt 5:34f.; 23:22; Heb 1:3 and in nearly every chapter in the Revelation, $1: 4$, etc.). The use of $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ (sitting) for the name
of God is like the Hebrew avoidance of the name Jahweh and is distinguished from the Son in 6：16；7：10．

Upon the throne（ $\varepsilon \pi \operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \rho o v o v)$ ．E $\pi$ with the accusative，as in $4: 4 ; 6: 2,4 \mathrm{f} . ; 11: 16 ; 20: 4$ ，but in verses $9,10,4: 1,7,13 ; 6: 16 ; 7: 15$ we have $\varepsilon \pi$ tou $\theta$ povov（genitive），while in 7：10；19：14； 21：5 we have $\varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \theta \rho \circ v \omega$（locative）with no great distinction in the resultant idea．

Rev 4：3
To look upon（opaø\＆）．Locative case of opaбıऽ，old word（from opa $\omega$ ，to see）for ap－ pearance（in appearance）as in Eze 1：5，26 ．

Like a jasper stone（o $\mu \circ \stackrel{\varsigma}{\iota} \iota \sigma \pi \iota \delta$ ）．Associative－instrumental case of $\iota \propto \sigma \pi \iota$ ，old word （Persian），used for stones of different colors，one opaque like opal，one translucent（21：11，18f． ，possibly here，only N．T．examples），one a red or yellow stone（Isa 54：12 ）．Some even take it for the diamond．Certainly not our cheap modern jasper．

A sardius（ $\sigma \alpha \rho \delta \iota \omega$ ）．Old word，in N．T．only here and 21：20．The carnelian or other red stone，derived from Sardis（Pliny）．

Rainbow（ $\uparrow \uparrow \varsigma)$ ）．Old word，in N．T．only here and 10：1．From Eze 1：28 ．
An emerald（ $\sigma \mu \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \delta \iota v \omega$ ）．Adjective（from $\sigma \mu \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \delta o \varsigma$, Re 21：19），of emerald（supply $\lambda_{1} \theta \omega$ ），in associative instrumental case after ouoosc．John sees no form for God（Ex 24：10）， but only the brilliant flashing gems．＂In the vision the flashing lustre of the taorıc and the fiery red of the $\sigma \alpha \rho \delta$ are relieved by the halo（ $\llcorner\rho \iota$ ）of emerald which encircled the Throne＂ （Swete）．A complete circle．

Rev 4：4
Round about the throne（ $\kappa \cup \kappa \lambda о \theta \varepsilon v ~ \tau o v ~ \theta \rho o v o v) . ~ H e r e ~ a s ~ a ~ p r e p o s i t i o n ~ w i t h ~ t h e ~ g e n i t i v e, ~$ though only adverb in 4：8（only N．T．examples save Textus Rec．in 5：11）．

Four and twenty thrones（ $\theta \rho \circ$ о⿱丷天 $\varepsilon$ וкоб $\tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ．So P Q，but Aleph A have accusative $\theta \rho o v o u s$（supply $\varepsilon ו \delta o v$ from 4：1）and $\tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \varsigma ~(l a t e ~ a c c u s a t i v e ~ i n ~-\varepsilon \varsigma) . ~ T h i s ~ f u r t h e r ~ c i r c l e ~$ of thrones beyond the great throne．

I saw four and twenty elders（ $\varepsilon \kappa о \sigma \tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \alpha \varsigma ~ \pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho о \cup \varsigma) . ~ N o ~ \varepsilon \ell \delta o v$ in the text， but the accusative case calls for it．Twenty－four as a symbolic number occurs only in this book and only for these elders（ $4: 4,10 ; 5: 8 ; 11: 16 ; 19: 4$ ）．We do not really know why this number is chosen，perhaps two elders for each tribe，perhaps the twelve tribes and the twelve apostles（Judaism and Christianity），perhaps the twenty－four courses of the sons of Aaron （1Ch 24：1－19），perhaps some angelic rank（Col 1：16）of which we know nothing．Cf．Eph 2：6．

Sitting（ $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma) . ~ U p o n ~ t h e i r ~ t h r o n e s . ~$
Arrayed（ $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon v o u \varsigma)$ ．Perfect passive participle of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$（to throw around）．
In white garments（ıатьоя $\lambda \varepsilon v \kappa о \iota \varsigma)$ ．Locative case here as in 3：5（with $\varepsilon v$ ），though accusative in 7：9，13．

Crowns of gold ( $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi a v o v \varsigma ~ \chi \rho \cup \sigma o v \varsigma)$. Accusative case again like $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho o v \varsigma$ after $\varepsilon \iota \delta o v(4: 1)$, not $\iota \delta o v$. In 19:14 $\varepsilon \chi \omega \nu$ (having) is added. John uses $\delta \iota \alpha \delta \eta \mu \alpha$ (diadem) for the kingly crown in 12:3; 13:1; 19:12, but it is not certain that the old distinction between $\delta t a \delta \varepsilon \mu$ as the kingly crown and $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi a v o \varsigma$ as the victor's wreath is always observed in late Greek.

Rev 4:5
Out of the throne ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ rov $\theta \rho o v o v$ ). Back to the throne itself. The imagery is kin to that in Ex 19:16; 24:9f.; Eze 1:22,27.

Proceed (єклорєvovта). Graphic historical present.
Lightnings and voices and thunders ( $\alpha \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \pi \alpha \kappa \alpha \varphi \omega v \alpha \kappa \alpha \beta \rho о \nu \tau \alpha$ ). So exactly in $11: 19 ; 16: 18$, but in $8: 5$ with $\beta \rho o v \tau \alpha$ first, aбт $\alpha \pi \alpha$ last, all old and common words. "The thunderstorm is in Hebrew poetry a familiar symbol of the Divine power: cf., e.g., 1Sa 2:10; Ps 18:9f.; Job 37:4f ." (Swete).

Seven lamps of fire ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \alpha \delta \varepsilon \varsigma \pi \cup \rho \circ \varsigma)$. Return to the nominative ( $(\delta o v$, not $\varepsilon ו \delta o v)$ with $\eta \sigma \alpha v$ (were) understood. Metaphor drawn from Eze 1:13; Zec 4:12f. . Our word "lamp," but here a torch as in 8:10, identified with the Holy Spirit (the Seven Spirits of God) as in 1:4; 3:1, not $\lambda u \chi v i a$ (lampstands) as in 1:12,20, nor $\lambda u \chi$ voc a hand-lamp with oil (Mt 5:15 ). "These torches blaze perpetually before the throne of God" (Swete).

## Rev 4:6

As it were a glassy sea ( $\omega \varsigma \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha$ v $\alpha \lambda \iota v \eta$ ). Old adjective (from va $\lambda o \varsigma$, glass, 21:18,21 ), in N.T. only here and 15:2. Possibly from ve (it rains), like a raindrop. At any rate here it is the appearance, not the material. Glass was made in Egypt 4,000 years ago. In Ex 24:10 the elders see under the feet of God in the theophany a paved work of sapphire stone (cf. Eze 1:26). The likeness of the appearance of sky to sea suggests the metaphor here (Beckwith).

Like crystal ( $о \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \kappa \rho v \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ ). Associative-instrumental case after ouoı . Old word, from крvoc (ice and sometimes used for ice), in N.T. only here and 22:1, not semi-opaque, but clear like rock-crystal.

In the midst of the throne ( $\varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \sigma \omega$ tov $\theta \rho o v o v$ ). As one looks from the front, really before.

Round about the throne (кик $\lambda \omega$ tov $\theta \rho \circ$ vov). Merely an adverb in the locative case (Ro 15:19), as a preposition in N.T. only here, 5:11; 7:11. This seems to mean that on each of the four sides of the throne was one of the four living creatures either stationary or moving rapidly round (Eze 1:12f. ).

Four living creatures ( $\tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \rho \alpha \zeta \omega \alpha$ ). Not $\theta \eta \rho \iota \alpha$ (beasts), but living creatures. Certainly kin to the $\zeta \omega \alpha$ of Eze 1; 2 which are cherubim (Eze 10:2,20 ), though here the details vary as to faces and wings with a significance of John's own, probably representing creation in contrast with the redeemed (the elders).

Full of eyes ( $\gamma \varepsilon \mu \circ \nu \tau \alpha$ o $\varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \omega v$ ). Present active participle of $\gamma \varepsilon \mu \omega$, to be full of, with the genitive, signifying here unlimited intelligence (Beckwith), the ceaseless vigilance of nature (Swete).

Rev 4:7
Like a lion ( $\mathrm{o} \mu \mathrm{o}$ ov $\lambda \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau$ ). Associative-instrumental case again. In Eze ( $1: 6,10$ ) each $\zeta \omega \mathrm{ov}$ has four faces, but here each has a different face. "The four forms represent whatever is noblest, strongest, wisest, and swiftest in nature" (Swete). But it is not necessary to try to find a symbolism in each face here like the early baseless identification with the Four Evangelists (the lion for Mark, the man for Matthew, the calf for Luke, the eagle for John). Moo $\chi$ oc is first a sprout, then the young of animals, then a calf (bullock or heifer) as in Lu 15:23, 27,30, or a full-grown ox (Eze 1:10).

Had ( $\varepsilon \chi \omega v$ ). Masculine singular (some MSS. $\varepsilon \chi o v$ neuter singular agreeing with $\zeta \omega 0 v$ ) present active participle of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, changing the construction with the $\tau \rho \iota \tau \circ \nu \zeta \omega 0 \nu$ almost like a finite verb as in verse 8 .

A face as of a man ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \pi \circ \omega \omega \varsigma \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma)$. Shows that the likeness in each instance extended only to the face.

Like an eagle flying (o $\rho \circ \circ \circ \alpha \varepsilon \tau \omega \pi \varepsilon \tau о \mu \varepsilon v \omega$ ). Present middle participle of $\pi \varepsilon \tau \circ \mu \alpha$, to fly, old verb, in N.T. only in Re 4:7; 8:13; 12:14; 14:6; 19:17. The aعtoc in Mt 24:28; Lu 17:37 may be a form of vulture going after carrion, but not in Re 8:13; 12:14 .

Rev 4:8
Each one of them ( $\varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). "One by one of them," a vernacular idiom like ઘાৎ ката عıৎ in Mr 14:19.

Having ( $\varepsilon \chi \omega v$ ). Masculine participle again as in verse 7, though $\zeta \omega$ ov neuter.
Six wings ( $\alpha v \alpha \pi \tau \varepsilon \rho \cup \gamma a \varsigma \varepsilon \xi$ ). Distributive use of $\alpha v \alpha$, "six wings apiece" as in Lu 10:1 (ava סvo, by twos). Like Isa 6:2, not like Eze 1:6, where only four wings are given apiece.

Are full of ( $\gamma \varepsilon \mu \circ v \sigma \iota v$ ). Plural verb, though $\zeta \omega \alpha$ neuter, to individualize each one.
Round about and within ( $\kappa \cup \kappa \lambda о \theta \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \sigma \omega \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Perhaps before and behind (4:6) and under the wings, "pointing to the secret energies of nature" (Swete).

Rest ( $\alpha v \alpha \pi \alpha v \sigma เ v)$. See also 14:11. Old word (from avarav $\omega$, to relax), as in Mt 11:29. God and Christ cease not their activity (Joh 5:17). "This ceaseless activity of nature under the hand of God is a ceaseless tribute of praise" (Swete).

Day and night ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma \kappa \alpha$ vиктоৎ). Genitive of time, by day and by night.
 Seraphim and Ophannim is to sing the praises of God" (Charles) in the $\tau \rho \iota \sigma$ 人үюv (triple repetition of $\alpha \gamma \operatorname{loc})$.

Is the Lord God (Kıpıo o $\theta$ zoc). See Isa 6:3. The copula $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ (is) is not expressed, but is implied.

The Almighty (o $\pi \alpha v \tau о к \rho a \tau \omega \rho$ ). See on 1:8.

Which was and which is and which is to come ( $о \downarrow \nu \alpha \circ$ о $\omega v \kappa \alpha$ о $\varepsilon \rho \chi о \mu \varepsilon v \circ \varsigma)$. Just as in 1:4,8, but with the order changed.

## Rev 4:9

When the living creatures shall give (o $\sigma \alpha v \delta \omega \sigma o v \sigma \tau v \tau \alpha \zeta \omega \alpha$ ). Indefinite temporal clause with otav and the future active indicative ( $\delta \omega \sigma \sigma 0 \sigma v$ ) rather than the more common second aorist active subjunctive ( $\delta \omega \sigma \tau v$ ) with the notion of repetition rather than unbroken continuance, "whenever they give." The giving of praise and glory to God by the four living creatures (representatives of nature) is met by corresponding worship by the redeemed (the four and twenty elders). "Created life adores the Uncreated" (Swete), "to the one living for ages of ages."

Rev 4:10
Shall fall down ( $\pi \varepsilon \sigma o u v \tau \alpha$, future middle of $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$ ),
shall worship ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \nu v \eta \sigma o v \sigma \iota v$, future active of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \nu v \varepsilon \omega$ ),
shall cast their crowns ( $\beta \alpha \lambda$ ovoıv tovৎ $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi a v o v \varsigma$, future active of $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ ). The two actions by the two groups (living creatures, elders) are coordinated (simultaneous in the repetition). They thus acknowledge that all this kingly dignity comes from God, who is King of kings and Lord of lords. Charles takes the elders, however, to be angels, not redeemed men.

## Rev 4:11

Our Lord and our God (о кирıос ка о $\theta \varepsilon о \varsigma ~ \eta \mu \omega v$ ). The nominative form here used as vocative as in Joh 20:28 and often.

To receive ( $\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Epexegetic second aorist active infinitive of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$ with $\alpha \xi \iota o \varsigma$ (worthy).

The glory ( $\tau \eta \nu \delta o \xi \alpha v$ ). The article referring to $\delta o \xi \alpha v$ in verse 9 and so with $\tau \eta \nu \tau \mu \mu \eta$ (the honour), though $\tau \eta v \delta \nu v a \mu \nu v$ (the power) is not in verse 9, but is the power due to be ascribed to God.

Thou didst create ( $\sigma v \varepsilon \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ ). Emphasis on $\sigma v$ (thou), first aorist active indicative of $\kappa \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, the verb used about the act of creation by Paul in $\operatorname{Col} 1: 16$ ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta, \varepsilon \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \tau \alpha)$, constative aorist giving a summary picture of the whole (not as a process).

Because of thy will ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ тo $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \sigma o v$ ). Reason for creation of the universe as in Heb 2:10 ( $\delta \mathrm{l}^{\prime} \mathrm{ov}$ ).

They were ( $\eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Imperfect tense with a cursory glance at the universe as a fact, possibly a potential existence in God's purpose in the eternal past before the actual creation in time.

And were created ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of the same verb, $\kappa \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, just used and in the plural, while Paul (Col 1:16) uses the singular $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta$. See 1Co 8:6 . God's will wrought through the Logos (Christ).

## Revelation 5

Rev 5:1
In the right hand ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \nu \delta \varepsilon \xi \iota a v)$. "Upon the right hand" ( $\varepsilon \pi$, not $\varepsilon v$ ), the open palm. Anthropomorphic language drawn from Eze 2:9f .

A book ( $\beta \iota \beta \lambda_{\imath} \circ v$ ). Diminutive of $\beta \iota \beta \lambda$ o $\varsigma$, but no longer so used, $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \alpha \rho \iota \delta \iota v$ occurring instead ( 10:2).

Written ( $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Perfect passive predicate participle of $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$.
Within and on the back ( $\varepsilon \sigma \omega \theta \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha$ о $\quad\llcorner\theta \varepsilon \varepsilon v$ ). "Within and behind." Description of a roll like that in Lu 4:17, not a codex as some scholars think. Usually these papyrus rolls were written only on the inside, but this one was so full of matter that it was written also on the back side (o $\pi\llcorner\theta \varepsilon v$ ), and so was an o $\sigma \iota \sigma \theta$ oүpapov like that in Eze 2:10. There are many allegorical interpretations of this fact which are all beside the point.

Sealed ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. Perfect passive predicate participle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \zeta \omega$, old compound (perfective use of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ ), to seal up (down), here only in N.T.

With seven seals ( $\sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \sigma v \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha$ ). Instrumental case of $\sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \iota$, old word used in various senses, proof or authentication (1Co 9:2; Ro 4:11 ), signet-ring (Re 7:2 ), impression made by the seal ( $\operatorname{Re} 9: 4 ; 2 \mathrm{Ti} 2: 19$ ), the seal on books closing the book (Re 5:1,2,5,9; 6:1,3,5,7,9,12; 8:1 ). "A will in Roman law bore the seven seals of the seven witnesses" (Charles). But this sealed book of doom calls for no witnesses beyond God's own will. Alford sees in the number seven merely the completeness of God's purposes.

Rev 5:2
A strong angel ( $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o v ~ เ \sigma \chi \cup \rho o v)$. One needed ( $10: 1 ; 18: 21$ ) "whose call could reach to the farthest limits of the universe" (Beckwith) and so "with a great voice" ( $\varepsilon v \varphi \omega v \eta \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta$, in a great voice, as in 14:7,9,15, and without $\varepsilon v 5: 12 ; 6: 10 ; 7: 2,10 ; 8: 13 ; 10: 3$, etc.). See $\varepsilon v$ Іб $\chi \cup \rho \alpha \varphi \omega v \eta$ ( 18:2).

Proclaiming ( $\kappa \eta \rho v \sigma \sigma о v \tau \alpha)$. Present active predicate participle of $\kappa \eta \rho v \sigma \sigma \omega$, to herald, to preach.

Worthy to open and to loose ( $\alpha \xi$ เо¢ $\alpha v o ı \xi \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \sigma \alpha$ ). Worthy by rank and character (cf. Joh 1:27) as well as by ability ( $\varepsilon \delta u v a \tau o$, verse 3 ), followed by two infinitives (first aorist active) of $\alpha v o \imath \gamma \omega$ and $\lambda \nu \omega$, though $v \alpha$ and the subjunctive can be used after $\alpha \xi$ เoৎ as in Joh 1:27. Here $\alpha \xi$ เoc is like וкаvoc (capable, qualified) as in Mt 8:8. The articles here (то, та¢) refer to the book and the seals in verse 1 . It is a husteron-proteron, since the loosing of the seals precedes the opening of the book.

Rev 5:3
$\mathrm{Ev}(\mathrm{in})$ with locative (oupav $\omega$ ), $\varepsilon \pi$ (upon) with genitive ( $\gamma \eta \varsigma$ ), vлокат $\omega$ (under) with ablative ( $\gamma \eta \varsigma$ ), as in verse 13, including the whole universe, as in Ex 20:4 (Php 2:10). The

MSS. vary in the negative conjunctions after ou $\delta \varepsilon ו \varsigma$ (no one) between ou $\delta \varepsilon$--ov $\delta \varepsilon$ (continuative, and not--nor) and ovte--oute (disjunctive, neither--nor).

To look thereon ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon เ v$ avto). Into the contents of the book. The universe declines the challenge.

Rev 5:4
I wept much ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \alpha \iota v \geqslant \pi \partial \lambda v$ ). Imperfect active of $\kappa \lambda \alpha \iota \omega$, picturesque, descriptive, I kept on weeping much; natural tense in these vivid visions ( $1: 12 ; 2: 14 ; 5: 4,14 ; 6: 8,9 ; 10: 10$; 19:14; 21:15 ). Perhaps weeping aloud.

Was found ( $\varepsilon \cup \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\varepsilon u \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$.
Worthy ( $\alpha \xi$ เoc). Predicative nominative after $\varepsilon \cup \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta$.
Rev 5:5
One of the elders ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v \pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega v$ ). "One from among the elders" of 4:4,10 ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ with the ablative 8 times in the Apocalypse, 12 in the Fourth Gospel, 10 in rest of the N.T., in place of the mere partitive genitive). No particular reason for one elder as the agent over another ( 7:13).

Saith ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ ). Dramatic vivid present.
Weep not ( $\mu \eta \kappa \lambda \alpha ı \varepsilon$ ). "Cease weeping" (prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and the present active imperative of $\kappa \lambda \alpha \omega \omega$.

The Lion (o $\lambda \varepsilon \omega v$ ). Satan is called a lion by Peter ( $1 \mathrm{Pe} 5: 8$ ), but the metaphor belongs to Jesus also. Judah is called a lion in the blessing of Jacob (Ge 49:9) and Jesus as the greatest of the tribe of Judah, "the Root of David" ( $\eta \rho \iota \zeta \alpha \Delta \alpha \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta$, Isa 11:1,10 ) or the Branch from this root (the Messiah).

Hath overcome ( $\varepsilon v / \kappa \eta \sigma \varepsilon v)$. First aorist active indicative of vıк $\alpha \omega$, "did overcome," coming first in the sentence as "the great historical fact of the victory of the Christ" (Swete).

Rev 5:6
And I saw ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \iota \delta o v$ ). Stirred by the words of the elder in verse 5 (ı $\delta o v$, behold). "I beheld."

In the midst $(\varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \sigma \omega)$. See $4: 6$ for this idiom. It is not quite clear where the Lamb was standing in the vision, whether close to the throne or in the space between the throne and the elders (perhaps implied by "came" in verse 7, but nearness to the throne is implied by 14:1; Ac 7:56; Heb 10:11).

A Lamb ( $\alpha \rho v$ vov). Elsewhere in the N.T. o a $\mu v o$ os is used of Christ (Joh 1:29,36; Acts 8:32; 1Pe 1:19 like Isa 53:7), but in the Apocalypse to apvov occurs for the Crucified Christ 29 times in twelve chapters.

Standing ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \propto \varsigma)$. Second perfect active (intransitive of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ ) neuter accusative singular (grammatical gender like $\alpha \rho v$ vov), though some MSS. read $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \omega \varsigma$ (natural gender masculine and nominative in spite of $\varepsilon \in \delta o v$ construction according to sense).

As though it had been slain ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \varphi \alpha \gamma \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Perfect passive predicate participle of $\sigma \varphi \alpha \zeta \omega$, old word, in N.T. only in $\operatorname{Re} 5: 6,9,12 ; 6: 4,9 ; 13: 3 ; 18: 24 ; 1$ Jo $3: 12$. H $\omega \varsigma$ (as if) is used because the Lamb is now alive, but (in appearance) with the marks of the sacrifice. The Christ as the Lamb is both sacrifice and Priest (Heb 9:12f.; 10:11).

Having $(\varepsilon \chi \omega v)$. Construction according to sense again with masculine nominative participle instead of $\varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau \alpha$ (masculine accusative singular) or $\varepsilon \chi \circ v$ (neuter accusative singular). Seven horns (кعраৎ) is a common symbol in the O.T. for strength and kingly power (1Sa 2:10; 1Ki 22:11; Ps 112:9; Da 7:7,20ff. ) and often in Rev. (Re 12:3; 13:1; 17:3,12 ). Fulness of power (the All-powerful one) is symbolized by seven.

Seven eyes ( $\varphi \theta \theta \lambda \mu$ оטऽ $\varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha$ ). Like Zec 3:9; 4:10 and denotes here, as there, omniscience. Here they are identified with the seven Spirits of Christ, while in 1:4 the seven Spirits are clearly the Holy Spirit of God (3:1), and blaze like torches (4:5), like the eyes of Christ ( 1:14). The Holy Spirit is both Spirit of God and of Christ (Ro 8:9).

Sent forth ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Perfect passive predicate participle of $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, masculine plural (agreeing with o and o $\varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu o v \varsigma$ in gender), but some MSS. have $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ agreeing with the nearer $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$.

Rev 5:7
He taketh ( $\varepsilon \wedge \lambda \eta \varphi \varepsilon \nu$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$, not used for the aorist (cf. $\eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$, he came), but vivid dramatic picture of the actual scene, "he has taken it."

Rev 5:8
He had taken $(\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon v)$. Here John drops back to the narrative tense (the second aorist active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega)$, not the past perfect as the English rendering might indicate, merely "when he took." For like vivid variation (not confusion) of tenses with $\varepsilon \iota \lambda \eta \varphi \varepsilon \nu$ see 3:3; 8:5; 11:17 and with $\varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \kappa \alpha$ in 7:13f.; 19:3.

Fell down $(\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \alpha v)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$ with first aorist ( $-\alpha v$ ) ending, just "fell."

Having ( $\varepsilon \chi \circ \vee \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. "Holding."
A harp ( $\kappa \iota \theta \alpha \rho \alpha v)$. Old word, the traditional instrument (lyre or zithern) for psalmody (Ps 33:2; 98:5 , etc.).

Golden bowls ( $\varphi ⿺ 𠃊 \lambda \alpha \varsigma ~ \chi \rho v \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. Broad shallow saucers, old word, in N.T. only in Re $5: 8 ; 15: 7 ; 16: 1-4,8,10,12,17 ; 17: 1 ; 21: 9$.

Of incense $(\theta \nu \mu \iota \alpha \mu \alpha \tau \omega v)$. Old word from $\theta \nu \mu \iota \alpha \omega$, to burn incense (Lu 1:9), as in Lu 1:10.

Which are ( $\alpha$ عוбıv). "Which (these bowls of incense) symbolize the prayers of the saints as in Ps 140:2; Lu 1:10.

Rev 5:9
They sing ( $\alpha \iota \delta o v \sigma \iota v$ ). Present active indicative of $\alpha \iota \delta \omega$. Old verb, to chant with lyrical emotion ( Col 3:16 ).

A new song ( $\omega \iota \delta \eta \nu$ кaı $\nu \eta \nu$ ). Cognate accusative for oı $\delta \varepsilon$ ( $\omega \iota \delta \eta$, song) is $\alpha o \iota \delta \varepsilon$ from $\alpha \varepsilon \iota \delta \omega$, that is $\alpha \iota \delta \omega$ (the verb used), old word already used (Col 3:16; Eph 5:19), called kaıv$\nu$ because a fresh song for new mercies (Isa 42:10; Ps 33:3; 40:3 , etc.), here in praise of redemption to Christ ( $14: 3$ ) like the new name ( $2: 17 ; 3: 12$ ), the new Jerusalem ( $3: 12 ; 21: 2$ ), the new heaven and the new earth ( $21: 1$ ), not the old song of creation ( $4: 8,11$ ) to God.

For thou wast slain (oт $\varepsilon \sigma \varphi \alpha \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. Second aorist passive indicative of $\sigma \varphi \alpha \zeta \omega$. A $\gamma о \rho \alpha \zeta \omega$ used by Paul and Peter of our purchase from sin by Christ (1Co 6:20; 7:23; $\mathrm{Ga} 3: 13 ; 4: 5 ; 2 \mathrm{Pe}$ 2:1 ; cf. 1Pe 1:18f. ).

Unto God ( $\tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). Dative case of advantage as also in verse 10 .
With thy blood ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \alpha \mu \alpha \tau \sigma o v$ ). Instrumental use of $\varepsilon v$ as in 1:5. The blood of Christ as the price of our redemption runs all through the Apocalypse. This is the reason why Christ is worthy to "take the book and open its seals." That is, he is worthy to receive adoration and worship ( $4: 11$ ) as the Father does.

Men of every ( $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \varsigma$ ). No $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ оия (men) or $\tau \imath v a \varsigma$ (some) before $\varepsilon \kappa$ in the Greek. See a like ellipsis in 11:9 with a like grouping of words for all mankind, representatives of all races and nations ( $7: 9 ; 13: 7 ; 14: 6$ ).

## Rev 5:10

Madest ( $\varepsilon \pi \sigma \not \square \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. First aorist active indicative of $\pi o เ \varepsilon \omega$, a prophetic use anticipating the final result.

A kingdom and priests ( $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ ка $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$. As the correct text in 1:6.
They reign ( $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon v o v \sigma t v$ ). Present active indicative, futuristic use, though Aleph $P$ have the future $\beta \alpha \sigma \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma o v \sigma \iota v$ (shall reign) as in 20:6.

Rev 5:11
And I saw ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon ו \delta o v$ ). A new feature introduced by the outer and vaster circle ( $\kappa \cup \kappa \lambda \omega$ ) of angels who catch up the new song of redemption in antiphonal singing, answering the song of the four living creatures and the twenty-four elders. Some MSS. read $\omega \varsigma$ (as if) before $\varphi \omega v \eta \nu$ (voice). Ten thousand times ten thousand ( $\mu v \rho ı \alpha \delta \varepsilon \varsigma \mu v \rho \iota \alpha \delta \omega \nu$ ка $\chi \downarrow \lambda ı \alpha \delta \varepsilon \varsigma \chi ı \lambda ı \alpha \omega \nu v$ ). Literally, "myriads of myriads and thousands of thousands," a mild husteron-proteron. The regular order in I Enoch 40:I. See Da 7:10 for $\chi \lambda^{\prime} \lambda_{ı} \alpha \nmid \lambda \iota a \delta \varepsilon \varsigma$ (thousand thousands) and $\mu \nu \rho ı \alpha$ $\mu \nu \rho i a \delta \varepsilon \varsigma$ (countless myriads). They are all efforts to express the innumerable hosts of the angels.

Rev 5:12
Worthy ( $\alpha \xi_{\iota} \circ v$ ). Agreeing in gender (grammatical neuter) with $\alpha \rho v$ ov , but some MSS. have $\alpha \xi_{\imath o \varsigma ~(m a s c u l i n e, ~ n a t u r a l ~ g e n d e r) . ~ N o t e ~ c h a n g e ~ t o ~ t h i r d ~ p e r s o n ~ \varepsilon \sigma \tau ı v ~ i n s t e a d ~ o f ~ s e c o n d ~}^{\text {d }}$ $\varepsilon$. The point of the song is the same as that in verses 9,10 , but the language differs. Note the repeated article to (the lamb the slain) referring to verses 6,9 . Note also the one article $\tau \eta \nu$ before $\delta u v a \mu \nu v$ for all the seven grounds of praise ( $\delta \nu v a \mu ı \nu$, power, $\pi \lambda o v \tau o v$, wealth, $\sigma о \varphi ı \alpha v$, wisdom, $\iota \sigma \chi \nu v$, strength, $\tau \iota \mu \eta \nu$, honor, $\delta o \xi \alpha \nu$, glory, $\varepsilon \cup \lambda o \gamma \iota \alpha \nu$, blessing), though $\pi \lambda o v \tau o v$
is masculine, in contrast with separate article for each item (all three feminine) in 4:11, here grouping them all together, "a heptad of praise" (Swete).

Rev 5:13
Every created thing ( $\pi \alpha v \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha)$. Every creature in a still wider antiphonal circle beyond the circle of angels (from $\kappa \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, for which see 1 Ti 4:4; Jas 1:18), from all the four great fields of life (in heaven, upon the earth, under the earth as in verse 3 , with on the sea $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta \zeta$ added). No created thing is left out. This universal chorus of praise to Christ from all created life reminds one of the profound mystical passage in Ro 8:20-22 concerning the sympathetic agony of creation ( $\kappa \tau \iota \sigma \iota)$ in hope of freedom from the bondage of corruption. If the trail of the serpent is on all creation, it will be ultimately thrown off.

Saying ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma 0 v \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Masculine (construction according to sense, personifying the created things) if genuine, though some MSS. have $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma o v \tau \alpha$ (grammatical gender agreeing with $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha)$ present active participle of $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, to say.

And to the Lamb ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \omega \alpha \rho v i \omega)$. Dative case. Praise and worship are rendered to the Lamb precisely as to God on the throne. Note separate articles here in the doxology as in 4:11 and the addition of to кратоৎ (active power) in place of $\iota \sigma \chi \cup \varsigma$ (reserve of strength) in 5:12.

Rev 5:14
Amen $(A \mu \eta \nu)$. The four living creatures give their approval to the doxology after the antiphonal songs.

Fell down and worshipped ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \nu ~ к а ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon к и ข \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. In silent adoration that closes the whole service of praise to the One upon the throne and to the Lamb. As in $4: 10$ so here the representatives of the redeemed bow in silent worship. Pliny says that the Christians sing a song to Christ as to God. He is here worshipped by the universe (Php 2:10f. ).

## Revelation 6

Rev 6:1
And I saw ( $\kappa \alpha$ عı $\delta o v$ ). As in 4:1; 5:1. The vision unfolds without anything being said about opening the book and reading from it. In a more vivid and dramatic fashion the Lamb breaks the seals one by one and reveals the contents and the symbolism. The first four seals have a common note from one of the four $\zeta \omega \alpha$ and the appearance of a horse. No effort will be made here to interpret these seals as referring to persons or historical events in the past, present, or future, but simply to relate the symbolism to the other symbols in the book. It is possible that there is some allusion here to the symbolism in the so-called "Little Apocalypse" of Mr 13; Mt 24f.; Lu 21 . The imagery of the four horses is similar to that in Zec 1:7-11; 6:1-8 (cf. Jer 14:12; 24:10; 42:17). In the Old Testament the horse is often the emblem of war (Job 39:25; Ps 76:6; Pr 21:31; Eze 26:10 ). "Homer pictures the horses of Rhesus as whiter than snow, and swift as the wind" (Vincent).

When the Lamb opened (oт $\eta v o \iota \xi \varepsilon v$ to $\alpha \rho v ı v)$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha v o \gamma \gamma \omega$. This same phrase recurs in rhythmical order at the opening of each seal ( $6: 1,3,5,7,9,12$ ) till
 to it).

One ( $\mu \alpha v$ ). Probably used here as an ordinal (the first) as in Mt 28:1. See Robertson, Grammar, p. 671f.

Of ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ ). This use of $\varepsilon \kappa$ with the ablative in the partitive sense is common in the Apocalypse, as twice in this verse ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v$, etc.). So $\varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v$ (one of the four living creatures) is "the first of," etc.

In a voice of thunder ( $\varepsilon v \varphi \omega v \eta \beta \rho o v \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Old word used of John and James (Mr 3:17 ) and elsewhere in N.T. only Joh 12:29 and a dozen times in the Apocalypse.

Come (Epरou). Present middle imperative of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, but with exclamatory force (not strictly linear). The command is not addressed to the Lamb nor to John (the correct text omits $\kappa \alpha \downarrow \delta \varepsilon$ "and see") as in 17:1; 21:9, but to one of the four horsemen each time. Swete takes it as a call to Christ because $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ 0$ is so used in 22:17,20, but that is not conclusive.

Rev 6:2
And I saw and behold ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta о v \kappa \alpha เ \delta o v$ ). This combination is frequent in the Apocalypse (4:1; 6:2,5,8; 14:1,14; 19:11 ).

A white horse (ıптоৎ $\lambda \varepsilon \cup \kappa о \varsigma)$. In Zec 6:1-8 we have red, black, white, and grizzled bay horses like the four winds of heaven, ministers to do God's will. White seems to be the colour of victory (cf. the white horse of the Persian Kings) like the white horse ridden by the Roman conqueror in a triumphant procession.

Had ( $\varepsilon \chi \omega v$ ). Agreeing in gender and case with o ка $\theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v o c$.
A bow ( $\tau \mathrm{\xi} \xi \mathrm{ov}$ ). Old word (Zec 9:13f. of a great bow), here only in N.T.

Was given ( $\varepsilon \delta 0 \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$.
A crown ( $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \vee o \varsigma$ ). See on $4: 4$ for this word.
He came forth ( $\varepsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, either to come out or to go out (went forth).

Conquering ( $v ı \kappa \omega v$ ). Present active participle of vikaw.
 subjunctive of $v ı \kappa \alpha \omega$. Here $\omega \varsigma \nu$ v $\kappa \eta \sigma \omega \nu$ (future active participle with $\omega \varsigma$ ) could have been used. The aorist tense here points to ultimate victory. Commentators have been busy identifying the rider of the white horse according to their various theories. "It is tempting to identify him with the Rider on the white horse in 19:11f. , whose name is 'the Word of God'" (Swete). Tempting, "but the two riders have nothing in common beyond the white horse."

Rev 6:3
The second seal ( $\tau \eta v \sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \delta \alpha \tau \eta v \delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha v)$ ) "The seal the second." The white horse with his rider vanished from the scene bent on his conquering career.

Rev 6:4
A red horse (ıлтоৎ $\pi \cup \rho \rho о \varsigma)$. Old adjective from $\pi v \rho$ (fire), flame-coloured, blood-red (2Ki 3:22), in N.T. only here and 12:3, like Zec 1:8; 6:2 (roan horse).

To take peace from the earth ( $\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon ı \nu \tau \eta v \varepsilon \rho \eta \eta \eta \eta v \varepsilon \kappa \eta \zeta \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. Second aorist active infinitive of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$, and here the nominative case, the subject of $\varepsilon \delta o \theta \eta$ (see verse 2), "to take peace out of the earth." Alas, how many red horses have been ridden through the ages.

And that they should slay one another ( $\kappa \alpha \iota v \alpha \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o v \varsigma ~ \sigma \varphi \alpha \xi о v \sigma \iota v$ ). Epexegetical explanatory purpose clause with $\operatorname{vo\alpha }$ and the future active of $\sigma \varphi \alpha \zeta \omega$ ( 5:6) instead of the more usual subjunctive (verse 2). Cf. Robertson, Grammar, p. 998f. This is what war does to perfection, makes cannon fodder (cf. Joh 14:27) of men.

A great sword ( $\mu \alpha \chi \alpha u \rho \alpha \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta$ ). Maүaupa may be a knife carried in a sheath at the girdle (Joh 18:10 ) or a long sword in battle as here. Poнبaıa, also a large sword, is the only other word for sword in the N.T. (Re 1:16; 2:12,16; 6:8; 19:15,21).

Rev 6:5
A black horse (ı $\pi \pi о \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \varsigma)$. Lust of conquest brings bloodshed, but also famine and hunger. "The colour of mourning and famine. See Jer 4:28; 8:21; Mal 3:14, where mournfully is, literally, in black" (Vincent).

Had ( $\varepsilon \chi \omega v)$ as in verse 2.
A balance ( $\zeta \cup \gamma \circ v$ ). Literally, a yoke (old word from $\zeta \varepsilon v \gamma v v \mu$, to join), of slavery (Ac 15:10; Ga 5:1 ), of teaching (Mt 11:29), of weight or measure like a pair of scales evenly balancing as here (Eze 5:1; 45:10). The rider of this black horse, like the spectral figure of hunger, carries in his hand a pair of scales. This is also one of the fruits of war.

Rev 6:6

As it were a voice $(\omega \varsigma \varphi \omega \nu \eta \nu)$. "This use of $\omega \varsigma$, giving a certain vagueness or mysteriousness to a phrase, is one of the characteristics of the writer's style, e.g., $8: 1 ; 14: 3 ; 19: 1,6$ " (Beckwith). This voice comes from the midst of the four living creatures, "the protest of nature against the horrors of famine" (Swete).

A measure $(\chi \circ \imath v \xi)$. Old word for less than a quart with us, here only in N.T.
Of wheat (бוтov). Old word for wheat, a number of times in N.T., in Rev. only here and $18: 13$. This was enough wheat to keep a man of moderate appetite alive for a day.

For a penny ( $\delta \eta v \alpha \rho ı v)$. Genitive of price, the wages of a day laborer (Mt 20:2 ), about eighteen cents in our money today.

Of barley ( $\kappa \rho \iota \theta \omega \nu$ ). Old word $\kappa \rho \iota \eta \eta$, usually in plural as here. Barley was the food of the poor and it was cheaper even in the famine and it took more of it to support life. Here the proportion is three to one (cf. $2 \mathrm{Ki} 7: 18$ ). The proclamation forbids famine prices for food (solid and liquid).

Hurt thou not ( $\mu \eta \alpha \delta \iota \kappa \eta \sigma \eta \iota)$. Prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and the ingressive first aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \delta \iota \kappa \varepsilon \omega$. See 7:3; 9:4 for $\alpha \delta_{ı} \varepsilon \omega$ for injury to vegetable life. "The prohibition is addressed to the nameless rider who represents Dearth" (Swete). Wheat and barley, oil and the vine, were the staple foods in Palestine and Asia Minor.

## Rev 6:8

 tender green grass) used of green grass ( $\operatorname{Mr} 6: 39$; $\operatorname{Re~8:7;~9:4),~here~for~yellowish,~common~}$ in both senses in old Greek, though here only in N.T. in this sense, greenish yellow. We speak of a sorrel horse, never of a green horse. Zechariah (Zec 6:3) uses поєкı入оৎ (grizzled or variegated). Homer used $\chi \lambda \omega \rho \circ \varsigma$ of the ashen colour of a face blanched by fear (pallid) and so the pale horse is a symbol of death and of terror.

His name was Death (ovo $\mu \alpha \alpha \tau \tau \omega$ o $\theta a v \alpha \tau o \varsigma)$. Anacoluthon in grammatical structure like that in Joh 3:1 (cf. Re 2:26) and common enough. Death is the name of this fourth rider (so personified) and there is with Death "his inseparable comrade, Hades (1:16; 20:13f. )" (Swete). Hades ( $\alpha \iota \delta \eta \varsigma$, alpha privative, and $\delta \varepsilon \iota v$, to see, the unseen) is the abode of the dead, the keys of which Christ holds $(\operatorname{Re} 1: 18)$.

Followed ( $\eta \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active of $\alpha \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \varepsilon \omega$, kept step with death, whether on the same horse or on another horse by his side or on foot John does not say.

Over the fourth part of the earth ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \circ \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \rho \tau \circ \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. Partitive genitive $\gamma \eta \varsigma$ after $\tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \rho \tau o v$. Wider authority ( $\varepsilon \xi$ оvбı $\alpha$ ) was given to this rider than to the others, though what part of the earth is included in the fourth part is not indicated.

To kill ( $\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ \nu \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive of $\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ \nu \omega$, explanation of the $\varepsilon \xi 0 v \sigma \iota \alpha$ (authority). The four scourges of Eze 14:21 are here reproduced with instrumental $\varepsilon v$ with the inanimate things ( $\rho \circ \mu \varphi \alpha \iota \alpha \iota, \lambda \iota \mu \omega \theta \alpha v \alpha \tau \omega$ ) and v $\tau \sigma$ for the beasts $(\theta \eta \rho \iota \omega v)$. Death here
( $\theta a v a \tau \omega)$ seems to mean pestilence as the Hebrew does ( $\lambda_{\circ} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$-- cf. $\lambda_{1 \mu}$ ос famine). Cf. the "black death" for a plague.

Rev 6:9
 sacrifices was poured at the bottom of the altar (Le 4:7). The altar of sacrifice (Ex 39:39; 40:29 ), not of incense. The imagery, as in Hebrews, is from the tabernacle. For the word see Mt 5:23f., often in Rev. (Re 8:3,5; 9:13; 11:1; 14:18; 16:7). This altar in heaven is symbolic, of course, the antitype for the tabernacle altar (Heb 8:5). The Lamb was slain (5:6,9,12) and these martyrs have followed the example of their Lord.

The souls ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \psi \cup \chi \alpha \varsigma)$. The lives, for the life is in the blood (Le 17:11), were given for Christ (Php 2:17; 2Ti 4:6).

Of the slain ( $\tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \sigma \varphi \alpha \gamma \mu \varepsilon v \omega \nu$ ). See 5:6. Christians were slain during the Neronian persecution and now again under Domitian. A long line of martyrs has followed.

For the word of God ( $\delta$ ta $\tau$ ov $\lambda$ oүov $\operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \varepsilon o v$ ). As in 1:9, the confession of loyalty to Christ as opposed to emperor-worship.

And for the testimony which they held ( $\kappa \alpha \delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \nu \mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho ı \alpha \nu \eta \nu \varepsilon \ell \chi \circ v$ ). See also 1:9. Probably ка equals "even" here, explaining the preceding. The imperfect tense $\varepsilon \not \chi \chi \vee v$ suits the repetition of the witness to Christ and the consequent death.

Rev 6:10
How long ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \pi 0 \tau \varepsilon$ ). "Until when." Cf. Mt 7:17; Joh 10:24.
O Master (o $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \pi о \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Nominative articular form, but used as vocative ( $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \pi \circ \tau \alpha$ ) as in 4:11 (Joh 20:28). On $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \pi$ orns (correlative of $\delta$ ou $\mathrm{\lambda o} \mathrm{\varsigma}$ ) see Lu 2:29. Here (alone in the Apocalypse) it is applied to God as in Lu 2:29; Ac 4:24, but to Christ in Jude 1:4; 2Pe 2:1 .

The holy and true ( $\mathrm{o} \alpha \nvdash \circ \varsigma \kappa \alpha \alpha \lambda \eta \theta$ voৎ). See 3:7 for these attributes of God.
Avenge our blood on them that dwell upon the earth ( $\varepsilon \kappa \delta ı к \varepsilon \iota$, то $\alpha \mu \alpha ~ \eta \mu \omega \nu \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu$ катоюкоиข $\omega \omega v \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. This same idiom in 19:2 and see it also in Lu 18:7f. , "a passage which goes far to answer many questions in theodicy" (Swete). We find $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \kappa \kappa \varepsilon \omega$, late compound, used with $\varepsilon \kappa$ as here in De 18:19; 1Sa 24:13, but with $\alpha \pi$ o in Lu 18:3. For $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma$ (upon the earth) see 3:10.

Rev 6:11
A white robe ( $\sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta \lambda \varepsilon v \kappa \eta$ ). Old word from $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, to equip, an equipment in clothes, a flowing robe (Mr 12:38). For the white robe for martyrs see 3:4f.; 4:4; 7:9,13; 19:14 .

That they should rest (iva $\alpha v a \pi \alpha v \sigma o v \tau \alpha)$. Sub-final clause with $\mathrm{v} \alpha$ and the future indicative (as in $3: 9 ; 6: 4$ ) middle rather than the aorist middle subjunctive $\alpha v \alpha \pi \alpha v \sigma \omega v \tau \alpha$ of Aleph C.

Yet for a little time ( $\varepsilon \tau$ र $\rho$ ovov $\mu \kappa \kappa \rho \circ \vee$ ). Accusative of extension of time as in 20:3. Perhaps rest from their cry for vengeance and also rest in peace ( 14:13). For the verb avatave see on Mt 11:28.

Until should be fulfilled ( $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \omega \sigma \tau v$ ). Future indefinite temporal clause with $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ and the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \omega$, to fill full (Mt 23:32; Col 2:10 ), "until be filled full" (the number of), regular Greek idiom.

Which should be killed (o $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda$ оv $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon v v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ). Regular construction of articular present active participle of $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ (about to be, going to be) with the present passive infinitive of $\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon \nu v \omega$, Aeolic and late form for $\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ v \omega$, to kill (also in Mr 12:5 ). John foresees more persecution coming ( $2: 10 ; 3: 10$ ).

Rev 6:12
There was a great earthquake ( $\sigma \varepsilon \iota \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau o$ ). "There came a great earthquake." Jesus spoke of earthquakes in his great eschatological discourse (Mr 13:8). In Mt 24:29 the powers of the heavens will be shaken. $\sum \varepsilon \iota \sigma \mu$ os is from $\sigma \varepsilon \omega \omega$, to shake, and occurs also in Re $8: 5 ; 11: 13,19 ; 16: 18$. The reference is not a local earthquake like those so common in Asia Minor
 sack, originally a bag for holding things (Ge 42:25,35), then coarse garment of hair ( $\tau \rho \chi \chi\llcorner\circ$, old word from $\theta \rho \iota$, here only in N.T.) clinging to one like a sack, of mourners, suppliants, prophets leading austere lives (Mt 3:4; 11:21; Lu 10:13 ). Here the hair is that of the black goat (Isa 50:3 ). Cf. Joe 2:10; Eze 32:7f.; Isa 13:10; Mr 13:24f. See Ec 12:2 for eclipses treated as symbols of old age. Apocalyptic pictures all have celestial phenomena following earthquakes.

As blood ( $\omega \varsigma \alpha \mu \alpha$ ). In Ac 2:20 we find Peter interpreting the apocalyptic eschatological language of Joe 2:31 about the sun being turned into darkness and the moon into blood as pointing to the events of the day of Pentecost as also "the great day of the Lord." Peter's interpretation of Joel should make us cautious about too literal an exegesis of these grand symbols.

Rev 6:13
Her unripe figs ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma$ o $\begin{gathered}\text { uvOOuc autnc). An old word (Latin grossi) for figs that grow }\end{gathered}$ in winter and fall off in the spring without getting ripe (So 2:11f. ), here only in N.T. Jesus used the fig tree (Mr 13:28) as a sign of the "end of the world's long winter" (Swete). Cf. Isa 34:4; Na 3:12 .

When she is shaken of a great wind (vпо $\alpha v \varepsilon \mu о v \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda о v \sigma \varepsilon ⿺ 辶 \mu v \eta \eta$ ). Present passive participle of $\sigma \varepsilon \omega \omega$, "being shaken by a great wind." See Mt 11:7 for the reed so shaken.

Rev 6:14
Was removed ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \chi \omega \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, to separate, to part (Ac 15:39). "The heaven was parted."

As a scroll when it is rolled up ( $\omega \varsigma \beta \iota \beta \lambda_{\llcorner\circ} \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota} \sigma \sigma \circ \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Present passive participle of $\varepsilon \lambda เ \sigma \sigma \omega$, old verb, to roll up, in N.T. only here (from Isa 34:4) and Heb 1:12 (from Ps 102:27 ). Vivid picture of the expanse of the sky rolled up and away as a papyrus roll (Lu 4:17 ).

Were moved ( $\varepsilon \kappa ı \nu \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\kappa เ v \varepsilon \omega$, to move.
Out of their places ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v \tau 0 \pi \omega v \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). See also 16:20 for these violent displacements in the earth's crust. Cf. Na 1:5; Jer 4:24. Jesus spoke of faith removing mountains (of difficulty) as in Mr 11:23 (cf. 1Co 13:2 ).

Rev 6:15
The princes (o $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \alpha v \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Late word from the superlative $\mu \varepsilon \gamma เ \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma$, in LXX, Josephus, papyri, in N.T. only in Mr 6:21; Re 6:15; 18:23, for the grandees, the persecuting proconsuls (Swete).

The chief captains (o $\chi \downarrow \lambda 1 \alpha \rho \chi 0$ ). The commanders of thousands, the military tribunes (Mr 6:21; 19:18).

The rich (o $\pi \lambda$ ovoto). Not merely those in civil and military authority will be terrorstricken, but the self-satisfied and complacent rich (Jas 5:4f. ).

The strong (o เ $\sigma \chi \cup \rho o$ ). Who usually scoff at fear. See the list in 13:16; 19:18. Cf. Lu 21:26.

Every bondman ( $\pi \alpha \varsigma \delta o u \lambda o \varsigma)$
and freeman ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$ ). The two extremes of society.
Hid themselves in the caves and in the rocks of the mountains ( $к \kappa \rho \cup \psi a v ~ \varepsilon a u \tau о и \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~$ $\tau \alpha \sigma \pi \eta \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha$ ка $\varepsilon เ \varsigma \tau \alpha \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \varsigma \tau \omega \nu$ о $\rho \varepsilon \omega v$ ). Based on Isa 2:10,18f. First aorist active indicative of $\kappa \rho v \pi \tau \omega$ with the reflexive pronoun. For the old word $\sigma \pi \eta \lambda \alpha \circ \frac{}{}$ see Mt 21:13; Heb 11:38 . O $\rho \varepsilon \omega v$ is the uncontracted Ionic form (for op $\omega v$ ) of the genitive plural of opoc (mountain).

Rev 6:16
They say ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma o v \sigma เ v$ ). Vivid dramatic present active indicative, as is natural here.
Fall on us (Пєбатє $\left.\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma\right)$. Second aorist (first aorist ending) imperative of $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, tense of urgency, do it now.

And hide us ( $\kappa \alpha \kappa \rho \cup \psi \alpha \tau \varepsilon ~ \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma) . ~ S a m e ~ t e n s e ~ o f ~ u r g e n c y ~ a g a i n ~ f r o m ~ к \rho ט \pi \tau \omega ~(v e r b ~ i n ~$ verse 15). Both imperatives come in inverted order from Ho 10:8 with ка $\lambda u \psi a \tau \varepsilon$ (cover) in place of кричатє (hide), quoted by Jesus on the way to the Cross (Lu 23:30) in the order here, but with ка入 $\nu \psi a \tau \varepsilon$, not $\kappa \rho \cup \psi \alpha \tau \varepsilon$.

From the face of him that ( $\alpha \pi 0 \pi \rho о \sigma \omega \pi \sigma v \tau 0 v$, etc.). "What sinners dread most is not death, but the revealed Presence of God" (Swete). Cf. Ge 3:8 .

And from the wrath of the Lamb (ка ало тŋऽ орүๆऽ тov a $\rho v$ оои). Repetition of "the grave irony" (Swete) of 5:5f. The Lamb is the Lion again in the terribleness of his wrath. Recall the mourning in 1:7. See Mt 25:41ff. where Jesus pronounces the woes on the wicked.

## Rev 6:17

The great day ( $\eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \eta \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta$ ). The phrase occurs in the O.T. prophets (Joe 2:11,31; Zep 1:14. Cf. Jude 1:6) and is here combined with "of their wrath" ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \quad \rho \gamma \eta \eta_{\varsigma} \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ) as in Zep 1:15,18; 2:3; Rom 2:5 . "Their" ( $\alpha v \tau \omega v$ ) means the wrath of God and of the Lamb put here on an equality as in 1:17f., $22: 3,13$; 1 Th $3: 11 ; 2 \mathrm{Th} 2: 16$. Beckwith holds that this language
about the great day having come "is the mistaken cry of men in terror caused by the portents which are bursting upon them." There is something, to be sure, to be said for this view which denies that John commits himself to the position that this is the end of the ages.

And who is able to stand? (ка $\tau \iota \varsigma ~ \delta u v a \tau \alpha ~ \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \eta v \alpha \iota ?$ ). Very much like the words in Na 1:6; Mal 3:2 . First aorist passive infinitive of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$. It is a rhetorical question, apparently by the frightened crowds of verse 15 . Swete observes that the only possible answer to that cry is the command of Jesus in Lu 21:36 : "Keep awake on every occasion, praying that ye may get strength to stand ( $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \eta v \alpha$, the very form) before the Son of Man."

## Revelation 7

Rev 7:1
After this ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ тovio). Instead of the seventh seal ( 8:1) being opened, two other episodes or preliminary visions occupy chapter 7 (the sealing of the servants of God 7:1-8 and the vision of the redeemed before the throne 7:9-17).

Standing ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Second perfect predicate participle of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, intransitive and followed by $\varepsilon \pi$ and the accusative case $\gamma \omega v i \alpha c$ as already in 3:20 ( $\varepsilon \pi \theta v \rho \iota \alpha v$ ) and often again ( 8:3 some MSS., others genitive; $11: 11 ; 12: 18 ; 14: 1 ; 15: 2$ ), but note $\varepsilon \pi$ with genitive $\theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta$, in the next clause, like $\varepsilon \pi \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \zeta$ in 12:1; 7:3.

Corners ( $\gamma \omega v / a \varsigma$ ). Old word for angle (Mt 6:5 ), also in 20:8.
Holding (крatouvtac). Present active participle of к $\rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$, to hold fast (Mr 7:3; Joh 20:23). The four winds (cf. Mt 24:31) are held prisoner by angels at each of the four corners. Some Jews held the winds from due north, south, east, west to be favourable, while those from the angles (see Ac 27:14) were unfavourable (Charles). There is an angel of the fire ( 14:18) and an angel of the waters ( 16:5).

That no wind should blow ( $\imath v \alpha \mu \eta \pi v \varepsilon \eta \quad \alpha v \varepsilon \mu \circ \varsigma)$. Negative purpose clause with $v \propto \alpha \mu \eta$ and the present active subjunctive, "lest a wind keep on blowing."

Upon any tree ( $\varepsilon \pi \pi \alpha v \delta \varepsilon v \delta \rho o v)$. Accusative case here with $\varepsilon \pi$ rather than the preceding genitives ( $\gamma \eta \sigma, \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta \varsigma)$, "upon the land or upon the sea," but "against any tree" (picture of attack on the tree like a tornado's path).

Rev 7:2
Ascend ( $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha เ v o v \tau \alpha)$. Present active participle of $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha \iota v \omega$, "ascending," "going up," picturing the process.

From the sun-rising ( $\alpha \pi \sigma$ avato $\lambda \eta \varsigma ~ \eta \lambda ı 0 u$ ). Same phrase in 16:12. From the east, though why is not told. Swete suggests it is because Palestine is east of Patmos. The plural a $\pi 0$ avato $\lambda \omega v$ occurs in Mt 2:1 without $\eta \lambda_{1}$ ov (sun).

The seal of the living God ( $\sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \delta \alpha \theta \varepsilon \sigma \nu \zeta \omega v \tau \sigma \varsigma)$. Here the signet ring, like that used by an Oriental monarch, to give validity to the official documents. The use of $\zeta \omega v \tau o \varsigma$ with $\theta$ gov accents the eternal life of $\operatorname{God}(1: 18 ; 10: 6 ; 15: 7)$ as opposed to the ephemeral pagan gods.

To whom it was given (oıs $\varepsilon \delta o \theta \eta$ autoıs). For $\varepsilon \delta 0 \theta \eta$ see on $6: 2,4$, etc. The repetition of autors in addition to oıs (both dative) is a redundant Hebraism (in vernacular Koine to some extent) often in the Apocalypse ( $3: 8$ ). The angels are here identified with the winds as the angels of the churches with the churches ( $1: 20$ ).

To hurt ( $\alpha \delta \iota \kappa \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive of $\alpha \delta \iota \kappa \varepsilon \omega$, subject of $\varepsilon \delta o \theta \eta$, common use of $\alpha \delta$ Iк $\varepsilon \omega$ in this sense of to hurt in the Apocalypse (2:11; 6:6 already), in Lu 10:19 also. The injury is to come by letting loose the winds, not by withholding them.

## Rev 7:3

Hurt not ( $\mu \eta \alpha \delta \iota \kappa \eta \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and the ingressive aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \delta \iota \kappa \varepsilon \omega$, not to begin to hurt.

Till we shall have sealed ( $\alpha \not \rho \rho \sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Temporal clause of indefinite action for the future with $\alpha \chi \rho$ (sometimes $\alpha \chi \rho ı \varsigma$ ov or $\alpha \chi \rho ı \varsigma ~ o v ~ \alpha v$ ) and the aorist subjunctive as in $15: 8 ; 20: 3,5$ or the future indicative ( $17: 7$ ), usually with the notion of ascent (up to) rather than extent like $\mu \varepsilon \chi \rho$.

An (modal) sometimes occurs, but it is not necessary. But there is no futurum exactum idea in the aorist subjunctive, simply "till we seal," not "till we shall have sealed."

Upon their foreheads $(\varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau \omega \pi \omega v)$. From Eze 9:4. Old word ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha, \omega \pi \varsigma$, after the eye, above the eye, the space above or between the eyes), in N.T. only in the Apocalypse
 who are to be thus marked linked with angels in the service of God see Re 1:1; 2:20; 19:2,5; 22:3,6.

Rev 7:4
The number of the sealed ( $\tau 0 v \alpha \rho ı \theta \mu \nu \tau \omega v \varepsilon \sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega v$ ). Accusative case object of $\eta \kappa о v \sigma \alpha$ and genitive of the perfect passive articular participle of $\sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \zeta \omega$. He did not see the sealing or count them himself, but only heard.

A hundred and forty and four thousand ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau о \nu \tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \rho \alpha \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \chi \iota \lambda ı \alpha \delta \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Symbolical, of course, and not meant to be a complete number of the sealed (or saved) even in that generation, let alone for all time. The number connotes perfection (Alford), $12 \times 12 \times 1000=$ a hundred and forty-four thousands ( $\chi \wedge \lambda ı \alpha \delta \varepsilon \varsigma, 5: 11$ ). Nominative absolute, not agreeing in case either with $\alpha \rho \iota \theta \mu o v$ (accusative) or $\varepsilon \sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon \omega \nu$ (genitive). So as to the case of $\varepsilon \sigma \varphi \rho a \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o$.

Out of every tribe of the children of Israel ( $\varepsilon \kappa \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \varsigma \varphi v \lambda \eta \varsigma v t \omega v$ I $\sigma \rho \alpha \eta \lambda$ ). There are two opposite views here, one taking the sealed as referring only to Jews (either actual Jews as a remnant or just Jewish Christians), the other including Gentiles as well as Jewish Christians, that is the true Israel as in 2:9; 3:9ff. and like Paul in Galatians and Romans. This is the more probable view and it takes the twelve tribes in a spiritual sense. But in either view there remains the difficulty about names of the tribes. The list is not geographical, since Levi is included, but Dan is omitted and Manasseh put in his place, though he as the son of Joseph is included in Joseph. Irenaeus suggested that Antichrist was expected to come from the tribe of Dan and hence the omission here. There are various lists of the tribes in the O.T. (Ge 35:22f.; 46:8ff.,49; Ex 1:1ff.; Nu 1:2; 13:4f.; 26:34; De 27:11f.; 33:6ff.; Jos 13-22; Jud 5; 1Ch 2-8; 12:24ff.; 27:16ff.; Eze 48 ) and given in various orders. In 1Ch 7:12 both Dan and Zebulon are omitted. Joseph is given here in place of Ephraim. The distribution is equal $(12,000)$ to each tribe.

Rev 7:9

Which no man could number (ov api $\theta \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \alpha \cup \tau o v$ ov $\delta \varepsilon ı \varsigma \varepsilon \delta u v a \tau o$ ). Redundant repetition of the pronoun avtov after the relative ov as in 7:5; 3:8. Eסuvato imperfect indicative and $\alpha \rho i \theta \mu \eta \sigma \alpha$ first aorist active infinitive of $\alpha \rho i \theta \mu \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, in N.T. only here, Mt 10:30; Lu 12:7. See 5:9 (also 11:9; 13:7; 14:10; 17:15) for the list of words after $\varepsilon \kappa$ (the spiritual Israel carried on all over the world), "a polyglott cosmopolitan crowd" (Swete).

Standing ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Same form in 7:1, only nominative masculine plural referring to o $\chi \lambda \circ \varsigma$ (masculine singular), construction according to sense like the plural $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \tau \omega v$ with ox $\lambda$ ov in 19:1.

Arrayed ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho 1 \beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon v o u \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, but in the accusative plural (not nominative like $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ), a common variation in this book when preceded by $\varepsilon \iota \delta o v$ and $\iota \delta o v$ as in $4: 4$ ( $\theta \rho \circ$ voı, $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \cup \varsigma)$. Charles regards this as a mere slip which would have been changed to $\pi \varepsilon \rho(\beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon v o$ if John had read the MS. over.

In white robes ( $\sigma \tau 0 \lambda a \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \varsigma)$. Predicate accusative retained with this passive verb of clothing as in 7:13; 10:1; 11:3; 12:1; 17:4; 18:16; 19:13 .

Palms ( $\varphi$ olvikec). Nominative again, back to construction with $1 \delta o v$, not $\varepsilon$ ı $\delta o v$. Old word, in N.T. only here for palm branches and Joh 12:13 for palm trees. Both these and the white robes are signs of victory and joy.

Rev 7:10
They cry ( $\kappa \rho \alpha \zeta о и \sigma)$. Vivid dramatic present.
With a great voice ( $\varphi \omega \vee \eta \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta$ ). As in 6:10; 7:2 . "The polyglott multitude shouts its praises as with one voice" (Swete).

Salvation ( $\eta \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho(\alpha)$. As in 12:10; 19:1 . Nominative absolute. Salvation here is regarded as an accomplished act on the part of those coming out of the great tribulation (verse 14) and the praise for it is given to $\operatorname{God}(\tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$, dative case) and to the Lamb ( $\tau \omega \alpha \rho v \omega$, dative also). Both God and Christ are thus called $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$ as in the Pastoral Epistles, as to God (1Ti
 Jude 1:3.

Rev 7:11
Were standing (เఠтףкєєбav). Past perfect active of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ intransitive and used like an imperfect as in Joh 19:25.

Round about (кvк $\lambda \omega$ ). Preposition (in a circle) with genitive as in 4:6; 5:11. The angels here rejoice in the salvation of men ( Lu 15:7,10; 1Pe 1:12).

Upon their faces ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \pi \rho 0 \sigma \omega \pi \alpha \alpha \cup \tau \omega v$ ). In reverential worship of God as in 11:16. For this worship (fell and worshipped) see also $4: 10 ; 5: 14 ; 11: 16 ; 19: 4,10 ; 22: 8$. The dative $\tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ (God) with $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa v v \varepsilon \omega$ (to worship) is the usual construction for that meaning. When it means merely to do homage the accusative case is usual in this book (Charles). But in the Fourth Gospel the reverse order is true as to the cases with $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \nu v \varepsilon \omega$ (Abbott, Joh. Vocab. pp. 138-142).

Rev 7:12
Note $\alpha \mu \eta \nu$ at the beginning and the close of the doxology. Note also separate feminine article with each of the seven attributes given God, as in 4:11; 5:12,13 .

Rev 7:13
Answered ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho 1 \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive (deponent) of $\alpha \pi о \kappa \rho \iota \nu o \mu \alpha$ with $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega v$ (saying), a common (only here in the Apocalypse) Hebrew redundancy in the Gospels (Mr 9:5 ). An elder intervenes, though no question has been asked to interpret the vision (Swete).

These (ovto). Prophetic predicate nominative put before $\tau \iota v \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \sigma \iota v$ (who are they). Note article repeated with $\sigma \tau 0 \lambda a \varsigma$ pointing to verse 9 , and accusative also retained after $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \_\beta \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon v o$ as there. Both "who" and "whence" as in Jos 9:8.

Rev 7:14
I say ( $\varepsilon \iota \rho \kappa \kappa)$. Perfect active indicative of $\varepsilon \iota \tau \circ v$, "I have said." "To the Seer's mind the whole scene was still fresh and vivid" (Swete) like кєк $\rho \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v$ in Joh 1:15 and $\varepsilon ı \lambda \eta \varphi \varepsilon v$ in $\operatorname{Re}$ 5:7, not the so-called "aoristic perfect" which even Moulton (Prol. p. 145) is disposed to admit.

My lord (Kv $\rho \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ). "An address of reverence to a heavenly being" (Vincent), not an act of worship on John's part.

Thou knowest ( $\sigma v$ ot $\delta \alpha \varsigma$ ). "At once a confession of ignorance, and an appeal for information" (Swete), not of full confidence like $\sigma v$ oı $\delta a \varsigma$ in Joh 21:15f. .

They which come out of the great tribulation (o ع $\rho \chi о \mu \varepsilon v o \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \theta \lambda \iota \psi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \varsigma$ ). Present middle participle with the idea of continued repetition. "The martyrs are still arriving from the scene of the great tribulation" (Charles). Apparently some great crisis is contemplated (Mt 13:19ff.; 24:21; Mr 13:10 ), though the whole series may be in mind and so may anticipate final judgment.

And they washed ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi \lambda \nu v a v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\pi \lambda \nu v \omega$, old verb, to wash, in N.T. only $\operatorname{Lu} 5: 2 ; \operatorname{Re} 7: 14 ; 22: 14$. This change of construction after o $\varepsilon \rho \chi o \mu \varepsilon v$ o from o $\pi \lambda \nu \nu \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ to $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi \lambda \nu v a v$ is common in the Apocalypse, one of Charles's Hebraisms, like $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi о$ п $\sigma \varepsilon v$ in 1:6 and $\kappa \alpha \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \alpha$ in 2:20.

Made them white ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha v a v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\lambda \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \iota v \omega$, to whiten, old verb from $\lambda \varepsilon \cup \kappa \circ \varsigma$ (verse 13), in N.T. only here and Mr 9:3. "Milligan remarks that robes are the expression of character and compares the word habit used of dress" (Vincent). The language here comes partly from Ge 49:11 and partly from Ex 19:10,14. For the cleansing power of Christ's blood see also Ro 3:25; 5:9; Col 1:20: Eph 1:7; 1Pe 1:2; Heb 9:14; 1Jo 1:7; Re 1:5; 5:9;22:14. "The aorists look back to the life on earth when the cleansing was effected" (Swete). See Php 2:12f. for both divine and human aspects of salvation.

In the blood of the Lamb ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \alpha \not \mu \alpha \tau \tau o v \alpha \rho v i o v)$. There is power alone in the blood of Christ to cleanse from $\sin (1$ Jo 1:7 ), not in the blood of the martyrs themselves. The result is "white," not "red," as one might imagine.

## Rev 7:15

Therefore ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ tov $\tau 0$ ). Because of the washing described in verse 14.
They serve him ( $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon v o v \sigma ı \nu \nu \tau \omega$ ). Dative case with $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon v \omega$ (present active indicative, old verb, originally to serve for hire $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho o v$, then service in general, then religious service to God, Mt 4:10, then in particular ritual worship of the priests, Heb 8:5 ). All the redeemed are priests ( $\operatorname{Re} 16: 5,10$ ) in the heavenly temple (6:9) as here. But this service is that of spiritual worship, not of external rites (Ro 12:1; Php 3:3).

Day and night ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma \kappa \alpha$ vvктоৎ). Genitive of time, "by day and night," as in $4: 8$ of the praise of the four living creatures.

Shall spread his tabernacle over them ( $\left.\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau o v \varsigma\right)$. Future (change of tense from present in $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon v o v \sigma \iota v)$ active of $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \circ \omega$, old verb from $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \circ \varsigma$ (tent, tabernacle), used in Joh $1: 14$ of the earthly life of Christ, elsewhere in N.T. only in Rev. (7:14; 12:12; 13:6; 21:3 ). In 12:12; 13:6 of those who dwell in tents, here of God spreading his tent "over" ( $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime}$ $\alpha v \tau 0 v \varsigma)$ the redeemed in heaven, in 21:3 of God tabernacling "with" ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ) the redeemed, in both instances a picture of sacred fellowship, and "the further idea of God's Presence as a protection from all fear of evil" (Swete) like the overshadowing of Israel by the Shekinah and a possible allusion also to the tents ( $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \alpha$ ) of the feast of tabernacles and to the tent of meeting where God met Moses (Ex 33:7-11).

Rev 7:16
They shall hunger no more (ov $\pi \varepsilon \iota v \alpha \sigma o v \sigma ı v \varepsilon \tau$ ). Future tense of $\pi \varepsilon \iota v \alpha \omega$, old verb with late form instead of $\pi \varepsilon \iota v \eta \sigma o v \sigma \iota v$ like Lu 6:25. It is a free translation of Isa 49:10 (not quotation from the LXX).

Neither thirst any more (ov $\delta \varepsilon \delta \iota \eta \sigma o v \sigma \iota v \tau$ ). Future tense of $\delta \iota \psi \alpha \omega$, the two strong human appetites will be gone, a clear refutation of a gross materialistic or sensual conception of the future life. Cf. Joh 6:35.

Neither shall strike (ov $\delta \varepsilon \mu \eta \pi \varepsilon \sigma \eta$ ). Strong double negative $o v \delta \varepsilon \mu \eta$ with second aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, to fall. They will no longer be under the rays of the sun as upon earth.

Nor any heat ( $o v \delta \varepsilon \pi \alpha \nu \kappa \alpha v \mu \alpha$ ). Old word from $\kappa \alpha \iota \omega$, to burn, painful and burning heat, in N.T. only here and 16:9 (picture of the opposite condition). The use of the negative with $\pi \alpha v$ (all) for "not any" is common in N.T. Cf. Ps 121:6 .

Rev 7:17
In the midst ( $\alpha v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \sigma o v$ ). In 5:6 we have $\varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \sigma \omega$ $\tau 0 v \theta \rho o v o v$ as the position of the Lamb, and so that is apparently the sense of $\alpha v \alpha \mu \varepsilon \sigma o v$ here as in Mt 13:25, though it can mean "between," as clearly so in 1Co 6:5.

Shall be their shepherd ( $\pi \alpha \mu \alpha v \varepsilon$ avтоия). "Shall shepherd them," future active of $\pi о \iota \mu \alpha \iota \omega$ (from $\pi о \iota \mu \nu$, shepherd), in Joh 21:16; Ac 20:28; 1Pe 5:2; Re 2:27; 7:17; 12:5; 19:15 . Jesus is still the Good Shepherd of his sheep (Joh 10:11,14ff. ). Cf. Ps 23:1 .

Shall guide them (o $\delta \eta \gamma \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ avtouc). Future active of o $\delta \eta \gamma \varepsilon \omega$, old word (from o $\delta \eta \gamma \circ \varsigma$, guide, Mt 15:14 ), used of God's guidance of Israel (Ex 15:13 ), of God's guidance of individual lives (Ps 5:9), of the guidance of the Holy Spirit (Joh 16:13), of Christ's own guidance here (cf. Joh 14:4; Re 14:4).

Unto fountains of waters of life ( $\varepsilon \pi \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma \pi \eta \gamma \alpha \varsigma \nu \delta \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). The language is like that in Isa 49:10; Jer 2:13. Note the order, "to life's water springs" (Swete) like the Vulgate ad vitae fontes aquarum, with emphasis on $\zeta \omega \eta \varsigma$ (life's). For this idea see also Joh 4:12,14; 7:38f.; Re 21:6; 22:1,17. No special emphasis on the plural here or in $8: 10 ; 14: 7 ; 16: 4$.

And God shall wipe away ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \xi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tau \psi \varepsilon$ o $\theta \varepsilon о \varsigma)$. Repeated in 21:4 from Isa 25:8. Future active of $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \varphi \varphi \omega$, old compound, to wipe out ( $\varepsilon \xi)$, off, away, already in $3: 5$ for erasing a name and in Ac 3:19 for removing the stain (guilt) of sin.

Every tear ( $\pi \alpha v \delta \alpha \kappa \rho v o v$ ). Old word, with other form, $\delta \alpha \kappa \rho v$, in Lu 7:38,44. Note repetition of $\varepsilon \kappa$ with $o \varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \omega \nu$ (out of their eyes). "Words like these of vv. 15-17 must sound as a divine music in the ears of the persecuted. God will comfort as a mother comforts" (Baljon).

## Revelation 8

Rev 8:1
And when he opened ( $\kappa \alpha$ o $\tau \alpha v \eta v o ı \xi \varepsilon v$ ). Here modal $\alpha v$ is used with o $\tau \varepsilon$ (used about the opening of the preceding six seals), but otav is not here rendered more indefinite, as is sometimes true (Mr 3:11; Re 4:9), but here and possibly (can be repetition) in Mr 11:19 it is a particular instance, not a general rule (Robertson, Grammar, p. 973).

There followed a silence ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \sigma \sigma \tau \eta)$ ). Second aorist middle of $\gamma เ v o \mu \alpha$. "There came silence." Dramatic effect by this profound stillness with no elder or angel speaking, no chorus of praise nor cry of adoration, no thunder from the throne (Swete), but a temporary cessation in the revelations. See 10:4.

About the space of half an hour ( $\omega \varsigma \eta \mu \omega \rho \circ \nu$ ). Late and rare word ( $\eta \mu$, half, $\omega \rho \alpha$, hour), here only in N.T. Accusative of extent of time.

Rev 8:2
Stand ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \alpha \sigma \iota v)$. Perfect active of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ (intransitive). Another "hebdomad" so frequent in the Apocalypse. The article (the seven angels) seems to point to seven well-known angels. In Enoch 20:7 the names of seven archangels are given (Uriel, Raphael, Raguel, Michael, Sariel, Gabriel, Remiel) and "angels of the Presence" is an idea like that in Isa 63:9. We do not know precisely what is John's idea here.

Seven trumpets ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \gamma \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \varsigma)$. We see trumpets assigned to angels in Mt 24:31; 1Th 4:16; 1Co 15:52; Re 4:1,4. See also the use of trumpets in Jos 6:13; Joe 2:1. These seven trumpets are soon to break the half hour of silence. Thus the seven trumpets grow out of the opening of the seventh seal, however that fact is to be interpreted.

Rev 8:3
Another angel ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ os $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma)$. Not one of the seven of verse 2 and before they began to sound the trumpets. This preliminary incident of the offering of incense on the altar covers verses 3-6.

Stood ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \eta$ ). Ingressive first aorist passive of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ (intransitive), "took his place."
Over the altar ( $\varepsilon \pi$ tov $\theta$ vбıaб $\tau \eta \rho \circ v$ ). See $6: 9$ for the word for the burnt-offering, here apparently the altar of incense (clearly so in Lu 1:11; possibly also Re 9:13 ), but it is not clear that in apocalyptic the distinction between the two altars of the tabernacle and temple


A golden censer ( $\lambda_{1} \beta \alpha v \omega \tau \sigma v \chi \rho u \sigma o u v$ ). Old word for frankincense (from $\lambda_{1} \beta \alpha v o \varsigma, M t$ 2:11; Re 18:13 ), but here alone in N.T. and for censer, as is plain by the use of $\chi \rho 0 \sigma o u v$ (golden) with it. Cf. 1Ki 7:50 .

Much incense ( $\theta v \mu \propto \alpha \mu \tau \alpha \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ). See 5:8 for $\theta \nu \mu ı \alpha \mu \alpha$ (the aromatic substance burnt, also in $18: 13$ ), but here for the live coals on which the incense falls.

That he should add ( $\mathrm{vva} \delta \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Sub-final clause (subject of $\varepsilon \delta o \theta \eta$, was given, singular because $\theta \nu \mu ı \mu \mu \tau \alpha \alpha$ neuter plural) with $ו v \alpha$ and the future active indicative of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, to give, instead of $\delta \omega$, the second aorist subjunctive.

Unto the prayers ( $\tau \alpha ı \varsigma \pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \alpha ı \varsigma)$. Dative case. In 5:18 the $\theta v \mu ı \alpha \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ are the prayers.
 genitive as above, and apparently the altar of incense as indicated by the word golden (Ex 30:1ff.; Le 4:17 ). Note triple article here to (once before the substantive, once before the adjective, once before the adjunct "the one before the throne").

Rev 8:4
The smoke (o кãvoc). Old word, in N.T. only Ac 2:19; Re 8:4; 9:2f., 17f.; 14:11; 15:8; 18:9,18; 19:3. Here from the incense in the angel's hand.

With the prayers ( $\tau \alpha ı \varsigma \pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon_{\chi \propto ı \varsigma) . ~ S o ~ a s s o c i a t i v e-i n s t r u m e n t a l ~ c a s e, ~ b u t ~ i t ~ m a y ~ b e ~}^{\text {b }}$ dative as in verse 3 (for).

Rev 8:5
Taketh ( $\varepsilon 1 \lambda \eta \varphi \varepsilon v$ ). Vivid dramatic perfect active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$ as in 5:7, "has taken." The angel had apparently ]aid aside the censer. Hardly merely the pleonastic use of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$ (Joh 19:23). John pictures the scene for us.

Filled ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \mu \iota \sigma \varepsilon v)$. He drops back to the narrative use of the first aorist active indicative of $\gamma \varepsilon \mu!\zeta \omega$.

With the fire ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ тov $\pi \cup \rho \circ \varsigma$ ), live coals from the altar (cf. Isa 6:6 ).
Cast ( $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$. See Ge 19:24 (Sodom); Eze 10:2 and Christ's bold metaphor in Lu 12:49. See this use of $\beta a \lambda \lambda \omega$ also in Re 8:7; 12:4,9,13; 14:19.

Followed ( $\varepsilon$ ץعvovio). Came to pass naturally after the casting of fire on the earth. Same three elements in $4: 5$, but in different order (lightnings, voices, thunders), lightning naturally preceding thunder as some MSS. have it here. Perhaps $\varphi \omega v a$, the voices of the storm (wind, etc.).

Rev 8:6
Prepared themselves ( $\eta \tau о \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu$ аvтоия). First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \tau о \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$. They knew the signal and got ready.

To sound ( $\imath v \alpha \sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). Sub-final (object) clause with $\imath v \alpha$ and the first aorist ingressive active subjunctive of $\sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \omega$. The infinitive could have been used.

Rev 8:7
Sounded ( $\varepsilon \sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \varepsilon v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \omega$, repeated with each angel in turn ( $8: 8,10,12 ; 9: 1,13 ; 11: 15$ ).

Hail and fire mingled with blood ( $\chi \alpha \lambda \alpha \zeta \alpha \kappa \alpha \pi v \rho \mu \varepsilon \mu \tau \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \varepsilon v \alpha \mu \alpha \tau$ ). Like the plague of hail and fire in Ex 9:24. The first four trumpets are very much like the plagues in Egypt, this one like a semitropical thunderstorm (Swete) with blood like the first plague (Ex 7:17ff.;

Ps 106:35). The old feminine word $\chi \alpha \lambda \alpha \zeta \alpha$ (hail) is from the verb $\chi \alpha \lambda \alpha \omega$, to let down (Mr 2:4 ), in N.T. only in Re 8:7; 11:19; 16:21. The perfect passive participle $\mu \varepsilon \mu \gamma \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ (from $\mu \gamma \nu \nu \mu$, to mix) is neuter plural because of $\pi \nu \rho$ (fire).

Were cast $(\varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive singular because $\chi \alpha \lambda \alpha \zeta \alpha$ and $\pi \nu \rho$ treated as neuter plural. "The storm flung itself on the earth" (Swete).

Was burnt up (катєкаך). Second aorist (effective) passive indicative of катакаı $\omega$, old verb to burn down (effective use of ката, up, we say). Repeated here three times for dramatic effect. See 7:1-3 about the trees and 9:4 where the locusts are forbidden to injure the grass.

Rev 8:8
As it were ( $\omega \varsigma$ ). "As if," not a great mountain, but a blazing mass as large as a mountain.
Burning with fire ( $\pi \cup \rho \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon v o v$ ). Present middle participle of $\kappa \alpha \omega \omega$. Somewhat like Enoch 18:13, but perhaps with the picture of a great volcanic eruption like that of Vesuvius in A.D. 79. Strabo tells of an eruption B.C. 196 which made a new island (Palaea Kaumene).

Became blood ( $\varepsilon \not \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \% ~ a \mu \alpha)$. Like the Nile in the first plague (Ex 7:20ff. ). Cf. also 16:3.

Rev 8:9
Of the creatures ( $\tau \omega v \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). See 5:13 for this word $\kappa \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$. Even they that had life ( $\tau \alpha \varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau \alpha \psi \nu \chi \alpha \varsigma)$. Here the nominative articular participle is in apposition with the genitive $\kappa \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \omega v$, as often in this book. See Ex 7:20 for the destruction of fish, and Zep 1:3.

Was destroyed ( $\delta เ \varepsilon \varphi \theta \alpha \rho \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Second aorist passive indicative of $\delta \iota \alpha \varphi \theta \varepsilon \rho \omega$, old compound, to corrupt, to consume, to destroy (perfective use of $\delta(\alpha)$, also 11:18. The plural $\pi \lambda$ oov just before the verb makes the idea plural.

Rev 8:10
Burning as a torch ( $\kappa \alpha \iota \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ \omega \varsigma \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \alpha \varsigma)$. See 4:5; Mt 2:2 , perhaps a meteor, striking at the fresh-water supply (rivers $\pi о \tau \alpha \mu \omega v$, springs $\pi \eta \gamma \alpha \varsigma$ ) as in the first Egyptian plague also.

Rev 8:11
Wormwood (o A $\psi \iota v$ Өos). Absinthe. Usually feminine ( $\eta$ ), but masculine here probably because $\alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho$ is masculine. Only here in N.T. and not in LXX ( $\pi \kappa \kappa \rho ı a$, bitterness, $\chi$ о $\lambda \eta$, gall, etc.) except by Aquila in Pr 5:4; Jer 9:15; 23:15. There are several varieties of the plant in Palestine.

Became wormwood ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \varsigma \alpha \psi \iota \nu \theta o v$ ). This use of $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ in the predicate with $\gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \alpha$ is common in the LXX and the N.T. (16:19; Joh 16:20; Ac 5:36 ).

Of the waters ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v v \delta \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). As a result of ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ ) the use of the poisoned waters.
Were made bitter ( $\varepsilon \pi \kappa \kappa \rho \alpha v \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\pi \kappa \kappa \rho \alpha ı v \omega$. Old verb (from $\pi \kappa \kappa \rho \circ \varsigma$, bitter), as in 10:9f. In a metaphorical sense to embitter in Col 3:19.

Rev 8:12

Was smitten ( $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \eta$ ). Second aorist passive indicative of $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma \omega$, old verb (like $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \eta$ plague), here only in N.T.

That should be darkened (ıva $\sigma \kappa о \tau \iota \theta \eta)$ ). Purpose clause with $\imath v \alpha$ and the first aorist passive subjunctive of бкотı亡 $\omega$, from бкотос (darkness) as in Mt 24:29, but бкото $\omega$ in Re 9:2.

And the day should not shine ( $\kappa \alpha \eta \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \mu \eta \varphi \alpha \vee \eta$ ). Negative purpose clause with $v \alpha$ $\mu \eta$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\varphi a \iota v \omega$, to shed light upon, as in 18:23, not the second aorist passive subjunctive $\varphi \alpha \vee \eta$ with different accent. The eclipse here is only partial and is kin to the ninth Egyptian plague (Ex 10:21).

Rev 8:13
An eagle ( $\varepsilon v o \varsigma \alpha \varepsilon \tau o v)$ ) "One eagle," perhaps $\varepsilon v o \varsigma(\varepsilon ı \varsigma)$ used as an indefinite article (9:13; 18:21; 19:17 ). See 4:7 also for the flying eagle, the strongest of birds, sometimes a symbol of vengeance (De 28:49; Ho 8:1; Hab 1:8).

Flying in mid-heaven ( $\pi \varepsilon \tau о \mu \varepsilon v o v \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \sigma o v \rho \alpha v \eta \mu \alpha \tau$ ). Like the angel in 14:6 and the
 arch, papyri) for the sun at noon, in N.T. only these three examples. This eagle is flying where all can see, and crying so that all can hear.

Woe, woe, woe (oval, oval, ova). Triple because three trumpets yet to come. In 18:10,16,19 the double ova is merely for emphasis.

For them that dwell on the earth ( $\tau$ оия катонкоичтаৎ). Accusative of the articular present active participle of катокк $\omega$, is unusual (Aleph Q here and also in 12:12) as in Mt 11:21. There is even a nominative in 18:10.

By reason of the other voices ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu \lambda o \iota \tau \omega \nu \varphi \omega v \omega v$ ). "As a result of ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ ) the rest of the voices." There is more and worse to come, "of the three angels who are yet to sound" ( $\tau \omega \nu \tau \rho \iota \omega \nu \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \omega \nu \sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \varepsilon \iota \nu)$.

## Revelation 9

Rev 9:1
Fallen ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa 0 \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect active participle of $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, already down. In Lu 10:18 note $\pi \varepsilon \sigma o v \tau \alpha$ (constative aorist active, like a flash of lightning) after $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \omega \rho o u v$ and in Re 7:2 note $\alpha v \alpha \beta a \iota v o v \tau \alpha$ (present active and linear, coming up, picturing the process) after $\varepsilon \iota \delta o v$.

Of the pit of the abyss ( $\tau 0 v \varphi \rho \varepsilon \alpha \tau о \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \beta v \sigma \sigma o v)$. A $\beta v \sigma \sigma \circ \varsigma$ is an old adjective (alpha privative and $\beta v \theta o \varsigma$, depth, without depth), but $\eta \alpha \beta v \sigma \sigma o \varsigma ~(s u p p l y ~ \chi \omega \rho \alpha ~ p l a c e), ~ t h e ~ b o t t o m-~$ less place. It occurs in Ro 10:7 for the common receptacle of the dead for Hades (Sheol), but in Lu 8:31 a lower depth is sounded (Swete), for the abode of demons, and in this sense it occurs in $\operatorname{Re} 9: 1,2,11 ; 11: 7 ; 17: 8 ; 20: 1,3$. Фрع $\alpha \rho$ is an old word for well or cistern (Lu 14:5; Joh $4: 11 \mathrm{f}$. ) and it occurs in Re $9: 1 \mathrm{f}$. for the mouth of the abyss which is pictured as a cistern with a narrow orifice at the entrance and this fifth angel holds the key to it.

Rev 9:2
Opened ( $\eta v o \iota \xi \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of avoı $\gamma \nu \nu \mu$. With the "key" ( $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$.
As the smoke of a great furnace ( $\omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \pi \nu \circ \varsigma \kappa \alpha \mu \imath v o v \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \varsigma$ ). The plague of demonic locusts is here turned loose. Kaцıvos is old word for a smelting-furnace, already in 1:15.

Were darkened $(\varepsilon \sigma \kappa о \tau \omega \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\sigma \kappa о \tau \sigma \omega$, old causative verb from бкотоৎ, in N.T. only here, 16:10; Eph 4:18 .

By reason of ( $\varepsilon \kappa)$. "Out of," as a result of (8:13).
Rev 9:3
Locusts ( $\alpha<\rho \iota \delta \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Also verse 7 and already in Mt 3:4; Mr 1:6 (diet of the Baptist). The Israelites were permitted to eat them, but when the swarms came like the eighth Egyptian plague (Ex 10:13ff. ) they devoured every green thing. The smoke was worse than the fallen star and the locusts that came out of the smoke were worse still, "a swarm of hellish locusts" (Swete).

The scorpions ( $о \sigma \kappa о \rho \pi \iota$ ). Old name for a little animal somewhat like a lobster that lurks in stone walls in warm regions, with a venomous sting in its tail, in N.T. in Lu 10:19; 11:12; $\operatorname{Re} 9: 3,5,10$. The scorpion ranks with the snake as hostile to man.

Rev 9:4
It was said $(\varepsilon \rho \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\varepsilon \iota \pi o v$.
That they should not hurt (ıva $\mu \eta$ $\alpha \delta_{ı \kappa \eta \sigma o v \sigma ı v) . ~ S u b-f i n a l ~(o b j e c t ~ c l a u s e ~ s u b j e c t ~ o f ~}^{\text {( }}$ $\varepsilon \rho \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta$ ) with $\iota \sim \alpha \mu \eta$ and the future active of $\alpha \delta \iota \kappa \varepsilon \omega$ as in 3:9; 8:3. Vegetation had been hurt sufficiently by the hail (8:7).

But only such men as ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta \tau$ тov $\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi$ ovৎ oıтıvєৎ). "Except (elliptical use of $\varepsilon \mu \eta$, if not, unless) the men who (the very ones who)." For this use of ootıc see 1:7; 2:24; 20:4 .

The seal of God upon their foreheads ( $\tau \eta \nu \sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma เ \delta \alpha \operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \varepsilon \sigma v \varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau \omega \pi \omega \nu$ ). Provided for in 7:3f. . "As Israel in Egypt escaped the plagues which punished their neighbours, so the new Israel is exempted from the attack of the locusts of the Abyss" (Swete).

Rev 9:5
That they should not kill them ( $\imath \alpha \mu \eta$ алоктєıv $\omega \sigma \iota \nu \alpha v \tau о \cup \varsigma)$. Sub-final object clause (subject of $\varepsilon \delta \circ \theta \eta$ ) with $\imath \mathcal{\imath} \mu \eta$ and the subjunctive of $\alpha \pi \sigma \kappa \tau \varepsilon \imath \nu \omega$ either present (continued action) or aorist (constative, form the same), the usual construction with iva. The locusts are charged to injure men, but not to kill them.

But that they should be tormented ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \imath v \alpha \beta \alpha \sigma \alpha v \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha$ ). Sub-final clause again with $\imath v a$, but this time with the first future passive indicative (like 3:9; 6:4; 8:3; 13:12 ) of $\beta \alpha \sigma \alpha v_{l} \zeta \omega$, old verb, to test metals (from $\beta \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu o \varsigma$, Mt 4:24) by touchstone, then to torture like Mt 8:29, further in Re 11:10; 12:2; 14:10; 20:10 .

Five months ( $\mu \eta v a \varsigma \pi \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon$ ). Accusative of extent of time. The actual locust is born in the spring and dies at the end of summer (about five months).

Torment $(\beta \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \iota \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma)$. Late word for torture, from $\beta \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \iota \zeta \omega$, in N.T. only in $\operatorname{Re} 9: 5$; $14: 11 ; 18: 7,10,15$. The wound of the scorpion was not usually fatal, though exceedingly painful.

When it striketh a man (o $\tau \alpha v \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \eta \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \nu$ ). Indefinite temporal clause with o $\alpha \alpha v$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \alpha \iota \omega$ (Mt 26:51), old verb, to smite, "whenever it smites a man."

Rev 9:6
Men (o $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma)$. Generic use of the article (men as a class).
Shall not find it (ov $\mu \eta \varepsilon \cup \rho \eta \sigma o v \sigma \iota v \alpha v \tau o v$ ). Strong double negative $o v \mu \eta$ with the future active indicative according to Aleph Q , but $\varepsilon v \rho \omega \sigma \iota v$ (second aorist active subjunctive) according to A P (either construction regular). The idea here is found in Job 3:21; Jer 8:3 . "Such a death as they desire, a death which will end their sufferings, is impossible; physical death is no remedy for the $\beta \alpha \sigma \alpha v ı \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma$ of an evil conscience" (Swete).

They shall desire to die ( $\varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \cup \mu \eta \sigma o v \sigma \iota v a \pi \circ \theta a v \varepsilon \iota v)$. Future active of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \cup \mu \varepsilon \omega$, a climax to $\zeta \eta \tau \eta \sigma o v \sigma \iota v$ (they shall seek), to desire vehemently. Paul in Php 1:23 shows a preference for death if his work is done, in order to be with Christ, a very different feeling from what we have here.

Fleeth $(\varphi \varepsilon v \gamma \varepsilon)$. Vivid futuristic present active indicative of $\varphi \varepsilon v \gamma \omega$. Even death does not come to their relief.

Rev 9:7
The shapes ( $\tau \alpha$ о $\mu \circ \iota \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Old word from o $\mu \circ \iota \omega$, to make like (from o $\mu \circ \iota \varsigma$, like),
 is "midway between $\mu о \rho \varphi \eta$ and $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha$ " (Lightfoot).

Unto horses (ıлтоוৎ). Associative-instrumental case, as is the rule with ouotoc (1:15; $2: 18$; 4:6ff.; $9: 10,19 ; 11: 1 ; 13: 2,11$ ), but with the accusative in $1: 13 ; 14: 14$. So also o 1 oto $\chi \rho v \sigma \omega$ (like gold) in this same verse.

Prepared for war ( $\eta \tau о \mu \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu о \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \mu \circ \nu$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\varepsilon \tau о \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$. This imagery of war-horses is like that in Joe 2:4f. "The likeness of a locust to a horse, especially to a horse equipped with armour, is so striking that the insect is named in German Heupferd (hay horse), and in Italian cavalett a little horse" (Vincent).

As it were crowns (os $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \sim o$ ). Not actual crowns, but what looked like crowns of gold, as conquerors, as indeed they were $(4: 4 ; 6: 2 ; 12: 1 ; 14: 14)$. These locusts of the abyss have another peculiar feature.

As men's faces ( $\omega \varsigma \pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \alpha \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega v$ ). Human-looking faces in these demonic locusts to give added terror, "suggesting the intelligence and capacity of man" (Swete). Vincent actually sees "a distinct resemblance to the human countenance in the face of the locust."

Rev 9:8
They had ( $\varepsilon \chi \chi \propto v$ ). Imperfect active, late form as in Mr 8:7 in place of the usual $\varepsilon$ ciरov.
As hair of women ( $\omega \varsigma \tau \rho \_\chi \alpha \varsigma \gamma v \alpha a \kappa \omega \nu$ ). That is long hair (1Co 11:15), with no reference to matters of sex at all, for $\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega v$ just before is used, not $\alpha v \delta \rho \omega v$ (men as distinct from women). Perhaps the antennae of the locust were unusually long.

As the teeth of lions ( $\omega \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \sigma v \tau \omega v$ ). Supply o o $\delta o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ (the teeth) before $\lambda \varepsilon o v \tau \omega v$. See Joe 1:6. The locust is voracious.

Rev 9:9
As it were breastplates of iron ( $\omega \varsigma \theta \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \varsigma ~ \sigma ı \delta \varepsilon \rho о \nu \varsigma)$. The $\theta \omega \rho \alpha \xi$ was originally the breast (from the neck to the navel), then the breastplate, only N.T. usage (Re 9:9,17; 1Th 5:8; Eph 6:14 ). The armour for the breastplate was usually of iron ( $\sigma$ เ $\delta \varepsilon \rho \circ \cup$, , $\operatorname{Re} 2: 27$ ), but with the locusts it only seemed to be so $(\omega \varsigma)$. However, the scaly backs and flanks of the locusts do resemble coats of mail. "The locusts of the Abyss may be the memories of the past brought home at times of Divine visitation" (Swete).

The sound of their wings ( $\eta \varphi \omega v \eta \tau \omega \nu \pi \tau \varepsilon \rho v \gamma \omega v$ ). Graphic picture of the onrush of the swarms of demonic locusts and the hopelessness of resisting them.

As the sound of chariots, of many horses rushing to war ( $\omega \varsigma \varphi \omega \nu \eta \alpha \rho \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu \imath \pi \pi \omega \nu$ $\pi о \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau \rho \varepsilon \chi о \nu \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \mu \circ \nu)$. Both metaphors here, the clatter and clangour of the chariot wheels and the prancing of the horses are found in Joe $2: 4 \mathrm{f}$. T $\rho \varepsilon \chi o v \tau \omega v$ is present active predicate participle of $\tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$, to run. Cf. 2 Ki 7:6; Jer 47:3 .

Rev 9:10
Tails (ovpac). Old word, in N.T. only in $\operatorname{Re} 9: 10,19 ; 12: 4$.
Like unto scorpions (оноьаৎ бкорпıоьৎ). Aleph A wrongly have ouоьоıৎ (agreeing with $\sigma \kappa о \rho \pi \iota \circ \iota$ instead of with oupaৎ). It is a condensed idiom for "like unto the tails of the scorpions" as we have it in 13:11 (cf. Mt 5:20; 1Jo 2:2 ).

Stings ( $\kappa \varepsilon v \tau \rho \alpha$ ). Old word from $\kappa \varepsilon v \tau \rho \varepsilon \omega$ (to prick, to sting), in N.T. only here, Ac 26:14 (about Paul); 1Co 15:55 (about death). It is used "of the spur of a cock, the quill of the porcupine, and the stings of insects" (Vincent). It was the goad used for oxen (Pr 26:3; Ac 26:14 ).

In their tails ( $\varepsilon v \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma ~ o u p a ı \varsigma ~ \alpha u \tau \omega v)$. This locates "their power to hurt" ( $\eta \varepsilon \xi o v \sigma ı \alpha \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ $\alpha \delta_{ı} \eta \sigma \alpha$, infinitive here, $\tau v \alpha \alpha \delta_{ı \kappa \eta} \sigma o v \sigma เ v$ in 9:4) in their tails. It might have been in other organs.

Rev 9:11
As king ( $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon \alpha$ ). Predicate accusative and anarthrous. In $\operatorname{Pr}$ 30:27 it is stated that the locust has no king, but this is not true of these demonic locusts. Their king is "the angel of the abyss (verse 1) whose orders they obey."

His name is (ovoцa $\alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ). "Name to him" (nominative absolute and dative, as in 6:8).
In Hebrew (E $\beta \rho \alpha \iota \sigma \tau$ ). Adverb as in 16:16; Joh 5:2; 19:13,17,20; 20:16 . A $\beta \alpha \delta \delta \omega v$. A word almost confined to the Wisdom books (Job 26:6; Ps 88:11; Pr 15:11). It is rendered in the LXX by A $\pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon เ \alpha$, destruction.

In the Greek tongue ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta H \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \nu ı \kappa \eta$ ). With $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \eta$ or $\delta ı \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega$ understood. As usual, John gives both the Hebrew and the Greek.

Apollyon (A $\pi \frac{\lambda}{\lambda} \nu \omega \omega v$ ). Present active masculine singular participle of $\alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \nu \omega$, meaning "destroying," used here as a name and so "Destroyer," with the nominative case retained though in apposition with the accusative ovo $\mu \alpha$. The personification of Abaddon occurs in the Talmud also. It is not clear whether by Apollyon John means Death or Satan. Bousset even finds in the name Apollyon an indirect allusion to Apollo, one of whose symbols was the locust, a doubtful point assuredly.

Rev 9:12
The first woe ( $\eta$ ova $\eta \mu \mathrm{l})$ ). Note feminine gender ascribed to the interjection ova as in 11:14, perhaps because $\theta \lambda ı \psi ı \varsigma$ is feminine, though we really do not know. Note also the ordinal use of $\mu \mathrm{\alpha}$ (one) like $\pi \rho \omega \tau \eta$ (first) as in 6:1; Mr 16:2 .

There come yet two Woes ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \varepsilon \tau \delta v o \mathrm{Ov} \mathrm{\alpha}$ ). Singular number $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ instead of $\varepsilon \rho \chi o v \tau a$, though $\delta v o$ ova. It is true that ova is an interjection and indeclinable, but it is here used with $\delta v o$ and is feminine just before, and not neuter.

Rev 9:13
A voice ( $\varphi \omega \nu \eta \nu \mu \iota \alpha v$ ). For $\mu \iota \alpha \nu$ as indefinite article see 8:13. Accusative case here after $\eta \kappa o v \sigma \alpha$, though genitive in 8:13, a distinction between sound and sense sometimes exists (Ac 9:7; 22:9), but not here as the words are clearly heard in both instances.

From ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ ). "Out of the horns." Note triple use of the genitive article here as of the accusative article with this identical phrase in 8:3 ("the altar the golden the one before the throne").

Rev 9:14

One saying to the sixth angel ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma 0 \nu \tau \alpha \tau \omega \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega$ ). Accusative masculine singular active participle of $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, personifying $\varphi \omega \nu \eta \nu$ and agreeing with it in case, though not in gender. This voice speaks to the sixth angel (dative case).

Which had the trumpet (o $\varepsilon \chi \omega \nu \tau \eta \nu \sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \tau \gamma \gamma \alpha)$ ). Nominative case in apposition with $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \omega$ (dative), the same anomalous phenomenon in 2:20; 3:12; 14:12 . Swete treats it as a parenthesis, like 4:1; 11:15.

Loose ( $\lambda v \sigma \sigma v$ ). First aorist (ingressive) active imperative of $\lambda \nu \omega$, "let loose." Another group of four angels (7:1) like Ac 12:4 , described here "which are bound" ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o u \varsigma)$. Perfect passive articular participle of $\delta \varepsilon \omega$, evidently the leaders of the demonic horsemen (9:15ff. ) as the four angels let loose the demonic locusts (7:1ff. ), both quaternions agents of God's wrath.

At the great river Euphrates ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \pi о \tau \alpha \mu \omega \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \omega \operatorname{Ev\varphi \rho } \alpha \tau \eta)$. A regular epithet of the Euphrates (16:12; Ge 15:18; De 1:7). It rises in Armenia and joins the Tigris in lower Babylonia, a total length of nearly 1800 miles, the eastern boundary of the Roman Empire next to Parthia.

Rev 9:15
Were loosed ( $\varepsilon \lambda u \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist (ingressive) passive indicative of $\lambda v \omega$, "were let loose."

Which had been prepared ( $\boldsymbol{\eta} \tau о \mu \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Perfect passive articular participle of $\varepsilon \tau о \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$, to make ready ( $\varepsilon \tau о \mu \circ \varsigma)$, in a state of readiness prepared by $\operatorname{God}(12: 6 ; 16: 12 ; \mathrm{Mt}$ 25:34).

For the hour and day and month and year ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma ~ \tau \eta \nu ~ \omega \rho a v ~ к \alpha ~ \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu ~ к а ~ \mu \eta \nu \alpha к \alpha$ $\varepsilon v i \alpha u \tau o v)$. For this use of $\varepsilon ı \varsigma$ with $\eta \tau о \mu \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o v$ see $2 \mathrm{Ti} 2: 21$. All preparation over, the angels are waiting for the signal to begin.

That they should kill (ıva $\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ v \omega \sigma เ v)$. The same idiom in verse 5 about the fifth trumpet, which brought torture. This one brings death.

Rev 9:16
 The neuter articular singular тo וл兀єкоจ, the horse or the cavalry in contrast with то $\pi \varepsilon \zeta \kappa \kappa \circ v$ (the infantry), here only in N.T. For the numbers here see on 5:11; 7:4 .

Rev 9:17
And thus I saw in the vision ( $\kappa \alpha$ ov $\omega \omega \varsigma \varepsilon \delta o v \varepsilon v \tau \eta$ opaбq). Nowhere else does John allude to his own vision, though often in Dan. (Da 7:2; 8:2,15; 9:21).

Having ( $\varepsilon \chi \circ \vee \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Accusative masculine plural of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, probably referring to the riders


Breastplates as of fire and of hyacinth and of brimstone ( $\theta \omega \rho$ акаৎ лиріvouс ка vaкıv $\theta$ ıvouৎ $\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon ı \omega \delta \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. There is no $\omega \varsigma$ (as) in the Greek, but that is the idea of these three adjectives which are only metaphors. Пирıvos is an old adjective (from $\pi \cup \rho$, fire), here only
 Re 21:20 ), of a red color bordering on black, here only in the N.T. $\Theta \varepsilon \epsilon \omega \delta \eta \varsigma$ is a late word (from $\theta \varepsilon \iota o v$, brimstone), sulphurous, here only in N.T.

As the heads of lions ( $\omega \varsigma \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma v \tau \omega v$ ). This of the horses, war-horses as always in the Bible except in Isa 28:28. These horses likewise have "fire and smoke and brimstone" ( $\theta \varepsilon \iota \circ$, brimstone, is old word, in N.T. only in Rev. and Lu 17:29) proceeding (єклорєиعта, singular because it comes first and the subjects afterwards) out of their mouths. Both rider and horse are terrible.

Rev 9:18
By these three plagues ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \tau \omega \nu \tau \rho I \omega v \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \omega \nu \tau 0 \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Our "plague" or stroke from $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma \omega$, as in Lu 10:30 and often in Rev. (9:20; 11:6; 15:1,6,8; 16:9; 18:4,8; 22:18). It is used in Ex 11:1ff. for the plagues in Egypt. The three plagues here are the fire, smoke, and brimstone which proceed from the mouths of the horses.

Was killed ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \tau \alpha v \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ v \omega$, to kill, third person plural, though to $\tau \rho \iota \tau 0 v$ is neuter singular because a collective idea. See same form in verse 20 .

## Rev 9:19

The power ( $\eta \varepsilon \xi$ (ovaia). As in 2:26; 6:8. This power of the horses is both in their mouths (because of the fire, smoke, brimstone) and in their tails, "for their tails are like unto serpents"
 is old word for snake (Mt 7:10).

Having heads ( $\varepsilon \chi \circ \cup \sigma \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \alpha \varsigma)$. Feminine present active participle of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$, agreeing with oupa (tails).

With them ( $\varepsilon v$ avtaı). Instrumental use of $\varepsilon v$. Surely dreadful monsters.
Rev 9:20
Repented not (ov $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon v o \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon \omega$. The twothirds of mankind still spared did not change their creed or their conduct.

Of the works ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega v$ ). For this use of $\varepsilon \kappa$ after $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon \omega$ see 2:21; 9:21; 16:11 . By "works" ( $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega v$ ) here idolatries are meant, as the next verse shows.

That they should not worship ( $\imath \nu \alpha \mu \eta \pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa v \nu \eta \sigma o v \sigma \imath v$ ). Negative purpose clause with iva $\mu \eta$ and the future active of $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \nu v \varepsilon \omega$ as in 9:5.

Devils ( $\tau \alpha \delta \alpha \mu \circ v i \alpha)$. Both in the O.T. (De 32:17; Ps 96:5; 106:37) and in the N.T. (1Co 10:21 ) the worship of idols is called the worship of unclean spirits. Perhaps this is one explanation of the hideous faces given these images. "The idols" ( $\tau \alpha \varepsilon \iota \delta \omega \lambda \alpha 1$ 1Jo 5:21, from $\varepsilon \iota \delta o \varsigma$, form, appearance) represented "demons," whether made of gold ( $\tau \alpha \times \rho \cup \sigma \alpha$ ) or of silver ( $\tau \alpha \alpha \rho \gamma \nu \rho \alpha$ ) or of brass ( $\tau \alpha \chi \alpha \lambda \kappa \alpha$ ) or of stone ( $\tau \alpha \lambda_{1} \theta \iota v \alpha$ ) or of wood ( $\tau \alpha \xi \nu \lambda \iota v \alpha$ ). See Da 5:23 for this picture of heathen idols. The helplessness of these idols, "which can neither see
 presented in the O.T. (Ps 113:12ff.; 115:4 ).

Rev 9:21
Of their murders ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu$ $\varphi o v \omega \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Heads the list, but "sorceries" ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v$ чар $\mu \alpha \kappa \omega v$ ) comes next. Фарнакоv was originally enchantment, as also in Re 21:8, then drug. For $\varphi$ ар $\mu \boldsymbol{\kappa \iota \alpha}$ see Re 18:34; Ga 5:20. The two other items are fornication ( $\pi о \rho v \varepsilon ı \alpha$ ) and thefts ( $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \mu \mu \alpha \tau \omega v$, old word from $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \omega$, here alone in N.T.), all four characteristic of demonic worship and idolatry. See other lists of vices in Mr 7:21; Ga 5:20; Re 21:8; 22:15 . Our word "pharmacy" as applied to drugs and medicine has certainly come a long way out of a bad environment, but there is still a bad odour about "patent medicines."

## Revelation 10

Rev 10:1
Another strong angel ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda 0 v \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o v ~ t \sigma \chi \nu \rho o v$ ). But the seventh trumpet does not sound till 11:15. This angel is not one of the seven or of the four, but like the other strong angel in 5:2; 18:21 or the other angel in 14:6,15. The sixth trumpet of 9:13 ends in 9:21. The opening of the seventh seal was preceded by two visions (chapter Re 7 ) and so here the sounding of the seventh trumpet ( 11:15) is preceded by a new series of visions ( 10:1-11:14).

Coming down out of heaven (катаßаıvovта єк тov ovpavov). Present active participle of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta a \iota v \omega$ picturing the process of the descent as in 20:1 (cf. 3:12).

Arrayed with a cloud ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon v o v \nu \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \eta \nu)$. Perfect passive participle of $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ with accusative case retained as in 7:9,13. Not proof that this angel is Christ, though Christ will come on the clouds ( 1:7) as he ascended on a cloud (Ac 1:9). God's chariot is in the clouds (Ps 104:3), but this angel is a special messenger of God's.

The rainbow ( $\eta \stackrel{\rho \iota \varsigma) \text {. See 4:3 for this word. The construction here is changed from the }}{\text { then }}$ accusative to the nominative.

As the sun ( $\omega \varsigma$ o $\left.\eta \lambda_{1} \circ \varsigma\right)$. The very metaphor applied to Christ in 1:16.
As pillars of fire ( $\omega \varsigma \sigma \tau \cup \lambda 0 \pi v \rho o \varsigma$ ). Somewhat like the metaphor of Christ in 1:15, but still no proof that this angel is Christ. On $\sigma \tau \cup \lambda$ oc see 3:12; Ga 2:9 .

Rev 10:2
And he had ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \chi \omega v$ ). This use of the participle in place of $\varepsilon \not \chi \varepsilon v$ (imperfect) is like that in 4:7f.; 12:2; 19:12; 21:12,14, a Semitic idiom (Charles), or as if кataßaıv $\omega v$ (nominative) had preceded in place of кат $\beta \alpha$ ııvov $\alpha$.

A little book ( $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \alpha \rho \iota \delta \iota o v$ ). A diminutive of $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \alpha \rho \iota o v$ (papyri), itself a diminutive of $\beta \iota \beta \lambda_{\operatorname{lov}}$ ( $5: 1$ ) and perhaps in contrast with it, a rare form in Hermas and Re 10:2,9,10 . In 10:8 Tischendorf reads $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota \delta \alpha \rho \iota o v$, diminutive of $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota \delta \iota o v$ (Aristophanes) instead of $\beta ı \beta \lambda_{\text {ıov }}$ (Westcott and Hort). The contents of this little book are found in 11:1-13.

Open ( $\eta v \varepsilon \omega \iota \gamma \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. See Eze 2:9f. Perfect (triple reduplication) passive participle of $\alpha v o r \gamma \omega$, in contrast to the closed book in $5: 1$. There also we have $\varepsilon \pi$ (upon) $\tau \eta \nu \delta \varepsilon \xi \iota \alpha \nu$ (the right hand), for it was a large roll, but here the little open roll is held in the hand ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \chi \varepsilon เ \rho)$, apparently the left hand (verse 5).

He set $(\varepsilon \theta \eta \kappa \varepsilon v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$. The size of the angel is colossal, for he bestrides both land and sea. Apparently there is no special point in the right foot ( $\tau 0 v$ $\pi o \delta \alpha \operatorname{\tau ov} \delta \varepsilon \xi \circ v$ ) being on the sea ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta \varsigma)$ and the left ( $\tau \circ v \varepsilon v \omega v \nu \mu \circ v$ ) upon the land ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. It makes a bold and graphic picture.

As a lion roareth ( $\omega \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \lambda \varepsilon \omega v \mu \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Only instance of $\omega \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho$ in the Apocalypse, but $\omega \varsigma$ in the same sense several times. Present middle indicative of $\mu v \kappa \alpha \circ \mu \alpha$, an old onomatopoetic word from $\mu v$ or $\mu$ oo (the sound which a cow utters), common for the lowing
and bellowing of cattle, Latin mugire, but in Theocritus for the roaring of a lion as here, though in 1Pe 5:8 we have $\omega \rho v o \mu \alpha$. Homer uses $\mu v \kappa \alpha o \mu \alpha$ for the clangour of the shield and Aristophanes for thunder. It occurs here alone in the N.T. It does not mean that what the angel said was unintelligible, only loud. Cf. 1:10; 5:2,12; 6:10; 7:2,10 , etc.

Rev 10:3
The seven thunders ( $\alpha \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \beta \rho o v \tau \alpha$ ). A recognized group, but not explained here, perhaps John assuming them to be known. For $\beta \rho 0$ v $\alpha$ see already $4: 5 ; 6: 1 ; 8: 5$. In Ps 29 the Lord speaks in the sevenfold voice of the thunderstorm upon the sea.

Their voices ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \varphi \omega v \alpha \varsigma$ ). Cognate accusative with $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ and $\varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$ (reflexive) means "their own." In Joh 12:28 the voice of the Father to Christ was thought by some to be thunder.

Rev 10:4
I was about to write ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o v \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon เ v$ ). Imperfect active of $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ (double augment as in Joh 4:47; 12:33; 18:32) and the present (inchoative) active infinitive of $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$, "I was on the point of beginning to write," as commanded in 1:11,19 .

Seal up ( $\sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \sigma \sigma \nu$ ). Aorist active imperative of $\sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \zeta \zeta \omega$, tense of urgency, "seal up at once."

And write them not ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \eta \alpha \cup \tau \alpha \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \eta \iota$ ). Prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and the ingressive aorist active subjunctive of $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$, "Do not begin to write." It is idle to conjecture what was in the utterances. Compare Paul's silence in 2Co 12:4.

Rev 10:5
Standing ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \tau \alpha)$. Second perfect active participle of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ (intransitive). John resumes the picture in verse 2.

Lifted up ( $\eta \rho \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of alp $\omega$, to lift up.
To heaven ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ o u p a v o v) . ~ T o w a r d ~ h e a v e n, ~ t h e ~ c u s t o m a r y ~ g e s t u r e ~ i n ~ t a k i n g ~ a ~ s o l e m n ~$ oath (Ge 14:22; De 32:40; Da 12:7).

Rev 10:6
Sware ( $\omega \mu \circ \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist indicative of o $\mu v v \omega$ to swear.
By him that liveth ( $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \zeta \omega v \tau$ ). This use of $\varepsilon v$ after o $\mu v \nu \omega$ instead of the usual accusative (Jas 5:12 ) is like the Hebrew (Mt 5:34,36). "The living one for ages of ages" is a common phrase in the Apocalypse for God as eternally existing ( $1: 18 ; 4: 9,10 ; 15: 7$ ). This oath proves that this angel is not Christ.

Who created (os $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \varepsilon v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\kappa \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, a reference to God's creative activity as seen in Ge 1:1ff.; Ex 20:11; Isa 37:16; 42:5; Ps 33:6; 145:6 , etc.

That there shall be time no longer (от $\chi \rho \circ \vee \circ \varsigma$ оик $\tau \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha$ ). Future indicative indirect discourse with ot. But this does not mean that $\chi$ роvoc (time), Einstein's "fourth dimension" (added to length, breadth, height), will cease to exist, but only that there will be no more
delay in the fulfillment of the seventh trumpet (verse 7), in answer to the question, "How long?" ( 6:10).

Rev 10:7
When he is about to sound (otav $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \varepsilon \tau v$ ). Indefinite temporal clause with otav and the present active subjunctive of $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ and the present (inchoative) active infinitive of $\sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \omega$, "whenever he is about to begin to sound" (in contrast to the aorist in 11:15).

Then ( $\kappa \alpha$ ). So in apodosis often ( $14: 10$ ).
Is finished ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, proleptic or futuristic use of the aorist as in 1Co 7:28. So also 15:1.
 Col 2:2 . Here apparently the whole purpose of God in human history is meant.

According to the good tidings which he declared ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \cup \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota} \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). "As he gospelized to," first aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{l} \zeta \omega$, a rare use of the active as in $14: 6$ with the accusative. See the middle so used in Ga 1:9; 1Pe 1:12. See Am 3:7; Jer 7:25; $25: 4$ for this idea in the O.T. prophets who hoped for a cleaning up of all mysteries in the last days.

Rev 10:8
Again speaking and saying ( $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota v \lambda \alpha \lambda o v \sigma \alpha v \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \sigma \sigma \sigma \alpha v$ ). Present active predicate participles feminine accusative singular agreeing with $\eta \nu$ (object of $\eta$ кov $\sigma \alpha$ ), not with $\varphi \omega v \eta$ (nominative) as most of the cursives have it ( $\lambda \alpha \lambda$ ovoa ка $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \sigma \alpha$ ). Ordinarily it would be $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$. See 4:1 for like idiom. This is the voice mentioned in verse 4 . No great distinction is to be made here between $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ and $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$.

Go, take (Нипаүє $\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon$ ). Present active imperative of $v \pi \alpha \gamma \omega$ and second aorist active imperative of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$. The use of $v \pi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon$ (exclamation like $\delta \delta \varepsilon$ ) is common in N.T. (Mt $5: 24 ; 8: 4 ; 19: 21 ;$ Joh $4: 16 ; 9: 7$ ). Charles calls it a Hebraism ( $16: 1$ ). Note the repeated article here (тo) referring to the open book in the hand of the angel (verse 2 ), only here $\beta \iota \beta \lambda_{1}$ ov is used, not the diminutive of $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \alpha \rho \iota \delta \iota o v$ of verses $2,9,10$.

Rev 10:9
I went ( $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \theta \alpha$ ). Second aorist active indicative ( $-\alpha$ form), "I went away" ( $\alpha \pi-$ ) to the angel. John left his position by the door of heaven ( $4: 1$ ).

That he should give ( $\delta o v v \alpha$ ). Second aorist active infinitive of $\delta \delta \delta \omega \mu$, indirect command after $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega \nu$ (bidding) for $\delta$ o $\varsigma$ in the direct discourse (second aorist active imperative second person singular). This use of $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ to bid occurs in 13:14; Ac 21:21.

He saith ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ ). Dramatic vivid present active indicative of $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$.
Take it and eat it up ( $\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varphi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau 0$ ). Second aorist (effective) active imperatives of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$ and $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega \omega$ (perfective use of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$, "eat down," we say "eat up"). See the same metaphor in Eze 3:1-3; Jer 15:6f. The book was already open and was not to be read aloud, but to be digested mentally by John.

It shall make thy belly bitter ( $\pi \iota \kappa \rho \alpha \nu \varepsilon \sigma o v \tau \eta \nu \kappa о \iota \lambda \iota \alpha v$ ). Future active of $\pi \iota \kappa \rho a \iota \nu \omega$, for which verb see $8: 11 ; 10: 10$; Col 3:19. There is no reference in Ezekiel or Jeremiah to the bitterness here mentioned.

Sweet as honey ( $\gamma \lambda \nu \kappa v \omega \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \lambda$ ). For the sweetness of the roll see Ps 19:10f.; 119:103 . "Every revelation of God's purposes, even though a mere fragment, a $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \alpha \rho \iota \delta \iota v$, is 'bittersweet,' disclosing judgement as well as mercy" (Swete). Deep and bitter sorrows confront John as he comes to understand God's will and way.

Rev 10:10
I took--and ate it up ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \circ v--\kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \gamma \circ v \alpha v \tau о)$. Second aorist active indicatives of the same verbs to show John's prompt obedience to the command. The order of the results is here changed to the actual experience (sweet in the mouth, bitter in the belly). The simplex verb $\varepsilon \varphi a \gamma \circ \nu$ (I ate) is now used, not the compound кат $\varepsilon \varphi \alpha \gamma \circ \nu$ (I ate up).

Rev 10:11
They say ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \sigma \sigma \sigma \iota$ ). Present active of vivid dramatic action and the indefinite statement in the plural as in $13: 16 ; 16: 15$. It is possible that the allusion is to the heavenly voice $(10: 4,8$ ) and to the angel (10:9).

Thou must prophesy again ( $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \pi \alpha \lambda ı \tau \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha)$. Not a new commission ( $1: 19$ ), though now renewed. C.f. Eze 4:7; 6:2; Jer 1:10. The $\pi \alpha \lambda ı v$ (again) points to what has preceded and also to what is to come in 11:15. Here it is predictive prophecy $(\pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha$, first aorist active infinitive of $\pi \rho \rho \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon \nu \omega)$.

Over ( $\varepsilon \pi$ ). In the case, in regard to as in Joh 12:16 (with $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$ ), not in the presence of ( $\varepsilon \pi$ with genitive, $\mathrm{Mr} 13: 9$ ) nor against ( $\varepsilon \pi$ with the accusative, $\mathrm{Lu} 22: 53$ ). For this list of peoples see 5:9, occurring seven times in the Apocalypse.

## Revelation 11

Rev 11:1
A reed (ка入аноऽ). Old word for a growing reed (Mt 11:7) which grew in immense brakes in the Jordan valley, a writer's reed (3Jo 1:7), a measuring-rod (here, 21:15f.; Eze 40:3-6; 42:16-19 ).

Like a rod ( $\mathrm{o} \mathrm{\mu} \boldsymbol{\circ} \boldsymbol{\circ} \varsigma \rho \alpha \beta \delta \omega$ ). See 2:27; Mr 6:8 for $\rho \alpha \beta \delta$ o $\varsigma$.
And one said $(\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega v)$. "Saying" (present active masculine participle of $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$ ) is all that the Greek has. The participle implies $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ (he gave), not $\varepsilon \delta o \theta \eta$, a harsh construction seen in Ge 22:20; 38:24 , etc.

Rise and measure ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon เ \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \eta \sigma o v$ ). Present active imperative of $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$ (intransitive, exclamatory use as in Mr 2:11) and first aorist active imperative of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \varepsilon \omega$. In Eze 42:2ff. the prophet measures the temple and that passage is probably in mind here. But modern scholars do not know how to interpret this interlude ( 11:1-13) before the seventh trumpet ( 11:15). Some (Wellhausen) take it to be a scrap from the Zealot party before the destruction of Jerusalem, which event Christ also foretold (Mr 13:2; Mt 24:2; Lu 21:6) and which was also attributed to Stephen (Ac 6:14). Charles denies any possible literal interpretation and takes the language in a wholly eschatological sense. There are three points in the interlude, however understood: the chastisement of Jerusalem or Israel (verses 1,2 ), the mission of the two witnesses ( 3-12), the rescue of the remnant (13). There is a heavenly sanctuary ( $7: 15 ; 11: 19 ; 14: 15$, etc.), but here vaoc is on earth and yet not the actual temple in Jerusalem (unless so interpreted). Perhaps here it is the spiritual (3:12; 2Th 2:4; 1Co 3:16f.; 2Co 6:16; Eph 2:19ff. ). For altar ( $\theta$ vбıaбтпрıov) see 8:3. Perhaps measuring as applied to "them that worship therein" ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \cup v o u v \tau \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \alpha \cup \tau \omega$ ) implies a word like numbering, with an allusion to the 144,000 in chapter 7 (a zeugma).

Rev 11:2
The court ( $\tau \eta v \alpha \cup \lambda \eta \nu)$. The uncovered yard outside the house. There were usually two, one between the door and the street, the outer court, the other the inner court surrounded by the buildings (Mr 14:66). This is here the outer court, "which is without the temple" ( $\tau \eta \nu$ $\varepsilon \xi \omega \theta \varepsilon v$ tov vaou), outside of the sanctuary, but within the $\varepsilon \varepsilon \rho \circ v$ where the Gentiles could go (carrying out the imagery of the Jerusalem temple).

Leave without ( $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \xi \omega \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Literally, "cast without" (second aorist active imperative of $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$.

Do not measure it ( $\mu \eta \alpha \cup \tau \eta \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \eta \sigma \eta \vdash)$. Prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and the first aorist active (ingressive) subjunctive of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \varepsilon \omega$. This outer court is left to its fate. In Herod's temple the outer court was marked off from the inner by "the middle wall of partition" ( $\tau \mathrm{\mu} \mu$ коьто七хоv тov $\varphi \rho a \gamma \mu \circ$, Eph 2:15 ), beyond which a Gentile could not go. In this outer court was a
house of prayer for the Gentiles (Mr 11:17), but now John is to cast it out and leave to its fate (given to the Gentiles in another sense) to be profaned by them.

They shall tread under foot ( $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \sigma o v \sigma ı v$ ). Future active of $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$, here to trample with contempt as in Lu 21:24, even the holy city (Mt 4:5; Isa 48:2; Ne 11:1). Charles thinks that only the heavenly city can be so called here ( $21: 2,10 ; 22: 19$ ) because of $11: 8$ (Sodom and Gomorrah). But the language may be merely symbolical. See Da 9:24 .

Forty and two months ( $\mu \eta \nu \alpha \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \rho \alpha \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \delta v o$ ). Accusative of extent of time. This period in Da 7:25; 12:7. It occurs in three forms in the Apocalypse (forty-two months, here and 13:5; 1260 days, 11:3; 12:6 ; time, times and half a time or $31 / 2$ years, 12:14 and so in Daniel). This period, however its length may be construed, covers the duration of the triumph of the Gentiles, of the prophesying of the two witnesses, of the sojourn of the woman in the wilderness.

Rev 11:3
I will give $(\delta \omega \sigma \omega)$. Future active of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$. The speaker may be God (Beckwith) or Christ (Swete) as in 2:13; $21: 6$ or his angel representative (22:7,12ff. ). The idiom that follows is Hebraic instead of either the infinitive after $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ as in $2: 7 ; 3: 21 ; 6: 4 ; 7: 2 ; 13: 7,15 ; 16: 8$ or ıva with the subjunctive ( $9: 5 ; 19: 8$ ) we have ка $\pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon v \sigma o v \sigma ı v$ (and they shall prophesy).

Unto my two witnesses ( $\tau \circ \imath \varsigma \delta v \sigma \iota \nu \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \sigma \iota \nu \mu \circ v$ ). Dative case after $\delta \omega \sigma \omega$. The article seems to point to two well-known characters, like Elijah, Elisha, but there is no possible way to determine who they are. All sorts of identifications have been attempted.

Clothed ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho 1 \beta \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon v o v \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ as often before (7:9,13; 10:1, etc.). But Aleph A P Q here read the accusative plural in -ovc, while C has the nominative in -o. Charles suggests a mere slip for the nominative, but Hort suggests a primitive error in early MSS. for the dative $\pi \varepsilon \rho \lesssim \beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o \iota s$ agreeing with $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \sigma \iota v$.

In sackcloth (баккоия). Accusative retained with this passive verb as in 7:9,13. See 6:12 for баккоц and also Mt 3:4. The dress suited the message (Mt 11:21).

Rev 11:4
The two olive trees ( $\alpha \delta v o \varepsilon \lambda \alpha i \alpha$ ). The article seems to point to what is known. For this original use of $\varepsilon \lambda$ aıa see Ro 11:17,24. In Zec 4:2,3,14 the lampstand or candlestick ( $\lambda \nu \chi \nu \mathrm{v} \alpha$ ) is Israel, and the two olive trees apparently Joshua and Zerubbabel, but John makes his own use of this symbolism. Here the two olive trees and the candlesticks are identical.

Standing ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Masculine perfect active participle agreeing with outo instead of $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \sigma \alpha$ (read by P and cursives) agreeing with $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha ı \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \chi v ı \alpha$, even though $\alpha$ (feminine plural article) be accepted before $\varepsilon v \omega \pi \iota \circ$ tov кирьov (before the Lord).

Rev 11:5
If any man desireth to hurt them ( $\varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau 0 \cup \varsigma ~ \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \delta ı \kappa \eta \sigma \alpha)$. Condition of first class, assumed to be true, with $\varepsilon$ and present active indicative $(\theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon)$ "if any one wants to hurt" ( $\alpha \delta$ ıкп $\sigma \alpha$ first aorist active infinitive). It is impossible to hurt these two witnesses till they
do their work. The fire proceeding out of the mouths of the witnesses is like Elijah's experience (2Ki 1:10).

Devoureth ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \theta เ \varepsilon)$. "Eats up (down)," present active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \theta เ \omega$.
If any man shall desire $(\varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \eta)$. Condition of third class with $\varepsilon$ and first aorist active subjunctive of $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$ as in Lu 9:13; Php 3:12, but MSS. also read either $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$ (present active indicative) or $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ (future active, condition of the first class like the preceding one. The condition is repeated in this changed form, as less likely to happen and with inevitable death ( $\delta \varepsilon \alpha v \tau о \nu \alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \alpha \nu \theta \eta \nu \alpha$, must be killed, first aorist passive infinitive of $\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ \nu \omega$ with $\delta \varepsilon$ ).

Rev 11:6
To shut the heaven ( $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha$ $\tau 0 \nu$ ovpavov). First aorist active infinitive of $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \iota \omega$. As Elijah did by prayer (1Ki 17:1; Lu 4:25; Jas 5:17).

That it rain not ( $\iota v \alpha \mu \eta v \varepsilon \tau \circ \varsigma \beta \rho \varepsilon \chi \eta)$. Sub-final use of $v \alpha \alpha \mu \eta$ with the present active subjunctive of $\beta \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$, old verb to rain (Mt 5:45) , here with veтos as subject.

During the days ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho a \varsigma)$. Accusative of extent of time. In Lu 4:25; Jas 5:17 the period of the drouth in Elijah's time was three and a half years, just the period here.

Of their prophecy $(\tau \eta \varsigma \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma \alpha v \tau \omega \nu)$. Not here the gift of prophecy (1Co 12:10 ) or a particular prophecy or collection of prophecies ( $\operatorname{Re} 1: 3 ; 22: 7 \mathrm{f}$. ), but "the execution of the prophetic office" (Swete).

Over the waters ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \nu v \delta \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). "Upon the waters." As Moses had (Ex 7:20).
Into blood $(\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \alpha \not \mu \alpha)$. As already stated in 8:8 about the third trumpet and now again here.

To smite ( $\pi \alpha \tau \alpha \xi \alpha)$. First aorist active infinitive of $\pi \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, used here with $\varepsilon \xi$ ovoıav $\varepsilon \chi o v \sigma \iota v$ (they have power), as is $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \iota v$ (to turn).

With every plague ( $\varepsilon v \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \eta$ ). In $1 \mathrm{Ki} 4: 8$, but with reference to the plagues in Egypt.

As often as they shall desire (обакıৎ $\varepsilon \alpha v \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). Indefinite temporal clause with oбaкıц and modal $\varepsilon \alpha \nu(=\alpha v)$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$, "as often as they will."

Rev 11:7
When they shall have finished ( $\mathrm{o} \tau \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \omega \sigma \iota$ ). Merely the first aorist active subjunctive of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ with o $\tau \alpha v$ in an indefinite temporal clause with no futurum exactum (future perfect), "whenever they finish."

The beast ( $\tau 0 \operatorname{\eta \eta } \rho \stackrel{\nu}{ }$ ). "The wild beast comes out of the abyss" of 9:1f. He reappears in 13:1; 17:8. In Da 7:3 $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# pıa occurs. Nothing less than antichrist will satisfy the picture }\end{aligned}$ here. Some see the abomination of Da 7:7; Mt 24:15. Some see Nero redivivus.

He shall make war with them ( $\pi o \imath \eta \sigma \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \omega v \pi o \lambda \varepsilon \mu \circ v$ ). This same phrase occurs in 12:17 about the dragon's attack on the woman. It is more the picture of single combat ( 2:16).

He shall overcome them (vıкŋ $\sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau о \nu \varsigma)$. Future active of $v \kappa \kappa \alpha \omega$. The victory of the beast over the two witnesses is certain, as in Da 7:21 .

And kill them ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon)$. Future active of $\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ \nu \omega$. Without attempting to apply this prophecy to specific individuals or times, one can agree with these words of Swete: "But his words cover in effect all the martyrdoms and massacres of history in which brute force has seemed to triumph over truth and righteousness."

Rev 11:8
Their dead bodies lie ( $\tau 0 \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Old word from $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$ (to fall), a fall, especially of bodies slain in battle, a corpse, a carcase (Mt 14:12), here the singular (some MSS. $\pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, plural) as belonging to each of the $\alpha v \tau \omega \nu$ (their) like $\sigma \tau o \mu \alpha \tau o \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau \omega \nu$ (their mouth) in verse 5. So also in verse 9. No word in the Greek for "lie."

In ( $\varepsilon \pi$ ). "Upon," as in verse 6, with genitive ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \pi \lambda \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ), the broad way (o o ou understood), from $\pi \lambda a \tau v \varsigma$ (broad) as in Mt 6:5, old word (Re 21:21; 22:2).

Of the great city ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \pi \sigma \lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \varsigma)$. Clearly Jerusalem in view of the closing clause (o $\boldsymbol{\tau} \circ \boldsymbol{0}--\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \omega \theta \eta$ ), though not here called "the holy city" as in verse 2 , and though elsewhere in the Apocalypse Babylon (Rome) is so described (14:8; 16:19; 17:5; 18:2,10,16,18,19,21 ).

Which ( $\eta \tau \iota \varsigma)$. Which very city, not "whichever."
Spiritually ( $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \omega \varsigma$ ). This late adverb from $\pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \circ \varsigma$ (spiritual) occurs in the N.T. only twice, in 1Co 2:14 for the help of the Holy Spirit in interpreting God's message and here in a hidden or mystical (allegorical sense). For this use of $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa o c$ see 1 Co 10:3f . Judah is called Sodom in Isa 1:9f.; Eze 16:46,55. See also Mt 10:15; 11:23 . Egypt is not applied to Israel in the O.T., but is "an obvious symbol of oppression and slavery" (Swete).

Where also their Lord was crucified (олоv к о к кирьс $\alpha v \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \omega \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\sigma \tau \alpha \cup \rho o \omega$, to crucify, a reference to the fact of Christ's crucifixion in Jerusalem. This item is one of the sins of Jerusalem and the disciple is not greater than the Master (Joh 15:20).

Rev 11:9
Men from among ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \hat{A} \omega \nu$ etc.). No word for "men" ( $\alpha \nu \theta \rho \hat{A} \omega \pi \sigma$ or $\pi o \lambda \lambda o$ ) before $\varepsilon \kappa$ $\tau \hat{A} \omega \nu$, but it is implied (partitive use of $\varepsilon \kappa$ ) as in 2:10 and often. See also 5:9; 7:9 for this enumeration of races and nations.

Do look upon ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \sigma v \sigma \iota v$ ). Present (vivid dramatic) active indicative of $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$.
 is neuter singular though $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho a \varsigma$ (days) is feminine as in $\operatorname{Mr} 6: 23$; Re 12:14. The days of the gloating over the dead bodies are as many as the years of the prophesying by the witnesses
( 11:3), but there is no necessary correspondence (day for a year). This delight of the spectators "is represented as at once fiendish and childish" (Swete).

Suffer not (очк $\alpha \varphi \iota ⿱ \sigma \iota \nu$ ). Present active indicative of $\alpha \varphi \iota \omega$, late form for $\alpha \varphi \iota \eta \mu$, as in
 Apocalypse, though common elsewhere (Joh 11:44,48; 12:7; 18:8 ).

Their dead bodies ( $\tau \alpha \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). "Their corpses," plural here, though singular just before and in verse 8 .

To be laid in a tomb ( $\tau \varepsilon \theta \eta v \alpha \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \mu \nu \eta \mu \alpha)$. First aorist passive of $\tau \iota \theta \eta \mu$, to place. $\mathrm{M} \nu \eta \mu \alpha$ (old word from $\mu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$, to remind) is a memorial, a monument, a sepulchre, a tomb ( Mr 5:3 ). "In a country where burial regularly took place on the day of death the time of exposure and indignity would be regarded long" (Beckwith). See Tobit 1:18ff.

Rev 11:10
They that dwell upon the earth (o катокооv $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma$ ). Present active articular participle of катокк $\omega$, "an Apocalyptic formula" (Swete) for the non-Christian world (3:10; 6:10; 8:13; 13:8,12,14; 17:8).

Rejoice ( $\chi \alpha \iota \rho o v \sigma เ v$ ). Present active indicative of $\chi \alpha \rho \rho \omega$.
Over them ( $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime}$ autoıs). Locative (or dative) case with $\varepsilon \pi$ as in 10:11.
Make merry ( $\varepsilon \nu \varphi \rho \alpha, \nu \circ \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Present middle indicative of $\varepsilon \nu \varphi \rho \alpha ı \nu \omega$, old verb ( $\varepsilon v, \varphi \rho \eta \nu$, jolly mind), as in Lu 15:32; Re 12:12; 18:20 . Jubilant jollification over the cessation of the activity of the two prophets.

They shall send gifts to one another ( $\delta \omega \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi о v \sigma เ \nu ~ \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o \iota \varsigma)$. Future active of $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega$ with dative $\alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$ occ. Just as we see it done in Es 9:19,22; Ne 8:10,12 .

Tormented ( $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \alpha v / \sigma \alpha v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\beta \alpha \sigma \alpha v ı \zeta \omega$, for which see 9:5. This is the reason (oт) of the fiendish glee of Jew and Gentile, who no longer will have to endure the prophecies (11:3f. ) and dread miracles (11:5f. ) of these two prophets. "Such a sense of relief is perhaps not seldom felt today by bad men when a preacher of righteousness or a signal example of goodness is removed" (Swete).

Rev 11:11
After the ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ тац etc.). The article $\tau \alpha \varsigma$ (the) points back to 11:9.
The breath of life from God ( $\pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa$ тov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). This phrase ( $\pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma)$ occurs in Ge 6:17; 7:15,22 of the lower animals, but here there is clearly an allusion to Eze 37:5,10 (also 2Ki 13:21 ), where the dead bones lived again.

Entered into them ( $\varepsilon ו \sigma \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon v$ avtor¢). Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon ו \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ with $\varepsilon v$ rather than $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma$ after it (cf. Lu 9:46 ). The prophecy has here become fact (change from future $\pi \varepsilon \mu \psi o v \sigma \iota v$ to aorist $\varepsilon ા \sigma \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v)$.

They stood upon their feet ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v \varepsilon \pi$ тouc $\pi 0 \delta \alpha \varsigma \alpha u \tau \omega v)$ ). Ingressive second aorist active indicative of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ (intransitive). Reference to Eze $37: 10$, but with the accusative in place of genitive there after $\varepsilon \pi$ as in 2 Ki 13:21 .

Fell upon ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \pi$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$ with repetition of $\varepsilon \pi$. The same prophetic use of the aorist as in $\varepsilon เ \sigma \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon \nu$ and $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$.

Beheld ( $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho 0 \cup v \tau \alpha \varsigma)$. Present active articular participle of $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \omega$. "The spectators were panic-stricken" (Swete).

Rev 11:12
Saying ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma 0 v \sigma \eta \varsigma)$. Present active predicate participle of $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, feminine genitive agreeing with $\varphi \omega \nu \eta \varsigma$, though some MSS. have the accusative $\varphi \omega \nu \eta \nu \lambda \varepsilon \gamma o v \sigma \alpha \nu$, either construction being proper after $\eta \kappa о v \sigma \alpha \nu$ (they heard). There is a little evidence for $\eta \kappa о v \sigma \alpha$ like 12:10 (24 times in the book). Cf. Joh 5:28 .

Come up hither ( $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega \delta \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist active imperative of $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha \iota \omega$. The ascension of these two witnesses is in full view of their enemies, not just in the presence of a few friends as with Christ (Ac 1:9).

They went up $(\alpha v \varepsilon \beta \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\alpha \nu \alpha \beta \alpha \iota \omega \omega$.
In the cloud ( $\varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \eta$ ). As Jesus did (Ac 1:9) and like Elijah (2Ki 2:11). Their triumph is openly celebrated before their enemies and is like the rapture described by Paul in 1Th 4:17.

Rev 11:13
There was ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau 0)$. "There came to pass" (second aorist middle indicative of $\gamma \iota v \circ \mu \alpha$ ). Earthquakes are often given as a symbol of great upheavals in social and spiritual order (Swete) as in Eze 37:7; 38:19; Hag 2:6; Mr 13:8; Heb 12:26f.; Re 6:12; 16:18 .

Fell ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, to fall. Only the tenth ( $\tau 0$ $\delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau o v$ ) of the city fell. Cf. тo $\tau \rho \iota \tau \circ v$ (the third) in 8:7-12, perhaps a conventional number.

Were killed ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \tau \alpha v \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon \iota v \omega$ as in 9:18.
Seven thousand persons (ovo $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu \chi_{\iota} \lambda_{\iota} \alpha \delta \varepsilon \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha$ ). This use of ovo $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ (names of men here) is like that in 3:4; Ac 1:15 and occurs in the papyri (Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 196f.).

Were affrighted ( $\varepsilon \mu \varphi о \beta о \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v o v \tau о$ ). "Became terrified," old adjective ( $\varepsilon \nu, \varphi о \beta \circ \varsigma$, fear) as in $\operatorname{Lu} 24: 5$; Ac 10:4; 24:5. "A general movement toward Christianity, induced by fear or despair--a prediction fulfilled more than once in ecclesiastical history" (Swete).

Gave glory ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha \nu \delta o \xi \alpha \nu$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\delta เ \delta \omega \mu$, when they saw the effect of the earthquake, recognition of God's power (Joh 9:24; Ac 12:23; Ro 4:20 ).

Rev 11:14
Is past $(\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. See 9:12 for this use and $21: 1,4$. The second woe ( $\eta$ ova $\eta \delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ) is the sixth trumpet (9:12) with the two episodes attached ( 10:1-11:13).

The third woe ( $\eta$ ova $\eta \tau \rho \iota \tau \eta$, feminine as in $9: 12$ ) is the seventh trumpet, which now "cometh quickly" ( $\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \chi v)$, for which phrase see $2: 16 ; 3: 11 ; 22: 7,12,20$. Usually pointing to the Parousia.

## Rev 11:15

There followed (eүعvovio). "There came to pass." There was silence in heaven upon the opening of the seventh seal ( $8: 1$ ), but here "great voices." Perhaps the great voices are the $\zeta \omega \alpha$ of 4:6ff.; 5:8.

Saying ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma 0 \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Construction according to sense; $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma 0 \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$, masculine participle (not $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma o v \sigma \alpha$ ), though $\varphi \omega v a$, feminine. John understood what was said.

Is become (عүعveto). "Did become," prophetic use of the aorist participle, already a fact. See eүعveтo in Lu 19:9.
 Repeat $\eta \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ from the preceding. God the Father is meant here by кupıov (Lord), as autou (his) shows. This is the certain and glorious outcome of the age-long struggle against Satan, who wields the kingdom of the world which he offered to Christ on the mountain for one act of worship. But Jesus scorned partnership with Satan in the rule of the world, and chose war, war up to the hilt and to the end. Now the climax has come with Christ as Conqueror of the kingdom of this world for his Father. This is the crowning lesson of the Apocalypse.

He shall reign ( $\beta \alpha \sigma \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Future active of $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon v \omega$. God shall reign, but the rule of God and of Christ is one as the kingdom is one (1Co 15:27). Jesus is the Lord's Anointed (Lu 2:26; 9:20).

Rev 11:16
The four and twenty elders (о єוкоб тєббарєৎ $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho о$ ). They follow the living creatures (verse 15 , if correctly interpreted) in their adoration, as in 4:9f. . Though seated on thrones of their own (4:4), yet they fall upon their faces in every act of worship to God and Christ ( $4: 10 ; 5: 8,14 ; 19: 4$ ). Here $\varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \alpha \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ (upon their faces) is added as in 7:11 about the angels. The elders here again represent the redeemed, as the four living creatures the forces of nature, in the great thanksgiving here ( $\varepsilon v \chi \propto \rho เ \sigma \tau o v \mu \varepsilon v$, present active indicative of $\varepsilon \cup \chi \propto \rho เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega)$.

Rev 11:17
O Lord God (Kvpıє о $\theta \varepsilon о \varsigma)$. Vocative form ки $\rho \varepsilon$ and nominative form o $\theta \varepsilon о \varsigma$ (vocative in use). See $1: 8 ; 4: 8$ for this combination with o $\pi \alpha v \tau$ ократ $\omega \rho$ (the Almighty). For o $\omega v \kappa \alpha$ o $\eta \nu$ (which art and which wast) see $1: 4,8 ; 4: 8 ; 16: 5$.

Thou hast taken ( $\varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \varphi \varepsilon \varsigma$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v \omega$, emphasizing the permanence of God's rule, "Thou hast assumed thy power."

Didst reign ( $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. Ingressive first aorist active indicative of $\beta \alpha \sigma 1 \lambda \varepsilon v \omega$, "Didst begin to reign." See this combination of tenses (perfect and aorist) without confusion in 3:3; 5:7; 8:5 .

Rev 11:18

Were wroth ( $\omega \rho \gamma \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Ingressive first aorist active indicative of o $\rho \gamma \iota \zeta \rho \mu \alpha$, "became angry." The culmination of wrath against God (16:13ff.; 20:8f. ). Cf. Ps 2:1,5,12; 99:1; Ac $4: 25 \mathrm{f}$. . John sees the hostility of the world against Christ.

Thy wrath came ( $\eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon \nu \eta$ o $\rho \gamma \eta \sigma o v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \rho \chi o \mu \alpha$, the prophetic aorist again. The Dies Irae is conceived as already come.

The time of the dead to be judged (о каı $о \varsigma \tau \omega \nu \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega \nu \kappa \rho \iota \theta \eta \nu \alpha$ ). For this use of каıроя see Mr 11:13; Lu 21:24. By "the dead" John apparently means both good and bad (Joh 5:25; Ac 24:21), coincident with the resurrection and judgment (Mr 4:29; Re 14:15ff.; 20:1-15 ). The infinitive $\kappa \rho \iota \eta \nu \alpha$ is the first aorist passive of $\kappa \rho \iota v \omega$, epexegetic use with the preceding clause, as is true also of $\delta o v v a$ (second aorist active infinitive of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ ), to give.

Their reward ( $\tau 0 v \mu \mathrm{\sigma} \theta \mathrm{ov}$ ). This will come in the end of the day (Mt 20:8), from God (Mt 6:1), at the Lord's return (Re 22:12 ), according to each one's work (1Co 3:8).
 anacoluthon and fails to agree in case with the preceding datives after $\delta o v v \alpha \tau o v \mu \circ \sigma \circ v$, though some MSS. have the dative тоья $\mu$ кроья, etc. John is fond of this phrase "the small and the great" (13:16; 19:5,18; 20:12 ).

To destroy $(\delta \iota \alpha \varphi \theta \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha)$. First aorist active infinitive of $\delta \iota \alpha \varphi \theta \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$, carrying on the
 the earth). There is a double sense in $\delta \iota \alpha \varphi \theta \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$ that justifies this play on the word. See 19:2. In 1Ti 6:5 we have those "corrupted in mind" ( $\delta \iota \alpha \varphi \theta \rho \mu \varepsilon v o \tau o v \nu o v \nu)$. God will destroy the destroyers (1Co 3:16f. ).

Rev 11:19
Was opened $(\eta v o r \gamma \eta)$. Second aorist passive indicative of $\alpha \nu o t \gamma \omega$, with augment on the preposition as in 15:5. For the sanctuary (vaoc) of God in heaven see 3:12; 7:15; 15:5ff.; 21:22.

Was seen $(\omega \varphi \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative of opa $\omega$.
The ark of his covenant ( $\eta \kappa \kappa \omega \tau \circ \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta \varsigma \alpha v \tau \circ v$ ). The sacred ark within the second veil of the tabernacle (Heb 9:4) and in the inner chamber of Solomon's temple ( 1 Ki 8:6 ) which probably perished when Nebuchadrezzar burnt the temple (2Ki 25:9; Jer 3:16 ). For the symbols of majesty and power in nature here see also $6: 12 ; 8: 5 ; 11: 13 ; 16: 18,21$.

## Revelation 12

Rev 12:1
A great sign ( $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon เ o v \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha$ ). The first of the visions to be so described (13:3; 15:1), and it is introduced by $\omega \varphi \theta \eta$ as in 11:19; 12:3, not by $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ тauto or by $\varepsilon \iota \delta o v$ or by $\varepsilon ו \delta o v$ ка $\delta \delta o v$ as heretofore. This "sign" is really a тєpac (wonder), as it is so by association in Mt 24:24; Joh 4:48; Ac 2:22; 5:12. The element of wonder is not in the word $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \nu$ as in $\tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma$, but often in the thing itself as in Lu 21:11; Joh 9:16; Re 13:13ff.; 15:1; 16:14; 19:20 .

A woman ( $\gamma \cup \vee \eta$ ). Nominative case in apposition with $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota v$. "The first 'sign in heaven' is a Woman--the earliest appearance of a female figure in the Apocalyptic vision" (Swete).

Arrayed with the sun $(\pi \varepsilon \rho 1 \beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon v \eta$ tov $\eta \lambda \iota o v)$. Perfect passive participle of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \lesssim \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, with the accusative retained as so often ( 9 times) in the Apocalypse. Both Charles and Moffatt see mythological ideas and sources behind the bold imagery here that leave us all at sea. Swete understands the Woman to be "the church of the Old Testament" as "the Mother of whom Christ came after the flesh. But here, as everywhere in the Book, no sharp dividing line is drawn between the Church of the Old Testament and the Christian Society." Certainly she is not the Virgin Mary, as verse 17 makes clear. Beckwith takes her to be "the heavenly representative of the people of God, the ideal Zion, which, so far as it is embodied in concrete realities, is represented alike by the people of the Old and the New Covenants." John may have in mind Isa 7:14 (Mt 1:23; Lu 1:31) as well as Mic 4:10; Isa 26:17f.; 66:7 without a definite picture of Mary. The metaphor of childbirth is common enough (Joh 16:21; Ga 4:19). The figure is a bold one with the moon "under her feet" (vтокат $\omega \tau \omega \nu$ $\pi o \delta \omega v a v \tau \eta \varsigma)$ and "a crown of twelve stars" ( $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha v o \varsigma ~ a \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega v \delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha)$ ), a possible allusion to the twelve tribes (Jas 1:1; Re 21:12) or to the twelve apostles (Re 21:14).

Rev 12:2
And she was with child ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon v \gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \chi о \cup \sigma \alpha$ ). Perhaps $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ to be supplied or the participle used as a finite verb as in 10:2. This is the technical idiom for pregnancy as in Mt 1:18,23 , etc.

Travailing in birth ( $\omega \delta \iota v o v \sigma \alpha$ ). Present active participle of $\omega \delta \iota v \omega$, old verb (from $\omega \delta \iota \nu$ birth-pangs 1Th 5:3 ), in N.T. only here and Ga 4:27.

And in pain ( $\kappa \alpha \beta \alpha \sigma \alpha v \iota \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v \eta)$. "And tormented" (present passive participle of $\beta \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \iota \zeta$, for which see already 9:5; 11:10), only here in N.T. in sense of childbirth.

To be delivered ( $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ v)$. Second aorist active infinitive of $\tau ו \kappa \tau \omega$, to give birth, epexegetical use. Also in verse 4.

Rev 12:3
Another sign ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ o $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota o v$ ). "A second tableau following close upon the first and inseparable from it" (Swete).

And behold ( $\kappa \alpha \operatorname{\delta ov}$ ). As often ( $4: 1 ; 6: 2,5,8$, etc.).
A great red dragon ( $\delta \rho \alpha \kappa \omega v \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \varsigma \pi \nu \rho \rho \circ \varsigma)$. Homer uses this old word (probably from $\delta \varepsilon \rho \kappa о \mu \alpha$, to see clearly) for a great monster with three heads coiled like a serpent that ate poisonous herbs. The word occurs also in Hesiod, Pindar, Eschylus. The Babylonians feared a seven-headed hydra and Typhon was the Egyptian dragon who persecuted Osiris. One wonders if these and the Chinese dragons are not race memories of conflicts with the diplodocus and like monsters before their disappearance. Charles notes in the O.T. this monster as the chief enemy of God under such title as Rahab (Isa 51:9f.; Job 26:12f. ), Behemoth (Job 40:15-24 ), Leviathan (Isa 27:1 ), the Serpent (Am 9:2ff. ). In Ps 74:13 we read of "the heads of the dragons." On $\pi u \rho \rho o \varsigma$ (red) see 6:4. Here (12:9) and in 20:2 the great dragon is identified with Satan. See Da 7 for many of the items here, like the ten horns ( $\mathrm{Da} 7: 7$ ) and hurling the stars (Da 8:10). The word occurs in the Apocalypse alone in the N.T.

Seven diadems ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \delta \iota \alpha \delta \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). Old word from $\delta \iota \alpha \delta \varepsilon \omega$ (to bind around), the blue band marked with white with which Persian kings used to bind on the tiara, so a royal crown in contrast with $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi a v o \varsigma$ (chaplet or wreath like the Latin corona as in $2: 10$ ), in N.T. only here, 13:1; 19:12. If Christ as Conqueror has "many diadems," it is not strange that Satan should wear seven (ten in 13:1).

Rev 12:4
His tail ( $\eta$ ov $\rho \alpha$ avtov). See 9:10,19.
Draweth ( $\sigma \cup \rho \varepsilon$ ). Present active indicative of $\sigma v \rho \omega$, old verb, to drag, here alone in the Apocalypse, but see Joh 21:8 .

The third part of the stars ( $\tau 0 \tau \rho \iota \tau o v \tau \omega v \alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega v$ ). Like a great comet is this monster. See $\mathrm{Da} 8: 10$. Perhaps only the third is meant to soften the picture as in $\operatorname{Re} 8: 7 \mathrm{f}$.

Did cast them ( $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau 0 v \varsigma)$. Second aorist active indicative. Charles takes this to refer to a war in heaven between the good angels and Satan, with the fall of some angels (Jude 1:6 ). But John may have in mind the martyrs before Christ (Heb 11:32f. ) and after Christ's ascension (Mt 23:35).

Stood $(\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon v)$. Imperfect active of a late verb, $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \omega$, from the perfect $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \alpha$ of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, graphic picture of the dragon's challenge of the woman who is about to give birth.

When she was delivered (отаv $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \eta$ ). Indefinite temporal clause with o $\tau \alpha v$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\tau \iota \kappa \tau \omega$, "whenever she gives birth."

That he might devour (ıv $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varphi \alpha \gamma \eta$ ). Purpose clause with $v \alpha$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \theta \iota \omega$, to eat up (down). Cf. Jer 28:34. This is what Pharaoh did to Israel (Ex 1:15-22; Ps 85:13; Isa 27:1; 51:9; Eze 29:3 ). Precisely so the devil tried to destroy the child Jesus on his birth.

Rev 12:5
She was delivered of a son ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon v$ viov). Literally, "she bore a son" (second aorist active indicative of $\tau \iota \kappa \tau \omega)$.

A man child $(\alpha \rho \sigma \varepsilon v)$. So A C with the neuter $\tau \varepsilon \kappa v o v$ or $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota v$ in mind, as often in O.T. ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \nu \alpha \rho \sigma \varepsilon \nu$, Ex 1:16ff.; 2:2; Le 12:2,7; Isa 66:7; Jer 20:15 , etc.), but P and some cursives read $\alpha \rho \sigma \varepsilon v \alpha$ (masculine accusative), as in verse 13 ( $\tau o v \alpha \rho \sigma \varepsilon v \alpha$ ), while Aleph Q have $\alpha \rho \rho \varepsilon v \alpha$. The word is old (either $\alpha \rho \sigma \eta \nu$ or $\alpha \rho \rho \eta \nu$ ), as in Mt 19:4, only in this chapter in the Apocalypse. It is really redundant after viov (son), as in Tob. 6:12 (Aleph).

Who is to rule all the nations with a rod of iron ( $\sigma \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \pi \sigma \mu \alpha \iota v \varepsilon \iota \nu \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \varepsilon \theta v \eta$ $\varepsilon v \rho \alpha \beta \delta \omega \sigma \iota \delta \eta \rho \alpha$ ). See $2: 27$ for these words (from Ps 2:9) applied there to victorious Christians also, and in 19:15 to the triumphant Christian. His rule will go beyond the Jews (Mt 2:6 ). There is here, of course, direct reference to the birth of Jesus from Mary, who thus represented in her person this "ideal woman" (God's people).

Was caught unto God ( $\eta \rho \pi \alpha \sigma \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\alpha \rho \pi \alpha \zeta \omega$, old verb for seizing or snatching away, as in Joh 10:12, here alone in the Apocalypse. Reference to the ascension of Christ, with omission of the ministry, crucifixion, and resurrection of Christ because he is here simply showing that "the Dragon's vigilance was futile" (Swete). "The Messiah, so far from being destroyed, is caught up to a share in God's throne" (Beckwith).

Rev 12:6
Fled into the wilderness ( $\varepsilon \varphi v \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta v \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \circ v)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\varphi \varepsilon v \gamma \omega$. Here, of course, not Mary, but "the ideal woman" (God's people) of the preceding verses, who fled under persecution of the dragon. God's people do not at once share the rapture of Christ, but the dragon is unable to destroy them completely. The phrases used here seem to be reminiscent of De 8:2ff. (wanderings of Israel in the wilderness), $1 \mathrm{Ki} 17: 2 \mathrm{f}$. and 19:3f. (Elijah's flight), I Macc. 2:29 (flight of the Jews from Antiochus Epiphanes), Mt 2:13 (flight of Joseph and Mary to Egypt), Mr 13:14 (the flight of Christians at the destruction of Jerusalem).

Where (отои--єкє). Hebrew redundancy (where--there) as in 3:8; 8:9,9; 13:8,12; 17:9; 20:8.

Prepared ( $\eta \tau о \mu \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu o v)$. Perfect passive predicate participle of $\varepsilon \tau \sigma \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$, for which verb see Mt 20:23; Re 8:6; 9:7,15; 16:12; 19:7; 21:2 , and for its use with толос Joh 14:2f. and for the kind of fellowship meant by it (Ps 31:21; 2Co 13:13; Col 3:3; 1Jo 1:3 ).

Of God (ato $\tau 0 v \theta \varepsilon o v)$. "From (by) God," marking the source as God (9:18; Jas 1:13 ). This anticipatory symbolism is repeated in 12:13f.

That there they may nourish her (ıva $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega \sigma \iota \nu \alpha v \tau \eta v$ ). Purpose clause with $\tau \alpha$ and the present for continued action: active subjunctive according to $\mathrm{A} P$ though C reads $\tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi$ ovoıv, present active indicative, as is possible also in 13:17 and certainly so in 1Jo 5:20 (Robertson, Grammar, p. 984), a solecism in late vernacular Greek. The plural is indefinite "they" as in $10: 11 ; 11: 9$. One MSS. has $\tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ (is nourished). The stereotyped phrase occurs here, as in 11:2f., for the length of the dragon's power, repeated in 12:14 in more general terms and again in 13:5.

## Rev 12:7

There was war in heaven ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau о ~ \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \mu о \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega$ ovpav $\omega$ ). "There came to be war in heaven" ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \frac{1}{}$, not $\eta \nu$ ). "Another $\tau \alpha \beta \lambda \varepsilon a v$, not a $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \circ$ (vv. 1,3), but consequent upon the two $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon ⿺ \alpha$ which precede it. The birth and rapture of the Woman's Son issue in a war which invades the $\varepsilon$ roupavia" (Swete). The reference is not to the original rebellion of Satan, as Andreas held. As the coming of Christ brought on fresh manifestations of diabolic power (Mr 1:13; Lu 22:3,31; Joh 12:31; 14:30; 16:11), just so Christ's return to heaven is pictured as being the occasion of renewed attacks there. We are not to visualize it too literally, but certainly modern airplanes help us to grasp the notion of battles in the sky even more than the phalanxes of storm-clouds (Swete). John even describes this last conflict as in heaven itself. Cf. Lu 10:18; 1Ki 22:1ff.; Job 1; 2; Zec 3:1f. .

Michael and his angels (o Mı $\chi \propto \eta \lambda$ ка о $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o \alpha v \tau o v$ ). The nominative here may be in apposition with $\pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon \mu \circ \varsigma$, but it is an abnormal construction with no verb, though $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau o$ (arose) can be understood as repeated. Michael is the champion of the Jewish people ( Da $10: 13,21 ; 12: 1$ ) and is called the archangel in Jude 9 .

Going forth to war ( $\tau 0 v \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \mu \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). This genitive articular infinitive is another grammatical problem in this sentence. If $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau 0$ (arose) is repeated as above, then we have the infinitive for purpose, a common enough idiom. Otherwise it is anomalous, not even like Ac 10:25.

With the dragon ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ tov $\delta \rho \alpha \kappa о \nu \tau о \varsigma)$. On the use of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ with $\pi о \lambda \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \omega$ see 2:16; 13:4; 17:14 (nowhere else in N.T.). The devil has angels under his command (Mt 25:41) and preachers also (2Co 11:14f. ).

Warred ( $\varepsilon \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v)$. Constative aorist active indicative of $\pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \omega$, picturing the whole battle in one glimpse.

Rev 12:8
And they prevailed not (ка оик $\sigma \chi \cup \sigma \alpha v$ ). Here ка equals "and yet" or "but." A few MSS. read the singular $\iota \sigma \chi \nu \sigma \varepsilon v$ like $\varepsilon \pi \sigma \lambda \varepsilon \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$, but wrongly so.

Neither was their place found any more (ou $\delta \varepsilon \tau о \pi о \varsigma \varepsilon \cup \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta \quad \alpha \cup \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \tau$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\varepsilon \cup \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$, to find. Probably aut $\omega v$ is the objective genitive (place for them), just as in 20:11 avtoıs (dative, for them) is used with тотоц oux $\varepsilon v \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta$. The phrase occurs in Da 2:35 Theod. and Zec 10:10 . The dragon is finally expelled from heaven (cf. Job 1:6 ), though to us it seems a difficult conception to think of Satan having had access to heaven.

Rev 12:9
Was cast down ( $\varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \theta \eta$ ). Effective first aorist passive indicative of $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, cast down for good and all, a glorious consummation. This vision of final victory over Satan is given by Jesus in Lu 10:18; Joh 12:31. It has not come yet, but it is coming, and the hope of it should be a spur to missionary activity and zeal. The word megas (great) occurs here with
$\delta \rho a \kappa \omega v$ as in 12:3, and the whole picture is repeated in 20:2. The dragon in both places is identified with the old serpent (Ge 3:1ff. ) and called $\alpha \rho \chi \alpha \ldots \varsigma$ (from $\alpha \rho \chi \eta$, beginning), as Jesus said that the devil was a murderer "from the beginning" (Joh 8:44). Both סıaßo ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{os}$ (slanderer) and Satan ( $\Sigma a \tau \alpha v a \varsigma)$ are common in N.T. for this great dragon and old serpent, the chief enemy of mankind. See on Mt 4:1; Re 2:10 for $\delta 1 \alpha \beta 0 \lambda o c$ and Lu 10:18 for $\Sigma \alpha \tau \alpha v \alpha \varsigma$.

The deceiver of the whole world (o $\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \omega \nu \tau \eta v$ окко $\mu \varepsilon v \eta \nu$ o $\lambda \eta \nu$ ). This is his aim and his occupation, pictured here by the nominative articular present active participle of $\pi \lambda \alpha v a \omega$, to lead astray. For "the inhabited world" see Lu 2:1; Re 3:10; 16:14. Satan can almost "lead astray" the very elect of God (Mt 24:24), so artful is he in his beguilings as he teaches us how to deceive ourselves (1Jo 1:8).

He was cast down to the earth ( $\varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \theta \eta \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \gamma \eta v)$. Effective aorist repeated from the beginning of the verse. "The earth was no new sphere of Satan's working" (Swete).

Were cast down ( $\varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Triple use of the same verb applied to Satan's minions. The expulsion is complete.

Rev 12:10
A great voice saying ( $\varphi \omega v \eta \nu \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \nu \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \sigma \sigma \sigma \alpha v)$. Accusative after $\eta \kappa \circ v \sigma \alpha$ in this phrase as in $5: 11 ; 10: 4 ; 14: 2 ; 18: 4$, but the genitive $\varphi \omega v \eta \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \sigma 0 \sigma \eta \varsigma$ in 11:12; 14:13. We are not told whence this voice or song comes, possibly from one of the twenty-four elders (Swete) or some other heavenly beings ( $11: 15$ ) who can sympathize with human beings ( $19: 10$ ), the martyrs in heaven (Charles).

Now is come ( $\alpha \rho \tau \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \sigma$ ). A $\rho \tau$ (Joh 13:33) shows how recent the downfall of Satan here proleptically pictured as behind us in time (aorist tense $\varepsilon$ ₹ยveto).

The salvation ( $\eta \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i \alpha)$. Here "the victory" as in 7:10; 19:1.
The power ( $\eta \delta$ vva $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ). Gods power over the dragon (cf. 7:12; 11:17; 19:1).
The kingdom ( $\eta \beta \alpha \sigma \lambda \lambda \varepsilon ı \alpha$ ). "The empire of God" as in 11:15.
The authority of his Christ ( $\eta$ ₹ $\xi_{0}$ ovia tov Xpıotov avtov). Which Christ received from the Father (Mt 28:18; Joh 17:2 ). See 11:15 (Ps 2:2) for "his Anointed."

The accuser (о кат $\eta \boldsymbol{\gamma} \rho$ ). The regular form, капп $\gamma о \rho о \varsigma$, occurs in Joh 8:10; Ac 23:30,35; 25:16,18 and in many MSS. here in Re 12:10, but A reads кaт $\eta \boldsymbol{\omega} \rho$, which Westcott and Hort accept. It was once considered a Greek transliteration of a Hebrew word, but Deissmann (Light, etc., p. 93f.) quotes it from a vernacular magical papyrus of the fourth century A.D. with no sign of Jewish or Christian influence, just as $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa \omega v$ appears as a vernacular form of סıaкovoc. Only here is the word applied to Satan in the N.T. In late Judaism Satan is the accuser, and Michael the defender, of the faithful.

Of our brethren ( $\tau \omega v \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \omega \nu \eta \mu \omega v$ ). The saints still on earth battling with Satan and his devices.

Which accuseth them (o катп $\gamma$ оо $\omega v$ avtovs). Articular present active participle of катпүорє $\omega$, old verb, to accuse, usually with the genitive of the person (Joh 5:45), but here with the accusative. This is the devil's constant occupation (Job 1:6f. ).

Rev 12:11
They overcame him ( $\alpha \cup \tau о \quad \varepsilon v ı \kappa \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $v$ ıк $\alpha \omega$, the verb used by Jesus of his own victory (Joh 16:33) and about him (Re 3:21; 5:5). "The victory of the martyrs marks the failure of Satan's endeavours" (Swete).

Because of the blood of the Lamb ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ то $\alpha \mu \alpha$ тоv $\alpha \rho v i o v$ ). As in 1:5; 5:6,9; 7:14. The blood of Christ is here presented by $\delta t a$ as the ground for the victory and not the means, as by $\varepsilon v$ in $1: 5 ; 5: 9$. Both ideas are true, but $\delta \iota \alpha$ with the accusative gives only the reason. The blood of Christ does cleanse us from $\sin$ (Joh 1:29; 1Jo 1:7). Christ conquered Satan, and so makes our victory possible (Lu 11:21f.; Heb 2:18). "Thus the Lamb is the true $\sigma v v \eta \gamma o \rho o s$ (like Michael) of the New Israel, its $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \tau о \varsigma \pi \rho о \varsigma ~ \tau о v ~ \pi а \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha ~(1 J o ~ 2: 1) " ~(S w e t e) . ~ . ~$

Because of the Word of their testimony ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ тоv $\lambda о \gamma о \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \iota \alpha \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \omega v$ ). The same use of $\delta \iota \alpha$, "because of their testimony to Jesus" as in John's own case in 1:9. These martyrs have been true to their part.

They loved not their life even unto death (ovк $\eta \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \nu \psi v \chi \eta \nu \alpha \cup \tau \omega \nu$ axp Өavatov). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$. They did resist "unto blood" ( $\mu \varepsilon \chi \rho ı \varsigma$ au $\mu$ aтoc Heb 12:4) and did not put their own lives before loyalty to Christ. There is a direct reference to the words of Jesus in Joh 12:25 as illustrated also in Mr 8:35; Mt 10:39; 16:25; Lu 9:24; 17:33. Paul's own example is pertinent (Ac 21:13; Php 1:20ff. ). Jesus himself had been "obedient unto death" (Php 2:8). These martyrs seem to be still alive on earth, but their heroism is proleptically pictured.

Rev 12:12
Therefore ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ тоито). "For this reason" as in 7:15; 18:8 ( 15 times in John's Gospel, Charles notes). It points back to verse 10.

Rejoice ( $\varepsilon \cup \varphi \rho \alpha \iota v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Present middle imperative of $\varepsilon \cup \varphi \rho a \iota v \omega$ as in 11:10; 18:20.
O heavens (o oupavo). Plural here alone in the Apocalypse, though common elsewhere in the N.T. Satan is no longer in the heavens.

They that dwell therein (o $\varepsilon v$ avtor $\sigma \kappa \eta v o u v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active articular participle of $\sigma \kappa \eta$ vow (see 7:15; 13:6) to dwell (tabernacle) as of Christ in Joh 1:14 and of God in Re 21:3. The inhabitants of heaven (angels and saints) have cause to rejoice, and earth reason to mourn.

Woe for the earth and for the sea (ova $\tau \eta \nu \gamma \eta v \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \nu \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha v$ ). The accusative after ova as in 8:13, but nominative in 18:10,16,19 in place of the usual dative (Mt 11:21; 18:7, etc.).

Is gone down $(\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \beta \eta)$. Second aorist (effective) active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \iota v \omega$, "did go down."

But a short time (o入ıүov кaı $\rho \circ$ ). Accusative of extent of time, "a little time." The devil's departure from his warfare in the heavens reveals ( $\varepsilon \iota \delta \omega \varsigma$, knowing, perfect active participle) to him that his time for doing harm to men is limited, and hence his great wrath ( $\theta \cup \mu \mathrm{ov}$, boiling rage).

Rev 12:13
He persecuted $(\varepsilon \delta \iota \omega \xi \varepsilon v)$. First aorist active participle of $\delta \iota \omega \kappa \omega$, to pursue, to chase, hostile pursuit here as in Mt 5:10f.; 10:23, etc. John now, after the "voice" in 10-13, returns to the narrative in verse 9 . The child was caught away in verse 5 , and now the woman (the true Israel on earth) is given deadly persecution. Perhaps events since A.D. 64 (burning of Rome by Nero) amply illustrated this vision, and they still do so.

Which ( $\eta \tau \iota \varsigma)$. "Which very one."
Rev 12:14
There were given $(\varepsilon \delta \delta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu)$. As in $8: 2 ; 9: 1,3$.
The two wings of the great eagle ( $\alpha \delta v o \pi \tau \varepsilon \rho v \gamma \varepsilon \varsigma \tau о v \alpha \varepsilon \tau o v \tau o v \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda o v$ ). Not the eagle of $8: 13$, but the generic use of the article. Every eagle had two wings. Probably here, as in Mt 24:28, the griffon or vulture rather than the true eagle is pictured. For the eagle in the O.T. see Ex 19:4; Isa 40:31; Job 9:26; Pr 24:54.

That she might fly ( $\imath \alpha \alpha \varepsilon \tau \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Purpose clause with $\iota v \alpha$ and present middle subjunctive of $\pi \varepsilon \tau о \mu \alpha$, old verb, to fly, in N.T. only in the Apocalypse ( $4: 7 ; 8: 13 ; 12: 14 ; 14: 6 ; 19: 17$ ). Resumption of the details in verse 6 (which see) about the "wilderness," her "place," the re-
 1260 days, but with $\tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ (present passive indicative) instead of $\tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \omega \sigma \iota v$ (general plural of the present active subjunctive), and with the addition of "from the face of the serpent" ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \sigma v$ тоv $о \varphi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ), because the serpent rules the earth for that period. "To the end of the present order the Church dwells in the wilderness" (Swete), and yet we must carry on for Christ.

Rev 12:15
Water as a flood ( $v \delta \omega \rho \omega \varsigma \pi \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \circ v$ ). "Water as a river," accusative case after $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v$ (cast). The serpent could not follow the woman or stop her flight and so sought to drown her.

That he might cause her to be carried away by the stream ( $\downarrow \alpha \alpha v \tau \eta \nu \pi о \tau \alpha \mu о \varphi о \rho \eta \tau о v$ $\pi o ı \eta \sigma \eta)$. Purpose clause with $\imath v a$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \sigma \varepsilon \omega$. For this use of $\pi о \iota \varepsilon \omega$ see 17:16. This compound verbal $\pi о \tau \alpha \mu о \varphi о \rho \eta \tau о v$ in the predicate accusative ( $\pi о \tau \alpha \mu \circ \varsigma$, river, $\varphi о \rho \eta \tau о v$ from $\varphi о \rho \varepsilon \omega$, to bear) was not coined by John, but occurs in a papyrus of B.C. 110 and in several others after N.T. times. It means simply "carried away by the river."

Rev 12:16
Helped the woman ( $\varepsilon \beta \circ \eta \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \gamma \cup v a i k)$. First aorist active indicative of $\beta \circ \eta \theta \varepsilon \omega$, old verb with the dative as in Heb 2:18, which see. Herodotus tells of the Lycus disappearing underground near Colossae. But this vivid symbol is not dependent on historical examples.

Swallowed up (катє $\pi\llcorner\varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \iota v \omega$, literally "drank down."

Rev 12:17
Waxed wroth ( $\omega \rho \gamma \iota \sigma \eta \eta$ ). First aorist (ingressive) passive indicative of opyı $\zeta$ о $\alpha$, , "became angry."

With the woman ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \gamma \cup v a i k)$. "At the woman," "because of the woman."
Went away ( $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ). "Went off" in his rage to make war with the scattered followers of the Lamb not in the wilderness, perhaps an allusion to Ge 3:15. The devil carries on relentless war with all those "which keep the commandments of God and hold the testimony of Jesus" ( $\tau \omega \nu \tau \eta \rho \circ 0 \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \nu \tau 0 \lambda \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ \theta \varepsilon o v ~ к \alpha ~ \varepsilon \chi о \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \eta \nu \mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho ı \alpha \nu$ I I $\sigma o v)$. These two marks excite the wrath of the devil then and always. Cf. 1:9; 6:9; 14:12; 19:10; $20: 4$.

## Revelation 13

Rev 13:1
He stood ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ (intransitive), as in 8:3. "He stopped" on his way to war with the rest of the woman's seed. P Q read here $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \eta \nu$ (I stood) when it has to be connected with chapter Re 13 .

Upon the sand ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \nu \alpha \mu \mu \circ v$ ). The accusative case as in 7:1; $8: 3$, etc. A $\mu \mu \circ \varsigma$ is an old word for sand, for innumerable multitude in 20:8.

Out of the sea ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \theta a \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta \varsigma)$. See 11:7 for "the beast coming up out of the abyss." The imagery comes from Da 7:3 . See also Re 17:8 . This "wild beast from the sea," as in Da 7:17,23 , is a vast empire used in the interest of brute force. This beast, like the dragon ( 12:3), has ten horns and seven heads, but the horns are crowned, not the heads. The Roman Empire seems to be meant here (17:9,12). On "diadems" ( $\delta \iota \alpha \delta \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha)$ see 12:3, only ten here, not seven as there.

Names of blasphemy (оvо $\alpha \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \alpha$ ). See 17:3 for this same phrase. The meaning is made plain by the blasphemous titles assumed by the Roman emperors in the first and second centuries, as shown by the inscriptions in Ephesus, which have $\theta$ ros constantly applied to them.

Rev 13:2
Like unto a leopard (ouotov $\pi \alpha \rho \delta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ ). Associative-instrumental case of $\pi \alpha \rho \delta \alpha \lambda \iota \varsigma$, old word for panther, leopard, here only in N.T. The leopard ( $\lambda \varepsilon 0, \pi \alpha \rho \delta$ ) was considered a cross between a panther and a lioness.

As the feet of a bear ( $\omega \varsigma \alpha \rho \kappa о v$ ). Old word, also spelled $\alpha \rho \kappa \tau о \varsigma$, here only in N.T. From Da 7:4. No word in the Greek for "feet" before "bear."

As the mouth of a lion ( $\omega \varsigma \sigma \tau \circ \mu \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma v \tau o \varsigma)$. From Da 7:4. This beast combines features of the first three beasts in Da 7:2f. . The strength and brutality of the Babylonian, Median, and Persian empires appeared in the Roman Empire. The catlike vigilance of the leopard, the slow and crushing power of the bear, and the roar of the lion were all familiar features to the shepherds in Palestine (Swete).

The dragon gave him ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \omega$ o $\delta \rho \alpha \kappa \omega v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ (to give) and dative case $\alpha \nu \tau \omega$ (the beast). The dragon works through this beast. The beast is simply Satan's agent. Satan claimed this power to Christ (Mt 4:9; Lu 4:6) and Christ called Satan the prince of this world (Joh 12:31; 14:30; 16:11). So the war is on.

Rev 13:3
And I saw ( $\kappa \alpha$ ). No verb ( $\varepsilon$ ( $\delta \circ v$ ) in the old MSS., but clearly understood from verse 2.
As though it had been smitten ( $\omega \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \varphi \alpha \gamma \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \nu$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\sigma \varphi \alpha \zeta \omega$, as in 5:6, accusative singular agreeing with $\mu \alpha v$ (one of the heads), object of $\varepsilon \iota \delta o v$ understood,
"as though slain" (so the word means in seven other instances in the book). There is a reference to the death and new life of the Lamb in 5:6.

And his death-stroke was healed ( $\kappa \alpha \eta \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \eta$ avtov $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon v \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon v \omega$. "The stroke of death" (that led to death). Apparently refers to the death of Nero in June 68 A.D. by his own hand. But after his death pretenders arose claiming to be Nero redivivus even as late as 89 (Tacitus, Hist. i. 78, ii. 8, etc.). John seems to regard Domitian as Nero over again in the persecutions carried on by him. The distinction is not always preserved between the beast (Roman Empire) and the seven heads (emperors), but in 17:10 the beast survives the loss of five heads. Here it is the death-of one head, while in verses 12,14 the beast himself receives a mortal wound.

Wondered after the beast ( $\varepsilon \theta \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \sigma \theta \eta$ опı $\sigma \omega$ tov $\theta \eta \rho \iota ⿱ v)$. First aorist passive (deponent) indicative of $\theta \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$, to wonder at, to admire, as in 17:8. For this pregnant use of o $\pi \iota \sigma \omega$ see Joh 12:9; Ac 5:37; 20:30; 1Ti 5:15 . "All the earth wondered at and followed after the beast," that is Antichrist as represented by Domitian as Nero redivivus. But Charles champions the view that Caligula, not Nero, is the head that received the death-stroke and recovered and set up statues of himself for worship, even trying to do it in Jerusalem.

Rev 13:4
They worshipped the dragon ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \kappa \nu \nu \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \omega \delta \rho \alpha \kappa о \nu \tau)$. First aorist active indicative of $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \nu v \varepsilon \omega$, with dative case $\delta \rho \alpha \kappa о \nu \tau$ (from $\delta \rho \alpha \kappa \omega v$ ). They really worshipped Satan (the dragon) when "they worshipped the beast" ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \kappa \nu v \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \omega \theta \eta \rho I \omega$ ) or any one of the heads (like Caligula, Nero, Domitian) of the beast. The beast is merely the tool of the devil for worship. Recall the fact that the devil even proposed that Jesus worship him. Emperorworship, like all idolatry, was devil-worship. The same thing is true today about self-worship (humanism or any other form of it).

Who is like unto the beast? ( $\tau \iota \varsigma$ о $о о \iota \varsigma ~ \tau \omega \theta \eta \rho \iota \omega ;$ ). Associative-instrumental case after oноoг. An echo, perhaps parody, of like language about God in Ex 15:11; Ps 35:10; 113:5. "The worship of such a monster as Nero was indeed a travesty of the worship of God" (Swete).

And who is able to war with him? ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \iota \varsigma \delta u v \alpha \tau \alpha \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ avtov;). Worship of the devil and the devil's agent is justified purely on the ground of brute force. It is the doctrine of Nietzsche that might makes right.

Rev 13:5
There was given to him ( $\varepsilon \delta 0 \theta \eta \alpha \nu \tau \omega$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\delta \delta \delta \omega \mu$, to give, as in next line and verse 7 . Perhaps a reference to $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ (he gave) in verse 4 , where the dragon (Satan) gave the beast his power. The ultimate source of power is God, but the reference seems to be Satan here.

Speaking great things and blasphemies ( $\lambda \alpha \lambda о \nu v \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, agreeing with $\sigma \tau o \mu \alpha$ (nominative neuter singular and subject of
$\varepsilon \delta o \theta \eta$ ）．The words are like Daniel＇s description of the Little Horn（7：8，20，25）and like the description of Antiochus Epiphanes（I Macc．1：24）．Cf．2Pe 2：11 ．

To continue（ $\pi о \neq \sigma \alpha$ ）．First aorist active infinitive（epexegetic use）of $\pi 0 เ \varepsilon \omega$ ，either in the sense of working（signs），as in Da 8：12－14，with the accusative of duration of time（ $\mu \eta v a c$, months），or more likely in the sense of doing time，with $\mu \eta$ vac as the direct object as in Mt 20：12；Ac 20：3；Jas 4：13．

Rev 13：6
For blasphemies（ $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \mu \varsigma)$ ．＂For the purpose of blasphemies．＂
Against God（ $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \operatorname{tov} \theta \varepsilon o v$ ）．＂Face to face with God＂in sheer defiance，like Milton＇s picture of Satan in Paradise Lost．See Da 7：25；8：10．The aorist $\eta v o l \xi \varepsilon v$ is probably constative， for he repeated the blasphemies，though the phrase（ $\alpha v o t \gamma \omega$ to stoma，to open the mouth） is normally ingressive of the beginning of an utterance（Mt 5：2；Ac 8：35）．This verse explains verse 5 ．The Roman emperors blasphemously assumed divine names in public documents． They directed their blasphemy against heaven itself（＂his tabernacle，＂$\tau \eta \nu \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta \nu$ avtov， $7: 15 ; 12: 12 ; 21: 3$ ）and against＂them that dwell in the heaven＂（ $\tau$ ouc $\varepsilon \nu \tau \omega$ oupav $\omega$ $\sigma \kappa \eta v o u v \tau a \varsigma)$ ，the same phrase of 12：12（either angels or the redeemed or both）．

Rev 13：7
To make war with the saints and to overcome them（ $\pi \circ \sqcap \eta \sigma \alpha \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \mu \circ v \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \omega \nu \alpha \gamma \omega \nu$ $\kappa \alpha$ vıк $\sigma \sigma \alpha \cup \tau о \cup \varsigma)$ ．This clause with two epexegetical first aorist active infinitives（ $\pi о \lambda \varepsilon \mu \eta \sigma \alpha$ and $\nu$ เк $\eta \sigma \alpha$ ）is omitted in A C P，but probably by ouовот $\lambda \varepsilon \cup \tau \tau v$（like ending）because of the repetition of $\varepsilon \delta o \theta \eta$ ．The words seem to come from Da $7: 21,23$ ．There was no escape from the beast＇s rule in the Mediterranean world．See 5：9 for the phrases here used，there for praise to the Lamb．

Rev 13：8
Shall worship him（ $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \cup v \eta \sigma o v \sigma เ v ~ \alpha u \tau o v)$ ）．Future active of $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \nu v \varepsilon \omega$ with the ac－ cusative here as some MSS．in 13：4（ $\tau$ o $\theta \eta \rho \circ$ юv），both constructions in this book．

Whose（ov－－avtou）．Redundant use of genitive autou（his）with ov（whose）as common in this book，and singular instead of plural $\omega v$ with antecedent $\pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$（all，plural），thus calling attention to the responsibility of the individual in emperor－worship．

Hath not been written（ $o v \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha$ ）．Perfect passive indicative of $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$ ，permanent state，stands written．

In the book of life of the Lamb（ $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota \omega \tau \eta \varsigma \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma$ tov $\alpha \rho v \circ o v$ ）．See 3：5 for this phrase and the O．T．references．It occurs again in 17：8；20：12，15；21：27．＂Here and in 21：27， the Divine Register is represented as belonging to＇the Lamb that was slain＂＇（Swete）．

That hath been slain from the foundation of the world（ $\tau \circ v \varepsilon \sigma \varphi \alpha \gamma \mu \varepsilon v o v$（for which see 5：6）ало катаßо入ŋऽ кобноv）．For the phrase ало катаßо入ŋऽ коб $\mu$ оv（not in the LXX） there are six other N．T．uses（Mt 13：35 without коб $\mu$ ov；25：34；Lu 11：50；Heb 4：3；9：26；Re 17：8），and for $\pi \rho о$ катаßо入ŋऽ коб $\mu$ оv three（Joh 17：24；Eph 1：4；1Pe 1：20）．It is doubtful
here whether it is to be taken with $\tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \varepsilon \sigma \varphi a \gamma \mu \varepsilon v o v(c f .1 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 20$ ) or with $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha$ as in $\operatorname{Re} 17: 8$. Either makes sense, and here the most natural use is with $\varepsilon \sigma \varphi \alpha \gamma \mu \varepsilon v o v$. At any rate the death of Christ lies in the purpose of God, as in Joh 3:16.

Rev 13:9
If any one hath an ear ( $\varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon$ ouc). Condition of first class, repetition of the saying in 2:7,11,17,29, etc.

Rev 13:10
If any man is for captivity ( $\varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \alpha \not \propto \mu \lambda \lambda \omega \sigma \iota \alpha v$ ). Condition of first class, but with no copula ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ) expressed. For $\alpha ı \chi \mu \alpha \lambda \omega \sigma \iota \alpha v$ (from $\alpha ı \chi \mu \alpha \lambda \omega \tau$ т captive) see Eph $4: 8$, only other N.T. example. Apparently John means this as a warning to the Christians not to resist force with force, but to accept captivity as he had done as a means of grace. Cf. Jer 15:2 . The text is not certain, however.

If any man shall kill with the sword ( $\varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \chi \alpha \iota \rho \eta \pi \pi \kappa \tau \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon)$. First-class condition with future active of $\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ v \omega$, not future passive, for it is a picture of the persecutor drawn here like that by Jesus in Mt 26:52 .

Must he be killed ( $\delta \varepsilon \alpha v \tau о v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \chi \alpha \iota \rho \eta$ алоктаv $\theta \eta v \alpha$ ). First aorist passive infinitive of $\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ v \omega$. The inevitable conclusion ( $\delta \varepsilon$ ) of such conduct. The killer is killed.

Here ( $\omega \delta \varepsilon$ ). In this attitude of submission to the inevitable. For $\omega \delta \varepsilon$ see 13:18; 14:12; 17:9 . "Faith" ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma)$ here is more like faithfulness, fidelity.

Rev 13:11
 ferent beast).

Out of the earth ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma$ ). Not "out of the sea" as the first (verse 1), perhaps locating him in Asia Minor without world-wide scope, but plainly the agent of the first beast and so of the dragon.

He had ( $\varepsilon<\varepsilon \varepsilon v$ ). Imperfect active of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$. Only two horns (not ten like the first, verse 1).
Like unto a lamb (ouot $\alpha \rho v i \omega)$. Usual construction. Only the two horns of a young lamb and without the ferocity of the other beast, but "he spake as a dragon" ( $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ $\delta \rho a \kappa \omega v)$. Gunkel and Charles confess their inability to make anything out of this item. But Swete thinks that he had the roar of a dragon with all the looks of a lamb (weakness and innocence). Cf. the wolves in sheep's clothing (Mt 7:15).

Rev 13:12
He exerciseth ( $\pi \circ \imath \varepsilon$ ). Present active dramatic present of $\pi o เ \varepsilon \omega$. In his sight ( $\varepsilon v \omega \pi \iota \circ v$ avtov). In the eye of the first beast who gets his authority from the dragon ( 13:2). The second beast carries on the succession of authority from the dragon and the first beast. It has been a common Protestant interpretation since the Reformation of Luther to see in the first beast Pagan Rome and in the second beast Papal Rome. There is undoubted verisimilitude in this interpretation, but it is more than doubtful if any such view comes within the
horizon of the imagery here. Ramsay takes the first beast to be the power of imperial Rome and the second beast to be the provincial power which imitated Rome in the persecutions.
 with ıva after $\pi$ or seen in Joh 11:37; Col 4:16; Re 3:9, usually with the subjunctive, but here with the future indicative as in $3: 9$. Note the accusative after $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \nu v \varepsilon \omega$ as in verse 8 . Here the death-stroke of one of the heads (verse 3 ) is ascribed to the beast. Clearly the delegated authority of the provincial priests of the emperor-worship is rigorously enforced, if this is the correct interpretation.

Rev 13:13
That he should even make fire come down out of heaven (ıvа ка тир тоџ єк тои oupavov kataßaıveıv). Purpose clause again with $t v a$ and the present active subjunctive of $\pi о เ \varepsilon \omega$ and the object infinitive of катаßaıv $\omega$ after $\pi o \iota \varepsilon$. Christ promised great signs to the disciples (Joh 14:12 ), but he also warned them against false prophets and false christs with their signs and wonders ( Mr 13:22). So also Paul had pictured the power of the man of sin (2Th 2:9). Elijah had called down fire from heaven (1Ki 18:38; 2Ki 1:10) and James and John had once even urged Jesus to do this miracle (Lu 9:54).

Rev 13:14
And he deceiveth ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \lambda \alpha v \alpha$ ). Present active (dramatic) indicative of $\pi \lambda \alpha v \alpha \omega$, the very thing that Jesus had said would happen (Mt 24:24 , "So as to lead astray" $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon \pi \lambda \alpha v a \sigma \theta a$, the word used here, if possible the very elect). It is a constant cause for wonder, the gullibility of the public at the hands of new charlatans who continually bob up with their pipe-dreams.

That they should make an image to the beast ( $\pi \circ \sqcap \sigma \sigma \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \circ v \alpha \tau \omega$ $\theta \eta \rho \omega \omega$ ). Indirect command (this first aorist active infinitive of $\pi o \iota \varepsilon \omega$ ) after $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega v$ as in Ac 21:21, not indirect assertion. This "image" ( $\varepsilon เ \kappa \omega v$, for which word see Mt 22:20; Col 1:15) of the emperor could be his head upon a coin (Mr 12:16) , an imago painted or woven upon a standard, a bust in metal or stone, a statue, anything that people could be asked to bow down before and worship. This test the priests in the provinces pressed as it was done in Rome itself. The phrase "the image of the beast," occurs ten times in this book (13:14,15 ter; 14:9,11; 15:2; 16:2; 19:20; 20:4 ). Emperor-worship is the issue and that involves worship of the devil.

The stroke of the sword ( $\tau \eta \nu \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \eta \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \mu \alpha \chi a \downarrow \rho \eta \varsigma)$. This language can refer to the death of Nero by his own sword.

And lived (ка $\varepsilon \zeta \eta \sigma \varepsilon v)$ ). "And he came to life" (ingressive first aorist active indicative of $\zeta \alpha \omega)$. Perhaps a reference to Domitian as a second Nero in his persecution of Christians.

Rev 13:15
To give breath to it ( $\delta o u v \alpha \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \alpha v \tau \eta$ ). This second beast, probably a system like the first (not a mere person), was endowed with the power to work magical tricks, as was true of Simon Magus and Apollonius of Tyana and many workers of legerdemain since.
$\Pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ here has its original meaning of breath or wind like $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma$ (breath of life) in 11:11.

Even to the image ( $\tau \eta \varepsilon \iota \kappa \circ v$ ). No "even" in the Greek, just apposition with $\alpha v \tau \eta$ (her).
That should both speak and cause (ı $\imath \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \eta \kappa \alpha \pi о \imath \sigma \eta)$. Final clause with $\imath v \alpha$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ and $\pi o t \varepsilon \omega$. Ventriloquism like that in Ac 16:16 .

That should be killed (ıva $\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \alpha \nu \theta \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). Sub-final clause with $\mathrm{v} \alpha \alpha$ and the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\alpha \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ v \omega$, after $\pi о \iota \eta \sigma \eta$, as in verse 12 (future indicative).

As many as should not worship ( $о \sigma о \varepsilon \alpha v \mu \eta \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa v \nu \eta \sigma \omega \sigma \tau v$ ). Indefinite relative clause with modal $\varepsilon \alpha v(=\alpha v)$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa v v \varepsilon \omega$ with the accusative $\tau \eta \nu$ вıкоva (some MSS. the dative). Note the triple use of "the image of the beast" in this sentence. "That refusal to worship the image of the emperor carried with it capital punishment in Trajan's time is clear from Pliny's letter to Trajan (X. 96)" (Charles).

Rev 13:16
He causeth all (same use of $\pi 0 เ \varepsilon \hat{A} \omega$ as in 12,15 ). Note article here with each class (the small and the great, etc.).

That there be given them (ıv $\delta \omega \sigma \iota v \alpha v \tau o ı$ ). Same use of $\imath v \alpha$ after $\pi \circ \iota \varepsilon \omega$ as in 12,15 , only here with indefinite plural $\delta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ (second aorist active subjunctive), "that they give themselves," as in 10:11; 12:6; 16:15 .

A mark $(\chi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha)$. Old word from $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, to engrave, in Ac 17:29 of idolatrous images, but in Rev. (Re 13:16,17; 14:9,11; 16:2; 19:20; 20:4) of the brand of the beast on the right hand or on the forehead or on both. Deissmann (Bible Studies, pp. 240ff.) shows that in the papyri official business documents often have the name and image of the emperor, with the date as the official stamp or seal and with $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha$ as the name of this seal. Animals and slaves were often branded with the owner's name, as Paul (Ga 6:17) bore the stigmata of Christ. Ptolemy Philadelphus compelled some Alexandrian Jews to receive the mark of Dionysus as his devotees (III Macc. 3:29). The servants of God receive on their foreheads the stamp of the divine seal ( $\operatorname{Re} 7: 3$ ). Charles is certain that John gets his metaphor from the $\tau \varepsilon \varphi \iota \lambda \lambda \iota \nu$ (phylacteries) which the Jew wore on his left hand and on his forehead. At any rate, this "mark of the beast" was necessary for life and all social and business relations. On the right hand, that is in plain sight.

Upon their forehead ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau 0 \mu \varepsilon \tau \omega \pi \sigma v \alpha v \tau \omega \nu$ ). Accusative with $\varepsilon \pi$, though genitive just before with $\chi \varepsilon \rho \rho \circ \varsigma$ (hand). See already 7:3; 9:4 (genitive $\varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau \omega \pi \omega \nu$ ). Only in the Apocalypse in N.T.

Rev 13:17
That no man should be able to buy or to sell ( $\imath \alpha \alpha \mu \eta \tau \iota \varsigma \delta \nu \nu \eta \tau \alpha \alpha \gamma \rho \rho \alpha \sigma \alpha \eta \pi \omega \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). Final clause with $\imath v \alpha$ and present middle subjunctive of $\delta v v \alpha \mu \alpha$ with aorist active infinitives.

This is a regular boycott (Ramsay, Seven Letters, p. 106f.) against all not worshippers of the emperor.

Save $(\varepsilon \mu \eta)$. "If not," "except."
Even the name ( $\tau 0$ ovo $\mu \alpha$ ). No "even," just apposition with $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha$ (the mark).
Or the number ( $\eta$ रov $\alpha \rho(\theta \mu \circ v$ ). The stamp (the mark) may bear either the name or the number of the beast. The name and the number are one and the same. They could write the name in numerals, for numbers were given by letters. Swete suggests that it was "according to a sort of $\gamma \varepsilon \mu \alpha \tau \rho ⿺ \alpha$ known to the Apocalyptist and his Asian readers, but not generally intelligible."

Rev 13:18
Here is wisdom ( $\omega \delta \varepsilon \eta \sigma 0 \varphi \iota \alpha$ ). The puzzle that follows as in 17:9. See Eph 1:17 for "a spirit of wisdom and of understanding."

He that understands (o $\varepsilon \chi \omega \vee v o v v$ ). "The one having intelligence" in such matters. Cf. the adverb vouve $\chi \omega$ (discreetly) in Mr 12:34.

Let him count ( $\psi \eta \varphi \mid \sigma \alpha \tau \omega$ ). First active imperative of $\psi \eta \varphi \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb (from $\psi \eta \varphi \circ \varsigma$ pebble), to count, in N.T. only here and Lu 14:28.

The number of a man ( $\alpha \rho i \theta \mu \circ \varsigma \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ ). "A man's number." But what man and what name?
 616 instead of 666 . All sorts of solutions are offered for this conundrum. Charles is satisfied with the Hebrew letters for Nero Caesar, which give 666, and with the Latin form of Nero (without the final $n$ ), which makes 616 . Surely this is ingenious and it may be correct. But who can really tell?

## Revelation 14

Rev 14:1
The Lamb ( $\tau 0 \alpha \rho v i o v$ ). See $5: 6 ; 7: 17 ; 12: 11 ; 13: 8$ and is in contrast with the anarthrous $\alpha \rho v i o v$ in 13:11. This proleptic vision of the Lamb "standing on the mount Zion" ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \pi$ то opoc $\Sigma \mathrm{t} \omega \nu$, second perfect active participle neuter of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ with $\varepsilon \pi$ and accusative) is reasoning after the visions of the two beasts. Mount Zion is the site of the new city of God (Heb 12:22 ), the Jerusalem above (Ga 4:26), the seat of the Messianic Kingdom whether heaven or the new earth ( $\operatorname{Re} 21 ; 22$ ). These victors have the name of the Lamb and God upon their foreheads as in $3: 12 ; 22: 4$, in place of the mark of the beast above $(13: 16 ; 14: 11$ ). This seal protects them (9:4).

A hundred and forty and four thousand ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau о \nu \tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \rho \alpha \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \varsigma \chi \iota \lambda \iota \alpha \delta \varepsilon \varsigma)$. "Thousands" literally ( $\chi \iota \lambda \iota a \varsigma$ feminine word for a thousand and so $\varepsilon \chi \circ v \sigma a$ feminine plural). For the 144,000 see $7: 5,8$, though some scholars seek a distinction somehow.

Rev 14:2
As a voice of many waters ( $\omega \varsigma \varphi \omega \nu \eta \nu \nu \delta \alpha \tau \omega \nu \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ). For which see 1:15.
Of a great thunder ( $\beta \rho \circ \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \varsigma$ ). For which see 6:1; 19:6. For this voice out of heaven see $10: 4 ; 14: 15 ; 18: 4$ and note accusative with $\eta \kappa \sigma v \sigma \alpha$.

As the voice of harpers harping with their harps ( $\omega \varsigma \kappa \iota \theta \alpha \rho \omega \iota \delta \omega \nu \kappa \iota \theta \alpha \rho \iota \zeta \nu \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \nu$ $\tau \alpha ı \varsigma ~ \kappa ı \theta \alpha \rho \alpha ı \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Triple use of кı $\theta \alpha \rho \alpha$ ( 5:8), кı $\theta \alpha \rho \omega \iota \delta \omega \nu$ ( 18:22), кı $\theta \alpha \rho \iota \zeta о \nu \tau \omega \nu$ (old verb кıӨapıち $\omega$, in N.T. only here and 1Co 14:7). Wonderful melody in this chorus by the angels, not by the 144,000 .

Rev 14:3
They sing as it were a new song ( $\alpha \iota \delta o v \sigma \iota \nu \omega \varsigma \omega \iota \delta \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \eta \eta \nu$ ). See 5:9 for this phrase (cognate accusative) save that here $\omega \varsigma$ (as if) is added. There the new song was sung by the four living creatures and the elders, but here "before" ( $\varepsilon \nu \omega \pi \iota \circ v$ ) them and so apparently by the throng who were themselves redeemed by the Lamb.

No man could learn the song save (ov $\delta \varepsilon ı \varsigma \varepsilon \delta v \alpha \tau o \mu \alpha \theta \varepsilon ı \nu \tau \eta v \omega i \delta \eta v \varepsilon \mu \eta$ ). Imperfect ( $\varepsilon \delta v v a \tau o)$ of $\delta u v a \mu \alpha$ and second aorist (ingressive) active infinitive of $\mu \alpha v \theta \alpha \nu \omega$. In 5:9-12 the angels join in the song. In 15:3 it is the Song of Moses and the Lamb.

Even they that had been purchased out of the earth (o $\eta \gamma \circ \rho \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu o \alpha \pi o \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. Perfect passive articular participle of $\alpha \gamma 0 \rho \alpha \zeta \omega$, purchased by the blood of the Lamb (5:9), masculine plural in apposition with $\chi \iota \lambda \iota a \delta \varepsilon \varsigma$ (thousands) feminine plural (7:5,8; 14:1). А $л о$ (from) here, though $\varepsilon \kappa$ (out of) in 5:9. The 144,000 are not yet separated from the earth (Joh 17:15 ). Whether the 144,000 here are identical with that number in 7:4-8 or not, they must embrace both men and women.

Rev 14:4

Were not defiled with women ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \cup v \alpha \_\kappa \omega v$ о $о \kappa \varepsilon \mu \circ \lambda \nu v \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\mu \mathrm{o} \lambda \nu v \omega$, old verb, to stain, already in $3: 4$, which see. The use of this word rules out marriage, which was not considered sinful.
 women. Swete takes this language "metaphorically, as the symbolical character of the Book suggests." Charles considers it an interpolation in the interest of celibacy for both men and women. If taken literally, the words can refer only to adultery or fornication (Beckwith). Jesus recognised abstinence only for those able to receive it (Mt 19:12) , as did Paul (1Co $7: 1,8,32,36$ ). Marriage is approved by Paul in 1Ti $4: 3$ and by Heb 13:4. The New Testament exalts marriage and this passage should not be construed as degrading it.

Whithersoever he goeth (олоv $\alpha v v \pi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon$ ). Indefinite local clause with modal $\alpha v$ and the present active indicative of $v \pi \alpha \gamma \omega$. The Christian life is following the Lamb of God as Jesus taught (Mr 2:14; 10:21; Lu 9:59; Joh 1:43; 21:19, etc.) and as Peter taught (1Pe 2:21) and John (1Jo 2:6).

Were purchased from among men ( $\eta \gamma \rho \rho \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v \alpha \pi o ~ \tau \omega v \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\alpha \gamma \circ \rho \alpha \zeta \omega$, repeating the close of verse 3 .

First fruits ( $\alpha \pi \alpha \rho \chi \eta$ ). See for this word 1Co 16:15; Ro 11:16; 16:5. This seems to mean that the 144,000 represent not the whole, but only a portion of the great harvest to come (Mt 9:37), not only the first installment, but those marked by high spiritual service to God and the Lamb (Ro 12:1; Heb 13:15; 1Pe 2:5).

Rev 14:5
Was found no lie ( $o u \chi \varepsilon \cup \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta \psi \varepsilon \cup \delta o \varsigma$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\varepsilon \cup \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$. In 1Pe 2:23 this passage (Isa 53:9) is quoted with $\delta 0 \lambda o \varsigma$ (deceit, guile) instead of $\psi \varepsilon \cup \delta o \varsigma$ (lie), but the difference is not great.

Without blemish ( $\alpha \mu \omega \mu \mathrm{o}$ ). Alpha privative and $\mu \omega \mu \circ$ (blemish, spot). As Christ the Paschal Lamb is ( 1 Pe 1:19; Heb 9:14) , so the followers of the Lamb are to be in the end (Php 2:15).

Rev 14:6
Another angel ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda o v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o v$ ). A new turn in the drama comes with each angel (7:2; $8: 3,13 ; 10: 1$ ). Here the angel is seen "flying in mid heaven" ( $\pi \varepsilon \tau о \mu \varepsilon v o v \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \sigma о v \rho \alpha v \eta \mu \alpha \tau)$, while in 8:13 John heard him "flying in mid heaven" (genitive case of same participle, which see). This one is in the sight and hearing of all.

Having ( $\varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau \alpha$ ). Accusative singular agreeing with $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o v$ like $\pi \varepsilon \tau о \mu \varepsilon v o v$ (flying), but $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega v$ in verse 7 is nominative, as if a new sentence like $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega v$ in 4:1.

An eternal gospel ( $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota \rho \alpha \omega \omega v \iota o v)$. The only use of $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \iota o v$ in John's writings, though the verb $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{1} \sigma \alpha$ (first aorist active infinitive epexegetical with $\varepsilon \chi o v \tau \alpha$ like Joh 16:12) occurs here and in 10:7. Here it is not to $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda$ ıov (the gospel), but merely a proclamation of God's eternal (aı $\omega$ voç here alone in the Apocalypse, though common in the

Fourth Gospel and I John) purpose. Origen even took this "eternal gospel" to be another book to be written! Note the double use of $\varepsilon \pi$ (with accusative after $\varepsilon v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda ı \sigma \alpha$ and the genitive with $\gamma \eta \varsigma)$. See 5:9 for the races, etc.

Rev 14:7
And he saith $(\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega v)$. See above.
Fear God ( $\varphi \circ \beta \eta \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ tov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). First aorist passive (deponent) imperative of $\varphi \circ \beta \varepsilon \circ \mu \alpha$, here transitive with the accusative as in Lu 12:5. It is a call to judgment with no hope offered except by implication (Ac 14:15ff. ).

Give him glory ( $\delta$ от $\varepsilon \alpha \cup \tau \omega \delta_{0} \xi \alpha v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\delta_{1} \delta \omega \mu$. For the phrase see 11:13.

The hour is come ( $\eta \omega \rho \alpha \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist (prophetic use) active indicative of $\varepsilon \rho \chi о \mu \alpha$. Common idiom in John's Gospel (2:4; 4:21,23; 5:25,28; 7:30 , etc.).

Worship ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \nu v \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \nu v \varepsilon \omega$ with the dative case. Solemn call to the pagan world to worship God as Creator (4:11; 10:6), as in Ps 96:6; Ac 14:15. For "the fountains of waters" see 8:10.

Rev 14:8
Another, a second angel ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ оৎ $\delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma ~ \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda$ ос). This second angel "followed" ( $\eta \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$, first aorist active indicative of $\alpha \kappa о \lambda о v \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ) and interpreted in part the first one.

Fallen, fallen ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v, \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Prophetic aorist active indicative of $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$, repeated as a solemn dirge announcing the certainty of the fall. The English participle "fallen, fallen" is more musical and rhythmical than the literal rendering "fell, fell." The language is an echo of Isa 21:9, though B in the LXX has $\pi \varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa \varepsilon v, \pi \varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ (perfect).

Babylon the great ( $B \alpha \beta \nu \lambda \omega \nu \eta \mu \alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta$ ). The adjective $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta$ occurs with $B \alpha \beta \nu \lambda \omega v$ each time in the Apocalypse $(14: 8 ; 16: 19 ; 17: 5 ; 18: 2,10,21)$ as a reminder of Nebuchadrezzar. There is no doubt that Rome is meant by Babylon, as is probably seen already in 1Pe 5:13. As a prisoner in Patmos John can speak his mind by this symbolism.

Hath made to drink ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi о \tau \iota \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\pi$ о $\iota \iota \zeta \omega$, old causative verb (from лотоৎ drinking, 1Pe 4:3), as in Mt 25:35. The remarkable phrase that follows seems based on Jer 51:8 (Jer 25:15). It is a combination also of Re 14:10 (the wine of God's wrath, also in 16:19; 19:15) and 17:2. There is no doubt of the dissoluteness of the old Babylon of Jeremiah's day as of the Rome of John's time. Rome is pictured as the great courtesan who intoxicates and beguiles the nations to fornication (17:2,4,6), but the cup of God's wrath for her and her paramours is full ( $14: 10 ; 16: 19 ; 18: 2$ ).

Rev 14:9
A third ( $\tau \rho \tau \tau \varsigma)$. "The third of this succession of herald angels denounces the Caesarworshippers" (Swete). Cf. 13:12f. . This counter proclamation (verses 9-12) warns those tempted to yield to the threats of the second beast about boycott and death ( 13:11-17).

If any man worshippeth the beast and his image（ $\varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa v v \varepsilon \tau o \quad \theta \eta \rho เ \nu v \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \nu$ $\varepsilon$ єкоva avtov）．Condition of first class challenging those afraid of the beast．Note accusative （ $\theta \eta \rho \iota ⿱ 亠 䒑$ ）after $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \nu \nu \varepsilon$ ，not dative as in verse 7.

And receiveth a mark（ $\kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \varepsilon \chi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha)$ ．Carries on the same condition and picks up the very language of 13：16．These Caesar－are guilty of an＂eternal sin＂（Mr 3：29）．

Rev 14：10
He also shall drink（ $\kappa \alpha \alpha \cup \tau о \varsigma \pi \iota \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ）．Future middle of $\pi \iota v \omega$ ．Certainty for him as for Babylon and her paramours（ 16：17）．
 after $\pi เ \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ．In 16：19；19：15 we have both $\theta \nu \mu \circ v$ and o $\rho \gamma \eta \varsigma$（wrath of the anger of God）．The white heat of God＇s anger，held back through the ages，will be turned loose．

Prepared unmixed（ $\tau \circ v \kappa \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o v ~ \alpha \kappa \rho a \tau o v) . ~ A ~ b o l d ~ a n d ~ p o w e r f u l ~ o x y m o r o n, ~$ ＂the mixed unmixed．＂Aкратоऽ is an old adjective（alpha privative and кєраขvv $\mu$ to mix） used of wine unmixed with water（usually so mixed），here only in N．T．So it is strong wine mixed（perfect passive participle of $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu \nu v \mu$ ）with spices to make it still stronger（cf．Ps 75：9 ）．

In the cup of his anger（ $\varepsilon v \tau \omega \pi \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \omega \tau \eta \varsigma$ o $\rho \gamma \eta \varsigma \alpha v \tau o v$ ）．Both $\theta \nu \mu \circ \varsigma$（vehement fury） and $o \rho \gamma \eta$（settled indignation）．

He shall be tormented（ $\beta \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha)$ ．Future passive of $\beta \alpha \sigma \alpha v \iota \omega$ ．See 9：5；11：10．
With fire and brimstone（ $\varepsilon v \pi v \rho \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon ו \omega$ ）．See 9：17 for fire and brimstone and also 19：20；20：10；21：8．The imagery is already in Ge 19：24；Isa 30：33；Eze 38：22 ．

In the presence of the holy angels and in the presence of the Lamb（ $\varepsilon v \omega \pi \iota \circ \sim \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \omega v$ $\alpha \not \iota \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \nu \omega \pi \iota \circ \nu$ tov $\alpha \rho \nu \iota \circ v)$ ．This holy environment adds to the punishment．

Rev 14：11
The smoke of their torment（о калvоц тоv $\beta \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu ı \sigma \mu о v \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ）．See 9：5 for $\beta \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu ı \sigma \mu о \varsigma$, only there it was a limited penalty，here it is＂for ever and ever＂（ $\varepsilon เ \varsigma ~ \alpha ı \omega v a \varsigma ~ \alpha ı \omega \nu \omega v$ ，unto ages of ages）．See also 18：9；19：3；20：10 ．

They have no rest（ovk $\varepsilon \chi 0 v \sigma ı v$ ava $\alpha \alpha v \sigma \iota v$ ）．The very language used in $4: 8$ of the four living creatures in praising God．＂Those who desert Christ for Caesar will be the victims of a remorse that never dies or sleeps＂（Swete）．The rest of the verse repeats the solemn challenge of verse 9 ．

Rev 14：12
Here is the patience of the saints（ $\mathrm{H} \omega \delta \varepsilon \eta v \pi o \mu o v \eta \tau \omega \nu \alpha \gamma \iota \omega \nu \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ）．John＇s own comment as in 13：10；17：9．In this struggle against emperor worship lay their opportunity （Ro 5：3）．It was a test of loyalty to Christ．

They that keep（o $\tau \eta \rho o v \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ．In apposition with $\tau \omega \nu \alpha \gamma เ \omega \nu$（genitive），though nom－ inative，a frequent anacoluthon in this book（2：20，etc．）．Cf．12：17．

The faith of Jesus ( $\tau \eta \vee \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota v$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). "The faith in Jesus" (objective genitive) as in 2:13; Mr 11:22; Jas 2:1 .

Rev 14:13
Write ( $\Gamma \rho \alpha \psi o v$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$ as in 1:11. John's meditation is broken by this command. This new beatitude ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \circ$, Blessed) for the Christian dead goes farther than Paul's words (1Th 4:14-16; 1Co 15:18). Probably "from henceforth" ( $\alpha \pi^{\prime}$ $\alpha \rho \tau)$ goes with "those who die in the Lord," giving comfort to those facing persecution and death.

That they may rest ( $\imath \alpha \alpha \alpha \nu \alpha \pi \alpha \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha$ ). Purpose clause with $v \alpha \alpha$ and the second future passive of $\alpha v a \pi \alpha v \omega$.

From their labours ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu \kappa о \pi \omega \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). From the toils, the wearinesses, but not from the activities $(\varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha)$, for these "follow with them." There is this to comfort us for all our growth here. Even if cut short, it can be utilized in heaven, which is not a place of idleness, but of the highest form of spiritual service.

Rev 14:14
A white cloud ( $v \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \varepsilon \cup \kappa \eta$ ). Like the "bright cloud" of Mt 17:5 (Transfiguration), a familiar object in the Mediterranean lands. See Da 7:13; Mt 24:30; 26:64; Ac 1:9,11 for the picture of Christ's return.

I saw one sitting ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \nu$ ). No $\varepsilon \iota \delta o v$ here, but the accusative follows the $\varepsilon \varepsilon \delta o v$ at the beginning, as $\nu \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \eta$ is nominative after $t \delta o v$, as in 4:1,4.

Like unto a son of man (ouotov viov $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$ ). Accusative here after o $\mu \circ$ otov as in 1:13, instead of the usual associative instrumental ( $13: 4$ ).

Having $(\varepsilon \chi \omega v)$. Nominative again after the $1 \delta o v$ construction, just before, not after, عıסov.

A golden crown ( $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi$ avo $\vee \rho \rho \sigma o v v$ ). Here a golden wreath, not the diadems of 19:12.
A sharp sickle ( $\delta \rho \varepsilon \pi \alpha \nu o v o \xi v$ ). Old form $\delta \rho \varepsilon \pi \alpha \vee \eta$ (from $\delta \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega$, to pluck), pruninghook, in N.T. only in this chapter and $\mathrm{Mr} 4: 29$. Christ is come for reaping this time (Heb 9:28 ) for the harvesting of earth (verses 15-17). The priesthood of Christ is the chief idea in 1:12-20 and "as the true Imperator" (Swete) in chapter Re 19.

Rev 14:15
Send forth ( $\pi \varepsilon \mu \psi o v$ ). First aorist (urgency) active imperative of $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega$. "Thrust in thy sickle now," this angel urges Christ.

And reap ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma o v$ ). First aorist (urgency) active imperative of $\theta \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, old verb (from $\theta \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$, summer), as in Mt 6:26. See verse 7 for "the hour is come." $\Theta \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \alpha$ (to reap) is epexegetical infinitive (first aorist active of $\theta \varepsilon \rho \zeta \zeta \omega)$.

The harvest (o $\theta \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma)$. Old, but rare word (from $\theta \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta \omega$, to harvest), as in Mt 13:30; Joh 4:35, here only in Revelation.

Is over-ripe $(\varepsilon \xi \eta \rho \alpha v \theta \eta)$. First aorist (prophetic as in 10:17; 15:1) passive of $\xi \eta \rho a \iota v \omega$ (cf. Jas 1:11 ), to wither, to dry up. Perhaps just "ripe," not "over-ripe." Cf. Joe 1:17.

Rev 14:16
Cast $(\varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$. No violence by the use of $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \nu$ as is seen in Mt 10:34 ( $\beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota v \varepsilon \iota \rho \eta \nu \eta \nu$, to bring peace).

Was reaped $(\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\theta \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta \omega$. Both prophetic aorists again. Christ puts in the sickle as he wills with his own agents (Mt 9:37f.; 13:39,41).

Rev 14:17
He also ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha v \tau о \varsigma) . ~ A s ~ w e l l ~ a s ~ t h e ~ R e a p e r ~ o n ~ t h e ~ c l o u d . ~ T h i s ~ i s ~ t h e ~ f i f t h ~ a n g e l ~ w h o ~ i s ~$ God's messenger from heaven (temple where God dwells). This fifth angel with his sharp sickle is to gather the vintage ( $18-20$ ) as Christ did the wheat.

Rev 14:18
Another angel ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda o \varsigma \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma)$. The fifth angel above Swete terms "the Angel of vengeance." He responds to the call of the sixth angel here as Christ does to the call of the fourth angel in verse 15 .

Out from the altar ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ тov $\theta v \sigma \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho ı v)$. From the altar of incense where he is in charge of the fire ( $\varepsilon \xi$ ovoıav $\varepsilon \pi$ tov $\pi \nu \rho \circ \varsigma)$. If it is the altar of burnt offering ( $6: 9 ; 11: 1$ ), we are reminded of the blood of the martyrs (Swete), but if the altar of incense (8:3,5; 9:13; 16:7 ), then of the prayers of the saints.

The sharp sickle ( $\tau 0 \delta \rho \varepsilon \pi \alpha v o v \tau o ~ o \xi v)$. Useful for vintage as for harvesting. So "send forth" ( $\pi \varepsilon \mu \psi o v)$ as in verse 15.

Gather $(\tau \rho v \gamma \eta \sigma o v)$. First aorist active imperative of $\tau \rho v \gamma \alpha \omega$, old verb (from $\tau \rho v \gamma \eta$ dryness, ripeness), in N.T. only Re 15:18f. and Lu 6:44 .

The clusters ( $\tau 0 v \varsigma \beta о \tau \rho v a \varsigma)$. Old word $\beta \circ \tau \rho \cup \varsigma$, here only in N.T. (Ge 40:10 ).
Her grapes ( $\alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \varphi v \lambda \alpha \alpha v \tau \eta)$ ). Old word again for grapes, bunch of grapes, in N.T. only here, Mt 7:16; Lu 6:44.

Are fully ripe ( $\eta \kappa \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu$ ). Old and common verb (from $\alpha \kappa \mu \eta$, Mt 15:16), to come to maturity, to reach its acme, here only in N.T.

Rev 14:19
Cast $(\varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v)$. As in verse 16.
Gathered ( $\varepsilon \tau \rho v \gamma \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Like $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \theta \eta$ in verse 16 , in obedience to the instructions in verse 18 ( $\tau \rho \cup \gamma \eta \sigma o v)$.

The vintage of the earth ( $\tau \eta \nu \alpha \mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda o v \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. "The vine of the earth." Here $\alpha \mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma$ is used for the enemies of Christ collectively pictured.

And cast it ( $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v$ ). Repeating $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v$ and referring to $\alpha \mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda o v$ (vintage) just before.
Into the winepress the great winepress ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \lambda \eta \nu o v \tau o v \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha v$ ). $\Lambda \eta \nu \circ \varsigma$ is either feminine as in verse $20 ; 19: 15$, or masculine sometimes in ancient Greek. Here we have
both genders, a solecism frequent in the Apocalypse ( 21:14 тo $\tau \varepsilon \chi \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \chi \omega v$ ). See Mt 21:33 . For this metaphor of God $s$ wrath see 14:10; 15:1,7; 16:1,19; 19:15 .

Rev 14:20
Was trodden $(\varepsilon \pi \alpha \tau \eta \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$, to tread. The image of treading out the grapes is a familiar one in the East. Perhaps Isa 63:3 is in mind.

Without the city ( $\varepsilon \xi \omega \theta \varepsilon v \tau \eta \varsigma \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$. Ablative case with $\varepsilon \xi \omega \theta \varepsilon v$ (like $\varepsilon \xi \omega$ ). This was the usual place (Heb 13:12). See $\varepsilon \xi \omega \theta \varepsilon v$ in 11:2. Joel (Joe 3:12) pictures the valley of Jehoshaphat as the place of the slaughter of God's enemies. Cf. Zec 14:4 .

Blood from the winepress ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \lambda \eta v o v$ ). Bold imagery suggested by the colour of the grapes.

Unto the bridles ( $\alpha \chi \rho \tau \omega v \chi \alpha \lambda \iota v \omega v$ ). Old word (from $\chi \alpha \lambda \alpha \omega$ to slacken), in N.T. only here and Jas 3:3. Bold picture.

As far as a thousand and six hundred furlongs ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha \delta \iota \omega \nu \chi \downarrow \lambda \iota \omega \nu \varepsilon \xi \alpha \kappa \sigma \sigma \omega \nu \nu$ ). A peculiar use of $\alpha \pi \mathbf{0}$, for "distance from (of)" as also in Joh 11:18; 21:8, somewhat like the use of $\pi \rho o$ in Joh 12:1. The distance itself covers the length of Palestine, but it is more likely that "the metaphor is worked out with the exuberance of apocalyptic symbolism" (Swete) for the whole earth.

## Revelation 15

Rev 15:1
Another sign in heaven ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda 0 \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \circ \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \omega$ ov $\rho \alpha \nu \omega$ ). Looking back to 12:1,3, after the series intervening. The Seven Bowls are parallel with the Seven Seals (ch. Re 6 ) and the Seven Trumpets (chapters Re 8-11 ), but there is an even closer connection with chapters Re 12-14, "the drama of the long conflict between the church and the world" (Swete).

Great and marvellous ( $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \sigma \tau о v$ ). Ө $\Theta v \mu \alpha \sigma \tau о \varsigma$ is an old verbal adjective (from $\theta \alpha v \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$, to wonder) and is already in Mt 21:42. The wonder extends to the end of this vision or sign ( 16:21).

Seven angels ( $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda$ ovৎ $\varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha$ ). Accusative case in apposition with $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \sigma$ after $\varepsilon เ \delta o v$. Cf. 8:2.

Which are the last ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \tau \alpha \varsigma$ ). "Seven plagues the last." As in 21:9, "the final cycle of such visitations" (Swete).

Is finished $(\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \eta)$. Proleptic prophetic first aorist passive indicative of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ as in 10:7. The number seven seems particularly appropriate here for finality and completeness.

Rev 15:2
As it were a glassy sea ( $\omega \varsigma \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu v \alpha \lambda ı \nu \eta \nu)$. Accusative case after $\varepsilon \iota \delta o v$ and $\omega \varsigma$ here, not in $4: 6$, which see for the symbol.

Mingled with fire $(\mu \varepsilon \mu \tau \gamma \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \nu \pi \nu \rho)$. Perfect passive participle of $\mu \tau \gamma \nu \nu \mu$, to mix, and the associative instrumental case $\pi v \rho$. This item not in 4:6 (a vision of peace), but here it adds to the splendour of the vision. This parenthesis (2-4) gives a picture of the martyrs in their state of bliss.

Them that come off victorious ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma \nu \kappa \kappa \omega \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma$ ). Present active articular participle of $\nu \kappa \alpha \omega$, accusative after $\varepsilon เ \delta o v$, "those that come off victorious" ( 14:4).
 of $\varepsilon \kappa$ after $v \iota \kappa \alpha \omega$ is unusual, also with $\varepsilon \kappa$ тov $\alpha \rho \iota \theta \mu \circ v$. For these items see $13: 1,14,17 ; 14: 9,11$; 19:20; 20:4.

By the glassy sea $(\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta v \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu v \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \eta v)$. Or "upon" more likely (4:6) with the accusative as in Mt 14:25f. .

Harps of God ( $\kappa \iota \theta \alpha \rho a \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ \theta \varepsilon o v)$. Objective genitive, for the worship of God (5:8; 14:2; 1Ch 16:42 ).

Rev 15:3
The song of Moses ( $\tau \eta \nu \omega i \delta \eta \nu$ tov $\mathrm{M} \omega v \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Ex 14:31; 15:1-19 . A song of victory like that of Moses after crossing the Red Sea.

And the song of the Lamb ( $\tau \eta \nu \omega t \delta \eta \nu \tau o v \alpha \rho \nu \iota o v)$. A separate note of victory like that of Moses, though one song, not two. Charles finds it impossible to reconcile the two expressions, if genuine, but it is a needless objection. The words come from the O.T.: "great"
( $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha$ ) from Ps 111:2 , "wonderful" ( $\theta \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha)$ from Ps 139:14, "O Lord God the Almighty" (Kıрıє о $\theta \varepsilon$ ся о таvтократ $\omega \rho$ ) from Am 4:13 (Re 4:8), "righteous and true" ( $\delta$ ıкаı ка $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \iota v \alpha$ ) from De 32:4, "Thou King of the ages" (o $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon v \varsigma ~ \tau \omega v ~ a \iota \omega v \omega v$ ) like Jer 10:10; 1Ti 1:17. Some MSS. have "the king of the saints" and some "the king of the nations," like Jer 10:7. John thus combines in Hebraic tone the expressions of the old and the new in the song to the Glorified Messiah.

## Rev 15:4

Who shall not fear? ( $\tau \iota \varsigma$ ov $\mu \eta \varphi o \beta \eta \theta \eta \upharpoonright$;). Rhetorical question with ov $\mu \eta$ (double negative) and first aorist passive subjunctive of $\varphi \circ \beta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \alpha$ future passive in Jer 10:7).

And glorify ( $\kappa \alpha \delta о \xi \alpha \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Change here to the future indicative instead of the aorist subjunctive, as often. Cf. Ps 86:9 .

Thou only art holy ( $\mu$ ovoৎ oбıоৎ). Both predicate adjectives, "Thou art alone holy." God alone is perfectly holy ( 16:5).

Shall come ( $\eta \xi$ бov $\sigma \nu$ ). Future active of $\eta \kappa \omega$.
And worship ( $\kappa \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \nu v \eta \sigma o v \sigma \tau v)$. Future active of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa v v \varepsilon \omega$. Both from Ps 86:9 .
Have been made manifest ( $\varepsilon \varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Prophetic first aorist passive indicative of $\varphi a v \varepsilon \rho o \omega$. This martyr's song has the ring of great poetry.

Rev 15:5
The temple of the tabernacle of the testimony (o vaos $\tau \eta \varsigma \sigma \kappa \eta v \eta \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \iota o v) . ~$ Charles calls this "strange" language. Probably the tabernacle or tent of witness ( $\mathrm{Nu} 9: 15$; 17:7) is in mind and the tent of meeting (Ex 27:21 rather than the temple in Jerusalem.

Was opened ( $\eta v o \circ \gamma \eta$ ). Second aorist passive indicative of $\alpha v o l \gamma \omega$ as in 11:19. For vaos see 3:12; 7:15; 14:15,17; 16:1,17.

Rev 15:6
There came out ( $\varepsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta \alpha v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ with - $\alpha v$ rather than -ov. Proleptic and prophetic aorist.

The seven angels ( $о \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o$ ). Those in verse 1.
The seven plagues ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \alpha \varsigma$ ). The bowls are not given them till verse 7 .
Arrayed ( $\varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \delta \nu \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\varepsilon v \delta v \omega$.
With precious stone pure and bright ( $\lambda_{1} \theta o v \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \circ v \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \circ v$ ). Accusative case retained with verb of clothing as so often, literally "with a stone pure bright." For both adjectives together see 19:8,14. Some MSS. read $\lambda_{\imath}$ vov (linen). For $\lambda_{\imath} \theta$ ov see 17:4; 18:16; Eze 28:13 .

Girt ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \varepsilon \zeta \omega \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta \omega v v v \omega$. See 1:13 for both participles. For $\sigma \tau \eta \theta$ oc (breast) see Lu 18:13 .

With golden girdles ( $\zeta \omega v a \varsigma \chi \rho \cup \sigma a \varsigma)$ ). Accusative case after the perfect passive participle $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \varepsilon \zeta \omega \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o$ as in 1:13.

Rev 15:7

Seven golden bowls ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha$ 甲ı $\alpha \lambda \varsigma \propto \rho v \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. Golden saucers, but not full of incense as in 5:8, but "full ( $\gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оuбac for which see 5:8) of the wrath of God who liveth for ever and
 events.

Rev 15:8
Was filled with smoke $(\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \mu \iota \sigma \theta \eta \kappa \alpha \pi \nu o v)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\gamma \varepsilon \mu \iota \zeta \omega$ (from $\gamma \varepsilon \mu \omega$ ), to fill full, and with the genitive $\kappa \alpha \pi v o v$ (smoke). Smoke is here the symbol of God's presence (Ex 19:18; Isa 6:5 ).

Till should be finished ( $\alpha \not \rho \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \iota$ ). Temporal clause for future time with $\alpha \chi \rho$ (equal to $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ in import) and the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, a metaphorical and symbolic "smoke screen" to keep all out of the sanctuary for the time being.

## Revelation 16

Rev 16:1
A great voice ( $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \varsigma \varphi \omega \nu \eta \varsigma$ ). Not an angel as in 5:2; 7:2; 10:3; 14:7,9,15,18, but of God as 15:8 shows, since no one could enter the vaoc.

Pour out ( $\varepsilon \kappa \chi \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist active imperative of $\varepsilon \kappa \chi \varepsilon \omega$ (same form as present active imperative). Blass would change to $\kappa \kappa \chi \propto \tau \varepsilon$ (clearly aorist) as in verse 6 .

The seven bowls ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \varphi ı \alpha \lambda \alpha)$ ). The article points to verse 7 .
Rev 16:2
Went and poured out ( $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ (redundant use like vтаүєtє with $\varepsilon \kappa \chi \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$, "go and pour out," in verse 1) and of $\varepsilon \kappa \chi \varepsilon \omega$. Each angel "went off" to perform his task. For $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \varepsilon v$ see it repeated in verses $3,4,8,10,12,17$.

Into the earth ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \gamma \eta \nu)$. This same use of $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ after $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \varepsilon v$ in verses 3,4 .
It became ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau 0)$. "There came" (second aorist middle indicative of $\gamma \iota v o \mu \alpha)$.
A noisome and grievous sore ( $\varepsilon \lambda \kappa о \varsigma ~ к а к о \nu ~ к а ~ \pi о \nu \eta \rho о \nu) . ~ " B a d ~ a n d ~ m a l i g n a n t ~ s o r e . " ~$ $H \varepsilon \lambda \kappa о \varsigma$ is old word for a suppurated wound (Latin ulcus), here, verse 11; Lu 16:21. See the sixth Egyptian plague (Ex 9:10; De 28:27,35 ) and Job 2:7 . The magicians were attacked in Egypt and the worshippers of Caesar here (13:17; 14:9,11; 19:20).

## Rev 16:3

Into the sea ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha v$ ). Like the first Egyptian plague (Ex 7:12-41) though only the Nile affected then.

Blood as of a dead man ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \omega \varsigma \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho o v$ ). At the trumpet ( 8:11) the water becomes wormwood. Here $\omega \varsigma \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho o v$ is added to Ex 7:19, "the picture of a murdered man weltering in his blood" (Swete). "Coagulated blood, fatal to animal life" (Moffatt).

Every living soul ( $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \psi v \chi \eta \zeta \omega\rceil)$. "Every soul of life" (Hebraism, Ge 1:21, marked by life).

Even the things that were in the sea ( $\tau \alpha \varepsilon v \tau \eta \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta$ ). "The things in the sea," in apposition with $\psi \cup \chi \eta$. Complete destruction, not partial as in 8:9.

Rev 16:4
 $v \delta a \tau \omega v)$. See 8:10 for this phrase. Contamination of the fresh-water supply by blood follows that of the sea. Complete again.

Rev 16:5
The angel of the waters ( $\tau 0 v \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o v ~ \tau o v v \delta a \tau \omega v$ ). Genitive case object of $\eta \kappa о v \sigma \alpha$. See 7:1 for the four angels in control of the winds and 14:18 for the angel with power over fire. The rabbis spoke also of an angel with power over the earth and another over the sea.

Which art and which wast ( $о \omega \nu \kappa \alpha$ o $\eta \nu$ ). See this peculiar idiom for God's eternity with o as relative before $\eta \nu$ in $1: 4,8 ; 4: 8$, but without o $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma$ (the coming on, the one who is to be) there for the future as in 11:17.

Thou Holy One (o oбtoc). Nominative form, but vocative case, as often. Note both Sıкаıоя and ooıos applied to God as in 3:1; 15:3f.

Because thou didst thus judge ( от таvта єкрıvaৎ). Reason for calling God סıкаıоऽ and oбıos. The punishment on the waters is deserved. First aorist active indicative of $\kappa \rho \iota \nu \omega$, to judge.

Rev 16:6
For ( $o \tau$ ). Second causal conjunction ( $o \tau$ ) explanatory of the first $o \tau$, like the two cases of ot in 15:4.

They poured out ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \alpha v)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \kappa \chi \varepsilon \omega$ with - $\alpha v$ instead of -ov.

Blood hast thou given them to drink ( $\alpha \mu \alpha \alpha \cup \tau \circ \iota \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha \varsigma \pi \varepsilon ı v$ ). Haı $\mu \alpha$ (blood) is the emphatic word, measure for measure for shedding the blood of saints and prophets (11:18; 18:24 ). Perfect active indicative of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, and so a permanent and just punishment. $\Pi \varepsilon \iota v$ is the abbreviated second aorist active infinitive of $\pi \iota v \omega$ for $\pi \iota \varepsilon \iota v(\varepsilon \pi \iota o v)$. It is the epexegetical infinitive after $\delta \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha \varsigma$. There was no more drinking-water, but only this coagulated blood.

They are worthy ( $\alpha \xi_{\imath}$ عıбıv). "Terrible antithesis" (Swete) to 3:4. The asyndeton adds to it (Alford).

Rev 16:7
O Lord God, the Almighty (Kvpı о $\theta \varepsilon о \varsigma$ о $\pi \alpha v \tau о к \rho \alpha \tau \omega \rho$ ). Just as in 15:3 in the Song of Moses and of the Lamb, vocative with the article o. "Judgments" (крıбєıৎ) here instead of "ways" (o $\delta$ o) there, and with the order of the adjectives reversed ( $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta$ ıva к $\alpha \delta_{ı} \alpha ı \alpha$, true and righteous).

Rev 16:8
Upon the sun ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \eta \lambda \iota \circ v$ ). Not $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ (into) as in verses $2,3,4$. The fourth trumpet ( 8:12) affected a third of the sun, moon, and stars with a plague of darkness, but here it is a plague of extreme heat.

To scorch with fire ( $\kappa \alpha v \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \alpha \varepsilon v \pi \nu \rho$ ). First aorist active infinitive of $\kappa \alpha v \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, late (Plutarch, Epictetus) causative verb (from каv $\alpha$, heat), in N.T. only here and verse $9 ; \mathrm{Mt}$ 13:6; $\operatorname{Mr} 4: 6$. The addition of $\varepsilon v \pi \nu \rho$ (in fire, with fire) intensifies the picture.

Rev 16:9
Were scorched ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. First aorist passive indicative of same verb.
With great heat ( $\kappa \alpha \cup \mu \alpha \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha)$. Cognate accusative retained with the passive verb. Old word (from кaı $\omega$ to burn), in N.T. only 7:16 and here. For blaspheming the name of God see $13: 6$; Jas $2: 7$; Ro $2: 24$; 1 Ti $6: 1$. They blamed God for the plagues.

They repented not ( $o v \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon v o \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). This solemn negative aorist of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon \omega$ is a refrain like a funeral dirge ( $9: 20 f . ; 16: 11$ ). In 11:13 some did repent because of the earthquake. Even deserved punishment may harden the heart.

To give him glory ( $\delta o u v \alpha \alpha \cup \tau \omega \delta o \xi \alpha v$ ). Second aorist active infinitive of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, almost result. For the phrase see 11:13; 14:7; 19:7.

Rev 16:10
Upon the throne of the beast ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \rho o v o v$ tov $\theta \eta \rho \iota o v$ ). That is Rome ( $13: 2$ ). The dragon gave the beast his throne ( $2: 13$ ).

Was darkened ( $\varepsilon \not \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \% ~ \varepsilon \sigma \kappa о \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon v \eta)$ ). Periphrastic past perfect passive with $\gamma เ v o \mu \alpha$ and $\sigma \kappa о \tau о \omega$ ( 9:2). Like the darkness of the Egyptian plague (Ex 10:22) and worse, for the effects of the previous plagues continue.

They gnawed their tongues ( $\varepsilon \mu \alpha \sigma \omega v \tau 0 ~ \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha \nu \tau \omega v)$ ). Imperfect middle of $\mu \alpha \sigma \alpha o \mu \alpha$, old verb (to chew), from $\mu \alpha \omega$ (to knead), only here in N.T.

For pain ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ тov đovou). "Out of distress" (cf. єк in 8:13), rare sense of old word (from $\pi \varepsilon v o \mu \alpha$ to work for one's living), in N.T. only here, 21:4; Col 4:13. See Mt 8:12 .

Rev 16:11
They blasphemed ( $\varepsilon \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \eta \sigma \alpha v)$
and they repented not ( $\kappa \alpha$ ov $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon v o \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Precisely as in verse 9 , which see. Not just because of the supernatural darkness, but also "because of their pains" ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu \pi \sigma \nu \omega \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$, plural here and same use of $\varepsilon \kappa$ ) and their sores ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v \varepsilon \lambda \kappa \omega \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$, as in verse 2 , only plural, and same use of $\varepsilon \kappa$ ).

Of their works ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v \varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega v \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). "Out of their deeds," and addition to verse 9 .
The God of heaven ( $\tau 0 v \theta \varepsilon o v ~ t o v ~ o u p a v o u) . ~ A s ~ i n ~ D a ~ 2: 44 . ~ L i k e ~ t h e ~ p r i d e ~ o f ~$ Nebuchadrezzar against Jehovah.

Rev 16:12
Upon the great river, the river Euphrates ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \tau v \pi о \tau \alpha \mu о \nu \tau о v \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha v \tau о v E v \varphi \rho \alpha \tau \eta v$ ). The sixth trumpet brings up the river Euphrates also (9:14), only there $\varepsilon \pi$ with the locative, while here $\varepsilon \pi$ with the accusative. Note triple use of the article tov here.

Was dried up ( $\varepsilon \xi \eta \rho \alpha v \theta \eta$ ). First aorist (prophetic) passive of $\xi \eta \rho \alpha ı v \omega$ ( 14:15). Cf. Zec 10:11.

That may be made ready ( $เ v \alpha \varepsilon \tau о \mu \alpha \sigma \theta \eta$ ). Purpose clause with $i v \alpha$ and the first aorist passive of $\varepsilon \tau о \mu \mu \zeta \omega$. Common verb in Rev. (8:6; 9:7,15; 12:6; 19:7; 21:2 ).

The way for the kings ( $\eta$ o $\delta o \varsigma \tau \omega v \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \omega v$ ). Objective genitive $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon \omega v$.
That come from the sunrising ( $\tau \omega v$ ало $\alpha v a \tau о \lambda \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \lambda \iota o v$ ). "Those from the rising of the sun," the kings from the east (cf. Mt 2:2 ) in their march against Rome. Parthia in particular resisted Rome before Trajan's day.

Rev 16:13
Coming out of ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ alone, no participle $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ ).

Of the dragon ( $\operatorname{\tau ov} \delta \rho \alpha \kappa о v \tau о \varsigma)$. That is $\operatorname{Satan}(12: 3,9)$.
Of the beast ( $\operatorname{\tau ov} \theta \eta \rho \circ \sim$ ). The first beast (13:1,12) and then just the beast (13:14ff.; $14: 9,11 ; 15: 2 ; 16: 2,10)$, the brute force of the World-power represented by the Roman Empire" (Swete).

Of the false prophet ( $\tau \circ \cup \psi \varepsilon v \delta о \pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \circ v$ ). Cf. Mt 7:15; Ас 13:6; 1Jo 2:22; 4:3; 2Jo 1:7 . Identified with the second beast ( 13:11-14) in 19:20; 20:10. So the sixth bowl introduces the dragon and his two subalterns of chapters Re 12; 13 (the two beasts).

Three unclean spirits ( $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \rho ı \alpha \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \tau \alpha)$. Out of the mouths of each of the three evil powers (the dragon and the two beasts) comes an evil spirit. See the use of mouth in $1: 16$ ( $9: 17 \mathrm{f} . ; 11: 5 ; 12: 15 ; 19: 15,21$ ) as a chief seat of influence. In 2Th $2: 8$ we have "the breath of his mouth" (the other sense of $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ ). For $\alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \tau o v$ (unclean) with $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha$ see Mr 1:23f.; 3:11; 5:2ff.; Ac 5:16; 8:7 . Christ expelled unclean spirits, but His enemies send them forth" (Swete). See Zec 13:2 "the false prophets and the unclean spirits."

As it were frogs ( $\omega \varsigma \beta \alpha \tau \rho \alpha \chi 0$ ). Cf. Ex 8:5; Le 11:10f. . Old word, here alone in N.T. Like loathsome frogs in form.

Rev 16:14
Spirits of devils ( $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \delta \alpha \not \mu \circ v \iota \omega v$ ). "Spirits of demons." Explanation of the simile $\omega \varsigma \beta a \tau \rho \alpha \chi$. See 1Ti 4:1 about "deceiving spirits and teachings of demons."
 The Egyptian magicians wrought "signs" (tricks), as did Simon Magus and later Apollonius of Tyana. Houdini claimed that he could reproduce every trick of the spiritualistic mediums.

Which go forth ( $\alpha \varepsilon \kappa \pi \sigma \rho \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Singular verb with neuter plural (collective) subject.
Unto the kings ( $\varepsilon \pi$ тovৎ $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon ı \varsigma)$. The three evil spirits (dragon and the two beasts) spur on the kings of the whole world to a real world war. "There have been times when nations have been seized by a passion for war which the historian can but imperfectly explain" (Swete).

To gather them together ( $\sigma v v \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \iota v$ ). Second aorist active infinitive of $\sigma v v \alpha \gamma \omega$, to express purpose (that of the unclean spirits).

Unto the war of the great day of God, the Almighty ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau о \nu \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \mu о \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho a \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma$
 13:8, but it is more likely war against God (Ps 2:2) and probably the battle pictured in 17:14; 19:19. Cf. 2Pe 3:12, "the day of God," his reckoning with the nations. See Joe 2:11; 3:4 . Paul uses "that day" for the day of the Lord Jesus (the Parousia) as in 1Th 5:2; 2Th 1:10; 2:2; 1 Co 1:8; 2Co 1:14; Php 1:6; 2:16; 2Ti 1:12,18; 4:8.

Rev 16:15
Behold, I come as a thief ( $\delta \delta o v \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha \omega \varsigma \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma)$. The voice of Christ breaks in with the same metaphor as in 3:3, which see. There comes one of seven beatitudes in Rev. (1:3;

14:13; 16:15; 19:9; 20:6; 22:7,14 ). For $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma \quad \rho \omega \nu$ (watching) see 3:2, and for $\tau \eta \rho \omega v$ (keeping), 1:3.
 the present active subjunctive of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$, and note predicate nominative $\gamma \cup \mu \nu \circ \varsigma$ (naked).

And they see his shame ( $\kappa \alpha \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega \sigma \tau \nu \tau \eta \nu \alpha \sigma \chi \eta \mu о \sigma v \nu \eta \nu$ autov). Continuation of the final clause with present active subjunctive of $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$. A $\alpha \chi \eta \mu \circ \sigma v \nu \eta \nu$ is old word (from $\alpha \sigma \chi \eta \mu \omega \nu$, indecent, 1Co 12:23 ), in N.T. only here and Ro 1:27, a euphemism for $\tau \eta \nu$ aıбүणvๆข (Re 3:18).

Rev 16:16
They gathered ( $\sigma \cup v \eta \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\sigma u v \alpha \gamma \omega$, singular (the three unclean spirits), like єклорєvєта in verse 14.

Har-Magedon (Hap-Maү\& $\delta \omega v$ ). John proceeds now after the interruption in verse 15. Perhaps "the mountains of Megiddo" though not certain. Megiddo is in the valley of Esdraelon, and by the waters of Megiddo (the Kishon) Israel gained a decisive victory over Sisera (Jud 5:19 ), celebrated in Deborah's song. See also Re 20:8ff. and Eze 39:2,4 .

Rev 16:17
Upon the air ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \tau \vee \alpha \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). All men breathe the air and this is worse than the smiting of the earth (verse 2), the sea (3), the fresh waters ( 4), the sun (8).

A great voice ( $\varphi \omega \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta)$. The voice of God as in 16:1.
It is done ( $\Gamma \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \varepsilon v$ ). Perfect active indicative of $\gamma เ \nu \circ \mu \alpha$. Like $\Gamma \varepsilon \gamma \circ v \alpha v$ in 21:6. The whole series of plagues is now complete.

Rev 16:18
And there were (ка ع $\gamma \varepsilon v \frac{1}{}$ ( for this list of terrible sounds and lightnings, and for the great earthquake ( $\sigma \varepsilon เ \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \varsigma$ ) see 6:12; 11:13 (cf. Lu 21:11 ).

Such as was not (oוoৎ ouk eүعveto). Qualitative relative with $\gamma$ ıvoua again, "such as came not."

Since there were men ( $\alpha \varphi^{\prime}$ ov $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$ $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v o v \tau o$ ). "Since which time ( $\chi \rho o v o v$ understood) men came."

So great an earthquake, so mighty ( $\tau \eta \lambda \iota \kappa о \nu \tau о \varsigma ~ \sigma \varepsilon \iota \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma ~ o v \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \varsigma)$. Quantitative
 обоц). And then оит $\omega \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \varsigma$ repeats (redundant) $\tau \eta \lambda ı$ кочтоऽ. Cf. Mr 13:19 for oı $\alpha-$-тоьаvтп about like tribulation ( $\theta \lambda \iota \psi \iota \varsigma)$.

Rev 16:19
 again). In 11:3 a tenth part of the city fell. Babylon (Rome) is meant ( 17:18).

Fell ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \alpha v)$. Second aorist active indicative of $\pi / \pi \tau \omega$ ( $-\alpha v$ form in place of $-o v$ ).

Was remembered ( $\varepsilon \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \eta)$. First aorist (prophetic) passive indicative of $\mu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$. Babylon (Rome) had not been overlooked. God was simply biding his time with Rome.

To give unto her ( $\delta o u v a \alpha u \tau \eta$ ). Second aorist active infinitive of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, epexegetic use as in 11:18; 16:9.
 $\tau \eta \varsigma ~ o \rho \gamma \eta \varsigma$ autou). "The cup of the wine of the wrath of his anger," using both $\theta \cup \mu \circ \varsigma$ (boiling rage) and o $\rho \gamma \eta$ (settled anger). See both in Jer 30:24.

Rev 16:20
Fled ( $\varepsilon \varphi \cup \gamma \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\varphi \varepsilon \cup \gamma \omega$. Islands sometimes sink in the sea in earthquakes ( 6:14).

Were not found (oux $\varepsilon u \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\varepsilon u \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$. See 20:11 for the same idea.

Rev 16:21
Hail ( $\chi \alpha \lambda \alpha \zeta \alpha$ ). As in 8:17; 11:19.
Every stone about the weight of a talent ( $\omega \varsigma \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \iota \alpha \iota \alpha$ ). Old adjective (from тa入avтov), here only in N.T., but in Polybius and Josephus. See Ex 9:24 for the great hail in Egypt and also Jos 10:11; Isa 28:2; Eze 38:22 for hail as the symbol of God's wrath. In the LXX a $\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \nu \tau 0 v$ ranged in weight from 108 to 130 pounds.

Because of the plague of hail ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \eta \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \chi \alpha \lambda \alpha \zeta \eta \varsigma)$. "As a result of the plague of hail." This punishment had the same effect as in verses 9,11 .

Exceeding great (M $\varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta--\sigma \varphi \rho o \delta \rho \alpha$ ). Emphatic positions at ends of the clause (great--exceedingly).

## Revelation 17

Rev 17:1
I will show thee ( $\delta \varepsilon ı \xi \omega \sigma 0$ ). Future active of $\delta \varepsilon ו \kappa v v \mu$. It is fitting that one of the seven angels that had the seven bowls should explain the judgment on Babylon ( 16:19) already pronounced ( 14:8). That is now done in chapters Re 17; 18.

The judgment of the great harlot ( $\tau о к \rho \mu \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \pi о \rho \vee \eta \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \varsigma$ ). The word крцца is the one used about the doom of Babylon in Jer 51:9 . Already in 14:8 Babylon is called the harlot. Порvŋऽ is the objective genitive, "the judgment on the great harlot."

That sitteth upon many waters ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha Ө \eta \mu \varepsilon v \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \pi v \delta \alpha \tau \omega \nu \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ). Note triple use of the article $\tau \eta \varsigma$. In Jer 51:13 we have $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime}$ v $\delta \alpha \sigma \pi$ то $\lambda \lambda$ оьऽ (locative in place of genitive as here). Babylon got its wealth by means of the Euphrates and the numerous canals for irrigation. Rome does not have such a system of canals, but this item is taken and applied to the New Babylon in 17:15. Nahum (Na 3:4) calls Nineveh a harlot, as Isaiah (Isa 23:16f. ) does Tyre.

Rev 17:2
 21:24 and "the kings of the inhabited earth" ( 16:14) either for human rulers in general or the vassal kings absorbed by the Roman Empire.

Committed fornication ( $\varepsilon \pi о \rho v \varepsilon \cup \sigma \alpha v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\pi о \rho v \varepsilon v \omega$. "In purchasing the favour of Rome by accepting her suzerainty and with it her vices and idolatries" (Swete).

Were made drunken ( $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \theta v \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\mu \varepsilon \theta v \sigma \kappa \omega$, old verb (from $\mu \varepsilon \theta v$ ), as in $\operatorname{Lu}$ 12:45, here only in the Apocalypse. Cf. Isa 51:7 and $\pi \varepsilon \pi о \tau เ \kappa \varepsilon v$ in Re 14:8. See 18:3.

Rev 17:3
He carried me away ( $\alpha \pi \eta \nu \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \varepsilon \nu \mu \varepsilon$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi о \varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$, to bear away, prophetic aorist. This verb is used of angels at death (Lu 16:22) or in an ecstasy (Re 21:10 and here).

In the Spirit ( $\varepsilon v \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau)$. Probably his own spirit, though the Holy Spirit is possible (1:10; 4:2; 21:10), without Paul's uncertainty (2Co 12:2 ). Cf. Eze 3:14f.; 8:3; 11:24.

Into a wilderness ( $\varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \circ v$ ). In Isa 21:1 there is to о $\rho \alpha \mu \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \circ v$ (the vision of the deserted one, Babylon), and in Isa 14:23 Babylon is called $\varepsilon \rho \eta \mu$ ov. John may here picture this to be the fate of Rome or it may be that he himself, in the wilderness (desert) this side of Babylon, sees her fate. In 21:10 he sees the New Jerusalem from a high mountain.

Sitting ( $\kappa \alpha \Theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v \eta \nu)$. Present middle participle of $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha$ as in verse 1. "To manage and guide the beast" (Vincent).

Upon a scarlet-coloured beast ( $\varepsilon \pi$ Өпрюov коккıvov). Accusative with $\varepsilon \pi$ here, though genitive in verse 1. Late adjective (from коккос, a parasite of the ilex coccifera), a crimson tint for splendour, in Re 17:3,4; 18:12,16; Mt 27:28; Heb 9:19.

Full of names of blasphemy ( $\gamma \varepsilon \mu о \nu \tau \alpha$ оvон $\tau \alpha \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \alpha \varsigma)$. See $13: 1$ for "names of blasphemy" on the seven heads of the beast, but here they cover the whole body of the beast (the first beast of 13:1; 19:20). The harlot city (Rome) sits astride this beast with seven heads and ten horns (Roman world power). The beast is here personified with masculine participles instead of neuter, like $\theta \eta \rho \circ o v(\gamma \varepsilon \mu \circ v \tau \alpha$ accusative singular, $\varepsilon \chi \omega \vee$ nominative singular, though some MSS. read $\varepsilon \chi \circ v \tau \alpha)$, construction according to sense in both instances. The verb $\gamma \varepsilon \mu \omega$ always has the genitive after it in the Apocalypse ( $4: 6,8 ; 5: 8 ; 15: 7 ; 17: 4 ; 21: 9$ ) save here and apparently once in 17:4.

Rev 17:4
Was arrayed ( $\eta \nu \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon v \eta$ ). Periphrastic past perfect indicative of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to fling round one.

In purple and scarlet ( $л о р \varphi и \rho о и ข ~ к а ~ к о к к เ v о v) . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ r e t a i n e d ~ a f t e r ~ t h i s ~ p a s s i v e ~$ verb of clothing, as so often. Порфироия is old adjective for purple (from $\pi о \rho \varphi \cup \rho \alpha$ ), in N.T. only here and Joh 19:2,5 . See preceding verse for коккıvос.

Decked ( $\kappa \varepsilon \chi \rho v \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v \eta$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\chi \rho v \sigma o \omega$, old verb, to gild, to adorn with gold, here alone in N.T.

With gold and precious stone and pearls ( $\chi \rho \cup \sigma \iota \omega$ к $\left.\lambda_{\wedge} \theta \omega \tau \tau \mu \omega \kappa \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \gamma \alpha \rho ı \alpha \iota \varsigma\right)$. Instrumental case. X $\rho \cup \sigma \omega \omega$ is cognate with the participle. $\Lambda \iota \theta \omega \tau \tau \mu \omega$ is collective (18:12,16; 21:19 ). There is a $\zeta \varepsilon u \gamma \mu \alpha$ also with $\mu \alpha \rho \gamma \alpha \rho \iota \tau \alpha \iota(18: 12,16 ; 21: 21)$, for which word see Mt 7:6 . Probably John is thinking of the finery of the temple prostitutes in Asia Minor.

Full of abominations ( $\gamma \varepsilon \mu \circ v \beta \delta \varepsilon \lambda \nu \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). Agreeing with $\pi о \tau \eta \rho \circ v, ~ " c u p " ~(n e u t e r ~$ singular accusative). Some MSS. read $\gamma \varepsilon \mu \omega \nu$ (nominative masculine like $\varepsilon \chi \omega v$ in verse 3, quite irregular). For $\beta \delta \varepsilon \lambda \nu \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ (genitive after $\gamma \varepsilon \mu \circ v$ ) see $\operatorname{Mt~24:15;~(Mr~13:14),~common~}$ in the LXX for idol worship and its defilements (from $\beta \delta \varepsilon \lambda \nu \sigma \sigma \omega$, to render foul), both ceremonial and moral. See Jer 15:7.

Even the unclean things of her fornication ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \pi о \rho v \varepsilon ı \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Either the accusative after $\gamma \varepsilon \mu \circ v$ as in verse 3 (and full of the unclean things of her fornication) or the object of $\varepsilon \chi 0 \cup \sigma a$, like $\pi о \tau \eta \rho \circ o v$.

## Rev 17:5

Upon her forehead a name written ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \tau \mu \varepsilon \tau \omega \pi о v$ av $\tau \eta \varsigma$ оvона $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Roman harlots wore a label with their names on their brows (Seneca, Rhet. I. 2. 7; Juvenal VI. 122f.), and so here. In 19:16 Christ has a name on his garments and on his thigh, while in 14:1; 22:4 the redeemed have the name of God on their foreheads. There is undoubtedly a contrast between this woman here and the woman in chapter Re 12 .

Mystery ( $\mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho$ ov). Either in apposition with ovo $\mu \alpha$ or as part of the inscription on her forehead. In either case the meaning is the same, that the name Babylon is to be interpreted mystically or spiritually (cf. $\pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \omega \varsigma 11: 8$ ) for Rome.

The Mother of the Harlots and of the Abominations of the Earth ( $\mathrm{H} \eta \mathrm{M} \eta \tau \eta \rho \tau \omega \nu$ Порv $\omega v \kappa \alpha \tau \omega \nu \mathrm{~B} \delta \varepsilon \lambda \nu \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \Gamma \eta \varsigma)$. The Metropolis of the Empire is the mother of harlotry and of the world's idolatries. Charles quotes Tacitus (Ann. XV. 44) about Rome as the city "quo cuncta undique atrocia aut pudenda confluunt celebranturque_."

Rev 17:6
Drunken with the blood of the saints ( $\mu \varepsilon \theta v o v \sigma \alpha v \varepsilon \kappa$ тоv $\alpha \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma \tau \omega v \alpha \gamma \iota \omega v$ ). Present active feminine accusative singular participle of $\mu \varepsilon \theta v \omega$, old verb, to be drunk (Mt 24:49).

Of the martyrs of Jesus ( $\tau \omega \nu \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \omega \nu$ I $\eta \sigma o v$ ). "Witnesses" ( $2: 13$ ) for Jesus (objective genitive) unto blood ( $16: 6 ; 18: 24$ ) and so martyrs in the modern sense of the word. "Drunk with blood" is a common idea with the ancients (Euripides, Josephus, Philo, Cicero, Pliny).

With a great wonder $(\theta \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha)$. Cognate accusative with $\varepsilon \theta \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha$.
Rev 17:7
I will tell thee the mystery ( $\varepsilon \gamma \omega \varepsilon \rho \omega$ бо то $\mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ v)$ ). The angel gives his interpretation of the woman and the beast ( 17:7-18). Ep $\omega$ is the future active of $\varepsilon$ عाँov (defective verb), to tell, to say.

Rev 17:8
Was and is not ( $\eta \nu \kappa \alpha$ ovk $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \tau \nu$ ). Imperfect and present of $\varepsilon \varepsilon \mu$, an apparent antithesis to o $\eta \nu \kappa \alpha$ o $\omega \nu$ of 1:4. This is a picture of the beast of 13:1ff. which the woman is riding, but no longer just the empire, but one of the emperors who died (ouk عのтıv, is not).

And is about to come up out of the abyss ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha ı v \varepsilon เ v \varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \alpha \beta v \sigma \sigma о v)$. That is, he is going to come to life again.

And to go into perdition ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha v v \pi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon$ ). So (and he goes into perdition) the best MSS. read rather than the infinitive $v \pi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon เ v$. Most interpreters see here an allusion to the "Nero redivivus" expectancy realized in Domitian, who was ruling when John wrote and who was called Nero redivivus.

Shall wonder ( $\theta a \nu \mu \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha)$. First future passive (deponent) of $\theta \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$, with which compare $\varepsilon \theta \alpha v \mu \alpha \sigma \theta \eta$ in 13:3. John had wondered ( $\varepsilon \theta \alpha v \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha)$ in verse 6 "with the amazement of a horrible surprise; the world will wonder and admire" (Swete).

Whose name ( $\omega v$ оvo $\mu$ ). Singular ovo $\mu$, like $\pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha$ in 11:8. See 13:8 for the same description of those who worship the beast and for discussion of details.

When they behold ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \sigma \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Genitive plural of the present active participle of $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$, agreeing with $\omega \nu$ (genitive relative) rather than with o катоккоuvteৎ (nominative just before $\omega v$ ).

How that (ot). "Namely that."

He was, and is not, and shall come ( $\eta \nu \kappa \alpha$ оик $\varepsilon \sigma \tau i v \kappa \alpha ~ \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha)$. Repetition of what is in verse 7 with $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha$ (future of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \mu$, from which $\pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma \iota \alpha$ comes) in place of $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$, "parody of the divine name" (Charles) in 1:4,8; 4:8, "as the hellish antitype of Christ." The Neronic Antichrist has also a $\pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma i \alpha$.

Rev 17:9
Here is the mind which hath wisdom ( $\mathrm{H} \omega \delta \varepsilon$ o vouc o $\varepsilon \chi \omega v \sigma о \varphi\llcorner\alpha v$ ). "Here is the intelligence which has wisdom" (Charles). A variation of 13:18, but the same idea.

Seven mountains ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \circ \rho \eta$ ). Rome was known as the city on seven hills (Vergil, Horace, Ovid, Cicero, etc.).

On which (o $о \boldsymbol{\sigma}--\varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). "Where--upon them." Pleonasm like oтоv--єкє in 12:6. In $13: 1 \mathrm{ff}$. it is the beast that has the seven heads, while here the woman riding the beast has seven heads, a slight change in the symbolism, and the heads are further identified as kings.

Rev 17:10
Seven kings ( $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha$ ). This is another change in the symbolism. The identification of these seven kings is one of the puzzles of the book.

The five are fallen (o $\pi \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \alpha v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$ with the -av ending. Common for the downfall of kings (Eze 29:5; 30:6; Isa 21:9, etc.). See 2Sa 3:38

The one is (o $\begin{gathered}\text { ءц } \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \text { ). The one when this vision is dated. }\end{gathered}$
The other is not yet come (o $\alpha \lambda \lambda o \varsigma ~ o u \pi \omega ~ \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Prophetic second aorist active of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. Charles takes this as the date of this "source" or part of the Apocalypse. But John could himself have used this language in the time of Domitian even if he was the one who had not yet come. The difficulty about counting these emperors is that Galba, Otho, Vitellius reigned so briefly that they hardly merit being included.

When he cometh ( $o \tau \alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ ). Indefinite temporal clause for the future, with otav and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, "whenever he comes."

He must continue a little while ( $\mathrm{o} \lambda \iota \gamma \circ \nu$ av $о \nu \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon ı v \alpha$ ). Swete takes this to be Titus, who died September 13, 81, after a short reign.

Rev 17:11
Is himself also an eighth and is of the seven ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha \cup \tau о \varsigma ~ о \gamma \delta о о \varsigma ~ к \alpha ~ \varepsilon \kappa ~ \tau \omega v \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha)$. This is the angel's interpretation and it looks like a reference to Domitian as the eighth, who is regarded as one of the seven because he was considered a second Nero (Nero redivivus). For $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha$ see Ac 21:8. John may have used $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega v$ instead of $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \kappa \tau \omega \nu$ to avoid absolute identity between Domitian and Nero (Beckwith).

And he goeth unto perdition ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma \pi \pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon \iota v \nu \tau \pi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon$ ). As in verse 8. "Domitian was assassinated (September 18, 96), after a terrible struggle with his murderers. The tyrant's end was a symbol of the end to which the Beast which he personated was hastening" (Swete). Cf. 19:11-21.

Rev 17:12
Which have received no kingdom as yet (oı aorist (proleptic and prophetic) active indicative of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$. The heads are emperors and the horns are kings (both called $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$.

As kings ( $\omega \varsigma \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ). Compared to kings (see $\omega \varsigma$ in $1: 10 ; 4: 6 ; 9: 7 ; 13: 3 ; 14: 3 ; 16: 21$ ) without identification with the emperors, though succeeding them with "quasi-imperial powers" with the beast.

For one hour ( $\mu \tau \alpha \nu \omega \rho a v$ ). Accusative of extent of time, and that a brief time (18:10,16,19 ) in comparison with the beast ( 13:2).

Rev 17:13
Have one mind ( $\mu \iota \alpha \nu \gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta \nu \varepsilon \chi o v \sigma \iota)$. "One purpose" ( $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta$ from $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ ) as in Ac 20:3; 1Co 1:10. The new powers are allies of the beast.

They give their power and authority unto the beast ( $\tau \eta \nu \delta u v \alpha \mu \iota \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \nu \varepsilon \xi_{0} \sigma \sigma \iota \alpha \nu$ $\alpha v \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega \theta \eta \rho \iota \omega \delta \iota \delta o a \sigma \iota v)$. Present active indicative of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$. Just as the dragon gave both power and authority to the beast (13:2), so they are wholly at the service of the beast.

Rev 17:14
These (outo). These ten kings.
 to war. As allies of the beast (the servant of the dragon, 12:7) they will wage war with the Lamb (the enemy of the dragon). These kings gather for battle as in 16:13f.

And the Lamb shall overcome them ( $\kappa \alpha$ то $\alpha \rho v ı v \nu ı \kappa \eta \sigma \varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau о \nu \varsigma)$. Future active of $\nu \iota \kappa \alpha \omega$. This is the glorious outcome, victory by the Lamb over the coalition of kings as against the beast before.
 $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \omega v)$. The same words are again descriptive of Christ in 19:16, as of God in De 10:17 (God of gods and Lord of lords) and Da 10:17 (God of gods and Lord of kings). Cf. also 1 Ti 6:15; Re 1:5. Crowned heads are Christ's subjects.

And they also shall overcome that are with him ( $\kappa \alpha$ о $\mu \varepsilon \tau$ ' $\alpha v \tau o v$ ). "And those with him shall also overcome" (supply vıкךбоvбıv, not $\varepsilon \iota \sigma \iota v$ ). They will share in the triumph of the Lamb, as they shared in the conflict. Cf. $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ tov $\theta \eta \rho \iota o v$ in verse 12.

Called and chosen and faithful ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau о к \alpha \varepsilon к \lambda \varepsilon к \tau о ~ к а ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau о) . ~ T h e s e ~ a r e ~ t h e ~ t h r e e ~ n o t e s ~$ of those who share in the victory. For $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \circ \varsigma$ and $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \circ \varsigma$ see Mt 22:14 (contrasted); Ro 8:28ff.; $2 \mathrm{Pe} 1: 10$; Re $2: 10,13$. The elect are called and prove faithful.

Rev 17:15
Where the harlot sitteth (ov $\eta \pi о \rho v o \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Relative adverb ov (where) referring to the waters $(v \delta \alpha \tau \alpha)$ of verse 1 on which the harlot sits. Present middle indicative of $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha$.

Are peoples, and multitudes, and nations, and tongues ( $\lambda \alpha о \kappa \alpha$ о $\chi \lambda о \varepsilon \iota \sigma \iota \nu \alpha \alpha \theta \vee \eta$ $\kappa \alpha \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \alpha)$. The O.T. uses "waters" as symbol for "peoples" (Isa 8:7; Jer 47:2; Ps 29:10, etc.). "Rome's greatest danger lay in the multitudes which were under her sway" (Swete).

Rev 17:16
These shall hate the harlot (ov $\mu \iota \sigma \eta \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu \tau \eta \tau о \rho \nu \eta \nu$ ). Future active of $\mu \iota \sigma \varepsilon \omega$. Hovto is resumptive demonstrative pronoun (masculine) referring to the ten horns and the beast (neuter); construction according to sense. The downfall of Rome will come from the sudden change in subject peoples.

Shall make her desolate and naked ( $\eta \rho \eta \mu \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \nu \pi о \imath \sigma \sigma v \sigma \iota \nu \alpha v \tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \gamma \nu \mu \nu \eta \nu)$. Future active of $\pi o t \varepsilon \omega$ and perfect passive predicate accusative participle of $\varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \circ \omega$, old verb (from $\varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \circ \varsigma$ desolate), again in 18:16,19. $\Gamma \nu \mu \nu \eta \nu$ (naked) is predicate adjective.

Shall eat her flesh ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma \varphi \alpha \gamma о \nu \tau \alpha)$. Future middle of the defective verb $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \iota \omega$, to eat. Note plural $\sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha \varsigma$, portions of flesh (Jas 5:3) as in Ps 27:2; Mic 3:3.

Shall burn her utterly with fire ( $\alpha v \tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha v \sigma o v \sigma \iota v \varepsilon \nu \pi \nu \rho$ ). Future active of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota \omega$, to burn down (perfective use of $\kappa \alpha \iota \omega$ ). John wrote before the days of Alaric, Genseric, Ricimer, Totila, with their hordes which devastated Rome and the west in the fifth and sixth centuries. "No reader of the Decline and Fall can be at a loss for materials which will at once illustrate and justify the general trend of St. John's prophecy" (Swete).

Rev 17:17
Did put ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). "Did give" (first aorist active of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$.
To do his mind ( $\pi \circ \not \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \eta \nu \gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta \nu \alpha \nu \tau o v$ ). Epexegetic first aorist active infinitive of $\pi o เ \varepsilon \omega$ after $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon \nu$, as often in this book. They are of one mind (verse 13) because God put them up to it, clear statement of God's over-ruling hand among the nations.

Until the words of God should be accomplished ( $\alpha \chi \rho \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha$ o $\lambda о \gamma o \tau$ тov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). Temporal clause about the future with $\alpha \chi \rho$ (like $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ), with the future indicative of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, but with aorist passive subjunctive $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \iota$ in $15: 8$. For $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$ see also 10:7. For "the words of God" see 19:9. They will be fulfilled.

Rev 17:18
The woman ( $\eta \gamma \cup \vee \eta$ ). She is now explained after the beast has been interpreted. Verse 9 made it plain enough, but this verse demonstrates that the woman is the city of Rome "which reigneth ( $\eta$ عхоט $\alpha \alpha \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha \nu$, the one having a kingdom) over the kings of the earth $(\varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \vee \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \omega \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma)$." Rome followed Babylon, and other cities may follow in their train.

## Revelation 18

Rev 18:1
Coming down out of heaven ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha ı v o v \tau \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \tau о v$ оטраvоv). Present active predicate participle. Not the angel of 17:1,7,15 (John's guide), but one announcing the doom of Babylon (Rome). As in 10:1; 20:1 .

Was lightened $(\varepsilon \varphi \omega \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive of $\varphi \omega \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, old causative verb (from $\varphi \omega \varsigma$, light), common in N.T. as in Re 18:1; 21:23; 22:5.

With his glory ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau\rceil \varsigma \delta o \xi \eta \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau o v$ ). "By reason of ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ as in $8: 13 ; 16: 10$ ) his glory." "So recently has he come from the Presence that in passing he flings a broad belt of light across the dark earth" (Swete).

Rev 18:2
Fallen, fallen is Babylon the great ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v, \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \nu B \alpha \beta v \lambda \omega v \eta \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta$ ). The very words of 14:8: "Did fall, did fall Babylon the great." Prophetic aorists of $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$ repeated like a solemn dirge of the damned.

Is become ( $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau o)$. Prophetic aorist middle.
A habitation of devils (катоькŋтпрıоv). Late word (from катоькع $\omega$, to dwell), in N.T. only here and Eph 2:22. Devils should be demons, of course. So Isaiah prophesied of Babylon (Isa 12:21f. ) and also Jeremiah (Jer 50:39 ) and Zephaniah of Nineveh (Zep 2:14 ). Both Babylon and Nineveh are ruins.

A hold of every unclean spirit ( $\varphi \nu \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta \pi \alpha \nu \tau о \varsigma \pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \tau о v)$. Фи入акך is garrison or watch-tower as in Hab 2:1, rather than a prison (20:7).

A hold of every unclean and hateful bird ( $\varphi$ и $\alpha \kappa \eta \pi \alpha \nu \tau о \varsigma ~ о \rho \nu \varepsilon о v ~ \alpha к \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \tau о v ~ к \alpha$ $\mu \varepsilon \mu \iota \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. Opveov is old word for bird, in N.T. only $\operatorname{Re} 18: 2 ; 19: 17,21$. "The evil spirits, watching over fallen Rome like night-birds or harpies that wait for their prey, build their eyries in the broken towers which rise from the ashes of the city" (Swete). Long ago true of Babylon and Nineveh, some day to be true of Rome.

Rev 18:3
By ( $\varepsilon \kappa$ ). "As a result of." Some MSS. omit "of the wine" ( $\tau$ ov oıvov). Cf. 14:10; 16:10.
Have fallen ( $\pi \varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa \alpha v$ ). Perfect active third personal of $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$ for usual $\pi \varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa \alpha \sigma$. Some MSS. read $\pi \varepsilon \pi \omega \kappa \alpha v$ (have drunk), from $\pi \iota v \omega$ like the metaphor in $14: 8,10 ; 16: 19 ; 17: 2$ . See 17:2 for the same charge about the kings of the earth.

The merchants of the earth (o $\varepsilon \mu \pi о \rho о \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. Old word for one on a journey for trade (from $\varepsilon v, \pi о \rho о \varsigma)$, like drummers, in N.T. only Mt 13:45; Re 18:3,11,15,23 . Like $\varepsilon \mu \pi \sigma \rho \iota \nu$ (Joh 2:16 ) and $\varepsilon \mu \pi о \rho \varepsilon v o \mu a$ (Jas 4:13 ).

Waxed rich ( $\varepsilon \pi \lambda o v \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First ingressive aorist active indicative of $\pi \lambda o v \tau \varepsilon \omega$, to be rich (cf. 3:17). Here alone in the N.T. do we catch a glimpse of the vast traffic between east and west that made Rome rich.

Of her wantonness ( $\tau 0 v \sigma \tau \rho \eta v o v \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Late word for arrogance, luxury, here alone in N.T. See $\sigma \tau \rho \eta \nu \iota \omega \omega$ in verses 7,9 , to live wantonly.

## Rev 18:4

Come forth, my people, out of her ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \theta a \tau \varepsilon$, o $\lambda \alpha o \varsigma \mu o v, \varepsilon \xi \alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Second aorist (urgency) active imperative (- $\alpha$ form) of $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \rho \chi о \mu \alpha$. Like Isa 48:20; 52:11; Jer 50:8; 51:6, (about Babylon). See also the call of Abram (Ge 12:1). the rescue of Lot (Ge 19:12ff. ). In the N.T. see Mr 13:4; 2Co 6:14; Eph 5:11; 1Ti 5:11. Ho $\lambda \alpha o \varsigma$ is vocative with the form of the nominative.

That ye have no fellowship with her sins (ıva $\mu \eta \sigma u v \kappa \circ \iota \omega \nu \eta \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha ı \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Purpose clause with $\imath v \alpha \mu \eta$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\sigma v v \kappa o \imath v \omega v \varepsilon \omega$, old compound ( $\sigma v \nu$, together, кoı $\nu \omega v o \varsigma$, partner), in N.T. only here, Php 4:14; Eph 5:11 . With associative instrumental case $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \alpha ı \varsigma$.

And that ye receive not of her plagues ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \omega \nu \alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma \tau \nu \alpha \mu \lambda \alpha \beta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Another purpose clause dependent on the preceding, with $ו v \alpha \mu \eta$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$, and with proleptic emphatic position of $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \omega \nu \alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma$ before ${ }_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{v} \alpha \mu \eta$.

Rev 18:5
Have reached ( $\varepsilon \kappa о \lambda \lambda \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive (deponent) indicative of ко $\lambda \lambda \alpha \omega$, old verb (from ко $\lambda \lambda \alpha$, gluten, glue), to cleave to, to join one another in a mass "up to heaven" (axp тov ov $\alpha$ 人vov). Cf. Jer 51:9; Zec 14:5.

Hath remembered ( $\varepsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu \circ v \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v)$. First aorist (prophetic) active indicative of $\mu \nu \eta \mu \mathrm{ov} \mathrm{\varepsilon v} \omega$, here with the accusative ( $\alpha \delta \iota \kappa \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, iniquities) instead of the genitive (Col 4:18).

Rev 18:6
Render as she rendered ( $\alpha \pi \sigma \delta o \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha \pi \varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist (effective) active imperative and first aorist (effective) active of $\alpha \pi o \delta \delta \delta \omega \mu$, old and common verb for requital, to give back, the lex talionis which is in the O.T. (Jer 50:15,29; 51:24,56; Ps $137: 8$ ), and in the N.T. also (Mt 7:2 ). Here the reference is to persecutions by Rome, particularly the martyrdom of the saints $(18: 24 ; 19: 2)$.

Double the double ( $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \delta_{\imath} \pi \lambda \alpha$ ). First aorist imperative of $\delta \iota \pi \lambda o \omega$, old verb (from $\delta \iota \pi \lambda o o \varsigma$, double, Mt 23:15 ), here only in N.T. $\Delta \iota \pi \lambda \alpha$ is simply the neuter plural accusative (cognate) contract form for $\delta \iota \pi \lambda o \alpha$ (not $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \omega$ ). Requite here in double measure, a full requital (Ex 22:4,7,9; Isa 40:2; Jer 16:18; 17:18; Zec 9:12 ). The double recompense was according to the Levitical law.

Which she mingled ( $\omega \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu \nu v \mu$. The relative $\omega$ is attracted to the locative case of its antecedent $\pi о \tau \eta \rho \iota \omega$ (cup), for which see $14: 8,10$; 17:4; 18:3.

Mingle unto her double ( $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \eta \delta \iota \pi \lambda o v v$ ). First aorist active imperative of the same verb кєраขvv , with the same idea of double punishment.

Rev 18:7
How much soever (oo $)$. Indefinite quantitative relative pronoun oooc in the accusative (cognate) neuter plural object of $\varepsilon \delta o \xi \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ (first aorist active indicative of $\delta o \xi \alpha \zeta \omega$ ).

Herself ( $\alpha \cup \tau \eta v$ ). Reflexive pronoun, accusative also with $\varepsilon \delta o \xi \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$.
Waxed wanton ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \eta \nu \iota \sigma \sigma \varepsilon$ ). First aorist (ingressive) active indicative of $\sigma \tau \rho \eta \nu \iota \alpha \omega$ (to live luxuriously), verb in late comedy instead of $\tau \rho \cup \varphi \alpha \omega$ (Jas 5:5), from $\sigma \tau \rho \eta v o \varsigma$ (Re 18:3 ), only here in N.T.

So much give her of torment and mourning ( $\tau о \sigma о v \tau о v ~ \delta о \tau \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \eta \beta \alpha \sigma \alpha v \iota \sigma \mu \nu \kappa \alpha$ $\pi \varepsilon v \theta o \varsigma)$. Second aorist active imperative of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, to give. The correlative pronoun tooov $\tau 0 v$ is masculine singular accusative, agreeing with $\beta \alpha \sigma \alpha v \iota \sigma \mu o v$, for which see 9:5; 14:11, and is understood with the neuter word $\pi \varepsilon v \theta$ oc (mourning), in N.T. only in Jas 4:9; Re 18:7ff.; 21:4 (kin to $\pi \alpha \theta$ oб, $\pi \varepsilon \vee о \mu \alpha$ ).

I sit a queen ( $\left.\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda_{\iota} \sigma \sigma \alpha\right)$. Predicate nominative for the old form $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ ( $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda ı \varsigma)$, as in Mt 12:42. Babylon and Tyre had preceded Rome in such boasting (Isa 47:7-9; Eze 27:3; 28:2; Zep 2:15 ).

And am no widow ( $\kappa \alpha \chi \eta \rho \alpha$ оик $\varepsilon \not \mu)$. Feminine of the adjective $\chi \eta \rho \circ \varsigma$ (barren), old word (Mr 12:40).

Shall in no wise see mourning ( $\pi \varepsilon \nu \theta \circ \varsigma$ ov $\mu \eta \iota \delta \omega$ ). Confident boast of security with emphatic position of $\pi \varepsilon v \theta \circ \varsigma$ (see above) and double negative ov $\mu \eta$ with the second aorist active subjunctive of opa $\omega$ (defective verb).

Rev 18:8
Therefore ( $\delta \iota \alpha$ tov $\tau$ ). Because of her presumption added to her crimes.
In one day ( $\varepsilon \nu \mu \iota \alpha \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ ). Symbolical term for suddenness like $\mu \iota \alpha \omega \rho \alpha$, in one hour (18:10,16,19). John has in mind still Isa 47:7-9 .

Shall come ( $\eta \xi$ ovoıv). Future active of $\eta \kappa \omega$. Her plagues are named (death, mourning, famine).

She shall be utterly burned (катакаvӨŋбєта). Future passive of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota \omega$ (perfective use of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ ).

With fire ( $\varepsilon v \pi v \rho$ ). "In fire," as in 17:16.
Which judged her (o крıvas $\alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu$ ). Articular first aorist active participle of $\kappa \rho \imath v \omega$ referring to кирıоя о $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma$ (the Lord God). The doom of Babylon is certain because of the power of God.

Rev 18:9
Shall weep ( $\kappa \lambda \alpha v \sigma o v \sigma \iota v)$. Future active of $\kappa \lambda \alpha \iota \omega$, middle $\kappa \lambda \alpha v \sigma o v \tau \alpha$ in Attic, as in Joh 16:20 .

And wail over her ( $\kappa \alpha$ кочоvта $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \alpha \cup \tau \eta v$ ). Future direct middle of колт $\omega$, old verb, to beat, to cut, middle to beat oneself ( $\operatorname{Re} 1: 7$ ). For combination with $\kappa \lambda \alpha \omega \omega$ as here see Lu


When they look upon (o $\tau \alpha \nu \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega \sigma เ v$ ). Indefinite temporal clause with o $\tau \alpha v$ and the present active subjunctive of $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$.

The smoke of her burning ( $\tau \circ v$ калvov $\tau \eta \varsigma \pi v \rho \omega \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma) . ~ П \nu \rho \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma ~ i s ~ a n ~ o l d ~ w o r d ~$ (from $\pi$ upow to burn), in N.T. only 1Pe 4:12; Re 18:9,18 . See verse 8 for other plagues on Rome, but fire seems to be the worst (17:16; 18:8,9,17; 19:3).

Rev 18:10
Standing afar off ( $\alpha \pi о \mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa о \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Perfect active (intransitive) participle of เซт $\eta \mu$. Vivid picture of the terrible scene, fascinated by the lurid blaze (cf. Nero's delight in the burning of Rome in A.D. 64), and yet afraid to draw near. On $\alpha \pi о \mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta \varepsilon v$ see Mr 5:6 . There is a weird charm in a burning city. They feared the same fate (cf. verse 7 for $\beta a \sigma \alpha v i \sigma \mu o v$, torment).

Woe, woe, the great city (ovaı, ovaı, $\eta \pi \sigma \lambda ı \varsigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta$ ). Only example in the Apocalypse of the nominative with ova except verses 16,19, though in Lu 6:25 and common in LXX (Isa 5:7,11, etc.). For the dative see Re 8:13 , once so "strong" ( $\eta$ เซ $\chi \cup \rho \alpha$ )!

In one hour ( $\mu \mathrm{\mu} \alpha \omega \rho \alpha$ ). Repeated in verses 16,19 , and like $\mu \alpha \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ (in one day) in verse 8. Some MSS. have here $\mu \alpha \nu \omega \rho a v$, like notav $\omega \rho \alpha v$ (accusative of extent of time) in 3:3. See verse 8 (о крıvac) for $\eta$ крıбıৎ бov (thy judgment). This is the dirge of the kings.

Rev 18:11
The merchants (o $\varepsilon \mu \pi \mathrm{o} \rho \mathrm{o}$ ). As in 18:3,15,23. The dirge of the merchants follows the wail of the kings.

Weep and mourn ( $\kappa \lambda \alpha \ldots o v \sigma \iota v \kappa \alpha \pi \varepsilon v \theta$ ov $\kappa \iota v$ ). Present active indicatives of $\kappa \lambda \alpha \omega \omega$ and $\pi \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \omega$ as in verses 9 (for $\kappa \lambda \alpha \omega \omega$ ), 15,19 .
 оикєт). Reason enough for their sorrow over Rome's fall. Го $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ с is old word (from $\gamma \varepsilon \mu \omega$ to be full) for a ship's cargo (Ac 21:3) and then any merchandise (Re 18:11f. ). Galen, Pliny, Aristides tell of the vastness of the commerce and luxury of Rome, the world's chief market. Many of the items here are like those in the picture of the destruction of Tyre in Eze 26; 27 . There are twenty-nine items singled out in verses 12,13 of this merchandise or cargo ( $\gamma \mathrm{\gamma} \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ), imports into the port of Rome. Only a few need any comment.

Rev 18:12
Of fine linen ( $\beta v \sigma \sigma \iota v o v$ ). Genitive case after $\gamma$ о $\mu о v$, as are all the items to коккıvov. Old adjective from $\beta$ טvoroc (linen, Lu 16:19), here a garment of linen, in N.T. only Re 18:12,16; 19:8,14.
 15:17,20; Lu 16:19.

Silk ( $\sigma \iota \iota к о v)$. So the uncials here. To $\sigma \eta \rho ı \kappa$ (the silken fabric) occurs in Plutarch, Strabo, Arrian, Lucian, only here in N.T. Probably from the name of the Indian or Chinese people (o $\Sigma \eta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$ ) from whom the fabric came after Alexander invaded India. Silk was a costly article among the Romans, and for women as a rule.

Scarlet (коккıvov). See 17:4; 18:16.
All thyine wood ( $\pi \alpha v \xi \cup \lambda o v \theta v i v o v)$. Now accusative again without $\gamma \circ \mu \circ v$ dependence. An odoriferous North African citrus tree, prized for the colouring of the wood for diningtables, like a peacock's tail or the stripes of a tiger or panther. Here only in N.T.

Of ivory ( $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varphi a v \tau i v o v$ ). Old adjective (from $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varphi a \varsigma$ elephant) agreeing with $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ (vessel), here only in N.T. Cf. Ahab's ivory palace (1Ki 22:39).

Of marble ( $\mu \alpha \rho \mu \alpha \rho o v)$. Old word (from $\mu \alpha \rho \mu \alpha \iota \rho \omega$, to glisten), genitive after $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ (vessel), here only in N.T.

Rev 18:13
Cinnamon ( $\kappa ı v \alpha \mu \omega \mu \circ v$ ). Old word transliterated into English, here only in N.T. Of Phoenician origin (Herodotus) as to name and possibly from South China.

Spice $(\alpha \mu \omega \mu \nu v)$. A fragrant plant of India, $\alpha \mu \circ \mu \nu \mu$, for perfume.
Incense ( $\theta v \mu \nu \alpha \mu \alpha \tau)$. See 5:8; 8:3.
Ointment ( $\mu v \rho \circ \nu$ ). See Mt 26:7.
Frankincense ( $\lambda$ ( $\beta \alpha v o v$ ). See 8:3.
Fine flour ( $\sigma \varepsilon \mu \iota \delta \lambda \lambda \iota v$ ). Old word for finest wheaten flour, here only in N.T.
Of horses ( $\iota \pi \pi \omega v$ ). Here then is a return to the construction of the genitive after $\gamma \rho \mu \circ v$ in verse 12, though not used here, an anomalous genitive construction (Charles).

Of chariots ( $\rho \varepsilon \delta \omega v$ ). A Gallic word for a vehicle with four wheels, here only in N.T.
Of slaves ( $\sigma o \mu \alpha \tau \omega v$ ). "Of bodies," treated as animals or implements, like the horses and the chariots (cf. rickshaw men in China). This use of $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ for slave occurs in Ge 34:29; Tob 10:11 ( $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \kappa \tau \eta \nu \eta$, slaves and cattle); II Macc. 8:11.

Souls of men ( $\psi \vee \chi \alpha \varsigma \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega v$ ). Deissmann (Bible Studies, p. 160) finds this use of $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ for slave in the Egyptian Delta. Return to the accusative $\psi v \chi \alpha \varsigma$. From Nu 31:35; 1Ch 5:21; Eze 27:13. This addition is an explanation of the use of $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ for slaves, "human live stock" (Swete), but slaves all the same. Perhaps кa here should be rendered "even," not "and": "bodies even souls of men." The slave merchant was called $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \mu \pi о \rho о \varsigma$ (body merchant).

Rev 18:14
The fruits ( $\eta$ o $\pi \omega \rho \alpha$ ). The ripe autumn fruit (Jer 40:10,12 ). Here only in N.T. Of uncertain etymology (possibly опоৎ, sap, $\omega \rho \alpha$, hour, time for juicy sap). See Jude 1:12 for $\delta \varepsilon v \delta \rho \alpha \varphi \theta$ เvo $\quad \omega \rho$ ıvoc (autumn trees).

Which thy soul lusteth after ( $\sigma 0 \cup \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \pi \nexists v \mu \not a \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \psi v \chi \eta \varsigma)$. "Of the lusting of thy soul."

Are gone from thee ( $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v \alpha \pi \sigma \sigma o v)$. Prophetic aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ with repetition of $\alpha \pi 0$.

All things that were dainty and sumptuous ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda ı \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \alpha)$. "All the dainty and the gorgeous things." $\Lambda ı \pi \alpha \rho о \varsigma$ is from $\lambda ı \pi \circ \varsigma$ (grease) and so fat, about food (here only in N.T.), while $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho o s$ is bright and shining (Jas 2:2f. ), about clothing.

Are perished from thee ( $\alpha \pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon \tau 0 \alpha \pi \sigma$ $\sigma o v$ ). Prophetic second aorist middle indicative of $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$ (intransitive).

Shall find them no more at all (оикєт ov $\mu \eta$ $\alpha \cup \tau \alpha \varepsilon \cup \rho \eta \sigma o v \sigma \iota v$ ). Doubled double negative with future active, as emphatic a negation as the Greek can make.

Rev 18:15
Of these things ( $\tau 0 \cup \tau \omega v$ ). Listed above in verses 12-14.
Who were made rich by her (o $\pi \lambda$ ovt $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \pi$ ' $\alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma$ ). "Those who grew rich (ingressive aorist active participle of $\pi \lambda$ out $\varepsilon \omega$, for which see verses 3,13 ) from her."

Shall stand afar off ( $\alpha \pi 0 \mu \alpha \kappa \rho \circ \theta \varepsilon v \sigma \tau \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha$ ). Future middle of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$. Repeating the picture in verse 10 . Again in verse 17 . See verse 11 for the two participles $\kappa \lambda \alpha \ldots v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \kappa \alpha$ $\pi \varepsilon v$ Өouvteऽ.

Rev 18:16
For the Woe see verses 10,19 . For the next clause see $17: 4$ with the addition here of $\beta$ voбıvov (18:12).

For in one hour so great riches is made desolate (oт $\mu \alpha \omega \rho \alpha \eta \rho \eta \mu \omega \theta \eta$ о тобоvтоऽ $\pi \lambda$ оитоৎ). The reason (от) for the "woe." First aorist passive indicative of $\varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \circ \omega$, for which verb see 17:16; 18:19. This is the dirge of the merchants.

Rev 18:17
Shipmaster ( $\kappa \nu \beta \varepsilon \rho \vee \eta \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Old word (from $\kappa \nu \beta \varepsilon \rho v \alpha \omega$, to steer), helmsman, sailing-
 er).

That saileth any whither (o $\varepsilon \pi$ то $\pi \frac{}{} \quad \pi \lambda \varepsilon \omega v$ ). "The one sailing to a place." See Ac 27:2
 (sea) here for толоv (place), but it makes sense as it is.

Mariners (vavid). Old word (from vavc, ship), in N.T. only here and Ac 27:27,30 .
Gain their living by the sea ( $\tau \eta v \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \zeta о \nu \tau \alpha)$. "Work the sea." This idiom is as old as Hesiod for sailors, fishermen, etc. See verses 10,15 .

Rev 18:18
As they looked ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \sigma v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega$. See o o $\alpha v \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega \sigma \tau v$ in verse 10 .

What city is like the great city? ( $\tau \iota \varsigma$ о $\mu о \iota \alpha \tau \eta \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \tau \eta \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \iota$ ). No $\pi о \lambda ı \varsigma$ with $\tau \iota \varsigma$, but implied. Associative instrumental case, as usual, with ouota. "The eternal city" is eternal no longer.

Rev 18:19
They cast dust ( $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda o v \chi o v v$ ). Second aorist active of $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$. Xov is old word (from $\chi \varepsilon \omega$ to pour) for heap of earth, dust, in N.T. only here and Mr 6:11. Cf. Eze 27:30; Lu 10:13 . This is the dirge of the sea-folk (cf. verses 10,16 ).

By reason of her costliness ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \tau \iota \mu \circ \tau \eta \tau \circ \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Occasionally in later literary Greek, though here only in N.T. and not in LXX. The same use of $\tau \iota \mu \eta$ appears in 1Pe 2:7. Common in the papyri as a title like "Your Honor" (Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary).

Rev 18:20
Rejoice over her (Evppaıvov $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \eta$ ). Present middle imperative of $\varepsilon v \varphi \rho \alpha \iota v \omega$, for which verb see 11:10, used there of the joy of the wicked over the death of the two witnesses, just the opposite picture to this. "The song of doom" (Charles) here seems to be voiced by John himself.

God hath judged your judgment ( $\varepsilon \kappa \rho \iota v \varepsilon v$ о $\theta \varepsilon \circ \varsigma$ то к $\rho \not \mu \alpha$ ). First aorist (prophetic) active of $\kappa \rho \iota \nu \omega$ and cognate accusative $\kappa \rho \iota \mu \alpha$, here a case for trial (Ex 18:22; 1Co 6:7 ), not a sentence as in 17:1. God has approved the case of heaven.

Rev 18:21
A strong angel ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \propto \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda о \varsigma \iota \sigma \cup \rho \circ \varsigma)$. Here $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma=a$, just an indefinite article, not "one" as a numeral.

Took up ( $\eta \rho \varepsilon \nu$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\alpha \iota \rho \omega$.
As it were a great millstone ( $\omega \varsigma \mu \nu \lambda \iota \nu o v \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha v$ ). Late adjective, in inscriptions, here only in N.T., made of millstone ( $\mu \nu \lambda \circ \varsigma$, Mt 18:6; Re 18:22 ), while $\mu \nu \lambda \iota \kappa \varsigma$ (Lu 17:2 ) means belonging to a mill. This is not a small millstone turned by women (Mt 24:41), but one requiring an ass to turn it ( $\mathrm{Mr} 9: 42$ ), and so "a great" one.

Cast $(\varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \nu)$. Second aorist active of $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to hurl.
With a mighty fall (o $\rho \mu \eta \mu \alpha \tau$ ). Instrumental case (manner) of o $\rho \mu \eta \mu \alpha$, a rush, old word from o $\rho \mu \alpha \omega$, to rush (Mt 8:32 ), here only in N.T.

Shall be cast down ( $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Future (first) passive of $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, the same verb ( $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v$ ), effective punctiliar future. Like a boulder hurled into the sea.

Shall be found no more at all (ov $\mu \eta \varepsilon v \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta \varepsilon \tau$ ). Double negative with first aorist passive subjunctive of $\varepsilon v \rho ı \sigma \kappa \omega$. See 9:6 for ov $\mu \eta$ with the active voice of $\varepsilon v \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$. Already the old Babylon was a desert waste (Strabo, XVI. 1073).

Rev 18:22
The voice $(\varphi \omega \nu \eta)$. Cf. Eze 26:13. Or "sound" as in 1Co 14:8 with $\sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \xi$ (trumpet). For this song of judgment see Jer 25:10.

Of harpers ( $\kappa \iota \theta \alpha \rho \omega \iota \omega \nu$ ). Old word (from $\kappa \iota \theta \alpha \rho \alpha$, harp, and $\omega \iota \delta o \varsigma$, singer) as in 14:2.
Of minstrels ( $\mu \circ v \sigma \iota \kappa \omega \nu$ ). Old word (from $\mu \circ v \sigma \alpha$, music), here only in N.T., one playing on musical instruments.

Of flute-players ( $\alpha u \lambda \eta \tau \omega v$ ). Old word (from $\alpha u \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, to play on a flute, Mt 11:17, $\alpha u \lambda o \varsigma$, flute, 1Co 14:7), in N.T. only here and Mt 9:23.

Of trumpeters ( $\sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \tau \omega v$ ). Late form for the earlier $\sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma($ from $\sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \omega)$, here only in N.T.

Shall be heard no more at all ( $\circ \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \alpha \sigma v \sigma \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive subjunctive of $\alpha \kappa о v \omega$ with the double negative as below, with $\varphi \omega \nu \eta \mu \nu \lambda o v$ (sound of the millstone), and as in verse 21 with ou $\mu \varepsilon \varepsilon \cup \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta$ and again with $\pi \alpha \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \chi \nu \iota \tau \eta \varsigma$ (craftsman). This old word is from $\tau \varepsilon \chi \nu \eta$, art, as here in some MSS. ("of whatsoever craft," $\pi \alpha \sigma \eta \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \chi \nu \eta \varsigma)$ ) Tع $\chi \nu \iota \tau \eta \varsigma$ occurs also in this sense in Ac 19:24,38; and in Heb 11:10 of God as the Architect. There is power in this four-fold sonorous repetition of ov $\mu \eta$ and the subjunctive with two more examples in verse 23.

Rev 18:23
Of a lamp ( $\lambda u \chi v o v$ ). Old word (Mt 5:15 ), again in Re 22:5 .
Shall shine no more at all ( $o v \mu \eta \varphi \alpha v \eta$ ). Fifth instance in these verses of ov $\mu \eta$ with the aorist subjunctive, here the active of $\varphi a / v \omega$ as in Re 8:12. It is not known whether Rome had street lights or not.
 3:29; Jer 7:34; 16:9. "Even the occasional flash of the torches carried by bridal processions (Mt 25:1ff. ) is seen no more" (Swete). The sixth instance of ov $\mu \eta$, in verses 21-23, occurs with $\alpha \kappa 0 v \sigma \theta \eta$ (third instance of $\alpha \kappa о v \sigma \theta \eta$, two in verse 22).

Were the princes of the earth ( $\eta \sigma \alpha v$ o $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \alpha v \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta$ ). For $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \alpha v$ see Re 6:15; Mr 6:21. "Thy merchants were the grandees" once, but now these merchant princes are gone.

With thy sorcery ( $\varepsilon v \tau \eta \varphi а \rho \mu \propto \kappa \kappa ~ \sigma o v$ ). Ev (instrumental use) and the locative case of чариакıа, old word (from чарнаквvш, to prepare drugs, from чар $\mu \alpha к о v$, sorcery, Re 9:21 ), in N.T. only here and Ga 5:20 for sorcery and magical arts. If one is puzzled over the connection between medicine and sorcery as illustrated by this word (our pharmacy), he has only to recall quackery today in medicine (patent medicines and cure-alls), witch-doctors, professional faith-healers, medicine-men in Africa. True medical science has had a hard fight to shake off chicanery and charlatanry.

Were deceived ( $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \alpha v \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\pi \lambda \alpha v \alpha \omega$. These charlatans always find plenty of victims. See Mr 12:24.

Rev 18:24
In her ( $\varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \eta$ ). In Rome.
Was found ( $\varepsilon \cup \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\varepsilon u \rho ı \sigma \kappa \omega$. See 16:6; 17:6 for the blood already shed by Rome. Rome "butchered to make a Roman holiday" (Dill, Roman Society, p. 242) not merely gladiators, but prophets and saints from Nero's massacre A.D. 64 to Domitian and beyond.

Of all that have been slain ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega v \varepsilon \sigma \varphi \alpha \gamma \mu \varepsilon v \omega v$ ). Perfect passive articular participle genitive plural of $\sigma \varphi \alpha \zeta \omega$, the verb used of the Lamb slain (5:9,12; 13:8). Cf. Mt 23:35 about Jerusalem.

## Revelation 19

Rev 19:1
After these things ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha)$. Often when a turn comes in this book. But Beckwith is probably correct in seeing in 19:1-5 the climax of chapter Re 18 . This first voice (verses $1,2) \omega \varsigma \varphi \omega v \eta \nu \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \nu$ ou $\chi \lambda$ ov $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o v$ (as it were great voice of much multitude) is probably the response of the angelic host ( $\operatorname{Re} 5: 11 ;$ Heb 12:22 ). There is responsive singing (grand chorus) as in chapters Re 4; 5 .

Saying ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \sigma \tau \tau \omega v$ ). Present active participle of $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, genitive plural, though $o \chi \lambda o v$ is genitive singular (collective substantive, agreement in sense).

Hallelujah (A $\lambda \lambda_{\eta} \lambda_{\text {ovia }}$ ). Transliteration of the Hebrew seen often in the Psalms (LXX) and in III. Macc. 7:13, in N.T. only in Re 19:1,3,4,6 . It means, "Praise ye the Lord." Fifteen of the Psalms begin or end with this word. The Great Hallel (a title for Ps 104-109) is sung chiefly at the feasts of the passover and tabernacles. This psalm of praise uses language already in 12:10.

Rev 19:2
For (or). Because. The reason for God's judgments is given in 15:3; 16:7. The doom of Babylon seen in 14:7 is now realized.

For (o $\tau$ ). Second use of $o \tau$, explaining the first.
He hath judged ( $\varepsilon \kappa \rho เ v \varepsilon v)$ ). First aorist (prophetic and climacteric, effective) active indicative of $\kappa \rho / v \omega$.

Which ( $\eta \tau \iota \varsigma)$. The very one which.
Did corrupt ( $\varepsilon \varphi \theta \varepsilon \iota \rho \varepsilon v$ ). This is the terrible fact. First aorist active indicative of $\varphi \theta \varepsilon \iota \rho \omega$. Cf. 11:18; 14:8; 17:2; 18:3.

And he hath avenged ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \iota \kappa \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). God has exacted vengeance for the blood of his servants from $(\varepsilon \kappa)$ her. Prophetic aorist again of $\varepsilon \kappa \delta \iota \kappa \varepsilon \omega$ with accusative and $\varepsilon \kappa$ with ablative as in 6:10.

Rev 19:3
A second time ( $\delta \varepsilon u \tau \varepsilon \rho o v)$. Adverbial accusative, a heavenly encore.
They say ( $\varepsilon \iota ๆ \kappa \alpha v)$. Perfect active indicative of $\varepsilon$ єпоv. "They have said," not an "aoristic" perfect for "they say," but vivid dramatic perfect as in 5:7 and the form in - $\alpha v$ instead of aбıv as in 18:3; 21:6.

Goeth up ( $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha ı v \varepsilon$ ). Linear present active indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha \iota v \omega$, "keeps on going up," "a last touch to the description already given (18:21ff. ) of Babylon's utter collapse" (Swete). The smoke of the city's ruin (14:11; 18:8f.,18) instead of incense ( 8:4). Cf. Isa 34:9f

Rev 19:4

Fell down and worshipped God ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \nu \kappa \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \kappa \nu \nu \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$ ). Precisely as in 7:11, which see. The twenty-four elders and the four living creatures take up the antiphonal chorus of the angels.

Rev 19:5
A voice from the throne ( $\varphi \omega \nu \eta$ a $\omega$ o $\alpha 0 v \theta \rho o v o v$ ). Not the voice of God, nor of the Lamb, nor $\varepsilon \kappa$ тov vaov ( 16:17), but from an angel of the Presence. This angel summons all the servants of God to join in the antiphonal praise to God.

Give praise to our God ( $\alpha เ v \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega \eta \mu \omega \nu$ ). Present active imperative of $\alpha เ v \varepsilon \omega$, old verb, with the accusative elsewhere in N.T., but here with the dative as occasionally in the LXX (1Ch 16:36, etc.).

Rev 19:6
As it were the voice $(\omega \varsigma \varphi \omega \nu \eta v)$. Used here three times, as once in verse 1 : once of a second great multitude ( $o \chi \lambda o v \pi o \lambda \lambda o v$ ), not of angels as in verse 1 , but the innumerable multitude of the redeemed of 7:9; then "of many waters" (v $\delta \alpha \tau \omega \nu \pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ) as in 1:15; 14:2 like "the roar of a cataract" (Swete); and once more "the voice of mighty thunders" ( $\beta \rho 0 \nu \tau \omega \nu$ เ $\sigma \chi \cup \rho \omega v$ ) as in 6:1; 10:3f. .

Saying ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma 0 v \tau \omega v$ ). The best attested reading, genitive plural of $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega$, agreeing with o $\chi \lambda \mathrm{ov}$ (genitive singular), for roll of the waters and the roar of the thunders were not articulate. Some MSS. have $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma 0 v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ (nominative plural) referring also to o $\chi \lambda o v$, though nominative instead of genitive. The fourth "Hallelujah" comes from this vast multitude.

The Lord our God, the Almighty (Кvрıоб, о $\theta \varepsilon о \sigma$, о таvтократ $\omega \rho$ ). For this designation of God see also $1: 8 ; 4: 8 ; 11: 17 ; 15: 3 ; 16: 7,14 ; 19: 15 ; 21: 22$. Cf. deus et dominus noster used of the Roman emperor.

Reigneth ( $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v)$. First aorist active of $\beta \alpha \sigma \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v \omega$. Probably ingressive prophetic aorist, "God became king" in fulness of power on earth with the fall of the world power.

Rev 19:7
Let us rejoice and be exceeding glad ( $\chi \alpha \iota \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda เ \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ). Present active subjunctive (volitive) of $\chi \alpha \iota \rho \omega$ and $\alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \alpha \omega$ (elsewhere in N.T. in the middle except Lu 1:47; 1Pe 1:8 ). For both verbs together see Mt 5:12 .

Let us give ( $\delta \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ). Second aorist active subjunctive of $\delta \delta \omega \mu$, but A reads $\delta \omega \sigma o \mu \varepsilon v$ (future active) and $\mathrm{P} \delta \omega \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$. If the future indicative is read, the tone is changed from exhortation to declaration (we shall give glory unto him).

The marriage of the Lamb ( $о \gamma \alpha \mu \circ \varsigma \tau o v ~ a \rho v o v)$. In the O.T. God is the Bridegroom of Israel (Hos 2:16; Isa 54:6; Eze 16:7ff. ). In the N.T. Christ is the Bridegroom of the Kingdom (the universal spiritual church as seen by Paul, 2Co 11:2; Eph 5:25ff., and by John in Re 3:20; 19:7,9; 21:2,9; 22:17. In the Gospels Christ appears as the Bridegroom (Mr 2:19f.; Mt 9:15; Lu 5:34f.; Joh 3:29 ). The figure of $\gamma \alpha \mu \mathrm{o}$, occurs in Mt 22:2-14. Three metaphors of women appear in the Apocalypse (the Mother in chapter Re 12, the Harlot in Re 17-19,
and the Bride of Christ here to the end). "The first and third present the Church under two different aspects of her life, while the second answers to her great rival and enemy" (Swete).

Is come ( $\eta \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Prophetic aorist, come at last.
Made herself ready ( $\eta \tau о \mu \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha \nu \tau \eta v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\varepsilon \tau о \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$ and the reflexive pronoun. See 22:2 for $\eta \tau o \mu \mu \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \nu \omega \varsigma \nu \nu \mu \varphi \eta \nu$ (prepared as a bride). There is something for her to do ( 1 Jo 3:3; Jude 1:21; 2Co 7:1 ), but the chief preparation is the act of Christ (Eph 5:25ff. ).

## Rev 19:8

That she should array herself ( $\imath v \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho ı \beta \alpha \lambda \eta \tau \alpha$ ). Sub-final object clause subject of $\varepsilon \delta o \theta \eta$ (was given to her) with $\tau \alpha$ and the second aorist middle (direct) of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \omega$ to fling around. This bridal dress is a gift from Christ. This form, $\varepsilon \delta 0 \theta \eta$ (it was given), occurs some 20 times in this book.

In fine linen, bright and pure ( $\beta v \sigma \sigma ı v o v \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho o v ~ к а \Theta \alpha \rho o v)$. See 19:14 for the same raiment on those accompanying "The Word of God" and for the seven angels in 15:6. See by contrast the garments of the harlot ( $17: 4 ; 18: 16$ ). For $\beta v \sigma \sigma i v o v$ see 18:16.

The righteous acts of the saints ( $\tau \alpha \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \mu \tau \alpha \alpha \omega \nu \alpha \gamma \iota \omega v$ ). This is the explanation ( $\gamma \propto \rho$ ) of the bridal dress and explains why there is work for the Bride as well as for Christ (Php 2:12f. ). See 15:4 for סıкаı $\omega \mu$ (also Ro 5:18 ).

Rev 19:9
Write ( $\Gamma \rho \alpha \neq v$ ). First aorist active imperative of $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$ as in 1:11; 14:13. The speaker may be the angel guide of 17:1.

It is another beatitude ( $\mu$ aкарьo, Blessed) like that in 14:13 (fourth of the seven in the book).

They which are bidden ( $о \kappa \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Articular perfect passive participle of $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, like Mt 22:3; Lu 14:17. Cf. Re 17:14 . This beatitude reminds us of that in Lu 14:15 . (Cf. Mt 8:11; 26:29.)

These are true words of God (Hovto o $\lambda o \gamma o a \lambda \eta \theta \iota v o$ tov $\theta$ sov $\varepsilon ા \sigma เ v)$. Undoubtedly, but one should bear in mind that apocalyptic symbolism "has its own methods and laws of interpretation, and by these the student must be guided" (Swete).

Rev 19:10
To worship him ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \cup v \eta \sigma \alpha \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). First aorist active infinitive of purpose. John either felt that the angel represented God or he was beside himself with excitement over the glorious consummation. He was tempted to worship an angel ( $\mathrm{Col} 2: 18$ ).

See thou do it not (opa $\mu \eta$ ). Repeated in 22:9. Here there is no verb after $\mu \eta$ (ellipse of
 $\mu \eta$ after op $\alpha$ (present active imperative of opa $\omega$ ), a common enough idiom.

Fellow-servant ( $\sigma v v \delta o u \lambda o c)$. The angel refuses worship from John on this ground. All Christians are $\sigma u v \delta o u \lambda o$ (fellow-servants) as Christ taught (Mt 18:28ff.; 24:49) and as Paul
(Col 1:7; 4:7) and John (Re 6:11) taught. Angels are God's servants also (Heb 1:4-14). For "the testimony of Jesus see 1:2,9; 6:9; 12:17; 22:4 .

Worship God ( $\tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa v \nu \eta \sigma o v)$. And Christ, who is the Son of God (5:13f. ).
The spirit of prophecy ( $\tau 0 \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha \varsigma)$. Explanatory use of $\gamma \alpha \rho$ (for) here as in 8 . The possession of the prophetic spirit shows itself in witness to Jesus. In illustration see Mr 1:10; Mt 3:16; Lu 3:21; Joh 1:51; Re 4:1; 10:1; 11:19; 14:17; 15:5; 18:1; 19:1,7-9 .

Rev 19:11
The heaven opened ( $\tau 0 v$ ovpavov $\eta v \varepsilon \omega \iota \gamma \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Perfect passive participle (triple reduplication) of $\alpha v o \iota \gamma \omega$. Accusative case after $\varepsilon เ \delta o v$. So Ezekiel ( $1: 1$ ) begins his prophecy. See
 the opened heavens to Nathanael (Joh 1:51 ). In Re 4:1 a door is opened in heaven, the sanctuary is opened ( $11: 19 ; 15: 5$ ), angels come out of heaven $(10: 1 ; 14: 17 ; 18: 1)$, and sounds come from heaven (19:1).
 Cf. 6:2 for $\imath \pi \pi о \varsigma ~ \lambda \varepsilon \cup \kappa о \varsigma . ~ T h e ~ e m b l e m ~ o f ~ v i c t o r y ~ i n ~ b o t h ~ c a s e s, ~ b u t ~ t h e ~ r i d e r s ~ a r e ~ v e r y ~ d i f f e r e n t . ~$ Here it is the Messiah who is the Warrior, as is made plain by "Faithful and True" ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \varsigma$ $\kappa \alpha \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \iota v o \varsigma)$, epithets already applied to Christ (1:5; 3:7,14). Cf. also 22:6.

In righteousness he doth judge and make war ( $\varepsilon \nu \delta ı \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma \nu \nu \eta \kappa \rho \iota v \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon$ ). See Isa 11:3f. . The Messiah is both Judge and Warrior, but he does both in righteousness (15:3; 16:5,7; 19:2 ). He passes judgment on the beast (antichrist) and makes war on him. Satan had offered Christ a victory of compromise which was rejected.

Rev 19:12
A flame of fire ( $\left.\varphi \lambda \lambda_{0} \xi \pi \cup \rho \circ \varsigma\right)$. As in the opening vision of Christ in 1:14 (2:18).
Many diadems ( $\delta \iota \alpha \delta \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ). A new feature, but the dragon has a diadem on each of his seven heads ( $12: 3$ ) and the first beast one upon each of his ten horns ( $13: 1$ ). So the victorious Messiah will wear many royal diadems and not mere crowns, because he is King of kings ( 19:16).

And he hath ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \chi \omega v$ ). Nominative active present participle of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ either used absolutely as an independent verb (like indicative) or in an anacoluthon, though avtov (his) is genitive.

A name written ( $\quad \nu \gamma \mu \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$ as in 2:17 (cf. 3:12).

But he himself $(\varepsilon \mu \eta \alpha \cup \tau o \varsigma)$. "Except himself" (common ellipsis of the verb after $\varepsilon \mu \eta$, "if not"). See $2: 17 ; 3: 12$ for the new name there described. See $14: 1$ for the name of Christ on the forehead of the 144,000 , and 17:5 for the name on the forehead of the harlot. This word here supplements what Jesus says in Mt 11:27.

Rev 19:13

Arrayed ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$. Perfect passive participle of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$, to clothe, often in this book.

In a garment ( $\mu \alpha \tau \tau \sigma v$ ). Accusative case after the passive participle $\pi \varepsilon \rho 1 \beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon v o c$.
Sprinkled ( $\rho \varepsilon \rho \alpha v \tau \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\rho a v \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, in the predicate accusative case agreeing with $\mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma$. A Q here read $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon v o v$ (perfect passive participle of $\beta a \pi \tau \omega$, to dip). Probably $\rho \varepsilon \rho a v \tau \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o v$ (sprinkled) is correct, because the picture comes from Isa 63:3, where Aquila and Symmachus use $\rho a v \tau \iota \zeta \omega$. The use of $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon v o v$ (dipped) is a bolder figure and Charles considers it correct. In either case it is the blood of Christ's enemies with which his raiment ( $\mu \alpha \tau \iota \circ v$, perhaps a $\chi \lambda \alpha \mu \nu \varsigma$ Mt 27:28,31) is sprinkled or dipped as the case may be, not his own blood on Calvary ( $1: 5 ; 5: 9 ; 7: 14 ; 12: 11$ ), but proleptically and prophetically the blood of Christ's enemies. Hauat can be either locative case with $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon v o v$ (dipped in blood) or instrumental with $\rho \varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu \tau \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon v o v$ (sprinkled with blood).

The Word of God (o $\Lambda$ oүos tov $\theta$ rov). Some scholars hold this addition inconsistent with verse 12 , but it may be merely the explanation of the secret name or still another name besides that known only to himself. The personal use of the Logos applied to Christ occurs only in the Johannine writings unless that is the idea in Heb 4:12. In Joh 1:1,14 it is merely o $\Lambda \circ \gamma \circ \varsigma$ (the Word), in 1Jo 1:1 o $\Lambda о \gamma \circ \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma$ (the Word of Life), while here it is o $\Lambda \circ \gamma \circ \varsigma$ rov $\theta \varepsilon$ ou (the Word of God), one of the strongest arguments for identity of authorship. The idiom here is one common in Luke and Paul for the teaching of Christ ( $\operatorname{Lu} 5: 1 ; 8: 11$, etc.; 1Co 14:36; 2Co 2:17, etc.). Jesus is himself the final and perfect revelation of God to men (Heb 1:1f.).

Rev 19:14
The armies which are in heaven ( $\tau \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega$ ov $\alpha \alpha v \omega$ ). See 12:7 for Michael and angels warring with the dragon, and also Mt 26:53 for the angels at Christ's call, not to say Heb 1:6f.,14; Mt 13:41; Re 5:11f.

Followed ( $\eta \kappa \circ \lambda o v \theta \varepsilon$ ). Imperfect active and singular ( $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, neuter plural) of $\alpha \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \varepsilon \omega$, graphic picture of the celestial Warrior with his angelic hosts "upon white horses" ( $\varepsilon \varphi^{\prime}$ וлтоья $\left.\lambda \varepsilon \cup к о \iota \varsigma\right)$ like the Leader and, like him "clothed in fine linen white and pure" ( $\varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \delta \nu \mu \varepsilon v o ~ \beta \nu \sigma \sigma ı v o v ~ \lambda \varepsilon u \kappa o v ~ к а Ө \alpha \rho о v)$ like the Leader again ( 19:8). Note $\varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \delta \nu \mu \varepsilon v o$ here as in 1:13; 15:6.

Rev 19:15
A sharp sword ( $\rho о \mu \varphi \alpha ı \alpha$ o $\xi_{\varepsilon ı \alpha) . ~ A s ~ i n ~ 1: 16 ; ~ 2: 12,15 ~ . ~}^{\text {. }}$
That he should smite ( $\mathrm{tva} \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \xi \eta$ ). Purpose clause with $i v a$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, old verb already in 11:6 and like Isa 11:4, a figure here for forensic and judicial condemnation.

And he shall rule them (ка аuтоц тощаvв). Emphatic use of autoc twice (he himself). Future active of $\pi о \mu \alpha \iota v \omega$, to shepherd as in 2:27; $12: 5$ "with a rod of iron" ( $\varepsilon v \rho \alpha \beta \delta \omega \sigma \delta \delta \eta \rho \alpha)$ as there. See 1Pe 2:25; Heb 13:20 for Christ as Shepherd.

And he treadeth ( $\kappa \alpha \alpha v \tau о \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon) . ~ C h a n g e ~ t o ~ p r e s e n t ~ t e n s e ~ o f ~ \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega, ~ t o ~ t r e a d ~(h e r e ~$ transitive), with solemn repetition of ka autoc.

The winepress of the fierceness of the wrath of Almighty God ( $\tau \eta \nu \lambda \eta \nu 0 \nu \tau 0 v$ oovov
 of the wrath of the anger of God the Almighty" (four genitives dependent on one another and on $\lambda \eta v o v$ ). These images are here combined from 14:8,10,19f.; 16:19. The fact is already in 19:13 after Isa 63:1f. .

Rev 19:16
And on his thigh ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \pi$ tov $\mu \eta \rho o v$ autov). "Even upon his thigh." Old word, here alone in N.T.

King of kings, and Lord of lords (Baбi入vuc $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon \omega v$ ка Kupıo̧ кupı $\omega v$ ). The title already given to the Lamb in 17:14, but in reverse order. See the same idea in 1Ti 6:15.

Rev 19:17
An angel ( $\varepsilon v \alpha a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o v$ ). Like $\varepsilon ા \varsigma$ in 18:21, just "an," not "one."
Standing in the sun ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \tau \alpha \varepsilon \nu \tau \omega \eta \lambda \iota \omega$ ). Second perfect active participle of $\iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ (intransitive). "Where all the birds of prey would behold him" (Beckwith). For opveotc (birds) see 18:2 and for $\varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \sigma o v \rho \alpha v \eta \mu \alpha \tau$ (in mid heaven) see 18:13; 14:6 .

Come and be gathered together ( $\Delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \sigma v v a \chi \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). $\Delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon$ is the adverb $\delta \varepsilon v \rho \omega$ (hither), used when two or more are addressed, possibly from $\delta \varepsilon u \rho o ~ \imath \tau \varepsilon$ (come here). Asyndeton also without ка (and). First aorist passive imperative of $\sigma v v \alpha \gamma \omega$. The metaphor is drawn from Eze 39:17.

Unto the great supper of God ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~$ to $\delta \varepsilon ı \pi \nu o v$ to $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha$ тov $\theta \varepsilon o v$ ). The habits of vultures are described by Christ in Mt 24:28. This is a bold and powerful picture of the battlefield after the victory of the Messiah, "a sacrificial feast spread on God's table for all the vultures of the sky" (Swete). Is this battle the same as that of Har Magedon ( 16:16) and that of Gog and Magog ( $20: 8 \mathrm{ff}$. ) mentioned after the thousand years? The language in 20:8ff. seems like this derived from Eze 39:17ff., and "in the Apocalypse priority in the order of sequence does not always imply priority in time" (Swete). There seems no way to decide this point save that the end seems to be at hand.

Rev 19:18
That ye may eat ( $\imath v \alpha \varphi \alpha \gamma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ). Purpose clause with $t v \alpha$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega \omega$.

The flesh of kings ( $\sigma \alpha \rho к а \varsigma ~ \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \omega v)$ ). "Pieces of flesh" (plural of $\sigma \alpha \rho \xi$, flesh) and of all classes and conditions of men who fell in the battle ( $6: 18 ; 11: 13 ; 13: 16 ; 19: 5 ; 20: 12$ ). War is no respecter of persons.

Rev 19:19
Gathered together ( $\sigma v v \eta \gamma \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\sigma u v a \gamma \omega$. In battle array.

To make war against ( $\pi \circ \imath \eta \sigma \alpha \pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon \mu \circ \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). First aorist active infinitive of $\pi \circ \iota \varepsilon \omega$, to express purpose. See $\pi \sigma \lambda \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \omega \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ in 12:7 and the use of $\sigma v v \alpha \gamma \omega \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \pi \sigma \lambda \varepsilon \mu \circ v$ in 16:14; 20:8 . The beast (for his army see 16:13f. ) led a league of ten kings against Babylon in 17:16f. , but with the purpose also of fighting the Lamb (17:14).

Rev 19:20
Was taken $(\varepsilon \pi \iota \alpha \sigma \theta \eta)$. First aorist (prophetic) passive indicative of the Doric $\pi \iota \alpha \zeta \omega$ (Attic $\pi \iota \zeta \zeta \omega)$. Cf. 2Th 2:8 .

The false prophet ( $о \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \cup \delta о \pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \eta \varsigma$ ). Possibly the second beast of 13:11-17; 16:13; 20:10. Charles takes him to be "the priesthood of the Imperial cult, which practised all kinds of magic and imposture to beguile men to worship the Beast."

That wrought the signs in his sight (о лоє $\sigma \alpha \varsigma \tau \alpha \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varepsilon \nu \omega \pi \iota \circ \sim \alpha v \tau \circ v)$. As in 13:14.
Wherewith ( $\varepsilon v$ oıৎ). "In which" signs.
He deceived $(\varepsilon \pi \lambda \alpha v \eta \sigma \varepsilon v)$. First aorist active indicative of $\pi \lambda \alpha v a \omega$. He was only able to deceive "them that had received" ( $\tau 0 \cup \varsigma \lambda \alpha \beta$ о $\tau \alpha \varsigma$, articular second aorist active participle of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$, "those receiving") "the mark of the beast" (13:16; 14:9ff.; 16:2; 20:4 ) "and them


They twain (o $\delta v o$ ). "The two."
Were cast $(\varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu)$. First aorist passive Indicative of $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$. They fall together as they fought together. "The day that sees the end of a false statecraft will see also that of a false priestcraft" (Swete).

Alive $(\zeta \omega v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Present active participle of $\zeta \alpha \omega$, predicative nominative, "living."
Into the lake of fire ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \lambda \iota \mu \nu \eta \nu \tau 0 v \pi \nu \rho \circ \varsigma)$. Genitive $\pi \nu \rho \circ \varsigma$ describes this $\lambda \iota \mu \nu \eta \nu$ (lake, cf. Lu 5:1) as it does $\gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon v \nu \alpha$ in Mt 5:22. See also 20:10; 21:8 . It is a different figure from the "abyss" in 9:1f.; 20:1f. . This is the final abode of Satan, the beast, the false prophet, and wicked men.

That burneth with brimstone ( $\tau \eta \varsigma \kappa \alpha \iota \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \varsigma \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \iota \omega$ ). Note the genitive here in place of the accusative $\lambda_{\iota} \mu \nu \eta \nu$, perhaps because of the intervening genitive $\pi v \rho \circ \varsigma$ (neuter, not feminine). The agreement is regular in 21:8. For $\varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon ו \omega$ (with brimstone) see $14: 10 ; 20: 10$; 21:8. The fact of hell is clearly taught here, but the imagery is not to be taken literally any more than that of heaven in chapters $\operatorname{Re} 4 ; 5 ; 21 ; 22$ is to be so understood. Both fall short of the reality.

Rev 19:21
The rest (o خoıло). Of the enemy (the kings and their hosts of verse 19).
Were killed ( $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \tau \alpha v \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist (effective) passive indicative of $\alpha \pi \sigma \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ \nu \omega$. Those affected by the Caesar-worship (14:9ff. ) were not at once cast into the lake with the two beasts.

Were filled ( $\varepsilon \chi о \rho \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v)$. First aorist (effective) passive of $\chi \circ \rho \tau \alpha \zeta \omega$. As they had been invited to do in verse 17.

## Revelation 20

Rev 20:1
Coming down out of heaven ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \iota v o v \tau \alpha \varepsilon \kappa$ tov ovpavov). As in 10:1; 18:1.
The key of the abyss ( $\tau \eta \nu \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \tau \nu \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \alpha \beta v \sigma \sigma o v)$. As in 9:1.
A great chain ( $\alpha \lambda \nu \sigma \iota v \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \nu$ ). Paul wore a $\alpha \lambda \nu \sigma \iota \varsigma$ (alpha privative and $\lambda v \omega$, to loose) in Rome ( $2 \mathrm{Ti} 1: 16$;, as did Peter in prison in Jerusalem (Ac 12:6).

In his hand $(\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \nu \chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \alpha v \tau o v)$. "Upon his hand," ready for use. See $\varepsilon \pi$ with the genitive in 1:20.

Rev 20:2
He laid hold on ( $\varepsilon \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu)$. First aorist active indicative of $\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon \omega$, to seize.
The dragon ( $\tau 0 v \delta \rho \alpha \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$ ). Accusative after $\varepsilon \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ instead of the genitive as in $2: 1$. He has been behind the beast and the false prophet from the start. Now he is seized.

The old serpent ( о очıৎ о а $\rho \chi \propto \iota \varsigma)$. Precisely the description in 12:9, only the nominative is here retained, though in apposition with the accusative $\tau 0 v \delta \rho \alpha \kappa \sigma \tau \alpha$, a frequent anacoluthon in the Apocalypse ( $1: 5$, etc.). Swete calls it a parenthesis.

Which is (oऽ $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v)$. The relative here relieves the construction and takes the place of


And bound him ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \delta \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau o v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\delta \varepsilon \omega$.
For a thousand years $\left(\chi_{\imath} \lambda_{\iota} \alpha \varepsilon \tau \eta\right)$. Accusative of extent of time. Here we confront the same problem found in the 1260 days. In this book of symbols how long is a thousand years? All sorts of theories are proposed, none of which fully satisfy one. Perhaps Peter has given us the only solution open to us in $2 \mathrm{Pe} 3: 8$ when he argues that "one day with the Lord is as a thousand years and a thousand years as one day." It will help us all to remember that God's clock does not run by ours and that times and seasons and programs are with him. This wonderful book was written to comfort the saints in a time of great trial, not to create strife among them.

Rev 20:3
Into the abyss ( $\varepsilon ı \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \alpha \beta v \sigma \sigma o v)$. The one in 9:1f. and the one spoken of by the legion of demons in Lu 8:31 under the charge of the angel of the abyss (Apollyon, Re 9:11) who is either Satan himself or a kindred power. "Already he has been cast out of Heaven (12:9), now he is cast out of the earth, and returns to his own place" (Swete).

Shut it and sealed it ( $\varepsilon \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \varepsilon \sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \sigma \varepsilon v$ ). Effective first aorists active indicative of $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \iota \omega$ and $\sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \omega$.

That he should deceive no more ( $\imath \alpha \alpha \mu \eta \pi \alpha \nu \eta \sigma \eta$ ). Negative purpose clause with $\imath v \alpha$ $\mu \eta$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\pi \lambda \alpha v \alpha \omega$. Glorious relief after the strain of the previous visions of conflict. Small wonder that Christians today cherish this blessed hope whatever the actual meaning may be.

Until should be finished ( $\alpha \chi \rho \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \eta$ ). Temporal clause of future purpose with $\alpha \chi \rho$ (as a conjunction like $\varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ) and the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$. Repeated in verse 5 and see $\alpha \chi \rho$ and the subjunctive in 7:3; 15:8 .

He must be loosed ( $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \nu \theta \eta v \alpha)$. Sad necessity, alas, with $\delta \varepsilon$ and the first aorist passive infinitive of $\lambda \nu \omega$.

For a little time ( $\mu$ ккроv $\chi \rho \circ$ ооv). Accusative of time. Whatever the thousand years means, it is here said plainly that after it is over the devil will again have power on earth "for a little time."

Rev 20:4
And they sat upon them ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \alpha v \varepsilon \pi^{\prime}$ autou¢). First aorist active indicative of $\kappa \alpha \theta i \zeta \omega$. Another period here apparently synchronous (verse 7) with the confinement of Satan in the abyss. No subject is given for this plural verb. Apparently Christ and the Apostles (Mt 19:28; Lu 22:30 ) and some of the saints (1Co 6:3 ), martyrs some hold.

Judgment was given unto them ( $\kappa \rho \mu \alpha \varepsilon \delta о \theta \eta$ $\alpha \cup \tau о \iota)$. First aorist passive of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$. Picture of the heavenly court of assizes.

The souls ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \psi v \chi \propto \varsigma$ ). Accusative after $\varepsilon \delta \delta o v$ at the beginning of the verse.
Of them that had been beheaded ( $\tau \omega v \pi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \kappa ו \sigma \mu \varepsilon v \omega v$ ). Genitive of the articular perfect passive participle of $\pi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \iota \zeta \omega$, old word (from $\pi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \nu \varsigma$ an axe, the traditional instrument for execution in republican Rome, but later supplanted by the sword), to cut off with an axe, here only in N.T. See 6:9; 18:24; 19:2 for previous mention of these martyrs for the witness of Jesus ( $1: 9 ; 12: 17 ; 19: 10$ ). Others also besides martyrs shared in Christ's victory, those who refused to worship the beast or wear his mark as in 13:15; 14:9ff.; 16:2; 19:20 .

And they lived ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \zeta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist active indicative of $\zeta \alpha \omega$. If the ingressive aorist, it means "came to life" or "lived again" as in 2:8 and so as to verse 5 . If it is the constative aorist here and in verse 5 , then it could mean increased spiritual life. See Joh 5:21-29 for the double sense of life and death (now literal, now spiritual) precisely as we have the second death in Re 2:11; 20:6,14 .

And reigned with Christ ( $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha v \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ tov Xpıбтоv). Same use of the first aorist active indicative of $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon v \omega$, but more clearly constative. Beckwith and Swete take this to apply solely to the martyrs, the martyrs' reign with Christ.

Rev 20:5
The rest of the dead (o $\lambda o \iota \pi o ~ \tau \omega v \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \omega v$ ). "All except the martyrs, both the righteous and the unrighteous" (Beckwith). But some take this to mean only the wicked.

Lived not until the thousand years should be finished (ovк $\varepsilon \zeta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha \chi \rho \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \eta \tau \alpha$ $\left.\chi^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} \alpha \varepsilon \tau \eta\right)$. See verse 4 for the items here. "To infer from this statement, as many expositors have done, that the $\varepsilon \zeta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ of $v .4$ must be understood of bodily resuscitation, is to interpret apocalyptic prophecy by methods of exegesis which are proper to ordinary narrative" (Swete). I sympathize wholly with that comment and confess my own ignorance therefore as to the
meaning of the symbolism without any predilections for post-millennialism or premillennialism.

This is the first resurrection ( $\alpha 0 \tau \eta \eta \alpha v a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma \eta \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta$ ). Scholars differ as to the genuineness of this phrase. Accepting it as genuine, Swete applies it to "the return of the martyrs and confessors to life at the beginning of the Thousand Years." According to this view the first resurrection is a special incident in the present life before the Parousia. It has no parallel with $1 \mathrm{Th} 4: 16$, where the dead in Christ are raised before those living are changed. Some think that John here pictures the "Regeneration" ( $\pi \alpha \lambda ı \nu \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \iota \alpha)$ of Mt 19:28 and the "Restoration" (атокатабтабıৎ) of Ac 3:21. No effort is here made to solve this problem, save to call attention to the general judgment out of the books in 20:12 and to the general resurrection in Joh 5:29; Ac 24:15 .

Rev 20:6
Blessed and holy ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \iota \varsigma \kappa \kappa \alpha \nless \iota \rho \varsigma)$. A fifth beatitude ( $1: 3 ; 14: 13 ; 16: 15 ; 19: 9$ ) already
 The second death (o $\delta \varepsilon \cup \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma \quad \theta$ avatoc). The spiritual death of $2: 11 ; 20: 14 ; 21: 8$ in contrast to the first or physical death. This language raises a question about the interpretation of the first and the second resurrections, whether both are of the body or one of the spirit. There seems no way to reach a solid conception about it. In 1Co 15:23 there is no mention of the resurrection of any save "those of Christ" (o tov Xpıбтov), though the end follows (verse 24). However, Paul elsewhere (Ac 24:15) speaks of the resurrection of the just and of the unjust as if one event.

Priests of God and of Christ (เعряเৎ tov $\theta$ sou ka tov Xpıбтоv). As in 1:6; 5:10; 22:3,5

Shall reign with him ( $\beta a \sigma \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \sigma v \sigma \iota \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ avtov). As promised in the same passages. The servants of God are to be priests with Christ and to reign with him (Mt 19:28). In 5:10 $\varepsilon \pi \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma$ (upon earth) occurs, but this item does not appear here. "No hint is given as to where this service is to be rendered and this royalty to be exercised" (Swete).

Rev 20:7
When are finished (o $\tau \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \eta$ ). Indefinite future temporal clause with o $o \tau \nu$ and the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, "whenever are finished."

Shall be loosed ( $\lambda \nu \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ). Future passive of $\lambda \nu \omega$, no longer bound as in 20:2f. He uses the future as a prophet in verses 7,8 , but in 9,10 he uses the aorist as a seer.

Out of his prison ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau o v$ ). For $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta$ in this sense see $2: 10$. Out of the abyss of verses 2,3 .

Rev 20:8
To deceive the nations ( $\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \alpha \varepsilon \theta v \eta$ ). First aorist active infinitive of purpose of $\pi \lambda \alpha v a \omega$, Satan's chief task (chapters 12 to 18, in particular 12:9; 13:14; 19:20; 20:3,10).

Which are in the four corners of the earth ( $\tau \alpha \varepsilon v \tau \alpha ๙ \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \sigma \gamma \omega v$ vaıৎ $\tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. Clearly the reign with Christ, if on earth, was not shared in by all on earth, for Satan finds a large and ready following on his release. See 7:1 (Isa 11:12) for "the four corners of the earth."

Gog and Magog ( $\tau \circ \nu \Gamma \omega \gamma \kappa \alpha M \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma$ ). Accusative in explanatory apposition with $\tau \alpha$ $\varepsilon \theta \vee \eta$ (the nations). Magog is first mentioned in Ge 10:2. The reference here seems to be Eze 38:2, where both are mentioned. Josephus (Ant. I. 6. 1) identifies Magog with the Scythians, with Gog as their prince. In the rabbinical writings Gog and Magog appear as the enemies of the Messiah. Some early Christian writers thought of the Goths and Huns, but Augustine refuses to narrow the imagery and sees only the final protest of the world against Christianity.

To gather them together to the war ( $\sigma \sim v a \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon ו v ~ a u \tau о и \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau о v ~ \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \mu о v) . ~ S e c o n d ~$ aorist active infinitive of purpose of $\sigma v v a \gamma \omega$, a congenial task for Satan after his confinement. See 16:14 for this very phrase and also 17:14; 19:19.

Of whom ( $\omega v-\alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Pleonasm or redundant pronoun as in 3:8 and often (of whom--of them).

As the sand of the sea ( $\omega \varsigma \eta \alpha \mu \mu \circ \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta \varsigma$ ). Already in 12:18. Clearly then the millennium, whatever it is, does not mean a period when Satan has no following on earth, for this vast host rallies at once to his standard.

Rev 20:9
They went up ( $\alpha v \varepsilon \beta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\alpha v \alpha \beta \alpha \iota v \omega$, a return to the manner of the seer as in verses 4,5 .

Over the breadth of the earth ( $\varepsilon \pi$ тo $\pi \lambda \alpha \tau o \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma \eta \varsigma$ ). П $\lambda \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma$ is old word, in N.T. only here, 21:16; Eph 3:18. The hosts of Satan spread over the earth.

Compassed ( $\varepsilon \kappa \cup \kappa \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha v)$ ). First aorist (prophetic) active indicative of кขк $\lambda \varepsilon v \omega$, to encircle, late verb (Strabo) from кок久оৎ (circle), in N.T. only here and margin in Joh 10:24 (for $\varepsilon \kappa \cup \kappa \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha v$ from кик $\lambda о \omega$ ).

The camp of the saints ( $\tau \eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \mu \beta \circ \lambda \eta \nu \tau \omega \nu \alpha \gamma \omega \nu \nu$ ). П $\alpha \rho \varepsilon \mu \beta \circ \lambda \eta(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha, \varepsilon v, \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega)$ is common late word for military camp, in LXX for the Israelites in the desert (Ex 29:14, etc.), in N.T. for Roman barracks (Ac 24:34,37) and for an army in line of battle (Heb 11:34; Re 20:9).

The beloved city ( $\tau \eta \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \nu \tau \eta \nu \eta \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \mu \varepsilon v \eta \nu$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \omega$, "the city the beloved." See Ps 78:68; 87:2 for Jerusalem so described. So Charles takes it here, but Swete holds it to be "the Church the New Zion" that is meant.

And fire came down out of heaven ( $\kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \beta \eta \pi \cup \rho \varepsilon \kappa$ tov oupavov). Second aorist (prophetic) active indicative of катаßaıv $\omega$. Cf. Ge 19:24; 39:6; Eze 38:22; 2Ki 1:10,12; Lu 9:54 (about John).

Devoured them (катєழаүعv av $\frac{1}{}$ ). Second aorist (prophetic) active of $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \theta 1 \omega$, to eat up (down). Vivid climax to this last great battle with Satan.

Rev 20:10
Was cast $(\varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \theta \eta)$. First aorist (prophetic, affective) passive indicative of $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ (verse $3)$.

Into the lake of fire and brimstone ( $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \eta \nu \lambda \iota \mu \nu \eta \nu \tau о v \pi \nu \rho \circ \varsigma \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \iota \circ v)$. As in 19:20 with the two beasts, as he adds, "where are also the beast and the false prophet" (отои ка то Өпрьог ка о $\psi \varepsilon v \delta о \pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \eta \varsigma) . ~$

They shall be tormented ( $\beta \alpha \sigma \alpha v ı \sigma \eta \sigma o v \tau \alpha$ ). Return to the prophetic future of verses
 14:11. For "for ever and ever" (عıৎ тоטৎ aı $\omega v$ 人ц $\tau 0 \nu$ aı $\omega v \omega \nu$ ) see $1: 6,18 ; 4: 9,10 ; 5: 13 ; 7: 12$; $10: 6 ; 11: 15$, etc. The devil was cast down from heaven ( $12: 9$ ), then imprisoned ( $20: 2 \mathrm{ff}$. ), now he received his final doom.

Rev 20:11
A great white throne ( $\theta \rho \circ v o v \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha v \lambda \varepsilon v \kappa o v$ ). Here $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha v$ (great) is added to the throne pictures in $4: 4 ; 20: 4$. The scene is prepared for the last judgment often mentioned in the N.T. (Mt 25:31-46; Ro 14:10; 2Co 5:10 ). "The absolute purity of this Supreme Court is symbolized by the colour of the Throne" (Swete) as in Da 7:9; Ps 9:1; 97:2 . The name of God is not mentioned, but the Almighty Father sits upon the throne (4:2f., $9 ; 5: 1,7,13 ; 6: 16$; $7: 10,15 ; 19: 4 ; 21: 5$ ), and the Son sits there with him (Heb 1:3) and works with the Father (Joh 5:19-21; 10:30; Mt 25:31ff.; Ac 17:31; 2Co 5:10; 2Ti 4:1).

From whose face the earth and the heaven fled away (ov a $\pi \sigma \pi \rho о \sigma \omega \pi о v \varepsilon \varphi \cup \gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \gamma \varepsilon$ $\kappa \alpha$ о оираvоৎ). Second aorist (prophetic) active of $\varphi \varepsilon v \gamma \omega$. See 16:20. The non-of matter is a common teaching in the O.T. (Ps 97:5; 102:27; Isa 51:6) as in the N.T. (Mr 13:31; 2Pe 3:10 ).

Was found $(\varepsilon v \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta)$. First aorist passive indicative of $\varepsilon v \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$. All is now spiritual. Even scientists today are speaking of the non-eternity of the universe.

Rev 20:12
 general resurrection of verse 13 is pictured by anticipation as already over. No living are mentioned after the battle of verses $7-10$, though some will be living when Jesus comes to judge the quick and the dead ( $2 \mathrm{Ti} 4: 1 ; 1 \mathrm{Th} 4: 13 \mathrm{ff}$. ). All classes and conditions (11:18; 13:16; 19:5,18 ) John saw "standing before the throne" ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \tau \alpha \varsigma \varepsilon v \omega \pi \iota v \tau$ тov $\theta \rho o v o v)$.

Books were opened ( $\beta \iota \beta \lambda_{\iota} \alpha \nu_{\nu o r} \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive of $\alpha \nu o \iota \gamma \omega$. Like Da 7:10 . The record of each human being has been kept in God's books.

Were judged ( $\varepsilon \kappa \rho \iota \vartheta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). First aorist passive indicative of $\kappa \rho \iota \nu \omega$. The sentence upon each rests upon written evidence.

Another book which is the book of life ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ o $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota \circ$ o $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \tau \eta \varsigma \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma)$. This book has already been mentioned ( $3: 5 ; 13: 8 ; 17: 8$ ). "It is the roll of living citizens of Jerusalem" (Swete), "the church of the first born enrolled in heaven" (Heb 12:23). The books are "the vouchers for the book of life" (Alford). We are saved by grace, but character at last (according to their works) is the test as the fruit of the tree (Mt 7:16,20; 10:32f.; 25:31-46; Joh 15:6; 2Co 5:10; Ro 2:10; Re 2:23; 20:12; 22:12 ).

Rev 20:13
Gave up ( $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ ). Just "gave" (first aorist active indicative of $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$ ), but for the sea to give is to give up (effective aorist). Sea as well as land delivers its dead (all kinds of dead, good and bad). Swete notes that accidental deaths will not prevent any from appearing. Milligan is sure that the sea here means "the sea of the troubled and sinful world."

Death and Hades (о $\begin{aligned} & \text { avatoc ка о } \alpha ı \delta \eta \varsigma) . ~ " A n ~ i n s e p a r a b l e ~ p a i r " ~(S w e t e) ~ a s ~ i n ~ 1: 18 ; ~ 6: 8 ; ~\end{aligned}$ 20:14. So in Mt 16:18 "the gates of Hades" means the power of death. Etymologically Hades is the unseen world where all who die are as opposed to this visible world, but in actual use Hades is sometimes treated as the abode of the unrighteous (Lu 16:23). Charles thinks that this is true here, though there is nothing to show it apart from the personification of death and Hades and the casting of both into the lake of fire in verse 14. Here again "each man" (єкабтоৎ) receives judgment according to his deeds (Mt 16:27; 1Co 3:13; 2Co 5:10; Ro 2:6; 14:12; 1Pe 1:17; Re 2:23 ).

Rev 20:14
Were cast ( $\varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ). As the devil ( $20: 10$ ) followed the two beasts ( $19: 20$ ) into the same dread lake of fire. Death is personified and is disposed of, "the last enemy" (1Co 15:26 ) and Paul sings the paean of victory over death (1Co 15:54f. , from Ho 13:14 ). Hades has no more terrors, for the saints are in heaven. There is no more fear of death (Heb 2:15), for death is no more ( $\operatorname{Re} 21: 4$ ). The second death $(2: 11 ; 20: 6 ; 21: 8)$ is here identified as in 21:8 with the lake of fire.

Rev 20:15
If any was not found written in the book of life ( $\varepsilon \tau \iota \varsigma$ ovX $\varepsilon v \rho \varepsilon \theta \eta \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \beta \beta \beta \lambda \omega \tau \eta \varsigma$ $\zeta \omega \eta \varsigma)$. Condition of first class with $\varepsilon$ and the first aorist passive indicative of $\varepsilon v \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$. In this short sentence the doom is told of all who are out of Christ, for they too follow the devil and the two beasts into the lake of fire (the counterpart of the Gehenna of fire, Mt 5:22 ). There is no room here for soul sleeping, for an intermediate state, for a second chance, or for annihilation of the wicked. In Da 12:2 there is a resurrection to death as well as to life and so in Joh 5:29; Ac 24:15 .

## Revelation 21

Rev 21:1
A new heaven and a new earth (oupavov кaıvov ка $\gamma \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \nu \eta \nu$ ). This new vision ( $\varepsilon \delta \delta o v$ ) is the picture of the bliss of the saints.

The first heaven and the first earth (o $\pi \rho \omega \tau \circ \varsigma$ оט $\rho \alpha \nu \circ \varsigma \kappa \alpha \eta \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta \gamma \eta$ )
are passed away ( $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \theta \alpha \nu$, went away, second aorist active indicative of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ ). "Fled away" ( $\varepsilon \varphi \cup \gamma \varepsilon v)$ in 20:11.

And the sea is no more ( $\kappa \alpha \eta \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha$ ouk $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \varepsilon \tau$ ). The sea had given up its dead ( 20:13). There were great risks on the sea (18:17ff. ). The old physical world is gone in this vision. It is not a picture of renovation of this earth, but of the disappearance of this earth and sky (not heaven where God dwells). It is a glorious picture here in 21:1-8 in sharp contrast to the lake of fire in 20:11-15. The symbolism in neither case is to be pressed too literally, but a stern and a glorious reality exists behind it all.

Rev 21:2
The holy city, new Jerusalem ( $\tau \eta \nu \pi \sigma \lambda \iota \nu \tau \eta \nu \alpha \gamma \iota \alpha \nu$ I $\varepsilon \rho \circ \sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda \eta \mu \kappa \alpha \iota \nu \eta \nu$ ). "The New Earth must have a new metropolis, not another Babylon, but another and greater Jerusalem" (Swete), and not the old Jerusalem which was destroyed A.D. 70. It was called the Holy City in a conventional way ( $\mathrm{Mt} 4: 5 ; 27: 53$ ), but now in reality because it is new and fresh ( $\kappa \alpha \iota \eta \nu$ ), this heavenly Jerusalem of hope (Heb 12:22 ), this Jerusalem above (Ga 4:26ff. ) where our real citizenship is (Php 3:20).

Coming down out of heaven from God ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \beta \alpha \iota v o v \sigma \alpha v \varepsilon \kappa ~ \tau o v ~ o v \rho \alpha v o v ~ \alpha \pi о ~ \tau o v$ $\theta \varepsilon o v)$. Glorious picture caught by John and repeated from 3:12 and again in 21:10. But Charles distinguishes this new city of God from that in 21:9-22:2 because there is no tree of life in this one. But one shrinks from too much manipulation of this symbolism. It is better to see the glorious picture with John and let it tell its own story.

Made ready ( $\eta \tau о \mu \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta v$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\varepsilon \tau \sigma \mu \alpha \zeta \omega$ as in 19:7. The Wife of the Lamb made herself ready in her bridal attire.

As a bride adorned $(\omega \varsigma \nu \nu \mu \varphi \eta \nu \kappa \varepsilon \kappa о \sigma \mu \eta \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \nu)$. Perfect passive participle of $\kappa о \sigma \mu \varepsilon \omega$, old verb (from коб $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ¢ ornament like our cosmetics), as in 21:19. Only here the figure of bride is not the people of God as in 19:7, but the abode of the people of God (the New Jerusalem).

For her husband ( $\tau \omega \alpha \nu \delta \rho \alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Dative case of personal interest.
Rev 21:3
The tabernacle of God is with men ( $\eta \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta \tau \tau v \theta \varepsilon o v \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau \omega \nu \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega v$ ). It is one of the angels of the Presence ( $16: 17 ; 19: 5$ ) speaking.

And he shall dwell with them ( $\kappa \alpha \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). Future active of $\sigma \kappa \eta v o \omega$, already in 7:15 from Eze 37:27; Zec 2:10; 8:8 and used of the Incarnate Christ on earth by

John (Joh 1:14), now a blessed reality of the Father. The metaphor stands for the Shekinah Glory of God in the old tabernacle $(7: 15 ; 13: 6 ; 15: 5)$, the true tabernacle of which it was a picture (Heb 8:2; 9:11). God is now Immanuel in fact, as was true of Christ (Mt 1:23).

Rev 21:4
Shall wipe away every tear from their eyes ( $\varepsilon \xi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ı \psi \varepsilon \pi \alpha v \delta \alpha \kappa \rho v o v \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu$ о $\sigma \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \omega v$ $\alpha v \tau \omega v)$. More exactly, "shall wipe out every tear out of their eyes" (repetition of $\varepsilon \xi$ ) like a tender mother as in 7:17 (Isa 25:8). There is no more that ought to cause a tear, for death
 ing), and pain ( $\pi$ ovoc as in 16:10) are all gone. There is peace and bliss.

Rev 21:5
Behold, I make all things new (Iסov kaıva $\pi \circ \omega \omega$ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ). The first time since 1:8 that God has been represented as speaking directly, though voices have come out of the throne before ( $21: 3$ ) and out of the sanctuary ( $16: 1,17$ ), which may be from God himself, though more likely from one of the angels of the Presence. This message is not addressed to John ( $7: 14 ; 17: 7 ; 21: 6 ; 22: 6$ ), but to the entire world of the blessed. See Isa $43: 18 f$. for the words (Iסov $\varepsilon \gamma \omega$ тоเ $\omega$ кaıva). The idea of a new heaven and a new earth is in Isa 65:17; 66:22; Ps 102:25f. For the locative here with $\varepsilon \pi(\varepsilon \pi \tau \omega \theta \rho o v \omega)$ see 7:10; 19:4 (genitive more usual, 4:9f.; 5:1,7,13 , etc.). See 20:11 for the picture.

And he saith ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ ). Probably this means a change of speakers, made plain by $\mu \mathrm{o}$ (to me) in many MSS. An angel apparently (as in 14:13; 19:9f. ) assures John and urges him to write ( $\gamma \rho \alpha \psi o v$ as in $1: 11 ; 2: 1,8,12,18 ; 3: 1,7,14 ; 14: 3$ ). The reason given ( $o \tau$, for) is precisely the saying in 22:6 and he uses the two adjectives ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ$ ка $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \iota v o$ ) employed in 19:11 about God himself, and 3:14 about Christ. In 19:9 $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta$ tvo occurs also about "the words of God" as here. They are reliable and genuine.

Rev 21:6
They are come to pass ( $\Gamma \varepsilon \gamma \circ \vee \alpha v$ ). Second perfect active indicative of $\gamma ı v o \mu \alpha$ with $-\alpha v$
 voice of God because, as in $1: 8$, He says:

I am the Alpha and the Omega ( $\mathrm{E} \gamma \omega$ то $\mathrm{A} \lambda \varphi \alpha$ ка то O ) with the addition "the beginning and the end" ( $\eta \alpha \rho \chi \eta$ к $\alpha$ то $\tau \varepsilon \lambda о \varsigma)$, the whole used in 22:13 of Christ. In Isa 44:6 there is something like the addition, and in $\operatorname{Col} 1: 18 ; \operatorname{Re} 3: 14 \eta \alpha \rho \chi \eta$ is applied to Christ, while here God is the First Cause ( $\alpha \rho \chi \eta$ ) and the Finality ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda o \varsigma$ ) as in Ro 11:36; Eph 4:6 . But God works through Christ (Joh 1:3; Heb 1:2f.; Col 1:12-20 ). God is the bountiful Giver (Jas 1:5,17 ) of the Water of Life. See 7:17; 22:1,17 for this metaphor, which is based on Isa 55:1 . It is God's own promise ( $\mathrm{E} \gamma \omega \delta \omega \sigma \omega$ ), "I will give."

Of the fountain ( $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \eta \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. For this partitive use of $\varepsilon \kappa$ see Mt 25:8, without $\varepsilon \kappa \operatorname{Re}$ 2:17.

Freely ( $\delta \omega \rho \varepsilon \alpha v$ ). See Mt 10:8; Joh 4:10; Ro 3:24; Ac 8:20; Re 22:17 .

Rev 21:7
He that overcometh ( $o v i \kappa \omega v$ ). Recalls the promises at the close of each of the Seven Letters in chapters 2 and 3.

Shall inherit ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho о \nu о \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Future active of $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \nu \circ \mu \varepsilon \omega$, word with great history (Mr 10:17; 1Pe 1:4; Ga 4:7; Ro 8:17), here interpreted for the benefit of these who share in Christ's victory.

I will be his God (E $\sigma o \mu \alpha \alpha v \tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \sigma \varsigma)$. Repeated Old Testament promise (first to Abraham, Ge 17:7f. ). Cf. Re 21:3 .

He shall be my son ( $\alpha v \tau \circ \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \mu$ vioc). Made first of Solomon (2Sa 7:14) and applied to David later in Ps 89:26f .

Rev 21:8
Their part shall be ( $\tau 0 \mu \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). In contrast to the state of the blessed (verses 3-7) the state of "those who have disfranchised themselves from the Kingdom of God" (Charles) is given. They are with Satan and the two beasts, and are the same with those not in the book of life ( $20: 15$ ) in the lake of fire and brimstone ( $19: 20 ; 20: 10,14 \mathrm{f}$. ) , that is the second death ( $2: 11 ; 20: 6,14$ ). See also $14: 10$. There are eight epithets here used which apply to various sections of this direful list of the doomed and the damned, all in the dative (case of personal interest).

For the fearful ( $\tau$ oıs $\delta \varepsilon ı \lambda$ oıs). Old word (from $\delta \varepsilon \iota \delta \omega$, to fear) for the cowardly, who recanted under persecution, in N.T. only here, Mt 8:26; $\mathrm{Mr} 4: 40$.

Unbelieving (aлıбтоıৎ). "Faithless," "untrustworthy," in contrast with Christ "o $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma$ " ( 1:5). Cf. 2:10,13; 3:14; 17:14. Disloyalty is close kin to cowardice.

Abominable ( $\varepsilon \beta \delta \varepsilon \lambda v \gamma \mu \varepsilon v o \iota s)$. Perfect passive participle of $\beta \delta \varepsilon \lambda v \sigma \sigma \omega$, old verb, in N.T. only here and Ro 2:22, common in LXX, to pollute (Ex 5:21). Those who have become defiled by the impurities of emperor-worship (7:4f.; 21:27; Ro 2:22; Tit 1:16 ).

Murderers ( $\varphi 0 \vee \varepsilon v \sigma \iota v$ ). As a matter of course and all too common always (Mr 7:21; Ro 1:29; Re 9:21).

Fornicators ( $\pi$ о $\rho$ voıs). Again all too common always, then and now (1Co 5:10; 1 Ti 1:9f. ). These two crimes often go together.

Sorcerers ( $\varphi \alpha \rho \mu \alpha \kappa \iota \varsigma)$. Old word, in N.T. only here and 22:15. Closely connected with idolatry and magic (9:21; 13:13f. ).

Idolaters ( $\varepsilon \iota \delta \omega \lambda$ o $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho a ı \varsigma)$. See 1Co 5:10f.; 10:7; Eph 5:5; Re 22:15. With a powerful grip on men's lives then and now.

All liars ( $\pi \alpha \sigma$ тoıৎ $\psi \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon \sigma \iota v$ ). Repeated in 22:15 and stigmatized often (2:2; 3:9; 14:5; 21:8,27; 22:15 ). Not a "light" sin.

## Rev 21:9

One of the seven angels ( $\varepsilon ા \varsigma \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \omega \nu$ ). As in 17:1 with the same introduction when the angel made the announcement about the harlot city (Babylon), so here the
description of the heavenly city，the New Jerusalem，is given by one of the same group of angels who had the seven bowls．Thus the $v v \mu \varphi \eta$（Bride）is placed in sharp contrast with the $\pi о \rho \vee \eta$（Harlot）．The New Jerusalem was briefly presented in verse 2，but now is pictured at length（ 21：9－22：5）in a nearer and clearer vision．

The bride the wife of the Lamb（ $\tau \eta \nu \nu \nu \mu \varphi \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu \gamma \cup v \alpha ı \kappa \alpha$ tov $\alpha \rho v ⿺ 辶)$ ）．Twice already the metaphor of the Bride has been used（19：7；21：2 ），here termed＂wife＂（ $\gamma$ טvaıка），men－ tioned proleptically as in 19：7 if the marriage is not yet a reality．For the use of the same metaphor elsewhere in the N．T．see on 19：7．

Rev 21：10
He carried me away in the Spirit（ $\alpha \pi \eta \nu \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \varepsilon \nu \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon v \pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu a \tau$ ）．See same language in 17：7 when John received a vision of the Harlot City in a wilderness．Here it is＂to a mountain great and high＂（ $\varepsilon \pi$ орос $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha$ ка $v \psi \eta \lambda$ доv）．So it was with Ezekiel（Eze 40：2）and so the devil took Jesus（Mt 4：8）．It was apparently not Mount Zion（14：1），for the New Jerusalem is seen from this mountain．＂The Seer is carried thither＇in spirit＇（cf．1：10；4：1 ）；the Angel＇s $\delta \varepsilon u \rho o$ is a sursum cor to which his spirit under the influence of the＇Spirit of revelation＇（Eph 1：17 ）at once responds＂（Swete）．

And he shewed me（ $\kappa \alpha \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon ı \xi \varepsilon v \mu \mathrm{o}$ ）．First aorist active indicative of $\delta \varepsilon ו \kappa v \nu \mu$ ，just as he had said he would do in verse 9 （ $\delta \varepsilon ı \xi \omega \sigma 0$ ，I will shew thee）．Precisely the same words about Jerusalem as in verse 2，save the absence of kaıv $\nu \nu$（New）．

Rev 21：11
Having the glory of God（ $\varepsilon \chi \circ \cup \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \delta o \xi a \nu \tau o v ~ \theta \varepsilon o v)$ ．Syntactically this clause goes with verse 10 ，the feminine accusative singular participle $\varepsilon \chi o v \sigma \alpha v$ agreeing with $\pi 0 \lambda ı v$ ，the radiance of the dazzling splendour of God as seen in Isa 60：1；Eze 43：5．God＇s very presence is in the Holy City（the Bride）．

Light（ $\varphi \omega \sigma \tau \eta \rho$ ）．＂Luminary，＂late word（in LXX，papyri），in N．T．only here and Php 2：15．Christ is the light（ $\varphi \omega \varsigma$ ）of the world（Joh 8：12）and so are Christians（Mt 5：14）who have received the illumination（ $\varphi \omega \tau \iota \sigma \mu$ с）of God in the face of Christ（2Co 4：6）and who radiate it to men（Php 2：15）．See both words in Ge 1：3，14．＂The＇luminary＇of the Holy City is her witness to Christ＂（Swete）．

Like unto a stone most precious（ $о \mu о \circ \varsigma \varsigma \lambda \theta \omega \tau \mu \mu \omega \tau \alpha \tau \omega$ ）．Associative instrumental


As it were a jasper stone（ $\omega \varsigma \lambda_{1} \theta \omega \iota \alpha \sigma \pi \iota \delta$ ）．As in $4: 3$ ，which see．
Clear as crystal（ $\kappa \rho \cup \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda 1 \zeta о \nu \tau)$ ．Verb not found elsewhere from крvбта入入оc（old word，4：6；22：1 ），＂of crystalline brightness and transparency＂（Thayer），＂transparent and gleaming as rock－crystal＂（Moffatt）．

Rev 21：12
Having a wall great and high（ $\varepsilon \chi \circ v \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon \not \chi \circ \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \gamma \propto \kappa \alpha \cup \psi \eta \lambda о \nu$ ）．John returns，after the parenthesis in verse 11 ，to the structure in verse 10 ，only to use the accusative $\varepsilon \chi o v \sigma a v$ as
before to agree with $\pi 0 \lambda \iota v$, but the nominative $\varepsilon \chi \circ v \sigma \alpha$ as again with "twelve gates" ( $\pi \nu \lambda \omega v a \varsigma$ $\delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha)$. Пu $\lambda \omega v$ is an old word (from $\pi \nu \lambda \eta$ gate) for a large gate as in Lu 16:20 and six times in Rev. for the gate tower of a city wall ( $\operatorname{Re} 21: 12,13,15,21,25 ; 22: 14$ ) as in 1Ki 17:10; Ac 14:13. See Eze 48:31ff. for these twelve gates, one for each tribe (cf. Re 7:1-8 ).

At the gates ( $\varepsilon \pi$ тoıs $\pi \nu \lambda \omega \sigma \tau v$ ). "Upon the gate towers."
Twelve angels ( $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda$ ouc $\delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ). As $\pi \cup \lambda \omega \rho o$ or $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \varsigma$ according to Isa 62:6; 2 Ch 8:14.

Names written thereon (ovoцата $\varepsilon \pi \imath \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon v \alpha)$. Perfect passive participle of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \omega$.

Which are the names ( $\alpha$ ع $\sigma \tau v$ ). Just as in Ezekiel's vision (48:31ff. ), so here the names of the twelve tribes of Israel appear, one on each gate.

Rev 21:13
Three gates ( $\pi \nu \lambda \omega v \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \iota)$ ) on each of the four sides as in Eze 42:16ff. ; "on the east" ( $\alpha \pi$ о $\alpha v a \tau 0 \lambda \eta \varsigma$, as in 16:12, starting from the east), "on the north" ( $\alpha \pi$ о $\beta$ oppa, from the north, as in Lu 13:29 ), "on the south" (aло voтоv, from the south, as in Lu 13:29), "on the west" ( $\alpha \pi 0 \delta v \sigma \mu \omega v$, from the west, as in Mt 8:11).

Rev 21:14
Had ( $\varepsilon \chi \omega v$ ). Masculine present active participle of $\varepsilon \chi \omega$ instead of $\varepsilon \chi \circ v$ (neuter like to $\tau \varepsilon \not \chi \circ \varsigma)$, and the participle occurs independently as if a principal verb ( $\varepsilon \not \chi \varepsilon v$ ) as often in this book.

Twelve foundations ( $\theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \iota o v \varsigma ~ \delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ). Foundation stones, old adjective (from $\theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha$, from $\tau 1 \theta \eta \mu$ ), here as in 1Co 3:1 1ff.; 2Ti 2:19, with $\lambda_{\imath} \theta$ ouc (stones understood), though often neuter substantive to $\theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota}$ ov (Lu 6:48f.; Ac 16:26 ). See Isa 28:16; Heb 11:10. Twelve because of the twelve apostles as foundation stones (Eph 2:20).

On them ( $\varepsilon \pi^{\prime} \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). On the twelve foundation stones.
Names of the twelve apostles of the Lamb (оvоната $\tau \omega \nu \delta \omega \delta \varepsilon к \alpha \alpha \pi о \sigma \tau о \lambda \omega v \tau$ $\alpha \rho v i o v)$. Jesus had spoken of twelve thrones for the apostles (Mt 19:28); names of all twelve are here written, not just that of Peter, as some would argue from Mt 16:18. As a matter of fact, Christ is the corner stone or aкроү $\omega$ vıoıov (1Pe 2:6; 1Co 3:10; Eph 2:20), though rejected by the Sanhedrin (Mt 21:42ff. ). One may wonder if the name of Judas is on that stone or that of Matthias.

Rev 21:15
Had ( $\varepsilon \chi \chi \nu)$. Regular imperfect here, no longer $\varepsilon \chi \omega v$.
For a measure a golden reed ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho о \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \circ v \chi \rho v \sigma o v v$ ). See 11:1 for к $\alpha \lambda \alpha \mu о \varsigma$ (reed). Met $\rho o v$ is an old word, kin to $\mu \eta \tau \eta \rho$ (mother, moulder, manager), an instrument for measuring $(\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \varepsilon \omega)$ as in Mt 7:2, here in the predicate accusative.

To measure ( $\mathfrak{v a ~} \mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \eta \sigma \eta)$ ). Purpose clause with $v^{2} \alpha$ and the first aorist active subjunctive of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \varepsilon \omega$. The rod of gold was in keeping with the dignity of the service of God (1:12; 5:8; 8:3; 9:13; 15:7).

Rev 21:16
Lieth foursquare ( $\tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \gamma \omega v \circ \varsigma \kappa \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha)$. Present middle indicative of $\kappa \varepsilon \mu \alpha$. The predicate adjective is from $\tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha$ (Aeolic for $\tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$ four) and $\gamma \omega v o \varsigma(\gamma \omega v i \alpha$ corner, Mt 6:5) here only in N.T. As in Eze 48:16,20 . It is a tetragon or quadrilateral quadrangle (21:12f. ).

The length thereof is as great as the breadth ( $\tau \boldsymbol{\mu} \eta \kappa \circ \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma ~ o \sigma o v ~ \tau o ~ \pi \lambda \alpha \tau о \varsigma) . ~ I t ~ i s ~$ rectangular, both walls and city within. Babylon, according to Herodotus, was a square, each side being 120 stadia. Diodorus Siculus says that Nineveh was also foursquare.

With the reed ( $\tau \omega \kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \omega$ ). Instrumental case (cf. verse 15 for $\kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \circ \varsigma$ ) and for $\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \varepsilon \omega$ (aorist active indicative here)

Twelve thousand furlongs ( $\varepsilon \pi \sigma \tau \alpha \delta \iota \omega v \delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \chi_{\imath} \lambda \iota \alpha \delta \omega v$ ). This use of the genitive $\sigma \tau \alpha \delta \omega \omega$ with $\varepsilon \pi$ is probably correct (reading of Aleph P), though A Q have $\sigma \tau \alpha \delta$ ovc (more usual, but confusing here with $\chi \lambda \lambda \omega \delta \omega v$ ). Thucydides and Xenophon use $\varepsilon \pi$ with the genitive in a like idiom (in the matter of). It is not clear whether the 1500 miles ( 12,000 furlongs) is the measurement of each of the four sides or the sum total. Some of the rabbis argued that the walls of the New Jerusalem of Ezekiel would reach to Damascus and the height would be 1500 miles high.

Equal ( $ا \sigma \alpha$ ). That is, it is a perfect cube like the Holy of Holies in Solomon's temple ( 1 Ki 6:19f. ). This same measurement ( $\pi \lambda \alpha \tau \sigma \sigma, \mu \eta \kappa о \sigma, v \psi \circ \varsigma$ ) is applied to Christ's love in Eph 3:18, with $\beta \alpha \theta$ os (depth) added. It is useless to try to reduce the measurements or to put literal interpretations upon this highly wrought symbolic language. Surely the meaning is that heaven will be large enough for all, as Jesus said (Joh 14:1ff. ) without insisting on the materialistic measurement of a gorgeous apartment house full of inside rooms.

Rev 21:17
A hundred and forty and four cubits ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \circ \nu \tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \rho \alpha \kappa \circ \nu \tau \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \omega \nu \pi \eta \chi \omega v$ ). Another multiple of $12(12 \times 12=144)$ as in $7: 4 ; 14: 1$. It is not clear whether it is the height or the breadth of the wall that is meant, though v\%oc (height) comes just before. That would be 216 feet high (cf. verse 12), not enormous in comparison with the 7,000,000 feet (1500 miles) height of the city.

According to the measure of man, that is, of an angel ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho о \nu \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$, о $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \tau$ $\alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda o v)$. No preposition for "according to," just the accusative case of general reference in apposition with the verb $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. Though measured by an angel, a human standard was employed, man's measure which is angel's (Bengel).

Rev 21:18
The building of the wall ( $\eta \varepsilon v \delta \omega \mu \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$ tov $\tau \varepsilon \iota \chi \circ \cup \varsigma)$. Or $\varepsilon v \delta о \mu \eta \sigma \varsigma$, elsewhere so far only in Josephus (Ant. XV. 9. 6, a mole or breakwater) and in an inscription (Syll. 583 31),
apparently from $\varepsilon v \delta o \mu \varepsilon \omega$, to build in, and so the fact of building in. The wall had jasper (verse 11) built into it.

Was pure gold ( $\chi \rho v \sigma \iota \nu \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho o \nu$ ). No copula $\eta \nu$ (was) expressed. The city shone like a mass of gold in contrast with the jasper lustre of the wall.

Pure glass (va入 $\omega \kappa \alpha \alpha \rho \omega$ ). Associative instrumental case after o $\mu \circ \iota v$. Hva $\alpha o \varsigma$ (apparently from $v \varepsilon$, it rains, and so raindrop) in N.T. only Re 21:18,21 .

Rev 21:19
Were adorned ( $\kappa \varepsilon \kappa о \sigma \mu \eta \mu \varepsilon v o$ ). Perfect passive participle of $\kappa о \sigma \mu \varepsilon \omega$ as in verse 2, but without the copula $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ (were), followed by instrumental case $\lambda_{\imath} \theta \omega$ (stone).

With all manner of precious stones $(\pi \alpha \nu \tau \lambda \iota \theta \omega \tau \iota \mu t \omega)$. "With every precious stone." The list of the twelve stones in verses 19,20 has no necessary mystical meaning. "The writer is simply trying to convey the impression of a radiant and superb structure" (Moffatt). The twelve gems do correspond closely (only eight in common) with the twelve stones on the high priest's breastplate (Ex 28:17-20; 39:10ff.; Eze 28:13; Isa 54:11f. ). Charles identifies them with the signs of zodiac in reverse order, a needless performance here. See the stones in $\operatorname{Re} 4: 3$. These foundation stones are visible. For jasper (ıaблıৎ) see 4:3; 21:11,18; Isa 54:12 ; sapphire ( $\sigma \alpha \pi \varphi \varepsilon \iota \rho о \varsigma)$ see Ex 24:10;. Isa 54:11 (possibly the $\lambda \alpha \pi \iota \varsigma ~ \lambda \alpha \zeta \nu \lambda$ of Turkestan); chalcedony ( $\chi \alpha \lambda \kappa \eta \delta \omega v$ ) we have no other reference in N.T. or LXX (described by Pliny, H.N. XXXIII.21), possibly a green silicate of copper from near Chalcedon; emerald ( $\sigma \mu \rho \alpha \gamma \delta о \varsigma)$ here only in N.T., see 4:3 $\sigma \mu \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \delta \iota \nu o \varsigma$, and like it a green stone.

Rev 21:20
Sardonyx ( $\sigma \alpha \rho \delta o v v \xi$ ), here only in N.T., white with layers of red, from sardion (red carnelian) and onyx (white); for sardius ( $\sigma \alpha \rho \delta \iota o v$ ) see 4:3; chrysolite ( $\chi \rho v \sigma o \lambda_{\imath} \theta o \varsigma$ ), here only in N.T. (Ex 28:20), stone of a golden colour like our topaz or amber or a yellow beryl or golden jasper; beryl ( $\beta \eta \rho v \lambda \lambda o \varsigma)$, again here only in N.T. (Ex 28:20 ), note the difficulty of identification, much like the emerald according to Pliny; for topaz ( $\tau о \pi \alpha \zeta \iota \nu)$, here only in N.T. (Ex 28:17 ), a golden-greenish stolle; chrysoprase (chrusoprasos), here only in N.T. (not in LXX), in colour like a teek, translucent golden-green; jacinth (vakıvӨoc), of the colour of the hyacinth, a violet colour (Pliny), already in 9:17 like blue smoke, like achates in LXX; amethyst ( $\alpha \mu \varepsilon \theta v \sigma \tau \sigma \varsigma$ ), only here in N.T. (Ex 28:19 ), of a violet and purple colour, more brilliant than the vakıvӨoc. Swete sums up the colours thus: blue (sapphire, jacinth, amethyst), green (jasper, chalcedony, emerald, beryl, topaz, chrysoprase), red (sardonyx, sardius), yellow (chrysolite). But even so there is great variety in hue and brilliancy and in the reaction on each other. Clement of Alexandria argues that this variety illustrates the variety of gifts and graces in the twelve apostles. Possibly so.

Rev 21:21

Twelve pearls ( $\delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \gamma \alpha \rho \iota \tau)$. These gate towers ( $\pi \nu \lambda \omega \nu \varepsilon \varsigma$ ) were mentioned in verses 12 f . Each of these (cf. Isa 54:12) is a pearl, one of the commonest of jewels (Mt 7:6; 13:46; 1Ti 2:9).

Each one ( $\alpha \vee \alpha$ عıৎ єкабтоৎ). Distributive use of $\alpha v \alpha$, but with the nominative (used as adverb, not preposition) rather than the accusative (as a preposition) as appears also in Mr 14:19; Joh 8:9 ; with кata in Ro 12:5 , "a barbaric construction" according to Charles.

Street ( $\pi \lambda \alpha \tau \varepsilon ı \alpha$ ). For which word (broad way, oסoc understood) see Mt 6:5, here the singular, but includes all the streets.

Transparent ( $\delta \iota \alpha \cup \gamma \eta \varsigma)$. Old word (from $\delta \iota \alpha$, through, $\alpha v \gamma \eta$, ray, shining through), here alone in N.T.

Rev 21:22
I saw no temple therein (vaov ovk $\varepsilon เ \delta o v \varepsilon v \alpha v \tau \eta)$. "Temple I did not see in it." The whole city is a temple in one sense (verse 16), but it is something more than a temple even with its sanctuary and Shekinah Glory in the Holy of Holies.

For the Lord God the Almighty, and the Lamb are the temple thereof (o $\gamma \alpha \rho$ Kvpıos о $\theta \varepsilon о \varsigma$ о $\pi \alpha \nu \tau о \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \omega \rho, v \alpha o \varsigma ~ \alpha v \tau \eta \varsigma \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \kappa \alpha \tau о \alpha \rho v ı v)$. "For the Lord God, the Almighty, is the sanctuary of it and the Lamb." The Eternal Presence is the Shekinah Glory of God (verse 3). In 2Co 6:16 we are the sanctuary of God here, but now God is our Sanctuary, and so is the Lamb as in chapters $\operatorname{Re} 4 ; 5$. See 1:8 and often for the description of God here.

Rev 21:23
To shine upon it ( $\imath \alpha \rho \alpha \iota v \omega \sigma \iota \nu \alpha \tau \eta)$ ). Purpose clause with $\imath v \alpha$ and the present active subjunctive of $\varphi \alpha \iota v \omega$, to keep on shining. Light is always a problem in our cities. See Isa 60:19f. .

Did lighten it $(\varepsilon \varphi \omega \tau \iota \sigma \varepsilon \nu \alpha v \tau \eta \nu)$. First aorist active indicative of $\varphi \omega \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, to illumine, old verb from $\varphi \omega \varsigma$ (Lu 11:36). If the sun and moon did shine, they would give no added light in the presence of the Shekinah Glory of God. See verse 11 for "the glory of God." Cf. 18:1;21:3. "Their splendour is simply put to shame by the glory of God Himself" (Charles).

And the lamp thereof is the Lamb ( $\kappa \alpha$ о $\lambda \nu \chi \nu о \varsigma ~ \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \tau о ~ \alpha \rho v ı o v) . ~ C h a r l e s ~ t a k e s ~ o ~$ $\lambda v \chi$ vos as predicate, "and the Lamb is the lamp thereof." Bousset thinks that John means to compare Christ to the moon the lesser light (Ge 1:16), but that contrast is not necessary. Swete sees Christ as the one lamp for all in contrast with the many $\lambda v \chi \nu / \alpha$ of the churches on earth (1:12,20). "No words could more clearly demonstrate the purely spiritual character of St. John's conception of the New Jerusalem" (Swete).

Rev 21:24
Amidst the light thereof ( $\delta ⿺ \alpha \operatorname{\tau ov} \varphi \omega \tau \circ \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma)$. Rather "by the light thereof." From Isa $60: 3,11,20$. All the moral and spiritual progress of moderns is due to Christ, and the nations of earth will be represented, including "the kings" (o $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$, mentioned also in Isa 60:3 , "do bring their glory into it" ( $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \circ \cup \sigma \iota \nu \tau \eta \nu \delta o \xi \alpha \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \alpha v \tau \eta v)$. Present active
indicative of $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$. Swete is uncertain whether this is a picture of heaven itself or "some gracious purpose of God towards humanity which has not yet been revealed" and he cites 22:2 in illustration. The picture is beautiful and glorious even if not realized here, but only in heaven.

Rev 21:25
Shall in no wise be shut (ov $\mu \eta \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). Double negative with the first aorist passive subjunctive of $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon เ \omega$.

By day ( $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma)$. Genitive of time. Mentioned alone without vvктoৎ (by night), "for there shall be no night there" ( $v v \xi \gamma \alpha \rho$ ovk $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon$ ). This looks like a continued picture of heaven.

Rev 21:26
They shall bring (oloovoıv). Future active indicative of $\varphi \varepsilon \rho \omega$. Rome gathered the merchandise of the world (18:11ff. ). The City of God will have the best of all the nations (Isa 60:5,11), an expansion of verse 24.

Rev 21:27
There shall in no wise enter into it (ov $\mu \eta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \eta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \alpha v \tau \eta v$ ). Double negative again with the second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \iota \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ with $\varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ repeated. Like Isa 52:1; Eze 44:9.

Anything unclean ( $\pi \alpha \nu$ коıvov). Common use of $\pi \alpha \nu$ with negative like $o v \delta \varepsilon \nu$, and the use of kotvoc for defiled or profane as in Mr 7:2; Ac 10:14, not just what is common to all (Tit 1:4).

Or he that ( $\kappa \alpha$ о). "And he that."
Maketh an abomination and a lie ( $\pi \circ \iota \omega v \beta \delta \varepsilon \lambda \nu \gamma \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \psi \varepsilon v \delta o \varsigma)$. Like Babylon ( 17:4 which see for $\beta \delta \varepsilon \lambda v \gamma \mu \alpha$ ) and 21:8 for those in the lake of fire and brimstone, and 22:15 for "every one loving and doing a lie." These recurrent glimpses of pagan life on earth and of hell in contrast to heaven in this picture raise the question already mentioned whether John is just running parallel pictures of heaven and hell after the judgment or whether, as Charles says: "The unclean and the abominable and the liars are still on earth, but, though the gates are open day and night, they cannot enter." In apocalyptic writing literalism and chronology cannot be insisted on as in ordinary books. The series of panoramas continue to the end.

But only they which are written ( $\varepsilon \mu \eta$ о $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \varepsilon \nu о$ ). "Except those written." For "the book of life" see 3:5; 13:8; 20:15 . Cf. Da 12:1 .

## Revelation 22

Rev 22:1
He shewed me ( $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon ı \xi \varepsilon v \mu 0$ ). The angel as in 21:9,10 (cf. 1:1; 4:1). Now the interior of the city.

A river of water of life ( $\pi о \tau \alpha \mu о \nu v \delta \alpha \tau о \varsigma \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma)$. For $v \delta \omega \rho \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma$ (water of life) see 7:17; 21:6; 22:17; Joh 4:14. There was a river in the Garden of Eden (Ge 2:10). The metaphor of river reappears in Zec 14:8; Eze 47:9, and the fountain of life in Joe 3:18; Jer 2:13; Pr 10:11; 13:14; 14:27; 16:22; Ps 36:10 .

Bright as crystal ( $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \circ v \omega \varsigma \kappa \rho v \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda o v)$. See 4:6 for $\kappa \rho \cup \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda$ ov and 15:6; 19:8; 22:16 for $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho o v$. "Sparkling like rock crystal" (Swete), shimmering like mountain water over the rocks.

Proceeding out of the throne of God and of the Lamb ( $є к \pi о \rho \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon v o v ~ \varepsilon к ~ \tau o v ~ \theta \rho о v o v ~$ tov $\theta$ cou ка тov apviov). Cf. Eze 47:1; Zec 14:8. Already in 3:21 Christ is pictured as sharing the Father's throne as in Heb 1:3. See also 22:3. This phrase has no bearing on the doctrine of the Procession of the Holy Spirit.

Rev 22:2
In the midst of the street thereof ( $\varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \sigma \omega \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \lambda a \tau \varepsilon ו \alpha \varsigma \alpha \cup \tau \eta \varsigma$ ). Connected probably with the river in verse 1 , though many connect it with verse 2 . Only one street mentioned here as in 21:21.

On this side of the river and on that ( $\tau 0 \cup \pi о \tau \alpha \mu о v \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon v$ ка $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon เ \theta \varepsilon v$ ). Evт $\varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon v$ occurs as a preposition in Da 12:5 (Theodoret) and may be so here (post-positive), purely adverbial in Joh 19:18.
 is used for a green tree in Lu 23:31; Eze 47:12 .

Bearing ( $\pi$ otovv). Neuter active participle of $\pi$ oı $\varepsilon \omega$ (making, producing, as in Mt 7:17 ). Some MSS. have $\pi o \omega \omega v$ (masculine), though $\xi \nu \lambda o v$ is neuter.

Twelve manner of fruits (картоис $\delta \omega \delta \varepsilon к \alpha$ ). "Twelve fruits."
Yielding ( $\alpha \pi \mathrm{o} \delta \iota \delta o u v$ ). Neuter active participle of $\alpha \pi \mathrm{o} \delta \iota \delta \omega \mu$, to give back, but some MSS. have $\alpha \pi о \delta \iota \delta o u c$ (masculine) like $\pi о \omega \omega v$.

For the healing of the nations ( $\varepsilon \varsigma ~ \theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \iota \alpha \tau \omega v \varepsilon \theta v \omega v$ ). Spiritual healing, of course, as leaves ( $\varphi \cup \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ) are often used for obtaining medicines. Here again the problem occurs whether this picture is heaven before the judgment or afterwards. Charles distinguishes sharply between the Heavenly City for the millennial reign and the New Jerusalem that descends from heaven after the judgment. Charles rearranges these chapters to suit his theory. But chronology is precarious here.

Rev 22:3

There shall be no curse any more ( $\pi \alpha v \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha$ оик $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \varepsilon \tau$ ). No other example of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha$ has been found outside of the Didache XVI. 5, though the verb ката $\theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ occurs in Mt 26:74, meaning to curse, while we have $\operatorname{ava} \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ in Mr 14:71 in the same sense. It may be a syncopated form of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha v \alpha \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha$. The usual $\alpha v \alpha \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha$ (curse) occurs in 1Co 16:22; Ga 1:8; Ro 9:3. For $\pi \alpha v$ with ouk=ov $\delta \varepsilon v$ see 21:27.

Shall do him service ( $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon \cup \sigma o v \sigma เ v ~ \alpha v \tau \omega$ ). Future active of $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon v \omega$, linear idea, "shall keep on serving." See 7:15 for present active indicative of this same verb with the dative $\alpha \cup \tau \omega$ as here, picturing the worship of God in heaven. See 27:1 for "the throne of God and of the Lamb."

Rev 22:4
 of God was withheld from Moses (Ex 33:20,23), but promised by Jesus to the pure in heart (Mt 5:8) and mentioned in Heb 12:14 as possible only to the holy, and promised in Ps 17:15 . Even here on earth we can see God in the face of Christ (2Co 4:6), but now in the New Jerusalem we can see Christ face to face (1Co 13:12), even as he is after we are made really like him (2Co 3:18; Ro 8:29; 1Jo 3:2 ). It is anthropomorphic language, to be sure, but it touches the essential reality of religion. "The supreme felicity is reached, immediate presence with God and the Lamb" (Beckwith).

His name on their foreheads ( $\tau 0$ ovo $\mu \alpha$ av $\alpha \sigma v \varepsilon \pi \tau \omega v \mu \varepsilon \tau \omega \pi \omega v \alpha \nu \tau \omega v$ ). As in 3:12; 7:3; 14:1.

## Rev 22:5

Shall be night no more ( $v v \xi$ ouk $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \varepsilon \tau$ ). As in 21:25.
They need ( $\varepsilon \chi$ оvбıv $\chi \rho \varepsilon \iota a v$ ). Present active indicative, "They have need," though A has $\varepsilon \xi$ ovaıv (shall have), future like $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha$. Here again there is repetition of part of 21:23, but for the purpose of showing the delightsomeness of the New Jerusalem with no need of lamp or sun (change to $\varphi \omega \varsigma$ with $\eta \lambda \iota o v$ instead of $\varphi \omega \tau \circ \varsigma$, "they have no light of sun").

Shall give them light ( $\varphi \omega \tau \tau \sigma \varepsilon$ ). Future active of $\varphi \omega \tau \tau \zeta \omega$, while aorist $\varepsilon \varphi \omega \tau \iota \sigma \varepsilon v$ in 21:23.
They shall reign ( $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma o v \sigma \iota v$ ). Future active of $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon v \omega$. Reign eternally in contrast with the limited millennial reign of 20:4,6. This glorious eternal reign with Christ occurs repeatedly in the book $(1: 6 ; 3: 21 ; 5: 10)$ as in Lu 22:30 . Christ's Kingdom is spiritual (Joh 18:36f. ). "The visions of the Apocalypse are now ended; they have reached their climax in the New Jerusalem" (Swete). Now John gives the parting utterances of some of the speakers, and it is not always clear who is speaking.

Rev 22:6
He said unto me ( $\varepsilon \pi \pi \varepsilon \nu \mu \mathrm{o}$ ). Apparently the same angel as in 22:1 (21:9,15).
These words (outo o $\lambda$ оүо). The same words used in 21:5 by the angel there. Whatever the application there, here the angel seems to endorse as "faithful and true" ( $\pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 ~ \kappa \alpha ~ \alpha \lambda \eta \theta$ เvo) not merely the preceding vision ( 21:9-22:5), but the revelations of the entire book. The
language added proves this: "Sent his angel to shew unto his servants the things which must
 $\varepsilon v \tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon$ ), a direct reference to 1:1 concerning the purpose of Christ's revelation to John in this book. For "the God of the spirits of the prophets" (o $\theta \varepsilon o \varsigma \tau \omega v \pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega v \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \omega v$ ) see 19:10; 1Co 14:32 . Probably the prophets' own spirits enlightened by the Holy Spirit (10:7; 11:8; 22:9).

Rev 22:7
And behold, I come quickly ( $\kappa \alpha$ เ $\delta$ ov $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \chi v$ ). Christ is the speaker, either through this angel or more probably directly from Christ without introduction as in verses 12,16. About Christ coming quickly see $2: 5,16 ; 3: 11 ; 16: 15$, and already in 1:2f. Once more we must recall that $\tau \alpha \chi \mathrm{u}$ and $\varepsilon v \tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon$ are according to God's time, not ours ( $2 \mathrm{Pe} 3: 8$ ).

Blessed ( $\mu$ ккарос). This beatitude is like in substance the first ( $1: 3$ ) and is in Christ's own words like the one in 16:15. This book is here called a "prophecy" ( $\pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon ı \alpha)$ as in verses $10,18,19$. It is Christ's revelation from God, a direct message from God. Part of it is prediction of doom on Christ's enemies, but most of it is a comforting picture of final triumph and bliss for the faithful in a time of great distress and persecution.

Rev 22:8
And I John (Kaү $\operatorname{I} \omega \alpha v v \eta \varsigma)$. Here John the Seer is the speaker. He had already given his name (1:1,4,9). Here he claims to be the "one who hears and sees these things" (o $\alpha \kappa 0 v \omega v$ ка $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega \nu \tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha)$.

I fell down to worship ( $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \nu v \eta \sigma \alpha$ ). Second aorist active indicative of $\pi \iota \pi \tau \omega$ (with - $\alpha$ form) and the first aorist active infinitive of purpose of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \nu v \varepsilon \omega$. It was a natural, though a wrong, thing to do, especially after Christ's own voice followed that of the angel
 present active participle of $\delta \varepsilon ו \kappa v v \omega$. Cf. 1:1; 4:1; 17:1; 21:9f.; 22:1,6 .

Rev 22:9
See thou do it not (Hop $\alpha \mu \eta$ ). The angel promptly interposes ( $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$, dramatic present). See 19:10 for discussion of this same phrase opa $\mu \eta$ when John had once before started to worship the angel in his excitement. Here we have added to the words in 19:10 "the prophets ( $\tau \omega v \pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \omega v$ ) and also "them which keep the words of this book" ( $\tau \omega v \tau \eta \rho o u v \tau \omega v \tau 0 v \varsigma$入oүous tou $\beta \iota \beta \lambda_{\text {ıov }}$ toutov), the last a repetition from 22:7. In both places we have "Worship God" ( $\tau \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega \pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \nu v \eta \sigma o v)$. And not an angel.

Rev 22:10
And he saith unto me ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o}$ ). The angel resumes as in 19:9.
Seal not up ( $\mu \eta \sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \sigma \eta \iota$ ). Prohibition with $\mu \eta$ and the ingressive first aorist active subjunctive of $\sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \zeta \omega$. Charles takes this to be the command of Christ because in verses 7,18 "the words of the prophecy of this book" come from Christ. But that is not a conclusive argument, though Charles, as already stated, rearranges these chapters to suit his own notion.

Once only ( $10: 4$ ) was John directed to seal and not to write. See there for discussion of $\sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \omega$. This book is to be left open for all to read ( $1: 3 ; 13: 18 ; 17: 9 ; 22: 7,18$ ).

## At hand ( $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \cup \varsigma)$. As in 1:3.

Rev 22:11
Let him do unrighteousness still ( $\alpha \delta \kappa \kappa \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \omega \varepsilon \tau$ ). First aorist (constative) active imperative of $\alpha \delta เ \kappa \varepsilon \omega$, viewed here as a whole. The language is probably ironical, with a reminder of Da 12:10, in no sense a commendation of their lost estate. Charles rejects this verse as not like John. It is the hopelessness of the final state of the wicked which is here pictured. So as to "Let him be made filthy still" ( $\rho \cup \pi \alpha v \theta \eta \tau \omega \varepsilon \tau)$. First aorist (constative) passive imperative of $\rho \cup \pi a \imath v \omega$, old verb, to make foul or filthy (from $\rho \cup \pi \sigma$, filth, 1 Pe 3:21, as is $\rho \cup \pi \alpha \rho o \varsigma$, filthy), here only in N.T. The use of $\varepsilon \tau$ is not perfectly clear, whether "still" or "yet more." It is the time when Christ has shut the door to those outside who are now without
 (filthiness) only in Jas 1:21. So then "the righteous" (o סוкaıo؟) is to do righteousness still ( $\delta ı \alpha \iota \sigma \sigma \cup \nu \eta \nu \pi о \_\sigma \alpha \tau \omega \varepsilon \tau$, first constative aorist active imperative of toı $\varepsilon \omega$ ) and "the holy" ( $\mathrm{o} \alpha \gamma \iota \varsigma$ ) to be made holy still ( $\alpha \gamma \iota \omega \sigma \theta \eta \tau \omega \varepsilon \tau$, first constative aorist passive imperative of $\alpha \gamma ı \zeta \zeta)$. The states of both the evil and the good are now fixed forever. There is no word here about a "second chance" hereafter.

Rev 22:12
My reward is with me (o $\mu \tau \theta$ oc $\mu \circ v \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu \circ v$ ). It is Christ speaking again and he repeats his promise of coming quickly as in verse 7 . He speaks now as the Rewarder (o $\mu$ н $\sigma a \pi$ о $\delta o \tau \eta \varsigma$ ) of Heb 11:6. Cf. Re 11:18; Isa 40:10; 62:11 .

To render ( $\alpha \pi о \delta o v v \alpha$ ). Second aorist active infinitive of purpose of $\alpha \pi о \delta \Delta \delta \omega \mu$, to give back. Each will receive the reward according to his own work (Re 2:23; 2Co 5:10; Ro 2:26).

Rev 22:13
I am the Alpha and the Omega ( $\mathrm{E} \gamma \omega$ то $\mathrm{A} \lambda \varphi \alpha$ ка то O ). Applied to God in 1:8; 21:6, and here alone to Christ, crowning proof in this book of Christ's deity. So in 21:6 God is termed, as Christ is here, $\eta \alpha \rho \chi \eta \kappa \alpha$ то $\tau \varepsilon \lambda$ о (the beginning and the end), while o $\pi \rho \omega \tau о \varsigma$ ка о $\varepsilon \sigma \chi$ атоৎ (the first and the last) is applied only to Christ (1:17; 2:8). Solemn assurance is thus given that Christ is qualified to be the Judge of verse 12 (cf. Mt 25:31-46). In Heb 12:2 Jesus is the $\alpha \rho \chi \eta \gamma \circ \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \omega \tau \eta \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ (the author and finisher of faith). Christ was the Creator of the universe for the Father. So now he is the Consummation of redemption.

Rev 22:14
Blessed ( $\mu \alpha к \alpha \rho ı)$ ). This is the last beatitude of the book and "deals with the issues of the higher life" (Swete).

They that wash their robes (o $\pi \lambda \nu v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \sigma \tau o \lambda \alpha \varsigma \alpha v \tau \omega v$ ). Present active articular participle of $\pi \lambda \nu v \omega$. See 7:14 for this very verb with $\sigma \tau 0 \lambda \alpha \varsigma$, while in $3: 4$ the negative statement occurs. Cf. 1Co 6:11 .

That they may have the right ( $\llcorner\sim \alpha \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \eta \varepsilon \xi \sigma \sigma \sigma \iota \alpha \sim \tau \tau \nu)$ ). Purpose clause with $ı v \alpha$ and the future middle of $\varepsilon \mu \mu$ (a common construction in this book, 6:4,11; 9:5,20; 13:12; 14:13 ), that there may be their right."

To come to the tree of life ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau$ тo $\xi \cup \lambda o \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma)$. "Over the tree of life." On $\varepsilon \xi \sigma 0 \sigma \iota \alpha$ $\varepsilon \pi=$ "power over" see 6:8; 13:7; 16:9; Lu 9:1 . On "the tree of life" see 2:7; 22:2 .

May enter in ( $\varepsilon เ \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega \sigma \tau v$ ). Purpose clause with $\operatorname{va} \alpha$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon ו \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ parallel with $\operatorname{va} \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha$ (future).

By the gates ( $\tau$ oı $\pi \cup \lambda \omega \sigma \tau v$ ). Associative instrumental case of $\pi \cup \lambda \omega v$ ( $21: 12$ ), "by the gate towers."

Rev 22:15
Without ( $\varepsilon \xi \omega)$. Outside the holy city, with which compare 21:8,27. Dustierdieck supplies an imperative: "Out, ye dogs."

The dogs (o кvvec). Not literal dogs, but the morally impure (De 23:18; 2Ki 8:13; Ps 22:17,21; Mt 7:6; Mr 7:27; Php 3:3 ). Dogs in the Oriental cities are the scavengers and excite unspeakable contempt.

The sorcerers (о фариако). As in 21:8, where are listed "the fornicators and the murderers and the idolaters," all "outside" the holy city here as there "in the lake that burns with fire and brimstone, the second death." Both are pictures (symbolic language) of hell, the eternal absence from fellowship with God. Another time Jesus spoke of "the outer darkness" (عцऽ то бкотоऽ то $\varepsilon \xi \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \vee$, Mt 8:12; 22:13; 25:30 ), outside of lighted house, as the abode of the damned. Another symbol is the worm that dies not (Mr 9:48).

Every one that loveth and maketh a lie ( $\pi \alpha \varsigma \varphi \iota \lambda \omega \vee \kappa \alpha \pi о t \omega v \psi \varepsilon v \delta \circ \varsigma)$. An interpretation of $\pi \alpha \sigma \omega \nu$ toıs $\psi \varepsilon \cup \delta \varepsilon \sigma \omega v$ (all liars) of 21:8 and of $\pi о \omega \nu \psi \varepsilon \cup \delta o c$ (doing a lie) of 21:27. Satan is the father of lying (Joh 8:44) and Satan's home is a congenial place for those who love and practise lying (2Th 2:12 ). See 1Jo 1:6 for not doing the truth and see also Ro 1:25; Eph 4:25

Rev 22:16
I Jesus (E $\gamma \omega$ I $\eta$ oouc). The last and most solemn attestation to the book that from Jesus (the historic Jesus known to the churches), in harmony with 1:1f .

Have sent $(\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \alpha)$. First aorist active indicative of $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \omega$, used here in the same sense as $\alpha \pi$ обтвı $\lambda$ 人 in 1:1 as his personal messenger. It is the Jesus of history here speaking, who is also the Christ of theology and the Lamb of God.

For the churches ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau$ таıऽ $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \varpi \alpha \iota)$ ). For this use of $\varepsilon \pi$ see 10:11; Joh 12:16. It is not just for the seven churches ( 1:4), but for all the churches in the world then and now.

I am the root and the offspring of David ( $\mathrm{E} \gamma \omega \varepsilon є \mu \eta \rho \iota \alpha \alpha \kappa \alpha$ то $\gamma \varepsilon \nu о \varsigma \Delta \alpha v \varepsilon เ \delta)$. See 5:5 for "the root of David," to which John now adds to $\gamma \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ in the sense of "offspring" (Ac 17:28f. ), not of family or race (Ac 4:6; 7:13 ). Cf. Mt 22:42-45.

The bright, the morning star ( $о \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho$ о $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \circ \varsigma$ о $\pi \rho \omega \iota \nu \circ \varsigma$ ). The Davidic King is called a star in Nu 24:17; Lu 1:78. This "day-star" ( $\varphi \omega \sigma \rho \rho \circ \varsigma)$ is interpreted as Christ (2Pe 1:19). In Re 2:28 the phrase "the morning star" occurs in Christ's words, which is here interpreted. Christ is the Light that was coming into the world (Joh 1:9; 8:12).

Rev 22:17
The Spirit and the bride ( $\tau о \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \eta \nu v \mu \varphi \eta$ ). The Holy Spirit, speaking through the prophets or the Spirit of prophecy $(2: 7 ; 16: 4 ; 18: 24)$, joins with the bride (21:2), the people of God, in a response to the voice of Jesus just heard. After the picture of heaven in 22:1-5 there is intense longing (19:7) of God's people for the consummation of the marriage of the Lamb and the Bride. So now "the prophets and the saints" (Swete) make a common plea to the Lord Jesus to "come" (E $\rho \chi \circ v$, present middle imperative of $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$, Come on) as he has just said twice that he would do $(22: 1,12)$. The call for Christ is to be repeated by every hearer ( $\mathrm{o} \alpha \kappa о v \omega v$ ) as in 1:3.

Let him come $(\varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \omega)$. Change of person and this verb applied not to Christ as just before, but to the one who wishes to greet Christ. The thirsty man is bidden to come himself before it is too late. See 5:6 for $\delta \iota \psi \alpha \omega$, used for spiritual thirst, and in particular Joh 6:35; 7:37 for one thirsting for the water of life (21:6; 22:1). Cf. Isa 55:1 .

He that will (o $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega v$ ). Even if not yet eagerly thirsting. This one is welcome also. For this use of $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$ see Php 2:13.

Let him take $(\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \tau \omega)$. Second ingressive aorist active imperative of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$. In accordance with the free promise in 21:6, "freely" ( $\delta \omega \rho \varepsilon \alpha v$ ) here as there. This gracious and wide invitation is cheering after the gloomy picture of the doomed and the damned. The warnings against the dragon and the two beasts with all their dreadful consequences are meant to deter men from falling victims to all the devil's devices then and now. The door of mercy still stands wide open today, for the end has not yet come. The series of panoramas is over, with the consummation pictured as a reality. Now we drop back to the standpoint before we saw the visions through John's eyes. In verse 17 we hear the voice of the Spirit of God inviting all who hear and see to heed and to come and drink of the water of life freely offered by the Lamb of God.

## Rev 22:18

I testify ( $\mathrm{E} \gamma \omega \mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \omega$ ). Commentators disagree keenly about the words in verses 18,19. Charles rejects them as an interpolation and out of harmony with the rest of the book. Beckwith takes them to be John's own warning, drawn from De $4: 2$ "to every man that heareth" ( $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \tau \omega$ aкovov , dative of the articular present active participle of $\alpha \kappa \sigma \omega \omega$,
which compare 1:3). Swete properly holds these verses to be from Jesus himself, still bearing solemn witness to this book, with warning against wilful perversion of its teachings.

If any man shall add ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \tau \iota c \varepsilon \pi \forall \eta \eta$ ). Condition of the third class with $\varepsilon a v$ and the second aorist active subjunctive of $\varepsilon \pi \iota \tau \iota \eta \eta \mu$, with $\varepsilon \pi$ added with $\alpha v \tau \alpha$, as also in the conclusion $\varepsilon \pi \iota \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi^{\prime}$ avtov (future active). This warning is directed against perversions of this book, not about the New Testament or the Bible as a whole, though it may be true there also. Surely no warning was more needed when we consider the treatment accorded the Apocalypse, so that Dr. Robert South said that the Apocalypse either found one crazy or left him so.

Rev 22:19
If any man shall take away ( $\varepsilon \alpha v \tau \iota \zeta \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \eta$ ). Also condition of the third class with $\varepsilon \alpha v$ and second aorist active subjunctive of $\alpha \varphi \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \omega$, with $\alpha \pi \frac{\text { repeated both in the condition }}{}$ and in the conclusion ( $\alpha \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \pi 0$, future active indicative of $\alpha \varphi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \omega$ for the more usual $\alpha \varphi \propto \iota \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon)$.

Which are written in this book ( $\tau \omega v \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu \varepsilon v \tau \omega \beta\langle\beta \lambda \iota \omega$ $\tau 0 \nu \tau \omega$ ). Ablative neuter plural articular perfect passive participle in apposition with $\varepsilon \kappa$ тov $\xi \cup \lambda$ ou $\tau \eta \varsigma \zeta \omega \eta \varsigma$
 worthy of his inheritance.

Rev 22:20
He which testifieth (o $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \omega v$ ). That is Jesus ( $1: 2$ ) who has just spoken ( $22: 18$ ).
Yea: I come quickly (Naı, $\varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \chi \cup$ ). Affirmation again of the promise in 22:7,12 . On Na (Yes) see 1:7 for the Lord's assent to the call. Then John expresses his absolute belief in the Lord's promise: "Amen: come, Lord Jesus" (A $\mu \eta \nu, \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ v$, Kv $1 \varepsilon$ I $\eta \sigma o v)$. On A $\mu \eta \nu$ see 1:7. On epXou see 22:17. Note Kupıє with Inбov. As in 1Co 12:3; Php 2:11. For Paul's confidence in the deity of Christ and the certainty of his second coming see Tit 2:13; 2Ti 4:8 . Mapava $\theta$ a (1Co 16:22).

Rev 22:21
The grace of the Lord Jesus be with the saints ( $\eta \chi \alpha \rho ı \varsigma ~ \tau o v ~ K u \rho ı o v ~ I \eta \sigma o v ~ \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ~ \tau \omega v ~$ $\alpha \gamma \omega \omega v$ ). John's own benediction, an unusual ending for an apocalypse, but suitable for one meant to be read in the churches (1:3f. ). Grace is Paul's unvarying word in conclusion of his letters, as is true of Heb 13:25. "The saints" or the consecrated (o a $\gamma \ldots \mathrm{o}$ ) is John's constant word for believers in Christ (8:3f.; 11:18; 13:7,10; 14:12; 16:6; 17:6; 18:20,24; 19:8; $20: 9$ ). It is a good word for the close of this marvellous picture of God's gracious provision for his people in earth and heaven.
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$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}5: 30 & 5: 30 & 5: 30 & 5: 30 & 5: 31 & 5: 31 & 5: 31 & 5: 31 & 5: 32 & 5: 32 & 5: 32 & 5: 32 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 3 & 6: 3 & 6: 3 & 6: 3-5 & 6: 4 & 6: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 \\ 6: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 8 & 6: 8\end{array} \quad 6: 8$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}6: 8 & 6: 8 & 6: 8 & 6: 8 & 6: 8 & 6: 8 & 6: 8 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10-9: 2 & 6: 11\end{array} \quad 6: 11 \quad 6: 11 \quad 6: 11$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 \\ 6: 12 & 6: 13 & 6: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13-15 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 \\ 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 16 & 6: 16 & 6: 16 & 6: 16 & 6: 17 & 6: 17 & 6: 18 & 6: 18 & 6: 18 & 6: 18 & 6: 18 & 6: 19 & 6: 19\end{array} \quad 6: 19 \quad 6: 19$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}6: 21 & 6: 21 & 6: 21 & 6: 22 & 6: 22 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 \\ 7: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 4 & 7: 4 & 7: 4 & 7: 4 & 7: 4 & 7: 4 & 7: 4 & 7: 4 & 7: 4 & 7: 5 & 7: 5 & 7: 5 & 7: 5 & 7: 5 & 7: 5 \\ 7: 5 & 7: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}7: 5 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 7 & 7: 7 & 7: 7 & 7: 7 & 7: 7 & 7: 8 & 7: 8 & 7: 8 & 7: 8 & 7: 8 & -12 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 \\ 7: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 10 & 7: 10 & 7: 10 & 7: 10 & 7: 10 & 7: 10 & 7: 10 & 7: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}7: 10 & 7: 10 & 7: 10 & 7: 10-17 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 11-15 & 7: 12 & 7: 12 & 7: 12 & 7: 12\end{array} \quad 7: 12$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}7: 12 & 7: 12 & 7: 12 & 7: 12 & 7: 12 & 7: 12 & 7: 12 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 \\ 7: 13 & 7: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 14 & 7: 14 & 7: 14 & 7: 14 & 7: 14 & 7: 14 & 7: 14 \\ 7: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 \\ 7: 15 & 7: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}7: 15 & 7: 16 & 7: 16 & 7: 16 & 7: 16 & 7: 16 & 7: 16 & 7: 16-8: 16 & 7: 17 & 7: 17 & 7: 17 & 7: 17 & 7: 17 \\ 7: 17 & 7: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}7: 17 & 7: 18 & 7: 18 & 7: 18 & 7: 18 & 7: 18 & 7: 18 & 7: 19 & 7: 19 & 7: 19 & 7: 19 & 7: 19 & 7: 19\end{array} 7: 20 \quad 7: 20$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}7: 20 & 7: 20 & 7: 21 & 7: 21 & 7: 22 & 7: 22 & 7: 22 & 7: 22 & 7: 22 & 7: 23 & 7: 23 & 7: 23-9: 1 & 7: 24 \\ 7: 24 & 7: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}7: 24 & 7: 24 & 7: 24 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1-3 \\ 8: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2-9: 6 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 \\ 8: 3 & 8: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 \\ 8: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 \\ 8: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 8 & 8: 8 \\ 8: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}8: 8 & 8: 8 & 8: 8 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 11 & 8: 11 & 8: 11 & 8: 11 & 8: 11 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 \\ 8: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13-9: 28 \\ 8: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}8: 14 & 8: 14 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 16 & 8: 16 & 8: 16 & 8: 16 & 8: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}8: 16 & 8: 16 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 18 & 8: 18 & 8: 18 & 8: 18 & 8: 18 & 8: 18 & 8: 18 & 8: 19 & 8: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}8: 19 & 8: 19 & 8: 19 & 8: 20 & 8: 20 & 8: 20 & 8: 20 & 8: 20 & 8: 20 & 8: 20 & 8: 21 & 8: 21 & 8: 21 \\ 8: 21 & 8: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}8: 21 & 8: 21-10: 20 & 8: 22 & 8: 22 & 8: 22 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 \\ 9: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 3 & 9: 3 & 9: 3 & 9: 3 \\ 9: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}9: 3 & 9: 3 & 9: 3 & 9: 3 & 9: 3 & 9: 3 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 \\ 9: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}9: 4 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 8 & 9: 8 & 9: 8 \\ 9: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 8 & 9: 8 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 & 9: 10-18 & 9: 11 \\ 9: 11 & 9: 11\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 12 & 9: 12 & 9: 12 & 9: 12 & 9: 12 & 9: 12 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 \\ 9: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 14 & 9: 14 & 9: 14 & 9: 14 & 9: 14 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 15\end{array} 9: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 15 & 9: 16 & 9: 16 & 9: 16 & 9: 16 & 9: 16 & 9: 17 & 9: 17 & 9: 17 & 9: 17 & 9: 18 & 9: 18 & 9: 18 \\ 9: 18 & 9: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 19 & 9: 19 & 9: 19 & 9: 19 & 9: 19 & 9: 20 & 9: 20 & 9: 20 & 9: 20 & 9: 20 & 9: 20 & 9: 20 & 9: 20 \\ 9: 20 & 9: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 21 & 9: 21 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 23 & 9: 23 & 9: 24 & 9: 24 \\ 9: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}9: 24 & 9: 24 & 9: 24 & 9: 25 & 9: 25 & 9: 25 & 9: 25 & 9: 25 & 9: 25-10: 20 & 9: 26 & 9: 26 & 9: 26 \\ 9: 26 & 9: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 26 & 9: 26 & 9: 27 & 9: 27 & 9: 27 & 9: 27 & 9: 27 & 9: 28 & 9: 28 & 9: 29 & 9: 29 & 9: 29 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 \\ 10: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 1-16 & 10: 2 & 10: 2 & 10: 2 & 10: 2 & 10: 2 & 10: 3 & 10: 3 & 10: 3 & 10: 3 & 10: 3 & 10: 3 \\ 10: 3 & 10: 3 & 10: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}10: 3 & 10: 3-6 & 10: 4 & 10: 4 & 10: 4 & 10: 4 & 10: 4 & 10: 4 & 10: 4 & 10: 4 & 10: 4 & 10: 4 & 10: 4 & 10: 5 & 10: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}10: 5 & 10: 5 & 10: 6 & 10: 6 & 10: 6 & 10: 6 & 10: 6 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 \\ 10: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}10: 8 & 10: 8 & 10: 9 & 10: 9 & 10: 9 & 10: 9 & 10: 9 & 10: 9 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 11 & 10: 11 & 10: 11 & 10: 11 & 10: 11 & 10: 11 & 10: 12 & 10: 12 & 10: 13 & 10: 14 & 10: 14 & 10: 14\end{array} \quad 10: 15$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 15 & 10: 15 & 10: 15 & 10: 15 & 10: 15 & 10: 16 & 10: 16 & 10: 16 & 10: 17 & 10: 17 & 10: 18 & 10: 19\end{array} 10: 19$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 19 & 10: 19 & 10: 19 & 10: 19 & 10: 19 & 10: 19 & 10: 19 & 10: 20 & 10: 21 & 10: 21 & 10: 21 & 10: 21\end{array} 10: 22$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 23 & 10: 23 & 10: 23 & 10: 23 & 10: 23 & 10: 23 \\ 10: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 24 & 10: 24 & 10: 24 & 10: 24 & 10: 24 & 10: 25 & 10: 25 & 10: 25 & 10: 25 & 10: 25 & 10: 25 & 10: 26\end{array} 10: 26$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 27 & 10: 27 & 10: 27 & 10: 28 & 10: 28 & 10: 28 & 10: 28 & 10: 29 & 10: 29 & 10: 29 & 10: 29 & 10: 29 \\ 10: 30\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}10: 30 & 10: 30-32 & 10: 31 & 10: 31 & 10: 32 & 10: 32 & 10: 32 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 3 \\ 11: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}11: 3 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 4-12: 6 & 11: 5\end{array} 11: 511: 5$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 6 & 11: 6 & 11: 6 & 11: 6 & 11: 6\end{array} 11: 6$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}11: 6 & 11: 6 & 11: 6-12: 10 & 11: 7 & 11: 7 & 11: 7 & 11: 7 & 11: 7 & 11: 7 & 11: 8 & 11: 8 & 11: 8 & 11: 8 \\ 1 & 11: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}11: 9 & 11: 9 & 11: 9 & 11: 9 & 11: 9 & 11: 9 & 11: 9 & 11: 10 & 11: 10 & 11: 10 & 11: 10 & 11: 10 & 11: 10\end{array} 11: 11$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}11: 11 & 11: 11 & 11: 11 & 11: 12 & 11: 12 & 11: 12 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 14 & 11: 14 & 11: 15 & 11: 15 & 11: 15 & 11: 15 & 11: 15 & 11: 16 & 11: 16 & 11: 16\end{array} 11: 16$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 16 & 11: 16 & 11: 16 & 11: 16 & 11: 16 & 11: 16 & 11: 16 & 11: 16 & 11: 17 & 11: 17 & 11: 17 & 11: 17\end{array} 11: 17$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 17 & 11: 17 & 11: 17 & 11: 17 & 11: 18 & 11: 18 & 11: 18 & 11: 18 & 11: 18 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 19\end{array} 11: 19$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 20 & 11: 21 & 11: 22 & 11: 23 \\ 11: 23 & 11: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 24 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 26 & 11: 26 & 11: 26 & 11: 26 & 11: 26 & 11: 26\end{array} 11: 27 \quad 11: 27$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 27 & 11: 28 & 11: 29 & 11: 29 & 11: 29 & 11: 29 & 11: 30 & 11: 30 & 11: 30 & 11: 31 & 11: 31 \\ 11: 32 & 11: 32\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1-3 \\ 12: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 4 & 12: 5 \\ 12: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}12: 5 & 12: 5 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 \\ 12: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}12: 8 & 12: 8 & 12: 8 & 12: 8 & 12: 9 & 12: 9 & 12: 9 & 12: 9 & 12: 9 & 12: 9 & 12: 9 & 12: 9-11 & 12: 10\end{array} 12: 10$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 10 & 12: 10 & 12: 11 & 12: 11 & 12: 11 & 12: 11 & 12: 11 & 12: 12 & 12: 12 & 12: 12 & 12: 12 & 12: 12\end{array} 12: 12$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 12-13: 11 & 12: 13 & 12: 13 & 12: 13 & 12: 13 & 12: 13 & 12: 13 & 12: 13 & 12: 14 & 12: 14 & 12: 15\end{array} 12: 15$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}12: 15 & 12: 15 & 12: 16 & 12: 16 & 12: 16 & 12: 16 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 12: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 18 & 12: 19 & 12: 19 & 12: 19 & 12: 19 & 12: 19 & 12: 19 & 12: 19 & 12: 20 & 12: 20 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 \\ 13: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 4 & 13: 4 & 13: 4 & 13: 4 & 13: 4 & 13: 4 & 13: 5 & 13: 5 & 13: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}13: 5 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 & 13: 7 & 13: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}13: 7 & 13: 7 & 13: 7 & 13: 7 & 13: 7 & 13: 7 & 13: 8 & 13: 8 & 13: 8 & 13: 8 & 13: 8 & 13: 8 & 13: 8 & 13: 8 & 13: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 9 & 13: 9 & 13: 9 & 13: 10 & 13: 10 & 13: 10 & 13: 10 & 13: 10 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 \\ 13: 11-16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}13: 11-17 & 13: 12 & 13: 12 & 13: 12 & 13: 12 & 13: 12 & 13: 12 & 13: 12 & 13: 12 & 13: 12 \\ 13: 12 & 13: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 14 & 13: 14 & 13: 14 & 13: 14 & 13: 14 & 13: 14 \\ 13: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 14 & 13: 15 & 13: 15 & 13: 15 & 13: 15 & 13: 15 & 13: 15 & 13: 15 & 13: 15 & 13: 15 & 13: 16 & 13: 16\end{array} 13: 16$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 16 & 13: 16 & 13: 16 & 13: 16 & 13: 16 & 13: 16 & 13: 16 & 13: 16 & 13: 17 & 13: 17 & 13: 17 & 13: 17 \\ 13: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}13: 17 & 13: 17-14: 23 & 13: 18 & 13: 18 & 13: 18 & 13: 18 & 13: 18 & 13: 18 & 13: 18 & 13: 18 & 13: 18\end{array} 14: 1$ $14: 1 \quad 14: 1 \quad 14: 1 \quad 14: 1 \quad 14: 1 \quad 14: 1$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}14: 2 & 14: 2 & 14: 2 & 14: 2 & 14: 2 & 14: 2 & 14: 2 & 14: 2 & 14: 2 & 14: 2 & 14: 2 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 3\end{array} \quad 14: 3$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 4 & 14: 4 & 14: 4 & 14: 4 & 14: 4 & 14: 4 & 14: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}14: 5 & 14: 5 & 14: 5 & 14: 5 & 14: 5 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 7 & 14: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}14: 7 & 14: 7 & 14: 7 & 14: 7 & 14: 7 & 14: 7 & 14: 8 & 14: 8 & 14: 8 & 14: 8 & 14: 8 & 14: 8 & 14: 8 & 14: 8 \\ 14: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}14: 8 & 14: 8 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 \\ 14: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 9 & 14: 10 & 14: 10 & 14: 10 & 14: 10 & 14: 10 & 14: 10 & 14: 10 & 14: 10 & 14: 10 & 14: 10 & 14: 10 & 14: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 10 & 14: 10 & 14: 10 & 14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13\end{array} 14: 13$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 14 & 14: 14 & 14: 14 & 14: 14 & 14: 14 & 14: 14 & 14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 16 & 14: 16 & 14: 16 & 14: 16 & 14: 16\end{array} 14: 16$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 16 & 14: 16 & 14: 17 & 14: 17 & 14: 17 & 14: 17 & 14: 17 & 14: 17 & 14: 18 & 14: 18 & 14: 18 & 14: 18 & 14: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}14: 18 & 14: 18 & 14: 18-20 & 14: 18-20 & 14: 19 & 14: 19 & 14: 19 & 14: 19 & 14: 19 & 14: 20 & 14: 20\end{array} 14: 20$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}14: 21 & 14: 22 & 14: 22 & 14: 23 & 14: 23 & 14: 23 & 14: 24 & 14: 24 & 14: 24 & 14: 24 & 14: 24 \\ 15: 1 & 15: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}15: 1 & 15: 1 & 15: 1 & 15: 1 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}15: 3 & 15: 3 & 15: 3 & 15: 3 & 15: 3 & 15: 3 & 15: 3 & 15: 3 & 15: 3 & 15: 3 & 15: 4 & 15: 4 & 15: 4 & 15: 4 & 15: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}15: 4 & 15: 4 & 15: 4 & 15: 4 & 15: 4-7 & 15: 5 & 15: 5 & 15: 5 & 15: 5 & 15: 5 & 15: 5 & 15: 5 & 15: 5 \\ 15: 6 & 15: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 7 & 15: 7 & 15: 7 & 15: 7 \\ 15: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}15: 7 & 15: 7 & 15: 7 & 15: 8 & 15: 8 & 15: 8 & 15: 8 & 15: 8 & 15: 8 & 15: 8 & 15: 8 & 15: 9-18 & 15: 10\end{array} 15: 10$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 11 & 15: 11 & 15: 11 & 15: 11 & 15: 12 & 15: 12 & 15: 12 & 15: 12 & 15: 12 & 15: 12 & 15: 12 & 15: 13 \\ 15: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 13 & 15: 13 & 15: 14 & 15: 15 & 15: 15 & 15: 15 & 15: 15 & 15: 16 & 15: 16 & 15: 16 & 15: 16 & 15: 17\end{array} 15: 18$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}15: 18 & 15: 18 & 15: 19 & 15: 19 & 15: 19 & 15: 20 & 15: 20 & 15: 21 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1\end{array} 16: 1$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 3 & 16: 4 & 16: 4 & 16: 4 & 16: 4 & 16: 4 & 16: 4 & 16: 4 & 16: 4 \\ 16: 4\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}16: 4 & 16: 4 & 16: 4 & 16: 4 & 16: 5 & 16: 5 & 16: 5 & 16: 5 & 16: 6 & 16: 6 & 16: 6 & 16: 6 & 16: 6 & 16: 6 & 16: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}16: 7 & 16: 7 & 16: 7 & 16: 7 & 16: 7 & 16: 7 & 16: 8 & 16: 8 & 16: 8 & 16: 8 & 16: 8 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 10 & 16: 10 & 16: 10 & 16: 10 & 16: 10 & 16: 10 & 16: 10 & 16: 10 & 16: 10\end{array} 16: 10$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}16: 10-17: 24 & 16: 11 & 16: 11 & 16: 11 & 16: 11 & 16: 11 & 16: 12 & 16: 12 & 16: 12 & 16: 12 & 16: 13 \\ 16: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}16: 13 & 16: 13 & 16: 13 & 16: 14 & 16: 14 & 16: 14 & 16: 15 & 16: 15 & 16: 15 & 16: 15 & 16: 15 & 16: 15 & 16: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}16: 15 & 16: 16 & 16: 16 & 16: 16 & 16: 16 & 17: 1 & 17: 1 & 17: 1 & 17: 1 & 17: 1 & 17: 1 & 17: 1 & 17: 1 & 17: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}17: 1 & 17: 1 & 17: 2 & 17: 2 & 17: 2 & 17: 2 & 17: 2 & 17: 2 & 17: 2 & 17: 2 & 17: 2 & 17: 2 & 17: 2 & 17: 2 & 17: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}17: 6 & 17: 6 & 17: 6 & 17: 6 & 17: 6 & 17: 6 & 17: 6 & 17: 6 & 17: 6 & 17: 7 & 17: 7 & 17: 7 & 17: 7 & 17: 7 & 17: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}17: 7 & 17: 7 & 17: 7 & 17: 7 & 17: 7 & 17: 7 & 17: 7 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 9 & 17: 9 & 17: 9 & 17: 9 & 17: 9 & 17: 9 & 17: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}17: 9 & 17: 9 & 17: 9 & 17: 9 & 17: 9 & 17: 9 & 17: 9 & 17: 9 & 17: 9 & 17: 9-14 & 17: 10 & 17: 11 & 17: 11\end{array} 17: 11$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}17: 11 & 17: 11 & 17: 11 & 17: 11 & 17: 11 & 17: 11 & 17: 12 & 17: 12 & 17: 12 & 17: 12 & 17: 12 & 17: 12 & 17: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}17: 12 & 17: 13 & 17: 13 & 17: 13 & 17: 14 & 17: 14 & 17: 14 & 17: 14 & 17: 14 & 17: 14 & 17: 14 & 17: 14 & 17: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}17: 15 & 17: 15 & 17: 15 & 17: 15 & 17: 15 & 17: 16 & 17: 16 & 17: 16 & 17: 16 & 17: 16 & 17: 17 & 17: 17 & 17: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}17: 17 & 17: 17 & 17: 18 & 17: 19 & 17: 19 & 17: 20 & 17: 21 & 17: 21 & 17: 21 & 17: 21 & 17: 22 & 17: 22\end{array} 17: 22$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}17: 23 & 17: 23 & 17: 23 & 17: 24 & 17: 24 & 17: 24 & 17: 24 & 17: 24 & 17: 25 & 17: 25 & 17: 25 & 17: 25\end{array} 17: 26$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}17: 26 & 17: 26 & 17: 26 & 18: 1 & 18: 1 & 18: 1 & 18: 1 & 18: 1 & 18: 1 & 18: 1-33 & 18: 2 & 18: 2 & 18: 2\end{array} 18: 2$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}18: 2 & 18: 3 & 18: 3 & 18: 3 & 18: 3 & 18: 3 & 18: 3 & 18: 3 & 18: 3 & 18: 3 & 18: 4 & 18: 4 & 18: 4 & 18: 4 & 18: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}18: 4 & 18: 4 & 18: 4 & 18: 5 & 18: 5 & 18: 5 & 18: 5 & 18: 6 & 18: 6 & 18: 7 & 18: 7 & 18: 7 & 18: 8 & 18: 8 \\ 18: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 8 & 18: 8 & 18: 9 & 18: 9 & 18: 9 & 18: 9 & 18: 9 & 18: 10 & 18: 10 & 18: 10 & 18: 10 & 18: 10\end{array} 18: 10 \quad 18: 10$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 11 & 18: 12 & 18: 12 & 18: 12 & 18: 12 & 18: 12 & 18: 13 & 18: 14 & 18: 14 & 18: 14 & 18: 14 & 18: 15\end{array} 18: 16$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 16 & 18: 16 & 18: 16 & 18: 16 & 18: 16 & 18: 16 & 18: 16 & 18: 16 & 18: 16 & 18: 16 & 18: 16 & 18: 16\end{array} 18: 16$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 17 & 18: 17 & 18: 17 & 18: 18 & 18: 18 & 18: 18 & 18: 18 & 18: 19 & 18: 19 & 18: 19 & 18: 19 & 18: 19\end{array} 18: 19$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}18: 19 & 18: 19 & 18: 20 & 18: 20 & 18: 20 & 18: 20 & 18: 20 & 18: 20 & 18: 20 & 18: 20 & 18: 21 \\ 18: 21 & 18: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}18: 21 & 18: 21 & 18: 21 & 18: 21 & 18: 22 & 18: 22 & 18: 23 & 18: 23 & 18: 23-33 & 18: 24 & 18: 24\end{array} 18: 24$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}18: 24 & 18: 24 & 18: 24 & 18: 24 & 18: 26 & 18: 26 & 18: 26 & 18: 28 & 18: 28 & 18: 29 & 18: 30\end{array} 18: 30 \quad 18: 31$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}18: 32 & 18: 33 & 18: 33 & 19: 1 & 19: 1 & 19: 1 & 19: 1 & 19: 1 & 19: 1 & 19: 1-38 & 19: 2 & 19: 2 & 19: 2 & 19: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}19: 3 & 19: 3 & 19: 3 & 19: 3 & 19: 4 & 19: 4 & 19: 4 & 19: 4 & 19: 4 & 19: 4 & 19: 4 & 19: 4 & 19: 4 & 19: 4-11 & 19: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}19: 5 & 19: 5 & 19: 5 & 19: 5 & 19: 5 & 19: 5 & 19: 5 & 19: 5 & 19: 6 & 19: 6 & 19: 6 & 19: 6 & 19: 6 & 19: 6 & 19: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}19: 7 & 19: 7 & 19: 7 & 19: 7 & 19: 7 & 19: 8 & 19: 8 & 19: 8 & 19: 8 & 19: 8 & 19: 8 & 19: 8 & 19: 8 & 19: 9 & 19: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}19: 9 & 19: 9 & 19: 9 & 19: 9 & 19: 9 & 19: 9 & 19: 10 & 19: 10 & 19: 10 & 19: 10 & 19: 10 & 19: 11 & 19: 11 & 19: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}19: 11 & 19: 11-21 & 19: 12 & 19: 12 & 19: 12 & 19: 12 & 19: 12 & 19: 12 & 19: 13 & 19: 13 & 19: 13\end{array} 19: 14$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 14 & 19: 14 & 19: 14 & 19: 14 & 19: 14 & 19: 14 & 19: 15 & 19: 15 & 19: 15 & 19: 15 & 19: 15 & 19: 15\end{array} 19: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}19: 15 & 19: 16 & 19: 16 & 19: 16 & 19: 16 & 19: 17 & 19: 17 & 19: 17 & 19: 18 & 19: 18 & 19: 18 & 19: 19 & 19: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}19: 19 & 19: 19 & 19: 20 & 19: 20 & 19: 20 & 19: 20 & 19: 20 & 19: 20 & 19: 20 & 19: 20 & 19: 20 \\ 19: 20 & 19: 20\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}19: 21 & 19: 21 & 19: 21 & 19: 21 & 19: 21 & 19: 23 & 19: 23 & 19: 24 & 19: 24 & 19: 24 & 19: 24 \\ 19: 26 & 19: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 26 & 19: 27 & 19: 28 & 19: 28 & 19: 29 & 19: 29 & 19: 30 & 19: 31 & 19: 31 & 19: 32 & 19: 32 & 19: 33 \\ 19: 38\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}19: 38 & 19: 38 & 20: 1 & 20: 1 & 20: 1 & 20: 1 & 20: 1 & 20: 1 & 20: 1 & 20: 2 & 20: 2 & 20: 2 & 20: 2 & 20: 2 & 20: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}20: 3 & 20: 3 & 20: 3 & 20: 3 & 20: 3 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 5 & 20: 5 & 20: 5 & 20: 5 & 20: 6 & 20: 6 & 20: 6 & 20: 6 & 20: 6\end{array} 20: 6 \quad 20: 6-29: 9$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}20: 7 & 20: 7 & 20: 7 & 20: 7-10 & 20: 8 & 20: 8 & 20: 8 & 20: 8 & 20: 8 & 20: 9 & 20: 9 & 20: 9 & 20: 10 & 20: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}20: 10 & 20: 10 & 20: 10 & 20: 10 & 20: 10 & 20: 10 & 20: 10 & 20: 12 & 20: 12 & 20: 12 & 20: 12 & 20: 12\end{array} 20: 13$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}20: 13 & 20: 14 & 20: 14 & 20: 14 & 20: 14 & 20: 14 & 20: 14 & 20: 14 & 20: 15 & 20: 15 & 20: 15 & 20: 15\end{array} 20: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}20: 15 & 20: 16 & 20: 16 & 20: 16 & 20: 17 & 20: 17 & 20: 17 & 21: 1 & 21: 1 & 21: 1 & 21: 1 & 21: 1 & 21: 1\end{array} 21: 1$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}21: 1 & 21: 2 & 21: 2 & 21: 2 & 21: 2 & 21: 2 & 21: 2 & 21: 2 & 21: 2 & 21: 2 & 21: 2 & 21: 2 & 21: 3 & 21: 3 & 21: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}21: 4 & 21: 4 & 21: 4 & 21: 4 & 21: 5 & 21: 5 & 21: 5 & 21: 5 & 21: 6 & 21: 6 & 21: 6 & 21: 6 & 21: 6 & 21: 6 & 21: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}21: 6 & 21: 6 & 21: 7 & 21: 7 & 21: 7 & 21: 7 & 21: 7 & 21: 8 & 21: 8 & 21: 8 & 21: 8 & 21: 8 & 21: 8 & 21: 8 & 21: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}21: 8 & 21: 8 & 21: 9 & 21: 9 & 21: 9 & 21: 9 & 21: 9 & 21: 9 & 21: 9-13 & 21: 10 & 21: 10 & 21: 10\end{array} 21: 10 \quad 21: 10$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}21: 10 & 21: 10 & 21: 10 & 21: 11 & 21: 11 & 21: 12 & 21: 12 & 21: 12 & 21: 12 & 21: 12 & 21: 12 & 21: 12\end{array} 21: 14$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}21: 14 & 21: 14 & 21: 15 & 21: 15 & 21: 15 & 21: 15 & 21: 15 & 21: 16 & 21: 17 & 21: 17 & 21: 17 \\ 21: 17 & 21: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}21: 18 & 21: 18 & 21: 18 & 21: 18 & 21: 18 & 21: 19 & 21: 19 & 21: 19 & 21: 19 & 21: 20 & 21: 20 & 21: 20\end{array} 21: 20$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}21: 21 & 21: 21 & 21: 21 & 21: 21 & 21: 22 & 21: 22 & 21: 22 & 21: 22 & 21: 22 & 21: 23 & 21: 24 \\ 21: 24 & 21: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}21: 26 & 21: 26 & 21: 27 & 21: 27 & 21: 27 & 21: 27 & 21: 27 & 21: 27 & 21: 27-22: 13 & 21: 30\end{array} 21: 31 \quad 21: 32$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}21: 34 & 22: 1 & 22: 1 & 22: 1 & 22: 1 & 22: 1 & 22: 1 & 22: 1 & 22: 1-18 & 22: 2 & 22: 2 & 22: 2 & 22: 3 \\ 22: 3 & 22: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}22: 4 & 22: 4 & 22: 4 & 22: 4 & 22: 4 & 22: 4 & 22: 4 & 22: 4 & 22: 5 & 22: 6 & 22: 6 & 22: 6 & 22: 6 & 22: 7 \\ 22: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}22: 7 & 22: 7 & 22: 7 & 22: 7 & 22: 7 & 22: 7 & 22: 7 & 22: 7 & 22: 8 & 22: 9 & 22: 12 & 22: 12 & 22: 12 \\ 22: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}22: 12 & 22: 12 & 22: 12-16 & 22: 13 & 22: 14 & 22: 14 & 22: 14 & 22: 14 & 22: 14 & 22: 15 & 22: 16\end{array} 22: 16$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}22: 16 & 22: 16 & 22: 16 & 22: 16 & 22: 16 & 22: 16 & 22: 16-18 & 22: 16-18 & 22: 17 & 22: 17\end{array} 22: 17 \quad 22: 17$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}22: 17 & 22: 17 & 22: 17 & 22: 17 & 22: 17 & 22: 17 & 22: 18 & 22: 18 & 22: 18 & 22: 18 & 22: 19 & 22: 20\end{array} \quad 22: 20$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}22: 20 & 22: 20 & 22: 20 & 22: 20 & 22: 20 & 22: 21 & 22: 24 & 23: 1 & 23: 2 & 23: 2 & 23: 4 & 23: 4 & 23: 8 \\ 23: 9-17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}23: 10 & 23: 11 & 23: 11 & 23: 11 & 23: 11 & 23: 11 & 23: 12 & 23: 13 & 23: 15 & 23: 15 & 23: 15 & 23: 15 & 23: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}23: 16 & 23: 16 & 23: 19 & 24: 1-6 & 24: 2 & 24: 2 & 24: 3 & 24: 5-14 & 24: 8 & 24: 8 & 24: 8 & 24: 10\end{array} 24: 10$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}24: 10 & 24: 10 & 24: 10 & 24: 11 & 24: 11 & 24: 11 & 24: 12 & 24: 12 & 24: 14 & 24: 15 & 24: 15 & 24: 17\end{array} 24: 17$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}24: 19 & 24: 20 & 24: 21 & 24: 21 & 24: 22 & 24: 22 & 24: 22 & 24: 23 & 24: 24 & 24: 25 & 24: 25\end{array} 24: 25 \quad 24: 26$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}24: 27 & 24: 27 & 24: 29 & 24: 29 & 24: 30 & 24: 30 & 24: 31 & 24: 31 & 24: 32 & 24: 35 & 24: 43 \\ 24: 44 & 24: 45\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}24: 48 & 24: 51 & 24: 53 & 25: 1 & 25: 2 & 25: 2 & 25: 2 & 25: 3 & 25: 4 & 25: 6 & 25: 9 & 25: 11 & 25: 11\end{array} 25: 11$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}25: 12 & 25: 18 & 25: 19 & 25: 22 & 25: 26 & 25: 26 & 25: 31 & 25: 33 & 25: 33 & 25: 34 & 26: 1 & 26: 1\end{array} 26: 2$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}26: 2 & 26: 2 & 26: 4 & 26: 4 & 26: 7 & 26: 8 & 26: 10 & 26: 10 & 26: 12 & 26: 14 & 26: 14 & 26: 14 & 26: 15\end{array} 26: 16$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}26: 18 & 26: 19 & 26: 19 & 26: 20 & 26: 25 & 26: 28 & 27: 1 & 27: 1 & 27: 1 & 27: 1 & 27: 2 & 27: 2 & 27: 10\end{array} 27: 12$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}27: 22 & 27: 22 & 27: 23 & 27: 24 & 27: 32 & 27: 33 & 27: 35 & 27: 38 & 27: 40 & 27: 42 & 27: 43 & 28: 1\end{array} 28: 4$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}28: 4 & 28: 6 & 28: 11 & 28: 12 & 28: 13 & 28: 15 & 28: 16 & 28: 17 & 28: 17 & 28: 18 & 28: 22 & 29: 1 & 29: 1 & 29: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}29: 21 & 29: 22 & 31: 30 & 31: 40 & 32: 30 & 33: 4 & 33: 11 & 33: 19 & 33: 19 & 33: 20 & 34: 8 \\ 34: 9 & 34: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}34: 29 & 35: 22 & 37: 11 & 37: 11 & 38: 8 & 38: 8 & 38: 24 & 38: 24 & 39: 6 & 39: 21-23 & 40: 8\end{array} 40: 10 \quad 40: 22$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}41: 6 & 41: 12 & 41: 12 & 41: 51 & 42: 15 & 42: 25 & 42: 35 & 43: 12 & 43: 20 & 45: 1 & 46: 8 & 46: 26\end{array} 47: 9$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}47: 12 & 47: 15 & 47: 31 & 48: 4 & 48: 22 & 49: 9 & 49: 9 & 49: 11 & 49: 23 & 49: 27 & 50: 13\end{array}$

## Exodus

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 15-22 & 1: 16 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 5 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 15 & 2: 24 & 3: 1 & 3: 2 \\ 3: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}3: 3-6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 12 & 3: 14 & 3: 19 & 3: 20 & 4: 6 & 4: 10 & 4: 19\end{array} 4: 22$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}4: 24 & 5: 12 & 5: 21 & 6: 1 & 6: 6 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 7: 11 & 7: 12 & 7: 12-8: 16 & 7: 17 & 7: 19 & 7: 20 & 7: 20 \\ 7: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}7: 25 & 8: 5 & 8: 11 & 8: 14 & 8: 15 & 8: 32 & 9: 3 & 9: 10 & 9: 16 & 9: 18 & 9: 24 & 9: 24 & 9: 31 \\ 9: 34 & 10: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}10: 21 & 10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 22 & 11: 1 & 11: 5 & 12: 4 & 12: 5 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 7 & 12: 13\end{array} 12: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 15 & 12: 22 & 12: 22 & 12: 23 & 12: 29 & 12: 40 & 12: 40 & 12: 40 & 12: 43 & 12: 46 & 13: 1-10\end{array} \quad 13: 2-12$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}13: 7 & 13: 11-16 & 13: 21 & 13: 21 & 14: 19 & 14: 31 & 15: 1-19 & 15: 11 & 15: 11 & 15: 13\end{array} 16: 8 \quad 16: 13$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}16: 15 & 16: 23 & 16: 29 & 16: 30 & 16: 32-34 & 17: 1-7 & 17: 1-7 & 17: 6 & 17: 7 & 17: 13 & 18: 19\end{array} 18: 22$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}18: 23 & 19: 4 & 19: 5 & 19: 6 & 19: 6 & 19: 6 & 19: 6 & 19: 10 & 19: 10 & 19: 10 & 19: 12 & 19: 12 & 19: 13\end{array} 19: 14$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}19: 16 & 19: 16 & 19: 17 & 19: 18 & 19: 19 & 19: 22 & 20: 1-26 & 20: 1-26 & 20: 1-26 & 20: 4 \\ 20: 4 & 20: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}20: 5 & 20: 11 & 20: 11 & 20: 12 & 20: 12 & 20: 12 & 20: 12 & 20: 16 & 20: 18 & 20: 19 & 21: 6 & 21: 7 \\ 21: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}21: 24 & 21: 32 & 22: 1 & 22: 3 & 22: 4 & 22: 7 & 22: 9 & 22: 9 & 22: 18 & 22: 28 & 22: 31 & 23: 1\end{array} 23: 12 \quad 23: 14-17$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}23: 15 & 23: 20 & 24: 3 & 24: 3 & 24: 3-8 & 24: 5 & 24: 6 & 24: 8 & 24: 8 & 24: 9 & 24: 10\end{array} 24: 10 \quad 24: 10 \quad 24: 18$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}25: 1-40 & 25: 10 & 25: 16 & 25: 18-22 & 25: 22 & 25: 23-30 & 25: 30 & 25: 31-39 & 25: 35 & 25: 40\end{array} 25: 40$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}25: 40 & 26: 1-37 & 27: 21 & 28: 17 & 28: 17-20 & 28: 19 & 28: 20 & 28: 20 & 28: 31 & 28: 36 & 28: 38\end{array} 28: 39$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}28: 41 & 29: 1 & 29: 1 & 29: 4 & 29: 7 & 29: 14 & 29: 14 & 29: 16 & 29: 36 & 30: 1 & 30: 1-10 & 30: 1-10\end{array} \quad 30: 19-21$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}31: 13 & 31: 17 & 31: 18 & 32: 1 & 32: 3 & 32: 6 & 32: 6 & 32: 9 & 32: 18 & 32: 18 & 32: 26 & 32: 30 & 32: 32\end{array} 32: 32$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}32: 35 & 33: 3 & 33: 5 & 33: 7-11 & 33: 11 & 33: 11 & 33: 12 & 33: 18 & 33: 19 & 33: 20 & 33: 20 & 33: 23 \\ 34: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}34: 15 & 34: 23 & 34: 28 & 34: 29 & 34: 29 & 34: 30 & 34: 30 & 34: 33 & 34: 34 & 34: 34 & 34: 35 & 36: 3 \\ 39: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}39: 39 & 40: 9 & 40: 9 & 40: 13 & 40: 23 & 40: 29 & 40: 34\end{array} 40: 38$

## Leviticus

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 3 & 1: 5 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 4: 7 & 4: 12 & 4: 17 & 4: 21 & 4: 31 & 5: 7 & 5: 11 & 6: 30 & 7: 12 & 8: 10 & 9: 6\end{array} 11: 4$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 9 & 11: 10 & 11: 20 & 11: 23 & 11: 25 & 11: 28 & 11: 42 & 11: 44 & 12: 1-8 & 12: 2 & 12: 7 & 12: 8 \\ 13: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}13: 39 & 13: 45 & 14: 2-32 & 14: 5 & 15: 5 & 16: 1-34 & 16: 14 & 16: 27 & 16: 29 & 16: 29 & 17: 1-9 \\ 17: 1-16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}17: 10-16 & 17: 11 & 18: 1-30 & 18: 5 & 18: 8 & 18: 16 & 18: 16 & 19: 2 & 19: 15 & 19: 15 & 19: 18 \\ 19: 18 & 19: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 18 & 19: 18 & 19: 18 & 19: 19 & 19: 31 & 20: 6 & 20: 7 & 20: 9 & 20: 10 & 20: 21 & 20: 24 & 20: 27 \\ 21: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}21: 10-15 & 21: 19 & 22: 11 & 22: 21 & 23: 6-8 & 23: 34 & 23: 36 & 23: 43 & 24: 5-9 & 24: 6 & 24: 14-16 & 24: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}24: 16 & 24: 20 & 25: 8-17 & 25: 39 & 25: 47 & 26: 11 & 26: 12 & 26: 41 & 27: 28 & 27: 28 \\ 27: 30\end{array}$

## Numbers

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 2 & 1: 2 & 3: 38 & 3: 40 & 3: 43 & 4: 3 & 5: 6 & 5: 18 & 6: 1 & 6: 1-21 & 6: 2 & 6: 3 & 6: 13-15 & 6: 21 \\ 6: 24-26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}7: 9 & 8: 7 & 9: 15 & 10: 2-10 & 10: 31 & 10: 33 & 11: 1 & 11: 7 & 11: 9 & 11: 13-22 & 11: 14-29\end{array} 12: 7 \quad 12: 7$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 8 & 12: 12 & 13: 4 & 13: 16 & 14: 14 & 14: 16 & 14: 27-37 & 14: 29\end{array} 14: 2914: 30-32$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 3 & 15: 11 & 15: 19 & 15: 38 & 15: 38 & 16: 3 & 16: 5 & 16: 27 & 16: 41 & 16: 46 & 17: 1-11 & 17: 7 \\ 18: 8-20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}18: 15 & 18: 20 & 18: 22 & 19: 1 & 19: 1-22 & 19: 9 & 19: 13 & 19: 16 & 19: 16 & 19: 17 & 19: 20 \\ 20: 4 & 20: 11\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}21: 5 & 21: 6 & 21: 7 & 21: 16 & 21: 24 & 24: 6 & 24: 16 & 24: 17 & 25: 1 & 25: 1-9 & 25: 1-9 & 25: 9 & 26: 34 & 26: 53\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}27: 14 & 29: 7 & 31: 15 & 31: 19 & 31: 23 & 31: 35 & 34: 18 \\ 35: 5\end{array}$

## Deuteronomy

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 7 & 1: 13 & 1: 15 & 1: 16 & 1: 17 & 1: 31 & 1: 31 & 2: 5 & 3: 28 & 4: 2 & 4: 6 & 4: 11 & 4: 12 & 4: 12\end{array} 4: 24 \quad 4: 42$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5: 1-33 & 5: 1-33 & 5: 1-33 & 5: 4 & 5: 15 & 5: 23 & 6: 3 & 6: 4 & 6: 4-9 & 6: 11 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 16\end{array} \quad 6: 16 \quad 6: 16$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}6: 16 & 6: 16 & 7: 6 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 10 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 17 & 9: 6 & 9: 9 & 9: 19 & 10: 5 \\ 10: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 17 & 11: 13 & 11: 13-21 & 11: 14 & 13: 1 & 13: 10 & 13: 15 & 14: 2 & 14: 4 & 14: 9 & 14: 22\end{array} 16: 316: 6$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 13 & 16: 16 & 16: 19 & 17: 3 & 17: 6 & 17: 6 & 17: 7 & 17: 7 & 17: 8 & 18: 14-18 & 18: 15 & 18: 15\end{array} 18: 15$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 15 & 18: 15 & 18: 18 & 18: 18 & 18: 19 & 19: 4 & 19: 4 & 19: 5 & 19: 15 & 19: 15 & 19: 15 & 19: 15\end{array} 19: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}19: 21 & 20: 17 & 21: 5 & 21: 6 & 21: 17 & 21: 17 & 21: 20 & 21: 23 & 21: 23 & 21: 23 & 21: 23\end{array} 22: 10 \quad 22: 22$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}22: 23 & 22: 23 & 22: 23 & 22: 30 & 23: 1 & 23: 2 & 23: 2 & 23: 18 & 23: 18 & 23: 19 & 23: 20 & 23: 24 & 24: 1 & 24: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}24: 1 & 24: 5 & 25: 1-3 & 25: 4 & 25: 4 & 25: 4 & 25: 4 & 25: 5 & 25: 5 & 25: 5 & 25: 5-10 & 25: 6\end{array} 26: 18 \quad 27: 4$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}27: 11 & 27: 14-26 & 27: 26 & 28: 1-68 & 28: 25 & 28: 27 & 28: 29 & 28: 30 & 28: 35 & 28: 49 & 28: 49-57\end{array} 28: 54$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}28: 56 & 29: 18 & 29: 18 & 29: 18 & 29: 18 & 29: 19 & 29: 23 & 30: 11-14 & 30: 19 & 31: 8 \\ 31: 20 & 32: 3 & 32: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}32: 8 & 32: 15 & 32: 15 & 32: 17 & 32: 17 & 32: 21 & 32: 21 & 32: 21 & 32: 32 & 32: 35 & 32: 35\end{array} 32: 36 \quad 32: 39$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}32: 39 & 32: 40 & 32: 40 & 32: 43 & 32: 43 & 33: 1 & 33: 2 & 33: 2 & 33: 3 & 33: 6 & 33: 6 & 33: 12 & 33: 18 \\ 34: 1-3\end{array}$ 34:6 $\quad 34: 7 \quad 34: 10$

## Joshua

$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 5 & 2: 1-21 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 3: 4 & 5: 15 & 6: 13 & 6: 17 & 6: 17 & 6: 22-25 & 6: 23 & 7: 12\end{array} \quad 7: 19 \quad 9: 8 ~ 10: 11$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}10: 24 & 10: 26 & 13: 1-22: 34 & 14: 1 & 15: 25 & 15: 25 & 15: 25 & 15: 55 & 20: 9 & 22: 22\end{array} 24: 13 \quad 24: 32$ 24:32

## Judges

8
Ruth
$\begin{array}{lll}1: 1 & 2: 14 & 3: 12\end{array}$

## 1 Samuel

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 17 & 2: 6 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 16 & 2: 27 & 3: 1 & 3: 9 & 5: 5 & 6: 5 & 8: 5 & 10: 1 & 10: 12 & 11: 14\end{array} \quad 12: 6$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 6 & 12: 12 & 12: 17 & 12: 22 & 12: 23 & 13: 14 & 14: 24 & 14: 45 & 15: 16 & 15: 22 & 16: 7 & 17: 12\end{array} 17: 34$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}17: 35 & 17: 43 & 17: 51 & 18: 11 & 18: 22 & 19: 12 & 20: 42 & 21: 2 & 21: 13 & 22: 20 & 22: 20\end{array} 24: 13 \quad 25: 11$ 28:7 28:23 $\quad 31: 13$
2 Samuel
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 16 & 3: 38 & 6: 18 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 8 & 7: 8 & 7: 12 & 7: 13 & 7: 14 & 7: 14 & 7: 14 & 7: 14 & 7: 23 \\ 8: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}8: 17 & 14: 11 & 15: 9 & 15: 23 & 16: 1 & 16: 10 & 17: 23 & 19: 26 & 21: 6\end{array} 23: 3$

## 1 Kings

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 9 & 1: 13 & 1: 52 & 2: 10 & 2: 26 & 2: 37 & 3: 9-12 & 4: 8 & 4: 30 & 6: 1 & 6: 4 & 6: 19 & 7: 50 & 8: 6 & 8: 9 \\ 8: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}8: 27 & 8: 63 & 8: 64 & 10: 10 & 13: 1-34 & 15: 13 & 16: 31 & 17: 1 & 17: 2 & 17: 8 & 17: 9 & 17: 10 & 17: 17\end{array} 17: 18$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}17: 20 & 17: 21 & 18: 1 & 18: 1 & 18: 4 & 18: 28 & 18: 28 & 18: 38 & 19: 2 & 19: 4 & 19: 6 & 19: 10 & 19: 13 & 19: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}19: 18 & 19: 19 & 21: 10 & 21: 13 & 21: 18 & 22: 1 & 22: 11 & 22: 11 & 22: 16 & 22: 19\end{array} 22: 39$

## 2 Kings <br> $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 2 & 1: 8 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10-12 & 1: 12 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 13 & 2: 24 & 3: 11 & 3: 13 & 3: 22\end{array} 4: 8-37$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}4: 10 & 4: 29 & 4: 33 & 4: 34 & 4: 42 & 5: 1 & 5: 5 & 5: 7 & 5: 12 & 5: 14 & 5: 18 & 5: 23 & 6: 9 & 6: 17 \\ 7: 6 & 7: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}8: 13 & 9: 22 & 10: 6 & 10: 6 & 10: 7 & 13: 21 & 13: 21 & 17: 16 & 21: 3 & 21: 18 & 21: 26 & 23: 4\end{array} 23: 10 \quad 23: 10$ 25:9 <br> 1 Chronicles <br> $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}2: 1-8: 40 & 4: 40 & 5: 21 & 7: 12 & 7: 27 & 8: 12 & 12: 24 & 16: 36 & 16: 36 & 16: 42 & 18: 16 \\ 23: 6 & 24: 1-19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}24: 10 & 25: 8 & 27: 16 & 28: 13 & 28: 20\end{array}$

2 Chronicles
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 16 & 4: 5 & 4: 17 & 6: 7 & 6: 18 & 7: 3 & 8: 14 & 8: 14 & 13: 19 & 15: 8 & 16: 14 & 19: 7 & 20: 7 \\ 24: 20 & 24: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}24: 22 & 24: 22 & 26: 10 & 26: 19 & 29: 16 & 30: 6 & 30: 10 & 30: 22 & 33: 3 & 33: 5 & 34: 9 & 35: 21\end{array} \quad 36: 16$

## Ezra

3:1 3:13 5:3
Nehemiah
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}3: 1 & 3: 16 & 3: 22 & 5: 13 & 5: 13 & 8: 6 & 8: 10 & 8: 12 & 8: 18 & 9: 33 & 11: 1 \\ 13: 19 & 13: 30\end{array}$

## Esther

$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}2: 9 & 4: 14 & 5: 3 & 5: 3 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 & 7: 2 & 9: 19 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 \\ 9: 28\end{array}$
Job
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 1-22 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 19 & 1: 21 & 2: 1-13 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 10 & 2: 13 & 3: 16 & 3: 21 & 3: 21 & 4: 8 \\ 5: 9 & 5: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}5: 13 & 5: 14 & 7: 14 & 7: 17 & 7: 17 & 7: 21 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 & 9: 26 & 12: 23 & 12: 25 & 13: 10\end{array} 13: 15 \quad 13: 28$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 12 & 16: 14 & 16: 19 & 19: 21 & 19: 25 & 19: 25 & 24: 13 & 25: 5 & 26: 6 & 26: 12 & 27: 9 & 27: 18 \\ 27: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}31: 8 & 31: 26 & 33: 11 & 33: 16 & 34: 24 & 34: 29 & 37: 4 & 38: 1 & 38: 17 & 38: 28 & 39: 25 & 39: 27-30 & 39: 30\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}40: 15 & 40: 15-24 & 40: 18 & 40: 22 & 41: 11 & 41: 23\end{array} 42: 12$

## Psalms

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 1-6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1-12 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 5 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 \\ 2: 8 & 2: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}2: 9 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 4: 4 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 9 & 6: 4 & 6: 8 & 7: 14 & 8: 1-9 & 8: 3 & 8: 5-7 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 9 & 9: 1 \\ 9: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}9: 13 & 9: 20 & 10: 7 & 11: 1 & 14: 1-3 & 14: 1-7 & 15: 5 & 16: 1-11 & 16: 1-11 & 16: 1-11 \\ 16: 3 & 16: 8-11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 10 & 16: 10 & 16: 10 & 17: 15 & 18: 4 & 18: 5 & 18: 9 & 18: 20 & 18: 34 & 18: 50 & 19: 2 & 19: 10\end{array} 19: 12 \quad 20: 3$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}21: 1-13 & 22: 1 & 22: 6 & 22: 7 & 22: 8 & 22: 8 & 22: 13 & 22: 17 & 22: 18 & 22: 21 & 22: 22 & 22: 22 \\ 23: 1 & 23: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}24: 1 & 24: 4 & 24: 5 & 25: 1 & 26: 6 & 27: 2 & 29: 1 & 29: 1 & 29: 1-11 & 29: 10 & 31: 5 & 31: 5 \\ 31: 5 & 31: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}32: 1 & 32: 1 & 33: 2 & 33: 3 & 33: 6 & 33: 13 & 34: 8 & 34: 13-17 & 35: 1 & 35: 10 & 35: 19 & 36: 10\end{array} 37: 11$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}39: 13 & 40: 3 & 40: 7-9 & 40: 7-9 & 41: 9 & 41: 9 & 41: 12 & 42: 3 & 42: 4 & 42: 4 & 42: 7 & 43: 2\end{array} 44: 23 \quad 45: 7$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}45: 12 & 45: 13 & 48: 9 & 50: 3 & 51: 1-19 & 51: 6 & 55: 1 & 55: 1 & 55: 22 & 56: 10 & 58: 5 & 62: 2 & 65: 3 \\ 66: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}66: 18 & 67: 2 & 68: 18 & 69: 1-36 & 69: 4 & 69: 9 & 69: 9 & 69: 11 & 69: 19 & 69: 21 & 69: 23 & 69: 25 \\ 72: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}73: 13 & 73: 27 & 74: 13 & 75: 9 & 76: 6 & 78: 2 & 78: 37 & 78: 68 & 79: 5 & 79: 12 & 79: 13 & 80: 8 \\ 82: 1 & 82: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}82: 6 & 82: 6 & 82: 6 & 82: 6 & 82: 6 & 85: 13 & 86: 4 & 86: 9 & 86: 9 & 87: 2 & 88: 11 & 89: 1-52 & 89: 4 & 89: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}89: 6 & 89: 10 & 89: 20 & 89: 21 & 89: 22 & 89: 26 & 89: 28 & 89: 39 & 89: 48 & 89: 49 & 89: 51 & 89: 52\end{array} 90: 2$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}90: 4 & 90: 4 & 90: 13 & 91: 11 & 91: 11 & 91: 12 & 92: 3 & 92: 9 & 93: 4 & 94: 4 & 94: 9 & 94: 11 & 94: 14\end{array} \quad 95: 1$
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}96: 2 & 96: 5 & 96: 6 & 97: 2 & 97: 5 & 97: 7 & 97: 7 & 98: 3 & 98: 5 & 99: 1 & 102: 25 & 102: 26-28\end{array} \quad 102: 27$
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}102: 27 & 103: 12 & 104: 1-109: 31 & 104: 2 & 104: 3 & 104: 4 & 104: 4 & 105: 15 & 105: 32 & 106: 18\end{array} 106: 26$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}106: 35 & 106: 37 & 106: 48 & 107: 10 & 107: 18 & 109: 1 & 109: 4 & 109: 8 & 109: 26 & 109: 31 \\ 110: 1 & 110: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}110: 1 & 110: 1 & 110: 1 & 110: 1-7 & 110: 1-7 & 110: 1-7 & 110: 1-7 & 110: 1-7 & 110: 1-7 & 110: 4 \\ 110: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}110: 4 & 110: 4 & 110: 4 & 110: 4 & 111: 2 & 112: 9 & 113: 5 & 114: 3 & 114: 7 & 115: 1-118: 29 & 115: 4\end{array} 116: 12$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}117: 1 & 118: 6 & 118: 15 & 118: 22 & 118: 22 & 118: 22 & 118: 22 & 118: 22 & 118: 22\end{array} 118: 22 \quad 118: 25$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}118: 26 & 119: 5 & 119: 30 & 119: 103 & 121: 6 & 126: 5 & 132: 5 & 132: 11 & 132: 17 & 135: 15-18\end{array} 136: 12$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}137: 8 & 138: 1 & 139: 14 & 140: 2 & 140: 3 & 143: 2 & 145: 6 & 146: 6 & 147: 19\end{array} \quad 148: 1$

## Proverbs

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 3 & 3: 6 & 3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 21 & 3: 25 & 3: 34 & 3: 34 & 3: 34 & 5: 4 & 6: 27 & 7: 16 & 8: 1-36 \\ 8: 23 & 9: 1-18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 10 & 10: 11 & 10: 12 & 11: 5 & 11: 31 & 13: 14 & 13: 14 & 14: 27 & 14: 35 & 15: 11 & 16: 22 & 16: 27 \\ 16: 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}17: 13 & 19: 3 & 20: 22 & 21: 18 & 21: 31 & 24: 9 & 24: 12 & 25: 20 & 25: 21 & 25: 22 & 26: 3 & 26: 11\end{array} 26: 11$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}26: 18-22 & 27: 2 & 27: 6 & 27: 21 & 28: 20 & 29: 23 & 30: 8 & 30: 14 & 30: 17 & 30: 27 \\ 31: 17\end{array}$

## Ecclesiastes

9:12 10:11 12:2

## Song of Solomon

1:10 2:11 $\quad$ 5:2 $\quad$ 6:3
Isaiah
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 2 & 1: 6 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 15 & 2: 1 & 2: 2 & 2: 10 & 2: 18 & 2: 19 & 2: 21 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 16 & 4: 4 \\ 5: 7 & 5: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}5: 11 & 6: 1 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 3 & 6: 5 & 6: 6 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 7: 14 & 7: 14 & 7: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}7: 20 & 8: 6 & 8: 7 & 8: 12 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 14 & 8: 14 & 8: 14 & 8: 14 & 8: 17 & 8: 18 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 3 & 9: 7 \\ 9: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 22 & 10: 33 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 2 & 11: 3 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 10 & 11: 10 & 11: 10 & 11: 10\end{array} 11: 12 \quad 11: 12$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 4 & 13: 5 & 13: 9 & 13: 10 & 13: 15 & 14: 12 & 14: 13-15 & 14: 14 & 14: 23 \\ 16: 5 & 16: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}21: 1 & 21: 9 & 21: 9 & 22: 4 & 22: 13 & 22: 22 & 23: 16 & 24: 20 & 25: 8 & 25: 8 & 25: 8 & 26: 11\end{array} 26: 13 \quad 26: 17$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}26: 19 & 26: 20 & 27: 1 & 27: 1 & 27: 9 & 27: 13 & 28: 1 & 28: 2 & 28: 6 & 28: 11 & 28: 16 & 28: 16 & 28: 16\end{array} 28: 16$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}28: 16 & 28: 16 & 28: 16 & 28: 16 & 28: 22 & 28: 28 & 29: 10 & 29: 10 & 29: 10 & 29: 13 & 29: 14 & 29: 16\end{array} 30: 33$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}31: 3 & 31: 4 & 31: 8 & 31: 8 & 32: 5 & 33: 18 & 34: 4 & 34: 4 & 34: 4 & 34: 9 & 35: 5 & 37: 16 \\ 38: 10 & 40: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}40: 1-11 & 40: 1-31 & 40: 2 & 40: 2 & 40: 3 & 40: 3 & 40: 3 & 40: 3 & 40: 4 & 40: 4 & 40: 6 & 40: 6-8\end{array} 40: 10 \quad 40: 13$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}40: 13 & 40: 31 & 41: 8 & 42: 1 & 42: 1-4 & 42: 5 & 42: 5 & 42: 6 & 42: 10 & 42: 12 & 42: 12 & 42: 16 & 42: 18 & 43: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}43: 10 & 43: 18 & 43: 20 & 43: 21 & 43: 21 & 43: 21 & 44: 6 & 44: 6 & 44: 8 & 44: 9-17 & 44: 18\end{array} \quad 45: 7 \quad 45: 8-10$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}45: 14 & 45: 15 & 45: 17 & 45: 21 & 45: 23 & 47: 1 & 47: 7-9 & 47: 7-9 & 48: 2 & 48: 5 & 48: 10 & 48: 12\end{array} 48: 20$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}49: 1 & 49: 6 & 49: 6 & 49: 6 & 49: 8 & 49: 10 & 49: 10 & 49: 13 & 49: 18 & 50: 3 & 50: 9 & 51: 6 & 51: 7 & 51: 9\end{array} 51: 9$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}52: 1 & 52: 3 & 52: 5 & 52: 5 & 52: 5 & 52: 7 & 52: 11 & 52: 11 & 52: 11 & 52: 13 & 52: 15 & 52: 15 & 53: 1 \\ 53: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}53: 1-12 & 53: 1-12 & 53: 2 & 53: 3 & 53: 4 & 53: 4 & 53: 5 & 53: 6 & 53: 6 & 53: 7 & 53: 7 & 53: 7 \\ 53: 9 & 53: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}53: 9 & 53: 9 & 53: 11 & 53: 12 & 53: 12 & 54: 1 & 54: 6 & 54: 11 & 54: 11 & 54: 11 & 54: 12 & 54: 12 \\ 54: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}54: 13 & 54: 13 & 54: 13 & 55: 1 & 55: 1 & 55: 1 & 55: 1 & 55: 7 & 56: 7 & 56: 8 & 57: 3 & 57: 17 & 57: 19 & 57: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}57: 20 & 58: 6 & 58: 6 & 58: 6 & 58: 7 & 58: 11 & 59: 1 & 59: 2 & 59: 7 & 59: 7 & 59: 10 & 59: 17 & 59: 20 \\ 59: 20\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}60: 1 & 60: 1 & 60: 3 & 60: 3 & 60: 3 & 60: 5 & 60: 11 & 60: 11 & 60: 14 & 60: 14 & 60: 19 & 60: 19 & 60: 19\end{array} 60: 20$

 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}65: 16 & 65: 17 & 65: 17 & 65: 17 & 65: 17 & 66: 1 & 66: 1 & 66: 2 & 66: 7 & 66: 7 & 66: 10 & 66: 15 & 66: 22\end{array} \quad 66: 22$ 66:23 66:24

## Jeremiah

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 10 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 3: 7 & 3: 8 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 4: 24 & 4: 28 & 5: 7 & 5: 24 & 6: 10 & 6: 13 & 7: 11 \\ 7: 18 & 7: 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 34 & 8: 2 & 8: 3 & 8: 21 & 8: 22 & 9: 15 & 9: 17 & 9: 24 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 10 & 13: 1-7 & 14: 12 & 15: 2\end{array} 15: 6$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 7 & 15: 15 & 16: 9 & 16: 12 & 16: 12 & 16: 16 & 16: 18 & 17: 10 & 17: 10 & 17: 18 & 17: 21 & 18: 6\end{array} 18: 12$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 12 & 18: 18 & 19: 13 & 20: 9 & 20: 9 & 20: 15 & 21: 8 & 23: 5 & 23: 5 & 23: 15 & 24: 10 & 25: 4 \\ 25: 10 & 25: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}26: 23 & 26: 23 & 28: 3 & 28: 9 & 29: 17 & 30: 17 & 30: 24 & 31: 1-40 & 31: 3 & 31: 15 & 31: 31 & 31: 31\end{array} 31: 40$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}32: 6 & 32: 18 & 39: 3-40: 16 & 39: 6 & 39: 7 & 40: 10 & 40: 12 & 42: 17 & 44: 10 & 47: 2 & 47: 3 & 48: 24 \\ 50: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}50: 15 & 50: 25 & 50: 29 & 50: 39 & 51: 6 & 51: 8 & 51: 9 & 51: 9 & 51: 13 & 51: 24 \\ 51: 56\end{array}$

## Lamentations

3:15 4:20

## Ezekiel

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 1-28 & 1: 5 & 1: 5-10 & 1: 6 & 1: 10 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 22 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26-28 & 1: 27 \\ 1: 28 & 2: 1-10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 10 & 3: 1-3 & 3: 12 & 3: 14 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 4: 1-6 & 4: 6 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 5: 1 & 6: 2 & 6: 3 \\ 6: 11 & 7: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}8: 3 & 9: 1-11 & 9: 4 & 10: 2 & 10: 2 & 10: 5-20 & 10: 20 & 11: 24 & 13: 9 & 13: 10 & 14: 21 & 16: 7 \\ 16: 18 & 16: 22\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}16: 46 & 16: 55 & 17: 10 & 17: 24 & 18: 18 & 18: 20 & 20: 8 & 20: 8 & 20: 33 & 20: 34 & 23: 27 & 23: 41 & 26: 1-21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}26: 10 & 26: 13 & 27: 1-36 & 27: 3 & 27: 13 & 27: 30 & 28: 2 & 28: 13 & 28: 13 & 28: 14 & 29: 3 \\ 29: 5 & 30: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}32: 7 & 32: 7 & 33: 11 & 33: 15 & 33: 27 & 34: 5 & 34: 11 & 37: 5 & 37: 7 & 37: 9 & 37: 10 & 37: 10 & 37: 25\end{array} 37: 27$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}37: 27 & 37: 27 & 38: 2 & 38: 19 & 38: 22 & 38: 22 & 38: 22 & 39: 17 & 39: 17 & 40: 2 & 40: 3-6\end{array} 42: 2 \quad 42: 16$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}42: 16-19 & 43: 2 & 43: 5 & 43: 25 & 44: 9 & 45: 10 & 47: 1 & 47: 1 & 47: 1 & 47: 9 & 47: 12 & 48: 1-35\end{array} \quad 48: 16$ 48:20 48:31

## Daniel

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 4 & 2: 35 & 2: 44 & 2: 47 & 2: 48 & 3: 19-28 & 3: 23 & 4: 16 & 4: 30 & 5: 23 & 6: 17 & 6: 17 & 6: 18-23 \\ 6: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}7: 1-28 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 4 & 7: 4 & 7: 7 & 7: 7 & 7: 7 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 10 & 7: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 14 & 7: 17 & 7: 20 & 7: 21 & 7: 21 & 7: 23 & 7: 23 & 7: 25 & 7: 25 \\ 8: 1 & 8: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 6 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 12-14 & 8: 15 & 9: 21 & 9: 21 & 9: 24 & 9: 27 & 10: 2 & 10: 6 & 10: 13 & 10: 13 \\ 10: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 13 & 10: 13 & 10: 17 & 10: 20 & 10: 20 & 10: 21 & 10: 21 & 10: 21 & 11: 30 & 11: 30 & 11: 30\end{array} 11: 31 \quad 12: 1$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 5 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 10 & 12: 11\end{array} 12: 12$

## Hosea

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 1-11 & 1: 10 & 2: 1 & 2: 1-23 & 2: 5 & 2: 16 & 2: 21 & 2: 23 & 5: 2 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 7: 11 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 9: 7 \\ 9: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}10: 8 & 10: 8 & 11: 1 & 11: 8 & 13: 14 & 13: 14 & 13: 14 & 13: 15 & 14: 3\end{array}$

Joel
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 6 & 1: 17 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 12 & 2: 24 & 2: 28 & 2: 28 \\ 2: 28 & 2: 28-32\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}2: 30 & 2: 30 & 2: 31 & 2: 31 & 2: 32 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 & 3: 12 & 3: 18 \\ 3: 18\end{array}$

```
Amos
1:1 1:3 1:6 3:7 4:11 4:13 5:25 5:25-27 5:27 7:14 8:9 9:2 9:11 
Jonah
1:4 2:1 4:8
Micah
3:3 4:1 4:1 4:10 5:2 5:2 6:6 6:15 7:1-6
Nahum
1:5 1:6 3:4 3:12
Habakkuk
```

$\begin{array}{llllllll}1: 5 & 1: 8 & 2: 1 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 18\end{array}$
Zephaniah
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}1: 3 & 1: 12 & 1: 14 & 1: 14-16 & 1: 15 & 1: 18 & 2: 1 & 2: 3 & 2: 14 & 2: 15\end{array} 3: 13$
Haggai
2:6 2:6

## Zechariah

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 7-11 & 1: 8 & 2: 10 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1-10 & 3: 3 & 3: 5 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 9 & 4: 2 & 4: 2-10 & 4: 3 \\ 4: 10 & 4: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}4: 14 & 6: 1-8 & 6: 1-8 & 6: 2 & 6: 3 & 6: 12 & 8: 8 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 11 & 9: 12 & 9: 13 & 10: 10 & 10: 11\end{array} 11: 12$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 12 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 12: 3 & 12: 10 & 12: 10 & 12: 10 & 12: 12 & 12: 12 & 13: 1 & 13: 2 & 13: 2\end{array} 13: 713: 9$ $\begin{array}{lllll}14: 4 & 14: 5 & 14: 8 & 14: 8 & 14: 8 \\ 14: 8\end{array}$
Malachi
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 2 & 1: 7 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1-5 & 3: 2 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 & 3: 14 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 2\end{array} 4: 2 \quad 4: 5$ 4:5

## Matthew

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1-16 & 1: 1-17 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2-6 & 1: 2-16 \\ 1: 2-17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 3 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6-11 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 12-16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 \\ 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16-25 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 \\ 1: 18 & 1: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 18-25 & 1: 18-25 & 1: 18-25 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 \\ 1: 21 & 1: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 \\ 1: 24 & 1: 24 & 1: 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 25 & 2 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1-12 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 \\ 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 11 & 2: 11\end{array} 2: 11 \quad 2: 11$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 13 & 2: 13-23 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 16\end{array} 2: 16 \quad 2: 16 \quad 2: 16$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 18 & 2: 19 & 2: 20 & 2: 22 & 2: 22 & 2: 22 & 2: 22 & 2: 22 & 2: 22 & 2: 22 & 2: 23 & 2: 23 & 2: 23 \\ 2: 23 & 2: 23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}2: 23 & 3 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1-4: 11 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 \\ 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 \\ 3: 4 & 3: 5 & 3: 5\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 \\ 3: 11 & 3: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 12\end{array} 3: 12$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}3: 12 & 3: 13 & 3: 13-16 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 16 & 3: 16\end{array} 3: 16 \quad 3: 16$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 \\ 3: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 4 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1\end{array} 4: 1-11$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}4: 1-11 & 4: 2 & 4: 2 & 4: 2 & 4: 2 & 4: 2 & 4: 2 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 \\ 4: 5 & 4: 5 & 4: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}4: 5 & 4: 5 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 8\end{array} 4: 8$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}4: 8 & 4: 8-10 & 4: 8-11 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 10 & 4: 10 & 4: 10 & 4: 10 & 4: 10 & 4: 10 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12-13: 58 & 4: 13 & 4: 13 & 4: 13-16 & 4: 14-17 & 4: 16 & 4: 16\end{array} 4: 16 \quad 4: 16$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}4: 17 & 4: 17 & 4: 17 & 4: 17 & 4: 18 & 4: 18 & 4: 18 & 4: 18 & 4: 18-22 & 4: 19 & 4: 19 & 4: 20 & 4: 20\end{array} \quad 4: 20 \quad 4: 21$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 22 & 4: 22\end{array} 4: 23 \quad 4: 23$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}4: 23 & 4: 24 & 4: 24 & 4: 24 & 4: 24 & 4: 24 & 4: 24 & 4: 24 & 4: 24 & 4: 24 & 4: 24 & 4: 24 & 4: 24 \\ 4: 24 & 4: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}4: 24 & 4: 25 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1-48 & 5: 1-48 & 5: 1-7: 29 \\ 5: 1-7: 29\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}5: 3-12 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 5 & 5: 5 & 5: 5 & 5: 5 & 5: 6 & 5: 6 & 5: 6 & 5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 9 & 5: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10-12 & 5: 10-12 & 5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 11 \\ 5: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 13 & 5: 13 & 5: 13 & 5: 13 & 5: 13 & 5: 13 & 5: 13-16 & 5: 13-16 \\ 5: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 14-16 & 5: 14-16 & 5: 15 & 5: 15 & 5: 15 \\ 5: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}5: 15 & 5: 15 & 5: 15 & 5: 15 & 5: 15 & 5: 15 & 5: 15 & 5: 15 & 5: 16 & 5: 16 & 5: 16 & 5: 17 & 5: 17 & 5: 17 & 5: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 & 5: 20 & 5: 20 & 5: 20 & 5: 20 & 5: 20 & 5: 20 & 5: 20 & 5: 21 \\ 5: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5: 21 & 5: 21 & 5: 21-26 & 5: 21-44 & 5: 21-48 & 5: 22 & 5: 22 & 5: 22 & 5: 22 & 5: 22 & 5: 22 & 5: 22 & 5: 22 \\ 5: 22\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5: 22 & 5: 22 & 5: 22 & 5: 23 & 5: 23 & 5: 23 & 5: 23 & 5: 24 & 5: 24 & 5: 24 & 5: 24 & 5: 24 & 5: 25 \\ 5: 25 & 5: 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}5: 25 & 5: 25 & 5: 25 & 5: 25 & 5: 25 & 5: 26 & 5: 27 & 5: 27 & 5: 27 & 5: 28 & 5: 28 & 5: 28 & 5: 29 & 5: 29 \\ 5: 29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}5: 29 & 5: 29 & 5: 29 & 5: 29 & 5: 29-30 & 5: 30 & 5: 31 & 5: 31 & 5: 31 & 5: 31 & 5: 32 & 5: 32 & 5: 32 & 5: 32 \\ 5: 32\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}5: 32 & 5: 33 & 5: 33-37 & 5: 34 & 5: 34 & 5: 34 & 5: 34 & 5: 34-37 & 5: 35 & 5: 36 & 5: 36 & 5: 36 \\ 5: 37 & 5: 37\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}5: 37 & 5: 37 & 5: 38 & 5: 38 & 5: 38 & 5: 38 & 5: 38 & 5: 38-42 & 5: 39 & 5: 39 & 5: 39 & 5: 39 \\ 5: 39 & 5: 40 & 5: 40\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5: 40 & 5: 40 & 5: 41 & 5: 41 & 5: 41 & 5: 41 & 5: 42 & 5: 42 & 5: 42 & 5: 43 & 5: 43 & 5: 43 & 5: 43 \\ 5: 43 & 5: 43\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}5: 44 & 5: 44 & 5: 44 & 5: 44 & 5: 44 & 5: 44 & 5: 45 & 5: 45 & 5: 45 & 5: 45 & 5: 45 & 5: 46 & 5: 46 & 5: 46 \\ 5: 46\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}5: 47 & 5: 47 & 5: 48 & 5: 48 & 5: 48 & 5: 48 & 5: 48 & 5: 48 & 5: 48 & 6 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1\end{array} \quad 6: 1$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}6: 1-18 & 6: 1-18 & 6: 1-18 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 7-15 & 6: 7-15 & 6: 8\end{array} \quad 6: 9$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}6: 9 & 6: 9-13 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 \\ 6: 14 & 6: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 16 & 6: 16 & 6: 16 & 6: 16 & 6: 18 & 6: 19 & 6: 19 & 6: 19 & 6: 19 & 6: 19 & 6: 19 \\ 6: 19 & 6: 19\end{array}$ $6: 19 \quad 6: 19 \quad 6: 19 \quad 6: 19 \quad 6: 19 \quad 6: 19 \quad 6: 19 \quad 6: 19 \quad 6: 19 \quad 6: 19 \quad 6: 19 \quad 6: 19 \quad 6: 19-21 \quad 6: 19-21$
 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 23 & 6: 23 & 6: 23 & 6: 24 & 6: 24 & 6: 24 & 6: 24 & 6: 24 & 6: 24 & 6: 24 & 6: 24 & 6: 24 & 6: 24 \\ 6: 24 & 6: 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 25 & 6: 25 & 6: 25 & 6: 25 & 6: 25 & 6: 25 & 6: 25 & 6: 25 & 6: 25 & 6: 25-33 & 6: 26 & 6: 26 & 6: 26\end{array} 6: 26 \quad 6: 26$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 26 & 6: 26 & 6: 26 & 6: 26 & 6: 26-30 & 6: 27 & 6: 27 & 6: 27 & 6: 27 & 6: 27 & 6: 28 & 6: 28 & 6: 28 \\ 6: 28 & 6: 29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}6: 30 & 6: 30 & 6: 31 & 6: 31 & 6: 31 & 6: 31 & 6: 31 & 6: 31 & 6: 31 & 6: 31 & 6: 31-33 & 6: 33 & 6: 33 & 6: 34 \\ 6: 34\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}6: 34 & 6: 34 & 6: 34 & 7 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 3 \\ 7: 3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3-5 & 7: 3-5 & 7: 4 & 7: 4 & 7: 5 & 7: 5 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 7 & 7: 7 & 7: 7 & 7: 7 & 7: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}7: 9 & 7: 10 & 7: 10 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 12 & 7: 12 & 7: 12 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 \\ 7: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 14 & 7: 14 & 7: 14 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15\end{array} 7: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}7: 15 & 7: 16 & 7: 16 & 7: 16 & 7: 16 & 7: 16 & 7: 16 & 7: 16 & 7: 16 & 7: 16 & 7: 16 & 7: 16-20 & 7: 17\end{array} 7: 17 \quad 7: 17$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}7: 17 & 7: 17-19 & 7: 17-19 & 7: 17-20 & 7: 20 & 7: 20 & 7: 20 & 7: 21 & 7: 21 & 7: 22 & 7: 22 & 7: 23 & 7: 23 \\ 7: 23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}7: 23 & 7: 24 & 7: 24 & 7: 24 & 7: 24 & 7: 24 & 7: 24 & 7: 24 & 7: 24-27 & 7: 25 & 7: 25 & 7: 26 & 7: 26\end{array} 7: 26 ~ 7: 26$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}7: 26 & 7: 28 & 7: 28 & 7: 28 & 7: 29 & 7: 29 & 8: 1 & 8: 1-34 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 3 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 \\ 8: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}8: 4 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5-13 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 8 & 8: 8 & 8: 8 & 8: 8 & 8: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}8: 8 & 8: 8 & 8: 8 & 8: 8 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 11 & 8: 11 & 8: 11 & 8: 11 & 8: 11 & 8: 11 & 8: 11 & 8: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 14 & 8: 14 & 8: 14 & 8: 14 & 8: 14 & 8: 14 \\ 8: 14-17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 16 & 8: 16 & 8: 16 & 8: 16 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 19 & 8: 19 & 8: 19-22\end{array} 8: 20$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}8: 20 & 8: 20 & 8: 21 & 8: 21 & 8: 21 & 8: 22 & 8: 22 & 8: 22 & 8: 23-25 & 8: 24 & 8: 24 & 8: 24 & 8: 24 \\ 8: 24 & 8: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 24 & 8: 25 & 8: 25 & 8: 25 & 8: 25 & 8: 25 & 8: 26 & 8: 26 & 8: 26 & 8: 27 & 8: 27 & 8: 27 & 8: 27 & 8: 27 \\ 8: 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 27 & 8: 28 & 8: 28 & 8: 28 & 8: 28 & 8: 28 & 8: 28 & 8: 28 & 8: 28 & 8: 28 & 8: 28 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 \\ 8: 29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 30 & 8: 31 & 8: 32 & 8: 32 & 8: 32\end{array} 8: 32$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}8: 32 & 8: 32 & 8: 33 & 8: 34 & 8: 34 & 9 & 9 & 9: 1 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 3 & 9: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}9: 3 & 9: 3 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 8 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 \\ 9: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 10 & 9: 11 & 9: 12 & 9: 12 & 9: 12 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 14 & 9: 14 & 9: 14-17 & 9: 15 \\ 9: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 16 & 9: 16 & 9: 16 & 9: 16 & 9: 16 & 9: 16 & 9: 17 & 9: 17 & 9: 17\end{array} 9: 18$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 18 & 9: 18 & 9: 18 & 9: 18 & 9: 20 & 9: 20 & 9: 20 & 9: 20 & 9: 20 & 9: 20 & 9: 20 & 9: 21 & 9: 21\end{array} 9: 21 \quad 9: 22$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 22-26 & 9: 23 & 9: 23 & 9: 23 & 9: 23 & 9: 23 & 9: 24 & 9: 24 & 9: 24 & 9: 24 \\ 9: 26 & 9: 26 & 9: 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}9: 27 & 9: 28 & 9: 29 & 9: 30 & 9: 30 & 9: 30 & 9: 30 & 9: 32 & 9: 32 & 9: 32-34 & 9: 32-34 & 9: 34 \\ 9: 35 & 9: 35-11: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}9: 36 & 9: 36 & 9: 36 & 9: 37 & 9: 37 & 9: 37 & 9: 37 & 9: 38 & 9: 38 & 9: 38 & 9: 38 & 10 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1\end{array} 10: 1$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 1 & 10: 1-4 & 10: 1-4 & 10: 1-4 & 10: 2 & 10: 2 & 10: 2 & 10: 2 & 10: 3 & 10: 3 & 10: 3 & 10: 4 \\ 10: 5 & 10: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}10: 5 & 10: 5 & 10: 5 & 10: 6 & 10: 6 & 10: 6 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 7-8 & 10: 8 & 10: 8 & 10: 9 & 10: 9\end{array} 10: 10$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 11 & 10: 13 & 10: 13\end{array} 10: 14$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 14 & 10: 14 & 10: 14 & 10: 14 & 10: 15 & 10: 15 & 10: 15 & 10: 15 & 10: 16 & 10: 16 & 10: 16 & 10: 16 \\ 10: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 16 & 10: 17 & 10: 18 & 10: 18 & 10: 19 & 10: 19 & 10: 20 & 10: 21 & 10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 22\end{array} 10: 23$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 23 & 10: 23 & 10: 23-26 & 10: 24 & 10: 24 & 10: 24 & 10: 24 & 10: 25 & 10: 25 & 10: 25 & 10: 25\end{array} 10: 25$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 26 & 10: 26 & 10: 26 & 10: 26-33 & 10: 27 & 10: 28 & 10: 28 & 10: 28 & 10: 28 & 10: 28 & 10: 28\end{array} 10: 28$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 29 & 10: 29 & 10: 30 & 10: 30 & 10: 31 & 10: 31 & 10: 32 & 10: 32 & 10: 32 & 10: 32 & 10: 32 & 10: 32\end{array} 10: 32$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 32 & 10: 32 & 10: 32 & 10: 32 & 10: 33 & 10: 33 & 10: 33 & 10: 33 & 10: 33 & 10: 33 & 10: 33 & 10: 33 \\ 10: 33\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 34 & 10: 34 & 10: 34-36 & 10: 34-36 & 10: 35 & 10: 37 & 10: 38 & 10: 38 & 10: 38 & 10: 39 & 10: 39\end{array} 10: 39$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 39 & 10: 40 & 10: 40 & 10: 40 & 10: 40 & 10: 41 & 10: 41 & 10: 41 & 10: 41 & 10: 41 & 10: 41 & 10: 41 \\ 10: 41\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}10: 41 & 10: 41 & 10: 42 & 11 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2-19 & 11: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 4-6 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 6 & 11: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}11: 7 & 11: 7 & 11: 7 & 11: 7 & 11: 7 & 11: 7 & 11: 7 & 11: 8 & 11: 8 & 11: 9 & 11: 9 & 11: 10 & 11: 10 & 11: 10 & 11: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 10 & 11: 11 & 11: 11 & 11: 11 & 11: 12 & 11: 12 & 11: 12 & 11: 12 & 11: 12 & 11: 12 & 11: 12\end{array} 11: 1411: 14$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 14 & 11: 15 & 11: 15 & 11: 16 & 11: 16 & 11: 16 & 11: 17 & 11: 17 & 11: 17 & 11: 17 & 11: 18 & 11: 18\end{array} 11: 18$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 18 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 20 & 11: 20 & 11: 20 & 11: 20 & 11: 21 \\ 11: 21 & 11: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 21 & 11: 21 & 11: 21 & 11: 21 & 11: 21 & 11: 21 & 11: 21 & 11: 22 & 11: 23 & 11: 23 & 11: 24 \\ 11: 25 & 11: 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25-30 & 11: 25-30\end{array} 11: 26 \quad 11: 26$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 26 & 11: 27 & 11: 27 & 11: 27 & 11: 27 & 11: 27 & 11: 27 & 11: 27 & 11: 27 & 11: 27 & 11: 27 \\ 11: 27 & 11: 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}11: 27 & 11: 27 & 11: 27 & 11: 27 & 11: 28 & 11: 28 & 11: 28 & 11: 28 & 11: 28 & 11: 28 \\ 11: 28 & 11: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}11: 28-30 & 11: 29 & 11: 29 & 11: 29 & 11: 29 & 11: 29 & 11: 29 & 11: 29 & 11: 29 & 11: 29\end{array} 11: 29 \quad 11: 29$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}11: 29 & 11: 30 & 11: 30 & 11: 30 & 11: 30 & 12 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1-8 & 12: 1-8 \\ 12: 1-14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 4 & 12: 4 & 12: 5 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 8 & 12: 9\end{array} 12: 9-14$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 9-14 & 12: 10 & 12: 10 & 12: 10 & 12: 10 & 12: 10 & 12: 10 & 12: 11 & 12: 12 & 12: 13 & 12: 13\end{array} 12: 14$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}12: 14 & 12: 14 & 12: 14 & 12: 14 & 12: 14 & 12: 14 & 12: 15 & 12: 15 & 12: 16 & 12: 17 \\ 12: 17-21 & 12: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 19 & 12: 19 & 12: 19 & 12: 19 & 12: 20 & 12: 20 & 12: 20 & 12: 20 & 12: 20 & 12: 20 & 12: 22\end{array} 12: 23 \quad 12: 23$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 24 & 12: 24 & 12: 24 & 12: 24 & 12: 24 & 12: 24 & 12: 25 & 12: 25 & 12: 25 & 12: 26 & 12: 27 & 12: 27 \\ 12: 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 28 & 12: 28 & 12: 28 & 12: 28 & 12: 29 & 12: 29 & 12: 29 & 12: 30 & 12: 30 & 12: 30 & 12: 30\end{array} 12: 30 \quad 12: 30$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 31 & 12: 31 & 12: 31 & 12: 31 & 12: 31 & 12: 31 & 12: 32 & 12: 32 & 12: 32 & 12: 32 & 12: 32 & 12: 33\end{array} 12: 34$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 34 & 12: 34 & 12: 34 & 12: 34 & 12: 34 & 12: 34 & 12: 34 & 12: 34 & 12: 34 & 12: 36 & 12: 36 & 12: 36\end{array} 12: 36$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 37 & 12: 38 & 12: 38 & 12: 38 & 12: 38 & 12: 38 & 12: 38 & 12: 39 & 12: 39 & 12: 39 & 12: 39 \\ 12: 39-41\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 40 & 12: 40 & 12: 40 & 12: 41 & 12: 41 & 12: 41 & 12: 41 & 12: 41 & 12: 41 & 12: 41 & 12: 41 & 12: 42\end{array} 12: 42$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 42 & 12: 43 & 12: 43 & 12: 43-45 & 12: 44 & 12: 44 & 12: 44 & 12: 45 & 12: 45 & 12: 45 & 12: 45\end{array} 12: 46$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 46 & 12: 46 & 12: 46-50 & 12: 46-50 & 12: 47 & 12: 47 & 12: 48 & 12: 48 & 12: 49 & 13 & 13: 1\end{array} 13: 1$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}13: 1-53 & 13: 1-58 & 13: 1-58 & 13: 1-58 & 13: 1-58 & 13: 1-58 & 13: 1-58 & 13: 1-58 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 \\ 13: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 3-8 & 13: 3-23 & 13: 4 & 13: 4 & 13: 5 & 13: 5 & 13: 5 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 \\ 13: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13: 7 & 13: 7 & 13: 7 & 13: 7 & 13: 8 & 13: 8 & 13: 8 & 13: 9 & 13: 9 & 13: 9 & 13: 9-17 & 13: 10 & 13: 11 \\ 13: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 12 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 14 & 13: 14 & 13: 14 & 13: 15 & 13: 15 & 13: 15 & 13: 15 & 13: 15 & 13: 15\end{array} 13: 15$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}13: 16 & 13: 18 & 13: 18-23 & 13: 19 & 13: 19 & 13: 19 & 13: 19 & 13: 19 & 13: 19 & 13: 19\end{array} 13: 21 \quad 13: 21$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 21 & 13: 21 & 13: 21 & 13: 21 & 13: 21 & 13: 21 & 13: 21 & 13: 22 & 13: 22 & 13: 22 & 13: 22 & 13: 22 \\ 13: 22\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 23 & 13: 23 & 13: 23 & 13: 24 & 13: 24 & 13: 25 & 13: 25 & 13: 25 & 13: 25 & 13: 26 & 13: 28 & 13: 28 \\ 13: 29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 29 & 13: 30 & 13: 30 & 13: 30 & 13: 30 & 13: 31 & 13: 31 & 13: 31 & 13: 31 & 13: 31 & 13: 31 & 13: 31 \\ 13: 32\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 32 & 13: 32 & 13: 32 & 13: 33 & 13: 33 & 13: 33 & 13: 33 & 13: 33 & 13: 33 & 13: 34 & 13: 34 & 13: 34 \\ 13: 35\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 35 & 13: 35 & 13: 35 & 13: 35 & 13: 36 & 13: 38 & 13: 38 & 13: 38 & 13: 39 & 13: 39 & 13: 39 & 13: 39 \\ 13: 40\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 41 & 13: 41 & 13: 41 & 13: 41 & 13: 41 & 13: 42 & 13: 43 & 13: 43 & 13: 43 & 13: 43 & 13: 44 & 13: 45 \\ 13: 45\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 46 & 13: 46 & 13: 46 & 13: 46 & 13: 46 & 13: 46 & 13: 47 & 13: 47 & 13: 48 & 13: 48 & 13: 48 & 13: 49 \\ 13: 49\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 49 & 13: 50 & 13: 51 & 13: 51 & 13: 52 & 13: 52 & 13: 52 & 13: 52 & 13: 52 & 13: 52 & 13: 53 & 13: 54 \\ 13: 54\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}13: 54-58 & 13: 54-58 & 13: 54-58 & 13: 55 & 13: 55 & 13: 55 & 13: 55 & 13: 55 & 13: 56 \\ 13: 57 & 13: 57\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13: 57 & 13: 57 & 13: 57 & 13: 57 & 13: 57 & 13: 58 & 13: 58 & 14 & 14: 1 & 14: 1 & 14: 1 & 14: 1 & 14: 1-12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 1-18: 35 & 14: 2 & 14: 2 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 3-12 & 14: 4 & 14: 5 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 7 & 14: 7 \\ 14: 7 & 14: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 8 & 14: 8 & 14: 8 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 10 & 14: 10 & 14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 12\end{array} 14: 12$
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}14: 12 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13-21 & 14: 13-21 & 14: 13-21 & 14: 14 & 14: 14 & 14: 14 \\ 14: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 14 & 14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 16 & 14: 16 & 14: 17 & 14: 18 & 14: 19 & 14: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 19 & 14: 19 & 14: 19 & 14: 20 & 14: 20 & 14: 20 & 14: 20 & 14: 20 & 14: 21 & 14: 21 & 14: 21 & 14: 21 & 14: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}14: 22 & 14: 22 & 14: 22 & 14: 22 & 14: 22 & 14: 22 & 14: 22 & 14: 23 & 14: 23 & 14: 23 & 14: 23 \\ 14: 23 & 14: 23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 23 & 14: 23 & 14: 24 & 14: 24 & 14: 24 & 14: 25 & 14: 25 & 14: 25 & 14: 25 & 14: 26 & 14: 26 & 14: 26 \\ 14: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}14: 27 & 14: 28 & 14: 28-31 & 14: 30 & 14: 31 & 14: 31 & 14: 31 & 14: 32 & 14: 33 & 14: 33\end{array} 14: 3314: 34$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 36 & 14: 36 & 14: 36 & 15 & 15: 1 & 15: 1-20 & 15: 1-20 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 3 \\ 15: 3-6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}15: 4 & 15: 4 & 15: 5 & 15: 5 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 7 & 15: 7 & 15: 7 & 15: 9 & 15: 9 & 15: 11 \\ 15: 11 & 15: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 11 & 15: 12 & 15: 12 & 15: 13 & 15: 14 & 15: 14 & 15: 14 & 15: 14 & 15: 14 & 15: 14 & 15: 15 & 15: 15\end{array} 15: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15: 16 & 15: 16 & 15: 16 & 15: 16 & 15: 17 & 15: 17 & 15: 18 & 15: 19 & 15: 21 & 15: 21 & 15: 21\end{array} 15: 22$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}15: 22-28 & 15: 23 & 15: 24 & 15: 24 & 15: 24 & 15: 24 & 15: 24 & 15: 25 & 15: 26 & 15: 27 \\ 15: 27 & 15: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15: 28 & 15: 28 & 15: 29 & 15: 30 & 15: 32 & 15: 32 & 15: 32 & 15: 33 & 15: 33 & 15: 34 & 15: 34 \\ 15: 35 & 15: 36\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}15: 37 & 15: 37 & 15: 37 & 15: 39 & 15: 39 & 15: 39 & 15: 39 & 16 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 3 & 16: 3 & 16: 3 & 16: 4 & 16: 4 & 16: 4 & 16: 5 \\ 16: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}16: 6 & 16: 6 & 16: 6 & 16: 7 & 16: 7 & 16: 8 & 16: 8-11 & 16: 8-12 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 10\end{array} 16: 11$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}16: 12 & 16: 12 & 16: 13 & 16: 13 & 16: 13 & 16: 13 & 16: 13 & 16: 13-20 & 16: 14 & 16: 14 & 16: 14 \\ 16: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}16: 15-20 & 16: 16 & 16: 16 & 16: 16 & 16: 16 & 16: 16 & 16: 16 & 16: 16 & 16: 16 & 16: 16 & 16: 16\end{array} 16: 16$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}16: 16 & 16: 17 & 16: 17 & 16: 17 & 16: 17 & 16: 17 & 16: 17 & 16: 17-19 & 16: 18 & 16: 18 & 16: 18\end{array} 16: 18$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 18 & 16: 18 & 16: 18 & 16: 18 & 16: 18 & 16: 18 & 16: 18 & 16: 18 & 16: 18 & 16: 18 & 16: 18 & 16: 18 \\ 16: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}16: 18 & 16: 18 & 16: 18 & 16: 18 & 16: 18 & 16: 18 & 16: 18 & 16: 18 & 16: 19 & 16: 19 & 16: 19 & 16: 19 & 16: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 19 & 16: 20 & 16: 20 & 16: 21 & 16: 21 & 16: 21 & 16: 21 & 16: 21 & 16: 21 & 16: 21 & 16: 21 & 16: 21\end{array} 16: 21$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}16: 22 & 16: 22 & 16: 22 & 16: 22 & 16: 23 & 16: 23 & 16: 23 & 16: 23 & 16: 23 & 16: 23 & 16: 23\end{array} 16: 2316: 24$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}16: 24 & 16: 24 & 16: 24 & 16: 24 & 16: 24-26 & 16: 25 & 16: 25 & 16: 25 & 16: 25 & 16: 25 & 16: 25\end{array} 16: 25$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}16: 25 & 16: 26 & 16: 26 & 16: 26 & 16: 26 & 16: 26 & 16: 26 & 16: 26 & 16: 26 & 16: 27 & 16: 27\end{array} 16: 2716: 27$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 27 & 16: 28 & 16: 28 & 16: 28 & 16: 28 & 16: 28 & 17 & 17: 1 & 17: 1 & 17: 1-8 & 17: 1-8 & 17: 2 \\ 17: 2 & 17: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}17: 2 & 17: 2 & 17: 2 & 17: 2 & 17: 2 & 17: 2 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 \\ 17: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 6 & 17: 7 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}17: 9 & 17: 9 & 17: 9 & 17: 10 & 17: 10-13 & 17: 11 & 17: 12 & 17: 12 & 17: 12 & 17: 13 & 17: 14-20\end{array} 17: 15$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}17: 15 & 17: 15 & 17: 15 & 17: 16 & 17: 17 & 17: 17 & 17: 17 & 17: 17 & 17: 19 & 17: 19 & 17: 20 & 17: 20\end{array} 17: 20$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}17: 20 & 17: 20 & 17: 20 & 17: 20 & 17: 20 & 17: 22 & 17: 22 & 17: 22 & 17: 22 & 17: 23 & 17: 23 \\ 17: 23 & 17: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}17: 24 & 17: 24 & 17: 25 & 17: 25 & 17: 25 & 17: 25 & 17: 25 & 17: 26 & 17: 27 & 18 & 18: 1 & 18: 1 & 18: 1\end{array} 18: 1$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 1 & 18: 1 & 18: 1 & 18: 1-35 & 18: 1-35 & 18: 2 & 18: 2 & 18: 2-6 & 18: 3 & 18: 3 & 18: 4 & 18: 4 \\ 18: 4 & 18: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}18: 5 & 18: 5 & 18: 5 & 18: 6 & 18: 6 & 18: 6 & 18: 6 & 18: 6 & 18: 6 & 18: 6 & 18: 6 & 18: 7 & 18: 7 & 18: 7\end{array} 18: 7$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}18: 7 & 18: 8 & 18: 8 & 18: 8 & 18: 8 & 18: 9 & 18: 9 & 18: 9 & 18: 9 & 18: 9 & 18: 10 & 18: 10 & 18: 10\end{array} 18: 12$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}18: 12 & 18: 12 & 18: 12 & 18: 12-14 & 18: 14 & 18: 15 & 18: 15 & 18: 15 & 18: 15 & 18: 15 & 18: 15\end{array} \quad 18: 15-17$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 16 & 18: 16 & 18: 16 & 18: 17 & 18: 17 & 18: 17 & 18: 17 & 18: 17 & 18: 17 & 18: 17 & 18: 17 & 18: 18\end{array} 18: 18$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 18 & 18: 18 & 18: 19 & 18: 19 & 18: 19 & 18: 20 & 18: 21 & 18: 21 & 18: 21 & 18: 22 & 18: 23 & 18: 23\end{array} 18: 23$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}18: 24 & 18: 24 & 18: 24 & 18: 24-34 & 18: 25 & 18: 25 & 18: 26 & 18: 26 & 18: 27 & 18: 28 \\ 18: 28 & 18: 28\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 28 & 18: 28 & 18: 28 & 18: 30 & 18: 30 & 18: 30 & 18: 30 & 18: 31 & 18: 31 & 18: 32 & 18: 33 & 18: 33\end{array} 18: 34$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}18: 35 & 19 & 19: 1 & 19: 1 & 19: 1-12 & 19: 1-20: 34 & 19: 1-20: 34 & 19: 2 & 19: 3 & 19: 3 & 19: 3 \\ 19: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}19: 3-10 & 19: 3-12 & 19: 4 & 19: 5 & 19: 5 & 19: 5 & 19: 5 & 19: 5 & 19: 6 & 19: 7 & 19: 7 & 19: 7 & 19: 8 & 19: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}19: 9 & 19: 9 & 19: 9 & 19: 9 & 19: 10 & 19: 11 & 19: 11 & 19: 12 & 19: 12 & 19: 13 & 19: 13 & 19: 13 & 19: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}19: 13-15 & 19: 14 & 19: 14 & 19: 14 & 19: 16 & 19: 16 & 19: 16 & 19: 16 & 19: 16 & 19: 17 & 19: 17 \\ 19: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 20 & 19: 20 & 19: 20 & 19: 21 & 19: 21 & 19: 21 & 19: 21 & 19: 21 & 19: 21 & 19: 21 & 19: 22 & 19: 22\end{array} 19: 23$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 23 & 19: 24 & 19: 24 & 19: 24 & 19: 24 & 19: 24 & 19: 25 & 19: 25 & 19: 26 & 19: 26 & 19: 26 & 19: 26 \\ 19: 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}19: 27 & 19: 28 & 19: 28 & 19: 28 & 19: 28 & 19: 28 & 19: 28 & 19: 28 & 19: 28 & 19: 28 & 19: 28 \\ 19: 28 & 19: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 28 & 19: 28 & 19: 28 & 19: 28 & 19: 29 & 19: 29 & 19: 29 & 19: 29 & 19: 30 & 19: 30 & 19: 30 & 19: 30\end{array} 19: 30$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}20 & 20: 1 & 20: 1 & 20: 2 & 20: 2 & 20: 2 & 20: 3 & 20: 3 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 6 & 20: 8 & 20: 8 & 20: 8 \\ 20: 8 & 20: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}20: 8 & 20: 9 & 20: 10 & 20: 11 & 20: 11 & 20: 11 & 20: 12 & 20: 12 & 20: 12 & 20: 12 & 20: 12 & 20: 12\end{array} 20: 13$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}20: 13 & 20: 14 & 20: 15 & 20: 16 & 20: 16 & 20: 17 & 20: 17 & 20: 17-19 & 20: 18 & 20: 18 & 20: 19 & 20: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}20: 19 & 20: 19 & 20: 19 & 20: 19 & 20: 20 & 20: 20 & 20: 20 & 20: 20 & 20: 20 & 20: 20-28 \\ 20: 20-28 & 20: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}20: 21 & 20: 22 & 20: 22 & 20: 22 & 20: 22 & 20: 22 & 20: 22 & 20: 23 & 20: 23 & 20: 23 & 20: 23 & 20: 23\end{array} 20: 23$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}20: 23-28 & 20: 24 & 20: 25 & 20: 25 & 20: 26 & 20: 26 & 20: 26 & 20: 26 & 20: 27 & 20: 28 & 20: 28\end{array} 20: 28$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 \\ 20: 29 & 20: 29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}20: 29 & 20: 30 & 20: 30 & 20: 30 & 20: 30 & 20: 30 & 20: 30 & 20: 30 & 20: 30 & 20: 31 & 20: 31 & 20: 32 \\ 20: 32\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}20: 32 & 20: 32 & 20: 33 & 20: 34 & 21 & 21: 1 & 21: 1 & 21: 1 & 21: 1 & 21: 1 & 21: 1-28: 8 & 21: 2 & 21: 2\end{array} 21: 2-3$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}21: 3 & 21: 3 & 21: 3 & 21: 3 & 21: 3 & 21: 4 & 21: 4 & 21: 4-9 & 21: 5 & 21: 5 & 21: 5 & 21: 5 & 21: 6 & 21: 6 & 21: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}21: 8 & 21: 8 & 21: 8 & 21: 9 & 21: 9 & 21: 9 & 21: 9 & 21: 9 & 21: 9 & 21: 10 & 21: 10 & 21: 10-17 & 21: 12 & 21: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}21: 12 & 21: 12 & 21: 12 & 21: 12 & 21: 12 & 21: 12 & 21: 13 & 21: 13 & 21: 13 & 21: 13 & 21: 15\end{array} 21: 15 \quad 21: 15$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}21: 16 & 21: 17 & 21: 18 & 21: 18 & 21: 18 & 21: 18 & 21: 19 & 21: 19 & 21: 19 & 21: 20 & 21: 21 & 21: 21\end{array} 21: 21$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}21: 21 & 21: 21 & 21: 21 & 21: 21 & 21: 22 & 21: 22 & 21: 23 & 21: 23 & 21: 23 & 21: 23 \\ 21: 23-27 & 21: 23-46\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}21: 23-23: 39 & 21: 24 & 21: 24 & 21: 25 & 21: 26 & 21: 26 & 21: 28-32 & 21: 29 & 21: 29\end{array} 21: 29 \quad 21: 30$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}21: 30 & 21: 30 & 21: 30 & 21: 30 & 21: 31 & 21: 31 & 21: 31 & 21: 31 & 21: 32 & 21: 32 & 21: 33 \\ 21: 33 & 21: 33\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}21: 33 & 21: 33 & 21: 33 & 21: 33 & 21: 33 & 21: 33 & 21: 33-46 & 21: 34 & 21: 34 & 21: 35 & 21: 35\end{array} 21: 35$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}21: 35 & 21: 35 & 21: 35 & 21: 35 & 21: 35 & 21: 37 & 21: 37 & 21: 37 & 21: 38 & 21: 38 & 21: 38 \\ 21: 38 & 21: 39\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}21: 41 & 21: 42 & 21: 42 & 21: 42 & 21: 42 & 21: 42 & 21: 42 & 21: 42 & 21: 42 & 21: 42 & 21: 42\end{array} 21: 42 \quad 21: 42$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}21: 42 & 21: 42-45 & 21: 43 & 21: 43-45 & 21: 44 & 21: 44 & 21: 44 & 21: 44 & 21: 45 & 21: 45 & 21: 46\end{array} 21: 46$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}21: 46 & 21: 46 & 22 & 22: 1 & 22: 1-14 & 22: 1-14 & 22: 1-14 & 22: 2 & 22: 2 & 22: 2-14 & 22: 3\end{array} 22: 3 \quad 22: 4$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}22: 4 & 22: 5 & 22: 5 & 22: 6 & 22: 6 & 22: 7 & 22: 8 & 22: 8 & 22: 9 & 22: 9 & 22: 10 & 22: 12 & 22: 12\end{array} 22: 12$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}22: 12 & 22: 12 & 22: 12 & 22: 13 & 22: 13 & 22: 13 & 22: 13 & 22: 14 & 22: 14 & 22: 14 & 22: 14 & 22: 15\end{array} 22: 15$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}22: 15-22 & 22: 16 & 22: 16 & 22: 16 & 22: 16 & 22: 16 & 22: 16 & 22: 16 & 22: 16-22 & 22: 18\end{array} 22: 18 \quad 22: 18$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}22: 19 & 22: 20 & 22: 20 & 22: 21 & 22: 21 & 22: 23 & 22: 23-33 & 22: 23-33 & 22: 24 & 22: 28 \\ 22: 29 & 22: 30\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}22: 30 & 22: 30 & 22: 32 & 22: 32 & 22: 33 & 22: 34 & 22: 34 & 22: 34 & 22: 34 & 22: 34 & 22: 34-40\end{array} 22: 34-40$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}22: 35 & 22: 36 & 22: 36 & 22: 37 & 22: 37 & 22: 37 & 22: 37-40 & 22: 39 & 22: 40 & 22: 40\end{array} 22: 40 \quad 22: 40$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}22: 41 & 22: 41 & 22: 42 & 22: 42-45 & 22: 43 & 22: 43 & 22: 44 & 22: 45 & 22: 45 & 23: 1 \\ 23: 1-7 & 23: 1-39\end{array}$ 23:1-39 23:1-39 23:1-39 23:1-39 23:1-39 $23: 1-39 \quad 23: 1-39 \quad 23: 1-39 \quad 23: 2 \quad 23: 3 \quad 23: 3$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}23: 3 & 23: 4 & 23: 4 & 23: 4 & 23: 4 & 23: 4 & 23: 4 & 23: 4 & 23: 4 & 23: 4 & 23: 4 & 23: 4 & 23: 5 & 23: 5 \\ 23: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}23: 6 & 23: 6 & 23: 6 & 23: 6 & 23: 6 & 23: 7 & 23: 7 & 23: 8 & 23: 8 & 23: 8-10 & 23: 9 & 23: 10 & 23: 11\end{array} 23: 12$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}23: 12 & 23: 12 & 23: 12 & 23: 13 & 23: 13 & 23: 13 & 23: 13 & 23: 13 & 23: 14 & 23: 15 & 23: 15\end{array} 23: 15 \quad 23: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}23: 16 & 23: 16 & 23: 17 & 23: 17 & 23: 22 & 23: 23 & 23: 23 & 23: 23 & 23: 23 & 23: 23 & 23: 23 \\ 23: 24 & 23: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}23: 24 & 23: 25 & 23: 25 & 23: 25 & 23: 25 & 23: 26 & 23: 26 & 23: 26 & 23: 27 & 23: 27 & 23: 27 & 23: 27 & 23: 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}23: 28 & 23: 29 & 23: 29 & 23: 29-37 & 23: 31 & 23: 31 & 23: 31 & 23: 32 & 23: 32 & 23: 32\end{array} \quad 23: 33 \quad 23: 33$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}23: 33 & 23: 33 & 23: 34 & 23: 34 & 23: 34 & 23: 35 & 23: 35 & 23: 35 & 23: 35 & 23: 35 & 23: 35\end{array} 23: 35 \quad 23: 35$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}23: 37 & 23: 37 & 23: 37 & 23: 37 & 23: 37 & 23: 37 & 23: 37 & 23: 37-39 & 23: 39 & 23: 39 & 24 & 24 \\ 24: 1\end{array}$ $24: 1 \quad 24: 1 \quad 24: 1 \quad 24: 1-3: 38 \quad 24: 1-51 \quad 24: 1-51 \quad 24: 1-51 \quad 24: 1-51 \quad 24: 1-51 \quad 24: 1-51 \quad 24: 2$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}24: 2 & 24: 2 & 24: 3 & 24: 3 & 24: 3 & 24: 3 & 24: 4 & 24: 4 & 24: 4 & 24: 4 & 24: 4 & 24: 4 & 24: 4-42 \\ 24: 5 & 24: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}24: 5 & 24: 5 & 24: 5 & 24: 5 & 24: 5-8 & 24: 6 & 24: 6 & 24: 6 & 24: 6 & 24: 6 & 24: 8 & 24: 8 & 24: 8 \\ 24: 8 & 24: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}24: 9 & 24: 10 & 24: 11 & 24: 11 & 24: 11 & 24: 11 & 24: 11 & 24: 12 & 24: 12 & 24: 12 & 24: 14 & 24: 15\end{array} 24: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}24: 15 & 24: 15 & 24: 15 & 24: 15 & 24: 15 & 24: 15 & 24: 15 & 24: 15 & 24: 16 & 24: 16 & 24: 16-25\end{array} \quad 24: 17$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}24: 17 & 24: 18 & 24: 18 & 24: 20 & 24: 20 & 24: 21 & 24: 21 & 24: 22 & 24: 22 & 24: 22 & 24: 23\end{array} 24: 23 \quad 24: 24$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}24: 24 & 24: 24 & 24: 24 & 24: 24 & 24: 24 & 24: 24 & 24: 24 & 24: 24 & 24: 24 & 24: 24 & 24: 24\end{array} 24: 24 \quad 24: 24$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}24: 24 & 24: 24 & 24: 24 & 24: 26 & 24: 27 & 24: 28 & 24: 28 & 24: 28 & 24: 28 & 24: 28 & 24: 28 \\ 24: 29 & 24: 29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}24: 29 & 24: 29 & 24: 29 & 24: 29 & 24: 29-31 & 24: 30 & 24: 30 & 24: 30 & 24: 30 & 24: 30\end{array} 24: 30 \quad 24: 31$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}24: 31 & 24: 31 & 24: 31 & 24: 31 & 24: 31 & 24: 31 & 24: 31 & 24: 31 & 24: 32 & 24: 32 & 24: 32\end{array} 24: 32-35$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}24: 32-36 & 24: 33 & 24: 33 & 24: 33 & 24: 34 & 24: 34 & 24: 34 & 24: 34 & 24: 34 & 24: 36 & 24: 36\end{array} 24: 36$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}24: 37 & 24: 37 & 24: 38 & 24: 38 & 24: 38 & 24: 38 & 24: 38 & 24: 39 & 24: 41 & 24: 41 & 24: 41\end{array} 24: 42 \quad 24: 42$ $24: 42 \quad 24: 43 \quad 24: 43 \quad 24: 43 \quad 24: 43 \quad 24: 43 \quad 24: 43 \quad 24: 43-51 \quad 24: 43-51 \quad 24: 43-51 \quad 24: 43-25: 46$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}24: 44 & 24: 45 & 24: 48 & 24: 49 & 24: 49 & 24: 49 & 24: 50 & 24: 51 & 24: 51 & 24: 51 & 25 & 25 & 25: 1\end{array} 25: 1$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}25: 1 & 25: 1 & 25: 1-13 & 25: 1-46 & 25: 1-46 & 25: 1-46 & 25: 1-46 & 25: 3 & 25: 3 & 25: 4 & 25: 4 & 25: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}25: 6 & 25: 6 & 25: 6 & 25: 6 & 25: 6 & 25: 7 & 25: 8 & 25: 8 & 25: 9 & 25: 10 & 25: 10 & 25: 10 & 25: 10\end{array} \quad 25: 10$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}25: 11 & 25: 12 & 25: 13 & 25: 13 & 25: 14 & 25: 14 & 25: 15 & 25: 15 & 25: 15 & 25: 16 & 25: 17 \\ 25: 19 & 25: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}25: 20 & 25: 21 & 25: 23 & 25: 24 & 25: 24 & 25: 24 & 25: 24 & 25: 24 & 25: 26 & 25: 26 & 25: 26 & 25: 26\end{array} 25: 27$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}25: 27 & 25: 27 & 25: 27 & 25: 28 & 25: 30 & 25: 30 & 25: 30 & 25: 30 & 25: 30 & 25: 31 & 25: 31 & 25: 31\end{array} 25: 31$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}25: 31-33 & 25: 31-46 & 25: 31-46 & 25: 31-46 & 25: 31-46 & 25: 31-46 & 25: 31-46 & 25: 32 & 25: 32 & 25: 32\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}25: 32 & 25: 34 & 25: 34 & 25: 34 & 25: 34 & 25: 34 & 25: 34 & 25: 34 & 25: 35 & 25: 35 & 25: 35 & 25: 35\end{array} 25: 36$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}25: 36 & 25: 36 & 25: 36 & 25: 36 & 25: 38 & 25: 40 & 25: 40 & 25: 40 & 25: 40 & 25: 41 & 25: 41 & 25: 41 \\ 25: 41\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}25: 41 & 25: 42 & 25: 42 & 25: 43 & 25: 43 & 25: 43 & 25: 44 & 25: 45 & 25: 46 & 25: 46 & 25: 46 & 25: 46\end{array} 25: 46$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}25: 46 & 25: 46 & 25: 46 & 25: 46 & 25: 46 & 25: 46 & 25: 46 & 25: 46 & 26: 1-5 & 26: 2 & 26: 2 & 26: 2\end{array} \quad 26: 2$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}26: 3 & 26: 3 & 26: 3 & 26: 4 & 26: 4 & 26: 4 & 26: 5 & 26: 5 & 26: 5 & 26: 6 & 26: 6 & 26: 6 & 26: 6 & 26: 6 & 26: 6-13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}26: 6-13 & 26: 6-13 & 26: 7 & 26: 7 & 26: 7 & 26: 7 & 26: 7 & 26: 7 & 26: 7 & 26: 7 & 26: 8 & 26: 8 \\ 26: 9 & 26: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}26: 10 & 26: 10 & 26: 11 & 26: 12 & 26: 12 & 26: 12 & 26: 12 & 26: 13 & 26: 13 & 26: 13 & 26: 13\end{array} 26: 14-16$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}26: 15 & 26: 15 & 26: 15 & 26: 15 & 26: 16 & 26: 16 & 26: 17 & 26: 17 & 26: 17 & 26: 17 & 26: 17 & 26: 17\end{array} 26: 17$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}26: 17 & 26: 18 & 26: 19 & 26: 20 & 26: 20 & 26: 21 & 26: 21 & 26: 21-24 & 26: 21-25 & 26: 22 & 26: 22\end{array} 26: 22$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}26: 23 & 26: 24 & 26: 24 & 26: 24 & 26: 24 & 26: 25 & 26: 25 & 26: 25 & 26: 26 & 26: 26\end{array} 26: 26-29 \quad 26: 28$
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$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}28: 19 & 28: 19 & 28: 19 & 28: 19 & 28: 19 & 28: 19 & 28: 20 & 28: 20 & 28: 20 & 28: 20 & 28: 20 & 28: 20\end{array} 28: 20$ 28:20 28:20
Mark
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1-13 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 \\ 1: 4 & 1: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 \\ 1: 7 & 1: 7\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14-6: 13 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 \\ 1: 15 & 1: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16-20 & 1: 17 & 1: 18 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 20\end{array} 1: 20$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 21-28 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 \\ 1: 24 & 1: 24 & 1: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 24 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 & 1: 25 & 1: 25 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 27 & 1: 28 & 1: 29 & 1: 29 & 1: 29 \\ 1: 29 & 1: 29-34 & 1: 30\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 30 & 1: 30 & 1: 31 & 1: 31 & 1: 31 & 1: 32 & 1: 32 & 1: 32 & 1: 32 & 1: 32 & 1: 33 & 1: 33 & 1: 34 \\ 1: 34 & 1: 34\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 34 & 1: 34 & 1: 35 & 1: 35 & 1: 35 & 1: 35 & 1: 36 & 1: 38 & 1: 38 & 1: 39 & 1: 39 & 1: 39 & 1: 40 & 1: 40 \\ 1: 40\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 40 & 1: 41 & 1: 43 & 1: 43 & 1: 43 & 1: 43 & 1: 43 & 1: 44 & 1: 44 & 1: 44 & 1: 44 & 1: 44 & 1: 45 & 1: 45 & 2 \\ 2: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1-4 & 2: 2 & 2: 2-12 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 \\ 2: 4 & 2: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5-7 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 11\end{array} 2: 11$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14\end{array} \quad 2: 15 \quad 2: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 19 & 2: 19\end{array} 2: 19 \quad 2: 20$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}2: 21 & 2: 21 & 2: 21 & 2: 21 & 2: 21 & 2: 22 & 2: 23 & 2: 23 & 2: 23 & 2: 23-28 & 2: 23-3: 6 & 2: 25\end{array} 2: 26 \quad 2: 27$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}2: 28 & 3 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1-6 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 \\ 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}3: 4 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 \\ 3: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}3: 8 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 \\ 3: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}3: 13-19 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14-19 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 \\ 3: 17 & 3: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}3: 17 & 3: 18 & 3: 19 & 3: 19 & 3: 20 & 3: 20 & 3: 21 & 3: 21 & 3: 21 & 3: 21 & 3: 21 & 3: 21 & 3: 21 \\ 3: 21 & 3: 22\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}3: 22 & 3: 22 & 3: 23 & 3: 23 & 3: 23 & 3: 25 & 3: 27 & 3: 27 & 3: 28 & 3: 29 & 3: 29 & 3: 29 & 3: 29 \\ 3: 29 & 3: 29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}3: 30 & 3: 30 & 3: 31 & 3: 31 & 3: 31 & 3: 31 & 3: 31 & 3: 31 & 3: 31 & 3: 31-35 & 3: 31-35 & 3: 32 & 3: 32\end{array} \quad 3: 32$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}3: 34 & 3: 34 & 4 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1-34 & 4: 1-34 & 4: 1-41 & 4: 2 & 4: 2 & 4: 2 & 4: 5 & 4: 6 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 \\ 4: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 10 & 4: 10 & 4: 10 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 13 & 4: 14 & 4: 14 & 4: 15 & 4: 15 & 4: 15 & 4: 17 & 4: 17 & 4: 17\end{array} 4: 19$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}4: 19 & 4: 19 & 4: 19 & 4: 20 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 21-25 & 4: 22\end{array} 4: 22 \quad 4: 22$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}4: 23 & 4: 23 & 4: 24 & 4: 24 & 4: 25 & 4: 25 & 4: 26 & 4: 26 & 4: 26 & 4: 26 & 4: 26-29 & 4: 26-29\end{array} 4: 27 \quad 4: 27$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}4: 28 & 4: 28 & 4: 29 & 4: 29 & 4: 29 & 4: 30 & 4: 30 & 4: 30-32 & 4: 31 & 4: 32 & 4: 32 & 4: 32 & 4: 32\end{array} 4: 32 \quad 4: 32$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}4: 33 & 4: 33 & 4: 33 & 4: 34 & 4: 34 & 4: 34 & 4: 34 & 4: 35 & 4: 36 & 4: 36 & 4: 37 & 4: 37 & 4: 37 \\ 4: 37 & 4: 37\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}4: 37 & 4: 37 & 4: 37 & 4: 37 & 4: 38 & 4: 38 & 4: 38 & 4: 38 & 4: 39 & 4: 39 & 4: 39 & 4: 39 & 4: 39 & 4: 39\end{array} 4: 40$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}4: 40 & 4: 41 & 5 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 2 & 5: 2 & 5: 2 & 5: 3 & 5: 3 & 5: 3 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}5: 6 & 5: 6 & 5: 6 & 5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 8 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 12 & 5: 13 & 5: 13 & 5: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}5: 13 & 5: 13 & 5: 13 & 5: 13 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 15 & 5: 15 & 5: 15 & 5: 15 & 5: 15 & 5: 15 & 5: 17 & 5: 18 & 5: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5: 20 & 5: 20 & 5: 22 & 5: 23 & 5: 23 & 5: 23 & 5: 23 & 5: 24 & 5: 24 & 5: 26 & 5: 26 & 5: 26 & 5: 26 \\ 5: 28 & 5: 28\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}5: 29 & 5: 29 & 5: 30 & 5: 31 & 5: 31 & 5: 31 & 5: 32 & 5: 33 & 5: 33 & 5: 34 & 5: 34 & 5: 34 & 5: 35 & 5: 35 \\ 5: 35\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}5: 35-38 & 5: 36 & 5: 36 & 5: 36 & 5: 37 & 5: 37 & 5: 38 & 5: 38 & 5: 38 & 5: 38 & 5: 38 & 5: 39 & 5: 39 & 5: 39 \\ 5: 40\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllll}5: 40 & 5: 40 & 5: 41 & 5: 41 & 5: 41 & 5: 41 & 5: 42 & 5: 42 & 5: 42 & 5: 43 & 5: 43 & 6 & 6: 1 & 6: 1-6 & 6: 1-6 & 6: 1-6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}6: 2 & 6: 3 & 6: 3 & 6: 3 & 6: 3 & 6: 3 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 5 & 6: 6 & 6: 6-13 & 6: 6-13 & 6: 7 \\ 6: 7 & 6: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 8 & 6: 8 & 6: 8 & 6: 8 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 10 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 14 & 6: 14-29 \\ 6: 14-9: 50\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 16 & 6: 17 & 6: 18 & 6: 19 & 6: 19 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 21 & 6: 21 \\ 6: 21 & 6: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 21 & 6: 22 & 6: 23 & 6: 23 & 6: 24 & 6: 25 & 6: 25 & 6: 26 & 6: 26 & 6: 26 & 6: 27 & 6: 27 & 6: 29 \\ 6: 29 & 6: 30\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}6: 30 & 6: 30-44 & 6: 31 & 6: 31 & 6: 31 & 6: 31 & 6: 31 & 6: 32 & 6: 32 & 6: 32-44 & 6: 33 & 6: 34 \\ 6: 34 & 6: 35\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 35 & 6: 36 & 6: 37 & 6: 37 & 6: 38 & 6: 38 & 6: 39 & 6: 39 & 6: 39 & 6: 39 & 6: 39 & 6: 39 & 6: 39 \\ 6: 39 & 6: 40\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 40 & 6: 41 & 6: 41 & 6: 41 & 6: 41 & 6: 43 & 6: 43 & 6: 44 & 6: 44 & 6: 45 & 6: 45 & 6: 45 & 6: 45 \\ 6: 45 & 6: 45\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 45 & 6: 45 & 6: 45 & 6: 46 & 6: 46 & 6: 46 & 6: 46 & 6: 46 & 6: 46 & 6: 46 & 6: 47 & 6: 47 & 6: 47 \\ 6: 48 & 6: 48\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 48 & 6: 48 & 6: 49 & 6: 49 & 6: 50 & 6: 50 & 6: 51 & 6: 51 & 6: 52 & 6: 52 & 6: 52 & 6: 52 & 6: 53 \\ 6: 54 & 6: 55\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 55 & 6: 56 & 6: 56 & 6: 56 & 6: 56 & 6: 56 & 6: 56 & 6: 56 & 7: 1-23 & 7: 1-23 & 7: 1-23 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 \\ 7: 2 & 7: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}7: 2-8 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 4 & 7: 4 & 7: 4 & 7: 4 & 7: 4 & 7: 4 & 7: 5 & 7: 5 & 7: 5 \\ 7: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 8 & 7: 8 & 7: 8 & 7: 8 & 7: 8-12 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 10 & 7: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 14 & 7: 15 & 7: 17 & 7: 18 & 7: 18 & 7: 18 & 7: 18 \\ 7: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 19 & 7: 21 & 7: 21 & 7: 21 & 7: 21 & 7: 21 & 7: 21 & 7: 22 & 7: 22 & 7: 22 & 7: 22 & 7: 24 & 7: 24 & 7: 24 \\ 7: 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 25 & 7: 26 & 7: 27 & 7: 27 & 7: 27 & 7: 28 & 7: 28 & 7: 28 & 7: 29 & 7: 30 & 7: 30 & 7: 31 & 7: 31-37 & 7: 32 \\ 7: 32\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}7: 33 & 7: 33 & 7: 34 & 7: 34 & 7: 34 & 7: 35 & 7: 35 & 7: 36 & 7: 36 & 7: 37 & 8 & 8: 1 & 8: 2 & 8: 3 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 \\ 8: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 8 & 8: 8 & 8: 8 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 11 & 8: 11 & 8: 12 & 8: 13 & 8: 14 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}8: 15 & 8: 16 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17-20 & 8: 18 & 8: 19 & 8: 19 & 8: 19\end{array} 8: 19 \quad 8: 20$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 20 & 8: 20 & 8: 21 & 8: 22 & 8: 22-26 & 8: 23 & 8: 23 & 8: 23 & 8: 23 & 8: 23 & 8: 24 & 8: 25 & 8: 25 & 8: 26 \\ 8: 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 27 & 8: 27 & 8: 28 & 8: 28 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 30 & 8: 31 & 8: 31 & 8: 31 & 8: 31 & 8: 31 & 8: 31 & 8: 31 \\ 8: 31\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 31 & 8: 31 & 8: 32 & 8: 32 & 8: 32 & 8: 32 & 8: 33 & 8: 33 & 8: 33 & 8: 33 & 8: 33 & 8: 34 & 8: 34 & 8: 34 \\ 8: 34\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}8: 34-37 & 8: 35 & 8: 35 & 8: 35 & 8: 35 & 8: 36 & 8: 36 & 8: 37 & 8: 38 & 8: 38 & 8: 38 & 8: 38 & 8: 38 \\ 8: 38 & 8: 38\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}8: 38 & 8: 38 & 9 & 9 & 9 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 \\ 9: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}9: 2-8 & 9: 3 & 9: 3 & 9: 3 & 9: 4 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 \\ 9: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 8 & 9: 8 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 12 & 9: 12 & 9: 12 \\ 9: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 14 & 9: 14-29 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 16 & 9: 17 & 9: 17 & 9: 17 & 9: 18 & 9: 18 & 9: 18 & 9: 19 & 9: 19\end{array} 9: 20 \quad 9: 20$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 20 & 9: 20 & 9: 20 & 9: 21 & 9: 21 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 23 & 9: 24 & 9: 25 & 9: 26 & 9: 26 \\ 9: 26 & 9: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 28 & 9: 29 & 9: 30 & 9: 30 & 9: 30 & 9: 31 & 9: 31 & 9: 31 & 9: 32 & 9: 32 & 9: 32 & 9: 32 & 9: 32 & 9: 33 \\ 9: 33\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 33 & 9: 33 & 9: 34 & 9: 34 & 9: 34 & 9: 35 & 9: 36 & 9: 36 & 9: 36 & 9: 37 & 9: 37 & 9: 38 & 9: 38 \\ 9: 39 & 9: 39\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 39 & 9: 40 & 9: 40 & 9: 41 & 9: 41 & 9: 42 & 9: 42 & 9: 42 & 9: 43 & 9: 44 & 9: 44 & 9: 44 & 9: 46 \\ 9: 47 & 9: 47\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 47 & 9: 48 & 9: 48 & 9: 48 & 9: 50 & 9: 50 & 9: 50 & 9: 50 & 10 & 10 & 10 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1\end{array} \quad 10: 1-52$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}10: 1-52 & 10: 2 & 10: 2 & 10: 3 & 10: 3 & 10: 4 & 10: 4 & 10: 6 & 10: 9 & 10: 9-12 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 11\end{array} 10: 11$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 11 & 10: 11 & 10: 12 & 10: 12 & 10: 13 & 10: 13 & 10: 13 & 10: 13 & 10: 13-16 & 10: 14 & 10: 14 \\ 10: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 15 & 10: 16 & 10: 17 & 10: 17 & 10: 17 & 10: 17 & 10: 17 & 10: 17 & 10: 18 & 10: 20 & 10: 20\end{array} 10: 21 \quad 10: 21$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 21 & 10: 21 & 10: 21 & 10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 23 & 10: 24 & 10: 24 & 10: 24-26 & 10: 25\end{array} 10: 25$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 26 & 10: 26 & 10: 26 & 10: 26 & 10: 27 & 10: 27 & 10: 27 & 10: 28 & 10: 30 & 10: 30 & 10: 30\end{array} 10: 3010: 31$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 31 & 10: 32 & 10: 32 & 10: 32 & 10: 32 & 10: 32 & 10: 32 & 10: 32 & 10: 33 & 10: 33-45 & 10: 34 \\ 10: 34\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 34 & 10: 35 & 10: 35 & 10: 35 & 10: 36 & 10: 37 & 10: 38 & 10: 38 & 10: 38 & 10: 38 & 10: 39 & 10: 39\end{array} 10: 39$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 39 & 10: 39 & 10: 39 & 10: 39 & 10: 39 & 10: 39 & 10: 39 & 10: 39-45 & 10: 40 & 10: 40 & 10: 40 \\ 10: 40\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 41 & 10: 42 & 10: 43 & 10: 43 & 10: 44 & 10: 45 & 10: 45 & 10: 45 & 10: 45 & 10: 45 & 10: 45 & 10: 45 \\ 10: 45\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}10: 46 & 10: 46 & 10: 46 & 10: 46 & 10: 46 & 10: 46 & 10: 46 & 10: 48 & 10: 48 & 10: 48 & 10: 49 & 10: 49 & 10: 49\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 1 & 11: 1-16: 8 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2-6 & 11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 4 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 7 \\ 11: 7 & 11: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 8 & 11: 9 & 11: 9 & 11: 9 & 11: 10 & 11: 10 & 11: 10 & 11: 10 & 11: 10 & 11: 11 & 11: 12\end{array} 11: 12-14110$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 14 & 11: 14 & 11: 14 & 11: 15 & 11: 15 & 11: 15 & 11: 15 & 11: 15-17 \\ 11: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 16 & 11: 17 & 11: 17 & 11: 17 & 11: 18 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 20 & 11: 20 & 11: 21 \\ 11: 21 & 11: 22\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 22 & 11: 22 & 11: 22 & 11: 23 & 11: 23 & 11: 23 & 11: 23 & 11: 23 & 11: 24 & 11: 24 & 11: 24 & 11: 25 \\ 11: 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 26 & 11: 27 & 11: 27 & 11: 27-12: 12 & 11: 27-12: 44 & 11: 28 & 11: 28 & 11: 29\end{array} 11: 29$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}11: 30 & 11: 31 & 11: 31 & 11: 32 & 11: 32 & 11: 32 & 11: 33 & 12 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 2 & 12: 3\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 12 & 12: 12 & 12: 13 & 12: 13 & 12: 13 & 12: 13-17 & 12: 14 & 12: 14 & 12: 14 & 12: 14 & 12: 15 \\ 12: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 17 & 12: 18 & 12: 18-27 & 12: 19 & 12: 20 & 12: 22 & 12: 23 & 12: 24 & 12: 24 & 12: 24 & 12: 25 \\ 12: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}12: 26 & 12: 26 & 12: 26 & 12: 26 & 12: 27 & 12: 28 & 12: 28 & 12: 28-31 & 12: 28-34 & 12: 28-34\end{array} \quad 12: 29-31$


 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllll}12: 42 & 12: 42 & 12: 42 & 12: 42 & 12: 42 & 12: 43 & 12: 43 & 12: 43 & 12: 44 & 12: 44 & 12: 44 & 12: 44 & 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1-37 & 13: 1-37 & 13: 1-37 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 3 \\ 13: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13: 4 & 13: 4 & 13: 4 & 13: 5 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 & 13: 6-8 & 13: 7 & 13: 7 & 13: 8 & 13: 8 & 13: 8 & 13: 8 \\ 13: 8 & 13: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13: 9 & 13: 9 & 13: 9 & 13: 10 & 13: 10 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 14 & 13: 14 & 13: 14\end{array}$ 13:14 13:14 13:14 13:14-22 $13: 15$ 13:15 13:16 $13: 19$ 13:19 $13: 20$ 13:22 $13: 22$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}13: 22 & 13: 22 & 13: 22 & 13: 22 & 13: 22 & 13: 22 & 13: 22 & 13: 23 & 13: 24 & 13: 24 & 13: 24-27 \\ 13: 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 26 & 13: 26 & 13: 27 & 13: 27 & 13: 28 & 13: 28 & 13: 28 & 13: 28-32 & 13: 28-32 & 13: 29 & 13: 31 & 13: 32\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}13: 37 & 13: 37 & 14 & 14: 1 & 14: 1 & 14: 1 & 14: 1 & 14: 1 & 14: 1 & 14: 1 & 14: 1 & 14: 2 & 14: 2 & 14: 2\end{array} 14: 2$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 2 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 \\ 14: 3 & 14: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}14: 3-9 & 14: 3-9 & 14: 5 & 14: 5 & 14: 5 & 14: 5 & 14: 5 & 14: 6 & 14: 8 & 14: 8 & 14: 8 & 14: 8 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 10 & 14: 10 & 14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 \\ 14: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 14 & 14: 14 & 14: 14 & 14: 14 & 14: 14 & 14: 14 & 14: 15 & 14: 15\end{array} \quad 14: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}14: 16 & 14: 17 & 14: 17 & 14: 17 & 14: 18 & 14: 18 & 14: 18-21 & 14: 19 & 14: 19 & 14: 19 & 14: 19\end{array} 14: 19$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 19 & 14: 20 & 14: 20 & 14: 21 & 14: 22 & 14: 22 & 14: 23 & 14: 24 & 14: 24 & 14: 24 & 14: 24 & 14: 24 \\ 14: 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 25 & 14: 25 & 14: 25 & 14: 26 & 14: 27 & 14: 29 & 14: 29 & 14: 30 & 14: 30 & 14: 30 & 14: 30 & 14: 31\end{array} 14: 31$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 32 & 14: 32 & 14: 33 & 14: 33 & 14: 33 & 14: 35 & 14: 35 & 14: 35 & 14: 35 & 14: 36 & 14: 36 & 14: 36\end{array} 14: 36$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 36 & 14: 36 & 14: 36 & 14: 36 & 14: 36 & 14: 36 & 14: 37 & 14: 37-42 & 14: 38 & 14: 38-40 & 14: 40 & 14: 41\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 41 & 14: 41 & 14: 42 & 14: 43 & 14: 43 & 14: 43 & 14: 44 & 14: 44 & 14: 45 & 14: 45 & 14: 47 & 14: 47 \\ 14: 47\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 47 & 14: 47 & 14: 47 & 14: 48 & 14: 48 & 14: 49 & 14: 51 & 14: 51 & 14: 52 & 14: 53 & 14: 53 & 14: 54 & 14: 54\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}14: 54 & 14: 54 & 14: 54 & 14: 55-57 & 14: 55-65 & 14: 56 & 14: 57 & 14: 57 & 14: 58 & 14: 58 & 14: 58 \\ 14: 58\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}14: 58 & 14: 58 & 14: 58 & 14: 58 & 14: 59 & 14: 59 & 14: 60 & 14: 61 & 14: 61 & 14: 61 & 14: 61-64\end{array} 14: 62$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 62 & 14: 62 & 14: 62 & 14: 62 & 14: 64 & 14: 64 & 14: 65 & 14: 65 & 14: 65 & 14: 65 & 14: 65 & 14: 65 & 14: 65\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 65 & 14: 66 & 14: 66 & 14: 66 & 14: 67 & 14: 67 & 14: 68 & 14: 68 & 14: 68 & 14: 68 & 14: 68 & 14: 69 & 14: 69\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 70 & 14: 70 & 14: 70 & 14: 71 & 14: 71 & 14: 71 & 14: 71 & 14: 72 & 14: 72 & 14: 72 & 14: 72 & 14: 72 & 14: 72\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 72 & 15 & 15: 1 & 15: 1 & 15: 1 & 15: 1 & 15: 1 & 15: 1 & 15: 1 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 2\end{array} 15: 315: 5$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 7 & 15: 7 & 15: 8 & 15: 8 & 15: 9 & 15: 9 & 15: 10 & 15: 10 & 15: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 11 & 15: 12 & 15: 13 & 15: 13 & 15: 14 & 15: 15 & 15: 15 & 15: 16 & 15: 16 & 15: 16 & 15: 16-19 & 15: 16-19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 17 & 15: 17 & 15: 17 & 15: 17 & 15: 17 & 15: 17 & 15: 17 & 15: 17 & 15: 19 & 15: 19 & 15: 19 & 15: 20 \\ 15: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 20 & 15: 20 & 15: 21 & 15: 21 & 15: 21 & 15: 21 & 15: 22 & 15: 22 & 15: 22 & 15: 23 & 15: 23 & 15: 23\end{array} 15: 24$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}15: 24 & 15: 24 & 15: 24-32 & 15: 25 & 15: 25 & 15: 25 & 15: 25 & 15: 25 & 15: 26 & 15: 26 \\ 15: 26 & 15: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 27 & 15: 27 & 15: 31 & 15: 32 & 15: 32 & 15: 32 & 15: 33 & 15: 33 & 15: 34 & 15: 34 & 15: 35 & 15: 36 \\ 15: 36\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 37 & 15: 37 & 15: 37 & 15: 37 & 15: 38 & 15: 38 & 15: 38 & 15: 39 & 15: 39 & 15: 39 & 15: 39 & 15: 39\end{array} 15: 39$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 39 & 15: 39 & 15: 40 & 15: 40 & 15: 40 & 15: 40 & 15: 40 & 15: 40 & 15: 41 & 15: 42 & 15: 42 & 15: 42 \\ 15: 42\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 43 & 15: 43 & 15: 43 & 15: 43 & 15: 43 & 15: 43 & 15: 44 & 15: 44 & 15: 44 & 15: 45 & 15: 45 & 15: 46 \\ 15: 46\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}15: 46 & 15: 46 & 15: 46 & 15: 46 & 15: 46 & 15: 47 & 15: 47 & 15: 47 & 16 & 16 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}16: 1 & 16: 1-3: 38 & 16: 1-20 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2-8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}16: 3 & 16: 3 & 16: 4 & 16: 4 & 16: 5 & 16: 5 & 16: 5 & 16: 5 & 16: 5 & 16: 6 & 16: 6 & 16: 7 & 16: 7 & 16: 7 & 16: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}16: 8 & 16: 8 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 9-20 & 16: 9-20 & 16: 9-20 & 16: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}16: 10 & 16: 10 & 16: 11 & 16: 11 & 16: 12 & 16: 12 & 16: 13 & 16: 14 & 16: 14 & 16: 14 & 16: 14 & 16: 14 & 16: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}16: 15 & 16: 15-18 & 16: 15-18 & 16: 15-18 & 16: 16 & 16: 16 & 16: 17 & 16: 18 & 16: 18 \\ 16: 18 & 16: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}16: 19 & 16: 19 & 16: 19 & 16: 20\end{array}$

## Luke

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1 & 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1-4 & 1: 1-4 & 1: 1-4 & 1: 1-4 & 1: 1-4 \\ 1: 1-4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 1-4 & 1: 1-4 & 1: 1-4 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 \\ 1: 3 & 1: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5-2: 52 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 \\ 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13-17 & 1: 13-17 & 1: 14 \\ 1: 14 & 1: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 15 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 20 & 1: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 \\ 1: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 24 & 1: 24 & 1: 25 & 1: 26 & 1: 26-38 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 28 & 1: 28 & 1: 28 & 1: 28 \\ 1: 28 & 1: 28-38\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 29 & 1: 29 & 1: 30 & 1: 30 & 1: 31 & 1: 31 & 1: 32 & 1: 32 & 1: 32 & 1: 32 & 1: 32 & 1: 33 & 1: 34-38 & 1: 35 \\ 1: 35\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 35 & 1: 35 & 1: 35 & 1: 35 & 1: 35 & 1: 35 & 1: 36 & 1: 36 & 1: 36 & 1: 37 & 1: 38 & 1: 39 & 1: 39 \\ 1: 40 & 1: 41\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1: 41 & 1: 41-44 & 1: 42 & 1: 42 & 1: 42 & 1: 42 & 1: 42 & 1: 42 & 1: 42-45 & 1: 43 & 1: 44 \\ 1: 44 & 1: 45 & 1: 45\end{array}$

```
1:45 1:46 1:46 1:46 1:46 1:46 1:46-48 1:46-55 1:47 1:47 1:47 1:47 1:47 1:47-55
1:48 1:48 1:48 1:48 1:48 1:48 1:48 1:49 1:49 1:49 1:49 1:50 1:51 1:51-53 1:51-63
1:52 1:52 1:52 1:52 1:52 1:52 1:52 1:5 1:53 1:54 1:54 1:54 1:54 1:54 1:57 1:58
1:59 1:59 1:59-63 1:59-66 1:62 1:62 1:62 1:62 1:62 1:63 1:64 1:64 1:64 1:64
1:65 1:65 1:66 1:66 1:66 1:66 1:67 1:67 1:68 1:68 1:68
1:68 1:68 1:68-70 1:68-79 1:69 1:69 1:69 1:70}1:69 1:70 1:70 1:70 1:70 1:71 1:72
```



```
1:79}1:79 1:80 1:80 1:80 1:80 1:80 2 2 2:1 2:1 2:1 2:1 2:1 2:1 2:1 2:1 2:1-3
2:1-7 2:1-7 2:1-7 2:2 2:2 2:2 2:2 2:2 2:3 2:3 2:4 2:5 2:5
2:7 2:7 2:7 2:7 2:7 2:7 2:7 2:7 2:7 2:8 2:8-28 2:7 2:9 2:9 2:9 2:10
2:11 2:12 2:12 2:12 2:12 2:12 2:13 
2:15 2:15
2:21 2:21 2:21 2:22 2:22 2:22 2:22 
2:25 2:25 2:25 2:25 2:25 2:25 2:25 2:25
2:26 2:26 2:27 2:27 2:27 2:28 2:28 2:28 2:28
2:30
2:34 2:34 2:35 2:35 2:35 2:35 2:35 2:35 2:36 2:36 2:36 2:36
2:38
2:42-52 2:43 2:44 2:44 2:45 2:45 2:46 2:47 2:47 2:47 2:47 2:48 2:48 2:48 2:49
2:49 2:49 2:49 2:50 2:51 2:51 2:51 2:51 2:52 2:52 2:52 2:52
3:1 3:1 3:1-11 3:2 3:2 3:2 3:2 3:2 3:3 3:3 3:3 3:4 3:4 3:4 3:5 3:5 3:5 3:5 3:5
3:5}30:6 3:6 3:6 3:6 3:7 3:7 3:7 3:7 3:7 3:7 3:7-9 3:8 3:8 3:8 3:10 3:10 3:10-14
3:11 3:11 3:11 3:12 
3:15 3:15
3:17 3:17 3:18 3:18 3:18 3:18 3:19 3:19
3:21 3:21 3:21 3:21 3:21 3:21 3:21 
3:22 3:22 3:22 3:22 3:22 3:22 3:22 3:22 3:22 3:22 
3:23-38
4:8 4:9 4:9 4:9 4:9-11 4:12 4:12 4:12 4:13 4:13 4:13 4:13 4:13 4:14 4:14 4:14
4:14 4:15 4:15 4:15 4:15 4:16 4:16 4:16 4:16 
4:16-31 4:16-31 4:16-31 4:17 4:17 4:17 4:17 4:17 4:18
4:19 4:20
4:21 4:21 4:21 4:22 4:22 4:23 4:23 4:23 4:23 4:23 4:23 4:24
4:25 4:26 4:26-31 
4:31-41 4:32 4:32 4:32 4:33 4:33 4:34
4:37 4:38
4:42 4:42-44 4:43 4:44 5
5:3 5:3 5:4 5:4 5:4 5:5 5:5 5:5 5:5 5:5 5:5 5:5 5:6 5:6 5:6 5:7 5:7 5:7 5:7 5:7
```

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 12-16 & 5: 13 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 15 & 5: 15 & 5: 16 & 5: 16 & 5: 17 \\ 5: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}5: 17 & 5: 17 & 5: 17 & 5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 & 5: 20 & 5: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5: 20 & 5: 21 & 5: 21 & 5: 21 & 5: 21 & 5: 21 & 5: 21 & 5: 21 & 5: 22 & 5: 22 & 5: 23 & 5: 23 & 5: 23 \\ 5: 24 & 5: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}5: 24 & 5: 24 & 5: 24 & 5: 24 & 5: 25 & 5: 25 & 5: 26 & 5: 26 & 5: 26 & 5: 26 & 5: 26 & 5: 27 & 5: 27 & 5: 27 \\ 5: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5: 29 & 5: 29 & 5: 29 & 5: 29 & 5: 30 & 5: 30 & 5: 30 & 5: 30 & 5: 30 & 5: 30 & 5: 31 & 5: 31 & 5: 31 \\ 5: 31 & 5: 31\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5: 31 & 5: 32 & 5: 32 & 5: 32 & 5: 33 & 5: 33 & 5: 33 & 5: 33 & 5: 33-38 & 5: 34 & 5: 34 & 5: 35 & 5: 36 \\ 5: 36 & 5: 36\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}5: 36 & 5: 36 & 5: 36-39 & 5: 37 & 5: 38 & 5: 38 & 5: 38 & 5: 38 & 5: 38 & 5: 39 & 5: 39 & 6 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1-11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}6: 3 & 6: 4 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6-11 & 6: 6-11 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 \\ 6: 8 & 6: 8 & 6: 9\end{array}$ $6: 9 \quad 6: 10 \quad 6: 10 \quad 6: 10 \quad 6: 11 \quad 6: 11 \quad 6: 11 \quad 6: 11 \quad 6: 11 \quad 6: 12 \quad 6: 12 \quad 6: 12 \quad 6: 12 \quad 6: 12 \quad 6: 12-16$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13-16 & 6: 14 & 6: 15 & 6: 16 \\ 6: 16 & 6: 16 & 6: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}6: 16 & 6: 16 & 6: 17 & 6: 17 & 6: 17 & 6: 17 & 6: 17 & 6: 18 & 6: 18 & 6: 18 & 6: 18 & 6: 18 & 6: 19 & 6: 20 \\ 6: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}6: 21 & 6: 21 & 6: 21 & 6: 22 & 6: 23 & 6: 24 & 6: 24 & 6: 24 & 6: 24 & 6: 24 & 6: 25 & 6: 25 & 6: 25 & 6: 25 \\ 6: 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}6: 25 & 6: 26 & 6: 26 & 6: 27 & 6: 27 & 6: 28 & 6: 28 & 6: 28 & 6: 28 & 6: 28 & 6: 29 & 6: 29 & 6: 30 & 6: 31\end{array} \quad 6: 31$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}6: 32 & 6: 32 & 6: 32 & 6: 32-34 & 6: 33 & 6: 34 & 6: 34 & 6: 34 & 6: 34 & 6: 35 & 6: 35 & 6: 35 & 6: 35 & 6: 36\end{array} \quad 6: 36$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 37 & 6: 37 & 6: 38 & 6: 38 & 6: 38 & 6: 38 & 6: 38 & 6: 39 & 6: 39 & 6: 39 & 6: 39 & 6: 40 & 6: 40 \\ 6: 40 & 6: 40\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}6: 40 & 6: 41 & 6: 42 & 6: 42 & 6: 44 & 6: 44 & 6: 44 & 6: 44 & 6: 45 & 6: 45 & 6: 46 & 6: 47 & 6: 47 & 6: 47 \\ 6: 47\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}6: 47 & 6: 47 & 6: 48 & 6: 48 & 6: 48 & 6: 48 & 6: 48 & 6: 48 & 6: 48 & 6: 48 & 6: 49 & 7 & 7 & 7: 1 & 7: 1-10 \\ 7: 1-50\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}7: 1-50 & 7: 1-50 & 7: 1-50 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 4 & 7: 4 & 7: 5 \\ 7: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 7 & 7: 7 & 7: 8 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 10 & 7: 10 & 7: 10 & 7: 11 & 7: 11\end{array} 7: 11-17$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}7: 11-17 & 7: 12 & 7: 12 & 7: 12 & 7: 12 & 7: 12 & 7: 13 & 7: 14 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 16 & 7: 16 \\ 7: 16 & 7: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 17 & 7: 18 & 7: 18 & 7: 18-35 & 7: 19 & 7: 19 & 7: 19 & 7: 19 & 7: 19 & 7: 19 & 7: 19 & 7: 20 & 7: 20 & 7: 21 \\ 7: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 21 & 7: 21 & 7: 22 & 7: 22 & 7: 22 & 7: 22 & 7: 24 & 7: 25 & 7: 25 & 7: 25 & 7: 25 & 7: 26 & 7: 26 & 7: 27 \\ 7: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 29 & 7: 30 & 7: 30 & 7: 30 & 7: 30 & 7: 31 & 7: 31 & 7: 32 & 7: 33 & 7: 33 & 7: 33-35 & 7: 34 & 7: 34 & 7: 34 \\ 7: 35\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}7: 35 & 7: 35 & 7: 36 & 7: 36 & 7: 36 & 7: 36-50 & 7: 36-50 & 7: 36-50 & 7: 36-50 & 7: 36-50 & 7: 37 & 7: 37 & 7: 38\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 38 & 7: 38 & 7: 38 & 7: 38 & 7: 38 & 7: 38 & 7: 39 & 7: 40 & 7: 41 & 7: 41 & 7: 42 & 7: 42 & 7: 42 & 7: 43 \\ 7: 43\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}7: 44 & 7: 44 & 7: 44 & 7: 44 & 7: 44 & 7: 45 & 7: 45 & 7: 46 & 7: 47 & 7: 47 & 7: 47 & 7: 47 & 7: 48 & 7: 48 & 7: 49\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}7: 50 & 7: 50 & 8 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1-3 & 8: 1-3 & 8: 1-3 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 \\ 8: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}8: 4 & 8: 4-18 & 8: 5 & 8: 5-9 & 8: 6 & 8: 7 & 8: 8 & 8: 8 & 8: 8 & 8: 8 & 8: 9 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 \\ 8: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 11 & 8: 11 & 8: 11 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 14 & 8: 14 & 8: 14\end{array} 8: 14$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}8: 14 & 8: 14 & 8: 14 & 8: 14 & 8: 14 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 15-17 \\ 8: 16 & 8: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}8: 16 & 8: 16 & 8: 16-18 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 18 & 8: 18 & 8: 18 & 8: 19 & 8: 19-21 & 8: 20 \\ 8: 20 & 8: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 21 & 8: 22 & 8: 22 & 8: 22 & 8: 22 & 8: 23 & 8: 23 & 8: 23 & 8: 23 & 8: 23 & 8: 23 & 8: 23 & 8: 23 & 8: 24 \\ 8: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 24 & 8: 24 & 8: 24 & 8: 26 & 8: 26 & 8: 26 & 8: 27 & 8: 27 & 8: 27 & 8: 27 & 8: 27 & 8: 28 & 8: 28 & 8: 28 \\ 8: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}8: 28 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 30 & 8: 30 & 8: 31 & 8: 31 & 8: 31 & 8: 31 & 8: 32 & 8: 33 & 8: 33\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}8: 33 & 8: 33 & 8: 34 & 8: 34 & 8: 35 & 8: 35 & 8: 35 & 8: 36 & 8: 37 & 8: 37 & 8: 37 & 8: 37 & 8: 38 & 8: 38 & 8: 39\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 40 & 8: 41 & 8: 41 & 8: 42 & 8: 42 & 8: 42 & 8: 42 & 8: 42 & 8: 43 & 8: 43 & 8: 43 & 8: 43 & 8: 44 & 8: 44 \\ 8: 44-46\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 45 & 8: 45 & 8: 45 & 8: 45 & 8: 45 & 8: 46 & 8: 46 & 8: 47 & 8: 49 & 8: 49 & 8: 49 & 8: 50 & 8: 50 & 8: 52 \\ 8: 52\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}8: 52 & 8: 53 & 8: 53 & 8: 53 & 8: 54 & 8: 54 & 8: 54 & 8: 55 & 8: 56 & 9 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1-6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}9: 1-6 & 9: 1-6 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 3 & 9: 3 & 9: 3 & 9: 4 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 6 & 9: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7-9 & 9: 7-9 & 9: 8 & 9: 8 & 9: 9 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 & 9: 10-17 \\ 9: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 12 & 9: 12 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 14 \\ 9: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 16 & 9: 16 & 9: 16 & 9: 16 & 9: 17 & 9: 17 & 9: 18 & 9: 18 & 9: 18 & 9: 18 & 9: 19 & 9: 20 & 9: 20 & 9: 20 \\ 9: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 21 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 23 & 9: 23 & 9: 24 & 9: 24 & 9: 24 & 9: 25 \\ 9: 25 & 9: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 26 & 9: 26 & 9: 26 & 9: 26 & 9: 26 & 9: 27 & 9: 27 & 9: 27 & 9: 27 & 9: 27 & 9: 27 & 9: 28 & 9: 28 \\ 9: 28 & 9: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 28-36 & 9: 29 & 9: 29 & 9: 29 & 9: 29 & 9: 31 & 9: 31 & 9: 31 & 9: 31 & 9: 31 & 9: 31 & 9: 31 & 9: 32 \\ 9: 32 & 9: 32\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 33 & 9: 33 & 9: 33 & 9: 33 & 9: 34 & 9: 34 & 9: 34 & 9: 34 & 9: 35 & 9: 35 & 9: 35 & 9: 36 & 9: 36 & 9: 36 \\ 9: 37\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 37 & 9: 37-43 & 9: 38 & 9: 38 & 9: 38 & 9: 38 & 9: 38 & 9: 38 & 9: 39 & 9: 39 & 9: 39 & 9: 39 & 9: 40 & 9: 41 \\ 9: 41\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 42 & 9: 42 & 9: 42 & 9: 43 & 9: 43 & 9: 43 & 9: 44 & 9: 45 & 9: 45 & 9: 45 & 9: 45 & 9: 46 & 9: 46 & 9: 46-48 \\ 9: 47\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 47 & 9: 47 & 9: 48 & 9: 48 & 9: 48 & 9: 48 & 9: 49 & 9: 49 & 9: 50 & 9: 51 & 9: 51 & 9: 51 & 9: 51 & 9: 51 \\ 9: 51-56\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}9: 51-56 & 9: 51-18: 10 & 9: 52 & 9: 53 & 9: 54 & 9: 54 & 9: 54 & 9: 54 & 9: 54 & 9: 55 & 9: 55 & 9: 57 \\ 9: 57-60\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 57-18: 14 & 9: 59 & 9: 59 & 9: 59 & 9: 60 & 9: 61 & 9: 61 & 9: 62 & 9: 62 & 9: 62 & 10 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 \\ 10: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}10: 1-13: 21 & 10: 2 & 10: 3 & 10: 3 & 10: 3 & 10: 4 & 10: 4 & 10: 5 & 10: 5 & 10: 5 & 10: 6 & 10: 6 & 10: 7 & 10: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 8 & 10: 8 & 10: 9 & 10: 9 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 11 & 10: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 11 & 10: 11 & 10: 12 & 10: 12 & 10: 13 & 10: 13 & 10: 13 & 10: 13 & 10: 13 & 10: 14 & 10: 14 & 10: 15 \\ 10: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}10: 16 & 10: 17 & 10: 17 & 10: 17 & 10: 18 & 10: 18 & 10: 18 & 10: 18 & 10: 18 & 10: 18 & 10: 18 & 10: 18 & 10: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 18 & 10: 19 & 10: 19 & 10: 19 & 10: 19 & 10: 20 & 10: 20 & 10: 20 & 10: 21 & 10: 21 & 10: 21\end{array} 10: 21$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 21-24 & 10: 21-24 & 10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 23 \\ 10: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 25 & 10: 25 & 10: 25 & 10: 25 & 10: 26 & 10: 27 & 10: 27 & 10: 28 & 10: 28 & 10: 29 & 10: 29 & 10: 29\end{array} 10: 29$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 30 & 10: 30 & 10: 30 & 10: 30 & 10: 30 & 10: 30 & 10: 30 & 10: 30 & 10: 30 & 10: 30 & 10: 31 & 10: 31\end{array} 10: 32$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 33 & 10: 34 & 10: 34 & 10: 34 & 10: 34 & 10: 34 & 10: 34 & 10: 35 & 10: 35 & 10: 36 & 10: 36 & 10: 37 \\ 10: 38\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}10: 38 & 10: 38 & 10: 38 & 10: 38 & 10: 38 & 10: 38 & 10: 38 & 10: 38-42 & 10: 38-42 \\ 10: 38-42 & 10: 39\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 39 & 10: 39 & 10: 40 & 10: 40 & 10: 40 & 10: 40 & 10: 40 & 10: 40 & 10: 40 & 10: 41 & 10: 41 & 10: 41 \\ 10: 42\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}11 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 2-4 & 11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 5\end{array} 11: 5$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 6 & 11: 7 & 11: 8 & 11: 9 & 11: 9 & 11: 11 & 11: 11 & 11: 11 & 11: 12 & 11: 12-12\end{array} 11: 13$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 13 & 11: 14 & 11: 15 & 11: 15 & 11: 15-20 & 11: 16 & 11: 16 & 11: 17 & 11: 17 & 11: 18 & 11: 18\end{array} 11: 19$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 19 & 11: 20 & 11: 20 & 11: 20 & 11: 20 & 11: 21 & 11: 21 & 11: 21 & 11: 22 & 11: 22 & 11: 22\end{array} 11: 22 \quad 11: 23$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}11: 23 & 11: 24 & 11: 24 & 11: 24-26 & 11: 25 & 11: 26 & 11: 26 & 11: 26 & 11: 27 & 11: 27 \\ 11: 27 & 11: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 28 & 11: 29 & 11: 29 & 11: 31 & 11: 31 & 11: 31 & 11: 31 & 11: 32 & 11: 32 & 11: 32 & 11: 32 & 11: 33\end{array} 11: 33$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 33 & 11: 33 & 11: 34 & 11: 34 & 11: 35 & 11: 35 & 11: 36 & 11: 36 & 11: 36 & 11: 37 & 11: 37 \\ 11: 37-54\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 38 & 11: 39 & 11: 39-44 & 11: 40 & 11: 41 & 11: 42 & 11: 42 & 11: 42 & 11: 42 & 11: 43 & 11: 44 \\ 11: 44\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 45 & 11: 46 & 11: 46 & 11: 47 & 11: 48 & 11: 48 & 11: 48 & 11: 48 & 11: 48-52 & 11: 49 & 11: 49 & 11: 50\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 50 & 11: 50 & 11: 50 & 11: 50 & 11: 51 & 11: 51 & 11: 52 & 11: 52 & 11: 53 & 11: 53 & 11: 54 \\ 11: 54 & 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}12 & 12 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1-59 & 12: 2 & 12: 2-9 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 \\ 12: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}12: 3 & 12: 4 & 12: 4 & 12: 4 & 12: 4 & 12: 5 & 12: 5 & 12: 5 & 12: 5 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 8 \\ 12: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 8 & 12: 8 & 12: 8 & 12: 8 & 12: 8 & 12: 9 & 12: 9 & 12: 10 & 12: 10 & 12: 10 & 12: 10 & 12: 11\end{array} 12: 11 \quad 12: 11$
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}12: 11 & 12: 12 & 12: 12 & 12: 13 & 12: 13 & 12: 13 & 12: 13-21 & 12: 13-21 & 12: 14 & 12: 14\end{array} 12: 15 \quad 12: 15$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 15 & 12: 16 & 12: 16 & 12: 17 & 12: 18 & 12: 18 & 12: 19 & 12: 19 & 12: 19 & 12: 20 & 12: 20 & 12: 21\end{array} 12: 21$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}12: 21 & 12: 21 & 12: 21 & 12: 21 & 12: 22 & 12: 22 & 12: 22 & 12: 22 & 12: 22-31 & 12: 22-31\end{array} 12: 22-40$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}12: 22-40 & 12: 23 & 12: 23 & 12: 24 & 12: 24 & 12: 24 & 12: 24 & 12: 24 & 12: 25 & 12: 25\end{array} 12: 25 \quad 12: 26$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 26 & 12: 27 & 12: 27 & 12: 28 & 12: 29 & 12: 31 & 12: 32 & 12: 32 & 12: 32 & 12: 33 & 12: 33 \\ 12: 34 & 12: 35\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 35 & 12: 35-38 & 12: 35-40 & 12: 36 & 12: 36 & 12: 36 & 12: 36 & 12: 37 & 12: 37 & 12: 37 & 12: 37\end{array} 12: 38$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 39 & 12: 39 & 12: 39 & 12: 39 & 12: 39-46 & 12: 40 & 12: 41 & 12: 42 & 12: 42 & 12: 42 & 12: 42\end{array} 12: 42$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}12: 42 & 12: 42 & 12: 42 & 12: 42-48 & 12: 42-48 & 12: 44 & 12: 45 & 12: 45 & 12: 45 & 12: 45\end{array} 12: 46 \quad 12: 46$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 46 & 12: 47 & 12: 47 & 12: 47 & 12: 48 & 12: 48 & 12: 48 & 12: 48 & 12: 48 & 12: 49 & 12: 49 & 12: 49\end{array} 12: 49$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 49 & 12: 49 & 12: 50 & 12: 50 & 12: 50 & 12: 50 & 12: 50 & 12: 50 & 12: 51 & 12: 51 & 12: 51-53 & 12: 52\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 52 & 12: 53 & 12: 54 & 12: 54 & 12: 54-56 & 12: 54-59 & 12: 55 & 12: 56 & 12: 56 & 12: 57 & 12: 57\end{array} 12: 58$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}12: 58 & 12: 58 & 12: 58 & 12: 59 & 13 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1-5 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 4 \\ 13: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13: 4 & 13: 5 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 & 13: 7 & 13: 7 & 13: 7 & 13: 8 & 13: 9 & 13: 9 & 13: 10 & 13: 10 & 13: 11\end{array} 13: 11$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 12 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 14 & 13: 14 & 13: 15 & 13: 16 & 13: 16\end{array} 13: 16$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}13: 17 & 13: 17 & 13: 17 & 13: 17 & 13: 18 & 13: 18 & 13: 18 & 13: 19 & 13: 19 & 13: 20 & 13: 22 \\ 13: 22\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}13: 22-16: 10 & 13: 23 & 13: 23 & 13: 23 & 13: 23 & 13: 24 & 13: 24 & 13: 25 & 13: 25 & 13: 25 & 13: 25\end{array} 13: 25-30$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}13: 26 & 13: 27 & 13: 28 & 13: 28 & 13: 29 & 13: 29 & 13: 29 & 13: 30 & 13: 31 & 13: 32 & 13: 32 \\ 13: 32 & 13: 33\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}13: 33 & 13: 33 & 13: 33 & 13: 34 & 13: 34 & 13: 34 & 13: 34 & 13: 35 & 13: 35 & 14 & 14: 1 & 14: 1 & 14: 2 & 14: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}14: 3 & 14: 4 & 14: 4 & 14: 5 & 14: 5 & 14: 5 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 7 & 14: 7 & 14: 8 & 14: 8 & 14: 8 & 14: 8 \\ 14: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 10 & 14: 10 & 14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 14 & 14: 14 & 14: 14 & 14: 14 & 14: 15 & 14: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}14: 15 & 14: 15-24 & 14: 16 & 14: 16 & 14: 16-23 & 14: 17 & 14: 17 & 14: 18 & 14: 18 & 14: 18 & 14: 18\end{array} 14: 18$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 18 & 14: 18 & 14: 19 & 14: 19 & 14: 19 & 14: 20 & 14: 20 & 14: 21 & 14: 21 & 14: 22 & 14: 23 & 14: 23 \\ 14: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 25 & 14: 25 & 14: 26 & 14: 26 & 14: 26 & 14: 26 & 14: 27 & 14: 27 & 14: 28 & 14: 28 & 14: 28 & 14: 28\end{array} 14: 28$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 28 & 14: 29 & 14: 29 & 14: 29 & 14: 30 & 14: 31 & 14: 31 & 14: 31 & 14: 31 & 14: 31 & 14: 32 & 14: 33\end{array} 14: 34$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 34 & 14: 35 & 14: 35 & 14: 35 & 14: 35 & 15 & 15: 1 & 15: 1 & 15: 1 & 15: 1-32 & 15: 1-32 & 15: 1-32\end{array} 15: 2$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 3 & 15: 3 & 15: 3-7 & 15: 4 & 15: 4 & 15: 4 & 15: 4-7 & 15: 4-7 \\ 15: 5 & 15: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}15: 7 & 15: 7 & 15: 7 & 15: 7 & 15: 7 & 15: 8 & 15: 8 & 15: 8 & 15: 9 & 15: 9 & 15: 10 & 15: 10 & 15: 10\end{array} \quad 15: 11$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}15: 11-32 & 15: 12 & 15: 12 & 15: 12 & 15: 12 & 15: 13 & 15: 13 & 15: 13 & 15: 13 & 15: 13 \\ 15: 13 & 15: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 14 & 15: 14 & 15: 15 & 15: 15 & 15: 15 & 15: 15 & 15: 15 & 15: 16 & 15: 16 & 15: 16 & 15: 17 & 15: 17 \\ 15: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 18 & 15: 19 & 15: 20 & 15: 20 & 15: 20 & 15: 20 & 15: 21 & 15: 22 & 15: 22 & 15: 23 & 15: 23 & 15: 24 \\ 15: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 25 & 15: 25 & 15: 26 & 15: 26 & 15: 27 & 15: 27 & 15: 27 & 15: 27 & 15: 27 & 15: 28 & 15: 29 & 15: 30\end{array} 15: 30$
 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}16: 1-13 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2-4 & 16: 2-4 & 16: 3 & 16: 4 & 16: 4 & 16: 5 & 16: 6 & 16: 6 \\ 16: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}16: 7 & 16: 8 & 16: 8 & 16: 8 & 16: 8 & 16: 8 & 16: 8 & 16: 8 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 10 & 16: 10-13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 11 & 16: 12 & 16: 12 & 16: 12 & 16: 12 & 16: 13 & 16: 13 & 16: 13 & 16: 13 & 16: 14 & 16: 14 & 16: 14 \\ 16: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}16: 15 & 16: 16 & 16: 16 & 16: 16 & 16: 16 & 16: 16 & 16: 17 & 16: 17 & 16: 18 & 16: 18 & 16: 19 & 16: 19 & 16: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}16: 19 & 16: 19-31 & 16: 20 & 16: 20 & 16: 20 & 16: 20 & 16: 20 & 16: 21 & 16: 21 & 16: 21 & 16: 21\end{array} 16: 22$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}16: 22 & 16: 22 & 16: 22 & 16: 23 & 16: 23 & 16: 23 & 16: 23 & 16: 24 & 16: 24 & 16: 24 & 16: 24\end{array} 16: 25 \quad 16: 25$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 26 & 16: 27 & 16: 27 & 16: 28 & 16: 28 & 16: 28 & 16: 29 & 16: 29 & 16: 30 & 16: 31 & 16: 31 & 17 \\ 17: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}17: 1 & 17: 1 & 17: 1 & 17: 2 & 17: 2 & 17: 2 & 17: 2 & 17: 2 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 4 & 17: 5 & 17: 6 & 17: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}17: 6 & 17: 6 & 17: 7 & 17: 7 & 17: 7-10 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 9 & 17: 9 & 17: 9 & 17: 9 & 17: 10\end{array} 17: 10$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}17: 10 & 17: 11 & 17: 11 & 17: 11 & 17: 11 & 17: 11-19: 28 & 17: 12 & 17: 13 & 17: 13 & 17: 14 & 17: 16 & 17: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}17: 18 & 17: 20 & 17: 21 & 17: 21 & 17: 21 & 17: 22 & 17: 23 & 17: 24 & 17: 24 & 17: 25 & 17: 25 \\ 17: 26-30\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}17: 27 & 17: 27 & 17: 27 & 17: 28 & 17: 29 & 17: 29 & 17: 30 & 17: 30 & 17: 30 & 17: 31 & 17: 32 & 17: 33\end{array} 17: 33$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}17: 33 & 17: 33 & 17: 33 & 17: 33 & 17: 33 & 17: 34 & 17: 35 & 17: 35 & 17: 36 & 17: 37 & 17: 37 & 17: 37\end{array} 18$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}18: 1 & 18: 1 & 18: 1 & 18: 1 & 18: 2 & 18: 3 & 18: 3 & 18: 4 & 18: 5 & 18: 5 & 18: 5 & 18: 5 & 18: 5 \\ 18: 6 & 18: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 7 & 18: 7 & 18: 7 & 18: 7 & 18: 7 & 18: 7 & 18: 7 & 18: 8 & 18: 8 & 18: 8 & 18: 9 & 18: 10\end{array} 18: 1118: 1118: 11$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 11 & 18: 11 & 18: 12 & 18: 12 & 18: 12 & 18: 12 & 18: 12 & 18: 13 & 18: 13 & 18: 13 & 18: 13 & 18: 13\end{array} 18: 13$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}18: 13 & 18: 13 & 18: 13 & 18: 14 & 18: 14 & 18: 15 & 18: 15 & 18: 15 & 18: 16 & 18: 17 & 18: 18 \\ 18: 18 & 18: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 19 & 18: 20 & 18: 20 & 18: 22 & 18: 22 & 18: 22 & 18: 23 & 18: 24 & 18: 24 & 18: 25 & 18: 25 & 18: 26\end{array} 18: 26$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 27 & 18: 28 & 18: 30 & 18: 30 & 18: 30 & 18: 30 & 18: 31 & 18: 32 & 18: 32 & 18: 32 & 18: 33 & 18: 34 \\ 18: 35\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 35 & 18: 35 & 18: 35 & 18: 35 & 18: 36 & 18: 37 & 18: 37 & 18: 38 & 18: 39 & 18: 39 & 18: 39 & 18: 40 \\ 18: 40\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}18: 40 & 18: 41 & 18: 41 & 18: 43 & 19 & 19: 1 & 19: 2 & 19: 3 & 19: 4 & 19: 4 & 19: 4 & 19: 5 & 19: 5 \\ 19: 6 & 19: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}19: 6 & 19: 7 & 19: 7 & 19: 7 & 19: 8 & 19: 8 & 19: 8 & 19: 8 & 19: 9 & 19: 9 & 19: 10 & 19: 10 & 19: 11 & 19: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}19: 11 & 19: 11 & 19: 11 & 19: 11-28 & 19: 12 & 19: 12 & 19: 13 & 19: 13 & 19: 14 & 19: 14 & 19: 14 \\ 19: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}19: 16 & 19: 17 & 19: 19 & 19: 20 & 19: 20 & 19: 20 & 19: 20 & 19: 20 & 19: 21 & 19: 21 & 19: 22 \\ 19: 23 & 19: 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 26 & 19: 27 & 19: 28 & 19: 29 & 19: 29 & 19: 29 & 19: 29 & 19: 30 & 19: 30 & 19: 30 & 19: 30 & 19: 30\end{array} 19: 31$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}19: 32 & 19: 33 & 19: 33 & 19: 34 & 19: 35 & 19: 35 & 19: 35 & 19: 36 & 19: 37 & 19: 38 & 19: 38 \\ 19: 38\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}19: 38-40 & 19: 39 & 19: 39-20: 7 & 19: 40 & 19: 40 & 19: 41 & 19: 41 & 19: 41 & 19: 41 & 19: 41 & 19: 42\end{array} 19: 42$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 43 & 19: 43 & 19: 43 & 19: 44 & 19: 44 & 19: 44 & 19: 44 & 19: 45 & 19: 47 & 19: 47 & 19: 47 & 19: 47 \\ 19: 47\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}19: 48 & 20 & 20: 1 & 20: 1 & 20: 1 & 20: 1 & 20: 1-19 & 20: 1-21: 4 & 20: 2 & 20: 3 & 20: 5 & 20: 6 & 20: 6\end{array} 20: 6$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}20: 7 & 20: 8 & 20: 9 & 20: 9 & 20: 9 & 20: 9-19 & 20: 10 & 20: 10 & 20: 11 & 20: 11 & 20: 11 & 20: 12 \\ 20: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}20: 12 & 20: 13 & 20: 13 & 20: 14 & 20: 14 & 20: 14 & 20: 14 & 20: 16 & 20: 16 & 20: 17 & 20: 17 \\ 20: 17 & 20: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}20: 19 & 20: 20 & 20: 20 & 20: 20 & 20: 21 & 20: 21 & 20: 21 & 20: 21 & 20: 22 & 20: 22 & 20: 22 & 20: 23\end{array} 20: 23$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}20: 23 & 20: 25 & 20: 25 & 20: 25 & 20: 26 & 20: 26 & 20: 27 & 20: 27 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 29\end{array} 20: 33 \quad 20: 35$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}20: 35 & 20: 35 & 20: 36 & 20: 36 & 20: 36 & 20: 37 & 20: 37 & 20: 37 & 20: 37 & 20: 37 & 20: 39\end{array} 20: 39 \quad 20: 40$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}20: 41 & 20: 42 & 20: 42 & 20: 43 & 20: 43 & 20: 44 & 20: 45 & 20: 45-47 & 20: 46 & 20: 46 \\ 20: 47 & 20: 47\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}20: 47 & 20: 47 & 21 & 21: 1 & 21: 1 & 21: 1 & 21: 1-38 & 21: 1-38 & 21: 2 & 21: 2 & 21: 2 & 21: 3 & 21: 3\end{array} 21: 4$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}21: 4 & 21: 4 & 21: 5 & 21: 5 & 21: 5 & 21: 5 & 21: 5 & 21: 5 & 21: 5-36 & 21: 6 & 21: 6 & 21: 8 & 21: 8 \\ 21: 8-36\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}21: 9 & 21: 9 & 21: 9 & 21: 11 & 21: 11 & 21: 11 & 21: 11 & 21: 12 & 21: 12 & 21: 12 & 21: 13 & 21: 13\end{array} 21: 14$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}21: 14 & 21: 14 & 21: 15 & 21: 16 & 21: 17 & 21: 17 & 21: 17 & 21: 18 & 21: 18 & 21: 19 & 21: 20 & 21: 20\end{array} \quad 21: 20$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}21: 20 & 21: 21 & 21: 21 & 21: 22 & 21: 22 & 21: 22 & 21: 23 & 21: 23 & 21: 24 & 21: 24 \\ 21: 24 & 21: 24 & 21: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}21: 24 & 21: 24 & 21: 24 & 21: 25 & 21: 25 & 21: 25 & 21: 25 & 21: 25 & 21: 25 & 21: 25 \\ 21: 26 & 21: 26 & 21: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}21: 27 & 21: 27 & 21: 28 & 21: 28 & 21: 29 & 21: 30 & 21: 30 & 21: 31 & 21: 32 & 21: 32 & 21: 33\end{array} 21: 34 \quad 21: 34$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}21: 34 & 21: 34 & 21: 34 & 21: 34 & 21: 34 & 21: 34 & 21: 35 & 21: 35 & 21: 36 & 21: 36 & 21: 36 & 21: 36 & 21: 37\end{array}$

```
21:37 21:37 21:38 22 22:1 22:1 22:1 22:2 22:2 22:2 22:2 22:2 22:3 22:3 22:3
22:3 22:3 22:4 22:4 22:4 22:4 22:5 22:5 22:6 22:6 22:7 22:7 22:7 22:8 22:8
22:8 22:9 22:10 22:10 22:10 22:11 22:11 22:11 22:11 22:12 22:12 22:12 22:13
22:14 22:14 22:14 22:15 22:15 22:15 22:15 22:15 22:15 22:16 22:16 22:17
22:17-20 22:17-20 22:18 22:18 22:19 22:19 22:19 22:19 22:19 22:19 22:19 22:19
22:20 22:20 22:20 22:20 22:20 22:21 22:21 22:21-23 22:22 22:22 22:22 22:23
22:23 22:23 22:23 22:24 22:24 22:24 22:24 22:24 22:24 22:24 22:24 22:24 22:24
22:24-30 22:25 22:25 22:25 22:25 22:25 22:26 22:27 22:27 22:27 22:28 22:29
22:29 22:29 22:29 22:30 22:30 22:30 22:30 22:30 22:30}22:30 22:30 22:31 22:31
22:31 22:31 22:31 22:31 22:31 22:32 22:32 22:32 22:32 22:32 22:32 22:33 22:34
22:34 22:34 22:34 22:35 22:35 22:36 22:37 22:37 22:37 22:38 22:38 22:38 22:38
22:39 22:39 22:39 22:40 22:40 22:40 22:41 22:41 22:41 22:41 22:41 22:41 22:41
22:42 22:42 22:42 22:42 22:42 22:42 22:42 22:43 22:43 22:43 22:44 22:44 22:44
22:44 22:44 22:44 22:45 22:45 22:46 22:46 22:46 22:47 22:47 22:48 22:49 22:49
22:49 22:50 22:50 22:50 22:51 22:51 22:52 22:52 22:52 22:53 22:53 22:53 22:54
22:54 22:54 22:54 22:54 22:55 22:55 22:55 22:56 22:56 22:56 22:56 22:57 22:58
22:59 22:59 22:59 22:59 22:60 22:60 22:61 22:61 22:61 22:61 22:61 22:62 22:63
22:63 22:63 22:63 22:63 22:63 22:63-65 22:64 22:64 22:65 22:65 22:65 22:66
22:66 22:66-71 22:66-71 22:66-71 22:67 22:68 22:68 22:69 22:69 22:70 22:70
22:70 22:71 23 23:1 23:1 23:1 23:2 23:2 23:2 23:2 23:2 23:2 23:2 23:2 23:2
23:2 23:3 23:3 23:3 23:3 23:4 23:4 23:4 23:4 23:5 23:5 23:6 23:6 23:7 23:8
23:8 23:9 23:9 23:10 23:10 23:11 23:11 23:11 23:11 23:12 23:12 23:12 23:12
23:13 23:13 23:14 23:14 23:14 23:14 23:14 23:14 23:14 23:15 23:16 23:16 23:16
23:16 23:17 23:17 23:18 23:18 23:18 23:18 23:19 23:19 23:21 23:21 23:22 23:22
23:22 23:22 23:22 23:22 23:22 23:23 23:23 23:23 23:24 23:24 23:25 23:25 23:25
23:25 23:26 23:26 23:27 23:27 23:27 23:27-32 23:28 23:28 23:29 23:29 23:30
23:31 23:31 23:31 23:31 23:31 23:31 23:32 23:32 23:32 23:32 23:32 23:32 23:32
23:33 23:33 23:33 23:34 23:34 23:34 23:34 23:34 23:34 23:34 23:34 23:34 23:34
23:35 23:35 23:35 23:35 23:35 23:36 23:37 23:38 23:38 23:38 23:38 23:39 23:39
23:39-43 23:39-43 23:40 23:41 23:41 23:41 23:41 23:42 23:43 23:43 23:43 23:43
23:43 23:44 23:44 23:44 23:45 23:45 23:45 23:45 23:46 23:46 23:46 23:46 23:46
23:46 23:46 23:47 23:47 23:47 23:47 23:47 23:47 23:48 23:48 23:49 23:49 23:49
23:49 23:51 23:51 23:51 23:51 23:52 23:53 23:53 23:53 23:53 23:53 23:53 23:53
23:54 23:54 23:54 23:54 23:54 23:55 23:55 23:55 23:56 23:56 23:56 23:56 23:56
24}24\mp@code{24:1 24:1 24:1 24:1 24:1 24:1 24:1 24:1 24:1 24:1-8 24:1-53 24:2 24:2
24:3 24:3 24:4 24:4 24:4 24:4 24:4 24:4 24:4 24:5 24:5 24:5 24:5 24:6 24:7
24:9 24:9-12 24:10 24:11 24:11 24:11 24:11 24:11 24:12 24:12 24:12 24:12 24:12
24:12 24:13 24:13 24:13 24:13-32 24:14 24:14 24:14 24:14 24:15 24:15 24:15
```

$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}24: 16 & 24: 16 & 24: 16 & 24: 16 & 24: 17 & 24: 17 & 24: 18 & 24: 18 & 24: 19 & 24: 19 & 24: 21\end{array} 24: 21 \quad 24: 21$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}24: 21 & 24: 21 & 24: 21 & 24: 22 & 24: 22 & 24: 22 & 24: 23 & 24: 23 & 24: 23 & 24: 23 & 24: 25\end{array} 24: 25 \quad 24: 25$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}24: 25-27 & 24: 26 & 24: 26 & 24: 27 & 24: 27 & 24: 27 & 24: 27 & 24: 27 & 24: 27 & 24: 27\end{array} \quad 24: 27 \quad 24: 28$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}24: 29 & 24: 29 & 24: 29 & 24: 30 & 24: 30 & 24: 30 & 24: 31 & 24: 31 & 24: 32 & 24: 32\end{array} 24: 33 \quad 24: 33 \quad 24: 33$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}24: 33 & 24: 33-35 & 24: 34 & 24: 34 & 24: 34 & 24: 34 & 24: 34 & 24: 34 & 24: 34 & 24: 34\end{array} 24: 34 \quad 24: 35$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}24: 35 & 24: 35 & 24: 35 & 24: 35 & 24: 36 & 24: 36 & 24: 36 & 24: 36-43 & 24: 37 & 24: 37 & 24: 37 \\ 24: 38\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}24: 38 & 24: 38 & 24: 38-43 & 24: 39 & 24: 39 & 24: 39 & 24: 39 & 24: 39 & 24: 40 & 24: 41\end{array} 24: 41 \quad 24: 41$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}24: 41 & 24: 41 & 24: 41-41 & 24: 41-43 & 24: 42 & 24: 44 & 24: 44 & 24: 44 & 24: 44 & 24: 44\end{array} 24: 44-49$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}24: 44-49 & 24: 44-51 & 24: 44-53 & 24: 45 & 24: 45 & 24: 45 & 24: 45 & 24: 46 & 24: 46 & 24: 46\end{array} 24: 47$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}24: 47 & 24: 48 & 24: 49 & 24: 49 & 24: 49 & 24: 49 & 24: 50 & 24: 50 & 24: 50 & 24: 50 & 24: 50 & 24: 51\end{array} 24: 51$ 24:51 24:52 24:53

John
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1-3 & 1: 1-18 & 1: 1-18 & 1: 1-18 & 1: 1-18 & 1: 1-18 & 1: 1-18 & 1: 1-18 \\ 1: 1-18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}1: 1-18 & 1: 1-18 & 1: 1-18 & 1: 1-18 & 1: 1-18 & 1: 1-18 & 1: 1-18 & 1: 1-51 & 1: 1-51 & 1: 2\end{array} 1: 31: 31: 3$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 \\ 1: 4-9 & 1: 5 & 1: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5-9 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 \\ 1: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 \\ 1: 14 & 1: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14\end{array} 1: 14$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 \\ 1: 15 & 1: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 \\ 1: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 17 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18\end{array} 1: 18$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 \\ 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19-24 \\ 1: 19-27 & 1: 19-27 & 1: 19-28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}1: 19-51 & 1: 19-3: 36 & 1: 19-4: 45 & 1: 19-20: 31 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 \\ 1: 21 & 1: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 & 1: 25 & 1: 25 \\ 1: 26 & 1: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 28 & 1: 28 \\ 1: 28 & 1: 29 & 1: 29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 29 & 1: 29 & 1: 29 & 1: 29 & 1: 29 & 1: 29 & 1: 29 & 1: 29 & 1: 29 & 1: 29 & 1: 29 & 1: 29 & 1: 29 \\ 1: 29-34 & 1: 29-34\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 30 & 1: 30 & 1: 30 & 1: 31 & 1: 31 & 1: 31 & 1: 31 & 1: 32 & 1: 32 & 1: 32 & 1: 32 & 1: 32 & 1: 32\end{array} 1: 32 \quad 1: 33$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 33 & 1: 33 & 1: 33 & 1: 34 & 1: 34 & 1: 34 & 1: 34 & 1: 34 & 1: 34 & 1: 35 & 1: 35 & 1: 35-42 \\ 1: 35-51 & 1: 36\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 36 & 1: 36 & 1: 36 & 1: 37 & 1: 37 & 1: 38 & 1: 38 & 1: 38 & 1: 38 & 1: 38 & 1: 38 & 1: 38 \\ 1: 38 & 1: 38 & 1: 38\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 38 & 1: 39 & 1: 39 & 1: 39 & 1: 40 & 1: 40 & 1: 40 & 1: 41 & 1: 41 & 1: 41 & 1: 41 & 1: 41 & 1: 41 & 1: 41 \\ 1: 41\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 41 & 1: 41 & 1: 41 & 1: 42 & 1: 42 & 1: 42 & 1: 42 & 1: 42 & 1: 42 & 1: 42 & 1: 43 & 1: 43 & 1: 43 \\ 1: 44 & 1: 44\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 44 & 1: 44 & 1: 45 & 1: 45 & 1: 45 & 1: 45 & 1: 45 & 1: 46 & 1: 46 & 1: 46 & 1: 47 & 1: 47 \\ 1: 48 & 1: 48 & 1: 49\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 49 & 1: 49 & 1: 49 & 1: 49 & 1: 49 & 1: 50 & 1: 50 & 1: 50 & 1: 50 & 1: 51 & 1: 51 & 1: 51\end{array} 1: 51 \quad 1: 51 \quad 1: 51$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}1: 51 & 2 & 2 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1-12 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 \\ 2: 5 & 2: 5\end{array}$

```
2:5 2:6 2:6 2:6 2:6-8 2:7 2:8 2:9 2:9 2:9 2:11 2:11 2:11 2:11 2:12 2:12 2:12
2:13 2:13 2:13 2:13 2:13 2:13 2:13-4:2 2:14 2:14 2:14 2:14 2:14-22 2:15 2:15
2:15 2:15 2:15 2:16 2:16 }2:16 2:16 2:16 2:16 2:17 2:17 2:17 2:18 2:18 2:18 
2:18
2:23 2:23 2:23 2:23 2:23 2:23 2:23 
2:25 2:25 2:25 2:25 2:25 2:25 2:25 3
3:3 3:3 3:3 3:3 3:3-8 3:4 3:4 3:4 3:4 3:5 3:5 3:5-8 3:6 3:6 3:6 3:6 3:6 3:7
3:7 3:8 3:8 3:8 3:8 3:8 3:8 3:8 3:8 3:8
3:11 3:12 3:12 
3:15 3:15 3:16 3:16 3:16 3:16 3:16 3:16 3:16 3:16 3:16 3:16 3:16 3:16 3:16
3:16 3:16 3:16 3:16 3:16 3:16 
3:16 3:16 3:16 3:16 3:16 3:16 3:16 3:16 3:16 3:16-21 3:16-21 3:16-21 3:17 3:17
3:17 3:17 3:17 3:17 3:17 3:17 3:17 3:18
3:19 3:19 3:19 3:19 3:19 3:19 3:19 3:20 3:20 3:21 3:21 3:21 3:21 3:22 3:22
3:22-25 3:23 3:24 3:24 3:25 3:25 3:25
```



```
3:32}30:32 3:32 3:33 3:33 3:33 3:34 3:34 3:35 3:35 3:35 3:35 3:36 3:36 3:36 
3:36 4}404~4,4:1 4:1 4:1-3 4:1-4 4:1-4 4:1-54 4:1-54 4:1-54 4:1-54 4:2 4:2 4:2
4:2 4:3 4:3 4:3 4:4 4:5 4:5 4:6 4:6 4:6 4:6 4:7 4:7 4:7 4:7 4:7 4:7 4:8 4:8
4:9 4:10 4:10 4:10 4:10 4:10 4:11 4:11 4:11 4:12 4:12 4:13 4:13 4:14 4:14 4:14
4:14 4:14 4:14 4:14 4:14 4:14 4:15 4:15
4:18}40:19 4:20 4:20 4:20 4:21 4:21 4:21 4:22 4:22 4:22 4:22 4:22 4:22 4:22
4:23 4:23 4:23 4:24 4:24 4:24 4:25 4:25 4:25 4:25
4:27 4:27 4:27 4:28
4:32 4:32 4:32-38 4:33 4:34 4:34 4:34 4:34 4:34 4:35 4:35 4:35
4:36
4:42 4:42 4:42 4:43 4:44 4:44 4:44 4:44 4:44 4:44 4:45 4:45 4:45 4:46 4:46
4:46 4:46-53 4:47 4:47 4:48 4:48
4:52 4:52 4:52 4:53 4:53 4:54 5 5:1 5:1 5:1 5:1 5:1 5:1-47 5:1-47 5:2 5:2 5:2
5:2 5:3 5:3 5:3 5:4 5:5 5:5 5:6 5:6 5:6 5:7 5:7 5:7 5:7 5:8 5:8 5:9 5:10
5:10 5:11 5:11 5:12 5:13 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:15 5:15 5:15 5:16
5:16 5:17 5:17 5:17 5:17 5:17-47 5:18 5:18 5:18 5:18 5:18 5:18 5:18 5:18 5:18
5:18 5:18 5:18 5:18 5:18 5:18 5:18-30 5:19 5:19 5:19 5:19 5:19 5:19 5:19 5:19-21
5:19-30 5:19-47 5:20 5:20 5:20 5:20 5:20 5:20-23 5:21 5:21 5:21 5:21-27 5:21-29
5:21-29 5:21-29 5:22 5:22 5:22 5:22 5:23 5:23 5:23 5:23 5:23 5:24 5:24 5:24
5:25 5:25 5:25 5:25 5:25 5:25 5:25-29 5:26 5:26 5:26 5:26 5:26 5:27 5:27 5:27
5:28 5:28 5:28 5:28 5:28 5:28 5:29 5:29 5:29
5:30 5:30 5:31 5:31 5:31 5:32 5:33 5:33 5:33 5:33 5:33 5:34 5:34 5:34 5:35
```

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5: 35 & 5: 35 & 5: 35 & 5: 36 & 5: 36 & 5: 36 & 5: 36 & 5: 36 & 5: 36 & 5: 36 & 5: 36-38 & 5: 36-38 & 5: 37 \\ 5: 37\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}5: 37 & 5: 37 & 5: 37 & 5: 38 & 5: 39 & 5: 39 & 5: 39 & 5: 39 & 5: 39 & 5: 40 & 5: 40 & 5: 40 & 5: 40 & 5: 40 & 5: 41\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}5: 42 & 5: 43 & 5: 43 & 5: 44 & 5: 44 & 5: 45 & 5: 45 & 5: 45 & 5: 46 & 5: 47 & 5: 47 & 5: 47 & 6 & 6 & 6: 1 & 6: 1\end{array} \quad 6: 1$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}6: 1-13 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 3 & 6: 3 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 5\end{array} \quad 6: 5 \quad 6: 6$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 8 & 6: 8 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 12 \\ 6: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 \\ 6: 15 & 6: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 16 & 6: 16 & 6: 16 & 6: 17 & 6: 17 & 6: 17 & 6: 17 \\ 6: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}6: 18 & 6: 18 & 6: 18 & 6: 19 & 6: 19 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 21 & 6: 21 & 6: 21 & 6: 22 & 6: 22 & 6: 22 & 6: 22 \\ 6: 22-24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 23 & 6: 23 & 6: 24 & 6: 24 & 6: 25 & 6: 25 & 6: 26 & 6: 26 & 6: 26 & 6: 26 & 6: 26 & 6: 26 & 6: 27 \\ 6: 27 & 6: 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 27 & 6: 28 & 6: 29 & 6: 29 & 6: 29 & 6: 29 & 6: 29 & 6: 30 & 6: 30 & 6: 30 & 6: 30 & 6: 31 & 6: 31 \\ 6: 31-33 & 6: 32\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 32 & 6: 32 & 6: 33 & 6: 33 & 6: 34 & 6: 35 & 6: 35 & 6: 35 & 6: 35 & 6: 35 & 6: 35 & 6: 35 & 6: 35\end{array} \quad 6: 35-65 \quad 6: 36$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}6: 37 & 6: 37 & 6: 37 & 6: 37 & 6: 37 & 6: 37 & 6: 37 & 6: 37 & 6: 37 & 6: 38 & 6: 38 & 6: 39 & 6: 39 & 6: 39 & 6: 39\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}6: 39 & 6: 39 & 6: 39 & 6: 40 & 6: 41 & 6: 41 & 6: 41 & 6: 41 & 6: 41 & 6: 42 & 6: 42 & 6: 42 & 6: 43 & 6: 43-51 \\ 6: 44\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}6: 44 & 6: 44 & 6: 44 & 6: 45 & 6: 45 & 6: 45 & 6: 45 & 6: 45 & 6: 46 & 6: 46 & 6: 47 & 6: 47 & 6: 47 & 6: 48 \\ 6: 48\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}6: 48-51 & 6: 49 & 6: 49 & 6: 50 & 6: 51 & 6: 51 & 6: 51 & 6: 51 & 6: 51 & 6: 51 & 6: 52 & 6: 53 & 6: 54 \\ 6: 54 & 6: 54\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 61 & 6: 61 & 6: 61 & 6: 62 & 6: 62 & 6: 62 & 6: 63 & 6: 63 & 6: 63 & 6: 63 & 6: 63 & 6: 63 & 6: 64 \\ 6: 64 & 6: 64\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}6: 65 & 6: 65 & 6: 65 & 6: 66 & 6: 66 & 6: 66 & 6: 66 & 6: 67 & 6: 67 & 6: 67 & 6: 68 & 6: 68 & 6: 68 & 6: 68 \\ 6: 69\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}6: 69 & 6: 69 & 6: 69 & 6: 69 & 6: 69 & 6: 69 & 6: 69 & 6: 69 & 6: 70 & 6: 70 & 6: 70 & 6: 70 & 6: 70 & 6: 70\end{array} \quad 6: 70$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}6: 71 & 6: 71 & 6: 71 & 6: 71 & 7 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1-14 & 7: 1-53 & 7: 1-11: 57 & 7: 1-11: 57 & 7: 2 & 7: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 4 & 7: 4 & 7: 4 & 7: 4 & 7: 4 & 7: 5 & 7: 5 & 7: 5 & 7: 5 & 7: 5 & 7: 5 & 7: 6 & 7: 7 & 7: 7 \\ 7: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}7: 7 & 7: 8 & 7: 8 & 7: 8 & 7: 9 & 7: 10 & 7: 10 & 7: 10 & 7: 10 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 11-13 & 7: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}7: 12 & 7: 12 & 7: 12 & 7: 12 & 7: 12 & 7: 12 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 14 \\ 7: 14 & 7: 14-10: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}7: 14-10: 21 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15-24 & 7: 16 & 7: 16 & 7: 16 & 7: 17 \\ 7: 17 & 7: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}7: 17 & 7: 17 & 7: 18 & 7: 18 & 7: 18 & 7: 19 & 7: 19 & 7: 20 & 7: 21 & 7: 22 & 7: 22 & 7: 22 & 7: 23 \\ 7: 24 & 7: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 24 & 7: 25 & 7: 25 & 7: 25 & 7: 25 & 7: 25 & 7: 26 & 7: 26 & 7: 27 & 7: 27 & 7: 27 & 7: 27 & 7: 28 & 7: 28 \\ 7: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}7: 29 & 7: 29 & 7: 30 & 7: 30 & 7: 30 & 7: 30 & 7: 30 & 7: 30 & 7: 30 & 7: 30 & 7: 30 & 7: 31 & 7: 31 & 7: 31 & 7: 31\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}7: 31 & 7: 31 & 7: 32 & 7: 32 & 7: 32 & 7: 32 & 7: 32 & 7: 32 & 7: 33 & 7: 33 & 7: 33 & 7: 33 & 7: 34 & 7: 34 & 7: 34\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 34 & 7: 35 & 7: 35 & 7: 36 & 7: 36 & 7: 37 & 7: 37 & 7: 37 & 7: 37 & 7: 37 & 7: 37 & 7: 37 & 7: 38 & 7: 38 \\ 7: 38\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}7: 39 & 7: 39 & 7: 39 & 7: 39 & 7: 39 & 7: 40 & 7: 40 & 7: 40 & 7: 40 & 7: 41 & 7: 41 & 7: 42 & 7: 42 & 7: 42 & 7: 42\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}7: 43 & 7: 43 & 7: 43 & 7: 44 & 7: 44 & 7: 44 & 7: 44 & 7: 45 & 7: 45-52 & 7: 45-52 & 7: 46 & 7: 46 & 7: 47 \\ 7: 48\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 48 & 7: 48 & 7: 49 & 7: 49 & 7: 49 & 7: 49 & 7: 50 & 7: 50 & 7: 51 & 7: 52 & 7: 52 & 7: 52 & 7: 52 & 7: 52 \\ 7: 52\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}7: 53 & 7: 53 & 8 & 8: 1 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 5 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 7 & 8: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}8: 7 & 8: 8 & 8: 8 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 11 & 8: 11 & 8: 11 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 \\ 8: 12 & 8: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 12 & 8: 12-19 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 14 & 8: 14 & 8: 14 & 8: 14 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 16 & 8: 16 & 8: 16 \\ 8: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 18 & 8: 19 & 8: 19 & 8: 20 & 8: 20 & 8: 20 & 8: 20 & 8: 20 \\ 8: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 21 & 8: 22 & 8: 23 & 8: 23 & 8: 23 & 8: 24 & 8: 24 & 8: 24 & 8: 24 & 8: 25 & 8: 25 & 8: 25 & 8: 26 & 8: 26\end{array} 8: 27$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 28 & 8: 28 & 8: 28 & 8: 28 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 30 & 8: 30 & 8: 30 & 8: 31 & 8: 31 \\ 8: 31\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 31 & 8: 32 & 8: 32 & 8: 32 & 8: 32 & 8: 32 & 8: 33 & 8: 33 & 8: 33-39 & 8: 34 & 8: 35 & 8: 36 & 8: 36 & 8: 36 \\ 8: 37\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 37 & 8: 37 & 8: 37-44 & 8: 38 & 8: 38 & 8: 38 & 8: 38 & 8: 39 & 8: 40 & 8: 40 & 8: 41 & 8: 41 & 8: 42 & 8: 42 \\ 8: 42\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}8: 43 & 8: 43 & 8: 43 & 8: 43 & 8: 43 & 8: 43 & 8: 44 & 8: 44 & 8: 44 & 8: 44 & 8: 44 & 8: 44 & 8: 44 & 8: 44 & 8: 44\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}8: 44 & 8: 44 & 8: 44 & 8: 44 & 8: 44 & 8: 44 & 8: 44 & 8: 44 & 8: 44 & 8: 45 & 8: 45 & 8: 46 & 8: 46 & 8: 46 & 8: 46\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 46 & 8: 47 & 8: 47 & 8: 48 & 8: 48 & 8: 49 & 8: 50 & 8: 51 & 8: 51 & 8: 51 & 8: 51 & 8: 51 & 8: 52 & 8: 52 \\ 8: 52\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 53 & 8: 54 & 8: 54 & 8: 54 & 8: 55 & 8: 55 & 8: 55 & 8: 56 & 8: 56 & 8: 56 & 8: 56 & 8: 57 & 8: 58 & 8: 58 \\ 8: 58\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}8: 58 & 8: 58 & 8: 59 & 8: 59 & 8: 59 & 8: 59 & 9 & 9: 1 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 3 & 9: 3 & 9: 3 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 8 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 10 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 \\ 9: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 12 & 9: 12 & 9: 13 & 9: 14 & 9: 14 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 16 & 9: 16 & 9: 16 & 9: 16 & 9: 16 & 9: 16\end{array} 9: 16$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 17 & 9: 17 & 9: 17 & 9: 17 & 9: 18 & 9: 18 & 9: 19 & 9: 19 & 9: 20 & 9: 21 & 9: 21 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 \\ 9: 22 & 9: 22\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 23 & 9: 24 & 9: 24 & 9: 25 & 9: 26 & 9: 27 & 9: 27 & 9: 28 & 9: 28 & 9: 28 & 9: 29 \\ 9: 30 & 9: 30\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}9: 31 & 9: 31 & 9: 31 & 9: 32 & 9: 33 & 9: 34 & 9: 34 & 9: 34 & 9: 34 & 9: 35 & 9: 35 & 9: 35 & 9: 35 & 9: 35 & 9: 35\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}9: 36 & 9: 36 & 9: 36 & 9: 36 & 9: 36 & 9: 36 & 9: 36-38 & 9: 36-38 & 9: 37 & 9: 37 & 9: 37 & 9: 37 & 9: 38 & 9: 38\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 39 & 9: 40 & 9: 41 & 9: 41 & 9: 41 & 9: 41 & 10 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1\end{array} 10: 1-5$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}10: 1-5 & 10: 1-18 & 10: 1-19 & 10: 1-21 & 10: 1-21 & 10: 1-21 & 10: 1-42 & 10: 2 & 10: 3 & 10: 3 \\ 10: 3 & 10: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}10: 3 & 10: 3 & 10: 4 & 10: 4 & 10: 4 & 10: 5 & 10: 6 & 10: 6 & 10: 6 & 10: 6 & 10: 6 & 10: 6 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 7-9 & 10: 7-18 & 10: 8 & 10: 9 & 10: 9 & 10: 9 & 10: 9 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 11 & 10: 11\end{array} 10: 11$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}10: 11 & 10: 11 & 10: 11 & 10: 11 & 10: 11 & 10: 11 & 10: 11 & 10: 11 & 10: 11 & 10: 12 & 10: 12 & 10: 12 & 10: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 13 & 10: 14 & 10: 14 & 10: 15 & 10: 15 & 10: 16 & 10: 16 & 10: 16 & 10: 16 & 10: 16 & 10: 16 & 10: 16\end{array} 10: 16$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 17 & 10: 17 & 10: 17 & 10: 17 & 10: 17 & 10: 18 & 10: 18 & 10: 19 & 10: 19 & 10: 20 & 10: 20 & 10: 20\end{array} \quad 10: 21$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 22-39 & 10: 22-42 & 10: 23 & 10: 23 & 10: 23 & 10: 24 & 10: 24 \\ 10: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 25 & 10: 25 & 10: 26 & 10: 27 & 10: 27 & 10: 27 & 10: 27 & 10: 27 & 10: 28 & 10: 28 & 10: 28 & 10: 28 \\ 10: 29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 29 & 10: 29 & 10: 30 & 10: 30 & 10: 30 & 10: 30 & 10: 30 & 10: 31 & 10: 31 & 10: 31 & 10: 31\end{array} 10: 31-33$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}10: 31-33 & 10: 32 & 10: 32 & 10: 32 & 10: 33 & 10: 34 & 10: 34 & 10: 34 & 10: 34 & 10: 35 \\ 10: 35 & 10: 36\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 36 & 10: 36 & 10: 36 & 10: 37 & 10: 38 & 10: 38 & 10: 38 & 10: 39 & 10: 39 & 10: 39 & 10: 39 & 10: 40\end{array} 10: 40$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 40 & 10: 40 & 10: 41 & 10: 41 & 10: 42 & 11 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1-44 & 11: 1-57 & 11: 1-57 & 11: 2\end{array} 11: 2$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 6 & 11: 7 & 11: 7 & 11: 8 & 11: 8 & 11: 8 & 11: 8 \\ 11: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 9 & 11: 9 & 11: 10 & 11: 10 & 11: 11 & 11: 11 & 11: 11 & 11: 11 & 11: 11 & 11: 12 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 \\ 11: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 15 & 11: 15 & 11: 16 & 11: 16 & 11: 16 & 11: 17 & 11: 17 & 11: 17-53 & 11: 18 & 11: 18 & 11: 18\end{array} 11: 18$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 20 & 11: 20 & 11: 20 & 11: 21 & 11: 21 & 11: 21 & 11: 22 & 11: 22\end{array} 11: 22$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}11: 22-27 & 11: 23 & 11: 24 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25\end{array} 11: 25 \quad 11: 26$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}11: 27 & 11: 27 & 11: 27 & 11: 27 & 11: 27 & 11: 27 & 11: 27 & 11: 27 & 11: 27 & 11: 27 \\ 11: 28 & 11: 28 & 11: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 28 & 11: 28 & 11: 28 & 11: 29 & 11: 30 & 11: 30 & 11: 31 & 11: 31 & 11: 31 & 11: 31 & 11: 31 & 11: 31 \\ 11: 32\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 32 & 11: 32 & 11: 33 & 11: 33 & 11: 33 & 11: 33 & 11: 33 & 11: 33 & 11: 34 & 11: 35 & 11: 35 & 11: 36\end{array} 11: 37$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 37 & 11: 37 & 11: 38 & 11: 38 & 11: 38 & 11: 38 & 11: 38 & 11: 39 & 11: 39 & 11: 39 & 11: 40 & 11: 40 \\ 11: 41\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 41 & 11: 41 & 11: 41 & 11: 41 & 11: 41 & 11: 42 & 11: 43 & 11: 44 & 11: 44 & 11: 44 & 11: 44 \\ 11: 44 & 11: 44\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}11: 44 & 11: 44 & 11: 45 & 11: 45 & 11: 46 & 11: 47 & 11: 47 & 11: 47-53 & 11: 47-53 & 11: 48 \\ 11: 48 & 11: 48-52\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 49 & 11: 50 & 11: 50 & 11: 50 & 11: 50 & 11: 50 & 11: 50 & 11: 50 & 11: 50 & 11: 50 & 11: 51 & 11: 51 \\ 11: 52\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 52 & 11: 52 & 11: 53 & 11: 53 & 11: 53 & 11: 54 & 11: 54 & 11: 54 & 11: 54 & 11: 55 & 11: 55 & 11: 55\end{array} 11: 55$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 55-57 & 11: 56 & 11: 57 & 11: 57 & 11: 57 & 11: 57 & 11: 57 & 12 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 \\ 12: 1 & 12: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1-8 & 12: 1-8 & 12: 1-8 & 12: 1-11 & 12: 1-50 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 \\ 12: 2-8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}12: 2-9 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 4 & 12: 4 & 12: 4 & 12: 4 & 12: 4 & 12: 4 & 12: 4 \\ 12: 4-6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}12: 5 & 12: 5 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 8 & 12: 9\end{array} 12: 912: 9$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}12: 9 & 12: 9-11 & 12: 9-11 & 12: 9-11 & 12: 9-11 & 12: 10 & 12: 10 & 12: 11 & 12: 11 & 12: 12\end{array} 12: 12$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 12-19 & 12: 13 & 12: 13 & 12: 13 & 12: 13 & 12: 13 & 12: 13 & 12: 13 & 12: 13 & 12: 14 & 12: 14\end{array} 12: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 16 & 12: 16 & 12: 16 & 12: 16 & 12: 16 & 12: 16 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 12: 18 & 12: 18\end{array} 12: 18 \quad 12: 19$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}12: 19 & 12: 20 & 12: 20 & 12: 20-22 & 12: 21 & 12: 21 & 12: 21 & 12: 22 & 12: 22 & 12: 23\end{array} 12: 23 \quad 12: 23$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 23 & 12: 23 & 12: 23 & 12: 24 & 12: 25 & 12: 25 & 12: 25 & 12: 25 & 12: 25 & 12: 26 & 12: 27 \\ 12: 27 & 12: 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 27 & 12: 27 & 12: 27 & 12: 27 & 12: 28 & 12: 28 & 12: 28 & 12: 28-30 & 12: 29 & 12: 29 & 12: 30\end{array} 12: 30$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 31 & 12: 31 & 12: 31 & 12: 31 & 12: 31 & 12: 31 & 12: 31 & 12: 31 & 12: 31 & 12: 31 & 12: 32 & 12: 32\end{array} 12: 32$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 32 & 12: 32 & 12: 32 & 12: 32 & 12: 32 & 12: 32 & 12: 33 & 12: 33 & 12: 33 & 12: 33 & 12: 33 & 12: 34 \\ 12: 34\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 34 & 12: 34 & 12: 34 & 12: 35 & 12: 35 & 12: 35 & 12: 35 & 12: 35 & 12: 35 & 12: 36 & 12: 36 & 12: 36\end{array} 12: 37$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 38 & 12: 38 & 12: 39 & 12: 40 & 12: 40 & 12: 41 & 12: 41-43 & 12: 42 & 12: 42 & 12: 42 & 12: 42\end{array} 12: 43$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 44 & 12: 44-50 & 12: 45 & 12: 45 & 12: 46 & 12: 46 & 12: 47 & 12: 47 & 12: 48 & 12: 49 & 12: 50 & 13\end{array} 13: 1$ $13: 1 \quad 13: 1 \quad 13: 1 \quad 13: 1 \quad 13: 1 \quad 13: 1 \quad 13: 1 \quad 13: 1 \quad 13: 1 \quad 13: 1 \quad 13: 1 \quad 13: 1-20 \quad 13: 1-30 \quad 13: 1-17: 26$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}13: 1-17: 26 & 13: 1-17: 26 & 13: 1-17: 26 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 2-11 & 13: 2-17: 26 \\ 13: 3 & 13: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13: 4 & 13: 4 & 13: 4 & 13: 4 & 13: 4 & 13: 4 & 13: 4 & 13: 4 & 13: 4-15 & 13: 5 & 13: 5 & 13: 5 & 13: 6 \\ 13: 7 & 13: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 9 & 13: 9 & 13: 10 & 13: 10 & 13: 10 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 12 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 \\ 13: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 15 & 13: 15 & 13: 15 & 13: 15 & 13: 15 & 13: 15 & 13: 15 & 13: 15 & 13: 16 & 13: 16 & 13: 16 & 13: 16\end{array} 13: 16$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 17 & 13: 17 & 13: 18 & 13: 18 & 13: 18 & 13: 18 & 13: 19 & 13: 19 & 13: 19 & 13: 20 & 13: 20 & 13: 20 \\ 13: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 21 & 13: 21 & 13: 22 & 13: 23 & 13: 23 & 13: 23 & 13: 23 & 13: 24 & 13: 24 & 13: 25 & 13: 26 & 13: 27 \\ 13: 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}13: 27 & 13: 27 & 13: 27 & 13: 27 & 13: 27 & 13: 28 & 13: 29 & 13: 29 & 13: 30 & 13: 30 & 13: 31 \\ 13: 31 & 13: 31\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 32 & 13: 33 & 13: 33 & 13: 33 & 13: 33 & 13: 33 & 13: 33 & 13: 33 & 13: 34 & 13: 34 & 13: 34 & 13: 34 \\ 13: 34\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 34 & 13: 35 & 13: 35 & 13: 36 & 13: 36 & 13: 36 & 13: 36 & 13: 36 & 13: 36 & 13: 37 & 13: 37 & 13: 37 \\ 13: 38\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13: 38 & 13: 38 & 14 & 14 & 14: 1 & 14: 1 & 14: 1 & 14: 1 & 14: 1 & 14: 1 & 14: 1 & 14: 1 & 14: 1-16: 33\end{array} 14: 1-16: 33$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 1-17: 26 & 14: 1-17: 26 & 14: 2 & 14: 2 & 14: 2 & 14: 2 & 14: 2 & 14: 2 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 3\end{array} \quad 14: 4$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}14: 4 & 14: 5 & 14: 5 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6\end{array} 14: 6$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6-9 & 14: 7 & 14: 7 & 14: 7 & 14: 7 & 14: 8 & 14: 8 & 14: 9 & 14: 9\end{array} 14: 9$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 10 & 14: 10\end{array} 14: 10$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 14 & 14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 16 & 14: 16 & 14: 16 & 14: 16 & 14: 16 & 14: 16 & 14: 16 & 14: 16 & 14: 17\end{array} 14: 17$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 17 & 14: 18 & 14: 18 & 14: 19 & 14: 19 & 14: 19 & 14: 20 & 14: 20 & 14: 21 & 14: 21 & 14: 21 & 14: 21 & 14: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 22 & 14: 22 & 14: 22 & 14: 22 & 14: 22 & 14: 23 & 14: 23 & 14: 23 & 14: 23 & 14: 23 & 14: 23 & 14: 23 \\ 14: 23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 24 & 14: 25 & 14: 25 & 14: 26 & 14: 26 & 14: 26 & 14: 26 & 14: 26 & 14: 26 & 14: 26 & 14: 26 & 14: 26\end{array} 14: 26$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}14: 26 & 14: 26 & 14: 26 & 14: 26-15: 4 & 14: 27 & 14: 27 & 14: 27 & 14: 27 & 14: 27 & 14: 27 & 14: 27 \\ 14: 27\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 27 & 14: 28 & 14: 28 & 14: 29 & 14: 30 & 14: 30 & 14: 30 & 14: 30 & 14: 30 & 14: 30 & 14: 30 & 14: 30 & 14: 31\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 31 & 14: 31 & 15 & 15: 1 & 15: 1 & 15: 1 & 15: 1 & 15: 1-5 & 15: 1-6 & 15: 1-11 & 15: 1-27 & 15: 2\end{array} 15: 2$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 2-6 & 15: 2-16 & 15: 3 & 15: 3 & 15: 3 & 15: 3 & 15: 4 & 15: 4 & 15: 4 & 15: 4-10 & 15: 5 & 15: 6\end{array} 15: 6 \quad 15: 6$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}15: 6 & 15: 7 & 15: 7 & 15: 8 & 15: 8 & 15: 8 & 15: 9 & 15: 9 & 15: 10 & 15: 10 & 15: 10 & 15: 11 & 15: 11\end{array} 15: 11$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 12 & 15: 12 & 15: 12 & 15: 13 & 15: 13 & 15: 13 & 15: 13 & 15: 14 & 15: 14 & 15: 15 & 15: 15 & 15: 16 \\ 15: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15: 16 & 15: 16 & 15: 16 & 15: 17 & 15: 17 & 15: 18 & 15: 18 & 15: 18 & 15: 18 & 15: 18 & 15: 18-21\end{array} 15: 19$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15: 19 & 15: 20 & 15: 20 & 15: 20 & 15: 20 & 15: 21 & 15: 21 & 15: 22 & 15: 22 & 15: 22 & 15: 23 \\ 15: 24 & 15: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15: 24 & 15: 24 & 15: 24 & 15: 24 & 15: 24 & 15: 25 & 15: 26 & 15: 26 & 15: 26 & 15: 26 & 15: 26\end{array} 15: 26 \quad 15: 26$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}15: 26 & 15: 26 & 15: 27 & 15: 27 & 15: 27 & 16 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 3 & 16: 3\end{array} 16: 3$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}16: 3 & 16: 4 & 16: 4 & 16: 4 & 16: 4 & 16: 4 & 16: 5 & 16: 5 & 16: 5 & 16: 6 & 16: 7 & 16: 7 & 16: 7 \\ 16: 7 & 16: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}16: 7 & 16: 7 & 16: 7 & 16: 7 & 16: 7-10 & 16: 8 & 16: 8 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 10 & 16: 11 & 16: 11 & 16: 11\end{array} 16: 11$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}16: 11 & 16: 11 & 16: 12 & 16: 12 & 16: 12 & 16: 12 & 16: 12-15 & 16: 13 & 16: 13 & 16: 13 & 16: 13\end{array} 16: 13$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 13 & 16: 13 & 16: 13 & 16: 13-15 & 16: 14 & 16: 14 & 16: 14 & 16: 14 & 16: 14 & 16: 15 & 16: 15 & 16: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}16: 16 & 16: 16-19 & 16: 17 & 16: 17 & 16: 18 & 16: 19 & 16: 20 & 16: 20 & 16: 20 & 16: 21 & 16: 21\end{array} 16: 22$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}16: 22 & 16: 22 & 16: 22 & 16: 22 & 16: 23 & 16: 23 & 16: 23 & 16: 23 & 16: 23 & 16: 23 & 16: 23\end{array} 16: 2316: 23$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}16: 24 & 16: 24 & 16: 24 & 16: 24 & 16: 24 & 16: 24 & 16: 25 & 16: 25 & 16: 25 & 16: 25 & 16: 25\end{array} 16: 26 \quad 16: 26$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 26 & 16: 26 & 16: 27 & 16: 27 & 16: 27 & 16: 28 & 16: 28 & 16: 28 & 16: 28 & 16: 28 & 16: 28 & 16: 28\end{array} \quad 16: 29$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 29 & 16: 29 & 16: 29 & 16: 29 & 16: 30 & 16: 30 & 16: 31 & 16: 32 & 16: 32 & 16: 32 & 16: 33 & 16: 33\end{array} 16: 33$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}16: 33 & 16: 33 & 16: 33 & 16: 33 & 16: 33 & 16: 33 & 16: 33 & 16: 33 & 17 & 17: 1 & 17: 1 & 17: 1 & 17: 1-5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}17: 1-26 & 17: 1-26 & 17: 2 & 17: 2 & 17: 2 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 5 & 17: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}17: 6 & 17: 6 & 17: 6 & 17: 6-19 & 17: 7 & 17: 7 & 17: 8 & 17: 9 & 17: 9 & 17: 9 & 17: 10 & 17: 11 & 17: 11 & 17: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}17: 11 & 17: 11 & 17: 11 & 17: 12 & 17: 12 & 17: 12 & 17: 12 & 17: 12 & 17: 12 & 17: 12 & 17: 12 & 17: 12\end{array} 17: 13$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}17: 13 & 17: 13 & 17: 13-18 & 17: 14 & 17: 14 & 17: 14 & 17: 14 & 17: 14 & 17: 14-19 & 17: 15\end{array} 17: 15 \quad 17: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}17: 15 & 17: 15 & 17: 15 & 17: 15 & 17: 15 & 17: 16 & 17: 17 & 17: 17 & 17: 17 & 17: 17 & 17: 17-19\end{array} 17: 18$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}17: 18 & 17: 19 & 17: 19 & 17: 19 & 17: 19 & 17: 19 & 17: 19 & 17: 19 & 17: 20 & 17: 20 & 17: 20-26\end{array} 17: 21$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}17: 21 & 17: 21 & 17: 21 & 17: 21 & 17: 21 & 17: 21 & 17: 21-26 & 17: 21-26 & 17: 22 & 17: 22 & 17: 23\end{array} 17: 23$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}17: 23 & 17: 23 & 17: 23 & 17: 24 & 17: 24 & 17: 24 & 17: 24 & 17: 24 & 17: 24 & 17: 24 & 17: 24 \\ 17: 24 & 17: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}17: 24 & 17: 25 & 17: 25 & 17: 25 & 17: 26 & 17: 26 & 18 & 18: 1 & 18: 1 & 18: 1-40 & 18: 1-21: 25\end{array} 18: 2 \quad 18: 2$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 2 & 18: 2-10 & 18: 3 & 18: 3 & 18: 3 & 18: 3 & 18: 3 & 18: 3 & 18: 3 & 18: 4 & 18: 4 & 18: 4-9\end{array} 18: 518: 5$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}18: 5 & 18: 6 & 18: 6 & 18: 6 & 18: 6 & 18: 7 & 18: 7 & 18: 8 & 18: 8 & 18: 9 & 18: 9 & 18: 9 & 18: 10 & 18: 10\end{array} 18: 10$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 10 & 18: 10 & 18: 10 & 18: 10 & 18: 10 & 18: 10 & 18: 10 & 18: 10 & 18: 10 & 18: 11 & 18: 11 & 18: 11\end{array} 18: 12$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 12 & 18: 12 & 18: 12 & 18: 13 & 18: 13 & 18: 14 & 18: 14 & 18: 14 & 18: 14 & 18: 15 & 18: 15 & 18: 15\end{array} 18: 15$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 16 & 18: 16 & 18: 16 & 18: 16 & 18: 17 & 18: 17 & 18: 17 & 18: 18 & 18: 18 & 18: 18 & 18: 18 & 18: 18\end{array} 18: 18$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}18: 18 & 18: 18 & 18: 19 & 18: 19 & 18: 19-24 & 18: 20 & 18: 20 & 18: 21 & 18: 21 & 18: 22 & 18: 22\end{array} 18: 22$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 22 & 18: 22 & 18: 22 & 18: 22 & 18: 23 & 18: 24 & 18: 24 & 18: 24 & 18: 24 & 18: 25 & 18: 25 & 18: 25\end{array} 18: 25$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}18: 25 & 18: 26 & 18: 26 & 18: 26 & 18: 28 & 18: 28 & 18: 28 & 18: 28 & 18: 28 & 18: 28 & 18: 28 \\ 18: 28 & 18: 28\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}18: 28-32 & 18: 28-32 & 18: 28-38 & 18: 29 & 18: 29 & 18: 30 & 18: 30 & 18: 30 & 18: 30 & 18: 31 & 18: 32\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}18: 32 & 18: 32 & 18: 32 & 18: 32 & 18: 32 & 18: 33 & 18: 33 & 18: 33 & 18: 33 & 18: 33\end{array} 18: 3318: 33$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}18: 33-38 & 18: 34 & 18: 34-37 & 18: 35 & 18: 36 & 18: 36 & 18: 36 & 18: 36 & 18: 36 & 18: 37 & 18: 37\end{array} 18: 37$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 37 & 18: 37 & 18: 37 & 18: 38 & 18: 38 & 18: 38 & 18: 38 & 18: 38 & 18: 38 & 18: 39 & 18: 39 & 18: 40\end{array} 18: 40$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}18: 40 & 18: 40 & 19 & 19: 1 & 19: 1 & 19: 1-9: 43 & 19: 2 & 19: 2 & 19: 3 & 19: 3 & 19: 4 & 19: 4 & 19: 5 \\ 19: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}19: 5 & 19: 6 & 19: 6 & 19: 6 & 19: 6 & 19: 7 & 19: 7 & 19: 7 & 19: 8 & 19: 9 & 19: 9 & 19: 10 & 19: 11 & 19: 11 & 19: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 12 & 19: 12 & 19: 12 & 19: 13 & 19: 13 & 19: 13 & 19: 13 & 19: 13 & 19: 14 & 19: 14 & 19: 14 & 19: 14 \\ 19: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 14 & 19: 15 & 19: 15 & 19: 15 & 19: 15 & 19: 16 & 19: 17 & 19: 17 & 19: 17 & 19: 17 & 19: 17 & 19: 17 \\ 19: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 18 & 19: 18 & 19: 19 & 19: 19 & 19: 19 & 19: 19 & 19: 19 & 19: 20 & 19: 20 & 19: 20 & 19: 20 & 19: 20\end{array} \quad 19: 20$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 21 & 19: 21 & 19: 22 & 19: 23 & 19: 23 & 19: 23 & 19: 24 & 19: 24 & 19: 24 & 19: 24 & 19: 25 & 19: 25 \\ 19: 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 25 & 19: 25 & 19: 25 & 19: 25 & 19: 25 & 19: 26 & 19: 26 & 19: 26 & 19: 27 & 19: 27 & 19: 27 & 19: 27 \\ 19: 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}19: 27 & 19: 28 & 19: 28 & 19: 28 & 19: 28 & 19: 29 & 19: 29 & 19: 30 & 19: 30 & 19: 30 & 19: 30\end{array} 19: 30 \quad 19: 30$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}19: 30 & 19: 30 & 19: 31 & 19: 31 & 19: 31 & 19: 31 & 19: 31 & 19: 31-37 & 19: 32 & 19: 32 & 19: 32\end{array} 19: 33$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}19: 33 & 19: 34 & 19: 34 & 19: 34 & 19: 34 & 19: 35 & 19: 35 & 19: 35 & 19: 35 & 19: 36 & 19: 36\end{array} 19: 36 \quad 19: 36$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}19: 37 & 19: 37 & 19: 37 & 19: 38 & 19: 38 & 19: 38 & 19: 38 & 19: 39 & 19: 39 & 19: 39 & 19: 39 \\ 19: 39-41\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}19: 40 & 19: 40 & 19: 40 & 19: 40 & 19: 40 & 19: 40 & 19: 40 & 19: 40 & 19: 40 & 19: 40 & 19: 40 \\ 19: 41 & 19: 41\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}19: 41 & 19: 42 & 19: 42 & 20 & 20: 1 & 20: 1 & 20: 1 & 20: 1 & 20: 1 & 20: 1 & 20: 1 & 20: 1 & 20: 1-10\end{array} \quad 20: 2 \quad 20: 2$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}20: 2-18 & 20: 3 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 5 & 20: 5 & 20: 5 & 20: 5 \\ 20: 5 & 20: 5 & 20: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}20: 7 & 20: 7 & 20: 7 & 20: 7 & 20: 8 & 20: 8 & 20: 9 & 20: 10 & 20: 11 & 20: 11 & 20: 11 & 20: 11 & 20: 11\end{array} 20: 11-18$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}20: 11-18 & 20: 12 & 20: 13 & 20: 14 & 20: 14 & 20: 14-16 & 20: 15 & 20: 15 & 20: 15 & 20: 16\end{array} 20: 16 \quad 20: 16$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}20: 16 & 20: 16 & 20: 16 & 20: 17 & 20: 17 & 20: 17 & 20: 17 & 20: 17 & 20: 17 & 20: 17 & 20: 18 & 20: 18\end{array} 20: 19$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}20: 19 & 20: 19 & 20: 19 & 20: 19 & 20: 19-25 & 20: 20 & 20: 20 & 20: 20 & 20: 21 & 20: 21\end{array} \quad 20: 21 \quad 20: 21-23$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}20: 21-23 & 20: 22 & 20: 22 & 20: 23 & 20: 23 & 20: 23 & 20: 23 & 20: 24 & 20: 24 & 20: 24 \\ 20: 24 & 20: 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}20: 25 & 20: 25 & 20: 25 & 20: 25 & 20: 25 & 20: 25 & 20: 26 & 20: 26 & 20: 26-29 & 20: 27 \\ 20: 27 & 20: 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}20: 27 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 \\ 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}20: 29 & 20: 29 & 20: 29 & 20: 29 & 20: 29 & 20: 29 & 20: 30 & 20: 30 & 20: 30 & 20: 30 & 20: 31\end{array} 20: 31 \quad 20: 31$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}20: 31 & 20: 31 & 20: 31 & 20: 31 & 20: 31 & 21 & 21: 1 & 21: 1 & 21: 1 & 21: 1 & 21: 1 & 21: 1-23 & 21: 1-25\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}21: 6 & 21: 6 & 21: 6 & 21: 7 & 21: 7 & 21: 7 & 21: 8 & 21: 8 & 21: 8 & 21: 8 & 21: 9 & 21: 9 & 21: 9 & 21: 9\end{array} 21: 10$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}21: 10 & 21: 11 & 21: 12 & 21: 12 & 21: 12 & 21: 13 & 21: 14 & 21: 14 & 21: 15 & 21: 15 & 21: 15\end{array} 21: 15 \quad 21: 15$
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}21: 17 & 21: 18 & 21: 18 & 21: 18 & 21: 18 & 21: 18 & 21: 18 & 21: 19 & 21: 19 & 21: 19 & 21: 19 & 21: 19\end{array} 21: 20$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}21: 20 & 21: 20 & 21: 20 & 21: 20-23 & 21: 21 & 21: 21 & 21: 22 & 21: 23 & 21: 24 & 21: 24 \\ 21: 24 & 21: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}21: 24 & 21: 24 & 21: 24 & 21: 24 & 21: 24 & 21: 24 & 21: 24 & 21: 25 & 21: 25 & 21: 25\end{array} 27 \quad 32$

## Acts

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1-5 & 1: 1-11 \\ 1: 1-5: 42\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3-8 & 1: 3-11 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 \\ 1: 4 & 1: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 \\ 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 \\ 1: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9-11 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11\end{array} 1: 11$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 \\ 1: 14 & 1: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 16 \\ 1: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22\end{array} 1: 22$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 \\ 1: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 25 & 1: 25 & 1: 25 & 1: 25 & 1: 25 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 2 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 \\ 2: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1-47 & 2: 1-47 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 \\ 2: 5 & 2: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9-11 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11\end{array} 2: 12$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13-21 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 15\end{array} 2: 15 \quad 2: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15-21 & 2: 16 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17-21 & 2: 17-21 & 2: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 18 & 2: 19 & 2: 19 & 2: 19 & 2: 19 & 2: 19 & 2: 19 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 21\end{array} \quad 2: 22 \quad 2: 22$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}2: 22 & 2: 22 & 2: 22 & 2: 22 & 2: 22 & 2: 22 & 2: 22 & 2: 22-24 & 2: 23 & 2: 23 & 2: 23 & 2: 23\end{array} 2: 23 \quad 2: 23 \quad 2: 23$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 24 & 2: 24 & 2: 24 & 2: 24 & 2: 25 & 2: 25 & 2: 25 & 2: 25-27 & 2: 26 & 2: 27 & 2: 27 & 2: 27 & 2: 27 \\ 2: 27 & 2: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2: 28 & 2: 29 & 2: 29 & 2: 29 & 2: 29 & 2: 31 & 2: 31 & 2: 31 & 2: 31 & 2: 31 & 2: 31 & 2: 31 & 2: 31 & 2: 32\end{array} 2: 32$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 32 & 2: 32 & 2: 32 & 2: 33 & 2: 33 & 2: 33 & 2: 33 & 2: 33 & 2: 33 & 2: 33 & 2: 33 & 2: 33 & 2: 33 \\ 2: 33-36 & 2: 34\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}2: 34 & 2: 35 & 2: 36 & 2: 36 & 2: 36 & 2: 36 & 2: 37 & 2: 37 & 2: 37 & 2: 37 & 2: 37 & 2: 38 & 2: 38 & 2: 38 & 2: 38\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 38 & 2: 38 & 2: 38 & 2: 38 & 2: 39 & 2: 39 & 2: 40 & 2: 40 & 2: 40 & 2: 40 & 2: 40 & 2: 40 & 2: 40 \\ 2: 41 & 2: 41\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 41 & 2: 41 & 2: 42 & 2: 42 & 2: 42 & 2: 42 & 2: 42 & 2: 42 & 2: 42 & 2: 42 & 2: 42 & 2: 42 & 2: 42 \\ 2: 43 & 2: 43\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 43 & 2: 43 & 2: 43 & 2: 43-47 & 2: 44 & 2: 44 & 2: 44 & 2: 44 & 2: 44 & 2: 44 & 2: 44 & 2: 45 & 2: 45 \\ 2: 45 & 2: 45\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 45 & 2: 46 & 2: 46 & 2: 46 & 2: 46 & 2: 46 & 2: 46 & 2: 46 & 2: 46 & 2: 46 & 2: 47 & 2: 47 & 2: 47 \\ 2: 47 & 3 & 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1-11 & 3: 1-26 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 \\ 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 \\ 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 \\ 3: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 16 \\ 3: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 \\ 3: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}3: 19 & 3: 19 & 3: 19 & 3: 19 & 3: 19 & 3: 20 & 3: 20 & 3: 20 & 3: 20 & 3: 20 & 3: 20 & 3: 20 \\ 3: 21 & 3: 21 & 3: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 21 & 3: 22 & 3: 22 & 3: 22 & 3: 22 & 3: 23 & 3: 23 & 3: 24 & 3: 24 & 3: 25 & 3: 25 & 3: 25 & 3: 26 & 3: 26 \\ 3: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}3: 26 & 3: 26 & 4 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1-3 & 4: 2 & 4: 2 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 5 & 4: 5\end{array} 4: 5$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}4: 5 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 10 & 4: 10 & 4: 10\end{array} 4: 10$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 13 & 4: 13 & 4: 13 & 4: 13 & 4: 13 & 4: 13 & 4: 13 & 4: 13 \\ 4: 13 & 4: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}4: 13 & 4: 13 & 4: 13 & 4: 14 & 4: 14 & 4: 15 & 4: 15 & 4: 15 & 4: 16 & 4: 17 & 4: 17 & 4: 17 & 4: 17 & 4: 17 & 4: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}4: 18 & 4: 18 & 4: 18 & 4: 20 & 4: 20 & 4: 20 & 4: 20 & 4: 20 & 4: 20 & 4: 20 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 22 & 4: 22 & 4: 22\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}4: 23 & 4: 23 & 4: 23 & 4: 23 & 4: 24 & 4: 24 & 4: 24 & 4: 24 & 4: 24 & 4: 25 & 4: 25 & 4: 25 & 4: 25 & 4: 26\end{array} 4: 26$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}4: 27 & 4: 27 & 4: 27 & 4: 28 & 4: 28 & 4: 28 & 4: 28 & 4: 28 & 4: 29 & 4: 29 & 4: 29 & 4: 29 & 4: 29 \\ 4: 29 & 4: 29\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}4: 31 & 4: 32 & 4: 32 & 4: 32 & 4: 32 & 4: 32-37 & 4: 32-5: 11 & 4: 33 & 4: 33 & 4: 34 & 4: 34 & 4: 35 \\ 4: 35 & 4: 36\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}4: 36 & 4: 36 & 4: 36 & 4: 36 & 4: 36 & 4: 37 & 5 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1-42 & 5: 2 & 5: 2 & 5: 2 & 5: 2 & 5: 2 & 5: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}5: 3 & 5: 3 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 5 & 5: 5 & 5: 6 & 5: 6 & 5: 7 & 5: 8 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 13 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 15 & 5: 15 & 5: 15 & 5: 15 & 5: 15 & 5: 15 & 5: 16 & 5: 16 & 5: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}5: 17 & 5: 17 & 5: 17 & 5: 17 & 5: 17 & 5: 17 & 5: 17 & 5: 17 & 5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 & 5: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}5: 20 & 5: 21 & 5: 21 & 5: 21 & 5: 21 & 5: 22 & 5: 22 & 5: 23 & 5: 23 & 5: 23 & 5: 23 & 5: 24 & 5: 24 & 5: 25 & 5: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}5: 26 & 5: 26 & 5: 26 & 5: 26 & 5: 27 & 5: 28 & 5: 28 & 5: 28 & 5: 29 & 5: 30 & 5: 30 & 5: 30 & 5: 30 & 5: 30 \\ 5: 30\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}5: 30 & 5: 30 & 5: 31 & 5: 31 & 5: 31 & 5: 31 & 5: 31 & 5: 31 & 5: 31 & 5: 32 & 5: 33 & 5: 33 & 5: 33 & 5: 33 \\ 5: 34\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5: 34 & 5: 34 & 5: 34 & 5: 34-39 & 5: 34-40 & 5: 35 & 5: 35 & 5: 35 & 5: 36 & 5: 36 & 5: 36 & 5: 36 & 5: 36 \\ 5: 36\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}5: 36 & 5: 36 & 5: 37 & 5: 37 & 5: 37 & 5: 37 & 5: 37 & 5: 37 & 5: 37 & 5: 38 & 5: 39 & 5: 39 & 5: 39 & 5: 40 \\ 5: 41\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}5: 41 & 5: 41 & 5: 41 & 5: 41 & 5: 41 & 5: 42 & 5: 42 & 6 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1-6 & 6: 1-6 & 6: 1-7 & 6: 1-15 & 6: 1-15 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2-4 & 6: 3 & 6: 3 & 6: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 8 \\ 6: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 \\ 6: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 7 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1-9: 43\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 3 & 7: 4 & 7: 5 & 7: 5 & 7: 5 & 7: 5 & 7: 5 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 \\ 7: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}7: 6 & 7: 7 & 7: 8 & 7: 8 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 10 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 12 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 14 & 7: 16 & 7: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 17 & 7: 17 & 7: 18 & 7: 18 & 7: 18 & 7: 18 & 7: 19 & 7: 19 & 7: 19 & 7: 19 & 7: 20 & 7: 20 & 7: 20 & 7: 20 \\ 7: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 22 & 7: 22 & 7: 22 & 7: 22 & 7: 22 & 7: 23 & 7: 24 & 7: 24 & 7: 24 & 7: 24 & 7: 25 & 7: 26 & 7: 26 & 7: 26 \\ 7: 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 27 & 7: 27 & 7: 27 & 7: 28 & 7: 28 & 7: 28 & 7: 28 & 7: 29 & 7: 29 & 7: 30 & 7: 30 & 7: 30 & 7: 30 & 7: 31 \\ 7: 32\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}7: 32 & 7: 32 & 7: 33 & 7: 34 & 7: 35 & 7: 35 & 7: 35 & 7: 37 & 7: 37 & 7: 37 & 7: 38 & 7: 38 & 7: 38 & 7: 38 & 7: 38\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 38 & 7: 38 & 7: 38 & 7: 38 & 7: 38 & 7: 39 & 7: 39 & 7: 39 & 7: 39 & 7: 39 & 7: 40 & 7: 40 & 7: 41 & 7: 41 \\ 7: 41\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 41 & 7: 42 & 7: 42 & 7: 43 & 7: 43 & 7: 43 & 7: 43 & 7: 43 & 7: 44 & 7: 44 & 7: 44 & 7: 44 & 7: 45 & 7: 45 \\ 7: 46\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 46 & 7: 47 & 7: 48 & 7: 48 & 7: 48 & 7: 48 & 7: 48 & 7: 48 & 7: 49 & 7: 49 & 7: 49 & 7: 51 & 7: 51 & 7: 51 \\ 7: 52\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 52 & 7: 52 & 7: 52 & 7: 52 & 7: 52 & 7: 52 & 7: 53 & 7: 53 & 7: 53 & 7: 53-8: 11 & 7: 54 & 7: 54 & 7: 55 & 7: 55 \\ 7: 56\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 56 & 7: 56 & 7: 56 & 7: 56 & 7: 56 & 7: 57 & 7: 57 & 7: 57 & 7: 57 & 7: 57 & 7: 58 & 7: 58 & 7: 58 & 7: 59\end{array} 7: 59$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}7: 59 & 7: 59 & 7: 60 & 7: 60 & 7: 60 & 7: 60 & 7: 60 & 8 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}8: 1-40 & 8: 1-40 & 8: 1-9: 9 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 \\ 8: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5-7 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 9 & 8: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 11 & 8: 11 & 8: 11 & 8: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}8: 11 & 8: 12 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 14 & 8: 14 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 16 & 8: 16 & 8: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 18 & 8: 18 & 8: 18 & 8: 19 & 8: 19 & 8: 20 & 8: 20 & 8: 21 & 8: 21 & 8: 21 & 8: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 22 & 8: 22 & 8: 22 & 8: 22 & 8: 23 & 8: 23 & 8: 24 & 8: 25 & 8: 26 & 8: 26 & 8: 26 & 8: 27 & 8: 27 & 8: 27 \\ 8: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}8: 29 & 8: 30 & 8: 30 & 8: 30 & 8: 31 & 8: 31 & 8: 31 & 8: 32 & 8: 32 & 8: 32 & 8: 32 & 8: 32 & 8: 32 & 8: 33 & 8: 33\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}8: 34 & 8: 35 & 8: 35 & 8: 36 & 8: 36 & 8: 36 & 8: 37 & 8: 39 & 8: 40 & 8: 40 & 9 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1-43 \\ 9: 1-43\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 3 & 9: 3 & 9: 3 & 9: 3-18 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 \\ 9: 4-6 & 9: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 \\ 9: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}9: 8 & 9: 8 & 9: 8 & 9: 8 & 9: 9 & 9: 10 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 12 & 9: 12 & 9: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 13 & 9: 14 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 \\ 9: 15 & 9: 16\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}9: 16 & 9: 16 & 9: 16 & 9: 16 & 9: 17 & 9: 17 & 9: 17 & 9: 17 & 9: 17 & 9: 17 & 9: 17 & 9: 18 & 9: 18 & 9: 19 & 9: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 21 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 23 & 9: 23 & 9: 23 \\ 9: 23-29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 24 & 9: 24 & 9: 24 & 9: 24 & 9: 24 & 9: 24 & 9: 25 & 9: 25 & 9: 25 & 9: 25 & 9: 25 & 9: 25 & 9: 26 \\ 9: 26 & 9: 26-30\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}9: 26-30 & 9: 27 & 9: 27 & 9: 27 & 9: 27 & 9: 27 & 9: 27 & 9: 28 & 9: 29 & 9: 29 & 9: 29 & 9: 29 \\ 9: 29 & 9: 29 & 9: 29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 30 & 9: 30 & 9: 30 & 9: 30 & 9: 30 & 9: 30 & 9: 30 & 9: 31 & 9: 31 & 9: 31 & 9: 31 & 9: 31 & 9: 31 & 9: 31 \\ 9: 32\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 32 & 9: 33 & 9: 33 & 9: 34 & 9: 34 & 9: 35 & 9: 35 & 9: 36 & 9: 36 & 9: 36 & 9: 37 & 9: 37 & 9: 37 \\ 9: 37 & 9: 38\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}9: 39 & 9: 39 & 9: 39 & 9: 39 & 9: 40 & 9: 40 & 9: 40 & 9: 40 & 9: 41 & 9: 43 & 9: 43 & 10 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1\end{array} 10: 1$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 1 & 10: 1-48 & 10: 1-48 & 10: 1-11: 18 & 10: 2 & 10: 2 & 10: 2 & 10: 2 & 10: 2 & 10: 3 & 10: 3 & 10: 3\end{array} 10: 3$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}10: 4 & 10: 4 & 10: 4 & 10: 4 & 10: 4 & 10: 4 & 10: 5 & 10: 5 & 10: 5 & 10: 6 & 10: 6 & 10: 6 & 10: 6 & 10: 7 & 10: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 7 & 10: 8 & 10: 8 & 10: 8 & 10: 9 & 10: 9 & 10: 9-18 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 10\end{array} 10: 10$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}10: 10 & 10: 11 & 10: 11 & 10: 11 & 10: 12 & 10: 12 & 10: 14 & 10: 14 & 10: 14 & 10: 14 & 10: 14 & 10: 14 & 10: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}10: 14 & 10: 14 & 10: 14 & 10: 14-16 & 10: 15 & 10: 15 & 10: 15 & 10: 15 & 10: 16 & 10: 16 \\ 10: 16 & 10: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 17 & 10: 18 & 10: 18 & 10: 18 & 10: 19 & 10: 19 & 10: 19-23 & 10: 20 & 10: 20 & 10: 20 & 10: 21\end{array} 10: 22$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 23 & 10: 23 & 10: 23 & 10: 23 & 10: 23 & 10: 24 & 10: 24 \\ 10: 25 & 10: 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 25 & 10: 25 & 10: 25 & 10: 25 & 10: 25 & 10: 26 & 10: 26 & 10: 27 & 10: 27 & 10: 28 & 10: 28 & 10: 28 \\ 10: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 28 & 10: 28 & 10: 28 & 10: 28 & 10: 28 & 10: 29 & 10: 29 & 10: 30 & 10: 30 & 10: 31 & 10: 32 & 10: 32\end{array} 10: 33$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 33 & 10: 34 & 10: 34 & 10: 34 & 10: 34 & 10: 34 & 10: 34 & 10: 35 & 10: 35 & 10: 35 & 10: 35 & 10: 36 \\ 10: 36\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}10: 36 & 10: 36-42 & 10: 37 & 10: 37 & 10: 37 & 10: 37 & 10: 37-43 & 10: 37-43 & 10: 37-44 & 10: 38 \\ 10: 38\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 38 & 10: 38 & 10: 38 & 10: 39 & 10: 39 & 10: 39 & 10: 39 & 10: 39 & 10: 39 & 10: 39 & 10: 40 & 10: 40 \\ 10: 40\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 44-48 & 10: 45 & 10: 46 & 10: 46 & 10: 46 & 10: 47 & 10: 47 & 10: 48 & 10: 48 & 10: 48 & 10: 48 & 10: 48 \\ 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1-18 & 11: 1-18 & 11: 1-18 & 11: 1-18 & 11: 1-18 & 11: 1-18\end{array} 11: 1-18$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 1-18 & 11: 1-30 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 3 \\ 11: 3 & 11: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 5 & 11: 6 & 11: 6 & 11: 7 & 11: 8 & 11: 8 & 11: 8 & 11: 10\end{array} 11: 12$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 12 & 11: 12 & 11: 12 & 11: 12 & 11: 13 & 11: 14 & 11: 14 & 11: 15 & 11: 15 & 11: 15 & 11: 15-17\end{array} 11: 16$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 16 & 11: 16 & 11: 17 & 11: 17 & 11: 17 & 11: 18 & 11: 18 & 11: 18 & 11: 18 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 19\end{array} 11: 19$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 20 & 11: 20 & 11: 20 & 11: 20 \\ 11: 20-26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 21 & 11: 21 & 11: 21 & 11: 22 & 11: 22 & 11: 22 & 11: 23 & 11: 23 & 11: 23 & 11: 24 & 11: 25\end{array} 11: 25 \quad 11: 26$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 26 & 11: 26 & 11: 26 & 11: 26 & 11: 26 & 11: 26 & 11: 26 & 11: 26 & 11: 26 & 11: 26 & 11: 27 \\ 11: 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}11: 27-30 & 11: 28 & 11: 28 & 11: 28 & 11: 28 & 11: 28 & 11: 28 & 11: 28 & 11: 28 & 11: 28 \\ 11: 29 & 11: 29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 29 & 11: 30 & 11: 30 & 11: 30 & 11: 30 & 11: 30 & 11: 30 & 11: 30 & 11: 30 & 11: 30 & 11: 30\end{array} 11: 30 \quad 12$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1-25 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2\end{array} 12: 2 \quad 12: 2$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 4 & 12: 4 & 12: 4 & 12: 5 & 12: 5 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 \\ 12: 6 & 12: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 8 & 12: 8 & 12: 8 & 12: 8 & 12: 8 & 12: 8 & 12: 8 & 12: 9 & 12: 9\end{array} 12: 10$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}12: 10 & 12: 10 & 12: 11 & 12: 11 & 12: 11 & 12: 12 & 12: 12 & 12: 12 & 12: 12 & 12: 12 & 12: 12 & 12: 12 & 12: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 12 & 12: 12 & 12: 13 & 12: 14 & 12: 14 & 12: 14 & 12: 15 & 12: 15 & 12: 15 & 12: 15 & 12: 15 & 12: 16\end{array} 12: 16$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 16 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 12: 18 & 12: 18 & 12: 18 & 12: 19\end{array} 12: 19$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 19 & 12: 19 & 12: 19 & 12: 20 & 12: 20 & 12: 20 & 12: 20-23 & 12: 20-23 & 12: 21 & 12: 21 & 12: 21\end{array} 12: 22$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 22 & 12: 23 & 12: 23 & 12: 23 & 12: 23 & 12: 24 & 12: 24 & 12: 25 & 12: 25 & 12: 25 & 12: 25 & 13\end{array} 13: 1$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1-3 & 13: 1-52 & 13: 1-52 \\ 13: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 4 & 13: 5 & 13: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13: 5 & 13: 5 & 13: 5 & 13: 5 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 & 13: 6-12 & 13: 7 \\ 13: 7 & 13: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13: 8 & 13: 8 & 13: 8 & 13: 8 & 13: 8 & 13: 8 & 13: 9 & 13: 9 & 13: 9 & 13: 10 & 13: 10 & 13: 10 & 13: 10 \\ 13: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 12 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 \\ 13: 14 & 13: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}13: 14 & 13: 14 & 13: 15 & 13: 15 & 13: 15 & 13: 15 & 13: 15 & 13: 15 & 13: 16 & 13: 16 & 13: 16\end{array} 13: 16$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}13: 16-41 & 13: 16-41 & 13: 17 & 13: 17 & 13: 17 & 13: 17 & 13: 18 & 13: 18 & 13: 19 & 13: 19 & 13: 19\end{array} 13: 20$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 21 & 13: 22 & 13: 23 & 13: 23 & 13: 23 & 13: 23 & 13: 24 & 13: 24 & 13: 25 & 13: 25 & 13: 25 & 13: 25\end{array} 13: 25$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 26 & 13: 26 & 13: 27 & 13: 27 & 13: 27 & 13: 28 & 13: 29 & 13: 29 & 13: 30 & 13: 31 & 13: 32 & 13: 32\end{array} 13: 33$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}13: 34 & 13: 34 & 13: 34 & 13: 34-37 & 13: 35 & 13: 35 & 13: 35 & 13: 36 & 13: 36 & 13: 36 \\ 13: 36 & 13: 37\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}13: 38 & 13: 38 & 13: 39 & 13: 39 & 13: 40 & 13: 40 & 13: 41 & 13: 42 & 13: 42 & 13: 43 & 13: 43 \\ 13: 43 & 13: 43\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 43 & 13: 44 & 13: 44 & 13: 44 & 13: 45 & 13: 45 & 13: 45 & 13: 45 & 13: 45 & 13: 45 & 13: 45 & 13: 45 \\ 13: 45\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 46 & 13: 46 & 13: 46 & 13: 46 & 13: 46 & 13: 46 & 13: 46 & 13: 47 & 13: 47 & 13: 48 & 13: 49 & 13: 50\end{array} 13: 50$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}13: 50 & 13: 50 & 13: 50 & 13: 50 & 13: 51 & 13: 51 & 13: 52 & 14 & 14: 1 & 14: 1-5 & 14: 1-28 \\ 14: 1-28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 1-28 & 14: 2 & 14: 2 & 14: 2 & 14: 2 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 4 & 14: 4 & 14: 4 & 14: 4 \\ 14: 5 & 14: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 5 & 14: 5 & 14: 5 & 14: 5-19 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6-19\end{array} 14: 7 \quad 14: 8$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}14: 8 & 14: 8 & 14: 8-12 & 14: 8-18 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 10 & 14: 10 & 14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 11 \\ 14: 11 & 14: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 14 & 14: 14 & 14: 14 & 14: 14 & 14: 14\end{array} \quad 14: 14$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 14 & 14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 16 & 14: 16 & 14: 17 \\ 14: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 17 & 14: 17 & 14: 17 & 14: 17 & 14: 17 & 14: 17 & 14: 18 & 14: 18 & 14: 18 & 14: 18 & 14: 18 & 14: 18\end{array} 14: 19$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 19 & 14: 19 & 14: 19 & 14: 19 & 14: 19 & 14: 20 & 14: 20 & 14: 20 & 14: 21 & 14: 22 & 14: 22 & 14: 22\end{array} 14: 22$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}14: 22 & 14: 22 & 14: 22 & 14: 23 & 14: 23 & 14: 23 & 14: 23 & 14: 24 & 14: 25 & 14: 26 & 14: 26 \\ 14: 26-28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 27 & 14: 27 & 14: 27 & 14: 27 & 14: 28 & 15 & 15 & 15: 1 & 15: 1 & 15: 1 & 15: 1 & 15: 1 & 15: 1 \\ 15: 1-3\end{array}$ 15:1-3 $15: 1-33 \quad 15: 1-35 \quad 15: 1-41 \quad 15: 1-41 \quad 15: 1-41 \quad 15: 1-41 \quad 15: 1-41 \quad 15: 1-41 \quad 15: 1-41$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}15: 1-41 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 3 & 15: 3 \\ 15: 3 & 15: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}15: 3 & 15: 3 & 15: 4 & 15: 4 & 15: 4 & 15: 4 & 15: 4 & 15: 5 & 15: 5 & 15: 5 & 15: 5 & 15: 5 & 15: 5 \\ 15: 5 & 15: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15: 5 & 15: 5-6 & 15: 5-29 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 6-14 & 15: 6-29 & 15: 6-29\end{array} 15: 6-29$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 7 & 15: 7 & 15: 7 & 15: 7 & 15: 7-11 & 15: 7-13 & 15: 8 & 15: 8 & 15: 8 & 15: 8 & 15: 9 & 15: 9 \\ 15: 10 & 15: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15: 10 & 15: 10 & 15: 10 & 15: 10 & 15: 11 & 15: 12 & 15: 12 & 15: 12-22 & 15: 13 & 15: 13 & 15: 14 \\ 15: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15: 14 & 15: 14 & 15: 14 & 15: 15 & 15: 16 & 15: 16 & 15: 16 & 15: 16 & 15: 16-18 & 15: 17 & 15: 17 \\ 15: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15: 17 & 15: 18 & 15: 18 & 15: 18 & 15: 19 & 15: 19 & 15: 20 & 15: 20 & 15: 20 & 15: 20 & 15: 20 \\ 15: 20 & 15: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15: 20 & 15: 20 & 15: 21 & 15: 22 & 15: 22 & 15: 22 & 15: 22 & 15: 22 & 15: 22 & 15: 22 & 15: 22 \\ 15: 22 & 15: 22\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15: 23 & 15: 23 & 15: 23 & 15: 23 & 15: 23 & 15: 23 & 15: 23 & 15: 23-29 & 15: 24 & 15: 24 & 15: 25 \\ 15: 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15: 25 & 15: 25 & 15: 26 & 15: 26 & 15: 27 & 15: 27 & 15: 28 & 15: 28 & 15: 28 & 15: 28 & 15: 29 \\ 15: 29 & 15: 29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15: 29 & 15: 29 & 15: 29 & 15: 29 & 15: 29 & 15: 29 & 15: 29 & 15: 30 & 15: 30 & 15: 30 & 15: 30-35 \\ 15: 31\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 31 & 15: 32 & 15: 32 & 15: 32 & 15: 32 & 15: 33 & 15: 33 & 15: 33 & 15: 34 & 15: 35 & 15: 35 & 15: 36 \\ 15: 36\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}15: 36 & 15: 36 & 15: 36-39 & 15: 36-39 & 15: 37 & 15: 37 & 15: 37 & 15: 38 & 15: 38 & 15: 39 \\ 15: 39 & 15: 39\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 39 & 15: 39 & 15: 39 & 15: 39 & 15: 39 & 15: 40 & 15: 40 & 15: 40 & 15: 40 & 15: 40 & 15: 41 & 15: 41 \\ 15: 41\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}15: 41 & 15: 41-16: 1 & 16 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1-5 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 3 \\ 16: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}16: 3 & 16: 3 & 16: 3 & 16: 4 & 16: 4 & 16: 4 & 16: 5 & 16: 5 & 16: 5 & 16: 6 & 16: 6 & 16: 6 & 16: 6 & 16: 6 & 16: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}16: 6 & 16: 7 & 16: 7 & 16: 7 & 16: 8 & 16: 8 & 16: 8 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 10 & 16: 10 & 16: 10\end{array} 16: 10$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}16: 10 & 16: 10 & 16: 10 & 16: 10 & 16: 11 & 16: 11 & 16: 11 & 16: 11 & 16: 11 & 16: 11 & 16: 12 & 16: 12 & 16: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 12 & 16: 12 & 16: 13 & 16: 13 & 16: 13 & 16: 13 & 16: 13 & 16: 14 & 16: 14 & 16: 14 & 16: 14 & 16: 15\end{array} 16: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}16: 15 & 16: 16 & 16: 16 & 16: 16-40 & 16: 16-40 & 16: 17 & 16: 17 & 16: 17 & 16: 17 & 16: 17 & 16: 18\end{array} 16: 18$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}16: 18 & 16: 19 & 16: 19 & 16: 19 & 16: 19 & 16: 19-40 & 16: 20 & 16: 20 & 16: 20 & 16: 21 & 16: 21\end{array} 16: 21$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}16: 22 & 16: 22 & 16: 22 & 16: 22 & 16: 23 & 16: 23 & 16: 23 & 16: 23 & 16: 24 & 16: 24 & 16: 24 \\ 16: 25 & 16: 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 25 & 16: 25 & 16: 26 & 16: 26 & 16: 26 & 16: 26 & 16: 26 & 16: 26 & 16: 27 & 16: 27 & 16: 27 & 16: 28\end{array} 16: 29$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 29 & 16: 29 & 16: 30 & 16: 30 & 16: 31 & 16: 32 & 16: 33 & 16: 33 & 16: 33 & 16: 34 & 16: 34 & 16: 35\end{array} \quad 16: 35$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}16: 36 & 16: 37 & 16: 37 & 16: 37 & 16: 37 & 16: 37 & 16: 39 & 16: 40 & 17 & 17: 1 & 17: 1 & 17: 1 & 17: 1 & 17: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}17: 2 & 17: 2 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}17: 4 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 5-9 & 17: 5-9 & 17: 6 \\ 17: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}17: 6 & 17: 6 & 17: 6 & 17: 6 & 17: 6 & 17: 6 & 17: 6 & 17: 7 & 17: 7 & 17: 7 & 17: 7 & 17: 7 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}17: 9 & 17: 9 & 17: 10 & 17: 10 & 17: 10 & 17: 10 & 17: 11 & 17: 11 & 17: 11 & 17: 12 & 17: 13 & 17: 13\end{array} 17: 14$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}17: 14 & 17: 14 & 17: 14 & 17: 15 & 17: 15 & 17: 15 & 17: 15 & 17: 15 & 17: 16 & 17: 16 & 17: 16 & 17: 16\end{array} 17: 16$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}17: 16 & 17: 17 & 17: 17 & 17: 17 & 17: 17 & 17: 17 & 17: 17 & 17: 18 & 17: 18 & 17: 18 & 17: 18 & 17: 18\end{array} 17: 18$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}17: 18 & 17: 18 & 17: 18 & 17: 18 & 17: 18 & 17: 18 & 17: 18 & 17: 18 & 17: 19 & 17: 19 & 17: 20 & 17: 20 & 17: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}17: 21 & 17: 21 & 17: 21 & 17: 21 & 17: 21-32 & 17: 22 & 17: 22 & 17: 22 & 17: 22 & 17: 22 & 17: 23\end{array} 17: 23$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}17: 23 & 17: 23 & 17: 23 & 17: 23 & 17: 23 & 17: 23 & 17: 23 & 17: 23 & 17: 23 & 17: 24 & 17: 24 \\ 17: 24 & 17: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}17: 24 & 17: 24 & 17: 24 & 17: 24 & 17: 25 & 17: 25 & 17: 25 & 17: 25 & 17: 26 & 17: 26 & 17: 26 & 17: 27\end{array} 17: 27$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}17: 27 & 17: 27 & 17: 27 & 17: 28 & 17: 28 & 17: 28 & 17: 28 & 17: 28 & 17: 28 & 17: 28 & 17: 28 \\ 17: 29 & 17: 29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}17: 29 & 17: 29 & 17: 29 & 17: 29 & 17: 29 & 17: 29 & 17: 30 & 17: 30 & 17: 30 & 17: 30 & 17: 30 & 17: 30\end{array} 17: 30$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}17: 31 & 17: 31 & 17: 31 & 17: 31 & 17: 31 & 17: 32 & 17: 32 & 17: 32 & 17: 32 & 17: 32 & 17: 33 & 17: 34 \\ 17: 34\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}18 & 18: 1 & 18: 1-18 & 18: 1-18 & 18: 1-18 & 18: 1-28 & 18: 1-28 & 18: 1-28 & 18: 1-28 \\ 18: 1-28 & 18: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}18: 2 & 18: 2 & 18: 2 & 18: 2 & 18: 2 & 18: 2 & 18: 3 & 18: 3 & 18: 3 & 18: 4 & 18: 4 & 18: 4 & 18: 4 & 18: 5 \\ 18: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}18: 5 & 18: 5 & 18: 5 & 18: 5 & 18: 5 & 18: 5 & 18: 5 & 18: 5 & 18: 5 & 18: 5 & 18: 5-17 & 18: 6 & 18: 6\end{array} 18: 618: 6$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}18: 6 & 18: 6 & 18: 6 & 18: 6 & 18: 6 & 18: 7 & 18: 7 & 18: 7 & 18: 8 & 18: 8 & 18: 8 & 18: 8 & 18: 8 & 18: 9 & 18: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 9 & 18: 9 & 18: 9 & 18: 9 & 18: 10 & 18: 10 & 18: 11 & 18: 11 & 18: 11 & 18: 12 & 18: 12 & 18: 12\end{array} 18: 12$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}18: 12 & 18: 12 & 18: 13 & 18: 13 & 18: 14 & 18: 14 & 18: 14 & 18: 14 & 18: 15 & 18: 15 & 18: 15 \\ 18: 16 & 18: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 17 & 18: 17 & 18: 18 & 18: 18 & 18: 18 & 18: 18 & 18: 18 & 18: 18 & 18: 18 & 18: 19 & 18: 19 & 18: 19\end{array} 18: 20$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}18: 21 & 18: 21 & 18: 21 & 18: 21 & 18: 21 & 18: 21 & 18: 21 & 18: 21 & 18: 21-20: 1 & 18: 22 & 18: 22 \\ 18: 22\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 22 & 18: 22 & 18: 22 & 18: 23 & 18: 23 & 18: 23 & 18: 23 & 18: 23 & 18: 23 & 18: 24 & 18: 24 & 18: 24 \\ 18: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}18: 24 & 18: 24 & 18: 24-28 & 18: 24-19: 1 & 18: 24-19: 1 & 18: 25 & 18: 25 & 18: 25 & 18: 25 & 18: 25\end{array} 18: 25$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 25 & 18: 26 & 18: 26 & 18: 26 & 18: 26 & 18: 26 & 18: 26 & 18: 27 & 18: 27 & 18: 27 & 18: 27 & 18: 27\end{array} 18: 28$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}18: 28 & 18: 28-19: 1 & 19 & 19: 1 & 19: 1 & 19: 1-10 & 19: 1-10 & 19: 1-41 & 19: 1-41 & 19: 1-41 & 19: 2\end{array} \quad 19: 2$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}19: 2 & 19: 2 & 19: 2 & 19: 2 & 19: 3 & 19: 3 & 19: 4 & 19: 5 & 19: 6 & 19: 6 & 19: 6 & 19: 6 & 19: 6 & 19: 6\end{array} 19: 7$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}19: 8 & 19: 8 & 19: 8 & 19: 8 & 19: 8 & 19: 8 & 19: 9 & 19: 9 & 19: 9 & 19: 9 & 19: 9 & 19: 9 & 19: 9 & 19: 9 & 19: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 10 & 19: 10 & 19: 10 & 19: 10 & 19: 11 & 19: 11 & 19: 11 & 19: 11 & 19: 11-19 & 19: 11-20 & 19: 12 & 19: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 12 & 19: 12 & 19: 12 & 19: 13 & 19: 13 & 19: 13 & 19: 13 & 19: 13 & 19: 13 & 19: 13 & 19: 14 & 19: 15\end{array} 19: 16$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}19: 16 & 19: 16 & 19: 16 & 19: 17 & 19: 17 & 19: 18 & 19: 18 & 19: 19 & 19: 19 & 19: 19 & 19: 19 & 19: 19 & 19: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 19 & 19: 19 & 19: 19 & 19: 19 & 19: 20 & 19: 20 & 19: 21 & 19: 21 & 19: 21 & 19: 21 & 19: 21 & 19: 21\end{array} 19: 21$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}19: 21 & 19: 22 & 19: 22 & 19: 22 & 19: 22 & 19: 22 & 19: 22 & 19: 22 & 19: 22 & 19: 22 & 19: 22\end{array} 19: 23 \quad 19: 23$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}19: 23 & 19: 23 & 19: 23 & 19: 23 & 19: 23-41 & 19: 23-41 & 19: 23-41 & 19: 24 & 19: 24 & 19: 24 \\ 19: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 25 & 19: 25 & 19: 26 & 19: 26 & 19: 26 & 19: 26 & 19: 26 & 19: 27 & 19: 27 & 19: 27 & 19: 27 & 19: 27 \\ 19: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 28 & 19: 28 & 19: 29 & 19: 29 & 19: 29 & 19: 29 & 19: 29 & 19: 29 & 19: 29 & 19: 29 & 19: 30 & 19: 31\end{array} 19: 31$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 31 & 19: 32 & 19: 32 & 19: 32 & 19: 32 & 19: 33 & 19: 33 & 19: 33 & 19: 33 & 19: 33 & 19: 33 & 19: 33 \\ 19: 33\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 34 & 19: 34 & 19: 35 & 19: 35 & 19: 35 & 19: 35 & 19: 35 & 19: 36 & 19: 36 & 19: 36 & 19: 36 & 19: 37 \\ 19: 37\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}19: 37 & 19: 38 & 19: 38 & 19: 38 & 19: 38 & 19: 38 & 19: 38 & 19: 39 & 19: 39 & 19: 39 & 19: 39 & 19: 39 & 19: 39\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}19: 40 & 19: 40 & 19: 40 & 19: 40 & 19: 40 & 19: 40 & 19: 40 & 19: 40 & 19: 40 & 19: 41 & 20 & 20: 1 & 20: 1 \\ 20: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}20: 1 & 20: 1 & 20: 1 & 20: 1 & 20: 1 & 20: 1 & 20: 1 & 20: 1-3 & 20: 1-3 & 20: 1-3 & 20: 2 & 20: 2 \\ 20: 3 & 20: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}20: 3 & 20: 3 & 20: 3 & 20: 3 & 20: 3 & 20: 3 & 20: 3 & 20: 3 & 20: 3 & 20: 3 & 20: 3 & 20: 3-21: 17 & 20: 4 \\ 20: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 5 & 20: 5 & 20: 5 & 20: 5 & 20: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}20: 6 & 20: 6 & 20: 6 & 20: 6 & 20: 6 & 20: 6 & 20: 6 & 20: 6 & 20: 7 & 20: 7 & 20: 7 & 20: 7 & 20: 7 & 20: 7 & 20: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}20: 7 & 20: 7 & 20: 7 & 20: 7 & 20: 8 & 20: 8 & 20: 9 & 20: 9 & 20: 9 & 20: 9 & 20: 9 & 20: 10 & 20: 10 & 20: 10\end{array} 20: 11$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}20: 11 & 20: 11 & 20: 11 & 20: 11 & 20: 11 & 20: 11 & 20: 12 & 20: 12 & 20: 12 & 20: 13 & 20: 13 & 20: 13 & 20: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}20: 14 & 20: 14 & 20: 14 & 20: 15 & 20: 15 & 20: 15 & 20: 15 & 20: 15 & 20: 15 & 20: 15 & 20: 16 & 20: 16\end{array} 20: 16$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}20: 16 & 20: 16 & 20: 16 & 20: 16 & 20: 16 & 20: 16 & 20: 17 & 20: 17 & 20: 17 & 20: 17 & 20: 17 & 20: 17 \\ 20: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}20: 17 & 20: 17 & 20: 17 & 20: 17-38 & 20: 18 & 20: 18 & 20: 19 & 20: 19 & 20: 19 & 20: 19\end{array} 20: 19 \quad 20: 19$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}20: 19 & 20: 19 & 20: 19 & 20: 19-31 & 20: 20 & 20: 20 & 20: 20 & 20: 20 & 20: 20 & 20: 20 & 20: 20\end{array} 20: 21$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}20: 21 & 20: 21 & 20: 21 & 20: 22 & 20: 22 & 20: 22 & 20: 23 & 20: 23 & 20: 23 & 20: 23 & 20: 23 \\ 20: 23 & 20: 23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}20: 24 & 20: 24 & 20: 24 & 20: 24 & 20: 24 & 20: 24 & 20: 25 & 20: 26 & 20: 26 & 20: 26 & 20: 27 & 20: 27\end{array} 20: 27$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 \\ 20: 28 & 20: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 28 & 20: 29 & 20: 29 & 20: 29\end{array} 20: 29$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}20: 29 & 20: 29 & 20: 29 & 20: 29 & 20: 29 & 20: 29 & 20: 30 & 20: 30 & 20: 30 & 20: 30 & 20: 31 & 20: 31\end{array} 20: 31$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}20: 31 & 20: 31 & 20: 31 & 20: 31 & 20: 31 & 20: 31 & 20: 31 & 20: 32 & 20: 33 & 20: 33 & 20: 33 & 20: 34 \\ 20: 34\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}20: 34 & 20: 34 & 20: 34 & 20: 34 & 20: 34 & 20: 34 & 20: 35 & 20: 35 & 20: 35 & 20: 35 & 20: 35 & 20: 35\end{array} 20: 35$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}20: 36 & 20: 36 & 20: 36 & 20: 37 & 20: 37 & 20: 37 & 20: 37 & 20: 37 & 20: 37 & 20: 38 & 20: 38 & 20: 38 \\ 20: 38\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}20: 38 & 21 & 21: 1 & 21: 1 & 21: 1 & 21: 1 & 21: 1-40 & 21: 1-40 & 21: 1-26: 32 & 21: 2 & 21: 2 & 21: 3 & 21: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}21: 3 & 21: 4 & 21: 4 & 21: 4 & 21: 4 & 21: 4 & 21: 5 & 21: 5 & 21: 5 & 21: 5 & 21: 5 & 21: 5 & 21: 5 & 21: 6 & 21: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}21: 6 & 21: 6 & 21: 7 & 21: 7 & 21: 8 & 21: 8 & 21: 8 & 21: 8 & 21: 8 & 21: 8 & 21: 9 & 21: 9 & 21: 9 & 21: 10 & 21: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}21: 11 & 21: 11 & 21: 11 & 21: 11 & 21: 11 & 21: 11 & 21: 11 & 21: 11 & 21: 12 & 21: 13 & 21: 13 & 21: 13\end{array} 21: 13$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}21: 14 & 21: 14 & 21: 15 & 21: 16 & 21: 16 & 21: 16 & 21: 16-22 & 21: 17 & 21: 17 & 21: 18 & 21: 18\end{array} 21: 18$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}21: 18 & 21: 18 & 21: 18 & 21: 18 & 21: 18 & 21: 19 & 21: 19 & 21: 20 & 21: 20 & 21: 20 & 21: 20 & 21: 20\end{array} \quad 21: 21$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}21: 21 & 21: 21 & 21: 21 & 21: 21 & 21: 21 & 21: 21 & 21: 21 & 21: 22 & 21: 22 & 21: 22 & 21: 23\end{array} 21: 24 \quad 21: 24$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}21: 24 & 21: 24 & 21: 24 & 21: 24 & 21: 24 & 21: 24 & 21: 24 & 21: 25 & 21: 25 & 21: 25 & 21: 25\end{array} 21: 26 \quad 21: 26$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}21: 26 & 21: 26 & 21: 26 & 21: 26 & 21: 26 & 21: 26 & 21: 26 & 21: 26 & 21: 27 & 21: 27 \\ 21: 27 & 21: 27 & 21: 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}21: 27 & 21: 27 & 21: 28 & 21: 28 & 21: 28 & 21: 28 & 21: 28 & 21: 28 & 21: 28 & 21: 28-30\end{array} 21: 28-31 \quad 21: 29$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}21: 29 & 21: 29 & 21: 29 & 21: 29 & 21: 30 & 21: 30 & 21: 30 & 21: 30 & 21: 30 & 21: 30\end{array} 21: 30-32 \quad 21: 31$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}21: 31 & 21: 31 & 21: 31 & 21: 32 & 21: 33 & 21: 33 & 21: 34 & 21: 34 & 21: 34 & 21: 34 & 21: 34\end{array} 21: 34 \quad 21: 35$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}21: 35 & 21: 36 & 21: 36 & 21: 36 & 21: 37 & 21: 37 & 21: 37 & 21: 37 & 21: 38 & 21: 38 & 21: 38 & 21: 39\end{array} 21: 39$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}21: 39 & 21: 39 & 21: 39 & 21: 40 & 21: 40 & 21: 40 & 21: 40 & 21: 40 & 21: 40 & 21: 40 & 21: 40 & 22\end{array} 22: 1$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}22: 1 & 22: 1 & 22: 1 & 22: 1 & 22: 1-30 & 22: 1-30 & 22: 2 & 22: 2 & 22: 2 & 22: 2 & 22: 3 & 22: 3 \\ 22: 3 & 22: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}22: 3 & 22: 4 & 22: 4 & 22: 4 & 22: 4 & 22: 4 & 22: 4 & 22: 4 & 22: 5 & 22: 5 & 22: 5 & 22: 5 & 22: 5\end{array} 22: 5 \quad 22: 5$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}22: 6 & 22: 6 & 22: 6 & 22: 6 & 22: 6 & 22: 6-16 & 22: 7 & 22: 7 & 22: 7 & 22: 7 & 22: 7 & 22: 7\end{array} 22: 7 \quad 22: 7 \quad 22: 7$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}22: 8 & 22: 8 & 22: 9 & 22: 9 & 22: 9 & 22: 9 & 22: 9 & 22: 9 & 22: 9 & 22: 10 & 22: 10 & 22: 10 & 22: 10\end{array} \quad 22: 10$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}22: 11 & 22: 11 & 22: 12 & 22: 12 & 22: 12 & 22: 12 & 22: 13 & 22: 14 & 22: 14 & 22: 14 & 22: 14\end{array} 22: 14 \quad 22: 14$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}22: 15 & 22: 15 & 22: 16 & 22: 16 & 22: 16 & 22: 16 & 22: 16 & 22: 17 & 22: 17 & 22: 17 & 22: 17\end{array} 22: 17$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}22: 17-21 & 22: 18 & 22: 18 & 22: 18 & 22: 18 & 22: 18 & 22: 19 & 22: 20 & 22: 20 & 22: 20\end{array} 22: 20 \quad 22: 20$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}22: 20 & 22: 20 & 22: 21 & 22: 21 & 22: 22 & 22: 22 & 22: 22 & 22: 22 & 22: 23 & 22: 24 & 22: 24\end{array} 22: 24 \quad 22: 24$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}22: 25 & 22: 25 & 22: 25 & 22: 25 & 22: 25 & 22: 25 & 22: 25 & 22: 26 & 22: 26 & 22: 27 \\ 22: 27 & 22: 27-23: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}22: 28 & 22: 28 & 22: 28 & 22: 29 & 22: 29 & 22: 30 & 22: 30 & 23 & 23: 1 & 23: 1 & 23: 1 & 23: 1 & 23: 1 \\ 23: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}23: 2 & 23: 2 & 23: 3 & 23: 3 & 23: 4 & 23: 4 & 23: 4 & 23: 5 & 23: 6 & 23: 6 & 23: 6 & 23: 6 & 23: 6 & 23: 6\end{array} 23: 7$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}23: 7 & 23: 7 & 23: 8 & 23: 9 & 23: 9 & 23: 10 & 23: 10 & 23: 11 & 23: 11 & 23: 11 & 23: 11 & 23: 11 & 23: 11 & 23: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}23: 11 & 23: 11 & 23: 12 & 23: 12 & 23: 12 & 23: 12 & 23: 12 & 23: 12 & 23: 13 & 23: 14 & 23: 14\end{array} \quad 23: 14 \quad 23: 14$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}23: 15 & 23: 15 & 23: 15 & 23: 15 & 23: 15 & 23: 15 & 23: 16 & 23: 16 & 23: 16 & 23: 17 & 23: 18\end{array} 23: 19 \quad 23: 19$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}23: 20 & 23: 20 & 23: 20 & 23: 21 & 23: 21 & 23: 21 & 23: 21 & 23: 22 & 23: 22 & 23: 22 & 23: 22 & 23: 23 \\ 23: 23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}23: 23 & 23: 24 & 23: 24 & 23: 24 & 23: 24 & 23: 24 & 23: 25 & 23: 25 & 23: 26 & 23: 26 & 23: 26 \\ 23: 26 & 23: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}23: 26 & 23: 26 & 23: 27 & 23: 27 & 23: 27 & 23: 27 & 23: 27 & 23: 28 & 23: 28 & 23: 28 & 23: 29\end{array} 23: 29 \quad 23: 30$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}23: 30 & 23: 30 & 23: 30 & 23: 31 & 23: 31 & 23: 31 & 23: 33 & 23: 33 & 23: 33 & 23: 34 & 23: 34 & 23: 34 \\ 23: 35\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}23: 35 & 23: 35 & 23: 35 & 23: 35 & 23: 35 & 24 & 24: 1 & 24: 1 & 24: 2 & 24: 2 & 24: 2 & 24: 3 & 24: 3 & 24: 4 \\ 24: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}24: 4 & 24: 4 & 24: 4 & 24: 4 & 24: 4 & 24: 4 & 24: 5 & 24: 5 & 24: 5 & 24: 5 & 24: 5 & 24: 5 & 24: 5-8 & 24: 6\end{array} 24: 6$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}24: 6 & 24: 7 & 24: 8 & 24: 8 & 24: 8 & 24: 8 & 24: 8 & 24: 9 & 24: 9 & 24: 9 & 24: 9 & 24: 10 & 24: 10\end{array} 24: 10 \quad 24: 11$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}24: 11 & 24: 11 & 24: 11 & 24: 12 & 24: 12 & 24: 13 & 24: 14 & 24: 14 & 24: 14 & 24: 14 & 24: 14 \\ 24: 15 & 24: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}24: 15 & 24: 15 & 24: 15 & 24: 15 & 24: 15 & 24: 15 & 24: 16 & 24: 16 & 24: 16 & 24: 16 & 24: 17 & 24: 17\end{array} 24: 17$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}24: 17 & 24: 18 & 24: 18 & 24: 18 & 24: 18 & 24: 19 & 24: 19 & 24: 19 & 24: 19 & 24: 19 & 24: 20 & 24: 20\end{array} \quad 24: 21$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}24: 21 & 24: 21 & 24: 21 & 24: 21 & 24: 22 & 24: 22 & 24: 22 & 24: 22 & 24: 23 & 24: 23 & 24: 23 & 24: 24\end{array} 24: 24$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}24: 24 & 24: 25 & 24: 25 & 24: 25 & 24: 25 & 24: 25 & 24: 25 & 24: 25 & 24: 26 & 24: 26 & 24: 26\end{array} 24: 26 \quad 24: 26$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}24: 27 & 24: 27 & 24: 27 & 24: 27 & 24: 27 & 25 & 25: 1 & 25: 2 & 25: 2 & 25: 3 & 25: 3 & 25: 3 & 25: 3 & 25: 4 \\ 25: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}25: 5 & 25: 5 & 25: 5 & 25: 6 & 25: 6 & 25: 6 & 25: 6 & 25: 7 & 25: 7 & 25: 7 & 25: 7 & 25: 8 & 25: 9\end{array} 25: 9 \quad 25: 9$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}25: 9 & 25: 9 & 25: 10 & 25: 10 & 25: 11 & 25: 11 & 25: 11 & 25: 11 & 25: 11 & 25: 12 & 25: 13 & 25: 13 & 25: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}25: 14 & 25: 14-16 & 25: 15 & 25: 15 & 25: 15 & 25: 16 & 25: 16 & 25: 16 & 25: 16 & 25: 16 & 25: 16\end{array} 25: 16$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}25: 16 & 25: 16 & 25: 16 & 25: 17 & 25: 17 & 25: 17 & 25: 17 & 25: 18 & 25: 18 & 25: 18 \\ 25: 18 & 25: 19 & 25: 19\end{array}$

```
25:20 25:21 25:21 25:21 25:22 25:22 25:22 25:22 25:22 25:23 25:23 25:23 25:24
25:24 25:25 25:25 25:25 25:25 25:25 25:26 25:26 25:26 25:26 25:27 25:27 25:27
26 26:1 26:1 26:1-32 26:2 26:2 26:3 26:3 26:4 26:4 26:4 26:5 26:5 26:5 26:5
26:5 26:5 26:6 26:6 26:6-8 26:6-8 26:7 26:7 26:7 26:8 26:8 26:8 26:9 26:9 26:9
26:9 26:9 26:9 26:10 26:10 26:10 26:10 26:10 26:10
26:11 26:11 26:11 26:11 26:11 26:11 26:11 26:11 26:11 26:12 26:12-20
26:13 26:13 26:14 26:14 26:14 26:14 26:14 26:14 26:14 26:14 26:14 26:14 26:14
26:14 26:15 26:16 26:16 26:16 26:16 26:16 26:16 26:16 26:17 26:17 26:17 26:18
26:18 26:18 26:18 26:18 26:19 26:19 26:19 26:19 26:20}26:20 26:21 26:21 26:21
26:21 26:22 26:22 26:23 26:23 26:23 26:23 26:24 26:24 26:24 26:24 26:24 26:24
26:24 26:24 26:25 26:25 26:25 26:26 26:26 26:26 26:27 26:28 26:28 26:28 26:28
26:28
27:1-44 27:2 27:2 27:2 27:2 27:2 27:2 27:3 27:3 27:3 27:4 27:4 27:4 27:4 27:4
27:5 27:6 27:7 27:7 27:7 27:8 27:8 27:9 27:9 27:9 27:10 27:10 27:10 27:11
27:11 27:11 27:11 27:12 27:12 27:12 27:12 27:12 27:12 27:12 27:12 27:12 27:13
27:13 27:13 27:13 27:13 27:13 27:13 27:13 27:14 27:14 27:14 27:15 27:15 27:15
27:16 27:16 27:17 27:17 27:17 27:17 27:18 27:18 27:20 27:20 27:20
27:20 27:21 27:21 27:21 27:21 27:21 27:21 27:22 27:22 27:22 27:22 27:22 27:23
27:23 27:23 27:23 27:24 27:24 27:24 27:24 27:24 27:25 27:25 27:26 27:27 27:27
27:27 27:27 27:27 27:28 27:28 27:29 27:29 27:29 27:30
27:30
27:36 27:36 27:37 27:37 27:37 27:38 27:38
27:40 27:40 27:40 27:40 27:40 27:40 27:41 27:41 27:41 27:41 27:41 27:42 27:42
27:43 27:43 27:43 27:43 27:43 27:44 27:44 27:44 28 28:1 28:1 28:1 28:1 28:1-31
28:1-31 28:2 28:2 28:2 28:2 28:2 28:2 28:3 28:3 28:3 28:3-5 28:4 28:4 28:4
28:4 28:4 28:4 28:4 28:5 28:6 28:6 28:6 28:6 28:6 28:7 28:7 28:8
28:8-10 28:9 28:9 28:10 28:11 28:11 28:11 28:11 28:11 28:12 28:12 28:12 28:13
28:13 28:13 28:13 28:14 28:14 28:15 28:15 28:15 28:16 28:16 28:16 28:17 28:17
28:18 28:18 28:18 28:19 28:19 28:19 28:20 28:20 28:20 28:20 28:20 28:20 28:21
28:21 28:21 28:21 28:22 28:23 28:23 28:23 28:23 28:23-28 28:24 28:24 28:24
28:25 28:26 28:26 28:28 28:28 28:28 28:29 28:30 28:30 28:30
```


## Romans

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1 & 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1-7 & 1: 1-7 & 1: 1-3: 31 & 1: 1-3: 31\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}1: 1: 5: 21 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 \\ 1: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9\end{array} 1: 9$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10-15 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 \\ 1: 11 & 1: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 & 1: 15\end{array} 1: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 \\ 1: 16 & 1: 16\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17-32\end{array} 1: 18$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18-23 & 1: 18-32 \\ 1: 18-32\end{array}$ 1:18-32 $\quad 1: 18-32 \quad 1: 18-32 \quad 1: 18-32 \quad 1: 18-32 \quad 1: 18-32 \quad 1: 18-2: 29 \quad 1: 18-3: 20 \quad 1: 18-5: 21$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19-23 & 1: 19-3: 21 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20\end{array} 1: 20 \quad 1: 20 \quad 1: 20$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & -23 \\ 1: 21 & 1: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 \\ 1: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 24 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 & 1: 25 & 1: 25 & 1: 25 & 1: 25 & 1: 25 & 1: 25 & 1: 25 \\ 1: 25 & 1: 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 25 & 1: 25 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 \\ 1: 27 & 1: 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 27 & 1: 28 & 1: 28 & 1: 28 & 1: 28 & 1: 28 & 1: 28 & 1: 28 & 1: 28 & 1: 28 & 1: 28 & 1: 28 & 1: 28 & 1: 28 \\ 1: 29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 29 & 1: 29 & 1: 29 & 1: 29 & 1: 29 & 1: 29-31 & 1: 29-31 & 1: 30 & 1: 30 & 1: 30 & 1: 30 & 1: 30\end{array} 1: 30 \quad 1: 30$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 30 & 1: 30 & 1: 30 & 1: 30 & 1: 30 & 1: 30 & 1: 31 & 1: 31 & 1: 31 & 1: 32 & 1: 32 & 1: 32 & 2 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 \\ 2: 1\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 \\ 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9-11 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 \\ 2: 11 & 2: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllll}2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12-16 & 2: 12-16 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllll}2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14-16 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 18 & 2: 19 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 21 & 2: 21 & \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 22 & 2: 22 & 2: 22 & 2: 23 & 2: 23 & 2: 23 & 2: 23 & 2: 23 & 2: 23 & 2: 24 & 2: 24 & 2: 24 & 2: 24 \\ 2: 25 & 2: 25\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}2: 27 & 2: 28 & 2: 28 & 2: 28 & 2: 29 & 2: 29 & 2: 29 & 2: 29 & 2: 29 & 2: 29 & 2: 29 & 2: 29 & 2: 29 & 3 & 3: 1 & 3: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 6 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15-17 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 19 & 3: 19 & 3: 19 & 3: 20 \\ 3: 20\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 22 & 3: 22 & 3: 22 & 3: 22 & 3: 23 & 3: 23 & 3: 24 & 3: 24 & 3: 24 & 3: 24 & 3: 24 & 3: 24 & 3: 24 & 3: 24 \\ 3: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 25 & 3: 25 & 3: 25 & 3: 25 & 3: 25 & 3: 25 & 3: 25 & 3: 25 & 3: 25 & 3: 25 & 3: 25 & 3: 25 & 3: 25 & 3: 25 \\ 3: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}3: 26 & 3: 26 & 3: 26 & 3: 26 & 3: 26 & 3: 27 & 3: 28 & 3: 28 & 3: 29 & 3: 30 & 3: 30 & 3: 30 & 3: 31 & 4 & 4: 1 & 4: 1\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 10 & 4: 10-12 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 11-16 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 13 & 4: 13 & 4: 13-17 & 4: 14 & 4: 15 \\ 4: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}4: 16 & 4: 16 & 4: 16 & 4: 17 & 4: 18 & 4: 18 & 4: 19 & 4: 19 & 4: 19 & 4: 19 & 4: 20 & 4: 20 & 4: 20\end{array} 4: 20$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}4: 20 & 4: 20 & 4: 20 & 4: 20 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 23 & 4: 24 & 4: 24 & 4: 25 & 4: 25 \\ 5 & 5: 1\end{array}$

 5:7 5:7 5:7 5:7 $5: 7 \quad 5: 7 \quad 5: 8 \quad 5: 8 \quad 5: 8 \quad 5: 8 \quad 5: 8 \quad 5: 8$ 5:8 $5: 8$ 5:9 $5: 9$ 5:9 $5: 10$ 5:10
 5:13 5:13 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:15 5:15 5:15-17 5:15-18 5:15-20
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}5: 16 & 5: 16 & 5: 16 & 5: 17 & 5: 17 & 5: 17 & 5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 & 5: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}5: 20 & 5: 20 & 5: 20 & 5: 20 & 5: 20 & 5: 20 & 5: 20 & 5: 20 & 5: 21 & 6 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1-14 & 6: 1-23 & 6: 1-7: 6 & 6: 1-7: 6 & 6: 1-8: 39 & 6: 1-8: 39 & 6: 1-8: 39 & 6: 1-8: 39 \\ 6: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2-6 & 6: 2-6 & 6: 2-6 & 6: 2-6 & 6: 3 & 6: 3 & 6: 3-6 & 6: 3-6 & 6: 3-6 & 6: 3-8 \\ 6: 4 & 6: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4-6 & 6: 4-6 & 6: 4-6 & 6: 5 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6-8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 8 & 6: 8 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 10 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 12 & 6: 13 \\ 6: 13 & 6: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 15-23 & 6: 16 \\ 6: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}6: 17 & 6: 17 & 6: 17 & 6: 17 & 6: 17 & 6: 17 & 6: 17 & 6: 17 & 6: 17 & 6: 18 & 6: 18 & 6: 18 & 6: 18 & 6: 18\end{array} \quad 6: 19$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}6: 19 & 6: 19 & 6: 19 & 6: 19 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 21 & 6: 21 & 6: 21 & 6: 21-23 & 6: 22 & 6: 22 \\ 6: 22\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}6: 22 & 6: 23 & 6: 23 & 6: 23 & 6: 23 & 7 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1-6 & 7: 1-25 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 \\ 7: 3 & 7: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 4 & 7: 4 & 7: 4 & 7: 4 & 7: 5 & 7: 5 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 7 & 7: 7 & 7: 7 & 7: 7 \\ 7: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}7: 7 & 7: 7-14 & 7: 7-24 & 7: 7-24 & 7: 7-24 & 7: 7-24 & 7: 7-8: 11 & 7: 8 & 7: 8 & 7: 8 & 7: 9 & 7: 10 \\ 7: 11 & 7: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 12 & 7: 12 & 7: 12 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 14 & 7: 14 & 7: 14 & 7: 14 & 7: 14 & 7: 14 \\ 7: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}7: 14 & 7: 15 & 7: 16 & 7: 17 & 7: 17 & 7: 18 & 7: 19 & 7: 20 & 7: 21 & 7: 21 & 7: 22 & 7: 22 & 7: 22 & 7: 22 & 7: 22\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 23 & 7: 23 & 7: 23 & 7: 24 & 7: 24 & 7: 24 & 7: 24 & 7: 24 & 7: 24 & 7: 25 & 7: 25 & 7: 25 & 7: 25 & 7: 25 \\ 7: 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}7: 25 & 7: 25 & 7: 25 & 7: 25 & 8 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1-11 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}8: 7 & 8: 8 & 8: 8 & 8: 8 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9-11 & 8: 9-11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}8: 10 & 8: 11 & 8: 11 & 8: 11 & 8: 11 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 14 & 8: 14 & 8: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}8: 14 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 15 & 8: 16 & 8: 16 & 8: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}8: 18 & 8: 18 & 8: 18 & 8: 18 & 8: 18 & 8: 19 & 8: 19 & 8: 19 & 8: 19 & 8: 19 & 8: 19 & 8: 19-23 & 8: 20 \\ 8: 20 & 8: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}8: 20 & 8: 20 & 8: 20 & 8: 20-22 & 8: 20-22 & 8: 21 & 8: 21 & 8: 22 & 8: 22 & 8: 22 & 8: 23 & 8: 23 & 8: 23 \\ 8: 23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}8: 23 & 8: 23 & 8: 23 & 8: 23 & 8: 23 & 8: 24 & 8: 24 & 8: 24 & 8: 24 & 8: 25 & 8: 25 & 8: 25 & 8: 26 \\ 8: 26 & 8: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}8: 26 & 8: 26 & 8: 26 & 8: 26 & 8: 26 & 8: 26 & 8: 26 & 8: 26 & 8: 26 & 8: 26-34 & 8: 27 & 8: 27 \\ 8: 27 & 8: 27 & 8: 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}8: 27 & 8: 27 & 8: 27 & 8: 27 & 8: 28 & 8: 28 & 8: 28 & 8: 28 & 8: 28 & 8: 28 & 8: 28 & 8: 28-30 & 8: 29 \\ 8: 29 & 8: 29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 \\ 8: 29 & 8: 29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 29 & 8: 30 & 8: 30 & 8: 31 & 8: 31-39 & 8: 31-39 & 8: 31-39 \\ 8: 32\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 32 & 8: 32 & 8: 32 & 8: 32 & 8: 32 & 8: 33 & 8: 33 & 8: 33 & 8: 33 & 8: 33 & 8: 33 & 8: 34 & 8: 34 & 8: 34 \\ 8: 34\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 34 & 8: 34 & 8: 34 & 8: 34 & 8: 35 & 8: 35 & 8: 35 & 8: 35 & 8: 35 & 8: 36 & 8: 36 & 8: 36 & 8: 36 & 8: 37 \\ 8: 37\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}8: 37 & 8: 38 & 8: 38 & 8: 38 & 8: 38 & 8: 38 & 8: 38 & 8: 39 & 8: 39 & 9 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 \\ 9: 1-5\end{array}$ 9:1-5 9:1-5 9:1-5 9:1-33 9:1-33 9:1-33 9:1-11:36 9:1-11:36 $9: 1-11: 36 \quad 9: 1-11: 36$
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}9: 3-5 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 \\ 9: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 6-13 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7-13 & 9: 8 & 9: 8 & 9: 8 & 9: 8 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 \\ 9: 10 & 9: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 12 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 14 & 9: 14 & 9: 14 & 9: 15 & 9: 16 \\ 9: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}9: 16 & 9: 16 & 9: 16-33 & 9: 17 & 9: 18 & 9: 18 & 9: 18 & 9: 18 & 9: 19 & 9: 19 & 9: 20 & 9: 20 \\ 9: 20 & 9: 20 & 9: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 20 & 9: 20 & 9: 20 & 9: 20 & 9: 21 & 9: 21 & 9: 21 & 9: 21 & 9: 21 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 \\ 9: 23 & 9: 23\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 24 & 9: 25 & 9: 25 & 9: 25 & 9: 26 & 9: 26 & 9: 26 & 9: 27 & 9: 27 & 9: 27 & 9: 28 & 9: 28 & 9: 29 & 9: 29 \\ 9: 29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}9: 30 & 9: 30 & 9: 30-33 & 9: 30-33 & 9: 30-33 & 9: 31 & 9: 31 & 9: 32 & 9: 32 & 9: 32 & 9: 33 \\ 9: 33 & 9: 33 & 9: 33\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}9: 33 & 9: 33 & 10 & 10: 1 & 10: 1-6 & 10: 2 & 10: 2 & 10: 2 & 10: 3 & 10: 4 & 10: 4 & 10: 4 & 10: 5 & 10: 6 & 10: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}10: 6 & 10: 6-8 & 10: 6-10 & 10: 6-12 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 8 & 10: 8 & 10: 9 & 10: 9 & 10: 9\end{array} 10: 9$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 11 & 10: 12 & 10: 12 & 10: 12 & 10: 12 & 10: 12 & 10: 12 \\ 10: 12-14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 13 & 10: 14 & 10: 14 & 10: 15 & 10: 16 & 10: 16 & 10: 16 & 10: 16 & 10: 16 & 10: 17 & 10: 18 & 10: 18 \\ 10: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}10: 18 & 10: 19 & 10: 19 & 10: 19 & 10: 19 & 10: 19-21 & 10: 20 & 10: 20 & 10: 20 & 10: 21\end{array} 10: 21 \quad 10: 21$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}10: 21 & 11 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 6 & 11: 6 & 11: 6 & 11: 7 & 11: 7 & 11: 7 & 11: 7 & 11: 8 & 11: 8 & 11: 8 & 11: 9 & 11: 9 & 11: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 9 & 11: 9 & 11: 9 & 11: 9 & 11: 10 & 11: 10 & 11: 11 & 11: 11 & 11: 11 & 11: 11 & 11: 11 \\ 11: 11-18 & 11: 11-24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 12 & 11: 12 & 11: 12 & 11: 12 & 11: 12 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 14 & 11: 15\end{array} 11: 15$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 15 & 11: 16 & 11: 16 & 11: 16 & 11: 17 & 11: 17 & 11: 17 & 11: 17 & 11: 17 & 11: 17 & 11: 18 & 11: 18\end{array} 11: 18$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 19 & 11: 20 & 11: 20 & 11: 20 & 11: 20 & 11: 21 & 11: 21 & 11: 22 & 11: 22 & 11: 22 & 11: 23 & 11: 23\end{array} 11: 24$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 24 & 11: 24 & 11: 24 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 \\ 11: 26 & 11: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 26 & 11: 26 & 11: 26 & 11: 26 & 11: 27 & 11: 27 & 11: 28 & 11: 28 & 11: 28 & 11: 29 & 11: 29\end{array} 11: 29 \quad 11: 30$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}11: 30 & 11: 30 & 11: 31 & 11: 32 & 11: 32 & 11: 32 & 11: 32 & 11: 32 & 11: 33 & 11: 33\end{array} 11: 3311: 33$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 33-36 & 11: 34 & 11: 34 & 11: 34 & 11: 35 & 11: 35 & 11: 35 & 11: 35 & 11: 35 & 11: 36 & 11: 36\end{array} 11: 36$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 36 & 11: 36 & 11: 36 & 11: 36 & 11: 36 & 11: 36 & 11: 36 & 11: 36 & 11: 36 & 11: 36 & 12 & 12: 1 \\ 12: 1 & 12: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1-11 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 \\ 12: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 \\ 12: 3 & 12: 4 & 12: 4-6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}12: 5 & 12: 5 & 12: 5 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 6-9 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 \\ 12: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}12: 8 & 12: 8 & 12: 8 & 12: 8 & 12: 8 & 12: 9 & 12: 9 & 12: 9 & 12: 9 & 12: 9 & 12: 9 & 12: 10 & 12: 10\end{array} 12: 10 \quad 12: 11$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 11 & 12: 11 & 12: 11 & 12: 11 & 12: 11 & 12: 11 & 12: 11-13 & 12: 12 & 12: 13 & 12: 13 & 12: 13\end{array} 12: 13$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 13 & 12: 14 & 12: 14 & 12: 15 & 12: 15 & 12: 15 & 12: 15 & 12: 15 & 12: 16 & 12: 16 & 12: 16\end{array} 12: 16 \quad 12: 16$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}12: 16 & 12: 16-18 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 12: 18 & 12: 18 & 12: 18 \\ 12: 19 & 12: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}12: 19 & 12: 19 & 12: 19 & 12: 20 & 12: 20 & 12: 20 & 12: 21 & 12: 21 & 12: 21 & 13 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 \\ 13: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1-8 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 \\ 13: 4 & 13: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13: 4 & 13: 4 & 13: 5 & 13: 5 & 13: 5 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 & 13: 7 & 13: 7 & 13: 8 & 13: 8 \\ 13: 8 & 13: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 8 & 13: 8 & 13: 9 & 13: 9 & 13: 9 & 13: 9 & 13: 9 & 13: 10 & 13: 10 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 12\end{array} 13: 12 \quad 13: 12$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 12 & 13: 12 & 13: 12 & 13: 12 & 13: 12 & 13: 12 & 13: 12 & 13: 12 & 13: 12 & 13: 12 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 \\ 13: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 14 & 13: 14 & 13: 14 & 13: 14 & 14 & 14: 1 & 14: 1 \\ 14: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 1 & 14: 1 & 14: 1 & 14: 1 & 14: 1-23 & 14: 1-23 & 14: 1-23 & 14: 2 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 4 \\ 14: 4 & 14: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}14: 4 & 14: 4 & 14: 4 & 14: 4 & 14: 5 & 14: 5 & 14: 5 & 14: 5 & 14: 5 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 7 & 14: 7 & 14: 8 \\ 14: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 8 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 10 & 14: 10 & 14: 10 & 14: 11 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13\end{array} 14: 13$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}14: 13 & 14: 14 & 14: 14 & 14: 14 & 14: 14 & 14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 16 & 14: 17 & 14: 17 \\ 14: 17 & 14: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}14: 17 & 14: 18 & 14: 19 & 14: 19 & 14: 19 & 14: 19 & 14: 20 & 14: 20 & 14: 20 & 14: 20 & 14: 20 \\ 14: 21 & 14: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 21 & 14: 22 & 14: 22 & 14: 22 & 14: 23 & 14: 23 & 14: 23 & 14: 23 & 15 & 15: 1 & 15: 1 & 15: 1 \\ 15: 1-8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15: 1-33 & 15: 1-33 & 15: 1-33 & 15: 1-33 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 3 & 15: 3 & 15: 3 & 15: 3\end{array} 15: 315: 3$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}15: 4 & 15: 4 & 15: 4 & 15: 4 & 15: 4 & 15: 4 & 15: 5 & 15: 5 & 15: 5 & 15: 5 & 15: 5 & 15: 5 & 15: 6 & 15: 6\end{array} 15: 6$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 7 & 15: 7 & 15: 8 & 15: 8 & 15: 9 & 15: 9 & 15: 9 & 15: 9-21 & 15: 10 & 15: 11 & 15: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 12 & 15: 12 & 15: 13 & 15: 13 & 15: 13 & 15: 14 & 15: 15 & 15: 15 & 15: 16 & 15: 16 & 15: 16 & 15: 16\end{array} 15: 16$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 16 & 15: 17 & 15: 17 & 15: 17 & 15: 18 & 15: 19 & 15: 19 & 15: 19 & 15: 19 & 15: 19 & 15: 20 & 15: 20 \\ 15: 20\end{array}$ $15: 20 \quad 15: 20 \quad 15: 21 \quad 15: 22 \quad 15: 22 \quad 15: 22 \quad 15: 22 \quad 15: 22 \quad 15: 22-28 \quad 15: 22-29 \quad 15: 22-33$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}15: 23 & 15: 23 & 15: 23-29 & 15: 23-29 & 15: 24 & 15: 24 & 15: 24 & 15: 24 & 15: 24 & 15: 24 \\ 15: 24 & 15: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15: 24 & 15: 24 & 15: 25 & 15: 25 & 15: 25 & 15: 25 & 15: 26 & 15: 26 & 15: 26 & 15: 26 & 15: 27\end{array} 15: 27 \quad 15: 28$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 28 & 15: 28 & 15: 29 & 15: 30 & 15: 30 & 15: 31 & 15: 31 & 15: 32 & 15: 33 & 15: 33 & 15: 33 & 16\end{array} 16: 1$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1-27 & 16: 1-27 & 16: 1-27 & 16: 2\end{array} 16: 2 \quad 16: 2$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}16: 2 & 16: 3 & 16: 3 & 16: 3 & 16: 3 & 16: 3 & 16: 3-5 & 16: 3-16 & 16: 4 & 16: 4 & 16: 4 & 16: 4 & 16: 4 \\ 16: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}16: 5 & 16: 5 & 16: 5 & 16: 5 & 16: 5 & 16: 5 & 16: 5 & 16: 5 & 16: 6 & 16: 6 & 16: 7 & 16: 7 & 16: 7 & 16: 8 \\ 16: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 10 & 16: 11 & 16: 11 & 16: 12 & 16: 12 & 16: 13 & 16: 13 & 16: 14 & 16: 14 & 16: 15\end{array} 16: 15$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 16 & 16: 16 & 16: 17 & 16: 17 & 16: 17 & 16: 17 & 16: 17 & 16: 18 & 16: 18 & 16: 18 & 16: 18 & 16: 19\end{array} 16: 19$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}16: 19 & 16: 20 & 16: 20 & 16: 20 & 16: 20 & 16: 20 & 16: 20 & 16: 20 & 16: 21 & 16: 21-23 & 16: 22\end{array} 16: 22$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}16: 22 & 16: 22 & 16: 22 & 16: 23 & 16: 23 & 16: 23 & 16: 23 & 16: 23 & 16: 23 & 16: 23 & 16: 24 \\ 16: 25 & 16: 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}16: 25 & 16: 25 & 16: 25 & 16: 25 & 16: 25 & 16: 25 & 16: 25 & 16: 25 & 16: 25 & 16: 25 & 16: 25\end{array} 16: 25 \quad 16: 25$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}16: 25 & 16: 25-27 & 16: 25-27 & 16: 25-27 & 16: 26 & 16: 26 & 16: 26 & 16: 26 & 16: 26 & 16: 27 \\ 16: 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}16: 27 & 16: 27 & 16: 27 & 16: 27 & 16: 27 & 16: 27\end{array}$

## 1 Corinthians

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1-4: 21 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 \\ 1: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4-9 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5-7 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 \\ 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 10\end{array} 1: 10$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10-12 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12\end{array} 1: 12$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 12-4: 21 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14-17 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 16 \\ 1: 16 & 1: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 \\ 1: 18 & 1: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 18-24 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 22\end{array} 1: 23 \quad 1: 23$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23-25 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 \\ 1: 24 & 1: 25 & 1: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26-28 & 1: 26-31 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 \\ 1: 28 & 1: 28 & 1: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 29 & 1: 29 & 1: 30 & 1: 30 & 1: 30 & 1: 31 & 1: 31 & 1: 31 & 1: 31 & 2 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 \\ 2: 1 & 2: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1-5 & 2: 1-5 & 2: 1-3: 20 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 \\ 2: 4 & 2: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6-10 & 2: 6-13 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 \\ 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 \\ 2: 9 & 2: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 \\ 2: 13 & 2: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14\end{array} 2: 15 \quad 2: 15$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 3 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1-23 \\ 3: 2 & 3: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4-17 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 \\ 3: 5 & 3: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5-23 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 \\ 3: 9 & 3: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9-17 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 \\ 3: 10 & 3: 10-14\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 \\ 3: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 14 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 \\ 3: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 19 & 3: 19\end{array} 3: 19$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 19 & 3: 20 & 3: 20 & 3: 20 & 3: 21 & 3: 21 & 3: 21 & 3: 21 & 3: 22 & 3: 22 & 3: 22 & 3: 22 & 3: 22 & 3: 22 \\ 3: 23 & 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1-21 & 4: 2 & 4: 2 & 4: 2 & 4: 2 & 4: 2 & 4: 2 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 4\end{array} 4: 4$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}4: 5 & 4: 5 & 4: 5 & 4: 5 & 4: 5 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 7 \\ 4: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 10 & 4: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 11-13 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 13 & 4: 14\end{array} 4: 14 \quad 4: 14$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}4: 15 & 4: 15 & 4: 15 & 4: 15 & 4: 16 & 4: 16 & 4: 17 & 4: 17 & 4: 17 & 4: 17 & 4: 17 & 4: 17 & 4: 17-21 & 4: 18\end{array} 4: 18$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}4: 19 & 4: 19 & 4: 19 & 4: 19 & 4: 20 & 4: 20 & 4: 20 & 4: 20 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 5 & 5: 1 \\ 5: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}5: 1 & 5: 1-13 & 5: 1-13 & 5: 1-13 & 5: 1-13 & 5: 1-13 & 5: 2 & 5: 2 & 5: 2 & 5: 3 & 5: 3 & 5: 3-5 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 \\ 5: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}5: 5 & 5: 5 & 5: 5 & 5: 5 & 5: 6 & 5: 6 & 5: 6 & 5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 \\ 5: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}5: 9: 9: 9: 10 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 11 \\ 5: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 13 & 6 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1-11 & 6: 1-11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}6: 1-20 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 3 & 6: 3 & 6: 3 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6\end{array} \quad 6: 6$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}6: 6 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 8 & 6: 8 & 6: 8 & 6: 8 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 \\ 6: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 \\ 6: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}6: 13 & 6: 13-17 & 6: 14 & 6: 15 & 6: 16 & 6: 17 & 6: 17 & 6: 18 & 6: 18 & 6: 18 & 6: 19 & 6: 19 \\ 6: 19 & 6: 19 & 6: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 7 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1-40\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}7: 1-40 & 7: 1-40 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 2-7 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 4 & 7: 5 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 7 & 7: 7 & 7: 7 & 7: 7 \\ 7: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}7: 8 & 7: 8 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 10 & 7: 10 & 7: 10 & 7: 11 & 7: 12 & 7: 12 & 7: 12 & 7: 12 & 7: 12-16 & 7: 13 & 7: 14 \\ 7: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 16 & 7: 16 & 7: 17 & 7: 18 & 7: 18 & 7: 19 & 7: 19 & 7: 20 & 7: 20 & 7: 21 & 7: 22 & 7: 22 \\ 7: 23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 23 & 7: 23 & 7: 24 & 7: 25 & 7: 25 & 7: 25 & 7: 25 & 7: 25 & 7: 26 & 7: 26 & 7: 26 & 7: 26 & 7: 26 & 7: 26 \\ 7: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 27 & 7: 27 & 7: 28 & 7: 28 & 7: 28 & 7: 29 & 7: 29 & 7: 29 & 7: 29 & 7: 29 & 7: 29 & 7: 29 & 7: 29 & 7: 29 \\ 7: 30\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}7: 31 & 7: 31 & 7: 31 & 7: 31 & 7: 31 & 7: 31 & 7: 32 & 7: 32 & 7: 32 & 7: 32 & 7: 32 & 7: 32-34 & 7: 33 \\ 7: 33 & 7: 33\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}7: 33 & 7: 34 & 7: 34 & 7: 35 & 7: 36 & 7: 36 & 7: 36 & 7: 36 & 7: 37 & 7: 37 & 7: 37 & 7: 38 & 7: 39 & 7: 39 & 7: 40 \\ 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1-13 & 8: 1-13 & 8: 1-13 & 8: 1-9: 27 & 8: 1-10: 33 & 8: 1-10: 33\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}8: 1-10: 33 & 8: 1-10: 33 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 3 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 \\ 8: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}8: 5 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 \\ 8: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}8: 7-12 & 8: 8 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 11 & 8: 11 & 8: 12 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 13 & 9 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1-3 & 9: 1-27 & 9: 1-27 & 9: 1-27 & 9: 1-27 \\ 9: 2 & 9: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 3 & 9: 3 & 9: 3 & 9: 3 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 \\ 9: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 \\ 9: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}9: 8 & 9: 8 & 9: 8 & 9: 8 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 \\ 9: 11 & 9: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 12 & 9: 12 & 9: 12 & 9: 12 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 14 & 9: 14 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 16 \\ 9: 16 & 9: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 16 & 9: 17 & 9: 17 & 9: 17 & 9: 17 & 9: 17 & 9: 17 & 9: 18 & 9: 18 & 9: 18 & 9: 19 & 9: 19 & 9: 19\end{array} 9: 20 \quad 9: 20$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 21 & 9: 21 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 22 & 9: 23 & 9: 23 & 9: 24 & 9: 24 & 9: 24 & 9: 24 & 9: 25 \\ 9: 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 25 & 9: 25 & 9: 25 & 9: 25 & 9: 25 & 9: 25 & 9: 25 & 9: 26 & 9: 26 & 9: 26 & 9: 27 & 9: 27 & 9: 27 \\ 9: 27 & 9: 27\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}9: 27 & 9: 27 & 9: 27 & 9: 27 & 9: 27 & 9: 27 & 9: 27 & 10 & 10: 1 & 10: 2 & 10: 2 & 10: 3 & 10: 3 & 10: 3 & 10: 3 \\ 10: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}10: 4 & 10: 5 & 10: 5 & 10: 5-11 & 10: 6 & 10: 6 & 10: 6 & 10: 6 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 8 \\ 10: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 9 & 10: 9 & 10: 9 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 11 & 10: 11 & 10: 11 & 10: 11 & 10: 11 & 10: 11\end{array} 10: 12$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}10: 13 & 10: 13 & 10: 13 & 10: 13 & 10: 13 & 10: 13 & 10: 14 & 10: 14-22 & 10: 14-22 & 10: 15 \\ 10: 15 & 10: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 16 & 10: 16 & 10: 16 & 10: 17 & 10: 17 & 10: 18 & 10: 19 & 10: 19 & 10: 19 & 10: 19-21 & 10: 20\end{array} 10: 20$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 20 & 10: 20 & 10: 20 & 10: 21 & 10: 21 & 10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 23 & 10: 23 & 10: 23 \\ 10: 23 & 10: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 25 & 10: 25 & 10: 25 & 10: 25 & 10: 25 & 10: 26 & 10: 26 & 10: 27 & 10: 27 & 10: 28 & 10: 28 & 10: 28 \\ 10: 29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 30 & 10: 31 & 10: 32 & 10: 32 & 10: 32 & 10: 32 & 10: 32 & 10: 32 & 10: 33 & 10: 33 & 10: 33 & 10: 33 \\ 10: 33\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}11 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1-16 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2-16\end{array} 11: 311: 3$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}11: 3 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 6 & 11: 6 & 11: 6 & 11: 6 & 11: 6 & 11: 6\end{array} 11: 7$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 7 & 11: 7 & 11: 8 & 11: 9 & 11: 10 & 11: 10 & 11: 11 & 11: 12 & 11: 13 & 11: 14 & 11: 15\end{array} 11: 15 \quad 11: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 16 & 11: 16 & 11: 16 & 11: 16 & 11: 16 & 11: 16 & 11: 17 & 11: 17-34 & 11: 17-34 & 11: 18 & 11: 18\end{array} 11: 18$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 18 & 11: 18 & 11: 18 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 20 & 11: 20 & 11: 20 \\ 11: 20 & 11: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 20 & 11: 21 & 11: 22 & 11: 22 & 11: 22 & 11: 22 & 11: 23 & 11: 23 & 11: 23 & 11: 23 & 11: 23\end{array} 11: 2311: 23$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}11: 23 & 11: 23-26 & 11: 24 & 11: 24 & 11: 24 & 11: 24 & 11: 24 & 11: 24 & 11: 24 & 11: 24\end{array} 11: 24-27 \quad 11: 25$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 26 & 11: 26 & 11: 27 & 11: 28 & 11: 29 & 11: 29 \\ 11: 29 & 11: 30 & 11: 30\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}11: 31 & 11: 32 & 11: 32 & 11: 33 & 11: 34 & 11: 34 & 12 & 12 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1-31 & 12: 1-31\end{array}$ $12: 1-31 \quad 12: 1-31 \quad 12: 1-14: 40 \quad 12: 1-14: 40 \quad 12: 1-14: 40 \quad 12: 1-14: 40 \quad 12: 1-14: 40 \quad 12: 1-14: 40$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 \\ 12: 3 & 12: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 4 & 12: 4 & 12: 4 & 12: 4 & 12: 4 & 12: 4-11 & 12: 4-30 & 12: 5 \\ 12: 5 & 12: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 8 & 12: 8 & 12: 8-10 & 12: 9 & 12: 9 & 12: 9 & 12: 10\end{array} \quad 12: 10$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 10 & 12: 10 & 12: 10 & 12: 10 & 12: 10 & 12: 11 & 12: 11 & 12: 11 & 12: 12 & 12: 12 & 12: 12 & 12: 12\end{array} 12: 13$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 14 & 12: 14 & 12: 15 & 12: 15 & 12: 15 & 12: 16 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 12: 18 & 12: 18\end{array} 12: 18$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 18 & 12: 19 & 12: 20 & 12: 21 & 12: 22 & 12: 22 & 12: 23 & 12: 23 & 12: 23 & 12: 23 & 12: 24 & 12: 25\end{array} \quad 12: 25$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 26 & 12: 26 & 12: 27 & 12: 27 & 12: 27 & 12: 27 & 12: 28 & 12: 28 & 12: 28 & 12: 28 & 12: 28 \\ 12: 28 & 12: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}12: 28 & 12: 28 & 12: 28 & 12: 28 & 12: 29 & 12: 29-31 & 12: 30 & 12: 30 & 12: 31 & 12: 31\end{array} 12: 31 \quad 12: 31$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}12: 31 & 12: 31 & 12: 31 & 12: 31 & 12: 31 & 12: 31 & 13 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1-13 & 13: 1-13\end{array}$ 13:1-13 $\quad 13: 1-13 \quad 13: 1-13 \quad 13: 1-13 ~ 13: 1-13 ~ 13: 1-13 ~ 13: 1-13 ~ 13: 1-13 ~ 13: 1-13 ~ 13: 1-13$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 4 & 13: 4 & 13: 4 & 13: 4-7 & 13: 5 & 13: 5 \\ 13: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}13: 5 & 13: 5 & 13: 5 & 13: 5 & 13: 5 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 & 13: 7 & 13: 7 & 13: 7 & 13: 7 & 13: 7 & 13: 8 \\ 13: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 8 & 13: 9 & 13: 9 & 13: 9 & 13: 10 & 13: 10 & 13: 10 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 12\end{array} 13: 12$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 12 & 13: 12 & 13: 12 & 13: 12 & 13: 12 & 13: 12 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 14\end{array} 14: 1$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}14: 1 & 14: 1 & 14: 1 & 14: 1-33 & 14: 1-33 & 14: 1-40 & 14: 1-40 & 14: 1-40 & 14: 1-40 & 14: 1-40\end{array} 14: 2$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}14: 2 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 4 & 14: 4 & 14: 4-19 & 14: 5 & 14: 5 & 14: 5 & 14: 5 & 14: 5 & 14: 6 & 14: 6\end{array} 14: 6-19$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}14: 7 & 14: 7 & 14: 7 & 14: 7 & 14: 7 & 14: 7 & 14: 7 & 14: 8 & 14: 8 & 14: 8 & 14: 8 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 10\end{array} 14: 10$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 10 & 14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 14 & 14: 14 & 14: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 16 & 14: 16 & 14: 16 & 14: 16 & 14: 16 & 14: 17 & 14: 18 & 14: 18 & 14: 19 & 14: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 19 & 14: 19 & 14: 19 & 14: 20 & 14: 20 & 14: 20 & 14: 20 & 14: 20 & 14: 21 & 14: 21 & 14: 22 & 14: 22\end{array} 14: 23$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}14: 23 & 14: 23 & 14: 23 & 14: 23 & 14: 24 & 14: 24 & 14: 24 & 14: 24 & 14: 24 & 14: 25 & 14: 25\end{array} 14: 26 \quad 14: 26$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 26 & 14: 26 & 14: 26 & 14: 26 & 14: 27 & 14: 27 & 14: 27 & 14: 27 & 14: 28 & 14: 28 & 14: 29 & 14: 29\end{array} 14: 30$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}14: 30 & 14: 31 & 14: 31 & 14: 32 & 14: 32 & 14: 33 & 14: 33 & 14: 33 & 14: 33 & 14: 33-35 & 14: 34\end{array} 14: 34-40$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}14: 35 & 14: 36 & 14: 36 & 14: 37 & 14: 40 & 14: 40 & 14: 40 & 15 & 15: 1 & 15: 1 & 15: 1 & 15: 1 & 15: 1-8 & 15: 1-11\end{array}$ $15: 1-11 \quad 15: 1-58 \quad 15: 1-58 \quad 15: 1-58 \quad 15: 1-58 \quad 15: 1-58 \quad 15: 2 \quad 15: 2 \quad 15: 2 \quad 15: 2 \quad 15: 2 \quad 15: 3$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}15: 3 & 15: 3 & 15: 3 & 15: 3 & 15: 3 & 15: 3 & 15: 3-4 & 15: 4 & 15: 4 & 15: 4 & 15: 4 & 15: 5 & 15: 5 & 15: 5\end{array} 15: 5$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}15: 5-7 & 15: 5-8 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 7 & 15: 7 & 15: 8 & 15: 8 \\ 15: 8 & 15: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 8 & 15: 8 & 15: 9 & 15: 9 & 15: 9 & 15: 9 & 15: 9 & 15: 9 & 15: 9 & 15: 10 & 15: 10 & 15: 10 \\ 15: 11 & 15: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15: 12 & 15: 12-20 & 15: 13 & 15: 13 & 15: 14 & 15: 14 & 15: 14 & 15: 14 & 15: 14 & 15: 15 & 15: 15\end{array} 15: 16$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15: 17 & 15: 17 & 15: 17 & 15: 18 & 15: 18 & 15: 18 & 15: 18 & 15: 19 & 15: 19 & 15: 19 & 15: 19\end{array} 15: 20 \quad 15: 20$
 $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15: 23 & 15: 23 & 15: 23 & 15: 23 & 15: 23-28 & 15: 24 & 15: 24 & 15: 24 & 15: 24 & 15: 24 & 15: 24 \\ 15: 24-28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15: 25 & 15: 26 & 15: 26 & 15: 26 & 15: 26 & 15: 27 & 15: 27 & 15: 27 & 15: 27 & 15: 28 & 15: 28 \\ 15: 28 & 15: 29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 29 & 15: 29 & 15: 30 & 15: 30 & 15: 30 & 15: 30 & 15: 30 & 15: 31 & 15: 31 & 15: 31 & 15: 31 & 15: 32 \\ 15: 32\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 32 & 15: 32 & 15: 32 & 15: 32 & 15: 32 & 15: 32 & 15: 32 & 15: 32 & 15: 33 & 15: 33 & 15: 34 & 15: 34 \\ 15: 34\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15: 35 & 15: 35 & 15: 36 & 15: 36 & 15: 36 & 15: 36 & 15: 37 & 15: 37 & 15: 38 & 15: 38-54 & 15: 39\end{array} 15: 39$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 40 & 15: 40 & 15: 40 & 15: 41 & 15: 42 & 15: 42 & 15: 42 & 15: 43 & 15: 44 & 15: 44 & 15: 44 & 15: 44 \\ 15: 45\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}15: 45 & 15: 45 & 15: 46 & 15: 46 & 15: 47 & 15: 48 & 15: 48 & 15: 48-53 & 15: 49 & 15: 49 \\ 15: 50 & 15: 50\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 50 & 15: 50 & 15: 51 & 15: 51 & 15: 51 & 15: 52 & 15: 52 & 15: 52 & 15: 53 & 15: 53 & 15: 53 & 15: 54 \\ 15: 54\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 54 & 15: 54 & 15: 54 & 15: 55 & 15: 55 & 15: 55 & 15: 55 & 15: 56 & 15: 56 & 15: 56 & 15: 57 & 15: 57 \\ 15: 57\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}15: 57 & 15: 58 & 15: 58 & 16 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1-4 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}16: 2 & 16: 3 & 16: 3 & 16: 3 & 16: 3 & 16: 3 & 16: 4 & 16: 5 & 16: 5 & 16: 5-7 & 16: 6 & 16: 6 & 16: 6 & 16: 6 \\ 16: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}16: 6 & 16: 6 & 16: 6 & 16: 6 & 16: 7 & 16: 7 & 16: 7 & 16: 7 & 16: 7 & 16: 7 & 16: 7 & 16: 8 & 16: 8 & 16: 8 \\ 16: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}16: 8 & 16: 8 & 16: 8 & 16: 8 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 10 & 16: 10 & 16: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}16: 10-11 & 16: 11 & 16: 11 & 16: 11 & 16: 11 & 16: 11 & 16: 11 & 16: 12 & 16: 12 & 16: 12 & 16: 12 \\ 16: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 13 & 16: 13 & 16: 15 & 16: 15 & 16: 15 & 16: 15 & 16: 15 & 16: 15 & 16: 15 & 16: 15 & 16: 15 & 16: 15\end{array} 16: 16$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}16: 16 & 16: 16 & 16: 16 & 16: 17 & 16: 17 & 16: 17 & 16: 17 & 16: 17 & 16: 17 & 16: 17 & 16: 18 & 16: 18 & 16: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 18 & 16: 19 & 16: 19 & 16: 19 & 16: 19 & 16: 19 & 16: 19 & 16: 19 & 16: 19 & 16: 19 & 16: 20 & 16: 20\end{array} \quad 16: 20$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 20 & 16: 21 & 16: 21 & 16: 21 & 16: 21 & 16: 21 & 16: 21 & 16: 22 & 16: 22 & 16: 22 & 16: 22 & 16: 22\end{array} 16: 22$ 16:22 16:23

## 2 Corinthians

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1-24 & 1: 1-7: 16 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 \\ 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3-7 & 1: 3-7 & 1: 3-7 & 1: 3-7 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4-10 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 \\ 1: 13 & 1: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15-22 & 1: 15-23 \\ 1: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 \\ 1: 21 & 1: 22\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 \\ 1: 24 & 2 & 2: 1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}2: 1-11 & 2: 1-17 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 \\ 2: 4 & 2: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5-11 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 11\end{array} 2: 11$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 \\ 2: 12 & 2: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12-6: 10 & 2: 12-6: 10 & 2: 12-6: 10 & 2: 12-6: 10 & 2: 12-7: 1 & 2: 13\end{array} 2: 13$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13-7: 16 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15\end{array} 2: 16$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 3 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1-6 & 3: 2 \\ 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 \\ 3: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 7-18 & 3: 8 & 3: 9 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 \\ 3: 10 & 3: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 15 & 3: 16 \\ 3: 16 & 3: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}3: 17 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 4 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1\end{array} 4: 1$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 2 & 4: 2 & 4: 2 & 4: 2 & 4: 2 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4\end{array} 4: 4$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 5 & 4: 5 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6\end{array} 4: 6$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 7-10 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 9\end{array} 4: 9$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}4: 9 & 4: 10 & 4: 10 & 4: 10 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 13 & 4: 14 & 4: 15 & 4: 16 & 4: 16 & 4: 16\end{array} 4: 16$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}4: 16 & 4: 16 & 4: 16 & 4: 16 & 4: 16 & 4: 16-18 & 4: 17 & 4: 17 & 4: 17 & 4: 17 & 4: 18 & 4: 18 & 4: 18 & 4: 18 & 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 2 & 5: 2 & 5: 2 & 5: 2 & 5: 3 & 5: 3 & 5: 3 & 5: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 6 & 5: 6 & 5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 \\ 5: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}5: 10-12 & 5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 13 & 5: 13 & 5: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 15 & 5: 15 & 5: 15 & 5: 16 & 5: 16 & 5: 16 & 5: 17 \\ 5: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5: 17 & 5: 17 & 5: 17-19 & 5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 18-20 & 5: 18-20 & 5: 18-20 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 & 5: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}5: 19 & 5: 19-21 & 5: 20 & 5: 20 & 5: 20 & 5: 21 & 5: 21 & 5: 21 & 5: 21 & 6 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2\end{array} \quad 6: 3$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}6: 3 & 6: 3 & 6: 3-10 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4-6 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6\end{array} 6: 6 \quad 6: 6$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 8 & 6: 8 & 6: 8 & 6: 8 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9\end{array} 6: 9-10$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 16 & 6: 16 & 6: 16 & 6: 16 & 6: 16 & 6: 16 \\ 6: 16 & 6: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}6: 18 & 6: 18 & 6: 18 & 7 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 4 & 7: 4 \\ 7: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}7: 5 & 7: 5 & 7: 5 & 7: 5 & 7: 5 & 7: 5 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 6 & 7: 6-15 & 7: 7 & 7: 7 & 7: 7 & 7: 8 & 7: 8 & 7: 8 & 7: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}7: 8 & 7: 8-12 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9-11 & 7: 10 & 7: 10 & 7: 10 & 7: 10 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 12 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 14 & 7: 14 & 7: 14 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15\end{array} 7: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}7: 16 & 7: 16 & 7: 16 & 8 & 8: 1 & 8: 1-7 & 8: 1-15 & 8: 1-24 & 8: 1-24 & 8: 1-24 & 8: 1-24 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 \\ 8: 2 & 8: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 3 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 \\ 8: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4-7 & 8: 5 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6-24 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 7-11 & 8: 8 & 8: 8 & 8: 8 & 8: 8 \\ 8: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 11 & 8: 11-19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 14 & 8: 14 & 8: 14 & 8: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}8: 16 & 8: 17 & 8: 17 & 8: 18 & 8: 18 & 8: 18-23 & 8: 19 & 8: 19 & 8: 19 & 8: 19 & 8: 19-23 & 8: 20 & 8: 20 \\ 8: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 21 & 8: 21 & 8: 21 & 8: 21 & 8: 21 & 8: 22 & 8: 22 & 8: 23 & 8: 23 & 8: 23 & 8: 23 & 8: 23 & 8: 23 & 8: 23 \\ 8: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}8: 24 & 8: 24 & 9 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1-5 & 9: 1-5 & 9: 1-7 & 9: 1-15 & 9: 1-15 & 9: 1-15 & 9: 1-15 & 9: 1-12: 21\end{array} 9: 2$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 3 & 9: 3 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 8 \\ 9: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 8 & 9: 8 & 9: 8 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 \\ 9: 10-14 & 9: 11 & 9: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 11-13 & 9: 12 & 9: 12 & 9: 12 & 9: 12 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 \\ 9: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 14 & 9: 14 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 10 & 10: 1 \\ 10: 1 & 10: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1-18 & 10: 1-18 & 10: 1-12: 21 & 10: 1-12: 21 & 10: 1-13: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 1-13: 14 & 10: 1-13: 14 & 10: 2 & 10: 2 & 10: 2 & 10: 3 & 10: 3 & 10: 3 & 10: 3 & 10: 3 & 10: 4 & 10: 4 \\ 10: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}10: 4 & 10: 5 & 10: 5 & 10: 5 & 10: 5 & 10: 6 & 10: 6 & 10: 6 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 8 & 10: 9 & 10: 10 & 10: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 10-13 & 10: 11 & 10: 11 & 10: 11\end{array} 10: 12$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 12 & 10: 13 & 10: 13 & 10: 14 & 10: 14 & 10: 14 & 10: 14 & 10: 14 & 10: 15 & 10: 15 & 10: 15 & 10: 15\end{array} 10: 15$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 16 & 10: 16 & 10: 16 & 10: 17 & 10: 17 & 10: 18 & 10: 18 & 10: 18 & 10: 18 & 10: 18 & 11 & 11: 1 \\ 11: 1 & 11: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 1 & 11: 1-33 & 11: 1-33 & 11: 1-33 & 11: 1-33 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 2\end{array} 11: 2 \quad 11: 2$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 \\ 11: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 6 & 11: 6 & 11: 6 & 11: 6 & 11: 6 & 11: 7 & 11: 7 & 11: 7 & 11: 7 \\ 10: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 7 & 11: 7-10 & 11: 7-11 & 11: 8 & 11: 8 & 11: 8 & 11: 8 & 11: 8 & 11: 8 & 11: 9 & 11: 9 & 11: 9\end{array} 11: 911: 9$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 9 & 11: 9 & 11: 10 & 11: 10 & 11: 10 & 11: 10 & 11: 10 & 11: 10 & 11: 11 & 11: 12 & 11: 12\end{array} 11: 12 \quad 11: 12-15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 13-15\end{array} 11: 13-15$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}11: 13-15 & 11: 14 & 11: 14 & 11: 15 & 11: 15 & 11: 16 & 11: 16-33 & 11: 16-33 & 11: 17 & 11: 17\end{array} 11: 18$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}11: 18 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 20 & 11: 20 & 11: 20 & 11: 20 & 11: 21 & 11: 21 \\ 11: 22 & 11: 22 & 11: 22\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 23 & 11: 23 & 11: 23 & 11: 23 & 11: 23 & 11: 23 & 11: 23 & 11: 23 & 11: 23 & 11: 24 & 11: 24 \\ 11: 24 & 11: 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 26 & 11: 26 & 11: 26 & 11: 26\end{array} 11: 26 \quad 11: 2611: 27$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 27 & 11: 27 & 11: 27 & 11: 28 & 11: 28 & 11: 28 & 11: 28 & 11: 28 & 11: 29 & 11: 29 & 11: 30\end{array} 11: 30$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}11: 30-33 & 11: 31 & 11: 31 & 11: 31 & 11: 31 & 11: 31 & 11: 31 & 11: 31 & 11: 32 & 11: 32\end{array} 11: 32 \quad 11: 32$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 32 & 11: 32 & 11: 33 & 11: 33 & 11: 33 & 11: 33 & 11: 33 & 11: 33 & 12 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 \\ 12: 1 & 12: 1-6 & 12: 1-9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 1-21 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2-4 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 4 \\ 12: 4 & 12: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}12: 4 & 12: 5 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 \\ 12: 7-10 & 12: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 8 & 12: 9 & 12: 9 & 12: 10 & 12: 10 & 12: 10 & 12: 10 & 12: 10 & 12: 10 & 12: 11 & 12: 11 & 12: 11\end{array} 12: 11$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 12 & 12: 12 & 12: 12 & 12: 13 & 12: 13 & 12: 13 & 12: 13 & 12: 13 & 12: 14 & 12: 14 & 12: 14\end{array} 12: 14 \quad 12: 14$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 15 & 12: 15 & 12: 15 & 12: 16 & 12: 16 & 12: 16-18 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 12: 18 & 12: 18\end{array} 12: 18$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 18 & 12: 18 & 12: 19 & 12: 19 & 12: 20 & 12: 20 & 12: 20 & 12: 20 & 12: 20 & 12: 20 & 12: 20\end{array} 12: 21 \quad 12: 21$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 21 & 12: 21 & 13 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1-3 & 13: 1-10\end{array} 13: 1-1413: 1-14$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 1-14 & 13: 2 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 4 & 13: 4 & 13: 5 & 13: 5-7 & 13: 5-7 & 13: 5-7 \\ 13: 6 & 13: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13: 7 & 13: 7 & 13: 8 & 13: 9 & 13: 9 & 13: 9 & 13: 10 & 13: 10 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 \\ 13: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 12 & 13: 12 & 13: 12 & 13: 12 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 14\end{array}$

## Galatians

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1-24 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 \\ 1: 4 & 1: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 \\ 1: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6-9 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 9\end{array} 1: 9$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12-24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13-24 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 \\ 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 \\ 1: 16 & 1: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 16-18 & 1: 16-18 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19\end{array} 1: 19$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 22\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 & 2 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 \\ 2: 1 & 2: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}2: 1 & 2: 1-3 & 2: 1-10 & 2: 1-10 & 2: 1-10 & 2: 1-10 & 2: 1-10 & 2: 1-10 & 2: 1-10 & 2: 1-10 & 2: 1-10\end{array} 2: 1-21$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}2: 1-21 & 2: 1-21 & 2: 1-21 & 2: 1-21 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 6\end{array} 2: 6$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6-10 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7-10 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 9\end{array} 2: 9 \quad 2: 9 \quad 2: 9$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11\end{array} 2: 11$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}2: 11-14 & 2: 11-14 & 2: 11-14 & 2: 11-21 & 2: 11-21 & 2: 11-21 & 2: 11-21 & 2: 11-21 & 2: 11-21\end{array} 2: 12$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14\end{array} 2: 15 \quad 2: 15-21$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}2: 15-21 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 18 \\ 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 19 & 2: 19 & 2: 19 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 21 \\ 2: 21 & 2: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}2: 21 & 2: 21 & 2: 21 & 2: 21 & 3 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1-29 & 3: 1-29 & 3: 1-29 & 3: 1-29 \\ 3: 1-29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 1-29 & 3: 1-29 & 3: 1-5: 4 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2-6 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 \\ 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 7-9 & 3: 7-9 & 3: 7-14 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 \\ 3: 9 & 3: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 \\ 3: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 \\ 3: 14 & 3: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15-22 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 \\ 3: 17 & 3: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 19 & 3: 19 & 3: 19 & 3: 19 & 3: 19 & 3: 19 & 3: 19-22 & 3: 20 & 3: 20 \\ 3: 20 & 3: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}3: 22 & 3: 22 & 3: 22 & 3: 22 & 3: 22 & 3: 22 & 3: 22 & 3: 23 & 3: 23 & 3: 23 & 3: 23 & 3: 23 & 3: 23 \\ 3: 23 & 3: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 24 & 3: 24 & 3: 26 & 3: 26 & 3: 26 & 3: 26 & 3: 26 & 3: 27 & 3: 27 & 3: 27 & 3: 27 & 3: 27 & 3: 27 & 3: 27 \\ 3: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}3: 28 & 3: 28 & 3: 28 & 3: 28 & 3: 28 & 3: 28 & 3: 28 & 3: 29 & 3: 29 & 3: 29 & 3: 29 & 3: 29 & 4 & 4: 1 & 4: 1\end{array} 4: 1 \quad 4: 2$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}4: 2 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 5\end{array} 4: 5$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}4: 5 & 4: 5 & 4: 5-7 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}4: 9 & 4: 10 & 4: 10 & 4: 10 & 4: 10 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 12 & 4: 13 & 4: 13 & 4: 13 & 4: 13 & 4: 13\end{array} \quad 4: 13 \quad 4: 14$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}4: 14 & 4: 14 & 4: 14 & 4: 14 & 4: 15 & 4: 15 & 4: 15 & 4: 15 & 4: 16 & 4: 17 & 4: 17 & 4: 18 & 4: 19 & 4: 19\end{array} 4: 19$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}4: 19 & 4: 20 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 21-31 & 4: 22 & 4: 22 & 4: 22 & 4: 23 & 4: 24 & 4: 24 & 4: 25 & 4: 25\end{array} \quad 4: 26 \quad 4: 26$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}4: 26 & 4: 26 & 4: 26 & 4: 26 & 4: 26 & 4: 26 & 4: 27 & 4: 27 & 4: 28 & 4: 29 & 4: 29 & 4: 30 & 4: 30 & 4: 31 & 4: 31\end{array} 5$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 2 & 5: 2 & 5: 2 & 5: 3 & 5: 3 & 5: 3 & 5: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 5 & 5: 5 & 5: 5 & 5: 6 & 5: 6 & 5: 6 & 5: 6 & 5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}5: 8 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 10 & 5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 13 & 5: 13 & 5: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}5: 13 & 5: 13 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 15 & 5: 16 & 5: 16-24 & 5: 16-24 & 5: 16-24 & 5: 17 & 5: 17 & 5: 17 \\ 5: 17 & 5: 17\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}5: 17 & 5: 17 & 5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 & 5: 19-21 & 5: 19-21 \\ 5: 20 & 5: 20\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}5: 20 & 5: 20 & 5: 20 & 5: 20 & 5: 20 & 5: 20 & 5: 20 & 5: 21 & 5: 21 & 5: 21 & 5: 21 & 5: 21 & 5: 21 & 5: 21 \\ 5: 21\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5: 22 & 5: 22 & 5: 22 & 5: 22 & 5: 22 & 5: 22 & 5: 22 & 5: 22 & 5: 22 & 5: 22 & 5: 22 & 5: 22 & 5: 22 \\ 5: 23 & 5: 23\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}5: 23 & 5: 24 & 5: 24 & 5: 24 & 5: 25 & 5: 25 & 5: 25 & 5: 26 & 5: 26 & 6 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 3 & 6: 3 & 6: 3 & 6: 4 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 5\end{array} \quad 6: 5$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}6: 5 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 7 & 6: 8 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 \\ 6: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11-18 & 6: 11-18 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 \\ 6: 12 & 6: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 16 & 6: 16 & 6: 16 & 6: 16 \\ 6: 16 & 6: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}6: 17 & 6: 17 & 6: 17 & 6: 17 & 6: 17 & 6: 17 & 6: 18\end{array}$

## Ephesians

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1-3: 21 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3-14 & 1: 4 \\ 1: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 \\ 1: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 10\end{array} 1: 10$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14\end{array} 1: 14$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16-23 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 \\ 1: 17 & 1: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 \\ 1: 18 & 1: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20-22 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 \\ 1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 22\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 2 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 \\ 2: 1 & 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 1-10 & 2: 1-22 & 2: 1-22 & 2: 1-22 & 2: 1-22 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 \\ 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 \\ 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 11 & 2: 11\end{array} 2: 11 \quad 2: 11 \quad 2: 11$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}2: 11 & 2: 11-22 & 2: 11-22 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12\end{array} 2: 12 \quad 2: 13$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15\end{array} 2: 15 \quad 2: 15$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 19 & 2: 19 & 2: 19 & 2: 19\end{array} 2: 19$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}2: 19 & 2: 19 & 2: 19 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20-22\end{array} 2: 21 \quad 2: 21 \quad 2: 21$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}2: 22 & 2: 22 & 2: 22 & 3 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1\end{array} 3: 1-2$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}3: 1-13 & 3: 1-21 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 \\ 3: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6-12 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 9\end{array} 3: 9 \quad 3: 9$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9-11 & 3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 13 \\ 3: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}3: 13 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14-21 & 3: 15 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 \\ 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}3: 17 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 19 & 3: 19 & 3: 19 & 3: 19 & 3: 19 \\ 3: 19 & 3: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}3: 20 & 3: 20 & 3: 20 & 3: 21 & 3: 21 & 3: 21 & 3: 21 & 3: 21 & 4 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1\end{array} 4: 1 \quad 4: 1$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}4: 2 & 4: 2 & 4: 2 & 4: 2 & 4: 2 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 5 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 7 \\ 4: 7 & 4: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 10 & 4: 10 & 4: 10 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 11\end{array} 4: 11$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}4: 11 & 4: 11-16 & 4: 12 & 4: 13 & 4: 13 & 4: 13 & 4: 13 & 4: 13 & 4: 13 & 4: 13 & 4: 13 & 4: 13 & 4: 13 & 4: 13\end{array} 4: 14$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}4: 14 & 4: 14 & 4: 14 & 4: 14 & 4: 14 & 4: 14 & 4: 14 & 4: 15 & 4: 15 & 4: 15 & 4: 15 & 4: 15 & 4: 16 & 4: 16\end{array} 4: 16$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}4: 16 & 4: 17 & 4: 17 & 4: 17 & 4: 17 & 4: 17-24 & 4: 18 & 4: 18 & 4: 18 & 4: 18 & 4: 18 & 4: 18 & 4: 18 \\ 4: 19 & 4: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}4: 20 & 4: 20 & 4: 20 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 21 & 4: 22 & 4: 22 & 4: 22 & 4: 22 & 4: 22 & 4: 22 & 4: 22\end{array} 4: 22 \quad 4: 22$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}4: 22 & 4: 22-24 & 4: 23 & 4: 24 & 4: 24 & 4: 24 & 4: 24 & 4: 24 & 4: 24 & 4: 24 & 4: 25 & 4: 25 & 4: 25 & 4: 25\end{array} 4: 26$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}4: 27 & 4: 27 & 4: 28 & 4: 29 & 4: 29 & 4: 29 & 4: 29 & 4: 29 & 4: 29 & 4: 29-31 & 4: 30 & 4: 30 & 4: 30\end{array} 4: 31 \quad 4: 31$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}4: 31 & 4: 32 & 4: 32 & 5 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 2 & 5: 2 & 5: 2 & 5: 3 & 5: 3 & 5: 3-5 & 5: 3-6 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 5 & 5: 5 \\ 5: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}5: 5 & 5: 5 & 5: 5 & 5: 5 & 5: 5 & 5: 5 & 5: 5 & 5: 5 & 5: 6 & 5: 6 & 5: 6 & 5: 6 & 5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 8-14 & 5: 9 \\ 5: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}5: 9 & 5: 10 & 5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 13 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}5: 14 & 5: 15 & 5: 16 & 5: 16 & 5: 16 & 5: 16 & 5: 17 & 5: 17 & 5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 \\ 5: 19\end{array}$ $5: 19 \quad 5: 20 \quad 5: 20 \quad 5: 20 \quad 5: 21 \quad 5: 21 \quad 5: 22 \quad 5: 22 \quad 5: 22 \quad 5: 22 \quad 5: 22-33 \quad 5: 22-33 \quad 5: 22-33$ $5: 22-6: 9 \quad 5: 23 \quad 5: 23 \quad 5: 23 \quad 5: 23 \quad 5: 23 \quad 5: 23 \quad 5: 23-32 \quad 5: 24 \quad 5: 24 \quad 5: 24-28 \quad 5: 24-32 \quad 5: 24-32$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5: 25 & 5: 25 & 5: 25 & 5: 25 & 5: 25 & 5: 26 & 5: 26 & 5: 26 & 5: 26 & 5: 27 & 5: 27 & 5: 27 & 5: 27 \\ 5: 27 & 5: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5: 28 & 5: 28-33 & 5: 29 & 5: 29 & 5: 30 & 5: 30 & 5: 30 & 5: 30 & 5: 30 & 5: 31 & 5: 31 & 5: 32 & 5: 32 \\ 5: 32 & 5: 32\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}5: 33 & 5: 33 & 5: 33 & 5: 33 & 5: 33 & 5: 33 & 6 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 2 & 6: 3 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 5 \\ 6: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 5-7 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 8 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 \\ 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11\end{array} \quad 6: 11 \quad 6: 11$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 \\ 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 16 & 6: 16 & 6: 16 & 6: 16 & 6: 16 & 6: 17 & 6: 17 \\ 6: 17 & 6: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 18 & 6: 18 & 6: 19 & 6: 19 & 6: 19 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 21 \\ 6: 21 & 6: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}6: 21 & 6: 21 & 6: 21 & 6: 21 & 6: 21 & 6: 21 & 6: 22 & 6: 22 & 6: 23 & 6: 24 \\ 18\end{array}$

## Philippians

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 \\ 1: 1-30\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 \\ 1: 6 & 1: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 \\ 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12-14 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 15\end{array} 1: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 15 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 \\ 1: 18 & 1: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20-26 \\ 1: 21 & 1: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 21-26 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 \\ 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 24 \\ 1: 24 & 1: 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 25 & 1: 25 & 1: 25 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 \\ 1: 27 & 1: 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 28 & 1: 28 & 1: 28 & 1: 28 & 1: 29 & 1: 29\end{array} 1: 29 \quad 1: 30$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}1: 30 & 1: 30 & 1: 30 & 2 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1-30 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 3\end{array} 2: 3$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5-11 & 2: 5-11 & 2: 5-11 & 2: 5-11 & 2: 5-11 & 2: 5-11\end{array} 2: 5-11 \quad 2: 5-11$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}2: 5-11 & 2: 5-11 & 2: 5-11 & 2: 5-11 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 \\ 2: 9 & 2: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9-11 & 2: 9-11 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10\end{array} 2: 10 \quad 2: 11$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 \\ 2: 12 & 2: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 \\ 2: 14 & 2: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 16\end{array} 2: 16 \quad 2: 16$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 16 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 19 & 2: 19\end{array} 2: 19 \quad 2: 20$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 21 & 2: 22 & 2: 22 & 2: 23 & 2: 23 & 2: 23 & 2: 24 & 2: 24 & 2: 24 & 2: 24 & 2: 25\end{array} 2: 25 \quad 2: 25$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2: 25 & 2: 25 & 2: 25 & 2: 25 & 2: 25 & 2: 25 & 2: 25 & 2: 26 & 2: 26 & 2: 26 & 2: 27 & 2: 28 & 2: 29 & 2: 29\end{array} 2: 29$

```
2:29 2:30 2:30 2:30 2:30 3 3:1 3:1 3:1 3:1 3:1 3:1 3:1 3:1 3:1 3:1 3:1-21
3:1-21 3:2 3:2 3:2 3:2 3:2 3:2 3:2 3:3 3:3 3:3 3:3 3:3 3:3 3:4 3:4-6 3:4-7
3:4-7 3:4-7 3:5 3:5 3:5 3:5 3:5 3:5 3:5 3:6 3:6 3:7 3:7 3:7 3:7 3:7 3:7 3:7
3:8}3:3:8 3:8 3:9 3:9 3:9 3:9 3:10 3:10 3:10 3:10 3:10 3:10 3:10-12 3:11 3:11
3:12 3:12 3:12 3:12 3:12 3:12 3:12 3:12 3:13 3:14 3:14 3:14 3:14 3:14 3:14
3:14 3:14 3:14 3:14 3:14 3:15 3:15 3:15 3:15 3:15
3:17 3:17 3:17 3:17 3:17 3:17 3:18 3:18
3:19 3:19 3:20}3:20 3:20 3:20 3:20 3:20 3:20 3:20 3:20 3:20 3:20 3:20 3:21
3:21 3:21 3:21 3:21 3:21 3:21 3:21 3:21 4
4:3 4:3 4:3 4:3 4:3 4:3 4:4 4:4 4:4 4:5 4:5 4:5 4:5 4:5 4:5 4:6 4:6 4:6 4:7 4:7
4:7 4:7 4:8 4:8
4:9 4:10 4:10
4:13 4:13 4:13 4:13 4:14 4:14 4:14 
4:15 4:15 4:15 4:15 4:16 4:16 4:16 
4:18 4:18 4:19 4:19 4:20 4:20 4:20
```


## Colossians

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1-4: 18 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 \\ 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 \\ 1: 7 & 1: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7-9 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 \\ 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 12\end{array} 1: 12$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12-20 & 1: 12-20 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13-20 & 1: 14 & 1: 14\end{array} 1: 14 \quad 1: 14 \quad 1: 14$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 14-20 & 1: 14-20 & 1: 14-20 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15\end{array} 1: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15-17 & 1: 15-19 & 1: 15-20\end{array} 1: 15-201515-20$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 15-20 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 \\ 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 \\ 1: 18 & 1: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18-20 \\ 1: 18-20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20\end{array} 1: 20 \quad 1: 20$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 20 & 1: 20-22 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22\end{array} 1: 22 \quad 1: 22 \quad 1: 22$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 \\ 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 \\ 1: 24 & 1: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 24 & 1: 25 & 1: 25 & 1: 25 & 1: 25 & 1: 25 & 1: 25 & 1: 25 & 1: 25 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 \\ 1: 26 & 1: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 \\ 1: 28 & 1: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 28 & 1: 28 & 1: 28 & 1: 28 & 1: 28 & 1: 29 & 1: 29 & 1: 29 & 1: 29 & 1: 29 & 1: 29 & 1: 29 & 1: 29 \\ 1: 29 & 1: 29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 29 & 2 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1-1: 16 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 \\ 2: 2 & 2: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 \\ 2: 5 & 2: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6-8 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 \\ 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 11 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 \\ 2: 13 & 2: 13\end{array}$

```
2:14 2:14 2:14 2:14 2:14 2:14 2:14 2:14 2:14 2:15 2:15 2:15 2:15 2:15 2:15
2:16 2:16 2:16 2:16 2:16 2:17 2:17 2:17 2:17 2:18
2:18
2:19 2:19 2:20 2:20 2:20 2:20 2:20 2:21 2:21 2:21 2:21 2:22 
2:23 2:23 2:23 2:23 3
3:3 3:4 3:4 3:4 3:4 3:4 3:4 3:4 3:4 3:4 3:4 3:5 3:5 3:5 3:5 3:5 3:5 3:5 3:5
3:5-8 3:6 3:7 3:7 3:8 3:8 3:8 3:8
3:9 3:9 3:9 3:9 3:9 3:9-11 3:10
3:11 3:11 3:11 3:11 3:12 3:12 
3:13 3:13 3:13 3:13 3:13 3:13 3:14 3:14 3:14 3:14 3:14 3:14 
3:15
3:18 3:18 3:18 3:18 3:18 3:18-4:1 3:19 3:19 3:19 3:19 3:19 3:19 3:20}30:20 3:21
3:21 3:21 3:22 3:22 3:22 3:22 3:22 3:22 3:22-25 3:23 3:23 3:24 3:25
3:25 3:25 4 4:1 4:1 4:2 4:2 4:3 4:3 4:3 4:3 4:3 4:3 4:3 4:3 4:4 4:4 4:4 4:5 4:5
4:5 4:5 4:5 4:6 4:6 4:6 4:7 4:7 4:7 4:7 4:7 4:7 4:7 4:7 4:7 4:7-9 4:8 4:8 4:8
4:9 4:9 4:10 4:10 4:10 4:10 4:10
4:10
4:13 4:13 4:13 4:13 4:13-16 4:14 4:14 4:14 4:14 4:15 4:15 4:15
4:16 4:16 4:16 4:16 4:16 
4:18 119
```


## 1 Thessalonians

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2\end{array} \quad 1: 2 \quad 1: 2 \quad 1: 2$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 \\ 1: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 \\ 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 \\ 1: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10\end{array} 2$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1-12 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 3-10 & 2: 4 \\ 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 \\ 2: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 \\ 2: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11\end{array} 2: 12 \quad 2: 12$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 \\ 2: 13 & 2: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15\end{array} 2: 16 \quad 2: 16$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 \\ 2: 18 & 2: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 19 & 2: 19 & 2: 19 & 2: 19 & 2: 19 & 2: 19 & 2: 19 & 2: 19 & 2: 20 & 3 & 3: 1\end{array} \quad 3: 1$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1-5 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 \\ 3: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 \\ 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 9 & 3: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 9 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 12\end{array} 3: 12 \quad 3: 12$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 4\end{array} 4: 1 \quad 4: 1$

```
4:1 4:1 4:1 4:1 4:1 4:1 4:2 4:2 4:2 4:2 4:3 4:3 4:3 4:3 4:3 4:3-7 4:4 4:4 4:5
4:5 4:5 4:6 4:6 4:6 4:6 4:6 4:6 4:7 4:7 4:7 4:7 4:8
4:9 4:9 4:9 4:10 4:10 4:11 4:11 
4:12 4:12 4:13 4:13 4:13 4:13 4:13 4:13-18 4:13-5:3 4:13-5:4 4:13-5:5 4:14 4:14
4:14 4:14-16 4:15 4:15 4:15 4:15 4:15 4:15 4:15 4:15 4:15 
4:16 4:16 4:16 4:16 4:16 4:17 4:17 4:17 4:18
5:2 5:2 5:2 5:2 5:2 5:2 5:3 5:3 5:3 5:3 5:3 5:3 5:4 5:4 5:4 5:4 5:4 5:4 5:4
5:4 5:4 5:5 5:5 5:5 5:5 5:6 5:6 5:6 5:6 5:6 5:6 5:6 5:6 5:7 5:7 5:7 5:8 5:8 5:8
5:8 5:8 5:8 5:8 5:8 5:8 5:8 5:8 5:8 5:8 5:9 5:9 5:9 5:10
5:11 5:12 5:12 5:12 5:12 5:12 5:12 5:12 5:12 5:12 5:12 5:12 5:12 5:12 5:12
5:13 5:13 5:13 5:13 5:14 5:14 5:14 
5:14 5:14 5:14 5:15 5:15 5:15 5:15 5:15 5:16 5:17 5:17 5:17 5:17 5:18 5:19
5:19 5:20 5:20 5:20 5:21 5:22 
5:23 5:23 5:23 5:23 5:23 5:24 5:24 5:24 5:25 5:25
5:27 5:27 5:27 5:27 5:27 5:28 5:28
```


## 2 Thessalonians

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3-10 & 1: 3-2: 17 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 \\ 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5-10 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6-10 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 \\ 1: 7 & 1: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8-10 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9\end{array} 1: 9 \quad 1: 9$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11-12\end{array} 1: 12 \quad 1: 12$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllll}1: 12 & 2 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1-10 & 2: 1-12 & 2: 1-12 & 2: 1-17 & 2: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 3\end{array} 2: 3$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 \\ 2: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 \\ 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8-12 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10\end{array} 2: 10 \quad 2: 11 \quad 2: 12$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14\end{array} \quad 2: 14 \quad 2: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 3 & 3: 1 & 3: 1\end{array} 3: 1 \quad 3: 1$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1-18 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 \\ 3: 5 & 3: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 \\ 3: 8 & 3: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 \\ 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 13 \\ 3: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 15 \\ 3: 15 & 3: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 \\ 3: 17 & 3: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 18 & 3: 18\end{array}$
1 Timothy
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 \\ 1: 3\end{array}$ $\left.\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 5\end{array}\right)$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 \\ 1: 9 & 1: 9\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12-17 \\ 1: 13 & 1: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13-16 & 1: 13-16 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15\end{array} 1: 15$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17\end{array} 1: 17$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 \\ 1: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 2 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 \\ 2: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}2: 1-15 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 6\end{array} \quad 2: 6$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 \\ 2: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}2: 8 & 2: 8-15 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9-13 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 11 & 2: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}2: 11-15 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 3 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1\end{array} 3: 1$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}3: 1 & 3: 1-7 & 3: 1-7 & 3: 1-16 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2\end{array} 3: 2$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 \\ 3: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 \\ 3: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8-13 & 3: 8-13 & 3: 8-13 & 3: 8-13 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 \\ 3: 10 & 3: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 \\ 3: 13 & 3: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 14 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 16 & 3: 16\end{array} 3: 16$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 4 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1\end{array} \quad 4: 1$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 2 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 5 & 4: 5 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6\end{array} 4: 6$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 10 & 4: 10\end{array} 4: 10$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 13 & 4: 13 & 4: 13 & 4: 13 \\ 4: 13 & 4: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}4: 14 & 4: 14 & 4: 14 & 4: 14 & 4: 14 & 4: 14 & 4: 15 & 4: 15 & 4: 16 & 4: 16 & 4: 16 & 4: 16 & 5 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 \\ 5: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1-6: 2 & 5: 2 & 5: 2 & 5: 2 & 5: 3 & 5: 3 & 5: 3 & 5: 3-8 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 5 & 5: 5 & 5: 6 \\ 5: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9-13 & 5: 9-16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 13 & 5: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}5: 13 & 5: 13 & 5: 13 & 5: 13 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 15 & 5: 15 & 5: 15 & 5: 16 & 5: 16 & 5: 17 & 5: 17 & 5: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 & 5: 20 \\ 5: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}5: 21 & 5: 21 & 5: 21 & 5: 21 & 5: 22 & 5: 22 & 5: 22 & 5: 22 & 5: 23 & 5: 23 & 5: 23 & 5: 23 & 5: 24 & 5: 24 \\ 5: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}5: 24 & 5: 25 & 6 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1-6 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 3 & 6: 3 \\ 6: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}6: 3 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 5 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 7 & 6: 8 \\ 6: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12\end{array} \quad 6: 12$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 \\ 6: 14 & 6: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}6: 14 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 16 & 6: 16 & 6: 16 & 6: 16 \\ 6: 16\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}6: 19 & 6: 19 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 21 & 6: 21 \\ 6: 21\end{array}$

## 2 Timothy

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6\end{array} \quad 1: 6$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 \\ 1: 10 & 1: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12\end{array} 1: 12 \quad 1: 12$

```
1:12 1:12 1:12 1:13 1:13 1:13 1:13 1:14 1:14 1:14 1:14 1:14 1:15 1:15-18 1:16
1:16 1:16 1:16 1:16 1:16 1:16 1:16 1:1:16 1:16 1:16 1:16 1:17 1:18
1:18 1:18 1:18 1:18 1:18 2 2 2:1 2:1 2:2 2:2 2:2 2:2 2:2 2:2 
2:3 2:4 2:4 2:4 2:4 2:5 2:5 2:5 2:6 2:6 2:6 2:6 2:6 2:7 2:8
2:9 2:9 2:9 2:9 2:9 2:10 2:11 2:11 2:11 2:11 2:11 2:11-13 2:12 2:12 2:12 2:12
2:13 2:13 2:13 2:13 2:13 2:14 2:14 2:14 2:14 2:14 2:15 2:15 2:15 2:15 2:16
2:16 2:16 2:16 2:16 2:16 2:16 2:16 2:17 2:17 2:17 2:17 2:18 
2:19 2:19 2:19 2:19 2:19 2:19
2:21 2:21 2:22 2:23 2:23 2:23 2:23 
2:25 2:25 2:26 2:26 2:26 2:26 2:26 3
3:2 3:3 3:3 3:3 3:3 3:3 3:4 3:4 3:4 3:4 3:5 3:5 3:5 3:5 3:5 3:5 3:6 3:6 3:6
3:6 3:7 3:7 3:8 3:8 3:8 3:9 3:9 3:9 3:9 3:10 3:10 3:10 3:11 3:11 3:11 3:11
3:11 3:11 3:12 3:12 
3:15
3:17 3:17 3:17 3:17 4 4:1 4:1 4:1 4:1 4:1 4:1 4:1 
4:5 4:5 4:5 4:5 4:5 4:5 4:5 4:6 4:6 4:6 4:6 4:6-8 4:7 4:7 4:7 4:7 4:7 4:7 4:7 4:7
4:8
4:9-18 4:9-22 4:9-22 4:10
4:11 4:11 4:11 4:11 4:11 4:11 4:11-13 4:12 4:12 4:13 4:13 4:13 4:13 4:14 4:14
4:14 4:14 4:14 4:15 4:15 4:16 4:16 4:16 4:17 4:17 4:17 4:17 4:17 4:17 4:17
4:18 4:18
4:20 4:20 4:20 4:21 4:21 4:21 4:22
```


## Titus

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3\end{array}$ $\left.\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5\end{array}\right) 1: 5$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5-9 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 \\ 1: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 16\end{array} 1: 16 \quad 1: 16$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 2 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 \\ 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}2: 5 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 10\end{array} 2: 10 \quad 2: 10$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 10 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 \\ 2: 13 & 2: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 \\ 2: 13 & 2: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 15 & 3 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 2 & 3: 2\end{array} 3: 2$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4-7 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 \\ 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}3: 7 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 \\ 3: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 \\ 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 15\end{array}$ 3:15

## Philemon

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1\end{array} 1: 1$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1-25 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 10-12 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 18\end{array} 1: 18$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 \\ 1: 24 & 1: 25\end{array}$

## Hebrews

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1-3 & 1: 1-3 & 1: 1-3 & 1: 1-3 & 1: 1-4 & 1: 1-4 & 1: 1-4 & 1: 1-4 \\ 1: 1-4: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4-14 & 1: 4-14 \\ 1: 4-2: 4 & 1: 4-2: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 4-2: 18 & 1: 4-2: 18 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 \\ 1: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 10-12 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11-12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 13\end{array} 1: 14$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 2 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1-4 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 \\ 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 5-9 & 2: 5-18 & 2: 5-18\end{array} \quad 2: 6$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 \\ 2: 9 & 2: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2: 9 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10\end{array} 2: 10 \quad 2: 10$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10-18 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 \\ 2: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 \\ 2: 17 & 2: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 3 & 3 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1\end{array} 3: 1 \quad 3: 1$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1-4: 13 & 3: 1-4: 13 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 4\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 11\end{array} 3: 11 \quad 3: 11$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11-4: 11 & 3: 11-4: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 \\ 3: 12-4: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 \\ 3: 14 & 3: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}3: 14 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 \\ 3: 17 & 3: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 18-4: 2 & 3: 19 & 3: 19 & 4 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 2 & 4: 2 & 4: 2 & 4: 3 & 4: 3\end{array} 4: 3 \quad 4: 3$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 5 & 4: 5 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 \\ 4: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 10 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 12 & 4: 12\end{array} 4: 12$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 13 & 4: 13 & 4: 14 & 4: 14 & 4: 14 & 4: 14-16\end{array} 4: 14-16$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}4: 14-7: 28 & 4: 14-7: 28 & 4: 14-12: 3 & 4: 14-12: 3 & 4: 15 & 4: 15 & 4: 15 & 4: 15 & 4: 15 & 4: 15 & 4: 15\end{array} \quad 4: 15$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}4: 15 & 4: 15 & 4: 15 & 4: 15 & 4: 16 & 4: 16 & 4: 16 & 4: 16 & 4: 16 & 4: 16 & 4: 16 & 4: 16 & 4: 16 & 4: 16\end{array} 4: 16$ $4: 16-7: 28 \quad 4: 16-7: 28 \quad 4: 16-7: 28 \quad 5 \quad 5: 1 \quad 5: 1 \quad 5: 1 \quad 5: 1 \quad 5: 1 \quad 5: 1-3 \quad 5: 1-10 \quad 5: 1-7: 25 \quad 5: 2$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}5: 2 & 5: 2 & 5: 2 & 5: 3 & 5: 3 & 5: 3 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 5 & 5: 6 & 5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 7-9\end{array}$ $5: 8 \quad 5: 8 \quad 5: 8 \quad 5: 8 \quad 5: 8 \quad 5: 8 \quad 5: 8 \quad 5: 9 \quad 5: 9 \quad 5: 9 \quad 5: 10 ~ 5: 10-7: 28 ~ 5: 11 ~ 5: 11 ~ 5: 11 ~ 5: 11-14$ 5:11-14 $\quad 5: 11-14 \quad 5: 11-14 \quad 5: 11-6: 20 \quad 5: 12 \quad 5: 12 \quad 5: 12 \quad 5: 12 \quad 5: 12 \quad 5: 12 \quad 5: 12 \quad 5: 12 \quad 5: 12$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 13 & 5: 13 & 5: 13 & 5: 13 & 5: 13 & 5: 13 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 \\ 5: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 6 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}6: 3 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4-6 & 6: 4-8 & 6: 4-8 & 6: 4-8 & 6: 5 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6\end{array} 6: 6 \quad 6: 6$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 7 & 6: 7 & 6: 8 & 6: 8 & 6: 8 & -12 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 10 & 6: 11 & 6: 11 \\ 6: 11 & 6: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}6: 11 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12-17 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 13 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 \\ 6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 16 & 6: 16 & 6: 16 & 6: 16 & 6: 17 & 6: 17 & 6: 17 & 6: 18 & 6: 18 & 6: 18 & 6: 18 & 6: 18-20 & 6: 18-20 \\ 6: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccc}6: 19 & 6: 19 & 6: 19 & 6: 19 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 6: 20 & 7 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1-3 & 7: 1-28 & 7: 2 \\ 7: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}7: 2 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 4 & 7: 4 & 7: 5 & 7: 5 & 7: 6 & 7: 7 & 7: 7 & 7: 8 & 7: 8 & 7: 8 & 7: 8-10 & 7: 9 & 7: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}7: 10 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 11-19 & 7: 12 & 7: 12 & 7: 12 \\ 7: 13 & 7: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}7: 14 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 16 & 7: 16 & 7: 17 & 7: 18 & 7: 18 & 7: 18 & 7: 18 & 7: 19 & 7: 19 & 7: 20 \\ 7: 20 & 7: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 21 & 7: 21 & 7: 21 & 7: 22 & 7: 22 & 7: 22 & 7: 22 & 7: 23 & 7: 23 & 7: 24 & 7: 24 & 7: 25 & 7: 25 & 7: 25 \\ 7: 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 25 & 7: 25 & 7: 25 & 7: 25 & 7: 26 & 7: 26 & 7: 26 & 7: 26 & 7: 26 & 7: 26 & 7: 26 & 7: 27 & 7: 27 & 7: 27 \\ 7: 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}7: 27 & 7: 27 & 7: 28 & 8 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1-6 & 8: 1-6 & 8: 1-13 \\ 8: 1-13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}8: 1-13 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 \\ 8: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 7-13 & 8: 7-13 & 8: 7-13 & 8: 8 & 8: 8 & 8: 8 & 8: 8 \\ 8: 8-12 & 8: 9 & 8: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 10-12 & 8: 11 & 8: 11 & 8: 11 & 8: 11 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 \\ 8: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 9 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1-12 & 9: 1-12 & 9: 1-12 & 9: 1-12 \\ 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}9: 3 & 9: 3 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 7 \\ 9: 7 & 9: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 8 & 9: 8 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 \\ 9: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 10 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 & 9: 10 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 12 & 9: 12 & 9: 12 \\ 9: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}9: 12 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 13-10: 18 \\ 9: 13-10: 18 & 9: 13-10: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}9: 13-10: 18 & 9: 13-10: 18 & 9: 13-10: 18 & 9: 13-10: 18 & 9: 13-10: 18 & 9: 14 & 9: 14 & 9: 14 & 9: 14 & 9: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 14 & 9: 14 & 9: 14 & 9: 14 & 9: 14 & 9: 14 & 9: 14 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 \\ 9: 15 & 9: 15\end{array}$ $\left.\begin{array}{llllllllllll}9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 15-17 & 9: 15-17 & 9: 15-18 & 9: 16 & 9: 16 & 9: 16 & 9: 17 & 9: 18 & 9: 18-22\end{array}\right) 9: 19$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 19 & 9: 19 & 9: 19 & 9: 19 & 9: 19 & 9: 19 & 9: 19-28 & 9: 20 & 9: 20 & 9: 21 & 9: 21 & 9: 21 & 9: 22 \\ 9: 22 & 9: 23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 23 & 9: 24 & 9: 24 & 9: 24 & 9: 24 & 9: 24 & 9: 24 & 9: 25 & 9: 26 & 9: 26 & 9: 26 & 9: 26 & 9: 26 & 9: 26 \\ 9: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 26 & 9: 26 & 9: 26 & 9: 26 & 9: 26 & 9: 27 & 9: 28 & 9: 28 & 9: 28 & 9: 28 & 9: 28 & 9: 28 & 9: 28 & 9: 28 \\ 9: 28 & 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1-4 & 10: 1-4 & 10: 1-4 & 10: 1-18 & 10: 1-39 & 10: 2\end{array} 10: 2 \quad 10: 2$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}10: 2 & 10: 2 & 10: 2 & 10: 3 & 10: 3 & 10: 4 & 10: 4 & 10: 5 & 10: 5 & 10: 5 & 10: 6 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 8 & 10: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 8 & 10: 9 & 10: 9 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 10 & 10: 11 & 10: 11 & 10: 11 & 10: 11 & 10: 11\end{array} 10: 12$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}10: 12 & 10: 13 & 10: 13 & 10: 13 & 10: 14 & 10: 14 & 10: 15 & 10: 15 & 10: 16 & 10: 16 & 10: 17 & 10: 17 & 10: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}10: 18 & 10: 18 & 10: 19 & 10: 19 & 10: 19 & 10: 19-25 & 10: 19-12: 3 & 10: 19-12: 3 & 10: 19-12: 3 \\ 10: 19-12: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}10: 19-12: 3 & 10: 19-12: 3 & 10: 20 & 10: 20 & 10: 20 & 10: 21 & 10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 22 & 10: 22\end{array} 10: 22$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 22 & 10: 23 & 10: 23 & 10: 24 & 10: 24 & 10: 24 & 10: 24 & 10: 25 & 10: 25 & 10: 25 & 10: 25\end{array} 10: 25 \quad 10: 26$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}10: 26 & 10: 26 & 10: 26 & 10: 26 & 10: 26 & 10: 26 & 10: 26 & 10: 26-12: 3 & 10: 26-12: 3 & 10: 27 \\ 10: 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 28 & 10: 29 & 10: 29 & 10: 29 & 10: 30 & 10: 30 & 10: 31 & 10: 31 & 10: 31 & 10: 32 & 10: 32\end{array} 10: 32-34$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}10: 33 & 10: 33 & 10: 33 & 10: 33 & 10: 34 & 10: 34 & 10: 34 & 10: 34 & 10: 35 & 10: 35 & 10: 35 & 10: 36 & 10: 36\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}10: 37 & 10: 37 & 10: 38 & 10: 38 & 10: 38 & 10: 39 & 10: 39 & 10: 39 & 11 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 \\ 11: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1-40 & 11: 1-40 & 11: 1-40 & 11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 3\end{array} 11: 311: 3$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 4-31 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 5-38 \\ 11: 6 & 11: 6\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}11: 6 & 11: 6 & 11: 6 & 11: 7 & 11: 7 & 11: 7 & 11: 7 & 11: 7 & 11: 8 & 11: 9 & 11: 9 & 11: 9 & 11: 9-12 & 11: 10\end{array} 11: 10$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 10 & 11: 10 & 11: 10 & 11: 10 & 11: 11 & 11: 11 & 11: 12 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 13\end{array} 11: 13$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 13 & 11: 14 & 11: 15 & 11: 15 & 11: 16 & 11: 16 & 11: 16 & 11: 16 & 11: 17 & 11: 17 & 11: 18 & 11: 19\end{array} 11: 19$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 20 & 11: 20 & 11: 21 & 11: 21 & 11: 22 & 11: 22 & 11: 22 & 11: 23 \\ 11: 23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 24 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 25 & 11: 26 & 11: 26 & 11: 26 & 11: 26 & 11: 26 \\ 11: 27 & 11: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 28 & 11: 28 & 11: 29 & 11: 30 & 11: 31 & 11: 31 & 11: 31 & 11: 31 & 11: 32 & 11: 32 & 11: 32 & 11: 33\end{array} 11: 33$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}11: 33-40 & 11: 34 & 11: 34 & 11: 34 & 11: 34 & 11: 35 & 11: 35 & 11: 35 & 11: 36 & 11: 36\end{array} 11: 36 \quad 11: 37$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}11: 37 & 11: 37 & 11: 37 & 11: 37 & 11: 38 & 11: 38 & 11: 38 & 11: 38 & 11: 39 & 11: 39 \\ 11: 39 & 11: 39 & 11: 39\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}11: 40 & 11: 40 & 12 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1-1 & 12: 1-3 & 12: 2\end{array} \quad 12: 2$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2\end{array} 12: 2 \quad 12: 2$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}12: 2 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 4 & 12: 4 & 12: 4 & 12: 4 & 12: 4 & 12: 4 & 12: 4 \\ 12: 5 & 12: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}12: 5 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 8 & 12: 8 & 12: 9\end{array} 12: 9$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}12: 10 & 12: 11 & 12: 11 & 12: 11 & 12: 11 & 12: 11 & 12: 12 & 12: 12 & 12: 12 & 12: 13 & 12: 13 & 12: 13 & 12: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 14 & 12: 14 & 12: 14 & 12: 14 & 12: 14 & 12: 15 & 12: 15 & 12: 15 & 12: 15 & 12: 15 & 12: 15 & 12: 15\end{array} \quad 12: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 16 & 12: 16 & 12: 16 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 12: 18 & 12: 18 & 12: 18 & 12: 18 & 12: 18 \\ 12: 18-21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 19 & 12: 19 & 12: 19 & 12: 19 & 12: 20 & 12: 20 & 12: 21 & 12: 21 & 12: 21 & 12: 22 & 12: 22 & 12: 22\end{array} 12: 22$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 22 & 12: 22 & 12: 23 & 12: 23 & 12: 23 & 12: 23 & 12: 23 & 12: 23 & 12: 23 & 12: 24 & 12: 24 \\ 12: 24 & 12: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 24 & 12: 24 & 12: 24 & 12: 24 & 12: 24 & 12: 25 & 12: 25 & 12: 25 & 12: 25 & 12: 26 & 12: 26 & 12: 26\end{array} 12: 26$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 27 & 12: 27 & 12: 27 & 12: 28 & 12: 28 & 12: 28 & 12: 28 & 12: 28 & 12: 28 & 12: 29 & 13 & 13: 1 \\ 13: 1 & 13: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 4 & 13: 4 & 13: 4 & 13: 4 & 13: 5 & 13: 5 & 13: 5 & 13: 5 & 13: 5 \\ 13: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}13: 7 & 13: 7 & 13: 7 & 13: 7 & 13: 7 & 13: 7 & 13: 7 & 13: 7 & 13: 8 & 13: 8 & 13: 9 & 13: 9 & 13: 9 & 13: 10 \\ 13: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 12 & 13: 12 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 14 & 13: 14 & 13: 14 & 13: 15 & 13: 15\end{array} 13: 15$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 16 & 13: 16 & 13: 17 & 13: 17 & 13: 17 & 13: 17 & 13: 18 & 13: 19 & 13: 19 & 13: 19 & 13: 20 & 13: 20 \\ 13: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}13: 20 & 13: 20 & 13: 20 & 13: 20 & 13: 20 & 13: 20 & 13: 21 & 13: 21 & 13: 21 & 13: 21 & 13: 22 \\ 13: 22 & 13: 22\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}13: 22 & 13: 22 & 13: 22 & 13: 23 & 13: 23 & 13: 23 & 13: 23 & 13: 23 & 13: 24 & 13: 24 & 13: 24 \\ 13: 25\end{array}$

## James

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 \\ 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5-8 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 \\ 1: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 \\ 1: 12-18 & 1: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 & 1: 15\end{array} 1: 15 \quad 1: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 16 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19\end{array} 1: 19 \quad 1: 19$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1: 19-21 & 1: 19-27 & 1: 20 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 22-25 & 1: 22-25 \\ 1: 22-25 & 1: 23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 & 1: 24 & 1: 25 & 1: 25 & 1: 25 & 1: 25 \\ 1: 25 & 1: 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 26 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 \\ 1: 27 & 1: 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 27 & 1: 27 & 1: 27 & 2 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 \\ 2: 1\end{array}$


```
2:5 2:5 2:6 2:6 2:6 2:6 2:7 2:7 2:7 2:7 2:7 2:8
2:9 2:9 2:9 2:9 2:9 2:9 2:9 2:10 2:10 2:10 2:10 2:11 2:11 2:12 2:12 2:13 2:13
2:14 2:14 2:14 2:14 2:15 2:15 2:15 2:15 2:16 2:16 2:17 2:17 2:17 2:17 2:18
2:18 2:18 2:19 2:19 2:20 2:20 2:20 2:21 2:21 2:22 2:22 2:23
2:23 2:23 2:24 2:25 2:25 2:25 2:26 2:26 2:26 3
3:1-12 3:2 3:2 3:2 3:2 3:3 3:3 3:3 3:4 3:4 3:4 3:4 3:4 3:4 3:4 3:4 3:5 3:5 3:5
3:6 3:6 3:6 3:6 3:6 3:6 3:7 3:7 3:8 3:8
3:11 3:11 3:12 3:12 3:13 3:13 3:13 3:13-18 3:14 3:14 3:15 3:15 3:15 3:15 3:15-17
3:16
4:2 4:2 4:3 4:3 4:3 4:3 4:3 4:3 4:4 4:4 4:4 4:4 4:4 4:4 4:4 4:5 4:5 4:6 4:6 4:6
4:6 4:6 4:6 4:6 4:7 4:7 4:7 4:7-10
4:9 4:9 4:9 4:10
4:13 4:14 4:14 4:14 4:15 4:15 4:16 4:16 4:17 4:17 5 5 5:1 5:1 5:1 5:1 5:1 5:1-6
5:1-6 5:1-6 5:2 5:3 5:3 5:3 5:3 5:3 5:3 5:3 5:4 5:4 5:5 5:5 5:5 5:5 5:5 5:6
5:6 5:7 5:7 5:7 5:7 5:7 5:7 5:7 5:7 5:7-11 5:8 5:8 5:9 5:9 5:9 5:10
5:10 5:10 5:10 5:10
5:12 5:12 5:13 5:13 5:13 5:13 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:15 5:15 5:16 5:16
5:16 5:17 5:17 5:17 5:17 5:17 5:17 5:18
5:20
```


## 1 Peter

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll} & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 \\ 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 \\ 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3-5 & 1: 3-12 & 1: 3-12 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 \\ 1: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6-8 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 \\ 1: 7 & 1: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 \\ 1: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 \\ 1: 18 & 1: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19\end{array} 1: 20$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 \\ 1: 22\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 24 \\ 1: 24 & 1: 25\end{array}$




 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 \\ 2: 16 & 2: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllll}2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 19 & 2: 19 & 2: 19 & 2: 19\end{array}$

```
2:20 2:21 2:21 2:21 2:21 2:21 2:21 2:21 2:22 2:22 2:22 2:23 2:23 2:23
2:23 2:23 2:23 2:23 2:24 2:24 2:24 2:24 2:24 2:24 2:25
2:25}22:25 2:25 3 3:1 3:1 3:1 3:1 3:1 3:1 3:1 3:2 3:2 3:2 3:3 3:3 3:3 3:4 3:4
3:4 3:4 3:5 3:5 3:6 3:6 3:7 3:7 3:7 3:7 3:7 3:8
3:10 3:10-12 3:11 3:12 3:13 3:13 3:13 3:14 3:14 3:15 3:15 3:15 3:15 3:16 3:16
3:16 3:16 3:16 3:16 3:17 3:17 3:17 3:18 3:18 3:18
3:19-22 3:20
3:21 3:21 3:22 3:22 3:22 3:22 4 4
4:3 4:3 4:4 4:4 4:4 4:4 4:5 4:5 4:6 4:7 4:7 4:7 4:7 4:7 4:8
4:8 4:9 4:9 4:9 4:9 4:10
4:11 4:11 4:12 4:12 4:12 
4:15 4:16 4:16 4:16 4:16 4:16 4:16 
4:19 4:19 5 5:1 5:1 5:1 5:1 5:1 5:1 5:1 5:2 5:2 5:2 5:2 5:2 5:2 5:2 5:2 5:3
5:3 5:3 5:3 5:3 5:4 5:4 5:4 5:4 5:5 5:5 5:5 5:5 5:6 5:6 5:6 5:6 5:7 5:7 5:8
5:8 5:8 5:8 5:8 5:8 5:8 5:9 5:9 5:9 5:9 5:9 5:10
5:12 5:12 5:12 5:12 5:12 5:12 5:12 5:12-14 5:13 5:13 5:13 5:13 5:13 5:13 5:13
5:13 5:13 5:13 5:13 5:14 5:14 5:14
```


## 2 Peter

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1\end{array} 1: 1$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3-11 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 \\ 1: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5-9 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 \\ 1: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 \\ 1: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 \\ 1: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 \\ 1: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19\end{array} 1: 19 \quad 1: 19$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 19 & 1: 19-21 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 21 & 1: 21 & 2 & 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1-3 & 2: 1-18 & 2: 1-3: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 \\ 2: 4 & 2: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 \\ 2: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10\end{array} 2: 10 \quad 2: 10$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 \\ 2: 13 & 2: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 16\end{array} 2: 17 \quad 2: 17$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18-20 & 2: 19 & 2: 19 & 2: 19\end{array} 2: 20 \quad 2: 20 \quad 2: 20$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 21 & 2: 21 & 2: 22 & 2: 22 & 2: 22 & 3 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 \\ 3: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 \\ 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}3: 9 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12\end{array} 3: 13$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 \\ 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 18\end{array} \quad 3: 18$

## 1 John

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1-3 & 1: 1-4 \\ 1: 1-4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 1: 4 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 \\ 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 \\ 1: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8-10 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10\end{array} 1: 10$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 2 & 2: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 \\ 2: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6\end{array} 2: 6$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 \\ 2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9-11 & 2: 9-11 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11\end{array} 2: 11$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12-14 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 14\end{array} 2: 14 \quad 2: 14$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 18\end{array} 2: 18 \quad 2: 18$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 \\ 2: 18-25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 18-3: 11 & 2: 19 & 2: 19 & 2: 19 & 2: 19 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 21 & 2: 21 & 2: 22\end{array} 2: 22 \quad 2: 22$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 22 & 2: 22 & 2: 22 & 2: 22 & 2: 22 & 2: 22 & 2: 22 & 2: 23 & 2: 23 & 2: 24 & 2: 24 & 2: 24 & 2: 25 \\ 2: 25 & 2: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2: 26 & 2: 26 & 2: 27 & 2: 27 & 2: 27 & 2: 27 & 2: 28 & 2: 28 & 2: 28 & 2: 28 & 2: 28 & 2: 28 & 2: 28 & 2: 28 \\ 2: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}2: 28 & 2: 29 & 2: 29 & 2: 29 & 2: 29 & 3 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 1 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 \\ 3: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4-8 & 3: 4-10\end{array} 3: 5$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 \\ 3: 8 & 3: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 \\ 3: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}3: 12 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 \\ 3: 16 & 3: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 18 & 3: 18 & 3: 19 & 3: 19 & 3: 19 & 3: 20 & 3: 20 & 3: 20 & 3: 20 & 3: 21 & 3: 22 \\ 3: 22 & 3: 22\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}3: 23 & 3: 23 & 3: 23 & 3: 23 & 3: 24 & 3: 24 & 3: 24 & 3: 24 & 4 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1 & 4: 1-6 & 4: 2\end{array} 4: 2$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}4: 2 & 4: 2 & 4: 2 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 5 & 4: 5 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6\end{array} 4: 7$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 10 & 4: 10 & 4: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}4: 10 & 4: 10 & 4: 10 & 4: 10 & 4: 10 & 4: 10 & 4: 10 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 12 & 4: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}4: 13 & 4: 13 & 4: 14 & 4: 14 & 4: 14 & 4: 14 & 4: 14 & 4: 15 & 4: 15 & 4: 16 & 4: 16 & 4: 16 & 4: 16 & 4: 17 & 4: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}4: 18 & 4: 18 & 4: 18 & 4: 19 & 4: 19 & 4: 19 & 4: 20 & 4: 20 & 4: 20 & 4: 21 & 5 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 \\ 5: 1-12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}5: 2 & 5: 2 & 5: 2 & 5: 2 & 5: 3 & 5: 3 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 4 & 5: 5 \\ 5: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}5: 5 & 5: 5 & 5: 6 & 5: 6 & 5: 6 & 5: 6-9 & 5: 7 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 13 & 5: 14 & 5: 14 & 5: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}5: 15 & 5: 16 & 5: 16 & 5: 16 & 5: 17 & 5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 18 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 & 5: 19 \\ 5: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}5: 20 & 5: 20 & 5: 20 & 5: 20 & 5: 20 & 5: 21 & 5: 21\end{array}$

## 2 John

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1\end{array} 1: 1$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12\end{array} 1: 13$

## 3 John

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1\end{array} 1: 1 \quad 1: 14$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5-7 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 \\ 1: 7\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13\end{array} 1: 14$ 1:14 1:14

## Jude

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1\end{array} 1: 1$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1-25 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 \\ 1: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}1: 3-1: 8 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 \\ 1: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 17 \\ 1: 18 & 1: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 19 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 21 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 23 & 1: 24 & 1: 25 & 1: 25\end{array} 1: 25$

## Revelation

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1 & 1: 1-20 & 1: 2 & 1: 2 & 1: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3 & 1: 3-5: 14 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 \\ 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 5 & 1: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7 & 1: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 7 & 1: 7-17 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8 & 1: 8-9: 16 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9 & 1: 9\end{array} 1: 9$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10 & 1: 10-11: 3 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 & 1: 11 \\ 1: 11 & 1: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12 & 1: 12-20 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 & 1: 13 \\ 1: 13-18 & 1: 13-18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 13-18 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14 & 1: 14-18: 2 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 & 1: 15 \\ 1: 15 & 1: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 16 & 1: 17 & 1: 17 \\ 1: 17 & 1: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 17 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 18 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19 & 1: 19-2: 1 \\ 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20 & 1: 20-3: 22 & 2 & 2: 1 & 2: 1 & 2: 1-29 & 2: 1-29 & 2: 1-29\end{array} 2: 1-29 \quad 2: 1-29$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 & 2: 5 \\ 2: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 9 & 2: 10\end{array} 2: 10 \quad 2: 10$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 10 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 11 & 2: 12 & 2: 12 & 2: 12\end{array} 2: 13$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 14 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 15\end{array} 2: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 16 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 & 2: 17 \\ 2: 17 & 2: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 17 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 18 & 2: 19 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 20 \\ 2: 20 & 2: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 20 & 2: 20 & 2: 21 & 2: 21 & 2: 22 & 2: 22 & 2: 22 & 2: 23 & 2: 23 & 2: 23 & 2: 23 & 2: 24 & 2: 24 \\ 2: 25 & 2: 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2: 25 & 2: 26 & 2: 26 & 2: 26 & 2: 26 & 2: 26 & 2: 27 & 2: 27 & 2: 27 & 2: 27 & 2: 27 & 2: 28 & 2: 28 & 3\end{array} 3: 1$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}3: 1-22 & 3: 1-22 & 3: 1-22 & 3: 1-22 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 \\ 3: 4 & 3: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 \\ 3: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 10\end{array} 3: 10 \quad 3: 10$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 14 \\ 3: 14 & 3: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 & 3: 18 \\ 3: 18 & 3: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}3: 18 & 3: 19 & 3: 20 & 3: 20 & 3: 20 & 3: 20 & 3: 20 & 3: 21 & 3: 21 & 3: 21 & 3: 21 & 3: 21 & 3: 21 & 4 & 4\end{array} 4: 1$
$4: 1 \quad 4: 1 \quad 4: 1 \quad 4: 1 \quad 4: 1 \quad 4: 1 \quad 4: 1 \quad 4: 1 \quad 4: 1 \quad 4: 1 \quad 4: 1-11 \quad 4: 1-11 \quad 4: 1-11 \quad 4: 1-11 \quad 4: 1-11$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}4: 1-11 & 4: 2 & 4: 2 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 4 & 4: 5\end{array} 4: 5$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}4: 5 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6 & 4: 6-9 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 8 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 9 & 4: 10 & 4: 10 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 4: 11 & 5 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 \\ 5: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1 & 5: 1-14 & 5: 1-14 & 5: 1-14 & 5: 2 & 5: 2 & 5: 3 & 5: 3 & 5: 3 & 5: 3 & 5: 3 & 5: 4 & 5: 5 \\ 5: 5 & 5: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}5: 5 & 5: 5 & 5: 5 & 5: 5 & 5: 5 & 5: 5 & 5: 5 & 5: 6 & 5: 6 & 5: 6 & 5: 6 & 5: 6 & 5: 6 & 5: 6 & 5: 6 & 5: 6 & 5: 6 & 5: 6 & 5: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 7 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 8 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 \\ 5: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9 & 5: 9-12 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 10 & 5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 11 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 12 & 5: 13 & 5: 13 & 5: 13 & 5: 13 & 5: 13 & 5: 13 & 5: 14\end{array} 6$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}6: 1 & 6: 1 & 6: 1-17 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 2 & 6: 3 & 6: 3 & 6: 3 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 4 & 6: 5 \\ 6: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}6: 5 & 6: 6 & 6: 6 & 6: 7 & 6: 8 & 6: 8 & 6: 8 & 6: 8 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 9 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10 & 6: 10\end{array} \quad 6: 10$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 11 & 6: 11 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 12 & 6: 13 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 14 & 6: 15 & 6: 15 \\ 6: 15 & 6: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}6: 15 & 6: 15 & 6: 16 & 6: 17 & 7 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1 & 7: 1-3 & 7: 1-8 & 7: 1-8 & 7: 1-17 & 7: 1-15: 8 & 7: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 2 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 3 & 7: 4 & 7: 4-8 & 7: 4-8 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9 & 7: 9-17\end{array} 7: 10$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}7: 10 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 11 & 7: 12 & 7: 12 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 13 & 7: 14 & 7: 14 & 7: 14 & 7: 14 & 7: 14 & 7: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}7: 14 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15 & 7: 15-17 & 7: 16 & 7: 16 & 7: 17 & 7: 17 & 7: 17 & 7: 17 \\ 7: 17 & 7: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 17 & 8 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1 & 8: 1-13 & 8: 1-11: 19 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 2 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 \\ 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3 & 8: 3-6 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 4 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 5 & 8: 6 & 8: 6 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 7 & 8: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}8: 7-12 & 8: 8 & 8: 8 & 8: 9 & 8: 9 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 10 & 8: 11 & 8: 11 & 8: 11 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 & 8: 12 \\ 8: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 8: 13 & 9 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 & 9: 1 \\ 9: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}9: 1 & 9: 1-11 & 9: 1-21 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 2 & 9: 3 & 9: 3 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 4 & 9: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 5 & 9: 6 & 9: 6 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 7 & 9: 8 & 9: 9 & 9: 9 & 9: 10 \\ 9: 10 & 9: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 10 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 11 & 9: 12 & 9: 12 & 9: 12 & 9: 12 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 13 & 9: 14 \\ 9: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}9: 15 & 9: 15 & 9: 16 & 9: 16 & 9: 17 & 9: 17 & 9: 17 & 9: 17 & 9: 17 & 9: 17 & 9: 18 & 9: 18 & 9: 19 & 9: 19 & 9: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 20 & 9: 20 & 9: 20 & 9: 20 & 9: 20 & 9: 21 & 9: 21 & 9: 21 & 9: 21 & 10 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 & 10: 1 \\ 10: 1-11: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}10: 1-11: 14 & 10: 2 & 10: 2 & 10: 2 & 10: 2 & 10: 2 & 10: 2 & 10: 3 & 10: 4 & 10: 4 & 10: 4 & 10: 4 & 10: 5 \\ 10: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}10: 6 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 7 & 10: 8 & 10: 8 & 10: 9 & 10: 9 & 10: 9 & 10: 9 & 10: 9 & 10: 10 & 10: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}10: 11 & 10: 11 & 10: 11 & 11 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1 & 11: 1-13 & 11: 1-13 & 11: 1-19 & 11: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 2 & 11: 2 & 11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 3 & 11: 3-12 & 11: 4 & 11: 4 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 & 11: 5 \\ 11: 6 & 11: 6 & 11: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}11: 7 & 11: 7 & 11: 7 & 11: 7 & 11: 8 & 11: 8 & 11: 8 & 11: 8 & 11: 8 & 11: 9 & 11: 9 & 11: 9 & 11: 9 & 11: 10 & 11: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 10 & 11: 11 & 11: 11 & 11: 12 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 & 11: 13 \\ 11: 13 & 11: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 14 & 11: 15 & 11: 15 & 11: 15 & 11: 15 & 11: 15 & 11: 15 & 11: 15 & 11: 15 & 11: 15 & 11: 15 & 11: 15\end{array} 11: 16$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 16 & 11: 17 & 11: 17 & 11: 17 & 11: 18 & 11: 18 & 11: 18 & 11: 18 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 19 & 11: 19\end{array} 11: 19$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll} & 12: 1 & 12: 1 & 12: 1-17 & 12: 1-17 & 12: 1-17 & 12: 1-17 & 12: 1-14: 20 & 12: 2 & 12: 2 & 12: 2\end{array} \quad 12: 3$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 3 & 12: 4 & 12: 4 & 12: 4 & 12: 4 & 12: 5 & 12: 5 & 12: 5 \\ 12: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 6 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 7 & 12: 8 & 12: 9 & 12: 9\end{array} 12: 9$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 9 & 12: 9 & 12: 9 & 12: 9 & 12: 9 & 12: 9 & 12: 10 & 12: 10 & 12: 10 & 12: 10 & 12: 10 & 12: 10-13\end{array} 12: 11$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 11 & 12: 11 & 12: 12 & 12: 12 & 12: 12 & 12: 12 & 12: 12 & 12: 12 & 12: 13 & 12: 13 & 12: 13\end{array} 12: 14 \quad 12: 14$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 14 & 12: 14 & 12: 14 & 12: 14 & 12: 14 & 12: 15 & 12: 16 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 12: 17 & 13\end{array} 13: 1$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1 & 13: 1-18 & 13: 1-18 & 13: 1-18 & 13: 2 & 13: 2\end{array} 13: 2$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 2 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 3 & 13: 4 & 13: 4 & 13: 4 & 13: 4 & 13: 4 & 13: 5 \\ 13: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}13: 5 & 13: 5 & 13: 6 & 13: 6 & 13: 7 & 13: 7 & 13: 8 & 13: 8 & 13: 8 & 13: 8 & 13: 8 & 13: 8 & 13: 8 & 13: 8 & 13: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}13: 9 & 13: 10 & 13: 10 & 13: 10 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 11 & 13: 11-14 & 13: 11-17 & 13: 12 & 13: 12\end{array} 13: 13$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 13 & 13: 13 & 13: 14 & 13: 14 & 13: 14 & 13: 14 & 13: 15 & 13: 15 & 13: 15 & 13: 16 & 13: 16 & 13: 16 \\ 13: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13: 16 & 13: 17 & 13: 17 & 13: 17 & 13: 17 & 13: 18 & 13: 18 & 13: 18 & 13: 18 & 13: 18 & 14 & 14: 1 & 14: 1 \\ 14: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}14: 2 & 14: 2 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 3 & 14: 4 & 14: 4 & 14: 4 & 14: 4 & 14: 5 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 & 14: 6 \\ 14: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 7 & 14: 7 & 14: 7 & 14: 7 & 14: 8 & 14: 8 & 14: 8 & 14: 8 & 14: 8 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 9 & 14: 9-12\end{array} 14: 10 \quad 14: 10$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 10 & 14: 10 & 14: 10 & 14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 11 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 12 & 14: 13 \\ 14: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 13 & 14: 14 & 14: 14 & 14: 14 & 14: 15\end{array} 14: 15$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 15 & 14: 15-17 & 14: 16 & 14: 16 & 14: 16 & 14: 17 & 14: 17 & 14: 18 \\ 14: 18 & 14: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 18 & 14: 18 & 14: 18 & 14: 18-20 & 14: 19 & 14: 19 & 14: 20 & 14: 20 & 14: 20 & 15 & 15: 1 & 15: 1 \\ 15: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}15: 1 & 15: 1 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 2 & 15: 2-4 & 15: 3 & 15: 3 & 15: 3 & 15: 3 & 15: 4 & 15: 4 & 15: 4 & 15: 5 \\ 15: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}15: 5 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 6 & 15: 7 & 15: 7 & 15: 7 & 15: 8 & 15: 8 & 15: 8 & 15: 8 & 15: 8 & 16 & 16: 1 & 16: 1 & 16: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}16: 1 & 16: 1-4 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 2 & 16: 3 & 16: 3 & 16: 3 & 16: 4 & 16: 4 & 16: 5 & 16: 5 \\ 16: 5 & 16: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}16: 6 & 16: 6 & 16: 6 & 16: 7 & 16: 7 & 16: 7 & 16: 7 & 16: 8 & 16: 8 & 16: 8 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 9 & 16: 9\end{array} 16: 10$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}16: 10 & 16: 10 & 16: 10 & 16: 10 & 16: 10 & 16: 11 & 16: 12 & 16: 12 & 16: 12 & 16: 12 & 16: 12\end{array} 16: 12-17: 1$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}16: 13 & 16: 13 & 16: 13 & 16: 14 & 16: 14 & 16: 14 & 16: 14 & 16: 14 & 16: 14 & 16: 14 & 16: 15 & 16: 15 & 16: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 15 & 16: 15 & 16: 15 & 16: 15 & 16: 15 & 16: 15 & 16: 16 & 16: 16 & 16: 16 & 16: 17 & 16: 17 & 16: 17 \\ 16: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}16: 17 & 16: 18 & 16: 18 & 16: 18 & 16: 18 & 16: 19 & 16: 19 & 16: 19-20: 9 & 16: 20 & 16: 20 & 16: 20 \\ 16: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}16: 21 & 16: 21 & 17 & 17: 1 & 17: 1 & 17: 1 & 17: 1 & 17: 1 & 17: 1 & 17: 1 & 17: 1 & 17: 1-18 & 17: 1-18: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}17: 1-19: 21 & 17: 2 & 17: 2 & 17: 2 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 3 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 4 & 17: 5 & 17: 5 & 17: 6 & 17: 6 & 17: 6 & 17: 6 & 17: 6 & 17: 7 & 17: 7 & 17: 7 & 17: 7 & 17: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}17: 7-18 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 8 & 17: 9\end{array} 17: 917: 9$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}17: 9 & 17: 10 & 17: 10 & 17: 10 & 17: 11 & 17: 11 & 17: 12 & 17: 12 & 17: 12 & 17: 12 & 17: 13 & 17: 13\end{array} 17: 13$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}17: 14 & 17: 14 & 17: 14 & 17: 14 & 17: 14 & 17: 14 & 17: 15 & 17: 15 & 17: 16 & 17: 16 & 17: 16 & 17: 16\end{array} 17: 16$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}17: 17 & 17: 17 & 17: 17 & 17: 18 & 17: 18 & 18 & 18: 1 & 18: 1 & 18: 1 & 18: 1-24 & 18: 1-24 & 18: 2\end{array} 18: 2 \quad 18: 2$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}18: 2 & 18: 2 & 18: 3 & 18: 3 & 18: 3 & 18: 3 & 18: 4 & 18: 4 & 18: 5 & 18: 5 & 18: 5 & 18: 6 & 18: 7 & 18: 7 \\ 18: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}18: 7 & 18: 7 & 18: 7 & 18: 8 & 18: 8 & 18: 8 & 18: 8 & 18: 9 & 18: 9 & 18: 9 & 18: 9 & 18: 9 & 18: 9 & 18: 9 & 18: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 10 & 18: 10 & 18: 10 & 18: 10 & 18: 11 & 18: 11 & 18: 11 & 18: 11 & 18: 11 & 18: 12 & 18: 12 & 18: 12\end{array} 18: 12$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}18: 12 & 18: 12 & 18: 12 & 18: 12 & 18: 12-14 & 18: 13 & 18: 13 & 18: 13 & 18: 13 & 18: 14 & 18: 15\end{array} 18: 15$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 15 & 18: 16 & 18: 16 & 18: 16 & 18: 16 & 18: 16 & 18: 17 & 18: 17 & 18: 17 & 18: 17 & 18: 18 & 18: 18\end{array} 18: 18$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}18: 18 & 18: 19 & 18: 20 & 18: 20 & 18: 21 & 18: 21 & 18: 21 & 18: 21-23 & 18: 22 & 18: 22 & 18: 22 \\ 18: 22\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 23 & 18: 23 & 18: 23 & 18: 23 & 18: 23 & 18: 23 & 18: 23 & 18: 24 & 18: 24 & 19 & 19: 1 & 19: 1 \\ 19: 1 & 19: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 1 & 19: 1 & 19: 1 & 19: 1-5 & 19: 1-21 & 19: 2 & 19: 2 & 19: 2 & 19: 2 & 19: 3 & 19: 3 & 19: 3 \\ 19: 4 & 19: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}19: 5 & 19: 5 & 19: 6 & 19: 6 & 19: 6 & 19: 7 & 19: 7 & 19: 7 & 19: 7 & 19: 7 & 19: 7 & 19: 7 & 19: 7 & 19: 7-9 & 19: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}19: 8 & 19: 8 & 19: 8 & 19: 8 & 19: 9 & 19: 9 & 19: 9 & 19: 9 & 19: 9 & 19: 10 & 19: 10 & 19: 10 & 19: 10\end{array} 19: 10$

```
\(\begin{array}{lllllllllll}19: 10 & 19: 11 & 19: 11 & 19: 11-16 & 19: 11-21 & 19: 12 & 19: 12 & 19: 12 & 19: 12 & 19: 12 & 19: 13\end{array} 19: 13\) \(\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 13 & 19: 13 & 19: 13 & 19: 14 & 19: 14 & 19: 14 & 19: 14 & 19: 14 & 19: 14 & 19: 14 & 19: 14 & 19: 15\end{array} 19: 15\) \(\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}19: 15 & 19: 15 & 19: 15 & 19: 15 & 19: 16 & 19: 16 & 19: 16 & 19: 16 & 19: 17 & 19: 17 & 19: 17 & 19: 17 & 19: 17\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 17 & 19: 18 & 19: 18 & 19: 19 & 19: 19 & 19: 20 & 19: 20 & 19: 20 & 19: 20 & 19: 20 & 19: 20 & 19: 21\end{array} 19: 21\) \(\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}19: 21 & 19: 21 & 20 & 20: 1 & 20: 1 & 20: 1 & 20: 1 & 20: 1-15 & 20: 1-15 & 20: 2 & 20: 2 & 20: 2 & 20: 3\end{array} 20: 3\) \(\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}20: 3 & 20: 3 & 20: 3 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 4 & 20: 4-6 & 20: 4-6 & 20: 5 & 20: 5 & 20: 5 \\ 20: 5 & 20: 6\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}20: 6 & 20: 6 & 20: 7 & 20: 7 & 20: 7 & 20: 7 & 20: 7-10 & 20: 8 & 20: 8 & 20: 8 & 20: 9 & 20: 9 & 20: 9\end{array} 20: 10 \quad 20: 10\) \(\begin{array}{llllllllllll}20: 10 & 20: 10 & 20: 11 & 20: 11 & 20: 11 & 20: 11 & 20: 11 & 20: 11-15 & 20: 12 & 20: 12 & 20: 12 & 20: 13\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}20: 13 & 20: 13 & 20: 13 & 20: 14 & 20: 14 & 20: 14 & 20: 14 & 20: 15 & 20: 15 & 20: 15 & 21 & 21: 1 & 21: 1\end{array} 21: 1\) \(\begin{array}{llllllllllll}21: 1 & 21: 1-8 & 21: 1-27 & 21: 1-27 & 21: 2 & 21: 2 & 21: 2 & 21: 2 & 21: 2 & 21: 2 & 21: 2 & 21: 2\end{array} 21: 3 ~ 21: 3\) \(\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}21: 3 & 21: 3 & 21: 3 & 21: 3 & 21: 3 & 21: 3 & 21: 3-7 & 21: 4 & 21: 4 & 21: 4 & 21: 4 & 21: 5 & 21: 5 & 21: 5 & 21: 5\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}21: 6 & 21: 6 & 21: 6 & 21: 6 & 21: 6 & 21: 6 & 21: 7 & 21: 7 & 21: 7 & 21: 7 & 21: 8 & 21: 8 & 21: 8 \\ 21: 8 & 21: 8\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}21: 8 & 21: 8 & 21: 8 & 21: 8 & 21: 8 & 21: 8 & 21: 9 & 21: 9 & 21: 9 & 21: 9 & 21: 9 & 21: 9 & 21: 9-22: 2 & 21: 9-22: 5\end{array}\) 21:9-22:5 21:10 21:10 21:10 21:10 21:10 \(21: 10 \quad 21: 10-22: 5 \quad 21: 11 \quad 21: 11 \quad 21: 11 \quad 21: 11\) \(\begin{array}{llllllllllll}21: 11 & 21: 12 & 21: 12 & 21: 12 & 21: 12 & 21: 12 & 21: 13 & 21: 13 & 21: 13 & 21: 14 & 21: 14 & 21: 14\end{array} 21: 14\) \(\begin{array}{lllllllllll}21: 15 & 21: 15 & 21: 15 & 21: 15 & 21: 16 & 21: 16 & 21: 16 & 21: 17 & 21: 18 & 21: 18 & 21: 19\end{array} 21: 19 \quad 21: 19\) \(\begin{array}{lllllllllll}21: 20 & 21: 20 & 21: 20 & 21: 21 & 21: 21 & 21: 21 & 21: 21 & 21: 21 & 21: 22 & 21: 22 & 21: 22 \\ 21: 23 & 21: 23\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllllllll}21: 23 & 21: 23 & 21: 23 & 21: 24 & 21: 24 & 21: 24 & 21: 25 & 21: 25 & 21: 25 & 21: 26\end{array} 21: 27 \quad 21: 27 \quad 21: 27\) \(\begin{array}{llllllllllll}21: 27 & 21: 27 & 21: 27 & 22 & 22: 1 & 22: 1 & 22: 1 & 22: 1 & 22: 1 & 22: 1 & 22: 1-5 & 22: 1-5\end{array} 22: 1-21 \quad 22: 1-21\) \(\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}22: 2 & 22: 2 & 22: 2 & 22: 2 & 22: 2 & 22: 2 & 22: 3 & 22: 3 & 22: 3 & 22: 3 & 22: 4 & 22: 4 & 22: 5\end{array} 22: 5 \quad 22: 5\) \(\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}22: 5 & 22: 6 & 22: 6 & 22: 6 & 22: 6 & 22: 6 & 22: 6 & 22: 6 & 22: 6 & 22: 7 & 22: 7 & 22: 7 & 22: 7 & 22: 8 & 22: 8\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllllllllll}22: 8 & 22: 8 & 22: 9 & 22: 9 & 22: 10 & 22: 11 & 22: 11 & 22: 11 & 22: 12 & 22: 12 & 22: 12 & 22: 12\end{array} \quad 22: 12\) \(\begin{array}{llllllllllll}22: 13 & 22: 13 & 22: 13 & 22: 14 & 22: 14 & 22: 14 & 22: 14 & 22: 14 & 22: 14 & 22: 14 & 22: 15 & 22: 15\end{array} 22: 15\) \(\begin{array}{llllllllllll}22: 15 & 22: 15 & 22: 15 & 22: 15 & 22: 15 & 22: 16 & 22: 16 & 22: 16 & 22: 16 & 22: 16 & 22: 16 & 22: 17\end{array} 22: 17\) \(\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}22: 17 & 22: 17 & 22: 17 & 22: 17 & 22: 17 & 22: 18 & 22: 18 & 22: 19 & 22: 19 & 22: 20 & 22: 20 & 22: 20 & 22: 21\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllllll}22: 21 & 22: 21 & 22: 21 & 22: 21 & 22: 21 & 22: 21 & 22: 21\end{array} \quad 22: 21-21\)
```


## Tobit

$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 18 & 2: 10 & 4: 3 & 4: 3 & 4: 15 & 5: 9 & 5: 18 & 5: 18 & 6: 12 & 7: 11 & 8: 1-3 & 10: 11\end{array} 11: 13$

## Wisdom of Solomon

$\begin{array}{lllllllll}2: 8 & 5: 18 & 7: 22 & 7: 22 & 7: 26 & 10: 6 & 11: 23 & 16: 3 & 17: 2\end{array} 17: 10$

## Baruch

27
1 Maccabees
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 15 & 1: 24 & 1: 54 & 1: 54 & 1: 59 & 2: 3 & 2: 15 & 2: 15 & 2: 29 & 2: 65 & 3: 29 & 4: 23 & 4: 59 & 6: 7 \\ 7: 41 & 9: 26\end{array}$ 12:10 13:51 13:51

2 Maccabees
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 7 & 1: 8 & 2: 5 & 2: 7 & 2: 21 & 2: 28 & 3: 2-7 & 4: 27 & 4: 38 & 5: 8 & 5: 19 & 5: 27 & 6: 1-5 & 6: 4 \\ 6: 21-27 & 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}7: 4 & 7: 27 & 8: 1 & 8: 11 & 8: 15 & 8: 18 & 9: 9 & 9: 11 & 10: 6 & 10: 7 & 12: 35 & 14: 19\end{array} 14: 38$

## 2 Esdras

7:28 13:32
3 Maccabees
3:7 3:29 4:9 5:35 5:51 7:20 7:21
4 Maccabees
1:7 14:17
Sirach
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 11 & 15: 11 & 18: 9 & 26: 7 & 28: 22 & 30: 12 & 32: 21 & 34: 2 & 41: 22 & 42: 1 & 45: 1\end{array}$

## Index of Scripture Commentary

## Matthew

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 18 & 1: 19 & 1: 20 & 1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 1: 24 & 1: 25 & 2: 1 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 \\ 2: 7 & 2: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2: 9 & 2: 10 & 2: 11 & 2: 12 & 2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 20 & 2: 22 & 2: 23 & 3: 1 & 3: 2 & 3: 4 & 3: 6 & 3: 7 \\ 3: 8 & 3: 9 & 3: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 13 & 3: 14 & 3: 15 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 & 4: 1 & 4: 2 & 4: 3 & 4: 5 & 4: 6 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 4: 9 \\ 4: 10 & 4: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}4: 12 & 4: 13 & 4: 16 & 4: 17 & 4: 18 & 4: 19 & 4: 21 & 4: 23 & 4: 24 & 4: 25 & 5: 1 & 5: 2 & 5: 3 & 5: 4 & 5: 5 & 5: 6\end{array} 5: 7$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}5: 8 & 5: 9 & 5: 10 & 5: 11 & 5: 13 & 5: 15 & 5: 16 & 5: 17 & 5: 18 & 5: 19 & 5: 20 & 5: 22 & 5: 24 & 5: 25 \\ 5: 26 & 5: 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}5: 28 & 5: 29 & 5: 31 & 5: 32 & 5: 34 & 5: 38 & 5: 39 & 5: 40 & 5: 41 & 5: 42 & 5: 43 & 5: 48 & 6: 1 & 6: 2 & 6: 4 \\ 6: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 6 & 6: 7 & 6: 9 & 6: 11 & 6: 12 & 6: 13 & 6: 14 & 6: 16 & 6: 18 & 6: 19 & 6: 20 & 6: 22 & 6: 24 \\ 6: 25 & 6: 27 & 6: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}6: 29 & 6: 30 & 6: 33 & 6: 34 & 7: 1 & 7: 3 & 7: 5 & 7: 6 & 7: 9 & 7: 10 & 7: 11 & 7: 12 & 7: 13 & 7: 15 & 7: 16 & 7: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}7: 21 & 7: 22 & 7: 24 & 7: 25 & 7: 26 & 7: 28 & 7: 29 & 8: 2 & 8: 5 & 8: 6 & 8: 7 & 8: 9 & 8: 10 & 8: 11 & 8: 12 \\ 8: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 15 & 8: 16 & 8: 17 & 8: 19 & 8: 20 & 8: 21 & 8: 22 & 8: 24 & 8: 25 & 8: 27 & 8: 28 & 8: 29 & 8: 32 & 8: 34 \\ 9: 1 & 9: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 3 & 9: 6 & 9: 9 & 9: 10 & 9: 12 & 9: 13 & 9: 14 & 9: 15 & 9: 16 & 9: 17 & 9: 18 & 9: 20 & 9: 23 \\ 9: 27 & 9: 29 & 9: 30\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 32 & 9: 34 & 9: 35 & 9: 36 & 9: 38 & 10: 1 & 10: 2 & 10: 5 & 10: 6 & 10: 7 & 10: 9 & 10: 10 & 10: 13 & 10: 14\end{array} 10: 15$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 16 & 10: 17 & 10: 19 & 10: 22 & 10: 23 & 10: 25 & 10: 26 & 10: 28 & 10: 29 & 10: 31 & 10: 32 & 10: 33 \\ 10: 34\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}10: 35 & 10: 38 & 10: 39 & 10: 41 & 11: 1 & 11: 2 & 11: 3 & 11: 4 & 11: 5 & 11: 6 & 11: 7 & 11: 9 & 11: 11\end{array} 11: 12$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 14 & 11: 17 & 11: 19 & 11: 20 & 11: 21 & 11: 25 & 11: 26 & 11: 27 & 11: 28 & 11: 29 & 12: 1\end{array} 12: 212: 3$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 6 & 12: 7 & 12: 9 & 12: 10 & 12: 12 & 12: 13 & 12: 14 & 12: 15 & 12: 17 & 12: 18 & 12: 20\end{array} 12: 23 \quad 12: 24$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 25 & 12: 30 & 12: 31 & 12: 34 & 12: 36 & 12: 38 & 12: 39 & 12: 40 & 12: 41 & 12: 44 & 12: 46 & 12: 47\end{array} \quad 12: 49$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 1 & 13: 2 & 13: 3 & 13: 4 & 13: 5 & 13: 6 & 13: 7 & 13: 8 & 13: 9 & 13: 10 & 13: 11 & 13: 13 \\ 13: 14 & 13: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 16 & 13: 18 & 13: 19 & 13: 21 & 13: 22 & 13: 23 & 13: 24 & 13: 25 & 13: 26 & 13: 29 & 13: 30 & 13: 31\end{array} 13: 32$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 33 & 13: 35 & 13: 36 & 13: 38 & 13: 41 & 13: 43 & 13: 44 & 13: 46 & 13: 47 & 13: 48 & 13: 52 & 13: 54 \\ 13: 57\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13: 58 & 14: 1 & 14: 2 & 14: 3 & 14: 4 & 14: 6 & 14: 7 & 14: 8 & 14: 9 & 14: 10 & 14: 11 & 14: 12 & 14: 13\end{array} 14: 14$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 15 & 14: 16 & 14: 17 & 14: 18 & 14: 19 & 14: 20 & 14: 21 & 14: 22 & 14: 23 & 14: 24 & 14: 25 & 14: 26\end{array} 14: 28$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}14: 30 & 14: 31 & 14: 32 & 14: 33 & 14: 34 & 15: 1 & 15: 2 & 15: 3 & 15: 5 & 15: 6 & 15: 7 & 15: 11 & 15: 12 & 15: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15: 15 & 15: 16 & 15: 17 & 15: 18 & 15: 22 & 15: 23 & 15: 24 & 15: 27 & 15: 28 & 15: 29 & 15: 30\end{array} 15: 32 \quad 15: 33$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}15: 34 & 15: 35 & 15: 36 & 15: 39 & 16: 1 & 16: 2 & 16: 3 & 16: 4 & 16: 5 & 16: 7 & 16: 8 & 16: 12 & 16: 13 & 16: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 15 & 16: 16 & 16: 17 & 16: 18 & 16: 19 & 16: 20 & 16: 21 & 16: 22 & 16: 23 & 16: 24 & 16: 25 & 16: 26\end{array} \quad 16: 28$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}17: 1 & 17: 2 & 17: 3 & 17: 4 & 17: 5 & 17: 7 & 17: 8 & 17: 9 & 17: 10 & 17: 12 & 17: 13 & 17: 15 & 17: 17\end{array} 17: 20$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}17: 23 & 17: 24 & 17: 25 & 17: 26 & 17: 27 & 18: 1 & 18: 2 & 18: 3 & 18: 4 & 18: 5 & 18: 6 & 18: 7 & 18: 8 \\ 18: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 12 & 18: 14 & 18: 15 & 18: 16 & 18: 17 & 18: 18 & 18: 19 & 18: 20 & 18: 21 & 18: 22 & 18: 23 & 18: 24\end{array} 18: 25$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 27 & 18: 28 & 18: 30 & 18: 31 & 18: 33 & 18: 34 & 18: 35 & 19: 1 & 19: 3 & 19: 5 & 19: 6 & 19: 8 \\ 18: 9 & 19: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}19: 11 & 19: 13 & 19: 14 & 19: 16 & 19: 17 & 19: 20 & 19: 21 & 19: 22 & 19: 23 & 19: 24 & 19: 25 & 19: 26 & 19: 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}19: 28 & 19: 29 & 19: 30 & 20: 1 & 20: 2 & 20: 3 & 20: 4 & 20: 6 & 20: 10 & 20: 11 & 20: 12 & 20: 13 & 20: 14\end{array} \quad 20: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}20: 16 & 20: 17 & 20: 19 & 20: 20 & 20: 22 & 20: 23 & 20: 24 & 20: 25 & 20: 26 & 20: 28 & 20: 29\end{array} 20: 30 \quad 20: 34$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}21: 1 & 21: 2 & 21: 3 & 21: 4 & 21: 5 & 21: 7 & 21: 8 & 21: 9 & 21: 10 & 21: 12 & 21: 13 & 21: 15 & 21: 16\end{array} 21: 17$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}21: 18 & 21: 19 & 21: 21 & 21: 22 & 21: 24 & 21: 25 & 21: 29 & 21: 31 & 21: 32 & 21: 33\end{array} 21: 34 \quad 21: 35 \quad 21: 38$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}21: 41 & 21: 42 & 21: 43 & 21: 44 & 21: 45 & 21: 46 & 22: 1 & 22: 2 & 22: 3 & 22: 4 & 22: 5 & 22: 7 \\ 22: 9 & 22: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}22: 12 & 22: 13 & 22: 14 & 22: 15 & 22: 16 & 22: 19 & 22: 20 & 22: 21 & 22: 24 & 22: 33 & 22: 34\end{array} 22: 36 \quad 22: 42$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}23: 2 & 23: 3 & 23: 4 & 23: 5 & 23: 6 & 23: 7 & 23: 8 & 23: 9 & 23: 10 & 23: 12 & 23: 13 & 23: 15\end{array} 23: 16 \quad 23: 17$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}23: 23 & 23: 24 & 23: 25 & 23: 27 & 23: 29 & 23: 32 & 23: 33 & 23: 35 & 23: 37 & 24: 1 & 24: 2 & 24: 3 & 24: 4 & 24: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}24: 6 & 24: 8 & 24: 9 & 24: 11 & 24: 12 & 24: 14 & 24: 15 & 24: 16 & 24: 17 & 24: 18 & 24: 20 & 24: 22\end{array} 24: 23$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}24: 24 & 24: 26 & 24: 27 & 24: 28 & 24: 29 & 24: 30 & 24: 31 & 24: 32 & 24: 34 & 24: 36 & 24: 37 & 24: 38 \\ 24: 41\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}24: 42 & 24: 43 & 24: 44 & 24: 48 & 25: 1 & 25: 3 & 25: 4 & 25: 5 & 25: 6 & 25: 7 & 25: 8 & 25: 9\end{array} 25: 10 \quad 25: 11$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}25: 12 & 25: 13 & 25: 14 & 25: 15 & 25: 16 & 25: 19 & 25: 21 & 25: 24 & 25: 26 & 25: 27 & 25: 30\end{array} 25: 32 \quad 25: 34$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}25: 36 & 25: 40 & 25: 42 & 25: 46 & 26: 2 & 26: 3 & 26: 4 & 26: 5 & 26: 6 & 26: 7 & 26: 8 & 26: 10 & 26: 12\end{array} \quad 26: 15$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}26: 16 & 26: 17 & 26: 18 & 26: 20 & 26: 21 & 26: 22 & 26: 23 & 26: 24 & 26: 26 & 26: 28 & 26: 29 & 26: 30 & 26: 33\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}26: 35 & 26: 36 & 26: 37 & 26: 38 & 26: 39 & 26: 40 & 26: 41 & 26: 43 & 26: 45 & 26: 46 & 26: 47 & 26: 48 & 26: 50\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}26: 51 & 26: 52 & 26: 53 & 26: 54 & 26: 55 & 26: 58 & 26: 59 & 26: 60 & 26: 61 & 26: 63 & 26: 64\end{array} 26: 65 \quad 26: 66$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}26: 68 & 26: 69 & 26: 70 & 26: 71 & 26: 72 & 26: 73 & 26: 74 & 26: 75 & 27: 1 & 27: 2 & 27: 3 & 27: 4 & 27: 5 & 27: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}27: 7 & 27: 8 & 27: 9 & 27: 11 & 27: 14 & 27: 17 & 27: 18 & 27: 19 & 27: 20 & 27: 22 & 27: 23 & 27: 24\end{array} 27: 25$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}27: 26 & 27: 27 & 27: 28 & 27: 29 & 27: 32 & 27: 33 & 27: 34 & 27: 36 & 27: 37 & 27: 38 & 27: 39\end{array} 27: 40 \quad 27: 41$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}27: 42 & 27: 43 & 27: 44 & 27: 45 & 27: 46 & 27: 48 & 27: 49 & 27: 50 & 27: 51 & 27: 52 & 27: 54 & 27: 55 \\ 27: 57\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}27: 63 & 27: 64 & 27: 65 & 27: 66 & 28: 1 & 28: 2 & 28: 3 & 28: 4 & 28: 5 & 28: 6 & 28: 7 & 28: 8 & 28: 9 & 28: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}28: 11 & 28: 12 & 28: 13 & 28: 14 & 28: 17 & 28: 18 & 28: 19 & 28: 20\end{array}$

## Mark

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 16 & 1: 17 & 1: 19\end{array} 1: 20$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 1: 24 & 1: 25 & 1: 26 & 1: 27 & 1: 28 & 1: 29 & 1: 32 & 1: 33 & 1: 34 \\ 1: 35 & 1: 36 & 1: 38\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 39 & 1: 40 & 1: 41 & 1: 43 & 1: 44 & 1: 45 & 2: 1 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 10 & 2: 12\end{array} 2: 13 \quad 2: 14$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2: 16 & 2: 17 & 2: 18 & 2: 19 & 2: 21 & 2: 22 & 2: 23 & 2: 26 & 2: 27 & 2: 28 & 3: 1 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 \\ 3: 5 & 3: 6 & 3: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}3: 8 & 3: 9 & 3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 13 & 3: 14 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 & 3: 19 & 3: 20 & 3: 21 & 3: 23 & 3: 27 \\ 3: 29 & 3: 31 & 3: 32\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 34 & 4: 1 & 4: 2 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 4: 10 & 4: 11 & 4: 12 & 4: 13 & 4: 14 & 4: 15 & 4: 19 & 4: 20 & 4: 21 \\ 4: 22 & 4: 23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}4: 24 & 4: 25 & 4: 26 & 4: 27 & 4: 28 & 4: 29 & 4: 30 & 4: 31 & 4: 32 & 4: 33 & 4: 34 & 4: 35 & 4: 36 & 4: 37 \\ 4: 38\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}4: 39 & 4: 40 & 4: 41 & 5: 1 & 5: 2 & 5: 3 & 5: 4 & 5: 5 & 5: 6 & 5: 7 & 5: 8 & 5: 9 & 5: 13 & 5: 14 & 5: 15 & 5: 17 \\ 5: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5: 19 & 5: 20 & 5: 23 & 5: 24 & 5: 26 & 5: 28 & 5: 29 & 5: 30 & 5: 31 & 5: 32 & 5: 33 & 5: 34 & 5: 35 \\ 5: 36 & 5: 37\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}5: 38 & 5: 39 & 5: 40 & 5: 41 & 5: 42 & 5: 43 & 6: 1 & 6: 2 & 6: 3 & 6: 6 & 6: 7 & 6: 8 & 6: 9 & 6: 10 & 6: 11 \\ 6: 12 & 6: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 14 & 6: 16 & 6: 17 & 6: 18 & 6: 19 & 6: 20 & 6: 21 & 6: 22 & 6: 23 & 6: 24 & 6: 25 & 6: 26 & 6: 27 \\ 6: 29 & 6: 30\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 31 & 6: 32 & 6: 33 & 6: 34 & 6: 35 & 6: 36 & 6: 38 & 6: 39 & 6: 40 & 6: 41 & 6: 44 & 6: 45 & 6: 47 \\ 6: 48 & 6: 50\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}6: 51 & 6: 52 & 6: 53 & 6: 54 & 6: 55 & 6: 56 & 7: 2 & 7: 3 & 7: 4 & 7: 6 & 7: 8 & 7: 9 & 7: 11 & 7: 13 & 7: 14 \\ 7: 17 & 7: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}7: 19 & 7: 21 & 7: 24 & 7: 25 & 7: 26 & 7: 27 & 7: 28 & 7: 29 & 7: 30 & 7: 31 & 7: 32 & 7: 33 & 7: 34 \\ 7: 35 & 7: 36\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}7: 37 & 8: 1 & 8: 2 & 8: 3 & 8: 4 & 8: 6 & 8: 7 & 8: 8 & 8: 10 & 8: 11 & 8: 12 & 8: 14 & 8: 15 & 8: 16 & 8: 17 & 8: 18 \\ 8: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}8: 20 & 8: 21 & 8: 22 & 8: 23 & 8: 24 & 8: 25 & 8: 26 & 8: 27 & 8: 28 & 8: 29 & 8: 30 & 8: 31 & 8: 32 \\ 8: 33 & 8: 34\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}8: 35 & 8: 38 & 9: 1 & 9: 2 & 9: 3 & 9: 4 & 9: 6 & 9: 8 & 9: 9 & 9: 10 & 9: 12 & 9: 14 & 9: 15 & 9: 16 & 9: 17 \\ 9: 18 & 9: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 20 & 9: 22 & 9: 23 & 9: 24 & 9: 25 & 9: 26 & 9: 28 & 9: 29 & 9: 30 & 9: 31 & 9: 32 & 9: 33 & 9: 34 \\ 9: 35 & 9: 37\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 38 & 9: 39 & 9: 40 & 9: 41 & 9: 43 & 9: 44 & 9: 46 & 9: 47 & 9: 48 & 9: 50 & 10: 1 & 10: 2 & 10: 3 & 10: 4 \\ 10: 11\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 12 & 10: 13 & 10: 14 & 10: 15 & 10: 16 & 10: 17 & 10: 18 & 10: 20 & 10: 21 & 10: 22 & 10: 23 & 10: 24\end{array} 10: 25$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 26 & 10: 27 & 10: 28 & 10: 30 & 10: 31 & 10: 32 & 10: 35 & 10: 37 & 10: 38 & 10: 39 & 10: 40 & 10: 41 \\ 10: 42\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 43 & 10: 44 & 10: 45 & 10: 46 & 10: 48 & 10: 49 & 10: 50 & 10: 51 & 10: 52 & 11: 1 & 11: 2\end{array} 11: 3 ~ 11: 4 ~ 11: 5$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 7 & 11: 8 & 11: 11 & 11: 12 & 11: 13 & 11: 14 & 11: 15 & 11: 16 & 11: 17 & 11: 18 & 11: 19\end{array} 11: 20 \quad 11: 21$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 22 & 11: 23 & 11: 24 & 11: 25 & 11: 26 & 11: 27 & 11: 28 & 11: 30 & 11: 31 & 11: 32 & 11: 33\end{array} 12: 1 \quad 12: 2$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 5 & 12: 6 & 12: 7 & 12: 8 & 12: 10 & 12: 11 & 12: 12 & 12: 13 & 12: 14 & 12: 15 & 12: 17\end{array} \quad 12: 18 \quad 12: 19$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 20 & 12: 22 & 12: 23 & 12: 24 & 12: 25 & 12: 26 & 12: 27 & 12: 28 & 12: 32 & 12: 34 & 12: 35\end{array} 12: 36 \quad 12: 37$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}12: 38 & 12: 39 & 12: 40 & 12: 41 & 12: 42 & 12: 43 & 13: 1 & 13: 2 & 13: 3 & 13: 4 & 13: 5 & 13: 7 & 13: 9 \\ 13: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 11 & 13: 13 & 13: 14 & 13: 16 & 13: 19 & 13: 20 & 13: 22 & 13: 23 & 13: 24 & 13: 25 & 13: 27 & 13: 28 \\ 13: 32\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13: 34 & 13: 35 & 13: 37 & 14: 1 & 14: 2 & 14: 3 & 14: 5 & 14: 8 & 14: 9 & 14: 10 & 14: 11 & 14: 12 & 14: 13\end{array} 14: 14$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 15 & 14: 17 & 14: 18 & 14: 20 & 14: 23 & 14: 26 & 14: 29 & 14: 30 & 14: 31 & 14: 32 & 14: 33 & 14: 35\end{array} 14: 36$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 37 & 14: 40 & 14: 41 & 14: 43 & 14: 44 & 14: 47 & 14: 48 & 14: 51 & 14: 52 & 14: 54 & 14: 56 & 14: 57\end{array} 14: 58$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 60 & 14: 61 & 14: 62 & 14: 64 & 14: 65 & 14: 66 & 14: 67 & 14: 68 & 14: 69 & 14: 71 & 14: 72 & 15: 1 \\ 15: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}15: 3 & 15: 5 & 15: 6 & 15: 7 & 15: 8 & 15: 9 & 15: 10 & 15: 11 & 15: 12 & 15: 13 & 15: 15 & 15: 16 & 15: 17 \\ 15: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15: 20 & 15: 21 & 15: 22 & 15: 23 & 15: 24 & 15: 25 & 15: 26 & 15: 32 & 15: 33 & 15: 35 & 15: 36 \\ 15: 37 & 15: 39\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 40 & 15: 41 & 15: 42 & 15: 43 & 15: 44 & 15: 45 & 15: 46 & 15: 47 & 16: 1 & 16: 2 & 16: 3 & 16: 4\end{array} \quad 16: 516: 6$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 7 & 16: 8 & 16: 9 & 16: 10 & 16: 11 & 16: 12 & 16: 13 & 16: 14 & 16: 15 & 16: 16 & 16: 17 & 16: 18\end{array} 16: 19$ 16:20

## Luke

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 & 1: 17 & 1: 18\end{array} 1: 19$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 20 & 1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 1: 24 & 1: 25 & 1: 26 & 1: 27 & 1: 28 & 1: 29 & 1: 30 & 1: 31 & 1: 32\end{array} 1: 33 ~ 1: 35$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 36 & 1: 37 & 1: 39 & 1: 40 & 1: 41 & 1: 42 & 1: 43 & 1: 45 & 1: 46 & 1: 47 & 1: 48 & 1: 50 & 1: 51 & 1: 52\end{array} 1: 54$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 58 & 1: 59 & 1: 62 & 1: 63 & 1: 64 & 1: 65 & 1: 66 & 1: 67 & 1: 68 & 1: 69 & 1: 70 & 1: 73 & 1: 74 & 1: 75\end{array} 1: 76$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 77 & 1: 78 & 1: 79 & 1: 80 & 2: 1 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 5 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 10 & 2: 11 & 2: 13 & 2: 14\end{array} \quad 2: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 16 & 2: 17 & 2: 19 & 2: 21 & 2: 22 & 2: 23 & 2: 24 & 2: 25 & 2: 26 & 2: 27 & 2: 28 & 2: 29 & 2: 31\end{array} 2: 32 \quad 2: 33$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 34 & 2: 35 & 2: 36 & 2: 37 & 2: 38 & 2: 39 & 2: 40 & 2: 41 & 2: 42 & 2: 43 & 2: 44 & 2: 45 & 2: 46\end{array} 2: 47 \quad 2: 48$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2: 49 & 2: 50 & 2: 51 & 2: 52 & 3: 1 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 & 3: 6 & 3: 7 & 3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 12 \\ 3: 14 & 3: 15 & 3: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}3: 18 & 3: 19 & 3: 20 & 3: 21 & 3: 22 & 3: 23 & 4: 1 & 4: 2 & 4: 3 & 4: 5 & 4: 6 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 4: 9 & 4: 12 & 4: 13\end{array} 4: 14$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}4: 15 & 4: 16 & 4: 17 & 4: 18 & 4: 19 & 4: 20 & 4: 21 & 4: 22 & 4: 23 & 4: 24 & 4: 25 & 4: 26 & 4: 27 \\ 4: 28 & 4: 29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}4: 30 & 4: 31 & 4: 32 & 4: 33 & 4: 34 & 4: 35 & 4: 36 & 4: 37 & 4: 38 & 4: 39 & 4: 40 & 4: 41 & 4: 42\end{array} 4: 43 \quad 4: 44$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}5: 1 & 5: 2 & 5: 3 & 5: 4 & 5: 5 & 5: 6 & 5: 7 & 5: 8 & 5: 9 & 5: 10 & 5: 11 & 5: 12 & 5: 14 & 5: 15 & 5: 16 & 5: 17 \\ 5: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5: 19 & 5: 20 & 5: 21 & 5: 22 & 5: 24 & 5: 25 & 5: 26 & 5: 27 & 5: 28 & 5: 29 & 5: 30 & 5: 31 & 5: 32 \\ 5: 33 & 5: 34\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}5: 35 & 5: 36 & 5: 38 & 5: 39 & 6: 1 & 6: 3 & 6: 4 & 6: 6 & 6: 7 & 6: 8 & 6: 9 & 6: 10 & 6: 11 & 6: 12 \\ 6: 13 & 6: 16 & 6: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}6: 18 & 6: 19 & 6: 20 & 6: 21 & 6: 22 & 6: 23 & 6: 24 & 6: 25 & 6: 26 & 6: 27 & 6: 28 & 6: 29 \\ 6: 30 & 6: 31 & 6: 32\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}6: 33 & 6: 34 & 6: 35 & 6: 36 & 6: 37 & 6: 38 & 6: 39 & 6: 40 & 6: 41 & 6: 42 & 6: 44 & 6: 45 & 6: 46 & 6: 47 \\ 6: 48\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}6: 49 & 7: 1 & 7: 2 & 7: 3 & 7: 4 & 7: 5 & 7: 6 & 7: 7 & 7: 8 & 7: 9 & 7: 10 & 7: 11 & 7: 12 & 7: 13 & 7: 14 & 7: 15 \\ 7: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}7: 17 & 7: 18 & 7: 19 & 7: 21 & 7: 22 & 7: 24 & 7: 25 & 7: 26 & 7: 28 & 7: 29 & 7: 30 & 7: 31 & 7: 32 \\ 7: 33 & 7: 35\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}7: 36 & 7: 37 & 7: 38 & 7: 39 & 7: 40 & 7: 41 & 7: 42 & 7: 43 & 7: 44 & 7: 45 & 7: 46 & 7: 47 & 7: 48 & 7: 49 & 8: 1 \\ 8: 2\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}8: 3 & 8: 4 & 8: 5 & 8: 6 & 8: 7 & 8: 8 & 8: 9 & 8: 10 & 8: 11 & 8: 12 & 8: 13 & 8: 14 & 8: 15 & 8: 16 & 8: 17 & 8: 18 \\ 8: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 20 & 8: 21 & 8: 22 & 8: 23 & 8: 24 & 8: 26 & 8: 27 & 8: 28 & 8: 29 & 8: 30 & 8: 31 & 8: 32 & 8: 33 & 8: 34 \\ 8: 36\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 37 & 8: 38 & 8: 39 & 8: 40 & 8: 41 & 8: 42 & 8: 43 & 8: 44 & 8: 45 & 8: 46 & 8: 47 & 8: 49 & 8: 50 & 8: 53 \\ 8: 54\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}8: 55 & 9: 1 & 9: 2 & 9: 3 & 9: 5 & 9: 6 & 9: 7 & 9: 9 & 9: 10 & 9: 11 & 9: 12 & 9: 13 & 9: 14 & 9: 16 & 9: 17 \\ 9: 18 & 9: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 20 & 9: 21 & 9: 22 & 9: 23 & 9: 26 & 9: 27 & 9: 28 & 9: 31 & 9: 32 & 9: 33 & 9: 34 & 9: 35 & 9: 36 & 9: 37 \\ 9: 38\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 39 & 9: 41 & 9: 42 & 9: 44 & 9: 45 & 9: 46 & 9: 47 & 9: 48 & 9: 49 & 9: 50 & 9: 51 & 9: 52 & 9: 53 \\ 9: 54 & 9: 55\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 57 & 9: 59 & 9: 60 & 9: 61 & 9: 62 & 10: 1 & 10: 2 & 10: 3 & 10: 4 & 10: 5 & 10: 6 & 10: 7 & 10: 8 & 10: 9\end{array} 10: 10$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 11 & 10: 12 & 10: 13 & 10: 15 & 10: 16 & 10: 17 & 10: 18 & 10: 19 & 10: 20 & 10: 21 & 10: 22 & 10: 23 \\ 10: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 25 & 10: 26 & 10: 27 & 10: 28 & 10: 29 & 10: 30 & 10: 31 & 10: 33 & 10: 34 & 10: 35 & 10: 36 & 10: 37 \\ 10: 38\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}10: 39 & 10: 40 & 10: 41 & 10: 42 & 11: 1 & 11: 5 & 11: 6 & 11: 7 & 11: 8 & 11: 9 & 11: 11 & 11: 13 & 11: 14\end{array} 11: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 16 & 11: 17 & 11: 18 & 11: 19 & 11: 20 & 11: 21 & 11: 22 & 11: 23 & 11: 24 & 11: 27 & 11: 28 \\ 11: 29 & 11: 31\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 32 & 11: 33 & 11: 35 & 11: 36 & 11: 37 & 11: 38 & 11: 39 & 11: 40 & 11: 42 & 11: 43 & 11: 44 \\ 10: 45 & 11: 46\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 48 & 11: 49 & 11: 50 & 11: 51 & 11: 52 & 11: 53 & 11: 54 & 12: 1 & 12: 2 & 12: 3 & 12: 4 & 12: 5 \\ 12: 6 & 12: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 8 & 12: 9 & 12: 10 & 12: 11 & 12: 12 & 12: 13 & 12: 14 & 12: 15 & 12: 16 & 12: 17 & 12: 18\end{array} 12: 19 \quad 12: 20$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 21 & 12: 22 & 12: 24 & 12: 25 & 12: 26 & 12: 28 & 12: 29 & 12: 31 & 12: 32 & 12: 33 & 12: 34 \\ 12: 35 & 12: 36\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 37 & 12: 38 & 12: 39 & 12: 40 & 12: 41 & 12: 42 & 12: 44 & 12: 45 & 12: 46 & 12: 47 & 12: 48 \\ 12: 49 & 12: 50\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}12: 51 & 12: 54 & 12: 56 & 12: 57 & 12: 58 & 12: 59 & 13: 1 & 13: 2 & 13: 3 & 13: 4 & 13: 5 & 13: 6 & 13: 7 \\ 13: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 9 & 13: 10 & 13: 11 & 13: 12 & 13: 13 & 13: 14 & 13: 15 & 13: 16 & 13: 17 & 13: 18 & 13: 19 & 13: 20 \\ 13: 22\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 23 & 13: 24 & 13: 25 & 13: 26 & 13: 27 & 13: 28 & 13: 29 & 13: 30 & 13: 31 & 13: 32 & 13: 33 & 13: 34 \\ 14: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 2 & 14: 3 & 14: 4 & 14: 5 & 14: 6 & 14: 7 & 14: 8 & 14: 9 & 14: 10 & 14: 11 & 14: 12 & 14: 13 & 14: 14\end{array} 14: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 16 & 14: 17 & 14: 18 & 14: 19 & 14: 20 & 14: 21 & 14: 22 & 14: 23 & 14: 24 & 14: 25 & 14: 26 & 14: 27 & 14: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 29 & 14: 30 & 14: 31 & 14: 32 & 14: 33 & 14: 35 & 15: 1 & 15: 2 & 15: 3 & 15: 4 & 15: 5 & 15: 6 & 15: 7 \\ 15: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 9 & 15: 10 & 15: 11 & 15: 12 & 15: 13 & 15: 14 & 15: 15 & 15: 16 & 15: 17 & 15: 18 & 15: 19 & 15: 20 \\ 15: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15: 22 & 15: 23 & 15: 24 & 15: 25 & 15: 26 & 15: 27 & 15: 28 & 15: 29 & 15: 30 & 15: 31 & 15: 32\end{array} 16: 1 \quad 16: 2$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 3 & 16: 4 & 16: 6 & 16: 7 & 16: 8 & 16: 9 & 16: 10 & 16: 11 & 16: 12 & 16: 13 & 16: 14 & 16: 15 \\ 16: 16 & 16: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 18 & 16: 19 & 16: 20 & 16: 21 & 16: 22 & 16: 23 & 16: 24 & 16: 25 & 16: 26 & 16: 27 & 16: 28 & 16: 29\end{array} 16: 30$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}16: 31 & 17: 1 & 17: 2 & 17: 3 & 17: 4 & 17: 5 & 17: 6 & 17: 7 & 17: 8 & 17: 9 & 17: 10 & 17: 11 & 17: 12\end{array} 17: 13$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}17: 14 & 17: 16 & 17: 18 & 17: 20 & 17: 21 & 17: 23 & 17: 24 & 17: 25 & 17: 27 & 17: 28 & 17: 30 & 17: 31\end{array} 17: 32$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}17: 33 & 17: 34 & 17: 35 & 17: 37 & 18: 1 & 18: 2 & 18: 3 & 18: 4 & 18: 5 & 18: 6 & 18: 7 & 18: 8 & 18: 9 & 18: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 12 & 18: 13 & 18: 14 & 18: 15 & 18: 16 & 18: 17 & 18: 18 & 18: 22 & 18: 23 & 18: 24 & 18: 25 & 18: 26 \\ 18: 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 28 & 18: 30 & 18: 31 & 18: 33 & 18: 34 & 18: 35 & 18: 36 & 18: 37 & 18: 38 & 18: 39 & 18: 40 & 18: 41 \\ 18: 43\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}19: 1 & 19: 2 & 19: 3 & 19: 4 & 19: 5 & 19: 6 & 19: 7 & 19: 8 & 19: 10 & 19: 11 & 19: 12 & 19: 13 & 19: 14 & 19: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}19: 16 & 19: 17 & 19: 19 & 19: 20 & 19: 21 & 19: 22 & 19: 23 & 19: 25 & 19: 26 & 19: 27 & 19: 28 \\ 19: 29 & 19: 30\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 32 & 19: 33 & 19: 35 & 19: 36 & 19: 37 & 19: 38 & 19: 39 & 19: 40 & 19: 41 & 19: 42 & 19: 43 & 19: 44 \\ 19: 45\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}19: 47 & 19: 48 & 20: 1 & 20: 2 & 20: 3 & 20: 5 & 20: 6 & 20: 7 & 20: 9 & 20: 10 & 20: 11 & 20: 12 & 20: 13\end{array} 20: 14$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}20: 16 & 20: 17 & 20: 18 & 20: 19 & 20: 20 & 20: 21 & 20: 22 & 20: 23 & 20: 26 & 20: 27 & 20: 33 & 20: 36 & 20: 37\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}20: 39 & 20: 40 & 20: 41 & 20: 42 & 20: 44 & 20: 45 & 21: 1 & 21: 2 & 21: 3 & 21: 4 & 21: 5 & 21: 6 & 21: 8 & 21: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}21: 11 & 21: 12 & 21: 13 & 21: 14 & 21: 15 & 21: 16 & 21: 17 & 21: 19 & 21: 20 & 21: 22 & 21: 24\end{array} 21: 25 \quad 21: 26$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}21: 27 & 21: 28 & 21: 29 & 21: 30 & 21: 31 & 21: 32 & 21: 33 & 21: 34 & 21: 36 & 21: 37 & 21: 38 \\ 22: 1 & 22: 2\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { 22:17 } & 22: 18 & 22: 19 & 22: 20 & 22: 21 & 22: 22 & 22: 23 & 22: 24 & 22: 25 & 22: 26 & 22: 27 & 22: 28 \\ 22: 29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}22: 30 & 22: 31 & 22: 32 & 22: 33 & 22: 34 & 22: 35 & 22: 36 & 22: 38 & 22: 39 & 22: 40 & 22: 43 & 22: 43\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}22: 44 & 22: 45 & 22: 46 & 22: 47 & 22: 48 & 22: 49 & 22: 50 & 22: 51 & 22: 52 & 22: 53 & 22: 54 & 22: 55 & 22: 56\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllll}22: 57 & 22: 58 & 22: 59 & 22: 60 & 22: 61 & 22: 62 & 22: 63 & 22: 64 & 22: 65 & 22: 50\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}23: 71 & 23: 1 & 23: 2 & 23: 3 & 23: 4 & 23: 5 & 23: 6 & 23: 7 & 23: 8 & 23: 9 & 23: 10 & 23: 11 & 23: 12 & 23: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { 23:14 } & 23: 15 & 23: 16 & 23: 18 & 23: 19 & 23: 21 & 23: 22 & 23: 23 & 23: 24 & 23: 25 & 23: 26 & 23: 27 \\ 23: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { 23:29 } & 23: 31 & 23: 32 & 23: 33 & 23: 34 & 23: 35 & 23: 36 & 23: 37 & 23: 38 & 23: 39 & 23: 40 & 23: 41 \\ 23: 42\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { 23:43 } & 23: 45 & 23: 46 & 23: 47 & 23: 48 & 23: 49 & 23: 51 & 23: 52 & 23: 53 & 23: 54 & 23: 55 \\ 23: 56 & 24: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}24: 2 & 24: 3 & 24: 4 & 24: 5 & 24: 6 & 24: 9 & 24: 11 & 24: 12 & 24: 13 & 24: 14 & 24: 15 & 24: 16\end{array} 24: 17 \quad 24: 18$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}24: 21 & 24: 22 & 24: 23 & 24: 25 & 24: 26 & 24: 27 & 24: 28 & 24: 29 & 24: 30 & 24: 31 & 24: 32 & 24: 33 \\ 24: 34\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}24: 35 & 24: 36 & 24: 37 & 24: 38 & 24: 39 & 24: 40 & 24: 41 & 24: 42 & 24: 44 & 24: 45 & & 24: 47 & \end{array}$ 24:50 24:51 24:52
John
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 \\ 1: 16 & 1: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 18 & 1: 19 & 1: 20 & 1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 1: 24 & 1: 25 & 1: 26 & 1: 27 & 1: 28 & 1: 29 & 1: 30 & 1: 31 \\ 1: 32\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 33 & 1: 34 & 1: 35 & 1: 36 & 1: 37 & 1: 38 & 1: 39 & 1: 40 & 1: 41 & 1: 42 & 1: 43 & 1: 44 & 1: 45 & 1: 46 \\ 1: 47\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 48 & 1: 49 & 1: 50 & 1: 51 & 2: 1 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 11 & 2: 12 & 2: 13 \\ 2: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 17 & 2: 18 & 2: 19 & 2: 20 & 2: 21 & 2: 22 & 2: 23 & 2: 24 & 2: 25 & 3: 1 & 3: 2 \\ 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 5\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}3: 22 & 3: 23 & 3: 24 & 3: 25 & 3: 26 & 3: 27 & 3: 28 & 3: 29 & 3: 30 & 3: 31 & 3: 32 & 3: 33 & 3: 34 \\ 3: 35 & 3: 36\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}4: 1 & 4: 2 & 4: 3 & 4: 4 & 4: 5 & 4: 6 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 4: 9 & 4: 10 & 4: 11 & 4: 12 & 4: 13 & 4: 14 & 4: 15 & 4: 16\end{array} 4: 17$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}4: 19 & 4: 20 & 4: 21 & 4: 22 & 4: 23 & 4: 24 & 4: 25 & 4: 26 & 4: 27 & 4: 28 & 4: 29 & 4: 30\end{array} 4: 31 \quad 4: 32 \quad 4: 33$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}4: 34 & 4: 35 & 4: 36 & 4: 37 & 4: 38 & 4: 39 & 4: 40 & 4: 41 & 4: 42 & 4: 43 & 4: 44 & 4: 45 & 4: 46 & 4: 47 & 4: 48\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}4: 49 & 4: 50 & 4: 51 & 4: 52 & 4: 53 & 4: 54 & 5: 1 & 5: 2 & 5: 3 & 5: 4 & 5: 5 & 5: 6 & 5: 7 & 5: 8 \\ 5: 9 & 5: 10 & 5: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}5: 12 & 5: 13 & 5: 14 & 5: 15 & 5: 16 & 5: 17 & 5: 18 & 5: 19 & 5: 20 & 5: 21 & 5: 22 & 5: 23 & 5: 24 & 5: 25 \\ 5: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5: 27 & 5: 28 & 5: 29 & 5: 30 & 5: 31 & 5: 32 & 5: 33 & 5: 34 & 5: 35 & 5: 36 & 5: 37 & 5: 38 & 5: 39 \\ 5: 40 & 5: 41\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}5: 42 & 5: 43 & 5: 44 & 5: 45 & 5: 46 & 5: 47 & 6: 1 & 6: 2 & 6: 3 & 6: 4 & 6: 5 & 6: 6 & 6: 7 & 6: 8 & 6: 9 & 6: 10\end{array} \quad 6: 11$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}6: 12 & 6: 13 & 6: 14 & 6: 15 & 6: 16 & 6: 17 & 6: 18 & 6: 19 & 6: 20 & 6: 21 & 6: 22 & 6: 23 & 6: 24 & 6: 25 & 6: 26\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}6: 42 & 6: 43 & 6: 44 & 6: 45 & 6: 46 & 6: 47 & 6: 48 & 6: 49 & 6: 50 & 6: 51 & 6: 52 & 6: 53 & 6: 54 & 6: 55 \\ 6: 56\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 57 & 6: 58 & 6: 59 & 6: 60 & 6: 61 & 6: 62 & 6: 63 & 6: 64 & 6: 65 & 6: 66 & 6: 67 & 6: 68 & 6: 69\end{array}$ 6:70 $6: 71$
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 18 & 7: 19 & 7: 20 & 7: 21 & 7: 22 & 7: 23 & 7: 24 & 7: 25 & 7: 26 & 7: 27 & 7: 28 & 7: 29 & 7: 30 & 7: 31 \\ 7: 32\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}7: 33 & 7: 34 & 7: 35 & 7: 36 & 7: 37 & 7: 38 & 7: 39 & 7: 40 & 7: 41 & 7: 42 & 7: 43 & 7: 44 & 7: 45 \\ 7: 46 & 7: 47\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}7: 48 & 7: 49 & 7: 50 & 7: 51 & 7: 52 & 7: 53 & 8: 1 & 8: 2 & 8: 3 & 8: 4 & 8: 5 & 8: 6 & 8: 7 & 8: 8 & 8: 9 & 8: 10 \\ 8: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}8: 12 & 8: 13 & 8: 14 & 8: 15 & 8: 16 & 8: 17 & 8: 18 & 8: 19 & 8: 20 & 8: 21 & 8: 22 & 8: 23 & 8: 24 & 8: 25 & 8: 26\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 27 & 8: 28 & 8: 29 & 8: 30 & 8: 31 & 8: 32 & 8: 33 & 8: 34 & 8: 35 & 8: 36 & 8: 37 & 8: 38 & 8: 39 & 8: 40 \\ 8: 41\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}8: 42 & 8: 43 & 8: 44 & 8: 45 & 8: 46 & 8: 47 & 8: 48 & 8: 49 & 8: 50 & 8: 51 & 8: 52 & 8: 53 & 8: 54 & 8: 55 & 8: 56\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}8: 57 & 8: 58 & 8: 59 & 9: 1 & 9: 2 & 9: 3 & 9: 4 & 9: 5 & 9: 6 & 9: 7 & 9: 8 & 9: 9 & 9: 10 & 9: 11 & 9: 12 & 9: 13 \\ 9: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 15 & 9: 16 & 9: 17 & 9: 18 & 9: 19 & 9: 20 & 9: 21 & 9: 22 & 9: 23 & 9: 24 & 9: 25 & 9: 26 & 9: 27 \\ 9: 28 & 9: 29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}9: 30 & 9: 31 & 9: 32 & 9: 33 & 9: 34 & 9: 35 & 9: 36 & 9: 37 & 9: 38 & 9: 39 & 9: 40 & 9: 41 & 10: 1 & 10: 2 & 10: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}10: 4 & 10: 5 & 10: 6 & 10: 7 & 10: 8 & 10: 9 & 10: 10 & 10: 11 & 10: 12 & 10: 13 & 10: 14 & 10: 15 & 10: 16 \\ 10: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 18 & 10: 19 & 10: 20 & 10: 21 & 10: 22 & 10: 23 & 10: 24 & 10: 25 & 10: 26 & 10: 27 & 10: 28 \\ 10: 29 & 10: 30\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 31 & 10: 32 & 10: 33 & 10: 34 & 10: 35 & 10: 36 & 10: 37 & 10: 38 & 10: 39 & 10: 40 & 10: 41 & 10: 42 \\ 10: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 2 & 11: 3 & 11: 4 & 11: 5 & 11: 6 & 11: 7 & 11: 8 & 11: 9 & 11: 10 & 11: 11 & 11: 12 & 11: 13 \\ 11: 14 & 11: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}11: 16 & 11: 17 & 11: 18 & 11: 19 & 11: 20 & 11: 21 & 11: 22 & 11: 23 & 11: 24 & 11: 25 \\ 11: 26 & 11: 27 & 11: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 29 & 11: 30 & 11: 31 & 11: 32 & 11: 33 & 11: 34 & 11: 35 & 11: 36 & 11: 37 & 11: 38 & 11: 39\end{array} 11: 40 \quad 11: 41$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 42 & 11: 43 & 11: 44 & 11: 45 & 11: 46 & 11: 47 & 11: 48 & 11: 49 & 11: 50 & 11: 51 & 11: 52 & 11: 53\end{array} 11: 54$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}11: 55 & 11: 56 & 11: 57 & 12: 1 & 12: 2 & 12: 3 & 12: 4 & 12: 5 & 12: 6 & 12: 7 & 12: 8 & 12: 9 & 12: 10\end{array} 12: 11$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 12 & 12: 13 & 12: 14 & 12: 15 & 12: 16 & 12: 17 & 12: 18 & 12: 19 & 12: 20 & 12: 21 & 12: 22\end{array} 12: 23 \quad 12: 24$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 25 & 12: 26 & 12: 27 & 12: 28 & 12: 29 & 12: 30 & 12: 31 & 12: 32 & 12: 33 & 12: 34 & 12: 35 & 12: 36\end{array} 12: 37$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 38 & 12: 39 & 12: 40 & 12: 41 & 12: 42 & 12: 44 & 12: 46 & 12: 47 & 12: 48 & 12: 49 & 12: 50 & 13: 1\end{array} 13: 2$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}13: 3 & 13: 4 & 13: 5 & 13: 6 & 13: 7 & 13: 8 & 13: 9 & 13: 10 & 13: 11 & 13: 12 & 13: 13 & 13: 14 & 13: 15 & 13: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}13: 17 & 13: 18 & 13: 19 & 13: 20 & 13: 21 & 13: 22 & 13: 23 & 13: 24 & 13: 25 & 13: 26 & 13: 27 & 13: 28 \\ 13: 29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13: 30 & 13: 31 & 13: 32 & 13: 33 & 13: 34 & 13: 35 & 13: 36 & 13: 37 & 13: 38 & 14: 1 & 14: 2 & 14: 3 & 14: 4 \\ 14: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 6 & 14: 7 & 14: 8 & 14: 9 & 14: 10 & 14: 11 & 14: 12 & 14: 13 & 14: 14 & 14: 15 & 14: 16 & 14: 17 \\ 14: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}14: 19 & 14: 20 & 14: 21 & 14: 22 & 14: 23 & 14: 24 & 14: 25 & 14: 26 & 14: 27 & 14: 28 & 14: 30\end{array} 14: 31 \quad 15: 1$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 2 & 15: 3 & 15: 4 & 15: 5 & 15: 6 & 15: 7 & 15: 8 & 15: 9 & 15: 10 & 15: 11 & 15: 12 & 15: 13 \\ 15: 14 & 15: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15: 16 & 15: 17 & 15: 18 & 15: 19 & 15: 20 & 15: 21 & 15: 22 & 15: 23 & 15: 24 & 15: 25 & 15: 26 \\ 15: 27 & 16: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}16: 2 & 16: 3 & 16: 4 & 16: 5 & 16: 6 & 16: 7 & 16: 8 & 16: 9 & 16: 10 & 16: 11 & 16: 12 & 16: 13 & 16: 14\end{array} 16: 15$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 16 & 16: 17 & 16: 18 & 16: 19 & 16: 20 & 16: 21 & 16: 22 & 16: 23 & 16: 24 & 16: 25 & 16: 26 & 16: 27\end{array} 16: 28$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}16: 29 & 16: 30 & 16: 31 & 16: 32 & 16: 33 & 17: 1 & 17: 2 & 17: 3 & 17: 4 & 17: 5 & 17: 6 & 17: 7 & 17: 8 & 17: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}17: 10 & 17: 11 & 17: 12 & 17: 13 & 17: 14 & 17: 15 & 17: 16 & 17: 17 & 17: 18 & 17: 19 & 17: 20 & 17: 21\end{array} 17: 22$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}17: 23 & 17: 24 & 17: 25 & 17: 26 & 18: 1 & 18: 2 & 18: 3 & 18: 4 & 18: 5 & 18: 6 & 18: 7 & 18: 8 & 18: 9 & 18: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 11 & 18: 12 & 18: 14 & 18: 15 & 18: 16 & 18: 17 & 18: 18 & 18: 19 & 18: 20 & 18: 21 & 18: 22 & 18: 23 \\ 18: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 25 & 18: 26 & 18: 28 & 18: 29 & 18: 30 & 18: 31 & 18: 32 & 18: 33 & 18: 34 & 18: 35 & 18: 36 & 18: 37 \\ 18: 38\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}18: 39 & 18: 40 & 19: 1 & 19: 3 & 19: 4 & 19: 5 & 19: 6 & 19: 7 & 19: 8 & 19: 9 & 19: 10 & 19: 11 & 19: 12\end{array} 19: 13$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}19: 14 & 19: 15 & 19: 16 & 19: 17 & 19: 18 & 19: 19 & 19: 20 & 19: 21 & 19: 22 & 19: 23 & 19: 24 & 19: 25 & 19: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 27 & 19: 28 & 19: 29 & 19: 30 & 19: 31 & 19: 32 & 19: 33 & 19: 34 & 19: 35 & 19: 36 & 19: 37 & 19: 38 \\ 19: 39\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}19: 40 & 19: 41 & 19: 42 & 20: 1 & 20: 2 & 20: 3 & 20: 4 & 20: 5 & 20: 6 & 20: 7 & 20: 8 & 20: 9 & 20: 10\end{array} \quad 20: 11$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}20: 12 & 20: 13 & 20: 14 & 20: 15 & 20: 16 & 20: 17 & 20: 18 & 20: 19 & 20: 20 & 20: 21 & 20: 22 & 20: 23 \\ 20: 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}20: 25 & 20: 26 & 20: 27 & 20: 28 & 20: 29 & 20: 30 & 20: 31 & 21: 1 & 21: 2 & 21: 3 & 21: 4 & 21: 5 & 21: 6 & 21: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}21: 8 & 21: 9 & 21: 10 & 21: 11 & 21: 12 & 21: 13 & 21: 14 & 21: 15 & 21: 16 & 21: 17 & 21: 18 & 21: 19\end{array} 21: 20$ $\begin{array}{lllll}21: 21 & 21: 22 & 21: 23 & 21: 24 & 21: 25\end{array}$

```
Acts
1:1 1:2 1:3 1:4 1:4 1:5 1:6 1:7 1:8 1:9 1:9 1:10
1:18}1:19 1:20 1:21 1:22 1:23 1:24 1:25 1:26 1:26 2:1 2:2 2:3 2:4 2:5 2:6 2:7 2:11
2:12 2:13 2:14 2:15 2:16 2:17 2:19 2:20 2:21 2:22 2:23 2:24 2:25
2:28 2:29 2:31 2:32 2:33 2:34 2:35 2:37 2:38 2:39 2:40 2:41 2:42 2:43 2:44
2:45
3:16 3:17 3:18 3:19 3:20 3:21 3:22 3:23 3:24 3:25
4:6 4:7 4:8 4:9 4:10
4:23 4:24 4:25 4:26 4:27 4:28 4:29
5:1 5:2 5:3 5:4 5:5 5:6 5:7 5:8 5:9 5:10
5:18 5:20 5:21 5:22 5:24 5:26 5:27 5:28
5:36 5:37 5:38 5:39 5:40 5:41 5:42 6:1 6:2 6:3 6:4 6:5 6:% 6:7 6:8 6:9 6:10
6:11 6:12 6:13 6:14 6:15 7:1 7:2 7:3 7:4 7:5 7:6 7:7 7:8
7:13 7:14 7:16 7:17 7:18 7:19 7:20
7:29 7:30
7:46 7:48 7:49 7:51 7:52 7:53 7:54 7:55 7:56 7:57 7:59 7:60 8:1 8:2 8:3 8:4
8:5 8:6 8:7 8:9 8:10 8:11 8:12 8:13 8:14 8:15 8:16 8:17 8:18 8:19 8:20 8:21
8:22 8:23 8:24 8:25 8:26 8:27 8:28 8:29
8:39 8:40
9:16 9:17 9:18 9:19 9:20 9:21 9:22 9:23 9:24 9:25 9:26 9:27 9:28 9:29 9:30
9:31 9:32 9:33 9:34 9:35 9:36 9:37 9:38
10:4 10:5 10:6 10:8 10:9 10:10 10:11 10:12 10:14 10:15 10:16 10:17 10:18 10:19
```




```
10:47 10:48 11:1 11:2 11:3 11:4 11:5 11:6 11:7 11:8 11:10
11:15 11:16 11:17 11:18 11:19 11:20 11:21 11:22 11:23 11:24 11:25 11:26 11:27
11:28 11:29 11:30 12:1 12:2 12:3 12:4 12:5 12:6 12:7 12:8
12:12 12:13 12:14 12:15 12:16 12:17 12:18
12:25 13:1 13:2 13:3 13:4 13:5 13:6 13:7 13:8 13:9 13:10 13:11 13:12 13:13
13:14 13:15 13:16 13:17 13:18 13:19 13:20 13:21 13:22 13:23 13:24 13:25}1
13:27 13:28 13:29 13:30 13:31 13:32 13:33 13:34 13:35 13:36 13:38 13:39 13:40
13:41 13:42 13:43 13:44 13:45 13:46 13:47 13:48 13:49 13:50 13:51 13:52 14:1
14:2 14:3 14:4 14:5 14:6 14:7 14:8 14:9 14:10
14:16 14:17 14:18 14:19 14:20 14:21 14:22 14:23 14:24 14:26 14:27 14:28 15:1
15:2 15:3 15:4 15:5 15:6 15:7 15:8 15:9 15:10
15:16 15:17 15:18 15:19 15:20 15:21 15:22 15:23 15:24 15:25 15:26 15:27 15:28
```



```
16:1 16:2 16:3 16:4 16:5 16:6 16:7 16:8
```

$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 15 & 16: 16 & 16: 17 & 16: 18 & 16: 19 & 16: 20 & 16: 21 & 16: 22 & 16: 23 & 16: 24 & 16: 25 & 16: 26\end{array} 16: 27$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 28 & 16: 29 & 16: 30 & 16: 31 & 16: 32 & 16: 33 & 16: 34 & 16: 35 & 16: 36 & 16: 37 & 16: 39 & 16: 40 \\ 17: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}17: 2 & 17: 3 & 17: 4 & 17: 5 & 17: 6 & 17: 7 & 17: 8 & 17: 9 & 17: 10 & 17: 11 & 17: 12 & 17: 13 \\ 17: 14 & 17: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}17: 16 & 17: 17 & 17: 18 & 17: 19 & 17: 20 & 17: 21 & 17: 22 & 17: 23 & 17: 24 & 17: 25 & 17: 26 & 17: 27\end{array} 17: 28$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}17: 29 & 17: 30 & 17: 31 & 17: 32 & 17: 33 & 17: 34 & 18: 1 & 18: 2 & 18: 3 & 18: 4 & 18: 5 & 18: 6 & 18: 7 \\ 18: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 9 & 18: 10 & 18: 11 & 18: 12 & 18: 13 & 18: 14 & 18: 15 & 18: 16 & 18: 17 & 18: 18 & 18: 19 & 18: 20\end{array} 18: 21$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}18: 22 & 18: 23 & 18: 24 & 18: 25 & 18: 26 & 18: 27 & 18: 28 & 19: 1 & 19: 2 & 19: 3 & 19: 4 & 19: 5\end{array} 19: 619: 8$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 9 & 19: 10 & 19: 11 & 19: 12 & 19: 13 & 19: 14 & 19: 15 & 19: 16 & 19: 17 & 19: 18 & 19: 19 & 19: 20 \\ 19: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}19: 22 & 19: 23 & 19: 24 & 19: 25 & 19: 26 & 19: 27 & 19: 28 & 19: 29 & 19: 30 & 19: 31 & 19: 32 & 19: 33 \\ 19: 34\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}19: 35 & 19: 36 & 19: 37 & 19: 38 & 19: 39 & 19: 40 & 19: 41 & 20: 1 & 20: 2 & 20: 3 & 20: 4 & 20: 5 & 20: 6 \\ 20: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}20: 8 & 20: 9 & 20: 10 & 20: 11 & 20: 12 & 20: 13 & 20: 14 & 20: 15 & 20: 16 & 20: 17 & 20: 18 & 20: 20\end{array} 20: 21$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}20: 22 & 20: 23 & 20: 24 & 20: 25 & 20: 26 & 20: 27 & 20: 28 & 20: 29 & 20: 30 & 20: 31 & 20: 32\end{array} 20: 33 \quad 20: 34$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}20: 35 & 20: 36 & 20: 37 & 20: 38 & 21: 1 & 21: 2 & 21: 3 & 21: 4 & 21: 5 & 21: 6 & 21: 7 & 21: 8 & 21: 9 & 21: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}21: 11 & 21: 12 & 21: 13 & 21: 14 & 21: 15 & 21: 16 & 21: 17 & 21: 18 & 21: 19 & 21: 20 & 21: 21 \\ 21: 22 & 21: 23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}21: 24 & 21: 25 & 21: 26 & 21: 27 & 21: 28 & 21: 29 & 21: 30 & 21: 31 & 21: 32 & 21: 33\end{array} 21: 34 \quad 21: 35 \quad 21: 36$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}21: 37 & 21: 39 & 21: 40 & 22: 1 & 22: 2 & 22: 3 & 22: 4 & 22: 5 & 22: 6 & 22: 7 & 22: 8 & 22: 9\end{array} 22: 10 \quad 22: 11$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}22: 12 & 22: 13 & 22: 14 & 22: 15 & 22: 16 & 22: 17 & 22: 18 & 22: 19 & 22: 20 & 22: 21 & 22: 22\end{array} \quad 22: 23 \quad 22: 24$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}22: 25 & 22: 26 & 22: 27 & 22: 28 & 22: 29 & 22: 30 & 23: 1 & 23: 2 & 23: 3 & 23: 4 & 23: 5 & 23: 6 & 23: 7 \\ 23: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}23: 9 & 23: 10 & 23: 11 & 23: 12 & 23: 13 & 23: 14 & 23: 15 & 23: 16 & 23: 17 & 23: 18 & 23: 19 & 23: 20\end{array} \quad 23: 21$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}23: 22 & 23: 23 & 23: 24 & 23: 25 & 23: 26 & 23: 27 & 23: 28 & 23: 29 & 23: 30 & 23: 31 & 23: 33 \\ 23: 34 & 23: 35\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}24: 1 & 24: 2 & 24: 3 & 24: 4 & 24: 5 & 24: 6 & 24: 7 & 24: 8 & 24: 9 & 24: 10 & 24: 11 & 24: 12 & 24: 13\end{array} 24: 14$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}24: 15 & 24: 16 & 24: 17 & 24: 18 & 24: 19 & 24: 20 & 24: 21 & 24: 22 & 24: 23 & 24: 24 & 24: 25 & 24: 26\end{array} 24: 27$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}25: 1 & 25: 2 & 25: 3 & 25: 4 & 25: 5 & 25: 6 & 25: 7 & 25: 8 & 25: 9 & 25: 10 & 25: 11 & 25: 12 \\ 25: 13 & 25: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}25: 15 & 25: 16 & 25: 17 & 25: 18 & 25: 19 & 25: 20 & 25: 21 & 25: 22 & 25: 23 & 25: 24 & 25: 25\end{array} 25: 26 \quad 25: 27$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}26: 1 & 26: 2 & 26: 3 & 26: 4 & 26: 5 & 26: 6 & 26: 7 & 26: 8 & 26: 9 & 26: 10 & 26: 11 & 26: 12 & 26: 13\end{array} 26: 14$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}26: 16 & 26: 17 & 26: 18 & 26: 19 & 26: 20 & 26: 21 & 26: 22 & 26: 23 & 26: 24 & 26: 25 & 26: 26 & 26: 27\end{array} 26: 28$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}26: 29 & 26: 30 & 26: 31 & 26: 32 & 27: 1 & 27: 2 & 27: 3 & 27: 4 & 27: 5 & 27: 6 & 27: 7 & 27: 8 & 27: 9\end{array} 27: 11$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}27: 12 & 27: 13 & 27: 14 & 27: 15 & 27: 16 & 27: 18 & 27: 20 & 27: 21 & 27: 22 & 27: 23 & 27: 24 & 27: 25\end{array} 27: 26$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}27: 27 & 27: 28 & 27: 29 & 27: 30 & 27: 31 & 27: 32 & 27: 33 & 27: 34 & 27: 35 & 27: 36 & 27: 37 & 27: 38 \\ 27: 39\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}27: 40 & 27: 41 & 27: 42 & 27: 43 & 27: 44 & 28: 1 & 28: 2 & 28: 3 & 28: 4 & 28: 5 & 28: 6 & 28: 7 & 28: 8 \\ 28: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}28: 12 & 28: 13 & 28: 14 & 28: 15 & 28: 16 & 28: 17 & 28: 18 & 28: 19 & 28: 20 & 28: 21 & 28: 22\end{array} 28: 23 \quad 28: 24$ 28:25 $28: 26 \quad 28: 28 \quad 28: 30$

## Romans

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 \\ 1: 16 & 1: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 18 & 1: 19 & 1: 20 & 1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 24 & 1: 25 & 1: 26 & 1: 27 & 1: 28 & 1: 29 & 1: 30 & 1: 31\end{array} 1: 32 \quad 2: 1 \quad 2: 2$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 11 & 2: 12 & 2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 17 \\ 2: 19 & 2: 20 & 2: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}2: 22 & 2: 23 & 2: 24 & 2: 25 & 2: 26 & 2: 27 & 2: 28 & 2: 29 & 3: 1 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 & 3: 6 & 3: 7 & 3: 8 \\ 3: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 13 & 3: 15 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 & 3: 18 & 3: 19 & 3: 20 & 3: 21 & 3: 22 & 3: 23 \\ 3: 24 & 3: 25\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}3: 26 & 3: 27 & 3: 28 & 3: 29 & 3: 30 & 3: 31 & 4: 1 & 4: 2 & 4: 3 & 4: 4 & 4: 5 & 4: 6 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 4: 9 & 4: 10\end{array} 4: 11$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}4: 12 & 4: 13 & 4: 14 & 4: 15 & 4: 16 & 4: 17 & 4: 18 & 4: 19 & 4: 20 & 4: 21 & 4: 23 & 4: 24 & 4: 25 & 5: 1 & 5: 2 \\ 5: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}5: 4 & 5: 5 & 5: 6 & 5: 7 & 5: 8 & 5: 9 & 5: 10 & 5: 11 & 5: 12 & 5: 13 & 5: 14 & 5: 15 & 5: 16 & 5: 17 & 5: 18 \\ 5: 19 & 5: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}5: 21 & 6: 1 & 6: 2 & 6: 3 & 6: 4 & 6: 5 & 6: 6 & 6: 7 & 6: 8 & 6: 9 & 6: 10 & 6: 11 & 6: 12 & 6: 13 & 6: 14 & 6: 15 & 6: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}6: 17 & 6: 18 & 6: 19 & 6: 20 & 6: 21 & 6: 22 & 6: 23 & 7: 1 & 7: 2 & 7: 3 & 7: 4 & 7: 5 & 7: 6 & 7: 7 & 7: 8 & 7: 9 \\ 7: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}7: 11 & 7: 12 & 7: 13 & 7: 14 & 7: 15 & 7: 16 & 7: 17 & 7: 18 & 7: 19 & 7: 20 & 7: 21 & 7: 22 & 7: 23 & 7: 24 & 7: 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}8: 1 & 8: 2 & 8: 3 & 8: 4 & 8: 5 & 8: 6 & 8: 7 & 8: 8 & 8: 9 & 8: 10 & 8: 11 & 8: 12 & 8: 13 & 8: 14 & 8: 15 & 8: 16\end{array} 8: 17$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 18 & 8: 19 & 8: 20 & 8: 21 & 8: 22 & 8: 23 & 8: 24 & 8: 25 & 8: 26 & 8: 27 & 8: 28 & 8: 29 & 8: 30 & 8: 31\end{array} 8: 32$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}8: 33 & 8: 34 & 8: 35 & 8: 36 & 8: 37 & 8: 38 & 8: 39 & 9: 1 & 9: 2 & 9: 3 & 9: 4 & 9: 5 & 9: 6 & 9: 8 & 9: 9 & 9: 10 & 9: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 12 & 9: 13 & 9: 14 & 9: 15 & 9: 16 & 9: 17 & 9: 18 & 9: 19 & 9: 20 & 9: 21 & 9: 22 & 9: 23 & 9: 24 \\ 9: 25 & 9: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}9: 27 & 9: 28 & 9: 29 & 9: 30 & 9: 31 & 9: 32 & 9: 33 & 10: 1 & 10: 2 & 10: 3 & 10: 4 & 10: 5 & 10: 6 & 10: 7 & 10: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 9 & 10: 10 & 10: 11 & 10: 12 & 10: 13 & 10: 14 & 10: 15 & 10: 16 & 10: 17 & 10: 18 & 10: 19 & 10: 20 \\ 10: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}11: 1 & 11: 2 & 11: 3 & 11: 4 & 11: 5 & 11: 6 & 11: 7 & 11: 8 & 11: 9 & 11: 10 & 11: 11 & 11: 13 & 11: 15\end{array} 11: 16$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}11: 17 & 11: 18 & 11: 19 & 11: 20 & 11: 21 & 11: 22 & 11: 23 & 11: 24 & 11: 25 & 11: 26 \\ 11: 27 & 11: 28 & 11: 29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}11: 30 & 11: 31 & 11: 32 & 11: 33 & 11: 34 & 11: 35 & 11: 36 & 12: 1 & 12: 2 & 12: 3 & 12: 4 & 12: 5 & 12: 6 \\ 12: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 9 & 12: 10 & 12: 11 & 12: 12 & 12: 13 & 12: 14 & 12: 15 & 12: 16 & 12: 17 & 12: 18 & 12: 19 & 12: 20\end{array} 12: 21$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13: 1 & 13: 2 & 13: 3 & 13: 4 & 13: 5 & 13: 6 & 13: 7 & 13: 8 & 13: 9 & 13: 10 & 13: 11 & 13: 12 & 13: 13 \\ 13: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}14: 1 & 14: 2 & 14: 3 & 14: 4 & 14: 5 & 14: 6 & 14: 7 & 14: 8 & 14: 9 & 14: 10 & 14: 11 & 14: 12 & 14: 13 & 14: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 15 & 14: 16 & 14: 17 & 14: 18 & 14: 19 & 14: 20 & 14: 21 & 14: 22 & 14: 23 & 15: 1 & 15: 2 & 15: 3 & 15: 4 \\ 15: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 6 & 15: 7 & 15: 8 & 15: 9 & 15: 10 & 15: 11 & 15: 12 & 15: 13 & 15: 14 & 15: 15 & 15: 16 & 15: 17 \\ 15: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15: 19 & 15: 20 & 15: 21 & 15: 22 & 15: 23 & 15: 24 & 15: 25 & 15: 26 & 15: 27 & 15: 28 & 15: 29 \\ 15: 30 & 15: 31\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}15: 32 & 15: 33 & 16: 1 & 16: 2 & 16: 3 & 16: 4 & 16: 5 & 16: 6 & 16: 7 & 16: 8 & 16: 9 & 16: 10 & 16: 11 & 16: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}16: 13 & 16: 14 & 16: 15 & 16: 16 & 16: 17 & 16: 18 & 16: 19 & 16: 20 & 16: 21 & 16: 22 & 16: 23\end{array} 16: 24 \quad 16: 25$ 16:26 16:27

## 1 Corinthians

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 & 1: 16\end{array} 1: 17$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 18 & 1: 19 & 1: 20 & 1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 1: 24 & 1: 25 & 1: 26 & 1: 27 & 1: 29 & 1: 30 & 1: 31 & 2: 1 \\ 2: 2 & 2: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 10 & 2: 11 & 2: 12 & 2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 15 & 2: 16 & 3: 1 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}3: 6 & 3: 7 & 3: 8 & 3: 9 & 3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 13 & 3: 14 & 3: 15 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 & 3: 18 & 3: 19 & 3: 20 \\ 3: 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}3: 22 & 4: 1 & 4: 2 & 4: 3 & 4: 4 & 4: 5 & 4: 6 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 4: 9 & 4: 10 & 4: 11 & 4: 12 & 4: 13 & 4: 14 & 4: 15\end{array} 4: 16$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}4: 17 & 4: 18 & 4: 19 & 4: 21 & 5: 1 & 5: 2 & 5: 3 & 5: 5 & 5: 6 & 5: 7 & 5: 8 & 5: 9 & 5: 10 & 5: 11 & 5: 12 & 5: 13 \\ 6: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}6: 2 & 6: 3 & 6: 4 & 6: 5 & 6: 6 & 6: 7 & 6: 8 & 6: 9 & 6: 11 & 6: 12 & 6: 13 & 6: 14 & 6: 15 & 6: 16 & 6: 17 & 6: 18\end{array} \quad 6: 19$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}6: 20 & 7: 1 & 7: 2 & 7: 3 & 7: 4 & 7: 5 & 7: 6 & 7: 7 & 7: 8 & 7: 9 & 7: 10 & 7: 11 & 7: 12 & 7: 13 & 7: 14 & 7: 15 & 7: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7: 17 & 7: 18 & 7: 19 & 7: 20 & 7: 21 & 7: 22 & 7: 23 & 7: 24 & 7: 25 & 7: 26 & 7: 27 & 7: 28 & 7: 29 & 7: 30\end{array} 7: 31$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}7: 32 & 7: 34 & 7: 35 & 7: 36 & 7: 37 & 7: 38 & 7: 39 & 7: 40 & 8: 1 & 8: 2 & 8: 3 & 8: 4 & 8: 5 & 8: 6 & 8: 7 & 8: 8 \\ 8: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}8: 10 & 8: 11 & 8: 12 & 8: 13 & 9: 1 & 9: 2 & 9: 3 & 9: 4 & 9: 5 & 9: 6 & 9: 7 & 9: 8 & 9: 9 & 9: 10 & 9: 11 & 9: 12 \\ 9: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 14 & 9: 15 & 9: 16 & 9: 17 & 9: 18 & 9: 19 & 9: 20 & 9: 21 & 9: 22 & 9: 23 & 9: 24 & 9: 25 & 9: 26 \\ 9: 27 & 10: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}10: 2 & 10: 3 & 10: 4 & 10: 5 & 10: 6 & 10: 7 & 10: 8 & 10: 9 & 10: 10 & 10: 11 & 10: 12 & 10: 13 & 10: 14 \\ 10: 15\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 16 & 10: 17 & 10: 18 & 10: 19 & 10: 20 & 10: 21 & 10: 22 & 10: 23 & 10: 24 & 10: 25 & 10: 26 & 10: 27\end{array} 10: 28$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}10: 29 & 10: 30 & 10: 31 & 10: 32 & 10: 33 & 11: 1 & 11: 2 & 11: 3 & 11: 4 & 11: 5 & 11: 6 & 11: 7 & 11: 9\end{array} 11: 10$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 11 & 11: 12 & 11: 13 & 11: 14 & 11: 15 & 11: 16 & 11: 17 & 11: 18 & 11: 19 & 11: 20 & 11: 21 \\ 11: 22 & 11: 23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 24 & 11: 25 & 11: 26 & 11: 27 & 11: 28 & 11: 29 & 11: 30 & 11: 31 & 11: 32 & 11: 33 & 11: 34 \\ 12: 1 & 12: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 3 & 12: 4 & 12: 5 & 12: 6 & 12: 7 & 12: 8 & 12: 9 & 12: 10 & 12: 11 & 12: 12 & 12: 13 & 12: 14\end{array} 12: 15 \quad 12: 16$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 17 & 12: 18 & 12: 19 & 12: 20 & 12: 21 & 12: 22 & 12: 23 & 12: 24 & 12: 25 & 12: 26 & 12: 27 \\ 12: 28 & 12: 29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}12: 30 & 12: 31 & 13: 1 & 13: 2 & 13: 3 & 13: 4 & 13: 5 & 13: 6 & 13: 7 & 13: 8 & 13: 9 & 13: 10 & 13: 11\end{array} 13: 12$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13: 13 & 14: 1 & 14: 2 & 14: 3 & 14: 4 & 14: 5 & 14: 6 & 14: 7 & 14: 8 & 14: 9 & 14: 10 & 14: 11 & 14: 12\end{array} 14: 13$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 14 & 14: 15 & 14: 16 & 14: 18 & 14: 19 & 14: 20 & 14: 21 & 14: 22 & 14: 23 & 14: 24 & 14: 25 & 14: 26\end{array} 14: 27$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}14: 28 & 14: 29 & 14: 30 & 14: 31 & 14: 32 & 14: 33 & 14: 34 & 14: 37 & 14: 40 & 15: 1 & 15: 2 & 15: 3 & 15: 4 \\ 15: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 6 & 15: 7 & 15: 8 & 15: 9 & 15: 10 & 15: 11 & 15: 12 & 15: 13 & 15: 14 & 15: 15 & 15: 16 & 15: 17\end{array} 15: 18$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 19 & 15: 20 & 15: 21 & 15: 22 & 15: 23 & 15: 24 & 15: 25 & 15: 26 & 15: 27 & 15: 28 & 15: 29 & 15: 30\end{array} \quad 15: 31$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 32 & 15: 33 & 15: 34 & 15: 35 & 15: 36 & 15: 37 & 15: 38 & 15: 39 & 15: 40 & 15: 41 & 15: 42 & 15: 43 \\ 15: 44\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}15: 45 & 15: 46 & 15: 47 & 15: 48 & 15: 49 & 15: 50 & 15: 51 & 15: 52 & 15: 53 & 15: 54 & 15: 55 & 15: 56\end{array} 15: 57$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}15: 58 & 16: 1 & 16: 2 & 16: 3 & 16: 4 & 16: 5 & 16: 6 & 16: 7 & 16: 8 & 16: 9 & 16: 10 & 16: 11 & 16: 12\end{array} 16: 13$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}16: 15 & 16: 16 & 16: 17 & 16: 18 & 16: 19 & 16: 20 & 16: 21 & 16: 22\end{array}$

## 2 Corinthians

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 \\ 1: 16 & 1: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 18 & 1: 19 & 1: 20 & 1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 1: 24 & 2: 1 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 9 \\ 2: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}2: 11 & 2: 12 & 2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 17 & 3: 1 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 & 3: 6 & 3: 7 & 3: 8 & 3: 9\end{array} 3: 10$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}3: 11 & 3: 13 & 3: 14 & 3: 15 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 & 3: 18 & 4: 1 & 4: 2 & 4: 3 & 4: 4 & 4: 5 & 4: 6 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 4: 9\end{array} 4: 10$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}4: 11 & 4: 12 & 4: 13 & 4: 15 & 4: 16 & 4: 17 & 4: 18 & 5: 1 & 5: 2 & 5: 3 & 5: 4 & 5: 6 & 5: 7 & 5: 8 & 5: 9 \\ 5: 10 & 5: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}5: 12 & 5: 13 & 5: 14 & 5: 15 & 5: 16 & 5: 17 & 5: 18 & 5: 19 & 5: 20 & 5: 21 & 6: 1 & 6: 2 & 6: 3 & 6: 4 & 6: 5 & 6: 6 & 6: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}6: 8 & 6: 9 & 6: 10 & 6: 11 & 6: 12 & 6: 13 & 6: 14 & 6: 15 & 6: 16 & 6: 17 & 6: 18 & 7: 1 & 7: 2 & 7: 3 & 7: 4 \\ 7: 5 & 7: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}7: 7 & 7: 8 & 7: 9 & 7: 10 & 7: 11 & 7: 12 & 7: 13 & 7: 14 & 7: 15 & 7: 16 & 8: 1 & 8: 2 & 8: 3 & 8: 4 & 8: 5 & 8: 6 & 8: 7 \\ 8: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8: 9 & 8: 10 & 8: 11 & 8: 12 & 8: 13 & 8: 14 & 8: 16 & 8: 17 & 8: 18 & 8: 19 & 8: 20 & 8: 21 & 8: 22 & 8: 23 \\ 8: 24 & 9: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}9: 2 & 9: 3 & 9: 4 & 9: 5 & 9: 6 & 9: 7 & 9: 8 & 9: 9 & 9: 10 & 9: 11 & 9: 12 & 9: 13 & 9: 14 & 9: 15 & 10: 1 \\ 10: 2 & 10: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}10: 4 & 10: 5 & 10: 6 & 10: 7 & 10: 8 & 10: 9 & 10: 10 & 10: 11 & 10: 12 & 10: 13 & 10: 14 & 10: 15 & 10: 16\end{array} 10: 17$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}10: 18 & 11: 1 & 11: 2 & 11: 3 & 11: 4 & 11: 5 & 11: 6 & 11: 7 & 11: 8 & 11: 9 & 11: 10 & 11: 11 & 11: 12\end{array} 11: 13$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 14 & 11: 15 & 11: 16 & 11: 17 & 11: 18 & 11: 19 & 11: 20 & 11: 21 & 11: 22 & 11: 23 & 11: 24 \\ 11: 25 & 11: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 27 & 11: 28 & 11: 29 & 11: 30 & 11: 31 & 11: 32 & 11: 33 & 12: 1 & 12: 2 & 12: 3 & 12: 4 & 12: 5 \\ 12: 6 & 12: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 8 & 12: 9 & 12: 10 & 12: 11 & 12: 12 & 12: 13 & 12: 14 & 12: 15 & 12: 16 & 12: 17 & 12: 18\end{array} 12: 19 \quad 12: 20$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 21 & 13: 1 & 13: 2 & 13: 3 & 13: 4 & 13: 5 & 13: 6 & 13: 7 & 13: 8 & 13: 9 & 13: 10 & 13: 12\end{array} \quad 13: 13$

## Galatians

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 \\ 1: 15 & 1: 16 & 1: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 18 & 1: 19 & 1: 20 & 1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 1: 24 & 2: 1 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 \\ 2: 9 & 2: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2: 11 & 2: 12 & 2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 17 & 2: 18 & 2: 19 & 2: 20 & 2: 21 & 3: 1 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 \\ 3: 4 & 3: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 6 & 3: 7 & 3: 8 & 3: 9 & 3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 13 & 3: 14 & 3: 15 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 & 3: 18 & 3: 19 \\ 3: 20 & 3: 21\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}3: 22 & 3: 23 & 3: 24 & 3: 26 & 3: 27 & 3: 28 & 3: 29 & 4: 1 & 4: 2 & 4: 3 & 4: 4 & 4: 6 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 4: 9 & 4: 10\end{array} 4: 11$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}4: 12 & 4: 13 & 4: 14 & 4: 15 & 4: 16 & 4: 17 & 4: 18 & 4: 19 & 4: 20 & 4: 21 & 4: 22 & 4: 23 \\ 4: 24 & 4: 25 & 4: 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}4: 27 & 4: 28 & 4: 29 & 4: 30 & 4: 31 & 5: 1 & 5: 2 & 5: 3 & 5: 4 & 5: 5 & 5: 6 & 5: 7 & 5: 8 & 5: 9 & 5: 10 & 5: 11 \\ 5: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}5: 13 & 5: 14 & 5: 15 & 5: 16 & 5: 17 & 5: 18 & 5: 19 & 5: 21 & 5: 22 & 5: 24 & 5: 25 & 5: 26 & 6: 1 & 6: 2 & 6: 3 \\ 6: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}6: 6 & 6: 7 & 6: 8 & 6: 9 & 6: 10 & 6: 11 & 6: 12 & 6: 13 & 6: 14 & 6: 15 & 6: 16\end{array} \quad 6: 17 \quad 6: 18$

## Ephesians

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 & 1: 16 & 1: 17 \\ 1: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 19 & 1: 20 & 1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 2: 1 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 9\end{array} 2: 10 \quad 2: 11 \quad 2: 12$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 17 & 2: 18 & 2: 19 & 2: 20 & 2: 21 & 2: 22 & 3: 1 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 \\ 3: 6 & 3: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 8 & 3: 9 & 3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 13 & 3: 14 & 3: 15 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 & 3: 18 & 3: 19 & 3: 20 & 3: 21\end{array} 4: 1 \quad 4: 3$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}4: 4 & 4: 5 & 4: 6 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 4: 9 & 4: 10 & 4: 11 & 4: 12 & 4: 13 & 4: 14 & 4: 15 & 4: 16 & 4: 17 & 4: 18\end{array} \quad 4: 19 \quad 4: 20$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}4: 21 & 4: 22 & 4: 23 & 4: 24 & 4: 25 & 4: 26 & 4: 27 & 4: 28 & 4: 29 & 4: 30 & 4: 31 & 4: 32 & 5: 1 \\ 5: 2 & 5: 3 & 5: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}5: 5 & 5: 6 & 5: 7 & 5: 8 & 5: 9 & 5: 10 & 5: 11 & 5: 12 & 5: 13 & 5: 14 & 5: 15 & 5: 16 & 5: 17 & 5: 18 & 5: 19 \\ 5: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5: 21 & 5: 22 & 5: 23 & 5: 24 & 5: 25 & 5: 26 & 5: 27 & 5: 28 & 5: 29 & 5: 30 & 5: 31 & 5: 32 & 5: 33 \\ 6: 1 & 6: 2 & 6: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}6: 4 & 6: 5 & 6: 6 & 6: 7 & 6: 8 & 6: 9 & 6: 10 & 6: 11 & 6: 12 & 6: 13 & 6: 14 & 6: 15 & 6: 16 \\ 6: 17 & 6: 18 & 6: 19 & 6: 20\end{array}$ 6:21 6:22 6:23 6:24

## Philippians

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 & 1: 16 \\ 1: 17 & 1: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 19 & 1: 20 & 1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 1: 24 & 1: 25 & 1: 26 & 1: 27 & 1: 28 & 1: 29 & 1: 30 & 2: 1 \\ 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2: 5 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 10 & 2: 11 & 2: 12 & 2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 17 & 2: 19\end{array} 2: 20 \quad 2: 21$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}2: 22 & 2: 23 & 2: 24 & 2: 25 & 2: 26 & 2: 28 & 2: 29 & 2: 30 & 3: 1 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 & 3: 6 & 3: 7 \\ 3: 8 & 3: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 13 & 3: 14 & 3: 15 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 & 3: 18 & 3: 19 & 3: 20 & 3: 21 & 4: 1 & 4: 2\end{array} 4: 3 \quad 4: 4$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}4: 5 & 4: 6 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 4: 9 & 4: 10 & 4: 11 & 4: 12 & 4: 13 & 4: 14 & 4: 15 & 4: 16 & 4: 17 & 4: 18\end{array} 4: 19 \quad 4: 20$ 4:21

## Colossians

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 \\ 1: 16 & 1: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 18 & 1: 19 & 1: 20 & 1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 1: 24 & 1: 25 & 1: 26 & 1: 27 & 1: 28 & 1: 29 & 2: 1 \\ 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2: 5 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 10 & 2: 11 & 2: 12 & 2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 17 & 2: 18\end{array} 2: 19 \quad 2: 20$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}2: 21 & 2: 22 & 2: 23 & 3: 1 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 & 3: 6 & 3: 7 & 3: 8 & 3: 9 & 3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 12 \\ 3: 13 & 3: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 15 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 & 3: 18 & 3: 19 & 3: 20 & 3: 21 & 3: 22 & 3: 23 & 3: 24 & 3: 25 & 4: 1 & 4: 2 & 4: 3 \\ 4: 4 & 4: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}4: 6 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 4: 9 & 4: 10 & 4: 11 & 4: 12 & 4: 13 & 4: 14 & 4: 15 & 4: 16 & 4: 17 \\ 4: 18\end{array}$

## 1 Thessalonians

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 2: 1 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 \\ 2: 8 & 2: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}2: 10 & 2: 11 & 2: 12 & 2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 17 & 2: 18 & 2: 19 & 3: 1 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 & 3: 6 \\ 3: 7 & 3: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}3: 9 & 3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 13 & 4: 1 & 4: 2 & 4: 3 & 4: 4 & 4: 5 & 4: 6 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 4: 9 & 4: 10\end{array} 4: 11 \quad 4: 12$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}4: 13 & 4: 14 & 4: 15 & 4: 16 & 4: 17 & 4: 18 & 5: 1 & 5: 2 & 5: 3 & 5: 4 & 5: 5 & 5: 6 & 5: 7 & 5: 8 & 5: 9 & 5: 10 \\ 5: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5: 12 & 5: 13 & 5: 14 & 5: 15 & 5: 18 & 5: 19 & 5: 20 & 5: 21 & 5: 22 & 5: 23 & 5: 24 & 5: 25 & 5: 26 \\ 5: 27 & 5: 28\end{array}$

2 Thessalonians
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 2: 1 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 6 \\ 2: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 10 & 2: 11 & 2: 12 & 2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 17 & 3: 1 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 & 3: 6\end{array} 3: 7$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}3: 8 & 3: 9 & 3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 13 & 3: 14 & 3: 15 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 \\ 3: 18\end{array}$

## 1 Timothy

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 \\ 1: 16 & 1: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 18 & 1: 19 & 1: 20 & 2: 1 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 10 & 2: 11 & 2: 12\end{array} 2: 13 \quad 2: 14$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}2: 15 & 3: 1 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 & 3: 6 & 3: 7 & 3: 8 & 3: 9 & 3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 13 & 3: 14 \\ 3: 15 & 3: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}4: 1 & 4: 2 & 4: 3 & 4: 4 & 4: 5 & 4: 6 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 4: 9 & 4: 10 & 4: 12 & 4: 13 & 4: 14 & 4: 15 & 4: 16 & 5: 1 & 5: 2 & 5: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}5: 4 & 5: 5 & 5: 6 & 5: 7 & 5: 8 & 5: 9 & 5: 10 & 5: 11 & 5: 12 & 5: 13 & 5: 14 & 5: 15 & 5: 16 & 5: 17 & 5: 18 \\ 5: 19 & 5: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}5: 21 & 5: 22 & 5: 23 & 5: 24 & 5: 25 & 6: 1 & 6: 2 & 6: 3 & 6: 4 & 6: 5 & 6: 6 & 6: 7 & 6: 8 & 6: 9 & 6: 10 \\ 6: 11 & 6: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}6: 13 & 6: 14 & 6: 15 & 6: 16 & 6: 17 & 6: 18 & 6: 19 & 6: 20\end{array} \quad 6: 21$
2 Timothy
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 & 1: 16 \\ 1: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 18 & 2: 1 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 10 & 2: 11 & 2: 14 & 2: 15 & 2: 16\end{array} 2: 17 \quad 2: 18$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}2: 19 & 2: 20 & 2: 21 & 2: 22 & 2: 23 & 2: 24 & 2: 25 & 2: 26 & 3: 1 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 & 3: 6 & 3: 7 \\ 3: 8 & 3: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 13 & 3: 14 & 3: 15 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 & 4: 1 & 4: 2 & 4: 3 & 4: 4 & 4: 5 & 4: 6 & 4: 7 & 4: 8\end{array} 4: 9$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}4: 10 & 4: 11 & 4: 12 & 4: 13 & 4: 14 & 4: 15 & 4: 16 & 4: 17 & 4: 18 & 4: 19 & 4: 20 \\ 4: 21\end{array}$
Titus
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 & 1: 16 & 2: 1 \\ 2: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 10 & 2: 11 & 2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 15 & 3: 1 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 & 3: 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}3: 7 & 3: 8 & 3: 9 & 3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 13 & 3: 14\end{array} \quad 3: 15$

## Philemon

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 & 1: 16 \\ 1: 17 & 1: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}1: 19 & 1: 20 & 1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 1: 24 \\ 1: 25\end{array}$

## Hebrews

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 2: 1 & 2: 2 \\ 2: 3 & 2: 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}2: 5 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 10 & 2: 11 & 2: 12 & 2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 17 & 2: 18 & 3: 1 & 3: 1\end{array} 3: 2$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 & 3: 5 & 3: 6 & 3: 6 & 3: 7 & 3: 7 & 3: 8 & 3: 8 & 3: 9 & 3: 9 \\ 3: 10 & 3: 10 & 3: 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 12 & 3: 13 & 3: 13 & 3: 14 & 3: 14 & 3: 15 & 3: 15 & 3: 16 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 & 3: 17 \\ 3: 18 & 3: 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}3: 19 & 3: 19 & 4: 1 & 4: 2 & 4: 3 & 4: 4 & 4: 5 & 4: 6 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 4: 9 & 4: 10 & 4: 11 & 4: 12 & 4: 13 & 4: 14\end{array} 4: 15$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}4: 16 & 5: 1 & 5: 2 & 5: 3 & 5: 4 & 5: 5 & 5: 6 & 5: 7 & 5: 8 & 5: 9 & 5: 10 & 5: 11 & 5: 12 & 5: 13 & 5: 14 & 6: 1 \\ 6: 2 & 6: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}6: 4 & 6: 6 & 6: 7 & 6: 8 & 6: 9 & 6: 11 & 6: 12 & 6: 13 & 6: 14 & 6: 15 & 6: 16 & 6: 17 & 6: 18 & 6: 19 & 6: 20 \\ 7: 1 & 7: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}7: 3 & 7: 4 & 7: 5 & 7: 6 & 7: 7 & 7: 8 & 7: 9 & 7: 10 & 7: 11 & 7: 12 & 7: 13 & 7: 14 & 7: 15 & 7: 16 & 7: 17 & 7: 18 & 7: 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}7: 20 & 7: 21 & 7: 22 & 7: 23 & 7: 24 & 7: 25 & 7: 26 & 7: 27 & 7: 28 & 8: 1 & 8: 2 & 8: 3 & 8: 4 & 8: 5 & 8: 6 & 8: 7 \\ 8: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}8: 9 & 8: 10 & 8: 11 & 8: 12 & 8: 13 & 9: 1 & 9: 2 & 9: 3 & 9: 4 & 9: 5 & 9: 6 & 9: 7 & 9: 8 & 9: 9 & 9: 10 \\ 9: 11 & 9: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9: 13 & 9: 14 & 9: 15 & 9: 16 & 9: 18 & 9: 19 & 9: 20 & 9: 21 & 9: 22 & 9: 23 & 9: 24 & 9: 25 & 9: 26 \\ 9: 27 & 9: 28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}10: 1 & 10: 2 & 10: 3 & 10: 4 & 10: 5 & 10: 6 & 10: 7 & 10: 8 & 10: 9 & 10: 10 & 10: 11 & 10: 12 & 10: 13\end{array} 10: 14$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}10: 15 & 10: 16 & 10: 17 & 10: 18 & 10: 19 & 10: 20 & 10: 21 & 10: 22 & 10: 23 & 10: 24 & 10: 25 & 10: 26\end{array} 10: 27$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}10: 28 & 10: 29 & 10: 30 & 10: 31 & 10: 32 & 10: 33 & 10: 34 & 10: 35 & 10: 36 & 10: 37 & 10: 38 \\ 10: 39 & 11: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 2 & 11: 3 & 11: 4 & 11: 5 & 11: 6 & 11: 7 & 11: 8 & 11: 9 & 11: 10 & 11: 11 & 11: 12 & 11: 13 \\ 11: 14 & 11: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11: 16 & 11: 17 & 11: 18 & 11: 19 & 11: 20 & 11: 21 & 11: 22 & 11: 23 & 11: 24 & 11: 25 & 11: 26\end{array} 11: 27 \quad 11: 28$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 29 & 11: 30 & 11: 31 & 11: 32 & 11: 33 & 11: 34 & 11: 35 & 11: 36 & 11: 37 & 11: 38 & 11: 39 & 11: 40\end{array} \quad 12: 1$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 2 & 12: 3 & 12: 4 & 12: 5 & 12: 6 & 12: 7 & 12: 8 & 12: 9 & 12: 10 & 12: 11 & 12: 12 & 12: 13\end{array} 12: 14 \quad 12: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}12: 16 & 12: 17 & 12: 18 & 12: 19 & 12: 20 & 12: 21 & 12: 22 & 12: 23 & 12: 24 & 12: 25 & 12: 26\end{array} 12: 27 \quad 12: 28$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}12: 29 & 13: 1 & 13: 2 & 13: 4 & 13: 5 & 13: 6 & 13: 7 & 13: 8 & 13: 9 & 13: 10 & 13: 11 & 13: 12 & 13: 13\end{array} 13: 14$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}13: 15 & 13: 16 & 13: 17 & 13: 18 & 13: 19 & 13: 20 & 13: 21 & 13: 22 & 13: 23 \\ 13: 24\end{array}$

## James

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 \\ 1: 15 & 1: 16 & 1: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 18 & 1: 19 & 1: 20 & 1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 1: 24 & 1: 25 & 1: 26 & 1: 27 & 2: 1 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 \\ 2: 6 & 2: 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 10 & 2: 11 & 2: 12 & 2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 17 & 2: 18 & 2: 19 & 2: 20 \\ 2: 21 & 2: 22 & 2: 23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2: 24 & 2: 25 & 2: 26 & 3: 1 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 & 3: 6 & 3: 7 & 3: 8 & 3: 9 & 3: 10 & 3: 11 \\ 3: 12 & 3: 13 & 3: 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}3: 15 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 & 3: 18 & 4: 1 & 4: 2 & 4: 3 & 4: 4 & 4: 5 & 4: 6 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 4: 9 & 4: 10 & 4: 11 & 4: 12\end{array} 4: 13$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}4: 14 & 4: 15 & 4: 16 & 4: 17 & 5: 1 & 5: 2 & 5: 3 & 5: 4 & 5: 5 & 5: 6 & 5: 7 & 5: 8 & 5: 9 & 5: 10 & 5: 11 \\ 5: 12 & 5: 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}5: 14 & 5: 15 & 5: 16 & 5: 17 & 5: 18 & 5: 19 & 5: 20\end{array}$

## 1 Peter

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 \\ 1: 15 & 1: 16 & 1: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 18 & 1: 19 & 1: 20 & 1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 1: 24 & 2: 1 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 \\ 2: 9 & 2: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 11 & 2: 12 & 2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 17 & 2: 18 & 2: 19 & 2: 20 & 2: 21 & 2: 22 & 2: 23\end{array} 2: 24 \quad 2: 25$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 1 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 & 3: 6 & 3: 7 & 3: 8 & 3: 9 & 3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 13 & 3: 14 \\ 3: 15 & 3: 16 & 3: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}3: 18 & 3: 19 & 3: 20 & 3: 21 & 3: 22 & 4: 1 & 4: 2 & 4: 3 & 4: 4 & 4: 5 & 4: 6 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 4: 9 & 4: 10\end{array} \quad 4: 11 \quad 4: 12$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}4: 13 & 4: 14 & 4: 15 & 4: 16 & 4: 17 & 4: 18 & 4: 19 & 5: 1 & 5: 2 & 5: 3 & 5: 4 & 5: 5 & 5: 6 & 5: 7 & 5: 8 \\ 5: 9 & 5: 10\end{array}$ 5:11 $\quad 5: 12 \quad 5: 13 \quad 5: 14$

## 2 Peter

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 & 1: 16\end{array} 1: 17$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 18 & 1: 19 & 1: 20 & 1: 21 & 2: 1 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 10 & 2: 11\end{array} 2: 12 \quad 2: 13$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2: 14 & 2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 17 & 2: 18 & 2: 19 & 2: 20 & 2: 21 & 2: 22 & 3: 1 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 \\ 3: 6 & 3: 7 & 3: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}3: 9 & 3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 13 & 3: 14 & 3: 15 & 3: 16 & 3: 17\end{array} 3: 18$

## 1 John

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 2: 1 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 \\ 2: 8 & 2: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2: 10 & 2: 11 & 2: 12 & 2: 13 & 2: 14 & 2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 17 & 2: 18 & 2: 19 & 2: 20 & 2: 21 & 2: 22\end{array} 2: 23 \quad 2: 24$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2: 25 & 2: 26 & 2: 27 & 2: 28 & 2: 29 & 3: 1 & 3: 2 & 3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 & 3: 6 & 3: 7 & 3: 8 & 3: 9 \\ 3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3: 13 & 3: 14 & 3: 15 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 & 3: 18 & 3: 19 & 3: 20 & 3: 21 & 3: 22 & 3: 23 & 3: 24 & 4: 1 & 4: 2\end{array} 4: 3 \quad 4: 4$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}4: 5 & 4: 6 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 4: 9 & 4: 10 & 4: 11 & 4: 12 & 4: 13 & 4: 14 & 4: 15 & 4: 16 & 4: 17 & 4: 18\end{array} 4: 19 \quad 4: 20$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}4: 21 & 5: 1 & 5: 2 & 5: 3 & 5: 4 & 5: 5 & 5: 6 & 5: 7 & 5: 8 & 5: 9 & 5: 10 & 5: 11 & 5: 12 & 5: 13 & 5: 14 \\ 5: 15 & 5: 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}5: 17 & 5: 18 & 5: 19 & 5: 20 & 5: 21\end{array}$

## 2 John

$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 12\end{array} \quad 1: 13$
3 John
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 13\end{array} 1: 14$
Jude
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 & 1: 16\end{array} 1: 17$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}1: 18 & 1: 19 & 1: 20 & 1: 21 & 1: 22 & 1: 23 & 1: 24\end{array} \quad 1: 25$
Revelation
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 1 & 1: 2 & 1: 3 & 1: 4 & 1: 5 & 1: 6 & 1: 7 & 1: 8 & 1: 9 & 1: 10 & 1: 11 & 1: 12 & 1: 13 & 1: 14 & 1: 15 \\ 1: 16 & 1: 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1: 18 & 1: 19 & 1: 20 & 2: 1 & 2: 2 & 2: 3 & 2: 4 & 2: 5 & 2: 6 & 2: 7 & 2: 8 & 2: 9 & 2: 10 & 2: 11 & 2: 12\end{array} 2: 13 \quad 2: 14$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}2: 15 & 2: 16 & 2: 17 & 2: 18 & 2: 19 & 2: 20 & 2: 21 & 2: 22 & 2: 23 & 2: 24 & 2: 25 & 2: 26 \\ 2: 27 & 2: 28 & 3: 1 & 3: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}3: 3 & 3: 4 & 3: 5 & 3: 7 & 3: 8 & 3: 9 & 3: 10 & 3: 11 & 3: 12 & 3: 14 & 3: 15 & 3: 16 & 3: 17 & 3: 18 & 3: 19\end{array} 3: 20 \quad 3: 21$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}4: 1 & 4: 2 & 4: 3 & 4: 4 & 4: 5 & 4: 6 & 4: 7 & 4: 8 & 4: 9 & 4: 10 & 4: 11 & 5: 1 & 5: 2 & 5: 3 & 5: 4 & 5: 5 & 5: 6 \\ 5: 7 & 5: 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}5: 9 & 5: 10 & 5: 11 & 5: 12 & 5: 13 & 5: 14 & 6: 1 & 6: 2 & 6: 3 & 6: 4 & 6: 5 & 6: 6 & 6: 8 & 6: 9 & 6: 10\end{array} \quad 6: 11 \quad 6: 12$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}6: 13 & 6: 14 & 6: 15 & 6: 16 & 6: 17 & 7: 1 & 7: 2 & 7: 3 & 7: 4 & 7: 9 & 7: 10 & 7: 11 & 7: 12 & 7: 13 & 7: 14 & 7: 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}7: 16 & 7: 17 & 8: 1 & 8: 2 & 8: 3 & 8: 4 & 8: 5 & 8: 6 & 8: 7 & 8: 8 & 8: 9 & 8: 10 & 8: 11 & 8: 12 & 8: 13 & 9: 1 & 9: 2 & 9: 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}9: 4 & 9: 5 & 9: 6 & 9: 7 & 9: 8 & 9: 9 & 9: 10 & 9: 11 & 9: 12 & 9: 13 & 9: 14 & 9: 15 & 9: 16 & 9: 17 & 9: 18 & 9: 19\end{array} 9: 20$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9: 21 & 10: 1 & 10: 2 & 10: 3 & 10: 4 & 10: 5 & 10: 6 & 10: 7 & 10: 8 & 10: 9 & 10: 10 & 10: 11 & 11: 1 & 11: 2\end{array} 11: 3$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 4 & 11: 5 & 11: 6 & 11: 7 & 11: 8 & 11: 9 & 11: 10 & 11: 11 & 11: 12 & 11: 13 & 11: 14 & 11: 15\end{array} 11: 1611: 17$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}11: 18 & 11: 19 & 12: 1 & 12: 2 & 12: 3 & 12: 4 & 12: 5 & 12: 6 & 12: 7 & 12: 8 & 12: 9 & 12: 10\end{array} 12: 11 \quad 12: 12$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}12: 13 & 12: 14 & 12: 15 & 12: 16 & 12: 17 & 13: 1 & 13: 2 & 13: 3 & 13: 4 & 13: 5 & 13: 6 & 13: 7 \\ 13: 8 & 13: 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}13: 10 & 13: 11 & 13: 12 & 13: 13 & 13: 14 & 13: 15 & 13: 16 & 13: 17 & 13: 18 & 14: 1 & 14: 2 & 14: 3 & 14: 4\end{array} 14: 5$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}14: 6 & 14: 7 & 14: 8 & 14: 9 & 14: 10 & 14: 11 & 14: 12 & 14: 13 & 14: 14 & 14: 15 & 14: 16 & 14: 17\end{array} 14: 18$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}14: 19 & 14: 20 & 15: 1 & 15: 2 & 15: 3 & 15: 4 & 15: 5 & 15: 6 & 15: 7 & 15: 8 & 16: 1 & 16: 2 & 16: 3 & 16: 4 \\ 16: 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}16: 6 & 16: 7 & 16: 8 & 16: 9 & 16: 10 & 16: 11 & 16: 12 & 16: 13 & 16: 14 & 16: 15 & 16: 16 & 16: 17\end{array} 16: 18$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}16: 19 & 16: 20 & 16: 21 & 17: 1 & 17: 2 & 17: 3 & 17: 4 & 17: 5 & 17: 6 & 17: 7 & 17: 8 & 17: 9 & 17: 10\end{array} 17: 11$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}17: 12 & 17: 13 & 17: 14 & 17: 15 & 17: 16 & 17: 17 & 17: 18 & 18: 1 & 18: 2 & 18: 3 & 18: 4 & 18: 5 & 18: 6\end{array} 18: 7$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}18: 8 & 18: 9 & 18: 10 & 18: 11 & 18: 12 & 18: 13 & 18: 14 & 18: 15 & 18: 16 & 18: 17 & 18: 18 \\ 18: 19 & 18: 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}18: 21 & 18: 22 & 18: 23 & 18: 24 & 19: 1 & 19: 2 & 19: 3 & 19: 4 & 19: 5 & 19: 6 & 19: 7 & 19: 8 & 19: 9 \\ 19: 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}19: 11 & 19: 12 & 19: 13 & 19: 14 & 19: 15 & 19: 16 & 19: 17 & 19: 18 & 19: 19 & 19: 20 & 19: 21 \\ 20: 1 & 20: 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}20: 3 & 20: 4 & 20: 5 & 20: 6 & 20: 7 & 20: 8 & 20: 9 & 20: 10 & 20: 11 & 20: 12 & 20: 13 & 20: 14 & 20: 15\end{array} 21: 1$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}21: 2 & 21: 3 & 21: 4 & 21: 5 & 21: 6 & 21: 7 & 21: 8 & 21: 9 & 21: 10 & 21: 11 & 21: 12 & 21: 13 & 21: 14\end{array} \quad 21: 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}21: 16 & 21: 17 & 21: 18 & 21: 19 & 21: 20 & 21: 21 & 21: 22 & 21: 23 & 21: 24 & 21: 25 & 21: 26 \\ 21: 27 & 22: 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}22: 2 & 22: 3 & 22: 4 & 22: 5 & 22: 6 & 22: 7 & 22: 8 & 22: 9 & 22: 10 & 22: 11 & 22: 12 & 22: 13\end{array} 22: 14 \quad 22: 15$ $\begin{array}{llllll}22: 16 & 22: 17 & 22: 18 & 22: 19 & 22: 20 & 22: 21\end{array}$


[^0]:    Mark 7:37

[^1]:    Already dead ( $\eta \delta \eta \tau \varepsilon \theta v \eta \kappa о \tau \alpha$ ). Perfect active participle of $\theta v \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$. So then Jesus died before the robbers, died of a broken heart.

